CIENA CORP Form DEF 14A February 02, 2009

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A (Rule 14a-101) INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. ___)

Filed by the Registrant þ Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o Check the appropriate box:

o Preliminary Proxy Statement

o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

b Definitive Proxy Statemento Definitive Additional Materialso Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

Ciena Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
b No fee required.
o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.
- (1) Amount previously paid:
- (2) Form, schedule or registration statement no.:
- (3) Filing party:
- (4) Date filed:

Ciena Corporation 1201 Winterson Road Linthicum, Maryland 21090

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD MARCH 25, 2009

To the stockholders of Ciena Corporation:

The 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Ciena Corporation will be held at The Westin Baltimore Washington Airport BWI, located at 1110 Old Elkridge Landing Road, Linthicum, Maryland, on Wednesday, March 25, 2009 at 3:00 p.m. local time for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect two members of the Board of Directors to serve as Class III directors for three-year terms ending in 2012, or until their respective successors are elected and qualified;
- 2. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 31, 2009; and
- 3. To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

These matters are more fully described in the proxy statement accompanying this notice.

We are pleased to take advantage of Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow us to furnish these proxy materials and our annual report to stockholders on the Internet. We believe that posting these materials on the Internet enables us to provide stockholders with the information that they need more quickly, while lowering our costs of printing and delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting.

As stockholders of Ciena, your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, it is important that you vote as soon as possible to ensure that your shares are represented. Stockholders may listen to a webcast of the Annual Meeting by following the instructions that will be available on the Investors page of our website at *www.ciena.com*.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

David M. Rothenstein Secretary

Linthicum, Maryland February 2, 2009

CIENA CORPORATION PROXY STATEMENT FOR 2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section

General Information About the Annual Meeting and Voting	1
Proposal No. 1 Election of Class III Directors	4
General	4
Nominees for Election as Class III Directors with Terms Expiring in 2012	5
Class I Directors with Terms Expiring in 2010	5
Class II Directors with Terms Expiring in 2011	6
Proposal No. 1 Recommendation of the Board of Directors	6
Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors	6
Independent Directors	6
Communicating with the Board of Directors	7
Codes of Ethics	7
Principles of Corporate Governance and Bylaws	7
Committees of the Board of Directors and Meetings	9
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	12
Director Compensation	12
Overview	12
Director Compensation Table	14
Outstanding Equity Awards for Directors at Fiscal Year End	16
Directors Restricted Stock Deferral Plan	16
Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	16
General	16
Proposal No. 2 Recommendation of the Board of Directors	17
Relationship with Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	17
Audit Committee Report	18
Ownership of Securities	20
Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation	21
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	22
Executive Compensation Tables	35
Summary Compensation Table	35
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	39
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	41
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	43
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	44
Policy for Related Person Transactions	49
Certain Related Person Transactions	50
Equity Compensation Plan Information	50
Stockholder Proposals for 2010 Annual Meeting	51
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	52
Annual Report on Form 10-K	52
Householding of Proxy Materials	52
Directions to the Annual Meeting	53

Page

CIENA CORPORATION 1201 WINTERSON ROAD LINTHICUM, MARYLAND 21090

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD MARCH 25, 2009

Our Board of Directors has made these proxy materials available to you on the Internet, or, upon your request, has delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail. We are furnishing this proxy statement in connection with the solicitation by our Board of Directors of proxies to be voted at our 2009 Annual Meeting. The Annual Meeting will be held at The Westin Baltimore Washington Airport BWI, located at 1110 Old Elkridge Landing Road, Linthicum, Maryland, on Wednesday, March 25, 2009 at 3:00 p.m. local time, or at any adjournment thereof. We mailed our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to each stockholder entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting on or about February 2, 2009.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Who may vote at the Annual Meeting?

The Board of Directors has set January 26, 2009 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. If you were the owner of Ciena common stock at the close of business on January 26, 2009, you may vote at the Annual Meeting. You are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock you held on the record date.

A list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be open to examination by any stockholder, for any purpose germane to the Annual Meeting, during normal business hours for a period of ten days before the Annual Meeting at our corporate offices at 1201 Winterson Road, Linthicum, Maryland 21090, and at the time and place of the Annual Meeting.

How many shares must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

A majority of our shares of common stock outstanding as of the record date must be present at the Annual Meeting in order to hold the meeting and conduct business. This is called a quorum. On the record date, there were 90,665,215 shares of Ciena common stock outstanding. Your shares are counted as present at the Annual Meeting if you are present and vote in person at the Annual Meeting or properly submit your proxy prior to the Annual Meeting.

Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of printed proxy materials?

Pursuant to the notice and access rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we have elected to provide stockholders access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we sent a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) to all of our stockholders as of the record date. The Notice includes instructions on how to access our proxy materials over the Internet and how to request a printed copy of these materials. In addition, by following the instructions in the Notice, stockholders may request to receive proxy materials

in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis.

Choosing to receive your future proxy materials by email will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our annual meetings on the environment. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you terminate it.

What proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

The items scheduled to be voted on at the Annual Meeting are:

the election of two Class III directors to the Board of Directors for three-year terms ending in 2012, or until their respective successors are elected and qualified; and

the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending October 31, 2009.

We are not currently aware of any other business to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly submitted for consideration at the Annual Meeting, including any proposal to adjourn the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote the shares represented thereby in their discretion. Adjournment of the Annual Meeting may be made for the purpose of, among other things, soliciting additional proxies. Any adjournment may be made from time to time by approval of the holders of common stock representing a majority of the votes present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, whether or not a quorum exists, without further notice other than by an announcement made at the Annual Meeting.

How does the Board of Directors recommend that I vote?

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote:

FOR the election of the two Class III director nominees named in this proxy statement; and

FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

How many votes are required to approve each proposal?

Our bylaws provide for a majority vote standard in uncontested director elections. As a result, other than in a contested election (*i.e.*, an election in which the number of candidates exceeds the number of directors to be elected), each director will be elected by the vote of the majority of the votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. For purposes of uncontested director elections, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast FOR a director s election exceeds the number of votes cast AGAINST that director s election. In a contested election, however, directors will be elected by plurality vote.

Ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on these proposals.

How are votes counted?

You may vote FOR, AGAINST or ABSTAIN as to any of proposals to be presented at the Annual Meeting that are see forth in this proxy statement. If you abstain from voting on these proposals, your shares will be counted as present for purposes of establishing a quorum at the Annual Meeting. An abstention will not count as a vote for or against the proposals at the Annual Meeting and will have no effect on the outcome of the election of our directors in an uncontested election.

Broker non-votes are counted as present for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business but will not be counted for purposes of determining whether a proposal has been approved. Broker non-votes occur when brokers do not receive voting instructions from their customers and the broker does not have discretionary voting authority with respect to a proposal. If you hold shares through a broker, bank or other nominee and you do not give instructions as to how to vote, your broker may have authority to vote your shares on certain routine items but not on other items. Broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of the election of directors and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm.

What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner of shares held in street name ?

If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, and the Notice was sent directly to you.

If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer, or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and the Notice was forwarded to you by that organization. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct that organization on how to vote the shares held in your account.

How do I vote my shares without attending the Annual Meeting?

Whether you are a stockholder of record or hold your shares in street name, you may direct your vote without attending the Annual Meeting in person.

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote by Internet by following the instructions on the Notice. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may also vote by signing and submitting your proxy card and returning by mail or by submitting your vote by telephone. You should sign your name exactly as it appears on the proxy card. If you are signing in a representative capacity (for example, as guardian, executor, trustee, custodian, attorney or officer of a corporation), you should indicate your name and title or capacity.

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you may be eligible to vote your shares electronically over the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions on the Notice. If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may also vote by signing the voter instruction card provided by your bank or broker and returning it by mail. If you provide specific voting instructions by mail, telephone or the Internet, your shares will be voted by your broker or nominee as you have directed.

The persons named as proxies are officers of Ciena. All proxies properly submitted in time to be counted at the Annual Meeting will be voted in accordance with the instructions contained therein. If you submit your proxy without voting instructions, your shares will be voted by the proxy holders in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors set forth above.

How do I vote my shares in person at the Annual Meeting?

Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote by telephone or Internet, or by returning a proxy card following your request of printed materials. This will ensure that your vote will be counted if you are unable to, or later decide not to, attend the Annual Meeting. If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote in person by marking and signing the ballot to be provided at the Annual Meeting. If you hold your shares in street name, you must first obtain a proxy in your name from your bank, broker or other stockholder of record in order to vote by ballot at the Annual Meeting.

What happens if my shares are held in more than one account?

If your shares are held in more than one account, you will receive a Notice for each account. To ensure that all of your shares in each account are voted, you must vote in accordance with the Notice you receive for each account.

May I revoke my proxy and change my vote after I have voted?

You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the final vote at the Annual Meeting. You may revoke your proxy by submitting a written notice of revocation to Ciena Corporation, 1201 Winterson Road, Linthicum, Maryland 21090, Attention: Corporate Secretary. You may also revoke your proxy by voting again on a later date on the Internet or by telephone (only your latest Internet or telephone proxy submitted prior to the Annual Meeting will be counted), by signing and returning a new proxy card with a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy

unless you vote again at the Annual Meeting or specifically request in writing at that time that your prior proxy be revoked.

What happens if additional matters are presented at the meeting?

Management knows of no matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting other than those mentioned in this proxy statement and the deadline under our bylaws for stockholder proposals and director nominations has passed. However, if any additional matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, it is intended that the persons named as proxies will vote on such other matters in accordance with their judgment of the best interests of Ciena. If for any unforeseen reason any of our nominees is not available as a candidate for director, the persons named as proxies will vote for such other candidate or candidates as may be nominated by the Board.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. The final voting results will be tallied by the inspector of elections and published in our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ending on April 30, 2009.

Who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the Annual Meeting?

Our Board of Directors is making this solicitation and Ciena will bear the entire cost of preparing, assembling, printing, mailing and distributing these proxy materials and soliciting votes. We have engaged The Altman Group as our proxy solicitor to help us solicit proxies for a fee of \$6,500, plus reasonable out of pocket expense. Copies of solicitation material may be furnished to brokers, custodians, nominees and other fiduciaries for forwarding to beneficial owners of shares of Ciena common stock, and normal handling charges may be paid for such forwarding service. Officers and other Ciena employees, who will receive no additional compensation for their services, may solicit proxies by mail, e-mail, via the Internet, personal interview or telephone.

PROPOSAL NO. 1

ELECTION OF CLASS III DIRECTORS

General

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes, with each class serving on the Board of Directors for a staggered three-year term. Class III, whose term expires at the Annual Meeting, consists of Stephen P. Bradley, Bruce L. Claflin and Gerald H. Taylor.

On December 9, 2008, Mr. Taylor informed the Board of Directors that he will not stand for re-election at the Annual Meeting and that he intends to retire from the Board of Directors upon the completion of his current term at the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors expresses its sincere gratitude to Mr. Taylor for his service to Ciena and contributions to the Board of Directors. The Governance and Nominations Committee is currently conducting a search to identify a new director, but does not anticipate completing its search before the Annual Meeting. When it does identify a candidate that it recommends, the Governance and Nominations Committee expects to recommend also that the Board of Directors appoint him or her to Class III, with the director to stand for re-election at the next annual meeting of stockholders in accordance with our bylaws. In connection with Mr. Taylor s retirement, the Board of Directors, by resolution in accordance with Ciena s bylaws, reduced its size from nine members to eight, effective immediately prior to the Annual Meeting. This reduction will have the effect of reducing the number of directors in Class III of the Board of Directors from three members to two.

At the Annual Meeting, two directors will be elected to fill positions in Class III. Messrs. Bradley and Claflin, each of whom are current Class III directors, are the nominees for election at the Annual Meeting. The nomination of these directors to stand for election at the Annual Meeting has been recommended by the Governance and Nominations Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. Each of the nominees for Class III, if elected, will serve for a three-year term expiring at the 2012 Annual Meeting, or until his or her successor is elected and qualified.

Each of the nominees has consented to serve if elected. However, if any of the persons nominated by the Board of Directors fails to stand for election, or declines to accept election, or is otherwise unavailable for election prior to our Annual Meeting, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted by the proxy holders for the election of any other person or persons as the Board of Directors may recommend, or our Board of Directors, at its option, may further reduce the number of directors that constitute the entire Board of Directors.

The following tables present information, including age, term of office and business experience, for each person nominated for election as a Class III director and for those directors whose terms of office will continue after the meeting.

Nominees for Election as Class III Directors with Terms Expiring in 2012

Stephen P. Bradley, Ph.D.	Professor Bradley, age 67, has served as a director of Ciena since April 1998. Professor Bradley is the William Ziegler Professor of Business Administration Emeritus and teaches at Harvard Business School. A member of the Harvard faculty since 1968, Professor Bradley is also Chairman of Harvard s Executive Program in Competition and Strategy: Building and Sustaining Competitive Advantage. Professor Bradley serves on the board of directors of i2 Technologies, Inc. and the Risk Management Foundation of the Harvard Medical Institutions.
Bruce L. Claflin	Mr. Claflin, age 57, has served as a director of Ciena since August 2006. Mr. Claflin served as President and Chief Executive Officer of 3Com Corporation, a provider of enterprise and small-business networking solutions, from January 2001 until his retirement in February 2006. Mr. Claflin joined 3Com as President and Chief Operating Officer in August 1998. Prior to 3Com, Mr. Claflin served as Senior Vice President and General Manager, Sales and Marketing, for Digital Equipment Corporation. Mr. Claflin also worked for 22 years at IBM, where he held various sales, marketing and management positions, including general manager of IBM PC Company s worldwide research and development, product and brand management, as well as president of IBM PC Company Americas. Mr. Claflin also serves on the board of directors of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.

Class I Directors with Terms Expiring in 2010

Lawton W. Fitt	Ms. Fitt, age 55, has served as a director of Ciena since November 2000. From October 2002 to March 2005, Ms. Fitt served as Director of the Royal Academy of Arts in London. From 1979 to October 2002, Ms. Fitt was an investment banker with Goldman Sachs & Co., where she was a partner from 1994 to October 2002, and a managing director from 1996 to October 2002. Ms. Fitt serves on the board of directors of Thomson Reuters, Frontier Communications Company and Overture Acquisition Corporation.
Patrick H. Nettles, Ph.D.	Dr. Nettles, age 65, has served as a director of Ciena since April 1994 and as Executive Chairman of the Board of Ciena since May 2001. From October 2000 to May 2001, Dr. Nettles was Chairman of the Board and

Chief Executive Officer of Ciena, and he was President and Chief Executive Officer from April 1994 to October 2000. Dr. Nettles serves as a Trustee for the California Institute of Technology and serves on the board of directors of Axcelis Technologies, Inc. and The

Edgar Filing: CIENA CORP - Form DEF 14A					
Table of Contents					
	Progressive Corporation. Dr. Nettles also serves on the board of directors of Apptrigger, Inc., a privately held company.				
Michael J. Rowny	Mr. Rowny, age 58, has served as a director of Ciena since August 2004. Mr. Rowny has been Chairman of Rowny Capital, a private equity firm, since 1999. From 1994 to 1999, and previously from 1983 to 1986, Mr. Rowny was with MCI Communications in positions including President and Chief Executive Officer of MCI s International Ventures, Alliances and Correspondent Group, acting Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President of Finance, and Treasurer. Mr. Rowny serves on the board of directors of Neustar, Inc.				
Class II Directors with Terms Expiring	in 2011				
Harvey B. Cash	Mr. Cash, age 70, has served as a director of Ciena since April 1994 and is Ciena s lead independent director. Mr. Cash is a general partner of InterWest Partners, a venture capital firm in Menlo Park, California that he joined in 1985. Mr. Cash serves on the board of directors of First Acceptance Corp., Silicon Laboratories, Inc. and Argonaut Group, Inc.				
Judith M. O Brien	Ms. O Brien, age 58, has served as a director of Ciena since July 2000. Since November 2006, Ms. O Brien has served as Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Obopay, Inc., a provider of mobile payment services. From February 2001 until October 2006, Ms. O Brien served as a managing director at Incubic Venture Fund, a venture capital firm. From February 1984 until February 2001, Ms. O Brien was a partner with Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, where she specialized in corporate finance, mergers and acquisitions and general corporate matters.				
Gary B. Smith	Mr. Smith, age 48, joined Ciena in 1997 and has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since May 2001. Mr. Smith has served as a director of Ciena since October 2000. Mr. Smith serves on the board of directors for CommVault Systems, Inc. Mr. Smith is a member of the Global Information Infrastructure Commission and serves on the board of the American Electronics Association.				

Proposal No. 1 Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors recommends that Ciena stockholders vote FOR the election of the two Class III nominees listed above.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Ciena is committed to conducting its business with integrity and in an ethical manner. Ciena has adopted a number of policies and practices, some of which are described below, that highlight its commitment to sound corporate governance principles. Ciena also maintains a corporate governance page on its website that includes additional information and copies of its bylaws, as well as the codes of conduct, governance principles, Audit Committee charter, Compensation Committee charter and Governance and Nominations Committee charter. The corporate governance page can be found by clicking on the Corporate Governance page of the Investors section of our website at

www.ciena.com.

Independent Directors

In accordance with the current listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market, the Board of Directors, on an annual basis, affirmatively determines the independence of each director or nominee for election as a director. The

Board of Directors has determined that, with the exception of Dr. Nettles and Mr. Smith, both of whom are employees of Ciena, all of its members are independent directors, using the definition of that term in the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market. All members of the Board s standing Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominations Committees, more fully described below, are also independent directors.

Communicating with the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors has adopted a procedure for receiving and addressing communications from stockholders. Stockholders may send written communications to the entire Board of Directors, to the independent directors serving on the Board, or to any of the Board s committees, by addressing communications to Ciena Corporation, 1201 Winterson Road, Linthicum, Maryland 21090, Attention: Corporate Secretary. Communication by e-mail should be addressed to *ir@ciena.com* and marked Attention: Corporate Secretary in the Subject field. Our General Counsel serves as Corporate Secretary and determines, in his discretion, whether the nature of the communication is such that it should be brought to the attention of the Board, the independent directors or one of the Board committees. As a general matter, the Corporate Secretary does not forward spam, junk mail, mass mailings, job inquiries, surveys, business solicitations or advertisements, or offensive or inappropriate material. In assessing communications to the Board, the corporate Secretary takes into account the source of the suggestions or ideas contained in the communication, and such other information as he deems relevant to a determination of the value of the information to the performance of the Board s responsibilities. In case of doubt, the Corporate Secretary errs on the side of transmitting the communication to the directors.

Codes of Ethics

Ciena has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that is applicable to all of its directors, officers and employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics reflects Ciena s policy of dealing with all persons, including our customers, employees, investors, and suppliers, with honesty and integrity. All new employees are required to complete training on our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and we conduct periodic courses related to specific topics contained therein.

Ciena has also adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that is specifically applicable to Ciena s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller. Its purpose is to promote honest and ethical conduct, and compliance with the law, particularly as it relates to the maintenance of Ciena s financial records and the preparation of financial statements filed with the SEC. Our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers complies with the requirements of Section 406(c) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

A copy of Ciena s Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers can each be found on the Corporate Governance page of the Investors portion of our website at *www.ciena.com*. You may also obtain copies of these documents without charge by writing to: Ciena Corporation, 1201 Winterson Road, Linthicum, Maryland 21090, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Principles of Corporate Governance and Bylaws

Our Board has adopted Principles of Corporate Governance that supplement certain corporate governance provisions of our bylaws and relate to, among other things, the composition, structure, interaction and operation of the Board of Directors. Some of the key governance features of our Principles of Corporate Governance and bylaws are summarized below.

Majority Vote Standard in Director Elections. Ciena s bylaws and Principles of Corporate Governance provide for a majority vote standard in uncontested director elections. As a result, other than in a contested election (*i.e.*, an election in which the number of candidates exceeds the number of directors to be elected), directors are elected by a vote of the majority of the votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. In a contested election, directors will continue to be elected by plurality vote.

As a condition of their nomination, incumbent directors and director nominees are required to submit to Ciena an irrevocable resignation that becomes effective only if (i) that person fails to receive a majority vote in an election;

and (ii) the Board of Directors accepts his or her resignation. Should any director fail to receive a majority of the votes cast in an uncontested election, the Governance and Nominations Committee will promptly consider the resignation and recommend to the Board whether to accept or reject it, or whether other action should be taken. No later than 90 days following the date of the certification of the election results, the Board will disclose its decision by press release and a Form 8-K filed with the SEC. The Board will provide a full explanation of the process by which the decision was reached and, if applicable, the rationale for rejecting the resignation. If a resignation is accepted by the Board, the Governance and Nominations Committee will recommend to the Board whether to fill the vacancy or to reduce the size of the Board.

Any director whose resignation is being considered is not permitted to participate in the recommendation of the Governance and Nominations Committee or the decision of the Board as to his or her resignation. If the resignations of a majority of the members of the Governance and Nominations Committee have become effective as a result of the voting, the remaining independent directors will appoint a special committee among themselves for the purpose of considering the resignations and recommending whether to accept or reject them.

Selection of Board Members; Vacancies. Ciena s bylaws limit the term of office of any director elected by the Board to fill a vacancy, to a term that lasts until the first annual meeting following election.

Service on Other Boards of Directors. Ciena s Board of Directors believes that directors should not serve on more than four other boards of public companies in addition to our Board of Directors. In the event that a director wishes to join the board of directors of another public company in excess of the limit above, our Board, in its sole discretion, will determine whether service on the additional board of directors is likely to interfere with the performance of the director s duties to Ciena, taking into account the individual, the nature of his or her other activities and such other factors or considerations as our Board deems relevant. In selecting nominees for membership, the Governance and Nominations Committee and the Board will take into account the other demands on the time of a candidate, and avoid candidates whose other responsibilities might interfere with effective service on our Board of Directors.

Change in Principal Occupation of Director. In some cases, when a director changes his or her principal occupation, the change may result in an increased workload, actual or apparent conflicts of interest, or other consequences that may affect his or her ability to continue to serve on Ciena s Board of Directors. As a result, the Board of Directors has determined that when a director substantially changes his or her principal occupation, including by retirement, that director will tender his or her resignation to the Board of Directors. In considering the notice of resignation, the Governance and Nominations Committee will weigh such factors as it deems relevant and recommend to the Board of Directors whether the resignation should be accepted, and the Board will act promptly on the matter.

Director Stock Ownership Requirements. All non-employee directors are required to hold at least 3,200 shares of Ciena s common stock and/or units while serving as a director. Shares or units held or beneficially owned by a director, including under any applicable equity plan, are included in determining whether this minimum ownership requirement has been met. Ciena s Board of Directors recognizes that exceptions to this policy may be necessary or appropriate in individual cases, and may approve exceptions from time to time as it deems appropriate and in the interest of Ciena and its stockholders.

Lead Independent Director. One of our independent Board members is elected to serve as lead independent director. The lead independent director is responsible for coordinating the activities of the other independent directors and has the authority to preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Executive Chairman is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors. The lead independent director serves as principal liaison on Board-wide issues between the independent directors and the Executive Chairman, and approves meeting schedules and agendas and monitors the quality of information sent to the Board. The lead independent director may also recommend the retention of outside advisors and consultants who report directly to the Board of Directors. If

requested by stockholders, when appropriate, the lead independent director will also be available for consultation and direct communication.

Separate Chairman and CEO. Although our Board does not have a policy on whether the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman should be separate, Ciena has maintained these positions as separate since 2001.

Committee Responsibilities. The Board has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominations Committee. Each committee meets regularly and has a written charter that is available on the Corporate Governance page of the Investors portion of our website at *www.ciena.com*. At each regularly scheduled Board meeting, the chairperson or a member of each committee reports on any significant matters addressed by the committee.

Executive Sessions. Our independent directors on the Board meet regularly in executive session without employee-directors or other executive officers present. The lead independent director presides at these meetings.

Outside Advisors. The Board, and each of its standing committees, may retain outside advisors and consultants at its discretion and at Ciena s expense. Management s consent to retain outside advisors is not required.

Board Effectiveness. To ensure that our Board and its committees are performing effectively and in the best interests of Ciena and its stockholders, the Board performs an annual assessment of itself, its Committees and each of its members.

Copies of our Principles of Corporate Governance and bylaws can be found on the Corporate Governance page of the Investors portion our website at *www.ciena.com*.

Committees of the Board of Directors and Meetings

During fiscal 2008, the Board of Directors held nine meetings and its three standing committees held meetings as follows:

the Audit Committee held nine meetings;

the Compensation Committee held eight meetings; and

the Governance and Nominations Committee held five meetings.

All of our directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees on which they served during fiscal 2008. Ciena encourages, but does not require, members of the Board of Directors to attend the Annual Meeting. Two members of the Board of Directors attended Ciena s 2008 Annual Meeting.

Committee Composition

The table below details the composition of Ciena s standing Board committees. Mr. Smith and Dr. Nettles do not serve on standing committees of the Board.

Director Name	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Governance and Nominations Committee
Stephen P. Bradley, Ph.D.	Х		Х
Harvey B. Cash		Х	Chairperson
Bruce L. Claflin	Х		
Lawton W. Fitt	Chairperson		

Judith M. O Brien		Chairperson	Х
Michael J. Rowny	Х		
Gerald H. Taylor		Х	

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee falls within the definition of audit committee under Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). In addition to meeting The NASDAQ Stock Market s tests for director independence, directors on audit committees must meet two basic criteria set forth in the SEC s rules. First, audit committee members are barred from accepting, directly or indirectly, any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from Ciena or an affiliate of Ciena, other than in the member s capacity as a member of the Board of Directors and any Board committee. Second, a member of an audit committee may not be an affiliated person of

⁹

Ciena or any subsidiary of Ciena apart from his or her capacity as a member of the Board and any Board committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee meets these independence requirements, in addition to the independence criteria established by The NASDAQ Stock Market. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Rowny is an audit committee financial expert as defined in Item 407 of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act.

Among its responsibilities, the Audit Committee appoints and establishes the compensation for Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm, approves in advance all engagements with Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm to perform audit and non-audit services, reviews and approves the procedures used by Ciena to prepare its periodic reports, reviews and approves Ciena s critical accounting policies, discusses the plans and reviews results of the audit engagement with Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm, reviews the independence of Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm, and oversees Ciena s internal audit function and Ciena s accounting processes, including the adequacy of its internal controls over financial reporting. Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm and internal audit department report directly to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also reviews and considers any related person transactions in accordance with our Policy on Related Person Transactions and applicable rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has responsibility, authority and oversight relating to the development of Ciena s overall compensation strategy and compensation programs. The Compensation Committee establishes our compensation philosophy and policies, and administers compensation plans for executive officers and non-executive employees. The Compensation Committee seeks to assure that our compensation practices promote stockholder interests and support our compensation objectives and philosophy. Ciena s compensation program for executive officers focuses on addressing the following principal objectives:

attract and retain talented personnel by offering competitive compensation packages;

motivate employees to achieve strategic and tactical objectives and the profitable growth of Ciena;

reward employees for individual and corporate performance; and

align executive compensation with stockholder interests.

In making compensation decisions, the Committee also seeks to promote teamwork among and high morale within our executive team.

Annually, the Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of Ciena s executive officers and establishes their compensation for the next fiscal year. In making its executive compensation decisions, the Committee receives information and recommendations from its compensation consultant and Ciena s CEO. The Committee reviews and has final authority to approve and make decisions with respect to the compensation of Ciena s executive officers. For detailed information regarding the Compensation Committee, its determination of the form and amount of compensation paid to our executive officers, including the Named Executive Officers, and Mr. Smith s role in such determination, please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.

The members of the Compensation Committee qualify as outside directors within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, meet the requirements of Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act and comply with the independence requirements of The NASDAQ Stock Market. The Compensation Committee s charter permits the Committee to delegate authority to our Chief Executive Officer in connection with new hires, promotions and other

discretionary awards. The Compensation Committee has delegated limited authority to Mr. Smith to make equity awards to employees, who are not part of the executive leadership team, within certain parameters and guidelines applicable to, among other things, the size, terms and conditions of such awards.

Table of Contents

Compensation Consultant. To assist it in carrying out its responsibilities, the Compensation Committee is authorized to retain the services of independent advisors. For purposes of advice and consultation with respect to compensation of our executive officers during fiscal 2008, the Committee engaged Compensia, Inc., an independent compensation consultant. In establishing executive compensation for fiscal 2008, the Compensation Committee relied upon Compensia to:

assist the Committee to select a group of peer companies;

provide information on compensation paid by peer companies to their executive officers;

provide survey data to supplement publicly available information on compensation paid by peer companies;

advise on alternative structures, forms of compensation and allocation considerations;

advise the Committee on appropriate levels of compensation for the Named Executive Officers and the other members of the executive leadership team; and

prepare tally sheets showing, for each executive officer, all elements of compensation received in previous fiscal years, equity grant detail, the projected value of vested and unvested grants outstanding, and a competitive assessment of compensation relative to a peer group.

Compensia provided consulting services exclusively to committees of the Board of Directors during fiscal 2008 and provided no other services on behalf of Ciena. In order to assure Compensia s continued independence and to avoid any actual or apparent conflict of interest, except for services provided to the Committee or another committee of the Board, the Committee does not permit Ciena to engage Compensia to perform any other services. The Committee has sole authority to retain or replace Compensia as the Committee s executive compensation consultant.

Governance and Nominations Committee

The Governance and Nominations Committee reviews, develops and makes recommendations regarding various aspects of the Board of Directors, including its size, composition, standing committees and practices. The Governance and Nominations Committee also reviews and implements corporate governance policies, practices and procedures. The Governance and Nominations Committee conducts an annual review of the performance of the Board of Directors and its individual members. The Governance and Nominations Committee is also responsible for making recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the compensation of its non-employee members. The members of the Governance and Nominations Committee are all independent directors under applicable rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market.

The Governance and Nominations Committee reviews candidates for service on the Board and recommends nominees for election to fill vacancies on the Board of Directors, including nomination for re-election of directors whose terms are due to expire. In discharging its responsibilities to nominate candidates for election to the Board of Directors, the Governance and Nominations Committee endeavors to identify, recruit and nominate candidates characterized by wisdom, maturity, sound judgment, excellent business skills and high integrity. The Governance and Nominations Committee seeks to assure that the Board of Directors is composed of individuals of diverse backgrounds who have a variety of complementary experience, training and relationships relevant to Ciena s needs, including sufficient individuals to meet the requirements of the various rules and regulation s of The NASDAQ Stock Market and the SEC, such as the requirements to have a majority of independent directors and an audit committee financial expert. In nominating candidates to fill vacancies created by the expiration of the term of a director, the Governance and Nominations Committee determines whether the incumbent director is willing to stand for re-election. If so, the

Governance and Nominations Committee evaluates his or her performance to determine suitability for continued service, taking into consideration the value of continuity and familiarity with Ciena s business. In addition, it is the policy of the Governance and Nominations Committee to consider recommendations for nomination from any reasonable source, including Ciena s officers, directors and stockholders. In considering these recommendations, the Governance and Nominations Committee utilizes the same standards described above, as well as the current size and composition of the Board, and the needs of the Board and its committees. When appropriate, the Governance and Nominations Committee recruitment

firms to assist in identifying suitable candidates. Stockholders who wish to recommend potential nominees may address their recommendations in writing to Ciena Corporation, 1201 Winterson Road, Linthicum, Maryland 21090, Attention: Corporate Secretary. For a description of the process by which stockholders may nominate directors in accordance with our bylaws, please see Stockholder Proposals for 2010 Annual Meeting below.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Messrs. Cash and Taylor and Ms. O Brien, who comprised the Compensation Committee in fiscal 2008, are each independent directors and were not, at any time during fiscal 2008, or at any other time, officers or employees of Ciena. During fiscal 2008, no member of the Compensation Committee was an executive officer of another entity on whose compensation committee or board of directors an executive officer of Ciena served.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Overview

Our director compensation is designed to attract and retain highly qualified, independent directors to represent stockholders on the Board and act in their best interest. The Governance and Nominations Committee, which consists solely of independent directors, has primary responsibility for reviewing and recommending any changes to our director compensation program, with compensation changes approved or ratified by the full Board of Directors. Compensation for the members of our Board is reviewed regularly by the Governance and Nominations Committee.

Our Board of Directors includes two Ciena executive officers: Dr. Nettles, who serves as Executive Chairman of the Board, and Gary Smith, who serves as Ciena s President and Chief Executive Officer. As a Named Executive Officer, information regarding the determination of Mr. Smith s compensation can be found in Compensation Discussion and Analysis below. Mr. Smith does not receive compensation for his service as a director and additional information regarding his compensation can be found in the Executive Compensation Tables below. Except as set forth in Equity Compensation below, Dr. Nettles does not receive compensation for his services as a director.

During fiscal 2008, the Governance and Nominations Committee, with the assistance of Compensia, an independent compensation consultant, evaluated the competitiveness of our Board compensation program. The Governance and Nominations Committee considered an overview of the corporate governance environment and recent trends and developments relating to board compensation. The Governance and Nominations Committee specifically considered the amount and various components of Ciena s Board compensation program, as well as the aggregate board compensation costs, in comparison to the boards of directors of the same group of peer companies that the Compensation Committee utilized in determining executive officer compensation. A list of these companies and the process used to develop the peer group can be found in Compensation Discussion and Analysis below. The Governance and Nominations Committee considered this competitive analysis in its recommendations to the Board relating to fiscal 2009 board compensation.

The Governance and Nominations Committee determined that, while compensation for certain committee chairpersons was at or above the 50th percentile, Ciena s average annual Board compensation, on an individual and aggregate basis, was below the 50th percentile for the peer group, with cash compensation for general board service below the 25th percentile. In assessing its current Board and committee retainer payments, and per-meeting fees for attendance, the Governance and Nominations Committee considered that less than half of the peer group offered director compensation based on meeting attendance, preferring a retainer-only compensation model. The Governance and Nominations Committee that Ciena s current mix of compensation more heavily favored equity over cash, while the peer group had a more even mix.

With regard to equity compensation, the Governance and Nominations Committee considered its recent practice of annual grants of a fixed number of shares underlying RSU awards, and its desire to neutralize compensation variability from year to year resulting from Ciena stock price fluctuation and volatility. The Governance and Nominations Committee determined to discontinue its practice of fixed share grants in favor of grants that target a delivered compensation value. In reaching this decision, the Governance and Nominations Committee took into account that sixty percent of the peer group based equity awards upon a targeted delivered

value, and that this approach was consistent with the historical approach used by Ciena s Compensation Committee with regard to equity awards granted to Ciena s executive officers. In setting the targeted delivered value, the Governance and Nominations Committee considered the delivered values for initial and annual awards in comparison to the peer group. The Committee also considered whether to change its historical practice of granting RSUs with one-year, service-based vesting terms, and the terms and conditions associated with any acceleration of vesting of such awards. Under the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan, acceleration of vesting for RSUs is limited to certain circumstances, and only 5% of the shares authorized for award thereunder may be issued in the form of RSUs or other full value awards with a service-based vesting term shorter than three years from the date of grant.

In October and December 2008, the Board approved a revised compensation program for fiscal 2009. The Board based these changes in compensation upon the recommendations of the Governance and Nominations Committee and its consideration of the factors described above. The cash and equity components of our director compensation program for fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2009 are described below.

Cash Compensation. Our cash compensation program for non-employee directors for fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2009 is as follows:

Fiscal 2008	Amount (\$)
Annual Retainer for Non-Employee Director	\$25,000
Additional Lead Outside Director Retainer	\$7,500
Audit Committee Chairperson Annual Retainer	\$20,000
Other Committee Chairperson Annual Retainer	\$7,500
Board Meeting Attendance (Regular Meeting.)	\$1,500
Board Meeting Attendance (Special Meeting)	\$500
Audit Committee Meeting Attendance (Regular Meeting)	\$2,000 (Chairperson) \$2,000 (other directors)
Other Committee Meeting Attendance (Regular Meeting)	\$1,000 (Chairperson) \$1,000 (other directors)
All Committee Meeting Attendance (Special Meeting)	\$500
Fiscal 2009	Amount (\$)
Annual Retainer for Non-Employee Director	\$50,000
Additional Lead Outside Director Retainer	\$10,000
Audit Committee Annual Retainer	\$30,000 (Chairperson)
	\$10,000 (other directors)
Compensation Committee Annual Retainer	\$15,000 (Chairperson)
	\$5,000 (other directors)
Governance and Nominations Committee Annual Retainer	\$10,000 (Chairperson) \$4,000 (other directors)

In establishing its cash compensation program for fiscal 2009, the Board determined not to pay meeting attendance fees unless the Board, or any standing committee thereof, is required to participate in an unusually high number of meetings. In the event that the Board or a standing committee holds more than ten meetings in a fiscal year, each

non-employee director serving on that committee will be entitled to receive an additional \$1,500 per meeting for the Chairperson, and \$1,000 for other directors. In the event that the Board or a standing committee creates a special committee or subcommittee that holds more than three meetings in a fiscal year, each non-employee director serving on that committee will be entitled to receive an additional \$1,000 per meeting.

We pay the applicable retainers set forth above in quarterly installments. Meeting attendance fees, as applicable, are generally paid promptly following the each meeting, and directors are reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attendance at Board and committee meetings. Non-employee directors do not receive any perquisites as part of their compensation.

13

Equity Compensation. For fiscal 2008, our non-employee directors and Dr. Nettles received equity compensation as follows:

Fiscal 2008	RSU Grant (#)
Initial RSU Grant Upon Election or Appointment	6,500 shares
Annual RSU Grant Non-Employee Directors	3,250 shares
Annual RSU Grant Executive Chairman of the Board	6,500 shares

Initial equity awards vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period. Annual awards are made on the date of each annual meeting of stockholders and vest in full on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

For fiscal 2009, our non-employee directors and Dr. Nettles will receive equity compensation as follows:

Fiscal 2009		Targeted Delivered Value (\$)
Initial RSU Grant U	pon Election or Appointment	\$100,000
Annual RSU Grant	Non-Employee Directors	\$100,000
Annual RSU Grant	Executive Chairman of the Board	\$100,000

Initial equity awards are made upon first election or appointment to the Board of Directors with the targeted delivered value prorated based on the election date. Initial equity awards vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period from the date of grant. Annual awards will be made on the date of each annual meeting of stockholders and vest in equal annual installments over a three-year period from the date of grant. The actual number of shares underlying annual RSU awards will be based on Ciena s average closing stock price over a 30-day period immediately prior to the date of the grant. Vesting of RSU awards granted to the Board is subject to acceleration upon the recipient s death, disability, retirement or upon or in connection with a change in control transaction. Delivery of the shares following vesting is subject to any applicable instruction provided by the director under the Directors Restricted Stock Deferral Plan described below.

Director Compensation Table

The following table and the accompanying footnotes describe the total compensation earned by our non-employee directors and Dr. Nettles during fiscal 2008.

Total compensation does not reflect the actual compensation received by our directors in fiscal 2008. Total compensation includes the dollar amounts set forth in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns. These amounts reflect the compensation expense we recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to equity awards granted to directors during fiscal 2008, as well as grants made in prior fiscal years, to the extent such awards remained unvested in whole or in part at the beginning of fiscal 2008. The compensation expense included in the

Stock Awards and Option Awards columns will likely vary from the actual amount ultimately realized by these directors based on a number of factors, including the number of shares that ultimately vest, the timing of any exercise or sale of shares, the effect of any applicable instruction to defer delivery, and the price of our stock.

Director Compensation Table

	Fee	s Earned or					A	all Other	
Name		d in Cash (\$)(1)	L	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	A	Dption wards (\$)(3)	Cor	npensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
Patrick H. Nettles, Ph.D.			\$	183,541			\$	326,589	\$ 510,130
Stephen P. Bradley, Ph.D.	\$	49,000	\$	91,771					\$ 140,771
Harvey B. Cash	\$	58,500	\$	91,771					\$ 150,271
Bruce L. Claflin	\$	43,500	\$	113,242	\$	39,269			\$ 196,011
Lawton W. Fitt	\$	64,500	\$	91,771					\$ 156,271
Judith M. O Brien	\$	50,500	\$	91,771					\$ 142,271
Michael J. Rowny	\$	44,500	\$	91,771					\$ 136,271
Gerald H. Taylor	\$	40,500	\$	91,771					\$ 132,271
				14					

- (1) Reflects the aggregate dollar amount of all cash compensation earned for service as a director, including the retainers and meeting attendance fees described in Cash Compensation above.
- (2) Reflects the amount of compensation expense we recognized for stock awards under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123(R), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123(R)), for each director as reported in our fiscal 2008 audited financial statements. Pursuant to SEC requirements, the amounts in the table above exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For each director, the amounts reported above represent the expense associated with annual RSU awards granted on March 26, 2008. Because annual equity awards to directors have historically been granted on the date of the annual meeting (typically March of each year) and vested on the first anniversary of the date of grant, the compensation expense associated with these awards is recognized across two fiscal years. As a result, the amount reported above also includes a portion of the compensation expense related to RSU awards made to directors in fiscal 2007, to the extent these awards were unvested at the beginning of fiscal 2008. For Mr. Claflin, the amount reported also includes compensation expense related to an initial RSU award granted upon his joining the Board during fiscal 2006, which vests over a three-year period. The full grant date fair value of RSUs awarded to directors in fiscal 2008 was: (a) \$204,750 for the RSU grant to Dr. Nettles; and (b) \$102,375 for the RSU grant to each of the non-employee directors. This amount reflects, as of the grant date, the total compensation expense for financial reporting purposes that we would expect to incur over the vesting period for the award.
- (3) We have not made any option grants to directors since fiscal 2006 and options are no longer part of our equity compensation program for directors. The amount reported above represents the compensation expense we recognized in our fiscal 2008 audited financial statements for a stock option awards granted to Mr. Claflin upon his initial election to the Board in fiscal 2006, to the extent that this award remained unvested at the beginning of fiscal 2008. Pursuant to SEC requirements, the amount in the table above excludes the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For information regarding the relevant assumptions made in calculating compensation expense, you should refer to the information set forth in the footnotes to the Summary Compensation Table in Executive Compensation Tables below.
- (4) Reflects \$300,000 of salary for service as an executive officer. Dr. Nettles does not receive cash compensation for his service as a director. Also includes: (a) the incremental expense of an insurance premium paid by us for a supplemental executive long-term disability insurance policy held by Dr. Nettles, (b) the cost of tax preparation service reimbursement and related tax gross-up made available to executive officers; and (c) 401(k) plan matching contributions paid by us and generally available to all full-time U.S. employees on the same terms.

Outstanding Equity Awards for Directors at Fiscal Year End

The following table sets forth, on an aggregate basis, information related to unexercised stock options and unvested stock awards held by each of the non-employee directors and Dr. Nettles as of the end of fiscal 2008. As of such date, all option awards held by the persons in the table below were out-of-the-money.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

	Unexercised Option Awards			
	Aggregate Number of Shares Underlying	Aggregate Number of Shares Underlying	Aggregate Number of Unvested	
Nome	Exercisable Options	Unexercisable Options	Shares or Units	
Name	(#)	(#)	(#)	
Patrick H. Nettles, Ph.D.	179,326		6,500	
Stephen P. Bradley, Ph.D.	41,855		3,250	
Harvey B. Cash	33,284		3,250	
Bruce L. Claflin	4,285	2,143	3,964	
Lawton W. Fitt	37,336		3,250	
Judith M. O Brien	36,850		3,250	
Michael J. Rowny	13,451		3,250	
Gerald H. Taylor	35,859		3,250	

Directors Restricted Stock Deferral Plan

The Directors Restricted Stock Deferral Plan allows non-employee directors to defer receipt of all or a portion of the shares underlying RSU awards granted in connection with their service on the Board. Generally, deferral elections may only be made as to awards to be granted in the calendar year following a valid deferral election. Directors can elect the amount deferred, the deferral period and the means of distribution of their shares. If a director elects to defer any portion of an award, upon the vesting of that award, we credit a stock account with the amount deferred. There are no other investment options under the plan and all such accounts will be distributed in Ciena common stock. Distributions may be made in a lump sum or installments, as designated by the participating director, subject to early distribution of vested awards in a lump sum in the event of the participant s death, termination of service, a change in control of Ciena or termination of the plan.

PROPOSAL NO. 2

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

General

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit Ciena s consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending October 31, 2009, and is asking stockholders to ratify this appointment at the Annual Meeting.

PwC has audited our consolidated financial statements annually since Ciena s incorporation in 1992. A representative of PwC is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions. In making its recommendation to the Board of Directors to select PwC as Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2009, the Audit Committee has considered whether the non-audit services provided by PwC are compatible with maintaining the independence of PwC. Information regarding fees billed by PwC for our 2007 and 2008 fiscal years is set forth under the heading Relationship with Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm below.

16

Our bylaws do not require that stockholders ratify the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm. We are seeking ratification because we believe it is a matter of good corporate governance. In the event that stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to retain PwC, but may ultimately determine to retain PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its sole discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that it is advisable to do so.

Proposal No. 2 Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors recommends that Ciena stockholders vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The following table shows the fees that were billed to Ciena by PwC for professional services rendered for fiscal years 2007 and 2008.

Fee Category	2007	2008
Audit Fees Audit-Related Fees Tax Fees All Other Fees	\$ 2,260,647 \$ 361,740 \$ 44,995	\$ 2,080,761 \$ 81,854 \$ 29,968
Total Fees	\$ 2,667,382	\$ 2,192,583

Audit Fees

This category of the table above includes fees for the audit of our annual financial statements, review of financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and services that are normally provided by PwC in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements. The preparation of Ciena s audited financial statements include compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the preparation by PwC of a report expressing its opinion regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. As a result, audit fees for fiscal 2007 and 2008 reflect PwC s integrated audit of our financial statements and our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of each fiscal year.

Audit-Related Fees

This category of the table above includes fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not included above under Audit Fees. Fiscal 2008 audit-related fees include PwC s due diligence related services associated with our acquisition of World Wide Packets. Fiscal 2007 audit-related fees include PwC s services in connection with our convertible note offering. Audit-related fees for fiscal 2007, and to a lesser degree, fiscal 2008, also reflect PwC s services associated with evaluating our internal controls in connection with our implementation of a new version of our Oracle management information system.

Tax Fees

This category of the table above includes fees for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning. Services for fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2008 were related to international value added tax (VAT).

All Other Fees

This category of the table above includes fees for services provided by PwC that are not included in the service categories reported above. Ciena did not incur any other fees during fiscal 2007 or fiscal 2008.

Pre-Approval of Services

The Audit Committee pre-approves all services, including both audit and non-audit services, provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. For audit services (including statutory audit engagements as required under local country laws), each year our independent registered public accounting firm provides the Audit Committee with an engagement letter outlining the scope of the audit services proposed to be performed during the year, which must be accepted by the Audit Committee before the audit commences. Our independent registered public accounting firm also submits an audit services fee proposal, which also must be approved by the Audit Committee before the audit commences.

Each year, management also submits to the Audit Committee a list of non-audit services that it recommends the independent registered public accounting firm be engaged to provide and an estimate of the fees to be paid for each. Management and the independent registered public accounting firm must each confirm to the Audit Committee that the performance of the non-audit services on the list would not compromise the independence of our registered public accounting firm and would be permissible under applicable legal requirements. The Audit Committee must approve both the list of non-audit services and the budget for each such service before commencement of the work. Our management and our independent registered public accounting firm report to the Audit Committee at each of its regular meetings as to the non-audit services actually provided by the independent registered public accounting firm and the approximate fees incurred by Ciena for those services.

To ensure prompt handling of unexpected matters, the Audit Committee has authorized its Chairperson to amend or modify the list of approved permissible non-audit services and fees. If the Chairperson exercises this delegation of authority, she reports the action taken to the Audit Committee at its next regular meeting.

In compliance with the Audit Committee s internal policy and auditor independence rules of the SEC, all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by PwC to Ciena for the fiscal years 2007 and 2008 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or filed or incorporated by reference in future filings with the SEC, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that Ciena specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

The Audit Committee oversees Ciena s financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process including the systems of internal controls. The Audit Committee meets with Ciena s independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations and Ciena s financial reporting practices. The Audit Committee met with management periodically during fiscal 2008 to consider the adequacy of Ciena s internal controls, and discussed these matters with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and Ciena senior management, finance and internal audit personnel. The Committee also discussed with senior management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Ciena s disclosure controls and procedures and the certifications by Ciena s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are required by the SEC under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for certain filings with the SEC.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed Ciena s audited financial statements for fiscal 2008 with management and with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP s communications with the audit committee concerning independence and has discussed with Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP its independence. Based on the Audit Committee s review of the audited financial statements and the review and discussions described in this report, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements for fiscal 2008 be included in Ciena s annual report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2008 for filing with the SEC.

Submitted by the members of the Audit Committee:

Lawton W. Fitt (Chairperson) Stephen P. Bradley, Ph.D. Bruce L. Claflin Michael J. Rowny

19

OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

The following table sets forth, as of January 2, 2009, the beneficial ownership of Ciena s common stock for the following persons:

all stockholders known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our common stock;

our Chief Executive Officer and the other Named Executive Officers (as that term is defined in the Executive Compensation Tables below);

each of our directors; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Certain information in the table concerning beneficial owners other than our directors and executive officers is based on information contained in filings made by such beneficial owners with the SEC.

Under SEC rules, beneficial ownership of a class of capital stock includes any shares of such class as to which a person, directly or indirectly, has or shares voting power or investment power and also any shares as to which a person has the right to acquire such voting or investment power within 60 days through the exercise or conversion of any stock option, restricted stock unit or other right. If two or more persons share voting power or investment power with respect to specific securities, each such person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of such securities. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of such acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares held by any person in the table below does not necessarily reflect the person s actual voting power. As of January 2, 2009, there were 90,659,070 shares of Ciena common stock outstanding.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Owned(1)	Right to Acquire(2)	Beneficial Ownership Total(3)	Percent of Outstanding Shares
5% or More Stockholders				
FMR Corp.(4)	10,833,116	145,728	10,978,844	12.1%
Citadel Investment Group, LLC(5)	4,692,990		4,692,990	5.2%
Brookside Capital Funding Partners Fund, L.P.(6)	5,588,971		5,588,971	6.2%
Morgan Stanley(7)	4,821,083		4,821,083	5.3%
Directors & Named Executive Officers				
Patrick H. Nettles, Ph.D.	350,176	185,826	536,002	*
Gary B. Smith	37,905	455,108	493,013	*
Stephen B. Alexander(8)	33,965	229,867	263,832	*
Michael G. Aquino	3,263	64,269	67,532	*
Joseph R. Chinnici(9)	17,570		17,570	*
James E. Moylan, Jr.	5,778	10,208	15,986	*
Arthur D. Smith, Ph.D.	5,243	121,724	126,967	*
Stephen P. Bradley, Ph.D.	6,785	36,534	43,319	*
Harvey B. Cash	22,556	36,534	59,090	*

Bruce L. Claflin	1,428	7,535	8,963	*
Lawton W. Fitt	1,071	40,586	41,657	*
Judith M. O Brien(8)	5,302	40,100	45,402	*
Michael J. Rowny	1,071	16,701	17,772	*
Gerald H. Taylor	1,356	39,109	40,465	*
All executive officers and directors as a group				
(15 persons)	482,697	1,332,656	1,815,353	2.0%
	20			

- * Represents less than 1% of outstanding shares.
- (1) Excludes shares that may be acquired through the exercise of stock options, the vesting of restricted stock units or other convertible equity awards.
- (2) Except as otherwise set forth in the footnotes below, represents shares of common stock that can be acquired upon the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units within sixty days of the date of this table. For non-employee directors, amounts reported also include shares underlying vested RSUs deferred pursuant to the Directors Restricted Stock Deferral Plan.
- (3) Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table or as set forth in the SEC reports identified below, we believe the persons named in this table, based on information they have furnished to us, have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable.
- (4) Stockholder s address is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, MA 02109. Ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G/A, filed jointly by FMR Corp. (FMR) and Edward C. Johnson 3d with the SEC on February 14, 2008, and reflects their beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2007. Based on the Schedule 13G/A, Fidelity Management & Research Company (Fidelity) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR. By acting as investment adviser to various investment companies, Fidelity is the beneficial owner of 9,188,579 shares of Ciena s common stock. Shares included in the Right to Acquire column above reflect shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of Ciena s outstanding convertible notes held by the investment companies, as reported on Schedule 13G/A.
- (5) Stockholder s address is 131 S. Dearborn Street, 32nd Floor, Chicago, IL 60603. Ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, filed by stockholder with the SEC on August 19, 2008, and reflects shared beneficial ownership as of August 11, 2008 as reported on Schedule 13G.
- (6) Stockholder s address is 111 Huntingdon Avenue, Boston, MA 02199. Ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, filed by stockholder with the SEC on August 11, 2008, and reflects beneficial ownership as of August 8, 2008.
- (7) Stockholder s address is 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Ownership information is based solely on a Schedule 13G, filed by stockholder with the SEC on November 7, 2008, and reflects beneficial ownership as of October 30, 2008.
- (8) Voting and investment power is shared with spouse.
- (9) Mr. Chinnici is our former Chief Financial Officer and is listed as a Named Executive Officer in the table above in accordance with SEC requirements.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement with management, and, based on this review and discussion, has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated into Ciena s annual report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2008 by reference to this proxy statement.

Submitted by the members of the Compensation Committee:

Judith M. O Brien (Chairperson) Harvey B. Cash Gerald H. Taylor

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion and analysis provides a description of our compensation program, the components of our compensation, and the compensation-related decisions made with respect to our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and our three most highly compensated executive officers for fiscal 2008 as set forth below:

Gary B. Smith, President and CEO

James E. Moylan, Jr., Senior Vice President, Finance and CFO

Arthur D. Smith, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (COO)

Stephen B. Alexander, Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer

Michael G. Aquino, Senior Vice President, Global Field Operations

These employees, together with Joseph R. Chinnici, our former CFO who resigned as of December 31, 2007, are referred to in this proxy statement as our Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2008. We have included detailed compensation information relating to these individuals in the Executive Compensation Tables section below. As used in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the term Committee means the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.

Ciena Financial History and Compensation Background

During most of fiscal 2002 through 2005, Ciena focused on surviving and rebuilding its business in an industry that had experienced an almost unprecedented collapse. In fiscal 2001, our annual revenue reached \$1.6 billion, based largely on the success of a single product line. By fiscal 2003, our revenue had decreased to \$283.1 million, as the telecommunications industry suffered a severe downturn that affected nearly all of its participants, including equipment suppliers like Ciena. Consequently, our executive compensation program during this period was quite simple. To conserve cash, base salaries were largely held static, and we paid no bonuses to our executives or other employees from fiscal 2002 until the third quarter of fiscal 2006. To provide for retention of our executive team and to align their interests with our stockholders, the Committee relied heavily on stock option grants. Because of the market conditions described above, however, our stock price suffered significant declines during this period. Consequently, although the Committee believed that the members of the executive team were generally performing well, stock options granted during this period often declined in value following grant and continue to remain significantly out of the money, having little value as incentives for retention.

When the Committee considered executive compensation for fiscal 2008, Ciena s financial performance had improved considerably from the period of industry downturn described above. As a result of improved conditions in our market and the successful execution of our network specialist strategy, we achieved considerable growth in our business, including 15 straight quarters of sequential quarterly revenue growth through the end of fiscal 2007. We grew revenues 32.0% from fiscal 2005 to fiscal 2006 and 38.2% from fiscal 2006 to fiscal 2007. We built upon our return to profitability in fiscal 2006, when we achieved net income of \$0.6 million, or \$0.01 per share, and delivered net income of \$82.8 million, or \$0.87 per share, in fiscal 2007. We generated \$108.7 million in cash from operations during fiscal 2007 as compared to our use of \$79.4 million during fiscal 2006. During fiscal 2006 and 2007, our stock price significantly outperformed a number of major indexes, including the S&P 500 and The NASDAQ Telecom Index.

In establishing compensation for fiscal 2008, the Committee was influenced by our improved financial performance described above, as well as the Committee s historical compensation-related decisions. In particular, the Committee believed that it was essential to retain the services, and incent the continued successful performance, of certain key executives who have been responsible for our recovery and whom the Committee believes are critical to our continued growth and success. The discussion below describes the specific compensation decisions the Committee made relating to compensation of our Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2008, as well as the factors and considerations influencing such decisions.

Compensation-Setting Process for Fiscal 2008

Compensation Committee

The Committee has oversight of Ciena s compensation programs and has final authority to approve and make decisions with respect to the compensation of Ciena s executive officers. For a discussion regarding the Committee s compensation philosophy and principal objectives of our compensation programs, see Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors Compensation Committee above.

Peer Group

For purposes of determining the compensation of our executives, including the Named Executive Officers, the Committee, assisted by Compensia, Inc., an independent compensation consultant engaged by the Committee, identified a group of companies against which to compare our existing and proposed compensation levels for our executives. Once the peer group was determined, Compensia provided relevant peer group data and analysis comparing our executive compensation to comparable positions within the peer group. Compensia also advised the Committee on compensation structures and alternatives to implement the Committee s compensation recommendations and objectives.

The Committee generally reassesses its peer group on an annual basis and modifies the constituent companies as it believes necessary to reflect those companies it considers to be similar to, and competitive with, Ciena in the market for executive talent. In establishing the peer companies for use in determining fiscal 2008 compensation, the Committee started with a list of public companies with recent financial and market capitalization data within a range comparable to Ciena. The Committee focused initially upon companies with revenue over their last four quarters in the range of \$250 million to \$2.5 billion, and with current market capitalization ranging from \$1 billion to \$5 billion. The Committee also considered companies that included Ciena within their company s peer group for fiscal 2007. The Committee refined this list to focus principally on U.S.-based companies in industries related to communications networking equipment or fiber optic networks.

Upon reviewing the initial peer group analysis, the Committee expressed concern that the preliminary collection of potential peers for fiscal 2008 did not adequately reflect the market in which Ciena competed for executive talent. In light of Ciena s recent strong financial performance, as well as our experience in recruiting certain senior executives during fiscal 2007, including our CFO, Mr. Moylan, the Committee acknowledged that we were increasingly competing for executive talent with larger companies, both within and outside of our industry. The Committee also considered that Ciena had a market capitalization greater than nearly all of the proposed companies. This composition of smaller peer companies was not support ve of our CEO s, and the Committee s, desire to attract executive talent with large company experience necessary to support Ciena s growth trajectory at that time. As such, the Committee, with the recommendation of Compensia and support of our human resources department, further refined the list of peer companies, expanding the companies under consideration beyond the initial parameters to include comparable, albeit somewhat larger, companies that provide communications equipment, as well as providers of communications services.

In assessing and finalizing its list of peer companies, the Committee gave significant weight to the turnaround in our performance, our strong financial results and stock price performance in recent periods, and the significant growth rate for certain measures of our performance. The Committee considered our relative ranking with regard to various measures of recent financial performance, including revenue growth, rate of net income and operating income growth, and stock price and market capitalization growth, in comparison to proposed peer companies. While our operations were somewhat smaller in terms of headcount and revenue when compared to some of the peer companies under consideration, we ranked at or near the top of the peer group in a number of growth indicators that measured

performance over one-year and three-year periods, including revenue growth, earnings per share growth, and net income and operating income improvement as a percentage of revenue.

A comparison, as of November 2007, of Ciena to certain Peer Group averages for measures considered by the Committee in establishing the Peer Group is set forth below.

	Operating					
	Revenue (\$)	Revenue Growth (%)	Income Change (%)	Net Income Change (%)	Market Capitalization (\$)	Headcount (#)
Peer Group Average	\$7.4 billion	35.2%	7.6%	6.9%	\$9.3 billion	18,243
Ciena (actual) Ciena Percentile of Peer	\$671.1 million	39.7%	71.5%	77.3%	\$4.0 billion	1,485
Group	28.9%	72.9%	100%	100%	65.5%	35.9%

In comparing revenue, the Committee considered each company s performance over their respective most recent four fiscal quarters, with revenue growth rate comparing this data to the preceding four quarters. Operating income and net income change are calculated as a percentage of revenue and are each based on most recent one-year performance.

The following companies constituted the Peer Group considered by the Committee for fiscal 2008:

3Com	F5 Networks	Motorola	Sun Microsystems
Avaya	Harris Stratex	NetGear	Time Warner
	Networks		Telecom
Brocade Communications	InterDigital	Sonus Networks	Xilinx
Systems			
Convergys	JDS Uniphase	Sprint Nextel	

The Committee utilized the information with respect to base salaries, target total cash compensation and target total compensation among comparable executive positions within the Peer Group as a comparative tool in establishing executive compensation for the Named Executive Officers. The Committee also considered how companies in the Peer Group allocated compensation among the various elements of their programs. In considering the Peer Group compensation data, the Committee recognized that executives in different companies can play significantly different roles, with different responsibilities and scope of work, even though they may hold similar titles or nominal positions. Moreover, from the available information about Peer Group compensation, there is no way to determine the respective qualitative factors that may influence compensation or their perceived importance to their companies business, strategy and objectives. Accordingly, the Committee looked to information about the Peer Group as one of a number of considerations in establishing executive compensation levels. The Committee used the Peer Group data only as a rough guide to provide general compensation information. In considering this information, the Committee did not

benchmark compensation or regard itself constrained to set total compensation, or any element thereof, for any particular executive, by the compensation levels of executives occupying similar positions at companies in the Peer Group.

For a discussion regarding Compensia, the scope of their engagement by the Committee and their involvement in our compensation-setting process, see Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors Compensation Committee above.

Role of Chief Executive Officer

As a rule, our executive officers, including our CEO, do not participate in the determination of their own compensation. Our CEO, Gary Smith, worked with the Chair of the Committee to develop proposed compensation packages for our other executive officers, including the other Named Executive Officers. As discussed in Elements of Compensation below, Mr. Smith provided recommendations regarding the amount of each component of each executive s compensation for fiscal 2008. Mr. Smith based his recommendations on his review and assessment of each executive s overall performance during the prior fiscal year as well as the Peer Group information, which was provided to Mr. Smith by the Chair of the Committee. Because Mr. Smith works most closely with and supervises our executive team, the Committee believes that he provides invaluable insight in evaluating their performance. Accordingly, Mr. Smith provides the Committee with his assessment of each individual s performance, their success in executing against corporate goals, their contribution during the fiscal year, and their importance and value to Ciena s future success. Mr. Smith also provides the Committee with additional information regarding the

24

effect of market forces, changes in strategy or priorities upon an individual s performance, and any other specific challenges faced or overcome by each person in their performance during the prior fiscal year. The Committee looks to Mr. Smith s input and recommendation as to compensation as one of a number of important components in its determination of executive compensation.

Hiring of Mr. Moylan and Determination of Chief Financial Officer Compensation

Upon the April 2007 announcement of the resignation of Mr. Chinnici, our former CFO, we retained an executive search firm and commenced a search for his replacement. The Chair of the Committee, the Chair of the Audit Committee and our CEO each played a significant role in the consideration of candidates and the recruitment and hiring of Mr. Moylan. In connection with Mr. Moylan s appointment as Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer on December 31, 2007, the Committee, as advised by Compensia and recommended by Mr. Smith, negotiated a compensation package with him on an arms length basis.

In determining compensation for Mr. Moylan, the Committee considered, among other things, the significant role and responsibilities associated with Mr. Moylan s position, his total compensation at his then-current employer and the extent to which Mr. Moylan would forfeit compensation by leaving his current employer. The Committee also considered the fact that Mr. Moylan would be required to relocate to join Ciena. The Committee and our CEO sought to negotiate a compensation package that was competitive and reflected the considerations above, as well as our existing compensation program and range of total compensation payable to the other members of our executive team. To ensure that Mr. Moylan s compensation was appropriate and fairly reflected the competitive market for executive talent, the Committee and our CEO considered total compensation from the Peer Group for individuals serving in the role of CFO. Again, the Committee looked to this Peer Group data as a rough guide as to compensation information and trends and was not constrained to set compensation at any specific or pre-determined level.

The Committee approved Mr. Smith s recommendation of an initial base salary of \$385,000, with eligibility for an annual target bonus of up to 75% of his base salary under Ciena s incentive bonus plan. Mr. Moylan also received a \$150,000 signing bonus, in part to compensate him for compensation forgone by departing his then current employer, and is eligible for reimbursement of certain relocation expenses, in an amount not to exceed \$200,000. Mr. Moylan further received a stock option grant, exercisable for 35,000 shares of Ciena common stock, and 35,000 restricted stock units (RSUs). In addition, unlike each of the other Named Executive Officers, each of Mr. Moylan s option and restricted stock unit grants cliff vests as to 25% of the shares subject to the award on the first anniversary of the date of grant. The use of a one-year cliff vesting for 25% of the total grant, rather than the vesting treatment provided to our other Named Executive Officers, is consistent with our general approach for newly-hired employees receiving equity grants.

Internal Equity Considerations

In making compensation decisions, the Committee seeks to promote teamwork among, and high morale within, our executive team, including the Named Executive Officers. While the Committee does not use any quantitative formula or multiple for comparing or establishing compensation for the executive team, it is mindful of internal pay equity considerations, and assesses the relationship of the compensation of each executive to other members of the executive team. The Committee also considers the relative portion of equity grants made to the executive team in a fiscal year to awards made to non-executive employees eligible for such awards.

Elements of Compensation

The executive compensation program for our executives, including the Named Executive Officers, includes three principal elements: base salary, cash incentive bonuses that are contingent upon satisfaction of corporate,

Table of Contents

departmental, or individual performance goals, and equity-based incentive compensation. Our executives, including the Named Executive Officers, are generally eligible for the same health and dental insurance, life and accidental death insurance, disability insurance, and other similar benefits as the rest of our salaried employees. As set forth in the Summary Compensation Table, we provide limited perquisites for our executive team, generally consisting of annual physical examinations, tax preparation services and financial planning services. As described

more fully in Change in Control Severance Agreements below, we maintain severance arrangements with our executives, including the Named Executive Officers, which entitle them to certain payments and benefits if their employment is terminated following a change in control of Ciena. We believe that each of these elements is important to furthering one or more of the objectives of our executive compensation program.

The information below describes the compensation decisions for fiscal 2008, and the rationale behind those decisions, for our Named Executive Officers, other than Mr. Moylan (which is described under Compensation-Setting Process for Fiscal 2008 Hiring of Mr. Moylan and Determination of Chief Financial Officer Compensation above) and Mr. Chinnici (as set forth under Separation Agreement with Mr. Chinnici below).

Base Salary

Base salaries provide a minimum, fixed level of cash compensation for our executive officers. In establishing base salaries for our executives, including the Named Executive Officers, we considered the base salaries for similar positions as reflected in the Peer Group. Although we view the base salaries paid by companies in the Peer Group as useful comparative information, we do not require that our executives base salaries bear any particular relationship to salaries of executives in similar positions in the Peer Group. In making recommendations and establishing base salaries for the executive officers, the Committee takes into account the responsibilities, skills and experience of the individual executive, the role he plays, the value of that role to Ciena, the executive s past performance at Ciena and the performance evaluation and compensation recommendations of our CEO (with respect to each executive other than himself). As described in more detail below, the Committee reviews the Peer Group information, and the recommendations of the CEO, but base salaries for the Named Executive Officers are set each year principally based on the discretion of the Committee.

In considering fiscal 2008 executive compensation, the Committee determined that base salaries and total cash compensation for Ciena s executive officers during fiscal 2007 were generally commensurate with the 50th percentile of base salaries in the Peer Group, with four executive officers below the 50th percentile, including our CEO and Arthur Smith, our COO. This partly reflected the fact that, as discussed above, the Committee had generally not increased the base salaries of our executive team since fiscal 2001, except to recognize promotions or significant increases in responsibility. Market survey data provided by Compensia and considered by the Committee for a general view as to compensation trends indicated that, during this period, salary increases in a range of 4% to 5% per year were consistent with historical executive compensation practices in the market. The relative position of Ciena s base salaries compared with the Peer Group also reflected the changes to the Peer Group for fiscal 2008 described above.

In establishing executive base salaries for fiscal 2008, the Committee was particularly influenced by retention-related concerns. These concerns reflected the relatively stagnant level of base salaries during the past few years and the Committee s experience in negotiating compensation for Mr. Moylan and other senior employees recruited during fiscal 2007. During that process, Mr. Smith and the Committee concluded that, to attract high caliber candidates with large company experience believed to be essential to Ciena s future success, it was necessary to offer base salaries generally somewhat above the range of compensation that Ciena had been paying its executive officers. The Committee reviewed Ciena s base salaries for each position relative to the Peer Group and considered the additional expense associated with proposed base salary increases. The Committee also took into consideration that, in fiscal 2005, Mr. Smith voluntarily offered to reduce his base salary from \$650,000 to \$500,000. Because Ciena s cash incentive bonuses are based on a percentage of base salary, the Committee also considered the effect of any base salary increase upon the target total cash compensation for each Named Executive Officer.

The base salary increases for each applicable Named Executive Officer for fiscal 2008 reflect the considerations above and are set forth in the Target Total Cash Compensation table below.

Annual Cash Incentive Bonuses

Overview. All full-time employees, excluding our sales team, participate in our annual cash incentive bonus plan, which pays out a target bonus upon the achievement of performance objectives established by the Committee. The bonus plan provides the Committee with flexibility to establish, for each employee, the performance objectives upon which bonus payments are contingent. These targets may relate to Ciena s financial performance or to the

achievement of individual, departmental, or team performance objectives. In recent years, the performance objectives established by the Committee for all eligible employees have focused upon quarterly, corporate financial achievements.

The Committee establishes target bonuses for all eligible employees, expressed as a percentage of base salary. For non-executive employees, these targets range from 7.5% to 35% of base salary. For executives, the targets range from 50% to 100% of base salary. As described more fully below, determination of target bonus percentages reflect, in part, the Committee s desire to provide a targeted delivered value in the form of cash compensation. The Committee reviews these target levels from time to time to assure that the potential bonus opportunities and total cash compensation levels are competitive. The Committee does not use any specific formula or quantitative measure to set target total cash compensation for any particular executive in comparison to the Peer Group, and generally looks to this information as a general guide that provides one measure of compensation trends and data points. The Committee has previously made adjustments to executive bonus opportunities based upon a number of qualitative considerations, as it deems necessary, to reflect promotions, changes in the scope of an executive s role and responsibilities, and his or her value to our business and elements of our strategy.

Employees in our sales organization, including Mr. Aquino, our Senior Vice President, Global Field Operations, do not participate in this annual bonus plan. Instead, they are eligible to receive sales incentive compensation, the payment of which is conditioned upon the achievement of certain sales-oriented performance measures, such as revenue, customer orders, or gross margin. As they relate to Mr. Aquino, the annual performance objectives for his sales incentive compensation are based upon the financial targets contained in our annual operating plan which is approved by the Board, as discussed in more detail below.

In assessing executive compensation, the Committee considers the potential bonus payments to each Named Executive Officer, at the target level, together with his base salary, in determining the target total cash compensation payable to each executive. The Committee determined that for its executive officers, target total cash compensation was commensurate with the 50th percentile of the Peer Group, with significant variance by executive, ranging from below the 50th percentile to above the 75th percentile of total cash compensation for equivalent positions in the Peer Group. A review of target total cash compensation for fiscal 2007 versus the Peer Group indicated that two Named Executive Officers, Gary Smith and Arthur Smith, were below the 50th percentile of the Peer Group. Based on this information, the Committee determined that its increases to base salary for fiscal 2008 sufficiently increased total target cash compensation for each member of the executive team at or above the 50th percentile. Accordingly, the Committee decided not to increase target bonus percentages for the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008.

Total Target Cash Compensation

		Fiscal 2007	7		Fiscal 2008	}
Name	Base Salary (\$)	Target Bonus (%)	Target Total Cash Comp. (\$)	Base Salary (\$)	Target Bonus (%)	Target Total Cash Comp. (\$)
Gary B. Smith	\$ 500,000	100%	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 650,000	100%	\$ 1,300,000
Arthur D. Smith	\$ 325,000	75%	\$ 568,750	\$ 350,000	75%	\$ 612,500
Stephen B. Alexander	\$ 375,000	75%	\$ 656,250	\$ 400,000	75%	\$ 700,000
Michael G. Aquino	\$ 300,000	100%	\$ 600,000	\$ 315,000	100%	\$ 630,000

The Committee conducted a comprehensive review of the incentive bonus plan during fiscal 2008, including the advantages and disadvantages of its current design, the continued use of corporate financial measures as performance thresholds, whether to tailor performance targets for functional areas and whether to base payments under the bonus plan on quarterly, semi-annual or annual performance metrics. The Committee determined not to make significant changes to the program, preferring to retain payment of bonuses on a quarterly basis in fiscal 2008. The Committee believed that retaining this structure was important in light of the potential for quarterly fluctuations in our revenue and operating results inherent in our business. The Committee sought to preserve the flexibility to set or adjust bonus goals if it became necessary because of the volatile marketplace in which Ciena operates. The

27

Committee concluded that attempting to set longer-term bonus goals risked the possibility of establishing goals that might prove either too difficult or too easy to achieve. As described below, the dilutive effect to fiscal 2008 earnings of our acquisition of World Wide Packets, and changes to our operating plan during fiscal 2008 that would have otherwise increased the performance targets, served to validate the Committee s decision to focus on quarterly measures for the near term.

Messrs. Gary Smith, Alexander and Arthur Smith. For fiscal 2008, the Committee determined to continue to pay bonuses based on the achievement of quarterly profit goals, as it believes those goals best measure Ciena s progress and provide eligible employees, including the Named Executive Officers, the best incentive to continue to leverage our operating model, stress profitable growth of our business and improve operating profit during the fiscal year. In addition, the Committee determined to use the same bonus targets for all eligible employees, including the Named Executive Officers, in order to promote teamwork and morale. Specifically, the Committee based the quarterly profit goals upon the achievement of the as-adjusted (non-GAAP) net profit before tax established in our fiscal 2008 operating plan. This performance objective gives effect to certain adjustments to our GAAP results, consistent with those reported in our quarterly earnings releases and such other adjustments, including the cost of the bonus payment, that the Committee considers equitable and necessary to reflect appropriate measures of operating performance and the goals of the bonus program. We prepare our operating plan prior to the beginning of each fiscal year and this plan forms the basis upon which we manage our business and establish budgets. The operating plan represents the executive team s best estimate at the time of our forecasted financial results for the year. In general, we believe that the overachievement or underachievement of any performance measure contained in the operating plan is equally likely.

The Committee sets the quarterly profit goals at its regular meeting at or near the beginning of each fiscal quarter, and the goals are communicated to the executive team. The quarterly performance goal for payment of the target incentive bonus for fiscal 2008 was \$42.8 million for the first quarter, consistent with the operating plan. When the Committee met to establish the bonus goal for the second quarter of fiscal 2008, it made two adjustments to the quarterly profit objectives in the operating plan. First, despite expected increases in operating expense to accelerate certain research and development projects, Ciena s then-current projection was that we would exceed the quarterly profit goals originally established in the operating plan for the remainder of the year. Accordingly, the Committee increased the quarterly bonus goals for the remainder of the year to reflect this revised expectation. Second, in March 2008, Ciena acquired World Wide Packets. The acquisition was expected to be dilutive to earnings for each of the remaining quarters of fiscal 2008. The Committee determined to reduce the bonus goals to reflect the expected reduction in net profit, which resulted in a net reduction in the bonus goals from the operating plan for the remainder of the year.

The Committee followed the same approach and considered the goals in the revised operating plan in establishing the bonus goals for the third and fourth quarters. Again, at the time it set the quarterly bonus goals for the second and third quarters, the Committee believed that it was equally likely that we would overachieve or underachieve the modified goals. The Committee decided to continue the same approach for the fourth quarter bonus even though, by the time it acted, it believed it unlikely that the goal would be achieved. See Effect of Significant Uncertainty in Global Financial Conditions on Fiscal 2009 Compensation below for more information regarding our results of operations for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. Accordingly, the profit goals for the remaining quarters of fiscal 2008 were as follows: \$40.9 million for the second quarter; \$42.4 million for the third quarter; and \$47.6 million for the fourth quarter.

As in the prior fiscal year, in structuring bonus payments the Committee provided for a range of payments, including a threshold, target and maximum amount. This avoids an all-or-nothing structure, with its obvious drawbacks, and encourages overachievement of the performance target. The percentage of the target bonus opportunity paid at each of the threshold, target and maximum levels under the bonus plan is set forth in the following table, with payments interpolated for performance results falling between the designated payment levels.

	Percentage of Performance Goal Achieved	Percentage of Applicable Target Bonus Paid
Threshold	70%	50%
Target	100%	100%
Maximum	120%	150%
	28	

During fiscal 2008, we exceeded the target performance goals in the first and second fiscal quarters, resulting in the payment of more than 100% of the target bonus. For the third quarter of fiscal 2008, 95% of the applicable target bonus was paid. No bonus was awarded for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. The quarterly bonus payments made to our Named Executive Officers participating in the annual bonus plan are set forth in Executive Compensation Tables Summary Compensation Table below.

Mr. Aquino. Mr. Aquino s annual target incentive opportunity for fiscal 2008 was 100% of his base salary, paid quarterly based upon his achievement of performance measures approved by the Committee. The Committee conditioned half of Mr. Aquino s sales incentive compensation upon the achievement of a specified value of total orders, expressed as a multiple of revenue in the operating plan greater than one. The other half of Mr. Aquino s sales incentive compensation of a specified amount of gross margin dollars recognized. Each of these performance measures was based on our operating plan for fiscal 2008, adjusted as described above. The order goals were intended to incentivize building a backlog of orders for Ciena s products and services to support continued revenue growth, and the gross margin goals were intended to incentivize increasing sales at prices and on terms that generated high total gross margins, enabling us to increase our operating margin and profitability.

In establishing the gross margin goals, the Committee targeted the achievement of certain gross margin dollar targets by multiplying the revenues projected in our operating plan by the gross margin percentages projected in our operating plan. The Committee based the goals upon gross margin dollars, rather than the achievement of a specific percentage, because it believed this measure reflected an appropriate balance of incentivizing improvements in gross margin, while still rewarding revenue growth. Mr. Aquino s sales incentive compensation became payable at the threshold level upon the achievement of 80% of the performance goals, with 100% of the bonus paid upon achievement of the goals. Payouts for performance in excess of the goals were subject to accelerator factors, with no cap on the amount payable if Mr. Aquino exceeded them. Mr. Aquino s quarterly sales incentive compensation payments are set forth in Executive Compensation Tables Summary Compensation Table below. No incentive compensation payment was

awarded for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008.

Equity-Based Compensation

As discussed above, in recent years, as well as during the period of industry downturn when we sought to conserve cash, we have relied heavily on equity-based compensation, making substantial awards to our executives. The Committee believes that equity-based incentive compensation performs an essential role in attracting, motivating and retaining executives, while providing them strong incentives to maximize stockholder value. Depending upon the terms of these awards, including their vesting triggers and timing, the Committee can use equity-based awards to focus upon, and reward the achievement of, both short-term and long-term objectives, as well as corporate, departmental, and individual performance. By rewarding holders when the market price of Ciena s common stock increases, equity awards align the interest of our executives with that of our stockholders. The Committee believes that equity awards provide a strong motivation for corporate performance and stockholder return.

Historically, our equity-based compensation consisted primarily of stock options. Like many companies, starting in fiscal 2006, we began to use and increasingly rely upon restricted stock awards as a preferred form of equity compensation for executive as well as eligible non-executive employees. For fiscal 2008, the Committee awarded our Named Executive Officers stock options, RSUs and performance-accelerated restricted stock units (PARS), which give the recipients the right to receive shares as the units vest, rather than making outright awards of stock subject to vesting conditions. The Committee uses the acceleration element of the PARS to motivate the executive team to achieve the financial performance targets of Ciena as a whole. Because the Committee considers overall corporate performance the ultimate objective, and believes that fostering teamwork is important, the Committee has generally preferred to use company-wide metrics for at least a portion of the equity-based compensation awarded to our executives.

Determination of Amount of Fiscal 2008 Awards

In making equity awards for fiscal 2008, the Committee established the value that it believed should be delivered to each of our senior executives, including the Named Executive Officers, in the form of equity compensation in order to accomplish the objectives set forth above. In so doing, the Committee and, for the other executive officers, our CEO, assisted by Compensia, considered:

the value of the equity awards made to executives at the companies in our Peer Group;

historical grant activity for each executive, the value of each executive s current holdings of unvested equity and the extent to which those holdings provide adequate retention incentives;

the percentage of the outstanding shares of Ciena represented by each of the executive s equity holdings; and

the other elements of compensation for the executive, primarily base salary and incentive bonus opportunity during the year.

For each of the senior executives other than the CEO, the Committee also considered Mr. Smith s assessment of the executive s overall performance and his compensation recommendation. The Committee considered the CEO s evaluation of the other senior executives and made its own similar evaluation for the CEO.

In determining whether its equity awards achieve the desired total delivered value, the Committee considers the full, grant date fair value for awards made in the relevant fiscal year. For purposes of these calculations, we value stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and value restricted stock awards at the market price of Ciena common stock at the time of grant. The Committee considers this a more useful measure of value than the share-based compensation expense incurred for accounting purposes set forth in the Summary Compensation Tables.

The Committee then compared the compensation and equity holdings of our executive officers with the compensation and equity holdings of their counterparts in the Peer Group. The Committee determined that, with equity compensation at or above the 75th percentile for the Peer Group, total compensation for the executive team was now aligned with the 75th percentile, except for two executives, including Gary Smith. In weighing this Peer Group information, the Committee observed that Ciena had outperformed the Peer Group in fiscal 2006 and 2007 on key financial metrics, including rate of revenue growth, net income and operating income improvement as a percentage of revenue, and stock price performance and market capitalization growth. In reviewing the projected value of unvested equity held by each Named Executive Officer, the Committee determined that these values would fall significantly over the next year as outstanding, in-the-money awards continued to vest. The Committee considered this an important measure for assessing the retention value of its outstanding awards. While recognizing that equity compensation levels have been relatively high in recent years, the Committee also noted that the potential stock ownership opportunity for our executives as a percentage of Ciena s outstanding stock were, on the whole, substantially lower than for the companies in the Peer Group, with the average for the executive team below the 25th percentile for the Peer Group. The Committee was also cognizant that Ciena provides its executives relatively modest perquisites and retirement benefits in contrast to many companies in the Peer Group.

Based on this analysis, the Committee decided that, in order to provide sufficient assurance of retaining key executives, it was necessary to make equity awards for fiscal 2008 having a value delivered at the high end of the range of awards made by the Peer Group, as measured by the total value delivered to each executive, as set forth in the

Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below. The size of the awards to particular executives in relation to their Peer Group counterparts varied considerably due to differences in the individual situations of the executives, the particular

nature of the functions they perform at Ciena, their perceived importance to Ciena s future, and the risk that they would leave Ciena if not appropriately rewarded and motivated. The delivered value for the equity awards had the effect of pushing target total compensation for each of the Named Executive Officers up to or above the 75th percentile of the Peer Group.

Allocation of Fiscal 2008 Awards

The Committee next considered the form, amount and allocation of the target delivered value between the forms of equity awards to be granted. As it had for fiscal 2007, the Committee determined that a mix of options and RSUs, combining the relative certainty offered by RSUs and the leverage offered by stock options, would best serve

30

the goals of the compensation program. For our Named Executive Officers, the allocation of awards generally consisted of 30% options, 50% PARS and 20% RSUs. The Committee s general practice has been to make tentative decisions on executive compensation at its regular meeting in December and to take formal action at a special meeting following the release of earnings for the fiscal year a few days later. On December 11, 2007, the Committee held its regular meeting and agreed in principle on a tentative compensation plan, with an established delivered value and preliminary allocations among stock and option awards, for each of the senior executives for fiscal 2008. By the time the Committee held its special meeting on December 18, 2007, Ciena s stock price had fallen over 20%, largely due to the financial guidance we announced to investors in connection with our fourth quarter earnings release. The Committee believed it necessary to take this stock price decline into account in determining the number of shares underlying the targeted delivered value. The Committee used this approach for equity awards granted to executive and non-executive employees alike.

The targeted delivered equity value and the allocation among forms of equity awards granted to our Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2008 is set forth below.

Target Delivered Equity Value

	Targeted Delivered	Stock	Restricted	Performance Accelerated Restricted
Name	Value (\$)	Options (#)	Stock Units (#)	Stock Units (#)
Gary B. Smith	\$ 4,000,000	69,000	23,000	57,000
Arthur D. Smith	\$ 2,500,000	43,000	14,000	36,000
Stephen B. Alexander	\$ 2,750,000	47,000	16,000	39,000
Michael G. Aquino	\$ 2,500,000	43,000	14,000	36,000

Stock Options. In making equity awards for fiscal 2008, the Committee took into account the incentive and retention effects of each form of award. It determined that, since stock options have value only if the price of the underlying shares increases, it was not necessary to condition vesting or acceleration of vesting on meeting additional specific performance criteria. Accordingly, the option awards were structured to vest in equal monthly installments over a four-year period following the date of grant with an exercise price of \$35.21, reflecting the closing price on December 18, 2007, the date of grant.

Restricted Stock Units and Performance-Accelerated RSUs. Although RSUs by their nature serve to align the interests of the holders with that of stockholders, the Committee sought to amplify the near-term incentive effect of the RSUs by conditioning acceleration of their vesting to the achievement of specific performance objectives. The Committee allocated the largest percentage of targeted delivered value to PARS because of its belief that they provide an effective combination of incentives to achieve the performance targets with incentives for retention even if those targets are not achieved.

Pursuant to their terms, the PARS vest in full four years after the date of grant (assuming that the executive is still employed by Ciena at that time), and, thus, provide a retention element. However, at the beginning of each of the first three fiscal years following the date of grant, the Committee establishes performance targets which, if satisfied, provide for the acceleration of vesting of one-third of the award. For fiscal 2008, the Committee established the performance target as the achievement of our projected annual net profit before tax on an as-adjusted basis as set forth

in the annual operating plan approved by the Board. The Committee subsequently adjusted this target to \$170.2 million, in order to give effect to the adjustments to the operating plan described above. The Committee believes that using annual operating income focuses the executives attention on the achievement of our most significant financial goals for the year. This performance measure was used to determine whether or not to accelerate vesting for the second, one-third portion of the PARS awarded for fiscal 2007 and the initial, one-third portion of the PARS awarded for fiscal 2008. Principally as a result of the significant decline in our results of operations in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, however, the annual performance goal was not achieved and the PARS did not accelerate.

In addition to the PARS, as set forth in the table above, a portion of the targeted delivered value was allocated to the award of RSUs. Pursuant to their terms, the RSUs vest over a four-year term, with one-sixteenth of the grant amount vesting four times each year.

Mix of Compensation

In determining the compensation mix among the elements above, the Committee does not assign specific ratios or other relative measures that dictate the total compensation mix to be awarded or targeted to the executive team, or the portion that is either at risk or otherwise subject to performance. The Committee allocates compensation to reflect the various goals and objectives of our compensation program and as well as our corporate strategy and operational and financial objectives.

Equity Grant Practices

In recent years, we have sought to provide a consistent approach in our equity grant practices by granting equity awards to our executives and directors at or around the same time each year. Equity awards to our executives, including the Named Executive Officers, are made by the Committee, and the date of these awards is the same day that the Committee meets to approve the awards. Stock option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of Ciena s common stock as reported on the date of the grant. For its regular annual consideration of equity awards to the Named Executive Officers, the Committee generally endeavors to meet and approve equity grants to the executive officers promptly following the release of earnings for the fourth quarter and fiscal year. This practice was begun in early fiscal 2007 and continued for grants made in fiscal 2008 with executive and non-executive grants approved on December 18, 2007.

Change in Control Severance Agreements

We have entered into change of control severance agreements with certain of our executives, including each of the Named Executive Officers, which provide severance benefits if the executive s employment is terminated by us or any successor entity without cause, or the executive resigns for good reason, within one year following a change in control of Ciena. These agreements also provide that upon a change in control of Ciena, any unvested performance-based equity awards will be converted into awards with service-based vesting conditions, the effect of which would be to cause certain unvested awards to become immediately exercisable upon a change in control.

We have entered into severance agreements upon the initial employment of senior employees, upon promotion of current employees to senior executive rank and when the Compensation Committee determines it to be important for the retention of other key employees. We believe that these severance arrangements are necessary to attract qualified executives, who may otherwise be deterred from taking a position with us by the possibility of being dismissed following a change of control, particularly given the significant level of acquisition activity in our industry and the recent performance of our stock price. Except as described above, the executives receive no benefits under the agreements unless their employment is terminated without cause, or by the executive for good reason, within 12 months following the transaction. We believe this so-called double trigger structure strikes an appropriate balance between the potential compensation payable to executives and the corporate objectives described above. We also believe that, were Ciena to engage in discussions or negotiations relating to a corporate transaction that our Board deems in the interest of stockholders, these agreements would serve as an important tool in ensuring that our executive team remained focused on the consummation of the transaction, without significant distraction or concern relating to personal circumstances such as continued employment.

Our CEO s severance agreement provides that, upon a termination covered by the agreement, we would make a lump sum payment to him of the greater of \$2 million, or the sum of his base salary and annual target incentive bonus. In

addition, all of his equity awards would vest in full. The severance agreements of the other Named Executive Officers provide that, under the same conditions, they would receive one year of salary continuation and target incentive bonus payments, and accelerated vesting of 50% of their unvested, outstanding equity awards. All Named Executives are also eligible for one year of continued participation in our group medical, dental, life and disability plans for up to twelve months. Details regarding the severance benefits payable, continuation of benefits

and acceleration of equity awards, as well as the estimated value of these benefits, are discussed in Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control below.

Effect of Significant Uncertainty in Global Financial Conditions on Fiscal 2009 Compensation

Through the first nine months of fiscal 2008, our financial performance continued to be strong. We achieved sequential quarterly revenue growth during this period and revenue was up 28.3% over the first nine months of fiscal 2007. Income from operations had increased from \$21.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2007 to \$52.3 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2008. During the second half of 2008, however, our business began to experience the effects of worsening macroeconomic conditions, further exacerbated by significant disruptions in the financial and credit markets globally. We initially experienced order delays, lengthening sales cycles and slowing deployments, principally among our largest service provider customers in North America and Europe. As economic conditions worsened globally, these effects on our business spread across our industry into other customer segments and geographies. Revenue was \$179.7 million for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, representing a 29.0% sequential decrease from the third quarter and a 16.9% decrease from our results in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007. We also suffered a \$30.5 million loss from operations during the fourth quarter of 2008. Significant uncertainty around current macroeconomic conditions persists, particularly the effect these conditions will have upon the capital spending of our largest customers.

We cannot be certain how long these conditions will continue and the magnitude of their effects on our business and results of operations. These conditions have negatively affected visibility of our business and results of operations into future periods and made structuring executive compensation for fiscal 2009 especially challenging. In making its compensation-related decisions for fiscal 2009, the Committee endeavored to take a balanced approach that reflects the economic and market realities described above, their effect on our business and stock price, and how these conditions affect Ciena s efforts to retain, compensate and motivate our executive team.

The recent uncertainty in economic conditions has caused us to more closely scrutinize our use of cash across the business, including in our executive compensation practices. By way of example, for fiscal 2009, executive base salaries have been largely held static. Our cash incentive bonus program for executive and non-executive employees alike has been restructured for fiscal 2009 to reduce expense and recognize the increased likelihood of quarterly fluctuations in our results of operations occasioned by the difficult environment.

During fiscal 2008, our stock price experienced significant volatility, ranging from a high of \$48.82 to a low of \$6.60. Our closing stock price at fiscal year end was \$9.61 per share. All outstanding options held by our executive officers were out of the money when the Committee approved fiscal 2009 compensation. As a result of this decline, the value of unvested restricted stock units held by our executive team has decreased considerably. The Committee considers the value of unvested awards an important factor in assessing the retention element of compensation for each executive. Our lower stock price, and the resulting need to award larger equity grants to achieve comparable targeted value for fiscal 2009, increase the risk of share depletion under our 2008 Plan. In structuring equity awards for fiscal 2009, the Committee was also mindful of the difficulty of establishing performance-based measures in uncertain times like these and that poorly structured awards could inadvertently incent imprudent long-term decision-making. The Committee factored these considerations into its annual equity grants to executive officers for fiscal 2009.

2008 Plan Omnibus Incentive Plan

In fiscal 2008, our Board of Directors adopted and Ciena stockholders approved the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2008 Plan). The 2008 Plan includes a number of features not present in our previous 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (2000 Plan), including a requirement that options be granted at 100% of fair market value, minimum vesting periods, restrictions on acceleration, and restrictions on share recycling of shares forfeited to satisfy tax withholding or

tendered in payment of an exercise price. The 2008 Plan also provides that full-value awards, such as RSUs, count against the number of shares available under the plan as 1.6 shares for every share granted. Upon the effectiveness of the 2008 Plan, we agreed not to make further awards under legacy or acquired equity incentive plans. While shares remained available under the 2000 Plan, which included a generous evergreen

feature, the Committee believed that the 2008 Plan represented Ciena s commitment to effective management of equity compensation and that its adoption was in the interest of stockholders.

The 2008 Plan structure, combined with the steep decline in our stock price, pose significant challenges in structuring and granting awards representing shares sufficient to deliver the targeted value deemed necessary by the Committee to fulfill our compensation objectives. In the event of a sustained decline in our stock price, these constraints, and the number of shares remaining under the 2008 Plan, may limit the effectiveness of our equity compensation program. While the Committee was mindful of share depletion considerations in awarding equity compensation for fiscal 2009, it anticipates monitoring this issue during fiscal 2009 and may consider alternatives that ensure we maintain an effective equity compensation program.

Separation Agreement with Mr. Chinnici

As previously disclosed, in April 2007, Mr. Chinnici informed Ciena of his intent to resign his position on or before December 31, 2007. In connection with the notification of his intent to resign, we entered into a separation agreement with Mr. Chinnici. This agreement, based on arms-length negotiations at the time, provided for certain post-employment compensation benefits in exchange for Mr. Chinnici s agreement to assist in the transition of his responsibilities to a successor. The terms of this agreement are described in Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below. In view of the critical role played by Mr. Chinnici as CFO in overseeing our financial reporting, internal controls over financial reporting and other projects in process, the Committee believed that such arrangement was prudent in order to facilitate a smooth transition of responsibilities to a successor and to minimize disruption to Ciena s business.

Income Tax Considerations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits to \$1 million the deductions we can take in determining our federal income tax for compensation paid to our CEO, and, pursuant to recent IRS guidance, the three other most highly compensated executive officers of Ciena. There is an exception to this limitation for compensation that is performance-based as defined in the Code and applicable regulations. We generally seek to maximize the deductibility of executive compensation and our adoption of the 2008 Plan facilitates our ability to qualify compensation as performance-based in compliance with the Code. However, because of our large net operating losses, it is unlikely that we will be required to pay federal income taxes for years, and therefore meeting the requirements of Section 162(m) is not of as significant concern as it might otherwise be. Accordingly, when we believe it to be in the best interests of stockholders, we may enter into compensation arrangements that do not meet the deductibility requirements of Section 162(m).



EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES

The following tabular information and accompanying narratives and footnotes provide compensation-related information for our CEO, each person who served as our CFO during fiscal 2008 and our other three highest-paid executive officers as of the end of fiscal 2008. This information includes all compensation awarded to or earned by each person for the fiscal years indicated below. Pursuant to SEC requirements, the tables below include compensation information for Joseph R. Chinnici, our former CFO, who resigned effective December 31, 2007. The individuals included in the compensation tables below are collectively referred to as the Named Executive Officers or NEOs.

Summary Compensation Table

The summary compensation table below presents total compensation in accordance with SEC requirements. This amount is not the actual compensation received by our NEOs during the years reported. Total compensation includes the dollar amounts set forth in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns, which reflect the compensation expense that we recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for share-based compensation during these years. By way of example, for fiscal 2008, these amounts reflect expense related to equity awards granted to our NEOs in fiscal 2008, as well as grants made in prior fiscal years, to the extent such awards remained unvested in whole or in part at the beginning of fiscal 2008. The compensation expense we record for financial statement reporting purposes will likely vary from the amounts actually realized by any NEO based on a number of factors, including the number of shares that ultimately vest, the timing of any exercise or sale of shares, and the price of our stock. The actual value realized by our NEOs from the vesting of stock awards and exercise of options during fiscal 2008 is presented in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table below. Details about the equity awards granted to our NEOs during fiscal 2008 can be found in the Grant of Plan-Based Awards table below.

We have also voluntarily provided supplemental tables in the footnotes to the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns of the Summary Compensation Table which are intended to demonstrate for investors the difference between the FAS 123(R) expense we incurred during fiscal 2008 related to the vesting of stock awards and options awards, and the value of stock unit awards and the intrinsic value of option awards, in each case, upon vesting during fiscal 2008. These supplemental tables should not be considered a replacement for the FAS 123(R) values required to be reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

Summary Compensation Table

						Non-Equity Incentive		
ame and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(3)	Plan Compensation (\$)(4)	All Other Compensation (\$)(5)	Total (\$)
ary B. Smith resident and Chief Executive fficer	2008 2007	\$ 626,923 \$ 509,616		\$ 1,776,171 \$ 1,514,340	\$ 845,063 \$ 539,567	\$ 568,750 \$ 643,750		\$ 3,836,550 \$ 3,224,866
mes E. Moylan, Jr. mior Vice President Finance d Chief Financial Officer	2008	\$ 347,981	\$150,000	\$ 268,708	\$ 134,071	\$ 151,594	\$ 42,409	\$ 1,094,763

seph R. Chinnici	2008	\$ 55,192	\$ 119,534	\$ 52,611		\$ 651,618	\$ 878,955
ormer Senior Vice President nance and Chief Financial	2007	\$ 356,731	\$ 739,477	\$ 296,649	\$ 337,970	\$ 15,254	\$ 1,746,08
ficer		· · 	*			+	
ephen B. Alexander	2008	\$ 396,154	\$ 1,250,914	\$ 406,123	\$ 262,500	\$ 9,206	\$ 2,324,897
nior Vice President, Chief	2007	\$ 382,020	\$ 1,026,337	\$ 206,758	\$ 362,109	\$ 4,759	\$ 1,981,983
chnical Officer							
ichael G. Aquino	2008	\$ 312,692	\$ 991,944	\$ 450,467	\$ 200,304	\$ 16,053	\$ 1,971,460
nior Vice President,	2007	\$ 305,770	\$ 695,520	\$ 285,840	\$ 356,982	\$ 6,230	\$ 1,650,342
lobal Field Operations							
rthur D. Smith	2008	\$ 346,154	\$ 1,100,251	\$ 387,759	\$ 229,687	\$ 15,895	\$ 2,079,740
nior Vice President, Chief perating Officer	2007	\$ 331,250	\$ 899,507	\$ 207,063	\$ 313,828	\$ 15,360	\$ 1,767,008
			35				
			55				

- (1) Reflects the payment of a signing bonus to Mr. Moylan upon commencement of his employment in December 2007.
- (2) Reflects the compensation expense we recognized each fiscal year for financial statement reporting purposes for stock awards pursuant to FAS 123(R). Pursuant to SEC requirements, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions.

The following supplemental table to the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table sets forth the FAS 123(R) expense we incurred for financial reporting purposes during fiscal 2008 based on the grant date fair value of the stock award, indicating the expense that related to awards granted during fiscal 2008 and prior fiscal years.

FAS 123(R) Expense Incurred for Stock Awards During Fiscal 2008 (Supplemental Table)

	Fiscal 2008 Grants (\$)			Prior Year Grants (\$)		
Gary B. Smith	\$	933,704	\$	842,467		
James E. Moylan, Jr.	\$	268,708				
Stephen B. Alexander	\$	640,870	\$	610,044		
Michael G. Aquino	\$	585,667	\$	406,277		
Arthur D. Smith	\$	585,667	\$	514,584		

The amounts reported above represent the expense associated with RSUs and PARS, as applicable, granted during fiscal 2008, as well as RSU awards granted from fiscal years 2004 through 2007, that continued to vest during fiscal 2008. The amounts reported above include expense of \$540,347, \$402,646, \$312,215 and \$349,016, for Messrs. Gary Smith, Alexander, Aquino and Arthur Smith, respectively, associated with the performance-based acceleration of vesting of PARS, granted in fiscal 2008 and fiscal 2007, that was deemed probable during fiscal 2008, but ultimately did not vest because applicable financial performance criteria were not satisfied. These shares remain subject to vesting pursuant to their terms.

The following supplemental table to the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table sets forth the value of stock awards that vested during fiscal 2008, indicating the value that related to stock awards granted during fiscal 2008 and prior fiscal years. The value of stock awards vesting during fiscal 2008 was determined by multiplying the aggregate number of shares vesting by the price per share of our common stock on The NASDAQ Stock Market on the vesting date. For information relating to the market value of unvested stock awards at fiscal year end, see Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End table below.

Vesting Date Value for Stock Awards Vesting During Fiscal 2008 (Supplemental Table)

Fiscal 2008	Prior Year
Grants	Grants
(\$)	(\$)

Gary B. Smith	\$ 95,906	\$ 1,752,843
James E. Moylan, Jr.		
Stephen B. Alexander	\$ 66,712	\$ 1,147,243
Michael G. Aquino	\$ 58,373	\$ 744,686
Arthur D. Smith	\$ 58,373	\$ 1,026,832

For Mr. Chinnici, the amount reported in the Summary Compensation Table reflects \$90,423 in additional share-based compensation expense associated with his separation agreement with us, which provided for the acceleration of vesting of 50% of his unvested stock awards on the date of his resignation, or December 31, 2007.

(3) Reflects the compensation expense we recognized each fiscal year for financial statement reporting purposes for stock option grants pursuant to FAS 123(R). Pursuant to SEC requirements, the amounts shown exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. We calculate compensation expense related to stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For additional information regarding the relevant assumptions made in calculating compensation expense, see the FAS 123(R) Expense Assumptions Table below.

The following supplemental table to the Option Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table sets forth the FAS 123(R) expense we incurred for financial reporting purposes during fiscal 2008 based on the grant date fair value of the award, indicating the expense that related to awards granted during fiscal 2008 and prior fiscal years.

FAS 123(R) Expense Incurred for Stock Options During Fiscal 2008 (Supplemental Table)

	scal 2008 Grants (\$)	Prior Year Grants (\$)	
Gary B. Smith	\$ 273,849	\$	571,214
James E. Moylan, Jr.	\$ 134,071		
Stephen B. Alexander	\$ 185,535	\$	219,587
Michael G. Aquino	\$ 170,660	\$	279,808
Arthur D. Smith	\$ 170,660	\$	217,099

The following supplemental table to the Option Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table sets forth the intrinsic value on the vesting date for option awards that vested during fiscal 2008, indicating the value related to option awards granted during fiscal 2008 and prior fiscal years. The intrinsic value was determined by multiplying the aggregate number of shares vesting during fiscal 2008 by the difference between the exercise price of such option and the closing price per share of our common stock on The NASDAQ Stock Market on the vesting date. This value reported in the table does not represent the proceeds realized upon option exercises during fiscal 2008, which is set forth in Option Exercises and Stock Vested below. As set forth in Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End below, all options held by our NEOs at fiscal year end were out of the money and had no intrinsic value.

Intrinsic Value on Vesting Date for Option Awards Vesting During Fiscal 2008 (Supplemental Table)

	Fiscal 2008 Grants (\$)	Prior Year Grants (\$)
Gary B. Smith James E. Moylan, Jr.		\$ 326,902
Stephen B. Alexander Michael G. Aquino Arthur D. Smith		\$ 121,630 \$ 67,944 \$ 136,169

For Mr. Chinnici, the amount reported solely reflects expense associated with his separation agreement with us which provided for the acceleration of vesting of 50% of his unvested stock options on the date of his resignation, or December 31, 2007.

(4) Reflects the aggregate quarterly incentive awards earned during fiscal 2008 under our incentive bonus plan. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Cash incentive bonuses above for information regarding our incentive bonus plan and a discussion regarding the determination of quarterly corporate financial goals during fiscal 2008. Quarterly payments to each NEO during fiscal 2008 were as follows.

	Incentive Bonus Plan Compensation for Fiscal					
Name	First Quarter	200 Second Quarter	o Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter		
Gary B. Smith James E. Moylan, Jr. Stephen B. Alexander Arthur D. Smith	\$ 227,500 \$ 105,000 \$ 91,875	\$ 186,875 \$ 83,016 \$ 86,250 \$ 75,469	 \$ 154,375 \$ 68,578 \$ 71,250 \$ 62,344 			

For Mr. Aquino, the amount reported represents aggregate sales incentive compensation earned during fiscal 2008 as a result of his achievement of certain sales performance measures described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Cash incentive bonuses above. Quarterly payments during fiscal 2008 were as follows:

	Sales Incentive Compensation for Fiscal 2008				
Name	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	
Michael G. Aquino	\$ 77,486	\$ 80,595	\$ 42,223		

- (5) All other compensation includes the following for each Named Executive Officer (as applicable) during fiscal 2008:
 - (a) For Messrs. Alexander, Aquino and Arthur Smith, costs associated with annual physical examination based on the amount paid for such service.
 - (b) For Messrs. Gary Smith, Aquino and Arthur Smith, costs associated with financial planning and tax preparation services. Historically, our Named Executive Officers have only been eligible to use the services of a specified accounting firm engaged by Ciena. Beginning in fiscal 2008, our Named Executive Officers were also eligible to use their own provider, subject to reimbursement costs not to exceed \$10,000.
 - (c) For each Named Executive Officer, 401(k) plan matching contributions paid by us and generally available to all full-time U.S. employees.
 - (d) Relocation costs for Mr. Moylan based on the actual amount reimbursed.
 - (e) Gross up for tax purposes to Named Executive Officers identified above that used financial planning and tax preparation services above, and \$11,684 related to the taxable portion of a relocation cost reimbursement for Mr. Moylan.
 - (f) Patent incentive program payment to Mr. Alexander.

All Other Compensation for Mr. Chinnici also includes \$646,154 in salary and bonus severance payments and payment for accrued vacation, as well as the cost of continuation of medical and dental benefits coverage. See Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control Separation Agreement with Mr. Chinnici below.

FAS 123(R) Expense Assumptions Table

The following table sets forth the FAS 123(R) assumptions used in the calculation of compensation expense for awards issued to our Named Executive Officers in the periods below.

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Expected volatility	63.1%	58.3% - 65.8%	61.5%	55.8%	53.0%
Risk-free interest rate	3.50%	3.65% - 4.26%	4.32% - 5.14%	5.03%	3.58%
Expected term (years)	4.5	3.9 - 4.5	6.0	6.0	5.1 - 5.3
Expected dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		38			

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth, on a grant-by-grant basis, information regarding equity and non-equity awards made to each of the NEOs, other than Mr. Chinnici, during fiscal 2008.

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards. Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards for fiscal 2008 represent the estimated range of annual payouts possible under our cash incentive bonus plan for fiscal 2008, assuming threshold, target and maximum payments possible upon satisfaction of applicable performance measures in each of our four fiscal quarters. For Mr. Aquino, the table includes the estimated range of potential annual sales incentive compensation payable during fiscal 2008, assuming threshold, target and maximum payments possible upon satisfaction of applicable performance measures in each of our four fiscal quarters. For a description of these awards, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Cash incentive bonuses above. The actual amounts earned by the NEOs during fiscal 2008 are set forth in the 2008 Non-Equity Incentive Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above. There are no additional or future payouts to the NEOs pursuant to the non-equity incentive plan awards granted for fiscal 2008.

Equity Awards. All equity awards during fiscal 2008 were granted under our 2000 Plan. Our stockholders approved our 2008 Plan in March 2008 and, since such approval, equity awards to our executive and non-executive employees have been and will continue to be made under that plan going forward.

The 2008 Plan has a ten-year term. The 2008 Plan reserves eight million shares of common stock for issuance, subject to increase from time to time by the number of shares: (i) subject to outstanding awards granted under our prior equity compensation plans that terminate without delivery of any stock (to the extent such shares would have been available for issuance under such prior plan), and (ii) subject to awards assumed or substituted in connection with the acquisition of another company.

The 2008 Plan authorizes the issuance of awards including stock options, restricted stock units (RSUs), restricted stock, unrestricted stock, stock appreciation rights (SARs) and other equity and/or cash performance incentive awards to our employees, directors, and consultants. Subject to certain restrictions, the Compensation Committee has broad discretion to establish the terms and conditions for awards under the 2008 Plan, including the number of shares, vesting conditions and the required service or performance criteria. Options and SARs have a maximum term of ten years and their exercise price may not be less than 100% of fair market value on the date of grant. Repricing of stock options and SARs is prohibited without stockholder approval. Each share subject to an award other than stock options or SARs will reduce the number of shares available for issuance under the 2008 Plan by 1.6 shares. Certain change in control transactions may cause awards granted under the 2008 Plan to vest, unless the awards are continued or substituted for in connection with the transaction.

For each equity award made to our NEOs during fiscal 2008, the date the award was approved by our Compensation Committee was the same as the grant date. During fiscal 2008, we made equity grants in the form of restricted stock units (RSUs), performance accelerated stock units (PARS) and stock options.

RSUs. Each RSU represents a contractual right to receive one share of our common stock. The RSU awards granted to the NEOs in fiscal 2008 vest over a four-year term, with one-sixteenth of the grant amount vesting four times each calendar year. Mr. Moylan s RSU award vests as to one quarter of the grant amount on the first anniversary of the date of grant and as to one-sixteenth of the grant amount four times each calendar year over the remaining three-year term.

PARS. Similar to RSUs, each of the PARS represents a contractual right to receive one share of our common stock. The PARS awards granted to the NEOs in fiscal 2008 vest in full on December 20, 2012, provided that the executive is still employed at that time. The vesting of all or a portion of the shares underlying the award, however, may be accelerated upon the achievement of performance goals established by the Compensation Committee on an annual basis. For fiscal 2008, up to one-third of the shares underlying the PARS awards issued in fiscal 2007 and fiscal 2008 were subject to acceleration upon the achievement of certain corporate financial performance targets described in

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Equity-based compensation above. Prior to December 20, 2008, the Compensation Committee determined that this performance goal had not been achieved and that there would be no acceleration of vesting.

Stock Options. Stock option awards granted to the NEOs in fiscal 2008 have a ten-year term and vest in equal monthly installments over a four-year term following the date of grant. Mr. Moylan s stock option award

39

Option

12/18/2007

has a ten-year term and vests as to one quarter of the grant amount on the first anniversary of the date of grant and in equal monthly installments over the remaining three-year term thereafter.

Calculation of Full Grant Date Fair Value. The amounts reported in the Full Grant Date Fair Value column reflect, for each equity award granted in fiscal 2008, the total compensation expense for financial reporting purposes that we expect to incur, as of the date of grant, over entire the vesting period of the award. By way of example, the amount reported for each PARS award in fiscal 2008 sets forth the aggregate compensation expense we expect to incur for that award over a period beginning in fiscal 2008 and ending in fiscal 2012. A portion of this amount is included in our Summary Compensation Table each year during the award s vesting. The Full Grant Date Fair Value will likely vary from the amount actually realized by any NEO based on a number of factors, including the number of shares that ultimately vest, the satisfaction or failure to meet any performance criteria for vesting or acceleration, the timing of any exercise or sale of shares, and the price of our stock. For stock options, we calculate grant date fair value using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For RSUs and PARS, we calculate grant date fair value by multiplying the number of shares granted by the closing price per share of our common stock on the grant date. For a discussion of the assumptions used to value awards reported, see the FAS 123(R) Expense Assumptions table included under the Summary Compensation Table above.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Type of Award	Grant Date		Possible Payo quity Incentiv Awards Target (\$)	ve Plan	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards hreshðl drýfa ximu (#) (#) (#)		All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Fi D
Option	12/18/2007						69,000	\$ 35.21	\$
RSU	12/18/2007					23,000			\$
PARS	12/18/2007					57,000			\$
Incentive Comp.		\$ 325,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 975,000					

\$

35,000 \$ 35.21

RSU	12/18/2007	35,000		\$
Incentive Comp.	\$ 108,281 \$ 216,563 \$ 324,844			
Option	12/18/2007		47,000 \$ 35.21	\$
RSU	12/18/2007	16,000		\$
PARS	12/18/2007			