

JUNIPER NETWORKS INC

Form 425

March 29, 2004

Filed by Juniper Networks, Inc. Pursuant to Rule 425
Under the Securities Act of 1933
And Deemed Filed Pursuant to Rule 14a-12
Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Subject Company: NetScreen Technologies, Inc.
Commission File No.: 333-113033

The following article appeared in The San Jose Mercury News on March 29, 2004. Juniper Networks does not adopt or endorse any statements or information provided by third parties in the following article and accepts no responsibility or duties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of such information or statements or for any actions taken in reliance on them.

JUNIPER NETWORKS BRANCHING OUT

SUNNYVALE COMPANY LOOKS BEYOND ITS CORE ROUTERS, AIMS FOR CISCO

By Dan Lee

Mercury News

From Scott Kriens' point of view, Juniper Networks is perched atop the networking industry's highest peak. Now he wants his company to claim some of the vast territory below.

The Juniper chairman and chief executive calls core routers "long the Sunnyvale company's forte—the "top of the mountain" among networking products. These machines are sold primarily to telephone companies and Internet service providers that ferry massive amounts of data at high speeds around the Internet.

Now Juniper is trying to break from its successful but narrow focus on core routers by selling a broader variety of networking gear, not just to phone companies but to governments and large businesses—lucrative markets with tens of thousands of potential customers.

Juniper's planned purchase of computer security provider NetScreen Technologies is a major step in expanding to become a more direct challenger to Cisco Systems, the world's largest seller of Internet switches and routers.

Much of the expansion for the entire networking industry will be based on a new generation of equipment that would make the Internet more reliable and secure for everything from making financial transactions and phone calls to playing video games.

We see a compelling opportunity for leadership," Kriens said.

But can Juniper out-Cisco Cisco?

The San Jose networking leader dwarfs Juniper by almost every measure. For its 2003 fiscal year ended July 26, Cisco reported profit of \$3.6 billion on sales of \$18.9 billion. Juniper earned \$39.2 million on sales of \$701.4 million last year.

Cisco has more than 34,000 employees worldwide. Juniper has about 1,600 workers worldwide, and will add most of NetScreen's 900 employees when the acquisition is completed in April.

John Chambers, Cisco's charismatic chief executive, is one of the most closely watched corporate chiefs in America. Kriens, Juniper's CEO and chairman since shortly after its founding in 1996, is praised as an effective manager. But he is also described as more reserved than Chambers and not as well-known.

Kriens, 46, who was born in Berkeley and grew up in Alameda, helped pay his own tuition at California State University-Hayward, where he studied economics, by working as an auto mechanic.

Aiming higher

Analysts call him a savvy and determined leader. In 1986, Kriens co-founded telecommunications-equipment maker StrataCom, leaving in 1996 shortly before the company was bought by Cisco. Analysts also credit him with guiding Juniper through the tech downturn that pummeled the networking industry and for now trying to take the company to the next level.

But they know Juniper is in for a fight.

Juniper must be selective in picking its battles with Cisco, said Steve Kamman, networking analyst for CIBC World Markets.

You don't want to try to get into a slugging match with Cisco across the full range of its enterprise products, said Kamman. He does not own Juniper shares, and CIBC has no banking business with the company.

Juniper has long chipped away at Cisco's dominance in core routers sold to phone companies. Juniper held a 31 percent market share in core routers, compared with Cisco's 62 percent in 2003, a gain of 5 percentage points on Cisco from the year before, according to Redwood City market research firm Dell'Oro Group.

Kriens is reluctant to talk directly about Cisco, or other competitors, but his comments reveal Juniper's ambitions and focus.

The question of how to compete against Cisco "is no different than asking Intel or Microsoft or Oracle how in the world they were ever going to compete with IBM," he said. "History and time tells us competitors matter a lot less than the mission, and the focus and the customers.

A major piece of Juniper's strategy emerged Feb. 9 when the company announced it would pay \$4 billion in stock to buy NetScreen Technologies. Juniper's Sunnyvale neighbor specializes in products such as firewalls and virtual private networks, or VPNs. Many employees use VPNs to access corporate networks from home or other remote locations.

Analysts say Juniper will integrate NetScreen's security features into its own equipment and will use NetScreen's sales connections with businesses. Juniper has little experience selling to corporations.

This does put them even more on Cisco's radar screen than they were before, said David Willis, networking analyst for market research firm Meta Group.

Historically, Juniper barely touched the enterprise customer, and then only indirectly, Willis said of Juniper's sales. "This actually gives them a direct channel to the enterprise.

But Juniper also has smaller rivals of its own.

We intend to do to Juniper what Juniper did to Cisco, said Roland Acra, chief executive of Milpitas start-up Procket Networks, which also specializes in core routers. Acra spent 13 years at Cisco before joining Procket in December.

Smaller rivals must gain a technological edge on Cisco to succeed because they cannot match the networking giant's sales and marketing clout, Acra said. Procket has about 200 employees, with about 130 of those in research and development, he said.

Look out below

If Juniper expands too far from its base of core routers, he said, it could be stretched thin and "correspondingly lose focus against competitors like us," he said.

Juniper is apparently ready to spar with Cisco on more fronts.

In a research note this month, Kamman said Juniper is developing a router code-named "Pepsi" for use in corporate branch offices. (Cisco is assumed to be "Coke.")

Cisco has more than 90 percent in this \$1.26 billion a year market, according to Dell'Oro.

But, Kamman said, Juniper could use NetScreen's technology and customer base to make inroads in this "high-profit area.

Juniper declined comment on the reported new router.

A notable area in which Juniper has outperformed Cisco recently is in stock price. In the past six months, shares of Juniper have gained 65 percent compared with Cisco's 14 percent. Much of Juniper's stock jump came after it reported fourth-quarter earnings in January that handily beat expectations.

Yet Juniper's closing price of \$25.37 Friday is far below the \$243 a share hit in October 2000 before telecom spending crashed in the wake of the Internet bust.

Kriens called the downturn a time of "brutal introspection" for the company.

He said the company's "laser focus" has prepared Juniper for what he predicts will be a selective recovery among networking companies. "It's

a function of being in the right place with the right products at the right time, he said.

In the meantime, observers are waiting to see just how the NetScreen deal will transform Juniper.

The simple fact is, Kamman said, "we won't know for another year whether it has worked out or not.

About Juniper Networks, Inc.

Juniper Networks transforms the business of networking by converting a commodity bandwidth into a dependable, secure and highly valuable corporate asset. Founded in 1996 to meet the stringent demands of service providers, Juniper Networks is now relied upon by the world's leading network operators, government agencies, research and education institutions, and information-intensive enterprises as the foundation for uncompromising networks. The Infranet Initiative uses Juniper Networks MINT (Model for InfraNet Transformation) as its underlying framework. Juniper Networks is headquartered in Sunnyvale, California. Additional information can be found at www.juniper.net.

Forward-Looking Statements

This press release contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws including, without limitation, statements regarding the following: the merger transaction, the timing of the closing of the transaction and the integration efforts following the closing. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties as well as assumptions that could cause the actual results of Juniper Networks to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others, the approval of the transaction by the stockholders of Juniper Networks and NetScreen; and the satisfaction of other closing conditions to the transaction. A detailed discussion of other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included Juniper Networks' and NetScreen's most recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Juniper Networks and NetScreen undertake no obligation and do not intend to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances occurring after this press release.

Additional Information And Where To Find It

Juniper Networks, Inc. has filed a registration statement on Form S-4 containing a joint proxy statement/prospectus in connection with the merger transaction involving Juniper Networks and NetScreen. Investors and security holders are urged to read this filing and any amendments because it contains and any amendments will contain important information about the merger. Investors and security holders may obtain free copies of these documents and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission at the Securities and Exchange Commission's web site at www.sec.gov. In addition, investors and security holders may obtain free copies of the documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Juniper Networks by contacting Juniper Networks Investor Relations at 888-JUNIPER (888-586-4737) or 408-745-2000. Investors and security holders may obtain free copies of the documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by NetScreen by contacting NetScreen Investor Relations at 408-543-2100.

Juniper Networks and its directors and executive officers may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from the stockholders of Juniper Networks and NetScreen in connection with the merger. Information regarding the special interests of these directors and executive officers in the merger is included in the joint proxy statement/prospectus of Juniper Networks and NetScreen described above. Additional information regarding the directors and executive officers of Juniper Networks is also included in Juniper Networks' proxy statement for its 2003 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2003. This document is available free of charge at the Securities and Exchange Commission's web site at www.sec.gov and

Edgar Filing: JUNIPER NETWORKS INC - Form 425

from Juniper Networks by contacting Juniper Networks Investor Relations at 888-JUNIPER (888-586-4737) or 408-745-2000.

NetScreen and its directors and executive officers also may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from the stockholders of NetScreen and Juniper Networks in connection with the merger. Information regarding the special interests of these directors and executive officers in the merger is included in the joint proxy statement/prospectus of Juniper Networks and NetScreen described above. Additional information regarding these directors and executive officers is also included in NetScreen's proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 28, 2004. This document is available free of charge at the Securities and Exchange Commission's web site at www.sec.gov and from NetScreen Technologies by contacting NetScreen Technologies Investor Relations at 408-543-2100.

Juniper Networks is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and in other countries as a trademark of Juniper Networks, Inc. ERX, ESP, E-series, Internet Processor, J-Protect, JUNOS, JUNOScript, JUNOSe, M5, M7i, M10, M10i, M20, M40, M40e, M160, M-series, NMC-RX, SDX, T320, T640, and T-series are trademarks of Juniper Networks, Inc.

All other trademarks, service marks, registered trademarks, or registered service marks are the property of their respective owners.

4

anc Corp. will be held at SunValley Banquet & Party Center, 10000 Edwards Lane, Aurora, Ohio, 44202, on Wednesday, May 15, 2013, at 1:00 p.m. local time.

A proxy and a proxy statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are enclosed. The purpose of the annual meeting is to consider and act upon

- (1) election of four directors to serve until the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until their successors are elected and qualified,
- (2) election of one director to serve until the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until a successor is elected and qualified,
- (3) a non-binding proposal to approve the compensation of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s named executive officers, which we refer to as the say-on-pay proposal,
- (4) a non-binding proposal allowing shareholders to state a preference for the frequency of say-on-pay proposals, which we refer to as the say-on-frequency proposal, and
- (5) ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as independent auditor for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.

The Board of Directors is not aware of any other business to be presented at the annual meeting. Any action may be taken on the foregoing proposals at the 2013 annual meeting on the date specified or on any date or dates to which the annual meeting is adjourned or postponed. The record date for determining shareholders entitled to vote at the meeting is March 25, 2013.

Shareholders have a choice of voting on the Internet or by mailing a traditional proxy card. Your vote is important. We therefore urge you to vote promptly by using the Internet or by signing, dating, and returning the enclosed proxy card in the postage-paid return envelope provided, regardless of whether you expect to attend the annual meeting in person. If you vote by Internet, you do not need to return the proxy card. Internet voting information is provided on the proxy card.

Edgar Filing: JUNIPER NETWORKS INC - Form 425

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be held on May 15, 2013. This Proxy Statement, the Proxy Card, the Chairman's letter, and the Annual Report on Form 10-K are available at www.middlefieldbank.com or www.emeraldbank.com.

To obtain directions to attend the annual meeting and vote in person please contact our corporate headquarters at (440) 632-1666 or (888) 801-1666 during regular business hours.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Kathleen M. Johnson
Secretary

Middlefield, Ohio

April 8, 2013

THANK YOU FOR ACTING PROMPTLY

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

15985 East High Street

P.O. Box 35

Middlefield, Ohio 44062

(440) 632-1666

PROXY STATEMENT

Middlefield Banc Corp., an Ohio corporation, is furnishing this proxy statement to you on behalf of the board of directors to solicit your proxy for use at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The annual meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 15, 2013, at 1:00 p.m. local time, at SunValley Banquet & Party Center, 10000 Edwards Lane, Aurora, Ohio, 44202. The accompanying Notice of Meeting and this Proxy Statement are first being mailed to shareholders on or about April 8, 2013.

References in this proxy statement to Middlefield, we, us, and our refer to Middlefield Banc Corp. alone or Middlefield Banc Corp. and its subsidiaries, depending on the context. The subsidiaries of Middlefield Banc Corp. are The Middlefield Banking Company, Emerald Bank, and EMORECO, Inc.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Purpose of the Meeting. At the annual meeting we will ask Middlefield shareholders (1) to elect four directors to serve until the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until their successors are elected and qualified, (2) to elect one director to serve until the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until a successor is elected and qualified, (3) to approve in a non-binding, advisory vote the compensation of named executive officers, specifically the compensation disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with SEC rules, (4) to specify in a non-binding, advisory vote a preference for the frequency with which we submit to shareholders in the future the non-binding, advisory proposal for approval of executive compensation, and (5) to ratify the appointment of Middlefield's independent auditor. The non-binding proposal for approval of executive compensation is commonly known as a say-on-pay proposal. The non-binding proposal concerning the frequency with which we submit the say-on-pay proposal to shareholders in the future is commonly known as a say-on-frequency proposal.

Voting Procedures. If you were a shareholder at the close of business on March 25, 2013, you are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. As of March 25, 2013 there were 2,015,284 shares of Middlefield common stock issued and outstanding.

The enclosed proxy is for use if you are unable to attend the annual meeting in person or if you wish to have your shares voted by proxy even if you attend the annual meeting. Regardless of whether you plan to attend the annual meeting, please vote your shares by (1) the Internet or (2) completing, signing, dating, and returning the enclosed proxy as soon as possible in the postage paid envelope provided. If you hold your shares in the name of a bank or broker, the availability of Internet voting will depend on the voting processes of the bank or broker.

Proxies solicited hereby may be used at the annual meeting only and will not be used for any other meeting. Proxies solicited by the board will be voted in accordance with the directions given. If no instructions are given, proxies will be voted in favor of the proposals set forth in this proxy statement and for a say-on-pay vote every third year.

Revocation of Proxies. Shareholders who execute proxies retain the right to revoke them at any time before completion of the annual meeting, but revocation will not affect a vote previously taken. If your common stock is held in street name, you must follow the instructions of your broker, bank, or other nominee to revoke your proxy instructions. If you are a holder of record and wish to revoke your proxy instructions, you may revoke a proxy by

Edgar Filing: JUNIPER NETWORKS INC - Form 425

attending the annual meeting and advising Middlefield's Secretary that you intend to vote in person (but your attendance at the annual meeting will not constitute revocation of a proxy),

giving a subsequent proxy relating to the same shares, or

filing with the Secretary at or before the annual meeting a written notice of revocation bearing a later date than the proxy.

A written notice revoking a proxy should be delivered to Ms. Kathleen M. Johnson, Secretary, Middlefield Banc Corp., 15985 East High Street, P.O. Box 35, Middlefield, Ohio 44062. Unless revoked, the shares represented by proxies will be voted at the annual meeting.

Expense of Soliciting Proxies. Middlefield will bear the cost of soliciting proxies. We will reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees, and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in sending proxy material to the beneficial owners of common stock. In addition to solicitations by mail, directors, officers, and regular employees of Middlefield and its subsidiaries may solicit proxies personally or by telephone, but they will receive no additional compensation for doing so.

Quorum and Vote Required. A quorum is necessary for the conduct of business at the annual meeting. When present in person or by proxy at the annual meeting, the holders of a majority of the shares of Middlefield common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote will constitute a quorum.

Shareholders are entitled to one vote for each share held. Shareholders are not entitled to cumulate their votes in the election or removal of directors or otherwise. Directors are elected by a plurality vote of shareholders present in person or by proxy and constituting a quorum, meaning the nominees receiving the greatest numbers of votes will be elected. We will consider the say-on-pay proposal to be approved if the proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast. We will consider the say-on-pay frequency specified by a majority of the votes cast, or by a plurality if there is no clear majority, to be the shareholders' preferred frequency for future say-on-pay proposals. We will consider the proposal to ratify the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as independent auditor to be approved if the proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast.

Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes. Abstention may be specified on all proposals except the election of directors. A broker non-vote arises when shares held by a broker nominee for a beneficial owner/customer are not voted because the broker nominee does not receive voting instructions from the customer and lacks discretionary authority to vote the shares without instructions. Brokers normally have authority to vote on routine matters, such as the ratification of independent registered public accounting firms, but not on non-routine matters, such as amendments to charter documents, executive compensation proposals, and the election of directors. Our Regulations provide in Article I, section 7 that a majority of votes cast is sufficient to constitute the act of shareholders. Because abstentions and broker non-votes are not counted as votes cast, they will therefore have no impact on any of the proposals, although they will be counted for purposes of establishing that a quorum is present at the meeting.

Board Recommendations. The board of directors recommends that you vote **FOR** election of the director nominees identified in this proxy statement, **FOR** the say-on-pay proposal, and **FOR** ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as auditor. Regarding the say-on-frequency proposal, the board of directors recommends that the say-on-pay vote be conducted every third year.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Director Independence. A majority of Middlefield's directors are independent, as the term independence is defined in Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ Stock Market listing rules and as defined by Rule 10A-3(b)(1)(ii) promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The board has determined that all of the current directors and director nominees other than Messrs. Caldwell and Heslop are independent directors within the meaning of NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2) and the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, including all directors serving on the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, the Audit Committee, and the Compensation Committee.

Leadership Structure of the Board. The office of Chairman of the Board and the office of President and Chief Executive Officer have traditionally been separate at Middlefield. Middlefield believes that separation of these two offices is consistent with the board's responsibility for oversight of management and of Middlefield's affairs generally. The time, effort, and energy that the Chief Executive Officer and President is required to devote to his position, as well as the commitment required to serve as Chairman of the Board, make it impractical for one person to serve in both roles. The board believes that having an independent director serve as Middlefield's Chairman of the Board is the appropriate leadership structure at this time, demonstrating Middlefield's commitment to good corporate governance.

Risk Oversight. The board is actively involved in oversight of the risks that could affect Middlefield. The board's oversight is conducted primarily through committees, but the full board has retained responsibility for general oversight of risks. Board committees exercising oversight of risks include (1) an Audit Committee that takes into account financial reporting and legal and compliance risks, (2) a Compensation Committee that is responsible for risks relating to Middlefield's employment policies and compensation and benefits systems, (3) a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee that oversees risks relating to management and board succession planning and Middlefield's ethics and business practices, and (4) other bank committees, such as the loan and asset/liability management committees, that are responsible for exercising oversight of the risks associated with the business of banking. The board satisfies its risk oversight responsibility through full reports by each committee chair regarding the committee's considerations and actions, as well as through regular reports directly from officers responsible for oversight of particular risks within Middlefield, The Middlefield Banking Company, Emerald Bank, and EMORECO, Inc.

Code of Ethics. Our Code of Ethics requires that directors, executive officers, and employees avoid conflicts of interest, comply with all laws and other legal requirements, conduct business in an honest and ethical manner, and otherwise act with integrity and in Middlefield's best interests. Directors, executive officers, and employees are required to report any conduct that they believe in good faith to be an actual or apparent violation of the Code of Ethics. Middlefield's Code of Ethics also incorporates a Code of Ethics for Financial Professionals, which applies to the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or person performing similar functions. The goal of the Code of Ethics for Financial Professionals is to promote integrity in the preparation and reporting of financial information and to assure full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in SEC reports and other public communications. The Code of Ethics is available at www.middlefieldbank.com and www.emeraldbank.com.

Shareholder Communications. A shareholder who wishes to communicate with the board or with individual directors concerning Middlefield's financial statements, accounting practices, or internal controls should write to the chairman of the Audit Committee in care of Ms. Kathleen M. Johnson, Secretary, at Middlefield Banc Corp., 15985 East High Street, P.O. Box 35, Middlefield, Ohio 44062. If the shareholder's concern relates to Middlefield's governance practices, business ethics, or corporate conduct, the concern should be submitted in writing to the chairman of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee in care of Ms. Kathleen M. Johnson, Secretary, at the address above. Other concerns may be submitted to any of the independent directors in care of Ms. Kathleen M. Johnson at that address.

Board Meetings and Committees. Middlefield's board held 14 meetings in 2012. The individuals who served in 2012 as directors of Middlefield attended at least 75% of the sum of the total number of board meetings and the total number of meetings held by all committees on which he or she served. The board encourages directors to attend the annual meeting of shareholders. All directors who served in 2012 attended the 2012 annual meeting. Directors serving on the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Audit Committee are

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee	Compensation Committee	Audit Committee
Richard T. Coyne*	Richard T. Coyne	Richard T. Coyne
William J. Skidmore	Eric W. Hummel	Kenneth E. Jones
Robert W. Toth	William J. Skidmore*	James J. McCaskey
Carolyn J. Turk, C.P.A.	Robert W. Toth	Carolyn J. Turk, C.P.A.*

* Committee Chairman

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee recommends to the board the slate of director nominees to be proposed by the board for election by the shareholders, any director nominees to be elected by the board to fill interim director vacancies, and the directors to be selected for membership on and chairmanship of the committees of the board. In addition, the committee considers general corporate governance matters on behalf of the board and annually reviews with the board the requisite skills and criteria for new members. The committee also reviews the composition and function of the board as a whole. The committee met four times in 2012.

To identify nominees, the committee relies on personal contacts as well as its knowledge of members of the local communities. Middlefield has not previously used an independent search firm to identify nominees. The committee does not have a policy for the consideration of diversity in the nomination process, but the committee takes into account in its deliberations all facets of a potential nominee's background, including the following

personal qualities and characteristics,

accomplishments and reputation in the business community,

financial, regulatory, and business experience,

current knowledge and contacts in the communities in which Middlefield does business,

ability and willingness to commit adequate time to board and committee matters,

fit of the individual's skills with those of other directors and potential directors in building a board that is effective and responsive to Middlefield's needs,

independence, and

any other factors the board deems relevant, including diversity of viewpoints, background, experience, and other demographics.

The committee also considers and reviews the director's board and committee attendance and performance, length of board service, experience, skills, the contributions that the director brings to the board, and independence. The committee's goal is to identify individuals who will enhance and add valuable perspective to the board's deliberations and who will assist Middlefield in its effort to capitalize on business opportunities in a challenging and highly competitive market.

A copy of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee charter is available at www.middlefieldbank.com and www.emeraldbank.com, along with a copy of our Corporate Governance Guidelines. A copy of the charter and guidelines is also available in print to shareholders upon request, addressed to Middlefield's Secretary, Ms. Kathleen M. Johnson, at Middlefield Banc Corp., 15985 East High Street, P.O. Box 35, Middlefield, Ohio 44062.

The committee will consider director nominees recommended by shareholders. A shareholder may submit a nomination for director by following the procedures specified in article III, section 4, of Middlefield's regulations. Among other things, these procedures require that the shareholder deliver to Middlefield's Secretary a written notice stating the name and age of each nominee, the nominee's principal occupation, and the number of shares of Middlefield common stock the shareholder beneficially owns. The written consent of the nominee to serve as a director must also be provided by the shareholder making the nomination. The information must be provided to the Secretary at least 60 days before the date corresponding to the date on which Middlefield's proxy materials were mailed to shareholders for the previous year's annual meeting, and no more than 120 days before that date. A nomination made by a shareholder who does not comply with these procedures will be disregarded.

Middlefield's Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that upon attaining age 75 a director may complete his or her term but may not stand for election to an additional term.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee establishes the base salary of each executive officer as well as the executives' award levels under the Annual Incentive Plan. The committee is also responsible for administration of other executive benefits and plans, including the 2007 Omnibus Equity Plan and the executive deferred compensation agreements entered into by The Middlefield Banking Company. The Compensation Committee's decisions about compensation for named executive officers' performance takes into account the views of Middlefield's Chief Executive Officer. But for its review of the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, the Compensation Committee reviews reports

Edgar Filing: JUNIPER NETWORKS INC - Form 425

submitted by each director. The Compensation Committee met once in 2012. A copy of the Compensation Committee charter is available at www.middlefieldbank.com and www.emeraldbank.com.

The Compensation Committee has engaged compensation consultants and advisors from time to time to provide input on both board and executive compensation issues. The committee sometimes engages consultants to conduct periodic comprehensive total compensation studies or to advise about compensation practices generally. The Compensation Committee retains the right to hire, fire, and obtain advice and assistance from legal counsel or other experts or consultants, consistent with its charter. The committee did not engage any compensation consultants in 2012 to provide advice and counsel related to executive compensation issues.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee appoints Middlefield's independent public auditor, reviews and approves the audit plan and fee estimate of the independent public auditor, appraises the effectiveness of the internal and external audit efforts, evaluates the adequacy and effectiveness of accounting policies and financial and accounting management, supervises the internal auditor, and reviews and approves the annual financial statements. The Audit Committee has the authority to engage separate legal counsel and other advisors, as necessary, to execute its duties. The Audit Committee met six times in 2012. A copy of the Audit Committee charter is available at www.middlefieldbank.com and www.emeraldbank.com.

Middlefield believes that none of the directors who serve on the Audit Committee have a relationship with Middlefield or its subsidiaries that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out their responsibilities as directors. The board believes that all members of the Audit Committee satisfy the current independence requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market and applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, and that each of Director Jones and Director Turk is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined in SEC rules.

Audit Committee Report. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, and discussed the audited financial statements with management. The Audit Committee has also discussed with S.R. Snodgrass, A.C., Middlefield's independent auditor, the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB AU Section 380 (Communication with Audit Committees). The Audit Committee received the written disclosures and the letter from S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussion with Audit Committees), and discussed with S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. its independence. Based on this, the Audit Committee recommended to the board that the audited financial statements be included in Middlefield's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Submitted by the Audit Committee:

Richard T. Coyne, Kenneth E. Jones, James J. McCaskey, and Carolyn J. Turk, C.P.A.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table shows the compensation paid to directors for their service in 2012, other than Directors Caldwell and Heslop, whose compensation is included in the Summary Compensation Table. The majority of director compensation is paid by The Middlefield Banking Company for directors' service on The Middlefield Banking Company's board and board committees, but compensation shown in the table is aggregate compensation paid for directors' service to Middlefield, The Middlefield Banking Company, Emerald Bank, and EMORECO, Inc.

Name	(\$) Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	(\$) Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾	(\$) Option Awards	(\$) Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	(\$) Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	(\$) All Other Compensation	(\$) Total
Richard T. Coyne	50,850	4,490	0	n/a	n/a	5,376 ⁽²⁾	60,716
Eric W. Hummel	37,550	4,490	0	n/a	n/a	0	42,040
Kenneth E. Jones	19,350	0	0	n/a	n/a	0	19,350
James J. McCaskey	42,300	4,490	0	n/a	n/a	0	46,790
William J. Skidmore	43,150	4,490	0	n/a	n/a	0	47,640
Robert W. Toth	37,100	4,490	0	n/a	n/a	0	41,590
Carolyn J. Turk	45,150	4,490	0	n/a	n/a	0	49,640

- (1) Each nonemployee director of The Middlefield Banking Company was granted 246 shares of restricted stock under Middlefield's 2007 Omnibus Equity Plan on February 13, 2012. The restricted stock vested 90 days after the grant date. This column represents the grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and is equal to the number of shares multiplied by the fair market value (the closing price) on the date of grant (\$18.25). The shares became vested in May of 2012 and are therefore no longer restricted.
- (2) By the terms of his Director Retirement Agreement with The Middlefield Banking Company, since attaining the age 75 normal retirement age in 2010 Director Coyne has received an annual benefit. The benefit is payable for ten years.

No stock options were granted to directors in 2012. As of December 31, 2012 Director Coyne had options to acquire 1,000 shares, Director Jones 4,050 shares, Director McCaskey 2,407 shares, Director Skidmore 2,837 shares, Director Toth 1,500 shares, and Director Turk 1,500 shares. All outstanding director option awards are vested and exercisable.

Director Joseph J. Thomas did not become a director until February 22, 2013. By the terms of the August 15, 2011 Stock Purchase Agreement that we entered into with Bank Opportunity Fund LLC, an affiliate of Eric D. Hovde, Mr. Hovde has the right to designate one person to serve as a director of Middlefield and of both of our subsidiary banks. Mr. Thomas is that designee. Mr. Thomas was an observer at board meetings before his appointment as a director, and as required by the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement we reimbursed Mr. Thomas for his costs to attend as an observer. Additional information concerning the sale of shares to Mr. Hovde and concerning his rights under the Stock Purchase Agreement and the related Amended and Restated Purchaser's Rights and Voting Agreement, each as amended, is included in or is provided by cross-reference in Middlefield's Form 10-K Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2012, Part II, item 5, under the caption "Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds." We filed the Form 10-K Annual Report with the SEC on March 13, 2013. The Form 10-K is available for viewing or download at www.sec.gov.

Director Fees and Life Insurance. Middlefield directors receive compensation of \$750 for each board and committee meeting attended. Middlefield's Chairman of the Board receives additional annual compensation of \$4,000. The Middlefield Banking Company directors receive compensation of \$1,800 per month less \$100 for each meeting not attended in a particular month. The Middlefield Banking Company directors also receive \$500 in committee fees for each meeting attended. Emerald Bank directors receive compensation of \$300 for each board and committee meeting attended. Directors of EMORECO, Inc. receive no compensation for board service.

Director Coyne and former directors of The Middlefield Banking Company are also entitled to life insurance benefits under a group-term life insurance program. Under the group-term life insurance program, a director's designated beneficiary could receive a death benefit ranging between \$10,000 and \$30,000 after the director's death.

Director Retirement Agreements. The Middlefield Banking Company entered into director retirement agreements with its nonemployee directors in 2001, all of whom have since retired, except for Director Coyne, who is retiring at this 2013 annual meeting. The agreements provide directors with a retirement benefit that Middlefield considers modest, an annual benefit equal to 25% of the average annual fees earned by the director in the three years before attaining normal retirement age. The benefit is payable for ten years, beginning at normal retirement age, even if the director continues serving as a director. If a director terminates service before normal retirement age for reasons other than death or disability, beginning at normal retirement age he or she will receive over a ten-year period a payment based upon the retirement-liability balance accrued by The Middlefield Banking Company at the end of the month before the month in which the director's service terminated. However, no benefits are payable in the case of early termination unless the director is at least 55 years of age and has served as a director for at least five years, including years of service before the director retirement agreements were entered into. Likewise, if a director's service terminates because of disability before normal retirement age, beginning at normal retirement age he or she will receive over a ten-year period a payment based upon the retirement-liability balance accrued by The Middlefield Banking Company at the end of the month before the month in which the director's service terminated. If a change in control of Middlefield occurs, the director will receive a lump-sum payment equal to the retirement-liability balance accrued by The Middlefield Banking Company at the end of the month before the month in which the change in control occurred. For this purpose, the term change in control means a change in control as defined in Internal Revenue Code section 409A and Internal Revenue Service regulations implementing section 409A. After a director's death, any benefits remaining unpaid to the director will be paid to his or her beneficiary in a single lump sum. A director forfeits all benefits under the director retirement agreement if he or she is not nominated for reelection because of the director's neglect of duties, commission of a felony or misdemeanor, or acts of fraud, disloyalty, or willful violation of significant bank policies, or if the director is removed by order of the FDIC.

The director retirement agreements of four of the retired directors also provide that The Middlefield Banking Company must obtain and maintain health insurance coverage for the lifetime of the directors and their spouses if the coverage can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms.

Director Indemnification. At the 2001 annual meeting shareholders approved the form and use of indemnification agreements for directors. The indemnification agreements allow directors to select the most

favorable indemnification rights provided under (1) Middlefield's Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation or Regulations in effect on the date of the indemnification agreement or on the date expenses are incurred, (2) state law in effect on the date of the indemnification agreement or on the date expenses are incurred, (3) any liability insurance policy in effect when a claim is made against the director or on the date expenses are incurred, and (4) any other indemnification arrangement otherwise available. The agreements cover all fees, expenses, judgments, fines, penalties, and settlement amounts paid in any matter relating to the director's role as a Middlefield director, officer, employee, agent, or when serving as Middlefield's representative with respect to another entity. Each indemnification agreement provides for the prompt advancement of all expenses incurred in connection with any proceeding subject to the director's obligation to repay those advances if it is determined later that the director is not entitled to indemnification.

VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS

To the best of our knowledge, no person other than Eric D. Hovde owns beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding common stock. Mr. Hovde holds 196,635 shares, or approximately 9.8% of the 2,015,284 shares outstanding as of the March 25, 2013 record date. According to Mr. Hovde's Schedule 13D beneficial ownership report filed with the SEC on May 10, 2012, Mr. Hovde's address is 122 West Washington Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53703.

The following table shows the beneficial ownership of Middlefield common stock on March 25, 2013 on the part of each director, each director nominee, each executive officer identified in the Summary Compensation Table, and all directors, nominees, and executive officers as a group. For purposes of the table, a person is considered to own beneficially any shares over which he or she exercises sole or shared voting or investment power or of which he or she has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Unless otherwise indicated, voting power and investment power are exercised solely by the person named or they are shared with members of his or her household. The percentage figures are based on 2,015,284 shares outstanding, plus the number of shares each individual has the right to acquire within 60 days.

Directors, Director Nominees, and Named Executive Officers	Shares Beneficially Owned	Shares Acquirable Within 60 Days By Exercise Of Options ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Stock
Thomas G. Caldwell, director, President & CEO	14,050(2)	9,493	1.2%
Richard T. Coyne, Chairman	6,655(3)	1,000	(9)
James R. Heslop, II, director, EVP and COO	6,325(4)	7,993	(9)
Eric W. Hummel, director	5,746	0	(9)
Kenneth E. Jones, director	6,717(5)	4,050	(9)
Darryl E. Mast, director nominee	3,021	0	(9)
James J. McCaskey, director	3,031(6)	2,407	(9)
William J. Skidmore, director	4,056	2,837	(9)
Donald L. Stacy, CFO and Treasurer	2,055(7)	7,612	(9)
Joseph J. Thomas, director	0	0	(9)
Robert W. Toth, director	22,513(8)	1,500	1.2%
Carolyn J. Turk, director	9,395	1,500	(9)
other executive officers (3 people)	2,100	15,258	(9)
all directors, nominees, and executive officers as a group (15 people)	85,663	53,650	6.7%

- (1) Options granted under Middlefield's 1999 Stock Option Plan or the 2007 Omnibus Equity Plan. Options granted under the plans vest and become exercisable one year after the grant date and have ten-year terms.
- (2) Includes shares held jointly with spouse and shares held by Mr. Caldwell as custodian for his children.
- (3) Includes shares held by Mr. Coyne's spouse.
- (4) Includes shares held by Mr. Heslop as custodian for his children.
- (5) Includes shares held by Mr. Jones' spouse. Mr. Jones disclaims beneficial ownership of shares held by his spouse.
- (6) Includes shares held jointly with spouse and shares held by Mr. McCaskey's spouse in her retirement account.
- (7) Includes shares held as joint tenant with child.
- (8) Includes shares held jointly with spouse and shares held by Mr. Toth and his spouse in retirement accounts.

(9) Does not exceed 1%.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines. Middlefield's Corporate Governance Guidelines include stock ownership guidelines for directors. The guidelines state that within three years after being elected a director should own Middlefield common stock equal in value to at least two times the director's current yearly base compensation for service as a director. As of December 31, 2012, two times the projected annual yearly compensation of a director other than the Chairman of the Board is \$43,200. Similarly, within three years after being elected to the board of directors of The Middlefield Banking Company or Emerald Bank a director of either of those banks who is not also a director of Middlefield should own Middlefield common stock equal in value to at least two times his or her annual director compensation.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires that directors and executive officers, as well as any persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of equity securities, file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership. Based solely on review of the copies of such reports furnished to Middlefield and written representations to Middlefield, to Middlefield's knowledge all section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners were complied with during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, except that in 2012 Director Coyne filed a Form 4 one day later than required, and Directors Hummel and Toth did not file their required Forms 3.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The majority of the compensation of executive officers is paid by The Middlefield Banking Company, but compensation shown in the table is aggregate compensation paid by Middlefield and its subsidiary banks—The Middlefield Banking Company and Emerald Bank. No compensation is paid by EMORECO, Inc.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$) ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Non-Equity	Nonqualified	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Total (\$)
						Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Deferred Compensation (\$)		
Thomas G. Caldwell President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	273,500	0	0	0	65,959	0	38,614	378,073
	2011	265,239	52,650	0	4,375	0	0	35,680	357,944
James R. Heslop, II Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2012	221,011	0	0	0	35,555	0	31,313	287,878
	2011	211,467	27,750	0	4,375	0	0	28,163	271,755
Donald L. Stacy Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2012	144,369	0	0	0	27,038	0	23,881	195,288
	2011	139,998	21,000	0	4,375	0	0	21,277	186,650

- (1) Includes salary deferred at the election of the executive under The Middlefield Banking Company's 401(k) retirement plan. Also includes fees for service as a director. Mr. Caldwell's director fees were \$34,500 in 2012 and \$31,100 in 2011. Mr. Heslop's director fees in 2012 were \$32,100 in 2012 and \$26,400 in 2011.
- (2) The 2011 bonus amounts were paid in the first quarter of 2012.
- (3) Messrs. Caldwell, Heslop, and Stacy were each granted on May 9, 2011 an incentive stock option to acquire 2,500 shares at an exercise price of \$17.55. The options vested and became exercisable one year after the grant date, expiring after 10 years. This option award values in the table are the grant date fair values of the awards, determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (4) Represents cash incentive payments made in March 2013 under The Middlefield Banking Company's Annual Incentive Plan based on financial performance and the executives' performance in 2012. No cash incentive awards under were earned by the executives under the Annual Incentive Plan for 2011 performance, but the board authorized discretionary bonus payments to the executives for that year, which are reported in the bonus column and are referred to in footnote (2).

(5) The figures in the All Other Compensation column are the sum of matching contributions under The Middlefield Banking Company's 401(k) plan and contributions and interest earnings credited by The Middlefield Banking Company for each executive under the executive deferred compensation agreements. The bank made contributions of \$6,117 to the 401(k) plan account of Mr. Caldwell in 2012, \$5,667 to the account of Mr. Heslop, and \$4,331 to the account of Mr. Stacy. The 2012 contributions and interest earnings for the executive deferred compensation agreements were contributions of \$28,647 and interest earnings of \$3,850 for Mr. Caldwell, contributions of \$22,648 and interest earnings of \$2,997 for Mr. Heslop, and contributions of \$17,304 and interest earnings of \$2,246 for Mr. Stacy.

Perquisites and other personal benefits provided to each of the named executive officers in 2012 and 2011 had a value of less than \$10,000. The value of insurance on the lives of the named executive officers is not reflected in the Summary Compensation Table because the executives have no interest in the policies. However, the executives are entitled to designate the beneficiary of death benefits payable by The Middlefield Banking Company under executive survivor income agreements. See the *Executive Survivor Income Agreements* section in the discussion below.

Annual Incentive Plan. In 2003 The Middlefield Banking Company established the Annual Incentive Plan, a short-term cash incentive plan that is designed to reward employees with additional cash compensation if specific objectives are achieved. An employee's potential cash incentive payment under the Annual Incentive Plan depends upon two factors: (x) the employee's position, which establishes a maximum cash incentive award as a percent of base salary, and (y) the extent to which the performance targets, such as targeted net income, and individual performance targets, are achieved. Annual incentive payments under the plan for a particular year generally are based on objective financial performance criteria established by the board based on the Compensation Committee's recommendations. Revised in 2012, a copy of the plan is included as exhibit 10.22 to the Form 8-K Current Report that we filed with the SEC on June 22, 2012, which is available for viewing or download at www.sec.gov. All employees are eligible for awards under the Annual Incentive Plan. The plan is terminable by the board at any time.

The bank-wide performance objectives that had to be achieved in 2012 in order for Messrs. Caldwell and Heslop to receive a cash incentive payment under the plan included a net income goal and a goal for reduction of adversely classified assets. In Mr. Stacy's case, the classified asset-reduction goal was replaced by a goal for reduced non-interest expense. In future years other financial performance measures could be taken into account, such as return on average equity (ROAE), return on average assets (ROAA), loan growth, deposit growth, efficiency ratio, and net interest margin. The Compensation Committee also considers individual performance goals.

2007 Omnibus Equity Plan. The 2007 Omnibus Equity Plan authorizes the issuance of 160,000 shares of Middlefield common stock. Middlefield's Compensation Committee administers the Omnibus Equity Plan. Shares of common stock issued under the Omnibus Equity Plan may be treasury shares, authorized and unissued shares not reserved for any other purpose, or a combination of treasury shares and authorized but unissued shares. Awards to employees may take the form of incentive stock options, or *ISOs*, that qualify for favored tax treatment under Internal Revenue Code section 422, stock options that do not qualify under section 422, referred to as *NQSOs*, stock appreciation rights, or *SARs*, restricted stock, and performance shares. In contrast to the kinds of awards that may be made to employees, non-employee directors are eligible for awards of *NQSOs* and restricted stock only. The terms of each award will be described in an award agreement. Of the shares authorized for issuance under the Omnibus Equity Plan, up to one half may be reserved for issuance under incentive stock options. The aggregate number of shares underlying awards granted to an individual participant in a single year may not exceed 16,000.

Unless the participant's award agreement provides otherwise, when a participant employee's service terminates or when a non-employee director participant's service terminates the portion of any award held by the participant that is not exercisable is forfeited. All *NQSOs*, *SARs*, and *ISOs* held by the participant that are exercisable are forfeited if not exercised before the earlier of the expiration date specified in the award agreement or 90 days after termination occurs. However, all of a participant's outstanding awards are forfeited if the participant's employment or director service terminates for cause or if in Middlefield's judgment a basis for termination for cause exists, regardless of whether the awards are exercisable and regardless of whether the participant's employment or director service actually terminates. However, shares of restricted stock or performance shares that have been released from escrow and distributed to the participant are not affected by a termination for cause.

If a change in control of Middlefield occurs, the Compensation Committee has broad authority and sole discretion to take actions it deems appropriate to preserve the value of participants' awards. In general, a change in control means one or more of the following events occur

a change in the composition of Middlefield's board of directors, after which the incumbent members of the board on the effective date of the Plan including their successors whose election or nomination was approved by those incumbent directors and their successors no longer represent a majority of the board;

a person (other than persons such as subsidiaries or benefit plans) becomes a beneficial owner of Middlefield securities representing 25% or more of the combined voting power of all securities eligible to vote for the election of directors, excepting business combinations after which Middlefield's shareholders own more than 50% of the resulting company and except for stock issuances approved by incumbent directors and their successors;

a merger, consolidation, share exchange, or similar form of business combination transaction requiring approval of Middlefield's shareholders, excepting business combinations after which Middlefield's shareholders own more than 50% of the resulting company; or

Middlefield's shareholders approve a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution or sale of all or substantially all of Middlefield's assets.

Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions, including grants of stock options, be recognized as an expense in financial statements. For this purpose, cost is measured based on the fair value of the equity instrument issued, according to any option-pricing model satisfying the fair value objective of FASB ASC Topic 718.

Executive Deferred Compensation Agreements. The Middlefield Banking Company entered into executive deferred compensation agreements with Messrs. Caldwell, Heslop, and Stacy on December 28, 2006. Amended on March 8, 2008 for compliance with Internal Revenue Code section 409A, the executive deferred compensation agreements provide supplemental retirement income benefits. The arrangement is noncontributory, meaning contributions can be made solely by The Middlefield Banking Company. For each year the executive remains employed with The Middlefield Banking Company until attaining age 65, The Middlefield Banking Company may credit each executive with a contribution equal to 5% of the executive's base annual salary. Contributions exceeding 5% of salary are conditional on achievement of performance goals: (x) The Middlefield Banking Company's net income for the plan year and (y) The Middlefield Banking Company's peer ranking for the plan year, based on the Uniform Bank Performance Report available on the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council's website at www.ffiec.gov/UBPR.htm. The UBPR is an analytical tool created for bank supervisory, examination, and management purposes. In a concise format, the UBPR shows the impact of management decisions and economic conditions on a bank's performance and balance-sheet composition. Each of the two performance goals can account for a contribution of up to 7.5% of the executive's base annual salary. The net income goal for each year is established by the Compensation Committee no later than March 31 of that year. The Compensation Committee's decisions are not final unless approved by a majority of Middlefield's independent directors.

Executive Survivor Income Agreements. The Middlefield Banking Company entered into executive survivor income agreements with various officers in June 2003, including Messrs. Caldwell, Heslop, and Stacy. The agreements promise a specific cash benefit payable by The Middlefield Banking Company to an executive's designated beneficiary at the executive's death, provided the executive dies before attaining age 85. The benefit would be paid to the executive's beneficiary if the executive dies in active service to The Middlefield Banking Company, but it also would be payable after the executive's termination of service if the executive terminated (x) because of disability, or (y) within 12 months after a change in control of Middlefield, or (z) after having attained age 55 with at least ten years of service to The Middlefield Banking Company or after having attained age 65.

The total death benefit payable to Mr. Caldwell's beneficiaries if he dies in active service to The Middlefield Banking Company is \$471,741, the benefit payable to Mr. Heslop's beneficiaries is \$368,970, and the benefit payable to Mr. Stacy's beneficiaries is \$222,619. For death after terminating active service with The

Middlefield Banking Company, the death benefit for Mr. Caldwell's beneficiaries is \$471,741, \$368,970 for Mr. Heslop's beneficiaries, and \$111,309 for Mr. Stacy's beneficiaries. To assure itself of funds sufficient to pay the promised death benefits, The Middlefield Banking Company purchased insurance on the executives' lives with a single premium payment. The Middlefield Banking Company owns the policies and is the sole beneficiary. Of the total premium paid for the insurance on the various executives' lives, \$495,873 is attributable to insurance purchased on the life of Mr. Caldwell, \$447,351 is attributable to insurance on the life of Mr. Heslop, and \$333,890 is attributable to insurance purchased on the life of Mr. Stacy. The premium amounts are not reflected in the Summary Compensation Table. The Middlefield Banking Company expects that the policies' death benefits will be sufficient to pay all benefits promised under the executive survivor income agreements.

Severance Agreements. Middlefield and its subsidiaries do not have written employment agreements with officers, although Middlefield entered into severance agreements with six executives on January 7, 2008, including Messrs. Caldwell, Heslop, and Stacy. The severance agreements provide that the executive is entitled to severance compensation if a change in control occurs during the term of the agreement, payable in a single lump sum. For purposes of the severance agreements, the term change in control is defined consistent with the definition contained in Internal Revenue Code section 409A and implementing rules. In the case of executives other than Messrs. Caldwell, Heslop, and Stacy, the lump-sum severance benefit is payable immediately after involuntary termination without cause or voluntary termination for good reason occurring within 24 months after a change in control. Rather than being contingent on a separation from service after a change in control, the lump-sum benefit of Messrs. Caldwell, Heslop, and Stacy is payable immediately after a change in control occurs.

The agreements promise to each executive a lump-sum payment calculated as a multiple of the executive's salary and the executive's cash bonus and cash incentive compensation. The multiple of compensation payable under the severance agreements is 2.5 times in the case of Mr. Caldwell and Mr. Heslop and two times compensation for all other executives. The agreements also promise continued life, health, and disability insurance coverage for 24 months after employment termination and legal fee reimbursement of up to \$500,000 for Messrs. Caldwell and Heslop and \$300,000 for the other four executives if the severance agreements are challenged after a change in control.

Retirement Plan. Middlefield does not maintain a defined benefit or actuarial plan providing retirement benefits for officers or employees based on actual or average final compensation. But both The Middlefield Banking Company and Emerald Bank maintain a section 401(k) employee savings and investment plan for substantially all employees and officers who have more than one year of service. The banks' contribution to the plans is based on 50% matching of voluntary contributions, up to 6% of compensation. An eligible employee may contribute up to 15% of his or her salary. Employee contributions are vested at all times. Contributions are fully vested after six years, vesting in 20% annual increments beginning with the second year. The Middlefield Banking Company employees also have life insurance benefits under a group term life insurance program, paying benefits to an employee's beneficiary if the employee dies while employed by The Middlefield Banking Company, up to the lesser of (x) twice the employee's annual salary at the time of death or (y) \$140,000.

Internal Revenue Code Limits. The qualifying compensation regulations issued by the Internal Revenue Service under Internal Revenue Code section 162(m) provide that no deduction is allowed for applicable employee remuneration paid by a publicly held corporation to a covered employee to the extent that the remuneration exceeds \$1 million for the applicable taxable year, unless specified conditions are satisfied. Salary and bonus amounts deferred by executives are not subject to section 162(m). Currently, Middlefield's remuneration is not expected to exceed \$1 million for any employee. Therefore, Middlefield does not expect that compensation will be affected by the qualifying compensation regulations. The Compensation Committee and Middlefield's board intend to maintain executive compensation within the section 162(m) deductibility limits, but could permit compensation exceeding the section 162(m) limits in the future.

Transactions with Related Parties. Middlefield directors and executive officers and their associates are customers of and enter into banking transactions with The Middlefield Banking Company and Emerald Bank in the ordinary course of business. Middlefield expects that these relationships and transactions will continue. The transactions with directors, executive officers, and their associates have not involved more than the normal risk of collectability and have not presented other unfavorable features. Loans and commitments to lend included in these transactions were made and will be made on substantially the same terms including interest rates and collateral as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not affiliated with Middlefield.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS

The following table shows as of December 31, 2012 the number of shares acquirable, exercise prices, and expiration dates of all unexercised stock options held by the executives identified in the Summary Compensation Table. None of Messrs. Caldwell, Heslop, and Stacy exercised stock options in 2012.

Name	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾				Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Thomas G. Caldwell	2,315			30.45	12/13/2014			
	1,653			36.73	12/12/2015			
	525			40.24	12/11/2016	n/a		
	1,000			23.00	11/10/2018			
	1,500			23.00	11/10/2018			
	2,500			17.55	05/09/2021			
James R. Heslop, II	2,315			30.45	12/13/2014			
	1,653			36.73	12/12/2015			
	525			40.24	12/11/2016	n/a		
	1,000			23.00	11/10/2018			
	2,500			17.55	05/09/2021			
Donald L. Stacy	1,735			30.45	12/13/2014			
	1,102			36.73	12/12/2015			
	525			40.24	12/11/2016	n/a		
	750			37.00	12/10/2017			
	1,000			23.00	11/10/2018			
	2,500			17.55	05/09/2021			

(1) adjusted for stock dividends

PROPOSAL ONE ELECTION OF FOUR DIRECTORS FOR THE TERM EXPIRING IN 2016

AND

PROPOSAL TWO ELECTION OF ONE DIRECTOR FOR THE TERM EXPIRING IN 2015

According to article III, section 2, of Middlefield's regulations, the board may consist of no fewer than five and no more than 25 directors, the precise number being fixed or changed from time to time within that range by the board or by majority vote of shareholders acting at an annual meeting. Article III, section 2(b) of Middlefield's regulations provides that if the number of directors (including vacancies) of Middlefield is six or more, the directors must be classified into at least two classes, as nearly equal in number as possible and consisting of no fewer than three directors in each class, designated Class I, Class II, and if there are nine or more directors, Class III. We currently have ten directors. Class I of Middlefield's board consists of Directors Hummel, Jones, and McCaskey (term expiring at the 2014 annual meeting), Class II includes Directors Heslop and Toth (term expiring at the 2015 annual meeting), and Class III consists of Directors Caldwell, Skidmore, and Turk (term expiring at the 2013 annual meeting). Director Coyne is completing a one-year term, retiring at the 2013 annual meeting. Joseph J. Thomas was appointed

on February 22, 2013 to fill a vacancy in Class II.

Four nominees for the term ending at the 2016 annual meeting (Proposal One). The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee recommended Directors Caldwell, Skidmore, and Turk for reelection to the board, also recommending Darryl E. Mast for election. The board accepted the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee's recommendation and nominated these four persons to serve as directors for terms ending at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until their successors are elected and qualified.

Nominee for the term expiring in 2016 (Proposal One)	Age	Director since	Current term expires	Biography
Thomas G. Caldwell	55	1997	2013	Mr. Caldwell is President and Chief Executive Officer of Middlefield, The Middlefield Banking Company, and EMORECO, Inc. Mr. Caldwell served as Vice President of Middlefield until October 2000, when he became its President and CEO. Mr. Caldwell's experience in the banking and financial services industry and significant leadership positions with Middlefield, The Middlefield Banking Company, Emerald Bank, and EMORECO, Inc. allow him to provide continued business and leadership expertise to the board.
Darryl E. Mast	62	n/a	n/a	Darryl Mast is part of the Hattie Larlham organization, a non-profit organization dedicated to improving the lives of children and adults with developmental disabilities. Mr. Mast currently serves as Vice President of Operations for Hattie Larlham Care Group and Hattie Larlham Housing Corporation, with responsibility for government, community, corporate, and private foundation grants, IT and phone systems, facilities, vehicle fleet, and volunteer recruitment. Mr. Mast previously was a Senior Vice President at Second National Bank of Warren and an executive officer of its holding company, Second Bancorp Inc., with responsibility for 33 retail banking centers, private banking, consumer lending, call center, web site, and on-line banking. He also served on the Asset/Liability and other committees. While at Second National Bank Mr. Mast also served as President of the Hattie Larlham Foundation and as Treasurer of the Hattie Larlham Care Group. He has served on various Hattie Larlham boards since 1996. Mr. Mast has served as an officer of the Rotary Club of Warren and has been involved with numerous community-based organizations, including the Advisory Board of Kent State University's Trumbull Campus, the Warren Area Chamber of Commerce Economic Development Foundation, and the Wooster Area Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Mast has attended Miami University in Oxford, Ohio and the Graduate School of Banking at the University of Wisconsin. Mr. Mast has served as a director of The Middlefield Banking Company since September 2011. We selected Mr. Mast as a director nominee because of the combination of his relevant banking experience, his demonstrated leadership ability, and his community involvement.
William J. Skidmore	56	2007	2013	Mr. Skidmore is Northeast Ohio Senior District Manager of Waste Management and has held progressively responsible positions with Waste Management and a predecessor company since 1978. He previously served on the Board of Directors of both First County Bank in Chardon, Ohio, and of Metropolitan National Bank in Youngstown, Ohio. He is a member and was the past President of the Chardon Rotary, a former President of the Chardon Chamber of Commerce, a former member of the business advisory committee of Kent State University (Geauga), and a past representative to the board of the National Solid Waste Management Association in Washington, D. C. Mr. Skidmore earned a Bachelor's Degree in Sales and Marketing from Bowling Green State University in 1978. Mr. Skidmore's business management and banking experience in the northeast Ohio market allow him to provide business and leadership expertise to the board.
Carolyn J. Turk	56	2004	2013	Ms. Turk is the Controller of Molded Fiber Glass Companies and a licensed CPA. Molded Fiber Glass Companies, located in Ashtabula, Ohio, is a manufacturer of reinforced fiber glass products with 15 entities in the U.S. and Mexico. Ms. Turk earned a B.S. in Accountancy from Youngstown State University in 1983. She has a long record of community service and currently sits on the Board of Country Neighbor Program, Inc. Ms. Turk's business and accounting experience allow her to provide accounting and financial management expertise to the board.

One nominee for the term ending at the 2015 annual meeting (Proposal Two). The board is also nominating for election to the class whose term expires at the 2015 annual meeting Joseph J. Thomas. Appointed by the board on February 22, 2013 to fill a vacancy in Class II, Mr. Thomas is the director designee of Eric D. Hovde. Mr. Hovde owns approximately 9.8% of our stock, acquired in April of 2012 under the terms of an amended August 15, 2011 Stock Purchase Agreement. As assignee of the original party to the Stock Purchase Agreement, Mr. Hovde has the right to designate one director for service on the board of Middlefield and on the board of each of our subsidiary banks. By the terms of the amended Stock Purchase Agreement and the related Amended and Restated Purchaser's Rights and Voting Agreement, as amended, Middlefield is obliged to nominate Mr. Hovde's designee for election and Middlefield's directors and executive officers are obliged to vote any shares they own in favor of election of Mr. Hovde's director designee. Additional information concerning the sale of shares to Mr. Hovde and concerning his rights under the Stock Purchase Agreement and the Amended and Restated Purchaser's Rights and Voting Agreement, each as amended, is included in or is provided by cross-reference in Middlefield's Form 10-K Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2012, Part II, item 5, under the caption Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. We filed the Form 10-K Annual Report with the SEC on March 13, 2013. The Form 10-K is available for viewing or download at www.sec.gov.

Nominee for the term expiring in 2015 (Proposal Two)	Age	Director since	Current term expires	Biography
Joseph Janney Thomas	49	2013	2015	Mr. Thomas is Managing Director of Hovde Private Equity Advisors LLC, the investment adviser to Financial Services Partners Fund I LLC, a private equity fund dedicated to investing in financial service companies and community banks. Mr. Thomas leads the day-to-day operations of the fund, sourcing and underwriting investments and formulating business strategies for companies in which the fund invests. Hovde Private Equity Advisors LLC is controlled by Eric D. Hovde. In his role with Financial Services Partners Fund I LLC and its investment adviser, Mr. Thomas is the designee for service as a director of investee companies, serving as a director of Kinloch Holdings, Inc., Chairman of the Board of Jefferson Bancorp, Inc. and a director of its savings association subsidiary, Bay Bank FSB, and a director of First Atlantic Financial Holdings, Inc. and its savings association subsidiary, FirstAtlantic Bank. In the year before joining the Hovde organization in 2006, Mr. Thomas was Chief Operating Officer of the Financial Services Volunteer Corps (FSVC), a not-for-profit, private/public partnership chaired by Paul Volcker and John Whitehead, with a mission to build sound banking and financial systems in emerging market countries. Mr. Thomas managed the foreign offices of the firm and led in-country missions on privatization, M&A, commercial banking, and risk management. Prior to his service with FSVC, Mr. Thomas was Managing Director and Head of Financial Institutions Investment Banking for Wachovia Securities, responsible for merger and acquisitions, equity capital markets, private equity, fixed income and corporate banking activities with U.S. banks, asset management firms, insurance companies, and specialty finance clients. While with the Wachovia organization, Mr. Thomas had also served as Executive Vice President and Head of Global Corporate Finance and Group Executive and Head of Debt Capital Markets. Mr. Thomas has a Masters of Business Administration degree from the Fuqua School of Business at Duke University and a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Virginia. He is a Chartered Financial Analyst, a member of the CFA Society of Washington, DC, and is licensed with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority as a Securities Principal and a Registered Representative. Mr. Thomas also serves as a Trustee of the Saint Stephens and Saint Agnes School Foundation and is a former member of the Vestry at St. Paul's Episcopal Church in Alexandria, Virginia. He is an Emeritus Trustee of the College of Arts and Sciences Foundation at the University of Virginia

Five continuing directors

Continuing directors	Age	Director since	Current term expires	Biography
James R. Heslop, II	59	2001	2015	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Middlefield Bank since 1996, Mr. Heslop became Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Middlefield on October 30, 2000. He became a director of Middlefield Bank in July 1999 and a director of Middlefield on November 19, 2001. He is also the Vice President and Secretary and a director of EMORECO, Inc. From July 1993 until joining Middlefield Bank in April 1996, Mr. Heslop was a Director, President, and Chief Executive Officer of First County Bank in Chardon, Ohio, an institution with total assets exceeding \$40 million. First County Bank was an affiliate of FNB Corporation of Hermitage, Pennsylvania. Mr. Heslop earned a B.S. in Business Administration from Wheeling College, an M.B.A. from Tiffin University, and is a graduate of the Graduate School of Banking at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Mr. Heslop's education, experience in the banking and financial services industry, and significant leadership positions with Middlefield, Middlefield Bank, and EMORECO, Inc. allow him to provide continued business and leadership expertise to the board and the board, at the recommendation of the corporate governance and nominating committee, has nominated him for reelection.
Eric W. Hummel	67	2011	2014	Mr. Hummel is President of Hummel Construction, Ravenna, Ohio, a position he has held since 1971. Mr. Hummel attended the Kent State University School of Architecture and the University of Wisconsin College of Engineering. He has been a member of the Portage Foundation Board of Trustees, Kent State University Architecture School Foundation, and Leadership Portage County Board. Mr. Hummel's extensive business management experience allows him to provide business and leadership expertise to the board.
Kenneth E. Jones	64	2008	2014	Mr. Jones is the Chairman of the Board of Emerald Bank. A self-employed financial consultant and advisor, Mr. Jones earned a B.S. in Nuclear Engineering from the University of Virginia in 1970 and an M.B.A. from the University of Virginia in 1972. He is also licensed in Ohio as a CPA (inactive). He currently serves as the Chief Financial Officer, on a part-time basis, of Minimally Invasive Devices, Inc. and NanoStatics Corporation, both located in Columbus, Ohio. He is the Treasurer and Secretary, respectively, of the two companies. Minimally Invasive develops and sells medical devices used in laparoscopic surgery. NanoStatics produces nanofibers for high efficiency air filtration applications. Mr. Jones is a former director of Applied Innovation, Inc. of Dublin, Ohio (Nasdaq), and served as Chairman of its Audit Committee. Mr. Jones financial and business experience and his service as a director of Middlefield since 2008 allow him to provide continued business and leadership expertise to the board.
James J. McCaskey	49	2004	2014	Mr. McCaskey is the President of McCaskey Landscape & Design, LLC, a design-build landscape development company. Mr. McCaskey is also a member of the Board of Directors and past President of the Ohio Landscape Association. Previously, he was the Vice President of Sales for the Pattie Group, also a design-build landscape development company, with which he had been employed for seventeen years. Mr. McCaskey also serves on the Advisory Board of Kent State University Geauga and is President Elect of the Chardon Rotary. Mr. McCaskey earned a Bachelor's Degree in Agricultural Production and a Bachelor's Degree in Biology from Wilmington College in 1985. Mr. McCaskey's extensive business management experience and service as a director of Middlefield since 2004 allow him to provide continued business and leadership expertise to the board.
Robert W. Toth	68	2009	2015	Mr. Toth retired in 2007 as the President of Gold Key Processing, Ltd., headquartered in Middlefield, Ohio. Mr. Toth is a graduate of Ohio University with a B.B.A. in accounting. Prior to joining Gold Key, he was Vice President Finance and Administration for Burton Rubber Processing, Inc. Having begun his career with Amsted Industries in Chicago, Illinois, Mr. Toth has held progressively responsible positions with Warner and Swasey

Edgar Filing: JUNIPER NETWORKS INC - Form 425

Co. and Missouri Portland Cement Co. He has a long record of community service and presently sits on the Board of the Geauga County Library Foundation. Mr. Toth's extensive business management and community service experience allow him to provide continued business and leadership expertise to the board and the board, at the recommendation of the corporate governance and nominating committee, has nominated him for reelection.

Directors of The Middlefield Banking Company, Emerald Bank, and EMORECO, Inc. are elected annually and do not serve staggered terms. The Middlefield Banking Company currently has nine directors. Except for Mr. Jones, the directors identified in the table above are expected to be nominated and elected to continue serving as directors of The Middlefield Banking Company for the following year. Emerald Bank has seven directors that are expected to be nominated and elected to continue serving for the following year. Messrs. Caldwell, Coyne, and Jones also serve as directors of Emerald Bank. EMORECO, Inc. has five directors that are expected to be nominated and elected to continue serving for the following year, including Messrs. Caldwell and Heslop.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote *FOR* election of Thomas G. Caldwell, Darryl E. Mast, William J. Skidmore, and Carolyn J. Turk to the term expiring at the 2016 annual meeting, and a vote *FOR* election of Joseph J. Thomas for the term expiring at the 2015 annual meeting

PROPOSAL THREE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We are subject to section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which requires that we provide to our shareholders the opportunity to vote on the compensation of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table. Added by section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in July 2010, section 14A was not applicable to us until 2013.

Commonly known as a say-on-pay vote, the shareholder vote required by section 14A is an advisory vote, which means that the vote is not binding on us, on our board of directors, or on the Compensation Committee. The say-on-pay vote is intended to be a vote on the executive officer compensation that is disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The goals of our compensation arrangements are to provide fair and competitive compensation, to provide compensation that promotes the hiring and retention of the most talented personnel, to create incentives for and to reward superior performance, and to align the interests of our officers and employees with the interests of shareholders. We seek to avoid creating incentives for unnecessary or excessive risk-taking, creating incentives for excessive focus on stock price performance instead of fundamental business values, creating incentives to seek short-term benefits at the expense of long-term results, and creating incentives to achieve short-term benefits with long-term risks. With the assistance of the Compensation Committee, the board believes that Middlefield has created compensation arrangements that successfully avoid creating these adverse incentives and that instead reward performance promoting our long-term prosperity, although the arrangements are continually evolving and are and will remain subject to ongoing review and evaluation by the board and by the Compensation Committee. Accordingly, we ask our shareholders to vote on the following resolution at the 2013 Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the company's named executive officers, as disclosed in Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting in compliance with Item 402 of the Securities and Exchange Commission's Regulation S-K, including the compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED.

Approval of a majority of the votes cast will constitute approval of this proposal to approve the named executive officer compensation disclosed in this proxy statement. An abstention or broker non-vote is not counted as a vote cast, and as a result will have no effect on the vote to approve the proposal. A proxy that does not specify voting instructions will be voted in favor of this non-binding, advisory proposal. Although the results of the say-on-pay vote will not be binding on us, we expect to take the results into account in future compensation decisions.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote *FOR* approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement.

PROPOSAL FOUR ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF THE SHAREHOLDER ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 also requires that at least every six years we provide to shareholders the opportunity to specify a preference for the frequency of the say-on-pay vote, the advisory vote on executive compensation. Commonly known as a say-on-frequency vote, the shareholder vote specifying a

preference for the frequency of the say-on-pay vote is like the say-on-pay vote itself also non-binding and advisory only.

Although the vote is not binding on us, we would take into account the shareholders' preference for the frequency of the say-on-pay vote (assuming a preference can be determined based on the expressed preference of a majority or a plurality of shares voted).

By voting on this proposal, shareholders may indicate whether they prefer that we conduct future advisory votes on executive compensation every year, or every other year, or every third year. Shareholders also may abstain from casting a vote on this proposal. An abstention or broker non-vote is not counted as a vote cast, and as a result will have no effect on the proposal. A proxy that does not specify voting instructions will be voted in favor of holding the non-binding, advisory say-on-pay vote every third year.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote *FOR* an advisory vote on executive compensation every third year

PROPOSAL FIVE RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

Middlefield's independent auditor for the year ended December 31, 2012, was S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. The Audit Committee has selected S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. to be Middlefield's independent auditor for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. We expect one or more representatives of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. to be present at the annual meeting. The representative of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. will have the opportunity to make a statement if desired, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

For services provided in fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 we paid S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as follows

	2012	2011
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 108,406	\$ 100,431
Audit-Related Fees	\$ 0	\$ 0
Tax Fees (2)	\$ 19,491	\$ 12,941
All Other Fees (3)	\$ 29,316	\$ 22,116
	\$ 157,213	\$ 135,448

- (1) Audit fees consist of fees for professional services rendered for the audit of Middlefield's financial statements and review of financial statements included in Middlefield's quarterly reports.
- (2) Tax service fees consist of compliance fees for preparation of original tax returns.
- (3) Other services consist of assisting in compliance audits related to BSA/OFAC/AML/USA PATRIOT Acts and ACH and assisting in the evaluation of a charter merger.

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent auditors. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services, and other services. Pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is generally subject to a budget. The independent auditors and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent auditors in accordance with this pre-approval, and the fees for the services performed to date. The Audit Committee may also pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis.

Auditor Independence. The Audit Committee believes that the non-audit services provided by S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. are compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence. To the best of Middlefield's knowledge, none of the time devoted by S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. on its engagement to audit Middlefield's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 is attributable to work performed by persons other than full-time, permanent employees of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote *FOR* ratification of the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as Middlefield's independent auditor for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

There are no family relationships among any of Middlefield's directors or executive officers. Executive officers who do not also serve as directors are

Name	Age	Principal Occupation in the Last 5 Years
Jay P. Giles	63	Mr. Giles is Senior Vice President/Senior Loan Officer. He joined The Middlefield Banking Company in September 1998, having previously served as Vice President and Senior Commercial Lender at Huntington National Bank in Burton, Ohio, since 1985.
Teresa M. Hetrick	49	Ms. Hetrick is Senior Vice President Operations/Administration. Ms. Hetrick served as Vice President and Secretary of First County Bank in Chardon, Ohio, before joining The Middlefield Banking Company in December 1996.
Donald L. Stacy	59	Mr. Stacy joined The Middlefield Banking Company in August 1999 and serves as its Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Stacy also serves as Emerald Bank's Chief Financial Officer and is a director, Vice President, and the Treasurer of EMORECO, Inc. On October 30, 2000, he was appointed as the Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Middlefield. He previously served for 20 years with Security Dollar Bank and Security Financial Corp. in Niles, Ohio, where he was Senior Vice President and Treasurer.
Alfred F. Thompson, Jr.	53	Mr. Thompson is The Middlefield Banking Company's Vice President/Loan Administration and a director and Vice President of EMORECO, Inc. Mr. Thompson has been with The Middlefield Banking Company since March 1996. He was promoted from loan officer to Assistant Vice President in 1997, and promoted again to his current position in 1998. Before joining The Middlefield Banking Company, Mr. Thompson served as Loan Officer in the Small Business Group of National City Bank, Northeast.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

The proxy is solicited by management and confers discretionary authority to vote on any matters that properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournments thereof. If any matter not set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders is properly presented at the 2013 annual meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote thereon in accordance with their best judgment.

Shareholders desiring to submit proposals for inclusion in Middlefield's proxy materials for the 2014 annual meeting must submit the proposals to Middlefield at its executive offices no later than December 9, 2013. We will not include in our proxy statement or form of proxy for the 2014 annual meeting a shareholder proposal that is received after that date or that otherwise fails to meet requirements for shareholder proposals established by SEC regulations.

If a shareholder intends to present a proposal at the 2014 annual meeting without seeking to include the proposal in Middlefield's proxy materials for that meeting, the shareholder must give advance notice to Middlefield. According to article I, section 8, of Middlefield's regulations, the shareholder must give notice at least 60 days but no more than 120 days before the date in 2014 corresponding to the mailing date of this proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting. This proxy statement is being mailed to shareholders on or about April 8, 2013. Accordingly, a shareholder who desires to present a proposal at the 2014 annual meeting without seeking to include the proposal in Middlefield's proxy materials for that meeting should provide notice of the proposal to Middlefield no earlier than December 9, 2013, and no later than February 7, 2014. If the shareholder fails to do so, Middlefield's management will be entitled to use their discretionary voting authority on that proposal, without any discussion of the matter in Middlefield's proxy materials. Shareholders who desire to submit a proposal for the 2014 annual meeting without seeking to include the proposal in Middlefield's proxy materials for that meeting should refer to article I, section 8, of Middlefield's regulations for information concerning the procedures for submitting proposals, including information required to be provided by shareholders submitting proposals.

OTHER MATTERS

The persons named in the proxy will vote all properly executed proxies. If a shareholder specifies a choice for a proposal to be acted upon, the proxy will be voted in accordance with his or her specifications. If no choice is specified, the proxy will be voted **FOR** election of the nominees identified herein, **FOR** approval of the executive compensation disclosed in this proxy statement, **FOR** a say-on-pay vote every third year, and **FOR** ratification of Middlefield's independent auditor.

The board is not aware of any business to come before the meeting other than those matters described in this proxy statement. However, if any other matters should properly come before the annual meeting, proxies in the accompanying form will be voted in respect thereof in accordance with the judgment of the person or persons voting the proxies, including matters relating to the conduct of the annual meeting.

Our 2012 Annual Report has been mailed to persons who were shareholders as of the close of business on March 25, 2013. Additional copies may be obtained without charge by written request. Middlefield files periodic reports and other information with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Copies of the public portions of reports to the SEC may be inspected and copied at the headquarters of the SEC, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20549. The SEC maintains an Internet web site containing reports, proxy, and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is <http://www.sec.gov>.

If you and others who share your address own your shares in street name, your broker or other holder of record may be sending one copy only of the annual report and proxy statement to your address. Known as householding, this practice reduces Middlefield's printing and postage costs. However, if you wish to receive a separate annual report or proxy statement in the future, you should contact your broker or other holder of record. If you own your shares in street name and are receiving multiple copies of our annual report and proxy statement, you can request householding by contacting your broker or other holder of record. Shareholders who share an address to which a single annual report or proxy statement is delivered may orally or in writing request a separate copy of the annual report or proxy statement. Middlefield will deliver the separate annual report or proxy statement promptly at your request.

We will furnish a copy of our Form 10-K Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2012 without charge to shareholders upon written request to: Mr. Donald L. Stacy, Chief Financial Officer, Middlefield Banc Corp., 15985 East High Street, P.O. Box 35, Middlefield, Ohio 44062.

**ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF
MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.**

May 15, 2013

GO GREEN

e-Consent makes it easy to go paperless. With e-Consent, you can quickly access your proxy

material, statements and other eligible documents online, while reducing costs, clutter and

paper waste. Enroll today via www.amstock.com to enjoy online access.

NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIAL:

The Notice of Meeting, proxy statement and proxy card
are available at www.middlefieldbank.com and www.emeraldbank.com

Please sign, date and mail
your proxy card in the
envelope provided as soon
as possible.

Please detach along perforated line and mail in the envelope provided.

¢ 20530403000000000000 3

051513

**PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK
YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE x**

FOR AGAINST AB

To elect the five nominees identified below as directors for the term specified and
their successors are elected and qualified

3. To approve the
compensation of
named executive
officers, as disclosed
in the proxy
statement

“ ”

every every every AB

NOMINEES:			year	two	three
ALL NOMINEES	<input type="radio"/> Thomas G. Caldwell	for a term of three years
	<input type="radio"/> Darryl E. Mast	for a term of three years			
WITHHOLD AUTHORITY	<input type="radio"/> William J. Skidmore	for a term of three years			
FOR ALL NOMINEES	<input type="radio"/> Carolyn J. Turk	for a term of three years			
	<input type="radio"/> Joseph Janney Thomas	for a term of two years		FOR	AGAINST
ALL EXCEPT					

(instructions below)

INSTRUCTIONS: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **ALL EXCEPT** and fill in the circle next to each nominee you wish to withhold, as here: 1

4. Advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation

5. To ratify the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as independent auditor for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013

The Board recommends a vote FOR election of the identified nominees in Proposal One, FOR approval of Proposal Three, FOR an advisory vote on executive compensation every third year, and FOR Proposal Five, ratifying the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as independent auditor.

The shares represented by this proxy will be voted as specified. Unless specified to the contrary, all shares of the undersigned will be voted **FOR** election of the nominees identified in Proposal One, election of the nominee identified in Proposal Three, **FOR** approval of Proposal Three, **FOR** an advisory vote on executive compensation every third year, **FOR** ratification of the independent auditor. If any other business is properly presented at the meeting, this proxy will be voted by those named here in accordance with their best judgment. The undersigned knows of no other business to be presented at the meeting.

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Middlefield Banc Corp., before execution of this proxy, of the Notice of Annual Meeting,

Please mark, sign, date, and return this promptly using the postage paid, self addressed envelope provided.

Change the address on your account, please check the box at right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on your account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Shareholder

Date:

Signature of Shareholder

Date:

⌘ **Note:** Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person. ⌘

.. ¢

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

PROXY SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The undersigned shareholder of Middlefield Banc Corp. hereby constitutes and appoints George F. Hasman and Donald D. Hunter, and each of them, with full power of substitution, as proxies to represent the undersigned at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Middlefield Banc Corp. to be held on May 15, 2013, and any adjournments and postponements thereof, and to vote the shares of common stock the undersigned would be entitled to vote upon all matters referred to herein and in their discretion upon any other matters that properly come before the Annual Meeting:

(Continued and to be signed on the reverse side.)

¢

14475 ¢

**ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF
MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.**

May 15, 2013

**PROXY VOTING
INSTRUCTIONS**

INTERNET - Access **www.voteproxy.com** and follow the on-screen instructions. Have your proxy card available when you access the web page.

Vote online until 11:59 PM EST the day before the meeting.

MAIL - Sign, date and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided as soon as possible.

COMPANY NUMBER

IN PERSON - You may vote your shares in person by attending the Annual Meeting.

ACCOUNT NUMBER

GO GREEN - e-Consent makes it easy to go paperless. With e-Consent, you can quickly access your proxy material, statements and other eligible documents online, while reducing costs, clutter and paper waste. Enroll today via www.amstock.com to enjoy online access.



NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIAL: The Notice of Meeting, proxy statement and proxy

card are available at www.middlefieldbank.com and www.emeraldbank.com

i Please detach along perforated line and mail in the envelope provided IF you are not voting via the Internet. i

¢ 20530403000000000000 3

051513

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE x

2. To elect the five nominees identified below as directors for the term specified until their successors are elected and qualified

FOR AGAINST ABST

3. To approve the compensation of named executive officers, as disclosed in the proxy statement

every every

NOMINEES:

every two three

FOR ALL NOMINEES

Thomas G. Caldwell
for a term of three years

year years years ABST

WITHHOLD AUTHORITY

Darryl E. Mast for a term of three years

4. Advisory vote on the frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation

FOR AGAINST ABST

FOR ALL NOMINEES

William J. Skidmore
for a term of three years

FOR ALL EXCEPT

Carolyn J. Turk
for a term of three years

5. To ratify the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as independent auditor for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013

FOR AGAINST ABST

(see instructions below)

Joseph Janney Thomas for a term of two years

INSTRUCTIONS: To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **FOR ALL EXCEPT** and fill in the circle next to each nominee you wish to withhold, as shown here: 1

The Board recommends a vote FOR election of the identified nominees in Proposal One, FOR election of the identified nominee in Proposal Two, FOR approval of Proposal Three, FOR the advisory vote on executive compensation every third year, and FOR Proposal Five, ratifying the appointment of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. as independent auditor.

The shares represented by this proxy will be as specified. Unless specified to the contrary, shares of the undersigned will be voted **FOR** election of the nominees identified in Proposal One, election of the nominee identified in Proposal **FOR** approval of Proposal Three, **FOR** an advisory vote on executive compensation every third year, **FOR** ratification of the independent auditor. If other business is properly presented at the meeting, this proxy will be voted by those named here in accordance with their best judgment. The undersigned knows of no other business to be presented at the meeting.

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of the proxy from Middlefield Banc Corp., before execution of this proxy, of the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, and 2012 Annual Report

Please mark, sign, date, and return this proxy promptly using the postage paid, self addressed envelope provided.

If you change the address on your account, please check the box at right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered address (s) on the account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Shareholder

Date:

Signature of Shareholder

Date:

⌘ **Note:** Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person. ⌘