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ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.
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LIFE IS COMPLEX.
Nuveen makes things e-simple.
It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive
an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready. No more
waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail
to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.
Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!
WWW. INVESTORDELIVERY.COM
If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements from your financial
advisor or brokerage account.
OR
WWW. NUVEEN. COM/ACCOUNTACCESS
If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements directly from Nuveen.
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[PHOTO OF ROBERT P. BREMNER]

DEAR SHAREHOLDER,

The financial markets in which your Fund operates continue to reflect the larger economic crosscurrents. The illiquidity that infected global credit markets over the last year continues to recede but there is concern about the impact of a reduction in official liquidity support programs. The major institutions that are the linchpin of the international financial system have strengthened their capital structures, but many still struggle with losses in their various portfolios. Global trends include increasing trade and concern about the ability of the U.S. government to address its substantial budgetary deficits.

While the fixed-income and equity markets have recovered from the lows recorded in the first quarter of 2009 , identifying those developments that will define the future is never easy, and rarely is it more difficult than at present. A fundamental component of a successful investment program is a commitment to remain focused on long-term investment goals even during periods of heightened market uncertainty. Another component is to re-evaluate investment disciplines and tactics and to confirm their validity following periods of extreme volatility and market dislocation, such as we have recently experienced. Your Board carried out an intensive review of investment performance with these objectives in mind during April and May of 2009 as part of the annual management contract renewal process. I encourage you to read the description of this process in the Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process section of this report. Confirming the appropriateness of a long term investment strategy is as important for our shareholders as it is for our professional investment managers. For that reason, I again encourage you to remain in communication with your financial consultant on this subject.

In September 2009, Nuveen completed the refinancing at par of all the auction rate preferred shares issued by its taxable closed-end funds. On October 15, Nuveen announced the first successful offering of an issue of MuniFund Term Preferred Shares. This new form of preferred securities joins the Variable Rate Demand Preferred securities as vehicles for refinancing existing municipal fund auction rate preferred shares (ARPS). By the beginning of December 2009, six of the leveraged municipal closed-end funds had redeemed all of their outstanding ARPS. Nuveen remains committed to resolving the issues connected with outstanding auction rate preferred shares. Please consult the Nuveen web site for the most recent information on this issue and all recent developments on your Nuveen Funds at: www. nuveen.com.

On behalf of the other members of your Fund's Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,
/s/ Robert P. Bremner

Robert P. Bremner
Chairman of the Board
December 21, 2009

## Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQM)
Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQS)
Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQU)
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Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NPF)
Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (NMZ)
Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 (NMD)

Portfolio managers Tom Spalding, Paul Brennan, John Miller and Johnathan Wilhelm discuss U.S. economic and municipal market conditions, key investment strategies, and the twelve-month performance of these six Funds. A 33-year veteran of Nuveen, Tom has managed NQS and NQU since 2003. With 20 years of industry experience, including twelve years at Nuveen, Paul assumed portfolio management responsibility for NPF in 2006 . John, who has 15 years of municipal market experience, has managed NMZ since its inception in 2003. Johnathan, who joined Nuveen in 2001 with 20 years of industry experience, served as co-portfolio manager of NMD beginning in 2007 and assumed full portfolio management responsibility for this Fund as well as for NQM in March 2009.

WHAT FACTORS AFFECTED THE U.S. ECONOMY AND MUNICIPAL MARKET DURING THE TWELVE-MONTH REPORTING PERIOD ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2009?

During this reporting period, municipal bond prices generally rose as strong cash flows into municipal bond funds combined with tighter supply of new tax-exempt issuance to provide favorable supply and demand conditions. As the period began, there continued to be considerable downward pressure on the economy. In an effort to improve conditions, the Federal Reserve (Fed) lowered the fed funds rate to a target range of zero to $0.25 \%$ in December 2008 , the lowest level on record. In February 2009, the federal government augmented its efforts to boost the economy by passing a $\$ 787$ billion stimulus package, which joined the $\$ 700$ billion financial industry rescue package it had passed in late 2008. In March 2009, the Fed announced in addition to maintaining the current rate, it would buy $\$ 300$ billion in long-term Treasury securities and up to an additional $\$ 750$ billion (for a total of $\$ 1.25$ trillion) in agency mortgage-backed securities to bolster the credit and housing markets.

> CERTAIN STATEMENTS IN THIS REPORT ARE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. DISCUSSIONS OF SPECIFIC INVESTMENTS ARE FOR ILLUSTRATION ONLY AND ARE NOT INTENDED AS RECOMMENDATIONS OF INDIVIDUAL INVESTMENTS. THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND OTHER VIEWS EXPRESSED HEREIN ARE THOSE OF THE PORTFOLIO MANAGERS AS OF THE DATE OF THIS REPORT. ACTUAL FUTURE RESULTS OR OCCURRENCES MAY DIFFER SIGNIFICANTLY FROM THOSE ANTICIPATED IN ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND THE VIEWS EXPRESSED HEREIN ARE SUBUECT TO CHANGE AT ANY TIME, DUE TO NUMEROUS MARKET AND OTHER FACTORS. THE FUNDS DISCLAIM ANY OBLIGATION TO UPDATE PUBLICLY OR REVISE ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS OR VIEWS EXPRESSED HEREIN.
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In recent months, the measures taken by the Fed and the others to ease the economic recession have produced some incipient signs of improvement. In the third quarter of 2009 , the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) posted positive growth (2.8\% annualized) for the first time since the second quarter of 2008 . Housing prices also provided something of a bright spot between June and September 2009 by recording four consecutive months of positive returns, the first following three years of decline. At the same time, inflation remained muted, as the Consumer Price Index (CPI), reflecting a 14\% drop in energy prices, fell 0.2\% year-over-year as of October 2009 . This marked the seventh straight month that consumer prices dropped from their levels of a year earlier, the longest such decline since 1954-1955. The core CPI (which excludes food and energy) rose $1.7 \%$ over the year, within the Fed's unofficial objective of $2.0 \%$ or lower for this measure. However, the economy continued to be stressed by weakness in the labor markets. October 2009 marked the 22 nd consecutive month of net job losses, with a total of 7.3 million jobs lost since the recession began
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in December 2007. This is the biggest decline since the Great Depression. The national unemployment rate for October 2009 was $10.2 \%$, a 26 -year high, up from $6.6 \%$ in October 2008.

Municipal market conditions began to show general signs of improvement in mid-December 2008, and municipal bonds continued to improve throughout most of 2009. This trend was bolstered by the reduced supply of tax-exempt municipal debt in the marketplace, due in part to the introduction of the Build America Bond program in April 2009. Build America Bonds are a new class of taxable municipal debt created as part of the February 2009 economic stimulus package. These bonds offer municipal issuers a federal subsidy equal to 35\% of the security's interest payments, and therefore provide issuers with an attractive alternative to traditional tax-exempt debt. As of October 31, 2009, taxable Build America Bonds issuance totaled $\$ 48.5$ billion, accounting for almost $20 \%$ of new bonds issued in the municipal market during the period since their introduction.

Over the twelve months ended October 31, 2009, tax-exempt municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled $\$ 404.5$ billion, a drop of approximately $10 \%$ compared with the twelve-month period ended October 31, 2008. As mentioned earlier, demand was strong, especially on the part of individual investors and broker/dealers. The combination of lower tax-exempt supply and increased demand provided support for municipal bond prices.
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WHAT KEY STRATEGIES WERE USED TO MANAGE THESE FUNDS?

During the majority of this twelve-month period, the tax-exempt municipal bond market was characterized by strong demand, constrained supply and generally improving valuations.

In this environment, our trading activity continued to focus on finding relative value by taking a bottom-up approach to discover undervalued sectors and individual credits with the potential to perform well over the long term. In NQM, we added to our holdings of credits rated $A$ and $B B B$ and, to a lesser degree, non-rated and sub-investment grade bonds. These additions to our portfolio, which we purchased in both the primary and secondary markets, tended to have maturities at the longer end of the yield curve, specifically 20 to 30 years. From a sector perspective, we emphasized hospital and industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds, both of which we believed to be significant areas of opportunity. While the overall supply of new tax-exempt bonds declined, supply was more plentiful in the health care sector because hospitals generally do not qualify for the Build America Bond program and so must continue to issue bonds in the tax-exempt municipal market. In addition, many hospitals were issuing fixed rate bonds during this period in order to refinance and retire outstanding debt that had initially been issued as variable rate debt.

In NQS and NQU, our focus was generally on purchasing investment-grade quality bonds offering good call protection. Many of the additions to our portfolio were in the health care sector, primarily bonds rated AA, A or BBB. In NPF, we purchased bonds with longer maturities in two categories: essential services and health care. In essential services, our purchases included bonds such as those issued for water and sewer projects, utilities, schools and roads. In the health care sector, most were rated AA or A.

In NMZ and NMD, which were established as high-yield funds that can invest up to $50 \%$ of their portfolios in sub-investment-grade quality municipal credits, our primary emphasis remained on bottom-up credit analysis and selection, which we believe are critical in the high-yield segment of the market. During this
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period, NMZ also emphasized the selective buying of bonds that had been battered by the challenging market environment of 2008 , which we found in sectors such as hospitals and industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds. Two other areas of the market that we believed to be undervalued were community development districts (CDD) and natural gas pre-payment contract bonds. CDD bonds have been impacted by the decline of the housing market as well as weaker demand, particularly from retail buyers. Natural gas pre-payment contract bonds, which are used by municipal utilities to prepay for gas supplies to be delivered over a long period of time, are backed by a combination of public gas distribution systems and major financial institutions. As a result, these bonds suffered during the downturn in the financial sector. In general, we were buying bonds rated BBB that had better known names and relatively stable track records, all of which enhanced the liquidity of the bonds we purchased. We also maintained our positions in bonds that we believed were good long-term holds with stable to improving credit fundamentals.
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In NMD, we also found attractive opportunities to increase the Fund's exposure to gas pre-payment bonds as well as special tax issues and bonds issued for charter and private schools. In addition, we reduced our exposure to health care, where we thought NMD had become too heavily weighted.

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated largely by maturing or called bonds and sinking fund proceeds. In addition, NPF sold some credits with shorter maturities, including pre-refunded bonds. In NQM, we sold bonds that we believed did not have much capacity for further price appreciation, including pre-refunded bonds and bonds that were running up close to their call dates. NMD also sold holdings where we wanted to reduce exposure to an individual issuer or where there was a changing credit outlook. Additionally, NMD took advantage of strong bids to sell selected bonds that were attractive to the market and reinvested the proceeds in bonds with higher yields. While there was considerable issuance of Build America Bonds over the last half of this period, these bonds do not represent good investment opportunities for all these Funds because their interest payments are considered taxable income.

All of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities(1) as a form of leverage for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancements. NPF, NMZ and NMD also invested in additional types of derivative instruments(2) designed to help shorten duration and moderate interest rate risk. In NPF, these derivatives were removed early in the reporting period, while NMZ and NMD continued to use derivatives as of October 31, 2009.

HOW DID THE FUNDS PERFORM?

Individual results for these Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURNS ON COMMON SHARE NET ASSET VALUE FOR PERIODS ENDED 10/31/09
1-YEAR 5-YEAR 10-YEAR

| NQM | $24.35 \%$ | $3.63 \%$ | $6.43 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NQS | $25.67 \%$ | $3.89 \%$ | $6.50 \%$ |
| NQU | $19.58 \%$ | $3.83 \%$ | $6.12 \%$ |


| NPF | 25.53\% | 3.02\% | 5.79\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard \& Poor's (S\&P) National Municipal Bond Index(3) | $14.15 \%$ | $4.04 \%$ | 5.61\% |
| Lipper General Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Average (4) | 26.02\% | $3.32 \%$ | $6.02 \%$ |
| NMZ | 30.90\% | 2.20\% | N/A |
| NMD | $32.43 \%$ | N/A | N/A |
| Standard \& Poor's (S\&P) High-Yield Municipal Bond Index(5) | $18.17 \%$ | 2.93\% | $4.56 \%$ |
| Lipper High-Yield Municipal Debt Funds Average(4) | 24.22\% | $3.30 \%$ | 4.65\% |

(1) An inverse floating rate security, also known as an inverse floater, is a financial instrument designed to pay long-term tax-exempt interest at a rate that varies inversely with a short-term tax-exempt interest rate index. For the Nuveen Funds, the index typically used is the Securities Industry and Financial Markets (SIFM) Municipal Swap Index (previously referred to as the Bond Market Association Index or BMA). Inverse floaters, including those inverse floating rate securities in which the Funds invested during this reporting period, are further defined within the Notes to Financial Statements and Glossary of Terms Used in this Report sections of this report.
(2) Each Fund may invest in derivative instruments such as forwards, futures, options and swap transactions. For additional information on the derivative instruments in which each Fund was invested during and at the end of the reporting period, see the Portfolio of Investments, Financial Statements and Notes to Financial Statements sections of this report.

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.
(3) The Standard \& Poor's (S\&P) National Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the investment-grade U.S. municipal bond market. This index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.
(4) The Lipper General Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1- year, 54 funds; 5-year, 52 funds; and 10-year, 38 funds. The Lipper High-Yield Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 15 funds; 5-year, 14 funds; and 10 -year, 11 funds. Fund and Lipper returns assume reinvestment of dividends. You cannot invest directly in a Lipper Average.
(5) The Standard \& Poor's (S\&P) High-Yield Municipal Bond Index comprises all of the bonds in the S\&P National Municipal Bond Index that are non-rated or rated $B B+$ by $S \& P$ and/or Bal by Moody's or lower. The index does not contain bonds that are pre-refunded or escrowed to maturity. This index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.

For the twelve months ended October 31, 2009, the total returns on common share net asset value (NAV) for $N Q M$, NQS, NQU and NPF exceeded the returns for the Standard \& Poor's (S\&P) National Municipal Bond Index. These four Funds underperformed the average return for the Lipper General Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Average. Both NMZ and NMD outperformed the returns for the S\&P High-Yield Municipal Bond Index and the Lipper High-Yield Municipal Debt Funds Average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included yield curve and duration positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. In addition, leverage was an important, positive factor affecting the Funds' performances over this period. The impact of leverage is discussed in more detail on page seven.

During this period, yields on tax-exempt bonds generally declined and bond prices rose, especially at the longer end of the municipal yield curve. As a result, longer-term bonds generally outperformed credits with shorter maturities. In general, the greater a Fund's exposure to the longer part of the yield curve, the greater the positive impact on the Fund's return. Overall, NQM, NQS and NPF, which had relatively more exposure to the longer end of the curve, were better positioned in terms of duration than NQU, which had the shortest duration among these four Funds. Both NMZ and NMD also had heavy allocations of bonds with longer maturities, with NMZ having the longer duration of the two.

As mentioned earlier, our duration strategies in NPF, NMZ and NMD included using derivative positions to synthetically reduce the duration of these three Funds and moderate their interest rate risk. In NMZ and NMD, these derivatives performed poorly and actually had a slight negative impact on the overall strong total return performance of these Funds. Because the derivative positions in NPF were removed shortly after the beginning of this reporting period, they had a negligible impact on this Fund's performance.

While yield curve positioning and duration played important roles in performance, credit exposure was also a significant factor. As noted earlier, demand for municipal bonds increased among both institutional and individual investors during this period. This increase was driven by a variety of factors, including concerns about potential tax increases, the need to rebalance portfolio allocations, and a growing appetite for additional risk. At the same time, the supply of new tax-exempt municipal securities declined. As investors bid up municipal bond prices, bonds rated BBB or below and non-rated bonds generally outperformed those rated AAA. In this environment, allocations of bonds rated $\operatorname{BBB}$ or below and non-rated bonds generally made positive relative contributions to the Funds' performances. In addition, NQU's overall higher credit quality (as measured by its allocation of AAA bonds) hampered the Fund's performance in the market environment of the past twelve months.

## 6 Nuveen Investments

Holdings that generally contributed positively to the Funds' performances included industrial development revenue (IDR), housing and health care bonds as well as education, water and sewer, transportation and special tax bonds. Zero coupon bonds and credits backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement also posted strong returns.

In NMZ, some of the bonds we purchased during this period to take advantage of opportunities that we believed were undervalued performed very well following
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their addition to our portfolio. Examples of these bonds included Harmony Community Development District in Florida; Sullivan County Health for the Wellmont Health System in Tennessee; Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corporation, a natural gas prepayment contract bond backed by Goldman Sachs; and Westlake Chemical in Louisiana, an IDR bond.

Pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities and which had been one of the top performing segments of the municipal bond market over the past two years performed poorly during this period. The underperformance of these bonds can be attributed primarily to their shorter effective maturities and higher credit quality. As of October 31, 2009, holdings of pre-refunded bonds ranged from approximately $16 \%$ to $35 \%$ among $N Q M, N Q S, N Q U$ and NPF, with NQU having the heaviest weighting and NPF the smallest. NMZ held just over $1 \%$ of its portfolio in pre-refunded issues, while NMD did not hold any of these bonds. Since pre-refunded bonds tend to be shorter duration, higher quality bonds, they generally do not fit the profiles of longer-term, higher-yielding Funds such as NMZ and NMD.

Other market segments that detracted from performance included resource recovery, leasing, and electric utilities, the only three revenue sectors that failed to outperform the overall municipal market during this period. Many general obligation bonds also failed to keep pace with the overall municipal market.

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' CAPITAL STRUCTURES AND LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of these Funds relative to the comparative index was the Funds' use of financial leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total returns for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional risk-- especially when market conditions are unfavorable. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share returns during periods when bond prices generally are rising. Over the early part of this period, leverage hampered the performance of the funds using this strategy. However, leverage made a significant positive contribution to those Funds returns over much of 2009 , which can be seen in their twelve-month performances shown on page five.
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## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING THE FUNDS' LEVERAGED CAPITAL STRUCTURE

As noted in the last several shareholder reports, the auction rate preferred shares issued by many closed-end funds, including these Nuveen Funds, have been hampered by a lack of liquidity since February 2008. Since that time, more auction rate preferred shares have been submitted for sale in their regularly scheduled auctions than there have been offers to buy. In fact, offers to buy have been almost completely non-existent since late February 2008. This means that these auctions have "failed to clear," and that many, or all, of the auction rate preferred shareholders who wanted to sell their shares in these auctions were unable to do so. This lack of liquidity in auction rate preferred shares did not lower the credit quality of these shares, and auction rate preferred shareholders unable to sell their shares received distributions at the "maximum rate" applicable to failed auctions, as calculated in accordance with the pre-established terms of the auction rate preferred shares. In the recent market, with short-term rates at multi-generational lows, those maximum rates also have been low.

One continuing implication for common shareholders from the auction failures is that each Fund's cost of leverage likely has been incrementally higher at times than it otherwise might have been had the auctions continued to be successful. As a result, each Fund's common share earnings likely have been incrementally lower at times than they otherwise might have been.

As noted in past shareholder reports, the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees authorized a plan to use tender option bonds (TOBs), also known as floating rate securities, to refinance a portion of the Funds' outstanding auction rate preferred shares. The amount of TOBs that a Fund may use varies according to the composition of each Fund's portfolio. Some Funds have a greater ability to use TOBs than others. As of October 31, 2009, some Funds have issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares, but these issuances have been limited since it has been difficult to find liquidity facilities on economically viable terms given the constrained credit environment. Some Funds also have issued MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP), a fixed-rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory redemption period of five years. However, the funds cannot provide any assurance on when the remaining outstanding auction rate preferred shares might be redeemed.
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As of October 31, 2009, the amount of auction rate preferred securities redeemed by the Funds are as shown in the accompanying table. As noted previously, NMD is an unleveraged Fund. 3

|  | AUCTION RATE PREFERRED SHARES | \% OF ORIGINAL AUCTION RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUND | REDEEMED | PREFERRED SHARES |
| NQM | \$ 90,300,000 | $30.0 \%$ |
| NQS | \$ 27,725,000 | 9.9\% |
| NQU | \$ 65,125,000 | $14.4 \%$ |
| NPF | \$ 38,150,000 | 23.1 \% |
| NMZ | \$ 60,000,000 | 38.7\% |

As of October 31, 2009, 75 out of the 88 Nuveen closed-end municipal funds that had issued auction rate preferred shares have redeemed, at par, all or a portion of these shares. These redemptions bring the total amount of Nuveen's municipal closed-end funds' auction rate preferred share redemptions to approximately $\$ 2.4$ billion of the original \$11 billion outstanding.

For up-to-date information, please visit the Nuveen CEF Auction Rate Preferred Resource Center at: http://www. nuveen. com/ResourceCenter/AuctionRatePreferred.aspx.
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## Common Share Dividend and Share Price Information

During the twelve-month reporting period ended October 31, 2009, NQU and NPF each had three monthly dividend increases and NQM and NQS each had two increases. The dividends of NMZ and NMD remained stable throughout the reporting period.

Due to normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of the following Funds
received capital gains and/or net ordinary income distributions at the end of December 2008 as follows:


All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of October 31, 2009, all of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for both tax and financial statement purposes.

## COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES AND SHARE PRICE INFORMATION

As of October 31, 2009, NPF has cumulatively repurchased common shares as shown in the accompanying table. Since the inception of the Funds' repurchase program, NQM, NQS, NQU, NMZ and NMD have not repurchased any of their outstanding common shares.

|  | COMMON SHARES | \% OF OUTSTANDING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUND | REPURCHASED | COMMON SHARES |
| NPF | 202,500 | $1.0 \%$ |
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During the twelve-month reporting period, NPF's common shares were repurchased at a weighted average price and a weighted average discount per common share as shown in the accompanying table.

|  | WEIGHTED AVERAGE PRICE PER SHARE | WEIGHTED AVERAGE DISCOUNT PER SHARE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUND | REPURCHASED | REPURCHASED |
| NPF | \$ 10.51 | $17.21 \%$ |

As of October 31, 2009, the Funds' common share prices were trading at (+) premiums or (-) discounts to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

10/31/09 TWELVE-MONTH AVERAGE
FUND (+)PREMIUM/(-)DISCOUNT (+)PREMIUM/(-)DISCOUNT

| NQM | -7.92\% | -8.99\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NQS | -2.62\% | -4.99\% |
| NQU | -7.21\% | -7.42\% |


| NPF | $-10.53 \%$ | $-11.36 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NMZ | $+6.62 \%$ | $+11.74 \%$ |
| NMD | $+4.69 \%$ | $+10.89 \%$ |


| NQM Performance OVERVIEW \| Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. as of October 31, 2009 <br> FUND SNAPSHOT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Common Share Price | \$ | 13.13 |
| Common Share Net Asset Value | \$ | 14.26 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV |  | -7.92\% |
| Market Yield |  | 6. $40 \%$ |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield(1) |  | 8.89\% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000) |  | 510,910 |
| Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years) |  | 14.42 |
| Leverage-Adjusted Duration |  | 10.68 |


|  | ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Year | $31.77 \%$ | $24.35 \%$ |
| 5-Year | 3.23\% | $3.63 \%$ |
| 10-Year | $6.48 \%$ | $6.43 \%$ |

STATES
(as a of total investments)

California $13.4 \%$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { New York } & 10.6 \%\end{array}$

Texas $9.8 \%$
Illinois 6.9\%Minnesota$4.8 \%$
District of Columbia ..... $4.7 \%$
Washington ..... $3.8 \%$
Florida ..... $3.8 \%$
Georgia ..... $3.2 \%$
Michigan ..... $2.7 \%$Colorado$2.4 \%$
Wisconsin ..... $2.4 \%$Indiana$2.2 \%$
Massachusetts ..... $2.2 \%$
Nevada ..... $2.1 \%$
Pennsylvania ..... $1.9 \%$
Tennessee ..... $1.8 \%$
South Carolina ..... $1.7 \%$
Other ..... $19.6 \%$
PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION
(as a \% of total investments)
U.S. Guaranteed ..... $22.9 \%$
Health Care ..... $16.6 \%$
Tax Obligation/Limited ..... $14.5 \%$
Transportation ..... $10.9 \%$
Tax Obligation/General ..... $10.8 \%$
Water and Sewer ..... $7.3 \%$
Utilities ..... $5.7 \%$Other$11.3 \%$
CREDIT QUALITY (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
[PIE CHART]
AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed ..... 40\%
AA ..... 19\%
A ..... 26\%
BBB ..... 11\%
BB or Lower ..... 1\%
N/R ..... $3 \%$
2008-2009 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE (2)[BAR CHART]

| Nov | 0.0625 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dec | 0.0625 |
| Jan | 0.0625 |
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|  | 13.93 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 13.74 |
| $10 / 31 / 09$ | 13.17 |
| 13.21 |  |

(1) Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of $28 \%$. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
(2) The Fund paid shareholders capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2008 of $\$ 0.0320$ per share.
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```
NQS Performance OVERVIEW | Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. as of
October 31, 2009
CREDIT QUALITY (AS A % OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
```

[PIE CHART]

AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed $41 \%$
AA $14 \%$
A 25\%
BBB $\quad 17 \%$
$B B$ or Lower 2\%
$N / R \quad 1 \%$

2008-2009 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE
[BAR CHART]

| Nov | 0.0670 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dec | 0.0670 |
| Jan | 0.0670 |
| Feb | 0.0670 |
| Mar | 0.0670 |
| Apr | 0.0670 |
| Juy | 0.0740 |
| Jul | 0.0740 |
| Aug | 0.0740 |
| Sep | 0.0740 |
| Oct | 0.0750 |

COMMON SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE -- WEEKLY CLOSING PRICE
[LINE CHART]

Leverage-Adjusted Duration ..... 11.34
AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN
(Inception 3/21/91)

|  | ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Year | 34.19\% | $25.67 \%$ |
| 5-Year | $4.21 \%$ | $3.89 \%$ |
| 10-Year | $7.22 \%$ | $6.50 \%$ |

STATES
(as a of total investments)
Illinois ..... 9.8\%
Texas ..... 9. $6 \%$
Colorado$7.4 \%$
New York ..... 5. 5\%
New Jersey ..... $5.2 \%$
South Carolina ..... $5.1 \%$Michigan$4.4 \%$
Ohio ..... 4.1\%
Nevada ..... $3.8 \%$
California ..... $3.5 \%$
New Mexico ..... $3.3 \%$
North Carolina ..... $3.2 \%$
Washington ..... $3.0 \%$
Tennessee ..... $2.9 \%$
Utah ..... $2.7 \%$
Florida ..... $2.5 \%$
Indiana ..... $2.0 \%$
Arizona ..... 1. $9 \%$
Alabama ..... $1.9 \%$
Other ..... $18.2 \%$
U.S. Guaranteed ..... $27.5 \%$
Health Care ..... 14.8\%
Utilities ..... $14.5 \%$
Transportation ..... $13.0 \%$
Tax Obligation/Limited ..... 9.6\%
Tax Obligation/General ..... $8.0 \%$
Consumer Staples ..... 5.3\%
Other ..... 7.3\%
(1) Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of $28 \%$. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
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| NQU Performance OVERVIEW \| Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. as of October 31, 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FUND SNAPSHOT |  |  |
| Common Share Price | \$ | 13.26 |
| Common Share Net Asset Value | \$ | 14.29 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV |  | -7.21\% |
| Market Yield |  | 6.52\% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield(1) |  | 9.06\% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000) \$ 774,982 |  |  |
| Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years) |  | 13.74 |
| Leverage-Adjusted Duration |  | 9.98 |

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN
(Inception 6/19/91)

|  | ON SHARE PRICE | ON NAV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Year | 21.10\% | 19.58\% |
| 5-Year | 3.96\% | 3.83\% |
| 10-Year | 5.93\% | 6.12\% |

STATES
(as a \% of total investments)
Illinois ..... 10.2\%
New York ..... $10.0 \%$
Texas ..... 9.6\%
California ..... 8.9\%
Washington ..... 6.8\%
South Carolina ..... 5.2\%
Massachusetts ..... 4.7\%
Ohio ..... $3.7 \%$
Puerto Rico ..... 3. $6 \%$Colorado$3.4 \%$----$3.4 \%$New Jersey3.3\%Pennsylvania$2.7 \%$Louisiana$2.3 \%$--------$2.3 \%$
----oklahoma
Other ..... 19.9\%
PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION
(as a \% of total investments)
U.S. Guaranteed ..... 34.1\%
Tax Obligation/General ..... 15.3\%
Transportation ..... 11.9\%
Utilities ..... $10.9 \%$
Health Care ..... 8.4\%
Tax Obligation/Limited ..... 7. 3\%
Other ..... 12.1\%
CREDIT QUALITY (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
[PIE CHART]
AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed ..... 53\%
AA ..... 16\%
A ..... $22 \%$

```
BBB 7%
BB or Lower 1%
N/R 1%
```

2008-2009 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE
[BAR CHART]

| Nov | $\left.\begin{array}{l}0.0630 \\ \text { Dec } \\ \text { Jan } \\ \text { Feb } \\ \text { Mar } \\ \text { Apr } \\ \text { May } \\ \text { Jun } \\ \text { Jul }\end{array}\right) 0.0630$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Aug | 0.0630 |
| Sep | 0.0630 |
| Oct | 0.0650 |

COMMON SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE -- WEEKLY CLOSING PRICE
[LINE CHART]
$11 / 01 / 08$
$\$ \quad 11.24$
11.70
11.74
10.45
11.00
10.38
9.47
10.44
10.57
11.87
12.26
12.04
12.10
12.21
12.63
12.43
11.27
11.97
11.54
11.88
11.98
12.18
12.02
12.23
12.30
12.54
12.69
13.04
12.83
12.80
12.66
12.69
12.35
12.43
12.73
12.80
13.05

# 13.15 <br> 13.35 <br> 13.31 <br> 13.25 <br> 13.09 <br> 13.29 <br> 13.44 <br> 13.69 <br> 13.72 <br> 13.92 <br> 13.87 <br> 13.99 <br> 14.01 <br> 13.37 <br> 13.60 <br> $10 / 31 / 09 \quad 13.26$ 

(1) Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of $28 \%$. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
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```
NPF Performance OVERVIEW | Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. as of
October 31, 2009
CREDIT QUALITY (AS A % OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
```

[PIE CHART]

AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed 25\%
AA 32\%
A 30\%
BBB $12 \%$
BB or Lower $1 \%$

2008-2009 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE
[BAR CHART]

| Nov | 0.0575 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dec | ( |
| Jan | 0.0575 |
| Feb | 0.0575 |
| Mar | 0.0575 |
| Apr | 0.0590 |
| May | 0.0590 |
| Jun | 0.0630 |
| Jul | 0.0630 |
| Aug | 0.0630 |
| Sep | 0.0630 |
| Oct | 0.0660 |

COMMON SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE -- WEEKLY CLOSING PRICE
[LINE CHART]

| 11/01/08 | \$ | 9.93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10.72 |
|  |  | 10.22 |
|  |  | 9.15 |
|  |  | 9.46 |
|  |  | 8.63 |
|  |  | 8.24 |
|  |  | 9.18 |
|  |  | 9.61 |
|  |  | 10.34 |
|  |  | 11.13 |
|  |  | 11.49 |
|  |  | 11.22 |
|  |  | 11.18 |
|  |  | 11.74 |
|  |  | 11.56 |
|  |  | 10.63 |
|  |  | 11.09 |
|  |  | 10.49 |
|  |  | 10.86 |
|  |  | 10.96 |
|  |  | 11.17 |
|  |  | 11.34 |
|  |  | 11.23 |
|  |  | 11.44 |
|  |  | 11.61 |
|  |  | 11.68 |
|  |  | 11.87 |
|  |  | 12.04 |
|  |  | 12.01 |
|  |  | 11.96 |
|  |  | 12.01 |
|  |  | 11.57 |
|  |  | 11.60 |
|  |  | 11.67 |
|  |  | 11.64 |
|  |  | 11.79 |
|  |  | 11.98 |
|  |  | 12.02 |
|  |  | 12.22 |
|  |  | 12.25 |
|  |  | 12.24 |
|  |  | 12.65 |
|  |  | 12.53 |
|  |  | 12.76 |
|  |  | 13.01 |
|  |  | 13.31 |
|  |  | 13.38 |
|  |  | 13.42 |
|  |  | 13.18 |
|  |  | 12.66 |
|  |  | 12.67 |
| 10/31/09 |  | 12.40 |
| FUND SNAPSHOT |  |  |
| Common Share Price | \$ | 12.40 |
| Common Share Net Asset Value | \$ | 13.86 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV |  | -10.53\% |

Market Yield ..... $6.39 \%$
Taxable-Equivalent Yield(1) ..... $8.88 \%$
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000) ..... \$ 275,671
Average Effective Maturity on Securities (Years) ..... 14.09
Leverage-Adjusted Duration ..... 10.97AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN(Inception 12/19/91)

|  | ON SHARE PRICE |
| :--- | ---: |

STATES
(as a \% of total investments)
California ..... $12.7 \%$
New York ..... $12.2 \%$
Colorado ..... $7.0 \%$
Illinois ..... $6.6 \%$
South Carolina ..... $5.1 \%$
Arizona ..... 4. 7\%
Texas ..... $4.6 \%$
Washington ..... $4.1 \%$
Louisiana ..... $3.9 \%$
Wisconsin ..... $3.7 \%$
New Jersey ..... $3.4 \%$
Minnesota ..... $2.8 \%$
Georgia ..... $2.7 \%$
North Carolina ..... $2.6 \%$
Michigan ..... $2.4 \%$
Indiana ..... $2.1 \%$
Other ..... $19.4 \%$
PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

STATES
(as a \% of total investments) (1)
Florida ..... $9.2 \%$
Indiana ..... $8.0 \%$
California ..... $7.5 \%$
Texas ..... $7.3 \%$
Illinois ..... $6.2 \%$
Colorado ..... 5.1\%
Wisconsin ..... $4.7 \%$
Arizona ..... $4.7 \%$
Louisiana ..... $4.4 \%$
Ohio ..... $3.8 \%$
Tennessee ..... $3.7 \%$Washington$3.2 \%$
Michigan ..... $3.1 \%$Nebraska$2.5 \%$
Missouri ..... $2.2 \%$
------------
$2.0 \%$
Pennsylvania
North Carolina ..... $2.0 \%$
Virgin Islands ..... 1.9\%
Other ..... $18.5 \%$
PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION
(as a of total investments) (1)
Health Care ..... $23.5 \%$
Tax Obligation/Limited ..... $19.3 \%$
Utilities ..... $8.5 \%$
Education and Civic Organizations ..... $7.0 \%$
Housing/Multifamily ..... $6.8 \%$
Water and Sewer ..... $6.7 \%$
Transportation ..... $6.2 \%$
Materials ..... $5.1 \%$

```
Industrials 4.6%
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Other 12.3%
```

CREDIT QUALITY (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (1)
[PIE CHART]
AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed 9\%
AA $4 \%$
A 8\%
BBB 25\%
BB or Lower 13\%
$N / R \quad 41 \%$
2008-2009 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE (3)
[BAR CHART]

| Nov | 0.0835 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dec | 0.0835 |
| Jan | 0.0835 |
| Feb | 0.0835 |
| Mar | 0.0835 |
| Apr | 0.0835 |
| Jun | 0.0835 |
| Jul | 0.0835 |
| Aug | 0.0835 |
| Sep | 0.0835 |
| Oct | 0.0835 |

COMMON SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE -- WEEKLY CLOSING PRICE
[LINE CHART]
$11 / 01 / 08 \quad \$ 10.91$
11.25
9.97
8.17
9.27
8.14
7.37
7.83
8.17
9.15
10.33
9.95
10.57
10.44
10.36
10.15
10.04
10.19
8.86
9.46
9.91
10.30
10.37
10.13
9.70
10.10
10.49
10.62
10.41
10.59
10.92
11.44
10.85
10.51
10.35
10.52
10.63
10.59
10.85
11.39
11.01
10.96
11.04
11.20
11.56
12.10
12.48
12.75
12.99
12.87
12.30
12.71
$10 / 31 / 0911.92$
(1) Excluding investments in derivatives.
(2) Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of $28 \%$. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
(3) The Fund paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution in December 2008 of $\$ 0.0391$ per share.
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NMD Performance OVERVIEW | Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 as of October 31, 2009
CREDIT QUALITY (AS A \% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) (1)
[PIE CHART]

AAA/U.S.
Guaranteed $1 \%$
AA $11 \%$
A $7 \%$
BBB $28 \%$
$B B$ or Lower $\quad 14 \%$
$N / R \quad 39 \%$

2008-2009 MONTHLY TAX-FREE DIVIDENDS PER COMMON SHARE
[BAR CHART]

| Nov | 0.0800 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Dec | 0.0800 |
| Jan | 0.0800 |
| Feb | 0.0800 |
| Mar | 0.0800 |
| Apr | 0.0800 |
| May | 0.0800 |
| Jun | 0.0800 |
| Jul | 0.0800 |
| Aug | 0.0800 |
| Sep | 0.0800 |
| Oct | 0.0800 |

COMMON SHARE PRICE PERFORMANCE -- WEEKLY CLOSING PRICE
[LINE CHART]
$11 / 01 / 08$ \$ 10.09
10.25
9.91
8.20
8.95
8.11
7.12
7.76
8.28
8.00
9.99
10.28
10.11
9.36
10.13
9.90
9.38
9.49
8.34
9.02
9.02
9.42
9.99
9.85
9.28
9.47
9.99
10.36
10.12
10.01
10.24
10.96
10.55
10.16
10.21
10.26
10.36
10.47
10.52
10.88
11.05
10.78

Utah ..... $3.2 \%$
Indiana ..... $2.8 \%$
North Carolina ..... $2.6 \%$
Tennessee ..... $2.6 \%$
Ohio ..... $2.5 \%$
Other ..... $18.7 \%$
PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION
(as a \% of total investments) (1)
Health Care ..... $23.9 \%$
Tax Obligation/Limited ..... $21.1 \%$
Education and Civic Organizations ..... $14.7 \%$
Utilities ..... $7.5 \%$
Transportation ..... $6.1 \%$Tat$5.1 \%$
Consumer
Consumer Discretionary ..... $4.9 \%$
Consumer Staples ..... $4.7 \%$
Other ..... $12.0 \%$
(1) Excluding investments in derivatives.
(2) Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of $28 \%$. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

NQM NQS NQU | Shareholder Meeting Report

The annual meeting of shareholders was held on July 28, 2009, in the Lobby Conference Room, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote on the election of Board Members, the elimination of Fundamental Investment Policies and the approval of new Fundamental Investment Policies.3 The meeting for NQM, NQS, NQU, NPF and NMZ was subsequently adjourned to September 1, 2009, and then adjourned to October 13, 2009, for NQM, NQS, NQU and NPF; NQS additionally adjourned to November 24, 2009 .


TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE
FUND'S FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO
INVESTMENTS IN MUNICIPAL SECURITIES
AND BELOW INVESTMENT GRADE SECURITIES.

| For | 17,720,947 | 3,407 | 17,064,272 | 5,43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,232,689 | 389 | 910,131 | 5 |
| Abstain | 489,432 | 57 | 738,310 | 2 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 5,039,813 | 773 | 4,726,426 | 8 |
| Total | 24,482,881 | 4,626 | 23,439,139 | 7,15 |
| TO APPROVE THE NEW FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO INVESTMENTS IN MUNICIPAL SECURITIES FOR THE FUND. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| For | 17,798,328 | 3,449 | 17,097,665 | 5,50 |
| Against | 1,100,466 | 357 | 836,303 | 5 |
| Abstain | 544,274 | 47 | 778,745 | 2 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 5,039,813 | 773 | 4,726,426 | 8 |
| Total | 24,482,881 | 4,626 | 23,439,139 | 7,15 |

TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE
FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO
INVESTING IN OTHER INVESTMENT
COMPANIES.

| For | 17,421,677 | 3,431 | 16,878,369 | 5,4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,285,661 | 357 | 973,240 | 58 |
| Abstain | 735,729 | 65 | 861,104 | 2 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 5,039,814 | 773 | 4,726,426 | 8 |
| Total | 24,482,881 | 4,626 | 23,439,139 | 7,15 |

TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE
FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO
DERIVATIVES AND SHORT SALES.

| For | 17,282,876 | 3,440 | 16,862,747 | 5,4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,431,771 | 342 | 1,060,825 | 5 |
| Abstain | 728,420 | 71 | 789,141 | 2 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 5,039,814 | 773 | 4,726,426 | 8 |
| Total | 24,482,881 | 4,626 | 23,439,139 | 7,1 |

TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE
FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO
COMMODITIES.

| For | 17,298,576 | 3,426 | 16,853,977 | 5,43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,394,922 | 362 | 994,451 | 5 |
| Abstain | 749,569 | 65 | 864,285 | 2 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 5,039,814 | 773 | 4,726,426 | 8 |
| Total | 24,482,881 | 4,626 | 23,439,139 | 7,15 |

$========================================$
TO APPROVE THE NEW FUNDAMENTAL POLICY
RELATING TO COMMODITIES.

| For | 17,283,573 | 3,431 | 16,830,521 | 5,42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,413,162 | 357 | 1,022,139 | 60 |
| Abstain | 746,332 | 65 | 860,053 | 24 |
| Broker Non-Votes | 5,039,814 | 773 | 4,726,426 | 87 |
| Total | 24,482,881 | 4,626 | 23,439,139 | 7,15 |
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NQM NQS


APPROVAL OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WAS
REACHED AS FOLLOWS:


David J. Kundert
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NPF NMZ NMD | Shareholder Meeting Report (continued)


TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE FUND'S FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO
INVESTMENTS IN MUNICIPAL SECURITIES AND BELOW INVESTMENT GRADE SECURITIES.

| For | 10,902,126 | 2,870 | 11,937,553 | 1,61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,368,514 | 532 | 738,356 | 3 |
| Abstain | 422,350 | 101 | 361,876 |  |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,387,312 | -- | 3,354,054 | 19 |
| Total | 15,080,302 | 3,503 | 16,391,839 | 2,16 |


TO APPROVE THE NEW FUNDAMENTAL POLICY
RELATING TO INVESTMENTS IN MUNICIPAL
SECURITIES FOR THE FUND.

| For | 10,975,776 | 2,994 | 12,034,542 | 1,66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,322,490 | 426 | 627,550 | 25 |
| Abstain | 394,724 | 83 | 375,693 |  |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,387,312 | -- | 3, 354, 054 | 19 |
| Total | 15,080,302 | 3,503 | 16,391,839 | 2,16 |

TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE
FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO
INVESTING IN OTHER INVESTMENT
COMPANIES.

| For | 10,884,761 | 2,990 | -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Against | 1,319,136 | 431 | -- |
| Abstain | 489,093 | 82 | -- |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,387,312 | -- | -- |
| Total | 15,080,302 | 3,503 | -- |
| TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| DERIVATIVES AND SHORT SALES. |  |  |  |
| For | 10,741,852 | 2,994 | -- |
| Against | 1,416,885 | 426 | - |
| Abstain | 534,253 | 83 | - |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,387,312 | -- | -- |
| Total | 15,080,302 | 3,503 | - |
| TO APPROVE THE ELIMINATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO COMMODITIES. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| For | 10,220,848 | 2,988 | -- |
| Against | 1,968,465 | 431 | -- |
| Abstain | 503,677 | 84 | - |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,387,312 | -- | - |
| Total | 15,080,302 | 3,503 | -- |
| TO APPROVE THE NEW FUNDAMENTAL POLICY RELATING TO COMMODITIES. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| For | 10,154,786 | 2,988 | - |
| Against | 2,000,498 | 431 | -- |
| Abstain | 537,706 | 84 | -- |
| Broker Non-Votes | 2,387,312 | -- | -- |
| Total | 15,080,302 | 3,503 | - |
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Robert P. Bremner

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form N-CSR


Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS/TRUSTEES AND SHAREHOLDERS NUVEEN INVESTMENT QUALITY MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUVEEN SELECT QUALITY MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUVEEN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. NUVEEN PREMIER MUNICIPAL INCOME FUND, INC. NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND 2

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc., Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund, and Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 (the "Funds") as of October 31, 2009, and the related statements of operations, changes in net assets, of cash flows (Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc., and Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 only) and the financial highlights for the periods indicated therein. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31,2009 , by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc., Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund and Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 at October 31, 2009, the results of their operations, changes in their net assets, and cash flows (Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc., and Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 only) and the financial highlights for the periods indicated therein in conformity with US generally accepted accounting principles.

Ernst \& Young LLP
Chicago, Illinois
December 28, 2009
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NQM | Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc.
| Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009


```
4,285 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue
    Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006, 5.000%,
    4/01/37
1,800 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue
        Bonds, Sutter Health, Tender Option Bond Trust 3175,
        13.899%, 11/15/42 (IF)
    California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue
    Bonds, Daughters of Charity Health System, Series 2005A:
1,000 5.250%, 7/01/30
2,000 5.000%, 7/01/39
5,500 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue
    7/15 at 100
11/16 at 100
```
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NQM | Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)
| Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,900 | CALIFORNIA (continued) <br> Chula Vista, California, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Series 1996A, 5. 300\%, 7/01/21 |
| 2,530 | Commerce Joint Power Financing Authority, California, Tax <br> Allocation Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Projects 2 and <br> 3, Series 2003A, 5.000\%, 8/01/28 - RAAI Insured |
| 145 | Commerce Joint Power Financing Authority, California, Tax <br> Allocation Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Projects 2 and <br> 3, Series 2003A, 5.000\%, 8/01/28 (Pre-refunded 8/01/13) - <br> RAAI Insured <br> Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-1: |
| 3,000 | 5.000\%, 6/01/33 |
| 610 | 5.125\%, 6/01/47 |
| 1,000 | 5.750\%, 6/01/47 |
| 9,740 | Huntington Park Redevelopment Agency, California, Single Family Residential Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1986A, 8.000\%, 12/01/19 (ETM) |
| 2,700 | M-S-R Energy Authority, California, Gas Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 7.000\%, 11/01/34 |
| 1,030 | Natomas Union School District, Sacramento County, California, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1999, 5.950\%, 9/01/21 - NPFG Insured |
| 15,770 | Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, San Bernardino County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project 1, Series 1995, 7.400\%, 8/01/25 - NPFG Insured |
| 13,145 | Perris, California, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1988B, 8. $200 \%$, 9/01/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM) |
| 3,415 | Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2004, 5.875\%, 7/01/26 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) |
| 5,000 | Riverside Unified School District, Riverside County, |

$6 / 14$ at 102
$8 / 13$ at 100
$8 / 13$ at 100
$6 / 17$ at 100
$6 / 17$ at 100
$6 / 17$ at 100
No Opt. C

No Opt. Ca

No Opt. C

No Opt. C

No Opt. Ca
$7 / 14$ at 100
$2 / 12$ at 101

\author{
California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.000\%, 2/01/27 - FGIC Insured <br> San Diego County, California, Certificates of Participation Burnham Institute, Series 2006: <br> $250 \quad 5.000 \%$, 9/01/21 <br> $9 / 15$ at 102 <br> $$
275 \quad 5.000 \%, 9 / 01 / 23
$$ <br> $9 / 15$ at 102 <br> 660 <br> San Francisco Redevelopment Finance Authority, California, $8 / 19$ at 100 Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Mission Bay North Redevelopment Project, Series 2009, 6.500\%, 8/01/39 <br> 5,000 San Francisco Unified School District, California, General <br> $6 / 17$ at 100 <br> Obligation Bonds, Series 2007A, 3.000\%, 6/15/25-FSA Insured <br> San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Orange County, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A: <br> 6,175 0.000\%, 1/15/28 - NPFG Insured <br> No Opt. Ca <br> No Opt. C <br> No Opt. C <br> $1 / 10$ at 100 <br> \[
17,1950.000 \%, 1 / 15 / 35-NPFG Insured

\] <br> 1,000 Sierra View Local Health Care District, Tulare County, California, Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1998, 5.400\%, 7/01/22 <br> 3,185 University of California, General Revenue Bonds, Series <br> $5 / 13$ at 101 2005G, 4.750\%, 5/15/31 - NPFG Insured <br> \section*{122,195 Total California} <br> COLORADO - 3.8\% (2.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> 1,000 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, 6/16 at 100 Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Society, Series 2005, 5.000\%, 6/01/29 <br> 12,450 Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue <br> $11 / 10$ at 100 Refunding Bonds, Series 2000A, 6.000\%, 11/15/19 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> 14,500 E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Series 2004B, $0.000 \%$, $3 / 01 / 36$ - NPFG Insured <br> 3,000 Park Creek Metropolitan District, Colorado, Senior Property Tax Supported Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 6.250\%, 12/01/30 - AGC Insured <br> | 30,950 | Total Colorado |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - 7.3\% (4.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| 23,745 | District of Columbia Water and Sewerage Authority, Public | $4 / 10$ at 100 | Utility Revenue Bonds, Series 1998, 5.500\%, 10/01/23 - FSA Insured (UB)

}
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (continued)
\$ 3,000 District of Columbia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998B, 6.000\%, 6/01/16 - NPFG Insured

15,950 District of Columbia, Revenue Bonds, Georgetown University, Series 2001A, $0.000 \%$, 4/01/31 (Pre-refunded 4/01/11) - NPFG
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NQM | Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)
| Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009

## PRINCIPAL <br> AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

| 4,705 | Bourbonnais, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, Olivet Nazarene <br> University, Series 2000, 6.250\%, 3/01/20 (Pre-refunded 3/01/10) - RAAI Insured | $3 / 10$ at 101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,775 | Chicago Public Building Commission, Illinois, General Obligation Lease Bonds, Chicago Transit Authority, Series 2003, 5.250\%, 3/01/23 (Pre-refunded 3/01/13) - AMBAC Insured | $3 / 13$ at 100 |
| 2,110 | Illinois Development Finance Authority, Local Government Program Revenue Bonds, DuPage and Cook Counties Community Unit School District 205 - Elmhurst, Series 2000, 6.000\%, 1/01/19 (Pre-refunded 1/01/11) - FSA Insured | $1 / 11$ at 100 |
| 1,120 | Illinois Finance Authority Revenue Bonds, Rush University <br> Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 2009C, 6.625\%, 11/01/39 <br> Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, OSF Healthcare System, Series 2004: | $5 / 19$ at 100 |
| 2,500 | 5.250\%, 11/15/21 | $5 / 14$ at 100 |
| 1,000 | 5.250\%, 11/15/22 | $5 / 14$ at 100 |
| 395 | Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Proctor Hospital, Series 2006, 5.125\%, 1/01/25 | $1 / 16$ at 100 |
| 1,000 | ```Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Provena Health, Series 2009A, 7.750%, 8/15/34 Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers, Series 2009:``` | $8 / 19$ at 100 |
| 2,000 | 6.875\%, 8/15/38 | $8 / 19$ at 100 |
| 3,000 | 7.000\%, 8/15/44 | $8 / 19$ at 100 |
| 2,600 | Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Condell <br> Medical Center, Series 2002, 5.500\%, 5/15/32 (Pre-refunded 5/15/12) | $5 / 12$ at 100 |
| 12,725 | Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties School District 46, Elgin, Illinois, General Obligation School Bonds, Series 1997, 7.800\%, 1/01/12 - FSA Insured | No Opt. C |
| 5,000 | Madison County Community Unit School District 7, Edwardsville, Illinois, School Building Bonds, Series 1994, 5.850\%, 2/01/13 - FGIC Insured (ETM) | No Opt. C |
| 6,015 | Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series | No Opt. C | Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1996A, 0.000\%, 12/15/21 - NPFG Insured

```
    Will County High School District 204, Joliet, Illinois,
    General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001:
    1,145 8.700%,12/01/13 - FSA Insured
    1,300 8.700%,12/01/14 - FSA Insured
    No Opt. Ca
    No Opt. Ca
    1,180 Will County School District 17, Channahon, Illinois, General
        Obligation School Building Bonds, Series 2001, 8.400%,
        12/01/13 - AMBAC Insured
    52,570 Total Illinois
        INDIANA - 3.5% (2.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
        5,530 Allen County Jail Building Corporation, Indiana, First 4/11 at 101
        Mortgage Bonds, Series 2000, 5.750%, 4/01/20 (Pre-refunded
        4/01/11)
        1,000 Indiana Finance Authority Health System Revenue Bonds Series 11/19 at 100
        2009A (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services, Inc.
        Obligated Group), 5.250%, 11/01/39 (WI/DD, Settling
        11/05/09)
    1,880 Indianapolis, Indiana, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily 7/10 at 102
        Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Cloverleaf Apartments
        Project Phase I, Series 2000, 6.000%, 1/20/31
    2,495 Shelbyville, Indiana, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing 7/10 at 102
        Revenue Bonds, Blueridge Terrace Project, Series 2000,
        6.050%, 1/20/36
        St. Joseph County Hospital Authority, Indiana, Revenue Bonds,
        Madison Center Inc., Series 2005:
    1,550 5.250%, 2/15/23 2/15 at 100
    2,500 5.375%, 2/15/34 2/15 at 100
    2,765 Wayne County Jail Holding Corporation, Indiana, First
        Mortgage Bonds, Series 2001, 5.750%, 7/15/14 (Pre-refunded
        1/15/13) - AMBAC Insured
        17,720 Total Indiana
        IOWA - 1.3% (0.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
        800 Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds, Iowa 8/19 at 100
                Health System, Series 2009, 5.625%, 8/15/37 - AGC Insured
            8,000 Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Asset Backed Settlement
                Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 5.500%, 6/01/42
            8,800 Total Iowa
```

OPTIONAL CA
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

PROVISIONS

KANSAS - $1.0 \%$ (0.6\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
\$
1,000 Kansas Development Finance Authority, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds, Hays Medical Center Inc., Series 2005L, 5.000\%, 11/15/22

425 Sedgwick and Shawnee Counties, Kansas, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A-1, 6.950\%, 6/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,865 Topeka, Kansas, Industrial Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sunwest

| 4,290 | Total Kansas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,000 510 | KENTUCKY - 0.5\% (0.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Jefferson County, Kentucky, Health Facilities Revenue <br> Refunding Bonds, Jewish Hospital HealthCare Services Inc., <br> Series 1996, 5.700\%, 1/01/21 - AMBAC Insured <br> Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Kentucky, Industrial Building Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Mercy of the Americas, Series 2006, 5.000\%, 10/01/35 | $1 / 10$ at 100 <br> $10 / 16$ at 100 |
| 2,510 | Total Kentucky |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}625 \\ \\ 480 \\ 695 \\ 300 \\ 1,000 \\ \\ 3,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | LOUISIANA - $1.7 \%$ (1.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> East Baton Rouge Mortgage Finance Authority, Louisiana, <br> GNMA/FNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Family <br> Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997D, 5.900\%, <br> 10/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> Jefferson Parish Home Mortgage Authority, Louisiana, Single <br> Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000G-2: <br> $5.550 \%$, 6/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> $6.300 \%$, 6/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> Jefferson Parish Home Mortgage Authority, Louisiana, Single <br> Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2000A-2, 7.500\%, 12/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities \& Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Westlake Chemical Corporation Project, Series 2007, 6.750\%, 11/01/32 <br> Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue <br> Bonds, Franciscan Missionaries of Our Lady Health System, Series 2005A, 5.250\%, 8/15/31 <br> Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Ochsner Clinic Foundation Project, Series 2007A, 5.500\%, 5/15/47 | $4 / 10$ at 101 <br> $12 / 10$ at 102 <br> $12 / 10$ at 102 $12 / 09$ at 103 <br> $11 / 17$ at 100 <br> $8 / 15$ at 100 <br> $5 / 17$ at 100 |
| 8,600 | Total Louisiana |  |
| 2,500 | MARYLAND - $0.5 \%$ ( $0.3 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, <br> Revenue Bonds, MedStar Health, Series 2004, 5.375\%, 8/15/24 | 8/14 at 100 |
| 4,795 1,105 | MASSACHUSETTS - $3.5 \%$ (2.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Massachusetts Development Financing Authority, Assisted <br> Living Revenue Bonds, Prospect House Apartments, Series $1999,7.000 \%, 12 / 01 / 31$ <br> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, <br> Revenue Bonds, Caritas Christi Obligated Group, Series <br> 1999A, 5.625\%, 7/01/20 | $12 / 09 \text { at } 102$ $1 / 11 \text { at } 100$ |
| 1,875 2,030 | Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, UMass Memorial Health Care, Series 2001C, 6.500\%, 7/01/21 <br> Massachusetts Industrial Finance Agency, Resource Recovery <br> Revenue Refunding Bonds, Ogden Haverhill Project, Series <br> 1998A, 5.600\%, 12/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 11 \text { at } 100 \\ & 12 / 09 \text { at } 101 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5,100 | Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000\%, 8/15/23 - FSA Insured (UB) | $8 / 15$ at 100 |
| 3,120 | Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, General Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond, Series 2007A, 4.500\%, 8/01/46 FSA Insured (UB) | $2 / 17$ at 100 |



| 2,275 | MISSISSIPPI - 0.5\% (0.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Mississippi Hospital Equipment and Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Baptist Memorial Healthcare, Series 2004B-1, 5.000\%, 9/01/24 (UB) | $9 / 14$ at 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2,000 \\ 200 \\ 1,000 \\ \\ \\ \\ 1,580 \\ 2,985 \end{array}$ | MISSOURI - $1.7 \%$ (1.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Hanley Road Corridor Transportation Development District, Brentwood and Maplewood, Missouri, Transportation Sales Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.875\%, 10/01/36 <br> Hannibal Industrial Development Authority, Missouri, Health <br> Facilities Revenue Bonds, Hannibal Regional Hospital, <br> Series 2006, 5.000\%, 3/01/22 <br> Jackson County Reorganized School District R-7, Lees Summit, <br> Missouri, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250\%, <br> 3/01/26 - NPFG Insured <br> Missouri Development Finance Board, Infrastructure Facilities Revenue Bonds, Branson Landing Project, Series 2005A: $\begin{array}{ll} 6.000 \% & 6 / 01 / 20 \\ 5.000 \%, & 6 / 01 / 35 \end{array}$ <br> Missouri Development Finance Board. Infrastructure Facilities Revenue Bonds, City of Independence, Missouri - Events Center Project, Series 2009F, 6.250\%, 4/01/38 | $10 / 19 \text { at } 100 .$ <br> $3 / 16$ at 100. <br> $3 / 16$ at 100. <br> No Opt. Ca $6 / 15$ at 100. $4 / 14$ at 100. |
| 8,490 | Total Missouri |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 11,215 \\ 1,595 \end{array}$ | NEBRASKA - $2.4 \%$ (1.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Lincoln, Nebraska, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series <br> 2007A, 4.500\%, 9/01/37 - FGIC Insured (UB) <br> NebHelp Inc., Nebraska, Revenue Bonds, Student Loan Program, Series 1993B, 5.875\%, 6/01/14 - NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 17 \text { at } 100 \\ & 3 / 10 \text { at } 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12,810 | Total Nebraska |  |
| 11,000 14,530 | NEVADA - 3.3\% (2.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Clark County School District, Nevada, General Obligation <br> Bonds, Series 2002C, 5.500\%, 6/15/19 (Pre-refunded <br> 6/15/12) - NPFG Insured <br> Director of Nevada State Department of Business and Industry, <br> Revenue Bonds, Las Vegas Monorail Project, First Tier, <br> Series 2000, 5.625\%, 1/01/34 - AMBAC Insured | $\begin{aligned} & 6 / 12 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 1 / 10 \text { at } 102 . \end{aligned}$ |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS



| 1,000 | NEW MEXICO - 0.6\% (0.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Albuquerque, New Mexico, Gross Receipts Lodgers Tax Revenue <br> Refunding Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000\%, 7/01/37 - FSA <br> Insured <br> Farmington, New Mexico, Hospital Revenue Bonds, San Juan Regional Medical Center Inc., Series 2004A: | 7/14 at 100. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 880 | 5.125\%, 6/01/17 | $6 / 14$ at 100. |
| 1,295 | 5.125\%, 6/01/19 | $6 / 14$ at 100. |
| 3,175 | Total New Mexico |  |

NEW YORK - 16.5\% (10.6\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
1,665 Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, State Personal Income Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005F, 5.000\%, 3/15/24 AMBAC Insured
4,055 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corporation, New York, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 4.500\%, 2/15/47 - NPFG Insured
3,000 Long Island Power Authority, New York, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2006F, 4.250\%, 5/01/33 - NPFG Insured
1,000 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York, Dedicated Tax Fund Bonds, Series 2009B, 5.000\%, 11/15/34
2,250 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York, Transportation Revenue Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000\%, 11/15/30 - AMBAC Insured
3,200 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York, Transportation Revenue Bonds, Series 2005F, 5.000\%, 11/15/30
7,800 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, New York, Water and Sewerage System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal Series 2005B, 5.000\%, 6/15/28 - AMBAC Insured
500 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, New York, Water and Sewerage System Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 3484, 17.805\%, 6/15/39 (IF)
$2 / 17$ at 100
$3 / 15$ at 100
$11 / 16$ at 100
$11 / 19$ at 100
$11 / 15$ at 100
$11 / 15$ at 100
$12 / 14$ at 100
$6 / 19$ at 100

5,570 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, New York,<br>$2 / 14$ at 100<br>Future Tax Secured Bonds, Fiscal Series 2004C, 5.000\%, 2/01/22 (UB)<br>1,745 New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal<br>$6 / 13$ at 100 Series 2003J, 5.500\%, 6/01/20
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NQM | Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)
| Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000)
DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

|  |  | NEW YORK (continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 3,255 | New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 2003J, 5.500\%, 6/01/20 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13) | $6 / 13$ at 100. |
|  | 4,200 | New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 2005J, 5.000\%, 3/01/25 | $3 / 15$ at 100. |
|  | 7,000 | New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal Series 2005M, 5.000\%, 4/01/24 (UB) | $4 / 15$ at 100. |
|  | 5,000 | New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Series $2004 \mathrm{C}-1,5.250 \%$, 8/15/20 (UB) | $8 / 14$ at 100. |
|  | 5,000 | New York State Municipal Bond Bank Agency, Special School Purpose Revenue Bonds, Series 2003C, 5.250\%, 12/01/19 | $6 / 13$ at 100. |
|  | 5,400 | New York State Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed and State Contingency Contract-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 5.500\%, 6/01/16 | $6 / 10$ at 100. |
|  | 4,205 | New York State Urban Development Corporation, State Personal Income Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A-1, 5.000\%, 3/15/23 <br> - FGIC Insured | $3 / 14$ at 100 |
|  | 16,445 | Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Special Project Bonds, JFK International Air Terminal LLC, Sixth Series 1997, 7.000\%, 12/01/12 - NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | No Opt. Ca |

81,290 Total New York

NORTH CAROLINA - $1.6 \%$ (1.0\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
7,420 North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Health System Revenue $10 / 11$ at 101 Bonds, Mission St. Joseph's Health System, Series 2001, 5.250\%, 10/01/26 (Pre-refunded 10/01/11)

```
    OHIO - 1.3% (0.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
    Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco
    Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien,
    Series 2007A-2:
    3,155 5.125%, 6/01/24 6/17 at 100
        530 5.875%, 6/01/30 6/17 at 100
        525 5.750%, 6/01/34
    1,180 5.875%, 6/01/47
    1,000 6.500%, 6/01/47
        8 0 0 ~ O h i o ~ A i r ~ Q u a l i t y ~ D e v e l o p m e n t ~ A u t h o r i t y , ~ O h i o , ~ A i r ~ Q u a l i t y
        Revenue Bonds, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation Project,
        Series 2009E, Non-AMT, 5.625%, 10/01/19
            250 Port of Greater Cincinnati Development Authority, Ohio,
```

$6 / 17$ at 100
$6 / 17$ at 100
$6 / 17$ at 100
$6 / 17$ at 100
No Opt. Ca

```
\(10 / 16\) at 100
```
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```
NQM | Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)
    | Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009
```

        PRINCIPAL
    AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
    OPTIONAL C
PROVISIONS


Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000\%, 2/15/36 (UB)<br>3,030 Tarrant County Health Facilities Development Corporation, $12 / 10$ at 105 Texas, GNMA Collateralized Mortgage Loan Revenue Bonds, Eastview Nursing Home, Ebony Lake Nursing Center, Ft. Stockton Nursing Center, Lynnhaven Nursing Center and Mission Oaks Manor, Series 2000A-1, 7.500\%, 12/20/22<br>650 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corporation I, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008D, 6.250\%, 12/15/26<br>Texas Turnpike Authority, First Tier Revenue Bonds, Central Texas Turnpike System, Series 2002A:<br>10,000 $0.000 \%$, 8/15/21 - AMBAC Insured<br>12,000 $0.000 \%$, 8/15/23 - AMBAC Insured<br>2,500 Tomball Hospital Authority, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds,<br>No Opt. C<br>No Opt. Ca<br>$7 / 15$ at 100<br>\section*{97,357 Total Texas}<br>No Opt. Ca
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OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

| \$ | 820 | ```VIRGIN ISLANDS - 0.2% (0.1% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Revenue Loan Note - Diageo Project, Series 2009A, 6.750%, 10/01/37``` | $10 / 19$ at 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 1,870 \end{aligned}$ | VIRGINIA - 0.5\% (0.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Amherst Industrial Development Authority, Virginia, Revenue <br> Bonds, Sweet Briar College, Series 2006, 5.000\%, 9/01/26 <br> Virginia Beach Development Authority, Virginia, Multifamily <br> Residential Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Hamptons and Hampton Court Apartments, Series 1999, 7.500\%, 10/01/39 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 16 \text { at } 100 \\ & 10 / 14 \text { at } 102 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2,870 | Total Virginia |  |
|  | 11,345 | WASHINGTON - 6.0\% (3.8\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Chelan County Public Utility District 1, Washington, Columbia River-Rock Island Hydro-Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 0.000\%, 6/01/19 - NPFG Insured | No Opt. |
|  | 17,075 | Port of Seattle, Washington, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000B, 5.750\%, 12/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (UB) | $12 / 10$ at 100 |
|  | 5,000 | Port of Seattle, Washington, Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.625\%, 4/01/17 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) (UB) | 10/11 at 100 |
|  | 1,000 | Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Northwest Hospital and Medical Center of Seattle, Series 2007, 5.700\%, 12/01/32 | No Opt. |

34,420 Total Washington
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## PRINCIPAL

AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS


```
Floating Rate Obligations - (18.3)%
Other Assets Less Liabilities - 3.3%
Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (41.2)% (5)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%
```

(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
(2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm) : Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
(3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard \& Poor's Group ("Standard \& Poor's") or Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard \& Poor's or Baa by Moody's are considered to be below investment grade.
(4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
(5) Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is $26.4 \%$.

N/R Not rated.

WI/DD Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.
(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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| 5,155 | Revenue Bonds, Coffee Health Group, Series 2000A, 6.000\%, 7/01/29 - NPFG Insured <br> Phenix City Industrial Development Board, Alabama, Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, MeadWestvaco Corporation, Series 2002A, 6.350\%, 5/15/35 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $5 / 12$ at 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15,155 | Total Alabama |  |
| 500 2,000 2,315 | ALASKA - 1.0\% (0.6\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, General Housing Purpose Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000\%, 12/01/26 - FGIC Insured (UB) Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska, Revenue Bonds, Central Kenai Peninsula Hospital Service Area, Series 2003, 5.000\%, 8/01/23 - FGIC Insured <br> Northern Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Alaska, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2006A, 4.625\%, 6/01/23 | $12 / 14$ at 100 <br> $8 / 13$ at 100 <br> $6 / 14$ at 100 |
| 4,815 | Total Alaska |  |
| 2,300 3,750 8,000 750 | ARIZONA - 2.9\% (1.9\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Phoenix, Arizona, Civic Improvement Corporation, Senior Lien <br> Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.000\%, 7/01/33 <br> Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power <br> District, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series <br> 2003, 5.000\%, 12/01/18 - NPFG Insured <br> Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000\%, 12/01/37 <br> Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale Healthcare, Series 2008A, 5.250\%, 9/01/30 | $7 / 18$ at 100 <br> $12 / 13$ at 100 <br> No Opt. <br> $9 / 13$ at 100 |
| 14,800 | Total Arizona |  |
| 4,500 | ARKANSAS - 1.1\% (0.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Little Rock, Arkansas, Hotel and Restaurant Gross Receipts <br> Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 1993, 7.375\%, 8/01/15 | No Opt. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3,685 \\ & 4,505 \\ & 1,110 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA - 5.3\% (3.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Calexico Unified School District, Imperial County, <br> California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B: <br> $0.000 \%$, 8/01/31 - FGIC Insured <br> $0.000 \%$, 8/01/33 - FGIC Insured <br> California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, Tobacco <br> Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Sonoma County Tobacco <br> Securitization Corporation, Series 2005, 5.000\%, 6/01/26 | No Opt. C No Opt. C $6 / 15$ at 100 |
| 550 | California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Remarketed Revenue Bonds, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Series 1996A, 5.350\%, 12/01/16 - NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $4 / 11$ at 102 |
| 1,550 | California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, St. Joseph Health System, Series 2007A, 5.750\%, 7/01/47 - FGIC Insured | 7/18 at 100 |
| 1,000 | Coachella Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, $0.000 \%$, 8/01/30 - FGIC Insured <br> Colton Joint Unified School District, San Bernardino County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C: | No Opt. Ca |
| 3,200 | 0.000\%, 2/01/30 - FGIC Insured | $2 / 15$ at 45 |
| 6,800 | 0.000\%, 2/01/35 - FGIC Insured <br> Cupertino Union School District, Santa Clara County, | $2 / 15$ at 34 |


| 8,100 | lifornia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003B: $0.000 \%$, 8/01/24 - FGIC Insured | 8/13 at 58. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,430 | 0.000\%, 8/01/27-FGIC Insured | $8 / 13$ at 49. |
| 7,000 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Revenue Bonds, Residual Series 2040, 10.380\%, 6/01/45 - FGIC Insured (IF) | $6 / 15$ at 100. |
| 1,045 | Lake Tahoe Unified School District, El Dorado County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001B, $0.000 \%$ 8/01/31 - NPFG Insured | No Opt. Ca |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS


COLORADO - $11.3 \%$ (7.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
11,000 Colorado Department of Transportation, Revenue Anticipation 6/10 at 100
Bonds, Series 2000, 6.000\%, 6/15/15 (Pre-refunded 6/15/10)
- AMBAC Insured
3,335 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue 7/19 at 100
Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2009A, 5.500\%,
7/01/34 (WI/DD, Settling 11/10/09)
1,150 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Poudre
$9 / 18$ at 102
Valley Health System, Series 2005C, 5.250\%, 3/01/40-FSA
Insured
16,995 Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue
$11 / 10$ at 100
Refunding Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.625\%, 11/15/23-AMBAC
Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)
4,500 Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue
$11 / 11$ at 100
Refunding Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.500\%, 11/15/16-FGIC
Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,500 Denver Convention Center Hotel Authority, Colorado, Senior
$11 / 16$ at 100
Revenue Bonds, Convention Center Hotel, Series 2006,
4.625\%, 12/01/30 - SYNCORA GTY Insured

|  | E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 1997B: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,420 | 0.000\%, 9/01/23-NPFG Insured | No Opt. Ca |
| 8,515 | 0.000\%, 9/01/25 - NPFG Insured | No Opt. Ca |
| 13,000 | E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Series 2004B, $0.000 \%$, 9/01/34 - NPFG Insured | $9 / 20$ at 45. |
| 5,000 | Ebert Metropolitan District, Colorado, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.350\%, 12/01/37 - RAAI Insured | 12/17 at 100. |
| 12,355 | Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 0.000\%, 6/15/26 (Pre-refunded 6/15/11) - FSA Insured | $6 / 11$ at 40. |
| 78,770 | Total Colorado |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2,405 \\ & 5,135 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | ```DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - 2.7% (1.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001: 6.250%, 5/15/24 6.500%, 5/15/33 District of Columbia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998B, 6.000%, 6/01/19 - NPFG Insured``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5/11 at } 101 . \\ & \text { No Opt. Ca } \\ & \text { No Opt. Ca } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12,540 | Total District of Columbia |  |
|  | FLORIDA - 3.7\% (2.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Lee County, Florida, Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A: |  |
| 3,075 | 5.875\%, 10/01/18 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 10/10 at 101. |
| 4,860 | 5.875\%, 10/01/19 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 10/10 at 101. |
| 9,250 | Port Saint Lucie, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Southwest Annexation District 1B, Series 2007, 5.000\%, 7/01/40 - NPFG Insured | $7 / 17$ at 100. |
| 2,500 | South Miami Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health Systems of South Florida, Series 2007, ROLS 11151, 17.724\%, 8/15/42 (IF) | $8 / 17$ at 100. |
| 19,685 | Total Florida |  |
| 3,750 | GEORGIA - 0.8\% (0.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Atlanta, Georgia, Airport General Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B, 5.625\%, 1/01/30-FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $1 / 10$ at 101. |


| 3,855 | $0.000 \%, 12 / 01 / 25-$ FGIC Insured |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2,925 | $0.000 \%, 12 / 01 / 31-$ FGIC Insured |
| 5,865 | Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Neighborhoods |
|  | Alive 21 Program, Series 2000A, $6.500 \%, 1 / 01 / 35$ |

No Opt. Ca
No Opt. Ca
$7 / 10$ at 101

ILLINOIS - $14.9 \%$ (9.8\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax General
Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues, Series 1998B-1:

|  | (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) - FGIC Insured |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15,000 | Chicago, Illinois, Second Lien Passenger Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, O'Hare International Airport, Series 2001A, 5.375\%, 1/01/32 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) Chicago, Illinois, Second Lien Passenger Facility Charge Revenue Bonds, O'Hare International Airport, Series 2001C: | $1 / 11$ at 101 |
| 3,770 | 5.100\%, 1/01/26-AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $1 / 11$ at 101 |
| 5,460 | 5.250\%, 1/01/32 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $1 / 11$ at 101 |
| 2,000 | Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Children's Memorial Hospital, Series 2008A, 5.250\%, 8/15/47 - AGC Insured (UB) | $8 / 18$ at 100 |
| 1,000 | Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Edward Health Services Corporation, Series 2008A, 5.500\%, 2/01/40 AMBAC Insured | $2 / 18$ at 100 |
| 3,975 | Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sherman Health Systems, Series 2007A, 5.500\%, 8/01/37 | $8 / 17$ at 100 |
| 10,000 | Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Condell Medical Center, Series 2002, 5.750\%, 5/15/22 (Pre-refunded 5/15/12) | 5/12 at 100 |
| 2,000 | Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Midwest Care Center I Inc., Series 2001, 5.950\%, 2/20/36 | $2 / 11$ at 102 |
| 8,945 | Lake and McHenry Counties Community Unit School District 118, Wauconda, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 0.000\%, 1/01/21 - FSA Insured | $1 / 15$ at 74 |
| 9,000 | McHenry County Community Unit School District 200, Woodstock, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006B, 0.000\%, 1/15/23 - FGIC Insured | No Opt. C |
|  | Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 2002A: |  |
| 6,700 | 0.000\%, 12/15/23-NPFG Insured | No Opt. C |
| 2,920 | 5.000\%, 12/15/28 - NPFG Insured | 6/12 at 101 |
| 1,100 | 0.000\%, 12/15/35 - NPFG Insured | No Opt. C |
| 2,455 | 0.000\%, 6/15/41 - NPFG Insured | No Opt. |
| 7,500 | Valley View Public Schools, Community Unit School District 365U of Will County, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 0.000\%, 11/01/25 - NPFG Insured | No Opt. C |
| 94,470 | Total Illinois |  |
| 2,000 | ```INDIANA - 3.0% (2.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Hospital Authority of Delaware County, Indiana, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cardinal Health System, Series 2006, 5.250%, 8/01/36``` | 8/16 at 100 |
| 2,000 | Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Community Foundation of Northwest Indiana, Series 2007, 5. 500\%, 3/01/37 | $3 / 17$ at 100 |
| 765 | Indiana Housing Finance Authority, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000D-3, 5.950\%, 7/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $1 / 10$ at 100 |
| 2,225 7,660 | Indiana Municipal Power Agency, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000\%, 1/01/42 - NPFG Insured <br> St. Joseph County Hospital Authority, Indiana, Revenue Bonds, Memorial Health System, Series 2000, 5.625\%, 8/15/33 (Pre-refunded 2/15/11) - AMBAC Insured | $1 / 17$ at 100 <br> $2 / 11$ at 100 |
| 14,650 | Total Indiana |  |
| 3,100 | ```IOWA - 0.5% (0.3% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Asset Backed Settlement Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 5.625%, 6/01/46``` | 6/15 at 100 |

KANSAS - $0.8 \%$ ( $0.6 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)

3,790 Kansas Department of Transportation, Highway Revenue Bonds,<br>$3 / 14$ at 100 Series 2004A, 5.000\%, 3/01/23 (UB)
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AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

NEVADA (continued)
$\$$
10,750

Iruckee Meadows Water Authority, Nevada, Water Revenue Bonds Series 2001A, 5.250\%, 7/01/34 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) - FSA Insured

| 27,585 | Total Nevada |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NEW JERSEY - 7.9\% (5.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| 16,840 | New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Saint Barnabas Health Care System, Series 2006A, 0.000\%, 7/01/35 | $1 / 17$ at 39. |
| 2,400 | New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue | $7 / 10$ at 101. | Bonds, Trinitas Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2000, 7.500\%, 7/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10)

14,865 New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, Home Buyer 10/10 at 100 .


NORTH CAROLINA - 4.9\% (3.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
18,555 North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, Power System $1 / 10$ at 100 Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993B, 5.500\%, 1/01/17 FGIC Insured
1,900 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, Triangle Expressway System Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2009A, 5.750\%, 1/01/39AGC Insured
3,000 The Charlotte-Mecklenberg Hospital Authority, North Carolina, Doing Business as Carolinas HealthCare System, Health Care Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.000\%, 1/15/47

23,455 Total North Carolina
| Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009

```
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```




PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000)

DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS

SOUTH CAROLINA - 7.7\% (5.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Greenville County School District, South Carolina,
Installment Purchase Revenue Bonds, Series 2002:

| \$ | 5,500 | $6.000 \%$, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12) | 12/12 at 101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4,500 | $6.000 \%$, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12) | 12/12 at 101 |
|  | 3,750 | Greenwood County, South Carolina, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Self Memorial Hospital, Series 2001, 5.500\%, 10/01/31 | 10/11 at 100 |
|  | 2,500 | Lexington County Health Service District, South Carolina, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2003, 5.750\%, 11/01/28 (Pre-refunded 11/01/13) | 11/13 at 100 |
|  | 2,825 | Medical University Hospital Authority, South Carolina, <br> FHA-Insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250\%, <br> 2/15/22 - NPFG Insured | $8 / 14$ at 100 |
|  | 21,565 | Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, South Carolina, Electric <br> Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A-2, 0.000\%, 1/01/30 - AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Ca |
|  | 1,250 | South Carolina Housing Finance and Development Authority, <br> Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A-2, 6.000\%, 7/01/20 FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $6 / 10$ at 100 |
|  | 7,555 | Tobacco Settlement Revenue Management Authority, South Carolina, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series | $5 / 12$ at 100. |


| 49,445 | Total South Carolina |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,375 | SOUTH DAKOTA - 1.7\% (1.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Sioux Falls, South Dakota, Industrial Revenue Refunding Bonds, Great Plains Hotel Corporation, Series 1989, 8.500\%, 11/01/16 (Pre-refunded 10/15/14) (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $10 / 14$ at 100. |
| 1,280 | South Dakota Education Loans Inc., Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Series 1998-1K, 5.600\%, 6/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $12 / 09$ at 101. |
| 1,750 | South Dakota Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sioux Valley Hospitals, Series 2004A, 5.500\%, 11/01/31 | 11/14 at 100. |
| 7,405 | Total South Dakota |  |
| 5,000 | TENNESSEE - 4.3\% (2.9\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Knox County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health System of East Tennessee Inc., Series 2002, 6.500\%, 4/15/31 | $4 / 12$ at 101. |
| 20,060 | Knox County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Covenant | $1 / 13$ at 80. |
| 2,000 | Health, Series 2002A, $0.000 \%$, 1/01/17 - FSA Insured Sullivan County Health Educational and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Revenue Bonds, Wellmont Health System, Refunding Series 200A, 5.440\%, 9/01/32 | $3 / 13$ at 100. |
| 27,060 | Total Tennessee |  |
| 5,110 | TEXAS - 14.6\% (9.6\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
|  | Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue $4 / 13$ at 101. Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 1999C, $7.700 \%$, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) |  |
| 7,925 | Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue <br> Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 2001C, <br> $5.750 \%$, 5/01/36 (Mandatory put 11/01/11) (Alternative <br> Minimum Tax) |  |
| 4,080 | Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Travis and $1 / 15$ at 100 Williamson Counties, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000\%, 1/01/35 - FGIC Insured |  |
| 5,500 | Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Texas, Senior Lien Sales Tax $12 / 11$ at 100. Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000\%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11) - AMBAC Insured |  |
| 2,000 | Ennis Independent School District, Ellis County, Texas, 8/16 at 54. General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 0.000\%, 8/15/28 |  |
| 1,550 | Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Texas, Waste Disposal $4 / 11$ at 10 Revenue Bonds, Valero Energy Corporation, Series 2001, 6.650\%, 4/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) |  |
| 7,570 | Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Junior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2001H, 0.000\%, 11/15/31 - NPFG Insured |  |
| 5,000 | Houston Community College, Texas, Limited Tax General <br> $2 / 13$ at 10 Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000\%, 2/15/26 - AMBAC Insured (UB) |  |
| 9,000 | Matagorda County Navigation District 1, Texas, Collateralized Revenue Refunding Bonds, Houston Light and Power Company, Series 1997, 5.125\%, 11/01/28 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | No Opt. |

```
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TEXAS (continued)
\$ 7,000 North Texas Thruway Authority, First Tier System Revenue $1 / 25$ at 100
Refunding Bonds, Capital Appreciation Series 2008I, 0.000\%, 1/01/43

340 Panhandle Regional Housing Finance Corporation, Texas, GNMA
$11 / 09$ at 100
Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Mortgage
Revenue Bonds, Series 1991A, 7.500\%, 5/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,210 Richardson Hospital Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, 12/13 at 100 Richardson Regional Medical Center, Series 2004, 6.000\%, 12/01/19
4,700 Sam Rayburn Municipal Power Agency, Texas, Power Supply 10/12 at 100 System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 6.000\%, 10/01/21
5,500 Spring Independent School District, Harris County, Texas, 8/11 at 100 Unlimited Tax Schoolhouse Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000\%, 8/15/26
4,375 Tarrant County Cultural \& Educational Facilities Financing Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Tarrant County Health Resources, Series 2008, Trust 1197, 9.071\%, 11/15/47 (IF)
3,335 Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Water Financial 8/19 at 100 Assistance, Tender Option Bond Trust 3479, 13.214\%, 8/01/39 (IF)
White Settlement Independent School District, Tarrant County,
Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006:
$9,110 \quad 0.000 \%$, 8/15/36 8/15 at 33
$9,110 \quad 0.000 \%, 8 / 15 / 41 \quad 8 / 15$ at 25
7,110 0.000\%, 8/15/45 8/15 at 20
1,785 Winter Garden Housing Finance Corporation, Texas, GNMA/FNMA 4/10 at 100 Mortgage-Backed Securities Program Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1994, 6.950\%, 10/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,000 Wylie Independent School District, Taylor County, Texas, 8/15 at 57 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 0.000\%, 8/15/26

104,310 Total Texas

UTAH - 4.1\% (2.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
3,565 Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems, Revenue Bonds, 4/13 at 100 Payson Power Project, Series 2003A, 5.000\%, 4/01/24 - FSA Insured (UB)
16,050 Utah County, Utah, Hospital Revenue Bonds, IHC Health
$2 / 10$ at 100
Services Inc., Series 1997, 5.250\%, 8/15/26 - NPFG Insured (ETM)

19,615 Total Utah
VERMONT - 1.9\% (1.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, Revenue Bonds, Fletcher Allen Health Care Inc., Series

2000A:
3,720 6.125\%, 12/01/15 - AMBAC Insured $12 / 10$ at 101
$4,2656.250 \%$, 12/01/16 - AMBAC Insured $12 / 10$ at 101
1,035 Vermont Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Housing Bonds, Series 2000-13A, 5.950\%, 11/01/25 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)

| 9,020 | Total Vermont |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,000 5,000 | VIRGINIA - $1.1 \%$ ( $0.7 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Fairfax County Economic Development Authority, Virginia, Residential Care Facilities Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Goodwin House, Inc., Series 2007A, 5.125\%, 10/01/42 <br> Metropolitan Washington DC Airports Authority, Virginia, Dulles Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 2009C., 0.000\%, 10/01/41 - AGC Insured | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 17 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 10 / 26 \text { at } 100 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 7,000 | Total Virginia |  |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
\$ 8,810 Chelan County Public Utility District 1, Washington, Hydro $7 / 11$ at 101
Consolidated System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.600\%,
1/01/36 - NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) (UB)
3,750 FYI Properties, Washington, Lease Revenue Bonds, Washington 6/19 at 100
State Department of Information Services Project, Series
2009, 5.500\%, 6/01/39
7,225 Port of Seattle, Washington, Special Facility Revenue Bonds, $3 / 10$ at 101
Terminal 18, Series 1999B, 6.000\%, 9/01/20 - NPFG Insured
(Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,500 Washington State Health Care Facilities Authority, Revenue No Opt. Ca
Bonds, Northwest Hospital and Medical Center of Seattle,
Series 2007, 5.700\%, 12/01/32

| 22,285 | Total Washington |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5,000 | WEST VIRGINIA - 1.0\% (0.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Mason County, West Virginia, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Appalachian Power Company, Series 2003L, 5.500\%, 10/01/22 | 10/11 at 100 |
| 5,950 | ```WISCONSIN - 2.8% (1.8% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Badger Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, Wisconsin, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002, 6.125%, 6/01/27 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)``` | $6 / 12$ at 100 |
| 5,000 | Madison, Wisconsin, Industrial Development Revenue Refunding Bonds, Madison Gas and Electric Company Projects, Series 2002A, 5.875\%, 10/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $4 / 12$ at 100. |
| 2,100 | Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Wheaton Franciscan Services Inc., Series 2003A, 5.125\%, 8/15/33 | $8 / 13$ at 100. |


(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
(2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm) : Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
(3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard \& Poor's Group ("Standard \& Poor's") or Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard \& Poor's or Baa by Moody's are considered to be below investment grade.
(4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
(5) Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 34.4\%.

N/R Not rated.

WI/DD Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.
(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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| 3,600 | California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, St. Joseph Health System, Series 2007A, 5.750\%, 7/01/47 - FGIC Insured | 7/18 at 100. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14,600 | California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250\%, 2/01/28 | $8 / 13$ at 100. |
| 25,000 | California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 4.750\%, 3/01/35 - NPFG Insured | $3 / 16$ at 100 |
| 10,000 | California, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999, 4.750\%, 4/01/29 - NPFG Insured | $4 / 10$ at 100 |
| 16,000 | California, Various Purpose General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000\%, 6/01/37 | $6 / 17$ at 100 |
| 8,500 | Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A, 5.000\%, 1/01/35 NPFG Insured | $1 / 10$ at 100 |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C
PROVISIONS

CALIFORNIA (continued)
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series
$\$$ 2007A-1:

| 10,100 | 5.000\%, 6/01/33 | $6 / 17$ at 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,500 | 5.125\%, 6/01/47 | $6 / 17$ at 100 |
| 3,300 | M-S-R Energy Authority, California, Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Prepay Contracts, Series 2009B, 6.500\%, 11/01/39 | No Opt. C |
| 1,830 | San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, California, Water Utility Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 3504, 19.428\%, 8/01/39 (IF) | $8 / 19$ at 100 |
| 30,000 | San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Orange County, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A, 0.000\%, 1/15/35 - NPFG Insured | No Opt. C |
| 3,000 | San Mateo County Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 0.000\%, 9/01/30 NPFG Insured | No Opt. C |
| 1,500 | ```Tobacco Securitization Authority of Northern California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2005A-1, 5.500%, 6/01/45``` | $6 / 15$ at 100 |

153,240 Total California

COLORADO - 5.3\% (3.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
1,000 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Poudre
$9 / 18$ at 102 Valley Health System, Series 2005C, 5.250\%, 3/01/40 - FSA Insured
10,000 Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue $11 / 10$ at 100 Refunding Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.625\%, 11/15/23 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)
6,185 E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue No Opt. Ca Bonds, Series 1997B, 0.000\%, 9/01/26 - NPFG Insured
43,000 E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B, $0.000 \%$, $9 / 01 / 33$ - NPFG Insured
14,400 E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B, 0.000\%, 9/01/17 (Pre-refunded


190 Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, $5.000 \%$, $1 / 01 / 18$ - AMBAC Insured
Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A: $5.000 \%$, 1/01/18 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12) - AMBAC Insured $5.000 \%$, 1/01/18 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12) - AMBAC Insured
6,190
5, 045
Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2000D, 5.750\%, 1/01/30 - FGIC Insured
13,240 Chicago, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, Midway Airport, Series 1998A, 5.125\%, 1/01/35 - NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)
Chicago, Illinois, Second Lien Wastewater Transmission Revenue Bonds, Series 2000:

8, 000
7,750
$5.750 \%$, $1 / 01 / 25$ (Pre-refunded 1/01/10) - NPFG Insured $6.000 \%$, $1 / 01 / 30$ (Pre-refunded 1/01/10) - NPFG Insured
Illinois Educational Facilities Authority, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, Educational Advancement Foundation Fund, University Center Project, Series 2002:
3,000 6.625\%, 5/01/17 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)
$1,8006.000 \%$, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)
1,050 Illinois Finance Authority, General Obligation Debt Certificates, Local Government Program - Kankakee County, Series 2005B, 5.000\%, 12/01/20 - AMBAC Insured
15,000 Illinois Finance Authority, Illinois, Northwestern University, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000\%, 12/01/42 (UB)
2,000 Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Children's Memorial Hospital, Series 2008A, 5.250\%, 8/15/47 - AGC Insured (UB)
1,000 Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Edward Health Services Corporation, Series 2008A, 5.500\%, 2/01/40AMBAC Insured
5,000 Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Series 2004A, 5.500\%, 8/15/43 (Pre-refunded 8/15/14)
10,000 Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Iowa Health System, Series 2000, 5.875\%, 2/15/30-AMBAC Insured (ETM)
5,000 Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Illinois FIRST Program, Series 2000, 5.450\%, 12/01/21 - NPFG Insured
2,270 Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 2002A, $5.000 \%$, $12 / 15 / 28$ - NPFG Insured
958 Montgomery, Illinois, Lakewood Creek Project Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2007, 4.700\%, 3/01/30-RAAI Insured

```
145,033
```


## 145,033 Total Illinois

INDIANA - $1.7 \%$ ( $1.1 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
2,000 Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Deaconess Hospital Inc., Series 2004A, 5.375\%, 3/01/34 - AMBAC Insured
3,240 Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue $7 / 12$ at 100 Bonds, Marion General Hospital, Series 2002, 5.625\%, 7/01/19 - AMBAC Insured
2,400 Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, 5/15 at 100 Community Hospitals of Indiana, Series 2005A, 5.000\%, 5/01/35 - AMBAC Insured
6,015 St. Joseph County Hospital Authority, Indiana, Revenue Bonds, Memorial Health System, Series 1998A, 4.625\%, 8/15/28NPFG Insured

| 2,000 | Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenu Bonds, Deaconess Hospital Inc., Series 2004A, 5.375\%, 3/01/34 - AMBAC Insured |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3,240 | Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Hospital Revenu Bonds, Marion General Hospital, Series 2002, 5.625\%, 7/01/19 - AMBAC Insured |
| 2,400 | Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Community Hospitals of Indiana, Series 2005A, 5.000\%, 5/01/35 - AMBAC Insured |
| 6,015 | St. Joseph County Hospital Authority, Indiana, Revenue Bonds Memorial Health System, Series 1998A, 4.625\%, 8/15/28 NPFG Insured |

$2 / 10$ at 100
$7 / 12$ at 100
$7 / 12$ at 100
$7 / 12$ at 100
$1 / 10$ at 101
$1 / 10$ at 100
$1 / 10$ at 101
$1 / 10$ at 101
$5 / 12$ at 101
$5 / 12$ at 101
$12 / 14$ at 100
$12 / 15$ at 100
$8 / 18$ at 100
$2 / 18$ at 100
$8 / 14$ at 100
$2 / 10$ at 101
$12 / 10$ at 100

6/12 at 101
$3 / 16$ at 100
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

KANSAS - $0.8 \%$ ( $0.5 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
\$ 4,585 Johnson County Unified School District 232, Kansas, General $9 / 10$ at 100 Obligation Bonds, Series 2000, 4.750\%, 9/01/19 (Pre-refunded 9/01/10) - FSA Insured
1,750 Wamego, Kansas, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Kansas Gas $6 / 14$ at 100 and Electric Company, Series 2004, 5.300\%, 6/01/31 - NPFG Insured

6,335 Total Kansas $\qquad$
KENTUCKY - 0.5\% (0.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
1,000 Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Louisville 6/18 at 100
Arena Project Revenue Bonds, Touisville Arena Authority,
Inc., Series 2008A-1, 6.000\%, 12/01/33 - AGC Insured
2,500 Kentucky State Property and Buildings Commission, Revenue $2 / 12$ at 100
Refunding Bonds, Project 74, Series 2002, 5.375\%, 2/01/18 (Pre-refunded 2/01/12) - FSA Insured

| 3,500 | Total Kentucky |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOUISIANA - 3.6\% (2.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| 10,000 | Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Franciscan Missionaries of Our Lady Health System, Series 1998A, 5.750\%, 7/01/25 - FSA Insured (UB) | No Opt. Ca |
| 9,000 | Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Ochsner Clinic Foundation Project, Series 2007A, 5.500\%, 5/15/47 | $5 / 17$ at 100 |
| 5,500 | Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Tulane University, Series 2002A, 5.000\%, 7/01/32 (Pre-refunded 7/01/12) - AMBAC Insured | $7 / 12$ at 100 |
| 2,890 | Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Louisiana, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.875\%, 5/15/39 | $5 / 11$ at 101 |

27,390 Total Louisiana
MASSACHUSETTS - 7.3\% (4.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
500 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, $7 / 18$ at 100 Revenue Bonds, CareGroup Inc., Series 2008E-1, 5.125\%, 7/01/38
7,405 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, No Opt. Ca
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

MINNESOTA - $1.3 \%$ ( $0.8 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Chaska, Minnesota, Electric Revenue Bonds, Generating
Facility Project, Series 2000A:
\$
1,930 6.000\%, 10/01/20 (Pre-refunded 10/01/10)
2,685 6.000\%, 10/01/25 (Pre-refunded 10/01/10)
3,655 Dakota and Washington Counties Housing and Redevelopment
Authority, Minnesota, GNMA Mortgage-Backed Securities
Program Single Family Residential Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1988, 8.450\%, 9/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS


1,965 5.750\%, 6/01/32 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)<br>1,000 6.125\%, 6/01/42 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)<br>$6 / 12$ at 100<br>$6 / 12$ at 100
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NEW JERSEY (continued)
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, New Jersey, Tobacco
Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003:


Bonds, Presbyterian Healthcare Services, Series 2001A,
5.500\%, 8/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/11)

NEW YORK - 15.6\% (10.0\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Improvement
Revenue Bonds, Mental Health Services Facilities, Series 2000B:
$1006.000 \%$, 2/15/30 (Pre-refunded 2/15/10) - NPFG Insured
$656.000 \%$, 2/15/30 (Pre-refunded $2 / 15 / 10$ ) - NPFG Insured
$1,0056.000 \%$, 2/15/30 (Pre-refunded 2/15/10) - NPFG Insured
8,830 6.000\%, 2/15/30 (Pre-refunded 2/15/10) - NPFG Insured
275
Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Insured Revenue Bonds, Fordham University, Series 1998, 5.000\%, 7/01/28 NPFG Insured
2,250
Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Insured Revenue Bonds, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Series 1994A, 5.150\%, 7/01/24 - NPFG Insured

20,000 Erie County Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, New York, Senior Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2000, 6.125\%, 7/15/30 (Pre-refunded 7/15/10)
1,320 Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corporation, New York, Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 4.500\%, 2/15/47 - NPFG Insured
1,130 Long Island Power Authority, New York, Electric System General Revenue Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.375\%, 9/01/25 (Pre-refunded 9/01/11)
15,000
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York, Dedicated Tax Fund Bonds, Series 2000A, 6.000\%, 4/01/30 (Pre-refunded 4/01/10) - FGIC Insured
13,600 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York,
Transportation Revenue Bonds, Series 2006B, 4.500\%, 11/15/32 - FSA Insured (UB)
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, New York, Future
Tax Secured Bonds, Fiscal Series 2000B:
8, 035 5.750\%, 11/15/19 (Pre-refunded 5/15/10)
2,065 5.750\%, 11/15/19 (Pre-refunded 5/15/10)
New York City, New York, General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal
Series 2002G:
$9505.000 \%$, 8/01/17
$5 / 10$ at 101
$2 / 10$ at 100
$2 / 10$ at 100
$2 / 10$ at 100
$2 / 10$ at 100
$7 / 10$ at 100

No Opt. Ca
$7 / 10$ at 101
$2 / 17$ at 100
$9 / 11$ at 100
$4 / 10$ at 100
$11 / 16$ at 100
$5 / 10$ at 101
$8 / 12$ at 100
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PRINCIPAL
OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
PROVISIONS

| \$ |  | NORTH CAROLINA - $2.0 \%$ (1.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4,000 | North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Health System Revenue Bonds, Mission St. Joseph's Health System, Series 2007, 4.500\%, 10/01/31 (UB) | 10/17 at 100. |
|  | 665 | North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Pitt County Memorial Hospital, Series 1998A, 4.750\%, 12/01/28 - NPFG Insured | 12/10 at 100. |
|  | 7,500 | North Carolina Municipal Power Agency 1, Catawba Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.250\%, 1/01/19 - NPFG Insured | $1 / 13$ at 100. |
|  | 3,000 | The Charlotte-Mecklenberg Hospital Authority, North Carolina, Doing Business as Carolinas HealthCare System, Health Care Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.000\%, 1/15/47 | $1 / 18$ at 100. |

15,165 Total North Carolina

OHIO - $5.7 \%$ (3.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
10,000 American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., General Revenue Bonds, $2 / 18$ at 100 Series 2008, 5.250\%, 2/15/43
Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco
Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien,
Series 2007A-2:
$2055.125 \%$, 6/01/24 6/17 at 100
$1,9255.875 \%, 6 / 01 / 30$ at 100
$1,7405.750 \%$ 6/01/34 6/17 at 100
$2,400 \quad 6.000 \%$, 6/01/42
$6 / 17$ at 100
$5,7305.875 \%$, 6/01/47 6/17 at 100
Cincinnati City School District, Hamilton County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002:


[^1]
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PRINCIPAL
OPTIONAL CA
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1) PROVISIONS

SOUTH CAROLINA (continued)
Medical University Hospital Authority, South Carolina,
FHA-Insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A:
$\$ 5,240 \quad 5.250 \%, 8 / 15 / 20-$ NPFG Insured $8 / 14$ at 100
3,000 5.250\%, 2/15/24 - NPFG Insured 8/14 at 100

13,615 South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank, Junior $10 / 11$ at 100 Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.125\%, 10/01/21 (Pre-refunded 10/01/11) - AMBAC Insured

| 57,560 | Total South Carolina |
| :---: | :---: |
| $3,000 \quad$ Knox County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, |  |
| Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health System |  |
| of East Tennessee Inc., Series $2002,6.375 \%, 4 / 15 / 22$ |  |

TEXAS - $14.9 \%$ (9.6\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
535 Alamo Community College District, Bexar County, Texas,
$11 / 11$ at 100 Combined Fee Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.375\%, 11/01/16 - FSA Insured
465 Alamo Community College District, Bexar County, Texas, Combined Fee Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001, 5.375\%, 11/01/16 (Pre-refunded 11/01/11) - FSA Insured
11,255 Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 2001C, $5.750 \%$, 5/01/36 (Mandatory put 11/01/11) (Alternative Minimum Tax)
5,500 Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Travis and Williamson Counties, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, $5.000 \%$, $1 / 01 / 45$ - FGIC Insured
5,000 Dallas-Ft. Worth International Airport, Texas, Joint Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.625\%, 11/01/21 - FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,700 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2001G, 5.250\%, 11/15/30-NPFG Insured
14,975 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Third Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004-A3., 0.000\%, 11/15/34 - NPFG Insured
4,865 Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds, Convention and Entertainment Project, Series 2001B, $0.000 \%$, 9/01/27 - AMBAC Insured
22,500 Houston, Texas, Junior Lien Water and Sewerage System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2000B, 5.250\%, 12/01/30
(Pre-refunded 12/01/10) - FGIC Insured
4,590 Houston, Texas, Subordinate Lien Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 5.625\%, 7/01/30 - FSA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)
6,000 Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis Counties, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, $0.000 \%$, 8/15/34
17,655 Matagorda County Navigation District 1, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Houston Industries Inc., Series 1998B,
$11 / 11$ at 100

No Opt. Ca
$1 / 15$ at 100
$11 / 11$ at 100
$11 / 11$ at 100
$11 / 24$ at 55

No Opt. Ca
$12 / 10$ at 100
$7 / 10$ at 100
$8 / 14$ at 33
$11 / 09$ at 101
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AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
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## PRINCIPAL

AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C
PROVISIONS

WISCONSIN - 2.1\% (1.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Badger Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, Wisconsin,
Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002:
$\$$
$1306.125 \%$ 6/01/27 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12) 6/12 at 100
3,380 6.375\%, 6/01/32 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12) 6/12 at 100
7,545 La Crosse, Wisconsin, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding $12 / 09$ at 101
Bonds, Dairyland Power Cooperative, Series 1997A, 5.450\%,
9/01/14 - AMBAC Insured

(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
(2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm) : Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
(3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard \& Poor's Group ("Standard \& Poor's") or Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard \& Poor's or Baa by Moody's are considered to be below investment grade.
(4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
(5) Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 32.1\%.

N/R Not rated.
(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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| NPF | Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | \| Portfolio of Investments October 31,2009 |

PRINCIPAL
OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
PROVISIONS


ARIZONA - 7.8\% (4.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue
Bonds, John C. Lincoln Health Network, Series 2005B:
$1005.250 \%$, 12/01/24 $12 / 15$ at 100
135 5.250\%, 12/01/25 12/15 at 100
7,000 Phoenix, Arizona, Civic Improvement Revenue Bonds, Civic
No Opt. C Plaza, Series 2005B, 0.000\%, 7/01/39 - FGIC Insured
7,500 Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power 1/13 at 100 District, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2002B, 5.000\%, 1/01/25 (UB)
6,000 Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power 1/12 at 101 District, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250\%, 1/01/15
1,200 Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue No Opt. Ca Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000\%, 12/01/37

| 21,935 | Total Arizona |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ARKANSAS - 0.8\% (0.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| 2,155 | Arkansas Development Finance Authority, State Facility Revenue Bonds, Department of Correction Special Needs Unit Project, Series 2005B, 5.000\%, 11/01/25 - FSA Insured | $11 / 15$ at 100. |
| 2 | Stuttgart Public Facilities Board, Arkansas, Single Family Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, 7.900\%, 9/01/11 | $3 / 10$ at 100 |


| 2,157 | Total Arkansas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CALIFORNIA - $20.9 \%$ (12.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| 10,000 | Anaheim Public Finance Authority, California, Public <br> Improvement Project Lease Bonds, Series 2007A-1, 4.375\%, 3/01/37 - FGIC Insured | $9 / 17$ at 100. |
| 5,690 | California Department of Veterans Affairs, Home Purchase Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.300\%, 12/01/21 - AMBAC Insured | $6 / 12$ at 101 |
| 1,350 | California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Southern California, Series 2005, 4.750\%, 10/01/28 (UB) | 10/15 at 100 |
| 1,975 | California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004I, 4.950\%, 7/01/26 (Mandatory put 7/01/14) | No Opt. Ca |
| 1,700 | California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Providence Health \& Services, Series 2009B, 5.500\%, 10/01/39 | 10/19 at 100. |
| 500 | California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Daughters of Charity Health System, Series 2005A, 5.000\%, 7/01/39 | $7 / 15$ at 100 |
| 1,600 | California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanente System, Series 2001C, 5.250\%, 8/01/31 | $8 / 16$ at 100. |
| 1,025 | California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sutter Health, Tender Option Bond Trust 3175, 13.552\%, 11/15/48 (IF) | $5 / 18$ at 100. |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C
PROVISIONS

| 4 | California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 6/01/23 - AMBAC Insured |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | Chula Vista, California, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Series 1996A, 5.300\%, 7/01/21 |
| 25,000 | Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Series 1995A, 0.000\%, 1/01/17 (ETM) |
| 3,500 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-1, 5.125\%, 6/01/47 |
| 450 | Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.750\%, 6/01/39 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13) |
| 6,005 | ```Los Angeles Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005E, 5.000%, 7/01/22 - AMBAC Insured``` |
|  | San Diego County, California, Certificates of Participation, Burnham Institute, Series 2006: |

$12 / 14$ at 100
$6 / 14$ at 102

No Opt. Ca
$6 / 17$ at 100
$6 / 13$ at 100
$7 / 15$ at 100


PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000)
DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

| \$ | 2,250 | HAWAII - 0.8\% (0.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, Special Purpose Revenue Bonds, Hawaiian Electric Company Inc., Series 1999D, 6.150\%, 1/01/20 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 1/10 at 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \\ 500 \\ 200 \end{gathered}$ | ```IDAHO - 0.3% (0.2% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Idaho Housing and Finance Association, Single Family Mortgage Bonds, Series 1996E, 6.350%, 7/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Madison County, Idaho, Hospital Revenue Certificates of Participation, Madison Memorial Hospital, Series 2006: 5.250%, 9/01/26 5.250%, 9/01/37``` | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 10 \text { at } 100 \\ & \\ & 9 / 16 \text { at } 100 \\ & 9 / 16 \text { at } 100 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 775 | Total Idaho |  |

ILLINOIS - $10.8 \%$ (6.6\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)580 Chicago Public Building Commission, Illinois, General

No Opt. Ca Obligation Lease Certificates, Chicago Board of Education, Series 1990B, 7.000\%, 1/01/15 - NPFG Insured (ETM)
8,670 Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, City Colleges, No Opt. Ca Series 1999, 0.000\%, 1/01/24-FGIC Insured
8,500 Chicago, Illinois, Senior Lien Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.750\%, 11/01/30 - AMBAC Insured
200 Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Proctor Hospital, $1 / 16$ at 100 Series 2006, 5.125\%, 1/01/25
1,000 Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Condell $5 / 12$ at 100 Medical Center, Series 2002, 5.500\%, 5/15/32 (Pre-refunded 5/15/12)
1,500 Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Illinois FIRST Program, 2/12 at 100 Series 2002, 5.500\%, 2/01/17 - FGIC Insured
Lombard Public Facilities Corporation, Illinois, Second Tier
Conference Center and Hotel Revenue Bonds, Series 2005B:
$8505.250 \%$, 1/01/25 $1 / 16$ at 100
$1,7505.250 \%$, $1 / 01 / 30 \quad 1 / 16$ at 100
Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1996A:


| 1,000 | 2009., 5.000\%, 3/01/36 <br> St. Joseph County Hospital Authority, Indiana, Revenue Bonds, Madison Center Inc., Series 2005, 5.250\%, 2/15/28 | $2 / 15$ at 100. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12,405 | Total Indiana |  |
| 4,000 | IOWA - 1.1\% (0.6\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Asset Backed Settlement Revenue Bonds, Series 2005C, 5.500\%, 6/01/42 | $6 / 15$ at 100. |
| 510 | KENTUCKY - 0.2\% (0.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Kentucky, Industrial Building Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Mercy of the Americas, Series 2006, 5.000\%, 10/01/35 | 10/16 at 100. |
| 1,310 | LOUISIANA - 6.5\% (3.9\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, GNMA Collateralized Mortgage Revenue Bonds, St. Dominic Assisted Care Facility, Series 1995, 6.850\%, 9/01/25 | $3 / 10$ at 100. |
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PRINCIPAL
MOUNT $(000) \quad$ DESCRIPTION (1) OPTIONAL CA


MARYLAND - $1.3 \%$ ( $0.8 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
2,000 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, $8 / 14$ at 100
1,550 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, $7 / 16$ at 100 Revenue Bonds, Western Maryland Health, Series 2006A, 4.750\%, 7/01/36 - NPFG Insured

| 3,550 | Total Maryland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 3,000 \end{aligned}$ | MASSACHUSETTS - $1.6 \%$ (1.0\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Massachusetts Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, <br> Hampshire College, Series 2004, 5.625\%, 10/01/24 <br> Massachusetts, Special Obligation Dedicated Tax Revenue <br> Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250\%, 1/01/24 (Pre-refunded 1/01/14) <br> - FGIC Insured | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 14 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 1 / 14 \text { at } 100 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 4,000 | Total Massachusetts |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2,925 \\ 4,600 \\ 1,000 \\ 170 \\ 3,025 \end{array}$ | MICHIGAN - 3.9\% (2.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Detroit, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.250\%, 4/01/17 - SYNCORA GTY Insured <br> Detroit, Michigan, Sewer Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Second Lien, Series 2006B, 4.625\%, 7/01/34 - FGIC Insured Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity Health Care Group, Series 2006A, 5.000\%, 12/01/31 (UB) <br> Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Mercy Memorial Hospital Corporation Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.500\%, 6/01/35 <br> Wayne County, Michigan, Airport Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Airport, Series 2002C, 5.375\%, 12/01/19 - FGIC Insured | $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 13 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 7 / 16 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 12 / 16 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 6 / 16 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 12 / 12 \text { at } 100 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 11,720 | Total Michigan |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4,350 \\ 1,000 \\ 2,290 \\ 530 \\ 1,000 \\ 3,000 \end{array}$ | MINNESOTA - 4.6\% (2.8\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Cohasset, Minnesota, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Allete <br> Inc., Series 2004, 4.950\%, 7/01/22 <br> Duluth Economic Development Authority, Minnesota, Healthcare <br> Facilities Revenue Bonds, Benedictine Health System - St. <br> Mary's Duluth Clinic, Series 2004, 5.250\%, 2/15/21 <br> (Pre-refunded 2/15/14) <br> Minneapolis-St. Paul Housing and Redevelopment Authority, Minnesota, Revenue Bonds, HealthPartners Inc., Series 2003, 6.000\%, 12/01/20 <br> Minnesota Higher Education Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of St. Thomas, Series 2004-5Y, 5.250\%, 10/01/19 <br> Minnesota Municipal Power Agency, Electric Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250\%, 10/01/19 <br> St. Paul Port Authority, Minnesota, Lease Revenue Bonds, Office Building at Cedar Street, Series 2003, 5.250\%, 12/01/20 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 14 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 2 / 14 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 12 / 13 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 10 / 14 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 12 / 13 \text { at } 100 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 12,170 | Total Minnesota |  |
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## PRINCIPAL

OPTIONAL C
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)
PROVISIONS


OHIO - $2.8 \%$ (1.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-2:


60 Nuveen Investments

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS

PUERTO RICO - $0.8 \%$ ( $0.5 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
\$ 3,000 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series 2009A, 0.000\%, 8/01/32


Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002A,
6.000\%, 6/01/23


| 2,060 | TENNESSEE - $1.4 \%$ ( $0.8 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Johnson City Health and Educational Facilities Board, | $7 / 23$ at 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,060 | Tennessee, Hospital Revenue Refunding and Improvement <br> Bonds, Johnson City Medical Center, Series 1998C, 5.125\%, 7/01/25 (Pre-refunded 7/01/23) - NPFG Insured | $7 / 23$ at 100 |
| 1,600 | Johnson City Health and Educational Facilities Board, <br> Tennessee, Revenue Bonds, Mountain States Health Alliance, Series 2006A, 5.500\%, 7/01/36 | $7 / 16$ at 100 |
| 400 | Sumner County Health, Educational, and Housing Facilities Board, Tennessee, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sumner Regional Health System Inc., Series 2007, 5.500\%, 11/01/37 | $11 / 17$ at 100 |

## 4,060 Total Tennessee

TEXAS - 7.2\% (4.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
1,075 Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue $10 / 13$ at 101 Bonds, TXU Energy Company LLC Project, Series 2003C, $6.750 \%$, $10 / 01 / 38$ (Alternative Minimum Tax)
3,000 Houston, Texas, First Lien Combined Utility System Revenue 5/14 at 100 Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.250\%, 5/15/25 - NPFG Insured
Kerrville Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas,
Revenue Bonds, Sid Peterson Memorial Hospital Project,
Series 2005:
$400 \quad 5.250 \%$, 8/15/21
$600 \quad 5.125 \%$, 8/15/26
No Opt. Ca
No Opt. Ca
2,265 Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Contract Revenue
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Refunding Bonds, Transmission Services Corporation, Series 2003C, 5.250\%, 5/15/25 - AMBAC Insured
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS

TEXAS (continued)
$290 \quad$ Mansfield Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas, $2 / 11$ at 100
General Obligation Bonds, Series $2001,5.375 \%, 2 / 15 / 26$

1,710 Mansfield Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas, $2 / 11$ at 100 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.375\%, 2/15/26 (Pre-refunded 2/15/11)
950 North Texas Thruway Authority, Second Tier System Revenue 1/18 at 100 Refunding Bonds, Series 2008, 5.750\%, 1/01/38
1,000 Sabine River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 2001C, 5.200\%, 5/01/28
3,000 Tarrant County Cultural \& Educational Facilities Financing Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.000\%, 2/15/36 (UB)
185 Tarrant County Cultural and Educational Facilities Finance
$2 / 17$ at 100 Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Texas Health Resources Project, Trust 1031, 11.996\%, 2/15/36 (IF)
Texas Tech University, Financing System Revenue Bonds, 9th Series 2003:
3,525 5.250\%, 2/15/18 - AMBAC Insured 8/13 at 100
$2,2505.250 \%$, 2/15/19 - AMBAC Insured 8/13 at 100

20,250 Total Texas

UTAH - 0.1\% (0.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
325 Utah Housing Corporation, Single Family Mortgage Bonds, $7 / 11$ at 100 Series 2001D, 5.500\%, 1/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
25 Utah Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Bonds,
$1 / 10$ at 100 Series 1996C, 6.450\%, 7/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
30 Utah Housing Finance Agency, Single Family Mortgage Bonds, Series 1997F, 5.750\%, 7/01/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
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TEXAS - 0.5\% (0.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
\$ 1,300 Red River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, 11/09 at 100. Southwestern Public Service Company, Variable Rate Demand Obligations, Series 1996, 8.500\%, 7/01/16 - AMBAC Insured (5)

```
Total Short-Term Investments (cost $1,300,000)
Total Investments (cost $443,998,590) - 165.2%
Floating Rate Obligations - (21.9)%
Other Assets Less Liabilities - 2.7%
Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value - (46.0)% (6)
```

```
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares - 100%
```

(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
(2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm) : Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
(3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard \& Poor's Group ("Standard \& Poor's") or Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard \& Poor's or Baa by Moody's are considered to be below investment grade.
(4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.
(5) Investment has a maturity of more than one year, but has variable rate and demand features which qualify it as a short-term investment. The rate disclosed is that in effect at the end of the reporting period. This rate changes periodically based on market conditions or a specified market index.
(6) Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is $27.9 \%$.

N/R Not rated.
(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

```
NMZ | Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund
    | Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009
```

        PRINCIPAL
    OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

## AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

$4 / 15$ at 100
5,000 6.000\%, 12/31/45 (Mandatory put 4/30/19) (Alternative Minimum Tax)
$4 / 19$ at 100
6,000 Total National

ALABAMA - $1.1 \%$ ( $0.8 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
2,000 Bessemer, Alabama, General Obligation Warrants, Series 2007, $2 / 17$ at 102 6.500\%, 2/01/37

2,000 Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, $11 / 15$ at 100 Alabama, Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health System Inc., Series 2005A, $5.000 \%$, 11/15/30

| 4,000 | Total Alabama |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 450 | ALASKA - 0.2\% (0.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Alaska Municipal Bond Bank Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.625\%, 9/01/29 | $9 / 18$ at 100. |
| 436 | ARIZONA - 6.3\% (4.7\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, Special Assessment Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875\%, 7/01/25 | $7 / 10$ at 102. |
| 2,000 | Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Privado Park Apartments Project, Series 2006A, 5.250\%, 11/01/41 (Mandatory put 11/01/11) (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $11 / 09$ at 100. |
| 6,720 | Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Senior Living Facility Revenue Bonds, Christian Care Mesa II Inc., Series 2004A, 6.625\%, 1/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $1 / 11$ at 103. |
|  | Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Keystone Montessori School, Series 2004A: |  |
| 195 | 6.375\%, 11/01/13 | $11 / 11$ at 103 |
| 790 | 7.250\%, 11/01/23 | $11 / 11$ at 103. |
| 1,715 | 7.500\%, 11/01/33 | $11 / 11$ at 103. |
| 550 | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Noah Webster Basic Schools Inc., Series 2004, 6.125\%, 12/15/34 | $12 / 14$ at 100 |
| 500 | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Pointe Educational Services Charter School, Series 2004, 6.250\%, 7/01/14 (ETM) | No Opt. Ca |
| 1,150 | Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Correctional Facilities Contract Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.250\%, 10/01/22 - ACA | 10/12 at 100 |



|  | Subordinate Lien Revenue Bonds, El Granada Mobile Home Park, Series 2004B, 6.500\%, 5/15/44 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,200 | Lake Elsinore, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 2003-2 Improvement Area A, Canyon Hills, Series 2004A, 5.950\%, 9/01/34 | $9 / 13$ at 102. |
| 335 | Lancaster Redevelopment Agency Combined Project Areas, Housing Programs, California, Tax Allocation Bonds 2009, 6.875\%, 8/01/39 | $8 / 19$ at 100. |
| 3,400 | ```Lee Lake Water District, Riverside County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 3, Series 2004, 5.950%, 9/01/34``` | $9 / 13$ at 102. |
| 2,950 | Los Angeles Regional Airports Improvement Corporation, California, Sublease Revenue Bonds, Los Angeles International Airport, American Airlines Inc. Terminal 4 Project, Series 2002C, 7.500\%, 12/01/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/12 at 102. |
| 800 | Moreno Valley Unified School District, Riverside County, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District, Series 2004, 5.550\%, 9/01/29 | $9 / 14$ at 100. |
| 1,000 | M-S-R Energy Authority, Gas Revenue Bonds, California, Citigroup Prepay Contracts, Series 2009B, 6.500\%, 11/01/39 | No Opt. |
| 1,250 | San Diego County, California, Certificates of Participation, San Diego-Imperial Counties Developmental Services Foundation Project, Series 2002, 5.500\%, 9/01/27 | $9 / 12$ at 100. |
| 3,895 | West Patterson Financing Authority, California, Special Tax Bonds, Community Facilities District 2001-1, Series 2004A, 6.125\%, 9/01/39 | $9 / 13$ at 103. |

## 35,175 Total California

COLORADO - 6.8\% (5.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
910 Bradburn Metropolitan District 3, Colorado, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 7.500\%,12/01/33
6 Buffalo Ridge Metropolitan District, Colorado, Limited Obligation Assessment Bonds, Series 2003, 7.500\%, 12/01/33
400 Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Academy Charter School Douglas County School District Re. 1, Series 2000, 6.875\%, (Pre-refunded 12/15/10)
650 Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Bromley East Charter School, Series 2000A, 7.250\%, 9/15/30 (Pre-refunded 9/15/11)
3,500 Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Cesar Chavez Academy, Series 2003, 8.000\%, 5/01/34
455 Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Excel Academy Charter School, Series 2003, 7.300\%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)
1,000 Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Jefferson County School District R-1 - Compass Montessori Secondary School, Series 2006, 5.625\%, 2/15/36

12/13 at 101
$12 / 13$ at 101
$12 / 10$ at 101

9/11 at 100
$5 / 14$ at 101
$12 / 11$ at 100
$2 / 16$ at 101

PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000)

DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS
$9 / 16$ at 100
$9 / 16$ at 100
$4 / 18$ at 100

No Opt. Ca
$12 / 11$ at 101
$12 / 16$ at 100
$12 / 13$ at 100

No Opt. Ca
$12 / 13$ at 100

21,256 Total Colorado
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - $0.1 \%$ ( $0.1 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
225 District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001, 6.500\%, 5/15/33

FLORIDA - 12.3\% (9.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
1,490 Aberdeen Community Development District, Florida, Special 5/14 at 100 Assessment Bonds, Series 2005, 5.500\%, 5/01/36
7,445 Beacon Lakes Community Development District, Florida, Special 5/13 at 101 Assessment Bonds, Series 2003A, 6.900\%, 5/01/35
700 Broward County, Florida, Airport Facility Revenue Bonds, Learjet Inc., Series 2000, 7.500\%, 11/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,120 Century Gardens Community Development District, Miami-Dade County, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.900\%, 5/01/34
8,360 Harmony Community Development District, Florida, Special 5/14 at 103 Assessment Bonds, Series 2001, 7.250\%, 5/01/32
415 Islands at Doral Northeast Community Development District, Miami-Dade County, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2004, 6.125\%, 5/01/24
3,000 Jacksonville, Florida, Economic Development Commission Health Care Facilities Revenue Bonds, The Florida Proton Therapy Institute Project, Series 2007, 6.250\%, 9/01/27
2,000 Martin County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, 12/09 at 100 Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, Indiantown Cogeneration LP, Series 1994A, 7.875\%, 12/15/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,600 Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Series 10/18 at 100 2008, Trust 1145, 11.664\%, 10/01/38 - AGC Insured

No Opt. C

COLORADO (continued)
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue
Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2006A, Trust 1088:
$\$ \quad 1,335$
345

3,145 Kit Carson County Health Service District, Colorado, Health Care Facility Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 6.750\%, 1/01/34
1,250 Mesa County, Colorado, Residential Care Facilities Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Hilltop Community Resources Inc. Obligated Group, Series 2001A, 5.250\%, 12/01/21 - RAAI Insured
1,000 Mountain Shadows Metropolitan District, Colorado, General Obligation Limited Tax Bonds, Series 2007, 5.500\%, 12/01/27
1,995 Park Creek Metropolitan District, Colorado, Limited Tax Obligation Revenue Bonds, Series 2003CR-2, 7.875\%, 12/01/32 (Mandatory put 12/01/13)
3,565 Public Authority for Colorado Energy, Natural Gas Revenue Bonds, Colorado Springs Utilities, Series 2008, 6.500\%, 11/15/38
500 Tallyn's Reach Metropolitan District 3, Aurora, Colorado, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 6.750\%, 12/01/33

| 21,256 | Total Colorado |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225 | DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - $0.1 \%$ ( $0.1 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001, 6.500\%, 5/15/33 | No Opt. Ca |
| 1,490 | FLORIDA - 12.3\% (9.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Aberdeen Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2005, 5.500\%, 5/01/36 | $5 / 14$ at 100. |
| 7,445 | Beacon Lakes Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2003A, 6.900\%, 5/01/35 | $5 / 13$ at 101. |
| 700 | Broward County, Florida, Airport Facility Revenue Bonds, Learjet Inc., Series 2000, 7.500\%, 11/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/14 at 101. |
| 1,120 | Century Gardens Community Development District, Miami-Dade County, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.900\%, 5/01/34 | $5 / 14$ at 101. |
| 8,360 | Harmony Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2001, 7.250\%, 5/01/32 | $5 / 14$ at 103. |
| 415 | Islands at Doral Northeast Community Development District, Miami-Dade County, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2004, 6.125\%, 5/01/24 | $5 / 14$ at 101. |
| 3,000 | Jacksonville, Florida, Economic Development Commission Health Care Facilities Revenue Bonds, The Florida Proton Therapy Institute Project, Series 2007, 6.250\%, 9/01/27 | $9 / 17$ at 100. |
| 2,000 | Martin County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, Indiantown Cogeneration LP, Series 1994A, 7.875\%, 12/15/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/09 at 100. |
| 1,600 | Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, Trust 1145, 11.664\%, 10/01/38 - AGC Insured | 10/18 at 100. |


|  | (Alternative Minimum Tax) (TF) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 970 | Old Palm Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Palm Beach Gardens, Series 2004A, 5.900\%, 5/01/35 | $5 / 15$ at 101 |
| 3,710 | Palm Beach County Housing Finance Authority, Florida, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Lake Delray Apartments, Series 1999A, 6.400\%, 1/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $7 / 12$ at 100 |
| 1,955 | Pine Island Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Bella Collina, Series 2004, 5.750\%, 5/01/35 | $5 / 12$ at 101 |
| 1,000 | Sarasota County Health Facility Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Sarasota-Manatee Jewish Housing Council, Inc., Series 2007, 5.750\%, 7/01/45 | $7 / 17$ at 100 |
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NMZ | Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (continued)
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

ILLINOIS (continued)
\$ 998 Volo Village, Illinois, Special Service Area 3 Special Tax $3 / 16$ at 102
Bonds, Symphony Meadows Project 1, Series 2006, 6.000\%,
3/01/36 (Mandatory put 2/29/16)
1,000 Yorkville United City Business District, Illinois, Storm $1 / 17$ at 102 Water and Water Improvement Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 6.000\%, 1/01/26
960 Yorkville, Illinois, Special Service Area 2005-108 Assessment $3 / 16$ at 102 Bonds, Autumn Creek Project, Series 2006, 6.000\%, 3/01/36

OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS

```
            9 9 8 ~ V o l o ~ V i l l a g e , ~ I l l i n o i s , ~ S p e c i a l ~ S e r v i c e ~ A r e a ~ 3 ~ S p e c i a l ~ T a x ~ 3 / 1 6 ~ a t ~ 1 0 2 , ~
                Bonds, Autumn Creek Project, Series 2006, 6.000%, 3/01/36
    26,171 Total Illinois
                INDIANA - 10.7% (8.0% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
    6,360 Carmel Redevelopment District, Indiana, Tax Increment Revenue 7/12 at 103.
                Bonds, Series 2004A, 6.650%, 1/15/24
```



PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000)
DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

|  |  | MARYLAND - 1.2\% (0.9\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 1,000 | Baltimore, Maryland, Senior Lien Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.250\%, 9/01/39 - SYNCORA GTY Insured | $9 / 16$ at 100. |
|  | 2,000 | Maryland Energy Financing Administration, Revenue Bonds, AES Warrior Run Project, Series 1995, 7.400\%, 9/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $3 / 10$ at 100. |
|  | 350 | Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, MedStar Health, Series 2004, 5.500\%, 8/15/33 | $8 / 14$ at 100. |
|  | 435 | Prince George's County, Maryland, Revenue Bonds, Dimensions Health Corporation, Series 1994, 5.300\%, 7/01/24 | $1 / 10$ at 100. |

3,785 Total Maryland
MASSACHUSETTS - 0.5\% (0.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
465 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Pioneer Valley No Opt. Ca Resource Recovery Revenue Bonds, Eco/Springfield LLC, Series 2006, 5.875\%, 7/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,350 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,
$7 / 14$ at 100 Revenue Bonds, Northern Berkshire Community Services Inc., Series 2004B, 6.375\%, 7/01/34

1,815 Total Massachusetts
MICHIGAN - $4.2 \%$ (3.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
1,210 Countryside Charter School, Berrien County, Michigan, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Series 1999, 7.000\%, 4/01/29
855 Countryside Charter School, Berrien County, Michigan, Charte School Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, 8.000\%, 4/01/29
Detroit Local Development Finance Authority, Michigan, Tax Increment Bonds, Series 1998A:
1,410 5.500\%, 5/01/21 5.500\%, 5/01/21 - ACA Insured

Garden City Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Garden City Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2007A:
1,000 4.875\%, 8/15/27
$1,000 \quad 5.000 \%$, 8/15/38
1,000 Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Chandler Park Academy Project, Series 2008, 6.500\%, 11/01/35
1,000 Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Richfield Public School Academy, Series 2007, 5.000\%, 9/01/36
3,580 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 1993B, 5.500\%, 8/15/23
500 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community Hospital, Series 2005, 5.000\%, 5/15/30 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15)
1,500 Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Hills and Dales General Hospital, Series 2005A, 6.750\%, 11/15/38
1,000 Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont Hospital, Refunding Series
$4 / 10$ at 100
$4 / 10$ at 100
$11 / 09$ at 101
$5 / 10$ at 100
$8 / 17$ at 100
$8 / 17$ at 100
$11 / 15$ at 100
$9 / 17$ at 100
$2 / 10$ at 100
$5 / 15$ at 100
$11 / 15$ at 102
$9 / 18$ at 100

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form N-CSR

| 15,070 | Total Michigan |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MINNESOTA - $1.6 \%$ (1.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Minneapolis, Minnesota, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, Riverton Community Housing Project, Series 2000: |  |
| 100 | 7.200\%, 7/01/14 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) | $7 / 10$ at 100. |
| 100 | 7.300\%, 7/01/15 (Pre-refunded 7/01/10) | $7 / 10$ at 100. |
| 1,325 | Ramsey, Anoka County, Minnesota, Charter School Lease Revenue Bonds, PACT Charter School, Series 2004A, 6.750\%, 12/01/33 | $6 / 14$ at 102. |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

PROVISIONS


## 1,926 Total Mississippi

MISSOURI - 3.0\% (2.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
2,000 Branson Regional Airport Transportation Development District, 7/17 at 100 Missouri, Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B, 6.000\%, 7/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,000 Hanley Road Corridor Transportation Development District, 10/19 at 100 Brentwood and Maplewood, Missouri, Transportation Sales Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.875\%, 10/01/36
5,935 Missouri Environmental Improvement and Energy Resources $12 / 16$ at 100 Authority, Water Facility Revenue Bonds, Missouri-American Water Company, Series 2006, 4.600\%, 12/01/36 - AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) (UB)
1,300 Saint Louis Industrial Development Authority, Missouri, Saint $12 / 10$ at 102

| 795 | Louis Convention Center Headquarters Hotel Project, Series 2000A, 7.250\%, 12/15/35 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (6) Saint Louis, Missouri, Tax Increment Financing Revenue Bonds, Grace Lofts Redevelopment Projects, Series 2007A, 6.000\%, 3/27/26 | $6 / 10$ at 100. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,030 | Total Missouri |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5,200 \\ & 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | MONTANA - 1.9\% (1.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Montana Board of Investments, Exempt Facility Revenue Bonds, Stillwater Mining Company, Series 2000, 8.000\%, 7/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> Montana Board of Investments, Resource Recovery Revenue Bonds, Yellowstone Energy LP, Series 1993, 7.000\%, 12/31/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $7 / 10 \text { at } 101 .$ <br> No Opt. Ca |
| 7,200 | Total Montana |  |
| 6,485 | ```NEBRASKA - 3.4% (2.5% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Omaha Public Power District, Nebraska, Separate Electric System Revenue Bonds, Nebraska City 2, Series 2006A, 19.714%, 2/01/49 - AMBAC Insured (IF)``` | $2 / 17$ at 100. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 1,440 \\ & 1,000 \\ & 4,500 \end{aligned}$ | NEVADA - 0.7\% (0.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Clark County, Nevada, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, Nevada Power Company, Series 1997A, 5.900\%, 11/01/32 <br> (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> Clark County, Nevada, Local Improvement Bonds, Mountain's Edge Special Improvement District 142, Series 2003, 6.375\%, 8/01/23 <br> Director of Nevada State Department of Business and Industry, Revenue Bonds, Las Vegas Monorail Project, First Tier, Series 2000, 5.375\%, 1/01/40 - AMBAC Insured <br> Director of Nevada State Department of Business and Industry, Revenue Bonds, Las Vegas Monorail Project, Second Tier, Series 2000, 7.375\%, 1/01/40 (6) | $11 / 09$ at 100. <br> $8 / 16$ at 100. <br> $1 / 10$ at 100. <br> $1 / 10$ at 102. |
| 7,440 | Total Nevada |  |

[^2]NEW JERSEY - $2.6 \%$ (1.9\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
$\$$

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS
1,500 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Cigarette Tax
Revenue Bonds, Series $2004,5.750 \% 6 / 15 / 29$
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS

PENNSYLVANIA - $2.7 \%$ (2.0\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
$\$ 420 \quad$ Allentown Area Hospital Authority, Pennsylvania, Revenue
985 Berks County Industrial Development Authority, Pennsylvania,
$11 / 17$ at 101
First Mortgage Revenue Bonds, One Douglassville Properties
Project, Series 2007A, 6.125\%, 11/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,000 Chester County Health and Education Facilities Authority, $10 / 15$ at 102
Pennsylvania, Revenue Bonds, Immaculata University, Series
2005, 5.750\%, 10/15/37
400 Chester County Industrial Development Authority,
$12 / 17$ at 100
Pennsylvania, Avon Grove Charter School Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 6.375\%, 12/15/37
750 New Morgan Industrial Development Authority, Pennsylvania,
$4 / 10$ at 100
Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, New Morgan Landfill
Company Inc., Series 1994, 6.500\%, 4/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
4,000 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, 6/12 at 102
Revenue Bonds, Amtrak 30th Street Station Parking Garage, Series 2002, 5.800\%, 6/01/23 - ACA Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)

| 8,555 | Total Pennsylvania |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,000 | PUERTO RICO - $0.8 \%$ ( $0.6 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax <br> Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series 2009A, 0.000\%, 8/01/32 | $8 / 26$ at 100. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 4,835 \end{aligned}$ | RHODE ISLAND - $1.9 \%$ ( $1.4 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Rhode Island Student Loan Authority, Student Loan Program <br> Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 6.750\%, 12/01/28 (Alternative <br> Minimum Tax) <br> Rhode Island Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, <br> Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2002A, <br> 6.250\%, 6/01/42 | $12 / 17 \text { at } 100 .$ $6 / 12 \text { at } 100 .$ |
| 5,835 | Total Rhode Island |  |
| 4,000 | SOUTH CAROLINA - $1.6 \%$ ( $1.2 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Lancaster County, South Carolina, Assessment Bonds, Edgewater | $11 / 17$ at 100. |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL CI PROVISIONS


14,975 Total Washington

| WEST VIRGINIA - $0.6 \% ~(0.5 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 500 | Ohio County Commission, West Virginia, Special District | $3 / 16$ at 100 | Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Fort Henry Economic Development, Series 2006B, 5.625\%, 3/01/36

500 Ohio County Commission, West Virginia, Tax Increment Revenue No Opt. Ca Bonds, Fort Henry Centre Financing District, Series 2007A, 5.850\%, 6/01/34

1,000 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue 9/14 at 100 Bonds, Charleston Area Medical Center, Series 2009A, 5.500\%, 9/01/28
 Wisconsin, Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 7.750\%, 6/01/16 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14)

| 2,300 | Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Aurora Health Care Inc., Series 1999A, 5.600\%, 2/15/29 - ACA Insured | $2 / 10$ at 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,500 | Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, <br> Revenue Bonds, Marshfield Clinic, Series 1997, 5.750\%, 2/15/27 - NPFG Insured | $2 / 10$ at 100 |
| 1,000 | Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, <br> Revenue Bonds, Southwest Health Center Inc., Series 2004A, 6.250\%, 4/01/34 | $4 / 14$ at 100 |
| 1,000 | Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, <br> Revenue Bonds, ThedaCare, Inc., Series 2009A, 5.500\%, 12/15/38 | $12 / 19$ at 100 |
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Investments in Derivatives
FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT OCTOBER 31, 2009:

|  | FUND |  |  | FIXED RATE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOTIONAL | PAY/RECEIVE | FLOATING RATE | FIXED RATE | PAYMENT | EFFEC |
| COUNTERPARTY | AMOUNT | FLOATING RATE | INDEX | (ANNUALIZED) | FREQUENCY | DATE |
| Barclays Bank PLC | \$15,000, 000 | Receive | 3-Month USD-LIBOR | $4.675 \%$ | Semi-Annually | $7 / 2$ |
| JPMorgan | 5,000,000 | Receive | 3-Month USD-LIBOR | 3.413 | Semi-Annually | $4 / 0$ |
| Royal Bank of Canada | $3,000,000$ | Receive | 3-Month USD-LIBOR | 3.327 | Semi-Annually | $4 / 2$ |

(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
(2)

Purchased on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis.
(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

USD-LIBOR United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000)
DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS

| \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,290 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | ALABAMA - $1.8 \%$ ( $1.5 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, <br> Alabama, Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health System Inc., Series <br> 2005A, 5.250\%, 11/15/20 <br> Phenix City Industrial Development Board, Alabama, <br> Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, MeadWestvaco <br> Corporation, Series 2002A, 6.350\%, 5/15/35 (Alternative <br> Minimum Tax) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 15 \text { at } 100 . \\ & 5 / 12 \text { at } 100 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3,290 | Total Alabama |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 2,575 \\ & 5,325 \\ & 500 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | ARIZONA - 5.0\% (4.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 6.200\%, 7/15/32 <br> Quechan Indian Tribe of the Fort Yuma Reservation, Arizona, Government Project Bonds, Series 2007, 7.000\%, 12/01/27 <br> Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000\%, 12/01/37 <br> The Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima Education Revenue Bonds, Arizona, Legacy Traditional School Project, Series 2009, 8.500\%, 7/01/39 <br> Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Exempt Revenue Bonds, Far West Water \& Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375\%, 12/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $7 / 17$ at 100. <br> $12 / 17$ at 102. <br> No Opt. Ca <br> No Opt. Ca <br> $12 / 17$ at 100. |
|  | 10,400 | Total Arizona |  |
|  | 125 | ARKANSAS - $0.1 \%$ ( $0.1 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Little River County, Arkansas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Georgia-Pacific Corporation, Series 1998, 5.600\%, 10/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $4 / 10$ at 100 |

CALIFORNIA - $13.2 \%$ (11.4\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
California Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds,
Dominican University, Series $2006,5.000 \%, 12 / 01 / 36$

1,020 California Housing Finance Agency, California, Home Mortgage $8 / 17$ at 100 Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B, 5.000\%, 2/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
1,825 California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue $7 / 15$ at 100 Bonds, Daughters of Charity Health System, Series 2005A, 5. 250\%, 7/01/35

2,000 California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue $7 / 18$ at 100 Bonds, St. Joseph Health System, Series 2007C, 5.750\%, 7/01/47 - FGIC Insured
1,350 California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue $11 / 16$ at 100 Bonds, Sutter Health, Tender Option Bond Trust 3048, 13.180\%, 11/15/46 (IF)

3,150 California Statewide Community Development Authority, Revenue $11 / 16$ at 100 Bonds, Sutter Health, Tender Option Bond Trust 3102, 15.445\%, 11/15/46 (IF)

Daly City Housing Development Finance Agency, California, Mobile Home Park Revenue Bonds, Franciscan Mobile Home Park Refunding, Series 2007A:

| 2,000 | 5.000\%, 12/15/37 | 12/17 at 100. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,990 | 6.500\%, 12/15/47 | $12 / 17$ at 100. |
| 1,370 | Elk Grove Community Facilities District 2005-1, California, Special Tax Bonds, Series 2007, 5.250\%, 9/01/37 <br> Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-1: | $9 / 15$ at 102. |
| 4,000 | 5.750\%, 6/01/47 | $6 / 17$ at 100. |
| 2,500 | 5.125\%, 6/01/47 | $6 / 17$ at 100. |
| 1,000 | Lathrop Financing Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Water Supply Project Series 2003, 6.000\%, 6/01/35 | $6 / 13$ at 100. |
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## PRINCIPAL

AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C PROVISIONS


## 27,205 Total California

|  | COLORADO - 6.7\% (5.8\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,500 | Arista Metropolitan District, Colorado, Special Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 9.250\%, 12/01/37 | 12/15 at 100. |
| 1,520 | Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Windsor Academy, Series 2007A, 5.700\%, 5/01/37 | $5 / 17$ at 100. |
| 2,000 | Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, <br> Revenue Bonds, Pikes Peak School of Expeditionary Learning Charter School, Series 2008, 6.625\%, 6/01/38 | $6 / 18$ at 102. |
| 1,480 | Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2006A, 5.000\%, 9/01/41 | $9 / 16$ at 100. |
| 5,045 | Colorado Housing and Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Confluence Energy LLC Project, Series 2007, 6.750\%, 4/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $4 / 17$ at 100. |
| 1,000 | Plaza Metropolitan District 1, Lakewood, Colorado, Tax Increment Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 8.000\%, 12/01/25 | $6 / 14$ at 101. |
| 1,700 | Public Authority for Colorado Energy, Natural Gas Revenue Bonds, Colorado Springs Utilities, Series 2008, 6.500\%, 11/15/38 | No Opt. Ca |


| 14,245 | Total Colorado |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1,000 | FLORIDA - $16.0 \%$ (13.8\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |
| $1,000 \quad$ | Beeline Community Development District, Palm Beach County, |


|  | Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2008A, 7.000\%, 5/01/37 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | Colonial Country Club Community Development District, Florida, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 6.400\%, 5/01/33 | $5 / 13$ at 101 |
| 2,000 | Escambia County, Florida, Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, International Paper Company Projects, Series 2006B, 5.000\%, 8/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $8 / 11$ at 100 |
| 1,320 | Fishhawk Community Development District II, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 6.125\%, 5/01/34 | 5/14 at |
| 2,000 | Habitat Community Development District, Florida, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.850\%, 5/01/35 | No Opt |
| 1,000 | Martin County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, Indiantown Cogeneration LP, Series 1994A, 7.875\%, 12/15/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/09 at 100 |
| 2,915 | Old Palm Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Palm Beach Gardens, Series 2004A, 5.900\%, 5/01/35 | $5 / 15$ at 101 |
| 1,500 | Palm Glades Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bond, Series 2008A, 7.125\%, 5/01/39 | $5 / 18$ at 100 |
| 1,180 | Pine Island Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Bella Collina, Series 2004, 5.750\%, 5/01/35 | $5 / 12$ at 101 |
| 995 | Poinciana West Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2007, 6.000\%, 5/01/37 | $5 / 17$ at 100 |
| 970 | Reunion West Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2004, 6.250\%, 5/01/36 | $5 / 12$ at 101 |
| 3,800 | South Miami Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health Systems of South Florida, Trust 1030, 13.222\%, 8/15/37 (IF) | $8 / 17$ at 100 |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

OPTIONAL C
PROVISIONS

|  |  | FLORIDA (continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 6,000 | Split Pine Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.250\%, 5/01/39 | $5 / 17$ at 100 |
|  | 4,345 | Stoneybrook Venice Community Development District, Florida, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 6.750\%, 5/01/38 | $5 / 18$ at 100. |
|  | 3,450 | Tolomato Community Development District, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2006, 5.400\%, 5/01/37 | $5 / 14$ at 101. |
|  | 2,000 | Westchester Community Development District 1, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Series 2003, 6.125\%, 5/01/35 | $5 / 13$ at 101. |
|  | 36,475 | Total Florida |  |
|  | 845 | ```GEORGIA - 0.8% (0.7% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Effingham County Development Authority, Georgia, Solid Waste``` | $7 / 10$ at 100 | Disposal Revenue Bonds, Ft. James Project, Series 1998,



| 4,000 1,000 | Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Westlake Chemical Corporation Project, Series 2007, 6.750\%, 11/01/32 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Southgate Suites Hotel LLC Project, Series 2007A, 6.750\%, 12/15/37 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Louisiana, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.875\%, 5/15/39 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 17 \text { at } 100 \\ & 5 / 11 \text { at } 101 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,500 | Total Louisiana |  |
| 90 | MASSACHUSETTS - 0.0\% (0.0\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Boston Industrial Development Financing Authority, Massachusetts, Senior Revenue Bonds, Crosstown Center Project, Series 2002, 6.500\%, 9/01/35 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $9 / 12$ at 102 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 1,750 \\ 20 \\ 325 \end{array}$ | MICHIGAN - 1.3\% (1.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Garden City Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Garden City Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2007A, 4.875\%, 8/15/27 <br> Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, American Montessori Academy, Series 2007, 6.500\%, 12/01/37 <br> Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 1998A, 5.250\%, 8/15/23 <br> Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Medical Center Obligated Group, Series 1997A, 5.250\%, 8/15/27 - AMBAC Insured | $8 / 17$ at 100 <br> $12 / 17$ at 100 <br> $2 / 10$ at 100 <br> $2 / 10$ at 100 |
| 3,095 | Total Michigan |  |
| 3,000 | MINNESOTA - 1.5\% (1.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> St. Paul Housing and Redevelopment Authority, Minnesota, Revenue Bonds, Healtheast Inc., Series 2005, 6.000\%, 11/15/35 | 11/15 at 100 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 1,000 \\ 40 \\ 1,000 \\ 1,953 \end{array}$ | MISSOURI - 2.6\% (2.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Hanley Road Corridor Transportation Development District, Brentwood and Maplewood, Missouri, Transportation Sales Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.875\%, 10/01/36 <br> Missouri Development Finance Board. Infrastructure Facilities Revenue Bonds, City of Independence, Missouri - Events Center Project, Series 2009F, 6.250\%, 4/01/38 <br> Saint Louis Industrial Development Authority, Missouri, Saint Louis Convention Center Headquarters Hotel Project, Series 2000A, 7.000\%, 12/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (5) Saint Louis, Missouri, Orpheum Theater Community Improvement District, Property and Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 9.000\%, 3/01/29 <br> Saint Louis, Missouri, Tax Increment Financing Revenue Bonds, Fashion Square Redevelopment Project, Series 2008A, 6.300\%, 8/22/26 | $10 / 19$ at 100 <br> $4 / 14$ at 100 <br> $12 / 10$ at 102 <br> No Opt. Ca <br> $3 / 10$ at 100 |
| 4,993 | Total Missouri |  |
| 715 | MONTANA - 0.3\% (0.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Montana Board of Investments, Resource Recovery Revenue Bonds, Yellowstone Energy LP, Series 1993, 7.000\%, 12/31/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | No Opt. Ca |
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$\$ 1,000 \quad$ NEW HAMPSHIRE - $0.5 \% ~(0.5 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
New Hampshire Business Finance Authority, Solid Waste
Disposal Revenue Bonds, Waste Management Inc. Project,
Series $2002,5.200 \%, 5 / 01 / 27$ (Alternative Minimum Tax)

NEW JERSEY - 4.5\% (3.9\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facilities
Revenue Bonds, Continental Airlines Inc., Series 1999:
3,000 6.250\%, 9/15/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 9/11 at 100
$556.400 \%$, 9/15/23 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 3/10 at 101
$2406.250 \%$, 9/15/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax) 3/10 at 101
25 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Special Facilities 11/10 at 101
Revenue Bonds, Continental Airlines Inc., Series 2000,
$7.000 \%$, 11/15/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
3,200 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, New $7 / 18$ at 100 Jersey, Revenue Bonds, Saint Peters University Hospital, Series 2007, 5.750\%, 7/01/37
1,000 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,
No Opt. Ca
Revenue Bonds, Saint Joseph's Healthcare System Obligated
Group Issue, Series 2008, 6.000\%, 7/01/18
700 New Jersey Turnpike Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2009E, $1 / 19$ at 100
5.250\%, 1/01/40

| 8,220 | Total New Jersey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | NEW MEXICO - 0.2\% ( $0.2 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Montecito Estates Public Improvement District, New Mexico, Special Levee Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 7.000\%, 10/01/37 | $10 / 17$ at 100. |
| 1,000 | NEW YORK - 1.4\% (1.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> New York City Industrial Development Agency, New York, | $8 / 18$ at 100. |


| 1,030 700 | American Airlines-JFK International Airport Special <br> Facility Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 7.625\%, 8/01/25 (Mandatory put 8/01/16) (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> New York City Industrial Development Agency, New York, Civic Facility Revenue Bonds, Special Needs Facilities Pooled Program, Series 2008A-1, 5.800\%, 7/01/23 <br> Seneca Nation of Indians Capital Improvements Authority, New York, Special Obligation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.250\%, 12/01/16 | $7 / 16 \text { at } 101$ <br> No Opt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,730 | Total New York |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,970 \\ & 1,260 \\ & 1,740 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | ```NORTH CAROLINA - 3.1% (2.6% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Albemarle Hospital Authority, North Carolina, Health Care Facilities Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.250%, 10/01/38 Charlotte-Mecklenberg Hospital Authority, North Carolina, Carolinas HealthCare System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, Trust 1149-3, 13.204%, 1/15/47 (IF) North Carolina Capital Facilities Financing Agency, Educational Facilities Revenue Bond, Meredith College, Series 2008A: 6.000%, 6/01/31 6.125%, 6/01/35``` | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 17 \text { at } 100 \\ & 1 / 18 \text { at } 100 \\ & \\ & 6 / 18 \text { at } 100 \\ & 6 / 18 \text { at } 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5,970 | Total North Carolina |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4,845 \\ & 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | OHIO - 2.9\% (2.5\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-2, 5.875\%, 6/01/47 <br> Western Reserve Port Authority, Ohio, Solid Waste Facility Revenue Bonds, Central Waste Inc., Series 2007A, 6.350\%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 / 17 \text { at } 100 \\ & 7 / 17 \text { at } 102 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6,845 | Total Ohio |  |


| \$ |  | OKLAHOMA - 0.4\% (0.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 165 | Oklahoma Development Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Saint John Health System, Series 2007, 5.000\%, 2/15/42 | $2 / 17$ at 100 |
|  | 45 | Tulsa Municipal Airport Trust, Oklahoma, Revenue Bonds, American Airlines Inc., Series 1995, 6.250\%, 6/01/20 | $12 / 09$ at 100 |
|  | 500 | Tulsa Municipal Airport Trust, Oklahoma, Revenue Refunding Bonds, American Airlines Inc., Series 2004A, 7.750\%, 6/01/35 (Mandatory put 12/01/14) | No Opt. C |
|  | 710 | Total Oklahoma |  |
|  | 25 | OREGON - 0.1\% (0.1\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) Oregon, Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Georgia Pacific Corp., Series 1995CLVII, 6.350\%, 8/01/25 (Alternative | $2 / 10$ at 100 |



PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000)

OPTIONAL CA PROVISIONS

TEXAS - $12.0 \%$ (10.3\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Alliance Airport Authority, Texas, Special Facilities Revenue
Bonds, American Airlines Inc., Series 2007:
$\$$

$$
1,000
$$

5.250\%, 12/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)

1,000 5.750\%, 12/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
440 Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 2001C, 5.750\%, 5/01/36 (Mandatory put 11/01/11) (Alternative Minimum Tax)
2,100 Clifton Higher Education Finance Corporation, Texas, No Opt. Ca Education Revenue Bonds, Tejano Center for Community Concerns, Inc.-Raul Yzaguirre School for Success, Refunding Series 2009A, 8.750\%, 2/15/28
3,000 Danbury Higher Education Authority Inc., Texas, Golden Rule Charter School Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 6.500\%, 8/15/38
995 Hidalgo Willacy Housing Finance Corporation, Texas,
Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Heritage Square
Apartments Project, Series 2003A, 7.000\%, 1/01/39
1,330 La Vernia Higher Education Financing Corporation, Texas, Education Revenue Bonds, Amigos Por Vida Friends For Life Public Charter School, Series 2008, 6.375\%, 2/15/37
335 North Texas Thruway Authority, Second Tier System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008, 5.750\%, 1/01/38
110 Sabine River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, TXU Energy Company LLC Project, Series 2001B, $5.750 \%$, 5/01/30 (Mandatory put 11/01/11) (Alternative Minimum Tax)
385 Sabine River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 2001A, 5.500\%, 5/01/22 (Mandatory put 11/01/11)

3,000 Sabine River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue $8 / 13$ at 101 Refunding Bonds, TXU Energy Company LLC Project, Series 2003B, 6.150\%, 8/01/22
4,255 Tarrant County Cultural and Educational Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Texas Health Resources Project, Trust 1031, 12.000\%, 2/15/36 (IF)
500 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corporation I, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008D, 6.250\%, 12/15/26
1,000 Texas Public Finance Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, School of Excellence Charter School, Series 2004A, 7.000\%, 12/01/34
5,000 Texas Turnpike Authority, First Tier Revenue Bonds, Central $8 / 12$ at 100 Texas Turnpike System, Series 2002A, 5.000\%, 8/15/42AMBAC Insured
$2 / 16$ at 100

No Opt. Ca
$12 / 14$ at 100
$12 / 12$ at 100
$12 / 10$ at 100
No Opt. Ca
$2 / 18$ at 100
$1 / 14$ at 102
$1 / 18$ at 100

No Opt. Ca
$2 / 17$ at 100

No Opt. Ca

24,450 Total Texas

UTAH - 3.7\% (3.2\% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
Utah State Charter School Finance Authority, Noah Webster Academy Revenue Bonds, Series:
$5006.250 \%, 6 / 15 / 28$ 6/17 at 100
$1,4306.500 \%$ 6/15/38 6/17 at 100
5,550 Utah State Charter School Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Summit Academy Project, Series 2007A, 5.800\%, 6/15/38

| 7,480 | Total Utah |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | VIRGIN ISLANDS - $1.2 \%$ ( $1.0 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS) <br> Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Refinery Project - Hovensa LLC, Series 2003, 6.125\%, 7/01/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax) <br> Virgin Islands, Senior Secured Revenue Bonds, Government Refinery Facilities - Hovensa LLC Coker, Series 2002, 6.500\%, 7/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 14 \text { at } 100 \\ & 1 / 13 \text { at } 100 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2,000 | Total Virgin Islands |  |
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PRINCIPAL
AMOUNT (000) DESCRIPTION (1)

WISCONSIN - $1.4 \%$ ( $1.2 \%$ OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS)
30 Green Bay Redevelopment Authority, Wisconsin, Industrial No Opt. Ca Development Revenue Bonds, Fort James Project, Series 1999, 5.600\%, 5/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax)
360 Nekoosa, Wisconsin, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Nekoosa No Opt. Ca Paper Inc. Project, Series 1999B, 5.500\%, 7/01/15
1,000 Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, 2/12 at 101 Revenue Bonds, Ministry Healthcare Inc., Tender option Bond Trust 3114, 15.795\%, 2/15/32 - NPFG Insured (IF)
500 Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority,
$8 / 16$ at 100


NMD | Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 (continued)
| Portfolio of Investments October 31, 2009

Investments in Derivatives

FORWARD SWAPS OUTSTANDING AT OCTOBER 31, 2009:


FUTURES CONTRACTS AT OCTOBER 31, 2009:

(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net

```
(2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent
    registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices
    of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call
    provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed
    securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
(3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public
    accounting firm): Using the higher of Standard & Poor's Group
        ("Standard & Poor's") or Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's")
        rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's or Baa by Moody's are
        considered to be below investment grade.
(4) The issuer has received a formal adverse determination from the
        Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") regarding the tax-exempt status
        of the bonds' coupon payments. The Fund will continue to treat coupon
        payments as tax-exempt income until such time that it is formally
        determined that the interest on the bonds should be treated as
        taxable.
(5) The Fund's Adviser has concluded this issue is not likely to meet its
        future interest payment obligations and has directed the Fund's
        custodian to cease accruing additional income on the Fund's records.
(6) Borrowings as a percentage of Total Investments is 17.3%.
(7) Effective date represents the date on which both the Fund and
        counterparty commence interest payment accruals on each forward swap
        contract.
N/R Not rated.
(IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
USD-LIBOR United States Dollar-London Inter-Bank Offered Rate.
See accompanying notes to financial statements.
```
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| Statement of
| Assets \& Liabilities October 31, 2009

ASSETS
Investments, at value (cost $\$ 789,781,632, \$ 729,435,281$ and $\$ 1,178,627,349$, respectively)
Cash
7,678,117
Deposits with brokers for open futures contracts
Unrealized appreciation on forward swaps
Receivables:
Interest $12,786,477$
Investments sold
3,535,220

| Other assets |  | 163,355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets |  | 822,337,054 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| Borrowings |  | -- |
| Cash overdraft |  | -- |
| Floating rate obligations |  | 93,377,000 |
| Unrealized depreciation on forward swaps |  | --- |
| Payables: |  |  |
| Investments purchased |  | 4,148,078 |
| Common share dividends |  | 2,203,429 |
| Preferred share dividends |  | 10,028 |
| Variation margin on futures contracts |  | - - |
| Accrued expenses: |  |  |
| Interest on borrowings |  | -- |
| Management fees |  | 431,008 |
| Shelf offering costs |  | - - |
| Other |  | 557,291 |
| Total liabilities |  | 100,726,834 |
| Preferred shares, at liquidation value |  | 210,700,000 |
| Net assets applicable to Common shares | \$ | 510,910,220 |
| Common shares outstanding |  | 35,820,767 |
| Net asset value per Common share outstanding (net assets applicable |  |  |
| NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES CONSIST OF: |  |  |
| Common shares, \$.01 par value per share | \$ | 358,208 |
| Paid-in surplus |  | 500,074,515 |
| Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income |  | 5,658,233 |
| Accumulated net realized gain (loss) from investments and derivative transactions |  | $(3,572,989)$ |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments and derivative transactions |  | 8,392,253 |
| Net assets applicable to Common shares | \$ | 510,910,220 |
| Authorized shares: |  |  |
| Common |  | 200,000,000 |
| Preferred |  | 1,000,000 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
| Statement of
| Assets \& Liabilities (continued) October 31, 2009


NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES CONSIST OF:
$===================================================================================================1$
Common shares, \$.01 par value per share
Paid-in surplus
198,88

Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income $276,697,00$

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments and derivative
transactions

Net assets applicable to Common shares \$ 275,671,123
$==================================================================================================2$
Authorized shares:
Common
$200,000,000$
Preferred
1, 000,00

```
N/A -- High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) did not issue Preferred shares during the
period November 15, 2007 (commencement of operations) through October 31, 2009.
See accompanying notes to financial statements.
```
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| Statement of
| Operations Year Ended October 31, 2009

|  |  | INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) |  | SE QUA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INVESTMENT INCOME | \$ | 42,770,796 | \$ | 43,596 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Management fees |  | 4,568,922 |  | 4,423 |
| Preferred shares - auction fees |  | 439,950 |  | 520 |
| Preferred shares - dividend disbursing agent fees |  | 50,000 |  | 50 |
| Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses |  | 46,977 |  | 41 |
| Interest expense |  | 807,587 |  | 154 |
| Custodian's fees and expenses |  | 133,368 |  | 132 |
| Directors'/Trustees' fees and expenses |  | 22,124 |  | 22 |
| Professional fees |  | 58,718 |  | 57 |
| Shareholders' reports - printing and mailing expenses |  | 129,309 |  | 128 |
| Stock exchange listing fees |  | 12,322 |  | 11 |
| Investor relations expense |  | 45,126 |  | 45 |
| Shelf offering expenses |  | - |  |  |
| Other expenses |  | 48,215 |  | 48 |
| Total expenses before custodian fee credit and expense reimbursement <br> Custodian fee credit <br> Expense reimbursement |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,362,618 \\ & (26,048) \end{aligned}$ |  | $5,635$ <br> (28 |
| Net expenses |  | 6,336,570 |  | 5,607 |
| Net investment income |  | 36,434,226 |  | 37,989 |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) |  |  |  |  |
| Net realized gain (loss) from: |  |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | $(3,071,534)$ |  | 838 |
| Forward swaps |  | -- |  |  |
| Futures |  | -- |  |  |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of: |  |  |  |  |
| Forward swaps |  | - -- |  |  |
| Futures |  | -- |  |  |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) |  | 68,734,212 |  | 65,487 |
| DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED SHAREHOLDERS |  |  |  |  |
| From net investment income |  | $(1,535,175)$ |  | $(2,102$ |
| From accumulated net realized gains |  | (401,006) |  |  |
| Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares from distributions to Preferred shareholders |  | $(1,936,181)$ |  | $(2,102$ |

Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common
shares from operations \$ 103,232,257 \$ 101,373


See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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```
| Statement of
| Operations (continued) October 31, 2009
```

| PREMIER | HIGH INCO |
| :---: | :---: |
| INCOME | OPPORTUN |
| (NPF) |  |


| INVESTMENT INCOME | \$ 22,852,793 | \$ $28,631,8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXPENSES |  |  |
| Management fees | 2,530,021 | 2,459,1 |
| Preferred shares - auction fees | 251,995 | 207, 6 |
| Preferred shares - dividend disbursing agent fees | 30,000 | 29, |
| Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses | 25,384 | 2, |
| Interest expense | 556,256 | 76, |
| Custodian's fees and expenses | 77,635 | 86,0 |
| Directors'/Trustees' fees and expenses | 12,185 | 10, |
| Professional fees | 36,791 | 327,0 |
| Shareholders' reports - printing and mailing expenses | 80,632 | 87, 5 |
| Stock exchange listing fees | 9,215 | 3, |
| Investor relations expense | 24,901 | 25,5 |
| Shelf offering expenses | -- | 190, 4 |
| Other expenses | 36,342 | 28,0 |
| Total expenses before custodian fee credit and expense reimbursement Custodian fee credit <br> Expense reimbursement | $\begin{aligned} & 3,671,357 \\ & (14,154) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Net expenses | 3,657,203 | 2,707,3 |
| Net investment income | 19,195,590 | 25,924,5 |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) |  |  |
| Net realized gain (loss) from: |  |  |
| Investments | $(7,068,813)$ | $(32,716,0$ |
| Forward swaps | $(4,125,000)$ |  |
| Futures | -- | $(4,745,4$ |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of: |  |  |
| Investments | 47,005,036 | 77,003,7 |
| Forward swaps | 3,882,335 | 294,8 |
| Futures | - -- | (1,213,2 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | 39,693,558 | 38,623,7 |
| DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED SHAREHOLDERS |  |  |
| From net investment income | (1,007, 804 ) | (992, 4 |
| From accumulated net realized gains | -- |  |

```
Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares from
    distributions to Preferred shareholders
    (1,007,804)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common
    shares from operations
    $ 57,881,344
    $ 63,555,8
```



```
N/A -- High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) did not issue Preferred shares during the
period November 15, }2007\mathrm{ (commencement of operations) through October 31, 2009.
    See accompanying notes to financial statements.
8 8 \text { Nuveen Investments}
| Statement of
| Changes in Net Assets
```


Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common
shares from capital share transactions
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares
Net assets applicable to Common shares at the beginning of period

See accompanying notes to financial statements. Nuveen Investments 89
| Statement of
| Changes in Net Assets (continued)

QUALITY INCOME (NQU)

| ------------------------------- |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| YEAR | YEA |
| ENDED | ENDE |
| $10 / 31 / 09$ | $10 / 31 / 0$ |



```
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common
    shares from capital share transactions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares Net assets applicable to Common shares at the beginning of period & \[
\begin{array}{r}
87,389,318 \\
687,592,618
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
(122,493,3 \\
810,086,0
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Net assets applicable to Common shares at the end of period & \$ 774,981,936 & \$ 687,592 \\
\hline Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income at the end of period & \$ 9,681,121 & \$ (369,08 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```
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HIGH INCOME
OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)

|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YEAR } \\ \text { ENDED } \\ 10 / 31 / 09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YEAR } \\ \text { ENDED } \\ 10 / 31 / 08 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATIONS |  |  |  |
| Net investment income | \$ | 25,924,511 | \$ $30,750,774$ |
| Net realized gain (loss) from: |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | $(32,716,070)$ | $(13,697,890$ |
| Forward swaps |  | - -- |  |
| Futures |  | $(4,745,444)$ | $(704,149$ |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of: |  |  |  |
| Investments |  | 77,003,728 | $(122,536,846$ |
| Forward swaps |  | 294,800 |  |
| Futures |  | $(1,213,249)$ | $1,213,249$ |
| Distributions to Preferred Shareholders: |  |  |  |
| From net investment income |  | (992,448) | $(5,489,754$ |
| From accumulated net realized gains |  | -- | $(526,498$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable |  |  | $(110,991,114$ |
| DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS |  |  |  |
| From net investment income |  | $(25,434,721)$ | $(23,458,428$ |
| From accumulated net realized gains |  | -- | $(2,146,329$ |
| Decrease in net assets applicable to Common shares |  |  | $(25,604,757$ |
| CAPITAL SHARE TRANSACTIONS |  |  |  |
| Common shares: |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from sale of shares, net of offering costs |  | -- |  |
| Proceeds from shelf offering, net of offering costs |  | $20,102,553$ | $4,544,766$ |
| Net proceeds from shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions |  | 616,836 | 690,395 |
| Repurchased |  | -- |  |


| shares from capital share transactions | 20,719,389 | 5,235,161 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common shares | 58,840,496 | $(131,360,710$ |
| Net assets applicable to Common shares at the beginning of period | 230,122,873 | 361,483,58 |
| Net assets applicable to Common shares at the end of period | \$ 288,963,369 | \$ $230,122,873$ |
| Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income at the end of period | \$ 1,114,843 | \$ 2,005,779 |

N/A -- High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) did not issue Preferred shares during the period November 15, 2007 (commencement of operations) through October 31, 2009.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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```
| Statement of
| Cash Flows Year ended October 31, 2009
```

INVESTMEN' QUALIT

## CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:

NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES FROM OPERATIONS \$ 103,232,25
Adjustments to reconcile the net increase (decrease) in net assets
applicable to Common shares from operations to net cash provided by
(used in) operating activities:
Purchases of investments (72,943,203
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments
$59,310,79$
Proceeds from (Purchases of) short-term investments, net
$19,450,00$
Proceeds from (Payments for) terminated forward swaps
Proceeds from (Payments for) closed/expired futures contracts
Amortization (Accretion) of premiums and discounts, net
(Increase) Decrease in receivable for interest
(Increase) Decrease in receivable for investments sold
(370, 74
(Increase) Decrease in receivable for variation margin on futures contracts
$(3,525,220$
(Increase) Decrease in other assets
$(82,481$
Increase (Decrease) in payable for investments purchased
(381, 33
Increase (Decrease) in payable for Preferred share dividends
Increase (Decrease) in payable for variation margin on futures contracts
Increase (Decrease) in accrued interest on borrowings
Increase (Decrease) in accrued management fees
Increase (Decrease) in accrued other liabilities
Net realized (gain) loss from investments
Net realized (gain) loss from forward swaps
Net realized (gain) loss from futures
Change in net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of investments Change in net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of forward swaps Taxes paid on undistributed capital gains
(52, 78

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities
$35,308,291$

| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Increase (Decrease) in borrowings |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Increase (Decrease) in cash overdraft balance |  |
| Increase (Decrease) in floating rate obligations | 13,905,000 |
| Cash distributions paid to Common shareholders | $(28,433,475$ |
| Increase (Decrease) in accrued shelf offering costs |  |
| Cost of Common shares repurchased |  |
| Increase (Decrease) in Preferred shares | $(18,750,000$ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | $(33,278,475$ |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH | 2,029,816 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of year | 5,648,301 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE END OF YEAR | 7,678,117 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION
Non-cash financing activities not included herein consist of reinvestments of Common share distributions of $\$ 0, \$ 0$ and $\$ 1,638,496$, for Investment Quality (NQM), Premier Income (NPF) and High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD), respectively.

Cash paid by Investment Quality (NQM), Premier Income (NPF) and High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) for interest was $\$ 807,587, \$ 556,256$ and $\$ 582,789$, respectively.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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```
| Notes to
| Financial Statements
```


## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The funds covered in this report and their corresponding Common share stock exchange symbols are Nuveen Investment Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQM), Nuveen Select Quality Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQS), Nuveen Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (NQU), Nuveen Premier Municipal Income Fund, Inc. (NPF), Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund (NMZ) and Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2 (NMD) (collectively, the "Funds"). Common shares of Investment Quality (NQM), Select Quality (NQS), Quality Income (NQU), Premier Income (NPF) and High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) are traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) while Common shares of High Income Opportunity (NMZ) are traded on the NYSE Amex. The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as closed-end, management investment companies.

Prior to the commencement of operations, High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) had no operations other than those related to organizational matters, the initial capital contribution of $\$ 100,275$ by Nuveen Asset Management (the "Adviser"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen"), and the recording of the organization expenses $(\$ 11,000)$ and their reimbursement by Nuveen Investments, LLC, also a wholly owned subsidiary of Nuveen.

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax by investing primarily in a portfolio of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities or certain U.S. territories.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form N-CSR
In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) established the FASB Accounting Standards Codification(TM) (the "Codification") as the single source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Codification supersedes existing non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. The Codification did not change GAAP but rather organized it into a hierarchy where all guidance within the Codification carries an equal level of authority. The Codification became effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification did not have a material effect on the Funds' financial statements.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with US generally accepted accounting principles.

Investment Valuation

The prices of municipal bonds in each Fund's investment portfolio are provided by a pricing service approved by the Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees. Prices of forward swap contracts are also provided by an independent pricing service approved by each Fund's Board of Directors/Trustees. Futures contracts are valued using the closing settlement price, or, in the absence of such a price, at the mean of the bid and asked prices. When market price quotes are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal securities), the pricing service or, in the absence of a pricing service for a particular investment or derivative instrument, the Board of Directors/Trustees of the Fund, or its designee, may establish fair value using a wide variety of market data including yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from security dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant. Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term investments are valued at amortized cost, which approximates value.

## Investment Transactions

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Investments purchased on a when-issued/delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. Any investments so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to segregate assets with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments. At October 31, 2009, Investment Quality ( NQM ), Select Quality (NQS) and High Income Opportunity (NMZ) had outstanding when issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments of $\$ 4,148,078$, $\$ 3,422,577$ and $\$ 985,299$, respectively. There were no such outstanding purchase commitments in any of the other Funds.

## Investment Income

Interest income, which includes the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts for financial reporting purposes, is recorded on an accrual basis. Investment income also includes paydown gains and losses, if any.

[^3]Professional fees presented on the Statement of Operations consist of legal fees incurred in the normal course of operations, audit fees, tax consulting fees and, in some cases, workout expenditures. Workout expenditures are incurred in an attempt to protect or enhance an investment, or to pursue other claims or legal actions on behalf of Fund shareholders.

Income Taxes

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to distribute substantially all of its net investment income and net capital gains to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter $M$ of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions which will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal income tax, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds. Net realized capital gains and ordinary income distributions paid by the Funds are subject to federal taxation.

For all open tax years and all major taxing jurisdictions, management of the Funds has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions that would require recognition in the financial statements. Open tax years are those that are open for examination by taxing authorities (i.e., generally the last four tax year ends and the interim tax period since then). Furthermore, management of the funds is also not aware of any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months.

Dividends and Distributions to Common Shareholders

Dividends from tax-exempt net investment income are declared monthly. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders at least annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to Common shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from US generally accepted accounting principles.

Preferred Shares

Although authorized, High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) has not issue Preferred shares since its commencement of operations on November 17, 2007. The following Funds have issued and outstanding Preferred shares, $\$ 25,000$ stated value per share, as a means of effecting financial leverage. Each Fund's Preferred shares are issued in more than one Series. The dividend rate paid by the Funds on each Series is determined every seven days, pursuant to a dutch auction process overseen by the auction agent, and is payable at the end of each rate period. As of October 31, 2009, the number of Preferred shares outstanding, by Series and in total, for each Fund is as follows:

| INVESTMENT | SELECT | QUALITY | PREMIER | HIGH INCOME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| QUALITY | QUALITY | INCOME | INCOME | OPPORTUNITY |
| $(N Q M)$ | $(N Q S)$ | $(N Q U)$ | $(N P F)$ | (NMZ) |

Number of shares:

| Series M | 1,750 | 1,801 | 2,567 | 769 | 1,826 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series T | 1,750 | 1,801 | 2,569 | 2,153 | 987 |
| Series W | 1,749 | 2,522 | 2,568 | -- | 987 |
| Series W2 | -- | -- | 1,780 | -- | -- |
| Series TH | 1,429 | 1,405 | 3,423 | 2,152 | -- |
| Series F | 1,750 | 2,522 | 2,568 | -- | -- |
| Total | 8,428 | 10,051 | 15,475 | 5,074 | 3,800 |

Beginning in February 2008, more shares for sale were submitted in the regularly scheduled auctions for the Preferred shares issued by the Funds than there were offers to buy. This meant that these auctions "failed to clear," and that many Preferred shareholders who wanted to sell their shares in these auctions were unable to do so. Preferred shareholders unable to sell their shares received distributions at the "maximum rate" applicable to failed auctions as calculated in accordance with the pre-established terms of the Preferred shares.

These developments have generally not affected the portfolio management or investment policies of the Funds. However, one continuing implication of these auction failures for Common shareholders is that the Funds' cost of leverage will likely be higher at times than it otherwise would have been had the auctions continued to be successful. As a result, the Funds' future Common share earnings may likely have been incrementally lower then they otherwise would have been. As of October 31, 2009, the aggregate amount of outstanding Preferred shares redeemed by each Fund is as follows:

## 94 Nuveen Investments

| INVESTMENT | SELECT | QUALITY |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| QUALITY | QUALITY | INCOME |
| (NQM) | (NQS) | (NQU |

Preferred shares redeemed, at liquidation value $\$ 90,300,000 \quad \$ 27,725,000 \quad \$ 65,125,000 \quad \$$

Organization and Offering Costs
Nuveen Investments, LLC has agreed to reimburse all organizational costs (approximately $\$ 11,000$ ) and pay all Common share offering costs (other than the sales load) that exceed $\$ .03$ per Common share of High Income Opportunity Fund 2 (NMD). High Income Opportunity Fund 2's (NMD) share of Common share offering costs $(\$ 472,500)$ were recorded as reductions of the proceeds from the sale of Common shares.

## Common Shares Shelf Offering

During the current fiscal year, High Income Opportunity (NMZ) filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) to issue the remaining $1,900,000$ Common shares through its ongoing shelf offering which became effective with the SEC on September 24, 2007, authorizing the Fund to issue up to 2,400,000 Common shares. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, the Fund had issued 1,900,000 Common shares, receiving aggregate offering proceeds of $\$ 20,102,553$. In addition, the Fund filed another registration statement with the SEC to register an additional 2,600,000 Common shares, which
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registration statement was not yet effective with the SEC as of October 31, 2009. Under this new equity shelf program, the Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional equity capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Fund's NAV per Common share.

On October 30, 2009, a registration statement filed by High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) with the SEC became effective authorizing the Fund to issue 1,600,000 Common shares through a shelf offering, As of October 31, 2009, the Fund had not issued any Common shares through the shelf offering. Under this equity shelf program, the Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional equity capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Fund's NAV per Common share.

## Shelf Offering Costs

Costs incurred by High Income Opportunity (NMZ) in connection with the offering of its additional Common shares are recorded as a deferred charge which are amortized over the period such additional Common shares are sold not to exceed the one-year life of the shelf offering period.

Inverse Floating Rate Securities

Each Fund is authorized to invest in inverse floating rate securities. An inverse floating rate security is created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. In turn, this trust (a) issues floating rate certificates, in face amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, that typically pay short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties, and (b) issues to a long-term investor (such as one of the Funds) an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") that represents all remaining or residual interest in the trust. The income received by the inverse floater holder varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the inverse floater holder bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk and also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond's value. The price of an inverse floating rate security will be more volatile than that of the underlying bond because the interest rate is dependent on not only the fixed coupon rate of the underlying bond but also on the short-term interest paid on the floating rate certificates, and because the inverse floating rate security essentially bears the risk of loss of the greater face value of the underlying bond.

A Fund may purchase an inverse floating rate security in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond (referred to as an "externally-deposited inverse floater"), or instead by first selling a fixed-rate bond to a broker-dealer for deposit into the special purpose trust and receiving in turn the residual interest in the trust (referred to as a "self-deposited inverse floater"). The inverse floater held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (a) to cause the holders of the floating rate certificates to tender their notes at par, and (b) to have the broker transfer the fixed-rate bond held by the trust to the Fund, thereby collapsing the trust. An investment in an externally-deposited inverse floater is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as "(IF) - Inverse floating rate investment." An investment in a self-deposited inverse floater is accounted for as a financing transaction. In such instances, a fixed-rate bond deposited into a special purpose trust is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as "(UB) - Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction," with the Fund accounting for the short-term floating rate certificates issued by the trust as "Floating rate obligations" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. In addition, the Fund reflects in "Investment Income" the entire earnings of the underlying bond and recognizes the related interest paid to the holders of the
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```
short-term floating rate certificates as "Interest expense on floating rate
obligations" on the Statement of Operations.
During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, each Fund invested in
externally-deposited inverse floaters and/or self-deposited inverse floaters.
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Each Fund may also enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements (sometimes referred to as a "recourse trust" or "credit recovery swap") (such agreements referred to herein as "Recourse Trusts") with a broker-dealer by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker-dealer, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the fixed-rate bond held by the trust and the liquidation value of the floating rate certificates issued by the trust plus any shortfalls in interest cash flows. Under these agreements, a Fund's potential exposure to losses related to or on inverse floaters may increase beyond the value of a Fund's inverse floater investments as a Fund may potentially be liable to fulfill all amounts owed to holders of the floating rate certificates. At period end, any such shortfall is recognized as "Unrealized depreciation on Recourse Trusts" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.
At October 31, 2009, each Fund's maximum exposure to externally-deposited Recourse Trusts, is as follows:
```

|  | INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) | SELECT QUALITY <br> (NQS) | QUALITY INCOME (NQU) |  | PRE <br> IN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum exposure to Recourse Trusts | \$ -- | \$ 18,750,000 | \$ 7,500,000 | \$ | 4,955, |

The average floating rate obligations outstanding and average annual interest rate and fees related to self-deposited inverse floaters during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, were as follows:


## Forward Swap Contracts

Each Fund is authorized to enter into forward interest rate swap contracts
consistent with their investment objectives and policies to reduce, increase or
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otherwise alter its risk profile or to alter its portfolio characteristics (i.e. duration, yield curve positioning and credit quality).

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives. Each Fund's use of forward interest rate swap transactions is intended to help the Fund manage its overall interest rate sensitivity, either shorter or longer, generally to more closely align the Fund's interest rate sensitivity with that of the broader municipal market. Forward interest rate swap transactions involve each Fund's agreement with a counterparty to pay, in the future, a fixed or variable rate payment in exchange for the counterparty paying the Fund a variable or fixed rate payment, the accruals for which would begin at a specified date in the future (the "effective date"). The amount of the payment obligation is based on the notional amount of the swap contract and the termination date of the swap (which is akin to a bond's maturity). The value of the Fund's swap commitment would increase or decrease based primarily on the extent to which long-term interest rates for bonds having a maturity of the swap's termination date increases or decreases. Forward interest rate swap contracts are valued daily. The net amount recorded on these transactions for each counterparty is recognized on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as "Unrealized appreciation or depreciation on forward swaps" with the change during the fiscal period recognized on the Statement of Operations as "Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of forward swaps."

The Funds may terminate a swap contract prior to the effective date, at which point a realized gain or loss is recognized. When a forward swap is terminated, it ordinarily does not involve the delivery of securities or other underlying assets or principal, but rather is settled in cash on a net basis. Net realized gains and losses during the fiscal period are recognized on the Statement of Operations as "Net realized gain (loss) from forward swaps." Each Fund intends, but is not obligated, to terminate its forward swaps before the effective date. Accordingly, the risk of loss with respect to the swap counterparty on such transactions is limited to the credit risk associated with a counterparty failing to honor its commitment to pay any realized gain to the Fund upon termination. The following Funds invested in forward swap contracts during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009.

The average notional amount of forward swap contracts outstanding during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, were as follows:

| PREMIERE | HIGH INCOME | HI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| INCOME | OPPORTUNITY | OPPO |
| $($ NPF $)$ | $($ NMZ $)$ |  |

Average notional amount of forward swap contracts outstanding $\$ 7,200,000 *$ \$ $10,800,00$ \$

* The average notional amount is calculated based on the outstanding
notional amount at the beginning of the fiscal year and at the end of each
fiscal quarter within the current fiscal year. The Fund was not invested
in forward swap contracts at the end of the current fiscal year.
Refer to Footnote 3 - Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities for further
details on swap contract activity.
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## Futures Contracts

Each Fund is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objectives and is authorized to invest in futures contracts in attempt to manage such risk. Upon entering into a futures contract, a Fund is required to deposit with the broker an amount of cash or liquid securities equal to a specified percentage of the contract amount. This is known as the "initial margin." Cash held by the broker to cover initial margin requirements on open futures contracts, if any, is recognized as "Deposits with brokers for open futures contracts" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Subsequent payments ("variation margin") are made or received by a Fund each day, depending on the daily fluctuation of the value of the contract. Variation margin is recognized as a receivable or payable for "Variation margin on futures contracts" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, when applicable.

During the period the futures contract is open, changes in the value of the contract are recorded as an unrealized gain or loss by "marking-to-market" on a daily basis to reflect the changes in market value of the contract and is recognized as "Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of futures contracts" on the Statement of Operations. When the contract is closed or expired, a Fund records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract on the closing date and value of the contract when originally entered into and is recognized as "Net realized gain (loss) from futures contracts" on the Statement of Operations.

Risks of investments in futures contracts include the possible adverse movement of the securities or indices underlying the contracts, the possibility that there may not be a liquid secondary market for the contracts and/or that a change in the value of the contract may not correlate with a change in the value of the underlying securities or indices. The following Funds invested in futures contracts during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009.

The average number of futures contracts outstanding during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, were as follows:

| HIGH INCOME | HIGH INCOME |
| ---: | ---: |
| OPPORTUNITY | OPPORTUNITY 2 |
| (NMZ) | $($ NMD $)$ |


| Average number of futures contracts outstanding | 56* | 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

* The average number of contracts is calculated based on the outstanding contracts at the beginning of the fiscal year and at the end of each fiscal quarter within the current fiscal year. The Fund was not invested in futures contracts at the end of the current fiscal year.

Refer to Footnote 3 - Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities for further details on futures contract activity.

Market and Counterparty Credit Risk

In the normal course of business each Fund may invest in financial instruments and enter into financial transactions where risk of potential loss exists due to changes in the market (market risk) or failure of the other party to the transaction to perform (counterparty credit risk). The potential loss could exceed the value of the financial assets recorded on the financial statements. Financial assets, which potentially expose each Fund to counterparty credit risk, consist principally of cash due from counterparties on forward, option and swap transactions. The extent of each Fund's exposure to counterparty credit risk in respect to these financial assets approximates their carrying value as
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recorded on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Futures contracts expose a Fund to minimal counterparty credit risk as they are exchange traded and the exchange's clearinghouse, which is counterparty to all exchange traded futures, guarantees the futures contracts against default.

Each Fund helps manage counterparty credit risk by entering into agreements only with counterparties the Adviser believes have the financial resources to honor their obligations and by having the Adviser monitor the financial stability of the counterparties. Additionally, counterparties may be required to pledge collateral daily (based on the daily valuation of the financial asset) on behalf of each Fund with a value approximately equal to the amount of any unrealized gain above a pre-determined threshold. Reciprocally, when each Fund has an unrealized loss, the Funds have instructed the custodian to pledge assets of the Funds as collateral with a value approximately equal to the amount of the unrealized loss above a pre-determined threshold. Collateral pledges are monitored and subsequently adjusted if and when the valuations fluctuate, either up or down, by at least the predetermined threshold amount.

## Zero Coupon Securities

Each Fund is authorized to invest in zero coupon securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. Such securities are included in the Portfolios of Investments with a $0.000 \%$ coupon rate in their description. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

Custodian Fee Credit

Each Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by net credits earned on each Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments. Credits for cash balances may be offset by charges for any days on which a Fund overdraws its account at the custodian bank.
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Indemnifications
```

Under the Funds' organizational documents, their Officers and Directors/Trustees are indemnified against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of their duties to the Funds. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Funds enter into contracts that provide general indemnifications to other parties. The Funds' maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Funds that have not yet occurred. However, the Funds have not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expect the risk of loss to be remote.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net
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assets applicable to Common shares from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

## 2. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

During the current fiscal period, the Funds adopted authoritative guidance under GAAP on determining fair value measurements. This guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. In determining the value of each Fund's investments various inputs are used. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical securities.

Level 2 - Other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.).

Level 3 - Significant unobservable inputs (including management's assumptions in determining the fair value of investments).

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. The following is a summary of each Fund's fair value measurements as of October 31, 2009:


| HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY 2 (NMD) | LEVEL 1 |  | LEVEL 2 |  | LEVEL 3 |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal Bonds | \$ | -- | \$ | 201,838,256 | \$ | -- | \$ | 201,838,256 |
| Derivatives: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forward Swaps* |  | -- |  | 1,324,800 |  | -- |  | 1,324,800 |
| Futures Contracts* |  | (81, 784 ) |  | -- |  | -- |  | $(81,784)$ |
| Total | \$ | $(81,784)$ | \$ | 203,163,056 | \$ | -- | \$ | 203,081,272 |

* Represents net unrealized appreciation (depreciation).
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## 3. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

During the current fiscal period, the Funds adopted amendments to authoritative guidance under GAAP on disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities. This guidance is intended to enhance financial statement disclosures for derivative instruments and hedging activities and enable investors to better understand: a) how and why a fund uses derivative instruments; b) how derivative instruments are accounted for; and c) how derivative instruments affect a fund's financial position, results of operations and cash flows, if any. The Funds record derivative instruments at fair value with changes in fair value recognized on the Statement of Operations, when applicable. Even though the Funds' investments in derivatives may represent economic hedges, under this guidance they are considered to be non-hedge transactions for financial reporting purposes. For additional information on the derivative instruments in which each Fund was invested during and at the end of the reporting period, refer to the Portfolio of Investments, Financial Statements and Footnote 1 General Information and Significant Accounting Policies.

The following tables present the fair value of all derivative instruments held by the Funds as of October 31, 2009, the location of these instruments on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities, and the primary underlying risk exposure.

HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)



```
RISK EXPOSURE
    $ 3,882,335
    HIGH
    OPPORT
CHANGE IN NET UNREALIZED APPRECIATION (DEPRECIATION) OF FUTURES CONTRACTS
```
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Interest Rate \$ (1,2
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4. FUND SHARES
Common Shares
Transactions in Common shares were as follows:
INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM)
SELECT QUALITY (NQS)

| YEAR ENDED YEAR ENDED | YEAR ENDED YEAR ENDED |
| :--- | :--- |

10/31/09 10/31/08
10/31/09 10/31/08

## Common shares:

Issued to shareholders due to $\begin{array}{lll}\text { reinvestment of distributions -- } & \text {-- } & \text { 12,611 } 184\end{array}$
Repurchased -- -- --

Weighted average Common share:
Price per share repurchased -- --
Discount per share repurchased -- -- --

| Common shares: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sold* | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Sold through shelf offering** | -- | -- | 1,900,000 | 297,054 |
| Issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions | --- | -- | 62,054 | 48,248 |
| Repurchased | $(15,700)$ | $(4,500)$ | -- | -- |
|  | $(15,700)$ | $(4,500)$ | 1,962,054 | 345,302 |
| Weighted average Common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Price per share repurchased | 10.51 | 12.69 | -- | -- |
| Discount per share repurchased | 17.21\% | 12.99\% | -- | -- |
| Premium per shelf offering share sold | -- | -- | 11.92\% | 4.99 |

* High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) was the only Fund to sell shares of Common stock during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2009 and October 31, 2008.
** High Income Opportunity (NMZ) and High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) are the only Funds authorized to issue additional shares of its Common stock through a shelf offering.


## Preferred Shares

High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) has not issued Preferred shares since commencement of operations on November 15, 2007. Transactions in Preferred shares were as follows:

|  | INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) |  |  |  |  |  | YEAR ENDE 10/31/09 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YEAR ENDED 10/31/09 |  |  | YEAR ENDED 10/31/08 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SHARES |  | AMOUNT | SHARES |  | AMOUNT | SHARES |  |  |
| Preferred shares redeemed and/or noticed for redemption: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Series M | 156 | \$ | 3,900,000 | 594 | \$ | 14,850,000 | 117 | \$ | 2,9 |
| Series T | 156 |  | 3,900,000 | 594 |  | 14,850,000 | 117 |  | 2,9 |
| Series W | 156 |  | 3,900,000 | 595 |  | 14,875,000 | 164 |  | 4,1 |
| Series TH | 126 |  | 3,150,000 | 485 |  | 12,125,000 | 91 |  | 2,2 |
| Series F | 156 |  | 3,900,000 | 594 |  | 14,850,000 | 163 |  | 4,0 |
| Total | 750 \$ 18,750,000 |  |  | 2,862 | \$ 71,550,000 |  | 652 |  | 6,3 |
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## 5. INVESTMENT TRANSACTIONS

Purchases and sales (including maturities but excluding short-term investments and derivative transactions) during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, were as follows:

|  |  | INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SELECT } \\ \text { QUALITY } \\ \text { (NQS) } \end{array}$ |  | QUALITY <br> INCOME <br> (NQU) |  | PREMIE <br> INCOM <br> (NP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchases | \$ | 72,943,203 | \$ | 56,888,488 | \$ | 98,638,198 | \$ | 30,011,60 |
| Sales and maturities |  | 59,310,793 |  | 76,086,298 |  | 130,598,787 |  | $32,840,57$ |

## 6. INCOME TAX INFORMATION

The following information is presented on an income tax basis. Differences between amounts for financial statement and federal income tax purposes are primarily due to timing differences in recognizing taxable market discount,
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```
timing differences in recognizing certain gains and losses on investment
transactions and the treatment of investments in inverse floating rate
securities reflected as financing transactions, if any. To the extent that
differences arise that are permanent in nature, such amounts are reclassified
within the capital accounts on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities presented
in the annual report, based on their federal tax basis treatment; temporary
differences do not require reclassification. Temporary and permanent differences
do not impact the net asset values of the Funds.
At October 31, 2009, the cost of investments was as follows:
```
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Gross unrealized appreciation and gross unrealized depreciation of investments at October 31, 2009, were as follows:

| INVESTMENT | SELECT | QUALITY | PREMIER |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| QUALITY | QUALITY | INCOME | INCOME |
| $(N Q M)$ | $(N Q S)$ | $(N Q U)$ | $(N P F)$ |


| Gross unrealized: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appreciation | $\$ \quad 40,473,637$ | $\$$ | $33,089,197$ | $\$$ | $65,507,800$ | $\$ 20,772,336$ |
| Depreciation |  | $(32,561,891)$ | $(31,992,224)$ | $(46,703,761)$ |  |  |

Net unrealized appreciation
(depreciation) of
investments $\$ \quad 7,911,746 \quad \$ \quad 1,096,973 \quad \$ \quad 18,804,039 \quad \$ \quad 5,046,901$

The tax components of undistributed net tax-exempt income, net ordinary income and net long-term capital gains at October 31, 2009, the Funds' tax year end, were as follows:

| INVESTMENT | SELECT | QUALITY | PREMIER |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| QUALITY | QUALITY | INCOME | INCOME |
| $(N Q M)$ | $(N Q S)$ | $(N Q U)$ | $(N P F)$ |

Undistributed net tax-exempt
income * $\$ \quad 7,653,863 \quad \$ \quad 7,736,348 \quad \$ \quad 12,160,734 \quad \$ \quad 3,674,261$

Undistributed net ordinary
income **
Undistributed net long-term capital gains
$5,782 \quad 818$
-- 555,014

85,425
--

* Undistributed net tax-exempt income (on a tax basis) has not been reduced for the dividend declared on October 1, 2009, paid on November 2, 2009.
** Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

The tax character of distributions paid during the Funds' tax years ended October 31, 2009 and October 31, 2008 was designated for purposes of the dividends paid deduction as follows:

| 2009 |  | INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SELECT } \\ \text { QUALITY } \\ \text { (NQS) } \end{array}$ |  | QUALITY INCOME (NQU) |  | PREMIER <br> INCOME <br> (NPF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distributions from net tax-exempt income *** | \$ | 28,837,685 | \$ | 30,740,421 | \$ | 46,239,909 |  | 15,422,213 |
| Distributions from net ordinary income ** |  | $104,651$ |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |
| Distributions from net long-term capital gains **** |  | 1,470,419 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |


| 2008 |  | INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) |  | SELECT QUALITY (NQS) |  | QUALITY INCOME (NQU) |  | PREMIER INCOME (NPF) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distributions from net tax-exempt income | \$ | 37,735,721 | \$ | $37,664,043$ | \$ | 55,938, 511 | \$ | 19,090,394 |
| Distributions from net ordinary income ** |  | $202,710$ |  |  |  |  |  | -- |
| Distributions from net long-term capital gains |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |

** Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.
*** The Funds hereby designate these amounts paid during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, as Exempt Interest Dividends.
**** The Funds designated as a long-term capital gain dividend, pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code Section $852(\mathrm{~b})(3)$, the amount necessary to reduce earnings and profits of the Funds related to net capital gain to zero for the tax year ended October 31, 2009.
***** For the period November 15, 2007 (commencement of operations) through
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October 31, 2008.

At October 31, 2009, the Funds' tax year end, the following Funds had unused capital loss carryforwards available for federal income tax purposes to be applied against future capital gains, if any. If not applied, the carryforwards will expire as follows:
INVESTMENT
QUALITY
(NQM)

## QUALITY INCOME

(NQU)

## PREMIER <br> INCOME

(NPF)

HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)
Expiration:
$\quad$ October 31, 2011
October 31, 2013
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## 7. MANAGEMENT FEES AND OTHER TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

Each Fund's management fee is separated into two components - a complex-level component, based on the aggregate amount of all fund assets managed by the Adviser, and a specific fund-level component, based only on the amount of assets within each individual Fund. This pricing structure enables Nuveen fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within each individual fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser.

The annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, for each Fund is based upon the average daily net assets of each Fund as follows:


HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)
HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND 2 (NMD)
AVERAGE DAILY NET ASSETS (1) FUND-LEVEL FEE RATE
For the first \$125 million

For the next \$125 million . 5375
For the next \$250 million . 5250

(1) The complex-level fee component of the management fee for the funds is calculated based upon the aggregate daily managed net assets of all Nuveen funds, with such daily managed net assets defined separately for each fund in its management agreement, but excluding assets attributable to investments in other Nuveen funds. For the complex-level and fund-level fee components, daily managed net assets includes assets managed by the Adviser that are attributable to each fund's use of financial leverage. For these purposes, financial leverage includes the funds use of preferred stock and borrowings and investments in the residual interest certificates (also called inverse floating rate securities) in tender option bond (TOB) trusts, including the portion of assets held by the TOB trust that has been effectively financed by the trust's issuance of floating rate securities, subject to an agreement by the Adviser to limit the amount of such assets for determining managed net assets in certain circumstances.
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```
| Notes to
| Financial Statements (continued)
```

The management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Funds pay no compensation directly to those of its Directors/Trustees who are affiliated with the Adviser or to its Officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Funds from the Adviser or its affiliates. The Board of Directors/Trustees has adopted a deferred compensation plan for independent Directors/Trustees that enables Directors/Trustees to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of the annual compensation they are entitled to receive from certain Nuveen advised funds. Under the plan, deferred amounts are treated as
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though equal dollar amounts had been invested in shares of select Nuveen advised funds.

For the first eight years of High Income Opportunity's (NMZ) operations, the Adviser has agreed to reimburse the Fund, as a percentage of average daily net assets for fees and expenses in the amounts, and for the time periods set forth below:

| YEAR ENDING <br> NOVEMBER 30, |  | YEAR ENDING <br> NOVEMBER 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2003* | . $32 \%$ | 2009 | . $24 \%$ |
| 2004 | . 32 | 2010 | . 16 |
| 2005 | . 32 | 2011 | . 08 |
| 2006 | . 32 |  |  |
| 2007 | . 32 |  |  |
| 2008 | . 32 |  |  |

* From the commencement of operations.

The Adviser has not agreed to reimburse High Income Opportunity (NMZ) for any portion of its fees and expenses beyond November 30, 2011.

During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, Nuveen Investments, LLC received commissions of $\$ 124,869$ related to the sale of Common shares as a result of the High Income Opportunity (NMZ) shelf offering.

## 8. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets

During June 2009, the FASB issued changes to the authoritative guidance under GAAP on accounting for transfers of financial assets. The objective of this guidance is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial statements about a transfer of financial assets; the effects of a transfer on its financial position, financial performance, and cash flows; and a transferor's continuing involvement, if any, in transferred financial assets.

This guidance is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The recognition and measurement provisions of this guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, the disclosure provisions of this guidance should be applied to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. At this time, management is evaluating the implications of this guidance and the impact it will have on the financial statement amounts and disclosures, if any.

## 9. BORROWINGS

During January 2008, credit issues associated with sub-prime mortgages and municipal bond insurers caused High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) to postpone its auction rate preferred shares offering, and subsequent failed auctions of the auction rate preferred shares issued by other closed-end funds have postponed the issuance of the Fund's auction rate preferred shares indefinitely. Management determined that leveraging the Fund with debt as a replacement for the auction rate preferred shares continued to benefit the Fund's shareholders. As a result, the Fund entered into a $\$ 50$ million senior committed secured 364 -day revolving line of credit with its custodian bank. During the current
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fiscal period, the Fund extended the maturity date of its line of credit to July 29, 2010. Amounts drawn on the line of credit are recognized as "Borrowings" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2009, the average daily balance outstanding on the line of credit and average annual interest rate were $\$ 37,296,443$ and $1.00 \%$, respectively. Interest on the line of credit is calculated at a rate per annum of the Federal Funds Rate plus . 75\%. Interest expense incurred on the line of credit is included as a component of "Interest expense" on the Statement of Operations. In addition interest expense, the Fund accrued a commitment fee of . $15 \%$ per annum on the unused portion of the line of credit which is included as a component of "Other" expenses on the Statement of Operations.
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10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Distributions to Common Shareholders

The Funds declared Common share dividend distributions from their tax-exempt net investment income which were paid on December 1, 2009, to shareholders of record on November 15, 2009, as follows:


## Evaluation Date

In May 2009, the FASB issued changes to authoritative guidance under GAAP for subsequent events. This guidance requires an entity to recognize in the financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet. This guidance is intended to establish general standards of accounting and for disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. This guidance requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date - that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Funds have performed an evaluation of subsequent events through December 28, 2009, which is the date the financial statements were issued.
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[^4]Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

INVESTMENT OPERATIONS

|  |  |  |  | DISTRIBUTIONS |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | FISTRIBUTIONS |  |
| BEGINNING |  | NET | INVESTMENT | FROM |
| COMMON |  | NET | REALIZED/ | INCOME TO |

INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM)

| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  | 12.18 | $\$$ | 1.02 | $\$$ | 1.91 | $\$$ |
| 2008 | 15.03 | 1.01 | $(2.80)$ | $(.04)$ | $\$$ | $(.29)$ | $(.30)$ |
| 2007 | 15.71 | 1.02 | $(.60)$ | $(.24)$ | -- |  |  |
| 2006 | 15.49 | 1.05 | .42 | $(.16)$ | $(.03)$ |  |  |
| 2005 | 16.06 | 1.05 | $(.39)$ | $(.01)$ |  |  |  |

SELECT QUALITY (NQS)

```
Year Ended 10/31:
```

| 2009 | 12.01 | 1.12 | 1.92 | $(.06)$ | -- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2008 | 15.05 | 1.08 | $(3.02)$ | $(.30)$ | -- |
| 2007 | 15.62 | 1.07 | $(.52)$ | $(.29)$ | -- |
| 2006 | 15.46 | 1.07 | .23 | $(.26)$ | -- |
| 2005 | 15.69 | 1.06 | $(.16)$ | $(.16)$ | - |

## $=======================================================================================================16)$



|  | PREFERRED SHARES AT END OF PERIOD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { AGGREGATE } \\ \text { AMOUNT } \\ \text { OUTSTANDING } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | LIQUIDATION <br> AND MARKET <br> VALUE <br> PER SHARE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ASSET } \\ \text { COVERAGE } \\ \text { PER SHARE } \end{array}$ |
| INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) |  |  |  |
| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |
| 2009 | \$ 210,700 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 85,621 |
| 2008 | 229,450 | 25,000 | 72,545 |
| 2007 | 301,000 | 25,000 | 69,706 |
| 2006 | 301,000 | 25,000 | 71,634 |
| 2005 | 301,000 | 25,000 | 71,001 |
| SELECT QUALITY (NQS) |  |  |  |
| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 251,275 | 25,000 | 72,879 |
| 2008 | 267,575 | 25,000 | 63,171 |
| 2007 | 279,000 | 25,000 | 70,849 |
| 2006 | 279,000 | 25,000 | 72,491 |
| 2005 | 279,000 | 25,000 | 71,953 |
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| RATIOS/SUPPLEMENTAL D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL RETURNS |  |  |  | RATIOS TO AVERAGE NET APPLICABLE TO COMMON S BEFORE REIMBURSEMENT/R |  |
|  |  | BASED |  | ENDING |  |  |
|  |  | ON |  | NET |  |  |
|  | BASED | COMMON |  | ASSETS |  |  |
|  | ON | SHARE NET |  | LICABLE | EXPENSES | EXPENSES |
|  | MARKET | ASSET |  | COMMON | INCLUDING | EXCLUDING |
|  | VALUE* | VALUE* |  | ES (000) | INTEREST++(A) | INTEREST++ |
| INVESTMENT QUALITY (NQM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 31.77\% | $24.35 \%$ | \$ | 510,910 | 1. $34 \%$ | 1.17\% |
| 2008 | (18.72) | (14.43) |  | 436,370 | 1.46 | 1.20 |
| 2007 | (6.17) | . 82 |  | 538,266 | 1.35 | 1.19 |
| 2006 | 15.33 | 8.09 |  | 561,471 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| 2005 | 1.17 | 3.10 |  | 553,857 | 1.20 | 1.20 |
| SELECT QUALITY (NQS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Year Ended 10/31:

| 2009 | 34.19 | 25.67 | 481,233 | 1.29 | 1.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | (22.19) | (15.50) | 408,541 | 1.27 | 1.22 |
| 2007 | 2.31 | 1.70 | 511,670 | 1.21 | 1.18 |
| 2006 | 10.47 | 6.94 | 529,996 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
| 2005 | 4.14 | 4.77 | 523,994 | 1.18 | 1.18 |

[^5]See accompanying notes to financial statements.
Nuveen Investments 107
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| Highlights (continued)
Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

INVESTMENT OPERATIONS

|  |  | DISTRIBUTIONS | DISTRIBUTIONS |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BEGINNING |  | FROM NET | FROM |
| COMMON | NET | INVESTMENT | CAPITAL |
| SHARE | NEALIZED/ | INCOME TO | GAINS TO |


| QUALITY INCOME (NQU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET } \text { ASSET } \\ & \\ & \text { VALUE } \end{aligned}$ |  | INVESTMENT <br> INCOME |  | UNREALIZEDGAIN (LOSS) |  | PREFERRED SHAREHOLDERS+ |  | PREFERRED SHAREHOLDERS+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | \$ | 12.68 | \$ | 1.05 | \$ | 1.42 | \$ | (.06) | \$ | -- |
| 2008 |  | 14.94 |  | 1.03 |  | (2.26) |  | (.30) |  | -- |
| 2007 |  | 15.49 |  | 1.01 |  | (.51) |  | (.30) |  | -- |
| 2006 |  | 15.26 |  | 1.01 |  | . 30 |  | (.26) |  | -- |
| 2005 |  | 15.54 |  | 1.02 |  | (.22) |  | (.16) |  | -- |
| PREMIER INCOME (NPF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 11.68 |  | . 96 |  | 2.00 |  | (.05) |  | -- |
| 2008 |  | 14.79 |  | . 94 |  | (3.09) |  | (.28) |  | -- |
| 2007 |  | 15.39 |  | . 95 |  | (.59) |  | (.29) |  | -- |
| 2006 |  | 14.90 |  | . 94 |  | . 51 |  | (.26) |  | -- |
| 2005 |  | 15.53 |  | . 94 |  | (.39) |  | (.16) |  | (.01) |

LESS DISTRIBUTIONS

| NET |  | OFFERING |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INVESTMENT | CAPITAL |  | COSTS AND |  | ENDING |
| INCOME TO | GAINS TO |  | PREFERRED |  | COMMON |
| COMMON | COMMON |  | SHARE |  | SHARE |
| SHARE- | SHARE- |  | UNDERWRITING | NET | ASSET |
| HOLDERS | HOLDERS | TOTAL | DISCOUNTS |  | VALUE |

QUALITY INCOME (NQU)

| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | \$ | (.80) | \$ | -- | \$ | (.80) | \$ | -- | \$ | 14.29 |
| 2008 |  | (.73) |  | -- |  | (.73) |  | -- |  | 12.68 |
| 2007 |  | (.75) |  | -- |  | (.75) |  | -- |  | 14.94 |
| 2006 |  | (.82) |  | -- |  | (.82) |  | -- |  | 15.49 |
| 2005 |  | (.92) |  | -- |  | (.92) |  | -- |  | 15.26 |

PREMIER INCOME (NPF)

| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | (.73) | -- | (.73) | -- | 13.86 |
| 2008 | (.68) | -- | (.68) | -- | 11.68 |
| 2007 | (.67) | -- | (.67) | -- | 14.79 |
| 2006 | (.70) | -- | (.70) | -- | 15.39 |
| 2005 | (.88) | (.13) | (1.01) | -- | 14.90 |


| PREFERRED SHARES AT END OF PERIOD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $------------------------------------------1 ~$ | ASSET |  |
| AGGREGATE | LIQUIDATION | COVERAGE |
| AMOUNT | AND MARKET | PER SHARE |

QUALITY INCOME (NQU)

| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | \$ | 386,875 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 75,080 |
| 2008 |  | 416,375 |  | 25,000 |  | 66,284 |
| 2007 |  | 452,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 69,806 |
| 2006 |  | 452,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 71,446 |
| 2005 |  | 452,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 70,745 |
| PREMIER INCOME (NPF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 126,850 |  | 25,000 |  | 79,330 |
| 2008 |  | 126,850 |  | 25,000 |  | 70,825 |
| 2007 |  | 165,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 69,603 |
| 2006 |  | 165,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 71,839 |
| 2005 |  | 165,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 70,367 |
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* Total Return Based on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return Based on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.
$+\quad$ The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; Net Investment Income ratios reflect income earned and expenses incurred on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
(a) The expense ratios in the above table reflect, among other things, the interest expense deemed to have been paid by the Fund on the floating rate certificates issued by the special purpose trusts for the self-deposited inverse floaters held by the Fund, as described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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| Highlights (continued)

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

|  | INVESTMENT OPERATIONS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DISTRIBUTIONS | DISTRI |
| BEGINNING |  |  | FROM NET |  |
| COMMON |  | NET | INVESTMENT |  |
| SHARE | NET | REALIZED / | INCOME TO |  |
| NET ASSET | INVESTMENT | UNREALIZED | PREFERRED | Pr |
| VALUE | INCOME | GAIN (LOSS) | SHAREHOLDERS+ | SHARE |

HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)

| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | $\$$ | 9.63 | $\$$ | 1.06 | $\$$ | 1.57 |
| 2008 |  | 15.36 |  | 1.29 |  | $(5.70)$ |
| 2007 | 16.00 |  | 1.23 | $(.05)$ | $(.23)$ | $(.24)$ |
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HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)

| 2009 | \$ | (1.04) | \$ | -- | \$ | (1.04) | \$ | --*** | \$ | 11.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 |  | (.98) |  | (.09) |  | (1.07) |  | --*** |  | 9.6 |
| 2007 |  | (.98) |  | --*** |  | (.98) |  | -- |  | 15.36 |
| 2006 |  | (1.04) |  | -- |  | (1.04) |  | . 01 |  | 16.00 |
| 2005 |  | (1.07) |  | (.06) |  | (1.13) |  | -- |  | 15.36 |

HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY 2 (NMD)

| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2009 | $(.96)$ | -- | $(.96)$ |
| $2008(c)$ | $(.79)$ | -- | $(.79)$ |



HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)

| 2009 | \$ | 95,000 | \$ | 25,000 | \$ | 101,043 | \$ | -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 |  | 155,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 62,117 |  | -- |
| 2007 |  | 155,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 83,304 |  | -- |
| 2006 |  | 155,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 85,113 |  | -- |
| 2005 |  | 155,000 |  | 25,000 |  | 82,585 |  | -- |

HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY 2 (NMD)
Year Ended 10/31:
$2009 \quad \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{A} \quad 35,000 \mathrm{l}$
2008 (c)
N/A
N/A
N/A
40,000
4,619
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| RATIOS/SUPPLEMENTAL DATA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RATIOS TO AVERAGE NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES AFTER REIMBURSEMENT** |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXPENSES } \\ & \text { INCLUDING } \\ & \text { INTEREST++(a)(b) } \end{aligned}$ | EXPENSES EXCLUDING INTEREST++ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NET } \\ \text { INVESTMENT } \\ \text { INCOME++ } \end{gathered}$ | PORTFOLIO TURNOVER RATE |

HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY (NMZ)

| Year Ended 10/31: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $1.17 \%$ | $1.14 \%$ | $11.24 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 2008 | 1.08 | .88 | 23 |  |
| 2007 | 1.05 | .83 | 7.76 | 12 |
| 2006 | .75 | .75 | 7.77 | 9 |
| 2005 | .74 | .74 | 8.00 | 6 |

HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY 2 (NMD)

| Year Ended 10/31: | 1.50 | 1.24 | 10.07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

N/A High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) did not issue Preferred shares during the period November 15, 2007 (commencement of operations) through October 31, 2009.

* Total Return Based on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return Based on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.
** After expense reimbursement from the Adviser, where applicable. Expense ratios do not reflect the reduction of custodian fee credits earned on the Fund's net cash on deposit with the custodian bank, where applicable.
*** Rounds to less than $\$ .01$ per share.
**** Annualized
$+\quad$ The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
$++\quad$ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; Net Investment Income ratios reflect income earned and expenses incurred on assets attributable to Preferred shares and Borrowings, where applicable.
(a) The expense ratios in the above table reflect, among other things, the interest expense deemed to have been paid by the Fund on the floating rate certificates issued by the special purpose trusts for the self-deposited inverse floaters held by the Fund, as described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.
(b) Each Ratio of Expenses Including Interest to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares for High Income Opportunity 2 (NMD) includes the effect of the interest expense paid on Fund borrowings, as described in Footnote 9 - Borrowings as follows:

Ratios of Borrowings Interest Expense to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares

```
Year Ended 10/31:
2009
    .26%
2008(c) .30****
===========================================================================================
```

(c) For the period November 15, 2007 (commencement of operations) through October 31, 2008.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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Board Members \& Officers

The management of the Funds, including general supervision of the duties performed for the Funds by the Adviser, is the responsibility of the Board Members of the Funds. The number of board members of the Fund is currently set at nine. None of the board members who are not "interested" persons of the Funds (referred to herein as "independent board members") has ever been a director or employee of, or consultant to, Nuveen or its affiliates. The names and business addresses of the board members and officers of the Funds, their principal occupations and other affiliations during the past five years, the number of portfolios each oversees and other directorships they hold are set forth below.

|  |  | NUMBER | PRINO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | YEAR FIRST | OF PORTFOLIOS |
| NAME, |  | ELECTED OR | IN FUND COMPLEX |
| BIRTHDATE | POSITION (S) HELD | APPOINTED AND | OVERSEEN BY BOARD |
| \& ADDRESS | WITH THE FUNDS | TERM (1) | MEMBEC |

INDEPENDENT BOARD MEMBERS:


- DAVID J. KUNDERT
$\begin{array}{lll}10 / 28 / 42 \\ 333 \text { W. Wacker Drive Board Member } 2005 & 198\end{array}$
Chicago, IL 60606
- WILLIAM J. SCHNEIDER

9/24/44
333 W. Wacker Drive Board Member 1997198
Chicago, IL 60606
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|  |  | YEAR FIRST | NUMBER | PRINO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | OF PORTFOLIOS | OCCUP |
| NAME, |  | ELECTED OR | IN FUND COMPLEX | INCLU |
| BIRTHDATE | POSITION(S) HELD | APPOINTED AND | OVERSEEN BY BOARD | DIREC |
| \& ADDRESS | WITH THE FUNDS | TERM (1) | MEMBER | DURIN |

## INDEPENDENT BOARD MEMBERS:

- JUDITH M. STOCKDALE

12/29/47
333 W. Wacker Drive
Board Member
1997
198
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|  |  | NUMBER |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | OF PORTFOLIOS |
| NAME, |  | YEAR FIRST | IN FUND COMPLEX |
| BIRTHDATE | POSITION (S) HELD | ELECTED OR | OVERSEEN |
| AND ADDRESS | WITH THE FUNDS | APPOINTED (4) | BY OFFICER |

OFFICERS OF THE FUNDS:

GIFFORD R. ZIMMERMAN
9/9/56 Chief

333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Admini
Officer

- WILLIAM ADAMS IV

6/9/55
333 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

- MARK J.P. ANSON

6/10/59
333 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

- CEDRIC H. ANTOSIEWICZ

1/11/62
333 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

- NIZIDA ARRIAGA

6/1/68
333 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

- MICHAEL T. ATKINSON

2/3/66
333 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

Vice President 2007

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME OPPORTUNITY FUND - Form N-CSR

- MARGO L. COOK
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 4/11/64 } \\ 333 \text { W. Wacker Drive } & \\ & \\ 2009\end{array}$ Chicago, IL 60606
- LORNA C. FERGUSON

10/24/45
333 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
Vice President 1998
198
Exec
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|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NAME, |  | YOSITION (S) HELD |
| BIRTHDATE | ELECTED OR |  |
| AND ADDRESS | WITH THE FUNDS | APPOINTED (4) |

NUMBER
OF PORTFOLIOS
IN FUND COMPLEX
PRINO
OVERSEEN
occup
BY OFFICER
DURII

OFFICERS OF THE FUNDS:

- STEPHEN D. FOY
Vice
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 5/31/54 } & & \\ 333 \mathrm{~W} . \text { Wacker Drive } & 1998 & 198\end{array}$ Chicago, IL 60606
and Controller
Vice
Cont
Inves

2005) 

Cert

- SCOTT S. GRACE
$\begin{array}{ll}8 / 20 / 70 & \\ 333 \text { West Wacker Drive Vice President } 2009\end{array}$ Chicago, IL 60606
and Treasurer
- WILLIAM T. HUFFMAN

5/7/69
333 W. Wacker Drive
Vice President
2009
134 Chicago, IL 60606

- WALTER M. KELLY

2/24/70
333 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606
Vice President

is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II, and Class III, with each being elected to serve until the third succeeding annual shareholders' meeting subsequent to its election or thereafter in each case when its respective successors are duly elected or appointed. For Investment Quality (NQM), Select Quality (NQS), Quality Income (NQU) and Premier Income (NPF), the Board Members serve a one year term to serve until the next annual meeting or until their successors shall have been duly elected and qualified. The first year elected or appointed represents the year in which the board member was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.
(2) Mr. Amboian is an interested trustee because of his position with Nuveen Investments, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries, which are affiliates of the Nuveen Funds.
(3) Nuveen Advisory Corp. and Nuveen Institutional Advisory Corp. were reorganized into Nuveen Asset Management, effective January 1, 2005.
(4) Officers serve one year terms through July of each year. The year first elected or appointed represents the year in which the Officer was first elected or appointed to any fund in the Nuveen Complex.
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Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuance is approved at least annually by the fund's board members, including by a vote of a majority of the board members who are not parties to the advisory agreement or "interested persons" of any parties (the "Independent Board Members"), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval. In connection with such approvals, the fund's board members must request and evaluate, and the investment adviser is required to furnish, such information as may be reasonably necessary to evaluate the terms of the advisory agreement. Accordingly, at a meeting held on May 27-29, 2009 (the "May Meeting"), the Boards of Trustees or Directors (as the case may be) (each, a "Board" and each Trustee or Director, a "Board Member") of the Funds, including a majority of the Independent Board Members, considered and approved the continuation of the advisory agreements (each an "Advisory Agreement") between each Fund and Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM") for an additional one-year period. In preparation for their considerations at the May Meeting, the Board also held a separate meeting on April 21-22, 2009 (the "April Meeting"). Accordingly, the factors considered and determinations made regarding the renewals by the Independent Board Members include those made at the April Meeting.

In addition, in evaluating the Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members reviewed a broad range of information relating to the Funds and NAM, including absolute performance, fee and expense information for the Funds as well as comparative performance, fee and expense information for a comparable peer group of funds, the performance information of recognized and/or customized benchmarks (as applicable) of the Funds, the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities (which includes its wholly owned subsidiaries other than Winslow Capital Management, Inc. ("Winslow Capital"), which was recently acquired in December 2008), and other information regarding the organization, personnel, and services provided by NAM. The Independent Board Members also met quarterly as well as at other times as the need arose during the year and took into account the information provided at such meetings and the knowledge gained therefrom. Prior to approving the renewal of the Advisory Agreements, the
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Independent Board Members reviewed the foregoing information with their independent legal counsel and with management, reviewed materials from independent legal counsel describing applicable law and their duties in reviewing advisory contracts, and met with independent legal counsel in private sessions without management present. The Independent Board Members considered the legal advice provided by independent legal counsel and relied upon their knowledge of NAM, its services and the Funds resulting from their meetings and other interactions throughout the year and their own business judgment in determining the factors to be considered in evaluating
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Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (continued)
the Advisory Agreements. Each Board Member may have accorded different weight to the various factors in reaching his or her conclusions with respect to a Fund's Advisory Agreement. The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor as all-important or controlling. The Independent Board Members' considerations were instead based on a comprehensive consideration of all the information presented. The principal factors considered by the Board and its conclusions are described below.

## A. NATURE, EXTENT AND QUALITY OF SERVICES

In considering renewal of the Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members considered the nature, extent and quality of NAM's services, including advisory services and administrative services. The Independent Board Members reviewed materials outlining, among other things, NAM's organization and business; the types of services that NAM or its affiliates provide and are expected to provide to the Funds; the performance record of the applicable Fund (as described in further detail below); and any initiatives Nuveen had taken for the applicable fund product line.

In reviewing the services provided and the initiatives undertaken during the past year, the Independent Board Members recognized the severe market turmoil experienced in the capital markets during recent periods, including sustained periods of high volatility, credit disruption and government intervention. The Independent Board Members considered NAM's efforts, expertise and other actions taken to address matters as they arose that impacted the Funds. The Independent Board Members recognized the role of the Investment Services group which, among other things, monitors the various positions throughout the Nuveen fund complex to identify and address any systematic risks. In addition, the Capital Markets Committee of NAM provides a multi-departmental venue for developing new policies to mitigate any risks. The Independent Board Members further recognized NAM's continuous review of the Nuveen funds' investment strategies and mandates in seeking to continue to refine and improve the investment process for the funds, particularly in light of market conditions. With respect to closed-end funds that issued auction rate preferred shares ("ARPs") or that otherwise utilize leverage, the Independent Board Members noted, in particular, NAM's efforts in refi-nancing the preferred shares of such funds frozen by the collapse of the auction rate market and managing leverage during a period of rapid market declines, particularly for the non-equity funds. Such efforts included negotiating and maintaining the availability of bank loan facilities and other sources of credit used for investment purposes or to satisfy liquidity needs, liquidating portfolio securities during difficult times to meet leverage ratios, and seeking alternative forms of debt and other leverage that may over time reduce financing costs associated with ARPs and enable the funds that have issued ARPs to restore liquidity to ARPs holders. The Independent Board Members also noted Nuveen's continued commitment and efforts to keep investors and financial advisers informed as to its progress with the ARPs through, among
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#### Abstract

other things, conference calls, emails, press releases, information posted on its website, and telephone calls and in-person meetings with financial advisers. In addition to the foregoing, the Independent Board Members also noted the additional services that NAM or its affiliates provide to closed-end funds, including, in particular, Nuveen's continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a
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variety of programs designed to raise investor and analyst awareness and understanding of closed-end funds. These efforts include maintaining an investor relations program to provide timely information and education to financial advisers and investors; providing advertising and marketing for the closed-end funds; maintaining websites; and providing educational seminars.

As part of their review, the Independent Board Members also evaluated the background, experience and track record of NAM's investment personnel. In this regard, the Independent Board Members considered any changes in the personnel, and the impact on the level of services provided to the Funds, if any. The Independent Board Members also reviewed information regarding portfolio manager compensation arrangements to evaluate NAM's ability to attract and retain high quality investment personnel, preserve stability, and reward performance but not provide an incentive for taking undue risks.

In addition to advisory services, the Independent Board Members considered the quality of administrative services provided by NAM and its affiliates including product management, fund administration, oversight of service providers, shareholder services, administration of Board relations, regulatory and portfolio compliance and legal support. Given the importance of compliance, the Independent Board Members considered NAM's compliance program, including the report of the chief compliance officer regarding the Funds' compliance policies and procedures.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members found that, overall, the nature, extent and quality of services provided (and expected to be provided) to the respective Funds under the Advisory Agreements were satisfactory.

## B. THE INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE OF THE FUNDS AND NAM

The Board considered the investment performance of each Fund, including the Fund's historic performance as well as its performance compared to funds with similar investment objectives (the "Performance Peer Group") based on data provided by an independent provider of mutual fund data as well as recognized and/or customized benchmarks (as applicable). The Independent Board Members reviewed performance information including, among other things, total return information compared with the Fund's Performance Peer Group and recognized and/or customized benchmarks (as applicable) for the quarter-, one-, three- and five-year periods (as applicable) ending December 31, 2008 and for the same periods (as applicable) ending March 31, 2009 . The Independent Board Members also reviewed performance information of the Nuveen municipal funds managed by NAM in the aggregate ranked by peer group and the performance of such funds, in the aggregate, relative to their benchmark. This information supplemented the Fund performance information provided to the Board at each of its quarterly meetings.

In comparing a fund's performance with that of its Performance Peer Group, the Independent Board Members took into account that the closest Performance Peer Group in certain instances may not adequately reflect the respective fund's investment objectives and strategies thereby hindering a meaningful comparison of the fund's performance with that of the Performance Peer Group. The

Independent Board Members further considered the performance of the Funds in the context of the volatile
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Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (continued)
market conditions during the past year, and their impact on various asset classes and the portfolio management of the Funds.

Based on their review and factoring in the severity of market turmoil in 2008 , the Independent Board Members determined that each Fund's investment performance over time had been satisfactory, except as noted. With respect to the Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund and the Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund 2, based on their review and factoring in the severity of market turmoil in 2008, the Independent Board Members were satisfied with the steps taken to address performance issues during extraordinary times.

## C. FEES, EXPENSES AND PROFITABILITY

## 1. Fees and Expenses

The Board evaluated the management fees and expenses of each Fund reviewing, among other things, such Fund's gross management fees, net management fees and total expense ratios (before and after expense reimbursements and/or waivers) in absolute terms as well as compared to the fee and expenses of a comparable universe of unaffiliated funds based on data provided by an independent fund data provider (the "Peer Universe") and in certain cases, to a more focused subset of funds in the Peer Universe (the "Peer Group").

The Independent Board Members further reviewed data regarding the construction of the applicable Peer Universe and Peer Group. In reviewing the comparisons of fee and expense information, the Independent Board Members took into account that in certain instances various factors such as the asset level of a fund relative to peers, the size and particular composition of the Peer Universe or Peer Group, the investment objectives of the peers, expense anomalies, changes in the funds comprising the Peer Universe or Peer Group from year to year, levels of reimbursement and the timing of information used may impact the comparative data, thereby limiting the ability to make a meaningful comparison. In addition, the Independent Board Members considered, among other things, the differences in the use and type of leverage compared to the peers. In reviewing the fee schedule for a Fund, the Independent Board Members also considered the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules (described in further detail below) and any fee waivers and reimbursements provided by Nuveen (applicable, in particular, for certain closed-end funds launched since 1999).

Based on their review of the fee and expense information provided, the Independent Board Members determined that each Fund's management fees and net total expense ratio were reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund.

## 2. Comparisons with the Fees of Other Clients

The Independent Board Members further reviewed information regarding the nature of services and fee rates offered by NAM to other clients. Such other clients include NAM's municipal separately managed accounts. In evaluating the comparisons of fees, the Independent Board Members noted
that the fee rates charged to the Funds and other clients vary, among other things, because of the different services involved
and the additional regulatory and compliance requirements associated with registered investment companies, such as the Funds. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members considered the differences in the product types, including, but not limited to, the services provided, the structure and operations, product distribution and costs thereof, portfolio investment policies, investor profiles, account sizes and regulatory requirements. The Independent Board Members noted, in particular, that the range of services provided to the Funds (as discussed above) is much more extensive than that provided to separately managed accounts. Given the inherent differences in the products, particularly the extensive services provided to the Funds, the Independent Board Members believe such facts justify the different levels of fees.

## 3. Profitability of Nuveen

In conjunction with its review of fees, the Independent Board Members also considered the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities (which incorporated Nuveen's wholly-owned affiliated sub-advisers other than Winslow Capital) and its financial condition. The Independent Board Members reviewed the revenues and expenses of Nuveen's advisory activities for the last two years, the allocation methodology used in preparing the profitability data and an analysis of the key drivers behind the changes in revenues and expenses that impacted profitability in 2008. In addition, the Independent Board Members reviewed information regarding the financial results of Nuveen for 2008 based on its Form 8-K filed on March 31, 2009. The Independent Board Members noted this information supplemented the profitability information requested and received during the year to help keep them apprised of developments affecting profitability (such as changes in fee waivers and expense reimbursement commitments). In this regard, the Independent Board Members noted that they had also appointed an Independent Board Member as a point person to review and keep them apprised of changes to the profitability analysis and/or methodologies during the year. The Independent Board Members also considered Nuveen's revenues for advisory activities, expenses, and profit margin compared to that of various unaffiliated management firms with similar amounts of assets under management and relatively comparable asset composition prepared by Nuveen.

In reviewing profitability, the Independent Board Members recognized the subjective nature of determining profitability which may be affected by numerous factors including the allocation of expenses. Further, the Independent Board Members recognized the difficulties in making comparisons as the profitability of other advisers generally is not publicly available and the profitability information that is available for certain advisers or management firms may not be representative of the industry and may be affected by, among other things, the adviser's particular business mix, capital costs, types of funds managed and expense allocations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Independent Board Members reviewed Nuveen's methodology and assumptions for allocating expenses across product lines to determine profitability. In reviewing profitability, the Independent Board Members recognized Nuveen's investment in its fund business.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable
in light of the services provided.
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Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (continued)


#### Abstract

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Independent Board Members also considered other amounts paid to NAM by the Funds as well as any indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements, if any) NAM and its affiliates receive, or are expected to receive, that are directly attributable to the management of the Funds, if any. See Section E below for additional information on indirect benefits NAM may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds. Based on their review of the overall fee arrangements of each Fund, the Independent Board Members determined that the advisory fees and expenses of the respective Fund were reasonable.


D. ECONOMIES OF SCALE AND WHETHER FEE LEVELS REFLECT THESE ECONOMIES OF SCALE

With respect to economies of scale, the Independent Board Members have recognized the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a fund being spread over a larger asset base, although economies of scale are difficult to measure and predict with precision, particularly on a fund-by-fund basis. One method to help ensure the shareholders share in these benefits is to include breakpoints in the advisory fee schedule. Generally, management fees for funds in the Nuveen complex are comprised of a fund-level component and a complex-level component, subject to certain exceptions. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members reviewed and considered the applicable fund-level breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules that reduce advisory fees as asset levels increase. In this regard, the Independent Board Members noted that although closed-end funds may from time-to-time make additional share offerings, the growth of their assets will occur primarily through the appreciation of such funds' investment portfolio. While economies of scale result when costs can be spread over a larger asset base, the Independent Board Members also recognized that the asset levels generally declined in 2008 due to, among other things, the market downturn. Accordingly, for funds with a reduction in assets under management, advisory fee levels may have increased as breakpoints in the fee schedule were no longer surpassed.

In addition to fund-level advisory fee breakpoints, the Board also considered the Funds' complex-wide fee arrangement. Pursuant to the complex-wide fee arrangement, the fees of the funds in the Nuveen complex generally are reduced as the assets in the fund complex reach certain levels. The complex-wide fee arrangement seeks to provide the benefits of economies of scale to fund shareholders when total fund complex assets increase, even if assets of a particular fund are unchanged or have decreased. The approach reflects the notion that some of Nuveen's costs are attributable to services provided to all its funds in the complex and therefore all funds benefit if these costs are spread over a larger asset base. Generally, the complex-wide pricing reduces Nuveen's revenue because total complex fund assets have consistently grown in prior years. As noted, however, total fund assets declined in 2008 resulting in a smaller downward adjustment of revenues due to complex-wide pricing compared to the prior year.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that the breakpoint schedules and complex-wide fee arrangement were acceptable and reflect economies of scale to be shared with shareholders when assets under management increase.

## E. INDIRECT BENEFITS

In evaluating fees, the Independent Board Members received and considered information regarding potential "fall out" or ancillary benefits NAM or its affiliates may receive as a result of its relationship with each Fund. In this regard, the Independent Board Members considered revenues received by affiliates of NAM for serving as agent at Nuveen's trading desk.

In addition to the above, the Independent Board Members considered whether NAM received any benefits from soft dollar arrangements whereby a portion of the commissions paid by a Fund for brokerage may be used to acquire research that may be useful to NAM in managing the assets of the Funds and other clients. The Independent Board Members noted that NAM does not currently have any soft dollar arrangements; however, to the extent certain bona fide agency transactions that occur on markets that traditionally trade on a principal basis and riskless principal transactions are considered as generating "commissions," NAM intends to comply with the applicable safe harbor provisions.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that any indirect benefits received by NAM as a result of its relationship with the Funds were reasonable and within acceptable parameters.

## F. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of the Advisory Agreements are fair and reasonable, that NAM's fees are reasonable in light of the services provided to each Fund and that the Advisory Agreements be renewed.
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Reinvest Automatically Easily and Conveniently

NUVEEN MAKES REINVESTING EASY. A PHONE CALL IS ALL IT TAKES TO SET UP YOUR REINVESTMENT ACCOUNT.

NUVEEN CLOSED-END FUNDS DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

Your Nuveen Closed-End Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest dividends and/or capital gains distributions in additional Fund shares.

By choosing to reinvest, you'll be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of tax-free compounding. Just like dividends or distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on dividends or distributions that are reinvested.

It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

## EASY AND CONVENIENT

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each month you'll receive a statement showing your total dividends and distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.
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HOW SHARES ARE PURCHASED

The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the greater of the net asset value or $95 \%$ of the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. If the Plan Agent begins purchasing Fund shares on the open market while shares are trading below net asset value, but the Fund's shares subsequently trade at or above their net asset value before the Plan Agent is able to complete its purchases, the Plan Agent may cease open-market purchases and may invest the uninvested portion of the distribution in newly-issued Fund shares at a price equal to the greater of the shares' net asset value or $95 \%$ of the shares' market value on the last business day immediately prior to the purchase date. Dividends and distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the dividend payment date. No interest will be paid on dividends and distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price of the shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase price
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per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

## FLEXIBLE

You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change. Should you withdraw, you can receive a certificate for all whole shares credited to your reinvestment account and cash payment for fractional shares, or cash payment for all reinvestment account shares, less brokerage commissions and a $\$ 2.50$ service fee.

You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

CALL TODAY TO START REINVESTING DIVIDENDS AND/OR DISTRIBUTIONS

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at (800) 257-8787.
payments are adjusted periodically through an auction process, which process typically also serves as a means for buying and selling the bond. Auctions that fail to attract enough buyers for all the shares offered for sale are deemed to have "failed," with current holders receiving a formula-based interest rate until the next scheduled auction.
o AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURN: This is a commonly used method to express an investment's performance over a particular, usually multi-year time period. It expresses the return that would have been necessary each year to equal the investment's actual cumulative performance (including change in NAV or market price and reinvested dividends and capital gains distributions, if any) over the time period being considered.
o AVERAGE EFFECTIVE MATURITY: The average of the number of years to maturity of the bonds in a Fund's portfolio, computed by weighting each bond's time to maturity (the date the security comes due) by the market value of the security. This figure does not account for the likelihood of prepayments or the exercise of call provisions unless an escrow account has been established to redeem the bond before maturity. The market value weighting for an investment in an inverse floating rate security is the value of the portfolio's residual interest in the inverse floating rate trust, and does not include the value of the floating rate securities issued by the trust.
o DURATION: Duration is a measure of the expected period over which a bond's principal and interest will be paid, and consequently is a measure of the sensitivity of a bond's or bond Fund's value to changes when market interest rates change. Generally, the longer a bond's or Fund's duration, the more the price of the bond or Fund will change as interest rates change. Leverage-adjusted duration takes into account the leveraging process for a Fund and therefore is longer than the duration of the Fund's portfolio of bonds.
o INVERSE FLOATERS: Inverse floating rate securities, also known as inverse floaters, are created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. This trust, in turn, (a) issues floating rate certificates typically paying short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties in amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, and (b) issues an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") to an investor (such as a Fund) interested in gaining investment exposure to a long-term municipal bond. The income received by the holder of the inverse floater varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the holder of the inverse floater bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk. The holder of the inverse floater typically also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying
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bond's value. Hence, an inverse floater essentially represents an investment in the underlying bond on a leveraged basis.

○ MARKET YIELD (ALSO KNOWN AS DIVIDEND YIELD OR CURRENT YIELD): An investment's current annualized dividend divided by its current market price.

NET ASSET VALUE (NAV): A Fund's NAV per common share is calculated by subtracting the liabilities of the Fund (including any Preferred shares issued in order to leverage the Fund) from its total assets and then
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```
    dividing the remainder by the number of common shares outstanding. Fund
    NAVs are calculated at the end of each business day.
O PRE-REFUNDING: Pre-refunding, also known as advanced refundings or
refinancings, is a procedure used by state and local governments to
refinance municipal bonds to lower interest expenses. The issuer sells new
bonds with a lower yield and uses the proceeds to buy U.S. Treasury
securities, the interest from which is used to make payments on the
higher-yielding bonds. Because of this collateral, pre-refunding generally
raises a bond's credit rating and thus its value.
O TAXABLE-EQUIVALENT YIELD: The yield necessary from a fully taxable
investment to equal, on an after-tax basis, the yield of a municipal bond
investment
O ZERO COUPON BOND: A zero coupon bond does not pay a regular interest
coupon to its holders during the life of the bond. Tax-exempt income to
the holder of the bond comes from accretion of the difference between the
original purchase price of the bond at issuance and the par value of the
bond at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of
zero coupon bonds generally are more volatile than the market prices of
bonds that pay interest periodically.
```
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Other Useful Information

BOARD OF DIRECTORS/TRUSTEES
John P. Amboian
Robert P. Bremner
Jack B. Evans
William C. Hunter
David J. Kundert
William J. Schneider
Judith M. Stockdale
Carole E. Stone
Terence J. Toth

FUND MANAGER
Nuveen Asset Management
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

CUSTODIAN
State Street Bank \& Trust
Company
Boston, MA

TRANSFER AGENT AND SHAREHOLDER SERVICES
State Street Bank \& Trust
Company
Nuveen Funds
P.O. Box 43071

Providence, RI 02940-3071
(800) 257-8787

LEGAL COUNSEL
Chapman and Cutler LLP
Chicago, IL

Independent Registered public Accounting firm
Ernst \& Young LLP
Chicago, IL

QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS AND PROXY VOTING INFORMATION

```
You may obtain (i) each Fund's quarterly portfolio of investments, (ii)
information regarding how the Funds voted proxies relating to portfolio
securities held during the twelve-month period ended June 30, 2009, and (iii) a
description of the policies and procedures that the Funds used to determine how
to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities without charge, upon request,
by calling Nuveen Investments toll-free at (800) 257-8787 or on Nuveen's website
at www.nuveen.com.
You may also obtain this and other Fund information directly from the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The SEC may charge a copying fee for this information. Visit the SEC on-line at http://www.sec.gov or in person at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Call the SEC at (202) 942-8090 for room hours and operation. You may also request Fund information by sending an e-mail request to publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing to the SEC's Public References Section at 100 F Street NE, Washington, D.C. 20549.
```

CEO CERTIFICATION DISCLOSURE
Each Fund's Chief Executive Officer has submitted to the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) the annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

Each Fund has filed with the SEC the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

## COMMON AND PREFERRED SHARE INFORMATION

Each Fund intends to repurchase and/or redeem shares of its own common or preferred stock in the future at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. During the period covered by this report, the Funds repurchased and/or redeemed shares of their common and/or preferred stock as shown in the accompanying table.

|  | COMMON | PREFERRED |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SHARES | SHARES |  |
| FUND | REPURCHASED | REDEEMED |
| NQM | -- | 750 |
| NQS | -- | 652 |
| NQU | -- | 1,180 |
| NPF | 15,700 | -- |
| NMZ | -- | 1,800 |
| NMD | -- | -- |

Any future repurchases and/or redemptions will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semi-annual report.

Nuveen Investments:<br>Serving Investors for Generations

Since 1898, financial advisors and their clients have relied on Nuveen Investments to provide dependable investment solutions. For the past century, Nuveen Investments has adhered to the belief that the best approach to investing is to apply conservative risk-management principles to help minimize volatility. Building on this tradition, we today offer a range of high quality equity and fixed-income solutions that are integral to a well-diversified core portfolio. Our clients have come to appreciate this diversity, as well as our continued adherence to proven, long-term investing principles.

WE OFFER MANY DIFFERENT INVESTING SOLUTIONS FOR OUR CLIENTS' DIFFERENT NEEDS.

Nuveen Investments is a global investment management firm that seeks to help secure the long-term goals of institutions and high net worth investors as well as the consultants and financial advisors who serve them. Nuveen Investments markets its growing range of specialized investment solutions under the high-quality brands of HydePark, NWQ, Nuveen, Santa Barbara, Symphony, Tradewinds and Winslow Capital. In total, the Company managed $\$ 141$ billion of assets on September 30, 2009.

FIND OUT HOW WE CAN HELP YOU REACH YOUR FINANCIAL GOALS.

To learn more about the products and services Nuveen Investments offers, talk to your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787. Please read the information provided carefully before you invest. Be sure to obtain a prospectus, where applicable. Investors should consider the investment objective and policies, risk considerations, charges and expenses of the Fund carefully before investing. The prospectus contains this and other information relevant to an investment in the Fund. For a prospectus, please contact your securities representative or NUVEEN INVESTMENTS, 333 W. WACKER DR., CHICAGO, IL 60606. Please read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

Learn more about Nuveen Funds at: WWW.NUVEEN.COM/CEF

- Share prices
- Fund details
- Daily financial news
- Investor education
o Interactive planning tools
Distributed by
Nuveen Investments, LLC
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606 It's not what you earn,
www.nuveen.com it's what you keep. (R)

EAN-C-1009D

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer,
principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. There were no amendments to or waivers from the Code during the period covered by this report. The registrant has posted the code of ethics on its website at www.nuveen.com/CEF/Info/Shareholder. (To view the code, click on Fund Governance and then click on Code of Conduct.)

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees ("Board") determined that the registrant has at least one "audit committee financial expert" (as defined in Item 3 of Form $N$-CSR) serving on its Audit Committee. The registrant's audit committee financial expert is Jack B. Evans, who is "independent" for purposes of Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

Mr. Evans was formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of SCI Financial Group, Inc., a full service registered broker-dealer and registered investment adviser ("SCI"). As part of his role as President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Evans actively supervised the Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO") and actively supervised the CFO's preparation of financial statements and other filings with various regulatory authorities. In such capacity, Mr. Evans was actively involved in the preparation of SCI's financial statements and the resolution of issues raised in connection therewith. Mr. Evans has also served on the audit committee of various reporting companies. At such companies, Mr. Evans was involved in the oversight of audits, audit plans, and the preparation of financial statements. Mr. Evans also formerly chaired the audit committee of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

## Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund

The following tables show the amount of fees that Ernst \& Young LLP, the Fund's auditor, billed to the Fund during the Fund's last two full fiscal years. For engagements with Ernst \& Young LLP the Audit Committee approved in advance all audit services and non-audit services that Ernst \& Young LLP provided to the Fund, except for those non-audit services that were subject to the pre-approval exception under Rule $2-01$ of Regulation $S-X$ (the "pre-approval exception"). The pre-approval exception for services provided directly to the Fund waives the pre-approval requirement for services other than audit, review or attest services if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than $5 \%$ of the total amount of revenues paid by the Fund to its accountant during the fiscal year in which the services are provided; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the audit is completed.

The Audit Committee has delegated certain pre-approval responsibilities to its Chairman (or, in his absence, any other member of the Audit Committee).

SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE FUND

pursuant to
pre-approval
exception

| October 31, 2008 | \$ 22,537 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage approved | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| pursuant to |  |  |  |
| pre-approval |  |  |  |
| exception |  |  |  |

(1) "Audit Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for the audit of the Fund's annual financial statements and services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
(2) "Audit Related Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees".
(3) "Tax Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for professional services for tax advice, tax compliance, and tax planning.
(4) "All Other Fees" are the aggregate fees billed for products and services for agreed upon procedures engagements performed for leveraged funds.

## SERVICES THAT THE FUND'S AUDITOR BILLED TO THE ADVISER AND AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE PROVIDERS

The following tables show the amount of fees billed by Ernst \& Young LLP to Nuveen Asset Management ("NAM" or the "Adviser"), and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with NAM that provides ongoing services to the Fund ("Affiliated Fund Service Provider"), for engagements directly related to the Fund's operations and financial reporting, during the Fund's last two full fiscal years.

The tables also show the percentage of fees subject to the pre-approval exception. The pre-approval exception for services provided to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider (other than audit, review or attest services) waives the pre-approval requirement if: (A) the aggregate amount of all such services provided constitutes no more than $5 \%$ of the total amount of revenues paid to Ernst \& Young LLP by the Fund, the Adviser and Affiliated Fund Service Providers during the fiscal year in which the services are provided that would have to be pre-approved by the Audit Committee; (B) the Fund did not recognize the services as non-audit services at the time of the engagement; and (C) the services are promptly brought to the Audit Committee's attention, and the Committee (or its delegate) approves the services before the Fund's audit is completed.

FISCAL YEAR ENDED
AUDIT-RELATED FEES BILLED TO ADVISER AND

AFFILIATED FUND
SERVICE PROVIDERS

TAX FEES BILLE ADVISER AN AFFILIATED SERVICE PROVI

| October 31,2009 | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | \$ 0

pursuant to
pre-approval
exception

| October 31, 2008 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Percentage approved 0\% 00 0\%
pursuant to
pre-approval
exception

## NON-AUDIT SERVICES

The following table shows the amount of fees that Ernst \& Young LLP billed during the Fund's last two full fiscal years for non-audit services. The Audit Committee is required to pre-approve non-audit services that Ernst \& Young LLP provides to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Services Provider, if the engagement related directly to the Fund's operations and financial reporting (except for those subject to the pre-approval exception described above). The Audit Committee requested and received information from Ernst \& Young LLP about any non-audit services that Ernst \& Young LLP rendered during the Fund's last fiscal year to the Adviser and any Affiliated Fund Service Provider. The Committee considered this information in evaluating Ernst \& Young LLP's independence.

| FISCAL YEAR ENDED | TOTAL NON-AUDIT FEES |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | BILLED TO ADVISER AND |
|  | AFFILIATED FUND SERVICE |
|  | POTAL NON-AUDIT FEES |
|  | BILLED TO FUND |


| October 31, 2009 | $\$ 850$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| October 31, 2008 | $\$ 800$ | $\$ 0$ |

"Non-Audit Fees billed to Fund" for both fiscal year ends represent "Tax Fees" and "All Other Fees" billed to Fund in their respective amounts from the previous table.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Generally, the Audit Committee must approve (i) all non-audit services to be performed for the Fund by the Fund's independent accountants and (ii) all audit and non-audit services to be performed by the Fund's independent accountants for the Affiliated Fund Service Providers with respect to operations and financial reporting of the Fund. Regarding tax and research projects conducted by the independent accountants for the Fund and Affiliated Fund Service Providers (with respect to operations and financial reports of the Fund) such engagements will be (i) pre-approved by the Audit Committee if they are expected to be for amounts greater than $\$ 10,000$ (ii) reported to the Audit Committee chairman for his verbal approval prior to engagement if they are expected to be for amounts under $\$ 10,000$ but greater than $\$ 5,000$; and (iii) reported to the Audit Committee at the next Audit Committee meeting if they are expected to be for an amount under \$5,000.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The registrant's Board has a separately designated Audit Committee established in accordance with Section $3(a)(58)(A)$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (15 U.S.C. 78c(a) (58)(A)). The members of the audit committee are Robert P. Bremner, Jack B. Evans, Terence J. Toth, William J. Schneider and David J. Kundert.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.
a) See Portfolio of Investments in Item 1.
b) Not applicable.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The registrant invests its assets primarily in municipal bonds and cash management securities. On rare occasions the registrant may acquire, directly or through a special purpose vehicle, equity securities of a municipal bond issuer whose bonds the registrant already owns when such bonds have deteriorated or are expected shortly to deteriorate significantly in credit quality. The purpose of acquiring equity securities generally will be to acquire control of the municipal bond issuer and to seek to prevent the credit deterioration or facilitate the liquidation or other workout of the distressed issuer's credit problem. In the course of exercising control of a distressed municipal issuer, NAM may pursue the registrant's interests in a variety of ways, which may entail negotiating and executing consents, agreements and other arrangements, and otherwise influencing the management of the issuer. NAM does not consider such activities proxy voting for purposes of Rule 206(4)-6 under the 1940 Act, but nevertheless provides reports to the registrant's Board on its control activities on a quarterly basis.

In the rare event that a municipal issuer were to issue a proxy or that the registrant were to receive a proxy issued by a cash management security, NAM would either engage an independent third party to determine how the proxy should be voted or vote the proxy with the consent, or based on the instructions, of the registrant's Board or its representative. A member of NAM's legal department would oversee the administration of the voting, and ensure that records were maintained in accordance with Rule $206(4)-6$, reports were filed with the SEC on Form N-PX, and the results provided to the registrant's Board and made available to shareholders as required by applicable rules.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

THE PORTFOLIO MANAGER

The following individual has primary responsibility for the day-to-day implementation of the registrant's investment strategies:

| NAME | FUND |
| :--- | :--- |
| JOHN V. MILLER | Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund |

Other Accounts Managed. In addition to managing the registrant, the portfolio managers are also primarily responsible for the day-to-day portfolio management of the following accounts:

|  | TYPE OF ACCOUNT | NUMBER OF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PORTFOLIO MANAGER | MANAGED | ACCOUNTS | ASSETS |
| John V. Miller | Registered Investment Company | 2 | \$4.737 |

Other Pooled Investment Vehicles 1<br>1<br>Other Accounts 9

$\$ 99$ million

\$1.5 million

* Assets are as of October 31, 2009. None of the assets in these accounts are subject to an advisory fee based on performance.

Compensation. Each portfolio manager's compensation consists of three basic elements--base salary, cash bonus and long-term incentive compensation. The compensation strategy is to annually compare overall compensation, including these three elements, to the market in order to create a compensation structure that is competitive and consistent with similar financial services companies. As discussed below, several factors are considered in determining each portfolio manager's total compensation. In any year these factors may include, among others, the effectiveness of the investment strategies recommended by the portfolio manager's investment team, the investment performance of the accounts managed by the portfolio manager, and the overall performance of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (the parent company of NAM). Although investment performance is a factor in determining the portfolio manager's compensation, it is not necessarily a decisive factor. The portfolio manager's performance is evaluated in part by comparing manager's performance against a specified investment benchmark. This fund-specific benchmark is a customized subset (limited to bonds in each Fund's specific state and with certain maturity parameters) of the S\&P/Investortools Municipal Bond index, an index comprised of bonds held by managed municipal bond fund customers of Standard \& Poor's Securities Pricing, Inc. that are priced daily and whose fund holdings aggregate at least $\$ 2$ million. As of October 31, 2009, the S\&P/Investortools Municipal Bond index was comprised of 54,552 securities with an aggregate current market value of $\$ 1,178$ billion.

Base salary. Each portfolio manager is paid a base salary that is set at a level determined by NAM in accordance with its overall compensation strategy discussed above. NAM is not under any current contractual obligation to increase a portfolio manager's base salary.

Cash bonus. Each portfolio manager is also eligible to receive an annual cash bonus. The level of this bonus is based upon evaluations and determinations made by each portfolio manager's supervisors, along with reviews submitted by his peers. These reviews and evaluations often take into account a number of factors, including the effectiveness of the investment strategies recommended to the NAM's investment team, the performance of the accounts for which he serves as portfolio manager relative to any benchmarks established for those accounts, his effectiveness in communicating investment performance to stockholders and their representatives, and his contribution to the NAM's investment process and to the execution of investment strategies. The cash bonus component is also impacted by the overall performance of Nuveen Investments, Inc. in achieving its business objectives.

Long-term incentive compensation. In connection with the acquisition of Nuveen Investments, Inc., by a group of investors led by Madison Dearborn Partners in November 2007, certain employees, including portfolio managers, received profit interests in Nuveen's parent. These profit interests entitle the holders to participate in the appreciation in the value of Nuveen beyond the issue date and vest over five to seven years, or earlier in the case of a liquidity event. In addition, in July 2009, Nuveen Investments created and funded a trust, as part of a newly-established incentive program, which purchased shares of certain Nuveen Mutual Funds and awarded such shares, subject to vesting, to certain employees, including portfolio managers.

Material Conflicts of Interest. Each portfolio manager's simultaneous management of the registrant and the other accounts noted above may present actual or apparent conflicts of interest with respect to the allocation and aggregation of securities orders placed on behalf of the registrant and the other account. NAM,
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```
however, believes that such potential conflicts are mitigated by the fact that the NAM has adopted several policies that address potential conflicts of interest, including best execution and trade allocation policies that are designed to ensure (1) that portfolio management is seeking the best price for portfolio securities under the circumstances, (2) fair and equitable allocation of investment opportunities among accounts over time and (3) compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. All accounts are to be treated in a non-preferential manner, such that allocations are not based upon account performance, fee structure or preference of the portfolio manager, although the allocation procedures may provide allocation preferences to funds with special characteristics (such as favoring state funds versus national funds for allocations of in-state bonds). In addition, NAM has adopted a Code of conduct that sets forth policies regarding conflicts of interest.
Beneficial Ownership of Securities. As of October 31, 2009, the portfolio manager beneficially owned the following dollar range of equity securities issued by the registrant and other Nuveen Funds managed by NAM's municipal investment team.
```



## PORTFOLIO MANAGER BIOGRAPHY:

John V. Miller, CFA, joined Nuveen's investment management team as a credit analyst in 1996, with three prior years of experience in the municipal market with C.W. Henderson \& Assoc., a municipal bond manager for private accounts. He has a BA in Economics and Political Science from Duke University, and an MA in Economics from Northwestern University and an MBA with honors in Finance from the University of Chicago. He has been responsible for analysis of high yield credits in the utility, solid waste and energy related sectors. He has been the Chief Investment Officer and a Managing Director of Nuveen Asset Management (since 2007). He manages investments for three Nuveen-sponsored investment companies.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.
(a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule

30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the controls and procedures required by Rule $30 \mathrm{a}-3(\mathrm{~b})$ under the 1940 Act (17 CFR $270.30 a-3(b))$ and Rules $13 a-15(b)$ or $15 d-15(b)$ under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or $240.15 d-15(b))$.
(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR $270.30 a-3(d)$ ) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.
(a) (1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Not applicable because the code is posted on registrant's website at www. nuveen.com/CEF/Info/ Shareholder and there were no amendments during the period covered by this report. (To view the code, click on Fund Governance and then Code of Conduct.)
(a) (2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule $30 a-2(a)$ under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) in the exact form set forth below: Ex-99.CERT Attached hereto.
(a) (3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act ( 17 CFR $270.23 c-1$ ) sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the registrant to 10 or more persons. Not applicable.
(b) If the report is filed under Section $13(\mathrm{a})$ or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR $270.30 \mathrm{a}-2(\mathrm{~b}))$; Rule $13 \mathrm{a}-14(\mathrm{~b})$ or Rule $15 \mathrm{~d}-14(\mathrm{~b})$ under the Exchange Act (17 CFR $240.13 \mathrm{a}-14(\mathrm{~b})$ or $240.15 \mathrm{~d}-14(\mathrm{~b}))$, and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference. Ex-99.906 CERT attached hereto.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940 , the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.
(Registrant) Nuveen Municipal High Income Opportunity Fund

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Kevin J. McCarthy

```
Kevin J. McCarthy
Vice President and Secretary
Date: January 6, 2010
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the
Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the
following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the
dates indicated.
By (Signature and Title) /s/ Gifford R. Zimmerman
    Gifford R. Zimmerman
    Chief Administrative Officer
    (principal executive officer)
Date: January 6, 2010
By (Signature and Title) /s/ Stephen D. Foy
    Stephen D. Foy
    Vice President and Controller
    (principal financial officer)
Date: January 6, 2010
```
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[^5]:    * Total Return Based on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

    Total Return Based on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in Common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.
    $+\quad$ The amounts shown are based on Common share equivalents.
    ++ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Preferred shareholders; Net Investment Income ratios reflect income earned and expenses incurred on assets attributable to Preferred shares.
    (a) The expense ratios in the above table reflect, among other things, the interest expense deemed to have been paid by the Fund on the floating rate certificates issued by the special purpose trusts for the self-deposited inverse floaters held by the Fund, as described in Footnote 1 - Inverse Floating Rate Securities.

