

LINN ENERGY, LLC
Form 10-Q
July 26, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934
for the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-51719

LINN ENERGY, LLC
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|---|---|
| Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 600 Travis, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas (Address of principal executive offices) (281) 840-4000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) | 65-1177591 (IRS Employer Identification No.) 77002 (Zip Code) |
|---|---|

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: LINN ENERGY, LLC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2012, there were 199,557,167 units outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|---------------|
| <u>Glossary of Terms</u> | <u>ii</u> |
| <u>Part I - Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Unitholders' Capital for the six months ended June 30, 2012</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Part II - Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Signature</u> | <u>45</u> |

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

As commonly used in the oil and natural gas industry and as used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the following terms have the following meanings:

Bbl. One stock tank barrel or 42 United States gallons liquid volume.

Bcf. One billion cubic feet.

Bcfe. One billion cubic feet equivalent, determined using a ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

Btu. One British thermal unit, which is the heat required to raise the temperature of a one-pound mass of water from 58.5 degrees to 59.5 degrees Fahrenheit.

MBbls. One thousand barrels of oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

MBbls/d. MBbls per day.

Mcf. One thousand cubic feet.

Mcfe. One thousand cubic feet equivalent, determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

MMBbls. One million barrels of oil or other liquid hydrocarbons.

MMBoe. One million barrels of oil equivalent, determined using a ratio of one Bbl of oil, condensate or natural gas liquids to six Mcf.

MMBtu. One million British thermal units.

MMcf. One million cubic feet.

MMcf/d. MMcf per day.

MMcfe. One million cubic feet equivalent, determined using a ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

MMcfe/d. MMcfe per day.

MMMBtu. One billion British thermal units.

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

LINN ENERGY, LLC

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) (in thousands, except unit amounts) | December 31, 2011 |
|--|---|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,883 | \$1,114 |
| Accounts receivable – trade, net | 321,012 | 284,565 |
| Derivative instruments | 489,530 | 255,063 |
| Other current assets | 69,884 | 80,734 |
| Total current assets | 882,309 | 621,476 |
| Noncurrent assets: | | |
| Oil and natural gas properties (successful efforts method) | 9,995,748 | 7,835,650 |
| Less accumulated depletion and amortization | (1,426,132) | (1,033,617) |
| | 8,569,616 | 6,802,033 |
| Other property and equipment | 427,902 | 197,235 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (58,696) | (48,024) |
| | 369,206 | 149,211 |
| Derivative instruments | 924,317 | 321,840 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 434,654 | 105,577 |
| | 1,358,971 | 427,417 |
| Total noncurrent assets | 10,297,793 | 7,378,661 |
| Total assets | \$11,180,102 | \$8,000,137 |
| LIABILITIES AND UNITHOLDERS' CAPITAL | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$667,541 | \$403,450 |
| Derivative instruments | 3,461 | 14,060 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 108,841 | 75,898 |
| Total current liabilities | 779,843 | 493,408 |
| Noncurrent liabilities: | | |
| Credit facility | 1,150,000 | 940,000 |
| Senior notes, net | 4,855,547 | 3,053,657 |
| Derivative instruments | 250 | 3,503 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 262,799 | 80,659 |
| Total noncurrent liabilities | 6,268,596 | 4,077,819 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 10) | | |
| Unitholders' capital: | | |

Edgar Filing: LINN ENERGY, LLC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| 199,557,167 units and 177,364,558 units issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively | 3,223,223 | 2,751,354 |
| Accumulated income | 908,440 | 677,556 |
| | 4,131,663 | 3,428,910 |
| Total liabilities and unitholders' capital | \$11,180,102 | \$8,000,137 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|---|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands, except per unit amounts) | | | |
| Revenues and other: | | | | |
| Oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids sales | \$347,227 | \$302,390 | \$696,122 | \$543,097 |
| Gains (losses) on oil and natural gas derivatives | 439,647 | 205,515 | 441,678 | (163,961) |
| Marketing revenues | 10,841 | 1,509 | 12,131 | 2,682 |
| Other revenues | 2,882 | 1,157 | 4,756 | 2,280 |
| | 800,597 | 510,571 | 1,154,687 | 384,098 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| Lease operating expenses | 70,129 | 56,363 | 141,765 | 102,264 |
| Transportation expenses | 21,815 | 6,476 | 32,377 | 12,331 |
| Marketing expenses | 6,458 | 1,044 | 7,150 | 1,853 |
| General and administrative expenses | 41,185 | 31,543 | 84,506 | 62,103 |
| Exploration costs | 407 | 550 | 817 | 995 |
| Bad debt expenses | (38) |) 33 | (22) |) (5) |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 143,506 | 79,345 | 260,782 | 145,711 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | 146,499 | — | 146,499 | — |
| Taxes, other than income taxes | 30,656 | 20,318 | 55,851 | 36,045 |
| Losses on sale of assets and other, net | 36 | 977 | 1,514 | 1,591 |
| | 460,653 | 196,649 | 731,239 | 362,888 |
| Other income and (expenses): | | | | |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | (9,810) |) — | (94,372) |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized | (94,390) |) (62,361) |) (171,909) |) (125,825) |
| Other, net | (7,956) |) (2,972) |) (11,225) |) (4,718) |
| | (102,346) |) (75,143) |) (183,134) |) (224,915) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 237,598 | 238,779 | 240,314 | (203,705) |
| Income tax expense | (512) |) (1,670) |) (9,430) |) (5,868) |
| Net income (loss) | \$237,086 | \$237,109 | \$230,884 | \$(209,573) |
| Net income (loss) per unit: | | | | |
| Basic | \$1.19 | \$1.34 | \$1.17 | \$(1.25) |
| Diluted | \$1.19 | \$1.33 | \$1.16 | \$(1.25) |
| Weighted average units outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 197,507 | 175,035 | 195,382 | 169,104 |
| Diluted | 198,160 | 175,797 | 196,039 | 169,104 |
| Distributions declared per unit | \$0.725 | \$0.66 | \$1.415 | \$1.32 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF UNITHOLDERS' CAPITAL
 (Unaudited)

| | Units | Unitholders' Capital | Accumulated Income | Total Unitholders' Capital |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| December 31, 2011 | 177,365 | \$2,751,354 | \$677,556 | \$3,428,910 |
| Sale of units, net of underwriting discounts and expenses of \$30,007 | 21,090 | 731,455 | — | 731,455 |
| Issuance of units | 1,102 | 4,494 | — | 4,494 |
| Distributions to unitholders | | (282,166) | — | (282,166) |
| Unit-based compensation expenses | | 14,834 | — | 14,834 |
| Excess tax benefit from unit-based compensation | | 3,252 | — | 3,252 |
| Net income | | — | 230,884 | 230,884 |
| June 30, 2012 | 199,557 | \$3,223,223 | \$908,440 | \$4,131,663 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$230,884 | \$(209,573) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 260,782 | 145,711 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | 146,499 | — |
| Unit-based compensation expenses | 14,834 | 11,181 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | 94,372 |
| Amortization and write-off of deferred financing fees and other | 15,793 | 12,413 |
| (Gains) losses on sale of assets and other, net | 111 | (161) |
| Deferred income tax | 5,991 | 2,790 |
| Mark-to-market on derivatives: | | |
| Total (gains) losses | (441,678) | 163,961 |
| Cash settlements | 174,316 | 104,512 |
| Premiums paid for derivatives | (583,434) | — |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Increase in accounts receivable – trade, net | (14,372) | (82,202) |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (1,840) | 2,333 |
| Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses | 39,346 | 74,348 |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 30,339 | (15,923) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (122,429) | 303,762 |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | |
| Acquisition of oil and natural gas properties | (1,762,933) | (847,780) |
| Development of oil and natural gas properties | (481,140) | (225,889) |
| Purchases of other property and equipment | (22,433) | (18,657) |
| Proceeds from sale of properties and equipment and other | 575 | 10,590 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (2,265,931) | (1,081,736) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of units | 761,362 | 648,971 |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 3,954,802 | 1,359,240 |
| Repayments of debt | (1,945,000) | (1,064,679) |
| Distributions to unitholders | (282,166) | (222,391) |
| Financing fees, offering expenses and other, net | (103,121) | (111,987) |
| Excess tax benefit from unit-based compensation | 3,252 | 2,587 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 2,389,129 | 611,741 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 769 | (166,233) |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Beginning | 1,114 | 236,001 |
| Ending | \$1,883 | \$69,768 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

LINN ENERGY, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

Nature of Business

Linn Energy, LLC (“LINN Energy” or the “Company”) is an independent oil and natural gas company. LINN Energy’s mission is to acquire, develop and maximize cash flow from a growing portfolio of long-life oil and natural gas assets. The Company’s properties are located in the United States (“U.S.”), in the Mid-Continent, the Hugoton Basin, the Permian Basin, Michigan, Illinois, the Williston/Powder River Basin, California and east Texas. Effective January 1, 2012, the Company realigned its existing regions and in May 2012 added the East Texas region and now has seven operating regions in the U.S.: Mid-Continent, which includes properties in Oklahoma, Louisiana and the eastern portion of the Texas Panhandle (including the Granite Wash and Cleveland horizontal plays); Hugoton Basin, which includes properties located primarily in Kansas and the Shallow Texas Panhandle; Permian Basin, which includes areas in west Texas and southeast New Mexico; Michigan/Illinois, which includes the Antrim Shale formation in the northern part of Michigan and oil properties in southern Illinois; Williston/Powder River Basin, which includes the Bakken formation in North Dakota and the Powder River Basin in Wyoming; California, which includes the Brea Olinda Field of the Los Angeles Basin; and East Texas, which includes properties located in east Texas. The realignment had no effect on the Company’s operations.

Principles of Consolidation and Reporting

The condensed consolidated financial statements at June 30, 2012, and for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, are unaudited, but in the opinion of management include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted under Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) rules and regulations; as such, this report should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The results reported in these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should not necessarily be taken as indicative of results that may be expected for the entire year.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated upon consolidation. Investments in noncontrolled entities over which the Company exercises significant influence are accounted for under the equity method.

The condensed consolidated financial statements for previous periods include certain reclassifications that were made to conform to current presentation. Such reclassifications have no impact on previously reported net income (loss) or unitholders’ capital.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates and the underlying assumptions affect the amount of assets and liabilities reported, disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses. The estimates that are particularly significant to the financial statements include estimates of the Company’s reserves of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids (“NGL”),

future cash flows from oil and natural gas properties, depreciation, depletion and amortization, asset retirement obligations, certain revenues and operating expenses, fair values of commodity derivatives and fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. As fair value is a market-based measurement, it is determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use. These estimates and assumptions are based on management's best estimates and judgment. Management evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including the current economic environment, which management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Such estimates and assumptions are adjusted when facts and circumstances dictate. As

future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ from these estimates. Any changes in estimates resulting from continuing changes in the economic environment will be reflected in the financial statements in future periods.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued an Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) that requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The ASU requires disclosure of both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The ASU will be applied retrospectively and is effective for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued an ASU that further addresses fair value measurement accounting and related disclosure requirements. The ASU clarifies the FASB’s intent regarding the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, changes the fair value measurement requirements for certain financial instruments, and sets forth additional disclosure requirements for other fair value measurements. The ASU is to be applied prospectively and is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted the ASU effective January 1, 2012. The adoption of the requirements of the ASU, which expanded disclosures, had no effect on the Company’s results of operations or financial position.

Note 2 – Acquisitions

Acquisitions – 2012

On May 1, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties located in east Texas. The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition date. The Company paid approximately \$168 million in total consideration for these properties. The transaction was financed primarily with borrowings under the Company’s Credit Facility, as defined in Note 6.

On April 3, 2012, the Company entered into a joint-venture agreement (“Agreement”) with an affiliate of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (“Anadarko”) whereby the Company will participate as a partner in the CO₂ enhanced oil recovery development of the Salt Creek field, located in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming. Anadarko assigned the Company 23% of its interest in the field in exchange for future funding of \$400 million of Anadarko’s development costs. The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the Agreement date. The Company assigned approximately \$392 million to the net assets acquired as of the Agreement date, which reflects an imputed discount of approximately \$8 million on the future funding of this transaction. As of June 30, 2012, the Company has paid approximately \$54 million towards the future funding commitment.

On March 30, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties located in the Hugoton Basin in Kansas from BP America Production Company (“BP”). The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition date. The Company paid approximately \$1.17 billion in total consideration for these properties. The transaction was financed primarily with proceeds from the March 2012 debt offering, as described below.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company completed other smaller acquisitions of oil and natural gas properties located in its various operating regions. The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition dates. The Company, in the aggregate, paid approximately \$67 million in total consideration for these properties.

These acquisitions were accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. Accordingly, the Company conducted assessments of net assets acquired and recognized amounts for identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated acquisition date fair values, while transaction and integration costs associated with the acquisitions were expensed as incurred. The initial accounting for the business combinations is not complete and adjustments to provisional amounts, or recognition of additional assets acquired or liabilities assumed, may occur as more detailed analyses are completed and additional information is obtained about the facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition dates.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

The following presents the values assigned to the net assets acquired as of the acquisition dates (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Assets: | |
| Current | \$7,358 |
| Noncurrent | 208,423 |
| Oil and natural gas properties | 1,625,246 |
| Total assets acquired | \$1,841,027 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Current | \$208,139 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 34,096 |
| Noncurrent | 196,600 |
| Total liabilities assumed | \$438,835 |
| Net assets acquired | \$1,402,192 |

Current assets include receivables and inventory and noncurrent assets include other property and equipment. Current liabilities include payables, ad valorem taxes payable and environmental liabilities. Current liabilities and noncurrent liabilities, as of the Agreement date, consist of payables of approximately \$195 million and \$197 million, respectively, related to the future funding commitment associated with the Anadarko transaction discussed above. As of June 30, 2012, the Company has paid approximately \$54 million towards this commitment.

The fair value measurements of assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on inputs that are not observable in the market and therefore represent Level 3 inputs. The fair values of oil and natural gas properties and asset retirement obligations were measured using valuation techniques that convert future cash flows to a single discounted amount. Significant inputs to the valuation of oil and natural gas properties include estimates of: (i) reserves; (ii) future operating and development costs; (iii) future commodity prices; (iv) estimated future cash flows; and (v) a market-based weighted average cost of capital rate. These inputs require significant judgments and estimates by the Company's management at the time of the valuation and are the most sensitive and subject to change.

The revenues and expenses related to certain properties acquired from BP, Plains Exploration & Production Company ("Plains"), Panther Energy Company, LLC and Red Willow Mid-Continent, LLC (collectively referred to as "Panther"), SandRidge Exploration and Production, LLC ("SandRidge") and an affiliate of Concho Resources Inc. ("Concho") are included in the condensed consolidated results of operations of the Company as of March 30, 2012, December 15, 2011, June 1, 2011, April 1, 2011, and March 31, 2011, respectively. The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents a summary of the Company's condensed consolidated results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, assuming the acquisition from BP had been completed as of January 1, 2011, and the acquisitions from Plains, Panther, SandRidge and Concho had been completed as of January 1, 2010, including adjustments to reflect the values assigned to the net assets acquired. The pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations if the acquisitions had been effective as of these dates.

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | 2011 |
|---|--------------------------------------|------|
| (in thousands, except per unit amounts) | | |

Edgar Filing: LINN ENERGY, LLC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Total revenues and other | \$647,633 | \$1,211,569 | \$640,027 |
| Total operating expenses | \$268,273 | \$779,199 | \$514,965 |
| Net income (loss) | \$276,393 | \$220,419 | \$(159,406) |
| Net income (loss) per unit: | | | |
| Basic | \$1.56 | \$1.11 | \$(0.94) |
| Diluted | \$1.56 | \$1.11 | \$(0.94) |

7

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

Acquisition - Pending

On June 21, 2012, the Company entered into a definitive purchase and sale agreement to acquire certain oil and natural gas properties located in the Green River Basin area of southwest Wyoming from BP for a contract price of approximately \$1.03 billion. The Company paid a deposit of approximately \$308 million in June 2012, which is reported in “other noncurrent assets” on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2012. The Company anticipates the acquisition will close on or before July 31, 2012, subject to closing conditions, and will be financed with borrowings under its Credit Facility, as defined in Note 6.

Acquisition – 2011

On June 1, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties in the Cleveland play, located in the Texas Panhandle, from Panther. The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition date. The Company paid approximately \$224 million in total consideration for these properties. The transaction was financed primarily with proceeds from the Company’s May 2011 debt offering.

On May 2, 2011 and May 11, 2011, the Company completed two acquisitions of certain oil and natural gas properties located in the Williston Basin. The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition dates. The Company paid approximately \$153 million in total consideration for these acquisitions. The transactions were financed initially with borrowings under the Company’s Credit Facility.

On April 1, 2011 and April 5, 2011, the Company completed two acquisitions of certain oil and natural gas properties located in the Permian Basin, including properties from SandRidge. The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition dates. The Company paid approximately \$239 million in total consideration for these acquisitions. The transactions were financed initially with borrowings under the Company’s Credit Facility.

On March 31, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties in the Williston Basin from Concho. The results of operations of these properties have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition date. The Company paid \$194 million in cash and recorded a receivable from Concho of \$2 million, resulting in total consideration for the acquisition of approximately \$192 million. The transaction was financed primarily with proceeds from the Company’s March 2011 public offering of units, as described below.

Note 3 – Unitholders’ Capital

Equity Distribution Agreement

In August 2011, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement, pursuant to which it may from time to time issue and sell units representing limited liability company interests having an aggregate offering price of up to \$500 million. Sales of units, if any, will be made through a sales agent by means of ordinary brokers’ transactions, in block transactions, or as otherwise agreed with the agent. The Company expects to use the net proceeds from any sale of the units for general corporate purposes, which may include, among other things, capital expenditures, acquisitions and the repayment of debt.

In January 2012, the Company, under its equity distribution agreement, issued and sold 1,539,651 units representing limited liability company interests at an average unit price of \$38.02 for proceeds of approximately \$57 million (net of approximately \$2 million in commissions and professional service expenses). The Company used the net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of a portion of the indebtedness outstanding under its Credit Facility. At June 30, 2012, units equaling approximately \$411 million in aggregate offering price remained available to be issued and sold under the agreement.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

Public Offering of Units

In January 2012, the Company sold 19,550,000 units representing limited liability company interests at \$35.95 per unit (\$34.512 per unit, net of underwriting discount) for net proceeds of approximately \$674 million (after underwriting discount and offering expenses of approximately \$28 million). The Company used the net proceeds from the sale of these units to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under its Credit Facility.

In March 2011, the Company sold 16,726,067 units representing limited liability company interests at \$38.80 per unit (\$37.248 per unit, net of underwriting discount) for net proceeds of approximately \$623 million (after underwriting discount and offering expenses of approximately \$26 million). The Company used a portion of the net proceeds from the sale of these units to fund the March 2011 redemptions of a portion of the outstanding 2017 Senior Notes and 2018 Senior Notes, and to fund the cash tender offers and related expenses for a portion of the remaining 2017 Senior Notes and 2018 Senior Notes (see Note 6). The Company used the remaining net proceeds from the sale of units to finance a portion of the March 31, 2011, acquisition in the Williston/Powder River Basin region.

Distributions

Under the Company's limited liability company agreement, the Company's unitholders are entitled to receive a quarterly distribution of available cash to the extent there is sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses. Distributions paid by the Company during the six months ended June 30, 2012, are presented on the condensed consolidated statement of unitholders' capital. On April 24, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors approved an increase in the quarterly cash distribution from \$0.69 per unit to \$0.725 per unit with respect to the first quarter of 2012, representing an increase of 5%. On July 24, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors declared a cash distribution of \$0.725 per unit with respect to the second quarter of 2012. The distribution, totaling approximately \$145 million, will be paid on August 14, 2012, to unitholders of record as of the close of business on August 7, 2012.

Note 4 – Oil and Natural Gas Properties

Oil and Natural Gas Capitalized Costs

Aggregate capitalized costs related to oil, natural gas and NGL production activities with applicable accumulated depletion and amortization are presented below:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Proved properties: | | |
| Leasehold acquisition | \$7,460,077 | \$6,040,239 |
| Development | 2,019,012 | 1,484,486 |
| Unproved properties | 516,659 | 310,925 |
| | 9,995,748 | 7,835,650 |
| Less accumulated depletion and amortization | (1,426,132) | (1,033,617) |
| | \$8,569,616 | \$6,802,033 |

Impairment of Proved Properties

The Company evaluates the impairment of its proved oil and natural gas properties on a field-by-field basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. The carrying values of proved properties are reduced to fair value when the expected undiscounted future cash flows are less than net book value. The fair values of proved properties are measured using valuation techniques consistent with the income approach, converting future cash flows to a single discounted amount. Significant inputs used to determine the fair values of proved properties include estimates of: (i) reserves; (ii) future operating and development costs; (iii) future commodity prices; and (iv) a market-based weighted

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

average cost of capital rate. These inputs require significant judgments and estimates by the Company's management at the time of the valuation and are the most sensitive and subject to change.

Based on the analysis described above, for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a noncash impairment charge, before and after tax, of approximately \$146 million associated with proved oil and natural gas properties related to lower commodity prices. The carrying values of the impaired proved properties were reduced to fair value, estimated using inputs characteristic of a Level 3 fair-value measurement. The charge is included in "impairment of long-lived assets" on the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The Company recorded no impairment charge of proved oil and natural gas properties for the three months or six months ended June 30, 2011.

Note 5 – Unit-Based Compensation

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company granted an aggregate 953,668 restricted units to employees, primarily as part of its annual review of employee compensation, with an aggregate fair value of approximately \$35 million. The restricted units vest over three years. A summary of unit-based compensation expenses included on the condensed consolidated statements of operations is presented below:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2012 | 2011 | June 30, 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| General and administrative expenses | \$6,289 | \$5,290 | \$13,911 | \$10,694 |
| Lease operating expenses | 374 | 253 | 923 | 487 |
| Total unit-based compensation expenses | \$6,663 | \$5,543 | \$14,834 | \$11,181 |
| Income tax benefit | \$2,462 | \$2,408 | \$5,481 | \$4,132 |

Note 6 – Debt

The following summarizes debt outstanding:

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ | Carrying Value | Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ |
| | (in millions, except percentages) | | | |
| Credit facility ⁽²⁾ | \$1,150 | \$1,150 | \$940 | \$940 |
| 11.75% senior notes due 2017 | 41 | 45 | 41 | 46 |
| 9.875% senior notes due 2018 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 16 |
| 6.50% senior notes due May 2019 | 750 | 738 | 750 | 742 |
| 6.25% senior notes due November 2019 | 1,800 | 1,753 | — | — |
| 8.625% senior notes due 2020 | 1,300 | 1,401 | 1,300 | 1,406 |
| 7.75% senior notes due 2021 | 1,000 | 1,043 | 1,000 | 1,036 |
| Less current maturities | — | — | — | — |
| | 6,055 | \$6,145 | 4,045 | \$4,186 |
| Unamortized discount | (49 |) | (51 |) |
| Total debt, net of discount | \$6,006 | | \$3,994 | |

(1)

The carrying value of the Credit Facility is estimated to be substantially the same as its fair value. Fair values of the senior notes were estimated based on prices quoted from third-party financial institutions, which are characteristic of Level 2 fair value measurement inputs.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

(2) Variable interest rates of 2.02% and 2.57% at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Credit Facility

The Company's Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Credit Facility") provides for a revolving credit facility up to the lesser of: (i) the then-effective borrowing base and (ii) the maximum commitment amount. In October 2011, as part of the semi-annual redetermination, a borrowing base of \$3.0 billion was approved by the lenders with a maximum commitment amount of \$1.5 billion. In February 2012, lenders approved an increase in the maximum commitment amount to \$2.0 billion. In May 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to its Credit Facility to increase the borrowing base to \$3.5 billion and extend the maturity date from April 2016 to April 2017.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, in connection with amendments to its Credit Facility, the Company incurred financing fees and expenses of approximately \$5 million, which will be amortized over the life of the Credit Facility. Such amortized expenses are recorded in "interest expense, net of amounts capitalized" on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

At June 30, 2012, available borrowing capacity under the Credit Facility was approximately \$646 million, which includes a \$4 million reduction in availability for outstanding letters of credit and a \$200 million reduction in availability related to a restriction on swap agreements outstanding associated with the pending acquisition (see Note 2). The \$200 million reduction in availability under the Credit Facility will no longer apply once the pending acquisition has closed.

In July 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to its Credit Facility to increase the maximum commitment amount from \$2.0 billion to \$3.0 billion.

Redetermination of the borrowing base under the Credit Facility, based primarily on reserve reports that reflect commodity prices at such time, occurs semi-annually, in April and October, as well as upon requested interim redeterminations, by the lenders at their sole discretion. The Company also has the right to request one additional borrowing base redetermination per year at its discretion, as well as the right to an additional redetermination each year in connection with certain acquisitions. Significant declines in commodity prices may result in a decrease in the borrowing base. The Company's obligations under the Credit Facility are secured by mortgages on its and certain of its material subsidiaries' oil and natural gas properties and other personal property as well as a pledge of all ownership interests in its direct and indirect material subsidiaries. The Company is required to maintain either: 1) mortgages on properties representing at least 80% of the total value of oil and natural gas properties included on the most recent reserve report, or 2) a Collateral Coverage Ratio of at least 2.5 to 1. Collateral Coverage Ratio is defined as ratio of the present value of future cash flows from proved reserves from the currently mortgaged properties to the lesser of: (i) the then-effective borrowing base and (ii) the maximum commitment amount. Additionally, the obligations under the Credit Facility are guaranteed by all of the Company's material subsidiaries and are required to be guaranteed by any future material subsidiaries.

At the Company's election, interest on borrowings under the Credit Facility is determined by reference to either the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus an applicable margin between 1.5% and 2.5% per annum (depending on the then-current level of borrowings under the Credit Facility) or the alternate base rate ("ABR") plus an applicable margin between 0.5% and 1.5% per annum (depending on the then-current level of borrowings under the Credit Facility). Interest is generally payable quarterly for loans bearing interest based on the ABR and at the end of the applicable interest period for loans bearing interest at LIBOR. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the Credit Facility, which accrues at a rate per annum between 0.375% and 0.5% on the average daily unused amount of the lesser of: (i) the maximum commitment amount of the lenders and (ii) the then-effective borrowing base. The Company is in compliance with all financial and other covenants of the Credit Facility.

Senior Notes Due November 2019

On March 2, 2012, the Company issued \$1.8 billion in aggregate principal amount of 6.25% senior notes due November 2019 ("November 2019 Senior Notes") at a price of 99.989%. The November 2019 Senior Notes were sold to

a group of initial purchasers and then resold to qualified institutional buyers, each in transactions exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”). The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$1.77 billion (after deducting the initial purchasers’ discount of \$198,000 and offering expenses of approximately \$29 million). The Company used the net proceeds to fund the BP acquisition (see Note 2). The remaining proceeds were used to repay indebtedness under the Company’s Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes. The financing fees and expenses of

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

approximately \$29 million incurred in connection with the November 2019 Senior Notes will be amortized over the life of the notes. Such amortized financing fees and expenses are recorded in “interest expense, net of amounts capitalized” on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The November 2019 Senior Notes were issued under an indenture dated March 2, 2012 (“November 2019 Indenture”), mature November 1, 2019, and bear interest at 6.25%. Interest is payable semi-annually on May 1 and November 1, beginning November 1, 2012. The November 2019 Senior Notes are general unsecured senior obligations of the Company and are effectively junior in right of payment to any secured indebtedness of the Company to the extent of the collateral securing such indebtedness. Each of the Company’s material subsidiaries has guaranteed the November 2019 Senior Notes on a senior unsecured basis. The November 2019 Indenture provides that the Company may redeem: (i) on or prior to November 1, 2015, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the November 2019 Senior Notes at a redemption price of 106.25% of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the net cash proceeds of one or more equity offerings; (ii) prior to November 1, 2015, all or part of the November 2019 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to the principal amount redeemed, plus a make-whole premium (as defined in the November 2019 Indenture) and accrued and unpaid interest; and (iii) on or after November 1, 2015, all or part of the November 2019 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 103.125%, and decreasing percentages thereafter, of the principal amount redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The November 2019 Indenture also provides that, if a change of control (as defined in the November 2019 Indenture) occurs, the holders have a right to require the Company to repurchase all or part of the November 2019 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 101%, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The November 2019 Indenture contains covenants substantially similar to those under the Company’s May 2019 Senior Notes, 2010 Issued Senior Notes and Original Senior Notes, as defined below, that, among other things, limit the Company’s ability to: (i) pay distributions on, purchase or redeem the Company’s units or redeem its subordinated debt; (ii) make investments; (iii) incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue certain types of equity securities; (iv) create certain liens; (v) sell assets; (vi) consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of the Company’s assets; (vii) enter into agreements that restrict distributions or other payments from the Company’s restricted subsidiaries to the Company; (viii) engage in transactions with affiliates; and (ix) create unrestricted subsidiaries. The Company is in compliance with all financial and other covenants of the November 2019 Senior Notes.

In connection with the issuance and sale of the November 2019 Senior Notes, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement (“November 2019 Registration Rights Agreement”) with the initial purchasers. Under the November 2019 Registration Rights Agreement, the Company agreed to use its reasonable efforts to file with the SEC and cause to become effective a registration statement relating to an offer to issue new notes having terms substantially identical to the November 2019 Senior Notes in exchange for outstanding November 2019 Senior Notes within 400 days after the notes were issued. In certain circumstances, the Company may be required to file a shelf registration statement to cover resales of the November 2019 Senior Notes. If the Company fails to satisfy these obligations, the Company may be required to pay additional interest to holders of the November 2019 Senior Notes under certain circumstances.

Senior Notes Due May 2019

On May 13, 2011, the Company issued \$750 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% senior notes due 2019 (the “May 2019 Senior Notes”). The indentures related to the May 2019 Senior Notes contain redemption provisions and covenants that are substantially similar to those of the November 2019 Senior Notes. On May 8, 2012, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-4 to register exchange notes that are also substantially similar to the November 2019 Senior Notes. As of July 26, 2012, the registration statement has not been declared effective. The deadline for registration has passed and the Company will be required to pay additional interest which is expected to be less than \$500,000.

Senior Notes Due 2020 and Senior Notes Due 2021

The Company has \$1.3 billion in aggregate principal amount of 8.625% senior notes due 2020 (the “2020 Senior Notes”) and \$1.0 billion in aggregate principal amount of 7.75% senior notes due 2021 (the “2021 Senior Notes,” and together with the 2020 Senior Notes, the “2010 Issued Senior Notes”). The indentures related to the 2010 Issued Senior Notes contain redemption provisions and covenants that are substantially similar to those of the November 2019 Senior Notes. However, in 2011, the Company caused the trustee to remove the restrictive legends from each of the 2010 Issued Senior Notes making them freely tradable (other than with respect to persons that are affiliates of the Company), thereby terminating the Company’s

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

obligations under each of the registration rights agreements entered into in connection with the issuance of the 2010 Issued Senior Notes.

Senior Notes Due 2017 and Senior Notes Due 2018

The Company also has \$41 million (originally \$250 million) in aggregate principal amount of 11.75% senior notes due 2017 (the “2017 Senior Notes”) and \$14 million (originally \$256 million) in aggregate principal amount of 9.875% senior notes due 2018 (the “2018 Senior Notes” and together with the 2017 Senior Notes, the “Original Senior Notes”). The indentures related to the Original Senior Notes initially contained redemption provisions and covenants that were substantially similar to those of the November 2019 Senior Notes; however, in conjunction with the tender offers in 2011, the indentures were amended and most of the covenants and certain default provisions were eliminated. The amendments became effective upon the execution of the supplemental indentures to the indentures governing the Original Senior Notes.

In March 2011 and June 2011, in accordance with the indentures related to the Original Senior Notes, the Company redeemed and also repurchased through cash tender offers, a portion of the Original Senior Notes. In connection with the redemptions and cash tender offers of a portion of the Original Senior Notes, the Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$10 million and \$94 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Note 7 – Derivatives

Commodity Derivatives

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to minimize the variability in cash flow due to commodity price movements. The Company has historically entered into derivative instruments such as swap contracts, put options and collars to economically hedge its forecasted oil, natural gas and NGL sales. The Company did not designate any of these contracts as cash flow hedges; therefore, the changes in fair value of these instruments are recorded in current earnings. See Note 8 for fair value disclosures about oil and natural gas commodity derivatives.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes derivative positions for the periods indicated as of June 30, 2012:

| | July 1 – December 31, 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
|--|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Natural gas positions: | | | | | | |
| Fixed price swaps: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MMMBtu) | 43,910 | 87,290 | 97,401 | 118,041 | 121,841 | 120,122 |
| Average price (\$/MMBtu) | \$ 5.16 | \$ 5.22 | \$ 5.25 | \$ 5.19 | \$ 4.20 | \$ 4.26 |
| Puts: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MMMBtu) | 38,894 | 86,198 | 79,628 | 71,854 | 76,269 | 66,886 |
| Average price (\$/MMBtu) | \$ 5.41 | \$ 5.37 | \$ 5.00 | \$ 5.00 | \$ 5.00 | \$ 4.88 |
| Total: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MMMBtu) | 82,804 | 173,488 | 177,029 | 189,895 | 198,110 | 187,008 |
| Average price (\$/MMBtu) | \$ 5.28 | \$ 5.29 | \$ 5.14 | \$ 5.12 | \$ 4.51 | \$ 4.48 |
| Oil positions: | | | | | | |
| Fixed price swaps: ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MBbls) | 4,730 | 11,871 | 11,903 | 11,599 | 11,464 | 4,755 |
| Average price (\$/Bbl) | \$ 96.72 | \$ 94.97 | \$ 92.92 | \$ 96.23 | \$ 90.56 | \$ 89.02 |
| Puts: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MBbls) | 1,251 | 3,105 | 3,960 | 3,426 | 3,271 | 384 |
| Average price (\$/Bbl) | \$ 99.32 | \$ 97.86 | \$ 91.30 | \$ 90.00 | \$ 90.00 | \$ 90.00 |
| Total: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MBbls) | 5,981 | 14,976 | 15,863 | 15,025 | 14,735 | 5,139 |
| Average price (\$/Bbl) | \$ 97.26 | \$ 95.57 | \$ 92.52 | \$ 94.81 | \$ 90.44 | \$ 89.10 |
| Natural gas basis differential positions: ⁽³⁾ | | | | | | |
| Panhandle basis swaps: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MMMBtu) | 37,535 | 77,800 | 79,388 | 87,162 | 19,764 | — |
| Hedged differential (\$/MMBtu) | \$(0.55) | \$(0.56) | \$(0.33) | \$(0.33) | \$(0.31) | \$— |
| NWPL - Rockies basis swaps: | | | | | | |
| Hedge volume (MMMBtu) | 14,122 | 34,785 | 36,026 | 38,362 | 39,199 | — |
| Hedge differential (\$/MMBtu) | \$(0.20) | \$(0.20) | \$(0.20) | \$(0.20) | \$(0.20) | \$— |
| MichCon basis swaps: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MMMBtu) | 4,894 | 9,600 | 9,490 | 9,344 | — | — |
| Hedged differential (\$/MMBtu) | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.06 | \$— | \$— |
| Houston Ship Channel basis swaps: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MMMBtu) | 3,146 | 5,731 | 5,256 | 4,891 | 4,575 | — |
| Hedged differential (\$/MMBtu) | \$(0.10) | \$(0.10) | \$(0.10) | \$(0.10) | \$(0.10) | \$— |
| Permian basis swaps: | | | | | | |
| Hedged volume (MMMBtu) | 2,282 | 4,636 | 4,891 | 5,074 | — | — |
| Hedged differential (\$/MMBtu) | \$(0.19) | \$(0.20) | \$(0.21) | \$(0.21) | \$— | \$— |

Oil timing differential positions:

Trade month roll swaps: ⁽⁴⁾

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Hedged volume (MBbls) | 3,284 | 6,944 | 7,254 | 7,251 | 7,446 | 6,486 |
| Hedged differential (\$/Bbl) | \$0.21 | \$0.22 | \$0.22 | \$0.24 | \$0.25 | \$0.25 |

Includes certain outstanding natural gas puts of approximately 5,329 MMBtu for the period July 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, 10,570 MMBtu for each of the years ending December 31, 2013, December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2015, and 10,599 MMBtu for the year ending December 31, 2016, used to hedge revenues associated with NGL production.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

Includes certain outstanding fixed price oil swaps of approximately 5,384 MBbls which may be extended annually at a price of \$100.00 per Bbl for each of the years ending December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2018, and \$90.00

(2) per Bbl for the year ending December 31, 2019, if the counterparties determine that the strike prices are in-the-money on a designated date in each respective preceding year. The extension for each year is exercisable without respect to the other years.

(3) Settle on the respective pricing index to hedge basis differential associated with natural gas production.

The Company hedges the timing risk associated with the sales price of oil in the Mid-Continent, Hugoton Basin and Permian Basin regions. In these regions, the Company generally sells oil for the delivery month at a sales price

(4) based on the average NYMEX price of light crude oil during that month, plus an adjustment calculated as a spread between the weighted average prices of the delivery month, the next month and the following month during the period when the delivery month is prompt (the “trade month roll”).

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company entered into commodity derivative contracts consisting of oil and natural gas swaps and puts for 2012 through 2017, and paid premiums for put options of approximately \$583 million. Also during the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company entered into natural gas basis swaps for 2012 through 2016 and trade month roll swaps for 2012 through 2017.

Settled derivatives on natural gas production for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, included volumes of 34,438 MMBtu and 58,080 MMBtu, respectively, at average contract prices of \$5.45 per MMBtu and \$5.61 per MMBtu. Settled derivatives on oil production for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012, included volumes of 2,731 MBbls and 5,308 MBbls, respectively, at average contract prices of \$98.08 and \$98.01 per Bbl. Settled derivatives on natural gas production for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, included volumes of 16,106 MMBtu and 32,178 MMBtu, respectively, at average contract prices of \$8.24 per MMBtu and \$8.25 per MMBtu. Settled derivatives on oil production for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011, included volumes of 1,839 MBbls and 3,671 MBbls, respectively, at an average contract price of \$84.08 per Bbl. The natural gas derivatives are settled based on the closing price of NYMEX natural gas on the last trading day for the delivery month, which occurs on the third business day preceding the delivery month, or the relevant index prices of natural gas published in Inside FERC’s Gas Market Report on the first business day of the delivery month. The oil derivatives are settled based on the average closing price of NYMEX light crude oil for each day of the delivery month.

Balance Sheet Presentation

The Company’s commodity derivatives are presented on a net basis in “derivative instruments” on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The following summarizes the fair value of derivatives outstanding on a gross basis:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Assets: | | |
| Commodity derivatives | \$1,730,071 | \$880,175 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Commodity derivatives | \$319,935 | \$320,835 |

By using derivative instruments to economically hedge exposures to changes in commodity prices, the Company exposes itself to credit risk and market risk. Credit risk is the failure of the counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. When the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, the counterparty owes the Company, which creates credit risk. The Company's counterparties are current participants or affiliates of participants in its Credit Facility or were participants or affiliates of participants in its Credit Facility at the time it originally entered into the derivatives. The Credit Facility is secured by the Company's oil and natural gas reserves; therefore, the Company is not required to post any collateral. The Company does not receive collateral from its counterparties. The maximum amount of loss due to credit risk that the Company would incur if its counterparties failed completely to perform according to the terms of the contracts, based on the gross fair value of financial instruments, was approximately \$1.7 billion at June 30, 2012. The Company minimizes the credit risk in derivative instruments by: (i) limiting its exposure to any single counterparty; (ii) entering into derivative instruments only with counterparties that meet the Company's minimum credit quality standard, or have a guarantee from an affiliate that meets the

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

Company's minimum credit quality standard; and (iii) monitoring the creditworthiness of the Company's counterparties on an ongoing basis. In accordance with the Company's standard practice, its commodity derivatives are subject to counterparty netting under agreements governing such derivatives and therefore the risk of loss is somewhat mitigated.

Gains (Losses) on Derivatives

Gains and losses on derivatives, including realized and unrealized gains and losses, are reported on the condensed consolidated statements of operations in "gains (losses) on oil and natural gas derivatives." Realized gains (losses), excluding canceled derivatives, represent amounts related to the settlement of derivative instruments and are aligned with the underlying production. Unrealized gains (losses) represent the change in fair value of the derivative instruments and are noncash items.

The following presents the Company's reported gains and losses on derivative instruments:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Realized gains: | | | | |
| Commodity derivatives | \$ 117,740 | \$ 42,081 | \$ 172,995 | \$ 97,890 |
| Recovery of bankruptcy claim (see Note 10) | 18,277 | — | 18,277 | — |
| | 136,017 | 42,081 | 191,272 | 97,890 |
| Unrealized gains (losses): | | | | |
| Commodity derivatives | 303,630 | 163,434 | 250,406 | (261,851) |
| Total gains (losses): | | | | |
| Total | \$ 439,647 | \$ 205,515 | \$ 441,678 | \$ (163,961) |

Note 8 – Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis

The Company accounts for its commodity derivatives at fair value (see Note 7) on a recurring basis. The Company uses certain pricing models to determine the fair value of its derivative financial instruments. Inputs to the pricing models include publicly available prices and forward price curves generated from a compilation of data gathered from third parties. Company management validates the data provided by third parties by understanding the pricing models used, obtaining market values from other pricing sources, analyzing pricing data in certain situations and confirming that those securities trade in active markets. Assumed credit risk adjustments, based on published credit ratings, public bond yield spreads and credit default swap spreads, are applied to the Company's commodity derivatives.

The following presents the fair value hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | June 30, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | Level 2 | Netting ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Assets: | | | |
| Commodity derivatives | \$ 1,730,071 | \$ (316,224) | \$ 1,413,847 |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Commodity derivatives | \$ 319,935 | \$ (316,224) | \$ 3,711 |

(1) Represents counterparty netting under agreements governing such derivatives.

Note 9 – Asset Retirement Obligations

Asset retirement obligations associated with retiring tangible long-lived assets are recognized as a liability in the period in which a legal obligation is incurred and becomes determinable and are included in “other noncurrent liabilities” on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Accretion expense is included in “depreciation, depletion and amortization” on the

16

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

condensed consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of additions to the asset retirement obligations is estimated using valuation techniques that convert future cash flows to a single discounted amount. Significant inputs to the valuation include estimates of: (i) plug and abandon costs per well based on existing regulatory requirements; (ii) remaining life per well; (iii) future inflation factors (2% for the six months ended June 30, 2012); and (iv) a credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate (average of 7.36% for the six months ended June 30, 2012). These inputs require significant judgments and estimates by the Company's management at the time of the valuation and are the most sensitive and subject to change.

The following presents a reconciliation of the asset retirement obligations (in thousands):

| | | |
|---|-----------|---|
| Asset retirement obligations at December 31, 2011 | \$71,142 | |
| Liabilities added from acquisitions | 34,096 | |
| Liabilities added from drilling | 574 | |
| Current year accretion expense | 3,373 | |
| Settlements | (1,506 |) |
| Revision of estimates | 942 | |
| Asset retirement obligations at June 30, 2012 | \$108,621 | |

Note 10 – Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has been named as a defendant in a number of lawsuits, including claims from royalty owners related to disputed royalty payments and royalty valuations. The Company has established reserves that management currently believes are adequate to provide for potential liabilities based upon its evaluation of these matters. For a certain statewide class action royalty payment dispute where a reserve has not yet been established, the Company has denied that it has any liability on the claims and has raised arguments and defenses that, if accepted by the court, will result in no loss to the Company. Discovery related to class certification has concluded. Briefing and the hearing on class certification have been deferred by court order pending the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals' resolution of interlocutory appeals of two unrelated class certification orders. As a result, the Company is unable to estimate a possible loss, or range of possible loss, if any. In addition, the Company is involved in various other disputes arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company is not currently a party to any litigation or pending claims that it believes would have a material adverse effect on its overall business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity; however, cash flow could be significantly impacted in the reporting periods in which such matters are resolved.

In 2008, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("Lehman Holdings") and Lehman Brothers Commodity Services Inc. ("Lehman Commodity Services") (together "Lehman"), filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. In March 2011, the Company and Lehman entered into Termination Agreements under which the Company was granted general unsecured claims against Lehman in the amount of \$51 million (the "Company Claim"). In December 2011, a Chapter 11 Plan ("Plan") was approved by the Bankruptcy Court. Based on the recovery estimates described in the approved disclosure statement relating to the Plan, the Company expects to ultimately receive a substantial portion of the Company Claim. On April 19, 2012, an initial distribution under the Plan of approximately \$25 million was received by the Company resulting in a gain of approximately \$18 million which is included in gains (losses) on oil and natural gas derivatives on the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Note 11 – Earnings Per Unit

Basic earnings per unit is computed by dividing net earnings attributable to unitholders by the weighted average number of units outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per unit is computed by adjusting the average number of units outstanding for the dilutive effect, if any, of unit equivalents. The Company uses the treasury stock method to determine the dilutive effect.

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted per unit computations for net income (loss):

| | Net Income (Loss) (Numerator) (in thousands) | Units (Denominator) | Per Unit Amount |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2012: | | | |
| Net income: | | | |
| Allocated to units | \$237,086 | | |
| Allocated to unvested restricted units | (2,232) |) | |
| | \$234,854 | | |
| Net income per unit: | | | |
| Basic net income per unit | | 197,507 | \$1.19 |
| Dilutive effect of unit equivalents | | 653 | — |
| Diluted net income per unit | | 198,160 | \$1.19 |
| Three months ended June 30, 2011: | | | |
| Net income: | | | |
| Allocated to units | \$237,109 | | |
| Allocated to unvested restricted units | (2,475) |) | |
| | \$234,634 | | |
| Net income per unit: | | | |
| Basic net income per unit | | 175,035 | \$1.34 |
| Dilutive effect of unit equivalents | | 762 | (0.01) |
| Diluted net income per unit | | 175,797 | \$1.33 |
| Six months ended June 30, 2012: | | | |
| Net income: | | | |
| Allocated to units | \$230,884 | | |
| Allocated to unvested restricted units | (2,735) |) | |
| | \$228,149 | | |
| Net income per unit: | | | |
| Basic net income per unit | | 195,382 | \$1.17 |
| Dilutive effect of unit equivalents | | 657 | (0.01) |
| Diluted net income per unit | | 196,039 | \$1.16 |
| Six months ended June 30, 2011: | | | |
| Net loss: | | | |
| Allocated to units | \$(209,573) |) | |
| Allocated to unvested restricted units | (2,439) |) | |
| | \$(212,012) |) | |
| Net loss per unit: | | | |
| Basic net loss per unit | | 169,104 | \$(1.25) |
| Dilutive effect of unit equivalents | | — | — |
| Diluted net loss per unit | | 169,104 | \$(1.25) |

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

There were no anti-dilutive unit equivalents for the three months or six months ended June 30, 2012, or the three months ended June 30, 2011. Basic units outstanding excludes the effect of weighted average anti-dilutive unit equivalents related to approximately 2 million unit options and warrants for the six months ended June 30, 2011. All equivalent units were anti-dilutive for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Note 12 – Income Taxes

The Company is a limited liability company treated as a partnership for federal and state income tax purposes, with the exception of the state of Texas, with income tax liabilities and/or benefits of the Company passed through to unitholders. Limited liability companies are subject to Texas margin tax. Limited liability companies were also subject to state income taxes in the state of Michigan during the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012. In addition, certain of the Company's subsidiaries are Subchapter C-corporations subject to federal and state income taxes. As such, with the exception of the state of Texas and certain subsidiaries, the Company is not a taxable entity, it does not directly pay federal and state income taxes and recognition has not been given to federal and state income taxes for the operations of the Company. Amounts recognized for income taxes are reported in "income tax expense" on the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Note 13 – Supplemental Disclosures to the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

"Other accrued liabilities" reported on the condensed consolidated balance sheets include the following:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | (in thousands) | |
| Accrued compensation | \$17,068 | \$19,581 |
| Accrued interest | 91,351 | 55,170 |
| Other | 422 | 1,147 |
| | \$108,841 | \$75,898 |

Supplemental disclosures to the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows are presented below:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Cash payments for interest, net of amounts capitalized | \$128,617 | \$124,173 |
| Cash payments for income taxes | \$306 | \$476 |

Noncash investing activities:

In connection with the acquisition of oil and natural gas properties, liabilities were assumed as follows:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Fair value of assets acquired | \$1,841,027 | \$850,313 |
| Cash paid, net of cash acquired | (1,455,433 |) (847,780 |
| Receivables from sellers | 772 | 5,855 |

Edgar Filing: LINN ENERGY, LLC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|---|
| Payables to sellers | (422 |) (5,241 |) |
| Liabilities assumed | \$385,944 | \$3,147 | |

Table of Contents

LINN ENERGY, LLC

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – Continued

(Unaudited)

Included in “acquisition of oil and natural gas properties” on the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012, is a deposit paid by the Company of approximately \$308 million for the pending acquisition (see Note 2).

For purposes of the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Restricted cash of approximately \$4 million is included in “other noncurrent assets” on the condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, and represents cash deposited by the Company into a separate account and designated for asset retirement obligations in accordance with contractual agreements.

The Company manages its working capital and cash requirements to borrow only as needed from its Credit Facility. At June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, approximately \$13 million and \$54 million, respectively, were included in “accounts payable and accrued expenses” on the condensed consolidated balance sheets which represents reclassified net outstanding checks. The Company presents these net outstanding checks as cash flows from financing activities on the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

Note 14 – Subsidiary Guarantors

The November 2019 Senior Notes, the May 2019 Senior Notes, the 2010 Issued Notes and the Original Senior Notes are guaranteed by all of the Company’s material subsidiaries. The Company is a holding company and has no independent assets or operations of its own, the guarantees under each series of notes are full and unconditional and joint and several, and any subsidiaries of the Company other than the subsidiary guarantors are minor. There are no restrictions on the Company’s ability to obtain cash dividends or other distributions of funds from the guarantor subsidiaries.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect the Company's future plans, estimates, beliefs and expected performance. The forward-looking statements are dependent upon events, risks and uncertainties that may be outside the Company's control. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, market prices for oil, natural gas and NGL, production volumes, estimates of proved reserves, capital expenditures, economic and competitive conditions, credit and capital market conditions, regulatory changes and other uncertainties, as well as those factors set forth in "Cautionary Statement" below and in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and elsewhere in the Annual Report. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed may not occur.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. A reference to a "Note" herein refers to the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1. "Financial Statements."

Executive Overview

LINN Energy's mission is to acquire, develop and maximize cash flow from a growing portfolio of long-life oil and natural gas assets. LINN Energy is an independent oil and natural gas company that began operations in March 2003 and completed its IPO in January 2006. The Company's properties are located in seven operating regions in the United States ("U.S."):

- Mid-Continent, which includes properties in Oklahoma, Louisiana and the eastern portion of the Texas Panhandle (including the Granite Wash and Cleveland horizontal plays);
- Hugoton Basin, which includes properties located primarily in Kansas and the Shallow Texas Panhandle;
- Permian Basin, which includes areas in west Texas and southeast New Mexico;
- Michigan/Illinois, which includes the Antrim Shale formation in the northern part of Michigan and oil properties in southern Illinois;
- Williston/Powder River Basin, which includes the Bakken formation in North Dakota and the Powder River Basin in Wyoming;
- California, which includes the Brea Olinda Field of the Los Angeles Basin; and
- East Texas, which includes properties located in east Texas.

Results for the three months ended June 30, 2012, included the following:

- oil, natural gas and NGL sales of approximately \$347 million compared to \$302 million for the second quarter of 2011;
- average daily production of 630 MMcfe/d compared to 358 MMcfe/d for the second quarter of 2011;
- realized gains on commodity derivatives of approximately \$118 million compared to \$42 million for the second quarter of 2011;
- adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$319 million compared to \$264 million for the second quarter of 2011;
- adjusted net income of approximately \$61 million compared to \$83 million for the second quarter of 2011;
- capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, of approximately \$298 million compared to \$137 million for the second quarter of 2011; and
- 100 wells drilled (99 successful) compared to 55 wells drilled (all successful) for the second quarter of 2011.

Results for the six months ended June 30, 2012, included the following:

- oil, natural gas and NGL sales of approximately \$696 million compared to \$543 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011;
- average daily production of 550 MMcfe/d compared to 335 MMcfe/d for the six months ended June 30, 2011;
- realized gains on commodity derivatives of approximately \$173 million compared to \$98 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011;
- adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$621 million compared to \$474 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011;
- adjusted net income of approximately \$110 million compared to \$146 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011;
- capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, of approximately \$557 million compared to \$250 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011; and

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

181 wells drilled (178 successful) compared to 101 wells drilled (99 successful) for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income are non-GAAP financial measures used by management to analyze Company performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a measure used by Company management to evaluate cash flow and the Company's ability to sustain or increase distributions. The most significant reconciling items between net income (loss) and adjusted EBITDA are interest expense and noncash items, including the change in fair value of derivatives, and depreciation, depletion and amortization. Adjusted net income is used by Company management to evaluate its operational performance from oil and natural gas properties, prior to unrealized (gains) losses on derivatives, realized (gains) losses on canceled derivatives, realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim, impairment of long-lived assets, loss on extinguishment of debt and (gains) losses on sale of assets, net. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" on page 37 for a reconciliation of each non-GAAP financial measure to its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Acquisitions

On May 1, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties located in east Texas for total consideration of approximately \$168 million. The acquisition included approximately 110 Bcfe of proved reserves as of the acquisition date.

On April 3, 2012, the Company entered into a joint-venture agreement ("Agreement") with an affiliate of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation ("Anadarko") whereby the Company will participate as a partner in the CO₂ enhanced oil recovery development of the Salt Creek field, located in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming. Anadarko assigned the Company 23% of its interest in the field in exchange for future funding of \$400 million of Anadarko's development costs. As of June 30, 2012, the Company has paid approximately \$54 million towards the future funding commitment. The acquisition included approximately 16 MMBoe (96 Bcfe) of proved reserves as of the Agreement date.

On March 30, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of certain oil and natural gas properties located in the Hugoton Basin in Kansas from BP America Production Company ("BP") for total consideration of approximately \$1.17 billion. The acquisition included approximately 701 Bcfe of proved reserves as of the acquisition date.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company completed other smaller acquisitions of oil and natural gas properties located in its various operating regions. The Company, in the aggregate, paid approximately \$67 million in total consideration for these properties.

Proved reserves as of the acquisition date for all of the above referenced acquisitions were estimated using the average oil and natural gas prices during the preceding 12-month period, determined as an unweighted average of the first-day-of-the-month prices for each month.

Acquisition - Pending

On June 21, 2012, the Company entered into a definitive purchase and sale agreement to acquire certain oil and natural gas properties located in the Green River Basin area of southwest Wyoming from BP for a contract price of approximately \$1.03 billion. The Company paid a deposit of approximately \$308 million in June 2012, which is reported in "other noncurrent assets" on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2012. The Company anticipates the acquisition will close on or before July 31, 2012, subject to closing conditions, and will be financed with borrowings under its Credit Facility, as defined in Note 6.

Financing and Liquidity

In January 2012, the Company, under its equity distribution agreement, issued and sold 1,539,651 units representing limited liability company interests at an average unit price of \$38.02 for proceeds of approximately \$57 million (net of

approximately \$2 million in commissions and professional services expenses). The Company used the net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of a portion of the indebtedness outstanding under its Credit Facility. At June 30, 2012, units equaling approximately \$411 million in aggregate offering price remained available to be issued and sold under the agreement.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

In January 2012, the Company completed a public offering of units for net proceeds of approximately \$674 million. The Company used the net proceeds from the sale of these units to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under its Credit Facility.

In March 2012, the Company issued \$1.8 billion in aggregate principal amount of 6.25% senior notes due November 2019 (see Note 6) and used the net proceeds of approximately \$1.77 billion to fund the Hugoton acquisition (see Note 2). The remaining proceeds were used to repay indebtedness under the Company's Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes.

The Company's Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Credit Facility") provides for a revolving credit facility up to the lesser of: (i) the then-effective borrowing base and (ii) maximum commitment amount. In May 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to its Credit Facility to extend the maturity date from April 2016 to April 2017. In July 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to its Credit Facility to increase the maximum commitment amount from \$2.0 billion to \$3.0 billion.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012, Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Variance |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Revenues and other: | | | |
| Natural gas sales | \$59,258 | \$70,800 | \$(11,542) |
| Oil sales | 224,344 | 191,454 | 32,890 |
| NGL sales | 63,625 | 40,136 | 23,489 |
| Total oil, natural gas and NGL sales | 347,227 | 302,390 | 44,837 |
| Gains on oil and natural gas derivatives | 439,647 | 205,515 | 234,132 |
| Marketing and other revenues | 13,723 | 2,666 | 11,057 |
| | 800,597 | 510,571 | 290,026 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Lease operating expenses | 70,129 | 56,363 | 13,766 |
| Transportation expenses | 21,815 | 6,476 | 15,339 |
| Marketing expenses | 6,458 | 1,044 | 5,414 |
| General and administrative expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 41,185 | 31,543 | 9,642 |
| Exploration costs | 407 | 550 | (143) |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 143,506 | 79,345 | 64,161 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | 146,499 | — | 146,499 |
| Taxes, other than income taxes | 30,656 | 20,318 | 10,338 |
| (Gains) losses on sale of assets and other, net | (2) | 1,010 | (1,012) |
| | 460,653 | 196,649 | 264,004 |
| Other income and (expenses) | (102,346) | (75,143) | (27,203) |
| Income before income taxes | 237,598 | 238,779 | (1,181) |
| Income tax expense | (512) | (1,670) | 1,158 |
| Net income | \$237,086 | \$237,109 | \$(23) |
| Adjusted EBITDA ⁽²⁾ | \$319,135 | \$263,606 | \$55,529 |
| Adjusted net income ⁽²⁾ | \$61,199 | \$83,357 | \$(22,158) |

(1) General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, include approximately \$6 million and \$5 million, respectively, of noncash unit-based compensation expenses.

This is a non-GAAP measure used by management to analyze the Company's performance. See "Non-GAAP

(2) Financial Measures" on page 37 for a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measure to its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------|----|
| | June 30, | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Variance | |
| Average daily production: | | | | |
| Natural gas (MMcf/d) | 317 | 169 | 88 | % |
| Oil (MBbls/d) | 28.2 | 21.4 | 32 | % |
| NGL (MBbls/d) | 24.0 | 10.1 | 138 | % |
| Total (MMcfe/d) | 630 | 358 | 76 | % |
| Weighted average prices (hedged): ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Natural gas (Mcf) | \$5.65 | \$8.39 | (33) |)% |
| Oil (Bbl) | \$92.92 | \$90.03 | 3 | % |
| NGL (Bbl) | \$29.08 | \$43.77 | (34) |)% |
| Weighted average prices (unhedged): ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Natural gas (Mcf) | \$2.06 | \$4.61 | (55) |)% |
| Oil (Bbl) | \$87.36 | \$98.23 | (11) |)% |
| NGL (Bbl) | \$29.08 | \$43.77 | (34) |)% |
| Average NYMEX prices: | | | | |
| Natural gas (MMBtu) | \$2.22 | \$4.31 | (48) |)% |
| Oil (Bbl) | \$93.49 | \$102.56 | (9) |)% |
| Costs per Mcfe of production: | | | | |
| Lease operating expenses | \$1.22 | \$1.73 | (29) |)% |
| Transportation expenses | \$0.38 | \$0.20 | 90 | % |
| General and administrative expenses ⁽³⁾ | \$0.72 | \$0.97 | (26) |)% |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | \$2.50 | \$2.44 | 2 | % |
| Taxes, other than income taxes | \$0.53 | \$0.62 | (15) |)% |

Includes the effect of realized gains on derivatives of approximately \$118 million (excluding approximately \$18 million realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim) and \$42 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Does not include the effect of realized gains (losses) on derivatives.

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, include approximately \$6 million and \$5 million, respectively, of noncash unit-based compensation expenses. Excluding ⁽³⁾ these amounts, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, were \$0.61 per Mcfe and \$0.81 per Mcfe, respectively. This is a non-GAAP measure used by management to analyze the Company's performance.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Revenues and Other

Oil, Natural Gas and NGL Sales

Oil, natural gas and NGL sales increased approximately \$45 million or 15% to approximately \$347 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$302 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, due to higher production volumes partially offset by lower natural gas, NGL and oil prices. Lower natural gas, NGL and oil prices resulted in a decrease in revenues of approximately \$74 million, \$32 million and \$28 million, respectively.

Average daily production volumes increased to 630 MMcfe/d during the three months ended June 30, 2012, from 358 MMcfe/d during the three months ended June 30, 2011. Higher natural gas, oil and NGL production volumes resulted in an increase in revenues of approximately \$62 million, \$61 million and \$56 million, respectively.

The following sets forth average daily production by region:

| | Three Months Ended | | Variance | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------|----------|-----|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | | |
| Average daily production (MMcfe/d): | | | | | |
| Mid-Continent | 306 | 185 | 121 | 65 | % |
| Hugoton Basin | 151 | 38 | 113 | 302 | % |
| Permian Basin | 80 | 75 | 5 | 6 | % |
| Michigan/Illinois | 35 | 35 | — | — | |
| Williston/Powder River Basin | 29 | 11 | 18 | 161 | % |
| California | 13 | 14 | (1) | (6) |)% |
| East Texas | 16 | — | 16 | — | |
| | 630 | 358 | 272 | 76 | % |

The 65% increase in average daily production volumes in the Mid-Continent region primarily reflects the Company's 2011 and 2012 capital drilling programs in the Granite Wash formation, as well as the impact of the acquisition in the Cleveland horizontal play in June 2011 and the acquisition from Plains in December 2011. The increase in average daily production volumes in the Hugoton Basin region primarily reflects the impact of the acquisition from BP in March 2012. Average daily production volumes in the Permian Basin region reflect the impact of acquisitions in 2011 and subsequent development capital spending. The Michigan/Illinois and California regions consist of low-decline asset bases and continue to produce at consistent levels. The increase in average daily production volumes in the Williston/Powder River Basin region reflects the impact of acquisitions in 2011 and the Anadarko agreement in April 2012. Average daily production volumes in the East Texas region reflect the impact of the acquisition in May 2012 (see Note 2).

Gains (Losses) on Oil and Natural Gas Derivatives

The Company determines the fair value of its oil and natural gas derivatives utilizing pricing models that use a variety of techniques, including market quotes and pricing analysis. See Item 3. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," Note 7 and Note 8 for additional information about the Company's commodity derivatives. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company had commodity derivative contracts for approximately 120% of its natural gas production and 106% of its oil production, which resulted in realized gains of approximately \$118 million. The results for 2012 also include a realized gain related to the recovery of a bankruptcy claim of approximately \$18 million (see Note 10). During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company had commodity derivative contracts for approximately 105% of its natural gas production and 94% of its oil production and recognized realized gains of approximately \$42 million. Unrealized gains and losses result from changes in market valuations of derivatives as future commodity price expectations change compared to the contract prices on the

derivatives. If the expected future commodity prices increase compared to the contract prices on the derivatives, unrealized losses are recognized; and if the expected future commodity prices decrease compared to the contract prices on the derivatives, unrealized gains are recognized. During the second quarter of 2012, expected future oil prices decreased resulting in unrealized gains of approximately \$496 million, and natural gas prices increased resulting in unrealized losses of approximately \$192 million, for net unrealized gains on derivatives of approximately \$304 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. During the second quarter of 2011, expected future oil and natural gas prices decreased, which resulted in net unrealized gains on derivatives of approximately \$163 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. For information about the Company's credit risk related to derivative contracts, see "Counterparty Credit Risk" in "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Marketing and Other Revenues

Marketing and other revenues increased by approximately \$11 million or 415% to approximately \$14 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to the acquisition of the Jayhawk natural gas processing plant from BP in March 2012.

Expenses

Lease Operating Expenses

Lease operating expenses include expenses such as labor, field office, vehicle, supervision, maintenance, tools and supplies and workover expenses. Lease operating expenses increased by approximately \$14 million or 24% to approximately \$70 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$56 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Lease operating expenses increased primarily due to costs associated with properties acquired during 2011 and 2012 (see Note 2). Lease operating expenses per Mcfe decreased to \$1.22 per Mcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$1.73 per Mcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to lower rates on newly acquired properties.

Transportation Expenses

Transportation expenses increased by approximately \$16 million or 237% to approximately \$22 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to acquisitions in late 2011 and early 2012.

Marketing Expenses

Marketing expenses increased by approximately \$5 million or 519% to approximately \$6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to the acquisition of the Jayhawk natural gas processing plant from BP in March 2012.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses are costs not directly associated with field operations and reflect the costs of employees including executive officers, related benefits, office leases and professional fees. General and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$9 million or 31% to approximately \$41 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$32 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase in acquisition related expenses of approximately \$5 million and an increase in salaries and benefits related expenses of approximately \$4 million, driven primarily by increased employee headcount. General and administrative expenses per Mcfe decreased to \$0.72 per Mcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$0.97 per Mcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2011, due to higher production volumes.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization

Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased by approximately \$65 million or 81% to approximately \$144 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$79 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Higher total production volumes were the primary reason for the increased expense. Depreciation, depletion and amortization per Mcfe also increased to \$2.50 per Mcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$2.44 per Mcfe for the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to higher production volumes in operating areas with higher depletion rates.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a noncash impairment charge, before and after tax, of approximately \$146 million associated with proved oil and natural gas properties related to a decline in commodity prices. The Company recorded no impairment charge for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Taxes, Other Than Income Taxes

Taxes, other than income taxes, which consist primarily of severance and ad valorem taxes, increased by approximately \$11 million or 51% to approximately \$31 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$20 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Severance taxes, which are a function of revenues generated from production, increased approximately \$2 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to higher production volumes partially offset by lower commodity prices. Ad valorem taxes, which are based on the value of reserves and production equipment and vary by location, increased by approximately \$8 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to property acquisitions in 2011 and 2012 and higher rates on the Company's base properties.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Other Income and (Expenses)

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | 2011 | Variance |
| | 2012 | | |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | \$— | \$(9,810 |) \$9,810 |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized | (94,390 |) (62,361 |) (32,029) |
| Other, net | (7,956 |) (2,972 |) (4,984) |
| | \$(102,346 |) \$(75,143 |) \$(27,203) |

Other income and (expenses) increased by approximately \$27 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense increased primarily due to higher outstanding debt during the period and higher amortization of financing fees and expenses associated with the May 2019 Senior Notes and the November 2019 Senior Notes, as defined in Note 6. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$10 million as a result of the redemptions of and cash tender offers for a portion of the Original Senior Notes, as defined in Note 6. See "Debt" in "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below for additional details.

Income Tax Expense

The Company is a limited liability company treated as a partnership for federal and state income tax purposes, with the exception of the state of Texas, with income tax liabilities and/or benefits of the Company passed through to unitholders. Limited liability companies are subject to Texas margin tax. Limited liability companies were also subject to state income taxes in Michigan during the three months ended June 30, 2011. In addition, certain of the Company's subsidiaries are Subchapter C-corporations subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company recognized income tax expense of approximately \$1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to approximately \$2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Income tax expense decreased primarily due to lower income from the Company's taxable subsidiaries during the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011.

Net Income

Net income was essentially unchanged at approximately \$237 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011. Higher revenues in 2012 were offset by higher expenses, including interest. See discussions above for explanations of variances.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure) increased by approximately \$55 million or 21% to approximately \$319 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$264 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher production revenues, partially offset by higher expenses. See discussions above for explanations of variances. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" on page 37 for a reconciliation of adjusted EBITDA to its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted Net income

Adjusted net income (a non-GAAP financial measure) decreased by approximately \$22 million or 27% to approximately \$61 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$83 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to higher expenses, including interest, partially offset by higher production revenues. See discussions above for explanations of variances.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Results of Operations

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012, Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

| | Six Months Ended | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | 2011 | Variance |
| | 2012 | | |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Revenues and other: | | | |
| Natural gas sales | \$ 125,043 | \$ 137,598 | \$(12,555) |
| Oil sales | 455,509 | 330,092 | 125,417 |
| NGL sales | 115,570 | 75,407 | 40,163 |
| Total oil, natural gas and NGL sales | 696,122 | 543,097 | 153,025 |
| Gains (losses) on oil and natural gas derivatives | 441,678 | (163,961) | 605,639 |
| Marketing and other revenues | 16,887 | 4,962 | 11,925 |
| | 1,154,687 | 384,098 | 770,589 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Lease operating expenses | 141,765 | 102,264 | 39,501 |
| Transportation expenses | 32,377 | 12,331 | 20,046 |
| Marketing expenses | 7,150 | 1,853 | 5,297 |
| General and administrative expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 84,506 | 62,103 | 22,403 |
| Exploration costs | 817 | 995 | (178) |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 260,782 | 145,711 | 115,071 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | 146,499 | — | 146,499 |
| Taxes, other than income taxes | 55,851 | 36,045 | 19,806 |
| Losses on sale of assets and other, net | 1,492 | 1,586 | (94) |
| | 731,239 | 362,888 | 368,351 |
| Other income and (expenses) | (183,134) | (224,915) | 41,781 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 240,314 | (203,705) | 444,019 |
| Income tax expense | (9,430) | (5,868) | (3,562) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 230,884 | \$(209,573) | \$ 440,457 |
| Adjusted EBITDA ⁽²⁾ | \$ 621,274 | \$ 473,602 | \$ 147,672 |
| Adjusted net income ⁽²⁾ | \$ 109,621 | \$ 145,664 | \$(36,043) |

(1) General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, include approximately \$14 million and \$11 million, respectively, of noncash unit-based compensation expenses.

This is a non-GAAP measure used by management to analyze the Company's performance. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" on page 37 for a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measure to its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

| | Six Months Ended | | | |
|--|------------------|---------|----------|----|
| | June 30, | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Variance | |
| Average daily production: | | | | |
| Natural gas (MMcf/d) | 273 | 163 | 67 | % |
| Oil (MBbls/d) | 27.2 | 19.3 | 41 | % |
| NGL (MBbls/d) | 19.1 | 9.3 | 105 | % |
| Total (MMcfe/d) | 550 | 335 | 64 | % |
| Weighted average prices (hedged): ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
| Natural gas (Mcf) | \$5.93 | \$8.68 | (32 |)% |
| Oil (Bbl) | \$92.86 | \$88.35 | 5 | % |
| NGL (Bbl) | \$33.21 | \$44.70 | (26 |)% |
| Weighted average prices (unhedged): ⁽²⁾ | | | | |
| Natural gas (Mcf) | \$2.52 | \$4.66 | (46 |)% |
| Oil (Bbl) | \$92.12 | \$94.34 | (2 |)% |
| NGL (Bbl) | \$33.21 | \$44.70 | (26 |)% |
| Average NYMEX prices: | | | | |
| Natural gas (MMBtu) | \$2.48 | \$4.22 | (41 |)% |
| Oil (Bbl) | \$98.21 | \$98.33 | — | |
| Costs per Mcfe of production: | | | | |
| Lease operating expenses | \$1.42 | \$1.69 | (16 |)% |
| Transportation expenses | \$0.32 | \$0.20 | 60 | % |
| General and administrative expenses ⁽³⁾ | \$0.84 | \$1.02 | (18 |)% |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | \$2.60 | \$2.40 | 8 | % |
| Taxes, other than income taxes | \$0.56 | \$0.59 | (5 |)% |

Includes the effect of realized gains on derivatives of approximately \$173 million (excluding approximately \$18 million realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim) and \$98 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Does not include the effect of realized gains (losses) on derivatives.

General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, include approximately \$14 million and \$11 million, respectively, of noncash unit-based compensation expenses. Excluding ⁽³⁾ these amounts, general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, were \$0.70 per Mcfe and \$0.85 per Mcfe, respectively. This is a non-GAAP measure used by management to analyze the Company's performance.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Revenues and Other

Oil, Natural Gas and NGL Sales

Oil, natural gas and NGL sales increased approximately \$153 million or 28% to approximately \$696 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$543 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, due to higher production volumes partially offset by lower natural gas, NGL and oil prices. Lower natural gas, NGL and oil prices resulted in a decrease in revenues of approximately \$106 million, \$40 million and \$11 million, respectively.

Average daily production volumes increased to 550 MMcfe/d during the six months ended June 30, 2012, from 335 MMcfe/d during the six months ended June 30, 2011. Higher oil, natural gas and NGL production volumes resulted in an increase in revenues of approximately \$136 million, \$94 million and \$80 million, respectively.

The following sets forth average daily production by region:

| | Six Months Ended | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------|----------|-----|----|
| | June 30, | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Variance | | |
| Average daily production (MMcfe/d): | | | | | |
| Mid-Continent | 290 | 175 | 115 | 66 | % |
| Hugoton Basin | 95 | 38 | 57 | 149 | % |
| Permian Basin | 84 | 67 | 17 | 27 | % |
| Michigan/Illinois | 35 | 35 | — | — | |
| Williston/Powder River Basin | 25 | 6 | 19 | 297 | % |
| California | 13 | 14 | (1) | (5) |)% |
| East Texas | 8 | — | 8 | — | |
| | 550 | 335 | 215 | 64 | % |

The 66% increase in average daily production volumes in the Mid-Continent region primarily reflects the Company's 2011 and 2012 capital drilling programs in the Granite Wash formation, as well as the impact of the acquisition in the Cleveland horizontal play in June 2011 and the acquisition from Plains in December 2011. The increase in average daily production volumes in the Hugoton Basin region primarily reflects the impact of the acquisition from BP in March 2012. Average daily production volumes in the Permian Basin region reflect the impact of acquisitions in 2011 and subsequent development capital spending. The Michigan/Illinois and California regions consist of low-decline asset bases and continue to produce at consistent levels. The increase in average daily production volumes in the Williston/Powder River Basin region reflect the impact of acquisitions in 2011 and the Anadarko agreement in April 2012. Average daily production volumes in the East Texas region reflect the impact of the acquisition in May 2012 (see Note 2).

Gains (Losses) on Oil and Natural Gas Derivatives

The Company determines the fair value of its oil and natural gas derivatives utilizing pricing models that use a variety of techniques, including market quotes and pricing analysis. See Item 3. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," Note 7 and Note 8 for additional information about the Company's commodity derivatives. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company had commodity derivative contracts for approximately 117% of its natural gas production and 107% of its oil production, which resulted in realized gains of approximately \$173 million. The results for 2012 also include a realized gain related to the recovery of a bankruptcy claim of approximately \$18 million (see Note 10). During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company had commodity derivative contracts for approximately 109% of its natural gas production and 105% of its oil production and recognized realized gains of approximately \$98 million. Unrealized gains and losses result from changes in market valuations of derivatives as future commodity price expectations change compared to the contract prices on the derivatives. If the expected future

commodity prices increase compared to the contract prices on the derivatives, unrealized losses are recognized; and if the expected future commodity prices decrease compared to the contract prices on the derivatives, unrealized gains are recognized. During the first two quarters of 2012, expected future oil prices decreased resulting in unrealized gains of approximately \$296 million, and natural gas prices increased resulting in unrealized losses of approximately \$46 million, for net unrealized gains on derivatives of approximately \$250 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. During the first two quarters of 2011, expected future oil and natural gas prices increased, which resulted in net unrealized losses on derivatives of approximately \$262 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. For information about the Company's credit risk related to derivative contracts, see "Counterparty Credit Risk" in "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Marketing and Other Revenues

Marketing and other revenues increased by approximately \$12 million or 240% to approximately \$17 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to the acquisition of the Jayhawk natural gas processing plant from BP in March 2012.

Expenses

Lease Operating Expenses

Lease operating expenses include expenses such as labor, field office, vehicle, supervision, maintenance, tools and supplies and workover expenses. Lease operating expenses increased by approximately \$40 million or 39% to approximately \$142 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$102 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Lease operating expenses increased primarily due to costs associated with properties acquired during 2011 and 2012 (see Note 2). Lease operating expenses per Mcfe decreased to \$1.42 per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$1.69 per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to lower rates on newly acquired properties.

Transportation Expenses

Transportation expenses increased by approximately \$20 million or 163% to approximately \$32 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$12 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to acquisitions in late 2011 and early 2012.

Marketing Expenses

Marketing expenses increased by approximately \$5 million or 286% to approximately \$7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to the acquisition of the Jayhawk natural gas processing plant from BP in March 2012.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses are costs not directly associated with field operations and reflect the costs of employees including executive officers, related benefits, office leases and professional fees. General and administrative expenses increased by approximately \$23 million or 36% to approximately \$85 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$62 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase in acquisition related expenses of approximately \$11 million and an increase in salaries and benefits related expenses of approximately \$9 million, driven primarily by increased employee headcount. General and administrative expenses per Mcfe decreased to \$0.84 per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$1.02 per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2011, due to higher production volumes.

Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization

Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased by approximately \$115 million or 79% to approximately \$261 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$146 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Higher total production volumes were the primary reason for the increased expense. Depreciation, depletion and amortization per Mcfe also increased to \$2.60 per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$2.40 per Mcfe for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to higher production volumes in operating areas with higher depletion rates.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a noncash impairment charge, before and after tax, of approximately \$146 million associated with proved oil and natural gas properties related to a decline in commodity prices. The Company recorded no impairment charge for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Taxes, Other Than Income Taxes

Taxes, other than income taxes, which consist primarily of severance and ad valorem taxes, increased by approximately \$20 million or 55% to approximately \$56 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$36 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Severance taxes, which are a function of revenues generated from production, increased approximately \$7 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to higher production volumes partially offset by lower commodity prices. Ad valorem taxes, which are based on the value of reserves and production equipment and vary by location, increased by approximately \$12 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to property acquisitions in 2011 and 2012 and higher rates on the Company's base properties.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Other Income and (Expenses)

| | Six Months Ended | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|------------|
| | June 30, | 2011 | Variance |
| | 2012 | | |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | \$— | \$(94,372 |) \$94,372 |
| Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized | (171,909 |) (125,825 |) (46,084 |
| Other, net | (11,225 |) (4,718 |) (6,507 |
| | \$ (183,134 |) \$(224,915 |) \$41,781 |

Other income and (expenses) decreased by approximately \$42 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense increased primarily due to higher outstanding debt during the period and higher amortization of financing fees and expenses associated with the May 2019 Senior Notes and the November 2019 Senior Notes, as defined in Note 6. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$94 million as a result of the redemptions of and cash tender offers for a portion of the Original Senior Notes, as defined in Note 6. See "Debt" in "Liquidity and Capital Resources" below for additional details.

Income Tax Expense

The Company is a limited liability company treated as a partnership for federal and state income tax purposes, with the exception of the state of Texas, with income tax liabilities and/or benefits of the Company passed through to unitholders. Limited liability companies are subject to Texas margin tax. Limited liability companies were also subject to state income taxes in Michigan during the six months ended June 30, 2011. In addition, certain of the Company's subsidiaries are Subchapter C-corporations subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company recognized income tax expense of approximately \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to approximately \$6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Income tax expense increased primarily due to higher income from the Company's taxable subsidiaries during the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011.

Net Income (Loss)

Net income increased by approximately \$441 million or 210% to approximately \$231 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from a net loss of approximately \$210 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher production revenues and higher gains on oil and natural gas derivatives, partially offset by higher expenses, including interest. See discussions above for explanations of variances.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure) increased by approximately \$147 million or 31% to approximately \$621 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$474 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher production revenues, partially offset by higher expenses. See discussions above for explanations of variances. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" on page 37 for a reconciliation of adjusted EBITDA to its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted Net Income

Adjusted net income (a non-GAAP financial measure) decreased by approximately \$36 million or 25% to approximately \$110 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from approximately \$146 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to higher expenses, including interest, partially offset by higher production revenues. See discussions above for explanations of variances.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company utilizes funds from equity and debt offerings, bank borrowings and cash flow from operations for capital resources and liquidity. To date, the primary use of capital has been for acquisitions and the development of oil and natural gas properties. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company's capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, were approximately \$557 million. For 2012, the Company estimates its total capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, will be approximately \$1.1 billion, including approximately \$1.05 billion related to the Company's oil and natural gas capital program. This estimate reflects amounts for the development of properties associated with acquisitions (see Note 2), is under continuous review and subject to ongoing adjustment. The Company expects to fund these capital expenditures primarily with cash flow from operations and bank borrowings.

As the Company pursues growth, it continually monitors the capital resources available to meet future financial obligations and planned capital expenditures. The Company's future success in growing reserves and production volumes will be highly dependent on the capital resources available and its success in drilling for or acquiring additional reserves. The Company actively reviews acquisition opportunities on an ongoing basis. If the Company were to make significant additional acquisitions for cash, it would need to borrow additional amounts under its Credit Facility, if available, or obtain additional debt or equity financing. The Company's Credit Facility and Indentures governing its November 2019 Senior Notes, May 2019 Senior Notes, 2010 Issued Senior Notes and Original Senior Notes impose certain restrictions on the Company's ability to obtain additional debt financing. Based upon current expectations, the Company believes liquidity and capital resources will be sufficient to conduct its business and operations.

Statements of Cash Flows

The following is a comparative cash flow summary:

| | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Variance |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Net cash: | | | |
| Provided by (used in) operating activities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (122,429) | \$ 303,762 | \$ (426,191) |
| Used in investing activities | (2,265,931) | (1,081,736) | (1,184,195) |
| Provided by financing activities | 2,389,129 | 611,741 | 1,777,388 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 769 | \$ (166,233) | \$ 167,002 |

⁽¹⁾ The six months ended June 30, 2012, include premiums paid for commodity derivatives of approximately \$583 million.

Operating Activities

Cash used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012, was approximately \$122 million, compared to cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$304 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to approximately \$583 million in premiums paid for commodity derivatives during the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to no premiums paid during the same period in 2011. Higher premiums and higher expenses were partially offset by increased revenues primarily due to higher production volumes.

Premiums paid during the six months ended June 30, 2012, were for commodity derivative contracts that hedge future production. These derivative contracts provide the Company long-term cash flow predictability to manage its business, service debt and pay distributions and are primarily funded through the Company's Credit Facility. The

amount of derivative contracts the Company enters into in the future will be directly related to expected future production. See Note 7 and Note 8 for additional details about the Company's commodity derivatives.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Investing Activities

The following provides a comparative summary of cash flow from investing activities:

| | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | |
| Acquisition of oil and natural gas properties | \$(1,762,933) | \$(847,780) |
| Capital expenditures | (503,573) | (244,546) |
| Proceeds from sale of properties and equipment and other | 575 | 10,590 |
| | \$(2,265,931) | \$(1,081,736) |

The primary use of cash in investing activities is for capital spending, including acquisitions and the development of the Company's oil and natural gas properties. Cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012, primarily relates to the acquisitions of properties in the Hugoton Basin, Williston/Powder River Basin and East Texas regions. See Note 2 for additional details of acquisitions.

Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012, was approximately \$2.4 billion, compared to approximately \$612 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in financing cash flow needs was primarily attributable to increased acquisitions and development activity during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The following provides a comparative summary of proceeds from borrowings and repayments of debt:

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Proceeds from borrowings: | | |
| Credit facility | \$2,155,000 | \$615,000 |
| Senior notes | 1,799,802 | 744,240 |
| | \$3,954,802 | \$1,359,240 |
| Repayments of debt: | | |
| Credit facility | \$(1,945,000) | \$(615,000) |
| Senior notes | — | (449,679) |
| | \$(1,945,000) | \$(1,064,679) |

Debt

The Company's Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ("Credit Facility") provides for a revolving credit facility up to the lesser of: (i) the then-effective borrowing base and (ii) the maximum commitment amount. In October 2011, as part of the semi-annual redetermination, a borrowing base of \$3.0 billion was approved by the lenders with a maximum commitment amount of \$1.5 billion. In February 2012, lenders approved an increase in the maximum commitment amount to \$2.0 billion. In May 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to its Credit Facility to increase the borrowing base to \$3.5 billion and extend the maturity date from April 2016 to April 2017. At June 30, 2012, available borrowing capacity was approximately \$646 million, which includes a \$4 million reduction in availability for outstanding letters of credit and a \$200 million reduction in availability related to a restriction on swap agreements outstanding associated with the pending acquisition (see Note 2). The \$200 million reduction in availability under the Credit Facility will no longer apply once the pending acquisition has closed.

In July 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to its Credit Facility to increase the maximum commitment amount from \$2.0 billion to \$3.0 billion.

On March 2, 2012, the Company issued \$1.8 billion in aggregate principal amount of 6.25% senior notes due November 2019 (see Note 6) and used the net proceeds of approximately \$1.77 billion to fund the Hugoton acquisition (see Note 2). The remaining proceeds were used to repay indebtedness under the Company's Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

The Company depends, in part, on its Credit Facility for future capital needs. In addition, the Company has drawn on the Credit Facility to fund or partially fund quarterly cash distribution payments, since it uses operating cash flow primarily for investing activities and borrows as cash is needed. Absent such borrowings, the Company would have at times experienced a shortfall in cash available to pay the declared quarterly cash distribution amount. If an event of default occurs and is continuing under the Credit Facility, the Company would be unable to make borrowings to fund distributions. For additional information about this matter and other risk factors that could affect the Company, see Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Company accounts for its commodity derivatives at fair value. The Company's counterparties are current participants or affiliates of participants in its Credit Facility or were participants or affiliates of participants in its Credit Facility at the time it originally entered into the derivatives. The Credit Facility is secured by the Company's oil, natural gas and NGL reserves; therefore, the Company is not required to post any collateral. The Company does not receive collateral from its counterparties. The Company minimizes the credit risk in derivative instruments by: (i) limiting its exposure to any single counterparty; (ii) entering into derivative instruments only with counterparties that meet the Company's minimum credit quality standard, or have a guarantee from an affiliate that meets the Company's minimum credit quality standard; and (iii) monitoring the creditworthiness of the Company's counterparties on an ongoing basis. In accordance with the Company's standard practice, its commodity derivatives are subject to counterparty netting under agreements governing such derivatives and therefore the risk of loss due to counterparty nonperformance is somewhat mitigated.

Equity Distribution Agreement

In August 2011, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement, pursuant to which it may from time to time issue and sell units representing limited liability company interests having an aggregate offering price of up to \$500 million. Sales of units, if any, will be made through a sales agent by means of ordinary brokers' transactions, in block transactions, or as otherwise agreed with the agent. The Company expects to use the net proceeds from any sale of the units for general corporate purposes, which may include, among other things, capital expenditures, acquisitions and the repayment of debt.

In January 2012, the Company, under its equity distribution agreement, issued and sold 1,539,651 units representing limited liability company interests at an average unit price of \$38.02 for proceeds of approximately \$57 million (net of approximately \$2 million in commissions and professional service expenses). The Company used the net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of a portion of the indebtedness outstanding under its Credit Facility. At June 30, 2012, units equaling approximately \$411 million in aggregate offering price remained available to be issued and sold under the agreement.

Public Offering of Units

In January 2012, the Company sold 19,550,000 units representing limited liability company interests at \$35.95 per unit (\$34.512 per unit, net of underwriting discount) for net proceeds of approximately \$674 million (after underwriting discount and offering expenses of approximately \$28 million). The Company used the net proceeds from the sale of these units to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under its Credit Facility.

Distributions

Edgar Filing: LINN ENERGY, LLC - Form 10-Q

Under the Company's limited liability company agreement, the Company's unitholders are entitled to receive a quarterly distribution of available cash to the extent there is sufficient cash from operations after establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses. The following provides a summary of distributions paid by the Company during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

| Date Paid | Period Covered by Distribution | Distribution Per Unit | Total Distribution (in millions) |
|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| May 2012 | January 1 – March 31, 2012 | \$0.725 | \$144 |
| February 2012 | October 1 – December 31, 2011 | \$0.69 | \$138 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

On April 24, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors approved an increase in the quarterly cash distribution from \$0.69 per unit to \$0.725 per unit with respect to the first quarter of 2012, representing an increase of 5%. On July 24, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors declared a cash distribution of \$0.725 per unit, or \$2.90 per unit on an annualized basis, with respect to the second quarter of 2012. The distribution, totaling approximately \$145 million, will be paid on August 14, 2012, to unitholders of record as of the close of business on August 7, 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contingencies

The Company has been named as a defendant in a number of lawsuits, including claims from royalty owners related to disputed royalty payments and royalty valuations. The Company has established reserves that management currently believes are adequate to provide for potential liabilities based upon its evaluation of these matters. For a certain statewide class action royalty payment dispute where a reserve has not yet been established, the Company has denied that it has any liability on the claims and has raised arguments and defenses that, if accepted by the court, will result in no loss to the Company. Discovery related to class certification has concluded. Briefing and the hearing on class certification have been deferred by court order pending the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals' resolution of interlocutory appeals of two unrelated class certification orders. As a result, the Company is unable to estimate a possible loss, or range of possible loss, if any. In addition, the Company is involved in various other disputes arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company is not currently a party to any litigation or pending claims that it believes would have a material adverse effect on its overall business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity; however, cash flow could be significantly impacted in the reporting periods in which such matters are resolved.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, and June 30, 2011, the Company made no significant payments to settle any legal, environmental or tax proceedings. The Company regularly analyzes current information and accrues for probable liabilities on the disposition of certain matters as necessary. Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation or other sources are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

Commitments and Contractual Obligations

The Company has contractual obligations for long-term debt, operating leases and other long-term liabilities that were summarized in the table of contractual obligations in the 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. With the exception of the: (i) issuance of \$1.8 billion in aggregate principal amount of 6.25% senior notes due November 2019; (ii) the \$400 million Anadarko future funding commitment; and (iii) an amendment to the Company's Credit Facility that extended the maturity date from April 2016 to April 2017, there have been no significant changes to the Company's contractual obligations from December 31, 2011. See Note 6 for additional information about the Company's debt instruments.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The non-GAAP financial measures of adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income, as defined by the Company, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Therefore, these non-GAAP measures should be considered in conjunction with net income and other performance measures prepared in accordance with GAAP, such as operating income or cash flow from operating activities. Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted net income should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for GAAP measures, such as net income, operating income or any other GAAP measure of liquidity or financial performance.

Adjusted EBITDA (Non-GAAP Measure)

Adjusted EBITDA is a measure used by Company management to indicate (prior to the establishment of any reserves by its Board of Directors) the cash distributions the Company expects to make to its unitholders. Adjusted EBITDA is also a quantitative measure used throughout the investment community with respect to publicly-traded partnerships and limited liability companies.

The Company defines adjusted EBITDA as net income (loss) plus the following adjustments:

Net operating cash flow from acquisitions and divestitures, effective date through closing date;

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

Interest expense;
 Depreciation, depletion and amortization;
 Impairment of long-lived assets;
 Write-off of deferred financing fees;
 (Gains) losses on sale of assets and other, net;
 Provision for legal matters;
 Loss on extinguishment of debt;
 Unrealized (gains) losses on commodity derivatives;
 Unrealized (gains) losses on interest rate derivatives;
 Realized (gains) losses on interest rate derivatives;
 Realized (gains) losses on canceled derivatives;
 Realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim;
 Unit-based compensation expenses;
 Exploration costs; and
 Income tax (benefit) expense.

The following presents a reconciliation of net income (loss) to adjusted EBITDA:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | 2011 | June 30, | 2011 |
| | 2012 | | 2012 | |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$237,086 | \$237,109 | \$230,884 | \$(209,573) |
| Plus: | | | | |
| Net operating cash flow from acquisitions and divestitures, effective date through closing date | 6,034 | 29,308 | 45,127 | 36,359 |
| Interest expense, cash | 86,773 | 61,591 | 129,652 | 125,181 |
| Interest expense, noncash | 7,617 | 770 | 42,257 | 644 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 143,506 | 79,345 | 260,782 | 145,711 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | 146,499 | — | 146,499 | — |
| Write-off of deferred financing fees | 6,229 | 1,189 | 7,889 | 1,189 |
| (Gains) losses on sale of assets and other, net | (444) | (93) | 991 | (916) |
| Provision for legal matters | 160 | 248 | 795 | 740 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | 9,810 | — | 94,372 |
| Unrealized (gains) losses on commodity derivatives | (303,630) | (163,434) | (250,406) | 261,851 |
| Realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim | (18,277) | — | (18,277) | — |
| Unit-based compensation expenses | 6,663 | 5,543 | 14,834 | 11,181 |
| Exploration costs | 407 | 550 | 817 | 995 |
| Income tax expense | 512 | 1,670 | 9,430 | 5,868 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$319,135 | \$263,606 | \$621,274 | \$473,602 |

Adjusted Net Income (Non-GAAP Measure)

Adjusted net income is a performance measure used by Company management to evaluate its operational performance from oil and natural gas properties, prior to unrealized (gains) losses on derivatives, realized (gains) losses on canceled derivatives, realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim, impairment of long-lived assets, loss on extinguishment of debt and (gains) losses on sale of assets, net.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

The following presents a reconciliation of net income (loss) to adjusted net income:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|---|------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (in thousands, except per unit amounts) | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$237,086 | \$237,109 | \$230,884 | \$(209,573) |
| Plus: | | | | |
| Unrealized (gains) losses on commodity derivatives | (303,630) | (163,434) | (250,406) | 261,851 |
| Realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim | (18,277) | — | (18,277) | — |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | 146,499 | — | 146,499 | — |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | 9,810 | — | 94,372 |
| (Gains) losses on sale of assets, net | (479) | (128) | 921 | (986) |
| Adjusted net income | \$61,199 | \$83,357 | \$109,621 | \$145,664 |
| Net income (loss) per unit – basic | \$1.19 | \$1.34 | \$1.17 | \$(1.25) |
| Plus, per unit: | | | | |
| Unrealized (gains) losses on commodity derivatives | (1.52) | (0.93) | (1.26) | 1.56 |
| Realized gain on recovery of bankruptcy claim | (0.09) | — | (0.09) | — |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | 0.73 | — | 0.74 | — |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | 0.06 | — | 0.56 |
| (Gains) losses on sale of assets, net | — | — | — | (0.01) |
| Adjusted net income per unit – basic | \$0.31 | \$0.47 | \$0.56 | \$0.86 |

Regulatory Matters

On April 17, 2012, the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) issued final rules that subject oil and natural gas production, processing, transmission and storage operations to regulation under the New Source Performance Standards (“NSPS”) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (“NESHAP”) programs. The EPA rules include NSPS standards for completions of hydraulically fractured natural gas wells. Before January 1, 2015, these standards require owners/operators to reduce VOC emissions from natural gas not sent to the gathering line during well completion either by flaring using a completion combustion device or by capturing the natural gas using green completions with a completion combustion device. Beginning January 1, 2015, operators must capture the natural gas and make it available for use or sale, which can be done through the use of green completions. The standards are applicable to newly fractured wells and also existing wells that are refractured. Further, the finalized regulations also establish specific new requirements, effective in 2012, for emissions from compressors, controllers, dehydrators, storage tanks, natural gas processing plants and certain other equipment. These rules may require changes to the Company's operations, including the installation of new equipment to control emissions. The Company is currently evaluating the effect these rules will have on its business.

The Company cannot predict how future environmental laws and regulations may impact its properties or operations. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company did not incur any material capital expenditures for installation of remediation or pollution control equipment at any of its facilities. The Company is not aware of any environmental issues or claims that will require material capital expenditures during 2012 or that will otherwise have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations is based upon the condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Certain accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties to such an extent that there is reasonable likelihood that materially different amounts could have been reported under different conditions, or if different assumptions had been used. The Company evaluates its estimates and assumptions on a regular basis. The Company bases estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Continued

values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

For a discussion of recently issued accounting standards, see Note 1 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Cautionary Statement

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the Company's control. These statements may include content about the Company's:

- business strategy;
- acquisition strategy;
- financial strategy;
- drilling locations;
- oil, natural gas and NGL reserves;
- realized oil, natural gas and NGL prices;
- production volumes;
- lease operating expenses, general and administrative expenses and development costs;
- future operating results; and
- plans, objectives, expectations and intentions.

All of these types of statements, other than statements of historical fact included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements may be found in Item 2. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "may," "will," "could," "should," "expect," "plan," "project," "intend," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "pursue," "target," "continue," the negative of such other comparable terminology.

The forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are largely based on Company expectations, which reflect estimates and assumptions made by Company management. These estimates and assumptions reflect management's best judgment based on currently known market conditions and other factors. Although the Company believes such estimates and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently uncertain and involve a number of risks and uncertainties beyond its control. In addition, management's assumptions may prove to be inaccurate. The Company cautions that the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are not guarantees of future performance, and it cannot assure any reader that such statements will be realized or the forward-looking statements or events will occur. Actual results may differ materially from those anticipated or implied in forward-looking statements due to factors set forth in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and elsewhere in the Annual Report. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and, other than as required by law, the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The primary objective of the following information is to provide forward-looking quantitative and qualitative information about potential exposure to market risks. The term “market risk” refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in commodity prices and interest rates. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses, but rather indicators of reasonably possible losses. This forward-looking information provides indicators of how the Company views and manages its ongoing market risk exposures. All of the Company’s market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for purposes other than trading.

The following should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. A reference to a “Note” herein refers to the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1. “Financial Statements.”

Commodity Price Risk

The Company enters into derivative contracts with respect to a portion of its projected production through various transactions that provide an economic hedge of the risk related to the future commodity prices received. The Company does not enter into derivative contracts for trading purposes (see Note 7). At June 30, 2012, the fair value of fixed price swaps and put contracts that settle during the next 12 months was a net asset of approximately \$469 million. A 10% increase in the index oil and natural gas prices above the June 30, 2012, prices for the next 12 months would result in a net asset of approximately \$310 million, which represents a decrease in the fair value of approximately \$159 million; conversely, a 10% decrease in the index oil and natural gas prices would result in a net asset of approximately \$634 million, which represents an increase in the fair value of approximately \$165 million.

Interest Rate Risk

At June 30, 2012, the Company had long-term debt outstanding under its Credit Facility of approximately \$1.2 billion, which incurred interest at floating rates (see Note 6). A 1% increase in the London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) would result in an estimated \$12 million increase in annual interest expense.

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Company accounts for its commodity derivatives at fair value on a recurring basis (see Note 8). The fair value of these derivative financial instruments includes the impact of assumed credit risk adjustments, which are based on the Company’s and counterparties’ published credit ratings, public bond yield spreads and credit default swap spreads, as applicable.

At June 30, 2012, the average public bond yield spread utilized to estimate the impact of the Company’s credit risk on derivative liabilities was approximately 2.96%. A 1% increase in the average public bond yield spread would result in an estimated \$10,000 increase in net income for the six months ended June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2012, the credit default swap spreads utilized to estimate the impact of counterparties’ credit risk on derivative assets ranged between 0% and 4.72%. A 1% increase in each of the counterparties’ credit default swap spreads would result in an estimated \$21 million decrease in net income for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and the Company's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

The Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2012.

Changes in the Company's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. The Company's internal controls were designed to provide reasonable assurance as to the reliability of its financial reporting and the preparation and presentation of the condensed consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not detect or prevent misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

There were no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the second quarter of 2012 that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II - Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of general legal proceedings, see Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business has many risks. Factors that could materially adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations, liquidity or the trading price of our units are described in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. As of the date of this report, these risk factors have not changed materially. This information should be considered carefully, together with other information in this report and other reports and materials we file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

In October 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized the repurchase of up to \$100 million of the Company's outstanding units from time to time on the open market or in negotiated purchases. The repurchase plan does not obligate the Company to acquire any specific number of units and may be discontinued at any time. The Company did not repurchase any units during the six months ended June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2012, approximately \$56 million was available for unit repurchase under the program.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information

On July 25, 2012, the Company entered into a Third Amendment to the Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement among the Company, as borrower, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (as successor to BNP Paribas), as administrative agent, and the lenders and agents party thereto (the "Credit Facility"). The Third Amendment amends the Credit Facility to among other things: (i) increase the maximum commitment amount to \$3.0 billion and (ii) amend the applicable covenants to reduce certain restrictions on the Company's hedging arrangements.

The foregoing description of the Third Amendment does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Third Amendment, a copy of which is filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as Exhibit 10.2.

Linn Co, LLC ("LinnCo"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Linn Energy, LLC ("LINN Energy"), has filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC relating to its proposed initial public offering of common shares. LinnCo has elected to be taxed as a corporation, and accordingly, its shareholders will receive a Form 1099 in respect of any dividends paid by LinnCo. The net proceeds from the offering will be used to acquire a number of LINN Energy units equal to the number of LinnCo shares sold in the offering. LinnCo will have no assets or operations other than those related to its ownership of LINN Energy units. LINN Energy expects to use the proceeds it receives from the sale of

its units to LinnCo for general corporate purposes, including financing its acquisition strategy, repaying debt and paying the expenses of the offering. Application will be made to list the common shares of LinnCo on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, under the symbol “LNCO.” LINN Energy has filed a “no-action” letter request with the SEC seeking confirmation that LinnCo will not be required to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and the offering is conditional, among other things, on the receipt of such letter.

The Company is a limited liability company and its units representing limited liability company interests (“units”) are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. The SEC’s taxonomy for interactive data reporting does not contain tags that include the term “units” for all existing equity accounts; therefore, in certain instances, the Company has used tags that refer to “shares” or “stock” rather than “units” in its interactive data exhibit. These tags were selected to enhance comparability between the Company and its peers and it should not be inferred from the usage of these tags that an investment in the Company is in any form other than “units” as described above. The Company’s interactive data files are included as Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 2.1*† | — Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2012, between BP America Production Company and Linn Energy Holdings, LLC |
| 10.1 | — Second Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated May 10, 2012, among Linn Energy, LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and the other agents and lenders party thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 15, 2012) |
| 10.2* | — Third Amendment to Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated July 25, 2012, among Linn Energy, LLC, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and the other agents and lenders party thereto |
| 31.1* | — Section 302 Certification of Mark E. Ellis, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Linn Energy, LLC |
| 31.2* | — Section 302 Certification of Kolja Rockov, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Linn Energy, LLC |
| 32.1* | — Section 906 Certification of Mark E. Ellis, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Linn Energy, LLC |
| 32.2* | — Section 906 Certification of Kolja Rockov, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Linn Energy, LLC |
| 101.INS** | — XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE** | — XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

*Filed herewith.

**Furnished herewith.

The schedules to this agreement have been omitted from this filing pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The Company will furnish copies of such schedules to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LINN ENERGY, LLC
(Registrant)

Date: July 26, 2012

/s/ David B. Rottino
David B. Rottino
Senior Vice President of Finance, Business Development
and Chief Accounting Officer
(As Duly Authorized Officer and Chief Accounting
Officer)