

STERLING CHEMICALS INC

Form 10-Q

May 15, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 000-50132**

Sterling Chemicals, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or organization)*

76-0502785
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**333 Clay Street, Suite 3600
Houston, Texas 77002-4109**
(Address of principal executive offices)

(713) 650-3700
*(Registrant's telephone number,
including area code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No .

As of April 30, 2009, Sterling Chemicals, Inc. had 2,828,460 shares of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING THIS FORM 10-Q

Unless otherwise indicated, references to we, us, our and ours in this Form 10-Q refer collectively to Sterling Chemicals, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Readers should consider the following information as they review this Form 10-Q:

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements give our current expectations or forecasts of future events. All statements other than statements of historical fact are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, without limitation, any statement that may project, indicate or imply future results, events, performance or achievements, and may contain or be identified by the words expect, intend, plan, predict, anticipate, estimate, believe, should, could, may, might, will, will be, will continue, forecast, budget and similar expressions. Statements in this report that contain forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations. While our management considers these expectations and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently subject to significant business, economic, competitive, regulatory and other risks, contingencies and uncertainties, most of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control.

Other sections of this Form 10-Q and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, including, without limitation, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, or our Annual Report, include additional factors that could adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial performance. See Risk Factors contained in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q are made only as of the date of this Form 10-Q and are not guarantees of future performance. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, such expectations may prove to be incorrect. All written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements.

Document Summaries

Descriptions of documents and agreements contained in this Form 10-Q are provided in summary form only, and such summaries are qualified in their entirety by reference to the actual documents and agreements filed as exhibits to our Annual Report, other periodic reports we file with the SEC or this Form 10-Q.

Access to Filings

Access to our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, filed with or furnished to the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act, as well as reports filed electronically pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, may be obtained through our website (<http://www.sterlingchemicals.com>), at no cost, as soon as reasonably practicable after we have electronically filed such material with the SEC. The contents of our website (or the third-party websites accessible through the various hyperlinks) are not, and shall not be deemed to be, incorporated into this Form 10-Q.

**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.
INDEX**

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 4 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 22 |
| <u>Item 4T. Controls and Procedures</u> | 22 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 24 |
| <u>EX-3.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-10.3</u> | |
| <u>EX-15.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Sterling Chemicals, Inc.:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Sterling Chemicals, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company) as of March 31, 2009, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

GRANT THORNTON LLP

Houston, Texas

May 15, 2009

Table of Contents

**PART I.
FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1. Financial Statements

**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)**

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | \$ 31,377 | \$ 38,258 |
| Cost of goods sold | 25,809 | 33,885 |
| Gross profit | 5,568 | 4,373 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,883 | 2,418 |
| Interest and debt related expenses | 4,003 | 4,213 |
| Interest income | (384) | (1,326) |
| Other income | (1,145) | |
| Loss from continuing operations before income tax | (789) | (932) |
| Benefit for income taxes | (195) | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (594) | \$ (932) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax of \$869 and zero | 1,622 | (6,254) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,028 | \$ (7,186) |
| Preferred stock dividends | 4,147 | 4,271 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (3,119) | \$ (11,457) |
| Income (loss) per share of common stock attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$ (1.67) | \$ (1.84) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 0.57 | (2.21) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | \$ (1.10) | \$ (4.05) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic and diluted | 2,828,460 | 2,828,460 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 163,065 | \$ 156,126 |
| Accounts and other receivables, net of allowance of \$18 and \$18 | 17,777 | 23,163 |
| Inventories, net | 5,410 | 5,221 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,017 | 2,704 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | 146 | 166 |
| Total current assets | 188,415 | 187,380 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 68,151 | 67,811 |
| Other assets, net | 7,476 | 7,838 |
| Total assets | \$ 264,042 | \$ 263,029 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY IN ASSETS | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 10,094 | \$ 8,915 |
| Accrued liabilities | 21,844 | 20,008 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | 12,407 | 12,444 |
| Total current liabilities | 44,345 | 41,367 |
| Long-term debt | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Deferred credits and other liabilities | 59,111 | 59,103 |
| Long-term liabilities of discontinued operations | 32,297 | 35,394 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 4) | | |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 121,754 | 117,607 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value (shares authorized 20,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 2,828,460) | 28 | 28 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 119,689 | 123,740 |
| Accumulated deficit | (238,795) | (239,823) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (24,387) | (24,387) |
| Total stockholders' deficiency in assets | (143,465) | (140,442) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency in assets | \$ 264,042 | \$ 263,029 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Three months ended March | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2009 | 31, 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,028 | \$ (7,186) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Stock compensation expense | 96 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,245 | 2,635 |
| Interest amortization | 277 | 279 |
| Unearned income amortization | (3,637) | (2,125) |
| Gain on disposal of property, plant and equipment | (83) | |
| Other | | 10 |
| Change in assets/liabilities: | | |
| Accounts and other receivables | 5,406 | 72,321 |
| Inventories | (189) | 14,900 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 687 | 1,102 |
| Other assets | (119) | (164) |
| Accounts payable | 1,240 | (4,303) |
| Accrued liabilities | 1,799 | (4,905) |
| Other liabilities | 548 | (251) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 9,298 | 72,313 |
| Cash flows used in investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment | (2,442) | (2,037) |
| Net proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment | 83 | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,359) | (2,037) |
| Cash flows provided by financing activities: | | |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | | |
| Net increase in cash: | 6,939 | 70,276 |
| Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year | 156,126 | 100,183 |
| Cash and cash equivalents end of period | \$ 163,065 | \$ 170,459 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |

Edgar Filing: STERLING CHEMICALS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|----|------|----|-------|
| Interest paid | \$ | (70) | \$ | (128) |
| Interest income received | | 384 | | 1,326 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | | | | 404 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, and reflect all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) which, in our opinion, are considered necessary for the fair presentation of the results for the periods presented. The results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. These statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report.

Reclassifications and Revisions:

During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we determined we had incorrectly accounted for certain utility allocations at our Texas City facility, specifically accounting for the flow of various waters throughout our facility. In accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements*, we evaluated the materiality of the misstatement from qualitative and quantitative perspectives and concluded that although the misstatement was immaterial to all prior year financial statements, its correction in the current quarter would be material. Therefore, we are revising the condensed consolidated statement of operation and statement of cash flows for the three-month period ended March 31, 2008, and the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, to correct the utility allocation misstatement.

The following table summarizes the effects of the revision on the applicable periods:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 (Dollars in Thousands) | |
|--|---|------------|
| | Previously Reported | As Revised |
| Statement of Operations: | | |
| Revenues | 38,199 | 38,258 |
| Cost of goods sold | 33,799 | 33,885 |
| Gross profit | 4,400 | 4,373 |
| Loss from continuing operations before income tax | (905) | (932) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (905) | (932) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (6,224) | (6,254) |
| Net loss | (7,129) | (7,186) |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | (11,400) | (11,457) |
| Loss per share of common stock attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted: | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | (1.83) | (1.84) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (2.20) | (2.21) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share | (4.03) | (4.05) |
| Statement of Cash Flows: | | |
| Net loss | (7,129) | (7,186) |
| Change in accounts and other receivables | 72,264 | 72,321 |

As of December 31, 2008

| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------|
| | Previously Reported | As Revised |
| Balance Sheet: | | |
| Accounts and other receivables, net of allowance | 22,080 | 23,163 |
| Total current assets | 186,297 | 187,380 |
| Total assets | 261,946 | 263,029 |
| Accumulated deficit | (240,906) | (239,823) |
| Total stockholders' deficiency in assets | (141,525) | (140,442) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency in assets | 261,946 | 263,029 |
| In addition to the above, our valuation allowance as of December 31, 2008 decreases from \$52.5 million, as previously reported, to \$52.0. | | |

2. Discontinued Operations

On September 17, 2007, we entered into a long-term exclusive styrene supply agreement and a related railcar purchase and sale agreement with NOVA Chemicals Inc., or NOVA. Under this supply agreement, NOVA had the exclusive right to purchase 100% of our styrene production (subject to existing contractual commitments), the amount of styrene supplied in any particular period being at NOVA's option. In November 2007, this supply agreement, which was subsequently assigned by NOVA to INEOS NOVA, LLC, or INEOS NOVA, received clearance under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act. This clearance caused the supply agreement and the railcar agreement to become effective and triggered a \$60 million payment to us from INEOS NOVA. In accordance with the terms of the supply agreement, INEOS NOVA assumed substantially all of our contractual obligations for future styrene deliveries. After the supply agreement became effective, INEOS NOVA nominated zero pounds of styrene under the supply agreement for the balance of 2007 and, in response, we exercised our right to terminate the supply agreement and permanently shut down our styrene facility. Under the supply agreement, we are responsible for the closure costs of our styrene facility and are also restricted from reentering the styrene business until November 2012. The restricted period of time was initially eight years. However, effective April 1, 2008, INEOS NOVA unilaterally reduced the restricted period to five years.

We operated our styrene facility through early December 2007 as we completed our production of inventory and exhausted our raw materials and purchase requirements. We sold substantially all of our remaining inventory during the first quarter of 2008. The decommissioning process was completed by the end of 2008 and the associated costs incurred for 2007 and 2008 were \$0.7 million and \$18.9 million, respectively.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment and Disposal of Long Lived Assets, we have reported the operating results of this business as discontinued operations in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The carrying amounts of assets and liabilities related to discontinued operations as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were as follows:

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Assets of discontinued operations: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 146 | \$ 166 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations: | | |
| Accrued liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 12,407 | \$ 12,444 |
| Deferred credits and other liabilities ⁽¹⁾ | 32,297 | 35,394 |
| Total | \$ 44,704 | \$ 47,838 |

(1)

As of March 31, 2009, represents deferred income for the NOVA supply agreement that is being amortized over the contractual non-compete period of five years using the straight-line method. Accrued liabilities include the current portion of \$12.4 million and deferred credits and other liabilities include the long-term portion of the deferred income of \$32.3 million.

Table of Contents**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Revenue and pre-tax losses from discontinued operations for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008 are presented below:

| | Three months ended March | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Revenues | \$ 3,096 | \$ 14,597 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 2,491 | (6,254) |

3. Long-Term Debt

On March 29, 2007, we completed a private offering of \$150 million aggregate principal amount of unregistered 10¹/₄% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, or our Secured Notes, pursuant to a Purchase Agreement among us, Sterling Chemicals Energy, Inc., or Sterling Energy, one of our former wholly-owned subsidiaries, and Jefferies & Company, Inc. and CIBC World Markets Corp., as initial purchasers. In connection with that offering, we entered into an indenture, dated March 29, 2007, among us, Sterling Energy, as guarantor, and U. S. Bank National Association, as trustee and collateral agent. On May 6, 2008, Sterling Energy was merged with and into us. Upon consummation of the merger, Sterling Energy no longer had independent existence and, consequently, our Secured Notes are no longer guaranteed by Sterling Energy. Pursuant to a registration rights agreement among us, Sterling Energy and the initial purchasers, we agreed to exchange our unregistered Secured Notes for a new issue of substantially identical debt securities registered under the Securities Act, to cause the registration statement for the exchange offer to become effective by December 24, 2007, and to complete the exchange offer within 50 days of the effective date of the registration statement. On August 30, 2007, we made an initial filing of the exchange offer registration statement. However, the registration statement was not declared effective by December 24, 2007 and, as a result, the interest rate on our Secured Notes increased by 0.25% per annum on each of December 25, 2007, March 24, 2008 and June 22, 2008. The registration statement was declared effective on August 13, 2008, and the exchange offer was closed on September 19, 2008. As a result, the interest rate on our Secured Notes reverted back to the face amount of 10¹/₄% per annum when the exchange offer closed. The additional interest incurred from December 25, 2007 through the closing of the exchange offer was approximately \$0.5 million and was paid on April 1 and October 1, 2008.

Our indenture contains affirmative and negative covenants and customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency and reorganization. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, other than an event of default triggered upon certain bankruptcy events, the trustee under our indenture or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of our outstanding Secured Notes may declare our Secured Notes to be due and payable immediately. Upon an event of default, the trustee may also take actions to foreclose on the collateral securing our outstanding Secured Notes, subject to the terms of an intercreditor agreement dated March 29, 2007, among us, Sterling Energy, the trustee and The CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc. Our indenture does not require us to maintain any financial ratios or satisfy any financial maintenance tests. We are currently in compliance with all of the covenants contained in our indenture.

Interest is due on our outstanding Secured Notes on April 1 and October 1 of each year. Our outstanding Secured Notes, which mature on April 1, 2015, are senior secured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future senior indebtedness. Subject to specified permitted liens, our outstanding Secured Notes are secured (i) on a first priority basis, by all of our fixed assets and certain related assets, including, without limitation, all property, plant and equipment and (ii) on a second priority basis, by our other assets, including, without limitation, accounts receivable, inventory, capital stock of our domestic restricted subsidiaries, intellectual property, deposit accounts and investment property.

On December 19, 2002, we entered into a Revolving Credit Agreement, or our revolving credit facility, with The CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc., as administrative agent and a lender, and certain other lenders. Under our revolving credit facility, we and Sterling Energy were co-borrowers and were jointly and severally liable for any indebtedness

thereunder. After the merger of Sterling Energy with and into us, Sterling Energy ceased to be a co-borrower under our revolving credit facility. Our revolving credit facility is secured by first priority liens on all of our accounts receivable, inventory and other specified assets. On March 29, 2007, we amended and restated our revolving credit facility to, among other things, extend the term of our revolving credit facility until March 29, 2012, reduce the maximum commitment thereunder to \$50 million, make certain changes to the calculation of the borrowing base and lower the interest rates and fees charged thereunder. Borrowings under our revolving credit

Table of Contents**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

facility bear interest, at our option, at an annual rate of a base rate plus 0.0% to 0.50% or the LIBOR rate plus 1.50% to 2.25%, depending on our borrowing availability at the time. We are also required to pay an aggregate commitment fee of 0.375% per year (payable monthly) on any unused portion of our revolving credit facility. Available credit under our revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base calculation that is updated monthly and consists of 70% of eligible accounts receivable plus 65% of eligible inventory. In response to the expected continued lower levels of accounts receivable and inventory, as well as our lesser need for a working capital facility, we reduced our commitment under our revolving credit facility to \$25 million on June 30, 2008. On November 7, 2008, we further amended our revolving credit facility to substantially reduce restrictions, subject to minimum liquidity requirements, on investment of cash and other assets, payment of cash dividends, repurchase of debt and equity securities, modification of preferred stock terms, entry into affiliated transactions, disposition of assets and engagement in certain business activities. We paid the administrative agent under our revolving credit facility an amendment fee plus expenses totaling approximately \$0.1 million in connection with this amendment.

As of March 31, 2009, total credit available under our revolving credit facility was limited to \$10.1 million, there were no loans outstanding and we had \$3.9 million in letters of credit outstanding, resulting in borrowing availability of \$6.2 million. Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-22, Balance Sheet Classification of Borrowings under Revolving Credit Agreements That Include both a Subjective Acceleration Clause and a Lock-Box Arrangement, any balances outstanding under our revolving credit facility would be classified as a current portion of long-term debt.

Our revolving credit facility contains numerous covenants and conditions, including, but not limited to, restrictions on our ability to incur indebtedness, create liens, sell assets, make investments of cash and other assets, make capital expenditures, engage in mergers and acquisitions and pay cash dividends. Our revolving credit facility also includes various circumstances and conditions that would, upon their occurrence and subject in certain cases to notice and grace periods, create an event of default thereunder. Our revolving credit facility does not require us to maintain any financial ratios or satisfy any financial maintenance tests. We are currently in compliance with all of the covenants contained in our revolving credit facility.

4. Commitments and Contingencies*Product Contracts:*

We have long-term agreements which provide for the dedication of 100% of our production of acetic acid and plasticizers, each to one customer. See Note 7 for more information.

Environmental Regulations:

Our operations involve the handling, production, transportation, treatment and disposal of materials that are classified as hazardous or toxic and that are extensively regulated by environmental and health and safety laws, regulations and permit requirements. Environmental permits required for our operations are subject to periodic renewal and may be revoked or modified for cause or when new or revised environmental requirements are implemented. Changing and increasingly strict environmental requirements can affect the manufacturing, handling, processing, distribution and use of our chemical products and, if so affected, our business and operations may be materially and adversely affected. In addition, changes in environmental requirements may cause us to incur substantial costs in upgrading or redesigning our facilities and processes, including our waste treatment, storage, disposal and other waste handling practices and equipment.

A business risk inherent in chemical operations is the potential for personal injury and property damage claims from employees, contractors and their employees and nearby landowners and occupants. While we believe our business operations and facilities generally are operated in compliance with all applicable environmental and health and safety requirements in all material respects, we cannot be sure that past practices or future operations will not result in material claims or regulatory action, require material environmental expenditures or result in exposure or injury claims by employees, contractors or their employees or the public. Some risk of environmental costs and liabilities is inherent in our operations and products, as it is with other companies engaged in similar businesses.

In light of our historical expenditures and expected future results of operations and sources of liquidity, we believe we will have adequate resources to conduct our operations in compliance with applicable environmental, health and safety requirements. Nevertheless, we may be required to make significant site and operational

Table of Contents**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

modifications that are not currently contemplated in order to comply with changing facility permitting requirements and regulatory standards. Additionally, we have incurred, and may continue to incur, a liability for investigation and cleanup of waste or contamination at our own facilities or at facilities operated by third parties where we have disposed of waste. We continually review all estimates of potential environmental liabilities, but we may not have identified or fully assessed all potential liabilities arising out of our past or present operations or the amount necessary to investigate and remediate any conditions that may be significant to us. Based on information available at this time and reviews undertaken to identify potential exposure, we believe any amount reserved for environmental matters is adequate to cover our potential exposure for clean-up costs.

Air emissions from our manufacturing facility in Texas City, Texas, or our Texas City facility, are subject to certain permit requirements and self-implementing emission limitations and standards under state and federal laws. Our Texas City facility is subject to the federal government's June 1997 National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or NAAQS, which lowered the ozone and particulate matter concentration thresholds for attainment. Our Texas City facility is located in an area that the Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, has classified as not having achieved attainment under the NAAQS for ozone, either on a 1-hour or an 8-hour basis. Ozone is typically controlled by reduction of emissions of volatile organic compounds, or VOCs, and nitrogen oxide, or NOx. The Texas Commission for Environmental Quality, or TCEQ, has imposed strict requirements on regulated facilities, including our Texas City facility, to ensure that the air quality control region will achieve attainment under the NAAQS for ozone. Local authorities may also impose new ozone and particulate matter standards. Compliance with these stricter standards may substantially increase our future control costs for emissions of NOx, VOCs and particulate matter, the amount and full impact of which cannot be determined at this time.

In 2002, the TCEQ adopted a revised State Implementation Plan, or SIP, in order to achieve compliance with the 1-hour ozone standard under the Clean Air Act by 2007. The EPA approved this 1-hour SIP, which required an 80% reduction of NOx emissions, and extensive monitoring of emissions of highly reactive VOCs, or HRVOCs, such as ethylene, in the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria area, or the HGB area. We are in full compliance with these regulations. However, the HGB area failed to attain compliance with the 1-hour ozone standard, and Section 185 of the Clean Air Act requires implementation of a program of emissions-based fees until the standard is attained. These Section 185 fees will be assessed on all NOx and VOC emissions in 2008 and beyond in the HGB area which are in excess of 80% of the baseline year. The method for calculating baseline emissions, as well as other details of the program, has not yet been developed. At the present time, we do not expect to be assessed any fees for our emissions for 2008, primarily due to the reduction in emissions from our Texas City facility following the closure of our styrene facility.

In April 2004, the HGB area was designated a moderate non-attainment area with respect to the 8-hour ozone standard of the Clean Air Act. However, in response to a request from the Governor of Texas, the EPA has now reclassified the HGB area as a severe non-attainment area, effective October 31, 2008. As a result, the new mandated compliance date for attainment of the 8-hour ozone standard is June 15, 2019. A revised 8-hour SIP to address the HGB area's severe non-attainment designation will now have to be submitted to the EPA by April 10, 2010. The content of the revised 8-hour SIP is unknown at this time making it difficult to predict our final cost of compliance with these regulations. However, given the permanent shutdown of our phthalic anhydride and styrene facilities, we do not anticipate incurring any further cost of compliance in connection with the revised 8-hour SIP.

To reduce the risk of offsite consequences from unanticipated events, we acquired a greenbelt buffer zone adjacent to our Texas City facility in 1991. We also participate in a regional air monitoring network to monitor ambient air quality in the Texas City community.

Legal Proceedings:

On July 5, 2005, Patrick B. McCarthy, an employee of Kinder-Morgan, Inc., or Kinder-Morgan, was seriously injured at Kinder-Morgan's facilities near Cincinnati, Ohio, while attempting to offload a railcar containing one of our plasticizers products. On October 28, 2005, Mr. McCarthy and his family filed a suit in the Court of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio (Case No. A0509 144) against us and six other defendants. Since that time, five of the other defendants were dismissed from the case. The plaintiffs sought in excess of \$42 million in alleged compensatory and

punitive damages from the defendants in the aggregate. Closing arguments for this case occurred during the first week of May 2009 and, on May 7, 2009, the jury found that we had not been negligent in connection with the incident and rendered a take nothing verdict for the plaintiffs. At this time, it is impossible to determine whether the plaintiffs will appeal the verdict. We believe that all, or substantially all, of any

Table of Contents**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

liability imposed upon us as a result of this suit and our related out-of-pocket costs and expenses will be covered by our insurance policies, subject to a \$1 million deductible, which was met in January 2008. We do not believe that this incident will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, although we cannot guarantee that a material adverse effect will not occur.

On February 21, 2007, we received a summons naming us, several benefit plans and the plan administrators for those plans as defendants in a class action suit, Case No. H-07-0625 filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston Division. The plaintiffs are seeking to represent a proposed class of retired employees of Sterling Fibers, Inc., one of our former subsidiaries that we sold in connection with our emergence from bankruptcy in 2002. The plaintiffs are alleging that we were not permitted to increase their premiums for retiree medical insurance based on a provision contained in the asset purchase agreement between us and Cytec Industries Inc. and certain of its affiliates governing our purchase of our former acrylic fibers business in 1997. During our bankruptcy case, we specifically rejected this asset purchase agreement and the bankruptcy court approved that rejection. The plaintiffs are claiming that we violated the terms of the benefit plans and breached fiduciary duties governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act and are seeking damages, declaratory relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees. The parties expect to complete discovery in the next few months. The plaintiffs moved for partial summary judgment and for class certification related to their claims for denial of benefits under our retiree medical plans. The defendants filed a cross-motion for summary judgment on the denial of the benefits claim. The court certified the class of plaintiffs for the denial of benefits claim, but denied both motions for summary judgment and identified issues for trial. Trial for this matter is currently scheduled for September 2009. We are vigorously defending this action and are unable to state at this time if a loss is probable or remote and are unable to determine the possible range of loss related to this matter, if any.

On February 4, 2008, we filed a Petition for Declaratory Judgment in the 212th District Court of Galveston County, Texas (Case #08CV0108) against Marathon Petroleum Company LLC, or Marathon, in connection with a dispute between Marathon and us under a Purchase Agreement for FCC Off-Gas, or the Off-Gas Purchase Agreement. Under the Off-Gas Purchase Agreement, we purchase an amount of off-gas each month from Marathon within a stated range at Marathon's option. Following the closure of certain production units at our Texas City facility, our demand for off-gas has been below the low-end of the stated range. On July 31, 2007, and again on November 19, 2007, we invoked the contract's undue economic hardship clause and requested that Marathon enter into good faith negotiations to modify the terms of the Off-Gas Purchase Agreement. After Marathon disputed the applicability of the economic hardship provision and refused to renegotiate the terms of the Off-Gas Purchase Agreement, we filed a declaratory judgment action to enforce the terms of the economic hardship provision, and Marathon counter-claimed against us for breach of contract. Significant discovery has occurred in connection with this matter and, on February 3, 2009, the parties engaged in an unsuccessful mediation for this case. This matter is scheduled for trial in October 2009. At this time, it is not possible to determine what, if any, liability we will have under Marathon's counter-claim and we are vigorously pursuing our declaratory judgment filing and defending against Marathon's counter-claim. We do not believe that this matter will have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, although we cannot guarantee that a material adverse effect will not occur.

On March 4, 2008, Gulf Hydrogen and Energy, L.L.C., or Gulf Hydrogen, filed suit against us in the 212th District Court of Galveston County, Texas (Cause No. 08CV0220) to enforce the provisions of a Memorandum of Understanding entered into between us and Gulf Hydrogen involving the possible sale of our outstanding equity interests to Gulf Hydrogen for approximately \$390 million. The parties entered into a confidential settlement agreement in March 2009 and the lawsuit was dismissed with prejudice by all parties. This matter did not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are subject to various other claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe that any of these claims and actions, separately or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows, although we cannot guarantee that a material adverse effect will not occur.

As we believe the potential for an unfavorable outcome regarding one or more of the matters described above is probable, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, we have accrued a \$1.0 million litigation reserve during 2008.

As of December 31, 2008, we had a receivable of \$1.3 million due from our insurance carriers for reimbursement of legal costs that exceeded our insurance deductibles and are therefore reimbursable through our insurance carriers. For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we incurred \$1.3 million of legal costs. We received \$0.1

Table of Contents**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

million of payments in the first quarter of 2009 resulting in a balance of \$2.5 million as of March 31, 2009, \$0.2 million of which was paid in April 2009.

5. Income Taxes

During the first quarters of 2009 and 2008, we recorded a net tax benefit of \$0.2 million and zero, respectively, for income taxes from continuing operations. Due to interim reporting, our continuing operations effective tax rate is 24.76% for the period ending March 31, 2009 compared to an effective tax rate of zero for the period ending March 31, 2008. The allocation of the tax benefit to continuing operations reflects the effect of utilizing income in discontinued operations to recognize a portion of the benefit from losses generated in continuing operations. This resulted in no change to the valuation allowance of \$52.0 million. For year end, we expect to have a net effective tax rate of zero.

6. Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

Net periodic pension costs (benefits) consisted of the following components:

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Interest cost | \$ 1,828 | \$ 1,788 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,513) | (2,148) |
| Amortization | 864 | 2 |
| Net pension cost (benefit) | \$ 1,179 | \$ (358) |

Other postretirement costs (benefits) consisted of the following components:

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Service cost | \$ 11 | \$ 3 |
| Interest cost | 121 | 28 |
| Amortization of unrecognized costs | (541) | (106) |
| Net plan benefit | \$ (409) | \$ (75) |

7. Operating Segment and Sales Information

We report our operations through two segments: acetic acid and plasticizers. The critical accounting policies for these operating segments are the same as those disclosed in our Annual Report. We use gross profit for reporting the results of our operating segments and this measure includes all operating items related to the businesses. There are no sales between segments. The revenues and gross profit for each of our reportable operating segments are as follows:

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|-------------|---|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Revenues: | | |
| Acetic acid | \$ 23,838 | \$ 28,977 |

Edgar Filing: STERLING CHEMICALS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| Plasticizers | | 7,284 | 9,011 |
| Other | | 255 | 270 |
| Total | | \$ 31,377 | \$ 38,258 |
| Segment gross profit: | | | |
| Acetic acid | | \$ 4,574 | \$ 3,944 |
| Plasticizers | | 1,323 | 1,908 |
| Other ⁽¹⁾ | | (329) | (1,479) |
| Gross profit | | 5,568 | 4,373 |

12

Table of Contents

STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,883 | 2,418 |
| Interest and debt related expenses | 4,003 | 4,213 |
| Interest income | (384) | (1,326) |
| Other income | (1,145) | |
| Loss from continuing operations before income tax | \$ (789) | \$ (932) |
| Depreciation and amortization expenses: | | |
| Acetic acid | \$ 1,643 | \$ 1,512 |
| Plasticizers | 312 | 532 |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | 290 | 591 |
| Total | \$ 2,245 | \$ 2,635 |
| Capital expenditures: | | |
| Acetic acid | \$ 1,455 | \$ 800 |
| Plasticizers | | |
| Other plant infrastructure | 987 | 1,237 |
| Total | \$ 2,442 | \$ 2,037 |

(1) Gross loss for Other includes various unallocated corporate charges and credits.

(2) Includes depreciation and amortization expense of less than \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for discontinued operations for the three months

ended
March 31, 2009
and 2008,
respectively.

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Total assets: | | |
| Acetic acid | \$ 33,140 | \$ 40,707 |
| Plasticizers | 6,425 | 6,311 |
| Other ⁽³⁾ | 224,477 | 216,011 |
| Total | \$ 264,042 | \$ 263,029 |

(3) Components of
Other are
presented in the
table below:

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Other: | | |
| Corporate: | | |
| Cash | \$ 163,065 | \$ 156,126 |
| Other | 17,895 | 17,989 |
| Plant infrastructure: | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 43,371 | 41,730 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | 146 | 166 |
| Total | \$ 224,477 | \$ 216,011 |

Table of Contents**STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Sales to major customers constituting 10% or more of total revenues from continuing operations were as follows (there were no export sales):

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in Thousands) | |
| Major customers: | | |
| BP Chemicals | \$23,838 | \$28,977 |
| BASF Corporation | 7,284 | 9,011 |

8. New Accounting Standards*Adoption of Accounting Standards:*

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, or SFAS No. 141R. SFAS No. 141R broadens the guidance of SFAS No. 141, extending its applicability to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. SFAS No. 141R broadens the fair value measurement and recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and interests transferred as a result of business combinations, and expands on required disclosures to improve the statement users' abilities to evaluate the nature and financial effects of business combinations. We implemented SFAS No. 141R effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements; an Amendment of ARB No. 51*, or SFAS No. 160. SFAS No. 160 establishes the accounting and reporting standards for a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary and clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests and applies prospectively to business combinations for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We implemented SFAS No. 160 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, or SFAS No. 161. SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities, with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its related interpretations and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. We implemented SFAS No. 161 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, or SFAS No. 162. SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. We implemented SFAS No. 162 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 141(R)-1, *Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination that Arise from Contingencies*, or FSP No. 141(R)-1. FSP No. 141(R)-1 applies to all assets acquired and all liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies. FSP No. 141(R)-1 requires the acquirer to recognize such an asset or liability if the acquisition-date fair value of that asset or liability can be determined during the measurement period. If it cannot be determined during the measurement period, then the asset or liability should be recognized at the acquisition date if, consistent with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, information available before the end of the measurement period indicates that it

is probable that an asset existed or that a liability had been incurred at the acquisition date, and the amount of the asset or liability can be reasonably estimated. We implemented FSP No. 141(R)-1 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Future Adoption of Accounting Standards:

In December 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No.132(R)-1, Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, or FSP No. 132R-1. FSP No. 132R-1 requires enhanced disclosures about the plan assets of defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. The enhanced disclosures required by FSP No. 132R-1 are intended to provide users of financial statements with a greater understanding of (a) how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies, (b) the major categories of plan assets, (c) the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets, (d) the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period and (e) significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. FSP No. 132R-1 is effective for the year ending December 31, 2009. We do not believe the implementation of FSP No. 132R-1 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board No. 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, or FSP No. 107-1. FSP No. 107-1 requires the disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in the annual financial statements. FSP No. 107-1 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009 with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FSP No. 107-1 to have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 115-2 and SFAS No. 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, or FSP Nos. 115-2 and 124-2, which provide new guidance on the recognition of other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt securities and provide new presentation and disclosure requirements for other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt and equity securities. FSP Nos. 115-2 and 124-2 are effective for our quarter ending June 30, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FSP Nos. 115-2 and 124-2 to have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements (including the Notes thereto) included in Item 1, Part I of this report.

Business Overview

We are a North American producer of selected petrochemicals used to manufacture a wide array of consumer goods and industrial products. We currently operate in two segments: acetic acid and plasticizers. Each segment has a single customer.

Our acetic acid is used primarily to manufacture vinyl acetate monomer, which is used in a variety of products, including adhesives and surface coatings. Pursuant to our Acetic Acid Production Agreement that extends to 2031, all of our acetic acid production is sold to BP Amoco Chemicals Company, or BP Chemicals. We are BP Chemicals' sole source of acetic acid production in the Americas. BP Chemicals markets all of the acetic acid that we produce and pays us, among other amounts, a portion of the profits derived from its sales of our acetic acid. In addition, BP Chemicals reimburses us for 100% of our fixed and variable costs of production, other than specified indirect costs. We also jointly invest with BP Chemicals in capital expenditures related to our acetic acid facility in the same percentage as the profits from the business we receive from BP Chemicals.

We own and operate one of the lowest cost acetic acid facilities in the world. Our acetic acid facility utilizes BP Chemicals' proprietary Cativa carbonylation technology, which we believe offers several advantages over competing production methods, including lower energy requirements and lower fixed and variable costs. Acetic acid production has two major raw material requirements, methanol and carbon monoxide. BP Chemicals, a producer of methanol, supplies 100% of our methanol requirements related to our production of acetic acid. All of our requirements for carbon monoxide are supplied by Praxair Hydrogen Supply, Inc., or Praxair, from a partial oxidation unit constructed by Praxair on land leased from us at our site in Texas City, Texas, or our Texas City facility.

Although recent slowdowns in the housing and automotive markets are reducing short-term global demand for vinyl acetate monomer, the largest derivative of acetic acid, annual global production of vinyl acetate monomer is expected to increase from 10.4 billion pounds in 2005 to 12.2 billion pounds in 2010. The North American acetic acid industry tends to sell most of its products through long-term sales agreements having cost plus pricing mechanisms, eliminating much of the volatility seen in other petrochemicals products and resulting in more stable and predictable earnings and profit margins.

All of our plasticizers, which are used to make flexible plastics, such as shower curtains, floor coverings, automotive parts and construction materials, are sold to BASF Corporation, or BASF, pursuant to a long-term production agreement that extends until 2013, subject to some early termination rights held by BASF that begin in 2010. Under our agreement with BASF, or our Plasticizers Production Agreement, BASF provides us with most of the required raw materials, markets the plasticizers that we produce and is obligated to make certain fixed quarterly payments to us while reimbursing us monthly for our actual production costs and capital expenditures relating to our plasticizers facility. Due to the contract terms in our Plasticizers Production Agreement with BASF, we are not exposed to fluctuations in costs or market conditions. Our Plasticizers Production Agreement was amended in May 2008 after BASF nominated zero pounds of phthalic anhydride, or PA, under the prior version of the agreement due to deteriorating market conditions which ultimately resulted in the closure of our PA unit.

On September 17, 2007, we entered into a long-term exclusive styrene supply agreement and a related railcar purchase and sale agreement with NOVA Chemicals Inc., which as subsequently assigned to INEOS NOVA, LLC, or INEOS NOVA. After the supply agreement became effective, INEOS NOVA nominated zero pounds of styrene under the supply agreement for the balance of 2007 and, in response, we exercised our right to terminate the supply agreement and permanently shut down our styrene facility. Under the supply agreement, we are responsible for the closure costs of our styrene facility and are also restricted from reentering the styrene business until November 2012. The restricted period was initially eight years. However, on April 1, 2008, INEOS NOVA unilaterally reduced the restricted period to five years.

Table of Contents

We sold substantially all remaining styrene inventory during the first quarter of 2008. The decommissioning process was completed by the end of 2008 and the associated costs incurred for 2007 and 2008 were \$0.7 million and \$18.9 million, respectively. In July 2008, we announced a reduction in work force in order to reduce our staffing to a level appropriate for our existing operations and site development projects. As a result, we reduced our salaried work force by 19 people and our hourly work force by 15 people. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, we recognized and paid \$1.4 million of severance costs in 2008. Additionally, as a result of our work force reduction, we recorded a curtailment loss of \$1.2 million for our benefit plans in accordance with SFAS No. 88, Employers Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, in 2008.

We own the acetic acid and plasticizers manufacturing units located at our Texas City facility. We lease a portion of our Texas City facility to Praxair, who constructed a partial oxidation unit on that land. We also lease a portion of our Texas City facility to S&L Cogeneration Company, a 50/50 joint venture between us and Praxair Energy Resources, Inc., or Praxair Energy, who constructed a cogeneration facility on that land. However, as our strategic initiatives under consideration do not require utilization of the steam produced by the cogeneration facility, we and Praxair Energy elected to terminate the joint venture and the Joint Venture Agreement governing S&L Cogeneration Company, or the Joint Venture Agreement, was amended to extend its term until June 30, 2009, to address several matters related to the sale of the cogeneration facility, the distribution of S&L Cogeneration Company's assets and the termination and winding-up of the joint venture. We lease space for our principal offices located in Houston, Texas. We operate in two segments: acetic acid and plasticizers.

Results of Operations***Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2008****Revenues and net loss from continuing operations*

Our revenues were \$31.4 million for the first quarter of 2009, an 18% decrease from the \$38.3 million in revenues we recorded for the first quarter of 2008. We had a net loss from continuing operations of \$0.6 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to a net loss from continuing operations of \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2008.

Revenues from our acetic acid operations were approximately \$23.8 million in the first quarter of 2009, an 18% decrease from the \$29.0 million in revenues from these operations in the first quarter of 2008. This decrease in acetic acid revenues in the first quarter of 2009 was primarily due to an approximate \$6.0 million decrease in cost reimbursements from BP Chemicals as a result of lower energy costs in the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008, slightly offset by higher profit sharing revenue of approximately \$0.9 million. Gross profit for our acetic acid operations was \$4.6 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$3.9 million for the first quarter of 2008. The increase in profit sharing revenue and gross profit was primarily due to higher margins on acetic acid sales for the first quarter of 2009 compared to the first quarter of 2008, partially offset by lower sales volumes.

Revenues from our plasticizers operations were approximately \$7.3 million in the first quarter of 2009, a 19% decrease from the \$9.0 million in revenues from these operations in the first quarter of 2008. Gross profit from our plasticizers operations was \$1.3 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$1.9 million for the first quarter of 2008. This decrease in revenues and gross profit is primarily due to a reimbursement by BASF of \$1.4 million for cost savings achieved during prior periods that were approved and paid by BASF in the first quarter of 2008.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Our selling, general and administrative expenses were \$3.9 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$2.4 million for the first quarter of 2008. This increase in 2009 was primarily due to increased legal fees of \$0.8 million resulting from the lawsuits described in Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of Part 1 of this report, and \$0.3 million of expenses incurred in the first quarter of 2009 for strategic initiatives we are pursuing.

Other income expense

Table of Contents

We recorded \$1.1 million of insurance proceeds in the first quarter of 2009 for reimbursement of legal fees incurred in excess of our deductibles under our various insurance policies. As of March 31, 2009, we have an insurance reimbursement receivable of \$2.5 million. There were no such legal fee reimbursements in the first quarter of 2008.

Interest income

We recorded \$0.4 million of interest income in the first quarter of 2009 compared to \$1.3 million in the first quarter of 2008. This decrease was due to lower interest rates earned on our cash investments in 2009 compared to 2008.

Benefit for income taxes

During the first quarters of 2009 and 2008, we recorded a net tax benefit of \$0.2 million and zero, respectively, for income taxes from continuing operations. Due to interim reporting, our continuing operations effective tax rate is 24.76% for the period ending March 31, 2009 compared to an effective tax rate of zero for the period ending March 31, 2008. The allocation of the tax benefit to continuing operations reflects the effect of utilizing income in discontinued operations to recognize a portion of the benefit from losses generated in continuing operations. This resulted in no change to the valuation allowance of \$52.0 million. For year end, we expect to have a net effective tax rate of zero.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations

During the first quarter of 2009, net income from discontinued operations was \$1.6 million compared to a net loss of \$6.3 million for the first quarter of 2008. This improvement was primarily due to costs incurred for decommissioning our styrene facility in 2008 as a result of our exit from the styrene business in late 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

On March 29, 2007, we completed a private offering of \$150 million aggregate principal amount of unregistered 10¹/₄% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, or our Secured Notes, pursuant to a Purchase Agreement among us, Sterling Chemicals Energy, Inc., or Sterling Energy, one of our former wholly-owned subsidiaries, and Jefferies & Company, Inc. and CIBC World Markets Corp., as initial purchasers. In connection with that offering, we entered into an indenture, dated March 29, 2007, among us, Sterling Energy, as guarantor, and U. S. Bank National Association, as trustee and collateral agent. On May 6, 2008, Sterling Energy was merged with and into us. Upon consummation of the merger, Sterling Energy no longer had independent existence and, consequently, our Secured Notes are no longer guaranteed by Sterling Energy. Pursuant to a registration rights agreement among us, Sterling Energy and the initial purchasers, we agreed to exchange our unregistered Secured Notes for a new issue of substantially identical debt securities registered under the Securities Act, to cause the registration statement for the exchange offer to become effective by December 24, 2007, and to complete the exchange offer within 50 days of the effective date of the registration statement. On August 30, 2007, we made an initial filing of the exchange offer registration statement. However, the registration statement was not declared effective by December 24, 2007, and, as a result, the interest rate on our Secured Notes increased by 0.25% per annum on each of December 25, 2007, March 24, 2008 and June 22, 2008. The registration statement was declared effective on August 13, 2008, and the exchange offer was closed on September 19, 2008. As a result, the interest rate on our Secured Notes reverted back to the face amount of 10¹/₄% per annum when the exchange offer closed. The additional interest incurred from December 25, 2007 through the closing of the exchange offer was approximately \$0.5 million and was paid on April 1 and October 1, 2008.

Our indenture contains affirmative and negative covenants and customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency and reorganization. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, other than an event of default triggered upon certain bankruptcy events, the trustee under our indenture or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of our outstanding Secured Notes may declare our Secured Notes to be due and payable immediately. Upon an event of default, the trustee may also take actions to foreclose on the collateral securing our outstanding Secured Notes, subject to the terms of an intercreditor agreement

Table of Contents

dated March 29, 2007, among us, Sterling Energy, the trustee and The CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc. Our indenture does not require us to maintain any financial ratios or satisfy any financial maintenance tests. We are currently in compliance with all of the covenants contained in our indenture.

Interest is due on our outstanding Secured Notes on April 1 and October 1 of each year. Our outstanding Secured Notes, which mature on April 1, 2015, are senior secured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of our existing and future senior indebtedness. Subject to specified permitted liens, our outstanding Secured Notes are secured (i) on a first priority basis, by all of our fixed assets and certain related assets, including, without limitation, all property, plant and equipment and (ii) on a second priority basis, by our other assets, including, without limitation, accounts receivable, inventory, capital stock of our domestic restricted subsidiaries, intellectual property, deposit accounts and investment property.

On December 19, 2002, we entered into a Revolving Credit Agreement, or our revolving credit facility, with The CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc., as administrative agent and a lender, and certain other lenders. Under our revolving credit facility, we and Sterling Energy were co-borrowers and were jointly and severally liable for any indebtedness thereunder. After the merger of Sterling Energy with and into us, Sterling Energy ceased to be a co-borrower under our revolving credit facility. Our revolving credit facility is secured by first priority liens on all of our accounts receivable, inventory and other specified assets. On March 29, 2007, we amended and restated our revolving credit facility to, among other things, extend the term of our revolving credit facility until March 29, 2012, reduce the maximum commitment thereunder to \$50 million, make certain changes to the calculation of the borrowing base and lower the interest rates and fees charged thereunder. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest, at our option, at an annual rate of a base rate plus 0.0% to 0.50% or the LIBOR rate plus 1.50% to 2.25%, depending on our borrowing availability at the time. We are also required to pay an aggregate commitment fee of 0.375% per year (payable monthly) on any unused portion of our revolving credit facility. Available credit under our revolving credit facility is subject to a monthly borrowing base of 70% of eligible accounts receivable plus 65% of eligible inventory. In response to the expected continued lower levels of accounts receivable and inventory, as well as our lesser need for a working capital facility, we reduced our commitment under our revolving credit facility to \$25 million on June 30, 2008. On November 7, 2008, we further amended our revolving credit facility to substantially reduce restrictions, subject to minimum liquidity requirements, on investment of cash and other assets, payment of cash dividends, repurchase of debt and equity securities, modification of preferred stock terms, entry into affiliated transactions, disposition of assets and engagement in certain business activities. We paid the administrative agent an amendment fee plus expenses totaling approximately \$0.1 million in connection with this amendment.

As of March 31, 2009, total credit available under our revolving credit facility was limited to \$10.1 million, there were no loans outstanding and we had \$3.9 million in letters of credit outstanding, resulting in borrowing availability of \$6.2 million. Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 95-22, Balance Sheet Classification of Borrowings under Revolving Credit Agreements That Include both a Subjective Acceleration Clause and a Lock-Box Arrangement, any balances outstanding under our revolving credit facility would be classified as a current portion of long-term debt.

Our revolving credit facility contains numerous covenants and conditions, including, but not limited to, restrictions on our ability to incur indebtedness, create liens, sell assets, make investments of cash and other assets, make capital expenditures, engage in mergers and acquisitions and pay cash dividends. Our revolving credit facility also includes various circumstances and conditions that would, upon their occurrence and subject in certain cases to notice and grace periods, create an event of default thereunder. Our revolving credit facility does not require us to maintain any financial ratios or satisfy any financial maintenance tests. We are currently in compliance with all of the covenants contained in our revolving credit facility.

Our liquidity (*i.e.*, cash and cash equivalents plus total credit available under our revolving credit facility) was \$169.3 million at March 31, 2009, an increase of \$2.1 million compared to our liquidity at December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily due to acetic acid profit sharing payments for 2008 received from BP Chemicals during the first quarter of 2009.

Recent distress in the financial markets has had an adverse impact on financial market activities including, among other things, volatility in security prices, diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of

Table of Contents

certain investments and declining valuations of others. We have assessed the implications of these factors on our current business and determined that there has not been a significant impact to our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity during the first quarter of 2009. Our cash is invested in highly rated money market funds, which are guaranteed by the US Department of Treasury under its Temporary Guarantee Program for Money Market Funds. We believe that our cash on hand and cash generated from continuing operations, along with credit available under our revolving credit facility, will be sufficient to meet our short-term and long-term liquidity needs for the reasonably foreseeable future.

Working Capital

Our working capital was \$144.1 million as of March 31, 2009, a decrease of \$1.9 million from our working capital of \$146.0 million as of December 31, 2008.

Cash Flow

Net cash provided by operations was \$9.3 million for the first three months of 2009, compared to \$72.3 million during the first three months of 2008. This decrease in net cash flow provided by operations during the first three months of 2009 was primarily due to the monetization of our styrene working capital of approximately \$67.0 million in 2008. Net cash flow used in investing activities increased to \$2.4 million during the first three months of 2009, compared to \$2.0 million for the first three months of 2008, and such increase was due to increased capital expenditures. There was no cash flow provided by financing activities in either the first three months of 2009 or 2008.

Capital Expenditures

Our capital expenditures were \$2.4 million during the first three months of 2009 compared to \$2.0 million during the first three months of 2008. We expect our capital expenditures for the remainder of 2009 to be approximately \$10.1 million, including \$0.8 million for a capital project to prevent the discharge of process wastewater during periods of heavy rain at our Texas City facility and \$3.3 million for our portion of acetic acid related projects, including construction of an acetic acid pipeline and other replacement and debottlenecking projects. The remaining \$6.0 million is primarily for routine safety, environmental, replacement capital and profit improvement projects.

Contractual Cash Obligations

As of March 31, 2009, there have been no significant changes to the contractual obligations disclosed in our Annual Report.

Critical Accounting Policies, Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. On an ongoing basis, we review our estimates, including those related to the allowance for doubtful accounts, recoverability of long-lived assets, deferred tax asset valuation allowance, litigation, environmental liabilities, pension and post-retirement benefits, preferred stock dividends and various other operating allowances and accruals, based on currently available information. Changes in facts and circumstances may alter such estimates and affect our results of operations and financial position in future periods. There have been no material changes or developments in our evaluation of the accounting estimates or the underlying assumptions or methodologies that we believe to be critical accounting policies disclosed in our Annual Report.

New Accounting Standards***Adoption of Accounting Standards:***

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations, or SFAS No. 141R. SFAS No. 141R broadens the guidance of SFAS No. 141, extending its applicability to all transactions and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. SFAS No. 141R broadens the fair value measurement and recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and interests transferred as a result of business combinations, and expands on required disclosures to improve the statement users' abilities to evaluate the

Table of Contents

nature and financial effects of business combinations. We implemented SFAS No. 141R effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements; an Amendment of ARB No. 51, or SFAS No. 160*. SFAS No. 160 establishes the accounting and reporting standards for a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary and clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests and applies prospectively to business combinations for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We implemented SFAS No. 160 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, or SFAS No. 161*. SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities, with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its related interpretations and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. We implemented SFAS No. 161 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or SFAS No. 162*. SFAS No. 162 identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. We implemented SFAS No. 162 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position Emerging Issues Task Force *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities, or FSP EITF No. 03-6-1*, which addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method as described in SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. Under the guidance in FSP EITF No. 03-6-1, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and need to be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. We implemented FSP EITF No. 03-6-1 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 141(R)-1, *Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination that Arise from Contingencies, or FSP No. 141(R)-1*. FSP No. 141(R)-1 applies to all assets acquired and all liabilities assumed in a business combination that arise from contingencies. FSP No. 141(R)-1 requires the acquirer to recognize such an asset or liability if the acquisition-date fair value of that asset or liability can be determined during the measurement period. If it cannot be determined during the measurement period, then the asset or liability should be recognized at the acquisition date if, consistent with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, information available before the end of the measurement period indicates that it is probable that an asset existed or that a liability had been incurred at the acquisition date, and the amount of the asset or liability can be reasonably estimated. We implemented FSP No. 141(R)-1 effective January 1, 2009 and it did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Future Adoption of Accounting Standards:

In December 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, or FSP No. 132R-1*. FSP No. 132R-1 requires enhanced disclosures about the plan assets of defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. The enhanced disclosures required by FSP No. 132R-1 are intended to provide users of financial statements with a greater understanding of (a) how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies, (b) the major categories of plan assets, (c) the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair

value of plan assets, (d) the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on changes in plan assets for the period and (e) significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. FSP No. 132R-1 is effective for the year ending December 31, 2009. We do not believe the implementation of FSP No. 132R-1 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 107-1 and Accounting Principles Board No. 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, or FSP No. 107-1. FSP No. 107-1 requires the disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in the annual financial statements. FSP No. 107-1 is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009 with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FSP No. 107-1 to have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position SFAS No. 115-2 and SFAS No. 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, or FSP Nos. 115-2 and 124-2, which provide new guidance on the recognition of other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt securities and provide new presentation and disclosure requirements for other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt and equity securities. FSP Nos. 115-2 and 124-2 are effective for our quarter ending June 30, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FSP Nos. 115-2 and 124-2 to have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our \$150 million of Secured Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 10¹/₄%, payable semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year. The fair value of our Secured Notes is based on their quoted price, which may vary in response to changing interest rates. As of March 31, 2009, the fair value of our Secured Notes was approximately \$132.0 million.

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act) designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. These include controls and procedures designed to ensure that this information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2009. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2009 due to the identification of a control deficiency that represents a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. This material weakness resulted from a lack of effective controls over the accounting for utilities at our Texas City facility, specifically accounting for the flow of water throughout our facility. As a result of the identification of this material weakness, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2009, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f).

Management is in the process of identifying the actions required to successfully remediate the identified material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting, which will include supplementing our written policies and procedures to ensure we have a review and approval processes in place between accounting and operational personnel while increasing the level of monitoring controls. We anticipate that the remediation actions will be identified and implemented by the end of the second quarter of 2009.

Notwithstanding our assessment that our internal controls over financial reporting were not effective and our identification of the above-described material weakness, we believe that our financial statements contained in this report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, accurately present our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows in all material respects.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

**PART II.
OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

The information under *Legal Proceedings* in Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this report is hereby incorporated by reference.

23

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The following are filed or furnished as part of this Form 10-Q:

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---|---|
| *3.1 | Certificate of Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Sterling Chemicals, Inc. |
| +*10.1 | Second Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan. |
| +10.2 | 2009 Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed January 15, 2009). |
| +*10.3 | Sterling Chemicals, Inc. Eighth Amended and Restated Savings and Investment Plan. |
| *15.1 | Letter of Grant Thornton LLP regarding unaudited interim financial information. |
| *31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer. |
| *31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer. |
| *32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer. |
| *32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer. |
| * Filed or furnished herewith | |
| + Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement | |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STERLING CHEMICALS, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: May 15, 2009

By /s/ JOHN V. GENOVA
John V. Genova
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 15, 2009

By /s/ JOHN R. BEAVER
John R. Beaver
*Senior Vice President-Finance and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)*

25

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|---|---|
| *3.1 | Certificate of Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Sterling Chemicals, Inc. |
| +*10.1 | Second Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Plan. |
| +10.2 | 2009 Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our current report on Form 8-K filed January 15, 2009). |
| +*10.3 | Sterling Chemicals, Inc. Eighth Amended and Restated Savings and Investment Plan. |
| *15.1 | Letter of Grant Thornton LLP regarding unaudited interim financial information. |
| *31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer. |
| *31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer. |
| *32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer. |
| *32.2 | Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer. |
| * Filed or furnished herewith | |
| + Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement | |