JPMORGAN CHASE & CO Form DEFA14A May 02, 2019

No fee required.

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934					
	(Amendment No)				
Filed by the Registrant	Filed by a Party other than the Registrant				
Check the appropriate box:					
Preliminary Proxy Statement					
Confidential, for Use of the C	Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))				
Definitive Proxy Statement					
Definitive Additional Materials	o.				
Definitive Additional Materials	S				
Soliciting Material Pursuant to	240.14a-12 JPMorgan Chase & Co.				
(No.	me of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)				
	•				
	n(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)				
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the app	ropriate box):				

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:
(2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (s forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:
(5) Total fee paid:
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number
for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. (1) Amount Previously Paid:
for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. (1) Amount Previously Paid: (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

2019 Proxy Supplemental Materials

Annual meeting overview Directions

The entrance to the building indicated by the **STAR** Please note: the 10 South Dearborn Street entrance is closed due to construction Map and directions Logistics 1 Date: Tuesday, May 21, 2019 Time: 10:00 a.m. Central Time Place: Chase Tower 21 South Clark Street, 56th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Audiocast: www.jpmorganchase.com/events-presentations Phone: (866) 541-2724 in the U.S. and Canada (706) 634-7246 for international Attending in person: You will be required to present a valid form of government-issued photo identification, such as a driver s license or passport, and proof of ownership of our common stock as of our record date on March 22, 2019. For more details, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 92-97

Matters to be voted on Election of Directors

Advisory resolution to approve executive compensation

Ratification of independent registered public accounting firm Gender pay equity report Enhance shareholder proxy access Cumulative voting The Board of Directors recommends you vote **FOR** each director nominee and **FOR** the following proposals: The Board of Directors recommends you vote **AGAINST** each of the following proposals: Management proposals Shareholder proposals



2018 key highlights strong performance continues to support shareholder value Record net income of \$32.5 BILLION Record EPS of \$9.00 ROE of 13% ROTCE1 of 17% Book value per share (BVPS) of \$70.35 Tangible book value per share (TBVPS)1 of \$56.33 Distributed \$28.5 BILLION to shareholders² For additional information and footnotes, please see page 18 Total Shareholder Return ("TSR")3

investment in JPM at the end of 2008 would be valued at \$388 at the end of 2018

\$100

3



strong
ROE
and
ROTCE
4
For additional information and footnotes, please see page 18
Delivered
sustained
growth
in
EPS,
BVPS
and
TBVPS
1
1



Evaluates the CEO s performance and provides talent management for other senior executives

Oversees the Firm s financial performance and condition

Oversees the Firm s risk management and internal control frameworks

Oversees the Firm s approach to environmental, social and governance (ESG) matters

Sets the cultural tone at the top

The Firm s Corporate Governance Principles require

the independent directors to appoint a Lead Independent Director if the role of

the Chairman is combined with that of the CEO

The Board reviews its leadership structure annually as part of its self-assessment process

Responsibilities of the Lead Independent Director include:

acts as liaison between independent directors and the CEO

acts as a sounding board to the CEO

provides advice and guidance to the CEO on executing long-term strategy

advises the CEO of the Board s information needs

meets one-on-one with the CEO following executive sessions of independent directors

has the authority to call for a Board meeting or a meeting of independent directors

approves agendas and adds agenda items for Board meetings and meetings of independent directors

presides over executive sessions of independent directors

engages and consults with major shareholders and other constituencies, where appropriate

guides annual performance review of the CEO

guides the annual independent director consideration of CEO compensation

guides full Board consideration of CEO succession

guides the self-assessment of the full Board

presides at Board meetings in the CEO s absence or when otherwise appropriate

Our Board provides independent oversight of the Firm s business and affairs

A strong Lead Independent Director role facilitates independent board oversight of management 5



performance, strategy, competitive environment, regulatory landscape, and ESG matters In 2018, our shareholder engagement initiatives included: Shareholder Outreach: Hosted more than 60 discussions on strategy, financial performance, governance, executive compensation, and environmental and social matters, among others, with shareholders representing >45% of our outstanding common stock Annual Investor Day: Senior management gave presentations at our annual Investor Day on the Firm s strategy and financial performance Meetings/Conferences: Senior management hosted more than 50 investor meetings and presented 12 investor conferences Annual Shareholder Meeting: Our CEO and Lead Independent Director presented to shareholders at the Firm s 2018 annual meeting We actively engage with shareholders Annual Board and committee assessment Robust shareholder rights: proxy access right to call a special meeting right to act by written consent Majority voting for all director elections Stock ownership requirements for directors

100% committee independence

Executive sessions of independent directors at each regular Board meeting Our governance practices promote Board effectiveness and shareholder interests

Agenda Page

Management proposals Shareholder proposals

Proposal #1: Election of Directors The Board recommends you vote FOR

each director nominee For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 8-37 For additional information and footnotes, please see page 18 The Board of Directors has nominated the 11 individuals listed below: 10 independent directors and the CEO **COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP** Linda B. Bammann 63 0 Directors Risk Policy (Chair) Director since 2013 James A. Bell 70 Retired Executive Vice President of The Boeing Company Audit (Chair) Director since 2011 Stephen B. Burke 60 Chief Executive Officer of NBCUniversal, LLC Compensation & Management Development; Director since 2004 Corporate Governance & Nominating Todd A. Combs Investment Officer at Berkshire Hathaway Inc. Directors Risk Policy; Director since 2016 Public Responsibility James S. Crown 65 Directors Risk Policy Director since 2004 James Dimon 63 Director since 2004 Timothy P. Flynn

62

Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of KPMG 3 Audit; Director since 2012 Public Responsibility (Chair) Mellody Hobson President of Ariel Investments, LLC 1 Audit; Director since 2018 Public Responsibility Laban P. Jackson, Jr. 76 0 Audit Director since 2004 Michael A. Neal 66 0 Directors Risk Policy Director since 2014 Lee R. Raymond 80 0 Compensation & Management Development (Chair); (Lead Independent Director) Corporate Governance & Nominating Director since 2001 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Clear Creek Properties, Inc. Retired Vice Chairman of General Electric Company and Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of GE Capital Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Exxon Mobil Corporation OTHER PUBLIC COMPANY BOARDS (#) Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Henry Crown and Company NOMINEE/DIRECTOR OF JPMORGAN CHASE SINCE 1 Age PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION Retired Deputy Head of Risk Management of JPMorgan Chase & Co.3 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of JPMorgan Chase & Co. 2

All our nominees possess:

Integrity Judgment Strong work ethic Strength of conviction Collaborative approach to engagement and oversight Inquisitiveness Objective perspective Willingness to appropriately challenge management 11 10 7 9 9 11 7 11 11 Proposal #1: Election of Directors (cont d) The Board recommends you vote FOR each director nominee Profile of nominees (excluding our CEO) Personal and professional attributes and skills of the nominees For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 8-37 **RISK MANAGEMENT AND CONTROLS** Skills and experience in assessment and management of business and financial risk factors allow the Board to effectively oversee risk management and understand the most significant risks facing the Firm **FINANCIAL AND**

ACCOUNTING

Knowledge

of

or

experience

in

accounting, financial reporting or auditing processes and standards is important to effectively oversee the Firm s financial position and condition and the accurate reporting thereof and to assess the Firm s strategic objectives from a financial perspective

FINANCIAL

SERVICES

Experience in or with the financial services

industry, including investment banking, global financial markets or consumer products and services, allows Board members to evaluate the Firm s business model, strategies and the industry in which we compete

INTERNATIONAL

BUSINESS

OPERATIONS

Experience in

diverse geographic, political and regulatory environments is important because the Firm serves customers and clients across the globe LEADERSHIP

OF

A

LARGE,

COMPLEX

ORGANIZATION

Executive experience managing business operations and strategic planning allows Board members to effectively oversee the Firm s complex worldwide operations

MANAGEMENT

DEVELOPMENT

AND

SUCCESSION

PLANNING

Experience in senior executive development, succession planning and compensation matters allows the Board to effectively oversee the Firm s efforts to recruit, retain and develop key talent and provide valuable insight in determining compensation of the CEO and other executive officers

PUBLIC

COMPANY

GOVERNANCE

Knowledge of public company governance matters, policies and best practices assists the Board in considering and adopting applicable corporate governance practices, interacting with stakeholders most interested in these issues and understanding the impact of various policies on the Firm s functions TECHNOLOGY

Experience with or oversight of innovative technology, cybersecurity, information systems/data management, fintech or privacy is important in overseeing the security of the Firm s operations, assets and systems as well as the Firm s ongoing investment in and development of innovative technology REGULATED INDUSTRIES AND REGULATORY ISSUES

Experience with regulated businesses, regulatory requirements and relationships with global regulators is important because the Firm operates in a heavily regulated industry 8



Proposal #2: Advisory resolution to approve executive compensation The Board recommends you vote FOR

the executive compensation program Mr. Pinto, who is based in the U.K., received a fixed allowance of \$7,635,000 paid in British pound sterling and a salary of £4 1. Strong performance We continued to deliver strong multi-year financial performance, invest in our future, strengthen our risk and control environment, reinforce the importance of our culture and values, deliver on our long-standing commitment to serve our customers, employees and communities, and conduct business in responsible

way to

drive

inclusive

growth.

2. Disciplined performance assessment to determine pay

The CMDC uses a balanced approach to determine annual compensation by assessing performance against four broad perform A material portion of Operating Committee compensation is delivered in the form of at-risk performance share units (PSUs shareholder interests by linking the ultimate payout to pre-established absolute and relative goals.

3. Sound pay practices

We believe our compensation philosophy promotes an equitable and well-governed approach to compensation, including paytop talent, are responsive to and aligned with shareholders, and encourage a shared success culture in support of our business p

4. Pay is aligned with performance

CEO pay is strongly aligned to the Firm s short-, medium-

and long-term performance, with approximately 83% of the CEO s variable pay deferred into equity, of which 100% is in at-risk PSUs. Other NEO pay is also strongly aligned to Firm and Line-of-Business (LOB) performance, with a majority 50% is in at-risk PSUs.

5. Rigorous accountability and recovery provisions

Our executive compensation program is designed to hold executives accountable, when appropriate, for meaningful actions or performance or the Firm s reputation in current or future years.

In response to last year $\,$ s 93% Say-on-Pay support and positive shareholder feedback, the Compensation & Management Deve (CMDC) maintained the key features of our compensation program.

We believe shareholders should consider five key factors in their evaluation of this year s proposal:

For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 38-77

2018 NEO compensation

The table above differs from how compensation is reported in the Summary Compensation Table on page 65 of the 2019 Proxy Statement for more information.

Name and principal position

INCENTIVE COMPENSATION

Salary

Cash

Restricted

stock units

Performance

share units

Total

James Dimon,

Chairman and CEO

\$ 1,500,000

\$ 5,000,000

\$

\$ 24,500,000

\$ 31,000,000

Daniel

Pinto

1

.

Co-President

and

Co-COO;

CEO

Corporate

& Investment Bank 8,276,026 6,861,987 6,861,987 22,000,000 Gordon Smith, Co-President and Co-COO; CEO Consumer & Community Banking 750,000 8,500,000 6,375,000 6,375,000 22,000,000 Mary Callahan Erdoes, **CEO** Asset & Wealth Management 750,000 7,900,000 5,925,000 5,925,000 20,500,000 Marianne Lake , Chief Financial Officer 750,000 5,700,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 15,000,000 On April 17, 2019, JPMorgan Chase announced that Marianne Lake would become Chief Executive Officer of Consumer Lene Gordon Smith, and would remain member of the Firm s Operating

Committee.

JPMorgan		
Chase		
also		
announced		
that		
Jennifer		
A.		
Piepszak		
would		
become		
Chief		

Officer of

Financial

the

Firm

1

and

a

member of the Firm s Operating Committee reporting to Jamie Dimon. All changes became effective May 1, 2019. For further the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on April 17, 2019.

2

Continued to invest significant time and effort on diversity and inclusion best practices Increased hourly wages 10% on average for 22,000 employees and lowered medical plan deductibles by \$750 for employees making less than \$60,000 Shareholder-aligned compensation philosophy Strong stock ownership guidelines and retention requirements Responsible use of equity for employee compensation No special executive benefits/severance or golden parachutes Client/Customer/Stakeholder Focus Examples of external recognition 3 we received in 2018 include: CCB: #1 in overall customer satisfaction among national banks CIB: #1 in global Markets revenue and Investment Banking fees CB: #1 multifamily lender AWM: ETF Issuer of the Year Continued to make significant investments enhancing customer and client experience through new and expanded digital capabilities Strong performance Risk, Controls, and Conduct Continued to embed conduct risk in our risk management processes

Continued to invest in our cybersecurity capabilities

Formula PSUs link ultimate payout to pre-established absolute and relative ROTCE goals Disciplined performance assessment to determine pay 2 Sound pay practices **Balanced Discretion** Variable pay award levels based on four broad categories: **Business Results** Risk, Controls & Conduct For footnoted information please see page 18 Client/Customer/Stakeholder Focus Teamwork & Leadership \$32.5B Net Income \$9.00 **EPS** 13% ROE 17% ROTCE \$28.5B Net capital Distributions The Board awarded Mr. Dimon million of total compensation for 2018, an increase of \$1.5 million from 2017 The Board considered the Firm s consistently strong multi-year performance under Mr. Dimon s stewardship Pay is aligned with performance Rigorous accountability and recovery provisions Robust risk, controls and conduct review process can impact compensation pools and individual pay Strong cancellation and clawback provisions cover both cash and equity awards **TRIGGER** 5 **VESTED UNVESTED** Restatement Misconduct Risk-related Protection

based

Shareholder Feedback In response to our 93% Say-on-Pay support and positive shareholder feedback, the CMDC maintained the key features of our compensation program 2018 Update Calibrated the Absolute ROTCE goal for the 2018 PSU award to 18% based on current forecast of future performance Proposal #2: Advisory resolution to approve executive compensation (cont d) The Board recommends you vote FOR the executive compensation program Summary of factors for shareholder consideration For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 38-77 10 \$ 1.5M Salary Has not changed from 2017 Has not changed from 2017 \$ 5M Cash Incentive \$1.5M from 2017 ~95% of pay is at-risk 3% -5% 38% -39% 29% 29% Salary \$ **24.5M PSUs** Cash Incentive **PSUs** Restricted Stock Units (RSUs) ~95 -97% of pay is at-risk Total Compensation \$31M Total Compensation \$15M-\$22M Mr. Dimon Other NEOs



Vehicle

Value of units moves with stock price during performance period; units are settled in shares at vesting Time Horizon

3-year cliff vesting, plus an additional 2-year holding period (for a combined 5-year holding period)

Performance

Measure

The

CMDC

selected

ROTCE

1

,

a

fundamental

performance

metric,

which

measures

the

Firm s

net

income

applicable

to

common

equity

as

a

percentage

of

average

tangible

common

equity.

ROTCE

is

meaningful

to

the

Firm,

as

well

as

investors

and

analysts,

in

assessing

the

earnings

power of common shareholders equity capital and is a useful metric for comparing the profitability of the Firm with that of competitors. Payout Grid Payout under the PSU plan is calculated annually over the three-year performance period based on absolute and relative ROTO the formulaic payout grid below. Absolute and relative performance metrics help promote a reasonable outcome for both shareholders and participants. Annual payout calculations prevent excessive weightings attributable to a single year within the year performance period. For the 2018 PSU award, the CMDC set the maximum payout at an ROTCE level of 18% (or greater compared to 14% in 2015 and 2016 and 17% in 2017. Minimum Riskbased Hurdle If the Firm s common equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital ratio 2 is less than 7.5% at any year-end, then unvested **PSUs** referencing that

performance year will be subject to downward adjustment by the CMDC. This feature was first introduced with the 2017 PSU PSU

Performance

Companies

In determining companies to include in the relative ROTCE scale, the CMDC selected competitors with business activities that with at least 30% of the Firm s revenue mix. These are unchanged from prior years and include Bank of America, Barclays, Cone Financial, Citigroup, Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, Goldman Sachs, HSBC, Morgan Stanley, UBS and Wells Fargo.

Narrow

Adjustment

Provision

The CMDC may make adjustments (up or down) to maintain the intended economics of the award in light of changed circums (e.g., change in accounting rules/policies or changes in capital structure). The CMDC may also make additional downward adjustments in relation to Mr. Pinto s PSUs (see note 1 on page 52 of the 2019 Proxy Statement).

Proposal #2: Advisory resolution to approve executive compensation (cont d)

The Board recommends you vote FOR

the executive compensation program

1

ROTCE is calculated for each year in the performance period using unadjusted reported data as set forth in public financial dis

The CET1 ratio is a key regulatory capital measure; for further explanation, see page 101 of the 2019 Proxy Statement.

Performance Share Unit (PSU) program overview

For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 38-77

11

Payout by relative

ROTCE Scale



the executive compensation program

Determining absolute and relative PSU performance goals

Each year the CMDC sets the absolute ROTCE goal for that year s award of PSUs by reviewing the Firm s historical perform possible net income and capital outcomes over the next three years. For the 2018 PSU award granted in January 2019, these out the context of (among other things) the expected impacts of: the TCJA; regulatory capital requirements; annual stress tests; integlobal economic environment, all of which affect the range of ROTCE outcomes in the medium-term.

Consistent with the Firm s pay-for-performance philosophy, in setting the relative ROTCE performance goals, the CMDC det for previously granted PSU awards should be limited to instances in which the Firm outperforms its competitors on a relative beoccurring in instances of under performance. Achievement of median relative performance results in target payout (100%), who practices, and with what the CMDC believes is a reasonable outcome. Outstanding relative performance, which results in a payor Firm achieving a ROTCE in the top 25%, or top three, of the competitor group.

Performance

Share

Units

5-year

time

horizon

PSUs awarded for performance years 2015, 2016 and 2017

The Firm reported ROTCE of 13%, 12%, and 17% in 2016, 2017, and 2018 respectively, resulting in 1st Quartile relative performance of the 2015, 2016 and 2017 PSU awards referencing those years. In assessing the Firm s 201 performance against the absolute goal established in the 2015 PSU award, the CMDC reviewed information related to the estire enactment of the TCJA on the Firm s performance and determined no adjustment was required to the ultimate payout of that a intended economics. On March 25, 2019, the 2015 PSU award vested at 150%.

For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 38-77

12

PSU goal is set at beginning of performance period and is the same for all 3 years

Annual payout calculation results in:

NO

catch-up for poor performance years

NO

rollover for very strong years

Proposal #3:

The

Board

recommends

you

vote

FOR

ratification

of

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC)

The members of the Audit Committee and the Board believe that continued retention of PwC as the Firm s independent externauditor is in the best interests of JPMorgan Chase and its shareholders

Engagement of independent registered public accounting firm

The Audit Committee annually reviews PwC s qualifications, performance and independence in connection with its determina as to whether to retain PwC. In conducting its review, the Audit Committee considers, among other factors:

The professional qualifications of PwC and that of the key members of the audit team

PwC s performance on the Firm s audit, including its professional skepticism and objectivity

The audit quality of PwC, including recent Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) reports, peer self-revie and legal risks and significant proceedings affecting PwC

The independence of PwC

Audit committee annually reviews PwC

The Audit Committee assesses PwC s independence throughout the year. This includes reviewing with PwC its practices for maintaining independence

It is JPMorgan Chase s policy not to use PwC for any other services other than audit, audit-related and tax services in certain circumstances

In accordance with SEC rules and PwC policies, audit partners are subject to rotation requirements to limit the number of

In accordance with SEC rules and PwC policies, audit partners are subject to rotation requirements to limit the number of consecutive years of service an individual partner may provide audit services to our Firm

The lead audit partner and quality review partner may provide services to our Firm for a maximum of five consecutive years. The current lead audit partner is expected to serve in this capacity through the end of the 2020 audit.

The Board believes there are significant benefits to having an auditor with extensive history with the Firm, including: the high quality of their audit work and accounting advice, their audit efficiency and effectiveness, and the time and expense that would avoided to onboard a new auditor

Board oversight of PwC

For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 78-83

13

Agenda Page

Management proposals Shareholder proposals

Edgar Filing:	JPMORGAN.	CHASE &	CO -	Form [DEFA14	ŀΑ
---------------	-----------	---------	------	--------	--------	----

JPMorgan Chase already conducts periodic pay equity reviews that take into account factors that potentially impact pay. Globally, women at the Firm are paid 99% of what men are paid, taking into account factors that potentially impact pay. This is a more representative measure of comparable pay for similar work than a review focusing solely on median earnings of male and female employees

We are committed to fairness in compensation practices for all employees. The report requested on the median pay gap is primarily influenced by the representation of women at different levels of the organization and is not reflective of the Firm s commitment to diversity, expanding advancement opportunities for women and minorities, and fairness in compensation. We do not believe it is a meaningful metric to present to shareholders

JPMorgan Chase is committed to diversity and inclusion best practices and devotes significant resources to programs, policies and analyses that we believe have a greater impact than the proposed pay gap report

Request that the Firm report on the company s global median pay gap between male and female employees

Proposal #4: Gender pay equity report

The

Board

recommends

you

vote

AGAINST

this

shareholder

proposal

Proposal #5: Enhance shareholder proxy access The Board recommends you vote

AGAINST

this shareholder proposal

The Firm s proxy access By-law strikes an appropriate balance between providing shareholders with meaningful access to the right and protecting against potential abuse by shareholders whose interests are not aligned with the majority of long-term shareholders

The By-law requiring that nominees receive at least 20% support to be eligible for re-nomination protects shareholders from the expense and disruption of voting on repeat nominees who fail to garner significant shareholder support and provides opportunity for shareholders to nominate other qualified candidates

The Firm s By-law, including our re-nomination threshold, is aligned with current best practices For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 88-89

Recommend that our Board amend its proxy access bylaw provisions so that a director candidate shall not need to obtain a specific percentage vote in order to qualify as a shareholder proxy access director candidate 15

this shareholder proposal

One share, one vote best serves shareholder interests

Cumulative voting is inconsistent with majority voting for directors and increases the risk of disproportionate representation of special interests

The Firm has strong corporate governance standards that promote long-term shareholder value

Because each director oversees the management of the Firm for the benefit of all shareholders, the Board believes that changing the current voting procedures would not be in the best interests of all shareholders

For additional detail, see 2019 Proxy Statement pages 90-91

Recommend that our Board take the steps necessary to adopt cumulative voting

16



Notes

Notes on non-GAAP financial measures

1. In addition analyzing the Firm s results on reported basis, management reviews Firmwide results on managed basis; these Firmwide managed basis results are non-GAAP financial

measures.

The

Firm

also

reviews

the results of the lines of business on a managed basis. The Firm s definition of managed basis starts, in each case, with the re the Firm (and each of the reportable business segments) on a fully taxable-equivalent basis. Accordingly, revenue from investr a basis comparable to taxable investments and securities. These non-GAAP financial measures allow management to assess the The corresponding income tax impact related to tax-exempt items is recorded within income tax expense. These adjustments h For a reconciliation of the Firm s results from a reported to managed basis, see page 57 of the 2018 Form 10-K.

TCE, ROTCE and TBVPS are each non-GAAP financial measures. TCE represents the Firm s common stockholders equity (other than mortgage servicing rights), net of related deferred tax liabilities. ROTCE measures the Firm s net income applicab period-end divided by common shares at period-end. TCE, ROTCE and TBVPS are utilized by the Firm, as well as investors a and calculations of these measures for the periods presented.

Non-GAAP reconciliations

Represents deferred tax liabilities related to tax-deductible goodwill and to identifiable intangibles created in nontaxable transactions, which are netted against goodwill and other intangibles when calculating TCE.

(b)

Represents net income applicable to common equity / average common stockholders equity.

Notes on key performance measures

1.

The Basel III common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio (which was fully phased-in effective January 1, 2019) is considered a key analysts to assess and monitor the Firms capital position. For additional information on these measures, see Capital Risk Man 2.

Core loans represent loans considered central to the Firm s ongoing businesses; and exclude loans classified as trading assets, loans is a measure utilized by the Firm and its investors and analysts in assessing actual growth in the loan portfolio.

On December 22, 2017, the TCJA was signed into law. The Firm s results for the year ended December 31, 2017, included a 5 benefit of \$406 million (after-tax) related to a settlement with the FDIC receivership for Washington Mutual and with Deutsch per share, which exclude the impact of these significant items, are each non-GAAP financial measures. Management believes (c)

Represents net income applicable to common equity / average TCE.


(d)

Represents common stockholders equity at period-end / common shares at period-end.

(e)

Represents TCE at period-end / common shares at period-end.

17



Additional information regarding slide 3: We demonstrated strong financial performance in 2018

ROTCE and TBVPS are each non-GAAP financial measures; for a reconciliation and further explanation, see footnote 2 on sli Reflects common dividends and common stock repurchases, net of common stock issued to employees. TSR shows the actual return of the stock price, with dividends reinvested. Additional information regarding slide 4: The Firm has demonstrated sustained, strong financial performance ROTCE and TBVPS are each non-GAAP financial measures; for a reconciliation and further explanation, see footnote 2 on sli Excluding the impact of the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) of \$(2.4) billion and a legal benefit of \$406 mi been \$6.87. Adjusted ROTCE and adjusted EPS are each non-GAAP financial measures; for further explanation, see footnote Additional information regarding slide 7: Proposal #1: Election of **Directors** Director of a heritage company of the Firm as follows: Bank One Corporation: Mr. Burke (2003 2004), Mr. Crown (1996 2004) Corp.: Mr. Crown (1991) 1996); and J.P. Morgan & Co. Incorporated: Mr. Raymond (1987) 2000). Principal standing committee. Retired from JPMorgan Chase & Co. in 2005. Additional information regarding slide 10: **Proposal** #2: Advisory resolution approve executive compensation (cont d) ROTCE is a non-GAAP financial measure; for a reconciliation and further explanation, see footnote 2 on slide 17. Reflects common dividends and common stock repurchases, net of common stock issued to employees. 3. For external

recognition sources

for
CIB
and
AWM,
refer
to
pages
59-60
of
the
2019
Proxy
Statement.
CCB
recognition
is
from
J.D.
Power s
2018
National
Banking
Study;
CB
recognition
is
from
S&P
Global
Market
Intelligence as of December 31, 2018.
4.
Total compensation range for Other NEOs includes Mr. Pinto. Pay Mix components for Other NEOs exclude Mr. Pinto. The
regulations. For additional information on Mr. Pinto s pay mix, see footnote 1 on page 52 of the 2019 Proxy Statement.
5.
See page 63 of the 2019 Proxy Statement for more details on clawbacks.
18



not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements often use words such as anticipate, target, estimate, intend, plan, goal, believe or other words of similar meaning. Forward-looking statements provide JPM & Co. s (JPMorgan Chase or the Firm) current expectations or forecasts of future events, circumstances, results or aspir and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties could cause the Firm s actual results to diff materially from those set forth in such forward-looking statements. Certain of such risks and uncertainties are described in JPM Chase s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018. JPMorgan Chase does not undertake to update to forward-looking statements included in these Proxy Supplemental Materials to reflect the impact of circumstances or events the arise after the date the forward-looking statements were made.

This document is only a summary of certain information in JPMorgan Chase & Co. s 2019 Proxy Statement, and shareholders read the Proxy Statement in its entirety before voting their shares.