

CONOCOPHILLIPS  
Form 10-Q  
August 05, 2010

**Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period **June 30, 2010**  
ended

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: **001-32395**

**ConocoPhillips**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Delaware**

*(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)*

**01-0562944**

*(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)*

**600 North Dairy Ashford, Houston, TX 77079**

*(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)*

**281-293-1000**

*(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The registrant had 1,483,363,225 shares of common stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding at June 30, 2010.



**CONOCOPHILLIPS  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Part I Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Income Statement</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	3
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Supplementary Information Condensed Consolidating Financial Information</u>	27
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	32
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	52
<u>Part II Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	53
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	55
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	55
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	56
<u>Signature</u>	57
<u>EX-12</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Consolidated Income Statement****ConocoPhillips**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	<b>2010</b>	2009 <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>2010</b>	2009 <sup>(2)</sup>
<b>Revenues and Other Income</b>				
Sales and other operating revenues <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 45,686	35,448	90,507	66,189
Equity in earnings of affiliates	1,088	632	1,956	1,005
Gain on sale of Syncrude	2,878	-	2,878	-
Other income	475	106	548	230
<b>Total Revenues and Other Income</b>	<b>50,127</b>	36,186	<b>95,889</b>	67,424
<b>Costs and Expenses</b>				
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	32,088	24,609	63,609	44,368
Production and operating expenses	2,619	2,573	5,146	5,118
Selling, general and administrative expenses	438	476	882	951
Exploration expenses	213	243	596	468
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	2,280	2,347	4,598	4,577
Impairments	1,532	51	1,623	54
Taxes other than income taxes <sup>(1)</sup>	4,247	3,715	8,284	7,179
Accretion on discounted liabilities	113	108	227	212
Interest and debt expense	349	268	650	578
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses	54	(142)	90	(11)
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	<b>43,933</b>	34,248	<b>85,705</b>	63,494
Income before income taxes	6,194	1,938	10,184	3,930
Provision for income taxes	2,011	1,063	3,889	2,239
Net income	4,183	875	6,295	1,691
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(19)	(16)	(33)	(32)
<b>Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>	<b>\$ 4,164</b>	859	<b>6,262</b>	1,659
<b>Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips Per Share of Common Stock (dollars)</b>				
Basic	\$ 2.79	.58	4.20	1.12
Diluted	2.77	.57	4.17	1.11

**Dividends Paid Per Share of Common Stock**

<i>(dollars)</i>	\$	.55	.47	<b>1.05</b>	.94
------------------	----	-----	-----	-------------	-----

**Average Common Shares Outstanding** *(in**thousands)*

Basic	<b>1,489,814</b>	1,486,496	<b>1,491,329</b>	1,486,195
Diluted	<b>1,501,257</b>	1,495,700	<b>1,502,529</b>	1,495,474

<i>(1)Includes excise taxes on petroleum products sales:</i>	\$	<b>3,417</b>	3,316	<b>6,637</b>	6,376
--	----	--------------	-------	--------------	-------

*(2)Recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.*

*See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

**Table of Contents****Consolidated Balance Sheet****ConocoPhillips**

	Millions of Dollars	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009*
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,120	542
Accounts and notes receivable (net of allowance of \$77 million in 2010 and \$76 million in 2009)	11,074	11,861
Accounts and notes receivable related parties	2,123	1,354
Inventories	7,119	4,940
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,230	2,470
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>26,666</b>	21,167
Investments and long-term receivables	35,957	35,742
Loans and advances related parties	2,394	2,352
Net properties, plants and equipment	81,269	87,708
Goodwill	3,638	3,638
Intangibles	808	823
Other assets	724	708
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 151,456</b>	152,138
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 13,683	14,168
Accounts payable related parties	1,693	1,317
Short-term debt	3,082	1,728
Accrued income and other taxes	4,508	3,402
Employee benefit obligations	667	846
Other accruals	2,035	2,234
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>25,668</b>	23,695
Long-term debt	23,197	26,925
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	8,389	8,713
Joint venture acquisition obligation related party	4,666	5,009
Deferred income taxes	17,012	17,956
Employee benefit obligations	3,836	4,130
Other liabilities and deferred credits	2,743	3,097
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>85,511</b>	89,525
<b>Equity</b>		
Common stock (2,500,000,000 shares authorized at \$.01 par value) Issued (2010 1,736,403,629 shares; 2009 1,733,345,558 shares)		
Par value	17	17

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Capital in excess of par	<b>43,869</b>	43,681
Grantor trusts (at cost: 2010 37,798,903 shares; 2009 38,742,261 shares)	<b>(650)</b>	(667)
Treasury stock (at cost: 2010 215,241,501 shares; 2009 208,346,815 shares)	<b>(16,601)</b>	(16,211)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	<b>1,881</b>	3,065
Unearned employee compensation	<b>(62)</b>	(76)
Retained earnings	<b>36,917</b>	32,214
Total Common Stockholders' Equity	<b>65,371</b>	62,023
Noncontrolling interests	<b>574</b>	590
Total Equity	<b>65,945</b>	62,613
Total Liabilities and Equity	<b>\$ 151,456</b>	152,138

*\*Recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*



**Table of Contents****Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows****ConocoPhillips**

	Millions of Dollars	
	Six Months Ended	
	June 30	
	2010	2009*
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 6,295	1,691
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	4,598	4,577
Impairments	1,623	54
Dry hole costs and leasehold impairments	205	238
Accretion on discounted liabilities	227	212
Deferred taxes	(543)	(603)
Undistributed equity earnings	(1,189)	(606)
Gain on asset dispositions	(3,273)	(36)
Other	(543)	175
Working capital adjustments		
Decrease (increase) in accounts and notes receivable	671	65
Decrease (increase) in inventories	(2,401)	(973)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(89)	(435)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(106)	1,020
Increase (decrease) in taxes and other accruals	1,040	(927)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	6,515	4,452
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>		
Capital expenditures and investments	(4,080)	(5,578)
Proceeds from asset dispositions	5,943	232
Long-term advances/loans related parties	(269)	(121)
Collection of advances/loans related parties	80	36
Other	9	(77)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities	1,683	(5,508)
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>		
Issuance of debt	65	9,029
Repayment of debt	(2,435)	(6,109)
Issuance of company common stock	35	(21)
Repurchase of company common stock	(390)	-
Dividends paid on company common stock	(1,560)	(1,393)
Other	(355)	(406)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(4,640)	1,100

<b>Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	<b>20</b>	89
<b>Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	<b>3,578</b>	133
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<b>542</b>	755
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	<b>\$ 4,120</b>	888

*\*Recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information. See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.*

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****ConocoPhillips****Note 1 Interim Financial Information**

The interim-period financial information presented in the financial statements included in this report is unaudited and includes all known accruals and adjustments, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position of ConocoPhillips and its results of operations and cash flows for such periods. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. To enhance your understanding of these interim financial statements, see the consolidated financial statements and notes included in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles****LUKOIL Accounting**

Effective January 1, 2010, we changed the method used to determine our equity-method share of LUKOIL's earnings. Prior to 2010, we estimated our LUKOIL equity earnings for the current quarter based on current market indicators, publicly available LUKOIL information and other objective data. This earnings estimation process was necessary because, historically, LUKOIL's accounting cycle close and preparation of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles financial statements occurred subsequent to our reporting deadline, and for certain periods this timing gap exceeded 93 days. Although Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 323, Investments—Equity Method and Joint Ventures, provides that when financial statements of an investee are not sufficiently timely, then the investor should record its share of earnings or loss based on the most recently available financial statements, SEC guidance indicates this timing gap should not exceed 93 days. Recently, the timing gap has been reduced to less than 93 days for all reporting periods. Accordingly, we believe it is now preferable to implement a change in accounting principle to record our equity-method share of LUKOIL's earnings on a one-quarter-lag basis, rather than using an earnings estimate for the current quarter. We believe the new method is preferable as it improves reporting reliability, while maintaining an acceptable level of relevance.

This change in accounting principle to a one-quarter lag under ASC Topic 323 has been applied retrospectively, by recasting prior period financial information. The following table summarizes the line items affected on the consolidated income statement:

	Millions of Dollars					
	Three Months Ended June 30					
	2010	2010	Effect	As	2009	Effect
	Computed	As	of	As	As	of
	with	Reported	Change	Originally	Adjusted	Change
	Estimate	with Lag		Reported		
Equity in earnings of affiliates	\$ 1,135	1,088	(47)	1,076	632	(444)
Provision for income taxes	2,013	2,011	(2)	1,068	1,063	(5)
Net Income	4,228	4,183	(45)	1,314	875	(439)
Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips	4,209	4,164	(45)	1,298	859	(439)
Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips Per Share of Common Stock (dollars)						
Basic	\$ 2.82	2.79	(.03)	.87	.58	(.29)
Diluted	2.80	2.77	(.03)	.87	.57	(.30)



**Table of Contents**

	Millions of Dollars					
	Six Months Ended June 30					
	2010		Effect of Change	2009		
Computed with Estimate	As Reported with Lag	As Originally Reported		As Adjusted	Effect of Change	
Equity in earnings of affiliates	\$ 1,886	1,956	70	1,491	1,005	(486)
Provision for income taxes	3,890	3,889	(1)	2,246	2,239	(7)
Net Income	6,224	6,295	71	2,170	1,691	(479)
Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips	6,191	6,262	71	2,138	1,659	(479)
Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips Per Share of Common Stock (dollars)						
Basic	\$ 4.15	4.20	.05	1.44	1.12	(.32)
Diluted	4.12	4.17	.05	1.43	1.11	(.32)

The following table summarizes the line items affected on the consolidated balance sheet:

	Millions of Dollars					
	June 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Computed with Estimate	As Reported with Lag	Effect of Change	As Originally Reported	As Adjusted	Effect of Change
Investments and long-term receivables	\$ 36,337	35,957	(380)	36,192	35,742	(450)
Deferred income taxes	17,019	17,012	(7)	17,962	17,956	(6)
Retained earnings	37,290	36,917	(373)	32,658	32,214	(444)

There was no cumulative impact to retained earnings as of January 1, 2009, as a result of the accounting change. This was due to the impairment of our LUKOIL investment during 2008 to its fair market value on December 31, 2008.

The following table summarizes the line items affected on the consolidated statement of cash flows:

	Millions of Dollars					
	Six Months Ended June 30					
	2010		Effect of Change	2009		
Computed with Estimate	As Reported with Lag	As Originally Reported		As Adjusted	Effect of Change	
Net income	\$ 6,224	6,295	71	2,170	1,691	(479)
Deferred taxes	(542)	(543)	(1)	(596)	(603)	(7)
Undistributed equity earnings	(1,119)	(1,189)	(70)	(1,092)	(606)	486



**Table of Contents****Transfers of Financial Assets**

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, which was codified into FASB ASC Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing. This Statement removes the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity (SPE) and the exception for qualifying SPEs from the consolidation guidance. Additionally, the Statement clarifies the requirements for financial asset transfers eligible for sale accounting. This Statement was effective January 1, 2010, and did not impact our consolidated financial statements.

**Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)**

Also in June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), to address the effects of the elimination of the qualifying SPE concept in SFAS No. 166, and other concerns about the application of key provisions of consolidation guidance for VIEs. This Statement was codified into FASB ASC Topic 810,

Consolidation. More specifically, Topic 810 requires a qualitative rather than a quantitative approach to determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE, it amends certain guidance pertaining to the determination of the primary beneficiary when related parties are involved, and it amends certain guidance for determining whether an entity is a VIE.

Additionally, this Statement requires continuous assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. This Statement was effective January 1, 2010, and its adoption did not impact our consolidated financial statements, other than the required disclosures. For additional information, see Note 3 Variable Interest Entities (VIEs).

**Note 3 Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)**

We hold significant variable interests in VIEs that have not been consolidated because we are not considered the primary beneficiary. Information on these VIEs follows:

We have a 30 percent ownership interest with a 50 percent governance interest in the OOO Naryanmarneftegaz (NMNG) joint venture to develop resources in the Timan-Pechora province of Russia. The NMNG joint venture is a VIE because we and a related party, OAO LUKOIL, have disproportionate interests. When related parties are involved in a VIE and neither party has the power to direct the activities of the VIE without the consent of the other party, reasonable judgment should take into account the relevant facts and circumstances for the determination of the primary beneficiary. The activities of NMNG are more closely aligned with LUKOIL because they share Russia as a home country, and LUKOIL conducts extensive exploration and production activities in the same province.

Additionally, there are no financial guarantees given by LUKOIL or us, and LUKOIL owns 70 percent, versus our 30 percent direct interest. As a result, we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary of NMNG, and we use the equity method of accounting for this investment. The funding of NMNG has been provided with equity contributions, primarily for the development of the Yuzhno Khylychuyu (YK) Field. At June 30, 2010, the book value of our investment in the venture was \$1,495 million.

Production from the NMNG joint venture fields is transported via pipeline to LUKOIL's terminal at Varandey Bay on the Barents Sea and then shipped via tanker to international markets. LUKOIL completed an expansion of the terminal's gross oil-throughput capacity from 30,000 barrels per day to 240,000 barrels per day, and we participated in the design and financing of the expansion. The terminal entity, Varandey Terminal Company, is a VIE because we and LUKOIL have disproportionate interests. We had an obligation to fund, through loans, 30 percent of the terminal's expansion costs, but have no governance or direct ownership interest in the terminal. We determined we are not the primary beneficiary for Varandey because LUKOIL has the power to direct the activities that most influence Varandey's economic performance. We account for our loan to Varandey as a financial asset. Principal repayments began in April 2009. The loan balance outstanding as of June 30, 2010, at current exchange rates, was \$246 million. We have an agreement with Freeport LNG Development, L.P. (Freeport LNG) to participate in a liquefied natural gas (LNG) receiving terminal in Quintana, Texas. We have no ownership in Freeport LNG; however, we own a 50 percent interest in Freeport LNG GP, Inc. (Freeport GP), which serves as the general partner managing the venture. We entered into a credit agreement with Freeport LNG, whereby we agreed to provide

**Table of Contents**

loan financing for the construction of the terminal. We also entered into a long-term agreement with Freeport LNG to use 0.9 billion cubic feet per day of regasification capacity. The terminal became operational in June 2008, and we began making payments under the terminal use agreement. Freeport LNG began making loan repayments in September 2008, and the loan balance outstanding as of June 30, 2010, was \$676 million. Freeport LNG is a VIE because Freeport GP holds no equity in Freeport LNG, and the limited partners of Freeport LNG do not have any substantive decision making ability. We are not the primary beneficiary because the equity holders of Freeport GP are not related parties and have equally shared power. Neither party has the power to direct the significant activities without the consent of the other party, in which case neither party is considered to be the primary beneficiary. The loan to Freeport LNG is accounted for as a financial asset, and our investment in Freeport GP is accounted for as an equity investment.

**Note 4 Inventories**

Inventories consisted of the following:

	Millions of Dollars	
	<b>June 30 2010</b>	December 31 2009
Crude oil and petroleum products	\$ 6,151	3,955
Materials, supplies and other	968	985
	<b>\$ 7,119</b>	4,940

Inventories valued on the last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis totaled \$5,941 million and \$3,747 million at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively. The excess of current replacement cost over LIFO cost of inventories amounted to \$5,328 million and \$5,627 million at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively.

**Note 5 Assets Held for Sale**

During the second quarter of 2010, we sold our interest in CFJ Properties, a joint venture which owned and operated *Flying J*-branded truck travel plazas. The sale resulted in a before-tax gain of \$234 million, which is included in the Other income line of the consolidated income statement. At June 30, 2010, we no longer had any significant assets or liabilities classified as held for sale.

On June 25, 2010, we sold our 9.03 percent interest in the Syncrude Canada Ltd. joint venture (Syncrude) for \$4.6 billion. Syncrude was included in our Exploration and Production segment and had synthetic oil proved reserves of 248 million barrels at December 31, 2009. Production in 2009 was 23,000 barrels per day. The \$2.9 billion before-tax gain on this disposition was included as a separate line in the Total Revenues and Other Income section of our consolidated income statement. The cash proceeds were included in the Proceeds from asset dispositions line within the investing cash flow section of our consolidated statement of cash flows. At the time of disposition, Syncrude had a net carrying value of \$1.75 billion, which included \$1.97 billion of properties, plants and equipment. During fiscal 2010 until its disposition, Syncrude contributed \$327 million in intercompany sales and other operating revenues, and generated income before taxes of \$127 million and net income of \$93 million.



**Table of Contents****Note 6 Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables****LUKOIL**

Our ownership interest in LUKOIL was 19.21 percent at June 30, 2010, based on 851 million shares authorized and issued. Our average ownership interest in the first quarter of 2010, used to record our share of LUKOIL's first-quarter results on a lag basis, was 20.09 percent. During the second quarter of 2010, we sold 6.7 million shares of LUKOIL, resulting in cash proceeds of \$391 million and a net gain on disposition of \$99 million, which is included in the "Other income" line of the consolidated income statement.

At June 30, 2010, the book value of our ordinary share investment in LUKOIL was \$6,695 million reflecting the recognition of our equity-method share of LUKOIL's earnings on a one-quarter-lag basis. Our investment book value is lower than our share of the net assets of LUKOIL by approximately \$4,234 million. A majority of this negative basis difference is being amortized on a straight-line basis over a 22-year useful life as an increase to equity earnings. On June 30, 2010, the closing price of LUKOIL shares on the London Stock Exchange was \$51.90 per share, making the total market value of our LUKOIL investment \$8,479 million. For additional information about accounting for our LUKOIL investment, see Note 2 "Changes in Accounting Principles."

On July 28, 2010, we announced our intention to sell our entire interest in LUKOIL, then consisting of 163,367,629 shares. This decision will be implemented as follows:

On July 28, 2010, we entered into a stock purchase and option agreement (the Agreement) with a wholly owned subsidiary of LUKOIL, pursuant to which such subsidiary will purchase 64,638,729 shares from us at a price of \$53.25 per share, or \$3.44 billion in total. Closing on this transaction is expected in the third quarter of 2010.

Also pursuant to the Agreement, the LUKOIL subsidiary has a 60-day option, expiring on September 26, 2010, to purchase any or all of our interest remaining at the time of exercise of the option, at a price of \$56 per share.

Finally, to the extent all of our remaining interest is not purchased pursuant to the 60-day option, we intend to sell our remaining interest in the open market from time to time, subject to the terms of the Shareholder Agreement, by the end of 2011.

We will continue to use the equity-method of accounting for our interest in LUKOIL until we determine we no longer have significant influence over the operating and financial policies of LUKOIL. Making this determination will involve judgment based on an on-going evaluation of current facts and circumstances, but based on the various voting rights and powers we have under our Shareholder Agreement with LUKOIL and the cumulative stockholder voting rules in Russia, we believe it is likely we would lose significant influence once our ownership interest falls below approximately 10 percent. At the point in the future when we cease using equity-method accounting, we would no longer record equity earnings related to LUKOIL, we would cease to report our share of LUKOIL's upstream production and proved reserves in our supplemental oil and gas disclosures, and our available-for-sale investment in LUKOIL's shares would be marked to market each period, with the corresponding gains and losses recorded to other comprehensive income until the shares are sold.

**Loans to Related Parties**

As part of our normal ongoing business operations and consistent with industry practice, we invest and enter into numerous agreements with other parties to pursue business opportunities, which share costs and apportion risks among the parties as governed by the agreements. Included in such activity are loans made to certain affiliated companies. Significant loans to affiliated companies at June 30, 2010, included the following:

\$676 million in loan financing to Freeport LNG Development, L.P.

\$246 million in loan financing at June 2010 exchange rates to Varandey Terminal Company.

\$1,064 million in project financing and an additional \$93 million of accrued interest to Qatargas 3.

\$550 million in loan financing to WRB Refining LLC.

**Table of Contents**

The long-term portion of these loans are included in the Loans and advances related parties line on the consolidated balance sheet, while the short-term portion is in Accounts and notes receivable related parties.

**Other Investments**

We have investments remeasured at fair value on a recurring basis to support certain nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The fair value of these assets at June 30, 2010, was \$304 million, and substantially the entire value is categorized in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. These investments are measured at fair value using a market approach based on quotations from national securities exchanges.

Merey Sweeny, L.P. (MSLP) is a limited partnership that owns a 70,000 barrel-per-day delayed coker and related facilities at the Sweeny Refinery used to produce fuel-grade petroleum coke. Prior to August 28, 2009, MSLP was owned 50/50 by us and Petr oleos de Venezuela S.A. (PDVSA). Under the agreements that govern the relationships between the partners, certain defaults by PDVSA with respect to supply of crude oil to the Sweeny Refinery gave us the right to acquire PDVSA's 50 percent ownership interest in MSLP. On August 28, 2009, we exercised that right. PDVSA has initiated arbitration in the International Chamber of Commerce challenging our actions and this arbitration is underway. We continue to use the equity method of accounting for our investment in MSLP.

**Note 7 Properties, Plants and Equipment**

Our investment in properties, plants and equipment (PP&E), with the associated accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization (Accum. DD&A), was:

	Millions of Dollars					
	June 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Gross PP&E	Accum. DD&A	Net PP&E	Gross PP&E	Accum. DD&A	Net PP&E
E&P	\$ 112,753	47,367	65,386	115,224	45,577	69,647
Midstream	124	77	47	123	74	49
R&M	22,648	8,405	14,243	23,047	6,714	16,333
LUKOIL Investment	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chemicals	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emerging Businesses	1,109	298	811	1,198	300	898
Corporate and Other	1,684	902	782	1,650	869	781
	\$ 138,318	57,049	81,269	141,242	53,534	87,708

**Suspended Wells**

The capitalized cost of suspended wells at June 30, 2010, was \$1,002 million, an increase of \$94 million from \$908 million at year-end 2009. For the category of exploratory well costs capitalized for a period greater than one year as of December 31, 2009, no wells were charged to dry hole expense during the first six months of 2010.

**Table of Contents****Note 8 Impairments**

During the first six months of 2010 and 2009, we recognized the following before-tax impairment charges:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
E&P				
United States	\$ -	-	-	-
International	1	51	1	59
R&M				
United States	14	-	17	(5)
International	1,512	-	1,600	-
Emerging Businesses	5	-	5	-
	\$ 1,532	51	1,623	54

**2010**

In the second quarter of 2010, due to ongoing unfavorable market conditions and consistent with our strategy of maintaining capital discipline and reducing our downstream portfolio over time, we cancelled plans for a project to upgrade our refinery in Wilhelmshaven, Germany. As a result, the sum of the undiscounted pretax cash flows was less than the carrying value; therefore, the carrying value of \$1,764 million was written down to estimated fair value resulting in a before-tax impairment of \$1,500 million. The Level 3 fair value was determined considering a discounted cash flow model, cash flow multiples for similar assets and alternative use. The six-month period of 2010 also included a before-tax property impairment of \$100 million in international R&M to write-off capitalized project costs, as a result of our decision to end our participation in a new refinery project in Yanbu Industrial City, Saudi Arabia.

**2009**

In April 2008, Burlington Resources, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of ConocoPhillips, initiated arbitration before the World Bank's International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) against The Republic of Ecuador and PetroEcuador as a result of the newly-enacted Windfall Profits Tax Law and government-mandated renegotiation of our production sharing contracts. Despite a restraining order issued by the ICSID, Ecuador confiscated the crude oil production of Burlington and its co-venturer and sold the illegally seized crude oil. As a result, our assets in Ecuador were effectively expropriated. Accordingly, in the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a noncash charge of \$51 million before- and after-tax related to the full impairment of our exploration and production investments in Ecuador. In the third quarter of 2009, Ecuador took over operations in Blocks 7 and 21, formalizing the complete expropriation of our assets. In June 2010, the ICSID tribunal concluded it has jurisdiction to hear Burlington's expropriation claim. A hearing on case merits is scheduled for October 2010, with a decision on case merits expected in June 2011.

**Note 9 Debt**

We have two commercial paper programs supported by our \$7.85 billion revolving credit facilities: the ConocoPhillips \$6.35 billion program, primarily a funding source for short-term working capital needs, and the ConocoPhillips Qatar Funding Ltd. \$1.5 billion commercial paper program, which is used to fund commitments relating to the Qatargas 3 Project. Commercial paper maturities are generally limited to 90 days. At both June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had no direct outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facilities, but \$40 million in letters of credit had been issued. In addition, under the two commercial paper programs, there was \$1,126 million of commercial paper outstanding at June 30, 2010, compared with \$1,300 million at December 31, 2009. Since we had \$1,126 million of commercial paper outstanding and had



**Table of Contents**

issued \$40 million of letters of credit, we had access to \$6.7 billion in borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facilities at June 30, 2010.

During the quarter, the \$1,264 million 8.75% and the \$150 million 9.875% bonds were repaid at their maturity. Additionally, the remaining \$750 million balance of the Floating Rate Five-Year Term Notes was repaid prior to maturity.

At June 30, 2010, we classified \$1,126 million of short-term debt as long-term debt, based on our ability and intent to refinance the obligation on a long-term basis under our revolving credit facilities. Additionally, irrevocable early redemption notices were issued early in the third quarter of 2010 for \$2,678 million of bonds. Accordingly, these bonds with due dates beyond one year were classified as short-term debt in our consolidated balance sheet.

**Note 10 Joint Venture Acquisition Obligation**

We are obligated to contribute \$7.5 billion, plus interest, over a 10-year period that began in 2007, to FCCL Partnership. Quarterly principal and interest payments of \$237 million began in the second quarter of 2007 and will continue until the balance is paid. Of the principal obligation amount, approximately \$677 million was short-term and was included in the Accounts payable related parties line on our June 30, 2010, consolidated balance sheet. The principal portion of these payments, which totaled \$325 million in the first six months of 2010, is included in the

Other line in the financing activities section of our consolidated statement of cash flows. Interest accrues at a fixed annual rate of 5.3 percent on the unpaid principal balance. Fifty percent of the quarterly interest payment is reflected as a capital contribution and is included in the Capital expenditures and investments line on our consolidated statement of cash flows.

**Note 11 Noncontrolling Interests**

Activity for the equity attributable to noncontrolling interests for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 was as follows:

	Millions of Dollars					
	Common Stockholders Equity	2010 Non- Controlling Interests	Total	Common Stockholders Equity	2009* Non- Controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at January 1	\$ 62,023	590	62,613	55,165	1,100	56,265
Net income	6,262	33	6,295	1,659	32	1,691
Dividends	(1,560)	-	(1,560)	(1,393)	-	(1,393)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	(48)	(48)	-	(54)	(54)
Other changes, net**	(1,354)	(1)	(1,355)	3,020	-	3,020
Balance at June 30	\$ 65,371	574	65,945	58,451	1,078	59,529

*\*Recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.*

*\*\*Includes components of other comprehensive income, which are*

*disclosed separately  
in Note  
15 Comprehensive  
Income.*

**Note 12 Guarantees**

At June 30, 2010, we were liable for certain contingent obligations under various contractual arrangements as described below. We recognize a liability, at inception, for the fair value of our obligation as a guarantor for newly issued or modified guarantees. Unless the carrying amount of the liability is noted below, we have not recognized a liability either because the guarantees were issued prior to December 31, 2002, or because the fair

## **Table of Contents**

value of the obligation is immaterial. In addition, unless otherwise stated, we are not currently performing with any significance under the guarantee and expect future performance to be either immaterial or have only a remote chance of occurrence.

### **Construction Completion Guarantees**

In December 2005, we issued a construction completion guarantee for 30 percent of the \$4 billion in loan facilities of Qatargas 3, which are being used to finance the construction of an LNG train in Qatar. Of the \$4 billion in loan facilities, we committed to provide \$1.2 billion. The maximum potential amount of future payments to third-party lenders under the guarantee is estimated to be \$850 million, which could become payable if the full debt financing is utilized and completion of the Qatargas 3 Project is not achieved. The project financing will be nonrecourse to ConocoPhillips upon certified completion, which is expected in 2011. At June 30, 2010, the carrying value of the guarantee to third-party lenders was \$11 million.

### **Guarantees of Joint Venture Debt**

In the second quarter of 2010, the credit facilities of Rockies Express Pipeline LLC were reduced, and our guarantee was released.

At June 30, 2010, we had guarantees outstanding for our portion of joint venture debt obligations, which have terms of up to 15 years. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantees is approximately \$70 million. Payment would be required if a joint venture defaults on its debt obligations.

### **Other Guarantees**

In conjunction with our purchase of a 50 percent ownership interest in Australia Pacific LNG Pty Limited (APLNG) from Origin Energy in October 2008, we agreed to participate, if and when requested, in any parent company guarantees that were outstanding at the time we purchased our interest in APLNG. These parent company guarantees cover the obligation of APLNG to deliver natural gas under several sales agreements with remaining terms of 7 to 21 years. Our maximum potential amount of future payments, or cost of volume delivery, under these guarantees is estimated to be \$1,366 million (\$2,951 million in the event of intentional or reckless breach) at June 2010 exchange rates based on our 50 percent share of the remaining contracted volumes, which could become payable if APLNG fails to meet its obligations under these agreements and the obligations cannot otherwise be mitigated. Future payments are considered unlikely, as the payments, or cost of volume delivery, would only be triggered if APLNG does not have enough natural gas to meet these sales commitments and if the partners do not make necessary equity contributions into APLNG.

We have other guarantees with maximum future potential payment amounts totaling \$440 million, which consist primarily of guarantees to fund the short-term cash liquidity deficits of certain joint ventures, guarantees of minimum charter revenue for two LNG vessels, one small construction completion guarantee, guarantees of the lease payment obligations of a joint venture, and guarantees of the residual value of leased corporate aircraft.

These guarantees generally extend up to 14 years or life of the venture.

### **Indemnifications**

Over the years, we have entered into various agreements to sell ownership interests in certain corporations, joint ventures and assets that gave rise to qualifying indemnifications. Agreements associated with these sales include indemnifications for taxes, environmental liabilities, permits and licenses, employee claims, real estate indemnity against tenant defaults, and litigation. The terms of these indemnifications vary greatly. The majority of these indemnifications are related to environmental issues, the term is generally indefinite and the maximum amount of future payments is generally unlimited. The carrying amount recorded for these indemnifications at June 30, 2010, was \$406 million. We amortize the indemnification liability over the relevant time period, if one exists, based on the facts and circumstances surrounding each type of indemnity.



**Table of Contents**

In cases where the indemnification term is indefinite, we will reverse the liability when we have information the liability is essentially relieved or amortize the liability over an appropriate time period as the fair value of our indemnification exposure declines. Although it is reasonably possible future payments may exceed amounts recorded, due to the nature of the indemnifications, it is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount of future payments. Included in the recorded carrying amount were \$251 million of environmental accruals for known contamination that are included in asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs at June 30, 2010. For additional information about environmental liabilities, see Note 13 Contingencies and Commitments.

**Note 13 Contingencies and Commitments**

In the case of all known contingencies (other than those related to income taxes), we accrue a liability when the loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. If a range of amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum of the range is accrued. We do not reduce these liabilities for potential insurance or third-party recoveries. If applicable, we accrue receivables for probable insurance or other third-party recoveries. In the case of income-tax-related contingencies, we use a cumulative probability-weighted loss accrual in cases where sustaining a tax position is less than certain.

Based on currently available information, we believe it is remote that future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements. As we learn new facts concerning contingencies, we reassess our position both with respect to accrued liabilities and other potential exposures. Estimates particularly sensitive to future changes include contingent liabilities recorded for environmental remediation, tax and legal matters. Estimated future environmental remediation costs are subject to change due to such factors as the uncertain magnitude of cleanup costs, the unknown time and extent of such remedial actions that may be required, and the determination of our liability in proportion to that of other responsible parties. Estimated future costs related to tax and legal matters are subject to change as events evolve and as additional information becomes available during the administrative and litigation processes.

**Environmental**

We are subject to federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. These may result in obligations to remove or mitigate the effects on the environment of the placement, storage, disposal or release of certain chemical, mineral and petroleum substances at various sites. When we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we record accruals for environmental liabilities based on management's best estimates, using all information that is available at the time. We measure estimates and base liabilities on currently available facts, existing technology, and presently enacted laws and regulations, taking into account stakeholder and business considerations. When measuring environmental liabilities, we also consider our prior experience in remediation of contaminated sites, other companies cleanup experience, and data released by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or other organizations. We consider unasserted claims in our determination of environmental liabilities, and we accrue them in the period they are both probable and reasonably estimable.

Although liability of those potentially responsible for environmental remediation costs is generally joint and several for federal sites and frequently so for state sites, we are usually only one of many companies cited at a particular site. Due to the joint and several liabilities, we could be responsible for all cleanup costs related to any site at which we have been designated as a potentially responsible party. If we were solely responsible, the costs, in some cases, could be material to our results of operations, capital resources or liquidity, or to those of one of our segments. However, settlements and costs incurred in matters that previously have been resolved have not been material to our results of operations or financial condition. We have been successful to date in sharing cleanup costs with other financially sound companies. Many of the sites at which we are potentially responsible are still under investigation by the EPA or the state agencies concerned. Prior to actual cleanup, those potentially responsible normally assess the site conditions, apportion responsibility and determine the appropriate remediation. In some instances, we may have no liability or may attain a settlement of liability.

## **Table of Contents**

Where it appears that other potentially responsible parties may be financially unable to bear their proportional share, we consider this inability in estimating our potential liability, and we adjust our accruals accordingly.

As a result of various acquisitions in the past, we assumed certain environmental obligations. Some of these environmental obligations are mitigated by indemnifications made by others for our benefit and some of the indemnifications are subject to dollar limits and time limits. We have not recorded accruals for any potential contingent liabilities that we expect to be funded by the prior owners under these indemnifications.

We are currently participating in environmental assessments and cleanups at numerous federal Superfund and comparable state sites. After an assessment of environmental exposures for cleanup and other costs, we make accruals on an undiscounted basis (except those acquired in a purchase business combination, which we record on a discounted basis) for planned investigation and remediation activities for sites where it is probable future costs will be incurred and these costs can be reasonably estimated. At June 30, 2010, our balance sheet included a total environmental accrual of \$971 million, compared with \$1,017 million at December 31, 2009. We expect to incur a substantial amount of these expenditures within the next 30 years. We have not reduced these accruals for possible insurance recoveries. In the future, we may be involved in additional environmental assessments, cleanups and proceedings.

### **Legal Proceedings**

Our legal organization applies its knowledge, experience and professional judgment to the specific characteristics of our cases, employing a litigation management process to manage and monitor the legal proceedings against us. Our process facilitates the early evaluation and quantification of potential exposures in individual cases. This process also enables us to track those cases that have been scheduled for trial, as well as the pace of settlement discussions in individual matters. Based on professional judgment and experience in using these litigation management tools and available information about current developments in all our cases, our legal organization believes there is a remote likelihood future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

### **Other Contingencies**

We have contingent liabilities resulting from throughput agreements with pipeline and processing companies not associated with financing arrangements. Under these agreements, we may be required to provide any such company with additional funds through advances and penalties for fees related to throughput capacity not utilized. In addition, at June 30, 2010, we had performance obligations secured by letters of credit of \$2,038 million (of which \$40 million was issued under the provisions of our revolving credit facility, and the remainder was issued as direct bank letters of credit) related to various purchase commitments for materials, supplies, services and items of permanent investment incident to the ordinary conduct of business.

### **Long-Term Throughput Agreements and Take-or-Pay Agreements**

Our obligation under throughput agreements to support third-party shipper financing arrangements for a crude oil transportation system commenced during the second quarter of 2010. The aggregate amounts of estimated payments under these agreements are: 2010 \$50 million; 2011 \$211 million; 2012 \$248 million; 2013 \$247 million; 2014 \$247 million; and 2015 and after \$3,958 million.

## **Note 14 Financial Instruments and Derivative Contracts**

### **Derivative Instruments**

We use financial and commodity-based derivative contracts to manage exposures to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, and interest rates, or to capture market opportunities. Since we are not currently using cash flow hedge accounting, all gains and losses, realized or unrealized, from derivative contracts have been recognized in the consolidated income statement. Gains and losses from derivative contracts held for trading not directly related to our physical business, whether realized or unrealized, have been reported net in other income.

**Table of Contents**

Purchase and sales contracts for commodities that are readily convertible to cash (e.g., crude oil, natural gas and gasoline) are recorded on the balance sheet as derivatives unless the contracts are for quantities we expect to use or sell over a reasonable period in the normal course of business (i.e., contracts eligible for the normal purchases and normal sales exception). We record most of our contracts to buy or sell natural gas and the majority of our contracts to sell power as derivatives, but we do apply the normal purchases and normal sales exception to certain long-term contracts to sell our natural gas production. We generally apply this normal purchases and normal sales exception to eligible crude oil and refined product commodity purchase and sales contracts; however, we may elect not to apply this exception (e.g., when another derivative instrument will be used to mitigate the risk of the purchase or sales contract but hedge accounting will not be applied, in which case both the purchase or sales contract and the derivative contract mitigating the resulting risk will be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value).

We value our exchange-cleared derivatives using closing prices provided by the exchange as of the balance sheet date, and these are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. Over-the-counter (OTC) financial swaps and physical commodity forward purchase and sales contracts are generally valued using quotations provided by brokers and price index developers, such as Platts and Oil Price Information Service. These quotes are corroborated with market data and are classified as Level 2. In certain less liquid markets or for longer-term contracts, forward prices are not as readily available. In these circumstances, OTC swaps and physical commodity purchase and sales contracts are valued using internally developed methodologies that consider historical relationships among various commodities that result in management's best estimate of fair value. These contracts are classified as Level 3. A contract that is initially classified as Level 3 due to absence or insufficient corroboration of broker quotes over a material portion of the contract will transfer to Level 2 when the portion of the trade having no quotes or insufficient corroboration becomes an insignificant portion of the contract. A contract would also transfer to Level 2 if we began using a corroborated broker quote that has become available. Conversely, if a corroborated broker quote ceases to be available or used by us, the contract would transfer from Level 2 to Level 3. There were no transfers in or out of Level 1.

Exchange-cleared financial options are valued using exchange closing prices and are classified as Level 1. Financial OTC and physical commodity options are valued using industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors, and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. The degree to which these inputs are observable in the forward markets determines whether the options are classified as Level 2 or 3.

We use a mid-market pricing convention (the mid-point between bid and ask prices). When appropriate, valuations are adjusted to reflect credit considerations, generally based on available market evidence.

The fair value hierarchy for our derivative assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis was:

	Millions of Dollars							
	June 30, 2010				December 31, 2009			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>								
Commodity derivatives	\$ 2,775	1,603	62	4,440	1,710	1,659	61	3,430
Interest rate derivatives	-	16	-	16	-	-	-	-
Foreign exchange derivatives	-	57	-	57	-	45	-	45
Total assets	2,775	1,676	62	4,513	1,710	1,704	61	3,475
<b>Liabilities</b>								
Commodity derivatives	(2,838)	(1,399)	(21)	(4,258)	(1,797)	(1,496)	(24)	(3,317)
Foreign exchange derivatives	-	(18)	-	(18)	-	(47)	-	(47)
Total liabilities	(2,838)	(1,417)	(21)	(4,276)	(1,797)	(1,543)	(24)	(3,364)

Net assets (liabilities)	\$	(63)	259	41	237	(87)	161	37	111
--------------------------	----	------	-----	----	-----	------	-----	----	-----

**Table of Contents**

The derivative values above are based on analysis of each contract as the fundamental unit of account; therefore, derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty are not reflected net where the legal right of offset exists. Gains or losses from contracts in one level may be offset by gains or losses on contracts in another level or by changes in values of physical contracts or positions that are not reflected in the table above.

The fair value of net commodity derivatives classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy changed as follows:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30 2010	2009	June 30 2010	2009
<b>Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>				
Beginning balance	\$ 60	96	37	40
Total net gains (losses), realized and unrealized, included in earnings	-	(8)	32	18
Net purchases, issuances and settlements	(19)	(17)	(22)	(27)
Transfers into Level 3	1	20	1	61
Transfers out of Level 3	(1)	(17)	(7)	(18)
Ending balance	\$ 41	74	41	74

The amounts of Level 3 gains (losses) included in earnings were:

	Millions of Dollars					
	Other Operating Revenues	2010 Purchased Crude Oil, Natural Gas and Products	Total	Other Operating Revenues	2009 Purchased Crude Oil, Natural Gas and Products	Total
<b>Three Months Ended June 30</b>						
Total gains (losses) included in earnings	\$ 10	(10)	-	(8)	-	(8)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets held at June 30	\$ 31	1	32	3	-	3
Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to liabilities held at June 30	\$ (19)	(9)	(28)	(9)	-	(9)
<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>						
Total gains (losses) included in earnings	\$ 54	(22)	32	19	(1)	18

Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets held at June 30	\$	<b>64</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>65</b>	21	-	21
Change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to liabilities held at June 30	\$	<b>(16)</b>	<b>(17)</b>	<b>(33)</b>	(10)	-	(10)

**Table of Contents**

**Commodity Derivative Contracts** We operate in the worldwide crude oil, refined product, natural gas, natural gas liquids and electric power markets and are exposed to fluctuations in the prices for these commodities. These fluctuations can affect our revenues, as well as the cost of operating, investing and financing activities. Generally, our policy is to remain exposed to the market prices of commodities; however, we use futures, forwards, swaps and options in various markets to balance physical systems, meet customer needs, manage price exposures on specific transactions, and do a limited, immaterial amount of trading not directly related to our physical business. These activities may move our risk profile away from market average prices.

The fair value of commodity derivative assets and liabilities and the line items where they appear on our consolidated balance sheet were:

	Millions of Dollars	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
<b>Assets</b>		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 4,124	3,084
Other assets	320	359
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Other accruals	3,931	3,006
Other liabilities and deferred credits	331	324

*Hedge accounting has not been used for any items in the table. The amounts shown are presented gross (i.e., without netting assets and liabilities with the same counterparty where the right of offset and intent to net exist).*

The gains (losses) from commodity derivatives incurred, and the line items where they appear on our consolidated income statement were:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ (1,139)	(182)	(657)	391
Other income	(20)	14	(30)	22
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	1,373	(443)	866	(955)

*Hedge accounting has not been used for any items in the table.*

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

The table below summarizes our material net exposures resulting from outstanding commodity derivative contracts. These financial and physical derivative contracts are primarily used to manage price exposure on our underlying operations. The underlying exposures may be from non-derivative positions such as inventory volumes or firm natural gas transport contracts. Financial derivative contracts may also offset physical derivative contracts, such as forward sales contracts.

	Open Position Long/(Short)	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
<b>Commodity</b>		
Crude oil, refined products and natural gas liquids (millions of barrels)	(35)	(16)
Natural gas and power (billions of cubic feet)		
Fixed price	(85)	(60)
Basis	123	154



**Table of Contents**

**Interest Rate Derivative Contracts** During the second quarter of 2010, we executed interest rate swaps to synthetically convert \$500 million of our 4.60% fixed-rate notes due in 2015 to a London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)-based floating rate. These swaps qualify for and are designated as fair-value hedges using the short-cut method of hedge accounting. The short-cut method permits the assumption that changes in the value of the derivative perfectly offset changes in the value of the debt; therefore, no gain or loss has been recognized due to hedge ineffectiveness.

The fair value of interest rate derivative assets and liabilities and the line items where they appear on our consolidated balance sheet were:

	Millions of Dollars	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
<b>Assets</b>		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 7	-
Other assets	9	-

*Hedge accounting was used for all items in the table.*

*The amounts shown are presented gross.*

The (gains) and losses from interest rate derivatives used in a fair-value hedge, losses and (gains) from changes in the fair value of the hedged debt, and the line item where they appear on our consolidated income statement were:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30 2010	2009	June 30 2010	2009
Recorded in interest and debt expense				
From the interest rate derivatives	\$ (16)	-	(16)	-
From the hedged debt	14	-	14	-

The extent to which the change in value of the interest rate derivatives differs from the change in value of the hedged debt is an adjustment to recorded interest expense on the fixed-rate debt that effectively results in interest expense for the period being recorded at variable-rate LIBOR.

**Currency Exchange Rate Derivative Contracts** We have foreign currency exchange rate risk resulting from international operations. We do not comprehensively hedge the exposure to movements in currency exchange rates, although we may choose to selectively hedge certain foreign currency exchange rate exposures, such as firm commitments for capital projects or local currency tax payments, dividends, and cash returns from net investments in foreign affiliates to be remitted within the coming year.

**Table of Contents**

The fair value of foreign currency derivative assets and liabilities, and the line items where they appear on our consolidated balance sheet were:

	Millions of Dollars	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
<b>Assets</b>		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 50	38
Other assets	7	7
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Other accruals	18	40
Other liabilities and deferred credits	-	7

*Hedge accounting has not been used for any items in the table. The amounts shown are presented gross.*

Gains and losses from foreign currency derivatives, and the line item where they appear on our consolidated income statement were:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses	\$ 57	(166)	103	(172)

*Hedge accounting has not been used for any items in the table.*

We had the following net position of outstanding foreign currency swap contracts:

	In Millions Notional Currency*	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
<b>Foreign Currency Swaps</b>		
Sell U.S. dollar, buy other currencies**	USD 1,647	3,211
Buy British pound, sell euro	EUR 285	267

\*Denominated in U.S. dollars (USD) and euros (EUR).

\*\*Primarily euro, Canadian dollar, Norwegian krone and British pound.

**Credit Risk**

Financial instruments potentially exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents, over-the-counter derivative contracts and trade receivables. Our cash equivalents are placed in high-quality commercial paper, money market funds and time deposits with major international banks and financial institutions. The credit risk from our over-the-counter derivative contracts, such as forwards and swaps, derives from the counterparty to the transaction, typically a major bank or financial institution. Individual counterparty exposure is managed within predetermined credit limits and includes the use of cash-call margins when appropriate, thereby reducing the risk of significant nonperformance. We also use futures contracts, but futures have a negligible credit risk because they are traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange or the IntercontinentalExchange (ICE) Futures.



**Table of Contents**

Our trade receivables result primarily from our petroleum operations and reflect a broad national and international customer base, which limits our exposure to concentrations of credit risk. The majority of these receivables have payment terms of 30 days or less, and we continually monitor this exposure and the creditworthiness of the counterparties. We do not generally require collateral to limit the exposure to loss; however, we will sometimes use letters of credit, prepayments, and master netting arrangements to mitigate credit risk with counterparties that both buy from and sell to us, as these agreements permit the amounts owed by us or owed to others to be offset against amounts due us.

Certain of our derivative instruments contain provisions that require us to post collateral if the derivative exposure exceeds a threshold amount. We have contracts with fixed threshold amounts and other contracts with variable threshold amounts that are contingent on our credit rating. The variable threshold amounts typically decline for lower credit ratings, while both the variable and fixed threshold amounts typically revert to zero if we fall below investment grade. Cash is the primary collateral in all contracts; however, many also permit us to post letters of credit as collateral.

The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with such credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position on June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, was \$272 million and \$381 million, respectively, for which no collateral was posted in the normal course of business in 2010 and 2009. If our credit rating were lowered one level from its A rating (per Standard and Poor's) on June 30, 2010, we would be required to post no additional collateral to our counterparties. If we were downgraded below investment grade, we would be required to post \$272 million of additional collateral, either with cash or letters of credit.

**Fair Values of Financial Instruments**

We used the following methods and assumptions to estimate the fair value of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amount reported on the balance sheet approximates fair value.

Accounts and notes receivable: The carrying amount reported on the balance sheet approximates fair value.

Investment in LUKOIL shares: See Note 6 Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables, for a discussion of the carrying value and fair value of our investment in LUKOIL shares.

Debt: The carrying amount of our floating-rate debt approximates fair value. The fair value of the fixed-rate debt is estimated based on quoted market prices.

Fixed-rate 5.3 percent joint venture acquisition obligation: Fair value is estimated based on the net present value of the future cash flows, discounted at a June 30 effective yield rate of 2.25 percent, based on yields of U.S. Treasury securities of similar average duration adjusted for our average credit risk spread and the amortizing nature of the obligation principal. See Note 10 Joint Venture Acquisition Obligation, for additional information.

Swaps: Fair value is estimated based on forward market prices and approximates the exit price at period end. When forward market prices are not available, they are estimated using the forward prices of a similar commodity with adjustments for differences in quality or location.

Futures: Fair values are based on quoted market prices obtained from the New York Mercantile Exchange, the ICE Futures, or other traded exchanges.

Forward-exchange contracts: Fair value is estimated by comparing the contract rate to the forward rate in effect on June 30, 2010, and approximates the exit price at that date.

**Table of Contents**

Certain of our commodity derivative and financial instruments were:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Carrying Amount		Fair Value	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Foreign currency derivatives	\$ 57	45	57	45
Interest rate derivatives	16	-	16	-
Commodity derivatives	702	823	702	823
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
Total debt, excluding capital leases	26,243	28,622	29,312	30,565
Joint venture acquisition obligation	5,343	5,669	5,949	6,276
Foreign currency derivatives	18	47	18	47
Commodity derivatives	441	632	441	632

The amounts shown for derivatives in the preceding table are presented net (i.e., assets and liabilities with the same counterparty are netted where the right of offset and intent to net exist). In addition, the June 30, 2010, commodity derivative assets and liabilities appear net of \$133 million of obligations to return cash collateral and \$212 million of rights to reclaim cash collateral, respectively. The December 31, 2009, commodity derivative assets and liabilities appear net of \$70 million of obligations to return cash collateral and \$148 million of rights to reclaim cash collateral, respectively. No collateral was deposited or held for the foreign currency derivatives or interest rate derivatives.

**Note 15 Comprehensive Income**

ConocoPhillips comprehensive income was as follows:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30 2010	2009*	June 30 2010	2009*
Net income	\$ 4,183	875	6,295	1,691
After-tax changes in:				
Defined benefit pension plans				
Net prior service cost	2	3	4	6
Net actuarial loss	35	33	70	67
Non-sponsored plans	19	(1)	21	(2)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(1,449)	3,079	(1,278)	2,801
Hedging activities	(1)	2	(1)	1
Comprehensive income	2,789	3,991	5,111	4,564
Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(19)	(16)	(33)	(32)
Comprehensive income attributable to ConocoPhillips	\$ 2,770	3,975	5,078	4,532

\*Recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.



**Table of Contents**

Accumulated other comprehensive income in the equity section of the balance sheet included:

	Millions of Dollars	
	June 30	December
	2010	2009
Defined benefit plans	\$ (1,409)	(1,504)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	3,298	4,576
Deferred net hedging loss	(8)	(7)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 1,881	3,065

None of the items within accumulated other comprehensive income relate to noncontrolling interests.

**Note 16 Cash Flow Information**

	Millions of Dollars	
	Six Months Ended	
	June 30	2009
	2010	2009
<b>Cash Payments</b>		
Interest	\$ 660	416
Income taxes	3,925	3,271

**Note 17 Employee Benefit Plans  
Pension and Postretirement Plans**

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost	Millions of Dollars					
	Pension Benefits				Other Benefits	
	2010		2009		2010	2009
	U.S.	Int l.	U.S.	Int l.		
<b>Three Months Ended June 30</b>						
Service cost	\$ 57	22	49	18	2	2
Interest cost	65	41	70	35	12	11
Expected return on plan assets	(56)	(35)	(46)	(30)	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost	3	-	2	-	1	2
Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss	41	13	46	9	(2)	(3)
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 110	41	121	32	13	12
<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>						
Service cost	\$ 114	45	97	38	5	4
Interest cost	130	84	139	68	23	23
Expected return on plan assets	(112)	(73)	(92)	(59)	-	-
Amortization of prior service cost	5	-	5	-	2	4
Recognized net actuarial (gain) loss	83	27	93	17	(4)	(7)
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ 220	83	242	64	26	24

During the first six months of 2010, we contributed \$265 million to our domestic benefit plans and \$104 million to our international benefit plans.



**Table of Contents****Note 18 Related Party Transactions**

Significant transactions with related parties were:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Operating revenues (a)	\$ 2,050	1,892	3,984	3,365
Purchases (b)	3,909	3,168	7,348	5,650
Operating expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses (c)	84	71	165	157
Net interest expense (d)	18	20	37	39

- (a) We sold natural gas to DCP Midstream, LLC and crude oil to the Malaysian Refining Company Sdn. Bhd. (MRC), among others, for processing and marketing. Natural gas liquids, solvents and petrochemical feedstocks were sold to Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPChem), gas oil and hydrogen feedstocks were sold to Excel Paralubes and refined products were sold primarily to CFJ Properties and LUKOIL. Natural gas, crude oil, blendstock and other intermediate products were sold to WRB Refining LLC. In addition, we charged several of our affiliates, including CPChem and MSLP, for the use of common facilities, such as steam generators, waste and water treaters, and warehouse facilities.
- (b) We purchased refined products from WRB. We purchased natural gas and natural gas liquids from DCP Midstream and CPChem for use in our refinery processes and other feedstocks from various affiliates. We purchased crude oil from LUKOIL and refined products from MRC. We also paid fees to various pipeline equity companies for transporting finished refined products and natural gas, as well as a price upgrade to MSLP for heavy crude processing. We purchased base oils and fuel products from Excel Paralubes for use in our refinery and specialty businesses.
- (c) We paid processing fees to various affiliates. Additionally, we paid transportation fees to pipeline equity companies.
- (d) We paid and/or received interest to/from various affiliates, including FCCL Partnership. See Note 6 Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables, for additional information on loans to affiliated companies.

**Note 19 Segment Disclosures and Related Information**

We have organized our reporting structure based on the grouping of similar products and services, resulting in six operating segments:

- 1) **E&P** This segment primarily explores for, produces, transports and markets crude oil, bitumen, natural gas and natural gas liquids on a worldwide basis.
- 2) **Midstream** This segment gathers, processes and markets natural gas produced by ConocoPhillips and others, and fractionates and markets natural gas liquids, predominantly in the United States and Trinidad. The Midstream segment primarily consists of our 50 percent equity investment in DCP Midstream, LLC.
- 3) **R&M** This segment purchases, refines, markets and transports crude oil and petroleum products, mainly in the United States, Europe and Asia.



**Table of Contents**

- 4) **LUKOIL Investment** This segment represents our investment in the ordinary shares of OAO LUKOIL, an international, integrated oil and gas company headquartered in Russia. At June 30, 2010, our ownership interest was 19.21 percent based on issued shares. Our average ownership interest in the first quarter of 2010, used to record our share of LUKOIL's first-quarter results on a lag basis, was 20.09 percent.
- 5) **Chemicals** This segment manufactures and markets petrochemicals and plastics on a worldwide basis. The Chemicals segment consists of our 50 percent equity investment in Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC.
- 6) **Emerging Businesses** This segment represents our investment in new technologies or businesses outside our normal scope of operations.

Corporate and Other includes general corporate overhead, most interest expense and various other corporate activities. Corporate assets include all cash and cash equivalents.

We evaluate performance and allocate resources based on net income attributable to ConocoPhillips. Intersegment sales are at prices that approximate market.

**Table of Contents****Analysis of Results by Operating Segment**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Sales and Other Operating Revenues</b>				
E&P				
United States	\$ 6,828	5,397	15,020	11,493
International	5,966	5,048	13,426	11,699
Intersegment eliminations U.S.	(1,357)	(1,187)	(2,732)	(2,046)
Intersegment eliminations international	(1,993)	(1,397)	(3,889)	(2,785)
E&P	9,444	7,861	21,825	18,361
Midstream				
Total sales	1,639	973	3,717	1,895
Intersegment eliminations	(71)	(53)	(187)	(101)
Midstream	1,568	920	3,530	1,794
R&M				
United States	24,516	18,415	46,229	31,416
International	10,366	8,368	19,279	14,832
Intersegment eliminations U.S.	(190)	(140)	(388)	(257)
Intersegment eliminations international	(61)	(12)	(74)	(21)
R&M	34,631	26,631	65,046	45,970
LUKOIL Investment	-	-	-	-
Chemicals	2	3	5	6
Emerging Businesses				
Total sales	179	133	394	287
Intersegment eliminations	(147)	(104)	(306)	(241)
Emerging Businesses	32	29	88	46
Corporate and Other	9	4	13	12
Consolidated sales and other operating revenues	\$ 45,686	35,448	90,507	66,189
<b>Net Income (Loss) Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>				
E&P				
United States	\$ 536	336	1,293	509
International	3,578	389	4,653	916

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Total E&P	<b>4,114</b>	725	<b>5,946</b>	1,425
Midstream	<b>61</b>	31	<b>138</b>	154
R&M				
United States	<b>782</b>	(38)	<b>794</b>	60
International	<b>(1,061)</b>	(14)	<b>(1,077)</b>	93
Total R&M	<b>(279)</b>	(52)	<b>(283)</b>	153
LUKOIL Investment	<b>529</b>	243*	<b>916</b>	251*
Chemicals	<b>138</b>	67	<b>248</b>	90
Emerging Businesses	<b>(10)</b>	2	<b>(4)</b>	2
Corporate and Other	<b>(389)</b>	(157)	<b>(699)</b>	(416)
Consolidated net income attributable to ConocoPhillips	<b>\$ 4,164</b>	859	<b>6,262</b>	1,659

*\*LUKOIL Investment recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.*

**Table of Contents**

	Millions of Dollars	
	<b>June 30 2010</b>	December 31 2009
<b>Total Assets</b>		
E&P		
United States	\$ 35,436	36,122
International	59,691	64,831
Total E&P	95,127	100,953
Midstream	1,892	2,054
R&M		
United States	26,384	24,963
International	7,829	8,446
Goodwill	3,638	3,638
Total R&M	37,851	37,047
LUKOIL Investment	6,968	6,416*
Chemicals	2,735	2,451
Emerging Businesses	984	1,069
Corporate and Other	5,899	2,148
Consolidated total assets	\$ 151,456	152,138

\*LUKOIL Investment recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.

**Note 20 Income Taxes**

Our effective tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 2010 was 32 percent and 38 percent, respectively, compared with 55 percent and 57 percent for the same two periods of 2009. The change in the effective tax rate for the second quarter and first six months of 2010, versus the same periods of 2009, was primarily due to the June 2010 disposition of our interest in Syncrude and a higher proportion of income in higher tax rate jurisdictions in 2009, offset in part by the June 2010 impairment of our Wilhelmshaven Refinery. For periods in which the effective tax rate was in excess of the domestic federal statutory rate of 35 percent, it was primarily due to foreign taxes.

**Table of Contents**

**Supplementary Information Condensed Consolidating Financial Information**

We have various cross guarantees among ConocoPhillips, ConocoPhillips Company, ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company, ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I, and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II, with respect to publicly held debt securities. ConocoPhillips Company is wholly-owned by ConocoPhillips. ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of ConocoPhillips Company. ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II are indirect, wholly owned subsidiaries of ConocoPhillips. ConocoPhillips and ConocoPhillips Company have fully and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations of ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company, ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I, and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II, with respect to their publicly held debt securities. Similarly, ConocoPhillips has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations of ConocoPhillips Company with respect to its publicly held debt securities. In addition, ConocoPhillips Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations of ConocoPhillips with respect to its publicly held debt securities. All guarantees are joint and several. The following condensed consolidating financial information presents the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for:

ConocoPhillips, ConocoPhillips Company, ConocoPhillips Australia Funding Company, ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I, and ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company II (in each case, reflecting investments in subsidiaries utilizing the equity method of accounting).

All other nonguarantor subsidiaries of ConocoPhillips.

The consolidating adjustments necessary to present ConocoPhillips results on a consolidated basis. This condensed consolidating financial information should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes.

To facilitate the restructuring of certain legal entities within the Canada operating unit, ConocoPhillips Canada Funding Company I (CFC I) entered into a transaction with another wholly owned subsidiary of ConocoPhillips (included in the All Other Subsidiaries column) whereby it acquired an investment in certain preferred shares of a Canadian legal entity within the ConocoPhillips group, in exchange for a non-interest-bearing demand note payable. The value ascribed to the preferred shares and note payable represented the redemption price for both. This noncash transaction was effective December 31, 2009. As a result, the balance sheet of CFC I reflects a short-term investment of \$2,973 million and a corresponding amount in short-term debt. In January 2010, the preferred shares acquired under the above transaction were resold to the original holder at the same value as the original purchase price, as satisfaction of the obligation under the demand note payable. As these transactions were completed between wholly owned subsidiaries of ConocoPhillips, there is no impact on the consolidated results in either period. Certain amounts in 2009 have been recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for more information.

**Table of Contents**

Millions of Dollars

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	All Other	Consolidating	Total
	Company	Australia	Canada	Canada	Canada	Subsidiaries	Adjustment	Consolidated
<b>Income Statement</b>	ConocoPhillips	Company	Company	I	II	Subsidiaries	Adjustment	Consolidated
<b>Revenues and Other Income</b>								
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ -	29,414	-	-	-	16,272	-	45,686
Equity in earnings of affiliates	4,305	4,868	-	-	-	995	(9,080)	1,088
Gain on sale of Syncrude	-	(12)	-	-	-	2,890	-	2,878
Other income	-	43	-	-	-	432	-	475
Intercompany revenues	2	7	12	22	37	7,411	(7,491)	-
<b>Total Revenues and Other Income</b>	<b>4,307</b>	<b>34,320</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28,000</b>	<b>(16,571)</b>	<b>50,127</b>
<b>Costs and Expenses</b>								
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	-	26,239	-	-	-	13,061	(7,212)	32,088
Production and operating expenses	-	1,084	-	-	-	1,558	(23)	2,619
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3	294	-	-	-	160	(19)	438
Exploration expenses	-	56	-	-	-	157	-	213
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	-	397	-	-	-	1,883	-	2,280
Impairments	-	14	-	-	-	1,518	-	1,532
Taxes other than income taxes	-	1,364	-	-	-	2,883	-	4,247
Accretion on discounted liabilities	-	16	-	-	-	97	-	113
Interest and debt expense	216	235	11	20	14	90	(237)	349
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses	-	5	-	(86)	(102)	237	-	54
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>29,704</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>(66)</b>	<b>(88)</b>	<b>21,644</b>	<b>(7,491)</b>	<b>43,933</b>



Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Income before income taxes	4,088	4,616	1	88	125	6,356	(9,080)	6,194
Provision for income taxes	(76)	311	1	10	25	1,740	-	2,011
Net income	4,164	4,305	-	78	100	4,616	(9,080)	4,183
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	(19)	-	(19)
<b>Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>	<b>\$ 4,164</b>	<b>4,305</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>4,597</b>	<b>(9,080)</b>	<b>4,164</b>

Millions of Dollars  
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips
	Australia	Canada	Canada	Canada	All Other	Consolidating		Total
<b>Income Statement</b>	ConocoPhillips	Company	Company	I	II	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
<b>Revenues and Other Income</b>								
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ -	21,922	-	-	-	13,526	-	35,448
Equity in earnings of affiliates	948	1,116	-	-	-	289	(1,721)	632
Other income (loss)	1	116	-	-	-	(11)	-	106
Intercompany revenues	15	220	12	19	12	3,969	(4,247)	-
<b>Total Revenues and Other Income</b>	<b>964</b>	<b>23,374</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17,773</b>	<b>(5,968)</b>	<b>36,186</b>
<b>Costs and Expenses</b>								
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	-	19,297	-	-	-	9,349	(4,037)	24,609
Production and operating expenses	-	1,120	-	-	-	1,478	(25)	2,573
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5	309	-	(1)	(1)	167	(3)	476
Exploration expenses	-	51	-	-	-	192	-	243
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	-	415	-	-	-	1,932	-	2,347
Impairments	-	-	-	-	-	51	-	51
Taxes other than income taxes	-	1,212	-	-	-	2,504	(1)	3,715
	-	19	-	-	-	89	-	108

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Accretion on discounted liabilities								
Interest and debt expense	149	16	11	20	14	239	(181)	268
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses	-	(50)	-	93	116	(301)	-	(142)
Total Costs and Expenses	154	22,389	11	112	129	15,700	(4,247)	34,248
Income (loss) before income taxes	810	985	1	(93)	(117)	2,073	(1,721)	1,938
Provision for income taxes	(49)	37	-	1	(13)	1,087	-	1,063
Net income (loss)	859	948	1	(94)	(104)	986	(1,721)	875
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	(16)	-	(16)
<b>Net Income (Loss) Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>	<b>\$ 859</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>(94)</b>	<b>(104)</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>(1,721)</b>	<b>859</b>

**Table of Contents**

Millions of Dollars								
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010								
	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	All Other	Consolidating	Total
	Company	Australia	Canada	Canada	Canada	Subsidiaries	Adjustment	Consolidated
<b>Income Statement</b>	ConocoPhillips	Company	Company	I	II	Subsidiaries	Adjustment	Consolidated
<b>Revenues and Other Income</b>								
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ -	57,336	-	-	-	33,171	-	90,507
Equity in earnings of affiliates	6,537	7,188	-	-	-	1,673	(13,442)	1,956
Gain on sale of Syncrude	-	(12)	-	-	-	2,890	-	2,878
Other income	-	129	-	-	-	419	-	548
Intercompany revenues	3	274	23	43	50	12,881	(13,274)	-
<b>Total Revenues and Other Income</b>	<b>6,540</b>	<b>64,915</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51,034</b>	<b>(26,716)</b>	<b>95,889</b>
<b>Costs and Expenses</b>								
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	-	51,366	-	-	-	25,012	(12,769)	63,609
Production and operating expenses	-	2,189	-	-	-	3,008	(51)	5,146
Selling, general and administrative expenses	7	616	-	-	-	285	(26)	882
Exploration expenses	-	97	-	-	-	499	-	596
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	-	816	-	-	-	3,782	-	4,598
Impairments	-	17	-	-	-	1,606	-	1,623
Taxes other than income taxes	-	2,573	-	-	-	5,711	-	8,284
Accretion on discounted liabilities	-	31	-	-	-	196	-	227
Interest and debt expense	419	248	21	39	27	324	(428)	650
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses	-	35	-	(55)	(53)	163	-	90
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>57,988</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>(16)</b>	<b>(26)</b>	<b>40,586</b>	<b>(13,274)</b>	<b>85,705</b>

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Income before income taxes	6,114	6,927	2	59	76	10,448	(13,442)	10,184
Provision for income taxes	(148)	390	1	13	20	3,613	-	3,889
Net income	6,262	6,537	1	46	56	6,835	(13,442)	6,295
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	(33)	-	(33)
<b>Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>	<b>\$ 6,262</b>	<b>6,537</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>6,802</b>	<b>(13,442)</b>	<b>6,262</b>

Millions of Dollars

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Income Statement	ConocoPhillips						All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
	ConocoPhillips Company	Australia ConocoPhillips Company	Canada ConocoPhillips Funding Company	Canada ConocoPhillips Funding Company I	Canada ConocoPhillips Funding Company II	Other Subsidiaries			
<b>Revenues and Other Income</b>									
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ -	39,456	-	-	-	26,733	-	66,189	
Equity in earnings of affiliates	1,837	2,031	-	-	-	528	(3,391)	1,005	
Other income (loss)	(1)	319	-	-	-	(88)	-	230	
Intercompany revenues	16	602	29	37	23	7,473	(8,180)	-	
<b>Total Revenues and Other Income</b>	<b>1,852</b>	<b>42,408</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>34,646</b>	<b>(11,571)</b>	<b>67,424</b>	
<b>Costs and Expenses</b>									
Purchased crude oil, natural gas and products	-	34,138	-	-	-	17,936	(7,706)	44,368	
Production and operating expenses	2	2,214	-	-	-	2,953	(51)	5,118	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	8	632	-	-	-	324	(13)	951	
Exploration expenses	-	116	-	-	-	352	-	468	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	-	840	-	-	-	3,737	-	4,577	
Impairments	-	(5)	-	-	-	59	-	54	
Taxes other than income taxes	-	2,367	-	-	-	4,831	(19)	7,179	
	-	37	-	-	-	175	-	212	

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Accretion on discounted liabilities								
Interest and debt expense	279	85	26	39	27	513	(391)	578
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses	-	(43)	-	55	109	(132)	-	(11)
Total Costs and Expenses	289	40,381	26	94	136	30,748	(8,180)	63,494
Income (loss) before income taxes	1,563	2,027	3	(57)	(113)	3,898	(3,391)	3,930
Provision for income taxes	(96)	190	1	2	(17)	2,159	-	2,239
Net income (loss)	1,659	1,837	2	(59)	(96)	1,739	(3,391)	1,691
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	(32)	-	(32)
<b>Net Income (Loss) Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>	<b>\$ 1,659</b>	<b>1,837</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>(59)</b>	<b>(96)</b>	<b>1,707</b>	<b>(3,391)</b>	<b>1,659</b>

**Table of Contents**

Millions of Dollars								
June 30, 2010								
	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	ConocoPhillips	All Other	Consolidating	Total
	Company	Australia	Canada	Canada	Canada	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
<b>Balance Sheet</b>	ConocoPhillips	Company	Company	Company	Company	II		
<b>Assets</b>								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	664	-	23	412	6,025	(3,004)	4,120
Accounts and notes receivable	24	7,220	-	-	-	11,944	(5,991)	13,197
Inventories	-	4,104	-	-	-	3,015	-	7,119
Short-term investments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	18	880	-	1	1	1,330	-	2,230
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>12,868</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>22,314</b>	<b>(8,995)</b>	<b>26,666</b>
Investments, loans and long-term receivables*	76,121	97,578	761	1,366	563	46,475	(184,513)	38,351
Net properties, plants and equipment	-	19,421	-	-	-	61,848	-	81,269
Goodwill	-	3,638	-	-	-	-	-	3,638
Intangibles	-	764	-	-	-	44	-	808
Other assets	59	262	1	3	3	396	-	724
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 76,222</b>	<b>134,531</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>1,393</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>131,077</b>	<b>(193,508)</b>	<b>151,456</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>								
Accounts payable	\$ -	13,513	1	2	1	7,850	(5,991)	15,376
Short-term debt	(5)	351	-	-	350	2,386	-	3,082
Accrued income and other taxes	-	386	-	(1)	7	4,116	-	4,508
Employee benefit obligations	-	465	-	-	-	202	-	667
Other accruals	242	598	9	15	9	1,162	-	2,035
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>15,313</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>15,716</b>	<b>(5,991)</b>	<b>25,668</b>
Long-term debt	11,828	3,702	749	1,250	499	5,169	-	23,197

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	-	1,400	-	-	-	6,989	-	8,389
Joint venture acquisition obligation	-	-	-	-	-	4,666	-	4,666
Deferred income taxes	(1)	3,444	-	22	23	13,524	-	17,012
Employee benefit obligations	-	2,914	-	-	-	922	-	3,836
Other liabilities and deferred credits*	5,587	27,328	-	10	-	16,753	(46,935)	2,743
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>17,651</b>	<b>54,101</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>1,298</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>63,739</b>	<b>(52,926)</b>	<b>85,511</b>
Retained earnings	30,416	16,144	1	(3)	26	16,155	(25,822)	36,917
Other common stockholders equity	28,155	64,286	2	98	64	50,609	(114,760)	28,454
Noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	574	-	574
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>	<b>\$ 76,222</b>	<b>134,531</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>1,393</b>	<b>979</b>	<b>131,077</b>	<b>(193,508)</b>	<b>151,456</b>

**Balance Sheet**

December 31, 2009

**Assets**

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	122	-	18	1	554	(153)	542
Accounts and notes receivable	26	6,495	-	-	-	13,712	(7,018)	13,215
Inventories	-	2,911	-	-	-	2,029	-	4,940
Short-term investments	-	-	-	2,973	-	-	(2,973)	-
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	13	835	-	4	3	1,621	(6)	2,470
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>10,363</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2,995</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17,916</b>	<b>(10,150)</b>	<b>21,167</b>
Investments, loans and long-term receivables*	70,769	91,643	759	1,376	933	47,886	(175,272)	38,094
Net properties, plants and equipment	-	19,838	-	-	-	67,870	-	87,708
Goodwill	-	3,638	-	-	-	-	-	3,638
Intangibles	-	770	-	-	-	53	-	823
Other assets	55	240	1	3	4	509	(104)	708
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 70,863</b>	<b>126,492</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>4,374</b>	<b>941</b>	<b>134,234</b>	<b>(185,526)</b>	<b>152,138</b>

**Liabilities and  
Stockholders  
Equity**

Accounts payable	\$ 7	11,590	-	1	1	10,904	(7,018)	15,485
Short-term debt	235	1,286	-	2,973	-	207	(2,973)	1,728
Accrued income and other taxes	-	298	-	(1)	-	3,105	-	3,402
Employee benefit obligations	-	588	-	-	-	258	-	846
Other accruals	262	643	9	15	10	1,301	(6)	2,234
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>14,405</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2,988</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15,775</b>	<b>(9,997)</b>	<b>23,695</b>
Long-term debt	12,561	4,053	749	1,250	849	7,463	-	26,925
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	-	1,406	-	-	-	7,307	-	8,713
Joint venture acquisition obligation	-	-	-	-	-	5,009	-	5,009
Deferred income taxes	(4)	2,785	-	10	10	15,155	-	17,956
Employee benefit obligations	-	2,960	-	-	-	1,170	-	4,130
Other liabilities and deferred credits*	2,560	25,819	-	68	37	17,296	(42,683)	3,097
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>15,621</b>	<b>51,428</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>4,316</b>	<b>907</b>	<b>69,175</b>	<b>(52,680)</b>	<b>89,525</b>
Retained earnings	25,714	9,607	-	(49)	(30)	10,240	(13,268)	32,214
Other common stockholders equity	29,528	65,457	2	107	64	54,229	(119,578)	29,809
Noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	590	-	590
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>	<b>\$ 70,863</b>	<b>126,492</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>4,374</b>	<b>941</b>	<b>134,234</b>	<b>(185,526)</b>	<b>152,138</b>

\*Includes intercompany loans.



**Table of Contents**

Millions of Dollars								
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010								
	ConocoPhillips	Phillips	Phillips	Phillips	Phillips	All		
	Australia	Canada	Canada	Canada	Canada	Other	Consolidating	Total
	ConocoPhillips	Funding	Funding	Funding	Funding	Subsidiaries	Adjustment	Consolidated
Statement of Cash Flows	Company	Company	Company	Company	Company	Adjustment	Consolidated	Consolidated
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>								
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 2,906	4,090	-	5	27	3,227	(3,740)	6,515
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>								
Capital expenditures and investments	-	(853)	-	-	-	(3,549)	322	(4,080)
Proceeds from asset dispositions	-	165	-	-	-	5,877	(99)	5,943
Long-term advances/loans related parties	-	(335)	-	-	-	(66)	132	(269)
Collection of advances/loans related parties	-	71	-	-	384	1,363	(1,738)	80
Other	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities	-	(952)	-	-	384	3,634	(1,383)	1,683
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>								
Issuance of debt	-	-	-	-	-	197	(132)	65
Repayment of debt	(990)	(2,629)	-	-	-	(554)	1,738	(2,435)
Issuance of company common stock	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
Repurchase of company common stock	(390)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(390)
Dividends paid on common stock	(1,560)	-	-	-	-	(889)	889	(1,560)
Other	(1)	18	-	-	-	(149)	(223)	(355)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(2,906)	(2,611)	-	-	-	(1,395)	2,272	(4,640)



Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

Repayment of debt	(2,109)	(4,081)	(950)	-	-	(3,763)	4,794	(6,109)
Issuance of company common stock	(21)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(21)
Dividends paid on common stock	(1,393)	-	-	-	-	(1,871)	1,871	(1,393)
Other	(47)	2	-	-	-	(125)	(236)	(406)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	5,340	(4,079)	(950)	-	-	(5,636)	6,425	1,100
<b>Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	-	-	-	-	-	89	-	89
<b>Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	-	124	-	4	-	(9)	14	133
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	-	8	-	10	1	750	(14)	755
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ -	132	-	14	1	741	-	888

**Table of Contents****Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*Management's Discussion and Analysis contains forward-looking statements including, without limitation, statements relating to our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations, and intentions that are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words forecast, intend, believe, expect, plan, schedule, target, should, goal, may, anticipate, estimate, and similar expressions identify forward-looking information. We do not undertake to update, revise or correct any of the forward-looking information. Readers are cautioned that such forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with the disclosures under the heading:*

*CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995 beginning on page 51.*

*The terms earnings and loss as used in Management's Discussion and Analysis refer to net income (loss) attributable to ConocoPhillips.*

**BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT AND EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

ConocoPhillips is an international, integrated energy company. We are the third-largest integrated energy company in the United States, based on market capitalization. At June 30, 2010, we had approximately 29,900 employees worldwide and total assets of \$151 billion.

Earnings in the second quarter of 2010 were positively impacted by strong crude oil prices compared with 2009. The price of West Texas Intermediate (WTI) benchmark crude oil steadily trended upward during 2009 and into the first quarter of 2010 before leveling out in the second quarter of 2010. WTI averaged \$77.78 per barrel in the second quarter of 2010, or \$18.24 higher than the second quarter of 2009, and in-line with the first quarter of 2010. Crude prices fell slightly during the second quarter of 2010 due to continued concerns about the strength of the global economic recovery.

Henry Hub natural gas prices averaged \$4.09 per million British thermal units in the second quarter of 2010, or \$0.58 higher than second quarter 2009, and \$1.21 lower than the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in natural gas prices during 2010 resulted from the return to normal weather after a colder-than-normal January and February, continued robust storage inventory levels and strong domestic production.

Our Exploration and Production (E&P) segment had earnings of \$4,114 million in the second quarter of 2010. This compares with earnings of \$1,832 million in the first quarter of 2010 and \$725 million in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in the second quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the \$2,679 million after-tax gain on sale of our Syncrude oil sands mining operation and substantially higher crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids prices. Global refining margins continued to improve into the second quarter of 2010. The U.S. benchmark 3:2:1 crack spread increased by almost 50 percent in the second quarter of 2010, compared with the first quarter of 2010, while the N.W. Europe benchmark increased by approximately 25 percent. Domestic refined product demand increased due to improved economic conditions. European refining margins also improved, as refinery shutdowns for planned maintenance, in addition to unexpected outages, resulted in constrained supply and thereby contributed to conditions which helped increase crack spreads.

Our Refining and Marketing (R&M) segment benefited from the improved market conditions; however, we reported a loss of \$279 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with a loss of \$4 million in the first quarter of 2010 and a loss of \$52 million in the second quarter of 2009. The loss in the second quarter of 2010 was the result of the \$1,103 million after-tax property impairment of our refinery in Wilhelmshaven, Germany.

**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Unless otherwise indicated, discussion of results for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010, is based on a comparison with the corresponding periods of 2009.

**Consolidated Results**

A summary of net income (loss) attributable to ConocoPhillips by business segment follows:

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended		June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Exploration and Production (E&P)	\$ 4,114	725	5,946	1,425
Midstream	61	31	138	154
Refining and Marketing (R&M)	(279)	(52)	(283)	153
LUKOIL Investment	529	243*	916	251*
Chemicals	138	67	248	90
Emerging Businesses	(10)	2	(4)	2
Corporate and Other	(389)	(157)	(699)	(416)
Net income attributable to ConocoPhillips	\$ 4,164	859	6,262	1,659

\*LUKOIL Investment recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.

Earnings were \$4,164 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with \$859 million in the second quarter of 2009. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, earnings were \$6,262 million and \$1,659 million, respectively. The improvement in both periods of 2010 was primarily the result of:

The \$2,679 million after-tax gain on sale of our 9.03 percent interest in the Syncrude oil sands mining operation.

Higher prices for crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids in our E&P segment. Commodity price benefits were somewhat counteracted by increased production taxes.

Improved earnings from our LUKOIL Investment segment, primarily resulting from increased equity earnings. For the six-month period of 2009, equity earnings were not recorded in the first quarter, since our LUKOIL investment was written down to fair value at December 31, 2008.

Improved results from our domestic R&M operations, reflecting higher refining margins.

These increases were partially offset by:

The \$1,103 million after-tax property impairment on our refinery in Wilhelmshaven, Germany, recorded in the second quarter of 2010.

Lower production volumes from our E&P segment.

See the Segment Results section for additional information on our segment results.

**Income Statement Analysis**

Sales and other operating revenues increased 29 percent in the second quarter of 2010 and 37 percent in the six-month period, while purchased crude oil, natural gas and products increased 30 percent and 43 percent, respectively. These increases were primarily due to significantly higher prices for petroleum products, crude oil and natural gas liquids.

**Table of Contents**

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased 72 percent in the second quarter of 2010 and 95 percent in the six-month period. The increases in both periods primarily resulted from:

Improved earnings from LUKOIL as a result of higher prices, partially offset by higher extraction taxes and export tariffs.

Improved earnings from Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC due to higher margins in the olefins and polyolefins business line.

In addition, equity earnings for the six-month period of 2010 were influenced by:

Improved earnings from FCCL Partnership due to significantly higher commodity prices and volumes.

Lower results from WRB Refining LLC primarily due to lower margins and lower volumes.

Improved earnings from our LUKOIL Investment segment. Equity earnings were not recorded in the first quarter of 2009 under lag accounting, since our LUKOIL investment was written down to fair value at December 31, 2008.

Gain on sale of Syncrude was \$2,878 million and resulted from the June 2010 sale of our 9.03 percent interest in the Syncrude oil sands mining operation.

Other income increased \$369 million in the second quarter of 2010 and \$318 million in the six-month period of 2010. The increases in both periods reflect the gain on sale of our 50 percent interest in CFJ Properties, our 50/50 joint venture with Flying J, in addition to the gain on the divestiture of our LUKOIL shares, which began during the second quarter of 2010.

Impairments increased \$1,481 million in the second quarter of 2010 and \$1,569 million in the six-month period of 2010. The increases in both periods primarily reflect the second quarter 2010 impairment of our refinery in Wilhelmshaven, Germany.

Taxes other than income taxes increased 14 percent during the second quarter of 2010 and 15 percent in the six-month period of 2010, primarily due to higher production taxes as a result of higher crude oil prices and higher excise taxes on petroleum product sales.

Interest expense increased 30 percent during the second quarter of 2010 and 12 percent in the six-month period of 2010. The increases in both periods were primarily due to higher average fixed debt levels and higher net interest expense associated with tax rulings.

See Note 20 Income Taxes in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for information regarding our income tax expense and effective tax rate.

**Table of Contents****Segment Results  
E&P**

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	Millions of Dollars			
<b>Net Income (Loss) Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>				
Alaska	\$ 381	404	898	648
Lower 48	155	(68)	395	(139)
United States	536	336	1,293	509
International	3,578	389	4,653	916
	\$ 4,114	725	5,946	1,425

## Dollars Per Unit

**Average Sales Prices**

Crude oil and natural gas liquids (per barrel)				
United States	\$ 68.15	49.86	69.31	43.77
International	73.34	53.52	73.20	47.85
Total consolidated operations	71.00	51.77	71.46	45.94
Equity affiliates	72.46	55.44	71.89	48.28
Total E&P	71.09	51.98	71.49	46.07
Synthetic oil (per barrel)				
International	76.60	58.71	77.56	51.14
Bitumen (per barrel)				
International	45.81	40.65	52.68	30.00
Equity affiliates	49.73	46.90	53.04	36.69
Total E&P	49.19	46.10	52.99	35.70
Natural gas (per thousand cubic feet)				
United States	3.94	3.00	4.57	3.41
International	4.95	4.27	5.34	5.07
Total consolidated operations	4.53	3.72	5.03	4.35
Equity affiliates	3.02	2.10	2.86	2.10
Total E&P	4.50	3.69	4.98	4.31

## Millions of Dollars

**Worldwide Exploration Expenses**

General administrative; geological and geophysical; and lease rentals	\$ 141	128	391	230
Leasehold impairment	44	49	84	92
Dry holes	28	66	121	146
	\$ 213	243	596	468





**Table of Contents**

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	Thousands of Barrels Daily			
<b>Operating Statistics</b>				
Crude oil and natural gas liquids produced				
Alaska	<b>221</b>	252	<b>234</b>	263
Lower 48	<b>161</b>	170	<b>159</b>	166
United States	<b>382</b>	422	<b>393</b>	429
Canada	<b>42</b>	41	<b>41</b>	42
Europe	<b>198</b>	240	<b>217</b>	250
Asia Pacific/Middle East	<b>136</b>	126	<b>140</b>	133
Africa	<b>79</b>	76	<b>78</b>	76
Other areas	-	7	-	8
Total consolidated operations	<b>837</b>	912	<b>869</b>	938
Equity affiliates				
Russia	<b>56</b>	55	<b>56</b>	52
	<b>893</b>	967	<b>925</b>	990
Synthetic oil produced				
Consolidated operations Canada	<b>25</b>	16	<b>23</b>	20
Bitumen produced				
Consolidated operations Canada	<b>10</b>	6	<b>9</b>	6
Equity affiliates Canada	<b>48</b>	41	<b>50</b>	38
	<b>58</b>	47	<b>59</b>	44
Millions of Cubic Feet Daily				
Natural gas produced*				
Alaska	<b>82</b>	83	<b>88</b>	88
Lower 48	<b>1,740</b>	2,012	<b>1,722</b>	2,020
United States	<b>1,822</b>	2,095	<b>1,810</b>	2,108
Canada	<b>1,043</b>	1,174	<b>1,032</b>	1,120
Europe	<b>749</b>	849	<b>854</b>	924
Asia Pacific/Middle East	<b>673</b>	721	<b>695</b>	717
Africa	<b>144</b>	118	<b>141</b>	115
Total consolidated operations	<b>4,431</b>	4,957	<b>4,532</b>	4,984
Equity affiliates				
Asia Pacific/Middle East	<b>110</b>	94	<b>101</b>	85

**4,541**      5,051      **4,633**      5,069

*\*Represents quantities available for sale. Excludes gas equivalent of natural gas liquids included above.  
Equity affiliate statistics exclude our share of LUKOIL, which is reported in the LUKOIL Investment segment.*

**Table of Contents**

The E&P segment explores for, produces, transports and markets crude oil, bitumen, natural gas and natural gas liquids on a worldwide basis. At June 30, 2010, our E&P operations were producing in the United States, Norway, the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, offshore Timor-Leste in the Timor Sea, Indonesia, China, Vietnam, Libya, Nigeria, Algeria, and Russia. Total E&P production on a barrel-of-equivalent (BOE) basis averaged 1,733,000 BOE per day in the second quarter of 2010, compared with 1,872,000 BOE in the second quarter of 2009.

Earnings from our E&P segment were \$4,114 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with earnings of \$725 million in the second quarter of 2009. E&P earnings for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 were \$5,946 million and \$1,425 million, respectively. The increases for both periods in 2010 were primarily due to the \$2,679 million after-tax gain on sale of our Syncrude oil sands mining operation in June 2010 and higher crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids prices. These increases were partially offset by higher production taxes, as a result of higher prices, and lower crude oil and natural gas volumes. See the Business Environment and Executive Overview section for additional information on industry crude oil and natural gas prices.

**U.S. E&P**

Our U.S. E&P operations reported earnings of \$536 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with earnings of \$336 million for the same period in 2009. Domestic E&P earnings for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 were \$1,293 million and \$509 million, respectively. The increases for both periods in 2010 were primarily the result of higher crude oil and natural gas prices, which were partially offset by higher production taxes in Alaska, lower crude oil and natural gas volumes, and an unfavorable tax ruling.

U.S. E&P production averaged 686,000 BOE per day in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 11 percent from 771,000 BOE in the second quarter of 2009. The decrease was primarily due to field decline and unplanned downtime, which was partially offset by new production.

**International E&P**

International E&P earnings were \$3,578 million in the second quarter of 2010, or \$3,189 million higher than the comparative period in 2009. International earnings for the first six months of 2010 and 2009 were \$4,653 million and \$916 million, respectively. In addition to the gain on sale of our Syncrude oil sands mining operation, results for both periods were influenced by higher crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids prices. These increases were partially offset by higher petroleum and export taxes, as a result of higher prices. Results for the six-month period of 2010 were also negatively impacted by the \$85 million after-tax write-off of project costs resulting from our decision to end participation in the Shah Gas Field Project in Abu Dhabi.

International E&P production averaged 1,047,000 BOE per day in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of 5 percent from 1,101,000 BOE in the second quarter of 2009. The decrease was largely due to field decline and planned downtime, which was slightly offset by production from new developments primarily in China, Canada and Indonesia.

**Table of Contents****Midstream**

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	Millions of Dollars			
<b>Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips*</b>	<b>\$ 61</b>	31	<b>138</b>	154
<i>*Includes DCP Midstream-related earnings:</i>	<i>\$ 31</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>102</i>
	Dollars Per Barrel			
<b>Average Sales Prices</b>				
U.S. natural gas liquids*				
Consolidated	<b>\$ 43.21</b>	29.99	<b>46.07</b>	28.01
Equity affiliates	<b>38.11</b>	26.02	<b>41.88</b>	24.94

*\*Based on index prices from the Mont Belvieu and Conway market hubs that are weighted by natural gas liquids component and location mix.*

	Thousands of Barrels Daily			
<b>Operating Statistics*</b>				
Natural gas liquids extracted	<b>190</b>	188	<b>188</b>	180
Natural gas liquids fractionated**	<b>156</b>	174	<b>158</b>	167

*\*Includes our share of equity affiliates, except LUKOIL, which is reported in the LUKOIL Investment segment.*

*\*\*Excludes DCP Midstream.*

The Midstream segment purchases raw natural gas from producers and gathers natural gas through an extensive network of pipeline gathering systems. The natural gas is then processed to extract natural gas liquids from the raw gas stream. The remaining residue gas is marketed to electrical utilities, industrial users, and gas marketing companies. Most of the natural gas liquids are fractionated separated into individual components like ethane, butane and propane and marketed as chemical feedstock, fuel or blendstock. The Midstream segment consists of our 50 percent equity investment in DCP Midstream, LLC, as well as our other natural gas gathering and processing operations, and natural gas liquids fractionation, trading and marketing businesses, primarily in the United States and Trinidad.

Earnings from the Midstream segment increased 97 percent in the second quarter of 2010 and decreased 10 percent during the first six months of 2010. Both periods were positively impacted by significantly higher natural gas liquids prices, as well as improved volumes from our equity affiliate, Phoenix Park Gas Processors Limited. These increases were slightly offset by lower marketing and trading results and higher operating costs resulting from increased turnaround activity in the second quarter of 2010. In addition, results for the six-month period of 2009 included the recognition of an \$88 million after-tax benefit, which resulted from a DCP Midstream subsidiary converting subordinated units to common units.

**Table of Contents****R&M**

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30 <b>2010</b>	2009	June 30 <b>2010</b>	2009
	Millions of Dollars			
<b>Net Income (Loss) Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>				
United States	\$ <b>782</b>	(38)	<b>794</b>	60
International	<b>(1,061)</b>	(14)	<b>(1,077)</b>	93
	\$ <b>(279)</b>	(52)	<b>(283)</b>	153

	Dollars Per Gallon			
<b>U.S. Average Wholesale Prices*</b>				
Gasoline	\$ <b>2.25</b>	1.84	<b>2.21</b>	1.62
Distillates	<b>2.28</b>	1.67	<b>2.22</b>	1.54

\*Excludes excise taxes.

	Thousands of Barrels Daily			
<b>Operating Statistics</b>				
Refining operations*				
United States				
Crude oil capacity	<b>1,986</b>	1,986	<b>1,986</b>	1,986
Crude oil runs	<b>1,913</b>	1,852	<b>1,828</b>	1,721
Capacity utilization (percent)	<b>96%</b>	93	<b>92</b>	87
Refinery production	<b>2,100</b>	2,018	<b>2,000</b>	1,868
International				
Crude oil capacity	<b>671</b>	671	<b>671</b>	671
Crude oil runs	<b>362</b>	485	<b>343</b>	526
Capacity utilization (percent)	<b>54%</b>	72	<b>51</b>	78
Refinery production	<b>364</b>	499	<b>351</b>	537
Worldwide				
Crude oil capacity	<b>2,657</b>	2,657	<b>2,657</b>	2,657
Crude oil runs	<b>2,275</b>	2,337	<b>2,171</b>	2,247
Capacity utilization (percent)	<b>86%</b>	88	<b>82</b>	85
Refinery production	<b>2,464</b>	2,517	<b>2,351</b>	2,405

Petroleum products sales volumes				
United States				
Gasoline	<b>1,170</b>	1,180	<b>1,131</b>	1,109
Distillates	<b>921</b>	924	<b>864</b>	837
Other products	<b>387</b>	378	<b>377</b>	353
	<b>2,478</b>	2,482	<b>2,372</b>	2,299
International	<b>566</b>	630	<b>555</b>	619

**3,044**      3,112      **2,927**      2,918

*\*Includes our share of equity affiliates, except LUKOIL, which is reported in the LUKOIL Investment segment.*

**Table of Contents**

Our R&M segment refines crude oil and other feedstocks into petroleum products (such as gasoline, distillates and aviation fuels); buys, sells and transports crude oil; and buys, transports, distributes and markets petroleum products. R&M has operations mainly in the United States, Europe and the Asia Pacific Region.

R&M reported a loss of \$279 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with a loss of \$52 million in the corresponding period of 2009. For the first six months of 2010, R&M reported a loss of \$283 million, compared with earnings of \$153 million for the same period in 2009. Our losses in the 2010 periods were largely due to a \$1,103 million after-tax property impairment to our refinery in Wilhelmshaven, Germany, which was recorded in the second quarter of 2010. For additional information, see Note 8 Impairments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Excluding the impact from the Wilhelmshaven property impairment, R&M experienced an improvement in earnings for both 2010 periods due to significantly improved global refining and marketing margins. Results also included a \$116 million after-tax gain on the sale of CFJ Properties, our 50/50 joint venture with Flying J, lower domestic operating expenses, the absence of a \$72 million after-tax Keystone Pipeline impairment recorded in the second quarter of 2009 and higher volumes. These increases were partially offset by negative foreign currency impacts. See the Business Environment and Executive Overview section for additional information on industry refining margins.

**U.S. R&M**

U.S. R&M reported earnings of \$782 million in the second quarter of 2010 and earnings of \$794 million for the first six months of 2010, compared with a loss of \$38 million and earnings of \$60 million for the respective periods in 2009. The increases in both periods primarily resulted from improved refining and marketing margins, the gain on sale of CFJ, the 2009 Keystone Pipeline impairment and higher refining and marketing volumes. In addition, lower operating costs contributed to the improvement for the six-month period of 2010.

Our U.S. refining crude oil capacity utilization rate was 96 percent in the second quarter of 2010, compared with 93 percent in the second quarter of 2009. The increase was primarily due to less unplanned downtime and lower turnaround activity.

**International R&M**

International R&M reported a loss of \$1,061 million in the second quarter of 2010 and a loss of \$1,077 million for the six-month period of 2010, compared with a loss of \$14 million and earnings of \$93 million for the respective periods in 2009. The decreases in both periods were primarily due to the Wilhelmshaven impairment and negative foreign currency impacts, which were partially offset by improved refining and marketing margins. The six-month period of 2010 also included a \$29 million after-tax impairment resulting from our decision to end participation in the Yanbu Refinery Project, in addition to lower refining volumes.

Our international refining crude oil capacity utilization rate was 54 percent in the second quarter of 2010, compared with 72 percent in the second quarter of 2009. The current year rate primarily reflects increased run reductions at Wilhelmshaven in response to market conditions and higher unplanned downtime, partially offset by lower turnaround activity.

**Table of Contents****LUKOIL Investment**

	Million of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2010	2009*	2010	2009*
<b>Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>	\$ 529	243	916	251
<b>Operating Statistics</b>				
Crude oil production (thousands of barrels daily)	382	385	386	389
Natural gas produced (millions of cubic feet daily)	368	297	340	315
Refinery crude oil processed (thousands of barrels daily)	248	231	247	228

\*Recast to reflect a change in accounting principle. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.

This segment represents our investment in the ordinary shares of OAO LUKOIL, an international, integrated oil and gas company headquartered in Russia, which we account for under the equity method. As of June 30, 2010, our ownership interest in LUKOIL was 19.21 percent based on authorized and issued shares. Our average ownership interest in the first quarter of 2010, used to record our share of LUKOIL's first-quarter results on a lag basis, was 20.09 percent.

Effective January 1, 2010, we changed the method used to determine our equity-method share of LUKOIL's earnings. Prior to 2010, we estimated our LUKOIL equity earnings for the current quarter based on current market indicators, publicly available LUKOIL information and other objective data. We now record our equity-method share of LUKOIL's actual earnings on a one-quarter-lag basis, rather than using an earnings estimate for the current quarter. This change in accounting principle has been applied retrospectively, by recasting prior period financial information. The performance metrics are also reported on a one-quarter-lag basis. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for more information.

In addition to our equity share of LUKOIL's earnings, segment results include the amortization of the basis difference between our equity interest in the net assets of LUKOIL and the book value of our investment. The segment also includes the costs associated with our employees seconded to LUKOIL.

LUKOIL segment earnings were \$529 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with earnings of \$243 million in the second quarter of 2009. Earnings for the six-month period of 2010 were \$916 million, compared with earnings of \$251 million in the corresponding period of 2009. The increase in the second quarter of 2010 was primarily the result of significantly higher refined product and crude oil prices and the \$99 million gain on the sale of 6.7 million shares of our LUKOIL investment in the second quarter of 2010. These increases were partially offset by higher extraction taxes and export tariffs. In addition, for the six-month period of 2009, equity earnings from LUKOIL were not recorded in the first quarter, since our LUKOIL investment was written down in the fourth quarter of 2008 to its fair value at December 31, 2008.



**Table of Contents****Chemicals**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Net Income Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>	\$ 138	67	248	90

The Chemicals segment consists of our 50 percent interest in Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPChem), which we account for under the equity method. CPChem uses natural gas liquids and other feedstocks to produce petrochemicals. These products are then marketed and sold, or used as feedstocks to produce plastics and commodity chemicals.

Earnings from the Chemicals segment were \$138 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with \$67 million in the second quarter of 2009. Chemicals earnings were \$248 million in the first half of 2010, compared with \$90 million in 2009. The increase in both periods reflects higher margins primarily in the olefins and polyolefins business line, and to a lesser extent, the specialties, aromatics and styrenics business line. These increases were partially offset by higher operating costs primarily resulting from increased turnaround activity in the second quarter of 2010.

**Emerging Businesses**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Net Income (Loss) Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>				
Power	\$ 17	27	46	51
Other	(27)	(25)	(50)	(49)
	\$ (10)	2	(4)	2

The Emerging Businesses segment represents our investment in new technologies or businesses outside our normal scope of operations. Activities within this segment are currently focused on power generation and innovation of new technologies, such as those related to conventional and nonconventional hydrocarbon recovery (including heavy oil), refining, alternative energy, biofuels and the environment.

The Emerging Businesses segment reported a loss of \$10 million in the second quarter of 2010, compared with earnings of \$2 million in the same quarter of 2009. Losses for the first six months of 2010 were \$4 million, compared with earnings of \$2 million in the first six months of 2009. The decrease in earnings in the second quarter was primarily due to lower domestic and international power generation results. The decrease for the six-month period was mainly due to lower international power generation results, partially offset by improved domestic power generation results.

**Table of Contents****Corporate and Other**

	Millions of Dollars			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	<b>2010</b>	2009	<b>2010</b>	2009
<b>Net Income (Loss) Attributable to ConocoPhillips</b>				
Net interest	\$ (254)	(175)	(476)	(365)
Corporate general and administrative expenses	(47)	(31)	(83)	(72)
Other	(88)	49	(140)	21
	\$ (389)	(157)	(699)	(416)

Net interest consists of interest and financing expense, net of interest income and capitalized interest, as well as premiums incurred on the early retirement of debt. Net interest increased 45 percent in the second quarter of 2010 and 30 percent in the first six months of 2010. The increase in both periods was primarily due to higher average fixed debt levels and higher net interest expense associated with tax rulings. Corporate general and administrative expenses increased 52 percent in the second quarter of 2010 and 15 percent in the six-month period. The increase in the second quarter of 2010 was primarily due to costs related to compensation plans, in addition to timing of association dues and charitable contributions. The increase in the six-month period of 2010 was primarily the result of compensation plan costs. The category Other includes certain foreign currency transaction gains and losses, environmental costs associated with sites no longer in operation, and other costs not directly associated with an operating segment. Changes in the Other category primarily reflect higher foreign currency transaction losses in both 2010 periods.

**Table of Contents****CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY****Financial Indicators**

	Millions of Dollars	
	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
Short-term debt	\$ 3,082	1,728
Total debt*	\$ 26,279	28,653
Total equity	\$ 65,945	62,613**
Percent of total debt to capital***	28%	31
Percent of floating-rate debt to total debt	8%	9

\*Total debt includes short-term and long-term debt, as shown on our consolidated balance sheet.

\*\*Recast to reflect a change in accounting principles. See Note 2 Changes in Accounting Principles, for more information.

\*\*\*Capital includes total debt and total equity.

To meet our short- and long-term liquidity requirements, we look to a variety of funding sources. Cash generated from operating activities is the primary source of funding. During the second quarter of 2010, available cash was used to support our ongoing capital expenditures and investments program, provide loan financing to certain equity affiliates, pay dividends, and meet the funding requirements to FCCL Partnership. Total dividends paid on our common stock during the first six months were \$1,560 million. During the first half of 2010, cash and cash equivalents increased \$3,578 million to \$4,120 million.

In addition to cash flows from operating activities and proceeds from asset sales, we rely on our commercial paper and credit facility programs, and our shelf registration statement to support our short- and long-term liquidity requirements. We believe current cash and short-term investment balances and cash generated by operations, together with access to external sources of funds as described below in the Significant Sources of Capital section, will be sufficient to meet our funding requirements in the near and long term, including our capital spending program, dividend payments, required debt payments and the funding requirements to FCCL.

**Significant Sources of Capital**Operating Activities

During the first six months of 2010, cash of \$6,515 million was provided by operating activities, a 46 percent increase from cash from operations of \$4,452 million in the corresponding period of 2009. The improvement was primarily due to higher commodity prices and improved refining and marketing margins, partially offset by a discretionary inventory build.

While the stability of our cash flows from operating activities benefits from geographic diversity and the effects of upstream and downstream integration, our short- and long-term operating cash flows are highly dependent upon prices for crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids, as well as refining and marketing margins. During the first six months of 2010, crude oil and natural gas prices were higher than in the same period of 2009. Prices and margins in our industry are typically volatile, and are driven by market conditions over which we have no control. Absent other mitigating factors, as these prices and margins fluctuate, we would expect a corresponding change in our operating cash flows.

The level of our production volumes of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids also impacts our cash flows. These production levels are impacted by such factors as acquisitions and dispositions of fields, field production decline rates, new technologies, operating efficiency, weather conditions, the addition of proved reserves through exploratory success and their timely and cost-effective development. While we actively manage these factors, production levels can cause variability in cash flows, although historically this variability has not been as significant

as that caused by commodity prices.

**Table of Contents**

In addition, the level and quality of output from our refineries impacts our cash flows. The output at our refineries is impacted by such factors as operating efficiency, maintenance turnarounds, market conditions, feedstock availability and weather conditions. We actively manage the operations of our refineries and, typically, any variability in their operations has not been as significant to cash flows as that caused by refining margins.

**Asset Sales**

Proceeds from asset sales during the first six months of 2010 totaled \$5.9 billion, which included \$4.6 billion from the sale of our 9.03 percent interest in the Syncrude Canada Ltd. joint venture (Syncrude). In addition, proceeds included the sale of our interest in CFJ Properties and the sale of a portion of our interest in LUKOIL. We plan to raise an additional \$4 billion to \$5 billion through the end of 2011, as part of our previously-announced \$10 billion asset disposition program. Proceeds from this program are primarily targeted toward debt reduction.

**Commercial Paper and Credit Facilities**

At June 30, 2010, we had two revolving credit facilities totaling \$7.85 billion, consisting of a \$7.35 billion facility expiring in September 2012 and a \$500 million facility expiring in July 2012. Our revolving credit facilities may be used as direct bank borrowings, as support for issuances of letters of credit totaling up to \$750 million, or as support for our commercial paper programs. The revolving credit facilities are broadly syndicated among financial institutions and do not contain any material adverse change provisions or any covenants requiring maintenance of specified financial ratios or ratings. The facility agreements contain a cross-default provision relating to the failure to pay principal or interest on other debt obligations of \$200 million or more by ConocoPhillips, or by any of its consolidated subsidiaries.

Credit facility borrowings may bear interest at a margin above rates offered by certain designated banks in the London interbank market or at a margin above the overnight federal funds rate or prime rates offered by certain designated banks in the United States. The agreements call for commitment fees on available, but unused, amounts. The agreements also contain early termination rights if our current directors or their approved successors cease to be a majority of the Board of Directors.

Our primary funding source for short-term working capital needs is the ConocoPhillips \$6.35 billion commercial paper program. Commercial paper maturities are generally limited to 90 days. We also have the ConocoPhillips Qatar Funding Ltd. \$1.5 billion commercial paper program, which is used to fund commitments relating to the Qatargas 3 Project. At June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, we had no direct borrowings under the revolving credit facilities, but \$40 million in letters of credit had been issued at both periods. In addition, under the two ConocoPhillips commercial paper programs, \$1,126 million of commercial paper was outstanding at June 30, 2010, compared with \$1,300 million at December 31, 2009. Since we had \$1,126 million of commercial paper outstanding and had issued \$40 million of letters of credit, we had access to \$6.7 billion in borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facilities at June 30, 2010.

**Shelf Registration**

We have a universal shelf registration statement on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under which we, as a well-known seasoned issuer, have the ability to issue and sell an indeterminate amount of various types of debt and equity securities.

**Table of Contents****Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As part of our normal ongoing business operations and consistent with normal industry practice, we enter into numerous agreements with other parties to pursue business opportunities, which share costs and apportion risks among the parties as governed by the agreements. At June 30, 2010, we were liable for certain contingent obligations under the following contractual arrangements:

*Qatargas 3:* We own a 30 percent interest in Qatargas 3, an integrated project to produce and liquefy natural gas from Qatar's North Field. The other participants in the project are affiliates of Qatar Petroleum (68.5 percent) and Mitsui & Co., Ltd. (1.5 percent). Our interest is held through a jointly owned company, Qatar Liquefied Gas Company Limited (3), for which we use the equity method of accounting. Qatargas 3 secured project financing of \$4 billion in December 2005, consisting of \$1.3 billion of loans from export credit agencies (ECA), \$1.5 billion from commercial banks, and \$1.2 billion from ConocoPhillips. The ConocoPhillips loan facilities have substantially the same terms as the ECA and commercial bank facilities. Prior to project completion certification, all loans, including the ConocoPhillips loan facilities, are guaranteed by the participants, based on their respective ownership interests. Accordingly, our maximum exposure to this financing structure is \$1.2 billion. Upon completion certification, currently expected in 2011, all project loan facilities, including the ConocoPhillips loan facilities, will become nonrecourse to the project participants. At June 30, 2010, Qatargas 3 had approximately \$3.9 billion outstanding under all the loan facilities, of which ConocoPhillips provided \$1.1 billion, and an additional \$93 million of accrued interest.

*Rockies Express Pipeline:* In the second quarter of 2010, the credit facilities of Rockies Express Pipeline LLC were reduced, and our guarantee was released.

For additional information about guarantees, see Note 12 Guarantees, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

**Capital Requirements**

For information about our capital expenditures and investments, see the Capital Spending section.

Our debt balance at June 30, 2010, was \$26.3 billion, a decrease of \$2.4 billion from the balance at December 31, 2009. In July, make-whole redemption notices were issued on bonds totaling \$2.7 billion, and the bonds were repaid in August.

We are obligated to contribute \$7.5 billion, plus interest, over a 10-year period that began in 2007, to FCCL. Quarterly principal and interest payments of \$237 million began in the second quarter of 2007 and will continue until the balance is paid. Of the principal obligation amount, approximately \$677 million was short-term and was included in the Accounts payable related parties line on our June 30, 2010, consolidated balance sheet. The principal portion of these payments, which totaled \$325 million in the first six months of 2010, is included in the Other line in the financing activities section of our consolidated statement of cash flows. Interest accrues at a fixed annual rate of 5.3 percent on the unpaid principal balance. Fifty percent of the quarterly interest payment is reflected as a capital contribution and is included in the Capital expenditures and investments line on our consolidated statement of cash flows.

We have provided loan financing to WRB Refining LLC, to assist it in meeting its operating and capital spending requirements. At June 30, 2010, \$550 million of such financing was outstanding and \$400 million was classified as long term.

In July 2010, we announced a quarterly dividend of 55 cents per share. The dividend is payable September 1, 2010, to stockholders of record at the close of business August 2, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

On March 24, 2010, we announced plans to purchase up to \$5 billion of our common stock over the subsequent two years. Repurchase of shares began in April and totaled 6,894,686 shares at a cost of \$390 million, through June 30, 2010.

**Capital Spending****Capital Expenditures and Investments**

	Millions of Dollars Six Months Ended June 30	
	<b>2010</b>	2009
E&P		
United States Alaska	\$ 375	481
United States Lower 48	<b>604</b>	1,451
International	<b>2,616</b>	2,503
	<b>3,595</b>	4,435
Midstream	-	4
R&M		
United States	<b>289</b>	826
International	<b>129</b>	193
	<b>418</b>	1,019
LUKOIL Investment	-	-
Chemicals	-	-
Emerging Businesses	<b>5</b>	73
Corporate and Other	<b>62</b>	47
	<b>\$ 4,080</b>	5,578
United States	<b>\$ 1,330</b>	2,819
International	<b>2,750</b>	2,759
	<b>\$ 4,080</b>	5,578

**E&P**

Our E&P capital expenditures and investments budget for 2010 has been increased by \$0.5 billion, to \$9.4 billion. Capital spending for E&P during the first six months of 2010 totaled \$3.6 billion. The expenditures supported key exploration and development projects including:

Oil and natural gas developments in the Lower 48, including San Juan and Permian Basins, Bakken and Barnett trends, and Eagle Ford shale position in Texas.

Alaska activities related to the Prudhoe Bay and Kuparuk Fields, as well as the Alpine Field and satellites on the Western North Slope.

Oil sands projects and ongoing natural gas projects in Canada.

Further development of coalbed methane projects associated with the Australia Pacific LNG Pty Limited joint venture in Australia.

Qatargas 3 Project in Qatar.

Edgar Filing: CONOCOPHILLIPS - Form 10-Q

In Asia Pacific, Bohai Bay in China, Bayu Undan in the Timor Sea, new fields offshore Malaysia and the Darwin LNG facility in Australia.

In the North Sea, the Ekofisk Area, Greater Britannia Fields and development of the Jasmine discovery in the J Block.

The Kashagan Field in the Caspian Sea.

Onshore developments in Nigeria and Algeria.

Exploration activities in Australia's Browse Basin, offshore eastern Canada, Lower 48's Eagle Ford shale, China's coalbed methane pilot, Poland's shale play, Malaysia and Vietnam.



**Table of Contents****R&M**

Capital spending for R&M during the first six months of 2010 totaled \$418 million and included projects related to sustaining and improving the existing business with a focus on safety, regulatory compliance and reliability.

**Contingencies****Legal and Tax Matters**

We accrue a liability for known contingencies (other than those related to income taxes) when a loss is probable and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. If a range of amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum of the range is accrued. In the case of income-tax-related contingencies, we use a cumulative probability-weighted loss accrual in cases where sustaining a tax position is less than certain. Based on currently available information, we believe it is remote that future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

**Environmental**

We are subject to the same numerous international, federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations as other companies in the petroleum exploration and production, refining, and crude oil and refined product marketing and transportation businesses. For a discussion of the most significant of these environmental laws and regulations, including those with associated remediation obligations, see the Environmental section in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on pages 58, 59 and 60 of our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We, from time to time, receive requests for information or notices of potential liability from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and state environmental agencies alleging that we are a potentially responsible party under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) or an equivalent state statute. On occasion, we also have been made a party to cost recovery litigation by those agencies or by private parties. These requests, notices and lawsuits assert potential liability for remediation costs at various sites that typically are not owned by us, but allegedly contain wastes attributable to our past operations. As of December 31, 2009, we reported we had been notified of potential liability under CERCLA and comparable state laws at 65 sites around the United States. At June 30, 2010, we were notified of four new sites and re-opened two sites bringing the number to 71 unresolved sites with potential liability.

At June 30, 2010, our balance sheet included a total environmental accrual of \$971 million, compared with \$1,017 million at December 31, 2009. We expect to incur a substantial amount of these expenditures within the next 30 years.

Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, and as with other companies engaged in similar businesses, environmental costs and liabilities are inherent in our operations and products, and there can be no assurance that material costs and liabilities will not be incurred. However, we currently do not expect any material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position as a result of compliance with current environmental laws and regulations.

**Climate Change**

There has been a broad range of proposed or promulgated state, national and international laws focusing on greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction. These proposed or promulgated laws apply or could apply in countries where we have interests or may have interests in the future. Laws in this field continue to evolve, and while it is not possible to accurately estimate either a timetable for implementation or our future compliance costs relating to implementation, such laws, if enacted, could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Examples from 2010 of legislation and precursors for possible regulation that do or could affect our operations include the EPA's announcement on March 29, 2010 (published as Interpretation of Regulations that Determine Pollutants Covered by Clean Air Act Permitting Programs, 75 Fed. Reg. 17004 (April 2, 2010)), and the EPA's and U.S. Department of Transportation's joint promulgation of a Final Rule on

**Table of Contents**

April 1, 2010, that trigger regulation of GHGs under the Clean Air Act, may trigger more climate-based claims for damages, and may result in longer agency review time for development projects to determine the extent of climate change.

Both of the above referenced announcements are subject to pending legal challenges, and we continue to monitor these legal proceedings and other regulatory actions for potential impacts on our operations. For other examples of legislation or precursors for possible regulation that do or could affect our operations, see the Climate Change section in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on pages 60 and 61 of our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**OUTLOOK**

On May 27, 2010, in response to the Deepwater Horizon incident in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM), the U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI) issued a six-month drilling moratorium on new deepwater wells in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). Although a U.S. federal appeals court has upheld a lower court's decision to lift the drilling ban, an ongoing appeals process is underway. In addition, on July 12, 2010, the DOI issued a second drilling moratorium for drilling from floating rigs, which will be in effect until November 30, 2010. A lawsuit has also been filed against this second moratorium. The U.S. Government has also implemented a number of regulatory requirements on drilling activities and has proposed legislation to impose further restrictions on drilling. As a result of this uncertain regulatory climate, our planned exploration and appraisal drilling on deepwater prospects in the GOM have been delayed. There are no material impacts to our near-term production. Future impacts of these regulatory proposals on our business are not known at this time.

In order to improve industry spill response, we recently announced plans to partner with Exxon Mobil Corp., Chevron Corp. and Royal Dutch Shell PLC to develop a new oil spill containment system. We plan to build and deploy a rapid response system that will be available to capture and contain oil in the event of a potential future underwater well blowout in the deepwater GOM. The four companies will form a non-profit organization, the Marine Well Containment Company, to operate and maintain this system.

In a February 2008 lease sale conducted by the DOI under the OCS Lands Act, we successfully bid, and were awarded 10-year-primary-term leases on 98 blocks in the Chukchi Sea, for total bid payments of \$506 million. Various special interest groups have brought two separate lawsuits challenging (1) the DOI's entire OCS leasing program, and (2) the Chukchi Sea lease sale conducted by the DOI under that program. In the first suit, the Court ordered the DOI to reconsider one aspect of its OCS leasing program. The draft revised program was issued on March 31, 2010, and affirmed the 2008 Chukchi Sea lease sale as part of the 2007-2012 program, but removed any future lease sales for the Alaska OCS in that program. The draft revised program was subject to public comment until May 3, 2010, but issuance of the final decision has been delayed due to the large volume of comments received.

In the second suit, on July 21, 2010, the federal district court issued a decision finding two flaws in the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement's environmental review, remanding the matter to the agency for further proceedings, and enjoining any activities under the leases until the remand is complete. The court issued the final judgment on the decision on July 22, 2010, and that judgment is subject to appeal for 60 days after issuance. Our plans for drilling an exploration well on our Chukchi Sea leases are under review in light of the court's decision.

**Table of Contents**

On July 28, 2010, we announced our intention to sell our entire interest in LUKOIL, then consisting of 163,367,629 shares. This decision will be implemented as follows:

On July 28, 2010, we entered into a stock purchase and option agreement (the Agreement) with a wholly owned subsidiary of LUKOIL, pursuant to which such subsidiary will purchase 64,638,729 shares from us at a price of \$53.25 per share, or \$3.44 billion in total. Closing on this transaction is expected in the third quarter of 2010.

Also pursuant to the Agreement, the LUKOIL subsidiary has a 60-day option, expiring on September 26, 2010, to purchase any or all of our interest remaining at the time of exercise of the option, at a price of \$56 per share.

Finally, to the extent all of our remaining interest is not purchased pursuant to the 60-day option, we intend to sell our remaining interest in the open market from time to time, subject to the terms of the Shareholder Agreement, by the end of 2011.

We expect to use the proceeds from these transactions primarily to repurchase ConocoPhillips common stock.

**Table of Contents**

**CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995**

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You can identify our forward-looking statements by the words anticipate, estimate, believe, continue, could, intend, may, plan, potential, predict, should, will, projection, forecast, goal, guidance, outlook, effort, target and similar expressions.

We based the forward-looking statements on our current expectations, estimates and projections about ourselves and the industries in which we operate in general. We caution you these statements are not guarantees of future performance as they involve assumptions that, while made in good faith, may prove to be incorrect, and involve risks and uncertainties we cannot predict. In addition, we based many of these forward-looking statements on assumptions about future events that may prove to be inaccurate. Accordingly, our actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what we have expressed or forecast in the forward-looking statements. Any differences could result from a variety of factors, including the following:

Fluctuations in crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids prices, refining and marketing margins and margins for our chemicals business.

Potential failures or delays in achieving expected reserve or production levels from existing and future oil and gas development projects due to operating hazards, drilling risks and the inherent uncertainties in predicting oil and gas reserves and oil and gas reservoir performance.

Unsuccessful exploratory drilling activities or the inability to obtain access to exploratory acreage.

Failure of new products and services to achieve market acceptance.

Unexpected changes in costs or technical requirements for constructing, modifying or operating facilities for exploration and production, manufacturing, refining or transportation projects.

Unexpected technological or commercial difficulties in manufacturing, refining or transporting our products, including synthetic crude oil and chemicals products.

Lack of, or disruptions in, adequate and reliable transportation for our crude oil, natural gas, natural gas liquids, LNG and refined products.

Inability to timely obtain or maintain permits, including those necessary for construction of LNG terminals or regasification facilities, or refinery projects; comply with government regulations; or make capital expenditures required to maintain compliance.

Failure to complete definitive agreements and feasibility studies for, and to timely complete construction of, announced and future exploration and production, LNG, refinery and transportation projects.

Potential disruption or interruption of our operations due to accidents, extraordinary weather events, civil unrest, political events or terrorism.

International monetary conditions and exchange controls.

Substantial investment or reduced demand for products as a result of existing or future environmental rules and regulations.

Liability for remedial actions, including removal and reclamation obligations, under environmental regulations.

Liability resulting from litigation.

General domestic and international economic and political developments, including armed hostilities; expropriation of assets; changes in governmental policies relating to crude oil, natural gas, natural gas liquids or refined product pricing, regulation or taxation; other political, economic or diplomatic developments; and international monetary fluctuations.

Changes in tax and other laws, regulations (including alternative energy mandates), or royalty rules applicable to our business.

**Table of Contents**

Limited access to capital or significantly higher cost of capital related to uncertainty in the domestic or international financial markets.

Delays in, or our inability to implement, our recently announced asset disposition plan.

Inability to obtain economical financing for projects, construction or modification of facilities and general corporate purposes.

The operation and financing of our midstream and chemicals joint ventures.

The factors generally described in Item 1A Risk Factors in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Information about market risks for the six months ended June 30, 2010, does not differ materially from that discussed under Item 7A in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

As of June 30, 2010, with the participation of our management, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) and our Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) carried out an evaluation, pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of ConocoPhillips' disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Act). Based upon that evaluation, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were operating effectively as of June 30, 2010.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Act, in the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The following is a description of reportable legal proceedings including those involving governmental authorities under federal, state and local laws regulating the discharge of materials into the environment for this reporting period. The following proceedings include those matters that arose during the second quarter of 2010 and any material developments with respect to matters previously reported in ConocoPhillips' 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K or first-quarter 2010 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Material developments to the previously reported matters have been included in the descriptions below. While it is not possible to accurately predict the final outcome of these pending proceedings, if any one or more of such proceedings was decided adversely to ConocoPhillips, we expect there would be no material effect on our consolidated financial position. Nevertheless, such proceedings are reported pursuant to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) regulations.

Our U.S. refineries are implementing two separate consent decrees regarding alleged violations of the Federal Clean Air Act with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), six states and one local air pollution agency. Some of the requirements and limitations contained in the decrees provide for stipulated penalties for violations. Stipulated penalties under the decrees are not automatic, but must be requested by one of the agency signatories. As part of periodic reports under the decrees or other reports required by permits or regulations, we occasionally report matters that could be subject to a request for stipulated penalties. If a specific request for stipulated penalties meeting the reporting threshold set forth in SEC rules is made pursuant to these decrees based on a given reported exceedance, we will separately report that matter and the amount of the proposed penalty.

**New Matters**

On May 19, 2010, the Lake Charles Louisiana Refinery received a Consolidated Compliance Order and Notice of Potential Penalty from the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) alleging various violations of applicable air emission regulations, as well as certain provisions of the consent decree in Civil Action No. H-01-4430. ConocoPhillips will work with the LDEQ to resolve this matter.

**Matters Previously Reported**

On February 26, 2009, we received a \$159,000 demand from the South Coast Air Quality Management District to settle seven Notices of Violation for alleged violations of air pollution control regulations at the Los Angeles Refinery. We have resolved this matter with a settlement payment of \$125,000.

Polar Tankers, Inc. and ConocoPhillips paid \$588,000, with no admission of liability, for a natural resource damage assessment associated with a 2004 spill in Puget Sound. The trustees intend to use the funds on restoration projects in the area.

In 2009, ConocoPhillips notified the EPA and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) that it had self-identified certain compliance issues related to Benzene Waste Operations National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants requirements at its Trainer, Pennsylvania and Borger, Texas facilities. On January 6, 2010, the DOJ provided its initial penalty demand for this matter as part of our confidential settlement negotiations. ConocoPhillips has reached an agreement with the EPA and DOJ regarding an appropriate penalty amount, which will be reflected in the third amendment to the consent decree in Civil Action No. H-05-258 (the agreed-upon penalty amount remains confidential until that time).

**Table of Contents**

On December 17, 2009, the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board's enforcement staff (SFRWQCB) issued an Administrative Civil Liability Complaint alleging 18 exceedances of the Rodeo facility's effluent permit that occurred during 2008 and 2009. The Complaint seeks a penalty of \$490,000. Since that time, SFRWQCB and ConocoPhillips have agreed to include 14 additional exceedances that occurred in 2009 as part of the overall settlement. The SFRWQCB and ConocoPhillips have reached an agreement to settle the 32 exceedances for a total payment of \$600,000 (consisting of a \$310,000 penalty payment; funding of a Supplemental Environmental Project in the amount of \$190,000; and credit towards Enhanced Compliance Actions to improve the refinery's wastewater treatment plant operations in the amount of \$100,000). The settlement agreement is subject to a 30-day public comment period prior to final approval by the SFRWQCB.

**Table of Contents****Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS****Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased*	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs**	Millions of Dollars Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1-30, 2010	4,548,943	\$ 56.93	4,455,000	\$ 4,746
May 1-31, 2010	2,445,006	55.99	2,439,686	4,610
June 1-30, 2010	-	-	-	4,610
Total	6,993,949	\$ 56.60	6,894,686	

*\*Represents the repurchase of common shares from company employees in connection with the company's broad-based employee incentive plans.*

*\*\*On March 24, 2010, we announced plans to purchase up to \$5 billion of our common stock over the subsequent two years. Acquisitions for the share repurchase program are made at management's discretion, at prevailing prices, subject to market*



*conditions and  
other factors.*

*Repurchases may  
be increased,  
decreased or  
discontinued at  
any time without  
prior notice.*

*Shares of stock  
repurchased under  
the plan are held  
as treasury shares.*

**Table of Contents**

**Item 6. EXHIBITS**

10	Amended Agreement, dated July 28, 2010, to the Shareholder Agreement, dated September 29, 2004, by and between ConocoPhillips and LUKOIL (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Current Report of ConocoPhillips on Form 8-K filed on July 28, 2010; File No. 001-32395).
12	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32	Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**CONOCOPHILLIPS**

/s/ Glenda M. Schwarz

*Glenda M. Schwarz*

Vice President and Controller  
(Chief Accounting and Duly Authorized Officer)

August 4, 2010

57