BioMed Realty Trust Inc Form 10-Q November 02, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 Commission File Number: 1-32261 (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)

Commission File Number: 1-32261 (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.) 000-54089 (BioMed Realty, L.P.)

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-1142292 (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.) 20-1320636 (BioMed Realty, L.P.) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

17190 Bernardo Center Drive San Diego, California

92128

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(858) 485-9840

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

Yes b
No o
BioMed Realty, L.P.

Yes b
No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

Yes b
No o
BioMed Realty, L.P.

Yes b
No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.:

Large accelerated filer b

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

company o

BioMed Realty, L.P.:

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer b Smaller reporting

company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

Yes o
No b
BioMed Realty, L.P.

Yes o
No b

The number of outstanding shares of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of November 2, 2011 was 131,262,102.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is the parent company and general partner. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to we, us, our or our company refer to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. together its consolidated subsidiaries, including BioMed Realty, L.P. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to our operating partnership or the operating partnership refer to BioMed Realty, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. operates as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, and the general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P. As of September 30, 2011, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. owned an approximate 97.8% partnership interest and other limited partners, including some of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates, owned the remaining 2.2% partnership interest (including long term incentive plan units) in BioMed Realty, L.P. As the sole general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has the full, exclusive and complete responsibility for the operating partnership is day-to-day management and control.

There are a few differences between our company and our operating partnership, which are reflected in the disclosure in this report. We believe it is important to understand the differences between our company and our operating partnership in the context of how BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. operate as an interrelated consolidated company. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of BioMed Realty, L.P. As a result, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P., issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing certain debt of BioMed Realty, L.P. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. itself does not hold any indebtedness but guarantees some of the secured and unsecured debt of BioMed Realty, L.P. BioMed Realty, L.P. holds substantially all the assets of the company and holds the ownership interests in the company s joint ventures. BioMed Realty, L.P. conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., which are generally contributed to BioMed Realty, L.P. in exchange for partnership units, BioMed Realty, L.P. generates the capital required by the company s business through BioMed Realty, L.P. s operations, by BioMed Realty, L.P. s direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness or through the issuance of partnership units.

Noncontrolling interests and stockholders equity and partners capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and those of BioMed Realty, L.P. The operating partnership and long term incentive plan units in BioMed Realty, L.P. that are not owned by BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. are accounted for as partners capital in BioMed Realty, L.P. s financial statements and as noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s financial statements. The noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty, L.P. s financial statements include the interests of joint venture partners. The noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s financial statements include the same noncontrolling interests at the BioMed Realty, L.P. level as well as the limited partnership unitholders of BioMed Realty, L.P., not including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. The differences between stockholders equity and partners capital result from the differences in the equity issued at the BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and the BioMed Realty, L.P. levels.

We believe combining the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. into this single report:

better reflects how management and the analyst community view the business as a single operating unit, enhances investor understanding of our company by enabling them to view the business as a whole and in the same manner as management,

is more efficient for our company and results in savings in time, effort and expense, and is more efficient for investors by reducing duplicative disclosure and providing a single document for their review.

Table of Contents

To help investors understand the significant differences between our company and our operating partnership, this report presents the following separate sections for each of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P.: consolidated financial statements,

the following notes to the consolidated financial statements:

Debt.

Equity / Partners Capital, and

Earnings Per Share / Unit,

Liquidity and Capital Resources in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

This report also includes separate Item 4. Controls and Procedures sections and separate Exhibit 31 and 32 certifications for each of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. in order to establish that the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. have made the requisite certifications and BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. are compliant with Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. §1350.

3

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. AND BIOMED REALTY, L.P. FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page 3
Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements	3
Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.:	3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010	3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)	4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)	5
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)	7
Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty, L.P.:	9
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010	9
Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)	10
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)	11
Consolidated Statements of Capital for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	12
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (Unaudited)	13
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. (Unaudited)	15
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	44
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	45

PART II OTHER INFORMATION	46
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	46
Item 1A Risk Factors	46
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	46
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	46
Item 4 Reserved	46
<u>Item 5 Other Information</u>	46
Item 6 Exhibits	47
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	48
Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1 EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	

2

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share data)

ACCEPTO	-	ptember 30, 2011 Jnaudited)	De	cember 31, 2010
ASSETS				
Investments in real estate, net	\$	3,576,304	\$	3,536,114
Investments in unconsolidated partnerships		67,383		57,265
Cash and cash equivalents		16,351		21,467
Restricted cash		6,330		9,971
Accounts receivable, net		7,542		5,874
Accrued straight-line rents, net		123,564		106,905
Acquired above-market leases, net		24,015		30,566
Deferred leasing costs, net		116,811		125,060
Deferred loan costs, net		17,254		11,499
Other assets		73,475		55,033
Chief ussets		73,173		33,033
Total assets	\$	4,029,029	\$	3,959,754
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$	603,406	\$	657,922
Exchangeable senior notes, net	Ψ	199,800	Ψ	199,522
Unsecured senior notes, net		645,412		247,571
Unsecured line of credit		216,100		392,450
		12,397		11,749
Security deposits Dividends and distributions payable		•		
Dividends and distributions payable		30,500		27,029
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		86,658		98,826
Derivative instruments		6.0.73		3,826
Acquired below-market leases, net		6,853		7,963
Total liabilities		1,801,126		1,646,858
Equity:				
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized: 7.375% Series A				
cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$198,000,000 and \$230,000,000				
liquidation preference (\$25.00 per share), 7,920,000 and 9,200,000 shares				
issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010,				
respectively		191,469		222,413
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 131,265,102		•		•
and 131,046,509 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and				
December 31, 2010, respectively		1,313		1,310
Additional paid-in capital		2,373,652		2,371,488
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(61,912)		(70,857)
Dividends in excess of earnings		(285,996)		(70,837) $(221,176)$
Dividends in excess of earnings		(203,990)		(221,170)

Total stockholders equity Noncontrolling interests	2,218,526 9,377	2,303,178 9,718
Total equity	2,227,903	2,312,896
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 4,029,029	\$ 3,959,754

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited)

	Fo	For the Three Months Ended September 30,			F	hs Ended 80,		
		2011		2010		2011		2010
Revenues:								
Rental	\$	83,825	\$	72,971	\$	245,477	\$	215,950
Tenant recoveries		26,674		22,723		76,076		63,823
Other income		4,487		39		5,775		1,628
Total revenues		114,986		95,733		327,328		281,401
Expenses:								
Rental operations		23,172		19,998		64,850		54,926
Real estate taxes		10,883		9,408		31,902		26,832
Depreciation and amortization		36,295		27,774		105,919		83,159
General and administrative		7,682		6,805		21,797		19,523
Acquisition related expenses		136		420		789		2,388
Total expenses		78,168		64,405		225,257		186,828
Income from operations		36,818		31,328		102,071		94,573
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated								
partnerships		(735)		(308)		(1,849)		(686)
Interest income		71		55		274		126
Interest expense		(22,958)		(21,589)		(67,730)		(64,719)
Other expense		(3,802)				(4,627)		
Gain/(loss) on derivative instruments		64		(287)		(564)		(634)
Loss on extinguishment of debt		(521)		(22)		(814)		(2,286)
Net income		8,937		9,177		26,761		26,374
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(106)		(104)		(281)		(321)
Net income attributable to the Company		8,831		9,073		26,480		26,053
Preferred stock dividends		(3,901)		(4,241)		(12,382)		(12,722)
Cost on redemption of preferred stock		(165)				(165)		
Net income available to common								
stockholders	\$	4,765	\$	4,832	\$	13,933	\$	13,331
Net income per share available to common stockholders:								
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.10	\$	0.12

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Weighted-average common shares

outstanding:

Basic 129,872,349 112,910,694 129,834,429 107,003,096
Diluted 132,852,328 115,911,944 132,819,688 110,028,740

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2011		2010		2011		2010	
Net income available to common stockholders and									
noncontrolling interests	\$	4,871	\$	4,936	\$	14,214	\$	13,652	
Other comprehensive income:									
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments, net		365		1,479		3,826		7,303	
Amortization of deferred interest costs		1,754		1,776		5,279		5,343	
Equity in other comprehensive income of									
unconsolidated partnerships		8		35		44		24	
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate									
swaps, net		13		(11)		(75)		(495)	
Reclassification of unrealized loss on equity									
securities		3,802				4,627			
Reclassification on sale of equity securities		21				21		(538)	
Unrealized loss on equity securities		(883)				(4,575)			
Total other comprehensive income		5,080		3,279		9,147		11,637	
Comprehensive income Comprehensive income attributable to		9,951		8,215		23,361		25,289	
noncontrolling interests		(218)		(175)		(483)		(615)	
Comprehensive income attributable to common stockholders	\$	9,733	\$	8,040	\$	22,878	\$	24,674	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY (In thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

Accumulated

				A	CCumulate				
	Series A			Additional	Other	Dividends in	Total		
	Preferred	Common	Stoolz			ivExcess of S		maantnalli	na
	Preferred	Common	Stock	Paid-IIIC	mprenensi	IVEXCESS OF S	Stockholaeia	aicomtrom	ng Total
	Stock	Shares	Amount	Canital (I	oss)/Incon	neEarnings	Equity	Interests	Equity
Balance at	Stock	Silares	rimount	Cupitai (I	2033 <i>)</i> / 111C011	idai miigs	Equity	IIICI CSUS	Equity
December 31, 2010	\$ 222,413	131.046.509	\$ 1.310	\$2,371,488	\$ (70.857)	\$ (221,176)	\$ 2.303.178	\$ 9.718	\$ 2.312.896
Net issuances of	, ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,	, ,- , ,	, (,,	, , , , , ,	, , ,	, ,,,	, ,- ,
unvested restricted									
common stock		197,322	2	(2,423)			(2,421)		(2,421)
Conversion of OP									
units to common									
stock		21,271	1	(50)			(49)	49	
Redemption of									
preferred stock	(30,944)					(165)	(31,109)		(31,109)
Vesting of				1			1		
share-based awards				5,554			5,554		5,554
Reallocation of equity									
to noncontrolling				(917)			(917)	917	
interests Common stock				(917)			(917)	917	
dividends						(78,753)	(78,753)		(78,753)
OP unit distributions						(70,755)	(70,733)	(1,790)	
Net income						26,480	26,480	281	26,761
Preferred stock						,,	,,		,,
dividends						(12,382)	(12,382)		(12,382)
Reclassification on						, , ,	, ,		, ,
other-than-temporary									
impairment of									
marketable securities					4,525		4,525	102	4,627
Reclassification on									
sale of marketable									
securities					21		21		21
Unrealized loss on					(4.475)		(4.475)	(100)	(4.575)
equity securities					(4,475)		(4,475)	(100)	(4,575)
Amortization of deferred interest costs					5,162		5,162	117	5,279
Unrealized gain on					3,102		3,102	117	3,219
derivative									
instruments, net					3,712		3,712	83	3,795
					5,712		3,712	03	5,75
Balance at									
September 30, 2011	\$ 191,469	131,265,102	\$1,313	\$2,373,652	\$ (61,912)	\$ (285,996)	\$ 2,218,526	\$ 9,377	\$ 2,227,903

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

6

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nine Mon Septem 2011	
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 26,761	\$ 26,374
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	105 010	02 150
Depreciation and amortization	105,919	83,159
Allowance for doubtful accounts	2,194	108
Non-cash revenue adjustments Other per each adjustments	7,699 10,442	(905) 11,208
Other non-cash adjustments Compensation expense related to restricted common stock and LTIP units	5,554	5,316
Distributions representing a return on capital from unconsolidated partnerships	1,573	1,195
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	1,373	1,193
Restricted cash	3,641	2,971
Accounts receivable	(2,614)	(3,306)
Accrued straight-line rents	(17,907)	(20,501)
Deferred leasing costs	(10,830)	(3,223)
Other assets	(5,450)	(14,639)
Security deposits	487	1,038
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	7,574	14,119
	. ,	, -
Net cash provided by operating activities	135,043	102,914
Investing activities:		
Purchases of interests in and additions to investments in real estate and related		
intangible assets	(156,421)	(309,869)
Contributions to unconsolidated partnerships, net	(14,351)	(4,318)
Purchases of equity securities	(2,125)	, , ,
Proceeds from the sale of equity securities	31	1,227
Funds held in escrow for acquisitions	(5,500)	(6,572)
Net cash used in investing activities	(178,366)	(319,532)
Financing activities:		5.45 OO.4
Proceeds from common stock offering		545,804
Payment of common stock offering costs	(21 100)	(22,048)
Redemption of Series A preferred stock	(31,109)	(0.014)
Payment of deferred loan costs Unsecured line of credit proceeds	(9,711) 400,075	(8,814) 366,992
Unsecured line of credit payments	(576,425)	(750,608)
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(576,423) $(52,554)$	(5,514)
Secured term loan repayments	(34,334)	(250,000)
Repurchases of exchangeable senior notes due 2026		(26,410)
reparenases of exchangeable senior notes due 2020		(20,410)

Proceeds from exchangeable senior notes due 2030		180,000
Proceeds from unsecured senior notes	397,460	247,443
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate swaps, net	(75)	(495)

7

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2011		2010
Distributions to operating partnership unit and LTIP unit holders		(1,702)		(1,305)
Dividends paid to common stockholders		(74,779)		(44,940)
Dividends paid to preferred stockholders		(12,973)		(12,722)
Net cash provided by financing activities		38,207		217,383
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents		(5,116)		765
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		21,467		19,922
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	16,351	\$	20,687
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period for interest (net of amounts capitalized of \$5,308 and				
\$4,136, respectively)	\$	50,065	\$	50,507
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Accrual for preferred stock dividends declared	\$	3,651	\$	4,241
Accrual for common stock dividends declared		26,253		22,241
Accrual for distributions declared for operating partnership unit and LTIP unit				
holders		596		510
Accrued additions to real estate and related intangible assets		17,026		23,157
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statem	ents.			

BIOMED REALTY, L.P. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except unit data)

	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)			cember 31, 2010
ASSETS		,		
Investments in real estate, net	\$	3,576,304	\$	3,536,114
Investments in unconsolidated partnerships		67,383		57,265
Cash and cash equivalents		16,351		21,467
Restricted cash		6,330		9,971
Accounts receivable, net		7,542		5,874
Accrued straight-line rents, net		123,564		106,905
Acquired above-market leases, net		24,015		30,566
Deferred leasing costs, net		116,811		125,060
Deferred loan costs, net		17,254		11,499
Other assets		73,475		55,033
Total assets	\$	4,029,029	\$	3,959,754
LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL				
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$	603,406	\$	657,922
Exchangeable senior notes, net		199,800		199,522
Unsecured senior notes, net		645,412		247,571
Unsecured line of credit		216,100		392,450
Security deposits		12,397		11,749
Distributions payable		30,500		27,029
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		86,658		98,826
Derivative instruments				3,826
Acquired below-market leases, net		6,853		7,963
Total liabilities		1,801,126		1,646,858
Capital:				
Partners capital:				
Preferred units, 7.375% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred units, \$198,000,000 and \$230,000,000 liquidation preference (\$25.00 per unit),				
7,920,000 and 9,200,000 units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011				
and December 31, 2010, respectively		191,469		222,413
Limited partners capital, 2,979,979 and 3,001,250 units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively		9,614		9,918
General partner s capital, 131,265,102 and 131,046,509 units issued and		2 005 450		2 1 5 0 2 1 4
outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively		2,087,459		2,150,314
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(60,402)		(69,549)
Total partners capital		2,228,140		2,313,096
Noncontrolling interests deficit		(237)		(200)
Total capital		2,227,903		2,312,896

Total liabilities and capital

4,029,029 \$ 3,959,754 \$

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

9

BIOMED REALTY, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (In thousands, except unit data) (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,				
_		2011		2010		2011	2010		
Revenues:	4	00.005	4			0.15.155	.	21.5.050	
Rental	\$	83,825	\$	72,971	\$	245,477	\$	215,950	
Tenant recoveries		26,674		22,723		76,076		63,823	
Other income		4,487		39		5,775		1,628	
Total revenues		114,986		95,733		327,328		281,401	
Expenses:									
Rental operations		23,172		19,998		64,850		54,926	
Real estate taxes		10,883		9,408		31,902		26,832	
Depreciation and amortization		36,295		27,774		105,919		83,159	
General and administrative		7,682		6,805		21,797		19,523	
Acquisition related expenses		136		420		789		2,388	
Total expenses		78,168		64,405		225,257		186,828	
Income from operations		36,818		31,328		102,071		94,573	
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated		(725)		(200)		(1.040)		(606)	
partnerships		(735)		(308)		(1,849)		(686)	
Interest income		71		55		274		126	
Interest expense		(22,958)		(21,589)		(67,730)		(64,719)	
Other expense		(3,802)		(207)		(4,627)		((24)	
Gain/(loss) on derivative instruments		(521)		(287)		(564)		(634)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt		(521)		(22)		(814)		(2,286)	
Net income Net loss attributable to noncontrolling		8,937		9,177		26,761		26,374	
interests		5		18		37		38	
Net income attributable to the Operating									
Partnership		8,942		9,195		26,798		26,412	
Preferred unit distributions		(3,901)		(4,241)		(12,382)		(12,722)	
Cost on redemption of preferred units		(165)		, , ,		(165)		, , ,	
Net income available to unitholders	\$	4,876	\$	4,954	\$	14,251	\$	13,690	
Net income per unit available to unitholders: Basic and diluted earnings per unit	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.10	\$	0.12	
Weighted-average units outstanding: Basic	13	32,793,468	11	15,768,368	13	32,759,427	10	09,882,418	

Diluted 132,793,468 115,768,368 132,759,427 109,882,418

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

10

BIOMED REALTY, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2011		2010		2011		2010
Net income available to unitholders and								
noncontrolling interests	\$	4,871	\$	4,936	\$	14,214	\$	13,652
Other comprehensive income:								
Unrealized gain on derivative instruments, net		365		1,479		3,826		7,303
Amortization of deferred interest costs		1,754		1,776		5,279		5,343
Equity in other comprehensive income of								
unconsolidated partnerships		8		35		44		24
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate								
swaps, net		13		(11)		(75)		(495)
Reclassification of unrealized loss on equity								
securities		3,802				4,627		
Reclassification on sale of equity securities		21				21		(538)
Unrealized loss on equity securities		(883)				(4,575)		
Total other comprehensive income		5,080		3,279		9,147		11,637
Comprehensive income	\$	9,951	\$	8,215	\$	23,361	\$	25,289

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

mber 30, 2011

BIOMED REALTY, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITAL (In thousands, except unit data) (Unaudited)

Accumulated

			Limited P	nited Partners Other General Partner s Total						ners Other			
	Preferred	Series A	Capi	tal	Cap		omprehensiv	nprehensivePartne N o		ing Tot			
	Units	Amount	Units	Amount	Units	Amount (I	Loss)/Income		Interests Deficit	Equi			
nce at nber 31, 2010 suances of ted restricted	9,200,000	\$ 222,413	3,001,250	\$ 9,918	131,046,509	\$ 2,150,314	\$ (69,549) \$	52,313,096	\$ (200)	\$ 2,312			
nits ersion of OP					197,322	(2,421)		(2,421))	(2			
			(21,271)	49	21,271	(49))						
mption of red units ng of	(1,280,000)	(31,109)						(31,109))	(31			
-based awards ocation of equity						5,554		5,554		5			
ited partners butions come ssification on than-temporary		(12,382) 12,547		1,119 (1,790) 318		(1,119) (78,753) 13,933		(92,925) 26,798	(37)	(92 26			
rment of etable securities ssification on							4,627	4,627		4			
f marketable ities ilized loss on							21	21					
securities tization of							(4,575)	(4,575))	(4			
red interest costs dized gain on							5,279	5,279		5			
ments, net							3,795	3,795		3			
ice at													

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

7,920,000 \$191,469 2,979,979 \$ 9,614 131,265,102 \$2,087,459 \$(60,402) \$2,228,140 \$(237) \$2,227

BIOMED REALTY, L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		30,
	2011		2010
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 26,761	\$	26,374
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	105,919		83,159
Allowance for doubtful accounts	2,194		108
Non-cash revenue adjustments	7,699		(905)
Other non-cash adjustments	10,442		11,208
Compensation expense related to share-based payments	5,554		5,316
Distributions representing a return on capital from unconsolidated partnerships	1,573		1,195
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	3,641		2,971
Accounts receivable	(2,614)		(3,306)
Accrued straight-line rents	(17,907)		(20,501)
Deferred leasing costs	(10,830)		(3,223)
Other assets	(5,450)		(14,639)
Security deposits	487		1,038
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	7,574		14,119
Net cash provided by operating activities	135,043		102,914
Investing activities:			
Purchases of interests in and additions to investments in real estate and related			
intangible assets	(156,421)		(309,869)
Contributions to unconsolidated partnerships, net	(14,351)		(4,318)
Purchases of equity securities	(2,125)		
Proceeds from the sale of equity securities	31		1,227
Funds held in escrow for acquisitions	(5,500)		(6,572)
Net cash used in investing activities	(178,366)		(319,532)
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of OP units			523,756
Redemption of Series A preferred units	(31,109)		
Payment of deferred loan costs	(9,711)		(8,814)
Unsecured line of credit proceeds	400,075		366,992
Unsecured line of credit payments	(576,425)		(750,608)
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(52,554)		(5,514)
Secured term loan repayments			(250,000)
Repurchases of exchangeable senior notes due 2026			(26,410)
Proceeds from exchangeable senior notes due 2030			180,000

Proceeds from unsecured senior notes 397,460 247,443

13

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2011		2010
Deferred settlement payments on interest rate swaps, net		(75)		(495)
Distributions paid to unitholders		(76,481)		(46,245)
Distributions paid to preferred unitholders		(12,973)		(12,722)
Net cash provided by financing activities		38,207		217,383
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents		(5,116)		765
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period		21,467		19,922
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	16,351	\$	20,687
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Cash paid during the period for interest (net of amounts capitalized of \$5,308 and				
\$4,136, respectively)	\$	50,065	\$	50,507
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Accrual for unit distributions declared	\$	26,849	\$	22,751
Accrual for preferred unit distributions declared		3,651		4,241
Accrued additions to real estate and related intangible assets		17,026		23,157
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial staten	nents.			

14

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. BIOMED REALTY, L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Organization of the Parent Company and Description of Business

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the Parent Company), was incorporated in Maryland on April 30, 2004. On August 11, 2004, the Parent Company commenced operations after completing its initial public offering. The Parent Company operates as a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT) focused on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry principally through its subsidiary, BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership (the Operating Partnership and together with the Parent Company referred to as the Company). The Company s tenants primarily include biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, scientific research institutions, government agencies and other entities involved in the life science industry. The Company s properties are generally located in markets with well-established reputations as centers for scientific research, including Boston, San Francisco, Maryland, San Diego, New York/New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Seattle.

The Parent Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of September 30, 2011, owned a 97.8% interest in the Operating Partnership. The remaining 2.2% interest in the Operating Partnership is held by limited partners. Each partner s percentage interest in the Operating Partnership is determined based on the number of operating partnership units and long-term incentive plan units (LTIP units and together with the operating partnership units, the OP units) owned as compared to total OP units (and potentially issuable OP units, as applicable) outstanding as of each period end and is used as the basis for the allocation of net income or loss to each partner.

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and in conjunction with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and eliminations, consisting of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for these interim periods have been recorded. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes therein included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, partnerships and limited liability companies it controls, and variable interest entities for which the Company has determined itself to be the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The Company consolidates entities the Company controls and records a noncontrolling interest for the portions not owned by the Company. Control is determined, where applicable, by the sufficiency of equity invested and the rights of the equity holders, and by the ownership of a majority of the voting interests, with consideration given to the existence of approval or veto rights granted to the minority stockholder. If the minority stockholder holds substantive participating rights, it overcomes the presumption of control by the majority voting interest holder. In contrast, if the minority stockholder simply holds protective rights (such as consent rights over certain actions), it does not overcome the presumption of control by the majority voting interest holder.

Investments in Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies

The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary in six variable interest entities, or VIEs, consisting of single-tenant properties in which the tenant has a fixed-price purchase option, which are consolidated and reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Selected financial data of the VIEs at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consist of the following:

	September 30 2011	, December 31, 2010
Investment in real estate, net	\$ 413,089	\$ 375,428
Total assets	457,962	2 414,993
Total debt	147,000	147,000
Total liabilities	157,598	3 161,697
Investment to Deal Enterty Net		

Investments in Real Estate, Net

Investments in real estate, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Sep	otember 30, 2011	De	cember 31, 2010
Land	\$	595,745	\$	578,753
Land under development		44,737		47,920
Buildings and improvements		3,257,987		3,160,392
Construction in progress		101,044		91,027
		3,999,513		3,878,092
Accumulated depreciation		(423,209)		(341,978)
	\$	3,576,304	\$	3,536,114

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed

The Company reviews long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset s use and eventual disposition. These cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If impairment exists due to the inability to recover the carrying value of a long-lived asset, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair-value of the property. The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the values of its investments in long-lived assets. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company s net income because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Although the Company s strategy is to hold its properties over the long-term, if the Company s strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized to reduce the property to the lower of the carrying amount or fair-value, and such loss could be material. As of and through September 30, 2011, no assets have been identified as impaired and no such impairment losses have been recognized.

Accumulated Amortization

Deferred leasing costs, acquired above-market leases, acquired below-market leases, and lease incentives are recorded net of accumulated amortization. Accumulated amortization balances consisted of the following (in thousands):

December 31,

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

	Se	ptember 30,	
		2011	2010
Deferred leasing costs	\$	173,154	\$ 150,702
Acquired above-market leases		19,733	12,572
Acquired below-market leases		33,303	32,193
Lease incentives		7,345	5,698

16

Investments

Investments in equity securities, which are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	-	otember 30, 2011	De	31, 2010
Available-for-sale securities, cost basis	\$	6,032	\$	4,133
Other-than-temporary unrealized loss		(4,516)		
Unrealized loss				(73)
Available-for-sale securities, fair-value(1)		1,516		4,060
Cost method securities, cost basis		2,125		
Total equity securities	\$	3,641	\$	4,060

(1) Determination of fair-value is classified as Level 1 in the fair-value hierarchy based on the use of quoted prices in active markets.

The Company s investments in available-for-sale securities of two publicly traded companies currently have fair market values that are less than the Company s initial cost basis in these securities due to decreases in their respective stock prices during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company reclassified to other expense from accumulated other comprehensive loss, an unrealized loss, considered to be other than temporary, of approximately \$3.8 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, relating to its investment in securities of these companies. Management will continue to periodically evaluate whether any investment, the fair-value of which is less than the Company s initial cost basis, should be considered other-than-temporarily-impaired. If other than temporary impairment is considered to exist, the related unrealized loss will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income and recorded as a reduction of net income.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company sold a portion of its available-for-sale securities, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$1.2 million and a realized gain on sale of approximately \$865,000 (based on a specific identification of the securities sold), which was reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss and recognized in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

The Company s remaining investments consisted of securities in privately-held companies or funds, which are recorded at cost basis due to the Company s lack of control or significant influence over such companies or funds. The Company invested in equity securities of one privately-held company and one privately-held fund during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. There were no identified events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the carrying value of the Company s cost basis investments, and, therefore, no evaluation of impairment was performed during the three months ended September 30, 2011 on the Company s cost basis investments.

Management s Estimates

Management has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reporting of revenue and expenses during the reporting period to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and reported amounts of revenue and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

3. Equity of the Parent Company

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Parent Company issued restricted stock awards to the Company s employees and directors totaling 336,044 and 15,085 shares of common stock, respectively (129,342 shares of common stock were surrendered to the Company and subsequently retired in lieu of cash payments for taxes due on the vesting of restricted stock and 24,465 shares were forfeited during the same period), which are included in the total of common stock outstanding as of the period end.

17

Common Stock, Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding 131,265,102 shares of the Parent Company s common stock and 2,593,538 and 386,441 operating partnership and LTIP units, respectively. A share of the Parent Company s common stock and the operating partnership and LTIP units have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership.

7.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding 7,920,000 shares of the Parent Company s 7.375% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, or Series A preferred stock. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company completed the repurchase of 1,280,000 shares of the Parent Company s Series A preferred stock for approximately \$31.1 million, or \$24.30 per share, net of accrued dividends of approximately \$250,000, or \$0.20 per share. The repurchase of the Series A preferred stock resulted in the recognition of costs on extinguishment of preferred stock of approximately \$165,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as a result of the difference between the carrying value and the price paid to repurchase the Series A preferred stock.

Dividends and Distributions

The following table lists the dividends and distributions declared by the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership during the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

		Amount		Dividend an		ividend and		
Declaration Date	Securities Class	Per	Period Covered	Distribution Payable Dat	e A			
March 14, 2011	Common stock and OP units	\$ 0.20000	January 1, 2011 to March 31, 2011	April 15, 201		,		
Waten 14, 2011	Series A preferred	ψ 0.20000	January 16, 2011 to April 15, 2011	April 15, 201	1 \$	4,240		
March 14, 2011	stock/units	\$ 0.46094						
	Common stock	.	April 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011	July 15, 2011	\$	26,848		
June 15, 2011	and OP units Series A preferred	\$ 0.20000	April 16, 2011 to July 15, 2011	July 15, 2011	\$	4,241		
June 15, 2011	stock/units	\$ 0.46094						
September 15, 2011	Common stock and OP units	\$ 0.20000	July 1, 2011 to September 30, 2011	October 17, 2011	\$	26,849		
	Series A preferred		July 16, 2011 to October 15, 2011	October 17, 2011	\$	3,901		
September 15, 2011	stock/units	\$ 0.46094						
Total 2011 dividends and distributions declared through September 30, 2011 (in thousands):								
Common stock and Common					\$	80,543 12,382		
					\$	92,925		

Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests on the consolidated balance sheets of the Parent Company relate primarily to the OP units in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Parent Company. With respect to the noncontrolling interests in

the Operating Partnership, noncontrolling interests with redemption provisions that permit the issuer to settle in either cash or common stock at the option of the issuer are further evaluated to determine whether temporary or permanent equity classification on the balance sheet is appropriate. Since the OP units comprising the noncontrolling interests contain such a provision, the Company evaluated this guidance, including the requirement to settle in unregistered shares, and determined that the OP units meet the requirements to qualify for presentation as permanent equity. The Company evaluates individual redeemable noncontrolling interests for the ability to continue to recognize the noncontrolling interest as permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Any redeemable noncontrolling interest that fails to qualify as permanent equity will be reclassified as temporary equity and adjusted to the greater of (1) the carrying amount, or (2) its redemption value as of the end of the period in which the determination is made. The redemption value of the OP units not owned by the Parent Company, had such units been redeemed at September 30, 2011, was approximately \$49.7 million based on the average closing price of the Parent Company s common stock of \$16.69 per share for the ten consecutive trading days immediately preceding September 30, 2011.

18

The following table shows the vested ownership interests in the Operating Partnership were as follows:

	September	r 30, 2011	December	31, 2010
	Operating Partnership Units and LTIP	Percentage of	Operating Partnership Units and LTIP	Percentage of
	Units	Total	Units	Total
BioMed Realty Trust Noncontrolling interest consisting of: Operating partnership and LTIP units held	129,872,349	97.8%	129,603,445	97.8%
by employees and related parties Operating partnership and LTIP units held	2,332,318	1.8%	2,268,873	1.7%
by third parties	588,801	0.4%	588,801	0.5%
Total	132,793,468	100.0%	132,461,119	100.0%

4. Capital of the Operating Partnership

Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units

As of September 30, 2011, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 133,858,640 operating partnership units and 386,441 LTIP units. The Parent Company owned 97.8% of the partnership interests in the Operating Partnership at September 30, 2011, is the Operating Partnership s general partner and is responsible for the management of the Operating Partnership s business. As the general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Parent Company effectively controls the ability to issue common stock of the Parent Company upon a limited partner s notice of redemption. In addition, the general partner of the Operating Partnership has generally acquired OP units upon a limited partner s notice of redemption in exchange for shares of the Parent Company s common stock. The redemption provisions of OP units owned by limited partners that permit the issuer to settle in either cash or common stock at the option of the issuer are further evaluated in accordance with applicable accounting guidance to determine whether temporary or permanent equity classification on the balance sheet is appropriate. The Operating Partnership evaluated this guidance, including the requirement to settle in unregistered shares, and determined that these OP units meet the requirements to qualify for presentation as permanent equity.

The redemption value of the OP units owned by the limited partners, not including the Parent Company, had such units been redeemed at September 30, 2011, was approximately \$49.7 million based on the average closing price of the Parent Company s common stock of \$16.69 per share for the ten consecutive trading days immediately preceding September 30, 2011.

5. Debt

Debt of the Parent Company

The Parent Company does not hold any indebtedness. All debt is held directly or indirectly by the Operating Partnership; however, the Parent Company has guaranteed the Operating Partnership s Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2026 (the Notes due 2026), Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2030 (the Notes due 2030), Unsecured Senior Notes due 2016 (the Notes due 2016), Unsecured Senior Notes due 2020 (the Notes due 2020) and unsecured line of credit.

Debt of the Operating Partnership

A summary of the Operating Partnership s outstanding consolidated debt as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Stated		Principa September	al Balance December	
	Fixed	Effective	30 ,	31,	
	Interest Rate	Interest Rate	2011	2010	Maturity Date
Mortgage Notes Payable	Nate	Kate	2011	2010	Maturity Date
Ardentech Court (1)	7.25%	5.06%	\$	\$ 4,237	July 1, 2012
Center for Life Science	7.23 70	2.0070	Ψ	Ψ 1,237	June 30, 2014
Boston	7.75%	7.75%	343,031	345,577	vane 30, 2011
500 Kendall Street (Kendall D)	6.38%	5.45%	62,765	64,230	December 1, 2018
6828 Nancy Ridge Drive	7.15%	5.38%	6,402	6,488	September 1, 2012
Road to the Cure (1)	6.70%	5.78%	-, -	14,696	January 31, 2014
10255 Science Center Drive				,	July 1, 2011
(1)	7.65%	5.04%		10,800	•
Shady Grove Road	5.97%	5.97%	147,000	147,000	September 1, 2016
Sidney Street	7.23%	5.11%	26,655	27,395	June 1, 2012
Sorrento West (2)	7.42%	2.72%	13,043	13,247	November 10, 2011
9865 Towne Centre Drive (1)	7.95%	7.95%		17,636	June 30, 2013
900 Uniqema Boulevard	8.61%	5.61%	865	1,011	May 1, 2015
			599,761	652,317	
Unamortized premiums			3,645	5,605	
Mortgage notes payable, net			603,406	657,922	
Notes due 2026	4.50%	6.45%	19,800	19,800	October 1, 2026
Unamortized discount				(278))
			40.000	10.700	
Notes due 2026, net (3)	2.554	2.750	19,800	19,522	15 2020
Notes due 2030	3.75%	3.75%	180,000	180,000	January 15, 2030
Euchanachla anionnata ant			100 000	199,522	
Exchangeable senior notes, net Notes due 2016	3.85%	3.99%	199,800 400,000	199,322	Amril 15 2016
	3.83%	3.99%	•		April 15, 2016
Unamortized discount (4)			(2,307)		
Notes due 2016, net			397,693		
Notes due 2020	6.13%	6.27%	250,000	250,000	April 15, 2020
Unamortized discount (5)	0.1370	0.2770	(2,281)	(2,429)	_
Chamorazea discount (3)			(2,201)	(2,12)	
Notes due 2020, net			247,719	247,571	
Unsecured senior notes, net			645,412	247,571	
Unsecured line of credit (6)	1.78%	1.78%	216,100	392,450	July 13, 2015
	-1.0,0		,	= -, - = 0	<i>J</i> - ,
Total consolidated debt			\$ 1,664,718	\$ 1,497,465	

- (1) During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Operating Partnership voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$47.2 million pertaining to the Ardentech Court, Road to the Cure, 10255 Science Center Drive and 9865 Towne Centre Drive properties, prior to their respective maturity dates.
- (2) In October 2011, the Operating Partnership voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage note pertaining to the Sorrento West property, in the amount of approximately \$13.0 million, prior to its maturity date.
- (3) As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the carrying value of the equity component recognized was approximately \$14.0 million. In October 2011, the Operating Partnership repurchased and redeemed in full the outstanding principal amount of the Notes due 2026.
- (4) The unamortized debt discount will be amortized through April 15, 2016, the maturity date of the Notes due 2016.
- (5) The unamortized debt discount will be amortized through April 15, 2020, the maturity date of the Notes due 2020.
- (6) At September 30, 2011, the Operating Partnership had additional borrowing capacity under the unsecured line of credit of up to approximately \$526.1 million (net of outstanding letters of credit issued by the Operating Partnership and drawable on the unsecured line of credit of approximately \$7.8 million).

20

Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2030

The exchange rate for the Notes due 2030 may be adjusted under certain circumstances, including the payment of cash dividends in excess of \$0.14 per share of common stock. The increase in the quarterly cash dividend from the second quarter of 2010 through the second quarter of 2011 to \$0.20 per share of common stock resulted in an increase in the exchange rate of the Notes due 2030 from 55.0782 to 55.6548 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes due 2030, effective as of June 28, 2011, the Company s ex-dividend date.

Unsecured Senior Notes due 2016, net

On March 30, 2011, the Operating Partnership issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Notes due 2016. The purchase price paid by the underwriters was 99.365% of the principal amount and the Notes due 2016 have been recorded on the consolidated balance sheet net of the discount. The Notes due 2016 are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership. However, the Notes due 2016 are effectively subordinated to the Operating Partnership s existing and future mortgages and other secured indebtedness (to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness) and to all existing and future preferred equity and liabilities, whether secured or unsecured, of the Operating Partnership s subsidiaries, including guarantees provided by the Operating Partnership s subsidiaries under the Operating Partnership s unsecured line of credit. Interest at a rate of 3.85% per year is payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year, beginning on October 15, 2011, until the stated maturity date of April 15, 2016. The terms of the Notes due 2016 are governed by a base indenture and supplemental indenture, each dated March 30, 2011, among the Operating Partnership, as issuer, the Parent Company, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

The Operating Partnership may redeem the Notes due 2016, in whole or in part, at any time for cash at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the principal amount of the Notes due 2016 being redeemed; or (2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest thereon discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the adjusted treasury rate plus 30 basis points, plus in each case, accrued and unpaid interest.

The terms of the indenture for the Notes due 2016 require compliance with various financial covenants, including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by the Operating Partnership and which require the Operating Partnership to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2011.

Unsecured Line of Credit

On July 14, 2011, the Operating Partnership entered into an unsecured credit agreement with KeyBank National Association, as administrative agent, and certain other lenders. The unsecured credit agreement provides for available borrowings under a revolving line of credit of \$750.0 million and a maturity date of July 13, 2015. Subject to the administrative agent s reasonable discretion, the Operating Partnership may increase the amount of the revolving credit commitments to \$1.25 billion upon satisfying certain conditions. In addition, the Operating Partnership, at its sole discretion, may extend the maturity date of the revolving line of credit to July 13, 2016 after satisfying certain conditions and paying an extension fee. The revolving line of credit bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Operating Partnership s option, either (1) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus a spread which ranges from 100 to 205 basis points, depending on the Company s credit ratings, or (2) the highest of (a) the prime rate then in effect plus a spread which ranges from 50 to 175 basis points or (c) one month LIBOR plus a spread which ranges from 100 to 205 basis points, in each case, depending on the Company s credit ratings. In addition, a facility fee is payable on line capacity at an annual rate depending on the Company s credit rating, which is currently at 35 basis points.

The unsecured credit agreement includes certain restrictions and covenants which require compliance with financial covenants relating to the minimum amounts of net worth, fixed charge coverage, unsecured debt service coverage, overall leverage and unsecured leverage ratios, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness and certain investment limitations. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2011. The unsecured credit agreement specifies a number of events of default (some of which are subject to applicable cure periods), including, among others, the failure to make payments when due, noncompliance with covenants and

defaults under other agreements or instruments of indebtedness. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the lenders may terminate the revolving line of credit and declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable.

21

As of September 30, 2011 principal payments due for the Operating Partnership s consolidated indebtedness (excluding debt premiums and discounts) were as follows (in thousands):

2011(1)	\$ 34,984
2012	40,478
2013	8,291
2014	339,020
2015	222,353
Thereafter(2)	1,020,535
	\$ 1,665,661

- (1) Includes \$19.8 million in principal payments of the Notes due 2026 which were repurchased and redeemed in full in October 2011.
- (2) Includes \$180.0 million in principal payments of the Notes due 2030 based on a contractual maturity date of January 15, 2030.

6. Earnings Per Share of the Parent Company

Through September 30, 2011 all of the Company s participating securities (including the OP units) received dividends/distributions at an equal dividend/distribution rate per share/unit. As a result, the portion of net income allocable to the weighted-average restricted stock outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 has been deducted from net income available to common stockholders to calculate basic earnings per share. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 includes the outstanding OP units (both vested and unvested) in the weighted-average shares, and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership has been added back to net income available to common stockholders. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the restricted stock was anti-dilutive to the calculation of diluted earnings per share and was therefore excluded. As a result, diluted earnings per share was calculated based upon net income available to common stockholders less net income allocable to unvested restricted stock and distributions in excess of earnings attributable to unvested restricted stock. No shares were issuable upon settlement of the excess exchange value pursuant to the exchange settlement feature of the Notes due 2026 as the common stock price at September 30, 2011 and 2010 did not exceed the exchange price then in effect. In addition, shares issuable upon settlement of the exchange feature of the Notes due 2030 were anti-dilutive and were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share based on the if converted method for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. No other shares were considered anti-dilutive for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Computations of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except share data) were as follows:

	Three Mor Septem				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011 2010			2011			2010	
Basic earnings per share:								
Net income available to common								
stockholders	\$ 4,765	\$	4,832	\$	13,933	\$	13,331	
Less: net income allocable and distributions								
in excess of earnings to participating								
securities	(278)		(239)		(854)		(615)	

Edgar Filing:	BioMed	Realty	Trust	Inc -	Form	10-Q

Net income attributable to common stockholders basic	\$	4,487	\$	4,593	\$	13,079	\$	12,716
Diluted earnings per share: Net income attributable to common stockholders basic Add: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in operating	\$	4,487	\$	4,593	\$	13,079	\$	12,716
partnership		111		122		318		359
Net income attributable to common stockholders and participating securities	\$	4,598	\$	4,715	\$	13,397	\$	13,075
Weighted-average common shares outstanding: Basic Incremental shares from assumed	129	9,872,349	11	2,910,694	1:	29,834,429	10	07,003,096
conversion: Operating partnership and LTIP units	2	2,979,979	3,001,250		2,985,259			3,025,644
Diluted	132,852,328		115,911,944		132,819,688		110,028,740	
Basic and diluted earnings per share: Net income per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.10	\$	0.12

22

7. Earnings Per Unit of the Operating Partnership

Through September 30, 2011 all of the Operating Partnership s participating securities received distributions at an equal distribution rate per unit. As a result, the portion of net income allocable to the weighted-average unvested OP units outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 has been deducted from net income available to unitholders to calculate basic earnings per unit. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 the unvested OP units were anti-dilutive to the calculation of earnings per unit and were therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per unit, and diluted earnings per unit is calculated based upon net income attributable to unitholders. No shares of common stock of the Parent Company were contingently issuable upon settlement of the excess exchange value pursuant to the exchange settlement feature of the Notes due 2026 as the common stock price at September 30, 2011 and 2010 did not exceed the exchange price then in effect. In addition, units issuable upon settlement of the exchange feature of the Notes due 2030 were anti-dilutive and were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per unit based on the if converted method for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. No other units were considered anti-dilutive for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Computations of basic and diluted earnings per unit (in thousands, except share data) were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2	011	2	2010		2011	2010		
Basic earnings per unit:									
Net income available to unitholders	\$	4,876	\$	4,954	\$	14,251	\$	13,690	
Less: net income allocable and distributions in excess of earnings to participating									
securities		(290)		(266)		(891)		(686)	
Net income attributable to unitholders basic									
and diluted	\$	4,586	\$	4,688	\$	13,360	\$	13,004	
Weighted-average units outstanding:									
Basic and diluted	132,	793,468	115	,768,368	13	2,759,427	10	9,882,418	
Basic and diluted earnings per unit: Net income per unit attributable to									
unitholders, basic and diluted:	\$	0.03	\$	0.04	\$	0.10	\$	0.12	

8. Investment in Unconsolidated Partnerships

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include investments in two limited liability companies with Prudential Real Estate Investors (PREI), and in 10165 McKellar Court, L.P. (McKellar Court), a limited partnership with Quidel Corporation, the tenant which occupies the McKellar Court property. General information on the PREI limited liability companies and the McKellar Court partnership (each referred to in this footnote individually as a partnership and collectively as the partnerships) as of September 30, 2011 was as follows:

		Company s	Company s	
		Ownership	Economic	
Name	Partner	Interest	Interest	Date Acquired
PREI I LLC(1)	PREI	20%	20%	April 4, 2007
PREI II LLC(2)	PREI	20%	20%	April 4, 2007
McKellar Court(3)	Quidel Corporation	22%	22%	September 30, 2004

- (1) PREITLLC owns a portfolio of six properties in Cambridge, Massachusetts comprised of laboratory/office buildings. At September 30, 2011, there were \$199.3 million in outstanding borrowings on the PREI joint ventures secured acquisition and interim loan facility, with a contractual interest rate of 3.73% (including the applicable credit spread) which matures on February 10, 2012. At maturity, the PREI joint ventures may refinance the secured acquisition and interim loan facility, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or they may repay the principal balance through capital contributions of the members. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company s joint venture with PREITLLC entered into an agreement with certain lenders to extend the maturity date of its secured construction loan facility, extending the maturity date to August 13, 2013 and decreasing the borrowing capacity to \$139.0 million, which required the repayment of approximately \$67.0 million by capital contributions of the partners, including the Company s share of the repayment of approximately \$13.4 million. At September 30, 2011, there was \$139.0 million in outstanding borrowings on the secured construction loan facility, with a contractual interest rate of 3.23% (including the applicable credit spread).
- (2) The Company s remaining investment in PREI II LLC (maximum exposure to losses) was approximately \$818,000 at September 30, 2011.
- (3) The Company s investment in the McKellar Court partnership (maximum exposure to losses) was approximately \$12.4 million at September 30, 2011. The Company s economic interest in the McKellar Court partnership entitles it to 75% of the extraordinary cash flows after repayment of the partners capital contributions and 22% of the operating cash flows.

The Company acts as the operating member or partner, as applicable, and day-to-day manager for the partnerships. The Company is entitled to receive fees for providing construction and development services (as applicable) and management services to the PREI joint ventures. The Company earned approximately \$244,000 and \$758,000 in fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and approximately \$225,000 and \$1.1 million in fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, for services provided to the PREI joint ventures, which are reflected in tenant recoveries and other income in the consolidated statements of income. The condensed combined balance sheets for all of the Company s unconsolidated partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

	September				
		December 31, 2010			
Assets:					
Investments in real estate, net	\$	604,297	\$	620,430	
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash)		10,150		7,914	
Intangible assets, net		11,253		12,303	
Other assets		24,161		26,412	
Total assets	\$	649,861	\$	667,059	
Liabilities and members equity:					
Mortgage notes payable and secured construction loan	\$	348,530	\$	415,933	
Other liabilities		17,592		18,101	
Members equity		283,739		233,025	
Total liabilities and equity	\$	649,861	\$	667,059	

Company s net investment in unconsolidated partnerships

\$

67,383

\$

57,265

24

The condensed combined statements of operations for the unconsolidated partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2011		2010		2011		2010	
Total revenues	\$	9,232	\$	9,967	\$	28,196	\$	26,981	
Rental operations expenses and real estate taxes		5,971		5,251		16,926		14,531	
Depreciation and amortization		4,716		4,166		14,023		10,931	
Professional fees		207		399		574		950	
Interest expense, net of interest income		3,403		2,997		10,123		8,011	
Total expenses		14,297		12,813		41,646		34,423	
Net loss	\$	(5,065)	\$	(2,846)	\$	(13,450)	\$	(7,442)	
Company s equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	\$	(735)	\$	(308)	\$	(1,849)	\$	(686)	

9. Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had deferred interest costs of approximately \$50.9 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to forward starting swaps, which were settled with the corresponding counterparties in March and April 2009. The forward starting swaps were entered into to mitigate the Company s exposure to the variability in expected future cash flows attributable to changes in future interest rates associated with a forecasted issuance of fixed-rate debt, with interest payments for a minimum of ten years. The deferred interest costs will be amortized as additional interest expense over a remaining period of approximately eight years.

The following is a summary of the Company s derivative instruments and their fair-values, which are included in derivative instruments (liability account) or other assets based on their respective balances on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (in thousands):

	Fair-Value(1)					
	September					
	30,	De	December 31,			
	2011		2010			
Interest rate swaps (2)	\$	\$	(3,826)			
Other (3)	1	3	26			
Total derivative instruments	\$ 1	3 \$	(3,800)			

- (1) Fair-value of derivative instruments does not include any related accrued interest payable, which is included in accrued expenses on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Derivative valuations are classified in Level 2 of the fair-value hierarchy.
- (2) Two interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$150.0 million expired on August 1, 2011.
- (3) Includes stock purchase warrants that are recorded as derivative instruments and are reflected in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the fair-value of the stock purchase warrants are

included in earnings in the period in which they occur.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair-value of the derivative is initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings in the period in which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair-value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings.

25

The Company s use of proceeds from its March 2011 unsecured debt offering to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness on its unsecured line of credit caused the amount of variable-rate indebtedness to fall below the combined notional value of the outstanding interest rate swaps on March 30, 2011, causing the Company to be overhedged. As a result, the Company re-performed tests to assess the effectiveness of its interest rate swaps. Although the interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$150.0 million passed the assessment tests and the \$115.0 million swap continued to qualify for hedge accounting, the \$35.0 million swap no longer qualified for hedge accounting due to the lack of variable rate debt expected to be outstanding during the remaining term of the swap. From the date that hedge accounting was discontinued on the \$35.0 million swap, changes in the fair-value associated with this interest rate swap were recorded directly to earnings, resulting in the recognition of a gain of approximately \$12,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, which is included as a component of loss on derivative instruments. The Company accelerated the reclassification of amounts deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings related to the hedged forecasted transactions that became probable of not occurring during the period in which the Company was overhedged. This resulted in a cumulative charge to earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of approximately \$1.0 million.

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recorded total gain on derivative instruments of \$64,000 primarily related to the increase in the amount of the variable-rate indebtedness relating to the remaining \$150.0 million interest rate swaps (see above) and changes in the fair-value of other derivative instruments. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recorded total loss on derivative instruments of \$564,000, primarily related to the reduction in the amount of the variable-rate indebtedness relating to the remaining \$150.0 million interest rate swaps (see above), hedge ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges due to mismatches in maturity dates and interest rate reset dates between the interest rate swaps and corresponding debt and changes in the fair-value of other derivative instruments. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded total losses on derivative instruments of \$287,000 and \$634,000, respectively, primarily related to the discontinuance of hedge accounting for the Company s former \$250.0 million interest rate swap, hedge ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges due to mismatches in maturity dates and interest rate dates between the interest rate swaps and corresponding debt and changes in the fair-value of other derivative instruments.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivatives will be reclassified to earnings during the period in which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The change in net unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative instruments includes reclassifications of net unrealized losses from accumulated other comprehensive loss as (1) an increase to interest expense of \$2.2 million and \$8.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$3.5 million and \$14.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and (2) a gain on derivative instruments of \$64,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011, a loss on derivative instruments of \$564,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and a loss on derivative instruments of \$287,000 and \$634,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that an additional \$7.0 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss as an increase to interest expense. In addition, approximately \$41,000 and \$236,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and approximately \$65,000 and \$646,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, of settlement payments on interest rate swaps have been deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss and will be amortized over the useful lives of the related development or redevelopment projects.

The following is a summary of the amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive income related to the derivative instruments (in thousands):

Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010 Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010

Amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (effective portion): Cash flow hedges

Interest rate swaps \$ \$ 415 \$ 104 \$ 1,933

26

The following is a summary of the amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense related to the derivative instruments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months September				
		2011		2010		2011		2010	
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated									
other comprehensive loss to income (effective									
portion):									
Cash flow hedges									
Interest rate swaps(1)	\$	(444)	\$	(1,676)	\$	(3,385)	\$	(8,647)	
Forward starting swaps(2)		(1,754)		(1,776)		(5,279)		(5,343)	
Total interest rate swaps	\$	(2,198)	\$	(3,452)	\$	(8,664)	\$	(13,990)	

- (1) Amount represents payments made to swap counterparties for the effective portion of interest rate swaps that were recognized as an increase to interest expense for the periods presented (the amount was recorded as an increase and corresponding decrease to accumulated other comprehensive loss in the same accounting period).
- (2) Amount represents reclassifications of deferred interest costs from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense related to the Company s previously settled forward starting swaps.

The following is a summary of the amount of gain/(loss) recognized in income as a loss on derivative instruments related to the ineffective portion of the derivative instruments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	,	2011		2010		2011	4	2010
Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in income (ineffective portion and amount excluded from								
effectiveness testing):								
Cash flow hedges								
Interest rate swaps	\$	80	\$	(301)	\$		\$	(245)
Ineffective interest rate swaps						(544)		(416)
Total interest rate swaps		80		(301)		(544)		(661)
Other derivative instruments		(16)		14		(20)		27
Total gain/(loss) on derivative instruments	\$	64	\$	(287)	\$	(564)	\$	(634)

10. Fair-Value of Financial Instruments

The Company s disclosures of estimated fair-value of financial instruments at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methods. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair-value. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair-value amounts.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, security deposits, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities approximate fair-value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

The Company utilizes quoted market prices to estimate the fair-value of its fixed-rate and variable-rate debt, when available. If quoted market prices are not available, the Company calculates the fair-value of its mortgage notes payable and other fixed-rate debt based on a currently available market rate assuming the loans are outstanding through maturity and considering the collateral. In determining the current market rate for fixed-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar terms to debt. In determining the current market rate for variable-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the current effective interest rate. The carrying value of interest rate swaps, as well as the underlying hedged liability, if applicable, are reflected at their fair-value. The Company receives quotations from a third party to use in estimating these fair-values.

27

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the aggregate fair-value and the carrying value of the Company s financial instruments were as follows (in thousands):

	Septem	ber 30, 2011	December 31, 2010			
		Carrying		Carrying		
	Fair-Value	Value	Fair-Value	Value		
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$ 691,610	\$ 603,406	\$ 729,561	\$ 657,922		
Notes due 2026, net (1)	19,800	19,800	23,244	19,522		
Notes due 2030	196,650	180,000	209,128	180,000		
Notes due 2016, net	394,016	397,693				
Notes due 2020, net	265,090	247,719	262,950	247,571		
Unsecured line of credit	212,530	216,100	388,567	392,450		
Derivative instruments (2)	13	13	(3,800)	(3,800)		
Available-for-sale securities	1,516	1,516	4,060	4,060		

- (1) In October 2011, the Operating Partnership repurchased and redeemed in full the outstanding principal amount of the Notes due 2026.
- (2) The Company s derivative instruments are reflected in other assets and derivative instruments (liability account) on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets based on their respective balances (see Note 9).

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As used herein, the terms we, us, our or the Company refer to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, any of our subsidiaries, including BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is the parent company and general partner, which may be referred to herein as the operating partnership. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. We make statements in this report that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In particular, statements pertaining to our capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties and you should not rely on them as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements depend on assumptions, data or methods which may be incorrect or imprecise, and we may not be able to realize them. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described will happen as described (or that they will happen at all). You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, seeks, approximately, or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phrases. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements: adverse economic or real estate developments in the life science industry or in our target markets, including the inability of our tenants to obtain funding to run their businesses; reductions in asset valuations and related impairment charges; our dependence upon significant tenants; our failure to obtain necessary outside financing on favorable terms or at all, including the continued availability of our unsecured line of credit; general economic conditions, including downturns in the national and local economies; volatility in financial and securities markets; defaults on or non-renewal of leases by tenants; our inability to compete effectively; increased interest rates and operating costs; our inability to successfully complete real estate acquisitions, developments and dispositions; risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction; our failure to successfully operate acquired properties and operations; the loss of services of one or more of our executive officers; our failure to qualify or continue to qualify as a REIT; failure to maintain our investment grade credit ratings with the rating agencies; government approvals, actions and initiatives, including the need for compliance with environmental requirements; the effects of earthquakes

and other natural disasters; lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance; and changes in real estate, zoning and other laws and increases in real property tax rates. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

28

The risks included here are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance, including factors and risks included in other sections of this report. In addition, we discussed a number of material risks in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Those risks continue to be relevant to our performance and financial condition. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our company s business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

Overview

We operate as a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed REIT focused on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry. Our tenants primarily include biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, scientific research institutions, government agencies and other entities involved in the life science industry. Our properties are generally located in markets with well-established reputations as centers for scientific research, including Boston, San Francisco, Maryland, San Diego, New York/New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Seattle.

At September 30, 2011, we owned or had interests in a portfolio with an aggregate of approximately 12.3 million rentable square feet.

The following reflects the classification of our properties between stabilized properties (operating properties in which more than 90% of the rentable square footage is under lease), lease up (operating properties in which less than 90% of the rentable square footage is under lease), long-term lease up (our Pacific Industrial Center, Pacific Research Center North and Pacific Research Center South properties), redevelopment (properties that are currently being prepared for their intended use), development (properties that are currently under development through ground up construction) and development potential (representing management s estimates of rentable square footage if development of these properties was undertaken) at September 30, 2011:

		Gross ook Value thousands)	Buildings	Rentable Square Feet	Weighted Average Leased (1)
Stabilized	\$	2,822,146	92	6,920,197	99.3%
Lease up	·	642,639	32	2,631,489	53.5%
Current operating portfolio		3,464,785	124	9,551,686	90.8%
Long-term lease up		309,062	10	1,389,517	42.9%
Total operating portfolio		3,773,847	134	10,941,203	86.9%
Redevelopment		49,432	9	357,817	55.5%
Unconsolidated partnership portfolio		67,383	7	954,558	53.7%
Development potential		176,233		3,667,000	
Total portfolio	\$	4,066,895	150	15,920,578	

⁽¹⁾ Calculated based on gross book value for each asset multiplied by the percentage leased.

29

Acquisitions

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we acquired 265,000 rentable square feet of laboratory and office space, which was 100.0% leased at acquisition, and undeveloped land which we estimate can support up to approximately 696,000 rentable square feet of laboratory and office space, for \$40.5 million:

		Closing	Rentable Square		Percent Leased at
Property	Market	Date	Feet	vestment (In ousands)	Acquisition
1701 / 1711 Research Blvd	Maryland	May 9, 2011	104,743	\$ 17,500	100.0%
450 Kendall Street (Kendall G) (1)	Boston	May 31, 2011		5,030	n/a
Ardsley Park	New York / New Jersey	June 23, 2011	160,500	18,000	100.0%
Total / weighted average			265,243	\$ 40,530	100.0%

(1) Subsequent to quarter end, we anticipate paying \$2.9 million for an additional 19,470 square feet of development potential, bringing our aggregate investment to approximately \$8.0 million for approximately 53,000 square feet of development potential.

Factors Which May Influence Future Operations

Our long-term corporate strategy is to focus on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry. As of September 30, 2011, our current operating portfolio was 90.8% leased on a weighted average basis to 150 tenants. As of December 31, 2010, our current operating portfolio was 88.6% leased on a weighted average basis to 149 tenants. The increase in the overall leased percentage was due to an increase in leased square feet related to increased leasing activity and a decrease in the total rentable square feet in our current operating portfolio due to the placement of one property into the redevelopment portfolio.

Leases representing less than 0.1% of our leased square footage expire during the fourth quarter of 2011 and leases representing 4.9% of our leased square footage expire during 2012. Our leasing strategy for 2011 focuses on leasing vacant space, negotiating renewals for leases scheduled to expire during the year, and identifying new tenants or existing tenants seeking additional space to occupy the spaces for which we are unable to negotiate such renewals. We may proceed with additional new developments and acquisitions, as real estate and capital market conditions permit. As a direct result of the recent economic recession, we believe that the fair-values of some of our properties may have declined below their respective carrying values. However, to the extent that a property has a substantial remaining estimated useful life and management does not believe that the property will be disposed of prior to the end of its useful life, it would be unusual for undiscounted cash flows to be insufficient to recover the property s carrying value. We presently have the ability and intent to continue to own and operate our existing portfolio of properties and estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the operation of the properties are expected to be sufficient to recover the carrying value of each property. Accordingly, we do not believe that the carrying value of any of our properties is impaired. If our ability and/or our intent with regard to the operation of our properties otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized to reduce the property to the lower of the carrying amount or fair-value less costs to sell, and such loss could be material.

A discussion of additional factors which may influence future operations can be found below under Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies

A complete discussion of our critical accounting policies can be found in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

30

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following table sets forth the basis for presenting the historical financial information for same properties (all properties except redevelopment/development, new properties and corporate entities), redevelopment/development properties (properties that were entirely or primarily under redevelopment or development during either of the three months ended September 30, 2011 or 2010), new properties (properties that were not owned for each of the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and were not under redevelopment/development), and corporate entities (legal entities performing general and administrative functions and fees received from our PREI joint ventures), in thousands:

]	Rede	velopmen	t/Deve	lopmen	ıt					
	Same Properties			Properties			New Pro	perties	Corporate			
	2011	2010		2011	2	2010	2011	2010	20	011	2	010
Rental	\$ 71,845	\$71,948	\$	2,278	\$	384	\$ 9,700	\$ 637	\$	2	\$	2
Tenant recoveries	23,728	22,218		164		111	2,497	166		285		228
Other income	4,507	33					1			(21)		6
Total revenues	\$ 100,080	\$ 94,199	\$	2,442	\$	495	\$ 12,198	\$ 803	\$	266	\$	236

Rental Revenues. Rental revenues increased \$10.8 million to \$83.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$73.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010, and the commencement of leases.

Tenant Recoveries. Revenues from tenant reimbursements increased \$4.0 million to \$26.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$22.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010, the commencement of new leases, and higher rental operations expenses. Same property tenant recoveries increased \$1.5 million, or 6.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of the commencement of new leases and higher rental operations expenses.

The percentage of recoverable expenses recovered at our properties increased to 78.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 77.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010 and the commencement of new leases.

Other Income. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded approximately \$4.1 million in lease termination income related to an early lease termination at one of our properties. See a further description of this lease termination below. In addition to the lease termination income, we also recorded a lease settlement with another former tenant for approximately \$400,000. Other income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 primarily comprised development fees earned from our PREI joint ventures. Termination payments received for terminated leases for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 aggregated \$4.4 million and \$14,000, respectively.

The following table shows operating expenses for same properties, redevelopment/development properties, new properties, and corporate entities, in thousands:

Redevelopment/Development											
	Same Properties		Properties			New Pro	operties	Corporate			
	2011	2010		2011 2010 2011		2010 2011 2		2010	2011	2010	
Rental operations Real estate taxes	\$ 20,331 9,245	\$ 18,238 9,264	\$	128 238	\$	64 44	\$ 1,413 1,400	\$ 149 100	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,547	
Depreciation and	9,243	9,204		236		44	1,400	100			
amortization	28,738	27,338		1,125		114	6,432	322			
Total expenses	\$ 58,314	\$ 54,840	\$	1,491	\$	222	\$ 9,245	\$ 571	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,547	

Rental Operations Expense. Rental operations expense increased \$3.2 million to \$23.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$20.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010 and increases in same property rental operations expense. Same property rental operations expense increased \$2.1 million, or 11.5%, for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the commencement of new leases, higher bad debt expense and higher utility costs. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded bad debt expense of \$1.3 million as compared to net bad debt recoveries of \$145,000 for three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in bad debt expense related to amounts considered uncollectible as a result of a lease termination discussed below during the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the recovery of a previously recorded allowance in 2010.

Real Estate Tax Expense. Real estate tax expense increased \$1.5 million to \$10.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$9.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010.

31

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$8.5 million to \$36.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$27.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$900,000 to \$7.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$6.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in aggregate compensation costs due to higher headcount as compared to the prior year.

Acquisition Related Expenses. Acquisition related expenses decreased to \$136,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$420,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in acquisition activities in 2011 as compared to the prior year.

Equity in Net Loss of Unconsolidated Partnerships. Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships increased \$427,000 to \$735,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$308,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increased loss primarily reflects the commencement of depreciation and ceasing of interest capitalization on a vacant property that was under development in 2010 being placed into service.

Interest Expense. Interest cost incurred for the three months ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$25.0 million compared to \$22.8 million for three months ended September 30, 2010. Total interest cost incurred increased primarily as a result of the issuance of our Notes due 2016 in March 2011 and increases in the average interest rate on our outstanding borrowings due to the issuance of new fixed-rate indebtedness with a higher interest rate than the variable-rate indebtedness it replaced, partially offset by the expiration of derivative instruments and repayment of certain mortgage notes payable. Interest expense increased \$1.4 million to \$23.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$21.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Interest expense increased primarily as the result of the increase in interest cost incurred partially offset by an increase in capitalized interest.

Interest expense consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,							
		2011		2010				
Mortgage notes payable	\$	10,776	\$	11,838				
Amortization of debt premium on mortgage notes payable		(428)		(476)				
Amortization of deferred interest costs (see Note 9)		1,754		1,776				
Derivative instruments (see Note 9)		444		1,676				
Exchangeable senior notes		1,910		1,915				
Unsecured senior notes		7,678		3,829				
Amortization of debt discount		261		179				
Unsecured line of credit		1,435		1,004				
Amortization of deferred loan fees		1,125		1,039				
Interest cost incurred		24,955		22,780				
Capitalized interest		(1,997)		(1,191)				
Total interest expense	\$	22,958	\$	21,589				

Other Expense. Other expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 of \$3.8 million related to the reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive loss of an unrealized loss, considered to be other-than-temporary, related to investments in available-for-sale securities in two publicly traded companies. See the lease termination section below for further discussion.

Gain/(Loss) on Derivative Instruments. The gain on derivative instruments for the three months ended September 30, 2011 of \$64,000 was primarily related to an increase in our variable-rate indebtedness during the period resulting in

other comprehensive income being reclassified to the consolidated income statement due to mismatches in forecasted transactions on interest rate swaps. The loss on derivative instruments for the three months ended September 30, 2010 of \$287,000 was primarily related to a reduction in our variable-rate indebtedness during the period, resulting in other comprehensive loss being reclassified to the consolidated income statement due to mismatches in forecasted transactions on interest rate swaps.

32

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding 7.95% mortgage note totaling approximately \$17.5 million pertaining to the 9865 Towne Centre Drive property, prior to its maturity date. The prepayment resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$521,000 (representing a prepayment penalty and the write-off of deferred loan fees). During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we repurchased \$2.1 million face value of our Notes due 2026 at 100.3% of par. The repurchase resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$22,000 (representing the write-off of deferred loan fees and unamortized debt discount).

Lease termination. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded approximately \$4.1 million in lease termination income, which has been recorded as other income, related to an early lease termination at one of our properties. Consideration was in the form of marketable equity securities received from the former tenant and the recognition of previously deferred rental income related to the property. As a result of this lease termination, bad debt expense of approximately \$1.0 million was recorded and the amortization of certain intangibles was accelerated resulting in an additional depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$700,000. The net impact of this lease termination for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased net income by approximately \$2.4 million. In addition, as described above, other expense includes an unrealized loss, considered to be other-than-temporary, related to investments in marketable securities of which approximately \$3.6 million relates to an investment in the former tenant received in connection with a restructuring of the now terminated lease in a prior quarter. The net effect of all these transactions for the three months ended September 30, 2011 reduced net income by \$1.2 million.

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following table sets forth the basis for presenting the historical financial information for same properties (all properties except redevelopment/development and new properties), redevelopment/development properties (properties that were entirely or primarily under redevelopment or development during either of the nine months ended September 30, 2011 or 2010), new properties (properties that were not owned for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and were not under redevelopment/development), and corporate entities (legal entities performing general and administrative functions and fees received from our PREI joint ventures), in thousands:

Redevelopment/Development												
	Same Properties			Properties			New Pro	Corporate				
	2011	2010		2011	2	2010	2011	2010	20	011	20	10
Rental	\$ 209,170	\$211,965	\$	3,247	\$	639	\$33,055	\$3,341	\$	5	\$	5
Tenant recoveries	66,803	62,408		347		155	7,997	660		929	(600
Other income	5,785	188		1		1	2			(13)	1,	439
Total revenues	\$ 281,758	\$ 274,561	\$	3,595	\$	795	\$41,054	\$ 4,001	\$	921	\$ 2,0	044

Rental Revenues. Rental revenues increased \$29.5 million to \$245.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$216.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010. Same property rental revenues decreased \$2.8 million, or 1.3%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The decrease in same property rental revenues was primarily due to decreases in lease rates related to lease extensions at certain properties (which had the effect of decreasing rental revenue recognized on a straight-line basis), lease expirations, and the full amortization of below-market intangible assets in 2010, partially offset by the commencement of new leases in 2011 and 2010.

Tenant Recoveries. Revenues from tenant reimbursements increased \$12.3 million to \$76.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$63.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010, the commencement of new leases, and higher rental operations expenses. Same property tenant recoveries increased \$4.4 million, or 7.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily as a result of the commencement of new leases and higher rental operations expenses.

The percentage of recoverable expenses recovered at our properties increased to 78.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 78.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in the recovery percentage in the current period is primarily due to properties acquired in 2010 and the commencement of new leases.

33

Other Income. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded approximately \$4.1 million in lease termination income related to an early lease termination at one of our properties. See a further description of this lease termination below. In addition to the lease termination income, we also recorded a lease settlement with another former tenant for approximately \$400,000. Other income for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily comprised realized gains from the sale of equity investments of \$865,000 and development fees earned from our PREI joint ventures. Termination payments received for terminated leases for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 aggregated \$5.3 million and \$86,000, respectively.

The following table shows operating expenses for same properties, redevelopment/development properties, new properties, and corporate entities, in thousands:

Redevelopment/Development											
	Same Properties		Prop	perties	New Pro	operties	Corporate				
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010			
Rental operations Real estate taxes Depreciation and amortization	\$ 56,019 26,533 80,044	\$ 50,509 26,171 80,362	\$ 648 575	\$ 198 250	\$ 4,494 4,794 22,413	\$ 326 411 1,630	\$ 3,689	\$ 3,893			
Total expenses	\$ 162,596	\$ 157,042	\$ 4,685	\$ 1,615	\$31,701	\$ 2,367	\$ 3,689	\$ 3,893			

Rental Operations Expense. Rental operations expense increased \$10.0 million to \$64.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$54.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010 and increases in same property rental operations expense. Same property rental operations expense increased \$5.5 million, or 10.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the commencement of new leases, higher bad debt expense and higher utility costs. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded bad debt expense of \$2.2 million and \$108,000, respectively. The increase in bad debt expense related to increased amounts considered uncollectible as a result of a lease termination (see discussion of this lease termination below), expected nonpayment and renegotiation of unpaid tenant receivables at one of our properties and increases in allowances due to uncertainty of collectibility of receivable balances for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010. As of September 30, 2011, we have fully reserved tenant receivables (both accounts receivable and straight-line rents) for certain tenants that have not terminated their leases. Such tenants may be paying some or all of their rent on a current basis, but recoverability of some or all past due receivable balances is not considered probable.

Real Estate Tax Expense. Real estate tax expense increased \$5.1 million to \$31.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$26.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010. Same property real estate tax expense increased \$362,000, or 1.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 2010 due to a value reassessment at one of our properties.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$22.7 million to \$105.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$83.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to properties acquired in 2010.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$2.3 million to \$21.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$19.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in aggregate compensation costs due to higher headcount as compared to the prior year.

Acquisition Related Expenses. Acquisition related expenses decreased \$1.6 million to \$789,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$2.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in acquisition activities in 2011 as compared to the prior year.

Equity in Net Loss of Unconsolidated Partnerships. Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships increased \$1.1 million to \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$686,000 for the nine months

ended September 30, 2010. The increased loss primarily reflects the commencement of depreciation and ceasing of interest capitalization on a vacant property that was under development in 2010 being placed into service.

34

Interest Expense. Interest cost incurred for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 totaled \$73.0 million compared to \$68.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Total interest cost incurred increased primarily as a result of the issuance of our unsecured senior notes and increases in the average interest rate on our outstanding borrowings due to the issuance of new fixed-rate indebtedness with a higher interest rate than the variable-rate indebtedness it replaced, partially offset by the expiration of derivative instruments. Interest expense increased \$3.0 million to \$67.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$64.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Interest expense increased primarily as the result of the increase in interest cost incurred partially offset by an increase in capitalized interest.

Interest expense consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended				
	September 30,				
		2011		2010	
Mortgage notes payable	\$	33,284	\$	35,539	
Amortization of debt premium on mortgage notes payable		(1,352)		(1,418)	
Amortization of deferred interest costs (see Note 9)		5,279		5,343	
Derivative instruments (see Note 9)		3,385		8,647	
Secured term loan				1,392	
Exchangeable senior notes		5,731		6,011	
Unsecured senior notes		19,227		6,466	
Amortization of debt discount		659		563	
Unsecured line of credit		3,489		3,089	
Amortization of deferred loan fees		3,336		3,223	
Interest cost incurred		73,038		68,855	
Capitalized interest		(5,308)		(4,136)	
Total interest expense	\$	67,730	\$	64,719	

Other Expense. Other expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$4.6 million related to the reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive loss of an unrealized loss, considered to be other-than-temporary, related to investments in available-for-sale securities in two publicly traded companies. See the lease termination section below for further discussion.

Loss on Derivative Instruments. The losses on derivative instruments of \$564,000 and \$634,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were primarily related to a reduction in our variable-rate indebtedness during the respective periods resulting in other comprehensive loss being reclassified to the consolidated income statement due to mismatches in forecasted transactions on interest rate swaps.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$47.2 million pertaining to the Ardentech Court, Road to the Cure, 10255 Science Center Drive and 9865 Towne Centre Drive properties, prior to their maturity dates. The prepayments resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$814,000 (representing a prepayment penalty and the write-off of deferred loan fees partially offset by the write off of unamortized debt premium). During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we repurchased \$26.4 million face value of our Notes due 2026. The repurchases resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$863,000 (representing the write-off of deferred loan fees and unamortized debt discount). In addition, we recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt related to the write-off of approximately \$1.4 million of deferred loan fees and legal expenses as a result of the prepayment of \$250.0 million of the outstanding borrowings on our secured term loan.

Lease termination. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded approximately \$4.1 million in lease termination income, which has been recorded as other income, related to an early lease termination at one of our

properties. Consideration was in the form of marketable equity securities received from the former tenant and the recognition of previously deferred rental income related to the property. As a result of this lease termination, bad debt expense of approximately \$1.0 million was recorded and the amortization of certain intangibles was accelerated resulting in an additional depreciation and amortization expense of approximately \$700,000. The net impact of this lease termination for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased net income by approximately \$2.4 million. In addition, as described above, other expense includes an unrealized loss, considered to be other-than-temporary, related to investments in marketable securities of which approximately \$3.6 million relates to an investment in the former tenant received in connection with a restructuring of the now terminated lease in a prior quarter. The net effect of all these transactions for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 reduced net income by \$1.2 million.

35

Cash Flows

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

	2011		2010		Change	
		(In	thousands)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 135,0	43 \$	102,914	\$	32,129	
Net cash used in investing activities	(178,3	56)	(319,532)		141,166	
Net cash provided by financing activities	38,2	07	217,383		(179,176)	
Ending cash and cash equivalents balance	16,3	51	20,687		(4,336)	

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$32.1 million to \$135.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$102.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to cash flow generated by acquisitions and cash rent starts on new leases.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$141.1 million to \$178.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$319.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease reflects reduced acquisition activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased \$179.2 million to \$38.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$217.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease primarily reflects reduced financing requirements due to reduced acquisition activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The proceeds from the issuance of our Notes due 2016 in March 2011 were primarily used to repay balances due under our unsecured line of credit and mortgage notes payable.

Funds from Operations

We present funds from operations, or FFO, available to common shares and OP units because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, in its March 1995 White Paper (as amended in November 1999 and April 2002). As defined by NAREIT, FFO represents net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of loan origination costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Our computation may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO does not represent amounts available for management s discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

Our FFO available to common shares and OP units and a reconciliation to net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands, except share data) was as follows:

		Three Mon Septem		30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2011		2010		2011	2010	
Net income available to the common								
stockholders	\$	4,765	\$	4,832	\$	13,933	\$	13,331
Adjustments:								
Noncontrolling interests in operating								
partnership(1)		111		122		318		359
Interest expense on Notes due 2030(2)		1,688		1,681		5,063		4,875
Depreciation and amortization								
unconsolidated partnerships		945		835		2,810		2,192
Depreciation and amortization consolidated								
entities		36,295		27,774		105,919		83,159
Depreciation and amortization allocable to		ŕ		,		•		ŕ
noncontrolling interest of consolidated joint								
ventures		(26)		(24)		(77)		(67)
		(-)		,		()		()
Funds from operations available to common								
shares and units diluted	\$	43,778	\$	35,220	\$	127,966	\$	103,849
	_	12,1	_	,	_	,,	_	,
Funds from operations per share diluted	\$	0.30	\$	0.28	\$	0.89	\$	0.86
- and a complete of the comple	_	3.5	_		_		_	
Weighted-average common shares and units								
outstanding diluted(2)	1	44,260,059	1	27,053,959	1	44,261,742	13	21,191,848
	-	,,	-	.,,	_	, , · · -		, , 0

- (1) Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership is included in net income available to unitholders of the operating partnership as reflected in the consolidated financial statements of BioMed Realty, L.P., included elsewhere herein.
- (2) Reflects interest expense adjustment of the Notes due 2030 based on the if converted method. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 include 10,017,858 shares of common stock potentially issuable pursuant to the exchange feature of the Notes due 2030 based on the if converted method. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 include 9,914,076 shares of common stock potentially issuable pursuant to the exchange feature of the Notes due 2030 based on the if converted method, respectively. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 include 1,389,873 and 1,424,196 shares of unvested restricted stock, which are considered anti-dilutive for purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share, respectively. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 include 1,227,939 and 1,249,032 shares of unvested restricted stock, which are considered anti-dilutive for purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

In this Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. section, the term the Company refers only to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. on an unconsolidated basis, and excludes the operating partnership and all other subsidiaries. For further discussion of the liquidity and capital resources of the Company on a consolidated basis, see the section entitled Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P. below.

The Company s business is operated primarily through the operating partnership. The Company issues public equity from time to time, but does not otherwise generate any capital itself or conduct any business itself, other than incurring certain expenses in operating as a public company which are fully reimbursed by the operating partnership. The Company itself does not hold any indebtedness, and its only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of the operating partnership. The Company s principal funding requirement is the payment of dividends on its common and preferred shares. The Company s principal source of funding for its dividend payments is distributions it receives from the operating partnership.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company owned an approximate 97.8% partnership interest and other limited partners, including some of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates, owned the remaining 2.2% partnership interest (including LTIP units) in the operating partnership. As the sole general partner of the operating partnership, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has the full, exclusive and complete responsibility for the operating partnership s day-to-day management and control.

37

The liquidity of the Company is dependent on the operating partnership s ability to make sufficient distributions to the Company. The primary cash requirement of the Company is its payment of dividends to its stockholders. The Company also guarantees some of the operating partnership s debt, as discussed further in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein. If the operating partnership fails to fulfill certain of its debt requirements, which trigger the Company s guarantee obligations, then the Company will be required to fulfill its cash payment commitments under such guarantees. However, the Company s only significant asset is its investment in the operating partnership.

We believe the operating partnership s sources of working capital, specifically its cash flow from operations, and borrowings available under its unsecured line of credit, are adequate for it to make its distribution payments to the Company and, in turn, for the Company to make its dividend payments to its stockholders. However, we cannot assure you that the operating partnership s sources of capital will continue to be available at all or in amounts sufficient to meet its needs, including its ability to make distribution payments to the Company. The unavailability of capital could adversely affect the operating partnership s ability to pay its distributions to the Company, which would in turn, adversely affect the Company s ability to pay cash dividends to its stockholders.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for future dividends expected to be paid to the Company s stockholders, operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness, general and administrative expenses, construction projects, capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

The Company may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem the operating partnership s outstanding debt, the Company s shares of common stock or preferred stock or other securities in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

For the Company to maintain its qualification as a REIT, it must pay dividends to its stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of its ordinary taxable income. While historically the Company has satisfied this distribution requirement by making cash distributions to its stockholders, it may choose to satisfy this requirement by making distributions of cash or other property, including, in limited circumstances, the Company s own stock. As a result of this distribution requirement, the operating partnership cannot rely on retained earnings to fund its on-going operations to the same extent that other companies whose parent companies are not REITs can. The Company may need to continue to raise capital in the equity markets to fund the operating partnership s working capital needs, acquisitions and developments.

The Company is a well-known seasoned issuer with an effective shelf registration statement which was amended in November 2010 that allows the Company to register an unspecified amount of various classes of equity securities and the operating partnership to register an unspecified amount of various classes of debt securities. As circumstances warrant, the Company may issue equity from time to time on an opportunistic basis, dependent upon market conditions and available pricing. When the Company receives proceeds from preferred or common equity issuances, it is required by the operating partnership s partnership agreement to contribute the proceeds from its equity issuances to the operating partnership in exchange for preferred or partnership units of the operating partnership. The operating partnership may use the proceeds to repay debt, including borrowings under its unsecured line of credit, develop new or existing properties, acquire properties, or for general corporate purposes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P.

In this Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P. section, the terms we, our and us refer to the operar partnership together with its consolidated subsidiaries or our operating partnership and BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. together with their consolidated subsidiaries, as the context requires. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., or our Parent Company, is our sole general partner and consolidates our results of operations for financial reporting purposes. Because we operate on a consolidated basis with our Parent Company, the section entitled Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. should be read in conjunction with this section to understand our liquidity and capital resources on a consolidated basis.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for future dividends expected to be paid to our Parent Company s stockholders, operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness, general and administrative expenses, construction projects, capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

38

The remaining principal payments due for our consolidated and our proportionate share of unconsolidated indebtedness (excluding debt premiums and discounts) as of September 30, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter	Total
Consolidated indebtedness:							
Fixed-rate mortgages	\$ 15,184	\$40,478	\$ 8,291	\$ 339,020	\$ 6,253	\$ 190,535	\$ 599,761
Unsecured line of credit					216,100		216,100
Notes due 2026	19,800					100 000	19,800
Notes due 2030 Notes due 2016						180,000 400,000	180,000 400,000
Notes due 2010 Notes due 2020						250,000	250,000
Notes due 2020						230,000	230,000
Total consolidated							
indebtedness	34,984	40,478	8,291	339,020	222,353	1,020,535	1,665,661
Share of							
unconsolidated indebtedness:							
Secured acquisition							
loan facility		39,855					39,855
Secured construction		,					,
loan			27,795				27,795
Total share of							
unconsolidated		20.055	27.705				67.650
indebtedness		39,855	27,795				67,650
Total indebtedness	\$ 34,984	\$ 80,333	\$ 36,086	\$ 339,020	\$ 222,353	\$ 1,020,535	\$1,733,311

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$47.2 million pertaining to the Ardentech Court, Road to the Cure, 10255 Science Center Drive and 9865 Towne Centre Drive properties, prior to their respective maturity dates. In October 2011, we voluntarily prepaid in full the outstanding mortgage note pertaining to the Sorrento West property, in the amount of approximately \$13.0 million, prior to its maturity date. Additional consolidated mortgage note maturities through 2012 include mortgages on our 6828 Nancy Ridge Drive and Sidney Street properties, with outstanding balances of \$6.4 million and \$26.7 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2011.

In August 2011, we completed the repurchase of 1,280,000 shares of our Parent Company s Series A preferred stock for approximately \$31.1 million, or \$24.30 per share, net of accrued dividends of approximately \$250,000.

In October 2011, we voluntarily repurchased and redeemed in full the remaining principal amount of the Notes due 2026.

Our \$350.0 million mortgage loan, which is secured by our Center for Life Science | Boston property in Boston, Massachusetts, includes a financial covenant relating to a minimum amount of net worth. Management believes that it was in compliance with this covenant as of September 30, 2011.

The terms of the indentures governing the Notes due 2016 and Notes due 2020 require compliance with various financial covenants, including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by us and which require us to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2011.

The credit agreement governing our unsecured line of credit includes certain restrictions and covenants which require compliance with financial covenants relating to the minimum amounts of net worth, fixed charge coverage, unsecured debt service coverage, overall leverage and unsecured leverage ratios, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness and certain investment limitations. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2011.

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for scheduled debt maturities, construction obligations, renovations, expansions, capital commitments and other non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically, and the costs associated with acquisitions of properties that we pursue. At September 30, 2011, we have entered into construction contracts and lease agreements, with a remaining commitment totaling approximately \$90.2 million related to tenant improvements, leasing commissions and construction-related capital expenditures.

39

We expect to satisfy our short-term liquidity requirements through our existing working capital and cash provided by our operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness, the issuance of additional equity or debt securities and the use of net proceeds from the disposition of non-strategic assets. Our rental revenues, provided by our leases, generally provide cash inflows to meet our debt service obligations, pay general and administrative expenses, and fund regular distributions. We expect to satisfy our long-term liquidity requirements through our existing working capital, cash provided by operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of additional equity or debt securities. We also expect to use funds available under our unsecured line of credit to finance acquisition and development activities and capital expenditures on an interim basis. In July 2011, we entered into a new unsecured line of credit which has a maturity date of July 13, 2015, which may be extended to July 13, 2016 at our sole discretion, after satisfying certain conditions and paying an extension fee based on the then current facility commitment (see Note 5 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere herein). The secured acquisition and interim loan facility has a maturity date of February 10, 2012. At maturity, we may refinance the loan, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or we may repay the principal balance of the secured acquisition and interim loan facility. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, a wholly owned subsidiary of our joint venture with PREI I LLC entered into an agreement with certain lenders to extend the maturity date of its secured construction loan facility, extending the maturity date to August 13, 2013 and decreasing the borrowing capacity to \$139.0 million, which required the repayment of approximately \$67.0 million by capital contributions of the partners, including our share of the repayment of approximately \$13.4 million. In accordance with the loan agreement, Prudential Insurance Corporation of America has guaranteed repayment of the secured construction loan. In addition, we have an investment grade rating which we believe will provide us with continued access to the unsecured debt markets, providing us with an additional source of long term financing.

On March 30, 2011, we issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of our Notes due 2016. The net proceeds from the issuance were utilized to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness on our unsecured line of credit and for other general corporate and working capital purposes.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s total capitalization at September 30, 2011 was approximately \$4.1 billion and comprised the following:

	Shares/Units at September	P Aı	ggregate Principal mount or	Percent of	
	30, 2011	E	llar Value quivalent a thousands)	Total Capitalization	
Debt:					
Mortgage notes payable(1)		\$	599,761	14.7%	
Notes due 2026(2)			19,800	0.5%	
Notes due 2030			180,000	4.4%	
Notes due 2016(3)			400,000	9.8%	
Notes due 2020(4)			250,000	6.1%	
Unsecured line of credit			216,100	5.3%	
Total debt Equity:			1,665,661	40.8%	
Common shares, operating partnership and LTIP units					
outstanding(5)	134,245,081		2,224,441	54.4%	
7.375% Series A Preferred shares outstanding(6)	7,920,000		198,000	4.8%	

Total capital 2,422,441 59.2%

Total capitalization \$ 4,088,102 100.0%

(1) Amount excludes debt premiums of \$3.6 million recorded upon the assumption of the outstanding indebtedness in connection with our purchase of the corresponding properties.

- (2) In October 2011, we voluntarily repurchased and redeemed in full the remaining principal amount outstanding of our Notes due 2026.
- (3) Amount excludes a debt discount of \$2.3 million.
- (4) Amount excludes a debt discount of \$2.3 million.

40

- (5) Aggregate principal amount based on the market closing price of the common stock of our Parent Company of \$16.57 per share on the last trading day of the quarter (September 30, 2011). Limited partners who have been issued OP units have the right to require the operating partnership to redeem part or all of their OP units, which right with respect to LTIP units is subject to vesting and the satisfaction of other conditions. We may elect to acquire those OP units in exchange for shares of our Parent Company s common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment. At September 30, 2011, 131,265,102 of the outstanding OP units had been issued to our Parent Company upon receipt of the net proceeds from the issuance of an equal number of shares of our Parent Company s common stock.
- (6) Based on the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share of our Parent Company s 7.375% Series A preferred stock (we have issued a corresponding number of 7.375% Series A preferred units).

Although our organizational documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur, our Parent Company s board of directors has adopted a policy of targeting our indebtedness at approximately 50% of our total asset book value. At September 30, 2011, the ratio of debt to total asset book value was approximately 41.3%. However, our Parent Company s board of directors may from time to time modify our debt policy in light of current economic or market conditions including, but not limited to, the relative costs of debt and equity capital, market conditions for debt and equity securities and fluctuations in the market price of our Parent Company s common stock. Accordingly, we may increase or decrease our debt to total asset book value ratio beyond the limit described above. In addition, the terms of the indentures governing our Notes due 2016 and Notes due 2020 and the credit agreement governing our unsecured line of credit require compliance with various financial covenants and ratios, which are discussed in detail above and in Note 5 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere herein. We may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem our outstanding debt, OP units or preferred units (subject to the repurchase or redemption of an equivalent number of shares of common stock or preferred stock by our Parent Company) or other securities, and our Parent Company may seek to repurchase or redeem its outstanding shares of common stock or preferred stock or other securities, in each case in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2011, we had investments in the following unconsolidated partnerships: (1) McKellar Court limited partnership, which owns a single tenant occupied property located in San Diego; and (2) two limited liability companies with PREI, which own a portfolio of properties primarily located in Cambridge, Massachusetts (see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein for more information).

The McKellar Court partnership is a VIE; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. The limited partner at McKellar Court is the only tenant in the property and will bear a disproportionate amount of any losses. We, as the general partner, will receive 22% of the operating cash flows and 75% of the gains upon sale of the property. We account for our general partner interest using the equity method. The assets of the McKellar Court partnership were \$14.5 million and \$14.7 million and the liabilities were \$10.5 million and \$10.5 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Our equity in net income of the McKellar Court partnership was \$230,000 and \$232,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$684,000 and \$740,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In December 2009, we provided funding in the form of a promissory note to the McKellar Court partnership in the amount of \$10.3 million, which matures at the earlier of (1) January 1, 2020, or (2) the day that the limited partner exercises an option to purchase our ownership interest. Interest-only payments on the promissory note are due monthly at a fixed rate of 8.15% (the rate may adjust higher after January 1, 2015), with the principal balance outstanding due at maturity.

PREI II LLC is a VIE; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. PREI will bear the majority of any losses incurred. PREI I LLC does not qualify as a VIE. In addition, consolidation is not required as we do not control the limited liability companies. In connection with the formation of the PREI joint ventures in April 2007, we contributed 20% of the initial capital. However, the amount of cash flow distributions that we receive may be more or less based

on the nature of the circumstances underlying the cash distributions due to provisions in the operating agreements governing the distribution of funds to each member and the occurrence of extraordinary cash flow events. We account for our member interests using the equity method for both limited liability companies. The assets of the PREI joint ventures were \$635.4 million and \$652.3 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and the liabilities were \$355.6 million and \$423.6 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Our equity in net loss of the PREI joint ventures was \$965,000 and \$540,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$2.5 million and \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

41

We have been the primary beneficiary in six other VIEs, consisting of single-tenant properties in which the tenant has a fixed-price purchase option, which are consolidated and reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Our proportionate share of outstanding debt related to our unconsolidated partnerships is summarized below (dollars in thousands):

	Principal Amount(1)					
			September	D	ecember	
	Ownership	Interest	30,		31,	
Name	Percentage	Rate(2)	2011		2010	Maturity Date
PREI I LLC and PREI II LLC(3)	20%	3.7%	\$ 39,855	\$	40,650	February 10, 2012
PREI I LLC(4)	20%	3.2%	27,795		40,481	August 13, 2013
Total			\$ 67.650	\$	81,131	

- (1) Amount represents our proportionate share of the total outstanding indebtedness for each of the unconsolidated partnerships.
- (2) Effective or weighted-average interest rate of the outstanding indebtedness as of September 30, 2011.
- (3) Amount represents our proportionate share of the total draws outstanding under a secured acquisition and interim loan facility, which bears interest at a rate equal to, at the option of our PREI joint ventures, either (a) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus 350 basis points or (b) the higher of (i) the prime rate then in effect, (ii) the federal funds rate then in effect plus 50 basis points or (iii) one-month LIBOR plus 450 basis points, and requires interest only monthly payments until the maturity date. At maturity, the PREI joint ventures may refinance the secured acquisition and interim loan facility, depending on market conditions and the availability of credit, or they may repay the principal balance through capital contributions of the members.
- (4) Amount represents our proportionate share of a secured construction loan, which bears interest at a LIBOR-indexed variable rate. The secured construction loan was executed by a wholly owned subsidiary of PREI I LLC in connection with the construction of the 650 East Kendall Street property. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the wholly owned subsidiary of PREI I LLC entered into an agreement with certain lenders of its secured construction loan facility, extending the maturity date to August 13, 2013 and decreasing the borrowing capacity to \$139.0 million. In accordance with the loan agreement, Prudential Insurance Corporation of America has guaranteed repayment of the secured construction loan.

Cash Distribution Policy

We elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2004. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including the requirement that we distribute currently at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our stockholders. It is our intention to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal, state or local income taxes on taxable income we distribute currently (in accordance with the Code and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income, *i.e.*, taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Code and applicable regulations thereunder.

While we most recently paid a dividend on shares of common stock at an annual dividend rate of \$0.80 per share, the actual dividend payable in the future will be determined by our board of directors based upon the circumstances at the time of declaration and, as a result, the actual dividend payable in the future may vary from the current rate. The decision to declare and pay dividends on shares of our common stock in the future, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, debt maturities, the availability of debt and equity capital, applicable REIT and legal restrictions and the general overall economic conditions and other factors.

42

Table of Contents

The following table provides historical dividend information for our common and preferred stock for the prior two fiscal years and the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

				Dividend		Dividend
			рe	er Common	pe	er Preferred
Quarter Ended	Date Declared	Date Paid		Share		Share
March 31, 2009	March 16, 2009	April 15, 2009	\$	0.33500	\$	0.46094
June 30, 2009	June 15, 2009	July 15, 2009		0.11000		0.46094
September 30, 2009	September 15, 2009	October 15, 2009		0.11000		0.46094
December 31, 2009	December 15, 2009	January 15, 2010		0.14000		0.46094
March 31, 2010	March 15, 2010	April 15, 2010		0.14000		0.46094
June 30, 2010	June 15, 2010	July 15, 2010		0.15000		0.46094
September 30, 2010	September 15, 2010	October 15, 2010		0.17000		0.46094
December 31, 2010	December 15, 2010	January 17, 2011		0.17000		0.46094
March 31, 2011	March 14, 2011	April 15, 2011		0.20000		0.46094
June 30, 2011	June 15, 2011	July 15, 2011		0.20000		0.46094
September 30, 2011	September 15, 2011	October 17, 2011		0.20000		0.46094
Inflation						

Some of our leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation. These provisions generally increase rental rates during the terms of the leases either at fixed rates or indexed escalations (based on the Consumer Price Index or other measures). We may be adversely impacted by inflation on the leases that do not contain indexed escalation provisions. In addition, most of our leases require the tenant to pay an allocable share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance costs, real estate taxes and insurance. This may reduce our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation, assuming our properties remain leased and tenants

Portions of our unsecured line of credit bear interest at a variable rate, which will be influenced by changes in short-term interest rates, and will be sensitive to inflation.

fulfill their obligations to reimburse us for such expenses.

43

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our future income, cash flows and fair-values relevant to financial instruments depend upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The primary market risk to which we believe we are exposed is interest rate risk. Many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control contribute to interest rate risk.

As of September 30, 2011, our consolidated debt consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

			Effective Interest
		Percent	
	Principal (1)	of Total	Rate at September 30,
	Balance(1)	Debt	2011
Fixed interest rate(2)	\$ 1,448,618	87.0%	5.56%
Variable interest rate(3)	216,100	13.0%	1.78%
Total/weighted-average effective interest rate	\$ 1,664,718	100.0%	5.07%

- (1) Principal balance includes only consolidated indebtedness.
- (2) Includes seven mortgage notes payable secured by certain of our properties (including \$3.6 million of unamortized premium), our Notes due 2026, our Notes due 2030, our Notes due 2020 (including \$2.3 million of unamortized debt discount), and our Notes due 2016 (including \$2.3 million of unamortized debt discount).
- (3) Includes our unsecured line of credit, which bears interest based on a LIBOR-indexed variable interest rate, plus a credit spread.

To determine the fair-value of our outstanding consolidated indebtedness, we utilize quoted market prices to estimate the fair-value, when available. If quoted market prices are not available, we calculate the fair-value of our mortgage notes payable and other fixed-rate debt based on an estimate of current lending rates, assuming the debt is outstanding through maturity and considering the notes—collateral. In determining the current market rate for fixed-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar terms to the debt. In determining the current market rate for variable-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the current effective interest rate. At September 30, 2011, the fair-value of the fixed-rate debt was estimated to be \$1.6 billion compared to the net carrying value of \$1.4 billion (includes \$3.6 million of unamortized debt premium, \$2.3 million of unamortized debt discount associated with our Notes due 2020, and \$2.3 million of unamortized debt discount associated with our Notes due 2016). At September 30, 2011, the fair-value of the variable-rate debt was estimated to be \$212.5 million compared to the net carrying value of \$216.1 million. We do not believe that the interest rate risk represented by our fixed-rate debt or the risk of changes in the credit spread related to our variable-rate debt was material as of September 30, 2011 in relation to total assets of \$4.0 billion and equity market capitalization of \$2.4 billion of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s common stock and preferred stock, and BioMed Realty, L.P. s OP units.

Based on the outstanding balances of our consolidated indebtedness at September 30, 2011, a 1% change in interest

rates would change our interest expense by approximately \$2.2 million per year. Based on the outstanding unhedged balances of our proportionate share of the outstanding balance for the PREI joint ventures—secured loan and secured construction loan at September 30, 2011, a 1% change in interest rates would change our interest costs included in our equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships by approximately \$677,000 per year. This amount was determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. This analysis does not consider the

effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of the magnitude discussed above, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, this analysis assumes no changes in our financial structure.

In order to modify and manage the interest rate characteristics of our outstanding debt and to limit the effects of interest rate risks on our operations, we may utilize a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps and treasury locks in order to mitigate our interest rate risk on a related financial instrument. The use of these types of instruments to hedge our exposure to changes in interest rates carries additional risks, including counterparty credit risk, the enforceability of hedging contracts and the risk that unanticipated and significant changes in interest rates will cause a significant loss of basis in the contract. To limit counterparty credit risk we will seek to enter into such agreements with major financial institutions with high credit ratings. There can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect against the foregoing risks and will ultimately realize an economic benefit that exceeds the related amounts incurred in connection with engaging in such hedging activities. We do not enter into such contracts for speculative or trading purposes.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Controls and Procedures (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has investments in unconsolidated entities. As BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. manages these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are essentially consistent with those it maintains with respect to its consolidated entities.

As required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures (BioMed Realty, L.P.)

BioMed Realty, L.P. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, BioMed Realty, L.P. has investments in unconsolidated entities. As BioMed Realty, L.P. manages these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are essentially consistent with those it maintains with respect to its consolidated entities.

As required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, BioMed Realty, L.P. carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of BioMed Realty, L.P. s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that BioMed Realty, L.P. s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in BioMed Realty, L.P. s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, BioMed Realty, L.P. s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Although we are involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, we are not currently a party to any legal proceedings nor is any legal proceeding threatened against us that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are no material changes to the risk factors described under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to our business.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, our Parent Company issued an aggregate of 5,500 shares of its common stock in connection with restricted stock awards under its incentive award plan for no cash consideration. For each share of common stock issued by our Parent Company in connection with such an award, the operating partnership issued a restricted operating partnership unit to our Parent Company, in reliance on the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the operating partnership issued an aggregate of 5,500 restricted operating partnership units to our Parent Company, as required by the operating partnership is partnership agreement.

The following table presents information regarding repurchases of Series A preferred stock by our Parent Company during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

	Total Number of Shares	Ave	rage Price Paid	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the
Period	Purchased	Pe	er Share	Programs	Program
July 1, 2011 July 31, 2011 August 1, 2011 August 31, 2011 (1) September 1, 2011 September 30, 2011	1,280,000	\$	24.30		
Total	1,280,000	\$	24.30		

(1) Repurchased through a privately negotiated transaction.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

46

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number 10.1	Description of Exhibit Unsecured Credit Agreement, dated as of July 14, 2011, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, and certain lenders party thereto.(1)
10.2	Form of Line Note under Unsecured Credit Agreement.(1)
10.3	Form of Competitive Bid Note under Unsecured Credit Agreement.(1)
10.4	Form of Swing Loan Note under Unsecured Credit Agreement.(1)
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s and BioMed Realty, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 19, 2011.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIOMED REALTY

TRUST, INC.

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

By: BioMed Realty Trust,

Its general partner

/s/ ALAN D. GOLD /s/ ALAN D. GOLD

Alan D. Gold Alan D. Gold

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive

Officer)

Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Chairman of the Board and

/s/ GREG N. LUBUSHKIN /s/ GREG N. LUBUSHKIN

Greg N. Lubushkin Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial

Officer)

Greg N. Lubushkin Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: November 2, 2011 Dated: November 2, 2011

48

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number 10.1	Description of Exhibit Unsecured Credit Agreement, dated as of July 14, 2011, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, and certain lenders party thereto.(1)
10.2	Form of Line Note under Unsecured Credit Agreement.(1)
10.3	Form of Competitive Bid Note under Unsecured Credit Agreement.(1)
10.4	Form of Swing Loan Note under Unsecured Credit Agreement.(1)
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. s and BioMed Realty, L.P. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 19, 2011.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.