

GENESIS MICROCHIP INC /DE

Form 10-K/A

September 04, 2007

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-K/A
(Amendment No. 1)**

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007**
- or**
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number: 000-33477

GENESIS MICROCHIP INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
*(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation)*
2525 AUGUSTINE DRIVE
SANTA CLARA, CALIFORNIA
(Address of principal executive offices)

77-0584301
*(IRS Employer
Identification Number)*
95054
(Zip Code)

(408) 919-8400
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:
None.

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:
Shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of September 30, 2006 was approximately \$429,856,395 based on the number of shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of September 30, 2006, and based on the reported last sale price of common stock on September 30, 2006, which was the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. This calculation does not reflect a determination that persons are affiliates for any other purposes. Shares of stock held by five percent stockholders have been excluded from this calculation as they may be deemed affiliates.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of August 27, 2007 was 37,465,217.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|---|---|-------------|
| | <u>EXPLANATORY NOTE</u> | 3 |
| | <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Business</u> | 4 |
| <u>Item 1A</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 9 |
| <u>Item 1B</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders</u> | 19 |
| | <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5</u> | <u>Market for Our Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 7</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 7A</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 34 |
| <u>Item 8</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 34 |
| <u>Item 9</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 9A</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 9B</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 36 |
| | <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 11</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 42 |
| <u>Item 12</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 61 |
| <u>Item 13</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 68 |
| <u>Item 14</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 68 |
| | <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 69 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | 70 |
| <u>Index to Exhibits Filed Together with this Annual Report</u> | | 71 |
| <u>EXHIBIT 21</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 23.1</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u> | | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u> | | |

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Annual Report on Form 10-K/A (Form 10-K/A) is being filed as Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on June 12, 2007 (the Original Filing). In preparing our Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (the Proxy Statement), we enhanced the disclosure contained in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of the Original Filing for inclusion in the Proxy Statement. This Form 10-K/A incorporates in Part III, Item 11, the updated Compensation Discussion and Analysis as contained in the Proxy Statement. This Form 10-K/A also contains a revised version of the table entitled, Potential Payments on Termination or Change of Control, in Part III, Item 11, because subsequent to the Original Filing, the Company recalculated and corrected the amount of such potential payments. The Original Filing included both underestimates and overestimates of potential compensation for individual executives. When considered in the aggregate, the individual differences offset each other to result in a net underreporting of approximately \$35,000 for the group. The corrected version of this table appears in Part III, Item 11 of this Form 10-K/A as well as in the Proxy Statement. In addition, Part III, Items 12 and 13 of this Form 10-K/A have been updated to provide information as of the most recent practicable date. Other than the revisions described above, the inclusion of an updated Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, dated September 4, 2007, attached as Exhibit 23.1 hereto, and the updated certifications of our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, attached as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2 and 32.1 hereto, there have been no other changes to the Original Filing.

This Form 10-K/A continues to describe conditions as of the date of the Original Filing, and we have not updated the disclosures contained herein, other than as described above, to reflect events that have occurred subsequent to that date. Other events occurring after the date of the Original Filing or other information necessary to reflect subsequent events will be disclosed in reports filed with the SEC subsequent to the Original Filing.

STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as projects, believes, anticipates, plans, expects, intends and words and expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable but we cannot assure you that those expectations will prove to be correct. Important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expectations are disclosed in this report, including, without limitation, in the Risk Factors described in Part I, Item 1A. All forward-looking statements are expressly qualified in their entirety by these factors and all related cautionary statements. We do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

TRADEMARKS

Genesis®, Genesis Display Perfection®, Faroudja®, DCDi® by Faroudja, Faroudja Picture Plus®, Faroudja DCDi Cinema®, Faroudja DCDi Edge®, Nuon®, SmartSCAN®, RealColor®, Real Recovery™, Ultra-Reliable DVI®, Energy Spectrum Management®, ESM®, PurVIEW HD™ and MCTi™ by Faroudja are our trademarks or registered trademarks. This report also refers to the trademarks of other companies.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our Internet address is www.gnss.com. On our Internet website, we make publicly available free of charge our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports

filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In addition, the public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

We design, develop and market integrated circuits called display controllers that receive and process analog and digital video and graphic images for viewing on a flat-panel display. Our display controllers are typically located inside a flat-panel display device, such as a flat-panel television or computer monitor. We are currently addressing established display applications such as flat-panel computer monitor, and display applications such as liquid crystal display (LCD) television, plasma television, digital cathode ray tube television, digital television and other display devices for the consumer electronics market. We are also pioneering with other industry leaders a new interconnect standard called DisplayPort through the Video Electronics Standard Association (VESA). DisplayPort is an open digital standard designed to enable a common, open source, royalty-free, scalable interface between any flat-panel display and video or data source.

The transition from analog display systems, such as most televisions and computer monitors that use cathode ray tubes (CRT), to digital display systems that use a fixed-matrix of pixels to represent an image, requires sophisticated digital image-processing solutions. Our products solve input, resolution, format and frame refresh rate conversion problems while maintaining critical image information and improving perceived image quality. Our display controller products utilize patented algorithms and integrated circuit architectures, as well as advanced integrated circuit design and system design expertise.

We began business as a Canadian company in 1987, and changed our domicile to become a Delaware, U.S. corporation in February 2002. In May 1999, we acquired Paradise Electronics, Inc., in February 2002, we acquired Sage, Inc. and in March 2002, we acquired the technology assets of VM Labs, Inc. These acquisitions improved our product offerings for the flat-panel monitor market, and our ability to diversify our business into other emerging display markets, such as flat-panel television. In March 2003, we entered into an agreement to merge with Pixelworks, Inc., but in August 2003, we and Pixelworks agreed to terminate the proposed merger. Under the terms of the agreement, the parties agreed to a mutual release of claims, and Pixelworks paid us \$5.5 million as a reimbursement for our expenses.

We operate through subsidiaries and offices in the United States, Canada, China, Germany, India, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and Turkey. Our business is conducted globally, with the majority of our suppliers and customers located in China, Europe, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan. For a geographical breakdown of our revenues and long-lived assets, see Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

MARKETS AND APPLICATIONS

Our targeted applications include the following:

Flat-Panel Computer Monitors. Flat-panel computer monitors using liquid crystal displays, or LCDs, are increasingly replacing monitors that use CRTs. For the year ended March 31, 2007, applications sold into the flat-panel computer monitor market represented an estimated 40% of our total revenues. Companies whose flat-panel computer monitors incorporate our products include AOC, BenQ, Dell, Fujitsu, Gateway, HP, Innolux, Lenovo, Legend, Lite-On, NEC, Philips, Samsung, Sony, ViewSonic, and many other leading brands.

Television & Video. We are leveraging our technologies in video image processing to produce products for fast-growing flat-panel television and high definition digital television applications. These technologies, which include products containing analog video image processing, digital/MPEG video image processing, timing controllers and other technologies, may also be designed into other applications such as Digital CRT-TVs, home theaters, video projectors, audio/video receivers and DVD players. Companies whose televisions incorporate our products include leading TV manufacturers, including Changhong, Dell, Eizo, Fujitsu, Haier, Hisense, Konka, LG, NEC, Philips, Samsung, Sharp, Skyworth, Sony, Toshiba, TTE, Westinghouse and Zenith. For the year ended March 31, 2007, revenue from applications serving these markets represented an estimated 60% of our total revenues.

Table of Contents**PRODUCTS**

The following table shows our principal integrated circuit product families as of March 31, 2007:

| Product Family | Description | Markets |
|--|---|--|
| FLI23xx | Video format conversion and image enhancement processors | CRT TVs, flat-panel TVs, DVD players, video projectors |
| gm15xx/gm16xx | Graphics/TV video processors for SXGA-WUXGA resolutions | Flat-panel monitors, flat-panel TVs, video projectors |
| gm22xx/gm52xx | Integrated LCD monitor controllers supporting resolutions up to SXGA | Multi-function monitors and entry-level LCD TVs |
| gm23xx/gm53xx | Integrated LCD monitor controllers supporting resolutions up to SXGA | Flat-panel monitors |
| gmZAN3xx | Analog interface LCD monitor controllers (for XGA and SXGA-resolution monitors) | LCD monitors and other fixed-resolution pixilated displays |
| gm56xx/57xx/26xx/27xx (Phoenix) | Pin/firmware compatible family of analog & dual input LCD monitor controllers for XGA, SXGA and UXGA resolutions | Mainstream LCD monitors using LVDS or RSDS LCD panels |
| FLI812x (Hudson) | Single-chip flat-panel TV controller for cost-sensitive applications with 2D NTSC/PAL decoder and Faroudja DCDi Edge video processing | Entry to mid-level flat panel and digital CRT TVs |
| FLI85xx (Cortez, Cortez Plus, Cortez Lite) | Single-chip high-end flat panel TV controller with 3D NTSC/PAL decoder and high-end Faroudja DCDi Cinema video processing with optional HDMI receiver | Entry-level, Mid-range and high-end flat panel TVs |
| FLI86xx (Cortez Advanced) | Premium TV video controller with 10-bit Faroudja DCDi Cinema video processing and two 3D comb filters | LCD/PDP TVs, premium AVR, high definition DVD players, premium LCD TV monitors |
| FLI59xx (Oak) | Single-chip highly integrated, mixed-signal LCD controller for multi-function monitors supporting resolutions up to WUXGA | Multi-function monitors and LCD TVs |
| gm10500 (PurVIEW HD™) | Digital TV audio and video decoder | ATSC/DVB/OpenCable™ compliant integrated Digital TVs |

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Our research and development efforts are performed within the following specialized groups:

Algorithm Development Group: focuses on developing high-quality image processing technologies and their implementation in silicon.

Product Development Group: focuses on developing standard semiconductor components to service our television, monitor and computer original equipment manufacturer (OEM) customers. In addition, we develop semiconductor components to serve customers who are designing products for new market applications, such as flat-panel television and other potential mass markets.

Table of Contents

Software Engineering Group: develops the software environment required for our products to work within target systems. Software is now embedded in many of our products. The other major role of software engineering is tool development. We provide sophisticated software tools to help our customers develop their applications and customize their software to improve the productivity of those engineers involved in the process of getting their products into production.

Systems Engineering Group: develops reference design hardware and software applications, validates chips, validates intellectual property, system performance tuning and competitive analysis, and provides regional application engineers to support our field application engineers.

As of March 31, 2007, we had 342 full-time employees engaged in research and development. Expenditures for research and development, including non-cash stock-based compensation, were \$64.5 million for the year ended March 31, 2007, \$48.7 million for the year ended March 31, 2006, and \$41.5 million for the year ended March 31, 2005.

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Research And Development for further discussion of research and development activities.

CUSTOMERS, SALES AND MARKETING

Our sales and marketing personnel work closely with customers, industry leaders, sales representatives and our distributors to define features, performance, price and market timing of our products. We focus on developing long-term customer relationships with both system manufacturers and equipment manufacturers. Our marketing group includes applications engineers who support customer designs as well as producing evaluation boards and reference designs for LCD monitors, thereby providing system on a chip (SOC) solutions that facilitate the integration of our products into the end products manufactured by our customers.

We sell and market our products directly to customers and through regional sales representatives and distributors. Prior to selling our products, we provide our customers with technical support, design assistance and customer service at their facilities and through our various offices throughout the world. Our sales representatives and distributors also provide ongoing support and service on our behalf. We generally provide a one-year limited warranty for our products.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from a limited number of products. For the year ended March 31, 2007, our top five products contributed 49% of our total revenues. For the years ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, our top five products contributed 55% and 51% of our total revenues, respectively.

Our sales are also derived from a limited number of customers, with our largest five customers accounting for 52% of total revenues in fiscal 2007, 51% of total revenues in fiscal 2006 and 52% of total revenues in fiscal 2005.

For the year ended March 31, 2007, three customers, LG Electronics, Inc., BenQ Inc., and Royal Philips Electronics N.V. each accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. For the year ended March 31, 2006, three customers, LG Electronics, Inc., BenQ Inc., and Royal Philips Electronics N.V., each accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. For the year ended March 31, 2005, two customers, Samsung Electronics Co. and LG Electronics., each accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues. At March 31, 2007 two customers represented more than 10% of accounts receivable trade. At March 31, 2006, four customers represented more than 10% of accounts receivable trade. The loss of any significant customer could have a material adverse impact on our business.

We sell our products primarily outside of the United States. For the years ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, 99% of our revenues were from sales to China, Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, Europe, as well as other countries located in Asia and 1% of our revenues were from customers in the United States. Risks associated with our foreign operations are also discussed under the Risk Factor. We are subject to risks associated with international operations, which may harm our business.

Additional information on the concentration of our revenues by geography, customers and markets can be found in Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2007, our sales and marketing force totaled 140 people. This number includes sales field application engineers whose role is to create reference designs and assist our customers to incorporate our integrated circuits into their products.

MANUFACTURING

Third parties with state-of-the-art fabrication equipment and technology manufacture our products. This approach enables us to focus on product design and development, minimizes capital expenditures and provides us with access to advanced manufacturing facilities. Currently, our products are primarily being fabricated, assembled or tested by Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation, Advanced Semiconductor Engineering, International Semiconductor Engineering Labs, Global Advanced Packaging Technology Co. Ltd., STATS ChipPAC Ltd., and Siliconware Precision Industries Ltd. These manufacturers assemble and test our products based on the design and test specifications we have provided. After this process has been completed, our manufacturers ship the finished products to our third party logistics subcontractors in Asia. Through these subcontractors, we then ship our finished products to OEMs or system integrators for integration into their final products. As semiconductor manufacturing technologies advance, manufacturers typically retire their older manufacturing processes in favor of newer processes. When this occurs, the manufacturer generally provides notice to its customers of its intent to discontinue a process, and its customers will either retire the affected part or design a newer version of the part that can be manufactured with the more advanced process. Consequently, our products may become unavailable from their current manufacturers if the processes on which they are produced are discontinued. Our devices are produced using 0.25, 0.18, 0.16 and 0.13 micron process technologies, which we expect to be available for the next two to three years. We must manage the transition to new parts from existing parts. We have commitments from our suppliers to provide notice of any discontinuance of their manufacturing processes in order to assist us in managing these types of product transitions.

All of our products are currently sourced such that we have only one foundry for any one semiconductor die. If required, we would secure sufficient fabrication capacity and diversify our sources of supply. Any inability of a current supplier to provide adequate capacity would require us to obtain products from alternate sources. There is a considerable amount of time required to change wafer fabrication suppliers for any single product, as well as substantial costs to bring that supplier into volume production. Should a source of a product cease to be available, we believe that this would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We have no guarantees of minimum capacity from our suppliers and are not liable for any significant minimum purchase commitments.

QUALITY ASSURANCE

Genesis strives for continuous quality improvement and consistent delivery of high quality outputs at all stages of product development, manufacturing and delivery. We are an ISO 9001 certified company. We aim to provide reliable, high quality products and services by assigning stringent checks and controls at all stages of product creation and delivery.

Our business model requires use of manufacturing subcontractors. Since we depend heavily on our subcontractors ability to meet our requirements and provide quality products, we must carefully select our subcontractors. We employ detailed processes for supplier qualification, monitoring and review to help ensure quality of our subcontractors deliverables. All our primary manufacturing subcontractors are ISO 9000 certified.

We also focus on continuous process improvement. This improvement is not limited to manufacturing and testing processes. We review our development and product planning processes in an effort to design quality into our products from the start. We also have demanding criteria for various stages of product release. Product is usually considered fit

for release to mass production only when compliance to these criteria is considered satisfactory upon formal reviews.

We use data provided by subcontractors as well as our own qualification testing in an effort to ensure that our products are reliable. This testing includes accelerated stress testing at elevated temperatures and voltages, environment testing and many other types of testing using methods which are recognized industry standards. The need for failure analysis may arise during product development or during use by a customer. We perform failure

Table of Contents

analysis of our devices using in-house and subcontracted facilities. Depending on the failure we use both non-destructive and destructive failure analysis techniques to ensure that any decisions made as a result of the failure are informed and based on quantifiable information and data.

We have also taken steps towards addressing environmental concerns. For example, we have qualified Restriction on Hazardous Substances (RoHS) compliant packaging for our products to provide our customers the option of ordering such products. In addition, we have obtained ISO 14001 (Environmental Management System) certification for our Santa Clara, California site.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND LICENSES

We protect our technology through a combination of patents, copyrights, trade secret laws, trademark registrations, confidentiality procedures and licensing arrangements. We have over 210 United States and foreign patents with additional patent applications pending. In addition to the United States, we apply for and have been granted numerous patents in other jurisdictions, including Europe, China, Singapore, Japan, Taiwan and South Korea. Our patents relate to various aspects of algorithms, product design or architectures. To supplement our proprietary technology, we also license technology from third parties.

COMPETITION

The market in which we operate is intensely competitive and is characterized by technological change, evolving industry standards and rapidly declining average selling prices. We face competition from both large and small companies, including AMD (ATI Technologies), Broadcom Corporation, LSI Corporation, Micronas Semiconductor Holding AG, Mediatek Inc., MStar Semiconductor, Inc., National Semiconductor Corporation, Novatek Microelectronics Corp., NXP Semiconductors, Pixelworks, Inc., Realtek Semiconductor Corp., Renesas Technology Corp., Silicon Image, Inc., ST Microelectronics, N.V., Trident Microsystems, Inc., and Zoran Corporation. In addition, many of our current and potential customers have their own internally developed integrated circuit solutions, and may choose not to purchase solutions from third party suppliers like Genesis. We anticipate that as the market for our products develops, our current customers may develop their own products and competition from diversified electronic and semiconductor companies will intensify. Some competitors are likely to include companies with greater financial and other resources than us. Increased competition could harm our business, by, for example, increasing pressure on our profit margins or causing us to lose customers.

We believe that the principal competitive factors in our markets are:

- image quality,
- product design features and performance,
- product price,
- the time to market of our products, and
- the quality and speed of customer support.

BACKLOG

Our customers typically order products by way of purchase orders that may be canceled or rescheduled without significant penalty. These purchase orders are subject to price negotiations and to changes in quantities of products

and delivery schedules in order to reflect changes in customer requirements and manufacturing availability. Further, some of our customers are required to post a letter of credit or pay for the goods in advance of shipment. If the customer does not provide this type of security on a timely basis, the backlog may be rescheduled or may never result in a shipped order. Historically, most of our sales have been made pursuant to short lead-time orders and delivery schedules. In addition, our actual shipments depend on the manufacturing capability of our suppliers and the availability of products from those suppliers. As a result, we operate with a modest amount of backlog for any given quarter at any given time. Therefore, we do not believe that backlog is always a meaningful indicator of our future revenues. We do, however, track revenue and backlog trends on a quarter-to-quarter basis as a means of comparing revenue at a particular date in a quarter to revenue at comparable dates in past quarters.

Table of Contents

EMPLOYEES

As of March 31, 2007, we employed a total of 595 full-time employees, including 342 in research and development, 140 in sales and marketing, 34 in manufacturing operations and quality assurance, and 79 in finance, information technology, human resources and administration. We employ a number of temporary and part-time employees and consultants on a contract basis. Our employees are not represented by a collective bargaining organization. We believe that relations with our employees are satisfactory.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business involves risks and uncertainties. You should carefully consider the risks described below, together with all of the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission in evaluating our business. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, operating results and growth prospects would likely be adversely affected. In such an event, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of your investment in our common stock. Our past financial performance should not be considered to be a reliable indicator of future performance, and investors should not use historical trends to anticipate results or trends in future periods. These risks involve forward-looking statements and our actual results may differ substantially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements.

Our quarterly revenues and operating results fluctuate significantly due to a variety of factors, which may result in volatility or a decline in our stock price.

Our historical revenues and operating results have varied significantly from quarter to quarter. Moreover, our actual or projected operating results for some quarters may not meet the expectations of stock market analysts and investors, which may cause our stock price to decline. In addition to the factors discussed elsewhere in this Risk Factors section, a number of factors may cause our revenue to fall short of our expectations or cause fluctuations in our operating results, including:

Our ability to gain and maintain design wins with our customers and ramp up new designs into production volumes;

Customer inventory levels and market share;

Growth rate of the flat-panel TV and LCD monitor markets;

Seasonal consumer demand for flat-panel TV, high definition TV (HDTV) and LCD monitors into which our products are incorporated;

Changes in the mix of products we sell, especially between our higher-priced TV/video products and our lower-priced monitor products;

Increased competition and competitive pricing pressures;

The timing of new product introductions by us and our competitors;

Availability and pricing of panels and other components for flat-panel TVs and LCD monitors;

Wafer costs and other product fabrication costs;

Foreign exchange rate fluctuations, research and development tax credits and other factors that impact tax rates; and

Changes in product costs or manufacturing yields or available production capacity at our fabrication facilities.

As a result of the fluctuation in our revenues and operating results, our stock price can be volatile, especially if our actual financial performance in a quarter deviates from the financial targets we set at the beginning of that quarter, or from market expectations.

Table of Contents

We have had significant senior management and key employee turnover, and may not be able to attract, retain and motivate the personnel we need to succeed.

In order to compete, we must attract, retain and motivate executives and other key employees, including those in managerial, technical, sales and marketing positions. We have recently experienced significant turnover in our senior management team. Several executives and other key employees have left the company, while others have joined or have been appointed to senior management roles, including the following:

In May 2006, Tzoyao Chan, our Senior Vice President, Product Development, resigned and Behrooz Yadegar joined the company as his successor.

In July 2006, Ken Murray, our Vice President, Human Resources, resigned and we appointed a successor.

In August 2006, Mohammad Tafazzoli, our Senior Vice President, Operations, resigned and we appointed a successor.

In September 2006, Hildy Shandell, our Senior Vice President, Corporate Development, joined the company.

In October 2006, Raphael Mehrbians, our Senior Vice President, Product Marketing, resigned and we appointed two Vice Presidents of Marketing, but have not yet appointed a Senior Vice President.

In May 2007, Michael Healy, our Chief Financial Officer, resigned and we have not yet appointed a successor.

In June 2007, Anders Frisk, our Executive Vice President, resigned and we have not yet appointed a successor.

In addition, we have lost key technical personnel. We have experienced, and may continue to experience, difficulty in hiring and retaining candidates with appropriate qualifications.

We may not be able to attract and retain the senior management or other key employees that we need. Competition for experienced employees in the semiconductor industry can be intense. If we cannot attract and retain the employees we need, our business could be harmed, particularly if the departure of any executive or key employee results in a business interruption or if we are not successful in preserving material knowledge of our departing employees.

We must increase our revenues and reduce our operating expenses in order to return to profitability and we may not be able to achieve profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

We were not profitable in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. Our net loss for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 was approximately \$144.3 million. As of March 31, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$141.5 million. Returning to profitability will depend in large part on our ability to generate and sustain increased revenue levels in future periods. We also need to reduce operating expenses to a level commensurate with our revenues, while successfully executing our product development strategy. As a result, we have and expect that we may continue to implement cost reductions through reductions-in-force, outsourcing, and the like. These efforts may be more costly than we expect and we may not be able to increase our revenue enough to offset our operating expenses. We may not succeed in returning to profitability and could incur losses in future periods and, even if we do return to profitability, we may not be able to maintain or increase our level of profitability. If we cannot increase our revenue at a greater rate than our expenses, we will not become profitable.

We face intense competition in our market, especially from larger, better-known companies, and we may lack sufficient financial or other resources to maintain or improve our competitive position.

The markets in which we operate are intensely competitive and are characterized by technological change, changes in customer requirements, frequent new product introductions and improvements, evolving industry standards and rapidly declining average selling prices. We expect the level of competition to increase in the future. If we are unable to respond quickly and successfully to these developments, our competitive position will be harmed, and our products or technologies may become uncompetitive or obsolete.

Table of Contents

Our chief competitors include both large and small companies, such as AMD (ATI Technologies), Broadcom Corporation, LSI Corporation, Micronas Semiconductor Holding AG, Mediatek Inc., MStar Semiconductor, Inc., National Semiconductor Corporation, Novatek Microelectronics Corp., NXP Semiconductors, Pixelworks, Inc., Realtek Semiconductor Corp., Renesas Technology Corp., Silicon Image, Inc., ST Microelectronics, N.V., Trident Microsystems, Inc., and Zoran Corporation. In addition, many of our current and potential customers have their own internally developed integrated circuit solutions, and may choose not to purchase solutions from third party merchant suppliers like Genesis. We may also face competition from start-up companies.

Some of our competitors, who may include our own customers, also include companies with greater financial and other resources than we have. Large companies may have advantages over us because of their longer operating histories, greater brand name recognition, larger customer bases or greater financial, technical and marketing resources. As a result, they may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements. They also have greater resources to devote to the promotion and sale of their products than we have. In addition, our overseas competitors have reduced cost structures that enable them to compete aggressively on price. Increased competition could harm our business, by, for example, increasing pressure on our profit margins or causing us to lose customers. Also, we have received a license from Silicon Image, Inc. for certain of their digital visual interface (DVI) patents and high definition multimedia interface (HDMI) patents, and must pay Silicon Image royalties on all of our DVI and HDMI products. This agreement, and other royalty obligations we may have, could hinder our ability to compete with unlicensed competitors that are not required to pay royalties on competing products. We may not be able to compete successfully against our current or potential competitors, especially those with significantly greater financial resources or brand name recognition.

Our failure to respond quickly to customer demand for technological improvements and integrate new features could have an adverse effect on our ability to compete.

To compete successfully, we must develop new products and improve our existing products at the same pace or ahead of our competitors. For example, in order to compete successfully in the digital television market, consumer electronics manufacturers must first select our products for incorporation into their digital televisions (giving us a so-called design win), and then we must be able to deliver those products in high volumes in a timely fashion. Manufacturers may not choose our digital television solution over our competitors' solutions. We often incur significant expenditures on the development of a new product without any assurance that our product will be selected for a design win. Even if we are chosen, the design win may not result in any significant revenues to us, since sales of our products largely depend on the commercial success of our customers' display products, and whether our customers are relying on us merely as a second source.

In addition, we need to design products for customers that continually require higher functionality at lower costs. We must, therefore, continue to add features to our products and to include these features on a single chip. The development process for these advancements is lengthy and will require us to accurately anticipate technological innovations and market trends. Developing and enhancing these products is time-consuming, costly and complex.

There is a risk that these developments and enhancements will be late, fail to meet customer or market specifications, and will not be competitive with other products using alternative technologies that offer comparable functionality. These types of events could continue have a variety of negative effects on our competitive position and our financial results, such as reducing our revenue, increasing our costs, lowering our gross margin percentage, and requiring us to recognize and record impairments of our assets.

We do not have long-term commitments from our customers, so it is difficult for us to forecast our revenues, and could result in excess inventory.

Our sales are made on the basis of purchase orders rather than long-term purchase commitments. In addition, our customers may cancel or defer purchase orders. We provide revenue guidance and manufacture our products according to our estimates of customer demand and we have limited visibility of such demand beyond one quarter. This process requires us to make multiple demand forecast assumptions, each of which may introduce errors into our estimates. If we overestimate customer demand, we may miss our revenue guidance, which could cause our stock price to decline. In addition, the timing and correction of this overestimation, could cause us to manufacture

Table of Contents

products that we may not be able to sell. As a result, we could have excess inventory, which could increase our losses. Conversely, if we underestimate customer demand or if sufficient manufacturing capacity were unavailable, we could forego revenue opportunities, lose market share and damage our customer relationships.

A limited number of our customers comprise a significant portion of our revenues and any decrease in revenue from these customers could have an adverse effect on our net revenues and operating results.

The markets for our products are highly concentrated. Our revenues are derived from a limited number of customers. Revenues from our largest five customers accounted for 52% of our revenues, with 16% of our revenues coming from our largest customer, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. This customer concentration increases the risk of quarterly fluctuations in our revenues and operating results. Any downturn in the business of our key customers or potential new customers could have a negative impact on our sales to such customers, which could adversely affect our net revenues and results of operations. We expect that a small number of customers will continue to account for a large amount of our revenues. The decision by any large customer to decrease or cease using our products would harm our business. For example, during fiscal year 2007, we lost significant TV designs with one of our largest customers. This loss is expected to negatively impact our revenue until we are able to regain designs with that customer or other customers.

In addition, several of our customers sell to a limited number of original equipment manufacturers (OEMs). The decision by any large OEM to decrease or cease using our customer's products could, in turn, cause our customer to decrease or cease buying from us. Most of our sales are made on the basis of purchase orders rather than long-term agreements so that any customer could cease purchasing products at any time without penalty.

Our success will depend on the growth of the market for flat-panel televisions and LCD monitors, and our customers' commercial success in those markets.

Our ability to generate revenues depends on the growth of the market for flat-panel televisions, digital televisions and LCD computer monitors. Since we do not sell to every manufacturer in those markets, our revenues also depend on how well our customers perform in those markets. To the extent that our customers' share of the flat panel television, LCD monitor or digital television markets declines or does not grow, the sales of our products will be negatively impacted. In addition, our growth will also depend upon emerging markets for consumer electronics such as HDTV. The potential size of these markets and the timing of their development are uncertain and will depend in particular upon:

A continued reduction in the costs of products in the respective markets;

The availability, at a reasonable price, of components required by such products (such as LCD panels); and

The emergence of competing technologies and standards.

These and other potential markets may not develop as expected, which would harm our business.

Our success may depend in part on market adoption of the DisplayPort digital interface standard.

The DisplayPort digital display interface, which is based on technology developed by Genesis and is expected to be used in our products, is a new interface standard that has yet to achieve widespread adoption. DisplayPort is an alternative to older, established interconnect standards such as DVI, and therefore could face significant obstacles to adoption. In addition, other new standards may be introduced which could impact DisplayPort's success. If DisplayPort does not achieve market adoption in the computer and/or consumer electronics industry, our ability to

generate revenue from DisplayPort-based products would be limited.

Our customers experience fluctuating product cycles and seasonality, which causes their sales to fluctuate.

Our products are incorporated into flat-panel and CRT displays. Because the market for flat-panel displays is characterized by numerous new product introductions, our operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter. Our customers also experience seasonality in the sales of their products, which affects their orders of our

Table of Contents

products. Typically, the second half of the calendar year represents a disproportionate percentage of sales for our customers due to the holiday shopping period for consumer electronics products, and therefore, a disproportionate percentage of our sales. Also, our sales in the first quarter of the calendar year may be lower as a result of the Chinese New Year holiday in Asia. We expect these sales fluctuations to continue for the foreseeable future.

We must sell our current products in greater volumes, or introduce new products with improved margins.

Average selling prices for our products have declined in the past, in many cases significantly, and we expect them to continue to decline in the future. When average selling prices decline, our revenues decline unless we are able to sell more units, and our gross margin dollars decline unless we are able to reduce our manufacturing and/or other supply chain costs by a commensurate amount. We, therefore, need to sell our current products in greater volumes to offset the decline in their ASPs, and introduce new products that have improved gross margins.

Our semiconductor products are complex and are difficult to manufacture cost-effectively.

Manufacturing semiconductor products is a complex process. It is often difficult for semiconductor foundries to achieve acceptable product yields. Product yields depend on both our product design and the manufacturing process technology unique to the semiconductor foundry. Since low yields may result from either design or process difficulties, identifying yield problems may occur well into the production cycle, when a product exists which can be physically analyzed and tested. Low yields negatively impact our gross margins and our financial results.

Product quality problems could increase our costs, cause customer claims, and delay our product shipments.

Although we test our products, they are complex and may contain defects and errors. In the past, we have encountered defects and errors in our products. Delivery of products with defects or reliability, quality or compatibility problems may damage our reputation and our ability to retain existing customers and attract new customers. In addition, product defects and errors could result in additional development costs, diversion of technical resources, delayed product shipments, increased product returns, and product liability claims against us which may not be covered by insurance. Any of these could harm our business.

We rely on distribution partners to sell our products, and disruptions to or our failure to effectively develop these channels could adversely affect our ability to generate revenues from the sale of our products.

We derive a substantial percentage of our total revenues from sales by distributors of our products. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007, revenues and sales through distributors represented approximately 22% of our total revenue. We expect that our revenues will continue to depend, in part, on the performance of these distributors. We do not expect to have any long-term contracts or minimum purchase commitments with any of our distributors. In addition, our distributors may sell products that are competitive with ours, may devote more resources to those competitive products and may cease selling our products altogether. The distributors through whom we sell our products may not be successful in selling our products for reasons beyond our control. If any of the foregoing occurs, our operating results will suffer.

We subcontract our manufacturing, assembly and test operations.

We do not have our own fabrication facilities, assembly or testing operations. Instead, we rely on others to fabricate, assemble and test all of our products. We do not have any long-term supply contracts with any of these suppliers. Most of our products use silicon wafers manufactured by Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation. If we were required to obtain silicon wafers from other manufacturers, we could experience a material increase in the price we must pay for silicon wafers. There are many risks associated with our dependence upon outside manufacturing,

including:

Lack of adequate capacity during periods of excess demand;

Table of Contents

Increased manufacturing cost or the unavailability of product in the event that manufacturing capacity becomes constrained;

Reduced control over manufacturing and delivery schedules of products;

Reduced control over quality assurance and reliability;

Difficulty of managing manufacturing costs and quantities;

Potential misappropriation of intellectual property; and

Political or environmental risks (including earthquake and other natural disasters) in Taiwan, where the manufacturing facilities are located.

We depend upon outside manufacturers to fabricate silicon wafers on which our integrated circuits are imprinted. These wafers must be of acceptable quality and in sufficient quantity and the manufacturers must deliver them to assembly and testing subcontractors on time for packaging into final products. We have, at times, experienced delivery delays, long manufacturing lead times and product quality issues. These manufacturers fabricate, test and assemble products for other companies. We cannot be sure that our manufacturers will devote adequate resources to the production of our products or deliver sufficient quantities of finished products to us on time or at an acceptable cost. The lead-time necessary to establish strategic relationships with new manufacturing partners is considerable. We would be unable to readily obtain an alternative source of supply for any of our products if this proves necessary. Any occurrence of these manufacturing difficulties could harm our business or cause us to incur costs to obtain adequate and timely supply of products.

Our products require licenses of third-party technology that may not be available to us on reasonable terms, or at all.

We license technology from third parties that is incorporated into our products. Future products or product enhancements may require additional third-party licenses, which may not be available to us on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Third-party licenses may impact our gross margins. We also license third-party intellectual property in order to comply with display technology standards. For example, we signed the DVI Adopters Agreement and the HDMI Adopters Agreement in order to obtain a license to those standards. However, even though we licensed the DVI technology, Silicon Image, Inc., one of the promoters of the DVI standard, sued us for allegedly infringing certain DVI patents. In December 2006, we entered into a royalty-bearing Settlement and License Agreement with Silicon Image. If we are unable to obtain third-party licenses required to develop new products and product enhancements, or to comply with applicable standards, we could be at competitive disadvantage.

Because of the lengthy sales cycles for our products and the fixed nature of a significant portion of our expenses, we may incur substantial expenses before we earn associated revenue and may not ultimately achieve our forecasted sales for our products.

Because our products are based on new technology and standards, a lengthy sales process, typically requiring several months or more, is often required before potential customers begin the technical evaluation of our products. This technical evaluation can then exceed nine months and it may take an additional nine months before a customer commences volume shipments of systems that incorporate our products. However, even when a manufacturer decides to design our products into its systems, the manufacturer may never ship systems incorporating our products. Given our lengthy sales cycle, we experience a delay between the time we increase expenditures for research and

development, sales and marketing efforts and inventory and the time we generate revenues, if any, from these expenditures. These long cycles, as well as our expectation that customers will tend to sporadically place large orders with short lead times, may cause revenues and operating results to vary significantly and unexpectedly from quarter to quarter. As a result, our business could be harmed if a significant customer reduces or delays its orders or chooses not to release products incorporating our products. Given our customer concentration and our lengthy sales cycle, the loss or decline in volume of one or several key customers could have a material impact on our revenue for a sustained period of time.

Table of Contents

We are subject to risks associated with international operations, which may harm our business.

We depend on product design groups located outside of the United States, primarily in Canada and India. We also rely on foreign third-party manufacturing, assembly and testing operations. These foreign operations subject us to a number of risks associated with conducting business outside of the United States, including the following:

Unexpected changes in, or impositions of, legislative or regulatory requirements;

Delays resulting from difficulty in obtaining export licenses for certain technology, tariffs, quotas and other trade barriers and restrictions;

Imposition of additional taxes and penalties;

The burdens of complying with a variety of foreign laws; and

Other factors beyond our control, including acts of terrorism, which may delay the shipment of our products, impair our ability to travel or our ability to communicate with foreign locations.

In addition, the laws of certain foreign countries in which our products are or may be designed, manufactured or sold may not protect our products or intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. This increases the possibility of piracy of our technology and products.

Our multi-jurisdictional tax structure is complex and we could be subject to increased taxation.

We conduct business operations in a number of countries and are subject to taxation in those jurisdictions. We develop our tax position based upon the anticipated nature and structure of our business and the tax laws, administrative practices and judicial decisions now in effect in the countries in which we have assets or conduct business, all of which are subject to change or differing interpretations. We are also subject to audit by local tax authorities which could result in additional tax expense in future periods. Any increase in our income tax expense could adversely impact on our future earnings and cash flows.

In addition, some of our subsidiaries provide products and services to, and may undertake significant transactions with, our other subsidiaries that are incorporated in different jurisdictions. Some of these jurisdictions have tax laws with detailed transfer pricing rules which require that all transactions with non-resident related parties be priced using arm's-length pricing principles. International transfer pricing is a complex area of taxation and generally involves a significant degree of judgment. If international taxation authorities successfully challenge our transfer pricing policies, our income tax expense may be adversely affected.

Most of our revenues will come from sales to customers outside of the United States, which creates additional business risks.

Most of our revenues come from sales to customers outside of the United States, particularly to equipment manufacturers located in South Korea, China, Europe, Japan and Taiwan. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007, sales to regions outside of the United States represented 99% of revenues. For that same period, sales to China and South Korea alone constituted 39% and 25%, respectively. These sales are subject to numerous risks, including:

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates, tariffs, import restrictions and other trade barriers;

Unexpected changes in regulatory requirements;

Political and economic instability;

Exposure to litigation or government investigations in these countries;

Longer payment periods;

Ability to enforce contracts or payment terms;

Potentially adverse tax consequences;

Table of Contents

Export license requirements; and

Unexpected changes in diplomatic and trade relationships.

Because our sales are denominated in U.S. dollars, increases in the value of the U.S. dollar could increase the price of our products in non-U.S. markets and may make our products more expensive than competitors' products denominated in local currencies.

The cyclical nature of the semiconductor industry may lead to significant variances in the demand for our products.

In the past, significant downturns and wide fluctuations in supply and demand have characterized the semiconductor industry. Also, the industry has experienced significant fluctuations in anticipation of changes in general economic conditions, including economic conditions in Asia. These cycles have led to significant variances in product demand and production capacity. They have also accelerated the erosion of average selling prices per unit. We may experience periodic fluctuations in our future financial results because of changes in industry-wide conditions.

We have in the past and may in the future engage in acquisitions of companies, products or technologies, which involve numerous risks and the anticipated benefits of any acquisitions we make may never be realized.

Our growth is dependent upon our ability to enhance our existing products and introduce new products on a timely basis. One of the ways we may address the need to develop new products is through acquisitions of other companies or technologies, such as our prior acquisitions of Sage and the assets of VM Labs. These acquisitions and potential future acquisitions involve numerous risks, including the following:

We may experience difficulty in assimilating the acquired operations and employees;

We may be unable to retain the key employees of the acquired operations;

The acquisitions may disrupt our ongoing business;

We may not be able to incorporate successfully the acquired technologies and operations into our business and maintain uniform standards, controls, policies and procedures;

We may lack the experience to enter into new markets, products or technologies; and

An acquisition we choose to pursue may require a significant amount of capital, which limits our ability to pursue other strategic opportunities.

Acquisitions of high-technology companies are inherently risky, and recent or potential future acquisitions may not be successful and may adversely affect our business, operating results or financial condition. We must also maintain our ability to manage growth effectively. Failure to manage growth effectively and successfully integrate acquisitions made by us could materially harm our business and operating results.

Intellectual property infringement suits brought against us or our customers may significantly harm our business.

We defended and settled claims brought against us by Silicon Image, Inc., alleging that certain of our products that contain digital receivers infringe various Silicon Image patent claims. In addition, IP Innovation LLC has sued

Toshiba Corporation and other companies that incorporate our products into their displays, alleging patent infringement by certain consumer and professional electronics products, including some that contain our display controller products. These lawsuits, or any future patent infringement lawsuits, could subject us to permanent injunctions preventing us from selling the accused products and/or cause us to incur significant costs, including defense costs, settlements and judgments. In addition, as a result of this lawsuit or any future patent infringement lawsuits, our existing customers may decide to stop buying our products, and prospective customers may be unwilling to buy our products.

Table of Contents

Intellectual property lawsuits, regardless of their success, are time-consuming and expensive to resolve and divert management time and attention.

In addition, if we are unsuccessful and our products (or our customers' monitors or televisions that contain our products) are found to infringe the intellectual property rights of others, we could be forced to do one or more of the following:

Stop selling the products or using the technology that are allegedly infringing;

Attempt to obtain a license to the relevant intellectual property, which license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all;

Incur substantial costs including defense costs, settlements and/or judgments; and

Attempt to redesign those products that are allegedly infringing.

As a result, intellectual property litigation could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, financial results and market share.

We may be required to indemnify our customers against claims of intellectual property infringement.

From time to time, we enter into agreements with our customers that contain indemnification provisions for claims based on infringement of third party intellectual property rights. As a result, if such a claim based on our products is made against an indemnified customer, we may be required under our indemnification obligations to defend or settle the litigation, and/or to reimburse that customer for its costs, including defense costs, settlements and judgments.

From time to time, we receive requests for indemnification from customers with whom we do not have indemnification agreements. We may also be subject to claims for indemnification under statutory or common law. Patent litigation and any indemnification obligations we may have could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, financial results and market share, and could result in significant payments by us that could have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

We may be unable to adequately protect our intellectual property. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as non-disclosure agreements and other methods to protect our proprietary technologies.

We have been issued patents and have pending United States and foreign patent applications. Our patents may be subject to challenges, may not be broad enough to protect our technology, or could be invalidated or circumvented. If we are not successful in obtaining the patent protection we need, our competitors may be able to replicate our technology and compete more effectively against us. The legal protections described above afford only limited protection. It is possible that we may also have to resort to litigation to enforce and protect our copyrights, trademarks, patents and trade secrets, which litigation could be costly and a diversion of management resources. In addition, it is possible that existing or future patents, or even court rulings in our favor regarding our patents, may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products, or otherwise attempt to obtain and use our intellectual property or develop similar technology independently or design around our patents. Monitoring unauthorized use of our products is difficult, and the steps we have taken may not prevent unauthorized use of our technology, particularly in foreign countries where effective patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret protection may be unavailable or may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States.

We need to continually evaluate internal financial controls against evolving standards.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and other rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the National Association of Securities Dealers impose duties on us and our executives, directors, attorneys and independent registered public accountants. In order to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and other rules and regulations, we have evaluated our internal controls systems that require management to report on, and our independent auditors to attest to, our internal controls. As a result, we have incurred additional expenses for internal and outside legal, accounting and advisory services, which have increased our operating expenses and accordingly

Table of Contents

reduced our net income or increased our net losses. In addition, our Chief Financial Officer resigned in May 2007 and we have otherwise experienced significant turnover in our senior management as more fully described under the risk factor entitled "We have had significant senior management and key employee turnover, and may not be able to attract, retain and motivate the personnel we need to succeed." If we are not able to maintain internal controls over financial reporting in light of the significant senior management and key employee turnover, we may not be able to meet the requirements of Section 404. While we have met the requirements of Section 404 including the evaluation, documentation and testing of internal controls for the year ended March 31, 2007, we cannot be certain as to the future outcome of our testing and resulting remediation actions or the impact of the same on our operations. We have an ongoing program to perform the system and process evaluation and testing necessary to comply with these requirements and we expect to continue to incur significant expenses in connection with this process. In the event that our Chief Executive Officer, Principal Accounting Officer or independent registered public accounting firm determine in the future that our internal controls over financial reporting are not effective as defined under Section 404, investor perceptions may be adversely affected and could cause a decline in the market price of our stock. In addition, current regulatory standards are subject to change, and additional standards may be imposed.

We may become subject to judgments for securities class action suits.

We have been a defendant in a securities class action suit. In March 2006, Genesis and the plaintiff signed an agreement to settle the lawsuit, and in December 2006, the court issued a final judgment approving the settlement and dismissing the case with prejudice. However, we may be subject to future securities class action suits, which could subject us to judgments in excess of our insurance coverage and could harm our business. In addition, this kind of lawsuit, regardless of its outcome, is likely to be time-consuming and expensive to resolve and may divert management time and resources.

A breakdown in our information technology systems could cause a business interruption, impair our ability to manage our business or report results, or result in the unauthorized disclosure of our confidential and proprietary information.

Our information technology systems could suffer a sudden breakdown as a result of factors beyond our control, such as earthquakes, insecure connections or problems with our outside consultants who provide information technology services to us. If our information technology systems were to fail and we were not able to gain timely access to adequate alternative systems or back-up information, this could have a negative impact on our ability to operate and manage our business and to report results in a timely manner. Also, any breach of our information systems by an unauthorized third party could result in our confidential information being made public or being used by a competitor, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to realize the potential of our proprietary rights.

General economic conditions may reduce our revenues and harm our business.

As our business has grown, we have become increasingly subject to the risks arising from adverse changes in domestic and global economic conditions. During times of economic slowdown, many industries may delay or reduce technology purchases. As a result, if economic conditions in the United States, Asia or Europe worsen, or if a wider or global economic slowdown occurs, reduced orders and shipments may cause us to fall short of our revenue expectations for any given period and may result in us carrying increased inventory. These conditions would negatively affect our business and results of operations. If our inventory builds up as a result of order postponement, we would carry excess inventory that is either unusable or that must be sold at reduced prices which will harm our revenues and gross margins. In addition, weakness in the technology market could negatively affect the cash flow of our customers who could, in turn, delay paying their obligations to us. This would increase our credit risk exposure, which could harm our financial condition.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease offices in Santa Clara and San Jose, California; Thornhill, Ontario, Canada; Bangalore, India; Taipei, Taiwan; Seoul, South Korea; Singapore; Shenzhen, China; Tokyo, Japan and Izmir, Turkey. We believe our existing facilities are adequate to meet our needs for the immediate future and that future growth can be accomplished by leasing additional or alternative space on commercially reasonable terms. Further information on our lease commitments can be found in Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not a party to any material legal proceedings.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS****MARKET INFORMATION**

Our common stock trades on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol GNSS. We have not listed our stock on any other markets or exchanges. The following table shows the high and low closing prices for our common stock as reported by the Nasdaq Global Market:

| | High | Low |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| Fiscal 2006 | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 19.25 | \$ 13.32 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 27.16 | \$ 18.15 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 23.13 | \$ 17.07 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 22.40 | \$ 17.04 |
| Fiscal 2007 | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 17.37 | \$ 11.10 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 14.78 | \$ 9.89 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 12.15 | \$ 9.69 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 10.11 | \$ 7.67 |

As of June 1, 2007, we had approximately 164 common stockholders of record. Many of our shares of common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of our stockholders. Based on our proxy mailing from 2006, we estimate the total number of stockholders represented by these record holders to be at approximately 12,800.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain our earnings for use in our business and therefore we do not anticipate declaring or paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents**STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

The following performance graph compares the percentage change in the cumulative total stockholder return on shares of our common stock with the cumulative total return for:

- a group of our peer corporations, comprising the Nasdaq Electronic Components Stocks; and
- the Total Return Index for The Nasdaq Stock Market (US and Foreign).

This comparison covers the period from March 31, 2002 to March 31, 2007, the last trading date in our 2007 fiscal year. It assumes \$100 was invested on March 31, 2002 in shares of our common stock, our peer corporations and The Nasdaq Stock Market, and assumes reinvestment of dividends, if any.

The Nasdaq Electronic Components Stocks consists of all corporations traded on The Nasdaq Stock Market with 367 as their primary standard industrial classification number. The Total Return Index for The Nasdaq Stock Market (US and Foreign) comprises all ADRs, domestic shares, and foreign common shares traded on The Nasdaq Global Market and The Nasdaq Small Cap Market, excluding preferred shares, rights and warrants.

Comparative chart

| Date | Genesis | Peer Group | Total Nasdaq Return |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| March 31, 2002 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| March 31, 2003 | 48.00 | 57.68 | 73.00 |
| March 31, 2004 | 64.42 | 100.59 | 108.68 |
| March 31, 2005 | 55.58 | 80.46 | 109.67 |
| March 31, 2006 | 65.54 | 91.41 | 129.54 |
| March 31, 2007 | 35.73 | 89.54 | 134.98 |

Information used on this graph was obtained from Nasdaq. Although we believe the information to be accurate, we are not responsible for any errors or omissions. This chart is not soliciting material. It is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and it is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

Selected consolidated financial data for the last five fiscal years appears below (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Year Ended March 31, | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| DATA: | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 214,617 | \$ 269,506 | \$ 204,115 | \$ 213,420 | \$ 194,325 |
| Cost of revenues(2) | 126,281 | 153,039 | 125,394 | 134,735 | 127,110 |
| Gross profit | 88,336 | 116,467 | 78,721 | 78,685 | 67,215 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Research and development(2) | 64,497 | 48,700 | 41,534 | 38,552 | 39,895 |
| Selling, general and administrative(2) | 65,223 | 48,698 | 45,619 | 47,126 | 47,042 |
| Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets(1) | 101,001 | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | 230,721 | 97,398 | 87,153 | 85,678 | 86,937 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (142,385) | 19,069 | (8,432) | (6,993) | (19,722) |
| Interest and other income, net | 12,259 | 5,403 | 1,939 | 1,725 | 946 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (130,126) | 24,472 | (6,493) | (5,268) | (18,776) |
| Provision for (recovery of) income taxes | 14,215 | 6,082 | 2,954 | (1,063) | (4,140) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (144,341) | \$ 18,390 | \$ (9,447) | \$ (4,205) | \$ (14,636) |
| Earnings (loss) per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (3.95) | \$ 0.53 | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.47) |
| Diluted | \$ (3.95) | \$ 0.50 | \$ (0.29) | \$ (0.13) | \$ (0.47) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 36,514 | 34,909 | 33,084 | 31,876 | 31,248 |
| Diluted | 36,514 | 36,877 | 33,084 | 31,876 | 31,248 |

(1) See Notes 5 & 6 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

(2) Effective April 1, 2006 we adopted statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this report.

| | March 31, | | | | |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |

BALANCE SHEETS DATA:

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$ 188,250 | \$ 185,379 | \$ 129,757 | \$ 118,222 | \$ 113,138 |
| Working capital | 202,108 | 204,518 | 156,411 | 147,651 | 130,831 |
| Total assets | 351,714 | 479,677 | 416,292 | 410,726 | 402,654 |
| Stockholders equity | 323,369 | 439,423 | 389,496 | 386,855 | 373,833 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including statements regarding anticipated revenues, gross margins, operating expenses, amortization of intangibles and stock-based compensation, liquidity and cash flow, business strategy, demand for our products, average selling prices, regional market growth, amount of sales to distributors and future competition. Words such as anticipates, expects, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates and similar expressions identify such forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Factors which could cause actual results to differ materially include those set forth in the following discussion, and, in particular, the risks discussed below under the subheading Risk Factors and in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Unless required by law, we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements.

We begin Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) with a general discussion of our target markets, the nature of our products, and some of the business issues we are facing as a company. Next, we address the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates that we believe are important to understanding the assumptions and judgments incorporated in our reported financial results. We then discuss our Results of Operations for the year ended March 31, 2007, or fiscal 2007, compared to fiscal 2006 and 2005, and corresponding quarterly information for fiscal 2007 and 2006 as viewed through the eyes of Management. Lastly, we provide an analysis of changes in our balance sheet and cash flows, and discuss our financial commitments. This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K/A. Dollars are in thousands unless otherwise noted.

OVERVIEW

We develop and market image-processing and image enhancing solutions. We design, develop and market integrated circuits that receive and process digital video and graphic images. We also supply reference boards and designs that incorporate our software and proprietary integrated circuits, or chips. Our products are primarily used in large-area liquid crystal displays (LCDs). These displays may be used in desktop monitor applications or other types of display devices, including LCD TVs, Plasma TVs, Rear Projection TVs, Digital CRT TVs, DVD players and AVRs (Audio/Video Receivers).

We generate the majority of our revenue by selling our image-processing solutions to the manufacturers of LCD monitors, flat panel displays and television sets. We outsource the manufacturing of our products to large semiconductor manufacturers, thereby eliminating the need for capital-intensive plant and equipment. Our most significant cash operating expense is labor, with our workforce employed in research and development of new products and technologies and in marketing, sales, customer support, and distribution of our products.

Our primary target end-markets are LCD computer monitors and flat panel televisions. We also design products that serve both applications, so-called multi-function monitors, and it is difficult to distinguish between a monitor with television capability and a television with a PC input. Both of these display devices could use the same Genesis chip. Similarly, we supply certain customers with chips originally designed for an LCD computer monitor that the customer may use in flat panel televisions. We assist customers in developing their designs. Typically, a TV design will take substantially more time and support from our software application and field application engineers than a monitor design, increasing our costs during a customer's pre-production period.

The growth in our target markets is limited by the industry's capacity to supply LCD panels or other digital displays. Furthermore, the availability of LCD panels from time to time has been constrained, causing unexpected increases in the cost of LCD panels to our customers, thus resulting in customers rapidly changing their demand expectations for our products. Our products usually represent less than two percent of the average retail cost of a standard flat panel TV today, while the cost of the LCD panel within a LCD computer monitor or flat panel TV represents the majority of the cost of the finished product. The increase in production volumes of larger size LCD panels in new fabrication facilities coming on line over the next few years is expected to result in lower-cost panels

Table of Contents

and hence lower average selling prices of the end product. We believe retail prices for televisions will continue to decline and we expect this trend to lead to an increase in demand for display controllers.

The LCD computer monitor and flat panel TV industries are very competitive and growth industries like ours tend to attract new entrants. The average selling prices of monitor display controllers, in spite of increased functionality, have declined by more than 40% over the past two fiscal years. Our strategy is to lead the market by integrating new features and functions and by providing the highest image quality at a cost-effective price. Our goal is to deliver the desired feature-rich image quality through relationships with customers, patented technologies, effective chip design, software capabilities, and customer support. We also strive to generate profitability by reducing product cost through efficient chip design and driving costs down throughout our supply chain.

Sales to distributors comprised approximately 22% of revenue for the year ended March 31, 2007. We are also using distributor relationships to enable us to increase our market penetration of smaller customers with minimal incremental direct customer support.

Average selling prices and product margins of our products are typically highest during the initial periods following product introduction and decline over time and as volume increases.

Part of our overall strategy is to develop intellectual property that is used in our integrated circuits. We have and will continue to defend our intellectual property rights against those companies that may use our technology without the proper authorization. At times we may enter into agreements that allow customers or other companies to license our patented technology.

Revenue Recognition

Genesis recognizes revenue primarily from semiconductor product sales to customers when a contract is established, the price is determined, shipment is made and collectibility is reasonably assured. Genesis has also periodically entered into license agreements and recognizes royalty revenue. Product sales to distributors may be subject to agreements having a right of return on termination of the distributor relationship. Revenue, and related cost of revenues from sales to distributors, is deferred until the distributors resell the product, verified by point-of-sale reports. At the time of shipment to distributors, we record a trade receivable for the selling price, relieve inventory of the value of the product shipped and record the gross margin as deferred revenue, a component of accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet. In certain circumstances, where orders are placed with non-cancelable/non-return terms, we recognize revenue upon shipment. Reserves for sales returns and allowances are recorded at the time of recognizing revenue. To date, we have not experienced significant product returns.

Manufacturing and Supply

We generally need to place purchase orders for products before we receive purchase orders from our customers. This is because production lead times for silicon wafers and substrates, from which our products are manufactured, can be as long as three to four months, while many of our customers place orders only one month or less in advance of their requested delivery date. We have agreements with suppliers in Asia such that we are dependent on the suppliers manufacturing yields. We continue to review and, where feasible, establish alternative sources of supply to reduce our reliance on individual key suppliers and reduce lead times, though dual sourcing for specific products sometimes is more costly due to initial set-up costs and lower initial yields as each new manufacturing supplier ramps up production. While we have frequent communication with significant customers to review their requirements, we are restricted in our ability to react to fluctuations in demand for our products, which exposes us to the risk of having either too much or not enough of a particular product. We regularly evaluate the carrying value of inventory held. For the year ended March 31, 2007, we recorded reserves totaling \$2,293 for inventory for which we did not foresee

sufficient demand to support the carrying value or where the market price was less than our actual cost.

Global Operations

We operate through subsidiaries and offices in several countries throughout the world. Our head office is located in Santa Clara (Silicon Valley), California. Our research and development resources are located in the

Table of Contents

United States, Canada and India. The majority of our customers are located in Asia, supported by our sales offices in China, Germany, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan and Turkey. Our third party suppliers are located primarily in Taiwan. Although all of our revenues and virtually all of our costs of revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, portions of our operating expenses are denominated in foreign currencies. Accordingly, our operating results are affected by changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and those currencies. Any future strengthening of those currencies against the U.S. dollar could negatively impact our operating results by increasing our operating expenses as measured in U.S. dollars.

We do not currently engage in any hedging or other transactions intended to manage the risks relating to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, other than natural hedges that occur as a result of holding both assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. Our operating expenses are also affected by changes in the rate of inflation in the various countries in which we operate.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. As described below, significant estimates are used in determining the allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory obsolescence provision, deferred tax asset valuation, potential settlements and costs associated with patent litigation, royalty obligations to third parties and the useful lives of intangible assets. We evaluate our estimates on an on-going basis, including those related to product returns, bad debts, inventories, investments, intangible assets, income taxes, warranty and royalty obligations, litigation and other contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements:

We record estimated reductions to revenue for customer returns based on historical experience. A customer has a right to return products only if the product is faulty or upon termination of a distributor agreement, although in certain circumstances we agree to accept returns if replacement orders are placed for other products or to maintain our business relationship. If actual customer returns increase, we may be required to recognize additional reductions to revenue.

We record the estimated future cost of replacing faulty product as an increase to cost of revenues. To date we have not experienced significant returns related to quality. If returns increase as a result of changes in product quality, we may be required to recognize additional warranty expense.

We maintain allowances for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments and other disputes. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. We have not suffered any significant loss in this area.

We provide for inventory obsolescence reserves against our inventory for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than

those we project, additional inventory valuation reserves may be required.

Commencing April 1, 2006, we account for stock-based compensation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, stock based compensation is estimated at the grant date based on the award's fair-value as calculated by the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and is recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service period. The Black-Scholes model requires various judgmental assumptions including volatility, and expected option life. In addition, share-based compensation expense is adjusted to reflect

Table of Contents

estimated forfeiture rates. If any of the assumptions change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period.

We provide for costs associated with settling litigation when we believe that we have a reasonable basis for estimating those costs. If actual costs associated with settling litigation differ from our estimates, we may be required to recognize additional costs.

Goodwill, which represents the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired in business combinations, is tested annually for impairment or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. The impairment tests are performed in accordance with FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. Accordingly, an impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. This determination is made at the reporting unit level. We have assigned all goodwill to a single, enterprise-level reporting unit. The impairment test consists of two steps. First, we determine the fair value of the reporting unit. The fair value is then compared to its carrying amount. Second, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for any excess of the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill would be determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation in accordance with FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, *Business Combinations*. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill. We perform our annual impairment test on January 1st of each year.

As a result of an impairment review that was performed in December 2006, the Company recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$97,576 in fiscal 2007. We did not record any goodwill impairment charges in fiscal 2006 or 2005. Goodwill balances may also be affected by changes in other estimates, for example, related to the ability to utilize acquired tax benefits, made at the time of acquisitions.

We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that we believe is more likely than not to be realized. The Company has, and expects to continue to provide a valuation allowance on future tax benefits in certain jurisdictions until it can demonstrate a sustained level of profitability that establishes its ability to utilize the assets in the jurisdictions that the assets relate.

From time to time, we incur costs related to potential merger activities. When we assess that we will be the acquirer for accounting purposes in such transactions and we expect to complete the transaction, direct costs associated with the acquisition are deferred and form part of the final purchase price. In the event these assessments change, any such deferred costs would be expensed. Costs associated with other merger activities are expensed as incurred.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Please refer to Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this report.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*****Revenue and Gross Profit***

| | Year Ended March 31 | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 214,617 | \$ 269,506 | \$ 204,115 |
| Gross profit | 88,336 | 116,467 | 78,721 |
| Gross profit percentage | 41.2% | 43.2% | 38.6% |
| Revenue by geography: | | | |
| United States | \$ 1,180 | \$ 3,493 | \$ 8,803 |
| China | 83,707 | 115,016 | 78,167 |
| Japan | 24,005 | 27,356 | 15,289 |
| South Korea | 53,256 | 51,487 | 52,871 |
| Taiwan | 20,307 | 28,704 | 28,824 |
| Europe | 24,705 | 31,131 | 13,334 |
| Rest of world | 7,457 | 12,319 | 6,827 |
| Total revenue | \$ 214,617 | \$ 269,506 | \$ 204,115 |

Revenue

Total revenue for the year ended March 31, 2007 decreased by 20% to \$214,617 from \$269,506 for the year ended March 31, 2006, which in turn represented an increase of 5.1% from \$204,115 for the year ended March 31, 2005. The revenue decline in fiscal 2007 is attributable to a decrease in unit shipments of 10% to 56.1 million units from 62.6 million units in fiscal 2006, as well as the declining average selling prices (ASPs) of 11%.

Our products are designed for multiple applications. Therefore, we must estimate whether the chips we have sold are used in LCD monitors or flat-panel televisions. Estimated revenue from monitor controllers and licensing decreased to \$85,904 for the year ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$117,277 for the fiscal year 2006, due to lower unit shipments and ASP declines of 17%. Our estimate of unit shipments into digital televisions and other related video devices decreased by 7% year over year, and estimated revenue from this market decreased 15% to \$128,713. During the year ended March 31, 2007, we estimate that approximately 60% of total revenue was from TV and video products, compared with 57% for the year ended March 31, 2006.

During fiscal 2007, we lost significant designs with some of our largest customers. These losses are expected to negatively impact our revenue until we are able to regain designs with those customers or other customers. We continue to ship the majority of our product to customers located in Asia, and we expect most of our revenue to come from this region in the future, especially China.

Gross Profit

Gross profit for the year ended March 31, 2007 was \$88,336, representing a decrease of approximately 24% compared with the year ended March 31, 2006 gross profit of \$116,467, which in turn represented an increase of 48% from

\$78,721 for the year ended March 31, 2005. Gross profit represented 41.2% of revenue for the fiscal year 2007, compared with 43.2% for the fiscal year 2006 and 38.6% for fiscal year 2005. The decrease in gross profit percentage is mainly due to increased pricing pressures on our products, an increase in inventory reserves for fiscal 2007 and the impact of the fixed component of cost of sales at lower levels of revenue, partially offset by royalty revenue received in the current year and no amortization of acquired developed product technology in fiscal 2007. We expect continued pressure on our gross margins , due to ongoing pricing pressures and changes in the revenue mix to lower margin products.

Table of Contents**OPERATING EXPENSES*****Research and Development***

| | Year Ended March 31 | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Research and development | \$ 64,497 | \$ 48,700 | \$ 41,534 |
| Research and development as a percentage of revenue | 30.0% | 18.1% | 20.3% |

Research and development expenses include costs associated with research and development personnel, application engineers, development tools, hardware and software licenses, prototyping and the amortization of acquired intangibles.

Research and development expenses for the year ended March 31, 2007 were \$64,497, compared with \$48,700 in fiscal 2006 and \$41,534 in fiscal 2005. These annual increases are a reflection of the continued investment in the research and development of technologies addressing the television and video markets, especially the digital TV market and other related technologies, such as DisplayPort, a new digital interconnect standard, MCTi[™] by Faroudja, our motion compensation technology, and our universal demodulator technology for our DTV products. In addition, the mix of spending has changed, as we devote increasing resources to improving performance and integration of the more complex multimedia and video applications, especially digital TV technologies, while the focus within the monitor applications has moved more towards technologies supporting multi-function monitors. Genesis's move towards lower geometry processes, including 0.13 micron and lower, for its highly integrated SOC digital TV chips has also increased research and development spending.

The increase in research and development expenses is also due to an increase in stock-based compensation charges primarily due to the adoption of FAS 123R in fiscal 2007, higher labor-related costs due to increased headcount and increased IP consulting costs, partially offset by a decrease in the amortization of acquired intangibles. Research and development expenses include stock-based compensation charges of \$8,454 in fiscal 2007, \$421 in fiscal 2006 and \$1,941 in fiscal 2005.

Selling, General and Administrative

| | Year Ended March 31 | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 65,223 | \$ 48,698 | \$ 45,619 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue | 30.4% | 18.1% | 22.3% |

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of personnel and related overhead costs for selling, including field application engineers, product marketing, marketing communications, customer support, finance, human resources, legal costs (including settlement fees), IT, public company costs related, but not limited to, our compliance with the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, general management functions and commissions paid to sales representatives.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended March 31, 2007 were \$65,223, compared with \$48,698 in fiscal 2006 and \$45,619 in fiscal 2005. The increase of 34% in fiscal 2007 from fiscal 2006 is mainly due to an increase in stock-based compensation of \$9,213 primarily due to the adoption of FAS 123R in fiscal 2007 and a legal settlement of \$4,500 that was recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2007. Selling, general and administrative expenses include stock-based compensation charges of \$9,790 in fiscal 2007, \$577 in fiscal 2006 and \$2,553 in fiscal 2005.

Table of Contents***Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets***

| | Year Ended March 31 | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Impairment of goodwill and intangibles | \$ 101,001 | \$ | \$ |

We are required to evaluate goodwill annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Due to a sustained reduction in our market capitalization plus the decline in current and projected revenue from certain customers, we determined a triggering event occurred in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 requiring management to assess the recoverability of goodwill. Impairment is tested at the reporting unit level by comparing the reporting unit's carrying amount to its fair value. Where the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill.

We have determined that the Company has one reporting unit for purposes of goodwill impairment review under SFAS 142. Upon performing the impairment test, it was found that the carrying value of goodwill exceeded its implied fair value of \$84,405 and therefore an impairment charge of \$97,576 was recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2007. We engaged an independent valuation professional to assist with our measurement of fair value as part of the goodwill and intangible asset impairment tests. The fair value of the reporting unit was estimated using a combination of the market approach and a discounted cash flows approach.

Due to a decline in projected revenue for products which incorporate technology acquired from VM Labs in fiscal 2002, we determined a triggering event occurred in the third quarter of fiscal 2007 which required us to reassess the underlying value of the acquired technology. Management assessed the recoverability of this asset by comparing its carrying amount with its estimated fair value using a discounted cash flow approach. An impairment was identified for which we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$3,425 prior to performing the goodwill impairment analysis.

NON OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES***Interest and Other Income***

| | Year Ended March 31 | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Interest income | \$ 9,042 | \$ 5,403 | \$ 1,939 |
| Gain on sale of investment | 3,217 | | |
| | \$ 12,259 | \$ 5,403 | \$ 1,939 |

Interest income includes interest earned on cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments.

Interest income earned in fiscal 2007 increased by \$3,639 to \$9,042 compared to \$5,403 in fiscal 2006 due to the combined effects of higher average cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and higher average interest rates during fiscal 2007 as compared to fiscal 2006. Interest income earned in fiscal 2006 increased by \$3,464 from \$1,939 to \$5,403 also due to the combined effects of higher average cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and higher average interest rates during fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005.

Other income includes a gain of \$3,217 on the disposal of our entire investment in the shares of Techwell, Inc. in conjunction with their initial public offering.

Table of Contents***Provision for Income Taxes***

| | Year Ended March 31 | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Current income tax expense | \$ 3,316 | \$ 3,177 | \$ 6,386 |
| Deferred income tax expense (recovery) | 10,899 | 2,905 | (3,432) |
| | \$ 14,215 | \$ 6,082 | \$ 2,954 |

We recorded income tax expense of \$14,215 for the year ended March 31, 2007, compared with expense of \$6,082 for the year ended March 31, 2006 and an expense of \$2,954 for the year ended March 31, 2005.

Our accounting effective tax rate typically differs from the expected statutory rates due to several permanent differences including, but not limited to, research and experimental development tax credits, stock-based compensation expense for which no tax benefits can be recognized, foreign exchange fluctuations on the U.S. dollar working capital balances of foreign subsidiaries, and differences in tax rates in foreign jurisdictions. Any net tax benefit of these items is partially offset by changes in the valuation allowance against net operating loss carry forwards. In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of our deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible in the appropriate jurisdiction. Management considers projected future taxable income, uncertainties related to the industry in which Genesis operates and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Historically, we have recorded the majority of our valuation allowance against the tax attributes in the United States. FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, states that forming a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed is difficult when there is negative evidence, such as losses in the jurisdictions to which the deferred tax asset relate. As a result of the review of goodwill and intangible assets undertaken in the third quarter of fiscal 2007, we concluded that it was appropriate to establish a full valuation allowance in the financial statements against the tax attributes in Canada and recorded a valuation allowance in the third and fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. In addition, we expect to provide a full valuation allowance on future tax benefits in both the United States and Canada until we can demonstrate a sustained level of profitability that establishes our ability to utilize the assets in the jurisdictions to which the assets relate.

The increase in tax expense for fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005, resulted primarily from much higher profitability. Income tax expense in fiscal 2005 also included a charge of approximately \$3,700 as a result of a repatriation of approximately \$73,000 of funds by our Canadian subsidiary which was treated as a dividend for U.S. tax purposes. Certain provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (AJCA), which was signed into law on October 22, 2004, allow for only 15% of this dividend to be taxable, but this may not be sheltered by net operating losses. This charge in fiscal 2005 also increased our effective tax rate for the year. We do not expect to repatriate any more earnings from international affiliates in the foreseeable future as we consider the investments to be permanent in nature. The Company has not recognized a deferred tax liability of approximately \$19,600 for the unremitted earnings of its foreign affiliates.

As of March 31, 2007, we had generated deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. We have approximately \$155 million and \$38 million of operating loss carry forwards in the United States

and Canada, respectively, to offset future taxable income. A portion of the carry forwards expire on various dates through 2027, if not used. Utilization of a portion of net operating losses is subject to an annual limitation due to the ownership change provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and similar state provisions.

We have established a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets related to certain loss carry forwards. At March 31, 2007, the valuation allowance totaled \$103,248 and we have \$252 of net deferred tax assets on our balance sheet. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences, net of the existing valuation allowance. We may record additional valuation allowances in the future. The benefit of \$90 million of operating loss carryforwards, which

Table of Contents

relate to acquired entities or deductions associated with the exercise of certain stock options, if utilized, will result in an increase to equity and/or a reduction of goodwill.

Future income tax provision amounts will depend on our effective tax rates, the distribution of taxable income between taxation jurisdictions, foreign exchange rate fluctuations, the amount of research and development performed in Canada, other variables, and the likelihood of being able to utilize available tax credits or losses.

QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table shows our unaudited quarterly statement of operations data for the most recent eight quarters reported. This unaudited data has been prepared on the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements that are included in Item 8 of this report, and includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of such information for the periods presented. The statement of operations data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and their related Notes. Amounts in this table are in thousands, except per share data.

| | Mar. 2007 | Dec. 2006 | Sep. 2006 | Three Months Ended | | | | Jun. 2005 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | | Jun. 2006 | Mar. 2006 | Dec. 2005 | Sep. 2005 | |
| | | | | (Unaudited) | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 38,592 | \$ 51,117 | \$ 69,009 | \$ 55,899 | \$ 60,862 | \$ 73,965 | \$ 74,854 | \$ 59,825 |
| Cost of revenues(2) | 24,555 | 30,261 | 38,225 | 33,240 | 35,684 | 39,762 | 41,974 | 35,619 |
| Gross profit | 14,037 | 20,856 | 30,784 | 22,659 | 25,178 | 34,203 | 32,880 | 24,206 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Research and development(2) | 16,558 | 15,621 | 17,401 | 14,917 | 13,655 | 12,541 | 11,542 | 10,962 |
| Selling, general and administrative(2) | 15,301 | 19,786 | 15,314 | 14,822 | 13,658 | 12,195 | 12,092 | 10,753 |
| Impairment of goodwill and intangibles(1) | | 101,001 | | | | | | |
| Total operating expenses | 31,859 | 136,408 | 32,715 | 29,739 | 27,313 | 24,736 | 23,634 | 21,715 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (17,822) | (115,552) | (1,931) | (7,080) | (2,135) | 9,467 | 9,246 | 2,491 |
| Interest and other income, net | 2,342 | 2,324 | 2,212 | 5,381 | 1,907 | 1,519 | 1,067 | 910 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (15,480) | (113,228) | 281 | (1,699) | (228) | 10,986 | 10,313 | 3,401 |
| Provision for (recovery of) income taxes | (28) | 17,209 | 173 | (3,139) | 89 | 3,621 | 1,032 | 1,340 |

Edgar Filing: GENESIS MICROCHIP INC /DE - Form 10-K/A

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net income (loss) | \$ (15,452) | \$ (130,437) | \$ 108 | \$ 1,440 | \$ (317) | \$ 7,365 | \$ 9,281 | \$ 2,061 |
| Earnings (loss) per share: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.42) | \$ (3.57) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.06 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.42) | \$ (3.57) | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.06 |
| Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | 37,030 | 36,585 | 36,437 | 36,001 | 35,760 | 35,413 | 34,826 | 33,624 |
| Diluted | 37,030 | 36,585 | 36,840 | 36,518 | 35,760 | 37,295 | 37,534 | 35,060 |

- (1) See Notes 5 & 6 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.
- (2) Effective April 1, 2006 we adopted statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-based Payment. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this report.

Table of Contents

Most of our revenues come from sales of semiconductors to manufacturers of flat-panel displays, including televisions and LCD monitors. Revenue fluctuates from quarter to quarter depending on a number of factors, including, but not limited to the relative growth in our target markets, changes in our market share, changes in our customers' market share, the rate of decline in ASPs, the price of LCD panels, which often impacts demand for our products, and inventory levels of display controllers and finished goods at our customers' locations. The revenue decrease in the later part of fiscal year 2007 is primarily due to the change in our market share and our customers' market share and the decline in ASPs. Gross margins have varied from quarter to quarter depending on changes in product mix, levels of inventory reserves required, level of product yields in the manufacturing process, prices charged by our manufacturing vendors, and the difference in rates of decline of ASPs compared to average product costs.

Research and development expenses have varied from quarter to quarter primarily due to changes in staff levels, the purchase of technology and licenses needed for digital TV development, and the timing of non-recurring engineering charges related to new product development. Selling, general and administrative expenses have varied from quarter to quarter primarily due to changes in staff levels for sales and customer support activities, costs associated with compliance of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, sales and marketing promotional events, and sales commissions.

Income tax expense (recovery) has varied from quarter to quarter, depending primarily on the levels of taxable income, the distribution of taxable income between jurisdictions, foreign exchange fluctuations, and the likelihood of being able to utilize available tax credits or losses.

Our results of operations have fluctuated significantly in the past and may continue to fluctuate in the future as a result of a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include those described under the caption "Risk Factors," among others. Any one or more of these factors could result in our failure to achieve our expectations as to future operating results. Our expenditures for research and development, selling, general and administrative functions are based in part on future revenue projections. We may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner in response to any unanticipated declines in revenues as a large portion of our expenses are relatively fixed as they are dependent on the number of employees, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may be required to reduce our selling prices in response to competitive pressure or other factors, or to increase spending to pursue new market opportunities or to defend ourselves against lawsuits that may be brought against us. Any decline in average selling prices of a particular product that is not offset by a reduction in product costs or by sales of other products with higher gross margins, would decrease our overall gross profit and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Since inception we have satisfied our liquidity needs primarily through cash generated from operations and sales of equity securities, initially by way of a public offering, and subsequently under our stock option and employee stock purchase plans. We believe that our existing cash balances together with any cash generated from our operations will be sufficient to meet our capital and operating requirements for the foreseeable future.

Periodically, we may be required to use a portion of our cash balances to increase investment in operating assets such as prepaid assets or inventory to assist in the growth of our business, or for property and equipment. Furthermore, because we do not have our own semiconductor manufacturing facility, we may be required to make deposits to secure supply in the event there is a shortage of manufacturing capacity in the future. While we currently have no plans to raise additional funds for such uses, we could be required or could elect to seek to raise additional capital in the future.

Table of Contents

From time to time we evaluate acquisitions and investments in businesses, products or technologies that are complimentary or strategic to our business. Any such transactions, if consummated, may use a portion of our working capital or require the issuance of equity securities that may result in further dilution to our existing stockholders.

| | March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 123,701 | \$ 154,630 |
| Short-term investments | 64,549 | 30,749 |
| Cash, cash equivalents and short-term Investments | 188,250 | 185,379 |
| Working capital | \$ 202,108 | \$ 204,518 |
| Current ratio | 8.13 | 6.08 |
| Days Sales Outstanding | 46 | 54 |
| Inventory days | 61 | 45 |

At March 31, 2007, cash and short-term investments totaled \$188,250 compared with \$185,379 at March 31, 2006. Our current ratio was 8.13 at March 31, 2007 compared to 6.08 at March 31, 2006. Net cash generated from operating activities was \$2,244 in fiscal year 2007 compared with \$49,055 in fiscal 2006.

Working capital generation of cash related primarily to the decrease in accounts receivable and the increase in income taxes payable, partially offset by a decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Accounts receivable decreased by \$16,729 from March 31, 2006 to March 31, 2007 primarily due to a decrease in revenue in fiscal 2007. Days sales outstanding (DSO) decreased at March 31, 2007 to 46 days, compared to 54 days at March 31, 2006. Our credit policy is to offer credit to customers only after examination of their creditworthiness. Our payment terms range from cash in advance of shipment, to payment ninety days after shipment. For fiscal 2007, our three largest customers accounted for 36% of revenue, compared with 35% in fiscal 2006 and 34% in fiscal 2005. Additionally, these top three customers accounted for 49% of accounts receivable at March 31, 2007 and 34% at March 31, 2006. Inventory levels decreased by 4% from March 31, 2006 to \$16,424 from \$17,175. Average days of inventory on hand at March 31, 2007 increased to 61 days compared to 45 days at March 31, 2006. The average inventory levels and inventory turns is impacted by a number of dynamic activities including the accuracy of customer forecasts, expected panel supplies, and pricing considerations. These activities are not necessarily an indication of what inventory turns might be in the future.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$41,878 during the year ended March 31, 2007, net cash of \$54,659 was used during the year ended March 31, 2006. Net cash generated from investing activities was \$90,068 during the year ended March 31, 2005. The decrease in cash used, year over year, was primarily due to an increase in net proceeds received on the maturity of short-term investments and the sale of an investment during the fiscal year 2007.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$8,705 in the year ended March 31, 2007, \$30,477 in the year ended March 31, 2006, and \$7,594 in the year ended March 31, 2005. These represent funds received for the purchase of shares under the terms of our stock option and employee stock purchase plans.

Contractual Obligations

As of March 31, 2007, our principal commitments consisted of obligations outstanding under operating leases. These commitments include a lease for our new corporate headquarters in Santa Clara, California, which was signed in September 2006. This new lease commenced on January 1, 2007, expires in January 2012, and is non-cancelable. The aggregate minimum annual payments required under our lease obligations, excluding sub-lease income, by fiscal year are as follows:

| | Total | Payments Due By Fiscal Year | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Operating Leases | \$ 14,879 | \$ 4,958 | \$ 4,464 | \$ 2,529 | \$ 1,863 | \$ 1,065 |

Our lease agreements expire at various dates through calendar 2012.

Table of Contents

Further information on lease obligations and commitments can be found in Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Capital commitments

We do not have any capital commitments that will have a material future effect on our financial condition.

ITEM 7A. *QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK*

We are exposed to financial market risks including changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

The fair value of our investment portfolio or related income would not be significantly impacted by either a 10% increase or decrease in interest rates due mainly to the short-term nature of the major portion of our investment portfolio.

We carry out a significant portion of our operations outside of the United States, primarily in Canada and in India and to a lesser extent China, Japan, South Korea, Singapore and Taiwan. Although virtually all of our revenues and costs of revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, portions of our operating revenue and expenses are denominated in foreign currencies. Accordingly, our operating results are affected by changes in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and those currencies. Any future strengthening of those currencies against the U.S. dollar could negatively impact our operating results by increasing our operating expenses as measured in U.S. dollars. The maximum potential exposure on a near-term 10% depreciation in the U.S. dollar is estimated to be approximately \$4 million annually. We do not currently engage in any hedging or other transactions intended to manage the risks relating to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, other than natural hedges that occur as a result of holding both assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. We may, in the future, undertake hedging or other such transactions, if we determine it is necessary to offset exchange rate risks. Based on our overall currency rate exposure at March 31, 2007 and March 31, 2006, a near-term 10% appreciation or depreciation in the U.S. dollar relative to a pool of our foreign currencies would not have a material effect on our operating expenses or financial condition.

ITEM 8. *FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA*

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Genesis Microchip is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Genesis Microchip's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures

Table of Contents

of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Genesis Microchip's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2007. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in Internal Control- Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on its assessment of internal controls over financial reporting, management has concluded that, as of March 31, 2007, Genesis Microchip's internal control over financial reporting was effective to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Genesis Microchip's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, have issued an audit report on our assessment of Genesis Microchip's internal control of financial reporting. This report appears on page F-3.

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page Number |
|---|------------------------|
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-8 |

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
Genesis Microchip Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Genesis Microchip Inc. as of March 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended March 31, 2007. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Genesis Microchip Inc. as of March 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended March 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Genesis Microchip Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated June 7, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of, and the effective operation of, internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG
Chartered Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada
June 7, 2007

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Genesis Microchip Inc.

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Genesis Microchip Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Genesis Microchip Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Genesis Microchip Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2007, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, Genesis Microchip Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Genesis Microchip Inc. as of March 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended March 31, 2007, and our report dated June 7, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG

Chartered Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada

June 7, 2007

F-3

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

| | March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 123,701 | \$ 154,630 |
| Short-term investments | 64,549 | 30,749 |
| Accounts receivable trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of nil in 2007 and \$401 in 2006 | 19,455 | 36,184 |
| Inventories (Note 3) | 16,424 | 17,175 |
| Prepays and other | 6,324 | 6,034 |
| Total current assets | 230,453 | 244,772 |
| Property and equipment, net (Note 4) | 16,238 | 16,459 |
| Intangible assets, net (Note 5) | 5,006 | 9,055 |
| Goodwill (Note 6) | 84,405 | 181,981 |
| Deferred income taxes (Note 10) | 252 | 11,151 |
| Other long-term assets (Note 7) | 15,360 | 16,259 |
| Total assets | \$ 351,714 | \$ 479,677 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 6,759 | \$ 14,911 |
| Accrued liabilities | 14,888 | 21,778 |
| Income taxes payable | 6,698 | 3,565 |
| Total current liabilities | 28,345 | 40,254 |
| Stockholders' equity (Notes 8 and 9): | | |
| Capital stock: | | |
| Preferred stock: | | |
| Authorized 5,000 preferred shares, \$0.001 par value issued and outstanding none at March 31, 2007 and at March 31, 2006 | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| Authorized 100,000 common shares, \$0.001 par value issued and outstanding 37,097 shares at March 31, 2007 and 35,899 shares at March 31, 2006 | 37 | 36 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 465,744 | 441,197 |
| Cumulative other comprehensive loss | (94) | (94) |
| Treasury shares | (833) | |
| Deferred stock-based compensation | | (4,572) |
| Retained Earnings (deficit) | (141,485) | 2,856 |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 323,369 | 439,423 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 351,714 | \$ 479,677 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 13) | | |

See accompanying Notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

| | Year Ended March 31, | | |
|---|---|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | |
| Revenues | \$ 214,617 | \$ 269,506 | \$ 204,115 |
| Cost of revenues(1)(2) | 126,281 | 153,039 | 125,394 |
| Gross profit | 88,336 | 116,467 | 78,721 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development(3)(5) | 64,497 | 48,700 | 41,534 |
| Selling, general and administrative(4) | 65,223 | 48,698 | 45,619 |
| Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets (Notes 5 and 6) | 101,001 | | |
| Total operating expenses | 230,721 | 97,398 | 87,153 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (142,385) | 19,069 | (8,432) |
| Interest and other income, net: | | | |
| Interest income | 9,042 | 5,403 | 1,939 |
| Gain on sale of investment | 3,217 | | |
| Interest and other income, net | 12,259 | 5,403 | 1,939 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (130,126) | 24,472 | (6,493) |
| Provision for income taxes (Note 10) | 14,215 | 6,082 | 2,954 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (144,341) | \$ 18,390 | \$ (9,447) |
| Earnings (loss) per share (Note 12): | | | |
| Basic | \$ (3.95) | \$ 0.53 | \$ (0.29) |
| Diluted | \$ (3.95) | \$ 0.50 | \$ (0.29) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (Note 12): | | | |
| Basic | 36,514 | 34,909 | 33,084 |
| Diluted | 36,514 | 36,877 | 33,084 |
| (1) Amount includes amortization of acquired developed product technology | \$ | \$ 6,835 | \$ 7,700 |
| (2) Amount includes stock-based compensation | \$ 1,338 | \$ 63 | \$ |
| (3) Amount includes stock-based compensation | \$ 8,454 | \$ 421 | \$ 1,941 |
| (4) Amount includes stock-based compensation | \$ 9,790 | \$ 577 | \$ 2,553 |
| (5) Amount includes amortization of acquired developed product technology | \$ 1,552 | \$ 2,809 | \$ 2,916 |

See accompanying Notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity**

| | Common | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Treasury Shares | Cumulative Comprehensive Loss | Deferred Stock-Based Compensation | Retained Earnings/ (Deficit) | Total Stockholders Equity |
|---|------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Shares Number | Amount | | | | | | |
| Balances, March 31, 2004 | 32,653 | 32 | 395,837 | | (94) | (2,833) | (6,087) | 386,855 |
| Net loss | | | | | | | (9,447) | (9,447) |
| Issued under stock option and stock purchase plans | 826 | 1 | 7,593 | | | | | 7,594 |
| Stock-based compensation related to acceleration of vesting in terminations | | | 2,000 | | | (2,000) | | |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | | 4,494 | | 4,494 |
| Reversal of stock-based compensation related to terminations | | | (107) | | | 107 | | |
| Balances, March 31, 2005 | 33,479 | 33 | 405,323 | | (94) | (232) | (15,534) | 389,496 |
| Net income | | | | | | | 18,390 | 18,390 |
| Issued under stock option and stock purchase plans | 2,420 | 3 | 30,473 | | | | | 30,476 |
| Stock-based compensation related to acceleration of vesting in terminations | | | 62 | | | (62) | | |
| Unamortized portion of restricted stock units | | | 5,342 | | | (5,342) | | |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | | 1,061 | | 1,061 |
| Unrealized portion of stock-based compensation related to terminations | | | (3) | | | 3 | | |
| Balances, March 31, 2006 | 35,899 | 36 | 441,197 | | (94) | (4,572) | 2,856 | 439,423 |
| Net loss | | | | | | | (144,341) | (144,341) |
| Issued under stock option and stock purchase plans | 1,198 | 1 | 9,537 | | | | | 9,538 |
| Treasury stock | | | | (833) | | | | (833) |
| Reversal of unamortized portion of restricted stock units | | | (4,572) | | | 4,572 | | |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 19,582 | | | | | 19,582 |
| Balances, March 31, 2007 | 37,097 | \$ 37 | \$ 465,744 | \$ (833) | \$ (94) | \$ | \$ (141,485) | \$ 323,369 |

See accompanying Notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

| | Year Ended March 31 | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net Income (loss) | \$ (144,341) | \$ 18,390 | \$ (9,447) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,313 | 8,742 | 6,729 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 2,477 | 9,946 | 10,857 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | 3,425 | | |
| Goodwill impairment | 97,576 | | |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation | 19,582 | 1,061 | 4,494 |
| Deferred income taxes | 10,899 | 2,905 | (2,773) |
| Gain on sale of investment | (3,217) | | |
| Other | 360 | 496 | 224 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of amounts acquired: | | | |
| Accounts receivable trade | 16,729 | (5,874) | (1,985) |
| Inventories | 751 | 382 | 1,216 |
| Prepays and other | (290) | (451) | 614 |
| Accounts payable | (8,152) | 2,867 | 2,196 |
| Accrued liabilities | (8,001) | 10,144 | 131 |
| Income taxes payable | 3,133 | 447 | 598 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,244 | 49,055 | 12,854 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of short-term investments | (109,493) | (102,482) | (174,683) |
| Proceeds on sales and maturities of short-term investments | 75,693 | 71,733 | 273,664 |
| Additions to property and equipment | (7,452) | (8,597) | (4,712) |
| Proceeds on sale of investment | 3,919 | | |
| Investments | | (10,190) | |
| Additions to mask sets | (2,753) | (3,673) | (2,082) |
| Additions to intangible assets | (1,853) | (1,736) | (1,391) |
| Other | 61 | 286 | (728) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (41,878) | (54,659) | 90,068 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from issue of common stock | 8,705 | 30,477 | 7,594 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 8,705 | 30,477 | 7,594 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (30,929) | 24,873 | 110,516 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 154,630 | 129,757 | 19,241 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 123,701 | \$ 154,630 | \$ 129,757 |

Supplemental cash flow information:

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Cash received for interest | \$ | 8,879 | \$ | 5,365 | \$ | 1,994 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ | 121 | \$ | 3,218 | \$ | 5,687 |
| Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | | | | |
| Stock-based compensation | \$ | | \$ | (3) | \$ | (107) |
| Additional paid-in capital | \$ | | \$ | 62 | \$ | 2,000 |

See accompanying Notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS

Genesis Microchip Inc. (Genesis or the Company) designs, develops and markets integrated circuits that manipulate and process digital video and graphic images.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of consolidation

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Genesis and its subsidiaries. All material inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Critical accounting policies and estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to product returns, bad debts, inventories, investments, intangible assets, goodwill, income taxes, warranty and royalty obligations, litigation and other contingencies. Genesis bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions

Genesis believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. The Company records estimated reductions to revenue for customer returns based on historical experience. If actual customer returns increase, the Company may be required to recognize additional reductions to revenue. Genesis records the estimated future cost of replacing faulty product as a warranty expense in cost of sales. If warranty returns increase as a result of changes in product quality, Genesis may be required to recognize additional warranty expense. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments and other disputes. If the financial condition of Genesis customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. The Company provides for valuation reserves against its inventory for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions change from those projected by management, an adjustment of inventory valuation reserves may be required. Genesis provides for costs associated with patent litigation and other litigation when management believes there is a reasonable basis for estimating those costs. If actual costs associated with litigation differ from estimates, additional provision may be required. Genesis performs impairment tests on the carrying value of intangible assets and goodwill. These tests are based on numerous assumptions as to potential future results of the business that are considered to be reasonable at the time those assumptions are made. If any of these assumptions later prove to be incorrect or if management changes its assessment as to their reasonability because of changing business conditions, an impairment charge may be required. Genesis records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Should Genesis determine that it would not be able to realize all or

part of its net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be recorded to income tax expense in the period such determination was made.

F-8

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Cash and cash equivalents***

All highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less at the date of acquisition are classified as cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents of \$123,701 and \$154,630 as of March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, consist primarily of government securities, corporate bonds and commercial paper.

Short-term investments

All of our short-term investments are categorized as available-for-sale at the balance sheet date, and have been presented at fair value, which approximates amortized cost. If material, any temporary difference between the cost and fair value of an investment would be presented as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Short-term investments at March 31, 2007 consist entirely of government and corporate notes and bonds.

Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable are recorded based on the selling price of the item sold and are recorded at the time of shipment. An allowance for doubtful accounts is determined based on a review of our customers' past due balances. The following table presents a roll forward of the allowance for doubtful accounts for the indicated periods:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Balance as of beginning of year | \$ 401 | \$ 282 | \$ 422 |
| Provision (recovery) | (401) | 259 | (75) |
| Write offs | | (140) | (65) |
| Balance as of end of year | \$ | \$ 401 | \$ 282 |

Genesis records a recovery of a provision when amounts have subsequently been collected.

Inventories

Inventories consist of finished goods and work-in-process and are stated at the lower of standard cost (approximates actual cost on first-in, first-out basis) or market value, being net realizable value. A reserve against inventories for obsolescence or unmarketable inventories is estimated based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions.

The following table presents a roll forward of the inventories obsolescence reserve for the indicated periods:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Balance as of beginning of year | \$ 3,665 | \$ 2,954 | \$ 3,243 |
| Increase to provision | 2,293 | 1,080 | 883 |
| Write offs | (2,029) | (369) | (1,172) |
| Balance as of end of year | \$ 3,929 | \$ 3,665 | \$ 2,954 |

F-9

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Property and equipment***

Property and equipment are stated at cost or fair value at the date of acquisition. Amortization is recorded using the following methods and annual rates over the estimated useful lives of the assets:

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Property and equipment | 10% to 30% declining balance |
| Software | 1 to 5 years straight-line |
| Leasehold improvements | Straight line over the term of the lease |

Genesis regularly reviews the carrying values of its property and equipment by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to the expected future cash flows to be generated by the asset. If the carrying value exceeds the estimated amount recoverable, a write-down equal to the excess of the carrying value over the asset's fair value is charged to the consolidated statements of operations.

Intangible assets

Intangible assets are comprised of acquired technology, patents, trademarks and trade names. Patents are amortized on a declining-balance basis at a rate of 10% while all other intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over four to seven years. The Company continually evaluates the remaining estimated useful life of intangible assets that are being amortized to determine whether events or circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization.

As a result of an impairment review that was performed in December 2006, the Company recorded an impairment of intangible assets of \$3,425 in the quarter ended December 31, 2006 (Note 5).

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the fair value of acquired net assets and is tested for impairment during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year or more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Impairment is tested at the reporting unit level by comparing the reporting unit's carrying amount to its fair value. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill.

As a result of an impairment review that was performed in December 2006, the Company recorded an impairment of goodwill of \$97,576 in the quarter ended December 31, 2006 (Note 6). The Company did not record any goodwill impairment charges in fiscal 2006 or 2005.

Asset impairments

Management reviews long-lived assets, such as capital assets and definite lived intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of these assets is determined by comparing the forecasted undiscounted net cash flows of the operation

to which the assets relate, to the carrying amount including associated intangible assets of the operation.

An impairment loss is recognized if the operation is determined to be unable to recover the carrying amount of its assets. Intangible assets are written down first, followed by the other long-lived assets of the operation, to fair value. Fair value is determined based on discounted cash flows or appraised values, depending upon the nature of the assets. Assets to be disposed of would be separately presented in the consolidated balance sheet and reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated. The assets and liabilities of a disposed group classified as held for sale would be presented in the appropriate asset and liability sections of the balance sheets.

F-10

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Revenue recognition***

Genesis recognizes revenue primarily from semiconductor product sales to customers when a contract is established, the price is determined, shipment is made and collectibility is reasonably assured. Genesis has also periodically entered into license agreements and recognizes royalty revenue. Distributor agreements, which may be canceled by either party upon specified notice, generally contain a provision for the return of the Company's products in the event the agreement with the distributor is terminated, and the distributor's products have not been sold. Accordingly, revenue and related cost of revenues from sales to distributors are deferred until the distributors resell the product, which is verified by point-of-sale reports. At the time of shipment to distributors, we record a trade receivable for the selling price, relieve inventory of the value of the product shipped and record the gross margin as deferred revenue, a component of accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. In certain circumstances, where orders are placed with non-cancelable/non-returnable terms, we recognize revenue upon shipment. Sales to distributors were 22% of revenue for fiscal year 2007, 21% of revenue for fiscal year 2006 and 13% for fiscal year 2005. There have been no significant product returns.

Warranty program

Genesis accrues the estimated future cost of replacing faulty product under the provisions of its warranty agreements as an increase to cost of sales. Product warranties typically cover a one-year period from the date of delivery to the customer. Management estimates the accrual based on known product failures (if any), historical experience, and other available evidence. The following table presents a roll forward of the reserve for warranty returns for the indicated periods:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Balance as of beginning of year | \$ 164 | \$ 230 | \$ 200 |
| Increase to provision | 442 | 157 | 288 |
| Write offs | (396) | (223) | (258) |
| Balance as of end of year | \$ 210 | \$ 164 | \$ 230 |

Royalties

From time to time, Genesis enters into agreements to license certain technology from third parties. These agreements often contain provisions for payment of per-unit royalties, based either on the number of products sold or manufactured, or on the net sales price of the product containing the licensed technology. Royalty expenses pursuant to these license agreements are recorded in cost of revenues.

Currency translation

The U.S. dollar is the functional currency of Genesis and of its subsidiaries. Transactions originating in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates approximating those at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated at the period-end rate of exchange and non-monetary items are translated at historical exchange rates. Exchange gains and losses are included in the consolidated statements of operations and did not have a material effect in the years ended March 31, 2007, March 31, 2006, and March 31, 2005.

Research and development expenses

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred other than acquired technology which has alternative future use (Note 5). Research and development costs include costs associated with algorithm and semiconductor development including the costs of developing software used within our semiconductor devices.

F-11

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Costs of production mask sets related to products are deferred once technological feasibility has been achieved, included in other long-term assets, and then amortized as product costs to cost of revenues over the estimated remaining life of the product on a straight-line basis.

Financial instruments and concentration of credit risk

Financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable trade, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Genesis determines the fair value of its financial instruments based on quoted market values or discounted cash flow analyses. Unless otherwise indicated, the fair values of financial assets and financial liabilities approximate their recorded amounts.

Financial instruments that potentially subject Genesis to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents, short-term investments and accounts receivable trade. Cash equivalents consist of deposits with or guaranteed by major commercial banks, the maturities of which are three months or less from the date of purchase. Short-term investments consist entirely of government and corporate debt securities. With respect to trade accounts receivable, Genesis performs periodic credit evaluations of the financial condition of its customers and typically does not require collateral from them. Allowances are maintained for potential credit losses consistent with the credit risk of specific customers, historical trends and other information. Credit losses have been within management's range of expectations.

Earnings (loss) per share

Basic earnings (loss) per share has been calculated by dividing the net income (loss) for the year available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during that year. Basic earnings (loss) per share excludes the dilutive effect of potential common shares such as those issuable on exercise of stock options. Diluted earnings (loss) per share gives effect to all potential common shares outstanding during the year. The weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding is calculated assuming that the proceeds from potential common shares are used to repurchase common shares at the average closing share price in the year.

Stock-based compensation

On April 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards based on the grant date fair value of the awards.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company followed Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations, in accounting for employee stock options and restricted stock units. Under APB 25, deferred stock-based compensation was recorded at the grant date in an amount equal to the excess of the market value of a share of common stock over the exercise price of the option or restricted stock unit and was amortized over the vesting period of the individual options or stock units, generally two to four years, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Interpretation No. 44.

The Company adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the recognition of compensation expense for awards granted after April 1, 2006 that are expected to vest and for unvested awards

granted prior to adoption that are expected to vest after the adoption date. The compensation expense related to the awards granted prior to adoption is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with SFAS 123 for prior year pro forma disclosure purposes, adjusted to reflect estimated forfeitures. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, prior period results have not been adjusted to reflect the adoption of SFAS 123R. No modifications were made to the terms of the Company's outstanding stock options in anticipation of the adoption of SFAS 123R. See Note 9.

F-12

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in equity of a company during a period resulting from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, there was no difference between the Company's net income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss).

Income taxes

Genesis applies the asset and liability method of SFAS 109 Accounting for Income Taxes, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and for operating loss and tax credits carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Under SFAS 109, the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. To the extent that it is not considered to be more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will be realized, a valuation allowance is provided.

Genesis is entitled to Canadian federal and provincial research and development investment tax credits which are earned as a percentage of eligible current and capital research and development expenditures incurred in each taxation year. Investment tax credits are available to be applied against future income tax liabilities, subject to a ten year carry forward period. Investment tax credits are classified as a reduction of income tax expense for items of a current nature and a reduction of the related asset cost for items of a long-term nature, provided that Genesis has reasonable assurance that the tax credits will be realized.

Recent accounting pronouncements

Standards adopted in 2007:

In September 2006, the staff of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides interpretive guidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. SAB 108 requires SEC registrants to quantify misstatements using both the balance sheet and income statement approaches and to evaluate whether either approach results in quantifying an error that is material in light of relevant quantitative and qualitative factors. SAB 108 does not change the staff's previous guidance in SAB 99 on evaluating the materiality of misstatements. When the effect of initial adoption is determined to be material, SAB 108 allows registrants to record that effect as a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning-of-year retained earnings under U.S. GAAP. SAB 108 is effective for the Company's annual financial statements for the current fiscal year. The adoption of SAB 108 did not impact the Company's financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2007.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (SFAS 154), which replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. SFAS 154 provides guidance on the accounting for, and reporting of, changes in accounting principles and error corrections. SFAS 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods

financial statements of voluntary changes in accounting principles and changes required by new accounting standards when the standard does not include specific transition provisions, unless it is impracticable to do so. Certain disclosures are also required for restatements due to correction of an error. SFAS 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005, and has been adopted by the Company for the year ended March 31, 2007. The adoption of SFAS 154 did not impact the Company's financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Subsequent to year end the Company has undertaken a review of amortization methods applied to property and equipment and intangible assets. As a result of the review, the Company has concluded that the pattern of consumption for property and equipment and patents has changed, and that the straight line amortization method better matches the consumption pattern of these assets. As provided by SFAS 154, the Company will apply this change prospectively as of April 1, 2007. The Company estimates that the change in depreciation methods will not have a significant impact on depreciation and amortization expense in fiscal year 2008.

Standards issued but not yet adopted:

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 requires that companies recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is more likely than not to be sustained, assuming examination by tax authorities. The amount recognized would be the amount that represents the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being ultimately realized. A liability would be recognized for the taxes attributable to any benefit claimed, or expected to be claimed, in a tax return in excess of the amount of the uncertainty that is eligible to be recognized as a benefit in the financial statements, along with any interest and penalty (if applicable) on the excess. Disclosure will also be required for those uncertain tax positions where it is reasonably possible that the estimate of the tax benefit will change significantly in the next 12 months. FIN 48 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning on April 1, 2007. The effect, if any, of adopting FIN 48 on the Company's consolidated financial statements is currently being evaluated by management.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurement (SFAS 157) was issued in September 2006. SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 also expands disclosures about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurement on earnings. SFAS 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and does not expand the use of fair value measurements in any new circumstances. Under SFAS 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the entity transacts. SFAS 157 is effective for fair value measurements and disclosures made by the Company in its fiscal year beginning on April 1, 2008. The Company is currently reviewing the impact of this statement.

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits an entity to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Most of the provisions in SFAS 159 are elective; however, the amendment to FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities , applies to all entities with available-for-sale and trading securities. SFAS 159 is effective for the Company beginning July 1, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the potential impact that the adoption of SFAS 159 will have on its financial statements.

3. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

| | March 31, 2007 | March 31, 2006 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Finished goods | \$ 11,596 | \$ 10,717 |
| Work-in-process | 8,757 | 10,123 |
| | 20,353 | 20,840 |
| Less reserve for obsolescence | (3,929) | (3,665) |
| Total | \$ 16,424 | \$ 17,175 |

F-14

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****4. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consist of the following:

| | March 31, 2007 | March 31, 2006 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Property and equipment | \$ 19,697 | \$ 17,429 |
| Software | 24,711 | 21,632 |
| Leasehold improvements | 4,280 | 6,215 |
| | 48,688 | 45,276 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (32,450) | (28,817) |
| Total | \$ 16,238 | \$ 16,459 |

5. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following:

| | March 31, 2007 | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Impairment | Net |
| Acquired technology | \$ 48,792 | \$ 44,009 | \$ 3,425 | \$ 1,358 |
| Patents | 5,132 | 1,484 | | 3,648 |
| Other | 500 | 500 | | |
| Total | \$ 54,424 | \$ 45,993 | \$ 3,425 | \$ 5,006 |

| | March 31, 2006 | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Cost | Accumulated Amortization | Impairment | Net |
| Acquired technology | \$ 47,953 | \$ 42,029 | | \$ 5,924 |
| Patents | 4,118 | 987 | | 3,131 |
| Other | 500 | 500 | | |
| Total | \$ 52,571 | \$ 43,516 | | \$ 9,055 |

During the year ended March 31, 2007, \$2,477 was amortized (2006 \$9,946; 2005 \$10,857).

Due to a decline in projected revenue for products which incorporate technology acquired from VM Labs in fiscal 2002, the Company determined a triggering event occurred in the quarter ending December 31, 2006 which required the Company to reassess the underlying value of the acquired technology. The Company engaged an independent valuation professional to assist with its measurement of fair value as part of the intangible asset impairment test. The recoverability of this asset was assessed by comparing its carrying amount with its estimated fair value using a discounted cash flow approach. An impairment was identified for which the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$3,425 prior to performing the goodwill impairment analysis.

F-15

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Estimated future intangible assets amortization expense, based on current balances, as of March 31, 2007 is as follows:

| For the Year Ended | March 31 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 2008 | \$ 738 |
| 2009 | 688 |
| 2010 | 467 |
| 2011 | 257 |
| 2012 | 198 |
| Thereafter | 2,658 |
| Total | \$ 5,006 |

6. GOODWILL

The majority of the goodwill carried on the balance sheet arose in February 2002 when the Company acquired Sage Inc. for approximately \$297,000.

The Company is required to evaluate goodwill annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Due to a sustained reduction in the Company's market capitalization plus the decline in current and projected revenue from certain customers, the Company determined a triggering event occurred in the quarter ending December 31, 2006 requiring management to assess the recoverability of goodwill. Impairment is tested at the reporting unit level by comparing the reporting unit's carrying amount to its fair value. Where the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill.

| | March 31, 2007 | | | March 31, 2006 | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Cost | Impairment | Net | Cost | Impairment | Net |
| Goodwill | \$ 181,981 | \$ (97,576) | \$ 84,405 | \$ 181,981 | \$ | \$ 181,981 |

Management has determined that the Company has one reporting unit for purposes of goodwill impairment review under SFAS 142. Upon performing the impairment test, it was found that the carrying value of goodwill exceeded its implied fair value of \$84,405 and therefore an impairment charge of \$97,576 was recorded in the quarter ending December 31, 2006. The Company engaged an independent valuation professional to assist with its measurement of fair value as part of the goodwill impairment test. The fair value of the reporting unit was estimated using a combination of the market approach and a discounted cash flows approach. There was no impairment charge for fiscal 2006.

7. OTHER LONG TERM ASSETS

Other long-term assets consist of the following:

| | March 31, 2007 | March 31, 2006 |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Investments (at cost) | \$ 10,190 | \$ 11,177 |
| Production mask sets, net of accumulated amortization of \$2,729 in fiscal 2007, \$2,775 in fiscal 2006 | 5,170 | 5,082 |
| Total | \$ 15,360 | \$ 16,259 |

During the year ended March 31, 2006, the Company purchased \$10,000 of preferred shares of a private company. In conjunction with the investment, the company also signed a Master Development and Cross License

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Agreement giving both companies access to each other's certain technologies for select markets and enabling future joint product development that focuses on multimedia processors used in the mobile video market. The preferred shares are convertible to common shares on a one for one basis.

Amortization expense of \$2,700 was recognized in relation to production mask sets during the year ended March 31, 2007 (2006 \$1,343; 2005 \$1,269).

8. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Authorized Capital Stock

Genesis' certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of 105,000,000 shares of capital stock, consisting of 100,000,000 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share.

Common Stock

The holders of common stock are entitled to one vote per share on all matters to be voted upon by stockholders. Upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Genesis, the holders of common stock will be entitled to share ratably in the net assets legally available for distribution to stockholders after the payment of all debts and other liabilities of the Company, subject to the prior rights of preferred stock, if any, then outstanding.

Preferred Stock

The Board of Directors of Genesis is authorized to issue shares of preferred stock in one or more series and to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, qualifications and limitations granted to or imposed upon any unissued and undesignated shares of preferred stock and to fix the number of shares constituting any series and the designations of such series, without any further vote or action by the stockholders (subject to applicable law and applicable stock exchange rules). The Board of Directors, without stockholder approval (subject to applicable law and applicable stock exchange rules), can issue preferred stock with voting and conversion rights that could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of Genesis common stock, and the issuance of such preferred stock may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of Genesis. No such preferred shares have been issued or authorized.

Preferred Stock Rights Agreement

On June 26, 2002, the Board of Directors adopted a Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2002, between Genesis and Mellon Investor Services, L.L.C. (the Rights Agreement). Under the Rights Agreement, each share of common stock carries a right to obtain additional stock according to the terms provided in the Rights Agreement (each, a Right and collectively, the Rights).

The Rights will not be exercisable or separable from the common stock until the occurrence of certain events. If a person or group acquires or announces a tender or exchange offer that would result in the acquisition of a certain percentage of the common stock of Genesis while the Rights Agreement remains in place, the Rights will become

exercisable, unless redeemed, by all Rights holders except the acquiring person or group, for shares of Genesis or of the third party acquirer having a value of twice the Rights then-current exercise price. Until a right is exercised, the holder of a right, as such, will have no rights as a stockholder of Genesis, including, without limitation, the rights to vote as a stockholder or receive dividends.

F-17

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

On April 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards based on the grant date fair value of the awards.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company followed Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations, in accounting for employee stock options and restricted stock units. Under APB 25, deferred stock-based compensation was recorded at the grant date in an amount equal to the excess of the market value of a share of common stock over the exercise price of the option or restricted stock unit and was amortized over the vesting period of the individual options or stock units, generally two to four years, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Interpretation No. 44.

The Company adopted SFAS 123R using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the recognition of compensation expense for awards granted after April 1, 2006 that are expected to vest and for unvested awards granted prior to adoption that are expected to vest after the adoption date. The compensation expense related to the awards granted prior to adoption is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with SFAS 123 for prior year pro forma disclosure purposes, adjusted to reflect estimated forfeitures. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, prior period results have not been adjusted to reflect the adoption of SFAS 123R. No modifications were made to the terms of the Company's outstanding stock options in anticipation of the adoption of SFAS 123R.

During the year ended March 31, 2007, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$19,582, related to stock options, restricted stock units and employee stock purchase plans granted to employees and directors. The Company has not capitalized any stock-based compensation costs as part of the cost of an asset. There were no tax benefits recognized related to the compensation cost for share-based payments.

The cumulative effect of the implementation of SFAS 123R for the year ended March 31, 2007 was to increase loss from operations, loss before income taxes and net loss by \$17,205 and basic and fully diluted loss per share by \$0.47. In addition, \$4,572 of deferred stock-based compensation recorded as a reduction to stockholder's equity as of March 31, 2006 was reversed against the Company's additional paid-in-capital. There was no impact on cash flows from operating and financing activities.

The fair value of stock-based compensation was determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using a dividend yield of 0% and the assumptions noted in the following table:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Stock option plans: | | | |
| Risk-free interest rates | 4.9% | 4.8% | 3.6% |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|
| Volatility | 66% | 78% | 90% |
| Expected life (in years) | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Employee stock purchase plan: | | | |
| Risk-free interest rates | 5.1% | 4.8% | 3.1% |
| Volatility | 47% | 78% | 90% |
| Expected life (in years) | 0.75 | 1.25 | 1.25 |

The Company uses historical volatility as a basis for projecting the expected volatility of the underlying stock and estimates the expected life of its stock options based upon historical data. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The weighted average grant date fair values of options granted during fiscal 2007, 2006 and 2005, were \$6.23, \$12.67 and \$10.12, respectively.

In accordance with SFAS 123R, the Company is required to estimate the number of instruments for which the requisite service is expected to be rendered. Under APB 25, forfeiture rates were recognized as they occurred. The cumulative effect of the change in accounting policy for the adjustment related to the forfeitures for the prior periods was not material at April 1, 2006.

Had the Company accounted for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS 123R prior to April 1, 2006, our net earnings would have approximated the pro forma amount for the periods indicated as follows:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|--|--|--|
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders: | | |
| As reported | \$ 18,390 | \$ (9,447) |
| Stock compensation, as reported | 1,061 | 4,494 |
| Stock compensation, under SFAS 123 | (22,432) | (28,345) |
| Pro forma | \$ (2,981) | \$ (33,298) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.53 | \$ (0.29) |
| Pro forma | \$ (0.09) | \$ (1.01) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.50 | \$ (0.29) |
| Pro forma | \$ (0.08) | \$ (1.01) |

The pro forma stock compensation expense for the year ended March 31, 2005 has been restated from that previously presented to reflect changes identified in the methodology of their revaluation.

STOCK OPTION AND STOCK PURCHASE PLANS*1997 Employee Stock Option Plan*

The 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan (1997 Employee Plan) provided for the granting to employees of incentive stock options, nonstatutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock purchase rights and restricted stock units for up to 800,000 shares of common stock plus an annual increase to be added on the first day of each fiscal year equal to the least of (i) 2,000,000 shares of common stock, (ii) 3.5% of the outstanding shares on such date, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board of Directors of Genesis. The exercise price of incentive stock options granted under the 1997 Employee Plan was not to be less than 100% (110% in case of any options granted to a person who held more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of shares of Genesis) of the fair market value of the shares of

common stock subject to the option on the date of the grant. The term of the options do not exceed 10 years (five years in the case of any incentive stock options granted to an employee who held more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of shares of Genesis) and generally vest over four years.

As of March 31, 2007, there were 1,856,000 shares available for grant under the 1997 Employee Plan. In the quarter ended September 30, 2005, the Company amended the 1997 Employee Plan to allow the granting of stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units. The Company has not issued any stock appreciation rights to date. Restricted stock units generally vest over a period of 4 years.

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

1997 Paradise Stock Option Plan

The 1997 Paradise Stock Option Plan (Paradise Plan) provided for the granting of incentive stock options (ISOs) to employees of Paradise Electronics Inc. (Paradise), a wholly owned subsidiary of Genesis and nonstatutory stock options (NSOs) to Paradise employees, directors, and consultants. As a result of the merger of Paradise with Genesis in May 1999, each outstanding option or right to purchase shares of Paradise common stock became exercisable for Genesis shares of common stock, adjusted to reflect the exchange ratio of Genesis shares of common stock for Paradise common stock in the merger. No additional options will be granted under the Paradise Plan. Upon exercise, expiration or cancellation of all of the options granted under the Paradise Plan, this plan will be terminated.

1997 Non-Employee Stock Option Plan

The 1997 Non-Employee Stock Option Plan (Non-Employee Plan) provides for the granting to non-employee directors and consultants of Genesis of options for up to 500,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of stock options granted under the Non-Employee Plan may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of common stock subject to the option on the date of the grant. Options granted under the Non-Employee Plan have a term of up to ten years and generally vest over periods of up to two years. As of March 31, 2007, there were 70,000 shares available for grant under the Non-Employee Plan.

2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan

The 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan (2000 Plan) provides for the granting to employees and non-employees of nonstatutory stock options and stock appreciation rights for up to 1,500,000 shares of common stock plus an annual increase to be added on the first day of each fiscal year equal to the least of (i) 2,000,000 shares of common stock, (ii) 3.5% of the outstanding shares on such date, or (iii) an amount determined by the Board of Directors of Genesis. The exercise price of stock options granted under the 2000 Plan has not been less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of common stock subject to the option at the date of grant. The options generally have a term of 10 years and vest over four years. As of March 31, 2007, there were 1,475,000 shares available for grant under the 2000 Employee Plan. In the quarter ended September 30, 2005, the Company amended the 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan to allow the granting of stock appreciation rights. The Company has not issued any stock appreciation rights to date.

2001 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan

The 2001 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan (2001 Employee Plan) provides for the granting to employees of nonstatutory stock options for up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of stock options granted under the 2001 Employee Plan are determined by the plan administrator but have not been less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of common stock subject to the option at the date of grant. The options generally have a term of 10 years and vest over four years. As of March 31, 2007, there were 107,000 shares available for grant under the 2001 Employee Plan.

2003 Stock Plan

The 2003 Stock Plan (2003 Stock Plan) provides for the granting to newly hired employees of nonstatutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock purchase rights, restricted stock, performance shares and performance units for up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock. The exercise price of stock options granted under the 2003 Stock Plan have not been less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of common stock subject to the option at the date of grant. The options generally have a term of 10 years and vest over four years. As of March 31, 2007, there were 119,000 shares available for grant under the 2003 Employee Plan.

F-20

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Sage Stock Option Plan

The Sage Stock Option Plan (Sage Plan) provided for the granting of ISOs to employees of Sage, a wholly owned subsidiary of Genesis and NSOs to Sage employees, directors, and consultants. As a result of the purchase of Sage in 2002, each outstanding option or right to purchase shares of Sage common stock is exercisable for Genesis shares of common stock, adjusted to reflect the exchange ratio of Genesis shares of common stock to Sage common stock in the purchase and sale agreement. No additional options will be granted under the Sage Plan. Upon exercise, expiration or cancellation of all of the options granted under the Sage Plan, this plan will be terminated.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Genesis has established an employee stock purchase plan under which employees may authorize payroll deductions of up to 15% of their compensation (as defined in the plan) to purchase shares of common stock at a price equal to 85% of the lower of the fair market values as of the beginning or the end of each six month purchase period within an offering period. The plan provides for the purchase of 500,000 shares of common stock plus an annual increase to restore the number of shares available for purchase under the plan to 500,000. As of March 31, 2007, there were 215,000 shares available for issuance under this plan.

Effective July 1, 2006, awards granted pursuant to the plan may be exercised at the end of each six month purchase period within a twelve month offering period. The offering period of awards granted prior to July 1, 2006 was approximately twenty-four months. On May 10, 2007, we amended the plan to make the final offering period six months instead of twelve months.

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)***Summary of Stock Options*

Details of stock option transactions are as follows:

| | Number of Options (In thousands) | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Life (Years) |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Outstanding, March 31, 2004 | 7,272 | \$ 15.01 | 7.90 |
| Issued | 2,729 | 14.93 | |
| Exercised | (423) | 8.79 | |
| Forfeited | (568) | 13.92 | |
| Expired | (205) | 21.02 | |
| Outstanding, March 31, 2005 | 8,805 | 15.22 | 7.49 |
| Issued | 760 | 19.98 | |
| Exercised | (2,065) | 12.66 | |
| Forfeited | (291) | 14.00 | |
| Expired | (82) | 22.55 | |
| Outstanding, March 31, 2006 | 7,127 | 16.43 | 6.74 |
| Issued | 1,276 | 11.17 | |
| Exercised | (536) | 8.36 | |
| Forfeited | (620) | 15.37 | |
| Expired | (893) | 20.32 | |
| Outstanding, March 31, 2007 | 6,354 | \$ 15.61 | 5.90 |
| Exercisable, March 31, 2005 | 4,359 | \$ 16.10 | 6.34 |
| Exercisable, March 31, 2006 | 4,267 | \$ 16.67 | 6.10 |
| Exercisable, March 31, 2007 | 4,239 | \$ 16.52 | 5.72 |

During the year ended March 31, 2007, 1,402,452 stock options vested.

At March 31, 2007, compensation expense of \$14,806 related to non-vested stock options has not been recognized. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.07 years. The total intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable at March 31, 2007 was \$1,192 and \$1,140, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended March 31, 2007 was \$1,815. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$19,985 and \$2,871, respectively.

For the year ended March 31, 2007, cash in the amount of \$8,707 was received as the result of the exercise of options granted under share-based payment arrangements which includes \$3,703 for stock options exercised and \$5,004 for the sale of shares in connection with the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

F-22

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)***Summary of Restricted Stock Units*

Details of restricted stock unit transactions are as follows:

| | Number of RSUs (In thousands) | | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------|--|----|---|
| Nonvested, March 31, 2005 | | \$ | |
| Granted | 270 | | 19.93 |
| Forfeited | (4) | | 19.80 |
| Nonvested, March 31, 2006 | 266 | | 19.93 |
| Granted | 609 | | 11.47 |
| Vested | (112) | | 11.12 |
| Forfeited | (74) | | 15.06 |
| Nonvested, March 31, 2007 | 689 | \$ | 13.15 |

At March 31, 2007, compensation expense of \$5,837 related to non-vested restricted stock units has not been recognized. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.48 years. The total fair value of restricted stock units vested during the year ended March 31, 2007 was \$1,205. No restricted stock units vested during the year ended March 31, 2006.

The Company's policy is to satisfy stock option exercises and RSUs by issuing new shares of common stock. No cash was used by the Company to settle equity instruments granted under stock-based compensation arrangements.

10. INCOME TAXES

The provision for (recovery of) income taxes consists of:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|----------|--|--|--|
| Current | \$ 3,316 | \$ 3,177 | \$ 6,386 |
| Deferred | 10,899 | 2,905 | (3,432) |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|----|--------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Total | \$ | 14,215 | \$ | 6,082 | \$ | 2,954 |
|-------|----|--------|----|-------|----|-------|

F-23

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The provision for (recovery of) income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before provision for income taxes. The sources and tax effects of the differences are as follows:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|--|--|--|--|
| Basic federal rate applied to income before provision for (recovery of) income taxes | \$ (44,244) | \$ 8,320 | \$ (2,208) |
| Adjustments resulting from: | | | |
| State and provincial income taxes | (7,808) | 1,468 | (390) |
| Non-deductible expenses and other permanent differences | 5,293 | 324 | 2,672 |
| Non-deductible impairment of goodwill and intangible assets | 40,400 | | |
| Research and development deductions and investment tax credits | (3,740) | (3,668) | (1,276) |
| Foreign exchange and tax rate differences | (7,834) | (9,870) | (9,371) |
| Tax on repatriation from foreign subsidiary | | | 3,701 |
| Change in valuation allowance | 30,174 | 9,403 | 9,745 |
| Other items | 1,974 | 105 | 81 |
| Total | \$ 14,215 | \$ 6,082 | \$ 2,954 |

Pretax income from foreign operations was \$9,122, \$42,979 and \$34,609 for the years ended March 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

On October 22, 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (AJCA) was signed into law. The AJCA includes a deduction of 85% of certain foreign earnings that are repatriated, as defined in the AJCA. In March 2005, the Company repatriated \$73,000 of earnings and profits in accordance with certain provisions of the AJCA. A charge of \$3,701 associated with this repatriation is included in the provision for income taxes for the year ended March 31, 2005. Under normal circumstances, U.S. income and foreign withholding taxes are not provided on certain unremitted earnings of international affiliates which Genesis considers to be indefinitely reinvested in the foreign jurisdiction. A deferred tax liability will be recognized when the Company can no longer demonstrate that it plans to indefinitely reinvest the undistributed earnings. As of March 31, 2007, the undistributed earnings of these affiliates were approximately \$49,000. The Company has not recognized a deferred tax liability of approximately \$19,600 for the unremitted earnings of its foreign affiliates.

Significant components of Genesis' deferred tax assets are as follows:

| March 31, 2007 | March 31, 2006 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|---------------------------|---------------------------|

Edgar Filing: GENESIS MICROCHIP INC /DE - Form 10-K/A

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Acquisition-related intangibles | \$ (1,864) | \$ (1,864) |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 69,563 | 55,616 |
| Research tax credit carryforwards | 23,916 | 20,317 |
| Net capital loss carryforwards | 6,157 | 7,137 |
| Other | 5,727 | 2,596 |
| Net deferred tax asset | 103,499 | 83,802 |
| Less valuation allowance | (103,247) | (72,651) |
| Total | \$ 252 | \$ 11,151 |

F-24

Table of Contents

Genesis Microchip Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The valuation allowance increased by \$30,596 during the year ended March 31, 2007 (2006 \$14,825), primarily as a result of the Company recording a full valuation allowance against the tax attributes in both Canada and the United States.

The valuation allowance includes \$13,232 (2006 \$13,232) arising from acquired losses and research credits, which, if realized, will be credited to goodwill. The valuation allowance also includes \$22,662 (2006 \$22,434) of losses arising from stock option deductions of which subsequently recognized tax benefits will be recorded as additional paid-in capital. No such benefit was realized during 2007, 2006 or 2005.

In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of its deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible in the appropriate jurisdiction. Management considers projected future taxable income, uncertainties related to the industry in which Genesis operates and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, states that forming a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed is difficult when there is negative evidence, such as losses in the jurisdictions to which the deferred tax asset relate. As a result of the review of goodwill and intangible assets undertaken in the third quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company concluded that it was appropriate to establish a further valuation allowance. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences net of the existing valuation allowance.

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In March 2006, Genesis made an equity investment in Mobilygen Corp, and Elias Antoun, our president and CEO, joined Mobilygen's Board of Directors.

In March 2006, we entered into a cross-licensing agreement with Mobilygen Corp., a privately held company that is developing H.264 and other video codec solutions for mobile devices. The agreement will give both companies access to certain technologies for select markets and enables them to jointly define future products to complement existing product portfolios.

The investment in Mobilygen is recorded within other long term assets. No financial transactions were undertaken with Mobilygen during the year ended March 31, 2007.

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****12. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE**

The following table reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share computation as required by SFAS 128:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|--|--|--|--|
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (144,341) | \$ 18,390 | \$ (9,447) |
| Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share (in thousands): | | | |
| Weighted average common shares | 36,514 | 34,909 | 33,084 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$ (3.95) | \$ 0.53 | \$ (0.29) |
| Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share (in thousands): | | | |
| Weighted average common shares | 36,514 | 34,909 | 33,084 |
| Stock options | | 1,968 | |
| Shares used in computing diluted earnings (loss) per share | 36,514 | 36,877 | 33,084 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$ (3.95) | \$ 0.50 | \$ (0.29) |
| Anti-dilutive potential common shares excluded from above calculation (in thousands): | 6,837 | 5,804 | 8,251 |

Had Genesis been profitable during the year ended March 31, 2007, 313,000 shares would have been added to weighted average shares for the purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share (2005 1,192,000 shares).

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES***Lease commitments***

Genesis leases premises in the United States, Canada, India, Taiwan, Japan, South Korea, Singapore, China and Turkey under operating leases that expire between April 2007 and January 2012. In addition, certain equipment is leased under non-cancelable operating leases expiring in various years through 2011. Future minimum lease payments by fiscal year are as follows:

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2008 | \$ 4,958 |
| 2009 | 4,464 |
| 2010 | 2,529 |
| 2011 | 1,863 |
| 2012 | 1,065 |
| Thereafter | |
| Total | \$ 14,879 |

Rental expense was \$5,580 for the year ended March 31, 2007, \$4,244 for the year ended March 31, 2006, and \$4,249 for the year ended March 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)*****Legal proceedings***

Genesis is not a party to any material legal proceedings.

Supply arrangements

Genesis subcontracts portions of its semiconductor manufacturing from several suppliers and no single production process for any single product is performed by more than one supplier. Should our wafer supplier or any of Genesis packaging or testing subcontractors cease to be available, management believes that this would have a material adverse effect on Genesis' business, financial condition and results of operations. Genesis has no guarantees of minimum capacity from its suppliers and is not liable for any material minimum purchase commitments.

Guarantees and indemnifications

In connection with certain agreements that we have executed in the past, we have at times provided indemnities to cover the indemnified party for matters such as product liability. We have also on occasion included intellectual property indemnification provisions in the terms of our technology related agreements with third parties. Maximum potential future payments cannot be estimated because many of these agreements do not have a maximum stated liability. However, historic costs related to these indemnification provisions have not been significant. We have not recorded any liability in our consolidated financial statements for such indemnities.

14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Genesis operates and tracks its results in one operating segment. Genesis designs, develops and markets integrated circuits that manipulate and process digital video and graphic images. The target market is the advanced display market including LCD monitors and flat-panel televisions.

Geographic information

Geographic revenue information is based on the shipment destination. Long-lived assets include property and equipment, as well as intangible assets. Property and equipment information is based on the physical location of the asset while the intangible assets are based on the location of the owning entity.

Revenues from unaffiliated customers by geographic region were as follows:

| | Year Ended March 31, 2007 | Year Ended March 31, 2006 | Year Ended March 31, 2005 |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| United States | \$ 1,180 | \$ 3,493 | \$ 8,803 |
| China | 83,707 | 115,016 | 78,167 |
| Japan | 24,005 | 27,356 | 15,289 |
| South Korea | 53,256 | 51,487 | 52,871 |

Edgar Filing: GENESIS MICROCHIP INC /DE - Form 10-K/A

| | | | |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Taiwan | 20,307 | 28,704 | 28,824 |
| Europe | 24,705 | 31,131 | 13,334 |
| Rest of world | 7,457 | 12,319 | 6,827 |
| Total | \$ 214,617 | \$ 269,506 | \$ 204,115 |

F-27

Table of Contents**Genesis Microchip Inc.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Net long-lived assets by country were as follows:

| | March 31, 2007 | March 31, 2006 |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| United States | \$ 94,716 | \$ 197,561 |
| Rest of world | 10,933 | 9,934 |
| Total | \$ 105,649 | \$ 207,495 |

Concentration information

The following table shows the percentage of our revenues in the years ended March 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 that was derived from customers who individually accounted for more than 10% of revenues in that year:

| | Year Ended March 31, | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Customer A | 16% | 15% | 15% |
| Customer B | 10% | 10% | |
| Customer C | 10% | 10% | |
| Customer D | | | 10% |

The following table shows customers accounting for more than 10% of accounts receivable trade at March 31, 2007 and March 31, 2006:

| | Year Ended March 31, | |
|------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Customer 1 | 36% | 22% |
| Customer 2 | 13% | 12% |
| Customer 3 | | 12% |
| Customer 4 | | 11% |

15. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

We have reclassified certain prior year information to conform to the current year's presentation.

Table of Contents**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Principal Accounting Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Principal Accounting Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Please see Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting under Item 8 of this Form 10-K/A, which report is incorporated herein by reference.

(b) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting.* There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE****DIRECTORS**

Currently, there are seven (7) members of our Board of Directors. The following table sets forth certain information concerning our current directors.

| Name | Age | Position | Director Since |
|--|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Class III Directors Whose Terms Expire at the 2007 Annual Meeting | | | |
| Jon Castor(1)(4) | 55 | Director | 2004 |
| Chieh Chang(2)(3) | 55 | Director | 2004 |
| Jeffrey Diamond(2)(4) | 55 | Chairman of the Board | 2001 |

Class I Directors Whose Terms Expire at the 2008 Annual Meeting:

| | | | |
|--------------------------|----|----------|------|
| Tim Christoffersen(1)(3) | 65 | Director | 2002 |
| Robert H. Kidd(1)(4) | 63 | Director | 2002 |

Class II Directors Whose Terms Expire at the 2009 Annual Meeting:

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----|---|------|
| Chandrashekar M. Reddy(2)(3) | 47 | Director | 2002 |
| Elias Antoun | 51 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | 2004 |

(1) Member of the Audit Committee.

(2) Member of the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

(3) Member of the Corporate Governance Committee.

(4) Member of the Nominating Committee.

Jon Castor has been a director of Genesis since November 2004. From January 2004 to June 2004, Mr. Castor was an Executive Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of Zoran Corporation, and from August 2003 to December 2003, he was Senior Vice President and General Manager of Zoran's DTV Division. From October 2002 to August 2003, Mr. Castor was the Senior Vice President and General Manager of the TeraLogic Group at Oak Technology Inc., a developer of integrated circuits (ICs) and software for digital televisions and printers which was acquired by Zoran. Prior to that, Mr. Castor co-founded TeraLogic, Inc., a developer of digital television ICs, software and systems in June 1996 where he served in several capacities including as its President, Chief Financial Officer and director from June 1996 to November 2000, and as its Chief Executive Officer and director from November 2000 to October 2002, when it was acquired by Oak Technology. Mr. Castor also serves on the Board of Directors of Adaptec Inc. (NASDAQ: ADPT), a data storage solutions company, and as a member of its Audit and Compensation Committees. Mr. Castor also serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Artimi, Inc., an ultrawideband wireless technology company, where he is also Chairman of the Compensation Committee, and as Chairman of the Board of Omneon Video Networks, a broadcast media server and storage company, where he is also Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Audit Committee. Mr. Castor received his B.A. with distinction from Northwestern University and his M.B.A. from Stanford Graduate School of Business.

Chieh Chang has been a director of Genesis since November 2004. Mr. Chang has been a member of the board of directors of Oplink Communications, Inc. since September 1995. Since February 2003, Mr. Chang has served as Vice Chairman of Chingis Technology Corporation., a fabless semiconductor design company, and from February 2000 to February 2003, as its Chief Executive Officer. From April 1992 to August 1996, Mr. Chang was the Director of Technology at Cirrus Logic, Inc., a semiconductor company. Mr. Chang received his B.S. in Electrical Engineering from the National Taiwan University and his M.S. in Electrical Engineering from UCLA.

Jeffrey Diamond was appointed Chairman of the Board of Directors in July 2003, and has served as a director since April 2001. After our acquisition of Paradise Electronics, Inc. in May 1999, Mr. Diamond also served as an executive officer and as a consultant to Genesis through December 2000. Prior to that, he served as a director of Paradise from its inception in 1996 and as its Chief Executive Officer from September 1998 until May 1999. Mr. Diamond held senior management positions at Cirrus Logic, Inc. from April 1992 to March 1995. Mr. Diamond received his B.S. in Business Administration from the University of Illinois.

Tim Christoffersen was appointed as a director in August 2002. Mr. Christoffersen served as Chief Financial Officer of Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. (MPS), a semiconductor company, from June 2004 to April 2006, and served on MPS's board of directors from March 2004 to July 2004. Since January 1999, Mr. Christoffersen has been a financial consultant to technology companies. Prior to that, Mr. Christoffersen served as Chief Financial Officer of NeoParadigm Labs, Inc. from 1998 to 1999 and as Chief Financial Officer of Chips & Technologies, Inc. from 1994 until its sale to Intel Corporation in 1998. Mr. Christoffersen was Executive Vice President, Director and Chief Operating Officer of Resonex, Inc. from 1991 to 1992. From 1986 to 1991, Mr. Christoffersen held several managerial positions with Ford Motor Company. Mr. Christoffersen is a Phi Beta Kappa graduate of Stanford University where he earned a B.A. in Economics. He also holds a Master's degree in Divinity from Union Theological Seminary in New York City.

Robert H. Kidd was appointed as a director in August 2002. Mr. Kidd serves as President of Location Research Company of Canada Limited, a consulting company. Mr. Kidd also serves as a director of Hostopia.com (TSX: H), a provider of private-label wholesale hosting, email, and application services, and as Vice Chairman of Appleby

College Foundation. Mr. Kidd served as Chief Financial Officer of Technology Convergence Inc. from 2000 to 2002, of Lions Gate Entertainment Corp. from 1997 to 1998, and of InContext Systems Inc. from 1995 to 1996. He served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Director of George Weston Limited from 1981 to 1995, as a partner of Thome Riddell, Chartered Accountants, a predecessor firm of KPMG LLP, from 1973 to 1981 and as a Lecturer in Finance, Faculty of Management Studies, University of Toronto, from 1971 to 1981. Mr. Kidd has served on several professional committees, including the Toronto Stock Exchange Investors & Issuers Advisory Committee from 1993 to 1998, the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants Emerging Issues Committee from 1992 to 1997 and the Canadian Securities Administrators Committee on Conflicts of Interest in Underwriting from

Table of Contents

1994 to 1996. Mr. Kidd has a B. Commerce from the University of Toronto and an M.B.A. from York University. Mr. Kidd is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Ontario.

Chandrashekar M. Reddy joined Genesis as a director upon its acquisition of Sage, Inc. in February 2002. He served as Vice Chairman and as Executive Vice President, Engineering of Genesis from February 2002 to November 2002. He served as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Sage from its inception in 1994 until its acquisition by Genesis in February 2002. Mr. Reddy served as the Chief Executive Officer of Athena Semiconductors, Inc., a wireless communications business, from December 2002 to October 2005 and as a member of its Board of Directors from January 2002 to October 2005, when it was acquired by Broadcom Corp. From 1986 to 1995, Mr. Reddy held several design and program management positions at Intel Corporation. Mr. Reddy also serves on the Board of Directors of Sonoros Corp., a privately held company. Mr. Reddy received an M.S. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Wisconsin, Madison and a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology.

Elias Antoun has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and a member of our Board of Directors since November 2004. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Antoun served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Pixim, Inc., an imaging solution provider for the video surveillance market, between March 2004 and November 2004. From February 2000 to August 2003, Mr. Antoun served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of MediaQ, Inc., a mobile handheld graphics IC company acquired by NVIDIA Corporation in August 2003. From January 1991 to February 2000, Mr. Antoun held a variety of positions with LSI Logic Corporation, most recently serving as Executive Vice President of the Consumer Products Division from 1998 until his departure in January 2000. Mr. Antoun served as a Director of HPL Technologies, Inc. from August 2000 to December 2005, and as Chairman of the Board of Directors of HPL Technologies, Inc. from July 2002 to December 2005. Mr. Antoun received a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from UCLA, and an M.B.A. from Stanford Graduate School of Business.

The Board of Directors, its Committees and Meetings

Board of Directors. The Board of Directors held 26 meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. Each director attended or participated telephonically in 75% or more of the aggregate of (i) the total number of the meetings of the Board of Directors (held during the period for which such director was a director) and (ii) the total number of meetings of all committees on which such director served (held during the period for which such director served as a committee member) during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007.

A majority of the directors on the Company's Board of Directors are independent within the meaning of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. director independence standards, as currently in effect. The Board of Directors has determined that each of its current directors, except Elias Antoun, has no material relationship with Genesis and is independent. In addition, the independent members of the Board of Directors met numerous times during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007.

Our Board of Directors has standing Compensation, Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and evaluates the compensation and benefits of our officers, reviews general policy matters relating to compensation and benefits of our employees and makes recommendations concerning these matters to the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee also administers our stock option plans and stock purchase plan. The Compensation Committee held 19 meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007.

Currently, our Compensation Committee consists of Mr. Diamond, Mr. Chang and Mr. Reddy, each of whom qualify as independent in accordance with the published listing requirements of Nasdaq. Mr. Diamond serves as chairman of

this committee. The current Compensation Committee charter is available at our Web site located at www.gnss.com.

Audit Committee. Among other things, the Audit Committee reviews the scope and timing of audit services and any other services that our independent accountants are asked to perform, the auditors' report on our consolidated financial statements following completion of their audit and our policies and procedures with respect

Table of Contents

to internal accounting and financial controls. The Audit Committee also reviews and approves any related party transactions. The Audit Committee approves, in advance, all permissible non-audit services provided by the company's independent accountants.

Currently, our Audit Committee consists of Mr. Christoffersen, Mr. Castor and Mr. Kidd. Mr. Kidd serves as chairman of this committee. The Audit Committee held 15 meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007. In addition to qualifying as independent in accordance with the published listing requirements of Nasdaq, each member of the Audit Committee qualifies as independent under special standards established by the SEC for members of audit committees. The Audit Committee also includes at least one independent member who is determined by the Board of Directors to meet the qualifications of an audit committee financial expert in accordance with SEC rules, including that the person meets the relevant definition of an independent director. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the current Audit Committee members is independent and an audit committee financial expert. Stockholders should understand that this designation is a disclosure requirement of the SEC related to the Audit Committee members' experience and understanding with respect to certain accounting and auditing matters. The designation as an audit committee financial expert does not impose upon an Audit Committee member any duties, obligations or liability that are greater than are generally imposed on him as a member of the Audit Committee and the Board of Directors, and his designation as an audit committee financial expert pursuant to this SEC requirement does not affect the duties, obligations or liability of any other member of the Audit Committee or the Board of Directors. The current Audit Committee charter is available at our Web site located at www.gnss.com.

Nominating Committee. The Nominating Committee is responsible for seeking, screening and recommending for nomination candidates for election to the Board of Directors and appointments to the Board of Directors to fill any vacancies. In so doing, the Nominating Committee may evaluate, among other things:

The current size, composition and needs of the Board of Directors and its committees;

such factors as judgment, independence, character and integrity, area of expertise, diversity of experience, length of service, and potential conflicts of interest of candidates; and

such other factors as the Nominating Committee may consider appropriate.

These factors, and any other qualifications considered useful by the Nominating Committee, are reviewed in the context of an assessment of the perceived needs of the Board of Directors at a particular point in time. As a result, the priorities and emphasis of the Nominating Committee and of the Board of Directors may change from time to time to take into account changes in business and other trends, and the portfolio of skills and experience of current and prospective Board of Directors members. Therefore, the Nominating Committee has not established any specific minimum criteria or qualifications that a nominee must possess. The current Nominating Committee charter is available at our Web site located at www.gnss.com.

The Nominating Committee will evaluate candidates identified on its own initiative as well as candidates referred to it by other members of the Board of Directors, by our management, by stockholders who submit names to the Nominating Committee, or by other external sources. Since our last annual meeting in 2006, we have not employed a search firm or paid fees to other third parties in connection with seeking or evaluating Board of Directors nominee candidates.

With regard to referrals from our stockholders, the Nominating Committee's policy is to consider recommendations for candidates to the Board of Directors from stockholders holding not less than 1% of our outstanding common stock continuously for at least twelve months prior to the date of the submission of the recommendation. Candidates suggested by stockholders are evaluated using the same criteria as for other candidates. A stockholder that desires to

recommend a candidate for election to the Board of Directors shall direct the recommendation in written correspondence by letter to Genesis Microchip Inc., attention of the Company's Secretary, at our offices at 2525 Augustine Drive, Santa Clara, California 95054. Such notice must include the candidate's name, home and business contact information, detailed biographical data, relevant qualifications, a signed letter from the candidate confirming willingness to serve, information regarding any relationships between the candidate and Genesis within the last three years, evidence of the required ownership of common stock by the recommending stockholder, and to the extent known by the stockholder, any relationships between the candidate and competitors, customers, suppliers

Table of Contents

and any other parties that might give rise to the appearance of a potential conflict of interest. Any stockholder who wishes to make a direct nomination for election to the Board of Directors at an annual or special meeting for the election of directors must comply with procedures set forth in our bylaws.

Currently, our Nominating Committee consists of Mr. Diamond, Mr. Castor and Mr. Kidd, each of whom is independent in accordance with the published listing requirements of Nasdaq. Mr. Diamond serves as chairman of this committee. The Nominating Committee held two meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007.

The current Nominating Committee charter is available at our Web site located at www.gnss.com.

Corporate Governance Committee. The Corporate Governance Committee oversees the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, except for the financial reporting controls and procedures overseen by the Audit Committee, and recommends to the Board of Directors the adoption of any measures it deems advisable for the improvement of disclosure controls and procedures. Currently, our Corporate Governance Committee consists of Messrs. Christoffersen, Chang and Reddy. Mr. Christoffersen serves as chairman of this committee. The Corporate Governance Committee held two meetings during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007.

The current Corporate Governance Committee charter is available at our Web site located at www.gnss.com.

Corporate Governance.

We believe transparent, effective, and accountable corporate governance practices are key elements of our relationship with our stockholders. To help our stockholders understand our commitment to this relationship and our governance practices, several of our key governance initiatives are summarized below.

Corporate Governance Guidelines. Our Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which govern, among other things, Board member criteria (including limits on the number of boards upon which directors may serve), responsibilities, compensation and education, Board committee composition and charters, management succession, and Board self-evaluation. You can access these Corporate Governance Guidelines, along with other materials such as committee charters, on our website at www.gnss.com.

Code of Ethics. We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer and all members of our finance department, including the principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. This Code of Ethics-Financial, as well as our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which applies to all employees generally, are posted on our Website. The Internet address for our Website is <http://www.gnss.com>, and both codes may be found as follows:

1. From our main Web page, first click on Investors,
2. Next, click on Corporate Governance.
3. Finally, click on Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or Code of Ethics-Financial.

We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05(c) of Form 8-K regarding certain amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of this code of ethics by posting such information on our website, at the address and location specified above, within four business days of such amendment or waiver.

Director attendance at annual meetings. Genesis does not have a formal policy regarding the attendance of its directors at annual or special meetings of stockholders, but the Company encourages directors to attend such

meetings. Of the two directors elected at the September 13, 2006 annual meeting and the five continuing directors who were not up for re-election at that meeting, all seven directors attended that meeting.

Director continuing education. Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Genesis encourages the directors to attend appropriate continuing education classes every two years. During the last two years, each member of our Board of Directors attended a director education program endorsed by Institutional Shareholder Services, except for Mr. Chang.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table lists the names and positions held by each of our executive officers as of March 31, 2007:

| Name | Age | Position |
|--------------------|------------|--|
| Elias Antoun | 51 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Michael Healy(1) | 45 | Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President, Finance |
| Behrooz Yadegar(2) | 47 | Senior Vice President, Product Development |
| Hildy Shandell(3) | 51 | Senior Vice President, Corporate Development |
| Anders Frisk(4) | 51 | Executive Vice President |
| Ernest Lin | 53 | Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales |
| Jeffrey Lin(5) | 34 | General Counsel |
| Ava Hahn(6) | 34 | Associate General Counsel and Secretary |

- (1) On May 16, 2007, Michael Healy terminated employment.
- (2) On May 16, 2006, Behrooz Yadegar joined the company.
- (3) On September 12, 2006, Hildy Shandell joined the company.
- (4) In June 2007, Anders Frisk resigned from the company and will terminate employment on July 31, 2007.
- (5) On June 8, 2007, Jeffrey Lin was appointed Secretary of the company.
- (6) In May 2007, Ava Hahn resigned from the company and will terminate employment on June 12, 2007.

Elias Antoun has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since November 2004. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Antoun served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Pixim, Inc., an imaging solution provider for the video surveillance market, between March 2004 and November 2004. From February 2000 to August 2003, Mr. Antoun served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of MediaQ, Inc., a mobile handheld graphics IC company acquired by NVIDIA Corporation in August 2003. From January 1991 to February 2000, Mr. Antoun held a variety of positions with LSI Logic Corporation, most recently serving as Executive Vice President of the Consumer Products Division from 1998 until his departure in January 2000. Mr. Antoun served as a Director of HPL Technologies, Inc. from August 2000 to December 2005, and as Chairman of the Board of Directors of HPL Technologies, Inc. from July 2002 to December 2005.

Michael Healy joined Genesis in February 2004 as Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Finance, and terminated employment in May 2007. Previously, Mr. Healy served as Chief Financial Officer of Jamcracker, Inc., a software and application service provider, from November 2002 to February 2004. From September 1997 to January 2002, Mr. Healy held senior level finance positions at Exodus Communications, including Senior Vice President of Finance. Prior to then, he held various senior financial management positions at Apple Computer, and was an auditor at Deloitte & Touche. Mr. Healy holds a bachelor's degree in accounting from Santa Clara University and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Healy is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the California Society of Certified Public Accountants.

Behrooz Yadegar joined Genesis in May 2006 as Senior Vice President, Product Development. Prior to joining Genesis, Mr. Yadegar served as the Vice President of Engineering and Operations for Cortina Systems Inc., a global communications supplier of port connectivity solutions to the networking and telecommunications sector, from March 2004 to April 2006. From October 2000 to August 2003, Mr. Yadegar was the Senior Vice President of Engineering and Operations at MediaQ, Inc., which was acquired by NVIDIA in 2003. Previously, Mr. Yadegar held senior technical management positions at Silicon Graphics, MIPS and Intel. Mr. Yadegar holds B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from the University of Missouri.

Hildy Shandell joined Genesis Microchip in September 2006 as Senior Vice President of Corporate Development. Prior to joining Genesis, Ms. Shandell was the Vice President of Corporate Development at Broadcom Corporation, a broadband communications semiconductor company, from September 2002 until September 2006. From January 1999 until May 2002, Ms. Shandell was with 3Dlabs Inc., a developer of graphics semiconductors, where she was most recently Chief Operating Officer. From January 1995 until January 1999, Ms. Shandell was Of

Table of Contents

Counsel with Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom. From April 1994 until January 1999, Ms. Shandell also served as managing director of The Renaissance Fund, a private equity fund focused on high technology and infrastructure investments related to Israel. Prior to that, Ms. Shandell was a partner at Fulbright & Jaworski. Ms. Shandell holds a B.A. degree in Sociology and Government from Lehigh University and a J.D. from Temple University School of Law.

Anders Frisk has served as Executive Vice President since January 2003. Mr. Frisk joined Genesis in March 2000 as Vice President, Marketing. Prior to then, he served as Director of Technology Planning with Nokia from February 1998 to March 2000, and as PC Architecture Manager with Fujitsu ICL Computers from April 1991 to January 1998. Mr. Frisk has served on the board of the Video Electronics Standards Association, or VESA, and chaired VESA's Monitor Committee for four years. Mr. Frisk holds a master's degree in electrical engineering from Stockholm's Royal Institute of Technology. Mr. Frisk has resigned from the company and will terminate employment on July 31, 2007.

Ernest Lin has served as Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales since January 2005. Prior to joining Genesis, Mr. Lin served as vice president of global sales at NeoMagic Corporation from December 2001 to December 2004. Prior to then, Mr. Lin served as executive vice president of business operations for LinkUp System Corporation from September 1997 until its acquisition by NeoMagic in December 2001. Additionally, Mr. Lin was instrumental in building Cirrus Logic's business in the Asia Pacific region. During his 12 year tenure at Cirrus Logic, he held several executive management, sales and engineering positions, including vice president, Asia Pacific Sales. Mr. Lin holds an MBA from Santa Clara University, a Master's degree in computer science from the University of Utah and a BSEE from the National Taiwan University in Taipei, Taiwan.

Jeffrey Lin joined Genesis in September 2004 and has served as General Counsel since August 2005. Mr. Lin was appointed Secretary in June 2007. Prior to joining Genesis, from June 1999 to August 2004, Mr. Lin was an associate with Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C., where he focused on technology transactions for private and public companies. Prior to that, Mr. Lin was an attorney at the Federal Trade Commission, where he worked on antitrust matters in the microprocessor industry. Mr. Lin holds a B.S. from the University of Michigan and a J.D. from UCLA School of Law.

Ava Hahn joined Genesis in August 2002 as Corporate Counsel. From May 2003 to August 2005, she served as General Counsel, and since October 2003, she has also served as Secretary. In addition, Ms. Hahn was Assistant Secretary from September 2002 to October 2003. From August 2000 to August 2002, Ms. Hahn was Director, Legal Affairs at LuxN, Inc., an optical networking company. Prior to then, from August 1997 to August 2000, Ms. Hahn was an associate attorney with Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C. Ms. Hahn holds a bachelor's degree from the University of California at Berkeley and a J.D. from Columbia Law School. Ms. Hahn has resigned from the company and will terminate employment on June 12, 2007.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management, and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A.

Compensation Committee

Jeffrey Diamond (Chair)

Chieh Chang

Chandrashekar M. Reddy

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Compensation Program Objectives and Philosophy

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors oversees the design and administration of our executive officer compensation program. Our Compensation Committee's philosophy in structuring and administering our executive officer compensation program is to maximize stockholder value over time by closely aligning the interests of the executive officers with those of our stockholders. To achieve this goal of maximizing stockholder value over time, the primary objectives of our executive officer compensation program are to:

Offer compensation opportunities that attract and retain executives whose abilities are critical to our long-term success;

Motivate executives to perform at their highest level and reward outstanding achievement;

Maintain a significant portion of the executive's total compensation at risk, tied to achievement of financial, organizational and management performance goals; and

Encourage executives to manage from the perspective of owners with an equity stake in Genesis.

Determination of Compensation

Our Compensation Committee, in conjunction with our CEO and our Vice President, Human Resources, reviews at least annually our executive officers' compensation levels to determine whether they provide adequate incentives and motivation to our executive officers. The Compensation Committee determines executive compensation based on an evaluation of the responsibilities, experience and performance levels of each individual executive officer as well as an evaluation of our overall effectiveness in attracting and retaining executives under our current business circumstances. For example, as further described in the Risk Factors section of this Form 10-K/A, Genesis has recently experienced significant turnover in its senior management team. The Compensation Committee considers executive retention and risks associated with management turnover among other factors in determining executive compensation. To help ensure that the levels of executive compensation determined by the Compensation Committee are effective in retaining and motivating our executive officers, the Compensation Committee also reviews compensation levels of comparable executive officers in other similarly situated companies with which we compete for talent. Our Compensation Committee's most recent review occurred in late 2006 and early 2007, when our Compensation Committee retained an independent compensation consultant, Compensia, to assist it in evaluating our compensation practices and philosophy and to assist it in developing and implementing our executive compensation program. We paid Compensia \$164,587 in fiscal 2007, approximately \$75,000 of which related to consulting for our executive compensation program, with the balance primarily related to consulting for the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the Option Exchange Program proposed above. The Compensation Committee has sole authority for retaining and terminating compensation consultants.

Our compensation consultant developed a competitive peer group based on input from the company and performed an analysis of competitive performance and compensation levels. We define our competitive market for executive talent so as generally to reflect publicly traded fabless semiconductor companies headquartered in Northern California with similar revenue levels, organization structures and numbers of employees. Comparable public companies used in our analysis include the following: Electronics For Imaging, OmniVision Technologies, Zoran, Silicon Storage Technology, Standard Microsystems, PMC-Sierra, Applied Micro Circuits, Sigmatal, PortalPlayer (now Nvidia), Semtech, Silicon Image, Integrated Silicon Solution, Cirrus Logic, Pixelworks and Trident Microsystems (together,

the Peer Group).

Our Compensation Committee believes that reviewing Peer Group compensation levels can provide useful data for purposes of comparison. Peer Group compensation levels are among many factors we consider in assessing the reasonableness of our compensation and the effectiveness of our compensation in attracting and retaining talented executives. However, the Compensation Committee does not adhere to strict benchmark targets in setting compensation levels. Rather, the Compensation Committee sets compensation levels based on the skills, experience, responsibilities and achievements of each executive officer, taking into account the strategic objectives of the Company, the compensation ranges and relative performance of the Peer Group, and the recommendations of

Table of Contents

the CEO, except with respect to his own position. For example, in instances where an executive officer is uniquely key to our success, our Compensation Committee may provide compensation that is relatively high in the Peer Group range for comparable positions and/or higher in the Peer Group range than where other Company executives in different positions are in the Peer Group range for their respective comparable positions. Executives with significant experience and responsibility or a record of sustained high-performance may be paid compensation that is relatively high in the Peer Group range for their position, while executives with less experience or a shorter record of sustained high performance may be paid compensation that is relatively low in the Peer Group range for their position. Our Compensation Committee's judgments with regard to market levels of compensation were based on the advice and Peer Group data provided by the compensation consultant, on industry compensation surveys from Radford Group, and the Compensation Committee's experience with and knowledge of other similarly situated companies.

Based on an assessment by our compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee believes that average executive total compensation in fiscal 2007, including bonuses for performance in fiscal 2006 that were paid out in early fiscal 2007, approximated the 50th percentile of the Peer Group median. When bonus amounts for fiscal 2006 performance are replaced with bonus amounts for fiscal 2007 (which amounts equal zero, as further described below), average executive total compensation for fiscal 2007 was below the Peer Group median.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the tables disclosing the compensation of our named executive officers contained in this proxy, in lieu of tally sheets, in order to understand all elements of our named executives compensation.

Elements of Executive Compensation

The principal elements of our executive compensation program are base salary, potential annual cash bonus awards pursuant to our executive bonus plan, and long-term equity incentives in the form of stock options and/or restricted stock units (RSUs). We view the separate components of compensation as related but distinct. The Compensation Committee does not believe that significant compensation derived from one component of compensation should necessarily negate or offset compensation from other components.

We determine the appropriate level for each compensation component as well as for total compensation based in part, but not exclusively, on each executive's responsibilities, performance and experience levels, our specific recruiting and retention goals, our view of internal equity and consistency, competitiveness and performance relative to the Peer Group and other considerations we deem relevant, such as rewarding extraordinary performance.

Base Salary

Base salaries are a necessary component of compensation in order to attract and retain talent and are intended to recognize and reward day-to-day performance. Base salaries for our executives are established based on the scope of their responsibilities and the experience and achievements of the executive, taking into account competitive market compensation paid by other companies for similar positions. Base salaries are reviewed annually, and adjusted from time to time, typically in April along with all other employees, to realign salaries with competitive market levels after taking into account individual responsibilities, performance and experience.

Executive Bonus Plan

In fiscal 2007, our executive bonus plan provided for at risk cash compensation tied to annual performance and was intended to reward both individual achievement and achievement of corporate-level goals. We designed the bonus plan to focus our management on achieving key corporate objectives, to motivate certain desirable individual behaviors and to reward substantial achievement of our key corporate financial objectives and individual goals.

Payment pursuant to the bonus plan is based upon two components, a corporate financial component and an individual performance objective component. If the Company meets or surpasses certain minimum financial targets under the operating plan (the Operating Plan), a bonus pool will be established under this bonus plan. Specifically, these minimum targets are (a) 90% of the Operating Plan revenue, and (b) 90% of the Operating Plan non-GAAP

Table of Contents

operating income. Both (a) and (b) must be achieved in order for a bonus pool to be established under this bonus plan.

In the event a bonus pool is established under the fiscal 2007 bonus plan, executives are eligible for bonuses expressed in the tables below as a percentage of annual base salary. Under the bonus plan, each participating executive's bonus is determined based on the achievement of performance goals divided into two components: financial performance objectives and management-by-objective (MBO) performance objectives, with 50% of the target bonus allocated to each component.

The financial performance objectives were determined by our Board of Directors. The MBO performance objectives were determined by our CEO and Compensation Committee or, in the case of our CEO, by our Compensation Committee, with input from the other members of our Board of Directors.

The financial performance objectives were based on the Company's financial performance relative to our Operating Plan. The specific business goals were non-GAAP operating income and revenue, with 75% of the financial performance objectives weighted to the achievement of the non-GAAP operating income goal and 25% weighted to the achievement of revenue. For purposes of this calculation, non-GAAP operating income may be adjusted for unusual items (such as mergers and acquisitions) as determined by the CEO and Chief Financial Officer, and as approved by the Board of Directors. The achievement of these goals was determined in early fiscal 2008 by the Board of Directors. No discretion may be exercised in the determination of these financial performance objectives because the achievement of these goals may be objectively determined.

For performance less than 90% of the financial performance objectives, there is no payout. Payouts occur at a threshold level of 90% and there is a superior payout for performance with achievement at or more than 110% of the financial performance objectives. The specific percentage of our named executive officers' salaries that may be paid out upon achievement of the financial performance objectives is set out in the table below.

FINANCIAL COMPONENT BONUS AMOUNTS

| Operating Plan Performance | <90% | 90% | 100% | 110% |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Elias Antoun | 0% | 12.5% | 25% | 50% |
| Michael Healy(1) | 0% | 6.25% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Behrooz Yadegar | 0% | 6.25% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Hildy Shandell | 0% | 6.25% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Raphael Mehrbians(1) | 0% | 6.25% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Tzoyao Chan(1) | 0% | 6.25% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Anders Frisk(1) | 0% | 6.25% | 12.5% | 25% |

(1) No longer employed by the Company

Our MBO performance objectives were both quantitative and qualitative and are specific to each executive's areas of responsibility. For our CEO, the specific MBO's included the following: (i) Design wins at top TV manufacturers; (ii) Specific target achievement of market share; (iii) Specific product deliverables; and (iv) Strategic technology acquisitions. Our Compensation Committee reviewed our CEO's performance in comparison to these goals at the end of fiscal year 2007. Our CEO reviewed the performance of our other named executive officers' performance in comparison to these goals at the end of fiscal year 2007 and made a recommendation to the Compensation Committee. In determining the achievement of the qualitative MBO performance objectives, the judgment of the Compensation

Committee in the case of the CEO's MBO performance, and the Compensation Committee and the CEO in the case of other executives was involved in order to determine whether such goals were met, since the goals were not wholly objective.

Table of Contents

Under the fiscal 2007 bonus plan, for performance less than 90% achievement, there is no payout. Payouts occur at a threshold level of 90% and there is a superior payout for performance at or more than 110% of the financial goals. The specific percentage of our named executive officers' salaries that may be paid out upon achievement of the MBO component goals is set out in the table below.

MBO COMPONENT BONUS AMOUNTS

| Operating Plan Performance | <90% | 90% | 100% | 110% |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Elias Antoun | 0% | 25% | 25% | 50% |
| Michael Healy(1) | 0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Behrooz Yadegar | 0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Hildy Shandell | 0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Raphael Mehrbians(1) | 0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Tzoyao Chan(1) | 0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 25% |
| Anders Frisk(1) | 0% | 12.5% | 12.5% | 25% |

(1) No longer employed by the Company

We believe that our bonus target levels are difficult to achieve and that our executives must perform at a high level devoting their full time and attention in order to earn their respective bonuses.

In fiscal 2007, we did not achieve the minimum financial targets in comparison to our Operating Plan that are required to fund the bonus pool. As such the bonus plan was not funded, and no executives received bonuses for fiscal 2007, except that a payment of \$28,500 was made to Hildy Shandell pursuant to a guaranteed bonus provision in her offer letter.

For fiscal 2008, the Compensation Committee has decided to suspend the executive bonus plan so that there will not be any general cash bonus plan for our named executive officers for their performance in fiscal 2008, though the Compensation Committee may consider the payment of a discretionary cash bonus for exceptional performance by any of our executives. The Compensation Committee will review whether the executive bonus plan should be reinstated for fiscal 2009 prior to the beginning of the fiscal 2009 year.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Our equity incentive compensation plans have been established to provide our executive officers with incentives to help align those employees' long-term interests with the interests of stockholders. The Compensation Committee believes that long-term performance is achieved through a culture that encourages such performance by our executive officers through the use of stock and stock-based awards, because the increase in value of granted awards is dependent on the company's longer-term performance and stock price. We generally make these awards to executives when they become an executive of the company, as well as on an annual basis thereafter, typically in May. Equity award amounts are intended to make the company competitive in the market in attracting and retaining executives, while taking into consideration total compensation levels and the company's overall goals of linking pay to performance and managing the dilution of existing stockholders' interests that results from equity awards.

For our 2007 fiscal year, our equity awards consisted of a combination of stock option grants and time-vesting RSUs, with awards weighted more towards stock options as an employee's responsibilities and ability to impact the company's

financial performance increases. We believe stock options are attractive because they provide a relatively straightforward incentive to increase stockholder value over the long term, and also provide incentive for employees to continue their employment with the company. RSU awards provide additional incentive by providing employees with immediate stock ownership upon vesting, which aligns their interests with those of our stockholders. We believe that an RSU award program may consume fewer shares than options in order to achieve similar incentive levels because RSUs are immediately valuable to recipients upon vesting, in contrast to stock options, which may or may not ultimately result in realizable value to recipients. Because of the lower share consumption rate associated with RSUs, our use of RSUs may lessen our equity overhang and reduce dilution for

Table of Contents

our stockholders as well as reduce our equity compensation expenses compared to an all stock option program. Our Compensation Committee evaluates the effectiveness of our long-term equity compensation program on at least an annual basis, and may in the future revise our program. For example, we have committed to implement an equity program with performance-based RSUs for fiscal 2008, and we have made a similar commitment to performance-vesting equity awards for fiscal 2009.

For our 2008 fiscal year, the majority of equity awards for each of our named executive officers will consist of performance-vesting RSUs, in addition to stock option and time-vesting RSUs. The maximum number of shares that may be issued to our named executive officers on achieving the performance criteria for the performance-vesting RSUs will exceed the number of shares subject to time-vesting stock options or time-vesting RSUs awarded to each such named executive officer in fiscal 2008. The performance goals for these performance-vesting RSUs will consist of meeting or exceeding our agreed upon operating plans for fiscal 2009 and/or fiscal 2010 with a focus on revenue and operating income. The RSUs will vest, following fiscal 2009 or fiscal 2010 as applicable, only upon meeting or exceeding the performance goals for the applicable fiscal year, there are no additional shares granted or additional vesting if our results exceeds those goals, and there is no vesting of the RSUs if our results are below the target amount.

Furthermore, our Compensation Committee intends to have at least a majority of the number of shares subject to equity awards granted to those executive officers we expect will be its named executive officers for our 2009 fiscal year be performance-based awards, absent any changes in the applicable accounting rules, tax or other laws, or any significant business developments.

In fiscal 2007, the Compensation Committee implemented a policy of awarding all equity grants on a fixed day of each month, in order to ease administration of awards and to avoid any appearance of setting the date of awards with the benefit of hindsight. Beginning in November 2006, stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the day of grant, typically vest 25% after one year with the remainder vesting monthly over the next three years, and generally will expire six years after the date of grant. Prior to November 2006, our stock option grants typically had an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on either the day of grant or the day before the grant. Beginning in November 2006, our time-vesting RSU grants typically vest annually based upon continued employment over a four-year period. Performance-vesting RSUs will vest pursuant to the vesting schedule associated with each award, and in each case only if the associated performance goals for the award are achieved. Prior to November 2006, our RSU awards typically vested either annually as described in the preceding sentence, or 25% after one year with the remainder vesting quarterly over the next three years. The vesting of certain of our named executive officers' stock options may be accelerated in certain circumstances pursuant to the terms of their agreements with the Company. These terms are more fully described below in *Change of Control and Severance Benefits* and *Potential Payments on Termination or Change of Control* below.

Change of Control and Severance Benefits

We provide the opportunity for certain of our named executive officers and certain other senior management to receive certain compensation or benefits under severance and/or change of control provisions contained in their employment and change of control severance agreements. Because we are a small company in a very competitive and growing industry, where longer-term compensation largely depends on future stock appreciation, the Compensation Committee believes these benefits are important to our ability to attract and retain an appropriate caliber of talent in key positions. Our executive officers may from time to time have competitive alternatives that may appear to them to be more attractive and/or less risky than working at Genesis. The change of control benefits also mitigate a potential disincentive for executives when they are evaluating a potential change of control of Genesis, particularly when the services of the executive officers may not be required by the acquiring company. Severance benefits are intended ease the consequences to an executive of an unexpected termination of employment and help in avoiding distraction during

times of transition. Genesis benefits by requiring a general release from separated executives receiving severance benefits. Genesis may also request non-compete and non-solicitation provisions in connection with individual separation agreements. Our change of control agreements provide assurance of limited severance and benefits in the event an executive is terminated in connection with a change of control of Genesis. The Compensation Committee believes that our change of control agreements benefit Genesis and its stockholders by

Table of Contents

avoiding the distraction and loss of key management personnel that may occur in connection with rumored or actual fundamental corporate changes. The Compensation Committee's analysis indicates that our severance and change of control provisions are consistent with the provisions and benefit levels of the Peer Group.

On a case-by-case basis, we may also extend option exercise periods for departing executives in connection with severance and release of claims agreements entered into at the time of severance. Please see the table *Potential Payments on Termination or Change of Control* on page 57.

Other Compensation and Perquisites

We offer our executive officers participation in our defined contribution 401(k) retirement plan, Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), and group life, disability and health insurance plans on the same basis as all of our employees, at the same rates charged to other employees. However, executive officers are not eligible to participate in our ESPP Loan Program, which is available to all ESPP participants other than executives. We previously provided our executives with a \$600 per month car allowance in lieu of expense reimbursement for certain business-related car travel expenses, but we discontinued the car allowance in January 2007. We do not have any deferred compensation programs for executives or employees.

CEO Compensation for Fiscal 2006

Our President and CEO, Mr. Antoun, received a stock award that was granted in fiscal 2007 in recognition of performance in fiscal 2006. This award reflects the substantial achievement of financial goals in fiscal 2006. The following table summarizes the Company's performance on its key financial performance priorities established early in fiscal 2006. The Compensation Committee considered the Company's performance as measured by absolute performance against fiscal 2005 performance and by relative performance against competitors.

| Metric | Target Growth in FY2006 Over FY 2005 Results | Actual FY 2006 Performance as a Percentage of FY2006 Targets |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| Revenue | 20% | 110% |
| Non-GAAP Operating Margin | 200% | 176% |

In late 2006, we reviewed the Company's and Mr. Antoun's accomplishments in fiscal 2006 and discussed compensation for Mr. Antoun. Based on these accomplishments and the other factors discussed above (such as market compensation data and pay equity data), and after discussion with the other non-employee directors, we approved Mr. Antoun's compensation relating to fiscal 2006 in late 2006.

The following table summarizes Mr. Antoun's compensation and benefits relating to fiscal 2006.

Cash compensation:

| | |
|---------------|------------|
| Base salary | \$ 350,004 |
| Cash bonus(1) | \$ 297,500 |

Year-end incentive equity award:

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Restricted stock units(2)(3) | \$ 11,564 |
|------------------------------|-----------|

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| Stock options(2)(4) | \$ 1,488,567 |
| Retirement benefits: | |
| 401(k) Plan Company match(5) | \$ 2,982 |
| Other fiscal 2006 compensation as reported in the summary compensation table: | |
| Other compensation(6) | \$ 5,400 |
| Total | \$ 2,156,017 |

(1) Fiscal 2006 bonus amount paid in early fiscal 2007 pursuant to the Fiscal 2006 Executive Bonus Plan.

(2) Awarded in early fiscal 2007 for performance in fiscal 2006. This amount is also disclosed in the following *Fiscal Year 2007 Summary Compensation Table*.

Table of Contents

- (3) Indicates the amount in dollars recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 in accordance with FAS 123R disregarding forfeiture assumptions of \$21,170. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the assumptions used by the Company in calculating these amounts.
- (4) Indicates the amount in dollars recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 in accordance with FAS 123R disregarding forfeiture assumptions of \$69,826. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for assumptions used by the Company in calculating these amounts.
- (5) 401(k) plan matching contributions were paid to executives at the same rates as other eligible employees and capped at \$3,000 in fiscal 2006.
- (6) Consisted of car allowance, which was discontinued effective January 23, 2007.

All equity awards granted to Mr. Antoun have been previously disclosed and are reflected on SEC Forms 3 and 4 that are on file with the SEC. Stock-based compensation expense, if any, associated with these equity awards has been fully recognized under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles in the Company's financial statements in fiscal 2006 and prior years and, therefore, delivery of these awards would result in no incremental stock-based compensation expense to the Company. The dollar value (as of March 31, 2007) of equity awards previously granted under equity compensation plans that would be available to Mr. Antoun if his employment terminates as the result of an involuntary termination or he resigns for good reason within twelve (12) months of a change of control are set forth in the table, *Potential Payments on Termination or Change of Control* on page 54 below. Mr. Antoun's change of control severance agreement is described under the caption Tier 1 CEO Change of Control Agreement with CEO beginning on page 51.

Executive Compensation Tables

We refer to these executive officers as our named executive officers elsewhere in this Form 10-K/A.

FISCAL YEAR 2007 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$)(7) | Option Awards (\$)(8) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$)(9) | Total (\$) |
|---|------|-------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| Mr. Antoun, President & CEO | 2007 | 364,001 | 0 | 11,564 | 1,488,567 | 0 | 23,723 | 1,887,855 |
| Michael Healy, Formerly Sr. VP Finance and COO(1) | 2007 | 241,384 | 0 | 9,406 | 790,324 | 0 | 24,043 | 1,065,157 |
| Amrooz Yadegar, VP Product Development | 2007 | 229,174 | 75,000(2) | 86,759 | 119,878 | 0 | 20,128 | 530,939 |
| Michael Shandell, VP Corporate Development | 2007 | 144,002 | 100,000(3) | 166,571 | 64,550 | 28,500(3) | 9,561 | 513,184 |
| Michael Mehrbians, | 2007 | 168,807 | 0 | 10,510 | 418,969 | 0 | 185,258 | 783,544 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---------|---|--------|---------|---|---------|-------|
| Seniorly Sr. VP Product Marketing(4) Yao Chan, | 2007 | 102,120 | 0 | 10,510 | 401,431 | 0 | 233,371 | 747,4 |
| Seniorly Sr. VP Product Development(5) Frisk, | 2007 | 275,834 | 0 | 8,294 | 476,065 | 0 | 24,377 | 784,5 |
| Executive Vice President(6) | | | | | | | | |

- (1) Mr. Healy terminated employment with the Company on May 16, 2007.
- (2) Mr. Yadegar commenced employment on May 16, 2006 and received a \$75,000 sign-on bonus.
- (3) Ms. Shandell commenced employment on September 12, 2006 and, pursuant to her offer letter, received a \$100,000 sign-on bonus and a guaranteed bonus of \$28,500 under our Executive Bonus Plan. The Other

Table of Contents

Compensation column includes \$5,658 in reimbursement for legal fees incurred in connection with her offer letter.

- (4) Mr. Mehrbians terminated employment with the Company on October 31, 2006. The Other Compensation column includes \$164,736 in severance pay and \$8,667 in COBRA reimbursement pursuant to Mr. Mehrbians' separation agreement.
- (5) Mr. Chan terminated employment with the Company on July 31, 2006. The Other Compensation column includes \$208,472 in severance pay and \$16,251 in COBRA reimbursement pursuant to Mr. Chan's separation agreement.
- (6) Mr. Frisk terminated employment on July 31, 2007.
- (7) The amounts in this column for Stock Awards indicate the amount in dollars recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 in accordance with FAS 123R disregarding forfeiture assumptions of \$21,170. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the assumptions used by the Company in calculating these amounts.
- (8) The amounts in this column for Option Awards indicate the amount in dollars recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 in accordance with FAS 123R disregarding forfeiture assumptions of \$69,826. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for assumptions used by the Company in calculating these amounts.
- (9) See All Other Compensation and Perquisites tables.

Executive compensation is set by our Compensation Committee, and reviewed at least annually, based on an evaluation of the responsibilities, experience and performance levels of each individual executive officer. As discussed above, executive compensation consists primarily of base salary, awards of restricted stock units and stock options, and an executive bonus plan. For fiscal 2007, we did not meet the minimum financial target component of the executive bonus plan. As a result, the executive bonus plan was not funded and no payments were made, except for a payment of \$28,500 to Ms. Shandell, who was guaranteed a bonus under the plan pursuant to her offer letter.

FISCAL YEAR 2007 ALL OTHER COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name | Year | Perquisites and Other | | Company Contributions to Retirements and 401(k) Plans | Severance Payments/Accruals | Total (\$) |
|----------------------|------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------|
| | | Personal Benefits (\$)(1) | Insurance Premiums (\$)(4) | (\$)(4) | (\$) | |
| Elias Antoun | 2007 | 5,700 | 15,023 | 3,000 | 0 | 23,723 |
| Michael Healy(2) | 2007 | 5,700 | 15,343 | 3,000 | 0 | 24,043 |
| Behrooz Yadegar | 2007 | 5,100 | 15,028 | 0 | 0 | 20,128 |
| Hildy Shandell(3) | 2007 | 8,358 | 1,203 | 0 | 0 | 9,561 |
| Raphael Mehrbians(2) | 2007 | 4,200 | 6,497 | 1,158 | 173,403 | 185,258 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------|-------|--------|-------|---------|---------|
| Tzoyao Chan(2) | 2007 | 2,400 | 5,024 | 1,224 | 224,723 | 233,371 |
| Anders Frisk(2) | 2007 | 5,700 | 15,677 | 3,000 | 0 | 24,377 |

- (1) Consists of car allowance, which was discontinued effective January 23, 2007.
- (2) No longer employed by the Company.
- (3) Consists of car allowance, which was discontinued effective January 23, 2007, and reimbursement for legal fees incurred in connection with negotiating Ms. Shandell's offer of employment.
- (4) Insurance premiums and 401(k) plan contributions are paid to executives at the same rates as other eligible employees.

All other compensation consists of insurance premiums and 401(k) plan contributions, which are paid to executives at the same rate as other eligible employees. For part of fiscal 2007, we also paid a car allowance, which is reflected above.

Table of Contents**FISCAL YEAR 2007 PERQUISITES TABLE**

| Name | Fiscal Year | Car Allowances (\$)(1) | Financial Planning/Legal Fees (\$)(2) | Total Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits (\$) |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Elias Antoun | 2007 | 5,700 | 0 | 5,700 |
| Michael Healy(3) | 2007 | 5,700 | 0 | 5,700 |
| Behrooz Yadegar | 2007 | 5,100 | 0 | 5,100 |
| Hildy Shandell | 2007 | 2,700 | 5,658 | 8,358 |
| Raphael Mehrbians(3) | 2007 | 4,200 | 0 | 4,200 |
| Tzoyao Chan(3) | 2007 | 2,400 | 0 | 2,400 |
| Anders Frisk(3) | 2007 | 5,700 | 0 | 5,700 |

(1) The car allowance was discontinued for all executives effective January 23, 2007.

(2) Paid pursuant to offer letter.

(3) No longer employed by the Company.

Perquisites consist of the now-discontinued car allowance, also noted in All Other Compensation above, and reimbursement of legal fees to Ms. Shandell pursuant to her offer letter.

FISCAL YEAR 2007 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

| Grant Date | Approval Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(3) Target (\$) | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (\$) | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (\$) | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (\$)(#)(4) | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Options Underlying (#)(5) | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)(6) |
|------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | 60,000 | 12.27 |

Edgar Filing: GENESIS MICROCHIP INC /DE - Form 10-K/A

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|-------|
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | 4,300 | | 0 |
| | | 136,500 | 182,000 | 364,000 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | | 25,000 | 12.27 |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | 1,430 | | 0 |
| | | 45,260 | 60,346 | 120,692 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | |
| 5/5/2006 | 5/5/2006 | | | | | | | | 70,000 | 12.97 |
| 5/5/2006 | 5/5/2006 | | | | | | | 30,000 | | 0 |
| | | 42,970 | 57,294 | 114,587 | | | | | | |
| 10/27/2006 | 9/12/2006 | | | | | | | | 45,000 | 10.23 |
| 10/27/2006 | 9/12/2006 | | | | | | | 50,000 | | 0 |
| | | 48,751 | 65,001 | 130,002 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | | 25,000 | 12.27 |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | 1,430 | | 0 |
| | | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | | 20,000 | 12.27 |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | 1,430 | | 0 |
| | | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | | 20,000 | 12.27 |
| 5/30/2006 | 5/30/2006 | | | | | | | 1,430 | | 0 |
| | | 51,719 | 68,958 | 137,917 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | |

(1) No longer employed by the Company.

(2) Options were approved during a closed trading window under the company's Insider Trading Policy, and were therefore not granted until the trading window reopened.

(3) Potential payments as calculated pursuant to the fiscal year 2007 Executive Bonus Plan. See Executive Bonus Plan discussion on page 42. Actual payments were zero, except to Ms. Shandell, who earned a guaranteed

Table of Contents

\$28,500 bonus payment in accordance with her offer letter. The company did not achieve its minimum corporate revenue and operating income goals, and as a result, no bonus payments were made pursuant to the fiscal year 2007 Executive Bonus Plan other than to Ms. Shandell.

- (4) These restricted stock units vest over four years from the date of grant, except for Ms. Shandell's grant, which vests over three and a half years from the date of grant.
- (5) These stock options vest over four years from the date of grant, except for Ms. Shandell's options, which vest over three and a half years from the date of grant.
- (6) The exercise price is higher than the closing price on the date of grant because the awards were priced in accordance with the then-current policy of using the closing price of the day before grant. The company currently prices awards at the closing price on the date of grant.
- (7) Amount reflects the full grant date fair value of the awards as of March 31, 2007, as computed in accordance with FAS 123R. The assumptions used to calculate the amounts in this column are set forth under Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In fiscal 2007, our plan-based awards consisted of stock options and restricted stock units awarded to Mr. Yadegar and Ms. Shandell in accordance with their offer letters, and annual refresh awards to our other named executives, as set forth above. Our executive bonus plan, which is our non-equity incentive award plan, is a cash bonus plan discussed in more detail under Executive Bonus Plan above.

FISCAL YEAR 2007 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$) |
| Elias Antoun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Michael Healy(1) | 0 | 0 | 469 | 5,629 |
| Behrooz Yadegar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hildy Shandell | 0 | 0 | 12,500 | 99,000 |
| Raphael Mehrbians(1) | 35,000 | 198,800 | 402 | 5,303 |
| Tzoyao Chan(1) | 104,284 | 209,767 | 322 | 4,221 |
| Anders Frisk(1) | 0 | 0 | 376 | 4,514 |

(1) No longer employed by the Company.

Table of Contents**FISCAL YEAR 2007 OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE**

| Name | Option Awards | | | | Stock Awards | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Securities | Number of Securities | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Number of RSUs That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of RSUs That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| Elias Antoun(4)(5) | 291,667 | 208,333 | 16.895 | 11/29/2014 | 4,300 | 39,947 |
| | 0 | 60,000 | 12.270 | 5/30/2012 | | |
| Michael Healy(1)(4)(5) | 154,167 | 45,833 | 18.830 | 2/4/2014 | 602 | 5,593 |
| | 9,740 | 11,510 | 19.500 | 10/25/2011 | 1,430 | 13,285 |
| | 0 | 25,000 | 12.270 | 5/30/2012 | | |
| Behrooz Yadegar(4)(5) | 0 | 70,000 | 12.970 | 5/5/2012 | 30,000 | 278,700 |
| Hildy Shandell(6) | 11,250 | 33,750 | 10.230 | 10/27/2012 | 37,500 | 348,375 |
| Raphael Mehrbians(2)(4)(5) | 9,031 | 0 | 19.500 | 12/31/2007 | 0 | 0 |
| Tzoyao Chan(3)(4)(5) | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 |
| Anders Frisk(4)(5)(7) | 33,917 | 0 | 22.560 | 2/17/2010 | 481 | 4,468 |
| | 10,834 | 0 | 22.560 | 2/17/2010 | 1,430 | 13,285 |
| | 13,750 | 0 | 17.000 | 8/2/2010 | | |
| | 6,500 | 0 | 17.000 | 8/2/2010 | | |
| | 14,375 | 0 | 7.500 | 7/22/2012 | | |
| | 31,667 | 0 | 12.390 | 2/18/2013 | | |
| | 8,334 | 833 | 16.800 | 5/16/2013 | | |
| | 377 | 5,297 | 15.620 | 5/26/2014 | | |
| | 17,956 | 6,370 | 15.620 | 5/26/2014 | | |
| | 7,792 | 9,208 | 19.500 | 10/25/2011 | | |
| | 0 | 20,000 | 12.270 | 5/30/2013 | | |

(1) Terminated employment May 16, 2007.

(2) Terminated employment October 31, 2006.

(3) Terminated employment July 31, 2006.

(4) Options vest over four years from the date of grant, with 25% vesting after one year, and monthly vesting thereafter.

(5) Restricted stock units vest 25% after one year, with annual vesting thereafter.

(6) Pursuant to Ms. Shandell's offer letter, options and restricted stock units vest over three and a half years from the date of grant, with 25% vesting after six months of employment and the balance vesting monthly in equal amounts over the following thirty-six months.

(7) Terminated employment on July 31, 2007.

Change of Control and Severance Benefits

Tier 1 Change of Control Agreement with CEO

On March 2, 2007, we entered into a change of control severance agreement with our Chief Executive Officer, Elias Antoun (CEO Agreement). The CEO Agreement will provide certain benefits upon an involuntary termination of Mr. Antoun s employment following a change of control of the company. The agreement has a two-year term. The agreement generally provides that if, within 12 months after the change of control of the company, Mr. Antoun s employment is involuntarily terminated or he resigns for good reason (as defined in the CEO Agreement), and he signs a release of claims, then he will be entitled to (i) a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months base salary, (ii) an amount representing Mr. Antoun s pro-rated forgone annual bonus and (iii) accelerated vesting of 50% of Mr. Antoun s then outstanding, unvested equity compensation awards. The amount of Mr. Antoun s pro-rated forgone bonus is calculated by multiplying 50% of his annual base salary, as in effect on the date of his employment termination, by a fraction with a numerator equal to the number of days

Table of Contents

between the start of the company's fiscal year during which the termination occurs and the termination date and a denominator equal to 365. Further, we will reimburse Mr. Antoun for the premiums paid for the continued coverage of himself and any eligible dependents under the Company's medical, dental and vision plans at the same level of coverage in effect on the termination date for 12 months, or until Mr. Antoun becomes covered under similar plans.

Tier 1 Change of Control Agreement with CFO

On March 2, 2007, we entered into a change of control severance agreement with our then Chief Financial Officer, Michael Healy (CFO Agreement). The CFO Agreement is identical to the CEO Agreement described above, except that Mr. Healy's pro-rated forgone annual bonus is calculated by multiplying 25% of his annual base salary, as in effect on the date of his employment termination, by a fraction with a numerator equal to the number of days between the start of the company's fiscal year during which the termination occurs and the termination date and a denominator equal to 365. The CFO Agreement is no longer effective, since Mr. Healy terminated employment in May 2007.

Tier 2 Change of Control Agreement with Other Executives

On March 2, 2007, Genesis Microchip Inc. entered into a change of control severance agreement (the Tier 2 Agreement) with the following officers:

Behrooz Yadegar, Sr. VP, Product Development

Ernest Lin, Sr. VP, Worldwide Sales

Jeffrey Lin, General Counsel

Ava Hahn, former Associate General Counsel & Secretary

The Company also entered into a Tier 2 Agreement with Anders Frisk, former Executive Vice President, that by its terms would not go into effect before August 1, 2007. Mr. Frisk terminated employment on July 31, 2007, so his Tier 2 Agreement is not in effect. The Tier 2 Agreement provides for certain benefits upon an involuntary termination of each officer's employment following a change of control of the company, at a reduced level from the Tier 1 agreements for our CEO and CFO described above. The Tier 2 Agreement has a two-year term, and generally provides that if, within 12 months after the change of control of the company, the officer's employment is involuntarily terminated or he or she resigns for good reason and signs a release of claims, then that officer will be entitled to a lump sum severance payment equal to six months base salary and accelerated vesting of 25% of the officer's then outstanding, unvested equity compensation awards. Further, we will reimburse the officer for the premiums paid for the continued coverage of himself/herself and any eligible dependents under the Company's medical, dental and vision plans at the same level of coverage in effect on the termination date for six months, or until the officer becomes covered under similar plans. The Tier 2 Agreement is no longer effective with respect to Ms. Hahn, who terminated employment on June 12, 2007.

In addition, on August 14, 2006, the Company entered into a Amendment to Change of Control Severance Agreement with Anders Frisk. Pursuant to this Amendment, in the event that Mr. Frisk's employment with the company terminates as a result of an involuntarily termination prior to July 31, 2007, and Mr. Frisk signs and does not revoke a release of claims, Mr. Frisk is entitled to severance benefits in the form of base salary from the date of termination to July 31, 2007, and the same level of company-paid health coverage and benefits. This Amendment is no longer in effect.

Change of Control Agreement with Hildy Shandell

On September 12, 2006, we entered into a change of control severance agreement with our Senior Vice President, Corporate Development, Hildy Shandell (the Shandell Agreement). The Shandell Agreement terminates upon the earlier of (a) two years after a change of control of Genesis, or (b) the date that all obligations of the parties under the Shandell Agreement have been satisfied, provided that if there has not been a change of control as of three years after the effective date of the agreement, it immediately terminates.

Table of Contents

The Shandell Agreement provides that if Ms. Shandell's employment is involuntarily terminated within three months before a change of control of the Company (as defined in the Shandell Agreement) or within 12 months following a change of control, Ms. Shandell will be entitled to certain severance benefits, including, but not limited to: (i) a lump sum payment equal to 12 months base salary and any applicable allowances as in effect as of the date of such termination or, if greater, as in effect immediately prior to the change of control; (ii) a lump sum payment equal to a pro-rated amount of Ms. Shandell's annual target bonus for the year in which the termination occurs, or, if greater, her annual target bonus as in effect immediately prior to a change of control for the year in which the change of control occurs (calculated in either case assuming 100% achievement of individual and corporate plan objectives); (iii) accelerated vesting for 50% of Ms. Shandell's unvested stock options, restricted stock and other stock based awards, unless the plan under which such awards were granted or the agreement evidencing such awards provides for accelerated vesting of a greater percentage of such awards; (iv) the right to exercise all vested stock options prior to the change of control for a period of up to two years following the termination date; and (v) Company-paid health coverage for up to 12 months following the termination date.

Should Ms. Shandell's employment with Genesis be involuntarily terminated at any time during the period that is after twelve months but before twenty-four months after a change of control (the "Second Year"), then, subject to Ms. Shandell's signing and not revoking a general release of claims, she will be entitled to certain severance benefits, including, but not limited to: (i) a lump sum payment equal to the number of full months remaining in the Second Year as of the termination date multiplied by Ms. Shandell's monthly base salary and allowances as in effect as of the termination date, or, if greater, as in effect immediately prior to the change of control; (ii) a lump sum payment equal to a pro-rated amount of Ms. Shandell's annual target bonus for the year in which the termination occurs, or, if greater, her annual target bonus as in effect immediately prior to a change of control for the year in which the change of control occurs (calculated in either case assuming 100% achievement of individual and corporate plan objectives); (iii) all stock rights shall accelerate and become vested and exercisable as to the number of shares that would have otherwise vested during the 12 months following the termination date as if Ms. Shandell had remained employed by Genesis (or its successor) through such date, unless the plan under which such awards were granted or the agreement evidencing such awards provides for accelerated vesting of a greater percentage of such awards; (iv) all awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units and other similar awards that were issued prior to the Change of Control shall vest as to 50% of the portion of such awards that is unvested as of the termination date, unless the plan under which such awards were granted or the agreement evidencing such awards provides for accelerated vesting of a greater percentage of such awards; (v) the right to exercise all vested stock options for a period of up to two years following the termination date; and (vi) Company-paid health coverage following the termination date pro-rated to reflect that number of months remaining in the Second Year as of the date of termination.

Employment Agreements***Offer Letter with Behrooz Yadegar***

On April 11, 2006, we entered into an offer letter with Behrooz Yadegar, our Senior Vice President, Product Development. The offer letter provides for the following: (i) an at-will employment wherein either Genesis or Mr. Yadegar may terminate his employment at any time, with or without reason, (ii) an annual base salary of \$250,008, (iii) a sign-on bonus of \$75,000, (iv) an award of stock options to purchase 70,000 shares of Genesis common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of Genesis common stock on the date of grant, 25% of which vest after one year of employment, with the balance vesting monthly over the following 36 months, subject to Mr. Yadegar's continued employment on the applicable vesting dates, and (v) an award of 30,000 restricted stock units, 25% of which vest after one year of employment, with the balance vesting quarterly in equal amounts over the following 12 quarters, subject to Mr. Yadegar's continued employment on the applicable vesting dates. Pursuant to the terms of Mr. Yadegar's offer letter, Mr. Yadegar is eligible to participate in the Corporate Bonus Plan for fiscal year 2007.

In addition, in the event that Genesis terminates Mr. Yadegar's employment within the first two years of employment, for reasons other than for cause or Mr. Yadegar's death or disability, and such termination is not associated with a change of control such that he would not be entitled to receive any severance benefits under a change of control severance agreement (such as discussed above under "Change of Control and Severance Benefits"), if any, then, subject to Mr. Yadegar's signing and not revoking a separation agreement and release of

Table of Contents

claims in a form reasonably acceptable to Genesis, he will be entitled to the following benefits: (1) severance payments equal to six months of then-current monthly base salary, (2) a pro-rated bonus, if applicable, (3) one-year vesting acceleration of all unvested RSUs, if any, (4) one-year vesting acceleration of all unvested options, if any, and (5) reimbursement of six months of COBRA benefit continuation.

The offer letter also provides that if Genesis decides to implement change of control severance agreements for its executive officers, Mr. Yadegar will be offered such an agreement.

Offer Letter with Hildy Shandell

On August 30, 2006, we entered into an offer letter with Hildy Shandell, our Senior Vice President, Corporate Development. The terms of Ms. Shandell's offer letter with the Company include, among other things, the following: (i) an at-will employment wherein either Genesis or Ms. Shandell may terminate her employment with the Company at any time, with or without reason; (ii) a monthly gross salary of \$21,667; (iii) a sign-on bonus of \$100,000; (iv) an award of stock options to purchase 45,000 shares of Genesis common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, 25% of which vest after six months of employment, with the balance vesting monthly in equal amounts over the following thirty-six months, subject to Ms. Shandell's continued employment on the applicable vesting dates; and (v) an award of 50,000 restricted stock units, 25% of which vest after six months of employment, with the balance vesting quarterly in equal amounts over the following twelve quarters, subject to Ms. Shandell's continued employment on the applicable vesting dates.

Pursuant to the terms of Ms. Shandell's offer letter, she is eligible to participate in the Corporate Bonus Plan for fiscal year 2007. Genesis also reimbursed Ms. Shandell \$5,648 in legal fees incurred in connection with negotiating, preparing and executing her offer letter.

In addition, in the event that Genesis terminates Ms. Shandell's employment within the first three years of her employment, for reasons other than for cause or Ms. Shandell's death or disability, and such termination is not associated with a change of control such that she would not be entitled to receive any severance benefits under the Shandell Agreement (discussed above under "Change of Control Severance Benefits"), then, subject to Ms. Shandell's signing and not revoking a separation agreement and release of claims in a form reasonably acceptable to Genesis, she will be entitled to the following benefits: (i) severance payments equal to 12 months of then-current monthly base salary; (ii) a pro-rated bonus (calculated assuming 100% achievement of individual and corporate plan objectives); (iii) one-year vesting acceleration of all unvested restricted stock units, if any; (iv) one-year vesting acceleration of all unvested options, if any; and (v) reimbursement of twelve months of COBRA benefit continuation payments.

Table of Contents**POTENTIAL PAYMENTS ON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL**

| Name | Payment Trigger Event | Salary Severance | Bonus Severance(1) | Benefits/ Perquisites | Equity Acceleration | Total Value |
|------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Elias Antoun | Involuntary Termination Unrelated to Change-of-Control | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| | Involuntary Termination Related to Change-of-Control | \$ 364,001 | \$ 182,001 | \$ 16,800 | \$ 19,974 | \$ 582,776 |
| Michael Healy(2) | Involuntary Termination Unrelated to Change-of-Control | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| | Involuntary Termination Related to Change-of-Control | \$ 241,384 | \$ 60,346 | \$ 16,800 | \$ 9,439 | \$ 327,969 |
| Behrooz Yadegar | Involuntary Termination Unrelated to Change-of-Control | \$ 125,004 | \$ 0 | \$ 8,400 | \$ 69,675 | \$ 203,079 |
| | Involuntary Termination Related to Change-of-Control | \$ 125,004 | \$ 0 | \$ 8,400 | \$ 139,350 | \$ 272,754 |
| Hildy Shandell | Involuntary Termination Unrelated to Change-of-Control | \$ 260,004 | \$ 65,000 | \$ 16,800 | \$ 116,125 | \$ 457,929 |
| | Involuntary Termination Related to Change-of-Control | \$ 260,004 | \$ 65,000 | \$ 16,800 | \$ 174,188 | \$ 515,992 |
| Anders Frisk(2) | Involuntary Termination Unrelated to Change-of-Control | \$ 91,945 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,600 | \$ 0 | \$ 97,545 |
| | Involuntary Termination Related to Change-of-Control | \$ 91,945 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,600 | \$ 0 | \$ 97,545 |

- (1) This is the maximum bonus amount payable pursuant to the Change of Control agreements. The actual bonus amount paid, if any, would be prorated based on number of days served in the fiscal year.
- (2) No longer employed by the Company.

Our agreements do not provide for any payments upon voluntary termination or termination for cause.

Table of Contents

Tax and Accounting Considerations

We account for equity compensation paid to our employees under the rules of SFAS No. 123R, which requires us to estimate and record compensation expense over the service period of the award. All equity awards to our employees, including executive officers, and to our directors have been granted and reflected in our consolidated financial statements, based upon the applicable accounting guidance, at fair market value on the grant date in accordance with the valuation determined by our board of directors. The Compensation Committee also considers Section 162(m), Rule 280G and Section 409(A) of the Internal Revenue Code in structuring our executive compensation program.

We do not have a 10(b)5-1 trading program. Our Code of Business Conduct prohibits trading in derivatives of our stock or trading in our stock on material non-public information. As described in more detail above, we currently grant our equity awards on standardized dates, which is intended to avoid so-called spring-loading or bullet-dodging, or timing the grant of our equity awards to benefit from our releases of material non-public information.

Compensation of Directors

In fiscal 2007, directors who were not our employees received \$5,000 per quarter as a retainer, \$1,000 for each meeting of the Board of Directors or committee thereof attended in person and \$500 for each meeting attended by teleconference. Non-employee chairmen of committees received an additional retainer of \$1,250 per quarter for serving as a committee chairman, other than the chairman of the Audit Committee who received an additional quarterly retainer of \$2,500. Directors who are our employees receive no separate compensation for services rendered as a director. In addition, all directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in order to attend meetings.

In fiscal 2007, the Board of Directors formed a special purpose subcommittee focusing on corporate strategy. Members of the subcommittee received \$1,000 for each subcommittee meeting attended in person and \$500 for each meeting attended by teleconference.

Upon first joining the Board of Directors, non-employee directors received options to purchase a total of 25,000 shares of our common stock, vesting over 36 months.

Grants were also made annually on the first day of the month following our annual meeting of stockholders. Each non-employee director received an option to purchase 8,000 shares of our common stock, plus additional options to purchase 2,500 shares of our common stock for each committee on which the director serves. The options were granted with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our stock on the date of the grant and vest over twelve months. The automatic annual option grants were made on October 1, 2006 at an exercise price of \$11.77 per share. No other stock option grants were made to non-employee directors in fiscal 2007.

Awards granted to our non-employee directors will vest in full upon consummation of any change of control transaction.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the retainers and attendance fees and the number of stock option grants that were made to our non-employee directors, in their capacity as non-employee directors, during fiscal 2007:

FISCAL YEAR 2007 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1) | Option Awards (\$)(2) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Jon Castor(3) | 54,500 | 188,171 | 0 | 242,671 |
| Chieh Chang(4) | 56,000 | 188,171 | 0 | 244,171 |
| Tim Christoffersen(5) | 57,000 | 136,716 | 0 | 193,716 |
| Jeffrey Diamond(6) | 72,000 | 136,716 | 0 | 208,716 |
| Robert H. Kidd(7) | 57,500 | 136,716 | 0 | 194,216 |
| Chandrashekar M. Reddy(8) | 59,250 | 119,434 | 0 | 178,684 |

(1) Shows amounts earned through March 31, 2007.

(2) This column reflects the dollar amount of option awards recognized in accordance with FAS 123R for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 disregarding forfeiture assumptions of \$5,055. The assumptions used to calculate the numbers in this column are set forth under Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3) Mr. Castor held options to purchase 43,000 shares outstanding at fiscal year end. The grant date fair value of the options to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock that were granted to each non-employee director in fiscal 2007 was \$94,208, as computed in accordance with FAS 123R.

(4) Mr. Chang held options to purchase 53,000 shares outstanding at fiscal year end. The grant date fair value of the options to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock that were granted to each non-employee director in fiscal 2007 was \$94,208, as computed in accordance with FAS 123R.

(5) Mr. Christoffersen held options to purchase 65,500 shares outstanding at fiscal year end. The grant date fair value of the options to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock that were granted to each non-employee director in fiscal 2007 was \$94,208, as computed in accordance with FAS 123R.

(6) Mr. Diamond held options to purchase 115,500 shares outstanding at fiscal year end. The grant date fair value of the options to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock that were granted to each non-employee director in fiscal 2007 was \$94,208, as computed in accordance with FAS 123R.

(7) Mr. Kidd held options to purchase 70,500 shares outstanding at fiscal year end. The grant date fair value of the options to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock that were granted to each non-employee director in fiscal 2007 was \$94,208, as computed in accordance with FAS 123R.

(8) Mr. Reddy held options to purchase 67,167 shares outstanding at fiscal year end. The grant date fair value of the options to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock that were granted to each non-employee director in

fiscal 2007 was \$94,208, as computed in accordance with FAS 123R.

On July 24, 2007, the Board of Directors of Genesis Microchip Inc. approved a new compensation arrangement for directors who are not our employees. The new arrangement went into effect for our current non-employee directors on July 25, 2007 and is effective for new non-employee directors upon the date they join the Board of Directors.

We will continue our previous arrangement of paying non-employee directors \$5,000 per quarter as a retainer, \$1,000 for each meeting of the Board of Directors or committee thereof attended in person, and \$500 for each meeting of the Board of Directors or committee thereof attended by teleconference. We also continue to reimburse all non-employee directors for reasonable expenses to attend meetings.

Table of Contents

Under the new compensation arrangement, the chairman of the Board of Directors also receives \$3,750 per quarter, the chairman of the Audit Committee also receives \$5,000 per quarter, the chairman of the Compensation Committee also receives \$2,500 per quarter, the chairman of the Nominating Committee also receives \$1,250 per quarter, and the chairman of the Governance Committee also receives \$1,250 per quarter. Excluding the chairman of each committee, each director who serves on the Audit Committee also receives \$2,000 per quarter, each director who serves on the Compensation Committee also receives \$1,250 per quarter, each director who serves on the Nominating Committee also receives \$750 per quarter, and each director who serves on the Governance Committee also receives \$750 per quarter.

Under the new compensation arrangement, non-employee directors no longer receive option grants for serving on or chairing committees.

In the event that our stockholders approve our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2007 Plan) at our annual meeting, scheduled for October 9, 2007 (the Annual Meeting), upon first joining the Board of Directors, non-employee directors will receive a grant of 16,000 restricted stock units (RSUs) pursuant to the 2007 Plan, which will vest annually over three years, with 1/3 vesting after each year of service. Grants of RSUs to existing non-employee directors also will be made annually on the first day of the month following each annual meeting of our stockholders. Each non-employee director will receive an annual grant of 8,000 RSUs pursuant to the 2007 Plan, which will vest after one year of service.

In the event that our stockholders do not approve the 2007 Plan at our Annual Meeting, upon first joining the Board of Directors, non-employee directors will receive options to purchase a total of 25,000 shares of our Common Stock, which will vest monthly over thirty-six months. Grants of options to non-employee directors also will be made annually on the first day of the month following each annual meeting of our stockholders. Each non-employee director will receive options to purchase 8,000 shares of Common Stock, which will vest monthly over twelve months.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of our Compensation Committee during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007 were Messrs. Diamond, Chang and Reddy. At no time since our formation have any of the members of our Compensation Committee served as our officers or employees or as officers or employees of any of our subsidiaries, except for Mr. Diamond and Mr. Reddy as described in their biographies on pages 6-7. No interlocking relationship exists between our Board of Directors or its Compensation Committee and the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company, nor did any interlocking relationships exist during the past fiscal year.

Table of Contents**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS****Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information as of March 31, 2007 about our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under our 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan described above as well as our seven stock option plans: the 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan, the 1997 Non-Employee Stock Option Plan, the 2000 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan, the 2001 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan, the 1997 Paradise Stock Option Plan, the Sage Stock Option Plan, and the 2003 Stock Plan.

The 1997 Paradise Stock Option Plan and the Sage Stock Option Plan, under which we do not grant any new options, were assumed upon our acquisitions of other companies. Our stockholders have not formally approved our 2000 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan, although they approved an amendment to that plan at the September 14, 2000 annual meeting. Our stockholders have not approved our 2001 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan or our 2003 Stock Plan. Our stockholders have approved all other plans.

| Plan Name and Type | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Available for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column) |
|--|--|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders | | | |
| 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan*(1) | N/A | N/A | 215,085 |
| 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan(2)(3) | 2,100,310 | 13.71 | 1,855,849 |
| 1997 Non-Employee Stock Option Plan | 160,480 | 14.25 | 69,675 |
| Equity compensation plans not formally approved by stockholders | | | |
| 2000 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan(3) | 2,664,685 | 15.22 | 1,475,278 |
| 2001 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan(2) | 260,864 | 21.97 | 106,901 |
| 2003 Stock Plan(2) | 865,000 | 17.15 | 118,750 |
| Equity compensation plans assumed on acquisitions | | | |
| 1997 Paradise Stock Option Plan | 557 | 0.66 | |
| Sage Stock Option Plan(2) | 301,871 | 23.15 | |

*

The number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding rights under the 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the weighted average exercise price of those securities is not determinable. The 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan provides that shares of our common stock may be purchased at a per share price equal to 85% of the fair market value of the common stock on the beginning of the offering period or a purchase date applicable to such offering period, whichever is lower. The closing price per share of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market on June 29, 2007 (the last trading day of the most recent offering period) was \$9.36.

- (1) Under this plan, the number of shares which may be issued is subject to an annual increase to be added on each anniversary date of the adoption of the plan equal to the lesser of (i) the number of Shares needed to restore the maximum aggregate number of Shares available for sale under the plan to 500,000, or (ii) a lesser amount determined by the Board.
- (2) This plan explicitly permits repricing of options granted under the plan.
- (3) Under this plan, the number of shares which may be issued is subject to an annual increase to be added on the first day of each fiscal year equal to the lesser of (1) 2,000,000 shares, (ii) 3.5% of the outstanding shares of common stock on such date or (iii) an amount determined by the Board.

Table of Contents

Summaries of the stock option plans not formally approved by our stockholders are as follows:

2000 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan

Purpose

The purposes of the plan are to attract and retain the best available personnel for positions of substantial responsibility, to provide additional incentive to employees and consultants and to promote the success of our business.

Administration

The plan provides for administration by our Board of Directors or a committee appointed by the Board of Directors and is currently administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. All questions of interpretation or application of the plan are determined by the Board of Directors or its appointed committee, and its decisions are final and binding upon all participants. Directors receive no additional compensation for their services in connection with the administration of the plan.

Eligibility to Participate in the Plan

Nonstatutory stock options and stock appreciation rights may be granted to our employees, consultants and directors, and to employees and consultants of our parent or subsidiary companies.

Number of Shares Covered by the Plan

The aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the plan is 1,500,000 shares.

Awards Permitted Under the Plan

The plan authorizes the granting of nonstatutory stock options and stock appreciation rights only.

Terms of Options

The plan's administrator determines the exercise price of options granted under the plan and the term of those options. The options that are currently outstanding under the plan vest and become exercisable over periods of from one to four years beginning on the grant date. Payment of the exercise price may be made by cash, check, promissory note, other shares of our common stock, cashless exercise, any other form of consideration permitted by applicable law or any combination of the foregoing methods of payment. Options may be made exercisable only under the conditions the Board of Directors or its appointed committee may establish. If an optionee's employment terminates for any reason, the option remains exercisable for a period fixed by the plan administrator up to the remainder of the option's term; if a period is not fixed by the plan administrator, the exercise period is three (3) months, or twelve (12) months in the case of death or disability.

Terms of Stock Appreciation Rights

The plan's administrator is able to grant stock appreciation rights, which are the rights to receive the appreciation in fair market value of common stock between the exercise date and the date of grant. We can pay the appreciation in either cash or shares of common stock. Stock appreciation rights will become exercisable at the times and on the terms established by the plan administrator, subject to the terms of the plan. The plan administrator, subject to the terms of

the plan, has complete discretion to determine the terms and conditions of stock appreciation rights granted under the plan, provided, however, that the exercise price will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of a share on the date of grant.

Capital Changes

In the event of any changes in our capitalization, such as stock splits or stock dividends, resulting in an increase or decrease in the number of shares of common stock, effected without receipt of consideration by us, appropriate

Table of Contents

adjustment will be made by us in the number of shares available for future grant and in the number of shares subject to previously granted but unexercised options.

Dissolution or Liquidation

In the event of the proposed dissolution or liquidation of our Company, the award holders will be notified of such event, and the plan administrator may, in its discretion, permit each award to fully vest and be exercisable until ten (10) days prior to such event, at which time the awards will terminate.

Merger, Asset Sale or Change of Control

With respect to options granted on or before October 16, 2001 (unless the optionees have consented otherwise), in the event of a merger of our Company with or into another corporation, or any other capital reorganization in which more than fifty percent (50%) of the outstanding voting shares of the Company are exchanged (other than a reorganization effected solely for the purpose of changing the situs of the Company's incorporation), each outstanding option under the plan will fully vest and be exercisable for a period of ten (10) days prior to the closing of such transaction, and the unexercised options will terminate prior to the closing of such transaction.

With respect to options granted after October 16, 2001 (as well as certain options granted before such date, with the consent of the optionees) and stock appreciation rights, in the event of a merger or proposed sale of all or substantially all of the assets of our Company, each outstanding award under the plan will be assumed or an equivalent option substituted by the successor corporation or a parent or subsidiary of the successor corporation. In the event the successor corporation refuses to assume or substitute outstanding awards, the plan administrator will notify each optionee that his or her options will vest and be exercisable for a period of twenty (20) days from the date of such notice, and the unexercised awards will terminate upon the expiration of such period. In addition, awards granted to our non-employee directors will vest in full upon consummation of any such transaction.

Nonassignability

Awards may not be assigned or transferred for any reason (other than upon death), except that the plan administrator may permit awards to be transferred during the optionee's lifetime to members of the optionee's immediate family or to trusts, LLCs or partnerships for the benefit of such persons.

Amendment and Termination of the Plan

The plan provides that the Board of Directors may amend or terminate the plan without stockholder approval, but no amendment or termination of the plan or any award agreement may adversely affect any award previously granted under the plan without the written consent of the optionee.

Certain United States Federal Income Tax Information

An optionee generally will not recognize any taxable income at the time he or she is granted a non-statutory stock option with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. However, upon its exercise, the optionee will recognize ordinary income generally measured as the excess of the then fair market value of the shares purchased over the purchase price. Any taxable income recognized in connection with an option exercise by one of our employees is subject to tax withholding by us. Upon resale of such shares by the optionee, any difference between the sales price and the optionee's purchase price, to the extent not recognized as taxable income as described above, will be treated as long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the holding period.

No taxable income is reportable when a stock appreciation right with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant is granted to an optionee. Upon exercise, the optionee will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the amount of cash received and the fair market value of any shares received. Any additional gain or loss recognized upon any later disposition of the shares would be capital gain or loss.

Table of Contents

Generally, we will be entitled to a tax deduction in the same amount as the ordinary income realized by the optionee with respect to shares acquired upon exercise of an award.

The foregoing is only a summary of the effect of federal income taxation upon the optionee and us with respect to the grant and exercise of options and stock appreciation rights granted under the plan and does not purport to be complete. In addition, the summary does not discuss the tax consequences of an optionee's death or the income tax laws of any state or foreign country in which the optionee may reside.

2001 Non-Statutory Stock Option Plan

Purpose

The purposes of the plan are to attract and retain the best available personnel for positions of substantial responsibility, to provide additional incentive to employees, directors and consultants and to promote the success of our business.

Administration

The plan provides for administration by our Board of Directors or a committee appointed by the Board of Directors and is currently administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. All questions of interpretation or application of the plan are determined by the Board of Directors or its appointed committee, and its decisions are final and binding upon all participants. Directors receive no additional compensation for their services in connection with the administration of the plan.

Eligibility to Participate in the Plan

Nonstatutory stock options may be granted to our employees, consultants and directors.

Number of Shares Covered by the Plan

The aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the plan is 1,000,000 shares.

Awards Permitted Under the Plan

The plan authorizes the granting of nonstatutory stock options only.

Terms of Options

The plan's administrator determines the exercise price of options granted under the plan and the term of those options. The options that are currently outstanding under the plan vest and become exercisable over periods of two to four years beginning on the grant date. Payment of the exercise price may be made by cash, check, promissory note, other shares of our common stock, cashless exercise, a reduction in the amount of any Company liability to the optionee, any other form of consideration permitted by applicable law or any combination of the foregoing methods of payment. Options may be made exercisable only under the conditions the Board of Directors or its appointed committee may establish. If an optionee's employment terminates for any reason, the option remains exercisable for a period fixed by the plan administrator up to the remainder of the option's term; if a period is not fixed by the plan administrator, the exercise period is three (3) months, or twelve (12) months in the case of death or disability.

Capital Changes

In the event of any changes in our capitalization, such as stock splits or stock dividends, resulting in an increase or decrease in the number of shares of common stock, effected without receipt of consideration by us, appropriate adjustment will be made by us in the number of shares available for future grant and in the number of shares subject to previously granted but unexercised options.

Table of Contents

Dissolution or Liquidation

In the event of the proposed dissolution or liquidation of our Company, the option holders will be notified of such event, and the plan administrator may, in its discretion, permit each option to fully vest and be exercisable until ten (10) days prior to such event, at which time the options will terminate.

Merger, Asset Sale or Change of Control

In the event of a merger or proposed sale of all or substantially all of the assets of our Company, each outstanding option under the plan will be assumed or an equivalent option substituted by the successor corporation or a parent or subsidiary of the successor corporation. In the event the successor corporation refuses to assume or substitute outstanding options, the plan administrator will notify each optionee that his or her options will vest and be exercisable for a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of such notice, and the unexercised options will terminate upon the expiration of such period. In addition, awards granted to our non-employee directors will vest in full upon consummation of any such transaction.

Nonassignability

Options may not be assigned or transferred for any reason (other than upon death), except that the plan administrator may permit options to be transferred during the optionee's lifetime upon such terms and conditions as the administrator deems appropriate.

Amendment and Termination of the Plan

The plan provides that the Board of Directors may amend or terminate the plan without stockholder approval, but no amendment or termination of the plan or any award agreement may adversely affect any award previously granted under the plan without the written consent of the optionee.

Certain United States Federal Income Tax Information

An optionee generally will not recognize any taxable income at the time he or she is granted a non-statutory stock option. However, upon its exercise, the optionee will recognize ordinary income generally measured as the excess of the then fair market value of the shares purchased over the purchase price. Any taxable income recognized in connection with an option exercise by one of our employees is subject to tax withholding by us. Upon resale of such shares by the optionee, any difference between the sales price and the optionee's purchase price, to the extent not recognized as taxable income as described above, will be treated as long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, depending on the holding period.

Generally, we will be entitled to a tax deduction in the same amount as the ordinary income realized by the optionee with respect to shares acquired upon exercise of the nonstatutory stock option.

The foregoing is only a summary of the effect of federal income taxation upon the optionee and us with respect to the grant and exercise of options granted under the plan and does not purport to be complete. In addition, the summary does not discuss the tax consequences of an optionee's death or the income tax laws of any state or foreign country in which the optionee may reside.

2003 Stock Plan

In October 2003, the Board of Directors approved the 2003 Stock Plan (the Plan). The Plan provides for the grant of non-statutory stock options, stock purchase rights, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, performance shares, and performance units, to newly hired employees as a material inducement to their decision to enter into our employ.

Awards under the Plan may not be granted to individuals who are former employees or directors of ours, except that a former employee who is returning to our employ following a bona-fide period of non-employment by us may receive awards under the Plan. Our Board of Directors or a committee appointed by the Board of Directors administers the Plan and controls its operation (the Administrator). However, all awards under the Plan must be approved by either a majority of our independent directors, or approved by a committee comprised of a majority of independent directors.

The Administrator determines, on a grant-by-grant basis, the term of each option, when options granted under the Plan will vest and may be exercised, the exercise price of each option, and the method of payment of the option exercise price. After a participant s termination of service with us, the vested portion of his or her option will generally remain

Table of Contents

exercisable for the period of time stated in the option agreement. If a specified period of time is not stated in the option agreement, the option will remain exercisable for three months following a termination for reasons other than death or disability, and for one year following a termination due to death or disability, in each case subject to the original term of the option. The Administrator also determines the terms and conditions of restricted stock awards (shares that vest in accordance with the terms and conditions established by the Administrator), stock purchase rights (rights to purchase shares of our common stock, and such shares are generally restricted stock), stock appreciation rights (the right to receive the appreciation in fair market value of our common stock between the exercise date and the date of grant), and performance shares and/or units (awards that will result in a payment to a participant only if the performance goals or other vesting criteria established by the Administrator are achieved or the awards otherwise vest).

In the event we experience a change in control, each outstanding option, stock purchase right and stock appreciation right will be assumed or substituted for by the successor corporation (or a parent or subsidiary of such successor corporation). If such awards are not so assumed or substituted, the Administrator will notify participants that their options, stock purchase rights, and stock appreciation rights will be exercisable as to all of the shares subject to the award for a period of time determined by the Administrator in its sole discretion, and that the award will terminate upon the expiration of such period. In addition, in the event we experience any dividend or other distribution, recapitalization, stock split, reverse stock split, reorganization, merger, consolidation, split-up, spin-off, combination, repurchase, or exchange of shares or other securities, or other change in our corporate structure affecting the shares occurs, the Administrator, in order to prevent diminution or enlargement of the benefits or potential benefits intended to be made available under the Plan may make appropriate adjustments to outstanding awards and to the shares available for issuance under the Plan.

There are 1,000,000 shares of our common stock reserved under the Plan, and as of March 31, 2007, 119,000 shares remain for future issuance. By its terms, the Plan will automatically terminate in 2013, unless earlier terminated by the Board of Directors.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table contains information about the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of August 27, 2007 for:

each of our current directors, as well as our Chief Executive Officer, former Chief Financial Officer and our other three most highly compensated executive officers who were executive officers during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2007;

all of our current directors and named executive officers as a group; and

all persons known by us to be beneficial owners of more than five percent (5%) of our outstanding stock.

The number and percentage of shares beneficially owned is determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and the information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under Rule 13d-3, beneficial ownership includes any shares over which the individual or entity has voting power or investment power and any shares that the individual has the right to acquire within 60 days of August 27, 2007 through the exercise of any vested stock options or vested restricted stock units. Unless indicated, each person or entity either has sole voting and investment power over the shares shown as beneficially owned or shares those powers with his spouse.

Table of Contents

The number of options and restricted stock units exercisable within sixty (60) days of August 27, 2007 is shown in the first column of the table and is included in the total number of shares of common stock beneficially owned shown in the second column. The percentage of shares beneficially owned is computed on the basis of 37,465,217 shares of common stock outstanding on August 27, 2007. Unless otherwise indicated, the principal address of each stockholder listed below is c/o Genesis Microchip Inc., 2525 Augustine Drive, Santa Clara, California 95054.

| Name | Number of Shares of Common Stock Issuable Pursuant to Options and Restricted Stock Units | Total Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percentage of Outstanding Common Stock |
|---|---|--|--|
| DeutscheBank AG(1) Taunusanlage 12 D-60325 Frankfurt am Main Federal Republic of Germany | | 3,174,886 | 8.5% |
| Sonar Capital Management LLC(1) 75 Park Plaza, 2nd Floor Boston, MA 02116 | | 2,295,345 | 6.1% |
| Elias Antoun | 374,167 | 394,650 | 1.1% |
| Michael Healy(2)(7) | 0 | 5,201 | * |
| Behrooz Yadegar | 24,792 | 26,264 | * |
| Hildy Shandell | 17,813 | 29,345 | * |
| Raphael Mehrbians(3)(7) | 9,031 | 11,773 | * |
| Tzoyao Chan(4)(7) | 0 | 16,690 | * |
| Anders Frisk(5)(6)(7) | 142,542 | 145,815 | * |
| Jon Castor | 42,583 | 42,583 | * |
| Chieh Chang | 52,583 | 66,320 | * |
| Tim Christoffersen | 65,500 | 65,500 | * |
| Jeffrey Diamond(8) | 115,500 | 130,054 | * |
| Robert H. Kidd | 70,500 | 70,500 | * |
| Chandrashekar M. Reddy | 67,167 | 198,722 | * |
| Directors and Named Executive Officers as a group (13 persons)(5)(8) | 982,178 | 1,203,417 | 3.2% |

* Less than one percent (1%)

(1) Based on information contained in a Schedule 13F filed June 30, 2007.

- (2) Terminated employment May 16, 2007.
- (3) Terminated employment October 31, 2006.
- (4) Terminated employment July 31, 2006.
- (5) Includes 3,273 shares of common stock held by Mr. Frisk.
- (6) Terminated employment July 31, 2007.
- (7) Total number of shares of common stock beneficially owned based on information available as of termination date.
- (8) Includes 14,554 shares owned by Diamond Family Trust, a trust established for the benefit of Mr. Diamond and his family.

Table of Contents**SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers, directors and any person who owns more than ten percent (10%) of our shares of common stock to file reports of ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the Securities and Exchange Commission and with us. Based on our review of copies of forms and written representations, we believe that all of our officers, directors and greater than ten percent (10%) stockholders complied with all filing requirements applicable to them for the year ended March 31, 2007, except as follows: (1) on May 3, 2006, a Form 4 was filed for Anders Frisk which omitted to state that Mr. Frisk was the beneficial owner of 2,581 shares of our common stock, which such omission was corrected in an amendment to the Form 4 filed on July 17, 2006, and (2) on May 30, 2006, Ava Hahn was granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock, which such grant was first reported on a Form 4 filed June 8, 2006.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS, RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

See the disclosure under the caption entitled *Change of Control and Severance Agreements* and *Employment Agreements*, and Note 14 *Related Party Transactions*.

Our Audit Committee Charter states that the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving in advance, any proposed related party transactions. In addition, our Code of Conduct and Business Ethics prohibits conflicts of interest. The code does not distinguish between potential conflict of interest transactions involving directors or executive officers and those involving other employees. It notes that all covered persons are expected to avoid conflicts of interest. The code provides some examples of activities that could involve conflicts of interest, including aiding our competitors, involvement with any business that does business with us or seeks to do so, owning a significant financial interest in a competitor or a business that does business with us or seeks to do so, soliciting or accepting payments or other preferential treatment from any person that does business with us or seeks to do so, taking personal advantage of corporate opportunities and transacting company business with a family member. Further, all related party transactions must be approved in advance by our Audit Committee. The code does not expressly set forth the standards that would be applied in reviewing, approving or ratifying transactions in which our directors, executive officers or stockholders have a material interest. We expect that any such transaction would be approved only if our Audit Committee concluded in good faith that it was in our interest to proceed with it.

Each of our non-employee Board members qualify as *independent* in accordance with the published listing requirements of the Nasdaq Global Market.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

We have selected KPMG as our independent accountants for the 2008 fiscal year. KPMG or its predecessor firms have served as our independent accountants since our inception in Canada in 1987. The approximate fees billed to us by KPMG for services rendered with respect to fiscal years 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Audit Fees | \$ 901,017 | \$ 815,790 |
| Audit-Related Fees | 120,503 | 180,183 |
| Tax Fees | 341,246 | 333,483 |
| All Other Fees | 0 | 0 |
| Total Fees | \$ 1,362,766 | \$ 1,329,456 |

Audit Fees. This category consists of fees paid for professional services provided in connection with the integrated audit of our financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting, and review of our quarterly financial statements and audit services provided in connection with other statutory or regulatory filings, including filings related to potential mergers and acquisitions.

Audit-Related Fees. This category consists of fees paid primarily for advisory services, research on accounting matters and due diligence related to mergers and acquisitions, and are not reported above under Audit Fees.

Table of Contents

Tax Fees. This category consists of fees paid primarily for professional services rendered by KPMG in connection with tax advice related to specialized projects such as the implementation of the American Jobs Creation Act, acquisition activities and tax compliance, including technical tax advice related to the preparation of tax returns.

The Audit Committee has determined that the provision of non-audit services performed during fiscal 2006, including work related to acquisition activities and for tax planning and compliance purposes, is compatible with maintaining the independence of KPMG.

The Audit Committee has established a policy governing our use of KPMG for non-audit services. Under the policy, management may use KPMG for non-audit services that are permitted under SEC rules and regulations, provided that management obtains the Audit Committee's approval before such services are rendered. In fiscal 2007, all fees identified above under the captions *Audit-Related Fees* and *Tax Fees* that were billed by KPMG were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to the Company's pre-approval policies and procedures established by the Audit Committee.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES:

(a) *Documents filed with this report:*

1. *Consolidated Financial Statements.* The following consolidated financial statements and related auditors' report are incorporated in Item 8 of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting firm.

Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2007 and 2006.

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended March 31, 2007, March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended March 31, 2007, March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended March 31, 2007, March 31, 2006 and March 31, 2005.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. *Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules.* Consolidated financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or are not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto which are included herein.

3. *Exhibits.* The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index are filed as a part of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GENESIS MICROCHIP INC.

By: /s/ Elias Antoun

Elias Antoun
Chief Executive Officer and Director

By: /s/ Linda Millage

Linda Millage
Principal Accounting Officer

Date: September 4, 2007

This report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated as required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

| Name | Title | Date |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Elias Antoun | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | September 4, 2007 |
| Elias Antoun | | |
| ** | Director | September 4, 2007 |
| Jon Castor | | |
| ** | Director | September 4, 2007 |
| Chieh Chang | | |
| ** | Director | September 4, 2007 |
| Tim Christoffersen | | |
| ** | Chairman of the Board | September 4, 2007 |
| Jeffrey Diamond | | |
| ** | Director | September 4, 2007 |
| Robert H. Kidd | | |
| ** | Director | September 4, 2007 |
| Chandrashekar M. Reddy | | |

**Power of Attorney

By: /s/ Jeffrey Lin

Jeffrey Lin, as attorney in fact

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 2.1(1) | Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization, dated as of September 27, 2001, by and between Genesis Microchip Incorporated and Sage, Inc. |
| 2.2(1) | Share Exchange and Arrangement Agreement and Plan of Arrangement by and among the Registrant, Genesis Microchip Nova Scotia Corp., and Genesis Microchip Incorporated. |
| 2.3(2) | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of March 17, 2003, among Genesis Microchip Inc., Display Acquisition Corporation and Pixelworks, Inc. (with Forms of Voting Agreements). |
| 3.1(1) | Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant. |
| 3.2(3) | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant. |
| 3.3(4) | Certificate of Designation of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series A Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant. |
| 4.1(1) | Form of Common Stock Certificate of the Registrant. |
| 4.2(4) | Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, dated as of June 27, 2002, between the Registrant and Mellon Investor Services, L.L.C., as amended on March 16, 2003. |
| 10.1(5)* | Offer Letter of Employment with Anders Frisk, dated February 15, 2000. |
| 10.2(5)* | Separation Agreement and Release with Chandrashekar Reddy. |
| 10.3(5)* | Consulting Agreement with Chandrashekar Reddy. |
| 10.4(6)* | 1987 Stock Option Plan. |
| 10.5 | Intentionally omitted. |
| 10.6(21)* | 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as last amended on August 24, 2005. |
| 10.7(21)* | 1997 Non-Employee Stock Option Plan. |
| 10.8(21)* | 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan. |
| 10.9(21)* | 2001 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan. |
| 10.10(6)* | Paradise Electronics, Inc. 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan. |
| 10.11(6)* | Sage, Inc. Second Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Plan. |
| 10.12(6)* | 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Loan Plan (for non-officers). |
| 10.13(7)* | Offer Letter with Michael Healy. |
| 10.14(8)* | CFO Tier 1 Change of Control Severance Agreement with Michael Healy. |
| 10.15(8)* | CEO Tier 1 Change of Control Severance Agreement with Elias Antoun. |
| 10.15(8)* | Form of director and officer indemnification agreement. |
| 10.16(9)* | 2003 Stock Plan. |
| 10.17(10)* | Form of 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan Stock Option Agreement with Nonemployee Directors. |
| 10.18(10)* | Form of 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan International Stock Option Agreement. |
| 10.19(10)* | Form of 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan Stock Option Agreement for China. |
| 10.20(11)* | Amendment No. 1 to Separation Agreement and Release with Chandrashekar Reddy, dated November 10, 2004. |
| 10.21(12)* | Offer Letter of Employment with Elias Antoun, dated November 10, 2004. |
| 10.22(13)* | 1997 Employee Stock Option Plan, as amended on September 19, 2005, and form of Notice of Grant of Restricted Stock Units. |
| 10.24(14)* | Offer Letter with Behrooz Yadegar, dated April 11, 2006. |
| 10.25(15)* | Fiscal Year 2007 Executive Bonus Plan, dated June 10, 2006. |
| 10.26(16)* | Separation Agreement and Release with Tzoyao Chan, dated July 27, 2006 |

10.27(17)* Offer Letter with Hildy Shandell, dated August 30, 2006

10.28(17)* Change in Control Severance Agreement with Hildy Shandell, dated September 12, 2006

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.29(18) | Lease Agreement and Lease Rider Agreement with Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company, dated September 18, 2006. |
| 10.30(19)* | Separation Agreement and Release with Raphael Mehrbians, dated October 20, 2006. |
| 10.31(20) | Settlement and License Agreement with Silicon Image, Inc., dated December 21, 2006. |
| 10.32(8)* | Tier 2 Change of Control Agreement with Anders Frisk. |
| 10.33(8)* | Form of Tier 2 Change of Control Severance Agreement. |
| 21 | Subsidiaries. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Accounting Firm. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Accounting Officer, as required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, as required by Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350). |

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-72202) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 25, 2001, as amended.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2003.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 1, 2002, as amended.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A12G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 2002, as amended by the Registrant's Statement on Form 8-12G/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2003.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on June 20, 2003.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on February 21, 2002.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on February 13, 2004.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 7, 2007.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on October 15, 2003.

(10)

Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on November 9, 2004.

- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on November 15, 2004.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on November 19, 2004.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on November 8, 2005.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on May 10, 2006.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on June 14, 2006.

Table of Contents

- (16) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on August 1, 2006.
 - (17) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on September 18, 2006.
 - (18) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on September 19, 2006.
 - (19) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on October 23, 2006.
 - (20) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on December 22, 2006.
 - (21) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on June 12, 2007.
- * Identifies a management contract or compensatory plan of arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this report pursuant to Item 14(c) of this report.