BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR October 01, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT

INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-10337

Name of Fund: BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock New York

Municipal Income Trust, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2015

Date of reporting period: 07/31/2015

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

JULY 31, 2015

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (BNJ)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

	Page
The Markets in Review	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
<u>Trust Summaries</u>	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	18
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	51
Statements of Operations	52
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	53
Statements of Cash Flows	56
Financial Highlights	57
Notes to Financial Statements	63
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	75
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements	76
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	81
Officers and Trustees	82
Additional Information	85

The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder.

Diverging monetary policies and shifting economic outlooks between regions were the broader themes underlying market conditions during the 12-month period ended July 31, 2015. The period began with investors caught between the forces of low interest rates and an improving U.S. economy, high asset valuations, oil price instability and lingering geopolitical risks in Ukraine and the Middle East. As U.S. growth picked up considerably in the fourth quarter of 2014, the broader global economy showed signs of slowing. Investors favored the stability of U.S. assets despite uncertainty as to when the Federal Reserve (the Fed) would raise short-term interest rates. International markets continued to struggle even as the European Central Bank and the Bank of Japan eased monetary policy. Oil prices plummeted in late 2014 due to a global supply-and-demand imbalance, sparking a sell-off in energy-related assets and putting stress on emerging markets. Fixed income investors piled into U.S. Treasuries as their persistently low yields had become attractive as compared to the even lower yields on international sovereign debt.

Equity markets reversed in early 2015, with international markets outperforming the United States as global risks abated. Investors had held high expectations for the U.S. economy, but a harsh winter and west coast port strike brought disappointing first-quarter data and high valuations took their toll on U.S. stocks, while bond yields fell to extreme lows. (Bond prices rise as yields fall.) In contrast, economic reports in Europe and Asia easily beat investors—very low expectations, and accommodative policies from central banks in those regions helped international equities rebound. Oil prices stabilized, providing some relief for emerging market stocks, although a stronger U.S. dollar continued to be a headwind for the asset class.

U.S. economic data regained momentum in the second quarter, helping U.S. stocks resume an upward path, although meaningful strength in the labor market underscored the likelihood that the Fed would raise short-term rates before the end of 2015 and bond yields moved swiftly higher. The month of June brought a sharp, but temporary, sell-off across most asset classes as Greece s long-brewing debt troubles came to an impasse and investors feared the consequences should Greece leave the eurozone. Adding to global worries was a massive correction in Chinese equity prices despite policymakers attempts to stabilize the market. As these concerns abated in the later part of July, developed markets rebounded with the help of solid corporate earnings. Emerging markets, however, continued to slide as Chinese equities remained highly volatile and growth estimates for many emerging economies were revised lower. Bond markets moved back into positive territory as softer estimates for global growth and the return of falling commodity prices caused yields to move lower.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit blackrock.com for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Sincerely,
Rob Kapito
President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

Total Returns as of July 31, 2015

-	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities	6.55%	11.21%
(C&D 500® Indox)		

U.S. small cap equities	6.98	12.03
(Russell 2000® Index)		
International equities	7.19	(0.28)
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,		
Far East Index)		
Emerging market equities	(4.76)	(13.38)
(MSCI Emerging Markets		
Index)		
3-month Treasury bills	0.00	0.01
(BofA Merrill Lynch		
3-Month U.S. Treasury		
Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities	(3.64)	5.32
(BofA Merrill Lynch	•	
10-Year U.S. Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment-grade bonds	(1.47)	2.82
(Barclays U.S.		
Aggregate Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	(0.97)	3.50
bonds (S&P Municipal		
Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds	1.27	0.37
(Barclays U.S. Corporate		
High Yield 2% Issuer		
Capped Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended July 31, 2015

Municipal Market Conditions

Municipal bonds generated positive performance for the period, thanks to a favorable supply-and-demand environment and declining interest rates in the earlier half. (Bond prices rise as rates fall.) Interest rates moved lower in 2014 even as the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) curtailed its open-market bond purchases. This, coupled with reassurance from the Fed that short-term rates would remain low for a considerable amount of time, resulted in strong demand for fixed income investments in 2014, with municipal bonds being one of the stronger performing sectors for the year. This trend continued into the beginning of 2015 until rate volatility ultimately increased in February as a result of uneven U.S. economic data and widening central bank divergence, i.e., rate cuts outside the United States while the Fed poised for normalizing U.S. rates. During the 12 months ended July 31, 2015, municipal bond funds garnered net inflows of approximately \$24 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance remained relatively strong from a historical perspective at \$406 billion (considerably higher than the \$306 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 60%) as issuers took advantage of low interest rates and a flatter yield curve to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of July 31, 2015

6 months: (0.97)% 12 months: 3.50%

A Closer Look at Yields

From July 31, 2014 to July 31, 2015, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds declined by 18 basis points (bps) from 3.30% to 3.12%, while 10-year rates fell by 7 bps from 2.26% to 2.19% and 5-year rates increased 8 bps from 1.22% to 1.30% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period even as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattened by 49 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattened by 38 bps.

During the same time period, U.S. Treasury rates fell by 38 bps on 30-year bonds, 35 bps on 10-year bonds and 21 bps in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds underperformed Treasuries across the yield curve, most notably in the intermediate part of the curve as a result of increased supply and tempered demand. In absolute terms, positive performance of muni bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where opportunities had become scarce. More broadly, municipal bonds benefited from the greater appeal of tax-exempt investing in light of the higher tax rates implemented in 2014. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized distress among a few issuers. The four largest states California, New York, Texas and Florida have exhibited markedly improved credit fundamentals during the slow national recovery. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities have seen their bond prices decline noticeably and remain vulnerable to additional price deterioration. On the local level, Chicago s credit quality downgrade is an outlier relative to other cities due to its larger pension liability and inadequate funding remedies. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value (NAV) of, their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trusts (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trusts shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trusts Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trusts financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trusts with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Trusts financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on the Trusts longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trusts—return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Trusts had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trusts—portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trusts—obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts—NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Trust—s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also generally causes greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Trusts Common Shares than if the Trusts were not leveraged. In addition, the Trusts may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trusts to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Trusts ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Trusts incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Trusts investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Trusts investment advisor will be higher than if the Trusts did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) or Remarketable Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (RVMTP Shares) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOB Trusts) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act.), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of the Trusts obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), a TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments. Derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage. Derivative financial instruments also involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Trusts ability to use a derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 5

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust s (BFZ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income and California income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are investment grade quality. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BFZ
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$14.65) ¹	5.91%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.04%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0722
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8664
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.93%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns B	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
BFZ ⁵	7.66%	5.96%		
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds ⁶	7.67%	6.36%		

- ⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.
- 6 Average return.

The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

The California municipal bond market delivered a gain during the 12-month period, as the combination of falling U.S. Treasury yields, the state s improving economy and rising revenues for state and local governments provided firm support for the market. These factors enabled longer-term bonds to outperform their short-term counterparts, and the Trust was positioned for this trend via its exposure to the long end of the yield curve. The Trust also maintained a fully invested posture with a low level of cash reserves, which allowed it to capitalize fully on the market s robust performance.

The Trust s positions in AA-rated credits within the school district, transportation and health sectors outperformed as California s improving credit profile enabled their valuations to rise. Positions in the utilities sector also aided performance. The Trust was further helped by having a zero weighting in Puerto Rico, where a deterioration of credit fundamentals led to a sharp downturn in prices.

Leverage on the Trust s assets, which was achieved through the use of tender option bonds, amplified the positive effect of falling rates on performance.

The Trust s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.65	\$ 14.41	1.67%	\$ 16.00	\$ 14.37
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.84	\$ 15.83	0.06%	\$ 16.43	\$ 15.67

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	7/31/15	7/31/14
County/City/Special District/School District	37%	33%
Utilities	27	31
Education	12	8
Health	10	11
Transportation	6	7
State	6	8
Tobacco	1	
Corporate	1	1
Housing		1

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	7%	11%
AA/Aa	75	71
A	17	18
BBB/Baa ²		
В	1	

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Ba or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

² Representing less than 1% of the Trust s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule 3

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,

 2015

 2016
 1%

 2017
 9

 2018
 16

 2019
 33

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 7

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust s (BFO) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax and to return \$15.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2020. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Effective January 1, 2007, the Florida intangible personal property tax was repealed.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BFO
Initial Offering Date	September 30, 2003
Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2020
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$14.82) ¹	2.81%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	4.96%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0347
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.4164
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	0%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Percentage is less than 1% which represents TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Bas	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
BFO ⁵	0.62%	2.59%		
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds ⁶	4.85%	6.04%		

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

Average return.
7 The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.
The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:
The Trust is scheduled to mature on or about December 31, 2020, and it therefore holds securities that will mature close to that date. Given that rates declined more for bonds on the long end of the yield curve, the Trust s shorter maturity profile was a disadvantage in comparison to its Lipper category peers, which typically hold longer-dated issues.
Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Long-term bonds outperformed short-term debt, due to a flattening of the yield curve for the full 12 months. Performance differed significantly during the two halves of the annual period. In the first half (August 2014 through January 2015), the market rallied significantly and the municipal yield curve flattened aggressively. During this time, long-term rates fell much more than intermediate rates, while two-year rates rose. In contrast, the second half (February 2015 through July 2015) brought weaker price performance and a steepening of the yield curve.
The Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance as interest rates declined during

the period. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The Trust s exposure to the health sector made a strong contribution to total return, followed by its positions in the utilities and transportation sectors. Investments in zero-coupon bonds, which generated strong price performance, also

There were no detractors from performance on an absolute basis as all areas of the Trust s investment universe appreciated during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

aided returns. Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period.

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.82	\$ 15.16	(2.24)%	\$ 15.48	\$ 14.70
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.37	\$ 15.42	(0.32)%	\$ 15.64	\$ 15.24

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	7/31/15	7/31/14
County/City/Special District/School District	38%	32%
State	16	14
Health	15	16
Transportation	11	20
Utilities	11	10
Corporate	4	4
Education	4	3
Housing	1	1

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	1%	2%
AA/Aa	52	47
A	25	32
BBB/Baa	13	9
N/R^2	9	10

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2015 and July 31, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade represents 4% and 2%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule 3

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2015	3%
2016	
2017	12
2018	12
2019	14

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 9

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust s (BBF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds, the interest of which is exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and Florida intangible personal property tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, in September 2008, the Board gave approval to permit the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location since municipal obligations issued by any state or municipality that provides income exempt from regular federal income tax would now satisfy the foregoing objective and policy.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BBF
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$13.44) ¹	6.46%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.41%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.072375
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.868500
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- 4 Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Base	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
BBF ⁵	6.09%	6.76%		
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ⁶	6.90%	6.95%		

- 6 Average return.
- 7 The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Long-term bonds outperformed short-term debt, due to a flattening of the yield curve. In this environment, the Trust s duration positioning contributed positively to performance. The Trust s longer dated holdings in the transportation, health and utilities sectors experienced the best price performance on an absolute basis. Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. In addition, the Trust s minimal cash balance and use of leverage allowed it to increase its income.

The Trust s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.44	\$ 13.48	(0.30)%	\$ 14.73	\$ 13.20
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.14	\$ 15.09	0.33%	\$ 15.85	\$ 14.95

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	7/31/15	7/31/14
County/City/Special District/School District	25%	26%
Transportation	22	21
Utilities	15	16
Health	14	15
State	11	11
Education	8	8
Tobacco	3	1
Corporate	1	1
Housing	1	1

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	11%	10%
AA/Aa	56	56
A	24	26
BBB/Baa	6	6
BB/Ba	1	1
В	1	2
N/R^3	1	1

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

² Representing less than 1% of the Trust s total investments.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality.

Call/Maturity Schedule⁴

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,

 2015

 2016
 1%

 2017
 1

 2018
 16

 2019
 34

⁴ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

* Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 11

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust s (BTT) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax (but which may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax in certain circumstances) and to return \$25.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2030. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust semanticipal may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BTT
Initial Offering Date	August 30, 2012
Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2030
Current Distribution Rate on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$20.80) ¹	4.62%
Tax Equivalent Rate ²	8.16%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.08
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.96
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	37%

- 1 Current Distribution Rate on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. The current distribution rate may consist of income, net realized gains and/or a return of capital. See the financial highlights for the actual sources and character of distributions. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change. A portion of the distribution may be deemed a return of capital or net realized gain at fiscal year end.
- Represents RVMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to RVMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
BTT ⁵	11.37%	8.32%		
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ⁶	6.90%	6.95%		

- 5 All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.
- 6 Average return.
- The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Long-term bonds outperformed short-term debt, leading to a flattening of the yield curve for the full 12 months. Performance differed significantly during the two halves of the annual period. In the first half (August 2014 through January 2015), the market rallied significantly and the municipal yield curve flattened aggressively. During this time, long-term rates fell much more than intermediate rates, while two-year rates rose. In contrast, the second half (February 2015 through July 2015) brought weaker price performance and a steepening of the yield curve.

The Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance as interest rates declined during the period. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The Trust s exposure to the healthcare sector made a strong contribution to total return, followed by its positions in the transportation sector. Investments in zero-coupon bonds, which generated strong price performance, also aided returns. Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period.

The Trust s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 20.80	\$ 19.57	6.29%	\$ 21.75	\$ 19.49
Net Asset Value	\$ 22.73	\$ 21.99	3.37%	\$ 24.02	\$ 21.99

Market Price and Net Asset Value History Since Inception

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	7/31/15	7/31/14
Transportation	23%	22%
Health	19	17
County/City/Special District/School District	13	13
Education	11	12
Corporate	11	11
Utilities	8	8
State	8	6
Housing	5	9
Tobacco	2	2

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation ²	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	3%	4%
AA/Aa	25	31
A	46	42
BBB/Baa	15	12
BB/Ba	5	3
В		2
N/R^3	6	6

² For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

¹ Commencement of operations.

³ The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2015 and July 31, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade each represented less than 1% of the Trust s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule⁴

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,

 2015

 2016
 1%

 2017
 1

 2018
 1

 2019
 1

- ⁴ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
- * Excludes short-term securities.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 13

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust s (BNJ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New Jersey gross income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey gross income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BNJ
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$14.61) ¹	6.17%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.98%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0751
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9012
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 48.48%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Bas	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV ⁷	
BNJ ⁵	5.69%	5.79%	
Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds ⁶	6.31%	4.64%	

- ⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.
- 6 Average return.

7 The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Long-term bonds outperformed short-term debt, due to a flattening of the yield curve. In this environment, the Trust s duration positioning contributed positively to performance. The Trust s longer dated holdings in the transportation, local tax-backed, education, and other industries sectors experienced the best price performance on an absolute basis. Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. In addition, the Trust s minimal cash balance and use of leverage allowed it to increase its income.

The Trust s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.61	\$ 14.68	(0.48)%	\$ 17.10	\$ 14.00
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.55	\$ 15.61	(0.38)%	\$ 16.50	\$ 15.35

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	7/31/15	7/31/14
Transportation	33%	33%
Education	17	17
County/City/Special District/School District	16	13
State	16	15
Health	7	8
Corporate	7	8
Housing	3	6
Utilities	1	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	2%	2%
AA/Aa	42	40
A	36	35
BBB/Baa	10	9
BB/Ba	8	4
В		3
N/R ²	2	7

¹ For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2015 and July 31, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade represents 1% and 5%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2015	4%
2016	1
2017	2
2018	12
2019	10

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 15

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2015

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust s (BNY) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information	
Symbol on NYSE	BNY
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2015 (\$14.54) ¹	5.69%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.52%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.069
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.828
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2015 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.59%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the 12 months ended July 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On		
	Market Price	NAV ⁷		
BNY ⁵	11.67%	8.00%		
Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds ⁶	8.00%	6.41%		

⁵ All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions.

- 6 Average return.
- The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

Municipal bonds generally delivered gains during the 12-month period, with yields declining as prices rose. Longer-term bonds, which were aided by the backdrop of relatively slow economic growth and low inflation, outpaced shorter-term issues, which were pressured by the prospects of an interest rate hike by the U.S. Federal Reserve later in 2015.

In this environment, the Trust s investments in longer duration and longer dated bonds generally provided the best returns. (Duration is a measure of interest rate sensitivity). Positions in the tax-backed (state and local), transportation and utilities sectors were positive contributors to performance. The Trust s investments in zero-coupon bonds, which generated strong price performance, also aided returns. The Trust s exposure to higher-yielding, lower-rated bonds in the investment grade category aided performance, as this market segment outperformed during the period. Income in the form of coupon payments made up a meaningful portion of the Trust s total return for the period. The Trust s leverage allowed it to increase its income.

The Trust s use of U.S. Treasury futures contracts to manage interest rate risk had a slightly negative impact on performance given that bond yields declined during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/15	7/31/14	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.54	\$ 13.79	5.44%	\$ 15.09	\$ 13.55
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.97	\$ 14.68	1.98%	\$ 15.64	\$ 14.68

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Total Investments*

Sector Allocation	7/31/15	7/31/14
County/City/Special District/School District	24%	24%
Education	20	19
Transportation	15	14
Utilities	12	13
Health	10	10
State	9	9
Corporate	8	9
Housing	2	2

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/15	7/31/14
AAA/Aaa	18%	16%
AA/Aa	37	39
A	27	29
BBB/Baa	6	6
BB/Ba	4	4
N/R^2	8	6

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2015 and July 31, 2014, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was 2% and 1%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

 Calendar Year Ended December 31,
 4%

 2015
 4%

 2016
 4

 2017
 12

 2018
 4

 2019
 6

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2015 17

³ Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

^{*} Excludes short-term securities.

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2015

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California 96.0%	(, , ,	
Corporate 0.7%		
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric:		
Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	\$ 685	\$ 787,682
Series D, 5.88%, 1/01/34	2,500	2,874,750
50100 2, 5.00 %, 110115 1	2,300	2,071,730
		3,662,432
County/City/Special District/School District 33.4%		
Butte-Glenn Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/30	8,425	9,707,369
Cerritos Community College District, GO:		
Election of 2004, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/19 (a)	3,000	3,495,900
Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/39	4,275	4,858,324
City of San Jose California Hotel Tax, RB, Convention Center Expansion & Renovation Project:		
6.13%, 5/01/31	500	593,750
6.50%, 5/01/36	1,210	1,464,560
6.50%, 5/01/42	2,225	2,672,003
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%,		
8/01/35	2,000	2,300,880
County of Orange California Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.25%, 8/15/34	2,000	2,292,560
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax,		
Measure K, Series A:		
6.00%, 3/01/36	2,880	3,466,858
5.50%, 3/01/41	5,265	6,163,630
County of Santa Clara California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Series L, 5.25%, 5/15/36	20,000	21,869,200
Evergreen Elementary School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGC), 5.13%, 8/01/33	2,500	2,817,275
Grossmont California Healthcare District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B:	2,000	2,017,270
6.00%, 7/15/21 (a)	3,235	4,050,705
6.13%, 7/15/21 (a)	2,000	2,518,460
Long Beach Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series A,	2,000	2,310,400
5.75%, 8/01/33	4,135	4,856,888
Los Alamitos Unified School District California, GO, School Facilities Improvement District	4,133	4,030,000
No. 1, 5.50%, 2/01/19 (a)	6,315	7,301,340
Los Angeles California Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding RB, Real Property, Series B	0,313	7,501,540
(AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/30	5,065	5,748,724
Modesto Irrigation District, COP, Capital Improvements, Series A, 5.75%, 10/01/29	3,035	3,449,793
Oak Grove School District California, GO, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.50%, 8/01/33	6,000	6,880,380
Pico Rivera Public Financing Authority, RB, 5.75%, 9/01/39	2,000	2,292,920
Pittsburg Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/34	2,000	2,239,720
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, Special Assessment Bonds, Consolidated Capital		A 5 (51 010
Assessment District, 5.25%, 10/01/32	\$ 4,865	\$ 5,671,812
San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33	1,500	1,717,545
San Diego Regional Building Authority, RB, County Operations Center & Annex, Series A,		
5.38%, 2/01/36	5,520	6,217,286
San Jose California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Civic Center Project, Series A,		
5.00%, 6/01/32	3,375	3,830,355
San Leandro California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.75%, 8/01/41	3,060	3,633,260
San Ramon Valley Unified School District, GO, Election of 2012, 4.00%, 8/01/40	1,665	1,695,270
Santa Ana College Improvement District #1 Rancho Santiago Community College District, GO,		
Election of 2012, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/39	2,000	2,244,680
Santa Ana Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A:		
5.50%, 8/01/30	6,525	7,325,226
5.13%, 8/01/33	10,000	11,051,500
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%,		
9/01/38	2,250	2,589,075
	4,000	4,781,960
	* *	* *

Torrance Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008, Measure Z, 6.00%, 8/01/19

(a)			
Tustin California School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.25%, 8/01/31	3,445	4,023,967	
West Contra Costa California Unified School District, GO, Series A:			
Election of 2010 (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/32	4,945	5,815,518	
Election of 2012, 5.50%, 8/01/39	2,500	2,883,950	
Yosemite Community College District, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 8/01/32 (b)	3,500	4,077,885	
		168,600,528	
Education 3.6%		100,000,320	
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Chapman University, 5.00%, 4/01/45	2,375	2,609,697	
California Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco University, 6.13%,	2,570	2,005,057	
10/01/36	6,280	7,628,316	
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Emerson College, 5.75%, 1/01/33	2,500	2,871,775	
California State University, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/43 (b)	1,500	1,707,555	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
511 (1917) 51 Santonia, Italiana I.S., 551155 1, 5100 10, 5115752	2,300	2,.33,210	
		10.202.552	
		18,303,553	
Jniversity of California, Refunding RB, Series I, 5.00%, 5/15/32	3,000	1,707,555 3,486,210 18,303,553	

Portfolio Abbreviations

ACA	American Capital Access Holding Ltd.	COP	Certificates of Participation	IDB	Industrial Development Board
AGC	Assured Guarantee Corp.	EDA	Economic Development Authority	ISD	Independent School District
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	EDC	Economic Development Corp.	LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
AMBAC	American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.	ERB	Education Revenue Bonds	M/F	Multi-Family
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	FHA	Federal Housing Administration	MRB	Mortgage Revenue Bonds
ARB	Airport Revenue Bonds	GARB	General Airport Revenue Bonds	NPFGC	National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
BARB	Building Aid Revenue Bonds	GO	General Obligation Bonds	PILOT	Payment in Lieu of Taxes
ВНАС	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.	HDA	Housing Development Authority	RB	Revenue Bonds
CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	HFA	Housing Finance Agency	S/F	Single-Family
CIFG	CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.	IDA	Industrial Development Authority	SONYMA	State of New York Mortgage Agency

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

		Par			
M ''-IP-I		(000)		37.1	
Municipal Bonds		(000)		Value	
California (continued)					
Health 12.7%					
ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps., Refunding RB, Sharp Healthcare, Series B,	¢	4.075	¢.	£ 906 970	
6.25%, 8/01/39 California Health Escilitics Financine Authority, DD.	\$	4,975	\$	5,806,870	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB:		(700		7 (40 190	
Adventist Health System West, Series A, 5.75%, 9/01/39		6,700		7,649,189	
Children s Hospital, Series A, 5.25%, 11/01/41 St. Joseph Health System, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/39		8,500 375		9,398,450 428,629	
Sutter Health, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/46		5,195		5,410,333	
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42		6,015		7,131,023	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare West, Series		0,015		7,131,023	
A:					
6.00%, 7/01/34		4,505		5,151,242	
6.00%, 7/01/39		5,550		6,337,156	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Kaiser Permanente, Series B,		3,330		0,337,130	
5.25%, 3/01/45		2,000		2,041,000	
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:		2,000		2,011,000	
Catholic Healthcare West, Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/30		2,900		3,111,468	
Catholic Healthcare West, Series E, 5.50%, 7/01/31		5,065		5,437,328	
Trinity Health Credit Group Composite Issue, 5.00%, 12/01/41		4,000		4,409,640	
Washington Township Health Care District, GO, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/38		1,625		1,912,495	
		,-		, , , ,	
				64,224,823	
State 9.4%				04,224,823	
State of California, GO, Various Purposes:					
6.00%, 3/01/33		2,000		2,413,940	
6.00%, 4/01/38		15,875		18,500,566	
State of California Public Works Board, LRB:		13,073		10,500,500	
Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project, Series B, 6.50%, 4/01/34		9,000		10,642,770	
Various Capital Projects, Series I, 5.50%, 11/01/33		4,940		5,872,573	
Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.38%, 11/01/34		5,025		6,046,281	
State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, Series F,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		.,, .	
5.25%, 9/01/33		3,335		3,882,974	
				47,359,104	
Tobacco 1.2%				47,557,104	
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Senior, Series A-1,					
5.75%, 6/01/47		7,000		5,951,470	
Transportation 10.5%		,,,,,,,		-,,	
City & County of San Francisco California Airports Commission, ARB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39		6,750		7,855,245	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Airports, Refunding ARB, Los Angeles					
International Airport, Senior Series A:					
5.00%, 5/15/34		6,650		7,502,530	
5.00%, 5/15/40		4,750		5,361,515	
City of San Jose California, Refunding ARB, Series A-1, AMT:					
5.75%, 3/01/34		2,895		3,319,581	
6.25%, 3/01/34		2,650		3,116,241	
County of Orange California, ARB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/34		8,000		8,699,600	
California (concluded)					
Transportation (concluded)					
County of Sacramento California, ARB:					
PFC/Grant, Sub-Series D, 6.00%, 7/01/35	\$	3,000	\$		
Senior Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/39		1,850		2,066,524	
Senior Series B, AMT (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33		2,055		2,236,888	
Port of Los Angeles California Harbor Department, RB, Series B, 5.25%, 8/01/34		5,580		6,324,205	
Port of Los Angeles California Harbor Department, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%,		0.705		2.064.270	
8/01/44		2,795		3,064,270	

		52,919,889	
Utilities 24.5%		, ,	
Anaheim Public Financing Authority, RB, Electric System Distribution Facilities, Series A,			
5.38%, 10/01/36	7,690	8,993,993	
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric, Series D, 5.88%, 1/01/34	6,555	7,537,594	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, RB:			
Power System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38	9,000	9,933,840	
Series A, 5.38%, 7/01/34	3,250	3,647,085	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%,			
7/01/39	4,000	4,538,200	
City of Los Angeles California Wastewater System, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/39	2,000	2,231,340	
City of Petaluma California Wastewater, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 5/01/36	5,625	6,748,763	
City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue, RB:			
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/35	10,625	12,010,500	
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/45	11,100	12,445,320	
Sub-Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/37	5,000	5,731,850	
County of Riverside California Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facility Project,			
5.25%, 11/01/45	8,935	10,098,784	
Cucamonga Valley Water District, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 9/01/31	4,320	5,104,944	
Dublin-San Ramon Services District, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 8/01/41	2,425	2,883,859	
East Bay California Municipal Utility District Water System Revenue, Refunding RB, Series A,			
5.00%, 6/01/36	6,745	7,728,758	
El Dorado Irrigation District / El Dorado County Water Agency, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM),			
5.25%, 3/01/39	10,000	11,503,400	
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Sewer, Refunding RB, Senior Series A, 5.25%,			
5/15/34	11,020	12,503,292	
		123,641,522	
Total Municipal Bonds in California		484,663,321	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,,,,,,	
Multi-State 0.4%			
Housing 0.4%			
Centerline Equity Issuer Trust (c)(d):			
Series A-4-2, 6.00%, 5/15/19	1,000	1,132,480	
Series B-3-2, 6.30%, 5/05/19	1,000	1,142,070	
Total Municipal Bonds in Multi-State		2,274,550	
Total Municipal Bonds 96.4%		486,937,871	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(000)	Value
California 66.4%		
County/City/Special District/School District 26.2% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series A, 5.00%, 8/15/52	\$ 9,695	\$ 10,635,027
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008, Series A:	\$ 9,093	\$ 10,033,027
Election of 2001 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/17 (a)	8,000	8,701,760
Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 (f)	12,900	15,020,953
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Refunding, 6.00%, 8/01/19 (a)	20,131	24,049,194
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34	5,000	5,621,600
Palomar California Community College District, GO, Election of 2006, Series C, 5.00%, 8/01/44	15,140	17,192,833
San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33	10,484	12,004,986
San Joaquin California Delta Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C,	14.505	16 207 440
5.00%, 8/01/39 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO:	14,505	16,387,449
Election of 2002, Series D, 5.00%, 8/01/32	14,625	16,109,429
Series C, 4.00%, 8/01/39	6,100	6,224,928
Belles C, 4.00 //, 0/01/37	0,100	0,224,720
		121 049 150
Education 16.0%		131,948,159
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series B,		
5.25%, 10/01/39 (f)	10,395	11,579,718
Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2004, 5.00%, 8/01/33	13,095	14,266,988
University of California, RB:	,	- ',',
Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/16 (a)	2,600	2,723,448
Series AM, 5.25%, 5/15/44	5,000	5,775,500
Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/19 (a)	12,300	14,451,229
University of California, Refunding RB:		
Series AI, 5.00%, 5/15/38	14,225	16,050,280
Series I, 5.00%, 5/15/40	14,065	16,038,034
		80,885,197
Health 4.1%		
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, RB, Kaiser Permanente, Series A,	10.060	20.721.422
5.00%, 4/01/42	18,960	20,731,433
California (concluded) Utilities 20.1%		
County of Orange California Sanitation District, COP, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/17 (a)	\$ 14,700	\$ 15,704,745
County of Orange California Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.00%, 8/15/39	10,480	11,831,606
County of San Diego California Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Election of 2014, Series A	10,.00	11,001,000
(AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	10,040	10,999,322
Eastern Municipal Water District, COP, Series H, 5.00%, 7/01/33	18,002	19,786,236
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System, Sub-Series A-1 (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 7/01/37	15,998	17,169,864
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37	11,180	11,977,022
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority Sewer, Refunding RB, Senior Series A,	10.155	44054500
5.25%, 5/15/39	12,457	14,051,528
T. 136 11 12 1 T. 1		101,520,323
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to		
Tender Option Bond Trusts 66.4%		335,085,112
Total Long-Term Investments		
(Cost \$753,597,892) 162.8%		822,022,983
Short-Term Securities	Chana-	
BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (g)(h)	Shares 6,380,903	6,380,903
Dif Camorina Municipal Money Fund, 0.00 // (g)(II)	0,500,903	0,360,303

Total Short-Term Securities

(Cost \$6,380,903) 1.3%	6,380,903
Total Investments (Cost \$759,978,795) 164.1%	828,403,886
Other Assets Less Liabilities 0.6%	3,430,923
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (30.8)%	(155,567,626)
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value (33.9)%	(171,300,000)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%	\$ 504,967,183

Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

		Un	realized
Counterparty	Value	App	reciation
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.	\$ 1,707,555	\$	12,660
Stifel Nicolaus & Co., Inc.	4.077.885		19,670

- (c) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (d) Represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.
- (e) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Trust. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOB Trusts.
- (f) All or a portion of security is subject to a recourse agreement, which may require the Trust to pay the liquidity provider in the event there is a shortfall between the TOB Trust Certificates and proceeds received from the sale of the security contributed to the TOB Trust or in the event of a default on the security. In the case of a shortfall or default, the aggregate maximum potential amount the Trust could ultimately be required to pay under the agreements, which expire from October 1, 2016 to August 1, 2018, is \$14,013,480.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

(g) During the year ended July 31, 2015, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust for purposes of section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at July 31,	Net	at July 31,	
Affiliate	2014	Activity	2015	Income
BIF California Municipal Money Fund	540,673	5,840,230	6,380,903	

(h) Represents the current yield as of report date.

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Derivative Financial Instruments Outstanding as of July 31, 2015

Financial Futures Contracts

Contracts				Notional	Unrealized	
Short	Issue	Exchange	Expiration	Value	Depreciation	
(100)	10-Year U.S. Treasury Note	Chicago Board of Trade	September 2015	\$ 12,743,750	\$ (43,114)	

Derivative Financial Instruments Categorized by Risk Exposure

The following is a summary of the Trust s derivative financial instruments categorized by risk exposure. For information about the Trust s investment risks regarding derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

As of July 31, 2015, the fair values of derivative financial instruments were as follows:

Foreign

Currency Interest

Commodity Credit Equity Exchange Rate

Statements of Assets and Liabilities Location Contracts Contracts Contracts Contracts Total

Derivative Financial Instruments Liabilities

Financial futures

contracts Net unrealized depreciation¹ \$43,114 \$43,114

¹ Includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) on financial futures contracts, as reported in the Schedule of Investments. Only current day s variation margin is reported within the Statements of Assets and Liabilities.

For the year ended July 31, 2015, the effect of derivative financial instruments in the Statements of Operations was as follows:

Commodity Credit Equity Foreign Interest Total

	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Currency	Rate	
				Exchange	Contracts	
				Contracts		
Net Realized Gain (Loss) from:						
Financial futures contracts					\$ (887,323)	\$ (887,323)
				Foreign		
				Currency	Interest	
	Commodity	Credit	Equity	Exchange	Rate	
	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Contracts	Total
Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on:						
Financial futures contracts					\$ (119,925)	\$ (119,925)
For the year ended July 31, 2015, the average quarterly	y balances of outsta	nding derivative f	inancial instrume	ents were as follow		

Financial futures contracts:

Average notional value of contracts short \$42,062,773

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

Fair Value Hierarchy as of July 31, 2015

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Trust spolicy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 822,022,983		\$ 822,022,983
Short-Term Securities	\$ 6,380,903			6,380,903
Total	\$ 6,380,903	\$ 822,022,983		\$ 828,403,886

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative Financial Instruments ²				
Liabilities:				
Interest rate contracts	\$ (43,114)			\$ (43,114)

² Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are valued at the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on the instrument.

The Trust may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2015, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash pledged for financial futures contracts	\$ 135,000			\$ 135,000
Liabilities:				
TOB Trust Certificates		\$ (155,532,544)		(155,532,544)
VMTP Shares		(171,300,000)		(171,300,000)
Total	\$ 135,000	\$ (326,832,544)		\$ (326,697,544)

During the year ended July 31, 2015, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2015

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Florida 94.7%		
Corporate 4.0%		
County of Hillsborough Florida IDA, Refunding RB, Tampa Electric Co. Project, Series A,		
5.65%, 5/15/18	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,115,120
County of Palm Beach Florida Solid Waste Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/20	2,000	2,335,040
		3,450,160
County/City/Special District/School District 37.5%		3,430,100
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Better Jacksonville Sales Tax, 5.00%, 10/01/20	4,000	4,661,440
County of Broward Florida School Board, COP, Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20	2,000	2,325,440
County of Broward Florida School Board, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/22	2,500	2,769,675
County of Hillsborough Florida, RB, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/01/17 (a)	5,545	6,068,781
County of Miami-Dade Florida School Board, COP, Refunding, Series B (AGC), 5.25%, 5/01/18 (a)	4,000	4,462,280
County of Northern Palm Beach Florida Improvement District, Refunding, Special Assessment Bonds,	4,000	4,402,200
Water Control & Improvement District No. 43, Series B (ACA), 4.50%, 8/01/22	1,000	1,009,330
Florida State Board of Education, GO, Refunding, Capital Outlay, Series B, 5.00%, 6/01/20	485	557,401
ndian River County School Board, COP, Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20	1,000	1,154,260
Miami-Dade County School Board Foundation Inc, COP, Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20	1,250	1,441,787
Palm Beach County School District, COP, Refunding Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/20	3,000	3,475,740
Sterling Hill Community Development District, Refunding, Special Assessment Bonds, Series A,	5,000	5,475,740
6.10%, 5/01/23 (b)(c)	3,015	2,363,368
Stevens Plantation Florida Imports Project Dependent Special District, RB, 6.38%, 5/01/13 (b)(c)	2,425	1,809,899
nevens Figure 1 fortest imports Froject Dependent opecial District, RD, 0.30 /0, 5/01/13 (0)(0)	2,423	1,000,000
		22 000 401
21		32,099,401
Education 4.0%	705	000 267
City of Tampa, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 4/01/20	795	908,367
County of Orange Florida Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Rollins College Project (AMBAC),	705	705.076
5.25%, 12/01/22	725	795,876
Florida State Board of Governors, Refunding RB, University of Central Florida, Series A,	500	552 220
5.00%, 7/01/18	500	552,320
Florida State Higher Educational Facilities Financial Authority, Refunding RB, University of Tampa	1 000	1 121 620
Project, Series A, 5.00%, 4/01/20	1,000	1,121,630
		3,378,193
Health 14.4%		
Brevard County Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 4/01/20	500	572,385
County of Highlands Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Hospital, Adventist Health,		
Series I, 5.00%, 11/15/20	2,155	2,462,863
County of Marion Florida Hospital District, Refunding RB, Health System, Munroe Regional,		
5.00%, 10/01/17 (a)	1,500	1,635,870
County of Orange Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Mayflower Retirement Center:		
3.00%, 6/01/16	140	141,505
3.00%, 6/01/17	190	194,484
3.25%, 6/01/18	195	201,924
3.50%, 6/01/19	200	209,804
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Florida (continued)		
Health (concluded)		
County of Palm Beach Florida Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:	d 4.725	ф. 5.267.640
Acts Retirement-Life Communities, Inc., 5.00%, 11/15/22	\$ 4,735	\$ 5,267,640
Bethesda Healthcare System Project, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/20	1,285	1,480,269
Miami Beach Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 11/15/20	150	170,879
		12,337,623
lousing 0.9%		

County of Manatee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac), 5.90%, 9/01/40	165	168,003	
Florida Housing Finance Corp., RB, Homeowner Mortgage, Series 2, AMT (Ginnie Mae, Fannie	103	100,003	
Mae & Freddie Mac), 4.70%, 7/01/22	425	435,340	
Jacksonville Housing Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A-1, AMT (Ginnie Mae, Fannie			
Mae & Freddie Mac), 5.63%, 10/01/39	115	118,398	
		721,741	
State 12.5%			
Florida Municipal Loan Council, RB, Series D (AGM):			
5.00%, 10/01/19	1,050	1,201,988	
4.00%, 10/01/20	1,105	1,209,953	
4.00%, 10/01/21	500	545,815	
Florida Municipal Loan Council, Refunding RB:			
CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 0.00%, 4/01/20 (d)	4,000	3,499,120	
Series B-2 (AGM), 4.00%, 10/01/20	655	716,622	
State of Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20	3,000	3,488,940	
		10,662,438	
Transportation 10.8%			
County of Broward Florida Fuel System, RB, Lauderdale Fuel Faciilities, Series A (AGM), AMT,			
5.00%, 4/01/20	160	182,010	
County of Broward Florida Port Facilities, Refunding RB, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 9/01/20	2,500	2,868,500	
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 10/01/20	1,375	1,579,201	
County of Miami-Dade Florida Expressway Authority, Refunding RB, Toll System, Series A,			
5.00%, 7/01/20	1,500	1,744,080	
County of Miami-Dade Florida Transit System Sales Surtax, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 7/01/20	550	638,506	
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Refunding RB, Series C, 5.00%, 10/01/20	1,130	1,315,026	
Jacksonville Florida Port Authority, Refunding RB, AMT, 4.00%, 11/01/20	865	925,922	
		9,253,245	
Utilities 10.6%			
City of North Miami Florida Beach Water Revenue, RB, 5.00%, 8/01/20	1,200	1,373,916	
County of Miami-Dade Florida Water & Sewer System, Refunding RB, Series B (AGM), 5.25%,			
10/01/19	4,000	4,649,280	
Florida Governmental Utility Authority, RB, Golden Gate Utility System (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/19	510	579,105	
Florida Governmental Utility Authority, Refunding RB:			
4.00%, 10/01/20	500	552,725	
Lehigh Utility (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/20	635	733,107	
Florida Municipal Power Agency, RB, 5.00%, 10/01/20	500	578,115	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Florida (concluded)	(000)	value	
Utilities (concluded)			
Town of Davie Florida, Refunding RB, Nova Southeastern University Project, Series B,			
5.00%, 4/01/20	\$ 530	\$ 600,750	
5.00 %, 4/01/20	Ψ 330	Ψ 000,730	
		9,066,998	
Total Municipal Bonds in Florida		80,969,799	
Guam 0.6%			
Utilities 0.6%	100	111.240	
Guam Government Waterworks Authority, RB, 5.25%, 7/01/20	100	114,240	
Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/20	310	359,885	
Total Municipal Bonds in Guam		474,125	
U.S. Vingin Islands 2.10%			
U.S. Virgin Islands 3.1% State 3.1%			
Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, RB, Series A:	590	645.022	
5.00%, 10/01/18		645,932	
5.00%, 10/01/19	1,810	2,015,598	
Total Municipal Bonds in U.S. Virgin Islands		2,661,530	
Total Municipal Bonds 98.4%	Par	84,105,454	
	rar		
Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(000)	Value	
Florida 0.2%	(000)	Value	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2%	(000)	Value	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series	, í		
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40	\$ 204	Value \$ 206,742	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series	, í		
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40	, í		
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40	, í		
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments	, í	\$ 206,742	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments	, í	\$ 206,742	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments	, í	\$ 206,742	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments	, í	\$ 206,742	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6%	\$ 204	\$ 206,742	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (f)(g)	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (f)(g) Total Short-Term Securities	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196 550,062	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (f)(g) Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$550,062) 0.6%	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196 550,062 550,062	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (f)(g) Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$550,062) 0.6% Total Investments (Cost \$81,490,570) 99.2%	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196 550,062 550,062 84,862,258	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (f)(g) Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$550,062) 0.6% Total Investments (Cost \$81,490,570) 99.2% Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.0%	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196 550,062 550,062 84,862,258	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (f)(g) Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$550,062) 0.6% Total Investments (Cost \$81,490,570) 99.2% Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.0% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196 550,062 550,062 84,862,258 782,195	
Florida 0.2% Housing 0.2% County of Lee Florida Housing Finance Authority, RB, S/F Housing, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, 9/01/40 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$80,940,508) 98.6% Short-Term Securities FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.01% (f)(g) Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$550,062) 0.6% Total Investments (Cost \$81,490,570) 99.2% Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.0% Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest	\$ 204 Shares	\$ 206,742 84,312,196 550,062 550,062 84,862,258 782,195	

Notes to Schedule of Investments

⁽a) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

- (b) Non-income producing security.
- (c) Issuer filed for bankruptcy and/or is in default of principal and/or interest payments.
- (d) Zero-coupon bond.
- (e) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Trust. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOB Trusts.
- (f) During the year ended July 31, 2015, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the 1940 Act were as follows:

	Shares Held		Shares Held	
	at July 31,	Net	at July 31,	
Affiliate	2014	Activity	2015	Income
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	123,907	426,155	550,062	\$ 570

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Fair Value Hierarchy as of July 31, 2015

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivative financial instruments. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivative financial instruments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the Trust s investments and derivative financial instruments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 84,312,196		\$ 84,312,196
Short-Term Securities	\$ 550,062			550,062
Total	\$ 550,062	\$ 84,312,196		\$ 84,862,258
Total	Ψ 330,002	Ψ 04,512,170		Ψ 04,002,230

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust BFO)

The Trust may hold assets and/or liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial statement purposes. As of July 31, 2015, such assets and/or liabilities are categorized within the disclosure hierarchy as follows:

	Level	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Cash	\$ 1,508			\$ 1,508
Liabilities:				
TOB Trust Certificates		\$ (133,978)		(133,978)
Total	\$ 1,508	\$ (133,978)		\$ (132,470)

During the year ended July 31, 2015, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2015

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Alabama 0.3%			
City of Selma Alabama IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone, International Paper Co. Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/35	\$ 275	\$ 307,926	
Alaska 0.2%			
Northern Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Tobacco Settlement, Asset-Backed, Series			
A, 5.00%, 6/01/46	330	251,674	
California 14.5%			
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A,			
5.25%, 10/01/38	1,315	1,469,197	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/39	890	1,016,229	
City of Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System, Sub-Series		• •	
A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38	1,300	1,434,888	
Kern Community College District, GO, Safety, Repair & Improvement, Election of 2002, Series			
C, 5.50%, 11/01/33	775	912,531	
San Diego Regional Building Authority, RB, County Operations Center & Annex, Series A,			
5.38%, 2/01/36	1,600	1,802,112	
State of California, GO, Various Purposes, 6.00%, 3/01/33	1,275	1,538,887	
State of California Public Works Board, LRB, Various Capital Projects, Series I:			
5.50%, 11/01/31	1,600	1,904,512	
5.50%, 11/01/33	1,500	1,783,170	
State of California Public Works Board, RB, Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation,			
Series F, 5.25%, 9/01/33	400	465,724	
Township of Washington California Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B,		•	
5.50%, 8/01/40	300	351,540	
University of California, Refunding RB, The Regents of Medical Center, Series J, 5.25%, 5/15/38	1,780	2,035,003	
		14,713,793	
Colorado 2.3%		14,713,773	
City & County of Denver Colorado Airport System, ARB, Sub-System, Series B, 5.25%, 11/15/32	1,000	1,141,130	
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A,	1,000	1,111,130	
5.50%, 7/01/34	1,095	1,239,343	
5.50%, 1101151	1,055	1,239,313	
		2 200 452	
71. 11. 7.49		2,380,473	
Florida 5.4%	265	206.054	
City of Jacksonville Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/33	265	306,854	
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Seaport, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/38	3,800	4,559,164	
Reedy Creek Improvement District, GO, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/32	570	652,069	
		5,518,087	
Georgia 1.8%			
Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Refunding RB, Project One, Sub-Series D, 6.00%,			
1/01/23	1,565	1,789,186	
Illinois 22.9%			
City of Chicago Illinois, GARB, O Hare International Airport, 3rd Lien, Series C, 6.50%, 1/01/41	2,955	3,550,255	
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Sales Tax, Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/38	500	522,380	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
Illinois (concluded)	(000)	, mut	
City of Chicago Illinois Transit Authority, RB:			
5.25%, 12/01/31	\$ 1,060	\$ 1,134,041	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/36	1,000	1,062,940	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25%, 12/01/30	1,000	1,052,120	
Sales Tax Receipts, 5.25 %, 12/01/40	1,085	1,134,324	
County of Cook Illinois Community College District No. 508, GO, City College of Chicago:	1,005	1,157,527	
5.50%, 12/01/38	1,000	1,141,100	

5 0567 10101140	2.500	2 995 905	
5.25%, 12/01/43	3,500	3,885,805	
Illinois Finance Authority, RB:	1 000	1.166.270	
Carle Foundation, Series A, 6.00%, 8/15/41	1,000	1,166,370	
Rush University Medical Center, Series B, 7.25%, 11/01/18 (a)	1,600	1,912,304	
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Series A, 6.00%,	1.000	2.160.050	
8/15/39	1,900	2,168,850	
Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/40	645	714,621	
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB:	600	5 0< 000	
5.50%, 6/01/23	690	796,998	
6.00%, 6/01/28	195	229,498	
State of Illinois, GO:			
5.25%, 2/01/31	475	495,501	
5.25%, 2/01/32	1,000	1,038,890	
5.50%, 7/01/33	1,000	1,075,590	
5.50%, 7/01/38	210	222,556	
		23,304,143	
Indiana 2.5%			
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 1/01/39	2,210	2,524,107	
Kansas 1.8%			
Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health System/Sunbelt			
Obligated Group, Series C, 5.50%, 11/15/29	1,600	1,822,656	
Kentucky 0.6%			
County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB,			
Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34	500	585,740	
Louisiana 1.5%			
Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority,			
RB, Westlake Chemical Corp. Project, Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35	715	850,986	
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/29	600	658,824	
		1,509,810	
Maine 1.5%		1,307,010	
Maine Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Maine General Medical Center,			
7.50%, 7/01/32	1,270	1,523,543	
Massachusetts 4.3%	1,270	1,323,343	
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Tufts University, Series O,			
5.38%, 8/15/18 (a)	1,000	1,132,000	
Massachusetts Port Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:	1,000	1,132,000	
5.00%, 7/01/40	2,130	2,424,408	
5.00%, 7/01/45	685	774,742	
5.00 %, 1101175	003	117,172	
		4 221 150	
NO. 11. 2.40		4,331,150	
Michigan 3.4%	015	1.072.606	
City of Lansing Michigan, RB, Board of Water & Light Utilities System, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/41	915	1,073,606	
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38	1,000	1,135,500	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Michigan (concluded)	(555)	
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, Series V, 8.25%, 9/01/18 (a)	\$ 995	\$ 1,214,378
		3,423,484
Mississippi 1.3%		-, -, -
Mississippi Development Bank, RB, Jackson Water & Sewer System Project (AGM), 6.88%, 12/01/40 Nevada 3.9%	1,000	1,277,630
City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34	1,600	1,833,232
County of Clark Nevada Airport System, ARB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/42	1,825	2,121,289
		3,954,521
New Jersey 4.2%		
New Jersey Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System:	1,140	1,202,415
Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38	1,295	1,433,241
Series AA, 5.50%, 6/15/39	1,485	1,591,594
		4,227,250
New York 6.4%		
County of New York Tobacco Trust IV, Refunding RB, Settlement Pass-Through Turbo, Series A,		
6.25%, 6/01/41 (b)	600	614,400
Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Senior, Fiscal 2012, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/47 New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, 2nd Priority, Bank of America Tower at	1,000	1,138,680
One Bryant Park Project, Class 3, 6.38%, 7/15/49	980	1,104,979
State of New York Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B, 5.25%, 3/15/38	3,250	3,649,425
		6,507,484
Ohio 2.7%		
County of Allen Ohio Hospital Facilities, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare Partners, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/38	1,565	1,740,890
State of Ohio Turnpike Commission, RB, Junior Lien, Infrastructure Projects, Series A-1, 5.25%, 2/15/31	885	1,015,874
		2,756,764
Pennsylvania 4.7%		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB, American Water Co. Project, 6.20%, 4/01/39	500	573,540
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series A:		
6.00%, 12/01/16 (a)	1,500	1,610,625
5.63%, 12/01/31	1,250	1,449,437
Township of Bristol Pennsylvania School District, GO, 5.25%, 6/01/37	1,000	1,135,070
		4,768,672
Rhode Island 1.8%		
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Series B, 4.50%, 6/01/45	1,880	1,843,566