

Resource Capital Corp.
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-32733

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-2287134
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

712 5th Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, New York 10019

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(212) 506-3870

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer,

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | | Accelerated filer | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer | (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant’s common stock on August 3, 2012 was 88,183,777 shares.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT
ON FORM 10-Q

| | PAGE |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PART I | FINANCIAL INFORMATION |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u> |
| | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> |
| | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> |
| | 5 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) Six Months Ended June 30, 2012</u> |
| | 6 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u> |
| | 7 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)</u> |
| | 9 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| | 47 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> |
| | 74 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |
| | 76 |
| PART II | OTHER INFORMATION |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> |
| | 77 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 79 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | June 30, 2012 (unaudited) | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 31,897 | \$ 43,116 |
| Restricted cash | 78,343 | 142,806 |
| Investment securities, trading | 44,153 | 38,673 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value | 177,332 | 153,366 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value | 7,800 | 4,678 |
| Loans held for sale | 49,114 | 3,154 |
| Property available-for-sale | – | 2,980 |
| Investment in real estate | 47,362 | 48,027 |
| Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$12.4 million and \$27.5 million | 1,736,079 | 1,772,063 |
| Loans receivable—related party | 9,438 | 9,497 |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities | 45,289 | 47,899 |
| Interest receivable | 9,597 | 8,836 |
| Deferred tax asset | 626 | 626 |
| Intangible assets | 17,877 | 19,813 |
| Prepaid expenses | 6,485 | 648 |
| Other assets | 5,514 | 3,445 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,266,906 | \$ 2,299,627 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Borrowings | \$ 1,739,805 | \$ 1,808,986 |
| Distribution payable | 17,279 | 19,979 |
| Accrued interest expense | 3,294 | 3,260 |
| Derivatives, at fair value | 13,570 | 13,210 |
| Accrued tax liability | 4,593 | 12,567 |
| Deferred tax liability | 3,906 | 5,624 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 8,756 | 6,311 |
| Total liabilities | 1,791,203 | 1,869,937 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 100,000,000 shares authorized 8.50% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred shares, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share, 268,720 shares issued and outstanding | – | – |
| Common stock, par value \$0.001: 500,000,000 shares authorized; 86,266,500 and 79,877,516 shares issued and outstanding (including 1,638,906 and 1,428,931 unvested restricted shares) | 86 | 80 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 699,971 | 659,700 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (37,245) | (46,327) |
| Distributions in excess of earnings | (187,109) | (183,763) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 475,703 | 429,690 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 2,266,906 | \$ 2,299,627 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Loans | \$23,012 | \$20,591 | \$46,627 | \$41,841 |
| Securities | 3,752 | 2,955 | 7,336 | 5,715 |
| Interest income – other | 3,157 | 1,716 | 5,986 | 2,935 |
| Total interest income | 29,921 | 25,262 | 59,949 | 50,491 |
| Interest expense | 8,936 | 7,062 | 17,379 | 13,995 |
| Net interest income | 20,985 | 18,200 | 42,570 | 36,496 |
| Rental income | 2,034 | 157 | 3,953 | 180 |
| Dividend income | – | 866 | – | 1,527 |
| Fee income | 2,329 | 2,253 | 4,191 | 3,899 |
| Total revenues | 25,348 | 21,476 | 50,714 | 42,102 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Management fees – related party | 4,548 | 3,148 | 7,991 | 5,486 |
| Equity compensation – related party | 1,140 | 623 | 2,008 | 1,083 |
| Professional services | 806 | 989 | 2,158 | 1,908 |
| Insurance | 159 | 159 | 317 | 336 |
| Rental operating expense | 1,309 | 185 | 2,629 | 330 |
| General and administrative | 1,470 | 1,121 | 2,533 | 1,921 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,364 | 756 | 2,725 | 1,009 |
| Income tax expense | 384 | 1,171 | 2,999 | 2,980 |
| Total operating expenses | 11,180 | 8,152 | 23,360 | 15,053 |
| | 14,168 | 13,324 | 27,354 | 27,049 |
| OTHER REVENUE (EXPENSE) | | | | |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | (32) | (4,649) | (171) | (4,649) |
| Net realized gain on investment securities available-for-sale and loans | 1,422 | 3,696 | 1,802 | 3,852 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading | 1,424 | 1,473 | 3,568 | 3,279 |
| Provision for loan losses | (4,253) | (4,113) | (6,431) | (6,719) |
| Gain on the extinguishment of debt | 5,464 | – | 5,464 | – |
| Other expenses | (1,743) | (512) | (655) | (451) |
| Total other revenue (expense) | 2,282 | (4,105) | 3,577 | (4,688) |
| NET INCOME | 16,450 | 9,219 | 30,931 | 22,361 |
| Net income allocated to preferred shares | (25) | – | (25) | – |
| NET INCOME ALLOCABLE TO COMMON SHARES | | | | |
| NET INCOME PER SHARE – BASIC | \$0.20 | \$0.13 | \$0.38 | \$0.34 |
| NET INCOME PER SHARE – DILUTED | \$0.20 | \$0.13 | \$0.37 | \$0.34 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING – BASIC | | | | |
| | 83,466,810 | 70,704,579 | 82,334,303 | 65,455,811 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING – DILUTED | 84,188,216 | 71,008,075 | 83,040,604 | 65,732,464 |
| DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE | \$0.20 | \$0.25 | \$0.40 | \$0.50 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
(in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$16,450 | \$9,219 | \$30,931 | \$22,361 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income | 922 | - | 934 | - |
| Unrealized (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities, net | (2,203) | (4,956) | 8,396 | (82) |
| Reclassification adjustments associated with unrealized losses from interest rate hedges included in net income | 55 | 56 | 112 | 111 |
| Unrealized losses on derivatives, net | (266) | (4,525) | (360) | (3,242) |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income | (1,492) | (9,425) | 9,082 | (3,213) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$14,958 | \$(206) | \$40,013 | \$19,148 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012
(in thousands, except share and per share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Common Stock | | Preferred Stock- Series A | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Retained Earnings | Distributions in Excess of Earnings | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | 79,877,516 | \$80 | \$- | \$ 659,700 | \$ (46,327) | \$- | \$ (183,763) | \$ 429,690 |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan | 5,877,094 | 5 | - | 32,296 | - | - | - | 32,301 |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | - | - | - | 6,318 | - | - | - | 6,318 |
| Offering costs | - | - | - | (505) | - | - | - | (505) |
| Stock based compensation | 516,253 | 1 | - | 154 | - | - | - | 155 |
| Amortization of stock based compensation | - | - | - | 2,008 | - | - | - | 2,008 |
| Forfeitures | (4,363) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | 30,931 | - | 30,931 |
| Preferred dividends | - | - | - | - | - | (25) | - | (25) |
| Securities available-for-sale, fair value adjustment, net | - | - | - | - | 9,330 | - | - | 9,330 |
| Designated derivatives, fair value adjustment | - | - | - | - | (248) | - | - | (248) |
| Distributions on common stock | - | - | - | - | - | (30,906) | (3,346) | (34,252) |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | 86,266,500 | \$86 | \$- | \$ 699,971 | \$ (37,245) | \$- | \$ (187,109) | \$ 475,703 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income | \$30,931 | \$22,361 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 6,431 | 6,719 |
| Depreciation of investments in real estate | 789 | 28 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,936 | 980 |
| Amortization of term facilities | 455 | 265 |
| Accretion of net discounts on loans held for investment | (8,013) | (9,720) |
| Accretion of net discounts on securities available-for-sale | (1,559) | (1,739) |
| Accretion of net discounts on securities held-to-maturity | - | (237) |
| Amortization of discount on notes of CDOs | 689 | 27 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs on notes of CDOs | 2,356 | 1,521 |
| Amortization of stock-based compensation | 2,008 | 1,083 |
| Amortization of terminated derivative instruments | 113 | 111 |
| Distribution to subordinated debt holder | 1,167 | - |
| Non-cash incentive compensation to the Manager | 613 | 201 |
| Deferred income tax benefits | (1,718) | - |
| Purchase of securities, trading | (8,348) | (28,340) |
| Principal payments on securities, trading | 898 | 123 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities, trading | 5,531 | 16,868 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading | (3,568) | (3,279) |
| Net realized gains on investments | (1,802) | (3,852) |
| Gain on early extinguishment of debt | (1,835) | - |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | 171 | 4,649 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | (14,101) | 14,635 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 13,144 | 22,404 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | 64,085 | (20,023) |
| Purchase of securities available-for-sale | (39,184) | (59,348) |
| Principal payments on securities available-for-sale | 17,954 | 3,483 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale | 6,719 | 13,747 |
| Investment in unconsolidated entity | 1,470 | 354 |
| Improvement of real estate held-for-sale | (138) | - |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate held-for-sale | 2,886 | - |
| Purchase of loans | (340,523) | (387,247) |
| Principal payments received on loans | 240,407 | 277,672 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 93,236 | 95,370 |
| Distributions from investments in real estate | 851 | - |
| Improvements in investments in real estate | (504) | - |
| Purchase of intangible asset | (1,517) | (21,213) |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Principal payments received on loans – related parties | 137 | 264 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 45,879 | (96,941) |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS – (Continued)
(in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 31, | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net proceeds from issuances of common stock (net of offering costs of \$0 and \$1,228) | – | 46,382 |
| Net proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (net of offering costs of \$19 and \$0) | 32,282 | 54,564 |
| Proceeds from issuance of 8.5% Series A redeemable preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$486 and \$0) | 5,832 | – |
| Proceeds from borrowings: | | |
| Repurchase agreements | 44,295 | 23,687 |
| Payments on borrowings: | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations | (108,881) | – |
| Retirement of debt | (4,850) | – |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | (586) | (541) |
| Payment of equity to third party sub-note holders | (1,219) | – |
| Proceeds from CDO retained notes | (215) | – |
| Distributions paid on common stock | (36,900) | (32,145) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (70,242) | 91,947 |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (11,219) | 17,410 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 43,116 | 29,488 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$31,897 | \$46,898 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE: | | |
| Interest expense paid in cash | \$8,253 | \$16,727 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Resource Capital Corp. and subsidiaries' (collectively the "Company") principal business activity is to purchase and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related assets and commercial finance assets. The Company's investment activities are managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc. ("Manager") pursuant to a management agreement (the "Management Agreement"). The Manager is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. ("Resource America") (NASDAQ: REXI). The following subsidiaries are consolidated in the Company's financial statements:

RCC Real Estate, Inc. ("RCC Real Estate") holds real estate investments, including commercial real estate loans, commercial real estate-related securities and investments in real estate. RCC Real Estate owns 100% of the equity of the following variable interest entities ("VIEs"):

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1 ("RREF CDO 2006-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and qualified real estate investment trust ("REIT") subsidiary ("QRS"). RREF CDO 2006-1 was established to complete a collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS").

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1 ("RREF CDO 2007-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and QRS. RREF CDO 2007-1 was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate loans, CMBS and property available-for-sale.

RCC Commercial, Inc. ("RCC Commercial") holds bank loan investments. RCC Commercial owns 90% and 100%, respectively of the equity of the following VIEs:

Apidos CDO I, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO I"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). Apidos CDO I was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and asset-backed securities ("ABS").

Apidos CDO III, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO III"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CDO III was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and ABS.

RCC Commercial II, Inc. ("Commercial II") holds bank loan investments and commercial real estate-related securities. Commercial II owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos Cinco CDO, Ltd. ("Apidos Cinco CDO"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos Cinco CDO was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and ABS.

Resource TRS, Inc. ("Resource TRS"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's equity investment in a leasing company and holds all of its investment securities, trading.

Resource TRS II, Inc. ("Resource TRS II"), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company's interests in bank loan CDOs not originated by the Company. Resource TRS II owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Resource Capital Asset Management (“RCAM”), a domestic limited liability company, is entitled to collect senior, subordinated, and incentive fees related to five CDO issuers to which it provides management services through CVC Credit Partners, LLC, formerly Apidos Capital Management, a subsidiary of CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a private equity firm (“CVC”). Resource America, Inc. owns a 33% interest in CVC Credit Partners, LLC.

Resource TRS III, Inc. (“Resource TRS III”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company’s interests in a bank loan CDO originated by the Company. Resource TRS III owns 33% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CLO VIII, Ltd (“Apidos CLO VIII”), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CLO VIII was established to complete a CLO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans.

RSO EquityCo, LLC owns 10% of the equity of Apidos CDO I and 10% of the equity of Apidos CLO VIII.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION – (Continued)

The consolidated financial statements and the information and tables contained in the notes to the consolidated financial statements are unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, these interim financial statements include all adjustments necessary to fairly present the results of the interim periods presented. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 may not necessarily be indicative of the results of operations for the full year ending December 31, 2012.

The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, RREF CDO 2006-1 and RREF CDO 2007-1 based, among other things, on the guidance of Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 810, “Consolidation.” where it was determined that the Company was most closely associated with each VIE including the existence of a principal-agency relationship where the Company is the principal. In its capacity as manager, the Company has supported two credits in one of its commercial real estate (“CRE”) CDOs as it went through a restructure in order to maximize the future cash flows. The Company has provided no other financial support to any other of its VIEs.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company.

All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment portfolio as trading or available-for-sale. The Company, from time to time, may sell any of its investments due to changes in market conditions or in accordance with its investment strategy.

The Company’s investment securities, trading are reported at fair value (see Note 17). To determine fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes or bids which are validated using an income approach utilizing appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. Any changes in fair value are recorded in the Company’s results of operations as net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities, trading.

The Company’s investment securities available-for-sale are reported at fair value (see Note 17). To determine fair value, the Company uses a dealer quote, which typically will be the dealer who sold the Company the security. The Company has been advised that, in formulating their quotes, dealers may use recent trades in the particular security, if any, market activity in similar securities, if any, or internal valuation models. These quotes are non-binding. Based on how dealers develop their quotes, market liquidity and levels of trading, the Company categorizes these

investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the quotes it receives by applying its own valuation models. If there is a material difference between a quote the Company receives and the value indicated by its valuation models, the Company will evaluate the difference. As part of that evaluation, the Company will discuss the difference with the dealer, who may revise its quote based upon these discussions. Alternatively, the Company may revise its valuation models.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its available-for-sale investments for other-than-temporary impairment. An available-for-sale investment is impaired when its fair value has declined below its amortized cost basis. An impairment is considered other-than-temporary when the amortized cost basis of the investment or some portion thereof will not be recovered. In addition, the Company's intent to sell as well as the likelihood that the Company will be required to sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis is considered. Where credit quality is believed to be the cause of the other-than-temporary impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as an impairment loss in the statement of operations. Where other market components are believed to be the cause of the impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as other comprehensive loss.

Investment security transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses on investment securities are determined on the specific identification method.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Investment Interest Income Recognition

Interest income on the Company's mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities is accrued using the effective yield method based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal amount of the underlying mortgages or other assets. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the lives of the securities also using the effective yield method, adjusted for the effects of estimated prepayments. For an investment purchased at par, the effective yield is the contractual interest rate on the investment. If the investment is purchased at a discount or at a premium, the effective yield is computed based on the contractual interest rate increased for the accretion of a purchase discount or decreased for the amortization of a purchase premium. The effective yield method requires the Company to make estimates of future prepayment rates for its investments that can be contractually prepaid before their contractual maturity date so that the purchase discount can be accreted, or the purchase premium can be amortized, over the estimated remaining life of the investment. The prepayment estimates that the Company uses directly impact the estimated remaining lives of its investments. Actual prepayment estimates are reviewed as of each quarter end or more frequently if the Company becomes aware of any material information that would lead it to believe that an adjustment is necessary. If prepayment estimates are incorrect, the amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts may have to be adjusted, which would have an impact on future income.

Allowance for Loan Loss

The Company maintains an allowance for loan loss. Loans held for investment are first individually evaluated for impairment so specific reserves can be applied. Loans for which a specific reserve is not applicable are then evaluated for impairment as a homogeneous pool of loans with substantially similar characteristics so that a general reserve can be established, if needed. The reviews are performed at least quarterly.

The Company considers a loan to be impaired if one of two conditions exists. The first condition is if, based on current information and events, management believes it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The second condition is if the loan is deemed to be a troubled-debt restructuring ("TDR") where a concession has been given to a borrower in financial difficulty. These TDRs may not have an associated specific loan loss allowance if the principal and interest amount is considered recoverable based on current market conditions, expected collateral performance and / or guarantees made by the borrowers.

When a loan is impaired under either of these two conditions, the allowance for loan losses is increased by the amount of the excess of the amortized cost basis of the loan over its fair value. Fair value may be determined based on the present value of estimated cash flows; on market price, if available; or on the fair value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs. When a loan, or a portion thereof, is considered uncollectible and pursuit of collection is not warranted, the Company will record a charge-off or write-down of the loan against the allowance for loan losses.

An impaired loan may remain on accrual status during the period in which the Company is pursuing repayment of the loan; however, the loan would be placed on non-accrual status at such time as (i) management believes that scheduled debt service payments will not be met within the coming 12 months; (ii) the loan becomes 90 days delinquent; (iii) management determines the borrower is incapable of, or has ceased efforts toward, curing the cause of the

impairment; or (iv) the net realizable value of the loan's underlying collateral approximates the Company's carrying value for such loan. While on non-accrual status, the Company recognizes interest income only when an actual payment is received.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2012
 (Unaudited)

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES – (Continued)

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate are carried net of accumulated depreciation. Costs directly related to the acquisition are expensed as incurred. Ordinary repairs and maintenance which are not reimbursed by the tenants are expensed as incurred. Costs related to the improvement of the real property are capitalized and depreciated over their useful life.

Acquisitions of real estate assets and any related intangible assets are recorded initially at fair value under FASB ASC Topic 805, “Business Combinations.” The Company allocates the purchase price of its investments in real estate to land, building, site improvements, the value of in-place leases and the value of above or below market leases. The value allocated to above or below market leases is amortized over the remaining lease term as an adjustment to rental income. The Company amortizes the value allocated to in-place leases over the weighted average remaining lease term to depreciation and amortization expense. The Company depreciates real property using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| Category | Term |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Building | 25 – 40 years |
| Site improvements | Lesser of the remaining life of building or useful life |

Long-Lived and Intangible Assets

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset’s use and eventual disposition. If impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset.

No impairment charges were recorded on the Company’s investment in real estate or intangible assets during the three or six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Recent Accounting Standards

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance which changes the presentation of comprehensive income. It eliminates the option to present comprehensive income as part of the changes in stockholders’ equity. In addition, it requires consecutive disclosure of comprehensive income either as part of the statement of net income or in a statement immediately following. Finally, the guidance requires disclosure on the face of the financial statements of any reclassifications between net income and other comprehensive income. The guidance is effective for fiscal years and periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2011. In December 2011, the FASB updated the guidance to defer the requirement related to the presentation of certain reclassification adjustments. Adoption required an additional statement to disclose the Company’s comprehensive income, which is included with these financial statements.

In April 2011, the FASB issued guidance which revises the criteria for assessing effective control for repurchase agreements and other agreements that both entitle and obligate a transferor to repurchase or redeem financial assets before their maturity. The determination of whether the transfer of a financial asset subject to a repurchase agreement is a sale is based, in part, on whether the entity maintains effective control over the financial asset. The amendments in this guidance will be effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011, and will be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Adoption had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2011 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2012 presentation.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 3 – SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Non-cash investing activities include the following: | | |
| Contribution of lease receivables and other assets | \$– | \$117,340 |
| Conversion of equity in LEAF Receivables Funding 3 to preferred stock and warrants | \$– | \$(21,000) |
| Acquisition of real estate investments | \$– | \$(33,073) |
| Conversion of loans to investment in real estate | \$– | \$34,550 |
| Non-cash financing activities include the following: | | |
| Distributions on common stock declared but not paid | \$17,254 | \$18,567 |
| Distribution on preferred stock declared but not paid | \$25 | \$– |
| Issuance of restricted stock | \$482 | \$966 |
| Contribution of equipment-backed securitized notes and other liability | \$– | \$(96,840) |

NOTE 4 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES, TRADING

The following table summarizes the Company's structured notes and residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") which are classified as investment securities, trading and carried at fair value (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Structured notes | \$26,872 | \$10,895 | \$(1,131) | \$36,636 |
| RMBS | 10,547 | 452 | (3,482) | 7,517 |
| Total | \$37,419 | \$11,347 | \$(4,613) | \$44,153 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Structured notes | \$27,345 | \$6,098 | \$(1,890) | \$31,553 |
| RMBS | 8,729 | 100 | (1,709) | 7,120 |
| Total | \$36,074 | \$6,198 | \$(3,599) | \$38,673 |

The Company purchased and sold two securities during the six months ended June 30, 2012, for a net loss of \$791,000. The Company also had one position liquidate during the six months ended June 30, 2012 which resulted in a gain of \$224,000. The Company held 26 and 27 investment securities, trading as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

The following table summarizes the Company's investment securities, including those pledged as collateral and classified as available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost (1) | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| CMBS | \$ 178,080 | \$ 1,062 | \$(22,280) | \$ 156,862 |
| ABS | 29,703 | 842 | (2,298) | 28,247 |
| Other asset-backed | – | 23 | – | 23 |
| Total | \$ 207,783 | \$ 1,927 | \$(24,578) | \$ 185,132 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| CMBS | \$ 161,512 | \$ 1,192 | \$(29,884) | \$ 132,820 |
| ABS | 28,513 | 215 | (3,527) | 25,201 |
| Other asset-backed | – | 23 | – | 23 |
| Total | \$ 190,025 | \$ 1,430 | \$(33,411) | \$ 158,044 |

(1) As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$177.3 million and \$153.4 million, respectively, of securities were pledged as collateral security under related financings.

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS and ABS according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

| Weighted Average Life | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Weighted Average Coupon |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | |
| Less than one year | \$ 51,076 (1) | \$ 51,023 | 5.62% |
| Greater than one year and less than five years | 85,491 | 105,250 | 4.58% |
| Greater than five years and less than ten years | 47,026 | 49,303 | 3.67% |
| Greater than ten years | 1,539 | 2,207 | 3.71% |
| Total | \$ 185,132 | \$ 207,783 | 4.63% |
| December 31, 2011: | | | |
| Less than one year | \$ 61,137 (2) | \$ 65,485 | 2.73% |
| Greater than one year and less than five years | 69,376 | 91,826 | 4.75% |
| Greater than five years and less than ten years | 25,596 | 29,527 | 3.90% |
| Greater than ten years | 1,935 | 3,187 | 3.84% |
| Total | \$ 158,044 | \$ 190,025 | 3.82% |

(1) The Company expects that the maturity date of these CMBS will either be extended or the CMBS will be paid in full.

(2) \$6.7 million of CMBS maturing in this category are collateralized by floating-rate loans and, as permitted under the CMBS terms, are expected to extend their maturities, because, beyond their contractual extensions which expired or will expire this year, the servicer may allow further extensions of the underlying floating rate loans. The Company expects that the remaining \$53.5 million of CMBS will either have their maturity date extended or be paid in full.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE – (Continued)

The contractual maturities of the CMBS investment securities available-for-sale range from August 2012 to July 2022. The contractual maturities of the ABS investment securities available-for-sale range from February 2013 to August 2022.

The following table shows the fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time, of those individual investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position during the periods specified (in thousands):

| | Less than 12 Months | | More than 12 Months | | Total | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | | |
| CMBS | \$ 91,070 | \$ (3,421) | \$ 30,841 | \$ (18,859) | \$ 121,911 | \$ (22,280) |
| ABS | 5,016 | (73) | 6,344 | (2,225) | 11,360 | (2,298) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 96,086 | \$ (3,494) | \$ 37,185 | \$ (21,084) | \$ 133,271 | \$ (24,578) |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| CMBS | \$ 99,974 | \$ (17,096) | \$ 8,281 | \$ (12,788) | \$ 108,255 | \$ (29,884) |
| ABS | 13,583 | (935) | 4,473 | (2,592) | 18,056 | (3,527) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 113,557 | \$ (18,031) | \$ 12,754 | \$ (15,380) | \$ 126,311 | \$ (33,411) |

The Company held 18 and eight CMBS investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The Company held 11 and seven ABS investment securities available-for-sale that have been in a loss position for more than 12 months as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The unrealized losses in the above table are considered to be temporary impairments due to market factors and are not reflective of credit deterioration.

The determination of other-than-temporary impairment is a subjective process, and different judgments and assumptions could affect the timing of loss realization. The Company reviews its portfolios and makes other-than-temporary impairment determinations at least quarterly. The Company considers the following factors when determining if there is an other-than-temporary impairment on a security:

the length of time the market value has been less than amortized cost;

the severity of the impairment;

the expected loss of the security as generated by a third-party valuation model;

original and current credit ratings from the rating agencies;

underlying credit fundamentals of the collateral backing the securities;

whether, based upon the Company's intent, it is more likely than not that the Company will sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis; and

third-party support for default, for recovery, prepayment speed and reinvestment price assumptions.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company held \$156.9 million and \$132.8 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized losses of \$21.2 million and \$28.7 million, respectively), of CMBS recorded at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses two methods, either a dealer quote or an internal valuation model, depending upon the current level of market activity (see Note 2). As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$156.9 million and \$123.9 million, respectively, of investment securities available-for-sale were valued using dealer quotes and \$0 and \$8.9 million, respectively, were valued using an internal valuation model.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company held \$28.2 million and \$25.2 million, respectively, (net of net unrealized losses of \$1.5 million and \$3.3 million), of ABS recorded at fair value (see Note 2). To determine their fair value, the Company uses dealer quotes.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 5 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE – (Continued)

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment on positions that supported the Company's CMBS portfolio. The Company's securities classified as available-for-sale have increased in fair value on a net basis as of June 30, 2012 as compared to December 31, 2011 primarily due to improving dealer marks. At December 31, 2011, the Company's securities classified as available-for-sale had declined in fair value on a net basis, and the Company had concluded that the decline continued to be temporary and did not believe that any of its securities classified as available-for-sale were other-than-temporarily impaired. The Company performs an on-going review of third-party reports and updated financial data on the underlying properties in order to analyze current and projected security performance. Rating agency downgrades are considered with respect to the Company's income approach when determining other-than-temporary impairment and, when inputs are subjected to testing for economic changes within possible ranges, the resulting projected cash flows reflect a full recovery of principal and interest indicating no impairment.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company sold two CMBS positions with a total par of \$7.0 million and recognized a gain of \$912,000. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company sold three CMBS positions with a total par of \$15.0 million and recognized a gain of \$3.5 million.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company sold two and five ABS positions with a total par of \$1.3 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, and recognized gains of \$11,000 and \$22,000, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, no ABS sales occurred.

Changes in interest rates may also have an effect on the rate of mortgage principal prepayments and, as a result, prepayments on CMBS in the Company's investment portfolio. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the aggregate discount due to interest rate changes exceeded the aggregate premium due to interest rate changes on the Company's CMBS by approximately \$11.0 million and \$13.2 million, respectively. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the aggregate discount on the Company's ABS portfolio was \$3.6 million and \$3.8 million, respectively. There were no premiums on the Company's ABS investment portfolio.

NOTE 6 – INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE

The table below summarizes the Company's investments in real estate (in thousands):

| | As of June 30, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | Book Value | Number of Properties | Book Value | Number of Properties |
| Multi-family property | \$ 38,577 | 2 | \$ 38,577 | 2 |
| Office property | 10,149 | 1 | 10,149 | 1 |
| Subtotal | 48,726 | | 48,726 | |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (1,364) | | (699) | |
| Investments in real estate | \$ 47,362 | | \$ 48,027 | |

Acquisitions

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company made no acquisitions. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company converted two loans it had originated to investments in real estate and acquired one real estate asset, summarized as follows:

On June 14, 2011, the Company converted a loan that it had originated to equity with a fair value of \$22.4 million at acquisition. The loan was collateralized by a 400 unit multi-family property in Memphis, Tennessee. The property was 93.8% occupied at acquisition.

On June 24, 2011, the Company converted a loan that it had originated to equity with a fair value of \$10.7 million at acquisition. The loan was collateralized by an office building in Pacific Palisades, California. The property was 60% occupied at acquisition.

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through its subsidiary RCC Real Estate, purchased Whispertree Apartments, a 504 multi-family property located in Houston, Texas, for \$18.1 million, the fair value. The property was 95% occupied at acquisition. In conjunction with the purchase of this property, the Company entered into a mortgage in the amount of \$13.6 million.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 6 – INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE – (Continued)

A summary of the aggregate estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired on the respective dates of acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2011 are presented below (in thousands):

| Description | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets acquired: | |
| Investments in real estate | \$ 48,683 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 177 |
| Restricted cash | 2,360 |
| Intangible assets | 2,490 |
| Other assets | 391 |
| Total assets acquired | 54,101 |
| Liabilities assumed: | |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 673 |
| Total liabilities assumed | 673 |
| Estimated fair value of net assets acquired | \$ 53,428 |

The Company accounted for the acquisition of Whispertree Apartments as a business combination in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 805. In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company obtained the final appraisal of the property. Based on the final appraisal, the Company adjusted the value of the land and the value of the building by \$3.9 million, respectively, as of the acquisition date. Accordingly, these adjustments were recognized and are reflected in the consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 7 – LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT

The following is a summary of the Company's loans (in thousands):

| Loan Description | Principal | Unamortized (Discount) Premium (1) | Carrying Value (2) |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | |
| Bank loans (3) | \$1,155,637 | \$ (23,530) | \$1,132,107 |
| Commercial real estate loans: | | | |
| Whole loans(4) | 583,312 | (2,079) | 581,233 |
| B notes | 16,511 | (129) | 16,382 |
| Mezzanine loans | 67,807 | 40 | 67,847 |
| Total commercial real estate loans | 667,630 | (2,168) | 665,462 |
| Subtotal loans before allowances | 1,823,267 | (25,698) | 1,797,569 |
| Allowance for loan loss | (12,376) | – | (12,376) |
| Total | \$1,810,891 | \$ (25,698) | \$1,785,193 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | |
| Bank loans (3) | \$1,205,826 | \$ (32,073) | \$1,173,753 |
| Commercial real estate loans: | | | |
| Whole loans | 545,828 | (1,155) | 544,673 |
| B notes | 16,579 | (144) | 16,435 |
| Mezzanine loans | 67,842 | 32 | 67,874 |
| Total commercial real estate loans | 630,249 | (1,267) | 628,982 |
| Subtotal loans before allowances | 1,836,075 | (33,340) | 1,802,735 |
| Allowance for loan loss | (27,518) | – | (27,518) |
| Total | \$1,808,557 | \$ (33,340) | \$1,775,217 |

(1) Amounts include deferred amendment fees of \$378,000 and \$286,000 and deferred upfront fees of \$384,000 and \$0 being amortized over the life of the bank loans. Amounts include loan origination fees of \$1.1 million and \$984,000 and loan extension & exit fees of \$911,000 and \$123,000 being amortized over the life of the commercial real estate loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(2) Substantially all loans are pledged as collateral under various borrowings at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(3) Amounts include \$15.1 million and \$3.2 million of bank loans held for sale at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(4) Amount includes \$34.0 million of a whole loan that is classified as a loan held for sale at June 30, 2012.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately 41.1% and 41.9%, respectively, of the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio was concentrated in commercial real estate loans located in California; approximately 8.5% and 9.1%, respectively, in Arizona; and approximately 7.6% and 8.0%, respectively, in Florida. At each of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately 13.9%, of the Company's bank loan portfolio was concentrated in the collective industry grouping of healthcare, education and childcare.

At June 30, 2012, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$1.1 billion (net of allowance of \$5.2 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the three month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.4% and three month LIBOR plus 10.0% with maturity dates ranging from November 2012 to September 2019. At December 31, 2011, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$1.2 billion (net of allowance of \$3.3 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between three month LIBOR plus 1.1%, and three month LIBOR plus 10.6% with maturity dates ranging from March 2012 to September 2019.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 7 – LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT – (Continued)

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's bank loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Less than one year | \$ 9,013 | \$ 1,968 |
| Greater than one year and less than five years | 734,107 | 684,376 |
| Five years or greater | 388,987 | 487,409 |
| | \$ 1,132,107 | \$ 1,173,753 |

The following is a summary of the Company's commercial real estate loans held for investment (in thousands):

| Description | Quantity | Amortized Cost | Contracted Interest Rates | Maturity Dates (3) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Whole loans, floating rate (1) (4) (5) (6) | 37 | \$ 581,233 | LIBOR plus 2.00% to LIBOR plus 5.75% | September 2012 to February 2019 |
| B notes, fixed rate | 1 | 16,382 | 8.68% | April 2016 |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate | 3 | 53,921 | LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 7.45% | August 2012 to December 2012 |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate | 2 | 13,926 | 8.99% to 11.00% | January 2016 to September 2016 |
| Total (2) | 43 | \$ 665,462 | | |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Whole loans, floating rate (1) (4) (5) | 32 | \$ 537,708 | LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 5.75% | April 2012 to February 2019 |
| Whole loans, fixed rate | 1 | 6,965 | 10.00% | June 2012 |
| B notes, fixed rate | 1 | 16,435 | 8.68% | April 2016 |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate | 3 | 53,908 | LIBOR plus 2.50% to LIBOR plus 7.45% | May 2012 to December 2012 |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate | 2 | 13,966 | 8.99% to 11.00% | January 2016 to September 2016 |
| Total (2) | 39 | \$ 628,982 | | |

(1) Whole loans had \$6.1 million and \$5.2 million in unfunded loan commitments as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These commitments are funded as the borrowers require additional funding and have satisfied the requirements to obtain this additional funding.

(2)

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

The total does not include an allowance for loan loss of \$7.2 million and \$24.2 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

- (3) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.
- (4) Floating rate whole loans include a \$2.0 million portion of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 15.0% as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- (5) Floating rate whole loans include a \$597,000 and \$302,000 preferred equity tranche of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 10.0% as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- (6) Amount includes \$34.0 million for a whole loan that is classified as a loan held for sale at June 30, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 7 – LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT – (Continued)

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's commercial real estate loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

| Description | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 and Thereafter | Total |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| B notes | \$– | \$– | \$16,382 | \$16,382 |
| Mezzanine loans | 43,404 | – | 24,443 | 67,847 |
| Whole loans | 21,750 | 3,250 | 556,233 | 581,233 |
| Total (1) | \$65,154 | \$3,250 | \$597,058 | \$665,462 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| B notes | \$– | \$– | \$16,435 | \$16,435 |
| Mezzanine loans | 38,072 | 5,319 | 24,483 | 67,874 |
| Whole loans | 97,327 | 3,250 | 444,096 | 544,673 |
| Total (1) | \$135,399 | \$8,569 | \$485,014 | \$628,982 |

(1) Weighted average life of commercial real estate loans assumes full exercise of extension options available to borrowers.

The following is a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan loss with respect to the Company's commercial real estate and bank loans (in thousands, except percentages) by asset class:

| Description | Allowance for Loan Loss | Percentage of Total Allowance |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | |
| B notes | \$ 238 | 1.93% |
| Mezzanine loans | 988 | 7.99% |
| Whole loans | 5,936 | 47.95% |
| Bank loans | 5,214 | 42.13% |
| Total | \$ 12,376 | |
| December 31, 2011: | | |
| B notes | \$ 253 | 0.92% |
| Mezzanine loans | 1,437 | 5.23% |
| Whole loans | 22,531 | 81.87% |
| Bank loans | 3,297 | 11.98% |
| Total | \$ 27,518 | |

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$12.4 million consisting of a \$5.2 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$7.2 million allowance on the Company's commercial

real estate portfolio as a result of the impairment of three bank loans and one commercial real estate loan as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios. The whole loan allowance decreased \$16.6 million from \$22.5 million as of December 31, 2011 to \$5.9 million as of June 30, 2012. This decrease is primarily the result of a charge to the allowance resulting from a CRE loan that restructured with a new borrower and new use for the underlying property.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$27.5 million consisting of a \$3.3 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$24.2 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio as a result of the impairment of one bank loan and four commercial real estate loans as well as the maintenance of a general reserve with respect to these portfolios.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 8 – INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

On November 16, 2011, the Company, together with LEAF Financial and LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc. (“LCC”), a commercial finance company specializing in equipment leasing formed in January 2011, each of which is a subsidiary of Resource America, entered into a stock purchase agreement and related agreements (collectively the “SPA”) with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates (“Eos”). In exchange for its prior interest in LCC, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “Series B Preferred Stock”) and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock (the “Series D Preferred Stock”), collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis assuming conversion, a 26.7% interest in LCC. The Company’s investment in LCC was valued at \$36.3 million based on a third-party valuation. Several approaches were used, including discounted expected cash flows, market approach and comparable sales transactions to estimate the fair value of its investment in LCC as a result of the transaction. These approaches required assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates, which were based on the current economic environment and credit market conditions. The Company recorded a loss of \$2.2 million in conjunction with the transaction. The Company’s resulting interest is accounted for under the equity method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a loss of \$1.3 million which was recorded in other expense on the consolidated statement of income. The Company’s investment in LCC was valued at \$35.1 million as of June 30, 2012.

The Company has a 100% interest valued at \$1.5 million in the common shares (3% of the total equity) in two trusts, Resource Capital Trust I (“RCT I”) and RCC Trust II (“RCT II”). The Company completed a qualitative analysis to determine whether or not it is the primary beneficiary of each of the trusts. The Company does not have the power to direct the activities of either trust, nor does it have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to these trusts. Therefore, the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of either trust and they are not consolidated into the Company’s consolidated financial statements. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II’s common shares of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts using the cost method and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$578,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$45,000 and \$90,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized \$891,000 and \$1.8 million, respectively, of interest expense with respect to the subordinated debentures it issued to RCT I and RCT II which included \$78,000 and \$154,000, respectively, of amortization of deferred debt issuance costs. The Company will continuously reassess whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES

The following tables show the allowance for loan losses and recorded investments in loans for the years indicated (in thousands):

| | Commercial Real Estate Loans | Bank Loans | Lease Receivables | Loans Receivable-Related Party | Total |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | |
| Allowance for losses at January 1, 2012 | \$ 24,221 | \$ 3,297 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 27,518 |
| Provision for loan loss | 3,836 | 2,595 | – | – | 6,431 |
| Loans charged-off | (20,895) | (678) | – | – | (21,573) |
| Recoveries | – | – | – | – | – |
| Allowance for losses at June 30, 2012 | \$ 7,162 | \$ 5,214 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 12,376 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,375 | \$ 2,130 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 3,505 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 5,787 | \$ 3,084 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 8,871 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 98,570 | \$ 4,965 | \$ – | \$ 9,438 | \$ 112,973 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 566,892 | \$ 1,127,142 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 1,694,034 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Allowance for losses at January 1, 2011 | \$ 31,617 | \$ 2,616 | \$ 70 | \$ – | \$ 34,303 |
| Provision for loan loss | 6,478 | 7,418 | – | – | 13,896 |
| Loans charged-off | (13,874) | (6,737) | (70) | – | (20,681) |
| Recoveries | – | – | – | – | – |
| Allowance for losses at December 31, 2011 | \$ 24,221 | \$ 3,297 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 27,518 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 17,065 | \$ 1,593 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 18,658 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 7,156 | \$ 1,704 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 8,860 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 113,038 | \$ 2,693 | \$ – | \$ 9,497 | \$ 125,228 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 515,944 | \$ 1,171,060 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 1,687,004 |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
|----------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES – (Continued)

Credit quality indicators

Bank Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period end at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. The Company considers such things as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies, and industry dynamics in grading its bank loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank loans were as follows (in thousands):

| | Rating 1 | Rating 2 | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Rating 5 | Held for Sale | Total |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------|--------------|
| As of June 30, 2012: | | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | \$ 1,041,968 | \$ 15,236 | \$ 44,652 | \$ 10,172 | \$ 4,965 | \$ 15,114 | \$ 1,132,107 |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | \$ 1,076,298 | \$ 19,739 | \$ 60,329 | \$ 11,540 | \$ 2,693 | \$ 3,154 | \$ 1,173,753 |

All of the Company's bank loans are performing with the exception of three loans with a total carrying amount of \$5.0 million as of June 30, 2012, two of which defaulted as of March 31, 2012 and one of which defaulted on December 30, 2011.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 4 representing its lowest rating. The Company designates loans that are sold after the period end at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, the Company considers such things as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest, structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms in grading its commercial real estate loans.

Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

| | Rating 1 | Rating 2 | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Held for Sale | Total |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|-------|
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------|-------|

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2012:

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|------------|------|-----------|------------|
| Whole loans | \$ 419,506 | \$ 28,750 | \$ 98,977 | \$ - | \$ 34,000 | \$ 581,233 |
| B notes | 16,382 | - | - | - | - | 16,382 |
| Mezzanine loans | 23,338 | - | 44,509 | - | - | 67,847 |
| | \$ 459,226 | \$ 28,750 | \$ 143,486 | \$ - | \$ 34,000 | \$ 665,462 |

As of December 31, 2011:

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------|------------|
| Whole loans | \$ 329,085 | \$ 87,598 | \$ 90,225 | \$ 37,765 | \$ - | \$ 544,673 |
| B notes | 16,435 | - | - | - | - | 16,435 |
| Mezzanine loans | 23,347 | - | 44,527 | - | - | 67,874 |
| | \$ 368,867 | \$ 87,598 | \$ 134,752 | \$ 37,765 | \$ - | \$ 628,982 |

All of the Company's commercial real estate loans are performing as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES – (Continued)

Loan Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following table shows the loan portfolio aging analysis as of the dates indicated at cost basis (in thousands):

| | 30-59 Days | 60-89 Days | Greater than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Receivable | Total Loans > 90 Days and Accruing |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$581,233 | \$581,233 | \$– |
| B notes | – | – | – | – | 16,382 | 16,382 | – |
| Mezzanine loans | – | – | – | – | 67,847 | 67,847 | – |
| Bank loans | – | 2,205 | 2,760 | 4,965 | 1,127,142 | 1,132,107 | – |
| Loans receivable- related party | – | – | – | – | 9,438 | 9,438 | – |
| Total loans | \$– | \$2,205 | \$2,760 | \$4,965 | \$1,802,042 | \$1,807,007 | \$– |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$544,673 | \$544,673 | \$– |
| B notes | – | – | – | – | 16,435 | 16,435 | – |
| Mezzanine loans | – | – | – | – | 67,874 | 67,874 | – |
| Bank loans | – | – | – | – | 1,173,753 | 1,173,753 | – |
| Loans receivable- related party | – | – | – | – | 9,497 | 9,497 | – |
| Total loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$1,812,232 | \$1,812,232 | \$– |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES – (Continued)

Impaired Loans

The following tables show impaired loans indicated (in thousands):

| | Recorded Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Specific Allowance | Average Investment in Impaired Loans | Interest Income Recognized |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$76,195 | \$76,195 | \$– | \$75,763 | \$4,444 |
| B notes | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Mezzanine loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Bank loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$22,375 | \$22,375 | \$(1,375) | \$16,990 | \$1,310 |
| B notes | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Mezzanine loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Bank loans | \$4,965 | \$4,965 | \$(2,130) | \$– | \$– |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$98,570 | \$98,570 | \$(1,375) | \$92,753 | \$5,754 |
| B notes | – | – | – | – | – |
| Mezzanine loans | – | – | – | – | – |
| Bank loans | 4,965 | 4,965 | (2,130) | – | – |
| | \$103,535 | \$103,535 | \$(3,505) | \$92,753 | \$5,754 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$75,273 | \$75,273 | \$– | \$75,263 | \$2,682 |
| B notes | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Mezzanine loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Bank loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$37,765 | \$37,765 | \$(17,065) | \$36,608 | \$920 |
| B notes | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Mezzanine loans | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$– |
| Bank loans | \$2,693 | \$2,693 | \$(1,593) | \$2,693 | \$– |
| Total: | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Whole loans | \$113,038 | \$113,038 | \$(17,065) | \$111,871 | \$3,602 |
| B notes | – | – | – | – | – |
| Mezzanine loans | – | – | – | – | – |
| Bank loans | 2,693 | 2,693 | (1,593) | 2,693 | – |
| | \$115,731 | \$115,731 | \$(18,658) | \$114,564 | \$3,602 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 –FINANCING RECEIVABLES – (Continued)

Troubled- Debt Restructurings

The following tables show the loan portfolio troubled-debt restructurings (in thousands):

| | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Three and six months ended June 30, 2012: | | | |
| Whole loans | 4 | \$ 133,955 | \$ 116,669 |
| B notes | – | – | – |
| Mezzanine loans | – | – | – |
| Bank loans | – | – | – |
| Loans receivable - related party | 1 | 7,797 | 7,797 |
| Total loans | 5 | \$ 141,752 | \$ 124,446 |
| Three and six months ended June 30, 2011: | | | |
| Whole loans | 2 | \$ 34,739 | \$ 33,073 |
| B notes | – | – | – |
| Mezzanine loans | – | – | – |
| Bank loans | – | – | – |
| Loans receivable - related party | 1 | 7,981 | 7,981 |
| Total loans | 3 | \$ 42,720 | \$ 41,054 |

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted.

NOTE 10 – INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets represent identifiable intangible assets acquired as a result of the Company's acquisition of RCAM in February 2011, its conversion of loans to investments in real estate in June 2011, and the acquisition of real estate in August 2011. The Company amortizes identified intangible assets to expense over their estimated lives or period of benefit using the straight-line method. The Company evaluates intangible assets for impairment as events and circumstances change. The Company expects to record amortization expense on intangible assets of approximately \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$2.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2015, and \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The weighted average amortization period is nine years at June 30, 2012 and the accumulated amortization at June 30, 2012 was \$5.8 million.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

JUNE 30, 2012

(Unaudited)

NOTE 10– INTANGIBLE ASSETS – (Continued)

The following table summarizes intangible assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands).

| | Beginning Balance | Accumulated Amortization | Net Asset |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | |
| Investment in RCAM | \$21,213 | \$ (3,550) | \$17,663 |
| Investments in real estate: | | | |
| In-place leases | 2,461 | (2,253) | 208 |
| Above (below) market leases | 29 | (23) | 6 |
| | 2,490 | (2,276) | 214 |
| Total intangible assets | \$23,703 | \$ (5,826) | \$17,877 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | |
| Investment in RCAM | \$21,213 | \$ (2,237) | \$18,976 |
| Investments in real estate: | | | |
| In-place leases | 2,461 | (1,634) | 827 |
| Above (below) market leases | 29 | (19) | 10 |
| | 2,490 | (1,653) | 837 |
| Total intangible assets | \$23,703 | \$ (3,890) | \$19,813 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – BORROWINGS

The Company historically has financed the acquisition of its investments, including investment securities, loans and lease receivables, through the use of secured and unsecured borrowings in the form of CDOs, securitized notes, repurchase agreements, secured term facilities, warehouse facilities and trust preferred securities issuances. Certain information with respect to the Company's borrowings at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is summarized in the following table (in thousands, except percentages):

| | Outstanding Borrowings | Weighted Average Borrowing Rate | Weighted Average Remaining Maturity | Value of Collateral |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes (1) | \$ 149,721 | 1.43% | 34.1 years | \$ 264,113 |
| RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes (2) | 266,638 | 0.85% | 34.3 years | 383,272 |
| Apidos CDO I Senior Notes (3) | 254,577 | 1.01% | 5.1 years | 265,533 |
| Apidos CDO III Senior Notes (4) | 261,474 | 0.85% | 8.0 years | 267,830 |
| Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes (5) | 320,254 | 0.89% | 7.9 years | 337,781 |
| Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes (6) | 299,269 | 2.07% | 9.3 years | 344,719 |
| Apidos CLO VIII Securitized Borrowings (10) | 24,075 | –% | 9.3 years | – |
| Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures (7) | 50,721 | 4.42% | 24.2 years | – |
| Repurchase Agreements (8) | 99,485 | 2.15% | 18.0 days | 127,176 |
| Mortgage Payable (9) | 13,591 | 4.19% | 6.1 years | 18,100 |
| Total | \$ 1,739,805 | 1.36% | 14.1 years | \$ 2,008,524 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes (1) | \$ 157,803 | 1.44% | 34.6 years | \$ 264,796 |
| RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes (2) | 315,882 | 0.85% | 34.8 years | 422,641 |
| Apidos CDO I Senior Notes (3) | 314,884 | 1.04% | 5.6 years | 315,088 |
| Apidos CDO III Senior Notes (4) | 261,209 | 0.99% | 8.5 years | 260,167 |
| Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes (5) | 319,959 | 0.95% | 8.4 years | 326,164 |
| Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes (6) | 298,312 | 2.42% | 9.8 years | 334,122 |
| Apidos CLO VIII Securitized Borrowings (10) | 21,364 | –% | 9.8 years | – |
| Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures (7) | 50,631 | 4.35% | 24.7 years | – |
| Repurchase Agreements (8) | 55,406 | 1.54% | 18.0 days | 64,321 |
| Mortgage Payable (9) | 13,536 | 4.23% | 6.6 years | 18,100 |
| Total | \$ 1,808,986 | 1.38% | 15.3 years | \$ 2,005,399 |

(1) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$150.7 million and \$159.1 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$1.0 million and \$1.2 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in August 2006.

(2) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$268.6 million and \$318.6 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$2.0 million and \$2.7 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in September 2007.

- (3) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$255.2 million and \$315.9 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$614,000 and \$1.1 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in August 2005.
- (4) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$262.5 million and \$262.5 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in May 2006.
- (5) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$322.0 million and \$322.0 million less unamortized issuance costs of \$1.7 million and \$2.0 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in May 2007.
- (6) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$317.6 million and \$317.6 million, less unamortized issuance costs of \$5.2 million and \$5.5 million, and less unamortized discounts of \$13.1 million and \$13.8 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This CDO transaction closed in October 2011.
- (7) Amount represents junior subordinated debentures issued to RCT I and RCT II in May 2006 and September 2006, respectively.
- (8) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$67.2 million and \$55.9 million less unamortized deferred debt costs of \$160,000 and \$494,000 and accrued interest costs of \$35,000 and \$33,000 related to CMBS repurchase facilities as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and principal outstanding of \$33.2 million less unamortized deferred debt costs of \$611,000 and accrued interest costs of \$74,000 related to CRE repurchase facilities as of June 30, 2012.
- (9) Amount represents principal outstanding of \$13.6 million and \$13.6 million less unamortized real estate financing costs of \$9,000 and \$65,000 as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This real estate transaction closed in August 2011.
- (10) The securitized borrowings are collateralized by the same assets as the Apidos CLO VIII Senior Notes.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1

In June 2007, the Company closed RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The investments held by RREF CDO 2007-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2007-1 issued a total of \$265.6 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class H senior notes (rated BBB+:Fitch), class K senior notes (rated BBB-:Fitch), class L senior notes (rated BB:Fitch) and class M senior notes (rated B: Fitch) for \$68.0 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2007-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2007-1. The reinvestment period for RREF 2007-1 ended in June 2012 which will result in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2012, \$7,000 of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

The senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2007-1 consist of the following classes: (i) \$180.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.28%; (ii) \$50.0 million of unissued class A-1R notes, which allow the CDO to fund future funding obligations under the existing whole loan participations that have future funding commitments; the undrawn balance of the class A-1R notes will accrue a commitment fee at a rate per annum equal to 0.18%, the drawn balance will bear interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iii) \$57.5 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.46%; (iv) \$22.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (v) \$7.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.423%; (vi) \$26.8 million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.95%; (vii) \$11.9 million of class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.15%; (viii) \$11.9 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (ix) \$11.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.55%; (x) \$11.3 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.30%; (xi) \$11.3 million of class J notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.95%; (xii) \$10.0 million of class K notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%; (xiii) \$18.8 million of class L notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% and (xiv) \$28.8 million of class M notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 8.50%. All of the notes issued mature in September 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 2017 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 0.85% and 0.85% at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 the Company repurchased \$50.0 million of the Class A-1R notes in RREF CDO 2007-1 at a weighted average price of 90.00% to par which, after fees paid to an investment bank to finance the transaction and related expenses, resulting in a \$3.6 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

In connection with the Company's ownership of certain notes held by RREF CDO 2007-1, on June 21, 2011 the Company surrendered for cancellation, without consideration, to the trustee of RREF CDO 2007-1 the following outstanding notes, which previously eliminated in consolidation: \$7.5 million of the Class B notes, \$6.5 million of the Class F notes, \$6.3 million of the Class G notes and \$10.6 million of the Class H notes. The surrendered notes were cancelled by the trustee pursuant to the applicable indenture, and the obligations due under those notes were deemed extinguished. The effect of these cancellations was to improve the CDO's performance with respect to its over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, with which it was already in compliance before the cancellation, and to secure the Company's long-term interest in this structured vehicle.

As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations – (Continued)

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1

In August 2006, the Company closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2006-1 collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. RREF CDO 2006-1 issued a total of \$308.7 million of senior notes at par to investors of which RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class J senior notes (rated BB: Fitch) and class K senior notes (rated B:Fitch) for \$43.1 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2006-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2006-1. The reinvestment period for RREF 2006-1 ended in September 2011 which will result in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2012, \$23.1 million of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

The senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2006-1 consist of the following classes: (i) \$129.4 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (ii) \$17.4 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.35%; (iii) \$5.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.842%; (iv) \$6.9 million of class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.40%; (v) \$20.7 million of class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.62%; (vi) \$15.5 million of class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vii) \$20.7 million of class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (viii) \$19.8 million of class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.60%; (ix) \$17.3 million of class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.90%; (x) \$12.9 million of class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.75%, (xi) \$14.7 million of Class J notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00% and (xii) \$28.4 million of Class K notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00%. As a result of the Company's ownership of the Class J and K senior notes, these notes eliminate in consolidation. All of the notes issued mature in August 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after August 2016 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors and net of repurchased notes was 1.43% and 1.44% at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 the Company repurchased \$5.25 million of the Class A-1 notes and \$4.0 million of the Class C notes in RREF CDO 2006-1 at a weighted average price of 82.48% to par which resulted in a \$1.5 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

In connection with the Company's ownership of certain notes held by RREF CDO 2006-1, on June 21, 2011 the Company surrendered for cancellation, without consideration, to the trustee of RREF CDO 2006-1 the following outstanding notes, which previously eliminated in consolidation: \$6.9 million of the Class B notes, \$7.7 million of the Class C notes, \$5.52 million of the Class D notes, \$7.0 million of the Class E notes and \$5.25 million of the Class F notes. The surrendered notes were cancelled by the trustee pursuant to the applicable indenture, and the obligations

due under those notes were deemed extinguished. The effect of these cancellations was to improve the CDO's performance with respect to its over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, with which it was already in compliance before the cancellation, and to secure the Company's long-term interest in this structured vehicle.

As a result of the Company's ownership of senior notes, both the notes repurchased subsequent to closing and those retained at the CDO's closing eliminate in consolidation.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations – (Continued)

Apidos CLO VIII

In October 2011, the Company closed Apidos CLO VIII, a \$350.0 million CLO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CLO VIII collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CLO VIII issued a total of \$317.6 million of senior notes at a discount of 4.4% to investors and RCC commercial purchased a \$15.0 million interest representing 43% of the outstanding subordinated debt. The remaining 57% of subordinated debt is owned by unrelated third parties. The reinvestment period for Apidos CLO VIII will end in October 2014. The interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CLO VIII.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CLO VIII consist of the following classes: (i) \$231.2 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.50%; (ii) \$35.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%; (iii) \$17.3 million of class B-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%; (iv) \$6.8 million of class B-2 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.50%; (v) \$14.1 million of class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 3.10% and (vi) \$13.2 million of class D notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.50%. All of the notes issued mature on October 17, 2021, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime from October 17, 2013 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 2.07% and 2.42% at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Apidos Cinco CDO

In May 2007, the Company closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos Cinco CDO collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos Cinco CDO issued a total of \$322.0 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC commercial purchased a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The reinvestment period for Apidos Cinco CDO will end in May 2014. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos Cinco CDO.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos Cinco CDO consist of the following classes: (i) \$37.5 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.24%; (ii) \$200.0 million of class A-2a notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.23%; (iii) \$22.5 million of class A-2b notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iv) \$19.0 million of class A-3 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.42%; (v) \$18.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vi) \$14.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% and (vii) \$11.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on May 14, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after May 14, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.89% and 0.95% at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Apidos CDO III

In May 2006, the Company closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO III collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO III issued a total of \$262.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO III will end in June 2012. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO III.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO III consist of the following classes: (i) \$212.0 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$19.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.45%; (iii) \$15.0 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$10.5 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.75%; and (v) \$6.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on September 12, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after September 12, 2011 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 0.85% and 0.99% at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO III ended in June 2012 which will result in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2012, there have been no paydowns.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Collateralized Debt Obligations – (Continued)

Apidos CDO I

In August 2005, the Company closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO I issued a total of \$321.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO I. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO I ended in July 2011 which results in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of June 30, 2012, \$64.3 million of Class A-1 Notes have been paid down.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO I consist of the following classes: (i) \$259.5 million of class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$15.0 million of class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.42%; (iii) \$20.5 million of class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$13.0 million of class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.85%; and (v) \$8.0 million of class D notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 9.251%. All of the notes issued mature on July 27, 2017, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 27, 2010 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes was 1.01% and 1.04% and at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 the Company repurchased \$2.0 million of the Class B notes in Apidos CDO I at a weighted average price of 85.11% to par which resulted in a \$298,000 gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not repurchase any notes.

Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

In May 2006 and September 2006, the Company formed RCT I and RCT II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling capital securities representing preferred beneficial interests. Although the Company owns 100% of the common securities of RCT I and RCT II, RCT I and RCT II are not consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements because the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these entities. In connection with the issuance and sale of the capital securities, the Company issued junior subordinated debentures to RCT I and RCT II of \$25.8 million each, representing the Company's maximum exposure to loss. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II are included in borrowings and are being amortized into interest expense in the consolidated statements of income using the effective yield method over a ten year period.

The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at June 30, 2012 were \$404,000 and \$422,000, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2011, were \$450,000 and \$467,000, respectively. The rates for

RCT I and RCT II, at June 30, 2012, were 4.42% and 4.42%, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2011, were 4.32% and 4.38%, respectively.

The rights of holders of common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subordinate to the rights of the holders of capital securities only in the event of a default; otherwise, the common securities' economic and voting rights are pari passu with the capital securities. The capital and common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subject to mandatory redemption upon the maturity or call of the junior subordinated debentures held by each. Unless earlier dissolved, RCT I will dissolve on May 25, 2041 and RCT II will dissolve on September 29, 2041. The junior subordinated debentures are the sole assets of RCT I and RCT II, mature on September 30, 2036 and October 30, 2036, respectively, and may be called at par by the Company any time after September 30, 2011 and October 30, 2011, respectively. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common securities of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Repurchase and Credit Facilities

CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial, entered into a master repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to be used as a warehouse facility to finance the purchase of highly-rated CMBS. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's and RCC Commercial's performance of their obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$66.9 million (net of \$159,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At June 30, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$80.3 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%, or 1.55%. At December 31, 2011, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$55.9 million (net of \$494,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At December 31, 2011, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$64.3 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25%, or 1.54%.

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

| | Amount at Risk (1) | Weighted Average Maturity in Days | Weighted Average Interest Rate | |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. | \$13,756 | 18 | 1.55 | % |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. | \$8,461 | 18 | 1.54 | % |

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

CRE – Term Repurchase Facility

On February 27, 2012, the Company entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The facility has a maximum amount of \$150.0 million and an initial 18 month term with two one year options to extend. The Company paid an origination fee of 37.5 basis points (.375%). The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$5.5 million (net of \$611,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which the Company had guaranteed. At June 30, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a commercial real estate loan with an estimated fair value of \$8.2 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.50%, or 2.74%. The Company had no

borrowings under the facility as of December 31, 2011.

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under the facility (dollars in thousands);

| | Amount at Risk (1) | Weighted Average Maturity in Days | Weighted Average Interest Rate | |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. | \$2,023 | 15 | 2.74 | % |

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
 JUNE 30, 2012
 (Unaudited)

NOTE 11 – BORROWINGS – (Continued)

Repurchase and Credit Facilities – (Continued)

CRE – Term Repurchase Facility – (Continued)

On March 8, 2005, the Company entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has an initial 12 month term with monthly resets of interest rates. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$27.0 million, all of which the Company had guaranteed. At June 30, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a commercial real estate loan with an estimated fair value of \$38.6 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%, or 3.49%. The Company had no borrowings under this facility as of December 31, 2011.

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under this facility (dollars in thousands);

| | Amount at Risk (1) | Weighted Average Maturity in Days | Weighted Average Interest Rate | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| <u>Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.</u> | \$11,644 | 15 | 3.49 | % |

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

Revolving Credit Facility

On July 7, 2011, the Company and RCC Real Estate entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with The Bancorp Bank ("Bancorp"). The facility will provide bridge financing for up to five business days which will enable the Company and RCC Real Estate to fund real estate loans to third parties prior to their sale to the Company's CRE CDOs. The facility is evidenced by a Revolving Judgment Note and Security Agreement by and among the borrowers and Bancorp entered into July 7, 2011. The facility is secured by a pledge of \$32.9 million of the Class A-1 notes of RREF CDO 2006-1, which are owned by RCC Real Estate. The note becomes due and payable on September 30, 2012. The Company had no borrowings under this revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Mortgage Payable

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through RCC Real Estate, purchased WhisperTree Apartments, a 504 unit multi-family property located in Houston, Texas, for \$18.1 million. The property was 95% occupied at acquisition. In conjunction with the purchase of the property, the Company entered into a seven year mortgage of

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

\$13.6 million with a lender. The mortgage bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 3.95%. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 the borrowing rate was 4.19% and 4.23%, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 12 – SHARE ISSUANCE AND REPURCHASE

In June 2012, the Company issued 268,720 shares of 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, at a weighted average offering price of \$23.51 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$5.8 million after offering costs, including the underwriters’ discount and expenses of approximately \$486,000. The Series A preferred stock accrues cumulative cash dividends at a rate of 8.50% per year of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share. Dividends are payable quarterly in arrears at the end of each January, April, July and October. The Series A preferred stock has no maturity date and the Company is not required to redeem the Series A Preferred Shares at any time. On or after June 14, 2017, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series A preferred stock, in whole or part, at any time and from time to time, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the redemption date.

On June 28, 2012, the Company and Resource Capital Manager, Inc. entered into an At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement with MLV & Co. LLC (“MLV”) to sell up to 1,000,000 shares of its 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock from time to time through an “at the market” equity offering program under which MLV will act as sales agent.

Under a dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on February 16, 2012, the Company was authorized to issue up to 15.0 million shares of common stock. Under this plan, the Company issued 1,510,907 shares during the three months ended June 30, 2012 at a weighted-average net share price of \$5.38 per share and received proceeds of \$8.1 million (net of costs). The Company has issued a total of approximately 2.9 million shares under this plan. This plan supersedes the March 2011 plan.

Under a dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on March 10, 2011, the Company was authorized to issue up to 10.0 million shares of common stock. Under this plan, In January 2012 and February 2012, the Company issued 2,940,251 shares, at a weighted-average net share price of \$5.49 per share, and received proceeds of \$16.1 million (net of costs). At February 2012, the Company had issued all of the common stock authorized under this plan.

NOTE 13 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes restricted common stock transactions:

| | Non-Employee | | Total |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| | Directors | Non-Employees | |
| Unvested shares as of January 1, 2012 | 15,200 | 1,413,731 | 1,428,931 |
| Issued | 19,509 | 468,492 | 488,001 |
| Vested | (15,200) | (256,764) | (271,964) |
| Forfeited | – | (6,062) | (6,062) |
| Unvested shares as of June 30, 2012 | 19,509 | 1,619,397 | 1,638,906 |

The Company is required to value any unvested shares of restricted common stock granted to non-employees at the current market price. The estimated fair value of the unvested shares of restricted stock granted during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, including the grant date fair value of shares issued to the Company’s five

non-employee directors, was \$2.7 million and \$7.0 million, respectively.

On January 6, 2012, the Company issued 150,706 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on January 6, 2013. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through January 6, 2015.

On February 1, 2012 and March 8, 2012, the Company granted 3,833 and 15,676 shares of restricted stock, respectively, under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan to the Company's non-employee directors as part of their annual compensation. These shares vest in full on the first anniversary of the date of grant.

On February 10, 2012, the Company issued 189,258 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on February 10, 2013. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through February 10, 2015.

On February 27, 2012, the Company issued 2,577 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on February 27, 2013. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through February 10, 2015.

On March 16, 2012, the Company issued 4,355 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on March 16, 2013. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through March 16, 2015.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 13 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION – (Continued)

In connection with a grant of restricted common stock made on August 25, 2011, the Company agreed to issue up to 336,000 additional shares of common stock if certain loan origination performance thresholds are achieved by personnel from the Company's loan origination team. The performance criteria are measured at the end of three annual measurement periods beginning April 1, 2011. The agreement also provides dividend equivalent rights pursuant to which the dividends that would have been paid on the shares had they been issued on the date of grant will be paid at the end of each annual measurement period if the performance criteria are met. If the performance criteria are not met, the accrued dividends will be forfeited. As a consequence, the Company will not record the dividend equivalent rights until earned. On March 30, 2012, the first annual measurement period ended and 112,000 shares were earned. These shares will vest over the subsequent 18 months at the rate of one-sixth per quarter. In addition, \$78,400 of accrued dividend equivalent rights was earned. At June 30, 2012, there was an additional \$202,000 of dividends payable upon achievement of the performance criteria. If earned, any future performance shares issued will vest over the subsequent 18 months at the rate of one-sixth per quarter.

On April 11, 2012, the Company issued 9,596 shares of restricted common stock under its Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These restricted shares will vest 33.3% on April 11, 2013. The balance will vest 33.3% annually thereafter through April 11, 2015.

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's unvested stock options as of June 30, 2012:

| Unvested Options | Options | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Unvested at January 1, 2012 | 26,667 | \$ 6.40 |
| Granted | – | \$ – |
| Vested | – | \$ – |
| Forfeited | – | \$ – |
| Unvested at June 30, 2012 | 26,667 | \$ 6.40 |

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's vested stock options as of June 30, 2012:

| Vested Options | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Vested as of January 1, 2012 | 601,666 | \$ 14.99 | | |
| Vested | 13,333 | \$ 6.40 | | |
| Exercised | – | \$ – | | |
| Forfeited | – | \$ – | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|----|-------|---|----|----|
| Vested as of June 30, 2012 | 614,999 | \$ | 14.80 | 3 | \$ | 52 |
|----------------------------|---------|----|-------|---|----|----|

There were no options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The outstanding stock options have a weighted average remaining contractual term of three years.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 13 – SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION – (Continued)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the components of equity compensation expense were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Options granted to Manager and non-employees | \$(1 |) \$2 | \$1 | \$2 |
| Restricted shares granted to Manager and non-employees | 1,113 | 593 | 1,951 | 1,025 |
| Restricted shares granted to non-employee directors | 28 | 28 | 56 | 56 |
| Total equity compensation expense | \$1,140 | \$623 | \$2,008 | \$1,083 |

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Manager received 28,252 shares as incentive compensation valued at \$154,000 pursuant to the Management Agreement. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Manager received 4,482 shares as incentive compensation valued at \$33,000 pursuant to the Management Agreement. The manager did not receive any incentive management fee for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The incentive management fee is paid one quarter in arrears.

Apart from incentive compensation payable under the Management Agreement, the Company has established no formal criteria for equity awards as of June 30, 2012. All awards are discretionary in nature and subject to approval by the compensation committee.

NOTE 14 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods presented as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Net income allocable to common shares | \$16,425 | \$9,219 | \$30,906 | \$22,361 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | 83,466,810 | 70,704,579 | 82,334,303 | 65,455,811 |
| Basic net income per share | \$0.20 | \$0.13 | \$0.38 | \$0.34 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Net income allocable to common shares | \$16,425 | \$9,219 | \$30,906 | \$22,361 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | 83,466,810 | 70,704,579 | 82,334,303 | 65,455,811 |
| Additional shares due to assumed conversion of dilutive instruments | 721,406 | 303,496 | 706,301 | 276,653 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Adjusted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | 84,188,216 | 71,008,075 | 83,040,604 | 65,732,464 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$0.20 | \$0.13 | \$0.37 | \$0.34 |

Potentially dilutive shares relating to 641,666 options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and 601,666 options for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per share because the effect was anti-dilutive.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 15 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries

Relationship with Resource America. At June 30, 2012, Resource America owned 2,552,482 shares, or 3.0%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. In addition, Resource America held 2,166 options to purchase restricted stock.

The Company is managed by the Manager pursuant to a management agreement that provides for both base and incentive management fees. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$1.9 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and incentive management fees of \$1.8 million and \$2.5 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$1.8 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, and incentive management fees of \$806,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The Company also reimburses the Manager and Resource America for expenses, including the expense of employees of Resource America who perform legal, accounting, due diligence and other services that outside professionals or consultants would otherwise perform, and for the wages, salaries and benefits of several Resource America personnel dedicated to the Company's operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company paid the Manager \$998,000 and \$1.6 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company paid the Manager \$617,000 and \$1.2 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements. In conjunction with the June 2012 Series A preferred stock offering, the management agreement was amended on June 14, 2012 to include the Company's preferred shares in addition to its common shares for purposes of calculating the Company's management fees.

On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into an Investment Management Agreement with Resource Capital Markets, Inc. ("RCM"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America. The initial agreement provided that: (a) RCM may invest up to \$5.0 million of the Company's funds, with the investable amount being adjusted by portfolio gains/(losses) and collections, and offset by expenses, taxes and realized management fees, and (b) RCM can earn a management fee in any year that the net profits earned exceed a preferred return. On June 17, 2011, the Company entered into a revised Investment Management Agreement with RCM which provided an additional \$8.0 million of the Company's funds. The management fee is 20% of the amount by which the net profits exceed the preferred return. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, RCM earned \$722,000 and \$1.5 million in management fees, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, RCM earned \$440,000 and \$970,000 in management fees, respectively. The Company has reinvested gains from its activity and holds \$44.2 million in fair market value of trading securities as of June 30, 2012, an increase of \$5.5 million from \$38.7 million at fair market value as of December 31, 2011. In addition, the Company and RCM have established an escrow account that allocates the net profit or net losses of the portfolio on a yearly basis based on the net asset value of the account. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, RCM earned \$112,000 and \$226,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, RCM earned \$136,000 and \$254,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement.

At June 30, 2012, the Company was indebted to the Manager for \$3.1 million, comprised of base management fees of \$661,000, incentive management fees of \$1.8 million and expense reimbursements of \$613,000. The Company was indebted to a subsidiary of the Manager under the Company's Investment Management Agreement for \$1.5 million,

comprised of incentive management fees. At December 31, 2011, the Company was indebted to the Manager for base management fees of \$625,000. The Company was indebted to a subsidiary of the Manager under the Company's Investment Management Agreement for \$2.2 million, comprised of \$1.9 million of incentive management fees and \$272,000 of expense reimbursements.

The Company had executed seven CDO transactions as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, which were structured for the Company by the Manager. Under the Management Agreement, the Manager was not separately compensated by the Company for executing these transactions and is not separately compensated for managing the CDO entities and their assets.

Relationship with LEAF Financial. LEAF Financial, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, originates and manages equipment leases and notes on behalf of the Company.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 15 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS – (Continued)

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries – (Continued)

On March 5, 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Lease Equity Appreciation Fund II, L.P. (“LEAF II”) (an equipment leasing partnership sponsored by LEAF Financial and of which a LEAF Financial subsidiary is the general partner), pursuant to which the Company provided an \$8.0 million credit facility to LEAF II, of which all \$8.0 million has been funded. The credit facility had a one year term at 12% per year, payable quarterly, and was secured by all the assets of LEAF II Receivables Funding, LLC (“LEAF Funding II”), including its entire ownership interest in LEAF II. The Company received a 1% origination fee in connection with the establishment of the facility. The facility originally matured on March 3, 2011 and was extended until September 3, 2011 with a 1% extension fee paid on the outstanding loan balance. On June 3, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2012 and decrease the interest rate from 12% to 10% per annum resulting in a troubled-debt restructuring under current accounting guidance. On February 15, 2012, the note was further amended to extend the maturity to February 15, 2013 with a 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. The loan amount outstanding at June 30, 2012 was \$7.8 million.

On November 16, 2011, the Company together with LEAF Financial and LCC, subsidiaries of Resource America, entered into the SPA with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates (see Note 8). In exchange for its prior interest in LCC, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis, a 26.7% interest in LCC (see Note 8). The Company’s resulting interest is accounted for under the equity method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a loss of \$1.3 million which was recorded in other expense on the consolidated statement of income. The Company’s investment in LCC was valued at \$35.1 million as of June 30, 2012.

In accordance with the SPA, the Company and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. To the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by the Company), as of the final testing date within 90 days of December 31, 2013, the Company and Resource America have agreed to be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit. The Company does not believe it is probable or estimable that it will be required to fund LCC in accordance with the SPA.

Relationship with CVC Credit Partners, LLC (“CVC Credit Partners”), formerly Apidos Capital Management (“ACM”). On April 17, 2012 ACM, a former subsidiary of Resource America, was sold to CVC, a joint venture entity in which Resource America owns a 33% interest. CVC Credit Partners manages internally and externally originated bank loan assets on the Company’s behalf. On February 24, 2011, a subsidiary of the Company purchased 100% of the ownership interests in Churchill Pacific Asset Management LLC (“CPAM”) from Churchill Financial Holdings LLC for \$22.5 million. Through CPAM, the Company is entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive fees related to five Collateralized Loan Obligation issuers (“CLO”) holding approximately \$1.9 billion in assets managed by CPAM. CPAM is assisted by CVC Credit Partners in managing the five CLOs. CPAM subsequently changed its

name to Resource Capital Asset Management (“RCAM”). CVC Credit Partners is entitled to 10% of all subordinated fees and 50% of the incentive fees received by RCAM. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, CVC Credit Partners was paid \$203,000 and \$414,000, respectively, from subordinated fees received.

Relationship with Resource Real Estate. Resource Real Estate, a subsidiary of Resource America, originates, finances and manages the Company’s commercial real estate loan portfolio, including whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine loans, and investments in real estate. The Company reimburses Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs associated with all loans originated. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had no indebtedness to Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs in connection with the Company’s commercial real estate loan portfolio.

On January 15, 2010, the Company loaned \$2.0 million to Resource Capital Partners, Inc. (“RCP”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, so that it could acquire a 5.0% limited partnership interest in Resource Real Estate Opportunity Fund, L.P. (“RRE Opportunity Fund”). RCP is the general partner of the RRE Opportunity Fund. The loan is secured by RCP’s partnership interest in the RRE Opportunity Fund. The promissory note bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. Interest is payable quarterly. Mandatory principal payments must also be made to the extent distributable cash or other proceeds from the partnership represent a return of RCP’s capital. The loan matures on January 14, 2015, and RCP has options to extend the loan for two additional 12-month periods. No principal payments were made during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The loan balance was \$1.7 million at June 30, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 15 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS – (Continued)

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries – (Continued)

On June 21, 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to form CR SLH Partners, L.P. (“SLH Partners”) to purchase a defaulted promissory note secured by a mortgage on a multi-family apartment building. The Company purchased a 10% equity interest in the venture and also loaned SLH Partners \$7.0 million to finance the project secured by a first mortgage lien on the property. On May 23, 2012, SLH Partners repaid the \$7.0 million loan in entirety. The loan had a maturity date of September 21, 2012 and bore interest at a fixed rate of 10.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance, payable monthly. The Company received a commitment fee equal to 1.0% of the loan amount at the origination of the loan and received a \$70,000 exit fee upon repayment. Resource Real Estate Management, LLC (“RREM”), an affiliate of Resource America, was appointed as the asset manager of the venture. RREM performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM receives an annual asset management fee equal to 2.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. The Company holds a \$1.0 million preferred equity investment in SLH Partners as of June 30, 2012.

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through RCC Real Estate, entered into an agreement to purchase WhisperTree Apartments, a multi-family apartment building, for \$18.1 million. RREM was appointed as asset manager. RREM performs lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM is also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM is entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to the greater of 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property or \$12,600. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM of \$41,000 and \$79,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Relationship with The Bancorp. On March 14, 2011, the Company paid Bancorp a loan commitment fee in the amount of \$31,500 in connection with Bancorp’s commitment to establish a credit facility for the benefit of the Company. On July 7, 2011, the Company and RCC Real Estate entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with Bancorp. The facility provided bridge financing for up to five business days, which will enable the Company and RCC Real Estate to fund real estate loans to third parties prior to their sale to the Company’s CRE CDOs. The facility is evidenced by a Revolving Judgment Note and Security Agreement by and among the borrowers and Bancorp entered into on July 7, 2011. The facility is secured by a pledge of \$32.9 million of the Class A-1 notes of RREF CDO 2006-1 which are owned by RCC Real Estate. The note becomes due and payable on September 30, 2012. There were no outstanding borrowings as of June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Relationship with Law Firm. Until 1996, Edward E. Cohen, a director who was the Company’s Chairman from its inception until November 2009, was of counsel to Ledgewood, P.C., a law firm. In addition, one of the Company’s executive officers, Jeffrey F. Brotman, was employed by Ledgewood until 2007. Mr. E. Cohen receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with Ledgewood and its redemption of his interest in the firm. Mr. Brotman also receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with the firm. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company paid Ledgewood \$83,000 and \$116,000, respectively, in connection with legal services rendered to the Company as

compared to \$107,000 and \$156,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

NOTE 16 – DISTRIBUTIONS

In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must currently distribute at least 90% of its taxable income. In addition, the Company must distribute 100% of its taxable income in order not to be subject to corporate federal income taxes on retained income. The Company anticipates it will distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its stockholders. Because taxable income differs from cash flow from operations due to non-cash revenues or expenses (such as provisions for loan and lease losses and depreciation), in certain circumstances, the Company may generate operating cash flow in excess of its distributions or, alternatively, may be required to borrow to make sufficient distribution payments.

On June 11, 2012, the Company declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock, \$17.3 million in the aggregate, which was paid on July 26, 2012 to stockholders of record on June 30, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 16 – DISTRIBUTIONS (Continued)

On March 16, 2012, the Company declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock, \$16.9 million in the aggregate, which was paid on April 27, 2012 to stockholders of record on March 30, 2012.

NOTE 17 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In analyzing the fair value of its investments accounted for on a fair value basis, the Company follows the fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The Company determines fair value based on quoted prices when available or, if quoted prices are not available, through the use of alternative approaches, such as discounting the expected cash flows using market interest rates commensurate with the credit quality and duration of the investment. The hierarchy followed defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset and liability or can be corroborated with observable market data for substantially the entire contractual term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in the pricing of the asset or liability and are consequently not based on market activity, but rather through particular valuation techniques.

The determination of where an asset or liability falls in the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter; depending on various factors, it is possible that an asset or liability may be classified differently from quarter to quarter. However, the Company expects that changes in classifications between levels will be rare.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following is a discussion of these assets and liabilities as well as the valuation techniques applied to each for fair value measurement.

The Company reports its investment securities available-for-sale at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses a dealer quote which typically will be the dealer who sold the Company the security. The Company has been advised that, in formulating their quotes, dealers may use recent trades in the particular security, if any, market activity in similar securities, if any, or internal valuation models. These quotes are non-binding. Based on how dealers develop their quotes, market liquidity and levels of trading, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the quotes it receives by applying its own valuation models. If there is a material difference between a quote the Company receives and the value indicated by its valuation models, the Company will evaluate the difference. As part of that evaluation, the Company will discuss the difference with the dealer, who may revise its quote based upon these discussions. Alternatively, the Company may revise its valuation models.

The Company reports its investment securities, trading at fair value, which is based on a dealer quotes or bids which are validated using an income approach utilizing appropriate prepayment, default and recovery rates. Any changes in fair value are recorded on the Company's results of operations as net unrealized gain on investment securities, trading.

Derivatives (interest rate swaps and interest rate caps), both assets and liabilities, are reported at fair value, and are valued by a third-party pricing agent using an income approach with models that use, as their primary inputs, readily observable market parameters. This valuation process considers factors including interest rate yield curves, time value, credit factors and volatility factors. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. The Company assesses the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and, if material, categorizes those derivatives within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 17 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents information about the Company's assets (including derivatives that are presented net) measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|------------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investment securities, trading | \$– | \$– | \$44,153 | \$44,153 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | – | 162,151 | 22,981 | 185,132 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$– | \$162,151 | \$67,134 | \$229,285 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivatives (net) | \$– | \$744 | \$12,826 | \$13,570 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$– | \$744 | \$12,826 | \$13,570 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investment securities, trading | \$– | \$– | \$38,673 | \$38,673 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | – | 138,209 | 19,835 | 158,044 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$– | \$138,209 | \$58,508 | \$196,717 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivatives (net) | \$– | \$1,210 | \$12,000 | \$13,210 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$– | \$1,210 | \$12,000 | \$13,210 |

The following table presents additional information about assets which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

| | Level 3 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ 43,380 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized): | |
| Included in earnings | 2,948 |
| Purchases | 38,887 |
| Sales | (18,181) |
| Paydowns | (3,212) |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | (4,437) |
| Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income | (877) |
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2012 | 58,508 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized): | |
| Included in earnings | 4,499 |
| Purchases | 8,341 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Sales | (7,132) |
| Paydowns | (1,187) |
| Unrealized gains (losses) – included in accumulated other comprehensive income | 4,104 |
| Ending balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ 67,133 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 17 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents additional information about liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

| | Level 3 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2011 | \$ 10,929 |
| Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,071 |
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2012 | 12,000 |
| Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income | 826 |
| Ending balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ 12,826 |

The Company had no impairment losses included in earnings due to other-than-temporary impairment charges on securities during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company had \$4.6 million of losses included in earnings during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 due to the other-than-temporary impairment charge of one asset during the three months ended June 30, 2011. This loss is included in the consolidated statement of operations as net impairment losses recognized in earnings.

Loans held for sale consist of bank loans and commercial real estate loans (“CRE loans”) identified for sale due to credit concerns. Interest on loans held for sale is recognized according to the contractual terms of the loan and included in interest income on loans. The fair value of bank loans held for sale and impaired bank loans is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for these loans. As such, the Company classifies these loans as recurring Level 2. For the Company’s CRE loans where there is no market, fair value is measured using discounted cash flow analysis and other valuation techniques and these loans are classified as nonrecurring Level 3. The amount of nonrecurring fair value losses for impaired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.4 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, as compared to \$2.2 million and \$2.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and is included in the consolidated statements of income as provision for loan losses.

The following table summarizes the financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$– | \$15,114 | \$34,000 | \$49,114 |
| Impaired loans | – | 1,981 | 21,000 | 22,981 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ – | \$17,095 | \$55,000 | \$72,095 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

December 31, 2011:

Assets:

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| Loans held for sale | \$- | \$3,154 | \$- | \$3,154 |
| Impaired loans | - | 1,099 | - | 1,099 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$- | \$4,253 | \$- | \$4,253 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

43

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 17 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2012, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements were as follows (in thousands):

| | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 | Valuation Technique | Significant Unobservable Inputs | Significant Unobservable Input Value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Impaired loans | \$ 21,000 | Discounted cash flow | Cap rate | 10.00% |
| Interest rate swap agreements | \$ (12,826) | Discounted cash flow | Weighted average credit spreads | 6.62% |

The Company is required to disclose the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The fair value of short-term financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, dividend reinvestment plan proceeds receivable, interest receivable, distribution payable and accrued interest expense approximates their carrying value on the consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of the Company's investment securities-trading is reported in Note 4. The fair value of the Company's investment securities available-for-sale is reported in Note 5. The fair value of the Company's derivative instruments is reported in Note 18.

Loans held-for-investment: The fair value of the Company's Level 2 Loans held-for-investment was primarily measured using a third-party pricing service. The fair value of the Company's Level 3 Loans held-for-investment was measured by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Loans receivable-related party are estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

CDO notes are valued using the dealer quotes, typically the dealer who underwrote the CDO in which the notes are held.

Junior subordinated notes are estimated by obtaining quoted prices for similar assets in active markets

The fair values of the Company's remaining financial instruments that are not reported at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet are reported below (in thousands):

| Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Quoted Prices in Active Markets for | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|--------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|--------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Identical
Assets of
Liabilities
(Level 1)

| June 30, 2012: | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------|--------------|------------|
| Loans held-for-investment | \$ 1,736,079 | \$ 1,770,003 | \$ - | \$ 1,110,076 | \$ 659,927 |
| Loans receivable-related party | \$ 9,438 | \$ 9,438 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9,438 |
| CDO notes | \$ 1,551,933 | \$ 1,255,985 | \$ - | \$ 1,255,985 | \$ - |
| Junior subordinated notes | \$ 50,721 | \$ 17,215 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 17,215 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-investment | \$ 1,772,063 | \$ 1,755,541 | \$ - | \$ 1,142,638 | \$ 612,903 |
| Loans receivable-related party | \$ 9,497 | \$ 9,497 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9,497 |
| CDO notes | \$ 1,668,049 | \$ 1,012,696 | \$ - | \$ 1,012,696 | \$ - |
| Junior subordinated notes | \$ 50,631 | \$ 17,125 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 17,125 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 18– INTEREST RATE RISK AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

A significant market risk to the Company is interest rate risk. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond the Company's control. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with the interest-bearing liabilities, by affecting the spread between the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the level of interest rates also can affect the value of the Company's interest-earning assets and the Company's ability to realize gains from the sale of these assets. A decline in the value of the Company's interest-earning assets pledged as collateral for borrowings could result in the counterparties demanding additional collateral pledges or liquidation of some of the existing collateral to reduce borrowing levels.

The Company seeks to manage the extent to which net income changes as a function of changes in interest rates by matching adjustable-rate assets with variable-rate borrowings. During periods of changing interest rates, interest rate mismatches could negatively impact the Company's consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations and consolidated cash flows. In addition, the Company mitigates the potential impact on net income of periodic and lifetime coupon adjustment restrictions in its investment portfolio by entering into interest rate hedging agreements such as interest rate caps and interest rate swaps.

The Company has made an accounting policy election to use the exception in ASC 820-10-35-18D (commonly referred to as the "portfolio exception") with respect to measuring counterparty credit risk for derivative instruments, consistent with the guidance in ASC 820-10-35-18G. The basis for use of this exception in 820-10-35-18E is as follows:

The Company manages credit risk for its derivative positions on a counterparty-by-counterparty basis (that is, on the basis of its net portfolio exposure with each counterparty), consistent with its risk management strategy for such transactions. The Company manages credit risk by considering indicators of risk such as credit ratings, and by negotiating terms in its ISDA master netting arrangements (or similar agreements) and, if applicable, any associated Credit Support Annex ("CSA") documentation, with each individual counterparty. Credit risk plays a central role in the decision of which counterparties to consider for such relationships and when deciding with whom it will enter into derivative transactions.

Since the effective date of ASC 820, management has monitored and measured credit risk and calculated credit valuation adjustments ("CVAs") for its derivative transactions on the basis of its relationships at the counterparty portfolio/ISDA master netting arrangement level. Management receives reports from an independent third-party valuation specialist on a monthly basis providing the CVAs at the counterparty portfolio level for purposes of reviewing and managing its credit risk exposures. Since the portfolio exception applies only to the fair value measurement and not to financial statement presentation, the portfolio-level adjustments are then allocated in a reasonable and consistent manner each period to the individual assets or liabilities that make up the group, in accordance with other applicable accounting guidance and the Company's accounting policy elections.

Derivative transactions are required under ASC 815 to be measured at fair value in the statement of financial position each reporting period.

Finally, the Company notes that key market participants take into account the existence of arrangements that mitigate credit risk exposure in the event of default (in the Company's case, ISDA master netting arrangements with the counterparty).

At June 30, 2012, the Company had 17 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 4.88% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$152.3 million at June 30, 2012. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts at such date were Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, with which the Company had master netting agreements.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had 18 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 4.87% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$167.9 million at December 31, 2011. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts are Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, with which the Company has master netting agreements.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)
JUNE 30, 2012
(Unaudited)

NOTE 18– INTEREST RATE RISK AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS – (Continued)

The estimated fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps was (\$13.6) million and (\$13.2) million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The Company had aggregate unrealized losses of \$14.6 million and \$14.3 million on the interest rate swap agreements as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. In connection with the August 2006 close of RREF CDO 2006-1, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$119,000, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2006-1. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the June 2007 close of RREF CDO 2007-1, the Company realized a swap termination gain of \$2.6 million, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2007-1. The accretion is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the termination of a \$53.6 million swap related to RREF CDO 2006-1 during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$4.2 million, which is being amortized over the term of a new \$45.0 million swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the payoff of a fixed-rate commercial real estate loan during the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company terminated a \$12.7 million swap and realized a \$574,000 swap termination loss, which is being amortized over the original term of the terminated swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The following tables present the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2012 and on the consolidated statement of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012:

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of June 30, 2012

(in thousands)

| | Notional Amount | Liability Derivatives Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ 152,339 | Derivatives, at fair value | \$ (13,570) |
| | | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ 13,570 |

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the
For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(in thousands)

| | Notional Amount | Liability Derivatives Statement of Operations Location | Unrealized Loss (1) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012: | | | |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|---------|------------------|----|-------|
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ | 152,339 | Interest expense | \$ | 1,841 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012: | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ | 152,339 | Interest expense | \$ | 3,834 |

(1) Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheet or consolidated statement of income line items.

NOTE 19 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the filing of this form and determined that there have not been any events that have occurred that would require adjustments to or disclosures in the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

The Company received \$10.0 million in proceeds from the issuance of 1.9 million shares of common stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan in July 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL
CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information to assist you in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those expressed in or implied by those forward-looking statements. Please see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for a discussion of certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions associated with those statements.

Overview

We are a specialty finance company that focuses primarily on commercial real estate and commercial finance. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Our objective is to provide our stockholders with total returns over time, including quarterly distributions and capital appreciation, while seeking to manage the risks associated with our investment strategy. We invest in a combination of real estate-related assets and, to a lesser extent, higher-yielding commercial finance assets. We have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through borrowing strategies seeking to match the maturities and repricing dates of our financings with the maturities and repricing dates of those investments, and have sought to mitigate interest rate risk through derivative instruments.

We are externally managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc., or the Manager, a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. (NASDAQ: REXI), or Resource America, a specialized asset management company that uses industry-specific expertise to evaluate, originate, service and manage investment opportunities through its commercial real estate, commercial finance and financial fund management operating segments. As of June 30, 2012, Resource America managed approximately \$15.0 billion of assets in these sectors. To provide its services, the Manager draws upon Resource America, its management team and their collective investment experience.

We generate our income primarily from the spread between the revenues we receive from our assets and the cost to finance the purchase of those assets, from management of assets and from hedging interest rate risks. We generate revenues from the interest and fees we earn on our whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine debt, commercial mortgage-backed securities, or CMBS, bank loans, other asset-backed securities, or ABS, and structured note investments. We also generate revenues from the rental and other income from real properties we own, from management of externally originated bank loans and from our investment in an equipment leasing business. Historically, we have used a substantial amount of leverage to enhance our returns and we have financed each of our different asset classes with different degrees of leverage. The cost of borrowings to finance our investments is a significant part of our expenses. Our net income depends on our ability to control these expenses relative to our revenue. In our bank loan, CMBS and ABS portfolios, we historically have used warehouse facilities as a short-term financing source and collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs, and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. In our commercial real estate loan portfolio, we historically have used repurchase agreements as a short-term financing source, and CDOs and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. Our other term financing has consisted of long-term match-funded financing provided through long-term bank financing and asset-backed financing programs, depending upon market conditions and credit availability.

Although economic conditions in the United States have had some modest improvements, ongoing conditions in real estate and credit markets continue to impact both us and a number of our commercial real estate borrowers. We have

entered into loan modifications with 26 of our commercial real estate loans. We have increased our provision for loan losses to reflect the effect of these conditions on our borrowers and have recorded both temporary and other than temporary impairments in the market valuation of the CMBS and ABS in our investment portfolio. While we believe we have appropriately valued the assets in our investment portfolio at June 30, 2012, we cannot assure you that further impairments will not occur or that our assets will otherwise not be adversely affected by market conditions.

Prior to mid-2010, events occurring in the credit markets impacted our financing and investing strategies and, as a result, our ability to originate new investments and to grow. The market for securities issued by new securitizations collateralized by assets similar to those in our investment portfolio as well as other forms of lending with respect to such assets largely disappeared until mid-2010. During 2011, we began to see a loosening of the credit markets and were able to take advantage of the situation by establishing several new financing arrangements in 2011 and into early 2012. We continue to engage in discussions with potential financing sources about providing commercial real estate term financing to augment and cautiously grow our loan portfolio. We caution investors that even as credit through these markets becomes more available, we may not be able to obtain economically favorable terms.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

In light of previous economic conditions and credit availability, our principal strategies were to manage our liquidity and originate new assets primarily through capital recycling as loan payoffs and paydowns occurred and through existing capacities within our completed securitizations. We've exhausted our investment capacity in two of our bank loan CLOs and two real estate CDOs where the reinvestment periods had ended beginning in July 2011 thru June 2012. We continue to have reinvestment capacity in two bank loan CLOs, namely Apidos Cinco and Apidos CLO VIII where the reinvestment periods continue to May 2014 and October 2014, respectively. We intend to use the existing capacity in our CMBS and CRE loan Wells Fargo credit facilities to help finance new investments where we have \$29.9 and \$136.4 million available as of July 31, 2012, respectively.

We have used recycled capital in our bank loan CLO structures to make new investments at discounts to par. We expect that the reinvested capital and related discounts will produce additional income as the discounts are accreted into interest income. In addition, the purchase of these investments at discounts allows us to build collateral in the CLO structures since we receive credit in these structures for these investments at par. From net discounts of approximately \$20.1 million at June 30, 2012, we expect to recognize income of approximately \$3.9 million in our bank loan CLO portfolio for the remaining six months of 2012.

Beginning in October 2010 through June 30, 2012, we have underwritten 16 new CRE loans for a total of \$210.9 million, most of which were financed by using capital recycled through our two real estate CDO securitizations. We also purchased 25 newly underwritten CMBS for \$80.4 million beginning in February 2011 through June 30, 2012, financed with a Wells Fargo facility. Due to these recent investments, our increased ability to access credit markets and our investment of a significant portion of our available unrestricted and restricted cash balances during 2012, we expect to continue to modestly increase our net interest income in 2012. However, because we believe that economic conditions in the United States are fragile, and could be significantly harmed by occurrences over which we have no control, we cannot assure you that we will be able to meet our expectations, or that we will not experience net interest income reductions.

As of June 30, 2012, we had invested 64% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 30% in commercial bank loans and 6% in other assets. As of December 31, 2011, we had invested 63% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 31% in commercial bank loans, 6% in other investments.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the provision for credit losses, recovery of deferred tax assets, fair value of investment securities, potential impairment of intangible assets and goodwill, guarantees and certain accrued liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

For a complete discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates, see the discussion of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates."

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Results of Operations – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 as compared to Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Our net income allocable to common shares for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$16.4 million, or \$.20 per share (basic and diluted) and \$30.9 million, or \$.38 per share-basic (\$0.37 per share-diluted), respectively, as compared to net income of \$9.2 million, or \$0.13 per share (basic and diluted) and \$22.4 million, or \$0.34 per share (basic and diluted) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Interest Income

The following tables set forth information relating to our interest income recognized for the periods presented (in thousands, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Weighted Average | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Weighted Average | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| | Interest Income | Yield | Balance | Interest Income | Yield | Balance |
| Interest income: | | | | | | |
| Interest income from loans: | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | \$ 14,323 | 4.73% | \$ 1,173,348 | \$ 13,344 | 5.95% | \$ 888,340 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 8,689 | 5.07% | \$ 678,419 | 7,247 | 4.55% | \$ 625,357 |
| Total interest income from loans | 23,012 | | | 20,591 | | |
| Interest income from securities: | | | | | | |
| CMBS-private placement | 3,001 | 5.09% | \$ 234,526 | 2,330 | 5.39% | \$ 175,297 |
| ABS | 434 | 5.13% | \$ 32,887 | 373 | 4.57% | \$ 32,302 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities or RMBS | 317 | 2.98% | \$ 42,566 | 252 | 2.76% | \$ 35,865 |
| Total interest income from securities | 3,752 | | | 2,955 | | |
| Interest income – other: | | | | | | |
| Preference payments on structured notes (1) | 3,109 | 21.62% | \$ 57,521 | 1,627 | 8.09% | \$ 80,416 |
| Temporary investment in over-night repurchase agreements | 48 | N/A | N/A | 89 | N/A | N/A |
| Total interest income – other | 3,157 | | | 1,716 | | |
| Total interest income | \$ 29,921 | | | \$ 25,262 | | |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Weighted Average | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Weighted Average | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| | Interest Income | Yield | Balance | Interest Income | Yield | Balance |
| Interest income: | | | | | | |
| Interest income from loans: | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | \$ 29,576 | 4.88% | \$ 1,187,753 | \$ 27,494 | 6.18% | \$ 883,208 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 17,051 | 4.94% | \$ 681,800 | 14,347 | 4.50% | \$ 634,865 |
| Total interest income from loans | 46,627 | | | 41,841 | | |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Interest income from securities:

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|------------|-----------|-------|------------|
| CMBS-private placement | 5,868 | 5.17% | \$ 226,697 | 4,564 | 5.58% | \$ 162,011 |
| ABS | 868 | 5.14% | \$ 32,927 | 743 | 4.60% | \$ 32,129 |
| RMBS | 600 | 2.68% | \$ 44,737 | 408 | 3.09% | \$ 25,855 |
| Total interest income from securities | 7,336 | | | 5,715 | | |
| Interest income – other: | | | | | | |
| Preference payments on structured notes (1) | 5,881 | 20.30% | \$ 57,933 | 2,762 | 8.22% | \$ 67,185 |
| Temporary investment in over-night repurchase agreements | 105 | N/A | N/A | 173 | N/A | N/A |
| Total interest income – other | 5,986 | | | 2,935 | | |
| Total interest income | \$ 59,949 | | | \$ 50,491 | | |

(1) Yields on these quarterly payers reflect payments for full distribution periods and in some cases, we owned the position for a portion of that period.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes certain information relating to interest income for the periods indicated (in thousands, except percentages):

| Type of Security | Coupon Interest | Unamortized (Discount) Premium | Net Amortization/ Accretion | Interest Income | Fee Income | Total |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | 4.16% | \$ (22,768) | \$ 3,549 | \$ 10,470 (1) | \$ 304 | \$ 14,323 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 5.10% | \$ (144) | 8 | 8,497 | 184 | 8,689 |
| Total interest income from loans | | | 3,557 | 18,967 | 488 | 23,012 |
| CMBS-private placement | 3.62% | \$ (10,968) | 516 | 2,485 | – | 3,001 |
| ABS | 2.50% | \$ (3,601) | 184 | 250 | – | 434 |
| RMBS | | | – | 317 | – | 317 |
| Total interest income from securities | | | 700 | 3,052 | – | 3,752 |
| Preference payments on structured notes | | | – | 3,109 | – | 3,109 |
| Other | | | – | 48 | – | 48 |
| Total interest income – other | | | – | 3,157 | – | 3,157 |
| Total interest income | | | \$ 4,257 | \$ 25,176 | \$ 488 | \$ 29,921 |
| Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | 3.70% | \$ (19,224) | \$ 4,672 | \$ 8,357 (1) | \$ 315 | \$ 13,344 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 4.41% | \$ (175) | (2) | 7,210 | 39 | 7,247 |
| Total interest income from loans | | | 4,670 | 15,567 | 354 | 20,591 |
| CMBS-private placement | 3.48% | \$ (14,038) | 723 | 1,607 | – | 2,330 |
| ABS | 2.60% | \$ (2,732) | 120 | 253 | – | 373 |
| RMBS | | | – | 252 | – | 252 |
| Total interest income from securities | | | 843 | 2,112 | – | 2,955 |
| Preference payments on structured notes | | | – | 1,627 | – | 1,627 |
| Other | | | – | 89 | – | 89 |
| Total interest income – other | | | – | 1,716 | – | 1,716 |
| Total interest income | | | \$ 5,513 | \$ 19,395 | \$ 354 | \$ 25,262 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | 4.17% | \$ (22,768) | \$ 7,997 | \$ 20,863 (1) | \$ 716 | \$ 29,576 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 5.03% | \$ (144) | 16 | 16,838 | 197 | 17,051 |
| Total interest income from loans | | | 8,013 | 37,701 | 913 | 46,627 |
| CMBS-private placement | 3.81% | \$ (10,968) | 1,197 | 4,671 | – | 5,868 |
| ABS | 2.56% | \$ (3,601) | 362 | 506 | – | 868 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| RMBS | | | – | 600 | – | 600 |
| Total interest income from securities | | | 1,559 | 5,777 | – | 7,336 |
| Preference payments on structured notes | | | – | 5,881 | – | 5,881 |
| Other | | | – | 105 | – | 105 |
| Total interest income – other | | | – | 5,986 | – | 5,986 |
| Total interest income | | | \$ 9,572 | \$ 49,464 | \$ 913 | \$ 59,949 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | 3.65% | \$ (19,224) | \$ 9,724 | \$ 16,353 | \$ 1,417 | \$ 27,494 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 4.37% | \$ (175) | (4) | 14,268 | 83 | 14,347 |
| Total interest income from loans | | | 9,720 | 30,621 | 1,500 | 41,841 |
| CMBS-private placement | 3.29% | \$ (14,038) | 1,739 | 2,825 | – | 4,564 |
| ABS | 2.61% | \$ (2,732) | 238 | 505 | – | 743 |
| RMBS | | | – | 408 | – | 408 |
| Total interest income from securities | | | 1,977 | 3,738 | – | 5,715 |
| Preference payments on structured notes | | | – | 2,762 | – | 2,762 |
| Other | | | – | 173 | – | 173 |
| Total interest income – other | | | – | 2,935 | – | 2,935 |
| Total interest income | | | \$ 11,697 | \$ 37,294 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 50,491 |

(1) Amount excludes \$2.2 million and \$4.3 million of interest income on bank loans on Apidos CLO VIII for three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. We own 43% of the outstanding subordinated debt. The remaining 57% of the subordinated debt is owned by unrelated third parties.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

(Back to Index)

Aggregate interest income increased \$4.6 million (18%) and \$9.4 million (19%) to \$29.9 million and \$59.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$25.3 million and \$50.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. We attribute these increases to the following:

Interest Income from Loans. Aggregate interest income from loans increased \$2.4 million (12%) and \$4.8 million (11%) to \$23.0 million and \$46.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$20.6 million and \$41.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as a result of the following:

Interest income on bank loans increased \$979,000 (7%) and \$2.1 million (8%) to \$14.3 million and \$29.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$13.3 million and \$27.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. These increases resulted primarily from an increase in the weighted average loan balance of \$285.0 million and \$304.5 million to \$1.2 billion for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$888.3 million and \$883.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively principally as a result of our new CLO, Apidos CLO VIII, which we began acquiring assets for in July 2011.

The increase in the weighted average loan balance was partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average yield to 4.73% and 4.88% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from 5.95% and 6.18% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, primarily as a result of the decrease in accretion income caused by the timing of paydowns and payoffs, which sped up the recognition of the discount accretion during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. During the three months and six months ended 2012, Apidos I, III and Cinco had decreased paydowns of \$57.8 million and \$103.2 million, respectively, as compared to \$142.0 million and \$258.3 million in paydowns during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011. This decline in paydowns and resulting decline in discount accretion income recognition was partially offset by increased accretion income generated by our new CLO during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

The interest income on CRE loans increased \$1.4 million (20%) and \$2.8 million (19%) to \$8.7 million and \$17.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$7.3 million and \$14.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. These increases are a result of the following:

The increase of \$53.1 million and \$46.9 million in the weighted average loan balance to \$678.4 million and \$681.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$625.4 million and \$634.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as we began to reinvest proceeds from payoffs and paydowns, classified as restricted CDO cash on our balance sheet, during the fourth quarter of 2011, with the majority of these proceeds being reinvested during the second quarter of 2012.

The increase in the weighted average yield to 5.07% and 4.94% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from 4.55% and 4.50% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, as a result of newer real estate loans with higher stated interest rates than our legacy portfolio.

Interest Income from Securities. Aggregate interest income from securities increased \$797,000 (27%) and \$1.6 million (28%) to \$3.8 million and \$7.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$3.0 million and \$5.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The increases in interest income from securities resulted principally from the following:

Interest income on CMBS-private placement increased \$671,000 (29%) and \$1.3 million (29%) to \$3.0 million and \$5.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$2.3 million and \$4.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. These increases resulted from an increase in the weighted average balance of assets of \$59.2 million and \$64.7 million during the three and six months ended June

30, 2012, respectively, primarily as a result of the purchase of assets on our Wells Fargo facility beginning in February 2011. The increases in interest income on CMBS-private placement as a result of the increase in the weighted average balance were partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average yield of assets to 5.09% and 5.17% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from 5.39% and 5.58% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, primarily as a result of the decrease in accretion income during the six months ended June 30, 2012 from the different mix of assets purchased in 2012 at a net premium as opposed to the net discount the CMBS were purchased at in prior years.

Interest Income - Other. Aggregate interest income-other increased \$1.5 million (84%) and \$3.1 million (104%) to \$3.2 million and \$6.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$1.7 million and \$2.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively and is primarily related to our trading securities investment program with Resource Capital Markets. The payments vary from period to period and are based on cash flows from the underlying assets rather than on a contractual interest rate.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Interest Expense – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 as compared to
Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

The following table sets forth information relating to our interest expense incurred for the periods presented by asset class (in thousands, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| | Interest Expense | Weighted Average | | Interest Expense | Weighted Average | |
| | | Yield | Balance | | Yield | Balance |
| Bank loans | \$ 3,754 | 1.34% | \$ 1,171,254 | \$ 2,283 | 1.02% | \$ 906,000 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 2,172 | 1.85% | \$ 470,697 | 1,537 | 1.24% | \$ 503,750 |
| CMBS–private placement | 348 | 1.90% | \$ 75,963 | 154 | 2.64% | \$ 23,416 |
| Hedging instruments | 1,841 | 5.12% | \$ 141,285 | 2,194 | 5.09% | \$ 166,892 |
| General | 821 | 6.31% | \$ 51,548 | 894 | 7.02% | \$ 50,000 |
| Total interest expense | \$ 8,936 | | | \$ 7,062 | | |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| | Interest Expense | Weighted Average | | Interest Expense | Weighted Average | |
| | | Yield | Balance | | Yield | Balance |
| Bank loans | \$ 7,567 | 1.32% | \$ 1,188,170 | \$ 4,573 | 0.99% | \$ 906,000 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 3,730 | 1.54% | \$ 474,272 | 3,126 | 1.25% | \$ 503,750 |
| CMBS–private placement | 626 | 1.93% | \$ 67,334 | 210 | 3.12% | \$ 13,568 |
| Hedging instruments | 3,834 | 5.12% | \$ 146,905 | 4,308 | 5.07% | \$ 166,978 |
| General | 1,622 | 6.24% | \$ 51,458 | 1,778 | 6.87% | \$ 50,000 |
| Total interest expense | \$ 17,379 | | | \$ 13,995 | | |

Aggregate interest expense increased \$1.8 million (27%) and \$3.4 million (25%) to \$8.9 million and \$17.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$7.1 million and \$14.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. We attribute these increases to the following:

Interest expense related to our bank loans was \$3.8 million and \$7.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$2.3 million and \$4.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, an increase of \$1.5 million (64%) and \$3.0 million (67%), respectively. These increases resulted primarily from the following:

An increase in the weighted average balance of the related financings of \$265.3 million and \$282.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, due to the closing of our new CLO which occurred in October 2011. The increase in weighted average balance of financings from our new CLO was partially offset by the amortization of Apidos CDO I as it has reached the end of its reinvestment period in 2011. During the period October 31, 2011 through June 30, 2012, Apidos CDO I paid down \$64.3 million in principal amount of its CDO notes; and

An increase in the weighted average rate to 1.34% and 1.32% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from 1.02% and 0.99% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, primarily as a result of the increase in LIBOR, a reference index for the rates payable on most of these financings, and of the closing of our new CLO which had a weighted average rate on its financings of 2.32% and 2.37% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Interest expense on commercial real estate loans was \$2.2 million and \$3.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$1.5 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, increases of \$635,000 (41%) and \$604,000 (19%), respectively. These increases resulted primarily from the acceleration of deferred debt issuance costs on RREF CDO 2007 as a result of note repurchases during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as well as deferred debt issuance costs on our new line during the three months ended June 30, 2012, both of which increased the weighted average cost.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Interest expense on CMBS-private placement was \$348,000 and \$626,000 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$154,000 and \$210,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, increases of \$194,000 (126%) and \$416,000 (198%), respectively. The increases are due entirely to our increased use of our master repurchase agreement facility with Wells Fargo that we entered into in February 2011 to finance our acquisition of highly-rated CMBS.

The increase in interest expense was partially offset by a decrease in interest expense on hedging instruments of \$353,000 (16%) and \$474,000 (11%) to \$1.8 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$2.2 million and \$4.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease in the hedging expense was primarily due to a change in the composition of interest rate swaps we held on our books during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to 2011. This change in composition is a result of the maturities of certain hedges related to our CRE portfolio in 2012 and 2011 and the purchase of new hedges at lower rates related to securities we purchased using the Wells Fargo repurchase facility.

Other Revenue

The following table sets forth information relating to other revenue we generated during the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Other revenue: | | | | |
| Rental income | \$2,034 | \$157 | \$3,953 | \$180 |
| Dividend income | – | 866 | – | 1,527 |
| Fee income | 2,329 | 2,253 | 4,191 | 3,899 |
| Total other revenue | \$4,363 | \$3,276 | \$8,144 | \$5,606 |

The increase in rental income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 is related to investments in real estate which we acquired beginning in June 2011.

We received dividend income of \$866,000 and \$1.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. On November 16, 2011, we entered into an agreement whereby we exchanged our old preferred interest in LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc., or LCC, an equipment leasing company, for a new preferred interest in LCC. We have accounted for our resulting interest under the equity method subsequent to November 16, 2011 and therefore, we no longer record dividend income from this investment. As of November 16, 2011, we record our equity interest in other income (expense). For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized a loss of \$1.3 million on this investment.

We generated fee income of \$2.3 million and \$4.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, which is related to our February 2011 acquisition of a company that manages bank loan assets and receives senior, subordinated, and incentive fees from five Resource Capital Asset Management, or RCAM, collateralized loan obligation issuers, or CLOs. The 2012 period represents a full six months of fee income versus a partial six months in 2011. Fee income also includes management fees on Apidos CLO VIII, which we began receiving in November 2011 when the CLO closed.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth information relating to our operating expenses incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Management fees – related party | \$4,548 | \$3,148 | \$7,991 | \$5,486 |
| Equity compensation – related party | 1,140 | 623 | 2,008 | 1,083 |
| Professional services | 806 | 989 | 2,158 | 1,908 |
| Insurance | 159 | 159 | 317 | 336 |
| Rental operating expense | 1,309 | 185 | 2,629 | 330 |
| General and administrative | 1,470 | 1,121 | 2,533 | 1,921 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,364 | 756 | 2,725 | 1,009 |
| Income tax expense | 384 | 1,171 | 2,999 | 2,980 |
| Total operating expenses | \$11,180 | \$8,152 | \$23,360 | \$15,053 |

Management fees – related party increased by \$1.4 million (44%) and \$2.5 million (46%) to \$4.5 million and \$8.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$3.1 million and \$5.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. These amounts represent compensation in the form of base management fees and incentive management fees pursuant to our management agreement as well as fees to the manager of our structured note portfolio. The changes are described below:

Incentive management fees to our Manager, which are based upon the excess of adjusted operating earnings over a variable base rate, were \$1.8 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, increases of \$988,000 and \$1.7 million from \$806,000 and \$806,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The increase in these fees in 2012 was primarily the result of gains on the extinguishment of debt during the three months ended June 30, 2012. In addition, there was no incentive management fee for the three months ended June 30, 2011 primarily as a result of realized losses in our CRE portfolio. The incentive fee is calculated for each quarter and the calculation in any quarter is not affected by the results of any other quarter.

Base management fees increased by \$143,000 (8%) and \$439,000 (13%) to \$1.9 million and \$3.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$1.8 million and \$3.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. These increases were due to increased stockholders' equity, a component in the formula by which base management fees are calculated, primarily as a result of the receipt of \$115.9 million of proceeds from the sales of common stock through our Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan or DRIP, from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 as well as the receipt of \$46.6 million from the proceeds of our March 2011 secondary common stock offering.

Incentive management fees related to our structured finance manager increased by \$269,000 (48%) and \$419,000 (32%) to \$835,000 and \$1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$566,000 and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in fees is primarily related to the improved economic performance of this portfolio at June 30, 2012.

Equity compensation – related party increased \$517,000 (83%) and \$925,000 (85%) to \$1.1 million and \$2.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$623,000 and \$1.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. This expense is related to the amortization of annual grants of restricted common stock to our non-employee independent directors, and discretionary grants of restricted stock to several employees of Resource America who provide investment management services to us through our Manager. The increase in expense was primarily the result of the issuance of new grants in 2011 and 2012. The increase in expense was partially offset by a decline in our stock price and its impact on our quarterly remeasurement of the value of unvested stock.

Rental operating expense increased \$1.1 million and \$2.3 million to \$1.3 million and \$2.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$185,000 and \$330,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and is related to an increase in our investments in real estate through several acquisitions beginning in June 2011.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

General and administrative expense increased \$349,000 (31%) and \$612,000 (32%) to \$1.5 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$1.1 million and \$1.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively due to the following:

Increases in dues and subscriptions of \$183,000 and \$223,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily as a result of increased New York stock exchange listing fees due to additional shares outstanding over the prior year.

Increases in payroll expense of \$163,000 and \$152,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 as a result of an amendment to our management agreement which allowed for two additional employees to be reimbursed to our manager.

The increase for the six months ended June 30, 2012 is also the result of \$240,000 of CRE consulting fees related to a loan that was restructured with a new borrower and with a new use for the underlying property.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$608,000 (80%) and \$1.7 million (170%) to \$1.4 million and \$2.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$756,000 and \$1.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The increases are related to our acquisition of real estate in the second and third quarters of 2011 and our acquisition of RCAM in February 2011.

Income tax expense decreased \$787,000 (67%) and increased \$19,000 (1%) to \$384,000 and \$3.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$1.2 million and \$3.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease in income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 is primarily due to a favorable state tax position that saved us \$1.1 million offset partially during the three months and fully for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as a result of income taxes on Apidos VIII CLO, which closed in October 2011.

Other revenue (expense)

The following table sets forth information relating to our other revenue (expense) incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Other revenue (expense): | | | | |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | \$(32) | \$(4,649) | \$(171) | \$(4,649) |
| Net realized gains on investment securities available-for-sale and loans | 1,422 | 3,696 | 1,802 | 3,852 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities-trading | 1,424 | 1,473 | 3,568 | 3,279 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (4,253) | (4,113) | (6,431) | (6,719) |
| Gain on the extinguishment of debt | 5,464 | — | 5,464 | — |
| Other expense | (1,743) | (512) | (655) | (451) |
| Total other income (expense) | \$2,282 | \$(4,105) | \$3,577 | \$(4,688) |

Net impairment losses recognized in earnings was \$4.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and were the result of an impairment we recognized on one investment security available-for-sale.

Net realized gain on investment securities available-for-sale and loans decreased \$2.3 million (62%) and \$2.1 million (53%) to \$1.4 million and \$1.8 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$3.7 million and \$3.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease is primarily the result of a gain of \$3.5 million related to the sale of three CMBS positions during the three months ended June 30, 2011 as compared to two CMBS positions during the three months ended June 30, 2012 for a gain of \$912,000. This decline in gains was offset partially for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 by gains on the sale of Apidos loans during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as a result of improved pricing and increased sales volume, particularly in our new CDO, Apidos CLO VIII.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Our provision for loan and lease losses increased by \$140,000 (3%) and decreased by \$288,000 (4%) to \$4.3 million and \$6.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$4.1 million and \$6.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The following table summarizes information relating to our provision for loan and lease losses for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| CRE loan portfolio | \$3,486 | \$2,092 | \$3,836 | \$5,213 |
| Bank loan portfolio | 767 | 2,021 | 2,595 | 1,506 |
| | \$4,253 | \$4,113 | \$6,431 | \$6,719 |

CRE Loan Portfolio

The principal reason for the increase during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 was due to a the pending sale transaction on two loans cross-collateralized by a portfolio of multi-family units in San Francisco that we wrote down to the anticipated sale value. In total, we had three positions for which we took a provision during the three months ended June 30, 2012. The positions had a total par amount of \$41.1 million and were written down to \$34.0 million for a weighted average write-down percentage of 17.2% of par. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, we had two positions on which we took provisions. The positions had a total par of \$48.8 million and were written down to \$31.4 million for a weighted average write down percentage of 35.8% of par. The principal reason for the decrease during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 were improved credit conditions for the borrowers in our CRE portfolio with exception of the three loans discussed above. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, we had four positions for which we took provisions. The positions had a total par of \$55.3 million and were written down to \$50.5 million for a weighted average write down percentage of 8.8% of par.

Bank Loan Portfolio

The bank loan provision decreased by \$1.2 million to \$767,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as a result of the improved credit quality. The bank loan provision increased by \$1.1 million to \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to \$1.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The principal reason for the increase in bank loan provision was due to the recognition of impairment on three loans in our in our bank loan portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Other expense increased \$1.2 million (240%) and \$204,000 (45%) to expense of \$1.7 million and \$655,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from expense of \$512,000 and \$451,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. These increases are primarily the result of a loss on our equity investment in LCC of \$1.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as that start-up investment continues to originate new leases and build its lease volume. This loss was partially offset for the six months ended June 30, 2012 by a gain of \$1.2 million recognized on the sale of a property in our real estate joint venture.

Financial Condition

Summary.

Our total assets were \$2.3 billion on June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, we held \$31.9 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents.

Investment Portfolio.

The table below summarizes the amortized cost and net carrying amount of our investment portfolio as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, classified by interest rate type. The following table includes both (i) the amortized cost of our investment portfolio and the related dollar price, which is computed by dividing amortized cost by par amount, and (ii) the net carrying amount of our investment portfolio and the related dollar price, which is computed by dividing the net carrying amount by par amount (in thousands, except percentages):

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

| | Amortized cost | Dollar price | Net carrying amount | Dollar price | Net carrying amount less amortized cost | Dollar price |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Floating rate | | | | | | |
| RMBS | \$ 10,547 | 28.65% | \$ 7,517 | 20.42% | \$ (3,030) | -8.23% |
| CMBS-private placement | 28,411 | 100.00% | 12,495 | 43.98% | (15,916) | -56.02% |
| Structured notes | 26,872 | 42.36% | 36,636 | 57.75% | 9,764 | 15.39% |
| Other ABS | – | 0.00% | 23 | 0.28% | 23 | 0.28% |
| Mezzanine loans (1) | 53,921 | 99.99% | 53,128 | 98.52% | (793) | -1.47% |
| Whole loans (1) | 547,233 | 99.62% | 541,297 | 98.54% | (5,936) | -1.08% |
| Bank loans (2) | 1,116,993 | 97.94% | 1,111,779 | 97.48% | (5,214) | -0.46% |
| Loans held for sale | 49,114 | 90.75% | 49,114 | 90.75% | – | 0.00% |
| ABS Securities | 29,703 | 89.19% | 28,247 | 84.82% | (1,456) | -4.37% |
| Total floating rate | 1,862,794 | 94.64% | 1,840,236 | 93.50% | (22,558) | -1.14% |
| Fixed rate | | | | | | |
| CMBS – private placement | 149,669 | 75.13% | 144,367 | 72.47% | (5,302) | -2.66% |
| B notes (1) | 16,382 | 99.21% | 16,144 | 97.77% | (238) | -1.44% |
| Mezzanine loans (1) | 13,926 | 100.32% | 13,731 | 98.91% | (195) | -1.41% |
| Whole loans (1) | – | 0.00% | – | 0.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Loans receivable-related party | 9,438 | 100.00% | 9,438 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Total fixed rate | 189,415 | 79.24% | 183,680 | 76.84% | (5,735) | -2.40% |
| Other (non-interest bearing) | | | | | | |
| Investment in real estate | 47,362 | 100.00% | 47,362 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities | 45,289 | 100.00% | 45,289 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Total other | 92,651 | 100.00% | 92,651 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Grand total | \$ 2,144,860 | 93.26% | \$ 2,116,567 | 91.98% | \$ (28,293) | -1.28% |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Floating rate | | | | | | |
| RMBS | \$ 8,729 | 18.60% | \$ 7,120 | 15.17% | \$ (1,609) | -3.43% |
| CMBS-private placement | 28,691 | 100.00% | 8,311 | 28.97% | (20,380) | -71.03% |
| Structured notes | 27,345 | 41.53% | 31,553 | 47.93% | 4,208 | 6.40% |
| ABS | 28,513 | 88.21% | 25,201 | 77.96% | (3,312) | -10.25% |
| Other ABS | – | 0.00% | 23 | 0.28% | 23 | 0.28% |
| Mezzanine loans (1) | 53,908 | 99.97% | 53,077 | 98.43% | (831) | -1.54% |
| Whole loans (1) | 537,708 | 99.79% | 515,176 | 95.61% | (22,532) | -4.18% |
| Bank loans (2) | 1,170,599 | 97.33% | 1,167,302 | 97.06% | (3,297) | -0.27% |
| Loans held for sale | 3,154 | 54.59% | 3,154 | 54.59% | – | 0.00% |
| Total floating rate | 1,858,647 | 93.71% | 1,810,917 | 91.32% | (47,730) | -2.39% |
| Fixed rate | | | | | | |
| CMBS – private placement | 132,821 | 71.94% | 124,509 | 67.44% | (8,312) | -4.50% |
| B notes (1) | 16,435 | 99.13% | 16,182 | 97.61% | (253) | -1.52% |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|--------|
| Mezzanine loans (1) | 13,966 | 100.35% | 13,361 | 96.00% | (605) | -4.35% |
| Whole loans (1) | 6,965 | 99.47% | 6,965 | 99.47% | – | 0.00% |
| Loans receivable-related party | 9,497 | 100.00% | 9,497 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Total fixed rate | 179,684 | 77.58% | 170,514 | 73.62% | (9,170) | -3.96% |
| Other (non-interest bearing) | | | | | | |
| Investment in real estate | 48,027 | 100.00% | 48,027 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities | 47,899 | 100.00% | 47,899 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Total other | 95,926 | 100.00% | 95,926 | 100.00% | – | 0.00% |
| Grand total | \$ 2,134,257 | 92.36% | \$ 2,077,357 | 89.89% | \$ (56,900) | -2.47% |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

- (1) Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$7.2 million at June 30, 2012, allocated as follows: B notes (\$238,000), mezzanine loans (\$988,000) and whole loans (\$5.9 million). Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$24.2 million at December 31, 2011, allocated as follows: B notes (\$253,000), mezzanine loans (\$1.4 million) and whole loans (\$22.5 million).
- (2) Net carrying amount includes allowances for loan losses of \$5.2 million and \$3.3 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities-Private Placement. In the aggregate, we purchased our CMBS-private placement portfolio at a net discount. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the remaining discount to be accreted into income over the remaining lives of the securities was \$11.0 million and \$13.2 million, respectively. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and, as a result, are carried at their fair value.

There was no other-than temporary impairment on our CMBS-private placement portfolio recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and there was a \$4.6 million of other-than-temporary impairment on one CMBS position bringing the fair value to \$49,000 during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. While our CMBS-private placement portfolio has declined in fair value on a net basis, we concluded that the decline continues to be temporary. We perform an on-going review of third-party reports and updated financial data on the underlying property financial information to analyze current and projected loan performance. Rating agency downgrades are considered with respect to our income approach when determining other-than-temporary impairment and, when inputs are stressed, the resulting projected cash flows reflect a full recovery of principal.

The following table summarizes our CMBS-private placement (in thousands, except percentages):

| | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 | Net Purchases | Upgrades/ Downgrades | MTM Change on Same Ratings | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Moody's Ratings Category: | | | | | |
| Aaa | \$ 59,727 | \$ 32,911 | \$ - | \$ (19,379) | \$ 73,259 |
| Aa1 through Aa3 | 4,115 | - | - | 77 | 4,192 |
| A1 through A3 | 10,678 | - | - | (2,827) | 7,851 |
| Baa1 through Baa3 | 27,839 | 4,188 | (5,528) | 5,644 | 32,143 |
| Ba1 through Ba3 | 3,502 | - | - | 2,038 | 5,540 |
| B1 through B3 | 960 | - | - | 540 | 1,500 |
| Caa1 through Caa3 | 7,151 | - | - | 314 | 7,465 |
| Ca through C | 2,094 | - | (2,069) | 5,640 | 5,665 |
| Non-Rated | 16,754 | - | - | 2,493 | 19,247 |
| Total | \$ 132,820 | \$ 37,099 | \$ (7,597) | \$ (5,460) | \$ 156,862 |
| S&P Ratings Category: | | | | | |
| AAA | \$ 59,727 | \$ 32,911 | \$ - | \$ (23,626) | \$ 69,012 |
| A+ through A- | 5,923 | - | - | (1,020) | 4,903 |
| BBB+ through BBB- | 19,179 | 4,188 | (1,324) | (8,726) | 13,317 |
| BB+ through BB- | 21,129 | - | (8,850) | 19,293 | 31,572 |
| B+ through B- | 2,310 | - | - | 499 | 2,809 |
| CCC+ through CCC- | 6,643 | - | - | 1,751 | 8,394 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| D | 616 | – | (1,391) | 1,957 | 1,182 |
| Non-Rated | 17,293 | – | – | 8,380 | 25,673 |
| Total | \$ 132,820 | \$ 37,099 | \$ (11,565) | \$ (1,492) | \$ 156,862 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Investment Securities, Trading. The following table summarizes our structured notes and RMBS securities, which are classified as investment securities, trading, and are carried at fair value (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Structured notes | \$26,872 | \$10,895 | \$(1,131) | \$36,636 |
| RMBS | 10,547 | 452 | (3,482) | 7,517 |
| Total | \$37,419 | \$11,347 | \$(4,613) | \$44,153 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Structured notes | \$27,345 | \$6,098 | \$(1,890) | \$31,553 |
| RMBS | 8,729 | 100 | (1,709) | 7,120 |
| Total | \$36,074 | \$6,198 | \$(3,599) | \$38,673 |

We purchased and sold two securities during the six months ended June 30, 2012, for a net loss of \$791,000. We also had one position liquidate during the six months ended June 30, 2012 which resulted in a gain of \$224,000. We held 26 and 27 investment securities, trading as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Other Asset-Backed Securities. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we held two other ABS positions with a fair value of \$23,000. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value.

Real Estate Loans. The following table is a summary of the loans in our commercial real estate loan portfolio at the dates indicated (in thousands):

| Description | Quantity | Amortized Cost | Contracted Interest Rates | Maturity Dates (3) |
|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | |
| Whole loans, floating rate (1) | | | LIBOR plus 2.00% to | September 2012 |
| (4) (5) (6) | 37 | \$ 581,233 | LIBOR plus 5.75% | to February 2019 |
| B notes, fixed rate | 1 | 16,382 | 8.68% | April 2016 |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate | 3 | 53,921 | LIBOR plus 2.50% to | August 2012 to |
| | | | LIBOR plus 7.45% | December 2012 |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate | 2 | 13,926 | 8.99% to 11.00% | January 2016 to |
| Total (2) | 43 | \$ 665,462 | | September 2016 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | |
| Whole loans, floating rate (1) | | | LIBOR plus 2.50% to | April 2012 to |
| (4) (5) | 32 | \$ 537,708 | LIBOR plus 5.75% | February 2019 |
| Whole loans, fixed rate | 1 | 6,965 | 10.00% | June 2012 |
| B notes, fixed rate | 1 | 16,435 | 8.68% | April 2016 |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate | 3 | 53,908 | LIBOR plus 2.50% to | May 2012 to |
| | | | LIBOR plus 7.45% | December 2012 |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate | 2 | 13,966 | 8.99% to 11.00% | January 2016 to |
| | | | | September 2016 |

Total (2) 39 \$ 628,982

- (1) Whole loans had \$6.1 million and \$5.2 million in unfunded loan commitments as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These commitments are funded as the borrowers require additional funding and have satisfied the requirements to obtain this additional funding.
- (2) The total does not include an allowance for loan losses of \$7.2 million and \$24.2 million recorded as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- (3) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.
- (4) Floating rate whole loans includes a \$2.0 million portion of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 15.0% as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- (5) Floating rate whole loans includes a \$597,000 and \$302,000 preferred equity tranche of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 10.0% as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
- (6) Amount includes \$34.0 million of a whole loan that is classified as a loan held for sale at June 30, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Bank Loans. At June 30, 2012, our consolidated securitizations, Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO and Apidos CDO VIII, held a total of \$1.1 billion of bank loans at fair value. The bank loans held by these entities secure the CDO notes they issued. The aggregate fair value of bank loans held decreased by \$22,000 over their holdings at December 31, 2011. This decrease was principally due to paydowns and sales of bank loans during the six months ended June 30, 2012 which was offset almost fully by the improved market price.

We have determined that Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO and Apidos CDO VIII are variable interest entities, or VIEs, and that we are the primary beneficiary of Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO. As of June 30, 2012, Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO and Apidos CLO VIII were consolidated. We own 100% of the equity of Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III and Apidos CDO Cinco. We own approximately 43% of the equity of Apidos CLO VIII.

The following table summarizes our bank loan investments (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Amortized cost | Fair Value | Amortized cost | Fair Value |
| Moody's ratings category: | | | | |
| Baa1 through Baa3 | \$41,565 | \$41,697 | \$44,952 | \$44,956 |
| Ba1 through Ba3 | 596,460 | 600,341 | 648,543 | 644,497 |
| B1 through B3 | 449,252 | 447,812 | 439,871 | 427,282 |
| Caa1 through Caa3 | 17,464 | 12,432 | 19,710 | 12,774 |
| Ca | 7,519 | 3,704 | 5,765 | 2,397 |
| No rating provided | 19,847 | 20,212 | 14,912 | 14,155 |
| Total | \$1,132,107 | \$1,126,198 | \$1,173,753 | \$1,146,061 |
| S&P ratings category: | | | | |
| BBB+ through BBB- | \$95,484 | \$95,835 | \$84,623 | \$84,615 |
| BB+ through BB- | 518,204 | 521,397 | 561,375 | 559,211 |
| B+ through B- | 471,457 | 471,345 | 478,684 | 465,564 |
| CCC+ through CCC- | 21,914 | 14,880 | 27,097 | 19,401 |
| CC+ through CC- | 796 | 627 | 4,490 | 1,512 |
| C+ through C- | — | — | — | — |
| D | 2,240 | 1,293 | 352 | 343 |
| No rating provided | 22,012 | 20,821 | 17,132 | 15,415 |
| Total | \$1,132,107 | \$1,126,198 | \$1,173,753 | \$1,146,061 |
| Weighted average rating factor | 1,966 | | 1,969 | |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table provides information as to the lien position and status of our bank loans, which we consolidate (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Apidos I | Apidos III | Apidos Cinco | Apidos VIII | Total |
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | |
| Loans held for investment: | | | | | |
| First lien loans | \$236,015 | \$228,648 | \$309,683 | \$314,912 | \$1,089,258 |
| Second lien loans | 4,721 | 4,205 | 7,502 | 6,343 | 22,771 |
| Subordinated second lien loans | – | – | – | – | – |
| Defaulted first lien loans | 2,512 | 667 | 1,119 | – | 4,298 |
| Defaulted second lien loans | 333 | 333 | – | – | 666 |
| Total | 243,581 | 233,853 | 318,304 | 321,255 | 1,116,993 |
| First lien loans held for sale at fair value | 235 | 5,332 | 878 | 8,669 | 15,114 |
| Total | \$243,816 | \$239,185 | \$319,182 | \$329,924 | \$1,132,107 |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Loans held for investment: | | | | | |
| First lien loans | \$295,318 | \$242,628 | \$293,442 | \$311,923 | \$1,143,311 |
| Second lien loans | 5,281 | 5,746 | 6,438 | 6,845 | 24,310 |
| Subordinated second lien loans | 163 | 122 | – | – | 285 |
| Defaulted first lien loans | 1,397 | 599 | 697 | – | 2,693 |
| Defaulted second lien loans | – | – | – | – | – |
| Total | 302,159 | 249,095 | 300,577 | 318,768 | 1,170,599 |
| First lien loans held for sale at fair value | – | 198 | 2,018 | 938 | 3,154 |
| Total | \$302,159 | \$249,293 | \$302,595 | \$319,706 | \$1,173,753 |

Asset-backed securities. In November 2011, the investment securities held-to-maturity portfolio was reclassified to investment securities available-for-sale since management no longer intended to hold these positions until maturity. These investments are now held at fair value with any unrealized gain or loss reported in the stockholder's equity section of the balance sheet. At June 30, 2012, we held a total of \$28.2 million of ABS at fair value through Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, and Apidos CLO VIII all of which secure the debt issued by these entities. At December 31, 2011, we held a total of \$25.2 million fair value of ABS through Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III and Apidos Cinco CDO, all of which secure the debt issued by these entities. The increase in total ABS was principally due to improved market prices.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes our ABS at fair value (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Moody's ratings category: | | | | |
| Aaa | \$ 7,892 | \$ 8,393 | \$ 8,252 | \$ 8,051 |
| Aa1 through Aa3 | 1,744 | 1,668 | 1,723 | 1,593 |
| A1 through A3 | 6,516 | 6,711 | 6,446 | 6,366 |
| Baa1 through Baa3 | 2,715 | 2,693 | 2,647 | 2,543 |
| Ba1 through Ba3 | 5,078 | 3,939 | 5,043 | 3,592 |
| B1 through B3 | 3,615 | 2,645 | 3,613 | 2,346 |
| Caa1 through Caa3 | 841 | 942 | — | — |
| No rating provided | 1,302 | 1,256 | 789 | 710 |
| Total | \$ 29,703 | \$ 28,247 | \$ 28,513 | \$ 25,201 |
| S&P ratings category: | | | | |
| AA+ through AA- | \$ 9,637 | \$ 10,062 | \$ 8,138 | \$ 7,928 |
| A+ through A- | 6,411 | 6,575 | 7,467 | 7,347 |
| BBB+ through BBB- | 295 | 306 | 950 | 866 |
| BB+ through BB- | 7,481 | 6,264 | 1,592 | 1,335 |
| B+ through B- | 1,529 | 1,304 | 3,639 | 3,200 |
| CCC+ through CCC- | 841 | 942 | — | — |
| No rating provided | 3,509 | 2,794 | 6,727 | 4,525 |
| Total | \$ 29,703 | \$ 28,247 | \$ 28,513 | \$ 25,201 |
| Weighted average rating factor | 745 | | 582 | |

Investment in Unconsolidated Entities. On November 16, 2011, we, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, entered into a stock purchase agreement with Eos Partners, L.P., or Eos, a private investment firm, and its affiliates. In exchange for our prior interest in LCC, we received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock, or the Series B Preferred Stock, and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, or the Series D Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis, a 26.7% interest in LCC. Our investment in LEAF was valued at \$36.3 million based on a third-party valuation. Several approaches, including discounted expected cash flows, market approach and comparable sales transactions were used to estimate the fair value of our investment in LEAF as a result of the transaction. These approaches required assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates, which were based on the current economic environment and credit market conditions. Accordingly, we recorded a loss of \$2.2 million in conjunction with the transaction. Our resulting interest is accounted for under the equity method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we recorded a loss of \$1.3 in other expense on the consolidated statement of income. Our investment in LCC was valued at \$35.1 million as of June 30, 2012.

In accordance with the agreement, we and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3. To the extent that the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3 is less than approximately \$18.7 million (the value of the equity of LRF 3 on the date it was contributed to LCC by us), as of the final testing date within 90 days of December 31, 2013, we and Resource America have agreed to be jointly and severally obligated to contribute cash to LCC to make up the deficit.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Financing Receivables

The following tables show the allowance for loan losses and recorded investments in loans for the years indicated (in thousands):

| | Commercial Real Estate Loans | Bank Loans | Lease Receivables | Loans Receivable-Related Party | Total |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | |
| Allowance for losses at | | | | | |
| January 1, 2012 | \$ 24,221 | \$ 3,297 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 27,518 |
| Provision for loan loss | 3,836 | 2,595 | – | – | 6,431 |
| Loans charged-off | (20,895) | (678) | – | – | (21,573) |
| Recoveries | – | – | – | – | – |
| Allowance for losses at | | | | | |
| June 30, 2012 | \$ 7,162 | \$ 5,214 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 12,376 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,375 | \$ 2,130 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 3,505 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 5,787 | \$ 3,084 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 8,871 |
| Loans acquired with | | | | | |
| deteriorated credit quality | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 98,570 | \$ 4,965 | \$ – | \$ 9,438 | \$ 112,973 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 566,892 | \$ 1,127,142 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 1,694,034 |
| Loans acquired with | | | | | |
| deteriorated credit quality | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Allowance for losses at | | | | | |
| January 1, 2011 | \$ 31,617 | \$ 2,616 | \$ 70 | \$ – | \$ 34,303 |
| Provision for loan loss | 6,478 | 7,418 | – | – | 13,896 |
| Loans charged-off | (13,874) | (6,737) | (70) | – | (20,681) |
| Recoveries | – | – | – | – | – |
| Allowance for losses at | | | | | |
| December 31, 2011 | \$ 24,221 | \$ 3,297 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 27,518 |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 17,065 | \$ 1,593 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 18,658 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 7,156 | \$ 1,704 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 8,860 |
| Loans acquired with | | | | | |
| deteriorated credit quality | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending balance: | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 113,038 | \$ 2,693 | \$ – | \$ 9,497 | \$ 125,228 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 515,944 | \$ 1,171,060 | \$ – | \$ – | \$ 1,687,004 |
| Loans acquired with | | | | | |
| deteriorated credit quality | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – | \$ – |

Credit quality indicators

Bank Loans

We use a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing our highest rating and 5 representing our lowest rating. We consider such things as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies, and industry dynamics.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Credit risk profiles of bank loans were as follows (in thousands):

| | Rating 1 | Rating 2 | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Rating 5 | Held for Sale | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|--------------|
| As of June 30, 2012: | | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | \$ 1,041,968 | \$ 15,236 | \$ 44,652 | \$ 10,172 | \$ 4,965 | \$ 15,114 | \$ 1,132,107 |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | | | | |
| Bank loans | \$ 1,076,298 | \$ 19,739 | \$ 60,329 | \$ 11,540 | \$ 2,693 | \$ 3,154 | \$ 1,173,753 |

All of our bank loans are performing with the exception of three loans with a total carrying amount of \$5.0 million as of June 30, 2012, two of which defaulted as of March 31, 2012 and one of which defaulted on December 30, 2011.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

We use a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing our highest rating and 4 representing our lowest rating. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, we consider such things as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest, structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms.

Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

| | Rating 1 | Rating 2 | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Held for Sale | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------------|------------|
| As of June 30, 2012: | | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$ 419,506 | \$ 28,750 | \$ 98,977 | \$ - | \$ 34,000 | \$ 581,233 |
| B notes | 16,382 | - | - | - | - | 16,382 |
| Mezzanine loans | 23,338 | - | 44,509 | - | - | 67,847 |
| | \$ 459,226 | \$ 28,750 | \$ 143,486 | \$ - | \$ 34,000 | \$ 665,462 |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$ 329,085 | \$ 87,598 | \$ 90,225 | \$ 37,765 | \$ - | \$ 544,673 |
| B notes | 16,435 | - | - | - | - | 16,435 |
| Mezzanine loans | 23,347 | - | 44,527 | - | - | 67,874 |
| | \$ 368,867 | \$ 87,598 | \$ 134,752 | \$ 37,765 | \$ - | \$ 628,982 |

All of our real estate loans were performing as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Loan Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following table shows the loan portfolio aging analysis for the years indicated at cost basis (in thousands):

| | 30-59 Days | 60-89 Days | Greater than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Receivable | Total Loans > 90 Days and Accruing |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$581,233 | \$581,233 | \$- |
| B notes | - | - | - | - | 16,382 | 16,382 | - |
| Mezzanine loans | - | - | - | - | 67,847 | 67,847 | - |
| Bank loans | - | 2,205 | 2,760 | 4,965 | 1,127,142 | 1,132,107 | - |
| Loans receivable- related party | - | - | - | - | 9,438 | 9,438 | - |
| Total loans | \$- | \$2,205 | \$2,760 | \$4,965 | \$1,802,042 | \$1,807,007 | \$- |
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$544,673 | \$544,673 | \$- |
| B notes | - | - | - | - | 16,435 | 16,435 | - |
| Mezzanine loans | - | - | - | - | 67,874 | 67,874 | - |
| Bank loans | - | - | - | - | 1,173,753 | 1,173,753 | - |
| Loans receivable- related party | - | - | - | - | 9,497 | 9,497 | - |
| Total loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$1,812,232 | \$1,812,232 | \$- |

Impaired Loans

The following tables show impaired loans indicated (in thousands):

| | Recorded Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Specific Allowance | Average Investment in Impaired Loans | Interest Income Recognized |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| June 30, 2012: | | | | | |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$76,195 | \$76,195 | \$- | \$75,763 | \$4,444 |
| B notes | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Mezzanine loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Bank loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$22,375 | \$22,375 | \$(1,375) | \$16,990 | \$1,310 |
| B notes | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|---------|
| Mezzanine loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Bank loans | \$4,965 | \$4,965 | \$(2,130) | \$- | \$- |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$98,570 | \$98,570 | \$(1,375) | \$92,753 | \$5,754 |
| B notes | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mezzanine loans | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank loans | 4,965 | 4,965 | (2,130) | - | - |
| | \$103,535 | \$103,535 | \$(3,505) | \$92,753 | \$5,754 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

| | Recorded Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | Specific Allowance | Average Investment in Impaired Loans | Interest Income Recognized |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$75,273 | \$75,273 | \$- | \$75,263 | \$2,682 |
| B notes | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Mezzanine loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Bank loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$37,765 | \$37,765 | \$(17,065) | \$36,608 | \$920 |
| B notes | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Mezzanine loans | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Bank loans | \$2,693 | \$2,693 | \$(1,593) | \$2,693 | \$- |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Whole loans | \$113,038 | \$113,038 | \$(17,065) | \$111,871 | \$3,602 |
| B notes | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mezzanine loans | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank loans | 2,693 | 2,693 | (1,593) | 2,693 | - |
| | \$115,731 | \$115,731 | \$(18,658) | \$114,564 | \$3,602 |

Troubled-Debt Restructurings

The following tables show the loan portfolio troubled-debt restructurings (in thousands):

| | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Balance |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Three and six months ended June 30, 2012: | | | |
| Whole loans | 4 | \$ 133,955 | \$ 116,669 |
| B notes | - | - | - |
| Mezzanine loans | - | - | - |
| Bank loans | - | - | - |
| Loans receivable - related party | 1 | 7,797 | 7,797 |
| Total loans | 5 | \$ 141,752 | \$ 124,446 |
| Three and six months ended June 30, 2011: | | | |
| Whole loans | 2 | \$ 34,739 | \$ 33,073 |
| B notes | - | - | - |
| Mezzanine loans | - | - | - |
| Bank loans | - | - | - |
| Loans receivable - related party | 1 | 7,981 | 7,981 |
| Total loans | 3 | \$ 42,720 | \$ 41,054 |

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Investments in Real Estate

The table below summarizes our investments in real estate (in thousands):

| | As of June 30, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | Book Value | Number of Properties | Book Value | Number of Properties |
| Multi-family property | \$ 38,577 | 2 | \$ 38,577 | 2 |
| Office property | 10,149 | 1 | 10,149 | 1 |
| Subtotal | 48,726 | | 48,726 | |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (1,364) | | (699) | |
| Investments in real estate | \$ 47,362 | | \$ 48,027 | |

Acquisitions. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we made no acquisitions. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we converted two loans we had originated to investments in real estate and acquired one real estate asset, summarized as follows:

On June 14, 2011, we converted a loan that we had originated to equity with a fair value of \$22.4 million at acquisition. The loan was collateralized by a 400 unit multi-family property in Memphis, Tennessee. The property was 93.8% occupied at acquisition.

On June 24, 2011, we converted a loan that we had originated to equity with a fair value of \$10.7 million at acquisition. The loan was collateralized by an office building in Pacific Palisades, California. The property was 60% occupied at acquisition.

On August 1, 2011, we entered into an agreement to purchase Whispertree Apartments, a 504 multi-family property located in Houston, Texas, for \$18.1 million, the fair value. The property was 95% occupied at acquisition. In conjunction with the purchase of this property, we entered into a mortgage in the amount of \$13.6 million.

Restricted cash. At June 30, 2012, we had restricted cash of \$78.3 million, which consisted of \$75.3 million of restricted cash on our six CDOs, \$1.0 million held in a margin account related to our swap portfolio and \$2.0 million held in restricted accounts at our investment properties. At December 31, 2011, we had restricted cash of \$142.8 million, which consisted of \$138.1 million of restricted cash on our six CDOs, \$1.5 million held in a margin account related to our swap portfolio and \$3.2 million held in restricted accounts at our investment properties. The decrease of \$64.5 million is primarily related to new loan settlements in our CDOs, which were a result of the use of restricted cash available for reinvestment prior to the expiration of the reinvestment period for three of our CDOs, Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III and RREF CDO 2006-1. Any subsequent loan paydown proceeds are now used to repay the notes outstanding as stipulated in the indenture.

Interest Receivable. At June 30, 2012, we had interest receivable of \$9.6 million, which consisted of \$9.6 million of interest on our securities and loans and \$15,000 of interest earned on escrow and sweep accounts. At December 31, 2011, we had interest receivable of \$8.8 million, which consisted of \$8.8 million of interest on our securities, loans and lease receivables and \$15,000 of interest earned on escrow and sweep accounts. The increase in interest receivable is primary due to a \$754,000 increase in interest receivable on structured notes due to the timing of when payments were due and received.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Prepaid Expenses. The following table summarizes our other assets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Prepaid taxes | \$5,499 | \$125 |
| Prepaid insurance | 752 | 276 |
| Other prepaid expenses | 234 | 247 |
| Total | \$6,485 | \$648 |

Prepaid expenses increased \$5.8 million to \$6.5 million as of June 30, 2012 from \$648,000 as of December 31, 2011. The increase resulted primarily from an increase of \$5.4 million in prepaid taxes due to the timing of when taxes are paid and higher taxable income from our taxable REIT subsidiaries in 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

(Back to Index)

Other Assets. The following table summarizes our other assets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Management fees receivable | \$1,258 | \$1,170 |
| Other receivables | 182 | 1,191 |
| Preferred stock proceeds receivable | 88 | - |
| Fixed assets | 2,372 | 979 |
| Principal paydown | 1,614 | 105 |
| Total | \$5,514 | \$3,445 |

Other assets increased \$2.1 million to \$5.5 million as of June 30, 2012 from \$3.4 million as of December 31, 2011. This increase resulted primarily from an increase of \$1.4 million of fixed assets due to costs incurred for capital expenditures at our properties as well as a \$1.5 million increase due to the principal paydown of one of our CRE loans. The increase in other assets was partially offset by a decrease of \$1.0 million in other receivables due to the repayment of reserve fundings on the note cancellation on the RREF 2006 and RREF 2007 CDOs.

Hedging Instruments. Our hedges at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements whereby we swapped the floating rate of interest on the liabilities we hedged for a fixed rate of interest. With interest rates at historically low levels and the forward curve projecting steadily increasing rates as well as the scheduled maturity of two hedges during 2012, we expect that the fair value of our hedges will modestly improve in 2012. We intend to continue to seek such hedges for our floating rate debt in the future. Our hedges at June 30, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Benchmark rate | Notional value | Strike rate | Effective date | Maturity date | Fair value |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|
| CRE Swaps | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | \$ 12,750 | 5.27% | 07/25/07 | 08/06/12 | \$ (64) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 32,355 | 4.13% | 01/08/08 | 05/25/16 | (1,942) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 1,681 | 5.72% | 07/12/07 | 10/01/16 | (205) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 1,880 | 5.68% | 07/13/07 | 03/12/17 | (427) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 80,605 | 5.58% | 06/26/07 | 04/25/17 | (9,477) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 1,726 | 5.65% | 07/05/07 | 07/15/17 | (220) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 3,850 | 5.65% | 07/26/07 | 07/15/17 | (492) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 4,023 | 5.41% | 08/10/07 | 07/25/17 | (489) |
| Total CRE Swaps | | 138,870 | | | | (13,316) |
| CMBS Swaps | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 86 | 0.64% | 02/23/11 | 11/01/13 | - |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------|-------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 27 | 0.51% | 03/18/11 | 11/01/13 | – |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 102 | 0.55% | 03/28/11 | 11/01/13 | – |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 151 | 0.55% | 04/15/11 | 11/18/13 | – |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 2,485 | 1.11% | 04/26/11 | 01/15/14 | (26) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 3,795 | 0.84% | 03/31/11 | 01/18/14 | (10) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 3,055 | 1.93% | 02/14/11 | 05/01/15 | (104) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 752 | 1.30% | 07/19/11 | 03/18/16 | (13) |
| Interest rate swap | 1 month LIBOR | 3,016 | 1.95% | 04/11/11 | 03/18/16 | (101) |
| Total CMBS Swaps | | 13,469 | | | | (254) |
| Total Interest Rate Swaps | | \$ 152,339 | 4.88% | | | \$ (13,570) |

CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial, entered into a master repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to be used as a warehouse facility to finance the purchase of highly-rated CMBS. We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's and RCC Commercial's performance of their obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$66.9 million (net of \$159,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which we had guaranteed. At June 30, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$80.3 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%, or 1.55%. At December 31, 2011, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$55.9 million (net of \$494,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which we had guaranteed. At December 31, 2011, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by highly-rated CMBS with an estimated fair value of \$64.3 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.25%, or 1.54%.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

CRE – Term Repurchase Facilities

On February 27, 2012, we entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The maximum amount of the facility is \$150.0 million with an origination fee of 37.5 basis points and an initial 18 month term with two one year options to extend. We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of their obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$5.5 million (net of \$611,000 of deferred debt issuance costs), all of which we had guaranteed. At June 30, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by a commercial real estate loan with an estimated fair value of \$8.2 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 2.50%, or 2.74%. We had no borrowings under this facility as of December 31, 2011.

On March 8, 2005, we entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has an initial 12 month term with monthly resets of interest rates. We guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of their obligations under the repurchase agreement. At June 30, 2012, RCC Real Estate had borrowed \$27.0 million, all of which we had guaranteed. At June 30, 2012, borrowings under the repurchase agreement were secured by two commercial real estate loans with an estimated fair value of \$38.6 million and a weighted average interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%, or 3.49%. We had no borrowings under this facility as of December 31, 2011.

Revolving Credit Facility

On July 7, 2011, we and our subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, entered into a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility with The Bancorp Bank ("Bancorp"). The facility will provide bridge financing for up to five business days which will enable us and RCC Real Estate to fund real estate loans to third parties prior to their sale to the Company's CRE CDOs. The facility is evidenced by a Revolving Judgment Note and Security Agreement by and among the borrowers and Bancorp entered into July 7, 2011. The facility is secured by a pledge of \$32.9 million of the Class A-1 notes of RREF CDO 2006-1, which are owned by RCC Real Estate. The note becomes due and payable on September 30, 2012. We had no borrowings under this revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

As of June 30, 2012, we had executed and retained equity in six CDO transactions as follows:

In October 2011, we closed Apidos CLO VIII, a \$350.0 million CLO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CLO VIII collateralized \$317.6 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. Resource TRS III originally purchased a \$15.0 million equity interest representing approximately 43% of the outstanding preference shares and subsequently sold \$3.5 million to our subsidiary RSO Equity Co, LLC in connection with the sale of Apidos Capital Management by the Manager. At June 30, 2012, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 2.07%.

In September 2007, we closed Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1, or RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2007-1 collateralized \$458.8 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle, of which RCC Real Estate, Inc., or RCC Real Estate, a subsidiary of ours, purchased 100% of the class H senior notes, class K senior notes, class L senior notes and class M senior notes for \$68.0 million at closing, \$5.0 million of the Class J senior notes in February 2008, an additional \$2.5 million of the Class J senior notes in November 2009, and \$11.9 million of the Class E senior notes, \$11.9 million of the Class F senior notes and \$7.3 million of the Class G senior notes in December 2009, \$250,000 of the Class J senior notes in January 2010, \$5.0 million of the Class A-2 senior notes in

August 2011, \$5.0 million of the Class A-2 senior notes in September 2011 and \$50.0 million of the A1-R notes were repurchased in June 2012 by a clearing broker for us and subsequently paid off. In addition, RREF 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2012, the notes issued to outside investors, net of repurchased notes, had a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.85%. The reinvestment period expired in June 2012 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through June 30, 2012, \$7,000 of the Class A-1 and \$50.0 million of the Class A-1R senior notes were paid down.

In May 2007, we closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos Cinco CDO collateralized \$322.0 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial II holds a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2012, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.89%.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

(Back to Index)

In August 2006, we closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2006-1 collateralized \$308.7 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class J senior notes and class K senior notes for \$43.1 million at closing and \$7.5 million of the Class F senior notes in September 2009, \$3.5 million of the Class E senior note and \$4.0 million of the Class F senior notes in September 2009, \$20.0 million of the Class A-1 senior notes in February 2010, \$5.25 million of the Class A-1 senior notes in May 2012 and \$4.0 million of the Class C senior notes in May 2012. In addition, RREF 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2012, the notes issued to outside investors, net of repurchased notes, had a weighted average borrowing rate of 1.43%. The reinvestment period expired in September 2011 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through June 30, 2012, \$23.1 million of the Class A-1 senior notes were paid down.

In May 2006, we closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO III collateralized \$262.5 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At June 30, 2012, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.85%. The reinvestment period for Apidos CDO III ended in June 2012 which will result in the sequential pay down of notes. As of June 30, 2012, there have been no paydowns.

In August 2005, we closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize \$321.5 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial originally purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares and during the three months ended June 30, 2012 sold 10% or \$2.85 million to our subsidiary RSO Equity Co, LLC in connection with the sale of CVC Credit Partners, formerly Apidos Capital Management, by the Manager. Our subsidiary, RCC Commercial II, repurchased \$2.0 million of the Class B notes in May 2012. At June 30, 2012, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 1.01%. The reinvestment period expired in July 2011 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through June 30, 2012, \$64.3 million of the Class A-1 senior notes were paid down.

On June 21, 2011, we surrendered to the respective trustees, for cancellation without consideration, certain notes issued by RREF CDO 2007-1 and RREF CDO 2006-1. In RREF CDO 2007-1, we surrendered \$7.5 million of the Class B notes, \$6.5 million of the Class F notes, \$6.25 million of the Class G notes and \$10.625 million of the Class H notes. In RREF CDO 2006-1, we surrendered \$6.9 million of the Class B notes, \$7.7 million of the Class C notes, \$5.52 million of the Class D notes, \$7.0 million of the Class E notes and \$5.25 million of the Class F notes. The surrendered notes were cancelled by the trustee under the applicable indentures, and the obligations due under those notes were deemed extinguished. The effect of these cancellations improves each respective CDO's performance with respect to its over-collateralization and interest coverage tests, which each CDO had complied with before cancellation, and secures our long term interest in these structured vehicles.

Trust Preferred Securities

In May and September 2006, we formed Resource Capital Trust I and RCC Trust II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling trust preferred securities. Resource Capital Trust I and RCC Trust II are not consolidated into our consolidated financial statements because we are not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of either trust. We own 100% of the common shares of each trust, each of which issued \$25.0 million of preferred shares to unaffiliated investors. Our rights as the holder of the common shares of each trust are subordinate to the rights of the holders of preferred shares only in the event of a default; otherwise, our economic and voting rights are pari passu with the preferred shareholders. We record each of our investments in the trusts' common shares of \$774,000 as an investment in unconsolidated trusts and record dividend income upon declaration by each trust.

In October 2009, we amended our unsecured junior subordinated debentures held by RCT I and RCT II with a total value outstanding of \$51.5 million. The amendment provided for an interest rate increase of 2% (from LIBOR plus 3.95% to LIBOR plus 5.95%) on both issuances for a period of two years and a one-time restructuring fee of \$250,000 in exchange for the waiver of financial covenants under our guarantee. The interest rate adjustment took effect as of October 1, 2009 and expired on September 30, 2011. The rates for RCT I and RCT II at June 30, 2012, were 4.42% and 4.42%, respectively and 4.32% and 4.38% at December 31, 2011, respectively. The covenant waiver expired on January 1, 2012. The junior subordinated debentures debt issuance costs are included in borrowings in the consolidated balance sheets. We record interest expense on the junior subordinated debentures and amortization of debt issuance costs in our consolidated statements of operations. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at June 30, 2012 were \$404,000 and \$422,000, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2011 were \$450,000 and \$467,000, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity at June 30, 2012 was \$475.7 million and gave effect to \$14.6 million of unrealized losses on our cash flow hedges and \$22.7 million of unrealized losses on our available-for-sale portfolio, shown as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. Stockholders' equity at December 31, 2011 was \$429.7 million and gave the effect to \$14.3 million of unrealized losses on cash flow hedges and \$32.0 million of unrealized losses on our available-for-sale portfolio, shown as a component of accumulated other comprehensive. The increase in stockholder's equity during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was principally due to the proceeds from sales of our common stock through our DRIP and the initial issuance of Series A 8.5% Preferred Stock in June 2012.

Funds from Operations

We evaluate our performance based on several performance measures, including funds from operations, or FFO, adjusted funds from operations, or AFFO, in addition to net income. We compute FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains or losses on the sale of depreciable real estate, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, real estate-related depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated/ uncombined partnerships and joint ventures.

AFFO is a computation made by analysts and investors to measure a real estate company's cash flow generated by operations. We calculate AFFO by adding or subtracting from FFO: non-cash impairment losses resulting from fair value adjustments on financial instruments, non-cash impacts of the following: provision for loan losses, gains on the extinguishment of debt, equity investment losses, straight-line rental effects, share based compensation, amortization of various deferred items and intangible assets, gains on sales of property through a joint venture and cash impact of capital expenditures that are related to our real estate owned.

Management believes that FFO and AFFO are appropriate measures of the Company's operating performance in that they are frequently used by analysts, investors and other parties in the evaluation of REITs. Management uses FFO and AFFO as measures of our operating performance, and believe they are also useful to investors, because they facilitate an understanding of our operating performance after adjustment for certain non-cash items, such as real estate depreciation, share-based compensation and various other items required by GAAP, and capital expenditures, that may not necessarily be indicative of current operating performance and that may not accurately compare our operating performance between periods.

While the our calculations of AFFO may differ from the methodology used for calculating AFFO by other REITs and our AFFO may not be comparable to AFFO reported by other REITs, we also believe that FFO and AFFO may provide us and our investors with an additional useful measure to compare its performance with some other REITs. Neither FFO nor AFFO is equivalent to net income or cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP. Furthermore FFO and AFFO do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations or other commitments or uncertainties. Neither FFO nor AFFO should be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities as a measure of its liquidity.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table reconciles GAAP net income to FFO and AFFO for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Net income allocable to common shares - GAAP | \$ 16,425 | \$ 30,906 |
| Adjustments: | | |
| Real estate depreciation and amortization | 779 | 1,489 |
| Gains on sales of joint venture real estate interest (1) | - | (1,087) |
| FFO | 17,204 | 31,308 |
| Adjustments: | | |
| Non-cash items: | | |
| Provisions for loan losses | 2,884 | 4,468 |
| Amortization of deferred costs (non real estate) and intangible assets | 2,336 | 3,991 |
| Equity investment losses | 1,275 | 1,275 |
| Share-based compensation | 1,140 | 2,008 |
| Impairment losses on real property held for sale | 32 | 171 |
| Straight line rental adjustments | 4 | 12 |
| Gain on the extinguishment of debt | (1,835) | (1,835) |
| Cash items: | | |
| Gains on sales of joint venture real estate interest (1) | - | 1,087 |
| Capital expenditures | (861) | (1,664) |
| AFFO | \$ 22,179 | \$ 40,821 |
| Weighted average shares – diluted | 84,188 | 83,041 |
| AFFO per share – diluted | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.49 |

(1) Amount represents gains on sales of joint venture real estate interests from a joint venture that was recorded by us.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2012, our principal sources of current liquidity are proceeds from the sale of common stock through our DRIP and proceeds from our offering of 8.5% Series A Preferred Stock as well as funds available in exiting CDO financings of \$75.3 million and cash flow from operations. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, we received \$8.1 million of DRIP proceeds and \$6.1 million of Preferred Stock sales proceeds, both of which are included in our \$31.9 million of unrestricted cash at June 30, 2012. In addition, we have capital available through two CRE term facilities to help finance the purchase of CMBS securities and origination of commercial real estate loans of \$29.9 million and \$136.4 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, our principal sources of current liquidity were \$46.6 million of net proceeds from our May 2011 offering, \$83.6 million of proceeds from sales of common stock through our DRIP and funds available in existing CDO financings of \$110.9 million and cash flow from operations.

Our on-going liquidity needs consist principally of funds to make investments, make debt repurchases, make distributions to our stockholders and pay our operating expenses, including our management fees. Our ability to meet our on-going liquidity needs will be subject to our ability to generate cash from operations and, with respect to our investments, our ability to maintain and/or obtain additional debt financing and equity capital together with the funds referred to above. Historically, we have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through CDOs that essentially match the maturity and repricing dates of these financing vehicles with the maturities and repricing dates of our investments. We derive substantial operating cash from our equity investments in our CDOs which, if the CDOs

fail to meet certain tests, will cease. Through June 30, 2012, we have not experienced difficulty in maintaining our existing CDO financing and have passed all of the critical tests required by these financings. However, we cannot assure you that we will continue to meet all such critical tests in the future. If we are unable to renew, replace or expand our sources of existing financing on substantially similar terms, we may be unable to implement our investment strategies successfully and may be required to liquidate portfolio investments. If required, a sale of portfolio investments could be at prices lower than the carrying value of such assets, which would result in losses and reduced income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table sets forth collateralized debt obligations – distributions and coverage test summary for the periods presented (in thousands):

| Name | CDO Type | Cash Distributions | | Annualized Interest Coverage Cushion | Overcollateralization Cushion | |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Year Ended December 31, 2011 (1) (actual) | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (1) (actual) | As of June 30, 2012 (2) (3) | As of June 30, 2012 (4) | As of Initial Measurement Date |
| Apidos CDO I (5) | CLO | \$ 9,305 | \$ 4,249 | \$ 6,772 | \$ 12,996 | \$ 17,136 |
| Apidos CDO III(6) | CLO | \$ 8,351 | \$ 4,284 | \$ 4,288 | \$ 9,293 | \$ 11,269 |
| Apidos Cinco CDO | CLO | \$ 9,941 | \$ 5,067 | \$ 5,801 | \$ 18,496 | \$ 17,774 |
| Apidos CLO VIII(7) | CLO | \$ – | \$ 1,110 | \$ 3,656 | \$ 13,974 | \$ 13,657 |
| R R E F 2006-1(8) | CRE CDO | \$ 11,637 | \$ 6,558 | \$ 12,204 | \$ 44,018 | \$ 24,941 |
| R R E F 2007-1(9) | CRE CDO | \$ 10,743 | \$ 6,887 | \$ 11,041 | \$ 55,535 | \$ 26,032 |

(1) Distributions on retained equity interests in CDOs (comprised of note investments and preference share ownership).

(2) Interest coverage includes annualized amounts based on the most recent trustee statements.

(3) Interest coverage cushion represents the amount by which annualized interest income expected exceeds the annualized amount payable on all classes of CDO notes senior to RSO's preference shares.

(4) Overcollateralization cushion represents the amount by which the collateral held by the CDO issuer exceeds the maximum amount required.

(5) Apidos CDO I reinvestment period expired in July 2011.

(6) Apidos CDO III reinvestment period expired in June 2012.

(7) Apidos CLO VIII, which closed in October 2011, had its first distribution in April 2012 which includes \$373,000 in subordinated management fees; RSO's total share was \$1.1 million.

(8) RREF CDO 2006-1 reinvestment period expired in September 2011.

(9) RREF CDO 2007-1 reinvestment period expired in June 2012.

At July 30, 2012, after paying the second quarter dividend, our liquidity of \$95.4 million consists of two primary sources:

unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$24.7 million, restricted cash of \$500,000 in margin call accounts and \$2.0 million in the form of real estate escrows, reserves and deposits; and

capital available for reinvestment in six CDO entities of \$16.9 million, of which \$775,000 is designated to finance future funding commitments on CRE loans, loan principal repayments that will pay down outstanding CLO notes of \$43.0 million and \$8.3 million in interest collections.

Our leverage ratio may vary as a result of the various funding strategies we use. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our leverage ratio was 3.7 times and 4.2 times, respectively. The decrease in leverage ratio was primarily due to the repayment of our CDO notes and equity offering proceeds received through our DRIP and preferred stock issuances which were partially offset by borrowings under our Wells Fargo CMBS and Deutsche Bank CRE repurchase facilities.

Distributions

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT and to avoid corporate-level income tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we intend to make regular quarterly distributions of all or substantially all of our net taxable income to holders of our common stock. This requirement can impact our liquidity and capital resources. On June 11, 2012, we declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.20 per share of common stock, \$17.3 million in the aggregate, which was paid on July 26, 2012 to stockholders of record as of June 30, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

| | Contractual Commitments (dollars in thousands) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | Payments due by period | | | | |
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1 – 3 years | 3 – 5 years | More than 5 years |
| CDOs (1) | \$ 1,551,933 | \$– | \$– | \$– | \$ 1,551,933 |
| Repurchase Agreements(2) | 99,485 | 99,485 | – | – | – |
| Unsecured junior subordinated debentures (3) | 50,721 | – | – | – | 50,721 |
| Mortgage payable | 13,591 | – | – | – | 13,591 |
| Base management fees (4) | 7,923 | 7,923 | – | – | – |
| Total | \$ 1,723,653 | \$ 107,408 | \$– | \$– | \$ 1,616,245 |

- (1) Contractual commitments do not include \$8.1 million, \$11.7 million, \$9.2 million, \$13.1 million, \$23.5 million and \$54.7 million of interest expense payable through the non-call dates of July 2010, May 2011, June 2011, August 2011 and June 2012, respectively, on Apidos CDO I, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CDO III, RREF 2006-1, RREF 2007-1 and Apidos CLO VIII. The non-call date represents the earliest period under which the CDO assets can be sold, resulting in repayment of the CDO notes.
- (2) Contractual commitments include \$109,000 of interest expense payable through the maturity date of April 18, 2012 on our repurchase agreements.
- (3) Contractual commitments do not include \$48.7 million and \$49.4 million of interest expense payable through the maturity dates of June 2036 and October 2036, respectively, on our trust preferred securities.
- (4) Calculated only for the next 12 months based on our current equity, as defined in our management agreement. Our management agreement also provides for an incentive fee arrangement that is based on operating performance. Because the incentive fee is not a fixed and determinable amount, it is not included in this table.

At June 30, 2012, we had 17 interest rate swap contracts with a notional value of \$152.3 million. These contracts are fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements under which we contracted to pay a fixed rate of interest for the term of the hedge and will receive a floating rate of interest. As of June 30, 2012, the average fixed pay rate of our interest rate hedges was 4.88% and our receive rate was one-month LIBOR, or 0.24%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2012, we did not maintain any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance, special purpose or variable interest entities, established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or contractually narrow or limited purposes. Further, as of December 31, 2011, we had not guaranteed any obligations of unconsolidated entities or entered into any commitment or letter of intent to provide additional funding to any such entities.

We have certain unfunded commitments related to our commercial real estate loan portfolio that we may be required to fund in the future. Our unfunded commitments generally fall into two categories: (1) pre-approved capital improvement projects; and (2) new or additional construction costs subject, in each case, to the borrower meeting specified criteria. Upon completion of the improvements or construction, we would receive additional loan interest income on the advanced amount. As of June 30, 2012, we had seven loans with unfunded commitments totaling \$6.1 million, of which \$775,000 will be funded by restricted cash in RREF CDO 2007-1, with the balance funded by unrestricted cash.

On November 16, 2011, as described in “Financial Condition”, we, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, entered into a stock purchase agreement and related agreements. Pursuant to the stock purchase agreement, we and Resource America have undertaken a contingent obligation with respect to the value of the equity on the balance sheet of LEAF Receivables Funding 3, a wholly-owned subsidiary of LCC which owns equipment, equipment leases and notes. See Note 15 “ Related-Party Transactions” in the notes to consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the primary component of our market risk was interest rate risk, as described below. While we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we do seek to assume risk that can be quantified from historical experience, to actively manage that risk, to earn sufficient compensation to justify assuming that risk and to maintain capital levels consistent with the risk we undertake or to which we are exposed.

Effect on Fair Value

A component of interest rate risk is the effect changes in interest rates will have on the market value of our assets. We face the risk that the market value of our assets will increase or decrease at different rates than that of our liabilities, including our hedging instruments.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We primarily assess our interest rate risk by estimating the duration of our assets and the duration of our liabilities. Duration essentially measures the market price volatility of financial instruments as interest rates change. We generally calculate duration using various financial models and empirical data. Different models and methodologies can produce different duration numbers for the same securities.

The following sensitivity analysis tables show, at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the estimated impact on the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and liabilities of changes in interest rates, assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points and rise 100 basis points (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Interest rates fall 100 basis points | Unchanged | Interest rates rise 100 basis points |
| CMBS – private placement (1): | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 141,314 | \$ 139,125 | \$ 137,019 |
| Change in fair value | \$ 2,189 | | \$(2,106) |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 1.57 % | | 1.51 % |
| Hedging instruments: | | | |
| Fair value | \$(17,153) | \$(13,570) | \$(8,239) |
| Change in fair value | \$(3,583) | | \$5,331 |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 26.40 % | | 39.29 % |
| | December 31, 2011 | | |
| | Interest rates fall 100 basis points | Unchanged | Interest rates rise 100 basis points |
| CMBS – private placement (1): | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 121,534 | \$ 119,274 | \$ 117,101 |
| Change in fair value | \$ 2,260 | | \$(2,173) |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 1.89 % | | 1.82 % |
| Hedging instruments: | | | |
| Fair value | \$(18,851) | \$(13,210) | \$(6,782) |
| Change in fair value | \$(5,641) | | \$6,428 |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 42.70 % | | 48.66 % |

(1) Includes the fair value of available-for-sale investments that are sensitive to interest rate change.

For purposes of the tables, we have excluded our investments with variable interest rates that are indexed to LIBOR. Because the variable rates on these instruments are short-term in nature, we are not subject to material exposure to movements in fair value as a result of changes in interest rates.

It is important to note that the impact of changing interest rates on fair value can change significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. Therefore, the volatility in the fair value of our assets could increase significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. In addition, other factors impact the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and hedging instruments, such as the shape of

the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, in the event of changes in actual interest rates, the change in the fair value of our assets would likely differ from that shown above and such difference might be material and adverse to our stockholders.

Risk Management

To the extent consistent with maintaining our status as a REIT, we seek to manage our interest rate risk exposure to protect our portfolio of fixed-rate commercial real estate mortgages and CMBS and related debt against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage our interest rate risk by:

- monitoring and adjusting, if necessary, the reset index and interest rate related to our mortgage-backed securities and our borrowings;

- attempting to structure our borrowing agreements for our CMBS to have a range of different maturities, terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods; and

- using derivatives, financial futures, swaps, options, caps, floors and forward sales, to adjust the interest rate sensitivity of our fixed-rate commercial real estate mortgages and CMBS and our borrowing which we discuss in “Financial Condition-Hedging Instruments.”

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act of 1934 reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control of Financial Reporting

There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.1(a) | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Resource Capital Corp. (1) |
| 3.1(b) | Articles Supplementary 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (16) |
| 3.1(c) | Articles Supplementary 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (17) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Resource Capital Corp. (1) |
| 4.1 | Form of Certificate for Common Stock for Resource Capital Corp. (1) |
| 4.2(a) | Junior Subordinated Indenture between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated May 25, 2006. (2) |
| 4.2(b) | Amendment to Junior Subordinated Indenture and Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6) |
| 4.3(a) | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated May 25, 2006. (2) |
| 4.3(b) | Amendment to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement and Preferred Securities Certificate among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6) |
| 4.4 | Amended Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 in the principal amount of \$25,774,000, dated October 26, 2009. (6) |
| 4.5(a) | Junior Subordinated Indenture between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated September 29, 2006. (3) |
| 4.5(b) | Amendment to Junior Subordinated Indenture and Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6) |
| 4.6(a) | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated September 29, 2006. (3) |
| 4.6(b) | Amendment to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement and Preferred Securities Certificate among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6) |
| 4.7 | Amended Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 in the principal amount of \$25,774,000, dated October 26, 2009. (6) |
| 10.1(a) | Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of June 30, 2008. (4) |
| 10.1(b) | First Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of June 30, 2008. (5) |
| 10.1(c) | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of August 17, 2010. (8) |
| 10.1(d) | Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp., Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of February 24, 2011. (11) |
| 10.1(e) | Fourth Amendment to Amended and Restated Management Agreement (12) |
| 10.1(f) | Second Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp, Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of June 13, 2012. (15) |
| 10.2(a) | |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-Q

Master Repurchase and Securities Contract by and among RCC Commercial, Inc., RCC Real Estate Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February, 1, 2011. (10)

10.2(b) Guarantee Agreement made by Resource Capital Corp. in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February 1, 2011. (10)

10.3 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. (1)

10.4 Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan.

10.5 Services Agreement between Resource Capital Asset Management, LLC and Apidos Capital Management, LLC, dated February 24, 2011. (11)

10.6 Revolving Judgment Note and Security Agreement between Resource Capital Corp and RCC Real Estate and the Bancorp Bank, dated July 7, 2011 (13)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

| | |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. |
| 32.2 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. |
| 101 | Interactive Data Files |

-
- (1) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-11, Registration No. 333-126517.
 - (2) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
 - (3) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
 - (4) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 3, 2008.
 - (5) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 20, 2009.
 - (6) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.
 - (7) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
 - (8) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 19, 2010.
 - (9) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 6, 2011.
 - (10) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.
 - (11) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2011
 - (12) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2012.
 - (13) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2011.
 - (14) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2012.
 - (15) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2012.
 - (16) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 8-A filed on June 8, 2012.
 - (17) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 29, 2012.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP.
(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2012

By: /s/ Jonathan Z. Cohen__
Jonathan Z. Cohen
Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: August 7, 2012

By: /s/ David J. Bryant __ _
David J. Bryant
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer

79
