ROYCE VALUE TRUST INC Form N-CSR March 02, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT

OF

REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-04875

Name of Registrant: Royce Value Trust, Inc.

Address of Registrant: 745 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10151

Name and address of agent for service: John E. Denneen, Esquire

745 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10151

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 508-4500

Date of fiscal year end: December 31

Date of reporting period: January 1, 2011 December 31, 2011

Item 1. Reports to Shareholders.

Royce Value Trust	ANNUAL
Royce Micro-Cap Trust	REVIEW AND REPORT
Royce Focus Trust	TO STOCKHOLDERS

A Few Words on Closed-End Funds

Royce & Associates, LLC manages three closed-end funds: Royce Value Trust, the first small-cap value closed-end fund offering; Royce Micro-Cap Trust, the only micro-cap closed-end fund; and Royce Focus Trust, a closed-end fund that invests in a limited number of primarily small-cap companies.

A closed-end fund is an investment company whose shares are listed and traded on a stock exchange. Like all investment companies, including open-end mutual funds, the assets of a closed-end fund are professionally managed in accordance with the investment objectives and policies approved by the Fund s Board of Directors. A closed-end fund raises cash for investment by issuing a fixed number of shares through initial and other public offerings that may include shelf offerings and periodic rights offerings. Proceeds from the offerings are invested in an actively managed portfolio of securities. Investors wanting to buy or sell shares of a publicly traded closed-end fund after the offerings must do so on a stock exchange, as with any publicly traded stock. This is in contrast to open-end mutual funds, in which the fund sells and redeems its shares on a continuous basis.

A Closed-End Fund Offers Several Distinct Advantages Not Available from an Open-End Fund Structure

- Since a closed-end fund does not issue redeemable securities or offer its securities on a continuous basis, it does not need to liquidate securities or hold uninvested assets to meet investor demands for cash redemptions, as an open-end fund must.
- In a closed-end fund, not having to meet investor redemption requests or invest at inopportune times is ideal for value managers who attempt to buy stocks when prices are depressed and sell securities when prices are high.
- A closed-end fund may invest more freely in less liquid portfolio securities because it is not subject to potential stockholder redemption demands. This is particularly beneficial for Royce-managed closed-end funds, which invest in small- and micro-cap securities.
- The fixed capital structure allows permanent leverage to be employed as a means to enhance capital appreciation potential.
- Unlike Royce s open-end funds, our closed-end funds are able to distribute capital gains on a quarterly basis. The Funds resumed the quarterly distribution policies for their common stock, at a 5% annual rate, in March 2011. Please see page 18-20 for more details.

We believe that the closed-end fund structure is very suitable for the long-term investor who understands the benefits of a stable pool of capital.

Why Dividend Reinvestment Is Important

A very important component of an investor s total return comes from the reinvestment of distributions. By reinvesting distributions, our investors can maintain an undiluted investment in a Fund. To get a fair idea of the impact of reinvested distributions, please see the charts on pages 13, 15 and 17. For additional information on the Funds Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Options and the benefits for stockholders, please see page 20 or visit our website at www.roycefunds.com.

This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Table of Contents

For more than 35 years, we have used a value approach to invest in small-cap securities. We focus primarily on the quality of a company s balance sheet, its ability to generate free cash flow and other measures of profitability or sound financial condition. We then use these factors to assess the company s current worth, basing the assessment on either what we believe a knowledgeable buyer might pay to acquire the entire company, or what we think the value of the company should be in the stock market.

This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 1

Performance Table

NAV Average Annual Total Returns

Through December 31, 2011

	Royce Value Trust	Royce Micro-Cap Trust	Royce Focus Trust	Russell 2000 Index
One-Year	-10.06 %	-7.69 %	-10.51 %	-4.18 %
Three-Year	19.21	20.22	18.83	15.63
Five-Year	-0.65	-0.94	1.53	0.15
10-Year	6.10	7.09	9.51	5.62
15-Year	8.86	9.18	9.74	6.25
20-Year	10.24	n.a.	n.a.	8.52
25-Year	10.17	n.a.	n.a.	8.68
Since Inception	10.13	10.05	9.95	n.a.
Inception Date	11/26/86	12/14/93	11/1/96 _	n.a.

Date Royce & Associates, LLC assumed investment management responsibility for the Fund.

Important Performance and Risk Information

All performance information in this *Review and Report* reflects past performance, is presented on a total return basis and reflects the reinvestment of distributions. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Investment return and principal value of an investment will fluctuate, so that shares may be worth more or less than their original cost when sold. Current performance may be higher or lower than performance quoted. Current month-end performance may be obtained at www.roycefunds.com. Investments in securities of micro-cap, small-cap and/or mid-cap companies may involve considerably more risk than investments in securities of larger-cap companies.

2 | This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Letter to Our Stockholders

Capitulation

A few years ago, we wrote that markets resemble Tolstoy s families: All the happy ones are alike, and all the unhappy ones are unhappy in their own way. The past calendar year s stock market results, which place it mostly, but not entirely, in the unhappy category, offer a striking example. One only has to compare it to recent years of poor performance to see its singularity. In 2008, stock markets across the globe cratered as part of a global financial crisis that saw once-mighty titans of Wall Street collapse. The crisis also had the effect of worsening both a correction in housing prices and a worldwide recession. (Of course, much of the globe s current difficulties in capital markets and economies can be traced back to this event.) The crisis saw a widespread exit from stocks, with major indexes in the U.S. and elsewhere posting sizable double-digit losses for the year. Earlier in the decade, 2002 saw mostly negative results as the exploding Internet Bubble and the lingering effects of the events of 9/11 led many investors to sell equities. Results were mostly negative, but within a much larger range, depending on one s exposure to Technology and related areas.

We suspect that, unlike those of 2002 and 2008, the stock market of 2011 will be remembered not for cataclysmic events or the severity of its losses, which weren t nearly as bad as one might think, but for its daily drama of extreme volatility. The days between late April and the end of the year saw increasing numbers of investors opting to get out of equities, and stay out, which resulted in a large-scale capitulation that rivaled anything we have

We suspect that, unlike those of 2002 and 2008, the stock market of 2011 will be remembered not for cataclysmic events or the severity of its losses, which weren t nearly as bad as one might think, but for its daily drama of extreme volatility.

This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 3

Charles M. Royce, President

When used in a financial context, the technical definition of correlation is a statistical measure of how two securities move in relation to one another. Recently, this typically obscure data point has moved into the lexicon of mainstream investors as it aptly describes the sort of stock market returns that we have experienced over the last few years. That is, returns have been highly correlated as the majority of stocks, irrespective of sector, industry, market cap, nation of origin or ostensible investment profile (i.e., value or growth), have either done well, as in 2009 and 2010, or poorly, as they did in 2008 and 2011.

Why is correlation important? Correlated markets present definite challenges for disciplined contrarian investors like ourselves. There is simply not much incremental reward for the contrary stance when share prices are rising or falling more or less indiscriminately throughout the world s stock markets. Our practice is to go against the grain by investing in companies or industries that most investors are neglecting while we ignore trendy or fast-growing segments of the market that others are championing. Our fundamental analysis seeks to identify discounts

Continued on page 6...

Letter to Our Stockholders

seen during other recent bearish periods, when results were far, far worse. This last point made the past year as fascinating as it was frustrating. Investors fled or avoided stocks for many reasons—because they lacked confidence in political leaders both here at home and abroad to deal effectively with the challenges of stimulating the economy and responsibly coping with enormous debt; because they couldn t bear the barrage of headlines with their seemingly endless parade of bad news; and because they simply ran out of patience with the daily jumps and dives of a market struggling to make sense of it all.

Absent from this list is the state of the companies themselves. We would humbly suggest that the most relevant reasons why one would choose to invest in a business—its merits as a company, its prospects and the relationship these have to its stock price—were largely, if not wholly neglected through the market s most tumultuous months. Again, this was unlike 2002, which for many Internet companies was an Emperor s New Clothes moment, and 2008, when the threat was systemic and fundamentals were, at least at the most tense moments, irrelevant. The disconnect between stock prices and fundamentals for many companies, including many small-caps, remains wide as we enter 2012. While this created no end of short-term disappointments for us—2011 being one of the most challenging years for The Royce Funds in our history—it has also provided ample seeding for what we hope will be a bountiful harvest in the years to come. Following a recap of 2011 performance, we will offer a more detailed explanation of our optimism below.

Correlation

Perhaps the most notable thing about 2011 was how little returns shifted in the U.S. markets. High volatility was the order of the day through much of the year across most of the globe and was very much in evidence between August and the end of December. However, by the time the year ended, the major U.S. indexes posted returns that felt less like a bang than a whimper. After a solidly positive first half, the small-cap Russell 2000 Index came through the wild second half with a loss of 9.8%. For the same period, its large-cap counterparts, the Russell 1000 and S&P 500 Indexes, lost less, down 4.6% and 3.7%, respectively, while the more tech-laden Nasdaq Composite declined 6.1%.

These single-digit declines belie the tortuous road of the year s last six months. During the third quarter, each of the aforementioned indexes suffered significant double-digit losses, with the Russell 2000 down 21.9%, the Russell 1000 falling 14.7%, the S&P 500 off 13.9% and the Nasdaq losing 12.9%. Fears of European defaults and the possibility of a double-dip recession in the U.S. were factors, though U.S. and European investors may well have been more motivated to sell based on their utter lack of confidence in the abilities of the developed world s political leaders to meet the challenges of economic stagnation and staggering government debt. When some progress seemed to be made on these fronts, share prices rebounded through much of the fourth quarter. The bull

4 | This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

run was dominated by an October rally just as the third-quarter downturn was primarily driven by a disastrous August and September. Each major index finished the fourth quarter with double-digit gains. Small-caps led the way in this dynamic period, gaining 15.5%, compared to a gain of 11.8% for both the Russell 1000 and S&P 500 Indexes, and 7.9% for the Nasdaq. Yet after all the *Sturm und Drang* in 2011—in its second half in particular—here is where the four domestic indexes wound up for the calendar year: The Russell 2000 fell 4.2%, the Russell 1000 gained 1.5%, the S&P 500 climbed 2.1%, and the Nasdaq lost 1.8%. After a year of prices leaping and crashing, the U.S. stock markets did not move much at all. Were the bullish October and the less wildly volatile months of November and December positive signs that investors were beginning to pay less attention to headlines and more to company fundamentals? We would like to think so, but this remains an open question.

The ongoing possibility of government defaults in Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece, and Spain, as well as the resulting economic slowdown that gripped much of Europe, continued to weigh heavily on the minds of investors in the second half. This anxiety was reflected in the larger calendar-year losses for global, international and European indexes. The Russell Global ex-U.S. Small Cap Index finished the year down 18.7%, behind its large-cap sibling, the Russell Global ex-U.S. Large Cap Index, which declined 13.8%. Each enjoyed a modestly positive first half, up 0.8% and 4.1%, respectively, before succumbing to the same woes that afflicted the U.S. markets in the third quarter. The Russell Global ex-U.S. Small Cap was down 19.4% and its large-cap equivalent lost 20.1% in the third quarter. So far, so close to their U.S. compeers. Yet the non-U.S. markets lagged behind considerably in the fourth quarter, with the Russell Global ex-U.S. Small Cap gaining a paltry 0.1% and its large-cap sibling climbing 3.6%. It remains to be seen whether this was a temporary phenomenon, a sign that the global economy outside the U.S. remains weak, or was evidence that the U.S. economy, for all its struggles, remains fundamentally strong on both an absolute and relative basis.

U.S. mid-cap stocks acquitted themselves well enough, though they did not lead the market in the second half as they did in the first, when the Russell Midcap Index gained 8.1%. The mid-cap index slid 18.9% in the third quarter before rebounding 12.3% in the fourth. For the year as a whole, the Russell Midcap was down 1.6%. As measured by the Russell Microcap Index, domestic micro-cap stocks continued to struggle, which was unsurprising in a market that saw investors growing less and less comfortable with risk through the end of September. After finishing the first half with a 3.1% gain, the microcap index declined 22.7% in the third quarter. So while its fourth-quarter gain of 13.8% was strong, it was not enough to shore up earlier losses. The Russell Microcap Index closed out 2011 with a 9.3% loss.

The disconnect between stock prices and fundamentals for many companies, including many small-caps, remains wide as we enter 2012.

This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 5

when intrinsic value becomes meaningfully detached from stock prices. In general, we look for well-managed businesses with pristine financial profiles and histories of high returns on invested capital that are attractively priced on an absolute basis. To find these attributes in common often means that a company has disappointed a set of shareholders for any number of reasons such as poor management execution, challenging business conditions, increased competition or earnings misses.

While still in an environment that offers plenty of opportunity to locate these kinds of companies, often in industries that are falling out of favor and/or are nearing the bottom of a business cycle, our efforts are not being rewarded as distinctly. Markets where correlation is more historically normal often see us enjoying the fruits of earlier contrarian investments that fit the profile we described. This combination of reaping the benefits of previous efforts while repositioning for the future has historically led to long-term performance differentiation versus both small-cap indexes and peers. Yet a correlated market can constrict both kinds of opportunity.

There are two other, related challenges: Highly correlated up markets tend to reward passively managed index funds and ETFs (Exchange Traded Funds) because of their inherently lower fee structure and fully invested status. Correlated downturns can also foster greater demand for these same vehicles as investors become frustrated

Continued on page 8...

Letter to Our Stockholders

Consternation

Loss unfortunately looms rather large over this year s *Review and Report*. We were disappointed that our closed-end portfolios did not do better, especially in a year that saw mostly poor results for smaller companies. For decades, we have made risk management a central part of what we do here at Royce, and in 2011 we did not meet that challenge successfully. So while we are encouraged by the large number of opportunities that we sought to take advantage of throughout the year, the sting of a poor showing will remain sharp until performance improves.

Net losses were most significant in three sectors: Materials companies, particularly those in the metals & mining industry, were hurt by volatile gold and silver prices. The Financials sector s net losses came mostly from holdings in the capital markets group, including several asset management stocks, while many Information Technology companies failed to rebound in accordance with our expectations. Finally, results for Royce Value Trust and Royce Focus Trust, portfolios with greater exposure to non-U.S. stocks, suffered as both European and Asian markets posted more substantial losses than those in the U.S.

2011 NAV TOTAL RETURNS FOR THE ROYCE FUNDS VS. RUSSELL 2000 as of 12/31/11

Even as we grapple with the year s disappointments, we were struck by the inconsistent, decidedly nonlinear direction of average annual total returns for the Funds and for the major equity indexes over longer-term periods. The one- and five-year returns were low to negative, while the three-year numbers were terrific. The difference between the three- and five-year results is attributable to the former period spanning all of the recovery that ran from March 9, 2009 through April 29, 2011, along with just the tail end of the 2008 crisis and the volatile market of the last seven months of 2011. The five-year period encompassed all of these events as well as the low returns of 2007 and the deep declines of 2008. Most interesting to us is the 10-year period ended December 31, 2011, which includes the full peak-to-peak cycle that ran from July 13, 2007 through April 29, 2011,

6 | This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

as well as the bulk of the previous cycle, which began on March 9, 2000 and lasted until July 13, 2007. This cycle includes, then, a large part of one major market dislocation—the bursting Internet bubble—and the bear market that was intensified by the global financial crisis in the fall of 2008. Even with these difficulties, small-cap results were solid for the Russell 2000 and strong to solid for our closed-end portfolios. Each of our closed-end funds outpaced the small-cap index on an NAV (net asset value) basis for the 10-year period ended December 31, 2011.

Contention

As we take the measure of the micro-cap, small-cap and mid-cap universe, we like much of what we see. We remain disciplined, bottom-up stock-pickers with a time horizon measured in years, so our sights are trained squarely on the long run. From that vantage point, we see a strong case to be made for investing in equities. What has gotten lost in all of the fiscal worry and political melodrama of the last couple of years is the fact that many companies across the globe, and certainly here in the U.S., successfully navigated the recession and have been effectively managing their way through the current slow-growth economy. The overall condition of corporate balance sheets and cash flows—two key metrics in our security analysis process—is excellent. So we expect that as the economy continues to grow and political leaders finally begin to implement workable policies, more investors will begin to notice that fundamentals are strong throughout the equity world, which should help to usher in a solid decade for stocks, one that we suspect will feature frequent leadership rotation between asset classes and between higher quality and more speculative stocks.

In our estimation, small-caps look very well-positioned to bounce back strong as part of a general upward move for equities. More specifically, some recent research has shown that high-quality small-caps, as measured by returns on invested capital (ROIC), are not only cheap on an absolute basis, but relative to their large-cap counterparts as well. There has been a lot of recent analysis devoted to showing that small-caps are statistically more expensive than large-caps, yet many of the companies that have been drawing our interest are not. It comes as no surprise, then, that we think this is a very opportune time for active small-cap management. Historically, when returns are both highly correlated and underwhelming, inefficiencies develop that we seek to use to our long-term advantage. We are confident that active small-cap managers can generate satisfactory absolute results when returns begin to differentiate again. As we detailed in a research paper on the importance of active small-cap management, consistency, discipline and a long-term investment horizon are critical to realizing the goal of strong absolute long-term results that, as a byproduct of that effort, have also beaten small-cap benchmarks. The last several years have certainly underscored the poor track record of predictions for markets and economies, but as equity returns become less closely correlated, we see the potential for active and disciplined small-cap management to succeed.

What has gotten lost in all of the fiscal worry and political melodrama of the last couple of years is the fact that many companies across the globe, and certainly here in the U.S., successfully navigated the recession and have been effectively managing their way through the current slow-growth economy. The

overall condition of corporate balance sheets and cash flows—two key metrics in our security analysis process—is excellent.

This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 7

with mounting losses. In addition, investors, losing sight of the long view, also tend to lose their appetite for actively managed products when short-term performance differentiation is diminished.

Unsurprisingly, then, a correlated market usually indicates a low tolerance for risk. While this can help over the long run—the rampant selling during the last seven months of 2011 created as large a set of purchase opportunities as we ve seen in nearly three years—it also equates to ample levels of emotional and undifferentiated selling, which hinders more established positions from rising to price levels that our analysis indicates they are capable of attaining.

Throughout much of 2011, we found ourselves building existing positions and revisiting old favorites at least as frequently as investing in new companies. In all cases, our purchases comprised high-conviction ideas as we sought to ultimately tap the inevitable differentiation that occurs between corporate performance and correlated investor sentiment. While not necessarily rewarding in the short run, taking advantage of such mispricings remains the best way we know of building strong, long-term performance.

Letter to Our Stockholders

Conclusion

This is the environment for which we have been preparing. We invested in 2011 in much the same way that we have since 1972—with a disciplined, long-term approach that searches far and wide for what we deem are attractive prices for great companies. Historically, we have sought to use volatility as part of our arsenal of

tactics. Highly volatile markets tend to create even greater opportunities because they drive share prices lower, and they do so with little or no regard for a business s fundamentals. While this helped to create a host of short-term disappointments last year, at the same time it presented us with a number of what we believe are very promising long-term opportunities. It is also important to point out that, though daily volatility was very high, monthly returns in 2011 were not as wildly out of sync with other years as the day-to-day drama might lead one to believe. We think that we are in a new era of high daily volatility that investors will better adjust to in 2012 and beyond. More important is our belief that fundamentals are much better than the headlines; that quality will continue to be an important driver of long-term outperformance; and that non-U.S. small-caps will enjoy improved performance in the years to come.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Whitney Jack E.
Royce George
President Vice
President

W.
Jack E.
Fockler, Jr.
Vice
President
President

January 31, 2012

8 | This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Why Volatility Is the Friend of Discipline

Throughout much of Royce s history, we have talked about our attempts to use stock market volatility to our advantage without offering a great deal of detail about precisely how that works. We have always made an implicit assumption that the bulk of our readers nod in agreement with statements, which tend to proliferate in our materials during bear markets, that describe market tumult as the value investor s friend. With close to four years of particularly tumultuous markets in the books (and who- knows-what still to come), we reexamined this and concluded that volatility was a subject worth discussing at greater length, both for its own sake and

First, we have an unshakeable conviction that entry price is a key constituent of attractive long-term results. We also believe strongly in the idea that success in equity investing is best and most consistently achieved with a disciplined approach that values deep knowledge about companies, much of which focuses on establishing the worth of a business. Our analysis of the intrinsic value of a business is among the primary factors used in determining what we think we should pay for a stock in order to potentially maximize our return while also seeking to minimize risk.

This is where volatility becomes key. In highly volatile markets, increasingly

for the sake of offering more details about how and why volatile stock prices play such a crucial role in our quest for strong absolute returns achieved over the long term.

The term volatile originally derives from Chemistry, defined in that discipline by the American Heritage Dictionary as evaporating readily at normal temperatures and pressures or capable of being readily vaporized, which unfortunately may describe some investors experiences with equity returns over the last few years. In a more general sense, it means (among other related things), tending to vary often, as in price: the ups and downs of volatile stocks. In one sense, then, the globe s equity markets are volatile every day as each day s trading brings changing prices. However, there is a range of price movement that is widely viewed as normal or typical, though that range is admittedly flexible depending on current

emotional and/or short-sighted sellers tend to keep on selling, allowing us to buy opportunistically. As bottom- up, quality-centric investors, we like to see stock prices with a pronounced downside disconnect between a company s fundamentals (such as a strong balance sheet, long-term earnings history and positive cash flow) and its share price. The greater the difference, the more promising the opportunity.

The bulk of our purchases throughout 2011 (and large swaths of the last four years) have followed this pattern. Of course, few of the purchases made in 2011 have borne fruit to date. Since we typically hold stocks for two to five years, this is not troubling. If anything, the turbulence of the last few years has only solidified the importance of our long-term outlook. As we wade through a still unsettled global economy, governments throughout the developed

and past market conditions. (The most popular measure of stock market volatility is the Chicago Board Options Exchange Market Volatility Index, commonly referred to as the VIX, which measures the implied volatility of S&P 500 index options.)

Over the last few years, certainly since the fall of 2008, market volatility has seen frequent and often dramatic spikes, with the just-ended 2011 adding several more heart-stopping sessions, especially between August and November. It is not our task here to determine whether or not the market s extreme behavior during this period was good, bad or otherwise. Instead, we want to offer our take on the market s recent activity as an illustration of how we seek to use dramatic swings in share prices to help us build wealth for our shareholders over the long run.

world overburdened with debt and a thus-far fragile (and mostly jobless) economic recovery underway here in the U.S., we find an investment horizon measured in years is even more of a necessity than it usually is.

So while last year was highly challenging and at times very frustrating, we have been pleased with the values that we have found in micro-cap, small-cap and mid-cap companies across the globe. Along with the slowly improving U.S. economy, these opportunities, which high volatility has been instrumental in creating, give us a quiet optimism about the years ahead, a sense of confidence made possible by the market s wild swings.

This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 9

Small-Cap Market Cycle Performance

We believe strongly in the idea that a long-term investment perspective is crucial for determining the success of a particular investment approach. While flourishing in an up market is wonderful, surviving a bear market by losing less (or not at all) is at least as good. However, the true test of a portfolio s mettle is performance over full market cycle periods, which include both an up and down market period.

Since the Russell 2000 s inception on 12/31/78, there have been 10 full market cycles, with the most recent peaking on 4/29/11. Market cycles are defined as those that have retreated at least 15% from a previous market peak and have rebounded to establish a new peak above the previous one. Each market cycle contains a peak-to-trough and a trough-to-peak period. Interestingly, over the small-cap index s 30+ year history, each style index—the Russell 2000 Value Index and the Russell 2000 Growth Index—outperformed in five of the 10 full market cycles. In fact, leadership has alternated between growth and value over the last six cycles. If history were to adhere to this pattern, value would lead in the current cycle that began on 4/29/11.

The most recently completed cycle lasted approximately three and a half years and saw a modest gain for the small-cap index. Small-cap value was actually underwater for the full cycle, while small-cap growth was marginally positive. Only Royce Focus Trust outperformed the small-cap index for the just completed cycle.

Peak-to-Trough (7/13/07-3/9/09)

Performance during the peak-to-trough phase of the most recent cycle was especially difficult, encompassing the financial crisis of late 2008 and early 09. Surprisingly, growth narrowly outperformed value during this phase. Once again, Royce Focus Trust outpaced the Russell 2000 Index during this down phase.

Trough-to-Peak (3/9/09-4/29/11)

The dynamic market recovery lasted 25 months and saw the small-cap index appreciate 159.3% (50%+ per annum). Both value and growth saw substantial gains during this period, although growth once again provided the advantage. Each of our closed-end funds outperformed the small-cap index.

SMALL-CAP MARKET CYCLE: RUSSELL 2000 INDEXES TOTAL RETURNS

2000 INDEX: MARKET CYCLE RESULTS				
	Peak-to- Peak 7/13/07- 4/29/11	9	Trough-to- Peak 3/9/09- 4/29/11	Peak-to Current 4/29/11- 12/31/11
Russell 2000	6.6%	-58.9%	159.3%	-13.5%
Russell 2000 Value	-1.4	-61.1	153.7	-12.8
Russell 2000 Growth	14.3	-56.8	164.4	-14.2

DOVCE FUNDS NAVITOTAL DETUDNO VC DUCCELL

Royce Value Trust	6.2	-65.6	208.3	-19.3
Royce Micro-Cap Trust	-0.5	-66.3	195.5	-14.1
Royce Focus Trust	10.2	-58.3	164.0	-19.2

All performance information above reflects past performance, is presented on a total return basis, reflects the reinvestment of distributions and does not reflect the deduction of taxes that a shareholder would pay on fund distributions or the redemption of fund shares. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Investment return and principal value of an investment will fluctuate, so that shares may be worth more or less than their original cost when redeemed. Current performance may be higher or lower than performance quoted. See page 2 for important performance information for all of the above funds.

10 | This page is not part of the 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Table of Contents

Annual Report to Stockholders	
Managers' Discussions of Fund Performance	
Royce Value Trust	12
Royce Micro-Cap Trust	14
Royce Focus Trust	16
History Since Inception	18
Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Options	20
Schedules of Investments and Other Financial Statements	
Royce Value Trust	21
Royce Micro-Cap Trust	37
Royce Focus Trust	51
Directors and Officers	61
Notes to Performance and Other Important Information	62

The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 11

AVERAGE ANNUAL NAV TOTAL RETURNS

Through 12/31/11

July-December 20111	-14.66%
One-Year	-10.06
Three-Year	19.21
Five-Year	-0.65
10-Year	6.10
15-Year	8.86
20-Year	10.24
25-Year	10.17
Since Inception (11/26/86)	10.13

¹Not annualized

CALENDAR YEAR NAV TOTAL RETURNS

Year	RVT	Year	RVT
2011	-10.1%	2003	40.8%
2010	30.3	2002	-15.6
2009	44.6	2001	15.2
2008	-45.6	2000	16.6
2007	5.0	1999	11.7
2006	19.5	1998	3.3
2005	8.4	1997	27.5
2004	21.4	1996	15.5

TOP 10 POSITIONS

% of Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders

<u> </u>	
Coherent	1.3%
HEICO Corporation	1.2
Oil States International	1.2
Alleghany Corporation	1.1
Advisory Board (The)	1.0
Woodward	1.0
Carter s	1.0
Mohawk Industries	0.9
Sapient Corporation	0.9
Simpson Manufacturing	0.9
PORTFOLIO SECTOR BREAKE % of Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders	OOWN
Industrials	27.9%
Information Technology	22.3
Financials	20.6
Consumer Discretionary	12.2
Materials	9.9
Health Care	7.3
Energy	6.0
Consumer Staples	2.3
Telecommunication Services	0.6
Diversified Investment Companies	0.5
Miscellaneous	4.9
Bond and Preferred Stock	0.1
Cash and Cash Equivalents	8.2

Royce Value Trust

Manager s Discussion

Results for Royce Value Trust (RVT) were disappointing on both an absolute and relative basis in 2011. For the calendar year, RVT fell 10.1% on an NAV (net asset value) basis and 10.5% on a market price basis, in both instances trailing its unleveraged benchmarks, the Russell 2000 Index, which fell 4.2%, and the S&P SmallCap 600 Index, which gained 1.0%, for the same period. In a year that was marked both by high volatility and close correlation, we were not pleased with performance, especially during periods which saw generally poor results, periods in which the Fund has often held its value more effectively.

During the first half of the year, RVT was behind its benchmarks, climbing 5.4% on an NAV basis and 5.3% based on market price compared to gains of 6.2% for the Russell 2000 and 7.5% for the S&P SmallCap 600. Small-cap stocks reached a peak on April 29, 2011, though the worst of the year s losses came later, during August and September. A combination of anxieties drove investors away from equities, including potential European defaults, the possibility of an economic slowdown in China, and the debt ceiling fiasco here in the U.S., which had the ripple effects of undermining confidence in our political leadership and stoking fears of a double-dip recession. These developments led to an extremely bearish third quarter, during which RVT suffered along with the rest of the market—there were mostly double-digit losses for indexes across the globe. The Fund fell 25.2%

on an NAV basis and 24.4% on a market price basis compared to respective declines of 21.9% and 19.8% for the Russell 2000 and S&P SmallCap 600.

When economic news improved in the U.S., it sparked a dynamic domestic rally following the small-cap low on October 3. The rest of October, while still volatile, was pleasantly bullish before the pace of the bull run slowed to a trot in November and December. In the year s final quarter, RVT again trailed its benchmarks, gaining 14.2% based on NAV and 12.6% on a market price basis, while the Russell 2000 climbed 15.5% and the S&P SmallCap 600 rose 17.2%.

We were more satisfied with the Fund s longer-term results. On an NAV basis, RVT outpaced both its benchmarks for the three-, 15-, 25-year and since inception (11/26/86) periods ended December 31, 2011. The Fund also provided an edge over the Russell 2000 for the 10-year and 20-year periods. RVT s NAV average annual total return for the since inception period ended December 31, 2011 was 10.1%.

GOOD IDEAS THAT WORKEDTop Contributors to 2011 Performance

Advisory Board (The)	0.33%
HEICO Corporation	0.31
Sturm, Ruger & Co.	0.30
Carter s	0.27
RLI	0.22

¹Includes dividends

Only the Industrials sector finished the year in the black, and with a modest net contribution at that. The Fund s top two contributors for the calendar year came from that sector and were also top-ten positions at the end of 2011. The Advisory Board offers various programs, services, and software that focus on best practices research services which include identifying both effective management practices and widely followed but ineffective practices, along with analyzing emerging trends in

Important Performance and Risk Information

All performance information reflects past performance, is presented on a total return basis and reflects the reinvestment of distributions. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than performance quoted. Returns as of the most recent month-end may be obtained at www.roycefunds.com. The market price of the Fund s shares will fluctuate, so that shares may be worth more or less than their original cost when sold. The Fund invests primarily in securities of small- and micro-cap companies, which may involve considerably more risk than investing in a more diversified portfolio of larger-cap companies. Regarding the two Good Ideas tables shown above, the sum of all contributors to, and all detractors from, performance for all securities in the portfolio would approximate the Fund s performance for 2011.

12 | The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Performance and Portfolio Review

healthcare and education. Growing revenues, earnings and cash flow helped the stock to enjoy a particularly robust second half, as some investors were looking past macro-driven headlines at what we see as a well-managed, efficiently run business. It was the Fund s fifth-largest position at the end of the year. HEICO Corporation, the Fund s second-largest holding at the end of December, manufactures electronic products primarily for the aerospace & defense industries. Growing global air traffic has helped to create robust demand for aftermarket airplane parts. Airlines have thus been increasing capacity, and their own improved financial condition made them more willing to spend on parts re-stocking as the economy slowly recovers. We still like its core business, steady earnings and strong balance sheet.

The Financials, Materials and Information Technology sectors were the year s net loss leaders. The first of these three sectors saw the bulk of its declines come from holdings in the capital markets industry, which almost doubled that of RVT s next-worst-performing industry, the metals & mining group. The Financials sector was home to three of the Fund s loss leaders, with two coming from the capital markets group. MF Global made headlines and caused embarrassment both for its well-known CEO and investors like ourselves, who had believed in the integrity, transparency, and capabilities of the company. For many years, we have had a high opinion of the business of asset manager AllianceBernstein Holding. Its business has endured difficulties in the current low-interest rate environment, while also experiencing steady outflows and earnings disappointments. We added shares between May and August.

GOOD IDEAS AT THE TIME Top Detractors from 2011 Performance

MF Global Holdings	-0.63%
E-L Financial	-0.34
AllianceBernstein Holding L.P.	-0.30
MoneyGram International	-0.29
Rofin-Sinar Technologies	-0.29

¹Net of dividends

Poor investment results hurt the performance of E-L Financial, a Foronto-based investment and insurance holding company. Believing in the business

long-term prospects, we added to our position in August and September. We also built our stake in MoneyGram International, which provides money transfer and bill payment services. It was a comparably small holding that had an outsized negative effect on 2011 performance. Its stock price slid precipitously in July and again in November. The first slide was mostly the result of a hefty quarterly loss brought on by the firm s attempts at restructuring and recapitalization. The second was an unhappy reaction to a reverse stock split—often seen as a company-driven attempt to invigorate a sluggish stock price-and a secondary offering, which investors usually regard as dilutive.

MARKET PRICE PERFORMANCE HISTORY SINCE INCEPTION (11/26/86) through 12/31/11

² Reflects the actual market price of one share as it traded on the NYSE.

¹ Reflects the cumulative total return of an investment made by a stockholder who purchased one share at inception (\$10.00 IPO), reinvested all annual distributions and fully participated in primary subscriptions of the Fund s rights offerings.

FUND INFORMATION AND PORTFOLIO DIAGNOSTICS

Average Market Capitalization 1	\$1,310 million
Weighted Average P/E Ratio ²	14.2x
Weighted Average P/B Ratio	1.6x
U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders)	86.9%
Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders)	27.7%
Fund Total Net Assets	\$1,187 million
Net Leverage ³	15%
Turnover Rate	26%
Number of Holdings	550
Symbol Market Price NAV	RVT XRVTX

¹ Geometrically calculated

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Publicly Traded Securities Outstanding at 12/31/11 at NAV or Liquidation Value

² The Fund s P/E ratio calculation excludes companies with zero or negative earnings (7% of portfolio holdings as of 12/31/11).

³ Net leverage is the percentage, in excess of 100%, of the total value of equity type investments, divided by net assets applicable to Common Stockholders.

68.0 million shares of Common

Stock \$967 million

5.90% Cumulative

Preferred Stock \$220 million

DOWN MARKET PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

All Down Periods of 7.5% or Greater Over the Last 7 Years, in Percentages(%)

The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 13

AVERAGE ANNUAL NAV TOTAL RETURNS

Through 12/31/11

July-December 20111	-10.48%
One-Year	-7.69
Three-Year	20.22
Five-Year	-0.94
10-Year	7.09
15-Year	9.18
Since Inception (12/14/93)	10.05

¹Not annualized

CALENDAR YEAR NAV TOTAL RETURNS

Year	RMT	Year	RMT
2011	-7.7%	2003	55.5%
2010	28.5	2002	-13.8
2009	46.5	2001	23.4
2008	-45.5	2000	10.9
2007	0.6	1999	12.7
2006	22.5	1998	-4.1
2005	6.8	1997	27.1
2004	18.7	1996	16.6

TOP 10 POSITIONS

% of Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders

Kennedy-Wilson Holdings	1.8%
Sapient Corporation	1.6

	Lugai i iiii
Epoch Holding Corporation	1.6
Charming Shoppes	1.3
America s Car-Mart	1.3
Raven Industries	1.3
Tennant Company	1.3
Drew Industries	1.2
Seneca Foods	1.1
Richardson Electronics	1.1
PORTFOLIO SECTOR BREAD % of Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders	KDOWN
Industrials	25.9%
Financials	19.6
Information Technology	19.2
Consumer Discretionary	13.2
Materials	8.2
Health Care	6.1
Energy	4.0
Consumer Staples	3.7
Utilities	0.0
Miscellaneous	4.9
Preferred Stock	0.3
Cash and Cash Equivalents	16.4

Royce Micro-Cap Trust

Manager's Discussion

Following two years of outsized gains for micro-cap stocks in 2009 and 2010, our mean reversion tendencies anticipated at least some moderation in the torrid pace micro-caps had established prior to 2011. What we did not expect was a substantial mid-year decline eerily reminiscent of the most difficult periods in the midst of the financial crisis. Precipitated by Congress s inability to pass a usually routine increase in the debt ceiling, Standard & Poor s took the historic step of downgrading the U.S. sovereign credit rating. While most bond investors dismissed the action, seeing nothing incremental to fear in the creditworthiness of the U.S. government, equity investors reacted far more negatively. In this challenging year for equities, Royce Micro-Cap Trust (RMT) declined 7.7% on an NAV (net asset value) basis, and 5.0% based on the market price of its shares, underperforming its unleveraged small-cap benchmark, the Russell 2000, which lost 4.2%, while outperforming the Russell Microcap index, which fell 9.3%.

During the year s first half, RMT gained 3.1% on an NAV basis, and 3.3% based on the market price of its shares. The Fund underperformed the small-cap index, which advanced 6.2%, and was in line with the micro-cap index, which rose 3.1%, for the same period. Volatility began to creep into the markets during the second quarter, but that period looks quite placid compared to the wildly volatile third quarter, a period that saw most stock indexes post steep double-digit declines. RMT fell in step with stocks as a whole, losing 20.8% (NAV) and 21.2% (market) compared to declines of 21.9% for the Russell 2000 and 22.7% for the Russell Microcap. The fourth quarter recouped largely half of the third quarter s decline, as better-than-expected corporate earnings and resilience in the U.S. economy somewhat rejuvenated investors interest in stocks. The Fund was again closely correlated with the performance of its benchmark and the micro-cap index, with gains of 13.0% (NAV) and 16.7% (market) versus 15.5% for its benchmark and 13.8% for the Russell Microcap.

GOOD IDEAS THAT WORKED Top Contributors to 2011 Performance 1

Epoch Holding Corporation	0.60%
Charming Shoppes	0.57
Virtus Investment Partners	0.42
America s Car-Mart	0.38
Advisory Board (The)	0.37

¹Includes dividends

Even with an relatively undistinguished year in 2011, the Fund maintained its impressive lead from the small-cap low on March 9, 2009 through December 31, 2011. RMT advanced 153.8% on an NAV basis and 176.2% on a market price basis compared to the Russell 2000, which was up 124.3%, and the Russell Microcap, which rose 117.4%. We also were very pleased with the Fund s longer-term NAV results. RMT outpaced the micro-cap index (for which data only goes back to 2000) on an NAV basis for the three-, five- and 10-year periods ended December 31, 2011. On an NAV basis, the Fund also outperformed the Russell 2000 for the three-, 10-, 15-year and since inception (12/14/93) periods ended December 31, 2011. RMT s NAV average annual total return since inception was 10.1%.

Not surprising in this negatively correlated period for stocks, all of the Fund s equity sectors were detractors from performance in 2011, with Information Technology having the largest

Important Performance and Risk Information

All performance information reflects past performance, is presented on a total return basis and reflects the reinvestment of distributions. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than performance

quoted. Returns as of the most recent month-end may be obtained at www.roycefunds.com. The market price of the Fund s shares will fluctuate, so that shares may be worth more or less than their original cost when sold. The Fund normally invests in micro-cap companies, which may involve considerably more risk than investing in a more diversified portfolio of larger-cap companies. Regarding the two Good Ideas tables shown above, the sum of all contributors to, and all detractors from, performance for all securities in the portfolio would approximate the Fund s performance for 2011.

14 | The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Performance and Portfolio Review

negative impact, followed by Energy, Materials and Consumer Discretionary. These sectors were among the most economically sensitive areas of the market and were hard hit in large part because investors sought to protect themselves from the possibility of another recession. At the industry level, results were more balanced, with three of the top four positive contributors coming from the Industrials sector—professional services, commercial services & supplies, and industrial conglomerates were joined by specialty retail from the Consumer Discretionary space to make up the top four. Energy equipment & services, semiconductors & semiconductor equipment, and metals & mining were the most significant detractors at the industry level.

Epoch Holding, a publicly traded investment management company overseeing over \$19 billion in assets, was the Fund s top individual performer in 2011. A long-term holding of the Fund, this value-based equity asset manager continued to improve on its strong long-term performance record and saw a steady increase in its asset base. Charming Shoppes was another notable performer. This specialty retailer of women s plus size apparel made substantial progress in a long-anticipated restructuring. Following three years of losses, the company engaged new leadership, continued to close underperforming stores, divested a non-core brand, and retained Barclays Capital as its financial adviser to explore strategic alternatives, all of which helped lead to substantial appreciation in the shares.

GOOD IDEAS AT THE TIME Top Detractors from 2011 Performance

Origin Agritech	-0.31%
Support.com	-0.29
Willbros Group	-0.28
Colony Financial	-0.27
Cogo Group	-0.27

¹Net of dividends

Origin Agritech, the Fund s leading detractor, is a U.S.-listed company headquartered in China that manufactures hybrid and genetically modified crop seeds. The company reported earnings that fell short of expectations mostly as a result of a drop in

revenues due to farmers changing planting schedules and higher-than-expected R&D expenses. Another notable loser was Willbros Group, an engineering and construction company serving primarily the oil and gas industry. Shares were weighed down by substantial legal fees related to an ongoing dispute over the disposition of the company s Nigerian assets from 2007, along with a drop in the company s backlog due to delays in large pipeline construction projects. We continue to hold shares because we like the company s improving balance sheet, the diminishing financial impact of legacy legal issues, and its potential to benefit from improving trends in the energy infrastructure market.

MARKET PRICE PERFORMANCE HISTORY SINCE INCEPTION (12/14/93) through 12/31/11

¹ Reflects the cumulative total return of an investment made by a stockholder who purchased one share at inception (\$7.50 IPO), reinvested distributions and fully participated in the primary subscription of the 1994 rights offering.

² Reflects the actual market price of one share as it traded on the NYSE and, prior to 12/1/03, on Nasdag.

Weighted Average P/E Ratio ² Weighted Average P/B Ratio U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net	\$304 million
P/E Ratio ² Weighted Average P/B Ratio U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Assets Net Leverage ³ Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated excludes companies with negative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Weighted Average P/B Ratio U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated Price Pr	4F.0v
P/B Ratio U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated excludes companies with negative earnings (21% of holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	15.6x
U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated applicable applicable sompanies with the gative earnings (21% of holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the prescues of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated 2 The Fund s P/E ratio caexcludes companies with negative earnings (21% o holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	1.3x
Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV Geometrically calculated The Fund s P/E ratio caexcludes companies with negative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
applicable to Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV Geometrically calculated The Fund s P/E ratio cae excludes companies with negative earnings (21% o holdings as of 12/31/11). Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Common Stockholders) Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated 2 The Fund s P/E ratio caexcludes companies with negative earnings (21% of holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the percess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated 2 The Fund s P/E ratio caexcludes companies with negative earnings (21% o holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated Excludes companies with negative earnings (21% of holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the presculated excess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	91.1%
(% of Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated Excludes companies with negative earnings (21% of holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the prescues of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Applicable to Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated Excludes companies with negative earnings (21% of holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the prevent of the process of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Common Stockholders) Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV Geometrically calculated The Fund s P/E ratio caexcludes companies with negative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV Geometrically calculated The Fund s P/E ratio cae excludes companies with negative earnings (21% o holdings as of 12/31/11). Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Fund Total Net Assets Net Leverage Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV Geometrically calculated The Fund s P/E ratio caexcludes companies with negative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Assets Net Leverage ³ Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculatede 2 The Fund s P/E ratio calculatede companies with enegative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the prescess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	14.0%
Net Leverage ³ Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV Geometrically calculated Price Price NAV Geometrically calculated Price NAV Net leverage is the percess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
Turnover Rate Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated Price Fund s P/E ratio calculated Price Symbol	\$339 million
Number of Holdings Symbol Market Price NAV Geometrically calculated Price Pr	5%
Symbol Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated The Fund s P/E ratio can excludes companies with megative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the prevention of the equity type investments, assets applicable	30%
Market Price NAV 1 Geometrically calculated 2 The Fund s P/E ratio cate excludes companies with negative earnings (21% of holdings as of 12/31/11). 3 Net leverage is the percess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	328
1 Geometrically calculated The Fund s P/E ratio calculated Properties with megative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
¹ Geometrically calculated ² The Fund s P/E ratio calculates companies with negative earnings (21% on holdings as of 12/31/11). ³ Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	RMT
² The Fund s P/E ratio ca excludes companies with negative earnings (21% o holdings as of 12/31/11). ³ Net leverage is the p excess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	XOTCX
² The Fund s P/E ratio ca excludes companies with negative earnings (21% o holdings as of 12/31/11). ³ Net leverage is the p excess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	ı
negative earnings (21% o holdings as of 12/31/11). ³ Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
holdings as of 12/31/11). Ret leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
³ Net leverage is the pexcess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	
excess of 100%, of the equity type investments, assets applicable	f portfolio
equity type investments, assets applicable	·
	ercentage,
	ercentage, total value divided by r
CADITAL CTRUCTUR	ercentage, total value divided by r
CAPITAL STRUCTUR Publicly Traded Securi	percentage, total value divided by r to Commo
Outstanding	percentage, total value divided by r to Commo
at 12/31/11 at NAV or I	percentage, total value divided by r to Commo
Value	percentage, total value divided by r to Commo

28 million shares of Common Stock

\$279 million

6.00% Cumulative Preferred Stock

\$60 million

DOWN MARKET PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

All Down Periods of 7.5% or Greater Over the Last 7 Years, in Percentages(%)

The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 15

AVERAGE ANNUAL NAV TOTAL RETURNS

Through 12/31/11

July-December 20111	-13.71%
One-Year	-10.51
Three-Year	18.83
Five-Year	1.53
10-Year	9.51
15-Year	9.74
Since Inception (11/1/96) ²	9.95

¹ Not annualized

CALENDAR YEAR NAV TOTAL RETURNS

Year	FUND	Year	FUND
2011	-10.5%	2003	54.3%
2010	21.8	2002	-12.5
2009	54.0	2001	10.0
2008	-42.7	2000	20.9
2007	12.2	1999	8.7
2006	15.8	1998	-6.8
2005	13.3	1997	20.5
2004	29.3		

TOP 10 POSITIONS

% of Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders

Berkshire Hathaway Cl. B 3.8%

² Royce & Associates assumed investment management responsibility for the Fund on 11/1/96.

-	-agai i iiii
Microsoft Corporation	3.4
Analog Devices	3.4
Buckle (The)	3.3
Allied Nevada Gold	3.2
Franklin Resources	3.2
Exxon Mobil	3.1
Western Digital	3.1
Newmont Mining	3.0
Mosaic Company (The)	2.8
PORTFOLIO SECTOR BREAK % of Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders	DOWN
Materials	29.1%
Financials	19.8
Information Technology	16.8
Energy	13.4
Industrials	8.0
Consumer Discretionary	7.4
Consumer Staples	6.8
Health Care	1.3
Cash and Cash Equivalents	14.0

Royce Focus Trust

Manager's Discussion

Although equity results were highly correlated in 2011, some performances were worse than others, an observation that unfortunately includes the calendar-year results for Royce Focus Trust (FUND). In 2011, the Fund fell 10.5% on an NAV (net asset value) basis and 11.7% on a market price basis, in each case trailing its unleveraged small-cap benchmark, the Russell 2000 Index, which lost 4.2% for the same period. It was a

disappointing year for FUND on both an absolute and relative basis.

The Fund trailed its benchmark during the first half of the year on both an NAV and market price basis, finishing June with a 3.7% NAV gain and a 5.5% market price return versus an advance of 6.2% for the small-cap index. Volatility, which first gathered force in the second quarter, picked up momentum as temperatures heated up. Numerous fears drove investors away from stocks—anxiety over European sovereign defaults, a slowdown in China, the U.S. Congress s failure to pass a routine increase in the debt ceiling limit (and the subsequent downgrade to our nation s credit rating), and the possibility of a double-dip recession all played a role in the dramatic summer sell-off. During this third-quarter downdraft, the Fund offered a slight edge, as it fell 20.5% on an NAV basis and 21.2% on a market price basis, while the Russell 2000 declined 21.9%.

Unfortunately, FUND was not able to hold or build on this relative advantage during the bullish fourth quarter, when U.S. stocks staged a welcome rally. Between the beginning of October and the end of December, the Fund gained 8.5% on an NAV basis and 6.2% on a market price basis, while its small-cap benchmark rallied 15.5%. These results in the year s final quarter were particularly frustrating because FUND s struggles in the rally played a large role in both its underperformance and lackluster absolute result in 2011.

We felt much better about the Fund s longer-term returns, especially its NAV results, which remained strong on a relative basis while also showing key pockets of strength in the three-, 10-, 15-year and since inception of Royce s management (11/1/96) periods ended December 31, 2011. During the most recent full market cycle period—from the previous small-cap peak on July 13, 2007 through the small-cap peak on April 29, 2011—the Fund gained 10.2% on an NAV basis versus 6.6% for the Russell 2000. (Please see page 10 for more market cycle results.) On a market price basis, FUND beat its

GOOD IDEAS THAT WORKED Top Contributors to 2011 Performance1

Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates	1.56%
Timberland Company (The) Cl. A	0.71
Nu Skin Enterprises Cl. A	0.60
Sanderson Farms	0.50
Buckle (The)	0.45

¹Includes dividends

benchmark for the 10-year, 15-year and since inception of our management periods ended December 31, 2011. On an NAV basis, the Fund outpaced the Russell 2000 for the three-, five-, 10-, 15-year and since inception of our management periods ended December 31, 2011. FUND s NAV average annual total return since inception was 9.9%.

Six of the Funds nine equity sectors finished the year with net losses. Of those three with net gains for the year—Consumer Discretionary, Consumer Staples and Health Care—the first two made solid contributions, while the third exited 2011 with very modest net gains. The Materials sector had the most substantial negative impact on annual results, with losses more than double

Important Performance and Risk Information

All performance information reflects past performance, is presented on a total return basis and reflects the reinvestment of distributions. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than performance quoted. Returns as of the most recent month-end may be obtained at www.roycefunds.com. The market price of the Fund s shares will fluctuate, so that shares may be worth more or less than their original cost when sold. The Fund normally invests primarily in small-cap companies, which may involve considerably more risk than investing in a more diversified portfolio of larger-cap companies.

Regarding the two $\,$ Good Ideas $\,$ tables shown above, the sum of all contributors to, and all detractors from, performance for all securities in the portfolio would approximate the Fund $\,$ s performance for 2011.

16 | The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Performance and Portfolio Review

that of Financials, the Fund s next largest detractor on a sector basis. One industry within the Materials sector, metals & mining, registered larger net losses than any of the portfolio s remaining sectors. After coping with various operational issues during the first half of the year, many gold and silver miners faced the added headwind of increasingly volatile precious metals commodity prices during the tumultuous third quarter. During that period, when increased mining expenses and operational issues were still impacting the industry, especially its smaller businesses, share prices began to decline even more sharply. Most of these companies also failed to participate in any meaningful way in the fourth-quarter rally for stocks.

The frustrations of 2011 aside, we continue to see great potential for many of these companies. The conditions for improved gold and silver prices remain in place. Historically, interest rates being below long-term inflation rates has provided a tailwind for gold, and this inspires confidence going forward. We increased our position in exploration company Seabridge Gold in March and added shares of Pan American Silver during April. The latter faced delays in an Argentinian mine of its own and had a few small production disappointments. In the first half of the year, it also had to tackle concerns, since resolved, about how newly elected leaders in Bolivia and Peru would treat mining operations in those nations. These issues were more than enough to keep investors selling. Seabridge Gold struggled in a market that was challenging for most gold and silver miners, but was even tougher on companies involved only in exploration.

GOOD IDEAS AT THE TIME Top Detractors from 2011 Performance

Seabridge Gold	-1.50%
Pan American Silver	-1.28
Schnitzer Steel Industries Cl. A	-0.97
Veeco Instruments	-0.95
Aixtron ADR	-0.91

¹ Net of dividends

We also held a good-sized stake in scrap Steel Industries. A decline in scrap metal prices hurt its share price, as did fears of a global industrial slowdown that was particularly unkind to commodity-based cyclicals. After bottoming out in October, its stock rallied a bit through the end of the year. We still like the core business of two companies involved in high brightness light emitting diodes (HB LEDs) equipment. Although we chose to hold shares of Veeco Instruments, we parted ways with German firm Aixtron in October. On the other side of the ledger, Varian Semiconductor Equipment Associates and The Timberland Company were, like many small-cap businesses of late, subject to M&A (mergers & acquisitions) activity. We sold our shares in both as their share prices rose on news of each respective

MARKET PRICE PERFORMANCE HISTORY SINCE INCEPTION (11/1/96)³ through 12/31/11

acquisition.

3

¹ Reflects the cumulative total return experience of a continuous common stockholder who reinvested all distributions and fully participated in the primary subscription of the 2005 rights offering.

² Reflects the actual market price of one share as it traded on Nasdaq.

Royce & Associates assumed investment management responsibility for the Fund on 11/1/96.

Average Market Capitalization <u>1</u>	\$4,754 million
Weighted Average P/E Ratio ²	12.2x
Weighted Average P/B Ratio	1.8x
U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common	
Stockholders)	77.8%
Non-U.S. Investments (% of Net Assets applicable to Common	
Stockholders)	24.8%
Fund Total Net Assets	\$176 million
Net Leverage ³	3%
Turnover Rate	33%
Number of Holdings	55
Symbol Market Price NAV	FUND XFUNX

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Publicly Traded Securities Outstanding at 12/31/11 at NAV or Liquidation Value

² The Fund s P/E ratio calculation excludes companies with zero or negative earnings (6% of portfolio holdings as of 12/31/11).

³ Net leverage is the percentage, in excess of 100%, of the total value of equity type investments, divided by net assets applicable to Common Stockholders.

20 million shares

of

Common Stock \$151 million

6.00% Cumulative

Preferred Stock \$25 million

DOWN MARKET PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

All Down Periods of 7.5% or Greater Over the Last 7 Years, in Percentages(%)

The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 17

History Since Inception

The following table details the share accumulations by an initial investor in the Funds who reinvested all distributions and participated fully in primary subscriptions for each of the rights offerings. Full participation in distribution reinvestments and rights offerings can maximize the returns available to a long-term investor. This table should be read in conjunction with the Performance and Portfolio Reviews of the Funds.

	History	Amount Invested	Purchase Price ¹	Shares	NAV Value <u>²</u>	Market Value ²
Royce Value	Truot					
11/26/86	Initial Purchase	\$ 10,000	\$ 10.000	1,000	\$ 9,280	\$ 10,000
10/15/87	Distribution \$0.30	ф 10,000	7.000	42	⊅ 9,∠о∪	ф 10,000
12/31/87	Distribution \$0.22		7.000 7.125	32	8,578	7,250
12/27/88	Distribution \$0.51		8.625	63	10,529	9,238
9/22/89	Rights Offering	405	9.000	45	10,020	5,200
12/29/89	Distribution \$0.52	400	9.125	67	12,942	11,866
9/24/90	Rights Offering	457	7.375	62	12,012	11,000
12/31/90	Distribution \$0.32		8.000	52	11,713	11,074
9/23/91	Rights Offering	638	9.375	68	,,	,
12/31/91	Distribution \$0.61		10.625	82	17,919	15,697
9/25/92	Rights Offering	825	11.000	75	,	,
12/31/92	Distribution \$0.90		12.500	114	21,999	20,874
9/27/93	Rights Offering	1,469	13.000	113		
12/31/93	Distribution \$1.15		13.000	160	26,603	25,428
10/28/94	Rights Offering	1,103	11.250	98		
12/19/94	Distribution \$1.05		11.375	191	27,939	24,905
11/3/95	Rights Offering	1,425	12.500	114		
12/7/95	Distribution \$1.29		12.125	253	35,676	31,243
12/6/96	Distribution \$1.15		12.250	247	41,213	36,335
	Annual distribution total					
1997	\$1.21		15.374	230	52,556	46,814
	Annual distribution total					
1998	\$1.54		14.311	347	54,313	47,506
	Annual distribution total					
1999	\$1.37		12.616	391	60,653	50,239
0000	Annual distribution total		40.070	40.4	70 711	04.040
2000	\$1.48		13.972	424	70,711	61,648
0004	Annual distribution total		45.070	407	04 470	70.004
2001	\$1.49		15.072	437	81,478	73,994
2002	Annual distribution total		14.002	404	60 770	69.007
2002 1/28/03	\$1.51 Rights Offering	5,600	14.903 10.770	494 520	68,770	68,927
1/20/03	Annual distribution total	5,600	10.770	520		
2003	\$1.30		14.582	516	106,216	107,339
2003	Annual distribution total		14.562	310	100,210	107,339
2004	\$1.55		17.604	568	128,955	139,094
2004	Annual distribution total		17.004	300	120,933	133,034
2005	\$1.61		18.739	604	139,808	148,773
2000	Annual distribution total		10.700	001	100,000	1 10,770
2006	\$1.78		19.696	693	167,063	179,945
	Annual distribution total			000	,	,
2007	\$1.85		19.687	787	175,469	165,158
	Annual distribution total				-,	,
2008	\$1.72 <u>3</u>		12.307	1,294	95,415	85,435
_	· -			, -	, -	,

3/11/09 12/2/10	Distribution \$0.323 Distribution \$0.03		6.071 13.850	537 23	137,966 179,730	115,669 156,203
2011	Annual distribution total \$0.783		13.043	656		
12/31/11		\$ 21,922		11,399	\$ 161,638	\$ 139,866

¹ The purchase price used for annual distribution totals is a weighted average of the distribution reinvestment prices for the year.

² Other than for initial purchase, values are stated as of December 31 of the year indicated, after reinvestment of distributions.

³ Includes a return of capital.

^{18 |} The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

The following table details the share accumulations by an initial investor in the Funds who reinvested all distributions and participated fully in primary subscriptions for each of the rights offerings. Full participation in distribution reinvestments and rights offerings can maximize the returns available to a long-term investor. This table should be read in conjunction with the Performance and Portfolio Reviews of the Funds.

	History		mount vested	P	urchase Price <u>1</u>	Shares		NAV Value <u>²</u>		Market Value <u>²</u>
Royce Mic	ro-Cap Trust									
12/14/93	Initial Purchase	\$	7,500	\$	7.500	1,000	\$	7,250	\$	7,500
10/28/94	Rights Offering	Ψ	1,400	Ψ	7.000	200	Ψ	.,	Ψ	.,000
12/19/94	Distribution \$0.05		,		6.750	9		9,163		8,462
12/7/95	Distribution \$0.36				7.500	58		11,264		10,136
12/6/96	Distribution \$0.80				7.625	133		13,132		11,550
12/5/97	Distribution \$1.00				10.000	140		16,694		15,593
12/7/98	Distribution \$0.29				8.625	52		16,016		14,129
12/6/99	Distribution \$0.27				8.781	49		18,051		14,769
12/6/00	Distribution \$1.72				8.469	333		20,016		17,026
12/6/01	Distribution \$0.57				9.880	114		24,701		21,924
2002	Annual distribution total \$0.80				9.518	180		01 007		10 140
2002	Annual distribution total				9.516	100		21,297		19,142
2003	\$0.92				10.004	217		33,125		31,311
	Annual distribution total							00,:=0		01,011
2004	\$1.33				13.350	257		39,320		41,788
	Annual distribution total									
2005	\$1.85				13.848	383		41,969		45,500
	Annual distribution total									
2006	\$1.55				14.246	354		51,385		57,647
0007	Annual distribution total				10 504	057		F1 700		45.000
2007	\$1.35 Annual distribution total				13.584	357		51,709		45,802
2008	\$1.19 <u>3</u>				8.237	578		28,205		24,807
3/11/09	Distribution \$0.223				4.260	228		41,314		34,212
12/2/10	Distribution \$0.08				9.400	40		53,094		45,884
, _ , . 0	Annual distribution total				0.100			00,001		10,001
2011	\$0.53 <u>3</u>				8.773	289				
	• • • • •									
12/31/11		\$	8,900			4,971	\$	49,014	\$	43,596
Royce Foc	us Trust									
10/31/96	Initial Purchase	\$	4,375	\$	4.375	1,000	\$	5,280	\$	4,375
12/31/96								5,520		4,594
12/5/97	Distribution \$0.53				5.250	101		6,650		5,574
12/31/98								6,199		5,367
12/6/99	Distribution \$0.145				4.750	34		6,742		5,356
12/6/00	Distribution \$0.34				5.563	69		8,151		6,848
12/6/01	Distribution \$0.14				6.010	28		8,969		8,193
12/6/02	Distribution \$0.09				5.640	19		7,844		6,956
12/8/03	Distribution \$0.62				8.250	94		12,105		11,406
2004	Annual distribution total \$1.74				0.225	250		15 620		16 704
5/6/05	Rights offering		2,669		9.325 8.340	259 320		15,639		16,794
2005	า แฐมนอ บมเซมมนู		۷,009		9.470	249		21,208		20,709
2000					0.170	210		2.,200		20,700

	Annual distribution total \$1.21 Annual distribution total					
2006	\$1.57		9.860	357	24,668	27,020
	Annual distribution total					
2007	\$2.01		9.159	573	27,679	27,834
	Annual distribution total					
2008	\$0.47 <u>3</u>		6.535	228	15,856	15,323
3/11/09	Distribution \$0.093		3.830	78	24,408	21,579
12/31/10					29,726	25,806
	Annual distribution total					
2011	\$0.41 <u>3</u>		6.894	207		
12/31/11		\$ 7,044		3,616	\$ 26,614	\$ 22,784

¹ The purchase price used for annual distribution totals is a weighted average of the distribution reinvestment prices for the year.

The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 19

² Other than for initial purchase, values are stated as of December 31 of the year indicated, after reinvestment of distributions.

³ Includes a return of capital.

Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Options

Have the Funds resumed their managed distribution policies for common stockholders?

The Funds resumed their guarterly distribution policy for Common Stockholders in March 2011, at the annual rate of 5%.

Why should I reinvest my distributions?

By reinvesting distributions, a stockholder can maintain an undiluted investment in the Fund. The regular reinvestment of distributions has a significant impact on stockholder returns. In contrast, the stockholder who takes distributions in cash is penalized when shares are issued below net asset value to other stockholders.

How does the reinvestment of distributions from the Royce closed-end funds work?

The Funds automatically issue shares in payment of distributions unless you indicate otherwise. The shares are generally issued at the lower of the market price or net asset value on the valuation date.

How does this apply to registered stockholders?

If your shares are registered directly with a Fund, your distributions are automatically reinvested unless you have otherwise instructed the Funds transfer agent, Computershare, in writing. A registered stockholder also has the option to receive the distribution in the form of a stock certificate or in cash if Computershare is properly notified.

What if my shares are held by a brokerage firm or a bank?

If your shares are held by a brokerage firm, bank, or other intermediary as the stockholder of record, you should contact your brokerage firm or bank to be certain that it is automatically reinvesting distributions on your behalf. If they are unable to reinvest distributions on your behalf, you should have your shares registered in your name in order to participate.

What other features are available for registered stockholders?

The Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plans also allow registered stockholders to make optional cash purchases of shares of a Fund s common stock directly through Computershare on a monthly basis, and to deposit certificates representing your Fund shares with Computershare for safekeeping. The Funds investment adviser is absorbing all commissions on optional cash purchases under the Plans through December 31, 2011.

How do the Plans work for registered stockholders?

Computershare maintains the accounts for registered stockholders in the Plans and sends written confirmation of all transactions in the account. Shares in the account of each participant will be held by Computershare in non-certificated form in the name of the participant, and each participant will be able to vote those shares at a stockholder meeting or by proxy. A participant may also send other stock certificates held by them to Computershare to be held in non-certificated form. There is no service fee charged to participants for reinvesting distributions. If a participant elects to sell shares from a Plan account, Computershare will deduct a \$2.50 fee plus brokerage commissions from the sale transaction. If a nominee is the registered owner of your shares, the nominee will maintain the accounts on your behalf.

How can I get more information on the Plans?

You can call an Investor Services Representative at (800) 221-4268 or you can request a copy of the Plan for your Fund from Computershare. All correspondence (including notifications) should be directed to: [Name of Fund] Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan, c/o Computershare, PO Box 43010, Providence, RI 02940-3010, telephone (800) 426-5523.

20 | The Royce Funds 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Royce Value Trust

December 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments

	SHARES	VALUE
COMMON STOCKS 114.5%		
Consumer Discretionary 12.2% Auto Components - 0.4%		
China XD Plastics ¹ / ₂ Gentex Corporation Minth Group	79,200 50,000 1,693,200	\$ 422,928 1,479,500 1,587,116
Norstar Founders Group 1,2 Williams Controls	524,000 37,499	24,626 414,739
		3,928,909
Automobiles - 0.3% Thor Industries	50,000	1,371,500
Winnebago Industries 1	222,500	1,642,050
		3,013,550
Distributors - 1.0% LKQ Corporation 1.3 Weyco Group	230,000 97,992	6,918,400 2,405,704
		9,324,104
Diversified Consumer Services - 1.5%		
Anhanguera Educacional		
Participacoes	80,000	862,083
ChinaCast Education 1	135,642	830,129
Corinthian Colleges 1,3	59,500	129,115
MegaStudy Regis Corporation	13,700 233,800	1,308,160 3,869,390
Sotheby's	175,700	5,012,721
Steiner Leisure ¹	15,042	682,756
Universal Technical Institute ¹	153,021	1,955,608
		14,649,962
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure - 0.2%		
Benihana 1	3,300	33,759
CEC Entertainment	64,100	2,208,245
		2,242,004

Household Durables - 2.4%		
Desarrolladora Homex ADR 1,3	14,100	237,867
Ekornes	55,000	901,210
Ethan Allen Interiors	345,800	8,198,918
Hanssem	39,100	690,699
Harman International Industries	51,000	1,940,040
Mohawk Industries 1	150,200	8,989,470
NVR 1	500	343,000
Universal Electronics ¹	10,000	168,700
Woongjin Coway	50,000	1,588,541
		23,058,445
Internet & Catalog Retail - 0.3% Manutan International	40 E70	1 774 000
Takkt	40,573 106,000	1,774,893 1,168,863
Ianni	100,000	1,100,003
		2,943,756
		_,0 .0,7 00
Leisure Equipment & Products -		
0.3%		
Beneteau	65,000	680,750
Shimano	53,000	2,575,289
		3,256,039
Media - 1.1%		
Global Sources 1	49,171	238,480
Lamar Advertising Cl. A 1	51,000	1,402,500
Morningstar	109,800	6,527,610

Consumer Discretionary (continued) Media (continued)	SHARES	VALUE
Pico Far East Holdings	13,679,000 \$	2,448,151
		10,616,741
Multiline Retail - 0.1% New World Department Store China	1,754,700	998,606
Specialty Retail - 1.6% Ascena Retail Group Dickson Concepts	68,280	2,029,282
(International)	434,300	225,912
Dover Saddlery 1,3 GameStop Corporation Cl. A	17,821	70,927
1,3	24,400	588,772
Hengdeli Holdings	1,660,250	540,833
Jos. A. Bank Clothiers ¹	17,000	828,920
Lewis Group Luk Fook Holdings	200,000	1,985,742
(International)	202,000	704,839
Men's Wearhouse (The) Sa Sa International Holdings	31,000 1,200,000	1,004,710 662,838
ou ouoaustrai i foldingo	.,,,	552,000

Stein Mart ¹ _ Systemax ¹ _ West Marine ¹ _	167,800 224,000 131,100	1,142,718 3,675,840 1,524,693
		14,986,026
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods - 3.0%		
Anta Sports Products	653,200	780,483
Carter's ¹	236,000	9,395,160
China Xiniya Fashion		
ADR ^{1,3} Columbia Sportswear	45,700 47,197	91,400 2,197,020
Daphne International Holdings	1,400,800	1,560,132
Grendene	300,000	1,236,831
J.G. Boswell Company 4	2,292	1,570,020
K-Swiss Cl. A 1,3	163,600	477,712
Lazare Kaplan International 1,4	95,437	238,592
Pacific Textiles Holdings Stella International Holdings	3,470,000 788,700	1,965,854 1,714,168
Texwinca Holdings	301,000	334,074
Unifi 1	40,333	306,531
Van de Velde	10,000	457,259
Warnaco Group (The) 1	55,700	2,787,228
Wolverine World Wide	100,000	3,564,000
		28,676,464
Total (Cost \$99,807,989)		117,694,606
Consumer Staples 2.3%		
Beverages - 0.1% Heckmann Corporation 1.3	F0 000	220 500
MGP Ingredients	50,000 127,400	332,500 642,096
g	1_1,100	,
		974,596
Food & Staples Retailing -		
0.4%	00.000	2 626 492
FamilyMart	90,000	3,636,482
Food Products - 1.8%		
Alico	27,000	522,990
Asian Citrus Holdings	387,800 23,296	202,224 1,205,244
Binggrae BW Plantation	23,296 875,100	1,203,244
Cal-Maine Foods	41,400	1,513,998
First Resources	1,204,800	1,402,604

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 21

Royce Value Trust

Schedule of Investments

	SHARES	VALUE
Consumer Staples (continued) Food Products (continued)		
Hershey Creamery ⁴	709	\$ 1,205,300
Origin Agritech 1,3	76,800	181,248
Seneca Foods Cl. A 1,3	110,000	2,840,200
Seneca Foods Cl. B 1	13,251	345,056
Super Group Tootsie Roll Industries	890,000	902,317
Waterloo Investment Holdings	278,566	6,593,657
1,2	598,676	83,695
Westway Group	31,500	176,400
		17.000.004
		17,283,024
Total (Cost \$20,158,826)		21,894,102
, , , , , ,		, ,
Diversified Investment		
Companies 0.5%		
Closed-End Funds - 0.5%	000 000	4 400 000
Central Fund of Canada Cl. A	226,000	4,429,600
Total (Cost \$2,031,251)		4,429,600
Energy 6.0%		
Energy Equipment & Services -		
5.3% Atwood Oceanics $\frac{1,3}{2}$	45.000	000 707
Cal Dive International ^{1,3}	15,300 456,250	608,787 1,026,563
CARBO Ceramics	29,700	3,662,901
Ensco ADR	57,600	2,702,592
Ensign Energy Services Helmerich & Payne	225,100 98,000	3,590,552 5,719,280
ION Geophysical ¹	361,500	2,215,995
Oceaneering International	9,900	456,687
Oil States International 1	152,723	11,663,456
Pason Systems	97,000	1,142,577
SEACOR Holdings ¹ ShawCor Cl. A	73,866 82,500	6,571,119 2,338,748
TETRA Technologies 1,3	68,000	635,120
TGS-NOPEC Geophysical	96,000	2,126,788
Tidewater Trican Well Service	36,000 169,900	1,774,800 2,926,866
Unit Corporation ¹	34,000	1,577,600
-	,	, , - 3 0

Willbros Group ¹	103,800	380,946
		51,121,377
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels - 0.7%		
Bill Barrett ¹ Cimarex Energy	50,000 61,300	1,703,500 3,794,470
Resolute Energy 1,3	141,134	1,524,247
		7,022,217
Total (Cost \$37,440,084)		58,143,594
Financials 20.6%		
Capital Markets - 9.7% A.F.P. Provida ADR ABG Sundal Collier Holding	22,100 115,000	1,445,782 70,951
Affiliated Managers Group ¹ AllianceBernstein Holding L.P. AP Alternative Assets L.P.	47,600 514,600 233,200	4,567,220 6,730,968 1,970,540
Artio Global Investors Cl. A ASA Gold and Precious Metals	235,000 40,000	1,146,800 1,047,600

	SHARES	VALUE
Financials (continued)		
Capital Markets (continued)		
Ashmore Group	868,000	\$ 4,502,333
Azimut Holding	72,183	578,755
Banca Generali	86,000	801,400
Bank Sarasin & Co. Cl. B	33,120	967,895
Banque Privee Edmond de		
Rothschild	23	587,672
BKF Capital Group	130,000	144,300
BT Investment Management	207,000	382,154
Close Brothers Group	43,000	413,696
Coronation Fund Managers	526,000	1,479,111
Cowen Group Cl. A 1	1,154,458	2,990,046
Daewoo Securities	5,000	45,139
Eaton Vance	85,300	2,016,492
Egyptian Financial Group-Hermes		
Holding ¹	783,125	1,298,553
Epoch Holding Corporation	25,000	555,750
Equity Trustees	38,314	521,587
F&C Asset Management	60,000	60,986
FBR & Co. ¹	576,200	1,181,210
Federated Investors Cl. B	224,700	3,404,205
Fiducian Portfolio Services	227,000	239,141
GAMCO Investors Cl. A	90,575	3,939,107
GFI Group	166,247	684,938
GIMV	22,500	1,073,969
Gleacher & Company $\frac{1,3}{2}$	200,000	336,000
GP Investments BDR ¹	15,604	33,212
Investec	118,000	621,231
IOOF Holdings	123,592	647,219
Jupiter Fund Management	75,000	252,867
KKR & Co. L.P.	415,000	5,324,450

Lazard Cl. A	317,700	8,295,147
MVC Capital	234,200	2,714,378
Oppenheimer Holdings Cl. A	75,000	1,207,500
Paris Orleans et Cie	188,359	3,559,242
Partners Group Holding	12,200	2,128,798
Perpetual	14,085	294,317
Phatra Capital	375,000	341,720
Platinum Asset Management	149,000	536,438
Rathbone Brothers	35,400	582,748
Reinet Investments ¹	164,948	2,932,203
Schroders	41,100	838,704
SEI Investments	321,700	5,581,495
SHUAA Capital ¹	485,000	72,622
SPARX Group ¹	1,320	91,922
Sprott	269,600	1,532,254
Teton Advisors Cl. A _	723	9,761
Treasury Group	51,500	191,207
Trust Company (The)	100,584	516,444
UOB-Kay Hian Holdings	190,000	224,856
Value Partners Group	8,016,800	4,097,893
Vontobel Holding	20,400	456,084
VZ Holding	8,500	873,257
Waddell & Reed Financial Cl. A	139,300	3,450,461
Westwood Holdings Group	23,460	857,463

22 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

December 31, 2011

Financials (continued)	SHARES	VALUE
Capital Markets (continued) Woori Investment & Securities	14,911	\$ 137,202
		93,587,395
Commercial Banks - 1.4% Ameriana Bancorp Bank of N.T. Butterfield & Son 1/2	40,000 882,304	160,800 1,014,650
BCB Holdings ¹ Center Bancorp Commercial National Financial Farmers & Merchants Bank of Long	598,676 44,868 37,996	251,031 438,360 897,465
Beach Fauquier Bankshares Hawthorn Bancshares M&T Bank Mauritius Commercial Bank Mechanics Bank Old Point Financial Peapack-Gladstone Financial	1,200 160,800 27,458 16,927 40,000 200 25,000 10,500	4,860,000 1,744,680 168,318 1,292,207 227,598 2,280,000 251,250 112,770
		13,699,129
Consumer Finance - 0.3% World Acceptance 1,3	42,000	3,087,000
Diversified Financial Services - 0.5% Banca Finnat Euramerica Interactive Brokers Group CI. A PICO Holdings RHJ International State Bank of Mauritius	1,060,000 100,000 106,100 102,500 46,000	394,972 1,494,000 2,183,538 465,639 131,652 4,669,801
Insurance - 5.6% Alleghany Corporation ¹	35,619	10,161,744
Argo Group International Holdings Brown & Brown Crawford & Company Cl. B Discovery Holdings eHealth 1.3	64,751 291,800 1,160 120,000	1,875,189 6,603,434 7,146 646,636
erieaitri	32,000	470,400

E-L Financial	19,900	6,641,472
Enstar Group ¹	11,000	1,080,200
Erie Indemnity Cl. A	50,000	3,908,000
Hilltop Holdings ¹	290,400	2,453,880
Independence Holding	317,658	2,582,560
Platinum Underwriters Holdings	139,000	4,741,290
Primerica	170,000	3,950,800
ProAssurance Corporation	22,000	1,756,040
RLI	80,724	5,881,551
Validus Holdings	16,300	513,450
White Mountains Insurance		
Group	1,050	476,133
		53,749,925
Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) - 0.6%		
	405 470	0.005.040
Colony Financial	405,178	6,365,346
Deal Estate Management 0		
Real Estate Management &		
Development - 1.9%	44.400	0.007.000
Altisource Portfolio Solutions Consolidated-Tomoka Land	41,199	2,067,366
	63,564 406,100	1,720,677 1,734,047
E-House China Holdings ADR	,	, ,
Forestar Group 1	180,000	2,723,400
Kennedy-Wilson Holdings	150,000	1,587,000

Financials (continued) Real Estate Management &	SHARES	VALUE
Development (continued) Midland Holdings St. Joe Company (The) 1,3 Tejon Ranch 1,3	1,927,800 127,000 222,000	\$ 1,002,796 1,861,820 5,434,560
		18,131,666
Thrifts & Mortgage Finance -		
0.6% CFS Bancorp HopFed Bancorp Kearny Financial MyState Ocwen Financial Timberland Bancorp 1,5	150,000 108,721 70,862 152,000 123,600 444,200	649,500 706,686 673,189 522,365 1,789,728 1,710,170
Total (Cost \$229,860,099)		6,051,638 199,341,900
Health Care 7.3% Biotechnology - 0.2% Pharmacyclics 1.3/2 3SBio ADR 1/2	98,746 21,600	1,463,416 220,752
		1,684,168

Health Care Equipment & Supplies - 2.3% Allied Healthcare Products \(^1\) Analogic Corporation Atrion Corporation bioMerieux Carl Zeiss Meditec CONMED Corporation \(^1\) DiaSorin DynaVox Cl. A \(^1\) IDEXX Laboratories \(^1\) Kossan Rubber Industries Nihon Kohden Straumann Holding Top Glove Urologix \(^1\) Young Innovations Zoll Medical \(^1\)	180,512 40,135 15,750 13,800 163,700 81,500 30,000 55,000 40,201 700,600 25,100 4,000 700,000 315,500 62,550 400	613,741 2,300,538 3,783,622 986,623 3,457,699 2,092,105 756,748 200,200 3,093,869 718,281 619,266 690,301 1,104,101 340,740 1,853,356 25,272
		22,636,462
Health Care Providers & Services - 0.7%		
Cross Country Healthcare Landauer Metropolitan Health	30,000 75,500	166,500 3,888,250
Networks 1,3	28,100	209,907
MWI Veterinary Supply 1	10,000	664,400
VCA Antech 1	72,900	1,439,775
		6,368,832
Life Sciences Tools & Services - 2.9%		
Affymetrix ¹	10,000	40,900
Albany Molecular Research 1 Bio-Rad Laboratories Cl. A	85,000	249,050
1 -	21,888	2,102,124
EPS	512	985,818
Furiex Pharmaceuticals 1	8,333	139,244
ICON ADR 1,3	266,650	4,562,381
Luminex Corporation 1,3	20,000	424,600
Mettler-Toledo International 1	33,500	4,948,285

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 23

Royce Value Trust

Schedule of Investments

Health Care (continued)	SHARES	VALUE
Life Sciences Tools & Services (continued)		
PAREXEL International ^{1,3} PerkinElmer Techne Corporation	312,400 185,800 71,000	\$ 6,479,176 3,716,000 4,846,460
		28,494,038
Pharmaceuticals - 1.2% Adcock Ingram Holdings Almirall Boiron Daewoong Pharmaceutical Hikma Pharmaceuticals Kalbe Farma Recordati Santen Pharmaceutical Virbac	230,000 140,000 60,000 17,582 60,000 800,000 215,000 72,000 9,000	1,759,357 962,146 1,554,654 442,602 577,716 299,972 1,554,104 2,965,311 1,396,626
		11,512,488
Total (Cost \$51,570,332)		70,695,988
Industrials 27.9% Aerospace & Defense - 2.0% Cubic Corporation Ducommun HEICO Corporation HEICO Corporation CI. A Hexcel Corporation 1 Moog CI. A 1 National Presto Industries	8,600 117,200 168,281 51,718 47,500 25,000 3,000	374,874 1,494,300 9,841,073 2,035,103 1,149,975 1,098,250 280,800
Teledyne Technologies 1,3	62,430	3,424,286
		19,698,661
Air Freight & Logistics - 1.8% C. H. Robinson Worldwide Forward Air Hub Group Cl. A 1.3 UTi Worldwide	50,000 209,750 149,400 175,000	3,489,000 6,722,488 4,845,042 2,325,750 17,382,280

Airlines - 0.0% Spirit Airlines 1,3	11,200	174,720
Building Products - 1.1% American Woodmark Burnham Holdings Cl. B ⁴ Simpson Manufacturing Sung Kwang Bend	123,335 36,000 258,400 15,700	1,684,756 484,200 8,697,744 258,941
		11,125,641
Commercial Services & Supplies - 2.5%		
Brink's Company (The) Cintas Corporation	206,320 25,000	5,545,882 870,250
CompX International Cl. A	185,300	2,729,469
Copart ¹	74,890	3,586,482
Kimball International Cl. B	286,180	1,450,932
Moshi Moshi Hotline Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers	220,000 337,700	2,072,236 7,456,416
nitchie bios. Addioneers	337,700	7,430,410
		23,711,667
Construction & Engineering - 1.6%		
EMCOR Group	199,400	5,345,914

	SHARES	VALUE
Industrials (continued) Construction & Engineering (continued)		
Integrated Electrical Services ¹	266,349	\$ 511,390
Jacobs Engineering Group ¹	81,400	3,303,212
KBR	175,000	4,877,250
Raubex Group	650,000	1,074,939
		15,112,705
Electrical Equipment - 3.1%		
AZZ	43,000	1,953,920
Belden	57,800	1,923,584
Franklin Electric	104,600	4,556,376
Fushi Copperweld 1	132,931	999,641
GrafTech International 1	395,090	5,392,978
Jinpan International	138,384	1,127,138
Powell Industries ¹ Preformed Line Products	92,400 91,600	2,890,272 5,464,856
Regal-Beloit	116,500	5,938,005
riogai Boloit	110,000	0,000,000
		30,246,770
Industrial Conglomerates - 0.6% Raven Industries	96,200	5,954,780
Machinery - 10.1% Armstrong Industrial Burckhardt Compression Holding China Automation Group	2,776,100 18,400 594,800	481,572 4,603,428 172,315
CLARCOR	92,500	4,624,075

Columbus McKinnon 1 Donaldson Company FAG Bearings India Flowserve Corporation Gardner Denver Graco Hardinge IDEX Corporation Industrea Kennametal Lincoln Electric Holdings Lindsay Corporation Mueller Water Products CI. A NN 1 Nordson Corporation Pfeiffer Vacuum Technology PMFG 1.3 Rational RBC Bearings 1 Rotork Semperit AG Holding Spirax-Sarco Engineering Valmont Industries WABCO Holdings 1 Wabtec Corporation Woodward	133,100 92,800 28,000 9,200 25,900 116,376 26,193 67,400 1,064,700 155,000 216,760 6,400 72,500 197,100 204,200 31,000 255,352 8,000 47,000 12,500 72,500 82,000 53,800 103,800 103,325 231,600	1,689,039 6,317,824 553,594 913,744 1,995,854 4,758,615 210,854 2,501,214 1,067,196 5,660,600 8,479,651 351,296 176,900 1,182,600 8,408,956 2,713,034 4,981,917 1,741,543 1,959,900 374,661 2,791,536 2,385,190 4,884,502 4,504,920 7,227,584 9,479,388
Marine - 0.5% Kirby Corporation ¹	80,000	5,267,200
Professional Services - 2.3% Advisory Board (The) 1	128,500	9,535,985

24 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

December 31, 2011

	SHARES	VALUE
Industrials (continued) Professional Services (continued)		
CRA International ¹	64,187	\$ 1,273,470
FTI Consulting ¹	7,850	332,997
JobStreet Corporation	50,000	35,174
ManpowerGroup Michael Page International	78,600 200,000	2,809,950 1,083,373
On Assignment ¹	375,400	4,196,972
Robert Half International	98,900	2,814,694
		22,082,615
Road & Rail - 1.4% Arkansas Best Frozen Food Express Industries	100,500	1,936,635
1	286,635	369,759
Landstar System	99,400	4,763,248
Patriot Transportation Holding 1	212,958	4,621,189
Universal Truckload Services	114,976	2,086,814
		13,777,645
Trading Companies &		
Distributors - 0.9%		
AerCap Holdings 1	45,000	508,050
Air Lease Cl. A 1,3 Lawson Products	40,700 161,431	964,997 2,490,880
MSC Industrial Direct Cl. A	60,948	4,360,830
	,	8,324,757
		0,0= 1,7 0.
Total (Cost \$164,936,029)		270,052,943
Information Technology		
22.3% Communications Equipment - 2.4%		
AAC Technologies Holdings	556,700	1,254,378
ADTRAN	121,700	3,670,472
Arris Group 1	140,350	1,518,587
Bel Fuse Cl. A Black Box	36,672 43,798	770,845 1,228,096
Cogo Group ¹	107,515	193,527
Comba Telecom Systems	.07,010	.00,027
Holdings	812,128	655,633
Comtech Telecommunications	30,000	858,600

Emulex Corporation 1,3 EVS Broadcast Equipment Globecomm Systems 1 Sonus Networks 1 VTech Holdings Zhone Technologies 1	579,000 37,298 183,700 1,124,000 105,550 422,103	3,971,940 1,906,299 2,513,016 2,697,600 1,058,680 363,009
		22,660,682
Computers & Peripherals - 1.0% China Digital TV Holding Co. ADR Diebold Electronics for Imaging 1.3 Intermec 1 Intervac 1 SanDisk Corporation 1 SMART Technologies Cl. A 1 Steel Excel 1.4	5,000 151,600 8,517 23,000 57,450 9,600 75,000 156,880	15,850 4,558,612 121,367 157,780 425,130 472,416 276,750 3,765,120 9,793,025
Electronic Equipment, Instruments & Components - 9.9%		
Agilysys ¹ Anixter International ¹	165,125 61,795	1,312,744 3,685,454

	SHARES	VALUE
Information Technology		
(continued)		
Electronic Equipment,		
Instruments & Components		
(continued)		
Benchmark Electronics 1	165,200	\$ 2,225,244
China 3C Group ¹	6,600	396
China High Precision Automation		
Group ²	2,720,300	478,773
Chroma Ate	519,982	1,020,078
Cognex Corporation	236,200	8,453,598
Coherent 1	235,900	12,330,493
Dolby Laboratories Cl. A 1,3	169,700	5,177,547
FEI Company ¹	127,500	5,199,450
FLIR Systems	105,000	2,632,350
Hana Microelectronics	1,391,300	833,457
Hollysys Automation		
Technologies 1	65,727	546,849
Image Sensing Systems 1	8,310	54,140
IPG Photonics ¹	73,600	2,492,832
Kingboard Chemical Holdings	311,900	921,652
Mercury Computer Systems ¹	40,500	538,245
Molex	72,600	1,732,236
National Instruments	251,850	6,535,507
Newport Corporation 1	523,500	7,124,835
Nice	8,368	25,884
Perceptron ¹	357,700	1,702,652

Plexus Corporation 1,3 Pulse Electronics Richardson Electronics Rofin-Sinar Technologies 1,3 Tech Data 1 TTM Technologies 1 Vaisala Cl. A	195,700 286,200 395,712 320,600 136,500 211,400 166,000	5,358,266 801,360 4,863,300 7,325,710 6,744,465 2,316,944 3,523,467
		95,957,928
Internet Software & Services - 0.9%		
Active Network 1.3 Perficient 1 RealNetworks ValueClick 1 VistaPrint 1.3	21,500 10,000 61,350 145,000 175,000	292,400 100,100 460,125 2,362,050 5,355,000 8,569,675
IT 0		0,000,070
Convergys Corporation \(\frac{1}{2} \) CoreLogic \(\frac{1}{2} \) Forrester Research \(\frac{1}{2} \) Gartner \(\frac{1}{2} \) Hackett Group \(\frac{1}{2} \) ManTech International Cl. A MAXIMUS MoneyGram International \(\frac{1}{2} \) NeuStar Cl. A \(\frac{1}{2} \) Sapient Corporation Total System Services Western Union Yucheng Technologies \(\frac{1}{2} \)	121,000 94,000 40,300 101,000 655,000 35,400 188,400 164,962 84,287 706,602 47,200 7,000 83,946	1,545,170 1,215,420 1,367,782 3,511,770 2,449,700 1,105,896 7,790,340 2,928,075 2,880,087 8,903,185 923,232 127,820 188,879
Office Electronics - 0.1% Zebra Technologies Cl. A 1	28,100	1,005,418
Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment - 2.4% Aixtron ADR	72,000	914,400

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 25

Royce Value Trust

Schedule of Investments

Information Technology	SHARES	VALUE
(continued)		
Semiconductors &		
Semiconductor Equipment (continued)		
Analog Devices	16,004	\$ 572,623
ASM Pacific Technology	110,000	1,234,324
BE Semiconductor Industries ⁴	58,000	376,420
Cymer 1	105,700	5,259,632
Diodes 1,3	262,850	5,598,705
Exar Corporation 1	157,576	1,024,244
International Rectifier 1	120,000	2,330,400
Power Integrations	49,000	1,624,840
Teradyne 1.3	240,200	3,273,926
Veeco Instruments 1,3	66,000	1,372,800
		23,582,314
Software - 2.0%		
ACI Worldwide ¹	131,150	3,756,136
Advent Software _	68,500	1,668,660
ANSYS 1	105,600	6,048,768
Aspen Technology ¹	42,100	730,435
Blackbaud	41,890	1,160,353
JDA Software Group ¹	49,900	1,616,261
Majesco Entertainment $\frac{1,3}{2}$	36,255	88,462
Net 1 UEPS Technologies 1,3	50,000	383,500
NetScout Systems 1	66,000	1,161,600
SimCorp	17,350	2,649,340
THQ 1,3	20,000	15,200
		19,278,715
Total (Cost \$191,860,117)		215,785,113
Materials 9.9%		
Chemicals - 1.4%	_	
Cabot Corporation	58,000	1,864,120
CF Industries Holdings Fufeng Group	4,500 3,029,100	652,410 1,388,458
Hanfeng Evergreen ¹	7,700	20,785
Hawkins	110,978	4,090,649
Huchems Fine Chemical	40,056	693,678
Intrepid Potash 1	94,727	2,143,672
OM Group ¹	90,000	2,015,100

Victrex	70,000	1,191,462
		14,060,334
Construction Materials - 0.8% Ash Grove Cement Cl. B ⁴ Mardin Cimento Sanayii	50,518 325,000	6,567,340 1,026,270
		7,593,610
Containers & Packaging - 1.3% Broadway Industrial Group Greif Cl. A Mayr-Melnhof Karton	1,677,200 119,444 75,000	381,461 5,440,674 6,358,976
		12,181,111
Metals & Mining - 6.2%		
Allegheny Technologies	3,500	167,300
Aquarius Platinum	350,000	851,743
AuRico Gold 1	218,300	1,748,583
Centamin 1	1,200,000	1,548,000
Central Steel & Wire ⁴	6,062	3,970,610

	SHARES	VALUE
Materials (continued)		
Metals & Mining (continued)		
Cliffs Natural Resources	37,200	
Commercial Metals	36,600	506,178
Endeavour Mining $\frac{1,3}{2}$	300,000	715,583
Endeavour Mining (Warrants)		
1	75,000	51,534
Fresnillo	40,000	948,572
Hecla Mining	300,000	1,569,000
Hidili Industry International		
Development	60,000	17,768
Hochschild Mining	375,500	2,249,799
IAMGOLD Corporation	95,620	1,515,577
Kimber Resources 1,3	560,000	481,600
Maharashtra Seamless	265,000	1,590,349
Major Drilling Group	0.45.400	5 004 151
International	345,100	5,264,151
Medusa Mining	525,000	2,389,517
New Gold ¹	135,000	1,360,800
Northam Platinum	460,000	1,709,497
Nucor Corporation	166,050	6,570,598
Orbit Garant Drilling 1	36,100	183,911
Reliance Steel & Aluminum	152,920	7,445,675
Royal Gold	34,400	2,319,592
Schnitzer Steel Industries Cl. A	100 000	4 220 000
Silvercorp Metals	100,000 116,500	4,228,000 745,600
Sims Metal Management ADR	232,383	2,986,122
Synalloy Corporation	178,800	1,836,276
Worthington Industries	185,000	3,030,300
3	,	
		00 004 005

60,321,655

Paper	&	Forest	Products	-
0.2%				

China Forestry Holdings 1,2	3,563,800	676,822
Duratex	120,000	573,864
Qunxing Paper Holdings ²	3,296,000	437,113

1,687,799

Total (Cost \$82,708,352) 95,844,509

Telecommunication Services 0.6% Wireless Telecommunication

Services - 0.6%

Telephone & Data

Systems 210,000 5,436,900

Total (Cost \$5,760,616) 5,436,900

Miscellaneous 6 4.9%

Total (Cost \$50,772,540) 47,162,474

TOTAL COMMON STOCKS

(Cost \$936,906,235) 1,106,481,729

PREFERRED STOCK
Seneca Foods Conv. ____ 0.1% (Cost \$796,469)

55,000 1,278,090

26 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

December 31, 2011

VALUE

PRINCIPAL AMOUNT

CORPORATE BOND 0.0%

GAMCO Investors (Debentures) 0.00%

due 12/31/15

(Cost \$289,840) \$ 289,800 \$ 190,063

REPURCHASE AGREEMENT

8.7%

Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, 0.01% dated 12/30/11, due 1/3/12, maturity value \$84,083,093 (collateralized by obligations of various U.S. Government Agencies, 4.25% due 9/30/12, valued at \$86,188,947)

(Cost \$84,083,000)

84,083,000

VALUE COLLATERAL RECEIVED FOR

SECURITIES LOANED 2.0%

Money Market Funds Federated Government Obligations Fund (7 day yield-0.0098%)

(Cost \$18,943,423) \$ 18,943,423

TOTAL INVESTMENTS 125.3%

(Cost \$1,041,018,967) 1,210,976,305

LIABILITIES LESS CASH

AND OTHER ASSETS (2.5)% (24,336,432)

PREFERRED STOCK (22.8)% (220,000,000)

NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO

COMMON

STOCKHOLDERS 100.0% \$ 966,639,873

New additions in 2011.

- 1 Non-income producing.
- 2 Securities for which market quotations are not readily available represent 0.3% of net assets. These securities have been valued at their fair value under procedures approved by the Fund's Board of Directors. These securities are defined as Level 3 securities due to the use of significant unobservable inputs in the determination of fair value. See Notes to Financial Statements.
- 3 All or a portion of these securities were on loan at December 31, 2011. Total market value of loaned securities at December 31, 2011, was \$18,351,690.
- 4These securities are defined as Level 2 securities due to fair value being based on quoted prices for similar securities. See Notes to Financial Statements.
- 5 At December 31, 2011, the Fund owned 5% or more of the Company's outstanding voting securities thereby making the Company an Affiliated Company as that term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940. See Notes to Financial Statements.
- 6 Includes securities first acquired in 2011 and less than 1% of net assets applicable to Common Stockholders.

Bold indicates the Fund's 20 largest equity holdings in terms of December 31, 2011, market value.

TAX INFORMATION: The cost of total investments for Federal income tax purposes was \$1,036,798,390. At December 31, 2011, net unrealized appreciation for all securities was \$174,177,915, consisting of aggregate gross unrealized appreciation of \$315,126,090 and aggregate gross unrealized depreciation of \$140,948,175. The primary difference between book and tax basis cost is the timing of the recognition of losses on securities sold.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders |

Royce Value Trust

December 31, 2011

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

ASSETS: Investments at value (including collateral on loaned securities) Non-Affiliated Companies (cost \$951,503,401) Affiliated Companies (cost \$5,432,566)	\$ 1,125,183,135 1,710,170
Total investments at value Repurchase agreements (at cost and value) Cash and foreign currency Receivable for investments sold Receivable for dividends and interest Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,126,893,305 84,083,000 157,955 422,538 1,223,901 438,826
Total Assets	1,213,219,525
LIABILITIES: Payable for collateral on loaned securities Payable for investments purchased Payable for investment advisory fee Preferred dividends accrued but not yet declared Accrued expenses	18,943,423 6,565,490 495,287 288,451 287,001
Total Liabilities	26,579,652
PREFERRED STOCK: 5.90% Cumulative Preferred Stock - \$0.001 par value, \$25 liquidation value per share; 8,800,000 shares outstanding	220,000,000
Total Preferred Stock	220,000,000
NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 966,639,873
ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS: Common Stock paid-in capital - \$0.001 par value per share; 68,171,494 shares outstanding (150,000,000 shares authorized) Undistributed net investment income (loss) Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and foreign currency Preferred dividends accrued but not yet declared	\$ 792,918,593 2,529,467 1,534,891 169,945,371 (288,449)
Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders (net asset value per share - \$14.18)	\$ 966,639,873
Investments at identified cost (including \$18,943,423 of collateral on loaned securities) Market value of loaned securities	\$ 956,935,967 18,351,690

Royce Value Trust

INVESTMENT INCOME:

STATEMENTS.

Year Ended December 31, 2011

Statement of Operations

INVESTMENT INCOME: Income:	
Dividends1	\$ 16,894,268
Interest	70,069
Securities lending	291,553
Total income	17,255,890
Expenses:	
Investment advisory fees	9,250,388
Stockholder reports	390,291
Custody and transfer agent fees	353,506
Administrative and office facilities Directors' fees	130,674
Professional fees	123,009 93,940
Other expenses	174,244
'	,
Total expenses	10,516,052
Net investment income (loss)	6,739,838
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS AND FOREIGN	CURRENCY:
Net realized gain (loss): Investments in Non-Affiliated Companies	36,155,485
Investments in Affiliated Companies	(205,752)
Foreign currency transactions	(235,955)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation):	,
Investments and foreign currency translations	(143,666,818)
Other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency	(3,447)
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency	(107,956,487)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS FROM INVESTMENT OPERATION	VIC (101.016.640)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS FROM INVESTMENT OPERATION	NS (101,216,649)
DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED STOCKHOLDERS	(12,980,000)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON	
STOCKHOLDERS FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS	\$ (114,196,649)
¹ Net of foreign withholding tax of \$586,096.	ψ (111,100,010)
THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL 2	2011 Annual Report to Stockholders
STATEMENTS	20

Statement of Changes in Net Assets Applicable to Common Stockholders

	Year ended 12/31/11	Year ended 12/31/10
INVESTMENT OPERATIONS: Net investment income (loss) Net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and	\$ 6,739,838 35,713,778	\$ 15,554,527 111,092,900
foreign currency	(143,670,265)	143,429,334
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from investment operations	(101,216,649)	270,076,761
DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED STOCKHOLDERS: Net investment income Net realized gain on investments and foreign currency	(2,024,508) (10,955,492)	(12,980,000)
Total distributions to Preferred Stockholders	(12,980,000)	(12,980,000)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS		
FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS	(114,196,649)	257,096,761
DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS: Net investment income Net realized gain on investments and foreign currency Return of capital	(5,275,650) (28,548,829) (18,288,444)	(1,980,699)
Total distributions to Common Stockholders	(52,112,923)	(1,980,699)
CAPITAL STOCK TRANSACTIONS: Reinvestment of distributions to Common Stockholders	27,070,308	986,327
Total capital stock transactions	27,070,308	986,327
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	(139,239,264)	256,102,389
NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS: Beginning of year	1,105,879,137	849,776,748
End of year (including undistributed net investment income (loss) of \$2,529,467 at 12/31/11 and \$2,347,906 at 12/31/10)	\$ 966,639,873	\$ 1,105,879,137

30 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Financial Highlights

This table is presented to show selected data for a share of Common Stock outstanding throughout each period, and to assist stockholders in evaluating the Fund's performance for the periods presented.

Years ended December 31,

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
NET ASSET VALUE, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	\$ 16.73	\$ 12.87	\$ 9.37	\$ 19.74	\$ 20.62
INVESTMENT OPERATIONS: Net investment income (loss) Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments and	0.10	0.24	0.17	0.14	0.09
foreign currency	(1.62)	3.85	3.87	(8.50)	1.13
Total investment operations	(1.52)	4.09	4.04	(8.36)	1.22
DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED STOCKHOLDERS:					
Net investment income Net realized gain on investments and	(0.03)	(0.20)	(0.18)	(0.01)	(0.01)
foreign currency Return of capital	(0.16)		(0.02)	(0.20)	(0.21)
Total distributions to Preferred Stockholders	(0.19)	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.21)	(0.22)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS	(1.71)	3.89	3.84	(8.57)	1.00
OPERATIONS	(1.71)	3.09	3.04	(0.57)	1.00
DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS:					
Net investment income	(0.08)	(0.03)		(0.06)	(0.09)
Net realized gain on investments and foreign currency Return of capital	(0.43) (0.27)		(0.32)	(1.18) (0.48)	(1.76)
Total distributions to Common Stockholders	(0.78)	(0.03)	(0.32)	(1.72)	(1.85)
CAPITAL STOCK TRANSACTIONS: Effect of reinvestment of distributions by Common Stockholders	(0.06)	(0.00)	(0.02)	(0.08)	(0.03)
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.02)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Total capital stock transactions	(0.06)	(0.00)	(0.02)	(80.0)	(0.03)
NET ASSET VALUE, END OF PERIOD	\$ 14.18	\$ 16.73	\$ 12.87	\$ 9.37	\$ 19.74

MARKET VALUE, END OF PERIOD	\$	12.27	\$	14.54	\$	10.79	\$	8.39	\$	18.58
TOTAL RETURN:										
Market Value		(10.46)%	6	35.05%	6	35.39%	6	(48.27)%		(8.21)%
Net Asset Value		(10.06)%	6	30.27%	6	44.59%	6	(45.62)%	6	5.04%
RATIOS BASED ON AVERAGE NET										
ASSETS APPLICABLE TO										
COMMON STOCKHOLDERS:										
Investment advisory fee expense ²		0.86%		0.119	-	0.00%		1.27%		1.29%
Other operating expenses		0.12%		0.12%	-	0.16%	-	0.12%		0.09%
Total expenses (net)3		0.98%	,	0.23%	6	0.16%	6	1.39%)	1.38%
Expenses prior to fee waivers and balance										
credits		0.98%		0.23%		0.16%		1.39%		1.38%
Expenses prior to fee waivers		0.98%		0.23%		0.16%	-	1.39%		1.38%
Net investment income (loss)		0.63%	•	1.69%	6	1.66%	6	0.94%		0.43%
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:										
Net Assets Applicable to Common										
Stockholders,										
End of Period (in thousands)	\$	966,640	\$	1,105,879	\$	849,777	\$	603,234	\$ -	1,184,669
Liquidation Value of Preferred Stock,	_						_			
End of Period (in thousands)	\$	220,000	\$	220,000	\$	220,000	\$	220,000	\$	220,000
Portfolio Turnover Rate		26%	•	30%	6	31%	6	25%)	26%
PREFERRED STOCK:										
Total shares outstanding		8,800,000		8,800,000		8,800,000		3,800,000		3,800,000
Asset coverage per share	\$	134.88	\$	150.67	\$	121.57	\$	93.55	\$	159.62
Liquidation preference per share	\$	25.00	\$	25.00	\$	25.00	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
Average month-end market value per			_				_			
share	\$	25.37	\$	25.06	\$	23.18	\$	22.51	\$	23.68

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 31

¹ The Market Value Total Return is calculated assuming a purchase of Common Stock on the opening of the first business day and a sale on the closing of the last business day of each period. Dividends and distributions are assumed for the purposes of this calculation to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund's Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan. Net Asset Value Total Return is calculated on the same basis, except that the Fund's net asset value is used on the purchase and sale dates instead of market value.

²The investment advisory fee is calculated based on average net assets over a rolling 60-month basis, while the above ratios of investment advisory fee expenses are based on the average net assets applicable to Common Stockholders over a 12-month basis.

³ Expense ratios based on total average net assets including liquidation value of Preferred Stock were 0.82%, 0.18%, 0.12%, 1.13% and 1.17% for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Notes to Financial Statements

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Royce Value Trust, Inc. (the Fund), was incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland on July 1, 1986, as a diversified closed-end investment company. The Fund commenced operations on November 26, 1986.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Under the Fund s organizational documents, the officers and directors are indemnified against certain liabilities that may arise out of the performance of their duties to the Fund. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Fund enters into contracts with service providers that contain general indemnification clauses.

Valuation of Investments:

Securities are valued as of the close of trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) (generally 4:00 p.m. Eastern time) on the valuation date. Securities that trade on an exchange, and securities traded on Nasdaq's Electronic Bulletin Board, are valued at their last reported sales price or Nasdaq official closing price taken from the primary market in which each security trades or, if no sale is reported for such day, at their bid price. Other over-the-counter securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at their highest bid price, except in the case of some bonds and other fixed income securities which may be valued by reference to other securities with comparable ratings, interest rates and maturities, using established independent pricing services. The Fund values its non-U.S. dollar denominated securities in U.S. dollars daily at the prevailing foreign currency exchange rates as quoted by a major bank. Securities for which market quotations are not readily available are valued at their fair value under procedures approved by the Fund s Board of Directors. In addition, if, between the time trading ends on a particular security and the close of the customary trading session on the NYSE, events occur that are significant and may make the closing price unreliable, the Fund may fair value the security. The Fund uses an independent pricing service to provide fair value estimates for relevant non-U.S. equity securities on days when the U.S. market volatility exceeds a certain threshold. This pricing service uses proprietary correlations it has developed between the movement of prices of non-U.S. equity securities and indices of U.S.-traded securities, futures contracts and other indications to estimate the fair value of relevant non-U.S. securities. When fair value pricing is employed, the prices of securities used by the Fund may differ from quoted or published prices for the same security. Investments in money market funds are valued at net asset value per share.

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund s investments, as noted above. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels below:

Level 1 guoted prices in active markets for identical securities.

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, foreign securities that may be fair valued and repurchase agreements). The table below includes all Level 2 securities. Level 2 securities with values based on quoted prices for similar securities are noted in the Schedule of Investments.

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments). The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

The following is a summary of the inputs used to value the Fund s investments as of December 31, 2011. For a detailed breakout of common stocks by sector classification, please refer to the Schedule of Investments.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Common Stocks Preferred Stocks	\$ 886,429,719	\$ 218,350,981	\$ 1,701,029 1,278,090	\$ 1,106,481,729 1,278,090
Corporate Bonds Cash Equivalents	18,943,423	190,063 84,083,000		190,063 103,026,423

Level 3 Reconciliation:

Balance as	Purchases	Transfers	Transfers	Sales	Realized	Balance as
of		In	Out		and	of

	12/31/10					Unrealized Gain (Loss) <u>1</u>	12/31/11
Common Stocks	\$ 1,925,934	\$ 3,208,800	\$ 2,254,555	\$ 2,429,807	\$ 259,787	\$ (2,998,666)	\$ 1,701,029
Preferred Stocks Corporate	1,372,514				48,157	(46,267)	1,278,090
Bonds	197,064			197,064			

¹ The net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) is included in the accompanying Statement of Operations. Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) includes net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) resulting from changes in investment values during the reporting period and the reversal of previously recorded unrealized appreciation (depreciation) when gains or losses are realized. Net realized gain (loss) from investments and foreign currency transactions is included in the accompanying Statement of Operations.

32 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Repurchase Agreements:

The Fund may enter into repurchase agreements with institutions that the Fund s investment adviser has determined are creditworthy. The Fund restricts repurchase agreements to maturities of no more than seven days. Securities pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements, which are held until maturity of the repurchase agreements, are marked-to-market daily and maintained at a value at least equal to the principal amount of the repurchase agreement (including accrued interest). Repurchase agreements could involve certain risks in the event of default or insolvency of the counter-party, including possible delays or restrictions upon the ability of the Fund to dispose of its underlying securities.

Foreign Currency:

Net realized foreign exchange gains or losses arise from sales and maturities of short-term securities, sales of foreign currencies, expiration of currency forward contracts, currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, and the difference between the amounts of dividends, interest, and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Fund's books and the U.S. dollar equivalent of the amounts actually received or paid. Net unrealized foreign exchange gains and losses arise from changes in the value of assets and liabilities, including investments in securities at the end of the reporting period, as a result of changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Securities Lending:

The Fund loans securities through a lending agent to qualified institutional investors for the purpose of realizing additional income. Collateral for the Fund on all securities loaned is accepted in cash and cash equivalents and invested temporarily by the custodian. The collateral maintained is at least 100% of the current market value of the loaned securities. The market value of the loaned securities is determined at the close of business of the Fund and any additional required collateral is delivered to the Fund on the next business day. The Fund retains the risk of any loss on the securities on loan as well as incurring the potential loss on investments purchased with cash collateral received for securities lending. The Fund is securities lending income consists of the income earned on investing cash collateral, plus any premium payments received for lending certain securities, less any rebates paid to borrowers and lending agent fees associated with the loan. The lending agent is not affiliated with Royce.

Taxes:

As a qualified regulated investment company under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code, the Fund is not subject to income taxes to the extent that it distributes substantially all of its taxable income for its fiscal year. The Schedule of Investments includes information regarding income taxes under the caption Tax Information .

Distributions:

Commencing March 2011, the Fund pays quarterly distributions on the Fund s Common Stock at the annual rate of 5% of the rolling average of the prior four calendar quarter-end NAVs of the Fund s Common Stock, with the fourth quarter distribution being the greater of 1.25% of the rolling average or the distribution required by IRS regulations. Distributions to Preferred Stockholders are accrued daily and paid quarterly and distributions to Common Stockholders are recorded on ex-dividend date. Distributable capital gains and/or net investment income are first allocated to Preferred Stockholder distributions, with any excess allocable to Common Stockholders. If capital gains and/or net investment income are allocated to both Preferred and Common Stockholders, the tax character of such allocations is proportional. To the extent that distributions are not paid from long-term capital gains, net investment income or net short-term capital gains, they will represent a return of capital. Distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations that may differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Permanent book and tax differences relating to stockholder distributions will result in reclassifications within the capital accounts. Undistributed net investment income may include temporary book and tax basis differences, which will reverse in a subsequent period. Any taxable income or gain remaining undistributed at fiscal year end is distributed in the following year.

Investment Transactions and Related Investment Income:

Investment transactions are accounted for on the trade date. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Non-cash dividend income is recorded at the fair market value of the securities received. Interest income is recorded on an accrual basis. Premium and discounts on debt securities are amortized using the effective yield-to-maturity method. Realized gains and losses from investment transactions are determined on the basis of identified cost for book and tax purposes.

Expenses:

The Fund incurs direct and indirect expenses. Expenses directly attributable to the Fund are charged to the Fund s operations, while expenses applicable to more than one of the Royce Funds are allocated equitably. Certain personnel, occupancy costs and other administrative expenses related to The Royce Funds are allocated by Royce & Associates, LLC (Royce) under an administration agreement and are included in administrative and office facilities and professional fees. The Fund has adopted a deferred fee agreement that allows the Directors to defer the receipt of all or a portion of directors fees otherwise payable. The deferred fees are invested in certain Royce Funds until distributed in accordance with the agreement.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 33

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Compensating Balance Credits:

The Fund has an arrangement with its custodian bank, whereby a portion of the custodian's fee is paid indirectly by credits earned on the Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. This deposit arrangement is an alternative to purchasing overnight investments. Conversely, the Fund pays interest to the custodian on any cash overdrafts, to the extent they are not offset by credits earned on positive cash balances.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standard Update No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU No. 2011-04). ASU No. 2011-04 establishes common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. ASU No. 2011-04 is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Management is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 will have on the Fund s financial statements and related disclosures.

Capital Stock:

The Fund issued 2,076,969 and 71,215 shares of Common Stock as reinvestment of distributions by Common Stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2011, 8,800,000 shares of 5.90% Cumulative Preferred Stock were outstanding. The Fund, at its option, may redeem the Cumulative Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at the redemption price. The Cumulative Preferred Stock is classified outside of permanent equity (net assets applicable to Common Stockholders) in the accompanying financial statements in accordance with accounting for redeemable equity instruments, that requires preferred securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets to be classified outside of permanent equity to the extent that the redemption is at a fixed or determinable price and at the option of the holder or upon the occurrence of an event that is not solely within the control of the issuer.

The Fund is required to meet certain asset coverage tests with respect to the Cumulative Preferred Stock as required by the 1940 Act. In addition, pursuant to the Rating Agency Guidelines established by Moody s, the Fund is required to maintain a certain discounted asset coverage. If the Fund fails to meet these requirements and does not correct such failure, the Fund may be required to redeem, in part or in full, the Cumulative Preferred Stock at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus an amount equal to the accumulated and unpaid dividends, whether or not declared on such shares, in order to meet these requirements. Additionally, failure to meet the foregoing asset coverage requirements could restrict the Fund's ability to pay dividends to Common Stockholders and could lead to sales of portfolio securities at inopportune times. The Fund has met these requirements since issuing the Cumulative Preferred Stock.

Investment Advisory Agreement:

As compensation for its services under the Investment Advisory Agreement, Royce receives a fee comprised of a Basic Fee (Basic Fee) and an adjustment to the Basic Fee based on the investment performance of the Fund in relation to the investment record of the S&P SmallCap 600 Index (S&P 600).

The Basic Fee is a monthly fee equal to 1/12 of 1% (1% on an annualized basis) of the average of the Fund s month-end net assets applicable to Common Stockholders, plus the liquidation value of Preferred Stock, for the rolling 60-month period ending with such month (the performance period). The Basic Fee for each month is increased or decreased at the rate of 1/12 of .05% for each percentage point that the investment performance of the Fund exceeds, or is exceeded by, the percentage change in the investment record of the S&P 600 for the performance period by more than two percentage points. The performance period for each such month is a rolling 60-month period ending with such month. The maximum increase or decrease in the Basic Fee for any month may not exceed 1/12 of .5%. Accordingly, for each month, the maximum monthly fee rate as adjusted for performance is 1/12 of 1.5% and is payable if the investment performance of the Fund exceeds the percentage change in the investment record of the S&P 600 by 12 or more percentage points for the performance period, and the minimum monthly fee rate as adjusted for performance is 1/12 of .5% and is payable if the percentage change in the investment record of the S&P 600 exceeds the investment performance of the Fund by 12 or more percentage points for the performance period.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Royce is not entitled to receive any fee for any month when the investment performance of the Fund for the rolling 36-month period ending with such month is negative. In the event that the Fund s investment performance for such a performance period is less than zero, Royce will not be required to refund to the Fund any fee earned in respect of any prior performance period.

Royce has voluntarily committed to waive the portion of its investment advisory fee attributable to an issue of the Fund s

Preferred Stock for any month in which the Fund s average annual NAV total return since issuance of the Preferred Stock fails to exceed the applicable Preferred Stock's dividend rate.

For the twelve rolling 60-month periods in 2011, the Fund s investment performance ranged from 1% above to 15% below the investment performance of the S&P 600. Accordingly, the net investment advisory fee consisted of a Basic Fee of \$10,992,211 and a net downward adjustment of \$1,741,823 for the performance of the Fund relative to that of the S&P 600. The Fund paid no investment advisory fee for the month of September 2011, because its investment performance for the trailing 36-month period was negative. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Fund accrued and paid Royce investment advisory fees totaling \$9,250,388.

34 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Purchases and Sales of Investment Securities:

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the costs of purchases and proceeds from sales of investment securities, other than short-term securities and collateral received for securities loaned, amounted to \$333,711,985 and \$309,828,445, respectively.

Distributions to Stockholders:

The tax character of distributions paid to common stockholders during 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

The tax character of distributions paid to preferred stockholders during 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

 Distributions paid from:
 2011
 2010
 Distributions paid from:
 2011
 2010

 Ordinary income
 \$ 6,285,946
 \$ 1,980,699
 Ordinary income
 \$ 2,412,205
 \$ 12,980,000

Long-term capital gain 27,538,533 Long-term capital gain 10,567,795

Return of capital 18,288,444 \$12,980,000 \$12,980,000

\$52,112,923 \$1,980,699

As of December 31, 2011, the tax basis components of distributable earnings included in stockholders equity were as follows:

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) \$174,165,944

Post October loss* (156,215)

Accrued preferred distributions (288,449)

\$173,721,280

The difference between book and tax basis unrealized appreciation (depreciation) is attributable primarily to the tax deferral on wash sales, partnership investments and the unrealized gains on Passive Foreign Investment Companies.

For financial reporting purposes, capital accounts and distributions to stockholders are adjusted to reflect the tax character of permanent book/tax differences. These differences are primarily due to differing treatments of income and gains on various investment securities and foreign currency transactions held by the Fund, timing differences and different characterization of distributions made by the Fund. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Fund recorded the following permanent reclassifications. Results of operations and net assets were not affected by these reclassifications.

Undistributed NetAccumulated NetPaid-inInvestment IncomeRealized Gain (Loss)Capital\$741,879\$224,557\$(966,436)

Management has analyzed the Fund s tax positions taken on federal income tax returns for all open tax years (2008-2011) and has concluded that as of December 31, 2011, no provision for income tax is required in the Fund s financial statements.

Transactions in Affiliated Companies:

An Affiliated Company as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, is a company in which a fund owns 5% or more of the company s outstanding voting securities at any time during the period. The Fund effected the following transactions in shares of

^{*}Under the current tax law, capital losses, foreign currency losses and losses realized on Passive Foreign Investment Companies after October 31 may be deferred and treated as occurring on the first day of the following fiscal year. As of December 31, 2011, the Fund had \$156,215 of post October currency losses.

such companies for the year ended December 31, 2011:

Affiliated Company	Shares 12/31/10	Market Value 12/31/10	Cost of Purchases	Cost of Sales	Realized Gain (Loss)	Dividence Income		Market Value 12/31/11
Timberland								
Bancorp	469,200	\$1,731,348		\$ 305,750	\$ (205,752)		444,200	\$ 1,710,170
		\$1,731,348			\$ (205,752)			\$ 1,710,170
							2011 Annual R	eport to Stockholde

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Royce Value Trust, Inc. New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of Royce Value Trust, Inc., (Fund) including the schedule of investments, as of December 31, 2011, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Fund is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of December 31, 2011, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Royce Value Trust, Inc. at December 31, 2011, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

TAIT. WELLER. & BAKER LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania February 21, 2012

36 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

Royce Micro-Cap Trust

December 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments

COMMON STOCKS 104.8%	SHARES	VALUE
Consumer Discretionary 13.2%		
Auto Components - 1.6% China XD Plastics ¹	74 700	Φ 000.000
Drew Industries 1	74,700 134,700	\$ 398,898 3,304,191
Norstar Founders Group 1,2	771,500	36,258
Williams Controls	50,388	557,291
		4,296,638
Distributors - 0.4%		
Weyco Group Diversified Consumer Services - 0.4%	48,000	1,178,400
ChinaCast Education 1	121,700	744,804
Lincoln Educational Services Spectrum Group International 1,3	28,400 6,925	224,360 16,412
Spectrum Group international	0,923	10,412
		985,576
Hotels, Restaurants & Leisure - 0.2%		
Benihana 1	64,100	655,743
Household Durables - 2.6%		
Cavco Industries 1	3,091	123,825
CSS Industries Ethan Allen Interiors	20,243 81,600	403,241 1,934,736
Flexsteel Industries	172,500	2,387,400
Koss Corporation	73,400	370,670
Natuzzi ADR ¹	409,800	926,148
Skullcandy 1,4	28,500	356,820
Universal Electronics ¹	39,200	661,304
		7,164,144
Internet & Catalog Retail - 0.9%		
Geeknet 1	93,500	1,594,175
NutriSystem	21,800	281,874
US Auto Parts Network _	140,900	615,733
		2,491,782

Leisure Equipment & Products - 0.4%

0.4%		
Leapfrog Enterprises Cl. A _	121,400	678,626
Sturm, Ruger & Co.	12,800	428,288
		1,106,914
14 U 0 00/		
Media - 0.3%		
Global Sources _	45,119	218,827
Rentrak Corporation _	45,000	642,600
		004 407
		861,427
Specialty Retail - 4.6%		
America s Car-Mart	92,800	3,635,904
- .	,	, ,
Charming Shoppes _ Dickson Concepts (International)	747,800 382,000	3,664,220 198,707
Le Chateau Cl. A	73,100	118,395
Lewis Group	57,000	565,937
Shoe Carnival ¹	17,652	453,656
Stein Mart ¹	178,900	1,218,309
Systemax 1	112,000	1,837,920
West Marine ¹	86,000	1,000,180
Wet Seal (The) Cl. A 1	66,479	216,722
rrot ocal (rrio) on re	00,170	210,722
		12,909,950
		, , = -
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury Goods - 1.8%		
China Xiniya Fashion ADR 1,4	40,000	80,000

	SHARES	VALUE
Consumer Discretionary		
(continued)		
Textiles, Apparel & Luxury		
Goods (continued)	F0 000	Φ 4 000 700
G-III Apparel Group 1		\$ 1,302,793
J.G. Boswell Company 2	2,490	1,705,650
K-Swiss Cl. A 1,4	72,400	211,408
Movado Group	77,633	1,410,591
True Religion Apparel 1	12,200	421,876
		5,132,318
Total (Cost \$28,509,406)		36,782,892
,		
Consumer Staples 3.7%		
Beverages - 0.5%		
Heckmann Corporation 1,4	200,000	1,330,000
Food & Staples Retailing - 0.5%		
Arden Group Cl. A	16,000	1,440,160

Food Products - 2.5%		
Asian Citrus Holdings	1,060,000	552,751
Binggrae	9,700	501,840
BW Plantation	744,900	92,009
Farmer Bros.	41,400	316,296
Griffin Land & Nurseries	56,273	1,488,983
Origin Agritech 1,4	121,488	286,712
Seneca Foods Cl. A 1	51,400	1,327,148
Seneca Foods Cl. B 1	42,500	1,106,700
Waterloo Investment		
Holdings 1,2	806,207	112,708
Westway Group	220,000	1,232,000
		7,017,147
		7,017,147
Personal Products - 0.2%		
Inter Parfums	26,400	410,784
Schiff Nutrition International Cl. A		
_	15,915	170,291
		F04 07F
		581,075
Total (Cost \$9,059,968)		10,368,382
Energy 4.0%		
Energy Equipment & Services -		
3.1%		
CE Franklin ¹	45,450	372,690
Dawson Geophysical ¹	53,213	2,103,510
Global Geophysical Services ¹	35,000	235,200
Gulf Island Fabrication	29,116	850,478
Lamprell	202,400	844,597
North American Energy Partners		
-	50,000	322,000
OYO Geospace 1	7,130	551,363
Pason Systems	139,200	1,639,657
Pioneer Drilling 1	57,500	556,600
Tesco Corporation 1	50,000	632,000
Willbros Group ¹	131,100	481,137
		8,589,232
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels - 0.9%		
Approach Resources ^{1,4}	12,000	352,920
Credo Petroleum ¹	98,000	1,009,400
Sprott Resource 1	125,200	487,896
Uranerz Energy 1,4	29,000	52,780
, - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_5,555	32,730

Royce Micro-Cap Trust

Schedule of Investments

Energy (continued)	SHARES	VALUE
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels (continued)		
VAALCO Energy ¹	109,100	\$ 658,964
		2,561,960
Total (Cost \$7,861,662)		11,151,192
Financials 19.6%		
Capital Markets - 7.8%		
ASA Gold and Precious Metals	30,000	785,700
BKF Capital Group 1,3	130,200	144,522
Cohen & Steers	27,900	806,310
Diamond Hill Investment Group	34,479	2,550,756
Duff & Phelps Cl. A	50,000	725,000
Edelman Financial Group (The)	209,000	1,373,130
Epoch Holding Corporation	196,500	4,368,195
FBR & Co. 1	215,000	440,750
Fiera Sceptre	78,000	481,590
INTL FCStone ¹	24,910	587,129
JZ Capital Partners	363,999	1,921,988
MVC Capital	151,200	1,752,408
NGP Capital Resources	161,828	1,163,543
Queen City Investments ³	948	934,728
U.S. Global Investors Cl. A	91,500	551,745
Urbana Corporation 1	237,600	207,572
Virtus Investment Partners ¹	35,000	2,660,350
Westwood Holdings Group	8,800	321,640
		21,777,056
Commercial Banks - 2.1%		
BCB Holdings ¹	806,207	338,051
Chemung Financial	40,000	908,000
Fauquier Bankshares	135,800	1,473,430
Financial Institutions	36,000	581,040
First Bancorp	40,200	617,874
LCNB Corporation	28,638	357,975
Orrstown Financial Services	18,500	152,625
Peapack-Gladstone Financial	124,000	1,331,760

		5,760,755
Diversified Financial Services - 0.7%		
Banca Finnat Euramerica	1,310,000	488,125
Bolsa Mexicana de Valores	300,000	475,539
GAIN Capital Holdings	25,000	167,500
PICO Holdings ¹	45,700	940,506
		2,071,670
Insurance - 3.0%		
Hilltop Holdings ¹	101,400	856,830
Independence Holding	95,800	778,854
Presidential Life	241,100	2,408,589
SeaBright Holdings	191,000	1,461,150
State Auto Financial	99,394	1,350,764
United Fire & Casualty	83,603	1,687,109
		8,543,296
Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) - 1.0%		
BRT Realty Trust 1	232,328	1,472,959

Financials (continued)	SHARES	VALUE
Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) (continued) PennyMac Mortgage Investment Trust	80,000	\$ 1,329,600 2,802,559
Real Estate Management &		
Development - 4.2% Consolidated-Tomoka Land	62,750	1,698,642
Forestar Group ¹	151,000	, ,
Kennedy-Wilson Holdings	465,358	
Tejon Ranch ¹	110,162	2,696,766
ZipRealty ¹	25,000	28,250
		11,631,776
Thrifts & Mortgage Finance - 0.8% Alliance Bancorp, Inc. of		
Pennsylvania	41,344	444,861
Bofl Holding ^{1,4}	91,262	
HopFed Bancorp	57,222	371,943
		2,299,812
Total (Cost \$49,898,103)		54,886,924

Health Care 6.1% Biotechnology - 0.5%		
Acadia Pharmaceuticals 1,4	498,000	537,840
3SBio ADR 1	34,980	357,496
Vical 1,4	120,000	529,200
		1,424,536
Health Care Equipment & Supplies - 4.1%		
Allied Healthcare Products ¹	226,798	771,113
Atrion Corporation	7,557	1,815,418
CryoLife 1	50,573	242,750
DynaVox Cl. A 1	20,000	72,800
Exactech 1	132,100	2,175,687
Hansen Medical 1,4	87,000	224,460
Kensey Nash 1	39,378	755,664
Medical Action Industries 1	125,250	655,058
STRATEC Biomedical	14,000	575,294
Syneron Medical 1	69,200	766,044
Theragenics Corporation Utah Medical Products	336,900 42,300	565,992 1,142,100
Young Innovations	61,450	1,820,764
		11,583,144
Health Care Providers & Services - 0.6%		
Gentiva Health Services ¹	23,000	155,250
PDI 1,4	65,383	421,720
PharMerica Corporation ¹	40,000	607,200
Psychemedics Corporation	37,500	341,250
U.S. Physical Therapy	10,000	196,800
		1,722,220
Health Care Technology - 0.2%		
Transcend Services 1	22,900	543,417
Life Sciences Tools & Services - 0.4%		
Furiex Pharmaceuticals ¹	23,758	396,996
PAREXEL International $\frac{1}{2}$	28,800	597,312
		994,308
DI		
Pharmaceuticals - 0.3% Daewoong Pharmaceutical	12,261	308,654

December 31, 2011

	SHARES	VALUE
Industrials (continued)		
Machinery - 5.9% Armstrong Industrial	2 510 600	\$ 436,903
Cascade Corporation	2,518,600 8,600	\$ 436,903 405,662
CIRCOR International	14,000	494,340
Columbus McKinnon ¹	26,950	341,996
Eastern Company (The)	39,750	798,975
FAG Bearings India	23,700	468,578
Foster (L.B.) Company Cl. A	66,200	1,872,798
FreightCar America 1,4	50,200	1,051,690
Graham Corporation	50,300	1,128,732
Hurco Companies ¹	53,866	1,131,186
NN 1	114,300	685,800
PMFG 1	78,800	1,537,388
Semperit AG Holding Sun Hydraulics	12,500 88,387	481,299 2,070,907
Tennant Company	92,300	3,587,701
,	- ,	-,, -
		16,493,955
Professional Services - 3.0%		
Advisory Board (The) 1	41,400	3,072,294
CBIZ 1	47,000	287,170
Exponent ¹	58,400	2,684,648
GP Strategies ¹	41,385	557,870
Heidrick & Struggles	00.000	400.000
International	20,000 50,000	430,800 35,173
JobStreet Corporation Kforce		
On Assignment ¹	60,000	739,800
On Assignment _	41,100	459,498
		8,267,253
Road & Rail - 1.8%		
Frozen Food Express Industries	455.000	000 500
- Patriot Transportation Holding	157,000	202,530
	111 601	0 400 470
- Universal Truckload Services	111,681 134,200	2,423,478 2,435,730
Chiverous Fractional Cervices	101,200	2,100,700
		5,061,738
Trading Companies &		
Distributors - 0.8%		
Aceto Corporation	72,219	498,311
Houston Wire & Cable Lawson Products	67,375	931,122
LawSUII FIOUUCIS	50,269	775,651

		2,205,084
Transportation Infrastructure - 0.5%		
Touax	47,000	1,326,089
Total (Cost \$50,471,882)		72,261,006
Information Technology		
19.2% Communications Equipment -		
0.8%		
Bel Fuse Cl. A	67,705	1,423,159
ClearOne Communications		
1,4	25,000	108,000
Cogo Group 1	93,735	168,723
Oplink Communications 1	23,100	380,457
PC-Tel	44,100	301,644
		2,381,983
Computers & Peripherals - 1.0%		
Imation Corporation	112,312	643,548
Rimage Corporation	79,200	891,000
Super Micro Computer 1,4	42,754	670,382

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 39

Royce Micro-Cap Trust

Schedule of Investments

Information Technology	SHARES	VALUE
(continued) Computers & Peripherals		
(continued)	70.000	4 53 0.000
TransAct Technologies 1	78,600	\$ 570,636
		2,775,566
Electronic Equipment, Instruments		
& Components - 6.1% Agilysys ¹	90,000	715,500
Diploma	50,000	263,777
Domino Printing Sciences	80,000	636,109
Frequency Electronics 1	34,600	265,036
Hana Microelectronics Hollysys Automation Technologies	763,700	457,494
1	248,400	2,066,688
Inficon Holding	5,200	852,550
Mercury Computer Systems ¹	32,100	426,609
Mesa Laboratories	48,267	2,000,184
Multi-Fineline Electronix 1,4	45,000	924,750
Newport Corporation ¹	80,900	1,101,049
Park Electrochemical	14,200	363,804
Pulse Electronics	150,000	420,000
Research Frontiers 1,4	30,150	101,907
Richardson Electronics	250,900	3,083,561
Rogers Corporation 1	58,400	2,152,624
TTM Technologies ¹	114,400	1,253,824
		17,085,466
Internet Software & Services - 1.1%		
Bitauto Holdings ADR ¹	50,000	200,000
Marchex Cl. B	95,000	593,750
RealNetworks	103,375	775,313
Support.com ¹	417,500	939,375
WebMediaBrands ¹	525,000	238,875
World Energy Solutions 1,4	72,920	220,218
	,	
		2,967,531
IT Services - 4.2% Cass Information Systems	16,500	600,435
Computer Task Group ¹	131,100	1,845,888
Forrester Research 1	54,900	1,863,306
Innodata Isogen ¹	383,832	1,512,298

Sapient Corporation	350,000	4,410,000
Tier Technologies 1,4	340,000	1,482,400
Yucheng Technologies ¹	66,444	149,499
		11,863,826
Semiconductors & Semiconductor		
Equipment - 4.1%		
Advanced Energy Industries ¹	57,500	616,975
Alpha & Omega		
Semiconductor ¹	202,400	1,479,544
Amtech Systems 1,4	22,700	193,177
Axcelis Technologies ¹	900,000	1,197,000
Exar Corporation $\frac{1}{2}$	371,708	2,416,102
GSI Technology ¹	90,600	424,008
Integrated Silicon Solution 1	98,800	903,032
MoSys 1,4	400,000	1,680,000
Photronics ¹	173,800	1,056,704
PLX Technology ¹	317,500	911,225
Rudolph Technologies ¹	58,900	545,414
		11 400 101
		11,423,181
Software - 1.9%		
ACI Worldwide ¹	69,600	1,993,344
Actuate Corporation $\frac{1}{2}$	139,500	817,470
· –	,	,

	SHARES	VALUE
Information Technology		
(continued) Software (continued)		
American Software Cl. A	66,500	\$ 628,425
Convio ¹	30,300	
Pegasystems	49,000	,
		5,214,957
Total (Cost \$42,261,764)		53,712,510
Materials 8.2%		
Chemicals - 3.0%		
Balchem Corporation	63,375	2,569,223
Hawkins	29,697	, ,
Landec Corporation 1	60,300	,
Quaker Chemical	66,200	
Zoltek Companies 1	210,000	1,600,200
		8,171,428
Construction Materials - 0.8%		
Ash Grove Cement ³	8,000	
Monarch Cement	52,303	1,176,817

		2,210,017
Metals & Mining - 3.7%		
AuRico Gold ¹	91,250	730,912
Aurizon Mines ¹	47,000	231,710
Central Steel & Wire ³	1,088	712,640
Endeavour Mining 1,4	652,500	1,556,393
Endeavour Mining (Warrants) ¹	50,000	34,356
Exeter Resource 1	140,000	365,400
Extorre Gold Mines ¹	140,000	1,033,200
Haynes International	10,100	551,460
Horsehead Holding Corporation		
<u>-</u>	51,688	465,709
MAG Silver 1	74,750	497,835
Midway Gold 1,4	345,000	727,950
Minefinders Corporation 1,4	36,000	381,600
RTI International Metals 1	25,000	580,250
Seabridge Gold 1	16,700	269,037
Synalloy Corporation Universal Stainless & Alloy	58,200	597,714
Products 1,4	20,000	1 400 607
Vista Gold 1,4	39,899 50,000	1,490,627
Vista Gold	50,000	153,500
		10,380,293
		, ,
Paper & Forest Products - 0.7%		
Pope Resources L.P.	42,205	1,814,393
Qunxing Paper Holdings ²	1,500,000	198,929
		2,013,322
		2,010,022
Total (Cost \$17,352,605)		22,781,860
Total (000t \$17,002,000)		22,701,000
Utilities 0.0%		
Independent Power Producers &		
Energy Traders - 0.0%		
China Hydroelectric ADS $\frac{1,4}{}$	73,100	83,334
Total (Cost \$554,098)		83,334
Miscellaneous 6 4.9%		, <u> </u>
Total (Cost \$16,396,614)		13,746,653

40 | 2011 Annual Report to Stockholders

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2,216,817

December 31, 2011

SHARES VALUE

TOTAL COMMON STOCKS

(Cost \$237,552,028) \$292,739,652

PREFERRED STOCK 0.3%

Seneca Foods Conv. 1,3

(Cost \$578,719) 45,409 726,544

REPURCHASE AGREEMENT 17.2%

Fixed Income Clearing Corporation,

0.01% dated 12/30/11, due 1/3/12,

maturity value \$48,006,053

(collateralized

by obligations of various U.S.

Government

Agencies, 0.255% due 7/20/12,

valued at

\$49,206,431)

(Cost \$48,006,000)

48,006,000

VALUE

COLLATERAL RECEIVED FOR SECURITIES

LOANED 1.6% Money Market Funds

Federated Government Obligations Fund

(7 day yield-0.0098%)

(Cost \$4,501,603) \$ 4,501,603

TOTAL INVESTMENTS 123.9%

(Cost \$290,638,350) 345,973,799

LIABILITIES LESS CASH

AND OTHER ASSETS (2.4)% (6,681,580)

PREFERRED STOCK (21.5)% (60,000,000)

NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON

STOCKHOLDERS 100.0% \$ 279,292,219

New additions in 2011.

¹ Non-income producing.

- 2 Securities for which market quotations are not readily available represent 0.1% of net assets. These securities have been valued at their fair value under procedures approved by the Fund s Board of Directors. These securities are defined as Level 3 securities due to the use of significant unobservable inputs in the determination of fair value. See Notes to Financial Statements.
- 3 These securities are defined as Level 2 securities due to fair value being based on quoted prices for similar securities. See Notes to Financial Statements.
- 4 All or a portion of these securities were on loan at December 31, 2011. Total market value of loaned securities at December 31, 2011, was \$4,277,889.
- ⁵ At December 31, 2011, the Fund owned 5% or more of the Company s outstanding voting securities thereby making the Company an Affiliated Company as that term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940. See Notes to Financial Statements.
- 6 Includes securities first acquired in 2011 and less than 1% of net assets applicable to Common Stockholders.

Bold indicates the Fund s 20 largest equity holdings in terms of December 31, 2011, market value.

TAX INFORMATION: The cost of total investments for Federal income tax purposes was \$293,852,685. At December 31, 2011, net unrealized appreciation for all securities was \$52,121,114, consisting of aggregate gross unrealized appreciation of \$81,915,515 and aggregate gross unrealized depreciation of \$29,794,401. The primary difference between book and tax basis cost is the timing of the recognition of losses on securities sold.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

2011 Annual Report to Stockholders | 41

Royce Micro-Cap Trust

December 31, 2011

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

ASSETS: Investments at value (including collateral on loaned securities)	Φ 005 040 500
Non-Affiliated Companies (cost \$240,510,125) Affiliated Companies (cost \$2,122,225)	\$ 295,812,599 2,155,200
Total investments at value Repurchase agreements (at cost and value) Cash and foreign currency Receivable for dividends and interest Prepaid expenses and other assets	297,967,799 48,006,000 28,796 449,459 34,752
Total Assets	346,486,806
Payable for collateral on loaned securities Payable for investments purchased Payable for investment advisory fee Preferred dividends accrued but not yet declared Accrued expenses	4,501,603 2,131,256 367,163 80,000 114,565
Total Liabilities	7,194,587
PREFERRED STOCK: 6.00% Cumulative Preferred Stock - \$0.001 par value, \$25 liquidation value per share; 2,400,000 shares outstanding	60,000,000
Total Preferred Stock	60,000,000
NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 279,292,219
	Ψ 273,232,213
ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS: Common Stock paid-in capital - \$0.001 par value per share; 28,333,207 shares outstanding (150,000,000 shares authorized) Undistributed net investment income (loss) Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and foreign currency Preferred dividends accrued but not yet declared Net Assets applicable to Common Stockholders (net asset value per share - \$9.86)	\$ 227,260,177 (1,994,992) (1,226,946) 55,333,980 (80,000)