BankUnited, Inc. Form 10-K February 26, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Commission file number: 001-35039

BankUnited, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 27-0162450 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

14817 Oak Lane, Miami Lakes, FL 33016 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(305) 569-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes \circ No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No \acute{v}

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a "smaller reporting company."

Non-accelerated filer o

Large accelerated filer ý Accelerated filer o (Do not check if a Smaller reporting company o smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No ý The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2014 was \$3,320,080,057.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, as of February 24, 2015, was 102,182,306.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders are incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K in response to Part II. Item 5 and Part III. Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

Table of Contents

\mathbf{R}	NIK	TIN	ITED	, INC.
\mathbf{D}_{I}	ΔIND	JUIN.	Π \square \square	. IINC.

Form 10-K

For the Year Ended December 31, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>16</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	25 25 25 25
<u>Item 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>25</u>
PART II		
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of	<u>26</u>
	Equity Securities	<u>20</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Consolidated Financial Data	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>29</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>79</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>F-1</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	<u>84</u>
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	<u>84</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	Other Information	<u>84</u>
PART III		
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	<u>85</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder	<u>85</u>
	<u>Matters</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	<u>85</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>85</u>
PART IV		
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>86</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>89</u>
i		

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "believe," "seek," "estimate," "project," "predict," "will" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are based on management's current views with respect to future results, and are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements are based on beliefs and assumptions made by management using currently available information, such as market and industry materials, historical performance and current financial trends. These statements are only predictions and are not guarantees of future performance. The inclusion of forward-looking statements should not be regarded as a representation by the Company that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by a forward-looking statement will be achieved. Forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties and assumptions, including those relating to the Company's operations, financial results, financial condition, business prospects, growth strategy and liquidity. If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if the Company's underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, the Company's actual results could differ materially from those contemplated by a forward looking statement. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation:

The impact of conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally;

real estate market conditions and other risks related to holding loans secured by real estate or real estate received in satisfaction of loans;

an inability to successfully execute our fundamental growth strategy;

geographic concentration of the Company's markets in the coastal regions of Florida and the New York metropolitan area:

natural or man-made disasters;

risks related to the regulation of our industry;

eredit risk;

inadequate allowance for credit losses;

interest rate risk;

liquidity risk;

loss of executive officers or key personnel;

competition;

dependence on information technology and the risk of systems failures, interruptions or breaches of security; failure to comply with the terms of the Company's Loss Sharing Agreements (as defined below) with the FDIC (as defined below);

a variety of operational, compliance and legal risks; and

the selection and application of accounting methods and related assumptions and estimates.

Additional factors are set forth in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made. The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

As used herein, the terms the "Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to BankUnited, Inc. and its subsidiaries unless the context otherwise requires.

ii

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Summary

BankUnited, Inc. ("BankUnited, Inc." or "BKU") is a national bank holding company with one wholly-owned subsidiary, BankUnited, National Association ("BankUnited" or the "Bank"), collectively, the Company, BankUnited, a national banking association headquartered in Miami Lakes, Florida with \$19.1 billion of assets at December 31, 2014, provides a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers through 100 branches located in 15 Florida counties and 6 banking centers in the New York metropolitan area. The Company has built, through organic growth and acquisitions, a premier regional bank with a low-risk, long-term value oriented business model focused on small and medium sized businesses and consumers. We endeavor to provide personalized customer service and offer a full range of traditional banking products and services to both our commercial and retail customers. BankUnited, Inc. was organized by a management team led by our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, John A. Kanas and was initially capitalized with \$945.0 million by a group of investors. On May 21, 2009, BankUnited acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all other liabilities of BankUnited, FSB (the "Failed Bank"), from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), in a transaction which we refer to as the FSB Acquisition. On February 2, 2011, we completed the initial public offering of 33,350,000 shares of our common stock, 4,000,000 of which was sold by us, for which we received proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts and estimated offering expenses, of approximately \$98.6 million. We refer to this transaction as the IPO.

The FSB Acquisition

On May 21, 2009, BankUnited entered into a purchase and assumption agreement (the "Purchase and Assumption Agreement") with the FDIC, Receiver of the Failed Bank, to acquire substantially all of the assets and assume all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all other liabilities of the Failed Bank. Excluding the effects of acquisition accounting adjustments, BankUnited acquired \$13.6 billion of assets and assumed \$12.8 billion of liabilities. The fair value of the assets acquired was \$10.9 billion and the fair value of the liabilities assumed was \$13.1 billion. BankUnited received net cash consideration from the FDIC in the amount of \$2.2 billion.

The acquired assets included \$5.0 billion of loans with a corresponding unpaid principal balance ("UPB") of \$11.2 billion, a \$3.4 billion FDIC indemnification asset, \$539 million of investment securities, \$1.2 billion of cash and cash equivalents, \$178 million of foreclosed assets and \$591 million of other assets. Liabilities assumed included \$8.3 billion of non-brokered deposits, \$4.6 billion of Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances, and \$112 million of other liabilities.

Concurrently with the FSB Acquisition, the Bank entered into two loss sharing agreements, or the Loss Sharing Agreements, which cover certain legacy assets, including the entire legacy loan portfolio and other real estate owned ("OREO") and certain purchased investment securities. We refer to assets covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements as covered assets or, in certain cases, covered loans or covered securities. The Loss Sharing Agreements do not apply to subsequently acquired, purchased or originated assets. At December 31, 2014, the covered assets, consisting of residential loans and OREO, had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.1 billion. The total UPB of the covered assets at December 31, 2014 was \$2.7 billion.

The following charts illustrate the percentage of total assets represented by covered assets and the FDIC indemnification asset at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012:

Pursuant to the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements, the covered assets are subject to a stated loss threshold whereby the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80% of losses up to a \$4.0 billion stated threshold and 95% of losses in excess of the \$4.0 billion stated threshold, calculated, in each case, based on UPB (or, for investment securities, unamortized cost basis) plus certain interest and expenses. The carrying value of the FDIC indemnification asset at December 31, 2014 was \$975 million. The Bank will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to losses for which the FDIC paid the Bank a reimbursement under the Loss Sharing Agreements. The FDIC's obligation to reimburse the Company for losses with respect to the covered assets began with the first dollar of loss incurred. We have received reimbursements of \$2.6 billion for claims submitted to the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements as of December 31, 2014.

The Loss Sharing agreements consist of a single family shared-loss agreement (the "Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement"), and a commercial and other loans shared-loss agreement, (the "Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement"). The Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement provides for FDIC loss sharing and the Bank's reimbursement for recoveries to the FDIC for ten years from May 21, 2009 for single family residential loans. The Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement provides for FDIC loss sharing for five years from May 21, 2009 and the Bank's reimbursement for recoveries to the FDIC for eight years from May 21, 2009 for all other covered assets. Under the terms of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement with the FDIC, the Bank may sell up to 2.5% of the covered loans based on the UPB at the date of the FSB Acquisition, or approximately \$280.0 million, on an annual basis without prior consent of the FDIC. Any losses incurred from such loan sales are covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Any loan sale in excess of the annual threshold requires approval from the FDIC to be eligible for loss share coverage. However, if the Bank seeks to sell residential or non-residential loans in excess of the agreed 2.5% threshold in the nine months prior to the stated termination date of loss share coverage (May 21, 2014 for non-residential loans and May 21, 2019 for residential loans) and the FDIC refuses to consent, then the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement and the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement, as applicable, will be extended for two years after their respective anniversaries. The terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements are extended only with respect to the loans requested to be included in such sales. The Bank will have the right to sell all or any portion of such loans without FDIC consent at any time within the nine months prior to the respective extended termination dates, and any losses incurred will be covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements. If exercised, this final sale mechanism ensures no residual credit risk in our covered loan portfolio that would otherwise arise from credit losses occurring after the termination dates of the Loss Sharing Agreements.

With respect to the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement, FDIC loss sharing terminated on May 21, 2014. In accordance with the terms of the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement as discussed above, the Bank requested and received approval from the FDIC to sell certain covered commercial and consumer loans and commercial OREO in the first quarter of 2014. See the sections entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Results of Operations—Non-interest Income" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Results of Operations—Termination of the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement" for further discussion.

Our Market Areas

Our primary banking markets are Florida, in particular the Miami metropolitan statistical area, and the Tri-State market of New York, New Jersey and Connecticut. We believe both represent long-term attractive banking markets. Our competitive strengths, including experienced management and lending teams, a robust capital position and scalable platform, continue to allow us to take advantage of opportunities in both markets. Florida has shown signs of continued economic recovery, which we expect will enhance opportunities for growth in that market. According to estimates from the United States Census Bureau and SNL Financial, from 2010 to 2014, Florida added over 850 thousand new residents, the sixth most of any U.S. state, and, in 2014, had a total population of 19.7 million and a median household annual income of \$44,318. The Florida unemployment rate decreased to 5.6% at December 31, 2014. The Case-Shiller home price index for Florida reflected a year over year increase of 9% at September 30, 2014. At December 31, 2014, we had 100 branches throughout Florida.

Through the acquisition of Herald National Bank ("Herald"), we entered the Tri-State market in February, 2012. In March, 2013, Herald was merged into BankUnited and BankUnited launched its operations in the Tri-State market. We had six banking centers in metropolitan New York at December 31, 2014 including four in Manhattan, one in Long Island and one in Brooklyn. According to SNL Financial, at June 30, 2014, the Tri-State area had approximately \$1.6 trillion in deposits, with the majority of the market concentrated in the New York metropolitan area. The New York unemployment rate decreased to 5.8% at December 31, 2014. According to CoStar Commercial Repeat-Sale Indices, commercial real estate values in the Northeast region reflected a year over year increase of 10% at September 30, 2014. The size and economic health of the Tri-State market, coupled with the management team's experience in building a successful Northeast U.S. regional bank in the past, make us well positioned to continue our expansion and growth in this market.

Through three commercial finance subsidiaries of BankUnited, we engage in equipment and municipal finance on a national basis.

Products and Services

Lending and Leasing

General—Our primary lending focus is to serve commercial and middle-market businesses, their executives and consumers with a variety of financial products and services, while maintaining a strong and disciplined credit culture. We offer a full array of lending products that cater to our customers' needs including small business loans, commercial real estate loans, equipment loans and leases, term loans, formula-based loans, municipal loans and leases, commercial lines of credit, letters of credit, residential mortgages and consumer loans. We also purchase performing residential loans through established correspondent channels on a national basis. We do not originate or purchase negatively amortizing or sub-prime residential loans.

We have attracted and invested in experienced lending teams from competing institutions in our Florida, Tri-State and national markets, resulting in significant growth in our new loan portfolio. At December 31, 2014, our loan portfolio included \$11.3 billion in loans originated or purchased since the FSB Acquisition, or new loans, including \$8.7 billion in commercial and commercial real estate loans, \$2.5 billion in residential loans and \$26 million in consumer loans. A continued trend of strong loan growth in both the Florida and Tri-State markets and across our national lending and leasing platforms is a core component of our current business strategy.

Commercial loans and leasing—Our commercial loans, which are generally made to growing companies and middle-market businesses, include equipment loans, secured and unsecured commercial and working capital lines of credit, formula-based loans, mortgage warehouse lines, taxi medallion loans, letters of credit, an array of Small Business Administration product offerings and, to a lesser extent, acquisition finance credit facilities. Through three commercial finance subsidiaries, we provide municipal and equipment financing on a national basis. Pinnacle Public Finance, Inc. ("Pinnacle"), headquartered in Scottsdale, Arizona, offers essential use equipment financing to municipalities through both loan and direct finance lease structures. United Capital Business Lending, Inc. ("UCBL"), headquartered in Baltimore, Maryland, offers small business equipment leases and loans with a primary focus on franchise equipment finance. Bridge Capital Leasing, Inc ("Bridge"), headquartered in Baltimore, Maryland, primarily provides transportation equipment finance through loan, direct finance lease and operating lease structures.

Commercial real estate loans—We offer term financing for the acquisition or refinancing of properties, primarily rental apartments, mixed-use commercial properties, industrial properties, warehouses, retail shopping centers and free-standing buildings, office buildings and hotels. Other products that we provide include real estate secured lines of credit, acquisition, development and construction loan facilities and construction financing. We make commercial real estate loans secured by both

owner-occupied and non-owner occupied properties. Construction lending is not a primary area of focus for us; construction and land loans comprised less than 2% of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2014.

Residential mortgages—At December 31, 2014, the portfolio of new 1-4 single family residential loans included \$2.2 billion of purchased loans and \$311 million of originated loans. We originate loans for portfolio and for sale into the secondary market. We purchase loans to supplement our mortgage origination platform and to geographically diversify our loan portfolio. While the credit parameters we use for purchased loans are substantially similar to the underwriting guidelines we use for originated loans, differences include: (i) loans are purchased on a nationwide basis, while originated loans have historically been limited to Florida and New York; (ii) purchased loans and loans originated for portfolio, on average, have higher principal balances than loans originated for sale; and (iii) we consider payment history in selecting which seasoned loans to purchase, while such information is not available for originated loans. We intend to further expand our in-house residential mortgage origination channel. Additionally, we entered the mortgage servicing business in 2013; to date we have acquired two small servicing portfolios and have begun retaining servicing on residential loans sold into the secondary market. At December 31, 2014, the total amount of mortgage servicing rights was not significant. We anticipate growing this business at a moderate pace, depending on market conditions, to take advantage of existing mortgage servicing capacity.

Home equity loans and lines of credit are not a significant component of the new loan portfolio.

Consumer loans—We offer consumer loans to our customers for personal, family and household purposes, including auto, boat and personal installment loans. At December 31, 2014, consumer loans were not a material component of our loan portfolio.

Credit Policy and Procedures

The foundation underlying the Company's credit culture, policy and procedures is high credit quality standards, which enhance the long term value of the Company to its customers, employees, stockholders and communities. Credit quality is a key corporate objective that is managed in concert with other key objectives including volume growth, earnings and expense management.

Since lending represents risk exposure, our Board of Directors and its duly appointed committees seek to ensure that the Company maintains high credit quality standards. The Company has established asset oversight committees to administer the loan portfolio and monitor and manage credit risk. These committees include: (i) the Enterprise Risk Management Committee, (ii) the Credit Risk Management Committee and its Florida and New York regional subcommittees, (iii) the Asset Recovery Committee, and (iv) the Criticized Asset Committee. These committees meet at least quarterly.

The credit approval process provides for prompt and thorough underwriting and approval or decline of loan requests. The approval method used is a hierarchy of individual lending authorities for new credits and renewals. The Credit Risk Management Committee approves authorities for lending and credit personnel, which are ultimately submitted to our Board for ratification. Lending authorities are based on position, capability and experience of the individuals filling these positions. Authorities are periodically reviewed and updated.

BankUnited has established in-house borrower lending limits which are significantly lower than its legal lending limit of approximately \$260 million, at December 31, 2014. The in-house lending limit at December 31, 2014 was \$75 million based on total credit exposure of a borrower. This limit is reviewed periodically by the Credit Risk Management Committee and approved annually by the Board of Directors.

Deposits

We offer traditional deposit products including checking accounts, money market deposit accounts, savings accounts and certificates of deposit with a variety of terms and rates. Our deposits are insured by the FDIC up to statutory limits. Demand deposit balances are concentrated in commercial and small business accounts. Our service fee schedule and rates are competitive with other financial institutions in our markets.

Investment Securities

The primary objectives of our investment policy are to provide liquidity necessary for day-to-day operations, provide a suitable balance of high credit and diversified quality assets to the consolidated balance sheet, manage interest rate risk exposure, and generate acceptable returns given the Company's established risk parameters.

The investment policy is reviewed annually by our Board of Directors. Overall investment goals are established by our Board, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and members of the Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO"). The Board has delegated the responsibility of monitoring our investment activities to ALCO. Day-to-day activities pertaining to the

investment portfolio are conducted within the Company's Treasury division under the supervision of the Chief Financial Officer.

Risk Management and Oversight

Our Board of Directors oversees our risk management process, including the company-wide approach to risk management, carried out by our management. Our Board approves the Company's business plans and the policies that set standards for the nature and level of risk the Company is willing to assume. The Board receives reports on the Company's management of critical risks and the effectiveness of risk management systems. While our full Board maintains the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, its committees, including the audit and risk committee, the compensation committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee, oversee risk in certain specified areas.

Our Board has assigned responsibility to our Chief Risk Officer for maintaining a risk management framework to identify, manage and mitigate risks to the achievement of our strategic goals and objectives and ensure we operate in a safe and sound manner in accordance with the Board approved policies. We have invested significant resources to establish a robust enterprise-wide risk management framework to support the planned growth of our Company. Our framework is consistent with common industry practices and regulatory guidance and is appropriate to our size and the complexity of our business activities. Significant elements include ongoing identification and assessments of risk, executive management level risk committees to oversee compliance with the Board approved risk policies and adherence to risk limits, and ongoing testing and reporting by independent internal audit, credit review, and regulatory compliance groups. Executive level oversight of the risk management framework is provided by the Enterprise Risk Management Committee which is chaired by the Chief Risk Officer and attended by the senior executives of the Company. Reporting to the Enterprise Risk Management Committee are sub-committees dedicated to guiding and overseeing management of critical categories of risk, including the Credit Risk Management, Asset/Liability Management, Compliance Risk Management, Operational Risk Management, Corporate Disclosure, and Loss Share Compliance committees.

Marketing and Distribution

We conduct our banking business through 100 branches located in 15 Florida counties as well as 6 banking centers in the New York metropolitan area as of December 31, 2014. Our distribution network also includes 104 ATMs, fully integrated on-line banking, mobile banking and a telephone banking service. We target growing companies and commercial and middle-market businesses, as well as individual consumers.

In order to market our products, we use local television, radio, digital, print and direct mail advertising and provide sales incentives for our employees.

Competition

Our markets are highly competitive. Our markets contain not only a large number of community and regional banks, but also a significant presence of the country's largest commercial banks. We compete with other state, national and international financial institutions located in our market areas as well as savings associations, savings banks and credit unions for deposits and loans. In addition, we compete with financial intermediaries such as consumer finance companies, mortgage banking companies, insurance companies, securities firms, mutual funds and several government agencies as well as major retailers, all actively engaged in providing various types of loans and other financial services. Our largest banking competitors in the Florida market include Bank of America, BB&T, BBVA Compass, HSBC, JPMorgan Chase, Regions Bank, Santander, Sabadell, SunTrust Banks, TD Bank and Wells Fargo. In the Tri-State market, we also compete with, in addition to the national and international financial institutions listed, Capital One, Signature Bank, New York Community Bank, Valley National and M&T Bank and numerous community banks.

Interest rates on both loans and deposits and prices of fee-based services are significant competitive factors among financial institutions generally. Other important competitive factors include office location, office hours, quality of customer service, availability of on-line and remote banking products, community reputation, continuity of personnel and services, and, in the case of larger commercial customers, relative lending limits and ability to offer sophisticated cash management and other commercial banking services. While we continue to provide competitive interest rates on both depository and lending products, we believe that we can compete most successfully by focusing on the financial

needs of growing companies and their executives, consumers and commercial and middle-market businesses, and offering them a broad range of personalized services and sophisticated cash management tools tailored to their businesses.

Regulation and Supervision

The U.S. banking industry is highly regulated under federal and state law. These regulations affect the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Statutes, regulations and policies limit the activities in which we may engage and the conduct of our permitted activities and establish capital requirements with which we must comply. Further, the regulatory system imposes reporting and information collection obligations. We incur significant costs relating to compliance with these laws and regulations. Banking statutes, regulations and policies are continually under review by federal and state legislatures and regulatory agencies, and a change in them, including changes in how they are interpreted or implemented, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The material statutory and regulatory requirements that are applicable to us are summarized below. The description below is not intended to summarize all laws and regulations applicable to us.

Bank and Bank Holding Company Regulation

BankUnited is currently a national bank. As a national bank organized under the National Bank Act, BankUnited is subject to ongoing and comprehensive supervision, regulation, examination and enforcement by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC").

Any entity that directly or indirectly controls a bank must be approved by the Federal Reserve Board under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 ("BHC Act") to become a bank holding company ("BHC"). BHCs are subject to regulation, inspection, examination, supervision and enforcement by the Federal Reserve Board under the BHC Act. The Federal Reserve Board's jurisdiction also extends to any company that is directly or indirectly controlled by a BHC.

The Company, which controls BankUnited, is a BHC and, as such, is subject to ongoing and comprehensive supervision, regulation, examination and enforcement by the Federal Reserve Board.

History of the Company as a Regulated Entity

On May 21, 2009, we received approvals from the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") and FDIC for the organization of BankUnited as a federal savings association, for the Company to become a savings and loan holding company ("SLHC"), and for BankUnited to obtain federal deposit insurance.

Subsequently, on February 13, 2012, we received approval of the Federal Reserve Board to become a bank holding company in connection with the conversion of BankUnited from a federal savings association to a national bank and the acquisition of Herald by BankUnited, Inc. On February 14, 2012, we received approval of the OCC to convert BankUnited to a national bank. In connection with the conversion, BankUnited made certain commitments to the OCC regarding the business and capital plans of BankUnited, BankUnited, Inc. consummated these transactions on February 29, 2012, and became a BHC as of that date. In March 2013, Herald was merged into BankUnited.

FDIC Deposit Insurance

The FDIC is an independent federal agency that insures the deposits of federally insured depository institutions up to applicable limits. The FDIC also has certain regulatory, examination and enforcement powers with respect to FDIC-insured institutions. The deposits of BankUnited are insured by the FDIC up to applicable limits. As a general matter, the maximum deposit insurance amount is \$250,000 per depositor.

Broad Supervision, Examination and Enforcement Powers

A principal objective of the U.S. bank regulatory system is to protect depositors by ensuring the financial safety and soundness of banking organizations. To that end, the banking regulators have broad regulatory, examination and enforcement authority. The regulators regularly examine the operations of banking organizations. In addition, banking organizations are subject to periodic reporting requirements.

The regulators have various remedies available if they determine that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity or other aspects of a banking organization's operations are unsatisfactory. The regulators may also take action if they determine that the banking organization or its management is violating or has violated any law or regulation. The regulators have the power to, among other things: enjoin "unsafe or unsound" practices;

require affirmative actions to correct any violation or practice;

issue administrative orders that can be judicially enforced;

direct increases in capital;

direct the sale of subsidiaries or other assets;

4imit dividends and distributions;

restrict growth;

assess civil monetary penalties;

remove officers and directors; and

terminate deposit insurance.

The FDIC may terminate a depository institution's deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution's financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or has violated any applicable rule, regulation, order or condition enacted or imposed by the institution's regulatory agency. Engaging in unsafe or unsound practices or failing to comply with applicable laws, regulations and supervisory agreements could subject the Company, and subsidiaries of the Company or their officers, directors and institution-affiliated parties to the remedies described above and other sanctions.

The Dodd-Frank Act

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, or the Dodd-Frank Act, was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act is having a broad impact on the financial services industry, and imposes significant regulatory and compliance requirements, including the designation of certain financial companies as systemically important financial institutions ("SIFIs"), the changing roles of credit rating agencies, the imposition of increased capital, leverage, and liquidity requirements, and numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthen safety and soundness within, the financial services sector. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework of authority to conduct systemic risk oversight within the financial system to be distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council, or Council, the Federal Reserve Board, the OCC, and the FDIC.

The following items provide a brief description of certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that are most relevant to the Company and its banking subsidiaries.

Source of strength. The Dodd-Frank Act requires all companies, including BHCs, that directly or indirectly control an insured depository institution to serve as a source of strength for the institution. Under this requirement, the Company in the future could be required to provide financial assistance to BankUnited should it experience financial distress.

Limitation on federal preemption. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly reduces the ability of national banks to rely on federal preemption of state consumer financial laws. Although the OCC, as the primary regulator of national banks, will have the ability to make preemption determinations where certain conditions are met, the

• broad rollback of federal preemption has the potential to create a patchwork of federal and state compliance obligations. This could, in turn, result in significant new regulatory requirements applicable to BankUnited, with potentially significant changes in our operations and increases in our compliance costs. It could also result in uncertainty concerning compliance, with attendant regulatory and litigation risks.

Company-Run Stress Testing. Under Section 165(i) of the Dodd-Frank Act and the stress testing rules of the Federal Reserve Board and OCC, each bank holding company and national bank with more than \$10 billion and less than \$50 billion in total consolidated assets must annually conduct a company-run stress test to estimate the potential impact of three scenarios provided by the agencies on its regulatory capital ratios and certain other financial metrics. In 2015, the Company and the Bank will submit the results of their company-run stress test to the Federal Reserve Board and OCC by March 31 and will publish a public summary of the results between June 15 and June 30. Mortgage loan origination and risk retention. The Dodd-Frank Act contains additional regulatory requirements that may affect our operations and result in increased compliance costs. For example, the Dodd-Frank Act imposes new standards for mortgage loan originations on all lenders, including banking organizations, by requiring that lenders be able to substantiate they have made a good faith determination of a borrower's ability to repay a mortgage. The ability to repay requirement mandates specific factors that a lender must consider in evaluating a borrower's ability to repay. In 2013, federal regulators released the "qualified mortgage" rule. The qualified mortgage rule is intended to clarify

the application of the Dodd-Frank Act requirement that mortgage lenders have a reasonable belief that borrowers have the ability to repay their mortgages. For mortgages meeting the regulatory definition of qualified mortgages, lenders generally enjoy a safe harbor with respect to compliance with the ability to repay rules. Generally, to be considered qualified mortgages, loans must meet all requirements set forth in the ability to repay rules and have debt-to-income ratios and closing costs not exceeding specified levels. Any prepayment penalties must fall within defined constraints. Loans meeting the regulatory definition of higher priced loans, or those with balloon, negative amortization or interest-only features do not meet the definition of qualified mortgages. While lenders are permitted to originate mortgages that do not meet the definition of qualified mortgages, the burden of demonstrating compliance with the ability to repay rules with respect to such mortgages is greater, possibly impeding a lender's ability to foreclose on such mortgages.

In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act generally requires lenders or securitizers to retain an economic interest in the credit risk relating to loans the lender sells or mortgage and other asset-backed securities that the securitizer issues. On August 28, 2013, the OCC, the Federal Reserve Board, the FDIC, the SEC, the Federal Housing Finance Agency and the Department of Housing and Urban Development issued a proposed rule in connection with the risk retention requirement mandated by Section 941 of the Dodd-Frank Act. The risk retention requirement generally requires a securitizer to retain no less than 5 percent of the credit risk in assets it sells into a securitization and prohibits a securitizer from directly or indirectly hedging or otherwise transferring the credit risk that the securitizer is required to retain, subject to limited exemptions. One significant exemption is for securities entirely collateralized by "qualified residential mortgages" ("QRMs"), which are loans deemed to have a lower risk of default. The proposed rule defines QRMs to have the same meaning as the term "qualified mortgage," as defined by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"). In addition, the Proposed Rule provides for reduced risk retention requirements for qualifying commercial loan, commercial real estate loan and auto loan securitizations. On October 22, 2014, the same regulatory agencies adopted a final version of the rule, retaining the same general substantive risk retention framework as the proposed rule.

Expanded FDIC resolution authority. While insured depository institutions have long been subject to the FDIC's resolution process, the Dodd-Frank Act creates a new mechanism for the FDIC to conduct the orderly liquidation of certain "covered financial companies," including bank and thrift holding companies and systemically significant non-bank financial companies. Upon certain findings being made, the FDIC may be appointed receiver for a covered financial company, and would conduct an orderly liquidation of the entity. The FDIC liquidation process is modeled on the existing Federal Deposit Insurance Act, or FDIA bank resolution process, and generally gives the FDIC more discretion than in the traditional bankruptcy context. The FDIC has issued final rules implementing the orderly liquidation authority.

CFPB. The Dodd-Frank Act created a new independent CFPB within the Federal Reserve Board. The CFPB is tasked with establishing and implementing rules and regulations under certain federal consumer protection laws with respect to the conduct of providers of certain consumer financial products and services. The CFPB has rulemaking authority over many of the statutes governing products and services offered to bank and thrift consumers. For banking organizations with assets of \$10 billion or more, the CFPB has exclusive rule making and examination, and primary enforcement authority under federal consumer financial law. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and regulations that are stricter than those regulations promulgated by the CFPB. Compliance with any such new regulations would increase our cost of operations and could necessitate changes to certain of our business practices.

Deposit insurance. The Dodd-Frank Act made permanent the general \$250,000 deposit insurance limit for insured deposits. Amendments to the FDIA also revised the assessment base against which an insured depository institution's deposit insurance premiums paid to the deposit insurance fund, or DIF, of the FDIC are calculated. Under the amendments, the assessment base is no longer the institution's deposit base, but rather its average consolidated total assets less its average tangible equity. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act made changes to the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15 percent to 1.35 percent of the estimated amount of total insured deposits, and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds.

Transactions with affiliates and insiders. The Dodd-Frank Act generally enhanced the restrictions on transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of "covered transactions" and clarification regarding the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered credit transactions must be satisfied. Insider transaction limitations are expanded through the strengthening of loan restrictions to insiders and the expansion of the types of transactions subject to the various limits, including derivatives transactions, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements and securities lending or borrowing transactions.

Restrictions are also placed on certain asset sales to and from an insider to an institution, including requirements that such sales be on market terms and, in certain circumstances, approved by the institution's board of directors. Enhanced lending limits. The Dodd-Frank Act strengthens the existing limits on a depository institution's credit exposure to one borrower. The OCC has published a final rule amending its existing lending limits to incorporate changes made by the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act and the final rule amend the OCC's lending limit regulation to include credit exposures arising from derivative transactions and repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements, securities lending transactions, and securities borrowing transactions. The final rule exempts certain types of transactions, and outlines the methods that banks can choose from to measure credit exposures of derivative transactions and securities financing transactions. In most cases, a bank may choose which method it will use; the OCC, however, may specify that a bank use a particular method for safety and soundness reasons. Corporate governance. The Dodd-Frank Act addresses many investor protection, corporate governance and executive compensation matters that will affect most U.S. publicly traded companies, including the Company. The Dodd-Frank Act (1) grants stockholders of U.S. publicly traded companies an advisory vote on executive compensation; (2) enhances independence requirements for compensation committee members; (3) requires companies listed on national securities exchanges to adopt incentive-based compensation clawback policies for executive officers; and (4) provides the SEC with authority to adopt proxy access rules that would allow stockholders of publicly traded companies to nominate candidates for election as a director and have those nominees included in a company's proxy materials.

The requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act are in the process of being implemented over time and most will be subject to regulations implemented over the course of several years. Given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented by the various regulatory agencies and through regulations, the full extent of the impact such requirements will have on our operations is unclear. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the profitability of our business activities, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make any changes necessary to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with the new requirements may negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. The Volcker Rule

On December 10, 2013, five U.S. financial regulators, including the Federal Reserve Board and the OCC, adopted a final rule implementing the so-called "Volcker Rule." The Volcker Rule was created by Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act and generally prohibits "banking entities" from engaging in "proprietary trading" and making investments and conducting certain other activities with "private equity funds and hedge funds."

Although the final rule provides some tiering of compliance and reporting obligations based on size, the fundamental prohibitions of the Volcker Rule apply to banking entities of any size, including the Company and BankUnited. Banking entities with total assets of \$10 billion or more, such as the Company and BankUnited, that engage in activities subject to the Volcker Rule will be required to establish a six-element compliance program to address the prohibitions of, and exemptions from, the Volcker Rule. The final rule became effective April 1, 2014; however, at the time the agencies released the final Volcker Rule, the Federal Reserve Board announced an extension of the conformance period for all banking entities until July 21, 2015. On December 18, 2014, the Federal Reserve Board granted an additional one year extension to July 21, 2016, for certain "legacy covered fund" investments and relationships entered into by banking entities prior to December 31, 2013. The Federal Reserve Board also indicated that it planned to grant an additional one year extension to July 21, 2017, at a later date.

In response to industry questions regarding the final Volcker Rule, the OCC, Federal Reserve Board, the FDIC, the SEC, and the CFTC issued a clarifying interim final rule on January 14, 2014, permitting banking entities to retain interests in certain collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") backed by trust preferred securities if the CDO meets certain requirements.

Notice and Approval Requirements Related to Control

Banking laws impose notice, approval, and ongoing regulatory requirements on any stockholder or other party that seeks to acquire direct or indirect "control" of an FDIC-insured depository institution. These laws include the BHC

Act, the Change in Bank Control Act, and the Savings and Loan Holding Company Act. Among other things, these laws require regulatory filings by a stockholder or other party that seeks to acquire direct or indirect "control" of an FDIC-insured depository institution. The determination of whether an investor "controls" a depository institution is based on all of the facts and circumstances surrounding the investment. As a general matter, a party is deemed to control a depository institution or other company if the

party owns or controls 25% or more of any class of voting stock. Subject to rebuttal, a party may be presumed to control a depository institution or other company if the investor owns or controls 10% or more of any class of voting stock. Ownership by affiliated parties, or parties acting in concert, is typically aggregated for these purposes. If a party's ownership of the Company were to exceed certain thresholds, the investor could be deemed to "control" the Company for regulatory purposes. This could subject the investor to regulatory filings or other regulatory consequences.

In addition, except under limited circumstances, BHCs are prohibited from acquiring, without prior approval: \bullet ontrol of any other bank or BHC or all or substantially all the assets thereof; or

more than 5% of the voting shares of a bank or BHC which is not already a subsidiary.

Permissible Activities and Investments

Banking laws generally restrict the ability of the Company from engaging in activities other than those determined by the Federal Reserve Board to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Modernization Act of 1999, or "GLB Act," expanded the scope of permissible activities for a BHC that qualifies as a financial holding company. Under the regulations implementing the GLB Act, a financial holding company may engage in additional activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to a financial activity. Those activities include, among other activities, certain insurance and securities activities. Qualifications for becoming a financial holding company include, among other things, meeting certain specified capital standards and achieving certain management ratings in examinations. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, BHCs and their subsidiaries must be well-capitalized and well-managed in order for the BHC and its nonbank affiliates to engage in the expanded financial activities permissible only for a financial holding company. In addition, as a general matter, the establishment or acquisition by the Company of a depository institution or, in certain cases, a non-bank entity, requires prior regulatory approval.

Regulatory Capital Requirements and Capital Adequacy

The federal bank regulators view capital levels as important indicators of an institution's financial soundness. As a general matter, FDIC-insured depository institutions and their holding companies are required to maintain minimum capital relative to the amount and types of assets they hold. The final supervisory determination on an institution's capital adequacy is based on the regulator's assessment of numerous factors. Both the Company and BankUnited are subject to regulatory capital requirements.

The Federal Reserve Board has established risk-based and leverage capital guidelines for BHCs, including the Company. The OCC has established substantially similar risk-based and leverage capital guidelines applicable to national banks, including BankUnited. The risk-based capital guidelines in place as of December 31, 2014, commonly referred to as Basel I, were based upon the 1988 capital accord of the International Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ("Basel Committee"), a committee of central banks and bank supervisors, as implemented by the U.S. federal banking agencies. The federal banking agencies subsequently adopted separate risk-based capital guidelines for so-called "core banks" based upon the Revised Framework for the International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards ("Basel II") issued by the Basel Committee in November 2005, and most recently are in the process of implementing the revised framework referred to as "Basel III."

Basel I

The risk-based capital standards are designed to make regulatory capital more sensitive to differences in credit and market risk profiles among banks and BHCs and to account for off-balance sheet exposures. Assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. Under the Basel I-based guidelines, the minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet items such as standby letters of credit) was eight percent. At least half of total capital was required to be composed of tier 1 capital, which included common stockholders' equity (including retained earnings), qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and, for BHCs only, a limited amount of qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock and a limited amount of trust preferred securities, and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less goodwill, other disallowed intangibles, and disallowed deferred tax assets, among other items. The Federal Reserve Board also adopted a minimum leverage ratio for BHCs, requiring tier 1 capital of at least three percent of average quarterly total consolidated assets (as defined for regulatory purposes), net of goodwill and certain other intangible assets.

The federal banking agencies also established risk-based and leverage capital guidelines that FDIC-insured depository institutions were required to meet. These regulations were generally similar to those established by the Federal Reserve Board for bank holding companies.

Basel II

Under the final U.S. Basel II rules issued by the federal banking agencies, there were a small number of "core" banking organizations required to use the advanced approaches under Basel II for calculating risk-based capital related to credit risk and operational risk, instead of the methodology reflected in the regulations effective prior to adoption of Basel II. The rules also required core banking organizations to have rigorous processes for assessing overall capital adequacy in relation to their total risk profiles, and to publicly disclose certain information about their risk profiles and capital adequacy. The Company and BankUnited were not among the core banking organizations required to use Basel II advanced approaches.

Basel III

On December 16, 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, known as Basel III. The Basel III calibration and phase-in arrangements were subject to individual adoption by member nations, including the United States. Under these standards, when fully phased-in on January 1, 2019, banking institutions will be required to satisfy three risk-based capital ratios:

- A common equity tier 1 ratio of at least 7.0%, inclusive of 4.5% minimum common equity tier 1 ratio, net of regulatory deductions, and the new 2.5% "capital conservation buffer", of common equity to risk-weighted assets;
- (ii) A tier 1 capital ratio of at least 8.5%, inclusive of the 2.5% capital conservation buffer; and
- (iii) A total capital ratio of at least 10.5%, inclusive of the 2.5% capital conservation buffer.

The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a common equity tier 1 ratio above the minimum but below the conservation buffer may face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases, and compensation based on the amount of such shortfall. The Basel Committee also announced that a "countercyclical buffer" of 0% to 2.5% of common equity or other loss-absorbing capital "will be implemented according to national circumstances" as an "extension" of the conservation buffer during periods of excess credit growth. The countercyclical buffer would not apply to the Company or BankUnited.

Basel I and Basel II did not include a leverage requirement as an international standard. However, Basel III includes a non-risk adjusted tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%, based on a measure of total exposure rather than total assets and new liquidity standards.

On November 4, 2011 the Basel Committee issued its final rule setting forth proposals to apply a new common equity tier 1 surcharge to certain designated global systemically important banks ("GSIBs"). On November 1, 2012, using the Basel Committee's methodology, the Financial Stability Board and the Basel Committee identified 28 financial institutions determined to be GSIBs. The group of GSIBs is updated annually and published by the Financial Stability Board each November. The Company has not been designated as a GSIB.

U.S. Implementation of Basel III

In July 2013, the federal banking agencies published final rules (the "Basel III Capital Rules") that revised their risk-based and leverage capital requirements and their method for calculating risk-weighted assets to implement, in part, agreements reached by the Basel Committee and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. While some provisions are tailored to larger institutions, the Basel III Capital Rules generally apply to all banking organizations, including the Company and BankUnited.

Among other things, the Basel III Capital Rules: (i) introduce a new capital measure entitled "Common Equity Tier 1" ("CET1"); (ii) specify that tier 1 capital consist of CET1 and additional instruments satisfying specified requirements that permit inclusion in tier 1 capital; (iii) define CET1 narrowly by requiring that most deductions or adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital; and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions or adjustments from capital as compared to the existing regulations.

Under the Basel III Capital Rules, banking organizations that do not meet the definition of an advanced approaches institution are provided a one-time option in their initial regulatory financial report filed after January 1, 2015 to remove certain components of accumulated other comprehensive income from the computation of common equity regulatory capital.

The Basel III Capital Rules also provide a permanent exemption from the proposed phase out of existing trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred stock from regulatory capital for banking organizations with less than \$15 billion in total assets, while also implementing stricter eligibility requirements for regulatory capital

instruments that should serve to disallow the inclusion of all non-exempt issuances of trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred stock from tier 1 capital. The Basel III Capital Rules also provide additional constraints on the inclusion of minority interests, mortgage

servicing assets, deferred tax assets and certain investments in the capital of unconsolidated financial institutions in tier 1 capital, as well as applying stricter risk weighting rules to these assets.

The Basel III Capital Rules provide for the following minimum capital to risk-weighted assets ratios:

- (i)4.5% based upon CET1;
- (ii) 6.0% based upon tier 1 capital; and
- (iii) 8.0% based upon total regulatory capital.

A minimum leverage ratio (tier 1 capital as a percentage of average total assets) of 4.0% is also required under the Basel III Capital Rules. The Basel III Capital Rules additionally require institutions to retain a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% above these required minimum capital ratio levels, to be phased in at annual increments of 0.625% beginning in 2016. Banking organizations that fail to maintain the minimum required capital conservation buffer could face restrictions on capital distributions or discretionary bonus payments to executive officers, with distributions and discretionary bonus payments being completely prohibited if no capital conservation buffer exists, or in the event of the following: (i) the banking organization's capital conservation buffer was below 2.5% (or the minimum amount required) at the beginning of a quarter; and (ii) its cumulative net income for the most recent quarterly period plus the preceding four calendar quarters is less than its cumulative capital distributions (as well as associated tax effects not already reflected in net income) during the same measurement period.

The Basel III Capital Rules also provide stricter rules related to the risk weighting of past due and certain commercial real estate loans, as well as on some equity investment exposures, and replace the existing credit rating approach for determining the risk weighting of securitization exposures with an alternative approach.

Finally, the Basel III Capital Rules amend the thresholds under the "prompt corrective action" framework enforced with respect to the Bank by the OCC to reflect both (i) the generally heightened requirements for regulatory capital ratios as well as (ii) the introduction of the CET1 capital measure.

The enactment of the Basel III Capital Rules could increase the required capital levels of the Company and BankUnited. The Basel III Capital Rules became effective as applied to the Company and BankUnited on January 1, 2015, with a phase in period from January 1, 2015 through January 1, 2019. The Company is incorporating the Basel III Capital Rules into its company-run stress tests beginning with the 2014-2015 stress-test cycle.

Liquidity Coverage Ratio

The Basel III Capital Rules adopted in July 2013 did not address the proposed liquidity coverage ratio ("LCR") called for by the Basel Committee's Basel III framework. On October 24, 2013, the Federal Reserve Board issued a proposed rule implementing a LCR requirement in the United States for larger banking organizations. On September 3, 2014, the Federal Reserve Board finalized the rule implementing a LCR requirement in a form largely identical to the proposed rule. Neither the Company nor BankUnited are subject to the LCR requirement.

Dodd-Frank Act Capital Changes

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve Board may increase the capital buffer for SIFIs. The purpose of these new capital requirements is to ensure financial institutions are better capitalized to withstand periods of unfavorable financial and economic conditions. The Dodd-Frank Act also requires the establishment of more stringent prudential standards for SIFIs, which include requiring the federal banking agencies to adopt capital and liquidity requirements which address the risks that the activities of an institution pose to the institution and the public and private stakeholders, including risks arising from certain enumerated activities.

The Company cannot determine the ultimate effect that additional potential future legislation, or subsequent additional regulations, if enacted, would have upon the Company's earnings or financial position.

Prompt Corrective Action

Under the FDIA, the federal bank regulatory agencies must take "prompt corrective action" against undercapitalized U.S. depository institutions. U.S. depository institutions are assigned one of five capital categories: "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized," and are subjected to differential regulation corresponding to the capital category within which the institution falls. As of December 31, 2014, a depository institution was deemed to be "well capitalized" if the banking institution had a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.0% or greater, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or greater, and a leverage ratio of 5.0% or greater, and the institution was not subject to an order, written agreement, capital directive, or prompt corrective action directive to meet and maintain a specific level for any capital measure. Under certain circumstances, a well capitalized, adequately capitalized or undercapitalized institution may be treated as if the institution were in the next lower capital category. A banking institution that is undercapitalized is required to submit a capital restoration plan. Failure to meet capital guidelines could subject the institution to a variety of enforcement remedies by federal bank regulatory agencies, including: termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, restrictions on certain business activities, and appointment of the FDIC as conservator or receiver. As of December 31, 2014, the Company and BankUnited were well capitalized.

As noted above, as of January 1, 2015, the Basel III Capital Rules amend the thresholds under the "prompt corrective action" framework enforced with respect to the Bank by the OCC to reflect both (i) the generally heightened requirements for regulatory capital ratios as well as (ii) the introduction of the CET1 capital measure. Regulatory Limits on Dividends and Distributions

Federal law currently imposes limitations upon certain capital distributions by national banks, such as certain cash dividends, payments to repurchase or otherwise acquire its shares, payments to stockholders of another institution in a cash-out merger and other distributions charged against capital. The Federal Reserve Board and OCC regulate all capital distributions by BankUnited directly or indirectly to the Company, including dividend payments.

BankUnited may not pay dividends to the Company if, after paying those dividends, it would fail to meet the required minimum levels under risk-based capital guidelines and the minimum leverage capital ratio requirements, or in the event the OCC notified BankUnited that it was in need of more than normal supervision. Under the FDIA, an insured depository institution such as BankUnited is prohibited from making capital distributions, including the payment of dividends, if, after making such distribution, the institution would become "undercapitalized." Payment of dividends by BankUnited also may be restricted at any time at the discretion of the appropriate regulator if it deems the payment to constitute an unsafe and unsound banking practice.

In addition, BankUnited is subject to supervisory limits on its ability to declare or pay a dividend or reduce its capital unless certain conditions are satisfied.

Reserve Requirements

Pursuant to regulations of the Federal Reserve Board, all banking organizations are required to maintain average daily reserves at mandated ratios against their transaction accounts. In addition, reserves must be maintained on certain non-personal time deposits. These reserves must be maintained in the form of vault cash or in an account at a Federal Reserve Bank.

Limits on Transactions with Affiliates and Insiders

Insured depository institutions are subject to restrictions on their ability to conduct transactions with affiliates and other related parties. Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act imposes quantitative limits, qualitative requirements, and collateral requirements on certain transactions by an insured depository institution with, or for the benefit of, its affiliates. Transactions covered by Section 23A include loans, extensions of credit, investment in securities issued by an affiliate, and acquisitions of assets from an affiliate. Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act requires that most types of transactions by an insured depository institution with, or for the benefit of, an affiliate be on terms at least as favorable to the insured depository institution as if the transaction were conducted with an unaffiliated third party. As noted above, the Dodd-Frank Act generally enhances the restrictions on transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of "covered transactions" and a clarification regarding the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered credit transactions must be satisfied. The ability of the Federal Reserve Board to grant exemptions from these restrictions is

also narrowed by the Dodd-Frank Act, including by requiring coordination with other bank regulators.

The Federal Reserve Board's Regulation O and OCC regulations impose restrictions and procedural requirements in connection with the extension of credit by an insured depository institution to directors, executive officers, principal stockholders and their related interests.

Examination Fees

The OCC currently charges fees to recover the costs of examining national banks, processing applications and other filings, and covering direct and indirect expenses in regulating national banks. The Dodd-Frank Act provides various agencies with the authority to assess additional supervision fees.

Deposit Insurance Assessments

FDIC-insured depository institutions are required to pay deposit insurance assessments to the FDIC. The amount of a particular institution's deposit insurance assessment is based on that institution's risk classification under an FDIC risk-based assessment system. An institution's risk classification is assigned based on its capital levels and the level of supervisory concern the institution poses to the regulators. Deposit insurance assessments fund the DIF. As noted above, the Dodd-Frank Act changed the way an insured depository institution's deposit insurance premiums are calculated. Future changes to our risk classification or to the method for calculating premiums generally may impact assessment rates, which could impact the profitability of our operations.

Depositor Preference

The FDIA provides that, in the event of the "liquidation or other resolution" of an insured depository institution, the claims of depositors of the institution (including the claims of the FDIC as subrogee of insured depositors) and certain claims for administrative expenses of the FDIC as a receiver will have priority over other general unsecured claims against the institution. If we invest in or acquire an insured depository institution that fails, insured and uninsured depositors, along with the FDIC, will have priority in payment ahead of unsecured, non-deposit creditors, including us, with respect to any extensions of credit they have made to such insured depository institution.

Federal Reserve System and Federal Home Loan Bank System

As a national bank, BankUnited is required to hold shares of capital stock in a Federal Reserve Bank. BankUnited holds capital stock in the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. As a member of the Federal Reserve System, BankUnited has access to the Federal Reserve discount window lending and payment clearing systems.

BankUnited is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta. Each FHLB provides a central credit facility primarily for its member institutions as well as other entities involved in home mortgage lending. Any advances from a FHLB must be secured by specified types of collateral, and BankUnited may only obtain advances for the purpose of providing funds for residential housing finance. As a member of the FHLB, BankUnited is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the FHLB of Atlanta. BankUnited is in compliance with this requirement.

Anti-Money Laundering and OFAC

Under federal law, financial institutions must maintain anti-money laundering programs that include established internal policies, procedures, and controls; a designated compliance officer; an ongoing employee training program; and testing of the program by an independent audit function. Financial institutions are also prohibited from entering into specified financial transactions and account relationships and must meet enhanced standards for due diligence and customer identification in their dealings with non-U.S. financial institutions and non-U.S. customers. Financial institutions must take reasonable steps to conduct enhanced scrutiny of account relationships to guard against money laundering and to report any suspicious transactions, and law enforcement authorities have been granted increased access to financial information maintained by financial institutions. Bank regulators routinely examine institutions for compliance with these obligations and they must consider an institution's compliance in connection with the regulatory review of applications, including applications for banking mergers and acquisitions. The regulatory authorities have imposed "cease and desist" orders and civil money penalty sanctions against institutions found to be violating these obligations.

The U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control, or "OFAC," is responsible for helping to insure that U.S. entities do not engage in transactions with certain prohibited parties, as defined by various Executive Orders and Acts of Congress. OFAC publishes lists of persons, organizations, and countries suspected of aiding, harboring or engaging in terrorist acts, known as Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons. If the Company or BankUnited finds a name on any transaction, account or wire transfer that is on an OFAC list, the

Company or BankUnited must freeze or block such account or transaction, file a suspicious activity report and notify the appropriate authorities.

Consumer Laws and Regulations

Banking organizations are subject to numerous laws and regulations intended to protect consumers. These laws include, among others:

•Truth in Lending Act;

Truth in Savings Act;

Electronic Funds Transfer Act;

Expedited Funds Availability Act;

Equal Credit Opportunity Act;

Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act;

Fair Housing Act;

Fair Credit Reporting Act;

Fair Debt Collection Act;

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act;

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act;

Right to Financial Privacy Act;

Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act;

laws regarding unfair and deceptive acts and practices; and

usury laws.

Many states and local jurisdictions have consumer protection laws analogous, and in addition to, those listed above. These federal, state and local laws regulate the manner in which financial institutions deal with customers when taking deposits, making loans, or conducting other types of transactions. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations could give rise to regulatory sanctions, customer rescission rights, action by state and local attorneys general, and civil or criminal liability. The creation of the CFPB by the Dodd-Frank Act has led to enhanced enforcement of consumer financial protection laws.

The Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act, or "CRA," is intended to encourage banks to help meet the credit needs of their service areas, including low and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operations. The bank regulators examine and assign each bank a public CRA rating.

The CRA then requires bank regulators to take into account the federal banking bank's record in meeting the needs of its service area when considering an application by a bank to establish or relocate a branch or to conduct certain mergers or acquisitions. The Federal Reserve Board is required to consider the CRA records of a BHC's controlled banks when considering an application by the BHC to acquire a banking organization or to merge with another BHC. When the Company or BankUnited applies for regulatory approval to make certain investments, the regulators will consider the CRA record of target institutions and the Company's depository institution subsidiaries. An unsatisfactory CRA record could substantially delay approval or result in denial of an application. The regulatory agency's assessment of the institution's record is made available to the public. Following its most recent CRA examination in October 2012, BankUnited received an overall rating of "Satisfactory."

Changes in Laws, Regulations or Policies

Federal, state and local legislators and regulators regularly introduce measures or take actions that would modify the regulatory requirements applicable to banks, their holding companies and other financial institutions. Changes in laws, regulations or regulatory policies could adversely affect the operating environment for the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways, increase our cost of doing business, impose new restrictions on the way in which we conduct our operations or add significant operational constraints that might impair our profitability. We cannot predict whether new legislation will be enacted and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any implementing regulations, would have on our business,

financial condition or results of operations. The Dodd-Frank Act is in the process of imposing substantial changes to the regulatory framework applicable to us and our subsidiaries. The majority of these changes will be implemented over time by various regulatory agencies. The full effect that these changes will have on us remains uncertain at this time and may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

At December 31, 2014, we employed 1,569 full-time employees and 78 part-time employees. None of our employees are parties to a collective bargaining agreement. We believe that our relations with our employees are good. Available Information

Our website address is www.bankunited.com. Our electronic filings with the SEC (including all Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and if applicable, amendments to those reports) are available free of charge on the website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. The information posted on our website is not incorporated into this Annual Report. In addition, the SEC maintains a website that contains reports and other information filed with the SEC. The website can be accessed at http://www.sec.gov.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business may be adversely affected by conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally. Markets and economic conditions in the United States have generally improved from the economic downturn at the end of the last decade. Although real estate prices, unemployment rates and other economic indicators have improved, they have yet to return to pre-downturn levels. The potential for economic disruption continues and there can be no assurance that economic conditions will continue to improve. A slowing of improvement or a return to deteriorating business or economic conditions generally, or more specifically in the principal markets in which we do business, could have one or more of the following adverse effects on our business, financial condition and results of operations:

- A decrease in demand for our loan and deposit products;
- An increase in delinquencies and defaults by borrowers or counterparties;
- A decrease in the value of our assets;
- A decrease in earnings; and
- A decrease in our ability to access the capital markets.

Our enterprise risk management framework may not be effective in mitigating the risks to which we are subject, or in reducing the potential for losses in connection with such risks.

Our enterprise risk management framework is designed to minimize or mitigate the risks to which we are subject, as well as any losses stemming from such risks. Although we seek to identify, measure, monitor, report, and control our exposure to such risks, and employ a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and mitigation techniques in the process, those techniques are inherently limited in their ability to anticipate the existence or development of risks that are currently unknown and unanticipated. The ineffectiveness of our enterprise risk management framework in mitigating the impact of known risks or the emergence of previously unknown or unanticipated risks may result in our incurring losses in the future that could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our business is highly susceptible to credit risk on our non-covered assets.

As a lender, we are exposed to the risk that our customers will be unable to repay their loans according to their terms and that the collateral securing the payment of their loans, if any, may be insufficient to assure repayment. Credit losses are inherent in the business of making loans. To a lesser extent, we have credit risk embedded in our securities portfolio. Our credit standards, procedures and policies may not prevent us from incurring substantial credit losses, particularly if economic or market conditions deteriorate. It is difficult to determine the many ways in which a decline in economic or market conditions may impact the credit quality of our assets. The Loss Sharing Agreements only cover certain legacy assets, and credit losses on assets not covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Our allowance for loan and lease losses may not be adequate to cover actual credit losses.

We maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") that represents management's estimate of probable losses inherent in our credit portfolio. This estimate requires management to make significant assumptions and involves a high degree of judgment, particularly as our new loan portfolio has not yet developed an observable loss trend. Management considers numerous factors in determining the amount of the ALLL, including, but not limited to, internal risk ratings, loss forecasts, collateral values, delinquency rates, historical loss severities, the level of non-performing and restructured loans in the loan portfolio, product mix, underwriting practices, credit administration, portfolio trends, industry conditions, economic trends and net charge-off trends. The effects of any decreases in expected cash flows on covered loans are also considered in the establishment of the allowance for credit losses. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect, our current allowance may be insufficient and we may be required to increase our ALLL. In addition, regulatory authorities periodically review our ALLL and may require us to increase our provision for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of our management. Adverse economic conditions could make management's estimate even more complex and difficult to determine. Any increase in our ALLL will result in a decrease in net income and capital and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. See Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Analysis of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses."

Our business is susceptible to interest rate risk.

Our business and financial performance are impacted by market interest rates and movements in those rates. Since a high percentage of our assets and liabilities are interest bearing, changes in rates, in the shape of the yield curve or in spreads between different types of rates can have a material impact on our results of operations and the values of our assets and liabilities. Changes in the value of investment securities available for sale directly impact equity through adjustments of accumulated other comprehensive income. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors over which we have no control and which we may not be able to anticipate adequately, including general economic conditions and the monetary and tax policies of various governmental bodies, particularly the Federal Reserve Board. Our earnings and cash flows depend to a great extent upon the level of our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on loans, investments and other interest earning assets, and the interest we pay on interest bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. The current low level of market interest rates limits our ability to add higher yielding assets to the balance sheet. If this prolonged period of low rates continues beyond current forecasts, it may exacerbate downward pressure on our net interest margin and have a negative impact on our net interest income in the future. Changes in interest rates can increase or decrease our net interest income, because different types of assets and liabilities may react differently, and at different times, to market interest rate changes. When interest bearing liabilities mature or reprice more quickly than interest earning assets in a period of rising rates, an increase in interest rates could reduce net interest income. Similarly, when interest earning assets mature or reprice more quickly than interest bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could reduce net interest income. Additionally, an increase in interest rates may, among other things, reduce the demand for loans and our deposit products, decrease loan repayment rates and negatively affect borrowers' ability to meet their obligations. A decrease in the general level of interest rates may affect us through, among other things, increased prepayments on our loan and mortgage-backed securities portfolios and increased competition for deposits.

We attempt to manage interest rate risk by adjusting the rates, maturity, repricing, and balances of the different types of interest-earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and through the use of hedging instruments; however, interest rate risk management techniques are not precise, and we may not be able to successfully manage our interest rate risk. Our ability to manage interest rate risk could be negatively impacted by longer fixed rate terms on real estate loans being added to our portfolio. A rapid or unanticipated increase or decrease in interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve or in spreads between rates could have an adverse effect on our net interest margin and results of operations.

Ineffective liquidity management could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Effective liquidity management is essential for the operation of our business. We require sufficient liquidity to meet customer loan requests, customer deposit maturities and withdrawals and other cash commitments under both normal operating conditions and under unpredictable circumstances causing industry or general financial market stress. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities on terms that are acceptable to us could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry or economy generally. Factors that could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources include a downturn in economic conditions in the geographic markets in which our operations are concentrated or in the financial or credit markets in general. Our access to liquidity in the form of deposits may also be affected

by the liquidity needs of our depositors. A substantial portion of our liabilities consist of deposit accounts that are payable on demand or upon several days' notice, while by comparison, the majority of our assets are loans, which cannot be called or sold in the same time frame. Although we have historically been able to replace maturing deposits and advances as necessary, we might not be able to replace such funds in the future. A failure to maintain adequate liquidity could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

We may not be successful in executing our fundamental growth strategy.

Growth of our business, whether organic or through acquisitions, is an essential component of our business strategy. Commercial and consumer banking in our primary markets is highly competitive. There is no guarantee that we will be able to successfully execute our organic growth strategy in these markets.

We also compete with other financial institutions for acquisition opportunities and there are a limited number of candidates that meet our acquisition criteria. Consequently, we may not be able to identify suitable candidates for acquisitions. If we are unable to locate suitable acquisition candidates willing to sell on terms acceptable to us, we will not be able to execute a strategy of growth by acquisition.

If we do identify suitable candidates and succeed in consummating future acquisitions, acquisitions involve risks that the acquired businesses may not achieve anticipated revenue, earnings or cash flows. There may also be unforeseen liabilities relating to the acquired businesses or arising out of the acquisitions, asset quality problems of the acquired entities, difficulty operating in markets in which we have had no or only limited experience and other conditions not within our control, such as adverse personnel relations, loss of customers because of change in identity, and deterioration in local economic conditions.

In addition, the process of integrating acquired entities will divert significant management time and resources. We may not be able to integrate successfully or operate profitably any financial institutions or complementary businesses we may acquire. We may experience disruption and incur unexpected expenses in integrating acquisitions. Any acquisitions we do make may not enhance our cash flows, business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects and may have an adverse effect on our results of operations, particularly during periods in which the acquisitions are being integrated into our operations.

Lastly, our growth plans are dependent on the availability of capital and funding. Our ability to raise capital through the sale of stock or debt securities may be affected by market conditions, economic conditions or regulatory changes. There is no assurance that sufficient capital or funding will be available in the future, upon acceptable terms or at all. Failure to comply with the terms of our Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC may result in significant losses. A significant portion of BankUnited's revenue continues to be derived from the covered assets. The Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC provide that a significant portion of losses related to the covered assets will be borne by the FDIC. Under the Loss Sharing Agreements, we are obligated to comply with certain loan servicing standards, including requirements to participate in government-sponsored loan modification programs. As these standards continue to evolve, we may experience difficulties in complying with the requirements of the Loss Sharing Agreements, which could result in covered assets losing some or all of their coverage. BankUnited's compliance with the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements is subject to audit by the FDIC through its designated agent. The required terms of the agreements are extensive and failure to comply with any of the guidelines could result in a specific asset or group of assets losing their loss sharing coverage. See "Item 1. Business—The FSB Acquisition."

The geographic concentration of our markets in the coastal regions of Florida and the New York metropolitan area makes our business highly susceptible to local economic conditions.

Unlike larger financial institutions that are more geographically diversified, our operations are concentrated in the coastal regions of Florida and the New York metropolitan area. Additionally, a significant portion of our loans secured by real estate are secured by commercial and residential properties in these geographic regions. Accordingly, the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans, and the value of the collateral securing such loans, may be significantly affected by economic conditions in these regions or by changes in the local real estate markets. The Florida economy and our market in particular were affected by the most recent downturn in commercial and residential property values, and the decline in real estate values in Florida during the downturn was higher than the national average. Additionally, the Florida economy relies heavily on tourism and seasonal residents. Disruption or deterioration in economic conditions in the markets we serve could result in one or more of the following:

an increase in loan delinquencies;

- an increase in problem assets and foreclosures;
- a decrease in the demand for our products and services; or
- a decrease in the value of collateral for loans, especially real estate, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, the value of assets associated with problem loans and collateral coverage.

Hurricanes and other weather-related events, as well as man-made disasters, could cause a disruption in our operations or other consequences that could have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

The occurrence of a hurricane or other natural disaster to which our markets are susceptible or a man-made catastrophe such as the 2010 Gulf of Mexico oil spill or terrorist activity could disrupt our operations, result in damage to our properties, reduce or destroy the value of collateral and negatively affect the local economies in which we operate, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Delinquencies and defaults in residential mortgages have created a backlog in courts, where subject to judicial foreclosure, and an increase in the amount of legislative action that might restrict or delay our ability to foreclose and hence delay the collection of payments for single family residential loans under the Loss Sharing Agreements. For the single family residential loans covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements, we cannot collect loss share payments until we liquidate the properties securing those loans. These loss share payments could be delayed by an extended foreclosure process, including delays resulting from a court backlog, local or national foreclosure moratoriums or other delays, and these delays could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Homeowner protection laws may also delay the initiation or completion of foreclosure proceedings on specified types of residential mortgage loans. Any such limitations are likely to cause delayed or reduced collections from mortgagors. Any restriction on our ability to foreclose on a loan, any requirement that we forgo a portion of the amount otherwise due on a loan or any requirement that we modify any original loan terms could negatively impact our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations.

Since we engage in lending secured by real estate and may be forced to foreclose on the collateral property and own the underlying real estate, we may be subject to the increased costs and risks associated with the ownership of commercial or residential real property, which could have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations. A significant portion of our loan portfolio is secured by residential or commercial real property. During the ordinary course of business, we may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans, in which case, we are exposed to the risks inherent in the ownership of real estate. The amount that we, as a mortgagee, may realize after a default is dependent upon factors outside of our control, including:

general or local economic conditions;

environmental cleanup liability;

neighborhood values;

interest rates;

commercial real estate rental and vacancy rates;

real estate tax rates;

operating expenses of the mortgaged properties;

supply of and demand for properties;

ability to obtain and maintain adequate occupancy of the properties;

zoning laws;

governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies; and

hurricanes or other natural or man-made disasters.

These same factors may impact the ability of borrowers to repay their obligations that are secured by real property.

The credit quality of our loan portfolio and results of operations are affected by residential and commercial real estate values and the level of residential and commercial real estate sales and rental activity.

A material portion of our loans are secured by residential or commercial real estate. The ability of our borrowers to repay their obligations and our financial results may therefore be adversely affected by changes in real estate values. Commercial real estate valuations in particular are highly subjective, as they are based on many assumptions, Such valuations can be significantly affected over relatively short periods of time by changes in business climate, economic conditions, interest rates and, in many cases, the results of operations of businesses and other occupants of the real property. The properties securing income-producing investor real estate loans may not be fully leased at the origination of the loan. The borrowers' ability to repay these loans is dependent upon stabilization of the properties and additional leasing through the life of the loan or the borrower's successful operation of a business. Weak economic conditions may impair a borrower's business operations, lead to elevated vacancy rates or lease turnover, slow the execution of new leases or result in falling rents. These factors could result in further deterioration in the fundamentals underlying the commercial real estate market and the deterioration in value of some of our loans. Similarly, residential real estate valuations can be impacted by housing trends, the availability of financing at reasonable interest rates, governmental policy regarding housing and housing finance and general economic conditions affecting consumers. We make credit and reserve decisions based on current real estate values, the current conditions of borrowers, properties or projects and our expectations for the future. If real estate values or fundamentals underlying the commercial and residential real estate markets decline, we could experience higher delinquencies and charge-offs beyond that provided for in the ALLL.

Although we have the Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC, these agreements do not cover 100% of the losses attributable to covered assets. In addition, the Loss Sharing Agreements will not mitigate any losses on our non-covered assets.

Our portfolio of assets under operating lease is exposed to fluctuations in the demand for and valuation of the underlying assets.

Our equipment leasing business is exposed to asset risk resulting from ownership of the equipment on operating lease. Asset risk arises from fluctuations in supply and demand for the underlying leased equipment. We are exposed to the risk that, at the end of the lease term or in the event of early termination, the value of the asset will be lower than expected, resulting in reduced future lease income over the remaining life of the asset or a lower sale value. Demand for and the valuation of the leased transportation equipment is sensitive to shifts in general and industry specific economic and market trends and shifts in trade flows from specific events such as natural or man-made disasters. A significant portion of our equipment under operating lease consists of rail cars used directly or indirectly in oil and gas drilling activities. Although we are carefully monitoring the potential impact of declines in oil and natural gas prices on our equipment on operating lease, there is no assurance that the values of this portfolio will not be adversely impacted by conditions in the energy industry.

Our reported financial results depend on management's selection and application of accounting methods and related assumptions and estimates.

Our accounting policies and estimates are fundamental to our reported financial condition and results of operations. Management is required to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments in selecting and applying many of these accounting policies. In some cases, management must select an accounting policy or method from two or more alternatives, any of which may be reasonable under the circumstances, yet may result in us reporting materially different results than would have been reported under a different alternative.

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and SEC may change the financial accounting and reporting standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. These changes can be difficult to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retrospectively, resulting in a restatement of prior period financial statements.

Our internal controls may be ineffective.

Management regularly reviews and updates our internal controls over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and

operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the controls are met. Any failure or circumvention of our controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on our executive officers and key personnel to continue the implementation of our long-term business strategy and could be harmed by the loss of their services.

We believe that our continued growth and future success will depend in large part on the skills of our senior management team. We believe our senior management team possesses valuable knowledge about and experience in the banking industry and that their knowledge and relationships would be very difficult to replicate. Although certain key members of our senior management team have entered into employment agreements with us, they may not complete the terms of their employment agreements or renew them upon expiration. Other members of our senior management team are not subject to employment agreements. Our success also depends on the experience of other key personnel and on their relationships with the customers and communities they serve. The loss of service of one or more of our executive officers or key personnel, or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

We face significant competition from other financial institutions and financial services providers, which may decrease our growth or profits.

The primary markets we currently serve are Florida and the New York metropolitan area. Consumer and commercial banking in these markets is highly competitive. Our markets contain not only a large number of community and regional banks, but also a significant presence of the country's largest commercial banks. We compete with other state and national financial institutions located in Florida, New York and adjoining states as well as savings and loan associations, savings banks and credit unions for deposits and loans. In addition, we compete with financial intermediaries, such as consumer finance companies, mortgage banking companies, insurance companies, securities firms, mutual funds and several government agencies as well as major retailers, all actively engaged in providing various types of loans and other financial services.

The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Banks, securities firms and insurance companies can merge under the umbrella of a financial holding company, which can offer virtually any type of financial service, including banking, securities underwriting, insurance (both agency and underwriting) and merchant banking. Increased competition among financial services companies may adversely affect our ability to market our products and services. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for banks to compete in our market without a retail footprint by offering competitive rates, as well as non-banks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks. Many of our competitors have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures.

Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for certain products and services than we can.

Our ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including:

the ability to develop, maintain and build upon long-term customer relationships based on quality service, high ethical standards and safe and sound banking practices;

the ability to attract and retain qualified employees to operate our business effectively;

the ability to expand our market position;

the scope, relevance and pricing of products and services offered to meet customer needs and demands;

•he rate at which we introduce new products and services relative to our competitors;

customer satisfaction with our level of service; and

industry and general economic trends.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken our competitive position, which could adversely affect our growth and profitability, which, in turn, could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Operational Risks

We are subject to a variety of operational, legal and compliance risks, and the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We are exposed to many types of operational risks, including legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders and operational errors, including clerical or record-keeping errors or those resulting from faulty or disabled computer or telecommunications systems. The occurrence of any of these events could cause us to

suffer financial loss, face regulatory action and suffer damage to our reputation.

Because the nature of the financial services business involves a high volume of transactions, certain errors may be repeated or compounded before they are discovered and successfully rectified. Our necessary dependence upon automated systems to record and process transactions and our large transaction volume may further increase the risk that technical flaws or employee tampering or manipulation of those systems will result in losses that are difficult to detect. We also may be subject to disruptions of our operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond our control which may give rise to disruption of service to customers and to financial loss or liability. The occurrence of any of these events could result in a diminished ability to operate our business as well as potential liability to customers and counterparties, reputational damage and regulatory intervention, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are dependent on our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers, Systems failures or interruptions could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Our business is highly dependent on the successful and uninterrupted functioning of our information technology and telecommunications systems, many of which are provided by third parties. We rely on third-party servicers to provide key components of our business infrastructure and major systems including, but not limited to, our electronic funds transfer transaction processing, cash management and online banking services. We rely on these systems to process new and renewal loans, gather deposits, provide customer service, facilitate collections and share data across our organization. The failure of these systems, or the termination of a third-party software license or service agreement on which any of these systems is based, could interrupt our operations. Because our information technology and telecommunications systems interface with and depend on third-party systems, we could experience service denials if demand for such services exceeds capacity or such third-party systems fail or experience interruptions. If sustained or repeated, a system failure or service denial could result in a deterioration of our ability to process new and renewal loans, gather deposits and provide customer service, compromise our ability to operate effectively, damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, and/or subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

While we select and monitor the performance of third party vendors carefully, we do not control their actions. Any problems caused by these third parties, including those resulting from disruptions in communication services provided by a vendor, failure of a vendor to handle current or higher volumes, or failure of a vendor to provide services for any reason or poor performance of services, could adversely affect our ability to deliver products and services to our customers and otherwise conduct our business. Financial or operational difficulties of a third party vendor could also hurt our operations if those difficulties interfere with the vendor's ability to serve us. Replacing these third party vendors could also create significant delay and expense. Accordingly, use of such third parties creates an unavoidable inherent risk to our business operations.

Failure to detect or prevent a breach in information security or to protect customer privacy could have an adverse effect on our business.

In the normal course of our business, we collect, process and retain sensitive and confidential client and customer information. Despite the security measures we have in place, our facilities and systems may be vulnerable to cyber-attacks, security breaches, acts of vandalism, computer viruses, misplaced or lost data, programming and / or human errors, or other similar events.

We provide our customers the ability to bank remotely, including online and over the telephone. The secure transmission of confidential information over the Internet and other remote channels is a critical element of remote banking. Our network could be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses, phishing schemes and other security breaches. In addition to cyber-attacks or other security breaches involving the theft of sensitive and confidential information, hackers recently have engaged in attacks against large financial institutions, particularly denial of service attacks, designed to disrupt key business services such as customer-facing web sites. We may be required to spend significant capital and other resources to protect against the threat of security breaches and computer viruses, or to alleviate problems caused by security breaches or viruses. Any cyber-attack or other security breach involving the misappropriation, loss or other unauthorized disclosure of confidential customer information could severely damage our reputation, erode confidence in the security of our systems, products and services, expose us to

the risk of litigation and liability, disrupt our operations and have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

Failure to keep pace with technological changes could have a material adverse impact on our ability to compete for loans and deposits, and therefore on our financial condition and results of operations.

Financial products and services have become increasingly technology-driven. To some degree, our ability to meet the needs of our customers competitively, and in a cost-efficient manner, is dependent on our ability to keep pace with technological advances and to invest in new technology as it becomes available. Many of our competitors have greater resources to invest in technology than we do and may be better equipped to market new technology-driven products and services.

Reputational risks could affect our results.

Our ability to originate new business and maintain existing customer relationships is highly dependent upon customer and other external perceptions of our business practices. Adverse perceptions regarding our business practices could damage our reputation in both the customer and funding markets, leading to difficulties in generating and maintaining accounts as well as in financing them. Negative public opinion can result from our actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending practices, corporate governance and acquisitions and from actions taken by government regulators and community organizatio