

RSC Holdings Inc.
Form DEF 14A
March 16, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A
PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

RSC HOLDINGS INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**6929 East Greenway Parkway
Scottsdale, Arizona 85254**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To Be Held On April 20, 2011**

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF RSC HOLDINGS INC.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of RSC Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held on Wednesday, April 20, 2011, at 8:00 A.M. local time, at the Westin Kierland Resort, 6902 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, for the following purposes:

1. To elect the three Directors named herein to hold office until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders;
2. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, for our year ending December 31, 2011;
3. To hold a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers for 2010;
4. To hold a non-binding advisory vote on how often we will have future non-binding advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers; and
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The foregoing items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice. The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on February 28, 2011, as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote on the items listed above at this Annual Meeting of Stockholders and at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Kevin J. Groman
Kevin J. Groman
*Senior Vice President, General Counsel
and Corporate Secretary*

March 16, 2011

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. PLEASE FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD FOR VOTING BY INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE, WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON; OR, IF YOU PREFER, KINDLY MARK, SIGN, AND DATE THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AND RETURN IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE (WHICH IS POSTAGE PREPAID, IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES). EVEN IF YOU HAVE GIVEN YOUR PROXY, YOU MAY STILL REVOKE YOUR PROXY AND VOTE IN PERSON IF YOU ATTEND THE MEETING. PLEASE NOTE, HOWEVER, THAT IF YOUR SHARES OF RECORD ARE HELD BY A BROKER, BANK, OR OTHER NOMINEE AND YOU WISH TO VOTE AT THE MEETING, YOU MUST

OBTAIN FROM THE RECORD HOLDER A PROXY ISSUED IN YOUR NAME.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholders Meeting to Be Held on Wednesday, April 20, 2011, at 8:00 A.M. local time, at the Westin Kierland Resort, 6902 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.

The proxy statement and annual report to stockholders are available at *www.RSCrental.com*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	<u>Proxy Materials and Annual Meeting</u>	1
	<u>A. Questions and Answers About the Proxy Materials and the Annual Meeting</u>	1
	1. <u>General</u> <i>Why am I receiving these materials?</i>	1
	2. <u>Date, Time, and Place</u> <i>When and where is the Annual Meeting of Stockholders?</i>	1
	3. <u>Purpose</u> <i>What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?</i>	1
	4. <u>Attending the Annual Meeting</u> <i>How can I attend the Annual Meeting?</i>	1
	5. <u>Voting</u> <i>Who can vote and how do I vote?</i>	2
	6. <u>Quorum and Voting Procedures</u> <i>What constitutes a quorum; What are the voting procedures?</i>	2
	7. <u>Revocation of Proxy</u> <i>May I change my vote after I return my proxy?</i>	3
	8. <u>Voting Results</u> <i>Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?</i>	3
	9. <u>Multiple Sets of Proxy Materials</u> <i>What should I do if I receive more than one set of voting materials?</i>	3
	10. <u>Electronic Distribution</u> <i>How can I receive my proxy materials electronically?</i>	4
	11. <u>Record Holders and Beneficial Owners</u> <i>What is the difference between holding shares as a Record Holder versus a Beneficial Owner?</i>	4
	12. <u>Householding</u> <i>What is householding?</i>	4
	13. <u>Solicitation</u> <i>Who will pay the costs of soliciting these proxies?</i>	5
	14. <u>Additional Matters at the Annual Meeting</u> <i>What happens if additional matters are presented at the Annual Meeting?</i>	5
	15. <u>Stockholder Proposals</u> <i>What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at next year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or to nominate individuals to serve as Directors?</i>	5
	16. <u>Nomination of Directors</u> <i>How do I submit a proposed Director nominee to the Board of Directors for consideration?</i>	5
	17. <u>Additional Information</u> <i>Where can I find additional information regarding RSC Holdings?</i>	5
II.	<u>Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors</u>	6
	<u>A. Corporate Governance</u>	6
	B. Proposal One <u>Election of Directors</u>	13
	<u>C. Information about our Continuing Directors</u>	15
	<u>D. Director Compensation</u>	17
III.	<u>Audit and Risk Committee and Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	19
	<u>A. Report of the Audit and Risk Committee of the Board of Directors</u>	19
	<u>B. Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees</u>	20
	C. Proposal Two <u>Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	21
IV.	<u>Compensation Committee and Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	22
	<u>A. Report of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors</u>	22
	<u>B. Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	22
	<u>C. Executive Compensation</u>	31
	<u>D. Stock Award Grants, Exercises and Plans</u>	33
	<u>E. Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	37
		39

	<u>F. Proposal Three Non-binding Advisory Vote on the Compensation of Our Named Executive Officers for 2010</u>	
	<u>G. Proposal Four Non-binding Advisory Vote on How Often We Will Have Future Non-binding Advisory Votes on the Compensation of Our Named Executive Officers</u>	39
<u>V.</u>	<u>Stock</u>	40
	<u>A. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners, Directors, and Officers</u>	40
	<u>B. Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	42
<u>VI.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions</u>	43
<u>VII.</u>	<u>Other Matters</u>	44

Table of Contents

**6929 East Greenway Parkway
Scottsdale, Arizona 85254**

**PROXY STATEMENT
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
April 20, 2011**

ARTICLE I. PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL MEETING

Unless the context otherwise requires, in this Proxy Statement, (i) RSC Holdings means RSC Holdings Inc., (ii) RSC means RSC Equipment Rental, Inc., our primary operating company and an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of RSC Holdings, (iii) we, us, our, and the Company mean RSC Holdings and our consolidated subsidiaries, including RSC Holdings, and (iv) our common stock means the common stock of RSC Holdings.

**A. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING**

- 1. Q: General Why am I receiving these materials?**
A: On or about March 16, 2011, we sent the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Proxy Statement, Proxy Card, and our 2010 Annual Report to you, and to all stockholders of record as of the close of business on February 28, 2011, because the Board of Directors of RSC Holdings is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.
- 2. Q: Date, Time, and Place When and where is the Annual Meeting of Stockholders?**
A: The 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) will be held on Wednesday, April 20, 2011, at 8:00 A.M. local time, at the Westin Kierland Resort, 6902 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.
- 3. Q: Purpose What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?**
A: At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will act upon the matters outlined in this Proxy Statement and in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders on the cover page of this Proxy Statement. Senior management of RSC Holdings will also present information about our performance during 2010 and will answer questions, if applicable, from stockholders.
- 4. Q: Attending the Annual Meeting How can I attend the Annual Meeting?**
A: You will be admitted to the Annual Meeting if you were a RSC Holdings stockholder or joint holder as of the close of business on February 28, 2011, or you hold a valid proxy for the Annual Meeting. You should be prepared to present photo identification for admittance. In addition, if you are a stockholder of record, your name will be verified against the list of stockholders of record prior to admittance to the Annual Meeting. If you are not a stockholder of record but hold shares through a broker, trustee, or nominee, you should provide proof of beneficial ownership on the record date, such as your most recent account statement prior to February 28, 2011, a copy of the voting instruction card provided by your broker, trustee, or nominee, or other similar evidence of ownership. If a stockholder is an entity and not a natural person, a maximum of two representatives per such stockholder will be admitted to the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

Meeting. Such representatives must comply with the procedures outlined herein and must also present evidence of authority to represent such entity. If a stockholder is a natural person and not an entity, such stockholder and his/her immediate family members will be admitted to the Annual Meeting, provided they comply with the above procedures. In order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting, all attendees must provide photo identification and comply with the other procedures outlined herein upon request.

5. Q: Voting Who can vote and how do I vote?

A: The Board of Directors of RSC Holdings has established the record date for the Annual Meeting as February 28, 2011. Only holders of our common stock at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of the Annual Meeting and to vote at the Annual Meeting. To ensure that your vote is recorded promptly, please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person. Most stockholders have four options for submitting their votes:

via the Internet;

by phone, using the toll-free number provided on the Proxy Card;

by mail, using the enclosed Proxy Card and postage-paid envelope, if mailed in the United States; or in person at the Annual Meeting, with a Proxy Card or other legal proxy.

If you have Internet access, **we encourage you to record your vote on the Internet at**

www.proxyvote.com, as it is convenient for you and it saves us postage and processing costs. In addition, when you vote via the Internet or by phone prior to the date of our Annual Meeting, your vote is recorded immediately and there is no risk that postal delays will cause your vote to arrive late and, therefore, not be counted. For further instructions on voting, see your Proxy Card or, if applicable, the e-mail you received for electronic delivery of this Proxy Statement. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may also submit your vote in person, and any previous votes that you submitted, whether by Internet, phone, or mail, will be superseded by the vote that you cast at the Annual Meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank, or other nominee and you wish to vote at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain from the broker, bank, or other nominee a legal proxy issued in your name.

6. Q: Quorum and Voting Procedures What constitutes a quorum; What are the voting procedures?

A: The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is necessary to constitute a quorum. On February 28, 2011, RSC Holdings had 103,674,453 shares of common stock outstanding. Thus, the presence of the holders of common stock representing at least 51,837,227 votes will be required to establish a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee, such as a broker, holding shares in street name for a beneficial owner, does not vote on a particular proposal because that nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to a proposal and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote and stockholders do not have the right to cumulate their votes for the election of Directors.

Directors are elected by the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares of common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, present in person or by proxy. The three nominees receiving the highest number of affirmative votes will be elected. You may vote for or withhold your vote. Our By-Laws provide that the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, present in person or by proxy, is required for all other proposals. With respect to the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm, you may vote for or against, or abstain from voting. With respect to the non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers for 2010, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, present in person or by proxy, is required (on a non-binding advisory basis) to endorse the compensation of our named executive officers. With respect to the non-binding advisory vote on how often we will have future non-binding advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive

officers, stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the recommendation of the

Table of Contents

Board of Directors that the non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers be held every year. Stockholders have the choice of voting to hold a non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation every year, every other year, every third year, or abstaining. If you abstain from voting with respect to the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm, the non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, or the non-binding advisory vote on the frequency of future non-binding advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers, your abstention will have the same effect as a vote against the applicable proposal because abstentions are treated as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the number of shares entitled to vote on the proposal in question, but do not contribute to the affirmative votes required to approved or ratify the proposal, as the case may be. Proxy cards that are executed and returned without any designated voting direction will be voted in the manner stated on the proxy card. For the purposes of the non-binding advisory vote on Proposal 4, the Board will take into consideration the stockholder vote on each of the alternatives set forth in the proxy card. If you are a stockholder of shares held in street name, and you would like to instruct your broker how to vote your shares, you should follow the directions provided by your broker. Please note that because New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, rules currently view ratification of independent registered public accounting firms as a routine matter, your broker is permitted to vote on such proposal presented in this Proxy Statement if it does not receive instructions from you. Under current NYSE rules, the proposal relating to the non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers for 2010 and the proposal relating to the non-binding advisory vote on how often we will have future non-binding advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers are considered non-discretionary items. This means that your broker is not permitted to vote on these proposals if they have not received voting instructions from you. These broker non-votes will not be considered in determining the number of votes necessary for approval and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the vote for these proposals.

7. Q: Revocation of Proxy May I change my vote after I return my proxy?

A: Yes. You may revoke your proxy before it is voted at the Annual Meeting by delivering a signed revocation letter to the Corporate Secretary of RSC Holdings, or by submitting a new proxy, dated later than your first proxy, in one of the ways described in question 5 above. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy. If you are attending in person and have previously mailed your Proxy Card, you may revoke your proxy and vote in person at the meeting. If you are a stockholder of shares held in street name by your broker and you have directed your broker to vote your shares, you should instruct your broker to change your vote.

8. Q: Voting Results Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

A: We intend to announce preliminary voting results at the Annual Meeting. We will report final results in a Form 8-K filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) within four business days after the end of the Annual Meeting, and also on the *About Us Investors Annual Meeting* portion of our website located at www.RSCrental.com. If final voting results are not available to us in time to file a Form 8-K within four business days after the end of the Annual Meeting, we intend to file a Form 8-K to publish preliminary results and, within four business days after the final results are known to us, file an additional Form 8-K to publish the final results.

9. Q: Multiple Sets of Proxy Materials What should I do if I receive more than one set of voting materials?

A: You may receive more than one set of voting materials, including multiple copies of this Proxy Statement and multiple Proxy Cards or voting instruction cards. For example, if you hold your shares in more than one brokerage account, you may receive a separate voting instruction card for each brokerage account. If you are a stockholder of record and your shares are registered in more than one name, you will receive more than one Proxy Card. Please vote each Proxy Card and voting instruction card that

you receive.

Table of Contents

10. Q: Electronic Distribution How can I receive my proxy materials electronically?

A: If you received your Annual Meeting materials by United States mail, we encourage you to conserve natural resources, and significantly reduce printing and mailing costs by signing up to receive your RSC Holdings stockholder communications electronically. With electronic delivery, you will be notified via e-mail of the availability of the Annual Report and Proxy Statement on the Internet, and you can easily vote online. Electronic delivery can also help reduce the number of bulky documents in your personal files and eliminate duplicate mailings. To enroll for electronic delivery, visit www.RSCrental.com and click on the link *About Us Investors Annual Meeting Reduce Paper* .

11. Q: Record Holders and Beneficial Owners What is the difference between holding shares as a Record Holder versus a Beneficial Owner?

A: Most RSC Holdings stockholders hold their shares through a broker, bank, or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. There are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially:

Record Holders If your shares are registered directly in your name with our Transfer Agent, Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record or Record Holder. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to RSC Holdings or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. We have enclosed or sent a Proxy Card for you to use.

Beneficial Owner If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee, you are considered the Beneficial Owner of shares held in *street name*, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you automatically, along with a voting instruction card from your broker, bank, or nominee. As a Beneficial Owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank, or nominee how to vote and are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. Since a Beneficial Owner is not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, bank, or nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting. Your broker, bank, or nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing how to vote your shares. If you do not give instructions to your broker, your broker can vote your shares with respect to discretionary items, but not with respect to non-discretionary items. Discretionary items are proposals considered routine under the rules of the NYSE on which your broker may vote shares held in street name in the absence of your voting instructions. On non-discretionary items for which you do not give your broker instructions, the shares will be treated as broker non-votes. Proposals 1, 3 and 4 are non-discretionary items.

12. Q: Householding What is householding?

A: The SEC, has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries, such as brokers, to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a copy of these materials, other than the proxy card, to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, can mean extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for RSC Holdings. Beneficial Owners can request information about householding from their banks, brokers, or other holders of record. Through householding, stockholders of record who have the same address and last name will receive only one copy of our Proxy Statement and Annual Report, unless one or more of these stockholders notifies us that they wish to continue receiving individual copies. This procedure will reduce printing costs and postage fees.

Stockholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate Proxy Cards. If you are eligible for householding, but you and other stockholders of record with whom you share an address currently receive multiple copies of Proxy Statements and Annual Reports, or if you hold stock in more than one account and wish to receive only a single copy of the Proxy Statement or Annual Report for your household, please contact Broadridge Householding Department, in writing, at 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717, or by phone at (800) 542-1061. If, at any time, you no longer wish to

Edgar Filing: RSC Holdings Inc. - Form DEF 14A

participate in householding and would prefer to receive a separate Proxy Statement and Annual Report, please notify your broker if you are a Beneficial Owner. Record Holders may also direct their written

Table of Contents

requests to RSC Holdings Inc., 6929 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or by phone at (480) 905-3300.

13. Q: Solicitation Who will pay the costs of soliciting these proxies?

A: We will bear the entire cost of solicitation of proxies, including preparation, assembly, printing, and mailing of this Proxy Statement, the Proxy Card, and any additional information furnished to stockholders. Copies of solicitation materials will be furnished to banks, brokerage houses, fiduciaries, and custodians holding shares of common stock beneficially owned by others to forward to Beneficial Owners. We may reimburse persons representing Beneficial Owners of common stock for their reasonable costs of forwarding solicitation materials to such Beneficial Owners. Original solicitation of proxies may be supplemented by electronic means, mail, facsimile, telephone, or personal solicitation by our Directors, officers, or other employees. No additional compensation will be paid to our Directors, officers, or other regular employees for such services.

14. Q: Additional Matters at the Annual Meeting What happens if additional matters are presented at the Annual Meeting?

A: Other than the proposals described in this Proxy Statement, we are not aware of any other properly submitted business to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy holders, Erik Olsson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Kevin J. Groman, our Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary, will have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting. If, for any unforeseen reason, any of our nominees are not available as a candidate for Director, the persons named as proxy holders will vote your proxy for such other candidate or candidates as may be nominated by the Board of Directors.

15. Q: Stockholder Proposals What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at next year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or to nominate individuals to serve as Directors?

A: Pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the deadline for submitting a stockholder proposal for inclusion in our Proxy Statement and Proxy Card for our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is November 17, 2011. Under our By-Laws, stockholders who wish to bring matters or propose Director nominees at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must provide specified information to us between December 22, 2011, and January 21, 2012. Stockholders are also advised to review our By-Laws, which contain additional requirements with respect to advance notice of stockholder proposals and Director nominations. Our By-Laws may be found on the *About Us Investors Corporate Governance* portion of our website located at www.RSCrental.com. Proposals by stockholders must be mailed to our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive office at 6929 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254.

16. Q: Nomination of Directors How do I submit a proposed Director nominee to the Board of Directors for consideration?

A: You may propose Director nominees for consideration by the Board of Directors. Any such recommendation should include the nominee's name and qualifications for Board of Director membership and should be directed to our Corporate Secretary at the address of our principal executive office set forth herein. Such recommendation should disclose all relationships that could give rise to a lack of independence and also contain a statement signed by the nominee acknowledging that he or she will owe a fiduciary obligation to RSC Holdings and our stockholders. The section titled *Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors* herein provides additional information on the nomination process. In addition, please review our By-Laws in connection with nominating a Director for election at future Annual Meetings.

17. Q: Additional Information Where can I find additional information regarding RSC Holdings?

A: Our Annual Report to stockholders contains our Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2010, which is filed with the SEC, and may be obtained via a link posted on the *About Us Investors SEC Filings* portion of

our website located at www.RSCrental.com.

Table of Contents

ARTICLE II. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

A. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board Governance

Our Board of Directors has adopted written corporate governance guidelines, which may be found on the *About Us Investors Corporate Governance* portion of our website, www.RSCrental.com, or upon request in writing to RSC Holdings Inc., 6929 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, Attention: Corporate Secretary. Those guidelines set forth requirements relating to Director independence, mandatory retirement age, simultaneous service on other boards, and changes in Directors' principal employment. They establish responsibilities for meeting preparation and participation, the evaluation of our financial performance and strategic planning, and the regular conduct of meetings of non-management Directors outside the presence of management Directors. They also provide for Directors to have direct access to our management and employees, as well as to our outside counsel and independent registered public accounting firm. In addition, as required under NYSE listing standards, our non-management Directors regularly meet in executive sessions at which only non-management Directors are present, with Mr. Nayden, the Chairman of our Board of Directors, presiding. In addition, Mr. Ozanne was appointed Lead Independent Director in January 2010, and as Lead Independent Director, Mr. Ozanne coordinates and conducts meetings with our other independent directors, as necessary.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Our Board of Directors has adopted written standards of business conduct applicable to our Board of Directors, chief executive and financial officers, our controller, and all our other officers and employees. Copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics are available without charge on the *About Us Investors Corporate Governance* portion of our website, www.RSCrental.com, or upon request in writing to RSC Holdings Inc., 6929 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Board Independence

On November 29, 2006, Atlas Copco AB (*ACAB*), Atlas Copco Finance S.à.r.l. (*ACF*), investment funds associated with Ripplewood Holdings L.L.C. (*Ripplewood*) and Oak Hill Capital Management, LLC (*Oak Hill* and together with Ripplewood, the *Sponsors*) and RSC Holdings, entered into a Recapitalization Agreement pursuant to which the *Sponsors* acquired approximately 85% of RSC Holdings' common stock. The parties to the Recapitalization Agreement were also parties to a Stockholders Agreement, which, as amended, gives Oak Hill the right to designate four nominees for election to the Board of Directors. Each stockholder that is a party to the Stockholders Agreement is required to take all necessary action to cause the nominees of Oak Hill to be elected, which actions include recommending the nominees to our Board of Directors for inclusion in the slate of nominees recommended by the Board of Directors to our stockholders for election. Please see *Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions* for more information on the Stockholders Agreement.

Prior to October 1, 2010, Oak Hill, Ripplewood and ACF collectively owned over 50% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. As a result, we were a controlled company for the purposes of the NYSE listing requirements, and therefore, we were eligible for, and elected to take advantage of, exemptions from certain NYSE listing requirements that would otherwise require our Board of Directors to have a majority of independent directors and our compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees to be comprised entirely of independent directors. In 2010, Ripplewood and ACF completed a number of open markets sales of our common stock, and as a result of such sales, we no longer qualified as a controlled company as of October 1, 2010, as Oak Hill, Ripplewood and ACF held less than 50% of our outstanding shares. In January 2011, Ripplewood completed additional open market sales of our

common stock and as a result, Ripplewood is no longer a party to the Stockholders Agreement as Ripplewood fell below their minimum share threshold. As of February 25, 2011, Oak Hill and ACF collectively owned approximately 39% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

The NYSE listing requirements provide for the following transition periods once a company no longer qualifies as a controlled company : (i) to have a Board of Directors with a majority of independent directors by October 1, 2011, (ii) to have a majority of independent directors on its Compensation Committee and Nominating

Table of Contents

and Corporate Governance Committee by December 31, 2010, and (iii) to have such committees composed solely of independent directors by October 1, 2011. As of December 31, 2010, each of our Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee were comprised of a majority of independent directors. Our current Board of Directors has eight members, seven of whom are non-employees. In addition, our Board of Directors has determined three of our eight Directors, Messrs. Leroy, Ozanne, and Roof, to be independent under the applicable rules and regulations governing independence. There are currently no vacancies.

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Board of Directors periodically reviews the relationships between the non-employee Directors and RSC Holdings as part of the assessment of Director independence. No Director will be deemed independent unless our Board of Directors affirmatively determines that the Director has no material relationship with us, directly or as an officer, stockholder or partner of an organization that has a relationship with us. Our Board of Directors has determined that all three members of our Audit and Risk Committee, Messrs. Ozanne, Leroy, and Roof, two members of our Compensation Committee, Messrs. Leroy and Roof, constituting a majority, and two members of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Messrs. Roof and Ozanne, constituting a majority, are independent as defined in the federal securities laws and NYSE rules, as applicable.

Board Meetings

During 2010, our Board of Directors held eleven meetings. All Directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of board and committee meetings during the period in which they were members. In addition, all Directors attended the Company's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Directors are invited and it is anticipated that they will attend the Annual Meeting, in any manner permitted by Delaware General Corporate Law.

Board Committees

Our Board of Directors has four standing committees: Audit and Risk, Compensation, Executive, and Nominating and Corporate Governance. Their composition and roles are discussed below. Our Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for each committee and each charter may be found on the *About Us Investors Corporate Governance* portion of our website located at www.RSCrental.com. Copies of each charter are available free of charge upon written request by any stockholder to RSC Holdings Inc., 6929 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

	Audit and Risk	Compensation	Executive	Nominating and Corporate Governance
Denis J. Nayden			ii*	
J. Taylor Crandall				
Edward Dardani		ii	ii	ii
Pierre E. Leroy	ii	ii*		
John R. Monsky				
Erik Olsson			ii	
James H. Ozanne	ii*		ii	ii
Donald C. Roof	ii	ii		ii*

* Chairman

The Audit and Risk Committee

During 2010, the Audit and Risk Committee consisted of Messrs. Ozanne (Chair), Leroy, and Roof, and held seven meetings. Our Board of Directors has designated all three of our independent members of our Audit and Risk Committee audit committee financial experts and all members have been determined to be financially literate under NYSE rules.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to its charter, our Audit and Risk Committee assists our Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by overseeing and monitoring:

- our accounting, financial, and external reporting policies and practices;
- the integrity of our financial statements;
- the independence, qualifications, and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- the performance of our internal audit function;
- the management of information services and operational policies and practices that affect our internal control;
- our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- the preparation of our Audit and Risk Committee's report included in our proxy statements;
- our policies, plans, and programs relating to risk management;
- the effectiveness of our risk management programs;
- our risk exposure and our steps taken to monitor and control such exposure; and
- potential future risks and the review of our proactive plans for addressing these risks as appropriate.

In discharging its duties, our Audit and Risk Committee has the authority to retain independent legal, accounting, and other advisors.

The Compensation Committee

During 2010, the Compensation Committee consisted of Messrs. Leroy (Chair), Dardani, and Roof, and held six meetings. The Compensation Committee reviews all compensation plans that might have a material impact on the financial results of the Company, and pursuant to its charter:

- oversees the Company's compensation and benefit policies generally;
- evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer as it relates to all elements of compensation, as well as the performance of the senior management group;
- approves and recommends to our Board of Directors all compensation plans for members of the senior management group;
- oversees the total compensation for the senior management group, including the approval of the short-term compensation of senior management (subject, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, to the ratification of our Board of Directors) and recommends long-term compensation elements of the senior management group to the Board of Directors for approval;
- approves and authorizes grants to the senior management group under the Company's incentive plans;

prepares reports on executive compensation required for inclusion in the proxy statements; and
reviews management succession plans in connection with our compensation program.

The Compensation Committee may delegate its responsibilities to subcommittees as it deems appropriate. In discharging its duties, our Compensation Committee has the authority to retain independent legal, accounting, and other advisors. The Compensation Committee retained an independent compensation consultant to assist with the Company's risk assessment of its compensation program, and the Compensation Committee relied in part on such consultant's recommendation in fulfilling the Compensation Committee's responsibilities pertaining to risk minimization.

Table of Contents

The Executive Committee

During 2010, our Executive Committee consisted of Messrs. Nayden (Chair), Dardani, Olsson, and Ozanne. The Executive Committee held no meetings in 2010. Pursuant to its charter, our Executive Committee may exercise certain powers and prerogatives of the Board of Directors and take any action that could be taken by the Board of Directors, subject to certain limitations as more particularly described in its charter. In discharging its duties, our Executive Committee has the authority to retain independent legal, accounting, and other advisors.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

During 2010, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consisted of Messrs. Roof (Chair), Dardani, and Ozanne, and held two meetings. Pursuant to its charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee:

develops and recommends to the Board of Directors specific criteria for the selection of directors;

reviews and makes recommendations regarding the composition of the Board of Directors;

identifies individuals qualified to become members of the Board of Directors (consistent with criteria approved by the Board of Directors) and reviews the qualifications of any person submitted to be considered as a member of the Board of Directors;

effective in 2011, periodically reviews the form and amount of compensation of the Company's Directors and make recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect thereto;

reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to membership on committees of the Board of Directors, including chairpersons;

recommends procedures for the smooth functioning of the Board of Directors, including the calendar, agenda, and information requirements for meetings of the Board of Directors, meetings of committees of the Board of Directors, executive sessions of non-management directors, and executive sessions of independent directors only;

develops and reassesses succession plans for the Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers and develops plans for interim succession for the Chief Executive Officer in the event of an unexpected occurrence;

oversees the annual self-evaluation process of the Board of Directors and its committees;

develops, reviews, and assesses the adequacy of our corporate governance;

oversees the orientation program for new directors and continuing education programs for directors;

reviews and assesses the adequacy of its charter in light of NYSE rules and federal securities laws and recommends to the Board of Directors any changes it deems appropriate; and

reviews our corporate objectives and policies relating to social responsibility.

In discharging its duties, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has the authority to retain independent legal, accounting, and other advisors.

Nomination Process Qualifications, Criteria, and Diversity

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that candidates for Director should have certain minimum qualifications and have the highest personal integrity and ethics. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also intends to consider such factors as possessing relevant expertise upon which to be able to offer advice and guidance to management, having sufficient time to devote to our affairs, demonstrated excellence in his or her field, having the ability to exercise sound business judgment, and having the commitment to rigorously represent the long-term interests of our stockholders. However, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee retains the right to modify these qualifications from time to time. Candidates for Director are

Table of Contents

reviewed in the context of the current composition of our operating requirements and the long-term interests of stockholders.

In conducting this assessment, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers business acumen, commitment, diligence, diversity, age, experience, skills, and such other factors as it deems appropriate given the current needs of the Board of Directors and RSC Holdings, to maintain a balance of knowledge, experience, and capability. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines specify that the value of diversity on the Board of Directors should be considered by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in the director identification and nomination process. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee seeks nominees with a broad diversity of experience, professions, skills, geographic representation, and backgrounds. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not assign specific weights to particular criteria, nor are particular criteria necessary for all prospective nominees. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that the backgrounds and qualifications of the Directors, considered as a group, should provide a significant composite mix of experience, knowledge, and abilities that will allow the Board of Directors to fulfill its responsibilities to the stockholders. Nominees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or any other basis proscribed by law.

In the case of incumbent Directors whose terms of office are set to expire, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews these Directors' overall service to RSC Holdings during their terms, including the number of meetings attended, level of participation, quality of performance, and any other relationships and transactions that might impair the Directors' independence. In the case of new Director candidates, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also determines whether the nominee is independent for NYSE purposes, which determination is based upon applicable NYSE listing standards and applicable SEC rules and regulations. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also use its network of contacts to compile a list of potential candidates, but may also engage, if it deems appropriate, a professional search firm. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will conduct any appropriate and necessary inquiries into the backgrounds and qualifications of possible candidates after considering the function and needs of the Board of Directors.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider Director candidates recommended by stockholders and, to date, other than pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement discussed herein, we have not received a timely Director nominee from a stockholder or stockholders holding more than 5% of our common stock. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not intend to alter the manner in which it evaluates candidates, including the minimum criteria set forth herein, based on whether or not the candidate was recommended by a stockholder, except as necessary to fulfill obligations under the Stockholders Agreement described herein. Stockholders who wish to recommend individuals for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to be nominees for election to the Board of Directors may do so by delivering a written recommendation to RSC Holdings Inc., 6929 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, Attention: Corporate Secretary. All such requests must be received in accordance with the advanced notice procedures described in our By-Laws available on the *About Us Investors Corporate Governance* portion of our website located at www.RSCrental.com. Submissions must include the full name of the proposed nominee, a description of the proposed nominee's business experience for at least the previous five years, complete biographical information, and a description of the proposed nominee's qualifications as a director. Any such submission must be accompanied by the written consent of the proposed nominee to be named as a nominee and to serve as a Director if elected.

Board Leadership Structure

We maintain separate roles between the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors in recognition of the differences between the two responsibilities. Our Chief Executive Officer is responsible for setting our strategic direction and day-to-day leadership and performance of the Company. The Chairman of the Board of

Directors provides guidance to the Chief Executive Officer, sets the agenda for Board of Directors meetings, and presides over meetings of the full Board of Directors. In addition, our Board of Directors has appointed Mr. Ozanne as Lead Independent Director. He is also the Chairman of the Audit and Risk Committee. As Lead Independent Director, Mr. Ozanne has the following duties: (i) coordinating the consideration of, and representing the Board of Directors with respect to, any particular issues identified by the Board of Directors;

Table of Contents

(ii) coordinating activities of the other independent directors, as necessary; and (iii) performing such other duties as may be established or delegated by the Board of Directors. In addition, the leadership role of the Lead Independent Director facilitates closer and more effective oversight by the Board of Directors with respect to our business, our management team and the implementation of our business strategy. We believe this structure allows the Board of Directors to best address governance issues because the presence of a separate Chairman provides a more effective channel for the Board of Directors to express its views to management and provide feedback to the Chief Executive Officer on Company performance. The separate Lead Independent Director provides an additional channel for our independent directors to address issues related to the Board of Directors itself and is able to assist the Chairman in leading the Board of Directors in its consideration of matters that come within the scope of his duties as described above.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors regularly reviews information and reports from members of senior management on areas of material risk, including operational, financial, legal and regulatory, and strategic and reputational risks. The Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to our executive compensation plans and arrangements. The Audit and Risk Committee oversees management of operational, financial, legal, and regulatory risks. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee manages risks associated with the independence of the Board of Directors and potential conflicts of interest. While each committee is responsible for evaluating certain risks and overseeing the management of such risks, the entire Board of Directors is regularly informed through committee reports about such risks.

Risk Considerations in our Compensation Program

Our Compensation Committee, with the assistance and recommendation of its independent compensation consultant, has discussed the concept of risk as it relates to our compensation program and the Compensation Committee does not believe the Company's compensation program encourages excessive or inappropriate risk taking. The Company's Senior Vice President of Human Resources and the General Counsel, with the oversight of the Compensation Committee, performed an assessment of the Company's compensation programs and policies. The assessment focused on programs with variability of payout and the ability of participants to directly affect payout and the controls over participant action and payout. Specifically, a review was conducted of the Company's variable cash compensation and equity compensation programs, which identified the key terms of these programs, potential concerns regarding risk taking behavior and specific risk mitigation features. This assessment was presented to and discussed with the Compensation Committee and the independent compensation consultant engaged by the Compensation Committee. Based on consultation with and input provided by the independent compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee determined that the Company's compensation programs do not encourage employees to take unnecessary or excessive risks, and that the level of risk that they do encourage is not reasonably likely to materially harm the Company's business or financial condition.

The Company's compensation program consists of base salary, short-term incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation, and benefits, all of which are balanced to help focus the management team to pursue the long-term interests of the Company and stockholders without unnecessary risk, as follows:

The base salary or fixed portion of compensation is designed to provide a base level of income consistent with the current marketplace and does not generate inherent risk.

The variable (cash bonus and equity) portions of compensation are designed to reward both short and long-term corporate performance.

For long-term performance, stock option awards generally vest over four or five years and are only valuable if the stock price of RSC Holdings increases over time. These long-term elements of compensation are a sufficient percentage of overall compensation to motivate management to produce superior short and long-term corporate results and increase stockholder value.

Because EBITDA and OROCE are the performance measures for determining incentive payments in 2010 and 2011, management is encouraged to take a balanced approach that focuses on corporate profitability,

Table of Contents

rather than solely sales revenue measures. If the Company is not profitable at a reasonable level, there are no payouts under the short-term incentive program. EBITDA is defined as consolidated net income before net interest expense, income taxes, and depreciation and amortization. OROCE is defined as operating return on capital employed and is calculated by dividing operating income (excluding transaction costs, management fees, and amortization of intangibles) for the preceding twelve months by the average operating capital employed for the same period. For purposes of this calculation, average operating capital employed is considered to be all assets other than cash, deferred tax assets, hedging derivatives, goodwill, and intangibles, less all liabilities other than debt, hedging derivatives, and deferred tax liabilities.

EBITDA and OROCE targets are performance measures used for executives and employees alike in order to encourage consistent behavior across the organization, rather than establishing different performance metrics depending on a person's position in the Company.

There are maximum payout levels, capped at 200% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 150% for the other executive officers, which are designed to further eliminate excessive risk taking, and the Compensation Committee has the discretion to reduce or eliminate all incentive plan payouts.

Stockholders Agreement

We are a party to a Stockholders Agreement with Oak Hill and ACF, who collectively, as of February 25, 2011, hold approximately 39% of our outstanding common stock. Each stockholder that is a party to the Stockholders Agreement is required to take all necessary action to cause the nominees of Oak Hill to be elected, which actions include recommending the nominees to our Board of Directors for inclusion in the slate of nominees recommended by the Board of Directors to our stockholders for election. Please see *Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions* for more information on the Stockholders Agreement.

Stockholder Communication

Stockholders and other parties interested in communicating with our Board of Directors, including a particular Director or the non-management Directors as a group, may do so by writing to the Board of Directors, RSC Holdings Inc., 6929 East Greenway Parkway, Scottsdale, Arizona 85254, Attention: Corporate Secretary. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines set forth the process for handling letters received by RSC Holdings and addressed to the Board of Directors. Under that process, the Corporate Secretary of RSC Holdings is responsible for reviewing, summarizing, or sending a copy to the Board of Directors, the Chairman of the Board of Directors, or Committee Chairman, whichever is applicable, any correspondence that deals with the functions of the Board of Directors or committees, ethical issues, or general matters that would be of interest to the Board of Directors. Any stockholder correspondence that deals with accounting, internal controls, or auditing matters will be sent immediately to the Chairman of the Board of Directors and to the Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee. Directors may at any time review a log of all relevant correspondence received by RSC Holdings that is addressed to non-employee members of the Board of Directors and obtain copies of any such correspondence. With respect to other correspondence received by RSC Holdings that is addressed to one or more Directors, the Board of Directors has requested that the following items not be distributed to Directors, because they generally fall into the purview of management, rather than the Board of Directors: junk mail and mass mailings, product and services complaints, product and services inquiries, resumes and other forms of job inquiries, solicitations for charitable donations, surveys, business solicitations, and advertisements.

Table of Contents

B. PROPOSAL ONE

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, By-Laws, and Stockholders Agreement provide that our Board of Directors be divided into three classes, each class consisting, as nearly as possible, of one-third of the total number of Directors, with each class having a three-year term. Vacancies on our Board of Directors may be filled by persons elected by a majority of the remaining Directors, as further directed in the Stockholders Agreement. For a description of the Stockholders Agreement, see *Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions*. A Director elected by our Board of Directors to fill a vacancy, including a vacancy created by an increase in size of our Board of Directors, will serve for the remainder of the full term of the class of Directors in which the vacancy occurred and until that Director's successor is elected and qualified.

There are three Directors in the class whose terms of office expire in 2011. Mr. Pierre E. Leroy, Mr. John R. Monsky, and Mr. Donald C. Roof are nominees for re-election. Messrs. Monsky and Roof were previously elected by the stockholders. Mr. Monsky was nominated by Oak Hill pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement. If elected at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, each of the nominees would serve until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified, or until the earlier of their death, resignation, or removal.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Unless a Proxy Card contains instructions to vote differently, signed, returned proxies will be voted FOR the election of such nominees. If for any reason any nominee cannot or will not serve as a Director, such proxies may be voted for the election of a substitute nominee designated by our Board of Directors. Each person nominated for election has agreed to serve if elected, and we have no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve.

Board Composition

As the premier provider of rental equipment in North America, servicing the industrial and non-residential construction markets, our business involves a complex operational structure that includes superior equipment availability, reliability and 24x7 service to customers. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing and assessing with the Board of Directors the appropriate skills, experience, and background sought of Board of Director members in the context of our business and the then-current membership of the Board of Directors. This assessment of Board of Directors skills, experience, and background includes numerous diverse factors, such as independence, understanding of and experience in finance/accounting, functional background, general management, business development and strategic planning, industry/customer knowledge, board experience, age, gender, and ethnic diversity. The priorities and emphasis of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and of the Board of Directors with regard to these factors change from time to time to take into account changes in the Company's business and other trends, as well as the portfolio of skills and experience of current and prospective Board of Director members. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Board of Directors review and assess the continued relevance of and emphasis on these factors as part of the Board of Directors' annual self-assessment process and in connection with candidate searches to determine if they are effective in helping to satisfy the Board of Directors' goal of creating and sustaining a Board of Directors that can appropriately support and oversee the Company's activities. The Board of Directors has determined that such factors are effective in facilitating diversity of thought and opinion on the Board of Directors.

We do not expect or intend that each Director will have the same background, skills, and experience. We expect that Board of Director members will have a diverse portfolio of backgrounds, skills, and experiences. One goal of this diversity is to assist the Board of Directors as a whole in its oversight and advice concerning our business and operations. Listed below are key skills and experience that we consider important for our Directors to have in light of our current business and structure. The Directors' biographies note each Director's relevant experience, qualifications, and skills relative to this list.

Finance/Accounting/Control. Knowledge of capital markets, capital structure, financial control, audit, reporting, financial planning, and forecasting are important because it assists our directors in understanding,

Table of Contents

advising, and overseeing our Company's capital structure, financing and investing activities, financial reporting, and internal control of such activities.

Functional Background. Directors who have experience in human resources, compensation and benefits, sales and marketing, and organizational design and governance are important to us, as they enable the Directors to guide management on operational issues at an executive level.

General Management. Directors who have served in senior leadership positions are important to us as they bring experience and perspective in analyzing, shaping, and overseeing the execution of important operational and policy issues at a senior level. These Directors' insights and guidance, and their ability to assess and respond to situations encountered in serving on our Board of Directors, are enhanced by their leadership experience developed at businesses or organizations that operate on a global scale, faced significant competition, or involved other evolving business models.

Business Development/Strategic Planning. Directors who have a background in strategic planning, mergers and acquisitions, and teamwork and process improvement can provide insight into developing and implementing strategies for growing our business.

Industry/Customer Knowledge. Because we are a provider of rental equipment, servicing the industrial and non-residential construction markets, Directors who have education or experience in the equipment rental industry or specific to our customers is important because it assists our Directors in understanding and advising our Company.

Board Experience/Governance. Directors who have served on other public company boards can offer advice and insights with regard to the dynamics and operation of a board of directors, the relations of a board to the Chief Executive Officer and other management personnel, the importance of particular agenda and oversight matters, and oversight of a changing mix of strategic, operational, and compliance-related matters.

Other Functions. Directors who have experience in government and regulatory affairs, information technology, merchandising/distribution, human resources, and real estate are important to us as they can provide expertise and guidance as our Company addresses evolving business needs.

Set forth below is biographical information for each nominee for Director for election for a three-year term expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

Pierre E. Leroy, age 62, has served as a Director of RSC Holdings and RSC since 2008. Mr. Leroy retired in 2005 from Deere & Company, as President of both the Worldwide Construction & Forestry Division and the Global Parts Division. Deere & Company is a world leader in providing advanced products and services for agriculture, forestry, construction, lawn and turf care, landscaping and irrigation, and also provides financial services worldwide and manufactures and markets engines used in heavy equipment. During his professional career with Deere, he served in a number of positions in Finance, including Treasurer, Vice-President and Treasurer, and Senior Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Leroy has been a director of Capital One Financial Corporation since September 1, 2005, and is also a director of Capital One, National Association. He joined Capital One's Audit and Risk, Compensation, and Governance and Nominating committees in September 2005, July 2006, and April 2006, respectively. Mr. Leroy has been a director of Fortune Brands, Inc. since September 2003, where he serves on the Audit and Compensation and Stock Option committees. Mr. Leroy also served on the board of ACCO Brands from August 2005 to April 2009, and Nuveen Investments, Inc. from March 2006 to April 2007. Mr. Leroy's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include his experience in finance/accounting/control, general management, industry/customer knowledge, and board experience/governance as demonstrated by his years of experience in capital markets and asset-liability

management as well as leading and managing large complex international marketing, engineering, and manufacturing organizations and serving on other public company boards.

John R. Monsky, age 52, has served as a Director of RSC Holdings and RSC since February 2007. Mr. Monsky is a Partner and General Counsel of Oak Hill Capital Management, LLC. He also provides legal advice to Oak Hill Advisors, LP, on a consulting basis. He has served with such firms, and their related entities, since 1993. Previously, Mr. Monsky served as a mergers and acquisitions attorney at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, an assistant counsel to a Senate committee on the Iran-Contra affair and a law clerk to the Hon. Thomas P. Griesa of the

Table of Contents

Southern District of New York. Mr. Monsky serves as a director of Genpact Investment Co. (Bermuda), Ltd. Mr. Monsky's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include his experience in the areas of business development/strategic planning, board experience/governance, and other functions, including human resources and government and regulatory affairs. These qualifications are demonstrated by his experience as Partner and General Counsel of Oak Hill, handling the structuring and legal aspects of acquisitions and divestitures, his background as a mergers and acquisitions attorney with Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison, LLP, and his experience in litigation as a result of his clerkship in the Southern District of New York and his work as an assistant counsel to a Senate committee.

Donald C. Roof, age 59, has served as a Director of RSC Holdings and RSC since August 2007. Mr. Roof most recently served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Joy Global Inc., a worldwide manufacturer of mining equipment, from 2001 to 2007. Prior to joining Joy, Mr. Roof served as President and Chief Executive Officer of American Tire Distributors/Heafner Tire Group, Inc. from 1999 to 2001 and as Chief Financial Officer from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Roof has previously served on the board of directors and audit committee of two additional NYSE companies, Accuride Corporation from March 2005 through January 2010, and Fansteel, Inc. from September 2000 through March 2003. Mr. Roof had significant experience during his career in capital raising, mergers and acquisitions, and operating in highly-leveraged situations. Mr. Roof's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include his experience in finance/accounting/control, general management, business development/strategic planning, board experience/governance, and other functions, including merchandising and distribution as evidenced by his 35 years of experience serving in executive positions ranging from President/CEO to Executive Vice President/CFO with an international manufacturer of mining equipment and a distributor and retailer of tires and related products, as well as his years of experience serving on the board of directors and audit committees of several public companies.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ALL OF THE NOMINEES

C. INFORMATION ABOUT OUR CONTINUING DIRECTORS

The five Directors whose terms will continue after the Annual Meeting and will expire at the 2012 Annual Meeting or the 2013 Annual Meeting are listed below.

Set forth below is biographical information for each Director whose three-year term will expire at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

J. Taylor Crandall, age 57, has served as a Director of RSC Holdings and RSC since January 2010. Mr. Crandall is a founding Managing Partner of Oak Hill where he has been affiliated with the firm and its predecessors since 1986. Mr. Crandall has also served as Chief Operating Officer of Keystone, Inc. (the primary investment vehicle for Robert M. Bass) playing key roles in nearly all of the major transactions in which Keystone has invested. Mr. Crandall currently serves on the board of directors of Local TV LLC, SVTC Technologies, and Via West, Inc. In addition, Mr. Crandall served on numerous public and private company boards in the past, including serving as a director of American Skiing Company, Bell & Howell, Cincinnati Bell, Genpact Limited, Interstate Hotels and Resorts, Meristar Hospitality Corporation, Quaker State, and Washington Mutual. Prior to joining Oak Hill, Mr. Crandall was a Vice President with the First National Bank of Boston. Mr. Crandall is the Secretary-Treasurer of the Anne T. and Robert M. Bass Foundation. Philanthropically, Mr. Crandall is a Trustee of the Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health, and currently serves on the boards of Trustees of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, The Park City foundation, and the U.S. Ski and Snowboard Team Foundation. Mr. Crandall's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include his extensive experience in finance/accounting/control, general management, and board experience/governance, including his experience originating, structuring, managing, and overseeing investments as Managing Partner for Oak Hill, his experience as Chief Operating Officer of Keystone and as Vice President of First National Bank of Boston, as well as his years of experience serving on the board of directors of several public and

private companies.

Erik Olsson, age 48, has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of RSC Holdings and RSC since August 2006, and has served as a Director of RSC Holdings and RSC since November 2006. Mr. Olsson joined us in 2001 as Chief Financial Officer, in 2005 became our Chief Operating Officer, and has led the Company in creating

Table of Contents

and implementing the strategy and processes that transformed us and brought us to our industry leading position. During the 13 years prior to 2001, Mr. Olsson held a number of senior financial management positions in various global businesses at Atlas Copco Group in Sweden, Brazil, and the United States, including his last assignment as Chief Financial Officer for Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation in Milwaukee, WI, from 1998 to 2000. Mr. Olsson's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include his experience in finance/accounting/control, general management, business development/strategic planning, and industry/customer knowledge as demonstrated by his financial and operating expertise, his 20 years of experience in the equipment manufacturing, sales, and rental industry, including experience serving in various senior financial management positions, as well as his ability to provide the company with a global business perspective.

James H. Ozanne, age 67, has served as a Director of RSC Holdings and RSC since May 2007, and is the Lead Independent Director of our Board of Directors. Mr. Ozanne has served in executive positions in the Financial Services industry since 1972. During this time he has held the positions of Chief Financial Officer, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of several leasing, rental, and consumer finance businesses ranging from full service railcar leasing to general equipment finance and grocery pallet rental. He also served as Executive Vice President of GE Capital responsible for the Consumer Finance and Operating Lease/Asset Management business units. Mr. Ozanne was most recently a Director of Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd. and Distributed Energy Systems Corp. He was Vice Chairman and Director of Fairbanks Capital Corp. from 2001 through 2005. He was also Chairman of Source One Mortgage Corporation from 1997 to 1999. Previously, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Nation Financial Holdings and its predecessor, US WEST Capital. We believe Mr. Ozanne's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include his experience in finance/accounting/control, general management, industry/customer knowledge, and board experience/governance as evidenced by his extensive knowledge of business and accounting issues, his experience as an officer and director of various mortgage, finance, asset management, and venture capital organizations, his experience with leasing and rental businesses, and his years of experience serving as the chief executive officer of several public companies.

Set forth below is biographical information for each Director whose three-year term will expire at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

Edward Dardani, age 49, has served as a Director of RSC Holdings and RSC since November 2006. He is a Partner of Oak Hill, and has been with the firm since 2002. Mr. Dardani is responsible for investments in the business and financial services industry group. Prior to joining Oak Hill in 2002, he worked in private equity at DB Capital Partners from 1997 to 2002, as a management consultant at McKinsey & Company, and in the high-yield and emerging-growth companies groups at Merrill Lynch. Mr. Dardani serves as a director of Southern Air Holdings, Inc., Jacobson Companies, Inc., and ExlService Holdings, Inc. Previously, Mr. Dardani also served on the board of American Skiing Company and Cargo 360, Inc. We believe Mr. Dardani's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include extensive finance/accounting/control experience, including his extensive investment experience, his MBA and Series 7 Certification, his strong financial background in financial analysis and accounting, his understanding of complex financial statements and ability to assess the application of GAAP with respect to the accounting for estimates, accruals, and reserves, as well as his understanding of internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. Mr. Dardani's qualifications also include general management experience as a Partner of Oak Hill, and prior investment and general management experience at DB Capital Partners, McKinsey & Company and Merrill Lynch.

Denis J. Nayden, age 56, has served as a Director and Chairman of the Board of Directors of RSC Holdings and RSC since November 2006. He has been a Managing Partner of Oak Hill since 2003. Mr. Nayden leads the Oak Hill industry groups focused on investments in basic industries and business and financial services. Prior to joining Oak Hill in 2003, Mr. Nayden was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of GE Capital from 2000 to 2002, and had a 26-year tenure at General Electric Co. GE Capital is a global financial services company, including many of the largest equipment leasing, financing, and rental companies. During his GE Capital career, he also served as President

and President and GM of several business units and Chief Credit Officer. Mr. Nayden currently serves as a director of Accretive Healthcare, Avolon Aerospace Limited, Firth Rixson Ltd., Genpact Global Holdings, Jacobson Companies, Inc., and Primus International, Inc. Previously, Mr. Nayden also served on the board of Alpha Financial Technologies and Duane Reade, Inc. We believe Mr. Nayden's qualifications to sit on our Board of Directors include his extensive finance/accounting/control experience, including his MBA in Finance, financial,

Table of Contents

and operating expertise. Mr. Nayden's qualifications also include general management and business development/strategic planning experience as demonstrated by his years of experience providing strategic advisory services to complex organizations, his experience as Chairman and CEO of GE Capital, as well as his 26-year tenure in various senior management positions with General Electric Company. Mr. Nayden's board experience/governance knowledge as evidenced by his current and prior board memberships add to his qualifications to serve as a Director of RSC.

D. DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

For 2010, our Directors who were not also employees or appointees of Oak Hill each were eligible to receive a \$175,000 annual retainer fee, of which \$60,000 is payable in cash and \$115,000 is payable in the form of restricted stock units and is subject to the terms and conditions of the RSC Holdings Inc. Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan and the applicable Director Restricted Stock Unit Agreement. Restricted stock units vest fully at the end of each fiscal year served, yet may not be converted until six months following the cessation of service as a Director. The number of restricted stock units granted to an independent Director each year is the quotient obtained by dividing (i) \$115,000 by (ii) the closing market price of a share of our common stock on the date of grant as reported on the NYSE. For the avoidance of doubt, only whole shares up to \$115,000, or the applicable prorated amount, are granted with any nominal cash remaining with the Company. In addition, the Lead Independent Director was paid an additional annual cash fee of \$141,713 and received an additional annual award of restricted stock units, which is subject to the terms and conditions of the RSC Holdings Inc. Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan and the applicable Director Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, equal to the sum of \$200,000. For the avoidance of doubt, only whole shares up to \$200,000, or the applicable prorated amount, were granted with any nominal cash remaining with the Company.

Members of the Audit and Risk Committee were paid an additional annual cash fee of \$15,000, and the chairman of the Audit and Risk Committee was paid an additional annual cash fee of \$25,000, inclusive of the Audit and Risk Committee member fee. Independent members of the Compensation Committee were paid an additional annual cash fee of \$5,000 and the independent chairman of the Compensation Committee was paid an additional annual cash fee of \$7,500 in 2010, which was increased to \$15,000 for 2011, inclusive of the Compensation Committee member fee. Independent members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee were paid an additional annual cash fee of \$5,000 and the independent chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was paid an additional annual cash fee of \$7,500, inclusive of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee member fee. We also reimburse our Directors for reasonable and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

During 2010, our Directors received the following remuneration:

2010 Director Compensation Table

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Total (\$)
Denis J. Nayden			
J. Taylor Crandall			
Edward Dardani			
Pierre E. Leroy	\$ 82,500	\$ 115,000	\$ 197,500
John R. Monsky			
Erik Olsson(3)			

Edgar Filing: RSC Holdings Inc. - Form DEF 14A

James H. Ozanne	\$ 227,603	\$ 314,998	\$ 542,601
Donald C. Roof	\$ 82,976	\$ 115,000	\$ 197,976

(1) Represents the annual cash retainer payable to all non-employee independent Directors in the amount of \$60,000, pro-rated for the period of time such Director served on the Board of Directors in 2010. Mr. Ozanne was paid an additional \$141,713, pro-rated for the period of time he served, as Lead Independent Director in

Table of Contents

2010. In addition, Messrs. Leroy, Roof, and Ozanne were paid an additional \$15,000 for their services on the Audit and Risk Committee. Mr. Ozanne was paid an additional \$10,000 for his service as chairman of the Audit and Risk Committee and an additional \$890, pro-rated for the period of time he served, as a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Leroy was paid an additional \$7,500 for his service as chairman of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Roof was paid an additional \$7,086, pro-rated for the period of time he served, as chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and an additional \$890, pro-rated for the period of time he served, as a member of the Compensation Committee in 2010.

- (2) Represents the fair value of restricted stock units based on \$115,000, issued to all non-employee independent Directors, pro-rated for the period of time such Director served on the Board of Directors in 2010. The grant date fair value for each share of restricted stock unit was the closing price of our common stock on January 4, 2010, as reported on the NYSE which was \$7.29. Mr. Ozanne also received a grant of restricted stock units on January 21, 2010, with a closing price of \$7.58. Restricted stock units vest fully at the end of each fiscal year served, yet may not be converted until six months following the cessation of service as a Director. As of December 31, 2010, Mr. Ozanne held 64,960 vested restricted stock units, Mr. Roof held 38,051 vested restricted stock units, and Mr. Leroy held 34,318 vested restricted stock units.
- (3) Mr. Olsson receives no compensation in connection with his services as a Director, but he is compensated in connection with his responsibilities as Chief Executive Officer and President as fully described herein.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2010, Messrs. Leroy, Dardani, and Roof served on our Compensation Committee. No member of the Compensation Committee is an officer or employee of RSC Holdings. Mr. Leroy and Mr. Roof are independent Board of Director members and Mr. Dardani is a Partner at Oak Hill. For information regarding relationships among RSC Holdings and Oak Hill and related entities, see *Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions*.

During 2010, none of our executive officers served as a member of a compensation committee (or other body performing a similar role) of another entity, any of whose executive officers served on our Compensation Committee and none of our executive officers served as a director of another entity, any of whose executive officers served on our Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

ARTICLE III. AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE AND INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

A. REPORT OF THE AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS*

The Audit and Risk Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm, the audited financial statements of RSC Holdings Inc. as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Audit and Risk Committee has discussed with KPMG LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

The Audit and Risk Committee has: (i) considered whether non-audit services provided by KPMG LLP are compatible with its independence; (ii) received the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG LLP as required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding KPMG LLP's communications with the Audit and Risk Committee concerning independence, and (iii) discussed with KPMG LLP its independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions described above, the Audit and Risk Committee recommended to the Board of Directors of RSC Holdings that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, for filing with the SEC.

THE AUDIT AND RISK COMMITTEE

James H. Ozanne, Chair
Pierre E. Leroy
Donald C. Roof

* The material in this report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing, unless specifically incorporated therein.

Table of Contents**B. INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES**

Fees for services performed by KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, during 2009 and 2010, were:

	2009	2010
Audit fees(1)	\$ 1,562,300	1,281,400
Audit-related fees(2)	32,500	37,300
Tax fees(3)	40,000	5,500
All other fees	0	0
Total	\$ 1,634,800	\$ 1,324,200

- (1) Audit fees for 2010 were for services rendered in connection with the audit of the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and reviews of the financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for 2010. Audit fees for 2010 also included fees for non-recurring services associated with the filing of our registration statement on Form S-4, which related to the exchange offer on our outstanding high-yield debt securities. Audit fees for 2009 were for services rendered in connection with the audit of the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and reviews of the financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for 2009. Audit fees for 2009 also included \$0.2 million of fees associated with the preparation of comfort letters issued in connection with our 2009 high-yield debt issuances, which consisted of a \$400.0 million senior secured notes offering and a \$200.0 million senior notes offering.
- (2) Audit-related fees for 2010 and 2009 were for services rendered in connection with the audits of our employee benefit plan and a debt covenant compliance review.
- (3) Tax fees for 2010 were for services rendered in connection with a review of our Canadian tax return. Tax fees for 2009 were for services rendered in connection with an analysis of our tax accounting methods.

Pre-approval Procedures

The Audit and Risk Committee has established procedures for the pre-approval of all audit and permitted non-audit related services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. The procedures include, in part, that: (i) the Audit and Risk Committee, on an annual basis, shall pre-approve the independent registered public accounting firm's engagement letter/annual service plan; (ii) the Audit and Risk Committee Chair has been delegated the authority to pre-approve any permitted non-audit services up to \$25,000 per individual proposed service; (iii) the Audit and Risk Committee must pre-approve any permitted non-audit services that exceed \$25,000 per individual proposed service; and (iv) at each regularly scheduled Audit and Risk Committee meeting: (a) the Chairman of the Audit and Risk Committee will review any services that were pre-approved since the last Audit and Risk Committee meeting; and (b) a review will be conducted of the services performed and fees paid since the last Audit and Risk Committee meeting. All fees described above were pre-approved by the Audit and Risk Committee.

Table of Contents

C. PROPOSAL TWO

**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit and Risk Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011. Services provided by KPMG LLP in 2010, are described under *Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees*. Additional information regarding the Audit and Risk Committee is provided in the Audit and Risk Committee Report on page 19.

KPMG LLP has audited our financial statements since 2003. Representatives of KPMG LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to respond to appropriate questions and to make such statements as they may desire.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our By-Laws or otherwise. However, the Board of Directors is submitting the selection of KPMG LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance practice. If our stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit and Risk Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain that firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit and Risk Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of us and our stockholders.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to ratify the selection of KPMG LLP. Abstentions will be counted toward the tabulation of votes cast on proposals presented to the stockholders and will have the same effect as negative votes. Broker non-votes are counted towards a quorum, but are not counted for any purpose in determining whether this matter has been ratified.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSAL TWO

Table of Contents

ARTICLE IV. COMPENSATION COMMITTEE AND COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

A. REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS*

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the RSC Holdings Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and the Proxy Statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Pierre E. Leroy, Chair
Edward Dardani
Donald C. Roof

* The material in this report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC, and is not to be incorporated by reference into any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing, unless specifically incorporated therein.

B. COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis is intended to provide information regarding the compensation program of RSC Holdings for the named executive officers as it has been designed by the Compensation Committee. The named executive officers for 2010 include the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and next three highest compensated executive officers. This report will discuss the structure and philosophy of the compensation program. In addition, it will detail the manner in which it was developed and continues to evolve, including the elements involved in the determination of executive compensation, and the reasons those elements are used in the compensation program.

Process

The compensation program is structured by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee regularly reviews, refines, and approves all elements of the compensation program for the executive officers. In 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged Compensation Strategies, Inc. (CSI), to provide consultative advice on a range of relevant executive compensation topics, including the alignment of strategy through benchmarking and plan design, as well as the appropriate minimization of risk associated with the Company's compensation program. In addition, the Chief Executive Officer provides the Compensation Committee with his analysis and recommendations on various elements of the compensation program. The Compensation Committee then makes recommendations on the compensation plans and structure to the full Board of Directors for its approval. Management then assists the Compensation Committee with the administration of the compensation program.

Compensation Philosophy

The compensation philosophy is based on the desire to attract, motivate, and retain highly-talented and qualified executives while rewarding the achievement of strategic goals that are aligned with the long-term interest of stockholders. This philosophy supports the need to attract and retain executive talent with specific skill sets, including industry expertise, leadership, team work, long-term strategic vision, and a customer-centric focus. The compensation philosophy is aligned with the desire to increase stockholder value through profitable growth and, as a result, a significant portion of management's compensation should be at risk through performance-based incentive awards and equity-based compensation. This compensation program supports a results-driven culture, instilling in management the economic incentives of ownership and encouraging executives to focus on stockholder return.

Table of Contents

Role of Compensation Consultant in Compensation Decisions

From time to time, the Compensation Committee engages a compensation consultant to conduct an assessment of the Company's executive compensation program. In 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged CSI, an independent compensation consultant, to review and assess the Company's executive compensation program. CSI's objectives were to, among others: (a) review total direct compensation (i.e., base salary, annual cash performance bonus, equity incentive awards, and all other compensation) for the executive officers; (b) assess the competitiveness of the named executive officers' individual pay components, as well as their total direct compensation; (c) evaluate the comparative peer group and make recommendations for revisions to the group as appropriate; and (d) appropriately minimize the risk associated with the Company's compensation program. Total professional fees paid to CSI during 2010 for compensation consulting services requested by the Compensation Committee were approximately \$366,000. Management does not utilize CSI for any consulting services.

CSI served as the Compensation Committee's compensation consultant from 2009 through 2010, and reported directly to the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee established procedures that it considered adequate to ensure that CSI's assessment was objective and not influenced by management. These procedures included a direct reporting relationship of CSI to the Compensation Committee. Please refer to Compensation Elements below for further discussion of CSI's 2010 findings with respect to the competitiveness of the Company's executive compensation program.

Compensation Elements

The four elements of the executive compensation program are: (1) annual base salary, (2) annual performance-based incentive, (3) long-term equity incentive compensation, and (4) benefits.

The compensation program is designed to measure and reward performance based on both short and long-term objectives. These elements of compensation, along with overall levels of compensation, are evaluated and may be adjusted every year. In 2010, as part of a continuing evaluation and enhancement of the overall compensation program, the Compensation Committee with the assistance of its compensation consultant, evaluated the components of the compensation program. Other relevant and pertinent data considered by the Compensation Committee on compensation related decisions may include issues such as business and individual performance, experience, labor market demands, retention, the relative scarcity of specialized talent, succession planning needs, the relevancy of job matches to proxy or survey comparators, business growth and strategic objectives, rewarding management based on the increase in stockholder value, market conditions, and good corporate stewardship in developing the annual compensation program. In consideration of these and other business, economic, and strategic factors, in conjunction with the foundational reference data achieved through peer and survey analytics, the Compensation Committee makes informed decisions appropriate to the competitive labor market and business requirements.

During 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged CSI to assist it with reviewing and evaluating the makeup of the peer group used to provide a benchmark for evaluating the competitiveness of the compensation program, compile statistical data and present recommendations. This evaluation included the Compensation Committee deciding to utilize a regression analysis, which normalizes the size of the company so the compensation data is more comparable. This enabled the Compensation Committee to consider a broader cross section of companies for our peer group, and resulted in changes to the peer group based on the independent experiential data accumulated by the members of the Compensation Committee. The companies added to our peer group below are identified by an * . The revised peer group is comprised of companies doing business in the rental leasing, and industrial sales and

Table of Contents

leasing sectors, or are companies against whom RSC competes for executive talent. The revised peer group is made up of the following companies:

Aircastle Limited*	Hertz Global Holdings, Inc.*
Avis Budget Group, Inc.*	McGrath Rent Corp*
Caterpillar, Inc.*	Mobile Mini, Inc.*
Cintas Corporation	Rent-A-Center, Inc.
Deere & Company*	Rush Enterprises, Inc.*
Dollar Thrifty Automotive Group, Inc.	Ryder System, Inc.*
G&K Services, Inc.*	TAL International Group, Inc.*
GATX Corporation	United Rentals, Inc.
H&E Equipment Services, Inc.	W.W. Grainger, Inc.*

The Compensation Committee uses the peer group in connection with many other data points, as referenced above. The median of all compensation elements of the peer group is a clear market reference point considered in context and relevancy to all other pertinent data. The Compensation Committee uses peer group data in conjunction with survey data provided by CSI to build individual executive pay portfolios for base pay, short-term incentives and long-term incentives. The data helps to inform the Compensation Committee in making pay-level determinations, pay delivery vehicle selection, and the appropriate pay mix.

The four elements of the compensation program are discussed in greater detail below:

1. Annual Base Salary

Base salary is an essential element to attract and retain highly talented and qualified executives and to compensate them for services rendered. The base salaries earned by the named executive officers are set forth in the *Summary Compensation Table*. The Board of Directors adjusted base salaries effective January 1, 2009. However, on February 27, 2009, in connection with the downturn in the economy and the Company's focus on significantly reducing costs, the named executive officers volunteered to reduce their base salaries in 2009, Mr. Olsson by 20% for the full year, and the remaining named executive officers by 10% commencing on March 2, 2009, for the remainder of 2009, see *Employment Agreements* for additional information. Effective January 1, 2010, the base salaries of Mr. Olsson and the named executive officers were returned to their pre-reduction levels. The following chart illustrates the culmination of the multi-year analysis by the Compensation Committee as it pertains to base salary, the 2009 reduction, and subsequent 2010 reinstatement of the base salaries of the named executive officers:

Name	Annualized Effective Base Salary				
	1/1/2008	1/1/2009	3/2/2009(1)	1/1/2010	12/31/2010
Mr. Olsson	\$ 550,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000
Ms. Chiodo	197,950	230,000	230,000	230,000	365,000(2)
Mr. Corsillo					450,000(3)
Mr. Hobson	235,000	300,000	270,000(4)	330,000	330,000
Mr. Ledlow	260,000	375,000	337,500	375,000	375,000
Mr. Mathieson(5)	400,000	400,000	360,000	400,000	

(1)

Edgar Filing: RSC Holdings Inc. - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Olsson's base salary adjustment was retroactive to January 1, 2009. The reduction for all other named executive officers commenced March 2, 2009, for the remainder of 2009.

- (2) Ms. Chiodo was appointed interim Chief Financial Officer on April 1, 2010, and promoted to the position of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on October 1, 2010.
- (3) Mr. Corsillo was hired as Senior Vice President, Sales, Marketing and Corporate Operations in March 2010.
- (4) On June 7, 2009, the Compensation Committee agreed to increase the salary of Mr. Hobson due to his additional responsibilities as Senior Vice President of Operations to \$330,000, subject to the 10% reduction in salary previously approved by the Board of Directors on February 27, 2009, resulting in a base salary of \$297,000 from June 7, 2009, through December 31, 2009.
- (5) Mr. Mathieson joined the Company on January 2, 2008, and resigned as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on April 1, 2010.

Table of Contents**2. Annual Performance-Based Incentive**

The annual performance-based incentive also plays an important role in attracting, motivating, and retaining the Company's highly talented and qualified executives. In addition, the annual performance-based incentive is intended to align individual efforts to strengthen the business and drive stockholder value. To achieve these goals, in 2010 the Board of Directors approved the Key Employee Short-Term Incentive Compensation Plan, or STIP, whereby the Compensation Committee carefully selects performance targets and criteria each year, which are aligned with stockholder interests and hold the executive officers accountable for profitable and responsible growth. The STIP, which was approved by the stockholders in April 2010, is designed and administered in a manner intended to qualify incentive awards to our executive officers as performance-based compensation for purposes of 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. This is intended to allow the Company to fully deduct for federal income tax purposes the compensation paid under the STIP. The incentive targets and the performance metrics for each executive are determined by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of each fiscal year based on the executive's position and responsibilities. The performance metrics may change from year to year in accordance with our objectives. In accordance with the SEC's rules, the annual performance-based incentive is reported in the *Summary Compensation Table* under the column *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*.

2010 Fiscal Year

For 2010, the Compensation Committee established the annual performance based incentive criteria to be EBITDA and OROCE. EBITDA is defined as consolidated net income before net interest expense, income taxes, and depreciation and amortization. OROCE is defined as operating return on capital employed and is calculated by dividing operating income (excluding transaction costs, management fees, and amortization of intangibles) for the preceding twelve months by the average operating capital employed for the same period. For purposes of this calculation, average operating capital employed is considered to be all assets other than cash, deferred tax assets, hedging derivatives, goodwill, and intangibles, less all liabilities other than debt, hedging derivatives, and deferred tax liabilities.

The Compensation Committee established the following weightings and threshold, target, and maximum payout percentages for the performance goals. Payout amounts are based upon the actual eligible earnings of the executive officer for 2010. For each performance goal: Payout = Eligible Earnings x (Weighting x Percentage Achievement).

The following table illustrates the 2010 performance goals, actual results, and the weighting of each performance goal:

		(a) Threshold	(b) Target	(c) Maximum	(d) Actual	(e) Achievement
Performance Goals	Weight %	(50%)	(100%)	(200%)	Results	Percentage(2)
EBITDA(1)	50%	\$ 354.6	\$ 394.7	\$ 434.6	\$ 389.5	93.5%
OROCE	50%	3.3%	5.4%	7.5%	5.2%	95.2%

(1) Dollars in millions.

(2) For performance between Threshold and Target levels, or between Target and Maximum levels, the Achievement Percentage is determined linearly based on straight line interpolation between the targets based on the following

formulas:

Threshold to Target: $[(d)-(a) \times (\text{Target \%} - \text{Threshold \%})] + \text{Threshold \%} = (e)$
[(b)-(a)]

Target to Maximum: $[(d)-(b) \times (\text{Maximum \%} - \text{Target \%})] + \text{Target \%} = (e)$
[(c)-(b)]

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the 2010 variable incentive amount earned by each executive, along with the threshold, target, and maximum percentage of earnings payable under the plan:

Executive	Performance Level and % of Eligible Earnings Payable			(b) EBITDA Achievement Percentage	(c) Weight	(d) OROCE Achievement Percentage	(e) Weight	(f) Eligible Earnings	(g) Variable Incentive Amount(1)	Percent of Target(2)
	Threshold	Target	Maximum							
	(50%)	(100%)	(200%)							
Mr. Olsson	50%	100%	200%	93.5%	50%	95.2%	50%	\$ 750,659	\$ 708,247	94.35%
Ms. Chiodo	37.5%	75%	150%	93.5%	50%	95.2%	50%	283,192	156,394	94.35%(3)
Mr. Corsillo	37.5%	75%	150%	93.5%	50%	95.2%	50%	363,461	257,194	94.35%
Mr. Hobson	37.5%	75%	150%	93.5%	50%	95.2%	50%	330,127	233,606	94.35%
Mr. Ledlow	37.5%	75%	150%	93.5%	50%	95.2%	50%	375,144	265,461	94.35%
Mr. Mathieson(4)	37.5%	75%	150%	93.5%	50%	95.2%	50%	0	0	0%

(1) Calculated as: ((a) x (b) x (c) x (f)) + ((a) x (d) x (e) x (f))

(2) Calculated as: (g)/((f) x (a))

(3) The calculated amount for Ms. Chiodo includes a target of 50% of eligible earnings from January 1, 2010, through September 30, 2010, and 75% of eligible earnings upon her promotion to Chief Financial Officer on October 1, 2010.

(4) Mr. Mathieson resigned as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer on April 1, 2010.

2011 Fiscal Year

For 2011, the Compensation Committee elected to remain consistent with the 2010 plan year design and established the annual performance based incentive criteria to be EBITDA and OROCE for the plan participants.

In the same manner as in 2010, the Compensation Committee established the following weightings and threshold, target, and maximum payout percentages for the performance goals. Payout amounts are based upon the actual eligible base eligible earnings of the executive officer for 2011. For each performance goal: Payout = Eligible Earnings x (Weighting x Percentage Achievement).

Performance Goals	Weighting %	Achievement (%)		
		Threshold (1)	Target (2)	Maximum (3)
EBITDA	50	37.5/50	75/100	150/200

OROCE	50	37.5/50	75/100	150/200
-------	----	---------	--------	---------

- (1) The threshold percentage for Ms. Chiodo and Messrs. Ledlow, Corsillo, and Hobson is 37.5% and for Mr. Olsson, is at 50%.
- (2) The target percentage for Ms. Chiodo and Messrs. Ledlow, Corsillo, and Hobson is 75%, and for Mr. Olsson is at 100%.
- (3) The maximum bonus percentage for Ms. Chiodo and Messrs. Ledlow, Corsillo, and Hobson is 150%, and for Mr. Olsson is 200%.

3. Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Equity-based compensation is included in the compensation program to create long-term incentive compensation for our named executive officers. Long-term equity incentive compensation provides an incentive for the successful execution of the immediate and long-term business plan, to attract and retain key leaders, and to align management with the interest of increasing stockholder value. The program operates through the RSC Holdings Inc. Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan, or Stock Plan, which allows for the award of stock options, performance-based awards, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, deferred shares, and supplemental units.

The Compensation Committee completed a comprehensive review of the overall compensation program during 2010 and worked with CSI to finalize the design of an annual long-term equity-based incentive program (LTI) that was introduced in 2010. The LTI program involves grants of equity awards to key employees, including

Table of Contents

the named executive officers, to: (a) allow such employees to participate in the Company's profitability and long-term growth based on performance and the meaningful creation of stockholder value; (b) maximize retention leverage; and (c) align such employees' interests with those of the Company's stockholders. The Compensation Committee structured this LTI with the desire to be heavily weighted towards rewarding performance and the meaningful creation of stockholder value, through performance-based restricted stock units (PSUs) and premium priced stock options (Premium Options). In addition the third component in the form of time-based restricted stock units (RSUs) is for retention purposes and alignment with stockholder interest. The plan includes equally-weighted awards of PSUs, RSUs and Premium Options.

On April 20, 2010, our Board of Directors approved the grant of 2010 Long-Term Incentive Equity Awards under the LTI to certain of our officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the other named executive officers, under our Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan. The 2010 Long-Term Incentive Equity Awards were granted in the forms of PSUs, RSUs, and Premium Options, as described below. The awards were determined based in part on an individual's performance, their expected contribution to the company, the criticality of their function, and past equity grants. See the *Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2010* table for additional information.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units (PSUs)

The Compensation Committee structured the LTI such that one third of the grants were PSUs, which are based on a 3-year cumulative EBITDA target, and is intended to reward sustained performance and the meaningful creation of stockholder value while aligning executive's interests with that of our stockholders. The PSUs will vest and the related shares of our common stock will be issued (if at all) upon certification by our Compensation Committee of our actual EBITDA performance over our 2010-2012 fiscal years in relation to the EBITDA performance criteria approved by the Compensation Committee, subject to the executive's continuous service with us through January 15, 2013. For such purposes, EBITDA means our consolidated net income before net interest expense, income taxes, and depreciation and amortization, as set forth in our annual reports on Form 10-K for the 3-year performance period.

The performance criteria require that we achieve a minimum 3-year cumulative EBITDA threshold before the PSUs vest in any number of units. If the initial performance threshold is not achieved, none of the PSUs will vest, and executives will forfeit the entire award. Once the initial performance threshold is met or exceeded, then 50% to 150% of the target number of PSUs may vest. The minimum vesting of 50% is based on the achievement of 90% of the 3-year cumulative EBITDA target, with maximum vesting of 150% reflective of 140% or greater achievement of the 3-year cumulative EBITDA target. The calculation of the applicable percentage of the target number of PSUs that will vest will depend on the level of actual EBITDA performance, with linear interpolation for achievement falling between the specified performance levels.

Time-Based Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)

The Compensation Committee structured another third of the LTI program with time-based RSUs for the purpose of aligning the executive's interest with that of our stockholders as well as a tool for retaining our executive talent. The RSUs will become 100% vested on April 20, 2014 (the fourth anniversary of the grant date), contingent upon the executive's continued service with us through such date. The RSUs may also earlier vest in whole or in part upon the executive's termination of employment due to death, disability, retirement, or in the event of an involuntary termination without cause following a change in control event. The related shares of our common stock will be issued once the RSUs vest. If the RSUs do not vest, they will be forfeited and the related shares of our common stock will not be issued.

Premium Priced Stock Options (Premium Options)

The Compensation Committee structured the final third of the LTI with Premium Options for the purpose of directly rewarding executives when meaningful stockholder value is attained. The Premium Options will become 100% vested on April 20, 2014 (the fourth anniversary of the grant date), contingent upon the

Table of Contents

executive's continued service with us through such date. The Premium Options may also vest earlier, in whole or in part, upon the executive's termination of employment due to death, disability or retirement, or in the event of an involuntary termination without cause following a change of control event. The Premium Options are all non-qualified stock options to purchase shares of our common stock and have a maximum term of ten years. The Premium Options each have exercise prices that are greater than \$7.93, the closing sales price of our common stock on the date of grant. The strike price for each third of the Premium Options was set at \$10.00, \$12.00, and \$14.00 per share respectively. The strike prices for these Premium Options was established by the Compensation Committee as they considered multiple factors including desired future Company performance, the Company's position in the current economic cycle, meaningful stock appreciation, and the need to motivate and drive exceptional leadership and executive performance.

In 2006, while still a private company, an equity investment and incentive program was established for the named executive officers and other officers employed with the Company at this time. This program sought to instill a true ownership culture in the Company's senior management, where they viewed themselves as equity stakeholders in the Company's business, with a significant personal financial stake in the long-term increase in stockholder value. The main elements of this program involved: (a) each officer making an investment in the shares of common stock of the Company in an amount that was, for each officer, a material personal investment; and (b) the grant of a significant number of options to purchase the common stock of RSC Holdings, subject to vesting over a five-year period with one-third of the options vesting based on continued employment and two-thirds of the options vesting based generally on the performance of RSC Holdings against pre-established financial targets. All options granted in 2006 have a term of ten years from the date of grant. Each year up to 20% of the performance-based options may vest as follows: 10% of the performance-based options will vest if 80% of the pre-determined performance targets are achieved with pro-rata vesting up to 20% if 100% of the pre-determined performance targets are achieved. Performance targets may be adjusted if the Company consummates a significant acquisition, disposition of assets, or other transaction that, in the judgment of the Compensation Committee, would impact the consolidated earnings of the Company. If performance targets are not achieved during any fiscal year, options that failed to vest as a result may still vest based on the achievement of the combined performance targets for the fiscal year the target was not achieved together with the following two fiscal years.

Financial performance targets are established annually by the Compensation Committee using a formula taking into account EBITDA and the level of debt of the Company. Each year up to 20% of the performance-based options may vest. For 2010, the Compensation Committee established the target goal based on the Company's operating plan for the year. The target equity value of \$495 million was determined by the following formula: EBITDA of \$400 million, multiplied by 6.5, less target debt of \$2,104.1 million. For 2010, we achieved, as concluded by the Compensation Committee, an actual equity value of \$393.3 million, or 97.3% of target which correlates to 19.5% of the performance based options of the eligible named executive officers being vested for 2010. See the *Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2010* table for additional information.

All option grants were non-qualified options with a per-share exercise price no less than the fair market value of one share of RSC Holdings common stock on the grant date. Under the terms of the Stock Plan, the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee may accelerate the vesting of any equity awards at any time. The following table describes the post-termination and change of control provisions to which options are generally subject; capitalized terms in the table are defined in the Stock Plan.

Table of Contents

Event	Consequence
<i>Termination of employment for Cause</i>	All options, PSUs, and RSUs are cancelled immediately.
<i>Termination of employment without Cause (except as a result of death or disability)</i>	All unvested options, PSUs, and RSUs are cancelled immediately. All vested options generally remain exercisable through the earliest of the expiration of their term or 90 days following termination of employment (180 days if the termination is due to a retirement that occurs after normal retirement age).
<i>Termination of employment as a result of death or disability</i>	All unvested options will vest and generally remain exercisable through the earliest of the expiration of their term or 180 days following termination of employment. Unvested PSUs and RSUs vest in a prorated amount from the date of grant through the date of termination of employment.
<i>Change in Control</i>	In the event of a Change in Control, Section 10.1 of the Stock Plan provides that the vesting of all outstanding options will accelerate in full and such options be cancelled in exchange for a payment unless either (i) the option agreement provides for a different treatment or (ii) options with substantially equivalent terms and intrinsic value are substituted for existing options in place of the cancellation. The current form of option agreement applicable to outstanding options with performance-based vesting contains a provision that modifies the general rule described in the preceding sentence in the event the Change in Control results in the Sponsors receiving only cash for their equity in the Company. In such event, (y) the vesting of the performance-based options will accelerate on a pro rata basis between 50% to 100% accelerated vesting based on the Sponsors achieving specified actual cash return on their investment in the Company depending upon the year in which the Change in Control occurs, and (z) unless the Board of Directors determines otherwise, any portion of the performance-based options that remain unvested after the application of such vesting acceleration will be cancelled. As the provisions described in the preceding sentence only apply in the event of a Change in Control in which the Sponsors receive only cash for their investment in the Company, in a Change in Control in which the Sponsors receive some non-cash consideration for their investment in us, the general provisions of Section 10.1 of the Stock Plan will apply. In the event of a Change in Control PSUs and RSUs vest immediately, with PSUs vesting at 100% of target.

Generally, employees recognize ordinary income upon exercising options equal to the fair market value of the shares acquired on the date of exercise, minus the exercise price, and the Company will have a corresponding tax deduction at that time. RSUs generally result in employees recognizing ordinary income upon the vesting and distribution of RSUs equal to the fair market value of the common stock on the date of distribution of the RSUs. The Company may elect to withhold the tax obligations by retaining RSUs in the amount required to cover the tax obligation of the executive.

4. Benefits

Health and welfare, life and disability insurance, and 401(k) retirement benefits are provided to the named executive officers and all eligible employees. Pension arrangements or post-retirement health coverage for the executives or employees are not provided. A Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan is offered to the named executive officers and certain other employees that allow each participant to contribute, on a pre-tax basis, a portion of their base and

variable compensation. Matching contributions are not provided in relation to the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan.

The compensation philosophy is based on the belief that perquisites for executive officers should be limited in scope and value, yet beneficial in a cost-effective manner to assist with the attraction and retention of senior executives. Accordingly, the Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers are provided with an annual limited

Table of Contents

financial planning allowance of \$5,000 and \$2,500, respectively, via taxable reimbursements for financial planning services, including financial advice, estate planning, and tax preparation, which are focused on assisting such officers in achieving the highest value from their compensation package. In addition, the named executive officers also receive an automobile allowance of up to \$14,400 annually, or use of a company car. In 2011, the Board of Directors also approved the reimbursement for annual physicals, which are required for our senior executive officers.

Impact of Tax and Accounting Considerations on Compensation Design

The Company's compensation programs include consideration of the tax and accounting aspects of these programs. Principal among the tax considerations will be the potential impact of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which generally disallows an income tax deduction for public companies for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid in any year to the Chief Executive Officer and to the three next most highly compensated executive officers (excluding the Chief Financial Officer), unless the amount in excess of \$1 million is payable based solely upon the attainment of objective performance criteria.

Other tax considerations are factored into the design of the compensation programs, including compliance with the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, which can impose additional taxes on participants in certain arrangements involving deferred compensation, and Sections 280G and 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, which affect the deductibility of, and impose certain additional excise taxes on, respectively, certain payments that are made upon or in connection with a change of control.

Accounting considerations are also factored into the design of the compensation programs made available to the executive officers. Principal among these is FASB ASC Topic 718, which addresses the accounting treatment of certain share-based compensation.

Table of Contents**C. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The following table shows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009, and 2010 compensation awarded to, paid to, or earned by, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the three other most highly compensated executive officers of the Company during 2010.

Summary Compensation Table

Principal	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (1)(\$)	Stock Awards (2)(\$)	Option Awards (2)(\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (3)(\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred	All Other Compensation (\$)	
							Earnings (4)(\$)		
Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 750,659	\$ 30,000	\$ 1,276,730	\$ 732,255	\$ 708,247		\$ 22,480(5)	\$
	2009	627,692(6)				360,222		23,445(7)	
	2008	550,000	206,250					22,374(8)	
Chief Financial Officer	2010	\$ 283,192	\$ 60,000	\$ 193,492	\$ 185,347	\$ 156,394		\$ 18,642(5)	\$
	2009	238,846(6)				82,002		11,581(7)	
	2008	203,065				87,826		11,054(8)	
Chief Operating Officer	2010	\$ 363,461	\$ 15,402(11)	\$ 999,590	\$ 1,285,724	\$ 257,194		\$ 67,438(5)	\$
	2009								
	2008								
Chief Sales and Operations	2010	\$ 330,127		\$ 452,010	\$ 258,825	\$ 233,606		\$ 18,076(5)	\$
	2009	299,731(6)	25,164			125,821		23,676(7)	
	2008	234,910	88,125		148,642			22,464(8)	
Chief of Operations	2010	\$ 375,144	\$ 10,000	\$ 452,010	\$ 258,825	\$ 265,461	\$ 165,035	\$ 18,411(5)	\$
	2009	354,154(6)	15,073			150,734	(54,629)	18,933(7)	
	2008	260,000	97,500				(449,899)	19,897(8)	
Chief of Finance	2010	\$ 177,077						\$ 10,893(5)	\$
	2009	381,538(6)				81,472		23,836(7)	
	2008	398,904	372,981(13)		\$ 399,539			82,913(8)	

(1) Consists of a discretionary bonus based on performance and not made pursuant to the Annual Incentive Plan.

- (2) The fair market value of the option or award as of the date of the grant pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718, as described in Note 18 of the notes to consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011.
- (3) Consists of amounts earned in the period referenced, based on the targets reached pursuant to our Annual Incentive Plan for the executive officers.
- (4) Represents total aggregate earnings under the Deferred Compensation Savings Plan, which are based upon investment results of participant selected phantom investment alternatives that track the actual performance of various market investments. The phantom investment alternatives available under our Deferred Compensation Savings Plan all track the performance of actual market investments and are similar to the investment alternatives offered under our 401(k) plan.
- (5) In 2010, consists of: car allowance for Mr. Olsson (\$14,400), Ms. Chiodo (\$10,800), Mr. Corsillo (\$11,631), and Mr. Mathieson (\$4,708); use of a company car for Mr. Hobson (\$10,192) and Mr. Ledlow (\$10,766); group term life for Mr. Olsson (\$990), Ms. Chiodo (\$673),