

FIRST BANCORP /PR/
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 001-14793

FIRST BANCORP.

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

Puerto Rico
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

66-0561882
(I.R.S. employer
identification number)

1519 Ponce de León Avenue, Stop 23

Santurce, Puerto Rico
(Address of principal executive offices)

00908
(Zip Code)

(787) 729-8200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common stock: 206,991,155 shares outstanding as of July 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

FIRST BANCORP.

INDEX PAGE

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited) as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income (Unaudited) – Quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Unaudited) – Quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 7 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) – Six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 8 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) – Six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 9 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 10 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 68 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 123 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 123 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 128 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 128 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 128 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 128 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 129 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | |

Table of Contents

Forward Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this Form 10-Q or future filings by First BanCorp. (the Corporation) with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), in the Corporation's press releases or in other public or stockholder communications, or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the word or phrases would be, will allow, intends to, will likely result, are expected to, should, anticipate and similar expressions are used to identify forward-looking statements.

Such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made, and various factors, including, but not limited to, the following, could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by such forward-looking statements:

uncertainty about whether the Corporation and FirstBank Puerto Rico (FirstBank or the Bank) will be able to fully comply with the written agreement dated June 3, 2010 (the Written Agreement) that the Corporation entered into with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the New York FED or Federal Reserve) and the consent order dated June 2, 2010 (the FDIC Order) and together with the Written Agreement, (the Agreements) that the Corporation's banking subsidiary, FirstBank entered into with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Office of the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (OCIF) that, among other things, require the Bank to maintain certain capital levels and reduce its special mention, classified, delinquent and non-performing assets;

the risk of being subject to possible additional regulatory actions;

uncertainty as to the availability of certain funding sources, such as retail brokered certificates of deposit (brokered CDs);

the Corporation's reliance on brokered CDs and its ability to obtain, on a periodic basis, approval from the FDIC to issue brokered CDs to fund operations and provide liquidity in accordance with the terms of the FDIC Order;

the risk of not being able to fulfill the Corporation's cash obligations or resume paying dividends to the Corporation's stockholders in the future due to the Corporation's inability to receive approval from the New York FED or the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve Board) to receive dividends from FirstBank or FirstBank's failure to generate sufficient cash flow to make a dividend payment to the Corporation;

the strength or weakness of the real estate markets and of the consumer and commercial credit sectors and their impact on the credit quality of the Corporation's loans and other assets, which has contributed and may continue to contribute to, among other things, the high levels of non-performing assets, charge-offs and the provision expense and may subject the Corporation to further risk from loan defaults and foreclosures;

the ability of Firstbank to realize the benefit of the deferred tax asset.

adverse changes in general economic conditions in Puerto Rico, the United States (U.S.) and in the U.S. Virgin Islands (USVI), and British Virgin Islands (BVI), including the interest rate environment, market liquidity, housing absorption rates, real estate prices, and disruptions in the U.S. capital markets, which may reduce interest margins, impact funding sources, and affect demand for all of the Corporation's products and services and reduce the Corporation's revenues, earnings, and the value of the Corporation's assets;

an adverse change in the Corporation's ability to attract new clients and retain existing ones;

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

a decrease in demand for the Corporation's products and services and lower revenues and earnings because of the continued recession in Puerto Rico, the current fiscal problems and budget deficit of the Puerto Rico government and recent credit downgrades of the Puerto Rico government;

uncertainty about regulatory and legislative changes for financial services companies in Puerto Rico, the U.S., the USVI, and the BVI, which could affect the Corporation's financial condition or performance and could cause the Corporation's actual results for future periods to differ materially from prior results and anticipated or projected results;

uncertainty about the effectiveness of the various actions undertaken to stimulate the U.S. economy and stabilize the U.S. financial markets, and the impact such actions may have on the Corporation's business, financial condition and results of operations;

changes in the fiscal and monetary policies and regulations of the federal government, including those determined by the Federal Reserve Board, the FDIC, government-sponsored housing agencies, and regulators in Puerto Rico, the USVI and the BVI;

the risk of possible failure or circumvention of controls and procedures and the risk that the Corporation's risk management policies may not be adequate;

Table of Contents

the risk that the FDIC may further increase the deposit insurance premium and/or require special assessments to replenish its insurance fund, causing an additional increase in the Corporation's non-interest expenses;

the impact on the Corporation's results of operations and financial condition of acquisitions and dispositions;

a need to recognize additional impairments on financial instruments, goodwill or other intangible assets relating to acquisitions;

the risks that downgrades in the credit ratings of the Corporation's long-term senior debt will adversely affect the Corporation's ability to access necessary external funds;

the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) on the Corporation's businesses, business practices and cost of operations;

the risk of losses in the value of investments in unconsolidated entities that the Corporation does not control; and

general competitive factors and industry consolidation.

The Corporation does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to update any of the forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements except as required by the federal securities laws.

Investors should refer to the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as well as Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, for a discussion of such factors and certain risks and uncertainties to which the Corporation is subject.

Table of Contents**FIRST BANCORP.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION****(Unaudited)**

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| | (In thousands, except for share information) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 618,593 | \$ 730,016 |
| Money market investments: | | |
| Time deposits with other financial institutions | 300 | 505 |
| Other short-term investments | 216,074 | 216,330 |
| Total money market investments | 216,374 | 216,835 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value: | | |
| Securities pledged that can be repledged | 1,052,549 | 1,070,968 |
| Other investment securities | 938,239 | 660,109 |
| Total investment securities available for sale | 1,990,788 | 1,731,077 |
| Other equity securities | 32,321 | 38,757 |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities | 19,080 | 23,970 |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan and lease losses of \$301,047 (2012 - \$435,414) | 9,144,739 | 9,618,700 |
| Loans held for sale, at lower of cost or market | 237,583 | 85,394 |
| Total loans, net | 9,382,322 | 9,704,094 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 174,429 | 181,363 |
| Other real estate owned | 139,257 | 185,764 |
| Accrued interest receivable on loans and investments | 54,636 | 51,671 |
| Other assets | 175,369 | 236,194 |
| Total assets | \$ 12,803,169 | \$ 13,099,741 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Non-interest-bearing deposits | \$ 938,318 | \$ 837,387 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 9,039,327 | 9,027,159 |
| Total deposits | 9,977,645 | 9,864,546 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 900,000 | 900,000 |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) | 358,440 | 508,440 |
| Other borrowings | 231,959 | 231,959 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 112,797 | 109,773 |
| Total liabilities | 11,580,841 | 11,614,718 |

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Preferred stock, authorized, 50,000,000 shares: | | |
| Non-cumulative Perpetual Monthly Income Preferred Stock: issued - 22,004,000 shares, outstanding 2,521,872 shares, aggregate liquidation value of \$63,047 | 63,047 | 63,047 |
| Common stock, \$0.10 par value, authorized, 2,000,000,000 shares; issued, 207,514,167 shares (2012 - 206,730,318 shares issued) | | |
| Less: Treasury stock (at par value) | (53) | (49) |
| Common stock outstanding, 206,982,105 shares outstanding (2012 - 206,235,465 shares outstanding) | | |
| | 20,698 | 20,624 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 886,775 | 885,754 |
| Retained earnings | 291,950 | 487,166 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax expense of \$8,171 (2012 - \$7,749) | (40,142) | 28,432 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,222,328 | 1,485,023 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 12,803,169 | \$ 13,099,741 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**FIRST BANCORP.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF (LOSS) INCOME****(Unaudited)**

| (In thousands, except per share information) | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 147,986 | \$ 142,239 | \$ 296,629 | \$ 282,765 |
| Investment securities | 12,185 | 10,957 | 23,228 | 22,169 |
| Money market investments | 499 | 456 | 1,038 | 825 |
| Total interest income | 160,670 | 153,652 | 320,895 | 305,759 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Deposits | 23,918 | 33,489 | 49,462 | 70,223 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 6,470 | 7,028 | 12,887 | 15,118 |
| Advances from FHLB | 1,631 | 3,028 | 3,656 | 6,269 |
| Notes payable and other borrowings | 1,763 | 1,402 | 3,509 | 3,578 |
| Total interest expense | 33,782 | 44,947 | 69,514 | 95,188 |
| Net interest income | 126,888 | 108,705 | 251,381 | 210,571 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 87,464 | 24,884 | 198,587 | 61,081 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 39,424 | 83,821 | 52,794 | 149,490 |
| Non-interest (loss) income: | | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 3,098 | 3,240 | 6,478 | 6,487 |
| Mortgage banking activities | 4,823 | 4,057 | 9,403 | 8,532 |
| Net (loss) gain on sale of investments (includes \$42 accumulated other comprehensive income reclassification for other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013) | (42) | | (42) | 26 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities: | | | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses | | | | |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income | | (143) | (117) | (1,376) |
| Net impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities | | (143) | (117) | (1,376) |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities | 648 | (2,491) | (4,890) | (8,727) |
| Impairment of collateral pledged to Lehman | (66,574) | | (66,574) | |
| Insurance income | 1,508 | 1,312 | 3,528 | 2,792 |
| Other non-interest income | 4,876 | 8,047 | 14,180 | 14,763 |
| Total non-interest (loss) income | (51,663) | 14,022 | (38,034) | 22,497 |
| Non-interest expenses: | | | | |
| Employees compensation and benefits | 33,116 | 31,101 | 66,670 | 62,712 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 14,946 | 15,181 | 30,016 | 30,857 |
| Business promotion | 3,831 | 3,475 | 7,188 | 6,022 |
| Professional fees | 13,735 | 6,230 | 24,867 | 12,299 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Taxes, other than income taxes | 6,239 | 3,435 | 9,228 | 6,851 |
| Insurance and supervisory fees | 12,699 | 13,302 | 25,505 | 26,310 |
| Net loss on other real estate owned (OREO) and OREO operations | 14,829 | 6,786 | 22,139 | 10,229 |
| Credit and debit card processing expenses | 2,281 | 811 | 5,358 | 942 |
| Communications | 1,885 | 1,758 | 3,699 | 3,479 |
| Other non-interest expenses | 7,762 | 4,863 | 14,663 | 12,434 |
| Total non-interest expenses | 111,323 | 86,942 | 209,333 | 172,135 |
| (Loss) Income before income taxes | (123,562) | 10,901 | (194,573) | (148) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 979 | (1,545) | (643) | (3,678) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (122,583) | \$ 9,356 | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders | \$ (122,583) | \$ 9,356 | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |
| Net (loss) earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.60) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.95) | \$ (0.02) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.60) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.95) | \$ (0.02) |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**FIRST BANCORP.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME****(Unaudited)**

| (In thousands) | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (122,583) | \$ 9,356 | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |
| Available-for-sale debt securities on which other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized: | | | | |
| Subsequent unrealized gain on debt securities on which an other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized | 592 | 2,614 | 1,435 | 3,545 |
| Reclassification adjustment for other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities included in net income | | 143 | 117 | 1,376 |
| All other unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| All other unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the period | (60,176) | 3,498 | (69,746) | 2,141 |
| Reclassification adjustment for other-than-temporary impairment on equity securities | 42 | | 42 | |
| Income tax (expense) benefit related to items of other comprehensive income | (422) | 133 | (422) | 350 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income for the period, net of tax | (59,964) | 6,388 | (68,574) | 7,412 |
| Total comprehensive loss | \$ (182,547) | \$ 15,744 | \$ (263,790) | \$ 3,586 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**FIRST BANCORP.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)**

| (In thousands) | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 11,933 | 12,435 |
| Amortization and impairment of intangible assets | 3,039 | 1,283 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 198,587 | 61,081 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense | (2,154) | 1,128 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,321 | 192 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments on debt securities | 117 | 1,376 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments on equity securities | 42 | |
| Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities | 4,890 | 8,727 |
| Impairment of collateral pledged to Lehman | 66,574 | |
| Derivative instruments and financial liabilities measured at fair value, gain | (1,974) | (834) |
| Loss on sale of premises and equipment and other assets | | 254 |
| Net gain on sale of loans | (1,304) | (1,857) |
| Net amortization of premiums, discounts and deferred loan fees and costs | (2,078) | (947) |
| Originations and purchases of loans held for sale | (306,579) | (181,181) |
| Sales and repayments of loans held for sale | 259,506 | 195,956 |
| Loans held for sale valuation adjustment | 6,103 | |
| Amortization of broker placement fees | 4,182 | 5,307 |
| Net amortization of premium and discounts on investment securities | 6,713 | 7,511 |
| Decrease in accrued income tax payable | (1,623) | (1,088) |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable | (2,965) | 349 |
| Increase in accrued interest payable | 1,257 | 241 |
| Decrease in other assets | 20,702 | 15,413 |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 16,116 | (1,808) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 87,189 | 119,712 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Principal collected on loans | 1,363,136 | 1,549,244 |
| Loans originated and purchased | (1,545,408) | (1,633,182) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for investment | 296,610 | 15,001 |
| Proceeds from sale of repossessed assets | 60,568 | 46,535 |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | (541,910) | (317,506) |
| Proceeds from principal repayments and maturities of securities available for sale | 207,810 | 698,625 |
| Additions to premises and equipment | (4,999) | (4,494) |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipments and other assets | | 1,026 |
| Proceeds from securities litigation settlement and other proceeds | | 26 |
| Decrease in other equity securities | 6,436 | 5,810 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (157,757) | 361,085 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 108,917 | (13,540) |
| Net repayments of securities sold under agreements to repurchase | | (100,000) |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Net FHLB advances paid | (150,000) | (34,000) |
| Repurchase of outstanding common stock | (233) | |
| Repayments of medium-term notes | | (21,957) |
| Proceeds from common stock sold | | 1,037 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (41,316) | (168,460) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (111,884) | 312,337 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 946,851 | 446,566 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 834,967 | \$ 758,903 |
| Cash and cash equivalents include: | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 618,593 | \$ 518,725 |
| Money market instruments | 216,374 | 240,178 |
| | \$ 834,967 | \$ 758,903 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**FIRST BANCORP.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****(Unaudited)**

| (In thousands) | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Preferred Stock | \$ 63,047 | \$ 63,047 |
| Common Stock outstanding: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 20,624 | 20,513 |
| Common stock issued as compensation | 11 | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (4) | |
| Common stock sold | | 29 |
| Restricted stock grants | 70 | 72 |
| Restricted stock forfeited | (3) | |
| Balance at end of period | 20,698 | 20,614 |
| Additional Paid-In-Capital: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 885,754 | 884,002 |
| Restricted stock grants | (70) | (72) |
| Restricted stock forfeited | 3 | |
| Common stock sold | | 1,008 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,321 | 192 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (233) | |
| Balance at end of period | 886,775 | 885,130 |
| Retained Earnings: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 487,166 | 457,384 |
| Net loss | (195,216) | (3,826) |
| Balance at end of period | 291,950 | 453,558 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 28,432 | 19,198 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax | (68,574) | 7,412 |
| Balance at end of period | (40,142) | 26,610 |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 1,222,328 | \$ 1,448,959 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

FIRST BANCORP.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) of First BanCorp. (the Corporation) have been prepared in conformity with the accounting policies stated in the Corporation s Audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted from these statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC and, accordingly, these financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2012, included in the Corporation s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. All adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods have been reflected. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The results of operations for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

Adoption of new accounting requirements and recently issued but not yet effective accounting requirements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) has issued the following accounting pronouncements and guidance relevant to the Corporation s operations:

In December 2011, the FASB updated the Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) to enhance and require converged disclosures about financial and derivative instruments that are either offset on the balance sheet, or are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement (or other similar arrangement). Entities are required to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. In January 2013, the FASB updated the Codification to clarify the scope of the disclosure to include only derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements, and securities lending that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting agreement or similar agreement. The amendments in this Update are effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The Corporation adopted this guidance in 2013. Refer to Note 10 for required disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities.

In February 2013, the FASB updated the Codification to improve the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI). The amendments in this Update seek to attain that objective by requiring an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated OCI on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. This would be the case when a portion of the amount reclassified out of accumulated OCI is reclassified to a balance sheet account (for example, inventory) instead of directly to income or expense in the same reporting period. The amendments in this Update are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2012. The Corporation adopted this guidance in 2013 with no effect on the Corporation s financial condition or results of operations since it impacted presentation only. The reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income of the Corporation during the first half of 2013 and 2012 were primarily related to credit losses on debt securities for which other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) was previously recognized. The disclosure of credit losses on debt securities, and required identification in the statement of income (loss), is already required by Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 320-10-50.

In July 2013, the FASB updated the Codification to add the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rates (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes. Previously, only the direct Treasury obligations of the U.S. government and the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) swap rate were used. This Update is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The adoption of this guidance will not have an effect on the Corporation s financial condition or results of operations as the Corporation s derivative instruments are not designated or do not qualify for hedge accounting.

In July 2013, the FASB updated the Codification to provide explicit guidelines on how to present an unrecognized tax benefit in a financial statement when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. An unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except as follows. To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of

the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law

Table of Contents

of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date. The amendments are effective for public entities with fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Corporation is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this guidance on its financial statements.

NOTE 2 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The calculations of earnings (losses) per common share for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|--|--|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands, except per share information) | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (122,583) | \$ 9,356 | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders | \$ (122,583) | \$ 9,356 | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |
| Weighted-Average Shares: | | | | |
| Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding | 205,490 | 205,415 | 205,477 | 205,316 |
| Average potential common shares | | 537 | | |
| Diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | 205,490 | 205,952 | 205,477 | 205,316 |
| (Loss) Earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.60) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.95) | \$ (0.02) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.60) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.95) | \$ (0.02) |

Earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding. Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders represents net income (loss) adjusted for preferred stock dividends, including dividends declared, cumulative dividends related to the current dividend period that have not been declared as of the end of the period, and the accretion of discount on preferred stock issuances, if any. Basic weighted average common shares outstanding exclude unvested shares of restricted stock.

Potential common shares consist of common stock issuable under the assumed exercise of stock options, unvested shares of restricted stock, and outstanding warrants using the treasury stock method. This method assumes that the potential common shares are issued and the proceeds from the exercise, in addition to the amount of compensation cost attributable to future services, are used to purchase common stock at the exercise date. The difference between the number of potential shares issued and the shares purchased is added as incremental shares to the actual number of shares outstanding to compute diluted earnings per share. Stock options, unvested shares of restricted stock, and outstanding warrants that result in lower potential shares issued than shares purchased under the treasury stock method are not included in the computation of dilutive earnings per share since their inclusion would have an antidilutive effect on earnings per share. Stock options not included in the computation of outstanding shares because they were antidilutive amounted to 104,499 and 120,221 for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Warrants outstanding to purchase 1,285,899 shares of common stock for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012; and 1,442,427 unvested shares of restricted stock for the quarter and six-month period end June 30, 2013 and 719,500 unvested shares of restricted stock for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the Corporation reported a net loss attributable to common stockholders for these periods and their inclusion would have an antidilutive effect.

Table of Contents**NOTE 3 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

Between 1997 and January 2007, the Corporation had the 1997 stock option plan that authorized the granting of up to 579,740 options on shares of the Corporation's common stock to eligible employees. The options granted under the plan could not exceed 20% of the number of common shares outstanding. The maximum term to exercise these options is 10 years.

On January 21, 2007, the 1997 stock option plan expired; all outstanding awards granted under this plan continue in full force and effect, subject to their original terms. No awards for shares could be granted under the 1997 stock option plan as of its expiration.

The activity of stock options granted under the 1997 stock option plan for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 is set forth below:

| | Number of Options | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands) |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Beginning of period outstanding and exercisable | 113,158 | \$ 206.96 | | |
| Options expired | (7,795) | 192.20 | | |
| Options cancelled | (864) | 222.05 | | |
| End of period outstanding and exercisable | 104,499 | \$ 207.94 | 2.6 | \$ |

On April 29, 2008, the Corporation's stockholders approved the First BanCorp. 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as amended (the Omnibus Plan). The Omnibus Plan provides for equity-based compensation incentives (the awards) through the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, and other stock-based awards. The Omnibus Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 8,169,807 shares of common stock, subject to adjustments for stock splits, reorganizations and other similar events. The Corporation's Board of Directors, upon receiving the relevant recommendation of the Compensation Committee, has the power and authority to determine those eligible to receive awards and to establish the terms and conditions of any awards subject to various limits and vesting restrictions that apply to individual and aggregate awards.

Under the Omnibus Plan, during the second quarter of 2013, the Corporation issued 701,405 shares of restricted stock that will vest based on the employees' continued service with the Corporation. Fifty percent (50%) of those shares vest in two years from the grant date and the remaining 50% vest in three years from the grant date. Included in those 701,405 shares of restricted stock are 582,905 shares granted to certain senior officers consistent with the requirements of the Troubled Relief Asset Program (TARP) Interim Final Rule. Notwithstanding the vesting period mentioned above, the employees covered by TARP are restricted from transferring the shares. Specifically, the stock that has otherwise vested may not become transferable at any time earlier than as permitted under the schedule set forth by TARP, which is based on the repayment in 25% increments of the aggregate financial assistance received from the U.S. Department of Treasury (the Treasury).

The fair value of the shares of restricted stock granted in the second quarter of 2013 was based on the market price of the Corporation's outstanding common stock on the date of the grant of \$6.03. For the 582,905 shares of restricted stock granted under the TARP requirements, the market price was discounted due to post-vesting restrictions. For purposes of computing the discount, the Corporation estimated an appreciation of 13% in the value of the common stock using the Capital Asset Pricing Model as a basis of what would be a market participant's expected return on the Corporation's stock and assumed a holding period by the Treasury of its outstanding common stock of the Corporation of 2 years, resulting in a fair value of \$3.02 for restricted shares granted under the TARP requirements. Also, the Corporation uses empirical data to estimate employee termination; separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately for valuation purposes.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the restricted stock activity in 2013 under the Omnibus Plan for both executive officers covered by the TARP requirements and other employees as well as for independent directors:

| | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 | |
|--|---|--|
| | Number of shares of restricted stock | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Non-vested shares at beginning of year | 770,507 | \$ 2.51 |
| Granted | 701,405 | 3.53 |
| Forfeited | (29,485) | 3.64 |
| Non-vested shares at June 30, 2013 | 1,442,427 | \$ 2.99 |

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the Corporation recognized \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards, compared to \$0.2 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2013, there was \$2.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares of restricted stock. The weighted average period over which the Corporation expects to recognize such cost is 2 years.

During the third quarter of 2012, 51,007 shares of restricted stock were awarded to the Corporation's independent directors subject to a one-year vesting period. In addition, late in the first quarter of 2012, the Corporation issued 719,500 shares of restricted stock that will vest based on the employees' continued service with the Corporation. Fifty percent (50%) of those shares vest in two years from the grant date and the remaining 50% percent vest in three years from the grant date. Included in those 719,500 shares of restricted stock are 557,000 shares granted to certain senior officers consistent with the requirements of TARP. The employees covered by TARP are restricted from transferring the shares, subject to certain conditions as explained above.

The fair value of the shares of restricted stock granted in the first half of 2012 was based on the market price of the Corporation's outstanding common stock on the date of the grant of \$4.00. For the 557,000 shares of restricted stock granted under the TARP requirements, the market price was discounted due to post-vesting restrictions. For purposes of computing the discount, the Corporation assumed appreciation of 25% in the value of the common stock and a holding period by the Treasury of its outstanding common stock of the Corporation of three years, resulting in a fair value of \$2.00 for restricted shares granted under the TARP requirements in 2012.

Stock-based compensation accounting guidance requires the Corporation to develop an estimate of the number of share-based awards that will be forfeited due to employee or director turnover. Quarterly changes in the estimated forfeiture rate may have a significant effect on share-based compensation, as the effect of adjusting the rate for all expense amortization is recognized in the period in which the forfeiture estimate is changed. If the actual forfeiture rate is higher than the estimated forfeiture rate, then an adjustment is made to increase the estimated forfeiture rate, which will result in a decrease in the expense recognized in the financial statements. If the actual forfeiture rate is lower than the estimated forfeiture rate, then an adjustment is made to decrease the estimated forfeiture rate, which will result in an increase in the expense recognized in the financial statements. When unvested options or shares of restricted stock are forfeited, any compensation expense previously recognized on the forfeited awards is reversed in the period of the forfeiture. Approximately \$0.1 million of compensation expense was reversed in 2013 related to forfeited awards.

Also, under the Omnibus Plan, effective April 1, 2013, the Corporation's Board of Directors determined to increase the salary amounts paid to certain executive officers for fiscal year 2013 primarily by paying the increased salary amounts in the form of shares of the Corporation's common stock, instead of cash. During the second quarter of 2013, the Corporation issued 111,929 shares of common stock with a weighted average market value of \$6.15 for compensation according to this determination. This resulted in a compensation expense of \$0.7 million recorded in the second quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents**NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES*****Investment Securities Available for Sale***

The amortized cost, non-credit loss component of OTTI recorded in OCI, gross unrealized gains and losses recorded in OCI, approximate fair value, weighted average yield and contractual maturities of investment securities available for sale as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized cost | Noncredit Loss Component of OTTI Recorded in OCI | Gross Unrealized gains | Gross Unrealized losses | Fair value | Weighted average yield% | Amortized cost | Noncredit Loss Component of OTTI Recorded in OCI | Gross Unrealized gains | Gross Unrealized losses | Fair value | Weighted average yield% |
| U.S. Treasury securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due within one year | \$ 7,493 | \$ | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ 7,494 | 0.12 | \$ 7,497 | \$ | \$ 2 | \$ | \$ 7,499 | 0.17 |
| Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored agencies: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| After 1 to 5 years | 50,000 | | | 1,449 | 48,551 | 1.05 | 25,650 | | 7 | | 25,657 | 0.35 |
| After 5 to 10 years | 214,297 | | | 11,115 | 203,182 | 1.31 | 214,323 | | 8 | 415 | 213,916 | 1.31 |
| Puerto Rico government obligations: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due within one year | 10,000 | | | 15 | 9,985 | 3.50 | | | | | | |
| After 1 to 5 years | | | | | | | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 | 3.50 |
| After 5 to 10 years | 39,771 | | | 2,434 | 37,337 | 4.49 | 39,753 | | | 553 | 39,200 | 4.49 |
| After 10 years | 21,163 | | | 2 | 1,062 | 5.79 | 21,099 | | 948 | 47 | 22,000 | 5.78 |
| United States and Puerto Rico government obligations | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 342,724 | | | 3 | 16,075 | 1.96 | 318,322 | | 965 | 1,015 | 318,272 | 1.97 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FHLMC certificates: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due within one year | | | | | | | 63 | | | | 63 | 3.34 |
| After 10 years | 327,918 | | | 156 | 6,378 | 2.11 | 125,747 | | 3,430 | | 129,177 | 2.13 |
| | 327,918 | | | 156 | 6,378 | 2.11 | 125,810 | | 3,430 | | 129,240 | 2.13 |
| GNMA certificates: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| After 1 to 5 years | 113 | | | 6 | 119 | 3.54 | 143 | | 7 | | 150 | 3.57 |
| After 5 to 10 years | 698 | | | 40 | 738 | 2.79 | 479 | | 37 | | 516 | 3.52 |
| After 10 years | 473,109 | | | 24,211 | 497,320 | 3.82 | 564,376 | | 39,630 | | 604,006 | 3.98 |
| | 473,920 | | | 24,257 | 498,177 | 3.82 | 564,998 | | 39,674 | | 604,672 | 3.98 |
| FNMA certificates: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Due within one year | | | | | | | 119 | | | | 119 | 2.93 |
| After 1 to 5 years | 1,720 | | | 83 | 1,803 | 4.91 | 2,270 | | 149 | | 2,419 | 4.88 |
| After 5 to 10 years | 8,902 | | | 489 | 9,391 | 4.08 | 10,963 | | 874 | | 11,837 | 3.91 |
| After 10 years | 804,859 | | | 2,815 | 20,385 | 2.29 | 602,623 | | 10,638 | | 613,261 | 2.49 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------|------|
| | 815,481 | | 3,387 | 20,385 | 798,483 | 2.32 | 615,975 | | 11,661 | 627,636 | 2.52 | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations issued or guaranteed by the FHLMC: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| After 1 to 5 years | 175 | | | 1 | 174 | 3.01 | | | | | | |
| After 5 to 10 years | | | | | | | 301 | | 1 | 300 | 3.01 | |
| | 175 | | | 1 | 174 | 3.01 | 301 | | 1 | 300 | 3.01 | |
| Other mortgage pass-through trust certificates: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Over 5 to 10 years | 136 | | | 1 | 137 | 7.27 | 143 | | 1 | 144 | 7.27 | |
| After 10 years | 62,369 | 16,935 | | | 45,434 | 2.29 | 69,269 | 18,487 | | 50,782 | 2.29 | |
| | 62,505 | 16,935 | | 1 | 45,571 | 2.29 | 69,412 | 18,487 | 1 | 50,926 | 2.29 | |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | 1,679,999 | 16,935 | 27,801 | 26,764 | 1,664,101 | 2.70 | 1,376,496 | 18,487 | 54,766 | 1 | 1,412,774 | 3.07 |
| Equity securities (without contractual maturity) ⁽¹⁾ | 35 | | | | 35 | | 77 | | 46 | 31 | | |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$ 2,022,758 | \$ 16,935 | \$ 27,804 | \$ 42,839 | \$ 1,990,788 | 2.57 | \$ 1,694,895 | \$ 18,487 | \$ 55,731 | \$ 1,062 | \$ 1,731,077 | 2.87 |

(1) Represents common shares of another financial institution in Puerto Rico.

Table of Contents

Maturities of mortgage-backed securities are based on contractual terms assuming no prepayments. Expected maturities of investments might differ from contractual maturities because they may be subject to prepayments and/or call options. The weighted average yield on investment securities available for sale is based on amortized cost and, therefore, does not give effect to changes in fair value. The net unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale and the noncredit loss component of OTTI are presented as part of OCI.

The following tables show the Corporation's available-for-sale investments' fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. It also includes debt securities for which an OTTI was recognized and only the amount related to a credit loss was recognized in earnings. Unrealized losses for which OTTI had been recognized have been reduced by any subsequent recoveries in fair value.

| | Less than 12 months | | As of June 30, 2013 12 months or more | | Total | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | |
| Puerto Rico government obligations | \$ 66,473 | \$ 3,511 | \$ | \$ | \$ 66,473 | \$ 3,511 |
| US government agencies obligations | 251,733 | 12,564 | | | 251,733 | 12,564 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| FNMA | 749,766 | 20,385 | | | 749,766 | 20,385 |
| FHLMC | 271,651 | 6,378 | | | 271,651 | 6,378 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations issued or guaranteed by FHLMC | 174 | 1 | | | 174 | 1 |
| Other mortgage pass-through trust certificates | | | 45,434 | 16,935 | 45,434 | 16,935 |
| | \$ 1,339,797 | \$ 42,839 | \$ 45,434 | \$ 16,935 | \$ 1,385,231 | \$ 59,774 |

| | Less than 12 months | | As of December 31, 2012 12 months or more | | Total | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| | | | (In thousands) | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | |
| Puerto Rico government obligations | \$ 41,243 | \$ 600 | \$ | \$ | \$ 41,243 | \$ 600 |
| US government agencies obligations | 183,709 | 415 | | | 183,709 | 415 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations issued or guaranteed by FHLMC | 300 | 1 | | | 300 | 1 |
| Other mortgage pass-through trust certificates | | | 50,782 | 18,487 | 50,782 | 18,487 |
| Equity securities | 31 | 46 | | | 31 | 46 |
| | \$ 225,283 | \$ 1,062 | \$ 50,782 | \$ 18,487 | \$ 276,065 | \$ 19,549 |

Table of Contents**Assessment for OTTI**

On a quarterly basis, the Corporation performs an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances indicating that a security with an unrealized loss has suffered an OTTI. A debt security is considered impaired if the fair value is less than its amortized cost basis at the reporting date. The accounting literature requires the Corporation to assess whether the unrealized loss is other than temporary.

OTTI losses must be recognized in earnings if an investor has the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. However, even if an investor does not expect to sell a debt security, it must evaluate expected cash flows to be received and determine if a credit loss has occurred.

An unrealized loss is generally deemed to be other-than-temporary and a credit loss is deemed to exist if the present value of the expected future cash flows is less than the amortized cost basis of the debt security. The credit loss component of an OTTI, if any, is recorded as a component of net impairment losses on investment securities in the accompanying consolidated statements of income (loss), while the remaining portion of the impairment loss is recognized in OCI, provided the Corporation does not intend to sell the underlying debt security and it is more likely than not that the Corporation will not have to sell the debt security prior to recovery.

Debt securities issued by U.S. government agencies, government-sponsored entities and the U.S. Treasury accounted for approximately 94% of the total available-for-sale portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and no credit losses are expected, given the explicit and implicit guarantees provided by the U.S. federal government. The Corporation's assessment was concentrated mainly on private label mortgage-backed securities with an amortized cost of \$62.4 million for which credit losses are evaluated on a quarterly basis. The Corporation considered the following factors in determining whether a credit loss exists and the period over which the debt security is expected to recover:

The length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis;

Changes in the near term prospects of the underlying collateral of a security such as changes in default rates, loss severity given default, and significant changes in prepayment assumptions;

The level of cash flows generated from the underlying collateral supporting the principal and interest payments of the debt securities; and

Any adverse change to the credit conditions and liquidity of the issuer, taking into consideration the latest information available about the overall financial condition of the issuer, credit ratings, recent legislation and government actions affecting the issuer's industry and actions taken by the issuer to deal with the present economic climate.

The Corporation recorded OTTI losses on available-for-sale debt securities as follows:

| | Private Label MBS | | Private Label MBS | |
|--|------------------------|----------|------------------------|------------|
| | Quarter ended June 30, | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
| (In thousands) | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Credit loss on debt securities for which an OTTI was not previously recognized | | | | |
| Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in OCI | | (143) | (117) | (1,376) |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | \$ | \$ (143) | \$ (117) | \$ (1,376) |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the roll-forward of credit losses on debt securities held by the Corporation for which a portion of an OTTI is recognized in OCI:

| | Quarter ended June 30, | | Six-month period ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Credit losses at the beginning of the period | \$ 5,389 | \$ 5,056 | \$ 5,272 | \$ 3,823 |
| Additions: | | | | |
| Credit losses on debt securities for which an OTTI was previously recognized | | 143 | 117 | 1,376 |
| Ending balance of credit losses on debt securities held for which a portion of an OTTI was recognized in OCI | \$ 5,389 | \$ 5,199 | \$ 5,389 | \$ 5,199 |

During the first half of 2013, the \$0.1 million credit related impairment loss was related to private label MBS, which are collateralized by fixed-rate mortgages on single-family, residential properties in the United States. The interest rate on these private-label MBS is variable, tied to 3-month LIBOR and limited to the weighted-average coupon of the underlying collateral. The underlying mortgages are fixed-rate single-family loans with original high FICO scores (over 700) and moderate original loan-to-value ratios (under 80%), as well as moderate delinquency levels.

Based on the expected cash flows derived from the model, and since the Corporation does not have the intention to sell the securities and has sufficient capital and liquidity to hold these securities until a recovery of the fair value occurs, only the credit loss component was reflected in earnings. Significant assumptions in the valuation of the private label MBS were as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Weighted Average | Range | Weighted Average | Range |
| Discount rate | 14.5% | 14.5% | 14.5% | 14.5% |
| Prepayment rate | 31% | 18.84% - 100% | 32% | 21.85% - 69.97% |
| Projected Cumulative Loss Rate | 6.4% | 0.65% - 40.18% | 8% | 0.73% - 38.79% |

The Corporation recorded OTTI losses of \$42,000 on equity securities held in the available-for-sale investment portfolio in the second quarter and first half of 2013. No OTTI losses on equity securities were recognized in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation held approximately \$70.9 million of Puerto Rico government obligations. The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico credit rating was downgraded by Moody's Investor Service (Moody's) in December 2012 to Baa3 with a negative outlook, with various factors noted, including the lack of clear growth catalysts, the fiscal budget deficits, and the financial condition of the public sector employee pension plans, which are significantly underfunded. In addition, in March 2013, Standard & Poor's (S&P) downgraded the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico rating to BBB-, one step from junk status, with a negative outlook. S&P based the decision on the result of an estimated fiscal 2013 budget gap, which S&P views as significantly larger than originally budgeted. Also in March 2013, Fitch Ratings downgraded its rating on \$10.6 billion of Puerto Rico general obligation bonds by two notches, to BBB- minus from BBB- plus, saying the Commonwealth was facing a large budget imbalance caused by a weak economy and revenues. These downgrades could have an adverse impact on economic conditions, but their ultimate impact is unpredictable and may not be immediately apparent. The Puerto Rico government approved a budget for fiscal year 2014 to reduce its general fund deficit, including new tax measures expected to result in additional revenues.

NOTE 5 OTHER EQUITY SECURITIES

Institutions that are members of the FHLB system are required to maintain a minimum investment in FHLB stock. Such minimum is calculated as a percentage of aggregate outstanding mortgages, and an additional investment is required that is calculated as a percentage of total FHLB advances, letters of credit, and the collateralized portion of interest-rate swaps outstanding. The stock is capital stock issued at \$100 par value. Both stock and cash dividends may be received on FHLB stock.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Corporation had investments in FHLB stock with a book value of \$31.0 million and \$37.5 million, respectively. The net realizable value is a reasonable proxy for the fair value of these instruments. Dividend income from FHLB stock

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 was \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, compared to \$ 0.4 million and \$0.8 million for the comparable periods in 2012.

Table of Contents

The shares of FHLB stock owned by the Corporation are issued by the FHLB of New York and by the FHLB of Atlanta. Both Banks are part of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, a national wholesale banking network of 12 regional, stockholder-owned congressionally chartered banks. The Federal Home Loan Banks are all privately capitalized and operated by their member stockholders. The system is supervised by the Federal Housing Finance Agency, which ensures that the Home Loan Banks operate in a financially safe and sound manner, remain adequately capitalized and able to raise funds in the capital markets, and carry out their housing finance mission.

The Corporation has other equity securities that do not have a readily available fair value. The carrying value of such securities as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$1.3 million.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT**

The following table provides information about the loan portfolio held for investment:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Residential mortgage loans, mainly secured by first mortgages | \$ 2,511,206 | \$ 2,747,217 |
| Commercial loans: | | |
| Construction loans ⁽¹⁾ | 194,912 | 361,875 |
| Commercial mortgage loans ⁽¹⁾ | 1,916,509 | 1,883,798 |
| Commercial and Industrial loans ^{(1) (2)} | 2,527,431 | 2,793,157 |
| Loans to local financial institutions collateralized by real estate mortgages | 248,360 | 255,390 |
| Commercial loans | 4,887,212 | 5,294,220 |
| Finance leases | 241,675 | 236,926 |
| Consumer loans | 1,805,693 | 1,775,751 |
| Loans held for investment | 9,445,786 | 10,054,114 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (301,047) | (435,414) |
| Loans held for investment, net ⁽³⁾ | \$ 9,144,739 | \$ 9,618,700 |

- (1) During the second quarter of 2013, after a comprehensive review of substantially all of the loans in our commercial portfolios, the classification of certain loans was revised to more accurately depict the nature of the underlying loans. This reclassification resulted in a net increase of \$269.0 million in commercial mortgage loans, since the principal source of repayment for such loans is derived primarily from the operation of the underlying real estate, with a corresponding decrease of \$246.8 million in commercial and industrial loans and a \$22.2 million decrease in construction loans. The Corporation evaluated the impact of this reclassification on the provision for loan losses and determined that the effect of this adjustment was not material to any previously reported results.
- (2) As of June 30, 2013, includes \$1.2 billion of commercial loans that are secured by real estate (owner-occupied commercial loans secured by real estate) but are not dependent upon the real estate for repayment.
- (3) During the first half of 2013, the Corporation completed two separate bulk sales of assets including: (i) non-performing residential mortgage loans with a book value before allowance for loan losses of \$203.8 million, and (ii) adversely classified loans, mainly commercial loans, with a book value before allowance for loan losses of \$211.4 million. In addition the Corporation transferred \$181.6 million of commercial non-performing loans to held for sale as further discussed below.

Table of Contents

Loans held for investment on which accrual of interest income had been discontinued were as follows:

| <i>(In thousands)</i> | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Non-performing loans: | | |
| Residential mortgage | \$ 133,937 | \$ 313,626 |
| Commercial mortgage | 136,737 | 214,780 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 131,906 | 230,090 |
| Construction | 68,204 | 178,190 |
| Consumer: | | |
| Auto loans | 17,226 | 19,210 |
| Finance leases | 2,801 | 3,182 |
| Other consumer loans | 15,389 | 16,483 |
| Total non-performing loans held for investment ^{(1) (2)} | \$ 506,200 | \$ 975,561 |

- (1) As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, excludes \$95.0 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, in non-performing loans held for sale.
 (2) Amount excludes purchased credit impaired (PCI) loans with a carrying value of approximately \$8.3 million and \$10.6 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, acquired as part of the credit cards portfolio purchased in the second quarter of 2012.
 The Corporation's aging of the loans held for investment portfolio is as follows:

As of June 30, 2013

| <i>(In thousands)</i> | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 days or more Past Due ⁽¹⁾ | Total Past Due ⁽⁴⁾ | Purchased Credit- Impaired Loans ⁽⁴⁾ | Current | Total loans held for investment | 90 days past due and still accruing ⁽⁵⁾ |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------|--|---|
| Residential mortgage: | | | | | | | | |
| FHA/VA and other government-guaranteed loans ^{(2) (3)} ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ | \$ 10,594 | \$ 83,214 | \$ 93,808 | \$ | \$ 103,678 | \$ 197,486 | \$ 83,214 |
| Other residential mortgage loans ⁽³⁾ | | 93,136 | 142,851 | 235,987 | | 2,077,733 | 2,313,720 | 8,914 |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and Industrial loans | 11,344 | 7,011 | 143,512 | 161,867 | | 2,613,924 | 2,775,791 | 11,606 |
| Commercial mortgage loans ⁽³⁾ | | 8,803 | 141,266 | 150,069 | | 1,766,440 | 1,916,509 | 4,529 |
| Construction loans ⁽³⁾ | | 677 | 68,333 | 69,010 | | 125,902 | 194,912 | 129 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Auto loans | 72,168 | 21,132 | 17,226 | 110,526 | | 964,581 | 1,075,107 | |
| Finance leases | 9,286 | 3,438 | 2,801 | 15,525 | | 226,150 | 241,675 | |
| Other consumer loans | 11,794 | 6,972 | 20,058 | 38,824 | 8,285 | 683,477 | 730,586 | 4,669 |
| Total loans held for investment | \$ 104,592 | \$ 151,763 | \$ 619,261 | \$ 875,616 | \$ 8,285 | \$ 8,561,885 | \$ 9,445,786 | \$ 113,061 |

- (1) Includes non-performing loans and accruing loans which are contractually delinquent 90 days or more (i.e., FHA/VA guaranteed loans and credit cards). Credit card loans continue to accrue finance charges fees until charged-off at 180 days.
 (2) As of June 30, 2013, includes \$1.6 million of defaulted loans collateralizing GNMA securities for which the Corporation has an unconditional option (but not an obligation) to repurchase the defaulted loans.
 (3)

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

According to the Corporation's delinquency policy and consistent with the instructions for the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies (FR Y-9C) required by the Federal Reserve Board, residential mortgage, commercial mortgage, and construction loans are considered past due when the borrower is in arrears two or more monthly payments. FHA/VA government guaranteed loans, other residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans and construction loans past due 30-59 days amounted to \$24.3 million, \$201.5 million, \$78.0 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

- (4) Purchased credit impaired loans are excluded from delinquency and non-performing statistics as further discussed below.
- (5) It is the Corporation's policy to report delinquent residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA as past-due loans 90 days and still accruing as opposed to non-performing loans since the principal repayment is insured. These balances include \$34.1 million of residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA, which are over 18 months delinquent, and are no longer accruing interest as of June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2012

| (In thousands) | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 days or more Past Due ⁽¹⁾ | Total Past Due ⁽⁴⁾ | Purchased Credit- Impaired Loans ⁽⁴⁾ | Current | Total loans held for investment | 90 days past due and still accruing |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Residential mortgage: | | | | | | | | |
| FHA/VA and other government-guaranteed loans ^{(2) (3) (5)} | \$ | \$ 10,592 | \$ 93,298 | \$ 103,890 | \$ | \$ 104,723 | \$ 208,613 | \$ 93,298 |
| Other residential mortgage loans ⁽³⁾ | | 83,807 | 324,965 | 408,772 | | 2,129,832 | 2,538,604 | 11,339 |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and Industrial loans | 22,323 | 8,952 | 258,989 | 290,264 | | 2,758,283 | 3,048,547 | 28,899 |
| Commercial mortgage loans ⁽³⁾ | | 6,367 | 218,379 | 224,746 | | 1,659,052 | 1,883,798 | 3,599 |
| Construction loans ⁽³⁾ | | 843 | 178,876 | 179,719 | | 182,156 | 361,875 | 686 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Auto loans | 64,991 | 15,446 | 19,210 | 99,647 | | 926,579 | 1,026,226 | |
| Finance leases | 10,938 | 2,682 | 3,182 | 16,802 | | 220,124 | 236,926 | |
| Other consumer loans | 12,268 | 6,850 | 20,674 | 39,792 | 10,602 | 699,131 | 749,525 | 4,191 |
| Total loans held for investment | \$ 110,520 | \$ 135,539 | \$ 1,117,573 | \$ 1,363,632 | \$ 10,602 | \$ 8,679,880 | \$ 10,054,114 | \$ 142,012 |

- (1) Includes non-performing loans and accruing loans which are contractually delinquent 90 days or more (i.e. FHA/VA guaranteed loans and credit cards). Credit card loans continue to accrue finance charges and fees until charged-off at 180 days.
- (2) As of December 31, 2012, includes \$14.8 million of defaulted loans collateralizing GNMA securities for which the Corporation has an unconditional option (but not an obligation) to repurchase the defaulted loans.
- (3) According to the Corporation's delinquency policy and consistent with the instructions for the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies (FR Y-9C) required by the Federal Reserve Board, residential mortgage, commercial mortgage, and construction loans are considered past due when the borrower is in arrears two or more monthly payments. FHA/VA government guaranteed loans, other residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, and construction loans past due 30-59 days amounted to \$22.2 million, \$186.3 million, \$164.9 million, and \$21.1 million, respectively.
- (4) Purchased credit-impaired loans are excluded from delinquency and non-performing statistics as further discussed below.
- (5) It is the Corporation's policy to report delinquent residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA as past-due loans 90 days and still accruing as opposed to non-performing loans since the principal repayment is insured. These balances include \$35.3 million of residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA, which are over 18 months delinquent, and are no longer accruing interest as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's credit quality indicators by loan type as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized below:

**Commercial Credit Exposure-Credit Risk Profile Based on
Creditworthiness category:**

| June 30, 2013 | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss (In thousands) | Total Adversely Classified (1)(2) | Total Portfolio |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|--|-----------------|
| | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage | \$ 359,947 | \$ 3,074 | \$ 137 | \$ 363,158 | \$ 1,916,509 |
| Construction | 78,889 | 8,686 | | 87,575 | 194,912 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 200,784 | 12,379 | 705 | 213,868 | 2,775,791 |

**Commercial Credit Exposure-Credit Risk Profile Based on
Creditworthiness category:**

| December 31, 2012 | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss (In thousands) | Total Adversely Classified (1)(2) | Total Portfolio |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage | \$ 401,597 | \$ 6,867 | \$ | \$ 408,464 | \$ 1,883,798 |
| Construction | 184,977 | 14,556 | 605 | 200,138 | 361,875 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 372,100 | 30,651 | 1,143 | 403,894 | 3,048,547 |

- (1) During the first quarter of 2013, the Corporation completed a bulk sale of assets, mainly commercial adversely classified loans with a book value before allowance for loan losses of \$211.4 million and, in addition, transferred \$181.6 million of non-performing loans to held for sale as further discussed below.
- (2) Excludes \$95 million (\$38 million commercial mortgage; \$57 million construction) and \$2.2 million (\$1.1 million commercial mortgage and \$1.1 million commercial and industrial) as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of non-performing loans held for sale.

The Corporation considers a loan to be adversely classified if its risk rating is Substandard, Doubtful or Loss. These categories are defined as follows:

Substandard- A Substandard asset is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful- Doubtful classifications have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. A Doubtful classification may be appropriate in cases where significant risk exposures are perceived, but Loss cannot be determined because of specific reasonable pending factors which may strengthen the credit in the near term.

Loss- Assets classified Loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be affected in the future. There is little or no prospect for near term improvement and no realistic strengthening action of significance pending.

Table of Contents

| | Consumer Credit Exposure-Credit Risk Profile based on payment activity | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Residential Real-Estate | | | Consumer | |
| | FHA/VA/ Guaranteed ⁽¹⁾ | Other | | Finance Leases | Other Consumer |
| | | residential loans | Auto | | |
| June 30, 2013 | | | (In thousands) | | |
| Performing | \$ 197,486 | \$ 2,179,783 | \$ 1,057,881 | \$ 238,874 | \$ 706,912 |
| Purchased Credit-Impaired | | | | | 8,285 |
| Non-performing | | 133,937 | 17,226 | 2,801 | 15,389 |
| Total | \$ 197,486 | \$ 2,313,720 | \$ 1,075,107 | \$ 241,675 | \$ 730,586 |

- (1) It is the Corporation's policy to report delinquent residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA as past due loans 90 days and still accruing as opposed to non-performing loans since the principal repayment is insured. These balances include \$34.1 million of residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA, which are over 18 months delinquent, and are no longer accruing interest as of June 30, 2013.

| | Consumer Credit Exposure-Credit Risk Profile based on payment activity | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Residential Real-Estate | | | Consumer | |
| | FHA/VA/ Guaranteed ⁽¹⁾ | Other | | Finance Leases | Other Consumer |
| | | residential loans | Auto | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | (In thousands) | | |
| Performing | \$ 208,613 | \$ 2,224,978 | \$ 1,007,016 | \$ 233,744 | \$ 722,440 |
| Purchased Credit-Impaired | | | | | 10,602 |
| Non-performing | | 313,626 | 19,210 | 3,182 | 16,483 |
| Total | \$ 208,613 | \$ 2,538,604 | \$ 1,026,226 | \$ 236,926 | \$ 749,525 |

- (1) It is the Corporation's policy to report delinquent residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA as past due loans 90 days and still accruing as opposed to non-performing loans since the principal repayment is insured. These balances include \$35.3 million of residential mortgage loans insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA, which are over 18 months delinquent, and are no longer accruing interest as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following tables present information about impaired loans excluding purchased credit-impaired loans, which are reported separately as discussed below:

| Impaired Loans | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Specific Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Quarter ended June 30, 2013 | | Six-month Period Ended June 30, 2013 | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Recognized Interest Income Accrual Basis | Recognized Interest Income Cash Basis | Recognized Interest Income Accrual Basis | Recognized Interest Income Cash Basis |
| As of June 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | | |
| FHA/VA-Guaranteed loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other residential mortgage loans | 137,999 | 147,773 | | 140,066 | 1,697 | 226 | 3,386 | 548 |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 39,351 | 41,527 | | 39,367 | 304 | 74 | 536 | 147 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 27,023 | 40,677 | | 28,391 | 32 | 6 | 43 | 28 |
| Construction Loans | 21,716 | 24,055 | | 22,080 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Auto loans | | | | | | | | |
| Finance leases | | | | | | | | |
| Other consumer loans | 3,556 | 5,027 | | 2,365 | 31 | 20 | 53 | 37 |
| | \$ 229,645 | \$ 259,059 | \$ | \$ 232,269 | \$ 2,074 | \$ 334 | \$ 4,028 | \$ 772 |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | | | | | |
| FHA/VA-Guaranteed loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other residential mortgage loans | 246,063 | 269,200 | 20,406 | 246,505 | 2,372 | 400 | 4,643 | 756 |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 173,632 | 184,357 | 33,219 | 174,846 | 427 | 537 | 720 | 1,115 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 179,909 | 223,226 | 36,503 | 181,262 | 1,056 | 27 | 1,673 | 106 |
| Construction Loans | 54,767 | 63,471 | 21,884 | 55,926 | 119 | 234 | 228 | 604 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Auto loans | 12,979 | 12,980 | 1,515 | 12,233 | 231 | | 457 | |
| Finance leases | 2,293 | 2,293 | 92 | 2,129 | 51 | | 109 | |
| Other consumer loans | 8,957 | 9,601 | 1,334 | 8,973 | 79 | 2 | 121 | 5 |
| | \$ 678,600 | \$ 765,128 | \$ 114,953 | \$ 681,874 | \$ 4,335 | \$ 1,200 | \$ 7,951 | \$ 2,586 |
| Total: | | | | | | | | |
| FHA/VA-Guaranteed loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other residential mortgage loans | 384,062 | 416,973 | 20,406 | 386,571 | 4,069 | 626 | 8,029 | 1,304 |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 212,983 | 225,884 | 33,219 | 214,213 | 731 | 611 | 1,256 | 1,262 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 206,932 | 263,903 | 36,503 | 209,653 | 1,088 | 33 | 1,716 | 134 |
| Construction Loans | 76,483 | 87,526 | 21,884 | 78,006 | 129 | 242 | 238 | 616 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Auto loans | 12,979 | 12,980 | 1,515 | 12,233 | 231 | | 457 | |
| Finance leases | 2,293 | 2,293 | 92 | 2,129 | 51 | | 109 | |
| Other consumer loans | 12,513 | 14,628 | 1,334 | 11,338 | 110 | 22 | 174 | 42 |
| | \$ 908,245 | \$ 1,024,187 | \$ 114,953 | \$ 914,143 | \$ 6,409 | \$ 1,534 | \$ 11,979 | \$ 3,358 |

Table of Contents

| (In thousands) | Recorded Investments | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Specific Allowance | Average Recorded Investment |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | |
| FHA/VA-Guaranteed loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other residential mortgage loans | 122,056 | 130,306 | | 148,125 |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 44,495 | 54,753 | | 45,420 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 35,673 | 41,637 | | 22,780 |
| Construction Loans | 21,179 | 44,797 | | 35,379 |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Auto loans | | | | |
| Finance leases | | | | |
| Other consumer loans | 2,615 | 3,570 | | 2,443 |
| | \$ 226,018 | \$ 275,063 | \$ | \$ 254,147 |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | |
| FHA/VA-Guaranteed loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other residential mortgage loans | 462,663 | 518,446 | 47,171 | 447,491 |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 310,030 | 330,117 | 50,959 | 316,535 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 284,357 | 363,012 | 80,167 | 239,757 |
| Construction Loans | 159,504 | 275,398 | 39,572 | 154,680 |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Auto loans | 11,432 | 11,432 | 1,456 | 11,090 |
| Finance leases | 2,019 | 2,019 | 78 | 1,987 |
| Other consumer loans | 9,271 | 10,047 | 2,346 | 8,912 |
| | \$ 1,239,276 | \$ 1,510,471 | \$ 221,749 | \$ 1,180,452 |
| Total: | | | | |
| FHA/VA-Guaranteed loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Other residential mortgage loans | 584,719 | 648,752 | 47,171 | 595,616 |
| Commercial: | | | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 354,525 | 384,870 | 50,959 | 361,955 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 320,030 | 404,649 | 80,167 | 262,537 |
| Construction Loans | 180,683 | 320,195 | 39,572 | 190,059 |
| Consumer: | | | | |
| Auto loans | 11,432 | 11,432 | 1,456 | 11,090 |
| Finance leases | 2,019 | 2,019 | 78 | 1,987 |
| Other consumer loans | 11,886 | 13,617 | 2,346 | 11,355 |
| | \$ 1,465,294 | \$ 1,785,534 | \$ 221,749 | \$ 1,434,599 |

Interest income of approximately \$8.7 and \$16.4 million was recognized on impaired loans for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following tables show the activity for impaired loans and the related specific reserve for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013:

| | Quarter ended June 30, 2013 (In thousands) | Six-Month period ended June 30, 2013 (In thousands) |
|---|---|---|
| Impaired Loans: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,100,265 | \$ 1,465,294 |
| Loans determined impaired during the period | 56,210 | 150,778 |
| Net charge-offs | (95,605) | (271,972) |
| Loans sold, net of charge-offs | (111,756) | (201,409) |
| Increases to impaired loans-additional disbursements | 763 | 6,020 |
| Transfer of loans to held for sale, net of charges-offs | | (147,100) |
| Foreclosures | (10,680) | (22,845) |
| Loans no longer considered impaired | (9,640) | (25,789) |
| Paid in full or partial payments | (21,312) | (44,732) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 908,245 | \$ 908,245 |

| | Quarter ended June 30, 2013 (In thousands) | Six-Month period ended June 30, 2013 (In thousands) |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Specific Reserve: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 144,028 | \$ 221,749 |
| Provision for loan losses | 66,530 | 165,176 |
| Net charge-offs | (95,605) | (271,972) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 114,953 | \$ 114,953 |

Acquired loans including PCI Loans

On May 30, 2012, the Corporation reentered the credit card business with the acquisition of an approximate \$406 million portfolio of FirstBank-branded credit card loans from FIA Card Services (FIA). These loans were recorded on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition at estimated fair value on the acquisition date of \$368.9 million. The Corporation concluded that a portion of these acquired loans were PCI loans. PCI loans are acquired loans with evidence of credit quality deterioration since origination for which it is probable at the date of purchase that the Corporation will be unable to collect all contractually required payments. The loans that the Corporation concluded were credit impaired had a contractual outstanding unpaid principal and interest balance at acquisition of \$34.6 million and an estimated fair value of \$15.7 million. Given that the initial fair value of these loans included an estimate of credit losses expected to be realized over the remaining lives of the loans, the Corporation's subsequent accounting for PCI loans differs from the accounting for non-PCI loans; therefore, the Corporation separately tracks and reports PCI loans and excludes these loans from delinquency and non-performing loan statistics.

Initial Fair Value and Accretable Yield of PCI Loans

At acquisition, the Corporation estimated the cash flows the Corporation expected to collect on credit card loans acquired with a deteriorated credit quality. Under the accounting guidance for PCI loans, the difference between the contractually required payments and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the nonaccretable difference. This difference is neither accreted into income nor recorded on the Corporation's Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. The excess of cash flows expected to be collected over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loans, using the effective-yield method. The table below displays the contractually required principal and interest, cash flows expected to be collected and the fair value at

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

acquisition of PCI loans that the Corporation acquired. The table also displays the nonaccretable difference and the accretable yield at acquisition.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| (In thousands) | At acquisition Purchased Credit- Impaired Loans |
| Contractually outstanding principal and interest at acquisition | \$ 34,577 |
| Less: Nonaccretable difference | (15,408) |
| Cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition | 19,169 |
| Less: Accretable yield | (3,451) |
| Fair value of loans acquired | \$ 15,718 |

Outstanding balance and Carrying value of PCI loans

The table below presents the outstanding contractual balance and carrying value of the PCI Loans as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| (In thousands) | Purchased Credit- Impaired Loans (June 30, 2013) | Purchased Credit- Impaired Loans (December 31, 2012) |
| Contractual balance | \$ 26,219 | \$ 28,778 |
| Carrying value | 8,285 | 10,602 |

Changes in accretable yield of acquired loans

Subsequent to acquisition, the Corporation is required to periodically evaluate its estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. These evaluations, performed quarterly, require the continued use of key assumptions and estimates, similar to the initial estimate of fair value. Subsequent changes in the estimated cash flows expected to be collected may result in changes in the accretable yield and nonaccretable difference or reclassifications from nonaccretable yield to accretable. Increases in the cash flows expected to be collected will generally result in an increase in interest income over the remaining life of the loan or pool of loans. Decreases in expected cash flows due to further credit deterioration will generally result in an impairment charge recognized in the Corporation's provision for loan and lease losses, resulting in an increase to the allowance for loan losses. During the first half of 2013, the Corporation did not record charges to the provision for loan losses related to PCI loans.

The following table presents changes in the accretable yield related to the PCI loans acquired from FIA:

| | |
|--|------------------|
| (In thousands) | PCI Loans |
| Accretable yield at acquisition | \$ 3,451 |
| Accretion recognized in earnings | (1,280) |
| Accretable yield as of December 31, 2012 | 2,171 |
| Reclassification to nonaccretable | (1,352) |
| Accretion recognized in earnings | (413) |
| Accretable yield as of June 30, 2013 | \$ 406 |

Table of Contents

During the First half of 2013, the Corporation purchased \$140.6 million of residential mortgage loans consistent with a strategic program established by the Corporation in 2005 to purchase ongoing residential mortgage loan production from mortgage bankers in Puerto Rico. Generally, the loans purchased from mortgage bankers were conforming residential mortgage loans. Purchases of conforming residential mortgage loans provide the Corporation the flexibility to retain or sell the loans, including through securitization transactions depending upon whether the Corporation wants to retain high-yielding loans and improve net interest margins or generate profits by selling loans. When the Corporation sells such loans, it generally keeps the servicing of the loans.

In the ordinary course of business, the Corporation sells residential mortgage loans (originated or purchased) to the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) and government-sponsored entities (GSEs). GNMA and GSEs, such as Fannie Mae (FNMA) and Freddie Mac (FHLMC), generally securitize the transferred loans into mortgage-backed securities for sale into the secondary market. The Corporation sold approximately \$121.3 million of performing residential mortgage loans in the secondary market to FNMA and FHLMC during the first half of 2013. Also, the Corporation securitized \$159.1 million of FHA/VA mortgage loans into GNMA mortgage-backed securities during the first half of 2013. The Corporation's continuing involvement in these loan sales consists primarily of servicing the loans. In addition, the Corporation agreed to repurchase loans when it breaches any of the representations and warranties included in the sale agreement. These representations and warranties are consistent with the GSEs' selling and servicing guidelines (i.e., ensuring that the mortgage was properly underwritten according to established guidelines).

For loans sold to GNMA, the Corporation holds an option to repurchase individual delinquent loans issued on or after January 1, 2003 when the borrower fails to make any payment for three consecutive months. This option gives the Corporation the ability, but not the obligation, to repurchase the delinquent loans at par without prior authorization from GNMA.

Under ASC Topic 860, once the Corporation has the unilateral ability to repurchase the delinquent loan, it is considered to have regained effective control over the loan and is required to recognize the loan and a corresponding repurchase liability on the balance sheet regardless of the Corporation's intent to repurchase the loan.

During the first half of 2013, the Corporation repurchased pursuant to its repurchase option with GNMA \$26.6 million of loans previously sold to GNMA. The principal balance of these loans is fully guaranteed and the risk of loss related to repurchases is generally limited to the difference between the delinquent interest payment advanced to GNMA computed at the loan's interest rate and the interest payments reimbursed by FHA, which are computed at a pre-determined debenture rate. Repurchases of GNMA loans allow the Corporation, among other things, to maintain acceptable delinquency rates on outstanding GNMA pools and remain as a seller and servicer in good standing with GNMA. The Corporation generally remediates any breach of representations and warranties related to the underwriting of such loans according to established GNMA guidelines without incurring losses. The Corporation does not maintain a liability for estimated losses as a result of breaches in representations and warranties.

Loan sales to FNMA and FHLMC are without recourse in relation to the future performance of the loans. The Corporation repurchased at par loans previously sold to FNMA and FHLMC in the amount of \$3.3 million during the first half of 2013. The Corporation's risk of loss with respect to these loans is also minimal as these repurchased loans are generally performing loans with documentation deficiencies. The amount of loan repurchases over the last three years represents less than 2% of total sales of loans to FNMA and FHLMC and subsequent losses are estimated to have been less than \$0.3 million. As a consequence, the Corporation does not maintain a liability for estimated losses on loans expected to be repurchased as a result of breaches in loan and servicer representations and warranties.

Bulk Sales of Assets and Transfer of Loans to Held For Sale

On June 21, 2013, the Corporation announced that it had completed a sale of non-performing residential mortgage loans with a book value of \$203.8 million and OREO properties with a book value of \$19.2 million in a cash transaction. The sales price of this bulk sale was \$128.3 million. Approximately \$30.1 million of reserves had already been allocated to the loans. This transaction resulted in total charge-offs of \$98.0 million and an incremental loss of \$69.8 million. In addition, the Corporation recorded \$3.1 million of professional service fees specifically related to this bulk sale of non-performing residential assets. This transaction resulted in a total pre-tax loss of \$72.9 million.

On March 28, 2013, the Corporation completed the sale of adversely classified loans with a book value of \$211.4 million (\$100.1 million of commercial and industrial loans, \$68.8 million of commercial mortgage loans, \$41.3 million of construction loans, and \$1.2 million of residential mortgage loans), and \$6.3 million of OREO properties in a cash transaction. Included in the bulk sale was \$185.0 million of non-performing assets. The sales price of this bulk sale was \$120.2 million. Approximately \$39.9 million of reserves had already been allocated to the loans. This transaction resulted in total charge-offs of \$98.5 million and an incremental loss of \$58.9 million, reflected in the provision for loan and lease losses for the first half of 2013. In addition, the Corporation recorded \$3.9 million of professional fees specifically related to this bulk sale of assets. This transaction resulted in a total pre-tax loss of \$62.8 million.

Table of Contents

In addition, during the first quarter of 2013, the Corporation transferred to held for sale non-performing loans with an aggregate book value of \$181.6 million. These transfers resulted in charge-offs of \$36.0 million and an incremental loss of \$5.2 million reflected in the provision for loan and lease losses for the first half of 2013.

During the second quarter of 2013, the Corporation completed the sale of a \$40.8 million non-performing commercial mortgage loan that was among the loans transferred to held for sale in the first quarter without incurring additional losses.

In a separate transaction during the second quarter, the Corporation entered into an agreement to receive foreclosed real estate in partial satisfaction of debt related to one of the loans written-off and transferred to held for sale in the first quarter. The remaining balance of such partially satisfied commercial mortgage loan held for sale was restructured, resulting in a loss of \$3.4 million recorded as part of Other income in the second quarter of 2013

The Corporation's primary goal with respect to these sales is to accelerate the disposition of non-performing assets, which is the main priority of the Corporation's Strategic Plan. The opportunistic sale of distressed assets is a pivotal and tactical step in the Corporation's efforts to reduce balance sheet risk, improve earnings in the future through reductions of credit related costs and enhance credit quality consistent with regulators expectations of adequate levels of adversely classified assets for financial institutions.

Loan Portfolio Concentration

The Corporation's primary lending area is Puerto Rico. The Corporation's banking subsidiary, First Bank, also lends in the USVI and BVI markets and in the United States (principally in the state of Florida). Of the total gross loans held for investment of \$9.4 billion as of June 30, 2013, approximately 85 % have credit risk concentration in Puerto Rico, 8 % in the United States, and 7 % in the USVI and BVI.

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had \$250.4 million outstanding in credit facilities granted to the Puerto Rico government and/or its political subdivisions, up from \$158.4 million as of December 31, 2012, and \$38.6 million granted to the government of the Virgin Islands, up from \$35.5 million as of December 31, 2012. A substantial portion of these credit facilities consists of loans to municipalities in Puerto Rico for which the good faith, credit, and unlimited taxing power of the applicable municipality has been pledged to their repayment. Another portion of these obligations consists of loans to public corporations that obtain revenues from rates charged for services or products, such as electric power and water utilities. Public corporations have varying degrees of independence from the central government and many receive appropriations or other payments from it.

In addition to loans extended to government entities, the largest loan to one borrower as of June 30, 2013 in the amount of \$248.4 million is with one mortgage originator in Puerto Rico, Doral Financial Corporation. This commercial loan is secured by individual real-estate loans, mostly 1-4 single family residential mortgage loans.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Corporation provides homeownership preservation assistance to its customers through a loss mitigation program in Puerto Rico that is similar to the government's Home Affordable Modification Program guidelines. Depending upon the nature of borrowers' financial condition, restructurings or loan modifications through this program as well as other restructurings of individual commercial, commercial mortgage, construction, and residential mortgage loans in the U.S. mainland fit the definition of TDRs. A restructuring of a debt constitutes a TDR if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Modifications involve changes in one or more of the loan terms that bring a defaulted loan current and provide sustainable affordability. Changes may include the refinancing of any past-due amounts, including interest and escrow, the extension of the maturity of the loan and modifications of the loan rate. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's total TDR loans held for investment of \$613.1 million consisted of \$317.3 million of residential mortgage loans, \$96.8 million of commercial and industrial loans, \$153.0 million of commercial mortgage loans, \$20.4 million of construction loans, and \$25.6 million of consumer loans. Outstanding unfunded commitments on TDR loans amounted to \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2013.

The Corporation's loss mitigation programs for residential mortgage and consumer loans can provide for one or a combination of the following: movement of interest past due to the end of the loan, extension of the loan term, deferral of principal payments for a significant period of time, and reduction of interest rates either permanently (offered up to 2010) or for a period of up to two years (step-up rates). Additionally, in rare cases, the restructuring may provide for the forgiveness of contractually due principal or interest. Uncollected interest is added to the end of the loan term at the time of the restructuring and not recognized as income until collected or when the loan is paid off. These programs are available only to those borrowers who have defaulted, or are likely to default, permanently on their loan and would lose their homes in a foreclosure action absent some lender concession. Nevertheless, if the Corporation is not reasonably assured that the borrower will comply with its

contractual commitment, properties are foreclosed.

Table of Contents

Prior to permanently modifying a loan, the Corporation may enter into trial modifications with certain borrowers. Trial modifications generally represent a six-month period during which the borrower makes monthly payments under the anticipated modified payment terms prior to a formal modification. Upon successful completion of a trial modification, the Corporation and the borrower enter into a permanent modification. TDR loans that are participating in or that have been offered a binding trial modification are classified as TDRs when the trial offer is made and continue to be classified as TDR regardless of whether the borrower enters into a permanent modification. As of June 30, 2013, we classified an additional \$0.6 million of residential mortgage loans as TDRs that were participating in or had been offered a trial modification.

For the commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and construction portfolios, at the time of the restructuring, the Corporation determines, on a loan-by-loan basis, whether a concession was granted for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulty. Concessions granted for commercial loans could include: reductions in interest rates to rates that are considered below market; extension of repayment schedules and maturity dates beyond original contractual terms; waivers of borrower covenants; forgiveness of principal or interest; or other contract changes that would be considered a concession. The Corporation mitigates loan defaults for its commercial loan portfolios through its collections function. The function's objective is to minimize both early stage delinquencies and losses upon default of commercial loans. In the case of the commercial and industrial, commercial mortgage and construction loan portfolios, the Special Asset Group (SAG) focuses on strategies for the accelerated reduction of non-performing assets through note sales, short sales, loss mitigation programs, and sales of OREO. In addition to the management of the resolution process for problem loans, the SAG oversees collection efforts for all loans to prevent migration to the non-performing and/or adversely classified status. The SAG utilizes relationship officers, collection specialists, and attorneys. In the case of residential construction projects, the workout function monitors project specifics, such as project management and marketing, as deemed necessary. The SAG utilizes its collections infrastructure of workout collection officers, credit work-out specialists, in-house legal counsel, and third-party consultants. In the case of residential construction projects and large commercial loans, the function also utilizes third-party specialized consultants to monitor the residential and commercial construction projects in terms of construction, marketing and sales, and assists with the restructuring of large commercial loans. In addition, the Corporation extends, renews, and restructures loans with satisfactory credit profiles. Many commercial loan facilities are structured as lines of credit, which are mainly one year in term and therefore are required to be renewed annually. Other facilities may be restructured or extended from time to time based upon changes in the borrower's business needs, use of funds, timing of completion of projects, and other factors. If the borrower is not deemed to have financial difficulties, extensions, renewals, and restructurings are done in the normal course of business and not considered concessions, and the loans continue to be recorded as performing.

Table of Contents

Selected information on TDRs that includes the recorded investment by loan class and modification type is summarized in the following tables. This information reflects all TDRs:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| | Interest rate below market | Maturity or term extension | Combination of reduction in interest rate and extension of maturity | Forgiveness of principal and/or interest | Other ⁽¹⁾ | Total |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: | | | | | | |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | \$ 24,319 | \$ 6,586 | \$ 256,228 | \$ | \$ 30,162 | \$ 317,295 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 44,925 | 13,080 | 81,038 | 200 | 13,758 | 153,001 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 11,461 | 12,303 | 6,150 | 7,493 | 59,404 | 96,811 |
| Construction Loans | 6,844 | 3,294 | 9,156 | | 1,085 | 20,379 |
| Consumer Loans - Auto | | 836 | 8,063 | | 4,080 | 12,979 |
| Finance Leases | | 1,240 | 1,053 | | | 2,293 |
| Consumer Loans - Other | 341 | 353 | 7,808 | | 1,869 | 10,371 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings⁽²⁾ | \$ 87,890 | \$ 37,692 | \$ 369,496 | \$ 7,693 | \$ 110,358 | \$ 613,129 |

- (1) Other concessions granted by the Corporation include deferral of principal and/or interest payments for a period longer than what would be considered insignificant, payment plans under judicial stipulation or a combination of the concessions listed in the table.
- (2) Included in the bulk sales of assets completed during the first half of 2013 was \$188.1 million of TDRs, and the transfer of loans to held for sale included TDRs with a book value of \$158.4 million at the time of the transfer. The carrying value of TDRs held for sale amounted to \$79.3 million as of June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Interest rate below market | Maturity or term extension | Combination of reduction in interest rate and extension of maturity | Forgiveness of principal and/or interest | Forbearance agreement (1) | Other (2) | Total |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: | | | | | | | |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | \$ 21,288 | \$ 4,178 | \$ 338,731 | \$ | \$ | \$ 47,687 | \$ 411,884 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 103,203 | 15,578 | 105,695 | 46,855 | | 16,332 | 287,663 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 28,761 | 15,567 | 26,054 | 11,951 | 9,492 | 41,244 | 133,069 |
| Construction Loans | 6,441 | 4,195 | 9,160 | | 61,898 | 4,499 | 86,193 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | | 1,012 | 7,452 | | | 2,968 | 11,432 |
| Finance Leases | | 1,512 | 507 | | | | 2,019 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 451 | 438 | 6,472 | | | 2,109 | 9,470 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | \$ 160,144 | \$ 42,480 | \$ 494,071 | \$ 58,806 | \$ 71,390 | \$ 114,839 | \$ 941,730 |

(1) Mainly related to one construction relationship amounting to \$53.4 million.

(2) Other concessions granted by the Corporation include deferral of principal and/or interest payments for a period longer than what would be considered insignificant, payment plans under judicial stipulation or a combination of the concessions listed in the table.

The following table presents the Corporation's TDR activity

| (In thousands) | Quarter Ended | Six-Month Period Ended |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2013 |
| Beginning balance of TDRs | \$ 713,137 | \$ 941,730 |
| New TDRs | 9,235 | 64,598 |
| Increases to existing TDRs additional disbursements | 753 | 2,518 |
| Charge-offs post modification | (41,596) | (123,760) |
| Sales, net of charge-offs | (48,631) | (104,915) |
| Foreclosures | (2,431) | (6,112) |
| Removed from TDR classification | (6,114) | (6,418) |
| TDRs transferred to held for sale | | (131,649) |
| Paid-off and partial payments | (11,224) | (22,863) |
| Ending balance of TDRs | \$ 613,129 | \$ 613,129 |

TDRs are classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. A loan on nonaccrual and restructured as a TDR will remain on nonaccrual status until the borrower has proven the ability to perform under the modified structure generally for a minimum of six months and there is evidence that such payments can and are likely to continue as agreed. Performance prior to the restructuring, or significant events that coincide with the restructuring, are included in assessing whether the borrower can meet the new terms and may result in the loans being returned to accrual at the time of the restructuring or after a shorter performance period. If the borrower's ability to meet the revised payment schedule is uncertain, the loan remains classified as a nonaccrual loan. Loan modifications increase the Corporation's interest income by returning a non-performing loan to performing status, if applicable, increase cash flows by providing for payments to be made by the borrower, and avoid increases in foreclosure and OREO costs. The Corporation continues to consider a modified loan as an impaired loan for purposes of estimating the allowance for loan and lease losses. A TDR loan that specifies an interest rate that at the time of the restructuring is greater than or equal to the rate the Corporation is willing to accept for a new loan with comparable risk may not be reported as a TDR or an impaired loan in the calendar years subsequent to the restructuring if it is in compliance with its modified terms. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, \$6.4 million was removed from the TDR classification, as reflected in the table above.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a breakdown between accrual and nonaccrual status of TDRs:

| (In thousands) | Accrual | June 30, 2013 | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | Nonaccrual (1)(2) | Total TDRs |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | \$ 260,886 | \$ 56,409 | \$ 317,295 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 76,296 | 76,705 | 153,001 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 53,834 | 42,977 | 96,811 |
| Construction Loans | 1,377 | 19,002 | 20,379 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 7,837 | 5,142 | 12,979 |
| Finance Leases | 2,164 | 129 | 2,293 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 7,681 | 2,690 | 10,371 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | \$ 410,075 | \$ 203,054 | \$ 613,129 |

- (1) Included in non-accrual loans are \$80.7 million in loans that are performing under the terms of the restructuring agreement but are reported in non-accrual status until the restructured loans meet the criteria of sustained payment performance under the revised terms for reinstatement to accrual status and there is no doubt about full collectibility.
- (2) Excludes non-accrual TDRs held for sale with a carrying value of \$79.3 million as of June 30, 2013.

| (In thousands) | Accrual | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Nonaccrual (1) | Total TDRs |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | \$ 287,198 | \$ 124,686 | \$ 411,884 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 163,079 | 124,584 | 287,663 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 36,688 | 96,381 | 133,069 |
| Construction Loans | 2,554 | 83,639 | 86,193 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 6,615 | 4,817 | 11,432 |
| Finance Leases | 1,900 | 119 | 2,019 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 6,744 | 2,726 | 9,470 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | \$ 504,778 | \$ 436,952 | \$ 941,730 |

- (1) Included in non-accrual loans are \$197.2 million in loans that are performing under the terms of the restructuring agreement but are reported in non-accrual status until the restructured loans meet the criteria of sustained payment performance under the revised terms for reinstatement to accrual status and there is no doubt about full collectibility.

TDRs exclude restructured mortgage loans that are government guaranteed (i.e., FHA/VA loans) in an amount totaling \$92.0 million. The Corporation excludes government guaranteed loans from TDRs given that in the event that the borrower defaults on the loan, the principal and interest (debt service) are guaranteed by the U.S. government; therefore, the risk of loss on these types of loans is very low. The Corporation does not consider loans with government guarantees to be impaired loans for the purpose of calculating the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Table of Contents

Loan modifications that are considered TDRs completed during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Quarter ended June 30, 2013 | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | Number of contracts | Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: | | | |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | 40 | \$ 3,335 | \$ 3,335 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 1 | 491 | 491 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 1 | 1,165 | 1,165 |
| Construction Loans | 4 | 208 | 208 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 142 | 1,945 | 1,945 |
| Finance Leases | 19 | 416 | 416 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 367 | 1,675 | 1,675 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | 574 | \$ 9,235 | \$ 9,235 |

| (Dollars in thousands) | Six-Month period ended June 30, 2013 | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Number of contracts | Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: | | | |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | 113 | \$ 13,098 | \$ 13,122 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 1 | 491 | 491 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 8 | 68,051 | 42,663 |
| Construction Loans | 5 | 403 | 403 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 285 | 3,868 | 3,868 |
| Finance Leases | 38 | 729 | 729 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 730 | 3,322 | 3,322 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | 1,180 | \$ 89,962 | \$ 64,598 |

| (Dollars in thousands) | Quarter ended June 30, 2012 | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | Number of contracts | Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: | | | |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | 101 | \$ 16,199 | \$ 16,247 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 6 | 29,646 | 29,646 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 5 | 3,737 | 3,432 |
| Construction Loans | 4 | 4,567 | 4,557 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 135 | 1,633 | 1,590 |
| Finance Leases | 20 | 303 | 303 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 226 | 1,485 | 1,485 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | 497 | \$ 57,570 | \$ 57,260 |

Six-Month period ended June 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| (Dollars in thousands) | Number of contracts | Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| Troubled Debt Restructurings: | | | |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | 256 | \$ 40,991 | \$ 41,342 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 21 | 42,936 | 42,972 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 36 | 31,884 | 28,322 |
| Construction Loans | 5 | 5,291 | 5,281 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 289 | 3,429 | 3,386 |
| Finance Leases | 52 | 922 | 922 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 523 | 3,303 | 3,303 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | 1,182 | \$ 128,756 | \$ 125,528 |

Table of Contents

Recidivism, or the borrower defaulting on its obligation pursuant to a modified loan, results in the loan once again becoming a non-performing loan. Recidivism occurs at a notably higher rate than do defaults on new origination loans, so modified loans present a higher risk of loss than do new origination loans. The Corporation considers a loan to have defaulted if the borrower has failed to make payments of either principal, interest, or both for a period of 90 days or more.

Loan modifications considered troubled debt restructurings that defaulted during the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 and had been modified in a TDR during the 12-months preceding the default date were as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Quarter ended June 30, 2013 | | 2012 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Number of contracts | Recorded Investment | Number of contracts | Recorded Investment |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | 19 | \$ 2,090 | 35 | \$ 5,454 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | | | 4 | 3,164 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | | | 1 | 385 |
| Construction Loans | 3 | 252 | 2 | 8,382 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 5 | 37 | 36 | 393 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 35 | 137 | 4 | 53 |
| Finance Leases | 2 | 20 | 1 | 27 |
| Total | 64 | \$ 2,536 | 83 | \$ 17,858 |

| (Dollars in thousands) | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 | | 2012 | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| | Number of contracts | Recorded Investment | Number of contracts | Recorded Investment |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | 64 | \$ 9,615 | 88 | \$ 12,810 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 1 | 46,102 | 8 | 5,211 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 2 | 3,829 | 4 | 6,279 |
| Construction Loans | 3 | 252 | 2 | 8,382 |
| Consumer Loans Auto | 7 | 54 | 36 | 393 |
| Consumer Loans Other | 40 | 219 | 6 | 118 |
| Finance Leases | 2 | 20 | 1 | 27 |
| Total | 119 | \$ 60,091 | 145 | \$ 33,220 |

For certain TDRs, the Corporation splits the loans into two new notes, A and B notes. The A note is restructured to comply with the Corporation's lending standards at current market rates, and is tailored to suit the customer's ability to make timely interest and principal payments. The B note includes the granting of the concession to the borrower and varies by situation. The B note is charged off but the obligation is not forgiven to the borrower, and any payments collected are accounted for as recoveries. At the time of restructuring, the A note is identified and classified as a TDR. If the loan performs for at least six months according to the modified terms, the A note may be returned to accrual status. The borrower's payment performance prior to the restructuring is included in assessing whether the borrower can meet the new terms and may result in the loans being returned to accrual status at the time of the restructuring. In the periods following the calendar year in which a loan was restructured, the A note may no longer be reported as a TDR if it is on accrual, is in compliance with its modified terms, and yields a market rate (as determined and documented at the time of the restructure).

Table of Contents

The recorded investment in loans held for investment restructured using the A/B note restructure workout strategy was approximately \$93.5 million at June 30, 2013. The following table provides additional information about the volume of this type of loan restructuring and the effect on the allowance for loan and lease losses in the first half of 2013 and 2012:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Principal balance deemed collectible at end of period | \$ 93,451 | \$ 125,893 |
| Amount charged off | \$ 25,389 | \$ 1,949 |
| Charges to the provision for loan losses | \$ 2,318 | \$ 3,259 |
| Allowance for loan losses at end of period | \$ 3,339 | \$ 7,179 |

Of the loans held for investment comprising the \$93.5 million that have been deemed collectible, approximately \$84.9 million were placed in accrual status as the borrowers have exhibited a period of sustained performance. These loans continue to be individually evaluated for impairment purposes.

Table of Contents**NOTE 7 ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES**

The changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses were as follows:

| (In thousands) | Residential Mortgage Loans | Commercial Mortgage Loans | Commercial & Industrial Loans | Construction Loans | Consumer Loans | Total |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Quarter ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 64,722 | \$ 78,053 | \$ 97,363 | \$ 45,033 | \$ 57,360 | \$ 342,531 |
| Charge-offs | (5,956) | (3,271) | (6,488) | (2,387) | (16,350) | (34,452) |
| Charge-offs related to bulk sale | (97,941) | | | (31) | | (97,972) |
| Recoveries | 479 | 18 | 968 | 50 | 1,961 | 3,476 |
| Provision | 74,277 | 2,522 | 5,274 | (6,686) | 12,077 | 87,464 |
| Reclassification ⁽¹⁾ | | 10,691 | (9,440) | (1,251) | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 35,581 | \$ 88,013 | \$ 87,677 | \$ 34,728 | \$ 55,048 | \$ 301,047 |
| Ending balance: specific reserve for impaired loans | \$ 20,406 | \$ 33,219 | \$ 36,503 | \$ 21,884 | \$ 2,941 | \$ 114,953 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Ending balance: general allowance | \$ 15,175 | \$ 54,794 | \$ 51,174 | \$ 12,844 | \$ 52,107 | \$ 186,094 |
| Loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,511,206 | \$ 1,916,509 | \$ 2,775,791 | \$ 194,912 | \$ 2,047,368 | \$ 9,445,786 |
| Ending balance: impaired loans | \$ 384,062 | \$ 212,983 | \$ 206,932 | \$ 76,483 | \$ 27,785 | \$ 908,245 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 8,285 | \$ 8,285 |
| Ending balance: loans with general allowance | \$ 2,127,144 | \$ 1,703,526 | \$ 2,568,859 | \$ 118,429 | \$ 2,011,298 | \$ 8,529,256 |

(1) Refer to Note 6 for information about the reclassification of certain loans between commercial and industrial, construction, and commercial mortgage made in the second quarter of 2013.

| (In thousands) | Residential Mortgage Loans | Commercial Mortgage Loans | Commercial & Industrial Loans | Construction Loans | Consumer Loans | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Six-Month period ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 68,354 | \$ 97,692 | \$ 146,900 | \$ 61,600 | \$ 60,868 | \$ 435,414 |
| Charge-offs | (16,653) | (19,270) | (47,430) | (28,246) | (31,114) | (142,713) |
| Charge-offs related to bulk sales | (98,972) | (40,057) | (44,678) | (12,784) | | (196,491) |
| Recoveries | 627 | 38 | 1,759 | 147 | 3,679 | 6,250 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Provision | 82,225 | 38,919 | 40,566 | 15,262 | 21,615 | 198,587 |
| Reclassification ⁽¹⁾ | | 10,691 | (9,440) | (1,251) | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 35,581 | \$ 88,013 | \$ 87,677 | \$ 34,728 | \$ 55,048 | \$ 301,047 |
| Ending balance: specific reserve for impaired loans | \$ 20,406 | \$ 33,219 | \$ 36,503 | \$ 21,884 | \$ 2,941 | \$ 114,953 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Ending balance: general allowance | \$ 15,175 | \$ 54,794 | \$ 51,174 | \$ 12,844 | \$ 52,107 | \$ 186,094 |
| Loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,511,206 | \$ 1,916,509 | \$ 2,775,791 | \$ 194,912 | \$ 2,047,368 | \$ 9,445,786 |
| Ending balance: impaired loans | \$ 384,062 | \$ 212,983 | \$ 206,932 | \$ 76,483 | \$ 27,785 | \$ 908,245 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 8,285 | \$ 8,285 |
| Ending balance: loans with general allowance | \$ 2,127,144 | \$ 1,703,526 | \$ 2,568,859 | \$ 118,429 | \$ 2,011,298 | \$ 8,529,256 |

(1) Refer to Note 6 for information about the reclassification of certain loans between commercial and industrial, construction, and commercial mortgage made in the second quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

| (In thousands) | Residential Mortgage Loans | Commercial Mortgage Loans | Commercial & Industrial Loans | Construction Loans | Consumer Loans | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Quarter ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 65,283 | \$ 106,975 | \$ 171,979 | \$ 83,710 | \$ 55,996 | \$ 483,943 |
| Charge-offs | (14,532) | (6,283) | (9,208) | (16,353) | (9,396) | (55,772) |
| Recoveries | 321 | 12 | 823 | 1,167 | 1,775 | 4,098 |
| Provision | 16,368 | 142 | 2,427 | (666) | 6,613 | 24,884 |
| Ending balance | \$ 67,440 | \$ 100,846 | \$ 166,021 | \$ 67,858 | \$ 54,988 | \$ 457,153 |
| Ending balance: specific reserve for impaired loans | \$ 49,111 | \$ 52,591 | \$ 70,936 | \$ 34,938 | \$ 2,284 | \$ 209,860 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Ending balance: general allowance | \$ 18,329 | \$ 48,255 | \$ 95,085 | \$ 32,920 | \$ 52,704 | \$ 247,293 |
| Loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,764,066 | \$ 1,479,068 | \$ 3,737,919 | \$ 364,934 | \$ 1,950,128 | \$ 10,296,115 |
| Ending balance: impaired loans | \$ 599,377 | \$ 361,301 | \$ 258,365 | \$ 190,073 | \$ 23,378 | \$ 1,432,494 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 15,001 | \$ 15,001 |
| Ending balance: loans with general allowance | \$ 2,164,689 | \$ 1,117,767 | \$ 3,479,554 | \$ 174,861 | \$ 1,911,749 | \$ 8,848,620 |
| (In thousands) | Residential Mortgage Loans | Commercial Mortgage Loans | Commercial & Industrial Loans | Construction Loans | Consumer Loans | Total |
| Six-Month period ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 68,678 | \$ 108,991 | \$ 164,490 | \$ 91,386 | \$ 60,372 | \$ 493,917 |
| Charge-offs | (20,390) | (9,907) | (22,699) | (33,896) | (19,883) | (106,775) |
| Recoveries | 448 | 42 | 1,645 | 3,318 | 3,477 | 8,930 |
| Provision | 18,704 | 1,720 | 22,585 | 7,050 | 11,022 | 61,081 |
| Ending balance | \$ 67,440 | \$ 100,846 | \$ 166,021 | \$ 67,858 | \$ 54,988 | \$ 457,153 |
| Ending balance: specific reserve for impaired loans | \$ 49,111 | \$ 52,591 | \$ 70,936 | \$ 34,938 | \$ 2,284 | \$ 209,860 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Ending balance: general allowance | \$ 18,329 | \$ 48,255 | \$ 95,085 | \$ 32,920 | \$ 52,704 | \$ 247,293 |
| Loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,764,066 | \$ 1,479,068 | \$ 3,737,919 | \$ 364,934 | \$ 1,950,128 | \$ 10,296,115 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|---------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Ending balance: impaired loans | \$ | 599,377 | \$ | 361,301 | \$ | 258,365 | \$ | 190,073 | \$ | 23,378 | \$ | 1,432,494 |
| Ending balance: purchased credit-impaired loans | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 15,001 | \$ | 15,001 |
| Ending balance: loans with general allowance | \$ | 2,164,689 | \$ | 1,117,767 | \$ | 3,479,554 | \$ | 174,861 | \$ | 1,911,749 | \$ | 8,848,620 |

The bulk sale of approximately \$217.7 million of adversely classified assets in the first quarter of 2013, mainly commercial loans, resulted in charge-offs of approximately \$98.5 million. In determining the historical loss rate for the computation of the general reserve for commercial loans, the Corporation includes the portion of these charge-offs that were related to the acceleration of previously reserved credit losses amounting to approximately \$39.9 million. The Corporation considered that the portion not deemed credit-related losses was not indicative of the ultimate losses that may have occurred had the assets been resolved on an individual basis, over time and not on a steeply discounted bulk sale. A transaction, such as this one, entered into to expedite the reduction of non-performing and adversely classified assets, can result in charge-offs that are not reflective of true credit related charge-offs history since there is a component related to the discounted value realized on a bulk sale basis. Accordingly, the Corporation concluded it is reasonable to exclude the component related to the discounted value from its historical charge-offs analysis used in estimating its allowance for loan losses.

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation maintained a \$0.8 million reserve for unfunded loan commitments mainly related to outstanding construction and commercial and industrial loan commitments. The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is an estimate of the losses inherent in off-balance sheet loan commitments at the balance sheet date. It is calculated by multiplying an estimated loss factor by an estimated probability of funding, and then by the period-end amounts for unfunded commitments. The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included as part of accounts payable and other liabilities in the consolidated statement of financial condition.

Table of Contents**NOTE 8 LOANS HELD FOR SALE**

The Corporation's loans held-for-sale portfolio was composed of:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$ 142,632 | \$ 82,753 |
| Construction loans | 56,934 | |
| Commercial and Industrial loans | | 1,178 |
| Commercial Mortgage loans | 38,017 | 1,463 |
| Total | \$ 237,583 | \$ 85,394 |

Table of Contents

Non-performing loans held for sale totaled \$95.0 million as of June 30, 2013 (\$38.0 million commercial mortgage and \$57.0 million construction loans) and \$2.2 million (\$1.1 million commercial and industrial and \$1.1 million commercial mortgage) as of December 31, 2012. As previously discussed, during the first quarter of 2013 the Corporation transferred to held for sale \$181.6 million of non-performing loans. In connection with the transfer the Corporation recorded charge-offs of \$36.0 million in the first quarter of 2013.

During the second quarter of 2013, the Corporation completed the sale of a \$40.8 million non-performing commercial mortgage loan that was among the loans transferred to held for sale in the first quarter without incurring additional losses.

In a separate transaction during the second quarter, the Corporation entered into an agreement to receive foreclosed real estate in partial satisfaction of debt related to one of the loans written-off and transferred to held for sale in the first quarter. The remaining balance of such partially satisfied commercial mortgage loan held for sale was restructured, resulting in a loss of \$3.4 million recorded as part of Other income in the second quarter of 2013.

NOTE 9 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

One of the market risks facing the Corporation is interest rate risk, which includes the risk that changes in interest rates will result in changes in the value of the Corporation's assets or liabilities and the risk that net interest income from its loan and investment portfolios will be adversely affected by changes in interest rates. The overall objective of the Corporation's interest rate risk management activities is to reduce the variability of earnings caused by changes in interest rates.

The Corporation designates a derivative as a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or as an economic undesignated hedge when it enters into the derivative contract. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all derivatives held by the Corporation were considered economic undesignated hedges. These undesignated hedges are recorded at fair value with the resulting gain or loss recognized in current earnings.

The following summarizes the principal derivative activities used by the Corporation in managing interest rate risk:

Interest rate cap agreements Interest rate cap agreements provide the right to receive cash if a reference interest rate rises above a contractual rate. The value increases as the reference interest rate rises. The Corporation enters into interest rate cap agreements for protection from rising interest rates. Specifically, the interest rate on certain of the Corporation's commercial loans to other financial institutions is generally a variable rate limited to the weighted average coupon of the referenced residential mortgage collateral, less a contractual servicing fee.

Interest rate swaps Interest rate swap agreements generally involve the exchange of fixed and floating-rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying notional principal amount. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, most of the interest rate swaps outstanding are used for protection against rising interest rates. Similar to unrealized gains and losses arising from changes in fair value, net interest settlements on interest rate swaps are recorded as an adjustment to interest income or interest expense depending on whether an asset or liability is being economically hedged.

Forward Contracts Forward contracts are sales of to-be-announced (TBA) mortgage-backed securities that will settle over the standard delivery date and do not qualify as regular way security trades. Regular-way security trades are contracts with no net settlement provision and no market mechanism to facilitate net settlement and they provide for delivery of a security within the time generally established by regulations or conventions in the market place or exchange in which the transaction is being executed. The forward sales are considered derivative instruments that need to be marked-to-market. These securities are used to economically hedge the FHA/VA residential mortgage loans securitizations of the mortgage-banking operations. Unrealized gains (losses) are recognized as part of mortgage banking activities in the Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss).

To satisfy the needs of its customers, the Corporation may enter into nonhedging transactions. On these transactions, generally, the Corporation participates as a buyer in one of the agreements and as a seller in the other agreement under the same terms and conditions.

In addition, the Corporation enters into certain contracts with embedded derivatives that do not require separate accounting as these are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract. When the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, it is bifurcated, carried at fair value, and designated as a trading or non-hedging derivative instrument.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of all derivative instruments:

| | Notional Amounts | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | As of June 30, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Economic undesignated hedges: | | |
| Interest rate contracts: | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements used to hedge loans | \$ 37,392 | \$ 38,097 |
| Written interest rate cap agreements | 39,000 | |
| Purchased interest rate cap agreements | 39,000 | |
| Forward Contracts: | | |
| Sale of TBA GNMA MBS pools | 55,000 | 6,000 |
| | \$ 170,392 | \$ 44,097 |

Notional amounts are presented on a gross basis with no netting of offsetting exposure positions.

The following table summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments and the location in the Statement of Financial Condition:

| | Asset Derivatives | | | Liability Derivatives | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Statement of Financial Condition Location | June 30, 2013 Fair Value | December 31, 2012 Fair Value | Statement of Financial Condition Location (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 Fair Value | December 31, 2012 Fair Value |
| Economic undesignated hedges: | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts: | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements used to hedge loans | Other assets | \$ 220 | \$ 288 | Accounts payable and other liabilities | \$ 4,609 | \$ 5,776 |
| Written interest rate cap agreements | Other assets | | | Accounts payable and other liabilities | 113 | |
| Purchased interest rate cap agreements | Other assets | 111 | | Accounts payable and other liabilities | | |
| Forward Contracts: | | | | | | |
| Sales of TBA GNMA MBS pools | Other assets | 937 | 3 | Accounts payable and other liabilities | 73 | 5 |
| | | \$ 1,268 | \$ 291 | | \$ 4,795 | \$ 5,781 |

The following table summarizes the effect of derivative instruments on the Statement of Income (Loss):

| (In thousands) | Location of Gain or (loss) Recognized in Income on | Gain (or Loss) Quarter Ended June 30, | | Gain (or Loss) Six-Month Period Ended June 30, | |
|----------------|---|---|------|--|------|
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

Derivatives

(In thousands)

ECONOMIC UNDESIGNATED HEDGES:

Interest rate contracts:

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------|--------|---------|----------|--------|
| Interest rate swap agreements used to hedge fixed-rate loans | Interest income | Loans | \$ 709 | \$ (33) | \$ 1,099 | \$ 299 |
| Written and purchased interest rate cap agreements | Interest income | Loans | (1) | | 9 | |

Forward contracts:

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----|-------|-----|----|
| Sales of TBA GNMA MBS pools | Mortgage banking activities | | 971 | (130) | 866 | 43 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-----|-------|-----|----|

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Total gain (loss) on derivatives | | | \$ 1,679 | \$ (163) | \$ 1,974 | \$ 342 |
|----------------------------------|--|--|----------|----------|----------|--------|

Derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps, are subject to market risk. As is the case with investment securities, the market value of derivative instruments is largely a function of the financial market's expectations regarding the future direction of interest rates. Accordingly, current market values are not necessarily indicative of the future impact of derivative instruments on earnings. This will depend, for the most part, on the shape of the yield curve, the level of interest rates, as well as the expectations for rates in the future.

Table of Contents

A summary of interest rate swaps follows:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Pay fixed/receive floating : | | |
| Notional amount | \$ 37,392 | \$ 38,097 |
| Weighted-average receive rate at period end ⁽¹⁾ | 2.03% | 2.06% |
| Weighted-average pay rate at period end | 6.81% | 6.82% |

(1) Floating rates range from 167 to 252 basis points over 3-month LIBOR

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation has not entered into any derivative instrument containing credit-risk-related contingent features.

Table of Contents**NOTE 10 OFFSETTING OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**

The Corporation enters into master agreements with counterparties that may allow for netting of exposures in the event of default, primarily related to derivatives and repurchase agreements.

Offsetting of Financial Assets and Derivative Assets

In thousands

As of June 30, 2013

| Description | Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | | Net Amount |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | | | | Financial Instruments | Cash Collateral Received | |
| Derivatives | \$ 111 | \$ | \$ 111 | \$ (111) | \$ | \$ |

As of December 31, 2012

| Description | Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amounts of Assets Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | | Net Amount |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | | | | Financial Instruments | Cash Collateral Received | |
| Derivatives | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Offsetting of Financial Liabilities and Derivative Liabilities

In thousands

As of June 30, 2013

| Description | Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | | Net Amount |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | | | | Financial Instruments | Cash Collateral Received | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| Description | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|---------|----|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| Derivatives | \$ | 4,609 | \$ | \$ | 4,609 | \$ (4,609) \$ |
| Repurchase agreements | | 600,000 | | 600,000 | (600,000) | |
| Total | \$ | 604,609 | \$ | \$ | 604,609 | \$ (604,609) \$ |

As of December 31, 2012

| Description | Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | Financial Instruments | Cash Collateral Received | Net Amount |
| Derivatives | \$ | 5,486 | \$ | \$ | 5,486 | \$ (5,486) \$ |
| Repurchase agreements | | 600,000 | | 600,000 | (600,000) | |
| Total | \$ | 605,486 | \$ | \$ | 605,486 | \$ (605,486) \$ |

NOTE 11 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

Goodwill as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 amounted to \$28.1 million, recognized as part of Other Assets in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. The Corporation conducted its annual evaluation of goodwill and intangibles during the fourth quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

The Corporation bypassed the qualitative assessment in 2012 and proceeded directly to perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. The Step 1 evaluation of goodwill allocated to the Florida reporting unit under both valuation approaches (market and discounted cash flow analysis) indicated that the fair value of the unit was above the carrying amount of its equity book value as of the valuation date (October 1); therefore, the completion of Step 2 was not required. Based on the analysis under both the market and discounted cash flow analysis, the estimated fair value of equity of the reporting unit was \$181.5 million, which is above the carrying amount of the entity, including goodwill, which approximated \$160.4 million. There have been no events related to the Florida reporting unit that could indicate potential goodwill impairment since the date of the last evaluation; therefore, no goodwill impairment evaluation was performed during the first half of 2013. Goodwill and other indefinite life intangibles are reviewed at least annually for impairment.

In connection with the acquisition of the FirstBank-branded credit card loan portfolio, in the second quarter of 2012, the Corporation recognized a purchased credit card relationship intangible of \$24.5 million, which is being amortized over the next 8.5 years on an accelerated basis based on the estimated attrition rate of the purchased credit card accounts, which reflects the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible asset are consumed. These benefits are consumed as the revenue stream generated by the cardholder relationship is realized.

The following table shows the gross amount and accumulated amortization of the Corporation's intangible assets recognized as part of Other Assets in the consolidated statement of financial condition:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Core deposit intangible: | | |
| Gross amount | \$ 45,844 | \$ 45,844 |
| Accumulated amortization | (37,686) | (36,509) |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 8,158 | \$ 9,335 |
| Remaining amortization period | 9.8 years | 10.4 years |
| Purchased credit card relationship intangible: | | |
| Gross amount | \$ 24,465 | \$ 24,465 |
| Accumulated amortization | (2,816) | (954) |
| Net carrying amount | \$ 21,649 | \$ 23,511 |
| Remaining amortization period | 8.5 years | 9.0 years |

For each of the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the amortization expense of core deposit intangibles amounted to \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively (2012- \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million). For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the amortization expense of the purchased credit card relationship intangible amounted to \$0.9 million and \$1.9 million (2012 - \$0.1 million).

NOTE 12 NON CONSOLIDATED VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES AND SERVICING ASSETS**Ginnie Mae**

The Corporation typically transfers first lien residential mortgage loans in conjunction with GNMA securitization transactions in which the loans are exchanged for cash or securities that are readily redeemed for cash proceeds and servicing rights. The securities issued through these transactions are guaranteed by the issuer and, as such, under seller/servicer agreements the Corporation is required to service the loans in accordance with the issuers' servicing guidelines and standards. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation serviced loans securitized through GNMA with a principal balance of \$854.3 million.

Trust Preferred Securities

In 2004, FBP Statutory Trust I, a financing subsidiary of the Corporation, sold to institutional investors \$100 million of its variable rate trust-preferred securities. The proceeds of the issuance, together with the proceeds of the purchase by the Corporation of \$3.1 million of FBP Statutory Trust I variable rate common securities, were used by FBP Statutory Trust I to purchase \$103.1 million aggregate principal amount of the Corporation's Junior Subordinated Deferrable Debentures. Also in 2004, FBP Statutory Trust II, a statutory trust that is wholly owned by the

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

Corporation, sold to institutional investors \$125 million of its variable rate trust-preferred securities. The proceeds of the issuance, together with the proceeds of the purchase by the Corporation of \$3.9 million of FBP

Table of Contents

Statutory Trust II variable rate common securities, were used by FBP Statutory Trust II to purchase \$128.9 million aggregate principal amount of the Corporation's Junior Subordinated Deferrable Debentures. The debentures are presented in the Corporation's consolidated statement of financial condition as Other Borrowings, net of related issuance costs. The variable rate trust-preferred securities are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Corporation. The \$100 million Junior Subordinated Deferrable Debentures issued by the Corporation in April 2004 and the \$125 million issued in September 2004 mature on June 17, 2034 and September 20, 2034, respectively; however, under certain circumstances, the maturity of Junior Subordinated Deferrable Debentures may be shortened (such shortening would result in a mandatory redemption of the variable rate trust-preferred securities). The trust-preferred securities, subject to certain limitations, qualify as Tier I regulatory capital under current Federal Reserve rules and regulations. The Collins Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act eliminates certain trust-preferred securities from Tier 1 Capital. Bank Holding Companies, such as the Corporation, must phased out these instruments from Tier I capital by January 1, 2016; however, these instruments may remain in Tier 2 capital until the instruments are redeemed or mature.

Grantor Trusts

During 2004 and 2005, a third party to the Corporation, from now on identified as the seller, established a series of statutory trusts to effect the securitization of mortgage loans and the sale of trust certificates. The seller initially provided the servicing for a fee, which is senior to the obligations to pay trust certificate holders. The seller then entered into a sales agreement through which it sold and issued the trust certificates in favor of the Corporation's banking subsidiary. Currently, the Bank is the sole owner of the trust certificates; the servicing of the underlying residential mortgages that generate the principal and interest cash flows, is performed by another third party, which receives a servicing fee. The securities are variable rate securities indexed to 90-day LIBOR plus a spread. The principal payments from the underlying loans are remitted to a paying agent (servicer) who then remits interest to the Bank; interest income is shared to a certain extent with the FDIC, which has an interest only strip (IO) tied to the cash flows of the underlying loans and, is entitled to received the excess of the interest income less a servicing fee over the variable rate income that the Bank earns on the securities. This IO is limited to the weighted average coupon of the securities. The FDIC became the owner of the IO upon the intervention of the seller, a failed financial institution. No recourse agreement exists and the risk from losses on non accruing loans and repossessed collateral is absorbed by the Bank as the sole holder of the certificates. As of June 30, 2013, the amortized balance and carrying value of Grantor Trusts amounted to \$62.4 million and \$45.4 million, respectively, with a weighted average yield of 2.29 %.

Table of Contents

Investment in unconsolidated entities

On February 16, 2011, FirstBank sold an asset portfolio consisting of performing and non-performing construction, commercial mortgage and C&I loans with an aggregate book value of \$269.3 million to CPG/GS, an entity organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and majority owned by PRLP Ventures LLC (PRLP), a company created by Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Caribbean Property Group. In connection with the sale, the Corporation received \$88.5 million in cash and a 35% interest in CPG/GS, and made a loan in the amount of \$136.1 million representing seller financing provided by FirstBank. The loan has a 7-year maturity and bears variable interest at 30-day LIBOR plus 300 basis points and is secured by a pledge of all of the acquiring entity's assets as well as the PRLP's 65% ownership interest in CPG/GS. As of June 30, 2013, the carrying amount of the loan is \$54.7 million and is included in the Corporation's Commercial and Industrial loans held for investment portfolio; the carrying value of FirstBank's equity interest in CPG/GS is \$19.1 million as of June 30, 2013, accounted for under the equity method and included as part of Investment in unconsolidated entities in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition. When applying the equity method, the Bank follows the Hypothetical Liquidation Book Value method (HLBV) to determine its share in CPG/GS's earnings or losses. Under HLBV, the Bank determines its share in CPG/GS's earnings or losses by determining the difference between its claim on CPG/GS's book value at the end of the period as compared to the beginning of the period. This claim is calculated as the amount the Bank would receive if CPG/GS were to liquidate all of its assets at recorded amounts determined in accordance with GAAP and distribute the resulting cash to the investors, PRLP and FirstBank, according to their respective priorities as provided in the contractual agreement. The Bank reports its share of CPG/GS's operating results on a one-quarter lag basis. In addition, as a result of using HLBV, the difference between the Bank's investment in CPG/GS and its claim on the book value of CPG/GS at the date of the investment, known as the basis difference, is amortized over the estimated life of the investment, or five years. CPG/GS records its loans receivable under the fair value option. Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 of \$4.9 million, includes \$2.2 million related to the amortization of the basis differential, compared to equity in losses of unconsolidated entities of \$8.7 million for the first half of 2012.

FirstBank also provided an \$80 million advance facility to CPG/GS to fund unfunded commitments and costs to complete projects under construction, which was fully disbursed in 2011, and a \$20 million working capital line of credit to fund certain expenses of CPG/GS. During 2012, CPG/GS repaid the outstanding balance of the advance facility to fund unfunded commitments, and the funds became available to redraw under a one-time revolver agreement. These loans bear variable interest at 30-day LIBOR plus 300 basis points. As of June 30, 2013, the carrying value of the revolver agreement and working capital line were \$17.4 million and \$0, respectively, and are included in the Corporation's Commercial & Industrial loan held for investment portfolio.

Cash proceeds received by CPG/GS are first used to cover operating expenses and debt service payments, including the note receivable, the advance facility, and the working capital line, described above, which must be fully repaid before proceeds can be used for other purposes, including the return of capital to both PRLP and FirstBank. FirstBank will not receive any return on its equity interest until PRLP receives an aggregate amount equivalent to its initial investment and a priority return of at least 12%, resulting in FirstBank's interest in CPG/GS being subordinate to PRLP's interest. CPG/GS will then begin to make payments pro rata to PRLP and FirstBank, 35% and 65%, respectively, until FirstBank has achieved a 12% return on its invested capital and the aggregate amount of distributions is equal to FirstBank's capital contributions to CPG/GS. FirstBank may experience further losses associated with this transaction due to this subordination in an amount equal to up to the value of its interest in CPG/GS. Factors that could impact FirstBank's recoverability of its equity interest include lower than expected sale prices of units underlying CPG/GS assets and/or lower than projected liquidation value of the underlying collateral and changes in the expected timing of cash flows, among others.

The Bank has determined that CPG/GS is a variable interest entity (VIE) in which the Bank is not the primary beneficiary. In determining the primary beneficiary of CPG/GS, the Bank considered applicable guidance that requires the Bank to qualitatively assess the determination of the primary beneficiary (or consolidator) of CPG/GS based on whether it has both the power to direct the activities of CPG/GS that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of CPG/GS that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The Bank determined that it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of CPG/GS as it does not have the right to manage the loan portfolio, impact foreclosure proceedings, or manage the construction and sale of the property; therefore, the Bank concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of CPG/GS. As a creditor to CPG/GS, the Bank has certain rights related to CPG/GS; however, these are intended to be protective in nature and do not provide the Bank with the ability to manage the operations of CPG/GS. Since CPG/GS is not a consolidated subsidiary of the Bank and the transaction met the criteria for sale accounting under authoritative guidance, the Bank accounted for this transaction as a true sale, recognizing the cash received, the notes receivable, and the interest in CPG/GS and derecognizing the loan portfolio sold.

Table of Contents

The following table shows summarized unaudited income statement information of CPG/GS for the quarters and six-months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Revenues, including net realized gains on sale of investments in loans and OREO | \$ 1,040 | \$ 2,068 | \$ 1,719 | \$ 4,421 |
| Gross Profit (loss) | \$ (1,893) | \$ (1,161) | \$ (3,668) | \$ (2,809) |
| Net (Loss) Income | \$ (3,877) | \$ 1,463 | \$ 2,193 | \$ 2,067 |

Servicing Assets

The Corporation is actively involved in the securitization of pools of FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed mortgages for issuance of GNMA mortgage-backed securities. Also, certain conventional conforming loans are sold to FNMA or FHLMC with servicing retained. The Corporation recognizes as separate assets the rights to service loans for others, whether those servicing assets are originated or purchased.

The changes in servicing assets are shown below:

| | Quarter ended | | Six-Month period ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 18,717 | \$ 16,154 | \$ 17,524 | \$ 15,226 |
| Capitalization of servicing assets | 2,094 | 1,691 | 3,814 | 3,097 |
| Amortization | (796) | (725) | (1,586) | (1,386) |
| Adjustment to servicing assets for loans repurchased ⁽¹⁾ | (313) | (438) | (330) | (505) |
| Adjustment to fair value | 277 | (90) | 557 | 160 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 19,979 | \$ 16,592 | \$ 19,979 | \$ 16,592 |

(1) Amount represents the adjustment to fair value related to the repurchase of loans serviced for others. Impairment charges are recognized through a valuation allowance for each individual stratum of servicing assets. The valuation allowance is adjusted to reflect the amount, if any, by which the cost basis of the servicing asset for a given stratum of loans being serviced exceeds its fair value. Any fair value in excess of the cost basis of the servicing asset for a given stratum is not recognized.

Changes in the impairment allowance were as follows:

| | Quarter ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 392 | \$ 28 | \$ 672 | \$ 2,725 |
| Temporary impairment charges | 75 | 118 | 115 | 187 |
| OTTI of servicing assets | | | | (2,447) |
| Recoveries | (352) | (28) | (672) | (347) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 115 | \$ 118 | \$ 115 | \$ 118 |

Table of Contents

The components of net servicing income are shown below:

| | Quarter ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Servicing fees | \$ 2,096 | \$ 1,419 | \$ 3,613 | \$ 2,762 |
| Late charges and prepayment penalties | 218 | 292 | 431 | 462 |
| Adjustment for loans repurchased | (313) | (438) | (330) | (505) |
| Other | (148) | | (148) | |
| Servicing income, gross | 1,853 | 1,273 | 3,566 | 2,719 |
| Amortization and impairment of servicing assets | (519) | (815) | (1,029) | (1,226) |
| Servicing income, net | \$ 1,334 | \$ 458 | \$ 2,537 | \$ 1,493 |

The Corporation's servicing assets are subject to prepayment and interest rate risks. Key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value at the time of sale ranged as follows:

| | Maximum | Minimum |
|--|---------|---------|
| Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013: | | |
| Constant prepayment rate: | | |
| Government guaranteed mortgage loans | 10.5% | 9.1% |
| Conventional conforming mortgage loans | 10.9% | 9.4% |
| Conventional non-conforming mortgage loans | 14.3% | 13.5% |
| Discount rate: | | |
| Government guaranteed mortgage loans | 12.0% | 12.0% |
| Conventional conforming mortgage loans | 10.0% | 10.0% |
| Conventional non-conforming mortgage loans | 14.3% | 14.3% |
| Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012: | | |
| Constant prepayment rate: | | |
| Government guaranteed mortgage loans | 12.4% | 11.6% |
| Conventional conforming mortgage loans | 12.7% | 12.6% |
| Conventional non-conforming mortgage loans | 13.9% | 13.3% |
| Discount rate: | | |
| Government guaranteed mortgage loans | 12.0% | 12.0% |
| Conventional conforming mortgage loans | 10.0% | 10.0% |
| Conventional non-conforming mortgage loans | 14.3% | 14.3% |

As of June 30, 2013, fair values of the Corporation's servicing assets were based on a valuation model that incorporates market driven assumptions, regarding discount rates and mortgage prepayment rates, adjusted by the particular characteristics of the Corporation's servicing portfolio. The weighted-averages of the key economic assumptions used by the Corporation in its valuation model and the sensitivity of the current fair value to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions for mortgage loans as of June 30, 2013, were as follows:

| | (Dollars in thousands) |
|--|---------------------------|
| Carrying amount of servicing assets | \$ 19,979 |
| Fair value | \$ 21,592 |
| Weighted-average expected life (in years) | 9.80 |
| Constant prepayment rate (weighted-average annual rate) | 9.40% |
| Decrease in fair value due to 10% adverse change | \$ 790 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Decrease in fair value due to 20% adverse change | \$ 1,533 |
| Discount rate (weighted-average annual rate) | 11.06% |
| Decrease in fair value due to 10% adverse change | \$ 826 |
| Decrease in fair value due to 20% adverse change | \$ 1,595 |

Table of Contents

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the servicing asset is calculated without changing any other assumption; in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which may magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

NOTE 13 DEPOSITS

The following table summarizes deposit balances:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Type of account: | | |
| Non-interest bearing checking accounts | \$ 938,318 | \$ 837,387 |
| Savings accounts | 2,344,720 | 2,295,766 |
| Interest-bearing checking accounts | 1,146,354 | 1,108,053 |
| Certificates of deposit | 2,265,648 | 2,248,896 |
| Brokered certificates of deposit | 3,282,605 | 3,374,444 |
| | \$ 9,977,645 | \$ 9,864,546 |

Brokered certificates of deposit mature as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) |
| Three months or less | \$ 724,519 |
| Over three months to six months | 425,993 |
| Over six months to one year | 685,875 |
| One to three years | 1,184,704 |
| Three to five years | 257,466 |
| Over five years | 4,048 |
| Total | \$ 3,282,605 |

The following are the components of interest expense on deposits:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Interest expense on deposits | \$ 21,891 | \$ 30,956 | \$ 45,280 | \$ 64,916 |
| Amortization of broker placement fees | 2,027 | 2,533 | 4,182 | 5,307 |
| Interest expense on deposits | \$ 23,918 | \$ 33,489 | \$ 49,462 | \$ 70,223 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 14 SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE**

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) consist of the following:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Repurchase agreements, interest ranging from 2.45% to 3.35% (December 31, 2012 - 2.45% to 3.39%) | \$ 900,000 | \$ 900,000 |

Repurchase agreements mature as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 (In thousands) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Three to five years | \$ 700,000 |
| Over five years | 200,000 |
| Total | \$ 900,000 |

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the securities underlying such agreements were delivered to the dealers with which the repurchase agreements were transacted.

Repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2013, grouped by counterparty, were as follows:

| (Dollars in thousands) Counterparty | Amount | Weighted-Average Maturity (In Months) |
|--|-------------------|--|
| Citigroup Global Markets | \$ 300,000 | 40 |
| JP Morgan Chase | 200,000 | 44 |
| Dean Witter / Morgan Stanley | 100,000 | 52 |
| Credit Suisse First Boston | 300,000 | 54 |
| | \$ 900,000 | |

NOTE 15 ADVANCES FROM THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK (FHLB)

The following is a summary of the advances from the FHLB:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Fixed-rate advances from FHLB, with a weighted-average interest rate of 1.73% (December 31, 2012 - 2.26%) | \$ 358,440 | \$ 508,440 |

Table of Contents

Advances from FHLB mature as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | (In thousands) |
| One to thirty days | \$ 5,000 |
| Over thirty days to ninety days | |
| Over ninety days to one year | 53,440 |
| Over three years | 300,000 |
| Total | \$ 358,440 |

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had additional capacity of approximately \$397.1 million on its credit facility with the FHLB based on collateral pledged at the FHLB, including a haircut reflecting the perceived risk associated with holding the collateral.

NOTE 16 OTHER BORROWINGS

Other borrowings consist of:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Junior subordinated debentures due in 2034, interest-bearing at a floating rate of 2.75% over 3-month LIBOR (3.02% as of June 30, 2013 and 3.06% as of December 31, 2012) | \$ 103,093 | \$ 103,093 |
| Junior subordinated debentures due in 2034, interest-bearing at a floating rate of 2.50% over 3-month LIBOR (2.77% as of June 30, 2013 and 2.81% as of December 31, 2012) | 128,866 | 128,866 |
| | \$ 231,959 | \$ 231,959 |

NOTE 17 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**Common Stock**

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Corporation had 2,000,000,000 authorized shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.10 per share. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were 207,514,167 and 206,730,318 shares issued, respectively, and 206,982,105 and 206,235,465 shares outstanding, respectively. On July 30, 2009, the Corporation announced the suspension of common and preferred stock dividends effective with the preferred dividend for the month of August 2009.

In April 2013, the Corporation granted 701,405 shares of restricted stock under the Omnibus Plan to certain senior officers and certain other employees. The restrictions on such restricted stock will lapse 50% over a two-year period and 50% over a three-year period. Included in the 701,405 shares of restricted stock are 582,905 shares granted to certain senior officers consistent with the requirements of TARP. In addition, in 2013 the Corporation issued 111,929 shares of common stock as increased compensation to certain executive officers. Refer to Note 3 for additional details. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were 1,442,427 and 770,507 shares of unvested restricted stock outstanding. During the first half of 2013, 29,485 shares of restricted stock were forfeited.

Preferred Stock

The Corporation has 50,000,000 authorized shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$1, redeemable at the Corporation's option subject to certain terms. This stock may be issued in series and the shares of each series will have such rights and preferences as are fixed by the Board of

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

Directors when authorizing the issuance of that particular series. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation has five outstanding series of non-convertible non-cumulative preferred stock: 7.125% non-cumulative perpetual monthly income preferred stock, Series A; 8.35% non-cumulative perpetual monthly income preferred stock, Series B; 7.40% non-cumulative perpetual monthly income preferred stock, Series C; 7.25% non-cumulative perpetual monthly income preferred stock, Series D; and 7.00% non-cumulative perpetual monthly income preferred stock, Series E. The liquidation value per share is \$25. Effective January 17, 2012, the Corporation delisted all of its outstanding series of non-convertible, non-cumulative preferred stock from the New York Stock

Table of Contents

Exchange. The Corporation has not arranged for listing and/or registration on another national securities exchange or for quotation of the Series A through E preferred stock in a quotation medium. During the first quarter of 2013, the Corporation commenced an offer to issue shares of its common stock in exchange for any and all of the issued and outstanding shares of Series A through E non-cumulative perpetual monthly income preferred stock. The offer was terminated in April 2013. The Corporation did not receive the consent required from holders of shares of the Series A through E preferred stock to amend the certificate of designation of each series of Preferred Stock. Such consent was a condition to the completion of the offer.

Stock repurchase plan and treasury stock

The Corporation has a stock repurchase program under which, from time to time, it repurchases shares of common stock in the open market and holds them as treasury stock. The Corporation withheld approximately 37,209 shares from the common stock paid to certain senior officers as additional compensation to cover employee payroll and income tax withholding liabilities; these shares are held as treasury shares. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 the Corporation had 532,062, and 494,853 shares held as treasury stock, respectively.

FirstBank Statutory Reserve

The Banking Act of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico requires that a minimum of 10% of FirstBank's net income for the year be transferred to legal surplus until such surplus equals the total of paid-in-capital on common and preferred stock. Amounts transferred to the legal surplus account from the retained earnings account are not available for distribution to the stockholders without the prior consent of the Puerto Rico Commissioner of Financial Institutions. The net loss experienced in 2011 exhausted FirstBank's statutory reserve fund. The Bank cannot pay dividends until it can replenish the reserve fund to an amount of at least 20% of the original capital contributed. As of June 30, 2013, the reserve fund amounted to \$3.8 million.

NOTE 18 INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense includes Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands income taxes as well as applicable U.S. federal and state taxes. The Corporation is subject to Puerto Rico income tax on its income from all sources. As a Puerto Rico corporation, First BanCorp. is treated as a foreign corporation for U.S. income tax purposes and is generally subject to United States income tax only on its income from sources within the United States or income effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States. Any such tax paid is also creditable against the Corporation's Puerto Rico tax liability, subject to certain conditions and limitations.

On June 30, 2013, the Puerto Rico Government approved Act No. 40 (Act 40), known as the Tax Burden Adjustment and Redistribution Act , which amended the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code of 2011 (the 2011 PR Code), and Act No. 46 (Act 46), which brings changes to the sales and use tax regime. The main provisions of Act 40 that impact financial institutions include:

- (i) A new national gross receipts tax that in the case of financial institutions is 1% of gross income which is not deductible for purposes of computing net taxable income and is not part of the alternative minimum tax (AMT). This provision is retroactive to January 1, 2013. As a result, an expense of \$3.2 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2013 related to the national gross receipts tax which reflects 6 months of expenses. The impact of the gross national receipts tax corresponding to the first quarter of 2013 amounted to \$1.7 million. This expense is included as part of Taxes, other than income taxes in the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Income. Subject to certain limitations, a financial institution will be able to claim a credit of 0.5% of its gross income against its regular income tax or the alternative minimum tax. A \$1.6 million benefit related to this credit was recorded as a reduction to the provision for income taxes in the second quarter.
- (ii) A decrease in the deduction available to corporations for the computation of the additional surtax from \$750,000 to \$25,000 and a change in the surtax rate to rates that range from 5% to 19%, resulting in an increase in the maximum statutory tax rate from 30% to 39%. This provision is also retroactive to January 1, 2013. The effect on operating results in the second quarter of 2013 related to these changes was a net benefit of approximately \$0.5 million, mainly due to the increase in the deferred tax asset of profitable subsidiaries. The deferred tax valuation allowance increased to \$523.4 million as of June 30, 2013 from \$384.4 million at the end of the previous quarter as a result of changes in tax rates and operating results for the second quarter.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

- (iii) A higher AMT rate (30% of the alternative minimum net income, as compared to 20% previously) and various parallel computations required to be made before determining whether an AMT liability exists. This change did not have an impact on the Corporation's provision for income taxes recorded in the second quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

- (iv) The Net Operating Loss (NOL) carryover period increased from 10 years to 12 years for losses incurred in taxable years that commenced after December 31, 2004 and ended before January 1, 2013. The carryover period for NOL incurred during taxable years commencing after December 31, 2012 will be 10 years. The NOL deduction is now limited to 90% of taxable income for regular income tax purpose and 80% for AMT purposes.

Significant changes to the sales and use tax regime include adjustments to the Business to Business exclusion. The business to business exclusion applicable to services rendered from one registered business to another registered business remains in effect, except for certain services, which will be taxable including, among others, service charges imposed by financial institutions to other businesses (commercial clients), collection services, repairs and maintenance services of real and personal property, and computer programming including modifications to previously designed systems. The sales and use tax provisions were effective beginning on July 1, 2013.

Under the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code, the Corporation and its subsidiaries are treated as separate taxable entities and are not entitled to file consolidated tax returns and, thus, the Corporation is not able to utilize losses from one subsidiary to offset gains in another subsidiary. Accordingly, in order to obtain a tax benefit from a net operating loss, a particular subsidiary must be able to demonstrate sufficient taxable income within the applicable carry forward period. The 2011 PR Code provides a dividend received deduction of 100% on dividends received from controlled subsidiaries subject to taxation in Puerto Rico and 85% on dividends received from other taxable domestic corporations. Dividend payments from a U.S. subsidiary to the Corporation are subject to a 10% withholding tax based on the provisions of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

The Corporation has maintained an effective tax rate lower than the maximum statutory rate mainly by investing in government obligations and mortgage-backed securities exempt from U.S. and Puerto Rico income taxes and by doing business through an International Banking Entity (IBE) of the Bank and through the Bank's subsidiary, FirstBank Overseas Corporation, whose interest income and gain on sales is exempt from Puerto Rico and U.S. income taxation. The IBEs and FirstBank Overseas Corporation were created under the International Banking Entity Act of Puerto Rico, which provides for total Puerto Rico tax exemption on net income derived by IBEs operating in Puerto Rico. An IBE that operates as a unit of a bank pays income taxes at normal rates to the extent that the IBE's net income exceeds 20% of the bank's total net taxable income.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the Corporation recorded an income tax benefit of \$1.0 million and an income tax expense of \$0.6 million compared to an income tax expense of \$1.5 million and \$3.7 million for the same periods in 2012. The decrease mainly reflects the impact of the amendments to the 2011 PR Code enacted on June 30, 2013, primarily the \$1.6 million benefit related to the credit that the Corporation will be able to claim against its regular income tax or the alternative minimum tax (50% of the national gross receipts tax assessed), and the net benefit of \$0.5 million related to the increase in the deferred tax asset of profitable subsidiaries due to changes in statutory tax rates. The income tax in the interim financial statements is calculated based on the income of the individual subsidiaries and the currently valid tax rates as a best possible estimate. As of June 30, 2013, the deferred tax asset, net of a valuation allowance of \$523.4 million, amounted to \$6.6 million compared to \$4.9 million as of December 31, 2012. The increase in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance to \$523.4 million as of June 30, 2013 from \$359.9 million as of December 31, 2012 was mainly due to the increase in statutory tax rates and operating results for the first half of 2013.

Accounting for income taxes requires that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against their deferred tax asset based on an assessment of the amount of the deferred tax asset that is more likely than not to be realized. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. In making such assessment, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified, including both positive and negative evidence. Consideration must be given to all sources of taxable income available to realize the deferred tax asset, including the future reversal of existing temporary differences, future taxable income exclusive of the reversal of temporary differences and carryforwards, taxable income in carryback years and tax planning strategies. In estimating taxes, management assesses the relative merits and risks of the appropriate tax treatment of transactions taking into account statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance, and recognizes tax benefits only when deemed probable of realization.

In assessing the weight of positive and negative evidence, a significant negative factor that resulted in the maintenance of the valuation allowance was that the Corporation's banking subsidiary, FirstBank Puerto Rico, was in a three-year historical cumulative loss position as of June 30, 2013, mainly due to significant charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in prior years as a result of the economic downturn and the bulk sales of assets completed in 2013. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had a gross deferred tax asset of \$542.5 million, including \$376.9 million associated with net operating losses (NOLs). The Bank incurred all of the NOLs on or after 2009. As mentioned before, the Corporation maintained a valuation allowance of \$523.4 million as of June 30, 2013 against the deferred tax asset. As of June 30, 2013, management concluded that \$6.6 million of the deferred tax asset will be realized as it relate to profitable subsidiaries and to amounts that can be realized through future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences. To the extent the realization of a portion, or all, of the tax asset becomes more likely than not based on changes in circumstances (such as, improved earnings, changes in tax laws or other relevant changes), a reversal of that portion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance will then be recorded.

Table of Contents

The authoritative accounting guidance prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of income tax uncertainties with respect to positions taken or expected to be taken on income tax returns. Under this guidance, income tax benefits are recognized and measured based upon a two-step analysis: 1) a tax position must be more likely than not to be sustained based solely on its technical merits in order to be recognized, and 2) the benefit is measured as the largest dollar amount of that position that is more likely than not to be sustained upon settlement. The difference between the benefit recognized under this analysis and the tax benefit claimed on a tax return is referred to as an unrecognized tax benefit (UTB).

During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation recorded UTBs of \$2.4 million, all of which would, if recognized, affect the Corporation's effective tax rate. The Corporation classified all interest and penalties, if any, related to tax uncertainties as income tax expense. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's accrued interest that relates to tax uncertainties amounted to \$1.2 million and there is no need to accrue for the payment of penalties. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the total amount of interest recognized by the Corporation as part of income tax expense related to tax uncertainties was \$59,000 and \$0.1 million, respectively. During the first half of 2013, there was no change to the UTB of \$2.4 million. The amount of UTBs may increase or decrease for various reasons, including changes in the amounts for current tax year positions, the expiration of open income tax returns due to the expiration of statutes of limitations, changes in management's judgment about the level of uncertainty, the status of examinations, litigation and legislative activity, and the addition, or elimination of uncertain tax positions. The years 2007 through 2009 have been examined by the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and disputed issues have been taken to administrative appeals. During the second quarter of 2013, the IRS administrative appeals office requested an extension to the statutes of limitations on assessments with respect to this matter, which the Corporation agreed to. Although the timing of the resolution and/or closure of audits is highly uncertain, the Corporation believes it is reasonably possible that the IRS will conclude the audit of years 2007 through 2009 within the next 12 months. If any issues addressed in the IRS audit are resolved in a manner not consistent with the Corporation's expectations, the Corporation could be required to adjust its provision for income taxes in the period such resolution occurs. The Corporation currently cannot reasonably estimate a range of possible changes to existing reserves.

The Corporation's liability for income taxes includes its liability for UTBs, and interest that relates to tax years still subject to review by taxing authorities. Audit periods remain open for review until the statute of limitations has passed. The statute of limitations under the 2011 PR Code is 4 years; the statute of limitations for the Virgin Islands and for U.S. income tax purposes is 3 years after a tax return is due or filed, whichever is later. The completion of an audit by the taxing authorities or the expiration of the statute of limitations for a given audit period could result in an adjustment to the Corporation's liability for income taxes. Any such adjustment could be material to results of operations for any given quarterly or annual period based, in part, upon the results of operations for the given period. For Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands income tax purposes, all tax years subsequent to 2009 and 2010, respectively, remain open to examination. Tax year 2010 is currently under examination by the Puerto Rico Department of Treasury. The examination is at a preliminary stage. Taxable years from 2007 remain open to examination for U.S. income tax purpose.

NOTE 19 FAIR VALUE

Fair Value Option

Fair Value Measurement

The FASB authoritative guidance for fair value measurement defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. This guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy for classifying financial instruments. The hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value are observable or unobservable. Three levels of inputs may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1** Valuations of Level 1 assets and liabilities are obtained from readily available pricing sources from market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include equity securities that are trades in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government and agency securities and corporate debt securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active markets.
- Level 2** Valuations of Level 2 assets and liabilities are based on observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include (i) mortgage-backed securities for which the fair value is estimated based on the value of identical or comparable assets, (ii) debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and (iii) derivative contracts and financial liabilities (e.g., medium-term notes

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

elected to be measured at fair value) whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Table of Contents

Level 3 Valuations of Level 3 assets and liabilities are based on unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models for which the determination of fair value required significant management judgments estimation.

For 2013, there have been no transfers into or out of Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 measurement of the fair value hierarchy.

Financial instruments Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*Investment securities available for sale*

The fair value of investment securities was the market value based on quoted market prices (as is the case with equity securities, U.S. Treasury notes and non-callable U.S. Agency debt securities), when available (Level 1), or market prices for identical or comparable assets (as is the case with MBS and callable U.S. agency debt) that are based on observable market parameters including benchmark yields, reported trades, quotes from brokers or dealers, issuer spreads, bids, offers and reference data including market research operations, (Level 2). Observable prices in the market already consider the risk of nonperformance. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon models that use unobservable inputs due to the limited market activity of the instrument, as is the case with certain private label mortgage-backed securities held by the Corporation, (Level 3).

Private label MBS are collateralized by fixed-rate mortgages on single-family residential properties in the United States; the interest rate on the securities is variable, tied to 3-month LIBOR and limited to the weighted-average coupon of the underlying collateral. The market valuation represents the estimated net cash flows over the projected life of the pool of underlying assets applying a discount rate that reflects market observed floating spreads over LIBOR, with a widening spread based on a nonrated security. The market valuation is derived from a model that utilizes relevant assumptions such as prepayment rate, default rate, and loss severity on a loan level basis. The Corporation modeled the cash flow from the fixed-rate mortgage collateral using a static cash flow analysis according to collateral attributes of the underlying mortgage pool (i.e. loan term, current balance, note rate, rate adjustment type, rate adjustment frequency, rate caps, and others) in combination with prepayment forecasts obtained from a commercially available prepayment model (ADCO). The variable cash flow of the security is modeled using the 3-month LIBOR forward curve. Loss assumptions were driven by the combination of default and loss severity estimates, taking into account loan credit characteristics (loan-to-value, state, origination date, property type, occupancy loan purpose, documentation type, debt-to-income ratio, other) to provide an estimate of default and loss severity.

Corporate bonds are collateralized by an agency zero-coupon bond and a synthetic Collateralized Debt Obligation of 125 corporate bonds rated investment grade at the time of structuring. The value of the bonds is tied to the level of credit default swap spreads.

Refer to the table below for further information regarding qualitative information for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Derivative instruments

The fair value of most of the Corporation's derivative instruments is based on observable market parameters and takes into consideration the credit risk component of paying counterparties when appropriate, except when collateral is pledged. That is, on interest rate swaps, the credit risk of both counterparties is included in the valuation; and, on options and caps, only the seller's credit risk is considered. The derivative instruments, namely swaps and caps, were valued using a discounted cash flow approach using the related LIBOR and swap rate for each cash flow. Derivatives include interest rate swaps used for protection against rising interest rates. For these interest rate swaps, a credit component was not considered in the valuation since the Corporation has fully collateralized with investment securities any marked-to-market loss with the counterparty and, if there were market gains, the counterparty had to deliver collateral to the Corporation.

Although most of the derivative instruments are fully collateralized, a credit spread is considered for those that are not secured in full. The cumulative mark-to-market effect of credit risk in the valuation of derivative instruments for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 was immaterial.

The information about the estimated fair value of financial instruments required by GAAP is presented hereunder. The fair value amounts presented do not necessarily represent management's estimate of the underlying value of the Corporation.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, are summarized below:

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| (In thousands) | As of June 30, 2013 | | | | As of December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | | Fair Value Measurements Using | | | |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Assets/ Liabilities at Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Assets/ Liabilities at Fair Value |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Securities available for sale : | | | | | | | | |
| Equity securities | \$ 35 | \$ | \$ | \$ 35 | \$ 31 | \$ | \$ | \$ 31 |
| U.S. Treasury Securities | 7,494 | | | 7,494 | 7,499 | | | 7,499 |
| Noncallable U.S. agency debt | | 203,182 | | 203,182 | | 159,252 | | 159,252 |
| Callable U.S. agency debt and MBS | | 1,667,081 | | 1,667,081 | | 1,442,169 | | 1,442,169 |
| Puerto Rico government obligations | | 64,336 | 3,089 | 67,425 | | 67,509 | 3,691 | 71,200 |
| Private label MBS | | | 45,571 | 45,571 | | | 50,926 | 50,926 |
| Derivatives, included in assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | | 220 | | 220 | | 288 | | 288 |
| Purchased interest rate cap agreements | | 111 | | 111 | | | | |
| Forward contracts | | 937 | | 937 | | 3 | | 3 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives, included in liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | | 4,609 | | 4,609 | | 5,776 | | 5,776 |
| Written interest rate cap agreement | | 113 | | 113 | | | | |
| Forward contracts | | 73 | | 73 | | 5 | | 5 |

The table below presents a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the quarters and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

| Level 3 Instruments Only | Quarter ended June 30, | |
|--|--|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | Securities Available For Sale ⁽¹⁾ | Securities Available For Sale ⁽¹⁾ |
| Beginning balance | \$ 52,047 | \$ 63,758 |
| Total gains or (losses) (realized/unrealized): | | |
| Included in earnings | | (143) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 29 | 2,840 |
| Principal repayments and amortization | (3,416) | (4,617) |
| Ending balance | \$ 48,660 | \$ 61,838 |

(1) Amounts mostly related to private label mortgage-backed securities.

| Level 3 Instruments Only | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | Securities Available For Sale ⁽¹⁾ | Securities Available For Sale ⁽¹⁾ |
| Beginning balance | \$ 54,617 | \$ 65,463 |
| Total gains or (losses) (realized/unrealized): | | |
| Included in earnings | (117) | (1,376) |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 860 | 5,056 |
| Principal repayments and amortization | (6,700) | (7,305) |
| Ending balance | \$ 48,660 | \$ 61,838 |

(1) Amounts mostly related to private label mortgage-backed securities.

Table of Contents

The table below presents qualitative information for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) at June 30, 2013:

| (In thousands) | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | June 30, 2013 Unobservable Input | Range |
|--|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Investment securities available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Private label MBS | \$ 45,571 | Discounted cash flow | Discount rate | 14.5% |
| | | | Prepayment rate | 18.84% - 100% (Weighted Average 31%) |
| | | | Projected cumulative loss rate | 0.65% - 40.18% (Weighted Average 6.4%) |
| Puerto Rico Government Obligations | 3,089 | Discounted cash flow | Prepayment rate | 5.94% |

Information about Sensitivity to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

Private label MBS: The significant unobservable inputs in the valuation include probability of default, the loss severity assumption and the prepayment rates. Shifts in those inputs would result in different fair value measurements. Increases in the probability of default, loss severity assumptions, and pre-payments rates in isolation would generally result in an adverse effect in the fair value of the instruments. Meaningful and possible shifts of each input were modeled to assess the effect on the fair value estimation.

Puerto Rico Government Obligations: The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement is the assumed prepayment rate. A significant increase (decrease) in the assumed rate would lead to a higher (lower) fair value estimate. Loss severity and probability of default are not included as significant unobservable variables because the obligations are guaranteed by the Puerto Rico Housing Finance Authority (PRHFA). The PRHFA credit risk is modeled by discounting the cash flows using a curve appropriate to the PRHFA credit rating.

The tables below summarize changes in unrealized gains and losses recorded in earnings for the quarters and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 for Level 3 assets and liabilities that are still held at the end of each period:

| Level 3 Instruments Only | Changes in Unrealized Losses (Quarter ended June 30, 2013) | Changes in Unrealized Losses (Quarter ended June 30, 2012) |
|--|---|--|
| | Securities Available For Sale | Securities Available For Sale |
| (In thousands) | | |
| Changes in unrealized losses relating to assets still held at reporting date: | | |
| Net impairment losses on investment securities (credit component) | \$ | \$ (143) |
| | Changes in Unrealized Losses Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 | Changes in Unrealized Losses Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012 |

Level 3 Instruments Only

| (In thousands) | Securities | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Available For Sale | Available For Sale |
| Changes in unrealized losses relating to assets still held at reporting date: | | |
| Net impairment losses on investment securities (credit component) | \$ (117) | \$ (1,376) |

Additionally, fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to evaluate certain assets in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of lower-of-cost or market accounting (e.g., loans held for sale carried at the lower-of-cost or fair value and repossessed assets) or write downs of individual assets (e.g., goodwill, loans).

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2013, impairment or valuation adjustments were recorded for assets recognized at fair value on a non-recurring basis as shown in the following table:

| | Carrying value as of June 30, 2013 | | | (Losses) Gain recorded for | (Losses) Gain recorded for |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | the Quarter Ended | the Six-Month |
| | | (In thousands) | | June 30, 2013 | Period Ended |
| Loans receivable ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ 409,056 | \$ (3,534) | \$ (14,192) |
| OREO ⁽²⁾ | | | 139,257 | (9,181) | (12,106) |
| Mortgage servicing rights ⁽³⁾ | | | 19,979 | 277 | 557 |
| Loans Held For Sale ⁽⁴⁾ | | 142,632 | 94,951 | (6,103) | (11,325) |

- (1) Mainly impaired commercial and construction loans. The impairment was generally measured based on the fair value of the collateral. The fair values are derived from external appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets in similar locations but adjusted for specific characteristics and assumptions of the collateral (e.g. absorption rates), which are not market observable.
- (2) The fair value is derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets in similar locations but adjusted for specific characteristics and assumptions of the properties (e.g. absorption rates), which are not market observable. Losses are related to market valuation adjustments after the transfer from the loan to the OREO portfolio.
- (3) Fair value adjustments to mortgage servicing rights were mainly due to assumptions associated with mortgage prepayment rates. The Corporation carries its mortgage servicing rights at the lower of cost or market, and they are accordingly measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Assumptions for the value of mortgage servicing rights include: Prepayment rate 9.40%, Discount Rate 11.06%.
- (4) The fair value is primarily derived from quotations based on the mortgage-backed securities market for Level 2 assets. Level 3 Loans Held for Sale are the loans transferred to held for sale during the first quarter of 2013. The value of these loans was derived from external appraisals, adjusted for specific characteristics of the loans, and for loans with signed sale agreements the value was determined based on the sales price on such agreements.

As of June 30, 2012, impairment or valuation adjustments were recorded for assets recognized at fair value on a non-recurring basis as shown in the following table:

| | Carrying value as of June 30, 2012 | | | (Losses) Gain recorded for | (Losses) Gain recorded for |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | the Quarter Ended | the Six-Month |
| | | (In thousands) | | June 30, 2012 | Period Ended June 30, 2012 |
| Loans receivable ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | \$ | \$ 817,484 | \$ (23,013) | \$ (48,974) |
| Other Real Estate Owned ⁽²⁾ | | | 167,341 | (3,811) | (5,594) |
| Mortgage servicing rights ⁽³⁾ | | | 16,592 | (90) | 160 |

- (1) Mainly impaired commercial and construction loans. The impairment was generally measured based on the fair value of the collateral. The fair values are derived from external appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets in similar locations but adjusted for specific characteristics and assumptions of the collateral (e.g. absorption rates), which are not market observable.
 - (2) The fair value is derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets in similar locations but adjusted for specific characteristics and assumptions of the properties (e.g. absorption rates), which are not market observable. Losses are related to market valuation adjustments after the transfer from the loan to the OREO portfolio.
 - (3) Fair value adjustments to the mortgage servicing rights were mainly due to assumptions associated with mortgage prepayments rates. The Corporation carries its mortgage servicing rights at the lower-of-cost or market, and they are accordingly measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Assumptions for the value mortgage servicing rights include: Prepayment Rate 12.58%, Discount Rate 11.10%.
- Qualitative information regarding the fair value measurements for Level 3 financial instruments are as follows:

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | June 30, 2013 |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| | Method | Inputs |
| Loans | Income, Market, Comparable Sales, Discounted Cash Flows | External appraised values; probability weighting of broker price opinions; management assumptions regarding market trends or other relevant factors |
| OREO | Income, Market, Comparable Sales, Discounted Cash Flows | External appraised values; probability weighting of broker price opinions; management assumptions regarding market trends or other relevant factors |
| Mortgage servicing rights | Discounted Cash Flow | Weighted average prepayment rate 9.40%; weighted average discount rate 11.06% |

Table of Contents

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or reported at fair value on a non-recurring basis. The estimated fair value was calculated using certain facts and assumptions, which vary depending on the specific financial instrument.

Cash and due from banks and money market investments

The carrying amounts of cash and due from banks and money market investments are reasonable estimates of their fair value. Money market investments include held-to-maturity securities, which have a contractual maturity of three months or less. The fair value of these securities is based on quoted market prices in active markets that incorporate the risk of nonperformance.

Other equity securities

Equity or other securities that do not have a readily available fair value are stated at their net realizable value, which management believes is a reasonable proxy for their fair value. This category is principally composed of stock that is owned by the Corporation to comply with FHLB regulatory requirements. The realizable value of the FHLB stock equals its cost as this stock can be freely redeemed at par.

Loans receivable, including loans held for sale

The fair value of loans held for investment and for mortgage loans held for sale was estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based on interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms and credit quality and with adjustments that the Corporation's management believes a market participant would consider in determining fair value. Loans were classified by type, such as commercial, residential mortgage, and automobile. These asset categories were further segmented into fixed- and adjustable-rate categories. Valuations are carried out based on categories and not on a loan-by-loan basis. The fair values of performing fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loans were calculated by discounting expected cash flows through the estimated maturity date. This fair value is not currently an indication of an exit price as that type of assumption could result in a different fair value estimate. The fair value of credit card loans was estimated using a discounted cash flow method and excludes any value related to a customer account relationship. Other loans with no stated maturity, like credit lines, were valued at book value. Prepayment assumptions were considered for non-residential loans. For residential mortgage loans, prepayment estimates were based on a prepayments model that combined both a historical calibration and current market prepayment expectations. Discount rates were based on the Treasury and LIBOR/Swap Yield Curves at the date of the analysis, and included appropriate adjustments for expected credit losses and liquidity. For impaired collateral dependent loans, the impairment was primarily measured based on the fair value of the collateral, which is derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices in observable transactions involving similar assets in similar locations.

Deposits

The estimated fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts, which are deposits with no defined maturities, equals the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair values of retail fixed-rate time deposits, with stated maturities, are based on the present value of the future cash flows expected to be paid on the deposits. The cash flows were based on contractual maturities; no early repayments were assumed. Discount rates were based on the LIBOR yield curve.

The estimated fair value of total deposits excludes the fair value of core deposit intangibles, which represent the value of the customer relationship measured by the value of demand deposits and savings deposits that bear a low or zero rate of interest and do not fluctuate in response to changes in interest rates.

The fair value of brokered CDs, which are included within deposits, is determined using discounted cash flow analyses over the full term of the CDs. The fair value of the CDs is computed using the outstanding principal amount. The discount rates used were based on brokered CD market rates as of June 30, 2013. The fair value does not incorporate the risk of nonperformance, since interests in brokered CDs are generally sold by brokers in amounts of less than \$250,000 and, therefore, insured by the FDIC.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase

Some repurchase agreements reprice at least quarterly, and their outstanding balances are estimated to be their fair value. Where longer commitments are involved, fair value is estimated using exit price indications of the cost of unwinding the transactions as of the end of the reporting period. The brokers who are the counterparties provide these indications. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are fully collateralized by investment securities.

Table of Contents*Advances from FHLB*

The fair value of advances from FHLB with fixed maturities is determined using discounted cash flow analyses over the full term of the borrowings, using indications of the fair value of similar transactions. The cash flows assume no early repayment of the borrowings. Discount rates are based on the LIBOR yield curve. Advances from FHLB are fully collateralized by mortgage loans and, to a lesser extent, investment securities.

Other borrowings

Other borrowings consist of junior subordinated debentures. Projected cash flows from the debentures were discounted using the Bloomberg BB Finance curve plus a credit spread. This credit spread was estimated using the difference in yield curves between Swap rates and a yield curve that considers the industry and credit rating of the Corporation as issuer of the note at a tenor comparable to the time to maturity of the debentures.

The following table presents the estimated fair value and carrying value of financial instruments as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012

| | Total Carrying Amount in Statement of Financial Condition | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | Fair Value Estimated June 30, 2013 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks and money market investments | \$ 834,967 | \$ 834,967 | \$ 834,967 | \$ | \$ |
| Investment securities available for sale | 1,990,788 | 1,990,788 | 7,529 | 1,934,599 | 48,660 |
| Other equity securities | 32,321 | 32,321 | | 32,321 | |
| Loans held for sale | 237,583 | 237,583 | | 142,632 | 94,951 |
| Loans, held for investment | 9,445,786 | | | | |
| Less: allowance for loan and lease losses | (301,047) | | | | |
| Loans held for investment, net of allowance | \$ 9,144,739 | 8,944,519 | | | 8,944,519 |
| Derivatives, included in assets | 1,268 | 1,268 | | 1,268 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposits | 9,977,645 | 9,989,916 | | 9,989,916 | |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 900,000 | 981,708 | | 981,708 | |
| Advances from FHLB | 358,440 | 354,377 | | 354,377 | |
| Other borrowings | 231,959 | 115,978 | | | 115,978 |
| Derivatives, included in liabilities | 4,795 | 4,795 | | 4,795 | |

| | Total Carrying Amount in Statement of Financial Condition | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | December 31, 2012 | Fair Value Estimated December 31, 2012 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks and money market investments | \$ 946,851 | \$ 946,851 | \$ 946,851 | \$ | \$ |
| Investment securities available for sale | 1,731,077 | 1,731,007 | 7,530 | 1,668,930 | 54,617 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Other equity securities | 38,757 | 38,757 | 38,757 | |
| Loans held for sale | 85,394 | 87,995 | 85,354 | 2,641 |
| Loans, held for investment | 10,054,114 | | | |
| Less: allowance for loan and lease losses | (435,414) | | | |
| Loans held for investment, net of allowance | \$ 9,618,700 | 9,545,505 | | 147,995 |
| Derivatives, included in assets | 291 | 291 | 291 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | 9,864,546 | 9,901,297 | 9,901,297 | |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 900,000 | 999,663 | 999,663 | |
| Advances from FHLB | 508,440 | 512,089 | 512,089 | |
| Other borrowings | 231,959 | 134,058 | | 134,058 |
| Derivatives, included in liabilities | 5,781 | 5,781 | 5,781 | |

Table of Contents**NOTE 20 SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

Supplemental cash flow information is as follows:

| | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Cash paid for: | | |
| Interest on borrowings | \$ 64,075 | \$ 88,498 |
| Income tax | 2,141 | 4,158 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Additions to other real estate owned | 42,526 | 101,521 |
| Additions to auto and other repossessed assets | 31,236 | 26,976 |
| Capitalization of servicing assets | 3,814 | 3,097 |
| Loan securitizations | 159,140 | 108,827 |
| Loans held for investment transferred to held for sale | 181,620 | |

NOTE 21 SEGMENT INFORMATION

Based upon the Corporation's organizational structure and the information provided to the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation and, to a lesser extent, the Board of Directors, the operating segments are driven primarily by the Corporation's lines of business for its operations in Puerto Rico, the Corporation's principal market, and by geographic areas for its operations outside of Puerto Rico. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had six reportable segments: Commercial and Corporate Banking; Mortgage Banking; Consumer (Retail) Banking; Treasury and Investments; United States Operations, and Virgin Islands Operations. Management determined the reportable segments based on the internal reporting used to evaluate performance and to assess where to allocate resources. Other factors such as the Corporation's organizational chart, nature of the products, distribution channels, and the economic characteristics of the product were also considered in the determination of the reportable segments.

The Commercial and Corporate Banking segment consists of the Corporation's lending and other services for large customers represented by specialized and middle-market clients and the public sector. The Commercial and Corporate Banking segment offers commercial loans, including commercial real estate and construction loans, and floor plan financings, as well as other products, such as cash management and business management services. The Mortgage Banking segment operations consist of the origination, sale, and servicing of a variety of residential mortgage loans. The Mortgage Banking segment also acquires and sells mortgages in the secondary markets. In addition, the Mortgage Banking segment includes mortgage loans purchased from other local banks and mortgage bankers. The Consumer (Retail) Banking segment consists of the Corporation's consumer lending and deposit-taking activities conducted mainly through its branch network and loan centers. The Treasury and Investments segment is responsible for the Corporation's investment portfolio and treasury functions executed to manage and enhance liquidity. This segment lends funds to the Commercial and Corporate Banking, Mortgage Banking and Consumer (Retail) Banking segments to finance their lending activities and borrows from those segments and from the United States Operations segment. The Consumer (Retail) Banking and the United States Operations segments also lend funds to other segments. The interest rates charged or credited by Treasury and Investments, the Consumer (Retail) Banking and the United States Operations segments are allocated based on market rates. The difference between the allocated interest income or expense and the Corporation's actual net interest income from centralized management of funding costs is reported in the Treasury and Investments segment. The United States Operations segment consists of all banking activities conducted by FirstBank in the United States mainland, including commercial and retail banking services. The Virgin Islands Operations segment consists of all banking activities conducted by the Corporation in the U.S. and British Virgin Islands, including commercial and retail banking services and insurance activities.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those referred to in Note 1- Nature of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

The Corporation evaluates the performance of the segments based on net interest income, the estimated provision for loan and lease losses, non-interest income and direct non-interest expenses. The segments are also evaluated based on the average volume of their interest-earning assets less the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Table of Contents

The following table presents information about the reportable segments (in thousands):

| (In thousands) | Mortgage Banking | Consumer (Retail) Banking | Commercial and Corporate | Treasury and Investments | United States Operations | Virgin Islands Operations | Total |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| For the quarter ended June 30, 2013: | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 27,469 | \$ 57,984 | \$ 42,476 | \$ 12,584 | \$ 9,055 | \$ 11,102 | \$ 160,670 |
| Net (charge) credit for transfer of funds | (9,631) | (681) | (3,592) | 11,552 | 2,352 | | |
| Interest expense | | (6,992) | | (20,212) | (5,583) | (995) | (33,782) |
| Net interest income | 17,838 | 50,311 | 38,884 | 3,924 | 5,824 | 10,107 | 126,888 |
| (Provision) release for loan and lease losses | (68,944) | (12,142) | (6,221) | | 3,943 | (4,100) | (87,464) |
| Non-interest income (loss) | 238 | 9,555 | 1,330 | (65,565) | 275 | 1,856 | (52,311) |
| Direct non-interest expenses | (16,570) | (30,198) | (13,993) | (1,549) | (7,532) | (15,358) | (85,200) |
| Segment (loss) income | \$ (67,438) | \$ 17,526 | \$ 20,000 | \$ (63,190) | \$ 2,510 | \$ (7,495) | \$ (98,087) |
| Average earnings assets | \$ 2,093,238 | \$ 2,021,367 | \$ 3,971,083 | \$ 2,774,670 | \$ 746,384 | \$ 657,946 | \$ 12,264,688 |
| (In thousands) | Mortgage Banking | Consumer (Retail) Banking | Commercial and Corporate | Treasury and Investments | United States Operations | Virgin Islands Operations | Total |
| For the quarter ended June 30, 2012: | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 26,834 | \$ 46,719 | \$ 47,131 | \$ 11,206 | \$ 9,341 | \$ 12,421 | \$ 153,652 |
| Net (charge) credit for transfer of funds | (12,438) | 495 | (6,060) | 14,881 | 3,122 | | |
| Interest expense | | (8,024) | | (28,080) | (7,656) | (1,187) | (44,947) |
| Net interest income (loss) | 14,396 | 39,190 | 41,071 | (1,993) | 4,807 | 11,234 | 108,705 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (16,201) | (5,204) | (5,572) | | 511 | 1,582 | (24,884) |
| Non-interest income | 3,708 | 7,730 | 3,204 | (89) | 469 | 1,491 | 16,513 |
| Direct non-interest expenses | (9,457) | (24,142) | (12,922) | (1,751) | (6,290) | (10,231) | (64,793) |
| Segment (loss) income | \$ (7,554) | \$ 17,574 | \$ 25,781 | \$ (3,833) | \$ (503) | \$ 4,076 | \$ 35,541 |
| Average earnings assets | \$ 2,057,340 | \$ 1,524,583 | \$ 4,605,307 | \$ 2,454,159 | \$ 722,006 | \$ 803,780 | \$ 12,167,175 |
| (In thousands) | Mortgage Banking | Consumer (Retail) Banking | Commercial and Corporate | Treasury and Investments | United States Operations | Virgin Islands Operations | Total |
| Six-month period ended June 30, 2013: | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 55,689 | \$ 116,243 | \$ 85,805 | \$ 24,044 | \$ 17,704 | \$ 21,410 | \$ 320,895 |
| Net (charge) credit for transfer of funds | (19,902) | (1,719) | (7,740) | 24,515 | 4,846 | | |
| Interest expense | | (13,841) | | (41,975) | (11,700) | (1,998) | (69,514) |
| Net interest income | 35,787 | 100,683 | 78,065 | 6,584 | 10,850 | 19,412 | 251,381 |
| (Provision) release for loan and lease losses | (77,532) | (22,323) | (92,332) | | 2,434 | (8,834) | (198,587) |
| Non-interest income | 4,588 | 20,297 | 2,789 | (65,733) | 887 | 4,028 | (33,144) |
| Direct non-interest expenses | (28,218) | (59,866) | (31,581) | (3,955) | (14,254) | (24,494) | (162,368) |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Segment (loss) income | \$ (65,375) | \$ 38,791 | \$ (43,059) | \$ (63,104) | \$ (83) | \$ (9,888) | \$ (142,718) |
| Average earnings assets | \$ 2,096,464 | \$ 1,943,173 | \$ 4,150,227 | \$ 2,732,539 | \$ 713,072 | \$ 667,587 | \$ 12,303,062 |
| | Mortgage Banking | Consumer (Retail) Banking | Commercial and Corporate | Treasury and Investments | United States Operations | Virgin Islands Operations | Total |
| Six-month period ended June 30, 2012: | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 54,758 | \$ 87,335 | \$ 96,271 | \$ 22,514 | \$ 19,535 | \$ 25,346 | \$ 305,759 |
| Net (charge) credit for transfer of funds | (25,491) | 2,583 | (13,031) | 29,568 | 6,371 | | |
| Interest expense | | (16,529) | | (60,360) | (15,799) | (2,500) | (95,188) |
| Net interest income (loss) | 29,267 | 73,389 | 83,240 | (8,278) | 10,107 | 22,846 | 210,571 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | (21,088) | (9,576) | (31,557) | | 5,356 | (4,216) | (61,081) |
| Non-interest income | 7,758 | 14,340 | 6,222 | (1,241) | 773 | 3,372 | 31,224 |
| Direct non-interest expenses | (20,812) | (47,099) | (24,749) | (3,312) | (13,177) | (19,453) | (128,602) |
| Segment income (loss) | \$ (4,875) | \$ 31,054 | \$ 33,156 | \$ (12,831) | \$ 3,059 | \$ 2,549 | \$ 52,112 |
| Average earnings assets | \$ 2,049,065 | \$ 1,465,092 | \$ 4,714,564 | \$ 2,423,201 | \$ 751,613 | \$ 832,227 | \$ 12,235,762 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the reportable segment financial information to the consolidated totals:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net (loss) income: | | | | |
| Total (loss) income for segments and other | \$ (98,087) | \$ 35,541 | \$ (142,718) | \$ 52,112 |
| Other non-interest income (loss) ⁽¹⁾ | 648 | (2,491) | (4,890) | (8,727) |
| Other operating expenses ⁽²⁾ | (26,123) | (22,149) | (46,965) | (43,533) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (123,562) | 10,901 | (194,573) | (148) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 979 | (1,545) | (643) | (3,678) |
| Total consolidated net (loss) income | \$ (122,583) | \$ 9,356 | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |
| Average assets: | | | | |
| Total average earning assets for segments | \$ 12,264,688 | \$ 12,167,175 | \$ 12,303,062 | \$ 12,235,762 |
| Other average earning assets ⁽¹⁾ | 17,841 | 37,058 | 20,797 | 40,142 |
| Average non-earning assets | 654,304 | 694,189 | 681,489 | 683,734 |
| Total consolidated average assets | \$ 12,936,833 | \$ 12,898,422 | \$ 13,005,348 | \$ 12,959,638 |

- (1) The activities related to the Bank's equity interest in CPG/GS are presented as an Other non-interest income (loss) and as Other average earning assets in the table above.
- (2) Expenses pertaining to corporate administrative functions that support the operating segment but are not specifically attributable to or managed by any segment are not included in the reported financial results of the operating segments. The unallocated corporate expenses include certain general and administrative expenses and related depreciation and amortization expenses.

NOTE 22 REGULATORY MATTERS, COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Corporation is subject to various regulatory capital requirements imposed by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Corporation's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Corporation's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgment by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Capital standards established by regulations require the Corporation to maintain minimum amounts and ratios for Leverage (Tier 1 capital to average total assets) and ratios of Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets and Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets as defined in the regulations. The total amount of risk-weighted assets is computed by applying risk-weighting factors to the Corporation's assets and certain off-balance sheet items, which generally vary from 0% to 100% depending on the nature of the asset.

Effective June 2, 2010, FirstBank, by and through its Board of Directors, entered into a Consent Order (the FDIC Order) with the FDIC and the Office of the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of Puerto Rico. The FDIC Order provides for various things, including (among other things) the following: (1) having and retaining qualified management; (2) increased participation in the affairs of FirstBank by its Board of Directors; (3) development and implementation by FirstBank of a capital plan to attain a leverage ratio of at least 8%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 10% and a total risk-based capital ratio of at least 12%; (4) adoption and implementation of strategic, liquidity and fund management and profit and budget plans and related projects within certain timetables set forth in the FDIC Order and on an ongoing basis; (5) adoption and implementation of plans for reducing FirstBank's positions in certain classified assets and delinquent and non-accrual loans within timeframes set forth in the FDIC Order; (6) refraining from lending to delinquent or classified borrowers already obligated to FirstBank on any extensions of credit so long as such credit remains uncollected, except where FirstBank's failure to extend further credit to a particular borrower would be detrimental to the best interests of FirstBank, and any such additional credit is approved by the FirstBank's Board of

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

Directors; (7) refraining from accepting, increasing, renewing or rolling over brokered CDs without the prior written approval of the FDIC; (8) establishment of a comprehensive policy and methodology for determining the allowance for loan and lease losses and the review and revision of FirstBank's loan policies, including the non-accrual policy; and (9) adoption and implementation of adequate and effective programs of independent loan review, appraisal compliance and an effective policy for managing FirstBank's sensitivity to interest rate risk. The foregoing summary is not complete and is qualified in all respects by reference to the actual language of the FDIC Order. Although all of the regulatory capital ratios exceed the minimum capital ratios for well capitalized levels, as well as the minimum capital ratios required by the FDIC Order, as of June 30, 2013, FirstBank cannot be treated as a well capitalized institution under regulatory guidance while operating under the FDIC order.

Table of Contents

Effective June 3, 2010, First BanCorp entered into the Written Agreement with the New York FED. The Written Agreement provides, among other things, that the holding company must serve as a source of strength to FirstBank, and that, except upon consent generally of the New York FED and the Federal Reserve Board, (1) the holding company may not pay dividends to stockholders or receive dividends from FirstBank, (2) the holding company and its nonbank subsidiaries may not make payments on trust-preferred securities or subordinated debt, and (3) the holding company cannot incur, increase, or guarantee debt or repurchase any capital securities. The Written Agreement also requires that the holding company submit a capital plan that reflects sufficient capital at First BanCorp. on a consolidated basis, which must be acceptable to the New York FED, and follow certain guidelines with respect to the appointment or change in responsibilities of senior officers. The foregoing summary is not complete and is qualified in all respects by reference to the actual language of the Written Agreement.

The Corporation submitted its capital plan setting forth how it plans to improve capital positions to comply with the FDIC Order and the Written Agreement over time. In addition to the Capital Plan, the Corporation has submitted to its regulators a liquidity and brokered CD plan, including a contingency funding plan, a non-performing asset reduction plan, a budget and profit plan, a strategic plan, and a plan for the reduction of classified and special mention assets. As of June 30, 2013 the Corporation had completed all of the items included in the Capital Plan and is continuing to work on reducing non-performing loans. Further, the Corporation has reviewed and enhanced the Corporation's loan review program, various credit policies, the Corporation's treasury and investment policy, the Corporation's asset classification and allowance for loan and lease losses and non-accrual policies, the Corporation's charge-off policy and the Corporation's appraisal program. The Regulatory Agreements also require the submission to the regulators of quarterly progress reports.

The FDIC Order imposes no other restrictions on FirstBank's products or services offered to customers, nor does it or the Written Agreement impose any type of penalties or fines upon FirstBank or the Corporation. Concurrent with the FDIC Order, the FDIC has granted FirstBank temporary waivers to enable it to continue accessing the brokered CD market through June 30, 2013. FirstBank will request approvals for future periods.

In July 2013, Bank Regulators approved a Final Rule to establish a new comprehensive regulatory capital framework for all U.S. banking organizations. The Final Rule brings the United States substantially into compliance with the Basel III capital framework agreed upon internationally in December 2010, replaces the existing U.S. modified Basel I risk-based capital regime, and implements several changes to the U.S. regulatory capital regime required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The new U.S. capital framework imposes higher minimum capital requirements, including a new 4.5% common equity Tier I capital requirement, a 6.0% Tier I capital requirement (increased from 4.0% under current rules), and an 8.0% Total capital requirement (same as under current rules). All U.S. banking organizations will calculate the numerator of their minimum capital ratios using more restrictive definitions of capital under the Final Rule.

The Final Rule includes more restrictive definitions for the components of capital and eligibility criteria broadly intended to promote the use of capital instruments better able to absorb losses in time of financial stress. The common equity Tier I capital consists primarily of common stock and retained earnings. Additional Tier I capital is limited to other paid-in amounts recognized as equity under GAAP. As required by section 171 of Dodd-Frank Act, the Final Rule requires that capital instruments such as trust preferred securities be phased-out of Tier I capital by January 1, 2016, for banking organizations that had \$15 billion or more in total consolidated assets as December 31, 2009, such as First BanCorp. However, these instruments may remain in Tier 2 capital until the instruments are redeemed or mature.

In addition to the minimum capital ratios, the Final Rule requires that all banking organizations maintain a capital conservation buffer consisting of Common Equity Tier I capital in an amount equal to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets in order to avoid restrictions on their ability to make capital distributions and to pay certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers. Thus, the capital conservation buffer effectively increases the minimum Common Equity Tier I capital, Tier I capital, and Total capital requirements to 7.0%, 8.5% and 10.5%, respectively.

The Final Rule makes certain conforming changes to the prompt corrective action (PCA) regime for insured depository institutions based on the new minimum capital requirements. Under Final Rule, the well capitalized standards consists of a minimum of 5.0% leverage ratio requirement (same as under the existing PCA regime), a 6.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital requirement (new), an 8.0% Tier I capital, requirement (increased from 6.0%) and a 10.0% Total capital requirement (same as under the existing PCA regime).

The Final Rule revises the current rules for calculating risk-weighted asset the enhance risk sensitivity, including, among others, higher risk weights to past due exposures, and high volatility commercial real estate exposures.

Table of Contents

Certain aspects of the rule, such as the new minimum capital ratios and the revised methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets, will become effective on January 1, 2015. Other aspects of the rule, such as the capital conservation buffer and the new regulatory deductions from and adjustments to capital, will be phased in over several years.

The Corporation's total capital, Tier I and leverage ratios as of June 30, 2013 were 16.61%, 15.32% and 11.26% respectively. Meanwhile, the total capital, Tier I capital, and leverage ratios as of June 30, 2013 of our banking subsidiary, FirstBank Puerto Rico, were 16.16%, 14.87% and 10.94%, respectively.

Based on the Corporation's review of the U.S. Basel III final rule, the Corporation anticipates exceeding the new minimum capital ratios.

The Corporation enters into financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments may include commitments to extend credit and commitments to sell mortgage loans at fair value. As of June 30, 2013, commitments to extend credit amounted to approximately \$1.4 billion, of which \$975.6 million relate to credit card loans. Commercial and Financial standby letters of credit amounted to approximately \$50.9 million. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any conditions established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. For most of the commercial lines of credit, the Corporation has the option to reevaluate the agreement prior to additional disbursements. In the case of credit cards and personal lines of credit, the Corporation can cancel at any time and without cause the unused credit facility. Generally, the Corporation's mortgage banking activities do not enter into interest rate lock agreements with prospective borrowers.

Lehman Brothers Special Financing, Inc. (Lehman) was the counterparty to the Corporation on certain interest rate swap agreements. During the third quarter of 2008, Lehman failed to pay the scheduled net cash settlement due to the Corporation, which constituted an event of default under those interest rate swap agreements. The Corporation terminated all interest rate swaps with Lehman and replaced them with other counterparties under similar terms and conditions. In connection with the unpaid net cash settlement under the swap agreements, the Corporation has an unsecured counterparty exposure with Lehman, which filed for bankruptcy on October 3, 2008, of approximately \$1.4 million. This exposure was reserved in the third quarter of 2008. The Corporation had pledged collateral with a \$63.6 million face value to guarantee its performance under the swap agreements in the event payment thereunder was required.

The Corporation believes that the securities pledged as collateral should not be part of the Lehman bankruptcy estate given the fact that the posted collateral constituted a performance guarantee under the swap agreements and was not part of a financing agreement, and that ownership of the securities was never transferred to Lehman. Upon termination of the interest rate swap agreements, Lehman's obligation was to return the collateral to the Corporation. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation discovered that Lehman Brothers, Inc. (LBI), acting as agent of Lehman, had deposited the securities in a custodial account at JP Morgan Chase, and that, shortly before the filing of the Lehman bankruptcy proceedings, it had provided instructions to have most of the securities transferred to Barclays Capital (Barclays) in New York. After Barclays's refusal to turn over the securities, the Corporation filed a lawsuit against Barclays in federal court in New York demanding the return of the securities in December 2009. During February 2010, Barclays filed a motion with the court requesting that the Corporation's claim be dismissed on the grounds that the allegations of the complaint are not sufficient to justify the granting of the remedies therein sought. Shortly thereafter, the Corporation filed its opposition motion. A hearing on the motions was held in court on April 28, 2010. The court, on that date, after hearing the arguments by both sides, concluded that the Corporation's equitable-based causes of action, upon which the return of the investment securities is being demanded, contain allegations that sufficiently plead facts warranting the denial of Barclays' motion to dismiss the Corporation's claim. Accordingly, the judge ordered the case to proceed to trial.

Subsequent to the court decision, the district court judge transferred the case to the Lehman bankruptcy court for trial. On May 10, 2013, the Bankruptcy Court issued a decision denying the Bank's Motion for Summary Judgment and granting the Motion for Summary Judgment of Barclays. The Bank has filed a notice of appeal of that decision, which appeal is now pending. We may not succeed in our litigation against Barclays to recover all or a substantial portion of the securities.

Barclays has filed a motion in the Bankruptcy Court in an effort to impose sanctions on the Bank for seeking the relief sought in the litigation, and the Bank has opposed that motion. Briefing on the sanctions motion is complete, and the parties are awaiting oral arguments and a decision.

Because of the Bankruptcy Court's May 10, 2013 decision, the Corporation has determined that it is probable that the asset has been impaired and recognized in the second quarter of 2013 a non-cash charge of \$66.6 million associated with the write-off of the carrying value of the pledged securities and related accrued interest. The Corporation does not anticipate that this impairment charge will result in future cash expenditures by the Corporation other than additional costs relating to the appeal of the Bankruptcy Court's decision.

Table of Contents

Additionally, the Corporation continues to pursue its claim filed in January 2009 in the proceedings under the Securities Protection Act with regard to LBI in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

As of June 30, 2013, First BanCorp and its subsidiaries were defendants in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Management believes that the final disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**NOTE 23 FIRST BANCORP. (HOLDING COMPANY ONLY) FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The following condensed financial information presents the financial position of the Holding Company only as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Statements of Financial Condition

| | As of June 30, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 33,048 | \$ 35,139 |
| Money market investments | 6,111 | 6,111 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at market: | | |
| Equity investments | 35 | 31 |
| Other investment securities | 1,285 | 1,300 |
| Investment in First Bank Puerto Rico, at equity | 1,404,244 | 1,663,139 |
| Investment in First Bank Insurance Agency, at equity | 9,390 | 7,697 |
| Investment in FBP Statutory Trust I | 3,093 | 3,093 |
| Investment in FBP Statutory Trust II | 3,866 | 3,866 |
| Other assets | 4,623 | 4,891 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,465,695 | \$ 1,725,267 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Other borrowings | \$ 231,959 | \$ 231,959 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 11,408 | 8,285 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 243,367 | 240,244 |
| | | |
| Stockholders equity | 1,222,328 | 1,485,023 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,465,695 | \$ 1,725,267 |

| | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Income: | | | | |
| Interest income on money market investments | \$ 6 | \$ 3 | \$ 11 | \$ 6 |
| Other income | 72 | 55 | 124 | 110 |
| | 78 | 58 | 135 | 116 |
| Expense: | | | | |
| Notes payable and other borrowings | 1,763 | 1,825 | 3,509 | 3,680 |
| Other operating expenses | 806 | 889 | 2,609 | 1,835 |
| | 2,569 | 2,714 | 6,118 | 5,515 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|----------|--------------|------------|
| Impairment on equity securities | (42) | | (42) | |
| Loss before income taxes and equity in undistributed (losses) earnings of subsidiaries | (2,533) | (2,656) | (6,025) | (5,399) |
| Income tax provision | | | | |
| Equity in undistributed (losses) earnings of subsidiaries | (120,050) | 12,012 | (189,191) | 1,573 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (122,583) | \$ 9,356 | \$ (195,216) | \$ (3,826) |

Table of Contents

NOTE 24 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Corporation has performed an evaluation of events occurring subsequent to June 30, 2013; management has determined that there are no additional events occurring in this period that required disclosure in or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.

Table of Contents
**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(MD&A)
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

| (In thousands, except for per share and financial ratios) | Quarter ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|--|------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| Condensed Income Statements: | | | | |
| Total interest income | \$ 160,670 | \$ 153,652 | \$ 320,895 | \$ 305,759 |
| Total interest expense | 33,782 | 44,947 | 69,514 | 95,188 |
| Net interest income | 126,888 | 108,705 | 251,381 | 210,571 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 87,464 | 24,884 | 198,587 | 61,081 |
| Non-interest (loss) income | (51,663) | 14,022 | (38,034) | 22,497 |
| Non-interest expenses | 111,323 | 86,942 | 209,333 | 172,135 |
| (Loss) Income before income taxes | (123,562) | 10,901 | (194,573) | (148) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 979 | (1,545) | (643) | (3,678) |
| Net (loss) income | (122,583) | 9,356 | (195,216) | (3,826) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders | (122,583) | 9,356 | (195,216) | (3,826) |
| Per Common Share Results: | | | | |
| Net (loss) earnings per share basic | \$ (0.60) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.95) | \$ (0.02) |
| Net (loss) earnings per share diluted | \$ (0.60) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.95) | \$ (0.02) |
| Cash dividends declared | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Average shares outstanding | 205,490 | 205,415 | 205,477 | 205,316 |
| Average shares outstanding diluted | 205,490 | 205,952 | 205,477 | 205,316 |
| Book value per common share | \$ 5.60 | \$ 6.72 | \$ 5.60 | \$ 6.72 |
| Tangible book value per common share ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 5.32 | \$ 6.42 | \$ 5.32 | \$ 6.42 |
| Selected Financial Ratios (In Percent): | | | | |
| Profitability: | | | | |
| Return on Average Assets | (3.80) | 0.29 | (3.03) | (0.06) |
| Interest Rate Spread ⁽²⁾ | 3.91 | 3.21 | 3.84 | 3.08 |
| Net Interest Margin ⁽²⁾ | 4.12 | 3.50 | 4.06 | 3.37 |
| Return on Average Total Equity | (35.65) | 2.62 | (27.51) | (0.53) |
| Return on Average Common Equity | (37.36) | 2.74 | (28.78) | (0.56) |
| Average Total Equity to Average Total Assets | 10.66 | 11.14 | 11.00 | 11.12 |
| Tangible common equity ratio ⁽¹⁾ | 8.64 | 10.29 | 8.64 | 10.29 |
| Dividend payout ratio | | | | |
| Efficiency ratio ⁽³⁾ | 147.99 | 70.84 | 98.12 | 73.86 |
| Asset Quality: | | | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans held for investment | 3.19 | 4.44 | 3.19 | 4.44 |
| Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans ^{(4) (6)} | 5.25 | 2.03 | 6.69 | 1.90 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs ⁽⁵⁾ | 67.83 | 48.16 | 59.64 | 62.43 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets ⁽⁶⁾ | 5.87 | 10.13 | 5.87 | 10.13 |
| Non-performing loans held for investment to total loans held for investment ⁽⁶⁾ | 5.36 | 10.35 | 5.36 | 10.35 |
| Allowance to total non-performing loans held for investment ⁽⁶⁾ | 59.47 | 42.90 | 59.47 | 42.90 |
| Allowance to total non-performing loans held for investment excluding residential real estate loans ⁽⁶⁾ | 80.87 | 62.40 | 80.87 | 62.40 |
| Other Information: | | | | |
| Common Stock Price: End of period | \$ 7.08 | \$ 3.96 | \$ 7.08 | \$ 3.96 |

| | As of June 30, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Balance Sheet Data: | | |
| Loans, including loans held for sale | \$ 9,683,369 | \$ 10,139,508 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 301,047 | 435,414 |
| Money market and investment securities | 2,239,483 | 1,986,669 |
| Intangible assets | 57,905 | 60,944 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 6,598 | 4,867 |
| Total assets | 12,803,169 | 13,099,741 |
| Deposits | 9,977,645 | 9,864,546 |
| Borrowings | 1,490,399 | 1,640,399 |
| Total preferred equity | 63,047 | 63,047 |
| Total common equity | 1,199,423 | 1,393,546 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax | (40,142) | 28,430 |
| Total equity | 1,222,328 | 1,485,023 |

- (1) Non-GAAP measure. Refer to Capital discussion below for additional information of the components and reconciliation of these measures.
- (2) On a tax-equivalent basis and excluding the changes in fair value of derivative instruments and financial liabilities measured at fair value (see Net Interest Income discussion below for a reconciliation of this non-GAAP measure).
- (3) Non-interest expense to the sum of net interest income and non-interest income. The denominator includes non-recurring income and changes in the fair value of derivative instruments and financial instruments measured at fair value.
- (4) The net charge-offs to average loans ratio, excluding the impact of the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 1.29% and 2.11% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively.
- (5) The provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs ratio, excluding the impact of the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 63.19% and 66.25% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively.
- (6) Loans used in the denominator in calculating net charge-off, non-performing loan and non-performing asset rates include purchased credit-impaired (PCI) loans. However, the Corporation separately tracks and reports PCI loans and exclude these from delinquency, non-performing loan and non-performing asset statistics.

Table of Contents

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations relates to the accompanying consolidated unaudited financial statements of First BanCorp. (the Corporation or First BanCorp.) and should be read in conjunction with such financial statements and the notes thereto.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

First BanCorp. is a diversified financial holding company headquartered in San Juan, Puerto Rico offering a full range of financial products to consumers and commercial customers through various subsidiaries. First BanCorp. is the holding company of FirstBank Puerto Rico (FirstBank or the Bank) and FirstBank Insurance Agency. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Corporation operates offices in Puerto Rico, the United States and British Virgin Islands and the State of Florida (USA) concentrating in commercial banking, residential mortgage loan originations, finance leases, credit card loans, personal loans, small loans, auto loans, insurance agency and broker-dealer activities.

As described in Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Regulatory Matters, Commitment and Contingencies, FirstBank is currently operating under a Consent Order (the FDIC Order) with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), and the Office of the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and First BanCorp. has entered into a Written Agreement (the Written Agreement and collectively with the FDIC Order, (the Regulatory Agreements) with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the New York FED).

RECENT EVENTS

Impairment of Collateral Pledged to Lehman

On May 10, 2013, the Corporation received notice from its counsel that the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York denied the Bank's Motion for Summary Judgment filed in connection with its claim to recover certain assets pledged as collateral to Lehman Brothers, Inc. (Lehman) and that the Motion for Summary Judgment submitted by Barclays Capital (Barclays) was granted.

This matter relates to the claim that the Bank filed against Barclays to recover the securities (or the cash equivalent thereof) that were posted as collateral in connection with certain interest rate swap agreements executed with Lehman. Beginning with the second quarter of 2009, the Corporation classified the pledged securities as a non-performing asset with a book value of \$64.5 million. As a result of the Bankruptcy Court's May 10, 2013 decision, the Corporation determined that it is probable that the asset is impaired and, in the 2013 second quarter, recorded a non-cash charge of \$66.6 million associated with the write-off of the carrying value of the pledged securities and related accrued interest. The Corporation has filed a Notice of Appeal and will continue with its efforts through the legal process to recover the value of the assets.

Bulk Sales of Assets and Transfer of Loans to Held for Sale

On June 21, 2013, the Corporation announced that it had completed a sale of non-performing residential mortgage loans with a book value of \$203.8 million and other real estate owned (OREO) properties with a book value of \$19.2 million in a cash transaction. The sales price of this bulk sale was \$128.3 million. Approximately \$30.1 million of reserves had already been allocated to the loans. This transaction resulted in total charge-offs of \$98.0 million and an incremental loss of \$69.8 million. In addition, the Corporation recorded \$3.1 million of professional service fees specifically related to this bulk sale of non-performing residential assets. This transaction resulted in a total pre-tax loss of \$72.9 million.

As previously reported, on March 28, 2013, the Corporation completed the sale of adversely classified loans with a book value of \$211.4 million, mainly commercial loans, and OREO properties with a book value of \$6.3 million in a cash transaction. This transaction resulted in total charge-offs of \$98.5 million and an incremental loss of \$58.9 million, reflected in the first quarter of 2013. In addition, the Corporation recorded \$3.9 million of professional service fees in the first quarter of 2013 specifically related to this bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets. This transaction resulted in a total pre-tax loss of \$62.8 million.

The Corporation's primary goal with respect to these sales is to accelerate the disposition of non-performing assets, which is the main priority of the Corporation's Strategic Plan. The opportunistic sale of distressed assets is a pivotal and tactical step in the Corporation's efforts to reduce balance sheet risk, improve earnings in the future through reductions of credit related costs and enhance credit quality consistent with regulators expectations of adequate levels of adversely classified assets for financial institutions.

In addition, during the first quarter of 2013, the Corporation transferred to held for sale non-performing loans with an aggregate book value of \$181.6 million. These transfers resulted in charge-offs of \$36.0 million and an incremental loss of \$5.2 million reflected in the provision for loan and lease losses for the first quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of 2013, the Corporation completed the sale of a \$40.8 million non-performing commercial mortgage loan that was among the loans transferred to held for sale in the first quarter without incurring additional losses.

In a separate transaction during the second quarter, the Corporation entered into an agreement to receive foreclosed real estate in partial satisfaction of debt related to one of the loans written-off and transferred to held for sale in the first quarter. The remaining balance of such partially satisfied commercial mortgage loan held for sale was restructured, resulting in a loss of \$3.4 million recorded as part of Other income in the second quarter of 2013.

Changes to the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code

On June 30, 2013, the Puerto Rico Government approved Act No. 40 (Act 40), which amended the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code of 2011 (the 2011 PR Code). The main provisions of Act 40 that impact financial institutions include:

- (i) A new national gross receipts tax that in the case of financial institutions is 1% of gross income which is not deductible for purposes of computing net taxable income and is not part of the alternative minimum tax (AMT). Subject to certain limitations, a financial institution will be able to claim 0.5% of its gross income as a credit against its regular income tax or the alternative minimum tax.
- (ii) A decrease in the deduction available to corporations for the computation of the additional surtax from \$750,000 to \$25,000 and a change in the surtax rate to rates that range from 5% to 19%, resulting in an increase in the maximum statutory tax rate from 30% to 39%.
- (iii) A higher AMT rate (30% of the alternative minimum net income, as compared to 20% previously) and various parallel computations required to be made before determining whether an AMT liability exists.
- (iv) The Net Operating Loss (NOL) carryover period increased from 10 years to 12 years for losses incurred in taxable years that commenced after December 31, 2004 and ended before January 1, 2013. The carryover period for NOL incurred during taxable years commencing after December 31, 2012 will be 10 years. The NOL deduction is now limited to 90% of taxable income for regular income tax purpose and 80% for AMT purposes.

Refer to the discussion under Income Taxes below for additional information, including the impact of these amendments on the Corporation's operating results for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and on the Corporation's deferred tax asset and related valuation allowance.

OVERVIEW OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

First BanCorp.'s results of operations generally depend primarily upon its net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on its interest-earning assets, including investment securities and loans, and the interest expense incurred on its interest-bearing liabilities, including deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is affected by various factors, including: the interest rate scenario; the volumes, mix and composition of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; and the re-pricing characteristics of these assets and liabilities. The Corporation's results of operations also depend on the provision for loan and lease losses, which have significantly affected the results of operations in recent years, non-interest expenses (such as personnel, occupancy, deposit insurance premiums and other costs), non-interest income (mainly service charges and fees on deposits, insurance income and revenues from broker-dealer operations), gains (losses) on sales of investments, gains (losses) on mortgage banking activities, and income taxes.

Net loss was \$122.6 million, or \$0.60 per diluted common share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The Corporation's financial results for the second quarter of 2013 were negatively impacted by two significant items: (i) a \$72.9 million loss on the bulk sale of non-performing residential mortgage loans and OREO properties, and (ii) a \$66.6 million loss related to the write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman. Excluding the effect of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets and the write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman, the adjusted net income was \$16.8 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to net income of \$9.4 million, or \$0.05 per diluted common share, for the same period in 2012. The changes compared to the second quarter of 2012 were: (i) an \$18.2 million increase in net interest income mainly achieved through reductions in the overall cost of funding and the contribution of the credit card portfolio acquired in late

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

May 2012, (ii) a \$62.6 million increase in the provision for loan and lease losses mainly due to a \$67.9 million charge related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets

Table of Contents

and the higher provision required for the credit card portfolio, (iii) a \$65.7 million adverse variance in non-interest income mainly due to the \$66.6 million write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman, partially offset by a \$3.1 million positive variance in equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities, (iv) a \$24.4 million increase in non-interest expenses driven by various factors including an \$8.0 million increase in net losses on OREO operations, including certain unusually large charges as explained below, a \$3.2 million charge related to the new Puerto Rico national gross receipts tax, a \$3.1 million increase in expenses specifically related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets, a \$3.3 million increase in professional fees related to the outsourcing of technology services mainly due to a multi-year technology outsourcing agreement executed by the Corporation at the beginning of the second quarter of 2013, a \$2.0 million increase in employees' compensation and benefits, and a \$1.5 million increase in credit and debit card processing expenses mainly due to servicing and operational costs related to the credit card portfolio acquired in late May 2012.

The key drivers of the Corporation's financial results for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 include the following:

Net interest income increased \$18.2 million to \$126.9 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was primarily due to a 45 basis points reduction in the average cost of funding achieved through lower deposit pricing, improved deposit mix, and the maturity of high-cost borrowings, and the contribution of the credit card portfolio acquired from FIA Card Services (FIA) in late May 2012. The net interest margin, excluding fair value adjustments, increased 58 basis points to 4.02% for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 as it was favorably impacted by the aforementioned items as well as the reduction in non-performing loans and the reinvestment of certain matured U.S. government Treasury securities into higher yielding U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities (MBS). For definition and reconciliation of this non-GAAP measure, refer to Net Interest Income discussion below.

The provision for loans and lease losses increased \$62.6 million to \$87.5 million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The provision for the second quarter of 2013 includes a \$67.9 million charge related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets. Excluding the impact of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets, the provision for loan and lease losses for the second quarter of 2013 was \$19.6 million, a decrease of \$5.3 million when compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease is mainly attributable to lower provision requirements for the Puerto Rico residential mortgage loans portfolio primarily due to lower charge-offs, the improvement in the credit quality of the loan portfolio following the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets in 2013, and the impact in the previous year of adjustments to the loss factors that were reflective of market conditions, including assumptions regarding loss severities that took into consideration qualitative and quantitative factors such as loan resolution and liquidation strategies and average time for liquidation. Refer to the discussions under Provision for loan and lease losses and Risk Management below for an analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses and non-performing assets and related ratios.

As previously mentioned under the Recent Events section above, the Corporation completed two separate bulk sales of assets in 2013 including: (i) a bulk sale of non-performing residential mortgage loans with a book value of \$203.8 million and OREO properties with a book value of \$19.2 million, completed in the second quarter of 2013 and (ii) a bulk sale of adversely classified assets, mainly commercial loans with a book value of \$211.4 million and OREO properties with a book value of \$6.3 million, completed in the first quarter of 2013. In addition, during the first quarter of 2013, the Corporation transferred to held for sale non-performing loans with an aggregate book value of \$181.6 million. The following tables show a reconciliation with respect to the calculation of certain non-GAAP financial measures (adjusted net charge-offs, adjusted provision for loan and lease losses, adjusted non-interest income, adjusted non-interest expenses, adjusted net income (loss), adjusted earnings (loss) per common share,), which reflect the exclusion of the impact of the bulk sales, the transfer of loans to held for sale, and the write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman, with the corresponding measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

(Dollars in thousands, except per share information)

| | As Reported (GAAP) | Bulk Sale Transaction Impact | Write-off collateral pledged to Lehman | Adjusted, excluding Bulk Sale, and Write- Off of collateral pledged to Lehman (Non-GAAP) |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2013 Second Quarter | | | | |
| Total net charge-offs (1) | \$ 128,948 | \$ 97,972 | \$ | \$ 30,976 |
| Total net charge-offs to average loans | 5.25% | | | 1.29% |
| Residential mortgage | 103,418 | 97,941 | | 5,477 |
| Residential mortgage loans net charge-offs to average loans | 14.78% | | | 0.84% |
| Construction | 2,368 | 31 | | 2,337 |
| Construction loans net charge-offs to average loans | 3.43% | | | 3.39% |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | \$ 87,464 | \$ 67,890 | \$ | \$ 19,574 |
| Residential mortgage | | 67,859 | | (67,859) |
| Construction | | 31 | | (31) |
| Non-interest (loss) income | \$ (51,663) | \$ | \$ 66,574 | \$ 14,911 |
| Impairment of collateral pledged to Lehman | (66,574) | | 66,574 | |
| Non-interest expenses | \$ 111,323 | \$ 4,962 | \$ | \$ 106,361 |
| Professional fees | 13,735 | 3,060 | | 10,675 |
| Net loss on OREO operations | 14,829 | 1,879 | | 12,950 |
| Other expenses | 11,928 | 23 | | 11,905 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (122,583) | \$ (72,852) | \$ (66,574) | \$ 16,843 |
| Net loss per common share | \$ (0.60) | \$ (0.36) | \$ (0.32) | \$ 0.08 |

1 - Charge-off percentages annualized

(Dollars in thousands, except per share information)

| | As Reported (GAAP) | Bulk Sale Transaction Impact | Loans Transferred To Held For Sale Impact | Excluding Bulk Sale and Loans Transferred To Held For Sale Impact (Non-GAAP) |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 2013 First Quarter | | | | |
| Total net charge-offs (1) | \$ 204,006 | \$ 98,519 | \$ 35,953 | \$ 69,534 |
| Total net charge-offs to average loans | 8.10% | | | 2.87% |
| Residential mortgage | 11,580 | 1,031 | | 10,549 |
| Residential mortgage loans net charge-offs to average loans | 1.65% | | | 1.50% |
| Commercial mortgage | 56,036 | 40,057 | 14,553 | 1,426 |
| Commercial mortgage loans net charge-offs to average loans | 12.06% | | | 0.34% |
| Commercial and Industrial | 84,829 | 44,678 | | 40,151 |
| Commercial and Industrial loans net charge-offs to average loans | 11.16% | | | 5.47% |
| Construction | 38,515 | 12,753 | 21,400 | 4,362 |
| Construction loans net charge-offs to average loans | 44.66% | | | 7.74% |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | \$ 111,123 | \$ 58,890 | \$ 5,222 | \$ 47,011 |
| Residential mortgage | 7,948 | 979 | | 6,969 |
| Commercial Mortgage | 36,397 | 29,753 | (1,033) | 7,677 |
| Commercial & Industrial | 35,292 | 20,766 | | 14,526 |
| Construction | 21,948 | 7,392 | 6,255 | 8,301 |
| Non-interest expenses | \$ 98,010 | \$ 3,878 | \$ | \$ 94,132 |
| Professional fees | 9,920 | 3,878 | | 6,042 |
| Net loss | \$ (72,633) | \$ (62,768) | \$ (5,222) | \$ (4,643) |
| Net loss per common share | \$ (0.35) | \$ (0.30) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.02) |

1 - Charge-off percentages annualized

Table of Contents

Net charge-offs totaled \$128.9 million for the second quarter of 2013, or 5.25% of average loans on an annualized basis, including \$98.0 million of net charge-offs related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets. Excluding the impact of charge-offs related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets, total net charge-offs for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 were \$31.0 million, or 1.29% of average loans, compared to \$51.7 million, or 2.03% of average loans for the same period in 2012. The decrease in net charge-offs was driven by credit quality improvement primarily achieved through the sales of adversely classified and non-performing loans. The provision for loan and lease losses and net charge-offs, excluding the impact of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets are Non-GAAP measures, refer to Basis of Presentation discussion below for additional information. Also refer to the discussions under Provision for loan and lease losses and Risk Management below for an analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses and non-performing assets and related ratios.

The Corporation recorded a non-interest loss of \$51.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, compared to non-interest income of \$14.0 million for the same period in 2012. The decrease was mainly related to the \$66.6 million write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman. Excluding the impact of the Lehman collateral write off, non-interest income totaled \$14.9 million, an increase of \$0.9 million when compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was mainly driven by a \$3.1 million positive variance in equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities. The Corporation recorded \$0.6 million of equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities in the second quarter of 2013 compared to an equity loss of \$2.5 million for the same period in 2012. Other positive variances include: (i) a \$0.8 million increase in revenues from mortgage banking activities mainly due to realized gains on sales of GNMA MBS and forward contracts used by the Corporation to hedge its securitization pipeline, an increase in servicing fees aligned with a higher servicing portfolio, and a decrease in the valuation allowance of servicing assets, and (ii) a \$0.3 million increase in interchange and other fees related to the credit cards portfolio acquired in late May 2012. These positive variances were partially offset, among other things, by a \$3.4 million loss related to the restructuring of a commercial mortgage held for sale in which the Corporation received certain properties in partial satisfaction of a debt arrangement and modified the terms of the remaining balances.

Non-interest expenses increased \$24.4 million to \$111.3 million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was driven by various factors including: (i) an \$8.0 million increase in net losses on OREO operations, primarily due to a \$1.9 million loss on the sale of certain OREO properties as part of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets, and \$5.3 million in write-downs of the value of certain commercial OREO properties in the Virgin Islands, (ii) a \$3.2 million charge related to the new Puerto Rico national gross receipts tax (\$1.7 million corresponding to the first quarter of 2013, recorded in the second quarter of 2013 after the enactment of the tax law), (iii) \$3.1 million of expenses specifically related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets, (iv) a \$3.3 million increase in professional fees related to the outsourcing of technology services mainly due to services provided by FIS under a multi-year technology outsourcing agreement executed by the Corporation at the beginning of the second quarter of 2013, (v) a \$2.0 million increase in employees' compensation and benefits, and (vi) a \$1.5 million increase in credit and debit card processing expenses mainly due to servicing and operating costs related to the credit card portfolio acquired in late May 2012. Refer to the Non Interest Expenses discussion below for additional information.

For the second quarter of 2013, the Corporation recorded an income tax benefit of \$1.0 million, compared to an income tax expense of \$1.5 million for the same period in 2012. The variance is mainly attributable to the impact of the amendments to the 2011 Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code (the 2011 PR Code) enacted on June 30, 2013. Refer to the Income Taxes discussion below for additional information.

At June 30, 2013, total assets were \$12.8 billion, a decrease of \$296.6 million, or 2%, from December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly related to a \$321.8 million decrease in total loans receivable, net of allowance, and a \$46.5 million decrease in the OREO inventory. This decrease was driven by the two bulk sales of adversely classified and non performing assets completed during the first and second quarter of 2013 that aggregated \$415.2 million of loans and \$25.5 million of OREO properties, and the individual sale of a non-performing commercial mortgage loan with a book value of \$40.8 million. The decrease in total assets was also attributable to a decline of \$111.9 million in cash and cash equivalents and the \$66.6 million write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman. These decreases were partially offset by a \$253.3 million increase in the investment securities portfolio led by purchases of 15-20 years U.S. agency MBS during the first half of 2013. Refer to the Financial Condition and Operating Data discussion below for additional information.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2013, total liabilities were \$11.6 billion, a decrease of \$33.9 million, from December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly related to the repayment of \$150 million of matured FHLB advances and a \$91.8 million decrease in brokered certificates of deposit (brokered CDs). This was partially offset by an increase of \$204.9 million in non-brokered deposits. Refer to the Risk Management Liquidity and Capital Adequacy discussion below for additional information about the Corporation s funding sources.

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2013, the Corporation's stockholders' equity was \$1.2 billion, a decrease of \$262.7 million from December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly driven by the net loss of \$195.2 million for the first half of 2013 and a \$68.6 million decrease in other comprehensive income due to unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities attributable to changes in market interest rates. Refer to the Risk Management - Capital section below for additional information.

Total loan production, including purchases, refinancing and draws from existing revolving and non-revolving commitments, was \$924.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, excluding the utilization activity of the outstanding credit cards portfolio, compared to \$803.5 million for the comparable period in 2012. The increase in loan production is mainly related to increases in residential mortgage loans, commercial and industrial (C&I) loans and, to a lesser extent, consumer loan originations.

Total non-performing loans, including non-performing loans held for sale, as of June 30, 2013, were \$601.2 million, a decrease of \$376.7 million from December 31, 2012. This reduction primarily reflects an aggregate of \$382.5 million of non-performing loans sold as part of the bulk sales of assets completed in the first and second quarter of 2013, and the individual sale of a \$40.8 million non-performing commercial mortgage loan.

Total non-performing assets were \$751.9 million, a decrease of \$486.3 million from December 31, 2012. The decrease was driven by the aforementioned sales of non-performing loans, a decrease of \$46.5 million in OREO, and the write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman. Refer to the Risk Management - Non-accruing and Non-performing Assets section below for additional information.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PRACTICES

The accounting principles of the Corporation and the methods of applying these principles conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP). The Corporation's critical accounting policies relate to the 1) allowance for loan and lease losses; 2) other-than-temporary impairments; 3) income taxes; 4) classification and values of investment securities; 5) valuation of financial instruments; 6) income recognition on loans; 7) loan acquisitions, and 8) equity method accounting for investments in unconsolidated entities. These critical accounting policies involve judgments, estimates and assumptions made by management that affect the amounts recorded for assets and liabilities and for contingent liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from estimates, if different assumptions or conditions prevail. Certain determinations inherently require greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than those originally reported.

The Corporation's critical accounting policies are described in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in First BanCorp.'s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. There have not been any material changes in the Corporation's critical accounting policies since December 31, 2012.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Net Interest Income**

Net interest income is the excess of interest earned by First BanCorp. on its interest-earning assets over the interest incurred on its interest-bearing liabilities. First BanCorp.'s net interest income is subject to interest rate risk due to the repricing and maturity mismatch of the Corporation's assets and liabilities. Net interest income for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 was \$126.9 million and \$251.4 million, respectively, compared to \$108.7 million and \$210.6 million for the comparable periods in 2012. On a tax-equivalent basis and excluding the changes in the fair value of derivative instruments and unrealized gains and losses on liabilities measured at fair value, net interest income for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 was \$129.2 million and \$254.9 million, respectively, compared to \$109.8 million and \$213.2 million for the comparable periods in 2012.

The following tables include a detailed analysis of net interest income. Part I presents average volumes and rates on an adjusted tax-equivalent basis and Part II presents, also on an adjusted tax-equivalent basis, the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in volume of interest-related assets and liabilities have affected the Corporation's net interest income. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (i) changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior period rates), and (ii) changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior period volumes). Rate-volume variances (changes in rate multiplied by changes in volume) have been allocated to the changes in volume and rate based upon their respective percentage of the combined totals.

Table of Contents

The net interest income is computed on an adjusted tax-equivalent basis and excluding: (1) the change in the fair value of derivative instruments and (2) unrealized gains or losses on liabilities measured at fair value. For a definition and reconciliation of this non-GAAP measure, refer to discussions below.

Part I

| Quarter ended June 30, 2013 (Dollars in thousands) | Average Volume | | Interest income (⁽¹⁾ / expense | | Average Rate (⁽¹⁾) | |
|---|------------------------|---------------|---|------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Money market & other short-term investments | \$ 710,561 | \$ 656,642 | \$ 499 | \$ 456 | 0.28% | 0.28% |
| Government obligations ⁽²⁾ | 342,708 | 675,729 | 1,988 | 3,091 | 2.33% | 1.84% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,682,682 | 1,082,175 | 11,571 | 8,366 | 2.76% | 3.11% |
| Corporate bonds | | 1,876 | | 31 | 0.00% | 6.65% |
| FHLB stock | 31,348 | 32,399 | 322 | 353 | 4.12% | 4.38% |
| Equity securities | 1,360 | 1,377 | | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Total investments ⁽³⁾ | 2,768,659 | 2,450,198 | 14,380 | 12,297 | 2.08% | 2.02% |
| Residential mortgage loans | 2,799,369 | 2,784,364 | 37,411 | 36,822 | 5.36% | 5.32% |
| Construction loans | 276,128 | 399,394 | 2,274 | 2,556 | 3.30% | 2.57% |
| C&I and commercial mortgage loans | 4,710,448 | 5,315,831 | 48,551 | 54,321 | 4.13% | 4.11% |
| Finance leases | 239,677 | 239,943 | 5,122 | 5,226 | 8.57% | 8.76% |
| Consumer loans | 1,795,159 | 1,443,697 | 55,262 | 44,097 | 12.35% | 12.28% |
| Total loans ^{(4) (5)} | 9,820,781 | 10,183,229 | 148,620 | 143,022 | 6.07% | 5.65% |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 12,589,440 | \$ 12,633,427 | \$ 163,000 | \$ 155,319 | 5.19% | 4.94% |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Brokered CDs | \$ 3,311,165 | \$ 3,473,457 | \$ 10,473 | \$ 17,803 | 1.27% | 2.06% |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 5,774,995 | 5,587,225 | 13,445 | 15,686 | 0.93% | 1.13% |
| Other borrowed funds | 1,131,959 | 1,171,833 | 8,233 | 8,969 | 2.92% | 3.08% |
| FHLB advances | 365,583 | 344,539 | 1,631 | 3,028 | 1.79% | 3.53% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 10,583,702 | \$ 10,577,054 | \$ 33,782 | \$ 45,486 | 1.28% | 1.73% |
| Net interest income | | | \$ 129,218 | \$ 109,833 | | |
| Interest rate spread | | | | | 3.91% | 3.21% |
| Net interest margin | | | | | 4.12% | 3.50% |

| Six-Month Period Ended June 30, (Dollars in thousands) | Average Volume | | Interest income (⁽¹⁾ / expense | | Average Rate (⁽¹⁾) | |
|---|------------------------|------------|---|--------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Money market & other short-term investments | \$ 744,796 | \$ 579,412 | \$ 1,038 | \$ 825 | 0.28% | 0.29% |
| Government obligations ⁽²⁾ | 334,318 | 816,034 | 3,839 | 7,169 | 2.32% | 1.77% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,609,759 | 990,772 | 21,086 | 15,801 | 2.64% | 3.21% |
| Corporate bonds | | 1,937 | | 60 | 0.00% | 6.23% |
| FHLB stock | 32,227 | 34,525 | 737 | 754 | 4.61% | 4.39% |
| Equity securities | 1,362 | 1,377 | | | 0.00% | 0.00% |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| Total investments ⁽³⁾ | 2,722,462 | 2,424,057 | 26,700 | 24,609 | 1.98% | 2.04% |
| Residential mortgage loans | 2,807,128 | 2,787,543 | 75,415 | 75,562 | 5.42% | 5.45% |
| Construction loans | 310,590 | 415,972 | 4,891 | 5,215 | 3.18% | 2.52% |
| C&I and commercial mortgage loans | 4,804,270 | 5,463,693 | 96,400 | 110,964 | 4.05% | 4.08% |
| Finance leases | 238,468 | 241,643 | 10,208 | 10,538 | 8.63% | 8.77% |
| Consumer loans | 1,788,178 | 1,377,387 | 110,806 | 81,947 | 12.50% | 11.96% |
| Total loans ^{(4) (5)} | 9,948,634 | 10,286,238 | 297,720 | 284,226 | 6.03% | 5.56% |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 12,671,096 | \$ 12,710,295 | \$ 324,420 | \$ 308,835 | 5.16% | 4.89% |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Brokered CDs | \$ 3,374,033 | \$ 3,555,026 | \$ 22,271 | \$ 37,536 | 1.33% | 2.12% |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 5,723,799 | 5,530,210 | 27,191 | 32,687 | 0.96% | 1.19% |
| Other borrowed funds | 1,131,959 | 1,211,706 | 16,396 | 19,186 | 2.92% | 3.18% |
| FHLB advances | 387,943 | 354,165 | 3,656 | 6,269 | 1.90% | 3.56% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities ⁽⁶⁾ | \$ 10,617,734 | \$ 10,651,107 | \$ 69,514 | \$ 95,678 | 1.32% | 1.81% |
| Net interest income | | | \$ 254,906 | \$ 213,157 | | |
| Interest rate spread | | | | | 3.84% | 3.08% |
| Net interest margin | | | | | 4.06% | 3.37% |

- (1) On an adjusted tax-equivalent basis. The adjusted tax-equivalent yield was estimated by dividing the interest rate spread on exempt assets by 1 less the Puerto Rico statutory tax rate (39.0% for 2013; 30% for 2012) and adding to it the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The tax-equivalent adjustment recognizes the income tax savings when comparing taxable and tax-exempt assets. Management believes that it is a standard practice in the banking industry to present net interest income, interest rate spread and net interest margin on a fully tax-equivalent basis. Therefore, management believes these measures provide useful information to investors by allowing them to make peer comparisons. Changes in the fair value of derivative and unrealized gains or losses on liabilities measured at fair value are excluded from interest income and interest expense because the changes in valuation do not affect interest paid or received.

Table of Contents

- (2) Government obligations include debt issued by government sponsored agencies.
- (3) Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are excluded from the average volumes.
- (4) Average loan balances include the average of non-performing loans.
- (5) Interest income on loans includes \$3.5 million and \$2.9 million for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$7.1 million and \$5.3 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of income from prepayment penalties and late fees related to the Corporation's loan portfolio.
- (6) Unrealized gains and losses on liabilities measured at fair value are excluded from the average volumes.

Part II

| (In thousands) | Quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012 | | | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012 | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| | Increase (decrease) | | | Increase (decrease) | | |
| | Volume | Due to: Rate (In thousands) | Total | Volume | Due to: Rate (In thousands) | Total |
| Interest income on interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Money market & other short-term investments | \$ 39 | \$ 4 | \$ 43 | \$ 228 | \$ (15) | \$ 213 |
| Government obligations | (1,729) | 626 | (1,103) | (4,913) | 1,583 | (3,330) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 4,411 | (1,206) | 3,205 | 9,007 | (3,722) | 5,285 |
| Corporate bonds | (31) | | (31) | (60) | | (60) |
| FHLB stock | (11) | (20) | (31) | (53) | 36 | (17) |
| Total investments | 2,679 | (596) | 2,083 | 4,209 | (2,118) | 2,091 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 241 | 348 | 589 | 427 | (574) | (147) |
| Construction loans | (902) | 620 | (282) | (1,507) | 1,183 | (324) |
| C&I and commercial mortgage loans | (6,156) | 386 | (5,770) | (13,526) | (1,038) | (14,564) |
| Finance leases | (5) | (99) | (104) | (150) | (180) | (330) |
| Consumer loans | 10,937 | 228 | 11,165 | 25,117 | 3,742 | 28,859 |
| Total loans | 4,115 | 1,483 | 5,598 | 10,361 | 3,133 | 13,494 |
| Total interest income | 6,794 | 887 | 7,681 | 14,570 | 1,015 | 15,585 |
| Interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Brokered CDs | (794) | (6,536) | (7,330) | (1,833) | (13,432) | (15,265) |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 509 | (2,750) | (2,241) | 1,016 | (6,512) | (5,496) |
| Other borrowed funds | (290) | (446) | (736) | (1,237) | (1,553) | (2,790) |
| FHLB advances | 146 | (1,543) | (1,397) | 463 | (3,076) | (2,613) |
| Total interest expense | (429) | (11,275) | (11,704) | (1,591) | (24,573) | (26,164) |
| Change in net interest income | \$ 7,223 | \$ 12,162 | \$ 19,385 | \$ 16,161 | \$ 25,588 | \$ 41,749 |

Portions of the Corporation's interest-earning assets, mostly investments in obligations of some U.S. government agencies and sponsored entities, generate interest which is exempt from income tax, principally in Puerto Rico. Also, interest and gains on sales of investments held by the Corporation's international banking entities (IBEs) are tax-exempt under the Puerto Rico tax law (refer to the Income Taxes discussion below for additional information). To facilitate the comparison of all interest data related to these assets, the interest income has been converted to an adjusted taxable equivalent basis. The tax equivalent yield was estimated by dividing the interest rate spread on exempt assets by 1 less the Puerto Rico statutory tax rate as adjusted for changes to enacted tax rates (39.0% for 2013 and 30.0% for 2012) and adding to it the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The computation considers the interest expense disallowance required by Puerto Rico tax law. Refer to the Income Taxes discussion below for additional information about the Puerto Rico tax law.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

The presentation of net interest income excluding the effects of the changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments and unrealized gains or losses on liabilities measured at fair value (valuations) provides additional information about the Corporation's net interest income and facilitates comparability and analysis. The changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments and unrealized gains or losses on liabilities measured at fair value have no effect on interest due or interest earned on interest-bearing liabilities or interest-earning assets, respectively, or on interest payments exchanged with interest rate swap counterparties.

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles net interest income in accordance with GAAP to net interest income, excluding valuations, and net interest income on an adjusted tax-equivalent basis. The table also reconciles net interest spread and net interest margin on a GAAP basis to these items excluding valuations and on an adjusted tax-equivalent basis:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|---------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| Interest Income GAAP | \$ 160,670 | \$ 153,652 | \$ 320,895 | \$ 305,759 |
| Unrealized (gain) loss on derivative instruments | (708) | 33 | (1,108) | (299) |
| Interest income excluding valuations | 159,962 | 153,685 | 319,787 | 305,460 |
| Tax-equivalent adjustment | 3,038 | 1,634 | 4,633 | 3,375 |
| Interest income on a tax-equivalent basis excluding valuations | 163,000 | 155,319 | 324,420 | 308,835 |
| Interest Expense GAAP | 33,782 | 44,947 | 69,514 | 95,188 |
| Unrealized gain on derivative instruments and liabilities measured at fair value | | 539 | | 490 |
| Interest expense excluding valuations | 33,782 | 45,486 | 69,514 | 95,678 |
| Net interest income GAAP | \$ 126,888 | \$ 108,705 | \$ 251,381 | \$ 210,571 |
| Net interest income excluding valuations | \$ 126,180 | \$ 108,199 | \$ 250,273 | \$ 209,782 |
| Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis excluding valuations | \$ 129,218 | \$ 109,833 | \$ 254,906 | \$ 213,157 |
| Average Balances | | | | |
| Loans and leases | \$ 9,820,781 | \$ 10,183,229 | \$ 9,948,634 | \$ 10,286,238 |
| Total securities and other short-term investments | 2,768,659 | 2,450,198 | 2,722,462 | 2,424,057 |
| Average Interest-Earning Assets | \$ 12,589,440 | \$ 12,633,427 | \$ 12,671,096 | \$ 12,710,295 |
| Average Interest-Bearing Liabilities | \$ 10,583,702 | \$ 10,577,054 | \$ 10,617,734 | \$ 10,651,107 |
| Average Yield/Rate | | | | |
| Average yield on interest-earning assets GAAP | 5.12% | 4.89% | 5.11% | 4.84% |
| Average rate on interest-bearing liabilities GAAP | 1.28% | 1.71% | 1.32% | 1.80% |
| Net interest spread GAAP | 3.84% | 3.18% | 3.79% | 3.04% |
| Net interest margin GAAP | 4.04% | 3.46% | 4.00% | 3.33% |
| Average yield on interest-earning assets excluding valuations | 5.10% | 4.89% | 5.09% | 4.83% |
| Average rate on interest-bearing liabilities excluding valuations | 1.28% | 1.73% | 1.32% | 1.81% |
| Net interest spread excluding valuations | 3.82% | 3.16% | 3.77% | 3.02% |
| Net interest margin excluding valuations | 4.02% | 3.44% | 3.98% | 3.32% |
| Average yield on interest-earning assets on a tax-equivalent basis and excluding valuations | 5.19% | 4.94% | 5.16% | 4.89% |
| Average rate on interest-bearing liabilities excluding valuations | 1.28% | 1.73% | 1.32% | 1.81% |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Net interest spread on a tax-equivalent basis and excluding valuations | 3.91% | 3.21% | 3.84% | 3.08% |
| Net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis and excluding valuations | 4.12% | 3.50% | 4.06% | 3.37% |

Interest income on interest-earning assets primarily represents interest earned on loans held for investment and investment securities.

Interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities primarily represents interest paid on brokered CDs, branch-based deposits, repurchase agreements, advances from the FHLB and notes payable.

Unrealized gains or losses on derivatives represent changes in the fair value of derivatives, primarily interest rate swaps and caps used for protection against rising interest rates.

Unrealized gains or losses on liabilities measured at fair value represent the change in the fair value of medium-term notes elected to be measured at fair value, other than the accrual of interests. These medium-term notes were repaid in 2012.

Derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps, are subject to market risk. While the Corporation does have certain trading derivatives to facilitate customer transactions, the Corporation does not utilize derivative instruments for speculative purposes. As of June 30, 2013, most of the interest rate swaps outstanding are used for protection against rising interest rates, although not designated as hedges. Refer to Note 9 of the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements for further details concerning the notional amounts of derivative instruments and additional information. As is the case with investment securities, the market value of derivative instruments is largely a function of the financial market's expectations regarding the future direction of interest rates. Accordingly, current market values are not necessarily indicative of the future impact of derivative instruments on net interest income. This will depend, for the most part, on the shape of the yield curve, the level of interest rates, as well as the expectations for rates in the future.

Table of Contents

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, net interest income increased \$18.2 million to \$126.9 million, and \$40.8 million to \$251.4 million compared to the same periods in 2012. The increases were primarily driven by a reduction in the average cost of funds, an improved deposit mix, and interest income contributed by the credit cards portfolio acquired in late May 2012.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the net interest margin, excluding valuations, improved by 58 basis point to 4.02%, and 66 basis points to 3.98% compared to the same periods in the prior year. The improvements in the net interest margin were mainly derived from improved deposit pricing, an improved deposit mix, renewals of maturing brokered CDs at lower current rates, and funding cost reductions resulting from maturities of high cost borrowings. The average cost of brokered CDs decreased by 79 basis points for both the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same periods in 2012, and the average balance of brokered CDs for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 decreased by \$162.3 million and \$181.0 million compared to the same periods in 2012. These reductions resulted in a decline of \$7.3 million and \$15.3 million in interest expense for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2012. Over the past 12 months, the Corporation repaid approximately \$2.4 billion of maturing brokered CDs with an all-in-cost of 1.99% and issued \$2.2 billion of new brokered CDs with an all-in-cost of 0.90%.

The Corporation also reduced the average cost of funds by lowering the rates paid on certain of its savings, interest-bearing checking accounts and retail CDs. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the average rate paid on non-brokered deposits declined by 20 basis points to 0.93%, and 23 basis points to 0.96% compared to the same periods in 2012. These reductions resulted in a decrease of approximately \$2.2 million and \$5.5 million in interest expense for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. The average balance of non-brokered deposits for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 increased \$187.8 million to \$5.8 billion, and \$193.6 million to \$5.7 billion compared to the same periods in 2012. The Corporation's strategic focus remains to grow non-brokered deposits and improve the overall funding mix. In addition, the Corporation benefited from the maturities of some high-cost borrowings, including maturities over the last 12 months of approximately \$275 million of FHLB advances at an average cost of 4.01%. These were replaced by new FHLB advances of approximately \$300 million at an average cost of 1.11% issued over the same period.

Also contributing to the improvement in net interest income and margin was the interest income generated by the credit card portfolio acquired in late May 2012, and an increase in the average volume of auto loans. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the interest income of the credit card portfolio amounted to \$15.7 million and \$32.2 million, respectively, compared to interest income for one month in 2012 of \$6.2 million. The interest income of credit cards includes the discount accretion recorded as an adjustment to the yield of the purchased portfolio of \$2.3 million and \$5.0 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively. This purchase increased the average volume of consumer loans by approximately \$211.8 million and \$282.2 million for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012, and, coupled with the reduction in non-performing loans, was the main driver of the increase in the average yield on total loans. The average volume of auto loans for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 increased \$118.8 million, and \$110.6 million compared to the same periods in 2012, respectively. Although partially offset by lower rates, the higher average volume of auto loans contributed to the \$1.4 million and \$2.5 million increase in interest income of auto loans for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 respectively, when compared to the same periods in 2012. These improvements in the consumer loan portfolio were partially offset by a decrease in the average volume of commercial and construction loans, driven by significant repayments of commercial credit facilities, foreclosures and charge-offs mostly in 2012, and the bulk sale of adversely classified loans completed in the first quarter of 2013. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the average balance of total loans decreased \$362.4 million to \$9.8 billion, and \$337.6 million to \$9.9 billion compared to the same periods in 2012, respectively, while the interest yield on loans, excluding valuations, for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 improved 40 basis points to 6.02%, and 47 basis to 5.99% compared to the same periods last year.

The Corporation also benefited from an increase in the average volume of investment securities. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the average volume of investment securities and interest-bearing cash equivalents increased \$318.5 million to \$2.8 billion, and \$298.4 million to \$2.7 billion compared to the same periods in 2012. The higher volume contributed to increases of \$2.1 million in interest income from investment securities for both the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013. The increase in volume resulted mainly from the purchase, during 2013, of approximately \$484 million of 15-20 Years U.S. Agency MBS with an average yield of 1.88%. During the second half of 2012 the Corporation reinvested maturing 2-5 Years matured U.S. Treasury securities into higher yielding U.S. agency MBS, and in the first half of 2013, the Corporation also deployed some of its cash balances, generating income between 0.25% and 0.35%, into highly liquid U.S. agency MBS.

On an adjusted tax-equivalent basis, net interest income for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 increased \$19.4 million to \$129.2 million, and \$41.7 million to \$254.9 million compared to the same periods in 2012, respectively. The increases were mainly due to reductions in the overall cost of funding, improved loan yields, and a higher volume of investments securities as discussed above. The increase for the 2013 periods also includes an increase of \$1.4 million for the quarter and \$1.3 million for the six-months in the tax-equivalent adjustment compared to the same periods in 2012. The tax-equivalent adjustment increases interest income on tax-exempt securities and loans by an amount that makes tax-exempt income comparable, on a pre-tax basis, to the Corporation's taxable income as previously stated. This increase was mainly

related to changes in statutory tax rates.

Table of Contents**Provision and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses**

The provision for loan and lease losses is charged to earnings to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at a level that the Corporation considers adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the portfolio. The adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses is also based upon a number of additional factors including trends in charge-offs and delinquencies, current economic conditions, the fair value of the underlying collateral and the financial condition of the borrowers, and, as such, includes amounts based on judgments and estimates made by the Corporation. Although the Corporation believes that the allowance for loan and lease losses is adequate, factors beyond the Corporation's control, including factors affecting the economies of Puerto Rico, the United States, the U.S. Virgin Islands and the British Virgin Islands, may contribute to delinquencies and defaults, thus necessitating additional reserves.

For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the Corporation recorded a provision for loan and lease losses of \$87.5 million and \$198.6 million, respectively, compared to \$24.9 million and \$61.1 million for the comparable periods in 2012. The increases in the provision were mainly related to the bulk sales of assets completed in 2013 that resulted in charges to the provision of \$67.9 million and \$126.8 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The increase for the six-month period also reflects a charge of \$5.2 million to the provision related to the transfer of certain commercial loans to held for sale, during the first quarter of 2013, pursuant to executed purchase transactions.

Excluding the impact of the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale, the provision for loan and lease losses for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 was \$19.6 million and \$66.6 million, respectively. The \$5.3 million decrease for the second quarter of 2013, as compared to the same period in 2012, was mainly attributable to lower provision requirements for the Puerto Rico residential mortgage loans portfolio mainly due to lower charge-offs, an improved portfolio composition following the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets, the impact in the prior year of adjustments to loss factors that were reflective of market conditions, including assumptions regarding loss severities that took into consideration qualitative and quantitative factors such as loan resolution and liquidation strategies and average time for liquidation. In addition, there was a reduction in the general reserve allocated to the Florida construction loan portfolio. The \$5.5 million increase for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was mainly related to the increase in the provision for the credit card portfolio as well as provision requirements for three large commercial relationships that migrated into non-performing and adversely classified categories during the first quarter of 2013. Partially offsetting the increases in the provision for the first half of 2013 were reduced charges to specific reserves on a lower level of impaired loans and overall improvements in historical loss rates used to determine general reserves.

The bulk sale of approximately \$217.7 million of adversely classified and non-performing assets in the first quarter of 2013, mainly commercial loans, resulted in charge-offs of approximately \$98.5 million. In determining the historical loss rate for the computation of the general reserve for commercial loans, the Corporation includes the portion of these charge-offs that were related to the acceleration of previously reserved credit losses amounting to approximately \$39.9 million. The Corporation considered that the portion not deemed credit-related losses was not indicative of the ultimate losses that may have occurred had the assets been resolved on an individual basis, over time and not on a steeply discounted bulk sale. A transaction, such as this one, entered into to expedite the reduction of non-performing and adversely classified asset, can result in charge-offs that are not reflective of true credit related charge-offs history since there is a component related to the discounted value realized on a bulk sale basis. Accordingly, the Corporation concluded it is reasonable to exclude the component related to the discounted value from its historical charge-offs analysis used in estimating its allowance for loan losses.

In terms of geography and categories, in Puerto Rico, the Corporation recorded a provision of \$87.3 million and \$192.2 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$27.0 million and \$62.2 million for the comparable periods in 2012. Excluding the impact of the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of loan to held for sale, the provision for loan and lease losses in Puerto Rico for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 decreased \$2.4 million to \$24.6 million, and increased \$9.4 million to \$71.6 million, compared to the same periods in 2012, respectively. The decrease for the second quarter ended June 30, 2013 was mainly driven by a decrease of \$9.9 million in the provision for the residential mortgage loan portfolio, mainly due to lower charge-offs, improved credit quality after the bulk sale of non-performing residential mortgages during the second quarter of 2013, and the impact in the prior year of adjustments to loss factors reflective of market conditions, including assumptions regarding loss severities that took into consideration qualitative and quantitative factors such as loan resolution and liquidation strategies and average time for liquidation. This decrease, together with a decrease of \$2.7 million in the provision for the construction loan portfolio, driven in part by lower charges to specific reserves on a reduced level of impaired loans, was partially offset by an increase of \$6.9 million in the provision for consumer loans, mainly related to the provision recorded for the credit card portfolio acquired in late May 2012 and an increase of \$3.3 million in the provision for commercial and industrial and commercial mortgage loans. The increase for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, was mainly due to an increase of \$12.7 million in the provision for consumer loans, primarily reflecting the provision for the credit card portfolio acquired in late May 2012, and an increase of \$3.9 million in the provision for commercial loans mainly due to the provisions requirements for three large commercial relationships that migrated into non-performing and adversely classified categories during the first quarter of 2013. These increases were partially offset by a reduction of \$7.2 million in the provision for residential mortgage loans primarily due to the aforementioned reasons,

reflecting lower charge-offs and improved credit quality after the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets.

Table of Contents

With respect to the portfolio in the United States, the Corporation recorded a reserve release of \$3.9 million and \$2.4 million for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to a reserve release of \$0.5 million and \$5.4 million for the comparable periods in 2012, respectively. The higher reserve release for the second quarter of 2013, when compared to the same period in 2012, was mainly attributable to a reduction in the general reserves allocated to the construction loan portfolio resulting from lower inherent losses driven by improvements noted in Florida commercial property values. The lower reserve release for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012, was mainly due to a \$3.3 million reserve release recorded in the second quarter of 2012 related to a \$5.3 million residential mortgage loan paid-in full.

The Virgin Islands region recorded a provision for loan and lease losses of \$4.1 million and \$8.8 million for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to a \$1.6 million reserve release for the second quarter of 2012 and a provision of \$4.2 million for the first six months of 2012. The increases in the provision for the second quarter and first half of 2013 was mainly due to the impact of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale. The provision for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes charges of \$5.2 million and \$11.5 million, respectively, related to the bulk sales of non-performing residential assets completed in the second quarter and the transfer of a loan relationship to held for sale during the first quarter. The Corporation expects to complete the sale of this loan relationship during the second half of 2013.

Refer to the discussions under **Credit Risk Management** below for an analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses, non-performing assets, impaired loans and related information, and refer to the discussions under **Financial Condition and Operating Analysis** **Loan Portfolio** and under **Risk Management** **Credit Risk Management** below for additional information concerning the Corporation's loan portfolio exposure in the geographic areas where the Corporation does business.

Non-Interest (Loss) Income

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 3,098 | \$ 3,240 | \$ 6,478 | \$ 6,487 |
| Mortgage banking activities | 4,823 | 4,057 | 9,403 | 8,532 |
| Insurance income | 1,508 | 1,312 | 3,528 | 2,792 |
| Broker-dealer income | | 1,347 | | 2,610 |
| Other operating income | 4,876 | 6,700 | 14,180 | 12,153 |
| Non-interest income before net loss on investments, equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities, and write-off of collateral pledge to Lehman | 14,305 | 16,656 | 33,589 | 32,574 |
| Proceeds from securities litigation settlement and other proceeds | | | | 26 |
| OTTI on equity securities | (42) | | (42) | |
| OTTI on debt securities | | (143) | (117) | (1,376) |
| Net loss on investments | (42) | (143) | (159) | (1,350) |
| Impairment collateral pledged to Lehman | (66,574) | | (66,574) | |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities | 648 | (2,491) | (4,890) | (8,727) |
| Total | \$ (51,663) | \$ 14,022 | \$ (38,034) | \$ 22,497 |

Non-interest income primarily consists of other service charges on deposit accounts; commissions derived from various banking, securities and insurance activities; gains and losses on mortgage banking activities; interchange and other fees related to debit and credit cards; equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities; and net gains and losses on investments and impairments.

Service charges on deposit accounts include monthly fees and other fees on deposit accounts.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

Income from mortgage banking activities includes gains on sales and securitization of loans and revenues earned for administering residential mortgage loans originated by the Corporation and subsequently sold with servicing retained, and realized and unrealized gains and losses on forward contract used to hedge the Corporation's securitization pipeline. In addition, lower-of-cost-or-market valuation adjustments to the Corporation's residential mortgage loans held for sale portfolio and servicing rights portfolio, if any, are recorded as part of mortgage banking activities.

Insurance income consists of insurance commissions earned by the Corporation's subsidiary, FirstBank Insurance Agency, Inc.

Broker-dealer income includes commissions earned by the Corporation's broker-dealer subsidiary, FirstBank Puerto Rico Securities.

Table of Contents

The other operating income category is composed of miscellaneous fees such as debit, credit card and point of sale (POS) interchange fees and check and cash management fees.

The net gain (loss) on investment securities reflects gains or losses as a result of sales that are consistent with the Corporation's investment policies as well as OTTI charges on the Corporation's investment portfolio.

Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities relates to FirstBank's investment in CPG/GS, the entity that purchased \$269 million of loans from FirstBank during the first quarter of 2011. The Bank holds a 35% subordinated ownership interest in CPG/GS. The majority owner of CPG/GS is entitled to recover its initial investment and a priority return of 12% prior to any return paid to the Bank. Accordingly, the Bank's investment of \$19.1 million in CPG/GS is at risk. Refer to Note 12 of the Corporation's unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 for additional information about the Bank's investment in CPG/GS.

Non-interest loss for the second quarter of 2013 amounted to \$51.7 million, compared to non-interest income of \$14.0 million for the second quarter of 2012. The decrease was mainly related to the \$66.6 million write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman. Adjusted non-interest income, excluding the Lehman collateral write-off, increased \$0.9 million compared to the second quarter of 2012 primarily due to the following:

A \$3.1 million positive variance in equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities, as the Corporation recorded equity in earnings of \$0.6 million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to a loss of \$2.5 million for the second quarter of 2012. This adjustment is related to the Bank's investment in CPG/GS. This investment is accounted under the equity method following the hypothetical liquidation book value (HLBV) method to determine the Bank's share in CPG/GS earnings or losses. Under the HLBV method, the Bank determines its share of CPG/GS earnings or losses by determining the difference between its claim on CPG/GS book value at the end of the period as compared to the beginning of the period. The positive variance results from changes in the fair value of loans receivable held by CPG/GS where fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis. At valuation dates, key inputs and assumptions are updated to reflect changes in the market, the performance of the underlying assets, and expectations of a market participant.

A \$0.8 million increase in revenues from the mortgage banking business mainly related to: (i) a \$1.1 million increase in realized gains on sales of GNMA MBS and forward contracts used by the Corporation to hedge its securitization pipeline, (ii) a \$0.7 million increase in servicing fees commensurate with the increase in the servicing portfolio, (iii) an increase of \$0.4 million in the amount of new servicing assets, as compared to the same quarter last year, and (iv) a \$0.3 million decrease in the valuation allowance related to servicing assets, due to improvements in the fair value. These variances were partially offset by a charge of \$1.8 million related to a lower of cost or market valuation adjustment on residential mortgage loans held for sale, net of the unrealized gain on forward contracts that hedge part of the residential mortgage loans held for sale portfolio.

A \$0.3 million increase attributable to interchange and other fees related to the credit card portfolio acquired in late May 2012, recorded as part of Other operating income in the table above.

Partially offsetting these increases was a \$3.4 million loss related to the restructuring of a commercial mortgage loan held for sale in which the Corporation received foreclosed real estate in partial satisfaction of a debt arrangement and modified the terms of the remaining balance. This loss is included as part of Other operating income in the table above.

Non-interest loss for the first half of 2013 amounted to \$38.0 million, including the \$66.6 million write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman, compared to non-interest income of \$22.5 million for the same period in 2012. Adjusted non-interest income, excluding the Lehman collateral write-off, increased \$6.0 million for the first half of 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to:

A \$3.8 million decrease in equity in losses from unconsolidated entities.

A \$2.7 million increase attributable to interchange and other fees related to the credit cards portfolio acquired in May 2012.

A \$1.2 million decrease in OTTI charges on debt and equity securities. The OTTI charge for both periods is mainly related to credit losses associated with private label mortgage backed securities held by the Corporation with an amortized cost of \$62.4 million as of June 30, 2013.

A \$0.9 million increase in revenues from the mortgage banking business mainly related to: (i) a \$1.4 million increase in realized gains on sales of GNMA MBS and forward contracts used by the Corporation to hedge its securitization pipeline, (ii) a \$0.9 million increase in servicing fees commensurate with the increase in the servicing

Table of Contents

portfolio, (iii) an increase of \$0.7 million in the amount of new servicing assets, as compared to the same period last year, and (iv) a \$0.6 million decrease in the valuation allowance related to servicing assets due to improvements in the fair value. These variances were partially offset by: (i) a charge of \$1.8 million related to a lower of cost or market valuation adjustment on residential mortgage loans held for sale, net of the unrealized gain on forward contracts that hedge part of the residential mortgage loans held for sale portfolio, and (ii) a decrease of \$0.6 million in realized gains on sales of mortgage loans in the secondary market to FNMA and FHLMC mainly due to lower profit margins.

A \$0.7 million increase in income from the insurance agency activities mainly related to a higher volume. Partially offsetting these increases was the aforementioned \$3.4 million loss related to the restructuring of a commercial mortgage loan held for sale in the second quarter of 2013, and in which the Corporation received foreclosed real estate in partial satisfaction of a debt arrangement and modified the terms of the remaining balance.

Non-Interest Expenses

The following table presents the detail of non-interest expenses for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Employees compensation and benefits | \$ 33,116 | \$ 31,101 | \$ 66,670 | \$ 62,712 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 14,946 | 15,181 | 30,016 | 30,857 |
| Insurance and supervisory fees | 12,699 | 13,302 | 25,505 | 26,310 |
| Taxes, other than income taxes | 6,239 | 3,435 | 9,228 | 6,851 |
| Professional fees : | | | | |
| Collections, appraisals and other credit related fees | 2,520 | 1,802 | 4,444 | 3,420 |
| Outsourcing technology services | 4,258 | 944 | 5,604 | 2,079 |
| Bulk sales professional fees | 3,060 | | 6,938 | |
| Terminated preferred stock professional fees | 115 | | 1,196 | |
| Other professional fees | 3,782 | 3,484 | 6,685 | 6,800 |
| Credit and debit card processing expenses | 2,281 | 811 | 5,358 | 942 |
| Business promotion | 3,831 | 3,475 | 7,188 | 6,022 |
| Communications | 1,885 | 1,758 | 3,699 | 3,479 |
| Net loss on OREO and OREO operations | 14,829 | 6,786 | 22,139 | 10,229 |
| Other | 7,762 | 4,863 | 14,663 | 12,434 |
| | \$ 111,323 | \$ 86,942 | \$ 209,333 | \$ 172,135 |

Non-interest expenses increased by \$24.4 million to \$111.3 million for the second quarter of 2013 compared to \$86.9 million for the second quarter of 2012. The increase was principally attributable to:

An \$8.0 million increase in the net loss on OREO operations mainly related to a \$1.9 million loss on the sale of certain OREO properties as part of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets and \$5.3 million in write-downs to the value of certain commercial OREO properties in the Virgin Islands. These commercial OREO properties that were written-off had a book value of \$14.3 million as of June 30, 2013 and constituted almost the entire inventory of the Corporation's OREO properties in the Virgin Islands region.

Professional fees of \$3.1 million specifically related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets completed in the second quarter of 2013.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

A \$3.3 million increase in fees for professional services related to the outsourcing of technology services, mainly due to services provided by FIS under a multi-year technology outsourcing agreement executed by the Corporation at the beginning of the quarter. The Bank's information technology (IT) operations were outsourced effective April 1, 2013. Under the multi-year agreement the IT provider, FIS, assumed full operational responsibility for the Bank's IT operations and staff and the alliance is expected to result in lower operating costs and greater operational efficiencies in future periods. The increases in professional fees attributed to this agreement were partially offset by savings in employees' compensation and benefits expense related to employees transferred to the IT service provider and savings in software maintenance costs.

Table of Contents

A \$0.7 million increase in attorney collection fees and mortgage appraisal services, included as part of collections, appraisals and other credit related professional fees in the table above.

A \$2.8 million increase in taxes, other than income taxes, driven by a \$3.2 million charge related to the new Puerto Rico national gross receipts tax (\$1.7 million corresponding to the first quarter upon recorded in the second quarter of 2013 upon the enactment of the tax law retroactive to January 1, 2013).

A \$1.5 million increase in credit and debit card processing fees, driven by servicing and other fees related to the credit card portfolio acquired in May 2012.

A \$2.0 million increase in employee compensation and benefits due to, among other things, the filling of vacant positions, including several managerial and supervisory positions, certain non-periodic expenses such as lump sum and severance payments, and higher incentive and stock-based compensation expenses. These increases were partially offset by savings of approximately \$1.7 million related to employees transferred to FIS.

A \$0.8 million increase in the amortization expense of the purchased credit card relationship intangible, included as part of Other in the table above.

Non-interest expenses increased \$37.2 million for the first half of 2013, compared to the first half of 2012, primarily due to:

A \$11.9 million increase in the net loss on OREO operations mainly related to higher write-downs of the value of OREO properties, including the aforementioned write-offs of \$5.3 million on commercial properties in the Virgin Islands, and the aforementioned \$1.9 million loss on the sale of certain OREO properties as part of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets. In addition, the variance reflects the loss of \$0.7 million recorded in the first quarter of 2013 related to the sale of OREO properties to another company and the impact in the prior year of gains of \$1.3 million on the sale of certain commercial OREO properties.

Professional fees of \$6.9 million specifically related to the bulk sales of assets completed during the first and second quarter of 2013 and \$1.2 million related to expenses associated to a terminated preferred stock exchange offer.

A \$3.5 million increase in fees for professional services related to the outsourcing of technology services, mainly due to services provided by FIS, as explained above.

A \$1.0 million increase in attorney collection fees and mortgage appraisal services, included as part of collections, appraisals and other credit related professional fees in the table above.

A \$4.4 million increase in credit and debit card processing fees, driven by servicing and other fees related to the credit card portfolio acquired in May 2012.

A \$2.4 million increase in taxes, other than income taxes, driven by the aforementioned \$3.2 million charge related to the new Puerto Rico national gross receipts tax

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

A \$1.2 million increase in business promotion expenses mainly due to accrued expenses related to the credit card portfolio rewards program.

A \$4.0 million increase in employee compensation and benefits due to the filling of vacant positions, including several managerial and supervisory positions, certain non-periodic expenses such as lump sum and severance payments, salary merit increase and higher incentive and stock-based compensation expenses. These increases were partially offset by savings related to employees transferred to FIS, as described above.

A \$1.8 million increase in the amortization expense of the purchase credit card relationship intangible, included as part of Other in the table above.

These increases were partially offset by a \$1.0 million decrease in the deposit insurance premium. This charge is included as part of Insurance and Supervisory fees in the table above.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

Income tax expense includes Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands income taxes as well as applicable U.S. federal and state taxes. The Corporation is subject to Puerto Rico income tax on its income from all sources. As a Puerto Rico corporation, First BanCorp. is treated as a foreign corporation for U.S. income tax purposes and is generally subject to United States income tax only on its income from sources within the United States or income effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States. Any such tax paid is also creditable against the Corporation's Puerto Rico tax liability, subject to certain conditions and limitations.

On June 30, 2013, the Puerto Rico Government approved Act No. 40 (Act 40), known as the Tax Burden Adjustment and Redistribution Act, which amended the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code of 2011 (the 2011 PR Code), and Act No. 46 (Act 46), which brings changes to the sales and use tax regime. The main provisions of Act 40 that impact financial institutions include:

A new national gross receipts tax that in the case of financial institutions is 1% of gross income which is not deductible for purposes of computing net taxable income and is not part of the alternative minimum tax (AMT). This provision is retroactive to January 1, 2013. As a result, an expense of \$3.2 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2013 related to the national gross receipts tax which reflects 6 months of expenses. The impact of the gross national receipts tax corresponding to the first quarter of 2013 amounted to \$1.7 million. This expense is included as part of Taxes, other than income taxes in the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Income. Subject to certain limitations, a financial institution will be able to claim a credit of 0.5% of its gross income against its regular income tax or the alternative minimum tax. A \$1.6 million benefit related to this credit was recorded as a reduction to the provision for income taxes in the second quarter.

A decrease in the deduction available to corporations for the computation of the additional surtax from \$750,000 to \$25,000 and a change in the surtax rate to rates that range from 5% to 19%, resulting in an increase in the maximum statutory tax rate from 30% to 39%. This provision is also retroactive to January 1, 2013. The effect on operating results in the second quarter of 2013 related to these changes was a net benefit of approximately \$0.5 million, mainly due to the increase in the deferred tax asset of profitable subsidiaries. The deferred tax valuation allowance increased to \$523.4 million as of June 30, 2013 from \$384.4 million at March 31, 2013 as a result of changes in tax rates and operating results for the second quarter.

A higher AMT rate (30% of the alternative minimum net income, as compared to 20% previously) and various parallel computations required to be made before determining whether an AMT liability exists. This change did not have an impact on the Corporation's provision for income taxes recorded in the second quarter of 2013.

The Net Operating Loss (NOL) carryover period increased from 10 years to 12 years for losses incurred in taxable years that commenced after December 31, 2004 and ended before January 1, 2013. The carryover period for NOL incurred during taxable years commencing after December 31, 2012 will be 10 years. The NOL deduction is now limited to 90% of taxable income for regular income tax purpose and 80% for AMT purposes.

Significant changes to the sales and use tax regime include adjustments to the Business to Business exclusion. The business to business exclusion applicable to services rendered from one registered business to another registered business remains in effect, except for certain services, which will be taxable including, among others, service charges imposed by financial institutions to other businesses (commercial clients), collection services, repairs and maintenance services of real and personal property, and computer programming including modifications to previously designed systems. The sales and use tax provisions were effective beginning on July 1, 2013.

Under the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code, the Corporation and its subsidiaries are treated as separate taxable entities and are not entitled to file consolidated tax returns and, thus, the Corporation is not able to utilize losses from one subsidiary to offset gains in another subsidiary. Accordingly, in order to obtain a tax benefit from a net operating loss, a particular subsidiary must be able to demonstrate sufficient taxable income within the applicable carry forward period. The 2011 PR Code provides a dividend received deduction of 100% on dividends received from controlled subsidiaries subject to taxation in Puerto Rico and 85% on dividends received from other taxable domestic corporations. Dividend payments from a U.S. subsidiary to the Corporation are subject to a 10% withholding tax based on the provisions of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

The Corporation has maintained an effective tax rate lower than the maximum statutory rate mainly by investing in government obligations and mortgage-backed securities exempt from U.S. and Puerto Rico income taxes and by doing business through an International Banking Entity (IBE) of the Bank and through the Bank s subsidiary, FirstBank Overseas Corporation, whose interest

Table of Contents

income and gain on sales is exempt from Puerto Rico and U.S. income taxation. The IBEs and FirstBank Overseas Corporation were created under the International Banking Entity Act of Puerto Rico, which provides for total Puerto Rico tax exemption on net income derived by IBEs operating in Puerto Rico. An IBE that operates as a unit of a bank pays income taxes at normal rates to the extent that the IBEs' net income exceeds 20% of the bank's total net taxable income.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the Corporation recorded an income tax benefit of \$1.0 million and an income tax expense of \$0.6 million compared to an income tax expense of \$1.5 million and \$3.7 million for the same periods in 2012. The decrease mainly reflects the impact of the amendments to the 2011 PR Code enacted on June 30, 2013, primarily the \$1.6 million benefit related to the credit that the Corporation will be able to claim against its regular income tax or the alternative minimum tax (50% of the national gross receipts tax assessed), and the net benefit of \$0.5 million related to the increase in the deferred tax asset of profitable subsidiaries due to changes in statutory tax rates. The income tax in the interim financial statements is calculated based on the income of the individual subsidiaries and the currently valid tax rates as a best possible estimate. As of June 30, 2013, the deferred tax asset, net of a valuation allowance of \$523.4 million, amounted to \$6.6 million compared to \$4.9 million as of December 31, 2012. The increase in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance to \$523.4 million as of June 30, 2013 from \$359.9 million as of December 31, 2012 was mainly due to the increase in statutory tax rates and operating results for the first half of 2013.

Accounting for income taxes requires that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against their deferred tax asset based on an assessment of the amount of the deferred tax asset that is more likely than not to be realized. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. In making such assessment, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified, including both positive and negative evidence. Consideration must be given to all sources of taxable income available to realize the deferred tax asset, including the future reversal of existing temporary differences, future taxable income exclusive of the reversal of temporary differences and carryforwards, taxable income in carryback years and tax planning strategies. In estimating taxes, management assesses the relative merits and risks of the appropriate tax treatment of transactions taking into account statutory, judicial and regulatory guidance, and recognizes tax benefits only when deemed probable of realization.

In assessing the weight of positive and negative evidence, a significant negative factor that resulted in the maintenance of the valuation allowance was that the Corporation's banking subsidiary, FirstBank Puerto Rico, was in a three-year historical cumulative loss position as of June 30, 2013, mainly due to significant charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in prior years as a result of the economic downturn, and the bulk sales of assets completed in 2013. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had a gross deferred tax asset of \$542.5 million, including \$376.9 million associated with net operating losses (NOLs). The Bank incurred all of the NOLs on or after 2009. As mentioned before, the Corporation maintained a valuation allowance of \$523.4 million as of June 30, 2013 against the deferred tax asset. As of June 30, 2013, management concluded that \$6.6 million of the deferred tax asset will be realized as it relate to profitable subsidiaries and to amounts that can be realized through future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences. To the extent the realization of a portion, or all, of the tax asset becomes more likely than not based on changes in circumstances (such as, improved earnings, changes in tax laws or other relevant changes), a reversal of that portion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance will then be recorded.

The authoritative accounting guidance prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of income tax uncertainties with respect to positions taken or expected to be taken on income tax returns. Under this guidance, income tax benefits are recognized and measured based upon a two-step analysis: 1) a tax position must be more likely than not to be sustained based solely on its technical merits in order to be recognized, and 2) the benefit is measured as the largest dollar amount of that position that is more likely than not to be sustained upon settlement. The difference between the benefit recognized under this analysis and the tax benefit claimed on a tax return is referred to as an unrecognized tax benefit (UTB).

During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation recorded UTBs of \$2.4 million, all of which would, if recognized, affect the Corporation's effective tax rate. The Corporation classified all interest and penalties, if any, related to tax uncertainties as income tax expense. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's accrued interest that relates to tax uncertainties amounted to \$1.2 million and there is no need to accrue for the payment of penalties. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the total amount of interest recognized by the Corporation as part of income tax expense related to tax uncertainties was \$59,000 and \$0.1 million, respectively. During the first half of 2013, there was no change to the UTB of \$2.4 million. The amount of UTBs may increase or decrease for various reasons, including changes in the amounts for current tax year positions, the expiration of open income tax returns due to the expiration of statutes of limitations, changes in management's judgment about the level of uncertainty, the status of examinations, litigation and legislative activity, and the addition, or elimination of uncertain tax positions. The years 2007 through 2009 have been examined by the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and disputed issues have been taken to administrative appeals. During the second quarter of 2013, the IRS administrative appeals office requested an extension to the statutes of limitations on assessments with respect to this matter, which the Corporation agreed to. Although the timing of the resolution and/or closure of audits is highly uncertain, the Corporation believes it is reasonably possible that the IRS will conclude the audit of years 2007 through 2009 within the next 12 months. If any issues addressed in the IRS audit are resolved in a manner not consistent with the Corporation's expectations, the Corporation could be required to adjust its provision for income taxes in the period such resolution occurs. The Corporation currently cannot

reasonably estimate a range of possible changes to existing reserves.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's liability for income taxes includes its liability for UTBs, and interest that relates to tax years still subject to review by taxing authorities. Audit periods remain open for review until the statute of limitations has passed. The statute of limitations under the 2011 PR Code is 4 years; the statute of limitations for the Virgin Islands and for U.S. income tax purposes is 3 years after a tax return is due or filed, whichever is later. The completion of an audit by the taxing authorities or the expiration of the statute of limitations for a given audit period could result in an adjustment to the Corporation's liability for income taxes. Any such adjustment could be material to results of operations for any given quarterly or annual period based, in part, upon the results of operations for the given period. For Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands income tax purposes, all tax years subsequent to 2009 and 2010, respectively, remain open to examination. Tax year 2010 is currently under examination by the Puerto Rico Department of Treasury. The examination is at a preliminary stage. Taxable years from 2007 remain open to examination for U.S. income tax purpose.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND OPERATING DATA ANALYSIS**Assets**

Total assets were approximately \$12.8 billion as of June 30, 2013, down \$296.6 million from December 31, 2012. Total loans, net of the allowance for loan and lease losses, decreased by \$321.8 million, led by the two bulk sales of assets completed during the first half of 2013, and the individual sale of a non-performing commercial mortgage loan with a book value of \$40.8 million. Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$111.9 million and total investment securities increased by \$253.3 million mainly due to purchases of approximately \$484.4 million of 15-20 Years U.S. agency MBS.

Loan Portfolio

The following table presents the composition of the Corporation's loan portfolio, including loans held for sale, as of the dates indicated:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|
| Residential mortgage loans | \$ 2,511,206 | 2,747,217 |
| Commercial loans: | | |
| Commercial mortgage loans ⁽¹⁾ | 1,916,509 | 1,883,798 |
| Construction loans ⁽¹⁾ | 194,912 | 361,875 |
| Commercial and Industrial loans ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 2,527,431 | 2,793,157 |
| Loans to a local financial institution collateralized by real estate mortgages | 248,360 | 255,390 |
| Total commercial loans | 4,887,212 | 5,294,220 |
| Finance leases | 241,675 | 236,926 |
| Consumer loans | 1,805,693 | 1,775,751 |
| Total loans held for investment | 9,445,786 | 10,054,114 |
| Less: | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (301,047) | (435,414) |
| Total loans held for investment, net | \$ 9,144,739 | \$ 9,618,700 |
| Loans held for sale | 237,583 | 85,394 |
| Total loans, net | \$ 9,382,322 | \$ 9,704,094 |

- (1) During the second quarter of 2013, after a comprehensive review of substantially all of the loans in our commercial portfolios, the classification of certain loans was revised to more accurately depict the nature of the underlying loans. This reclassification resulted in a

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

net increase of \$269.0 million in commercial mortgage loans, since the principal source of repayment for such loans is derived primarily from the operation of the underlying real estate, with a corresponding decrease of \$246.8 million in commercial and industrial loans and a \$22.2 million decrease in construction loans. The Corporation evaluated the impact of this reclassification on the provision for loan losses and determined that the effect of this adjustment was not material to any previously reported results.

- (2) As of June 30, 2013, includes \$1.2 billion of commercial loans that are secured by real estate (owner-occupied commercial loans secured by real estate) but are not dependent upon the real estate for repayment.

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's total loans, net of allowance, decreased by \$321.8 million, when compared with the balance as of December 31, 2012. The major reductions were mainly the result of the two bulk sales of assets. The first bulk sale, which took place during the first quarter of 2013, was mainly composed of adversely classified and non-performing loans with a book value of \$211.4 million (\$100.1 million of C&I loans, \$68.8 million of commercial mortgage loans, \$41.3 million of construction loans, and \$1.2 million of residential mortgage loans). The second bulk sale, which took place during the second quarter of 2013, was mainly composed of non performing residential mortgage loans with a book value of \$203.8 million.

Table of Contents

Of the total gross loan portfolio of \$9.7 billion as of June 30, 2013, approximately 85% have credit risk concentration in Puerto Rico, 8% in the United States (mainly in the state of Florida) and 7% in the Virgin Islands, as shown in the following table:

| As of June 30, 2013 | Puerto Rico | Virgin Islands | United States | Total |
|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$ 1,867,053 | \$ 369,073 | \$ 275,080 | \$ 2,511,206 |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 1,520,172 | 74,430 | 321,907 | 1,916,509 |
| Construction loans | 128,631 | 38,933 | 27,348 | 194,912 |
| Commercial and Industrial loans | 2,305,824 | 98,937 | 122,670 | 2,527,431 |
| Loans to a local financial institution collateralized by real estate mortgages | 248,360 | | | 248,360 |
| Total commercial loans | 4,202,987 | 212,300 | 471,925 | 4,887,212 |
| Finance leases | 241,675 | | | 241,675 |
| Consumer loans | 1,725,026 | 50,071 | 30,596 | 1,805,693 |
| Total loans held for investment, gross | \$ 8,036,741 | \$ 631,444 | \$ 777,601 | \$ 9,445,786 |
| Allowance for loans and lease losses | (247,005) | (14,651) | (39,391) | (301,047) |
| Total loans held for investment, net | 7,789,736 | 616,793 | 738,210 | 9,144,739 |
| Loans held for sale | 186,097 | 42,513 | 8,973 | 237,583 |
| Total loans | \$ 7,975,833 | \$ 659,306 | \$ 747,183 | \$ 9,382,322 |

Loan Production

First BanCorp. relies primarily on its retail network of branches to originate residential and consumer loans. The Corporation supplements its residential mortgage originations with wholesale servicing released mortgage loan purchases from mortgage bankers. The Corporation manages its construction and commercial loan originations through centralized units and most of its originations come from existing customers as well as through referrals and direct solicitations.

The following table details First BanCorp's loan production, including purchases, refinancings, and draws from existing revolving and non-revolving commitments for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2013 | Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Residential real estate | \$ 262,472 | \$ 193,551 | \$ 492,189 | \$ 355,402 |
| C&I and commercial mortgage | 431,527 | 390,829 | 696,655 | 627,808 |
| Construction | 14,522 | 16,295 | 42,897 | 26,384 |
| Finance leases | 27,118 | 25,044 | 52,267 | 47,403 |
| Consumer | 280,706 | 212,012 | 534,550 | 350,008 |
| Total loan production | \$ 1,016,345 | \$ 837,731 | \$ 1,818,558 | \$ 1,407,005 |

The Corporation is experiencing continued loan demand and has continued its targeted origination strategies. During the second quarter and first half of 2013 total loan originations, including purchases, refinancings and draws from existing revolving and non-revolving commitments, amounted to approximately \$1.0 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively, compared to \$837.7 million and \$1.4 billion, respectively, for the comparable periods in 2012. The increase for the second quarter and first half of 2013, compared to the same periods in 2012, was mainly

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

related to an increase in C&I loan originations as well as increases in residential and consumer loan originations. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, government loan originations increased \$31.8 million to \$115.8 million, and \$20.5 million to \$167.9 million compared to the same periods in 2012. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, commercial loan originations (excluding government loans) increased \$8.9 million to \$315.8 million, and \$48.3 million to \$528.7 million compared to the same periods in 2012. The increase in commercial loan originations was mainly related to new originations with individual amounts in excess of \$1 million primarily in the Florida region. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, residential mortgage loan originations and purchases increased \$68.9 million to \$262.5 million, and \$136.8 million

Table of Contents

to \$492.2 million compared to the same periods in 2012. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, auto loan originations (including finance leases) increased \$11.0 million to \$157.1 million, and \$40.3 million to \$303.2 million compared to the same periods in 2012. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, the utilization activity on outstanding credit cards amounted to \$91.6 million and \$178.5 million, respectively. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, other consumer loan originations (mainly personal loans) increased \$2.3 million to \$59.1 million, and \$4.8 million to \$105.1 million compared to the same periods in 2012. Loan production statistics for 2012 exclude the purchase of the \$406 million credit cards portfolio acquired in late May 2012.

Residential Real Estate Loans

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's residential real estate loan portfolio held for investment decreased by \$236.0 million as compared to the balance as of December 31, 2012, mainly reflecting the bulk sale of non-performing residential loans with a book value of \$203.7 million completed in the second quarter of 2013, foreclosures of \$27.5 million, charge-offs and principal repayments. Such decrease was partially offset by loan originations retained in the portfolio during the period. The majority of the Corporation's outstanding balance of residential mortgage loans consists of fixed-rate, fully amortizing, full documentation loans. In accordance with the Corporation's underwriting guidelines, residential real estate loans are mostly fully documented loans, and the Corporation is not actively involved in the origination of negative amortization loans, or adjustable-rate mortgage loans. Refer to the Contractual Obligations and Commitments discussion below for additional information about outstanding commitments to sell mortgage loans.

Commercial and Construction Loans

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's commercial and construction loan portfolio held for investment decreased by \$407.0 million, as compared to the balance as of December 31, 2012. The reduction primarily reflects the impact of adversely classified commercial loans included in the bulk sale of adversely classified and non-performing assets with a book value of \$210.2 million (\$100.1 million of C&I loans, \$68.8 million of commercial mortgage loans, and \$41.3 million of construction loans), the transfer of \$181.6 million of non-performing loans to held for sale, of which \$40.8 million was sold during the second quarter of 2013, and to a lesser extent, charge-offs recorded during the first half of 2013, including a \$25.4 million charge-off related to a single commercial relationship restructured in the first quarter of 2013 into a split Note A/B. The Corporation's commercial loans are primarily variable- and adjustable-rate loans.

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had \$250.4 million outstanding in credit facilities granted to the Puerto Rico government and/or its political subdivisions, up from \$158.4 million as of December 31, 2012, and \$38.6 million granted to the government of the Virgin Islands, up from \$35.5 million as of December 31, 2012. A substantial portion of these credit facilities consists of loans to municipalities in Puerto Rico for which the good faith, credit, and unlimited taxing power of the applicable municipality has been pledged to their repayment. Another portion of these obligations consists of loans to public corporations that obtain revenues from rates charged for services or products, such as electric power and water utilities. Public corporations have varying degrees of independence from the central government and many receive appropriations or other payments from it.

In addition to loans extended to government entities, the largest loan to one borrower as of June 30, 2013 in the amount of \$248.4 million is with one mortgage originator in Puerto Rico, Doral Financial Corporation. This commercial loan is secured by individual real-estate loans, mostly 1-4 single family residential mortgage loans in Puerto Rico. This loan is subject to collateral substitution that requires the borrower to substitute defaulted mortgages. As of June 30, 2013 this loan is current.

Construction loans originations decreased by \$1.8 million to \$14.5 million for the second quarter of 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, and increased by \$16.5 million to \$42.9 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. The Corporation has significantly reduced its exposure to construction loans in its Florida operations and construction loan originations in Puerto Rico are mainly draws from existing commitments.

The decrease in the construction loan portfolio held for investment was driven by the inclusion of construction loans having a book value of \$41.3 million in the bulk sale of adversely classified and non-performing assets completed during the first quarter of 2013 and the transfer of construction loans to held for sale of \$78.4 million.

Table of Contents

The composition of the Corporation's construction loan portfolio held for investment as of June 30, 2013 by category and geographic location is as follows:

| As of June 30, 2013 | Puerto Rico | Virgin Islands | United States | Total |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Loans for residential housing projects: | | | | |
| Mid-rise ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 23,076 | \$ 4,812 | \$ 37 | \$ 27,925 |
| Single-family, detached | 21,866 | | 3,854 | 25,720 |
| Total for residential housing projects | 44,942 | 4,812 | 3,891 | 53,645 |
| Construction loans to individuals secured by residential properties | 5,805 | 3,345 | | 9,150 |
| Loans for commercial projects | 40,347 | 4,005 | | 44,352 |
| Bridge loans residential | 262 | | | 262 |
| Bridge loans commercial | | 13,575 | | 13,575 |
| Land loans residential | 27,868 | 10,477 | 17,230 | 55,575 |
| Land loans commercial | 9,241 | 1,870 | 6,227 | 17,338 |
| Working capital | | 1,041 | | 1,041 |
| Total before net deferred fees and allowance for loan losses | \$ 128,465 | \$ 39,125 | \$ 27,348 | \$ 194,938 |
| Net deferred fees | 166 | (192) | | (26) |
| Total construction loan portfolio, gross | 128,631 | 38,933 | 27,348 | 194,912 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (16,369) | (7,286) | (11,073) | (34,728) |
| Total construction loan portfolio, net | \$ 112,262 | \$ 31,647 | \$ 16,275 | \$ 160,184 |

(1) Mid-rise relates to buildings of up to 7 stories.

Table of Contents

The following table presents further information on the Corporation's construction portfolio as of and for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013:

| (In thousands) | |
|---|-----------|
| Total undisbursed funds under existing commitments | \$ 65,232 |
| Construction loans held for investment in non-accrual status | \$ 68,204 |
| Construction loans held for sale in non-accrual status | \$ 56,934 |
| Net charge offs - Construction loans ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 40,883 |
| Allowance for loan losses - Construction loans | \$ 34,728 |
| Non-performing construction loans to total construction loans, including held for sale | 49.69% |
| Allowance for loan losses - construction loans to total construction loans held for investments | 17.82% |
| Net charge-offs (annualized) to total average construction loans ⁽²⁾ | 26.33% |

(1) Includes net charge-offs of \$34.2 million related to the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale.

(2) The ratio of construction loans net charge-offs to average loans, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 5.34%.

The following summarizes the construction loans for residential housing projects in Puerto Rico segregated by the estimated selling price of the units:

| | (In Thousands) |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Under \$300k | \$ 16,935 |
| \$300k - \$600k | |
| Over \$600k ⁽¹⁾ | 28,007 |
| | \$ 44,942 |

(1) Mainly composed of four residential housing projects in Puerto Rico.

Consumer Loans and Finance Leases

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's consumer loan and finance lease portfolio increased by \$34.7 million, as compared to the portfolio balance as of December 31, 2012. This is mainly the result of loan originations activity during the first half of 2013, mainly driven by auto loans originations, partially offset by charge-offs and repayments.

Investment Activities

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

As part of its strategy to diversify its revenue sources and maximize its net interest income, First BanCorp. maintains an investment portfolio that is classified as available for sale. The Corporation's total investment securities portfolio as of June 30, 2013 amounted to \$2.0 billion, an increase of \$253.3 million from December 31, 2012, mainly due to an increase of approximately \$251.3 million in mortgage backed securities resulting from purchases in the first half of 2013 of approximately \$484 million of 15-20 Years U.S. Agency MBS with an average yield of 1.88%. The Corporation deployed some of its cash balances, generating income between 0.25% and 0.35%, into highly liquid securities with a higher yield.

Approximately 94% of the Corporation's available-for-sale securities portfolio is invested in U.S. Government and Agency debentures and fixed-rate U.S. government sponsored-agency MBS (mainly GNMA, FNMA and FHLMC fixed-rate securities). The Corporation's investment in equity securities classified as available for sale is minimal, approximately \$35,000, which consists of common stock of another financial institution in Puerto Rico. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation held approximately \$67.4 million of Puerto Rico government obligations. The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico credit rating was downgraded by Moody's Investor Service (Moody's) in December 2012 to Baa3 with a negative outlook, with various factors noted, including the lack of clear growth catalysts, the fiscal budget deficits, and financial condition of the public sector employee pension plans, which are significantly underfunded. In addition, in March 2013, Standard & Poor's (S&P) downgraded the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico rating to BBB-, one step from junk status, with a negative outlook. S&P based the decision on the result of an estimated fiscal 2013 budget gap, which S&P views as significantly larger than originally budgeted. Also in March 2013, Fitch Ratings downgraded its rating on \$10.6 billion of Puerto Rico general obligation bonds by two notches, to BBB- minus from BBB- plus, saying the Commonwealth was facing a large budget imbalance caused by a weak economy and revenues. These downgrades could have an adverse impact on economic conditions, but its ultimate impact is unpredictable and may not be immediately apparent. The Puerto Rico government approved a budget for fiscal year 2014 to reduce its general fund deficit, including new tax measures expected to result in additional revenues.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the carrying value of investment securities at the indicated dates:

| | As of June 30, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Money market investments | \$ 216,374 | \$ 216,835 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value: | | |
| U.S. Government and agencies obligations | 259,227 | 247,072 |
| Puerto Rico government obligations | 67,425 | 71,200 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,664,101 | 1,412,774 |
| Equity securities | 35 | 31 |
| | 1,990,788 | 1,731,077 |
| Other equity securities, including \$31.0 million and \$37.5 million of FHLB stock as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 32,321 | 38,757 |
| Total money market investments and investment securities | \$ 2,239,483 | \$ 1,986,669 |

Mortgage-backed securities at the indicated dates consist of:

| (In thousands) | As of June 30, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Available-for-sale: | | |
| FHLMC certificates | \$ 321,696 | \$ 129,240 |
| GNMA certificates | 498,177 | 604,672 |
| FNMA certificates | 798,483 | 627,636 |
| Collateralized Mortgage Obligations issued or guaranteed by FHLMC | 174 | 300 |
| Other mortgage pass-through certificates | 45,571 | 50,926 |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | \$ 1,664,101 | \$ 1,412,774 |

Table of Contents

The carrying values of investment securities classified as available for sale as of June 30, 2013 by contractual maturity (excluding mortgage-backed securities and equity securities) are shown below:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Carrying Amount | Weighted Average Yield % |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------|
| U.S. Government and agencies obligations | | |
| Due within one year | \$ 7,494 | 0.12 |
| Due after one year through five years | 48,551 | 1.05 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 203,182 | 1.31 |
| | 259,227 | 1.23 |
| Puerto Rico Government obligations | | |
| Due within one year | 9,985 | 3.50 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 37,337 | 4.49 |
| Due after ten years | 20,103 | 5.79 |
| | 67,425 | 4.74 |
| Total | 326,652 | 1.96 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,664,101 | 2.70 |
| Equity securities | 35 | |
| Total investment securities available for sale | \$ 1,990,788 | 2.57 |

Net interest income of future periods could be affected by prepayments of mortgage-backed securities. Acceleration in the prepayments of mortgage-backed securities would lower yields on these securities, as the amortization of premiums paid upon acquisition of these securities would accelerate. Conversely, acceleration of the prepayments of mortgage-backed securities would increase yields on securities purchased at a discount, as the amortization of the discount would accelerate. These risks are directly linked to future period market interest rate fluctuations. Also, net interest income in future periods might be affected by the Corporation's investment in callable securities. Approximately \$25.7 million of FHLB debt securities with an average yield of 0.35% were called during the first half of 2013. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation has approximately \$62.6 million in debt securities (U.S. Agencies and Puerto Rico government securities) with embedded calls and with an average yield of 1.80%. Refer to the Risk Management section below for further analysis of the effects of changing interest rates on the Corporation's net interest income and of the interest rate risk management strategies followed by the Corporation. Also refer to Note 4 to the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the Corporation's investment portfolio.

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risks are inherent in virtually all aspects of the Corporation's business activities and operations. Consequently, effective risk management is fundamental to the success of the Corporation. The primary goals of risk management are to ensure that the Corporation's risk-taking activities are consistent with the Corporation's objectives and risk tolerance and that there is an appropriate balance between risk and reward in order to maximize stockholder value.

The Corporation has in place a risk management framework to monitor, evaluate and manage the principal risks assumed in conducting its activities. First BanCorp.'s business is subject to eight broad categories of risks: (1) liquidity risk, (2) interest rate risk, (3) market risk, (4) credit risk, (5) operational risk, (6) legal and compliance risk, (7) reputational risk, and (8) contingency risk. First BanCorp. has adopted policies and procedures designed to identify and manage risks to which the Corporation is exposed, specifically those relating to liquidity risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, and operational risk.

The Corporation's risk management policies are described below as well as in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of First BanCorp.'s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Liquidity Risk and Capital Adequacy

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

Liquidity is the ongoing ability to accommodate liability maturities and deposit withdrawals, fund asset growth and business operations, and meet contractual obligations through unconstrained access to funding at reasonable market rates. Liquidity management involves forecasting funding requirements and maintaining sufficient capacity to meet the needs for liquidity and accommodate fluctuations in asset and liability levels due to changes in the Corporation's business operations or unanticipated events.

Table of Contents

The Corporation manages liquidity at two levels. The first is the liquidity of the parent company, which is the holding company that owns the banking and non-banking subsidiaries. The second is the liquidity of the banking subsidiary. As of June 30, 2013, FirstBank could not pay any dividend to the parent company except upon receipt of prior approval by the New York FED and Federal Reserve Board because of the Agreements.

The Asset and Liability Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for establishing the Corporation's liquidity policy as well as approving operating and contingency procedures, and monitoring liquidity on an ongoing basis. Management's Investment and Assets Liability Committee (the MIALCO), using measures of liquidity developed by management, which involve the use of several assumptions, reviews the Corporation's liquidity position on a monthly basis. The MIALCO oversees liquidity management, interest rate risk and other related matters. The MIALCO, which reports to the Board of Directors' Asset and Liability Committee, is composed of senior management officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Risk Officer, the Retail Financial Services Director, the Risk Manager of the Treasury and Investments Division, the Financial Analysis and Asset/Liability Director and the Treasurer. The Treasury and Investments Division is responsible for planning and executing the Corporation's funding activities and strategy; monitoring liquidity availability on a daily basis and reviewing liquidity measures on a weekly basis. The Treasury and Investments Accounting and Operations area of the Comptroller's Department is responsible for calculating the liquidity measurements used by the Treasury and Investment Division to review the Corporation's liquidity position on a monthly basis; the Financial Analysis and Asset/Liability Director estimates the liquidity gap for longer periods.

In order to ensure adequate liquidity through the full range of potential operating environments and market conditions, the Corporation conducts its liquidity management and business activities in a manner that will preserve and enhance funding stability, flexibility and diversity. Key components of this operating strategy include a strong focus on the continued development of customer-based funding, the maintenance of direct relationships with wholesale market funding providers, and the maintenance of the ability to liquidate certain assets when, and if, requirements warrant.

The Corporation develops and maintains contingency funding plans. These plans evaluate the Corporation's liquidity position under various operating circumstances and allow the Corporation to ensure that it will be able to operate through periods of stress when access to normal sources of funds is constrained. The plans project funding requirements during a potential period of stress, specify and quantify sources of liquidity, outline actions and procedures for effectively managing through a difficult period, and define roles and responsibilities. Under the Contingency Funding Plan, the Corporation stresses the balance sheet and the liquidity position to critical levels that imply difficulties in getting new funds or even maintaining the Corporation's/Bank's current funding position, thereby ensuring the Corporation's/Bank's ability to honor its commitments, and establishing liquidity triggers monitored by the MIALCO in order to maintain the ordinary funding of the banking business. Four different scenarios are defined in the Contingency Funding Plan: local market event, credit rating downgrade, an economic cycle downturn event, and a concentration event. They are reviewed and approved annually by the Board of Directors' Asset and Liability Committee.

The Corporation manages its liquidity in a proactive manner, and maintains a sound liquidity position. Multiple measures are utilized to monitor the Corporation's liquidity position, including core liquidity, basic liquidity, and time-based reserve measures. As of June 30, 2013, the estimated core liquidity reserve, (which includes cash and free liquid assets), was \$1.5 billion or 11.41% of total assets. The basic liquidity ratio, (which adds available secured lines of credit to the core liquidity), was approximately 14.51% of total assets. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had \$397.1 million available for additional credit from the FHLB NY. Unpledged liquid securities as of June 30, 2013 mainly consisted of fixed-rate MBS and U.S. agency debentures amounting to approximately \$643.2 million. The Corporation does not rely on uncommitted inter-bank lines of credit (federal funds lines) to fund its operations and does not include them in the basic liquidity measure. Most of the cash balances are deposited with the Federal Reserve Bank and in money market instruments generating interest income between 0.25% and 0.35%. As of June 30, 2013, the holding company had \$39.2 million of cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents at the Bank level as of June 30, 2013 were approximately \$828.1 million. The Bank has \$58.4 million of FHLB advances maturing over the next twelve months. In addition, it had \$3.3 billion in brokered CDs as of June 30, 2013, of which approximately \$1.8 billion mature over the next twelve months. Liquidity at the Bank level is highly dependent on bank deposits, which fund 78.4% of the Bank's assets (or 52.7% excluding brokered CDs). The Corporation has continued to issue brokered CDs pursuant to temporary approvals received from the FDIC to renew or roll over brokered CDs up to specified amounts through September 30, 2013. Management cannot be certain it will continue to obtain waivers from the restrictions to issue brokered CDs under the FDIC Order to meet its obligations and execute its business plans.

Sources of Funding

The Corporation utilizes different sources of funding to help ensure that adequate levels of liquidity are available when needed. Diversification of funding sources is of great importance to protect the Corporation's liquidity from market disruptions. The principal sources of short-term funds are deposits, including brokered CDs, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and lines of credit

Table of Contents

with the FHLB. The Asset Liability Committee of the Board of Directors reviews credit availability on a regular basis. The Corporation has also securitized and sold mortgage loans as a supplementary source of funding. Long-term funding has also been obtained through the issuance of notes and, to a lesser extent, long-term brokered CDs. The cost of these different alternatives, among other things, is taken into consideration.

The Corporation has continued reducing the amounts of brokered CDs that it holds. The reduction in brokered CDs is consistent with the requirements of the FDIC Order that preclude the issuance of brokered CDs without FDIC approval and require a plan to reduce the amount of brokered CDs. At June 30, 2013 brokered CDs decreased \$91.8 million to \$3.3 billion from December 31, 2012. At the same time as the Corporation focuses on reducing its reliance on brokered deposits, it is seeking to add core deposits. During the first half of 2013, the Corporation increased non-brokered deposits, excluding government deposits, by \$129.1 million.

The Corporation continues to have the support of creditors, including counterparties to repurchase agreements, the FHLB, and other agents such as wholesale funding brokers. While liquidity is an ongoing challenge for all financial institutions, management believes that the Corporation's available borrowing capacity and efforts to grow retail deposits will be adequate to provide the necessary funding for the Corporation's business plans in the foreseeable future.

The Corporation's principal sources of funding are:

Brokered CDs A large portion of the Corporation's funding has been retail brokered CDs issued by FirstBank. Total brokered CDs decreased by \$91.8 million to \$3.3 billion as of June 30, 2013. Although all of the Bank's regulatory capital ratios exceeded the established well capitalized levels, and the minimum capital requirements of the FDIC Order as of June 30, 2013, because of the FDIC Order, FirstBank cannot be considered a well capitalized institution and cannot replace maturing brokered CDs without the prior approval of the FDIC. Since the issuance of the FDIC Order, the FDIC has granted the Bank quarterly waivers to enable it to continue accessing the brokered deposit market in specified amounts. The most recent waiver is effective through September 30, 2013. The Bank will request approvals for future periods. The Corporation used proceeds from repayments of loans and investments to pay down maturing borrowings, including brokered CDs. Also, the Corporation successfully implemented its core deposit growth strategy that resulted in an increase of \$129.1 million in non-brokered deposits, excluding government deposits, during the first half of 2013.

The average remaining term to maturity of the retail brokered CDs outstanding as of June 30, 2013 is approximately 1.2 years. Approximately 0.13% of the principal value of these certificates is callable at the Corporation's option.

The use of brokered CDs has been particularly important for the growth of the Corporation. The Corporation encounters intense competition in attracting and retaining regular retail deposits in Puerto Rico. The brokered CDs market is very competitive and liquid, and has enabled the Corporation to obtain substantial amounts of funding in short periods of time. This strategy has enhanced the Corporation's liquidity position, since the brokered CDs are insured by the FDIC up to regulatory limits and can be obtained faster compared to regular retail deposits. During the first half of 2013, the Corporation issued \$954.6 million in brokered CDs with an average cost of 0.79% to renew maturing brokered CDs. Management believes it will continue to obtain waivers from the restrictions in the issuance of brokered CDs under the FDIC Order to meet its obligations and execute its business plans.

The following table presents a maturity summary of brokered and retail CDs with denominations of \$100,000 or higher as of June 30, 2013:

| | Total (In thousands) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Three months or less | \$ 1,039,546 |
| Over three months to six months | 605,204 |
| Over six months to one year | 982,536 |
| Over one year | 2,053,185 |
| Total | \$ 4,680,471 |

Certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100,000 or higher include brokered CDs of \$3.3 billion issued to deposit brokers in the form of large (\$100,000 or more) certificates of deposit that are generally participated out by brokers in shares of less than \$100,000 and are therefore insured by the FDIC. Certificates of deposit with denominations of \$100,000 or higher also include \$4.8 million of deposits through the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS).

Table of Contents

Retail deposits The Corporation's deposit products also include regular savings accounts, demand deposit accounts, money market accounts and retail CDs. Total deposits, excluding brokered CDs and government deposits, increased by \$129.1 million to \$6.1 billion from the balance of \$6.0 billion as of December 31, 2012, reflecting increases in core-deposit products such as savings and interest-bearing checking accounts, as well as in non-interest bearing deposits spread through the Corporation's geographic segments. Government deposits increased by \$75.9 million from \$529.4 million as of December 31, 2012 to \$605.2 million as of June 30, 2013. Refer to Note 13 in the accompanying unaudited financial statements for further details.

Refer to the Net Interest Income discussion above for information about average balances of interest-bearing deposits, and the average interest rate paid on deposits for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase The Corporation's investment portfolio is funded in part with repurchase agreements. Securities sold under repurchase agreements were \$900 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. One of the Corporation's strategies has been the use of structured repurchase agreements and long-term repurchase agreements to reduce exposure to interest rate risk by lengthening the final maturities of its liabilities while keeping funding costs at reasonable levels. All of the \$900 million of repurchase agreements outstanding as of June 30, 2013 consist of structured repurchase agreements. In addition to these repurchase agreements, the Corporation has been able to maintain access to credit by using cost-effective sources such as FHLB advances. Refer to Note 14 in the Corporation's unaudited financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2013 for further details about repurchase agreements outstanding by counterparty and maturities.

Under the Corporation's repurchase agreements, as is the case with derivative contracts, the Corporation is required to pledge cash or qualifying securities to meet margin requirements. To the extent that the value of securities previously pledged as collateral declines due to changes in interest rates, a liquidity crisis or any other factor, the Corporation will be required to deposit additional cash or securities to meet its margin requirements, thereby adversely affecting its liquidity. Given the quality of the collateral pledged, the Corporation recently has not experienced significant margin calls from counterparties arising from credit-quality-related write-downs in valuations and, as of June 30, 2013, it had only \$0.2 million of cash equivalent instruments deposited in connection with collateralized interest rate swap agreements.

Advances from the FHLB The Bank is a member of the FHLB system and obtains advances to fund its operations under a collateral agreement with the FHLB that requires the Bank to maintain qualifying mortgages as collateral for advances taken. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance of FHLB advances was \$358.4 million and \$508.4 million, respectively. During the first half of 2013, the Corporation repaid \$150.0 million of maturing FHLB advances that carried an average cost of 3.54%. At June 30, 2013, the Corporation had \$397.1 million available for additional credit on FHLB lines of credit.

Though currently not in use, other sources of short-term funding for the Corporation include commercial paper and federal funds purchased. Furthermore, in previous years the Corporation entered into several financing transactions to diversify its funding sources, including the issuance of notes payable and junior subordinated debentures as part of its longer-term liquidity and capital management activities. No assurance can be given that these sources of liquidity will be available and, if available, will be on comparable terms.

In 2004, FBP Statutory Trust I, a statutory trust that is wholly owned by the Corporation and not consolidated in the Corporation's financial statements, sold to institutional investors \$100 million of its variable rate trust preferred securities. The proceeds of the issuance, together with the proceeds of the purchase by the Corporation of \$3.1 million of FBP Statutory Trust I variable rate common securities, were used by FBP Statutory Trust I to purchase \$103.1 million aggregate principal amount of the Corporation's Junior Subordinated Deferrable Debentures.

Also in 2004, FBP Statutory Trust II, a statutory trust that is wholly-owned by the Corporation and not consolidated in the Corporation's financial statements, sold to institutional investors \$125 million of its variable rate trust preferred securities. The proceeds of the issuance, together with the proceeds of the purchase by the Corporation of \$3.9 million of FBP Statutory Trust II variable rate common securities, were used by FBP Statutory Trust II to purchase \$128.9 million aggregate principal amount of the Corporation's Junior Subordinated Deferrable Debentures.

The cumulative trust preferred debentures are presented in the Corporation's consolidated statement of financial condition as Other Borrowings. The variable rate trust preferred securities are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Corporation. The \$100 million Junior Subordinated Deferrable Debentures issued by the Corporation in April 2004 and the \$125 million issued in September 2004 mature on June 17, 2034 and September 20, 2034, respectively; however, under certain circumstances, the maturity of Junior Subordinated Debentures may be shortened (such shortening would result in a mandatory redemption of the variable rate trust preferred securities). The trust preferred securities, subject to certain limitations, qualify as Tier I regulatory capital under current Federal Reserve rules and regulations. The Collins Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act eliminates certain trust-preferred securities from Tier 1 Capital. Bank Holding Companies such as the Corporation must phase out these instruments of Tier I capital by January 1, 2016, however it may remain in Tier 2 capital until the instruments are redeemed or mature. At June 30, 2013, the Corporation had \$225 million in trust preferred securities that are subject to the phase-out from Tier 1 Capital under the Basel III Final Rule.

Table of Contents

With respect to the outstanding subordinated debentures, the Corporation elected to defer the interest payments that were due in March 2012, June 2012, September 2012, December 2012, March 2013 and June 2013. The aggregate amount of payments deferred and accrued approximates \$11.1 million as of June 30, 2013. Future interest payments are subject to Federal Reserve approval.

The Corporation's principal uses of funds are the origination of loans and the repayment of maturing deposits and borrowings. The Corporation has committed substantial resources to its mortgage-banking subsidiary, FirstMortgage Inc. As a result, the ratio of residential real estate loans to total loans has increased over time. Commensurate with the increase in its mortgage banking activities, the Corporation has also invested in technology and personnel to enhance the Corporation's secondary mortgage market capabilities. The enhanced capabilities improve the Corporation's liquidity profile as they allow the Corporation to derive liquidity, if needed, from the sale of mortgages loans in the secondary market. The U.S. (including Puerto Rico) secondary mortgage market is still highly liquid in large part because of the sale of mortgages through guarantee programs of the FHA, VA, HUD, FNMA and FHLMC. The Corporation obtained Commitment Authority to issue GNMA mortgage-backed securities from GNMA and, under this program, the Corporation completed the securitization of approximately \$159.1 million of FHA/VA mortgage loans into GNMA MBS during the first half of 2013. Any regulatory actions affecting GNMA, FNMA or FHLMC could adversely affect the secondary mortgage market.

Impact of Credit Ratings on Access to Liquidity

The Corporation's liquidity is contingent upon its ability to obtain external sources of funding to finance its operations. The Corporation's current credit ratings and any further downgrades in credit ratings can hinder the Corporation's access to external funding and/or cause external funding to be more expensive, which could in turn adversely affect results of operations. Also, changes in credit ratings may further affect the fair value of unsecured derivatives that consider the Corporation's own credit risk as part of the valuation.

The Corporation does not have any outstanding debt or derivative agreements that would be affected by credit downgrades. Furthermore, given our non-reliance on corporate debt or other instruments directly linked in terms of pricing or volume to credit ratings, the liquidity of the Corporation so far has not been affected in any material way by downgrades in the past. The Corporation's ability to access new non-deposit sources of funding, however, could be adversely affected by credit downgrades.

The Corporation's credit as a long-term issuer is currently rated B+ by Standard & Poor's (S&P) and B- by Fitch Ratings Limited (Fitch). At the FirstBank subsidiary level, long-term issuer ratings are currently B3 by Moody's Investor Service, six notches below their definition of investment grade; B+ by S&P four notches below their definition of investment grade, and B- by Fitch, six notches below their definition of investment grade.

Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents were \$835.0 million as of June 30, 2013, a decrease of \$111.9 million when compared to the balance as of December 31, 2012, while for June 30, 2012 the total balance of cash and cash equivalents amounted to \$758.9 million an increase of \$312.3 million from December 31, 2011. The following discussion highlights the major activities and transactions that affected the Corporation's cash flows during the first half of 2013 and 2012.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

First BanCorp's operating assets and liabilities vary significantly in the normal course of business due to the amount and timing of cash flows. Management believes cash flows from operations, available cash balances and the Corporation's ability to generate cash through short- and long-term borrowings will be sufficient to fund the Corporation's operating liquidity needs.

For the first half of 2013 and 2012, net cash provided by operating activities was \$87.2 million and \$119.7 million, respectively. Net cash generated from operating activities was higher than net loss reported largely as a result of adjustments for operating items such as the provision for loan and lease losses, depreciation and amortization, sales of loans held for sale, and impairments.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

The Corporation's investing activities primarily relate to originating loans to be held to maturity and purchasing, selling and repayments of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, net cash used in investing activities was \$157.8 million, primarily reflecting purchases of investment securities.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

For the first half of 2012, net cash provided by investing activities was \$361.1 million, primarily reflecting proceeds from securities that were called or matured during the first half of 2012, and MBS prepayments.

Table of Contents*Cash Flows from Financing Activities*

The Corporation's financing activities primarily include the receipt of deposits and issuance of brokered CDs, the issuance and payments of long-term debt, the issuance of equity instruments and activities related to its short-term funding. During the first half of 2013, net cash used in financing activities was \$41.3 million mainly due to repayments of maturing FHLB advances, and brokered CDs.

In the first half of 2012, net cash used in financing activities was \$168.5 million due to repayments of maturing repurchase agreements, FHLB advances, notes payable and brokered CDs.

Capital

At June 30, 2013, the Corporation's stockholders' equity was \$1.2 billion, a decrease of \$262.7 million from December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly driven by the net loss of \$195.2 million for the first half of 2013 and a \$68.6 million decrease in other comprehensive income due to unrealized losses on available for sale securities attributable to changes in market interest rates. As a result of the Written Agreement with the New York FED, currently neither First BanCorp., nor FirstBank, is permitted to pay dividends on capital securities without prior approval.

Effective June 2, 2010, FirstBank, by and through its Board of Directors, entered into the FDIC Order (see Description of Business). Although, as of June 30, 2013, all of the regulatory capital ratios of the Bank exceed the established well capitalized levels and the minimum capital requirements established by the FDIC Order, because of the FDIC Order, FirstBank cannot be treated as a well capitalized institution. Set forth below are First BanCorp.'s, and FirstBank's regulatory capital ratios as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, based on existing established guidelines.

| | First BanCorp | FirstBank | Banking Subsidiary To be well capitalized | Consent Order Requirements over time |
|---|------------------|-----------|--|---|
| As of June 30, 2013 | | | | |
| Total capital (Total capital to risk-weighted assets) | 16.61% | 16.16% | 10.00% | 12.00% |
| Tier 1 capital ratio (Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets) | 15.32% | 14.87% | 6.00% | 10.00% |
| Leverage ratio | 11.26% | 10.94% | 5.00% | 8.00% |
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Total capital (Total capital to risk-weighted assets) | 17.82% | 17.35% | 10.00% | 12.00% |
| Tier 1 capital ratio (Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets) | 16.51% | 16.04% | 6.00% | 10.00% |
| Leverage ratio | 12.60% | 12.25% | 5.00% | 8.00% |

The decrease in capital ratios was primarily related to the aggregate loss of \$140.8 million on the two bulk sales of assets completed during the first half of 2013 and the transfer of certain loans to held for sale as well as the \$66.6 million write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman.

In July 2013, Bank Regulators approved a Final Rule to establish a new comprehensive regulatory capital framework for all U.S. banking organizations. The Final Rule brings the United States substantially into compliance with the Basel III capital framework agreed upon internationally in December 2010, replaces the existing U.S. modified Basel I risk-based capital regime, and implements several changes to the U.S. regulatory capital regime required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The new U.S. capital framework imposes higher minimum capital requirements, including a new 4.5% common equity Tier I capital requirement, a 6.0% Tier I capital requirement (increased from 4.0% under current rules), and an 8.0% Total capital requirement (same as under current rules). All U.S. banking organizations will calculate the numerator of their minimum capital ratios using more restrictive definitions of capital under the Final Rule.

The Final Rule includes more restrictive definitions for the components of capital and eligibility criteria broadly intended to promote the use of capital instruments better able to absorb losses in time of financial stress. The common equity Tier I capital consists primarily of common stock and retained earnings. Additional Tier I capital is limited to other paid-in amounts recognized as equity under GAAP. As required by section 171 of Dodd-Frank Act, the Final Rule requires that capital instruments such as trust preferred securities be phased-out of Tier I capital by January 1, 2016, for banking organizations that had \$15 billion or more in total consolidated assets as December 31, 2009, such as First BanCorp. However, these instruments may remain in Tier 2 capital until the instruments are redeemed or mature.

Table of Contents

In addition to the minimum capital ratios, the Final Rule requires that all banking organizations maintain a capital conservation buffer consisting of Common Equity Tier I capital in an amount equal to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets in order to avoid restrictions on their ability to make capital distributions and to pay certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers. Thus, the capital conservation buffer effectively increases the minimum Common Equity Tier I capital, Tier I capital, and Total capital requirements to 7.0%, 8.5% and 10.5%, respectively.

The Final Rule makes certain conforming changes to the prompt corrective action (PCA) regime for insured depository institutions based on the new minimum capital requirements. Under Final Rule, the well capitalized standards consists of a minimum of 5.0% leverage ratio requirement (same as under the existing PCA regime), a 6.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital requirement (new), an 8.0% Tier I capital, requirement (increased from 6.0%) and a 10.0% Total capital requirement (same as under the existing PCA regime).

The Final Rule revises the current rules for calculating risk-weighted asset the enhance risk sensitivity, including, among others, higher risk weights to past due exposures, and high volatility commercial real estate exposures.

Certain aspects of the rule, such as the new minimum capital ratios and the revised methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets, will become effective on January 1, 2015. Other aspects of the rule, such as the capital conservation buffer and the new regulatory deduction from and adjustment to capital, will be phased in over several years.

Based on the Corporation's review of the U.S. Basel III final rule, the Corporation anticipates exceeding the new minimum capital ratios.

The tangible common equity ratio and tangible book value per common share are non-GAAP measures generally used by the financial community to evaluate capital adequacy. Tangible common equity is total equity less preferred equity, goodwill, core deposit intangibles, and purchased credit card relationship intangible assets. Tangible assets are total assets less goodwill, core deposit intangibles, and purchased credit card relationship intangible assets. Refer to Basis of Presentation section below for additional information.

The following table is a reconciliation of the Corporation's tangible common equity and tangible assets for the periods ended June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 respectively:

| (In thousands, except ratios and per share information) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Total equity GAAP | \$ 1,222,328 | \$ 1,485,023 |
| Preferred equity | (63,047) | (63,047) |
| Goodwill | (28,098) | (28,098) |
| Purchased credit card relationship | (21,649) | (23,511) |
| Core deposit intangible | (8,158) | (9,335) |
| Tangible common equity | \$ 1,101,376 | \$ 1,361,032 |
| Total assets GAAP | \$ 12,803,169 | \$ 13,099,741 |
| Goodwill | (28,098) | (28,098) |
| Purchased credit card relationship | (21,649) | (23,511) |
| Core deposit intangible | (8,158) | (9,335) |
| Tangible assets | \$ 12,745,264 | \$ 13,038,797 |
| Common shares outstanding | 206,982 | 206,235 |
| Tangible common equity ratio | 8.64% | 10.44% |
| Tangible book value per common share | \$ 5.32 | \$ 6.60 |

The Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets ratio is calculated by dividing (a) Tier 1 capital less capital other than common stock, including qualifying perpetual preferred stock and qualifying trust preferred securities, by (b) risk-weighted assets, which assets are calculated in accordance with applicable bank regulatory requirements. The Tier 1 common equity ratio is not required by GAAP or on a recurring basis by applicable bank regulatory requirements. Management is currently monitoring this ratio, along with the other ratios discussed above, in evaluating the Corporation's capital levels and believes that, at this time, the ratio may continue to be of interest to investors.

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles stockholders' equity (GAAP) to Tier 1 common equity:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|
| Total equity GAAP | \$ 1,222,328 | \$ 1,485,023 |
| Qualifying preferred stock | (63,047) | (63,047) |
| Unrealized loss (gain) on available-for-sale securities ⁽¹⁾ | 40,142 | (28,476) |
| Disallowed deferred tax asset ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Goodwill | (28,098) | (28,098) |
| Core deposit intangible | (8,158) | (9,335) |
| Other disallowed assets | (569) | (4,032) |
| Tier 1 common equity | \$ 1,162,598 | \$ 1,352,035 |
| Total risk-weighted assets | \$ 9,467,699 | \$ 9,933,719 |
| Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets ratio | 12.28% | 13.61% |

- (1) Tier 1 capital excludes net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale debt securities and net unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values, in accordance with regulatory risk-based capital guidelines. In arriving at Tier 1 capital, institutions are required to deduct net unrealized losses on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values, net of tax.
- (2) Approximately \$10 million and \$11 million of the Corporation's deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were included without limitation in regulatory capital pursuant to the risk-based capital guidelines. According to regulatory capital guidelines, the deferred tax assets that are dependent upon future taxable income are limited for inclusion in Tier 1 capital to the lesser of: (i) the amount of such deferred tax asset that the entity expects to realize within one year of the calendar quarter end-date, based on its projected future taxable income for that year or (ii) 10% of the amount of the entity's Tier 1 capital. Approximately \$3 million of the Corporation's other net deferred tax liability as of June 30, 2013 and \$6 million as of December 31, 2012 represented primarily the deferred tax effects of unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale debt securities, which are permitted to be excluded prior to deriving the amount of net deferred tax assets subject to limitation under the guidelines.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the ordinary course of business, the Corporation engages in financial transactions that are not recorded on the balance sheet, or may be recorded on the balance sheet in amounts that are different from the full contract or notional amount of the transaction. These transactions are designed to (1) meet the financial needs of customers, (2) manage the Corporation's credit, market or liquidity risks, (3) diversify the Corporation's funding sources and (4) optimize capital.

As a provider of financial services, the Corporation routinely enters into commitments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financial needs of its customers. These financial instruments may include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. These commitments are subject to the same credit policies and approval process used for on-balance sheet instruments. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of financial position. As of June 30, 2013, commitments to extend credit and commercial and financial standby letters of credit amounted to approximately \$1.4 billion, (including \$975.6 million pertaining to credit card loans) and \$50.9 million, respectively. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers as long as the conditions established in the contract are met. Generally, the Corporation does not enter into interest rate lock agreements with prospective borrowers in connection with mortgage banking activities.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies**

The following table presents a detail of the maturities of the Corporation's contractual obligations and commitments, which consist of CDs, long-term contractual debt obligations, commitments to sell mortgage loans and commitments to extend credit:

| | Contractual Obligations and Commitments | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | As of June 30, 2013 | | | | |
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | After 5 years |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Contractual obligations: ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 5,548,253 | \$ 3,110,824 | \$ 2,053,220 | \$ 379,538 | \$ 4,671 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 900,000 | | | 700,000 | 200,000 |
| Advances from FHLB | 358,440 | 58,440 | | 300,000 | |
| Other borrowings | 231,959 | | | | 231,959 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 7,038,652 | \$ 3,169,264 | \$ 2,053,220 | \$ 1,379,538 | \$ 436,630 |
| Commitments to sell mortgage loans | \$ 77,052 | \$ 77,052 | | | |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 11,998 | \$ 11,998 | | | |
| Commitments to extend credit: | | | | | |
| Lines of credit | \$ 1,443,290 | \$ 1,443,290 | | | |
| Letters of credit | 38,945 | 38,945 | | | |
| Commitments to originate loans | 68,825 | 68,825 | | | |
| Total commercial commitments | \$ 1,551,060 | \$ 1,551,060 | | | |

(1) \$3.6 million of tax liability, including accrued interest of \$1.2 million, associated with unrecognized tax benefits have been excluded due to the high degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of future cash flows associated with such obligations.

The Corporation has obligations and commitments to make future payments under contracts, such as debt and lease agreements, and under other commitments to sell mortgage loans at fair value and to extend credit. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Other contractual obligations result mainly from contracts for the rental and maintenance of equipment. Since certain commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. For most of the commercial lines of credit, the Corporation has the option to reevaluate the agreement prior to additional disbursements. There have been no significant or unexpected draws on existing commitments. In the case of credit cards and personal lines of credit, the Corporation can cancel the unused credit facility at any time and without cause.

Lehman Brothers Special Financing, Inc. (Lehman) was the counterparty to the Corporation on certain interest rate swap agreements. During the third quarter of 2008, Lehman failed to pay the scheduled net cash settlement due to the Corporation, which constituted an event of default under those interest rate swap agreements. The Corporation terminated all interest rate swaps with Lehman and replaced them with other counterparties under similar terms and conditions. In connection with the unpaid net cash settlement under the swap agreements, the Corporation has an unsecured counterparty exposure with Lehman, which filed for bankruptcy on October 3, 2008, of approximately \$1.4 million. This exposure was reserved in the third quarter of 2008. The Corporation had pledged collateral with a \$63.6 million face value to guarantee its performance under the swap agreements in the event payment thereunder was required.

The Corporation believes that the securities pledged as collateral should not be part of the Lehman bankruptcy estate given the fact that the posted collateral constituted a performance guarantee under the swap agreements and was not part of a financing agreement, and that ownership of the securities was never transferred to Lehman. Upon termination of the interest rate swap agreements, Lehman's obligation was to return the collateral to the Corporation. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation discovered that Lehman Brothers, Inc. (LBI), acting as agent of Lehman, had deposited the securities in a custodial account at JP Morgan Chase, and that, shortly before the filing of the Lehman bankruptcy proceedings, it had provided instructions to have most of the securities transferred to Barclays Capital (Barclays) in New York. After Barclays

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

refusal to turn over the securities, the Corporation filed a lawsuit against Barclays in federal court in New York demanding the return of the securities in December 2009. During February 2010, Barclays filed a motion with the court requesting that the Corporation's claim be dismissed on the grounds that the allegations of the complaint are not sufficient to justify the granting of the remedies therein sought. Shortly thereafter, the Corporation filed its opposition motion. A hearing on the motions was held in court on April 28, 2010. The court, on that date, after hearing the arguments by both sides, concluded that the Corporation's equitable-based causes of action, upon which the return of the investment securities is being demanded, contain allegations that sufficiently plead facts warranting the denial of Barclays' motion to dismiss the Corporation's claim. Accordingly, the judge ordered the case to proceed to trial.

Table of Contents

Subsequent to the court decision, the district court judge transferred the case to the Lehman bankruptcy court for trial. On May 10, 2013, the Bankruptcy Court issued a decision denying the Bank's Motion for Summary Judgment and granting the Motion for Summary Judgment of Barclays. The Bank has filed a notice of appeal of that decision, which appeal is now pending. The Corporation may not succeed in its litigation against Barclays to recover all or a substantial portion of the securities.

Barclays has filed a motion in the Bankruptcy Court in an effort to impose sanctions on the Bank for seeking the relief sought in the litigation, and the Bank has opposed that motion. Briefing on the sanctions motion is complete, and the parties are awaiting oral arguments and a decision.

Because of the Bankruptcy Court's May 10, 2013 decision, the Corporation has determined that it is probable that the asset has been impaired and recognized in the second quarter of 2013 a non-cash charge of 66.6 million associated with the write-off of the carrying value of the pledged securities and related accrued interest. The Corporation does not anticipate that this impairment charge will result in future cash expenditures by the Corporation other than additional costs relating to the appeal of the Bankruptcy Court's decision.

Additionally, the Corporation continues to pursue its claim filed in January 2009 in the proceedings under the Securities Protection Act with regard to LBI in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

As of June 30, 2013, First BanCorp and its subsidiaries were defendants in various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Management believes that the final disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Interest Rate Risk Management

First BanCorp. manages its asset/liability position in order to limit the effects of changes in interest rates on net interest income and to maintain stability of profitability under varying interest rate scenarios. The MIALCO oversees interest rate risk and MIALCO meetings focus on, among other things, current and expected conditions in world financial markets, competition and prevailing rates in the local deposit market, liquidity, securities market values, recent or proposed changes to the investment portfolio, alternative funding sources and related costs, hedging and the possible purchase of derivatives such as swaps and caps, and any tax or regulatory issues which may be pertinent to these areas. The MIALCO approves funding decisions in light of the Corporation's overall strategies and objectives.

The Corporation performs on a quarterly basis a consolidated net interest income simulation analysis to estimate the potential change in future earnings from projected changes in interest rates. These simulations are carried out over a one-to-five-year time horizon, assuming upward and downward yield curve shifts. The rate scenarios considered in these disclosures reflect gradual upward and downward interest rate movements of 200 basis points, during a twelve-month period. Simulations are carried out in two ways:

- (1) Using a static balance sheet, as the Corporation had on the simulation date, and
- (2) Using a dynamic balance sheet based on recent patterns and current strategies.

The balance sheet is divided into groups of assets and liabilities detailed by maturity or re-pricing structure and their corresponding interest yields and costs. As interest rates rise or fall, these simulations incorporate expected future lending rates, current and expected future funding sources and costs, the possible exercise of options, changes in prepayment rates, deposits decay and other factors which may be important in projecting net interest income.

The Corporation uses a simulation model to project future movements in the Corporation's balance sheet and income statement. The starting point of the projections generally corresponds to the actual values on the balance sheet on the date of the simulations.

These simulations are highly complex, and are based on many assumptions that are intended to reflect the general behavior of the balance sheet components over the period in question. It is unlikely that actual events will match these assumptions in all cases. For this reason, the results of these forward-looking computations are only approximations of the true sensitivity of net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Several benchmark and market rate curves were used in the modeling process, primarily the LIBOR/SWAP curve, Prime, Treasury, FHLB rates, brokered CDs rates, repurchase agreements rates and the mortgage commitment rate of 30 years.

The 12 month net interest income is forecasted assuming June 30, 2013 interest rate curves remain constant. Then net interest income is estimated under rising and falling rate scenarios. For the rising rates scenario, a gradual (ramp) parallel upward shift of the yield curves is assumed during the first twelve months (the +200 ramp scenario). Conversely, for the falling rates scenario, a gradual (ramp) parallel downward shift of the yield curves is assumed during the first twelve months (the -200 ramp scenario). However, given the current low levels of interest

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

rates, a full downward shift of 200 bps would represent an unrealistic scenario. Therefore under the falling rate scenario, rates move downward up to 200 basis points, but without reaching zero. The resulting scenario is of interest rates close to zero in most cases, reflecting a flattening yield curve instead of a parallel downward scenario.

The Libor/Swap curve for June 2013, as compared to December 2012, showed an average decrease of approximately 9 basis points in the short term horizon, between one to twelve months, while market rates increase an average of 67 basis points in the long term horizon. The Treasury curve remained almost flat in the short term horizon, while showed an increase of 59 basis points in the long term horizon, as compared to December 2012 end of month levels.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the results of the simulations as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Consistent with prior years, these exclude non-cash changes in the fair value of derivatives and liabilities measured at fair value:

| (Dollars in millions) | June 30, 2013 | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
| | Net Interest Income Risk | | | | Net Interest Income Risk | | | |
| | Static Simulation | | Growing Balance Sheet | | Static Simulation | | Growing Balance Sheet | |
| | Change | % Change | Change | % Change | Change | % Change | Change | % Change |
| + 200 bps ramp | \$ 16.7 | 3.23% | \$ 19.2 | 3.60% | \$ 13.0 | 2.53% | \$ 9.0 | 1.72% |
| - 200 bps ramp | \$ (8.5) | (1.64)% | \$ (8.6) | (1.62)% | \$ (2.5) | (0.48)% | \$ (4.5) | (0.85)% |

The Corporation continues to manage its balance sheet structure to control the overall interest rate risk. Among the major drivers behind the slight shift in interest income sensitivity to interest rate shifts are the decrease of \$111.9 million in cash and cash equivalents, and the purchase of approximately \$484.4 million of 15-20 Years U.S. agency MBS. The liabilities side was affected mainly by the repayment of \$150 million of matured FHLB advances, a reduction of \$91.8 million in brokered CDs, along with an extension in the average life of that portfolio, and an increase in both commercial and retail deposits of approximately \$129.1 million. In addition, an update of the deposits expected behavior (including interest rate betas, decay rates and average lives), based on a core deposit study, was also incorporated.

Taking into consideration the above-mentioned facts for modeling purposes, the net interest income for the next twelve months under a non-static or growing balance sheet scenario, is estimated to increase by \$19.2 million in the rising rates scenario when compared against the Corporation's flat or unchanged interest rate forecast scenario. Under the falling rates, non-static scenario the net interest income is estimated to decrease by \$8.6 million.

Derivatives

First BanCorp. uses derivative instruments and other strategies to manage its exposure to interest rate risk caused by changes in interest rates beyond management's control.

The following summarizes major strategies, including derivative activities, used by the Corporation in managing interest rate risk:

Interest rate cap agreements Interest rate cap agreements provide the right to receive cash if a reference interest rate rises above a contractual rate. The value increases as the reference interest rate rises. The Corporation enters into interest rate cap agreements for protection from rising interest rates. Specifically, the interest rate on certain of the Corporation's commercial loans to other financial institutions is generally a variable rate limited to the weighted average coupon of the referenced residential mortgage collateral, less a contractual servicing fee.

Interest rate swaps Interest rate swap agreements generally involve the exchange of fixed and floating-rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying notional principal amount. As of June 30, 2013, most of the interest rate swaps outstanding are used for protection against rising interest rates. Similar to unrealized gains and losses arising from changes in fair value, net interest settlements on interest rate swaps are recorded as an adjustment to interest income or interest expense depending on whether an asset or liability is being economically hedged.

Forward Contracts Forward contracts are sales of to-be-announced (TBA) mortgage-backed securities that will settle over the standard delivery date and do not qualify as regular way security trades. Regular-way security trades are contracts with no net settlement provision and no market mechanism to facilitate net settlement and they provide for delivery of a security within the time generally established by regulations or conventions in the market place or exchange in which the transaction is being executed. The forward sales are considered derivative instruments that need to be marked-to-market. These securities are used to hedge the FHA/VA residential mortgage loans securitizations of the mortgage-banking operations. Unrealized gains (losses) are recognized as part of mortgage banking activities in the Consolidated Statement of Loss.

Table of Contents

For detailed information regarding the volume of derivative activities (e.g. notional amounts), location and fair values of derivative instruments in the Statement of Financial Condition and the amount of gains and losses reported in the Statement of Loss, refer to Note 9 in the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements.

The following tables summarize the fair value changes in the Corporation's derivatives as well as the sources of the fair values:

| (In thousands) | Asset Derivatives | Liability Derivatives |
|--|--|---|
| | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 |
| Fair value of contracts outstanding at the beginning of the period | \$ 291 | \$ (5,781) |
| Fair value of new contracts entered into during the period | 79 | (89) |
| Changes in fair value during the period | 898 | 1,075 |
| Fair value of contracts outstanding as of June 30, 2013 | \$ 1,268 | \$ (4,795) |

Sources of Fair Value

| (In thousands) | | Payment Due by Period | | | | Total Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|
| | | Maturity Less Than One Year | Maturity 1-3 Years | Maturity 3-5 Years | Maturity in Excess of 5 Years | |
| As of June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Pricing from observable market inputs | Asset Derivatives | \$ 937 | \$ 331 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,268 |
| Pricing from observable market inputs | Liability Derivatives | (95) | (338) | (4,362) | | (4,795) |
| | | \$ 842 | \$ (7) | \$ (4,362) | \$ | \$ (3,527) |

Derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps, are subject to market risk. As is the case with investment securities, the market value of derivative instruments is largely a function of the financial market's expectations regarding the future direction of interest rates. Accordingly, current market values are not necessarily indicative of the future impact of derivative instruments on earnings. This will depend, for the most part, on the shape of the yield curve as well as the level of interest rates.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all of the derivative instruments held by the Corporation were considered economic undesignated hedges.

The use of derivatives involves market and credit risk. The market risk of derivatives stems principally from the potential for changes in the value of derivative contracts based on changes in interest rates. The credit risk of derivatives arises from the potential of default from the counterparty. To manage this credit risk, the Corporation deals with counterparties of good credit standing, enters into master netting agreements whenever possible and, when appropriate, obtains collateral. Master netting agreements incorporate rights of set-off that provide for the net settlement of contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default. All of the Corporation's interest rate swaps are supported by securities collateral agreements, which allow the delivery of securities to and from the counterparties depending on the fair value of the instruments, to minimize credit risk.

Refer to Note 19 of the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the fair value determination of derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is a detailed analysis of the Corporation's credit exposure by counterparty with respect to derivative instruments outstanding as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

(In thousands)

| Counterparty | Rating ⁽¹⁾ | Notional | As of June 30, 2013 | | | Accrued interest receivable (payable) |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Total Exposure at Fair Value ⁽²⁾ | Negative Fair Value | Total Fair Value | |
| Interest rate swaps with rated counterparties: | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan | A | \$ 31,952 | \$ | \$ (4,384) | \$ (4,384) | \$ |
| Other derivatives (3): | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | | 5,440 | 220 | (225) | (5) | |
| Written and purchase interest rate caps | | 78,000 | 111 | (113) | (2) | (145) |
| Forward contracts | | 55,000 | 937 | (73) | 864 | |
| Total | | \$ 170,392 | \$ 1,268 | \$ (4,795) | \$ (3,527) | \$ (145) |

(In thousands)

| Counterparty | Rating ⁽¹⁾ | Notional | As of December 31, 2012 | | | Accrued Interest receivable (payable) |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Total Exposure at Fair Value ⁽²⁾ | Negative Fair Value | Total Fair Values | |
| Interest rate swaps with rated counterparties: | | | | | | |
| JP Morgan | A | \$ 32,658 | \$ | \$ (5,486) | \$ (5,486) | \$ |
| Other derivatives (3): | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | | 5,439 | 288 | (290) | (2) | (128) |
| Forward contracts | | 6,000 | 3 | (5) | (2) | |
| Total | | \$ 44,097 | \$ 291 | \$ (5,781) | \$ (5,490) | \$ (128) |

(1) Based on the S&P and Fitch Long Term Issuer Credit Ratings.

(2) For each counterparty, this amount includes derivatives with positive fair value excluding the related accrued interest receivable/ payable.

(3) Credit exposure with several counterparties for which a credit rating is not readily available and forward contracts.

Credit Risk Management

First BanCorp. is subject to credit risk mainly with respect to its portfolio of loans receivable and off-balance sheet instruments, primarily derivatives and loan commitments. Loans receivable represents loans that First BanCorp. holds for investment and, therefore, First BanCorp. is at risk for the term of the loan. Loan commitments represent commitments to extend credit, subject to specific conditions, for specific amounts and maturities. These commitments may expose the Corporation to credit risk and are subject to the same review and approval process as for loans. Refer to Contractual Obligations and Commitments above for further details. The credit risk of derivatives arises from the potential of the counterparty's default on its contractual obligations. To manage this credit risk, the Corporation deals with counterparties of good credit standing, enters into master netting agreements whenever possible and, when appropriate, obtains collateral. For further details and information on the Corporation's derivative credit risk exposure, refer to Interest Rate Risk Management section above. The Corporation manages its credit risk through its credit policy, underwriting, independent loan review and quality control procedures, statistical analysis, comprehensive financial analysis, and established management committees. The Corporation also employs proactive collection and loss mitigation efforts. Furthermore, personnel performing structured loan workout functions are responsible for mitigating defaults and minimizing losses upon default within each region and for each business segment. In the case of the C&I, commercial mortgage and construction loan portfolios, the Special Asset Group (SAG) focuses on strategies for the accelerated reduction of non-performing assets through note sales, short sales, loss mitigation programs, and sales of REO. In addition to the management of the resolution process for problem loans, the SAG oversees collection efforts for all loans to

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

prevent migration to the non-performing and/or adversely classified status. The SAG utilizes relationship officers, collection specialists and attorneys. In the case of residential construction projects, the workout function monitors project specifics, such as project management and marketing, as deemed necessary.

The Corporation may also have risk of default in the securities portfolio. The securities held by the Corporation are principally fixed-rate U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasury and agency securities. Thus, a substantial portion of these instruments is backed by mortgages, a guarantee of a U.S. government-sponsored entity or the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

Table of Contents

Management, consisting of the Corporation's Commercial Credit Risk Officer, Retail Credit Risk Officer, Chief Lending Officer and other senior executives, has the primary responsibility for setting strategies to achieve the Corporation's credit risk goals and objectives. These goals and objectives are documented in the Corporation's Credit Policy.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Non-performing Assets

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses represents the estimate of the level of reserves appropriate to absorb inherent credit losses. The amount of the allowance was determined by empirical analysis and judgments regarding the quality of each individual loan portfolio. All known relevant internal and external factors that affected loan collectability were considered, including analyses of historical charge-off experience, migration patterns, changes in economic conditions, and changes in loan collateral values. For example, factors affecting the economies of Puerto Rico, Florida (USA), the US Virgin Islands and the British Virgin Islands may contribute to delinquencies and defaults above the Corporation's historical loan and lease losses. Such factors are subject to regular review and may change to reflect updated performance trends and expectations, particularly in times of severe stress such as have been experienced since 2008. The process includes judgmental and quantitative elements that may be subject to significant change. There is no certainty that the allowance will be adequate over time to cover credit losses in the portfolio because of continued adverse changes in the economy, market conditions, or events adversely affecting specific customers, industries or markets. To the extent actual outcomes differ from our estimates, the credit quality of our customer base materially decreases, the risk profile of a market, industry, or group of customers changes materially, or the allowance is determined to not be adequate, additional provisions for credit losses could be required, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity, capital, and results of operations in future periods.

The allowance for loan and lease losses provides for probable losses that have been identified with specific valuation allowances for individually evaluated impaired loans and probable losses believed to be inherent in the loan portfolio that have not been specifically identified. Internal risk ratings are assigned to each business loan at the time of approval and are subject to subsequent periodic reviews by the Corporation's senior management. The allowance for loan and lease losses is reviewed on a quarterly basis as part of the Corporation's continued evaluation of its asset quality.

The allowance for loan losses to total loans held for investment decreased mainly as a result of the bulk sales of adversely classified and non-performing loans completed during the first half of 2013, the transfer of loans to held for sale, and decreases in historical loss ratios. The allowance to total loans for the C&I portfolio decreased from 4.82% at December 31, 2012 to 3.16% at June 30, 2013; the allowance to total loans for the commercial mortgage portfolio decreased from 5.19% at December 31, 2012 to 4.59% at June 30, 2013; the allowance to total loans for the residential mortgage portfolio decreased from 2.49% at December 31, 2012 to 1.42% at June 30, 2013; and the consumer and finance leases reserve coverage ratio decreased from 3.02% as of December 31, 2012 to 2.69% due to decreases in delinquency levels and improved charge-offs trends.

Substantially all of the Corporation's loan portfolio is located within the boundaries of the U.S. economy. Whether the collateral is located in Puerto Rico, the U.S. and British Virgin Islands or the U.S. mainland (mainly in the state of Florida), the performance of the Corporation's loan portfolio and the value of the collateral supporting the transactions are dependent upon the performance of and conditions within each specific area's real estate market. The real estate market in Puerto Rico experienced readjustments in value driven by the loss of income due to higher unemployment, reduced demand and the general adverse economic conditions. The Corporation sets adequate loan-to-value ratios upon original approval following its regulatory and credit policy standards. The real estate market for the U.S. Virgin Islands has declined mostly due to the effect of the slow stateside economy and due to the increase in inventory after the closing of the Hovensa refinery in St Croix. In Florida, we operate mostly in Miami, where home prices have improved mostly driven by tighter inventories, a higher demand from foreign investors, and a decrease in distressed property sales.

Table of Contents

As shown in the following table, the allowance for loan and lease losses amounted to \$301.0 million at June 30, 2013, or 3.19% of total loans, compared with \$435.4 million, or 4.23% of total loans, at December 31, 2012. Refer to the Provision for Loan and Lease Losses discussion above for additional information.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses, beginning of period | \$ 342,531 | \$ 483,943 | \$ 435,414 | \$ 493,917 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses: | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage ⁽¹⁾ | 74,277 | 16,368 | 82,225 | 18,704 |
| Commercial Mortgage ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 13,213 | 142 | 49,610 | 1,720 |
| Commercial and Industrial ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ | (4,166) | 2,427 | 31,126 | 22,585 |
| Construction ⁽²⁾⁽⁵⁾ | (7,937) | (666) | 14,011 | 7,050 |
| Consumer and Finance Leases | 12,077 | 6,613 | 21,615 | 11,022 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses ⁽⁶⁾ | 87,464 | 24,884 | 198,587 | 61,081 |
| Charge-offs | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage ⁽⁷⁾ | (103,897) | (14,532) | (115,625) | (20,390) |
| Commercial Mortgage ⁽⁸⁾ | (3,271) | (6,283) | (59,327) | (9,907) |
| Commercial and Industrial ⁽⁹⁾ | (6,488) | (9,208) | (92,108) | (22,699) |
| Construction ⁽¹⁰⁾ | (2,418) | (16,353) | (41,030) | (33,896) |
| Consumer and Finance Leases | (16,350) | (9,396) | (31,114) | (19,883) |
| | (132,424) ⁽¹¹⁾ | (55,772) | (339,204) ⁽¹¹⁾ | (106,775) |
| Recoveries: | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 479 | 321 | 627 | 448 |
| Commercial Mortgage | 18 | 12 | 38 | 42 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 968 | 823 | 1,759 | 1,645 |
| Construction | 50 | 1,167 | 147 | 3,318 |
| Consumer and Finance Leases | 1,961 | 1,775 | 3,679 | 3,477 |
| | 3,476 | 4,098 | 6,250 | 8,930 |
| Net Charge-Offs | (128,948) | (51,674) | (332,954) | (97,845) |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses, end of period | \$ 301,047 | \$ 457,153 | \$ 301,047 | \$ 457,153 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to period end total loans held for investment | 3.19% | 4.44% | 3.19% | 4.44% |
| Net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans outstanding during the period | 5.25% | 2.03% | 6.69% | 1.90% |
| Net charge-offs (annualized), excluding charge-offs related to the bulk loan sales and loans transferred to held for sale, to average loans outstanding during the period | 1.29% | 2.03% | 2.11% | 1.90% |
| Provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs during the period | 0.68x | 0.48x | 0.60x | 0.62x |
| Provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs during the period, excluding impact of the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale | 0.63x | 0.48x | 0.66x | 0.62x |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

- (1) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes provision totaling \$67.9 million and \$68.8 million respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales.
- (2) During the second quarter of 2013, after a comprehensive review of substantially all of the loans in our commercial portfolios, the classification of certain loans was revised to more accurately depict the nature of the underlying loans. This reclassification resulted in a net increased of \$269.0 million and \$10.7 million in commercial mortgage loans and related allowance , respectively, since the principal source of repayment of such loans is derived from the operations underlying real estate, with a corresponding decrease of \$246.8 million and \$9.4 million in commercial and industrial loans and related allowance, respectively, and a \$22.2 million and \$1.3 million decrease in construction loans and related allowance, respectively.
- (3) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes provision of \$28.7 million associated with the bulk loan sale and the transfer of loans to held for sale.
- (4) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes provision totaling \$20.8 million associated with the bulk loan sale.
- (5) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes provision totaling \$31,000 and \$13.6 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales.
- (6) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes provision totaling \$67.9 million and \$132.0 million, respectively, associated with the bulk sales of loans and the transfer of loans to held for sale.
- (7) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes charge-offs totaling \$97.9 million and \$99.0 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales.
- (8) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes charge-offs totaling \$54.6 million associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfers of loans to held for sale.
- (9) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes charge-offs totaling \$44.7 million associated with the bulk loan sales.
- (10) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes charge-offs totaling \$31,000 and \$34.2 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale.
- (11) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes charge-offs totaling \$98.0 million and \$232.4 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information concerning the allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses by loan category and the percentage of loan balances in each category to the total of such loans as of the dates indicated:

| (In thousands) | As of June 30, 2013 | | As of December 31 2012 | |
|--|------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Residential mortgage | \$ 35,581 | 27% | \$ 68,354 | 27% |
| Commercial mortgage loans | 88,013 | 20% | 97,692 | 19% |
| Construction loans | 34,728 | 2% | 61,600 | 4% |
| Commercial and Industrial loans (including loans to a local financial institution) | 87,677 | 29% | 146,900 | 30% |
| Consumer loans and finance leases | 55,048 | 22% | 60,868 | 20% |
| | \$ 301,047 | 100% | \$ 435,414 | 100% |

The following table sets forth information concerning the composition of the Corporation's allowance for loan and lease losses as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 by loan category and by whether the allowance and related provisions were calculated individually or through a general valuation allowance.

As of June 30, 2013

| (Dollars in thousands) | Residential Mortgage Loans | Commercial Mortgage Loans | C&I Loans | Construction Loans | Consumer and Finance Leases | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Impaired loans without specific reserves: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans, net of charge-offs | \$ 137,999 | \$ 39,351 | \$ 27,023 | \$ 21,716 | \$ 3,556 | \$ 229,645 |
| Impaired loans with specific reserves: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans, net of charge-offs | 246,063 | 173,632 | 179,909 | 54,767 | 24,229 | 678,600 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 20,406 | 33,219 | 36,503 | 21,884 | 2,941 | 114,953 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to principal balance | 8.29% | 19.13% | 20.29% | 39.96% | 12.14% | 16.94% |
| PCI loans: | | | | | | |
| Carrying value of PCI loans | | | | | 8,285 | 8,285 |
| Allowance for PCI loans | | | | | | |
| Allowance for PCI loans to carrying value | | | | | | |
| Loans with general allowance: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans | 2,127,144 | 1,703,526 | 2,568,859 | 118,429 | 2,011,298 | 8,529,256 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 15,175 | 54,794 | 51,174 | 12,844 | 52,107 | 186,094 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to principal balance | 0.71% | 3.22% | 1.99% | 10.85% | 2.59% | 2.18% |
| Total loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans | \$ 2,511,206 | \$ 1,916,509 | \$ 2,775,791 | \$ 194,912 | \$ 2,047,368 | \$ 9,445,786 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 35,581 | 88,013 | 87,677 | 34,728 | 55,048 | 301,047 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to principal balance ⁽¹⁾ | 1.42% | 4.59% | 3.16% | 17.82% | 2.69% | 3.19% |

Table of Contents

| (Dollars in thousands) | Residential Mortgage Loans | Commercial Mortgage Loans | C&I Loans | Construction Loans | Consumer and Finance Leases | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Impaired loans without specific reserves: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans, net of charge-offs | \$ 122,056 | \$ 44,495 | \$ 35,673 | \$ 21,179 | \$ 2,615 | \$ 226,018 |
| Impaired loans with specific reserves: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans, net of charge-offs | 462,663 | 310,030 | 284,357 | 159,504 | 22,722 | 1,239,276 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 47,171 | 50,959 | 80,167 | 39,572 | 3,880 | 221,749 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to principal balance | 10.20% | 16.44% | 28.19% | 24.81% | 17.08% | 17.89% |
| PCI loans: | | | | | | |
| Carrying value of PCI loans | | | | | 10,602 | 10,602 |
| Allowance for PCI loans | | | | | | |
| Allowance for PCI loans to carrying value | | | | | | |
| Loans with general allowance: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans | 2,162,498 | 1,529,273 | 2,728,517 | 181,192 | 1,976,738 | 8,578,218 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 21,183 | 46,733 | 66,733 | 22,028 | 56,988 | 213,665 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to principal balance | 0.98% | 3.06% | 2.45% | 12.16% | 2.88% | 2.49% |
| Total loans held for investment: | | | | | | |
| Principal balance of loans | \$ 2,747,217 | \$ 1,883,798 | \$ 3,048,547 | \$ 361,875 | \$ 2,012,677 | \$ 10,054,114 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 68,354 | 97,692 | 146,900 | 61,600 | 60,868 | 435,414 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to principal balance ⁽¹⁾ | 2.49% | 5.19% | 4.82% | 17.02% | 3.02% | 4.33% |

- (1) Loans used in the denominator include PCI loans of \$8.3 million as of June 30, 2013. However, the Corporation separately tracks and reports PCI loans and exclude these loans from delinquent loans, non-performing loans, impaired loans, TDRs and non-performing assets statistics.

The following tables show the activity for impaired loans held for investment and the related specific reserve during the quarter and six-month period ended in June 30, 2013:

| | Quarter Ended | Six month period ended |
|--|------------------|------------------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Impaired Loans: | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,100,265 | \$ 1,465,294 |
| Loans determined impaired during the period | 56,210 | 150,778 |
| Net charge-offs | (95,605) | (271,972) |
| Loans sold, net of charge-offs | (111,756) | (201,409) |
| Loans transferred to held for sale, net of charge-offs | | (147,100) |
| Increase to impaired loans - additional disbursements | 763 | 6,020 |
| Foreclosures | (10,680) | (22,845) |
| Loans no longer considered impaired | (9,640) | (25,789) |
| Paid in full or partial payments | (21,312) | (44,732) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 908,245 | \$ 908,245 |

June 30, 2013
(In thousands)

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| Specific Reserve: | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 144,028 | \$ 221,749 |
| Provision for loan losses | 66,530 | 165,176 |
| Net charge-offs | (95,605) | (271,972) |
| | | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 114,953 | \$ 114,953 |

Credit Quality

The Corporation continues to execute its strategic plan and achieved significant improvements in credit quality metrics. At June 30, 2013, total non-performing assets were \$751.9 million, a decrease of \$486.3 million from December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly driven by the two bulk sales of assets completed during the first half of 2013, which included in the aggregate \$382.5 million of non-performing loans (\$178.7 million corresponding to the first quarter 2013 sale of adversely classified and non-performing loans,

Table of Contents

mainly commercial loans, and \$203.8 million corresponding to the second quarter 2013 sale of non-performing residential loans) and \$25.5 million of OREO. Additional reductions were achieved through the individual sale of a \$40.8 million non-performing commercial mortgage loan that was previously written off and transferred to held for sale, and the write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman. Total non-performing loans, including non-performing loans held for sale, decreased by \$376.7 million mainly due to the two aforementioned bulk sales and charge-offs of \$36.0 million associated with loans transferred to held for sale. These decreases were partially offset by inflows of loans to non-performing status amounting to \$273.5 million, including two commercial mortgage relationships that aggregated \$85.0 million. At June 30, 2013, total delinquencies, which include all loans 30 days or more past due and non-accrual loans, decreased \$395.3 million to \$970.5 million from December 31, 2012. The level of adversely classified commercial and construction loans, including non-performing loans held for sale, decreased by \$255.1 million to \$759.6 million, or a 25% decrease, compared to the balance at December 31, 2012. The net charge-off activity increased to \$333.0 million. The increase reflects the impact of \$196.5 million of charge-offs related to the two bulks sales of assets completed in the first half of 2013, and charge-offs of \$36.0 million related to the transfer of loans to held for sale. Excluding the impact of the bulk sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale, net charge-offs were \$100.5 million, or an annualized 2.11% % of average loans, for the first half of 2013, compared to \$97.8 million, or an annualized 1.90 %, for the first half of 2012. The increase was primarily due to a \$25.4 million charge-off related to a single C&I relationship restructured through a loan split in the first quarter of 2013 and for which additional significant reserves were not necessary. Given the prolonged recession and uncertainties in the economic environment in Puerto Rico, the Corporation continued to face pressures related to its non-performing and charge-offs levels. The Corporation continues to emphasize its loan resolution and liquidation strategies.

Non-performing Loans and Non-performing Assets

Total non-performing assets consist of non-performing loans (generally loans held for investment or loans held for sale on which the recognition of interest income has been discontinued when the loan became 90 days past due or earlier if the full and timely collection of interest or principal is uncertain), foreclosed real estate and other repossessed properties as well as non-performing investment securities. When a loan is placed in non-performing status, any interest previously recognized and not collected is reversed and charged against interest income.

Non-performing Loans Policy

Residential Real Estate Loans The Corporation classifies real estate loans in non-performing status when interest and principal have not been received for a period of 90 days or more.

Commercial and Construction Loans The Corporation places commercial loans (including commercial real estate and construction loans) in non-performing status when interest and principal have not been received for a period of 90 days or more or when collection of all of the principal or interest is not expected due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower.

Finance Leases Finance leases are classified in non-performing status when interest and principal have not been received for a period of 90 days or more.

Consumer Loans Consumer loans are classified in non-performing status when interest and principal have not been received for a period of 90 days or more. Credit card loans continue to accrue finance charges and fees until charged-off at 180 days delinquent.

PCI Loans PCI loans were recorded at fair value at acquisition. Since the initial fair value of these loans included an estimate of credit losses expected to be realized over the remaining lives of the loans, the subsequent accounting for PCI loans differs from the accounting for non-PCI loans. The Corporation, therefore, separately tracks and reports PCI loans and excludes these from its delinquency, non-performing loans, impaired, TDRs, and non-performing assets statistics.

Cash payments received on certain loans that are impaired and collateral dependent are recognized when collected in accordance with the contractual terms of the loans. The principal portion of the payment is used to reduce the principal balance of the loan, whereas the interest portion is recognized on a cash basis (when collected). However, when management believes that the ultimate collectability of principal is in doubt, the interest portion is applied to principal. The risk exposure of this portfolio is diversified as to individual borrowers and industries among other factors. In addition, a large portion is secured with real estate collateral.

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO acquired in settlement of loans is carried at the lower of cost (carrying value of the loan) or fair value less estimated costs to sell off the real estate. Appraisals are obtained periodically, generally, on an annual basis.

Table of Contents

Other Repossessed Property

The other repossessed property category generally includes repossessed boats and autos acquired in settlement of loans. Repossessed boats and autos are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value.

Other Non-Performing Assets

In the past, this category mainly consisted of assets pledged to Lehman at their book value. During the second quarter of 2013, the Corporation recorded a non-cash charge of \$66.6 million associated with the carrying value of the pledged securities and related accrued interest (\$64.5 million book value and \$2.1 million accrued interest).

Past Due Loans 90 days and still accruing

These are accruing loans that are contractually delinquent 90 days or more. These past due loans are either current as to interest but delinquent as to the payment of principal or are insured or guaranteed under applicable FHA and VA programs.

Troubled Debt Restructurings (TDRs) are classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. A loan on nonaccrual and restructured as a TDR will remain on nonaccrual status until the borrower has proven the ability to perform under the modified structure generally for a minimum of six months, and there is evidence that such payments can and are likely to continue as agreed. Performance prior to the restructuring, or significant events that coincide with the restructuring, are included in assessing whether the borrower can meet the new terms and may result in the loans being returned to accrual at the time of the restructuring or after a shorter performance period. If the borrower's ability to meet the revised payment schedule is uncertain, the loan remains classified as a nonaccrual loan.

Table of Contents

The following table presents non-performing assets as of the dates indicated:

| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Non-performing loans held for investment: | | |
| Residential mortgage | \$ 133,937 | \$ 313,626 |
| Commercial mortgage | 136,737 | 214,780 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 131,906 | 230,090 |
| Construction | 68,204 | 178,190 |
| Finance leases | 2,801 | 3,182 |
| Consumer | 32,615 | 35,693 |
| Total non-performing loans held for investment | \$ 506,200 | \$ 975,561 |
| Other real estate owned | 139,257 | 185,764 |
| Other repossessed property | 11,503 | 10,107 |
| Other assets ⁽¹⁾ | | 64,543 |
| Total non-performing assets, excluding loans held for sale | \$ 656,960 | \$ 1,235,975 |
| Non-performing loans held for sale | 94,951 | 2,243 |
| Total non-performing assets, including loans held for sale ⁽²⁾ | \$ 751,911 | \$ 1,238,218 |
| Past due loans 90 days and still accruing ⁽³⁾ | \$ 113,061 | \$ 142,012 |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 5.87% | 9.45% |
| Non-performing loans held for investment to total loans held for investment | 5.36% | 9.70% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$ 301,047 | \$ 435,414 |
| Allowance to total non-performing loans held for investment | 59.47% | 44.63% |
| Allowance to total non-performing loans held for investment, excluding residential real estate loans | 80.87% | 65.78% |

(1) Collateral pledged to Lehman.

(2) Amounts exclude purchased credit impaired loans with a carrying value as of June 30, 2013 of approximately \$8.3 million acquired as part of the credit card portfolio purchased in the second quarter of 2012.

(3) It is the Corporation's policy to report delinquent residential mortgage loans insured by FHA or guaranteed by the VA as past-due loans 90 days and still accruing as opposed to non-performing loans since the principal repayment is insured. These balances include \$34.1 million of residential mortgage loans insured by FHA or guaranteed by the VA, which are over 18 months delinquent, and are no longer accruing interest as of June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following table shows non-performing assets by geographic segment:

| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Puerto Rico: | | |
| Non-performing loans held for investment: | | |
| Residential mortgage | \$ 110,929 | \$ 281,086 |
| Commercial mortgage | 100,459 | 172,534 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 124,596 | 215,985 |
| Construction | 46,273 | 99,383 |
| Finance leases | 2,801 | 3,182 |
| Consumer | 28,953 | 32,529 |
| Total non-performing loans held for investment | 414,011 | 804,699 |
| OREO | 108,507 | 145,683 |
| Other repossessed property | 11,417 | 10,070 |
| Other assets | | 64,543 |
| Total non-performing assets, excluding loans held for sale | \$ 533,935 | \$ 1,024,995 |
| Non-performing loans held for sale | 54,946 | 2,243 |
| Total non-performing assets, including loans held for sale ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 588,881 | \$ 1,027,238 |
| Past due loans 90 days and still accruing | \$ 111,331 | \$ 137,288 |
| Virgin Islands: | | |
| Non-performing loans held for investment: | | |
| Residential mortgage | \$ 9,465 | \$ 18,054 |
| Commercial mortgage | 5,651 | 11,232 |
| Commercial and Industrial | 7,310 | 12,905 |
| Construction | 17,271 | 72,648 |
| Consumer | 753 | 804 |
| Total non-performing loans held for investment | 40,450 | 115,643 |
| OREO | 18,624 | 24,260 |
| Other repossessed property | 45 | 17 |
| Total non-performing assets, excluding loans held for sale | \$ 59,119 | \$ 139,920 |
| Non-performing loans held for sale | 40,005 | |
| Total non-performing assets, including loans held for sale | \$ 99,124 | \$ 139,920 |
| Past due loans 90 days and still accruing | \$ 1,730 | \$ 4,068 |
| United States: | | |
| Non-performing loans held for investment: | | |
| Residential mortgage | \$ 13,543 | \$ 14,486 |
| Commercial mortgage | 30,627 | 31,014 |
| Commercial and Industrial | | 1,200 |
| Construction | 4,660 | 6,159 |
| Consumer | 2,909 | 2,360 |
| Total non-performing loans held for investment | 51,739 | 55,219 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| OREO | 12,126 | 15,821 |
| Other repossessed property | 41 | 20 |
| Total non-performing assets, excluding loans held for sale Non-performing loans held for sale | \$ 63,906 | \$ 71,060 |
| Total non-performing assets, including loans held for sale | \$ 63,906 | \$ 71,060 |
| Past due loans 90 days and still accruing | \$ | \$ 656 |

- (1) Amount excludes purchased credit impaired loans with a carrying value as of June 30, 2013 of approximately \$8.3 million acquired as part of the credit card portfolio purchased in the second quarter of 2012.

Table of Contents

Total non-performing loans, including non-performing loans held for sale, were \$601.2 million at June 30, 2013. This represents a decrease of \$376.7 million, or 38.5 %, from \$977.8 million at December 31, 2012. This reduction reflects the impact of the two bulk sales completed in the first half of 2013 and charge-offs related to the transfer of loans to held for sale, partially offset by inflows of commercial mortgage loans and residential mortgage loans to non-performing status as described below.

Non-performing C&I loans, decreased by \$99.3 million, or 43%, compared to December 31, 2012, driven by the impact of non-performing C&I loans with a book value of \$85.3 million included in the bulk sale of adversely classified and non-performing assets completed in the first quarter of 2013, a charge-off of \$25.4 million on a single relationship restructured through a loan split in the first quarter of 2013, and certain loans paid-off. Partially offsetting the aforementioned decreases were inflows of \$26.9 million during the first half of 2013, mainly concentrated in Puerto Rico. The largest C&I loan that entered into non-performing status during the first half of 2013 amounted to \$6.5 million. Total inflows of non-performing C&I loans decreased to \$26.9 million in the first half of 2013 compared to inflows of \$40.5 million for the same period in 2012.

Non-performing construction loans, including non-performing construction loans held for sale, decreased by \$53.0 million, or 30%, from December 31, 2012, primarily reflecting the impact of non-performing construction loans with a book value of \$41.4 million included in the bulk sale of adversely classified and non-performing assets completed in the first quarter of 2013 as well as charge-offs of \$21.4 million related to construction loans transferred to held for sale. The decrease in non-performing construction loans was primarily in Puerto Rico with a reduction of \$36.2 million with the remaining decrease of \$14.4 million mainly related to charge-offs on a single relationship transferred to held for sale in the Virgin Islands. The inflows of non-performing construction loans of \$16.9 million during the first half of 2013 decreased compared to inflows of \$19.5 million for the same period in 2012.

Non-performing commercial mortgage loans, including non-performing commercial mortgage loans held for sale, decreased by \$41.1 million, or 19%, from December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily driven by the impact of non-performing commercial mortgage loans with a book value of \$51.5 million included in the bulk sale of adversely classified and non-performing assets completed in the first quarter of 2013, charge-offs of \$14.6 million related to the transfer of commercial mortgage loans to held for sale, the individual sale of a \$40.8 million loan that was written off and transferred to held for sale in the first quarter of 2013, an additional reduction of \$12.2 million related to the restructuring of a commercial mortgage loan held for sale in which the Corporation received foreclosed real estate in partial satisfaction of a debt arrangement and modified the terms of the remaining balance, and other reductions related to loans restored to accrual status and collections. Non-performing commercial mortgage loans decreased by \$35.2 million in Puerto Rico, led by the aforementioned sales, charge-offs and restructuring. Non-performing commercial mortgage loans in the Virgin Islands decreased by \$5.6 million, driven by the restoration to accrual status after a sustained period of performance of a \$5.8 million modified loan. The United States non-performing commercial mortgage loans portfolio decreased by \$0.4 million. Total inflows of non-performing commercial mortgage loans of \$97.9 million during the first half of 2013 increased by \$66.0 million compared to \$31.9 million for the same period in 2012, primarily associated with the two relationships that aggregated \$85.0 million mentioned above.

Non-performing residential mortgage loans decreased by \$179.7 million, or 57%, from December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly driven by the \$203.8 million of loans sold as part of the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets completed in the second quarter of 2013, \$46.8 million of loans restored to accrual status, or collected, foreclosures of approximately \$24.9 million, and charge-offs. These decreases were offset by inflows of \$101.4 million during the first half of 2013. Non-performing residential mortgage loans decreased by \$170.2 million, or 61%, \$8.6 million, or 48%, and \$0.9 million or 7% in Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands and the United States, respectively, from December 31, 2012. Total inflows of non-performing residential mortgage loans were \$101.4 million during the first half of 2013 compared to \$104.3 million for the first half of 2012, a decrease of \$2.9 million. Approximately \$49.4 million, or 37% of total non-performing residential mortgage loans, have been written down to their net realizable value.

The level of non-performing consumer loans, including finance leases, showed a \$3.5 million decrease during the first half of 2013 mainly related to auto loans and boat financings. The inflows of non-performing consumer loans of \$30.5 million for the first half of 2013 increased \$3.2 million compared to inflows of \$27.3 million for the same period in 2012.

At June 30, 2013, approximately \$154.7 million of the loans placed in non-accrual status, mainly construction and commercial loans, were current, or had delinquencies of less than 90 days in their interest payments, including \$80.7 million of TDRs maintained in nonaccrual status until the restructured loans meet the criteria of sustained payment performance under the revised terms for reinstatement to accrual status and there is no doubt about full collectability. Collections on these loans are being recorded on a cash basis through earnings, or on a cost-recovery basis, as conditions warrant.

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, interest income of approximately \$2.3 million related to non-performing loans with a carrying value of \$341.4 million as of June 30, 2013, mainly non-performing construction and commercial loans, was applied against the related principal balances under the cost-recovery method.

Table of Contents

The allowance to non-performing loans held for investment ratio as of June 30, 2013 was 59.47%, compared to 44.63% as of December 31, 2012. As of June 30, 2013, approximately \$78.2 million, or 15.45%, of total non-performing loans held for investment have been charged-off to their net realizable value as shown in the following table:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Residential Mortgage Loans | Commercial Mortgage Loans | C&I Loans | Construction Loans | Consumer and Finance Leases | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| As of June 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans held for investment charged-off to realizable value | \$ 49,445 | \$ 1,768 | \$ 23,072 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 2,435 | \$ 78,220 |
| Other non-performing loans held for investment | 84,492 | 134,969 | 108,834 | 66,704 | 32,981 | 427,980 |
| Total non-performing loans held for investment | \$ 133,937 | \$ 136,737 | \$ 131,906 | \$ 68,204 | \$ 35,416 | \$ 506,200 |
| Allowance to non-performing loans held for investments | 26.57% | 64.37% | 66.47% | 50.92% | 155.43% | 59.47% |
| Allowance to non-performing loans held for investments, excluding non-performing loans charged-off to realizable value | 42.11% | 65.21% | 80.56% | 52.06% | 166.91% | 70.34% |
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans held for investment charged-off to realizable value | \$ 170,555 | \$ 7,194 | \$ 25,925 | \$ 43,943 | \$ 1,219 | \$ 248,836 |
| Other non-performing loans held for investment | 143,071 | 207,586 | 204,165 | 134,247 | 37,656 | 726,725 |
| Total non-performing loans held for investment | \$ 313,626 | \$ 214,780 | \$ 230,090 | \$ 178,190 | \$ 38,875 | \$ 975,561 |
| Allowance to non-performing loans held for investments | 21.79% | 45.48% | 63.84% | 34.57% | 156.57% | 44.63% |
| Allowance to non-performing loans held for investments, excluding non-performing loans charged-off to realizable value | 47.78% | 47.06% | 71.95% | 45.89% | 161.64% | 59.91% |

The Corporation provides homeownership preservation assistance to its customers through a loss mitigation program in Puerto Rico that is similar to the government's Home Affordable Modification Program guidelines. Depending upon the nature of borrowers' financial condition, restructurings or loan modifications through this program as well as other restructurings of individual commercial, commercial mortgage, construction, and residential mortgage loans in the U.S. mainland fit the definition of TDRs. A restructuring of a debt constitutes a TDR if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Modifications involve changes in one or more of the loan terms that bring a defaulted loan current and provide sustainable affordability. Changes may include the refinancing of any past-due amounts, including interest and escrow, the extension of the maturity of the loan and modifications of the loan rate. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's total TDR loans of \$613.1 million consisted of \$317.3 million of residential mortgage loans, \$96.8 million of commercial and industrial loans, \$153.0 million of commercial mortgage loans, \$20.4 million of construction loans, and \$25.6 million of consumer loans. Outstanding unfunded commitments on TDR loans amounted to \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2013.

The Corporation's loss mitigation programs for residential mortgage and consumer loans can provide for one or a combination of the following: movement of interest past due to the end of the loan, extension of the loan term, deferral of principal payments for a significant period of time, and reduction of interest rates either permanently (offered up to 2010) or for a period of up to two years (step-up rates). Additionally, in rare cases, the restructuring may provide for the forgiveness of contractually due principal or interest. Uncollected interest is added to the end of the loan term at the time of the restructuring and not recognized as income until collected or when the loan is paid off. These programs are available only to those borrowers who have defaulted, or are likely to default, permanently on their loan and would lose their homes in a foreclosure action absent some lender concession. Nevertheless, if the Corporation is not reasonably assured that the borrower will comply with its contractual commitment, properties are foreclosed.

Prior to permanently modifying a loan, the Corporation may enter into trial modifications with certain borrowers. Trial modifications generally represent a six-month period during which the borrower makes monthly payments under the anticipated modified payment terms prior to a formal modification. Upon successful completion of a trial modification, the Corporation and the borrower enter into a permanent modification.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

TDR loans that are participating in or that have been offered a binding trial modification are classified as TDRs when the trial offer is made and continue to be classified as TDR regardless of whether the borrower enters into a permanent modification. As of June 30, 2013, we classified an additional \$0.6 million of residential mortgage loans as TDRs that were participating in or had been offered a trial modification.

Table of Contents

For the commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and construction portfolios, at the time of the restructuring, the Corporation determines, on a loan-by-loan basis, whether a concession was granted for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulty. Concessions granted for commercial loans could include: reductions in interest rates to rates that are considered below market; extension of repayment schedules and maturity dates beyond original contractual terms; waivers of borrower covenants; forgiveness of principal or interest; or other contract changes that would be considered a concession. The Corporation mitigates loan defaults for its commercial loan portfolios through its collections function. The function's objective is to minimize both early stage delinquencies and losses upon default of commercial loans. In the case of the commercial and industrial, commercial mortgage and construction loan portfolios, the Special Asset Group (SAG) focuses on strategies for the accelerated reduction of non-performing assets through note sales, short sales, loss mitigation programs, and sales of OREO. In addition to the management of the resolution process for problem loans, the SAG oversees collection efforts for all loans to prevent migration to the non-performing and/or adversely classified status. The SAG utilizes relationship officers, collection specialists, and attorneys. In the case of residential construction projects, the workout function monitors project specifics, such as project management and marketing, as deemed necessary. The SAG utilizes its collections infrastructure of workout collection officers, credit work-out specialists, in-house legal counsel, and third-party consultants. In the case of residential construction projects and large commercial loans, the function also utilizes third-party specialized consultants to monitor the residential and commercial construction projects in terms of construction, marketing and sales, and assists with the restructuring of large commercial loans. In addition, the Corporation extends, renews, and restructures loans with satisfactory credit profiles. Many commercial loan facilities are structured as lines of credit, which are mainly one year in term and therefore are required to be renewed annually. Other facilities may be restructured or extended from time to time based upon changes in the borrower's business needs, use of funds, timing of completion of projects, and other factors. If the borrower is not deemed to have financial difficulties, extensions, renewals, and restructurings are done in the normal course of business and not considered concessions, and the loans continue to be recorded as performing.

TDRs are classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. A loan on nonaccrual and restructured as a TDR will remain on nonaccrual status until the borrower has proven the ability to perform under the modified structure generally for a minimum of six months and there is evidence that such payments can and are likely to continue as agreed. Performance prior to the restructuring, or significant events that coincide with the restructuring, are included in assessing whether the borrower can meet the new terms and may result in the loans being returned to accrual at the time of the restructuring or after a shorter performance period. If the borrower's ability to meet the revised payment schedule is uncertain, the loan remains classified as a nonaccrual loan. Loan modifications increase the Corporation's interest income by returning a non-performing loan to performing status, if applicable, and increase cash flows by providing for payments to be made by the borrower, and avoid increases in foreclosure and OREO costs. The Corporation continues to consider a modified loan as an impaired loan for purposes of estimating the allowance for loan and lease losses.

The following table provides a breakdown between accrual and nonaccrual status of TDRs:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Accrual | Nonaccrual (1)(2) | Total TDRs |
| Non-FHA/VA Residential Mortgage loans | \$ 260,886 | \$ 56,409 | \$ 317,295 |
| Commercial Mortgage Loans | 76,296 | 76,705 | 153,001 |
| Commercial and Industrial Loans | 53,834 | 42,977 | 96,811 |
| Construction Loans | 1,377 | 19,002 | 20,379 |
| Consumer Loans - Auto | 7,837 | 5,142 | 12,979 |
| Finance Leases | 2,164 | 129 | 2,293 |
| Consumer Loans - Other | 7,681 | 2,690 | 10,371 |
| Total Troubled Debt Restructurings | \$ 410,075 | \$ 203,054 | \$ 613,129 |

(1) Included in non-accrual loans are \$80.7 million in loans that are performing under the terms of the restructuring agreement but are reported in non-accrual status until the restructured loans meet the criteria of sustained payment performance under the revised terms for reinstatement to accrual status and there is no doubt about full collectibility.

(2) Excludes non-accrual TDRs held for sale with a carrying value of \$79.3 million as of June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The REO portfolio, which is part of non-performing assets, decreased by \$46.5 million driven by OREO properties sold as part of the bulk sales of assets completed in the first and second quarter of 2013, as well as write-downs. The following table shows the activity during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 of the REO portfolio by geographic region and type of property:

| (In thousands) | As of June 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Puerto Rico | | | Virgin Islands | | | Florida | | | Consolidated |
| | Residential | Commercial | Construction | Residential | Commercial | Construction | Residential | Commercial | Construction | |
| Beginning Balance | \$ 66,358 | \$ 54,601 | \$ 24,724 | \$ 3,802 | \$ 3,018 | \$ 17,439 | \$ 1,440 | \$ 11,786 | \$ 2,596 | \$ 185,764 |
| Additions | 25,531 | 14,974 | 81 | 1,352 | | | 588 | | | 42,526 |
| Sales | (42,636) | (16,562) | (1,030) | (994) | | | (794) | (3,440) | | (65,456) |
| Fair value adjustments | (6,419) | (7,332) | (3,783) | (354) | (837) | (4,802) | (50) | | | (23,577) |
| | \$ 42,834 | \$ 45,681 | \$ 19,992 | \$ 3,806 | \$ 2,181 | \$ 12,637 | \$ 1,184 | \$ 8,346 | \$ 2,596 | \$ 139,257 |

The over 90-day delinquent, but still accruing loans, excluding loans guaranteed by the U.S. Government, decreased during the first half of 2013 by \$18.9 million to \$29.8 million, or 0.32% of total loans held for investment at June 30, 2013. Loans 30 to 89 days delinquent decreased during the first half of 2013 by \$10.3 million to \$256.4 million as of June 30, 2013.

Net Charge-offs and Total Credit Losses

Total net charge-offs for the first half of 2013 were \$333.0 million, or 6.69% of average loans on an annualized basis, including \$134.5 million of charge-offs related to the bulk sale of adversely classified assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale during the first quarter of 2013, and \$98.0 million related to the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets during the second quarter of 2013. Excluding the impact of charge-offs related to the bulk sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale, total net charge offs for the first half of 2013 were \$100.5 million, or 2.11% of average loans, compared to \$97.8 million, or an annualized 1.90%, in the first half of 2012.

C&I loan net charge-offs in the first half of 2013 were \$90.3 million, or an annualized 5.93% of related average loans, including \$44.7 million of charge-offs related to the bulk sale completed during the first quarter of 2013. Excluding the impact of charge-offs related to the first quarter 2013 bulk sale, C&I net charge-offs for the first half of 2013 were \$45.7 million, or 3.05% of average loans, up from \$21.1 million, or an annualized 1.07% of related loans, in the first half of 2012. Substantially all of the charge-offs recorded in the first half of 2013 were in Puerto Rico. The increase was primarily due to a \$25.4 million charge-off related to a single relationship restructured in the first quarter of 2013 into a split Note A/Note B. The charge-offs for the first half of 2013 also included an aggregate of \$15.6 million related to six relationships in Puerto Rico with individual charge-offs in excess of \$1 million.

Commercial mortgage loan net charge-offs in the first half of 2013 were \$59.3 million, or an annualized 6.75% of related average loans, including \$54.6 million of charge-offs related to the bulk sale and the transfer of loans to held for sale during the first quarter of 2013. Excluding the impact of charge-offs related to the bulk sale and the transfer of loans to held for sale, commercial mortgage loans net charge-offs for the first half of 2013 were \$4.7 million, or 0.56% of average loans, down from \$9.9 million, or an annualized 1.29% of related loans, in the first half of 2012. Commercial mortgage loan net charge-offs in the first half of 2013 were primarily in Puerto Rico, and an aggregate of \$2.7 million was related to two relationships in Puerto Rico with individual charge-offs in excess of \$1 million.

Construction loan net charge-offs in the first half of 2013 were \$40.9 million, or an annualized 26.33%, of average loans, including \$34.2 million of charge-offs related to the bulk sale and the transfer of loans to held for sale during the first quarter of 2013. Excluding the impact of charge-offs related to the bulk sale and the transfer of loans to held for sale, construction net charge-offs for the first half of 2013 were \$6.7 million, or 5.34% of average loans, down from \$30.6 million, or an annualized 14.70% of related loans, in the first half of 2012. The positive variance when compared to the prior year is mainly attributable to an individual charge-off of \$7.5 million recorded on a commercial project in Puerto Rico and an aggregate of \$19.9 million related to three construction relationships in the Virgin Islands recorded during the first half of 2012. Construction loan net charge-offs in the first half of 2013 included a \$2.9 million charge-off on one residential land loan in Puerto Rico that entered into non-performing status during the first quarter of 2013 and \$1.3 million related to a single relationship in Florida.

Residential mortgage loan net charge-offs in the first half of 2013 were \$115.0 million, or an annualized 8.19% of related average loans, including \$98.0 million of charge-offs related to the second quarter bulk sale. Excluding the impact of charge-offs related to the bulk sales, residential mortgage loan net charge-offs for the first half of 2013 were \$16.1 million, or 1.23% of average loans, down from \$19.9 million, or an annualized 1.43% for the comparable period in 2012. Approximately \$8.6 million in charge-offs for the first half of 2013 resulted from

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

valuations for impairment purposes of residential mortgage loan portfolios considered homogeneous given high delinquency and loan-to-value levels, compared to \$9.9 million recorded in the first half of 2012. Net charge-offs on residential mortgage loans also included \$5.4 million related to the foreclosure of loans during the first half of 2013, compared to \$4.3 million recorded for foreclosures in the first half of 2012.

Table of Contents

Net charge-offs on consumer loans and finance leases in the first half of 2013 were \$27.4 million, or an annualized 2.71% of related average loans, compared to \$16.4 million, or an annualized 2.03% of average loans for the first half of 2012. This increase is mainly attributable to a larger portfolio led by the credit cards portfolio acquired in May 2012.

The following table presents annualized net charge-offs to average loans held-in-portfolio:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six month period ended | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| Residential mortgage loans | 14.78% ⁽¹⁾ | 2.04% | 8.19% ⁽¹⁾ | 1.43% |
| Commercial mortgage | 0.79% | 1.68% | 6.75% ⁽²⁾ | 1.29% |
| Commercial and industrial | 0.72% | 0.88% | 5.93% ⁽³⁾ | 1.07% |
| Construction loans | 3.43% ⁽⁴⁾ | 15.21% | 26.33% ⁽⁴⁾ | 14.70% |
| Consumer loans ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 2.83% | 1.81% | 2.71% | 2.03% |
| Total loans | 5.25% ⁽⁷⁾ | 2.03% | 6.69% ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.90% |

- (1) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes net charge-offs totaling \$97.9 million and \$99.0 million, respectively, associated with the bulk sales of assets. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of residential mortgage net-charge offs to average loans, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk loan sale, was 0.84% and 1.23%, respectively.
- (2) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes net charge-offs of \$54.6 million associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale in the first quarter of 2013. The ratio of commercial mortgage net-charge offs to average loans, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 0.56%.
- (3) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes net charge-offs totaling \$44.7 million associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets. The ratio of commercial and industrial net-charge offs to average loans, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets, was 3.05%.
- (4) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes net charge-offs totaling \$31,000 and \$34.2 million, respectively, associated with the bulk sales of assets. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of construction net-charge offs to average loans, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 3.39% and 5.34%, respectively.
- (5) Includes lease financing.
- (6) Loan used in the denominator calculating the ratio include PCI loans.
- (7) For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes net charge-offs totaling \$98.0 million and \$232.4 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of total net-charge offs to average loans, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale was 1.29% and 2.11%, respectively.

The above ratios are based on annualized charge-offs and are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the entire year or in subsequent periods.

Table of Contents

The following table presents net charge-offs (annualized) to average loans held-in-portfolio by geographic segment:

| | Quarter Ended | | Six-Month Period Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| PUERTO RICO: | | | | |
| Residential mortgage ⁽¹⁾ | 18.03% | 2.42% | 10.00% | 1.69% |
| Commercial mortgage ⁽²⁾ | 1.03% | 1.18% | 8.69% | 1.08% |
| Commercial and Industrial ⁽³⁾ | 0.76% | 0.98% | 6.26% | 1.16% |
| Construction ⁽⁴⁾ | 1.98% | 3.63% | 24.44% | 9.80% |
| Consumer and finance leases | 2.90% | 1.73% | 2.77% | 2.00% |
| Total loans ⁽⁵⁾ | 5.76% | 1.58% | 7.23% | 1.69% |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS: | | | | |
| Residential mortgage ⁽⁶⁾ | 6.91% | 0.08% | 3.49% | 0.08% |
| Commercial mortgage | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Commercial and Industrial | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.06% | 0.01% |
| Construction ⁽⁷⁾ | 1.08% | 42.57% | 34.88% | 29.86% |
| Consumer and finance leases | 0.49% | 1.07% | 0.49% | 0.97% |
| Total loans ⁽⁸⁾ | 3.95% | 6.23% | 6.72% | 4.67% |
| FLORIDA: | | | | |
| Residential mortgage | 0.24% | 1.91% | 0.46% | 1.39% |
| Commercial mortgage ⁽⁹⁾ | -0.02% | 3.32% | -0.02% | 2.05% |
| Commercial and Industrial ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 0.43% | -3.38% | 0.28% | -1.33% |
| Construction ⁽¹¹⁾ | 18.29% | -0.76% | 10.43% | -17.27% |
| Consumer and finance leases | 2.36% | 6.92% | 2.17% | 5.28% |
| Total loans | 0.91% | 2.45% | 0.62% | 1.18% |

- (1) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$91.9 million and \$92.9 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales. For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of residential mortgage net-charge offs to average loans in Puerto Rico, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sales, was 1.02% and 1.52%, respectively.
- (2) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$54.6 million associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of commercial mortgage net-charge offs to average loans in Puerto Rico, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 0.74%.
- (3) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$44.7 million associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of commercial and industrial net-charge offs to average loans in Puerto Rico, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets, was 3.18%.
- (4) For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$19.0 million associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of construction net-charge offs to average loans in Puerto Rico, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sale of adversely classified commercial assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 7.60%.
- (5) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$91.9 million and \$211.2 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of total net-charge offs to average loans in Puerto Rico, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 1.40% and 2.37%, respectively.
- (6) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$6.1 million associated with the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets. For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of residential mortgage net-charge offs to average loans in the Virgin Islands, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk sale of non-performing residential assets, was 0.35% and 0.26%, respectively.
- (7) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$31k and \$15.2 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale. For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of construction loans net-charge offs to average loans in the Virgin Islands, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 0.93% and 0.42%, respectively.

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

- (8) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, includes net charge-offs totaling \$6.1 million and \$21.3 million, respectively, associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale. For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013 the ratio of total net-charge offs to average loans in the Virgin Islands, excluding charge-offs associated with the bulk loan sales and the transfer of loans to held for sale, was 0.34% and 0.57%, respectively.
- (9) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, recoveries in commercial mortgage loans in Florida exceeded charge-offs.
- (10) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, recoveries in commercial and industrial loans in Florida exceeded charge-offs.
- (11) For the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, recoveries in construction loans in Florida exceeded charge-offs.

Table of Contents

Total credit losses (equal to net charge-offs plus losses on REO operations) for the first half of 2013 amounted to \$355.1 million, or 7.00% on an annualized basis to average loans and repossessed assets in contrast to credit losses of \$108.1 million, or a loss rate of 2.07%, for the same period in 2012.

The following table presents a detail of the REO inventory and credit losses for the periods indicated:

| | Quarter Ended June 30, | | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| REO (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| REO balances, carrying value: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 47,824 | \$ 64,901 | \$ 47,824 | \$ 64,901 |
| Commercial | 56,208 | 58,231 | 56,208 | 58,231 |
| Construction | 35,225 | 44,209 | 35,225 | 44,209 |
| Total | \$ 139,257 | \$ 167,341 | \$ 139,257 | \$ 167,341 |
| REO activity (number of properties): | | | | |
| Beginning property inventory, | 720 | 590 | 716 | 575 |
| Properties acquired | 112 | 147 | 231 | 267 |
| Properties disposed | (299) | (90) | (414) | (195) |
| Ending property inventory | 533 | 647 | 533 | 647 |
| Average holding period (in days) | | | | |
| Residential | 280 | 389 | 280 | 389 |
| Commercial | 352 | 248 | 352 | 248 |
| Construction | 355 | 129 | 355 | 129 |
| | 328 | 271 | 328 | 271 |
| REO operations (loss) gain: | | | | |
| Market adjustments and (losses) gain on sale: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ (4,253) | \$ (1,686) | \$ (7,399) | \$ (2,978) |
| Commercial | (1,859) | (1,275) | (3,011) | (210) |
| Construction | (5,122) | (110) | (6,314) | (386) |
| | (11,234) | (3,071) | (16,724) | (3,574) |
| Other REO operations expenses | (3,595) | (3,715) | (5,415) | (6,655) |
| Net Loss on REO operations | \$ (14,829) | \$ (6,786) | \$ (22,139) | \$ (10,229) |
| CHARGE-OFFS | | | | |
| Residential charge-offs, net | (103,418) | (14,211) | (114,998) | (19,942) |
| Commercial charge-offs, net | (8,773) | (14,656) | (149,638) | (30,919) |
| Construction charge-offs, net | (2,368) | (15,186) | (40,883) | (30,578) |
| Consumer and finance leases charge-offs, net | (14,389) | (7,621) | (27,435) | (16,406) |
| Total charge-offs, net | (128,948) | (51,674) | (332,954) | (97,845) |
| TOTAL CREDIT LOSSES ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (143,777) | \$ (58,460) | \$ (355,093) | \$ (108,074) |

LOSS RATIO PER CATEGORY ⁽²⁾

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Residential | 15.01% | 2.23% | 8.51% | 1.61% |
| Commercial | 0.89% | 1.19% | 6.27% | 1.13% |
| Construction | 9.44% | 14.29% | 27.58% | 14.21% |
| Consumer | 2.81% | 1.80% | 2.69% | 2.01% |
| TOTAL CREDIT LOSS RATIO⁽³⁾ | 5.75% | 2.26% | 7.00% | 2.07% |

(1) Equal to REO operations (losses) gains plus charge-offs, net.

(2) Calculated as net charge-offs plus market adjustments and gains (losses) on sale of REO divided by average loans and repossessed assets.

(3) Calculated as net charge-offs plus net loss on REO operations divided by average loans and repossessed assets.

Table of Contents

Operational Risk

The Corporation faces ongoing and emerging risk and regulatory pressure related to the activities that surround the delivery of banking and financial products. Coupled with external influences such as market conditions, security risks, and legal risk, the potential for operational and reputational loss has increased. In order to mitigate and control operational risk, the Corporation has developed, and continues to enhance, specific internal controls, policies and procedures that are designated to identify and manage operational risk at appropriate levels throughout the organization. The purpose of these mechanisms is to provide reasonable assurance that the Corporation's business operations are functioning within the policies and limits established by management.

The Corporation classifies operational risk into two major categories: business specific and corporate-wide affecting all business lines. For business specific risks, a risk assessment group works with the various business units to ensure consistency in policies, processes and assessments. With respect to corporate-wide risks, such as information security, business recovery, and legal and compliance, the Corporation has specialized groups, such as the Legal Department, Information Security, Corporate Compliance, and Operations. These groups assist the lines of business in the development and implementation of risk management practices specific to the needs of the business groups.

Legal and Compliance Risk

Legal and compliance risk includes the risk of noncompliance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements, the risk of adverse legal judgments against the Corporation, and the risk that a counterparty's performance obligations will be unenforceable. The Corporation is subject to extensive regulation in the different jurisdictions in which it conducts its business, and this regulatory scrutiny has been significantly increasing over the last several years. The Corporation has established and continues to enhance procedures based on legal and regulatory requirements that are designed to ensure compliance with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. The Corporation has a Compliance Director who reports to the Chief Risk Officer and is responsible for the oversight of regulatory compliance and implementation of an enterprise-wide compliance risk assessment process. The Compliance division has officer roles in each major business areas with direct reporting relationships to the Corporate Compliance Group.

Concentration Risk

The Corporation conducts its operations in a geographically concentrated area, as its main market is Puerto Rico. However, the Corporation has diversified its geographical risk as evidenced by its operations in the Virgin Islands and in Florida.

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had \$250.4 million outstanding in credit facilities granted to the Puerto Rico government and/or its political subdivisions, up from \$158.4 million as of December 31, 2012, and \$38.6 million granted to the government of the Virgin Islands, up from \$35.5 million as of December 31, 2012. A substantial portion of these credit facilities consists of loans to municipalities in Puerto Rico for which the good faith, credit and unlimited taxing power of the applicable municipality has been pledged to their repayment. Another portion of these obligations consists of loans to public corporations that obtain revenues from rates charged for services or products, such as electric power and water utilities. Public corporations have varying degrees of independence from the central government and many receive appropriations or other payments from it.

In addition to loans extended to government entities, the largest loan to one borrower as of June 30, 2013 in the amount of \$248.4 million is with one mortgage originator in Puerto Rico, Doral Financial Corporation. This commercial loan is secured by individual real-estate loans, mostly 1-4 single family residential mortgage loans.

Of the total gross loans held for investment of \$9.4 billion as of June 30, 2013 approximately 85% have credit risk concentration in Puerto Rico, 8% in the United States and 7% in the Virgin Islands.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The financial statements and related data presented herein have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

Unlike most industrial companies, substantially all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on a financial institution's performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rate movements are not necessarily correlated with changes in the prices of goods and services.

Table of Contents

Basis of Presentation

The Corporation has included in this Form 10-Q the following financial measures that are not recognized under generally accepted accounting principles, which are referred to as non-GAAP financial measures: (i) the calculation of net interest income, interest rate spread and net interest margin rate on a tax-equivalent basis and excluding changes in the fair value of derivative instruments and certain financial liabilities; (ii) the calculation of the tangible common equity ratio and the tangible book value per common share, (iii) the Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets ratio, and (iv) certain other financial measures adjusted to exclude the effect of the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of loans to held for sale in the first and second quarters of 2013 and the effect of the write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman. Investors should be aware that non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations and should be read only in conjunction with the Corporation's consolidated financial data prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Net interest income, interest rate spread and net interest margin are reported on a tax-equivalent basis and excluding changes in the fair value of derivative instruments and financial liabilities elected to be measured at fair value (valuations). The presentation of net interest income excluding valuations provides additional information about the Corporation's net interest income and facilitates comparability and analysis. The changes in the fair value of derivative instruments and unrealized gains and losses on liabilities measured at fair value have no effect on interest due or interest earned on interest-bearing liabilities or interest-earning assets, respectively. The tax-equivalent adjustment to net interest income recognizes the income tax savings when comparing taxable and tax-exempt assets and assumes a marginal income tax rate. Income from tax-exempt earning assets is increased by an amount equivalent to the taxes that would have been paid if this income had been taxable at statutory rates. Management believes that it is a standard practice in the banking industry to present net interest income, interest rate spread and net interest margin on a fully tax-equivalent basis. This adjustment puts all earning assets, most notably tax-exempt securities and certain loans, on a common basis that facilitates comparison of results to results of peers. Refer to *Net Interest Income* discussion above for the table that reconciles the non-GAAP financial measure net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis and excluding fair value changes with net interest income calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The table also reconciles the non-GAAP financial measures net interest spread and margin on a tax-equivalent basis and excluding fair value changes with net interest spread and margin calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

The tangible common equity ratio and tangible book value per common share are non-GAAP measures generally used by the financial community to evaluate capital adequacy. Tangible common equity is total equity less preferred equity, goodwill, core deposit intangibles, and other intangibles, such as the purchased credit card relationship intangible. Tangible assets are total assets less goodwill, core deposit intangibles, and other intangibles, such as the purchased credit card relationship intangible. Management and many stock analysts use the tangible common equity ratio and tangible book value per common share in conjunction with more traditional bank capital ratios to compare the capital adequacy of banking organizations with significant amounts of goodwill or other intangible assets, typically stemming from the use of the purchase method of accounting for mergers and acquisitions. Neither tangible common equity nor tangible assets, or the related measures should be considered in isolation or as a substitute for stockholders' equity, total assets, or any other measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. Moreover, the manner in which the Corporation calculates its tangible common equity, tangible assets, and any other related measures may differ from that of other companies reporting measures with similar names. Refer to Section *Risk Management-Capital* above for a reconciliation of the Corporation's tangible common equity and tangible assets.

The Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets ratio is calculated by dividing (a) Tier 1 capital less non-common elements including qualifying perpetual preferred stock and qualifying trust preferred securities by (b) risk-weighted assets, which assets are calculated in accordance with applicable bank regulatory requirements. The Tier 1 common equity ratio is not required by GAAP or on a recurring basis by applicable bank regulatory requirements. Management is currently monitoring this ratio, along with the other ratios discussed above, in evaluating the Corporation's capital levels and believes that, at this time, the ratio may be of interest to investors. Refer to Section *Risk Management-Capital* above for a reconciliation of stockholders' equity (GAAP) to Tier 1 common equity.

To supplement the Corporation's financial statements presented in accordance with GAAP, the Corporation provides additional measures of net income (loss), net income (loss) per diluted share, provision for loan and lease losses, provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs, net charge-offs, net charge-offs to average loans, non-interest expenses, and non-interest income to exclude the impact of the bulk sales of assets and the transfer of non-performing loans to held for sale in the first and second quarters of 2013 and the write-off of the collateral pledged to Lehman, recorded in the second quarter of 2013. Management believes that these non-GAAP measures enhance the ability of analysts and investors to analyze trends in the Corporation's business and to better understand the performance of the Corporation. In addition, the Corporation may utilize these non-GAAP financial measures as a guide in its budgeting and long-term planning process. Any analysis of these non-GAAP financial measures should be used only in conjunction with results presented in accordance with GAAP. Refer to *Overview of Results of Operations* above for the reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures to the GAAP financial measures, except for the reconciliation with respect to the calculation of the

Table of Contents

non-GAAP financial measure provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs ratio, excluding the impact of the bulk sales of assets and loans transferred to held for sale with provision for loan losses to net charge-offs ratio calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP which is included below:

| | Provision for loan and lease losses to Net Charge-Offs (Non-GAAP to GAAP reconciliation) | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| | Quarter Ended June 30, 2013 | | Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2013 | |
| | Provision for Loan and Lease Losses | Net Charge-Offs | Provision for Loan and Lease Losses | Net Charge-Offs |
| Provision for loan and lease losses and net charge-offs, excluding special items (Non-GAAP) | \$ 19,574 | \$ 30,976 | \$ 66,585 | \$ 100,510 |
| Special Items: | | | | |
| Bulk sales of assets and loans transferred to held for sale | 67,890 | 97,972 | 132,002 | 232,444 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses and net charge-offs (GAAP) | \$ 87,464 | \$ 128,948 | \$ 198,587 | \$ 332,954 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs, excluding special items (Non-GAAP) | 63.19% | | 66.25% | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses to net charge-offs (GAAP) | 67.83% | | 59.64% | |

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For information regarding market risk to which the Corporation is exposed, see the information contained in Part I Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Risk Management.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Control and Procedures

First BanCorp's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of First BanCorp's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of June 30, 2013. Based on this evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes to the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the opinion of the Corporation's management, the pending and threatened legal proceedings of which management is aware will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the Corporation.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The Corporation's business, operating results and/or the market price of its common and preferred stock may be significantly affected by a number of factors. For a detailed discussion of certain risk factors that could affect the Corporation's future operations, financial condition or results for future periods see the risk factors below and in Item 1A, Risk Factors, in the Corporation's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. These factors could also cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. Also refer to the discussion in Part I Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this report for additional information that may supplement or update the discussion of risk factors in the Corporation's 2012 Form 10-K.

Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Corporation or currently deemed by the Corporation to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect the Corporation's business, financial condition or results of operations.

The Corporation's credit quality may be adversely affected by Puerto Rico's current economic condition.

A significant portion of the Corporation's financial activities and credit exposure is concentrated in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, which has been in a recession since March 2006. For fiscal years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011, Puerto Rico's real gross national product decreased by 1.2%, 2.9%, 3.8%, 3.4% and 1.6%, respectively. According to the latest information issued by the Puerto Rico Planning Board in April 2013, real gross national product for fiscal year 2012 increased by only 0.1%. The government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico currently has a fiscal deficit. The government has been addressing the fiscal deficit, by implementing a multi-year budget plan for reducing the deficit and has accessed the municipal bond market. Its credit ratings depend, in part, on achieving a balanced budget.

The decline in Puerto Rico's economy since 2006 has resulted in, among other things, a downturn in our loan originations, an increase in the level of our non-performing assets, loan loss provisions and charge-offs, an increase in the rate of foreclosure loss on mortgage loans, and a reduction in the value of our loan portfolio, all of which have adversely affected our profitability. The continuation of the economic slowdown would cause those adverse effects to continue impacting our profitability.

Moody's Investor Services (Moody's) announced a downgrade on July 18, 2012 with respect to the Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation's (COFINA) outstanding senior sales tax revenue bonds and outstanding subordinate tax revenue bonds, which were downgraded to Aa3 from Aa2 and A3 from A1, respectively. The downgrade responds to Moody's concern regarding the escalating debt service and a lack of adequate sales tax revenue growth, which could ultimately lead to a decrease in coverage.

On December 13, 2012, Moody's downgraded the general obligation (GO) rating of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to Baa3 from Baa1 with a negative outlook. Moody's based its decision on the fact that economic growth prospects in Puerto Rico remain weak after six years of recession and could be further dampened by Puerto Rico's efforts to control spending and reform its retirement system, debt levels are very high and continue to grow, financial performance has been weak and there is no clear timetable for pension reform.

On February 21, 2013, Fitch Ratings placed Puerto Rico's BBB+ debt rating on Rating Watch Negative. Fitch also put on negative watch the Puerto Rico Building Authority government facilities revenue bonds guaranteed by the Commonwealth; the Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority (PRASA) Commonwealth guaranty revenue bonds; and Employees Retirement System of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico pension funding bonds. The Rating Watch Negative reflects Fitch's expectation of a significant increase in the Commonwealth's estimated operating imbalance for the current and coming fiscal years, based on reported revenue results through the first half of the current fiscal year and public statements by the new administration.

On March 13, 2013, Standard and Poor's (S&P) downgraded its general obligation rating of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to BBB-, one step from junk status, with a negative outlook. S&P based the decision on the result of an estimated fiscal 2013 budget gap, which S&P views as

Edgar Filing: FIRST BANCORP /PR/ - Form 10-Q

significantly larger than originally budgeted, and S&P concerns that the shortfalls against budget in fiscal 2013 will make it difficult for the Commonwealth to achieve structural balance in the next two years.

On April 25, 2013 the Governor of Puerto Rico submitted the Fiscal Year 2013-2014 budget to the Legislature (the Budget). The Budget contemplates the elimination of some exemptions and expanding of the tax base for the Sales and Use Tax. In addition, Puerto Rico Act 154 of 2010 (Act 154) was amended to increase and reinstate the tax rate to 4% as it was in the first year of Act 154. In summary, estimated expense budget is \$10.345 billion, up 14% from the fiscal year 2013 budget and up 12% as compared with the

Table of Contents

fiscal year 2012 budget. General Fund revenues are projected to be \$9.770 billion, or 12% over fiscal 2013 budget, with the shortfall being made up with debt service restructurings and other sources. Net revenues for fiscal 2013 are expected to be \$7.785 billion, below projections of \$8.750 billion, as a result of the Commonwealth's recent revision of its forecast for economic growth for fiscal 2013 from 1.1% to -0.4%.

Compliance with stress testing requirements may be challenging.

The Corporation is currently subject to final supervisory guidance for stress testing practices issued by the federal banking agencies in May 2012. This guidance outlines general principles for a satisfactory stress testing framework and describes various stress testing approaches and how stress testing should be used at various levels within an organization. The Corporation is also subject to two new stress testing rules that implement provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act (the "DFA Stress Tests"), one issued by the Federal Reserve Board that applies to First BanCorp. on a consolidated basis and one issued by the FDIC that applies to the Bank. These DFA Stress Tests are designed to require banking organizations to assess the potential impact of different scenarios on their earnings, losses and capital over a set time period, with consideration given to certain relevant factors, including the organization's condition, risks, exposures, strategies, and activities. These DFA Stress Tests require banking organizations with total consolidated assets of more than \$10 billion but less than \$50 billion, like the Corporation and the Bank, to conduct annual company-run stress tests using certain scenarios that the Federal Reserve Board will publish by November 15 of each year, report the results to their primary federal regulator and the Federal Reserve Board, and publish a summary of the results. On July 30, 2013, the federal banking agencies published for comment additional guidance describing their supervisory expectations for the DFA Stress Tests to be conducted by financial institutions, like First BanCorp. and the Bank. The proposed guidance provides flexibility to accommodate different approaches by different institutions in the \$10 billion to \$50 billion asset range, and provide examples of practices that would be consistent with supervisory expectations.

Under the DFA Stress Tests, the Corporation is required to conduct its first stress tests using financial statement data as of September 30, 2013, and to report the results to the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC by March 31, 2014. In addition, the Corporation is required to begin publicly disclosing the stress test results in 2015 with respect to the stress test conducted in the fall of 2014. Such public disclosure of stress test results could result in reputational harm if the Corporation's results are worse than those of its competitors. Furthermore, given that the Corporation will be subject to three different stress testing requirements and compliance with such requirements will be complicated, if the Corporation fails to fully comply, it may be subject to regulatory action.

Financial services legislation and regulatory reforms may have a significant impact on the Corporation's business and results of operations and on its credit ratings.

The Corporation faces increased regulation and regulatory scrutiny as a result of its participation in the TARP. The U.S. Department of the Treasury acquired shares of Common Stock from the Corporation in October 2011 in exchange for shares of preferred stock that it owned because of the Corporation's issuance of preferred stock to Treasury in January 2009 pursuant to the TARP. In July 2010, the Corporation issued to Treasury a warrant, which amends, restates and replaces the original Warrant that it issued to Treasury in January 2009 under the TARP. The Corporation's participation in the TARP also imposes limitations on the payments it may make to its senior leaders.

The Dodd-Frank Act significantly changed the regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry. The Dodd-Frank Act includes, and the regulations developed and to be developed thereunder include or will include, provisions affecting large and small financial institutions alike.

The Dodd-Frank Act, among other things, imposes capital requirements on bank holding companies; changes the base for FDIC insurance assessments to a bank's average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity, rather than upon its deposit base, and permanently raises the current standard deposit insurance limit to \$250,000; and expands the FDIC's authority to raise insurance premiums. The legislation also calls for the FDIC to raise the ratio of reserves to deposits from 1.15% to 1.35% for deposit insurance purposes by September 30, 2020 and to offset the effect of increased assessments on insured depository institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion.

The Dodd-Frank Act also limits interchange fees payable on debit card transactions, established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") as an independent entity within the Federal Reserve Board and contains provisions on mortgage-related matters such as steering incentives, determinations as to a borrower's ability to repay and prepayment penalties. The CFPB has broad rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement authority over FirstBank and its affiliates with respect to consumer financial products and services, including deposit products, residential mortgages, home-equity loans and credit cards they offer.

In July 2011, the CFPB advised the Bank and other banks deemed to be "large banks" under the Dodd-Frank Act as to the agency's approach to supervision and examination, which began on July 21, 2011. The CFPB supervision and examination approach is guided toward protecting consumers and compliance with federal consumer financial protection laws.

Table of Contents

On January 10, 2013, the CFPB issued a final rule which, among other things, sets forth criteria for defining a qualified mortgage for purposes of the Truth in Lending Act, as amended by the Dodd-Frank Act, and outlines certain minimum requirements for mortgage lenders to determine whether a consumer has the ability to repay the mortgage. This rule also affords safe harbor legal protections for lenders making qualified loans that are not higher priced. It is unclear how this rule, or this rule together with an anticipated final rule to be issued jointly by other regulators defining qualified residential mortgage and setting credit risk retention standards for loans that are to be packaged and sold as securities, will affect the mortgage lending market by potentially curbing competition, increasing costs or tightening credit availability.

On January 17, 2013, the CFPB issued final regulations containing new mortgage servicing rules that will take effect in January 2014 and be applicable to FirstBank. The announced goal of the CFPB is to bring greater consumer protection to the mortgage servicing market. These changes will affect notices to be given to consumers as to billing and payoff statements, delinquency, foreclosure alternatives, loss mitigation applications, interest rate adjustments and options for avoiding force-placed insurance. Servicers will be prohibited from processing foreclosures when a loan modification is pending, and must wait until a loan is more than 120 days delinquent before initiating a foreclosure action. The servicer must provide delinquent borrowers with direct and ongoing access to personnel, and provide prompt review of any loss mitigation application. Servicers must maintain accurate and accessible mortgage records for the life of a loan and until one year after the loan is paid off or transferred. Servicers will be required to establish servicing policies and procedures designed to achieve the objectives of the rules. These new standards are expected to add to the cost of conducting a mortgage servicing business.

The Dodd-Frank Act also includes provisions that affect corporate governance and executive compensation at all publicly-traded companies and allows financial institutions to pay interest on business checking accounts. The legislation also restricts proprietary trading, places restrictions on the owning or sponsoring of hedge and private equity funds, and regulates the derivatives activities of banks and their affiliates.

The Collins Amendment in the Dodd-Frank Act, among other things, requires the federal banking agencies to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements that will apply to both insured banks and their holding companies. The Collins Amendment requires the capital standards for insured banks to serve as a capital floor for all U.S. banking organizations.

The federal banking agencies issued a final rule in July 2013 to increase both the quantity and quality of capital held by banking organizations (U.S. Basel III final rule), including the Bank and the Corporation. The rule, with a mandatory compliance date of January 1, 2015 for the Bank and the Corporation, will implement in the United States the Basel III regulatory capital reforms agreed upon by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee) and certain changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Certain aspects of the rule, such as the new minimum capital ratios and the revised methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets, will become effective on January 1, 2015. Other aspects of the rule, such as the capital conservation buffer and the new regulatory deductions from and adjustments to capital, will be phased in over several years.

Consistent with the international Basel capital framework, the U.S. Basel III final rule includes a new minimum ratio of Common Equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.5 percent and a Common Equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer of greater than 2.5 percent of risk-weighted assets that will apply to all U.S. banking organizations, including the Bank and the Corporation. Failure to maintain the capital conservation buffer would result in increasingly stringent restrictions on a banking organization's ability to make capital distributions and pay discretionary bonuses to executive officers. The rule also increases the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4 percent to 6 percent and imposes a minimum leverage ratio of 4 percent for all U.S. banking organizations. In addition, for the largest and most internationally active U.S. banking organizations, which do not include the Bank and the Corporation, the final rule includes a new minimum supplementary leverage ratio that takes into account certain off-balance sheet exposures.

The U.S. Basel III final rule focuses regulatory capital on Common Equity Tier 1 capital, the most loss-absorbing form of capital, and introduces stringent regulatory adjustments and deductions from capital as well as strict eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments. The final rule also revises the methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk-sensitivity with respect to certain types of assets and exposures. These changes to the calculation of risk-weighted assets will be effective on January 1, 2015 and likely will lead to an increase in our risk-weighted assets, which in some cases could be significant.

The U.S. Basel III final rule establishes more stringent eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments, which results in, among other things, trust preferred securities no longer qualifying as Tier 1 capital for bank holding companies such as the Corporation. Under the final rule, existing trust preferred securities issued by the Corporation before May 19, 2010 will be phased out of Tier 1 capital by January 1, 2016, however, such instruments may generally remain in Tier 2 capital until they are redeemed or mature.

Based on the Corporation's review of the U.S. Basel III final rule, the Corporation anticipates exceeding the new minimum capital ratios.

Table of Contents

The federal banking agencies issued in June 2012 a final market risk capital rule that implements in the United States the Basel 2.5 capital framework agreed upon by the Basel Committee. The final rule, which went into effect on January 1, 2013, establishes new eligibility criteria for positions that receive market risk capital treatment, sets requirements for prudent valuations, robust stress testing and control, oversight and validation mechanisms for internal market risk capital models. The final market risk capital rule applies to a banking organization with aggregate trading assets and trading liabilities equal to 10% or more of quarter-end total assets, or aggregate trading assets and liabilities equal to \$1 billion or more. Therefore, the rule does not apply to the Bank or the Corporation based on their current trading assets and liabilities.

The financial regulation described above, or any other aspects of current or proposed regulatory or legislative changes to laws applicable to the financial services industry, may impact the profitability of the Corporation's business activities or change certain of our business practices, including the ability to offer new products, obtain financing, attract deposits, make loans, and achieve satisfactory interest spreads, and could expose the Corporation to additional costs, including increased compliance costs. These changes also may require the Corporation to invest significant management attention and resources to make any necessary changes to operations in order to comply, and could therefore also materially and adversely affect the Corporation's business, financial condition, and results of operations. Many provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act are to be phased in over a period of time. The ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on the financial services industry in general, and the Corporation in particular, may be adverse.

The U.S. Congress has also adopted additional consumer protection laws such as the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009, and the Federal Reserve Board has adopted numerous new regulations addressing banks' credit card, overdraft and mortgage lending practices. Additional consumer protection legislation and regulatory activity is anticipated in the near future.

On September 28, 2011, the Basel Committee announced plans to consider adjustments to the Basel III liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), which is scheduled to take effect on January 1, 2015. The LCR would require banks to maintain an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets sufficient to meet liquidity needs for a 30 calendar day liquidity stress period. On January 6, 2013, the Basel Committee announced that the LCR would be phased-in beginning on January 1, 2015, when the minimum LCR requirement would be set at 60%, then increasing an additional 10% annually until fully implemented on January 1, 2019. The Basel Committee also announced that a broader pool of assets would count as high-quality liquid assets, the numerator of the LCR. It also announced important changes to the calculation of total net cash outflows, the denominator of the LCR. The federal banking agencies have indicated that they intend to issue a proposed rule to implement the Basel III LCR for large U.S. banking firms. At this time, it is unclear whether the federal banking agencies would apply to LCR to the Bank or the Corporation.

The imposition of additional taxes in Puerto Rico may further deteriorate the economy, impact our profitability and adversely impact the credit quality of the Corporation's loan portfolios.

On June 30, 2013, the Government of Puerto Rico signed into law the Tax Burden Redistribution and Adjustment Act (Act 40), as one of the laws enacted to balance the budget for the general fund of the Government of Puerto Rico for fiscal year 2013-2014. Act 40 provides significant amendments to the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code of 2011, as amended (the 2011 PR Code), and affects mainly, persons doing business in Puerto Rico. The main provisions of Act 40 that impact financial institutions include:

(i) A new national gross receipts tax that in the case of financial institutions is 1% of gross income which is not deductible for purposes of computing net taxable income and is not part of the alternative minimum tax (AMT). This provision is retroactive to January 1, 2013. As a result, an expense of \$3.2 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2013 related to the national gross receipts tax which reflects six months of expenses. The impact of the gross national receipts tax corresponding to the first quarter of 2013 amounted to \$1.7 million. This expense is included as part of "Taxes, other than income taxes" in the Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income. Subject to certain limitations, a financial institution will be able to claim a credit of 0.5% of its gross income against its regular income tax or the AMT. A \$1.6 million benefit related to this credit was recorded as a reduction to the provision for income taxes in the second quarter. Gross income of financial institutions for purposes of this determination was primarily composed of interest income and fees. The Corporation's interpretation of what constitutes gross income of financial institutions for purposes of determination of the new national gross receipts tax is subject to any future clarification the Puerto Rico Department of Treasury might bring to this matter.

(ii) A decrease in the deduction available to corporations for the computation of the additional surtax from \$750,000 to \$25,000 and a change in the surtax rate to rates that range from 5% to 19%, resulting in an increase in the maximum statutory tax rate from 30% to 39%. This provision is also retroactive to January 1, 2013. The effect on operating results in the second quarter of 2013 related to these changes was a net benefit of approximately \$0.5 million, mainly due to the increase in the deferred tax asset of profitable subsidiaries. The deferred tax valuation allowance increased to \$523.4 million as of June 30, 2013 from \$384.4 million at the end of the previous quarter as a result of changes in tax rates and operating results for the second quarter.

Table of Contents

(iii) A higher AMT rate (30% of the alternative minimum net income, as compared to 20% previously) and various parallel computations required to be made before determining whether an AMT liability exists. This change did not have an impact on the Corporation's provision for income taxes recorded in the second quarter of 2013.

(iv) The Net Operating Loss (NOL) carryover period increased from 10 years to 12 years for losses incurred in taxable years that commenced after December 31, 2004 and ended before January 1, 2013. The carryover period for NOL incurred during taxable years commencing after December 31, 2012 will be 10 years. The NOL deduction is now limited to 90% of taxable income for regular income tax purposes and 80% for AMT purposes.

Significant changes to the sales and use tax regime include adjustments to the business to business exclusion. The business to business exclusion applicable to services rendered from one registered business to another registered business remains in effect, except for certain services, which will be taxable including, among others, service charges imposed by financial institutions to other businesses (commercial clients), collection services, repairs and maintenance services of real and personal property, and computer programming including modifications to previously designed systems. The sales and use tax provisions were effective beginning on July 1, 2013.

Act 40 imposes various income tax provisions applicable to certain individual tax payers and non-financial institutions as well, including taxes on gross receipts and increases in statutory tax rates. The imposition of these taxes could adversely affect the Corporation's profitability, and could affect the disposable income of borrowers causing increases in delinquencies and foreclosure rates.

Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income by the Bank, which has been in a cumulative loss position since 2009.

As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation had a gross deferred tax asset of \$542.5 million, including \$376.9 million associated with net operating losses (NOLs). Under Puerto Rico law, the Corporation and its subsidiaries, including the FirstBank, which incurred most of the NOLs, are treated as separate taxable entities and are not entitled to file consolidated tax returns. To obtain the full benefit of the applicable deferred tax asset attributable to NOLs, FirstBank must have sufficient taxable income within the applicable carry forward period (7 years for taxable years beginning before January 1, 2005, 12 years for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2004 and before December 31, 2012, and 10 years for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012). The Bank incurred all of the NOLs on or after 2009. Accounting for income taxes requires that companies assess whether a valuation allowance should be recorded against their deferred tax asset based on an assessment of the amount of the deferred tax asset that is more likely than not to be realized. In assessing the weight of positive and negative evidence, a significant negative factor that resulted in the recognition and maintenance of a significant valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset was that FirstBank was in a three-year cumulative loss position as of June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, the Corporation recorded a valuation allowance of \$523.4 million. Due to significant estimates utilized in establishing the valuation allowance and the potential for changes in facts and circumstances, it is reasonably possible that the Corporation will not be able to reverse portions or the full valuation allowance in the future or that the Corporation will need to continue increasing the valuation allowance related to deferred tax assets created in connection with the operations of FirstBank.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

See the Exhibit Index following the signature page to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a list of exhibits filed with this report, which Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Corporation has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized:

First BanCorp.

Registrant

Date: August 7, 2013

By: /s/ Aurelio Alemán
Aurelio Alemán
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2013

By: /s/ Orlando Berges
Orlando Berges
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

- 12.1 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 12.2 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preference Dividends.
- 31.1 CEO Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 CFO Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 CEO Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 CFO Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.1 Interactive Data File (Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, filed in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language))