SPARTAN STORES INC Form 10-KT March 12, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

" Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 28, 2013.

OR

X Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from March 31, 2013 to December 28, 2013.

Commission File Number: 000-31127

SPARTAN STORES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Michigan (State or Other Jurisdiction)

of Incorporation or Organization)

850 76th Street, S.W.

P.O. Box 8700

Grand Rapids, Michigan 495 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zi Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (616) 878-2000

49518-8700 (Zip Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Securities Exchange Act:

38-0593940 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Title of Class Common Stock, no par value

Name of Exchange on which Registered NASDAQ Global Select Market Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No⁻

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File requirement to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company " Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the registrant s voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates based on the last sales price of such stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on September 13, 2013 (which was the last trading day of the registrant s second quarter in the transition period ended December 28, 2013) was \$448,903,949.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant s Common Stock, no par value, as of March 7, 2014 was 37,720,745, all of one class.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14

Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting to be held May 28, 2014

Forward-Looking Statements

The matters discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include forward-looking statements about the plans, strategies, objectives, goals or expectations of Spartan Stores, Inc. These forward-looking statements are identifiable by words or phrases indicating that Spartan Stores or management expects, anticipates, plans, believes, estimates, intends, or is optimistic or confident that a particular occurrence or ever could, should or will likely result, occur or be pursued or continue in the future, that the outlook or trend is toward a particular result or occurrence, that a development is an opportunity, priority, strategy, focus, that the Company is positioned for a particular result, or similarly stated expectations. Accounting estimates, such as those described under the heading Critical Accounting Policies in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, are inherently forward-looking. Our asset impairment and exit cost provisions are estimates and actual costs may be more or less than these estimates and differences may be material. The purchase price allocations for the merger with Nash-Finch Company is preliminary and completion of the valuation process to determine fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed may result in adjustments. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report.

In addition to other risks and uncertainties described in connection with the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and other periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, there are many important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially. Our ability to achieve sales and earnings expectations; improve operating results; maintain and strengthen our retail-store performance; assimilate acquired distribution centers and stores; maintain or grow sales; respond successfully to competitors including new openings; maintain gross margin; effectively address food cost or price inflation or deflation; maintain and improve customer and supplier relationships; realize expected synergies from merger and acquisition activity; realize expected benefits of restructuring; realize growth opportunities; maintain or expand our customer base; reduce operating costs; sell on favorable terms assets held for sale; generate cash; continue to meet the terms of our debt covenants; continue to pay dividends, and successfully implement and realize the expected benefits of the other programs, initiatives, systems, plans, priorities, strategies, objectives, goals or expectations described in this Annual Report, our other reports, our press releases and our public comments will be affected by changes in economic conditions generally or in the markets and geographic areas that we serve, adverse effects of the changing food and distribution industries, possible changes in the military commissary system, including those stemming from the redeployment of forces, congressional action, changes in funding levels, or the effects of madated reductions in or sequestration of government expenditures, and other factors including, but not limited to, those discussed in the Risk Factors discussion in Item 1A of this Annual Report.

This section and the discussions contained in Item 1A, Risk Factors, and in Item 7, subheading Critical Accounting Policies in this report, both of which are incorporated here by reference, are intended to provide meaningful cautionary statements for purposes of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This should not be construed as a complete list of all of the economic, competitive, governmental, technological and other factors that could adversely affect our expected consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to Spartan Stores or that Spartan Stores currently believes are immaterial also may impair our business, operations, liquidity, financial condition and prospects. We undertake no obligation to update or revise our forward-looking statements to reflect developments that occur or information obtained after the date of this Annual Report.

PART I

Item 1. Business Overview

Spartan Stores, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, Spartan Stores) is a Fortune 500 company and the largest food distributor serving military commissaries and exchanges in the United States, in terms of revenue, and a leading food distributor and grocery retailer, operating principally in the Midwest. The Company s core businesses include distributing food to military commissaries and exchanges and independent and corporate-owned retail stores located in 44 states and the District of Columbia, Europe, Cuba, Puerto Rico, the Azores, Bahrain and Egypt. Effective with the merger with Nash-Finch Company, we operate three reportable business segments: Military, Food Distribution and Retail. For the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 (consisted of 39 weeks due to a change in fiscal year end in conjunction with the merger with Nash-Finch Company), we generated net sales of approximately \$2.6 billion.

Established in 1917 as a cooperative grocery distributor, Spartan Stores converted to a for-profit business corporation in 1973. In January 1999, Spartan Stores began to acquire retail supermarkets in our focused geographic regions. In August 2000, Spartan Stores common stock became listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol SPTN. On November 19, 2013, Spartan Stores merged with Nash-Finch Company, and Nash-Finch Company became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the surviving corporation Spartan Stores. Nash-Finch Company s core businesses include distributing food to military commissaries and independent grocery retailers and distributing to and operating corporate-owned retail stores. Each outstanding share of the common stock of Nash-Finch was converted into 1.20 shares of the combined company s common stock. The Company s common stock continues to trade on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol SPTN. Nash-Finch Company common stock ceased trading on NASDAQ upon completion of the merger. Immediately after the merger, Spartan Stores began doing business under the assumed name of SpartanNash Company , with the formal name change to SpartanNash Company expected to become effective at the annual shareholders meeting in May 2014. Unless the context otherwise requires, the use of the terms SpartanNash, we, us, our and the Company in Annual Report on Form 10-K refers to the surviving corporation Spartan Stores and, as applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries.

The larger geographic reach resulting from the merger with Nash-Finch allows for increased scale as we leverage the organization to enhance the ability of our independent retailers to compete long term in the grocery industry. SpartanNash s hybrid business model supports the close functioning of its Military, Food Distribution, and Retail operations, optimizing the natural complements of each business segment. The model produces operational efficiencies, helps stimulate distribution product demand, and provides sharper market visibility and broader business growth options. In addition, the Military, Food Distribution, and Retail diversification provides added flexibility to pursue the best long-term growth opportunities in each segment.

SpartanNash has established key management priorities that focus on the longer-term strategy of the Company, including establishing a well-differentiated market offering for our Military, Food Distribution, and Retail segments, and additional strategies designed to create value for our shareholders, retailers and customers. These priorities are:

Military:

Leverage the size and scale of the existing distribution and retail segments to attract additional customers.

Continue to partner with Coastal Pacific Food Distributors to leverage the advantage of a worldwide distribution network.

-3-

Food Distribution:

Leverage new competitive position, scale and financial flexibility to further consolidate the distribution channel.

Leverage retail competency and the capabilities of the combined distribution platform to increase business within the existing account base and potentially add new distribution categories and take advantage of current competitive market dynamics to supply new customers.

Continue to focus on increasing private brand penetration and overall purchase concentration.

Retail:

Evaluate banners to maintain a portfolio of customer-relevant offerings for the entire market continuum.

Continue to drive a lean and efficient operating cost structure to remain competitive.

Rationalize store base to maximize capital efficiency and enhance profitability.

Strategically deploy capital to modernize the store base.

Pursue opportunistic roll-ups of existing distribution customers and/or other retailers.

Drive value by expanding consumer relationships with pharmacy, fuel and other promotional offerings. *Military Segment*

Our Military segment sells and distributes grocery products primarily to U.S. military commissaries and exchanges. We are the largest distributor, by revenue, in this market.

The products we distribute are delivered to 174 military commissaries and over 400 exchanges located in 38 states across the United States and the District of Columbia, Europe, Puerto Rico, Cuba, the Azores, Egypt and Bahrain. Our distribution centers are strategically located among the largest concentration of military bases in the areas we serve and near Atlantic ports used to ship grocery products to overseas commissaries and exchanges. Our Military segment has an outstanding reputation as a distributor focused on U.S. military commissaries and exchanges, based in large measure on our excellent service metrics, which include fill rate, on-time delivery and shipping accuracy.

The Defense Commissary Agency (DeCA) operates a chain of commissaries on U.S. military installations throughout the world. DeCA contracts with manufacturers to obtain grocery and related products for the commissary system. Manufacturers either deliver the products to the commissaries themselves or, more commonly, contract with distributors such as us to deliver the products. Manufacturers must authorize the distributors as their official representatives to DeCA, and the distributors must adhere to DeCA s frequent delivery system procedures governing matters such as product identification, ordering and processing, information exchange and resolution of discrepancies. We obtain distribution contracts with manufacturers through competitive bidding processes and direct negotiations.

We have approximately 600 distribution contracts with manufacturers that supply products to the DeCA commissary system and various exchange systems. These contracts generally have an indefinite term, but may be terminated by either party without cause upon 30 days prior written notice to the other party. The contracts typically specify the commissaries and exchanges we are to supply on behalf of the manufacturer, the manufacturer s products to be supplied, service and delivery requirements and pricing and payment terms. Our ten largest manufacturer

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

customers represented approximately 40% of the Military segment s sales for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013.

As commissaries need to be restocked, DeCA identifies each manufacturer with which an order is to be placed for additional products, determines which distributor is the manufacturer s official representative for a particular commissary or exchange location, and places a product order with that distributor under the auspices of DeCA s master contract with the applicable manufacturer. The distributor selects that product from its existing inventory, delivers it to the commissary or commissaries designated by DeCA, and bills the manufacturer for the product shipped. The manufacturer then bills DeCA under the terms of its master contract. Overseas commissaries are serviced in a similar fashion, except that a distributor s responsibility is to deliver products as and when needed to the port designated by DeCA, which in turn bears the responsibility for shipping the product to the applicable commissary or overseas warehouse.

After we ship a particular manufacturer s products to commissaries in response to an order from DeCA, we invoice the manufacturer for the product price plus a service and/or drayage fee that is typically based on a percentage of the purchase price, but may in some cases be based on a dollar amount per case or pound of product sold. Our order handling and invoicing activities are facilitated by a procurement and billing system developed specifically for the military business, which addresses the unique aspects of its business, and provides our manufacturer customers with a web-based, interactive means of accessing critical order, inventory and delivery information.

Food Distribution Segment

SpartanNash s Food Distribution segment uses a multi-platform sales approach to distribute groceries to independent and corporate owned grocery retailers. Total net sales from our Food Distribution segment, including shipments to our corporate-owned stores, which are eliminated in the consolidated financial statements, were approximately \$1.7 billion for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. We believe that we are the fifth largest wholesale distributor to supermarkets in the United States.

Customers. Our Food Distribution segment supplies a diverse group of independent grocery store operators that range from a single store to supermarket chains with as many as 32 stores, as well as our corporate-owned stores. The newly merged company operates in 24 states with 13 distribution centers supporting approximately 1,900 independently owned supermarkets and also supplies our corporate retail base of 172 stores. This larger geographic reach allows for increased scale as we leverage the organization to enhance the ability of our independent retailers to compete long term in the grocery food industry.

On a national account basis, SpartanNash also services a large retailer, with certain product classes, outside of the traditional grocery supermarket industry. Food Distribution sales are made to nearly 11,000 retail locations for this customer, representing more than 5% of total SpartanNash company revenue. Shipments to these locations are made both from SpartanNash food and military distribution centers. Other than this customer, our Food Distribution customer base is very diverse, with no single customer, excluding corporate-owned stores, exceeding 5% of consolidated net sales.

Our five largest Food Distribution customers (excluding corporate-owned stores) accounted for approximately 21% of our Food Distribution net sales for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. In addition, approximately 80% of Food Distribution net sales, including corporate-owned stores, are covered under supply agreements with our Food Distribution customers or are directly controlled by SpartanNash.

Products. Our Food Distribution segment provides a selection of approximately 50,000 stock-keeping units (SKU s), including dry groceries, produce, dairy products, meat, deli, bakery, frozen food, seafood, floral products, general merchandise, pharmacy and health and beauty care.

Our product line includes multi-tiered families of private brands under the platforms of *Spartan, Our Family* and *IGA*. A complete variety of national brands is available in commodities including grocery, dairy, frozen, meat, seafood, produce, floral, bakery, deli, general merchandise and health and beauty care. These market

-5-

leading products, along with best in class services, allow the retailer the opportunity to support the entire operation with a single supplier. Meeting consumers needs will continue to be our mission as we execute our hybrid model of wholesale, retail and military supply.

Food Distribution Functions. Our Food Distribution network is now comprised of 13 distribution centers with approximately 5.7 million square feet of warehouse space.

We believe our distribution facilities are strategically located to efficiently serve our current customers and have the available capacity to support future growth. We are continually evaluating our inventory movement and assigning SKU s to appropriate areas within our distribution facilities to reduce the time required to stock and pick products in order to achieve additional efficiencies.

We have several projects planned for the fiscal year ending January 3, 2015 (which we refer to as fiscal 2014) to further increase the effeciency of our distribution functions. These projects include a cooler expansion in Rapid City, Iowa, billing system conversion integration in the Great Lakes region, a warehouse management system upgrade in Bluefield, consolidation of the Great Lakes region s cigarette and tobacco distribution into the Bellefontaine distribution center, installing voice selection in Sioux Falls and Bluefield, and the purchase of two additional automated guided vehicles (AGV s) to complement the six AGV s that were installed in the Grand Rapids distribution center in 2013.

Across our distribution network we operate a fleet of 356 over-the-road tractors, 967 dry vans, and 886 refrigerated trailers. Through routing optimization systems, we carefully manage the 33.6 million miles our fleet drives annually. We remain committed to the ongoing investment required to maintain a best in class fleet while focusing on low cost, environmentally friendly solutions.

Within our fleet we now have 92 new fifty-three foot refrigerated trailers equipped with a Carrier Vector refrigeration unit. The new Vector units have the capability to run on electric standby, offering an economical and environmentally friendly alternative to diesel fuel.

Additional Services. We also offer and provide many of our independent Distribution customers with value-added services, including:

Site identification and market analysis Store planning and development Marketing, promotion and advertising Technology and information services Accounting, payroll and tax preparation Human resource services Fuel technology Account management field sales support InSite Business to Business communications **Retail Segment** Coupon redemption Product reclamation Graphic services Category management Real estate services Construction management services Pharmacy retail and procurement services Retail pricing

Our neighborhood market strategy distinguishes our stores from supercenters and limited assortment stores by emphasizing convenient locations, demographically targeted merchandise selections, high-quality fresh offerings, customer service, value pricing and community involvement.

Our Retail segment operates 172 retail supermarkets in the Midwest which operate under banners including *Family Fare Supermarkets, No Frills, Bag N Save, Family Fresh Markets, D&W Fresh Markets, Sun Mart* and *Econo Foods,* as well as several other brands.

-6-

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Our retail supermarkets typically offer dry groceries, produce, dairy products, meat, frozen food, seafood, floral products, general merchandise, beverages, tobacco products, health and beauty care products, delicatessen items and bakery goods. In 90 of our supermarkets, we also offer pharmacy services. In addition to nationally advertised products, the stores carry private brand items, including flagship *Spartan* and *Our Family* brands, *Spartan Fresh Selections, IGA* and *Piggly Wiggly* brands; *Top Care*, a health and beauty care brand and *Tippy Toes* by Top Care, a baby brand; *Full Circle* and *Nash Brothers Trading Company*, both natural and organic brands; *World Classics* a premium, unique and worldly brand; *Paws*, a pet supplies brand; *B-leve* a premium bath and beauty brand; and *Valu Time*. In addition to *Valu Time*, we have just launched our new *me too!* value brand. These private brand items provide enhanced retail margins and we believe they help generate increased customer loyalty. See Merchandising and Marketing Corporate Brands. Our retail supermarkets range in size from approximately 9,975 to 92,381 total square feet and average approximately 41,600 total square feet per store.

We operate 34 fuel centers primarily at our supermarket locations operating under the banners *Family Fare Quick Stop, D&W Quick Stop, Glen s Quick Stop, VG s Quick Stop, Forest Hills Quick Stop, FTC Express Fuel* and *Sunmart Express Fuel*. These fuel centers offer refueling facilities and in the adjacent convenience store, a limited variety of popular consumable products. Our prototypical *Quick Stop* stores are approximately 1,100 square feet in size and are generally located adjacent to our supermarkets. We have experienced increases in supermarket sales upon opening fuel centers and initiating cross-merchandising activities. We are planning to continue to open additional fuel centers at certain of our supermarket locations over the next few years.

Our stores are primarily the result of acquisitions from January 1999 to December 2013 and the recent merger with Nash-Finch. The following chart details the changes in the number of our stores over the last five fiscal years:

Fiscal Year	Number of Stores at Beginning of Fiscal Year	Stores Acquired or Added During Fiscal Year	Stores Closed or Sold During Fiscal Year	Number of Stores at End of Fiscal Year
March 27, 2010	100		4	96
March 26, 2011	96	1		97
March 31, 2012	97		1	96
March 30, 2013	96	5		101
December 28, 2013	101	78	7	172

During the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, we opened 1 new *ValuLand* store, completed one major remodel, and completed many limited remodels. We also converted 12 stores to the *Family Fare* banner and acquired two stores in Dickinson, North Dakota.

We expect to continue making progress with our capital investment program during fiscal 2014 by completing five minor remodels and ten major remodels, 16 store re-banners, two fuel centers as well as beginning construction on two new stores. We will also continue to evaluate our store base and may close up to ten stores over the course of 2014. We evaluate proposed retail projects based on demographics and competition within each market, and prioritize projects based on their expected returns on investment. Approval of proposed capital projects requires a projected internal rate of return that meets or exceeds our policy; however, we may undertake projects that do not meet this standard to the extent they represent required maintenance or necessary infrastructure improvements. In addition, we perform a post completion review of financial results versus our expectation on all major projects. We believe that focusing on such measures provides us with an appropriate level of discipline in our capital expenditures process.

-7-

Products

We offer a wide variety of grocery products, general merchandise and health and beauty care, pharmacy, fuel and other items and services. Our consolidated net sales include the net sales of our Military segment, corporate-owned stores and fuel centers in our Retail segment and the net sales of our Food Distribution business, which excludes sales to affiliated stores.

The following table presents sales by type of similar product and services:

(Dollars in thousands)	December 28, (39 weeks		March 30, 2 (52 weeks		March 31, 2012 (53 weeks)	
Non-perishables (1)	\$ 1,393,157	53.6%	\$ 1,289,461	49.4%	\$ 1,293,147	49.1%
Perishables (2)	894,783	34.5	930,659	35.7	933,545	35.4
Fuel	145,631	5.6	179,012	6.9	187,631	7.1
Pharmacy	163,659	6.3	209,028	8.0	219,903	8.4
Consolidated net sales	\$ 2,597,230	100%	\$ 2,608,160	100%	\$ 2,634,226	100%

(1) Consists primarily of general merchandise, grocery, beverages, snacks and frozen foods.

(2) Consists primarily of produce, dairy, meat, bakery, deli, floral and seafood.

Reporting Segment Financial Data

More detailed information about our reporting segments may be found in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8, which is herein incorporated by reference. All of our sales and all of our assets are in the United States of America.

Discontinued Operations

Certain of our retail and food distribution operations have been recorded as discontinued operations. Discontinued retail operations consist of certain stores that have been closed or sold. Discontinued food distribution operations consist of our Maumee, Ohio and Toledo, Ohio distribution centers that previously serviced retail stores which have been closed or sold. Additional information may be found in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8, which is herein incorporated by reference.

Marketing and Merchandising

General. We continue to align our marketing and merchandising strategies with current consumer behaviors by providing initiatives centered on loyalty, value, and health and wellness. These strategies focus on delivering consumer centric programs to effectively leverage the use of loyalty card program data and category management principles to satisfy the consumer s needs.

We believe that our over-arching focus on the consumer gives us insight into purchasing and consumption behavior and the flexibility to adapt to rapidly changing market conditions by making tactical adjustments to our marketing and merchandising programs that deliver more tangible value to our customers. To further strengthen our knowledge of the consumer we have entered into a consulting and analytical partnership with Aimia, Inc., a global leader in loyalty management.

Through the partnership, SpartanNash and Aimia will work closely together to leverage and further develop SpartanNash s customer centric approach to retail. By harnessing data collected from our Yes Rewards customer loyalty program, SpartanNash will continue to improve our capabilities to provide customers with a more relevant and personalized shopping experience. This effort also enables us to continue to learn more about our best customers; develop strategies to enable long-term customer and supplier loyalty; deploy a more effective and efficient marketing spend; and ultimately make better business decisions.

As we build this capability, along with our other strategies to develop and leverage insights, we will continue to share our marketing and merchandising learnings and best practices across our broad wholesale customer base.

Our Yes Rewards program continues to play a key role in providing us with sophisticated data to understand our customers purchasing behavior. This information is integral to improving the effectiveness of our promotions, marketing and merchandising programs. In the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, based on customer research and insights, we simplified our Yes Rewards program in order to further engage the customer and improve the customer experience. We revised our Yes Rewards program by removing the points component of the program whereby customers could earn and redeem points for their purchases. We also simplified our program by focusing on four key value propositions for the customer: in-store savings, fuel, pharmacy, and digital coupons. We introduced our digital coupon program in October 2013, enabling us to demonstrate additional value to our customers and expanding their ability to access promotions via mobile, online and in-store. To date, more than two million coupons have been downloaded. These improvements will help us to further build longer-term customer loyalty, maintain efficicient marketing spend and increase return on investment, improve our sales growth opportunites and further strenghen our market position.

As we expand our service offerings, we believe that we differentiate ourselves from our competitors by offering a full set of services, from value added services in our Food Distribution segment to the addition of fuel centers and *Starbucks Coffee* shops in some of our retail stores.

To engender loyalty with our retail customers, we provide them with discounts on fuel purchases at our fuel centers. Fuel centers have proven to be effective traffic-builders for fuel-purchasing customers who wish to take advantage of cross-promotions between the stores and the *Quick Stop* fuel centers or one of our third party fuel suppliers. Consumers are focusing on value in today s economy and offerings such as the fuel rewards program are helping us to meet that need.

We offer pharmacy services in 90 of our supermarkets and we also operate two free standing pharmacy locations. We believe the pharmacy service offering in our supermarkets is an important part of the consumer experience. We continue to evolve our pharmacy program by connecting with the consumer and focusing on health and wellness. In our Michigan pharmacies we offer free medications (antibiotics, diabetic medications and pre-natal vitamins) along with generic drugs for \$4 and \$10 as well as food solutions for preventative health and education for our customers. We are considering the possible expansion of these programs to our pharmacies outside of Michigan.

We strive to be a health and wellness solution for our customers as well. One way that we do this is with our Nutrition Guide tags which provide nutrition information on shelf tags for thousands of items throughout the store, making it easy for our customers to purchase items that meet their health needs. In addition, based on the success of our corporate-owned retail stores, we have rolled out our Nutrition Guide program to our independent distribution customers. This value-add service enables our independent customers to communicate important product nutrition information to their customers in a consumer-friendly manner.

We were also one of the first retailers in the country to begin to incorporate the Food Marketing Institute s Facts Up Front nutrition labeling on our *Spartan* and *Spartan Fresh Selections* private brand packages. We have substantially all of our *Spartan* brand food product packaging incorporated with Facts Up Front and we plan to expand this labeling to other corporate owned brands.

At SpartanNash, we are committed to being a consumer driven retailer. In fiscal 2009, we implemented a customer satisfaction program that gives consumers a channel for communicating their store experiences. Retail customers are randomly selected via point-of-sale receipts and invited to give us feedback by completing an online survey. Results of these surveys help us assess overall customer satisfaction and identify several opportunities to focus on to drive consumer satisfaction and loyalty. From this program, we have developed a

-9-

fresh selection initiative to drive our competitive advantage. We value the opinions of our consumers and believe the best way to deliver a high quality shopping experience is to let customers tell us what they want and need. We believe this survey dialogue will better enable us to identify opportunities for continuous improvements for consistency and excellence in the overall consumer experience.

Over the past two years, we have been experimenting with a value store format, under the banner *Valu Land*. We converted three small store locations to this format in fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, opened four new *Valu Land* locations during fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 and opened one new *Valu Land* location during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. We closed two underperforming locations in December 2013. We are still early in the development and testing of this store format and will continue to fine tune the offering as our learnings progress.

Private Brands. SpartanNash currently markets and distributes over 8,600 private brand items including Spartan, Spartan Fresh Selections, Our Family, IGA and Piggly Wiggly brands; Top Care, a health and beauty care brand; Tippy Toe, a baby brand; Full Circle and Nash Brothers Trading Company, both natural and organic brands; World Classics, a premium, unique and worldly brand; Paws, a pet supplies brand; B-leve, a premium bath and beauty brand; and Valu Time. In addition to Valu Time, we have just launched our new me too! value brand. We believe that our private brand offerings are part of our most valuable strategic assets, demonstrated through customer loyalty and profitability.

We have worked diligently to develop a best in class private brand program that contains multiple labels and go-to-market strategies. We have added more than 600 corporate brand products to our consumer offerings in the past year and plan to introduce approximately 500 new items in fiscal 2014. Our products have been frequently recognized for excellence in packaging design and product development. These awards underscore our continued commitment to providing the consumer with quality products at exceptional value. Our focus is and will continue to be the pursuit of new opportunities and expansion of private brand offerings to our consumers.

Competition

Our Military, Food Distribution and Retail segments operate in highly competitive markets, which typically result in low profit margins for the industry as a whole. We compete with, among others, regional and national grocery distributors, independently owned retail grocery stores, large chain stores that have integrated wholesale and retail operations, mass merchandisers, limited assortment stores and wholesale membership clubs, many of whom have greater resources than we do.

We are one of five distributors in the United States with annual sales to the DeCA commissary system in excess of \$100 million that distributes products via the frequent delivery system. The remaining distributors that supply DeCA tend to be smaller, regional and local providers. In addition, manufacturers contract with others to deliver certain products, such as baking supplies, produce, deli items, soft drinks and snack items, directly to DeCA commissaries and service exchanges. Because of the narrow margins in this industry, it is of critical importance for distributors to achieve economies of scale, which is typically a function of the density or concentration of military bases within the geographic market(s) a distributor serves, and the distributor s share of that market. As a result, no single distributor in this industry, by itself, has a nationwide presence. Rather, distributors tend to concentrate on specific regions, or areas within specific regions, where they can achieve critical mass and utilize warehouse and distribution facilities efficiently. In addition, distributors that operate larger non-military specific distribution businesses tend to compete for DeCA commissary business in areas where such business would enable them to more efficiently utilize the capacity of their existing distribution centers. We believe the principal competitive factors among distributors within this industry are customer service, price, operating efficiencies, reputation with DeCA and location of distribution centers. We believe our competitive position is very strong with respect to all these factors within the geographic areas where we compete.

-10-

The primary competitive factors in the food distribution business include price, product quality, variety and service. We believe our overall service level, defined as actual units shipped divided by actual units ordered is among industry leading performance in our distribution segments.

The principal competitive factors in the retail grocery business include the location and image of the store; the price, quality and variety of the perishable products; and the quality and consistency of service. We believe we have developed and implemented strategies and processes that allow us to remain competitive in our Retail segment. We monitor planned store openings by our competitors and have established proactive strategies to respond to new competition both before and after the competitive store opening. Strategies to combat competition vary based on many factors, such as the competitor s format, strengths, weaknesses, pricing and sales focus. During the past three fiscal years, three competitor supercenters opened in markets in which we operate corporate-owned stores. No additional openings are expected to occur during fiscal 2014 against our corporate-owned stores. As a result of these openings we believe the majority of our supermarkets compete with one, if not multiple, supercenters.

Seasonality

Our sales and operating performance vary with seasonality. Our former first and fourth quarters were typically our lowest sales quarters. In the future under our new fiscal quarter format, the first and second quarters are expected to be our lowest sales quarters. Therefore, operating results are generally lower during these two quarters. Many northern Michigan stores are dependent on tourism and therefore, are most affected by seasons and weather patterns, including, but not limited to, the amount and timing of snowfall during the winter months and the range of temperature during the summer months. Historically, all quarters are 12 weeks, except for our third quarter, which was 16 weeks and included the Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays. Beginning with fiscal 2014, our first quarter will consist of 16 weeks and will usually include the Easter holiday while all other quarters will consist of 12 weeks each. The transition fiscal year ended December 28, 2013 consisted of 39 weeks; therefore, the third and final quarter of the short year consisted of 15 weeks rather than 16 weeks. Fiscal year ended March 30, 2012 contained 53 weeks; therefore, the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 consisted of 13 weeks rather than 12 weeks.

Suppliers

We purchase products from a large number of national, regional and local suppliers of name brand and private brand merchandise. We have not encountered any material difficulty in procuring or maintaining an adequate level of products to serve our customers. No single supplier accounts for more than 7.0% of our purchases. We continue to develop strategic relationships with key suppliers and we believe this will prove valuable in the development of enhanced promotional programs and consumer value perceptions.

Intellectual Property

We own valuable intellectual property, including trademarks and other proprietary information, some of which are of material importance to our business.

Technology

Spartan continues to invest in technology as a means of maximizing the efficiency of our operations, improving service to our customers, and where possible deploying technology to provide a competitive advantage in the marketplace.

Supply Chain. During the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, we continued to make major enhancements to our web based product information system for use by our distribution customers. We completed our new retail price management system which allows our independent customers to better manage and control

-11-

the retail prices of the products supplied by SpartanNash. We also made major enhancements to our order management system including order maintenance and status features for the distribution customer. In the distribution area we installed the first phase of AGVs in our Grand Rapids Distribution center. These AGVs provide automated put-away and replenishment of pallets in the grocery distribution center. We also dramatically expanded our use of Advanced Ship Notices for receiving in our distribution centers.

Retail Systems. During the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, we started the pilot of a major revision of our self-checkout system to provide internal efficiencies and enhance the customer experience. We enhanced the loyalty marketing system to provide electronic coupons for SpartanNash and manufacturer coupons, through e-mail, web and mobile access. We released several new versions of our mobile smartphone applications to enhance the customer experience and to add additional functionality. We began the installation of a major Loyalty Analytics system to support Marketing and Merchandising customer analysis of our loyalty system data.

Administrative Systems. We implemented numerous enhancements to our Human Resource system in the areas of absence management, time keeping and management self service functions.

Information Technology Infrastructure. We completed a major upgrade to our storage systems during the 39 week fiscal period ended December 28, 2013 to dramatically increase capacity and performance. We added additional processing capacity and increased our network bandwidth at our primary and backup data centers. We added a high performance data base machine to dramatically improve the performance of our data warehouse and business intelligence reporting system.

Merger Related System Consolidation. With the completion of the merger with Nash-Finch Company, we have developed a plan to consolidate to a single set of computer systems from the two companies. We have completed an analysis of the existing systems of the two companies and developed a plan to consolidate on to the best system from the two legacy companies. This analysis has identified a set of over 60 projects to perform the conversion and consolidation. These projects have been laid out in a three year schedule that allows SpartanNash to achieve the planned synergies and provide the best possible experience for our customers from the resulting systems.

Associates

As of December 28, 2013, we employed approximately 15,900 associates, 8,800 of which are on a full-time basis and 7,100 which are part-time. Approximately 1,300 associates, or 8%, were represented by unions under collective bargaining agreements that will expire over the next two years and consisted primarily of warehouse personnel and drivers at our Michigan, Ohio and Indiana distribution centers. We consider our relations with our union and non-union associates to be good and have not had any material work stoppages in over twenty years.

Regulation

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations covering the purchase, handling, sale and transportation of our products. Several of our products are subject to federal Food and Drug Administration regulation. We believe that we are in substantial compliance in all material respects with the Food and Drug Administration and other federal, state and local laws and regulations governing our businesses.

Forward-Looking Statements

The matters discussed in this Item 1 include forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

-12-

Available Information

The address of our web site is www.spartannash.com. The inclusion of our website address in this Form 10-K does not include or incorporate by reference the information on or accessible through our website, and you should not consider information contained on or accessible through those websites as part of this Form 10-K. We make our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other reports (and amendments to those reports) filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act available on our web site as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Interested persons can view such materials without charge by clicking on For Investors and then SEC Filings on our web site. SpartanNash is an accelerated filer within the meaning of Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business faces many risks. If any of the events or circumstances described in the following risk factors occurs, our financial condition or results of operations may suffer, and the trading price of our common stock could decline. This discussion of risk factors should be read in conjunction with the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All of our forward-looking statements are affected by the risk factors discussed in this item and this discussion of risk factors should be read in conjunction with the discussion of forward-looking statements which appears at the beginning of this report.

We operate in an extremely competitive industry. Many of our competitors are much larger than we are and may be able to compete more effectively.

The Military segment faces competition from large national and regional food distributors as well as smaller distributors. Due to the narrow margins in the military food distribution industry, it is of critical importance for distributors to achieve economies of scale, which are typically a function of the density or concentration of military bases in the geographic markets a distributor serves and a distributor s share of that market. As a result, no single distributor in this industry, by itself, has a nationwide presence.

Our Food Distribution and Retail segments compete with, among others, regional and national grocery distributors, independently owned retail grocery stores, large chain stores that have integrated wholesale and retail operations, mass merchandisers, limited assortment stores and wholesale membership clubs, many of whom have greater resources than we do. Some of our distribution and retail competitors are substantially larger and have greater financial resources and geographic scope, lower merchandise acquisition costs and lower operating expenses than we do, intensifying competition at the wholesale and retail levels.

The effects of industry consolidation and the expansion of alternative store formats have resulted in, and continue to result in, market share losses for traditional grocery stores. These trends have produced even stronger competition for our retail business and for the independent customers of our food distribution business. To the extent our independent customers are acquired by our competitors or are not successful in competing with other retail chains and non-traditional competitors, sales by our food distribution business will be affected. If we fail to implement strategies to respond effectively to these competitive pressures, our operating results could be adversely affected by price reductions, decreased sales or margins, or loss of market share.

This competition may result in reduced profit margins and other harmful effects on us and the Food Distribution customers that we supply. Ongoing industry consolidation could result in our loss of customers that we currently supply and could confront our retail operations with competition from larger and better-capitalized chains in existing or new markets. We may not be able to compete successfully in this environment.

Our businesses could be negatively affected if we fail to retain existing customers or attract significant numbers of new customers.

Growing and increasing the profitability of our distribution businesses is dependent in large measure upon our ability to retain existing customers and capture additional distribution customers through our existing

network of distribution centers, enabling us to more effectively utilize the fixed assets in those businesses. Our ability to achieve these goals is dependent, in part, upon our ability to continue to provide a high level of customer service, offer competitive products at low prices, maintain high levels of productivity and efficiency, particularly in the process of integrating new customers into our distribution system, and offer marketing, merchandising and ancillary services that provide value to our independent customers. If we are unable to execute these tasks effectively, we may not be able to attract significant numbers of new customers, and attrition among our existing customer base could increase, either or both of which could have an adverse impact on our revenue and profitability.

Growing and increasing the profitability of our retail business is dependent upon increasing our market share in the communities where our retail stores are located. We plan to invest in redesigning some of our retail stores into other formats in order to attract new customers and increase our market share. Our results of operations may be adversely impacted if we are unable to attract significant numbers of new retail customers.

Government regulation could harm our business.

Our business is subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations including, but not limited to, employment and wage laws and regulations, regulations governing the sale of pharmaceuticals, alcohol and tobacco, minimum wage requirements, working condition requirements, public accessibility requirements, citizenship requirements, environmental regulation, and other laws and regulations. A violation or change of these laws could have a material effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Like other companies that sell food and drugs, our stores are subject to various federal, state, local, and foreign laws, regulations, and administrative practices affecting our business. We must comply with numerous provisions regulating health and sanitation standards, facilities inspection, food labeling, and licensing for the sale of food, drugs, tobacco and alcoholic beverages.

We cannot predict the nature of future laws, regulations, interpretations, or applications, or determine what effect either additional government regulations or administrative orders, when and if promulgated, or disparate federal, state, local, and foreign regulatory requirements will have on our future business. They could, however, require that we recall or discontinue sale of certain products, make substantial changes to our facilities or operations, or otherwise result in substantial increases in operating expense. Any or all of such requirements could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our Military segment operations are dependent upon domestic and international military distribution, and a change in the military commissary system, or level of governmental funding, could negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition.

Because our Military segment sells and distributes grocery products to military commissaries and exchanges in the United States and overseas, any material changes in the commissary system, the level of governmental funding to DeCA, military staffing levels, or the locations of bases may have a corresponding impact on the sales and operating performance of this segment. These changes could include privatization of some or all of the military commissary system, relocation or consolidation of commissaries and exchanges, base closings, troop redeployments or consolidations in the geographic areas containing commissaries and exchanges served by us, or a reduction in the number of persons having access to the commissaries and exchanges. Mandated reductions in the government expenditures, including those imposed as a result of sequestration, may impact the level of funding to DeCA and could have a material impact on our operations.

We are subject to state and federal environmental regulations.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we may, as the owner or operator of our locations, be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of contamination at these current or our former

-14-

locations, whether or not we knew of, or were responsible for, the presences of such contamination. The failure to properly remediate such contamination may subject us to liability to third parties and may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease such property or to borrow money using such property as collateral.

Compliance with existing and future environmental laws regulating underground storage tanks may require significant capital expenditures and increased operating and maintenance costs.

The remediation costs and other costs required to clean up or treat contaminated sites could be substantial. In the future, we may incur substantial expenditures for remediation of contamination that has not been discovered at existing or acquired locations. We cannot assure you that we have identified all environmental liabilities at all of our current and former locations; that material environmental conditions not known to us do not exist; that future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose material environmental liability on us; or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist as to any one or more of our locations. In addition, failure to comply with any environmental laws, ordinances or regulations could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Changes in accounting standards could materially impact our results.

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and related accounting pronouncements, implementation guidelines, and interpretations for many aspects of our business, such as accounting for insurance and self-insurance, inventories, goodwill and intangible assets, store closures, leases, income taxes and share-based payments, are highly complex and involve subjective judgments. Changes in these rules or their interpretation could significantly change or add significant volatility to our reported earnings without a comparable underlying change in cash flow from operations.

Safety concerns regarding our products could harm our business.

It is sometimes necessary for us to recall unsafe, contaminated or defective products. Recall costs can be material and we might not be able to recover costs from our suppliers. Concerns regarding the safety of food products sold by us could cause shoppers to avoid purchasing certain products from us, or to seek alternative sources of supply for some or all of their food needs, even if the basis for concern is outside of our control. Any loss of confidence on the part of our customers would be difficult and costly to overcome. Any real or perceived issue regarding the safety of any food or drug items sold by us, regardless of the cause, could have a substantial and adverse effect on our business.

We may not be able to implement our strategy of growth through acquisitions.

Part of our growth strategy involves selected acquisitions of additional retail grocery stores, grocery store chains or distribution facilities. We may not be able to implement this part of our growth strategy or ultimately be successful. We may not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates in the future, complete acquisitions or obtain the necessary financing.

Because we operate in the Food Distribution business, future acquisitions of retail grocery stores could result in us competing with our independent grocery store customers and could have adverse effects on existing business relationships with our Food Distribution customers.

The success of our acquisitions will depend, in part, on whether we achieve the business synergies and related cost savings that we anticipated in connection with these transactions and any future acquisitions. Accordingly, we may not achieve expected results and long-term business goals.



Our business is subject to risks from regional economic conditions, fuel prices, and other factors in our markets.

Our business is sensitive to changes in general economic conditions. In recent years, the United States has experienced volatility in the economy and financial markets due to uncertainties related to energy prices, availability of credit, difficulties in the banking and financial services sector, the decline in the housing market, diminished market liquidity, falling consumer confidence and high unemployment rates. These adverse economic conditions in our markets, potential reduction in the populations in our markets and the loss of purchasing power by residents in our markets could reduce the amount and mix of groceries purchased, could cause consumers to trade down to less expensive mix of products or to trade down to discounters, all of which may affect our revenues and profitability.

Rising gasoline prices may affect consumer behavior and retail grocery prices. The impact of rising petroleum prices may prompt consumers to make different choices in how and where they shop due to the high price of gasoline. Additionally, the impact of higher fuel costs is passed through by manufacturers and distributors in the prices of goods and services provided, again potentially affecting consumer buying decisions. This could have adverse impacts on retail store traffic, basket size and overall spending at both our corporate and independent retail stores.

In addition, many of our retail grocery stores, as well as stores operated by our Food Distribution customers are located in areas that are heavily dependent upon tourism. Unseasonable weather conditions and the economic conditions discussed above may decrease tourism activity and could result in decreased sales by our retail grocery stores and decreased sales to our Food Distribution customers, adversely affecting our business.

Economic downturns and uncertainty have adversely affected overall demand and intensified price competition, and have caused consumers to trade down by purchasing lower margin items and to make fewer purchases in traditional supermarket channels. Continued negative economic conditions affecting disposable consumer income such as employment levels, business conditions, changes in housing market conditions, the availability of credit, interest rates, volatility in fuel and energy costs, food price inflation or deflation, employment trends in our markets and labor costs, the impact of natural disasters or acts of terrorism, and other matters affecting consumer spending could cause consumers to continue shifting even more of their spending to lower-priced products and competitors. The continued general reductions in the level of discretionary spending or shifts in consumer discretionary spending to our competitors could adversely affect our growth and profitability.

Disruptions to worldwide financial and credit markets could potentially reduce the availability of liquidity and credit generally necessary to fund a continuation and expansion of global economic activity. A shortage of liquidity and credit in certain markets has the potential to lead to worldwide economic difficulties that could be prolonged. A general slowdown in the economic activity caused by an extended period of economic uncertainty could adversely affect our businesses. Difficult financial and economic conditions could also adversely affect our customers ability to meet the terms of sale or our suppliers ability to fully perform their commitments to us.

Macroeconomic and geopolitical events may adversely affect our customers, access to products, or lead to general cost increases which could negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition.

The impact of events in foreign countries which could result in increased political instability and social unrest and the economic ramifications of significant budget deficits in the United States and changes in policy attributable to them at both the federal and state levels could adversely affect our businesses and customers. Adverse economic or geopolitical events could potentially reduce our access to or increase prices associated with products sourced abroad. Such adverse events could lead to significant increases in the price of the products we procure, fuel and other supplies used in our business, utilities, or taxes that cannot be fully recovered through price increases. In addition, disposable consumer income could be affected by these events, which could have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

-16-

Inflation and deflation may adversely affect our operating results.

In this uncertain economy, it is difficult to forecast whether fiscal 2014 will be a period of inflation or deflation. Food deflation could reduce sales growth and earnings, while food inflation, combined with reduced consumer spending, could reduce gross profit margins. If we experience significant inflation or deflation, especially in the context of continued lower consumer spending, then our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Substantial operating losses may occur if the customers to whom we extend credit or for whom we guarantee loan or lease obligations fail to repay us.

In the ordinary course of business, we extend credit, including loans, to our Food Distribution customers, and provide financial assistance to some customers by guaranteeing their loan or lease obligations. We also lease store sites for sublease to independent retailers. Generally, our loans and other financial accommodations are extended to small businesses that are unrelated and may have limited access to conventional financing. As of December 28, 2013, we had loans, net of reserves, of \$30.7 million outstanding to 52 of our Food Distribution customers and had guaranteed outstanding lease obligations of Food Distribution customers totaling \$1.0 million. In the normal course of business, we also sublease retail properties and assign retail property leases to third parties. As of December 28, 2013, the present value of our maximum contingent liability exposure, with respect to sublease and assigned leases was \$17.7 million and \$7.9 million, respectively. While we seek to obtain security interest and other credit support in connection with the financial accommodations we extend, such collateral may not be sufficient to cover our exposure. Greater than expected losses from existing or future credit extensions, loans, guarantee commitments or sublease arrangements could negatively and potentially materially impact our operating results and financial condition.

We may be unable to retain our key management personnel.

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of senior management. The loss of any key member of our management team may prevent us from implementing our business plans in a timely manner. We cannot assure you that successors of comparable ability will be identified and appointed and that our business will not be adversely affected.

A number of our Food Distribution segment associates are covered by collective bargaining agreements.

Approximately 57% of our warehouse associates in our Food Distribution business segment are covered by collective bargaining agreements which expire between March 2014 and September 2016. We expect that rising health care, pension and other employee benefit costs, among other issues, will continue to be important topics of negotiation with the labor unions. Upon the expiration of our collective bargaining agreements, work stoppages by the affected workers could occur if we are unable to negotiate an acceptable contract with the labor unions. This could significantly disrupt our operations. Further, if we are unable to control health care and pension costs provided for in the collective bargaining agreements, we may experience increased operating costs and an adverse impact on future results of operations.

Unions may attempt to organize additional employees.

While we believe that relations with our employees are good, we may continue to see additional union organizing campaigns. The potential for unionization could increase as any new related legislation regulations are passed. We respect our employees right to unionize or not to unionize. However, the unionization of a significant portion of our workforce could increase our overall costs at the affected locations and adversely affect our flexibility to run our business in the most efficient manner to remain competitive or acquire new business and could adversely affect our results of operations by increasing our labor costs or otherwise restricting our ability to maximize the efficiency of our operations.

-17-

Costs related to multi-employer pension plans and other postretirement plans could increase.

We contribute to the Central States Southeast and Southwest Pension Fund (Plan), a multiemployer pension plan. Our participation in this Plan results from obligations contained in collective bargaining agreements with Teamsters locals 406 and 908. We do not administer nor control this Plan, and we have relatively little control over the level of contributions we are required to make. Currently, this Plan is underfunded; and as a result, contributions are scheduled to increase. Additionally, we expect that contributions to this Plan will be subject to further increases. Benefit levels and related issues will continue to create collective bargaining challenges. The amount of any increase or decrease in our required contributions to this Plan will depend upon the outcome of collective bargaining, the actions taken by the trustees who manage the Plan, governmental regulations, actual return on investment of Plan assets, the continued viability and contributions of other contributing employers, and the potential payment of withdrawal liability should we choose to exit a market, among other factors.

Under current law, an employer that withdraws or partially withdraws from a multi-employer pension plan may incur withdrawal liability to the plan if it is underfunded. The assessed withdrawal liability represents the portion of the plan s underfunding that is allocable to the withdrawing employer under very complex actuarial and allocation rules. Withdrawal liability may be incurred under a variety of circumstances, including selling, closing or substantially reducing employment at a facility. Withdrawal liability could be material, and potential exposure to withdrawal liability may influence business decisions and could cause the company to forgo business opportunities. We are currently unable to reasonably estimate such liability. Any adjustment for withdrawal liability will be recorded when it is probable that a liability exists and can be reasonably estimated.

We maintain defined benefit retirement plans for certain of our employees that do not participate in multi-employer pension plans. These plans are frozen. Expenses associated with the defined benefit plans may significantly increase due to changes to actuarial assumptions or investment returns on plan assets that are less favorable than projected. In addition, changes in our funding status could adversely affect our financial position.

Risks associated with insurance plan claims could increase future expenses.

We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance to provide for potential liabilities for workers compensation, automobile and general liability, property insurance, director and officers liability insurance, and employee health care benefits. The liabilities that have been recorded for these claims represent our best estimate, using generally accepted actuarial reserving methods, of the ultimate obligations for reported claims plus those incurred but not reported for all claims incurred through December 28, 2013. Any actuarial projection of losses is subject to a high degree of variability. Changes in legal trends and interpretations, variability in inflation rates, changes in the nature and method of claims settlement, benefit level changes due to changes in applicable laws, and changes in discount rates could all affect the level of reserves required and could cause future expense to maintain reserves at appropriate levels.

Costs related to associate healthcare benefits are expected to continue to increase.

We provide health benefits for a large number of associates. Our costs to provide such benefits continue to increase annually and recent legislative and private sector initiatives regarding healthcare reform are likely to result in significant changes to the U.S. healthcare system. At this time we are not able to determine the impact that healthcare reform will have on the Company-sponsored healthcare plans. In addition, we participate in various multi-employer health plans for our union associates, and we are required to make contributions to these plans in amounts established under collective bargaining agreements. The cost of providing benefits through such plans has escalated rapidly in recent years. The amount of any increase or decrease in our required contributions to these multi-employer plans will depend upon many factors, many of which are beyond our control. If we are unable to control the costs of providing healthcare to associates, we may experience increased operating costs, which may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

-18-

Changes in vendor promotions or allowances, including the way vendors target their promotional spending, and our ability to effectively manage these programs could significantly impact our margins and profitability.

We cooperatively engage in a variety of promotional programs with our vendors. As the parties assess the results of specific promotions and plan for future promotions, the nature of these programs and the allocation of dollars among them changes over time. We manage these programs to maintain or improve margins while at the same time increasing sales for us and for the vendors. A reduction in overall promotional spending or a shift in promotional spending away from certain types of promotions that we and our distribution customers have historically utilized could have a significant impact on profitability.

We depend upon vendors to supply us with quality merchandise at the right time and at the right price.

We depend heavily on our ability to purchase merchandise in sufficient quantities at competitive prices. We have no assurances of continued supply, pricing, or access to new products and any vendor could at any time change the terms upon which it sells to us or discontinue selling to us. Sales demands may lead to insufficient in-stock positions of our merchandise.

Significant changes in our ability to obtain adequate product supplies due to weather, food contamination, regulatory actions, labor supply, or product vendor defaults or disputes that limit our ability to procure products for sale to customers could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

Threats to security or the occurrence of a health pandemic could harm our business.

Our business could be severely impacted by wartime activities, threats or acts of terrorism or a widespread health pandemic. Any of these events could adversely impact our business by disrupting delivery of products to our corporate stores or our independent retail customers, by affecting our ability to appropriately staff our stores and by causing customers to avoid public places.

We have large, complex information technology systems that are important to our business operations. Although we have implemented security programs and disaster recovery facilities and procedures, security could be compromised and systems disruptions, data theft or other criminal activity could occur. This could result in a loss of sales or profits or cause us to incur significant costs to restore our systems or to reimburse third parties for damages. To date, we have not had any material breaches of security.

Severe weather and natural disasters could harm our business.

Severe weather conditions and natural disasters, whether a result of climate change or otherwise, could affect the suppliers from whom we purchase products and could cause disruptions in our operations. Unseasonably adverse climatic conditions that impact growing conditions and the crops of food producers may adversely affect the availability or cost of certain products.

Damage to our facilities could harm our business.

A majority of the product we supply to our retail stores, Military and Food Distribution customers flows through our distribution centers. While we believe we have adopted commercially reasonable precautions, insurance programs, and contingency plans, the destruction of, or substantial damage to, our distribution centers due to natural disaster, severe weather conditions, accident, terrorism, or other causes could substantially compromise our ability to distribute products to our retail stores, Military and Food Distribution customers. This could result in a loss of sales, profits and asset value.

-19-

Impairment charges for goodwill or other intangible assets could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are required to test annually goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, including the goodwill associated with past acquisitions and any future acquisitions, to determine if impairment has occurred. Additionally, interim reviews must be performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred. If the testing performed indicates that impairment has occurred, we are required to record a non-cash impairment charge for the difference between the carrying value of the goodwill or other intangible assets and the implied fair value of the goodwill or other intangible assets in the period the determination is made.

The testing of goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment requires management to make significant estimates about our future performance and cash flows, as well as other assumptions. These estimates can be affected by numerous factors, including potential changes in economic, industry or market conditions, changes in business operations, changes in competition or changes in our stock price and market capitalization. Changes in these factors, or changes in actual performance compared with estimates of our future performance, may affect the fair value of goodwill or other intangible assets, which may result in an impairment charge. We cannot accurately predict the amount and timing of any impairment of assets. Should the value of goodwill or other intangible assets become impaired, our financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

The combined company may be unable to successfully integrate the businesses of Spartan Stores and Nash-Finch and realize the anticipated benefits of the merger.

The merger involves the combination of two companies that formerly operated as independent public companies. The combined company is required to devote significant management attention and resources to integrating the business practices and operations of Spartan Stores and Nash-Finch. Potential difficulties the combined company may encounter as part of the integration process include the following:

the inability to successfully combine the businesses of Spartan Stores and Nash-Finch in a manner that permits the combined company to achieve the full synergies anticipated to result from the merger;

complexities associated with managing the businesses of the combined company, including the challenge of integrating complex systems, technology, distribution channels, networks and other assets of each of the companies in a seamless manner that minimizes any adverse impact on customers, suppliers, employees and other constituencies;

integrating the workforces of the two companies while maintaining focus on providing consistent, high quality customer service; and

potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses or delays associated with the merger, including capital expenditures and one-time cash costs to integrate the two companies that may exceed current estimates. The future results of the combined company will suffer if the combined company does not effectively manage its expanded operations following the completion of the merger.

Following the completion of the merger, the size of the business of the combined company increased significantly beyond the former size of either Spartan Stores or Nash-Finch s business. The combined company s future success depends, in part, upon its ability to manage this expanded business, which will pose substantial challenges for management, including challenges related to the management and monitoring of the combined operations and associated increased costs and complexity. There can be no assurances that the combined company will be successful or that it will realize the expected operating efficiencies, cost savings and other benefits currently anticipated from the merger.

-20-

The combined company is expected to incur substantial expenses related to the completion of the merger and the integration of Spartan Stores and Nash-Finch.

The combined company will incur substantial expenses in connection with the completion of the merger and the integration of Spartan Stores and Nash-Finch. There are a large number of processes, policies, procedures, operations, technologies and systems that must be integrated, including purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, payroll, pricing, revenue management, marketing and benefits. In addition, the businesses of Spartan Stores and Nash-Finch will continue to maintain an administrative presence in Grand Rapids, Michigan, Minneapolis, Minnesota and Norfolk, Virginia. While we have assumed that a certain level of expenses would be incurred, there are many factors beyond their control that could affect the total amount or the timing of the integration expenses. Moreover, many of the expenses that will be incurred are, by their nature, difficult to estimate accurately. These expenses could, particularly in the near term, exceed the savings that the combined company expects to achieve from the elimination of duplicative expenses and the realization of economies of scale and cost savings. These integration expenses likely will result in the combined company taking significant charges against earnings following the completion of the merger, and the amount and exact timing of such charges are uncertain at present.

The combined company is more highly leveraged than Spartan Stores formerly was.

The increased indebtedness and higher debt-to-equity ratio of the combined company in comparison to that of Spartan Stores before the merger with Nash-Finch on a historical basis will have the potential effect, among other things, to reduce the flexibility of Spartan Stores to respond to changing business and economic conditions and may increase borrowing costs.

Restrictive covenants imposed by our credit facility and other factors could adversely affect our ability to borrow.

Our ability to borrow additional funds is governed by the terms of our credit facilities. The credit facilities contain financial and other covenants that, among other things, limit the Company s ability to draw down the full amount of the facility, incur additional debt outside of the credit facility, create new liens on property, make acquisitions, or pay dividends. These covenants may affect our operating flexibility and may require us to seek the consent of the lenders to certain transactions that we may wish to effect. We are not currently restricted by these covenants. Disruptions in the financial markets have in the past resulted in bank failures. One or more of the participants in our credit facility could become unable to fund our future borrowings when needed. We believe that cash generated from operating activities and available borrowings under our credit facility will be sufficient to meet anticipated requirements for working capital, capital expenditures, and debt service obligations for the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that our business will continue to generate cash flow at or above current levels or that we will maintain our ability to borrow under our credit facility. The Company may not be able to refinance its existing debt at similar terms.

The financing arrangements that the combined company entered into in connection with the merger contain restrictions and limitations that could significantly impact SpartanNash s ability to operate its business.

SpartanNash has incurred significant new indebtedness in connection with the merger. The agreements governing the indebtedness of the combined company incured in connection with the merger contain covenants that, among other things, may, under certain circumstances, place limitations on the dollar amounts paid or other actions relating to:

payments in respect of, or redemptions or acquisitions of, debt or equity issued by the combined company or its subsidiaries, including the payment of dividends on SpartanNash common stock;

incurring additional indebtedness;

incurring guarantee obligations;

paying dividends;

creating liens on assets;

entering into sale and leaseback transactions;

making investments, loans or advances;

entering into hedging transactions;

engaging in mergers, consolidations or sales of all or substantially all of their respective assets; and

engaging in certain transactions with affiliates. Maintaining our reputation and corporate image is essential to our business success.

Our success depends on the value and strength of our corporate name and reputation. Our name, reputation and image are integral to our business as well as to the implementation of our strategies for expanding our business. Our business prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected if our public image or reputation were to be tarnished by negative publicity including dissemination via print, broadcast or social media, or other forms of Internet-based communications. Adverse publicity about regulatory or legal action against us could damage our reputation and image, undermine our customers confidence and reduce long-term demand for our products and services, even if the regulatory or legal action is unfounded or not material to our operations. Any of these events could have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments None.

Item 2. Properties

We have corporate offices that are located in Grand Rapids, Michigan and Minneapolis, Minnesota consisting of approximately 286,100 square feet of office space in buildings which we own. We also lease four additional off-site storage facilities consisting of approximately 63,300 square feet.

Military Segment

The table below lists the locations and sizes of our facilities used in our Military segment. Unless otherwise indicated, we own each of these distribution centers. The lease expiration dates range from August 2014 to November 2029. There is a month to month lease for additional freezer space at our Norfolk, Virginia facility.

	Approx. Size
Location	(Square Feet)
Norfolk, Virginia (1)	818,094
Landover, Maryland (2)	368,088
Columbus, Georgia (3)	531,900
Pensacola, Florida	355,900

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Bloomington, Indiana (4)	591,277
Junction City, Kansas	132,000
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	608,543
San Antonio, Texas	486,820
Total Square Footage	3,892,622

- (1) Includes 273,021 square feet that we lease.
- (2) Leased facility.

-22-

- (3) Leased location requiring periodic lease payments to the holder of the outstanding industrial revenue bond. As of December 28, 2013, the outstanding industrial revenue bond associated with this location was held by SpartanNash, and upon expiration of the lease terms, SpartanNash will take title to the property upon redemption of the outstanding bond.
- (4) Includes 120,000 square feet that we lease.

We believe that our distribution facilities are generally well maintained, are generally in good operating condition, have sufficient capacity and are suitable and adequate to carry on our military business.

Food Distribution Segment Real Estate

The following table lists the approximate locations and sizes of our distribution centers primarily used in our Food Distribution operations. Unless otherwise indicated, we own each of these distribution centers. The lease expirations range from February 2015 to July 2016. Most of the leases have additional renewal option periods available.

Location	Approx. Size (Square Feet)
St. Cloud, Minnesota	329,046
Fargo, North Dakota	288,824
Minot, North Dakota	185,250
Omaha, Nebraska	686,783
Sioux Falls, South Dakota (1)	275,414
Rapid City, South Dakota (2)	193,525
Lumberton, North Carolina (3)	336,502
Statesboro, Georgia (3)	230,520
Bluefield, Virginia	187,531
Bellefontaine, Ohio	666,045
Lima, Ohio (4)	523,052
Westville, Indiana	631,944
Grand Rapids, Michigan	1,179,582

Total Square Footage

5,714,018

- (1) Includes 79,300 square feet that we lease.
- (2) Includes 6,400 square feet that we lease.
- (3) Leased facility.
- (4) Includes 5,500 square feet that we lease.

We believe that our distribution facilities are generally well maintained, are generally in good operating condition, have sufficient capacity and are suitable and adequate to carry on our distribution business.

-23-

Retail Segment Real Estate

The following table contains the retail banner, number of stores, geographic region and approximate square footage under the banner. We own the facilities of 32 of these stores and lease the facilities of 140 of these stores.

Grocery Store	Number of			Total Square
Retail Banner	Stores	Geographic Region		Feet
Family Fare Supermarkets	54	Michigan	Leased	2,257,850
Sun Mart	11	Colorado, Minnesota, North Dakota and Nebraska	Owned	357,043
Sun Mart	9	Minnesota, North Dakota and Nebraska	Leased	317,273
No Frills	17	Iowa and Nebraska	Leased	885,674
VG s Food and Pharmacy	12	Michigan	Leased	562,207
VG s Food and Pharmacy	1	Michigan	Owned	37,223
Bag N Save	6	Nebraska	Owned	366,785
Bag N Save	6	Nebraska	Leased	351,182
Econofoods	7	Minnesota, Wisconsin and North Dakota	Owned	206,971
Econofoods	5	Minnesota and North Dakota	Leased	151,533
Glen s Markets	11	Michigan	Leased	412,812
D&W Fresh Markets	8	Michigan	Leased	372,101
D&W Fresh Markets	2	Michigan	Owned	84,458
Valu Land	6	Michigan	Leased	135,920
Family Fresh Market	3	Wisconsin	Owned	150,317
Family Fresh Market	1	Minnesota	Leased	32,650
Family Thrift Center	3	South Dakota	Leased	127,107
Family Thrift Center	1	South Dakota	Owned	60,200
Supermercado Nuestra Familia	1	Nebraska	Owned	39,317
Supermercado Nuestra Familia	1	Nebraska	Leased	23,211
Forest Hills Foods	1	Michigan	Leased	50,250
Pick n Save	1	Ohio	Leased	45,608
Germantown Fresh Market	1	Ohio	Leased	31,764
Prairie Market	1	South Dakota	Leased	28,606
Dillonvale IGA	1	Ohio	Leased	25,627
Madison Fresh Market	1	Wisconsin	Leased	21,470
Wholesale Food Outlet	1	Iowa	Leased	19,620

Total

7,154,779

We also own three additional fuel centers that are not reflected in the square footage above: a *Family Fare Quik Stop* in Michigan that is not included at a supermarket location but is adjacent to our corporate headquarters, *FTC Express Gas* in Scottsbluff, Nebraska and *SunMart Express Gas* in Fergus Falls, Minnesota. Also not accounted for in the tables above are stand-alone pharmacies in Cannon Falls, Minnesota and Clear Lake, Iowa.

172

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On or about July 24, 2013, a putative class action complaint (the State Court Action) was filed in the District Court for the Fourth Judicial District, State of Minnesota, County of Hennepin (the State Court), by a stockholder of Nash-Finch Company in connection with the pending merger with Spartan Stores, Inc.. The State Court Action is styled Greenblatt v. Nash-Finch Co. et al., Case No. 27-cv-13-13710. That complaint was amended on August 28, 2013, after Spartan Stores filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission containing a preliminary version of the joint proxy statement/prospectus. On September 9, 2013, the defendants filed motions to dismiss the State Court Action. On or about September 19, 2013, a second putative class action complaint (the Federal Court Action and, together with the State Court Action, the Putative Class

-24-

Actions) was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota (the Federal Court), by a stockholder of Nash-Finch. The Federal Court Action was styled Benson v. Covington et al., Case No. 0:13-cv-02574.

The Putative Class Actions alleged that the directors of Nash-Finch breached their fiduciary duties by, among other things, approving a merger that provides for inadequate consideration under circumstances involving certain alleged conflicts of interest; that the merger agreement includes allegedly preclusive deal protection provisions; and that Nash-Finch and Spartan Stores allegedly aided and abetted the directors in breaching their duties to Nash-Finch s stockholders. Both Putative Class Actions also alleged that the preliminary joint proxy statement/prospectus was false and misleading due to the omission of a variety of allegedly material information. The complaint in the Federal Court Action also asserted additional claims individually on behalf of the plaintiff under the federal securities laws. The Putative Class Actions sought, on behalf of their putative classes, various remedies, including enjoining the merger from being consummated in accordance with its agreed-upon terms, damages, and costs and disbursements relating to the lawsuit.

SpartanNash believes that these lawsuits are without merit; however, to eliminate the burden, expense and uncertainties inherent in such litigation, Nash-Finch and Spartan Stores agreed, as part of settlement discussions, to make certain supplemental disclosures in the joint proxy statement/prospectus requested by the Putative Class Actions in the definitive joint proxy statement/prospectus. On October 30, 2013, the defendants entered into the Memorandum of Understanding regarding the settlement of the Putative Class Actions. The Memorandum of Understanding outlined the terms of the parties agreement in principle to settle and release all claims which were or could have been asserted in the Putative Class Actions. In consideration for such settlement and release, Nash-Finch and Spartan Stores acknowledged that the supplemental disclosures in the joint proxy statement/prospectus were made in response to the Putative Class Actions. The Memorandum of Understanding contemplated that the parties will use their best efforts to agree upon, execute and present to the State Court for approval a stipulation of settlement within thirty days after the later of the date that the Merger is consummated or the date that plaintiffs and their counsel have confirmed the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the settlement, and that upon execution of such stipulation, and as a condition to final approval of the settlement, the plaintiff in the Federal Action would withdraw the claims in and cause to be dismissed the Federal Action, with any individual claims being dismissed with prejudice. The Memorandum of Understanding provides that Nash-Finch will pay, on behalf of all defendants, the plaintiffs attorneys fees and expenses, subject to approval by the State Court, in an amount not to exceed \$550,000. On February 11, 2014, the parties executed the Stipulation and Agreement Compromise, Settlement and Release (the Stipulation of Settlement.) to resolve, discharge and settle the Putative Class Actions. The Stipulation of Settlement is subject to customary conditions, including approval by the State Court, which will consider the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of such settlement. On February 18, 2014, the Federal Court entered a final order dismissing the Federal Court Action with prejudice. On February 28, 2014, pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement, the plaintiffs in the State Court Action filed an unopposed motion for preliminary approval of class action settlement, conditional certification of class, and approval of notice to be furnished to the class. A hearing before the State Court on the unopposed motion for preliminary approval is set for May 20, 2014. There can be no assurance that the State Court will grant the unopposed motion and ultimately approve the Settlement Stipulation. In such event, the Settlement Stipulation will be null and void and of no force and effect.

Various lawsuits and claims, arising in the ordinary course of business, are pending or have been asserted against SpartanNash. While the ultimate effect of such lawsuits and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes that their outcome will not result in an adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, operating results or liquidity of SpartanNash.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure Not Applicable

-25-

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

SpartanNash common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the trading symbol SPTN.

Stock sale prices are based on transactions reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. Information on quarterly high and low sales prices for SpartanNash common stock appears in Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements and is incorporated here by reference. At March 7, 2014, there were approximately 1,462 shareholders of record of SpartanNash common stock. SpartanNash has paid a quarterly cash dividend since the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006.

The table below outlines current Board of Directors anticipated increases in the quarterly dividend:

	Dividend per
Effective Quarter	common share
4 th quarter Fiscal March 30, 2012	\$ 0.05
1 st quarter Fiscal March 31, 2012	0.065
1 st quarter Fiscal March 30, 2013	0.08
1 st quarter Fiscal December 28, 2013	0.09
1 st quarter Fiscal January 3, 2015	0.12

Under its senior revolving credit facility, SpartanNash is generally permitted to pay dividends in any fiscal year up to an amount such that all cash dividends, together with any cash distributions, prepayments of its Senior Notes or share repurchases, do not exceed \$25.0 million. Additionally, SpartanNash is generally permitted to pay cash dividends in excess of \$25.0 million in any fiscal year so long as its Excess Availability, as defined in the senior revolving credit facility is in excess of 15% of the Total Borrowing Base before and after giving effect to the prepayments, repurchases and dividends. Although we expect to continue to pay a quarterly cash dividend, adoption of a dividend policy does not commit the board of directors to declare future dividends. Each future dividend will be considered and declared by the Board of Directors at its discretion. The ability of the Board of Directors to continue to declare dividends will depend on a number of factors, including our future financial condition and profitability and compliance with the terms of our credit facilities. In May 2011, the Board of Directors authorized a five-year share repurchase program for up to \$50 million of SpartanNash s common stock. During fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, the Company repurchased 634,408 and 687,200 shares of common stock for approximately \$11.4 million and \$12.4 million, respectively. SpartanNash did not repurchase any shares under this program during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. The approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the repurchase plan was \$26.2 million as of September 14, 2013.

The equity compensation plans table in Item 12 is here incorporated by reference.

-26-

The following table provides information regarding Spartan Stores purchases of its own common stock during the last quarter of the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. Spartan Stores did not repurchase shares of common stock under the share repurchase program during the quarter ended December 28, 2013. All employee transactions are under associate stock compensation plans. These may include: (1) shares of SpartanNash common stock delivered in satisfaction of the exercise price and/or tax withholding obligations by holders of employee stock options who exercised options, and (2) shares submitted for cancellation to satisfy tax withholding obligations that occur upon the vesting of the restricted shares. The value of the shares delivered or withheld is determined by the applicable stock compensation plan.

Spartan Stores, Inc. Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share
September 15 October 12, 2013		
Employee Transactions		\$
Repurchase Program		\$
October 13 November 9, 2013		
Employee Transactions		\$
Repurchase Program		\$
November 10 December 7, 2013		
Employee Transactions	583,137	\$ 23.55
Repurchase Program		\$
December 8 December 28, 2013		
Employee Transactions		\$
Repurchase Program		\$
Total for Quarter ended December 28, 2013		
Employee Transactions	583,137	\$ 23.55
Repurchase Program		\$

-27-

Performance Graph

Set forth below is a graph comparing the cumulative total shareholder return on SpartanNash common stock to that of the Russell 2000 Total Return Index and the NASDAQ Retail Trade Index, over a period beginning March 27, 2009 and ending on December 28, 2013.

Cumulative total return is measured by the sum of (1) the cumulative amount of dividends for the measurement period, assuming dividend reinvestment and (2) the difference between the share price at the end and the beginning of the measurement period, divided by the share price at the beginning of the measurement period.

The dollar values for total shareholder return plotted above are shown in the table below:

	March 27, 2009	March 26, 2010	March 26, 2011	March 31, 2012	March 30, 2013	December 28 2013	
SpartanNash	\$ 100.00	\$ 96.90	\$ 102.12	\$ 124.75	\$ 123.26	\$ 168.82	
Russell 2000 Total Return Index	100.00	160.28	196.83	201.25	234.14	288.53	
NASDAQ Retail Trade	100.00	149.55	172.59	225.21	244.72	291.48	

The information set forth under the Heading Performance Graph shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Commission or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically requests that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporates it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

-28-

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table provides selected historical consolidated financial information of SpartanNash. The historical information was derived from our audited consolidated financial statements as of and for each of the five fiscal years ended March 27, 2010 through December 28, 2013. The transition fiscal year ended December 28, 2013 consisted of 39 weeks; fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 consisted of 53 weeks and all other years presented consisted of 52 weeks. The unaudited 40 week period ended January 5, 2013 is included in the table below for comparison purposes to the 39 week transition period ended December 28, 2013.

			T	anuary 5,	Year Ended							
(In thousands, except per share data)	2	ember 28, 013 (A) 9 weeks	(u	2013 naudited) 40 weeks		1arch 30, 2013 52 weeks		Iarch 31, 2012 53 weeks		Aarch 26, 2011 52 weeks		larch 27, 2010 2 weeks
Statements of Earnings Data:												
Net sales		2,597,230		2,015,351		2,608,160		2,634,226		2,533,064		2,551,956
Cost of sales	2	2,110,350		1,602,450	1	2,062,616	2	2,078,116		1,976,549	1	,993,306
Gross profit		486,880		412,901		545,544		556,110		556,515		558,650
Selling, general and administrative expenses		433,450		370,337		482,987		489,650		488,017		493,832
Merger transaction and integration expenses		20,993		,		,		,		,		,
Restructuring, asset impairment and other (B)		15,644		356		1,589		(23)		532		6,154
Operating earnings		16,793		42,208		60,968		66,483		67,966		58,664
Interest expense		9,219		10,420		13,410		15,037		15,104		16,394
Debt extinguishment		5,527		2,285		5,047		10,007		10,101		10,071
Other, net		(23)		(752)		(756)		(110)		(97)		(138)
Earnings before income taxes and discontinued		2.070		20.255		10.0(7		E1 EEC		50.050		10 100
operations		2,070		30,255		43,267		51,556		52,959		42,408
Income taxes		841		10,352		15,425		19,686		20,420		16,475
Earnings from continuing operations		1,229		19,903		27,842		31,870		32,539		25,933
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes (C)		(488)		(195)		(432)		(112)		(232)		(375)
Net earnings	\$	741	\$	19,708	\$	27,410	\$	31,758	\$	32,307	\$	25,558
Basic earnings from continuing operations per												
share	\$	0.05	\$	0.91	\$	1.28	\$	1.40	\$	1.44	\$	1.16
Diluted earnings from continuing operations per												
share		0.05		0.91		1.27		1.39		1.43		1.15
Basic earnings per share		0.03		0.90		1.26		1.39		1.43		1.14
Diluted earnings per share		0.03		0.90		1.25		1.39		1.42		1.14
Cash dividends declared per share		0.27		0.24		0.32		0.26		0.20		0.20
Balance Sheet Data:												
Total assets	\$ 1	,998,674	\$	794,561	\$	789,667	\$	763,473	\$	751,396	\$	753,481
Property and equipment, net		651,477		272,368		272,126		256,776		241,448		247,961
Working capital		389,770		35,916		13,179		24,684		47,300		15,739
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations		597,563		166,843		145,876		133,565		170,711		181,066
Shareholders equity		706,873		329,343		335,655		323,608		305,505		273,905

(A) See Note 2 to Consolidated Financial Statements regarding the merger with Nash-Finch Company.

(B) See Note 4 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(C) See Note 16 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Historical data is not necessarily indicative of SpartanNash s future results of operations or financial condition. See discussion of Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of this report, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part II, Item 7 of this report, and the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

-29-

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Executive Overview

SpartanNash is a Fortune 500 company headquartered in Grand Rapids, Michigan. Our business consists of three primary operating segments: Military, Food Distribution and Retail. We are a leading regional grocery distributor and grocery retailer, operating principally in the Midwest and the largest distributor of food to military commissaries and exchanges.

On November 19, 2013, Spartan Stores, Inc. merged with Nash-Finch Company. Under the terms of the merger agreement, each share of Nash-Finch common stock was converted into 1.2 shares of Spartan Stores common stock. The results of operations of Nash-Finch are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of merger. Following the merger, Nash-Finch Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SpartanNash.

Our Military segment contracts with manufacturers to distribute a wide variety of grocery products to military commissaries and exchanges located in the United States, the District of Columbia, Europe, Puerto Rico, Cuba, the Azores, Egypt and Bahrain. We have over 30 years of experience acting as a distributor to U.S. military commissaries and exchanges. We are the largest distributor, by revenue, delivering to military commissaries.

Our Food Distribution segment provides a wide variety of nationally branded and private label grocery products and perishable food products including dry groceries, produce, dairy products, meat, deli, bakery, frozen food, seafood, floral products, general merchandise, pharmacy and health and beauty care from 13 distribution centers to approximately 1,900 independent retail locations and corporate-owned retail stores located in 24 states, primarily in the Midwest, Great Lakes, and Southeast regions of the United States.

Our Retail segment operates 172 supermarkets in the Midwest which operate primarily under the banners of *Family Fare Supermarkets*, *No Frills, Bag N Save, Family Fresh Markets, D&W Fresh Markets, Sun Mart* and *Econofoods*. Our retail supermarkets typically offer dry groceries, produce, dairy products, meat, frozen food, seafood, floral products, general merchandise, beverages, tobacco products, health and beauty care products, delicatessen items and bakery goods. We offer pharmacy services in 90 of our supermarkets and we operate 34 fuel centers. Our retail supermarkets have a neighborhood market focus to distinguish them from supercenters and limited assortment stores.

Historically, our fiscal year end was the last Saturday in March. Our fiscal year end was changed to the Saturday closest to the end of December beginning with the transition year ended December 28, 2013. The transition fiscal year ended December, 28 2013 consisted of 39 weeks; therefore, the third and final quarter of the transition year consisted of 15 weeks rather than 16 weeks. Fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 consisted of 53 weeks; therefore, the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 consisted of 13 weeks rather than 12 weeks. Under our December fiscal year format, all quarters are 12 weeks, except for our first quarter, which is 16 weeks and will generally include the Easter holiday. Our fourth quarter includes the Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays. Under the March fiscal year format, all quarters consisted of 12 weeks except for the third quarter which consisted of 16 weeks and included the Thanksgiving and Christmas holiday.

In certain markets, our sales and operating performance vary with seasonality. Many stores are dependent on tourism and therefore, are most affected by seasons and weather patterns, including, but not limited to, the amount and timing of snowfall during the winter months and the range of temperature during the summer months. In our Michigan market, under our new fiscal year format, our first and second quarters are typically our lowest sales quarters. Therefore, operating results are generally lower during these two quarters.

-30-

SpartanNash has established key management priorities that focus on the longer-term strategy of the Company, including establishing a well-differentiated market offering for our Food Distribution, Military and Retail segments, and additional strategies designed to create value for our shareholders, retailers and customers. These priorities are:

Military:

Leverage the size and scale of the existing distribution and retail segments to attract additional customers.

Continue to partner with Coastal Pacific Food Distributors to leverage the advantage of a worldwide distribution network. *Food Distribution:*

Leverage new competitive position, scale and financial flexibility to further consolidate the distribution channel.

Leverage retail competency and the capabilities of the combined distribution platform to increase business within the existing account base and potentially add new distribution categories and take advantage of current competitive market dynamics to supply new customers.

Continue to focus on increasing private brand penetration and overall customer purchase concentration.

Retail:

Evaluate banners to maintain a portfolio of customer-relevant offerings for the entire market continuum.

Continue to drive a lean and efficient operating cost structure to remain competitive.

Rationalize store base to maximize capital efficiency and enhance profitability.

Strategically deploy capital to modernize the store base

Pursue opportunistic roll-ups of existing distribution customers and/or other retailers.

Drive value by expanding consumer relationships with pharmacy, fuel and other promotional offerings. We continued the execution of our capital investment program in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 by opening one new *ValuLand* store, completing one major remodel, refreshing and converting 12 stores to the *Family Fare* banner and acquiring two stores in Dickinson, North Dakota. We also closed seven underperforming stores. In addition, we installed six Automated Guided Vehicles (AGVs) in our Grand Rapids, Michigan grocery warehouse distribution center.

We are making progress in our work to integrate our retail, food distribution and military distribution businesses. We continue to expect synergies of approximately \$20 million, \$35 million and \$52 million in fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively, and integration and transaction closing related costs of approximately \$12 million, \$5 million and \$2 million in fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively. We also expect additional depreciation, amortization and stock compensation expense resulting from the step-up in basis of the Nash-Finch assets and amendments to our stock compensation plan to approximate \$10 million annually.

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Our outlook for fiscal 2014 is cautiously optimistic as the economy continues to show modest improvement; however, we expect that the lack of inflation, curtailment of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits and the cycling of very favorable LIFO, insurance and employee benefit expenses in the prior year first quarter and a more challenging competitive retail environment will create a negative headwind on our results. We expect to implement a capital plan that will allow us to create positive momentum for the merged organization to address these headwinds. During fiscal 2014, we plan to complete a total of five minor remodels and ten major remodels, 16 store rebanners, two fuel centers, as well as begin construction on two new stores in

-31-

new markets with attractive growth profiles. In addition, we will complete a major expansion of a military distribution center, which should increase our geographic reach and further improve our operational efficiencies. We will also continue to evaluate our store base and may close up to ten stores over the course of fiscal 2014.

For the 16 week first quarter of fiscal 2014, we anticipate that consolidated net sales will increase to between to \$2.30 billion and \$2.34 billion as we continue to benefit from the merger with Nash-Finch, partially offset by the impact of store closures. We anticipate comparable store sales in our legacy retail segment to be positive for the third consecutive quarter.

We expect first quarter of fiscal 2014 adjusted EBITDA will be in the range of \$62.5 million to \$66.5 million and adjusted earnings per diluted share from continuing operations will be in the range of \$0.33 to \$0.38, based on approximately 37.7 million shares outstanding. This guidance includes approximately \$3.8 million in after-tax merger synergy benefits and \$2.8 million in after-tax incremental depreciation, amortization and stock compensation expense related to the step-up in basis of the Nash-Finch assets and amendments to the our stock compensation plan and excludes approximately \$3.4 million in after-tax integration expenses, \$1.3 million in after-tax restructuring charges associated with store closures and the closure of the Cincinnati, Ohio distribution center.

For the 53 week fiscal year ending January 3, 2015, we anticipate that consolidated net sales will increase to between \$7.90 billion and \$8.04 billion, adjusted EBITDA will be in the range of \$230.0 million to \$239.0 million and earnings per share from continuing operations will be approximately \$1.65 to \$1.75, excluding integration costs of approximately \$7.4 million after-tax and any other one-time expenses. These results would be accretive to the trailing 13 period earnings of Spartan Stores Inc. excluding the impacts of the merger. We expect that reported retail comparable store sales will be positive for the year. However, total sales will be negatively impacted by approximately \$50.0 million resulting from the store closures occurring in the third quarter of the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. Capital expenditures for fiscal year 2014 are expected to be in the range of \$77 million to \$82 million, with depreciation and amortization in the range of \$89 million to \$93 million and total interest expense in the range of \$26 million to \$28 million.

The matters discussed in this Item 7 include forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning and Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth items from our Consolidated Statements of Earnings as a percentage of net sales and the year-to-year percentage change in dollar amounts:

		Percenta	ge Change			
	December 28, 2013	January 5, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012	1/5/13 to 12/28/13	3/31/12 to 3/30/13
Net sales	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	28.9	(1.0)
Gross profit	18.7	20.5	20.9	21.1	17.9	(1.9)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	17.5	18.4	18.5	18.6	22.7	(1.4)
Restructuring, asset impairment and other	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	**	**
Operating earnings	0.6	2.1	2.3	2.5	(60.2)	(8.3)
Other income and expenses	0.5*	0.6	0.6*	0.5*	23.2	18.6
Earnings before income taxes and discontinued operations	0.1	1.5	1.7	2.0	(93.2)	(16.1)
Income taxes	0.1*	0.5	0.6	0.8*	(91.9)	(21.6)
Earnings from continuing operations	0.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	(93.8)	(12.6)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	**	**
Net earnings	0.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	(96.2)	(13.7)

* Difference due to rounding

** Percentage change is not meaningful

-32-

Adjusted Operating Earnings

Adjusted operating earnings is a non-GAAP operating financial measure that the Company defines as operating earnings plus or minus adjustments for items that do not reflect the ongoing operating activities of the Company and costs associated with the closing of operational locations.

The Company believes that adjusted operating earnings provide a meaningful representation of its operating performance for the Company. The Company considers adjusted operating earnings as an additional way to measure operating performance on an ongoing basis. Adjusted operating earnings is meant to reflect the ongoing operating performance of all of its distribution and retail operations; consequently, it excludes the impact of items that could be considered non-operating or non-core in nature, and also excludes the contributions of activities classified as discontinued operations. Because adjusted operating earnings is a performance measure that management uses to allocate resources, assess performance against its peers and evaluate overall performance, the Company believes it provides useful information for investors. In addition, securities analysts, fund managers and other shareholders and stakeholders that communicate with the Company request its operating financial results in adjusted operating earnings format.

Adjusted operating earnings is not a measure of performance under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and should not be considered as a substitute for operating earnings, cash flows from operating activities and other income or cash flow statement data. The Company s definition of adjusted operating earnings may not be identical to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

-33-

Following is a reconciliation of operating earnings to adjusted operating earnings for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012. For comparison purposes we have also provided a reconciliation of operating earnings from continuing operations to adjusted operating earnings from continuing operations for the 40 weeks ended January 5, 2013.

	Period	Ended	Year	Ended
(Unaudited)	December 28, 2013	January 5, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
(In thousands)	(39 weeks)	(40 weeks)	(52 weeks)	(53 weeks)
Operating earnings	\$ 16,793	\$ 42,208	\$ 60,968	\$ 66,483
Add:				
Asset impairment and restructuring charges	15,644	356	1,589	
Expenses related to merger transaction and integration	20,993			
Non-recurring professional fees				1,194
Pension settlement accounting	621			
Acquisition related professional fees		396	396	
Stock compensation modifications	4,174			
Professional fees related to tax planning		108	108	
Gain on sale of assets	(1,038)			(545)
40 th week of period ended January 5, 2013		(756)		
53 rd week				(2,429)
Adjusted operating earnings	\$ 57,187	\$ 42,312	\$ 63,061	\$ 64,703
Reconciliation of operating earnings to adjusted operating earnings by segment:				
Military:				
Operating earnings	\$ 3,202	\$	\$	\$
Add:	φ 5,202	Ψ	Ψ	Ψ
/100.				
Adjusted operating earnings	\$ 3,202	\$	\$	\$
Food Distribution:				
Operating earnings	\$ 9,266	\$ 28,164	\$ 45,630	\$ 44,292
Add:				
Asset impairment and restructuring charges	599			
Expenses related to merger transaction and integration	20,993			
Pension settlement accounting	473			
Non-recurring professional fees				1,194
Stock compensation modifications	3,961			
Professional fees related to tax planning		108	108	
40 th week of period ended January 5, 2013		(463)		
53 rd week				(932)
Adjusted operating earnings	\$ 35,292	\$ 27,809	\$ 45,738	\$ 44,554
Retail:	ф. 1.225	ф 14044	¢ 15 000	• • • • • • • • • •
Operating earnings	\$ 4,325	\$ 14,044	\$ 15,338	\$ 22,191
Add:	1 - 0		1 500	
Asset impairment and restructuring charges	15,045	356	1,589	
Pension settlement accounting	148			
Acquisition related professional fees		396	396	
Stock compensation modifications	213			
Gain on sale of assets	(1,038)			(545)
40 th week of period ended January 5, 2013		(293)		
53 rd week				(1,497)

Adjusted operating earnings	\$ 18,693	\$ 14,503	\$ 17,323	\$ 20,149

Adjusted earnings from Continuing Operations

Adjusted earnings from continuing operations is a non-GAAP operating financial measure that we define as earnings from continuing operations plus or minus adjustments for items that do not reflect the ongoing operating activities of the Company and costs associated with the closing of operational locations.

We believe that adjusted earnings from continuing operations provide a meaningful representation of our operating performance for the Company. We consider adjusted earnings from continuing operations as an additional way to measure operating performance on an ongoing basis. Adjusted earnings from continuing operations is meant to reflect the ongoing operating performance of all of our distribution and retail operations; consequently, it excludes the impact of items that could be considered non-operating or non-core in nature, and also excludes the contributions of activities classified as discontinued operations. We believe that adjusted earnings from continuing operations provides useful information for our investors because it is a performance measure that management uses to allocate resources, assess performance against its peers and evaluate overall performance. In addition, securities analysts, fund managers and other shareholders and stakeholders that communicate with us request our operating financial results in adjusted earnings from continuing operations format.

Adjusted earnings from continuing operations is not a measure of performance under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and should not be considered as a substitute for net earnings, cash flows from operating activities and other income or cash flow statement data. Our definition of adjusted earnings from continuing operations may not be identical to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Following is a reconciliation of earnings from continuing operations to adjusted earnings from continuing operations for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012. For comparison purposes we have also provided a reconciliation of earnings from continuing operations to adjusted earnings from continuing operations for the 40 weeks ended January 5, 2013.

	Period Ended					
(Unaudited)	December 28, 2013 (39 weeks) Earnings from Earnings continuing from operations continuing per diluted operations share			(40) Earnings from continuing	con ope per	13 ings from atinuing erations diluted share
(In thousands, except per share data) Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 1,229	\$	0.05	operations \$ 19,903	\$	0.91
Adjustments, net of taxes:	φ 1,229	φ	0.05	\$ 19,903	φ	0.91
Asset impairment and restructuring charges	9,702		0.40	225		0.01
Expenses related to merger transaction and integration	15,179		0.63			
Pension settlement accounting	385		0.02			
Acquisition related professional fees				250		0.01
Stock compensation modifications	2,589		0.11			
Gain on sale of assets	(644)		(0.03)	(422)		(0.02)
Debt extinguishment	3,428		0.14	1,443		0.07
Tax benefit related to change in state deferred tax rate	(2,418)		(0.10)			
Unrecognized tax liability	595		0.02			
Favorable settlement of unrecognized tax liability	(244)		(0.01)			
Impact of state tax law changes				(623)		(0.03)
Impact of 40 th week of period ended January 5, 2013				(309)		(0.01)
Adjusted earnings from continuing operations	\$ 29,801	\$	1.23	\$ 20,467	\$	0.94

	Year Ended						
		30, 2013 weeks) Earni con ope per		con ope	2 ngs from tinuing rations diluted		
(In thousands, except per share data)	operations		hare	operations	-	hare	
Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 27,842	\$	1.27	\$ 31,870	\$	1.39	
Adjustments, net of taxes:							
Non-recurring professional fees				750		0.03	
Acquisition related professional fees	247		0.01				
Asset impairment and restructuring charges	992		0.05				
Gain on sale of assets	(417)		(0.02)	(342)		(0.01)	
Interest rate swap termination				487		0.02	
Debt extinguishment	3,152		0.15*				
Impact of state tax law changes	(642)		(0.03)	518		0.02	
Impact of 53 rd week				(1,380)		(0.06)	
Adjusted earnings from continuing operations	\$ 31,174	\$	1.43	\$ 31,903	\$	1.39	

* Difference due to rounding

Adjusted EBITDA

Consolidated adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP operating financial measure that we define as net earnings from continuing operations plus depreciation and amortization, and other non-cash items including imputed interest, deferred (stock) compensation, the LIFO provision, as well as adjustments for unusual items that do not reflect the ongoing operating activities of SpartanNash and costs associated with the closing of operational locations, interest expense and the provision for income taxes to the extent deducted in the computation of net earnings.

We believe that adjusted EBITDA provides a meaningful representation of our operating performance for SpartanNash as a whole and for our operating segments. We consider adjusted EBITDA as an additional way to measure operating performance on an ongoing basis. Adjusted EBITDA is meant to reflect the ongoing operating performance of all of our distribution and retail operations; consequently, it excludes the impact of items that could be considered non-operating or non-core in nature, and also excludes the contributions of activities classified as discontinued operations. Because adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA by segment are performance measures that management uses to allocate resources, assess performance against its peers, and evaluate overall performance, we believe it provides useful information for our investors. In addition, securities analysts, fund managers and other shareholders and stakeholders that communicate with us request our operating financial results in adjusted EBITDA format.

Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of performance under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and should not be considered as a substitute for net earnings, cash flows from operating activities and other income or cash flow statement data. Our definition of adjusted EBITDA may not be identical to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

-36-

Following is a reconciliation of net earnings to adjusted EBITDA for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012. For comparison purposes we have also provided a reconciliation of net earnings to adjusted EBITDA for the 40 weeks ended January 5, 2013.

	Period	Ended	Year Ended March		
(Unaudited)	December 28, 2013	January 5, 2013	30, 2013	March 31, 2012	
(In thousands)	(39 weeks)	(40 weeks)	(52 weeks)	(53 weeks)	
Net earnings	\$ 741	\$ 19,708	\$ 27,410	\$ 31,758	
Add:					
Discontinued operations	488	195	432	112	
Income taxes	841	10,352	15,425	19,686	
Interest expense	9,219	10,420	13,410	15,037	
Debt extinguishment	5,527	2,285	5,047	,	
Non-operating income	(23)	(752)	(756)	(110)	
Operating earnings	16,793	42,208	60,968	66,483	
Add:	10,755	42,200	00,700	00,405	
LIFO expense	928	984	335	1,401	
Depreciation and amortization	37,082	29,499	39,081	36,794	
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	15,644	356	1,589	(23)	
Expenses related to merger transaction and Integration	20,993				
Pension settlement accounting	621				
Non-recurring professional fees				1,194	
Acquisition related professional fees		396	396		
Non-cash stock compensation and other	5,242	3,249	3,964	3,825	
40 th week of period ended January 5, 2013		(767)			
53 rd week				(2,429)	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 97,303	\$ 75,925	\$ 106,333	\$ 107,245	
Reconciliation of operating earnings to adjusted EBITDA by segment:					
Military:					
Operating earnings	\$ 3,202	\$	\$	\$	
Add:					
Depreciation and amortization	1,371				
Non-cash stock compensation and other	(6)				
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 4,567				
Food Distribution:					
Operating earnings	\$ 9,266	\$ 28,164	\$ 45,630	\$ 44,292	
Add:	\$ 9,200	φ 20,104	φ +3,050	φ ++,272	
LIFO expense (income)	289	(80)	(601)	(463)	
•	9,547	6,597	8,712	8,444	
Depreciation and amortization		0,397	0,/12		
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	599			(37)	
Expenses related to merger transaction and Integration	20,993				
Pension settlement accounting	473				
Non-recurring professional fees				1,194	
Non-cash stock compensation and other	4,913	1,235	1,430	2,284	
40 th week of period ended January 5, 2013		(439)			
53 rd week				(932)	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 46,080	\$ 35,477	\$ 55,171	\$ 54,782	
Retail:					
Operating earnings	\$ 4,325	\$ 14,044	\$ 15,338	\$ 22,191	
Add:					
LIFO expense	639	1,064	936	1,864	
Depreciation and amortization	26,164	22,902	30,369	28,350	
Restructuring and asset impairment charges	15,045	356	1,589	14	
restructuring and asset impairment enarges	15,045	550	1,507	14	

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Pension settlement accounting	148			
Acquisition related professional fees		396	396	
Non-cash stock compensation and other	335	2,014	2,534	1,541
40th week of period ended January 5, 2013		(328)		
53 rd week				(1,497)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 46,656	\$ 40,448	\$ 51,162	\$ 52,463

-37-

Results of Continuing Operations for the 39 Week Period Ended December 28, 2013 Compared to the Unaudited 40 Week Period Ended January 5, 2013

Net Sales. Net sales for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 increased \$581.9 million, or 28.9%, from \$2,015.4 million in the 40 week period ended January 5, 2013, to \$2,597.2 million. The sales increase was primarily driven by the merger with Nash-Finch Company which added \$563.2 million and incremental sales related to new retail stores and new Food Distribution customers, partially offset by the additional week in the prior year period which accounted for \$46.1 million of sales.

Net sales in our Military segment were \$248.6 million from the date of the merger with Nash-Finch Company to December 28, 2013.

Net sales on a 39 week basis in our Food Distribution segment, after intercompany eliminations, increased \$250.9 million, or 29.7%, from \$844.8 million to \$1,095.8 million primarily due to additional sales of \$224.6 million resulting from the merger and new business sales. Food Distribution segment net sales for 40 weeks ended January 5, 2013 as reported were \$863.7 million.

Net sales on a 39 week basis in our Retail segment increased \$128.4 million, or 11.4%, from \$1,124.4 million to \$1,252.8 million. The sales increase was primarily due to sales of \$90.0 million resulting from the merger, sales from new and acquired stores, increased fuel center sales resulting from new fuel centers (including one acquired fuel center) partially offset by lower fuel sales prices, closed stores and a decrease in supermarket comparable store sales of \$5.7 million. Retail segment net sales for 40 weeks ended January 5, 2013 as reported were \$1,151.6 million.

Total retail comparable store sales, excluding fuel centers, on a 39 week basis decreased approximately 0.6 percent in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. We define a retail store as comparable when it is in operation for 14 accounting periods (a period equals four weeks), and we include remodeled, expanded and relocated stores in comparable stores.

Gross Profit. Gross profit represents net sales less cost of sales, which include purchase costs, freight, physical inventory adjustments, markdowns and promotional allowances. Vendor allowances that relate to our buying and merchandising activities consist primarily of promotional allowances, which are generally allowances on purchased quantities and, to a lesser extent, slotting allowances, which are billed to vendors for our merchandising costs, such as setting up warehouse infrastructure. Vendor allowances associated with product cost are recognized as a reduction in cost of sales when the product is sold. Lump sum payments received for multi-year contracts are amortized over the life of the contracts based on contractual terms.

Gross profit increased by \$74.0 million, or 17.9%, from \$412.9 million to \$486.9 million. Excluding the 40th week from the period ended January 5, 2013, and excluding the gross profit resulting from the Nash-Finch merger in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 of \$68.9 million, gross profit increased \$14.6 million, or 3.6%. As a percent of net sales, gross profit decreased from 20.5% to 18.7%. The gross profit rate decrease was principally driven by sales mix due to the merger with Nash-Finch.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses consist primarily of salaries and wages, employee benefits, warehousing costs, store occupancy costs, shipping and handling, utilities, equipment rental, depreciation and other administrative costs.

SG&A expenses, including the merger transaction and integration expenses, increased \$92.9 million, or 25.7%, from \$361.5 million to \$454.4 million, and were 17.5% of net sales compared to 19.3% last year, when excluding the 40th week from the prior year period. The net increase in SG&A on a 39 week basis was due primarily to \$58.2 million in expenses related to the Nash Finch operations, \$21.0 million in expenses related to the merger and integration efforts, higher incentive compensation expense of \$4.5 million, incremental expense

-38-

of \$4.2 million resulting from modifications to stock compensation awards and \$0.6 million resulting from pension settlement accounting. SG&A expenses for 40 weeks ended January 5, 2013 as reported were \$370.4 million and were 18.4% of net sales.

Restructuring and Asset Impairment. The 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 included asset impairment charges of \$9.7 million related to underperforming retail stores and market deterioration in property held for future development, \$4.9 million in restructuring charges related to the closure of six retail stores and \$1.1 million in severance costs related to store closings and the closing of a distribution center. The 40 week period ended January 5, 2013 consisted of an asset impairment charge of \$0.4 million related to an underperforming retail store.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.2 million, or 11.5%, from \$10.4 million in the 40 week period ended January 5, 2013 to \$9.2 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. As a percent of net sales, interest expense decreased from 0.5% to 0.4%. The decrease in interest expense was due primarily to the exchange and redemption of the Convertible Senior Notes in the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013.

Debt Extinguishment Debt extinguishment charges of \$5.5 million were incurred in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 in connection with amending and restating our senior secured revolving credit facility and repaying certain other debt instruments. In the 40 week period ended January 5, 2013, debt extinguishment charges of \$2.3 million were incurred in connection with the private exchange of \$40.3 million and redemption of \$57.4 million of Convertible Senior Notes.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rates were 40.6% and 34.2% for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the 40 week period ended January 5, 2013, respectively. The difference from the statutory Federal rate in the period ended December 28, 2013 is due to non-deductible merger related expenses and changes in unrecognized tax liabilities, partially offset by a reduction in the state deferred tax rate. The prior year period ended January 5, 2013 differs from the Federal statutory rate due to state income taxes which included a \$0.7 million net after-tax benefit due to changes in state tax laws.

Results of Continuing Operations for the Fiscal Year Ended March 30, 2013 Compared to the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2012

Net Sales. Net sales for the 52 week fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 decreased \$26.1 million, or 1.0%, from \$2,634.2 million in the 53 week fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, to \$2,608.2 million. The sales decrease was primarily driven by the 53rd week in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 which accounted for \$49.8 million, partially offset by increases in both the Food Distribution and Retail segments.

Net sales on a 52 week basis in our Food Distribution segment, after intercompany eliminations, increased \$5.1 million, or 0.5%, from \$1,115.6 million to \$1,120.7 million primarily due to new business sales. Food Distribution segment net sales for fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 as reported for 53 weeks were \$1,138.7 million.

Net sales on a 52 week basis in our Retail segment increased \$18.7 million, or 1.3%, from \$1,468.8 million to \$1,487.5 million. The sales increase was primarily due to the acquisition of one supermarket late in the third quarter of the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013, increased fuel center sales of \$11.3 million driven by higher retail fuel prices and an increase in volume and incremental sales from new fuel centers (including one acquired fuel center) of \$2.4 million, partially offset by a decrease in supermarket comparable store sales of \$6.6 million. Retail segment net sales for fiscal 2012 as reported for 53 weeks were \$1,495.5 million. Total retail comparable store sales, excluding fuel centers, on a 52 week basis decreased approximately 0.5 percent in the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 principally due to lower levels of inflation and the significant impact of the conversion from branded to generic drugs in our pharmacy operations. We define a retail store as comparable when it is in operation for 14 accounting periods (a period equals four weeks), and we include remodeled, expanded and relocated stores in comparable stores.

-39-

Gross Profit. Gross profit represents net sales less cost of sales, which include purchase costs, freight, physical inventory adjustments, markdowns and promotional allowances. Vendor allowances that relate to our buying and merchandising activities consist primarily of promotional allowances, which are generally allowances on purchased quantities and, to a lesser extent, slotting allowances, which are billed to vendors for our merchandising costs, such as setting up warehouse infrastructure. Vendor allowances associated with product cost are recognized as a reduction in cost of sales when the product is sold. Lump sum payments received for multi-year contracts are amortized over the life of the contracts based on contractual terms.

Gross profit decreased by \$10.6 million, or 1.9%, from \$556.1 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 to \$545.5 million for the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013. Excluding the 53rd week from the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, gross profit decreased \$1.1 million, or 0.2%, and as a percent of net sales, gross profit decreased from 21.1% to 20.9%. The gross margin rate decrease was principally due to reduced inflation-driven inventory gains at the Food Distribution segment, the prize-freeze campaign at the Retail segment, and a slightly higher mix of lower-margin fuel sales.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses consist primarily of salaries and wages, employee benefits, warehousing costs, store occupancy costs, shipping and handling, utilities, equipment rental, depreciation and other administrative costs.

SG&A expenses increased \$0.4 million, or 0.1%, from \$482.6 million to \$483.0 million, and were 18.5% of net sales compared to 18.3% last year, when excluding the 53rd week from the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. The net increase in SG&A on a 52 week basis is due primarily to an increase in health care and occupancy costs, partially offset by a decrease in incentive compensation expense and unusual professional fees incurred in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012.

Restructuring, Asset Impairment and Other. Asset impairment charges of \$1.6 million in the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 were a result of the economic and competitive impacts on the financial performance of certain retail stores.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.6 million, or 10.8%, from \$15.0 million to \$13.4 million. As a percent of net sales, interest expense decreased from 0.6% to 0.5%. The decrease in interest expense was due primarily to a \$0.8 million charge for terminating the interest rate swap agreement in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 and lower average outstanding borrowings.

Debt Extinguishment Debt extinguishment charges of \$5.0 million were incurred in the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 in connection with the private exchange of \$40.3 million and redemption of \$57.4 million of Convertible Senior Notes.

Income Taxes. The effective income tax rates were 35.7% and 38.2% for the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 and the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, respectively. The difference from the statutory Federal rate is primarily the result of state taxes and changes to the state of Michigan tax laws in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. The first quarter of fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 includes a \$0.7 million net after-tax benefit and the first quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 includes a net after-tax charge of \$0.5 million due to these changes. Excluding these items the effective income tax rates were 37.3% and 37.2% for the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 and the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012, respectively.

Discontinued Operations

Certain of our retail and food distribution operations have been recorded as discontinued operations. Results of the discontinued operations are excluded from the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements for all periods presented, unless otherwise noted.

-40-

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to bad debts, inventories, intangible assets, assets held for sale, long-lived assets, income taxes, self-insurance reserves, restructuring costs, retirement benefits, stock-based compensation and contingencies and litigation. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that may not be readily apparent from other sources. Based on our ongoing review, we make adjustments we consider appropriate under the facts and circumstances. This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements. We have discussed the development, selection and disclosure of these policies with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

An accounting policy is considered critical if it requires an accounting estimate to be made based on assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time the estimate is made, and if different estimates that reasonably could have been used, or changes in the accounting estimates that are reasonably likely to occur periodically, could materially impact our financial statements. We consider the following accounting policies to represent the more critical estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, the majority of which use the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. The remaining inventories are valued on the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. If replacement cost had been used, inventories would have been \$45.1 million and \$44.1 million higher at December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, respectively. The replacement cost method utilizes the most current unit purchase cost to calculate the value of inventories. During the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, certain inventory quantities were reduced. The reductions resulted in liquidation of LIFO inventory carried at lower costs prevailing in prior years, the effect of which decreased the LIFO provision in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012 by \$0.1 million, \$1.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. SpartanNash accounts for its Military and Food Distribution inventory using a perpetual system and utilizes the retail inventory method (RIM) to value inventory for center store products in the Retail segment. Under the retail inventory method, inventory is stated at cost with cost of sales and gross margin calculated by applying a cost ratio to the retail value of inventories. Fresh, pharmacy and fuel products are accounted for at cost in the Retail segment. We evaluate inventory shortages throughout the year based on actual physical counts in our facilities. We record allowances for inventory shortages based on the results of recent physical counts to provide for estimated shortages from the last physical count to the financial statement date.

Vendor Funds, Allowances and Credits

We receive funds from many of the vendors whose products we buy for resale in our corporate-owned stores and to our independent retail customers. Given the highly promotional nature of the retail supermarket industry, vendor allowances are generally intended to help defray the costs of promotion, advertising and selling the vendor s products. Vendor allowances that relate to our buying and merchandising activities consist primarily of promotional allowances, which are generally allowances on purchased quantities and, to a lesser extent, slotting allowances, which are billed to vendors for our merchandising costs such as setting up warehouse infrastructure. The proper recognition and timing of accounting for these items are significant to the reporting of the results of our operations. Vendor allowances are recognized as a reduction in cost of sales when the related product is sold. Lump sum payments received for multi-year contracts are amortized over the life of the contracts based on contractual terms.

-41-

Customer Exposure and Credit Risk

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Methodology. We evaluate the collectability of our accounts and notes receivable based on a combination of factors. In most circumstances when we become aware of factors that may indicate a deterioration in a specific customer s ability to meet its financial obligations to us (e.g., reductions of product purchases, deteriorating store conditions, changes in payment patterns), we record a specific reserve for bad debts against amounts due to reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount we reasonably believe will be collected. In determining the adequacy of the reserves, we analyze factors such as the value of any collateral, customer financial statements, historical collection experience, aging of receivables and other economic and industry factors. It is possible that the accuracy of the estimation process could be materially affected by different judgments as to the collectability based on information considered and further deterioration of accounts. If circumstances change (i.e., further evidence of material adverse creditworthiness, additional accounts become credit risks, store closures), our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due us could be reduced by a material amount, including to zero.

As of December 28, 2013, we have recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts reserve for our accounts and notes receivables of \$2.0 million as compared to \$1.2 million as of March 30, 2013. During the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, we increased our allowance for doubtful account reserves by \$1.1 million in addition to experiencing write-offs of \$0.3 million.

Guarantees of Debt and Lease Obligations of Others. We have guaranteed the debt and lease obligations of certain Food Distribution customers. In the event these retailers are unable to meet their debt service payments or otherwise experience an event of default, we would be unconditionally liable for the outstanding balance of their debt and lease obligations (\$1.0 million and \$0 as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013), which would be due in accordance with the underlying agreements. The increase in outstanding obligations during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 is due to the merger with Nash-Finch Company.

We have entered into loan and lease guarantees on behalf of certain Food Distribution customers that are accounted for under ASC Topic 460. ASC Topic 460 provides that at the time a company issues a guarantee, the company must recognize an initial liability for the fair value of the obligation it assumes under that guarantee. The maximum undiscounted payments we would be required to make in the event of default under the guarantees is \$1.0 million, which is referenced above. These guarantees are secured by certain business assets and personal guarantees of the respective customers. We believe these customers will be able to perform under the lease agreements and that no payments will be required and no loss will be incurred under the guarantees. As required by ASC Topic 460, a liability representing the fair value of the obligations assumed under the guarantees is included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

We have also assigned various leases to certain Food Distribution customers and other third parties. If the assignees were to become unable to continue making payments under the assigned leases, we estimate our maximum potential obligation with respect to the assigned leases, net of reserves, to be approximately \$7.9 million as of December 28, 2013 as compared to \$0 million as of March 30, 2013. In circumstances when we become aware of factors that indicate deterioration in a customer s ability to meet its financial obligations guaranteed or assigned by us, we record a specific reserve in the amount we reasonably believe we will be obligated to pay on the customer s behalf, net of any anticipated recoveries from the customer. In determining the adequacy of these reserves, we analyze factors such as those described above in Allowance for Doubtful Accounts Methodology and Lease Commitments. It is possible that the accuracy of the estimation process could be materially affected by different judgments as to the obligations based on information considered and further deterioration of accounts, with the potential for a corresponding adverse effect on operating results and cash flows. Triggering these guarantees or obligations under assigned leases would not, however, result in cross default of our debt, but could restrict resources available for general business initiatives. Refer to Part II, Item 8 of this report under Note 14 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information regarding customer exposure and credit risk.

-42-

Goodwill

At the time of our annual goodwill impairment testing, we maintained two reporting units for purposes of our goodwill impairment testing, which were the same as our reporting segments at that time. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment on an annual basis (during the last quarter of the fiscal year), or whenever events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. Fair values are determined based on the discounted cash flows and comparable market values of each reporting segment. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, the fair value of the implied goodwill is calculated as the difference between the fair value of the reporting unit and the fair value of the underlying assets and liabilities, excluding goodwill. An impairment charge is recorded for any excess of the carrying value over the implied fair value. Our goodwill impairment analysis also includes a comparison of the aggregate estimated fair value of each reporting unit to our total market capitalization. Therefore, a significant and sustained decline in our stock price could result in goodwill impairment charges. During times of financial market volatility, significant judgment is given to determine the underlying cause of the decline and whether stock price declines are short-term in nature or indicative of an event or change in circumstances. When testing goodwill for impairment, our retail stores represent components of our Retail operating segment. Stores have been aggregated and deemed a single reporting unit as they have similar economic characteristics.

Determining market values using a discounted cash flow method requires that we make significant estimates and assumptions, including long-term projections of cash flows, market conditions and appropriate discount rates. Our judgments are based on the perspective of a market participant, historical experience, current market trends and other information. In estimating future cash flows, we rely on internally generated three-year forecasts for sales and operating profits, including capital expenditures and a 2.5% and 3.0% long-term assumed growth rate of cash flows for periods after the three-year forecast for the Food Distribution and Retail segments, respectively. The future estimated cash flows were discounted using a rate of 11.1% and 10.5% for the Food Distribution and Retail segments, respectively. We generally develop these forecasts based on recent sales data for existing operations and other factors. While we believe that the estimates and assumptions underlying the valuation methodology are reasonable, different assumptions could result in different outcomes. Based on our annual review during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, no goodwill impairment charge was required to be recorded. No goodwill impairment charge would be required if the discount rate was increased 0.50%. If our stock price experiences a significant and sustained decline, or other events or changes in circumstances occur, such as operating results not meeting our estimates, indicating that impairment may have occurred, we would re-evaluate our goodwill for impairment.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets Other Than Goodwill

Long-lived assets to be held and used are evaluated for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. When the undiscounted future cash flows are not sufficient to recover an asset s carrying amount, the fair value is compared to the carrying value to determine the impairment loss to be recorded. Long-lived assets are evaluated at the asset-group level, which is the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities. In the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012 asset impairments for long-lived assets totaled \$9.7 million, \$1.7 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less cost to sell. Management determines fair values using independent appraisals, quotes or expected sales prices developed by internal real estate professionals. Estimates of expected sales prices are judgments based upon our experience, knowledge of market conditions and current offers received. Changes in market conditions, the economic environment and other factors can significantly impact these estimates. While we believe that the estimates and assumptions underlying the valuation methodology are reasonable, different assumptions could result in a different outcome. If the current estimate of future discounted cash flows was 10% lower an additional impairment charge \$0.1 million would be required.

-43-

Exit Costs

We record exit costs for closed sites that are subject to long-term lease commitments based upon the future minimum lease payments and related ancillary costs from the date of closure to the end of the remaining lease term, net of estimated sublease rentals that could be reasonably expected to be obtained for the property. Future cash flows are based on contractual lease terms and knowledge of the market in which the closed site is located. These estimates are subject to multiple factors, including inflation, ability to sublease the property and other economic conditions. Internally developed estimates of sublease rentals are based upon the market in which the property is located, the results of previous efforts to sublease similar property and the current economic environment. Reserves may be adjusted in the future based upon the actual resolution of each of these factors. For any closed site reserves recorded as part of purchase accounting prior to the adoption of Accounting Standards Codification Topic 805, adjustments that decrease the liability are generally recorded as a reduction of goodwill. At December 28, 2013 exit cost liabilities for distribution center and store lease and ancillary costs totaling \$20.1 million are recorded net of approximately \$0.6 million of existing sublease rentals. Based upon the current economic environment we do not believe that we will be able to obtain any additional sublease rentals. A 10% increase/decrease in future estimated ancillary costs would result in a \$1.3 million increase/decrease in the restructuring charge liability.

Insurance Reserves

We are primarily self-insured for costs related to workers compensation, general and automobile liability and health insurance. We record our self-insurance liabilities based on reported claims experience and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. Workers compensation and general liability are actuarially determined on an undiscounted basis. We have purchased stop-loss coverage to limit our exposure on a per claim basis. On a per claim basis, our exposure is up to \$0.6 million for workers compensation, \$0.5 million for general liability, up to \$0.5 million for automobile liability and \$0.4 million for health care per associate per year.

Any projection of losses concerning workers compensation, general and automobile liability and health insurance is subject to a considerable degree of variability. Among the causes of variability are unpredictable external factors affecting future inflation rates, discount rates, litigation trends, changing regulations, legal interpretations, benefit level changes and claim settlement patterns. Although our estimates of liabilities incurred do not anticipate significant changes in historical trends for these variables, such changes could have a material impact on future claim costs and currently recorded liabilities. The impact of many of these variables is difficult to estimate.

Pension

Accounting for defined benefit pension plans involves estimating the cost of benefits to be provided in the future, based on vested years of service, and attributing those costs over the time period each employee works. The significant factors affecting our pension costs are the fair values of plan assets and the selections of management s key assumptions, including the expected return on plan assets and the discount rate used by our actuary to calculate our liability. We consider current market conditions, including changes in interest rates and investment returns, in selecting these assumptions. Our discount rate is based on current investment yields on high quality fixed-income investments and projected cash flow obligations. The discount rates used to determine pension income/expense for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 were 3.90% to 4.60%. Expected return on plan assets is based on projected returns by asset class on broad, publicly traded equity and fixed-income indices, as well as target asset allocation. Our target allocation mix is designed to meet our long-term pension requirements. For the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, our assumed rate of return was 5.70% the Super Foods Plan assumed in the merger with Nash-Finch Company and 6.55% for our cash balance pension plan. Over the ten-year period ended December 28, 2013 the average actual return was approximately 9.5% for our cash balance pension plan. While we believe the assumptions selected are reasonable, significant differences in our actual experience, plan amendments or significant changes in the fair value of our plan assets may

-44-

materially affect our pension obligations and our future expense. A 75 basis point increase/decrease in the expected return on plan assets would have decreased/increased pension income by approximately \$0.4 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013.

As of December 28, 2013, our defined benefit plans were in a total funded status of \$2.6 million and as of March 30, 2013 they were in a total funded status of \$3.5 million. The decrease in the funded status during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 is a result of the unfunded status of the pension plan assumed in the merger with Nash-Finch Company, partially offset market appreciation of plan assets. Plan assets increased by \$41.4 million due to plan assets of \$38.1 million in the pension plan assumed in the merger and return on plan assets of \$7.3 million, offset by benefit payments of \$4.2 million. Pension expense was \$0.2 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, including settlement expense of \$0.6 million, and pension income was \$0.5 million in the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013.

Income Taxes

SpartanNash is subject to periodic audits by the Internal Revenue Service and other state and local taxing authorities. These audits may challenge certain of our tax positions such as the timing and amount of income credits and deductions and the allocation of taxable income to various tax jurisdictions. We evaluate our tax positions and establish liabilities in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance on uncertainty in income taxes. These tax uncertainties are reviewed as facts and circumstances change and are adjusted accordingly. This requires significant management judgment in estimating final outcomes. Actual results could materially differ from these estimates and could significantly affect our effective income tax rate and cash flows in future years. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which it expects the differences to reverse. Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements set forth in Item 8 of this report provides additional information on income taxes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table summarizes our consolidated statements of cash flows for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012:

(In thousands)	December 28, 2013 (39 weeks)		2013 2013 weeks) (40 wee (unaudi		2	rch 30, 013 weeks)	arch 31, 2012 3 weeks)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	64,761	\$	27,296	\$ 5	59,341	\$ 93,734
Net cash used in investing activities		(57,170)		(44,873)	(4	53,056)	(43,800)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(4,051)		412	(2	26,213)	(67,206)
Net cash used in discontinued operations		(421)		(351)		(451)	(76)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		3,119		(17,516)	(2	20,379)	(17,348)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		6,097		26,476	2	26,476	43,824
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	9,216	\$	8,960	\$	6,097	\$ 26,476

Net cash provided by operating activities increased during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 over the comparable 40 week period ended January 5, 2013 by approximately \$37.5 million. This increase was due primarily to the timing of working capital requirements.

During the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, we paid \$14.0 million, \$10.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, in income tax payments.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$12.3 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 compared to the 40 week period ended January 5, 2013 primarily due to capital expenditures which increased \$3.3 million and an increase in cash used for acquisitions of \$6.9 million. Military, Food Distribution and Retail segments utilized 6.0%, 37.3% and 56.7% of capital expenditures, respectively. Expenditures in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 were primarily related to three major store remodels, one new *Valu Land* store, the implementation of AGV s in our grocery distribution warehouse, a distribution center expansion, land for future store development and several minor store remodels. We expect capital expenditures to range from \$77.0 million to \$82.0 million for fiscal 2014.

Net cash used in financing activities includes cash paid and received related to our long-term borrowings, dividends paid, purchase of SpartanNash common stock, financing fees paid, tax benefits of stock compensation and proceeds from the issuance of common stock. The increase in cash used in financing activities in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 compared to the comparable 40 week period ended January 5, 2013 was primarily due to a decrease in net proceeds from borrowings of \$8.3 million, an increase in financing fees paid of \$6.7 million and an increase of dividends paid of \$0.7 million, partially offset by a decrease in share repurchases of \$11.4 million. The increase in dividends paid was due to a 12.5% increase in dividends from \$0.08 per share to \$0.09 per share that was approved by the Board of Directors and announced on May 17, 2013. Following the Nash-Finch merger discussed above, SpartanNash will initially pay quarterly dividends of \$0.12 per share. Although we expect to continue to pay a quarterly cash dividend, adoption of a dividend policy does not commit the Board of Directors to declare future dividends. Each future dividend will be considered and declared by the Board of Directors at its discretion. Whether the Board of Directors continues to declare dividends and repurchase shares depends on a number of factors, including our future financial condition, anticipated profitability and cash flows and compliance with the terms of our credit facilities. Our current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations at December 28, 2013 are \$7.3 million. Our ability to borrow additional funds is governed by the terms of our credit facilities.

Net cash used in discontinued operations contains the net cash flows of our discontinued operations and consists primarily of insurance run-off claims, facility maintenance, the payment of closed store lease costs and other liabilities partially offset by sublease income.

On November 19, 2013, Spartan Stores entered into a \$1 billion Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement (the Credit Agreement) with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC, as administrative agent (Wells Fargo), and certain lenders from time to time party thereto. The Credit Agreement was entered into contemporaneously with the closing of the merger with Nash-Finch. The Credit Agreement amends and restates in the entirety each of the previous credit agreements between Wells Fargo (or an affiliate thereof) and Spartan Stores and certain of its subsidiaries and Nash-Finch and certain of its subsidiaries, respectively.

The Credit Agreement has a term of five years, maturing on November 19, 2018, and is a secured credit facility consisting of three tranches. Tranche A is a \$900 million secured revolving credit facility; Tranche A-1 is a \$40 million secured revolving credit facility; and Tranche A-2 is a \$60 million term loan. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are available for general operating expenses, working capital, merger costs, repayment of certain Nash-Finch indebtedness as of the merger date and other general corporate purposes.

On December 6, 2012, we completed a private exchange and sale of \$50.0 million aggregate principal amount of newly issued four year unsecured 6.625% Senior Notes due 2016 (New Notes) for \$40.3 million aggregate principal amount of our existing Convertible Senior Notes due 2027 and \$9.7 million in cash. The New Notes mature on December 15, 2016 and are senior unsecured debt and rank equally in right of payment with our other existing and future senior debt. The New Notes are effectively subordinated to our existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt. Interest on the New Notes accrues at a rate of 6.625% per annum. Interest on the New Notes is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing on June 15, 2013. On March 1, 2013, we redeemed all of the remaining \$57.4 million aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes. This redemption was funded by borrowings on the

-46-

senior secured revolving credit facility. The completion of the redemption discharges the Indenture dated as of May 30, 2007 between SpartanNash and the Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as Trustee (the Indenture) and the Convertible Notes.

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash flows generated from operations and our senior secured credit facility which has maximum available credit of \$1.0 billion. As of December 28, 2013, our senior secured revolving credit facility and senior secured term loan had outstanding borrowings of \$480.7 million; additional available borrowings under our \$1.0 billion credit facility are based on stipulated advance rates on eligible assets, as defined in the credit agreement. The credit agreement requires that SpartanNash maintain excess availability of 10% of the borrowing base as such term is defined in the credit agreement. SpartanNash had excess availability after the 10% covenant of \$406.9 million at December 28, 2013. Payment of dividends and repurchases of outstanding shares are permitted, provided that certain levels of excess availability are maintained. The credit facility provides for the issuance of letters of credit, of which \$14.2 million were outstanding as of December 28, 2013. The revolving credit facility matures November 2018, and is secured by substantially all of our assets. We believe that cash generated from operating activities and available borrowings under the credit facility will be sufficient to meet anticipated requirements for working capital, capital expenditures, dividend payments, and senior note debt redemption and debt service obligations for the foreseeable future. However, there can be no assurance that our business will continue to generate cash flow at or above current levels or that we will maintain our ability to borrow under our credit facility.

Our current ratio increased to 1.73:1.00 at December 28, 2013 from 1.07:1.00 at March 30, 2013 and our investment in working capital was \$389.8 million at December 28, 2013 versus \$13.2 million at March 30, 2013. Our debt to total capital ratio increased to 0.46:1.00 at December 28, 2013 versus 0.31:1.00 at March 30, 2013. Each of these measures was materially impacted by our merger with Nash-Finch.

Total net debt is a non-GAAP financial measure that is defined as long term debt and capital lease obligations plus current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations less cash and cash equivalents. The Company believes investors find the information useful because it reflects the amount of long term debt obligations that are not covered by available cash and temporary investments.

Following is a reconciliation of long-term debt and capital lease obligations to total net long-term debt and capital lease obligations as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013.

(In thousands)	De	cember 28, 2013	March 30, 2013
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$	7,345	\$ 4,067
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations		597,563	145,876
Total debt		604,908	149,943
Cash and cash equivalents		(9,216)	(6,097)
Total net long-term debt	\$	595,692	\$ 143,846

-47-

The table below presents our significant contractual obligations as of December 28, 2013 (1):

	Amount Committed By Period						
(In thousands)	Total Amount Committed	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years		
Long-term debt (2)	\$ 537,537	\$ 1,101	\$ 52,335	\$ 484,057	\$ 44		
Estimated interest on long-term debt	59,265	15,407	30,455	13,399	4		
Capital leases (3)	67,371	6,244	12,433	13,252	35,442		
Interest on capital leases	36,422	4,940	8,831	6,927	15,724		
Operating leases (3)	226,079	50,144	74,972	42,801	58,162		
Lease and ancillary costs of closed sites, including imputed interest	20,562	6,330	7,444	3,883	2,905		
Purchase obligations (merchandise) (4)	27,326	5,930	7,992	6,723	6,681		
Unrecognized tax liabilities, including interest	8,672	5,206	2,632	834			
Self-insurance liability	22,454	13,135	8,678	473	168		
Total	\$ 1,005,688	\$ 108,437	\$ 205,772	\$ 572,349	\$ 119,130		

- (1) Excludes funding of pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. We expect to make payments of \$2.3 million to our defined benefit pension plans in fiscal 2014. Also excludes contributions under various multi-employer pension plans, which totaled \$6.8 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013. For additional information, refer to Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Refer to Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding long-term debt.
- (3) Operating and capital lease obligations do not include common area maintenance, insurance or tax payments for which we are also obligated. In the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, these charges totaled approximately \$7.8 million.
- (4) The amount of purchase obligations shown in the table represents the amount of product we are contractually obligated to purchase. The majority of our purchase obligations involve purchase orders to purchase products for resale made in the ordinary course of business, which are not included in the table above. Our purchase orders are based on our current needs and are fulfilled by our vendors within very short time horizons. These contracts are typically cancelable and therefore no amounts have been included in the table above. The purchase obligations shown in this table also exclude agreements that are cancelable by us without significant penalty, which include contracts for routine outsourced services. Also excluded are contracts that do not contain minimum annual purchase commitments but include other standard contractual considerations that must be fulfilled in order to earn \$2.3 million in advanced contract monies that has been received where recognition has been deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The purchase obligations shown in this table represent the amount of product we are contractually obligated to purchase to earn \$9.1 million in advanced contract monies that are receivable under the contracts. At December 28, 2013, \$2.1 million in advanced contract monies has been received under these contracts where recognition has been deferred on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. If we do not fulfill these purchase obligations, we would only be obligated to repay the unearned upfront contract monies.

We have also made certain commercial commitments that extend beyond December 28, 2013. These commitments include standby letters of credit and guarantees of certain Food Distribution customer lease obligations. The following summarizes these commitments as of December 28, 2013:

Other Commercial Commitments		Amount Cor	nmitted By	Period	
	Total	Less			More
	Amount	than 1	1-3	3-5	than 5
(In thousands)	Committed	year	years	years	years
Standby Letters of Credit (1)	\$ 14,235	\$ 14,235	\$	\$	\$
Guarantees (2)	965	444	250	250	21
Total Other Commercial Commitments	\$ 15,200	\$ 14,679	\$ 250	\$ 250	\$ 21

(1) Letters of credit relate primarily to supporting workers compensation obligations.

-48-

(2) Refer to Part II, Item 8 of this report under Note 14 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and under the caption Guarantees of Debt and Lease Obligations of Others in the Critical Accounting Policies section below for additional information regarding debt guarantees, lease guarantees and assigned leases.

Cash Dividends

We paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.09 per common share in each quarter of the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, \$0.08 in the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 and \$0.065 in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. Under our senior revolving credit facility, we are generally permitted to pay dividends in any fiscal year up to an amount such that all cash dividends, together with any cash distributions, prepayments of our senior notes or share repurchases, do not exceed \$25.0 million. Additionally, we are generally permitted to pay cash dividends in excess of \$25.0 million in any fiscal year so long as our Excess Availability, as defined in the senior revolving credit facility is in excess of 15% of the Total Borrowing Base before and after giving effect to the prepayments, repurchases and dividends. Although we currently expect to continue to pay a quarterly cash dividend, adoption of a dividend policy does not commit the board of directors to declare future dividends. Each future dividend will be considered and declared by the board of directors in its discretion. Whether the board of directors continues to declare dividends depends on a number of factors, including our future financial condition and profitability and compliance with the terms of our credit facilities.

Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges

For purposes of calculating the ratio of earnings to fixed charges under the terms of the Senior Notes, earnings consist of net earnings, as adjusted under the terms of the Senior Notes indenture, plus income tax expense, fixed charges and non-cash charges, less cash payments relating to non-cash charges added back to net earnings in prior periods. Fixed charges consist of interest cost, including capitalized interest, and amortization of debt issue costs. Our ratio of earnings to fixed charges was 10.20:1.00 for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2012-02, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. ASU No. 2012-02 permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether certain events and circumstances exist that indicate it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. The more likely than not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent. If as a result of the qualitative assessment it is determined that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then Spartan Stores is not required to take further action and calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. ASU No. 2012-02 was effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. This standard did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income . ASU No. 2013-02 requires companies to provide additional information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, companies are required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective lines of net income. The amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. This ASU does not change the requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income. Because the standard only affects the presentation of comprehensive income and does not affect what is included in comprehensive income, this standard did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. Refer to Note 11 in the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, which is herein incorporated by reference.

-49-

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

We are exposed to industry related price changes on several commodities, such as dairy, meat and produce that we buy and sell in all of our segments. These products are purchased for and sold from inventory in the ordinary course of business. We are also exposed to other general commodity price changes such as utilities, insurance and fuel costs.

We had \$480.7 million of variable rate debt as of December 28, 2013. The weighted average interest rates on outstanding debt including loan fee amortization for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012 were 5.73%, 8.43% and 8.05%, respectively.

At December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, the estimated fair value of our long-term debt, including current maturities, was higher than book value by approximately \$4.0 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. The estimated fair values were based on market quotes for similar instruments.

The following table sets forth the principal cash flows of our debt outstanding and related weighted average interest rates by year of maturity as of December 28, 2013:

	December Fair	r 28, 2013	Aggregate Payments by Fiscal Year						
(In thousands, except rates)	Value	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2	018	Thereafter
Fixed rate debt									
Principal payable	\$128,244	\$ 124,226	\$ 7,345	\$ 7,262	\$ 57,506	\$ 7,689	\$	8,938	\$ 35,486
Average interest rate		7.15%	7.15%	7.22%	7.30%	7.97%		8.14%	8.72%
Variable rate debt									
Principal payable	480,682	480,682					48	30,682	
Average interest rate		2.74%						2.74%	

-50-

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Spartan Stores, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Grand Rapids, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Spartan Stores, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Spartan Stores, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its fiscal year end from the last Saturday in March to the Saturday nearest to December 31. Also, as discussed in Note 2, the Company completed a merger with the Nash-Finch Company on November 19, 2013 and the results of their operations were included from the acquisition date to December 28, 2013.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 12, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Grand Rapids, Michigan

March 12, 2014

-51-

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Spartan Stores, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(In thousands)

	D	ecember 28, 2013	March 30, 2013
Assets			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	9,216	\$ 6,097
Accounts and notes receivable, net		286,903	60,979
Inventories, net		590,248	124,657
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		39,028	12,126
Deferred taxes on income			2,310
Property and equipment held for sale		440	
Total current assets		925,835	206,169
Property and equipment			
Land and improvements		80,901	23,093
Buildings and improvements		481,649	261,348
Equipment		424,831	302,161
Total property and equipment		987,381	586,602
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		335,904	314,476
Property and equipment, net		651,477	272,126
Goodwill		306,148	246,840
Other assets, net		115,214	64,532
Total assets Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$	1,998,674	\$ 789,667
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	364,856	\$ 120,651
Accrued payroll and benefits		85,102	38,356
Other accrued expenses		54,935	29,916
Deferred taxes on income		23,827	
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations		7,345	4,067
Total current liabilities		536,065	192,990
Long-term liabilities			
Deferred income taxes		92,319	80,578
Postretirement benefits		22,009	14,092
Other long-term liabilities		43,845	20,476
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations		597,563	145,876
Total long-term liabilities		755,736	261,022
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)			
Shareholders equity			
Common stock, voting, no par value; 100,000 shares authorized; 37,371 and 21,751 shares outstanding Preferred stock, no par value, 10,000 shares authorized; no shares outstanding		518,056	146,564
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(8,794)	(13,687)
Retained earnings		197,611	202,778
Total shareholders equity		706,873	335,655

Total liabilities and shareholders equity

\$ 1,998,674 \$ 789,667

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

-52-

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

Spartan Stores, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Period Ended January 5,				Year l	Ended	led				
	December 28, 2013		2013	March 30,		Μ	arch 31,				
	2013		(40) weeks)		2013	2012				
	(39	weeks)	(un	audited)	(5	2 weeks)	(5	3 weeks)			
Net sales	\$ 2,5	597,230	\$ 2	015,351	\$ 2	,608,160	\$ 2	\$ 2,634,226			
Cost of sales	2,1	10,350	1	602,450	2	,062,616	2	,078,116			
Gross profit	4	86,880		412,901		545,544		556,110			
Operating expenses											
Selling, general and administrative		33,450		370,337		482,987 489,650					
Merger transaction and integration		20,993									
Restructuring and asset impairment		15,644		356		1,589		(23)			
Total operating expenses	4	70,087		370,693		484,576		489,627			
Operating earnings		16,793		42,208		60,968		66,483			
Other income and expenses											
Interest expense		9,219		10,420		13,410		15,037			
Debt extinguishment	5,527 2,28					5,047					
Other, net		(23)		(752)		(756)		(110)			
Total other income and expenses		14,723		11,953		17,701		14,927			
Earnings before income taxes and discontinued operations		2,070		30,255		43,267		51,556			
Income taxes		841		10,352		15,425		19,686			
Earnings from continuing operations		1,229		19,903		27,842		31,870			
Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes		(488)		(195)		(432)		(112)			
Net earnings	\$	741	\$	19,708	\$	27,410	\$	31,758			
Basic earnings per share:											
Earnings from continuing operations	\$	0.05	\$	0.91	\$	1.28	\$	1.40			
Loss from discontinued operations	Ψ	(0.02)	Ψ	(0.01)	Ψ	(0.02)	Ψ	(0.01)*			
Net earnings	\$	0.03	\$	0.90	\$	1.26	\$	1.39			
Diluted earnings per share:											
Earnings from continuing operations	\$	0.05	\$	0.91	\$	1.27	\$	1.39			
Loss from discontinued operations	Ψ	(0.02)	Ψ	(0.01)	Ψ	(0.02)	Ψ	1.57			
Net earnings	\$	0.03	\$	0.90	\$	1.25	\$	1.39			

* Includes rounding.

-53-

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Spartan Stores, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(In thousands)

	Period December 28,	Ended January 5,	Year Ended			
	2013	2013	March 30,	March 31,		
	(39 weeks)	(40 weeks) (unaudited)	2013 52 weeks	2012 53 weeks		
Net earnings	\$ 741	\$ 19,708	\$27,410	\$ 31,758		
Other comprehensive income, before tax						
Change in fair value of interest rate swap ¹				330		
Interest rate swap termination charge ²				775		
Pension and postretirement liability adjustment ³	8,316		173	(2,353)		
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before tax	8,316		173	(1,248)		
Income tax (benefit) expense related to items of other comprehensive						
income	(3,423)		(67)	471		
Total other comprehensive income, after tax	4,893		106	(777)		
• ·						
Comprehensive income	\$ 5,634	\$ 19,708	\$ 27,516	\$ 30,981		

(1) Amount is gross of tax of \$(119) in the fiscal year ended 2012

(2) Amount is gross of tax of (321) in the fiscal year ended 2012

(3) Amount is gross of tax of \$(3,423) in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013, \$(67) in the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 and \$911 in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2012

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Spartan Stores, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(In thousands)

	Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Con	cumulated Other nprehensive ome (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total
Balance March 26, 2011	22,619	\$ 162,086	\$	(13,016)	\$ 156,435	\$ 305,505
Net earnings					31,758	31,758
Other comprehensive loss				(777)		(777)
Dividends \$0.26 per share					(5,926)	(5,926)
Share repurchase	(687)	(12,381)				(12,381)
Stock-based employee compensation	. ,	5,048				5,048
Issuances of common stock and related tax benefit on						
stock option exercises and bonus plan	93	1,311				1,311
Issuances of restricted stock and related income tax						
benefits	255	(116)				(116)
Cancellations of restricted stock	(65)	(814)				(814)
	. ,	, , ,				, , ,
Balance March 31, 2012	22,215	\$ 155,134	\$	(13,793)	\$ 182,267	\$ 323,608
Net earnings	22,215	φ155,154	ψ	(13,793)	27,410	27,410
Other comprehensive income				106	27,410	106
Dividends \$0.32 per share				100	(6,899)	(6,899)
Share repurchase	(634)	(11,381)			(0,0)))	(11,381)
Repurchase of equity component of convertible debt, net	(054)	(11,501)				(11,501)
of taxes of \$587		(935)				(935)
Stock-based employee compensation		4,062				4,062
Issuances of common stock and related tax benefit on		4,002				4,002
stock option exercises and bonus plan	32	650				650
Issuances of restricted stock and related income tax	52	050				050
benefits	226	35				35
Cancellations of restricted stock	(88)	(1,001)				(1,001)
Calcentations of restricted stock	(00)	(1,001)				(1,001)
Delence March 20 2012	01 751	¢ 146 564	¢	(12, (97))	¢ 202 779	¢ 225 655
Balance March 30, 2013	21,751	\$ 146,564	\$	(13,687)	\$ 202,778	\$ 335,655
Net earnings				4 902	741	741
Other comprehensive income				4,893	(5,000)	4,893
Dividends \$0.27 per share		(051			(5,908)	(5,908)
Stock-based employee compensation		6,951				6,951
Issuances of common stock and related tax benefit on	20	(111)				(111)
stock option exercises and bonus plan	29	(111)				(111)
Issuances of common stock for merger transaction	16,047	379,600				379,600
Issuances of restricted stock and related income	229	(15)				(15)
tax benefits	228	(15)				(15)
Cancellations of restricted stock	(684)	(14,933)				(14,933)
Balance December 28, 2013	37,371	\$ 518,056	\$	(8,794)	\$ 197,611	\$ 706,873

See notes to consolidated financial statements

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Spartan Stores, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(In thousands)

	Period	Ended January 5,	Year Ended			
	December 28, 2013 (39 weeks)	2013 (40 weeks) (unaudited)	March 30, 2013 (52 weeks)	March 31, 2012 (53 weeks)		
Cash flows from operating activities	(0,	()	()	()		
Net earnings	\$ 741	\$ 19,708	\$ 27,410	\$ 31,758		
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	488	195	432	112		
Earnings from continuing operations	1,229	19,903	27,842	31,870		
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:	1,227	19,905	27,012	51,070		
Restructuring and asset impairment	15,644	356	1,589	(23)		
Convertible debt interest	10,011	2,903	3,282	3,745		
Loss on debt extinguishment	5,527	2,285	5,047	5,710		
Depreciation and amortization	37,270	29,434	38,854	36,767		
Rebateable loans	939	27,101	50,051	20,707		
LIFO expense	928	984	335	1,401		
Postretirement benefits expense	1,492	832	651	3,817		
Deferred taxes on income	(3,566)	4,087	(4,121)	17,861		
Stock-based compensation expense	6,951	3,250	4,062	5,048		
Excess tax benefit on stock compensation	(178)	(260)	(299)	(237)		
Other, net	(870)	(333)	(276)	(399)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	(0.0)	()	()	(277)		
Accounts receivable	40,292	8,346	(1,941)	(2,309)		
Inventories	30,791	(33,621)	(23,750)	2,635		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2,848	2,037	6,936	(17,172)		
Accounts payable	(37,248)	10,066	12,984	8,841		
Accrued payroll and benefits	(23,822)	(5,045)	(325)	845		
Postretirement benefit payments	(2,964)	(4,406)	(4,514)	(6,746)		
Accrued income taxes	(10,688)	(12,352)	(3,038)	9,968		
Other accrued expenses and other liabilities	186	(1,170)	(3,977)	(2,178)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	64,761	27,296	59,341	93,734		
Cash flows from investing activities						
Purchases of property and equipment	(37,200)	(33,932)	(42,012)	(42,518)		
Net proceeds from the sale of assets	1,330	2,440	2,440	678		
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(20,647)	(13,720)	(13,720)	(478)		
Other	(653)	339	236	(1,482)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(57,170)	(44,873)	(53,056)	(43,800)		
Cash flows from financing activities						
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	\$ 877,033	\$ 366,545	\$ 504,468	\$ 4,933		
Payments on revolving credit facility	(812,239)	(352,696)	(456,818)	(49,933)		
Share repurchase	(,)	(11,381)	(11,381)	(12,381)		
Proceeds from long-term borrowings		9,679	9,679	(-=,1)		
Repurchase of convertible notes			(57,973)			
Repayment of other long-term debt	(53,988)	(4,440)	(5,265)	(5,318)		
Financing fees paid	(9,437)	(2,721)	(2,721)			
Excess tax benefit on stock compensation	178	260	299	237		
Proceeds from sale of common stock	310	325	398	1,182		
Dividends paid	(5,908)	(5,159)	(6,899)	(5,926)		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(4,051)	412	(26,213)	(67,206)		

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Cash flows from discontinued operations								
Net cash used in operating activities		(421)		(351)		(451)		(76)
Net cash used in discontinued operations		(421)		(351)		(451)		(76)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		3,119		(17,516)		(20,379)		(17,348)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		6,097		26,476		26,476		43,824
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	9,216	\$	8,960	\$	6,097	\$	26,476
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:								
Cash paid for interest	\$	7,765	\$	7,038	\$	9,422	\$	10,248
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	13,951	\$	10,240	\$	10,240	\$	202
See notes to consolidated financial statements.								

SPARTAN STORES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Basis of Presentation

Spartan Stores, Inc. began doing business under the assumed name of SpartanNash Company, with the formal name change to SpartanNash expected to become effective at the annual shareholders meeting in May 2014. Unless the context otherwise requires, the use of the terms SpartanNash, we, us, our and the Company in this Annual Report on Form 10-K refers to the surviving corporation Spartan Stores, Inc. and, applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries. As discussed in Note 2, Spartan Stores, Inc. completed a merger with Nash-Finch Company on November 19, 2013.

Fiscal Year: In connection with the merger, effective November 19, 2013, the Board of Directors of SpartanNash determined to change the Company's fiscal year end from the last Saturday in March to the Saturday nearest to December 31, effective beginning with our current transition period ended December 28, 2013. As a result of this change, our current transition period ended December 28, 2013 is a 39 week period beginning March 31, 2013. Fiscal year ended March 30, 2013 consisted of 52 weeks and fiscal year ended March 31, 2012 consisted of 53 weeks. Beginning with fiscal 2014 the Company's interim quarters will consist of 12 weeks except for the first quarter which consists of 16 weeks. In these consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, financial results for the transition period ended December 28, 2013 are for 39 weeks. In addition, our Consolidated Statements of Earnings and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows include an unaudited 40-week period ended January 5, 2013 for purposes of comparison.

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Spartan Stores, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported therein. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods might differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition: We recognize revenue when the sales price is fixed or determinable, collectability is reasonably assured and the customer takes possession of the merchandise. The Military segment recognizes revenues upon the delivery of the product to the commissary or commissaries designated by the Defense Commissary Agency (DeCA), or in the case of overseas commissaries, when the product is delivered to the port designated by DeCA, which is when DeCA takes possession of the merchandise and bears the responsibility for shipping the product to the commissary or overseas warehouse. Revenues from consignment sales are included in our reported sales on a net basis. The Food Distribution segment recognizes revenues when products are delivered or ancillary services are provided. Sales and excise taxes are excluded from revenue. The Retail segment recognizes revenues from the sale of products at the point of sale. Based upon the nature of the products we sell, our customers have limited rights of return which are immaterial. Discounts provided to customers by SpartanNash at the time of sale are recognized as a reduction in sales as the products are sold. SpartanNash does not recognize a sale when it awards customer loyalty points or sells gift cards and gift certificates; rather, a sale is recognized when the customer loyalty points, gift card or gift certificate are redeemed to purchase product. Sales taxes collected from customers are remitted to the appropriate taxing jurisdictions and are excluded from sales revenue as the Company considers itself a pass-through conduit for collecting and remitting sales taxes.

Cost of Sales: Cost of sales is the cost of inventory sold during the period, including purchase costs, freight, distribution costs, physical inventory adjustments, markdowns and promotional allowances. Vendor allowances and credits that relate to our buying and merchandising activities consist primarily of promotional allowances, which are generally allowances on purchased quantities and, to a lesser extent, slotting allowances, which are

SPARTAN STORES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

billed to vendors for our merchandising costs such as setting up warehouse infrastructure. Vendor allowances are recognized as a reduction in cost of sales when the related product is sold. Lump sum payments received for multi-year contracts are amortized over the life of the contracts based on contractual terms. The distribution segments include shipping and handling costs in the selling, general and administrative section of operating expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Earnings.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase.

Accounts and Notes Receivable: Accounts and notes receivable are shown net of allowances for credit losses of \$2.0 million as of December 28, 2013 and \$1.2 million as of March 30, 2013. SpartanNash evaluates the adequacy of its allowances by analyzing the aging of receivables, customer financial condition, historical collection experience, the value of collateral and other economic and industry factors. Actual collections may differ from historical experience, and if economic, business or customer conditions deteriorate significantly, adjustments to these reserves may be required. When SpartanNash becomes aware of factors that indicate a change in a specific customer s ability to meet its financial obligations, we record a specific reserve for credit losses. Operating results include bad debt expense of \$1.3 million, \$0.9 million, and \$0.7 million for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Inventory Valuation: Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Approximately 87.5% of our inventories were valued on the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method at December 28, 2013 as compared to 100% at March 30, 2013. If replacement cost had been used, inventories would have been \$45.1 million and \$44.1 million higher at December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, respectively. The replacement cost method utilizes the most current unit purchase cost to calculate the value of inventories. During the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, certain inventory quantities were reduced. The reductions resulted in liquidation of LIFO inventory carried at lower costs prevailing in prior years, the effect of which decreased the LIFO provision in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012 by \$0.1 million, \$1.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. SpartanNash accounts for its Military and Food Distribution inventory using a perpetual system and utilizes the retail inventory method to value inventory for center store products in the Retail segment. Under the retail inventories. Fresh, pharmacy and fuel products are accounted for at cost in the Retail segment. We evaluate inventory shortages throughout the year based on actual physical counts in our facilities. We record allowances for inventory shortages based on the results of recent physical counts to provide for estimated shortages from the last physical count to the financial statement date.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets: Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the fair value of tangible net assets acquired in business combinations after amounts have been allocated to intangible assets. Goodwill is not amortized, but is reviewed for impairment during the last quarter of each year, or whenever events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount, using a discounted cash flow model and comparable market values of each reporting segment.

As a result of the change in the Company s fiscal year end, the Company adopted during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 a change in the Company s annual goodwill impairment testing date from the first day of the last fiscal quarter of the fiscal year ended on the last Saturday in March to the first day of the last fiscal quarter for the fiscal year ended on the Saturday nearest to December 31. We believe this change is preferable because it aligns our annual goodwill impairment testing with our financial planning process, which was also

-58-

SPARTAN STORES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

adjusted to align with our new fiscal calendar. This will allow us to timely utilize management s updated forecasts in the discounted cash flow analysis used in the estimate of fair value of our reporting units. The change in accounting principle does not delay, accelerate or avoid an impairment charge. We have prospectively applied the change in the annual goodwill impairment testing date as it is impracticable to determine objectively the estimates and assumptions necessary to perform the annual goodwill impairment test without the use of hindsight as of each annual impairment testing date in prior periods. Measuring the fair value of reporting units is a Level 3 measurement under the fair value hierarchy. See Note 7 for a discussion of levels.

Intangible assets primarily consist of trade names, favorable lease agreements, pharmacy prescription lists, customer relationships, franchise agreements and fees, non-compete agreements and liquor licenses. Favorable leases are amortized on a straight-line basis over the related lease terms. Prescription lists and customer relationships are amortized on a straight-line basis over the period of expected benefit. Non-compete agreements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the length of the agreements. Franchise fees are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the franchise agreement. An indefinite-lived trade name is not amortized. A trade name with a definite-life is amortized over the expected life of the asset. Liquor licenses are not amortized as they have indefinite lives. Intangible assets are included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Property and Equipment: Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Expenditures which improve or extend the life of the respective assets are capitalized while expenditures for normal repairs and maintenance are charged to operations as incurred. Depreciation expense on land improvements, buildings and improvements and equipment is computed using the straight-line method as follows:

Land improvements	15 years
Buildings and improvements	15 to 40 years
Equipment	3 to 15 years

Property under capital leases and leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the remaining terms of the leases or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Internal use software is included in property and equipment and amounted to \$19.2 million and \$19.9 million as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: SpartanNash reviews and evaluates long-lived assets for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. When the undiscounted future cash flows are not sufficient to recover an asset s carrying amount, the fair value is compared to the carrying value to determine the impairment loss to be recorded. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less the cost to sell. Fair values are determined by independent appraisals or expected sales prices based upon market participant data developed by third party professionals or by internal licensed real estate professionals. Estimates of future cash flows and expected sales prices are judgments based upon SpartanNash s experience and knowledge of operations. These estimates project cash flows several years into the future and are affected by changes in the economy, real estate market conditions and inflation.

Debt Issuance Costs: Debt issuance costs are amortized over the term of the related financing agreement and are included in Other Assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Insurance Reserves: SpartanNash is primarily self-insured for workers compensation, general liability, automobile liability and health care costs. Self-insurance liabilities are recorded based on claims filed and an estimate of claims incurred but not yet reported. Workers compensation and general liability liabilities are

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

actuarially estimated based on available historical information. We have purchased stop-loss coverage to limit our exposure to any significant exposure on a per claim basis. On a per claim basis, our exposure is up to \$0.6 million for workers compensation, \$0.5 million for general liability, up to \$0.5 million for automobile liability and \$0.4 million for health care. Any projection of losses concerning workers compensation, general and automobile and health insurance liability is subject to a considerable degree of variability. Among the causes of this variability are unpredictable external factors affecting future inflation rates, litigation trends, legal interpretations, benefit level changes and claim settlement patterns. Although our estimates of liabilities incurred do not anticipate significant changes in historical trends for these variables, such changes could have a material impact on future claim costs and currently recorded liabilities.

A summary of changes in SpartanNash s self-insurance liability is as follows:

(In thousands)	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
Beginning balance	\$ 7,167	\$ 5,714	\$ 7,008
Balance assumed in merger	13,248		
Expense	25,291	27,955	26,376
Claim payments, net of employee contributions	(23,252)	(26,502)	(27,670)
Ending balance	\$ 22,454	\$ 7,167	\$ 5,714

The current portion of the self-insurance liability was \$13.1 million and \$5.7 million as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, respectively, and is included in Other accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheets. The long-term portion was \$9.4 million and \$1.5 million as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, respectively, and is included in Other long-term liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Income Taxes: Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed for differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future. Such deferred income tax asset and liability computations are based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized. Income tax expense is the tax payable or refundable for the period plus or minus the change during the period in deferred and other tax assets and liabilities.

Earnings per share: Earnings per share (EPS) is computed using the two-class method. The two-class method determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating securities according to dividends and their respective participation rights in undistributed earnings. Participating securities include non-vested shares of restricted stock in which the participants have non-forfeitable rights to dividends during the performance period. Diluted EPS includes the effects of stock options.

-60-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for continuing operations:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Dec	ember 28, 2013		rch 30, 2013		rch 31, 2012
Numerator:			_		-	
Earnings from continuing operations	\$	1,229	\$ 2	27,842	\$	31,870
Adjustment for earnings attributable to participating securities		(26)		(709)		(807)
Earnings from continuing operations used in calculating earnings per share	\$	1,203	\$ 2	27,133	\$	31,063
Denominator:						
Weighted average common shares outstanding, including						
participating securities		24,137		21,773		22,791
Adjustment for participating securities		(519)		(554)		(577)
Shares used in calculating basic earnings per share		23,618		21,219		22,214
Effect of dilutive stock options		92		75		96
Shares used in calculating diluted earnings per share		23,710	,	21,294		22,310
Basic earnings per share from continuing operations	\$	0.05	\$	1.28	\$	1.40
Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations	\$	0.05	\$	1.27	\$	1.39

Weighted average shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options that were not included in the earnings per share calculations because they were anti-dilutive were 334,172, 369,969, and 239,326 in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation: All share-based payments to employees are recognized in the consolidated financial statements as compensation cost based on the fair value on the date of grant. SpartanNash determined the fair value of stock option awards using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The grant date closing price per share of SpartanNash stock is used to estimate the fair value of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units. The value of the portion of awards expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

Shareholders Equity: SpartanNash s restated articles of incorporation provide that the board of directors may at any time, and from time to time, provide for the issuance of up to 10 million shares of preferred stock in one or more series, each with such designations as determined by the board of directors. At December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

Advertising Costs: SpartanNash s advertising costs are expensed as incurred and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Advertising expenses were \$15.3 million, \$13.6 million and \$14.5 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income: We report comprehensive income (loss) that includes our net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to revenues,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

expenses, gains and losses that are not included in net earnings such as minimum pension and other post retirement liabilities adjustments and unrealized gains or losses on hedging instruments, but rather are recorded directly in the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity. These amounts are also presented in our Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss). As of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, the accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of the pension and postretirement liability.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2012-02, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. ASU No. 2012-02 permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether certain events and circumstances exist that indicate it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. The more likely than not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent. If as a result of the qualitative assessment it is determined that it is not more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then Spartan Stores is not required to take further action and calculate the fair value of a reporting unit. ASU No. 2012-02 was effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. This standard did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income . ASU No. 2013-02 requires companies to provide additional information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, companies are required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective lines of net income. The amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. This ASU does not change the requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income. Because the standard only affects the presentation of comprehensive income and does not affect what is included in comprehensive income, this standard did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 11 for additional information.

Note 2

Merger

On November 19, 2013, Spartan Stores completed a merger with Nash-Finch Company (Nash-Finch), a food distribution company serving military commissaries and exchanges and independent grocery retailers and an operator of retail grocery stores. Spartan Stores pursued the merger to create a larger, more balanced company with a broader customer base across multiple food retail and distribution businesses.

Each outstanding share of the common stock of Nash-Finch converted into 1.20 shares of Spartan Stores common stock. Consideration paid for all of the Nash-Finch outstanding shares consisted of the following:

(In thousands, except share price) Spartan Stores common shares issued and deferred Trading price	16,119 \$ 23.55
Fair value of shares issued Cash paid for fractional shares	379,600 14
	\$ 379,614

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The merger was accounted for under the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 805, Business Combinations. The related assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at estimated fair value on the acquisition date. The operating results of Nash-Finch are included in the consolidated results of operations beginning on November 19, 2013.

The following table summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed on November 19, 2013. The valuation process is not complete and the final determination of the fair values may result in further adjustments to the values presented below:

(In thousands)	
Current assets	\$ 790,296
Property and equipment	369,495
Goodwill	43,584
Intangible assets	10,750
Other	38,160
Total assets acquired	1,252,285
Current liabilities	353,484
Other long-term liabilities	81,047
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	438,140
Total liabilities assumed	872,671
Net assets acquired	\$ 379.614
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+ •,•

The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired of \$43.6 million was preliminarily recorded as goodwill in the consolidated balance sheet and allocated to the reporting segments as follows:

(In thousands)	
Military	\$ 19,128
Food Distribution	17,804
Retail	6,652
Total	\$ 43,584

The goodwill recognized is attributable primarily to expected synergies and the assembled workforce of Nash-Finch. No goodwill is expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

Intangible assets acquired were preliminarily valued as follows:

	Intangible	
(In thousands)	Assets	Useful Life
Trade names	\$ 3,600	Indefinite
Customer lists	2,500	7 years
Favorable leases	4,650	7 to 22 years

\$ 10,750

-63-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table provides net sales and results of operations from the acquired Nash-Finch Company included in the consolidated statements of earnings since November 19, 2013:

(In thousands)		
Net sales		\$ 563,185
Net earnings		769

Included in the net earnings above are merger related expenses of \$2.0 million after-tax, restructuring charges of \$0.4 million after-tax and debt extinguishment charges of \$2.6 million after-tax.

The following supplemental pro forma financial information presents sales and net earnings as if the Nash-Finch Company was acquired on the first day of the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013. This pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of the results that would have been obtained if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the period presented or that may be obtained in the future.

	Year H	Year Ended		
	December 28,	March 30,		
	2013	2013		
(In thousands)	(39 weeks)	(52 weeks)		
Net sales	\$ 5,896,555	\$ 7,428,957		
Net earnings (loss)	24,073	(73,340)		

Non-recurring transaction and integration costs of \$26.5 million were incurred during the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 of which \$21.0 million was included in selling, general and administrative expenses and \$5.5 million was included in debt extinguishment charges. Costs associated with the new revolving credit agreement of \$9.4 million were capitalized and included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Note 3

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill were as follows:

	Food		
Retail	Distribution	Military	Total
\$ 234,301	\$ 92,493	\$	\$ 326,794
(86,600)			(86,600)
147,701	92,493		240,194
5,016	2,233		7,249
(603)			(603)
238,714	94,726		333,440
(86,600)			(86,600)
	\$ 234,301 (86,600) 147,701 5,016 (603) 238,714	Retail Distribution \$ 234,301 \$ 92,493 (86,600) 92,493 147,701 92,493 5,016 2,233 (603) 238,714	Retail Distribution Military \$ 234,301 \$ 92,493 \$ \$ 234,301 \$ 92,493 \$ 147,701 92,493 \$ 5,016 2,233 \$ (603)

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Goodwill, net	152,114	94,726		246,840
Merger and acquisition Other	23,679 (1,303)	17,804	19,128	60,611 (1,303)
Balance at December 28, 2013: Goodwill Accumulated impairment charges	261,090 (86,600)	112,530	19,128	392,748 (86,600)
Goodwill, net	\$ 174,490	\$ 112,530	\$ 19,128	\$ 306,148

-64-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table reflects the components of amortized intangible assets, included in Other, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	December 28, 2013		March 30, 2013	
	Gross		Gross	
	Carrying	Accumulated	Carrying	Accumulated
(In thousands)	Amount	Amortization	Amount	Amortization
Non-compete agreements	\$ 4,566	\$ 3,427	\$ 4,517	\$ 2,997
Favorable leases	8,408	2,215	3,758	1,997
Pharmacy customer script lists	14,823	8,946	12,138	8,027
Trade names	1,219	233	1,219	59
Franchise fees and other	370	129	305	99
Total	\$ 29,386	\$ 14,950	\$ 21,937	\$ 13,179

The weighted average amortization period for amortizable intangible assets is as follows:

Non-compete agreements	8.0 years
Favorable leases	16.7 years
Customer lists	7.2 years
Trade names	7.0 years
Franchise fees and other	10.5 years

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$2.1 million, \$2.3 million and \$2.2 million for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows:

(In thousands)

Fiscal Year	Amortization Expense
2014	\$ 2,657
2015	2,305
2016	1,742
2017	1,629
2018	1,217

Indefinite-lived intangible assets that are not amortized consist primarily of trade names and licenses for the sale of alcoholic beverages which totaled \$30.1 and \$26.6 million as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013.

-65-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 4

Restructuring, Asset Impairment and Other

The following table provides the activity of restructuring costs for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012. Restructuring costs recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets are included in Other accrued expenses in Current liabilities and Other long-term liabilities in Long-term liabilities based on when the obligations are expected to be paid.

Lease and		
•		Total
\$ 15,302	2 \$	\$ 15,320
(1,318	3)	(1,318)(a)
535	5	535
(3,417	7)	(3,417)
11,102	2	11,102
(696	5)	(696)(a)
384	1	384
(2,815	5)	(2,815)
7,975	5	7,975
8,766	6	8,766
4,923	3	4,923(b)
	1,061	1,061(c)
(1,333	3)	(1,333)(a)
249)	249
1,104	1	1,104
(2,188	3) (26)	(2,214)
\$ 19,490	5 \$ 1,035	\$ 20,531
	Ancillary Cosi \$ 15,302 (1,318 533 (3,417 11,102 (690 384 (2,815 7,975 8,766 4,923 (1,333 249 1,104 (2,188	Ancillary Costs Severance \$ 15,302 \$ (1,318) 535 (3,417) \$ 11,102 (696) (696) 384 (2,815) \$ 7,975 8,766 4,923 1,061 (1,333) 249 1,104 (2,188) (26)

(a) Goodwill was reduced by \$1.3 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively, as a result of these changes in estimates as the initial charges for certain stores were established in the purchase price allocations for previous acquisitions.

(b) The provision for lease and related ancillary costs represents the initial charges estimated to be incurred for the closing of seven stores in the Retail segment.

(c) The provision for severance includes \$0.6 million related to a distribution center closing in the Food Distribution segment and \$0.5 million related to store closings in the Retail segment.

Restructuring, asset impairment and other included in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
Asset impairment charges (a)	\$ 9,691	\$ 1,682	\$ 243
	4,923		

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Provision for leases and related ancillary costs, net of sublease income, related to store closings (b) Provision for severance (c) Changes in estimates (d)

Provision for severance (c)	1,061		
Changes in estimates (d)	(31)	(93)	(266)
	\$ 15,644	\$ 1,589	\$ (23)
	,		

-66-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- (a) The asset impairment charges were incurred in the Retail segment due to the economic and competitive environment of certain stores and market deterioration in property held for future development. We utilize a discounted cash flow model and market approach that incorporates unobservable level 3 inputs to test for long-lived asset impairments.
- (b) The provision for lease and related ancillary costs, net of sublease income, represents the initial charges estimated to be incurred for the closing of seven stores in the Retail segment.
- (c) The provision for severance includes \$0.6 million related to a distribution center closing in the Food Distribution segment and \$0.5 million related to store closings in the Retail segment.
- (d) The changes in estimates relate to revised estimates of lease ancillary costs associated with previously closed facilities. The Distribution segment realized \$(37) in fiscal year ended March 31, 2012. The remaining amounts were realized in the Retail segment.

Store lease obligations included in restructuring costs include the present value of future minimum lease payments, calculated using a risk-free interest rate, and related ancillary costs from the date of closure to the end of the remaining lease term, net of estimated sublease income.

Long-lived assets are analyzed for impairment whenever circumstances arise that could indicate the carrying value of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. If such circumstances exist, then estimates are made of future cash flows expected to result from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition. If the sum of the expected cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Earnings. Measurement of the impairment loss to be recorded is equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the assets over the discounted future cash flows. When analyzing the assets for impairment, assets are grouped at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows of other groups of assets.

Note 5

Accounts and Notes Receivable

Accounts and notes receivable at December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013 are comprised of the following components:

(In thousands)	De	cember 28, 2013	rch 30, 2013
Customer notes receivable	\$	6,698	\$ 145
Customer accounts receivable		255,746	50,855
Other receivables		26,496	11,166
Allowance for doubtful accounts		(2,037)	(1,187)
Net current accounts and notes receivable	\$	286,903	60,979
Net long-term notes receivable	\$	24,008	\$ 547

-67-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 6

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

(In thousands)	Dec	cember 28, 2013	March 30, 2013
Senior secured revolving credit facility, due November 2018	\$	420,682	\$ 47,646
6.625% Senior Notes due December 2016		50,000	50,000
Senior secured term loan, due November 2018		60,000	
Capital lease obligations (Note 9)		67,371	52,048
Other, 2.60% 9.25%, due 2014 2020		6,855	249
		604,908	149,943
Less current portion		7,345	4,067
Total long-term debt	\$	597,563	\$ 145,876

On November 19, 2013, Spartan Stores entered into a \$1 billion Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement (the Credit Agreement) with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC, as administrative agent (Wells Fargo), and certain lenders from time to time party thereto. The Credit Agreement was entered into contemporaneously with the closing of the merger with Nash-Finch Company. The Credit Agreement amends and restates in the entirety each of the previous credit agreements between Wells Fargo (or an affiliate thereof) and Spartan Stores and certain of its subsidiaries, respectively.

The Credit Agreement has a term of five years, maturing on November 19, 2018, and is a secured credit facility consisting of three tranches. Tranche A is a \$900 million secured revolving credit facility; Tranche A-1 is a \$40 million secured revolving credit facility; and Tranche A-2 is a \$60 million term loan. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are available for general operating expenses, working capital, merger costs, repayment of certain existing Nash-Finch indebtedness and other general corporate purposes.

The Company has the right to request an increase in the maximum amount of the Credit Agreement in such amount as would bring the aggregate loan commitments under the Credit Agreement to a total of up to \$1.4 billion. The request will become effective if (a) certain customary conditions specified in the Credit Agreement are met and (b) one or more existing lenders under the Credit Agreement or other financial institutions approved by the administrative agent commit to lend the increased amounts under the Credit Agreement.

The Company s obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of the personal and real property of the Company. The Company may prepay all loans at any time without penalty.

Availability under the Credit Agreement is based upon advance rates on certain asset categories owned by the Company, including, but not limited to the following: inventory, accounts receivable, real estate, prescription lists and rolling stock.

Indebtedness under the three tranches of the Credit Agreement bear interest subject to a grid based upon Excess Availability as defined in the Credit Agreement at the Company s election as either Eurodollar loans or Base Rate loans.

The Company incurs an unused line of credit fee on the unused portion of the loan commitments at a rate ranging from 0.25% to 0.375%.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements, including: limitations on dividends and investments (including distributions to subsidiaries designated as unrestricted subsidiaries); limitations on the Company s ability to incur debt, make loans, acquire other companies, change the nature of the Company s business, enter a merger or consolidation, or sell assets. These requirements can be more restrictive depending upon the Company s Excess Availability as defined under the Credit Agreement.

Upon the occurrence, and during the continuance, of an event of default, including but not limited to nonpayment of principal when due, failure to perform or observe certain terms, covenants, or agreements under the Credit Agreement and the other loan documents, and certain defaults of other indebtedness, the administrative agent may terminate the obligation of the lenders under the Credit Agreement to make advances and issue letters of credit and declare any outstanding obligations under the Credit Agreement immediately due and payable. In addition, in the event of insolvency (as defined in the Credit Agreement), the obligation of each lender to make advances and issue letters of credit shall automatically terminate and any outstanding obligations under the Credit Agreement shall immediately become due and payable.

Available borrowings under our \$1.0 billion credit facility are based on stipulated advance rates on eligible assets, as defined in the credit agreement. As of December 28, 2013, our senior secured revolving credit facility and senior secured term loan had outstanding borrowings of \$480.7 million; additional available borrowings under our \$1.0 billion credit facility are based on stipulated advance rates on eligible assets, as defined in the credit agreement. The credit agreement requires that SpartanNash maintain excess availability of 10% of the borrowing base as such term is defined in the credit agreement. SpartanNash had excess availability after the 10% covenant of \$406.9 million at December 28, 2013. Payment of dividends and repurchases of outstanding shares are permitted, provided that certain levels of excess availability are maintained. The credit facility provides for the issuance of letters of credit, of which \$14.2 million were outstanding as of December 28, 2013.

Tranche A Eurodollar loans bear interest at rates ranging from LIBOR plus 1.50% to LIBOR plus 2.00% and Tranche A Base Rate loans bear interest at rates ranging from the greatest of (i) Federal Funds Rate plus 1.00% to 1.50% (ii) the Eurodollar Rate plus 1.50% to 2.00%; or (iii) the prime rate as announced by Wells Fargo plus 0.50% to 1.00%.

Tranche A-1 Eurodollar loans bear interest at rates ranging from LIBOR plus 2.75% to LIBOR plus 3.25% and Tranche A-1 Base Rate loans bear interest at rates ranging from the greatest of (i) the Federal Funds Rate plus 2.25% to 2.75% (ii) the Eurodollar Rate plus 2.75% to 3.25%; or (iii) the prime rate as announced by Wells Fargo plus 1.75% to 2.25%.

Tranche A-2 Eurodollar loans bear interest at LIBOR plus 5.50% and Tranche A Base Rate loans bear interest at rates representing the greatest of (i) Federal Funds Rate plus 5.00% (ii) the Eurodollar Rate plus 5.50%; or (iii) the prime rate as announced by Wells Fargo plus 4.50%.

On December 6, 2012, the Company completed a private exchange and sale of \$50.0 million aggregate principal amount of newly issued four year unsecured 6.625% Senior Notes due 2016 (New Notes) for \$40.3 million aggregate principal amount of SpartanNash s existing Convertible Senior Notes due 2027 and \$9.7 million in cash. The New Notes mature on December 15, 2016 and are senior unsecured debt and rank equally in right of payment with the Company s other existing and future senior debt. The New Notes are effectively subordinated to the Company s existing and future secured debt to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt. Interest on the New Notes accrues at a rate of 6.625% per annum. Interest on the New Notes is payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 of each year.

-69-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company may redeem the New Notes in whole or in part at any time on or after December 15, 2014, at the option of the Company at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount), together with accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase:

Year of Redemption	Redemption Price
2014	103.31250%
2015 and thereafter	101.65625%

At any time prior to December 15, 2014, the Company may redeem up to 35% of the New Notes with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings specified in the New Notes indenture at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount plus the annual coupon on the New Notes, together with accrued and unpaid interest, but only if at least 65% of the original aggregate principal amount of the New Notes would remain outstanding following such redemption. Before December 15, 2014, the New Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus an Applicable Premium (as defined in the New Notes indenture) that is intended to provide holders with approximately the rate of return they would have received had they held such redeemed New Notes until December 15, 2014.

During the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013, the Company repurchased the remaining \$97.7 million in principal amount of its convertible senior notes resulting in a loss of approximately \$5.1 million. The completion of the redemption discharged the Indenture dated as of May 30, 2007 between the Company and the Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as Trustee and the Senior Convertible Notes.

The amount of interest expense recognized and the effective interest rate for the Company s Convertible Senior Notes were as follows:

(In thousands)	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
Contractual coupon interest	\$ 2,687	\$ 3,353
Amortization of discount on convertible senior notes	3,282	3,745
Interest expense	\$ 5,969	\$ 7,098

Effective interest rate 8.125% 8.125% The weighted average interest rates including loan fee amortization for the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012 were 5.73%, 8.43% and 8.05%%, respectively.

At December 28, 2013, long-term debt was due as follows:

(In thousands)

Fiscal Year	
2014	\$ 7,345
2015	7,262
2016	57,506
2017	7,689
2018	489,620
Thereafter	35,486
	\$ 604,908

-70-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 7

Fair Value Measurements

Financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, accounts payable and long-term debt. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable, and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the short-term maturities of these financial instruments. At December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013 the estimated fair value and the book value of our debt instruments were as follows:

(In thousands)	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013
Book value of debt instruments:		
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 7,345	\$ 4,067
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	597,563	145,876
Total book value of debt instruments	604,908	149,943
Fair value of debt instruments	608,926	152,758
Excess of fair value over book value	\$ 4.018	\$ 2.815

The estimated fair value of debt is based on market quotes for instruments with similar terms and remaining maturities (level 3 valuation technique).

ASC 820 prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into the following hierarchy:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, reflecting the reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing.

Long-lived assets totaling \$13.7 million and \$3.6 in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal year ended March 30, 2013, respectively, were measured at a fair value of \$4.0 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs as defined in the fair value heirarchy. Our accounting and finance team management, who report to the chief financial officer, determine our valuation policies and procedures. The development and determination of the unobservable inputs for level 3 fair value measurements and fair value calculations are the responsibility of our accounting and finance team management and are approved by the chief financial officer. Fair value of long-lived assets is determined by estimating the amount and timing of net future cash flows, discounted using a risk-adjusted rate of interest. SpartanNash estimates future cash flows based on experience and knowledge of the market in which the assets are located, and when necessary, uses real estate brokers. See Note 4 for discussion of long-lived asset impairment charges.

Note 8

Commitments and Contingencies

SpartanNash subleases property at certain locations and received rental income of \$2.2, \$1.9 million and \$1.9 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively. In the event of the customer s default, SpartanNash would be responsible for fulfilling these lease obligations. The future payment obligations under these leases are disclosed in Note 9.

-71-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unions represent approximately 8% of SpartanNash s associates. These associates are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The facilities covered by collective bargaining agreements, the unions representing the covered associates and the expiration dates for each existing collective bargaining agreement are provided in the following table:

Distribution Center Locations	Union Locals	Expiration dates
Lima, Ohio	IBT 908	January, 2016
Bellefontaine, Ohio General Merchandise Service Division	IBT 908	February, 2016
Bellefontaine, Ohio Warehouse Teamsters	IBT 908	March, 2014
Bellefontaine, Ohio GTL Truck Lines Inc.	IBT 908	March, 2014
Westville, Indiana	IBT 135	May 2014
Grand Rapids, Michigan	IBT 406	October, 2015
Norfolk, Virginia	IBT 822	April, 2016
Columbus, Ohio	IBT 528	September, 2016

We are engaged from time-to-time in routine legal proceedings incidental to our business. We do not believe that these routine legal proceedings, taken as a whole, will have a material impact on our business or financial condition. While the ultimate effect of such actions cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes that their outcome will not result in an adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, operating results or liquidity of SpartanNash.

On or about July 24, 2013, a putative class action complaint (the State Court Action) was filed in the District Court for the Fourth Judicial District, State of Minnesota, County of Hennepin (the State Court), by a stockholder of Nash-Finch Company in connection with the pending merger with Spartan Stores, Inc.. The State Court Action is styled Greenblatt v. Nash-Finch Co. et al., Case No. 27-cv-13-13710. That complaint was amended on August 28, 2013, after Spartan Stores filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission containing a preliminary version of the joint proxy statement/prospectus. On September 9, 2013, the defendants filed motions to dismiss the State Court Action. On or about September 19, 2013, a second putative class action complaint (the Federal Court Action and, together with the State Court Action, the Putative Class Actions) was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota (the Federal Court), by a stockholder of Nash-Finch. The Federal Court Action was styled Benson v. Covington et al., Case No. 0:13-cv-02574.

The Putative Class Actions alleged that the directors of Nash-Finch breached their fiduciary duties by, among other things, approving a merger that provides for inadequate consideration under circumstances involving certain alleged conflicts of interest; that the merger agreement includes allegedly preclusive deal protection provisions; and that Nash-Finch and Spartan Stores allegedly aided and abetted the directors in breaching their duties to Nash-Finch s stockholders. Both Putative Class Actions also alleged that the preliminary joint proxy statement/prospectus was false and misleading due to the omission of a variety of allegedly material information. The complaint in the Federal Court Action also asserted additional claims individually on behalf of the plaintiff under the federal securities laws. The Putative Class Actions sought, on behalf of their putative classes, various remedies, including enjoining the merger from being consummated in accordance with its agreed-upon terms, damages, and costs and disbursements relating to the lawsuit.

SpartanNash believes that these lawsuits are without merit; however, to eliminate the burden, expense and uncertainties inherent in such litigation, Nash-Finch and Spartan Stores agreed, as part of settlement discussions, to make certain supplemental disclosures in the joint proxy statement/prospectus requested by the Putative Class Actions in the definitive joint proxy statement/prospectus. On October 30, 2013, the defendants entered into the

-72-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Memorandum of Understanding regarding the settlement of the Putative Class Actions. The Memorandum of Understanding outlined the terms of the parties agreement in principle to settle and release all claims which were or could have been asserted in the Putative Class Actions. In consideration for such settlement and release, Nash-Finch and Spartan Stores acknowledged that the supplemental disclosures in the joint proxy statement/prospectus were made in response to the Putative Class Actions. The Memorandum of Understanding contemplated that the parties will use their best efforts to agree upon, execute and present to the State Court for approval a stipulation of settlement within thirty days after the later of the date that the Merger is consummated or the date that plaintiffs and their counsel have confirmed the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the settlement, and that upon execution of such stipulation, and as a condition to final approval of the settlement, the plaintiff in the Federal Action would withdraw the claims in and cause to be dismissed the Federal Action, with any individual claims being dismissed with prejudice. The Memorandum of Understanding provides that Nash-Finch will pay, on behalf of all defendants, the plaintiffs attorneys fees and expenses, subject to approval by the State Court, in an amount not to exceed \$550,000. On February 11, 2014, the parties executed the Stipulation and Agreement Compromise, Settlement and Release (the Stipulation of Settlement.) to resolve, discharge and settle the Putative Class Actions. The Stipulation of Settlement is subject to customary conditions, including approval by the State Court, which will consider the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of such settlement. On February 18, 2014, the Federal Court entered a final order dismissing the Federal Court Action with prejudice. On February 28, 2014, pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement, the plaintiffs in the State Court Action filed an unopposed motion for preliminary approval of class action settlement, conditional certification of class, and approval of notice to be furnished to the class. A hearing before the State Court on the unopposed motion for preliminary approval is set for May 20, 2014. There can be no assurance that the State Court will grant the unopposed motion and ultimately approve the Settlement Stipulation. In such event, the Settlement Stipulation will be null and void and of no force and effect.

SpartanNash contributes to the Central States multi-employer pension plan based on obligations arising from its collective bargaining agreements in Bellefontaine, Lima and Grand Rapids covering its distribution center union associates. This plan provides retirement benefits to participants based on their service to contributing employers. The benefits are paid from assets held in trust for that purpose. Trustees are appointed by contributing employers and unions; however, SpartanNash is not a trustee. The trustees typically are responsible for determining the level of benefits to be provided to participants, as well as for such matters as the investment of the assets and the administration of the plan. SpartanNash currently contributes to the Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund under the terms outlined in the Primary Schedule of Central States Rehabilitation Plan. This schedule requires varying increases in employer contributions over the previous year s contribution. Increases are set within the collective bargaining agreement and vary by location.

Based on the most recent information available to SpartanNash, management believes that the present value of actuarial accrued liabilities in this multi-employer plan significantly exceeds the value of the assets held in trust to pay benefits. Because SpartanNash is one of a number of employers contributing to this plan, it is difficult to ascertain what the exact amount of the underfunding would be, although management anticipates that SpartanNash s contributions to this plan will increase each year. Management believes that funding levels have not changed significantly since December 28, 2013. To reduce this underfunding, management expects meaningful increases in expense as a result of required incremental multi-employer pension plan contributions in future years. Any adjustment for withdrawal liability will be recorded when it is probable that a liability exists and can be reasonably determined.

Note 9 Leases

A substantial portion of our store and warehouse properties are operated in leased facilities. SpartanNash also leases small ancillary warehouse facilities, tractor and trailer fleet and certain other equipment. Most of the

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

property leases contain renewal options of varying terms. Terms of certain leases contain provisions requiring payment of percentage rent based on sales and payment of executory costs such as property taxes, utilities, insurance, maintenance and other occupancy costs applicable to the leased premises. Terms of certain leases of transportation equipment contain provisions requiring payment of percentage rent based upon miles driven. Portions of certain property are subleased to others. Operating leases often contain renewal options. In those locations in which it makes economic sense to continue to operate, management expects that, in the normal course of business, leases that expire will be renewed or replaced by other leases.

Rental expense, net of sublease income, under operating leases consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	ember 28, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
Minimum rentals	\$ 28,978	\$ 31,993	\$ 32,204
Contingent rental payments	541	672	805
Sublease rental income	(2,157)	(1,928)	(1,899)
	\$ 27,362	\$ 30,737	\$ 31,110

Total future lease commitments of SpartanNash under operating and capital leases in effect at December 28, 2013 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Operating Leases Used in Subleased Operations to Others Total	Capital Leases
Fiscal Year		
2014	\$ 46,483 \$ 3,661 \$ 50,144	\$ 11,185
2015	39,515 2,880 42,395	10,682
2016	30,523 2,054 32,577	10,582
2017	21,968 1,613 23,581	10,244
2018	16,766 2,454 19,220	9,935
Thereafter	47,953 10,209 58,162	51,165
Total	\$ 203,208 \$ 22,871 \$ 226,079	103,793
	Interest	(36,422)
	Present value of minimum lease obligation	67,371
	Current maturities	6,244

Long-term capitalized lease obligations \$ 61,127

Assets held under capital leases consisted of the following:

	December 28,	March 30,
(In thousands)	2013	2013
Buildings and improvements	\$ 75,920	\$ 63,164

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Equipment	3,272	3,924
	79,192	67,088
Less accumulated amortization and depreciation	25,157	25,705
Net assets under capitalized leases	\$ 54,035	\$ 41,383

-74-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Amortization expense for property under capital leases was \$2.8 million, \$3.8 million and \$3.6 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

Certain retail store facilities are leased to others. Of the stores leased to others, several are owned and others were obtained through leasing arrangements and are accounted for as operating leases. A majority of the leases provide for minimum and contingent rentals based upon stipulated sales volumes and contain renewal options. Certain of the leases contain escalation clauses.

Owned assets, included in property and equipment, which are leased to others are as follows:

(In thousands)	Dec	ember 28, 2013	arch 30, 2013
Land and improvements	\$	3,770	\$ 1,173
Buildings		10,252	5,942
		14,022	7,115
Less accumulated amortization and depreciation		4,710	4,427
Net property	\$	9,312	\$ 2,688

Future minimum rentals to be received under lease obligations in effect at December 28, 2013 are as follows:

(In thousands)

	Owned	Leased	
Fiscal Year	Property	Property	Total
2014	\$ 2,626	\$ 5,619	\$ 8,245
2015	1,981	4,561	6,542
2016	1,624	3,179	4,803
2017	1,320	2,507	3,827
2018	853	3,310	4,163
Thereafter	2,146	11,874	14,020
Total	\$ 10,550	\$ 31,050	\$41,600

Note 10 Associate Retirement I

Associate Retirement Plans

SpartanNash s retirement programs include pension plans providing non-contributory benefits and salary reduction defined contribution plans providing contributory benefits. Substantially all of SpartanNash s associates not covered by collective bargaining agreements are covered by either a frozen non-contributory cash balance pension plan, a frozen pension plan, a defined contribution plan or both. Associates covered by collective bargaining agreements are included in multi-employer pension plans.

Effective January 1, 2011, the Spartan Stores, Inc. Cash Balance Pension Plan (Cash Balance Pension Plan) was frozen and, as a result, additional service credits are no longer added to each associate s account, however, interest credits will continue to accrue. No additional associates are eligible to participate in the Cash Balance Pension Plan after January 1, 2011. Prior to the plan freeze, the plan benefit formula utilized a cash balance approach. Under the cash balance formula, credits were added annually to a participant s account based on a percent of the participant s compensation and years of vested service at the beginning of each

-75-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

calendar year. At SpartanNash s discretion, interest credits are also added annually to a participant s account based upon the participant s account balance as of the last day of the immediately preceding calendar year. Annual payments to the pension trust fund are determined in compliance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1976 (ERISA). Plan assets consist principally of common stocks and U.S. government and corporate obligations. The plan does not hold any SpartanNash stock.

One of our subsidiaries has a qualified non-contributory pension plan, Retirement Plan for Employees of Super Food Services, Inc. (Super Foods Plan), to provide retirement income for certain eligible full-time employees who are not covered by a union retirement plan. Pension benefits under the plan are based on length of service and compensation. Our subsidiary contributes amounts necessary to meet minimum funding requirements. This plan has been curtailed and no new associates can enter the plan. This plan is also frozen for additional service credits.

SpartanNash also maintains a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP), which provides nonqualified deferred compensation benefits to SpartanNash key employees and executive officers. Benefits under the SERP are paid from SpartanNash s general assets as there is no separate trust established to fund benefits.

Expense for employer matching and profit sharing contributions made to defined contribution plans totaled \$4.8 million, \$4.8 million and \$5.4 million in the 39 week period ended December 28, 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively.

We also have deferred compensation plans for a select group of management or highly compensated associates. The plans are unfunded and permit participants to defer receipt of a portion of their base salary, annual bonus or long-term incentive compensation which would otherwise be paid to them. The deferred amounts, plus earnings, are distributed following the associate s termination of employment. Earnings are based on the performance of phantom investments elected by the participant from a portfolio of investment options.

SpartanNash also has two separate trusts established for the protection of cash balances owed to participants in our deferred compensation plans. We were required to fund these trusts with 125% of our pre-merger liability to plan participants. This requirement was specified by the plan documents. We currently have cash balances in these trusts, which are administered by Wells Fargo. When we make payments to plan participants, we submit a claim to the trust for reimbursement. The corporate-owned life insurance was intended in the past to mirror our liability to participants in the deferred compensation plan. It was our intention to mirror the investments chosen by plan participants so as to minimize our risk if the phantom investments chosen by the plan participants increased in value. The net cash surrender value of approximately \$5.5 million at December 28, 2013 is recorded on the balance sheet in Other Long-term Assets. These policies have an aggregate amount of life insurance coverage of approximately \$66 million.

SpartanNash also holds additional variable universal life insurance policies on certain key associates intended to fund distributions under some of the deferred compensation plans referenced above. The company-owned policies have annual premium payments of \$0.8 million. The net cash surrender value of approximately \$3.3 million at December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013 is recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in Other Long-term Assets. These policies have an aggregate amount of life insurance coverage of approximately \$15 million.

SpartanNash and certain subsidiaries provide health care benefits to retired associates who were not covered by collective bargaining arrangements during their employment (covered associates) under the Spartan Stores Postretirement Medical Benefits Plan (Spartan Stores Medical Plan). Former Spartan Stores associates who

-76-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

have at least 30 years of service or 10 years of service and have attained age 55, and who were not covered by collective bargaining arrangements during their employment qualify as covered associates. Qualified covered associates that retired prior to March 31, 1992 receive major medical insurance with deductible and coinsurance provisions until age 65 and Medicare supplemental benefits thereafter. Covered associates retiring after April 1, 1992 are eligible for monthly postretirement health care benefits of \$5 multiplied by the associate s years of service. This benefit is in the form of a credit against the monthly insurance premium. The retiree pays the balance of the premium. Associates hired after December 31, 2001 are not eligible for these benefits.

The following tables set forth the actuarial present value of benefit obligations, funded status, change in benefit obligation, change in plan assets, weighted average assumptions used in actuarial calculations and components of net periodic benefit costs for SpartanNash s pension and postretirement benefit plans excluding multi-employer plans. The accrued benefit costs are reported in Postretirement benefits in the consolidated balance sheets.

	Cash Balance December 28,	Pension Plan March 30,	Super Foods Plan December 28,	SE December 28,	RP March 30,
(In thousands, except percentages)	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013
Funded Status					
Projected Benefit Obligation					
Beginning of year	\$ 60,202	\$ 59,950	\$	\$ 877	\$ 985
Obligation assumed in merger			44,915		
Interest cost	1,682	2,587	234	24	39
Actuarial (gain) loss	(427)	1,565	6	1	31
Benefits paid	(3,632)	(3,900)	(480)	(46)	(178)
End of year	\$ 57,825	\$ 60,202	\$ 44,675	\$ 856	\$ 877
Fair value of plan assets					
Beginning of year	\$ 64,590	\$ 59,076	\$	\$	\$
Assets assumed in merger			38,147		
Actual return on plan assets	6,019	5,375	1,305		
Company contributions		4,039		46	178
Benefits paid	(3,632)	(3,900)	(480)	(46)	(178)
Plan assets at fair value at measurement date	\$ 66,977	\$ 64,590	\$ 38,972	\$	\$
Funded (unfunded) status	\$ 9,152	\$ 4,388	\$ (5,703)	\$ (856)	\$ (877)
Components of net amount recognized in financial position:					
Noncurrent assets	\$ 9,152	\$ 4,388	\$	\$	\$
Current liabilities				(91)	(104)
Noncurrent liabilities			(5,703)	(765)	(773)
Net asset/(liability)	\$ 9,152	\$ 4,388	\$ (5,703)	\$ (856)	\$ (877)
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income:					
Net unrecognized actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 14,568	\$ 19,541	\$ (1,041)	\$ 337	\$ 359

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

Weighted average assumptions at measurement date:

uale:					
Discount rate	4.35%	3.90%	4.65%	4.35%	3.90%
Expected return on plan assets	5.95%	6.55%	5.70%	N/A	N/A

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accumulated benefit obligation for all of the defined benefit pension plans was \$103.4 million and \$61.1 million at December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013, respectively.

	Spartan Stores Plan	Medical
	December 28,	March 30,
(In thousands, except percentages)	2013	2013
Funded Status		
Accumulated Benefit Obligation		
Beginning of year	\$ 9,982	\$ 9,130
Service cost	194	194
Interest cost	287	404
Plan amendments	(582)	
Actuarial (gain) loss	(1,665)	549
Benefits paid	(249)	(295)
End of year	\$ 7,967	\$ 9,982
Fair value of plan assets		
Beginning of year	\$	\$
Employer contributions	÷ 249	φ 295
Benefits paid	(249)	(295)
Denents paid	(247)	(293)
Plan assets at fair value at measurement date	\$	\$
Unfunded status	\$ (7,967)	\$ (9,982)
Components of net amount recognized in financial position:		
Current liabilities	\$ (323)	\$ (332)
Non-current liabilities	(7,644)	(9,650)
Non-current natimites	(7,011)	(),050)
Net liability	\$ (7,967)	\$ (9,982)
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income:		
Net actuarial loss	\$ 981	\$ 2,780
Prior service credit	(882)	(342)
	\$ 99	\$ 2,438
Weighted average assumption at measurement date:		
Discount rate	5.05%	3.90%
	5.05%	5.90%

Components of net periodic (benefit) cost

Cash	Balance	Pension	Plan

Super Foods Plan

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

(In thousands)	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012	December 28, 2013
Interest cost	\$ 1,682	\$ 2,587	\$ 2,893	\$ 234
Expected return on plan assets	(3,069)	(4,499)	(4,081)	(258)
Amortization of actuarial net loss	976	1,279	1,656	
Net periodic benefit (income) cost Settlement expense Total expense (income)	(411) 621 \$ 210	(633) \$ (633)	468 \$ 468	(24) \$ (24)
Weighted average assumptions at measurement date: Discount rate	3.90%	4.50%	5.00%	4.60%
Expected return on plan assets	6.55%	7.50%	7.75%	6.00%

-78-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands)	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
Interest cost	24	39	51
Amortization of actuarial net loss	23	32	40
Net periodic benefit cost	47	71	91
Settlement expense		50	
Total expense	\$ 47	\$ 121	\$ 91
Weighted average assumption at measurement date:			
Discount rate	3.90%	4.50%	5.00%

	Spartan Stores Medical Plan		
(In thousands)	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
Service cost	\$ 194	\$ 194	\$ 192
Interest cost	287	404	424
Amortization of prior service credit	(42)	(54)	(54)
Amortization of actuarial net loss	134	137	133
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 573	\$ 681	\$ 695
Weighted average assumption at measurement date:			
Discount rate	3.90%	4.50%	5.00%

The net actuarial loss and prior service cost included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and expected to be recognized in net periodic benefit cost during fiscal year 2014 are as follows:

	Pension Cash Balance	Pension Benefits Cash Balance		
(In thousands)	Pension Plan	Super Foods Plan	SERP	
Net actuarial loss	\$ 990	\$	\$ 30	
	Spartan Stores Medical			
(In thousands)	Plan			
Prior service credit	\$ (158)			
Net actuarial loss	20			

Prior service costs (credits) are amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining service period of active participants. Actuarial gains and losses for the Cash Balance Pension Plan are amortized over the average remaining service life of active participants when the accumulation of such gains and losses exceeds 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets. As a result of the continued separation of participants from the other pension plan, almost all participants are inactive. Actuarial gains and losses are recognized over the average remaining life expectancy of inactive participants.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the postretirement plan. Assumed health care cost trend rates were as follows:

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013	March 31, 2012
Pre-65	8.00%	8.50%	8.00%
Post-65	7.00%	7.50%	8.00%

-79-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The effect of a one-percentage point increase or decrease in assumed health care cost trend rates on the total service and interest components and the post-retirement benefit obligations would be less than \$0.1 million.

SpartanNash has assumed an average long-term expected return on Cash Balance Pension Plan assets of 5.95% as of December 28, 2013. The expected return assumption was modeled by third-party investment portfolio managers, based on asset allocations and the expected return and risk components of the various asset classes in the portfolio. Determining projected stock and bond returns and then applying these returns to the target asset allocations of the plan assets developed the expected return. Equity returns were based primarily on historical return assumption is believed to be reasonable over a longer-term period that is consistent with the liabilities. SpartanNash has assumed an average long-term expected return and risk components of the expected return and risk components of the savet allocations and the expected return assumption is asset allocations and the expected return assumption is asset to be reasonable over a longer-term period that is consistent with the liabilities. This assumption is assumed to be reasonable over a long-term number of the various asset classes in the portfolio. This assumption is assumed to be reasonable over a long-term period that is consistent with the liabilities.

SpartanNash has an investment policy for the Cash Balance Pension Plan with a long-term asset allocation mix designed to meet the long-term retirement obligations. The asset allocation mix is reviewed periodically and, on a regular basis, actual allocations are rebalanced to approximate the prevailing targets. The following table summarizes both the targeted allocation of the Cash Balance Pension Plan s weighted-average asset allocation by asset category and actual allocations as of December 28, 2013 and March 30, 2013:

	Cash Balance Pension Plan Assets		
	Target	December 28,	March 30,
Asset Category	Range	2013	2013
Equity securities	47.0 67.0%	63.5%	58.7%
Fixed income	33.0 43.0	35.8	37.9
Cash equivalents	0.0 10.0	0.7	3.4

Total 100.0% 100% 100% The investment policy emphasizes the following key objectives: (1) provide benefit security to participants by maximizing the return on Plan assets at an acceptable risk level (2) maintain adequate liquidity for current benefit payments (3) avoid unexpected increases in pension expense and (4) within the scope of the above objectives, minimize long term funding to the Plan.

SpartanNash has an investment policy for the Super Foods Plan with a long-term asset allocation mix designed to meet the long-term retirement obligations by investing in equity, fixed income and other securities to cover cash flow requirements of the plan and minimize long-term costs. The asset allocation mix is reviewed periodically and, on a regular basis, actual allocations are rebalanced to approximate the prevailing targets. The following table summarizes both the targeted allocation of the Super Foods Plan s weighted-average asset allocation by asset category and actual allocations as of December 28, 2013:

		Super Foods Plan Assets
Asset Category	Target Range	December 28, 2013
Equity securities	55.0 65.0%	63.7%
Fixed income	35.0 45.0	36.3
Total	100.0%	100%

-80-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fair value of the pension plans assets at December 28, 2013 by asset category is as follows:

		Quoted prices in markets for identical assets	Measurements Significant observable inputs	Significant unobservable inputs
(In thousands)	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Mutual funds	\$ 87,439	\$ 87,439	\$	\$
Money market fund	439		439	
Guaranteed annuity contract	18,071			18,071
Total fair value	\$ 105,949	\$ 87,439	\$ 439	\$ 18,071

The fair value of SpartanNash Cash Balance Pension Plan assets at March 30, 2013 by asset category is as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements			
		Quoted prices in markets for identical assets	Significant observable inputs	Significant unobservable inputs
(In thousands)	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Mutual funds	\$ 58,471	\$ 58,471	\$	\$
Money market fund	2,229		2,229	
Guaranteed annuity contract	3,890			3,890
Total fair value	\$ 64,590	\$ 58,471	\$ 2,229	\$ 3,890

Level 3 assets consisted of the guaranteed annuity contracts. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for Level 3 assets follows:

(In thousands)	December 28, 2013	March 30, 2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 3,890	\$ 4,025
Balance assumed in merger	14,324	
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	(578)	(420)
Interest income	236	217
Realized gains	199	68
Balance, end of year	\$ 18,071	\$ 3,890

See Note 7 for a discussion of the levels of the fair value hierarchy. The assets fair value measurement level above is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Edgar Filing: SPARTAN STORES INC - Form 10-KT

The following is a description of the valuation methods used for the plans assets measured at fair value in the above tables:

Cash & money market funds: The carrying value approximates fair value.

Mutual Funds: These investments are publicly traded investments, which are valued using the net asset value (NAV). The NAV of the mutual funds is a quoted price in an active market. The NAV is determined once a day after the closing of the exchange based upon the underlying assets in the fund, less the fund s liabilities, expressed on a per-share basis. The NAV is a quoted price in an active market and classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy of ASC 820.

-81-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Guaranteed Annuity Contracts: The guaranteed annuity contract is an immediate participation contract held with an insurance company that acts as custodian of the pension plans assets. The guaranteed annuity contract is stated at contract value as determined by the custodian, which approximates fair value. We evaluate the general financial condition of the custodian as a component of validating whether the calculated contract value is an accurate approximation of fair value. The review of the general financial condition of the custodian is considered obtainable/observable through the review of readily available financial information the custodian is required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The group annuity contract is classified within level 3 of the valuation hierarchy of ASC 820.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Plan believes its valuations methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement.

SpartanNash expects to make contributions of \$0 and \$2.3 million to the Cash Balance Pension Plan and the Super Foods Plan, respectively, in the fiscal year ending January 3, 2015.

The following estimated benefit payments are expected to be paid in the following fiscal years:

(In thousands)	Pension Benefits and SERP Benefits	Post- retirement Benefits
2014	\$ 8,477	\$ 409
2015	8,340	424
2016	8,704	448
2017	8,342	485
2018	8,024	523
2019 to 2023	37,290	3,050

In addition to the plans described above, SpartanNash participates in the Central States Southeast and Southwest Areas pension plan and, the Michigan Conference of Teamsters and Ohio Conference of Teamsters Health and Welfare plans (collectively referred to as multiemployer plans) and other defined contribution plans for most associates covered by collective bargaining agreements.

SpartanNash contributes to these multiemployer plans under the terms of existing collective bargaining agreements and in the amounts set forth in the related collective bargaining agreements. The health and welfare plans provide medical, dental, pharmacy, vision, and other ancillary benefits to active employees and retirees as determined by the trustees of the plan. The vast majorities of SpartanNash contributions benefit active employees and as such, may not constitute contributions to a postretirement benefit plan. However, SpartanNash is unable to separate contribution amounts to postretirement benefit plans from contribution amounts paid for active participants in the plan.

In regards to SpartanNash s participation in the Central States Southeast and Southwest Areas pension plan, expense is recognized as contributions are funded and in accordance with accounting standards. SpartanNash contributed \$6.8 million, \$8.2 million and \$8.2 million to this plan for the 39 week period ended December, 28 2013 and the fiscal years ended March 30, 2013 and March 31, 2012, respectively. The risk of participating in a multi-employer pension plan is different from the risk associated with single-employer plans in the following respects:

a. Assets contributed to the multi-employer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- b. If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.
- c. If a company chooses to stop participating in some multi-employer plans, or makes market exits or otherwise has participation in the plan drop below certain levels, the company may be required to pay those plans an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

SpartanNash s participation in the Central States Southeast and Southwest Areas pension plan is outlined in the tables below which provide additional information about the collective bargaining agreements associated