Resource Capital Corp. Form 10-Q November 08, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

	
(Mark One) R QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO ACT OF 1934	FORM 10-Q SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
For the quarterly p	period ended September 30, 2011
	OR
" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO S ACT OF 1934	SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
For the transition per	iod from to
Commissi	ion file number: 1-32733
	RCE CAPITAL CORP. gistrant as specified in its charter)
Maryland	20-2287134
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)

712 5th Avenue, 12th Floor New York, New York 10019 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code) (212) 506-3870 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. R Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes RNo "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer "
Non-accelerated filer "
On not check if a smaller reporting company Company Company Accelerated filer R

Smaller reporting company C

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). " Yes R No

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock on November 3, 2011 was 77,606,716 shares.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

		PAGE
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
TOTAL T.	I manotal statements	
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – September 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>	3
	Constituted Statements of Income (constitution)	
	Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010	4
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (unaudited)	E
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	45
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	74
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	75
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	76
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	76
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		78
	(Back	to Index)

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$28,897	\$29,488
Restricted cash	148,515	168,192
Investment securities, trading	34,303	17,723
Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value	104,136	57,998
Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value	41,996	5,962
Investment securities held-to-maturity, pledged as collateral	31,214	29,036
Property available-for-sale	4,074	4,444
Investments in real estate	48,292	_
Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$28.6 million and		
\$34.2 million	1,654,020	1,443,271
Loans held for sale	13,443	28,593
Lease receivables, pledged as collateral, net of allowances of \$0 and		
\$70,000 and net of unearned income	_	109,612
Loans receivable–related party	16,494	9,927
Investments in unconsolidated entities	6,693	6,791
Dividend reinvestment plan proceeds receivable	_	10,000
Interest receivable	6,652	6,330
Deferred tax asset	4,833	4,401
Intangible assets	21,232	_
Other assets	5,449	2,432
Total assets	\$2,170,243	\$1,934,200
LIABILITIES		
Borrowings	\$1,549,674	\$1,543,251
Unsettled loan purchases	130,054	_
Distribution payable	19,157	14,555
Accrued interest expense	1,518	1,618
Derivatives, at fair value	16,218	13,292
Deferred tax liability	10,192	9,798
Accounts payable and other liabilities	10,166	3,360
Total liabilities	1,736,979	1,585,874
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 100,000,000 shares authorized;		
no shares issued and outstanding	_	_
Common stock, par value \$0.001: 500,000,000 shares authorized; 76,590,035 and 58,183,425 shares issues and outstanding	77	58

(including 1,428,931 and 534,957 unvested restricted shares)

Additional paid-in capital	642,424	528,373
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(45,041)	(33,918)
Distributions in excess of earnings	(164,196)	(146,187)
Total stockholders' equity	433,264	348,326
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$2,170,243	\$1,934,200

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

	Septer	Three Months Ended September 30,		nths Ended mber 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
REVENUES				
Interest income:				
Loans	\$18,863	\$19,597	\$60,704	\$57,085
Securities	3,383	3,136	9,098	8,905
Leases	_	4,614	_	6,777
Interest income – other	3,899	1,902	6,834	2,651
Total interest income	26,145	29,249	76,636	75,418
Interest expense	7,175	10,089	21,170	26,955
Net interest income	18,970	19,160	55,466	48,463
Rental income	1,592	_	1,772	_
Dividend income	926	-	2,453	_
Fee income	1,960	_	5,859	_
Total revenues	23,448	19,160	65,550	48,463
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Management fees – related party	3,136	4,405	8,622	9,845
Equity compensation – related party	316	544	1,399	1,463
Professional services	624	491	2,532	2,186
Insurance	161	184	497	576
Rental operating expense	1,057	_	1,369	_
General and administrative	1,281	721	3,220	2,232
Depreciation on operating leases	_	1,658	_	2,343
Depreciation and amortization	1,856	_	2,865	_
Income tax expense	1,289	4,068	4,269	5,305
Total expenses	9,720	12,071	24,773	23,950
•	13,728	7,089	40,777	24,513
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)				
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	_	(4,456	(4,649) (10,514)
Net realized gain on investment securities			·	
available-for-sale and loans	591	1,171	4,443	1,507
Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment				
securities, trading	(1,861	7,215	1,418	9,743
Provision for loan and lease losses	(1,198	· (2.00#) (26,363)
Gain on the extinguishment of debt	3,875	6,250	3,875	29,285
Other (expense) income	(191) (642) 650
Total other income (expense)	1,216	6,964	(3,472) 4,308
NET INCOME	\$14,944	\$14,053	\$37,305	\$28,821
NET INCOME PER SHARE – BASIC	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.55	\$0.64
NET INCOME PER SHARE – DILUTED	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.54	\$0.64
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF				
SHARES OUTSTANDING – BASIC	73,761,028	52,273,307	68,254,639	44,947,256
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF	74,283,894	52,578,884	68,613,363	

SHARES OUTSTANDING – DILUTED

DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE \$0.25 \$0.75 \$0.75

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

(in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

Common Stock

				Accumulate	ed	Distributions		
			Additional	Other		in Excess	Total	
			Paid-In (Comprehensi	ive Retained	of	Stockholder©on	nprehensive
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Loss	Earnings	Earnings	Equity	Income
Balance, January 1,	50 102 425	φ. σ .ο	Φ.500.272	Ф (22.010	٠ ٠	φ (1 4C 10 7)	Ф 240 226	
2011	58,183,425	\$58	\$528,373	\$ (33,918) \$-	\$ (146,187)	\$ 348,326	
Proceeds from								
common stock	<i>c</i> 000 000	7	47.602				47.610	
offering	6,900,000	7	47,603	_	_	_	47,610	
Proceeds from								
dividend								
reinvestment and								
stock	10.260.757	1.1	((100				((112	
purchase plan	10,269,757	11	66,102	_	_	_	66,113	
Offering costs	_	_	(1,274)	_	_	_	(1,274)	
Stock based	1 240 655	1	221				222	
compensation	1,240,655	1	221	_	_	_	222	
Amortization of								
stock			1 200				1 200	
based compensation		_	1,399	_	_	_	1,399	
Forfeitures	(3,802) –	-	_	-	_	- 27.205	27.205
Net income	_	_	_	_	37,305	_	37,305 \$	37,305
Securities								
available-for-sale,								
fair value				(0.240	`		(0.240	(0.240)
adjustment, net	_	-	-	(8,349) –	_	(8,349)	(8,349)
Designated								
derivatives, fair				(0.774	`		(0.774	(0.774)
value adjustment	_	_	_	(2,774) –	_	(2,774)	(2,774)
Distributions on					(25, 205)	(10.000)	(55.014.)	
common stock	_	_	-	_	(37,305)	(18,009)	(55,314)	
Comprehensive							Φ.	26 102
income	_	_	_	_	_	_	- \$	26,182
Balance, September	76.500.025	ф л д	Φ. (.10, .10.1	Φ (45 O 41	\ Φ	Φ (1C4 10C)	ф. 422.264	
30, 2011	76,590,035	\$77	\$642,424	\$ (45,041) \$-	\$ (164,196)	\$ 433,264	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended			
	•	embe	er 30,	
GAGNER ON GEROM OPER ATTING A CTIM MITTER	2011		2010	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	*25.205	4	haa aa 1	
Net income	\$37,305	3	\$28,821	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by				
operating activities:				
Provision for loan and lease losses	7,917		26,363	
Depreciation of real estate investments	394		_	
Amortization of intangible assets	2,471		_	
Amortization of term facilities	430		646	
Depreciation on operating leases	-		2,343	
Accretion of net discounts on loans held for investment	(11,522)	(13,258)
Accretion of net discounts on securities available-for-sale	(2,471)	_	
Accretion of net discounts on securities held-to-maturity	(376)	-	
Amortization of discount on notes of CDOs	40		1,158	
Amortization of debt issuance costs on notes of CDOs	2,329		3,298	
Amortization of stock-based compensation	1,399		1,463	
Amortization of terminated derivative instruments	151		329	
Non-cash incentive compensation to manager	430		1,231	
Purchase of investment securities, trading	(33,646)	(13,548)
Principal payments on investment securities, trading	327		_	
Proceeds from sales of investment securities, trading	18,131		11,346	
Net realized and unrealized gains on investments securities, trading	(1,418)	(5,207)
Unrealized losses on non-designated derivative instruments	_		46	
Net realized gains on investments	(4,443)	(6,043)
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	4,649		10,514	
Gain on the extinguishment of debt	(3,875)	(29,285)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	2,619		5,841	
Net cash provided by operating activities	20,841		26,058	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Increase (decrease) in restricted cash	22,334		(71,663)
Purchase of securities available-for-sale	(91,294)	(19,642)
Principal payments on securities available-for-sale	9,781		1,239	
Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale	13,747		6,111	
Investment in unconsolidated entity	98		(2,973)
Equity contribution to VIE	_		(7,333)
Purchase of loans	(516,153)	(217,691)
Principal payments received on loans	346,377		202,480	
Proceeds from sale of loans	127,810		83,487	
Purchase of investments in real estate	(18,329)	_	
Proceeds from sale of real estate	370	,	_	
Purchase of lease receivables	-		(25,883)
Payments received on lease receivables	_		8,005	,
			5,555	

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from sale of lease receivables	_		1,232	
Purchase of intangible asset	(21,213)	_	
Investment in loans – related parties	(6,900)	(10,000)
Payments received on loans – related parties	333		8	
Net cash used in investing activities	(133,039)	(52,623)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS – (Continued) (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,		
	2011	2010	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock (net of offering costs of			
\$1,263 and \$2,772)	46,347	42,510	
Net proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (net of			
offering costs of \$11 and \$0)	66,102	53,640	
Proceeds from borrowings:			
Repurchase agreements	37,928	_	
Revolving credit facility	6,000	-	
Mortgage payable	13,600	_	
Secured term facility	-	6,500	
Payments on borrowings:			
Secured term facility	-	(369)	
Equipment-backed securitized notes	_	(9,798)	
Collateralized debt obligations	(992) –	
Repurchase of issued bonds	(6,125) (47,065)	
Payment of debt issuance costs	(541) (502)	
Distributions paid on common stock	(50,712) (31,998)	
Net cash provided by financing activities	111,607	12,918	
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(591) (13,647)	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	29,488	51,991	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$28,897	\$38,344	
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Distributions on common stock declared but not paid	\$19,157	\$13,682	
Issuance of restricted stock	\$1,206	\$338	
Assumption of equipment-backed securitized notes	\$-	\$112,223	
Acquisition of lease receivables	\$-	\$(100,305)	
Settlement of secured term facility	\$-	\$(6,131)	
Settlement of debt issuance costs	\$-	\$(1,012)	
Contribution of lease receivables and other assets	\$117,340	\$-	
Contribution of equipment-backed securitized notes and other liabilities	\$(96,840) \$-	
Conversion of equity in LEAF Funding 3 to preferred stock and warrants	\$(21,000) \$-	
Acquisition of real estate investments	\$(33,073) \$-	
Deed in lieu of foreclosure of mortgage payable	\$34,550	\$-	
Net purchase of loans in warehouse line	\$(182,789) \$-	
Acquisition of loans on warehouse line	\$182,789	\$-	
Conversion of PIK interest in securities available-for-sale	\$528	\$-	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE:			
Interest expense paid in cash	\$24,629	\$27,985	
Income taxes paid in cash	\$24,029 \$-	\$ <i>21,983</i> \$ <i>-</i>	
meome taxes paid in easi	ψ-	Ψ^-	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF QUARTERLY PRESENTATION

Resource Capital Corp. and subsidiaries' (collectively the "Company") principal business activity is to purchase and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related assets and commercial finance assets. The Company's investment activities are managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc. ("Manager") pursuant to a management agreement (the "Management Agreement"). The Manager is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. ("Resource America") (NASDAQ: REXI). The following subsidiaries are consolidated in the Company's financial statements:

RCC Real Estate, Inc. ("RCC Real Estate") holds real estate investments, including commercial real estate loans, commercial real estate-related securities and investments in real estate. RCC Real Estate owns 100% of the equity of the following variable interest entities ("VIEs"):

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1 ("RREF CDO 2006-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and qualified real estate investment trust ("REIT") subsidiary ("QRS"). RREF CDO 2006-1 was established to complete a collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS").

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1 ("RREF CDO 2007-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and QRS. RREF CDO 2007-1 was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans, commercial mortgage-backed securities and property available-for-sale.

RCC Commercial, Inc. ("RCC Commercial") holds bank loan investments and commercial real estate-related securities. RCC Commercial owns 100% of the equity of the following VIEs:

Apidos CDO I, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO I"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). Apidos CDO I was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans.

Apidos CDO III, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO III"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CDO III was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans.

Apidos Cinco CDO, Ltd. ("Apidos Cinco CDO"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos Cinco CDO was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans.

Resource TRS, Inc. ("Resource TRS"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in a leasing company and holds all of its structured notes.

Resource TRS II, Inc. ("Resource TRS II"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's interests in bank loan CDOs not originated by the Company. Resource TRS II owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Resource Capital Asset Management ("RCAM"), a domestic limited liability company, is entitled to collect senior, subordinated, and incentive fees related to five CDO issuers to which it provides management services through Apidos Capital Management, a subsidiary or Resource America.

Resource TRS III, Inc. ("Resource TRS III"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's interests in bank loan CDOs originated by the Company. Resource TRS III owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CLO VIII, Ltd ("Apidos CLO VIII"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company, is a warehouse facility through Citibank, N.A. which was established to complete a CLO secured by a portfolio of bank loans.

The consolidated financial statements and the information and tables contained in the notes to the consolidated financial statements are unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, these interim financial statements include all adjustments necessary to fairly present the results of the interim periods presented. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations for the full year ending December 31, 2011.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company.

The Company has a 100% interest valued at \$1.5 million in the common shares (three percent of the total equity) in two trusts, Resource Capital Trust I ("RCT I") and RCC Trust II ("RCT II"). The Company completed a qualitative analysis to determine whether or not it is the primary beneficiary of each of the trusts. The Company does not have the power to direct the activities of either trust, nor does it have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to these trusts. Therefore, the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of either trust and they are not consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common shares of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts at cost and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 the Company recognized \$896,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$79,000 and \$233,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recognized \$923,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$76,000 and \$225,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. The Company will do a continuous reassessment as to whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment portfolio as trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. The Company, from time to time, may sell any of its investments due to changes in market conditions or in accordance with its investment strategy.

The Company's investment securities, trading are reported at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes or bids which are validated using an income approach utilizing appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. Any changes in fair value are recorded in the Company's results of operations as net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities, trading.

The Company's investment securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value (see Note 16). To determine fair value, the Company uses two methods, either a dealer quote or an internal valuation model, depending upon the current level of market activity.

For securities with higher levels of market activity, the Company obtains a quote from a dealer, which typically will be the dealer who sold the Company the security. The Company has been advised that, in formulating their quotes, dealers may use recent trades in the particular security, if any, market activity in similar securities, if any, or internal

valuation models. These quotes are non-binding. Based on how dealers develop their quotes, market liquidity and levels of trading, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the quotes it receives by applying its own valuation models. If there is a material difference between a quote the Company receives and the value indicated by its valuation models, the Company will evaluate the difference. As part of that evaluation, the Company will discuss the difference with the dealer, who may revise its quote based upon these discussions. Alternatively, the Company may revise its valuation models.

For investment securities available-for-sale with lower levels of market activity, the Company determines fair value based on taking a weighted average of the following three measures:

dealer quotes, as described above;

quotes on more actively-traded, higher-rated securities issued in a similar time period, adjusted for differences in rating and seniority; and

the value resulting from an internal valuation model using an income approach based upon an appropriate risk-adjusted yield, time value and projected losses using default assumptions based upon an historical analysis of underlying loan performance.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Investment Securities – (Continued)

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its available-for-sale investments for other-than-temporary impairment. An available-for-sale investment is impaired when its fair value has declined below its amortized cost basis. An impairment is considered other-than-temporary when the amortized cost basis of the investment will not be recovered over its remaining life. In addition, the Company's intent to sell as well as the likelihood that the Company will be required to sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis is considered. Where credit quality is believed to be the cause of the other-than-temporary impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as an impairment loss in the statement of income. Where other market components are believed to be the cause of the impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized on the balance sheet as other comprehensive loss.

Investment securities transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses on investment securities are determined on the specific identification method.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company maintains an allowance for loan losses. Loans held for investment are first individually evaluated for impairment so specific reserves can be applied. Loans for which a specific reserve is not applicable are then evaluated for impairment as a homogeneous pool of loans with substantially similar characteristics so that a general reserve can be established, if needed. The reviews are performed at least quarterly.

The Company considers a loan to be impaired if one of two conditions exists. The first condition is if, based on current information and events, management believes it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The second condition is if the loan is deemed to be a troubled-debt restructuring ("TDR") where a concession has been given to the borrower. These TDRs may not have an associated specific loan loss allowance if the principal and interest amount is considered recoverable based on current market conditions, expected collateral performance and / or guarantees made by the borrowers.

When a loan is impaired under either of these two conditions, the allowance for loan losses is increased by the amount of the excess of the amortized cost basis of the loan over its fair value. Fair value may be determined based on the present value of estimated cash flows; on market price, if available; or on the fair value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs. When a loan, or a portion thereof, is considered uncollectible and pursuit of collection is not warranted, the Company will record a charge-off or write-down of the loan against the allowance for loan losses.

An impaired loan may remain on accrual status during the period in which the Company is pursuing repayment of the loan; however, the loan is placed on non-accrual status at such time as (i) management believes that scheduled debt service payments will not be met within the coming 12 months; (ii) the loan becomes 90 days delinquent; (iii) management determines the borrower is incapable of, or has ceased efforts toward, curing the cause of the impairment; or (iv) the net realizable value of the loan's underlying collateral approximates the Company's carrying value of such loan. While on non-accrual status, the Company recognizes interest income only when an actual payment is received.

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate are carried net of accumulated depreciation. Costs directly related to the acquisition are expensed as incurred. Ordinary repairs and maintenance which are not reimbursed by the tenants are expensed as incurred. Costs related to the improvement of the real property are capitalized and depreciated over their useful life.

Acquisitions of real estate assets and any related intangible assets are recorded initially at fair value under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 805, "Business Combinations." The Company allocates the purchase price of its investments in real estate to land, building, site improvements, the value of in-place leases and the value of above or below market leases. The value allocated to above or below market leases is amortized over the remaining lease term as an adjustment to rental income. The Company amortizes the value allocated to in-place leases over the weighted average remaining lease term to depreciation and amortization expense. The Company depreciates real property using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Category Term

Building 25 - 40 years

Site improvements Lesser of the remaining life of

building or useful life

Long-Lived and Intangible Assets

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset's use and eventual disposition. If impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset.

Other than an impairment charge of \$1.7 million the Company took on real estate debt converted to equity in June 2011, no impairment charges were recorded on the Company's investment in real estate or intangible assets during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2011. There were no such investments in real estate holdings in 2010.

Recent Accounting Standards

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance which changes the presentation of comprehensive income. It eliminates the option to present comprehensive income as part of the changes in stockholders' equity. In addition, it requires consecutive disclosure of comprehensive income either as part of the statement of net income or in a statement immediately following. Finally, the guidance requires disclosure on the face of the financial statements of any reclassifications between net income and other comprehensive income. The guidance is effective for fiscal years and periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption will require adjusted disclosure of the Company's comprehensive income.

In April 2011, the FASB issued guidance which revises the criteria for assessing effective control for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The determination of whether the transfer of a financial asset subject to a repurchase agreement is a sale is based, in part, on whether the entity maintains effective control over the financial asset. The amendments in this guidance will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011, and will be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of the adoption on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued guidance to clarify the disclosures regarding troubled-debt restructurings originally effective as of December 15, 2010. The new guidance surrounding troubled-debt restructuring will now be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after June 15, 2011. Adoption of this guidance required additional disclosures in the notes to the Company's consolidated financial statements (see note 8).

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Recent Accounting Standards – (Continued)

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance that required new disclosures and clarified some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. The pronouncement requires a reporting entity: (1) to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and (2) to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs. In addition, it clarified the requirements of the following existing disclosures: (1) for purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities, and (2) a reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The new guidance was effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the rollforward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements which became effective for the Company in fiscal 2011 and required additional disclosures. The new guidance required enhanced disclosure in the Company's footnotes.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2010 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2011 presentation.

NOTE 3 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES, TRADING

The following table summarizes the Company's structured notes and residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"), which are classified as investment securities, trading and carried at fair value (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2011:				
Structured notes	\$24,039	\$5,283	\$(1,238	\$28,084
Residential mortgage-backed securities	7,105	221	(1,107) 6,219
Total	\$31,144	\$5,504	\$(2,345) \$34,303
December 31, 2010:				
Structured notes	\$7,984	\$9,739	\$-	\$17,723
Total	\$7,984	\$9,739	\$ -	\$17,723

The Company purchased 23 securities and sold 11 securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, for a net realized gain of \$8.0 million. The Company held 23 investment securities, trading as of September 30, 2011. The Company held 11 investment securities, trading at December 31, 2010.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 4 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

The following table summarizes the Company's investment securities including those pledged as collateral and classified as available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value (in thousands):

Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
Cost (2)	Gains	Losses	Value (1)
137,003	\$1,228	\$(28,863	\$109,368
36,741	_	_	36,741
_	23	_	23
173,744	\$1,251	\$(28,863	\$146,132
83,223	\$7,292	\$(26,578)	\$63,937
_	23	_	23
83,223	\$7,315	\$(26,578)	\$63,960
1133	73,744 37,003 6,741 73,744	Gost (2) Gains 37,003 \$1,228 6,741 - 23 73,744 \$1,251 3,223 \$7,292 23	Cost (2) Gains Losses 37,003 \$1,228 \$(28,863) 6,741 23 73,744 \$1,251 \$(28,863) 3,223 \$7,292 \$(26,578) 23 23 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 2

⁽¹⁾ As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$104.1 million and \$58.0 million, respectively, of securities were pledged as collateral security under related financings.

(2) As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, other asset-backed securities are carried at fair value, \$23,000 and \$23,000, respectively, due to prior cost-recovery proceeds received on this bond.

On January 4, 2011, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Resource TRS, made an investment in LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc. ("LCC"), a newly-formed equipment financing subsidiary of LEAF Financial Corporation ("LEAF Financial"), a subsidiary of Resource America (see Note 14). The Company's preferred stock and warrant investment is carried at cost. It is not practicable for the Company to estimate the fair value of its investment in the preferred stock and warrants of LCC. LCC's assets are comprised of a large number of transactions with commercial customers in different businesses, may be secured by liens on various types of equipment and may be guaranteed by third parties and cross-collateralized. Any difference between the carrying value and fair value of each transaction would be affected by a potential buyer's assessment of the transaction's credit quality, collateral value, guarantees, payment history, yield, term, documents and other legal matters, and other subjective considerations. Value received in a fair market sale of a transaction would be based on the terms of the sale, LCC's and the buyer's views of economic and industry conditions, LCC's and the buyer's tax considerations, and other factors. There were no events or changes in circumstances that would significantly affect the fair value of this investment. The preferred stock carries a coupon of 10%, of which 2% is received in cash and 8% is paid in-kind. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$528,000 of paid-in-kind interest was paid in the form of additional shares of Series A preferred stock.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 4 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE – (Continued)

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS and other asset-backed securities ("ABS") according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

Weighted Average Life	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Coupon
September 30, 2011:		2001	Coupen
Less than one year	\$31,972 (1) \$33,530	5.37%
Greater than one year and less than five years	66,913	91,219	4.45%
Greater than five years	10,506	12,254	4.14%
Total	\$109,391	\$137,003	4.52%
December 31, 2010:			
Less than one year	\$3,264	2) \$6,911	1.51%
Greater than one year and less than five years	29,004	46,138	3.45%
Greater than five years	31,692	30,174	5.64%
Total	\$63,960	\$83,223	4.08%

- (1)\$1.0 million of CMBS, maturing in this category are collateralized by floating-rate loans and, as permitted under the CMBS terms, are expected to extend their respective maturity dates until at least October 2012 as the debtors in the floating-rate structures have a contractual right to extend with options ranging from two one-year options to three one-year options. Beyond the contractual extensions, the servicer may allow further extensions of the underlying floating rate loans.
- (2) All of the \$3.3 million of CMBS maturing in this category are collateralized by floating-rate loans and, as permitted under the CMBS terms, are expected to extend their respective maturity dates until at least November 2011 as the debtors in the floating-rate structures have a contractual right to extend with options ranging from two one-year options to three one-year options. Beyond the contractual extensions, the servicer may allow further extensions of the underlying floating rate loans.

The range of contractual maturities of the investment securities available-for-sale is from January 2012 to July 2022.

The following table shows the fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time, of those individual investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position (in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months		More than	12 Months	Total			
	Gross			Gross		Gross		
		Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized		
	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses		
September 30, 2011:								
CMBS	\$ 84,896	\$ (16,616)	\$ 9,458	\$ (12,247)	\$ 94,354	\$ (28,863)		
Total temporarily	\$ 84,896	\$ (16,616)	\$ 9,458	\$ (12,247)	\$ 94,354	\$ (28,863)		

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

impaired securities								
December 31, 2010:								
CMBS	\$ 10,134	\$ (4,383)	\$ 8,302	\$	(22,195) \$	18,436	\$ (26,578)
Total temporarily								
impaired securities	\$ 10,134	\$ (4,383)	\$ 8,302	\$	(22,195) \$	18,436	\$ (26,578)

The Company holds seven floating rate investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The unrealized losses in the above table are considered to be temporary impairments due to market factors and are not reflective of credit deterioration.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 4 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE – (Continued)

The determination of other-than-temporary impairment is a subjective process, and different judgments and assumptions could affect the timing of loss realization. The Company reviews its portfolios and makes other-than-temporary impairment determinations at least quarterly. The Company considers the following factors when determining if there is an other-than-temporary impairment on a security:

the length of time the market value has been less than amortized cost;

the severity of the impairment;

the expected loss with respect to the security as generated by third party software;

credit ratings from the rating agencies;

underlying credit fundamentals of the collateral backing the securities; and

whether, based upon the Company's intent, it is more likely than not that the Company will sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis.

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company held \$109.4 million and \$63.9 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized losses of \$27.6 million and \$19.3 million, respectively), of CMBS recorded at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses two methods, either a dealer quote or an internal valuation model, depending upon the current level of market activity. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$99.1 million and \$53.7 million, respectively, of investment securities available-for-sale were valued using dealer quotes and \$10.2 million and \$10.3 million, respectively, were valued using a weighted average of three measures (see Note 2).

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recognized a \$4.6 million other-than-temporary impairment on one fixed rate position that supported the Company's CMBS investments bringing the fair value to \$250,000. There was no other-than-temporary impairment during the three months ended September 30, 2011. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recognized \$4.5 million and \$10.5 million of other-than-temporary impairment on one and three fixed rate positions, respectively, that supported the Company's CMBS investments, bringing the combined fair value to \$470,000. The assumed default of these collateral positions in the Company's cash flow model yielded a value of less than full recovery of the Company's cost basis. The net impairment losses were recognized in earnings in the consolidated statements of operations. All of the Company's other-than-temporary impairment losses are related to credit losses.

Changes in interest rates may also have an effect on the rate of mortgage principal prepayments and, as a result, prepayments on CMBS in the Company's investment portfolio. At September 30, 2011, the aggregate discount exceeded the aggregate premium on the Company's CMBS by approximately \$13.9 million. At December 31, 2010, the aggregate discount exceeded the aggregate premium on the Company's CMBS by approximately \$20.9 million.

NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES HELD-TO-MATURITY

The following table summarizes the Company's investment securities held-to-maturity which are carried at amortized cost (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	l Fair Value
September 30, 2011:				
ABS	\$31,214	\$166	\$(5,771) \$25,609
Total	\$31,214	\$166	\$(5,771) \$25,609
December 31, 2010:				
ABS	\$29,036	\$752	\$(3,847) \$25,941
Total	\$29,036	\$752	\$(3,847) \$25,941
				(Back to Index)
15				

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES HELD-TO-MATURITY – (Continued)

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's investment securities held-to-maturity according to their contractual lives (in thousands):

			Weighted
	Amortized	Average	
Contractual Life	Cost	Fair Value	Coupon
September 30, 2011:			
Greater than one year and less than five years	\$5,656	\$5,407	5.56%
Greater than five years and less than ten years	20,169	17,103	2.49%
Greater than ten years	5,389	3,099	3.87%
Total	\$31,214	\$25,609	
December 31, 2010:			
Greater than one year and less than five years	\$5,000	\$4,830	6.14%
Greater than five years and less than ten years	15,891	15,073	1.97%
Greater than ten years	8,145	6,038	4.11%
Total	\$29,036	\$25,941	

The following table shows the fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time, of those individual investment securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position (in thousands):

		Less than 12 Months				More than 12 Months			Total						
				Gross					Gross					Gross	
			U	nrealize	ed			U	nrealized	l			U	nrealized	d
	Fa	air Value		Losses		F	air Value		Losses		F	air Value		Losses	
September 30, 2011:															
ABS	\$	14,228	\$	(892)	\$	8,303	\$	(4,879)	\$	22,531	\$	(5,771)
Total temporarily															
impaired securities	\$	14,228	\$	(892)	\$	8,303	\$	(4,879)	\$	22,531	\$	(5,771)
December 31, 2010:															
ABS	\$	1,038	\$	(1)	\$	11,923	\$	(3,846)	\$	12,961	\$	(3,847)
Total temporarily															
impaired securities	\$	1,038	\$	(1)	\$	11,923	\$	(3,846)	\$	12,961	\$	(3,847)

The Company has 14 and 12 investment securities held-to-maturity that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The unrealized losses in the above table are considered to be temporary impairments due to market factors and are not reflective of credit deterioration. The Company does not believe that any of its investment securities classified as held-to-maturity were other-than-temporarily impaired as of September 30, 2011.

The determination of other-than-temporary impairment is a subjective process, and different judgments and assumptions could affect the timing of loss realization. The Company reviews its portfolios and makes other-than-temporary impairment determinations at least quarterly. The Company considers the following factors when determining if there is an other-than-temporary impairment on a security:

the severity of the impairment;

the expected loss of the security as generated by third party software;

original and current credit ratings from the rating agencies;

underlying credit fundamentals of the collateral backing the securities; and

third-party support for default, recovery, prepayment speed and reinvestment price assumptions.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 6 – INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE

The table below summarizes the Company's investments in real estate (in thousands):

	As of Septem	As of September 30, 2011			
		Number of			
	Book Value	Properties			
Multi-family property	\$38,534	2			
Office real estate property	10,149	1			
Subtotal	48,683	3			
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(391)				
Investments in real estate	\$48,292				

The Company had no investments in real estate as of December 31, 2010.

Acquisitions

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company converted two loans it had originated to investments in real estate and acquired one real estate asset summarized as follows:

On June 14, 2011, the Company received the deed to a property by agreement with the third-party borrower in lieu of foreclosure on a loan in the amount of \$22.4 million that the Company had originated. The loan was collateralized by a 400 unit multi-family property in Memphis, Tennessee. The property was 93.8% occupied at acquisition.

On June 24, 2011, the Company received the deed to a property from the borrower in lieu of foreclosure on a loan in the amount of \$12.1 million that the Company had originated. The loan was collateralized by an office building in Pacific Palisades, California. The property was 60% occupied at acquisition.

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate purchased Whispertree Apartments, a 504 multi-family property located in Houston, Texas, for \$18.1 million. The property was 95% occupied at acquisition.

A summary of the aggregate estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired on the respective dates of acquisition are presented below (in thousands):

Description	Estimated Fair Value
Assets acquired:	
Investments in real estate	\$ 48,683
Cash and cash equivalents	177
Restricted cash	2,360
Intangible assets	2,490
Other assets	391

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Total assets acquired	54,101
Liabilities assumed:	
Accounts payable and other liabilities	673
Total liabilities assumed	673
Estimated fair value of net assets acquired	\$ 53,428

The Company has not yet completed the process of estimating the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Accordingly, the Company's preliminary estimates and the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed may change as the Company completes the process. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 805, changes, if any, to the preliminary estimates and allocation will be reported in the Company's financial statements retrospectively.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 6 – INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE – (Continued)

Acquisitions – (Continued)

The following unaudited pro forma information, after including the acquisition of real properties, is presented below as if the acquisitions occurred on January 1, 2010. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of the results which actually would have occurred if the acquisitions had occurred on the first day of the periods presented, nor is it indicative of the Company's future results (in thousands):

	Three Mo	onths Ended	Nine Mo	nths Ended
	Septer	mber 30,	Septer	mber 30,
Description	2011	2010	2011	2010
Total revenue, as reported	\$23,450	\$19,168	\$65,550	\$48,471
Pro forma revenue	\$23,727	\$19,956	\$67,500	\$50,900
Net income, reported	\$14,945	\$14,045	\$37,305	\$28,822
Pro forma net income	\$14,681	\$14,034	\$37,536	\$29,107
Earnings per share – basic, reported	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.55	\$0.64
Earnings per share per – diluted, reported	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.54	\$0.64
Pro forma earnings per share - basic	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.55	\$0.65
Pro forma earnings per share - diluted	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.55	\$0.64

These amounts have been calculated after adjusting the results of the acquired properties to reflect the additional depreciation and amortization that would have been charged assuming the fair value adjustments to the Company's investments in real estate had been applied from January 1, 2010.

NOTE 7 – LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT

The following is a summary of the Company's loans (in thousands):

	Unamortized			
		(Discount)		Carrying
Loan Description	Principal	Premium (1	1)	Value (2)
September 30, 2011:				
Bank loans (3)	\$1,101,805	\$ (28,796)	\$1,073,009
Commercial real estate loans:				
Whole loans	516,893	(1,142)	515,751
B notes(3)	31,018	(1,591)	29,427
Mezzanine loans	77,861	29		77,890
Total commercial real estate loans	625,772	(2,704)	623,068
Subtotal loans before allowances	1,727,577	(31,500)	1,696,077
Allowance for loan loss	(28,614)	_		(28,614)
Total	\$1,698,963	\$ (31,500)	\$1,667,463
December 31, 2010:				
Bank loans (3)	\$887,667	\$ (27,204)	\$860,463
Commercial real estate loans:				

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Whole loans	441,706	(334)	441,372
B notes	57,613	(162)	57,451
Mezzanine loans (3)	146,668	143		146,811
Total commercial real estate loans	645,987	(353)	645,634
Subtotal loans before allowances	1,533,654	(27,557)	1,506,097
Allowance for loan loss	(34,233)	_		(34,233)
Total	\$1,499,421	\$ (27,557)	\$1,471,864

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 7- LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT - (Continued)

- (1) Amounts include deferred amendment fees of \$214,000 and \$636,000 being amortized over the life of the bank loans and \$156,000 and \$681,000 of extension fees being amortized over the life of the commercial real estate loans as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (2) Substantially all loans are pledged as collateral under various borrowings at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (3) Amounts include \$479,000 of bank loans and \$13.0 million of B note loans held for sale as of September 30, 2011, and \$4.0 million of bank loans and \$24.6 million of mezzanine loans held for sale as of December 31, 2010.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately 42.4% and 38.0%, respectively, of the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio was concentrated in commercial real estate loans located in California and 9.2% and 10.4%, respectively, were concentrated in New York. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately 13.4% and 10.7%, respectively, of the Company's bank loan portfolio was concentrated in the collective industry grouping of healthcare, education and childcare.

At September 30, 2011, the Company's bank loan portfolio, including loans held for sale, consisted of \$1.1 billion (net of allowance of \$3.5 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 0.5% and LIBOR plus 10.0% with maturity dates ranging from December 2011 to September 2019.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$857.9 million (net of allowance of \$2.6 million) of floating rate loans, which bore interest ranging between the LIBOR plus 0.5% and LIBOR plus 9.5% with maturity dates ranging from March 2011 to December 2017.

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's bank loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

	September	December	
	30,	31,	
	2011	2010	
Less than one year	\$3,179	\$4,245	
Greater than one year and less than five years	598,251	643,699	
Five years or greater	471,579	212,519	
	\$1,073,009	\$860,463	

The following is a summary of the Company's commercial real estate loans (in thousands):

		A	mortized	Contracted	Maturity Dates
Description	Quantity		Cost	Interest Rates	(4)
September 30, 2011:					
Whole loans, floating rate	30	\$	504,847	LIBOR plus	December 2011
(1) (2) (5)				2.50% to	to

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

			LIBOR plus	September 2018
			5.75%	
			10.00% to	June 2012 to
Whole loans, fixed rate	2	10,904	14.00%	May 2018
B notes, fixed rate (6)	2	29,427	7.00% to 8.68%	April 2016
			LIBOR plus	
			2.50% to	December 2011
Mezzanine loans, floating			LIBOR plus	to
rate	4	63,903	7.45%	January 2013
Mezzanine loans, fixed				January 2016 to
rate	2	13,987	9.01% to 11.00%	September 2016
Total (3)	40	\$ 623,068		

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 7- LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT - (Continued)

				Contracted	
Description	Quantity	Am	ortized Cost	Interest Rates	Maturity Dates (4)
December 31, 2010:					
				LIBOR plus 1.50% to	May 2011 to
Whole loans, floating rate (1)	25	\$	441,372	LIBOR plus 5.75%	January 2018
				LIBOR plus 2.50% to	July 2011 to
B notes, floating rate	2		26,485	LIBOR plus 3.01%	October 2011
					July 2011 to
B notes, fixed rate(6)	2		30,966	7.00% to 8.68%	April 2016
				LIBOR plus 2.15% to	May 2011 to
Mezzanine loans, floating rate	6		93,266	LIBOR plus 3.00%	January 2013
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate				_	January 2016 to
(2)	5		53,545	8.14% to 11.00%	September 2016
Total (3)	40	\$	645,634		

- (1) Whole loans had \$6.2 million and \$5.0 million in unfunded loan commitments as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. These commitments are funded as the borrowers require additional funding and have satisfied the requirements to obtain this additional funding.
- (2) Floating rate whole loans include a mezzanine portion of a whole loan that matured in June 2011 and was fully reserved for as of September 30, 2011. Fixed rate mezzanine loan maturity dates exclude a loan that matured in May 2010 that is in default and was placed on non-accrual status as of December 31, 2010. This loan was written-off as of March 31, 2011.
- (3) The total does not include an allowance for loan losses of \$25.1 million and \$31.6 million recorded as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.
- (4) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.
- (5) Floating rate whole loans includes a \$2 million mezzanine portion of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 15.0% as of September 30, 2011.
- (6) A fixed rate B note with a fair value of \$13.0 million which matured in July 2011 and is in the process of being sold and is expected to close in November 2011.

In September 2011, the Company entered into a sale agreement which is expected to close in mid November 2011 for a commercial real estate loan with a fair value of \$13.0 million which is secured by a two-building office campus in Indianapolis, IN. In connection with this anticipated sale, the Company allocated \$1.2 million of previously unallocated reserves to adjust the loan to its current market value and accordingly classified this loan as a loan held for sale as of September 30, 2011.

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's commercial real estate loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

			2013 and	
Description	2011	2012	Thereafter	Total
September 30, 2011:				
B notes	\$12,965	\$-	\$16,462	\$29,427
Mezzanine loans	_	38,072	39,818	77,890
Whole loans	36,910	89,991	388,850	515,751
Total (2)	\$49,875	\$128,063	\$445,130	\$623,068
December 31, 2010:				
B notes	\$40,913	\$-	\$16,538	\$57,451
Mezzanine loans (1)	_	34,676	107,135	141,811
Whole loans	108,303	87,084	245,985	441,372
Total (2)	\$149,216	\$121,760	\$369,658	\$640,634

⁽¹⁾ Mezzanine loans exclude one loan with an amortized cost of \$5.0 million which matured in May 2010, was in default and which the Company wrote off as of March 31, 2011.

(Back to Index)

⁽²⁾ Weighted average life of commercial real estate loans assumes full exercise of extension options available to borrowers.

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 7 – LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT – (Continued)

The following is a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan loss with respect to the Company's commercial real estate and bank loans (in thousands, except percentages) by asset class:

		Percentage	
	Allowance	of	Percentage
	for Loan	Total	of
Description	Loss	Allowance	Loan Class
September 30, 2011:			
B notes	\$272	0.95%	0.92%
Mezzanine loans	2,757	9.64%	3.54%
Whole loans	22,083	77.17%	4.28%
Bank loans	3,502	12.24%	0.32%
Total	\$28,614		
December 31, 2010:			
B notes	\$899	2.6%	1.56%
Mezzanine loans	8,553	25.0%	5.83%
Whole loans	22,165	64.8%	5.02%
Bank loans	2,616	7.6%	0.30%
Total	\$34,233		

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$28.6 million consisting of a \$3.5 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$25.1 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio as a result of the impairment of one bank loan and one commercial real estate loan as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$34.2 million consisting of a \$2.6 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$31.6 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio as a result of the impairment of one bank loan and one commercial real estate loan as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios.

NOTE 8 - FINANCING RECEIVABLES

The following tables show the allowance for loan and lease receivable losses and recorded investments in loans and lease receivables (in thousands):

September 30, 2011:	Commercial Real Estate Loans	Bank Loans	Loans Receivable-Relat Party	ted Total
Allowance for losses at January 1, 2011	\$31,617	\$2,616	\$ -	\$34,233
Provision for loan loss	5,623	2,294	_	7,917
Loans charged-off	(12,128)	(1,408) –	(13,536)

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

-	-		-	-
\$25,112	\$3,502	\$	_	\$28,614
\$16,210	\$166	\$	_	\$16,376
\$8,902	\$3,336	\$	-	\$12,238
\$ <i>-</i>	\$-	\$	-	\$-
\$36,910	\$362	\$	-	\$37,272
\$ 586,158	\$1,072,647	\$	16,494	\$1,675,299
\$ <i>-</i>	\$-	\$	-	\$-
	\$ 16,210 \$ 8,902 \$ - \$ 36,910 \$ 586,158	\$16,210 \$166 \$8,902 \$3,336 \$- \$- \$36,910 \$362 \$586,158 \$1,072,647	\$16,210 \$166 \$ \$8,902 \$3,336 \$ \$- \$- \$ \$36,910 \$362 \$ \$586,158 \$1,072,647 \$	\$16,210 \$166 \$ - \$8,902 \$3,336 \$ - \$- \$- \$ - \$36,910 \$362 \$ - \$586,158 \$1,072,647 \$ 16,494

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 8 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES – (Continued)

	Commercial Real Estate Loans	Bank Loans	Lease Receivables	Rece	Loans eivable-Related Party	Total
December 31, 2010:	Loans	Loans	Receivables		Faity	Total
Allowance for losses at						
January 1, 2010	\$29,297	\$17,825	\$1,140	\$	_	\$48,262
Provision for (reversal of)						
loan loss	44,357	(1,348)	312		_	43,321
Loans charged-off	(42,037)	(13,861)	(1,432)		_	(57,330)
Recoveries	_	_	50		_	50
Allowance for losses at						
December 31, 2010	\$31,617	\$2,616	\$70	\$	_	\$34,303
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for						
impairment	\$ 20,844	\$112	\$ <i>-</i>	\$	_	\$20,956
Collectively evaluated for						
impairment	\$ 10,773	\$2,504	\$70	\$	-	\$13,347
Loans acquired with						
deteriorated credit quality	\$-	\$-	\$ <i>-</i>	\$	_	\$-
Loans:						
Ending balance:						
Individually evaluated for						
impairment	\$42,219	\$362	\$10,024	\$	-	\$52,605
Collectively evaluated for						
impairment	\$603,415	\$860,101	\$99,658	\$	9,927	\$1,573,101
Loans acquired with						
deteriorated credit quality	\$ <i>-</i>	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -

Credit quality indicators

Bank Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period end at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. The Company considers such things as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies, and industry dynamics in grading its bank loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank loans were as follows (in thousands):

						Held for	
	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Rating 5	Sale	Total
As of September 30, 2011:							
Bank loans	\$980,589	\$25,332	\$56,079	\$10,168	\$362	\$479	\$1,073,009
As of December 31, 2010:							
Bank loans	\$759,161	\$43,858	\$45,115	\$7,940	\$362	\$4,027	\$860,463

All of the Company's bank loans are performing with the exception of one loan with a par amount of \$362,000 which has been in default since September 2010.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 8 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES – (Continued)

Commercial Real Estate Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 4 representing its lowest rating. The Company designates loans that are sold after the period end as such at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, the Company considers such things as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest, structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms in grading its commercial real estate loans.

Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

					Held for	
	Rating 1	Rating 2	Rating 3	Rating 4	Sale	Total
As of September 30, 2011:						
Whole loans	\$280,269	\$13,263	\$185,309	\$36,910	\$ -	\$515,751
B notes	16,463	_	_	_	12,964	29,427
Mezzanine loans	23,353	_	54,537	_	_	77,890
	\$320,085	\$13,263	\$239,846	\$36,910	\$12,964	\$623,068
As of December 31, 2010:						
Whole loans	\$123,350	\$16,143	\$264,660	\$37,219	\$ -	\$441,372
B notes	16,538	_	40,913	_	_	57,451
Mezzanine loans	32,635	_	84,610	5,000	24,566	146,811
	\$172,523	\$16,143	\$390,183	\$42,219	\$24,566	\$645,634

All of the Company's commercial real estate loans are performing as of September 30, 2011.

Loan and Lease Receivable Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following tables show the loan and lease receivable portfolio aging analysis indicated at cost basis (in thousands):

							Total
			Greater				Loans
							> 90 Days
	30-59	60-89	than 90	Total Past		Total Loans	and
	Days	Days	Days	Due	Current	Receivable	Accruing
September 30,							
2011:							
Whole loans	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$515,751	\$515,751	\$-
B notes	_	_	_	_	29,427	29,427	_
Mezzanine loans	_	_	_	_	77,890	77,890	_
Bank loans	_	_	362	362	1,072,647	1,073,009	_
Loans receivable -	_	_	_	_	16,494	16,494	_

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

related party							
Total loans	\$-	\$ -	\$362	\$362	\$1,712,209	\$1,712,571	\$ -
December 31,							
2010:							
Whole loans	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$441,372	\$441,372	\$ -
B notes	_	_	_	_	57,451	57,451	_
Mezzanine loans	_	_	5,000	5,000	141,811	146,811	_
Bank loans	_	_	_	_	860,463	860,463	_
Lease receivables	630	237	829	1,696	107,986	109,682	_
Loans receivable -							
related party	_	_	_	_	9,927	9,927	_
Total loans	\$630	\$237	\$5,829	\$6,696	\$1,619,010	\$1,625,706	\$-

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 8 -FINANCING RECEIVABLES - (Continued)

Impaired Loans and Lease Receivables

The following tables show impaired loans and lease receivables indicated (in thousands):

	Recorded Balance	Unpaid Principal Balance	Specific Allowance	Average Investment in Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
September 30, 2011:					
Loans and lease receivables without a					
specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$100,162	\$100,162	\$-	\$100,158	\$1,286
B notes	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Mezzanine loans	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bank loans	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$-
Loans and lease receivables with a					
specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$36,910	\$36,910	\$(16,210)	\$36,337	\$688
B notes	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Mezzanine loans	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bank loans	\$362	\$362	\$(166)	\$362	\$-
Total:					
Whole loans	\$137,072	\$137,072	\$(16,210)	\$136,495	\$1,974
B notes	-	_	_	_	_
Mezzanine loans	_	_	_	_	_
Bank loans	362	362	(166	362	_
	\$137,434	\$137,434	\$(16,376)	\$136,857	\$1,974
December 31, 2010:					
Loans and lease receivables without a					
specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$111,401	\$111,401	\$-	\$58,058	\$1,133
B notes	\$ <i>-</i>	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Mezzanine loans	\$ -	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Bank loans	\$ <i>-</i>	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Lease receivables	\$ <i>-</i>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-
Loans and lease receivables with a					
specific valuation allowance:					
Whole loans	\$37,219	\$37,219	\$(15,844)	\$36,740	\$993
B notes	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-
Mezzanine loans	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$(5,000)	\$5,000	\$-
Bank loans	\$362	\$362	\$(112)	\$8,971	\$-

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

\$10,024	\$10,024	\$(4,107) \$4,791	\$-
\$148,620	\$148,620	\$(15,844) \$94,798	\$2,126
_	_	_	_	_
5,000	5,000	(5,000) 5,000	_
362	362	(112) 8,971	_
10,024	10,024	(4,107) 4,791	_
\$164,006	\$164,006	\$(25,063) \$113,560	\$2,126
	\$148,620 - 5,000 362 10,024	\$148,620 \$148,620 5,000 5,000 362 362 10,024 10,024	\$148,620 \$148,620 \$(15,844 5,000 5,000 (5,000 362 362 (112 10,024 10,024 (4,107	\$148,620 \$148,620 \$(15,844) \$94,798

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 8 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES – (Continued)

Troubled-Debt Restructurings

The following tables show the loan and lease receivable portfolio troubled-debt restructurings (in thousands):

	Number of	e-Modification Outstanding Recorded	Outstanding Recorded
TI N 1 T 1 10 . 1 20 2011	Loans	Balance	Balance
Three Months Ended September 30, 2011:			
Whole loans	_	\$ _	\$ _
B notes	_	_	_
Mezzanine loans	_	_	_
Bank loans	-	_	_
Loans receivable - related party	_	_	_
Total loans	_	\$ _	\$ _
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011:			
Whole loans	2	\$ 34,739	\$ 33,073
B notes	_	_	_
Mezzanine loans	_	_	_
Bank loans	_	_	_
Lease receivables	_	_	_
Loans receivable - related party	1	7,981	7,981
Total loans	3	\$ 42,720	\$ 41,054

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted.

NOTE 9 – INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets represent identifiable intangible assets acquired as a result of the Company's acquisition of RCAM in February 2011, its conversion of loans to investments in real estate in June 2011, and the acquisition of real estate in August 2011. The Company amortizes identified intangible assets to expense over their estimated lives using the straight-line method. The Company evaluates intangible assets for impairment as events and circumstances change. The Company expects to record amortization expense of intangible assets of approximately \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$2.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2015 and \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The weighted average remaining amortization period is eight years at September 30, 2011 and amortization for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.5 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes intangible assets at September 30, 2011 (in thousands). No such assets existed at December 31, 2010.

Beginning Net Asset

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

	Balance	Accumulated Amortization		
September 30, 2011:				
Investment in RCAM	\$21,213	\$ (1,573) \$19,640	
Investments in real estate:				
In-place leases	2,461	(888)) 1,573	
Above (below) market leases	29	(10) 19	
	2,490	(898) 1,592	
Total intangible assets	\$23,703	\$ (2,471) \$21,232	

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 10 - BORROWINGS

The Company historically has financed the acquisition of its investments, including investment securities, loans and lease receivables, primarily through the use of secured and unsecured borrowings in the form of CDOs, securitized notes, repurchase agreements, secured term facilities, warehouse facilities, trust preferred securities issuances and other secured and unsecured borrowings. Certain information with respect to the Company's borrowings at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is summarized in the following table (in thousands, except percentages):

		utstanding orrowings	Weighted Average Borrowing Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity		Value of Collateral
September 30, 2011:						
RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes	¢.	172 442	1.070	240	Ф	205 515
(1) RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes	\$	173,443	1.27%	34.9 years	\$	295,515
		315,680	0.76%	25 O vicens		252 110
(2) Apidos CDO I Senior Notes (3)		319,246	0.76%	35.0 years 5.8 years		352,119 307,249
Apidos CDO III Senior Notes (4)		261,077	0.84%	8.7 years		248,622
Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes		201,077	0.72%	o./ years		240,022
(5)		319,813	0.78%	8.6 years		313,384
Unsecured Junior Subordinated				·		
Debentures (7)		50,587	6.20%	24.9 years		_
Repurchase Agreements (8)		37,586	1.47%	18.0 days		44,251
Apidos CLO VIII Warehouse						
Facility		52,735	1.26%	12 days		183,507
Revolving Credit Facility		6,000	6.00%	1 day		30,000
Mortgage Payable(9)		13,507	4.14%	6.8 years		18,100
Total	\$	1,549,674	1.09%	16.4years	\$	1,762,747
December 31, 2010:						
RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes						
(1)	\$	173,053	1.33%	35.6 years	\$	215,063
RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes	Ψ	173,033	1.55 /6	33.0 years	Ψ	213,003
(2)		325,025	0.82%	35.8 years		367,792
Apidos CDO I Senior Notes (3)		319,748	0.87%	6.6 years		309,746
Apidos CDO III Senior Notes (4)		260,682	0.75%	9.5 years		250,309
Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes						
(5)		319,373	0.79%	9.4 years		319,563
Equipment Contract Backed Notes,				-		
Series 2010-2 (6)		95,016	5.00%	5.4 years		109,612
Unsecured Junior Subordinated						
Debentures (7)		50,354	6.24%	25.7 years		_
Total	\$	1,543,251	1.30%	17.6 years	\$	1,572,085

- (1) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$174.9 million and \$174.9 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$1.5 million and \$1.8 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in August 2006.
- (2) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$318.6 million and \$328.5 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$2.9 million and \$3.5 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in September 2007.
- (3) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$320.5 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$1.2 million as of September 30, 2011 and \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2010. This CDO transaction closed in August 2005.
- (4) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$262.5 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$1.4 million as of September 30, 2011 and \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2010. This CDO transaction closed in May 2006.
- (5) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$322.0 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$2.1 million as of September 30, 2011 and \$2.6 million as of December 31, 2010. This CDO transaction closed in May 2007.
- (6) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$96.1 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$1.1 million as of December 31, 2010. There was no outstanding principal balance as of September 30, 2011.
- (7) Amount represents junior subordinated debentures issued to RCT I and RCT II in May 2006 and September 2006, respectively.
- (8) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$37.9 million less unamortized deferred debt costs of \$362,000 related to a CMBS repurchase facility as of September 30, 2011.
- (9) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$13.6 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$93,000 as of September 30, 2011. This real estate transaction closed in August 2011.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 10 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1

In September 2007, the Company closed RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The investments held by RREF CDO 2007-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2007-1 issued a total of \$265.6 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class H senior notes (rated BBB+:Fitch), class K senior notes (rated BBB-:Fitch), class L senior notes (rated BB:Fitch) and class M senior notes (rated B: Fitch) for \$68.0 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2007-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2007-1.

The senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2007-1 consist of the following classes: (i) \$180.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.28%; (ii) \$50.0 million of unissued class A-1R notes, which allow the CDO to fund future funding obligations under the existing whole loan participations that have future funding commitments; the undrawn balance of the class A-1R notes will accrue a commitment fee at a rate per annum equal to 0.18%, the drawn balance will bear interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iii) \$57.5 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.46%; (iv) \$22.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (v) \$7.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.423%; (vi) \$26.8 million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.95%; (vii) \$11.9 million of class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.15%; (viii) \$11.9 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (ix) \$11.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.55%; (x) \$11.3 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.30%; (xi) \$11.3 million of class J notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.95%; (xii) \$10.0 million of class K notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%; (xiii) \$18.8 million of class L notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% and (xiv) \$28.8 million of class M notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 8.50%. All of the notes issued mature in September 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 2017 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 0.76% and 0.82% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company repurchased \$10.0 million in A-2 notes at a weighted average price of 61.25% to par which resulted in a \$3.9 million gain. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company repurchased \$250,000 of the Class J notes and \$7.5 million of the Class B notes in RREF CDO 2007-1 at a weighted average price of 39.00% to par which resulted in a \$4.7 million gain. The gains are reported as a part of the gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income.

In connection with the Company's ownership of certain notes held by RREF CDO 2007-1 on June 21, 2011, the Company surrendered for cancellation, without consideration, to the trustee of RREF CDO 2007-1 the following outstanding notes, which previously eliminated in consolidation, \$7.5 million of the Class B notes, \$6.5 million of the

Class F notes, \$6.25 million of the Class G notes and \$10.625 million of the Class H notes. The surrendered notes were cancelled by the trustee pursuant to the applicable indenture, and the obligations due under those notes were deemed extinguished. The effect of these cancellations was to improve the CDO's performance with respect to its over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, with which it was already in compliance before the cancellation, as well as to secure the Company's long-term interest in this structured vehicle.

As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 10 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations – (Continued)

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1

In August 2006, the Company closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2006-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2006-1 issued a total of \$308.7 million of senior notes at par to investors of which RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class J senior notes (rated BB: Fitch) and class K senior notes (rated B:Fitch) for \$43.1 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2006-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2006-1.

The senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2006-1 consist of the following classes: (i) \$129.4 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (ii) \$17.4 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.35%; (iii) \$5.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.842%; (iv) \$6.9 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.40%; (v) \$20.7 million of class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.62%; (vi) \$15.5 million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (viii) \$19.8 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.60%; (ix) \$17.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.90%; (x) \$12.9 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.75%, (xi) \$14.7 million of Class J notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00% and (xii) \$28.4 million of Class K notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00%. As a result of the Company's ownership of the Class J and K senior notes, these notes eliminate in consolidation. All of the notes issued mature in August 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after August 2016 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 1.27% and 1.33% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company did not repurchase any notes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 the Company repurchased \$20.0 million of the Class A-1 notes, \$12.0 million of the Class A-2 notes, \$6.9 million of the Class B notes, \$7.7 million of the Class C notes and \$2.0 million of the Class D notes in RREF CDO 2006-1 at a weighted average price of 64.2% to par which resulted in a \$18.3 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income.

In connection with the Company's ownership of certain notes held by RREF CDO 2006-1 on June 21, 2011, the Company surrendered for cancellation, without consideration, to the trustee of RREF CDO 2006-1 the following outstanding notes, which previously eliminated in consolidation: \$6.9 million of the Class B notes, \$7.7 million of the Class C notes, \$5.52 million of the Class D notes, \$7.0 million of the Class E notes and \$5.25 million of the Class F notes. The surrendered notes were cancelled by the trustee pursuant to the applicable indenture, and the obligations

due under those notes were deemed extinguished. The effect of these cancellations was to improve the CDO's performance with respect to its over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, with which it was already in compliance before the cancellation, as well as to secure the Company's long-term interest in this structured vehicle.

As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

Apidos CLO VIII Warehouse

In June 2011, the Company formed Apidos CLO VIII and entered into a warehouse facility provided by Citibank, N.A. to purchase bank loans to include in Apidos CLO VIII. This agreement, secured by a \$15.0 million purchase of 15,000 preference shares of Apidos CLO VIII, expired upon the closing of Apidos CLO VIII. At September 30, 2011, there was an outstanding balance of \$52.7 million relating to trades that had settled. In addition, the Company had \$130.1 million of securities that had traded, but not yet settled at September 30, 2011. The facility bears interest at a rate of three-month LIBOR plus 1.0% for the first nine months and 1.4% thereafter. As of September 30, 2011 the current borrowing rate was at 1.26%. On October 13, 2011, the Apidos CLO VIII warehouse facility terminated (see Note 18).

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 10 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations – (Continued)

Equipment Contract Backed Notes, Series 2010-2

In May 2010, the Company acquired Equipment Contract Backed Notes, Series 2010-2, issued by LEAF Equipment Leasing Income Fund III, L.P. ("LEAF Funding 3"), a \$120.0 million transaction that provided financing for leases. The investments held by LEAF Funding 3 collateralized the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments were not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders unless LEAF Funding 3 defaulted and the Company and other holders of the notes foreclosed on the collateral. LEAF Funding 3 issued a total of \$120.0 million of senior notes at a weighted average price of \$93.52 to unrelated investors generating proceeds of \$112.2 million. Before contributing the notes to LCC, as described in Note 14, the Company amortized the discount over the lives of the notes using the effective yield method, adjusted for the effects of estimated prepayments on the notes. On January 4, 2011, the Company made a preferred investment in LCC and contributed the notes to LCC as part of that investment (see Note 14).

Apidos Cinco CDO

In May 2007, the Company closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos Cinco CDO collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos Cinco CDO issued a total of \$322.0 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos Cinco CDO.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos Cinco CDO consist of the following classes: (i) \$37.5 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.24%; (ii) \$200.0 million of class A-2a notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.23%; (iii) \$22.5 million of class A-2b notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iv) \$19.0 million of class A-3 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.42%; (v) \$18.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vi) \$14.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% and (vii) \$11.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on May 14, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after May 14, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.78% and 0.79% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Apidos CDO III

In May 2006, the Company closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO III collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO III issued a total of \$262.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO III.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO III consist of the following classes: (i) \$212.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$19.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.45%; (iii) \$15.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$10.5 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.75%; and (v) \$6.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on September 12, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after September 12, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.72% and 0.75% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 10 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations – (Continued)

Apidos CDO I

In August 2005, the Company closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO I issued a total of \$321.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO I.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO I consist of the following classes: (i) \$265.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$15.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.42%; (iii) \$20.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$13.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.85%; and (v) \$8.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 9.251%. All of the notes issued mature on July 27, 2017, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 27, 2010 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.84% and 0.87% at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

In May 2006 and September 2006, the Company formed RCT I and RCT II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling capital securities representing preferred beneficial interests. Although the Company owns 100% of the common securities of RCT I and RCT II, RCT I and RCT II are not consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements because the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these entities. In connection with the issuance and sale of the capital securities, the Company issued junior subordinated debentures to RCT I and RCT II of \$25.8 million each, representing the Company's maximum exposure to loss. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II are included in borrowings and are being amortized into interest expense in the consolidated statements of income using the effective yield method over a ten year period.

In October 2009, the Company amended the trust agreements and unsecured junior subordinated debentures held by RCT I and RCT II with a total value outstanding of \$51.5 million. The amendment provided for an interest rate increase of 2% (from LIBOR plus 3.95% to LIBOR plus 5.95%) on both issuances for a period of two years and a one-time restructuring fee of \$250,000 in exchange for the waiver of financial covenants under the Company's guarantee. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at September 30, 2011, were \$472,455 and \$488,581, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2010 were \$590,000 and \$604,000, respectively. The interest rate adjustment took effect as of October 1, 2009 and expired on September 30, 2011, whereas the rates reverted to LIBOR plus 3.95%. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at September 30, 2011, were 6.20% and 6.20%, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2010, were 6.25% and 6.24%, respectively. The rate adjustment related to the amendment which expired on October 1, 2011 and the related covenant waiver expires on

January 1, 2012.

The rights of holders of common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subordinate to the rights of the holders of capital securities only in the event of a default; otherwise, the common securities' economic and voting rights are pari passu with the capital securities. The capital and common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subject to mandatory redemption upon the maturity or call of the junior subordinated debentures held by each. Unless earlier dissolved, RCT I will dissolve on May 25, 2041 and RCT II will dissolve on September 29, 2041. The junior subordinated debentures are the sole assets of RCT I and RCT II, mature on September 30, 2036 and October 30, 2036, respectively, and may be called at par by the Company any time after September 30, 2011 and October 30, 2011, respectively. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common securities of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 10 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Repurchase and Credit Facilities

CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial, entered into a master repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to be used as a warehouse facility to finance the purchase of CMBS. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's and RCC Commercial's performance of their obligations under the repurchase agreement. At September 30, 2011, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$37.6 million (net of \$362,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At September 30, 2011, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$44.3 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25%, or 1.48%.

At September 30, 2011, the Company had a repurchase agreement with the following counterparty (dollars in thousands); the Company had no repurchase agreements at December 31, 2010:

		Weighted	
		Average	Weighted
	Amount at	Maturity in	Average Interest
	Risk (1)	Days	Rate
September 30, 2011:			
Wells Fargo Bank, National Association.	\$ 6,221	18	1.48%

⁽¹⁾ Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

Revolving Credit Facility

On July 7, 2011, the Company and RCC Real Estate entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with The Bancorp Bank ("Bancorp"). The facility will provide bridge financing for up to five business days which will enable the Company and RCC Real Estate to fund real estate loans to third parties prior to their sale to the Company's CRE CDOs. The facility is evidenced by a Revolving Judgment Note and Security Agreement by and among the borrowers and Bancorp entered into July 7, 2011. The facility is secured by a Securities Pledge Control Agreement by which the Company pledged \$40.0 million of the Class A-1 notes of RREF CDO 2006-1, which are owned by RCC Real Estate. The note becomes due and payable on September 30, 2012.

At September 30, 2011, the Company had a revolving credit facility agreement with the following counterparty (dollars in thousands); the Company had no revolving credit facility agreements at December 31, 2010:

	Weighted	Interest Rate
	Average	(WSJ Prime
Amount	Maturity in	rate + 2.75
Borrowed	Days	Spread)

Amount borrowed was repaid on October 3, 2011.

September 30, 2011:

The Bancorp Bank (1) \$ 6,000 1 6.00 %

Mortgage Payable

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate purchased Whispertree Apartments, a 504 multi-family property located in Houston, Texas, for \$18.1 million. The property was 95% occupied at acquisition. The Company, entered into a seven year mortgage of \$13.6 million with a lender upon the acquisition of Whispertree Apartments. The mortgage bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 3.95%. As of September 30, 2011 the current borrowing rate was at 4.14%.

(Back to Index)

31

(1)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – SHARE ISSUANCE AND REPURCHASE

On March 30, 2011, the Company sold 6,900,000 shares of common stock in an underwritten public offering, including 900,000 shares exercised through the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price of \$6.90 per share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$46.6 million after payment of underwriting discounts and commissions of approximately \$966,000 and before other offering expenses of approximately \$185,000.

Under a dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on March 10, 2011, the Company is authorized to issue up to 10.0 million shares of common stock. Under this plan, which succeeded the November 2010 plan, the Company has issued 3,698,270 shares, at a weighted-average share price of \$6.00 per share, and received proceeds of \$21.7 million (net of costs) since June 2011.

Under an earlier dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on November 18, 2010, the Company was authorized to issue up to 8.0 million shares of common stock. Under this plan, the Company had issued 6,571,468 shares from January 2011 through May 2011 at a weighted-average share price of \$6.93 per share and received proceeds of \$44.4 million (net of costs). The Company had issued a total of approximately 8.0 million shares of common stock under this plan since inception.

NOTE 12 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes restricted common stock transactions:

	Non-Employee		
	Directors	Non-Employees	Total
Unvested shares as of January 1, 2011	16,939	518,018	534,957
Issued	15,200	1,191,165	1,206,365
Vested	(16,939)	(291,650)	(308,589)
Forfeited	_	(3,802)	(3,802)
Unvested shares as of September 30, 2011	15,200	1,413,731	1,428,931

The Company is required to value any unvested shares of restricted common stock granted to non-employees at the current market price. The estimated fair value of the unvested shares of restricted stock granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, including shares issued to the five non-employee directors, was \$8.2 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

On January 26, 2011, the Company issued 316,480 shares of restricted common stock under its 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest in full on January 27, 2014.

On February 1, 2011 and March 8, 2011, the Company granted 3,120 and 12,080 shares of restricted stock, respectively, under its 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan, to the Company's non-employee directors as part of their annual compensation. These shares vest in full on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

On February 8, 2011, the Company issued 593,271 shares of restricted common stock under its 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. Of this issuance, 69,156 of these restricted shares will vest on February 8, 2013 and the

remaining 524,115 of these restricted shares will vest on February 10, 2014.

On February 23, 2011, the Company issued 1,414 shares of restricted common stock under its 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These shares will vest in full on February 24, 2014.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 12 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION – (Continued)

In connection with the July 2006 hiring of a commercial mortgage direct loan origination team by Resource Real Estate, Inc. ("Resource Real Estate"), a subsidiary of Resource America, the Company agreed to issue up to 100,000 shares of common stock and options to purchase an additional 100,000 shares of common stock if certain loan origination performance thresholds are achieved by this origination team for the Company's account. The performance thresholds are two-tiered. Upon the achievement of \$400.0 million of direct loan originations of commercial real estate loans, 60,000 restricted shares of common stock and options to purchase an additional 60,000 shares of common stock are issuable. This hurdle was met in September 2007. Upon the achievement of an additional \$300.0 million of direct loan originations of commercial real estate loans, a second tranche of 40,000 restricted shares of common stock and options to purchase another 40,000 shares of common stock are issuable. The restricted shares vest over a two-year period after issuance and the options to purchase shares of common stock vest over a three-year period after issuance. On May 16, 2011, 40,000 shares of restricted common stock and 40,000 options to purchase additional shares were issued as a result of the achievement of an additional \$300.0 million of direct loan originations of commercial real estate loans. The restricted shares vest 50% on May 16, 2012 and 50% on May 16, 2013. The options vest 33.3% per year beginning on May 16, 2012.

On August 25, 2011, the Company issued 240,000 shares of restricted common stock under its 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These shares will vest 33.3% on each of May 15, 2012, 2013 and 2014. In connection with this grant, the Company agreed to issue up to 336,000 additional shares of common stock if certain loan origination performance thresholds are achieved by personnel from the Company's loan origination team. The performance criteria are measured at the end of three annual measurement periods beginning April 1, 2011. The agreement, with respect to the issuance of the 336,000 shares, also provided dividend equivalent rights pursuant to which the dividends that would have been paid on the shares had they been issued on the date of grant will be paid at the end of each annual measurement period if the performance criteria are met. If the performance criteria are not met, the accrued dividends will be forfeited. As a consequence, the Company will not record the dividend equivalent rights until earned. At September 30, 2011, there were \$84,000 of dividends payable upon achievement of the performance criteria. If earned, the performance shares will vest over the subsequent 18 months at the rate of 1/6 per quarter.

The following table summarizes stock option transactions:

			Weighted		
			Average	A	ggregate
		Weighted	Remaining		Intrinsic
		Average	Contractual		Value
	Number of	Exercise	Term		(in
	Options	Price	(in years)	th	ousands)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2011	602,666	\$ 14.99			
Granted	40,000	6.40			
Exercised	-	-			
Forfeited	(1,000)	15.00			
Outstanding as of September 30, 2011	641,666	\$ 14.45	4	\$	111
Exercisable at September 30, 2011	601,666	\$ 14.99	4	\$	102

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's unvested options as of September 30, 2011:

		Weighted
		Average
		Grant Date
	Options	Fair Value
Unvested at January 1, 2011	_	_
Granted	40,000	6.40
Vested	_	_
Forfeited	_	_
Unvested at September 30, 2011	40,000	6.40

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 12 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION – (Continued)

The stock options have a remaining contractual term of four years. Upon exercise of options, new shares are issued.

			Weighted	
			Average	
			Remaining	Aggregate
		Weighted	Contractual	Intrinsic
	Number of	Average	Term	Value
	Options	Exercise Price	(in years)	(in thousands)
Vested as of January 1, 2011	602,666	\$ 14.99		
Vested	_	_		
Exercised	_	-		
Forfeited	(1,000)	15.00		
Vested as of September 30, 2011	601,666	\$ 14.99	4	\$ 102

The stock option transactions are valued using the Black-Scholes model using the following assumptions:

	As of
	September 30,
	2011
Expected life	4 years
	0.68%
Discount rate	
	62.16%
Volatility	
-	20.00%

Dividend yield

The estimated fair value of each option granted for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$0.624.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the components of equity compensation expense were as follows (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended September 30,		onths Ended ember 30,
	2011	2011 2010		2010
Options granted to Manager and non-employees	\$-	\$-	\$10	\$11
Restricted shares granted to Manager and				
non-employees	288	516	1,305	1,368
Restricted shares granted to non-employee directors	28	28	84	84
Total equity compensation expense	\$316	\$544	\$1,399	\$1,463

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Manager received 29,808 and 34,290 shares, respectively as incentive compensation valued at \$189,000 and \$221,000, respectively pursuant to the Management Agreement. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Manager received 124,688 and 198,503 shares, respectively, as incentive compensation valued at \$2.9 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, pursuant to the Management Agreement. The incentive management fee is paid one quarter in arrears.

Apart from incentive compensation payable under the Management Agreement, the Company has established no formal criteria for equity awards as of September 30, 2011. All awards are discretionary in nature and subject to approval by the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 13 -EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods presented as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			ths Ended aber 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Basic:				
Net income	\$14,944	\$14,053	\$37,305	\$28,821
Weighted average number of shares				
outstanding	73,761,028	52,273,307	68,254,639	44,947,256
Basic net income per share	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.55	\$0.64
Diluted:				
Net income	\$14,944	\$14,053	\$37,305	\$28,821
Weighted average number of shares				
outstanding	73,761,028	52,273,307	68,254,639	44,947,256
Additional shares due to assumed conversion				
of dilutive instruments	522,866	305,577	358,724	256,265
Adjusted weighted-average number of				
common shares outstanding	74,283,894	52,578,884	68,613,363	45,203,521
Diluted net income per share	\$0.20	\$0.27	\$0.54	\$0.64

NOTE 14 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries. At September 30, 2011, Resource America owned 2,478,292 shares, or 3.2%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. In addition, Resource America held 2,166 options to purchase restricted stock.

The Company is managed by the Manager pursuant to the Management Agreement, which provides for both base and incentive management fees. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$1.8 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, and incentive management fees of \$1.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$1.4 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, and incentive management fees of \$3.0 million and \$5.9 million, respectively. The Company also reimburses the Manager and Resource America for expenses and employees of Resource America who perform legal, accounting, due diligence and other services that outside professionals or consultants would otherwise perform. On October 16, 2009, the Company entered into an amendment of the Management Agreement. Pursuant to the amendment, the Manager must provide the Company with a Chief Financial Officer and several accounting professionals, each of whom will be exclusively dedicated to the operations of the Company. The Manager must also provide the Company with a director of investor relations who will be 50% dedicated to the Company's operations. The Company bears the expense of the wages, salaries and benefits of the Chief Financial Officer and several accounting professionals and 50% of the salary and benefits of the director of investor relations. In addition, in February 2010, the Company began reimbursing the

Manager for the wages, salary, and benefits of its Chairman of the Board, who is exclusively dedicated to the operations of the Company. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company paid the Manager \$663,000 and \$1.8 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company paid the Manager \$497,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements.

At September 30, 2011, the Company was indebted to the Manager for base management fees of \$618,000 and expense reimbursements of \$336,000. At December 31, 2010, the Company was indebted to the Manager for base management fees of \$522,000, incentive management fees of \$131,000 and expense reimbursements of \$256,000.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2011
(Unaudited)

NOTE 14 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS – (Continued)

On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into an Investment Management Agreement with Resource Capital Markets, Inc. ("RCM"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America. The agreement provides that: (a) RCM may invest up to \$13.0 million of the Company's funds, with the investable amount being adjusted by portfolio gains/(losses) and collections, and offset by expenses, taxes and realized management fees, and (b) RCM can earn a management fee in any year that the net profits earned exceed a preferred return. The management fee is 20% of the amount by which the net profits exceed the preferred return. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, RCM earned \$347,146 and \$1,316,823 in management fees, respectively. The Company has reinvested gains from its activity and holds \$34.3 million in fair market value of trading securities as of September 30, 2011, an increase of \$16.6 million at fair market value as of December 31, 2010. In addition, the Company and RCM have established an escrow account that allocates the net profit or net losses of the portfolio on a yearly basis based on the net asset value of the account. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, RCM earned \$71,000 and \$315,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement.

Relationship with LEAF Financial. LEAF Financial, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, originates and manages equipment leases and notes on behalf of the Company.

On July 20, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with LCC, pursuant to which the Company provided a \$10.0 million loan to LCC, of which \$6.9 million was funded as of September 30, 2011. The loan matures on January 20, 2013 and bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance, payable quarterly. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. The loan is secured by all the assets of LEAF Capital Funding, LLC and LEAF Financial's interest in LEAF Receivables Funding 3.

On January 4, 2011, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Resource TRS, made a preferred investment in LCC, a newly-formed equipment financing subsidiary of LEAF Financial. Resource TRS contributed initial capital of approximately \$26.2 million to LCC in the form of approximately \$5.2 million in cash, and all of its interest in LEAF Receivables Funding 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource TRS, which held a portfolio of equipment, equipment leases and notes. As part of the transaction, LEAF Financial contributed its assets relating to its equipment lease and note origination, servicing and finance business to LCC. Also, senior management personnel of LEAF Financial contributed capital to LCC in the form of all of the shares of common stock they owned in LEAF Financial in exchange for 10% of the shares of LCC common stock on a fully-diluted basis. The foregoing capital investments in LCC were made pursuant to a Transfer and Contribution Agreement among the registrant, LEAF Financial, LCC, Resource TRS and the Management Parties ("TCA").

Pursuant to the TCA, in return for Resource TRS's capital investments, LCC issued to Resource TRS 2,626,783 shares of LCC Series A preferred stock and warrants to purchase 4,800 shares of LCC common stock for an exercise price of \$0.01 per share. The shares receivable upon exercise of the warrants represent 48% of LCC's common stock on a fully-diluted basis. The investment is classified as securities available-for-sale on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

As set forth in the TCA, on January 4, 2011, Resource TRS also delivered to LCC a Share Purchase Agreement under which LCC was permitted to require Resource TRS to purchase up to an additional \$10.0 million of Series A preferred stock, of which all \$10.0 million was purchased during the second quarter of 2011.

On May 27, 2010, the Company closed a \$120.0 million securitization adding to the Company's existing lease receivables. The securitization, LEAF Funding 3 (referred to above), issued equipment-backed securitized notes at a weighted average discounted price of 93.6%. At closing, \$14.4 million of proceeds were placed into a restricted account. The Company had \$21.0 million of equity invested in LEAF Funding 3 as of December 31, 2010. In January 2011, as described above, the Company contributed its interest in LEAF Funding 3 to LCC.

On March 5, 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Lease Equity Appreciation Fund II, L.P. ("LEAF II"), pursuant to which the Company provided an \$8.0 million credit facility to LEAF II, of which all \$8.0 million has been funded. The credit facility had a one year term at 12% per year, payable quarterly, and was secured by all the assets of LEAF II Receivables Funding, LLC ("LEAF Funding II"), including its entire ownership interest in LEAF II. The Company received a 1% origination fee in connection with the establishment of the facility. The facility originally matured on March 3, 2011 and was extended until September 3, 2011 with a 1% extension fee paid on the outstanding loan balance. On June 3, 2011, the note was further amended to extend the maturity to February 15, 2012 and to decrease the interest rate from 12% to 10% per annum resulting in a troubled-debt restructuring under current accounting guidance. The loan amount outstanding at September 30, 2011 was \$6.9 million.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 14 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS – (Continued)

Relationship with Apidos Capital Management. Apidos Capital Management LLC ("ACM"), a subsidiary of Resource America, manages internally and externally originated bank loan assets on the Company's behalf. On February 24, 2011, the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement that will expand its management in broadly syndicated bank loans. A subsidiary of the Company agreed to purchase 100% of the ownership interests in Churchill Pacific Asset Management LLC ("CPAM") from Churchill Financial Holdings LLC ("Churchill") for \$22.5 million. Through CPAM, the Company will be entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive fees related to five Collateralized Loan Obligations ("CLOs") totaling approximately \$1.9 billion in assets managed by CPAM. CPAM will be assisted by ACM, in managing the five CLOs. CPAM subsequently changed its name to Resource Capital Asset Management ("RCAM"). ACM will be entitled to 10% of all subordinated fees and 5% of the incentive fees received by RCAM. For the period from acquisition through September 30, 2011, ACM was paid \$676,000 from subordinated fees received.

Relationship with Resource Real Estate. Resource Real Estate, a subsidiary of Resource America, originates, finances and manages the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio, including whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine loans, and investments in real estate. The Company reimburses Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs associated with all loans originated. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had no indebtedness to Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs in connection with the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio.

The Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that holds the Company's interests in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America at book value. This joint venture, which is structured as a credit facility with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, finances the acquisition of distressed properties and mortgage loans and has the objective of repositioning both the directly-owned properties and the properties underlying the mortgage loans to enhance their value. The Company acquired the membership interests for \$2.1 million. The agreement requires the Company to contribute 3% to 5% (depending on the asset agreement) of the total funding required for each asset acquisition on a monthly basis. Resource Real Estate acts as asset manager of the venture and receives a monthly asset management fee equal to 1% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. The investment balance of \$5.1 million and \$5.2 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet using the equity method.

On January 15, 2010, the Company loaned \$2.0 million to Resource Capital Partners, Inc. ("RCP"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Manager, so that it could acquire a 5.0% limited partnership interest in Resource Real Estate Opportunity Fund, L.P. ("RRE Opportunity Fund"). RCP is the general partner of the RRE Opportunity Fund. The loan is secured by RCP's partnership interest in the RRE Opportunity Fund. The promissory note bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. Interest is payable quarterly. Mandatory principal payments must also be made to the extent distributable cash or other proceeds from the partnership represent a return of RCP's capital. The loan matures on January 14, 2015, and RCP has options to extend the loan for two additional 12-month periods. Principal payments of \$234,000 were made during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The loan balance was \$1.7 million at September 30, 2011.

On June 21, 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to form CR SLH Partners, L.P. ("SLH Partners") to purchase a defaulted promissory note secured by a mortgage on a multi-family apartment building ("School Lane House"). The Company purchased a 10% equity interest in the venture and also loaned SLH Partners \$7.0 million to finance the project secured by the first mortgage lien on the property. The Company received a commitment fee equal to 1.0% of the loan amount at the commencement of the loan. The loan matures on September 21, 2012 and bears interest at a fixed rate of 10.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance, payable monthly. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. Resource Real Estate Management, LLC ("RREM"), an affiliate of the Company, was appointed as asset manager of the venture. RREM will perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and/or entitlements and permitting, as applicable. RREM will also be responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM will receive an annual asset management fee equal to 2.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 14 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS – (Continued)

On August 9, 2006, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, originated a loan to Lynnfield Place, a multi-family apartment building, in the amount of \$22.4 million. The loan was then purchased by RREF CDO 2006-1. The loan which matures on May 9, 2018, carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 3.50% with a LIBOR floor of 2.50%. On June 14, 2011, RCC Real Estate took the deed to the property by agreement with the third-party borrower in lieu of foreclosure. The loan was kept outstanding and continues to be used as collateral in RREF CDO 2006-1. RREM was appointed as asset manager as of August 1, 2011. RREM will perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and/or entitlements and permitting, as applicable. RREM will also be responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM is entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property.

On February 28, 2007, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, originated a loan to Via de la Paz in the amount of \$12.15 million. The loan was then purchased into RREF CDO 2007-1 on June 26, 2007. The loan which matures on March 5, 2012, can be extended through March 5, 2017 and carries an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 3.50% with a LIBOR floor of 1.50%. On June 24, 2011, RCC Real Estate took the deed to the property from the borrower in lieu of foreclosure. The loan was kept outstanding and continues to be used as collateral in RREF CDO 2007-1. An unaffiliated third party has been appointed as the manager of the property.

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, entered into an agreement to purchase Whispertree Apartments, a multi-family apartment building, for \$18.1 million. RREM was appointed as asset manager. RREM will perform lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and/or entitlements and permitting, as applicable. RREM will also be responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM is entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to the greater of 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property or \$12,600.

Relationship with The Bancorp. On March 14, 2011, the Company paid Bancorp a loan commitment fee in the amount of \$31,500 in connection with Bancorp's commitment to establish a certain credit facility for the benefit of the Company. On July 7, 2011, the Company and RCC Real Estate entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with Bancorp. The facility will provide bridge financing for up to five business days which will enable the Company and RCC Real Estate to fund real estate loans to third parties prior to their sale to the Company's CRE CDOs. The facility is evidenced by a Revolving Judgment Note and Security Agreement by and among the borrowers and Bancorp entered into July 7, 2011. The facility is secured by a Securities Pledge Control Agreement by which the Company pledged \$40.0 million of the Class A-1 notes of RREF CDO 2006-1 which are owned by RCC Real Estate. The note becomes due and payable on September 30, 2012.

Relationship with Law Firm. Until 1996, Edward E. Cohen, a director who was the Company's Chairman from its inception until November 2009, was of counsel to Ledgewood, P.C., a law firm. In addition, one of the Company's executive officers, Jeffrey F. Brotman, was employed by Ledgewood until 2007. Mr. E. Cohen receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with the firm. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company

paid Ledgewood \$61,000 and \$217,000, respectively, in connection with legal services rendered to the Company as compared to \$22,000 and \$233,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 15 – DISTRIBUTIONS

In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must currently distribute at least 90% of its taxable income. In addition, the Company must distribute 100% of its taxable income in order not to be subject to corporate federal income taxes on retained income. The Company anticipates it will distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its stockholders. Because taxable income differs from cash flow from operations due to non-cash revenues or expenses (such as provisions for loan and lease losses and depreciation), in certain circumstances, the Company may generate operating cash flow in excess of its distributions or, alternatively, may be required to borrow to make sufficient distribution payments.

The Company's 2011 dividends will be determined by the Company's board of directors which will also consider the composition of any dividends declared, including the option of paying a portion in cash and the balance in additional common shares. Generally, dividends payable in stock are not treated as dividends for purposes of the deduction for dividends, or as taxable dividends to the recipient. However, the Internal Revenue Service, in Revenue Procedures 2009-15 and 2010-12, has given guidance with respect to certain stock distributions by publicly traded REITs. These Revenue Procedures apply to distributions made on or after January 1, 2008 and declared with respect to a taxable year ending on or before December 31, 2011. They provide that publicly-traded REITs can distribute stock to satisfy their REIT distribution requirements if stated conditions are met. These conditions include that at least 10% of the aggregate declared distributions be paid in cash and the shareholders be permitted to elect whether to receive cash or stock, subject to the limit set by the REIT on the cash to be distributed in the aggregate to all shareholders. The Company did not use these Revenue Procedures with respect to any distributions for its 2009 and 2010 taxable years or the first nine months of its 2011 taxable year, but may do so for distributions with respect to the remainder of 2011.

On September 16, 2011, the Company declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.25 per share of common stock, \$19.2 million in the aggregate, which was paid on October 27, 2011 to stockholders of record on September 30, 2011.

On June 16, 2011, the Company declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.25 per share of common stock, \$18.6 million in the aggregate, which was paid on July 27, 2011 to stockholders of record on June 30, 2011.

On March 18, 2011, the Company declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.25 per share of common stock, \$17.6 million in the aggregate, which was paid on April 28, 2011 to stockholders of record on March 31, 2011.

NOTE 16 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In analyzing the fair value of its investments accounted for on a fair value basis, the Company follows the fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The Company determines fair value based on quoted prices when available or, if quoted prices are not available, through the use of alternative approaches, such as discounting the expected cash flows using market interest rates commensurate with the credit quality and duration of the investment. The hierarchy followed defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset and liability or can be corroborated with observable market data for substantially the entire contractual term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in the pricing of the asset or liability and are consequently not based on market activity, but rather through particular valuation techniques.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 16 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

The determination of where an asset or liability falls in the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter; depending on various factors, it is possible that an asset or liability may be classified differently from quarter to quarter. However, the Company expects that changes in classifications between levels will be rare.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following is a discussion of these assets and liabilities as well as the valuation techniques applied to each for fair value measurement.

The Company reports its investment securities available-for-sale at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses two methods, either a dealer quote or an internal valuation model, depending upon the current level of market activity.

For securities purchased with higher levels of market activity, the Company obtains a quote from a dealer, which typically will be the dealer who sold the Company the security. The Company has been advised that, in formulating their quotes, dealers may use recent trades in the particular security, if any, market activity in similar securities, if any, or internal valuation models. These quotes are non-binding. Based on how dealers develop their quotes, market liquidity and levels of trading, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the quotes it receives by applying its own valuation models. If there is a material difference between a quote the Company receives and the value indicated by its valuation models, the Company will evaluate the difference. As part of that evaluation, the Company will discuss the difference with the dealer, who may revise its quote based upon these discussions. Alternatively, the Company may revise its valuation models.

For investment securities available-for-sale with lower levels of market activity, the Company determines fair value based on taking a weighted average of the following three measures:

dealer quotes, as described above;

quotes on more actively-traded, higher-rated securities issued in a similar time period, adjusted for differences in rating and seniority; and

the value resulting from an internal valuation model using an income approach based upon an appropriate risk-adjusted yield, time value and projected losses using default assumptions based upon an historical analysis of underlying loan performance.

The Company reports its investment securities, trading at fair value, which is based on a dealer quotes or bids which are validated using an income approach utilizing appropriate prepayment, default and recovery rates. Any changes in fair value are recorded on the Company's results of operations as net unrealized gain on investment securities, trading.

Derivatives (interest rate swaps and interest rate caps), both assets and liabilities, are reported at fair value, and are valued by a third-party pricing agent using an income approach with models that use, as their primary inputs, readily observable market parameters. This valuation process considers factors including interest rate yield curves, time

value, credit factors and volatility factors. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. The Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments have become significant to the overall valuation of some of its derivatives. As a result, the Company transferred derivatives with credit valuation adjustments to Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy from Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2010.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 16 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents information about the Company's assets (including derivatives that are presented net) measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
September 30, 2011:					
Assets:					
Investment securities, trading	\$-	\$-	\$34,303	\$34,303	
Investment securities available-for-sale	_	88,015	21,376	109,391	(1)
Total assets at fair value	\$-	\$88,015	\$55,679	\$143,694	
Liabilities:					
Derivatives (net)	\$ -	\$1,567	\$14,651	\$16,218	
Total liabilities at fair value	\$-	\$1,567	\$14,651	\$16,218	
December 31, 2010:					
Assets:					
Investment securities, trading	\$-	\$-	\$17,723	\$17,723	
Investment securities available-for-sale	_	38,303	25,657	63,960	
Total assets at fair value	\$-	\$38,303	\$43,380	\$81,683	
Liabilities:					
Derivatives (net)	\$-	\$2,363	\$10,929	\$13,292	
Total liabilities at fair value	\$-	\$2,363	\$10,929	\$13,292	

⁽¹⁾ Balance does not include a \$36.7 million investment in preferred stock and warrants which is carried at cost and therefore not required to be at fair value.

The following table presents additional information about assets which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

	Level 3	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 44,542	
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings	(6,936)
Purchases	40,415	
Sales	(19,468)
Paydowns	(1,276)
Transfers out of Level 3	(43,090)
Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income	29,193	
Beginning balance, January 1, 2011	43,380	

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):		
Included in earnings	2,977	
Purchases	33,641	
Sales	(18,159)
Paydowns	(1,722)
Transfers out of Level 3	(4,437)
Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income	(1)
Ending balance, September 30, 2011	\$ 55,679	

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 16 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents additional information about liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

	Level 3
Beginning balance, January 1, 2010	\$ _
Transfers into Level 3	10,929
Beginning balance, January 1, 2011	10,929
Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income	3,722
Ending balance, September 30, 2011	\$ 14,651

The Company had \$4.6 million of losses included in earnings during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 due to the other-than-temporary impairment charge of one asset in June 2011. The Company had \$4.5 million and \$10.5 million of losses included in earnings during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, due to other-than-temporary impairment charges with respect to one and three assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. These losses are included in the consolidated statement of operations as net impairment losses recognized in earnings.

Loans held for sale consist of bank loans and commercial real estate loans ("CRE loans") identified for sale due to credit concerns. Interest on loans held for sale is recognized according to the contractual terms of the loan and included in interest income on loans. The fair value of bank loans held for sale and impaired bank loans is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for these loans. As such, the Company classifies these loans as nonrecurring Level 2. For the Company's CRE loans where there is no market, fair value is measured using discounted cash flow analysis and other valuation techniques and these loans are classified as nonrecurring Level 3. The amount of nonrecurring fair value losses for impaired loans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$442,000 and \$6.0 million, respectively, as compared to \$1.5 million and \$31.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The amount is included in the consolidated statements of income as provision for loan and lease losses.

Property available-for-sale, is estimated based on recent sales prices of prior sales of similar condominium units in the property and the appraised value of a similar condominium unit in the property less selling costs.

The following table summarizes the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value, as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
September 30, 2011:				
Assets:				
Loans held for sale	\$ -	\$479	\$12,964	\$13,443
Impaired loans	_	226	_	226

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Total assets at fair value	\$-	\$705	\$12,964	\$13,669
December 31, 2010:				
Assets:				
Loans held for sale	\$-	\$4,027	\$24,566	\$28,593
Impaired loans	_	250	132,777	133,027
Property available-for-sale	_	_	4,444	4,444
Equity	_	_	147	147
Total assets at fair value	\$-	\$4,277	\$161,934	\$166,211

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

NOTE 16 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

The Company is required to disclose the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The fair value of short-term financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, dividend reinvestment plan proceeds receivable, interest receivable, distribution payable and accrued interest expense approximates their carrying value on the consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of the Company's investment securities, trading is reported in Note 3. The fair value of the Company's investment securities available-for-sale is reported in Note 4. The fair value of the Company's investment securities held-to-maturity is reported in Note 5. The fair value of the Company's derivative instruments is reported in Note 17.

The fair values of the Company's remaining financial instruments that are not reported at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet are reported below (in thousands).

	September 30, 2011		Decembe	r 31, 2010
	Carrying		Carrying	
	value	Fair value	value	Fair value
Loans held-for-investment	\$1,654,020	\$1,612,285	\$1,443,271	\$1,439,376
Loans receivable – related party	\$16,494	\$16,494	\$9,927	\$9,927
CDO notes payable	\$1,389,259	\$1,021,227	\$1,397,880	\$905,790
Junior subordinated notes	\$50,587	\$17,081	\$50,354	\$16,848

NOTE 17- INTEREST RATE RISK AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

At September 30, 2011, the Company had 18 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 4.66% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$172.5 million at September 30, 2011. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts are Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, with which the Company has master netting agreements.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had 10 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 5.17% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$166.8 million at December 31, 2010. In addition, the Company had one interest rate cap agreement with an aggregate notional amount of \$14.8 million outstanding, as described above.

The estimated fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps was (\$16.2) million and (\$13.3) million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company had aggregate unrealized losses of \$17.4 million and \$14.7 million on the interest rate swap agreements as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. In connection with the August 2006 close of RREF CDO 2006-1, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$119,000, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2006-1. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the September 2007 close of RREF CDO 2007-1, the Company realized a swap termination gain of \$2.6 million, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2007-1. The accretion is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the termination of a \$53.6 million swap related to RREF CDO 2006-1 during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$4.2 million, which is being amortized over the term of a new \$45.0

million swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the payoff of a fixed-rate commercial real estate loan during the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company terminated a \$12.7 million swap and realized a \$574,000 swap termination loss, which is being amortized over the term of the terminated swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

(Back to Index)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued) SEPTEMBER 30, 2011 (Unaudited)

NOTE 17- INTEREST RATE RISK AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS - (Continued)

The following tables present the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheet as of September 30, 2011 and on the consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011:

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of September 30, 2011 (in thousands)

		Liability Derivatives	
	Notional Amount	Balance Sheet Location Fair	Value (1)
Interest rate swap contracts	\$ 172,525	Derivatives, at fair value \$	(16,218)
		Accumulated other comprehensive loss \$	(16,218)

⁽¹⁾ Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheet.

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 (in thousands)

		Liability Derivatives		
			Amou	unt of Loss
			Rec	classified
			from A	ccumulated
			Other Co	omprehensive
			Iı	ncome
	Notional	Statement of	into	Income
	Amount	Income Location	(Effect	ive Portion)
Three Months Ended September 30, 2011				
Interest rate cap agreement	\$ 14,841	Interest expense	\$	_
		Î.		
Interest rate swap contracts	\$ 172,525	Interest expense	\$	2,049
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011				
Interest rate cap agreement	\$ 14,841	Interest expense	\$	_
1 0	,	1		

	\$			
Interest rate swap contracts	172,525	Interest expense	\$ 6,357	

NOTE 18 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the filing of this form and determined that there have not been any events that have occurred that would require adjustments to or disclosures in the unaudited consolidated financial statements, except for the following.

The Company received \$5.4 million in proceeds from the issuance of 1,004,359 shares of common stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan in November 2011.

On October 13, 2011, the Company closed Apidos CLO VIII, a \$352.3 million transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CLO VIII collateralize \$317.6 million of senior notes issued by the CLO vehicle. The Company purchased a \$15.0 million equity interest, representing 43% of the outstanding preference shares. ACM entered into an agreement to manage the CLO (see Note 16).

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information to assist you in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those expressed in or implied by those forward-looking statements. Please see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for a discussion of certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions associated with those statements.

Overview

We are a specialty finance company that focuses primarily on commercial real estate and commercial finance. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Our objective is to provide our stockholders with total returns over time, including quarterly distributions and capital appreciation, while seeking to manage the risks associated with our investment strategy. We invest in a combination of real estate-related assets and, to a lesser extent, higher-yielding commercial finance assets. We have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through borrowing strategies seeking to match the maturities and repricing dates of our financings with the maturities and repricing dates of those investments, and have sought to mitigate interest rate risk through derivative instruments.

We are externally managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc., or the Manager, a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. (NASDAQ: REXI), or Resource America, a specialized asset management company that uses industry-specific expertise to evaluate, originate, service and manage investment opportunities through our commercial real estate, commercial finance and financial fund management operating segments. As of June 30, 2011, Resource America managed approximately \$13.4 billion of assets in these sectors. To provide its services, the Manager draws upon Resource America, its management team and their collective investment experience.

We generate our income primarily from the spread between the revenues we receive from our assets and the cost to finance the purchase of those assets and from hedging interest rate risks. We generate revenues from the interest and fees we earn on our whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine debt, commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, bank loans, payments on lease receivables, other asset-backed securities, or ABS, real estate rental income, and the management of externally originated bank loans. Historically, we have used a substantial amount of leverage to enhance our returns and we have financed each of our different asset classes with different degrees of leverage. The cost of borrowings to finance our investments is a significant part of our expenses. Our net income depends on our ability to control these expenses relative to our revenue. In our bank loans, CMBS, lease receivables, and other ABS, we historically have used warehouse facilities as a short-term financing source and collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs, and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. In our commercial real estate loan portfolio, we historically have used repurchase agreements as a short-term financing source, and CDOs and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. Our other term financing has consisted of long-term match-funded financing provided through long-term bank financing and asset-backed financing programs, depending upon market conditions and credit availability.

Our operations continue to feel the impact of the problems affecting the commercial real estate industry particularly with respect to the real estate portfolio investments we made between 2005 and 2008 that are still held by us. We refer to these investments as our legacy portfolio investments. These problems have also affected a number of our commercial real estate borrowers and, with respect to 28 of our commercial real estate loans, caused us to enter into loan modifications or convert previous loan interest into owned real estate. We have increased our allowance for loan

and lease losses to reflect the effect of these conditions on our borrowers and have recorded both temporary and other-than-temporary impairments in the market valuation of the CMBS and other ABS in our investment portfolio. While we believe we have appropriately valued the assets in our investment portfolio at September 30, 2011, we cannot assure you that further impairments will not occur or that our assets will otherwise not be adversely affected by market conditions.

The events occurring in the credit markets have impacted our financing and investing strategies and, as a result, our ability to originate new investments and to grow. The market for securities issued by new securitizations collateralized by assets similar to those in our investment portfolio had largely disappeared until mid 2010. During 2010, we began to see a loosening in the previously frozen credit markets and, in May 2010, we closed a new \$120.0 million securitization on our equipment leasing portfolio. In addition, in February 2011, we entered into a \$100.0 million, two-year term facility with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, to purchase CMBS. In June 2011 we obtained a warehouse facility to finance the purchase of bank loans with Citibank N.A. through Apidos CLO VIII, Ltd, or Apidos CLO VIII. More recently, we entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with The Bancorp Bank. We continue to engage in discussions with potential financing sources about providing commercial real estate term financing to augment and cautiously grow our loan origination platform. We caution investors that even if credit through these markets becomes more available, we may not be able to obtain economically favorable terms.

(Back to Index)

Credit market and economic conditions during the past three years have also resulted in a significant number of loan modifications, particularly in our commercial real estate, or CRE loans. Borrowers have experienced deterioration in the performance of the properties we have financed or delays in implementing their business plans. In order to assist our borrowers in effectuating their business plans, including the leasing and repositioning of the underlying assets, we have been willing to enter into loan modifications that would adapt our financing to their particular situations. The most common loan modifications have included term extensions and modest interest rate reductions through the lowering of London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, floors, offset by increased interest rate spreads over LIBOR. In exchange for the loan modifications, we have received partial principal pay-downs, new equity investment commitments in the properties from the borrowers or their principals, additional fees and other structural improvements and credit enhancements to the loans. Since the beginning of 2008 through September 30, 2011, we have modified 28 commercial real estate, or CRE, loans. Management determined that two of these modifications were due to the financial distress of the borrower and, accordingly, qualified as a troubled-debt restructuring. We expect that we may have more CRE loan modifications in the future.

As economic conditions improve and we cautiously access the credit markets on acceptable terms, our principal strategies are to manage our liquidity and originate new assets primarily through capital recycling as loan payoffs and paydowns occur and through existing capacities within our completed securitizations and through the facilities provided by Wells Fargo Bank and Bancorp Bank. The following is a summary of repayments we received on our consolidated CDOs during the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

\$33.0 million of commercial real estate loan principal repayments;

\$56.7 million of commercial real estate loan sale proceeds;

\$312.5 million of bank loan principal repayments; and

\$71.1 million of bank loan sale proceeds.

We have used recycled capital in our CRE CDO and bank loan CDO structures to make new investments at discounts to par. As a result, we expect that the new investments will produce additional income as the discounts are accreted through interest income. From the net discounts of approximately \$13.9 million and \$31.6 million, we expect to recognize income of approximately \$3.2 million and \$14.2 million in our CRE CDO and bank loan CDO portfolio, respectively, in 2011.

During 2010, we invested \$5.0 million through Resource TRS, Inc. or Resource TRS, our taxable REIT subsidiary, in structured finance vehicles, principally CDO equity, which we have classified as trading securities. Because of the success of that investment, we committed an additional \$8.0 million to it in the March 2011 quarter. Also, in January 2011, we discontinued directly investing in our lease receivable portfolio investments and, instead, made a preferred stock investment in LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc, or LCC, a recently formed equipment leasing enterprise and an indirect subsidiary of Resource America, through cash capital contributions and a contribution of our lease portfolio equity and debt interests. In February 2011, we purchased a company that manages \$1.9 billion of bank loan assets and are entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive management fees subject to a sub-management fee we pay to an affiliate of our Manager to whom we contracted the operational management of the bank loan assets. Beginning in October 2010 through September 2011, we have underwritten 11 new CRE loans for a total of \$125.0 million. We also purchased 24 newly underwritten CMBS for \$76.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 in conjunction with the Wells Fargo facility. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, through Apidos CLO VIII we purchased \$15.0 million of bank loans provided by a warehouse facility from Citibank N.A. Based upon these recent asset purchases and credit market events, we believe that we will be able to invest a significant portion of our available unrestricted and restricted cash balances and, as a result,

increase our revenues in 2011. Through the first nine months of 2011, we made significant progress to that end; we experienced an increase in our revenues of over \$4.3 million or 22% for the three months ended September 30, 2011 versus the three months ended September 30, 2010, and a \$17.1 million, or 35% increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 versus the nine months ended September 30, 2010. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we expect to invest the majority of the remaining cash in our CRE CDOs, which stood at \$13.8 million and \$82.1 million (\$95.9 million combined) in Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1, or RREF CDO 2006-1, and Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1, or RREF CDO 2007-1, respectively. These expected new investments, at weighted average rates which we believe will range from 6% to 7%, should further increase our revenues since the cash accumulated from loan sales, payoffs and paydowns has earned only nominal returns in temporary overnight investments, which we discuss in interest income - other.

As of September 30, 2011, we had invested 65% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 30% in commercial finance, and 5% in other investments. As of December 31, 2010, we had invested 77% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 21% in commercial finance, and 2% in other investments.

(Back to Index)

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the provision for credit losses, recovery of deferred tax assets, fair value of investment securities, potential impairment of intangible assets and goodwill, guarantees and certain accrued liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

For a complete discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates, see the discussion of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates."

Results of Operations – Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 as compared to Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

Our net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$14.9 million, or \$0.20 per share (basic and diluted) and \$37.3 million, or \$0.55 per share-basic (\$0.54 per share-diluted), respectively, as compared to net income of \$14.1 million, or \$0.27 per share (basic and diluted) and \$28.8 million, or \$0.64 per share (basic and diluted) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Interest Income

The following tables set forth information relating to our interest income recognized for the periods presented (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended		Thre	Three Months Ended			
	September 30, 2011			Sep	September 30, 2010		
		Weighte	d Average		Weighted Average		
	Interest			Interest			
	Income	Yield	Balance	Income	Yield	Balance	
Interest income:							
Interest income from loans:							
Bank loans	\$ 10,992	4.65%	\$ 907,340	\$ 11,491	4.95%	\$ 910,664	
Commercial real estate loans	7,871	4.83%	\$ 644,769	8,106	4.83%	\$ 672,146	
Total interest income from loans	18,863			19,597			
Interest income from securities:							
CMBS-private							
placement	2,363	5.72%	\$ 163,177	2,620	7.26%	\$ 144,379	
Securities							
held-to-maturity	430	5.15%	\$ 33,083	403	4.46%	\$ 35,635	
Other ABS	590	6.52%	\$ 36,181	113	19.67%	\$ 2,300	
Total interest income from							
securities	3,383			3,136			
Leasing	-	N/A	N/A	4,614	18.40%	\$ 98,037	

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Interest income – other:

01 1,474 154.30% \$ 3,822
428 N/A N/A
1,902
\$ 29,249

⁽¹⁾ Yields on these quarterly payers reflect payments for full distribution periods and in some cases we owned the position for a portion of that period.

(Back to Index)

		e Months E	Nine Months Ended				
	Sept	tember 30,		Sep	tember 30,		
	_	Weighte	d Average		Weighte	d Average	
	Interest			Interest			
	Income	Yield	Balance	Income	Yield	Balance	
Interest income:							
Interest income from loans:							
Bank loans	\$ 38,486	5.66%	\$ 891,340	\$ 31,784	4.60%	\$ 910,758	
Commercial real estate loans	22,218	4.56%	\$ 637,585	25,301	4.73%	\$ 704,173	
Total interest income from loans	60,704			57,085			
Interest income from securities:							
CMBS-private							
placement	6,927	5.62%	\$ 162,404	7,694	7.33%	\$ 138,970	
Securities							
held-to-maturity	1,173	4.79%	\$ 32,450	1,058	3.81%	\$ 35,796	
Other ABS	998	4.56%	\$ 29,206	153	8.87%	\$ 2,300	
Total interest income from							
securities	9,098			8,905			
Leasing	_	N/A	N/A	6,777	17.65%	\$ 49,857	
Interest income – other:							
Preference payments on structured							
notes (1)	6,602	18.74%	\$ 46,976	1,590	55.47%	\$ 3,823	
Temporary investment in							
over-night repurchase agreements	232	N/A	N/A	1,061	N/A	N/A	
Total interest income – other	6,834			2,651			
Total interest							
income	\$ 76,636			\$ 75,418			

⁽¹⁾ Yields on these quarterly payers reflect payments for full distribution periods and in some cases we owned the position for a portion of that period.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

The following table summarizes certain information relating to interest income for the periods indicated (in thousands, except percentages):

		Ur	amortized		Net					
	Coupon		Discount)		nortizatio	n	Interest		Fee	
Type of Security	Interest	I	Premium	11	Accretion		Income]	Income	Total
Three Months Ended										
September 30, 2011:										
Bank loans	3.76%	\$	(28,582)	\$	1,794	\$	8,966	\$	232	\$ 10,992
Commercial real estate										
loans	4.73%	\$	(167)		7		7,832		32	7,871
Total interest income from										
loans					1,801		16,798		264	18,863
CMBS-private placement	3.89%	\$	(13,942)		731		1,632		-	2,363
Securities held-to-maturity	2.57%	\$	(3,051)		139		291		-	430
Other ABS					-		590		-	590
Total interest income from										
securities					870		2,513		-	3,383
Preference payments										
on structured notes					-		3,840		-	3,840
Other					_		59		-	59
Total interest income –										
other					-		3,899		-	3,899
Total interest income				\$	2,671	\$	23,210	\$	264	\$ 26,145
Three Months Ended										
September 30, 2010:										
Bank loans	3.35%	\$	(28,536)	\$	3,522	\$	7,969	\$	-	\$ 11,491
Commercial real estate										
loans	4.53%	\$	(170)		(2)	7,638		470	8,106
Total interest income from										
loans					3,520		15,607		470	19,597
CMBS-private placement	4.02%	\$	(28,149)		1,170		1,450		-	2,620
Securities held-to-maturity	2.52%	\$	(2,766)		114		289		-	403
Other ABS					-		113		-	113
Total interest income from										
securities					1,284		1,852		-	3,136
Leasing					-		4,614		-	4,614
Preference payments on										
structured notes					-		1,474		-	1,474
Other					-		88		340	428
Total interest income –										
other					_		1,562		340	1,902
Total interest income				\$	4,804	\$	23,635	\$	810	\$ 29,249

(Back to Index)

Type of Security	Coupon Interest	(I	namortized Discount) Premium		Net mortization/ Accretion	Interest Income	Fe	e Income	Total
Nine Months Ended									
September 30, 2011:									
Bank loans	3.69%	\$	(28,582) \$	11,517	\$ 26,893	\$	76	\$ 38,486
Commercial real estate									
loans	4.50%	\$	(167)	4	22,099		115	22,218
Total interest income from									
loans					11,521	48,992		191	60,704
CMBS-private placement	3.48%	\$	(13,942)	2,471	4,456		_	6,927
Securities held-to-maturity	2.60%	\$	(3,051)	377	796		_	1,173
Other ABS					_	998		_	998
Total interest income from									
securities					2,848	6,250		_	9,098
Preference payments on									
structured notes					_	6,602		_	6,602
Other					_	232		_	232
Total interest income –									
other					_	6,834		_	6,834
Total interest income				\$	14,369	\$ 62,076	\$	191	\$ 76,636
Nine Months Ended									
September 30, 2010:									
Bank loans	3.18%	\$	(28,536) \$	9,590	\$ 22,194	\$	-	\$ 31,784
Commercial real estate									
loans	4.66%	\$	(170)	(13)	24,642		672	25,301
Total interest income from									
loans					9,577	46,836		672	57,085
CMBS-private placement	3.99%	\$	(28,149)	3,371	4,323		_	7,694
Securities held-to-maturity	2.43%	\$	(2,766)	311	747		-	1,058
Other ABS					_	153		-	153
Total interest income from									
securities					3,682	5,223		-	8,905
Leasing					_	6,777		-	6,777
Preference payments on									
structured notes					_	1,590		-	1,590
Other					_	271		790	1,061
Total interest income –									
other					_	1,861		790	2,651
Total interest income				\$	13,259	\$ 60,697	\$	1,462	\$ 75,418

Aggregate interest income decreased \$3.1 million (11%) and increased \$1.2 million (2%) to \$26.1 million and \$76.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively from \$29.2 million and \$75.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. We attribute these changes to the following:

Interest Income from Loans. Aggregate interest income from loans decreased \$734,000 (4%) and increased \$3.6 million (6%) to \$18.9 million and \$60.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively from \$19.6 million and \$57.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

Bank loans generated \$11.0 million and \$38.5 million of interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$11.5 million and \$31.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, a decrease of \$499,000 (4%) and an increase of \$6.7 million (21%), respectively. There was a decrease in the weighted average balance of \$644.8 million and \$637.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively as compared to \$672.1 million and \$704.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The decrease for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and the increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 resulted primarily from the changes in the weighted average yield primarily as a result of the timing of paydowns and payoffs which cause us to accelerate discounts into income. The bank loan market had increased prepayment speeds beginning in the third quarter of 2010 which have slowed considerably since the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in accretion for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and was partially offset by an increase in the weighted average spread as a result of the change in the mix of assets. This increase in weighted spread attributed to the increase in interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

(Back to Index)

CRE loans generated \$7.9 million and \$22.2 million of interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$8.1 million and \$25.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, decreases of \$235,000 (3%) and \$3.1 million (12%), respectively. These decreases are a result of the following:

the decrease for the three and nine months ended is primarily related to the decrease of \$27.4 million and \$66.6 million in weighted average balance to \$644.8 million and \$637.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively from \$672.1 million and \$704.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively as a result of the payoff and paydown of \$35.7 million par of loans and sales of \$73.6 million par value of loan from October 2010 through September 2011. We began to reinvest this restricted cash beginning during the fourth quarter of 2010, with the majority of it being reinvested during the second and third quarter of 2011; and

a decrease in the weighted average yield for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as a result of lower yields on new investments as compared to the investments that had paid down and paid off. This decrease was partially offset for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as a result of unrecoverable interest on the mezzanine tranche of an impaired loan.

Interest Income from Securities. Aggregate interest income from securities increased \$247,000 (8%) and \$193,000 (2%) to \$3.4 million and \$9.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$3.1 million and \$8.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The changes in interest income from securities resulted principally from the following:

Interest income from other ABS increased \$477,000 (422%) and \$845,000 (552%) to \$590,000 and \$998,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$113,000 and \$153,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Interest income from other ABS resulted principally from residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS, positions purchased as part of our trading portfolio beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010.

The increase in interest income from other ABS was partially offset by a decrease in interest income from CMBS-private placement which decreased \$257,000 (10%) and \$767,000 (10%) to \$2.4 million and \$6.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$2.6 million and \$7.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. We attribute the decrease to a decrease in the weighted average yield to 5.72% and 5.62% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from 7.26% and 7.33% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, primarily as a result of a decrease of \$423,000 and \$880,000 in accretion income to \$747,000 and \$2.6 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$1.2 million and \$3.4 million, respectively during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in accretion income resulted from the impairment of \$34.0 million of CMBS, at par, and with associated discounts of \$2.1 million during the last quarter of 2009 through the second quarter of 2011 and the elimination of accretion of those discounts into income. We no longer recognize discount accretion after we recognize impairment on securities.

The decrease in weighted average yield was partially offset by an increase in the weighted average balance of assets of \$18.8 million and \$23.4 million to \$163.2 million and \$162.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$144.4 million and \$139.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively principally as a result of the purchase of \$98.4 million par value of assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and during the last half of the year ended December 31, 2010. These purchases were partially offset by the impairment and subsequent non-payment of \$42.1 million par value of assets during the fourth quarter of 2009 through the second quarter of 2011.

Interest Income – Leasing. Our equipment leasing portfolio generated \$4.6 million and \$6.8 million of interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. There was no such income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as a result the transfer of our leasing portfolio into LCC as part of our preferred equity investment in LCC. Our restructured investment in LCC, however, generated dividend income, as discussed in "- Other Revenue."

Interest Income – Other. Aggregate interest income-other increased \$2.0 million (105%) and \$4.2 million (158%) to \$3.9 million and \$6.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$1.9 million and \$2.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, as a result of the following:

Interest income from preference payments on structured notes generated \$3.8 million and \$6.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$1.5 million and 1.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. These payments, which are related to our trading portfolio program with Resource Capital Markets, vary from period to period and are based on cash flows from the underlying assets rather than on a contractual interest rate.

Interest Expense – Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 as compared to Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following table sets forth information relating to our interest expense incurred for the periods presented by asset class (in thousands, except percentages):

	Tl	nree Months End	led		Three Months Ended						
	S	eptember 30, 20	11		September 30, 2010						
		Weighte	d Ave	erage		Weighted Average					
	Interest					Interest					
	Expense	Yield		Balance		Expense	Yield		Balance		
Bank loans	\$ 2,340	0.99%	\$	933,021	\$	2,651	1.15%	\$	906,000		
Commercial real											
estate loans	1,580	1.24%	\$	500,543		1,941	1.49%	\$	521,576		
CMBS-private											
placement	175	2.45%	\$	27,256		_	N/A		N/A		
Leasing	_	N/A		N/A		2,443	8.86%	\$	107,875		
Hedging											
instruments	2,049	5.05%	\$	153,577		2,125	4.88%	\$	167,174		
General	1,031	7.91%	\$	50,000		929	7.02%	\$	50,000		
Total interest											
expense	\$ 7,175				\$	10,089					

	;	Nine Months Ende September 30, 201 Weighted	1	erage		•	l) Ave	erage	
	Interest	V: -1.4	Interest						Dalamas
	Expense	Yield		Balance		Expense	Yield		Balance
Bank loans	\$ 6,913	1.00%	\$	915,106	\$	7,185	1.05%	\$	906,000
Commercial real									
estate loans	4,706	1.24%	\$	502,669		6,271	1.53%	\$	552,183
CMBS-private									
placement	385	2.78%	\$	18,181		_	N/A		N/A
Leasing	_	N/A		N/A		3,463	8.80%	\$	51,889
Hedging									
instruments	6,357	5.07%	\$	162,462		7,294	4.89%	\$	186,827
General	2,809	7.22%	\$	50,000		2,742	7.21%	\$	50,000
Total interest									
expense	\$ 21,170				\$	26,955			

Aggregate interest expense decreased \$2.9 million (29%) and \$5.8 million (21%) to \$7.2 million and \$21.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$10.1 million and \$27.0 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. We attribute this decrease to the following:

Interest expense on bank loans was \$2.3 million and \$6.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$2.7 million and \$7.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30,

2010, respectively, decreases of \$311,000 (12%) and \$272,000 million (4%), respectively. These decreases resulted primarily from a decrease in the weighted average yield on this debt to 0.99% and 1.00% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from 1.15% and 1.05% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, primarily due to decreases in LIBOR floors, which is a reference index for the rates payable on most of this debt. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in the weighted average balance of the related financings of \$27.0 million and \$9.1 million to \$933.0 million and \$915.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$906.0 million and \$906.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, due to the financing of assets on our warehouse line for a new CLO which closed in October 2011.

Interest expense on commercial real estate loans was \$1.6 million and \$4.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$1.9 million and \$6.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, decreases of \$361,000 (19%) and \$1.6 million (25%), respectively. These decreases resulted primarily from a decrease in the weighted average yield due to decreased amortization of financing costs as a result of fewer note repurchases during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. In addition, there was a decrease in the weighted average balance of the related financings of \$21.0 million and \$49.5 million to \$500.5 million and \$502.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$521.6 million and \$552.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, primarily due to the repurchase of \$91.4 million of CDO notes in 2010 and \$10.0 million of CDO notes in 2011.

(Back to Index)

Interest expense on leasing was \$2.4 million and \$3.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. There was no such expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as a result the transfer of our leasing portfolio into LCC as a preferred equity investment and the simultaneous transfer of the related debt to Resource America.

Interest expense on hedging instruments decreased \$76,000 (4%) and \$937,000 (13%) to \$2.0 million and \$6.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$2.1 million and \$7.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The decrease in the hedging expense is due to a change in the composition of swaps we held on our books during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to 2010 as a result of the maturities of hedges related to our CRE portfolio in 2010 and the purchase of new hedges relating to securities we purchased using the Wells Fargo repurchase facility during 2011.

Other Revenue

The following table sets forth information relating to our other revenue incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

		conths Ended ember 30,		onths Ended ember 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Other revenue:				
Rental income	\$1,592	\$ -	\$1,772	\$ -
Dividend income	926	_	2,453	_
Fee income	1,960	_	5,859	_
Total other revenue	\$4,478	\$ -	\$10,084	\$ -

Rental income was \$1.6 million and \$1.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 which is related to our property available-for-sale which we acquired in September 2010 and our investments in real estate which we acquired in June 2011 and September 2011.

We received dividend income of \$926,000 and \$2.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from our January 2011 investment in LCC. There was no such investment at September 30, 2010.

We generated fee income of \$2.0 million and \$5.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, which is related to our February 2011 acquisition of a company that manages bank loan assets that entitles us to collect senior, subordinated, and incentive fees related to five collateralized loan obligation issuers, or CLOs. We had no such investment or income at September 30, 2010.

Non-Investment Expenses

The following table sets forth information relating to our non-investment expenses incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three M	onths Ended	Nine Mo	onths Ended
	Septe	mber 30,	Septe	ember 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Non-investment expenses:				
Management fees – related party	\$3,136	\$4,405	\$8,622	\$9,845
Equity compensation – related party	316	544	1,399	1,463

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Professional services	624	491	2,532	2,186
Insurance	161	184	497	576
Rental operating expense	1,057	_	1,369	_
Depreciation on operating leases	_	1,658	_	2,343
General and administrative	1,281	721	3,220	2,232
Depreciation and amortization	1,856	_	2,865	_
Income tax expense	1,289	4,068	4,269	5,305
Total non-investment expenses	\$9,720	\$12,071	\$24,773	\$23,950

Management fees – related party decreased \$1.3 million (29%) and \$1.2 million (12%) to \$3.1 million and \$8.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$4.4 million and \$9.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. These amounts represent compensation in the form of base management fees and incentive management fees pursuant to our management agreement as well as fees to the manager of our structured note portfolio. The changes are described below:

Base management fees increased by \$369,000 (26%) and \$1.3 million (32%) to \$1.8 million and \$5.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$1.4 million and \$3.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. These increases were due to increased stockholders' equity, a component in the formula by which base management fees are calculated, primarily as a result of the receipt of \$142.9 million of net proceeds from the sales of common stock through our Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, or DRIP, during the year ended December 31, 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as well as the receipt of \$92.9 million from the proceeds of our May 2010 and March 2011 common stock offerings.

Incentive management fees decreased \$2.1 million (69%) and \$4.2 million (71%) to \$915,000 and \$1.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively from \$3.0 million and \$5.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were driven by \$6.3 million and \$29.3 million, respectively of gains on the extinguishment of debt as compared to \$3.9 million of gains on the extinguishment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Management fees also include incentive fees of \$418,000 and \$1.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, related to our structured finance manager. There was no such portfolio or related fees for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Equity compensation – related party decreased \$228,000 (42%) and \$64,000 (4%) to \$316,000 and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$544,000 and \$1.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. These expenses relate to the amortization of annual grants of restricted common stock to our non-employee independent directors, and annual and discretionary grants of restricted stock to several employees of Resource America who provide investment management services to us through our Manager. The decrease in expense was primarily the result of our quarterly remeasurement of the value of unvested stock. This decrease was partially offset by the issuance of new grants during 2011.

Professional services increased \$133,000 (27%) and \$346,000 (16%) to \$624,000 and \$2.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$491,000 and \$2.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, as a result of an increase of \$105,000 and \$260,000 in legal fees for the three and nine months ended, respectively, primarily from legal services related to the CRE portfolio.

Rental operating expense was \$1.1 million and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and is related to property available-for-sale and investments in real estate. There were no such assets at September 30, 2010 and therefore no such expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

General and administrative expense increased \$560,000 (78%) and \$988,000 (44%) to \$1.3 million and \$3.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$721,000 and \$2.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. These increases are a result of the following:

Increases of \$283,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 related to transaction costs in connection with our acquisition of an investment in real estate in September 2011

Increases of \$56,000 and \$83,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 related to franchise taxes related to our real estate joint venture;

Increases of \$93,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily related to printing and mailing for our proxy; and

An increase of \$152,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 related to our agreement to reimburse Resource America for the wages, salary and benefits of our Chairman, our Chief Financial Officer, several accounting professionals, and 50% of the salary and benefits of a director of investor relations.

Depreciation on operating leases was \$1.7 million and \$2.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. There was no such expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. The expense relates to the depreciation of the assets in the leasing securitization we acquired in May 2010 which were then converted to a preferred equity investment in LCC in January 2011.

Depreciation and amortization was \$1.9 million and \$2.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and is related to our acquisition of RCAM in February 2011 and our acquisitions of real estate in the second and third quarters of 2011. There were no such investments at September 30, 2010.

Income tax expense decreased \$2.8 million (68%) and \$1.0 million (20%) to \$1.3 million and \$4.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$4.1 million and \$5.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, primarily due to decreased profits in our trading portfolio and fee income from our bank loan management acquisition in February 2011.

Other (Expense) Income

The following table sets forth information relating to our other (expense) income incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

		In Inths Ended ember 30,	1 (1110 1)	Ionths Ended ember 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$-	\$(4,456) \$(4,649) \$(10,514)
Net realized gain on investment securities				
available-for-sale and loans	591	1,171	4,443	1,507
Net realized and unrealized gain on investment				
securities, trading	(1,861) 7,215	1,418	9,743
Provision for loan and lease losses	(1,198) (3,095) (7,917) (26,363)
Gain on the extinguishment of debt	3,875	6,250	3,875	29,285
Other (expense) income	(191) (121) (642) 650
Total	\$1,216	\$6,964	\$(3,472) \$4,308

Net impairment losses recognized in earnings decreased \$4.5 million and \$5.9 million to \$0 and a loss of \$4.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from losses of \$4.5 million and \$10.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 relate to impairment charges taken on two CMBS positions during the period. Losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 relate to impairment charges taken on two CMBS positions during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and impairment charges taken on two CMBS positions during the first six months of 2010. We had no such impairments for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Net realized gain/(loss) on investment securities available-for-sale and loans decreased \$580,000 (50%) and increased \$2.9 million (195%) to \$591,000 and \$4.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The decrease for the three months ended is the result of \$1.2 million of gains on the sale of CMBS during the three months ended September 30, 2010. There were no such gains during the three months ended September 30, 2011. This decrease in gains on sales of CMBS was partially offset by increased gains of \$608,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2011 on the sale of bank loans. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is primarily the result of increased gains from sales of investments in our CMBS portfolio during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$2.3 million as well as increased gains from sales of bank loans of \$610,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading decreased \$9.1 million (126%) and \$8.3 million (85%) to a loss of \$1.9 million and a gain of \$1.4 million for three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, from gains of \$7.2 million and \$9.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The decrease in gains is the result of fewer sales of structured finance securities as well as unrealized losses on the positions we still hold due to a decline in market value.

Our provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$1.9 million (61%) and \$18.4 million (70%) to \$1.2 million and \$7.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to \$3.1 million and \$26.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

The following table summarizes information relating to our provision for loan and lease losses for the periods presented (in thousands):

		onths Ended mber 30,		onths Ended ember 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	
CRE loan portfolio	\$410	\$3,265	\$5,623	\$27,294	
Bank loan portfolio	788	(170) 2,294	(1,183))
Lease receivables	_	_	_	251	
Total	\$1,198	\$3,095	\$7,917	\$26,362	

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

The principal reason for the decrease from the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was improved credit conditions for the borrowers in our CRE portfolio where we have seen a reduction in the magnitude of impaired and defaulted loans. We had eight positions for which we took a provision during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The positions had a total par value of \$64.1 million and were written down to \$28.7 million for a weighted average write-down percentage of 30.9% of par. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we had four positions for which we took provisions. The positions had a total par value of \$55.7 million and were written down to \$50.5 million for a weighted average write down percentage of 9.5% of par.

Gain on the extinguishment of debt was \$3.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively and is due to the buyback of a portion of the debt issued by RREF CDO 2006-1 and RREF CDO 2007-1 during the period. The notes, issued at par, were bought back as an investment by us at a weighted average price of 62.3%. Gain on the extinguishment of debt was \$6.3 million and \$29.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively and is due to the buyback of a portion of the debt issued by RREF CDO 2006-1 and RREF CDO 2007-1 during the period. The notes, issued at par, were bought back as an investment by us at a weighted average price of 67.3%. The related deferred debt issuance costs were immaterial.

Other (expense) income increased \$70,000 (58%) and \$1.3 million (199%) to expenses of \$191,000 and \$642,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, as compared to an expense of \$121,000 and income of \$650,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The increase in expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is primarily the result of a gain of \$753,000 from the sale of a property in May 2010 by a real estate joint venture in which we have invested. There were no such gains during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Financial Condition

Summary. Our total assets at September 30, 2011 were \$2.2 billion, as compared to \$1.9 billion at December 31, 2010. As of September 30, 2011, we held \$28.9 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents.

Investment Portfolio. The table below summarizes the amortized cost and net carrying amount of our investment portfolio as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, classified by interest rate type. The following table includes both (i) the amortized cost of our investment portfolio and the related fair value, which is computed by dividing amortized cost by par amount, and (ii) the net carrying amount of our investment portfolio and the related fair value, which is computed by dividing the net carrying amount by par amount (in thousands, except percentages):

	A	Amortized cost		ollar orice	ľ	Net carrying amount	Dollar price	ar	Net carrying mount les mortized cost		Dollar price
September 30, 2011											
Floating rate											
RMBS	\$	7,105	1	9.77%	\$	6,219	17.31%	\$	(886)	-2.46%
CMBS-private											
placement		29,816		00.0%		9,460	31.73%		(20,356)	-68.27%
Structured notes		24,039	3	8.99%		28,084	45.56%		4,045		6.57%
Other ABS		_		-%		23	0.28%		23		0.28%
Mezzanine loans											
(1)		63,903		9.97%		62,844	98.31%		(1,059)	-1.66%
Whole loans (1)		504,849		9.82%		482,766	95.46%		(22,083	- 1	-4.36%
Bank loans (2)		1,072,530	9	7.39%		1,026,672	93.22%		(45,858)	-4.17%
Loans held for sale											
(3)		479	9	6.77%		479	96.77%		-		-%
ABS											
held-to-maturity (4)		31,214		1.10%		25,609	74.74%		(5,605)	-16.36%
Total floating rate		1,733,935	9	4.15%		1,642,156	89.17%		(91,779)	-4.98%
Fixed rate											
CMBS – private											
placement		107,187		67.08%		99,908	62.52%		(7,279))	-4.56%
B notes (1)		16,463		99.10%		16,190	97.45%		(273)	-1.65%
Mezzanine loans											
(1)		13,987	1	00.37%		12,288	88.17%		(1,699)	-12.20%
Whole loans (1)		10,902		98.71%		10,904	98.73%		2		.02%
Loans held for sale		12,964	1	00.00%		12,964	100.00%		-		-%
Preferred stock and											
warrants		36,741	1	00.00%		36,741	100.00%		_		-%
Total fixed rate		198,244		78.95%		188,995	75.27%		(9,249)	-3.68%
Grand total	\$	1,932,179		92.33%	\$	1,831,151	87.51%	\$	(101,02	8)	-4.82%
December 31, 2010											
Floating rate											
CMBS-private											
placement	\$	31,127	1	00.00%	\$	9,569	30.74%	\$	(21,558)	-69.26%
Structured notes		7,984		34.09%		17,723	75.67%		9,739		41.58%
Other ABS		_		-%		22	0.26%		22		0.26%
B notes (1)		26,485		99.94%		26,071	98.38%		(414)	-1.56%
Mezzanine loans											
(1)		83,699	1	00.00%		82,680	98.78%		(1,019)	-1.22%
Whole loans (1)		441,372		99.92%		419,207	94.91%		(22,165)	-5.01%
Bank loans (2)		856,436		96.99%		850,500	96.32%		(5,936)	-0.67%
		13,593		55.92%		13,593	55.92%		_		

Loans held for sale (3)							- %
ABS							70
held-to-maturity (4)	29,036	91.08%	25,941	81.37%	(3,095)	-9.71%
Total floating rate	1,489,732	95.86%	1,445,306	93.01%	(44,426)	-2.85%
Fixed rate							
CMBS – private							
placement	52,097	48.30%	54,369	50.41%	2,272		2.11%
B notes (1)	30,966	99.53%	30,482	97.97%	(484)	-1.56%
Mezzanine loans							
(1)	38,545	100.23%	31,012	80.64%	(7,533)	-19.59%
Loans held for sale							
(3)	15,000	75.00%	15,000	75.00%	_		-%
Lease receivables							
(5)	109,682	100.00%	109,612	99.94%	(70)	-0.06%
Total fixed rate	246,290	80.20%	240,475	78.30%	(5,815)	-1.90%
Grand total	\$ 1,736,022	93.28%	\$ 1,685,781	90.58%	\$ (50,241)	-2.70%

⁽¹⁾ Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$25.1 million at September 30, 2011, allocated as follows: B notes (\$273,000), mezzanine loans (\$2.7 million) and whole loans (\$22.1 million). Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$31.6 million at December 31, 2010, allocated as follows: B notes (\$899,000), mezzanine loans (\$8.5 million) and whole loans (\$22.2 million).

- (3) Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market. Amortized cost is equal to fair value.
- (4) ABS held-to-maturity are carried at amortized cost less other-than-temporary impairments.
- (5) Net carrying amount includes a \$70,000 allowance for lease receivable losses at December 31, 2010.

⁽²⁾ The bank loan portfolio is carried at amortized cost less allowance for loan loss and was \$1,069.0 million and \$853.8 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The amount disclosed represents net realizable value at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 which includes a \$3.5 million and \$2.6 million allowance for loan losses, respectively.

In the aggregate, we purchased our CMBS-private placement portfolio at a discount. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the remaining discount to be accreted into income over the remaining lives of the securities was \$13.9 million and \$16.6 million, respectively. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and, as a result, are carried at their fair value.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recognized a \$4.6 million other-than-temporary impairment on one position that supported our CMBS investments bringing the fair value to \$250,000. There was no other-than-temporary impairment during the three months ended September 30, 2011. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recognized \$4.5 million and \$10.5 million of other-than-temporary impairments on one and three positions, respectively, that supported our CMBS investments bringing the combined fair value to \$470,000. The assumed default of these collateral positions in our cash flow model yielded a value of less than full recovery of our cost basis. The net impairment losses were recognized in earnings in the consolidated statements of operations. All of our other-than-temporary impairment losses are related to credit losses.

The following table summarizes our CMBS investments (in thousands, except percentages):

	During Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011												
	F	air Value						•				F	air Value
		at								Mark to			at
	D	ecember								Market		S	eptember
		31,		Net		U	pgrades/		C	Change or	ı		30,
										Same			
		2010	P	urchases		Do	wngrades	S		Ratings			2011
Moody's Ratings Category:													
Aaa	\$	_	\$	22,483		\$	_		\$	3,504		\$	25,987
Aa1 through Aa3		4,493		3,902			_			(4,493)		3,902
A1 through A3		18,570		_			_			(7,963)		10,607
Baa1 through Baa3		28,660		3,701			-			(6,915)		25,446
Ba1 through Ba3		1,480		_			_			1,120			2,600
B1 through B3		517		-			-			(517)		_
Caa1 through Caa3		6,739		_			(1,007)		2,098			7,830
Ca through C		3,479		_			(2,229)		1,497			2,747
Non-Rated		_		_			_			30,249			30,249
Total	\$	63,938	\$	30,086		\$	(3,236)	\$	18,580		\$	109,368
S&P Ratings Category:													
AAA	\$	_	\$	16,405		\$	_		\$	18,202		\$	34,607
A+ through A-		9,562		-			-			(3,684)		5,878
BBB+ through BBB-		36,385		7,602			_			(21,337)		22,650
BB+ through BB-		7,690		-			(6,640)		15,049			16,099
B+ through B-		_		_			-			2,590			2,590
CCC+ through CCC-		10,220		-			_			(2,722)		7,498
D		81		_			(300)		619			400
Non-Rated		_		6,078			_			13,568			19,646
Total	\$	63,938	\$	30,085		\$	(6,940)	\$	22,285		\$	109,368

Investment Securities, Trading. The following table summarizes our structured notes and RMBS securities, which are classified as investment securities, trading and carried at fair value (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
September 30, 2011:				
Structured notes	\$24,039	\$5,283	\$(1,238	\$28,084
Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS	7,105	221	(1,107) 6,219
Total	\$31,144	\$5,504	\$(2,345) \$34,303
December 31, 2010:				
Structured notes	\$7,984	\$9,739	\$-	\$17,723
Total	\$7,984	\$9,739	\$ -	\$17,723

We purchased 23 securities and sold 11 securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, for a net realized gain of \$8.0 million. We held 23 and 11 investment securities, trading as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(Back to Index)

(Back to Index)

Other Asset-Backed Securities. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we held two other ABS positions with a fair value of \$23,000. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value.

Real Estate Loans. The following table is a summary of the loans in our commercial real estate loan portfolio at the dates indicated (in thousands):

Description	Quantity	uantity Amortized Cost		Contracted Interest Rates	Maturity Dates (4)
September 30, 2011:					
Whole loans, floating rate (1) (2) (5)	30	\$	504,847	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 5.75%	December 2011 to September 2018
Whole loans, fixed rate	2		10,904	10.00% to 14.00%	June 2012 to May 2018
B notes, fixed rate (6)	2		29,427	7.00% to 8.68%	April 2016
				LIBOR plus 2.50% to	December 2011 to
Mezzanine loans, floating rate	4		63,903	LIBOR plus 7.45%	January 2013
Mezzanine loans, fixed rate Total (3)	2 40	\$	13,987 623,068	9.01% to 11.00%	January 2016 to September 2016
Total (3)	40	Ф	023,008		
December 31, 2010:					
		Φ.	444.070	LIBOR plus 1.50% to	May 2011 to
Whole loans, floating rate (1)	25	\$	441,372	LIBOR plus 5.75%	January 2018
B notes, floating rate	2		26,485	LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 3.01%	July 2011 to October 2011
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			-,	T many	July 2011 to
B notes, fixed rate(6)	2		30,966	7.00% to 8.68%	April 2016
M 1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (02.266	LIBOR plus 2.15% to	May 2011 to
Mezzanine loans, floating rate	6		93,266	LIBOR plus 3.00%	January 2013