MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORP Form 10-Q May 10, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

	FORM 10-Q	
(Mar	k One)	
[X]	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF TACT OF 1934	THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
	For the quarterly period ended: March 31, 2006	
	OR	
[]	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	THE SECURITIES
	For the transition period from to	
	Commission file number: 1-10671	
	THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its	charter)
	TEXAS (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	76-0319553 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
1401	ENCLAVE PARKWAY, SUITE 300, HOUSTON, TEXAS (Address of principal executive offices)	77077 (Zip Code)
	Registrant's telephone number, including area code	e: 281-597-7000
to b the requ	cate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has file e filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchapreceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that tired to file such reports), and (2) has been subject tirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No	ange Act of 1934 during The registrant was
acce	cate by check mark whether the registrant is a large a lerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definit r and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Ex	ion of "accelerated
Larg	e Accelerated Filer [] Accelerated Filer [X] Non-	-Accelerated Filer []
	cate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell of $12b-2$ of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]	company (as defined in

Number of shares of common stock outstanding at May 3, 2006: 86,926,502

Page 1 of 36

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

INDEX

		Page Number
PART I - I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1	. Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	6
	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	7
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	8
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	9
Item 2	. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3	. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	25
Item 4	. Controls and Procedures	26
PART II -	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1	. Legal Proceedings	27
Item 6	. Exhibits	27
CICNATIDE		20

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(thousands of dollars, except per share information)

(unaudited)

	THREE MONTHS EN	NDED MARCH 31,
	2006	2005
REVENUES:		
Oil and natural gas	\$57,827	
Price risk management activities		(292)
Interest and other	319	
		50,044
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES:		
Oil and natural gas operating	4.553	4,683
Severance and ad valorem taxes	0.725	0 600
Depletion and depreciation	29,499	2,632 25,322
General and administrative		5,013
Accretion expense	301	251
Hurricane damage repairs	1,999	
narroano aamago roparro		
	44 , 198	37 , 901
EARNINGS BEFORE OTHER EXPENSES & INCOME TAXES		12,143
OTHER EXPENSE:		
Interest expense	1,378 	985
EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	11,930	
INCOME TAXES:		
Current	171	590
Deferred	4,428	3,710
	4 , 599	4,300
NET EARNINGS		6 , 858
Dividends on preferred stock		731
Dividends on preferred Stock		
NET EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 7,331	
	======	======
NET EARNINGS PER SHARE:		
Basic	\$ 0.08	
Diluted	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.07
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES:		= 0.5:
Basic	86,850	79,271
Diluted	92 , 552	85,024

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (thousands of dollars)

ASSETS	MARCH 31, 2006	DECEMBER 31, 2005
	(unaudited)	
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	•	\$ 23 , 265
Restricted cash	1,243	1,234
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of		
\$242 [2006 and 2005]		41,188
Prepaid expenses and other		1,294
Assets from price risk management activities	1,100	
Deferred tax asset		1,150
Total current assets		68,659
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:		
Oil and natural gas properties, full cost method (including		
\$36,096 [2006] and \$26,623 [2005] not subject to depletion)		
Land	48	
Equipment	•	6 , 540
		1,518,624
Less accumulated depletion and depreciation		1,032,595
Total property and equipment, net		486,029
OTHER ASSETS:		
Assets from price risk management activities	45	235
Other	768	879
Total other assets		1,114
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 565,612	\$ 555,802
	========	========

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (continued) (thousands of dollars)

(un	audited)		
\$			
\$			
	6,818	\$	7 , 595
	7,805		9,149
	3 , 535		4,638
	213		1,103
	22,737		22,272
	2,979		3 , 977
	2,840		2,879
	146		108
	47,073		51,721
	75,000		75,000
	46,485		41,967
	279		464
	9,412		9,085
	56,176		51,516
	525 , 582	5	24,692
(138,064)	(1	45,395)
			(2,314)
			(318)
:	387 , 363	3	
			55 , 802
	 (\$	213 22,737 2,979 2,840 146 47,073 75,000 46,485 279 9,412 56,176 902 525,582 (138,064) (710) (347) 387,363	22,737 2,979 2,840 146 47,073 75,000 46,485 279 9,412 56,176 56,176 525,582 (138,064) (1) (710) (347) 387,363 3 \$ 565,612 \$ 5

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (thousands of dollars) (unaudited)

	THREE MONTHS ENDE MARCH 31,	
	2006	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net earnings Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$ 7,331	\$ 6,858

Depletion and depreciation	29,499	•
Amortization of other assets	111	107
Non-cash compensation		427
Non-cash price risk management activities		292
Accretion expense		251
Deferred income taxes	4,428	3,710
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash		460
Accounts receivable	6,862	3,547
Prepaid expenses and other	544	523 (825)
Due to affiliates	(1,103)	(825)
Accounts payable		(5,354)
Revenues and royalties payable		(1,256)
Accrued liabilities and other	1,114	(3,928)
Net cash provided by operating activities	48,107	30,134
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Additions to property and equipment	(34,629)	(34,763)
Proceeds from (settlements on) sale of property		(109)
Net cash used in investing activities		(34,872)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Reductions - Notes payable	(890)	(736)
Issuance of stock/exercise of stock options, net		
Preferred dividends		(1,360)
Additions to deferred loan costs		(13)
Net cash used in financing activities	(890)	(2,192)
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	12 , 588	24,297
cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 35,853	\$ 17,367
	======	======
INFORMATION		
Non-cash financing activities:		
Conversion of preferred stock	\$	\$ (702)
	\$ (271)	\$ (1,210)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

6

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006 AND 2005 (in thousands) (unaudited)

Common	Stock			Accumulat
		Additional		Other
	Par	Paid-In	Accumulated	Comprehens
Shares	Value	Capital	(Deficit)	Loss

Balance, December 31, 2004	79 , 215	\$821	\$490 , 351	\$(173,244)	\$(1,574
Issuance of rights to common stock		1	455		
Exercise of stock options	20		67		
Compensation expense					
Accum. other comprehensive income					(7,473
Issuance for conversion of pref stock	163	2	700		
Expenditures assoc. w/stock offering			(150)		
Issuance of shares as compensation	223	2	1,208		
Preferred dividends				(731)	
Net earnings				6,858	
Balance, March 31, 2005	79 , 621	\$826	\$492 , 631	\$(167,117)	\$(9,047
	=====	====	======	=======	======
Balance, December 31, 2005	86,818	\$900	\$524 , 692	\$(145,395)	\$(2,314
Issuance of rights to common stock		1	450		
Company's 401(k) plan contibutions	21		88		
Stock-based compensation			82		
Compensation expense					
Accum. other comprehensive income					1,604
Issuance of shares as compensation	64	1	270		
Net earnings				7,331	
Balance, March 31, 2006	86,903	 \$902	\$525 , 582	\$ (138,064)	\$ (710
	=====	====	=======	=======	======

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

7

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (thousands of dollars) (unaudited)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2006	2005
Net earnings applicable to common stockholders	\$7 , 331	\$ 6,127
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, for unrealized losses from hedging activities:		
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period (1)	845	(8,685)
Reclassification adjustments on settlement of contracts (2)	759	1,212
	1,604	(7,473)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$8 , 935	\$(1,346)
(1) net of income tax (expense) benefit	=== \$ (455)	\$ 4,676

(2) net of income tax expense

\$ (409) \$ (652)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

8

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements reflect the accounts of The Meridian Resource Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Company") after elimination of all significant intercompany transactions and balances. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The financial statements included herein as of March 31, 2006, and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, are unaudited, and in the opinion of management, the information furnished reflects all material adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and of the results for the interim periods presented. Certain minor reclassifications of prior period statements have been made to conform to current reporting practices. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for a full year.

2. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Below is the detail of accrued liabilities on the Company's balance sheets as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (thousands of dollars):

	MARCH 31, 2006	DECEMBER 31, 2005
~	*14 505	*10.050
Capital Expenditures	\$14 , 785	\$12 , 853
Operating expenses/taxes	3,036	2,794
Hurricane damage repairs	1,438	2,717
Compensation	1,831	1,949
Interest	527	503
Other	1,120	1,456
TOTAL	\$22,737	\$22 , 272
	======	======

3. DEBT

CREDIT FACILITY. On December 23, 2004, the Company amended its existing credit facility to provide for a four-year \$200 million senior secured credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with Fortis Capital Corp., as administrative agent, sole lead arranger and bookrunner; Comerica Bank as syndication agent; and Union Bank of California as documentation agent. Bank of Nova Scotia, Allied Irish Banks P.L.C., RZB Finance LLC and Standard Bank PLC completed the syndication group. The initial borrowing base under the Credit Facility was \$130 million and it has

been reaffirmed by the syndication group effective April 30, 2006. As of March 31, 2006, outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility totaled \$75 million.

The Credit Facility is subject to semi-annual borrowing base redeterminations on April 30 and October 31 of each year. In addition to the scheduled semi-annual borrowing base redeterminations, the lenders or the Company have the right to redetermine the borrowing base at any time, provided that no party can request more than one such redetermination between the regularly scheduled borrowing base redeterminations. The determination of the borrowing base is subject to a number of factors, including quantities of proved oil and gas reserves, the bank's price assumptions and other various factors unique to each member bank.

9

Company's lenders can redetermine the borrowing base to a lower level than the current borrowing base if they determine that the oil and natural gas reserves, at the time of redetermination, are inadequate to support the borrowing base then in effect.

Obligations under the Credit Facility are secured by pledges of outstanding capital stock of the Company's subsidiaries and by a first priority lien on not less than 75% (95% in the case of an event of default) of its present value of proved oil and gas properties. In addition, the Company is required to deliver to the lenders and maintain satisfactory title opinions covering not less than 70% of the present value of proved oil and natural gas properties. The Credit Facility also contains other restrictive covenants, including, among other items, maintenance of certain financial ratios, restrictions on cash dividends on common stock and under certain circumstances preferred stock, limitations on the redemption of preferred stock and an unqualified audit report on the Company's consolidated financial statements, all of which the Company is in compliance.

The Company recently notified the syndication group that a shortfall existed in the mortgage and the title opinion requirements with respect to the reserve information the Company was required to deliver to the syndication group on March 15, 2006. The primary reason for the shortfall was the inclusion of new properties drilled during 2005 included in the Company's reserve estimates, which were not previously encumbered by mortgages. Accordingly, the syndication group approved a 30-day waiver of the mortgage requirement and a 60-day waiver of the title opinion requirement. The Company is in full compliance with these requirements within the time periods allowed in the waiver.

Under the Credit Facility, the Company may secure either (i) (a) an alternative base rate loan that bears interest at a rate per annum equal to the greater of the administrative agent's prime rate; or (b) federal funds-based rate plus 1/2 of 1%, plus an additional 0.5% to 1.25% depending on the ratio of the aggregate outstanding loans and letters of credit to the borrowing base or; (ii) a Eurodollar base rate loan that bears interest, generally, at a rate per annum equal to the London interbank offered rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.5% to 2.25%, depending on the ratio of the aggregate outstanding loans and letters of credit to the borrowing base. At March 31, 2006, the three-month LIBOR interest rate was 5.0%. The Credit Facility also provides for commitment fees of 0.375% calculated on the difference between the borrowing base and the aggregate outstanding loans under the Credit Facility.

4. 8.5% REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

In 2005, the Company completed the conversion of all of the remaining

outstanding shares of preferred stock to common stock, with \$31.6 million of stated value being converted into approximately 7.1 million shares of the Company's common stock.

10

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

LITIGATION.

H. L. HAWKINS LITIGATION. In December 2004, the estate of H.L. Hawkins filed a claim against Meridian for damages "estimated to exceed several million dollars" for Meridian's alleged gross negligence and willful misconduct under certain agreements concerning certain wells and property in the S.W. Holmwood and E. Lake Charles Prospects in Calcasieu Parish in Louisiana, as a result of Meridian's satisfying a prior adverse judgment in favor of Amoco Production Company. Meridian has filed an answer denying Hawkins' claims and asserted a counterclaim for attorney's fees, court costs and other expenses, and for declaratory relief that Meridian is entitled to retain the amounts that it had been paid by Hawkins. The Company has not provided any amount for this matter in its financial statements at March 31, 2006.

TITLE/LEASE DISPUTES. Title and lease disputes arise due to various events that have occurred in the various states in which the Company operates. These disputes are usually small and could lead to the Company over- or under-stating reserves when a final resolution to the title dispute is made.

ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION. Various landowners have sued Meridian (along with numerous other oil companies) in various similar lawsuits concerning several fields in which the Company has had operations. The lawsuits seek injunctive relief and other relief, including unspecified amounts in both actual and punitive damages for alleged breaches of mineral leases and alleged failure to restore the plaintiffs' lands from alleged contamination and otherwise from the defendants' oil and gas operations. The Company, in certain instances, has indemnified third parties from the claims made in these lawsuits. The Company has not provided any amount for these matters in its financial statements at March 31, 2006.

LITIGATION INVOLVING INSURABLE ISSUES. There are no other material legal proceedings which exceed our insurance limits to which Meridian or any of its subsidiaries is a party or to which any of its property is subject, other than ordinary and routine litigation incidental to the business of producing and exploring for crude oil and natural gas.

6. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net earnings per share (in thousands, except per share):

THRE	EE MONTHS
ENDED	MARCH 31,
2006	2005

Numerator:

Net earnings applicable to common stockholders \$ 7,331 \$ 6,127

Denominator:		
Denominator for basic earnings per		
share - weighted-average shares outstanding	86,850	79 , 271
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares:		
Warrants	4,975	4,536
Employee and director stock options	727	1,217
Denominator for diluted earnings per share -		
weighted-average shares outstanding		
and assumed conversions	92,552	85,024
	======	======
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.08
	======	======
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.07
	======	======

11

7. OIL AND NATURAL GAS HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company may address market risk by selecting instruments with value fluctuations that correlate strongly with the underlying commodity being hedged. From time to time, we may enter into derivative contracts to hedge the price risks associated with a portion of anticipated future oil and gas production. While the use of hedging arrangements limits the downside risk of adverse price movements, it may also limit future gains from favorable movements. Under these agreements, payments are received or made based on the differential between a fixed and a variable product price. These agreements are settled in cash at or prior to expiration or are exchanged for physical delivery contracts. The Company does not obtain collateral to support the agreements, but monitors the financial viability of counter-parties and believes its credit risk is minimal on these transactions. In the event of nonperformance, the Company would be exposed to price risk. The Company has some risk of accounting loss since the price received for the product at the actual physical delivery point may differ from the prevailing price at the delivery point required for settlement of the hedging transaction.

The Company's results of operations and operating cash flows are impacted by changes in market prices for oil and natural gas. To mitigate a portion of the exposure to adverse market changes, the Company has entered into various derivative contracts. These contracts allow the Company to predict with greater certainty the effective oil and natural gas prices to be received for hedged production. Although derivatives often fail to achieve 100% effectiveness for accounting purposes, these derivative instruments continue to be highly effective in achieving the risk management objectives for which they were intended. These contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges as provided by FAS 133 and any changes in fair value are recorded in other comprehensive income until earnings are affected by the variability in cash flows of the designated hedged item. Any changes in fair value resulting from the ineffectiveness of the hedge are reported in the consolidated statement of operations as a component of revenues. The Company recognized a loss related to hedge ineffectiveness of \$0.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2006, and \$0.3 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005.

The estimated March 31, 2006 fair value of the Company's oil and natural gas derivatives was an unrealized loss of \$1.1 million (\$0.7 million net of tax) which is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss on the Company's

consolidated balance sheet. Based upon March 31, 2006 oil and natural gas commodity prices, approximately \$0.9 million of the loss deferred in other comprehensive income could potentially lower gross revenues over the next twelve months. As of March 31, 2006, the derivative contracts expire at various dates through July 31, 2007.

Net settlements under these contracts reduced oil and natural gas revenues by \$1,168,000 and \$1,864,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, as a result of hedging transactions.

The Notional Amount is equal to the total net volumetric hedge position of the Company during the periods presented. As of March 31, 2006, the positions effectively hedge approximately 6% of the proved developed natural gas production and 18% of the proved developed oil production during the respective terms of the hedging agreements. The fair values of the hedges are based on the difference between the strike price and the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices for the applicable trading months.

The fair value of the Company's hedging agreements is recorded on the consolidated balance sheet as separately identified assets or liabilities. The estimated fair value of the hedging agreements as of March 31, 2006, is provided below:

12

	Type	Notional Amount	Floor Price (\$ per unit)	Ceiling Price (\$ per unit)	Fair Value Mar 31, 2006 (in thousands)
NATURAL GAS (MMBTU) Apr 2006 - Oct 2006	Collar	1,130,000	\$ 8.00	\$14.50	\$ 1,034
CRUDE OIL (BBLS)					
Apr 2006 - Jul 2006	Collar	59,000	\$37.50	\$47.50	(2,042)
Apr 2006 - Jul 2006	Collar	17,000	\$40.00	\$50.00	(404)
Aug 2006 - Jul 2007	Collar	168,000	\$50.00	\$74.00	(702)
Total Crude Oil					(3,148)
					\$(2,114)
					======

See Note 10, Subsequent Events, for additional information.

8. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123R which is a replacement statement to SFAS No. 123 entitled "Share-Based Payment." This statement also amends SFAS Statement 95. This statement addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions in which an enterprise receives employee services in exchange for (a) equity instruments of the enterprise or (b) liabilities that are based on the fair value of the enterprise's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. The statement eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and generally requires instead that such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value-based method. The Company

adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123R on January 1, 2006, using the modified prospective method.

Compensation expense is recorded for stock option awards over the requisite vesting periods based upon the market value on the date of the grant. Stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$82,000 was recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2006. No stock-based compensation expense was recorded in the three month period ended March 31, 2005.

The following is a pro-forma reconciliation of reported earnings and earnings per share as if the Company used the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation. Fair value is calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (in thousands except per share data).

13

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005
Net earnings applicable to common stockholders as reported Stock-based compensation expense determined under	\$6,127
fair value method for all awards, net of tax	(58)
Net earnings applicable to common stockholders pro forma	\$6,069
	=====
Basic earnings per share:	
As reported	\$ 0.08
Pro forma	\$ 0.08
Diluted earnings per share:	
As reported	\$ 0.07
Pro forma	\$ 0.07

9. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

On January 1, 2003, the Company adopted SFAS 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." This statement requires entities to record the fair value of a liability for legal obligations associated with the retirement obligations of tangible long-lived assets in the period in which it is incurred. The fair value of asset retirement obligation liabilities has been calculated using an expected present value technique. Fair value, to the extent possible, should include a market risk premium for unforeseeable circumstances. No market risk premium was included in the Company's asset retirement obligations fair value estimate since a reasonable estimate could not be made. When the liability is initially recorded, the entity increases the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Over time, accretion of the liability is recognized each period, and the capitalized cost is amortized over the useful life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, an entity either settles the obligation for its recorded amount or incurs a gain or loss upon settlement. This standard requires the Company to record a liability for the fair value of our dismantlement and abandonment costs, excluding salvage values.

The following table describes the change in the Company's asset retirement obligations for the three months ended March 31, 2006, and for the year ended December 31, 2005 (thousands of dollars):

Asset retirement obligation at December 31, 2004	\$ 9,624
Revisions to estimates and other changes during 2005	519
Additional retirement obligations recorded in 2005	883
Settlements during 2005	(182)
Accretion expense for 2005	1,120
Asset retirement obligation at December 31, 2005	11,964
Additional retirement obligations recorded in 2006	77
Revisions to estimates during 2006	(90)
Accretion expense for 2006	301
Asset retirement obligation at March 31, 2006	\$12,252
	======

The Company's revisions to estimates represent changes to the expected amount and timing of payments to settle the asset retirement obligations. These changes primarily result from obtaining new information about the timing of our obligations to plug the natural gas and oil wells and costs to do so.

10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

14

During April 2006, the Company entered into a series of hedging contracts to hedge a portion of its oil and natural gas production for 2006, 2007 and 2008. The hedge contracts were completed in the form of costless collars. The costless collars provide the Company with a lower floor price and an upper limit ceiling price on the hedged volumes. The floor price represents the lowest price the Company will receive for the hedged volumes, while the ceiling price represents the highest price the Company will receive for the hedged volumes. The costless collars will be settled monthly based on the daily settlement price of the NYMEX futures contract of oil and natural gas during each respective month. The Notional Amount is equal to the total net volumetric hedge position of the Company during the periods presented. These hedge contracts, combined with those discussed in note 7, effectively hedge approximately 30% of the proved developed natural gas production, and 23% of the proved developed oil production during the respective terms of the hedging agreements. The following table summarizes the contracted volumes and prices for the costless collars.

15

	Notional Amount	Floor Price (\$ per unit)	Ceiling Price (\$ per unit)
NATURAL GAS (MMBTU) June 2006 - May 2007	4,800,000	\$ 8.00	\$10.60
CRUDE OIL (BBLS) August 2007 - April 2008	54,000	\$60.00	\$82.00

May 2008 - July 2008

15,000

\$60.00

\$82.00

In April 2006, the Company completed negotiations for an amendment to the current office building lease agreement that extends the current office lease until September 30, 2011. The base rental payments will be \$1.7 million in 2007 and 2008, \$1.8 million in 2009, \$2.0 million in 2010 and \$1.6 million in 2011.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

GENERAL.

The Company's business plan has been reformatted to extend and expand its exploration portfolio beyond its conventional assets in the Louisiana and Texas Gulf Coast regions to include the establishment of large acreage positions in known unconventional and resource plays located within producing regions of the lower continental United States containing longer-lived reserves. In recognition of the maturity of the Company's traditional producing region and the paradigm of pricing, management reformatted its business strategy retaining its position in the Gulf Coast of south Louisiana and Texas leveraging off the higher cash flows generated from these properties to acquire exploration opportunities with large acreage positions, multiple repeatable wells and longer-lived reserves. The first venture beyond its traditional boundaries began with its East Texas Woodbine/Austin Chalk play located primarily in Polk and Tyler Counties, Texas.

OPERATIONS OVERVIEW.

EAST TEXAS PLAY Drilling activities were initiated during January 2006 with the drilling of four successive wells beginning with the BSM number 3 well which was drilled to a depth of approximately 14,600 feet to test multiple sand objectives including the Austin Chalk, Upper and Middle Woodbine sand intervals. Analysis of electric logs and cores indicated that the well contained potential pay in the Austin Chalk section of the wellbore similar to offset wells that had been drilled in the area. Because procedures for successful completions in the area indicate the necessity that laterals be drilled and the wells produced immediately upon completion, land and unit requirements forced the Company to release the rig and the well was temporarily abandoned pending unit approval, construction of pipeline facilities and the return of a rig to drill the laterals. The second well, or the Katherine Leary number 1 well was likewise drilled to approximately 14,350 feet to test the Middle Woodbine, logged and cored with indicated pay in the Austin Chalk section of the well. The well is awaiting completion in the Austin Chalk upon the return of the rig as with the BSM number 3 well.

The third well, the BSM number 2 well, was drilled to approximately 14,600 feet to test the Middle Woodbine section and, based on electric log analysis and cores, encountered potential pay in the Upper Woodbine sand section. The well was completed and perforated in the Upper Woodbine sand section without prior stimulation or fracturing of the tight sand formation and tested at rates up to 1.7 Mmcf per day of natural gas and 232 barrels of water, the latter declining during the course of the 12 hours of testing. The well was shut-in pending construction and tie-in to the pipeline. It is anticipated that the well will be placed on production without stimulation and observed for production with plans to frac the well as others in the AA Wells field are typically

electric logs and cores indicated the presence of pay in the Austin Chalk similar to that in the offsetting wells in the nearby area.

The fourth well or the BSM number 1 well was drilled to approximately 14,500 feet to test the Upper Woodbine sand section, logged and cored and had indicated pay in the Austin Chalk similar to that in the offset wells described above and in the nearby wells currently producing from the Austin Chalk section. This well is currently being prepared to be completed in the Austin Chalk by the drilling of two laterals which are expected to take a total of approximately 65-70 days. In the meantime, pipeline rights of way have been submitted for approval and construction is being scheduled so that the well can be flow tested upon completion operations.

BILOXI MARSHLAND Seabiscuit Prospect (SL 18373 well) - The well was originally tested and put on line on March 31, 2006 at 4.5 Mmcf/d and is currently producing at a rate of 3.9 Mmcf/d (2.6 net). Meridian owns a 92% WI and is the operator of the well.

Gato Del Sol (SL 18307 well) - The well was originally tested in December, 2005 at 7 Mmcf/d and was put on line in February. The well is currently producing at a rate of 4 Mmcf/d (2.8 net). Meridian owns a 92% WI and is the operator of the well.

Hornets 6 (SL 18073 well) - The well was drilled to test the Big Hum sand interval at approximately 12,500 feet measured depth ("MD"). The electric log indicated that the target sand did not contain sufficient hydrocarbons to justify a completion and the well was plugged and abandoned. Meridian owned a 92% WI and was the operator of the well.

West Cyclops (SL 18330 well) - The well was drilled to 8,650 feet MD targeting the Deltaic sand interval, logged pay in the objective sand section insufficient to justify a completion. The well was plugged and abandoned. Meridian owned a 92% WI and was the operator of the well.

WEEKS ISLAND FIELD In the Weeks Island area, the Company recently reached total depth on its Goodrich-Cocke #4 well on the Son of Pink Floyd prospect. The well encountered sloughing shale conditions during the first drilling phase which resulted in the sidetracking of the well to move further from the salt mineralized section. The well was drilled to test the Miocene "BF4 Sand" objective, and recently logged 91 feet net apparent pay in the objective sand section. The offset and down-dip wells produced approximately 1.6 million barrels to date, and this well is approximately 65 feet high to the next highest well in the block. The casing was set on bottom as of this date and is being prepared to be completed within the next week, and put on-line shortly afterwards. The Coastal rig utilized to drill this well will be moved within Iberia Parish to drill the J.A. Smith #1 well on the "Y" Not prospect to test a sand in the Lower Miocene formation at a depth of approximately 16,000 feet MD.

OTHER OUTSIDE OPERATED ACTIVITY

RICEVILLE AREA Henry Heirs #2 well - This well was proposed by Cimarex as an offset to the Cimarex Henry Heirs #1 well. Meridian elected not to participate as a working interest partner in the well, and retained a carried interest. The well was drilled to a total depth of 12,900 feet and failed to identify commercial hydrocarbons and is currently being plugged and abandoned.

DEEP LAKE AREA The SL 18172 #1 well on the South Deep Lake Prospect was drilled to its target depth of 18,800 feet to test the MA-14 sands. The Company was carried for a 6.6% WI in the entire well which was plugged and abandoned as a dry hole, all at no cost to Meridian. PetroQuest was the operator.

17

GIBSON-HUMPHREYS FIELD The Gumbo prospect well, the Westervelt #2 well was drilled to a target depth of 19,400 feet and encountered pay in the Rob L sand interval. Meridian owns a 2.7% ORRI in the well by virtue of land positions and is awaiting the well being placed on production. Denbury is the operator of the well.

THORNWELL FIELD The Lacassane #33-4 well was drilled by Denbury, operator of the field, and was drilled to test the Bol Perc sands. The well logged apparent pay and was put on production in December, 2005 at approximately 7.8 Mmcf/d and 230 BCPD. The well has produced approximately 0.9 Bcf and 23,000 barrels of condensate to date and is currently producing at approximately 5 Mmcf/d natural gas and 100 BCPD. The Company owns a 12.3% WI.

The Abshire #33-1 well was drilled by Denbury to a total depth of 11,350 feet and logged apparent pay in the Bol Perc sands. The operator is preparing to run casing in the well. Meridian owns a 12.3% WI and is a non-operator.

GULF OF MEXICO, SHELF The Company recently participated in the MMS Central Gulf of Mexico OCS Lease Sale held on March 15, 2006. It bid on two tracts and was the apparent winner of one tract upon which it expects to cause a well to be drilled during late 2006, depending on the availability of rig equipment. The lease is expected to be awarded to Meridian by the MMS in the near term.

UNCONVENTIONAL/RESOURCE PLAYS

The Company has diversified its exploration effort by combining the high cash flows of its Gulf Coast region properties with the drilling and development of multiple unconventional and resource gas plays. Since December 2005, Meridian has acquired strategic positions in three separate basins with working interests ranging between 40% and 92%. Negotiations for additional positions are underway and ongoing as an adjunct to the continued expansion and development of the Gulf Coast asset base. Meridian currently has two barge rigs under contract for the conventional exploration and development activities and one rig for the completion of its current well in the East Texas Woodbine/Austin Chalk program (BSM #1). It is anticipated that the Company will have two additional land rigs available during the third and fourth quarters of 2006 with which it expects to continue its operations in its East Texas field and to initiate pilot programs on its recently acquired resource properties. The Company has initiated discussions with rig contractors for additional rig equipment and crews including the purchase of at least two rigs during 2006. Depending on the success of the Woodbine/Austin Chalk play in East Texas, the Company has sufficient acreage in that play to drill approximately 7-9 additional wells which will keep one rig busy full time over the next two years. Additional acreage is expected to be purchased to expand the play concept.

Since December 2005, the Company has added significant acreage positions in three unconventional/resource plays in the Illinois Basin, the Delaware Basin and the Palo Duro Basin. To date, the company has net acreage positions in these basins of approximately 15,000, 22,000 and 18,000, respectively and, the Company is working to add to those positions. Initial development of these areas is expected to begin either late in the third quarter or early in the fourth quarter of this year.

INDUSTRY CONDITIONS. Revenues, profitability and future growth rates of Meridian are substantially dependent upon prevailing prices for oil and natural gas. Oil and natural gas prices have been extremely volatile in recent years and are affected by many factors outside of our control. Our average oil price (after adjustments for hedging activities) for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was \$49.23 per barrel compared to \$33.99 per barrel for the three months ended

March 31, 2005, and \$50.14 per barrel for the three months ended December 31, 2005. Our average natural gas price (after adjustments for hedging activities) for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was \$9.20 per Mcf compared to \$6.66 per Mcf for the three months ended March 31, 2005, and \$11.15 per Mcf for the three months ended December 31, 2005. Fluctuations in prevailing prices for oil and natural gas have several important consequences to us, including affecting the

18

level of cash flow received from our producing properties, the timing of exploration of certain prospects and our access to capital markets, which could impact our revenues, profitability and ability to maintain or increase our exploration and development program.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES. The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operation are based upon consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted and adopted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, for further discussion.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005

OPERATING REVENUES. First quarter 2006 oil and natural gas revenues, which include oil and natural gas hedging activities (see Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements), increased \$7.7 million (15%) as compared to first quarter 2005 revenues due to a 39% increase in average commodity prices on a natural gas equivalent basis, partially offset by a 17% decrease in production volumes. Oil and natural gas production volume totaled 6,432 Mmcfe for the first quarter of 2006 compared to 7,765 Mmcfe for the comparable period of 2005. Our average daily production decreased from 86 Mmcfe during the first quarter of 2005 to 71 Mmcfe for the first quarter of 2006. The variance in average daily production volumes between the two periods is due in part to mechanical issues caused by the 2005 hurricanes on the BML 1-2 well and the BML 28-1 well. Production from these wells has been deferred until the mechanical issues can be resolved. Additional variance differences can be attributed to new discoveries brought on between the comparable periods, offset by natural production declines.

The following table summarizes the Company's operating revenues, production volumes and average sales prices for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	THREE N ENDED MAI		
	2006	2005	INCREASE (DECREASE)
Production Volumes:			
Oil (Mbbl)	224	260	(14%)
Natural gas (MMcf)	5,087	6,203	(18%)

Mmcfe	6,432	7,765	(17%)
Average Sales Prices:			
Oil (per Bbl)	\$ 49.23	\$ 33.99	45%
Natural gas (per Mcf)	\$ 9.20	\$ 6.66	38%
Mmcfe	\$ 8.99	\$ 6.46	39%
Operating Revenues (000's):			
Oil	\$11,034	\$ 8,846	25%
Natural gas	\$46 , 793	\$41,286	13%
Total Operating Revenues	\$57 , 827	\$50,132	15%

19

OPERATING EXPENSES. Oil and natural gas operating expenses on an aggregate basis decreased \$0.1 million (3\$) to \$4.6 million during the first quarter of 2006, compared to \$4.7 million in 2005. On a unit basis, lease operating expenses increased \$0.11 per Mcfe to \$0.71 per Mcfe for the first quarter of 2006 from \$0.60 per Mcfe for the first quarter of 2005. Oil and natural gas operating expenses decreased primarily due to lower maintenance-related activities. The increase in the per Mcfe rate was primarily attributable to the lower production between the two corresponding periods.

SEVERANCE AND AD VALOREM TAXES. Severance and ad valorem taxes increased \$0.1 million (4%) to \$2.7 million for the first quarter of 2006, compared to \$2.6 million during the same period in 2005 primarily because of an increase in oil prices and a higher natural gas tax rate, partially offset by a decrease in natural gas production. Meridian's oil and natural gas production is primarily from Louisiana, and is therefore subject to Louisiana severance tax. The severance tax rates for Louisiana are 12.5% of gross oil revenues and \$0.252 per Mcf for natural gas, an increase from \$0.208 per Mcf for the first half of 2005. On an equivalent unit of production basis, severance and ad valorem taxes increased to \$0.43 per Mcfe from \$0.34 per Mcfe for the comparable three-month period.

DEPLETION AND DEPRECIATION. Depletion and depreciation expense increased \$4.2 million (16%) during the first quarter of 2006 to \$29.5 million, from \$25.3 million for the same period of 2005. This was primarily the result of an increase in the depletion rate as compared to the 2005 period, partially offset by the decrease in oil and natural gas production. On a unit basis, depletion and depreciation expense increased by \$1.33 per Mcfe, to \$4.59 per Mcfe for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to \$3.26 per Mcfe for the same period in 2005, primarily due to the impact of negative reserve revisions during 2005 and the rising costs in the industry for current and projected capital expenditures.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE. General and administrative expense increased \$0.1 million (2%) to \$5.1 million compared to \$5.0 million for 2005. On an equivalent unit of production basis, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.14 per Mcfe to \$0.79 per Mcfe for the first quarter of 2006 compared to \$0.65 per Mcfe for the comparable 2005 period primarily due to lower production rates between the periods. Stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$82,000 was recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2006. No stock-based compensation expense was recorded in the three month period ended March 31, 2005.

HURRICANE DAMAGE REPAIRS. This expense of \$2.0 million is related to damages incurred from hurricanes Katrina and Rita, primarily related to the Company's

costs in excess of insured values.

INTEREST EXPENSE. Interest expense increased \$0.4\$ million (40%), to \$1.4\$ million for the first quarter of 2006 in comparison to the first quarter of 2005. The increase is primarily a result of increased interest rates.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

WORKING CAPITAL. During the first quarter of 2006, Meridian's capital expenditures were internally financed with cash from operations. As of March 31, 2006, the Company had a cash balance of \$35.9 million and working capital of \$26.6 million.

CASH FLOWS. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$48.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006, as compared to \$30.1 million for the same period in 2005. The increase of \$18.0 million was primarily due to higher crude oil and natural gas commodity prices, partially offset by lower production volumes.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$34.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2006, versus \$34.9 million in the first three months of 2005.

20

Cash flows used in financing activities during the first three months of 2006 were \$0.9 million, compared to cash used in financing activities of \$2.2 million during the first three months of 2005. This reduction in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to reduced preferred stock dividends.

CREDIT FACILITY. On December 23, 2004, the Company amended its existing credit facility to provide for a four-year \$200 million senior secured credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with Fortis Capital Corp., as administrative agent, sole lead arranger and bookrunner; Comerica Bank as syndication agent; and Union Bank of California as documentation agent. Bank of Nova Scotia, Allied Irish Banks P.L.C., RZB Finance LLC and Standard Bankc PLC completed the syndication group. The initial borrowing base under the Credit Facility was \$130 million and it has been reaffirmed by the syndication group effective April 30, 2006. As of March 31, 2006, outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility totaled \$75 million.

The Credit Facility is subject to semi-annual borrowing base redeterminations on April 30 and October 31 of each year. In addition to the scheduled semi-annual borrowing base redeterminations, the lenders or the Company, have the right to redetermine the borrowing base at any time, provided that no party can request more than one such redetermination between the regularly scheduled borrowing base redeterminations. The determination of our borrowing base is subject to a number of factors, including quantities of proved oil and gas reserves, the bank's price assumptions and other various factors unique to each member bank. Our lenders can redetermine the borrowing base to a lower level than the current borrowing base if they determine that our oil and gas reserves, at the time of redetermination, are inadequate to support the borrowing base then in effect.

Obligations under the Credit Facility are secured by pledges of outstanding capital stock of the Company's subsidiaries and by a first priority lien on not less than 75% (95% in the case of an event of default) of its present value of proved oil and gas properties. In addition, the Company is required to deliver to the lenders and maintain satisfactory title opinions covering not less than 70% of the present value of proved oil and gas properties. The Credit Facility also contains other restrictive covenants, including, among other items, maintenance of certain financial ratios, restrictions on cash dividends on

common stock and under certain circumstances preferred stock, limitations on the redemption of preferred stock and an unqualified audit report on the Company's consolidated financial statements, all of which the Company is in compliance.

The Company recently notified the syndication group that a shortfall existed in the mortgage and the title opinion requirements with respect to the reserve information the Company was required to deliver to the syndication group on March 15, 2006. The primary reason for the shortfall was the inclusion of new properties drilled during 2005 included in the Company's reserve estimates, which were not previously encumbered by mortgages. Accordingly, the syndication group approved a 30-day waiver of the mortgage requirement and a 60-day waiver of the title opinion requirement. The Company is in full compliance with these requirements within the time periods allowed in the waiver.

21

Under the Credit Facility, the Company may secure either (i) (a) an alternative base rate loan that bears interest at a rate per annum equal to the greater of the administrative agent's prime rate; or (b) federal funds-based rate plus 1/2 of 1%, plus an additional 0.5% to 1.25% depending on the ratio of the aggregate outstanding loans and letters of credit to the borrowing base or; (ii) a Eurodollar base rate loan that bears interest, generally, at a rate per annum equal to the London interbank offered rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.5% to 2.25%, depending on the ratio of the aggregate outstanding loans and letters of credit to the borrowing base. At March 31, 2006, the three-month LIBOR interest rate was 5.0%. The Credit Facility also provides for commitment fees of 0.375% calculated on the difference between the borrowing base and the aggregate outstanding loans under the Credit Facility.

8.5% REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK. In 2005, the Company completed the conversion of all of the remaining outstanding shares of preferred stock to common stock, with \$31.6 million of stated value being converted into approximately 7.1 million shares of the Company's common stock.

OIL AND NATURAL GAS HEDGING ACTIVITIES. The Company may address market risk by selecting instruments with fluctuating values that correlate strongly with the underlying commodity being hedged. From time to time we may enter into derivative contracts to hedge the price risks associated with a portion of anticipated future oil and gas production. These contracts allow the Company to predict with greater certainty the effective oil and natural gas prices to be received for our hedged production. While the use of hedging arrangements limits the downside risk of adverse price movements, it may also limit future gains from favorable movements. Under these agreements, payments are received or made based on the differential between a fixed and a variable product price. These agreements are settled in cash at or prior to expiration or exchanged for physical delivery contracts. The Company does not obtain collateral to support the agreements, but monitors the financial viability of counter-parties and believes its credit risk is minimal on these transactions. In the event of nonperformance, the Company would be exposed to price risk. The Company has some risk of accounting loss since the price received for the product at the actual physical delivery point may differ from the prevailing price at the delivery point required for settlement of the hedging transaction.

These hedging contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges as provided by SFAS No. 133 and any changes in fair value of the cash flow hedge resulting from ineffectiveness of the hedge is reported in the consolidated statement of operations as revenues.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES. Total capital expenditures for this period approximated \$34.6 million. Our strategy is to blend exploration drilling activities with

high-confidence workover and development projects in order to capitalize on periods of high commodity prices. Capital expenditures were for acreage acquisitions, exploratory drilling, geological and geophysical, workovers, and related capitalized general and administrative expenses. During 2006, the Company has completed drilling operations on thirteen wells of which one well was placed on production with six wells having logged apparent pay and six unproductive wells.

The 2006 capital expenditures plan is currently forecast at approximately \$132 million. The actual expenditures will be determined based on a variety of factors, including prevailing prices for oil and natural gas, our expectations as to future pricing and the level of cash flow from operations. We currently anticipate funding the 2006 plan utilizing cash flow from operations. When appropriate, excess cash flow from operations beyond that needed for the 2006 capital expenditures plan will be used to de-lever the Company by development of exploration discoveries or direct payment of debt.

DIVIDENDS. It is our policy to retain existing cash for reinvestment in our business, and therefore, we do not anticipate that dividends will be paid with respect to the Common Stock in the foreseeable future. During May 2002, the Company completed the private placement of \$67 million of 8.5% Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and dividends were payable semi-annually. A semi-annual cash dividend of \$1.3 million was paid in January 2005.

22

In 2005, the Company completed the conversion of all of the remaining outstanding shares of the 8.5% Redeemable Convertible preferred stock to common stock, with \$31.6 million of stated value being converted into approximately 7.1 million shares of the Company's common stock.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

From time to time, we may make certain statements that contain "forward-looking" information as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and that involve risk and uncertainty. These forward-looking statements may include, but are not limited to exploration and seismic acquisition plans, anticipated results from current and future exploration prospects, future capital expenditure plans and plans to sell properties, anticipated results from third party disputes and litigation, expectations regarding future financing and compliance with our credit facility, the anticipated results of wells based on logging data and production tests, future sales of production, earnings, margins, production levels and costs, market trends in the oil and natural gas industry and the exploration and development sector thereof, environmental and other expenditures and various business trends. Forward-looking statements may be made by management orally or in writing including, but not limited to, the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section and other sections of our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Actual results and trends in the future may differ materially depending on a variety of factors including, but not limited to the following:

CHANGES IN THE PRICE OF OIL AND NATURAL GAS. The prices we receive for our oil and natural gas production and the level of such production are subject to wide fluctuations and depend on numerous factors that we do not control, including seasonality, worldwide economic conditions, the condition of the United States economy (particularly the manufacturing sector), foreign imports, political

conditions in other oil-producing countries, the actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries and domestic government regulation, legislation and policies. Material declines in the prices received for oil and natural gas could make the actual results differ from those reflected in our forward-looking statements.

OPERATING RISKS. The occurrence of a significant event against which we are not fully insured could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. Our operations are subject to all of the risks normally incident to the exploration for and the production of oil and natural gas, including uncontrollable flows of oil, natural gas, brine or well fluids into the environment (including groundwater and shoreline contamination), blowouts, cratering, mechanical difficulties, fires, explosions, unusual or unexpected formation pressures, pollution and environmental hazards, each of which could result in damage to or destruction of oil and natural gas wells, production facilities or other property, or injury to persons. In addition, we are subject to other operating and production risks such as title problems, weather conditions, compliance with government permitting requirements, shortages of or delays in obtaining equipment, reductions in product prices, limitations in the market for products, litigation and disputes in the ordinary course of business. Although we maintain insurance coverage considered to be customary in the industry, we are not fully insured against certain of these risks either because such insurance is not available or because of high premium costs. We cannot predict if or when any such risks could affect our operations. The occurrence of a significant event for which we are not adequately insured could cause our actual results to differ from those reflected in our forward-looking statements.

DRILLING RISKS. Our decision to purchase, explore, develop or otherwise exploit a prospect or property will depend in part on the evaluation of data obtained through geophysical and geological analysis, production data and engineering studies, which are inherently imprecise. Therefore, we cannot assure you that all of our drilling activities will be successful or that we will not drill uneconomical wells. The occurrence of

23

unexpected drilling results could cause the actual results to differ from those reflected in our forward-looking statements.

UNCERTAINTIES IN ESTIMATING RESERVES AND FUTURE NET CASH FLOWS. Reserve engineering is a subjective process of estimating the recovery from underground accumulations of oil and natural gas we cannot measure in an exact manner, and the accuracy of any reserve estimate is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Reserve estimates may be imprecise and may be expected to change as additional information becomes available. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities and values of proved reserves and in projecting future rates of production and timing of development expenditures, including many factors beyond our control. The quantities of oil and natural gas that we ultimately recover, production and operating costs, the amount and timing of future development expenditures and future oil and natural gas sales prices may differ from those assumed in these estimates. Significant downward revisions to our existing reserve estimates could cause the actual results to differ from those reflected in our forward-looking statements.

FULL-COST CEILING TEST. At the end of each quarter, the unamortized cost of oil and natural gas properties, after deducting the asset retirement obligation, net of related deferred income taxes, is limited to the sum of the estimated future net revenues from proved properties using period-end prices, after giving effect

to cash flow hedge positions, discounted at 10%, and the lower of cost or fair value of unproved properties adjusted for related income tax effects.

The calculation of the ceiling test and the provision for depletion and amortization are based on estimates of proved reserves. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved reserves and in projecting the future rates of production, timing, and plan of development. The accuracy of any reserves estimate is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Results of drilling, testing, and production subsequent to the date of the estimate may justify a revision of such estimate. Accordingly, reserve estimates are often different from the quantities of oil and natural gas that are ultimately recovered.

Due to the imprecision in estimating oil and natural gas revenues as well as the potential volatility in oil and natural gas prices and their effect on the carrying value of our proved oil and natural gas reserves, there can be no assurance that write-downs in the future will not be required as a result of factors that may negatively affect the present value of proved oil and natural gas reserves and the carrying value of oil and natural gas properties, including volatile oil and natural gas prices, downward revisions in estimated proved oil and natural gas reserve quantities and unsuccessful drilling activities. At March 31, 2006, we had a cushion (i.e. the excess of the ceiling over our capitalized costs) of \$50.9 million (before tax).

BORROWING BASE FOR THE CREDIT FACILITY. The Credit Agreement with Fortis Capital Corp. as administrative agent, is presently scheduled for borrowing base redetermination dates on a semi-annual basis with the next such redetermination scheduled for October 31, 2006. The borrowing base is redetermined on numerous factors including current reserve estimates, reserves that have recently been added, current commodity prices, current production rates and estimated future net cash flows. These factors have associated risks with each of them. Significant reductions or increases in the borrowing base will be determined by these factors, which, to a significant extent, are not under the Company's control.

24

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is currently exposed to market risk from hedging contracts changes and changes in interest rates. A discussion of the market risk exposure in financial instruments follows.

INTEREST RATES

We are subject to interest rate risk on our long-term fixed interest rate debt and variable interest rate borrowings. Our long-term borrowings primarily consist of borrowings under the Credit Facility. Since interest charged borrowings under the Credit Facility floats with prevailing interest rates (except for the applicable interest period for Eurodollar loans), the carrying value of borrowings under the Credit Facility should approximate the fair market value of such debt. Changes in interest rates, however, will change the cost of borrowing. Assuming \$75 million remains borrowed under the Credit Facility, we estimate our annual interest expense will change by \$0.75 million for each 100 basis point change in the applicable interest rates utilized under the Credit Agreement.

HEDGING CONTRACTS

Meridian may address market risk by selecting instruments whose value fluctuations correlate strongly with the underlying commodity being hedged. From time to time, we may enter into derivative contracts to hedge the price risks associated with a portion of anticipated future oil and natural gas production. While the use of hedging arrangements limits the downside risk of adverse price movements, it may also limit future gains from favorable movements. Under these agreements, payments are received or made based on the differential between a fixed and a variable product price. These agreements are settled in cash at or prior to expiration or exchanged for physical delivery contracts. Meridian does not obtain collateral to support the agreements, but monitors the financial viability of counter-parties and believes its credit risk is minimal on these transactions. In the event of nonperformance, the Company would be exposed to price risk. Meridian has some risk of accounting loss since the price received for the product at the actual physical delivery point may differ from the prevailing price at the delivery point required for settlement of the hedging transaction.

The Company has entered into certain derivative contracts as summarized in the table below. The Notional Amount is equal to the total net volumetric hedge position of the Company during the periods presented. As of March 31, 2006, the positions effectively hedge approximately 6% of the proved developed natural gas production and 18% of the proved developed oil production during the respective terms of the contracts. The fair values of the hedges are based on the difference between the strike price and the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices for the applicable trading months.

	Type	Notional Amount	Floor Price (\$ per unit)	Ceiling Price (\$ per unit)	Fair Value Mar 31, 2006 (in thousands)
NATURAL GAS (MMBTU) Apr 2006 - Oct 2006	Collar	1,130,000	\$ 8.00	\$14.50	\$ 1,034
CRUDE OIL (BBLS) Apr 2006 - Jul 2006 Apr 2006 - Jul 2006 Aug 2006 - Jul 2007	Collar Collar Collar	59,000 17,000 168,000	\$37.50 \$40.00 \$50.00	\$47.50 \$50.00 \$74.00	(2,042) (404) (702)
Total Crude Oil					(3,148)
					\$(2,114) =====

The above excludes hedges entered into after March 31, 2006; see Note 10, Subsequent Events, for additional information.

25

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of Meridian's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief

Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the first quarter of 2006. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors during the first quarter of 2006 that could significantly affect these controls.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS

During the three month period ended March 31, 2006, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect such internal control over financial reporting.

26

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

H. L. HAWKINS LITIGATION. In December 2004, the estate of H.L. Hawkins filed a claim against Meridian for damages "estimated to exceed several million dollars" for Meridian's alleged gross negligence and willful misconduct under certain agreements concerning certain wells and property in the S.W. Holmwood and E. Lake Charles Prospects in Calcasieu Parish in Louisiana, as a result of Meridian's satisfying a prior adverse judgment in favor of Amoco Production Company. Meridian has filed an answer denying Hawkins' claims and asserted a counterclaim for attorney's fees, court costs and other expenses, and for declaratory relief that Meridian is entitled to retain the amounts that it had been paid by Hawkins. The Company has not provided any amount for this matter in its financial statements at March 31, 2006.

TITLE/LEASE DISPUTES. Title and lease disputes may arise due to various events that have occurred in the various states in which the Company operates. These disputes are usually small and could lead to the Company over- or under-stating our reserves when a final resolution to the title dispute is made.

ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION. Various landowners have sued Meridian (along with numerous other oil companies) in various similar lawsuits concerning several fields in which the Company has had operations. The lawsuits seek injunctive relief and other relief, including unspecified amounts in both actual and punitive damages for alleged breaches of mineral leases and alleged failure to restore the plaintiffs' lands from alleged contamination and otherwise from the defendants' oil and gas operations. The Company, in certain instances, has indemnified third parties from the claims made in these lawsuits. The Company has not provided any amount for these matters in its financial statements at March 31, 2006.

LITIGATION INVOLVING INSURABLE ISSUES. There are no other material legal proceedings which exceed our insurance limits to which Meridian or any of its subsidiaries is a party or to which any of its property is subject, other than ordinary and routine litigation incidental to the business of producing and exploring for crude oil and natural gas.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

For a discussion of the Company's risk factors, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors", in

the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification of President pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 31.3 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of President pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.3 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

27

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE MERIDIAN RESOURCE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES (Registrant)

Date: May 10, 2006 By: /s/ LLOYD V. DELANO

Lloyd V. DeLano Senior Vice President Chief Accounting Officer

28

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification of President pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 31.3 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Rule

- 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of President pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.3 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.