

Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 05, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

HERITAGE-CRYSTAL CLEAN, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
- (1) Amount previously paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

HERITAGE-CRYSTAL CLEAN, INC.
2175 Point Boulevard, Suite 375
Elgin, Illinois 60123

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON May 5, 2011

To the shareholders of Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc.:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc. (the "Company") will be held at the Holiday Inn located at 495 Airport Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123 on May 5, 2011, at 9:00 A.M., Central Time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect three directors to serve as Class III Board Members for terms of three years or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2011;
3. To hold an advisory vote on named executive officer compensation for fiscal 2010, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement;
4. To hold an advisory vote on the frequency of future shareholder advisory votes for approval of named executive officer compensation;
5. To approve the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Plan") for purposes of complying with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code; and
6. To consider and transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on March 15, 2011 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. Whether or not you expect to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares as soon as possible. Please sign, date and mail the included proxy card in the envelope provided. It is important that your shares be represented at the Annual Meeting, whether your holdings are large or small.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Gregory Ray, Chief Financial Officer, Vice President,
Business Management and Secretary

April 5, 2011

Important Notice Regarding the Availability Of Proxy Materials
for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders Meeting To Be Held On May 5, 2011.

Our Proxy Statement and Annual Report to Shareholders for fiscal 2010 are available on Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc.'s website at www.crystal-clean.com under "Investor Relations."

You may also request hard copies of these documents free of charge by writing to:
Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc.
2175 Point Boulevard, Suite 375
Elgin, Illinois 60123
Attention: Secretary

Table of Contents

<u>General Information</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>About the 2011 Annual Meeting</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Voting Procedures</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Other</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Proposal 1: Election Of Directors</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Securities Beneficially Owned by Management and Principal Shareholders</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Named Executive Officer Compensation</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2010</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year End</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2010</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Employment Agreements and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-In-Control</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>Non-Employee Director Compensation</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Relationships and Related Person Transactions</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Proposal 2: Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Proposal 3: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Proposal 4: Advisory Vote on Frequency of Executive Compensation</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Proposal 5: Approval of 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan for purposes of complying with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Householding of Annual Meeting Materials</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Availability of Certain Documents</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Other Matters</u>	<u>39</u>

HERITAGE-CRYSTAL CLEAN, INC.
2175 Point Boulevard, Suite 375
Elgin, Illinois 60123

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON May 5, 2011

About the 2011 Annual Meeting

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to the shareholders of Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc. (the "Company") on or about April 5, 2011 in connection with the solicitation of proxies on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Company for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on May 5, 2011 at the time and place and for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting, and at any adjournments or postponements of that meeting. The Annual Report to Shareholders for fiscal 2010 accompanies this Proxy Statement. If you did not receive a copy of the Annual Report, you may obtain one by writing to the Secretary of the Company. This Proxy Statement and the Annual Report are also available on the Company's website at www.crystal-clean.com under "Investor Relations."

Voting Procedures

Voting Rights. Only shareholders who owned common stock of the Company at the close of business on March 15, 2011 (the "record date") may attend and vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting. On the record date, 14,338,680 shares of common stock were outstanding. Shareholders are entitled to one vote per share of common stock that they own as of the record date on each matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, you are considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares, and these proxy materials are being sent directly to you. As the shareholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to us, or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name," and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank or nominee who is considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or nominee on how to vote and are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. However, since you are not the shareholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the Annual Meeting, unless you request, complete and deliver a legal proxy from your broker, bank or nominee. Your broker, bank or nominee has enclosed a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the broker, bank or nominee regarding how to vote your shares.

Quorum. The presence, in person or by properly executed proxy, of a majority of the outstanding common stock as of the record date is necessary to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. If a quorum is not present at the time the Annual Meeting is convened, the Company may adjourn or postpone the Annual Meeting. Shares that are represented at the Annual Meeting but abstain from voting on any or all matters will be counted as shares present and entitled to vote in determining the presence of a quorum. If a broker indicates on a proxy that it lacks discretionary authority as to certain shares to vote on particular matters, commonly referred to as "broker non-votes", those shares will still be counted for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum at the meeting. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker holding shares registered in street name is permitted to vote, in the broker's discretion, on routine matters without receiving instructions from the client, but is not permitted to vote without instructions on non-routine matters, and the broker returns a proxy card with no vote on the non-routine matter. Under the rules and regulations of the

primary trading markets applicable to most brokers, the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2011 is considered a routine matter on which a broker has the discretion to vote if instructions are not received from the client. All other items being considered at the Annual Meeting are considered non-routine matters. Because brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote on these proposals, broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of votes cast on these proposals and will not affect the outcome of these non-routine matters.

The inspector of election appointed for the Annual Meeting will determine the number of shares of our common stock present at the Annual Meeting, determine the validity of proxies and ballots, determine whether or not a quorum is present, and count all votes and ballots.

Required Vote. Directors are elected by a plurality of all of the votes cast, in person or by proxy. A “plurality” means that the individuals who receive the largest number of votes are elected as directors up to the maximum number of directors to be elected at the meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes have no effect on the election of directors, except to the extent that the failure to vote for a director nominee results in another nominee receiving a larger number of votes.

The proposals to approve the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2011 and the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation for fiscal 2010 will be approved if holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal are voted in favor of the proposal. Abstentions will have the effect of a no vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of these proposals.

With respect to the frequency of future shareholder advisory votes to approve named executive officer compensation, you may select “1 year,” “2 years” or “3 years,” or you may choose to “abstain” from voting on this proposal. The advisory vote regarding the frequency of future shareholder votes for approval of named executive officer compensation will be determined by a plurality of the votes cast. Shares which abstain from voting on this proposal, as well as broker non-votes, will not be counted as votes cast and will not affect the outcome.

The proposal to approve the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan for purposes of complying with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the proposal.

All common stock represented at the Annual Meeting by properly executed proxies received prior to or at the Annual Meeting and not properly revoked will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the instructions indicated in such proxies. If no instructions are indicated, such proxies will be voted FOR the election of the Board's director nominees, FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2011, FOR the approval of the named executive officer compensation for fiscal 2010, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, FOR the approval of "one year" with respect to the advisory vote on the frequency of named executive officer compensation advisory votes, FOR the approval of the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan for purposes of complying with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, and in the discretion of the proxy holders for all other matters that come before the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors of the Company does not know of any matters, other than the matters described in the Notice of Annual Meeting attached to this Proxy Statement that will come before the Annual Meeting.

Any proxy given by a holder of record pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person giving it at any time before it is voted. Such proxies may be revoked by:

• filing with the Secretary of the Company, at or before the Annual Meeting, a written notice of revocation bearing a date later than the date of the proxy;

• duly executing and dating a subsequent proxy relating to the common stock and delivering it to the Secretary of the Company at or before the Annual Meeting; or

• attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person (although attendance at the Annual Meeting will not in and of itself constitute a revocation of a proxy).

Any written notice revoking a proxy should be sent to: Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc., 2175 Point Boulevard, Suite 375, Elgin, Illinois 60123, Attention: Secretary. If you hold your shares in “street name”, you must follow the directions provided by your broker, bank or nominee to revoke your proxy.

Other

The proxies are solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. In addition to the use of the mail, proxies may be solicited personally or by telephone or facsimile transmission, by directors, officers or employees of the Company or persons employed by the Company for the purpose of soliciting proxies. It is contemplated that brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries will be requested to forward the soliciting material to the beneficial owners of common stock held of record by such persons, and will be reimbursed for expenses incurred therewith. The cost of solicitation of proxies will be borne by the Company.

The date of this Proxy Statement is April 5, 2011.

PROPOSAL 1:

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the Annual Meeting, our shareholders will vote on the nomination of three directors to be elected as Class III Board Members for three-year terms expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting. The Board is divided into three classes, denominated as Class I, Class II and Class III. Members of each class hold office for staggered three-year terms. The terms of the Class III directors expire on the date of the 2011 Annual Meeting. It is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy to nominate as directors and, unless otherwise specified in a proxy by a shareholder, to vote such proxy for the election of the persons named below as nominees. In the event any of the nominees should become unable or unwilling to serve as a director, proxies may be voted for another nominee recommended by the Board.

Directors are elected by a plurality of all of the votes cast, in person or by proxy. This means that the three nominees receiving the highest number of votes at the Annual Meeting will be elected, even if these votes do not constitute a majority of the votes cast.

Nominees for Election at the 2011 Annual Meeting.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the three director nominees, each of whom is currently a Class III Board member.

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Other Information
Bruce Bruckmann	57	<p>Mr. Bruckmann has served as a director on our Board since 2004. Mr. Bruckmann has been a Managing Director of Bruckmann, Rosser, Sherrill & Co., Inc., a private equity investment firm, since January 1995. From March 1994 to January 1995, Mr. Bruckmann served as Managing Director of Citicorp Venture Capital, Ltd. and as an executive officer of 399 Venture Partners, Inc. (formerly Citicorp Investments, Inc.). From 1983 until March 1994, Mr. Bruckmann served as Vice President of Citicorp Venture Capital, Ltd. Mr. Bruckmann is also a director of Town Sports International, Inc., a fitness club operator, MWI Veterinary Products, Inc., a distributor of veterinary products, H&E Equipment Services L.L.C., a renter and distributor of industrial and construction equipment, and Mohawk Industries, Inc., a floor covering manufacturer. Mr. Bruckmann also serves as director for a private company.</p> <p>The Board has concluded that Mr. Bruckmann should be a director of the Company because of his extensive experience in investing in and advising public and private companies, as well as the fact that his significant stock ownership in the Company aligns his interests with those of other shareholders. His broad exposure to financing and funding issues also benefits the Company.</p>
Carmine Falcone	64	<p>Mr. Falcone has served as a director on our Board since March 2008. Mr. Falcone served in various operating and executive positions with Shell Group from 1968 through 2004, including roles as Executive Vice President, Oil Products, Shell Canada, as Director — Strategic Planning for Global Oil Products, Shell International, and from 1999 to 2004 as Vice President Manufacturing and Supply, Shell Oil Products USA. Following his retirement from Shell in 2004, Mr. Falcone established CELICO Ventures LLC, a commercial real estate company, which he continues to operate. Mr. Falcone is currently Chairman of the Board of The Plaza Group of Houston (Chemicals Marketing) and Chairman of the HS&E Committee of the Board of Northwest Upgrading of Calgary (Oil Sands Project). Mr. Falcone was a director of Centurion Energy of Calgary from 2006 to 2007. Mr. Falcone holds a Chemical Engineering degree with honors from McGill University.</p> <p>The Board has concluded that Mr. Falcone should be a director of the Company because of his demonstrated skills in engineering and management with one of the world's largest and most preeminent diversified oil companies. Mr. Falcone's expertise is also helpful to the Company in evaluating growth opportunities.</p>

Robert W. Willmschen, Jr.	63	<p>Mr. Willmschen has served as a director on our Board since March 2008. Mr. Willmschen served as Chief Financial Officer of Safety-Kleen from 1981 to 1997 and as Controller of Safety-Kleen from 1979 to 1981. He was Executive Vice President, Finance of ABC Rail Products Corporation for approximately one year in 1998. Since 1999, Mr. Willmschen has been engaged in managing his private investments. Mr. Willmschen also has nine years experience in public accounting, including Audit Manager with Arthur Andersen LLP.</p>
---------------------------	----	--

The Board has concluded that Mr. Willmschen should be a director of the Company because of his demonstrated financial experience in the Company's industry area. His CPA and public accounting experience is also beneficial to the Company and he is a designated financial expert for the Board.

The Board recommends a vote FOR approval of the director nominees.

The following tables set forth information with respect to our directors who are not up for election at the 2011 Annual Meeting.

Class I Directors — Terms Expire in 2012.

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Other Information
Joseph Chalhoub	65	<p>Mr. Chalhoub, founder of Heritage-Crystal Clean, LLC, has served as our President, Chief Executive Officer and director since the formation of the Company in 1999. He started his career with Shell Canada as a process engineer, and he then worked for several years at SNC, an engineering firm. In 1977, he founded Breslube Enterprises and built this into the largest used oil re-refiner in North America before selling a controlling interest to Safety-Kleen in 1987. Mr. Chalhoub then served as an executive of Safety-Kleen from 1987 to 1998 and he was President of Safety-Kleen from 1997 to 1998. Mr. Chalhoub holds a Chemical Engineering degree with high distinction from École Polytechnique, Montréal.</p> <p>The Board has concluded that Mr. Chalhoub should be a director of the Company because he is President and Chief Executive Officer. In addition, his significant stock ownership in the Company aligns his interests with those of other shareholders. The Company and the Board benefit from his prior experience and knowledge gained as a senior executive of both the Company and Safety-Kleen.</p>
Fred Fehsenfeld, Jr.	60	<p>Mr. Fehsenfeld has served as a director on our Board since 1999. Mr. Fehsenfeld is the general partner and has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Calumet Specialty Products Partners, L.P. (“Calumet Partners”) since 2006. Mr. Fehsenfeld has served as the Vice Chairman of the Board of the predecessor to Calumet Partners since 1990. Mr. Fehsenfeld has worked for The Heritage Group in various capacities since 1977 and has served as its Managing Trustee since 1980. Mr. Fehsenfeld received his B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Duke University and his M.S. in Management from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Sloan School.</p> <p>The Board has concluded that Mr. Fehsenfeld should be a director and Chairman of the Company’s Board because of his significant executive experience referred to above, as well as the fact that his significant stock ownership in the Company aligns his interests with those of other shareholders. Mr. Fehsenfeld’s engineering and management training and senior leadership roles in other companies also benefit the Company.</p>

Class II Directors — Terms Expire in 2013.

Name	Age	Principal Occupation and Other Information
Donald Brinckman	80	<p>Mr. Brinckman has served as a director on our Board since 2002. Mr. Brinckman was the founder of Safety-Kleen in 1968. Mr. Brinckman served as President of Safety-Kleen from 1968 until 1998, excluding portions of 1990-1991 and 1993-1997, and for most of the thirty-year period he also served as Safety-Kleen's Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Brinckman was appointed Chairman of Safety-Kleen's Board of Directors in August 1990 and served in that capacity until 1998. Mr. Brinckman has in the past served as a director of Johnson Outdoors Inc., Paychex, Inc. and Snap-On Inc.</p> <p>The Board has concluded that Mr. Brinckman should be a director of the Company because of his extensive industry experience, including being founder and former Chairman of the largest firm in the Company's industry. Additionally, his significant stock ownership in the Company aligns his interests with those of other stockholders.</p>
Charles E. Schalliol	63	<p>Mr. Schalliol has served as a director on our Board since March 2008. Mr. Schalliol served as the Director, Office of Management and Budget, State of Indiana, from 2004 to 2007. Mr. Schalliol served as the President and CEO of BioCrossroads, Indiana's life science initiative, from 2003 to 2004. Mr. Schalliol served in various executive positions, including strategic planning and investment banking, with Eli Lilly & Company from 1978 to 2003. Mr. Schalliol has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of First Merchant's Corporation since 2007 and as a director since 2004 and a director of four venture capital funds. Mr. Schalliol holds a business degree with high distinction from Indiana University and a law degree from Yale University.</p> <p>The Board has concluded that Mr. Schalliol should be a director of the Company because of his financial and executive experience with the above entities and other Board experience. His legal experience also benefits the Company.</p>

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of the Company's common stock, to file initial reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Based on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Company and written representations from the Company's executive officers and directors, to the knowledge of the Company, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners were complied with during fiscal 2010.

SECURITIES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY MANAGEMENT AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 15, 2011 for:

- each director and named executive officer;
- each person or entity who is known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our common stock; and
- all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

The number of shares beneficially owned by each shareholder is determined under rules promulgated by the SEC. The information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Under these rules, beneficial ownership includes any shares as to which the individual or entity has sole or shared voting or investment power and any shares as to which the individual or entity has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days after March 15, 2011 through the exercise of any stock option, warrant or other right. The inclusion in the following table of those shares, however, does not constitute an admission that the named shareholder is a direct or indirect beneficial owner. Unless otherwise indicated below, to our knowledge, all persons listed below have sole voting and investment power with respect to their shares of common stock, except to the extent authority is shared by spouses under applicable law. Unless otherwise indicated below, the address of each director and named executive officer listed below is Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc., 2175 Point Boulevard, Suite 375, Elgin, Illinois 60123.

Name	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned(1)	Percent
Non-employee Directors:		
Donald Brinckman(2)	543,021	3.8%
Bruce Bruckmann(3)	1,045,643	7.4%
Carmine Falcone	10,871	*
Fred Fehsenfeld, Jr.(4)	1,015,547	7.1%
Charles Schalliol	24,262	*
Robert Willmschen, Jr.	21,871	*
Beneficial Owners owning more than 5% of common stock (other than directors and named executive officers):		
The Heritage Group(5)	4,472,521	31.5%
Bruckmann, Rosser, Sherrill & Co. II, L.P.(3)	951,816	6.7%
Royce & Associates, LLC(6)	1,609,065	11.3%
Named Executive Officers:		
Joseph Chalhoub(7)	1,654,884	11.6%
Gregory Ray(8)	327,965	2.3%
John Lucks	165,289	1.2%
Tom Hillstrom	27,004	*
All directors and named executive officers as a group (10 persons)	4,836,357	34.0%

* Less than 1%

(1)Includes the following options to purchase shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days after March 15, 2011: Mr. Chalhoub: 447,464 shares; Mr. Lucks: 134,474 shares; Mr. Ray: 133,180 shares; and Mr. Hillstrom:

10,188 shares.

- (2) Consists of shares held in trust for which Mr. Brinckman has voting control.

7

Based on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 17, 2009, BRS-HCC Investment Co., Inc. is wholly owned by Bruckmann, Rosser, Sherrill & Co. II, L.P., or BRS II, and related persons, with BRS II owning 99.81% of BRS-HCC Investment Co., Inc. BRSE LLC is the general partner of BRS II, and by virtue of such status may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares held by BRS II. Bruce Bruckmann is a member and manager of BRSE LLC, and, together with Harold O. Rosser, Stephen C. Sherrill and Thomas J. Baldwin, shares the power to direct the voting or disposition of shares held by BRS II and BRS-HCC Investment Co., Inc.; however, none of these persons individually has the power to direct or veto the voting or disposition of shares held by BRS II or BRS-HCC Investment Co., Inc. BRSE LLC and Messrs. Bruckmann, Rosser, Sherrill and Baldwin expressly disclaim beneficial ownership of the shares held by BRS-HCC Investment Co., Inc. Shares beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by Bruckmann, Rosser, Sherrill & Co. II, L.P. have been included for purposes of the presentation of the beneficial ownership of our common stock by Bruce Bruckmann. The address of this stockholder is 126 East 56th Street, New York, NY 10022.

Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2011. Includes 10,000 shares held by Mr. Fehsenfeld's family members (specifically, his spouse and two children). Mr. Fehsenfeld disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of common stock owned by these family members except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein. In addition, Mr. Fehsenfeld serves as one of five trustees who together are empowered to act on behalf of The Heritage Group. Mr. Fehsenfeld disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of common stock owned by The Heritage Group except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein, and none owned by The Heritage Group are included in the shares listed in the table above as being beneficially owned by Mr. Fehsenfeld. In addition, the above amount does not include the 324,255 shares of common stock purchased by certain family trusts related to Mr. Fehsenfeld in the Company's June 2010 public offering. See "Relationships and Related Person Transactions-Heritage Participation Rights."

Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 11, 2011. The Heritage Group is a general partnership formed under the laws of the State of Indiana. Thirty grantor trusts own all of the outstanding general partner interests in The Heritage Group. Five trustees, acting on behalf of each of these trusts, have the duty and have been empowered to carry out the purposes of the general partnership pursuant to the Articles of Partnership. The five trustees are Fred M. Fehsenfeld, Jr., James C. Fehsenfeld, Nicholas J. Rutigliano, William S. Fehsenfeld and Amy M. Schumacher. The address of The Heritage Group is 5400 West 86th Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46268.

Based on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 13, 2011. Various accounts managed by Royce & Associates, LLC, have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of shares of the Company. The interest of one account, Royce Micro Cap Fund an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 and managed by Royce & Associates, LLC, amounted to 847,033 shares or 5.96% of the total shares outstanding. The address of this stockholder is 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10151.

Joseph Chalhoub has voting control over the shares held by the entity named J. Chalhoub Holdings, Ltd., but disclaims beneficial ownership, other than to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein.

Includes shares held in trust for which Mr. Ray has voting control.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

General

Our business and affairs are managed under the direction of our Board of Directors. Our bylaws specify that the Board shall initially consist of seven directors, with such number thereafter to be determined from time to time by the Board. We currently have seven directors. Our Board of Directors has an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and governance committee (the "nominating committee"). Fred Fehsenfeld, Jr. serves as the Chair of our Board.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors is comprised of a majority of independent directors. In general, the Board of Directors determines whether a director is independent by following the listing standards of the Nasdaq Global Select Market (the "Nasdaq listing standards"), in addition to other factors it may deem relevant. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the following directors is independent: Donald Brinckman, Bruce Bruckmann, Carmine Falcone, Charles E. Schalliol and Robert W. Willmschen, Jr.

Board Meetings

The Board of Directors met five times during fiscal 2010. Independent directors of the Company meet as a group in conjunction with regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors. Each director attended at least 75% of all Board and applicable committee meetings held during fiscal 2010.

The Audit Committee

The audit committee was formed in connection with our initial public offering and met five times in fiscal 2010. The audit committee has functions that include appointing, terminating, evaluating, and setting the compensation of our independent registered public accounting firm; meeting with the independent registered public accounting firm to review the scope, accuracy and results of the audit; making inquiries as to the adequacy of our accounting, financial and operating controls; and reviewing all material related party transactions. Mr. Willmschen is the Chair and Messrs. Falcone and Schalliol are the other members of the audit committee. The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Willmschen, Falcone and Schalliol are independent in accordance with Nasdaq listing standards and the rules and regulations of the SEC. In addition, the Board of Directors has also determined that Mr. Willmschen is an "audit committee financial expert" in accordance with the standards established by the SEC. The audit committee charter is available both on our website and in print. See "Availability of Certain Documents."

The Compensation Committee

The compensation committee met eleven times during fiscal 2010. Mr. Schalliol is the Chair and Messrs. Falcone and Bruckmann are the other members of the compensation committee. In October 2010, Mr. Schalliol replaced Mr. Bruckmann as the Chair of the compensation committee. All members of the compensation committee are independent in accordance with Nasdaq listing standards.

The compensation committee's responsibilities include, among other duties, the responsibility to:

review and approve corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of executive officers, evaluate the performance of executive officers in light of those goals and objectives, and recommend the compensation level of executive officers based on this evaluation. The compensation and performance of the Chief Executive Officer is also

then reviewed with and subject to approval by the Board;

• administer incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans established or maintained by the Company from time to time, including the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan;

• review succession plans concerning positions held by corporate officers;

• review the employee benefits made available to executive officers; and

• recommend to the Board the compensation for Board members.

The compensation committee charter is available both on our website or in print. See "Availability of Certain Documents."

9

A description of the Company's processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation is included in the section entitled "Executive Compensation – Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below.

The Nominating Committee

The nominating committee met one time during fiscal 2010. Mr. Falcone is the Chair and Messrs. Bruckmann and Schalliol are the other members of the nominating committee. During fiscal 2010, Mr. Falcone replaced Mr. Schalliol as the Chair of the nominating committee. All the members of the nominating committee are independent in accordance with Nasdaq listing standards. The role of the nominating committee is to develop and recommend to our Board criteria for Board and committee membership, review the qualifications of candidates for director, nominate candidates for election to our Board, oversee our corporate governance policies and practices, develop and recommend to our Board corporate governance guidelines, and oversee a review of the performance of our Board and its committees at least annually. The nominating committee charter is available both on our website and in print. See "Availability of Certain Documents."

Annual Meeting Attendance Policy

The Company expects all Board members to attend the annual meeting of shareholders, but from time to time, other commitments may prevent all directors from attending each meeting. All of our directors attended our annual meeting of shareholders in fiscal 2010.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During fiscal 2010, no executive officer of the Company served on the Board of Directors or compensation committee of any other company with respect to which any member of the compensation committee was engaged as an executive officer. No member of the compensation committee was an officer or employee of the Company during fiscal 2010, and no member of the compensation committee was formerly an officer of the Company.

Director Nominations

The nominating committee is responsible for screening potential director candidates and recommending qualified candidates to the Board for nomination. The nominating committee considers recommendations of potential candidates from current directors, management and shareholders. Shareholders' nominations for directors must be made in writing and include the nominee's written consent to the nomination and sufficient background information on the candidate to enable the nominating committee to assess his or her qualifications.

For consideration at the 2012 Annual Meeting, director nominations must be delivered to the Secretary of the Company no later than the close of business on February 6, 2012, but no earlier than the close of business on January 6, 2012.

Article II, Section 9 of our bylaws sets forth the process for submitting director nominations. Notice of nomination must include: (i) with respect to each proposed nominee, all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (including such person's written consent to being named in the Proxy Statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected); (ii) the name and address of the shareholder who intends to make the nomination (including the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made) as they appear on the Company's books, (iii) the number of shares of common stock owned

beneficially and of record by such shareholder submitting the nomination (include those owned by the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made) as of the date such notice is given, (iv) a representation that such shareholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to propose such business; and (v) if the shareholder intends to solicit proxies in support of such stockholder's proposal, a representation to that effect.

Although neither the nominating committee nor the Board has a diversity policy, the Board is committed to a diversified membership, in terms of both the individuals involved and their various experiences and areas of expertise. The nominating committee has not established specific minimum age, education, years of business experience or specific types of skills for potential director candidates, but, in general, expects qualified candidates will have ample experience and a proven record of business success and leadership. Nominees for director shall be selected on the basis of experience, integrity, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, understanding of the Company's business environment and willingness to devote adequate time to Board duties. Board members are expected to diligently prepare for, attend, and participate in all Board and applicable committee meetings. Each Board member is expected to ensure that other existing and planned future commitments do not materially interfere with the member's service as a director. The Board applies these criteria in evaluating candidates nominated by stockholders as well as in evaluating those recommended by other sources. The committee also considers whether candidates would be "independent" for purposes of the Nasdaq listing standards and SEC rules and regulations. These general criteria are reviewed annually by the nominating committee and the Board to ensure they remain pertinent and robust.

As provided in its charter, the nominating committee follows procedures which the committee deems reasonable and appropriate in the identification of candidates for election to the Board and evaluating the background and qualifications of those candidates. Those processes can include consideration of nominees suggested by an outside search firm, incumbent Board members, and shareholders.

The Company has not received any shareholder recommendations of director candidates with regard to the election of directors covered by this Proxy Statement.

Communications with the Board

Shareholders and other interested parties may communicate with one or more members of the Board or the non-management directors as a group in writing by regular mail to either the Board of Directors, an individual director or directors or Chair of the nominating committee with respect to the non-management directors c/o Corporate Secretary, 2175 Point Boulevard, Suite 375, Elgin, Illinois 60123.

The Board has instructed the Corporate Secretary to review all communications so received, and to exercise his discretion not to forward to the Board correspondences that are inappropriate such as business solicitations, frivolous communications and advertising, routine business matters (i.e. business inquiries, complaints or suggestions) and personal grievances. However, any director may at any time request the Corporate Secretary to forward any and all communications received by the Corporate Secretary but not forwarded to the directors.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all executive officers, directors and employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics defines each individual's obligations when representing the Company. The Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available both on the Company's website and in print. See "Availability of Certain Documents."

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Compensation Objective

The objective of our executive compensation program is to attract and retain the best suited individuals with the knowledge and capability to run our business to achieve the performance expectations set by our shareholders. Our philosophy is to link each executive's compensation to the success of the business, with a focus on continuous growth and development of sustainable shareholder value. Our philosophy is also to keep the executive officer compensation program well-defined and easily understood. Our compensation committee determines the amount of each element of compensation, as well as the overall mix of compensation elements, based on our objective of recruiting and retaining valuable employees and remaining competitive within our industry. Our compensation committee makes compensation determinations in accordance with information that its members have gathered in their many years of industry experience.

Named Executive Officers

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, we discuss the compensation packages and fiscal 2010 compensation of Joseph Chalhoub, our President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, John Lucks, our Vice President of Sales and Marketing, Gregory Ray, our Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, Business Management and Secretary, and Tom Hillstrom, our Vice President of Operations. These officers constituted all of our executive officers in fiscal 2010. Further details relating to the compensation paid to these named executive officers in fiscal 2010 and their employment arrangements with the Company can be found in the "Summary Compensation Table" and the supplemental tables that follow it.

Compensation Committee

Prior to the completion of our initial public offering in fiscal 2008, the Board of Directors played an active role in approving the compensation awarded to the named executive officers. With the completion of our public offering, the compensation committee assumed the primary role in determining and approving compensation of our executive officers. The compensation committee is appointed by the Board, in part, to oversee the programs under which performance is evaluated and compensation is paid or awarded to our executive officers.

The agenda for each meeting of the compensation committee is determined by its Chair. The compensation committee had eleven meetings in fiscal 2010. Mr. Chalhoub, our President and Chief Executive Officer, participated in compensation committee meetings to provide an assessment of the performance of each named executive officer and provide recommendations of compensation. Mr. Chalhoub provided specific recommendations with respect to the discretionary bonuses awarded to two named executive officers. Once the compensation committee had determined Mr. Chalhoub's compensation for fiscal 2010, Mr. Chalhoub had the opportunity to discuss his compensation with the compensation committee, but no changes to his compensation were made as a result of this discussion.

Our compensation committee annually reviews the compensation of each of our executive officers and makes recommendations to our Board of Directors for approval. The compensation committee did not engage any consultant in determining 2010 compensation. To establish compensation for each executive officer, the members of the compensation committee used their collective knowledge and experience, together with the experience of other Board members, regarding the compensation standards in the industry in which the Company operates. The compensation committee used the compensation analysis provided by the outside compensation consultant engaged by the compensation committee in setting fiscal 2009 compensation to confirm its conclusions; however, the compensation committee did not rely on any peer benchmarks when determining fiscal 2010 compensation of the executive officers. Instead, given the operating performance of the Company in fiscal 2009 and the continued challenging economic

environment, the compensation committee believed that the compensation of the executive officers for fiscal 2010 should not be significantly different from that of fiscal 2009 unless the Company exceeded certain operating targets.

In 2009, the compensation committee engaged Buck Consultants to conduct an extensive analysis of the executive compensation. The compensation consultant compared the compensation against two peer groups. The first group was an industry peer group representing companies in the environmental and facilities services industry. The companies selected were of similar size and scope as us while also addressing the growth aspirations in our business plans. The industry peer group was a small group as there are a limited number of direct competitors of similar size. Consequently, the consultants created a second peer group. The second peer group consisted of similar size companies with a business model of selling or renting and servicing equipment on a regular basis as well as being in the market for executives with similar talents and skills geared to high-growth companies.

The first peer group consists of the following companies:

American Ecology Corp
 Casella Waste Sys Inc.
 Perma-Fix Environmental Services
 Waste Industries USA Inc.
 Waste Services Inc.
 WCA Waste Corp.

The second peer group consists of the following companies:

3D Systems Corp.	Industrial Services Amer Inc.	Standard Parking Corp.
Amtech Systems Inc.	LMI Aerospace Inc.	Symyx Technologies Inc.
Atwood Oceanics	Mitcham Industries Inc.	T-3 Energy Services, Inc.
Dril-Quip Inc.	Natural Gas Services Group	Tesco Corp.
Exterran Partners, L.P.	Omni Energy Services Corp.	
Fortress International Group, Inc.	Semitool Inc.	

The compensation committee did not use any benchmarks or metrics from this fiscal 2009 information with respect to these peer groups when determining the fiscal 2010 compensation of its executive officers. But the 2009 peer group information was reviewed to confirm that the compensation for the executive officers of the Company was not significantly out of line with that of these peer groups.

Components of Executive Compensation

Our executive officer compensation program has the following components:

Base Pay

Base pay is intended to provide our executives with recurring compensation that reflects our size as well as the employment market for our executive officers. Each executive's individual experience, responsibilities, and performance are also taken into consideration. Base salary also takes total salary into consideration to ensure that our philosophy regarding overall compensation is maintained. The base pay component of compensation is reviewed annually by the compensation committee. The compensation committee is afforded broad discretion with respect to increasing the base salaries of our executives and other key management personnel, and generally bases such increases on the growth and performance of the company, individual job performance and our compensation objectives described above under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis - Executive Compensation Objective." Increases in base salary do not directly affect determinations regarding bonuses and other compensation, and the allocation of total compensation between base salary and other components of compensation is determined by the compensation committee in accordance with information that the members have gathered in their many years of industry experience. In March 2010, the compensation committee concluded that the base salaries for the executive officers for fiscal 2010 should be the same as fiscal 2009. This was based in part upon the compensation committee's assessment that the Company did not achieve its operating targets under the Annual Incentive Plan and because the industries in which the Company operates were continuing to adjust to a changing economic environment.

Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

In connection with our initial public offering in fiscal 2008, we adopted the Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc. Performance-Based Annual Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the Annual Incentive Plan. The Annual Incentive Plan is designed to provide annual cash awards that satisfy the conditions for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Annual Incentive Plan is administered by the compensation committee. Under the Annual Incentive Plan, the compensation committee has the authority to grant annual incentive awards to our executive officers or other key employees. Each annual incentive award will be paid out of an incentive pool established for a performance period. Typically, the performance period will be our fiscal year. The incentive pool will equal a percentage of our operating income for the fiscal year as determined by the compensation committee. The compensation committee will allocate an incentive pool percentage to each designated participant for each performance period. In no event may the incentive pool percentage for any one participant exceed 50% of the total pool for that performance period. For purposes of the annual incentive plan, "operating income" means our operating income for a performance period as reported on our income statement computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, but excludes (i) the effects of charges for restructurings, (ii) discontinued operations, (iii) extraordinary items or other unusual or non-recurring items, and (iv) the cumulative effect of tax or accounting changes. Each participant's incentive award is determined by the compensation committee based on the participant's allocated portion of the incentive pool and attainment of specified performance measures subject to adjustment in the sole discretion of the compensation committee. In no event may the portion of the incentive pool allocated to a participant who is a covered employee for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code be increased in any way after it has been allocated, including as a result of the reduction of any other participant's allocated portion, but such portion may be decreased by the compensation committee.

For fiscal 2010, the Annual Incentive Plan focused on the Company's achievement of operating income growth. Because of this emphasis on overall operating growth, the annual incentive compensation of each named executive officer was not measured against pre-established personal goals or individual objectives. In accordance with the Annual Incentive Plan, in fiscal 2010, the compensation committee determined a projected cash bonus pool of \$0.5 million which was 10% of the Company's projected operating income for fiscal 2010 of \$4.6 million. The projected total pool was divided into a discretionary pool of \$50,000 with the remaining portion allocated to the non-discretionary pool. Each participant was allocated a certain percentage of the target distribution amount of the non-discretionary pool, based upon employee reviews, years of service and job responsibilities, allowing for the calculation of individual target distribution amounts. The \$50,000 pool was established to be assigned to participants as a discretionary bonus based on the CEO's assessment of the individual's contribution with the approval of the compensation committee. Any amounts from the \$50,000 discretionary pool that were not distributed to participants would be added to the non-discretionary pool. If the actual cash bonus pool was more or less than the projected cash bonus pool, due to a variance in operating income from the plan, then the individual target distribution amounts are adjusted accordingly, up or down, on a pro rata basis.

The actual cash bonus pool for fiscal 2010, was calculated by applying the same percentage used to calculate our projected cash bonus pool to our actual operating earnings for fiscal 2010. The fiscal 2010 actual cash bonus pool was \$564,100 which was 10% of fiscal 2010 operating income. In 2009, the projected cash bonus pool was \$0.8 million with the actual cash bonus pool being \$272,500 due to lower than expected earnings for fiscal 2009. Because the fiscal 2010 actual cash bonus pool was greater than the projected cash bonus pool, bonuses for fiscal 2010 under the Annual Incentive Plan were higher than projected and higher than fiscal 2009. The actual percentage bonus of the cash bonus pool for each named executive officers in fiscal 2010 decreased proportionally from fiscal 2009 only as a result of the addition of officers eligible to participate in the cash bonus pool. In 2010, the actual discretionary bonus pool paid to participants was \$20,000 with \$15,000 evenly distributed to Mr. Lucks for his efforts in ramping up the Company's used oil collection in anticipation of the start-up of the used oil re-refinery and to Mr. Ray for his efforts in securing financing for construction of the used oil re-refinery. The remaining \$5,000 of the actual discretionary bonus pool was

distributed to another officer of the Company. The \$30,000 unallocated portion of the discretionary bonus pool was added to the non-discretionary pool and was distributed based on the plan percentages as discussed below. The following table sets forth the percentage allocation of the cash bonus pool to the named executive officers in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010:

14

	Fiscal 2009 Actual Percentage Bonus of Cash Bonus Pool	Total Annual Incentive Bonus Fiscal 2009	Fiscal 2010 Non-discretionary Percentage of Cash Bonus Pool	Fiscal 2010 Non-discretionary dollar allocation of Cash Bonus Pool	Total Actual Annual Incentive Bonus Fiscal 2010
Joseph Chalhoub	18.6 %	\$68,362	16.6 %	\$ 75,300	\$86,700
John Lucks	10.0 %	\$36,754	8.9 %	\$ 40,500	\$54,200 (1)
Gregory Ray	8.3 %	\$30,616	7.4 %	\$ 33,700	\$46,400 (1)
Tom Hillstrom	5.5 %	\$19,493	5.0 %	\$ 22,300	\$26,200

Includes the \$7,500 discretionary bonus awarded by the compensation committee. This bonus was awarded to Mr. Lucks for his efforts in ramping up the Company's used oil collection in anticipation of the start-up of the used oil re-refinery and to Mr. Ray for his efforts in securing financing for construction of the used oil re-refinery.

In March 2011, the compensation committee modified the annual incentive plan by basing the cash bonus pool on pre-determined pools as opposed to a percentage of operating income. The compensation committee expects that bonuses under the 2011 annual incentive plan will be based upon net income and revenue targets with net income representing 70% of the award and revenue representing 30% of the award. This change was made due to the uncertainty regarding how the timing of the completion of the used oil re-refinery would impact operating income. The compensation committee determined that the aggregate 2011 cash bonus pool would have a threshold, target and maximum of \$0; \$750,000; and \$1,000,000, respectively. In addition, a bonus pool of \$50,000 was allocated for discretionary bonuses to officers of the Company, including named executive officers. The compensation committee allocated the following percentages of the non-discretionary bonus pool to the named executive officers: 14.5% to Joseph Chalhoub, 8.9% to John Lucks, 7.4% to Gregory Ray and 5.0% to Tom Hillstrom. The allocations for the named executive officers were similar to the percentage allocations used in fiscal 2010, with some adjustments to allow the Company to include new management employees in the cash bonus pool.

Long-Term Equity Compensation

We are committed to long-term incentive programs for our executives that promote our long-term growth and encourage employee retention and stock ownership. Each of our executive officers has made cash investments to purchase equity in our company. As co-owners of our business, we believe that our executive officers each has a significant financial interest in the long term success of our company. We have encouraged employees to purchase equity interests in our company and determine the amount of long-term equity compensation to be offered to the employee based upon job responsibilities, years of service and employee reviews. We believe that our executive officers should be rewarded with a proprietary interest in the Company for continued long-term performance and to attract, motivate and retain qualified and talented executives.

We believe that our long-term equity compensation program achieves the goal of aligning the executives' compensation with our long-term growth, and thus aligns the executives' interests with our stockholders' interests. We adopted the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Omnibus Plan") in connection with our initial public offering in fiscal 2008. The Omnibus Plan permits the issuance of long-term incentive awards to our employees and non-employee directors and employees of our subsidiaries to promote the interests of our company and our stockholders. It is designed to promote these interests by providing such employees and eligible non-employee directors with a proprietary interest in pursuing the long-term growth, profitability and financial success of our company. The Omnibus Plan is administered by our compensation committee. The aggregate number of shares of our common stock that may be issued under the Omnibus Plan will not exceed 1,902,077 (subject to the adjustment in the event of a stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, reorganization or similar transaction). No participant may receive in any

calendar year awards relating to more than 500,000 shares of our common stock. Awards may consist of stock options (incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options), stock appreciation rights, or SARs, restricted stock, restricted stock units, or RSUs, deferred stock units, or DSUs, performance shares, performance cash awards, and other stock or cash awards. The exercise price of any stock option must be equal to or greater than the fair market value of the shares on the date of the grant, unless it is a substitute or assumed stock option, restricted stock, restricted stock unit or deferred stock unit, performance share, performance cash award, stock award, or other stock or cash award. The term of any award made under this plan cannot be longer than ten years.

We intend to make annual grants of equity to our executive officers under our Omnibus Plan. We do not have any formal policy with respect to allocations between stock options and restricted stock awards. Together with the compensation committee, we believe that stock options and restricted stock awards align employees' interests with stockholders. Although the Company awarded stock options in fiscal 2009, the compensation committee decided to use restricted stock awards in place of stock options in the long term equity compensation component provided to executive officers for services rendered in fiscal 2010 (the "2010 LTIP"). These restricted stock awards were based upon fiscal 2010 performance of the Company and were granted in March 2011. Under the 2010 LTIP, up to 1% of the Company's fully-diluted outstanding common stock was awarded based upon the achievement of certain financial measures in fiscal 2010. The long term incentive awards were based upon the Company's budget. The overall targets were based upon net income and sales of the Company with net income performance weighted 30% and sales performance weighted 70% of the overall award. The award percentages were 0% at achieving the threshold, 70% at achieving the target and 100% at achieving the maximum.

	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Net Income	\$1.8 million	\$2.1 million	\$2.4 million
Sales	\$98.4 million (which was fiscal 2009 revenue)	\$108.8 million (which was the 2010 sales budget)	\$109.8 million

In fiscal 2010, sales were \$112.1 million and net income was \$3.3 million and the maximum restricted stock awards available for issuance under the 2010 LTIP were granted. Although the awards were granted in March 2011, communication of the terms of the award to the named executive officers and the service inception date with respect to the awards occurred in fiscal 2010. The allocation of the restricted stock pool was among all plan participants including the named executive officers. The equity award levels were determined based upon recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer based upon his experience in the industry and his review of the average equity amounts granted by companies contained in the peer groups included in the 2009 consultant report discussed above; however, no official benchmarks from these peer groups were used. The compensation committee also intended for the fiscal 2010 allocation to be in line with the allocation of option awards made with respect to fiscal 2009 compensation, except with respect to Mr. Ray, whose allocation increased because of increased levels of responsibility. The following table sets forth the percentage of the 2010 LTIP equity pool that was designated to the named executive officers:

	Percentage of Restricted Stock Pool		Number of shares of Restricted Stock Awarded(1)		
			Threshold	Target	Maximum
Joseph Chalhoub	22.58	%	—	16,909	24,156
John Lucks	10.35	%	—	7,750	11,072
Gregory Ray	10.35	%	—	7,750	11,072
Tom Hillstrom (2)	3.29	%	—	2,466	3,523

(1) These shares vest in equal amounts over three years starting on January 1, 2012.

(2) Does not include the restricted stock which Mr. Hillstrom may receive as part of the used oil re-refinery LTIP discussed below.

In addition to the 2010 LTIP pool set forth above, the compensation committee determined a long term equity incentive program should be adopted as incentive for the officers significantly involved in the used oil re-refinery project, which included Tom Hillstrom. The aggregate targeted award for this program is \$280,000, half of which would be delivered in the form of restricted stock upon achievement of certain milestones in connection with the construction of the used oil re-refinery and half of which would be delivered in cash with respect to the completion of the used oil re-refinery. The aggregate target award for Mr. Hillstrom is \$80,000 and is based upon a recommendation of the Chief Executive Officer as approved by the compensation committee. The award is expected to be based upon

the satisfaction of the following targeted performance metrics, each weighted equally: (i) safety in construction, as determined by reported incident rate, (ii) the ability to stay within operating cost budgets, (iii) schedule of manpower for used oil re-refinery, and (iv) the plant performance of the used oil re-refinery based upon test runs. The maximum amount of the award is 120% of target for the safety metric and 110% of target with respect to each of the remaining three metrics: cost, schedule and performance. Although these targets have been communicated to the officers in this equity compensation pool, the compensation committee retained the right to forgo any or all of the targeted awards.

Other Compensation

Our Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan

In connection with our initial public offering in fiscal 2008, we adopted the Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc. Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, which is designed to provide a select group of highly compensated employees, and non-employee directors, the benefits of a non-qualified, unfunded plan of deferred compensation subject to Section 201(2) of ERISA and the provisions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the plan, all non-employee directors will be permitted to make an irrevocable election to defer the receipt of all or a portion (not less than 25%) of their annual retainer and/or meeting fees into a nonqualified, unfunded deferred compensation plan. In addition, select employees will be entitled to make an irrevocable election to defer receipt of up to 75% of base salary and up to 100% of any bonus. We may make discretionary contributions to participants' deferred accounts. The plan administrator shall select one or more investment funds that will be used to credit participants' deferral accounts with income and gains, and charge deferral accounts with losses, expenses, and distributions. Distribution of funds from deferral accounts to participants shall be made according to distribution dates specified by the participant. Payment of the vested portion of a participant's deferral account shall be made in cash in the form of a single lump sum or a series of annual installments over a period not exceeding ten years. None of our executive officers are currently participating in the deferred compensation plan.

Employment Agreements and Severance Benefits

We have entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Chalhoub, Lucks, Ray and Hillstrom. The employment agreements with Messrs. Chalhoub, Lucks and Ray provide for severance payments and continuation of benefits upon termination of employment. Mr. Hillstrom's employment agreement does not entitle him to any cash severance or continuation of benefits. See "Employment Agreements and Potential Payments upon Termination or Change-In-Control."

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)

Favorable accounting and tax treatment of the various elements of our compensation program is an important consideration in their design, but it is not the sole consideration. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits the deductibility of certain items of compensation paid to the named executive officers to \$1,000,000 annually, unless the compensation qualifies as "performance based compensation" or is otherwise exempt under Section 162(m). To maintain flexibility in compensating executive officers in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals, we have not adopted a policy that all compensation must be deductible. In this regard, we believe that Section 162(m) will not prevent us from receiving a tax deduction in fiscal 2010 for the compensation paid to our named executive officers because compensation amounts awarded were less than the \$1,000,000 limit. In addition, at the Annual Meeting, the Company is proposing that the stockholders approve the Omnibus Plan so that benefits awarded under the Omnibus Plan qualify as performance based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m). While we consider the potential impact of Section 162(m) on our compensation decisions, we may approve compensation for an executive officer that does not meet the deductibility requirements of Section 162(m) in the future in order to maintain competitive compensation packages and attract talented leaders.

In fiscal 2006, we began expensing equity awards in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. Like many of the companies within our peer group, we have taken measures to ensure that our equity granting practice remains competitive.

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

We separate the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors in recognition of the differences between the two roles. The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day to day leadership and performance of the Company, while the Chairman of the Board of Directors provides guidance to the Chief Executive Officer and sets the agenda for Board meetings and presides over meetings of the full Board. We also believe that separation of the positions reinforces the independence of the Board of Directors in its oversight of the business and affairs of the Company, and creates an environment that is more conducive to objective evaluation and oversight of management's performance, increasing management accountability and improving the ability of the Board of Directors to monitor whether management's actions are in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. We do not have a lead independent director.

The Board's role in the Company's risk oversight process includes receiving regular reports from members of senior management on areas of material risk to the Company, including operational, financial, legal and regulatory, and strategic and reputational risks. The full Board of Directors (or the appropriate committee in the case of risks that are under the purview of a particular committee) receives these reports to enable it to understand our risk identification, risk management and risk mitigation strategies. The Company's compensation committee is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to the Company's executive compensation plans and arrangements. The audit committee oversees management of financial risks. The nominating committee manages risks associated with the independence of the Board of Directors and potential conflicts of interest.

While each committee is responsible for evaluating certain risks and overseeing the management of such risks, the entire Board of Directors is regularly informed of such risks through committee reports at the Board of Directors meeting following a given committee meeting. This enables the Board and its committees to coordinate the risk oversight role, particularly with respect to risk interrelationships.

In addition to the Company's formal compliance program, the Board of Directors encourages management to promote a corporate culture that understands risk management and incorporates it into the overall corporate strategy and day-to-day business operations. The Company's risk management structure also includes an ongoing effort to assess and analyze the most likely areas of future risk for the Company. As a result, the Board of Directors (and its committees) periodically asks the Company's executives to discuss the most likely sources of material future risks and how the Company is addressing any significant potential vulnerability. The Company has reviewed its compensation policies and practices for its employees and does not believe such policies and practices are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation

At the Annual Meeting, shareholders are being asked for the first time to consider a resolution to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement. This advisory vote, commonly referred to as a "say-on-pay" advisory vote, will not be binding on the Board. However, the Board will review and thoughtfully consider the voting results when determining compensation policies and making future decisions concerning the compensation of our named executive officers. Any impact from the 2011 voting results will be disclosed in the proxy statement to be filed in connection with the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders.

Shareholders are also being asked for the first time to consider a resolution to determine how frequently "say-on-pay" advisory votes should be held. Shareholders will be able to cast their votes on whether to hold "say-on-pay" advisory votes every one, two or three years. Shareholders may also abstain from casting a vote. While the Board of Directors is recommending that shareholders vote every one year with respect to this non-binding frequency of "say-on-pay" advisory vote, the Board will thoughtfully consider the outcome of the frequency vote when making future decisions concerning the frequency of "say-on-pay" advisory votes. If shareholders follow the Board's recommendation, the next "say-on-pay" advisory vote will be held at the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders.

Compensation Committee Report

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management. Based on such review and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” be included in this proxy statement on Schedule 14A.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles E. Schalliol, Chair
Carmine Falcone, member
Bruce Bruckmann, member

The Compensation Committee Report and related disclosure shall be deemed incorporated by reference into the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011, but shall not be otherwise incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating this proxy statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such Acts.

NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Executive Compensation Tables

The following table sets forth the aggregate amounts of compensation paid by us during the fiscal years ended January 1, 2011, January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively, for services rendered in all capacities by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and our other named executive officers who were employed by us as of the last day of our three most recently completed fiscal years (i.e.: January 1, 2011, January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009 respectively).

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal	Year	Salary	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total (\$)
Joseph Chalhoub, President, and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$355,000	\$286,249	—	\$86,700	\$10,112	\$738,061
	2009	\$355,000	\$—	\$148,224	\$68,362	\$9,536	\$581,122
	2008	\$284,750	\$—	\$1,655,901	\$110,860	\$11,008	\$2,062,519
John Lucks, Vice President of Sales and Marketing	2010	\$221,200	\$131,203	—	\$54,200	\$9,181	\$415,784
	2009	\$221,200	\$—	\$46,721	\$36,754	\$1,230	\$305,905
	2008	\$214,750	\$172,500	\$496,330	\$61,600	\$11,008	\$956,188
Gregory Ray, Chief Financial Officer, Vice President Business Management and Secretary	2010	\$195,500	\$131,203	—	\$46,400	\$9,369	\$382,472
	2009	\$195,500	\$—	\$38,332	\$30,616	\$10,136	\$274,584
	2008	\$189,750	\$—	\$496,330	\$54,978	\$11,008	\$752,066
Tom Hillstrom, Vice President of Operations	2010	\$170,000	\$41,748	(5) —	\$26,200	\$7,904	\$245,852
	2009	\$170,000	\$—	\$29,526	\$19,493	\$8,624	\$227,643
	2008	\$152,000	\$103,500	\$21,961	\$39,620	\$8,942	\$326,023

The non-equity incentive plan compensation earned in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 was paid in March 2011, 2010 (1) and 2009, respectively. See “— Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Components of Executive Compensation — Cash Bonus” for more information.

(2) Amounts for fiscal 2008 reflect restricted stock granted under the Key Employee Membership Interest Trust that vested upon the completion of our initial public offering (“IPO”) in March 2008. The values listed are based on the amounts recognized for financial reporting purposes in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 as discussed in footnote 16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2010. Amounts for fiscal 2010 reflect restricted stock awards granted in March 2011 based on the Company's fiscal 2010 performance. See “—

Compensation Discussion and Analysis— Long-Term Equity Compensation" for more information regarding these stock awards.

- (3) No options were granted in fiscal 2010. For information regarding option awards that were granted in previous years, see the table "Outstanding Equity Awards at 2010 Fiscal Year End" set forth below.
- (4) The compensation represented by the amounts set forth in the "All Other Compensation" column for the named executive officers are detailed in the following table:

Name	Year	Car Allowance	Company 401(k) Match (1)	Long-term Disability Insurance Premium Payment	Total
Joseph Chalhoub	2010	—	\$9,800	\$312	\$10,112
	2009	\$1,500	\$9,200	\$336	\$11,036
	2008	\$9,750	\$9,200	\$308	\$19,258
John Lucks	2010	—	\$8,869	\$312	\$9,181
	2009	\$1,500	\$894	\$336	\$2,730
	2008	\$9,750	\$9,200	\$308	\$19,258
Gregory Ray	2010	—	\$9,057	\$312	\$9,369
	2009	\$—	\$9,800	\$336	\$10,136
	2008	\$1,500	\$9,200	\$308	\$11,008
Tom Hillstrom	2010	—	\$7,592	\$312	\$7,904
	2009	—	\$8,288	\$336	\$8,624
	2008	—	\$8,634	\$308	\$8,942

(1) Since Mr. Chalhoub is a citizen of Canada, the Compensation Committee makes his Company match payment into a registered retirement savings plan (RRSP) as a substitute for the contribution due him under the Company's 401(k) benefit plan.

Does not include the potential value of the restricted stock award which may be granted to Mr. Hillstrom in (5) connection with the construction of the used oil re-refinery. See "- Compensation Discussion and Analysis- Long-Term Equity Compensation" for more information regarding this stock award.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2010

The table below sets forth specific information with respect to each grant of an award made under any of our plans to our named executive officers during fiscal year 2010.

Name	Type of Award	Grant Date	Estimated Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)	Number of Shares of Restricted Stock Awarded			Grant Date Fair Value of Award (4)
				Threshold	Target	Maximum	
Joseph Chalhoub	Restricted Stock (2)	3/4/2011		—			