# CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES CO Form DEF 14A May 16, 2002

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

> SCHEDULE 14A (RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (AMENDMENT NO. 1)

Filed by the Registrant [X]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [ ]

Check the appro	priate box:
[ ] Preliminary Proxy Statement	[ ] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
<pre>[X] Definitive Proxy Statement [ ] Definitive Additional Materials [ ] Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 1</pre>	4a-12
CRESCENT REAL ESTATE	EQUITIES COMPANY
(Name of Registrant as Spe	cified In Its Charter)
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement,	if other than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropria	te box):
[X] No fee required.	
[ ] Fee computed on table below per Ex	change Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
(1) Title of each class of securities	to which transaction applies:
(2) Aggregate number of securities to	which transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (se fee is calculated and state how it was	t forth the amount on which the filing
(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value o	f transaction:
(5) Total fee paid:	
[ ] Fee paid previously with prelimina	ry materials.
[ ] Check box if any part of the fee i	s offset as provided by Exchange Act

Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was

paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY

777 Main Street, Suite 2100 Fort Worth, Texas 76102

-----

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To be held June 10, 2002

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Meeting") of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company, a Texas real estate investment trust (the "Company"), will be held at The Crescent Court Hotel, 400 Crescent Court, Dallas, Texas, on June 10, 2002, at 10:00 a.m., Central Daylight Savings Time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect three trust managers of the Company to serve three-year terms, or until their respective successors are elected and qualified.
- 2. To consider the shareholder proposal urging the Board of Trust Managers to declassify the Board for purposes of elections of trust managers, if such proposal is properly presented at the Meeting.
- 3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement, which is attached and made a part of this Notice.

The Board of Trust Managers has fixed the close of business on April 12, 2002, as the record date for determining the shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Shareholders are cordially invited to attend the Meeting in person.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, YOU ARE URGED TO MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE POSTAGE-PREPAID ENVELOPE PROVIDED TO ENSURE YOUR REPRESENTATION AND THE PRESENCE OF A QUORUM AT THE MEETING. ALTERNATIVELY, YOU MAY VOTE BY INTERNET. INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING INTERNET VOTING ARE INCLUDED ON THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD. IF YOU SEND IN YOUR PROXY CARD OR VOTE BY INTERNET AND THEN DECIDE TO ATTEND THE MEETING TO VOTE YOUR SHARES IN PERSON, YOU MAY STILL DO SO. YOUR PROXY IS REVOCABLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN THE PROXY STATEMENT.

By Order of the Board of Trust Managers,

May 16, 2002 Fort Worth, Texas David M. Dean Secretary

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY

777 Main Street, Suite 2100 Fort Worth, Texas 76102

-----

#### PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held On June 10, 2002

This Proxy Statement is furnished to shareholders of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company, a Texas real estate investment trust (the "Company"), in connection with the solicitation of proxies by its board of trust managers (the "Board of Trust Managers" or the "Board") on behalf of the Company for use at the 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company (the "Meeting") to be held at The Crescent Court Hotel, 400 Crescent Court, Dallas, Texas, on Monday, June 10, 2002, at 10:00 a.m., Central Daylight Savings Time, for the purposes set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting. This Proxy Statement and the accompanying form of proxy are first being sent or given to shareholders on or about May 20, 2002.

The Company owns its assets and conducts its operations through Crescent Real Estate Equities Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), and its other subsidiaries. The sole general partner of the Operating Partnership is Crescent Real Estate Equities, Ltd., a Delaware corporation (the "General Partner"), which is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

#### RECORD DATE AND OUTSTANDING CAPITAL SHARES

The record date for determination of the shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Meeting is the close of business on April 12, 2002 (the "Record Date"). At the close of business on the Record Date, 105,207,192 of the Company's common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$.01 per share (the "Common Shares"), were issued, outstanding and entitled to vote at the Meeting. This number excludes 14,468,623 issued and outstanding Common Shares held by Crescent SH IX, Inc., an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, because Crescent SH IX, Inc. is not entitled to vote those Common Shares under applicable law.

### PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Any proxy, if received in time, properly signed and not revoked, will be voted at the Meeting in accordance with the directions of the shareholder. If no directions are specified, the proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 1 (Item 1 on the proxy card) and AGAINST the shareholder proposal (Item 2 on the proxy card). If any other matter or business is brought before the Meeting or any adjournment

thereof, the proxy holders may vote the proxy in their discretion. The Board of Trust Managers does not know of any such matter or business to be presented for consideration.

A proxy may be revoked by (i) delivering a written statement to the Secretary of the Company stating that the proxy is revoked, (ii) presenting at the Meeting a subsequent proxy executed by the person executing the prior proxy, or (iii) attending the Meeting and voting in person.

#### QUORUM AND VOTING

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the Common Shares outstanding and entitled to vote as of the Record Date is necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the

1

Meeting. In deciding all questions, a holder of Common Shares is entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each Common Share held in such holder's name on the Record Date, except that Crescent SH IX, Inc. is not entitled to vote its 14,468,623 Common Shares.

#### REQUIRED AFFIRMATIVE VOTE AND VOTING PROCEDURES

The vote required to elect the nominees as trust managers (Proposal Number 1) is a majority of the votes cast at the Meeting by the holders of Common Shares entitled to vote on such matter. The vote required to approve the shareholder proposal (Proposal Number 2) urging the Board of Trust Managers to take the steps necessary to declassify the Board is a majority of the votes cast at the Meeting by the holders of Common Shares entitled to vote on such matter. Common Shares held by shareholders present at the Meeting in person who do not vote and ballots marked "abstain," "against" or "withhold authority" will be counted as present at the Meeting for quorum purposes. Under the Company's Restated Declaration of Trust, as amended (the "Declaration of Trust"), Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended (the "Bylaws"), and applicable law, abstentions constitute votes cast but broker non-votes do not. As a result, abstentions with respect to the election of the trust managers or the shareholder proposal effectively constitute votes against those matters. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on either proposal.

#### COSTS OF PROXY SOLICITATION

The Company will bear the cost of preparing, assembling and mailing the proxy material. Upon request, the Company will reimburse brokers, banks, nominees and other fiduciaries for postage and reasonable clerical expenses of forwarding the proxy materials to their principals, the beneficial owners of the Common Shares and the Preferred Shares. In an effort to have as large a representation at the Meeting as possible, special solicitation of proxies may, in certain instances, be made personally, or by telephone, facsimile, or mail by one or more employees of the General Partner, who will not receive any additional compensation in connection therewith. In addition, the Company has engaged D. F. King & Co., Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies. The Company anticipates that it will incur total fees of \$8,000 plus \$4.00 per phone call plus out-of-pocket expenses. Neither the number of phone calls nor the out-of-pocket expenses can be estimated at this time.

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Arthur Andersen LLP has served as the Company's independent auditors from inception through the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001. A representative of Arthur Andersen LLP is expected to be present at the Meeting. The representative will have an opportunity to make a statement and will be able to respond to appropriate questions.

The Company's Audit Committee has closely monitored the congressional investigations and public disclosures concerning the bankruptcy filing of Enron Corp. and Arthur Andersen LLP's involvement as independent auditor of Enron Corp. In addition, the Audit Committee has met with representatives of Arthur Andersen LLP to discuss these recent events. The Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of different independent auditors at any time during the year if the Audit Committee believes that such an appointment would be in the best interests of our shareholders. Although members of the Audit Committee have not reached a decision regarding our independent auditors for 2002, they may consider engaging an independent accounting firm other than Arthur Andersen LLP for reasons that are unrelated to Arthur Andersen LLP's prior audits of our financial statements. For this reason, we will not be asking shareholders to ratify Arthur Andersen LLP as our independent auditors at the Meeting. If members of the Audit Committee decide to appoint different independent auditors, we will promptly provide the disclosure required by the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission").

2

Fiscal 2001 Audit Firm Fee Summary. During fiscal year 2001, the Company retained Arthur Andersen LLP to provide services in the following categories and amounts:

Audit Fees	\$287	7,400
Financial Information Systems Design and Implementation Fees	\$	0
All Other Fees:		
Audit-Related Fees*	\$204	1,300
Other Fees*	\$142	2,675
Total All Other Fees	\$346	5,975

-----

The Audit Committee of the Board of Trust Managers has considered those services provided by Arthur Andersen LLP for the Company that were not provided in conjunction with the audit and review of its financial statements and has determined that such services are compatible with maintaining the independence of Arthur Andersen LLP.

PROPOSAL NUMBER 1
ELECTION OF TRUST MANAGERS

<sup>\*</sup> Audit-related fees includes operating expense audits required by lease agreements, audits required by partnership and note agreements, accounting consultation, acquisition due diligence, and the benefit plan audit. Other fees include tax consultation and tax federal and state compliance.

BOARD OF TRUST MANAGERS

The trust managers of the Company are divided into three classes, with the shareholders electing a portion of the trust managers annually. In May 2002, pursuant to the authority granted to them under the Bylaws the trust managers approved an increase in the size of the Board of Trust Managers to nine members, and elected Robert W. Stallings, Dennis H. Alberts and Terry N. Worrell to fill the three newly created vacancies on the Board of Trust Managers. Because the Company's Declaration of Trust and Bylaws require the Board of Trust Managers to be divided into three classes as nearly equal in number as possible, Mr. Stallings was elected to hold office for a term expiring at the Meeting (on June 10, 2002), and Mr. Alberts and Mr. Worrell were elected to hold office for a term expiring at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2004 and, in each case, until his successor has been duly elected and qualified. The trust managers whose terms will expire at the Meeting are John C. Goff, Paul E. Rowsey, III and Robert W. Stallings. Messrs. Goff, Rowsey and Stallings have been nominated and have agreed to stand for election at the Meeting as trust managers, to hold office until the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2005, or until their respective successors are elected and qualified.

The nominees who receive a majority of the votes cast by shareholders who are present in person or represented by proxy at the Meeting and entitled to vote on the election of trust managers will be elected as trust managers of the Company.

THE BOARD OF TRUST MANAGERS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR JOHN C. GOFF, PAUL E. ROWSEY, III AND ROBERT W. STALLINGS AS TRUST MANAGERS TO HOLD OFFICE UNTIL THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS IN 2005, OR UNTIL THEIR RESPECTIVE SUCCESSORS ARE ELECTED AND QUALIFIED.

If Mr. Goff, Mr. Rowsey or Mr. Stallings become unable to serve as trust managers for any reason, the Board of Trust Managers may designate substitute nominees, in which event the persons named in the enclosed proxy will vote for the election of such substitute nominee or nominees or may reduce the number of trust managers on the Board of Trust Managers.

Set forth below is information with respect to the current nine trust managers of the Company, including the nominees, and the executive officers of the Company and the General Partner.

3

		TERM		
	NAME	EXPIRES	AGE	POSITION
Richard	E. Rainwater	2003	57	Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers of the Company
John C.	Goff	2002	46	Vice Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers of the Company, Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the General Partner, and Sole Director of the General Partner
Dennis H	H. Alberts	2004	53	Trust Manager of the Company and

President and Chief Operating

			Officer of the Company and the General Partner
Anthony M. Frank	2003	70	Trust Manager of the Company
William F. Quinn	2003	54	Trust Manager of the Company
Paul E. Rowsey, III	2002	47	Trust Manager of the Company
David M. Sherman	2004	44	Trust Manager of the Company
Robert W. Stallings	2002	53	Trust Manager of the Company
Terry N. Worrell	2004	57	Trust Manager of the Company
Kenneth S. Moczulski	N/A	50	President of Investments and Chief Investment Officer of the Company and the General Partner
David M. Dean	N/A	41	Executive Vice President, Law and Administration, and Secretary of the Company and the General Partner
Jane E. Mody	N/A	51	Executive Vice President, Capital Markets of the Company and the General Partner
Jerry R. Crenshaw, Jr	N/A	38	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial and Accounting Officer of the Company and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner
Jane B. Page	N/A	41	Senior Vice President, Asset Management and Leasing, Houston Region of the General Partner
John L. Zogg, Jr	N/A	38	Senior Vice President, Asset Management and Leasing, Dallas Region, of the General Partner
Christopher T. Porter	N/A	36	Vice President and Treasurer of the Company and the General Partner

4

### TRUST MANAGERS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Board of Trust Managers of the Company currently consists of nine members, divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms. The following is a summary of the experience of the current and proposed trust managers and the current executive officers.

Richard E. Rainwater has been an independent investor since 1986. From 1970 to July 1986, he served as the chief investment advisor to the Bass family, whose overall wealth increased dramatically during his tenure. During that time,

Mr. Rainwater was principally responsible for numerous major corporate and real estate acquisitions and dispositions. Upon beginning his independent investment activities, he founded ENSCO International Incorporated, an oil field service and offshore drilling company, in December 1986. Additionally, in June 1988, he co-founded Columbia Hospital Corporation, and in March 1989 he participated in a management-led buy out of HCA-Hospital Corporation of America. In November 1992, Mr. Rainwater co-founded Mid Ocean Limited, a provider of casualty re-insurance. In February 1994, he assisted in the merger of Columbia Hospital Corporation and HCA-Hospital Corporation of America that created Columbia/HCA Healthcare Corporation. Mr. Rainwater is a graduate of the University of Texas at Austin and the Graduate School of Business at Stanford University. Mr. Rainwater has served as the Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers since the Company's inception in 1994.

John C. Goff co-founded the Company with Mr. Rainwater while serving as principal of Rainwater, Inc. Mr. Goff served as Chief Executive Officer and as a trust manager from the Company's inception in February 1994 through December 1996, when he became Vice Chairman. In June 1999, Mr. Goff returned as Chief Executive Officer of the Company and remains as Vice Chairman. Mr. Goff has served as the managing principal of Goff Moore Strategic Partners, L.P., a private investment partnership, since its formation in February 1998. From June 1987 to May 1994, Mr. Goff was vice president of Rainwater, Inc. Prior to joining Rainwater, Inc., Mr. Goff was employed by KPMG Peat Marwick, with Mr. Rainwater as one of his principal clients. Mr. Goff also serves on the boards of Gainsco, Inc., The Staubach Company, OpenConnect Systems, Inc. and The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. Mr. Goff is a graduate of the University of Texas and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Dennis H. Alberts, prior to joining the Company, served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Retail Trust, a privately held retail shopping center REIT, which he founded in 1993. While at Pacific Retail Trust, Mr. Alberts directed all aspects of the company, including acquisition, development and operational activities, from 1993 until 1999 when Pacific Retail Trust merged into Regency Realty, Inc., a publicly traded REIT. In 1999, Mr. Alberts also served as a consultant to Regency Realty, Inc. Prior to founding Pacific Retail Trust, Mr. Alberts served as President and Chief Operating Officer of First Union Real Estate Investments, a publicly held retail, multi-family and office REIT, in 1992. From 1987 to 1991, Mr. Alberts served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Rosewood Property Company where he focused on asset management and leasing of Rosewood's office portfolio. Before joining Rosewood Property Company, he served as President and Managing Partner of Trammell Crow Residential Companies of Dallas from 1984 to 1987. Mr. Alberts holds a Bachelor of Science degree and Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Missouri. Since April 2000, Mr. Alberts has served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company and the General Partner. In May 2002, Mr. Alberts was elected, along with Messrs. Stallings and Worrell, to serve as a trust manager by the six members of the Board of Trust Managers then comprising the Board.

Anthony M. Frank currently serves as Founding Chairman of Belvedere Capital Partners, general partner of the California Community Financial Institutions Fund LP. From March 1988 to March 1992, he served as Postmaster General of the United States. From April 1992 until June 1993, he served as the founding chairman of Independent Bancorp of Arizona. Mr. Frank has also served as a Director of: Temple Inland, Inc., a manufacturer of paper and timber products, since May 1992; Bedford Property Investors, Inc., an office and commercial property real estate investment trust ("REIT") investing primarily on the West Coast, since May 1992; Charles Schwab & Co., one of the nation's largest discount brokerages, since July 1993; and Cotelligent, Inc., a provider of temporary office support services, since May 1995. Mr. Frank received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Dartmouth College and a Master of Business Administration degree from the Amos Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth. Mr. Frank has served

as a Trust Manager since the Company's inception in 1994.

5

William F. Quinn has served as President of AMR Investment Services, Inc., the investment services affiliate of American Airlines, with responsibility for the management of pension and short-term fixed income assets, since November 1986. Prior to being named to his current position in 1986, Mr. Quinn held several management positions with American Airlines and its subsidiaries. He has served as Chairman of the Board of American Airlines Federal Credit Union since November 1989, President and Trustee of the American AAdvantage Funds since July 1987, and on the advisory board for Southern Methodist University's Endowment Fund since September 1996. Mr. Quinn holds a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from Fordham University and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Quinn has served as a Trust Manager since the Company's inception in 1994.

Paul E. Rowsey, III, has served as President of Eiger, Inc., a private real estate investment management and investment firm, and the manager of Eiger Fund I, L.P., a real estate equity investment fund, since their formation in January 1999. He was formerly President and a member of the Board of Directors of Rosewood Property Company, a real estate investment company, a position he held from February 1988 until December 1998. Mr. Rowsey has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ENSCO International Incorporated, an offshore oil field service and drilling company, since January 2000. Mr. Rowsey began his career in 1980 as an attorney specializing in commercial real estate. Mr. Rowsey holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from Duke University and a Juris Doctor degree from Southern Methodist University School of Law. Mr. Rowsey has served as a Trust Manager since the Company's inception in 1994.

David M. Sherman has served as President of D. Sherman & Company, Inc., a firm founded and wholly owned by Mr. Sherman which provides services to public real estate companies, since February 2000. In addition, he has been an adjunct professor of real estate at Columbia University Graduate School of Business Administration since February 2000. Prior to being named to both of these positions, and beginning in 1995, Mr. Sherman was the Managing Director, Senior Analyst and Head of the REIT Equity Research Team at Salomon Smith Barney, Inc. In addition, Mr. Sherman has served on the Board of Trustees, as well as the audit committee, for Keystone Property Trust, a fully integrated REIT and one of the largest owners and developers of industrial properties in the Eastern United States, since June 2000. He also has served as a member of the advisory committee for the Primus Fund, a REIT fund sponsored by Deutsche Bank Realty Advisors. Mr. Sherman holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Math/Economics from Brown University and a Master of Business Administration degree in Finance from Columbia University Graduate School of Business Administration. Mr. Sherman has served as a Trust Manager since October 2000.

Robert W. Stallings currently serves as Chairman and President of Stallings Capital Group, Inc., a Dallas-based merchant banking firm specializing in the financial services industry. In addition, he is non-executive Chairman of Gainsco, Inc., for whom he also provides consulting services. Mr. Stallings also serves as a director of Texas Capital Bank. He is the recently-retired Chairman and founder of ING Pilgrim Capital Corporation, a \$20 billion asset management firm which was acquired by ING Group in September 2000 and with which he had been associated since 1991. Mr. Stallings received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business from Johnson & Wales University. In May 2002, Mr. Stallings was elected, along with Messrs. Alberts and Worrell, to serve as a trust manager by the six members of the Board of Trust Managers then comprising the Board.

Terry N. Worrell has been a private investor in commercial properties and

other business ventures with Worrell Investments since 1989. From 1974 to 1989, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Sound Warehouse of Dallas, Inc. prior to its purchase by Shamrock Holdings. Mr. Worrell serves as a director of Regency Centers Corp., a developer/operator of shopping centers and Tremont Corp., a holding company with operations conducted primarily through NL Industries and Titanium Metals. Mr. Worrell received a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of North Texas. In May 2002, Mr. Worrell was elected, along with Messrs. Alberts and Stallings, to serve as a trust manager by the six members of the Board of Trust Managers then comprising the Board.

Kenneth S. Moczulski, prior to joining the Company, served as President of Transworld Properties, Inc., a subsidiary of a privately held international oil company, which he founded in January 1992. While at Transworld Properties, Inc., Mr. Moczulski was responsible for the formation and implementation of real estate investment strategy, as well as management of on-going real estate development, asset management, and dispositions. Prior to founding Transworld Properties, Inc., Mr. Moczulski served as Vice President of Jaymont Properties in New York from April 1987 to December 1991, where he was responsible, on a national basis, for all acquisition and

6

disposition activities. From February 1979 to March 1987, Mr. Moczulski served as Development Manager for a number of commercial developments for Gerald D. Hines Interests. Mr. Moczulski holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Cincinnati and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard Graduate School of Business. Mr. Moczulski has served as President of Investments and Chief Investment Officer of the Company and the General Partner since November 2000.

David M. Dean, prior to joining the Company, was an attorney for Burlington Northern Railroad Company from 1992 to 1994, and he served as Assistant General Counsel in 1994. At Burlington Northern, he was responsible for the majority of that company's transactional and general corporate legal work. Mr. Dean was previously engaged in the private practice of law from 1986 to 1990 with Kelly, Hart & Hallman, and from 1990 to 1992 with Jackson & Walker, L.L.P., where he worked primarily on acquisition, financing and venture capital transactions for Mr. Rainwater and related investor groups. Mr. Dean graduated with honors from Texas A&M University with Bachelor of Arts degrees in English and philosophy in 1983. He also holds a Juris Doctor degree and a Master of Laws degree in taxation from Southern Methodist University School of Law. Mr. Dean served as Senior Vice President, Law, and Secretary from the time he joined the Company in August 1994 to September 1999 when he became Senior Vice President, Law and Administration and Secretary, a position which he held until January 2001. Since January 2001, Mr. Dean has served as Executive Vice President, Law and Administration and Secretary of the Company and the General Partner.

Jane E. Mody, prior to joining the Company, served as Vice President of Goldman, Sachs & Co. from February 2000 to February 2001. While at Goldman, Sachs & Co., Ms. Mody worked with the real estate merchant banking division and was responsible for fund reporting for nine real estate opportunity funds. She served as Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer of Pacific Retail Trust, a private real estate investment trust, which she co-founded, from December 1993 until February 1999 when Pacific Retail Trust merged into Regency Realty, Inc., a publicly traded REIT. From February 1999 to August 1999 Ms. Mody served as a consultant to Regency Realty, Inc. Prior to co-founding Pacific Retail Trust, Ms. Mody served as Executive Vice President of Rosewood Property Company, a real estate investment company, from April 1988 to December 1993. Ms. Mody graduated

from Austin College with a Bachelor of Arts degree and holds a Master of Business Administration degree in International Business from the University of Dallas. Ms. Mody has served as Executive Vice President, Capital Markets of the Company and the General Partner since February 2001.

Jerry R. Crenshaw, Jr., prior to joining the Company, was the Controller of Carrington Laboratories, Inc., a pharmaceutical and medical device company, from 1991 until February 1994. From 1986 until 1991, Mr. Crenshaw was an audit senior in the real estate services group of Arthur Andersen LLP. Mr. Crenshaw holds a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in accounting from Baylor University and is a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. Crenshaw served as Controller from the Company's inception in 1994 to March 1997 when he became Vice President and served as Vice President, Controller until December 1998 and Vice President, Finance until September 1999. In addition, Mr. Crenshaw served as Interim Co-Chief Financial Officer of the Company and the General Partner from August 1998 until April 1999. Since September 1999, Mr. Crenshaw has served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and the General Partner.

Jane B. Page, prior to joining the Company, was employed by Metropolitan Life Real Estate Investments from July 1984 to January 1998, holding positions of director of corporate property management and regional asset manager of Metropolitan's institutional portfolio in Houston, Austin and New Orleans. Ms. Page's 14-year tenure at Metropolitan also included membership on Metropolitan's Investment Committee, which reviewed and approved all significant transactions on a national basis. Ms. Page serves on the Boards of the Greater Houston Partnership, Central Houston, Inc. and the Downtown Houston Management District. Ms. Page graduated with a Bachelor of Arts degree from Point Loma College in San Diego and with a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of San Francisco. She also holds Certified Commercial Investments Manager and Certified Property Manager designations. Ms. Page served as Director of Asset Management, Houston Region from the time she joined the Company in January 1998 to December 1998, when she became Vice President, Houston Region Asset Management and served in that capacity until September 1999 when she became Vice President, Asset Management, Houston Region. Since May 2000, Ms. Page has served as Senior Vice President, Asset Management and Leasing, Houston Region.

7

John L. Zogg, Jr. served as Vice President of the commercial real estate group of Rosewood Property Company, responsible for marketing and leasing office space in the Dallas and Denver areas, from January 1989 to May 1994. For three years prior to joining Rosewood Property Company, Mr. Zogg worked as Marketing Manager of Gerald D. Hines Interests, responsible for office leasing in the Dallas metropolitan area from June 1985 to January 1988. He graduated from the University of Texas at Austin with a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics and holds a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Dallas. Mr. Zogg joined the Company as a Vice President in May 1994 and served as Vice President, Leasing and Marketing, from June 1997 to September 1999 when he became Vice President, Leasing/Marketing, Southwest Region. Since May 2000, Mr. Zogg has served as Senior Vice President, Asset Management and Leasing, Dallas Region.

Christopher T. Porter, prior to joining the Company, held the office of Senior Vice President, Investor Relations, for Associates First Capital Corporation, a leading financial services firm, from January 1999 through October 1999. Prior to 1999, Mr. Porter served as Vice President and Assistant Treasurer in banking relations and cash management at Associates First Capital Corporation from November 1991 through January 1999. Mr. Porter received a

Bachelor of Science degree in economics from the University of Texas at Austin and a Master of Business Administration degree in finance from the University of North Texas and is a certified cash manager. Mr. Porter has served as Vice President and Treasurer of the Company and the General Partner since December 1999

#### TRUST MANAGER COMPENSATION

During 2001, each trust manager who is not also an officer of the Company received an annual fee of \$30,000 (payable in cash or, at the election of the trust manager, in Common Shares in an amount determined by dividing the fees otherwise payable by 90% of the fair market value of the Common Shares), a meeting fee of \$1,000 for each Board of Trust Managers or committee meeting attended in person, a fee of \$1,000 for participation in each telephonic meeting of the Board of Trust Managers and a fee of \$500 for participation in each telephonic committee meeting. Trust managers who are also officers receive no separate compensation for their service as trust managers.

#### COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF TRUST MANAGERS

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee consists of Anthony M. Frank, Chairman, and William F. Quinn and Paul E. Rowsey, III. The Audit Committee, which held seven meetings in 2001, makes recommendations concerning the engagement of independent public accountants, reviews with the independent public accountants the plans and results of the audit engagement, approves professional services that the independent public accountants provide, reviews the independence of the public accountants, considers the range of audit and non-audit fees and reviews the adequacy of the Company's internal accounting controls. The Board of Trust Managers has determined that all current members of the Audit Committee are "independent" as that term is defined in Section 303.01(B)(2)(a) and (3) of the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards.

Executive Compensation Committee. The Executive Compensation Committee consists of Paul E. Rowsey, III, Chairman, and Anthony M. Frank. The Executive Compensation Committee, which held two meetings in 2001, determines compensation for the Company's executive officers and administers the stock incentive and other compensation plans that the Company adopts. The Executive Compensation Committee also nominates persons to serve as members of the Board of Trust Managers. The Executive Compensation Committee will consider nominees that management, shareholders and others recommend, and these recommendations may be delivered in writing to the attention of the Executive Compensation Committee in care of the Company Secretary at the Company's principal executive offices. See "Shareholder Proposals for the Company's 2003 Annual Meeting of Shareholders" below for a description of the procedures by which shareholders may nominate candidates for trust manager.

Intercompany Evaluation Committee. The Intercompany Evaluation Committee consists of William F. Quinn, Chairman, and David M. Sherman. The Board of Trust Managers appointed the Intercompany Evaluation Committee to review, confirm and ratify, in the Company's capacity as sole stockholder of the General Partner, all

8

determinations and acts of the Operating Partnership and the General Partner relating to the Intercompany Agreement between the Operating Partnership and Crescent Operating, Inc. ("COPI"), dated June 3, 1997 (the "Intercompany Agreement"), and any other transactions with COPI or its affiliates that the General Partner may present to the committee from time to time. The Intercompany

Agreement was terminated on February 14, 2002 pursuant to an agreement between the Company and COPI. The Intercompany Evaluation Committee continues to serve its functions with respect to any transactions with COPI or its affiliates that the General Partner presents to the committee. The Intercompany Evaluation Committee held four meetings in 2001.

During the last fiscal year, the Board of Trust Managers held five meetings, and no trust manager attended fewer than 75% of the aggregate of all meetings of the Board of Trust Managers and the committees, if any, upon which such trust manager served and which were held during the period of time that such person served on the Board of Trust Managers or such committee.

# PROPOSAL NUMBER 2 PROPOSAL BY SHAREHOLDER

#### SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL BY SEIU

THE FOLLOWING PROPOSAL HAS BEEN SUBMITTED BY SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION ("SEIU"), 1313 L STREET, N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 IN THE FORM IN WHICH IT WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COMPANY. SEIU IS THE OWNER OF 147 SHARES OF THE COMPANY.

RESOLVED: That the shareholders of Crescent Real Estate Equities Co. urge the Board of Trustees to take the necessary steps to declassify the Board of Trustees for the purpose of Trustee elections. The Board declassification shall be done in a manner that does not affect the unexpired terms of Trustees previously elected.

#### SHAREHOLDER SUPPORTING STATEMENT BY SEIU

We believe the election of Trustees is the most powerful way that shareholders influence the strategic direction of our company. Currently the Board of Trustees of Crescent Real Estate Equities Co. is divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms. It is our belief that the classification of the Board of Trustees is not in the best interests of Crescent Real Estate Equities Co. and its shareholders. The elimination of the staggered board would require each Trustee to stand for election annually. This procedure would allow shareholders an opportunity to annually register their views on the performance of the board collectively and each Trustee individually. Concerns that the annual election of Trustees would leave Crescent Real Estate Equities Co. without experienced board members in the event that all incumbents are voted out are unfounded. If the owners should choose to replace the entire board, it would be obvious that the incumbent Trustee contributions were not valued.

A classified board of Trustees protects the incumbency of the board of Trustees and current management, which in turn limits accountability to stockholders. It is our belief Crescent Real Estate Equities Co.'s corporate governance procedures and practices, and the level of management accountability they impose, are related to the financial performance of the company. While Crescent Real Estate Equities Co.'s current performance is good, we believe sound corporate governance practices, such as the annual election of Trustees, will impose the level of management accountability necessary to help insure that a good performance record continues over the long term.

Classified boards like ours have become increasingly unpopular in recent years. Last year a majority of shareholders supported proposals asking their boards to repeal classified board structures at a number

of respected companies, including Kroger, Merck, Airborne, Albertson's Inc., U.S. Bancorp., Delphi Automotive, Maytag, United Health Group, Wisconsin Energy, and Alaska Air Group.

For a greater voice in the governance of Crescent Real Estate Equities Co. and annual Board of Trustees accountability we ask shareholders to vote YES on this proposal.

9

#### OPPOSITION STATEMENT BY CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY

The Company's current system for electing trust managers by classes was approved by the shareholders in 1994 and has been in place since prior to the Company's initial public offering. Under this system, the Board of Trust Managers is divided into three classes, each constituting approximately one-third of the total number of trust managers.

With the classified Board, the likelihood of continuity and stability in the Board's business strategies and policies is enhanced since, generally, approximately two-thirds of the trust managers at all times will have had prior experience and familiarity with the business and affairs of the Company. This experience and familiarity contributes to more effective long-range strategic planning and a focus on long-term performance.

The classified Board is intended to encourage persons who may seek to acquire control of the Company to initiate such action through negotiations with the Board. If a potential acquiror does not negotiate with the Company, the existence of the classified Board means that at least two meetings of shareholders would generally be required to replace a majority of the Board. By reducing the threat of an abrupt change in the composition of the entire Board, classification of trust managers would provide the Board with an adequate opportunity to fulfill its duties to the Company's shareholders to review any takeover proposal, study appropriate alternatives and achieve the best results for all shareholders. The Board believes that a classified Board enhances the ability to negotiate favorable terms with the proponent of an unfriendly or unsolicited proposal, but that it does not preclude takeover offers.

The Board believes that trust managers elected to a classified Board are no less accountable to shareholders than they would be if all trust managers were elected annually. The same standards of performance and fiduciary duties apply to all trust managers regardless of their term of service. The Board addresses many important issues during the year and is confident that its attention to these issues is in no way affected by the timing of elections.

Adoption of this proposal would not automatically eliminate the classified Board. Further action by the shareholders would be required to amend the Declaration of Trust and Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended. Under these documents, the approval of two-thirds of the outstanding Common Shares would be required for approval of any amendment. Under Texas law, an amendment to the Declaration of Trust requires a recommendation from the Board of Trust Managers prior to submission to shareholders. While the Board would consider such an amendment, it remains subject to its fiduciary duty to act in a manner it believes to be in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders generally.

THEREFORE, YOUR BOARD OF TRUST MANAGERS RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL.

10

#### VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of Common Shares for (i) each shareholder of the Company who beneficially owns more than 5% of the Common Shares, (ii) each trust manager, nominee for trust manager and Named Executive Officer (as defined below) of the Company or the General Partner, and (iii) the trust managers and executive officers of the Company or the General Partner as a group. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes, the listed beneficial owner directly owns all Common Shares.

#### BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP (1)

NAME AND ADDRESS OF BENEFICIAL OWNER(2)	, ,	, ,	
	(4) (5) (6)		
Richard E. Rainwater	13,538,404(8)	12.1%	
John C. Goff		3.4%	
Dennis H. Alberts	• • • •	*	
Anthony M. Frank	72,400 78,040	*	
William F. Quinn Paul E. Rowsey, III	56,587	*	
David M. Sherman	27,721	*	
Robert W. Stallings	22,000	*	
Terry N. Worrell	0	*	
Kenneth S. Moczulski	70,200(11)	*	
	270,396(12)	*	
Jane E. Mody	, , ,	*	
Barrow, Hanley, Mewhinney & Strauss		7.5%	
One McKinney Plaza	,, 331, 233 (21)	7.00	
3232 McKinney Avenue, 15th Floor			
Dallas, Texas 75204-2429			
Franklin Resources, Inc	6,110,006(15)	5.8%	
One Franklin Parkway			
San Mateo, California 94403-1906			
Trust Managers and Executive Officers			
as a Group (16 persons)	18,676,881(8)(9)(12)(1	3) 16.9%	

-----

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 1%

<sup>(1)</sup> All information is as of April 12, 2002, the Record Date, unless otherwise indicated. For purposes of calculations of percentage ownership interests, the number of Common Shares outstanding as of the Record Date excludes the 14,468,623 Common Shares held of record by Crescent SH IX, Inc., an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, because Crescent SH IX, Inc. is not entitled to vote those Common Shares under applicable law. The number of Common Shares beneficially owned is reported on the basis of regulations of the

Commission governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Accordingly, the number of Common Shares a person beneficially owns includes (i) the number of Common Shares that such person has the right to acquire within 60 days of the Record Date upon the exercise of options ("Options") granted pursuant to the 1994 Crescent Real Estate Equities, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan (the "1994 Plan") or the 1995 Crescent Real Estate Equities, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan (the "1995 Plan"), (ii) the number of Common Shares that may be issued within 60 days of the Record Date upon exchange of partnership units of the Operating Partnership ("Units") that such person owns for Common Shares, with such exchange made on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit exchanged (assuming the Company elects to issue Common Shares rather than pay cash upon such exchange), (iii) the number of Common Shares that may be issued within 60 days of the Record Date upon exercise of options (the "Plan Unit Options") granted under the 1996 Crescent Real Estate Equities Limited Partnership Unit Incentive Plan (the "Unit Plan"), as amended, to purchase Units and the subsequent exchange of such Units for Common Shares, with such exchange made on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit exchanged (assuming the Company elects to issue Common Shares rather than pay cash upon such exchange) and (iv) the number of Common Shares that may be issued within 60 days of the Record Date upon exercise of options (the "Unit Options") granted pursuant to an agreement to purchase Units and the subsequent exchange of such Units for Common Shares, with such exchange made on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit exchanged (assuming the Company elects to issue Common Shares rather than pay cash upon such exchange). In addition, the number of Common Shares a person beneficially owns is deemed to include the number of Common Shares issuable upon exchange of the Preferred Shares, each of which is currently convertible into .6119 Common Shares. As of the Record Date, none of the persons listed in the Beneficial Ownership table, and no executive officer not listed in the table, beneficially owned any Preferred Shares.

- (2) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner is 777 Main Street, Suite 2100, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.
- (3) The number of Common Shares the following persons beneficially own includes the number of Common Shares indicated due to the vesting of unexercised Options, as follows: Anthony M. Frank -- 39,200; William F. Quinn -- 65,400; Paul E. Rowsey, III -- 47,600; David M. Sherman -- 25,000; Dennis A. Alberts -- 140,000; Kenneth S. Moczulski -- 70,000; David M. Dean -- 78,850; Jane E. Mody -- 36,000; and Trust Managers and Executive Officers as a Group -- 770,450.

11

- (4) The number of Common Shares the following persons beneficially own includes the number of Common Shares owned indirectly through participation in the General Partner's 401(k) Plan as of March 31, 2002, as follows: John C. Goff -- 5,990; David M. Dean -- 7,125; and Executive Officers as a Group -- 17,634.
- (5) The number of Common Shares the following persons beneficially own includes the number of Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units that such person owns, as follows: Richard E. Rainwater -- 6,651,202; John C. Goff -- 1,912,970; and Trust Managers and Executive Officers as a Group -- 8,564,172.

- (6) The number of Common Shares the following person beneficially owns includes the number of Common Shares owned through participation in the General Partner's Employee Stock Purchase Plan as of April 3, 2002, as follows: John C. Goff -- 2,282; and Executive Officers as a Group -- 2,282.
- (7) The percentage of Common Shares that a person listed in the Beneficial Ownership table beneficially owns assumes that (i) as to that person, all Units are exchanged for Common Shares, all Preferred Shares are exchanged for Common Shares, all Options exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date are exercised and all Plan Unit Options and Unit Options exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date are exercised and the Units so acquired are subsequently exchanged for Common Shares, and (ii) as to all other persons, no Units are exchanged for Common Shares, no Preferred Shares are exchanged for Common Shares, and no Options, Plan Unit Options or Unit Options are exercised.
- (8) The number of Common Shares that Mr. Rainwater beneficially owns includes 743,920 Common Shares and 264 Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units that Darla Moore, Mr. Rainwater's spouse, owns. Mr. Rainwater disclaims beneficial ownership of these Common Shares. In addition, the number of Common Shares that Mr. Rainwater beneficially owns includes 2,943,744 Common Shares and 6,320,468 Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units that Mr. Rainwater owns indirectly, including (i) 12,346 Common Shares and 49,506 Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units owned by Rainwater, Inc., a Texas corporation, of which Mr. Rainwater is a director and the sole owner, (ii) 6,270,962 Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units owned by Office Towers LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, of which Mr. Rainwater and Rainwater, Inc. own an aggregate 100% interest, and (iii) 2,931,398 Common Shares owned by the Richard E. Rainwater 1995 Charitable Remainder Unitrust No. 1, of which Mr. Rainwater is the settlor and has the power to remove the trustee and designate a successor, including himself.
- (9) The number of Common Shares that Mr. Goff beneficially owns includes (i) 152,560 Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units that the Goff Family, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, owns, (ii) 428,572 Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units due to the vesting of Plan Unit Options and (iii) 300,000 shares of restricted stock, which will vest (i.e., the restrictions will lapse) one—third on February 19, 2005, one—third on February 19, 2006 and one—third on February 19, 2007. Mr. Goff disclaims beneficial ownership of the Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units that the Goff Family, L.P. owns in excess of his pecuniary interest in such Units. Mr. Goff has sole voting power with respect to the shares of restricted stock.
- (10) The number of Units that Mr. Alberts beneficially owns includes 60,000 Common Shares that may be issued upon exchange of Units due to vesting of Unit Options.
- (11) The number of Common Shares that Mr. Moczulski beneficially owns includes 200 Common Shares that are owned by The Kenneth and Cara Moczulski Living Trust, of which Mr. Moczulski and his spouse Cara A. Moczulski are co-settlors, co-beneficiaries and co-trustees. Mr. Moczulski disclaims beneficial ownership of all shares held by the Trust in excess of his pecuniary interest in the Trust.
- (12) The number of Common Shares that Mr. Dean beneficially owns includes 33,884 Common Shares, 23,000 Common Shares that may be issued upon exercise of Options, and 4,052 Common Shares of the General Partner's

401(k) Plan, all of which are owned by Theresa E. Black, Mr. Dean's spouse. Mr. Dean disclaims beneficial ownership of all of Ms. Black's Common Shares.

- (13) The number of Common Shares that Ms. Mody beneficially owns includes 5,000 Common Shares owned by the Mody Family Living Trust, of which Ms. Mody and her spouse Haji Mody are the Trustees and beneficiaries.
- (14) Barrow, Hanley, Mewhinney & Strauss ("Barrow") filed a Schedule 13G ("Barrow Schedule 13G"), as of February 8, 2002, reporting that Barrow beneficially owns 7,834,250 Common Shares. Barrow holds 4,645,750 of the 7,834,250 Common Shares for the benefit of its general account and has sole voting and dispositive power as to such Common Shares. All information presented above relating to Barrow is based solely on the Barrow Schedule 13G.
- (15) Franklin Resources, Inc. ("Franklin") filed a Schedule 13G/A ("Franklin Schedule 13G/A"), as of January 25, 2002, reporting that Franklin beneficially owns 6,110,006 Common Shares. Franklin does not have the power to vote or dispose of any of these Common Shares. According to the Franklin Schedule 13G/A, the 6,110,006 Common Shares are beneficially owned by one or more open-end or closed-end investment companies and other managed accounts. These investment companies and managed accounts are advised by direct and indirect advisory subsidiaries (the "Adviser Subsidiaries") of Franklin. Under the advisory contracts, the Adviser Subsidiaries hold all voting and dispositive power with regard to these Common Shares and, therefore, according to the Franklin Schedule 13G/A, may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the securities. Each of Charles B. Johnson and Rupert H. Johnson, Jr. (the "Principal Stockholders") own in excess of 10% of the outstanding common stock of Franklin and are the principal stockholders of Franklin. Franklin and the Principal Stockholders may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities held by Adviser Subsidiaries. Each of Franklin, the Adviser Subsidiaries and the Principal Stockholders disclaim any economic interest in or beneficial ownership of the securities covered by the Franklin Schedule 13G/A. Each of Franklin, the Principal Stockholders, and the Adviser Subsidiaries state in the Franklin Schedule 13G/A that they do not believe that they are acting as a "group" for purposes of Schedule 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and that they are not otherwise required to attribute to each other the "beneficial ownership" of securities held by any of them or by any persons or entities advised by Adviser Subsidiaries. All information presented above relating to Franklin is based solely on the Franklin Schedule 13G/A.

12

### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the annual and long-term compensation paid or awarded for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999, to the Company's current Chief Executive Officer and to the four most highly compensated executive officers of the Company and the General Partner (collectively, the "Named Executive Officers"). As a result of the Company's umbrella partnership REIT structure, the General Partner, rather than the Company, compensates all employees. The Company did not grant any stock appreciation rights ("SARs") during this period.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

#### ANNUAL COMPENSATION

					AW	ARD
NAME AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	SALARY (\$)	BONUS (\$)	OTHER ANNUAL COMPENSATION (\$)		S C
John C. Goff	2001	750 <b>,</b> 000	750 <b>,</b> 000			
Chief Executive Officer	2000	684,615	2,000,000	826,700(2)		
	1999	355,814(4)	200,000			
Dennis H. Alberts	2001	366 <b>,</b> 827	353 <b>,</b> 000			
President and Chief	2000	235,577	525 <b>,</b> 000	550,000(2)		
Operating Officer	1999					
Kenneth S. Moczulski	2001	300,000	270 <b>,</b> 000			
President of Investments	2000	38,077	25,000			
and Chief Investment Officer	1999					
David M. Dean	2001	286,346	207,000			
Executive Vice President,	2000	250,000	275,000	276,700(2)		
Law and Administration, and Secretary	1999	204,339	165,000(	7)		
Jane E. Mody	2001	238,692	207,000			
Executive Vice President,	2000					
Capital Markets	1999					

-----

- (2) This amount includes a non-cash distribution for DIUs into separate interest bearing accounts maintained by the Company for Mr. Goff, Mr. Alberts and Mr. Dean at December 31, 2000 (\$825,000, \$550,000 and \$275,000, respectively). The amount of the distribution to any account is based on the number of DIUs held by the participant, the amount of dividends paid by the Company (with each DIU entitled to an amount equal to the dividend per Common Share) and the performance multiples associated with the performance targets that are achieved or surpassed. The amount also includes interest on the amounts invested in public mutual funds made available to the holders by the General Partner. The amounts will be paid to the participant on or about the fifth anniversary of the date the DIUs were granted. See "

   Report of the Executive Compensation Committee" for a definition of DIUs.
- (3) Amounts represent matching contributions that the General Partner made to the individual's 401(k) Plan account.

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts represent matching contributions that the General Partner made to Mr. Goff's, Mr. Albert's and Mr. Dean's individual 401(k) Plan accounts in the amount of \$7,875, \$1,404 and \$7,893, respectively, and dividends earned on dividend incentive units ("DIUs") of \$47,296, \$(43,312) and \$8,684, respectively, that are invested in public mutual funds made available to the holders by the General Partner. See Note 2 below for an additional explanation of DIUs.

- (4) Amount includes a portion of salary that was paid in January 2000 but earned in 1999 and inadvertently omitted in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2000 annual meeting of shareholders.
- (5) Amount includes 200,000 Common Shares, which represents the number of Common Shares that may be issued following (i) exercise of Plan Unit Options for Units on a one-for-one basis, and (ii) exchange of Units for Common Shares on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit.
- (6) Amount includes 300,000 Common Shares, which represents the number of Common Shares that may be issued following (i) exercise of Unit Options for Units on a one-for-one basis, and (ii) exchange of Units for Common Shares on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit.
- (7) Amount includes \$45,000 of restricted stock. These Common Shares were acquired by the General Partner as part of the Company's on-going share repurchase program and granted to Mr. Dean as restricted stock during the second quarter of 2000. On March 6, 2002, the restrictions on such stock were lifted.

13

The following table provides certain information regarding Options granted to the Named Executive Officers for the year ended December 31, 2001. The Company did not grant any SARs during this period.

OPTION GRANTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

INDIVIDUAL GRANTS

NAME 	SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS GRANTED (#)	% OF TOTAL OPTIONS GRANTED TO EMPLOYEES IN FISCAL YEAR	EXERCISE OR BASE PRICE(\$/SH.)	EXPIRATION DATE	F(
John C. Goff Dennis H. Alberts	 700,000(2)(3)	 52.45	 21.84	 March 2011	,
Kenneth S. Moczulski David M. Dean Jane E. Mody	  180,000(4)	  13.49	  22.50	  February 2011	;

-----

<sup>(1)</sup> Potential Realizable Value is the value of the granted Options, based on the assumed annual growth rates of the share price shown during their 10-year Option term. For example, a 5% growth rate, compounded annually,

for Mr. Alberts' grant results in a share price of \$35.58 per share, and a 10% growth rate, compounded annually, results in a share price of \$56.65 per share. These potential realizable values are listed to comply with the regulations of the Commission, and the Company cannot predict whether these values will be achieved. Actual gains, if any, on Option exercises are dependent on the future performance of the Common Shares.

- (2) Amount includes 400,000 Options that vest in equal one-fifth installments on March 4, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005.
- (3) Amount includes 300,000 Common Shares, which represents the number of Common Shares that may be issued following (i) exercise of Unit Options for Units on a one-for-one basis, and (ii) exchange of Units for Common Shares on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit. Such Unit Options become fully vested if and when the average fair market value of a Common Share for the 10 trading days ending with that date reaches \$25.00.
- (4) Options vest in equal one-fifth installments on February 20, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006.

14

The following table provides information about Options that the Named Executive Officers exercised during the year ended December 31, 2001 and Options that each of them held at December 31, 2001. The Company did not grant any SARs during this period.

> AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES DURING 2001 AND OPTION VALUES AT DECEMBER 31, 2001

			SECURI	ITIES	
			UNDERLYING	UNEXERCISED	V
	SHARES		OPTION	IS AT	I
			FISCAL YEA	AR END (#)	AT
	ACQUIRED ON	VALUE			
NAME	EXERCISE(#)	REALIZED(\$)	EXERCISABLE	UNEXERCISABLE	EXERC
John C. Goff			428,572(2)	1,028,572(3)	
Dennis H. Alberts	60,000	310,650		940,000(4)	
Kenneth S. Moczulski			70,000	280,000	
David M. Dean	24,000	48,180	161,850	175,900	
Jane E. Mody				180,000	

- (1) Market value of securities underlying in-the-money Options is based on the closing price of the Common Shares on December 31, 2001 (the last trading day of the fiscal year) on the New York Stock Exchange of \$18.11, minus the exercise price.
- (2) The number of securities underlying exercisable but unexercised Options represents 428,572 Common Shares that may be issued following (i) vesting

of Plan Unit Options, (ii) exercise of Plan Unit Options for Units on a one-for-one basis, and (iii) exchange of Units for Common Shares on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit.

- (3) The number of securities underlying unexercisable and unexercised options includes 642,858 Common Shares that may be issued following (i) vesting of Plan Unit Options, (ii) exercise of Plan Unit Options for Units on a one-for-one basis, and (iii) exchange of Units for Common Shares on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit.
- (4) The number of securities underlying unexercisable and unexercised options includes 300,000 Common Shares that may be issued following (i) vesting of Plan Unit Options, (ii) exercise of Unit Options for Units on a one-for-one basis, and (iii) exchange of Units for Common Shares on the basis of two Common Shares for each Unit (assuming the Company elects to issue Common Shares rather than pay cash upon such exchange).

#### EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

As part of the transactions in connection with formation of the Company, the Operating Partnership assumed an Employment Agreement between Rainwater, Inc. and John C. Goff. The Operating Partnership takes action through the General Partner. Mr. Goff serves as the sole member of the board of directors of the General Partner. As of January 1, 2002, Mr. Goff served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers of the Company and as Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the General Partner, and he was entitled to an annual salary of \$750,000. The term of the Employment Agreement with Mr. Goff would have expired on April 14, 2002, but a new employment agreement, replacing the prior employment agreement, was entered into effective February 19, 2002. Pursuant to the new employment agreement, which will terminate on February 19, 2007, Mr. Goff is entitled to an annual salary of \$750,000 and a bonus as determined in the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the General Partner. In addition, pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Goff was provided the right to earn (i) 300,000 shares of restricted stock, one-third of which will be earned on each of the third, fourth and fifth anniversaries of Mr. Goff's employment agreement and (ii) 1,500,000 Unit Options, one-fifth of which will be earned on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of Mr. Goff's employment agreement. The Units underlying such Unit Options are convertible into two Common Shares of the Company upon the satisfaction of certain conditions.

The salary under the Employment Agreement, which is not subject to a cap, may be increased at the discretion of the Operating Partnership, although at its request, the Executive Compensation Committee of the Company may

15

review and ratify all such increases in salary. The Operating Partnership similarly determines any bonus to be paid under the Employment Agreement, although at its request, the Executive Compensation Committee may review and ratify all such bonuses granted to Mr. Goff.

#### AGREEMENTS NOT TO COMPETE

The Company and the Operating Partnership are dependent on the services of Richard E. Rainwater and John C. Goff. Mr. Rainwater serves as Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers but has no employment agreement with the Company and, therefore, is not obligated to remain with the Company for any specified term. In connection with the initial public offering of the Common Shares in May 1994, each of Messrs. Rainwater and Goff entered into a Noncompetition Agreement with

the Company that restricts him from engaging in certain real estate-related activities during specified periods of time.

The restrictions that Mr. Rainwater's Noncompetition Agreement imposes will terminate one year after the later to occur of (i) the date on which Mr. Rainwater ceases to serve as a trust manager of the Company, and (ii) the date on which Mr. Rainwater's beneficial ownership of the Company (including Common Shares and Units) first represents less than a 2.5% ownership interest in the Company. The restrictions that Mr. Goff's Noncompetition Agreement imposes will terminate one year after Mr. Goff first ceases to be a trust manager or an executive officer of the Company. The Noncompetition Agreements do not, among other things, prohibit Messrs. Rainwater and Goff from engaging in certain activities in which they were engaged at the time of formation of the Company in 1994 or from making certain passive real estate investments.

#### SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's officers, trust managers and persons who own more than 10% of the Common Shares or the Preferred Shares to file reports of ownership on Form 3 and changes in ownership on Forms 4 and 5 with the Commission and the New York Stock Exchange. The Commission rules also require such officers, trust managers and 10% holders to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file.

Based solely on its review of copies of such reports received or written representations from certain reporting persons, the Company believes that all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its officers, trust managers and 10% shareholders were complied with for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, except that Mr. Rainwater filed on an untimely basis one Statement of Changes in Beneficial Ownership on Form 4 reporting a single transaction involving the private transfer to an individual of 7,548 units of the Operating Partnership (equivalent to 15,096 shares of the Company) to satisfy a preexisting contractual obligation to the transferee.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of the Company's previous filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act that might incorporate future filings, including this Proxy Statement, in whole or in part, the Report of the Audit Committee, the Report of the Executive Compensation Committee and the Performance Graph, each of which appears below, shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any previous or future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company incorporates it by specific reference into any such filings.

### REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee is composed of Messrs. Frank, Quinn and Rowsey. A majority of the full Board of Trust Managers selects members of the Audit Committee.

Statement of Policy. The Audit Committee shall provide assistance to the Board of Trust Managers in fulfilling its oversight responsibility to the shareholders, potential shareholders, the investment community, and

others relating to the Company's financial statements and the financial reporting process, the systems of internal accounting and financial controls, the internal audit function, the annual independent audit of the Company's financial statements, and the legal compliance and ethics programs as established by management and the Board of Trust Managers. In so doing, it is the responsibility of the Audit Committee to maintain free and open communication between the Audit Committee, the independent auditors, the internal auditors and management of the Company. In discharging its oversight role, the Audit Committee is empowered to investigate any matter brought to its attention with full access to all books, records, facilities, and personnel of the Company and the power to retain outside counsel, or other experts for this purpose.

Responsibility - Accounting, Auditing, and Financial Reporting Practices of the Company. In accordance with the Audit Committee Charter adopted by the Board of Trust Managers of the Company, the Audit Committee assists the Board of Trust Managers in fulfilling its responsibility for oversight of the quality and integrity of the accounting, auditing, and financial reporting practices of the Company. During fiscal year 2001, the Audit Committee met seven times, and Mr. Frank, the Committee chair, as representative of the Committee, discussed the interim financial information contained in each quarterly earnings announcement with Jerry R. Crenshaw, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial and Accounting Officer of the Company, and Arthur Andersen LLP, the Company's independent auditors (the "Independent Auditors"), prior to public release.

Responsibility - Audit Process. In discharging its oversight responsibility as to the audit process, the Audit Committee obtained from the Independent Auditors a formal written statement describing all relationships between the Independent Auditors and the Company that might bear on the Independent Auditors' independence consistent with Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, "Independence Discussions with Audit Committees" and discussed with the Independent Auditors any relationships that may impact their objectivity and independence. The Audit Committee has satisfied itself that such relationships and the provision of non-audit services to the Company is compatible with the Independent Auditors' independence. The Audit Committee also discussed with management, Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Company's internal auditors (the "Internal Auditors") and the Independent Auditors the quality and adequacy of the Company's internal controls and the internal audit function's organization, responsibilities, budget, and staffing. The Audit Committee reviewed with both the Independent Auditors and the Internal Auditors its audit plans, audit scope, and identification of audit risks.

Independent Auditors/Internal Audit. The Audit Committee discussed and reviewed with the Independent Auditors all communications required by generally accepted auditing standards, including those described in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended by SAS 90, "Communication with Audit Committees" and, with and without management present, discussed and reviewed the results of the Independent Auditors' examination of the financial statements. The Audit Committee also discussed the results of the internal audit examinations.

Review of Financial Statements. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements of the Company as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, with management and the Independent Auditors. Management has the responsibility for the preparation of the Company's financial statements and the Independent Auditors have the responsibility for the examination of those statements.

Review of Special Circumstances Relating to the Independent Auditors. The Audit Committee has closely monitored the congressional investigations and public disclosures concerning the bankruptcy filing of Enron Corp. and the Independent Auditors' involvement as independent auditor of Enron Corp. In addition, the Audit Committee has met with representatives of the Independent

Auditors to discuss these recent events. The Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of different Independent Auditors at any time during the year if the Audit Committee believes that such an appointment would be in the best interests of our shareholders. Although members of the Audit Committee have not reached a decision regarding our Independent Auditors for 2002, they may consider engaging an independent accounting firm other than Arthur Andersen LLP for reasons that are unrelated to Arthur Andersen LLP's prior audits of our financial statements. For this reason, we will not be asking shareholders to ratify Arthur Andersen LLP as our Independent Auditors at the Meeting. If members of the Audit Committee decide to appoint different Independent Auditors, we will promptly provide the disclosure required by the regulations of the Commission.

17

Further Action Taken by Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has discussed and reviewed with outside counsel the procedures and practices that it should utilize in order to effectively fulfill its oversight responsibility. It has reviewed the required documentation relating to its oversight of the audit process, including the audited financial statements of the Company, the committee's Charter and any relevant analysts' reports. In addition, the Audit Committee has inquired of management and the Independent Auditors as to any significant risks or exposures for the Company, assessed the steps taken by management to minimize these risks and exposures, reviewed with management and the Independent Auditors the adequacy and effectiveness of the accounting and financial controls of the Company and elicited any recommendations for the improvement of such internal control procedures.

Recommendation. Based on the above-mentioned review and discussions with management and the Independent Auditors, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that the Company's audited financial statements be included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001, for filing with the Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Anthony M. Frank William F. Quinn Paul E. Rowsey, III

18

### REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Executive Compensation Committee is composed of Messrs. Frank and Rowsey. A majority of the full Board of Trust Managers select members of the Executive Compensation Committee.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives. The Executive Compensation Committee determines the compensation for the Company's executive officers and

administers the stock incentive and other compensation plans that the Company adopts. In addition, the Executive Compensation Committee makes recommendations to the Board of Trust Managers, acting for the Company in its capacity as the sole stockholder of the General Partner regarding certain compensation decisions of the sole director of the General Partner with respect to the compensation of the executive officers of the General Partner. For purposes of the following discussion, the term "Company" includes, unless the context otherwise requires, the Operating Partnership and the other subsidiaries of the Company and the Operating Partnership, in addition to the Company.

The philosophy of the Company's compensation program is to employ, retain and reward executives capable of leading the Company in achieving its business objectives. These objectives include enhancing shareholder value, maximizing financial performance, preserving a strong financial posture, increasing the value of the Company's assets and positioning its assets and business in geographic markets offering long-term growth opportunities. The accomplishment of these objectives is measured against the conditions characterizing the industry within which the Company operates. In implementing the Company's compensation program, it generally is the policy of the Executive Compensation Committee to seek to qualify executive compensation for deductibility by the Company for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code to the extent that such policy is consistent with the Company's overall objectives and executive compensation policy.

Executive Officer Compensation. The compensation of the executive officers of the Company consists of a current component and a long term incentive. The executive officers, in addition to their regular salaries, may be compensated for the current performance of the Company in the form of (i) cash bonus awards, generally pursuant to the Annual Incentive Plan ("Bonus Plan"), and (ii) dividend incentive units under the Dividend Incentive Unit Plan ("DIU Plan"). The Bonus Plan and the DIU Plan were adopted by the General Partner in March 2000 in order to provide appropriate incentives and rewards for services rendered by officers to the Company. The General Partner adopted the plans with the approval of the Executive Compensation Committee and the Board of Trust Managers, based on recommendations contained in the October 1999 report of FPL Associates, the consultant hired by the Company to perform an analysis of compensation of the executive officers of the Company. The Bonus Plan and the DIU Plan, together with recommendations by the management of the Company, were used by the General Partner and its Compensation Committee in determining the executive compensation for 2001 and will be used in determining the future current component of executive compensation. The Compensation Committee of the General Partner (the "Committee") is also composed of Messrs. Frank and Rowsey and is appointed by the Board of Directors of the General Partner.

Under the Bonus Plan, at the beginning of the year, the Committee designated (i) the positions covered by the Bonus Plan, (ii) the minimum and maximum annual incentive opportunity or bonus that the individual holding each position is eligible to earn for the year, and (iii) the performance necessary to earn each level of bonus in three components. One of these components, the Corporate component, provides for a certain portion of the bonus to be paid based upon the Company's achievement of the thresholds relating to total return to shareholders and FFO for the year. FFO, based on the revised definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, effective January 1, 2000, and as used in this document, means net income (loss) (determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP")) (i) excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, (ii) excluding extraordinary items (as defined by GAAP), (iii) plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, and (iv) after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Most positions also are assigned a second component, the Functional Unit component, which provides for a certain portion of the bonus to be earned upon the achievement of individualized measures of functional unit performance. For each

officer, a third component, the Individual component, provides for a certain portion of the officer's bonus to be earned based upon an evaluation of the officer's individual performance for the year.

19

Under the DIU Plan, prior to the beginning of the year, the Committee designates each employee eligible to receive dividend incentive units and the number of Units allocated to the employee. In addition, the Committee adopts performance targets for the Company for the year based upon total return to shareholders and FFO for the year, as well as a performance multiple for each target. Pursuant to the DIU Plan, the Committee is required to determine the extent to which those targets were achieved or surpassed and, in the event that targets are achieved, the amount to be credited to the account of each participant who was employed by the Company on the last day of the year; this amount is equal to the annual dividends that the participant would have received if he held one share of stock in the Company for each Unit held in his account, multiplied by the number of Units the participant held throughout the year, multiplied by the performance multiple associated with the targets achieved for the year.

Executive officers of the General Partner are also eligible to participate, on the same basis as other employees, in the employer matching provision of the profit sharing plan that the General Partner established, whereby employees may save for their future retirement on a tax-deferred basis through the Section 401(k) savings feature of the plan, with the General Partner contributing an additional percentage of the amount each employee saves. Such executive officers are also eligible to participate in the other employee benefit and welfare plans that the General Partner maintains on the same terms as non-executive personnel who meet applicable eligibility criteria, subject to any legal limitations on the amounts that may be contributed or the benefits that may be payable under such plans.

The long term incentives awarded by the Company consist of (i) restricted stock grants, either under the 1995 Plan or as a result of open market purchases by the General Partner, and (ii) Options under the 1995 Plan and the 1996 Operating Partnership Incentive Plan and pursuant to the provisions of the partnership agreement for the Operating Partnership.

Compensation Awards for 2001. The performance thresholds established by the Committee for 2001 under the Bonus Plan, together with the performance of the Company and the Operating Partnership in light of conditions characterizing the REIT industry generally, were a key consideration in the deliberations of the Committee regarding the salary increases and bonuses awarded in 2001. While the Committee determined that the FFO and total return to shareholders targets established under the Bonus Plan for 2001 with respect to the Corporate component had not been met, it also considered other factors, including industry business conditions, the economic slowdown which began at mid-year and accelerated following the tragic events of September 11th, and the Company's success in achieving short-term and long-term goals and objectives, such as the completion of the repositioning of the Company called for under the 1999 strategic plan, the performance of the office portfolio, the completion of \$970 million of debt refinancing, and the acquisition of the hotel/resort and residential development investments operated by Crescent Operating, Inc. The Committee also considered the General Partner's determinations regarding each officer's achievement of the Functional Unit goals set for the office as well as each officer's Individual performance for the year. Bonuses aggregating \$1,621,000 were paid to executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, who does not participate in the Bonus Plan. The

Committee also gave consideration to the Company's achievement of specified business objectives when reviewing 2002 executive officer salary increases.

Based on the Company's performance for 2001, the Committee determined that none of the 2001 targets under the DIU Plan had been achieved, and thus, that no payments would be made to plan participants with respect to 2001 under the DIU Plan.

Long Term Incentives. Options were used in 2001 to incentivize certain officers and other key personnel and to retain them through the potential of capital gains and equity buildup in the Company. The Executive Compensation Committee determined the number of Options based upon its subjective evaluation of each executive or employee's ability to influence the Company's long-term growth and profitability. All Options were issued at a price not less than the market price of the Common Shares on the date of grant.

20

With respect to the management team (other than the Chief Executive Officer), on February 18, 2002, the General Partner, with the approval of the Committee, provided certain rights to earn Options as part of an overall long term compensation package (in addition to current salary, bonus and DIU awards) to more closely align the actions of management with shareholder considerations and further instill shareholder values in management's actions. On that date, the General Partner granted to the senior management team (other than the CEO) the right to earn options on 1,250,000 Units in the Operating Partnership over a five-year term bearing a strike price equal to the New York Stock Exchange closing price on February 19, 2002 (each Unit being convertible into two Common Shares of the Company upon the satisfaction of certain conditions). The Company, the Executive Compensation Committee and the Committee do not intend at this time to provide to the management team any additional rights to earn Options for the next two to three years.

CEO Base Salary and Bonus for 2001. Mr. Goff serves as the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and as the Vice Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers for the Company. Mr. Goff's 2001 base annual salary was \$750,000 and was based upon his employment agreement with the Operating Partnership originally entered into in April 1994. The Committee also approved a bonus of \$750,000 for Mr. Goff with respect to 2001 based upon the Committee's evaluation of a number of factors, including industry business conditions, the economic slowdown which began at mid-year and accelerated following the tragic events of September 11th, and the Company's success in achieving short-term and long-term goals and objectives, such as the realignment and strengthening of the Company's management team, the completion of the repositioning of the Company called for under the 1999 strategic plan, the continuing success of the share repurchase program, the performance of the office portfolio, the completion of \$970 million of debt refinancing, and the acquisition of the hotel/resort and residential development investments operated by Crescent Operating, Inc.

Long Term Incentive and New Contract for Chief Executive Officer. On February 18, 2002, Mr. Goff and the Committee agreed to the terms of a new five-year employment contract providing for a long term compensation package including an annual salary of \$750,000 and DIU awards and annual bonus to be determined by the Committee and the Board of Trust Managers of the Company. Also on February 18, 2002 and as part of the overall long term compensation package outlined in the new employment agreement, (i) the General Partner, with the approval of the Committee, provided to Mr. Goff, the right to earn options on 1,500,000 Units in the Operating Partnership over a five-year term bearing a strike price equal to the New York Stock Exchange closing price on February 19,

2002 (each Unit being convertible into two Common Shares of the Company upon the satisfaction of certain conditions) and (ii) the Executive Compensation Committee provided to Mr. Goff the right to earn 300,000 shares of restricted stock in the Company, one-third of which shall be earned on each of the third, fourth and fifth anniversary of such date. The Executive Compensation Committee and the Committee made their determinations based upon their subjective evaluation of Mr.Goff's ability in the future to lead the Company's long-term growth and profitability. The Executive Compensation Committee and the Committee also considered the long term compensation packages provided to the chief executive officers of other real estate companies that the Committee considered to be comparable to the Company. The Committee and the Executive Compensation Committee believe that the Unit Options and restricted stock represent an effective incentive for Mr. Goff to remain with the Company and create value for the shareholders. The Board of Trust Managers has considered and ratified each of the foregoing determinations relating to Mr. Goff's 2001 and long term compensation package.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Anthony M. Frank Paul E. Rowsey, III

21

#### PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following line graph sets forth a comparison of the percentage change in the cumulative total shareholder return on the Common Shares compared to the cumulative total return of the NAREIT Equity REIT Return Index, the S&P 500 Index and SNL Securities LP Office REITs Index for the period December 31, 1996, through December 31, 2001. The graph depicts the actual increase in the market value of the Common Shares relative to an initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 1996, assuming a reinvestment of cash distributions.

# [PERFORMANCE GRAPH]

INDEX	12/31/96	12/31/97	PERIOD E 12/31/98	NDING 12/31/99	12/31/00
Crescent Real Estate Equities					
Company	100.00	157.42	97.28	86.28	116.92
S&P 500	100.00	133.37	171.44	207.52	188.62
SNL Office REITs	100.00	129.89	103.84	104.37	141.93
NAREIT All Equity REIT Index	100.00	120.26	99.21	94.63	119.59

# COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Messrs. Frank and Rowsey, who are the sole members of the Executive Compensation Committee of the Board of Trust Managers, have borrowed certain funds from the Operating Partnership in connection with the exercise of Options,

as described in "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" below.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

For purposes of the following discussion, the term "Company" includes, unless the context otherwise requires, the Operating Partnership and the other subsidiaries of the Company and the Operating Partnership, in addition to the Company.

22

Loans to Trust Managers and Officers for Exercise of Options and Plan Options

LOANS TO TRUST MANAGERS FOR EXERCISE OF OPTIONS. Effective July 17, 1996, February 2, 1998, June 12, 1998 and November 26, 1999, the Company loaned to Mr. Frank, an independent trust manager of the Company, \$187,425, \$45,298, \$120,869 and \$45,297 respectively, on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan. Mr. Frank used the proceeds of the first loan, together with \$75.00in cash, to acquire 15,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 15,000 Options that were granted to him on May 5, 1994 under the 1994 Plan. Mr. Frank used the proceeds of the second loan, together with \$28.00 in cash, to acquire 2,800 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 2,800 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Mr. Frank used the proceeds of the third loan, together with \$56.00 in cash, to acquire 5,600 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 2,800 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan and 2,800 Options that were granted to him on June 9, 1997 under the 1995 Plan. Mr. Frank used the proceeds of the fourth loan, together with \$28.00 in cash, to acquire 2,800 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 2,800 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan.

On July 17, 2001, the loan that Mr. Frank entered into on July 17, 1996 matured and was extended for an additional three years, until July 17, 2004, at its original interest rate of 6.115%. Each of the loans made to Mr. Frank is payable, interest only, on a quarterly basis with a final payment of all accrued and unpaid interest, plus the entire original principal balance, due on July 17, 2004, February 2, 2003, and June 12, 2003 and November 1, 2004. Mr. Frank's loans are secured by 15,000 Common Shares, 2,800 Common Shares, 5,600 Common Shares and 2,800 Common Shares, respectively, that Mr. Frank owns. Prior to November 1, 2001, each loan bore interest at a fixed annual rate based on the weighted average interest rate of the Company at the end of the quarter preceding the quarter in which the loan was made, plus 50 basis points. Effective as of November 1, 2001, each loan was amended to bear interest over the remainder of its term at 2.7% per annum, the applicable Federal rate for loans with remaining terms of three years or less, and Mr. Frank exercised his option to reduce the remaining term of the loan scheduled to expire on November 26, 2004 to provide that the loan would expire on November 1, 2004). As of December 31, 2001, no accrued interest was outstanding and unpaid on Mr. Frank's loans.

Effective June 10, 1997, the Company loaned to Mr. Rowsey, an independent trust manager of the Company, \$419,997 on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan. Mr. Rowsey used the proceeds of the loan, together with \$328.00 in cash, to acquire 30,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 30,000 Options that were granted to him on May 5, 1994 under the 1994 Plan, and 2,800 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 2,800 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Mr. Rowsey paid the Company in full for the principal balance and interest due on March 27, 2002 (an aggregate

amount of \$437,384.06).

LOANS TO OFFICERS FOR EXERCISE OF OPTIONS AND PLAN UNIT OPTIONS. Effective November 4, 1999, the Company loaned \$26,272,631.46 to John C. Goff, on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1994 Plan, the 1995 Plan and the Unit Plan. Mr. Goff used the proceeds of the loan, together with \$4,452.04 in cash, to acquire 195,204 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 195,204 Options that were granted to him on April 27, 1994 under the 1994 Plan, 250,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 250,000 Options that were granted to him on June 12, 1995 under the 1995 Plan and 571,428 Operating Partnership Units pursuant to the exercise of 571,428 Plan Unit Options that were granted to him on July 16, 1996 pursuant to the Unit Plan. The Board of Trust Managers has capped the amount that Mr. Goff may borrow from the Company at \$30,000,000.

Effective November 5, 1999, February 16, 2000, March 14, 2000, July 31, 2001 and January 31, 2002, the Company loaned to David M. Dean, \$32,475.00, \$10,242.00, \$97,065.00, \$523,260.00 and \$1,875,735.00, respectively, on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan. Mr. Dean used the proceeds of the first loan, together with \$25.00 in cash, to acquire 2,500 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 2,500 Options that were granted to him on July 27, 1994 under the 1994 Plan. Mr. Dean used the proceeds of the second loan, together with \$8.00 in cash, to acquire 800 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 800 Options that were granted to him on February 6, 1995 under the 1994 Plan. Mr. Dean used the proceeds of the third loan, together with \$60.00 in cash, to acquire 6,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 6,000 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Mr. Dean used the proceeds of the fourth loan, together with

23

\$240.00 in cash, to acquire 24,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 24,000 Options that were granted to him on November 19, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Mr. Dean used the proceeds of the fifth loan, together with \$1,140.00 in cash, to acquire 6,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 6,000 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan, 12,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 12,000 Options that were granted to him on November 19, 1996 under the 1995 Plan and 96,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 96,000 Options that were granted to him on November 5, 1999 under the 1995 Plan.

Effective November 5, 1999, March 14, 2000 and January 31, 2002, the Company loaned to Theresa E. Black, Mr. Dean's spouse, \$87,358.50, \$29,119.50 and \$408,379.50, respectively, on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1995 Plan. Ms. Black used the proceeds of the first loan, together with \$54.00 in cash, to acquire 5,400 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 5,400 Options that were granted to her on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Ms. Black used the proceeds of the second loan, together with \$18.00 in cash, to acquire 1,800 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 1,800 Options that were granted to her on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Ms. Black used the proceeds of the third loan, together with \$258.00 in cash, to acquire 1,800 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 1,800 Stock Options that were granted to her on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan and 24,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 24,000 Options that were granted to her on November 5, 1999 under the 1995 Plan.

Effective November 5, 1999 and January 31, 2002, the Company loaned to Jerry R. Crenshaw, Jr. \$78,723.00 and \$1,738,275.00, respectively, on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan. Mr. Crenshaw used the proceeds of the first loan, together with \$52.00 in cash, to acquire 1,600

Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 1,600 Options that were granted to him on February 6, 1995 under the 1994 Plan and 3,600 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 3,600 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Mr. Crenshaw used the proceeds of the second loan, together with \$1,100.00 in cash, to acquire 110,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 110,000 Options that were granted to him on November 5, 1999 under the 1995 Plan.

Effective January 24, 2000, October 31, 2000 and July 31, 2001, the Company loaned to John L. Zogg, Jr. \$637,090.00, \$206,993.00 and \$1,539,897.50, respectively, on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan. Mr. Zogg used the proceeds of the first loan, together with \$410.00 in cash, to acquire 1,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 1,000 Options that were granted to him on April 27, 1994 under the 1994 Plan, 16,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 16,000 Options that were granted to him on August 1, 1995 under the 1995 Plan and 24,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 24,000 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. On June 7, 2001, Mr. Zogg sold 100 such Common Shares and used all such proceeds (in the amount of \$1,618.75) to reduce the principal amount of the first loan to \$635,471.25. Mr. Zogg used the proceeds of the second loan, together with \$132.00 in cash, to acquire 1,200 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 1,200 Options that were granted to him on February 6, 1995 under the 1994 Plan, 4,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 4,000 Options that were granted to him on August 1, 1995 under the 1995 Plan and 8,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 8,000 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan. Mr. Zogg used the proceeds of the third loan, together with \$790.00 in cash, to acquire 8,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 8,000 Options that were granted to him on March 14, 1996 under the 1995 Plan, 6,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 6,000 Options that were granted to him on November 19, 1996 under the 1995 Plan, 40,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 40,000 Options that were granted to him on October 19, 1998 under the 1995 Plan and 25,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 25,000 Options that were granted to him on November 5, 1999 under the 1995 Plan. On July 1, 2001, Mr. Zogg paid the Company in full for the principal balance and interest due on the October 31, 2000 loan (an aggregate of \$215,711.70).

Effective April 17, 2001, the Company loaned to Dennis H. Alberts \$1,083,150.00, on a recourse basis, pursuant to the 1995 Plan. Mr. Alberts used the proceeds of the loan, together with \$600.00 in cash, to acquire 60,000 Common Shares pursuant to the exercise of 60,000 Options that were granted to him on April 17, 2000 under the 1995 Plan.

24

Mr. Goff's loan is secured by 400,000 Common Shares, 300,000 shares of restricted stock and 1,500,000 Unit Options that Mr. Goff owns. Mr. Goff has assigned the dividends that he will receive on the 300,000 shares of restricted stock to payment of future interest due on his loan. In addition, Mr. Goff is required to use the net proceeds from the sale of any of the 300,000 shares of restricted stock or the 1,500,000 Units underlying the 1,500,000 Unit Options to pay down the amount of his loan. Mr. Dean's loans are secured by 2,500 Common Shares, 800 Common Shares, 6,000 Common Shares, 24,000 Common Shares and 114,000 Common Shares, respectively, that Mr. Dean owns. Mr. Crenshaw's loans are secured by 5,200 Common Shares and 110,000 Common Shares, respectively, that Mr. Crenshaw owns. Ms. Black's loans are secured by 5,400 Common Shares, 1,800 Common Shares and 25,800 Common Shares, respectively, that Ms. Black owns. Mr. Zogg's loans are secured by 40,900 Common Shares, 13,200 Common Shares and 79,000 Common Shares, respectively, that Mr. Zogg owns. All of the above-referenced loans are full recourse.

Prior to November 1, 2001, each of the loans made to Mr. Goff, Mr. Dean, Mr. Crenshaw, Ms. Black, Mr. Zogg and Mr. Alberts accrued interest at a fixed annual rate based on the weighted average interest rate of the Company at the end of the quarter preceding the quarter in which the loan was made, plus 50 basis points. Effective as of November 1, 2001, each loan was amended to bear interest over the remainder of its term at 2.7% per annum, the applicable Federal rate for loans with remaining terms of three years or less, and each holder whose loan had a remaining term of more than three years exercised the holder's option to reduce the remaining term of each such loan to reduce the remaining term of the loan to three years. As of December 31, 2001, no accrued interest was outstanding and unpaid on any of these loans.

Loans and Contributions to DBL Holdings, Inc. ("DBL")

As of April 15, 2002, the Company owned 97.44% of DBL, with the remaining 2.56% economic interest in DBL (including 100% of the voting interest in DBL) held by John Goff. Originally, Mr. Goff contributed his voting interests in Houston Area Development Corp. ("HADC") and Mira Vista Development Corp. ("MVDC"), two of the Company's residential development corporations, for his interest in DBL.

DBL has two wholly owned subsidiaries, DBL-ABC, Inc. and DBL-CBO, Inc., the assets of which are described in the following paragraphs, and DBL directly holds 66% of the voting stock, representing a 4% economic interest, in MVDC and HADC.

Since June 1999, the Company has contributed approximately \$23.8 million to DBL in the form of cash and loans. These funds were used by DBL to make an equity contribution to DBL-ABC, which committed to purchase a limited partnership interest representing a 12.5% interest in G2 Opportunity Fund, LP ("G2"). G2 was formed for the purpose of investing in commercial mortgage backed securities and other commercial real estate investments and is managed and controlled by an entity (the "G2 General Partner") that is owned equally by Goff-Moore Strategic Partners, L.P. ("GMSP") and GMAC Commercial Mortgage Corporation. The G2 General Partner is entitled to an annual asset management fee. Additionally, the G2 General Partner has a 1% interest in profits and losses of G2 and, after payment of specified amounts to partners, a 50% interest in G2. The ownership structure of the entity that ultimately controls GMSP consists of 50% ownership by Darla Moore, who is married to Richard Rainwater, Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers of the Company, and 50% by John Goff, Chief Executive Officer of the Company. In addition, Mr. Rainwater is a limited partner of GMSP. At April 15, 2001, DBL had an approximately \$14.1 million investment in G2 and had repaid in full the loans from the Company.

On March 31, 1999, DBL-CBO acquired a \$5.97 million aggregate principal amount of Class C-1 Notes issued by Juniper CBO 1999-1 Ltd., a Cayman Islands limited liability company (the "Juniper Notes"). DBL-CBO obtained the funds to purchase the Juniper Notes by selling all of the equity interest in DBL-CBO (the "Equity Interest") to DBL for \$6.0 million. DBL, in turn, obtained the purchase price for the Equity Interest pursuant to a \$6.0 million loan agreement and related term note from the Company that was secured by the Equity Interest. The loan accrued interest at the rate of 12% per annum and was paid in full in March 2001.

25

HADC AND MVDC. As of March 31, 2002, the Company owned 94% of the outstanding stock (all of which is nonvoting) of HADC and MVDC. Mr. Goff is a director of both HADC and MVDC. In addition, DBL, in which Messrs. Rainwater and Goff are investors, owned 4% of HADC and MVDC as of March 31, 2002.

Loans to HADC and MVDC. On May 5, 1994, the Company made a loan to each of HADC and MVDC, with each loan in the original principal amount of \$14.4 million and bearing interest at a rate of 12.5% per annum. Originally, each of these loans was scheduled to mature in May 2001. The HADC loan was amended January 16, 1997 to extend the maturity date to May 5, 2006. MVDC has repaid its loan in full.

On January 16, 1997, the Company entered into a revolving development loan agreement with HADC, pursuant to which the Company agreed to loan up to an additional \$5.0 million to HADC at an interest rate of 14% per annum. As of December 31, 2001, this revolving loan was amended to reduce the principal amount to \$2.5 million and to reduce the interest rate to 12% per annum. The maturity date of the revolving loan is January 27, 2003. As of March 31, 2002, \$250,063 was outstanding under this loan.

The aggregate outstanding balance of the loans to HADC as of March 31, 2002 was  $\$11.9\ \mathrm{million}$ .

CRESCENT RESORT DEVELOPMENT, INC. ("CRD"). The Company owns 90% of the outstanding stock (all of which is non-voting) of CRD, a Delaware corporation, and COPI Colorado owns 10% of the outstanding stock (all of which is voting stock) of CRD. On February 14, 2002, the Company acquired the 60% general partner interest in COPI Colorado previously owned by COPI. As of December 31, 2001, the following credit facilities that the Company extended to CRD were outstanding: (i) a \$56.2 million line of credit that matures in August 2004 and bears interest at the rate of 11.5% per annum, (ii) a \$40 million line of credit that matures in December 2006 and bears interest at the rate of 11.5% per annum and (iii) a \$72 million line of credit. The aggregate outstanding balance of these facilities as of December 31, 2001 was \$181 million, and they are cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized with CRD's interests in the real estate development companies and resort management company in which the loan proceeds have been invested.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had guaranteed approximately \$1.3 million of mortgage loan indebtedness of a general partnership in which CRD's wholly owned subsidiary holds a non-managing minority interest.

### Transactions with COPI

MANAGEMENT AND GENERAL BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS. In April 1997, the Company established COPI to be the lessee and operator of certain assets to be acquired by the Company and to perform the Intercompany Agreement, pursuant to which each party agreed to provide the other with rights to participate in certain transactions. The Company was not permitted to operate or lease these assets under then-existing federal income tax laws applicable to REITs.

Messrs. Rainwater and Goff are, respectively, the Chairman of the Board and the Vice Chairman of the Board of the Company and, until February 14, 2002, were also, respectively, the Chairman of the Board and the Vice Chairman of the Board of COPI. In addition, Mr. Goff serves as the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the General Partner and as the sole director of the General Partner and, until February 14, 2002, also served as Chief Executive Officer of COPI. Messrs. Frank and Rowsey are members of the Board of the Company and, until their resignations on February 14, 2002, were members of the board of directors of COPI. As of March 31, 2002, Messrs. Rainwater and Goff beneficially owned an aggregate of approximately 18.4% of the outstanding common stock of COPI through

their aggregate ownership of 2,111,835 shares of COPI common stock, including shares underlying vested options. In addition, Mr. Goff directly owns shares of the common stock of COPI through his ownership interest in COPI Colorado, L.P. ("COPI Colorado"), as described below.

26

LOANS TO COPI AND COPI COLORADO.

COPI. In connection with the formation and capitalization of COPI, the Company contributed \$14.1 million to COPI and loaned approximately \$35.9 million to COPI pursuant to a five-year loan (the "COPI Term Loan"), which bears interest at 12% per annum, is collateralized by a lien on certain assets that COPI now owns or may acquire in the future and matures in May 2002. Also in connection with COPI's formation, the Company established a \$20.4 million line of credit (the "Line of Credit"), which bears interest at 12% per annum. The Line of Credit is cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized with the COPI Term Loan and matures no later than June 2007. In March 1999, the Company loaned approximately \$19.5 million to COPI pursuant to a three-year loan, which bears interest at 9% per annum and is collateralized by certain assets that COPI owned at the time the loan was made, now owns or may acquire in the future ("1999 COPI Term Loan"). As of December 31, 2001, the outstanding principal balance on the COPI Term Loan was approximately \$16.2 million, plus accrued interest of approximately \$1.6 million. As of December 31, 2001, the outstanding principal balance on the Line of Credit was \$20.2 million, plus accrued interest of approximately \$2.0 million. As of December 31, 2001, the outstanding principal balance on the 1999 COPI Term Loan was \$22.0 million, plus accrued interest of \$1.6 million.

COPI Colorado. As of December 31, 2001, the Company owned approximately 90% of the outstanding stock (all of which is non-voting) of CRD. The voting stock of CRD is owned by COPI Colorado in which, as of December 31, 2001, COPI owned a 60% general partner interest, and Mr. Goff owned a 20% limited partner interest. As of December 31, 2001, COPI Colorado also owned 1,102,530 shares of COPI's common stock. COPI funded its acquisition of the general partner interest with proceeds of a \$9.0 million loan from the Company (the "COPI Colorado Note"). This note bears interest at 12% per annum, with interest payable quarterly, and matures in May 2002. The note is secured by COPI's general partner interest in COPI Colorado and is cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted with COPI's other borrowings from the Company. As of December 31, 2001, the note had an outstanding principal balance of \$10.6 million (due to the addition of accrued interest to the original principal balance), plus accrued interest of \$1.1 million.

Defaults under COPI and COPI Colorado Loans. As of February 12, 2001, the Company notified COPI that \$76.2 million of principal and accrued interest due under the COPI Term Loan, the Line of Credit, the 1999 COPI Term Loan and the COPI Colorado Note was past due. See " -- Reorganization Transactions" below for a description of the transfers of specified COPI assets to the Company and the resulting reduction in amounts due under these loans.

LOANS TO OTHER AFFILIATES OF COPI.

CR License and CRL Investments. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had a 28.5% interest in CR License, LLC, the entity which owns the right to the future use of the "Canyon Ranch" name. The Company also had a 95% economic interest, representing all of the non-voting common stock, in CRL Investments, Inc. ("CRL"), which has an approximately 65% economic interest in the Canyon Ranch Spa Club in the Venetian Hotel in Las Vegas, Nevada.

The Company has provided CRL with a \$7.0 million credit facility which bears interest at 12% per annum and matures in August 2003. As of December 31, 2001, the total amount outstanding under the credit facility was \$7.16 million. The Company also committed to invest \$8.0 million in equity in CRL. As of April 1, 2001, the Company had made equity contributions aggregating \$7.16 million to CRL.

CR Notes and Sonoma Note. In connection with the acquisition by COPI, effective July 31, 1997, of the companies that leased certain Company-owned hotel properties, COPI acquired 100% of an entity that had outstanding debt under notes in the original principal amounts of approximately \$2.4 million and \$650,000 (collectively, the "CR Notes") payable to the Company in connection with the acquisition of Canyon Ranch-Tucson. The CR Notes bear interest at a rate of 10.75% per annum, are secured by deeds of trust for certain real and personal property and mature in August 2003. The aggregate outstanding balance at December 31, 2001 on the CR Notes was approximately \$951,000. In addition, COPI acquired 100% of an entity that had outstanding debt under a promissory note of approximately \$190,964 (the "Sonoma Note") payable to the Company in

27

connection with the acquisition of Sonoma Mission Inn & Spa. The Sonoma Note bears interest at a rate of 7.5% per annum and matures in November 2006. The outstanding balance of the Sonoma Note at December 31, 2001 was approximately \$190,964.

LEASES OF HOTEL AND RESORT PROPERTIES TO COPI SUBSIDIARIES. As of December 31, 2001, the Company owned nine hotel and resort properties (collectively, the "Hotel Properties"), eight of which the Company leased to subsidiaries of COPI (the "Hotel Lesses") pursuant to eight separate leases (the "Hotel Leases"). Under the Hotel Leases, each having an initial term of 10 years, the Hotel Lessees assumed the rights and obligations of the property owner under any related management agreement with the hotel operators, as well as the obligation to pay all property taxes and other costs related to the property. Each of the Hotel Leases provides for the respective Hotel Lessee to pay (i) base rent, with periodic rent increases, if applicable, (ii) percentage rent based on a percentage of gross hotel receipts or gross room revenues, as applicable, above a specified amount; and (iii) a percentage of gross food and beverage revenues above a specified amount.

COPI executed a master guaranty and other guaranties pursuant to which COPI unconditionally guarantees payment and performance under the Hotel Leases by the Hotel Lessee solely from COPI's hotel and resort-related assets and income streams.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Company received approximately \$19.1 million in cash rent payments under the Hotel Leases. Between January 1, 2002 and February 14, 2002, the Company received approximately \$6.0 million in rent under the Hotel Leases. On February 14, 2002, COPI transferred to the Company, in lieu of foreclosure, all of COPI's assets associated with the Hotel Properties, including the lessee interests in the Hotel Leases, in consideration of the Company's agreement to cancel \$23.6 million of rent due under the Hotel Leases.

LEASE OF TEMPERATURE-CONTROLLED LOGISTICS PROPERTIES. As of March 31, 2002, the Company held a 40% interest in a general partnership (the "Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership"), which, through its ownership of a corporation (the "Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation") owns 89

temperature-controlled logistics properties (the "Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties"). The business operations associated with the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties are owned by AmeriCold Logistics, LLC ("AmeriCold Logistics"), which is owned 60% by Vornado Operating, L.P. and 40% by a subsidiary of COPI. The Company has no interest in AmeriCold Logistics.

AmeriCold Logistics, as sole lessee of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties, leases the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties from the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation under three triple-net master leases, as amended on January 23, 2002. On February 22, 2001, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation and AmeriCold Logistics agreed to restructure certain financial terms of the leases, including the adjustment of the rental obligation for 2001 to \$146 million, the adjustment of the rental obligation for 2002 to \$150 million (plus contingent rent in certain circumstances), the increase of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation's share of capital expenditures for the maintenance of the properties from \$5.0 million to \$9.5 million (effective January 1, 2000) and the extension of the date on which deferred rent was required to be paid to December 31, 2003.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had loaned AmeriCold Logistics an aggregate of approximately \$12 million pursuant to three separate loans that bear interest at rates ranging from 12% to 14% per annum. The loans, which originally were scheduled to mature on December 31, 2002, have been extended and are now scheduled to mature on December 31, 2004.

AmeriCold Logistics deferred \$25.5 million of rent for the year ended December 31, 2001, of which the Company's share was \$10.2 million. In December 2001, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation waived its right to collect \$39.8 million of the total \$49.9 million of deferred rent, of which the Company's share was \$15.9 million.

28

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS. As of December 31, 2001, the Company owned 95% of the outstanding stock (all of which is non-voting) of two residential development corporations, Desert Mountain Development Corporation ("DMDC") and The Woodlands Land Company, Inc. ("TWLC"), and COPI owned 5% of the outstanding stock (all of which is voting) of these corporations. As of December 31, 2001, the Company owned approximately 90% of a third residential development corporation, CRD (representing all of the non-voting stock), and COPI Colorado owned the remaining 10% (representing all of the voting stock).

REORGANIZATION TRANSACTIONS. On January 1, 2001, the provisions of a new federal law relating to REITs became effective. This legislation allows the Company, through our subsidiaries, to operate or lease certain of our investments that had been previously operated or leased by COPI.

On June 28, 2001, the Company and COPI entered into an asset and stock purchase agreement in which the Company agreed to pay to COPI approximately \$78.4 million, payable in cancellation of certain debt and rent obligation and cash, in exchange for (i) all of the assets related to COPI's hospitality business, including lessee interests in the eight Hotel Properties leased to subsidiaries of COPI, (ii) all of the voting equity owned by COPI and its subsidiaries in three residential development corporations (CRD, DMDC and TWLC) and (iii) all of the membership interests of CR License LLC owned by COPI. In connection with that agreement, the Company agreed that it would not charge interest on the loans to COPI from May 1, 2001 and that it would allow COPI to defer all principal and interest payments due under the loans until December 31,

2001.

Also on June 28, 2001, the Company entered into an agreement to make a \$10.0 million investment in Crescent Machinery Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of COPI ("Crescent Machinery"). This investment, together with \$19.0 million of capital from a third-party investment firm, was expected to put Crescent Machinery on solid financial footing.

In October 2001, management of COPI, the Company and the third-party investor amended the terms of the original securities purchase agreement to reflect changes in the market price of the COPI common stock since the date of the original agreements.

The Company stopped recording rent from the leases of the Hotel Properties on October 1, 2001, and recorded impairment and other adjustments related to COPI in the fourth quarter of 2001, based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral.

On January 22, 2002, the Company terminated the purchase agreement pursuant to which the Company would have acquired the lessee interests in the eight Hotel Properties leased to subsidiaries of COPI, the voting interests held by subsidiaries of COPI in three of the residential development corporations in which the Company also owns interests, and other assets.

On February 4, 2002, the Company terminated the agreement relating to its planned investment in Crescent Machinery. On February 6, 2002, Crescent Machinery Company filed for protection under the federal bankruptcy laws.

On February 12, 2002, the Company delivered default notices to COPI relating to approximately \$49.0 million of unpaid rent and approximately \$76.2 million of principal and accrued interest due to the Company under the COPI Term Loan, the Line of Credit, the 1999 COPI Term Loan and the COPI Colorado Note.

On February 14, 2002, the Company executed an agreement (the "Agreement") with COPI, pursuant to which (i) COPI transferred to subsidiaries of the Company, in lieu of foreclosure, COPI's lessee interests in the eight Hotel Properties leased to subsidiaries of COPI and the voting interests in three of the Company's residential development corporations and other assets and (ii) the Company agreed to assist and provide funding to COPI for the implementation of a prepackaged bankruptcy of COPI. As a result of the transfer, the Company reduced COPI's rent obligations by \$23.6 million, and its debt obligations by \$40.1 million.

29

As part of the February 14 transactions, the Company acquired COPI's general partner interest in COPI Colorado through a strict foreclosure. Immediately prior to the Company's acquisition of the interest, COPI Colorado distributed to its partners, pro rata in accordance with their relative percentage interests in COPI Colorado, the 1,102,530 shares of COPI common stock that it owned. The shares distributed to COPI were canceled.

In addition, the Company acquired, in a strict foreclosure on February 14, 2002, COPI's 1.5% interest in CR License, LLC and 5.0% interest, representing all of the voting stock, in CRL.

Under the Agreement, the Company will provide approximately \$14.0 million to COPI in the form of cash and Common Shares of the Company to fund costs, claims and expenses relating to the bankruptcy and related transactions, and to

provide for the distribution of the Company's Common Shares to the COPI stockholders. The Company estimates that the value of the Common Shares that will be issued to the COPI stockholders will be between approximately \$5.0 million and \$8.0 million. The Agreement provides that COPI and the Company will seek to have a plan of reorganization for COPI, reflecting the terms of the Agreement and a draft plan of reorganization, approved by the bankruptcy court. The actual value of the Common Shares issued to the COPI stockholders will not be determined until the confirmation of COPI's bankruptcy plan and could vary substantially from the estimated amount. If the COPI bankruptcy plan is approved by the required vote of the shares of COPI common stock, the stockholders of COPI will receive Common Shares of the Company. As stockholders of COPI, Mr. Rainwater and Mr. Goff will also receive Common Shares of the Company.

In addition, the Company has agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to assist COPI in arranging COPI's repayment of its \$15.0 million obligation to Bank of America, together with any accrued interest. COPI obtained the loan primarily to participate in investments with the Company. At the time COPI obtained the loan, Bank of America required, as a condition to making the loan, that Richard E. Rainwater, the Chairman of the Board, and John C. Goff, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, enter into a support agreement with COPI and Bank of America, pursuant to which they agreed to make additional equity investments in COPI if COPI defaulted on payment obligations under its line of credit with Bank of America and the net proceeds of an offering of COPI securities were insufficient to allow COPI to pay Bank of America in full. The Company believes, based on advice of counsel, that the support agreement should be unenforceable in a COPI bankruptcy. Effective December 31, 2001, the parties executed an amendment to the line of credit providing that any defaults existing under the line of credit on or before March 8, 2002 are temporarily cured unless and until a new default shall occur.

The Company holds a first lien security interest in COPI's entire membership interest in AmeriCold Logistics. REIT rules prohibit the Company from acquiring or owning the membership interest that COPI owns in AmeriCold Logistics. Under the Agreement, the Company agreed to allow COPI to grant Bank of America a first priority security interest in the membership interest and to subordinate its own security interest to Bank of America. In addition, the Company has agreed to form and capitalize a separate entity to be owned by the Company's shareholders, and to cause the new entity to commit to acquire COPI's entire membership interest in the tenant, for approximately \$15.5 million. Under the Agreement, COPI has agreed that it will use the proceeds of the sale of the membership interest to repay Bank of America in full.

Pursuant to the COPI bankruptcy plan, the current and former directors and officers of COPI and the current and former trust managers and officers of the Company also have received a release from COPI of liability for any actions taken prior to February 14, 2001, and, depending on various factors, will receive certain liability releases from COPI and its stockholders.

Management Participation in Operating Partnership Debt Offering

On April 15, 2002, the Operating Partnership completed a private offering of \$375.0 million in senior, unsecured notes due 2009. The notes bear interest at an annual rate of 9.25% and were issued at 100% of issue price. The notes are callable after April 15, 2006. Richard E. Rainwater, Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers, and certain of his immediate family members and affiliates, purchased \$50 million of these notes on the same terms as unaffiliated third party investors. The Operating Partnership has agreed to register a similar series

of notes with the Commission and to effect an exchange offer of the registered notes for the privately placed notes and, in the case of Mr. Rainwater and his family and affiliates, to register the notes for resale by them.

-----

Management believes that the foregoing transactions are on terms no less favorable than those that could have been obtained in comparable transactions with unaffiliated parties.

### SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE COMPANY'S 2003 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Shareholders who intend to submit proposals for consideration at the Company's 2003 annual meeting of shareholders must submit such proposals to the Company no later than January 18, 2003, in order to be considered for inclusion in the proxy statement and form of proxy that the Board of Trust Managers will distribute in connection with that meeting. Shareholder proposals should be submitted to David M. Dean, Executive Vice President, Law and Administration, and Secretary, at 777 Main Street, Suite 2100, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

Under the Bylaws, a shareholder must comply with certain procedures to nominate persons for election to the Board of Trust Managers or to propose other business to be considered at an annual meeting of shareholders. These procedures provide that shareholders desiring to make nominations for trust managers and/or to bring a proper subject before a meeting must do so by notice timely delivered to the Secretary of the Company. The Secretary of the Company generally must receive notice of any such proposal not less than seventy days nor more than ninety days prior to the anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting of shareholders. In the case of proposals for the 2003 annual meeting of shareholders, the Secretary of the Company must receive notice of any such proposal no earlier than March 27, 2003, and no later than April 16, 2003 (other than proposals intended to be included in the proxy statement and form of proxy, which, as noted above, the Company must receive by January 18, 2003). Generally, such shareholder notice must set forth (i) as to each nominee for trust manager, all information relating to such nominee that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of trust managers under the proxy rules of the Commission; (ii) as to any other business, a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting, the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting and any material interest in that business of such shareholder; and (iii) as to the shareholder, (a) the name and address of the shareholder, (b) the class or series and number of shares of beneficial interest of the Company that the shareholder owns beneficially and of record, and (c) the date(s) upon which the shareholder acquired ownership of such shares. The chairman of the annual meeting shall have the power to declare that any proposal not meeting these and any other applicable requirements that the Bylaws impose shall be disregarded. A copy of the Bylaws may be obtained, without charge, upon written request to David M. Dean, Executive Vice President, Law and Administration, and Secretary, at 777 Main Street, Suite 2100, Fort Worth, Texas 76102.

In addition, the form of proxy that the Board of Trust Managers will solicit in connection with the Company's 2003 annual meeting of shareholders will confer discretionary authority to vote on any proposal, unless the Secretary of the Company receives notice of that proposal no earlier than March 27, 2003, and no later than April 16, 2003, and the notice complies with the other requirements described in the preceding paragraph.

31

[FRONT]

PROXY

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY

PROXY FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

JUNE 10, 2002

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF TRUST MANAGERS

THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY APPOINTS JOHN C. GOFF AND DAVID M. DEAN, AND EACH OF THEM, AS PROXIES, WITH FULL POWER OF SUBSTITUTION IN EACH, TO VOTE ALL COMMON SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST OF CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY (THE "COMPANY") WHICH THE UNDERSIGNED IS ENTITLED TO VOTE, AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF THE COMPANY TO BE HELD ON JUNE 10, 2002, AT 10:00 A.M., CENTRAL DAYLIGHT SAVINGS TIME, AND ANY ADJOURNMENT THEREOF, ON ALL MATTERS SET FORTH ON THE NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT, DATED MAY 16, 2002, A COPY OF WHICH HAS BEEN RECEIVED BY THE UNDERSIGNED, AS FOLLOWS ON THE REVERSE SIDE.

SEE	REVERSE	SIDE
-----	---------	------

CONTINUED AND TO BE SIGNED ON REVERSE SIDE

\_\_\_\_\_\_

[BACK]

Please mark
votes as in
X this example

\_\_\_\_\_

THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED OR, IF NO DIRECTION IS GIVEN, WILL BE VOTED "FOR" ITEM 1 AND "AGAINST" ITEM 2.

1. To elect John C. Goff, Paul E. Rowsey, III and Robert W. Stallings as Trust Managers to serve three-year terms.

GRANT [ ] WITHHOLD [ ] AUTHORITY AUTHORITY

FOR FOR NOMINEE NOMINEE

INSTRUCTIONS: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee, write that nominee's name in the space provided above.					
		FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN	
2. To approve the shaproposal to declass: Board of Trust Manage purposes of elections.	ify the	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	
OTHER MATTERS: The proxies as may come before the Meet best interest of the Compar	ting in such		-		
IMPORTANT: Please mark the appear(s) and return it in should each sign personally fiduciary capacity should in	the enclose y. Trustees	ed postage and other	e paid envel ss signing i	ope. Joint owners n a representative or	
Signature:	Date: 				
Signature:	Date: 				
MARK HERE FOR ADDRESS CHANG	GE AND NOTE	BELOW [	]		