

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP
Form 10-Q
May 04, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-33225

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	20-5336063 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2122 York Road, Oak Brook, IL (Address of principal executive offices)	60523 (Zip Code)
(630) 574-3000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of May 1, 2012, 59,110,847 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$.0001 per share, were outstanding.

Table of Contents

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period ended March 31, 2012

INDEX

	Page
<u>Part I Financial Information (Unaudited)</u>	3
Item 1 <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Three Months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
Item 2 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	22
Item 3 <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	29
Item 4 <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	30
<u>Part II Other Information</u>	31
Item 1 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	31
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	31
Item 2 <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	31
Item 3 <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	31
Item 4 <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	31
Item 5 <u>Other Information</u>	31
Item 6 <u>Exhibits</u>	32
<u>Signature</u>	33
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	34

Table of Contents**PART I Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements.****GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets****(Unaudited)****(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 85,618	\$ 113,288
Accounts receivable net	119,860	120,268
Contract revenues in excess of billings	34,420	26,412
Inventories	33,595	33,426
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	41,553	32,384
Total current assets	315,046	325,778
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Net	310,810	310,520
GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS Net	98,793	98,863
INVENTORIES Noncurrent	31,803	30,103
INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES	6,908	6,923
OTHER	16,241	16,273
TOTAL	\$ 779,601	\$ 788,460
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 73,382	\$ 82,745
Accrued expenses	20,932	31,121
Billings in excess of contract revenues	23,753	13,627
Current portion of long term debt	2,813	3,033
Total current liabilities	120,880	130,526
LONG TERM NOTE PAYABLE	2,500	2,500
7 ³ / ₈ % SENIOR NOTES	250,000	250,000
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	103,942	104,352
OTHER	8,483	8,545
Total liabilities	485,805	495,923
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 7)		
EQUITY:		
Common stock \$.0001 par value; 90,000,000 authorized, 59,110,847 and 58,999,404 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.	6	6
Additional paid-in capital	268,998	267,918
Retained earnings	23,858	24,042

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	481	3
Total Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation Equity	293,343	291,969
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	453	568
Total equity	293,796	292,537
TOTAL	\$ 779,601	\$ 788,460

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Unaudited)****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Contract revenues	\$ 154,907	\$ 155,338
Costs of contract revenues	134,885	127,896
Gross profit	20,022	27,442
General and administrative expenses	13,267	12,089
Gain on sale of assets net	(31)	(258)
Operating income	6,786	15,611
Interest expense net	(5,259)	(5,950)
Equity in loss of joint ventures	(16)	(591)
Gain on foreign currency transactions net	6	
Loss on extinguishment of debt		(5,145)
Income before income taxes	1,517	3,925
Income tax provision	(564)	(1,527)
Net income	953	2,398
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	115	(6)
Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 1,068	\$ 2,392
Basic earnings per share attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04
Basic weighted average shares	59,038	58,785
Diluted earnings per share attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04
Diluted weighted average shares	59,434	59,237
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 953	\$ 2,398
Currency translation adjustment net of tax of \$3, and \$0, respectively	4	
Reclassification of derivative gains to earnings net of tax of (\$269) and (\$414), respectively	(406)	(623)
Change in fair value of derivatives net of tax of \$584 and \$790, respectively	880	1,189
Other comprehensive income net of tax	478	566
Comprehensive income	1,431	2,964
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	115	(6)
Comprehensive income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 1,546	\$ 2,958

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity****(Unaudited)****(in thousands, except share amounts)****Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation shareholders**

	Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
BALANCE January 1, 2012	58,999,404	\$ 6	\$ 267,918	\$ 24,042	\$ 3	\$ 568	\$ 292,537
Share-based compensation	93,876		1,015				1,015
Vesting of restricted stock units, including impact of shares withheld for taxes	9,449		(2)				(2)
Exercise of stock options	8,118		40				40
Excess income tax benefit from share based compensation			27				27
Dividends declared and paid				(1,240)			(1,240)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units				(12)			(12)
Net income				1,068		(115)	953
Other comprehensive income net of tax					478		478
BALANCE March 31, 2012	59,110,847	\$ 6	\$ 268,998	\$ 23,858	\$ 481	\$ 453	\$ 293,796

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation shareholders

	Shares of Common Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
BALANCE January 1, 2011	58,770,369	\$ 6	\$ 266,329	\$ 12,261	\$ 357	\$ (2,128)	\$ 276,825
Share-based compensation	43,215		520				520
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest in NASDI, LLC			(40)			1,973	1,933
Dividends declared and paid				(999)			(999)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units				(6)			(6)
Net income				2,392		6	2,398
Other comprehensive income net of tax					566		566
BALANCE March 31, 2011	58,813,584	\$ 6	\$ 266,809	\$ 13,648	\$ 923	\$ (149)	\$ 281,237

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)****(in thousands)**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 953	\$ 2,398
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	7,764	9,566
Equity in loss of joint ventures	16	591
Loss on extinguishment of 7 ³ / ₄ % senior subordinated notes		5,145
Deferred income taxes	107	21
Gain on dispositions of property and equipment	(31)	(267)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	334	389
Unrealized foreign currency gain	(133)	
Share-based compensation expense	1,015	520
Excess income tax benefit from share based compensation	(27)	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(1,842)	(18,365)
Contract revenues in excess of billings	(5,819)	3,945
Inventories	(1,869)	4
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(7,399)	(4,535)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(21,263)	(4,160)
Billings in excess of contract revenues	10,126	1,587
Other noncurrent assets and liabilities	(176)	(2,390)
Net cash flows used in operating activities	(18,244)	(5,551)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(8,101)	(4,420)
Proceeds from dispositions of property and equipment	68	258
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(8,033)	(4,162)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from issuance of 7 ³ / ₈ % senior notes		250,000
Redemption of 7 ³ / ₄ % senior subordinated notes		(175,000)
Senior subordinated notes redemption premium		(2,264)
Deferred financing fees		(5,829)
Dividends paid	(1,240)	(999)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units	(12)	(6)
Taxes paid on settlement of vested share awards	(2)	
Repayments of equipment debt	(238)	(138)
Exercise of stock options	40	
Excess income tax benefit from share-based compensation	27	
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,425)	65,764
Effect of foreign currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	32	

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(27,670)	56,051
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	113,288	48,478
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 85,618	\$ 104,529
Supplemental Cash Flow Information		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 9,582	\$ 2,972
Cash paid (refunded) for income taxes	\$ (2,926)	\$ 1,084
Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities		
Property and equipment purchased but not yet paid	\$ 4,957	\$ 6,766
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest in NASDI, LLC	\$	\$ 40

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts or as otherwise noted)

1. Basis of presentation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes herein should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Subsidiaries (the Company or Great Lakes) and the notes thereto, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by the Company without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the SEC's rules and regulations, although management believes that the disclosures are adequate and make the information presented not misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature (except as otherwise noted), that are necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2012, and its results of operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 have been included.

The components of costs of contract revenues include labor, equipment (including depreciation, maintenance, insurance and long-term rentals), subcontracts, fuel and project overhead. Hourly labor is generally hired on a project-by-project basis. Costs of contract revenues vary significantly depending on the type and location of work performed and assets utilized. Generally, capital projects have the highest margins due to the complexity of the projects, while beach nourishment projects have the most volatile margins because they are most often exposed to variability in weather conditions.

The Company's cost structure includes significant annual equipment-related costs, including depreciation, maintenance, insurance and long-term rentals. These costs have averaged approximately 21% to 25% of total costs of contract revenues over the prior three years. During the year, both equipment utilization and the timing of fixed cost expenditures fluctuate significantly. Accordingly, the Company allocates these fixed equipment costs to interim periods in proportion to revenues recognized over the year, to better match revenues and expenses. Specifically, at each interim reporting date the Company compares actual revenues earned to date on its dredging contracts to expected annual revenues and recognizes equipment costs on the same proportionate basis. In the fourth quarter, any over or under allocated equipment costs are recognized such that the expense for the year equals actual equipment costs incurred during the year.

The Company operates in two reportable segments: dredging and demolition. These reportable segments are the Company's operating segments and the reporting units at which the Company tests goodwill for impairment. The Company performed its most recent annual test of impairment as of July 1, 2011 for the goodwill in both the dredging and demolition segments with no indication of goodwill impairment as of the test date. As of the test date, the fair value of both the dredging segment and the demolition segment were in excess of their carrying values by approximately 35% and 8%, respectively. Given the small margin with which the demolition segment's fair value is in excess of its carrying value, a more than insignificant decline in the demolition segment's future operating results or cash flow forecasts versus the segment's current forecasts could potentially cause a goodwill impairment charge to be recognized in a future period. The Company will perform its next scheduled annual test of goodwill in the third quarter of 2012 should no triggering events occur which would require a test prior to the next annual test.

The condensed consolidated results of operations and comprehensive income for the interim periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Table of Contents**2. Earnings per share**

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is computed similar to basic earnings per share except that it reflects the potential dilution that could occur if dilutive securities or other obligations to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, zero options to purchase shares of common stock, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share based on the application of the treasury stock method. The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Net income attributable to common shareholders of Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 1,068	\$ 2,392
Weighted-average common shares outstanding basic	59,038	58,785
Effect of stock options and restricted stock units	396	452
Weighted-average common shares outstanding diluted	59,434	59,237
Earnings per share basic	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04
Earnings per share diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04

3. Accounts receivable and contracts in progress

Accounts receivable at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Completed contracts	\$ 37,907	\$ 38,317
Contracts in progress	72,441	69,469
Retainage	20,078	20,692
	130,426	128,478
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,839)	(1,839)
Total accounts receivable	\$ 128,587	\$ 126,639
Current portion of accounts receivable net	\$ 119,860	\$ 120,268
Long-term accounts receivable and retainage	8,727	6,371
Total accounts receivable	\$ 128,587	\$ 126,639

Table of Contents

The components of contracts in progress at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Costs and earnings in excess of billings:		
Costs and earnings for contracts in progress	\$ 223,829	\$ 173,187
Amounts billed	(191,222)	(152,045)
Costs and earnings in excess of billings for contracts in progress	32,607	21,142
Costs and earnings in excess of billings for completed contracts	1,813	7,459
Total contract revenues in excess of billings	\$ 34,420	\$ 28,601
Current portion of contract revenues in excess of billings	\$ 34,420	\$ 26,412
Portion included in other noncurrent assets		2,189
Total contract revenues in excess of billings	\$ 34,420	\$ 28,601
Billings in excess of costs and earnings:		
Amounts billed	\$ (449,287)	\$ (427,797)
Costs and earnings for contracts in progress	425,534	414,170
Total billings in excess of contract revenues	\$ (23,753)	\$ (13,627)

4. Fair value measurements

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. A fair value hierarchy has been established by GAAP that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The accounting guidance describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The Company utilizes the market approach to measure fair value for its financial assets and liabilities. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company held certain derivative contracts that it uses to manage foreign currency risk, commodity price risk and interest rate risk. The Company does not hold or issue derivatives for speculative or trading purposes. The fair values of these financial instruments are summarized as follows:

Description	At March 31, 2012	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

		(Level 1)		
Fuel hedge contracts	\$ 1,237	\$	\$ 1,237	\$
Interest rate swap contracts	838		838	
Foreign exchange contracts	159		159	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 2,234	\$	\$ 2,234	\$

Table of Contents

Description	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using			
	At December 31, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Fuel hedge contracts	\$ 449	\$	\$ 449	\$
Interest rate swap contracts	755		755	
Foreign exchange contracts	155		155	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 1,359	\$	\$ 1,359	\$

Interest rate swap contracts

In May 2009, the Company entered into two interest rate swap arrangements, which are effective through December 15, 2012, to swap a notional amount of \$50 million from a fixed rate of 7.75% to a floating LIBOR-based rate in order to manage the interest rate paid with respect to the Company's 7.75% senior subordinated notes. Although the senior subordinated notes were redeemed in January 2011, the swaps remain in place. The swaps are not accounted for as a hedge; therefore, the changes in fair value are recorded as adjustments to interest expense in each reporting period.

The Company previously verified the fair value of the interest rate swap contracts using a quantitative model that contained both observable and unobservable inputs. The unobservable inputs related primarily to the implied LIBOR forward rate and the long-term nature of the contracts. As of December 31, 2011, the unobservable inputs began to be corroborated by observable market data and accordingly the Company transferred the swaps into Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The change in Level 3 interest rate swap contracts during the comparable quarter of the prior year was as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) 2011
Interest rate swap contracts	
Balance at January 1,	\$ 1,264
Total unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings	35
Balance at March 31,	\$ 1,299

Foreign exchange contracts

The Company has exposure to foreign currencies that fluctuate in relation to the U.S. dollar. The Company periodically enters into foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge this risk. At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had one outstanding contract related to the Brazilian Real. This foreign exchange contract is not accounted for as a hedge.

Fuel hedge contracts

The Company is exposed to certain market risks, primarily commodity price risk as it relates to the diesel fuel purchase requirements, which occur in the normal course of business. The Company enters into heating oil commodity swap contracts to hedge the risk that fluctuations in diesel fuel prices will have an adverse impact on cash flows associated with its domestic dredging contracts. The Company's goal is to hedge approximately 80% of the fuel requirements for work in backlog.

As of March 31, 2012, the Company was party to various swap arrangements to hedge the price of a portion of its diesel fuel purchase requirements for work in its backlog to be performed through February 2013. As of March 31, 2012, there were 7.2 million gallons remaining on these contracts which represent approximately 68% of the Company's forecasted fuel purchases through February 2013. Under these swap

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

agreements, the Company will pay fixed prices ranging from \$2.65 to \$3.29 per gallon.

At each balance sheet date, unrealized gains and losses on fuel hedge contracts are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Gains and losses realized upon settlement of fuel hedge contracts are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as the fuel is utilized, as a reduction of fuel expense, which is a component of costs of contract revenues in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value asset of the fuel hedge contracts was estimated to be \$1,237 and \$449, respectively, and is recorded in other current assets. The gain reclassified to earnings from changes in fair value of derivatives, net of cash settlements and taxes, for the period ended March 31, 2012 was \$406. The remaining gains included in accumulated other

Table of Contents

comprehensive income at March 31, 2012 will be reclassified into earnings over the next eleven months, corresponding to the period during which the hedged fuel is expected to be utilized. The fair values of fuel hedges are corroborated using inputs that are readily observable in public markets; therefore, the Company determines fair value of these fuel hedges using Level 2 inputs.

The fair value of the foreign exchange contracts, interest rate and fuel hedge contracts outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at	
		March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Asset derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedges			
Fuel hedge contracts	Other current assets	\$ 1,237	\$ 449
Derivatives not designated as hedges			
Interest rate swaps	Other current assets	838	755
Foreign exchange contracts	Other current assets	159	155
Total asset derivatives		\$ 2,234	\$ 1,359

Other financial instruments

The carrying value of financial instruments included in current assets and current liabilities approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. In January 2011, the Company issued \$250,000 of 7.375% senior notes due February 1, 2019, which were outstanding at March 31, 2012. The senior notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company and its subsidiaries that guarantee the senior notes. The fair value of the senior notes was \$255,625 at March 31, 2012, which is a Level 1 fair value measurement as the senior notes value was obtained using quoted prices in active markets.

5. Accrued expenses

Accrued expenses at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2011
Insurance	\$ 6,571	\$ 8,285
Payroll and employee benefits	6,534	10,763
Interest	3,186	7,759
Income and other taxes	2,109	1,261
Percentage of completion adjustment	1,294	1,855
Other	1,238	1,198
Total accrued expenses	\$ 20,932	\$ 31,121

Table of Contents**6. Segment information**

The Company and its subsidiaries currently operate in two reportable segments: dredging and demolition. The Company's financial reporting systems present various data for management to run the business, including profit and loss statements prepared according to the segments presented. Management uses operating income to evaluate performance between the two segments. Segment information for the periods presented is provided as follows:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2012	2011
Dredging		
Contract revenues	\$ 122,361	\$ 136,597
Operating income	4,899	17,821
Demolition		
Contract revenues	\$ 32,546	\$ 18,741
Operating income	1,887	(2,210)
Total		
Contract revenues	\$ 154,907	\$ 155,338
Operating income	6,786	15,611

Dredging contract revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012 are net of \$1,312 in intersegment revenues. In addition, foreign dredging revenue of \$18,909 and \$21,871 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, was primarily attributable to work done in the Middle East.

The majority of the Company's long-lived assets are marine vessels and related equipment. At any point in time, the Company may employ certain assets outside of the U.S., as needed, to perform work on the Company's foreign projects.

7. Commitments and contingencies**Commercial commitments**

In June 2007, the Company entered into a credit agreement (as amended, the Credit Agreement) with Bank of America N.A. (successor by merger to LaSalle Bank National Association) as administrative agent and issuing lender, various other financial institutions as lenders and certain subsidiaries of the Company as loan parties. The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility of up to \$145,000 in borrowings and includes sublimits for the issuance of letters of credit and swingline loans. The revolving credit facility matures on June 12, 2012. The revolving credit facility bears interest at rates selected at the option of Great Lakes, currently equal to either LIBOR plus an applicable margin or the Base Rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus an applicable margin. The applicable margins for LIBOR loans and Base Rate loans, as well as any non-use fee, are subject to adjustment based upon the Company's ratio of Total Funded Debt to Adjusted Consolidated EBITDA (each as defined in the Credit Agreement). The obligations of Great Lakes under the Credit Agreement are unconditionally guaranteed by its direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries as well as various liens on certain operating equipment, intercompany receivables and trade receivables. As of March 31, 2012, the Company had no borrowings and \$23,600 of letters of credit outstanding, resulting in \$121,400 of availability under the Credit Agreement. At March 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with its various covenants under its Credit Agreement.

Performance and bid bonds are customarily required for dredging and marine construction projects, as well as some demolition projects. In September 2011, the Company entered into a new bonding agreement with Zurich American Insurance Company (Zurich) under which the Company can obtain performance, bid and payment bonds. The new bonding agreement contains no restrictive covenants and lesser collateral requirements than the previous bonding agreement. The Company has used Zurich for all bonding requirements beginning in September 2011. The existing bonding agreement with Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America (Travelers) will remain in place until outstanding bonds expire as the projects underlying the bonds issued thereunder are completed. Pursuant to the existing bonding agreement, Travelers has been granted a security interest in a substantial portion of the Company's operating equipment with a net book value of \$62,682 at December 31, 2011.

The Travelers bonding agreement contains provisions requiring the Company to maintain certain financial ratios and restricting the Company's ability to pay dividends, incur indebtedness, create liens and take certain other actions. At March 31, 2012, the Company was in compliance with its various covenants under the bonding agreement with Travelers. Bid bonds are generally obtained for a percentage of bid value and amounts

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

outstanding typically range from \$1,000 to \$10,000. At March 31, 2012, the Company had outstanding performance bonds valued at approximately \$372,343; however, the revenue value remaining in backlog related to these projects totaled approximately \$247,689.

The Company has a \$24,000 international letter of credit facility that it uses for the performance and advance payment guarantees on the Company's foreign contracts. As of March 31, 2012, Great Lakes had \$11,725 of letters of credit outstanding under this facility.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2012, the Company also had \$250,000 of 7.375% senior notes outstanding, which mature in February 2019. Certain foreign projects performed by the Company have warranty periods, typically spanning no more than one to three years beyond project completion, whereby the Company retains responsibility to maintain the project site to certain specifications during the warranty period. Generally, any potential liability of the Company is mitigated by insurance, shared responsibilities with consortium partners, and/or recourse to owner-provided specifications.

Legal proceedings and other contingencies

As is customary with negotiated contracts and modifications or claims to competitively bid contracts with the federal government, the government has the right to audit the books and records of the Company to ensure compliance with such contracts, modifications, or claims, and the applicable federal laws. The government has the ability to seek a price adjustment based on the results of such audit. Any such audits have not had, and are not expected to have, a material impact on the financial position, operations, or cash flows of the Company.

Various legal actions, claims, assessments and other contingencies arising in the ordinary course of business are pending against the Company and certain of its subsidiaries. These matters are subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that some of these matters could ultimately be decided, resolved, or settled adversely to the Company. Although the Company is subject to various claims and legal actions that arise in the ordinary course of business, except as described below, the Company is not currently a party to any material legal proceedings or environmental claims. The Company accrues reserves when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not believe any of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would be expected to have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

The Company or its former subsidiary, NATCO Limited Partnership, was named as a defendant in approximately 251 asbestos-related personal injury lawsuits, the majority of which were filed between 1989 and 2000. The claims were filed on behalf of seamen or their personal representatives alleging injury or illness from exposure to asbestos while employed as seamen on Company-owned vessels. In these cases, the Company is typically one of many defendants, including manufacturers and suppliers of products containing asbestos, as well as other vessel owners. Following certain administrative proceedings, counsel for plaintiffs agreed to name a group of cases that they intended to pursue and to dismiss the remaining cases without prejudice. Plaintiffs previously named 40 cases against the Company that they intended to pursue, each of which involves one plaintiff. The remaining cases against the Company were dismissed without prejudice. Plaintiffs in the dismissed cases could file a new lawsuit if they develop a new disease allegedly caused by exposure to asbestos on board our vessels. Of the 40 named cases, three were subsequently dismissed, leaving 37 cases remaining. The Company is presently unable to quantify the amounts of damages being sought in the remaining lawsuits because none of the complaints specify a damage amount. Based on preliminary discovery and settlement demands received to date, the Company does not believe that it is probable that losses from these claims could be material, and an estimate of a range of losses relating to these claims cannot reasonably be made. Based on the foregoing, management does not believe that any of the remaining 37 lawsuits, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material impact on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On August 26, 2009, the Company's subsidiary, NASDI, LLC (NASDI), received a letter stating that the Attorney General for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts is investigating alleged violations of the Massachusetts Solid Waste Act. The Company believes that the Massachusetts Attorney General is investigating illegal dumping activities at a dump site NASDI contracted with to have waste materials disposed of between September 2007 and July 2008. Per the Massachusetts Attorney General's request, NASDI executed a tolling agreement regarding the matter in 2009 and engaged in further discussions with the Massachusetts Attorney General's office in the second quarter of 2011 but has had no further contact with the Massachusetts Attorney General's office since then. The matter remains open, and, to the Company's knowledge, no proceedings have currently been initiated against NASDI. Should a claim be brought, NASDI intends to defend itself vigorously. Based on consideration of all of the facts and circumstances now known, the Company does not believe this claim will have a material impact on its business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On March 27, 2011, NASDI received a subpoena from a federal grand jury in the District of Massachusetts directing NASDI to furnish certain documents relating to certain projects performed by NASDI since January 2005. The Company conducted an internal investigation into this matter and continues to fully cooperate with the federal grand jury subpoena. Based on the early stage of the U.S. Department of Justice's investigation and the limited information known to the Company, the Company cannot predict the outcome of the investigation, the U.S. Attorney's views of the issues being investigated, any action the U.S. Attorney may take, or the impact, if any, that this matter may have on the Company's business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company has not accrued any amounts with respect to these two NASDI matters as the Company does not believe, based on information currently known to it, that a loss relating to these matters is probable, and an estimate of a range of potential losses relating to these matters cannot reasonably be made.

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, a favorable judgment was rendered in the Company's loss of use claim related to the dredge *New York* allision in the approach channel to Port Newark, New Jersey. In January 2008, the Company filed suit against the M/V *Orange Sun* and her owners for damages incurred by the Company in connection with the allision. Following a bench trial in the

Table of Contents

United States District Court in the Southern District of New York, the Court issued an opinion and order in the Company's favor, entitling Great Lakes to \$11,736 in damages plus pre-judgment interest. Judgment was rendered in the aggregate amount of \$13,272. Defendants timely appealed the judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and briefing on the appeal will commence this summer. The Company cannot be assured when the appeal will be heard or predict the outcome of the appellate process.

8. Acquisition of noncontrolling interest

The Company previously owned 65% of the profits interests of NASDI. Effective January 1, 2011 the Company reacquired Mr. Christopher Berardi's 35% membership interest in NASDI for no cost per the terms of NASDI's limited liability company agreement. This resulted in the elimination of noncontrolling interest of \$1,973 during the first quarter ended March 31, 2011. The Company now owns 100% of NASDI.

In March 2011, Mr. Berardi resigned his employment with the Company's demolition segment effective April 29, 2011. Mr. Berardi's resignation and the repurchase of his NASDI membership interest also resulted in the reversal of a \$1,933 accrual established in conjunction with a prior restructuring of ownership interest in NASDI. This reversal was recorded directly to equity as part of the reacquisition of the noncontrolling interest.

9. Subsequent events

On April 3, 2012, the Company purchased a parcel of real estate in Norfolk, Virginia. The proceeds held in escrow from the sale of real estate in Texas during 2011 were used to fund the purchase of the acquired real estate.

10. Subsidiary guarantors

The Company's long-term debt at March 31, 2012 includes \$250,000 of 7.375% senior notes due February 1, 2019. The Company's obligations under these senior unsecured notes are guaranteed by the Company's wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries. Such guarantees are full, unconditional and joint and several.

The following supplemental financial information sets forth for the Company's subsidiary guarantors (on a combined basis), the Company's non-guarantor subsidiaries (on a combined basis) and Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation, exclusive of its subsidiaries (GLDD Corporation):

- (i) balance sheets as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011;
- (ii) statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011; and
- (iii) statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET**

AS OF MARCH 31, 2012

(In thousands)

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
ASSETS					
CURRENT ASSETS:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 85,425	\$ 193	\$	\$	\$ 85,618
Accounts receivable net	119,573	287			119,860
Receivables from affiliates	48,632	7,411	6,619	(62,662)	
Contract revenues in excess of billings	34,324	144		(48)	34,420
Inventories	33,595				33,595
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	29,227	134	12,192		41,553
Total current assets	350,776	8,169	18,811	(62,710)	315,046
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Net	310,755	55			310,810
GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS Net	98,422	371			98,793
INVENTORIES Noncurrent	31,803				31,803
INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES	6,908				6,908
INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES	2,623		634,420	(637,043)	
OTHER	11,019	3	5,214	5	16,241
TOTAL	\$ 812,306	\$ 8,598	\$ 658,445	\$ (699,748)	\$ 779,601
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
CURRENT LIABILITIES:					
Accounts payable	\$ 72,887	\$ 495	\$	\$	73,382
Payables to affiliates	52,271	3,333	8,368	(63,972)	
Accrued expenses	16,789	691	3,452		20,932
Billings in excess of contract revenues	23,783	83		(113)	23,753
Current portion of long term debt	2,813				2,813
Total current liabilities	168,543	4,602	11,820	(64,085)	120,880
LONG TERM NOTE PAYABLE	2,500				2,500
7 ³ / ₈ % SENIOR NOTES			250,000		250,000
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	497		103,440	5	103,942
OTHER	7,719		764		8,483
Total liabilities	179,259	4,602	366,024	(64,080)	485,805
Total Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation Equity	633,047	3,996	293,343	(637,043)	293,343
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS			453		453
TOTAL EQUITY	633,047	3,996	293,796	(637,043)	293,796
TOTAL	\$ 812,306	\$ 8,598	\$ 659,820	\$ (701,123)	\$ 779,601

Table of Contents**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET****AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
ASSETS					
CURRENT ASSETS:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 108,985	\$ 4,303	\$	\$	\$ 113,288
Accounts receivable net	118,530	1,738			120,268
Receivables from affiliates	79,683	7,729	49,724	(137,136)	
Contract revenues in excess of billings	26,323	153		(64)	26,412
Inventories	33,426				33,426
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	15,929	125	16,330		32,384
Total current assets	382,876	14,048	66,054	(137,200)	325,778
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Net	310,459	61			310,520
GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS Net	98,474	389			98,863
INVENTORIES Noncurrent	30,103				30,103
INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES	6,923				6,923
INVESTMENTS IN SUBSIDIARIES	4,385		627,754	(632,139)	
OTHER	10,729	3	5,547	(6)	16,273
TOTAL	\$ 843,949	\$ 14,501	\$ 699,355	\$ (769,345)	\$ 788,460
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
CURRENT LIABILITIES:					
Accounts payable	\$ 81,971	\$ 774	\$	\$	\$ 82,745
Payables to affiliates	85,865	7,234	44,053	(137,152)	
Accrued expenses	22,445	629	8,047		31,121
Billings in excess of contract revenues	13,607	68		(48)	13,627
Current portion of long term debt	3,033				3,033
Total current liabilities	206,921	8,705	52,100	(137,200)	130,526
LONG TERM NOTE PAYABLE	2,500				2,500
7 ³ / ₄ % SENIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES			250,000		250,000
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	399		103,959	(6)	104,352
OTHER	7,786		759		8,545
Total liabilities	217,606	8,705	406,818	(137,206)	495,923
Total Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation Equity	626,343	5,796	291,969	(632,139)	291,969
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS			568		568
TOTAL EQUITY	626,343	5,796	292,537	(632,139)	292,537
TOTAL	\$ 843,949	\$ 14,501	\$ 699,355	\$ (769,345)	\$ 788,460

Table of Contents**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
Contract revenues	\$ 155,444	\$ 1,799	\$	\$ (2,336)	\$ 154,907
Costs of contract revenues	(135,167)	(2,054)		2,336	(134,885)
Gross profit	20,277	(255)			20,022
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
General and administrative expenses	12,569	186	512		13,267
Gain on sale of assets net	(42)		11		(31)
Operating income (loss)	7,750	(441)	(523)		6,786
Interest expense net	(281)	(28)	(4,950)		(5,259)
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	(379)		6,347	(5,968)	
Equity in loss of joint ventures	(16)				(16)
Gain on foreign currency transactions net	6				6
Income (loss) before income taxes	7,080	(469)	874	(5,968)	1,517
Income tax (provision) benefit	(643)		79		(564)
Net income (loss)	6,437	(469)	953	(5,968)	953
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests			115		115
Net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 6,437	\$ (469)	\$ 1,068	\$ (5,968)	\$ 1,068
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 6,911	\$ (465)	\$ 1,546	\$ (6,446)	\$ 1,546

Table of Contents**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
Contract revenues	\$ 154,039	\$ 3,317	\$	\$ (2,018)	\$ 155,338
Costs of contract revenues	(126,909)	(3,005)		2,018	(127,896)
Gross profit	27,130	312			27,442
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
General and administrative expenses	11,204	213	672		12,089
Gain on sale of assets net	(258)				(258)
Operating income (loss)	16,184	99	(672)		15,611
Interest expense net	(80)	(45)	(5,825)		(5,950)
Equity in earnings (loss) of subsidiaries	54		16,551	(16,605)	
Equity in loss of joint ventures	(591)				(591)
Loss on extinguishment of debt			(5,145)		(5,145)
Income (loss) before income taxes	15,567	54	4,909	(16,605)	3,925
Income tax benefit (provision)	984		(2,511)		(1,527)
Net income (loss)	16,551	54	2,398	(16,605)	2,398
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			(6)		(6)
Net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 16,551	\$ 54	\$ 2,392	\$ (16,605)	\$ 2,392
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 17,117	\$ 54	\$ 2,958	\$ (17,171)	\$ 2,958

Table of Contents**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:					
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (5,200)	\$ 801	\$ (13,845)	\$	\$ (18,244)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchases of property and equipment	(8,101)				(8,101)
Proceeds from dispositions of property and equipment	68				68
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(8,033)				(8,033)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Dividends paid			(1,240)		(1,240)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units			(12)		(12)
Taxes paid on settlement of vested share awards			(2)		(2)
Net change in accounts with affiliates	(10,089)	(4,978)	15,067		
Capital contributions		35	(35)		
Repayments of equipment debt	(238)				(238)
Exercise of stock options			40		40
Excess income tax benefit from share-based compensation			27		27
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(10,327)	(4,943)	13,845		(1,425)
Effect of foreign currency exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents		32			32
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(23,560)	(4,110)			(27,670)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	108,985	4,303			113,288
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 85,425	\$ 193	\$	\$	\$ 85,618

Table of Contents**GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011****(In thousands)**

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:					
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 942	\$ (992)	\$ (5,501)	\$	\$ (5,551)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchases of property and equipment	(4,420)				(4,420)
Proceeds from dispositions of property and equipment	258				258
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(4,162)				(4,162)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Proceeds from issuance of 7 ³ / ₈ % senior notes			250,000		250,000
Redemption of 7 ³ / ₄ % senior subordinated notes			(175,000)		(175,000)
Senior subordinated notes redemption premium			(2,264)		(2,264)
Deferred financing fees			(5,829)		(5,829)
Dividends paid			(999)		(999)
Dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock units			(6)		(6)
Net change in accounts with affiliates	59,097	1,304	(60,401)		
Repayments of equipment debt	(138)				(138)
Net cash flows provided by financing activities	58,959	1,304	5,501		65,764
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	55,739	312			56,051
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	48,416	62			48,478
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 104,155	\$ 374	\$	\$	\$ 104,529

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*****Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements***

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may constitute forward-looking statements as defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act), Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the PSLRA) or in releases made by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), all as may be amended from time to time. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and its subsidiaries (Great Lakes or the Company), or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by, among other things, the use of forward-looking language, such as the words plan, believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, project, may, would, should, seeks, or scheduled to, or other similar words, or the negative of these terms or other variations of these terms or comparable language, or by discussion of strategy or intentions. These cautionary statements are being made pursuant to the Securities Act, the Exchange Act and the PSLRA with the intention of obtaining the benefits of the safe harbor provisions of such laws. Great Lakes cautions investors that any forward-looking statements made by Great Lakes are not guarantees or indicative of future performance. Important assumptions and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those forward-looking statements with respect to Great Lakes, include, but are not limited to, risks associated with Great Lakes' leverage, fixed price contracts, dependence on government contracts and funding, bonding requirement and obligations, international operations, backlog, uncertainty related to pending litigation, government regulation, restrictive debt covenants and fluctuations in quarterly operations, and those factors, risks and uncertainties that are described in Item 1A Risk Factors of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and in other securities filings by Great Lakes with the SEC.

Although the Company believes that its plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by such forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results could differ materially from a projection or assumption in any forward-looking statements. Great Lakes' future financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to change and inherent risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are made only as of the date hereof and Great Lakes does not have or undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, subsequent events or otherwise, unless otherwise required by law.

General

The Company is the largest provider of dredging services in the United States. In addition, the Company is the only U.S. dredging service provider with significant international operations, which represented 16% of its dredging revenues for the first three months of 2012, compared with the Company's prior three year average of 17%. The mobility of the Company's fleet enables the Company to move equipment in response to changes in demand for dredging services.

Dredging generally involves the enhancement or preservation of navigability of waterways or the protection of shorelines through the removal or replenishment of soil, sand or rock. The U.S. dredging market consists of three primary types of work: capital, beach nourishment and maintenance. The Company's bid market is defined as the aggregate dollar value of domestic projects on which the Company bid or could have bid if not for capacity constraints. The Company experienced an average combined bid market share in the U.S. of 39% over the prior three years, including 41%, 60% and 32% of the domestic capital, beach nourishment and maintenance sectors, respectively. The foregoing bid market data does not reflect rivers & lakes activities which are separately categorized. The Company's bid market share of rivers & lakes in the prior year of activity is 39%.

The Company's largest domestic dredging customer is the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (the Corps), which is responsible for federally funded projects related to navigation and flood control of U.S. waterways. In the first three months of 2012, the Company's dredging revenues earned from contracts with federal government agencies, including the Corps as well as other federal entities such as the U.S. Coast Guard and the U.S. Navy, and third parties operating under contracts with federal agencies were approximately 75% of dredging revenues, above the Company's prior three year average of 59%.

The Company's demolition subsidiaries are a major U.S. provider of commercial and industrial demolition services. Historically, the majority of the work was performed in the New England area. Through increased collaboration with Great Lakes' other lines of business, the demolition operations continue to expand into the New York area and marine demolition markets, specifically bridge demolition. In the first three months of 2012, demolition revenues accounted for 21% of total revenues, above the prior three year average of 12%. The demolition segment's principal services consist of exterior and interior demolition of commercial and industrial buildings, dismantling and disposal of aged or failing bridges, site development, salvage and recycling of related materials and removal of hazardous substances and materials. The Company's demolition operations are one of a few providers in New England with the required licenses, operating expertise, equipment fleet and access to bonding to

execute larger, complex industrial demolition projects.

Table of Contents

The Company also owns 50% of Amboy Aggregates (Amboy) and 50% of TerraSea Environmental Solutions (TerraSea) as joint ventures. Amboy's primary business is dredging sand from the entrance channel to the New York harbor in order to provide sand and aggregate for use in road and building construction and for clean land fill. Amboy also imports stone from upstate New York and Nova Scotia and distributes it throughout the New York area. TerraSea is engaged in the environmental services business through its ability to remediate contaminated soil and dredged sediment treatment. The Company operates in two reportable segments: dredging and demolition. These reportable segments are the Company's operating segments and the reporting units at which the Company tests goodwill for impairment.

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth the components of net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Adjusted EBITDA, as defined below, as a percentage of contract revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2012	2011
Contract revenues	100.0%	100.0%
Costs of contract revenues	(87.1)	(82.3)
Gross profit	12.9	17.7
General and administrative expenses	8.5	7.8
Gain on sale of assets net	0.0	(0.1)
Operating income	4.4	10.0
Interest expense net	(3.4)	(3.8)
Equity in loss of joint ventures	0.0	(0.4)
Gain on foreign currency transactions net	0.0	0.0
Loss on extinguishment of debt	0.0	(3.3)
Income before income taxes	1.0	2.5
Income tax provision	(0.4)	(1.0)
Net income	0.6	1.5
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	0.1	0.0
Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	0.7%	1.5%
Adjusted EBITDA	9.5%	15.8%

Adjusted EBITDA, as provided herein, represents net income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation, adjusted for net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization expense and debt extinguishment. Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure derived in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The Company presents Adjusted EBITDA as an additional measure by which to evaluate the Company's operating trends. The Company believes that Adjusted EBITDA is a measure frequently used to evaluate performance of companies with substantial leverage and that the Company's primary stakeholders (i.e., its stockholders, bondholders and banks) use Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate the Company's period to period performance. Additionally, management believes that Adjusted EBITDA provides a transparent measure of the Company's recurring operating performance and allows management to readily view operating trends, perform analytical comparisons and identify strategies to improve operating performance. For this reason, the Company uses a measure based upon Adjusted EBITDA to assess performance for purposes of determining compensation under the Company's incentive plan. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, amounts determined in accordance with GAAP including: (a) operating income as an indicator of operating performance; or (b) cash flows from operations as a measure of liquidity. As such, the Company's use of Adjusted EBITDA, instead of a GAAP measure, has limitations as an analytical tool, including the inability to determine profitability or liquidity due to the exclusion of interest and income tax expense and the associated significant cash requirements and the exclusion of depreciation and amortization, which represent significant and unavoidable operating costs given the level of indebtedness and capital expenditures needed to maintain the Company's business. For these reasons, the Company uses operating income to measure the Company's operating performance and uses Adjusted EBITDA only as a supplement. The following is a

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended	
	2012	March 31, 2011
Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 1,068	\$ 2,392
Adjusted for:		
Loss on extinguishment of debt		5,145
Interest expense net	5,259	5,950
Income tax expense	564	1,527
Depreciation and amortization	7,764	9,566
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 14,655	\$ 24,580

The following table sets forth, by segment and type of work, the Company's contract revenues for each of the periods indicated:

Revenues (in thousands)	Three Months Ended		
	2012	March 31, 2011	Change
Dredging:			
Capital U.S.	\$ 26,907	\$ 46,029	(41.5)%
Capital foreign	18,025	21,871	(17.6)%
Beach nourishment	31,183	17,857	74.6%
Maintenance	39,233	47,239	(16.9)%
Rivers & lakes	7,013	3,601	94.8%
Total dredging revenues	122,361	136,597	(10.4)%
Demolition	32,546	18,741	73.7%
Total revenue	\$ 154,907	\$ 155,338	(0.3)%

Total revenue for the 2012 first quarter was \$154.9 million, down \$0.4 million or less than 1% from \$155.3 million during the 2011 first quarter. Total dredging revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012 are net of \$1,312 in intersegment revenues. The increases in beach nourishment and rivers & lakes revenue were offset by a decline in domestic capital and maintenance revenue. Demolition revenue for the quarter was \$32.5 million, a 74% increase from \$18.7 million a year ago.

Capital dredging consists primarily of port expansion projects, which involve the deepening of channels to allow access by larger, deeper draft ships and the provision of land fill used to expand port facilities. In addition to port work, capital projects also include land reclamations, trench digging for pipelines, tunnels and cables, and other dredging related to the construction of breakwaters, jetties, canals and other marine structures. Domestic capital dredging revenues in the first quarter ended March 31, 2012 were primarily generated by work in the Ports of New York, as well as projects in Florida and Louisiana. Domestic capital dredging revenue decreased \$19.1 million, or 42%, in the 2012 first quarter compared to the 2011 first quarter. Capital dredging for the 2011 first quarter included remaining work on the construction of sand berms off the coast of Louisiana, which accounted for approximately \$15.7 million of the quarter's revenue, that did not reoccur in 2012.

Foreign dredging revenue decreased \$3.9 million, or 18%, for the first quarter of 2012 to \$18.0 million. Nearly 70% of first quarter 2012 foreign revenue was driven by two projects in Bahrain. Foreign revenues in the 2011 first quarter benefited from the resolution of outstanding project claims of approximately \$3.8 million.

Beach nourishment projects involve moving sand from the ocean floor to shoreline locations where erosion threatens shoreline assets. Beach nourishment revenue in the 2012 first quarter increased \$13.3 million, or 75%, from the 2011 first quarter. The significant increase in beach nourishment awards in the prior year created a larger supply of projects in backlog, of which the Company continued to convert into revenue. In the comparable quarter of 2011, several vessels that would typically be allocated to beach nourishment projects were utilized on the construction of sand berms off the coast of Louisiana. In the 2012 first quarter, the Company worked on several beach projects, including projects in Delaware, Florida, New Jersey and South Carolina and Virginia.

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

Maintenance dredging consists of the re-dredging of previously deepened waterways and harbors to remove silt, sand and other accumulated sediments. Due to natural sedimentation, most channels generally require maintenance dredging every one to three years, thus creating a recurring source of dredging work that is typically non-deferrable if optimal navigability is to be maintained. In addition, severe weather such as hurricanes, flooding and droughts can also cause the accumulation of sediments and drive the need for maintenance dredging. Maintenance revenue in the 2012 first quarter decreased by \$8.0 million, or 17%, compared to the 2011

Table of Contents

first quarter. Maintenance revenue in the first quarter of 2011 was atypically high as the Company was able to work on maintenance projects that had been delayed from 2010 in order to work on construction of sand berms off the coast of Louisiana. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company performed maintenance dredging in Baltimore Harbor as well as important shipping channels in Georgia, North Carolina and Texas.

Domestic rivers and lakes dredging and related operations typically consist of lake and river dredging, inland levee and construction dredging, environmental restoration and habitat improvement and other marine construction projects. Rivers & lakes revenue in the first quarter of 2012 was \$7.0 million, an increase of \$3.4 million or 95% compared to the first quarter of 2011. In the first quarter of 2011, rivers and lakes revenues were minimal, due to freezing conditions in the northern United States. The first quarter of 2012 was uncharacteristically warm allowing work to progress in the northern U.S. In addition, the Company performed work on a significant project in Texas which was not hindered by adverse weather conditions.

Consolidated gross profit for the 2012 first quarter decreased by 27% to \$20.0 million, from \$27.4 million in the first quarter of 2011. Gross profit margin (gross profit divided by revenue) for the 2012 first quarter decreased to 12.9% from 17.7% in the 2011 first quarter. The mix of project types in the first quarter of 2012 impacted gross profit margin as well as offshore weather conditions, primarily wind that produced rough seas, and subsequent lower dredge utilization that resulted in lower fixed cost coverage. The demolition segment continued to work on projects with increased profit margins, led by bridge demolition projects as well as improved market conditions from the economic recovery in the segment's primary market.

The Company's general and administrative expenses totaled \$13.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. General and administrative expenses totaled \$12.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase in 2012 is due largely to additional legal costs of \$0.7 million relating to the trial on the dredge *New York* allision loss of use claim and additional payroll and benefit expenses of \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This was partially offset by a decrease in amortization costs of \$0.6 million as certain intangible assets acquired in the Matteson acquisition have become fully amortized.

Operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 decreased 56.5% to \$6.8 million, respectively, compared to the same periods of 2011 as a result of the decline in gross profit.

Interest expense totaled \$5.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, a decrease from \$6.0 million from the first quarter of 2011, primarily due to the Company's issuance of \$250 million of 7.375% senior notes and the related redemption of the Company's \$175 million of 7.75% senior subordinated notes in the 2011 first quarter. Due to timing requirements, both of these note issuances were outstanding and accruing interest for approximately 30 days in the 2011 first quarter, resulting in duplicative interest expense of approximately \$1.1 million.

Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$0.6 million, compared to \$1.5 million for the same 2011 period. This decrease was mainly attributable to lower earnings generated in 2012. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was 37.2%, which is substantially consistent with the effective tax rate of 38.9% for the same period of 2011. The Company expects the tax rate for the full year to remain at 37.2%.

Net income attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation was \$1.1 million and earnings per diluted share were \$0.02 for the 2012 first quarter as compared to \$2.4 million and \$0.04 for the first quarter of 2011. The decrease is due to the lower operating income for the period, partially offset by the lower interest expense in the first quarter of 2012 as well as the \$5.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt that reduced net income in the first quarter of 2011.

Adjusted EBITDA (as defined above) was \$14.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared with \$24.6 million in the same 2011 periods, primarily for the reasons discussed above, as operating income has declined by \$8.8 million. The lower operating income included depreciation and amortization expense for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 of \$7.8 million compared to \$9.6 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2011. Depreciation expense, a component of equipment fixed cost expenditures, is allocated to interim periods in proportion to revenues recognized over the year, to better match revenues and expenses. Specifically, at each interim reporting date the Company compares actual revenues earned to date on its dredging contracts to expected annual revenues and recognizes equipment costs on the same proportionate basis.

Results by segment

Dredging

Dredging revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were \$122.4 million, compared to \$136.6 million for the same periods of 2011. Dredging revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were driven by lower revenues on domestic capital projects primarily

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

related to the construction of sand berms off the coast of Louisiana in the 2011 first quarter. This revenue did not reoccur in the current quarter and accounted for approximately \$15.7 million of the decline between first quarter 2012 and 2011. Lower revenue on continued maintenance project work and a decline in foreign capital revenue were offset with significant contributions from strong beach nourishment revenue.

Table of Contents

Gross profit margin in the dredging segment was 13.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to gross profit margin in the dredging segment of 20.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as the mix of project types impacted gross profit margin as well as offshore weather conditions, primarily wind that produced rough seas and subsequent lower dredge utilization that resulted in lower fixed cost coverage. Dredging segment operating income was \$4.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to operating income of \$17.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Demolition

Demolition revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2012 totaled \$32.5 million, compared to \$18.7 million for the same 2011 periods. The demolition segment experienced higher revenue levels in the three months ended March 31, 2012 than from the same period in the prior year. The segment had several large jobs that were included in backlog at year end 2010, that were not executed until later quarters in 2011, while a significant portion of the backlog outstanding at the end of 2011 has been executed in the three months ended March 31, 2012.

The demolition segment generated operating income of \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to operating loss of \$2.2 million for the same periods of 2011. Projects with increased profit margins, led by bridge demolition projects as well as improved market conditions from the economic recovery were contributors to the higher gross profit margin. Gross profit margin in the demolition segment was 12.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to gross profit margin of (1.8%) for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Bidding Activity and Backlog

The following table sets forth, by reporting segment and type of dredging work, the Company's backlog as of the dates indicated:

Backlog (in thousands)	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	March 31, 2011
Dredging:			
Capital - U.S.	\$ 151,479	\$ 109,897	\$ 88,404
Capital - foreign	247,257	78,379	54,871
Beach	70,767	84,607	33,008
Maintenance	22,166	31,293	32,789
Rivers & lakes	32,273	15,256	23,439
Dredging Backlog	523,942	319,432	232,511
Demolition	60,427	50,672	79,598
Total Backlog	\$ 584,369	\$ 370,104	\$ 312,109

The Company's contract backlog represents its estimate of the revenues that will be realized under the portion of the contracts remaining to be performed. For dredging contracts these estimates are based primarily upon the time and costs required to mobilize the necessary assets to and from the project site, the amount and type of material to be dredged and the expected production capabilities of the equipment performing the work. For demolition contracts, these estimates are based on the time and remaining costs required to complete the project, relative to total estimated project costs and project revenues agreed to with the customer. However, these estimates are necessarily subject to variances based upon actual circumstances. Because of these factors, as well as factors affecting the time required to complete each job, backlog is not always indicative of future revenues or profitability. In addition, 37% of the Company's dredging backlog relates to federal government contracts, which can be canceled at any time without penalty to the government, subject to the Company's contractual right to recover the Company's actual committed costs and profit on work performed up to the date of cancellation. In addition, the Company's backlog may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter based upon the type and size of the projects the Company is awarded from the bid market. A quarterly increase or decrease of the Company's backlog does not necessarily result in an improvement or a deterioration of the Company's business. The Company's backlog includes only those projects for which the Company has obtained a signed contract with the customer.

The domestic dredging bid market for the 2012 first quarter totaled \$229.6 million, an increase of \$32.1 million from the same period in the prior year. The Company won 76%, or \$20.0 million, of the beach nourishment projects awarded through March 31, 2012, as well as 22%, or \$23.5 million, of the maintenance projects along with 100%, or \$53.4 million, of capital projects and 56% or \$24.0 million, of the rivers & lakes projects awarded through March 31, 2012. The Company won 53% of the overall domestic bid market through March 31, 2012, above its prior three year average of 39%. Variability in contract wins from quarter to quarter is not unusual and one quarter's win rate is generally not

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

indicative of the win rate the Company is likely to achieve for a full year.

The Company's contracted dredging backlog was \$523.9 million at March 31, 2012 compared to \$319.4 million as of December 31, 2011. These amounts do not reflect approximately \$15.3 million of domestic low bids pending formal award and additional phases (options) pending on projects currently in backlog at March 31, 2012. At December 31, 2011 the amount of domestic low bids and options pending award was \$36.1 million.

Table of Contents

Domestic capital dredging backlog at March 31, 2012 was \$41.6 million more than at December 31, 2011 as the Company was awarded a \$46.5 million contract to restore and reinforce the existing shoreline of Scofield Island, located along the barrier shoreline in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. In another important market, the Gulf Coast, we expect additional coastal restoration projects to be let for bidding in the second half of the year, for which we have made a working capital investment in pipe and feel we will be well positioned to execute. The Company continues to believe that many states and Washington D.C. will continue to focus on marine infrastructure as significant port and harbor authorities recognize that the ongoing expansion of the Panama Canal and initiatives to increase exports heightens the need for the U.S. to deepen its East and Gulf Coast ports to facilitate larger draft vessels from international trade. The Company still anticipates a deepening project in Miami to be released in the second half of 2012. Florida officials and the Corps are actively working to responsibly resolve environmental concerns related to the execution methods and impact of this project to ensure timely execution.

Beach nourishment dredging backlog at March 31, 2012 was \$13.8 million lower than at December 31, 2011 as the Company worked off its prior backlog related to beach projects in Delaware, Florida, New Jersey, South Carolina and Virginia. The Company added backlog in the quarter by winning the larger of the only two beach nourishment projects in the bid market in the first quarter of 2012. The dredge *Liberty Island* will travel to the West Coast in the third quarter to start a large beach project in San Diego.

Maintenance dredging backlog was \$9.1 million lower at March 31, 2012 than at December 31, 2011. The decrease in backlog occurred as the Company continued to work through backlog won in the fourth quarter of 2011, partially offset by new projects and additional options on existing projects in Louisiana, Georgia and Baltimore Harbor. There has been progress in Washington D.C. as it relates to the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund (HMTF). On April 18th, 2012 the House of Representatives approved passage of H.R. 4348 (Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2012, Part II) that, if ultimately passed, will have a positive impact on maritime commerce and restoring the Gulf Coast. The Company continues to encourage Congressional leaders to come to resolution on legislation that incorporates utilization of HMTF monies to pay for the intended maintenance of our important maritime waterways. The passage of a HMTF bill would be an important positive step for maritime commerce and transparency in federal spending.

Rivers & lakes backlog is \$17.0 million higher at March 31, 2012 than at December 31, 2011. During 2011, the Company began to pursue municipal lake projects which expand the Company's service capabilities using existing equipment. A large municipal lake project of \$12.5 million was won in the first quarter of 2012 related to this initiative. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company also added new river work and levee repair projects on the Mississippi River and in Louisiana.

Foreign capital dredging backlog increased \$168.9 million at March 31, 2012 from December 31, 2011, due primarily to the award of the dredging contract for the Wheatstone LNG Project in Western Australia. The Company currently expects to realize at least \$180 million in revenue on this project with the potential for greater income as the project details are finalized. The Company anticipates mobilizing the dredge *New York* to Australia in the third quarter of 2012. The Company's portion of the project is expected to take about 27 months to complete. The Company also sees additional opportunities in the Middle East, Southeast Asia and South America that it continues to pursue. The Company recently made strategic moves to bolster its international sales and marketing effort, and sees an abundance of opportunities ahead.

Demolition services backlog was \$9.8 million higher at March 31, 2012 from December 31, 2011, as the Company was formally awarded a \$22.2 million contract for a brownfield remediation project in New Jersey that was pending award at year end. There were also several options and change orders that were approved in the quarter, offset by the strong revenue that was recorded as the segment continued to work off backlog from the prior year.

Dredge New York litigation development

During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, a judgment in the aggregate amount of \$13,272 was rendered in the Company's favor in its litigation regarding the dredge *New York* loss of use claim. The defendants are appealing the judgment and the Company cannot be assured when the appeal will be heard or predict the outcome of the appellate process. For additional information regarding this matter, see Note 7 to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's principal sources of liquidity are net cash flows provided by operating activities and proceeds from previous issuances of long term debt. The Company's principal uses of cash are to meet debt service requirements, finance capital expenditures, provide working capital and other general corporate purposes.

The Company's net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$18.2 million, and \$5.6 million, respectively. Normal increases or decreases in the level of working capital relative to the level of operational activity impact cash flow from

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

operating activities. In the first three months of 2012, the increase in net cash used in operating activities was primarily the result of lower net income and increased investment in working capital.

Table of Contents

The Company's net cash flows used in investing activities for the first three months of 2012 and 2011 totaled \$8.0 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. Investing activities in both periods primarily relate to normal course upgrades and capital maintenance of the Company's dredging fleet. During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company overhauled the engines on the dredge *Alaska* to provide increased useful life and efficiency. This engine overhaul added \$3.4 million in investing capital expenditures during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.

The Company's net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled (\$1.4) million and \$65.8 million, respectively. The Company issued \$250 million of 7.375% senior notes in the first three months of 2011, resulting in \$244.2 million of net proceeds. The Company used a portion of these net proceeds to redeem its \$175 million of 7.75% senior subordinated notes in the first three months of 2011 for \$180.0 million, which included a redemption premium and unpaid interest.

The Company paid \$1.2 million in dividends in the first three months of 2012. The future declaration and payment of dividends will be at the discretion of the Company's board of directors and will depend on many factors, including general economic and business conditions, the Company's strategic plans, financial results and condition and legal requirements, including restrictions and limitations contained in the revolving credit facility, bonding agreements through which it obtains performance, bid and payment bonds and the indenture relating to its senior notes. Accordingly, the Company cannot make any assurances as to the size of any such dividend or that it will pay any such dividend in future quarters.

The Company's obligations under the revolving credit facility and a bonding agreement are secured by liens on a substantial portion of the Company's operating equipment. The Company's obligations under its international letter of credit facility are secured by the Company's foreign accounts receivable. The Company's obligations under its senior notes are unsecured. The Company's material agreements related to bonding and long term debt contain various restrictive covenants, including limitations on dividends, redemption and repurchases of capital stock, and the incurrence of indebtedness and requirements to maintain certain financial covenants. The Company is in compliance with its various covenants under the respective agreements as of March 31, 2012.

The Company's revolving credit facility matures on June 12, 2012 and the Company is in discussions with lenders to finalize a successor credit facility with substantially similar capabilities and terms as the current revolving credit facility. The Company believes that it will finalize a successor credit facility early in the second quarter of 2012.

The impact of changes in functional currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar on non-U.S. dollar cash balances, primarily the Brazilian Real, is reflected in the cumulative translation adjustment, net within accumulated other comprehensive income. Cash held in non-U.S. dollar currencies primarily is used for project-related and other operating costs in those currencies reducing the Company's exposure to future realized exchange gains and losses.

The Company believes its cash and cash equivalents, its anticipated cash flows from operations and availability under its revolving credit facility will be sufficient to fund the Company's operations, capital expenditures and the scheduled debt service requirements and pay any declared dividends for the next twelve months. Beyond the next twelve months, the Company's ability to fund its working capital needs, planned capital expenditures, scheduled debt payments and dividends, if any, and to comply with all the financial covenants under the revolving credit facility and bonding agreement, depends on its future operating performance and cash flows, which in turn, are subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors, some of which are beyond the Company's control.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In preparing its consolidated financial statements, the Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The application of these principles requires significant judgments or an estimation process that can affect the results of operations, financial position and cash flows of the Company, as well as the related footnote disclosures. The Company continually reviews its accounting policies and financial information disclosures. There have been no material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies or estimates since December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

The market risk of the Company's financial instruments as of March 31, 2012 has not materially changed since December 31, 2011. The market risk profile of the Company on December 31, 2011 is disclosed in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2012. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in providing such reasonable assurance.

b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes during the three months ended March 31, 2012 to the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

(a) None.

(b) None.

(c) None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

(a) None.

(b) None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

(a) In 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued accounting guidance that requires presentation of net income and total comprehensive income, together with their components, either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments do not alter any current recognition or measurement requirements in respect of items of other comprehensive income. The amendment was adopted and became effective for Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and its subsidiaries on January 1, 2012 and had no material impact on the consolidated financial statements. The financial information presented in Part I, Item 1 Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q presents condensed consolidated statements of operations and condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income in two separate and consecutive statements for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. The table below presents consolidated comprehensive income information for the retrospective application of this guidance for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011, 2010 AND 2009

Edgar Filing: Great Lakes Dredge & Dock CORP - Form 10-Q

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	2011	2010	2009
Net income	\$ 17,251	\$ 33,720	\$ 14,734
Other comprehensive (income) loss			
Currency translation adjustment net of tax of (\$177), \$0 and \$0, respectively	(267)		
Reclassification of derivative (gains) losses to earnings net of tax of (\$882), (\$213) and \$2,101, respectively	(1,437)	(321)	3,164
Change in fair value of derivatives net of tax of \$824, \$92 and \$524, respectively	1,350	139	790
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(354)	(182)	3,954
Comprehensive income	16,897	33,538	18,688
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(723)	889	2,734
Comprehensive income attributable Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 16,174	\$ 34,427	\$ 21,422

Retrospective application of the accounting guidance for our supplemental financial information on subsidiary guarantors would result in presentation of condensed consolidating comprehensive income for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 as follows.

GREAT LAKES DREDGE & DOCK CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED:

(In thousands)

	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	GLDD Corporation	Eliminations	Consolidated Totals
December 31, 2011					
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 58,253	\$ (90)	\$ 16,174	\$ (58,163)	\$ 16,174
December 31, 2010					
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 72,704	\$ (1,721)	\$ 34,427	\$ (70,983)	\$ 34,427
December 31, 2009					
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation	\$ 51,262	\$ (613)	\$ 21,422	\$ (50,649)	\$ 21,422

(b) Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

10.1	Employment Agreement dated as of January 9, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Steven E. Pegg. *
10.2	Second Amended and Restated Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC Annual Bonus Plan. (1)
10.3	Employment Agreement dated as of April 9, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC and David E. Simonelli. (2)
10.4	Employment Agreement dated as of April 26, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC and Kyle D. Johnson. (3)
10.5	Employment Agreement dated as of April 26, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC and John F. Karas. (4)
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document. *
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema. *
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase. *
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase. *
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase. *
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase. *

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 17, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 13, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- * Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation
(registrant)

By: /s/ BRUCE J. BIEMECK
Bruce J. Biemeck
President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

Date: May 4, 2012

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Number	Document Description
10.1	Employment Agreement dated as of January 9, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Corporation and Steven E. Pegg. *
10.2	Second Amended and Restated Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC Annual Bonus Plan. (1)
10.3	Employment Agreement dated as of April 9, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC and David E. Simonelli. (2)
10.4	Employment Agreement dated as of April 26, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC and Kyle D. Johnson. (3)
10.5	Employment Agreement dated as of April 26, 2012 between Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company, LLC and John F. Karas. (4)
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document. *
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema. *
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase. *
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase. *
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase. *
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase. *

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 17, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 13, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 2, 2012 (Commission file no. 001-33225).
- * Filed herewith.