

CULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC.

Form 10-K

February 05, 2015

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2014

Or

¨ Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-13221

CULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas

74-1751768

(State or other jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

100 W. Houston Street, San Antonio, Texas

78205

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

(210) 220-4011

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value

The New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

5.375% Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A

The New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

(Title of each class)

(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ý No ¨

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the

Act. Yes ¨ No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No ¨

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ý

Accelerated filer ¨

Non-accelerated filer ¨ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.) Yes ¨ No ý

As of June 30, 2014, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the shares of common stock held by non-affiliates, based upon the closing price per share of the registrant's common stock as reported on The New York Stock Exchange, Inc., was approximately \$4.8 billion.

As of February 2, 2015, there were 63,151,173 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. to be held on April 30, 2015 are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K in response to Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

---

Table of ContentsCULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC.  
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	<u>3</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>19</u>
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>28</u>
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>28</u>
PART II	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>29</u>
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>32</u>
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>35</u>
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>72</u>
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>74</u>
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>137</u>
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>137</u>
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	<u>138</u>
PART III	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>139</u>
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>139</u>
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>139</u>
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>139</u>

Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>139</u>
PART IV		
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>140</u>
SIGNATURES		<u>141</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by Item 1A. Risk Factors and the section captioned “Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results” in Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation

Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc. (“Cullen/Frost”), a Texas business corporation incorporated in 1977, is a financial holding company and a bank holding company headquartered in San Antonio, Texas that provides, through its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the “Corporation”), a broad array of products and services throughout numerous Texas markets. The Corporation offers commercial and consumer banking services, as well as trust and investment management, mutual funds, investment banking, insurance, brokerage, leasing, treasury management and item processing services. At December 31, 2014, Cullen/Frost had consolidated total assets of \$28.3 billion and was one of the largest independent bank holding companies headquartered in the State of Texas.

The Corporation’s philosophy is to grow and prosper, building long-term relationships based on top quality service, high ethical standards, and safe, sound assets. The Corporation operates as a locally oriented, community-based financial services organization, augmented by experienced, centralized support in select critical areas. The Corporation’s local market orientation is reflected in its regional management and regional advisory boards, which are comprised of local business persons, professionals and other community representatives that assist the Corporation’s regional management in responding to local banking needs. Despite this local market, community-based focus, the Corporation offers many of the products available at much larger money-center financial institutions.

The Corporation serves a wide variety of industries including, among others, energy, manufacturing, services, construction, retail, telecommunications, healthcare, military and transportation. The Corporation’s customer base is similarly diverse. While the Corporation’s loan portfolio has a significant concentration of energy-related loans totaling approximately 16.1% of total loans, the Corporation is not dependent upon any single industry or customer.

The Corporation’s operating objectives include expansion, diversification within its markets, growth of its fee-based income, and growth internally and through acquisitions of financial institutions, branches and financial services businesses. The Corporation generally seeks merger or acquisition partners that are culturally similar and have experienced management and possess either significant market presence or have potential for improved profitability through financial management, economies of scale and expanded services. The Corporation regularly evaluates merger and acquisition opportunities and conducts due diligence activities related to possible transactions with other financial institutions and financial services companies. As a result, merger or acquisition discussions and, in some cases, negotiations may take place and future mergers or acquisitions involving cash, debt or equity securities may occur. Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of the Corporation’s tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction. During 2014, the Corporation acquired WNB Bancshares, Inc., a privately-held bank holding company headquartered in Odessa, Texas (“WNB”). See Note 2 - Mergers and Acquisitions in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. During 2013, the Corporation acquired a Houston-based insurance agency specializing in commercial lines insurance products. During 2012, the Corporation acquired a Houston-based human resources consulting firm that specializes in compensation, benefits and outsourcing services. During 2011, the Corporation acquired an insurance agency in the San Antonio market area. The aforementioned acquisitions did not have a significant impact on the Corporation’s financial statements during their respective reporting periods.

The Corporation’s ability to engage in certain merger or acquisition transactions, whether or not any regulatory approval is required, will be dependent upon the Corporation’s bank regulators’ views at the time as to the capital levels, quality of management and overall condition of the Corporation and their assessment of a variety of other factors. Certain merger or acquisition transactions, including those involving the acquisition of a depository institution or the assumption of the deposits of any depository institution, require formal approval from various bank regulatory authorities, which will be subject to a variety of factors and considerations. As part of the approval process in

connection with the acquisition of WNB, the Corporation agreed with the Federal Reserve that before bringing them any further expansionary proposals, the Corporation would enhance certain compliance programs, including those related to fair

3

---

Table of Contents

lending. The Corporation is currently working on these enhancements. See the section captioned “Supervision and Regulation” included elsewhere in this item for further discussion of these matters.

Although Cullen/Frost is a corporate entity, legally separate and distinct from its affiliates, bank holding companies such as Cullen/Frost are required to act as a source of financial strength for their subsidiary banks. The principal source of Cullen/Frost’s income is dividends from its subsidiaries. There are certain regulatory restrictions on the extent to which these subsidiaries can pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to Cullen/Frost. See the section captioned “Supervision and Regulation” included elsewhere in this item for further discussion of these matters. Cullen/Frost’s executive offices are located at 100 W. Houston Street, San Antonio, Texas 78205, and its telephone number is (210) 220-4011.

Subsidiaries of Cullen/Frost

Frost Bank

Frost Bank, the principal operating subsidiary and sole banking subsidiary of Cullen/Frost, is primarily engaged in the business of commercial and consumer banking through approximately 123 financial centers across Texas in the Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Permian Basin, Rio Grande Valley and San Antonio regions. Frost Bank also operates approximately 1,190 automated-teller machines (“ATMs”) throughout the State of Texas, including approximately 625 ATMs operated in connection with a branding arrangement to be the exclusive cash-machine provider for CST Brands, Inc. Corner Stores in Texas. Frost Bank was chartered as a national banking association in 1899, but its origin can be traced to a mercantile partnership organized in 1868. At December 31, 2014, Frost Bank had consolidated total assets of \$28.3 billion and total deposits of \$24.2 billion and was one of the largest commercial banks headquartered in the State of Texas.

Significant services offered by Frost Bank include:

**Commercial Banking.** Frost Bank provides commercial banking services to corporations and other business clients. Loans are made for a wide variety of general corporate purposes, including financing for industrial and commercial properties and to a lesser extent, financing for interim construction related to industrial and commercial properties, financing for equipment, inventories and accounts receivable, and acquisition financing. The Corporation also originates commercial leases and offers treasury management services.

**Consumer Services.** Frost Bank provides a full range of consumer banking services, including checking accounts, savings programs, ATMs, overdraft facilities, installment and real estate loans, home equity loans and lines of credit, drive-in and night deposit services, safe deposit facilities and brokerage services.

**International Banking.** Frost Bank provides international banking services to customers residing in or dealing with businesses located in Mexico. These services consist of accepting deposits (generally only in U.S. dollars), making loans (generally only in U.S. dollars), issuing letters of credit, handling foreign collections, transmitting funds, and to a limited extent, dealing in foreign exchange.

**Correspondent Banking.** Frost Bank acts as correspondent for approximately 266 financial institutions, which are primarily banks in Texas. These banks maintain deposits with Frost Bank, which offers them a full range of services including check clearing, transfer of funds, fixed income security services, and securities custody and clearance services.

**Trust Services.** Frost Bank provides a wide range of trust, investment, agency and custodial services for individual and corporate clients. These services include the administration of estates and personal trusts, as well as the management of investment accounts for individuals, employee benefit plans and charitable foundations. At December 31, 2014, the estimated fair value of trust assets was \$30.5 billion, including managed assets of \$13.0 billion and custody assets of \$17.5 billion.

**Capital Markets - Fixed-Income Services.** Frost Bank’s Capital Markets Division supports the transaction needs of fixed-income institutional investors. Services include sales and trading, new issue underwriting, money market trading, and securities safekeeping and clearance.

**Global Trade Services.** Frost Bank’s Global Trade Services Division supports international business activities including foreign exchange, international letters of credit and export-import financing, among other things.





Table of Contents

Frost Insurance Agency, Inc.

Frost Insurance Agency, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that provides insurance brokerage services to individuals and businesses covering corporate and personal property and casualty insurance products, as well as group health and life insurance products and consulting services.

Frost Brokerage Services, Inc.

Frost Brokerage Services, Inc. (“FBS”) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that provides brokerage services and performs other transactions or operations related to the sale and purchase of securities of all types. FBS is registered as a fully disclosed introducing broker-dealer under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, as such, does not hold any customer accounts.

Frost Investment Advisors, LLC

Frost Investment Advisors is a registered investment advisor and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that provides investment management services to Frost-managed mutual funds, institutions and individuals.

Tri-Frost Corporation

Tri-Frost Corporation is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Frost Bank that primarily holds securities for investment purposes and the receipt of cash flows related to principal and interest on the securities until such time that the securities mature.

Frost Securities, Inc.

Frost Securities, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cullen/Frost that provides capital and advisory services to primarily private companies.

Main Plaza Corporation

Main Plaza Corporation is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cullen/Frost that occasionally makes loans to qualified borrowers. Loans are funded with current cash or borrowings against internal credit lines.

Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II and WNB Capital Trust I

Cullen/Frost Capital Trust II (“Trust II”) is a Delaware statutory business trust formed in 2004 for the purpose of issuing \$120.0 million in trust preferred securities and lending the proceeds to Cullen/Frost. Cullen/Frost guarantees, on a limited basis, payments of distributions on the trust preferred securities and payments on redemption of the trust preferred securities.

WNB Capital Trust I (“WNB Trust”) is a Delaware statutory business trust formed in 2004 for the purpose of issuing \$13.0 million in trust preferred securities and lending the proceeds to WNB. Cullen/Frost, as WNB's successor, guarantees, on a limited basis, payments of distributions on the trust preferred securities and payments on redemption of the trust preferred securities.

Trust II and WNB Trust are variable interest entities for which the Corporation is not the primary beneficiary. As such, the accounts of Trust II and WNB Trust are not included in the Corporation’s consolidated financial statements. See the Corporation’s accounting policy related to consolidation in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which is located elsewhere in this report.

Although the accounts of Trust II and WNB Trust are not included in the Corporation’s consolidated financial statements, the \$120.0 million in trust preferred securities issued by Trust II and the \$13.0 million in trust preferred securities issued by WNB Trust were included in the Tier 1 capital of Cullen/Frost for regulatory capital purposes during the reported periods. See the section captioned “Supervision and Regulation - Capital Requirements” for a discussion of the regulatory capital treatment of the Corporation's trust preferred securities, including recent revisions to that treatment that will require the Corporation to phase-out the inclusion of trust preferred securities in Tier 1 capital.

Other Subsidiaries

Cullen/Frost has various other subsidiaries that are not significant to the consolidated entity.

## Table of Contents

### Operating Segments

Cullen/Frost's operations are managed along two reportable operating segments consisting of Banking and Frost Wealth Advisors. See the sections captioned "Results of Segment Operations" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 19 - Operating Segments in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which are located elsewhere in this report.

### Competition

There is significant competition among commercial banks in the Corporation's market areas. In addition, the Corporation also competes with other providers of financial services, such as savings and loan associations, credit unions, consumer finance companies, securities firms, insurance companies, insurance agencies, commercial finance and leasing companies, full service brokerage firms and discount brokerage firms. Some of the Corporation's competitors have greater resources and, as such, may have higher lending limits and may offer other services that are not provided by the Corporation. The Corporation generally competes on the basis of customer service and responsiveness to customer needs, available loan and deposit products, the rates of interest charged on loans, the rates of interest paid for funds, and the availability and pricing of trust, brokerage and insurance services.

### Supervision and Regulation

Cullen/Frost, Frost Bank and most of its non-banking subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation under federal and state laws. The regulatory framework is intended primarily for the protection of depositors, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole and not for the protection of shareholders and creditors.

Significant elements of the laws and regulations applicable to Cullen/Frost and its subsidiaries are described below.

The description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the statutes, regulations and policies that are described. Also, such statutes, regulations and policies are continually under review by Congress and state legislatures and federal and state regulatory agencies. A change in statutes, regulations or regulatory policies applicable to Cullen/Frost and its subsidiaries could have a material effect on the business, financial condition and results of operations of the Corporation.

### Regulatory Agencies

Cullen/Frost is a legal entity separate and distinct from Frost Bank and its other subsidiaries. As a financial holding company and a bank holding company, Cullen/Frost is regulated under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ("BHC Act"), and its subsidiaries are subject to inspection, examination and supervision by the Federal Reserve Board. The BHC Act provides generally for "umbrella" regulation of financial holding companies such as Cullen/Frost by the Federal Reserve Board, and for functional regulation of banking activities by bank regulators, securities activities by securities regulators, and insurance activities by insurance regulators. Cullen/Frost is also under the jurisdiction of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and is subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as administered by the SEC. Cullen/Frost's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the trading symbol "CFR," and is subject to the rules of the NYSE for listed companies.

Prior to June 22, 2012, Frost Bank was organized as a national banking association under the National Bank Act and was subject to regulation and examination by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"). On June 22, 2012, Frost Bank became a Texas state chartered bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System. Accordingly, the Texas Department of Banking and the Federal Reserve are now the primary regulators of Frost Bank, and Frost Bank is no longer regulated by the OCC. Deposits at Frost Bank continue to be insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") up to applicable limits.

Most of the Corporation's non-bank subsidiaries also are subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board and other federal and state agencies. Frost Securities, Inc. and Frost Brokerage Services, Inc. are regulated by the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") and state securities regulators. Frost Investment Advisors, LLC is subject to the disclosure and regulatory requirements of the Investment Advisors Act of 1940, as administered by the SEC. The Corporation's insurance subsidiary is subject to regulation by applicable state insurance regulatory agencies. Other non-bank subsidiaries are subject to both federal and state laws and regulations. Frost Bank and its



Table of Contents

affiliates are also subject to supervision, regulation, examination and enforcement by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) with respect to consumer protection laws and regulations.

**Bank Holding Company Activities**

In general, the BHC Act limits the business of bank holding companies to banking, managing or controlling banks and other activities that the Federal Reserve Board has determined to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. In addition, bank holding companies that qualify and elect to be financial holding companies may engage in any activity, or acquire and retain the shares of a company engaged in any activity, that is either (i) financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity (as determined by the Federal Reserve Board in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury) or (ii) complementary to a financial activity and does not pose a substantial risk to the safety and soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally (as solely determined by the Federal Reserve Board), without prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board. Activities that are financial in nature include securities underwriting and dealing, insurance underwriting and making merchant banking investments.

To maintain financial holding company status, a financial holding company and all of its depository institution subsidiaries must be “well capitalized” and “well managed.” A depository institution subsidiary is considered to be “well capitalized” if it satisfies the requirements for this status discussed in the section captioned “Capital Adequacy and Prompt Corrective Action,” included elsewhere in this item. A depository institution subsidiary is considered “well managed” if it received a composite rating and management rating of at least “satisfactory” in its most recent examination. A financial holding company’s status will also depend upon it maintaining its status as “well capitalized” and “well managed” under applicable Federal Reserve Board regulations. If a financial holding company ceases to meet these capital and management requirements, the Federal Reserve Board’s regulations provide that the financial holding company must enter into an agreement with the Federal Reserve Board to comply with all applicable capital and management requirements. Until the financial holding company returns to compliance, the Federal Reserve Board may impose limitations or conditions on the conduct of its activities, and the company may not commence any of the broader financial activities permissible for financial holding companies or acquire a company engaged in such financial activities without prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board. If the company does not return to compliance within 180 days, the Federal Reserve Board may require divestiture of the holding company’s depository institutions. Bank holding companies and banks must also be both well capitalized and well managed in order to acquire banks located outside their home state.

In order for a financial holding company to commence any new activity permitted by the BHC Act or to acquire a company engaged in any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, each insured depository institution subsidiary of the financial holding company must have received a rating of at least “satisfactory” in its most recent examination under the Community Reinvestment Act. See the section captioned “Community Reinvestment Act” included elsewhere in this item.

The Federal Reserve Board has the power to order any bank holding company or its subsidiaries to terminate any activity or to terminate its ownership or control of any subsidiary when the Federal Reserve Board has reasonable grounds to believe that continuation of such activity or such ownership or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial soundness, safety or stability of any bank subsidiary of the bank holding company.

The BHC Act, the Bank Merger Act, the Texas Banking Code and other federal and state statutes regulate acquisitions of commercial banks and their parent holding companies. The BHC Act requires the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board for the direct or indirect acquisition by a bank holding company of more than 5.0% of the voting shares of a commercial bank or its parent holding company. Under the Bank Merger Act, the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board or other appropriate bank regulatory authority is required for a member bank to merge with another bank or purchase substantially all of the assets or assume any deposits of another bank. In reviewing applications seeking approval of merger and acquisition transactions, the bank regulatory authorities will consider, among other things, the competitive effect and public benefits of the transactions, the capital position of the combined organization, the risks to the stability of the U.S. banking or financial system, the applicant’s performance record under the Community Reinvestment Act (see the section captioned “Community Reinvestment Act” included elsewhere in this item) and its compliance with fair housing and other consumer protection laws and the effectiveness of the subject organizations in combating money laundering activities. As part of the approval process in connection with the

acquisition of WNB, the Corporation agreed with the Federal Reserve that before bringing them any further expansionary proposals, the Corporation would enhance certain compliance programs, including those related to fair lending. The Corporation is currently working on these enhancements.

7

---

## Table of Contents

### Dividends

The principal source of Cullen/Frost's liquidity is dividends from Frost Bank. The prior approval of the Federal Reserve is required if the total of all dividends declared by a state-chartered member bank in any calendar year would exceed the sum of the bank's net profits for that year and its retained net profits for the preceding two calendar years, less any required transfers to surplus or to fund the retirement of preferred stock. Federal law also prohibits a state-chartered, member bank from paying dividends that would be greater than the bank's undivided profits. Frost Bank is also subject to limitations under Texas state law regarding the level of dividends that may be paid. Under the foregoing dividend restrictions, and while maintaining its "well capitalized" status, Frost Bank could pay aggregate dividends of approximately \$363.9 million to Cullen/Frost, without obtaining affirmative governmental approvals, at December 31, 2014. This amount is not necessarily indicative of amounts that may be paid or available to be paid in future periods.

In addition, Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank are subject to other regulatory policies and requirements relating to the payment of dividends, including requirements to maintain adequate capital above regulatory minimums. The appropriate federal regulatory authority is authorized to determine under certain circumstances relating to the financial condition of a bank holding company or a bank that the payment of dividends would be an unsafe or unsound practice and to prohibit payment thereof. The appropriate federal regulatory authorities have stated that paying dividends that deplete a bank's capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice and that banking organizations should generally pay dividends only out of current operating earnings. In addition, in the current financial and economic environment, the Federal Reserve Board has indicated that bank holding companies should carefully review their dividend policy and has discouraged payment ratios that are at maximum allowable levels unless both asset quality and capital are very strong.

In October 2012, as required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act" or "Dodd-Frank"), the Federal Reserve Board published final rules regarding company-run stress testing. The rules require institutions, such as Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank, with average total consolidated assets greater than \$10 billion to conduct an annual company-run stress test of capital, consolidated earnings and losses under one base and at least two stress scenarios provided by the federal bank regulators. Implementation of the rules for covered institutions with total consolidated assets between \$10 billion and \$50 billion began in 2013. The company-run stress tests are conducted using data as of September 30th and scenarios released by the agencies. Stress test results must be reported to the agencies by the following March 31st. Public disclosure of summary stress test results under the severely adverse scenario will begin in June 2015 for stress tests commencing in 2014. The Corporation's capital ratios reflected in the stress test calculations will be an important factor considered by the Federal Reserve Board in evaluating the capital adequacy of Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank and whether the appropriateness of any proposed payments of dividends or stock repurchases may be an unsafe or unsound practice.

### Transactions with Affiliates

Transactions between Frost Bank and its subsidiaries, on the one hand, and Cullen/Frost or any other subsidiary, on the other hand, are regulated under federal banking law. The Federal Reserve Act imposes quantitative and qualitative requirements and collateral requirements on covered transactions by Frost Bank with, or for the benefit of, its affiliates, and generally requires those transactions to be on terms at least as favorable to Frost Bank as if the transaction were conducted with an unaffiliated third party. Covered transactions are defined by statute to include a loan or extension of credit, as well as a purchase of securities issued by an affiliate, a purchase of assets (unless otherwise exempted by the Federal Reserve) from the affiliate, certain derivative transactions that create a credit exposure to an affiliate, the acceptance of securities issued by the affiliate as collateral for a loan, and the issuance of a guarantee, acceptance or letter of credit on behalf of an affiliate. In general, any such transaction by Frost Bank or its subsidiaries must be limited to certain thresholds on an individual and aggregate basis and, for credit transactions with any affiliate, must be secured by designated amounts of specified collateral.

Federal law also limits a bank's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders, as well as to entities controlled by such persons. Among other things, extensions of credit to insiders are required to be made on terms that are substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons. Also, the terms of such extensions of

credit may not involve more than the normal risk of non-repayment or present other unfavorable features and may not exceed certain limitations on the amount of credit extended to such persons individually and in the aggregate.

8

---

## Table of Contents

### Source of Strength Doctrine

Federal Reserve Board policy and federal law require bank holding companies to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to their subsidiary banks. Under this requirement, Cullen/Frost is expected to commit resources to support Frost Bank, including at times when Cullen/Frost may not be in a financial position to provide such resources. Any capital loans by a bank holding company to any of its subsidiary banks are subordinate in right of payment to depositors and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary banks. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to priority of payment.

### Capital Requirements

Regulatory Capital Requirements in Effect as of December 31, 2014. Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank are subject to the regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve Board, and, for Frost Bank, the FDIC. The federal regulatory authorities' risk-based capital guidelines in effect as of December 31, 2014 were based upon the 1988 capital accord ("Basel I") of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the "Basel Committee"). The Basel Committee is a committee of central banks and bank supervisors/regulators from the major industrialized countries that develops broad policy guidelines for use by each country's supervisors in determining the supervisory policies they apply. The requirements were intended to ensure that banking organizations have adequate capital given the risk levels of assets and off-balance sheet financial instruments. Under the requirements, banking organizations were required to maintain minimum ratios for Tier 1 capital and total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items, such as letters of credit). For purposes of calculating the ratios, a banking organization's assets and some of its specified off-balance sheet commitments and obligations were assigned to various risk categories. A depository institution's or holding company's capital, in turn, was classified in one of two tiers, depending on type:

Core Capital (Tier 1). Tier 1 capital included common equity, retained earnings, qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock, minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries (and, under existing standards, a limited amount of qualifying trust preferred securities and qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock at the holding company level), less goodwill, most intangible assets and certain other assets.

Supplementary Capital (Tier 2). Tier 2 capital included, among other things, perpetual preferred stock and trust preferred securities not meeting the Tier 1 definition, qualifying mandatory convertible debt securities, qualifying subordinated debt, and allowances for loan and lease losses, subject to limitations.

Cullen/Frost, like other bank holding companies, was required to maintain Tier 1 capital and "total capital" (the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital) equal to at least 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of its total risk-weighted assets (including various off-balance-sheet items, such as letters of credit). Frost Bank, like other depository institutions, was required to maintain similar capital levels under capital adequacy guidelines. In addition, for a depository institution to be considered "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, its Tier 1 and total capital ratios had to be at least 6.0% and 10.0% on a risk-adjusted basis, respectively.

Bank holding companies and banks were also required to comply with minimum leverage ratio requirements. The leverage ratio is the ratio of a banking organization's Tier 1 capital to its total adjusted quarterly average assets (as defined for regulatory purposes). The requirements necessitated a minimum leverage ratio of 3.0% for bank holding companies and member banks that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the appropriate federal regulatory authority's risk-adjusted measure for market risk. All other bank holding companies and member banks were required to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%, unless a different minimum was specified by an appropriate regulatory authority. In addition, for a depository institution to be considered "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, its leverage ratio had to be at least 5.0%. As of December 31, 2014, the Federal Reserve Board had not advised Cullen/Frost or Frost Bank, of any specific minimum leverage ratio applicable to either entity.

Basel III Capital Rules Effective January 1, 2015. In July 2013, Cullen/Frost's and Frost Bank's primary federal regulator, the Federal Reserve, published the Basel III Capital Rules establishing a new comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework known as "Basel III" for strengthening international capital standards as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank



Act. The Basel III Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to bank holding companies and depository institutions, including Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank, compared to the current U.S. risk-based capital rules. The Basel III Capital Rules define the components of capital and address other issues affecting the

9

---

Table of Contents

numerator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios. The Basel III Capital Rules also address risk weights and other issues affecting the denominator in banking institutions' regulatory capital ratios and replace the existing risk-weighting approach, which was derived from the Basel I capital accords of the Basel Committee, with a more risk-sensitive approach based, in part, on the standardized approach in the Basel Committee's 2004 "Basel II" capital accords. The Basel III Capital Rules also implement the requirements of Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act to remove references to credit ratings from the federal banking agencies' rules. The Basel III Capital Rules became effective for Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank on January 1, 2015 (subject to a phase-in period for certain provisions). The Basel III Capital Rules, among other things, (i) introduce a new capital measure called "Common Equity Tier 1" ("CET1"), (ii) specify that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and "Additional Tier 1 capital" instruments meeting specified requirements, (iii) define CET1 narrowly by requiring that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions/adjustments as compared to existing regulations.

When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, the Basel III Capital Rules will require Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank to maintain (i) a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% "capital conservation buffer" (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7% upon full implementation), (ii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation), (iii) a minimum ratio of Total capital (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2) to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation) and (iv) a minimum leverage ratio of 4%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average quarterly assets (as compared to a current minimum leverage ratio of 3% for banking organizations that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the appropriate federal regulatory authority's risk-adjusted measure for market risk).

The Basel III Capital Rules also provide for a "countercyclical capital buffer" that is applicable to only certain covered institutions and does not have any current applicability to Cullen/Frost or Frost Bank.

The aforementioned capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer (or below the combined capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer, when the latter is applied) will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the initial minimum capital ratios that became effective on January 1, 2015 are as follows:

- 4.5% CET1 to risk-weighted assets.
- 6.0% Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets.
- 8.0% Total capital to risk-weighted assets.
- 4.0% Tier 1 capital to average quarterly assets

The Basel III Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1. Under capital standards in effect as of December 31, 2014, the effects of accumulated other comprehensive income items included in capital are excluded for the purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however, non-advanced approaches banking organizations, including Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank, may make a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items. Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank expect to make this election in order to avoid significant variations in the level of capital depending upon the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the fair value of the Corporation's available-for-sale securities portfolio. The Basel III Capital Rules also preclude certain hybrid securities, such as trust preferred securities, as Tier 1 capital of bank holding companies, subject to phase-out. Trust preferred securities no longer included in the Corporation's Tier 1

capital may nonetheless be included as a component of Tier 2 capital on a permanent basis without phase-out.

Table of Contents

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 began on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a four-year period (beginning at 40% on January 1, 2015 and an additional 20% per year thereafter). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and be phased in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

With respect to Frost Bank, the Basel III Capital Rules also revise the “prompt corrective action” regulations pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as discussed below under “Prompt Corrective Action.”

The Basel III Capital Rules prescribe a standardized approach for risk weightings that expand the risk-weighting categories from the four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories. Specific changes to the rules impacting the Corporation’s determination of risk-weighted assets include, among other things:

- Applying a 150% risk weight instead of a 100% risk weight for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisition, development and construction loans.
- Assigning a 150% risk weight to exposures (other than residential mortgage exposures) that are 90 days past due.
- Providing for a 20% credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable (currently set at 0%).
  - Providing for a risk weight, generally not less than 20% with certain exceptions, for securities lending transactions based on the risk weight category of the underlying collateral securing the transaction.
- Providing for a 100% risk weight for claims on securities firms.
- Eliminating the current 50% cap on the risk weight for OTC derivatives.

In addition, the Basel III Capital Rules provide more advantageous risk weights for derivatives and repurchase-style transactions cleared through a qualifying central counterparty and increase the scope of eligible guarantors and eligible collateral for purposes of credit risk mitigation.

Management believes that, as of December 31, 2014, Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank would meet all capital adequacy requirements under the Basel III Capital Rules on a fully phased-in basis as if such requirements had been in effect.

#### Liquidity Requirements

Historically, the regulation and monitoring of bank and bank holding company liquidity has been addressed as a supervisory matter, without required formulaic measures. Liquidity risk management has become increasingly important since the financial crisis. The Basel III liquidity framework requires banks and bank holding companies to measure their liquidity against specific liquidity tests that, although similar in some respects to liquidity measures historically applied by banks and regulators for management and supervisory purposes, going forward would be required by regulation. One test, referred to as the liquidity coverage ratio (“LCR”), is designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets equal to the entity’s expected net cash outflow for a 30-day time horizon (or, if greater, 25% of its expected total cash outflow) under an acute liquidity stress scenario. The other test, referred to as the net stable funding ratio (“NSFR”), is designed to promote more medium- and long-term funding of the assets and activities of banking entities over a one-year time horizon. These requirements will incent banking entities to increase their holdings of U.S. Treasury securities and other sovereign debt as a component of assets and increase the use of long-term debt as a funding source.

In September 2014, the federal bank regulators approved final rules implementing the LCR for advanced approach banking organizations (i.e., banking organizations with \$250 billion or more in total consolidated assets or \$10 billion or more in total on-balance sheet foreign exposure) and a modified version of the LCR for bank holding companies with at least \$50 billion in total consolidated assets that are not advanced approach banking organizations, neither of which would apply to Cullen/Frost or Frost Bank. The federal bank regulators have not yet proposed rules to implement the NSFR or addressed the scope of bank organizations to which it will apply. The Basel Committee's final NSFR document states that the NSFR applies to internationally active banks, as did its final LCR document as to that ratio.



Table of Contents

## Prompt Corrective Action

The Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended (“FDIA”), requires among other things, the federal banking agencies to take “prompt corrective action” in respect of depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. The FDIA includes the following five capital tiers: “well capitalized,” “adequately capitalized,” “undercapitalized,” “significantly undercapitalized” and “critically undercapitalized.” A depository institution’s capital tier will depend upon how its capital levels compare with various relevant capital measures and certain other factors, as established by regulation. The relevant capital measures, which reflect changes under the Basel III Capital Rules that became effective on January 1, 2015, are the total capital ratio, the CET1 capital ratio (a new ratio requirement under the Basel III Capital Rules), the Tier 1 capital ratio and the leverage ratio.

A bank will be (i) “well capitalized” if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.0% or greater, a CET1 capital ratio of 6.5% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 8.0% or greater (6.0% prior to January 1, 2015), and a leverage ratio of 5.0% or greater, and is not subject to any order or written directive by any such regulatory authority to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure; (ii) “adequately capitalized” if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8.0% or greater, a CET1 capital ratio of 4.5% or greater, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or greater (4.0% prior to January 1, 2015), and a leverage ratio of 4.0% or greater and is not “well capitalized”; (iii) “undercapitalized” if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 8.0%, a CET1 capital ratio less than 4.5%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 6.0% (4.0% prior to January 1, 2015) or a leverage ratio of less than 4.0%; (iv) “significantly undercapitalized” if the institution has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 6.0%, a CET1 capital ratio less than 3%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of less than 4.0% (3.0% prior to January 1, 2015) or a leverage ratio of less than 3.0%; and (v) “critically undercapitalized” if the institution’s tangible equity is equal to or less than 2.0% of average quarterly tangible assets. An institution may be downgraded to, or deemed to be in, a capital category that is lower than indicated by its capital ratios if it is determined to be in an unsafe or unsound condition or if it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to certain matters. A bank’s capital category is determined solely for the purpose of applying prompt corrective action regulations, and the capital category may not constitute an accurate representation of the bank’s overall financial condition or prospects for other purposes.

The FDIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distributions (including payment of a dividend) or paying any management fee to its parent holding company if the depository institution would thereafter be “undercapitalized.” “Undercapitalized” institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit a capital restoration plan. The agencies may not accept such a plan without determining, among other things, that the plan is based on realistic assumptions and is likely to succeed in restoring the depository institution’s capital. In addition, for a capital restoration plan to be acceptable, the depository institution’s parent holding company must guarantee that the institution will comply with such capital restoration plan. The bank holding company must also provide appropriate assurances of performance. The aggregate liability of the parent holding company is limited to the lesser of (i) an amount equal to 5.0% of the depository institution’s total assets at the time it became undercapitalized and (ii) the amount which is necessary (or would have been necessary) to bring the institution into compliance with all capital standards applicable with respect to such institution as of the time it fails to comply with the plan. If a depository institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is treated as if it is “significantly undercapitalized.”

“Significantly undercapitalized” depository institutions may be subject to a number of requirements and restrictions, including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become “adequately capitalized,” requirements to reduce total assets, and cessation of receipt of deposits from correspondent banks. “Critically undercapitalized” institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator.

The appropriate federal banking agency may, under certain circumstances, reclassify a well capitalized insured depository institution as adequately capitalized. The FDIA provides that an institution may be reclassified if the appropriate federal banking agency determines (after notice and opportunity for hearing) that the institution is in an unsafe or unsound condition or deems the institution to be engaging in an unsafe or unsound practice.

The appropriate agency is also permitted to require an adequately capitalized or undercapitalized institution to comply with the supervisory provisions as if the institution were in the next lower category (but not treat a significantly undercapitalized institution as critically undercapitalized) based on supervisory information other than the capital

levels of the institution.

Cullen/Frost believes that, as of December 31, 2014, its bank subsidiary, Frost Bank, was “well capitalized” based on the aforementioned ratios. For further information regarding the capital ratios and leverage ratio of Cullen/Frost and Frost Bank see the discussion under the section captioned “Capital and Liquidity” included in Item 7. Management’s

12

---

## Table of Contents

Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 10 - Capital and Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, elsewhere in this report.

### Safety and Soundness Standards

The FDIA requires the federal bank regulatory agencies to prescribe standards, by regulations or guidelines, relating to internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, stock valuation and compensation, fees and benefits, and such other operational and managerial standards as the agencies deem appropriate. Guidelines adopted by the federal bank regulatory agencies establish general standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risk and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal stockholder. In addition, the agencies adopted regulations that authorize, but do not require, an agency to order an institution that has been given notice by an agency that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being so notified, an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails in any material respect to implement an acceptable compliance plan, the agency must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized institution is subject under the “prompt corrective action” provisions of the FDIA. See “Prompt Corrective Action” above. If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the agency may seek to enforce such order in judicial proceedings and to impose civil money penalties.

### Deposit Insurance

Substantially all of the deposits of Frost Bank are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund (“DIF”) of the FDIC and are subject to deposit insurance assessments to maintain the DIF. Deposit insurance assessments are based on average total assets minus average tangible equity. For larger institutions, such as Frost Bank, the FDIC uses a performance score and a loss-severity score that are used to calculate an initial assessment rate. In calculating these scores, the FDIC uses a bank’s capital level and supervisory ratings (its “CAMELS ratings”) and certain financial measures to assess an institution’s ability to withstand asset-related stress and funding-related stress. The FDIC has the ability to make discretionary adjustments to the total score based upon significant risk factors that are not adequately captured in the calculations.

The initial base assessment rate ranges from 5 to 35 basis points on an annualized basis. After the effect of potential base-rate adjustments, the total base assessment rate could range from 2.5 to 45 basis points on an annualized basis. As the DIF reserve ratio grows, the rate schedule will be adjusted downward. Additionally, an institution must pay an additional premium equal to 50 basis points on every dollar (above 3% of an institution’s Tier 1 capital) of long-term, unsecured debt held that was issued by another insured depository institution.

In October 2010, the FDIC adopted a new DIF restoration plan to ensure that the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. At least semi-annually, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the fund and, if needed, will increase or decrease assessment rates, following notice-and-comment rulemaking if required.

FDIC deposit insurance expense totaled \$13.2 million, \$11.7 million and \$11.1 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. FDIC deposit insurance expense includes deposit insurance assessments and Financing Corporation (“FICO”) assessments related to outstanding FICO bonds. The FICO is a mixed-ownership government corporation established by the Competitive Equality Banking Act of 1987 whose sole purpose was to function as a financing vehicle for the now defunct Federal Savings & Loan Insurance Corporation.

Under the FDIA, the FDIC may terminate deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe and unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.





## Table of Contents

### The Volcker Rule

The Dodd-Frank Act prohibits banks and their affiliates from engaging in proprietary trading and investing in and sponsoring hedge funds and private equity funds. Although the Corporation is continuing to evaluate the impact of the Volcker Rule and the final rules adopted thereunder, the Corporation does not currently anticipate that the Volcker Rule will have a material effect on the operations of Cullen/Frost and its subsidiaries, as the Corporation does not have any significant engagement in the businesses prohibited by the Volcker Rule. The Corporation may incur costs to adopt additional policies and systems to ensure compliance with the Volcker Rule, but any such costs are not expected to be material.

### Depositor Preference

The FDIA provides that, in the event of the “liquidation or other resolution” of an insured depository institution, the claims of depositors of the institution, including the claims of the FDIC as subrogee of insured depositors, and certain claims for administrative expenses of the FDIC as a receiver, will have priority over other general unsecured claims against the institution. If an insured depository institution fails, insured and uninsured depositors, along with the FDIC, will have priority in payment ahead of unsecured, non-deposit creditors, including depositors whose deposits are payable only outside of the United States and the parent bank holding company, with respect to any extensions of credit they have made to such insured depository institution.

### Interchange Fees

Under the Durbin Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve adopted rules establishing standards for assessing whether the interchange fees that may be charged with respect to certain electronic debit transactions are “reasonable and proportional” to the costs incurred by issuers for processing such transactions.

Interchange fees, or “swipe” fees, are charges that merchants pay to the Corporation and other card-issuing banks for processing electronic payment transactions. Federal Reserve rules applicable to financial institutions that have assets of \$10 billion or more provide that the maximum permissible interchange fee for an electronic debit transaction is the sum of 21 cents per transaction and 5 basis points multiplied by the value of the transaction. An upward adjustment of no more than 1 cent to an issuer's debit card interchange fee is allowed if the card issuer develops and implements policies and procedures reasonably designed to achieve certain fraud-prevention standards. The Federal Reserve also has rules governing routing and exclusivity that require issuers to offer two unaffiliated networks for routing transactions on each debit or prepaid product.

### Consumer Financial Protection

The Corporation is subject to a number of federal and state consumer protection laws that extensively govern its relationship with its customers. These laws include the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Truth in Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, the Expedited Funds Availability Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Service Members Civil Relief Act and these laws’ respective state-law counterparts, as well as state usury laws and laws regarding unfair and deceptive acts and practices. These and other federal laws, among other things, require disclosures of the cost of credit and terms of deposit accounts, provide substantive consumer rights, prohibit discrimination in credit transactions, regulate the use of credit report information, provide financial privacy protections, prohibit unfair, deceptive and abusive practices, restrict the Corporation's ability to raise interest rates and subject the Corporation to substantial regulatory oversight. Violations of applicable consumer protection laws can result in significant potential liability from litigation brought by customers, including actual damages, restitution and attorneys’ fees. Federal bank regulators, state attorneys general and state and local consumer protection agencies may also seek to enforce consumer protection requirements and obtain these and other remedies, including regulatory sanctions, customer rescission rights, action by the state and local attorneys general in each jurisdiction in which we operate and civil money penalties. Failure to comply with consumer protection requirements may also result in our failure to obtain any required bank regulatory approval for merger or acquisition transactions the Corporation may wish to pursue or our prohibition from engaging in such transactions even if approval is not required.



## Table of Contents

The Dodd-Frank Act centralized responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating a new agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), and giving it responsibility for implementing, examining and enforcing compliance with federal consumer protection laws. The CFPB focuses on:

• Risks to consumers and compliance with the federal consumer financial laws, when it evaluates the policies and practices of a financial institution.

• The markets in which firms operate and risks to consumers posed by activities in those markets.

• Depository institutions that offer a wide variety of consumer financial products and services; depository institutions with a more specialized focus.

• Non-depository companies that offer one or more consumer financial products or services.

The CFPB has broad rulemaking authority for a wide range of consumer financial laws that apply to all banks, including, among other things, the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. Abusive acts or practices are defined as those that materially interfere with a consumer’s ability to understand a term or condition of a consumer financial product or service or take unreasonable advantage of a consumer’s (i) lack of financial savvy, (ii) inability to protect himself in the selection or use of consumer financial products or services, or (iii) reasonable reliance on a covered entity to act in the consumer’s interests. The CFPB can issue cease-and-desist orders against banks and other entities that violate consumer financial laws. The CFPB may also institute a civil action against an entity in violation of federal consumer financial law in order to impose a civil penalty or injunction. The CFPB has examination and enforcement authority over all banks with more than \$10 billion in assets, as well as their affiliates. Banking regulators take into account compliance with consumer protection laws when considering approval of a proposed transaction.

### Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (“CRA”) requires depository institutions to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas consistent with safe and sound banking practice. Under the CRA, each depository institution is required to help meet the credit needs of its market areas by, among other things, providing credit to low- and moderate-income individuals and communities. Depository institutions are periodically examined for compliance with the CRA and are assigned ratings. In order for a financial holding company to commence any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, or to acquire any company engaged in any new activity permitted by the BHC Act, each insured depository institution subsidiary of the financial holding company must have received a rating of at least “satisfactory” in its most recent examination under the CRA. Furthermore, banking regulators take into account CRA ratings when considering approval of a proposed transaction. Frost Bank received a rating of “satisfactory” in its most recent CRA examination in 2013.

### Financial Privacy

The federal banking regulators adopted rules that limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. These limitations require disclosure of privacy policies to consumers and, in some circumstances, allow consumers to prevent disclosure of certain personal information to a nonaffiliated third party. These regulations affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors.

### Anti-Money Laundering and the USA Patriot Act

A major focus of governmental policy on financial institutions in recent years has been aimed at combating money laundering and terrorist financing. The USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, or the USA Patriot Act, substantially broadened the scope of United States anti-money laundering laws and regulations by imposing significant new compliance and due diligence obligations, creating new crimes and penalties and expanding the extra-territorial jurisdiction of the United States. Financial institutions are also prohibited from entering into specified financial transactions and account relationships and must use enhanced due diligence procedures in their dealings with certain types of high-risk customers and implement a written customer identification program. Financial institutions must take certain steps to assist government agencies in detecting and preventing money laundering and report certain types of suspicious transactions. Regulatory authorities routinely examine financial institutions for compliance with these obligations, and failure of a financial institution to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing, or to comply with all of the relevant laws or regulations, could have serious legal and reputational

consequences for

15

---

## Table of Contents

the institution, including causing applicable bank regulatory authorities not to approve merger or acquisition transactions when regulatory approval is required or to prohibit such transactions even if approval is not required. Regulatory authorities have imposed cease and desist orders and civil money penalties against institutions found to be violating these obligations.

### Office of Foreign Assets Control Regulation

The U.S. Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control, or OFAC, administers and enforces economic and trade sanctions against targeted foreign countries and regimes, under authority of various laws, including designated foreign countries, nationals and others. OFAC publishes lists of specially designated targets and countries. The Corporation is responsible for, among other things, blocking accounts of, and transactions with, such targets and countries, prohibiting unlicensed trade and financial transactions with them and reporting blocked transactions after their occurrence. Failure to comply with these sanctions could have serious legal and reputational consequences, including causing applicable bank regulatory authorities not to approve merger or acquisition transactions when regulatory approval is required or to prohibit such transactions even if approval is not required.

### Incentive Compensation

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the federal bank regulatory agencies and the SEC to establish joint regulations or guidelines prohibiting incentive-based payment arrangements at specified regulated entities, such as the Corporation and Frost Bank, having at least \$1 billion in total assets that encourage inappropriate risks by providing an executive officer, employee, director or principal shareholder with excessive compensation, fees, or benefits or that could lead to material financial loss to the entity. In addition, these regulators must establish regulations or guidelines requiring enhanced disclosure to regulators of incentive-based compensation arrangements. Officials from the Federal Reserve have recently indicated that they are preparing a new rule on incentive compensation.

In June 2010, the Federal Reserve Board, OCC and FDIC issued a comprehensive final guidance on incentive compensation policies intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization's incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization's board of directors. These three principles are incorporated into the proposed joint compensation regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act, discussed above.

The Federal Reserve Board will review, as part of the regular, risk-focused examination process, the incentive compensation arrangements of banking organizations, such as the Corporation, that are not "large, complex banking organizations." These reviews will be tailored to each organization based on the scope and complexity of the organization's activities and the prevalence of incentive compensation arrangements. The findings of the supervisory initiatives will be included in reports of examination. Deficiencies will be incorporated into the organization's supervisory ratings, which can affect the organization's ability to make acquisitions and take other actions. Enforcement actions may be taken against a banking organization if its incentive compensation arrangements, or related risk-management control or governance processes, pose a risk to the organization's safety and soundness and the organization is not taking prompt and effective measures to correct the deficiencies.

### Future Legislation and Regulation

Congress may enact legislation from time to time that affects the regulation of the financial services industry, and state legislatures may enact legislation from time to time affecting the regulation of financial institutions chartered by or operating in those states. Federal and state regulatory agencies also periodically propose and adopt changes to their regulations or change the manner in which existing regulations are applied. The substance or impact of pending or future legislation or regulation, or the application thereof, cannot be predicted, although enactment of the proposed legislation could impact the regulatory structure under which the Corporation operates and may significantly increase costs, impede the efficiency of internal business processes, require an increase in regulatory capital, require modifications to the Corporation's business strategy, and limit the Corporation's ability to pursue business

opportunities in an efficient manner. A change in statutes, regulations or regulatory policies applicable to Cullen/Frost or any of its

16

---

Table of Contents

subsidiaries could have a material, adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Employees**

At December 31, 2014, the Corporation employed 4,154 full-time equivalent employees. None of the Corporation's employees are represented by collective bargaining agreements. The Corporation believes its employee relations to be good.

**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The names, ages as of December 31, 2014, recent business experience and positions or offices held by each of the executive officers of Cullen/Frost are as follows:

Name and Position Held	Age	Recent Business Experience
Richard W. Evans, Jr. Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Cullen/Frost	68	Officer of Frost Bank since 1973. Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Cullen/Frost from October 1997 to present.
Patrick B. Frost President of Frost Bank and Director	54	Officer of Frost Bank since 1985. President of Frost Bank from August 1993 to present. Director of Cullen/Frost from May 1997 to present.
Phillip D. Green President of Cullen/Frost	60	Officer of Frost Bank since July 1980. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Cullen/Frost from October 1995 to January 2015. President of Cullen/Frost from January 2015 to present.
Jerry Salinas Group Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Cullen/Frost	56	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1986. Senior Executive Vice President, Treasurer of Cullen/Frost from 1997 to January 2015. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Cullen/Frost from January 2015 to present.
David W. Beck President, Chief Business Banking Officer of Frost Bank	64	Officer of Frost Bank since July 1973. President, Chief Business Banking Officer of Frost Bank from February 2001 to present.
Robert A. Berman Group Executive Vice President, E-Commerce Operations, Research and Strategy of Frost Bank	52	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1989. Group Executive Vice President, E-Commerce Operations Research and Strategy of Frost Bank from May 2001 to present.
Paul H. Bracher Group Executive Vice President, Chief Banking Officer of Frost Bank	58	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1982. President, State Regions of Frost Bank from February 2001 to January 2015. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Banking Officer of Frost Bank from January 2015 to present.
Richard Kardys Group Executive Vice President, Frost Wealth Advisors of Frost Bank	68	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1977. Group Executive Vice President, Frost Wealth Advisors of Frost Bank from May 2001 to present.
Paul J. Olivier Group Executive Vice President, Chief Consumer Banking Officer of Frost Bank	62	Officer of Frost Bank since August 1976. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Consumer Banking Officer of Frost Bank from May 2001 to present.
William L. Perotti Group Executive Vice President, Chief Risk Officer of Frost Bank	57	Officer of Frost Bank since December 1982. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Credit Officer of Frost Bank from May 2001 to January 2015. Chief Risk Officer of Frost Bank from April 2005 to present.
Emily A. Skillman Group Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer of Frost Bank	70	Officer of Frost Bank since January 1998. Group Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer of Frost Bank from October 2003 to present.



There are no arrangements or understandings between any executive officer of Cullen/Frost and any other person pursuant to which such executive officer was or is to be selected as an officer.

17

---

Table of Contents

Available Information

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Cullen/Frost is required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). You may read and copy any document Cullen/Frost files with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the public reference room. The SEC maintains a website at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. Cullen/Frost files electronically with the SEC. Cullen/Frost makes available, free of charge through its website, its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with or furnished to the SEC. Additionally, the Corporation has adopted and posted on its website a code of ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. The Corporation’s website also includes its corporate governance guidelines and the charters for its audit committee, its compensation and benefits committee, and its corporate governance and nominating committee. The address for the Corporation’s website is <http://www.frostbank.com>. The Corporation will provide a printed copy of any of the aforementioned documents to any requesting shareholder.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Corporation's common stock is subject to risks inherent to the Corporation's business. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Corporation are described below. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Corporation. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair the Corporation's business operations. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

If any of the following risks actually occur, the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the market price of the Corporation's common stock could decline significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related To The Corporation's Business

The Corporation's Business May Be Adversely Affected By Conditions In The Financial Markets and Economic Conditions Generally

In recent years, economic growth and business activity across a wide range of industries and regions in the U.S. has been slow and uneven. Furthermore, there are continuing concerns related to the level of U.S. government debt and fiscal actions that may be taken to address that debt. There can be no assurance that economic conditions will continue to improve, and these conditions could worsen. In addition, declining oil prices, on-going federal budget negotiations, the implementation of the employer mandate under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the level of U.S. debt may have a destabilizing effect on financial markets.

The Corporation's financial performance generally, and in particular the ability of borrowers to pay interest on and repay principal of outstanding loans and the value of collateral securing those loans, as well as demand for loans and other products and services the Corporation offers, is highly dependent upon the business environment in the markets where the Corporation operates, in the State of Texas and in the United States as a whole. A favorable business environment is generally characterized by, among other factors, economic growth, efficient capital markets, low inflation, low unemployment, high business and investor confidence, and strong business earnings. Unfavorable or uncertain economic and market conditions can be caused by declines in economic growth, business activity or investor or business confidence; limitations on the availability or increases in the cost of credit and capital; increases in inflation or interest rates; high unemployment, natural disasters; or a combination of these or other factors.

Overall, during recent years, the business environment has been adverse for many households and businesses in the United States and worldwide. While economic conditions in the State of Texas, the United States and worldwide have shown signs of improvement, there can be no assurance that this improvement will continue. Economic pressure on consumers and uncertainty regarding continuing economic improvement may result in changes in consumer and business spending, borrowing and savings habits. Such conditions could have a material adverse effect on the credit quality of the Corporation's loans and the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Corporation Is Subject To Lending Risk

There are inherent risks associated with the Corporation's lending activities. These risks include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and changes in the economic conditions in the markets where the Corporation operates as well as those across the State of Texas and the United States. Increases in interest rates and/or weakening economic conditions could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing these loans. The Corporation is also subject to various laws and regulations that affect its lending activities. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject the Corporation to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil money penalties against the Corporation. As of December 31, 2014, approximately 88.9% of the Corporation's loan portfolio consisted of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate mortgage loans. These types of loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger than residential real estate loans and consumer loans. Because the Corporation's loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans.

An increase in

19

---

## Table of Contents

non-performing loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

See the section captioned "Loans" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans.

### The Corporation Is Subject To Interest Rate Risk

The Corporation's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Corporation's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Federal Open Market Committee. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest the Corporation receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) the Corporation's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of the Corporation's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of the Corporation's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, the Corporation's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings. Any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

See the section captioned "Net Interest Income" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to the Corporation's management of interest rate risk.

### The Corporation's Allowance For Loan Losses May Be Insufficient

The Corporation maintains an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Corporation to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Continuing deterioration in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of the Corporation's control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. Furthermore, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, the Corporation will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations located elsewhere in this report for further discussion related to the Corporation's process for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses.

### The Corporation's Profitability Depends Significantly On Economic Conditions In The State Of Texas

The Corporation's success depends primarily on the general economic conditions of the State of Texas and the specific local markets in which the Corporation operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, the Corporation provides banking and financial services to customers across Texas through

financial centers in the Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Permian Basin, Rio Grande Valley and

20

---

Table of Contents

San Antonio regions. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Corporation's products and services as well as the ability of the Corporation's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans and the stability of the Corporation's deposit funding sources. Moreover, approximately 97.4% of the securities in the Corporation's municipal bond portfolio were issued by political subdivisions or agencies within the State of Texas. A significant decline in general economic conditions in Texas, whether caused by recession, inflation, unemployment, changes in oil prices, changes in securities markets, acts of terrorism, outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences or other factors could impact these local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The Corporation May Be Adversely Affected By Declining Crude Oil Prices**

Recent decisions by certain members the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC") to maintain higher crude oil production levels have led to increased global oil supplies which has resulted in significant declines in market oil prices. Decreased market oil prices have compressed margins for many U.S. and Texas-based oil producers, particularly those that utilize higher-cost production technologies such as hydraulic fracking and horizontal drilling, as well as oilfield service providers, energy equipment manufacturers and transportation suppliers, among others. As of December 31, 2014, energy loans comprised approximately 16.1% of the Corporation's loan portfolio. Furthermore, energy production and related industries represent a large part of the economies in some of the Corporation's primary markets. As of December 31, 2014, the price per barrel of crude oil was approximately \$53 compared to approximately \$98 as of December 31, 2013. While many of the Corporation's customers have hedged their exposure to oil price changes in the near term, if oil prices remain at these low levels for an extended period, the Corporation could experience weaker energy loan demand and increased losses within its energy portfolio. Furthermore, a prolonged period of low oil prices could also have a negative impact on the U.S. economy and, in particular, the economies of energy-dominant states such as Texas. Accordingly, a prolonged period of low oil prices could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The Corporation May Be Adversely Affected By The Soundness Of Other Financial Institutions**

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. The Corporation has exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose the Corporation to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. In addition, the Corporation's credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by the Corporation cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit or derivative exposure due to the Corporation. Any such losses could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The Corporation Operates In A Highly Competitive Industry and Market Area**

The Corporation faces substantial competition in all areas of its operations from a variety of different competitors, many of which are larger and may have more financial resources. Such competitors primarily include national, regional, and community banks within the various markets where the Corporation operates. The Corporation also faces competition from many other types of financial institutions, including, without limitation, savings and loans, credit unions, finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Also, technology and other changes have lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for non-banks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks. For example, consumers can maintain funds that would have historically been held as bank deposits in brokerage accounts or mutual funds. Consumers can also complete transactions such as paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as "disintermediation," could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. Further, many of the Corporation's competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may be able to achieve economies of scale and, as a result, may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for those products and services than the Corporation can.

The Corporation's ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including, among other things:  
• The ability to develop, maintain and build long-term customer relationships based on top quality service, high ethical standards and safe, sound assets.



## Table of Contents

- The ability to expand the Corporation's market position.
- The scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands.
- The rate at which the Corporation introduces new products and services relative to its competitors.
- Customer satisfaction with the Corporation's level of service.
- Industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken the Corporation's competitive position, which could adversely affect the Corporation's growth and profitability, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### The Corporation Is Subject To Extensive Government Regulation and Supervision and Possible Enforcement and Other Legal Actions

The Corporation, primarily through Cullen/Frost, Frost Bank and certain non-bank subsidiaries, is subject to extensive federal and state regulation and supervision, which vests a significant amount of discretion in the various regulatory authorities. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors' funds, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, not security holders. These regulations and supervisory guidance affect the Corporation's lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy and growth, among other things. Congress and federal regulatory agencies continually review banking laws, regulations and policies for possible changes. The Dodd-Frank Act, enacted in July 2010, instituted major changes to the banking and financial institutions regulatory regimes. Other changes to statutes, regulations or regulatory policies or supervisory guidance, including changes in interpretation or implementation of statutes, regulations, policies or supervisory guidance, could affect the Corporation in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject the Corporation to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products the Corporation may offer and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations, policies or supervisory guidance could result in enforcement and other legal actions by Federal or state authorities, including criminal and civil penalties, the loss of FDIC insurance, the revocation of a banking charter, other sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties and/or reputational damage. In this regard, government authorities, including the bank regulatory agencies, are pursuing aggressive enforcement actions with respect to compliance and other legal matters involving financial activities, which heightens the risks associated with actual and perceived compliance failures. Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

See the sections captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business and Note 10 - Capital and Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which are located elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation's Accounting Estimates and Risk Management Processes Rely On Analytical and Forecasting Models  
The processes the Corporation uses to estimate its probable loan losses and to measure the fair value of financial instruments, as well as the processes used to estimate the effects of changing interest rates and other market measures on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations, depends upon the use of analytical and forecasting models. These models reflect assumptions that may not be accurate, particularly in times of market stress or other unforeseen circumstances. Even if these assumptions are adequate, the models may prove to be inadequate or inaccurate because of other flaws in their design or their implementation. If the models the Corporation uses for interest rate risk and asset-liability management are inadequate, the Corporation may incur increased or unexpected losses upon changes in market interest rates or other market measures. If the models the Corporation uses for determining its probable loan losses are inadequate, the allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to support future charge-offs. If the models the Corporation uses to measure the fair value of financial instruments are inadequate, the fair value of such financial instruments may fluctuate unexpectedly or may not accurately reflect what the Corporation could realize upon sale or settlement of such financial instruments. Any such failure in the Corporation's analytical or forecasting models could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.



## Table of Contents

### The Repeal Of Federal Prohibitions On Payment Of Interest On Demand Deposits Could Increase The Corporation's Interest Expense

All federal prohibitions on the ability of financial institutions to pay interest on demand deposit accounts were repealed as part of the Dodd-Frank Act beginning on July 21, 2011. As a result, some financial institutions have commenced offering interest on demand deposits to compete for customers. The Corporation does not yet know what interest rates other institutions may offer as market interest rates begin to increase. The Corporation's interest expense will increase and its net interest margin will decrease if it begins offering interest on demand deposits to attract additional customers or maintain current customers, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### The Corporation May Need To Raise Additional Capital In The Future, and Such Capital May Not Be Available When Needed Or At All

The Corporation may need to raise additional capital in the future to provide it with sufficient capital resources and liquidity to meet its commitments and business needs, particularly if its asset quality or earnings were to deteriorate significantly. The Corporation's ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on, among other things, conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside of its control, and its financial condition. Economic conditions and the loss of confidence in financial institutions may increase the Corporation's cost of funding and limit access to certain customary sources of capital, including inter-bank borrowings, repurchase agreements and borrowings from the discount window of the Federal Reserve Board.

The Corporation cannot assure that such capital will be available on acceptable terms or at all. Any occurrence that may limit the Corporation's access to the capital markets, such as a decline in the confidence of debt purchasers, depositors of Frost Bank or counterparties participating in the capital markets, or a downgrade of Cullen/Frost's or Frost Bank's debt ratings, may adversely affect the Corporation's capital costs and its ability to raise capital and, in turn, its liquidity. Moreover, if the Corporation needs to raise capital in the future, it may have to do so when many other financial institutions are also seeking to raise capital and would have to compete with those institutions for investors. An inability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms when needed could have a materially adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### The Value Of The Corporation's Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets May Decline In The Future

As of December 31, 2014, the Corporation had \$666.1 million of goodwill and other intangible assets. A significant decline in the Corporation's expected future cash flows, a significant adverse change in the business climate, slower growth rates or a significant and sustained decline in the price of Cullen/Frost's common stock may necessitate taking charges in the future related to the impairment of the Corporation's goodwill and other intangible assets. If the Corporation were to conclude that a future write-down of goodwill and other intangible assets is necessary, the Corporation would record the appropriate charge, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### The Corporation's Controls and Procedures May Fail or Be Circumvented

The Corporation's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures are based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of the Corporation's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### New Lines Of Business Or New Products and Services May Subject The Corporation To Additional Risks

From time to time, the Corporation may implement new lines of business or offer new products and services within existing lines of business. There are substantial risks and uncertainties associated with these efforts, particularly in instances where the markets are not fully developed. In developing and marketing new lines of business and/or new products and services the Corporation may invest significant time and resources. Initial timetables for the introduction and development of new lines of business and/or new products or services may not be achieved and price and profitability targets may not prove feasible. External factors, such as compliance with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences, may also impact the successful implementation of a new line of business or a new product or service. Furthermore, any new line of business and/or new product or service could have a

significant impact on the

23

---

## Table of Contents

effectiveness of the Corporation's system of internal controls. Failure to successfully manage these risks in the development and implementation of new lines of business or new products or services could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **Cullen/Frost Relies On Dividends From Its Subsidiaries For Most Of Its Revenue**

Cullen/Frost is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiaries. It receives substantially all of its revenue from dividends from its subsidiaries. These dividends are the principal source of funds to pay dividends on Cullen/Frost's common stock and interest and principal on Cullen/Frost's debt. Various federal and state laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends that Frost Bank and certain non-bank subsidiaries may pay to Cullen/Frost. Also, Cullen/Frost's right to participate in a distribution of assets upon a subsidiary's liquidation or reorganization is subject to the prior claims of the subsidiary's creditors. In the event Frost Bank is unable to pay dividends to Cullen/Frost, Cullen/Frost may not be able to service debt, pay obligations or pay dividends on the Corporation's common stock. The inability to receive dividends from Frost Bank could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

See the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" in Item 1. Business and Note 10 - Capital and Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which are located elsewhere in this report.

### **Potential Acquisitions May Disrupt The Corporation's Business and Dilute Stockholder Value**

The Corporation generally seeks merger or acquisition partners that are culturally similar and have experienced management and possess either significant market presence or have potential for improved profitability through financial management, economies of scale or expanded services. Acquiring other banks, businesses, or branches involves various risks commonly associated with acquisitions, including, among other things:

• Potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of the target company.

• Exposure to potential asset quality issues of the target company.

• Potential disruption to the Corporation's business.

• Potential diversion of the Corporation's management's time and attention.

• The possible loss of key employees and customers of the target company.

• Difficulty in estimating the value of the target company.

• Potential changes in banking or tax laws or regulations that may affect the target company.

Acquisitions typically involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of the Corporation's tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction. Furthermore, failure to realize the expected revenue increases, cost savings, increases in geographic or product presence, and/or other projected benefits from an acquisition could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

As part of the approval process in connection with the acquisition of WNB, the Corporation agreed with the Federal Reserve that before bringing them any further expansionary proposals, the Corporation would enhance certain compliance programs, including those related to fair lending. The Corporation is currently working on these enhancements.

### **The Corporation Is Subject To Liquidity Risk**

The Corporation requires liquidity to meet its deposit and debt obligations as they come due. The Corporation's access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance its activities or on terms that are acceptable to it could be impaired by factors that affect it specifically or the financial services industry or economy generally. Factors that could reduce its access to liquidity sources include a downturn in the Texas market, difficult credit markets or adverse regulatory actions against the Corporation. The Corporation's access to deposits may also be affected by the liquidity needs of its depositors. In particular, a substantial majority of the Corporation's liabilities are demand, savings, interest checking and money market deposits, which are payable on demand or upon several days' notice, while by comparison, a substantial portion of its assets are loans, which cannot be called or sold in the same time frame. The Corporation may not be able to replace maturing deposits and advances as necessary in the future, especially if a large number of its



Table of Contents

depositors sought to withdraw their accounts, regardless of the reason. A failure to maintain adequate liquidity could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The Corporation May Not Be Able To Attract and Retain Skilled People**

The Corporation's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by the Corporation can be intense and the Corporation may not be able to hire people or to retain them. The Corporation does not currently have employment agreements or non-competition agreements with any of its senior officers. The unexpected loss of services of key personnel of the Corporation could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations because of their skills, knowledge of the Corporation's market, years of industry experience and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel.

**The Corporation's Information Systems May Experience An Interruption Or Breach In Security**

The Corporation relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Corporation's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. Moreover, if any such failures, interruptions or security breaches do occur, they may not be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of the Corporation's information systems could damage the Corporation's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Corporation to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Corporation to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The Corporation Continually Encounters Technological Change**

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. The Corporation's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in the Corporation's operations. Many of the Corporation's competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. The Corporation may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The Corporation Is Subject To Claims and Litigation Pertaining To Fiduciary Responsibility**

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the Corporation's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Corporation they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Corporation and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputational damage could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**The Corporation's Operations Rely On Certain External Vendors**

The Corporation relies on certain external vendors to provide products and services necessary to maintain day-to-day operations of the Corporation. Accordingly, the Corporation's operations are exposed to risk that these vendors will not perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements under service level agreements. The failure of an external vendor to perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements under service level agreements, because of changes in the vendor's organizational structure, financial condition, support for existing products and services or strategic focus or for any other reason, could be disruptive to the Corporation's operations, which could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business and, in turn, the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations.

## Table of Contents

### The Corporation Is Subject to Claims and Litigation Pertaining to Intellectual Property

Banking and other financial services companies, such as the Corporation, rely on technology companies to provide information technology products and services necessary to support the Corporations' day-to-day operations.

Technology companies frequently enter into litigation based on allegations of patent infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. In addition, patent holding companies seek to monetize patents they have purchased or otherwise obtained. Competitors of the Corporation's vendors, or other individuals or companies, have from time to time claimed to hold intellectual property sold to the Corporation by its vendors. Such claims may increase in the future as the financial services sector becomes more reliant on information technology vendors. The plaintiffs in these actions frequently seek injunctions and substantial damages.

Regardless of the scope or validity of such patents or other intellectual property rights, or the merits of any claims by potential or actual litigants, the Corporation may have to engage in protracted litigation. Such litigation is often expensive, time-consuming, disruptive to the Corporation's operations, and distracting to management. If the Corporation is found to infringe upon one or more patents or other intellectual property rights, it may be required to pay substantial damages or royalties to a third-party. In certain cases, the Corporation may consider entering into licensing agreements for disputed intellectual property, although no assurance can be given that such licenses can be obtained on acceptable terms or that litigation will not occur. These licenses may also significantly increase the Corporation's operating expenses. If legal matters related to intellectual property claims were resolved against the Corporation or settled, the Corporation could be required to make payments in amounts that could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

### The Corporation Is Subject To Environmental Liability Risk Associated With Lending Activities

A significant portion of the Corporation's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Corporation may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Corporation may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage.

Environmental laws may require the Corporation to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Corporation's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Corporation's exposure to environmental liability. Environmental reviews of real property before initiating foreclosure actions may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### Severe Weather, Natural Disasters, Acts Of War Or Terrorism and Other External Events Could Significantly Impact The Corporation's Business

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Corporation's ability to conduct business. In addition, such events could affect the stability of the Corporation's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Corporation to incur additional expenses. The occurrence of any such event in the future could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

### Financial Services Companies Depend On The Accuracy and Completeness Of Information About Customers and Counterparties

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, the Corporation may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Corporation may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse impact on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.





## Table of Contents

### Risks Associated With The Corporation's Common Stock

#### The Corporation's Stock Price Can Be Volatile

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. The Corporation's stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including, among other things:

• Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations.

• Recommendations by securities analysts.

• Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to the Corporation.

• News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry.

• Perceptions in the marketplace regarding the Corporation and/or its competitors.

• New technology used, or services offered, by competitors.

• Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving the Corporation or its competitors.

• Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions.

• Changes in government regulations.

• Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

General market fluctuations, including real or anticipated changes in the strength of the Texas economy; industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions; interest rate changes or credit loss trends could also cause the Corporation's stock price to decrease regardless of operating results.

#### The Trading Volume In The Corporation's Common Stock Is Less Than That Of Other Larger Financial Services Companies

Although the Corporation's common stock is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), the trading volume in its common stock is less than that of other, larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of the Corporation's common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which the Corporation has no control. Given the lower trading volume of the Corporation's common stock, significant sales of the Corporation's common stock, or the expectation of these sales, could cause the Corporation's stock price to fall.

#### Cullen/Frost May Not Continue To Pay Dividends On Its Common Stock In The Future

Holders of Cullen/Frost common stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as its board of directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. Although Cullen/Frost has historically declared cash dividends on its common stock, it is not required to do so and may reduce or eliminate its common stock dividend in the future. This could adversely affect the market price of Cullen/Frost's common stock. Also, Cullen/Frost is a bank holding company, and its ability to declare and pay dividends is dependent on certain federal regulatory considerations, including the guidelines of the Federal Reserve Board regarding capital adequacy and dividends.

As more fully discussed in Note 10 - Capital and Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which are located elsewhere in this report, the ability of the Corporation to declare or pay dividends on its common stock may also be subject to certain restrictions in the event that the Corporation elects to defer the payment of interest on its junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures or does not declare and pay dividends on its Series A Preferred Stock.

Table of Contents

**An Investment In The Corporation's Common Stock Is Not An Insured Deposit**

The Corporation's common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), any other deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in the Corporation's common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this report and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company. As a result, if you acquire the Corporation's common stock, you could lose some or all of your investment.

**Certain Banking Laws May Have An Anti-Takeover Effect**

Provisions of federal banking laws, including regulatory approval requirements, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire the Corporation, even if doing so would be perceived to be beneficial to the Corporation's shareholders. These provisions effectively inhibit a non-negotiated merger or other business combination, which, in turn, could adversely affect the market price of the Corporation's common stock.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

The Corporation's headquarters are located in downtown San Antonio, Texas. These facilities, which are owned by the Corporation, house the Corporation's executive and primary administrative offices, as well as the principal banking headquarters of Frost Bank. The Corporation also owns or leases other facilities within its primary market areas in the regions of Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Permian Basin, Rio Grande Valley and San Antonio. The Corporation considers its properties to be suitable and adequate for its present needs.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Corporation is subject to various claims and legal actions that have arisen in the course of conducting business. Management does not expect the ultimate disposition of these matters to have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

None

Table of Contents

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

## Common Stock Market Prices and Dividends

The Corporation's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE") under the symbol "CFR". The tables below set forth for each quarter of 2014 and 2013 the high and low intra-day sales prices per share of Cullen/Frost's common stock and the cash dividends declared per share.

	2014		2013	
	High	Low	High	Low
Sales Price Per Share				
First quarter	\$78.96	\$69.87	\$62.62	\$54.91
Second quarter	80.38	72.37	67.20	59.11
Third quarter	81.73	75.32	76.36	66.96
Fourth quarter	82.00	67.46	74.67	69.12
Cash Dividends Per Share			2014	2013
First quarter			\$0.50	\$0.48
Second quarter			0.51	0.50
Third quarter			0.51	0.50
Fourth quarter			0.51	0.50
Total			\$2.03	\$1.98

As of December 31, 2014, there were 63,149,423 shares of the Corporation's common stock outstanding held by 1,335 holders of record. The closing price per share of common stock on December 31, 2014, the last trading day of the Corporation's fiscal year, was \$70.64.

The Corporation's management is currently committed to continuing to pay regular cash dividends; however, there can be no assurance as to future dividends because they are dependent on the Corporation's future earnings, capital requirements and financial condition. See the section captioned "Supervision and Regulation" included in Item 1. Business, the section captioned "Capital and Liquidity" included in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 10 - Capital and Regulatory Matters in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, all of which are included elsewhere in this report.

## Stock-Based Compensation Plans

Information regarding stock-based compensation awards outstanding and available for future grants as of December 31, 2014, segregated between stock-based compensation plans approved by shareholders and stock-based compensation plans not approved by shareholders, is presented in the table below. Additional information regarding stock-based compensation plans is presented in Note 12 - Employee Benefit Plans in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data located elsewhere in this report.

Plan Category	Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Awards	Weighted-Average	
		Exercise Price of Outstanding Awards	Number of Shares Available for Future Grants
Plans approved by shareholders	5,029,882	58.99	1,973,427
Plans not approved by shareholders	—	—	—
Total	5,029,882	58.99	1,973,427

Table of Contents

## Stock Repurchase Plans

From time to time, the Corporation has maintained several stock repurchase plans authorized by the Corporation's board of directors. In general, stock repurchase plans allow the Corporation to proactively manage its capital position and return excess capital to shareholders. Shares purchased under such plans also provide the Corporation with shares of common stock necessary to satisfy obligations related to stock compensation awards. During 2013, the Corporation implemented an accelerated share repurchase as a part of stock repurchase program authorized by the Corporation's board of directors in December 2012 to buy up to \$150.0 million of the Corporation's common stock. The Corporation repurchased 2,236,748 shares at a total cost of \$144.0 million under the accelerated share repurchase. No shares were repurchased under stock repurchase plans during 2014 or 2012. As of December 31, 2014, the Corporation did not have any active stock repurchase plans.

The following table provides information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Corporation or any "affiliated purchaser" (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), of the Corporation's common stock during the fourth quarter of 2014.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans at the End of the Period
October 1, 2014 to October 31, 2014	18,871	(1) \$ 77.19	—	\$—
November 1, 2014 to November 30, 2014	—	—	—	—
December 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014	—	—	—	—
Total	18,871	\$ 77.19	—	—

(1) All of these repurchases were made in connection with the vesting of certain share awards.

Table of Contents

## Performance Graph

The performance graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on Cullen/Frost Common Stock with the cumulative total return on the equity securities of companies included in the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the Standard and Poor's 500 Bank Index, measured at the last trading day of each year shown. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 on December 31, 2009 and reinvestment of dividends on the date of payment without commissions. The performance graph represents past performance and should not be considered to be an indication of future performance.

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Cullen/Frost	\$100.00	\$126.35	\$113.20	\$120.10	\$169.66	\$165.35
S&P 500	100.00	115.06	117.49	136.30	180.44	205.14
S&P 500 Banks	100.00	119.84	107.00	132.92	180.41	208.39

Table of Contents

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following consolidated selected financial data is derived from the Corporation's audited financial statements as of and for the five years ended December 31, 2014. The following consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. All of the Corporation's acquisitions during the five years ended December 31, 2014 were accounted for using the purchase method.

Accordingly, the operating results of the acquired companies are included with the Corporation's results of operations since their respective dates of acquisition. Dollar amounts, except per share data, and common shares outstanding are in thousands.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Consolidated Statements of Income					
Interest income:					
Loans, including fees	\$440,958	\$415,230	\$401,364	\$397,855	\$409,651
Securities	249,705	219,904	225,844	218,744	202,713
Interest-bearing deposits	10,725	7,284	4,300	6,357	4,901
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	83	82	104	61	74
Total interest income	701,471	642,500	631,612	623,017	617,339
Interest expense:					
Deposits	11,022	14,459	18,099	22,179	29,973
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	134	121	140	312	437
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	2,488	6,426	6,806	6,783	6,982
Subordinated notes payable and other borrowings	893	939	1,706	11,967	16,488
Total interest expense	14,537	21,945	26,751	41,241	53,880
Net interest income	686,934	620,555	604,861	581,776	563,459
Provision for loan losses	16,314	20,582	10,080	27,445	43,611
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	670,620	599,973	594,781	554,331	519,848
Non-interest income:					
Trust and investment management fees	106,237	91,375	83,317	78,297	72,321
Service charges on deposit accounts	81,946	81,432	83,392	86,125	91,025
Insurance commissions and fees	45,115	43,140	39,948	35,421	34,015
Interchange and debit card transaction fees	18,372	16,979	16,933	29,625	30,542
Other charges, commissions and fees	36,180	34,185	30,180	27,750	25,380
Net gain (loss) on securities transactions	38	1,176	4,314	6,414	6
Other	32,256	34,531	30,703	26,370	28,744
Total non-interest income	320,144	302,818	288,787	290,002	282,033
Non-interest expense:					
Salaries and wages	292,349	273,692	258,752	252,028	239,589
Employee benefits	60,151	62,407	57,635	52,939	52,352
Net occupancy	55,745	50,468	48,975	46,968	46,166
Furniture and equipment	62,087	58,443	55,279	51,469	47,651
Deposit insurance	13,232	11,682	11,087	12,714	20,451
Intangible amortization	3,520	3,141	3,896	4,387	5,125
Other	167,656	152,077	139,469	137,593	124,207

Edgar Filing: CULLEN/FROST BANKERS, INC. - Form 10-K

Total non-interest expense	654,740	611,910	575,093	558,098	535,541
Income before income taxes	336,024	290,881	308,475	286,235	266,340
Income taxes	58,047	53,015	70,523	68,700	57,576
Net income	277,977	237,866	237,952	217,535	208,764
Preferred stock dividends	8,063	6,719	—	—	—
Net income available to common shareholders	\$269,914	\$231,147	\$237,952	\$217,535	\$208,764



Table of Contents

	As of or for the Year Ended December 31,					
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	
Per Common Share Data						
Net income - basic	\$4.32	\$3.82	\$3.87	\$3.55	\$3.44	
Net income - diluted	4.29	3.80	3.86	3.54	3.44	
Cash dividends declared and paid	2.03	1.98	1.90	1.83	1.78	
Book value	42.87	39.13	39.32	37.27	33.74	
Common Shares Outstanding						
Period-end	63,149	60,566	61,479	61,264	61,108	
Weighted-average shares - basic	62,072	60,350	61,298	61,101	60,411	
Dilutive effect of stock compensation <sup>902</sup>		766	345	177	175	
Weighted - average shares - diluted	62,974	61,116	61,643	61,278	60,586	
Performance Ratios						
Return on average assets	1.05	% 1.02	% 1.14	% 1.17	% 1.21	%
Return on average common equity	10.51	9.93	10.03	10.01	10.30	
Net interest income to average earning assets	3.41	3.41	3.59	3.88	4.08	
Dividend pay-out ratio	47.12	51.75	49.11	51.58	51.75	
Balance Sheet Data						
Period-end:						
Loans	\$10,987,535	\$9,515,700	\$9,223,848	\$7,995,129	\$8,117,020	
Earning assets	26,052,339	22,238,286	21,148,475	18,497,987	15,806,350	
Total assets	28,277,775	24,312,939	23,124,069	20,317,245	17,617,092	
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	10,149,061	8,311,149	8,096,937	6,672,555	5,360,436	
Interest-bearing deposits	13,986,869	12,377,637	11,400,429	10,084,193	9,118,906	
Total deposits	24,135,930	20,688,786	19,497,366	16,756,748	14,479,342	
Long-term debt and other borrowings	237,115	223,712	223,719	223,738	373,757	
Shareholders' equity	2,851,403	2,514,161	2,417,482	2,283,537	2,061,680	
Average:						
Loans	\$10,299,025	\$9,229,574	\$8,456,818	\$8,042,968	\$8,125,150	
Earning assets	23,877,476	20,991,221	19,015,707	16,769,028	15,333,348	
Total assets	25,767,738	22,752,037	20,826,885	18,568,967	17,186,572	
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	9,125,030	7,657,774	7,021,927	5,738,982	5,023,780	
Interest-bearing deposits	12,927,729	11,610,320	10,270,173	9,483,633	9,023,839	
Total deposits	22,052,759	19,268,094	17,292,100	15,222,615	14,047,619	
Long-term debt and other borrowings	231,607	223,713	223,728	310,870	382,651	
Shareholders' equity	2,712,226	2,455,041	2,372,745	2,172,096	2,027,699	
Asset Quality						
Allowance for loan losses	\$99,542	\$92,438	\$104,453	\$110,147	\$126,316	
Allowance for losses to year-end loans	0.91	% 0.97	% 1.13	% 1.38	% 1.56	%
Net loan charge-offs	\$9,210	\$32,597	\$15,774	\$43,614	\$42,604	
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	0.09	% 0.35	% 0.19	% 0.54	% 0.52	%
Non-performing assets	\$65,176	\$69,773	\$105,246	\$120,946	\$164,950	
Non-performing assets to:						
Total loans plus foreclosed assets	0.59	% 0.73	% 1.14	% 1.51	% 2.03	%
Total assets	0.23	0.29	0.46	0.60	0.94	

Consolidated Capital Ratios

Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio	13.68	% 14.39	% 13.68	% 14.38	% 13.82	%
Total risk-based capital ratio	14.55	15.52	15.11	16.24	15.91	
Leverage ratio	8.16	8.49	8.28	8.66	8.68	
Average shareholders' equity to average total assets	10.53	10.79	11.39	11.70	11.80	

33

---

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth unaudited consolidated selected quarterly statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. Dollar amounts are in thousands, except per share data.

	Year Ended December 31, 2014			
	4th Quarter	3rd Quarter	2nd Quarter	1st Quarter
Interest income	\$182,825	\$181,885	\$173,055	\$163,706
Interest expense	3,833	3,907	3,426	3,371
Net interest income	178,992	177,978	169,629	160,335
Provision for loan losses	4,400	390	4,924	6,600
Non-interest income <sup>(1)</sup>	82,642	80,862	79,150	77,490
Non-interest expense	169,001	163,828	163,970	157,941
Income before income taxes	88,233	94,622	79,885	73,284
Income taxes	15,529	17,007	13,415	12,096
Net income	72,704	77,615	66,470	61,188
Preferred stock dividends	2,016	2,016	2,015	2,016
Net income available to common shareholders	\$70,688	\$75,599	\$64,455	\$59,172
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$1.12	\$1.20	\$1.03	\$0.97
Diluted	1.11	1.19	1.02	0.96
	Year Ended December 31, 2013			
	4th Quarter	3rd Quarter	2nd Quarter	1st Quarter
Interest income	\$163,869	\$160,851	\$159,018	\$158,762
Interest expense	4,661	5,498	5,837	5,949
Net interest income	159,208	155,353	153,181	152,813
Provision for loan losses	5,899	5,108	3,575	6,000
Non-interest income <sup>(2)</sup>	78,538	73,991	72,509	77,780
Non-interest expense	154,515	151,823	149,758	155,814
Income before income taxes	77,332	72,413	72,357	68,779
Income taxes	14,761	11,969	12,694	13,591
Net income	62,571	60,444	59,663	55,188
Preferred stock dividends	2,016	2,015	2,688	—
Net income available to common shareholders	\$60,555	\$58,429	\$56,975	\$55,188
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$1.00	\$0.96	\$0.95	\$0.91
Diluted	0.99	0.96	0.94	0.91

(1) Includes net gains on securities transactions of \$3 thousand, \$33 thousand and \$2 thousand during the fourth, third and second quarters of 2014, respectively.

(2) Includes net gains on securities transactions of \$1.2 million, \$6 thousand and \$5 thousand during the fourth, second and first quarters of 2013, respectively, and net losses on securities transactions of \$14 thousand during the third quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements and Factors that Could Affect Future Results

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not statements of historical fact constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “Act”), notwithstanding that such statements are not specifically identified as such. In addition, certain statements may be contained in the Corporation’s future filings with the SEC, in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by or with the approval of the Corporation that are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: (i) projections of revenues, expenses, income or loss, earnings or loss per share, the payment or nonpayment of dividends, capital structure and other financial items; (ii) statements of plans, objectives and expectations of Cullen/Frost or its management or Board of Directors, including those relating to products or services; (iii) statements of future economic performance; and (iv) statements of assumptions underlying such statements. Words such as “believes”, “anticipates”, “expects”, “intends”, “targeted”, “continue”, “remain”, “will”, “should”, “may” and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- Local, regional, national and international economic conditions and the impact they may have on the Corporation and its customers and the Corporation’s assessment of that impact.

- Volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets.

- Government intervention in the U.S. financial system.

- Changes in the mix of loan geographies, sectors and types or the level of non-performing assets and charge-offs.

- Changes in estimates of future reserve requirements based upon the periodic review thereof under relevant regulatory and accounting requirements.

- The effects of and changes in trade and monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve Board.

- Inflation, interest rate, crude oil price, securities market and monetary fluctuations.

- The effect of changes in laws and regulations (including laws and regulations concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance) with which the Corporation and its subsidiaries must comply.

- The soundness of other financial institutions.

- Political instability.

- Impairment of the Corporation’s goodwill or other intangible assets.

- Acts of God or of war or terrorism.

- The timely development and acceptance of new products and services and perceived overall value of these products and services by users.

- Changes in consumer spending, borrowings and savings habits.

- Changes in the financial performance and/or condition of the Corporation’s borrowers.

- Technological changes.

- Acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses.

- The ability to increase market share and control expenses.

- The Corporation’s ability to attract and retain qualified employees.

- Changes in the competitive environment in the Corporation’s markets and among banking organizations and other financial service providers.

- The effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters.

- Changes in the reliability of the Corporation’s vendors, internal control systems or information systems.

Changes in the Corporation's liquidity position.

Changes in the Corporation's organization, compensation and benefit plans.

The costs and effects of legal and regulatory developments, the resolution of legal proceedings or regulatory or other governmental inquiries, the results of regulatory examinations or reviews and the ability to obtain required regulatory approvals.

Greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of new products and lines of business.

- The Corporation's success at managing the risks involved in the foregoing items.

## Table of Contents

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made. The Corporation undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

### Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Accounting Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Corporation conform, in all material respects, to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and to general practices within the financial services industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. While the Corporation bases estimates on historical experience, current information and other factors deemed to be relevant, actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Corporation considers accounting estimates to be critical to reported financial results if (i) the accounting estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain and (ii) different estimates that management reasonably could have used for the accounting estimate in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, could have a material impact on the Corporation's financial statements.

Accounting policies related to the allowance for loan losses are considered to be critical, as these policies involve considerable subjective judgment and estimation by management. The allowance for loan losses is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, which represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The Corporation's allowance for loan loss methodology includes allowance allocations calculated in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 310, "Receivables" and allowance allocations calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 450, "Contingencies." The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio, as well as trends in the foregoing. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific credits; however, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Corporation's control, including the performance of the Corporation's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications. See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion and Note 4 - Loans in the notes to consolidated financial statements included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data elsewhere in this report for further details of the risk factors considered by management in estimating the necessary level of the allowance for loan losses.

### Overview

The following discussion and analysis presents the more significant factors affecting the Corporation's financial condition as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and results of operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, notes thereto and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report. The Corporation acquired WNB Bancshares, Inc., a privately-held bank holding company located in Odessa, Texas ("WNB") during 2014, a Houston-based insurance agency specializing in commercial lines insurance products during 2013 and a human resources consulting firm in the Houston market area, with offices in Dallas and Austin, in 2012. All of the Corporation's acquisitions during the reported periods were accounted for as purchase transactions, and as such, their related results of operations are included from the date of acquisition, though none of these acquisitions had a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements during their respective reporting periods.

Taxable-equivalent adjustments are the result of increasing income from tax-free loans and investments by an amount equal to the taxes that would be paid if the income were fully taxable based on a 35% federal tax rate, thus making tax-exempt yields comparable to taxable asset yields.

Dollar amounts in tables are stated in thousands, except for per share amounts.



Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

Net income available to common shareholders totaled \$269.9 million, or \$4.29 diluted per common share, in 2014 compared to \$231.1 million, or \$3.80 diluted per common share, in 2013 and \$238.0 million, or \$3.86 diluted per common share, in 2012. During the second quarter of 2014, the Corporation acquired WNB Bancshares, Inc. (“WNB”). Accordingly, the operating results of WNB are included with the Corporation's results of operations since May 30, 2014. See Note 2 - Mergers and Acquisitions in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Selected income statement data, returns on average assets and average equity and dividends per share for the comparable periods were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012		
Taxable-equivalent net interest income	\$807,937	\$710,850	\$668,176		
Taxable-equivalent adjustment	121,003	90,295	63,315		
Net interest income	686,934	620,555	604,861		
Provision for loan losses	16,314	20,582	10,080		
Non-interest income	320,144	302,818	288,787		
Non-interest expense	654,740	611,910	575,093		
Income before income taxes	336,024	290,881	308,475		
Income taxes	58,047	53,015	70,523		
Net income	277,977	237,866	237,952		
Preferred stock dividends	8,063	6,719	—		
Net income available to common shareholders	\$269,914	\$231,147	\$237,952		
Earnings per common share - basic	\$4.32	\$3.82	\$3.87		
Earnings per common share - diluted	4.29	3.80	3.86		
Dividends per common share	2.03	1.98	1.90		
Return on average assets	1.05	% 1.02	% 1.14	%	%
Return on average common equity	10.51	9.93	10.03		
Average shareholders' equity to average assets	10.53	10.79	11.39		

Net income available to common shareholders increased \$38.8 million for 2014 compared to 2013. The increase was primarily the result of a \$66.4 million increase in net interest income, a \$17.3 million increase in non-interest income and a \$4.3 million decrease in the provision for loan losses partly offset by a \$42.8 million increase in non-interest expense, a \$5.0 million increase in income tax expense and a \$1.3 million increase in preferred stock dividends. Net income available to common shareholders decreased \$6.8 million for 2013 compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$36.8 million increase in non-interest expense, a \$10.5 million increase in the provision for loan losses and \$6.7 million related to preferred stock dividends partly offset by a \$17.5 million decrease in income tax expense, a \$15.7 million increase in net interest income and a \$14.0 million increase in non-interest income.

The Corporation's preferred stock was issued on February 15, 2013. The initial quarterly dividend payment during the second quarter of 2013 occurred on June 15, 2013. This dividend payment included an additional amount applicable to the period from the issuance date through March 15, 2013, the start date of the normal quarterly dividend cycle.

Future dividends payments on preferred stock are expected to continue at a rate of \$8.1 million per year, paid over four equal, quarterly installments.

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are further discussed below.



Table of Contents

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest income on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and interest expense on liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings, which are used to fund those assets. Net interest income is the Corporation's largest source of revenue, representing 68.2% of total revenue during 2014. Net interest margin is the ratio of taxable-equivalent net interest income to average earning assets for the period. The level of interest rates and the volume and mix of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities impact net interest income and net interest margin.

The Federal Reserve influences the general market rates of interest, including the deposit and loan rates offered by many financial institutions. The Corporation's loan portfolio is significantly affected by changes in the prime interest rate. The prime interest rate, which is the rate offered on loans to borrowers with strong credit, remained at 3.25% during 2014, 2013 and 2012. The Corporation's loan portfolio is also impacted, to a lesser extent, by changes in the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR). At December 31, 2014, the one-month and three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR rates were 0.15% and 0.23%, respectively, while at December 31, 2013, the one-month and three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR rates were 0.17% and 0.25%, respectively. The intended federal funds rate, which is the cost of immediately available overnight funds, remained at zero to 0.25% during 2014, 2013 and 2012.

The Corporation's balance sheet has historically been asset sensitive, meaning that earning assets generally reprice more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities. Therefore, the Corporation's net interest margin was likely to increase in sustained periods of rising interest rates and decrease in sustained periods of declining interest rates. During the fourth quarter of 2007, in an effort to make the Corporation's balance sheet less sensitive to changes in interest rates, the Corporation entered into various interest rate swaps which effectively converted certain variable-rate loans into fixed-rate instruments for a period of seven years. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Corporation also entered into an interest rate swap which effectively converted variable-rate debt into fixed-rate debt for a period of five years. As a result of these actions, the Corporation's balance sheet was more interest-rate neutral and changes in interest rates had a less significant impact on the Corporation's net interest margin than would have otherwise been the case. During the fourth quarter of 2009, a portion of the interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans were terminated, while the remaining interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans were terminated during the fourth quarter of 2010. These actions increased the asset sensitivity of the Corporation's balance sheet. The accumulated gain on the interest rate swaps upon settlement was deferred and amortized over the original lives of the underlying swap contracts. The amortization of the deferred accumulated gain ended in October 2014. As of December 31, 2013, the deferred accumulated gain applicable to the settled interest rate swap contracts included in accumulated other comprehensive income totaled \$30.6 million (\$19.9 million on an after-tax basis), all of which was recognized in interest income during 2014. See Note 16 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information related to these interest rate swaps.

The Corporation is primarily funded by core deposits, with non-interest-bearing demand deposits historically being a significant source of funds. This lower-cost funding base is expected to have a positive impact on the Corporation's net interest income and net interest margin in a rising interest rate environment. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") repealed the federal prohibition on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. To date, the Corporation has not experienced any significant additional interest costs as a result of the repeal; however, the Corporation may begin to incur interest costs associated with certain demand deposits in the future as market conditions warrant. See Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk elsewhere in this report for information about the expected impact of this legislation on the Corporation's sensitivity to interest rates. Further analysis of the components of the Corporation's net interest margin is presented below.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the changes in taxable-equivalent net interest income and identifies the changes due to differences in the average volume of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the changes due to changes in the average interest rate on those assets and liabilities. The changes in net interest income due to changes in both average volume and average interest rate have been allocated to the average volume change or the average interest rate change in proportion to the absolute amounts of the change in each. The Corporation's consolidated average balance sheets along with an analysis of taxable-equivalent net interest income are presented in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this report.

	2014 vs. 2013			2013 vs. 2012		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in			Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in		
	Rate	Volume	Total	Rate	Volume	Total
Interest-bearing deposits	\$—	\$3,441	\$3,441	\$(171)	\$3,155	\$2,984
Federal funds sold and resell agreements	(10)	) 11	1	16	(38)	(22)
Securities:						
Taxable	11,531	(16,317)	(4,786)	(11,862)	(22,697)	(34,559)
Tax-exempt	(6,249)	) 71,350	65,101	(23,392)	) 79,027	55,635
Loans, net of unearned discounts	(21,052)	) 46,974	25,922	(22,518)	) 36,348	13,830
Total earning assets	(15,780)	) 105,459	89,679	(57,927)	) 95,795	37,868
Savings and interest checking	(659)	) 262	(397)	(449)	) 152	(297)
Money market deposit accounts	(3,144)	) 905	(2,239)	(3,565)	) 1,571	(1,994)
Time accounts	(404)	) (11)	(415)	(1,131)	) (184)	(1,315)
Public funds	(351)	) (35)	(386)	(107)	) 73	(34)
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	—	13	13	—	(19)	(19)
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	(4,324)	) 386	(3,938)	(380)	) —	(380)
Subordinated notes payable and other notes	(46)	) —	(46)	(766)	) —	(766)
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	—	—	—	—	(1)	(1)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	(8,928)	) 1,520	(7,408)	(6,398)	) 1,592	(4,806)
Net change	\$(6,852)	) \$103,939	\$97,087	\$(51,529)	) \$94,203	\$42,674

Taxable-equivalent net interest income for 2014 increased \$97.1 million, or 13.7%, compared to 2013. The increase primarily related to an increase in the average volume of interest-earning assets. The average volume of interest-earning assets for 2014 increased \$2.9 billion or 13.7% compared to 2013. The increase in earning assets was primarily due to a \$1.3 billion increase in average interest-bearing deposits, a \$1.1 billion increase in average loans and a \$474.7 million increase in average securities. The increase in the average volume of interest-earning assets during 2014 was partly related to the aforementioned acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. The Corporation acquired cash and cash equivalents totaling \$879.7 million, loans totaling \$670.6 million and securities totaling \$154.2 million in connection with this acquisition.

The net interest margin remained flat at 3.41% during 2014 and 2013. The net interest margin during 2014 was positively impacted by an increase in the average yield on securities, which resulted from an increase in the relative proportion of higher-yielding tax-exempt municipal securities relative to lower-yielding taxable securities, combined with a decrease in the average cost of funds. The net interest margin was negatively impacted by an increase in the relative proportion of average interest-earning assets invested in lower-yielding, interest-bearing deposits during 2014 compared to 2013 while the relative proportion of interest-earning assets invested in higher-yielding securities and loans decreased. The net interest margin was also negatively impacted by a decrease in the average yield on loans. These items are more fully discussed below. The average yield on interest-earning assets decreased 5 basis points to 3.47% during 2014 from 3.52% during 2013 while the average cost of interest-bearing funds decreased 7 basis points

from 0.18% during 2013 to 0.11% during 2014. The average yield on interest-earning assets is primarily impacted by changes in market interest rates as well as changes in the volume and relative mix of interest-earning assets. As stated above, market interest rates have remained at historically low levels during the reported periods. The effect of lower average market interest rates during the reported periods on the average yield on average interest-earning assets was partly limited by the aforementioned interest rate swaps on variable-rate loans.

Table of Contents

Taxable-equivalent net interest income for 2013 increased \$42.7 million, or 6.4%, compared to 2012. The increase primarily related to an increase in the average volume of interest-earning assets partly offset by a decrease in the net interest margin. The average volume of interest-earning assets for 2013 increased \$2.0 billion or 10.4% compared to 2012. The net interest margin decreased 18 basis points from 3.59% during 2012 to 3.41% during 2013. The decrease in the net interest margin was partly due to an increase in the relative proportion of average interest-earning assets invested in lower-yielding, interest-bearing deposits during 2013 compared to 2012 while the relative proportion of average interest-earning assets invested in higher-yielding securities and loans decreased. The net interest margin was also negatively impacted by a decrease in the average yield on loans. The net interest margin was positively impacted by an increase in the average yield on securities which resulted from an increase in the relative proportion of higher-yielding tax-exempt municipal securities relative to lower-yielding taxable securities. The average yield on interest-earning assets decreased 21 basis points to 3.52% during 2013 from 3.73% during 2012 while the average cost of interest-bearing funds decreased 6 basis points from 0.24% during 2012 to 0.18% during 2013.

The average volume of loans increased \$1.1 billion, or 11.6%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and increased \$772.8 million, or 9.1%, in 2013 compared to 2012. As discussed above, the Corporation acquired \$670.6 million in loans in connection with the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. Loans made up approximately 43.1% of average interest-earning assets during 2014 compared to 44.0% during 2013 and 44.5% in 2012. Loans generally have significantly higher yields compared to securities, interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold and resell agreements and, as such, have a more positive effect on the net interest margin. The average yield on loans was 4.34% during 2014 compared to 4.56% during 2013 and 4.82% during 2012. The average yield on loans decreased 22 basis points during 2014 compared to 2013. The average yield on loans was negatively impacted by lower average spreads due to increased competition in loan pricing during 2014 compared to 2013. Furthermore, approximately 7 basis points of the decrease in the average yield on loans during 2014 was related to the aforementioned completion of the amortization of the deferred accumulated gain applicable to the settled interest rate swap contracts in October 2014. The amortization of the deferred accumulated gain positively impacted the Corporation's average yield on loans by 30 basis points in 2014, 40 basis points in 2013 and 45 basis points in 2012. In an effort to offset the loss of the amortization and its positive effect on the Corporation's net interest income, the Corporation utilized \$840 million in excess liquidity to purchase municipal securities during the third and fourth quarters of 2014. The higher yields associated with these securities relative to the yield that would have been received had these funds continued to be held as interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold is expected to replace the revenue stream from the amortization of the deferred accumulated gain applicable to the settled interest rate swaps so that the Corporation's net interest income is not significantly impacted.

The average volume of securities increased \$474.7 million, or 5.3%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and did not significantly fluctuate during 2013 compared to 2012. Securities made up approximately 39.3% of average interest-earning assets in 2014 compared to 42.4% in 2013 and 47.0% in 2012. The average yield on securities was 3.96% in 2014 compared to 3.48% in 2013 and 3.31% in 2012. The average yield on securities increased 48 basis points during 2014 compared to 2013 as the Corporation increased the relative proportion of investments held in higher-yielding, tax-exempt municipal securities. The relative proportion of higher-yielding, tax-exempt municipal securities to total average securities totaled 52.6% in 2014 compared to 40.7% in 2013 and 27.4% in 2012. The average yield on taxable securities was 2.14% in 2014 compared to 1.90% in 2013 and 2.10% in 2012, while the average taxable-equivalent yield on tax-exempt securities was 5.58% in 2014 compared to 5.75% in 2013 and 6.68% in 2012.

Average federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits during 2014 increased \$1.3 billion, or 46.8%, compared to 2013 and increased \$1.3 billion, or 77.6%, in 2013 compared to 2012. Federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits made up approximately 17.6% of average interest-earning assets in 2014 compared to approximately 13.7% in 2013 and 8.5% in 2012. The combined average yield on federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits was 0.26% in both 2014 and 2013 and 0.27% in 2012. The increases in average federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits for the periods reported were primarily related to excess liquidity from deposit growth.

Average deposits increased \$2.8 billion, or 14.5%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and \$2.0 billion, or 11.4%, in 2013 compared to 2012. Average deposits in 2014 were impacted by the acquisition of \$1.6 billion in deposits in connection with the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$1.3 billion in 2014 compared to 2013 and \$1.3 billion in 2013 compared to 2012, while average non-interest-bearing deposits increased \$1.5 billion in 2014 compared to 2013 and \$635.8 million in 2013 compared to 2012. The ratio of average interest-bearing deposits to total average deposits was 58.6% in 2014 compared to 60.3% in 2013 and 59.4% in 2012. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits and total deposits was 0.09% and 0.05% in 2014 compared to 0.12% and 0.08% in 2013 and 0.18% and 0.10% in 2012. The decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits during the comparable periods was primarily the result of decreases in interest rates offered on certain deposit products due to

Table of Contents

decreases in average market interest rates and decreases in renewal interest rates on maturing certificates of deposit given the current low interest rate environment. Additionally, the relative proportion of higher-cost certificates of deposit to total average interest-bearing deposits decreased to 7.5% in 2014 from 8.4% in 2013 and 10.0% in 2012. The Corporation's net interest spread, which represents the difference between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, was 3.36% in 2014 compared to 3.34% in 2013 and 3.49% in 2012. The net interest spread, as well as the net interest margin, will be impacted by future changes in short-term and long-term interest rate levels, as well as the impact from the competitive environment. A discussion of the effects of changing interest rates on net interest income is set forth in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

The Corporation's hedging policies permit the use of various derivative financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps and floors, to manage exposure to changes in interest rates. Details of the Corporation's derivatives and hedging activities are set forth in Note 16 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Information regarding the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on the Corporation's derivative financial instruments is set forth in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included elsewhere in this report.

**Provision for Loan Losses**

The provision for loan losses is determined by management as the amount to be added to the allowance for loan losses after net charge-offs have been deducted to bring the allowance to a level which, in management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses totaled \$16.3 million in 2014 compared to \$20.6 million in 2013 and \$10.1 million in 2012. See the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

**Non-Interest Income**

The components of non-interest income were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
Trust and investment management fees	\$106,237	\$91,375	\$83,317
Service charges on deposit accounts	81,946	81,432	83,392
Insurance commissions and fees	45,115	43,140	39,948
Interchange and debit card transaction fees	18,372	16,979	16,933
Other charges, commissions and fees	36,180	34,185	30,180
Net gain (loss) on securities transactions	38	1,176	4,314
Other	32,256	34,531	30,703
Total	\$320,144	\$302,818	\$288,787

Total non-interest income for 2014 increased \$17.3 million, or 5.7%, compared to 2013 while total non-interest income for 2013 increased \$14.0 million, or 4.9%, compared to 2012. Changes in the various components of non-interest income are discussed in more detail below.

**Trust and Investment Management Fees.** Trust and investment management fee income for 2014 increased \$14.9 million, or 16.3%, compared to 2013 while trust and investment management fee income for 2013 increased \$8.1 million, or 9.7%, compared to 2012. Investment fees are the most significant component of trust and investment management fees, making up approximately 75%, 76% and 74% of total trust and investment management fees in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Investment and other custodial account fees are generally based on the market value of assets within a trust account. Volatility in the equity and bond markets impacts the market value of trust assets and the related investment fees.

The increase in trust and investment management fee income during 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily the result of an increase in investment fees (up \$9.8 million), oil and gas fees (up \$2.7 million), estate fees (up \$1.4 million) and real estate fees (up \$743 thousand). The increase in investment fees during 2014 was partly due to higher average equity valuations during 2014 relative to 2013, business development efforts and a change in the fee schedule beginning in the fourth quarter of 2013. The increase in oil and gas fees during 2014 was partly related to increased mineral production. Estate fees and real estate fees are transactional in nature and can vary from period to period.



Table of Contents

The increase in trust and investment management fee income during 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily the result of an increase in investment fees (up \$7.4 million), oil and gas fees (up \$757 thousand) and securities lending income (up \$620 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in estate fees (down \$532 thousand). The increase in investment fees was partly due to higher average equity valuations during 2013 relative to 2012, the aforementioned change in the fee schedule and an increase in the number of accounts from the comparable period. The increase in securities lending income was partly related to new business and increased loan spreads. The increase in oil and gas fees was partly related to increased mineral production and new lease bonus fees.

At December 31, 2014, trust assets, including both managed assets and custody assets, were primarily composed of equity securities (46.3% of trust assets), fixed income securities (39.1% of trust assets) and cash equivalents (8.5% of trust assets). The estimated fair value of trust assets was \$30.5 billion (including managed assets of \$13.0 billion and custody assets of \$17.5 billion) at December 31, 2014 compared to \$29.0 billion (including managed assets of \$11.9 billion and custody assets of \$17.1 billion) at December 31, 2013 and \$26.2 billion (including managed assets of \$10.9 billion and custody assets of \$15.3 billion) at December 31, 2012.

**Service Charges on Deposit Accounts.** Service charges on deposit accounts for 2014 increased \$514 thousand, or 0.6%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily due to an increase in service charges on commercial accounts (up \$1.5 million) partly offset by decreases in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts (down \$782 thousand) and service charges on consumer accounts (down \$226 thousand). Service charges on deposit accounts for 2013 decreased \$2.0 million, or 2.4%, compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily due to decreases in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts (down \$1.2 million) and service charges on commercial accounts (down \$943 thousand). The fluctuations in service charges on commercial accounts during the comparable periods was partly related to fluctuations in service volumes for billable services. Overdraft/insufficient funds charges totaled \$32.3 million during 2014 compared to \$33.0 million during 2013 and \$34.1 million in 2012.

Overdraft/insufficient funds charges included \$25.0 million, \$25.8 million and \$27.0 million related to consumer accounts during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$7.3 million, \$7.2 million and \$7.1 million related to commercial accounts during 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**Insurance Commissions and Fees.** Insurance commissions and fees for 2014 increased \$2.0 million, or 4.6%, compared to 2013 and increased \$3.2 million, or 8.0%, in 2013 compared to 2012. The increases were primarily related to increases in commission income (up \$2.1 million in 2014 compared to 2013 and \$3.2 million in 2013 compared to 2012). The increase in commission income during 2014 was primarily related to an increase in commercial lines property and casualty commissions resulting from new business, the impact of the acquisition of Kolkhorst Insurance Agency during the fourth quarter of 2013 and, to a lesser extent, higher rates, partly offset by decreases in contingent commissions and employee benefit commissions and fees. The decrease in employee benefit commissions and fees (down \$131 thousand) during 2014 was partly related to customers electing to early renew policies during the fourth quarter of 2013 as a result of the Affordable Care Act. The increase in commission income during 2013 was largely due to the increase in employee benefit commissions and fees resulting from these early renewals. The increase in commission income in 2013 was also partly related to increases in commercial lines and personal lines property and casualty commissions resulting from normal variation in the market demand for insurance products and rate increases.

Insurance commissions and fees include contingent commissions which totaled \$3.6 million in 2014 and \$3.8 million in both 2013 and 2012. Contingent commissions primarily consist of amounts received from various property and casualty insurance carriers related to the loss performance of insurance policies previously placed. Such commissions are seasonal in nature and are mostly received during the first quarter of each year. These commissions totaled \$2.0 million in 2014, \$2.2 million in 2013 and \$2.1 million in 2012. Contingent commissions also include amounts received from various benefit plan insurance companies related to the volume of business generated and/or the subsequent retention of such business. These commissions totaled \$1.6 million in both 2014 and 2013 and \$1.7 million in 2012.

**Interchange and Debit Card Transaction Fees.** Interchange fees, or “swipe” fees, are charges that merchants pay to the Corporation and other card-issuing banks for processing electronic payment transactions. Interchange and debit card transaction fees consist of income from check card usage, point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions



and ATM service fees. Interchange and debit card transaction fees for 2014 increased \$1.4 million, or 8.2% compared to 2013 and did not significantly fluctuate in 2013 compared to 2012. Income from debit card transactions totaled approximately \$16.0 million in 2014 compared to \$14.7 million in 2013 and \$14.1 million in 2012. Income from ATM service fees totaled approximately \$2.4 million in 2014 compared to \$2.3 million in 2013 and \$2.8 million in 2012.

Table of Contents

Federal Reserve rules applicable to financial institutions that have assets of \$10 billion or more provide that the maximum permissible interchange fee for an electronic debit transaction is the sum of 21 cents per transaction and 5 basis points multiplied by the value of the transaction. An upward adjustment of no more than 1 cent to an issuer's debit card interchange fee is allowed if the card issuer develops and implements policies and procedures reasonably designed to achieve certain fraud-prevention standards. The Federal Reserve also has rules governing routing and exclusivity that require issuers to offer two unaffiliated networks for routing transactions on each debit or prepaid product.

**Other Charges, Commissions and Fees.** Other charges, commissions and fees for 2014 increased \$2.0 million, or 5.8%, compared to 2013. The increase in other charges, commissions and fees during 2014 included increases in wire transfer fees (up \$1.6 million), investment banking and capital markets fees related to advisory services (up \$1.2 million), loan processing fees (up \$658 thousand), income from the sale of mutual funds (up \$476 thousand) and unused balance fees on loan commitments (up \$418 thousand). These increases were partly offset by decreases in income related to the sale of annuities (down \$1.3 million), other service charges (down \$632 thousand) and human resources consulting fee income (down \$514 thousand). The increase in wire transfer fees during the comparable periods was partly related to a new fee schedule. Investment banking and capital markets advisory services are transactional in nature and, as such, fees for such services can vary significantly from period to period. The increase in commission income related to the sale of mutual funds during the comparable periods reflects customers continued investment in equities as market conditions have continued to improve. The decrease in income related to the sale of annuities was related to a decrease in interest rates and a lower volume of business. The decrease in other service charges during the comparable periods was partly related to a decrease in fees associated with asset based lending services. The decrease in human resources consulting fee income was related to a decline in service volumes.

Other charges, commissions and fees for 2013 increased \$4.0 million or 13.3%, compared to 2012. The increase in other charges, commissions and fees during 2013 included increases in income related to the sale of annuities (up \$1.8 million), income from the sale of mutual funds (up \$1.5 million), unused balance fees on loan commitments (up \$541 thousand), loan processing fees (up \$518 thousand) and referral fees from the Corporation's merchant services payment processor (up \$343 thousand). These increases were partly offset by decreases in other service charges (down \$349 thousand), investment banking fees related to corporate advisory services (down \$219 thousand) and letter of credit fees (down \$166 thousand).

**Net Gain/Loss on Securities Transactions.** During 2014, the Corporation sold available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost totaling \$12.2 billion and realized a net gain of \$38 thousand on those sales. The majority of these securities were primarily purchased during 2014 and subsequently sold in connection with the Corporation's tax planning strategies related to the Texas franchise tax. The gross proceeds from the sales of these securities outside of Texas are included in total revenues/receipts from all sources reported for Texas franchise tax purposes, which results in a reduction in the overall percentage of revenues/receipts apportioned to Texas and subjected to taxation under the Texas franchise tax. The Corporation also sold approximately \$2.0 million of municipal securities acquired in connection with the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014.

During 2013, the Corporation realized a net gain of \$1.2 million on the sale of available-for-sale securities. During 2013, the Corporation sold certain municipal securities with an amortized cost totaling \$29.1 million and realized a net gain of \$1.2 million on those sales. The sales were made for the purpose of divesting of certain securities issued by municipalities outside of Texas. The Corporation also sold U.S. Treasury securities with an amortized cost totaling \$10.0 billion and realized a net loss of \$2 thousand on those sales. These securities were primarily purchased during 2013 and subsequently sold in connection with the Corporation's aforementioned tax planning strategies related to the Texas franchise tax.

During 2012, the Corporation realized a net gain of \$4.3 million on the sale of available-for-sale securities. During January 2012, the Corporation purchased \$996.4 million of U.S. Treasury securities utilizing excess liquidity as a defensive strategy to lock in the yield on those funds in case the Federal Reserve lowered the rate paid on funds deposited in the Corporation's Federal Reserve account. Shortly thereafter, U.S. Treasury prices rallied and the Corporation sold the securities, realizing a \$2.1 million gain, and concurrently purchased \$998.4 million of U.S. Treasury securities having a shorter term to maturity. In March 2012, U.S. Treasury yields increased and the

Corporation sold the aforementioned position in U.S. Treasury securities and recognized a \$2.6 million loss. The proceeds were concurrently reinvested in U.S. Treasury securities that had a similar yield to the original, longer-term position purchased in January 2012, but with a shorter term to maturity. During the second quarter of 2012, the Corporation sold a municipal security with an amortized cost totaling \$5.6 million and realized a \$367 thousand gain on the sale. During the fourth quarter

Table of Contents

of 2012, the Corporation sold U.S. Treasury securities with an amortized cost totaling \$595.6 million and realized a \$4.4 million gain on the sale. The Corporation purchased the securities during the fourth quarter of 2012. Shortly thereafter, U.S. Treasury prices rallied and the Corporation sold the securities to capitalize on the gain as management believed the increase in U.S. Treasury prices would be temporary. During 2012, the Corporation also sold available-for-sale securities with an amortized cost totaling \$14.0 billion and realized a net gain of \$2 thousand on those sales. These securities were primarily purchased during 2012 and subsequently sold in connection with the Corporation's aforementioned tax planning strategies related to the Texas franchise tax.

**Other Non-Interest Income.** Other non-interest income for 2014 decreased \$2.3 million, or 6.6%, compared to 2013. Other non-interest income during 2013 included \$4.8 million related to the sale of a building and parking garage, as further discussed below. Excluding the impact of the prior-year gain, other non-interest income effectively increased \$2.5 million. This effective increase in other non-interest income during 2014 included increases in sundry income from various miscellaneous items (up \$2.7 million) and income from securities trading and customer derivatives transactions (up \$335 thousand). The increase from these items was partly offset by a decrease in income from public finance underwriting (down \$293 thousand). Sundry income from various miscellaneous items during 2014 included \$2.4 million related to distributions received on a small business investment company ("SBIC") investment, \$2.1 million related to recovery of interest on loans charged-off in previous years and \$2.0 million in VISA check card incentives related to business volumes. The increase in income from securities trading and customer derivative transactions was primarily related to an increase in customer interest rate swap transaction fees.

During the first quarter of 2013, the Corporation realized a \$5.6 million gain related to the sale of a building and parking garage. The Corporation leased back portions of the building through the third quarter of 2013 and the first quarter of 2015. As a result, a portion of the gain was deferred and only \$4.8 million of the total \$5.6 million gain was recognized during 2013. During 2014, other non-interest income included \$614 thousand related to the amortization of the deferred gain. The remaining deferred portion of the gain, which totaled \$154 thousand at December 31, 2014, will be recognized during the first quarter of 2015.

Other non-interest income for 2013 increased \$3.8 million, or 12.5%, compared to 2012. The increase during 2013 was primarily related to increases in gains on the sale of assets/foreclosed assets (up \$5.2 million), mineral interest income (up \$950 thousand), income from municipal bond underwriting discounts/fees (up \$935 thousand), sundry income from various miscellaneous items (up \$790 thousand) and income from customer foreign currency transactions (up approximately \$630 thousand). The increase from the aforementioned items was partly offset by a decrease in income from securities trading and customer derivative transactions (down \$3.3 million) and earnings on the cash surrender value of life insurance policies (down \$935 thousand). The increase in gains on sale of assets/foreclosed assets was primarily related to the aforementioned sale of a building and parking garage. Mineral interest income is related to bonus, rental and shut-in payments and oil and gas royalties received from severed mineral interests on property owned by Main Plaza Corporation, a wholly owned non-banking subsidiary of the Corporation. During 2013, sundry income from various miscellaneous items included a \$1.8 million reversal of an accrual related to an acquisition contingency, \$1.8 million related to the recovery of interest on loans charged-off in previous years, \$553 thousand related to a refund of prior deposit insurance premiums and \$312 thousand related to a distribution from a limited partnership investment. The decrease in income from securities trading and customer derivative transactions during 2013 was primarily related to a decrease in customer interest rate swap transaction fees.

**Non-Interest Expense**

The components of non-interest expense were as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
Salaries and wages	\$292,349	\$273,692	\$258,752
Employee benefits	60,151	62,407	57,635
Net occupancy	55,745	50,468	48,975
Furniture and equipment	62,087	58,443	55,279
Deposit insurance	13,232	11,682	11,087
Intangible amortization	3,520	3,141	3,896
Other	167,656	152,077	139,469

Total	\$654,740	\$611,910	\$575,093
-------	-----------	-----------	-----------

44

---

Table of Contents

Total non-interest expense for 2014 increased \$42.8 million, or 7.0%, compared to 2013 while total non-interest expense for 2013 increased \$36.8 million, or 6.4%, compared to 2012. Other non-interest expense during 2014 was particularly impacted by the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. Changes in the various components of non-interest expense are discussed below.

**Salaries and Wages.** Salaries and wages increased \$18.7 million, or 6.8%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and increased \$14.9 million, or 5.8%, in 2013 compared to 2012. The increase during 2014 was primarily related to an increase in the number of employees (partly related to the acquisition of WNB), normal annual merit and market increases, increased overtime and increased stock-based compensation expense. The increase during 2013 was primarily related to an increase in the number of employees, normal annual merit and market increases, increased commissions related to higher insurance revenues and increased incentive compensation expense partly offset by a decrease in stock-based compensation expense and an increase in cost deferrals related to lending activity.

**Employee Benefits.** Employee benefits expense for 2014 decreased \$2.3 million, or 3.6%, compared to 2013. The decrease was primarily related to a decrease in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plans (down \$4.6 million). The Corporation recognized a combined net periodic pension benefit of \$1.8 million on its defined benefit retirement plans during 2014 compared to a combined net periodic pension expense of \$2.8 million during 2013. This decrease was partly offset by increases in payroll taxes (up \$1.1 million), medical insurance expense (up \$834 thousand) and expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans (up \$247 thousand).

Employee benefits expense for 2013 increased \$4.8 million, or 8.3%, compared to 2012. The increase during 2013 was primarily related to increases in expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans (up \$690 thousand and \$2.1 million, respectively), payroll taxes (up \$1.4 million) and medical insurance expense (up \$738 thousand) partly offset by a decrease in expenses related to the Corporation's defined benefit retirement plans (down \$286 thousand).

The Corporation's defined benefit retirement and restoration plans were frozen effective as of December 31, 2001 and were replaced by the profit sharing plan. Management believes these actions help reduce the volatility in retirement plan expense. However, the Corporation still has funding obligations related to the defined benefit and restoration plans and could recognize retirement expense related to these plans in future years, which would be dependent on the return earned on plan assets, the level of interest rates and employee turnover. As stated above, the Corporation recognized a net benefit related to the defined benefit retirement and restoration plans totaling \$1.8 million in 2014 compared to net expense of \$2.8 million in 2013 and a net expense of \$3.1 million in 2012. Future expense/benefits related to these plans is dependent upon a variety of factors, including the actual return on plan assets.

For additional information related to the Corporation's employee benefit plans, see Note 12 - Employee Benefit Plans in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

**Net Occupancy.** Net occupancy expense for 2014 increased \$5.3 million, or 10.5%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily related to increases in lease expense (up \$3.4 million), repairs and maintenance expense (up \$1.5 million) and building depreciation (up \$356 thousand). The increases in these items were partly related to new leases, increased rental rates and the additional facilities added in connection with the acquisition of WNB.

Net occupancy expense for 2013 increased \$1.5 million, or 3.0%, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily related to increases in lease expense (up \$1.5 million), a decrease in rental income (down \$410 thousand), an increase in depreciation on leasehold improvements (up \$383 thousand) and a decrease in parking garage income (down \$288 thousand). These items were partly offset by a decrease in legal and other professional services expense (down \$207 thousand), building depreciation (down \$199 thousand) and utilities expense (down \$195 thousand), among other things.

**Furniture and Equipment.** Furniture and equipment expense for 2014 increased \$3.6 million, or 6.2%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily related to increases in software maintenance (up \$2.7 million), furniture and fixtures depreciation (up \$768 thousand) and service contracts expense (up \$309 thousand).

Furniture and equipment expense for 2013 increased \$3.2 million, or 5.7%, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily related to increases in software maintenance (up \$1.1 million), software amortization (up \$771 thousand), repairs expense (up \$455 thousand), furniture and fixtures depreciation (up \$420 thousand) and equipment rental

expense (up \$312 thousand).

45

---

Table of Contents

**Deposit Insurance.** Deposit insurance expense totaled \$13.2 million in 2014 compared to \$11.7 million in 2013 and \$11.1 million in 2012. The increase in deposit insurance expense during 2014 was primarily related to an increase in assets. The increase in deposit insurance expense during 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily related to an increase in assets, partly offset by the impact of a decrease in the assessment rate.

**Intangible Amortization.** Intangible amortization is primarily related to core deposit intangibles and, to a lesser extent, intangibles related to customer relationships and non-compete agreements. Intangible amortization totaled \$3.5 million in 2014 compared to \$3.1 million in 2013 and \$3.9 million in 2012. The increase in intangible amortization during 2014 compared to 2013 was impacted by the additional amortization related to intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisition of the Kolkhorst Insurance Agency, Inc. during the fourth quarter of 2013 and the core deposit intangible recorded in connection with the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. The impact of this additional amortization was partly offset by the completion of amortization of certain previously recognized intangible assets as well as a reduction in the annual amortization rate of certain previously recognized intangible assets as the Corporation uses an accelerated amortization approach which results in higher amortization rates during the earlier years of the useful lives on intangible assets. The decrease in amortization expense during 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily the result of the completion of amortization of certain intangible assets, as well as a reduction in the annual amortization rate of certain intangible assets. The decreases in amortization were partly offset by the additional amortization related to intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisition Kolkhorst Insurance Agency, Inc. during the fourth quarter of 2013. See Note 6 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

**Other Non-Interest Expense.** Other non-interest expense for 2014 increased \$15.6 million, or 10.2%, compared to 2013. The increase was impacted by expenses related to the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. See Note 2 - Mergers and Acquisitions in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Acquisition related expenses included in other non-interest expenses totaled \$7.1 million during 2014. Such amounts included \$3.5 million in professional services expenses, \$1.3 million in severance and \$2.3 million in various other expenses. Additionally, during 2013 the Corporation wrote down certain land and other assets totaling \$7.2 million. Approximately \$6.2 million of this amount was related to the write-down of certain long-term bank-owned property in downtown San Antonio that was made available for sale. Excluding the aforementioned acquisition related expenses during 2014 and the write downs in 2013, other non-interest expense for 2014 effectively increased \$17.1 million, or 11.9%. The effective increase during 2014 compared to 2013 was partly related to increases in check card expense (up \$4.2 million), sundry and other miscellaneous expenses (up \$3.3 million), advertising/promotions expense (up \$2.7 million), amortization of net deferred cost related to loan commitments (up \$1.9 million), guard services expense (up \$842 thousand) and travel, meals and entertainment expense (up \$787 thousand), among other things, partly offset by a decrease in professional services expense (down \$976 thousand), among other things. During 2014, sundry and other miscellaneous expenses included an accrual of \$2.2 million related to a settlement.

Other non-interest expense for 2013 increased \$12.6 million, or 9.0%, compared to 2012. The increase during 2013 was primarily related to the aforementioned write-down of certain land and other assets totaling \$7.2 million during the first quarter of 2013. Additionally, other components of other non-interest expense with significant increases during 2013 included professional services expense (up \$3.8 million), ATM expense (up \$3.4 million), check card expense (up \$1.6 million) and travel, meals and entertainment expense (up \$522 thousand). The increases in the aforementioned items were partly offset by decreases in sundry losses from various miscellaneous items (down \$1.0 million), advertising/promotions/public relations expense (down \$962 thousand), amortization of net deferred costs related to loan commitments (down \$665 thousand) and regulatory examination fees (down \$373 thousand). In 2013, professional services expense included \$1.3 million and travel, meals and entertainment expense included \$130 thousand in costs related to the then pending acquisition of WNB Bancshares. The increase in ATM expense was related to a branding arrangement entered into in 2012 to be the exclusive cash-machine provider for CST Brands, Inc. Corner Stores in Texas that more than doubled the number of ATM machines the Corporation operated.

Advertising/promotions expenses were higher in 2012 in part due to an expanded marketing campaign that began in 2011.





Table of Contents

## Results of Segment Operations

The Corporation's operations are managed along two operating segments: Banking and Frost Wealth Advisors. A description of each business and the methodologies used to measure financial performance is described in Note 19 - Operating Segments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Net income (loss) by operating segment is presented below:

	2014	2013	2012
Banking	\$259,457	\$226,783	\$229,312
Frost Wealth Advisors	21,232	15,653	14,198
Non-Banks	(2,712	) (4,570	) (5,558
Consolidated net income	\$277,977	\$237,866	\$237,952

## Banking

Net income for 2014 increased \$32.7 million, or 14.4%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily the result of a \$62.2 million increase in net interest income, a \$4.3 million decrease in the provision for loan losses and a \$3.1 million increase in non-interest income partly offset by a \$35.9 million increase in non-interest expense and a \$1.1 million increase in income tax expense. Net income for 2013 decreased \$2.5 million, or 1.1%, compared to 2012. The decrease was primarily the result of a \$28.6 million increase in non-interest expense and a \$10.5 million increase in the provision for loan losses partly offset by a \$18.3 million decrease in income tax expense, a \$16.0 million increase in net interest income and a \$2.3 million increase in non-interest income.

Net interest income for 2014 increased \$62.2 million, or 10.0%, compared to 2013 while net interest income for 2013 increased \$16.0 million, or 2.6%, compared to 2012. The increases were primarily related to increases in the average volume of interest-earning assets. The increase in 2013 compared to 2012 was partly limited by a decrease in the net interest margin. See the analysis of net interest income included in the section captioned "Net Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

The provision for loan losses for 2014 totaled \$16.3 million compared to \$20.6 million in 2013 and \$10.1 million in 2012. See the analysis of the provision for loan losses included in the section captioned "Allowance for Loan Losses" included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest income for 2014 increased \$3.1 million, or 1.6%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily related to increases in other charges, commissions and fees, insurance commissions and fees, interchange and debit card transaction fees and service charges on deposit accounts partly offset by decreases in other non-interest income and the net gain on securities transactions. The increase in other charges, commissions and fees was primarily related to increases in wire transfer fees, investment banking and capital markets fees related to advisory services, loan processing fees and unused balance fees on loan commitments partly offset by decreases in other service charges and human resources consulting fee income. The increase in insurance commissions and fees was primarily related to increases in commercial lines property and casualty commissions resulting from new business, the impact of the acquisition of Kolkhorst Insurance Agency during the fourth quarter of 2013 and, to a lesser extent, higher rates, partly offset by decreases in contingent commissions and employee benefit commissions and fees. The increase in interchange and debit card transaction fees was primarily due to an increase in income from check card usage and an increase in income from ATM service fees partly offset by a decrease in point of sale income from PIN-based debit card transactions. The increase in service charges on deposit accounts was primarily due to an increase in service charges on commercial accounts partly offset by decreases in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts and service charges on consumer accounts. The decrease in other non-interest income was primarily related to a non-recurring gain realized on the sale of a building and parking garage during 2013. See the analysis of these categories of non-interest income included in the section captioned "Non-Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest income for 2013 increased \$2.3 million, or 1.2%, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily due to increases in other non-interest income, insurance commissions and fees and other charges, commissions and fees partly offset by a decrease in the net gain on securities transactions and a decrease in service charges on deposits. The increase in other non-interest income was primarily related to a gain realized on the sale of a building and parking garage. The increase in insurance commissions and fees was primarily due to increased commission income in large

part due to an increase in employee benefit commissions and fees and, to a lesser extent, increases in commercial lines and personal lines property and casualty commissions resulting from normal variation in the market demand for

47

---

Table of Contents

insurance products and rate increases. The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts was mostly due to a decrease in overdraft/insufficient funds charges on consumer accounts and a decrease in service charges on commercial accounts. See the analysis of these categories of non-interest income included in the section captioned “Non-Interest Income” included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest expense for 2014 increased \$35.9 million, or 7.0%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily due to increases in salaries and wages, other non-interest expense, net occupancy, furniture and equipment expense and deposit insurance expense partly offset by a decrease in employee benefits expense. The increase in salaries and wages was primarily related to an increase in the number of employees (partly related to the acquisition of WNB), normal annual merit and market increases, increased overtime and increased stock-based compensation expense. The increase in other non-interest expense was partly related to increases in check card expense; sundry and other miscellaneous expenses; advertising/promotion expense; amortization of net deferred cost related to loan commitments; guard expense; and travel, meals and entertainment expense, among other things. The increase in net occupancy expense was primarily related to increases in lease expense, repairs and maintenance expense and building depreciation. Net occupancy expense was also partly impacted by the additional facilities added in connection with the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. The increase in furniture and equipment expense was primarily related to increases in software maintenance, furniture and fixtures depreciation and service contracts expense. See the analysis of these items included in the section captioned “Non-Interest Expense” included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest expense for 2013 increased \$28.6 million, or 5.9%, compared to 2012. The increase during 2013 was primarily due to increases in salaries and wages and employee benefits, other non-interest expense, furniture and equipment expense and net occupancy expense. The increase in salaries and wages was primarily related to normal annual merit and market increases, increased commissions related to higher insurance revenues and increased incentive compensation partly offset by a decrease in stock-based compensation expense and an increase in cost deferrals related to lending activity. The increase in employee benefits expense was primarily related to increases in expenses related to the Corporation's 401(k) and profit sharing plans, payroll taxes and medical insurance expense. The increase in other non-interest expense during 2013 was primarily related to the write-down of certain land and other assets during the first quarter of 2013, the majority of which was related to the write-down of certain long-term bank-owned property in downtown San Antonio that was made available for sale. Other non-interest expense during 2013 was also impacted by increases in professional services expense, ATM expense and overhead cost allocations, among other things. The increase in furniture and equipment expense was primarily due to increases in software maintenance, software amortization, repairs expense, furniture and fixtures depreciation and equipment rental expense. The increase in net occupancy was primarily related to increases in lease expense, a decrease in rental income, an increase in depreciation on leasehold improvements and a decrease in parking garage income. See the analysis of these items included in the section captioned “Non-Interest Expense” included elsewhere in this discussion. Income tax expense for 2014 increased \$1.1 million, or 2.1%, compared to 2013. The increase was related to an increase in pre-tax net income partly offset by a decrease in the effective tax rate. Income tax expense for 2013 decreased \$18.3 million, or 26.4%, compared to 2012. The decrease was related to a decrease in pre-tax net income combined with a decrease in the effective tax rate. See the section captioned “Income Taxes” included elsewhere in this discussion.

Frost Insurance Agency, which is included in the Banking operating segment, had gross commission revenues of \$45.8 million during 2014 compared to \$43.8 million during 2013 and \$40.6 million in 2012. Insurance commission revenues increased \$2.0 million, or 4.6%, during 2014 compared to 2013 and increased \$3.2 million, or 7.9%, during 2013 compared to 2012. See the analysis of insurance commissions and fees included in the section captioned “Non-Interest Income” included elsewhere in this discussion. Frost Insurance Agency also had consulting revenues totaling \$1.0 million during 2014, \$1.5 million during 2013 and \$1.7 million during 2012. Consulting revenues are primarily related to human resources consulting services and are reported as a component of other charges, commissions and fees.

#### Frost Wealth Advisors

Net income for 2014 increased \$5.6 million, or 35.6%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily due to a \$14.5 million increase in non-interest income partly offset by a \$6.2 million increase in non-interest expense and a

\$2.9 million increase in income tax expense. Net income for 2013 increased \$1.5 million, or 10.2%, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily due to a \$11.2 million increase in non-interest income partly offset by a \$7.4 million increase in non-interest expense, a \$1.4 million decrease in net interest income and a \$917 thousand increase in income tax expense.

Table of Contents

Net interest income for 2014 increased \$148 thousand, or 2.2%, compared to 2013. The increase was due to an increase in the average volume of funds provided due to an increase in the average volume of Frost Wealth Advisor's repurchase agreements. Net interest income for 2013 decreased \$1.4 million, or 17.8%, compared to 2012. The decrease was partly due to a decrease in the funds transfer price received for providing funds.

Non-interest income for 2014 increased \$14.5 million, or 13.5%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily related to an increase in trust and investment management fees. Trust and investment management fee income is the most significant income component for Frost Wealth Advisors. Investment fees are the most significant component of trust and investment management fees, making up approximately 75%, 76% and 74% of total trust and investment management fees in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Investment and other custodial account fees are generally based on the market value of assets within a trust account. Volatility in the equity and bond markets impacts the market value of trust assets and the related investment fees. The increase in trust and investment management fee income during 2014 was primarily the result of an increase in investment fees, oil and gas fees, estate fees and real estate fees. The increase in investment fees was primarily due to higher average equity valuations during 2014, business development efforts and a change in the fee schedule beginning in the fourth quarter of 2013. See the analysis of trust and investment management fees included in the section captioned "Non-Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest income for 2013 increased \$11.2 million, or 11.6%, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily due to increases in trust and investment management fees and increases in other charges, commissions and fees. The increase in trust and investment management fee income was primarily the result of an increase in investment fees, oil and gas trust management fees and securities lending income. The increase in other charges, commissions and fees was primarily due to an increase in income related to the sale of annuities and mutual funds. See the analysis of trust and investment management fees and other charges, commissions and fees included in the section captioned "Non-Interest Income" included elsewhere in this discussion.

Non-interest expense for 2014 increased \$6.2 million, or 6.9%, compared to 2013. The increase was primarily due to increases in other non-interest expense (up \$4.4 million), and salaries and wages (up \$1.6 million). The increase in other non-interest expense was related to increases in various miscellaneous categories of expense and overhead cost allocations. The increase in salaries and wages were primarily related to normal annual merit and market increases. Non-interest expense for 2013 increased \$7.4 million, or 8.9%, compared to 2012. The increase was primarily due to an increase in salaries and wages (up \$4.3 million), other non-interest expense (up \$2.2 million) and employee benefits (up \$752 thousand). The increases in salaries and wages were primarily related to normal annual merit and market increases. The increase in other non-interest expense was related to an increase in professional services expense as well as increases in various miscellaneous categories of expense and overhead cost allocation. The increase in employee benefits was related to increased payroll taxes, 401(k) and profit sharing plan expenses and medical insurance expense.

**Non-Banks**

The Non-Banks operating segment had a net loss of \$2.7 million for 2014 compared to a net loss of \$4.6 million in 2013. The decrease in net loss was primarily due to a \$4.0 million decrease in net interest expense partly offset by a \$1.1 million decrease in income tax benefit and a \$729 thousand increase in non-interest expense. The decrease in net interest expense was primarily related to a decrease in the interest rate paid on the Corporation's junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures as a result of the termination of an interest rate swap on the debentures in December of 2013. See Note 9 - Derivative Financial Instruments in the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for additional information related to the interest rate swap. The decrease in the income tax benefit was primarily due to a decrease in the pre-tax net loss. The increase in non-interest expense was primarily related to expenses associated with the acquisition of WNB which included approximately \$3.0 million, primarily related to professional services, that were included in the non-banks segment. (See Note 2 - Mergers and Acquisitions).

The Non-Banks segment had a net loss of \$4.6 million in 2013, decreasing \$988 thousand, or 17.8%, compared to \$5.6 million in 2012. The decrease in the net loss during 2013 was primarily due to a decrease in net interest expense (down \$1.1 million), an increase in non-interest income (up \$522 thousand) and an increase in the net income tax

benefit (up \$170 thousand), partly offset by an \$822 thousand increase in non-interest expense. The decrease in net interest expense was related to a decrease in the interest rate paid on the Corporation's \$100 million fixed-to-floating rate subordinated notes, which changed to a floating interest rate during the first quarter of 2012. The increase in non-interest income was primarily related to increased mineral interest income related to bonus, rental and shut-in payments and oil and gas royalties received from severed mineral interests on property owned by Main Plaza Corporation, a

Table of Contents

wholly-owned non-banking subsidiary of the Corporation. The increase in non-interest expense was primarily related to an increase in professional services expense which included \$1.3 million in costs incurred during the third and fourth quarters of 2013 associated with the then pending acquisition of WNB.

**Income Taxes**

The Corporation recognized income tax expense of \$58.0 million, for an effective tax rate of 17.3%, in 2014 compared to \$53.0 million, for an effective tax rate of 18.2%, in 2013 and \$70.5 million, for an effective rate of 22.9%, in 2012. The effective income tax rates differed from the U.S. statutory rate of 35% during the comparable periods primarily due to the effect of tax-exempt income from loans, securities and life insurance policies. The decline in the effective tax rate since 2012 is partly related to an increase in the relative proportion of tax-exempt income as the Corporation purchased additional tax-exempt municipal securities.

**Sources and Uses of Funds**

The following table illustrates, during the years presented, the mix of the Corporation's funding sources and the assets in which those funds are invested as a percentage of the Corporation's average total assets for the period indicated. Average assets totaled \$25.8 billion in 2014 compared to \$22.8 billion in 2013 and \$20.8 billion in 2012.

	2014	2013	2012	
<b>Sources of Funds:</b>				
<b>Deposits:</b>				
Non-interest-bearing	35.4	% 33.6	% 33.7	%
Interest-bearing	50.2	51.0	49.3	
Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements	2.2	2.4	2.9	
Long-term debt and other borrowings	0.9	1.0	1.1	
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities	0.8	1.2	1.6	
Equity capital	10.5	10.8	11.4	
Total	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%
<b>Uses of Funds:</b>				
Loans	40.0	% 40.6	% 40.6	%
Securities	36.4	39.1	42.9	
Federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits	16.3	12.6	7.8	
Other non-interest-earning assets	7.3	7.7	8.7	
Total	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%

Deposits continue to be the Corporation's primary source of funding. Average deposits increased \$2.8 billion, or 14.5%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and \$2.0 billion, or 11.4% in 2013 compared to 2012. Average deposits in 2014 were impacted by the acquisition of \$1.6 billion in deposits in connection with the acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014. Non-interest-bearing deposits remain a significant source of funding, which has been a key factor in maintaining the Corporation's relatively low cost of funds. Average non-interest-bearing deposits totaled 41.4% of total average deposits in 2014 compared to 39.7% in 2013, and 40.6% in 2012. The Dodd-Frank Act repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts beginning July 21, 2011. To date, the Corporation has not experienced any significant additional interest costs as a result of the repeal; however, the Corporation may begin to incur interest costs associated with certain demand deposits in the future as market conditions warrant, in which case, the relative proportion of non-interest-bearing deposits to total deposits would be expected to decrease.

The Corporation primarily invests funds in loans and securities. Loans continue to be a large component of the Corporation's mix of invested assets. Average loans increased \$1.1 billion, or 11.6%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and increased \$772.8 million, or 9.1% in 2013 compared to 2012. Average securities increased \$474.7 million, or 5.3%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and increased \$49.5 million, or 0.6%, in 2013 compared to 2012. Average federal funds sold, resell agreements and interest-bearing deposits increased \$1.3 billion, or 46.8%, in 2014 compared to 2013 and increased \$1.3 billion, or 77.6%, in 2013 compared to 2012. The Corporation acquired cash and cash equivalents totaling \$879.7 million, loans totaling \$670.6 million and securities totaling \$154.2 million in connection with the



acquisition of WNB during the second quarter of 2014.

50

---

## Loans

Year-end loans were as follows:

	2014	Percentage of Total	2013	2012	2011	2010
Commercial and industrial:						
Commercial	\$5,429,206	49.4	% \$4,587,499	\$4,550,077	\$3,723,455	\$3,602,215
Leases	338,537	3.1	319,577	278,535	193,412	186,443
Total commercial and industrial	5,767,743	52.5	4,907,076	4,828,612	3,916,867	3,788,658
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial mortgages	3,080,202	28.0	2,800,760	2,495,481	2,383,479	2,374,542
Construction	629,988	5.7	426,639	608,306	434,870	593,273
Land	291,907	2.7	239,937	216,008	202,478	234,952
Total commercial real estate	4,002,097	36.4	3,467,336	3,319,795	3,020,827	3,202,767
Consumer real estate:						
Home equity loans	342,725	3.1	329,853	310,675	282,244	275,806
Home equity lines of credit	220,128	2.0	195,132	186,522	191,960	186,465
Other	286,198	2.6	283,219	280,150	288,605	335,993
Total consumer real estate	849,051	7.7	808,204	777,347	762,809	798,264
Total real estate	4,851,148	44.1	4,275,540	4,097,142	3,783,636	4,001,031
Consumer and other:						
Consumer installment	385,479	3.5	350,827	311,310	301,518	319,384
Other	8,122	0.1	7,289	8,435	11,018	28,234
Total consumer and other	393,601	3.6	358,116	319,745	312,536	347,618
Unearned discounts	(24,957 )	(0.2 )	(25,032 )	(21,651 )	(17,910 )	(20,287 )
Total	\$10,987,535	100.0	% \$9,515,700	\$9,223,848		