

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

INDIA FUND INC
Form N-CSR
March 09, 2004

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-8266

INDIA FUND, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

200 Park Avenue
NEW YORK, NY 10166

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Simpson Thacher Bartlett
425 Lexington Avenue, 23rd Floor
NEW YORK, NY 10017

(Name and address of agent for service)

registrant's telephone number, including area code: 212-667-4711

Date of fiscal year end: DECEMBER 31, 2003

Date of reporting period: DECEMBER 31, 2003

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

The India Fund, Inc.

February 19, 2004

DEAR FUND SHAREHOLDER,

We are pleased to present you with the audited financial statements of The India Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2003.

The Fund's net asset value ("NAV") closed at \$23.76 on December 31, 2003, representing an increase of 87.64% from the Fund's NAV on 12/31/02. The Fund outperformed its benchmark, the IFC Investable Index, which gained 81.55% for the same 12-month fiscal year.*

The Indian stock market was one of the top performers in Asia, with robust economic growth, excess global liquidity, and relatively attractive share valuations all contributing. In addition, India continues to benefit from the global outsourcing trend, which is turning the country into a viable part of multinationals' supply chain network. As reported, Indian foreign exchange reserves passed \$100 billion in 2003 for the first time.** The Indian economy's strength was also driven by ongoing domestic structural reforms, including a renewed commitment to deregulation, and privatization.

Looking ahead, we believe India still faces a number of challenges, including an economy with an enormous fiscal deficit, red tape, and poor infrastructure. As reported, national elections are scheduled for April 2004, and this will present uncertainty.** Finally, following 2003's impressive rally, we believe valuations are not as compelling as they were one year ago. Nevertheless, we strongly believe that the fundamental long-term outlook for India remains positive.

1

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, we thank you for your participation and continued support of the Fund. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call our toll-free number (800) 421-4777.

Sincerely,

/s/ BRYAN McKIGNEY

Bryan McKigney
Director, Chairman and President

*The benchmark is an unmanaged index. Investors cannot invest directly in the index. The index does not reflect transaction costs or manager fees.

**Far Eastern Economic Review, January 29, 2004.

2

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

CHANGE IN INVESTMENT POLICY

On April 30, 2002, the Board of Directors of the Fund approved a change to the Fund's investment policies in connection with new Rule 35d-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940. Under normal conditions, the Fund will invest at least 80% of the value of its assets in equity securities of Indian Companies (as defined in the Fund's prospectus.) Previously, the Fund's investment policies stated that the Fund would invest at least 65% of the value of its assets in such securities. The Board also adopted a policy to provide the stockholders of the Fund with 60 days' notice of any change to the investment policy adopted if such notice is required by Rule 35d-1.

FUNDAMENTAL PERIODIC REPURCHASE POLICY

The Fund has adopted the following fundamental policy regarding periodic repurchases:

- a) The Fund will make offers to repurchase its shares at semi-annual intervals pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended from time to time ("Offers"). The Board of Directors may place such conditions and limitations on Offers as may be permitted under Rule 23c-3.
- b) 14 days prior to the last Friday of the Fund's first and third fiscal quarters, or the next business day if such Friday is not a business day, will be the deadline (the "Repurchase Request Deadline") by which the Fund must receive repurchase requests submitted by stockholders in response to the most recent Offer.
- c) The date on which the repurchase price for shares is to be determined (the "Repurchase Pricing Date") shall occur no later than the last Friday of the Fund's first and third fiscal quarters, or the next business day if such day is not a business day.
- d) Offers may be suspended or postponed under certain circumstances, as provided for in Rule 23c-3.

(For further details, see Note E to the Financial Statements.)

3

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Schedule of Investments

DECEMBER 31, 2003

INDIA (100% OF HOLDINGS)

COMMON STOCKS (99.49% of holdings)

| NUMBER OF SHARES | SECURITY | PERCENT OF HOLDINGS | COST | VALUE |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|----------|
| | CEMENT | 0.30% | | |
| 223,143 | Associated Cement Companies Ltd | | \$ 668,994 | \$ 1,200 |
| 69,000 | Gujarat Ambuja Cements Ltd | | 429,454 | 459 |
| 5 | Panyam Cements and Mineral Industries Ltd+ | | 46 | |
| | | | ----- | ----- |
| | | | 1,098,494 | 1,660 |
| | COMPUTER HARDWARE | 0.00% | | |
| 100 | Digital Globalsoft Ltd | | 1,089 | 1 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|
| | | | 1,089 | 1 |
| | COMPUTER SOFTWARE & PROGRAMMING | 12.57% | | |
| 189,436 | Geodesic Information Systems Ltd | | 762,106 | 1,566 |
| 356,852 | Infosys Technologies Ltd | | 7,918,801 | 43,515 |
| 92,522 | KPIT Cummins Infosystems Ltd | | 491,621 | 652 |
| 174,214 | Mphasis BFL Ltd | | 1,253,873 | 2,901 |
| 1,799,229 | Satyam Computer Services Ltd | | 3,516,020 | 14,486 |
| 1,300 | Silverline Technologies Ltd+ | | 4,371 | |
| 450,000 | Tele Data Informatics Ltd | | 297,423 | 282 |
| 900,000 | Tele Data Informatics Ltd - Rights*+ | | 69,766 | 111 |
| 154,450 | Wipro Ltd | | 4,504,362 | 5,882 |
| | | | 18,818,343 | 69,399 |
| | COMPUTER TRAINING | 0.01% | | |
| 12,425 | NIIT Ltd | | 44,560 | 74 |
| | | | 44,560 | 74 |
| | CONSUMER NON-DURABLES | 8.57% | | |
| 200 | Godfrey Phillips India Ltd | | 2,187 | 1 |
| 5,849,751 | Hindustan Lever Ltd | | 22,964,633 | 26,245 |
| 976,951 | ITC Ltd | | 16,627,798 | 21,081 |
| | | | 39,594,618 | 47,329 |
| | DIVERSIFIED INDUSTRIES | 5.91% | | |
| 743,633 | Grasim Industries Ltd | | 5,953,127 | 16,363 |
| 1,744 | Indian Rayon and Industries Ltd | | 6,451 | 10 |
| 1,407,231 | Larsen & Toubro Ltd | | 8,519,451 | 16,265 |
| | | | 14,479,029 | 32,638 |

4

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Schedule of Investments (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

COMMON STOCKS (continued)

| NUMBER OF SHARES | SECURITY | PERCENT OF HOLDINGS | COST | VALUE |
|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| | ELECTRICITY | 0.31% | | |
| 4 | CESC Ltd+ | | \$ 29 | \$ |
| 246,100 | Tata Power Company Ltd | | 999,047 | 1,693 |
| | | | 999,076 | 1,693 |
| | ELECTRONICS & ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT | 5.52% | | |
| 723 | Alstom Projects India Ltd | | 1,213 | 2 |
| 206,800 | Bharat Electronics Ltd | | 1,333,706 | 2,760 |
| 1,999,918 | Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd | | 6,374,240 | 22,265 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| 614,892 | Jyoti Structures Ltd+ | 878,824 | 1,491 |
| 1,208,210 | MIRC Electronics Ltd | 689,344 | 1,006 |
| 94,849 | Siemens India Ltd | 1,061,014 | 2,231 |
| 23,100 | Sterlite Industries (India) Ltd | 788,467 | 709 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | | 11,126,808 | 30,467 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | ENGINEERING | 1.89% | |
| 320,886 | ABB Ltd | 3,313,209 | 4,738 |
| 319,149 | Praj Industries Ltd | 518,837 | 712 |
| 556,050 | Thermax India Ltd | 1,849,526 | 5,002 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | | 5,681,572 | 10,452 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRIES | 3.84% | |
| 424,005 | Hindalco Industries Ltd | 6,754,033 | 13,087 |
| 100 | National Aluminium Company Ltd | 180 | |
| 462,721 | Oil and Natural Gas Corporation Ltd | 3,847,849 | 8,108 |
| 600 | Sesa Goa Ltd | 4,568 | 7 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | | 10,606,630 | 21,204 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | FERTILIZERS | 0.22% | |
| 331,560 | Indo Gulf Fertilisers Ltd | 224,574 | 820 |
| 700 | Nagarjuna Fertilizers and Chemicals Ltd+ | 764 | |
| 500,000 | Rashtriya Chemicals and Fertilizers Ltd+ | 421,508 | 416 |
| 50 | Southern Petrochemical Industries Corporation Ltd+ | 43 | |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | | 646,889 | 1,237 |
| | | ----- | ----- |

5

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Schedule of Investments (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

COMMON STOCKS (continued)

| NUMBER OF SHARES | SECURITY | PERCENT OF HOLDINGS | COST | VALUE |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | FINANCE | 18.07% | | |
| 1,834,950 | Andhra Bank Ltd | | \$ 1,304,009 | \$ 2,163 |
| 100 | Bank of Baroda | | 229 | |
| 856,675 | Corporation Bank | | 3,061,141 | 4,674 |
| 1,715,158 | HDFC Bank Ltd | | 8,177,620 | 13,783 |
| 1,593,610 | Housing Development Finance Corporation Ltd | | 11,566,486 | 22,506 |
| 3,115,678 | ICICI Bank Ltd | | 8,722,709 | 20,193 |
| 1,371,350 | Industrial Development Bank of India Ltd | | 1,298,633 | 1,866 |
| 297,946 | Jammu and Kashmir Bank Ltd | | 1,530,168 | 2,494 |
| 657,396 | Oriental Bank of Commerce | | 2,265,597 | 3,694 |
| 375,400 | Punjab National Bank Ltd | | 1,415,320 | 1,985 |
| 1,866,533 | State Bank of India | | 8,053,371 | 22,030 |
| 98,000 | State Bank of India GDR | | 1,117,250 | 3,271 |
| 1,246,600 | Vijaya Bank | | 822,502 | 1,146 |
| | | | ----- | ----- |

5

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | | 49,335,035 | 99,810 |
|---------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | FOOD | 0.00% | |
| 50 | Tata Tea Ltd | 179 | |
| 276 | United Breweries Holdings Ltd+ | 1,110 | |
| 184 | United Breweries Ltd+ | 1,118 | |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | | 2,407 | 1 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | HOTELS & LEISURE | 0.16% | |
| 667,358 | Hotel Leelaventure Ltd+ | 519,694 | 909 |
| 21 | Indian Hotels Company Ltd | 343 | |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | | 520,037 | 910 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | HOUSEHOLD APPLIANCES | 0.30% | |
| 400 | Phil Corporation Ltd+ | 1,093 | |
| 200 | Samtel Color Ltd | 395 | |
| 450 | Videocon Appliances Ltd | 2,629 | |
| 194 | Videocon International Ltd | 174 | |
| 522,751 | Voltas Ltd | 1,335,438 | 1,633 |
| | | ----- | ----- |
| | | 1,339,729 | 1,634 |
| | | ----- | ----- |

6

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Schedule of Investments (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

COMMON STOCKS (continued)

| NUMBER OF SHARES | SECURITY | PERCENT OF HOLDINGS | COST | VALUE |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------|
| ----- | | | | |
| | MEDIA | 0.48% | | |
| 671,200 | Balaji Telefilms Ltd | | \$ 1,338,083 | \$ 1,475 |
| 464,500 | ETC Networks Ltd | | 475,881 | 625 |
| 599,500 | Pritish Nandy Communications Ltd | | 1,707,121 | 539 |
| 250,000 | Vans Information Ltd+ | | 573,395 | 21 |
| | | | ----- | ----- |
| | | | 4,094,480 | 2,662 |
| | | | ----- | ----- |
| | PETROLEUM RELATED | 19.78% | | |
| 835,553 | Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd | | 4,645,244 | 8,245 |
| 689,800 | Bongaigaon Refinery & Petrochemicals Ltd | | 730,886 | 1,360 |
| 2,700 | Chennai Petroleum Corporation Ltd | | 3,200 | 5 |
| 591,408 | Finolex Industries Ltd | | 827,475 | 1,065 |
| 1,131,628 | Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd | | 4,868,920 | 10,851 |
| 1,399,390 | Indian Oil Corporation Ltd | | 5,493,969 | 14,015 |
| 300 | Indian Petrochemicals Corporation Ltd | | 637 | 1 |
| 80,800 | Niko Resources Ltd ADR | | 1,314,053 | 1,688 |
| 5,732,738 | Reliance Industries Ltd | | 30,550,430 | 71,996 |
| | | | ----- | ----- |
| | | | 48,434,814 | 109,231 |
| | | | ----- | ----- |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | PHARMACEUTICALS | 8.38% | | |
|---------|----------------------------------------------|-------|------------|--------|
| 410,308 | Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd | | 3,813,804 | 12,842 |
| 106,805 | Glaxosmithkline Pharmaceuticals Ltd | | 673,608 | 1,346 |
| 100 | IPCA Laboratories Ltd | | 309 | 1 |
| 67,342 | Lupin Ltd | | 799,300 | 1,030 |
| 138,600 | Matrix Laboratories Ltd | | 1,580,880 | 4,758 |
| 219 | Nicholas Piramal Ltd | | 1,927 | 3 |
| 100 | Orchid Chemicals & Pharmaceuticals Ltd | | 339 | |
| 42,500 | Pfizer Ltd | | 410,990 | 499 |
| 838,683 | Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd | | 13,111,988 | 20,187 |
| 229,600 | Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd | | 457,239 | 2,995 |
| 165,500 | Wockhardt Ltd | | 2,024,507 | 2,613 |
| | | | 22,874,891 | 46,279 |

7

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Schedule of Investments (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

COMMON STOCKS (continued)

| NUMBER OF SHARES | SECURITY | PERCENT OF HOLDINGS | COST | VALUE |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|--------|
| | RETAIL STORES | 0.16% | | |
| 143,115 | Trent Ltd | | \$ 481,085 | \$ 859 |
| | | | 481,085 | 859 |
| | STEEL | 2.40% | | |
| 530,461 | Monnet Ispat Ltd | | 1,104,981 | 1,267 |
| 1,229,316 | Tata Iron and Steel Company Ltd | | 3,704,342 | 11,964 |
| | | | 4,809,323 | 13,232 |
| | TELECOMMUNICATIONS | 1.27% | | |
| 3,047,500 | Bharti Tele-Ventures Ltd+ | | 5,398,527 | 7,020 |
| 300 | Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd | | 763 | |
| | | | 5,399,290 | 7,021 |
| | TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 0.00% | | |
| 100 | Himachal Futuristic Communications Ltd+ | | 168 | |
| 1 | Shyam Telecom Ltd+ | | 14 | |
| | | | 182 | |
| | TEXTILES - COTTON | 0.27% | | |
| 36 | Arvind Mills Ltd+ | | 133 | |
| 197,500 | Bombay Dyeing and Manufacturing Company Ltd | | 647,040 | 650 |
| 217,400 | Mahavir Spinning Mills Ltd | | 823,672 | 818 |
| | | | 1,470,845 | 1,469 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | TRANSPORTATION | 1.50% | |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| 526,851 | Container Corporation of India Ltd | | 2,939,179 |
| 102,221 | Mercator Lines Ltd | | 468,196 |
| 100 | South East Asia Marine Engineering and Construction Ltd+ | | 308 |
| | | | 3,407,683 |
| | | | 8,260 |

8

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Schedule of Investments (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

COMMON STOCKS (continued)

| NUMBER OF SHARES | SECURITY | PERCENT OF HOLDINGS | COST | VALUE |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | VEHICLE COMPONENTS | 0.15% | | |
| 125 | FAG Bearings (India) Ltd | | \$ 335 | \$ |
| 118,000 | Swaraj Engines Ltd | | 1,298,970 | 814 |
| | | | 1,299,305 | 815 |
| | VEHICLES | 7.43% | | |
| 1,354,240 | Ashok Leyland Ltd | | 3,023,621 | 8,717 |
| 360,851 | Bajaj Auto Ltd | | 4,069,717 | 8,995 |
| 540,187 | Hero Honda Motors Ltd | | 2,312,648 | 5,314 |
| 116,700 | Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd | | 904,295 | 995 |
| 334,200 | Omax Autos Ltd | | 498,093 | 826 |
| 1,631,658 | Tata Motors Ltd | | 4,210,436 | 16,175 |
| 27 | Tata Motors Ltd - Warrants Expire 9/30/04+ | | 0 | |
| | | | 15,018,810 | 41,024 |
| | TOTAL COMMON STOCKS | | 261,585,024 | 549,370 |
| | PREFERRED STOCK (0.00% of holdings) | | | |
| | ENGINEERING | 0.00% | | |
| 1,248,400 | Thermax India Ltd Preference Shares+ | | 0 | 23 |
| | | | 0 | 23 |
| | PHARMACEUTICALS | 0.00% | | |
| 800 | Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd Preference Shares+ | | 23 | |
| | | | 23 | |
| | TOTAL PREFERRED STOCK | | 23 | 23 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

9

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

INDIAN BONDS (0.00% of holdings)

| PAR VALUE (000) | SECURITY | PERCENT OF HOLDINGS | COST | VALU |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| INR 183 | CONSUMER NON-DURABLES Hindustan Lever Ltd NCD 9.00%, 01/01/05 | 0.00% | \$ 4,116 | \$ 4 |
| | | | 4,116 | 4 |
| | TOTAL INDIAN BONDS | | 4,116 | 4 |
| BONDS (0.51% of holdings) | CEMENT | 0.19% | | |
| 785 | Gujarat Ambuja Cements Ltd 1.00%, 01/30/06 | | 965,319 | 1,044 |
| | | | 965,319 | 1,044 |
| | VEHICLES | 0.32% | | |
| 1,017 | Tata Motors Ltd 1.00%, 07/31/08 | | 1,475,118 | 1,753 |
| | | | 1,475,118 | 1,753 |
| | TOTAL BONDS | | 2,440,437 | 2,797 |
| | TOTAL INDIA | | 264,029,600 | 552,195 |
| | TOTAL INVESTMENTS** | 100.00% | \$264,029,600 | \$552,195 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

10

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

DECEMBER 31, 2003

ASSETS

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Investments, at value (Cost \$264,029,600) | \$552,195,354 |
| Cash (including Indian Rupees of \$4,183,059 with a cost of \$4,186,651) | 7,638,012 |
| Receivables: | |
| Dividends and reclaims net of excess taxes withheld | 691,576 |
| Interest (net of withholding tax of \$22) | 12,221 |
| Securities sold | 291,716 |
| Prepaid expenses | 124,355 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| TOTAL ASSETS | 560,953,234 |
| ----- | |
| LIABILITIES | |
| Distribution payable | 3,046,584 |
| Due to Investment Manager | 476,908 |
| Accrued Custodian fees | 321,479 |
| Due to Administrator | 90,491 |
| Accrued expenses | 206,431 |
| ----- | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 4,141,893 |
| ----- | |
| NET ASSETS | \$556,811,341 |
| ===== | |
| NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE (\$556,811,341/23,435,265 SHARES ISSUED AND OUTSTANDING) | \$ 23.76 |
| ===== | |

NET ASSETS CONSIST OF:

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Capital stock, \$0.001 par value; 34,007,133 shares issued (100,000,000 shares authorized) | \$ 34,007 |
| Paid-in capital | 460,635,809 |
| Cost of 10,571,868 shares repurchased | (147,308,242) |
| Overdistribution of net investment income | (258,199) |
| Accumulated net realized loss on investments | (44,359,297) |
| Net unrealized appreciation in value of investments, foreign currency holdings and on translation of other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency | 288,067,263 |
| ----- | |
| NET ASSETS | \$556,811,341 |
| ===== | |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

11

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Statement of Operations

FOR THE YEAR EN
DECEMBER 31, 2

INVESTMENT INCOME

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Dividends (net of Indian taxes withheld of \$71,238) | \$ 9,934, |
| ----- | |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME | 9,934, |
| ----- | |

EXPENSES

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Management fees | \$4,395,688 |
| Custodian fees | 840,492 |
| Administration fees | 826,029 |
| Audit and tax fees | 239,601 |
| Legal fees | 216,799 |
| Insurance | 180,060 |
| Transfer agent fees | 159,702 |
| Printing | 55,001 |
| Directors' fees | 45,898 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------|
| NYSE fees | 31,500 | |
| ICI fees | 12,334 | |
| Miscellaneous expenses | 48,531 | |
| | ----- | |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | | 7,051, |
| | | ----- |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | | 2,883, |
| | | ----- |
| NET REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN ON INVESTMENTS, FOREIGN CURRENCY HOLDINGS AND TRANSLATION OF OTHER ASSETS AND LIABILITIES DENOMINATED IN FOREIGN CURRENCY: | | |
| Net realized gain on: | | |
| Security transactions | 39,666, | |
| Foreign currency related transactions | 183, | |
| | ----- | |
| | | 39,849, |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation in value of investments, foreign currency holdings and translation of other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency | | 237,954, |
| | | ----- |
| Net realized and unrealized gain on investments, foreign currency holdings and translation of other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency | | 277,804, |
| | | ----- |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | | \$280,687, |
| | | ===== |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

12

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| | FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 |
| ----- | |
| INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS | |
| OPERATIONS | |
| Net investment income | \$ 2,883,008 |
| Net realized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency related transactions | 39,849,857 |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation in value of investments, foreign currency holdings and translation of other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency | 237,954,482 |
| | ----- |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 280,687,347 |
| | ----- |
| DISTRIBUTION TO SHAREHOLDERS | |
| Net investment income (\$0.13 and \$0.085 per share respectively) | (3,046,584) |
| | ----- |
| Decrease in net assets resulting from distributions | (3,046,584) |
| | ----- |
| CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS | |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Shares repurchased under Stock Repurchase Plan (89,000 shares for year ended December 31, 2002) | -- |
| Shares repurchased under Tender Offer (3,063,433 shares for year ended December 31, 2002), including expenses of \$70,586 and \$97,512 respectively | (70,586) |
| Shares repurchased under Repurchase Offer (4,135,635 shares) (net of repurchase fee of \$1,459,052) including expenses of \$103,667 | (71,597,216) |
| | ----- |
| Net decrease in net assets resulting from capital share transactions | (71,667,802) |
| | ----- |
| Total increase (decrease) in net assets | 205,972,961 |
| NET ASSETS | |
| Beginning of year | 350,838,380 |
| | ----- |
| End of year | \$556,811,341 |
| | ===== |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

13

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Financial Highlights

FOR A SHARE OUTSTANDING THROUGHOUT EACH PERIOD

| | FOR THE YEAR ENDED DEC. 31, 2003 | FOR THE YEAR ENDED DEC. 31, 2002 | FOR THE YEAR ENDED DEC. 31, 2001 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| ----- | | | |
| PER SHARE OPERATING PERFORMANCE | | | |
| Net asset value, BEGINNING OF YEAR | \$ 12.72 | \$ 11.93 | \$ 16.18 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Net investment income (loss) | 0.11+ | 0.09 | 0.07 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments, foreign currency holdings, and translation of other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency | 11.00+ | 0.76 | (4.29) |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Net increase (decrease) from investment operations | 11.11 | 0.85 | (4.22) |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Less: Dividends and Distributions | | | |
| Dividends from net investment income | (0.13) | (0.09) | (0.07) |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Total dividends and distributions | (0.13) | (0.09) | (0.07) |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Capital share transactions | | | |
| Anti-dilutive effect of Share Repurchase Program | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.04 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Anti-dilutive effect of Tender Offer | -- | 0.02 | -- |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Total capital share transactions | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Net asset value, end of year | \$ 23.76 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 11.93 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== |
| Per share market value, end of year | \$25.2000 | \$10.5900 | \$ 9.5000 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT RETURN BASED | | | |
| ON MARKET VALUE* | 139.04% | 12.36% | (20.69)% |
| RATIOS/SUPPLEMENTAL DATA | | | |
| Net assets, end of period (in 000s) | \$556,811 | \$350,838 | \$366,491 |
| Ratios of expenses to average net assets | 1.76% | 1.73% | 1.70% |
| Ratios of net investment income (loss) to average net assets | 0.72% | 0.65% | 0.57% |
| Portfolio turnover | 33.89% | 39.36% | 16.06% |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

14

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Financial Highlights (concluded)

FOR A SHARE OUTSTANDING THROUGHOUT EACH PERIOD

* Total investment return is calculated assuming a purchase of common stock at the current market price on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of each period reported. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed, for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Fund's dividend reinvestment plan. Total investment return does not reflect brokerage commissions or sales charges and is not annualized.

+ Based on average shares outstanding.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

15

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements

DECEMBER 31, 2003

NOTE A: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The India Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") was incorporated in Maryland on December 27, 1993, and commenced operations on February 23, 1994. The Fund operates through a branch in the Republic of Mauritius. The Fund is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES ARE AS FOLLOWS:

PORTFOLIO VALUATION. Investments are stated at value in the accompanying financial statements. All securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at:

- (i) the last sales price prior to the time of determination, if there was a sale on the date of determination,
- (ii) at the mean between the last current bid and asked prices, if there was no sales price on such date and bid and asked quotations are available, and
- (iii) at the bid price if there was no sales price on such date and only bid quotations are available.

Securities that are traded over-the-counter are valued, if bid and asked quotations are available, at the mean between the current bid and asked prices. Securities for which sales prices and bid and asked quotations are not available on the date of determination may be valued at the most recently available prices or quotations under policies adopted by the Board of Directors. Investments in short-term debt securities having a maturity of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost which approximates market value. Securities for which market values are not readily ascertainable, which totaled \$111,452 (0.02% of net assets) at December 31, 2003, are carried at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the supervision of the Board of Directors. The net asset value per share of the Fund is calculated weekly and at the end of each month.

INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS AND INVESTMENT INCOME. Investment transactions are accounted for on the trade date. The cost of investments sold is determined by use of the specific identification method for both financial reporting and income tax reporting purposes. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis; dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date or, using reasonable diligence, when known. The collectibility of income receivable from Indian securities is evaluated periodically, and any resulting allowances for uncollectible amounts are reflected currently in the determination of investment income.

16

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

TAX STATUS. No provision is made for U.S. federal income or excise taxes as it is the Fund's intention to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company and to make the requisite distributions to its shareholders that will be sufficient to relieve it from all or substantially all Federal income and excise taxes.

Income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP.

The tax character of distributions paid during the year ended December 31, 2003:

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Ordinary income \$ 3,046,584
=====

At December 31, 2003, the components of net assets (excluding paid in capital) on a tax basis were as follows:

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Overdistribution of Ordinary Income | \$ (214,070) | |
| Plus/Less: Cumulative Timing Differences | (44,129) | |
| | ----- | |
| Overdistribution of net investment income | \$ (258,199) | |
| | ----- | |
| Tax basis capital loss carryover | \$ (42,954,175) | |
| Plus/Less: Cumulative Timing Differences | (1,405,122) | |
| | ----- | |
| Accumulated net realized loss on investments | | (44,359,297) |
| | | ----- |
| Book unrealized foreign exchange loss | | (98,491) |
| | | ----- |
| Tax unrealized appreciation | \$ 286,760,632 | |
| Plus/Less: Cumulative Timing Differences | 1,405,122 | |
| | ----- | |
| Unrealized appreciation | | 288,165,754 |
| | | ----- |
| Net assets (excluding paid in capital) | | \$ 243,449,767 |
| | | ===== |

The differences between book and tax basis unrealized appreciation is primarily attributable to wash sales and a dividend overdistribution. The cumulative timing difference for the capital loss carryover is due to Post October Losses.

| | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Net Asset Value | \$ 556,811,341 |
| Paid in Capital | (313,361,574) |
| | ----- |
| Net assets (excluding paid in capital) | \$ 243,449,767 |
| | ===== |

17

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

At December 31, 2003, the Fund had a capital loss carryover of \$42,954,175 which is available to offset future net realized gains on securities transactions to the extent provided for in the Internal Revenue Code. Of the aggregate capital losses, \$11,095,634 will expire in 2006, \$20,935,877 will expire in 2009 and \$10,922,664 will expire in 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Fund utilized \$33,704,004 of prior year capital loss carryforwards.

The Fund's realized foreign exchange losses incurred after October 31, 2003, but before December 31, 2003, are deemed to arise on the first business day of the following year. The Fund incurred and elected to defer such realized foreign exchange losses of \$44,129.

During the period ended December 31, 2003, the Fund reclassified \$183,331 from accumulated net realized loss on investments to overdistribution of net investment income as a result of permanent book and tax differences relating primarily to realized foreign currency gains. Net investment income and net

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

assets were not affected by the reclassifications.

FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION. The books and records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. Foreign currency amounts are translated into U.S. dollars on the following basis:

- (i) market value of investment securities, assets and liabilities at the prevailing rates of exchange on the valuation date; and
- (ii) purchases and sales of investment securities and investment income at the relevant rates of exchange prevailing on the respective dates of such transactions.

The Fund generally does not isolate the effect of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates from the effect of fluctuations in the market prices of securities. However, the Fund does isolate the effect of fluctuations in foreign currency rates when determining the gain or loss upon the sale of foreign currency denominated debt obligations pursuant to U.S. Federal income tax regulations; such amounts are categorized as foreign currency gains or losses for federal income tax purposes. The Fund reports certain realized foreign exchange gains and losses as components of realized gains and losses for financial reporting purposes, whereas such amounts are treated as ordinary income for Federal income tax reporting purposes.

Securities denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars are subject to changes in value due to fluctuations in foreign exchange. Foreign security and currency transactions may involve certain considerations and risks not typically associated with those of domestic origin as a result of, among other factors, the level of governmental supervision and regulation of foreign securities markets and the possibility of political or economic instability, and the fact that foreign securities markets may be smaller and have less developed and less reliable settlement and share registration procedures.

18

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

DISTRIBUTION OF INCOME AND GAINS. The Fund intends to distribute annually to shareholders, substantially all of its net investment income, including foreign currency gains, and to distribute annually any net realized gains after the utilization of available capital loss carryovers. An additional distribution may be made to the extent necessary to avoid payment of a 4% Federal excise tax.

Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount of dividends and distributions from net investment income and net realized gains are determined in accordance with Federal income tax regulations, which may differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These "book/tax" differences are either considered temporary or permanent in nature. To the extent these differences are permanent in nature, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts based on their Federal tax-basis treatment; temporary differences do not require reclassification. Dividends and distributions which exceed net investment income and net realized capital gains for financial reporting purposes but not for tax purposes are reported as dividends in excess of net investment income and net realized capital gains. To the extent they exceed net investment income and net realized gains for tax purposes, they are reported as distributions of additional paid-in

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

capital.

NOTE B: MANAGEMENT, INVESTMENT ADVISORY AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Advantage Advisers, Inc. ("Advantage"), a subsidiary of Oppenheimer Asset Management Inc. ("OAM") and an affiliate of Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. ("Oppenheimer"), serves as the Fund's Investment Manager (the "Investment Manager") under the terms of a management agreement dated June 5, 2003 (the "Management Agreement"). Prior to September 2003, Oppenheimer was called Fahnestock & Co. Inc. Imperial Investment Advisors Private Limited ("Imperial"), an Indian company and subsidiary of Oppenheimer and Advantage India, Inc., serves as the Fund's Country Adviser (the "Country Adviser") under the terms of an advisory agreement dated June 5, 2003 (the "Country Advisory Agreement"). From August 1, 2001 to April 30, 2002, Advantage India, Inc. served as the Fund's Country Adviser under similar terms. Pursuant to the Management Agreement, the Investment Manager supervises the Fund's investment program and is responsible on a day-to-day basis for investing the Fund's portfolio in accordance with its investment objective and policies. Pursuant to the Country Advisory Agreement, the Country Adviser provides statistical and factual information and research regarding economic, political factors and investment opportunities in India to the Investment Manager. For their services, the Investment Manager receives monthly fees at an annual rate of 1.10% of the Fund's average weekly net assets and the Country Adviser receives from the Investment Manager a fee to be agreed upon by the Investment Manager and the Country Adviser from time to time. For the year ended December 31, 2003, fees earned by the Investment Manager amounted to \$4,395,688.

Oppenheimer, a registered investment advisor and an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Oppenheimer Holdings Inc., serves as the Fund's Administrator (the "Administrator") pursuant to an administration agreement dated June 4, 2003. Prior to September 2003, Oppenheimer Holdings Inc. was called Fahnestock Viner Holdings Inc. The Administrator provides certain administrative services

19

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

to the Fund. For its services, the Administrator receives a monthly fee at an annual rate of 0.20% of the Fund's average weekly net assets. For the year ended December 31, 2003, administration fees amounted to \$799,216 (Prior to June 4, 2003, administration fees were paid to CIBC World Markets Corp.). The Administrator subcontracts certain of these services to PFPC, Inc. In addition, Multiconsult Ltd. (the "Mauritius Administrator") provides certain administrative services relating to the operation and maintenance of the Fund in Mauritius. The Mauritius Administrator receives a monthly fee of \$1,500 and is reimbursed for certain additional expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2003, fees and expenses of the Mauritius Administrator amounted to \$26,813.

On December 10, 2002, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, CIBC World Markets Corp. ("CIBC WM"), Fahnestock & Co. Inc. ("Fahnestock") and Fahnestock Viner Holdings Inc. ("FVH") announced that Fahnestock and FVH had agreed to acquire the U.S. brokerage and asset management businesses of CIBC WM, including Advantage and Imperial. The acquisition of the U.S. brokerage business closed on January 3, 2003. As required under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), the Fund's then-existing Management Agreement and Country Advisory Agreement provided for their automatic termination in the event

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

of their "assignment" as defined in the 1940 Act. Consummation of the acquisition by Fahnstock and FVH of the asset management business of CIBC WM constituted an assignment of the Fund's then existing Management Agreement and Country Advisory Agreement. At a meeting on January 17, 2003, the Board of Directors of the Fund, including a majority of the independent Directors, approved a new investment management agreement between the Fund and Advantage and a new Country Advisory Agreement between Advantage and Imperial. The acquisition by Fahnstock and FVH of CIBC WM's U.S. asset management business was completed on June 4, 2003. In connection with the June 4, 2003 acquisition, a new investment management agreement and country advisory agreement dated June 4, 2003 was executed, having been previously approved by the Board of Directors of the Fund, including a majority of the independent Directors at a special meeting held on January 17, 2003 and by the stockholders of the Fund at the Fund's April 23, 2003 annual meeting of stockholders, as required by the 1940 Act.

In September 2003, Fahnstock & Co. Inc. changed its name to Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. and Fahnstock Viner Holdings Inc. changed its name to Oppenheimer Holdings Inc.

The Fund pays each of its directors who is not a director, officer or employee of the Investment Manager, the Country Adviser or the Administrator or any affiliate thereof an annual fee of \$5,000 plus up to \$700 for each Board of Directors meeting attended. In addition, the Fund reimburses all directors for travel and out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with Board of Directors meetings.

NOTE C: PORTFOLIO ACTIVITY

Purchases and sales of securities, other than short-term obligations, aggregated \$133,147,316 and \$203,446,869 respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2003.

20

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

NOTE D: FOREIGN INCOME TAX

The Fund conducts its investment activities in India as a tax resident of Mauritius and expects to obtain benefits under the double taxation treaty between Mauritius and India (the "tax treaty" or "treaty"). To obtain benefits under the double taxation treaty, the Fund must meet certain tests and conditions, including the establishment of Mauritius tax residence and related requirements. The Fund has obtained a certificate from the Mauritian authorities that it is a resident of Mauritius under the double taxation treaty between Mauritius and India. Under current regulations, a fund which is a tax resident in Mauritius under the treaty, but has no branch or permanent establishment in India, will not be subject to capital gains tax in India on the sale of securities but is subject to a 15% withholding tax on dividends declared, distributed or paid by an Indian company prior to June 1, 1997 and after March 31, 2002. During the period June 1, 1997 through March 31, 2002, and subsequent to March 31, 2003, dividend income from domestic companies was exempt from Indian income tax. For the period from April 1, 2002 through March 31, 2003, dividend income from domestic companies was subject to a 15% withholding tax. Effective April 1, 2003, dividend income from domestic companies is exempt from

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Indian tax. The Fund is subject to and accrues Indian withholding tax on interest earned on Indian securities at the rate of 20.5% effective April 1, 2003, 21% between April 1, 2002 and April 1, 2003, and 20% prior to April 1, 2002.

The Fund will, in any year that it has taxable income for Mauritius tax purposes, elect to pay tax on its net income for Mauritius tax purposes at any rate between 0% and 35%.

In March 2000, the Indian tax authorities issued an assessment order ("March 2000 Assessment Order") with respect to the Fund's Indian income tax return filed for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1997 which denied the benefits of the tax treaty between India and Mauritius. In the March 2000 Assessment Order, the Indian tax authorities held that the Fund is not a resident of Mauritius and assessed tax on the dividend income for the year ended March 31, 1997 at the rate of 20%, instead of the 15% rate claimed by the Fund under the tax treaty between India and Mauritius. Similar assessment orders were issued to several other mutual fund companies relying on the tax treaty between India and Mauritius. On April 13, 2000, the Central Board of Direct Taxes ("CBDT") of the Ministry of Finance in India issued a circular ("Circular 789") "clarifying" its position on Indian taxation under the tax treaty between India and Mauritius that, wherever a certificate of residence is issued by the Mauritian authorities, such certificate will constitute sufficient evidence for accepting the status of residence as well as beneficial ownership for applying the tax treaty between India and Mauritius. The Fund, relying on Circular 789 and in absence of a rectification order from the assessing officer, filed an appeal against the March 2000 Assessment Order with the Indian tax authorities. There have been several hearings and the Fund is awaiting an appellate judgment. On October 7, 2003, the Indian Supreme Court upheld Circular 789 and the Fund's right to take advantage of the tax treaty between India and Mauritius.

21

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

The Fund continues to: (i) comply with the requirements of the tax treaty between India and Mauritius; (ii) be a tax resident of Mauritius; and (iii) maintain that its central management and control resides in Mauritius and therefore management believes that the Fund will be able to obtain the benefits of the tax treaty between India and Mauritius. Accordingly, no provision for Indian income taxes has been made in accompanying financial statements of the Fund. Although the Fund expects to obtain the benefits of the treaty for the tax year ended March 31, 1997, the Fund has not yet received notification of a repeal of the assessment order issued.

The foregoing is based upon current interpretation and practice and is subject to future changes in Indian or Mauritian tax laws and in the treaty between India and Mauritius.

NOTE E: CAPITAL STOCK

During the year ended December 31, 2002, the Fund purchased 89,000 shares of capital stock on the open market at a total cost of \$920,118. The weighted average discount of these purchases, comparing the purchase price to the net asset value at the time of purchase, was 17.29%. These shares were purchased pursuant to the Fund's Stock Repurchase Plan previously approved by the Fund's

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Board of Directors authorizing the Fund to purchase up to 4,000,000 shares of its capital stock on the open market. At a meeting of the Fund's Board of Directors held May 8, 2003, the Board suspended the Fund's Stock Repurchase Plan.

At a meeting of the Board of Directors held on January 29, 2002, the Board of Directors approved a tender offer. Pursuant to the tender offer, the Fund offered to purchase up to 10% of the Fund's outstanding shares of common stock for cash at a price equal to 95% of the Fund's net asset value per share as of the closing date. The tender offer commenced on August 30, 2002 and expired on September 27, 2002. In connection with the tender offer, the Fund purchased 3,063,433 shares of capital stock at a total cost of \$33,375,712, including expenses of \$168,098.

In February 2003, the Board of Directors approved, subject to stockholder approval, a fundamental policy whereby the Fund would adopt an "interval fund" structure pursuant to Rule 23c-3 under the Investment Company Act of 1940. Stockholders of the Fund were to approve the policy at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on April 23, 2003, however the proposal was adjourned and later approved on April 30, 2003. As an interval fund, the Fund makes semi-annual repurchase offers at net asset value (less a 2% repurchase fee) to all Fund stockholders. The percentage of outstanding shares that the Fund can repurchase in each offer is established by the Fund's Board of Directors shortly before the commencement of each semi-annual offer, and is between 5% and 25% of the Fund's then-outstanding shares.

22

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Notes to Financial Statements (concluded)

DECEMBER 31, 2003

During the year ended December 31, 2003, the Fund completed one semi-annual repurchase offer. On August 22, 2003, the Fund offered to repurchase up to 15% of its issued and outstanding shares of common stock. The repurchase offer expired on September 12, 2003, and the shares were repurchased at the NAV at the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange on September 26, 2003, less a repurchase fee of 2% of the NAV per share. Prior to the expiration of the Fund's repurchase offer, 17,530,127.5345 shares were validly tendered and not withdrawn. The final pro-ration calculations resulted in 139,149 odd lot shares and 3,996,486 non-odd lot shares validly tendered. Under the final pro-ration, 22.97983% of the non-odd lot shares tendered were accepted for payment. The shares accepted for tender (4,135,635 shares representing 15%) received cash at a repurchase offer price of \$17.2872, which was equal to the Fund's net asset value of \$17.64 as of September 26, 2003, less a repurchase fee of \$0.3528 per share, for a total cost to the Fund of \$71,597,216, net of repurchase fee of \$1,459,052, and including expenses of \$103,667.

NOTE F: CONCENTRATION OF RISKS

At December 31, 2003, substantially all of the Fund's net assets were invested in Indian securities. The Indian securities markets are among other things substantially smaller, less developed, less liquid, subject to less regulation and more volatile than the securities markets in the United States. Consequently, and as further discussed above, acquisitions and dispositions of securities by the Fund involve special risks and considerations not present with respect to U.S. securities. At December 31, 2003, the Fund has a concentration of its investment in computer, finance, and petroleum related industries. The

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

values of such investments may be affected by changes in such industry sectors.

NOTE G: OTHER

On October 29, 2003, the Board of Directors elected Lawrence K. Becker to serve as a non-interested Director and as a member of the Fund's Audit Committee and to function as the Audit Committee's "financial expert" for purposes of the U.S. securities laws, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

23

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Report of Independent Auditors

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS OF
THE INDIA FUND, INC.

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the schedule of investments, and the related statements of operations and of changes in net assets and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The India Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") at December 31, 2003, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements and financial highlights (hereafter referred to as "financial statements") are the responsibility of the Fund's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits, which included confirmation of securities at December 31, 2003 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
February 19, 2004

24

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

INFORMATION ABOUT DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

The business and affairs of The India Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") are managed under the direction of the Board of Directors. Information pertaining to the Directors and executive officers of the Fund is set forth below.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| NAME, ADDRESS AND AGE | POSITION WITH FUND 1 | TERM OF OFFICE 1 AND LENGTH OF TIME SERVED | PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5 YEARS | NUMB PORTF IN F COMP OVERS DIRE (INCL THE |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| DISINTERESTED DIRECTORS | | | | |
| Lawrence K. Becker 8039 Harbor View Terrace Brooklyn, NY 11209 Age: 48 | Director, Member of the Audit and Nominating Committees, Class I | Since 2003 | Treasurer of the France Growth Fund, Inc. (February 2004-Present); Private Investor, Real Estate Investment Management (July 2003-Present); Vice President - Controller/ Treasurer, National Financial Partners (2000-2003); Managing Director - Controller/ Treasurer, Oppenheimer Capital - PIMCO (1981-2000). | |
| Leslie H. Gelb The Council on Foreign Relations 58 East 68th Street New York, NY 10021 Age: 66 | Director and Member of the Audit and Nominating Committees, Class II | Since 1994 | President Emeritus, The Council on Foreign Relations (2003-Present); President, The Council on Foreign Relations (1993-2003); Columnist (1991-1993), Deputy Editorial Page Editor (1985-1990) and Editor, Op-Ed Page (1988-1990), THE NEW YORK TIMES. | |
| J. Marc Hardy De Chazal De Mee Building 10 Frere Felix de Valois Street Port Louis, Mauritius Age: 49 | Director and Member of the Nominating Committee, Class III | Since 2002 | Managing Director, Mainstream Ltd. (independent financial advisor) and Value Investors Ltd. (private investment company). | |

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| NAME, ADDRESS AND AGE | POSITION WITH FUND 1 | TERM OF OFFICE 1 AND LENGTH OF TIME SERVED | PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5 YEARS | COMPLETION DATE OF THIS REPORT (INCLUDE THE YEAR) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| ----- DISINTERESTED DIRECTORS ----- | | | | |
| Luis F. Rubio Jaime Balmes No. 11, D-2 Los Morales Polanco Mexico, D.F. 11510 Age: 48 | Director and Member of the Audit and Nominating Committees, Class II | Since 1999 | President, Centro de Investigacion para el Desarrollo, A.C. (Center of Research for Development) (2002-Present); Director General, Centro de Investigacion para el Desarrollo, A.C. (Center of Research for Development) (1984-2002); frequent contributor of op-ed pieces to THE LOS ANGELES TIMES and THE WALL STREET JOURNAL. | |
| Jeswald W. Salacuse The Fletcher School of Law & Diplomacy Packard Avenue at Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155 Age: 66 | Director, Member of the Audit Committee and Chairman of the Nominating Committee, Class I | Since 1993 | Henry J. Braker Professor of Commercial Law, The Fletcher School of Law & Diplomacy (1986-Present); Dean, The Fletcher School of Law & Diplomacy, Tufts University (1986-1994). | |
| Gabriel Seeyave De Chazal De Mee Building 10 Frere Felix de Valois Street Port Louis, Mauritius Age: 72 | Director and Member of the Nominating Committee, Class II | Since 1994 | Tax Advisor; formerly Partner, De Chazal De Mee & Co. (chartered accountants). | |

26

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

| NAME, ADDRESS AND AGE | POSITION WITH FUND 1 | TERM OF OFFICE 1 AND LENGTH OF TIME SERVED | PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION(S) DURING PAST 5 YEARS | NUMBER OF SHARES HELD BY THE DIRECTOR (INCLUDE THE YEAR) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

INTERESTED DIRECTORS

| | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Bryan McKigney</p> <p>90 Broad Street New York, NY 10004</p> <p>Age: 45</p> | <p>President, Director and Chairman of the Board Class III</p> | <p>Since 1999</p> | <p>Managing Director, Oppenheimer Asset Management (June 2003-Present); Managing Director (2000-June 2003) and Executive Director (1993-2000), CIBC World Markets Corp.; Managing Director, CIBC Oppenheimer Advisers, L.L.C. and Advantage; President of the Asia Tigers Fund, Inc.; President of the India Fund, Inc.; and formerly, Vice President and Division Executive, Head of Derivative Operations (1986-1993).</p> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT DIRECTORS

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <p>Alan E. Kaye</p> <p>90 Broad Street New York, NY 10004</p> <p>Age: 52</p> | <p>Treasurer</p> | <p>Since 1999</p> | <p>Senior Vice President, Oppenheimer Asset Management since June 2003 and Executive Director (1995-June 2003), CIBC World Markets Corp.; formerly, Vice President, Oppenheimer & Co., Inc. (1986-1994).</p> | <p>No</p> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <p>Deborah Kaback</p> <p>200 Park Avenue 24th Floor New York, NY 10166</p> <p>Age: 52</p> | <p>Secretary</p> | <p>Since 2003</p> | <p>Senior Vice President and Senior Counsel, Oppenheimer Asset Management Inc. since June 2003; Executive Director, CIBC World Markets Corp. (August 2001-June 2003); Vice President and Senior Counsel, Oppenheimer Funds Inc. (November 1999-August 2001); Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel, Oppenheimer Capital (April 1989-November 1999).</p> | <p>No</p> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Dividends and Distributions

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN

The Fund intends to distribute annually to shareholders substantially all of its net investment income, and to distribute any net realized capital gains at least annually. Net investment income for this purpose is income other than net realized long and short-term capital gains net of expenses.

Pursuant to the Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan (the "Plan"), shareholders whose shares of Common Stock are registered in their own names will be deemed to have elected to have all distributions automatically reinvested by the Plan Agent in Fund shares pursuant to the Plan, unless such shareholders elect to receive distributions in cash. Shareholders who elect to receive distributions in cash will receive all distributions in cash paid by check in dollars mailed directly to the shareholder by the dividend paying agent. In the case of shareholders such as banks, brokers or nominees, that hold shares for others who are beneficial owners, the Plan Agent will administer the Plan on the basis of the number of shares certified from time to time by the shareholders as representing the total amount registered in such shareholders' names and held for the account of beneficial owners that have not elected to receive distributions in cash. Investors that own shares registered in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee should consult with such nominee as to participation in the Plan through such nominee, and may be required to have their shares registered in their own names in order to participate in the Plan.

The Plan Agent serves as agent for the shareholders in administering the Plan. If the directors of the Fund declare an income dividend or a capital gains distribution payable either in the Fund's Common Stock or in cash, nonparticipants in the Plan will receive cash and participants in the Plan will receive Common Stock, to be issued by the Fund or purchased by the Plan Agent in the open market, as provided below. If the market price per share on the valuation date equals or exceeds net asset value per share on that date, the Fund will issue new shares to participants at net asset value; provided, however, that if the net asset value is less than 95% of the market price on valuation date, then such shares will be issued at 95% of the market price. The valuation date will be the dividend or distribution payment date or, if that date is not a New York Stock Exchange trading day, the next preceding trading day. If net asset value exceeds the market price of Fund shares at such time, or if the Fund should declare an income dividend or capital gains distribution payable only in cash, the Plan Agent will, as agent for the participants, buy Fund shares in the open market, on the New York Stock Exchange or elsewhere, for the participants' accounts on, or shortly after, the payment date. If, before the Plan Agent has completed its purchases, the market price exceeds the net asset value of a Fund share, the average per share purchase price paid by the Plan Agent may exceed the net asset value of the Fund's shares, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund on the dividend payment date.

28

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Dividends and Distributions (continued)

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN

Because of the forgoing difficulty with respect to open market purchases, the

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Plan provides that if the Plan Agent is unable to invest the full dividend amount in open market purchases during the purchase period or if the market discount shifts to a market premium during the purchase period, the Plan Agent will cease making open-market purchases and shareholders will receive the uninvested portion of the dividend amount in newly issued shares at the close of business on the last purchase date.

Participants have the option of making additional cash payments to the Plan Agent, annually, in any amount from \$100 to \$3,000, for investment in the Fund's Common Stock. The Plan Agent will use all such funds received from participants to purchase Fund shares in the open market on or about February 15.

Any voluntary cash payment received more than 30 days prior to this date will be returned by the Plan Agent, and interest will not be paid on any uninvested cash payment. To avoid unnecessary cash accumulations, and also to allow ample time for receipt and processing by the Plan Agent, it is suggested that participants send in voluntary cash payments to be received by the Plan Agent approximately ten days before an applicable purchase date specified above. A participant may withdraw a voluntary cash payment by written notice, if the notice is received by the Plan Agent not less than 48 hours before such payment is to be invested.

The Plan Agent maintains all shareholder accounts in the Plan and furnishes written confirmations of all transactions in an account, including information needed by shareholders for personal and tax records. Shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Plan Agent in the name of the participant, and each shareholder's proxy will include those shares purchased pursuant to the Plan.

There is no charge to participants for reinvesting dividends or capital gains distributions or voluntary cash payments. The Plan Agent's fees for the reinvestment of dividends and capital gains distributions and voluntary cash payments will be paid by the Fund. There will be no brokerage charges with respect to shares issued directly by the Fund as a result of dividends or capital gains distributions payable either in stock or in cash. However, each participant will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Plan Agent's open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of dividends and capital gains distributions and voluntary cash payments made by the participant. Brokerage charges for purchasing small amounts of stock for individual accounts through the Plan are expected to be less than the usual brokerage charges for such transactions, because the Plan Agent will be purchasing stock for all participants in blocks and prorating the lower commissions thus attainable.

29

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Dividends and Distributions (concluded)

The receipt of dividends and distributions under the Plan will not relieve participants of any income tax that may be payable on such dividends or distributions.

Experience under the Plan may indicate that changes in the Plan are desirable. Accordingly, the Fund and the Plan Agent reserve the right to terminate the Plan as applied to any voluntary cash payments made and any dividend or distribution paid subsequent to notice of the termination sent to members of the Plan at least 30 days before the record date for such dividend or distribution. The Plan also may be amended by the Fund or the Plan Agent, but (except when necessary or

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

appropriate to comply with applicable law, rules or policies of a regulatory authority) only by at least 30 days' written notice to participants in the Plan. All correspondence concerning the Plan should be directed to the Plan Agent at P.O. Box 43027, Westborough, Massachusetts 43027.

30

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

PRIVACY POLICY OF
ADVANTAGE ADVISERS, INC.
THE ASIA TIGERS FUND, INC.
THE INDIA FUND, INC.

YOUR PRIVACY IS PROTECTED

An important part of our commitment to you is our respect for your right to privacy. Protecting all the information we are either required to gather or which accumulates in the course of doing business with you is a cornerstone of our relationship with you. While the range of products and services we offer continues to expand, and the technology we use continues to change, our commitment to maintaining standards and procedures with respect to security remains constant.

COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

The primary reason that we collect and maintain information is to more effectively administer our customer relationship with you. It allows us to identify, improve and develop products and services that we believe could be of benefit. It also permits us to provide efficient, accurate and responsive service, to help protect you from unauthorized use of your information and to comply with regulatory and other legal requirements. These include those related to institutional risk control and the resolution of disputes or inquiries.

Various sources are used to collect information about you, including (i) information you provide to us at the time you establish a relationship, (ii) information provided in applications, forms or instruction letters completed by you, (iii) information about your transactions with us or our affiliated companies, and/or (iv) information we receive through an outside source, such as a bank or credit bureau. In order to maintain the integrity of client information, we have procedures in place to update such information, as well as to delete it when appropriate. We encourage you to communicate such changes whenever necessary.

DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

We do not disclose any nonpublic, personal information (such as your name, address or tax identification number) about our clients or former clients to anyone, except as permitted or required by law. We maintain physical, electronic and procedural safeguards to protect such information, and limit access to such information to those employees who require it in order to provide products or services to you.

The law permits us to share client information with companies that are affiliated with us which provide financial, credit, insurance, trust, legal, accounting and administrative services to us or our clients. This allows us to enhance our relationship with you by providing a broader range of products to

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

better meet your needs and to protect the assets you may hold with us by preserving the safety and soundness of our firm.

31

PRIVACY POLICY OF
ADVANTAGE ADVISERS, INC.
THE ASIA TIGERS FUND, INC.
THE INDIA FUND, INC.

Finally, we are also permitted to disclose nonpublic, personal information to unaffiliated outside parties who assist us with processing, marketing or servicing a financial product, transaction or service requested by you, administering benefits or claims relating to such a transaction, product or service, and/or providing confirmations, statements, valuations or other records or information produced on our behalf.

It may be necessary, under anti-money laundering or other laws, to disclose information about you in order to accept your subscription. Information about you may also be released if you so direct, or if we or an affiliate are compelled to do so by law, or in connection with any government or self-regulatory organization request or investigation.

We are committed to upholding this Privacy Policy. We will notify you on an annual basis of our policies and practices in this regard and at any time that there is a material change that would require your consent.

May 2003

32

(This page intentionally left blank.)

(This page intentionally left blank.)

(This page intentionally left blank.)

Advantage Advisers, Inc.

The India Fund, Inc.

Annual Report

December 31, 2003

The India Fund, Inc.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

THE INDIA FUND, INC.

INVESTMENT MANAGER:

Advantage Advisers, Inc.,
a subsidiary of Oppenheimer Asset
Management Inc.

ADMINISTRATOR:

Oppenheimer & Co. Inc.

SUB-ADMINISTRATOR:

PFPC Inc.

TRANSFER AGENT:

PFPC Inc.

CUSTODIAN:

Deutsche Bank AG

The Fund has adopted the Investment Manager's proxy voting policies and procedures to govern the voting of proxies relating to its voting securities. You may obtain a copy of these proxy voting procedures, without charge, by calling (800) 421-4777.

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

- (a) The registrant, on July 29, 2003, adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party (the "Code of Ethics").
- (b) There have been no amendments, during the period covered by this report, to any provisions of the Code of Ethics.
- (c) The registrant has not granted any waivers, during the period covered by this report, including an implicit waiver, from any provisions of the Code of Ethics.
- (d) Not Applicable.
- (e) Not Applicable.
- (f) A copy of the registrant's Code of Ethics is filed as an exhibit hereto. The registrant undertakes to provide a copy of the Code of Ethics to any person, without charge upon request to the registrant at its address at 200 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10166.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant's board of directors has determined that the registrant has at least one audit committee financial expert serving on its audit committee, Mr. Lawrence Becker, and that Mr. Becker is "independent." Mr. Becker was elected as a non-interested Director of the Audit Committee at a meeting of the board of directors held on October 23, 2003.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

AUDIT FEES

- (a) The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003 were \$116,000 and \$95,550, respectively, including out-of-pocket expenses.

AUDIT-RELATED FEES

- (b) The aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported under paragraph (a) of this Item for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003 were \$0 and \$3,638 respectively. Such fees related to assistance with assessment of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures.

TAX FEES

- (c) The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for domestic and international tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning services for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003 were \$69,356 and \$65,265, respectively.

ALL OTHER FEES

- (d) The aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this Item for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003 were \$0 and \$0, respectively.
- (e) (1) Disclose the audit committee's pre-approval policies and procedures described in paragraph (c) (7) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

The registrant's Audit Committee Charter provides that the Audit Committee shall pre-approve, to the extent required by applicable law, all audit and non-audit services that the registrant's independent auditors provide to the registrant and (ii) all non-audit services that the registrant's independent auditors provide to the registrant's investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the registrant's investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant, if the engagement relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the registrant.

- (e) (2) The percentage of services described in each of paragraphs (b) through (d) of this Item that were approved by the audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c) (7) (i) (C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X are as follows:

(b) 0% (Service performed prior to May 6, 2003)

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

(c) 0% 2002 100% 2003

(d) Not applicable.

(f) The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees was zero percent (0%).

(g) The aggregate non-audit fees billed by the registrant's accountant for services rendered to the registrant, and rendered to the registrant's investment adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the

adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003 were \$472,000 and \$50,050, respectively.

(h) The registrant's audit committee of the board of directors HAS considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's investment adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant has a separately-designated audit committee consisting of all the independent directors of the registrant. The members of the audit committee are Lawrence K. Becker, Leslie H. Gelb, Luis F. Rubio and Jeswald W. Salacuse.

ITEM 6. [RESERVED]

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

ADVANTAGE ADVISERS, INC.

PROXY VOTING MANUAL

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHAPTER 1 BOARD OF DIRECTORS | |
| VOTING ON DIRECTOR NOMINEES IN UNCONTESTED ELECTIONS | 6 |
| ----- | ----- |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| CHAIRMAN & CEO ARE THE SAME PERSON | 7 |
| ----- | ----- |
| INDEPENDENCE OF DIRECTORS | 8 |
| ----- | ----- |
| STOCK OWNERSHIP REQUEST | 9 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS | 10 |
| ----- | ----- |
| DIRECTOR AND OFFICER INDEMNIFICATION AND LIABILITY PROTECTION | 11 |
| ----- | ----- |
| VOTE RECOMMENDATION | 12 |
| ----- | ----- |
| SIZE OF THE BOARD | 13 |
| ----- | ----- |
| VOTING ON DIRECTOR NOMINEES IN CONTESTED ELECTIONS | 14 |
| ----- | ----- |
| TERM OF OFFICE | 15 |
| ----- | ----- |
| COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE | 16 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHAPTER 2 AUDITORS | |
| ----- | |
| | 17 |
| ----- | ----- |
| RATIFYING AUDITORS | 18 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHAPTER 3 TENDER OFFER DEFENSES | |
| ----- | |
| | 19 |
| ----- | ----- |
| POISON PILLS | 20 |
| ----- | ----- |
| GREENMAIL | 21 |
| ----- | ----- |
| SUPERMAJORITY VOTE | 22 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHAPTER 4 MERGERS AND CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING | |
| ----- | |
| | 23 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHANGING CORPORATE NAME | 24 |
| ----- | ----- |
| REINCORPORATION | 25 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHAPTER 5 PROXY CONTEST DEFENSES | |
| ----- | |
| | 26 |
| ----- | ----- |
| BOARD STRUCTURE: STAGGERED VS. ANNUAL ELECTIONS | 27 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CUMULATIVE VOTING | 28 |
| ----- | ----- |
| SHAREHOLDERS' ABILITY TO CALL SPECIAL MEETING | 29 |
| ----- | ----- |
| SHAREHOLDERS' ABILITY TO ALTER SIZE OF THE BOARD | 30 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHAPTER 6 MISCELLANEOUS CORPORATE | |
| ----- | |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| GOVERNANCE PROVISIONS | 31 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CONFIDENTIAL VOTING | 32 |
| ----- | ----- |
| SHAREHOLDER ADVISORY COMMITTEES | 33 |
| ----- | ----- |
| FOREIGN CORPORATE MATTERS | 34 |
| ----- | ----- |
| GOVERNMENT SERVICE LIST | |
| ----- | |
| ----- | 35 |
| ----- | ----- |
| CHAPTER 7 SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES | 36 |
| ----- | ----- |
| ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES (CERES PRINCIPLES) | 37 |
| ----- | ----- |
| | |
| | 2 |
| | |
| NORTHERN IRELAND (MACBRIDE PRINCIPLES) | |
| ----- | |
| ----- | 38 |
| ----- | ----- |
| MAQUILADORA STANDARDS & INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS AND POLICIES | 39 |
| ----- | ----- |
| EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY & DISCRIMINATION | 40 |
| ----- | ----- |
| ANIMAL RIGHTS | 41 |
| ----- | ----- |
| | |
| CHAPTER 8 CAPITAL STRUCTURE | |
| ----- | |
| ----- | 42 |
| ----- | ----- |
| COMMON STOCK AUTHORIZATION | |
| ----- | |
| ----- | 43 |
| ----- | ----- |
| BLANK CHECK PREFERRED STOCK | |
| ----- | |
| ----- | 44 |
| ----- | ----- |
| PREEMPTIVE RIGHTS | 45 |
| ----- | ----- |
| STOCK DISTRIBUTION: SPLITS AND DIVIDENDS | 46 |
| ----- | ----- |
| REVERSE STOCK SPLITS | |
| ----- | |
| ----- | 47 |
| ----- | ----- |
| ADJUSTMENTS TO PAR VALUE OF COMMON STOCK | 48 |
| ----- | ----- |
| DEBT RESTRUCTURING | 49 |
| ----- | ----- |
| | |
| CHAPTER 9 EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION | |
| ----- | |
| ----- | 50 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| DIRECTOR COMPENSATION | 51 |
| SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL TO LIMIT EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR PAY | 52 |
| EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLANS (ESOPS) | |
| | 53 |
| OPTIONS EXPENSING | 54 |
| GOLDEN PARACHUTES | 55 |
| PROPOSAL TO BAN GOLDEN PARACHUTES | 56 |
| OUTSIDE DIRECTORS' RETIREMENT COMPENSATION | 57 |
| CHAPTER 10 STATE OF INCORPORATION | |
| | 58 |
| CONTROL SHARE ACQUISITION STATUTES | 59 |
| OPT-OUT OF STATE TAKEOVER STATUTES | |
| | 60 |
| CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING, SPIN-OFFS, ASSET SALES, LIQUIDATIONS | 61 |
| CHAPTER 11 CONFLICT OF INTEREST | |
| | 62 |
| CONFLICTS | 63 |
| CONFLICTS CONT'D | 64 |
| CHAPTER 12 CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE & PROXY MANAGERS | |
| | 65 |
| | 3 |
| CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE | 66 |
| PROXY MANAGERS | 67 |
| CHAPTER 13 SPECIAL ISSUES WITH VOTING FOREIGN PROXIES | |
| | 68 |
| SPECIAL ISSUES | 69 |

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

| | |
|---------------------------|-------|
| CHAPTER 14 RECORD KEEPING | 70 |
| ----- | ----- |
| RECORD KEEPING | 71 |
| ----- | ----- |

INTRODUCTION

Rule 206(4)-6 (the "Rule") adopted under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act") requires all registered investment advisers that exercise voting discretion over securities held in client portfolios to adopt proxy voting policies and procedures.

Advantage Advisers, Inc., (the "Adviser") is a registered investment adviser under the Advisers Act and is therefore required to adopt proxy voting policies and procedures pursuant to the Rule.

When the Adviser has investment discretion over a client's investment portfolio, then the Adviser votes proxies for the Account pursuant to the policies and procedures set forth herein.

VOTING ON DIRECTOR NOMINEES

IN UNCONTESTED ELECTIONS

These proposals seek shareholder votes for persons who have been nominated by a corporation's board of directors to stand for election to serve as members of that board. No candidates are opposing these board nominees.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

In each analysis of an uncontested election of directors you should review:

- a) Company performance
- b) Composition of the board and key board committees
- c) Attendance at board meetings
- d) Corporate governance provisions and takeover activity

We may also consider:

- a) Board decisions concerning executive compensation
- b) Number of other board seats held by the nominee
- c) Interlocking directorships

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

It is our policy to vote IN FAVOR of the candidates proposed by the board.

We will look carefully at each candidate's background contained in the proxy statement. In the absence of unusual circumstances suggesting a nominee is clearly not qualified to serve as a member of the board, we will vote with management.

6

CHAIRMAN AND CEO ARE THE SAME PERSON

Shareholders may propose that different persons hold the positions of the chairman and the CEO.

We would evaluate these proposals on a case by case basis depending on the size of the company and performance of management.

INDEPENDENCE OF DIRECTORS

Shareholders may request that the board be comprised of a majority of independent directors and that audit, compensation and nominating committees of the Board consists exclusively of independent directors. We believe that independent directors are important to corporate governance.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

It is our policy to vote FOR proposals requesting that a majority of the Board be independent and that the audit, compensation and nominating committees of the board include only independent directors.

STOCK OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENTS

Shareholders may propose that directors be required to own a minimum amount of company stock or that directors should be paid in company stock, not cash. This proposal is based on the view that directors will align themselves with the interest of shareholders if they are shareholders themselves. We believe that directors are required to exercise their fiduciary duty to the company and its shareholders whether or not they own shares in the company and should be allowed to invest in company stock based on their own personal considerations.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals that require director stock ownership

CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS

Charitable contributions by companies are generally useful for assisting worthwhile causes and for creating goodwill between the company and its community. Moreover, there may be certain long-term financial benefits to companies from certain charitable contributions generated from, for example, movies spent helping educational efforts in the firm's primary employment areas. Shareholders should not decide what the most worthwhile charities are.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

(Shareholders Proposals)
Vote AGAINST proposals regarding
charitable contribution.

Shareholders have differing and equally sincere views as to which charities the company should contribute to, and the amount it should contribute. In the absence of bad faith, self-dealing, or gross negligence, management should determine which contributions are in the best interest of the company.

DIRECTOR AND OFFICER INDEMNIFICATION

AND LIABILITY PROTECTION

These proposals typically provide for protection (or additional

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

protection) which is to be afforded to the directors of a corporation in the form of indemnification by the corporation, insurance coverage or limitations upon their liability in connection with their responsibilities as directors.

When a corporation indemnifies its directors and officers, it means the corporation promises to reimburse them for certain legal expenses, damages, and judgements incurred as a result of lawsuits relating to their corporate actions. The corporation becomes the insurer for its officers and directors.

11

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals that eliminate entirely director and officers' liability for monetary damages for violating the duty of care.

Vote AGAINST indemnification proposals that would expand coverage beyond just legal expenses to acts, such as negligence, that are more serious violations of fiduciary obligations than mere carelessness.

Vote FOR only those proposals providing such expanded coverage in cases when a director's or officer's legal defense was unsuccessful if: a) the director was found to have acted in good faith, and b) only if the director's legal expenses would be covered.

The following factors should be considered:

1. The present environment in which directors operate provides substantial risk of claims or suits against them in their individual capacities arising out of the discharge of their duties.
2. Attracting and retaining the most qualified directors enhances shareholder value.

SIZE OF THE BOARD

Typically there are three reasons for changing the size of the board. The first reason may be to permit inclusion into the board of additional individuals who, by virtue of their ability and experience, would benefit the corporation. The second reason may be to reduce the size of the board due to expiration of terms, resignation of sitting directors or, thirdly, to accommodate the corporation's changing needs.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR the board's recommendation to increase or decrease the size of the board.

The following factors should be considered:

1. These proposals may aim at reducing or increasing the influence of certain groups of individuals.
2. This is an issue with which the board of directors is uniquely qualified to deal, since they have the most experience in sitting on a board and are up-to-date on the specific needs of the corporation.

VOTING ON DIRECTOR NOMINEES IN CONTESTED ELECTIONS

Votes in contested elections of directors are evaluated on a CASE-BY-CASE basis. The following factors are considered:

1. management's track record
2. background to the proxy contest
3. qualifications of director nominees

TERM OF OFFICE

This is a shareholder's proposal to limit the tenure of outside directors. This requirement may not be an appropriate one. It is an artificial imposition on the board, and may have the result of removing knowledgeable directors from the board.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST shareholder proposals to limit the tenure of outside directors.

The following factors should be considered:

1. An experienced director should not be disqualified because he or she has served a certain number of years.
2. The nominating committee is in the best position to judge the directors' terms in office due to their understanding of a corporation's needs and a director's abilities and experience.
3. If shareholders are not satisfied with the job a director is doing, they can vote him/her off the board when the term is up.

COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE

These proposals seek shareholder approval of a request that the board of directors disclose the amount of compensation paid to officers and employees, in addition to the disclosure of such information in the proxy statement as required by the SEC regulations.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

(shareholders policy)
Vote AGAINST these proposals that

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

require disclosure, unless we have reason to believe that mandated disclosures are insufficient to give an accurate and meaningful account of senior management compensation.

The following factors should be considered:

1. Federal securities laws require disclosure in corporate proxy statements of the compensation paid to corporate directors and officers.
2. Employees other than executive officers and directors are typically not in policy-making roles where they have the ability to determine, in a significant way, the amount of their own compensation.
3. The disclosure of compensation of lower-level officers and employees infringes upon their privacy and might create morale problems.

16

CHAPTER 2

AUDITORS

17

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

RATIFYING AUDITORS

Shareholders must make certain that auditors are responsibly examining the financial statements of a company, that their reports adequately express any legitimate financial concerns, and that the auditor is independent of the company it is serving.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR proposal to ratify auditors.

The following factors should be considered:

1. Although lawsuits are sometimes filed against accounting firms, including those nationally recognized, these firms typically complete their assignments in a lawful and professional manner.
2. Sometimes it may be appropriate for a corporation to change accounting firms, but the board of directors is in the best position to judge the advantages of any such change and any disagreements with former auditors must be fully disclosed to shareholders.
3. If there is a reason to believe the independent auditor has rendered an opinion which is neither accurate nor indicative of the company's financial position, then in this case vote AGAINST ratification.

18

CHAPTER 3

TENDER OFFER DEFENSES

19

POISON PILLS

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Poison pills are corporate-sponsored financial devices that, when triggered by potential acquirers, do one or more of the following: a) dilute the acquirer's equity in the target company, b) dilute the acquirer's voting interests in the target company, or c) dilute the acquirer's equity holdings in the post-merger company. Generally, poison pills accomplish these tasks by issuing rights or warrants to shareholders that are essentially worthless unless triggered by a hostile acquisition attempt.

A poison pill should contain a redemption clause that would allow the board to redeem it even after a potential acquirer has surpassed the ownership threshold. Poison pills may be adopted by the board without shareholder approval. But shareholders must have the opportunity to ratify or reject them at least every two years.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR shareholder proposals asking that a company submit its poison pill for shareholder ratification.

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis regarding shareholder proposals to redeem a company's poison pill.

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis regarding management proposals to ratify a poison pill.

20

GREENMAIL

Greenmail payments are targeted share repurchases by management of company stock from individuals or groups seeking control of the company. Since only the hostile party receives payment, usually at a substantial premium over the market, the practice discriminates against all other shareholders.

Greenmail payments usually expose the company to negative press and may result in lawsuits by shareholders. When a company's name is associated with such a practice, company customers may think twice about future purchases made at the expense of the shareholders.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR proposals to adopt anti Greenmail or bylaw amendments or otherwise restrict a company's ability to make Greenmail payments

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis regarding anti-Greenmail proposals when they are bundled with other charter or bylaw amendments.

The following factors should be considered:

1. While studies by the SEC and others show that Greenmail devalues the company's stock price, an argument can be made that a payment can enable the company to pursue plans that may provide long-term gains to the shareholders.

21

SUPERMAJORITY VOTE

Supermajority provisions violate the principle that a simple majority of voting shares should be all that is necessary to effect change regarding a company and its corporate governance provisions. These proposals seek shareholder approval to exceed the normal level of shareholder participation and approval from a simple majority of the outstanding shares to a much higher percentage.

VOTE RECOMMENDATIONS

Vote AGAINST management proposals to require a Supermajority shareholder vote to approve mergers and other significant business combinations.

Vote FOR shareholder proposals to lower Supermajority vote requirements for mergers and other significant business combinations.

The following factors should be considered:

1. Supermajority requirements ensure broad agreement on issues that may have a significant impact on the future of the company.
2. Supermajority vote may make action all but impossible.
3. Supermajority requirements are counter to the principle of majority rule.

22

CHAPTER 4
MERGERS
AND
CORPORATE
RESTRUCTURING

23

CHANGING CORPORATE NAME

This proposal seeks shareholder approval to change the corporation's name. It is probably better to vote for the proposed name change before management goes back to the drawing board and spends another small fortune attempting again to change the name.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR changing the corporate name.

The following factors should be considered:

1. A name of a corporation symbolizes its substance.
2. There are many reasons a corporation may have for changing its name, including an intention to change the direction of the business or to have a contemporary corporate image.
3. The board of directors is well-positioned to determine the best name for the corporation because, among other reasons, it usually seeks professional advice on such matters.

REINCORPORATION

These proposals seek shareholder approval to change the state in which a company is incorporated. Sometimes this is done to accommodate the company's most active operations or headquarters. More often, however, the companies want to reincorporate in a state with more stringent anti-takeover provisions. Delaware's state laws, for instance, including liability and anti-takeover provisions, are more favorable to corporations.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis, carefully reviewing the new state's laws and any significant changes the company makes in its charter and by-laws.

The following factors should be considered:

1. The board is in the best position to determine the company's need to incorporate.
2. Reincorporation may have considerable implications for shareholders, affecting a company's takeover defenses, its corporate structure or governance features.
3. Reincorporation in a state with stronger anti-takeover laws may harm shareholder value.

CHAPTER 5

PROXY
CONTEST
DEFENSES

26

BOARD STRUCTURE: STAGGERED VS. ANNUAL ELECTIONS

A company that has classified, or staggered, board is one in which directors are typically divided into three classes, with each class serving three-year terms; each class's reelection occurs in different years. In contrast, all directors of an annually elected board serve one-year and the entire board stands for election each year.

Classifying the board makes it more difficult to change control of a company through a proxy contest involving election of directors. Because only a minority of the directors are elected each year, it will be more difficult to win control of the board in a single election.

VOTE RECOMMENDATIONS

Vote AGAINST proposals to classify the board. Vote FOR proposals to repeal classified boards and to elect all directors annually.

The following factors should be considered:

1. The annual election of directors provides an extra check on management's performance. A director who is doing a good job should not fear an annual review of his/her directorship.

CUMULATIVE VOTING

Most companies provide that shareholders are entitled to cast one vote for each share owned, the so-called "one share, one vote" standard. This proposal seeks to allow each shareholder to cast votes in the election of directors proportionate to the number of directors times the number of shares owned by each shareholder for one nominee.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals that permit cumulative voting.

The following factors should be considered:

1. Cumulative voting would allow a minority owner to create an impact disproportionate to his/her holdings.
2. Cumulative voting can be used to elect a director who would represent special interests and not those of the corporation and its shareholders.
3. Cumulative voting can allow a minority to have representation.
4. Cumulative Voting can lead to a conflict within the board which could interfere with its ability to serve the shareholders' best interests.

SHAREHOLDERS' ABILITY TO CALL SPECIAL MEETING

Most state corporation statutes allow shareholders to call a special meeting

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

when they want to take action on certain matters that arise between regularly scheduled annual meetings.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals to restrict or prohibit shareholder ability to call special meetings.

Vote FOR proposals that remove restrictions on the right of shareholders to act independently of management.

29

SHAREHOLDERS' ABILITY TO ALTER SIZE OF THE BOARD

Proposals which would allow management to increase or decrease the size of the board at its own discretion are often used by companies as a takeover defense.

Shareholders should support management proposals to fix the size of the board at a specific number of directors, preventing management from increasing the size of the board without shareholder approval. By increasing the size of the board, management can make it more difficult for dissidents to gain control of the board.

VOTE RECOMMENDATIONS

Vote FOR proposal which seek to fix the size of the board.

Vote AGAINST proposals which give management the ability to alter the size of the board without shareholder approval.

CHAPTER 6
MISCELLANEOUS
CORPORATE
GOVERNANCE
PROVISIONS

CONFIDENTIAL VOTING

Confidential voting, also known as voting by secret ballot, is one of the key structural issues in the proxy system. All proxies, ballots, and voting tabulations that identify individual shareholders are kept confidential.

VOTE RECOMMENDATIONS

Vote FOR shareholder proposals requesting that corporations adopt confidential voting.

Vote FOR management proposals to adopt confidential voting.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

The following factors should be considered:

1. Some shareholders elect to have the board not know how they voted on certain issues.
2. Should the board be aware of how a shareholder voted, the board could attempt to influence the shareholder to change his/her vote, giving itself an advantage over those that do not have access to this information.
3. Confidential voting is an important element of corporate democracy which should be available to the shareholder.

32

SHAREHOLDER ADVISORY COMMITTEES

These proposals request that the corporation establish a shareholder advisory committee to review the board's performance. In some instances, it would have a budget funded by the corporation and would be composed of salaried committee members with authority to hire outside experts and to include reports in the annual proxy statement.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals to establish a shareholder advisory committee.

The following factors should be considered:

1. Directors already have fiduciary responsibility to represent shareholders and are accountable to them by law, thus rendering shareholder advisory committees unnecessary.
2. Adding another layer to the current corporate governance system would be expensive and unproductive.

FOREIGN CORPORATE MATTERS

These proposals are usually submitted by companies incorporated outside of the United States seeking shareholder approval for actions which are considered ordinary business and do not require shareholder approval in the United States (i.e., declaration of dividends, approval of financial statements, etc.).

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR proposals that concern foreign companies incorporated outside of the United States.

The following factors should be considered:

1. The laws and regulations of various countries differ widely as to those issues on which shareholder approval is needed, usually requiring consent for actions which are considered routine in the United States.
2. The board of directors is well-positioned to determine whether or not these types of actions are in the best interest of the corporation's shareholders.

GOVERNMENT SERVICE LIST

This proposal requests that the board of directors prepare a list of employees or consultants to the company who have been employed by the government within a specified period of time and the substance of their involvement.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Solicitation of customers and negotiation of contractual or other business relationships is traditionally the responsibility of management. Compilation of such a list does not seem to serve a useful purpose, primarily because existing laws and regulations serve as a checklist on conflicts of interest.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST these proposals which a request a list of employees having been employed by the government.

The following factors should be considered:

1. For certain companies, employing individuals familiar with the regulatory agencies and procedures is essential and, therefore, is in the best interests of the shareholders.
2. Existing laws and regulations require enough disclosure and serve as a check on conflicts of interest.
3. Additional disclosure would be an unreasonable invasion of such individual's privacy.

35

CHAPTER 7 SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

(CERES PRINCIPLES)

CERES proposals ask management to sign or report on process toward compliance with ten principles committing the company to environmental stewardship. Principle 10 directs companies to fill out the CERES report. This report requires companies to disclose information about environmental policies, toxic emissions, hazardous waste management, workplace safety, energy use, and environmental audits.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals requesting that companies sign the CERES Principles.

The following factors should be considered:

1. We do not believe a concrete business case is made for this proposal. In our opinion, the company will be best served by continuing to carry on its business as it did before the proposal was made.

NORTHERN IRELAND

(MACBRIDE PRINCIPLES)

It is well documented that Northern Ireland's Catholic community faces much higher unemployment figures than the Protestant community. Most proposals ask companies to endorse or report on progress with respect to the MacBride Principles.

In evaluating a proposal to adopt the MacBride Principles, you must decide if the principles will cause the company to divest, and worsen unemployment problems.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

REFRAIN from voting on proposals that request companies to adopt the MacBride Principles.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

The following factors should be considered:

1. We believe that human and political rights are of the utmost importance for their own sake as well as for the enhancement of economic potential of a nation.
2. We do not believe a concrete business case has been made for this proposal. We will refrain from making social or political statements by voting for these proposals. We will only vote on proposals that maximize the value of the issuers' status without regard to (i.e., we will not pass judgement upon) the non-economic considerations.

38

MAQUILADORA STANDARDS AND

INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS AND POLICIES

Proposals in this area generally request companies to report on or to adopt certain principles regarding their operations in foreign countries.

The Maquiladora Standards are a set of guidelines that outline how U.S. companies should conduct operations in Maquiladora facilities just across the U.S.-Mexican border. These standards cover such topics as community development, environmental policies, health and safety policies, and fair employment practices.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

ABSTAIN from providing a vote recommendation on proposals regarding the Maquiladora Standards and international operating policies.

The following factors should be considered:

1. We believe that human rights are of the utmost importance for their own sake as well as for the enhancement of economic potential of a nation.
2. We do not believe that a concrete business case has been made for these proposals. We will refrain from making social statements by voting for these proposals. We will not only vote on proposals that maximize the value of the issuers' securities without regard to (i.e., we will not pass judgement upon) the non-economic considerations.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

AND DISCRIMINATION

In regards to equal employment and discrimination, companies without comprehensive EEO programs will find it hard to recruit qualified employees and find them at a long-term competitive disadvantage. Companies who are not carefully watching their human resource practices could also face lawsuits.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

REFRAIN from voting on any proposals regarding equal employment opportunities and discrimination.

The following factors should be considered:

1. We feel that the hiring and promotion of employees should be free from prohibited discriminatory practices. We also feel that many of these issues are already subject to significant state and federal regulations.

ANIMAL RIGHTS

A Corporation is requested to issue a report on its progress towards reducing reliance on animal tests for consumer product safety.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

REFRAIN from making vote recommendations on proposals regarding animal rights.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

The following factors should be considered:

1. Needless cruelty to animals should never be tolerated. However, the testing of products on animals may be very important to the health and safety of consumers.
2. We also feel that this issue is already subject to significant state and federal regulation.

41

CHAPTER 8

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

42

COMMON STOCK AUTHORIZATION

The ability to increase the number of authorized shares could accommodate the sale of equity, stock splits, dividends, compensation-based plans, etc. The board can usually be trusted to use additional shares for capital-raising and other transactions that are in the corporation's best interests.

However, excessive escalation in the number of authorized shares may allow the board to radically change the corporation's direction without shareholder approval. Be careful to view that the increased number of shares will not enable the company to activate a poison pill.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals increase the number of shares of common stock authorized for issue.

Vote AGAINST proposed common share authorization that increase existing authorization by more than 100 percent unless a clear need for the excess shares is presented by the company.

The following factors should be considered:

1. Is this company going to make frequent business acquisitions over a period of time?
2. Is the company expanding its operations?
3. Within the company, are there any debt structuring or prepackaged bankruptcy plans?

43

BLANK CHECK PREFERRED STOCK

The terms of blank check preferred stock give the board of directors the power to issue shares of preferred stock at their discretion, with voting, conversion, distribution and other rights to be determined by the board at the time of the issue.

Blank check preferred stock can provide corporations with the flexibility to meet changing financial conditions. However, once the blank check preferred stock has been authorized, the shareholders have no further power over how or when it will be allocated.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals authorizing the creation of new classes of preferred stock with unspecified voting, conversion, dividend distribution, and other rights.

The following factors should be considered:

1. Blank check preferred stock can be used as the vehicle for a poison pill defense against hostile suitors, or it may be placed in friendly hands to help block a takeover bid.

44

PREEMPTIVE RIGHTS

These proposals request that the corporation provide existing shareholders with an opportunity to acquire additional shares in proportion to their existing holdings whenever new shares are issued. In companies with a large shareholder base and ease in which shareholders could preserve their relative interest through purchases of shares on the open market, the cost of implementing preemptive rights does not seem justifiable in relation to the benefits.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals seeking preemptive rights.

The following factors should be considered:

1. The existence of preemptive rights can considerably slow down the process of issuing new shares due to the logistics involved in protecting such rights.
2. Preemptive rights are not necessary for the shareholder in today's corporations, whose stock is held by a wide range of owners and is, in most cases, highly liquid.

STOCK DISTRIBUTIONS: SPLITS AND DIVIDENDS

STOCK SPLITS

The corporation requests authorization for a stock split.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR management proposal to authorize stock splits unless the split will result in an increase of authorized but unissued shares of more than 100% after giving effect to the shares needed for the split.

REVERSE STOCK SPLITS

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR management proposal to authorize reverse stock split unless the reverse stock split results in an increase of authorized but unissued shares of more than 100% after giving effect to the shares needed for the

reverse split.

47

ADJUSTMENTS TO PAR VALUE OF COMMON STOCK

The purpose of par value stock is to establish the maximum responsibility of stockholder in the event that a corporation becomes insolvent. It represents the maximum amount that a shareholder must pay the corporation if the stock is to be fully paid when issued.

The corporation requests permission to reduce the par value of its stock. In most cases, adjusting par value is a routine financing decision and should be supported.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR management proposals to reduce the par value of common stock.

The following factors should be considered:

1. State laws sometimes prohibit issuance of new stock priced below that of the outstanding shares.
2. A corporation may be unable to raise capital if the par value is overstated.

48

DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS

The corporation may propose to increase common and/or preferred shares and to issue shares as part of a debt restructuring plan.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

It is our policy to vote
CASE-BY-CASE on debt restructuring

The following factors should be considered:

1. Dilution - How much will ownership interest of existing shareholders be reduced and how extreme will dilution to future earnings be?
2. Change in Control - Will the transaction result in a change of control of the company?
3. Bankruptcy - Is the threat of bankruptcy, which would result in severe losses in shareholder value, the main factor driving the debt restructuring?

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Directors represent shareholders and are responsible for protecting shareholder interests. Companies state in the proxy material that they pay directors well in order to attract the most qualified candidates. All compensation packages for any executive, director or employee should include a pay-for-performance component.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis for director compensation.

The following factors should be considered:

1. As directors take an increasingly active role in corporate decision-making and governance, their compensation is becoming more performance-based.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL TO LIMIT EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR PAY

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Shareholder compensation proposals that set limits or reduce executive compensation should be closely scrutinized. Many of these proposals may be flawed in their emphasis on an absolute dollar figure in compensation.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis

The following factors should be considered:

1. Executive compensation is established by a committee that consists of independent directors who have fiduciary responsibility to act in the best interest of the shareholders and who are best placed to make compensation decisions.

52

EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLANS (ESOPS)

These proposals ask for stockholder endorsement of compensation plans for key employees which involve the issuance of company shares by granting of stock options, SARs, restricted stock, etc. These plans help attract and retain best-qualified corporate personnel and tie their interests more closely to those of the shareholders.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR proposals to adopt share-based compensation plans when the following items are involved:

1. The exercise price for stock options is less than 85% of fair market value on the date of the grant.
2. It is an omnibus stock plan which gives directors broad discretion in deciding how much and what kind of stock to award, when and to whom.
3. The shares for issue exceed 8% of the company's outstanding shares; or, in the case of the evergreen plans, the amount of increase exceeds 1.5% of the total number of shares outstanding.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Vote AGAINST proposals adopting share based compensation plans when the following items are involved:

1. Re-load options (new options issued for any exercised).
2. The plan would allow for management to pyramid their holdings by using stock to purchase more stock, without having to lay out cash. Vote YES if this is for directors.

53

OPTIONS EXPENSING

Shareholder proposal to expense options.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

It is our policy to vote FOR proposals to expense options

54

GOLDEN PARACHUTES

Golden parachutes are designed to protect the employees of a corporation in the event of a change in control. The change in control agreement will specify the exact payments to be made under the golden parachutes. The calculation for payout is usually based on some multiple of an employee's annual or monthly compensation. Golden parachutes are generally given to employees whose annual compensation exceeds \$112,000.

Recent experience has shown a willingness of many managements to treat severance agreements as equal to equity investments and to reward themselves as if

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

substantial amounts of equity were at risk.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote FOR proposals which seek to limit additional compensation payments.

Vote FOR shareholder proposals to have golden parachutes submitted for shareholder ratification.

The following factors should be considered:

1. The stability of management may be affected by an attempted acquisition of the corporation.
2. There is a tendency on the part of an entrenched management to overstate the value of their continuing control of and influence on the day-to-day functions of a corporation.

55

PROPOSAL TO BAN GOLDEN PARACHUTES

Based on the foregoing information:

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

We are FOR this proposal, which essentially bans golden parachutes, because we feel management's compensation should be solely based on real-time contributions to the corporation while they are serving it. Deferred current compensation is viewed differently than future, contingent compensation for current services.

OUTSIDE DIRECTORS' RETIREMENT COMPENSATION

We believe that directors should only be compensated while serving the company.

VOTE RECOMMENDATIONS

Vote AGAINST proposals establishing outside directors' retirement compensation. Vote FOR proposals that revoke outside directors' retirement compensation.

CHAPTER 10
STATE
OF
INCORPORATION

CONTROL SHARE ACQUISITION STATUTES

These proposals suggest that the board of directors solicit shareholder approval before committing acquisitions or divestiture of a business exceeding stipulated threshold levels. Such statutes function by denying shares their voting rights when they contribute to ownership in excess of certain thresholds.

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote AGAINST proposals which request the board to seek shareholder approval before committing to an acquisition.

The following factors should be considered:

1. These proposals deprive the board of directors of its ability to act quickly in propitious circumstances.
2. Conforming to these requirements can be expensive.
3. The board of directors is uniquely qualified and positioned to be able to make these decisions without prior shareholder approval.
4. The threshold levels usually imposed by these proposals are much more stringent than required by law.

OPT-OUT OF STATE TAKEOVER STATUTES

These proposals seek shareholder approval to opt-out (not be governed by) certain provisions of the anti-takeover laws of various states. Delaware law, for instance, dictates that a bidder has to acquire at least 85% of a company's stock before exercising control, unless he or she has board approval. This means that a company may thwart an otherwise successful bidder by securing 15% of its stock in friendly hands.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

VOTE RECOMMENDATION

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis for these proposals.

The following factors should be considered:

1. It is the directors' responsibility to act on behalf of the shareholders in opposing coercive takeover attempts.
2. Creating deterrents to corporate takeovers may allow for entrenchment of inefficient management.
3. These statutes strengthen the board's ability to deal with potential buyers on fair and reasonable terms.
4. Shareholders should have the final say on whether the company should be merged or acquired.

60

CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING, SPIN-OFFS ASSET SALES, LIQUIDATIONS

Votes on corporate restructuring, spin-offs, asset sales and liquidations are evaluated on a CASE BY CASE basis.

CHAPTER 11

CONFLICTS
OF
INTEREST

62

CONFLICTS

From time to time, proxy voting proposals may raise conflicts between the interests of the Advisers clients and the interests of the Adviser, it's affiliates and it's employees. Conflicts of interest may arise when:

1. Proxy votes regarding non-routine matters are solicited by an issuer that may have a separate account relationship with an affiliate of the Adviser or an investment banking relationship with Fahnestock & Co. Inc.
2. A proponent of a proxy proposal has a business relationship with the Adviser or one of its affiliates or the Adviser or one of its affiliates has a business relationship with participants in proxy contests, corporate directors or director candidates.
3. An employee of the Adviser has a personal interest in the outcome of a particular matter before shareholders.

If the Adviser receives a proxy that to the knowledge of the Proxy Manager raises a conflict of interest, the Proxy Manager shall advise the Governance Committee which shall determine whether the conflict is "material" to any specific proposal involved in the proxy. The Governance Committee will determine whether the proposal is material as follows:

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

1. Routine proxy proposals are presumed not to involve a material conflict of interest.
2. Non-routine proxy proposals-Proxy proposals that are "non-routine" will be presumed to involve a material conflict of interest unless the Governance Committee determines that the conflict is unrelated to the proposal. Non-routine proposals would include a merger, compensation matters for management and contested elections of directors.

63

CONFLICTS CONT'D

3. The Governance Committee may determine on a case by case basis that particular non-routine proposals do not involve a material conflict of interest because the proposal is not directly related to the Adviser's conflict vis-a-vis the issue. The Governance Committee will record the basis for any such determination. With respect to any proposal that the Governance Committee determines presents a material conflict of interest, the Adviser may vote regarding that proposal in any of the following ways:
 - a) Obtain instructions from the client on how to vote.
 - b) Use existing proxy guidelines if the policy with respect to the proposal is specifically addressed and does not involve a case by case analysis.
 - c) Vote the proposal that involves the conflict according to the recommendations of an independent third party such as Institutional Share Services Inc. or Investor Responsibility Research Center.

64

CHAPTER 12 GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE AND PROXY MANAGERS

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The Governance Committee is responsible for the maintenance of the Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures and will determine whether any conflict between the interest of clients and the Advisers in voting proxies is material. The Governance Committee includes the following: (1) Bryan McKigney, (2) Barbara Pires, and (3) Punita Kumar-Sinha.

PROXY MANAGERS

The Proxy Manager for the Adviser is Punita Kumar-Sinha, Portfolio Manager. The Proxy Manager will determine how votes will be cast on proposals that are evaluated on a case-by case basis.

67

CHAPTER 13

SPECIAL ISSUES WITH VOTING
FOREIGN PROXIES

SPECIAL ISSUES WITH VOTING FOREIGN PROXIES

Voting proxies with respect to shares of foreign stock may involve significantly greater effort and corresponding cost than voting proxies in the U.S domestic market. Issues in voting foreign proxies include the following:

1. Each country has its own rules and practices regarding shareholder notification, voting restrictions, registration conditions and share blocking.
2. In some foreign countries shares may be "blocked" by custodian or depository or bearer shares deposited with specific financial institutions for a certain number of days before or after the shareholders meeting. When blocked, shares typically may not be traded until the day after the blocking period. Advantage may refrain from voting shares of foreign stocks subject to blocking restrictions where in the Adviser's judgment; the benefit from voting the shares is outweighed by the interest in maintaining client liquidity in the shares. This decision is made on a case by case basis based on a relevant factors including the length of the blocking period, the significance of the holding and whether the stock is considered by a long-term holding.
3. Time frames between shareholder notification, distribution of proxy materials, book closures and the actual meeting date may be too short to allow timely action.
4. In certain countries, applicable regulations require that votes must be made in person at the shareholder meeting. The Adviser will weigh the costs and benefits of voting on proxy proposals in countries that require in person voting on a case by case basis and make decisions on whether voting on a given proxy proposal is prudent. Generally, the Adviser will not vote shares in countries that require in person voting on routine matters such as uncontested elections of directors, ratification of auditors.

RECORD KEEPING

70

RECORD KEEPING

Advantage will maintain the following records:

1. Copies of these policies
2. A copy of each proxy statement that the Adviser receives regarding client securities. The Adviser may satisfy this requirement by relying on a third party to keep copies of proxy statements provided that the Adviser has an undertaking from the third party to provide a copy of the proxy statement promptly upon request.
3. A record of each vote cast on behalf of a client. A third party may keep these voting records provided that the Adviser has an undertaking from the third party to provide a copy of the record promptly upon request.
4. A copy of any document created by the Adviser that was material to making a decision on how to vote proxies or that memorializes the basis for that decision.
5. A copy of each written client request for information on how an Adviser voted proxies on behalf of the client and a copy of written response by the Adviser to any client request for information on how

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

the Adviser voted proxies on behalf of the client.

The above records shall be maintained for five years from the end of the fiscal year during which the last entry was made on such record, the first two years in an appropriate office of the Adviser.

71

ITEM 8. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 9. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of the report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b)).
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d))) that occurred during the registrant's last fiscal half-year (the registrant's second fiscal half-year in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 11. EXHIBITS.

- (a) (1) Code of ethics, or any amendment thereto, that is the subject of disclosure required by Item 2 is attached hereto.
- (a) (2) Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are attached hereto.
- (a) (3) Not applicable.

Edgar Filing: INDIA FUND INC - Form N-CSR

(b) Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(registrant) THE INDIA FUND, INC.

By (Signature and Title)* /s/ BRYAN MCKIGNEY

Bryan McKigney, Director, President & Chairman
(principal executive officer)

Date FEBRUARY 23, 2004

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title)* /s/ BRYAN MCKIGNEY

Bryan McKigney, Director, President & Chairman
(principal executive officer)

Date FEBRUARY 23, 2004

By (Signature and Title)* /s/ ALAN KAYE

Alan Kaye, Treasurer
(principal financial officer)

Date FEBRUARY 23, 2004

* Print the name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.