

HEALTHCARE REALTY TRUST INC
Form 10-K
February 22, 2011

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2010

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period to

Commission File Number: 1-11852

HEALTHCARE REALTY TRUST INCORPORATED

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

*(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or organization)*

62-1507028

*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

3310 West End Avenue

Suite 700

Nashville, Tennessee 37203

(Address of principal executive offices)

(615) 269-8175

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: HEALTHCARE REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting
company

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.)
Yes No

The aggregate market value of the shares of common stock (based upon the closing price of these shares on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. on June 30, 2010) of the Registrant held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2010 was approximately \$1,353,691,371.

As of January 31, 2011, 67,205,129 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 17, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	17
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	22
Item 2. Properties	22
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	22
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	24
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	25
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	44
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	80
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	80
Item 9B. Other Information	82
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	82
Item 11. Executive Compensation	83
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	83
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	83
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	83
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	83
SIGNATURES	87

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Healthcare Realty Trust Incorporated (Healthcare Realty or the Company) was incorporated in Maryland in 1993 and is a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust (REIT) that owns, acquires, manages, finances and develops income-producing real estate properties associated primarily with the delivery of outpatient healthcare services throughout the United States.

The Company operates so as to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. As a REIT, the Company is not subject to corporate federal income tax with respect to net income distributed to its stockholders. See Federal Income Tax Information in Item 1 of this report.

Real Estate Properties

As of December 31, 2010, the Company's real estate property investments, excluding assets held for sale and including an investment in one unconsolidated joint venture, are shown in the table below:

<i>(Dollars and Square Feet in thousands)</i>	Number of Investments	Gross Investment		Square Feet	
		Amount	%	Footage	%
Owned properties:					
<i>Master leases</i>					
Medical office	11	\$ 100,242	3.8%	548	4.1%
Physician clinics	13	106,209	4.1%	602	4.6%
Surgical facilities	5	70,631	2.7%	226	1.7%
Specialty outpatient	2	4,852	0.2%	23	0.1%
Inpatient rehab	11	178,639	6.8%	734	5.6%
Other	4	31,726	1.2%	284	2.0%
	46	492,299	18.8%	2,417	18.1%
<i>Property operating agreements</i>					
Medical office	8	84,061	3.2%	624	4.7%
	8	84,061	3.2%	624	4.7%
<i>Multi-tenanted with occupancy leases</i>					
Medical office	114	1,460,397	56.0%	8,057	60.8%
Medical office - stabilization in progress	9	253,833	9.7%	951	7.2%
Medical office - construction in progress	3	59,490	2.3%	405	3.1%
Physician clinics	14	46,015	1.8%	296	2.2%
Surgical facilities	5	127,224	4.8%	368	2.7%
Specialty outpatient	1	2,433	0.1%	10	0.1%
Other	1	10,141	0.4%	126	1.1%
	147	1,959,533	75.1%	10,213	77.2%
<i>Land held for development</i>		20,772	0.8%		
<i>Corporate property</i>		14,940	0.6%		
		35,712	1.4%		

Total owned properties	201	2,571,605	98.5%	13,254	100.0%
Mortgage loans:					
Medical office	5	10,934	0.4%		
Physician clinics	1	14,920	0.6%		
Surgical facilities	1	10,745	0.4%		
	7	36,599	1.4%		
Unconsolidated joint venture:					
Other	1	1,266	0.1%		
	1	1,266	0.1%		
Total real estate investments	209	\$ 2,609,470	100.0%	13,254	100.0%

1

The Company provided property management services for 137 healthcare-related properties nationwide, totaling approximately 9.2 million square feet at December 31, 2010. The Company's portfolio of properties is focused predominantly on the medical office and outpatient sector of the healthcare industry and is diversified by tenant, geographic location and facility type.

The following table details occupancy of the Company's owned properties by facility type as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	Investment (1) (in thousands)	Percentage of Square Feet (1)	Occupancy (1)	
			2010	2009
Medical office buildings	\$ 1,958,023	79.9%	86%	88%
Physician clinics	152,224	6.8%	83%	92%
Inpatient rehab	178,639	5.6%	95%	100%
Surgical facilities	197,855	4.4%	88%	90%
Specialty outpatient	7,285	0.2%	100%	63%
Other	41,867	3.1%	80%	95%
Total	\$ 2,535,893	100.0%	87%	90%

(1) The investment and percentage of square feet columns include all owned real estate properties. The occupancy columns represent the percentage of total rentable square feet leased (including month-to-month and holdover leases), excluding nine properties in stabilization, 11 and six properties classified as held for sale and three and two properties in construction in progress as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Properties under financial support or master lease agreements are included at 100% occupancy. Upon expiration of these agreements, occupancy reflects underlying tenant leases in the building.

As of December 31, 2010, the weighted average remaining years to maturity pursuant to the Company's long-term master leases, financial support agreements, and multi-tenanted occupancy leases was approximately 4.9 years, with expirations through 2029. The table below details the Company's lease maturities as of December 31, 2010, excluding 11 properties classified as held for sale.

Expiration Year	Annualized Minimum Rents (1) (in thousands)	Number of Leases	Percentage of Revenues	Average Square Feet Per Lease
	2011	\$ 29,986	326	13.3%
2012	29,675	294	13.2%	4,133
2013	33,358	268	14.8%	4,823
2014	35,395	287	15.7%	5,144
2015	19,020	192	8.5%	4,720
2016	11,272	61	5.0%	7,129
2017	17,844	68	7.9%	13,598
2018	11,567	78	5.1%	7,412
2019	5,541	28	2.5%	6,846
2020	7,937	30	3.5%	12,061
Thereafter	23,475	56	10.5%	17,394

- (1) Represents the annualized minimum rents on leases in-place as of December 31, 2010, excluding the impact of potential lease renewals, future step-ups in rent, sponsor support payments under financial support agreements and straight-line rent.

Mortgage Notes Receivable

The Company had seven mortgage notes receivable outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and four mortgage notes receivable as of December 31, 2009 with aggregate principal balances totaling \$36.6 million and \$31.0 million, respectively. Five of the mortgage notes outstanding at December 31, 2010 were construction loans and at December 31, 2009 one was a construction loan. All of the loans were secured by existing buildings or buildings currently under development. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Business Strategy

Healthcare Realty's strategy is to own and operate medical office and other medical-related facilities that produce stable and growing rental income. Additionally, the Company provides a broad spectrum of services needed to own, develop, lease, finance and manage its portfolio of healthcare properties.

The Company focuses its portfolio on outpatient-related facilities located on or near the campuses of large acute care hospitals and associated with leading health systems because management views these facilities as stable, lower-risk real estate investments. According to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, the nation's overall healthcare spending in 2009 was \$2.5 trillion, representing 17.6% of the nation's gross domestic product (GDP). Total healthcare spending is expected to grow and could reach an estimated 19.6% of GDP by 2019. Historically, more than half of the nation's healthcare spending has been received by hospitals and outpatient-related facility tenants. In addition to the consistent growth in demand for outpatient services, management believes that the Company's diversity of tenants, which includes physicians of nearly two-dozen physician specialties, as well as surgery, imaging, and diagnostic centers, lowers the Company's overall financial and operational risk.

The Company plans to continue to meet its liquidity needs, including funding additional investments in 2011, paying dividends, repaying maturing debt and funding other debt service, with available cash on hand, cash flows from operations, borrowings under the \$550 million unsecured credit facility due 2012 (the Unsecured Credit Facility), proceeds from mortgage notes receivable repayments, proceeds from sales of real estate investments, or additional capital market financings, including the Company's at-the-market equity offering program, or other debt or equity offerings. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources in Item 7 and Risk Factors in Item 1A of this report for more discussion concerning the Company's liquidity and capital resources.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

Acquisition Activity

During 2010, the Company acquired approximately \$311.5 million in real estate assets and funded \$24.4 million in mortgage notes receivable. These acquisitions and mortgage notes were funded with borrowings on the Unsecured Credit Facility, proceeds from \$400 million in senior notes due 2021 issued in December 2010 (the Senior Notes due 2021), proceeds from real estate dispositions and mortgage note repayments, proceeds from the Company's at-the-market equity offering program, and from the assumption of existing mortgage debt related to certain acquired properties. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on these acquisitions.

Dispositions and Impairments

During 2010, the Company disposed of nine real estate properties for approximately \$34.5 million in net proceeds, received \$0.8 million in lease termination fees, and recognized approximately \$8.4 million in gains from the sale of the properties. Also, three mortgage notes receivable totaling approximately \$8.5 million were repaid. Proceeds from these dispositions were used to repay amounts due under the Unsecured Credit Facility, to fund additional real estate investments, and for general corporate purposes. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on these dispositions.

During 2010, the Company also recorded impairment charges of approximately \$7.5 million on properties sold during the year or held for sale at December 31, 2010.

2011 Acquisition

In January 2011, the Company originated with Ladco a \$40.0 million mortgage loan that is secured by a multi-tenanted office building located in Iowa that was 94% leased at the time the mortgage was originated. The mortgage loan requires interest only payments through maturity, has a stated fixed interest rate and matures in January 2014.

2011 Dispositions

In January 2011, the Company disposed of a medical office building located in Maryland that was previously classified as held for sale and in which the Company had a \$3.5 million net investment at December 31, 2010. The Company received approximately \$3.4 million in net proceeds, net of expenses incurred at the time of the closing.

In February 2011, the Company disposed of a physician clinic located in Florida that was previously classified as held for sale and in which the Company had a \$3.1 million net investment at December 31, 2010. The Company received approximately \$3.1 million in consideration on the sale.

2011 Potential Dispositions

During 2010, the Company received notice from a tenant of its intent to purchase six skilled nursing facilities in Michigan and Indiana pursuant to purchase options contained in its leases with the Company. The Company's aggregate net investment in the buildings, which were classified as held for sale upon receiving notice of the purchase option exercise, was approximately \$8.2 million at December 31, 2010. The aggregate purchase price for the properties is expected to be approximately \$17.3 million, resulting in a net gain of approximately \$9.1 million. The Company expects the sale to occur during the third quarter of 2011.

Purchase Options

In addition to the six skilled nursing facilities in Michigan and Indiana discussed above, the Company had \$91.3 million in real estate properties at December 31, 2010 that were subject to exercisable purchase options that had not been exercised. On a probability-weighted basis, the Company estimates that less than one-third of these options might be exercised in the future. Purchase options on two properties in which the Company had an aggregate gross investment of approximately \$35.5 million at December 31, 2010 become exercisable during 2011 and 2012. The Company does not believe it can reasonably estimate the probability that these purchase options will be exercised in the future.

Construction in Progress and Other Commitments

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had three medical office buildings under construction in Washington and Colorado with aggregate budgets of \$147.1 million and estimated completion dates in the third quarter of 2011. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$59.5 million invested in these construction projects. The Company also has four parcels of land totaling \$20.8 million in land held for future development that are included in construction in progress on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet. See Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details on the Company's construction in progress at December 31, 2010.

The Company also had approximately \$32.3 million in various first-generation tenant improvement budgeted amounts remaining as of December 31, 2010 related to properties that were developed by the Company.

Further, as of December 31, 2010, the Company had remaining funding commitments totaling \$54.6 million on five construction loans that the Company expects will be funded during 2011 and 2012.

Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had long-term contractual obligations of approximately \$2.3 billion, consisting primarily of \$1.9 billion of long-term debt obligations (including related interest). For a more detailed description of these contractual obligations, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Contractual Obligations, in Item 7 of this report.

Competition

The Company competes for the acquisition and development of real estate properties with private investors, healthcare providers, other healthcare-related REITs, real estate partnerships and financial institutions, among others. The business of acquiring and constructing new healthcare facilities is highly competitive and is subject to price, construction and operating costs, and other competitive pressures.

The financial performance of all of the Company's properties is subject to competition from similar properties. The extent to which the Company's properties are utilized depends upon several factors, including the number of physicians using or referring patients to the healthcare facility, healthcare employment, competitive systems of healthcare delivery, and the area's population, size and composition. Private, federal and state payment programs and other laws and regulations may also have an effect on the utilization of the properties. Virtually all of the Company's properties operate in a competitive environment, and patients and referral sources, including physicians, may change their preferences for a healthcare facility from time to time.

Government Regulation

The facilities owned by the Company are required to comply with extensive regulation at the federal, state, and local levels. These laws and regulations establish, among other things, requirements for state licensure and criteria to participate in government-sponsored reimbursement programs, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Although lease payments to the Company are not directly affected by these laws and regulations, changes in these programs or the loss by a facility of its license or ability to participate in government-sponsored reimbursement

programs due to certification deficiencies or program exclusion resulting from violations of law

would have a material adverse effect on facility revenues and could adversely affect healthcare provider tenants' ability to make payments to the Company.

The facilities owned by the Company are also subject to federal laws that are intended to combat fraud and waste such as the Anti-Kickback Statute, Stark Law, False Claims Act, and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. Although the Company is not a healthcare provider or in a position to refer patients or order services reimbursable by the federal government, violations of these and similar laws would have a material adverse effect on facility revenues and could adversely affect healthcare provider tenants' abilities to make payments to the Company. The Company's leases require the lessees to comply with all applicable laws.

The Medicare and Medicaid programs are highly regulated and subject to frequent evaluation and change. Government healthcare spending has increased over time; however, changes from year to year in reimbursement methodology, rates and other regulatory requirements have resulted in a challenging operating environment for healthcare providers. Spending on government reimbursement programs is expected to continue to rise significantly over the next 20 years, particularly as the government seeks to expand public insurance programs for the uninsured and senior populations.

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (collectively, the Health Reform Law) was signed into law to provide for comprehensive reform of the United States healthcare system and extend health insurance benefits to the uninsured population, with the potential to alleviate high uncompensated care expense to healthcare providers. However, the law also increases regulatory scrutiny of providers by federal and state administrative authorities, lowers their annual increase in Medicare payment rates, and will gradually implement significant cost-saving measures to lower the growth of healthcare spending, while also requiring improved access and quality of care, thus presenting the industry and its individual participants with uncertainty. The Health Reform Law has been ruled unconstitutional in whole or in part by certain federal district courts, and the degree to which it is implemented, if at all, will ultimately be decided by the United States Supreme Court. These varied reforms could affect the economic performance of some or all of the Company's tenants and clients. The Company cannot predict the degree to which these changes may affect the economic performance of the Company, positively or negatively.

The Company expects healthcare providers to continue to adjust to new operating challenges, as they have in the past, by increasing operating efficiency and modifying their strategies for profitable operations and growth. Furthermore, under comprehensive healthcare reform, the Company could benefit from higher demand for medical office space as the newly insured population would require additional healthcare providers and facilities.

The Company believes its strategic focus on the medical office and outpatient sector of the healthcare industry mitigates risk from changes in public healthcare spending and reimbursement because physician practices generally derive a large portion of their revenue from private insurance and out-of-pocket patient expense. The diversity of the Company's multi-tenant medical office facilities also provides lower reimbursement risk as payor mix varies from physician to physician, depending on location, specialty, patients, and physician preferences.

Legislative Developments

Each year, legislative proposals for health policy are introduced in Congress and state legislatures, and regulatory changes are enacted by government agencies. These proposals, individually or in the aggregate, could significantly change the delivery of healthcare services, either nationally or at the state level, if implemented. Examples of significant legislation currently under consideration or recently enacted include:

- the Health Reform Law;

- proposals to repeal the Health Reform law in whole or in part;

- quality control, cost containment, and payment system refinements for Medicaid, Medicare and other public funding, such as expansion of pay-for-performance criteria and value-based purchasing programs, bundled provider payments, accountable care organizations, increased patient cost-sharing, geographic payment variations, comparative effectiveness research, and lower payments for hospital readmissions;

reform of the Medicare physician fee-for-service reimbursement formula that dictates annual updates in payment rates for physician services, including significant reductions in the sustainable growth rate; however, Congress is expected to continue its annual practice of extending physician payment rates or providing an increase for the fiscal year 2012;

significant cuts to state Medicaid payment rates and benefits due to mounting state budgetary pressures;

prohibitions on additional types of contractual relationships between physicians and the healthcare facilities and providers to which they refer, and related information-collection activities;

efforts to increase transparency with respect to pricing and financial relationships among healthcare providers and drug/device manufacturers;

heightened health information technology standards for healthcare providers;

increased scrutiny of medical errors and conditions acquired inside health facilities;

patient and drug safety initiatives;

re-importation of pharmaceuticals;

pharmaceutical drug pricing and compliance activities under Medicare part D;

tax law changes affecting non-profit providers;

immigration reform and related healthcare mandates;

modifications to increase requirements for facility accessibility by persons with disabilities; and

facility requirements related to earthquakes and other disasters, including structural retrofitting.

The Company cannot predict whether any proposals will be fully implemented, adopted, repealed, or amended, or what effect, whether positive or negative, such proposals would have on the Company's business.

Environmental Matters

Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner of real property (such as the Company) may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances at, under or disposed of in connection with such property, as well as certain other potential costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances (including government fines and injuries to persons and adjacent property). Most, if not all, of these laws, ordinances and regulations contain stringent enforcement provisions including, but not limited to, the authority to impose substantial administrative, civil and criminal fines and penalties upon violators. Such laws often impose liability, without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence or disposal of such substances and may be imposed on the owner in connection with the activities of an operator of the property. The cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or personal or property damages and the owner's liability therefore could exceed the value of the property and/or the aggregate assets of the owner. In addition, the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly dispose of or remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell or lease such property or to borrow using such property as collateral. A property can also be negatively impacted either through physical contamination or by virtue of an adverse effect on value, from contamination that has or may have emanated from other properties.

Operations of the properties owned, developed or managed by the Company are and will continue to be subject to numerous federal, state, and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, including those relating to the following: the generation, segregation, handling, packaging and disposal of medical wastes; air quality requirements related to operations of generators, incineration devices, or sterilization equipment; facility siting and construction; disposal of non-medical wastes and ash from incinerators; and underground storage tanks. Certain properties owned, developed or managed by the Company contain, and others may contain or at one time may have contained, underground storage tanks that are or were used to store waste oils, petroleum products or other hazardous substances. Such underground storage tanks can be the source of releases of hazardous or toxic materials. Operations of nuclear medicine departments at some properties also involve the use and handling, and subsequent disposal of, radioactive isotopes and similar materials, activities which are closely regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and state

regulatory agencies. In addition, several of the properties were built during the period that asbestos was commonly used in building construction and other such facilities may be acquired by the Company in the future. The presence of such materials could result in significant costs in the event that any asbestos-containing materials requiring immediate removal and/or encapsulation are located in or on any facilities or in the event of any future renovation activities.

The Company has had environmental site assessments conducted on substantially all of the properties currently owned. These site assessments are limited in scope and provide only an evaluation of potential environmental conditions associated with the property, not compliance assessments of ongoing operations. While it is the Company's policy to seek indemnification relating to environmental liabilities or conditions, even where leases and sale and purchase agreements do contain such provisions, there can be no assurances that

the tenant or seller will be able to fulfill its indemnification obligations. In addition, the terms of the Company's leases or financial support agreements do not give the Company control over the operational activities of its lessees or healthcare operators, nor will the Company monitor the lessees or healthcare operators with respect to environmental matters.

Insurance

The Company generally requires its tenants to maintain comprehensive liability and property insurance that covers the Company as well as the tenants. The Company also carries comprehensive liability insurance and property insurance covering its owned and managed properties, including those held under long-term ground leases. In addition, tenants under long-term net master leases are required to carry property insurance covering the Company's interest in the buildings. The Company has also obtained title insurance with respect to each of the properties it owns, insuring that the Company holds title to each of the properties free and clear of all liens and encumbrances except those approved by the Company.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, the Company employed 240 people. The employees are not members of any labor union, and the Company considers its relations with its employees to be excellent.

Federal Income Tax Information

The Company is and intends to remain qualified as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). As a REIT, the Company's net income attributable to common stockholders will not be subject to federal taxation to the extent that it is distributed as dividends to stockholders. Distributions to the Company's stockholders generally will be includable in their income; however, dividends distributed that are in excess of current and/or accumulated earnings and profits will be treated for tax purposes as a return of capital to the extent of a stockholder's basis and will reduce the basis of the stockholder's shares.

Introduction

The Company is qualified and intends to remain qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code. The following discussion addresses the material federal tax considerations relevant to the taxation of the Company and summarizes certain federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to certain stockholders. However, the actual tax consequences of holding particular securities issued by the Company may vary in light of a securities holder's particular facts and circumstances. Certain holders, such as tax-exempt entities, insurance companies and financial institutions, are generally subject to special rules. In addition, the following discussion does not address issues under any foreign, state or local tax laws. The tax treatment of a holder of any of the securities issued by the Company will vary depending upon the terms of the specific securities acquired by such holder, as well as the holder's particular situation, and this discussion does not attempt to address aspects of federal income taxation relating to holders of particular securities of the Company. This summary is qualified in its entirety by the applicable Code provisions, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof. The Code, rules, regulations, and administrative and judicial interpretations are all subject to change at any time (possibly on a retroactive basis).

The Company is organized and is operating in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT and intends to continue operating so as to enable it to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code. The Company's qualification and taxation as a REIT depends upon its ability to meet, through actual annual operating results, the various income, asset, distribution, stock ownership and other tests discussed below. Accordingly, the Company cannot guarantee that the actual results of operations for any one taxable year will satisfy such requirements.

If the Company were to cease to qualify as a REIT, and the statutory relief provisions were found not to apply, the Company's income that it distributed to stockholders would be subject to the double taxation on earnings (once at the corporate level and again at the stockholder level) that generally results from an investment in the equity securities of a corporation. The distributions would then qualify for the reduced dividend rates created by the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003. However, the reduced dividend rates are scheduled to expire for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012. Failure to maintain qualification as a REIT would force the Company to significantly reduce its distributions and possibly incur substantial indebtedness or liquidate substantial investments in

order to pay the resulting corporate taxes. In addition, the Company, once having obtained REIT status and having thereafter lost such status, would not be eligible to re-elect REIT status for the four subsequent taxable years, unless its failure to maintain its qualification was due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect and certain other requirements were satisfied. In order to elect again to be taxed as a REIT, just as with its original election, the Company would be required to distribute all of its earnings and profits accumulated in any non-REIT taxable year.

Taxation of the Company

As long as the Company remains qualified to be taxed as a REIT, it generally will not be subject to federal income taxes on that portion of its ordinary income or capital gain that is currently distributed to stockholders.

However, the Company will be subject to federal income tax as follows:

The Company will be taxed at regular corporate rates on any undistributed real estate investment trust taxable income, including undistributed net capital gains.

Under certain circumstances, the Company may be subject to the alternative minimum tax on its items of tax preference, if any.

If the Company has (i) net income from the sale or other disposition of foreclosure property that is held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business, or (ii) other non-qualifying income from foreclosure property, it will be subject to tax on such income at the highest regular corporate rate.

Any net income that the Company has from prohibited transactions (which are, in general, certain sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business) will be subject to a 100% tax.

If the Company should fail to satisfy either the 75% or 95% gross income test (as discussed below), and has nonetheless maintained its qualification as a REIT because certain other requirements have been met, it will be subject to a percentage tax calculated by the ratio of REIT taxable income to gross income with certain adjustments multiplied by the gross income attributable to the greater of the amount by which the Company fails the 75% or 95% gross income test.

If the Company fails to distribute during each year at least the sum of (i) 85% of its REIT ordinary income for such year, (ii) 95% of its REIT capital gain net income for such year, and (iii) any undistributed taxable income from preceding periods, then the Company will be subject to a 4% excise tax on the excess of such required distribution over the amounts actually distributed.

In the event of a more than de minimis failure of any of the asset tests, as described below under Asset Tests, as long as the failure was due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect, the Company files a description of each asset that caused such failure with the Internal Revenue Services (IRS), and disposes of the assets or otherwise complies with the asset tests within six months after the last day of the quarter in which the Company identifies such failure, the Company will pay a tax equal to the greater of \$50,000 or 35% of the net income from the nonqualifying assets during the period in which the Company failed to satisfy the asset tests.

In the event the Company fails to satisfy one or more requirements for REIT qualification, other than the gross income tests and the asset tests, and such failure is due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect, the Company will be required to pay a penalty of \$50,000 for each such failure.

To the extent that the Company recognizes gain from the disposition of an asset with respect to which there existed built-in gain upon its acquisition by the Company from a Subchapter C corporation in a carry-over basis transaction and such disposition occurs within a maximum ten-year recognition period beginning on the date on which it was acquired by the Company, the Company will be subject to federal income tax at the highest regular corporate rate on the amount of its net recognized built-in gain.

To the extent that the Company has net income from a taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS), the TRS will be subject to federal corporate income tax in much the same manner as other non-REIT Subchapter C corporations, with the exceptions that the deductions for interest expense on debt and rental payments made

by the TRS to the Company will be limited and a 100% excise tax may be imposed on transactions between the TRS and the Company or the Company's tenants that are not conducted on an arm's length basis. A TRS is a corporation in which a REIT owns stock, directly or indirectly, and for which both the REIT and the corporation have made TRS elections.

Requirements for Qualification as a REIT

To qualify as a REIT for a taxable year, the Company must have no earnings and profits accumulated in any non-REIT year. The Company also must elect or have in effect an election to be taxed as a REIT and must meet other requirements, some of which are summarized below, including percentage tests relating to the sources of its gross income, the nature of the Company's assets and the

distribution of its income to stockholders. Such election, if properly made and assuming continuing compliance with the qualification tests described herein, will continue in effect for subsequent years.

Organizational Requirements and Share Ownership Tests

Section 856(a) of the Code defines a REIT as a corporation, trust or association:

- (1) that is managed by one or more trustees or directors;
- (2) the beneficial ownership of which is evidenced by transferable shares or by transferable certificates of beneficial interest;
- (3) that would be taxable, but for Sections 856 through 860 of the Code, as a domestic corporation;
- (4) that is neither a financial institution nor an insurance company subject to certain provisions of the Code;
- (5) the beneficial ownership of which is held by 100 or more persons, determined without reference to any rules of attribution (the share ownership test);
- (6) that during the last half of each taxable year not more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of which is owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) (the five or fewer test); and
- (7) that meets certain other tests, described below, regarding the nature of its income and assets.

Section 856(b) of the Code provides that conditions (1) through (4), inclusive, must be met during the entire taxable year and that condition (5) must be met during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months, or during a proportionate part of a taxable year of fewer than 12 months. The five or fewer test and the share ownership test do not apply to the first taxable year for which an election is made to be treated as a REIT.

The Company is also required to request annually (within 30 days after the close of its taxable year) from record holders of specified percentages of its shares written information regarding the ownership of such shares. A list of stockholders failing to fully comply with the demand for the written statements is required to be maintained as part of the Company's records required under the Code. Rather than responding to the Company, the Code allows the stockholder to submit such statement to the IRS with the stockholder's tax return.

The Company has issued shares to a sufficient number of people to allow it to satisfy the share ownership test and the five or fewer test. In addition, to assist in complying with the five or fewer test, the Company's Articles of Incorporation contain provisions restricting share transfers where the transferee (other than specified individuals involved in the formation of the Company, members of their families and certain affiliates, and certain other exceptions) would, after such transfer, own (a) more than 9.9% either in number or value of the outstanding common stock of the Company or (b) more than 9.9% either in number or value of any outstanding preferred stock of the Company. Pension plans and certain other tax-exempt entities have different restrictions on ownership. If, despite this prohibition, stock is acquired increasing a transferee's ownership to over 9.9% in value of either the outstanding common stock or any preferred stock of the Company, the stock in excess of this 9.9% in value is deemed to be held in trust for transfer at a price that does not exceed what the purported transferee paid for the stock, and, while held in trust, the stock is not entitled to receive dividends or to vote. In addition, under these circumstances, the Company has the right to redeem such stock.

For purposes of determining whether the five or fewer test (but not the share ownership test) is met, any stock held by a qualified trust (generally, pension plans, profit-sharing plans and other employee retirement trusts) is, generally, treated as held directly by the trust's beneficiaries in proportion to their actuarial interests in the trust and not as held by the trust.

Income Tests

In order to maintain qualification as a REIT, two gross income requirements must be satisfied annually.

Edgar Filing: HEALTHCARE REALTY TRUST INC - Form 10-K

First, at least 75% of the Company's gross income (excluding gross income from certain sales of property held as inventory or primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business) must be derived from rents from real property ; interest on obligations secured by mortgages on real property or on interests in real property ; gain (excluding gross income from certain sales of property held as inventory or primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business) from the sale or other disposition of, and certain other gross income related to, real property (including interests in real property and in mortgages on real property); and income received or accrued within one year of the Company's receipt of, and attributable to the temporary investment of,

new capital (any amount received in exchange for stock other than through a dividend reinvestment plan or in a public offering of debt obligations having maturities of at least five years).

Second, at least 95% of the Company's gross income (excluding gross income from certain sales of property held as inventory or primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business) must be derived from dividends; interest; rents from real property; gain (excluding gross income from certain sales of property held as inventory or primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business) from the sale or other disposition of, and certain other gross income related to, real property (including interests in real property and in mortgages on real property); and gain from the sale or other disposition of stock and securities.

The Company may temporarily invest its working capital in short-term investments. Although the Company will use its best efforts to ensure that income generated by these investments will be of a type that satisfies the 75% and 95% gross income tests, there can be no assurance in this regard (see the discussion above of the new capital rule under the 75% gross income test).

For an amount received or accrued to qualify for purposes of an applicable gross income test as rents from real property or interest on obligations secured by mortgages on real property or on interests in real property, the determination of such amount must not depend in whole or in part on the income or profits derived by any person from such property (except that such amount may be based on a fixed percentage or percentages of receipts or sales). In addition, for an amount received or accrued to qualify as rents from real property, such amount may not be received or accrued directly or indirectly from a person in which the Company owns directly or indirectly 10% or more of, in the case of a corporation, the total voting power of all voting stock or the total value of all stock, and, in the case of an unincorporated entity, the assets or net profits of such entity (except for certain amounts received or accrued from a TRS in connection with property substantially rented to persons other than a TRS of the Company and other 10%-or-more owned persons or with respect to certain healthcare facilities, if certain conditions are met). The Company leases and intends to lease property only under circumstances such that substantially all, if not all, rents from such property qualify as rents from real property. Although it is possible that a tenant could sublease space to a sublessee in whom the Company is deemed to own directly or indirectly 10% or more of the tenant, the Company believes that as a result of the provisions of the Company's Articles of Incorporation that limit ownership to 9.9%, such occurrence would be unlikely. Application of the 10% ownership rule is, however, dependent upon complex attribution rules provided in the Code and circumstances beyond the control of the Company. Ownership, directly or by attribution, by an unaffiliated third party of more than 10% of the Company's stock and more than 10% of the stock of any tenant or subtenant would result in a violation of the rule.

In addition, the Company must not manage its properties or furnish or render services to the tenants of its properties, except through an independent contractor from whom the Company derives no income or through a TRS unless (i) the Company is performing services that are usually or customarily furnished or rendered in connection with the rental of space for occupancy only and the services are of the sort that a tax-exempt organization could perform without being considered in receipt of unrelated business taxable income or (ii) the income earned by the Company for other services furnished or rendered by the Company to tenants of a property or for the management or operation of the property does not exceed a de minimis threshold generally equal to 1% of the income from such property. The Company self-manages some of its properties, but does not believe it provides services to tenants that are outside the exception.

If rent attributable to personal property leased in connection with a lease of real property is greater than 15% of the total rent received under the lease, then the portion of rent attributable to such personal property will not qualify as rents from real property. Generally, this 15% test is applied separately to each lease. The portion of rental income treated as attributable to personal property is determined according to the ratio of the fair market value of the personal property to the total fair market value of the property that is rented. The determination of what fixtures and other property constitute personal property for federal tax purposes is difficult and imprecise. The Company does not have 15% by value of any of its properties classified as personal property. If, however, rent payments do not qualify, for reasons discussed above, as rents from real property for purposes of Section 856 of the Code, it will be more difficult for the Company to meet the 95% and 75% gross income tests and continue to qualify as a REIT.

The Company is and expects to continue performing third-party management services, and may also perform third-party development services. If the gross income to the Company from this or any other activity producing disqualified income for purposes of the 95% or 75% gross income tests approaches a level that could potentially cause the Company to fail to satisfy these tests, the Company intends to take such corrective action as may be necessary to avoid failing to satisfy the 95% or 75% gross income tests.

The Company may enter into hedging transactions with respect to one or more of its assets or liabilities. The Company's hedging activities may include entering into interest rate swaps, caps and floors, options to purchase such items, and futures and forward contracts. Income and gain from hedging transactions will be excluded from gross income for purposes of the 95% and 75% gross income tests. A hedging transaction includes any transaction entered into in the normal course of the Company's trade or business primarily to manage the risk of interest rate, price changes or currency fluctuations with respect to borrowings made or to be made, or ordinary obligations incurred or to be incurred, to acquire or carry real estate assets. The Company will be required to clearly identify any such hedging transaction before the close of the day on which it was acquired, originated or entered into. The Company intends to structure any hedging or similar transactions so as not to jeopardize its status as a REIT.

If the Company were to fail to satisfy one or both of the 75% or 95% gross income tests for any taxable year, it may nevertheless qualify as a REIT for such year if it is entitled to relief under certain provisions of the Code. These relief provisions would generally be available if (i) the Company's failure to meet such test or tests was due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect and (ii) following its identification of its failure to meet these tests, the Company files a description of each item of income that fails to meet these tests in a schedule in accordance with Treasury Regulations. It is not possible, however, to know whether the Company would be entitled to the benefit of these relief provisions since the application of the relief provisions is dependent on future facts and circumstances. If these provisions were to apply, the Company would be subjected to tax equal to a percentage tax calculated by the ratio of REIT taxable income to gross income with certain adjustments multiplied by the gross income attributable to the greater of the amount by which the Company failed either of the 75% or the 95% gross income tests.

Asset Tests

At the close of each quarter of its taxable year, the Company must also satisfy four tests relating to the nature of its assets.

At least 75% of the value of the Company's total assets must consist of real estate assets (including interests in real property and interests in mortgages on real property as well as its allocable share of real estate assets held by joint ventures or partnerships in which the Company participates), cash, cash items and government securities.

Not more than 25% of the Company's total assets may be represented by securities other than those includable in the 75% asset class.

Not more than 25% of the Company's total assets may be represented by securities of one or more TRS.

Of the investments included in the 25% asset class, except for TRS, (i) the value of any one issuer's securities owned by the Company may not exceed 5% of the value of the Company's total assets, (ii) the Company may not own more than 10% of any one issuer's outstanding voting securities and (iii) the Company may not hold securities having a value of more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. Securities issued by affiliated qualified REIT subsidiaries (QRS), which are corporations wholly owned by the Company, either directly or indirectly, that are not TRS, are not subject to the 25% of total assets limit, the 5% of total assets limit or the 10% of a single issuer's voting securities limit or the 10% of a single issuer's value limit. Additionally, straight debt and certain other exceptions are not securities for purposes of the 10% of a single issuer's value test. The existence of QRS are ignored, and the assets, income, gain, loss and other attributes of the QRS are treated as being owned or generated by the Company, for federal income tax purposes. The Company currently has 65 subsidiaries and other affiliates that it employs in the conduct of its business.

If the Company meets the asset tests described above at the close of any quarter, it will not lose its status as a REIT because of a change in value of its assets unless the discrepancy exists immediately after the acquisition of any security or other property that is wholly or partly the result of an acquisition during such quarter. Where a failure to satisfy the asset tests results from an acquisition of securities or other property during a quarter, the failure can be cured by disposition of sufficient non-qualifying assets within 30 days after the close of such quarter. The Company maintains adequate records of the value of its assets to maintain compliance with the asset tests and to take such action as may be required to cure any failure to satisfy the test within 30 days after the close of any quarter. Nevertheless, if the Company were unable to cure within the 30-day cure period, the Company may cure a violation of the 5% asset test or the 10% asset test so long as the value of the asset causing such violation does not exceed the lesser of 1% of the Company's assets at the end of the relevant quarter or \$10 million and the Company disposes of the asset causing the failure or otherwise complies with the asset tests within six months after the last day of the quarter in which the failure to satisfy the asset test is discovered. For violations due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect that are larger than this amount, the Company is permitted to avoid disqualification as a REIT after the 30-day cure period by (i) disposing of an amount of assets sufficient to meet the asset tests, (ii) paying a tax equal to the greater of

\$50,000 or the highest corporate tax rate times the taxable income generated by the non-qualifying asset and (iii) disclosing certain information to the IRS.

Distribution Requirement

In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company is required to distribute dividends (other than capital gain dividends) to its stockholders in an amount equal to or greater than the excess of (a) the sum of (i) 90% of the Company's real estate investment trust taxable income (computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and the Company's net capital gain) and (ii) 90% of the net income (after tax on such income), if any, from foreclosure property, over (b) the sum of certain non-cash income (from certain imputed rental income and income from transactions inadvertently failing to qualify as like-kind exchanges). These requirements may be waived by the IRS if the Company establishes that it failed to meet them by reason of distributions previously made to meet the requirements of the 4% excise tax described below. To the extent that the Company does not distribute all of its net long-term capital gain and all of its real estate investment trust taxable income, it will be subject to tax thereon. In addition, the Company will be subject to a 4% excise

tax to the extent it fails within a calendar year to make required distributions to its stockholders of 85% of its ordinary income and 95% of its capital gain net income plus the excess, if any, of the grossed up required distribution for the preceding calendar year over the amount treated as distributed for such preceding calendar year. For this purpose, the term grossed up required distribution for any calendar year is the sum of the taxable income of the Company for the taxable year (without regard to the deduction for dividends paid) and all amounts from earlier years that are not treated as having been distributed under the provision. Dividends declared in the last quarter of the year and paid during the following January will be treated as having been paid and received on December 31 of such earlier year. The Company's distributions for 2010 were adequate to satisfy its distribution requirement.

It is possible that the Company, from time to time, may have insufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the 90% distribution requirement due to timing differences between the actual receipt of income and the actual payment of deductible expenses or dividends on the one hand and the inclusion of such income and deduction of such expenses or dividends in arriving at real estate investment trust taxable income on the other hand. The problem of not having adequate cash to make required distributions could also occur as a result of the repayment in cash of principal amounts due on the Company's outstanding debt, particularly in the case of balloon repayments or as a result of capital losses on short-term investments of working capital. Therefore, the Company might find it necessary to arrange for short-term, or possibly long-term, borrowing or new equity financing. If the Company were unable to arrange such borrowing or financing as might be necessary to provide funds for required distributions, its REIT status could be jeopardized.

Under certain circumstances, the Company may be able to rectify a failure to meet the distribution requirement for a year by paying deficiency dividends to stockholders in a later year, which may be included in the Company's deduction for dividends paid for the earlier year. The Company may be able to avoid being taxed on amounts distributed as deficiency dividends; however, the Company might in certain circumstances remain liable for the 4% excise tax described above.

Federal Income Tax Treatment of Leases

The availability to the Company of, among other things, depreciation deductions with respect to the facilities owned and leased by the Company depends upon the treatment of the Company as the owner of the facilities and the classification of the leases of the facilities as true leases, rather than as sales or financing arrangements, for federal income tax purposes. The Company has not requested nor has it received an opinion that it will be treated as the owner of the portion of the facilities constituting real property and that the leases will be treated as true leases of such real property for federal income tax purposes.

Other Issues

With respect to property acquired from and leased back to the same or an affiliated party, the IRS could assert that the Company realized prepaid rental income in the year of purchase to the extent that the value of the leased property exceeds the purchase price paid by the Company for that property. In litigated cases involving sale-leasebacks which have considered this issue, courts have concluded that buyers have realized prepaid rent where both parties acknowledged that the purported purchase price for the property was substantially less than fair market value and the purported rents were substantially less than the fair market rentals. Because of the lack of clear precedent and the inherently factual nature of the inquiry, the Company cannot give complete assurance that the IRS could not successfully assert the existence of prepaid rental income in such circumstances. The value of property and the fair market rent for properties involved in sale-leasebacks are inherently factual matters and always subject to challenge.

Additionally, it should be noted that Section 467 of the Code (concerning leases with increasing rents) may apply to those leases of the Company that provide for rents that increase from one period to the next. Section 467 provides that in the case of a so-called disqualified leaseback agreement, rental income must be accrued at a constant rate. If such constant rent accrual is required, the Company would recognize rental income in excess of cash rents and, as a result, may fail to have adequate funds available to meet the 90% dividend distribution requirement. Disqualified leaseback agreements include leaseback transactions where a principal purpose of providing increasing rent under the agreement is the avoidance of federal income tax. Since the Section 467 regulations provide that rents will not be treated as increasing for tax avoidance purposes where the increases are based upon a fixed percentage of lessee

receipts, additional rent provisions of leases containing such clauses should not result in these leases being disqualified leaseback agreements. In addition, the Section 467 regulations provide that leases providing for fluctuations in rents by no more than a reasonable percentage, which is 15% for long-term real property leases, from the average rent payable over the term of the lease will be deemed to not be motivated by tax avoidance. The Company does not believe it has rent subject to the disqualified leaseback provisions of Section 467.

Subject to a safe harbor exception for annual sales of up to seven properties (or properties with a basis of up to 10% of the REIT's assets) that have been held for at least two years, gain from sales of property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is subject to a 100% tax. The simultaneous exercise of options to acquire leased property that may be granted to certain tenants or other events could result in sales of properties by the Company that exceed this safe harbor. However, the Company believes that in such event, it will not have held such properties for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business.

Depreciation of Properties

For federal income tax purposes, the Company's real property is being depreciated over 31.5, 39 or 40 years, using the straight-line method of depreciation and its personal property over various periods utilizing accelerated and straight-line methods of depreciation.

Failure to Qualify as a REIT

If the Company was to fail to qualify for federal income tax purposes as a REIT in any taxable year, and the relief provisions were found not to apply, the Company would be subject to tax on its taxable income at regular corporate rates (plus any applicable alternative minimum tax). Distributions to stockholders in any year in which the Company failed to qualify would not be deductible by the Company nor would they be required to be made. In such event, to the extent of current and/or accumulated earnings and profits, all distributions to stockholders would be taxable as qualified dividend income, including, presumably, subject to the 15% maximum rate on dividends created by the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003, and, subject to certain limitations in the Code, eligible for the 70% dividends received deduction for corporations that are REIT stockholders. However, this reduced rate for dividend income is set to expire for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012. Unless entitled to relief under specific statutory provisions, the Company would also be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the following four taxable years. It is not possible to state whether in all circumstances the Company would be entitled to statutory relief from such disqualification. Failure to qualify for even one year could result in the Company's incurring substantial indebtedness (to the extent borrowings were feasible) or liquidating substantial investments in order to pay the resulting taxes.

Taxation of Tax-Exempt Stockholders

The IRS has issued a revenue ruling in which it held that amounts distributed by a REIT to a tax-exempt employee's pension trust do not constitute unrelated business taxable income, even though the REIT may have financed certain of its activities with acquisition indebtedness. Although revenue rulings are interpretive in nature and are subject to revocation or modification by the IRS, based upon the revenue ruling and the analysis therein, distributions made by the Company to a U.S. stockholder that is a tax-exempt entity (such as an individual retirement account (IRA) or a 401(k) plan) should not constitute unrelated business taxable income unless such tax-exempt U.S. stockholder has financed the acquisition of its shares with acquisition indebtedness within the meaning of the Code, or the shares are otherwise used in an unrelated trade or business conducted by such U.S. stockholder.

Special rules apply to certain tax-exempt pension funds (including 401(k) plans but excluding IRAs or government pension plans) that own more than 10% (measured by value) of a pension-held REIT. Such a pension fund may be required to treat a certain percentage of all dividends received from the REIT during the year as unrelated business taxable income. The percentage is equal to the ratio of the REIT's gross income (less direct expenses related thereto) derived from the conduct of unrelated trades or businesses determined as if the REIT were a tax-exempt pension fund (including income from activities financed with acquisition indebtedness), to the REIT's gross income (less direct expenses related thereto) from all sources. The special rules will not require a pension fund to recharacterize a portion of its dividends as unrelated business taxable income unless the percentage computed is at least 5%.

A REIT will be treated as a pension-held REIT if the REIT is predominantly held by tax-exempt pension funds and if the REIT would otherwise fail to satisfy the five or fewer test discussed above. A REIT is predominantly held by tax-exempt pension funds if at least one tax-exempt pension fund holds more than 25% (measured by value) of the REIT's stock or beneficial interests, or if one or more tax-exempt pension funds (each of which owns more than 10% (measured by value) of the REIT's stock or beneficial interests) own in the aggregate more than 50% (measured by value) of the REIT's stock or beneficial interests. The Company believes that it will not be treated as a pension-held REIT. However, because the shares of the Company will be publicly traded, no assurance can be given that the Company is not or will not become a pension-held REIT.

Taxation of Non-U.S. Stockholders

The rules governing United States federal income taxation of any person other than (i) a citizen or resident of the United States, (ii) a corporation or partnership created in the United States or under the laws of the United States or of any state thereof, (iii) an estate whose income is includable in income for U.S. federal income tax purposes

regardless of its source or (iv) a trust if a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more United States fiduciaries have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust (Non-U.S. Stockholders) are highly complex, and the following discussion is intended only as a summary of such rules. Prospective Non-U.S. Stockholders should consult with their own tax advisors to determine the impact of United States federal, state, and local income tax laws on an investment in stock of the Company, including any reporting requirements.

In general, Non-U.S. Stockholders are subject to regular United States income tax with respect to their investment in stock of the Company in the same manner as a U.S. stockholder if such investment is effectively connected with the Non-U.S. Stockholder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States. A corporate Non-U.S. Stockholder that receives income with respect to its investment in stock of the Company that is (or is treated as) effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States also

may be subject to the 30% branch profits tax imposed by the Code, which is payable in addition to regular United States corporate income tax. The following discussion addresses only the United States taxation of Non-U.S. Stockholders whose investment in stock of the Company is not effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States.

Ordinary Dividends

Distributions made by the Company that are not attributable to gain from the sale or exchange by the Company of United States real property interests (*USRPI*) and that are not designated by the Company as capital gain dividends will be treated as ordinary income dividends to the extent made out of current or accumulated earnings and profits of the Company. Generally, such ordinary income dividends will be subject to United States withholding tax at the rate of 30% on the gross amount of the dividend paid unless reduced or eliminated by an applicable United States income tax treaty. The Company expects to withhold United States income tax at the rate of 30% on the gross amount of any such dividends paid to a Non-U.S. Stockholder unless a lower treaty rate applies and the Non-U.S. Stockholder has filed an IRS Form W-8BEN with the Company, certifying the Non-U.S. Stockholder's entitlement to treaty benefits.

Non-Dividend Distributions

Distributions made by the Company in excess of its current and accumulated earnings and profits to a Non-U.S. Stockholder who holds 5% or less of the stock of the Company (after application of certain ownership rules) will not be subject to U.S. income or withholding tax. If it cannot be determined at the time a distribution is made whether or not such distribution will be in excess of the Company's current and accumulated earnings and profits, the distribution will be subject to withholding at the rate applicable to a dividend distribution. However, the Non-U.S. Stockholder may seek a refund from the IRS of any amount withheld if it is subsequently determined that such distribution was, in fact, in excess of the Company's then current and accumulated earnings and profits.

Capital Gain Dividends

As long as the Company continues to qualify as a REIT, distributions made by the Company after December 31, 2005, that are attributable to gain from the sale or exchange by the Company of any *USRPI* will not be treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States. Instead, such distributions will be treated as REIT dividends that are not capital gains and will not be subject to the branch profits tax as long as the Non-U.S. Stockholder does not hold greater than 5% of the stock of the Company at any time during the one-year period ending on the date of the distribution. Non-U.S. Stockholders who hold more than 5% of the stock of the Company will be treated as if such gains were effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States and generally subject to the same capital gains rates applicable to U.S. stockholders. In addition, corporate Non-U.S. Stockholders may also be subject to the 30% branch profits tax and to withholding at the rate of 35% of the gross distribution.

Disposition of Stock of the Company

Generally, gain recognized by a Non-U.S. Stockholder upon the sale or exchange of stock of the Company will not be subject to United States taxation unless such stock constitutes a *USRPI* within the meaning of the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act of 1980 (*FIRPTA*). The stock of the Company will not constitute a *USRPI* so long as the Company is a domestically controlled REIT. A domestically controlled REIT is a REIT in which at all times during a specified testing period less than 50% in value of its stock or beneficial interests are held directly or indirectly by Non-U.S. Stockholders. The Company believes that it will be a domestically controlled REIT, and therefore that the sale of stock of the Company will generally not be subject to taxation under *FIRPTA*. However, because the stock of the Company is publicly traded, no assurance can be given that the Company is or will continue to be a domestically controlled REIT.

Under wash sale rules applicable to certain dispositions of interests in domestically controlled REITs, a Non-U.S. Stockholder could be subject to taxation under *FIRPTA* on the disposition of stock of the Company if certain conditions are met. If the Company is a domestically controlled REIT, a Non-U.S. Stockholder will be treated as having disposed of *USRPI*, if such Non-U.S. Stockholder disposes of an interest in the Company in an applicable wash sale transaction. An applicable wash sale transaction is any transaction in which a Non-U.S. Stockholder avoids receiving a distribution from a REIT by (i) disposing of an interest in a domestically controlled REIT during the 30-day period preceding a distribution, any portion of which distribution would have been treated as gain from the

sale of a USRPI if it had been received by the Non-U.S. Stockholder and (ii) acquiring, or entering into a contract or option to acquire, a substantially identical interest in the REIT during the 61-day period beginning the first day of the 30-day period preceding the distribution. The wash sale rule does not apply to a Non-U.S. Stockholder who actually receives the distribution from the Company or, so long as the Company is publicly traded, to any Non-U.S. Stockholder holding greater than 5% of the outstanding stock of the Company at any time during the one-year period ending on the date of the distribution.

If the Company did not constitute a domestically controlled REIT, gain arising from the sale or exchange by a Non-U.S. Stockholder of stock of the Company would be subject to United States taxation under FIRPTA as a sale of a USRPI unless (i) the stock of the Company is regularly traded (as defined in the applicable Treasury regulations) and (ii) the selling Non-U.S. Stockholder's interest (after application of certain constructive ownership rules) in the Company is 5% or less at all times during the five years

preceding the sale or exchange. If gain on the sale or exchange of the stock of the Company were subject to taxation under FIRPTA, the Non-U.S. Stockholder would be subject to regular United States income tax with respect to such gain in the same manner as a U.S. stockholder (subject to any applicable alternative minimum tax, a special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals and the possible application of the 30% branch profits tax in the case of foreign corporations), and the purchaser of the stock of the Company (including the Company) would be required to withhold and remit to the IRS 10% of the purchase price. Additionally, in such case, distributions on the stock of the Company to the extent they represent a return of capital or capital gain from the sale of the stock of the Company, rather than dividends, would be subject to a 10% withholding tax.

Capital gains not subject to FIRPTA will nonetheless be taxable in the United States to a Non-U.S. Stockholder in two cases:

if the Non-U.S. Stockholder's investment in the stock of the Company is effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business conducted by such Non-U.S. Stockholder, the Non-U.S. Stockholder will be subject to the same treatment as a U.S. stockholder with respect to such gain; or

if the Non-U.S. Stockholder is a nonresident alien individual who was present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year and has a tax home in the United States, the nonresident alien individual will be subject to a 30% tax on the individual's capital gain.

Information Reporting Requirements and Backup Withholding Tax

The Company will report to its U.S. stockholders and to the IRS the amount of dividends paid during each calendar year and the amount of tax withheld, if any, with respect thereto. Under the backup withholding rules, a U.S. stockholder may be subject to backup withholding, currently at a rate of 28% on dividends paid unless such U.S. stockholder:

is a corporation or falls within certain other exempt categories and, when required, can demonstrate this fact; or

provides a taxpayer identification number, certifies as to no loss of exemption from backup withholding, and otherwise complies with applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules.

A U.S. stockholder who does not provide the Company with his correct taxpayer identification number also may be subject to penalties imposed by the IRS. Any amount paid as backup withholding will be creditable against the U.S. stockholder's federal income tax liability. In addition, the Company may be required to withhold a portion of any capital gain distributions made to U.S. stockholders who fail to certify their non-foreign status to the Company.

Additional issues may arise pertaining to information reporting and backup withholding with respect to Non-U.S. Stockholders, and Non-U.S. Stockholders should consult their tax advisors with respect to any such information reporting and backup withholding requirements.

State and Local Taxes

The Company and its stockholders may be subject to state or local taxation in various state or local jurisdictions, including those in which it or they transact business or reside. The state and local tax treatment of the Company and its stockholders may not conform to the federal income tax consequences discussed above. Consequently, prospective holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect of state and local tax laws on an investment in the stock of the Company.

Real Estate Investment Trust Tax Proposals

Investors must recognize that the present federal income tax treatment of the Company may be modified by future legislative, judicial or administrative actions or decisions at any time, which may be retroactive in effect, and, as a result, any such action or decision may affect investments and commitments previously made. The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the Treasury Department, resulting in statutory changes as well as promulgation of new, or revisions to existing, regulations and revised interpretations of established concepts. No prediction can be made as to the likelihood of the passage of any new tax legislation or other provisions either directly or indirectly affecting the Company or its stockholders.

Other Legislation

The Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 reduced the maximum individual tax rate for long-term capital gains generally from 20% to 15% (for sales occurring after May 6, 2003 through December 31, 2008) and for dividends generally from 38.6% to 15% (for tax years from 2003 through 2008). These provisions have been extended through the 2012 tax year. Without future

congressional action, the maximum tax rate on long-term capital gains will return to 20% in 2013, and the maximum rate on dividends will move to 39.6% in 2013. Because a REIT is not generally subject to federal income tax on the portion of its REIT taxable income or capital gains distributed to its stockholders, distributions of dividends by a REIT are generally not eligible for the 15% tax rate on dividends. As a result, the Company's ordinary REIT dividends will continue to be taxed at the higher tax rates (currently, a maximum of 35%) applicable to ordinary income.

ERISA Considerations

The following is a summary of material considerations arising under ERISA and the prohibited transaction provisions of Section 4975 of the Code that may be relevant to a holder of stock of the Company. This discussion does not propose to deal with all aspects of ERISA or Section 4975 of the Code or, to the extent not preempted, state law that may be relevant to particular employee benefit plan stockholders (including plans subject to Title I of ERISA, other employee benefit plans and IRAs subject to the prohibited transaction provisions of Section 4975 of the Code, and governmental plans and church plans that are exempt from ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code but that may be subject to state law requirements) in light of their particular circumstances.

A fiduciary making the decision to invest in stock of the Company on behalf of a prospective purchaser which is an ERISA plan, a tax-qualified retirement plan, an IRA or other employee benefit plan is advised to consult its own legal advisor regarding the specific considerations arising under ERISA, Section 4975 of the Code, and (to the extent not preempted) state law with respect to the purchase, ownership or sale of stock by such plan or IRA.

Employee Benefit Plans, Tax-Qualified Retirement Plans and IRAs

Each fiduciary of an employee benefit plan subject to Title I of ERISA (an ERISA Plan) should carefully consider whether an investment in stock of the Company is consistent with its fiduciary responsibilities under ERISA. In particular, the fiduciary requirements of Part 4 of Title I of ERISA require (i) an ERISA Plan's investments to be prudent and in the best interests of the ERISA Plan, its participants and beneficiaries, (ii) an ERISA Plan's investments to be diversified in order to reduce the risk of large losses, unless it is clearly prudent not to do so, (iii) an ERISA Plan's investments to be authorized under ERISA and the terms of the governing documents of the ERISA Plan and (iv) that the fiduciary not cause the ERISA Plan to enter into transactions prohibited under Section 406 of ERISA. In determining whether an investment in stock of the Company is prudent for purposes of ERISA, the appropriate fiduciary of an ERISA Plan should consider all of the facts and circumstances, including whether the investment is reasonably designed, as a part of the ERISA Plan's portfolio for which the fiduciary has investment responsibility, to meet the objectives of the ERISA Plan, taking into consideration the risk of loss and opportunity for gain (or other return) from the investment, the diversification, cash flow and funding requirements of the ERISA Plan and the liquidity and current return of the ERISA Plan's portfolio. A fiduciary should also take into account the nature of the Company's business, the length of the Company's operating history and other matters described below under Risk Factors.

The fiduciary of an IRA or of an employee benefit plan not subject to Title I of ERISA because it is a governmental or church plan or because it does not cover common law employees (a Non-ERISA Plan) should consider that such an IRA or Non-ERISA Plan may only make investments that are authorized by the appropriate governing documents, not prohibited under Section 4975 of the Code and permitted under applicable state law.

Status of the Company under ERISA

A prohibited transaction may occur if the assets of the Company are deemed to be assets of the investing Plans and parties in interest or disqualified persons as defined in ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code, respectively, deal with such assets. In certain circumstances where a Plan holds an interest in an entity, the assets of the entity are deemed to be Plan assets (the look-through rule). Under such circumstances, any person that exercises authority or control with respect to the management or disposition of such assets is a Plan fiduciary. Plan assets are not defined in ERISA or the Code, but the United States Department of Labor issued regulations in 1987 (the Regulations) that outline the circumstances under which a Plan's interest in an entity will be subject to the look-through rule.

The Regulations apply only to the purchase by a Plan of an equity interest in an entity, such as common stock or common shares of beneficial interest of a REIT. However, the Regulations provide an exception to the look-through rule for equity interests that are publicly-offered securities.

Under the Regulations, a publicly-offered security is a security that is (i) freely transferable, (ii) part of a class of securities that is widely held and (iii) either (a) part of a class of securities that is registered under section 12(b) or 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Securities Exchange Act), or (b) sold to a Plan as part of an offering of securities to the public pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) and the class of securities of which such security is a part of is registered under the Securities Act within 120 days (or such longer period allowed by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)) after the end of the fiscal year of the issuer during which the offering of such securities to the public occurred. Whether a security is considered freely transferable depends on the facts and circumstances of each case. Generally, if the

security is part of an offering in which the minimum investment is \$10,000 or less, any restriction on or prohibition against any transfer or assignment of such security for the purposes of preventing a termination or reclassification of the entity for federal or state tax purposes will not of itself prevent the security from being considered freely transferable. A class of securities is considered widely held if it is a class of securities that is owned by 100 or more investors independent of the issuer and of one another.

Management believes that the stock of the Company will meet the criteria of the publicly offered securities exception to the look-through rule in that the stock of the Company is freely transferable, the minimum investment is less than \$10,000 and the only restrictions upon its transfer are those required under federal income tax laws to maintain the Company's status as a REIT. Second, stock of the Company is held by 100 or more investors and at least 100 or more of these investors are independent of the Company and of one another. Third, the stock of the Company has been and will be part of offerings of securities to the public pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Exchange Act and will be registered under the Securities Exchange Act within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year of the Company during which an offering of such securities to the public occurs. Accordingly, management believes that if a Plan purchases stock of the Company, the Company's assets should not be deemed to be Plan assets and, therefore, that any person who exercises authority or control with respect to the Company's assets should not be treated as a Plan fiduciary for purposes of the prohibited transaction rules of ERISA and Section 4975 to the Code.

Available Information

The Company makes available to the public free of charge through its internet website the Company's Proxy Statement, Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such reports with, or furnishes such reports to, the SEC. The Company's internet website address is www.healthcarerealty.com.

The public may read and copy any materials that the Company files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains electronic versions of the Company's reports on its website at www.sec.gov.

Corporate Governance Principles

The Company has adopted Corporate Governance Principles relating to the conduct and operations of the Board of Directors. The Corporate Governance Principles are posted on the Company's website (www.healthcarerealty.com) and are available in print to any stockholder who requests a copy.

Committee Charters

The Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance Committee and Executive Committee. The Board of Directors has adopted written charters for each committee except for the Executive Committee, which are posted on the Company's website (www.healthcarerealty.com) and are available in print to any stockholder who requests a copy.

Executive Officers

Information regarding the executive officers of the Company is set forth in Part III, Item 10 of this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The following are some of the risks and uncertainties that could negatively affect the Company's financial condition, results of operations, business and prospects. These risks, as well as the risks described in Item 1 under the headings Competition, Government Regulation, Legislative Developments, Environmental Matters, and Federal Income Tax Information and in Item 7 under the heading Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements should be carefully considered before making an investment decision regarding the Company. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Company, and there may be additional risks that the Company does not presently know of or that the Company currently considers not likely to have a significant impact. If any of the events underlying the following risks actually occurred, the Company's business, financial condition and operating results could suffer, and the trading price of its common stock could decline.

The Company has recently incurred additional debt obligations and leverage may remain at higher levels.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had approximately \$1.4 billion of outstanding indebtedness. The Company's leverage ratio [debt divided by (debt plus stockholders' equity less intangible assets plus accumulated depreciation)] increased from 46.5% at December 31, 2009 to 51.7% at December 31, 2010. Covenants under the Unsecured Credit Facility and the indenture governing the Company's senior notes permit the Company to incur substantial additional debt, and the Company may borrow additional funds, which may include secured borrowings. A high level of indebtedness would require the Company to dedicate a substantial portion of its cash flow from operations to the payment of indebtedness, thereby reducing the funds available to implement the Company's business strategy and to make distributions to stockholders. A high level of indebtedness could also:

Potentially limit the Company's ability to adjust rapidly to changing market conditions in the event of a downturn in general economic conditions or in the real estate and/or healthcare industries;

Potentially impair the Company's ability to obtain additional financing for its business strategy; and

Potentially downgrade the rating of the Company's debt securities by one or more rating agencies, which would increase the costs of borrowing under the Unsecured Credit Facility and the cost of issuance of new debt securities, among other things.

In addition, from time to time the Company mortgages properties to secure payment of indebtedness. If the Company is unable to meet its mortgage payments, then the encumbered properties could be foreclosed upon or transferred to the mortgagee with a consequent loss of income and asset value. A foreclosure on one or more of our properties could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The unavailability of equity and debt capital, volatility in the credit markets, increases in interest rates, or changes in the Company's debt ratings could have an adverse effect on the Company's ability to meet its debt payments, make dividend payments to stockholders or engage in acquisition and development activity.

A REIT is required by IRS regulations to make dividend distributions, thereby retaining less of its capital for growth. As a result, a REIT typically grows through steady investments of new capital in real estate assets. Presently, the Company has sufficient capital availability. However, there may be times when the Company will have limited access to capital from the equity and/or debt markets. Changes in the Company's debt ratings could have a material adverse effect on its interest costs and financing sources. The Company's debt rating can be materially influenced by a number of factors including, but not limited to, acquisitions, investment decisions, and capital management activities. In recent years, the capital and credit markets have experienced volatility and at times have limited the availability of funds. The Company's ability to access the capital and credit markets may be limited by these or other factors, which could have an impact on its ability to refinance maturing debt, fund dividend payments and operations, acquire healthcare properties and complete construction projects. If the Company is unable to refinance or extend principal payments due at maturity of its various debt instruments, its cash flow may not be sufficient to repay maturing debt and, consequently, make dividend payments to stockholders. If the Company defaults in paying any of its debts or honoring its debt covenants, it could experience cross-defaults among debt instruments, the debts could be accelerated and the Company could be forced to liquidate assets for less than the values it would otherwise receive.

The Company is exposed to increases in interest rates, which could adversely impact its ability to refinance existing debt, sell assets or engage in acquisition and development activity.

The Company receives a significant portion of its revenues by leasing its assets under long-term leases in which the rental rate is generally fixed, subject to annual rent escalators. A significant portion of the Company's debt may be from time to time subject to floating rates, based on LIBOR or other indices. The generally fixed nature of revenues and the variable rate of certain debt obligations create interest rate risk for the Company. Increases in interest rates could make the financing of any acquisition or investment activity more costly. Rising interest rates could limit the Company's ability to refinance existing debt when it matures or cause the Company to pay higher rates upon refinancing. An increase in interest rates also could have the effect of reducing the amounts that third parties might be willing to pay for real estate assets, which could limit the Company's ability to sell assets at times when it might be advantageous to do so.

The Company may be required to sell certain properties to tenants or sponsors whose leases or financial support agreements provide for options to purchase. The Company may not be able to reinvest the proceeds from sale at rates of return equal to the return received on the properties sold.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had approximately \$91.3 million in real estate properties, or 3.5% of the Company real estate property investments, that are subject to exercisable purchase options held by lessees or financial support agreement sponsors that had not been exercised. Other properties have purchase options that will become exercisable in the future. The exercise of these purchase

options exposes the Company to reinvestment risk. Certain properties subject to purchase options are producing returns above the rates of return the Company expects to achieve with new investments. If the Company is unable to reinvest the proceeds of sale at rates of return equal to the return received on the properties that are sold, it may experience a decline in lease revenues and a corresponding material adverse effect on the Company's business and financial condition, the Company's ability to make distributions to its stockholders, and the market price of its common stock.

The Company is subject to risks associated with the development of properties.

The Company is subject to certain risks associated with the development of properties including the following:

The construction of properties generally requires various government and other approvals that may not be received when expected, or at all, which could delay or preclude commencement of construction;

Development opportunities that the Company pursued but later abandoned could result in the expensing of pursuit costs, which could impact the Company's results of operations;

Construction costs could exceed original estimates, which could impact the building's profitability to the Company;

Operating expenses could be higher than forecasted;

Time required to initiate and complete the construction of a property and lease up a completed development property may be greater than originally anticipated, thereby adversely affecting the Company's cash flow and liquidity;

Occupancy rates and rents of a completed development property may not be sufficient to make the property profitable to the Company; and

Favorable capital sources to fund the Company's development activities may not be available when needed.

From time to time the Company may make material acquisitions and developments that may involve the expenditure of significant funds and may be unsuccessful in operating new and existing real estate properties.

The Company regularly pursues potential transactions to acquire or develop additional assets in order to grow stockholder value and believes that there are currently numerous acquisition and development opportunities for the Company to invest in additional medical office and other outpatient-related facilities. Future acquisitions could require the Company to issue equity securities, incur debt, contingent liabilities or amortize expenses related to other intangible assets, any of which could adversely impact the Company's financial condition or results of operations. In addition, equity or debt financing required for such acquisitions may not be available at times or at favorable rates.

The Company's acquired, developed and existing real estate properties may not perform in accordance with management's expectations because of many factors including the following:

The Company's purchase price for acquired facilities may be based upon a series of market or building-specific judgments which may be incorrect;

The costs of any maintenance or improvements for properties might exceed budgeted costs;

The Company may incur unexpected costs in the acquisition, construction or maintenance of real estate assets that could impact its expected returns on such assets; and

Leasing of real estate properties may not occur within expected timeframes or at expected rental rates.

Further, the Company can give no assurance that acquisition and development opportunities that will meet management's investment criteria will be available when needed or anticipated.

The Company may incur impairment charges on its real estate properties or other assets.

The Company performs an annual impairment review on its real estate properties in the third quarter of every fiscal year. In addition, the Company assesses the potential for impairment of identifiable intangible assets and long-lived assets, including real estate properties, whenever events occur or a change in circumstances indicates that the recorded value might not be fully recoverable. At some

future date, the Company may determine that an impairment has occurred in the value of one or more of its real estate properties or other assets. In such an event, the Company may be required to recognize an impairment loss which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's long-term master leases and financial support agreements may expire and not be extended.

Long-term master leases and financial support agreements that are expiring may not be extended. To the extent these properties have vacancies or subleases at lower rates upon expiration, income may decline if the Company is not able to re-let the properties at rental rates that are as high as the former rates.

Covenants in the Company's debt instruments limit its operational flexibility, and a breach of these covenants could materially affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility, the indentures governing the Company's outstanding senior notes and other debt instruments that the Company may enter into in the future are subject to customary financial and operational covenants. The Company's continued ability to incur debt and operate its business is subject to compliance with these covenants, which limit operational flexibility. Breaches of these covenants could result in defaults under applicable debt instruments, even if payment obligations are satisfied. Financial and other covenants that limit the Company's operational flexibility, as well as defaults resulting from a breach of any of these covenants in its debt instruments, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's business operations may not generate the cash needed to service debt or fund planned capital expenditures.

The Company's ability to make payments on its indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on its ability to generate cash in the future. There can be no assurance that the Company's business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available in amounts sufficient to enable the Company to pay its indebtedness or to fund other liquidity needs.

The Company's revenues depend on the ability of its tenants and sponsors under its leases and financial support agreements to generate sufficient income from their operations to make loan, rent and support payments to the Company.

The Company's revenues are subject to the financial strength of its tenants and sponsors. The Company has no operational control over the business of these tenants and sponsors who face a wide range of economic, competitive, government reimbursement and regulatory pressures and constraints. The slowdown in the economy, decline in the availability of financing from the capital markets, and changes in healthcare regulations have affected, or may in the future adversely affect, the businesses of the Company's tenants and sponsors to varying degrees. Such conditions may further impact such tenants' and sponsors' abilities to meet their obligations to the Company and, in certain cases, could lead to restructurings, disruptions, or bankruptcies of such tenants and sponsors. In turn, these conditions could adversely affect the Company's revenues and could increase allowances for losses and result in impairment charges, which could decrease net income attributable to common stockholders and equity, and reduce cash flows from operations.

If a healthcare tenant loses its licensure or certification, becomes unable to provide healthcare services, cannot meet its financial obligations to the Company or otherwise vacates a facility, the Company would have to obtain another tenant for the affected facility.

If the Company loses a tenant or sponsor and is unable to attract another healthcare provider on a timely basis and on acceptable terms, the Company's cash flows and results of operations could suffer. In addition, many of the Company's properties are special purpose healthcare facilities that may not be easily adaptable to other uses. Transfers of operations of healthcare facilities are often subject to regulatory approvals not required for transfers of other types of commercial operations and real estate.

Many of the Company's properties are held under long-term ground leases. These ground leases contain provisions that may limit the Company's ability to lease, sell, or finance these properties.

The Company's ground lease agreements with hospitals and health systems typically contain restrictions that limit building occupancy to physicians on the medical staff of an affiliated hospital and prohibit physician tenants from providing services that compete with the services provided by the affiliated hospital. Ground leases may also contain consent requirements or other restrictions on sale or assignment of the Company's leasehold interest, including

rights of first offer and first refusal in favor of the lessor. These ground lease provisions may limit the Company's ability to lease, sell, or obtain mortgage financing secured by such properties which, in turn, could adversely affect the income from operations or the proceeds received from a sale. As a ground lessee, the Company is also exposed to the risk of reversion of the property upon expiration of the ground lease term, or an earlier breach by the Company of the ground lease, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock.

If the Company is unable to promptly re-let its properties, if the rates upon such re-letting are significantly lower than expected or if the Company is required to undertake significant capital expenditures to attract new tenants, then the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

A portion of the Company's leases will mature over the course of any year. The Company may not be able to re-let space on terms that are favorable to the Company or at all. Further, the Company may be required to make significant capital expenditures to renovate or reconfigure space to attract new tenants. If it is unable to promptly re-let its properties, if the rates upon such re-letting are significantly lower than expected, or if the Company is required to undertake significant capital expenditures in connection with re-letting units, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to the Company's stockholders and the trading price of the Company's common stock may be materially and adversely affected.

Certain of the Company's properties are special purpose healthcare facilities and may not be easily adaptable to other uses.

Some of the Company's properties are specialized medical facilities. If the Company or the Company's tenants terminate the leases for these properties or the Company's tenants lose their regulatory authority to operate such properties, the Company may not be able to locate suitable replacement tenants to lease the properties for their specialized uses. Alternatively, the Company may be required to spend substantial amounts to adapt the properties to other uses. Any loss of revenues and/or additional capital expenditures occurring as a result may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations, the Company's ability to make distributions to its stockholders, and the market price of the Company's common stock.

The market price of the Company's stock may be affected adversely by changes in the Company's dividend policy.

The ability of the Company to pay dividends is dependent upon its ability to maintain funds from operations and cash flow, to make accretive new investments and to access capital. A failure to maintain dividend payments at current levels could result in a reduction of the market price of the Company's stock.

Adverse trends in the healthcare service industry may negatively affect the Company's lease revenues and the values of its investments.

The healthcare service industry may be affected by the following:

Regulatory and government reimbursement uncertainty resulting from the Health Reform Law;

Federal and state government focus on reducing deficits while also providing more public health benefits to address the expanding uninsured and senior populations and the forthcoming insolvency of the Medicare Trust Fund Part A;

Reductions in the growth of Medicare and Medicaid payment rates, to be offset, in whole or in part, by higher revenue from an increase in the insured population;

Pressure from private and governmental payors on healthcare providers to contain costs while increasing patients' access to quality healthcare services;

Trends in the method of delivery of healthcare services;

Competition among healthcare providers;

Reimbursement rates from government and commercial payors, high uncompensated care expense and limited admissions growth pressuring operating profit margins in an uncertain economy;

Investment losses;

Availability of capital;

Credit downgrades;

Liability insurance expense; and

Scrutiny and formal investigations by federal and state authorities.

These changes, among others, can adversely affect the economic performance of some or all of the tenants and sponsors who provide financial support to the Company's investments and, in turn, negatively affect the lease revenues and the value of the Company's property investments.

The Company is exposed to risks associated with entering new geographic markets.

The Company's acquisition and development activities may involve entering geographic markets where the Company has not previously had a presence. The construction and/or acquisition of properties in new geographic areas involves risks, including the risk that the property will not perform as anticipated and the risk that any actual costs for site development and improvements identified in the pre-construction or pre-acquisition due diligence process will exceed estimates. There is, and it is expected that there will continue to be, significant competition for investment opportunities that meet management's investment criteria, as well as risks associated with obtaining financing for acquisition activities, if necessary.

The Company may experience uninsured or underinsured losses related to casualty or liability.

The Company generally requires its tenants to maintain comprehensive liability and property insurance that covers the Company as well as the tenants. The Company also carries comprehensive liability insurance and property insurance covering its owned and managed properties. In addition, tenants under long-term master leases are required to carry property insurance covering the Company's interest in the buildings. Some types of losses, however, either may be uninsurable or too expensive to insure against. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, the Company could lose all or a portion of the capital it has invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property. In such an event, the Company might remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligation related to the property. The Company cannot give assurance that material losses in excess of insurance proceeds will not occur in the future.

Failure to maintain its status as a REIT, even in one taxable year, could cause the Company to reduce its dividends dramatically.

The Company intends to qualify at all times as a REIT under the Code. If in any taxable year the Company does not qualify as a REIT, it would be taxed as a corporation. As a result, the Company could not deduct its distributions to the stockholders in computing its taxable income. Depending upon the circumstances, a REIT that loses its qualification in one year may not be eligible to re-qualify during the four succeeding years. Further, certain transactions or other events could lead to the Company being taxed at rates ranging from four to 100 percent on certain income or gains. For more information about the Company's status as a REIT, see "Federal Income Tax Information" in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

If lenders under the Unsecured Credit Facility fail to meet their funding commitments, the Company's financial position would be negatively impacted.

Access to external capital on favorable terms is critical to the Company's success in growing and maintaining its portfolio. If financial institutions within the Unsecured Credit Facility were unwilling or unable to meet their respective funding commitments to the Company, any such failure would have a negative impact on the Company's operations, financial condition and ability to meet its obligations, including the payment of dividends to stockholders.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

In addition to the properties described under Item 1, "Business," in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and in Schedule III of Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company leases office space for its headquarters. The Company's headquarters, located in offices at 3310 West End Avenue in Nashville, Tennessee, are leased from an unrelated third party. The Company amended its current lease agreement during 2010 which extended the expiration date through October 31, 2020, provided amended base rental payments through the expiration date, reset the base year for operating expense reimbursements, and provided the Company with a right of first offer to purchase the building in which the Company is headquartered if the current landlord were to decide to sell the property. The amended lease covers approximately 30,934 square feet of rented space with base rent escalations of approximately 3.25% annually, with an additional base rental increase possible in the fifth year depending on changes in CPI. The Company's base rent for 2010 was approximately \$671,783.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Two affiliates of the Company, HR Acquisition of Virginia Limited Partnership and HRT Holdings, Inc., are defendants in a lawsuit brought by Fork Union Medical Investors Limited Partnership, Goochland Medical Investors Limited Partnership, and Life Care Centers of America, Inc., as plaintiffs, in the Circuit Court of Davidson County, Tennessee. The plaintiffs allege that they overpaid rent between 1991 and 2003 under leases for two skilled nursing facilities in Virginia and seek a refund of such overpayments. Plaintiffs have not specified their damages in the complaint, but based on written discovery responses, the Company estimates the plaintiffs are seeking up to \$2.0 million, plus pre- and post-judgment interest. The two leases were terminated by agreement with the plaintiffs in 2003. The Company denies that it is liable to the plaintiffs for any refund of rent paid and will continue to defend the case vigorously. A trial is scheduled for April 2011.

The Company is, from time to time, involved in litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business or which is expected to be covered by insurance. The Company is not aware of any other pending or threatened litigation that, if resolved against the Company, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matter was submitted to a vote of stockholders during the fourth quarter of 2010.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Shares of the Company's common stock are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol HR. As of December 31, 2010, there were approximately 1,219 stockholders of record. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share of common stock and the dividend declared and paid per share of common stock related to the periods indicated.

	High	Low	Dividends Declared and Paid per Share
2010			
First Quarter	\$ 24.57	\$ 19.61	\$ 0.300
Second Quarter	25.24	20.47	0.300
Third Quarter	24.69	21.36	0.300
Fourth Quarter (Payable on March 3, 2011)	25.00	20.06	0.300
2009			
First Quarter	\$ 23.59	\$ 12.06	\$ 0.385
Second Quarter	18.35	13.93	0.385
Third Quarter	23.26	15.78	0.385
Fourth Quarter	22.77	19.75	0.300

Future dividends will be declared and paid at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Company's ability to pay dividends is dependent upon its ability to generate funds from operations, cash flows, and to make accretive new investments.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2010 about the Company's common stock that may be issued upon grants of restricted stock and the exercise of options, warrants and rights under all of the Company's existing compensation plans, including the 2007 Employees Stock Incentive Plan and the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (1)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (1)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	392,517		1,578,063

Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders

Total	392,517	1,578,063
-------	---------	-----------

- (1) The Company's outstanding rights relate only to its 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The Company is unable to ascertain with specificity the number of securities to be used upon exercise of outstanding rights under the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan or the weighted average exercise price of outstanding rights under that plan. The 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan provides that shares of common stock may be purchased at a per share price equal to 85% of the fair market value of the common stock at the beginning of the offering period or a purchase date applicable to such offering period, whichever is lower.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth financial information for the Company, which is derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company:

<i>(Dollars in thousands except per share data)</i>	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009 (1)	2008 (1)	2007 (1) (2)	2006 (1)
Statement of Income Data:					
Total revenues	\$ 258,394	\$ 246,838	\$ 206,394	\$ 190,191	\$ 190,895
Total expenses	\$ 190,602	\$ 182,368	\$ 155,488	\$ 136,096	\$ 133,978
Other income (expense)	\$ (63,771)	\$ (39,280)	\$ (35,586)	\$ (46,849)	\$ (49,749)
Income from continuing operations	\$ 4,021	\$ 25,190	\$ 15,320	\$ 7,246	\$ 7,168
Discontinued operations	\$ 4,226	\$ 25,958	\$ 26,440	\$ 52,834	\$ 32,628
Net income	\$ 8,247	\$ 51,148	\$ 41,760	\$ 60,080	\$ 39,796
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	\$ (47)	\$ (57)	\$ (68)	\$ (18)	\$ (77)
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 8,200	\$ 51,091	\$ 41,692	\$ 60,062	\$ 39,719
Per Share Data:					
Basic earnings per common share:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15
Discontinued operations	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.51	\$ 1.11	\$ 0.70
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.81	\$ 1.26	\$ 0.85
Diluted earnings per common share:					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15
Discontinued operations	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.09	\$ 0.69
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.79	\$ 1.24	\$ 0.84