MONSANTO CO /NEW/ Form 10-Q April 05, 2012 MONSANTO COMPANY

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended Feb. 29, 2012

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission file number 001-16167

MONSANTO COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

43-1878297 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

800 North Lindbergh Blvd., St. Louis, MO (Address of principal executive offices) 63167 (Zip Code)

(314) 694-1000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject

to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No b

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 533,435,044 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding as of April 2, 2012.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

CAUTION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In the interests of our investors, and in accordance with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, this section of our report explains some of the important reasons that actual results may be materially different from those that we anticipate. In this report, and from time to time throughout the year, we share our expectations for our company s future performance. These forward-looking statements include statements about our business plans; the potential development, regulatory approval, and public acceptance of our products; our expected financial performance, including sales performance, and the anticipated effect of our strategic actions; the anticipated benefits of recent acquisitions; the outcome of contingencies, such as litigation and the previously announced SEC investigation; the previously reported material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting; domestic or international economic, political and market conditions; and other factors that could affect our future results of operations or financial position, including, without limitation, statements under the captions Overview Executive Summary Outlook, Seeds and Genomics Segment, Agricultural Productivity Segment, Financial Condition, Liquidity, a Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates and Legal Proceedings. Any statements we make that are not matters of Outlook, current reportage or historical fact should be considered forward-looking. Such statements often include words such as believe, will, and similar expressions. By their nature, these types of statements are uncertain and are not guarantee our future performance.

Since these statements are based on factors that involve risks and uncertainties, our company s actual performance and results may differ materially from those described or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, among others: continued competition in seeds, traits and agricultural chemicals; the company s exposure to various contingencies, including those related to intellectual property protection, regulatory compliance and the speed with which approvals are received, and public acceptance of biotechnology products; the success of the company s research and development activities; the outcomes of major lawsuits; developments related to foreign currencies and economies; successful operation of recent acquisitions; fluctuations in commodity prices; compliance with regulations affecting our manufacturing; the accuracy of the company s estimates related to distribution inventory levels; the company s ability to fund its short-term financing needs and to obtain payment for the products that it sells; the effect of weather conditions, natural disasters and accidents on the agriculture business or the company s facilities; and other risks and factors described or referenced in Part I Item 1A Risk Factors below and Part I Item 1A of our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011.

Our forward-looking statements represent our estimates and expectations and are based on currently available information at the time that we make those statements. However, circumstances change constantly, often unpredictably, and many events beyond our control will determine whether the expectations encompassed in our forward-looking statements will be realized. As a result, investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We disclaim any current intention or obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, or the factors that may affect their realization, whether in light of new information, future events or otherwise, and investors should not rely on us to do so.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	3
	Statements of Consolidated Operations	4
	Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position	5
	Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows	6
	Statements of Consolidated Shareowners Equity and Comprehensive Income	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	33
	Overview	33
	Results of Operations Second Quarter Fiscal Year 2012	35
	Seeds and Genomics Segment	38
	Agricultural Productivity Segment	39
	Restructuring	40
	Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources	42
	<u>Outlook</u>	46
	Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	48
	New Accounting Standards	50
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	50
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	50
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	52
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	53
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	53
Item 6.	Exhibits	54
SIGNAT	<u>'URE</u>	55
FXHIRI'	TINDEX	56

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Statements of Consolidated Operations of Monsanto Company and its consolidated subsidiaries for the three months and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, the Statements of Consolidated Shareowners Equity and Comprehensive Income for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and year ended Aug. 31, 2011, and related Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements follow. Unless otherwise indicated, Monsanto and the company are used interchangeably to refer to Monsanto Company or to Monsanto Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, as appropriate to the context. Unless otherwise indicated, earnings (loss) per share and per share mean diluted earnings (loss) per share. In the notes to the consolidated financial statements, all dollars are expressed in millions, except per share amounts. Unless otherwise indicated, trademarks owned or licensed by Monsanto or its subsidiaries are shown in all capital letters. Unless otherwise indicated, references to ROUNDUP herbicides mean ROUNDUP branded herbicides, excluding all lawn-and-garden herbicides.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

Statements of Consolidated Operations

Unaudited (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Three Mo Feb. 29, 2012		nded . 28, 2011	Six Mor Feb. 29, 2012	nths Ended Feb. 28, 2011	
Net Sales	\$ 4,748	\$	4,131	\$ 7,187	\$	5,967
Cost of goods sold	2,043	.	1,821	3,386	,	2,833
Gross Profit	2,705		2,310	3,801		3,134
Operating Expenses:						
Selling, general and administrative expenses	543		502	1,043		952
Research and development expenses	353		320	704		623
Restructuring charges, net			1			8
Total Operating Expenses	896		823	1,747		1,583
Income from Operations	1,809		1,487	2,054		1,551
Interest expense	47		39	100		82
Interest income	(20)		(19)	(38)		(34)
Other expense, net	37		11	43		23
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	1,745		1,456	1,949		1,480
Income tax provision	540		429	610		438
Income from Continuing Operations Including Portion Attributable to						
Noncontrolling Interest	\$ 1,205	\$	1,027	\$ 1,339	\$	1,042
To the control of the	Ψ 1, 2 00	Ψ	1,027	4 1,00	Ψ	1,0 .2
Discontinued Operations:						
Income from operations of discontinued businesses	11		4	11		4
Income tax provision	4		1	4		1
Income on Discontinued Operations	7		3	7		3
•						
Net Income	\$ 1,212	\$	1,030	\$ 1,346	\$	1,045
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1		12	9		18
Net Income Attributable to Monsanto Company	\$ 1,211	\$	1,018	\$ 1,337	\$	1,027
Amounts Attributable to Monsanto Company:						
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1,204	\$	1,015	\$ 1,330	\$	1,024
Income on discontinued operations	7	т	3	7	7	3
Net Income Attributable to Monsanto Company	\$ 1,211	\$	1,018	\$ 1,337	\$	1,027
	, ,	Ŧ	,	, ,	T	,
Basic Earnings per Share Attributable to Monsanto Company:	Φ • • •	*	1.00		_	1.04
Income from continuing operations	\$ 2.25	\$	1.89	\$ 2.49	\$	1.91

Edgar Filing: MONSANTO CO /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Income on discontinued operations	0.02	0.01	0.01	
Net Income Attributable to Monsanto Company	\$ 2.27	\$ 1.90	\$ 2.50	\$ 1.91
Diluted Earnings per Share Attributable to Monsanto Company:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 2.23	\$ 1.87	\$ 2.46	\$ 1.89
Income on discontinued operations	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Net Income Attributable to Monsanto Company	\$ 2.24	\$ 1.88	\$ 2.47	\$ 1.89
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:				
Basic	534.4	536.3	534.9	537.6
Diluted	540.5	542.4	540.9	543.7
Dividends Declared per Share The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial s	\$ 0.60 statements.	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.56

Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position

Unaudited (Dollars in millions, except share amounts) Assets	As	of Feb. 29, 2012	f Aug. 31, 2011
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents (variable interest entities restricted - 2012: \$12 and 2011: \$96)	\$	3,123	\$ 2,572
Short-term investments		302	302
Trade receivables, net (variable interest entities restricted - 2012: \$176 and 2011: \$51)		2,341	2,117
Miscellaneous receivables		661	629
Deferred tax assets		536	446
Inventory, net		2,862	2,591
Other current assets		199	152
Total Current Assets		10,024	8,809
Total property, plant and equipment		8,690	8,697
Less accumulated depreciation		4,431	4,303
Less accumulated depreciation		7,731	7,505
D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		4.050	4.20.4
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net		4,259	4,394
Goodwill		3,377	3,365
Other Intangible Assets, Net		1,277	1,309
Noncurrent Deferred Tax Assets		799	873
Long-Term Receivables, Net		372	475
Other Assets		613	619
Total Assets	\$	20,721	\$ 19,844
Liabilities and Shareowners Equity Current Liabilities: Short town debt including current portion of long torm debt	\$	610	\$ 678
Short-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt	Þ		\$
Accounts payable		681	839
Income taxes payable		578	117
Accrued compensation and benefits		306	427
Accrued marketing programs		1,008	1,110
Deferred revenues		847	373
Grower production accruals		229	87
Dividends payable		160	161
Customer payable		9	94
Restructuring reserves		16	24
Miscellaneous short-term accruals		749	819
Total Current Liabilities		5,193	4,729
Long-Term Debt		1,538	1,543
Postretirement Liabilities		494	509
Long-Term Deferred Revenue		292	337
Noncurrent Deferred Tax Liabilities		127	152
Long-Term Portion of Environmental and Litigation Liabilities		178	176
Other Liabilities		703	682
Shareowners Equity:			
Common stock (authorized: 1,500,000,000 shares, par value \$0.01)		6	6

Issued 593,340,754 and 591,516,732 shares, respectively		
Outstanding 533,314,465 and 535,297,120 shares, respectively		
Treasury stock 60,026,289 and 56,219,612 shares, respectively, at cost	(2,912)	(2,613)
Additional contributed capital	10,244	10,096
Retained earnings	5,190	4,174
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(533)	(116)
Reserve for ESOP debt retirement	(1)	(2)
Total Monsanto Company Shareowners Equity	11,994	11,545
Noncontrolling Interest	202	171
Total Shareowners Equity	12,196	11,716
	·	
Total Liabilities and Shareowners Equity	\$ 20,721	\$ 19,844

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows

Unaudited	Six Mor	onths Ended		
(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011		
Operating Activities:				
Net Income	\$ 1,346	\$ 1,045		
Adjustments to reconcile cash provided by operating activities:	, ,,-	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Items that did not require (provide) cash:				
Depreciation and amortization	311	310		
Bad-debt expense	(8)	(6)		
Stock-based compensation expense	72	55		
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(26)	(19)		
Deferred income taxes	(33)	(10)		
Restructuring charges, net	, ,	8		
Equity affiliate income, net	(3)	(8)		
Net gain on sales of a business or other assets	(2)	(4)		
Other items	65	59		
Changes in assets and liabilities that provided (required) cash, net of acquisitions:				
Trade receivables, net	(265)	(612)		
Inventory, net	(356)	(249)		
Deferred revenues	445	656		
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	142	472		
Restructuring cash payments	(7)	(152)		
Pension contributions	(33)	(44)		
Other items	24	(60)		
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	1,672	1,441		
Cash Flows Provided (Required) by Investing Activities:				
Purchases of short-term investments	(429)	(180)		
Maturities of short-term investments	429			
Capital expenditures	(239)	(217)		
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(113)	(99)		
Technology and other investments	(56)	(44)		
Other investments and property disposal proceeds	6	16		
Net Cash Required by Investing Activities	(402)	(524)		
Cash Flows Provided (Required) by Financing Activities:				
Net change in financing with less than 90-day maturities	(8)	(12)		
Short-term debt proceeds	9	45		
Short-term debt reductions	(17)	(27)		
Long-term debt reductions	(142)	(2)		
Payments on other financing		(2)		
Treasury stock purchases	(299)	(381)		
Stock option exercises	52	37		
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	26	19		
Tax withholding on restricted stock and restricted stock units	(1)	(4)		
Dividend payments	(322)	(302)		
Proceeds from noncontrolling interest	101	8		

Dividend payments to noncontrolling interest	(71)	(47)
Net Cash Required by Financing Activities	(672)	(668)
Cash Assumed from Initial Consolidations of Variable Interest Entities		77
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(47)	26
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	551	352
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	2,572	1,485
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 3,123	\$ 1,837

See Note 20 Supplemental Cash Flow Information for further details.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

tax of (\$16)

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

Statements of Consolidated Shareowners Equity and Comprehensive Income

		Monsanto Shareowners Accumulated Other									
			Ac	lditional		Comp	rehensive				
	Common	Treasury		ntributed	Retained		>/1>			Ion-Controlling	
(Dollars in millions, except per share data)		Stock		Capital	Earnings		oss) ⁽¹⁾	ESOP Debt		Interest	Total
Balance as of Aug. 31, 2010	\$6	\$ (2,110)	\$	9,896	\$ 3,178	\$	(897)	\$(4)		\$ 44	\$ 10,113
Net income					1,607		510			52	1,659
Foreign currency translation							510			4	514
Postretirement benefit plan activity,											
net of tax of \$98							160				160
Unrealized net derivative gains, net											
of tax of \$77							110				110
Realized net derivative losses, net of											
tax of \$5							1				1
Comprehensive income for 2011										56	2,444
Treasury stock purchases		(503)									(503)
Restricted stock withholding				(4)							(4)
Issuance of shares under employee											
stock plans				65							65
Excess tax benefits from stock-based											
compensation				36							36
Stock-based compensation expense				103							103
Cash dividends of \$1.14 per common											
share					(611)						(611)
Dividend payments to noncontrolling					(022)						(011)
interest										(105)	(105)
Allocation of ESOP shares, net of										(100)	(100)
dividends received								2			2
Proceeds from noncontrolling interest								-		69	69
Consolidation of VIEs										107	107
Consolidation of VIES										107	107
Delenes of Aug. 21, 2011	0.6	¢ (2.612)	ď	10.006	¢ / 17/	¢	(116)	¢(0)		¢ 171	¢ 11 716
Balance as of Aug. 31, 2011	\$ 6	\$ (2,613)	3	10,096	\$ 4,174	\$	(116)	\$(2)		\$ 171	\$ 11,716
Net income					1,337		(2.41)			9	1,346
Foreign currency translation							(341)			(8)	(349)
Postretirement benefit plan							22				22
activity, net of tax of \$13							22				22
Unrealized net gains on investment							4				
holdings, net of tax of \$2							4				4
Unrealized net derivative losses,							(70)				(70)
net of tax of (\$48)							(70)				(70)
Realized net derivative gains, net of							(22)				(22)

(32)

(32)

Edgar Filing: MONSANTO CO /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Comprehensive income for 2012							1	921
Treasury stock purchases		(299)					1	(299)
Restricted stock withholding		(2))	(1)					
ě			(1)					(1)
Issuance of shares under employee								
stock plans			52					52
Excess tax benefits from								
stock-based compensation			26					26
Stock-based compensation expense			71					71
Cash dividends of \$0.60 per								
common share				(321)				(321)
Dividend payments to								
noncontrolling interest							(71)	(71)
Allocation of ESOP shares, net of								
dividends received						1		1
Proceeds from noncontrolling								
interest							101	101
Balance as of Feb. 29, 2012	\$6	\$ (2,912)	\$ 10,244	\$ 5,190	\$ (533)	\$(1)	\$ 202	\$ 12,196

See Note 18 Comprehensive Income for further details of the components of accumulated other comprehensive loss. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED

NOTE 1. BACKGROUND AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Monsanto Company (the company), along with its subsidiaries, is a leading global provider of agricultural products for farmers. Monsanto s seeds, biotechnology trait products, and herbicides provide farmers with solutions that improve productivity, reduce the costs of farming, and produce better foods for consumers and better feed for animals.

Monsanto manages its business in two segments: Seeds and Genomics and Agricultural Productivity. Through the Seeds and Genomics segment, Monsanto produces leading seed brands, including DEKALB, ASGROW, DELTAPINE, SEMINIS and DE RUITER, and Monsanto develops biotechnology traits that assist farmers in controlling insects and weeds. Monsanto also provides other seed companies with genetic material and biotechnology traits for their seed brands. Through the Agricultural Productivity segment, the company manufactures ROUNDUP and HARNESS brand herbicides and other herbicides. See Note 22 Segment Information for further details.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, the company announced plans to divest its animal agricultural products business, which focused on dairy cow productivity (the Dairy business). This transaction was consummated on Oct. 1, 2008. As a result, financial data for this business has been presented as discontinued operations. The financial statements have been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the Property, Plant and Equipment topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC). Accordingly, for all periods presented herein, the Statements of Consolidated Operations have been conformed to this presentation. See Note 23 Discontinued Operations for further details.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have not been audited but have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, these unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods reported. This Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with Monsanto s Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011. Financial information for the first six months of fiscal year 2012 should not be annualized because of the seasonality of the company s business.

NOTE 2. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In December 2011, the FASB issued a new accounting standard update which requires entities to disclose both gross and net information about both financial instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting agreement. The objective of the disclosure is to facilitate comparison between those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of International Financial Reporting Standards. This standard is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after Jan. 1, 2013. Retrospective presentation for all comparative periods presented is required. Accordingly, Monsanto will adopt this amendment in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the Comprehensive Income topic of the ASC. This amendment eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareowners equity. The amendment is effective for fiscal years beginning after Dec. 15, 2011, and interim periods within that year. Accordingly, Monsanto will adopt this amendment in first quarter fiscal year 2013. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued a new accounting standard update, which amends the fair value measurement guidance and includes some enhanced disclosure requirements. The most significant change in disclosures is an expansion of the information required for Level 3

measurements based on unobservable inputs. The amendment is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after Dec. 15, 2011. Accordingly, Monsanto will adopt this amendment in third quarter of fiscal year 2012. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

NOTE 3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

2012 Acquisition: In September 2011, Monsanto acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Beeologics, a technology start-up business based in Israel, which researches and develops biological tools to provide targeted control of pests and diseases. The acquisition of the company, which qualifies as a business under the Business Combinations topic of the ASC, will allow Monsanto to further explore the use of biologicals broadly in agriculture to provide farmers with innovative approaches to the challenges they face. Monsanto intends to use the base technology from Beeologics as a part of its continuing discovery and development pipeline. Acquisition costs were less than \$1 million and classified as selling, general, and administrative expenses. The total cash paid and the fair value of the acquisition was \$113 million (net of cash acquired), and it was primarily allocated to goodwill and intangibles. The primary item that generated goodwill was the premium paid by the company for the right to control the acquired business and technology. The goodwill is deductible for tax purposes.

For the acquisition described above, the business operations and expenses related to employees of the acquired entity are included in the Seeds and Genomics segment results. The estimated fair values of the assets and liabilities, summarized in the table below, of the acquired entity represent the preliminary purchase price allocation. These allocations will be finalized as soon as the information becomes available, however not to exceed one year from the acquisition date.

	Beeologics
	Acquisition
	\$ 1
Goodwill	81
Other Intangible Assets	46
Acquired In-process Research and Development	3
Other Assets	5
Total Assets Acquired	136
Current Liabilities	12
Other Liabilities	10
Total Liabilities Assumed	22
Net Assets Acquired	\$ 114
Supplemental Information:	
Net assets acquired	\$ 114
Cash acquired	1
Cash paid, net of cash acquired	\$ 113

Pro forma information related to the acquisition is not presented because the impact on the Company s consolidated results of operations is not significant.

2011 Acquisitions: In February 2011, Monsanto acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Divergence, Inc., a biotechnology research and development company located in St. Louis, Missouri. Acquisition costs were less than \$1 million and were classified as selling, general, and administrative expenses. The total cash paid and the fair value of the acquisition was \$71 million (net of cash acquired), and the purchase price was primarily allocated to intangibles and goodwill. The primary items that generated the goodwill were the premiums paid by the company for the right to control the business acquired and the value of the acquired assembled workforce. The goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes.

In December 2010, Monsanto acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Pannon Seeds, a seed processing plant located in Hungary, from IKR Production Development and Commercial Corporation. The acquisition of this plant, which qualifies as a business under the Business Combinations topic of the ASC, allows Monsanto to reduce third party seed production in Hungary. Acquisition costs were less than \$1 million and were classified as selling, general, and administrative expenses.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

The total fair value of the acquisition was \$32 million, and the purchase price was primarily allocated to fixed assets and goodwill. This fair value includes \$28 million of cash paid (net of cash acquired) and \$4 million related to assumed liabilities. The primary items that generated the goodwill were the premiums paid by the company for the right to control the business acquired and the value of the acquired assembled workforce. The goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes.

NOTE 4. CUSTOMER FINANCING PROGRAMS

Monsanto participates in a revolving financing program in Brazil that allows Monsanto to transfer up to 1 billion Brazilian reais (approximately \$585 million) for select customers in Brazil to a special purpose entity (SPE), formerly a qualified special purpose entity (QSPE). Third parties, primarily investment funds, hold an 88 percent senior interest in the entity, and Monsanto holds the remaining 12 percent interest. Under the arrangement, a recourse provision requires Monsanto to cover the first 12 percent of credit losses within the program. The company has evaluated its relationship with the entity under updated guidance within the Consolidation topic of the ASC and, as a result, the entity has been consolidated on a prospective basis effective Sept. 1, 2010. For further information on this topic, see Note 5 Variable Interest Entities.

Monsanto has an agreement with a SPE in Argentina to transfer customer receivables and to service such accounts. The company has evaluated its relationship with this entity under the updated guidance within the Consolidation topic of the ASC and, as a result, the entity has been consolidated on a prospective basis effective Sept. 1, 2010. For further information on this topic, see Note 5 Variable Interest Entities.

Monsanto has an agreement in the United States to sell customer receivables up to a maximum of \$500 million and to service such accounts. These receivables qualify for sales treatment under the Transfers and Servicing topic of the ASC and, accordingly, the proceeds are included in net cash provided by operating activities in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. The gross amount of receivables sold totaled \$216 million and \$3 million for the first six months of fiscal years 2012 and 2011, respectively. The agreement includes recourse provisions and thus a liability is established at the time of sale that approximates fair value based upon the company s historical collection experience and a current assessment of credit exposure. The recourse liability recorded by Monsanto was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012. There was no recourse liability recorded by Monsanto as of Aug. 31, 2011. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the recourse provisions of the agreement was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012. The outstanding balance of the receivables sold was \$4 million and \$3 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. There were delinquent accounts of \$4 million and \$3 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively.

Monsanto also sells accounts receivable in the United States and European regions, both with and without recourse. The sales within these programs qualify for sales treatment under the Transfers and Servicing topic of the ASC and, accordingly, the proceeds are included in net cash provided by operating activities in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. The gross amounts of receivables sold totaled \$3 million and \$4 million for the first six months of fiscal years 2012 and 2011, respectively. The liability for the guarantees for sales with recourse is recorded at an amount that approximates fair value, based on the company s historical collection experience for the customers associated with the sale of the receivables and a current assessment of credit exposure. There was no liability balance as of Feb. 29, 2012, or Aug. 31, 2011. There was no maximum potential amount of future payments under the recourse provisions of the agreements as of Feb. 29, 2012. There was no outstanding balance of the receivables sold as of Feb. 29, 2012. The outstanding balance of receivables sold was \$55 million as of Aug. 31, 2011. There were no delinquent loans as of Feb. 29, 2012, or Aug. 31, 2011.

Monsanto has additional agreements with lenders to establish programs that provide financing of up to 550 million Brazilian reais (approximately \$320 million) for selected customers in Brazil. Monsanto provides a guarantee of the accounts in the event of customer default. The term of the guarantee is equivalent to the term of the bank loans. The liability for the guarantees is recorded at an amount that approximates fair value, based on the company s historical collection experience with customers that participate in the program and a current assessment of credit exposure. The guarantee liability recorded by Monsanto was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011. If performance is required under the guarantee, Monsanto may retain amounts that are subsequently collected from customers. There was no maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantee as of Feb. 29, 2012. The account balance outstanding for these programs was \$71 million and \$49 million

as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. There were no delinquent loans as of Feb. 29, 2012. There were delinquent loans of \$1 million as of Aug. 31, 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Monsanto also has similar agreements with banks that provide financing to its customers in the United States, Europe and Latin America where Monsanto provides a guarantee of the accounts in the event of customer default. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantees was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012. The guarantee liability recorded by Monsanto was \$1 million and \$2 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. The account balance outstanding for these programs was \$50 million and \$33 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. There were no delinquent loans as of Feb. 29, 2012, or as of Aug. 31, 2011.

NOTE 5. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

Effective Sept. 1, 2010, Monsanto prospectively adopted the accounting standard update regarding improvements to financial reporting by enterprises involving variable interest entities (VIEs). A VIE is a legal entity that lacks sufficient equity to finance its activities, or the equity investors of the entity as a group lack any of the characteristics of a controlling interest. Monsanto is involved with various special purpose entities and other entities that are deemed to be VIEs. Monsanto has determined that the company holds variable interests in entities that are established as revolving financing programs. These programs allow the company to transfer a limited amount of customer receivables to a VIE. One program is in Brazil and the other is in Argentina. In addition, Monsanto has various variable interests in biotechnology companies that focus on plant gene research, development, and commercialization. These variable interests have also been determined to be VIEs.

If a company is considered the primary beneficiary of a VIE, the company is required to consolidate the entity. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is the enterprise that has both the power to direct the activities most significant to the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses or receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. For all VIEs in which the company has a variable interest, the company performs ongoing qualitative assessments to determine whether it is the primary beneficiary. In determining whether Monsanto is the primary beneficiary, a number of factors are considered, including the structure of the entity, contractual provisions that grant any additional rights to influence or control the economic performance of the VIE, and the company s obligation to absorb significant losses. In addition, the company determines which activities most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and whether the company has any rights that would allow it to direct those activities. If Monsanto is determined to be the primary beneficiary, the assets, liabilities and operations of the VIE are consolidated.

As a result of the adoption of the updated accounting guidance, Monsanto was required to consolidate certain VIEs that are established as revolving financing programs including the special purpose entity referred to in Note 4 Customer Financing Programs. As of the date of the initial consolidation of these VIEs, the company measured the assets and liabilities of the newly consolidated VIEs at their carrying value. The company was not required to deconsolidate any VIEs as of Sept. 1, 2010. The cumulative effect of the adoption of this guidance was insignificant to additional contributed capital, retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive loss and, therefore, not identified separately on the Statement of Consolidated Shareowners Equity and Comprehensive Income but is recorded within the Statement of Consolidated Operations.

Consolidated VIEs

Under the accounting guidance effective prior to Sept. 1, 2010, none of the interests in VIEs held were consolidated by Monsanto. For the most part, the VIEs involving the revolving financing programs are funded by investments from the company and other third parties, primarily investment funds, and have been established to service Monsanto s customer receivables. Creditors have no recourse against Monsanto in the event of default by these VIEs nor does the company have any implied or unfunded commitments to these VIEs. The company s financial or other support provided to these VIEs is limited to its original investment. Even though Monsanto holds a subordinate interest in the VIEs, the VIEs were established to service transactions involving the company and the company determines the receivables that are included in the revolving financing programs. Therefore, the determination is that Monsanto has the power to direct the activities most significant to the economic performance of the VIEs. As a result, the company is the primary beneficiary of these VIEs and, effective Sept. 1, 2010, these VIEs have been consolidated in Monsanto s Consolidated Financial Statements. The assets of these VIEs may only be used to settle the obligations of

the respective entity. Third-party investors in the VIEs do not have recourse to the general assets of Monsanto other than the maximum exposure to loss relating to the VIE. The following table presents the

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

carrying value of assets and liabilities, which are identified as restricted assets and liabilities on the company s Condensed Statement of Consolidated Financial Position, and the maximum exposure to loss relating to the VIEs for which Monsanto is the primary beneficiary.

	Financing Programs VIEs			
	As of Feb. 29,	As of Aug. 31,		
(Dollars in millions)	2012	2011		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12	\$ 96		
Trade receivables, net	176	51		
Total Assets	188	147		
Total Liabilities				
Maximum Exposure to Loss	\$ 21	\$ 11		

Non-Consolidated VIEs

Monsanto has variable interests through investments and arrangements with biotechnology companies that focus on plant gene research, development, and commercialization. The company has not provided financial or other support with respect to these investments or arrangements other than its original interest. The company also has no implied or unfunded commitments to these VIEs. Monsanto s maximum exposure to loss on these variable interests is limited to the amount of the company s investment in the entity. The following table presents the carrying value of assets and liabilities, and the maximum exposure to loss relating to VIEs that the company does not consolidate:

	Biotech	TEs	
	As of Feb. 29,	As of a	Aug. 31,
(Dollars in millions)	2012	20	011
Property, plant, and equipment, net	\$ 5	\$	5
Other intangible assets, net	12		9
Other assets			15
Total Non-Current Assets	17		29
Total Liabilities			
Maximum Exposure to Loss	\$	\$	15

NOTE 6. RESTRUCTURING

Restructuring charges were recorded in the Statements of Consolidated Operations as follows:

	Three M	Ionths Ended	Six M	Six Months Ended			
(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011			
Cost of Goods Sold ⁽¹⁾	\$	\$ (2)	\$	\$ (2)			

Restructuring Charges, Net ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		(1)		(8)
Loss from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes		(3)		(10)
Income Tax Benefit		1		4
Net Loss	\$ \$	(2)	\$ \$	(6)

- (1) For the three months and the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the \$2 million of restructuring charges recorded in cost of goods sold related to the Seeds and Genomics segment. For the three months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the \$1 million of restructuring charges recorded in restructuring charges, net related to the Seeds and Genomics segment. For the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the \$8 million of restructuring charges, net were split by segment as follows: \$ (4) million in Agricultural Productivity and \$12 million in Seeds and Genomics.
- (2) The restructuring charges for the three months and the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, include reversals of \$25 million related to the 2009 Restructuring Plan. The reversals are primarily related to severance. Although positions originally included in the plan were eliminated, individuals found new roles within the company due to attrition. There were no reversals during the three months and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

On June 23, 2009, the company s Board of Directors approved a restructuring plan (2009 Restructuring Plan) to take future actions to reduce costs in light of the changing market supply environment for glyphosate. These actions are designed to enable Monsanto to stabilize the Agricultural Productivity business and allow it to deliver optimal gross profit and a sustainable level of operating cash in the coming years, while better aligning spending and working capital needs. The company also announced that it will take steps to better align the resources of its global seeds and traits business. These actions include certain product and brand rationalization within the seed businesses. On Sept. 9, 2009, the company committed to take additional actions related to the previously announced restructuring plan. Furthermore, while implementing the plan, the company identified additional opportunities to better align the company s resources, and on Aug. 26, 2010, committed to take additional actions. The plan was substantially completed in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, and the remaining payments are expected to be made by the end of fiscal year 2012.

There were no charges incurred for the three months and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012. The following table displays the pretax charges by segment under the 2009 Restructuring Plan of \$3 million incurred for the three months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the pretax charges of \$10 million incurred for the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, as well as the cumulative pretax charges of \$733 million.

	Three Months Ended Feb. 29, 2012 Seeds and Agricultural			Six Mon Seeds and	. 29, 2012	
(Dollars in millions)	Genomics	Productivity	Total	Genomics	Agricultural Productivity	Total
Work Force Reductions	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Facility Closures / Exit Costs						
Asset Impairments						
Property, plant and equipment						
Inventory						
Total Restructuring Charges, Net	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
(Dellars in reillions)	Seeds and	onths Ended Feb. Agricultural	,	Seeds and	nths Ended Feb. Agricultural	,
(Dollars in millions) Work Force Reductions	Genomics	Productivity \$	Total	Genomics	Productivity	Total
Facility Closures / Exit Costs	\$	Ф	\$	\$ (11) 23	\$ (7)	\$ (18) 26
Asset Impairments	1		1	23	3	20
Property, plant and equipment						
Inventory	2		2	2		2
•						
Total Restructuring Charges, Net	\$ 3	\$	\$ 3	\$ 14	\$ (4)	\$ 10

	Cumulative Amount through Feb. 29, 2			
	Seeds and	Agricul	ltural	
(Dollars in millions)	Genomics	Produc	tivity	Total
Work Force Reductions	\$ 239	\$	99	\$ 338
Facility Closures / Exit Costs	75		81	156

Edgar Filing: MONSANTO CO /NEW/ - Form 10-Q

Asset Impairments			
Property, plant and equipment	43	5	48
Inventory	119	13	132
Other intangible assets	59		59
Total Restructuring Charges, Net	\$ 535	\$ 198	\$ 733

The company s written human resource policies are indicative of an ongoing benefit arrangement with respect to severance packages. Benefits paid pursuant to an ongoing benefit arrangement are specifically excluded from the Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations topic of the ASC, therefore severance charges incurred in connection with the 2009 Restructuring Plan are accounted for when probable and estimable as required under the Compensation Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits topic of the ASC. In addition, when the decision to commit to a restructuring plan requires an asset impairment review, Monsanto evaluates such impairment issues under the Property, Plant and Equipment topic of the ASC.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

In the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, there were no charges recorded related to restructuring. In the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, pretax restructuring charges of \$10 million were recorded. The facility closures/exit costs of \$26 million relate primarily to the finalization of the termination of a corn toller contract in the United States. In workforce reductions, approximately \$7 million of additional charges were offset by \$25 million of reversals. Although positions originally included in the plan were eliminated, individuals found new roles within the company due to attrition. In asset impairments, inventory impairments of \$2 million recorded in cost of goods sold related to discontinued corn and sorghum seed products in the United States.

The following table summarizes the activities related to the company s 2009 Restructuring Plan.

	Work	Force	Facility Closures /	Asset	
(Dollars in millions)	Redu	ctions	Exit Costs	Impairments	Total
Beginning Liability as of Aug. 31, 2011	\$	24	\$	\$	\$ 24
Restructuring charges recognized in first six months of fiscal year 2012					
Cash payments		(7)			(7)
Asset impairments and write-offs					
Foreign currency impact		(1)			(1)
Ending Liability as of Feb. 29, 2012	\$	16	\$	\$	\$ 16

NOTE 7. RECEIVABLES

Trade receivables on the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position are net of allowances of \$60 million and \$98 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively.

Effective with the second quarter of 2011, the company adopted the amended guidance in the Receivables topic of the ASC which requires greater transparency about a company s allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. The company has financing receivables that represent long-term customer receivable balances related to past due accounts which are not expected to be collected within the current year. The long-term customer receivables were \$230 million and \$220 million with a corresponding allowance for credit losses on these receivables of \$222 million and \$213 million, as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. These long-term customer receivable balances and the corresponding allowance are included in long-term receivables, net on the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position. For these long-term customer receivables, interest is no longer accrued when the receivable is determined to be delinquent and classified as long-term based on estimated timing of collection.

The following table displays a roll forward of the allowance for credit losses related to long-term customer receivables.

(Dollars in millions)

Balance Aug. 31, 2010 \$ 226

Incremental Provision	20
Recoveries	(9)
Other ⁽¹⁾	(24)
Balance Aug. 31, 2011	\$ 213
Incremental Provision	2
Recoveries	(5)
Write-Offs	(4)
Other ⁽²⁾	16
Balance Feb. 29, 2012	\$ 222

⁽¹⁾ Includes reclassifications from the allowance for current receivables, write-offs, and foreign currency translation adjustments.

⁽²⁾ Includes reclassifications from the allowance for current receivables and foreign currency translation adjustments.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

In addition, the company has long-term contractual receivables. These receivables are collected at fixed and determinable dates in accordance with the customer long-term agreement. The long-term contractual receivables were \$364 million and \$468 million, as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively, and did not have any allowance recorded related to these balances. These receivables are included in long-term receivables, net on the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position. There are no balances related to these long-term contractual receivables that are past due. These receivables are outstanding with large, reputable companies who have been timely with scheduled payments thus far and are considered to be fully collectible. Interest is accrued on these receivables in accordance with the agreements and is included within interest income in the Statements of Consolidated Operations. See Note 11 Deferred Revenue for more details on the significant agreements related to these long-term contractual receivables.

On an ongoing basis, the company evaluates credit quality of its financing receivables utilizing aging of receivables, collection experience and write-offs, as well as evaluating existing economic conditions, to determine if an allowance is necessary.

NOTE 8. INVENTORY

Components of inventory are:

(Dollars in millions)	f Feb. 29, 2012	f Aug. 31, 2011
Finished Goods	\$ 1,191	\$ 953
Goods In Process	1,450	1,434
Raw Materials and Supplies	407	390
Inventory at FIFO Cost	3,048	2,777
Excess of FIFO over LIFO Cost	(186)	(186)
Total	\$ 2,862	\$ 2,591

NOTE 9. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill for the first six months of fiscal year 2012, by segment, are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Seeds and Genomics	Agricultural Productivity		Total	
Balance as of Aug. 31, 2011	\$ 3,308	\$	57	\$ 3,365	

Acquisition activity (see Note 3) Effect of foreign currency translation adjustments	81 (69)	81 (69)
Balance as of Feb. 29, 2012	\$ 3,320 \$ 57	\$ 3,377

In the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, goodwill increased due to the 2012 acquisition of Beeologics, offset by the effects of foreign currency translation adjustments. See Note 3 Business Combinations for further information. There were no events or circumstances indicating that goodwill might be impaired as of Feb. 29, 2012. The 2012 annual goodwill impairment test will be performed as of March 1, 2012.

Information regarding the company s other intangible assets is as follows:

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

	A Carrying	Feb. 29, 201 cumulated	2	A Carrying	Aug. 31, 201 cumulated	1
(Dollars in millions)	Amount	ortization	Net	Amount	ortization	Net
Intangible Assets with Finite Lives:						
Acquired germplasm	\$ 1,161	\$ (692)	\$ 469	\$ 1,189	\$ (692)	\$ 497
Acquired intellectual property	1,020	(735)	285	973	(710)	263
Trademarks	347	(116)	231	352	(110)	242
Customer relationships	325	(156)	169	335	(146)	189
Other	144	(69)	75	136	(63)	73
Total Other Intangible Assets, Finite Lives	\$ 2,997	\$ (1,768)	\$ 1,229	\$ 2,985	\$ (1,721)	\$ 1,264
In process research & development, indefinite lives	48		48	45		45
Total Other Intangible Assets	\$ 3,045	\$ (1,768)	\$ 1,277	\$ 3,030	\$ (1,721)	\$ 1,309

Total amortization expense of other intangible assets was \$38 million in second quarter of fiscal year 2012 and \$37 million in second quarter of fiscal year 2011. Total amortization expense of other intangible assets was \$75 million for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011. The estimated intangible asset amortization expense for fiscal year 2012 through fiscal year 2016 is as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Amount
2012	\$ 136
2013	114
2014 2015	119
2015	117
2016	117

NOTE 10. INVESTMENTS AND EQUITY AFFILIATES

Investments

As of Feb. 29, 2012 and Aug. 31, 2011, Monsanto has short-term investments outstanding of \$302 million. The investments are comprised of treasury bills and commercial paper with original maturities of one year or less. See Note 14 Fair Value Measurements.

Monsanto has investments in long-term equity securities, which are considered available-for-sale. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, these long-term equity securities are recorded in other assets in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position at a fair value of \$41 million and \$26 million, respectively. Net unrealized gains (net of deferred taxes) of \$4 million and less than \$1 million are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in shareowners equity related to these investments as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively.

Monsanto has cost basis investments recorded in other assets in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, these investments were recorded at \$70 million and \$74 million, respectively. Due to the nature of these investments, the fair market value is not readily determinable. These investments are reviewed for impairment indicators. As of Feb. 29, 2012, no impairments were recorded.

Equity Affiliates

Monsanto owns a 19 percent interest in a seed supplier that produces, conditions, and distributes corn and soybean seeds. Monsanto is accounting for this investment as an equity method investment as Monsanto has the ability to exercise significant influence over the seed supplier. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, this investment is recorded in other assets in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position at \$68 million and \$67 million, respectively. Monsanto purchased \$70 million and \$130 million of inventory from the seed supplier for the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, respectively, and \$72 million and \$141 million for the three and six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, respectively. There were no sales of inventory to the seed supplier in either 2012 or 2011. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, the amount payable

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

to the seed supplier is \$34 million and \$2 million, respectively, and is recorded in accounts payable in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position. As of Feb. 29, 2012, there were no prepayments. As of Aug. 31, 2011, there were prepayments of \$9 million included in other current assets in the Statements of Consolidated Financial Position for inventory that was delivered in fiscal year 2012.

NOTE 11. DEFERRED REVENUE

As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, short-term deferred revenue was \$847 million and \$373 million, respectively. This balance primarily consists of cash received related to Monsanto's prepayment programs in the United States and Brazil. These programs allow Monsanto's customers to receive a discount if they prepay by a certain date, and the short-term deferred revenue balance is consistent with the seasonality of Monsanto's business. Prepayment options are attractive to customers given the discounted pricing and the ability to utilize cash flow from the current year grain harvest to pay for the next season seed purchases. The deferred revenue balance related to these prepayment programs is considered short-term in nature and thus classified in current liabilities as the prepayments are for products to be shipped within the next 12 months.

In 2008, Monsanto entered into a corn herbicide tolerance and insect control trait technologies agreement with Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Among its provisions, the agreement modified certain existing corn license agreements between the parties. Under the agreement, which requires fixed annual payments, the company recorded a receivable and deferred revenue of \$635 million in first quarter 2008. Cumulative cash receipts will be \$725 million over an eight-year period. Revenue of \$20 million related to this agreement was recorded for the three months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, and revenue of \$40 million was recorded for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, the remaining receivable balance is \$308 million and \$393 million, respectively. The majority of this balance is included in long-term receivables, and the current portion is included in trade receivables. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, the remaining deferred revenue balance is \$278 million and \$317 million, respectively, of which \$79 million is included in short-term deferred revenue in all periods. The interest portion of this receivable totaled \$2 million and \$5 million for the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, respectively. Interest income for the three and six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, was \$3 million and \$7 million, respectively.

In 2008, Monsanto and Syngenta entered into a GENUITY ROUNDUP READY 2 YIELD Soybean License Agreement. The agreement grants Syngenta access to Monsanto s GENUITY ROUNDUP READY 2 YIELD Soybean technology in consideration of royalty payments from Syngenta, based on sales. The minimum obligation from Syngenta over the nine-year contract period is \$81 million. Revenue of \$3 million related to this agreement was recorded for the three months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, and revenue of \$4 million and \$3 million was recorded for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, the remaining receivable balance is \$71 million and \$75 million, respectively. The majority of this balance is included in long-term receivables on the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position and the current portion is included in trade receivables. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, the remaining deferred revenue balance is \$58 million and \$62 million, respectively, of which \$6 million and \$4 million, respectively, is included in short-term deferred revenue. The interest portion of this receivable is \$1 million for both the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011.

NOTE 12. INCOME TAXES

Management regularly assesses the tax risk of the company s tax return filing positions for all open years and establishes reserves accordingly.

During the first half of 2011, statutes expired in several ex-US jurisdictions. Additionally, the company benefitted from the retroactive extension of the research and development credit pursuant to the enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation

Act of 2010. Primarily as a result of these items, Monsanto recorded a tax benefit of \$18 million in the first half of 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

NOTE 13. DEBT AND OTHER CREDIT ARRANGEMENTS

In November 2011, Monsanto filed a new shelf registration with the SEC (2011 shelf registration) that allows the company to issue an unlimited capacity of debt, equity and hybrid offerings. The 2011 shelf registration will expire in November 2014.

In April 2011, Monsanto issued \$300 million of 2.75% Senior Notes under the 2008 shelf registration, which are due on April 15, 2016 (2.75% 2016 Senior Notes). The net proceeds from the sale of the 2.75% 2016 Senior Notes were used for general corporate purposes, including refinancing of the company s indebtedness.

In April 2011, Monsanto finalized a new \$2 billion credit facility agreement with a group of banks. This agreement provides a four-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility. This credit facility replaced the previous \$2 billion credit facility established in 2007.

Monsanto plans to issue new fixed-rate debt on or before Aug. 15, 2012, to repay \$485 million of $7^3/8\%$ Senior Notes that are due on Aug. 15, 2012. In March 2009, the company entered into forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$250 million. The purpose of the swaps was to hedge the variability of the forecasted interest payments on this expected debt issuance that may result from changes in the benchmark interest rate before the debt is issued. Unrealized losses, net of tax, of \$22 million and \$14 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss to reflect the aftertax change in the fair value of the forward-starting interest rate swaps as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. In August 2010, the company entered into forward-starting interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$225 million. The purpose of the swaps was to hedge the variability of the forecasted interest payments on this expected debt issuance that may result from changes in the benchmark interest rate before the debt is issued. Unrealized losses, net of tax, of \$27 million and \$10 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss to reflect the aftertax change in the fair value of the forward-starting interest rate swaps as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. These swaps are accounted for under the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the ASC.

The fair value of the total short-term debt was \$624 million and \$710 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. The fair value of the total long-term debt was \$1,866 million and \$1,797 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively.

NOTE 14. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Monsanto determines the fair market value of its financial assets and liabilities based on quoted market prices, estimates from brokers, and other appropriate valuation techniques. The company uses the fair value hierarchy established in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the ASC, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The hierarchy contains three levels as follows, with Level 3 representing the lowest level of input:

- Level 1 Values based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Values based on quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, or model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.
- Level 3 Values generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions would reflect our own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques could include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques. Monsanto does not currently have

any instruments with fair value determined using Level 3 inputs.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

The following tables set forth by level Monsanto s assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011. As required by the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the ASC, assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is a significant component of the fair value measurement. Monsanto s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the classification of fair value assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Fair Value Measurements at Feb. 29, 2012, Using				
				Cash	
				Collateral	Net
(Dollars in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Offset(1)	Balance
Assets at Fair Value:					
Cash equivalents	\$ 2,568	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,568
Short-term investments	302				302
Equity securities	41				41
Derivative assets related to:					
Foreign currency		19			19
Corn	12	4		(12)	4
Soybeans	12	2		(12)	2
Energy and raw materials		2			2
Grower contracts		1			1
Total Assets at Fair Value	\$ 2,935	\$ 28	\$	\$ (24)	\$ 2,939
Liabilities at Fair Value:					
Derivative liabilities related to:					
Foreign currency	\$	\$ 9	\$	\$	\$ 9
Interest rates		79			79
Corn	14	4			18
Soybeans	8	2			10
Energy and raw materials		11			11
Grower contracts		3			3
Total Liabilities at Fair Value	\$ 22	\$ 108	\$	\$	\$ 130

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

	Fair Value Measurements at Aug. 31, 2011, Using Cash						
(D. II		. 10	. 10	Collateral	Net		
(Dollars in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Offset ⁽¹⁾	Balance		
Assets at Fair Value:							
Cash equivalents	\$ 1,896	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,896		
Short-term investments	302				302		
Equity securities	26				26		
Derivative assets related to:							
Foreign currency		3			3		
Corn	88	30		(84)	34		
Soybeans	21	2		(21)	2		
Energy and raw materials		3			3		
Total Assets at Fair Value	\$ 2,333	\$ 38	\$	\$ (105)	\$ 2,266		
Liabilities at Fair Value:							
Derivative liabilities related to:							
Foreign currency	\$	\$ 14	\$	\$	\$ 14		
Interest rates		38			38		
Corn	2	30			32		
Soybeans		1			1		
Energy and raw materials		9			9		
Total Liabilities at Fair Value	\$ 2	\$ 92	\$	\$	\$ 94		

During the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, there were no significant measurements of assets or liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition.

The recorded amounts of cash, trade receivables, miscellaneous receivables, third-party guarantees, accounts payable, grower accruals, accrued marketing programs, miscellaneous short-term accruals, and short-term debt approximate their fair values as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011.

NOTE 15. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Cash Flow Hedges

The company uses foreign currency options and foreign currency forward contracts as hedges of anticipated sales or purchases denominated in foreign currencies. The company enters into these contracts to protect itself against the risk that the eventual net cash flows will be adversely affected by changes in exchange rates.

⁽¹⁾ As allowed by the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the ASC, commodity derivative assets and liabilities have been offset by cash collateral due and paid under a master netting arrangement.

Monsanto s commodity price risk management strategy is to use derivative instruments to minimize significant unanticipated earnings fluctuations that may arise from volatility in commodity prices. Price fluctuations in commodities, mainly in corn and soybeans, can cause the actual prices paid to production growers for corn and soybean seeds to differ from anticipated cash outlays. Monsanto uses commodity futures and options contracts to manage these risks. Monsanto s energy and raw material risk management strategy is to use derivative instruments to minimize significant unanticipated manufacturing cost fluctuations that may arise from volatility in natural gas, diesel and ethylene prices.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Monsanto s interest rate risk management strategy is to use derivative instruments, such as forward-starting interest rate swaps, to minimize significant unanticipated earnings fluctuations that may arise from volatility in interest rates of the company s borrowings and to manage the interest rate sensitivity of its debt.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss and reclassified into earnings in the period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

The maximum term over which the company is hedging exposures to the variability of cash flow (for all forecasted transactions) is 18 months for foreign currency hedges, 32 months for commodity hedges and 6 months for interest rate hedges. During the next 12 months, a pretax net gain of approximately \$31 million will be reclassified from other comprehensive loss into earnings. During the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, no cash flow hedges were discontinued.

Fair-Value Hedges

The company uses commodity futures and options contracts as fair value hedges to manage the value of its soybean inventory. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, both the gain or loss on the derivative and the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings. No fair-value hedges were discontinued during the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, or Feb. 28, 2011.

Net Investment Hedges

To protect the value of its investment from adverse changes in exchange rates, the company may, from time to time, hedge a portion of its net investment in one or more of its foreign subsidiaries. Gains or losses on derivative instruments that are designated as a net investment hedge are included in accumulated foreign currency translation adjustment and reclassified into earnings in the period during which the hedged net investment is sold or liquidated.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The company uses foreign currency contracts to hedge the effects of fluctuations in exchange rates on foreign currency denominated third-party and intercompany receivables and payables. Both the gain or loss on the derivative and the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings.

The company uses commodity option contracts to hedge anticipated cash payments to corn growers in the United States, Mexico and Brazil, which can fluctuate with changes in corn price. Because these option contracts do not meet the provisions specified by the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the ASC, they do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Accordingly, the gain or loss on these derivatives is recognized in current earnings.

To reduce credit exposure in Latin America, Monsanto collects payments on certain customer accounts in grain. Such payments in grain are negotiated at or near the time Monsanto s products are sold to the customers and are valued at the prevailing grain commodity prices. By entering into forward sales contracts related to grain, Monsanto mitigates the commodity price exposure from the time a contract is signed with a customer until the time a grain merchant collects the grain from the customer on Monsanto s behalf. The forward sales contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the ASC. Accordingly, the gain or loss on these derivatives is recognized in current earnings.

Monsanto uses interest rate contracts to minimize the variability in forecasted cash flows arising from the company s VIE. The interest rate contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting under the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the ASC. Accordingly, the gain or loss on these derivatives is recognized in current earnings.

Certain of Monsanto s grower contracts that include minimum guaranteed payment provisions are considered derivatives under the Derivatives and Hedging Topic of the ASC. These contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Accordingly, the gain or loss on these derivatives is recognized in current earnings.

Financial instruments are neither held nor issued by the company for trading purposes.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

The notional amounts of the company s derivative instruments outstanding as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, were as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	As of Feb. 29, 2012		f Aug. 31, 2011
Derivatives Designated as Hedges:			
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 450	\$	359
Commodity contracts	879		517
Interest rate contracts	475		475
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedges	\$ 1,804	\$	1,351
	,		,
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges:			
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 989	\$	779
Commodity contracts	160		181
Interest rate contracts	192		153
Grower contracts	53		71
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges	\$ 1,394	\$	1,184

The fair values of the company s derivative instruments outstanding as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, were as follows:

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Balance Sheet Location		Fair As of Feb. 29,	r Value As of Aug. 31,
(Dollars in millions)		2012	2011
Asset Derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedges:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Miscellaneous receivables	\$ 4	\$ 1
Commodity contracts	Other current assets ⁽¹⁾	14	93
Commodity contracts	Other assets ⁽¹⁾	12	16
Total derivatives designated as hedges		30	110
Derivatives not designated as hedges:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Miscellaneous receivables	15	2
Commodity contracts	Trade receivables, net	2	30
Commodity contracts	Miscellaneous receivables	4	2
Commodity contracts	Other current assets ⁽¹⁾		3
Grower contracts	Other current assets ⁽¹⁾	1	
Total derivatives not designated as hedges		22	37
Total Asset Derivatives		\$ 52	\$ 147
Liability Derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedges:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Miscellaneous short-term accruals	\$ 2	\$ 9
Foreign exchange contracts	Other liabilities	4	
Commodity contracts	Other current assets ⁽¹⁾	13	2
Commodity contracts	Other assets ⁽¹⁾	9	
Commodity contracts	Miscellaneous short-term accruals	8	6
Commodity contracts	Other liabilities	3	4
Interest rate contracts	Miscellaneous short-term accruals	79	38
Total derivatives designated as hedges		118	59
Derivatives not designated as hedges:			
Foreign exchange contracts	Miscellaneous short-term accruals	3	5
Commodity contracts	Trade receivables, net	4	1
Commodity contracts	Miscellaneous short-term accruals	2	29
Grower contracts	Other assets ⁽¹⁾	3	
Total derivatives not designated as hedges		12	35
Total Liability Derivatives		\$ 130	\$ 94

As allowed by the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the ASC, corn and soybean commodity derivative assets and liabilities have been offset by cash collateral due and paid under a master netting arrangement. Therefore, all commodity contracts that are in an asset or liability position are included in asset accounts within the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position. See Note 14 Fair Value Measurements for a reconciliation to amounts reported in the Condensed Statements of Consolidated Financial Position as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011.

The gains and losses on the company s derivative instruments were as follows:

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	Recognize (Effecti	f Gain (Loss) d in AOCI ⁽¹⁾ ve Portion) onths Ended Feb. 28, 2011	Recognized	of Gain (Loss) in Income ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ onths Ended Feb. 28, 2011	Income Statement Classification
Derivatives Designated as Hedges:					
Fair value hedges:					
Commodity contracts ⁽⁴⁾			\$ (7)	\$ (12)	Cost of goods sold
Cash flow hedges:					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (6)	\$ (8)	1	(1)	Net sales
Foreign exchange contracts	(3)	(7)	1	4	Cost of goods sold
Commodity contracts	28	80	46	1	Cost of goods sold
Interest rate contracts	(4)	34	2	(1)	Interest expense
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedges	15	99	43	(9)	
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges:					
Foreign exchange contracts ⁽⁵⁾			11	3	Other expense, net
Commodity contracts				3	Net Sales
Commodity contracts			(3)		Cost of goods sold
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges			8	6	
Total Derivatives	\$ 15	\$ 99	\$ 51	\$ (3)	

(Dollars in millions)	Amount o Recognize (Effectiv Six Mor Feb. 29, 2012	d in A(ve Port nths En	OCI (1) ion)	Amount of Gain (Le Recognized in Incom- Six Months Ende Feb. 29, 2012 Feb. 28		me ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	Income Statement Classification	
Derivatives Designated as Hedges:								
Fair value hedges:								
Commodity contracts ⁽⁴⁾				\$	(7)	\$	(20)	Cost of goods sold
Cash flow hedges:								
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	\$	(13)		(3)		(3)	Net sales
Foreign exchange contracts	7		(9)		1		8	Cost of goods sold
Commodity contracts	(84)		121		55		(4)	Cost of goods sold
Interest rate contracts	(41)		75		(4)		(3)	Interest expense
Total Derivatives Designated as Hedges	(118)		174		42		(22)	
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges:							. ,	
Foreign exchange contracts ⁽⁵⁾					(12)		3	Other expense, net

Commodity contracts			(2)	2	Net sales
Commodity contracts			(9)	(1)	Cost of goods sold
Total Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges			(23)	4	
Total Derivatives	\$ (118)	\$ 174	\$ 19	\$ (18)	

- (1) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI)
- (2) For derivatives designated as cash flow and net investment hedges under the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the ASC, this represents the effective portion of the gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income during the period.
- (3) Gain or loss on commodity cash flow hedges includes a gain of \$1 million and a gain of less than \$1 million from ineffectiveness for the three months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively, and a gain of less than \$1 million and \$1 million from ineffectiveness for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively. There were no hedges discontinued for the three or six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011.
- (4) Loss on commodity fair value hedges is offset by a gain of \$5 million and \$8 million on the underlying hedged inventory for the three months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively, and a gain of \$5 million and \$15 million on the underlying hedged inventory for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively. A loss from ineffectiveness of \$(2) million and \$(4) million, respectively, during the three months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, and a loss from ineffectiveness of \$(2) million and \$(5) million, respectively, during the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, was included in cost of goods sold.
- Gain or loss on foreign exchange contracts not designated as hedges is offset by a foreign currency transaction loss of \$(11) million and \$(24) million during the three months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively, and a gain of \$6 million and a loss of \$(38) million during the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Most of the company's outstanding foreign-currency derivatives are covered by International Swap Dealers. Association (ISDA) Master Agreements with the counterparties. There are no requirements to post collateral under these agreements; however, should the company's credit rating fall below a specified rating immediately following the merger of the company with another entity, the counterparty may require all outstanding derivatives under the ISDA Master Agreement to be settled immediately at current market value, which equals carrying value. Any foreign-currency derivatives that are not covered by ISDA Master Agreements do not have credit-risk-related contingent provisions. Most of the company is outstanding commodity derivatives are listed commodity futures, and the company is required by the relevant commodity exchange to post collateral each day to cover the change in the fair value of these futures. Any non-exchange traded commodity derivatives are covered by the aforementioned ISDA Master Agreements and are subject to the same credit-risk-related contingent provisions, as are the company is interest rate derivatives. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments under ISDA Master Agreements that are in a liability position is \$96 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and \$50 million as of Aug. 31, 2011, which is the amount that would be required for settlement if the credit-risk-related contingent provisions underlying these agreements were triggered.

Credit Risk Management

Monsanto invests its excess cash in deposits with major banks or money market funds throughout the world in high-quality short-term debt instruments. Such investments are made only in instruments issued or enhanced by high-quality institutions. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, the company had no financial instruments that represented a significant concentration of credit risk. Limited amounts are invested in any single institution to minimize risk. The company has not incurred any credit risk losses related to those investments.

The company sells a broad range of agricultural products to a diverse group of customers throughout the world. In the United States, the company makes substantial sales to relatively few large wholesale customers. The company s agricultural products business is highly seasonal, and it is subject to weather conditions that affect commodity prices and seed yields. Credit limits, ongoing credit evaluation, and account monitoring procedures are used to minimize the risk of loss. Collateral is secured when it is deemed appropriate by the company.

Monsanto regularly evaluates its business practices to minimize its credit risk and periodically engages multiple banks in the United States, Argentina, Brazil and Europe in the development of customer financing options that involve direct bank financing of customer purchases. For further information on these programs, see Note 4 Customer Financing Programs.

NOTE 16. POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS PENSIONS, HEALTH CARE AND OTHER

The majority of Monsanto s employees are covered by noncontributory pension plans sponsored by the company. The company also provides certain postretirement health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees through insurance contracts. The company s net periodic benefit cost for pension benefits, and health care and other postretirement benefits include the following components:

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Three Months Ended Feb. 29, 2012 Pension Benefits									onths E	nded Fe	led Feb. 28, 2011				
	Outside the						Outside the								
(Dollars in millions)	U.S	S.	U	.S.	-	Fotal	Į	J.S.	U	.S.	Т	otal			
Service Cost for Benefits Earned During the Period		12	\$	2	\$	14	\$		\$	1	\$	15			
Interest Cost on Benefit Obligation		18		3		21		20		3		23			
Assumed Return on Plan Assets	(2	26)		(3)		(29)		(26)		(4)		(30)			
Amortization of Unrecognized Net Loss		13		1		14		17		2		19			
Curtailment and Settlement Charge				2		2				2		2			
Total Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$	17	\$	5	\$	22	\$	25	\$	4	\$	29			
Pension Benefits	Si	ix Moi	nths En	ded Feb	. 29,	2012		Six Mo	nths En	ded Feb	. 28, 2	2011			
			Outsi	de the	ŕ				Outsi	de the					
Pension Benefits (Dollars in millions)	Si:		Outsi		ŕ	2012 Γotal		Six Mo J.S.	Outsi			2011 Cotal			
(Dollars in millions)	U.S	S.	Outsi U	de the		Γotal	ι	J.S.	Outsi U	de the	Т	`otal			
(Dollars in millions) Service Cost for Benefits Earned During the Period	U.\$	S. 34	Outsi	de the .S.	ŕ	Γotal 38		J.S.	Outsi	de the .S.		otal			
(Dollars in millions) Service Cost for Benefits Earned During the Period Interest Cost on Benefit Obligation	U.S	S. 34 52	Outsi U	de the .S. 4		Total 38 58	ι	J.S. 30 43	Outsi U	de the .S. 4	T \$	Total 34 49			
(Dollars in millions) Service Cost for Benefits Earned During the Period Interest Cost on Benefit Obligation Assumed Return on Plan Assets	U.S	S. 34 52 75)	Outsi U	de the .S. 4 6 (6)		38 58 (81)	ι	J.S. 30 43 (55)	Outsi U	de the .S. 4 6 (8)	T \$	34 49 (63)			
(Dollars in millions) Service Cost for Benefits Earned During the Period Interest Cost on Benefit Obligation Assumed Return on Plan Assets Amortization of Unrecognized Net Loss	U.S	S. 34 52	Outsi U	de the .S. 4 6 (6) 2		38 58 (81) 39	ι	J.S. 30 43	Outsi U	de the .S. 4 6 (8) 3	T \$	34 49 (63) 39			
(Dollars in millions) Service Cost for Benefits Earned During the Period Interest Cost on Benefit Obligation Assumed Return on Plan Assets	U.S	S. 34 52 75)	Outsi U	de the .S. 4 6 (6)		38 58 (81)	ι	J.S. 30 43 (55)	Outsi U	de the .S. 4 6 (8)	T \$	34 49 (63)			

Three M	onths Ended	Six Months Ended		
Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011	
\$ 2	\$ 3	\$ 5	\$ 5	
2	3	5	5	
(1)	(1)	(3)	(1)	
\$ 3	\$ 5	\$ 7	\$ 9	
	Feb. 29, 2012 \$ 2 2	\$ 2	Feb. 29, 2012 Feb. 28, 2011 Feb. 29, 2012 \$ 2 \$ 3 \$ 5 2 3 5 (1) (1) (3)	

Monsanto contributed \$20 million and \$30 million to its U.S. qualified plan in the six-month period ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively. Monsanto contributed \$8 million to plans outside the United States in each of the six-month periods ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011. Management is currently evaluating the initial results of the funded status of its U.S. qualified plan. As of February 29, 2012, management expects to make additional contributions of approximately \$20 million and \$5 million to the U.S. qualified plan and the company s

pension plans outside the United States, respectively, during the remainder of fiscal year 2012. Pending management s completion of its evaluation of the funded status of its pension plans, the company may reassess the expected remaining fiscal year 2012 pension plan contributions.

Employee Savings Plan

The Monsanto leveraged employee stock ownership plan debt was restructured in December 2004 and November 2008 to level out the future allocation of stock thereunder in an impartial manner intended to ensure equitable treatment for and generally to be in the best interests of current and future plan participants consistent with the level of benefits that Monsanto intended for the plan to provide to participants. To that end, the terms of the restructuring were determined pursuant to an arm s length negotiation between Monsanto and an independent trust company serving as fiduciary for the plan for this restructuring. In this role, the independent fiduciary determined that the restructuring, including certain financial commitments and enhancements that were made or will be made in the future by Monsanto to benefit participants and beneficiaries of the plan, was completed in accordance with the best interests of plan participants. A liability of \$62 million and \$59 million is due to the Monsanto Savings and Investment Plan from the company and is included in other liabilities on the Condensed Statements of Financial Position as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively, related to these restructurings.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

NOTE 17. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table shows total stock-based compensation expense included in the Statements of Consolidated Operations for the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011. Stock-based compensation cost capitalized in inventory was \$7 million for both periods as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011.

	Three M	Six Months Ended				
(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28	, 2011	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 2	28, 2011
Cost of Goods Sold	\$ 9	\$	4	\$ 12	\$	9
Selling, General and Administrative Expenses	28		17	43		33
Research and Development Expenses	11		7	16		13
Pre-Tax Stock-Based Compensation Expense	48		28	71		55
Income Tax Benefit	(16)		(10)	(24)		(19)
Net Stock-Based Compensation Expense	\$ 32	\$	18	\$ 47	\$	36

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation activity for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, for employees under the Monsanto Company Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), as amended, and the Monsanto Company 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated effective Jan. 24, 2012 (2005 LTIP), and for directors under the Monsanto Non-Employee Director Incentive Compensation Plan (Director Plan):

	LTI	P and 2005 LTIP	Director Plan			
	Stock Options	Restricted Stock Units Stock	Deferred Restricted Stock Stock			
Granted	2,273,790	543,564	21,472 4,418			
Weighted-average grant date fair value	\$ 21.91	\$ 74.58 \$	\$ 68.93 \$ 68.93			

Pre-tax unrecognized compensation expense for stock options, net of estimated forfeitures, was \$80 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and will be recognized as expense over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years. Pre-tax unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, for nonvested restricted stock units and restricted stock was \$69 million and less than \$1 million, respectively, as of Feb. 29, 2012, which will be recognized as expense over the weighted-average remaining requisite service periods. The weighted-average remaining requisite service periods for nonvested restricted stock units and restricted stock were both 2.1 years as of Feb. 29, 2012. Pre-tax unrecognized compensation expense for awards granted under the Director Plan was less than \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and will be recognized as expense over a weighted-average period of less than one year.

NOTE 18. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income includes all nonshareowner changes in equity. It consists of net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, postretirement benefit plan activity, and net accumulated derivative gains and losses on cash flow hedges not yet realized. Information regarding comprehensive income is as follows:

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended			
(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb.	28, 2011	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb.	28, 2011			
Comprehensive Income	\$ 1,443	\$	1,295	\$ 921	\$	1,504			

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows:

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 012	Aug. 31, 2011
Accumulated Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	\$ (71)	\$ 270
Net Unrealized Gain on Investments, Net of Tax	4	
Net Accumulated Derivative (Loss)/Income, Net of Tax	(39)	63
Postretirement Benefit Plan Activity, Net of Tax	(427)	(449)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$ (533)	\$ (116)

NOTE 19. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (EPS) was computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the periods shown in the table below. For the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, diluted EPS was computed taking into account the effect of dilutive potential common shares, as shown in the table below. Potential common shares consist primarily of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and directors—deferred shares calculated using the treasury stock method and are excluded if their effect is antidilutive. Approximately 7 million stock options for both the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and 12 million stock options for both the three and six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, were excluded from the computations of dilutive potential common shares as they were antidilutive. Of those antidilutive options, approximately 4 million and 7 million stock options for the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, respectively, and 8 million stock options for both the three and six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, were excluded from the computations of dilutive potential common shares as their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of common shares for the period.

	Three Mo	onths Ended	Six Months Ended		
	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011	
Weighted-Average Number of Common Shares	534.4	536.3	534.9	537.6	
Dilutive Potential Common Shares	6.1	6.1	6.0	6.1	

NOTE 20. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash payments for interest and taxes were as follows:

Six Months Ended

(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28, 2011		
Interest	\$ 88	\$	83	
Taxes	130		66	

In second quarter 2012 and 2011, the board of directors declared a dividend which was payable in third quarter 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, a dividend payable of \$160 million and \$150 million, respectively, was recorded.

NOTE 21. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental and Litigation Liabilities: Monsanto is involved in environmental remediation and legal proceedings to which we are party in our own name and proceedings to which our former parent Pharmacia Corporation or its former subsidiary Solutia Inc. is a party but that we manage and for which we are responsible. In addition, Monsanto has liabilities established for various product claims. With respect to certain of these proceedings, Monsanto has a liability recorded of \$276 million and \$265 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively, for the estimated contingent liabilities. Information regarding the environmental liabilities appears in Monsanto s Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Litigation: The above liability includes amounts related to certain third-party litigation with respect to Monsanto s business, as well as tort litigation related to Pharmacia s former chemical business, including lawsuits involving polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins, and other chemical and premises liability litigation. Additional matters that are not reflected in the liability may arise in the future, and Monsanto may manage, settle, or pay judgments or damages with respect thereto in order to mitigate contesting potential liability. Following is a description of one of the more significant litigation matters for which we have accrued our best estimate as part of the above liability.

As described in our Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011, and our Report on Form 10-O for the quarterly period ended Nov. 30, 2011, on Dec. 17, 2004, 15 plaintiffs filed a purported class action lawsuit, styled Virdie Allen, et al. v. Monsanto, et al., in the Putnam County, West Virginia, state court against Monsanto, Pharmacia and seven other defendants. Monsanto is named as the successor in interest to the liabilities of Pharmacia. The alleged class consists of all current and former residents, workers, and students who, between 1949 and the present, were allegedly exposed to dioxins/furans contamination in counties surrounding Nitro, West Virginia. The complaint alleges that the source of the contamination is a chemical plant in Nitro, formerly owned and operated by Pharmacia and later by Flexsys, a joint venture between Solutia and Akzo Nobel Chemicals, Inc. (Akzo Nobel). Akzo Nobel and Flexsys were named defendants in the case but Solutia was not, due to its then pending bankruptcy proceeding. The suit seeks damages for property cleanup costs, loss of real estate value, funds to test property for contamination levels, funds to test for human exposure, and future medical monitoring costs. The complaint also seeks an injunction against further contamination and punitive damages. Monsanto has agreed to indemnify and defend Akzo Nobel and the Flexsys defendant group, but on May 27, 2011, the judge dismissed both Akzo Nobel and Flexsys from the case. The class action certification hearing was held on Oct. 29, 2007. On Jan. 8, 2008, the trial court issued an order certifying the Allen (now Zina G. Bibb et al. v. Monsanto et al., because Bibb replaced Allen as class representative) case as a class action for property damage and for medical monitoring. On Nov. 2, 2011, the court, in response to defense motions, entered an order decertifying the property class. After the trial for the Bibb medical monitoring class action began on Jan. 3, 2012, the parties reached a settlement in principle as to both the medical monitoring and the property class claims. The proposed settlement provides for a 30 year medical monitoring program consisting of a primary fund of up to \$21 million and an additional fund of up to \$63 million over the life of the program, and a three year property remediation plan with funding up to \$9 million. On Feb. 24, 2012, the court preliminarily approved the parties proposed settlement. A fairness hearing is set for June 18, 2012, after which the judge will issue a ruling regarding final approval of the class settlement.

In October 2007 and November 2009, a total of approximately 200 separate, single plaintiff civil actions were filed in Putnam County, West Virginia, against Monsanto, Pharmacia, Akzo Nobel (and several of its affiliates), Flexsys America Co. (and several of its affiliates), Solutia, and Apogee Coal Company, LLC. These cases allege personal injury occasioned by exposure to dioxin generated by the Nitro Plant during production of 2,4,5 T (1949-1969) and thereafter. Monsanto has agreed to accept the tenders of defense in the matters by Pharmacia, Solutia, Akzo Nobel, Flexsys America, and Apogee Coal under a reservation of rights. During the discovery phase of these several claims, the parties reached an agreement in principle to resolve all pending personal injury claims which is reflected in the above liability.

Including litigation reflected in the liability, Monsanto is involved in various legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of its business or pursuant to Monsanto s indemnification obligations to Pharmacia, as well as proceedings that management has considered to be material under SEC regulations. Some of the lawsuits seek damages in very large amounts, or seek to restrict the company s business activities. Monsanto believes that it has meritorious legal arguments and will continue to represent its interests vigorously in all of the proceedings that it is defending or prosecuting. Although the ultimate liabilities resulting from such proceedings, or the proceedings reflected in the above liability, may be significant to profitability in the period recognized, management does not anticipate they will have a material adverse effect on Monsanto s consolidated results of operations, financial position, cash flows or liquidity.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Guarantees: Disclosures regarding the guarantees Monsanto provides for certain customer loans in the United States, Brazil, Europe, and Argentina can be found in Note 4 Customer Financing Programs of this Form 10-Q. Except as described in that note, there have been no significant changes to guarantees made by Monsanto since Aug. 31, 2011. Disclosures regarding these guarantees made by Monsanto can be found in Note 26 Commitments and Contingencies of the notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in Monsanto s Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011.

NOTE 22. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Monsanto conducts its worldwide operations through global businesses, which are aggregated into reportable segments based on similarity of products, production processes, customers, distribution methods and economic characteristics. The operating segments are aggregated into two reportable segments: Seeds and Genomics and Agricultural Productivity. The Seeds and Genomics segment consists of the global seeds and related traits businesses and biotechnology platforms. Within the Seeds and Genomics segment, Monsanto s significant operating segments are corn seed and traits, soybean seed and traits, cotton seed and traits, vegetable seeds and all other crops seeds and traits. In February 2011, the company reorganized the operating segments within our Agricultural Productivity reportable segment as a result of a change in the way the Chief Executive Officer, who is the chief operating decision maker, evaluates the performance of operations, develops strategy and allocates capital resources. The ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides operating segment and the all other agricultural products operating segments within Agricultural Productivity were combined into one operating segment titled Agricultural Productivity representing our weed management platform and supporting our Seeds and Genomics business. The change in operating segments had no impact on the company s reportable segments. The historical segment disclosures have been recast. EBIT is defined as earnings (loss) before interest and taxes and is an operating performance measure for the two reportable segments. EBIT is useful to management in demonstrating the operational profitability of the segments by excluding interest and taxes, which are generally accounted for across the entire company on a consolidated basis. Sales between segments were not significant. Certain SG&A expenses are allocated between segments based on activity. Based on the Agricultural Productivity segment s relative contribution to total Monsanto operations, the allocation percentages were changed at the beginning of fiscal year 2011 and remain consistent for fiscal year 2012.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

Data for the Seeds and Genomics and Agricultural Productivity reportable segments, as well as for Monsanto s significant operating segments, is presented in the table that follows:

	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended			
(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb	. 28, 2011	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb.	28, 2011	
Net Sales ⁽¹⁾							
Corn seed and traits	\$ 2,816	\$	2,397	\$ 3,711	\$	3,011	
Soybean seed and traits	689	Ψ	615	931	Ψ	841	
Cotton seed and traits	66		67	260		179	
Vegetable seeds	215		229	372		412	
All other crops seeds and traits	138		113	184		141	
Total seeds and genomics	\$ 3,924	\$	3,421	\$ 5,458	\$	4,584	
Agricultural productivity	824		710	1,729		1,383	
				ŕ			
Total agricultural productivity	\$ 824	\$	710	\$ 1,729	\$	1,383	
Total	\$ 4,748	\$	4,131	\$ 7,187	\$	5,967	
Total	φ +,7 +0	Ψ	4,131	φ 1,101	Ψ	3,907	
Gross Profit							
Corn seed and traits	\$ 1,847	\$	1,506	\$ 2,378	\$	1,845	
Soybean seed and traits	443		414	616		567	
Cotton seed and traits	47		42	182		116	
Vegetable seeds	85		125	166		238	
All other crops seeds and traits	69		44	65		44	
Total seeds and genomics	\$ 2,491	\$	2,131	\$ 3,407	\$	2,810	
Total seeds and genomics	Ф 2,491	Ф	2,131	φ 3,407	Ф	2,010	
Agricultural productivity	214		179	394		324	
Total agricultural productivity	\$ 214	\$	179	\$ 394	\$	324	
Total agricultural productivity	\$ 214	Ф	1/9	р 394	Ф	324	
Total	\$ 2,705	\$	2,310	\$ 3,801	\$	3,134	
EBIT ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾							
Seeds and genomics	\$ 1,699	\$	1,403	\$ 1,846	\$	1,383	
Agricultural productivity	82		62	164		127	
	4. - 0.						
Total	\$ 1,781	\$	1,465	\$ 2,010	\$	1,510	

Depreciation and Amortization Expense					
Seeds and genomics	\$ 1	28 \$	124	\$ 255	\$ 246
Agricultural productivity		28	31	56	64
Total	\$ 1	56 \$	155	\$ 311	\$ 310

- (1) Represents net sales from continuing operations.
- (2) EBIT is defined as earnings (loss) before interest and taxes; see the following table for reconciliation. Earnings (loss) is intended to mean net income (loss) as presented in the Statements of Consolidated Operations under generally accepted accounting principles. EBIT is an operating performance measure for the two reportable segments.
- (3) Agricultural Productivity EBIT includes income from operations of discontinued businesses of \$11 million and \$4 million for the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, respectively.
- (4) EBIT includes restructuring charges for three and six months ended Feb. 28, 2011. See Note 6 Restructuring for additional information. A reconciliation of EBIT to net income for each period follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Mo Feb. 29, 2012	anded 28, 2011	Six Mor Feb. 29, 2012	ded 28, 2011	
EBIT ⁽¹⁾ Interest Expense Net	\$ 1,781 27	\$	1,465 20	\$ 2,010 62	\$ 1,510 48
Income Tax Provision ⁽²⁾	543		427	611	435
Net Income Attributable to Monsanto Company	\$ 1,211	\$	1,018	\$ 1,337	\$ 1,027

- (1) Includes the income from operations of discontinued businesses and pre-tax noncontrolling interest.
- (2) Includes the income tax provision from continuing operations, the income tax benefit on noncontrolling interest and the income tax provision on discontinued operations.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNAUDITED (continued)

NOTE 23. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Dairy Business Divestiture: During fourth quarter 2008, the company determined that the Dairy business was no longer consistent with its strategic business objectives, and thus entered into an agreement to sell the majority of the Dairy business assets (excluding cash, trade receivables and certain property) to Eli Lilly and Company for \$300 million, plus additional contingent consideration. The contingent consideration is a 10 year earn-out with potential annual payments being earned by Monsanto if certain revenue levels are exceeded. During the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, income from operations of discontinued business included an \$11 million and \$2 million pre-tax gain related to the contingency, respectively. During the three and six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, income from operations of discontinued business included a \$2 million pre-tax gain related to the sale of assets. The Dairy business was previously reported as a part of the Agricultural Productivity segment.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW

Background

Monsanto Company, along with its subsidiaries, is a leading global provider of agricultural products for farmers. Our seeds, biotechnology trait products, and herbicides provide farmers with solutions that improve productivity, reduce the costs of farming, and produce better foods for consumers and better feed for animals.

We manage our business in two segments: Seeds and Genomics and Agricultural Productivity. Through our Seeds and Genomics segment, we produce leading seed brands, including DEKALB, ASGROW, DELTAPINE, SEMINIS and DE RUITER, and we develop biotechnology traits that assist farmers in controlling insects and weeds. We also provide other seed companies with genetic material and biotechnology traits for their seed brands. Through our Agricultural Productivity segment, we manufacture ROUNDUP and HARNESS brand herbicides and other herbicides.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we entered into an agreement to divest the Dairy business. This transaction was consummated on Oct. 1, 2008. As a result, financial statements have been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the Property, Plant and Equipment topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC). Accordingly, the Statements of Consolidated Operations have been conformed to this presentation. The Dairy business was previously reported as part of the Agricultural Productivity segment. See Note 23 Discontinued Operations for further details.

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) should be read in conjunction with Monsanto s consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. This Report on Form 10-Q should also be read in conjunction with Monsanto s Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011. Financial information for the first six months of fiscal year 2012 should not be annualized because of the seasonality of our business. The notes to the consolidated financial statements referred to throughout this MD&A are included in Part I Item 1 Financial Statements of this Report on Form 10-Q. Unless otherwise indicated, Monsanto, the company, we, our and us are used interchangeably to refer to Monsanto Company or to Monsanto Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, a appropriate to the context. Unless otherwise indicated, earnings (loss) per share and per share mean diluted earnings (loss) per share. Unless otherwise noted, all amounts and analyses are based on continuing operations. Unless otherwise indicated, trademarks owned or licensed by Monsanto or its subsidiaries are shown in all capital letters. Unless otherwise indicated, references to ROUNDUP herbicides mean ROUNDUP branded herbicides, excluding all lawn-and-garden herbicides, and references to ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides exclude all lawn-and-garden herbicides.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

MD&A includes financial information prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), as well as two other financial measures, EBIT and free cash flow, that are considered non-GAAP financial measures. Generally, a non-GAAP financial measure is a numerical measure of a company s financial performance, financial position or cash flows that exclude (or include) amounts that are included in (or excluded from) the most directly comparable measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. The presentation of EBIT and free cash flow information is intended to supplement investors understanding of our operating performance and liquidity. Our EBIT and free cash flow measures may not be comparable to other companies EBIT and free cash flow measures. Furthermore, these measures are not intended to replace net income, cash flows, financial position, or comprehensive income, as determined in accordance with GAAP.

EBIT is defined as earnings (loss) before interest and taxes. Earnings (loss) is intended to mean net income (loss) attributable to Monsanto Company as presented in the Statements of Consolidated Operations under GAAP. We believe that EBIT is useful to investors and management to demonstrate the operational profitability of our segments by excluding interest and taxes, which are generally accounted for across the entire company on a consolidated basis. EBIT is also one of the measures used by Monsanto management to determine resource allocations within the

company. See Note 22 Segment Information for a reconciliation of EBIT to net income attributable to Monsanto Company for the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

We also provide information regarding free cash flow, an important liquidity measure for Monsanto. We define free cash flow as the total of net cash provided or required by operating activities and provided or required by investing activities. We believe that free cash flow is useful to investors and management as a measure of the ability of our business to generate cash. This cash can be used to meet business needs and obligations, to reinvest in the company for future growth, or to return to our shareowners through dividend payments or share repurchases. Free cash flow is also used by management as one of the performance measures in determining incentive compensation. See the Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources Cash Flow section of MD&A for a reconciliation of free cash flow to net cash provided (required) by operating activities and net cash required by investing activities on the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows.

Executive Summary

Consolidated Operating Results Net sales increased \$617 million, or 15 percent, in the three-month comparison and \$1,220 million, or 20 percent, in the six-month comparison. This improvement was a result of increased sales of corn seed and traits in Latin America and the United States, as well as increased cotton seed and traits net sales primarily in Australia, combined with increased Agricultural Productivity net sales due to a shift to higher priced branded ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides. Net income attributable to Monsanto Company in first half 2012 was \$2.47 per share, compared with \$1.89 per share in first half 2011.

Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources In first half 2012, net cash provided by operating activities was \$1,672 million, compared with \$1,441 million in the prior-year period. This increase was primarily due to improved earnings and a favorable change in trade receivables, partially offset by accounts payable and other accrued liabilities. Net cash required by investing activities was \$402 million in first half of 2012 compared with \$524 million in first half of 2011, primarily due to purchases of short-term investments in the prior-year period. As a result, free cash flow improved to \$1,270 million for the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, compared with \$917 million for the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011. For a more detailed discussion of the factors affecting the free cash flow comparison, see the Cash Flow section of the Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources section in this MD&A.

Outlook We plan to continue to innovate and improve our products in order to maintain market leadership and to support near-term performance. We are focused on applying innovation and technology to make our farmer customers more productive and profitable by protecting yields and improving the ways they can produce food, fiber, feed and fuel. We use the tools of modern biology to make seeds easier to grow, to allow farmers to do more with fewer resources, and to help produce healthier foods for consumers. Our current research and development (R&D) strategy and commercial priorities are focused on bringing our farmer customers second- and third-generation traits, on delivering multiple solutions in one seed (stacking), and on developing new pipeline products. Our capabilities in biotechnology and breeding research are generating a rich product pipeline that is expected to drive long-term growth. The viability of our product pipeline depends in part on the speed of regulatory approvals globally, and on continued patent and legal rights to offer our products.

ROUNDUP herbicides remain the largest crop protection brand globally. Following a period of increasing inventories within the global glyphosate market and expansion of global glyphosate production capacity, the market remains in an overcapacity position. As a result, the significant supply of lower-priced generics continues to cause increased competitive pressure in the market. We are focused on managing the costs associated with our agricultural chemistry business as that sector matures globally.

See the Outlook section of MD&A for a more detailed discussion of some of the opportunities and risks we have identified for our business. For additional information related to the outlook for Monsanto, see Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of this Report on Form 10-Q, Part II Item 1A Risk Factors below and Part I Item 1A of our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	Th Feb. 29, 2012		ths Ended 8, 2011	Change	Feb. 2	Si 29, 2012		nths Ended 28, 2011	Change
()			-,			,		,	
Net Sales	\$ 4,748	\$ 4	4,131	15 %	\$ 7	,187	\$	5,967	20 %
Gross Profit	2,705	2	2,310	17 %	3	,801		3,134	21 %
Operating Expenses:									
Selling, general and administrative expenses	543		502	8 %	1	,043		952	10 %
Research and development expenses	353		320	10 %		704		623	13 %
Restructuring charges, net			1	NM				8	NM
Total Operating Expenses	896		823	9 %	1	,747		1,583	10 %
Income from Operations	1 900		1 107	22.07	_	054		1 551	32 %
Income from Operations	1,809 47		1,487 39	22 % 21 %	4	2,054 100		1,551 82	32 % 22 %
Interest expense Interest income	(20)		(19)	5 %		(38)		(34)	12 %
Other expense net	37		11	236 %		43		23	87 %
Other expense there	31		11	230 /0		43		23	67 70
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	1,745		1,456	20 %	1	,949		1,480	32 %
Income tax provision	540		429	26 %		610		438	39 %
			,						
Income from Continuing Operations Including Portion									
Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest	1,205		1,027	17 %	1	,339		1,042	29 %
b	,		,			,		,	
Discontinued Operations:									
Income from operations of discontinued businesses	11		4	175 %		11		4	175 %
Income tax provision	4		1	300 %		4		1	300 %
Income on Discontinued Operations	7		3	133 %		7		3	133 %
Net Income	\$ 1,212	\$	1,030	18 %	\$ 1	,346	\$	1,045	29 %
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1		12	(92)%		9		18	(50)%
Net Income Attributable to Monsanto Company	\$ 1,211	\$	1,018	19 %	\$ 1	,337	\$	1,027	30 %
Diluted Earnings per Share Attributable to Monsanto									
Company:									
Income from continuing operations	\$ 2.23	\$	1.87	19 %	\$	2.46	\$	1.89	30 %
Income on discontinued operations	0.01		0.01	NM		0.01			NM
Net Income Attributable to Monsanto Company	\$ 2.24	\$	1.88	19 %	\$	2.47	\$	1.89	31 %
company	¥ 2.2 3	Ψ		1,7 70	Ψ	_, ,	Ψ	1.07	21 /0
NM = Not Meaningful									
Effective Tax Rate	21.0/		2007			2107		2007	
Energive Tax Rate	31%		29%			31%		30%	

Comparison as a Percent of Net Sales:

Gross profit	57%	56%	53 % 53%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	11%	12%	15% 16%
Research and development expenses	7%	8%	10% 10%
Total operating expenses	19%	20%	24% 27%
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	37%	35%	27% 25%
Net income attributable to Monsanto Company	26%	25%	19% 17%

Second Quarter Fiscal Year 2012

The following explanations discuss the significant components of our results of operations that affected the quarter-to-quarter comparison of our second quarter income from continuing operations:

Net sales increased 15 percent in second quarter 2012 from the same quarter a year ago. Our Seeds and Genomics segment net sales increased 15 percent, and our Agricultural Productivity segment net sales increased 16 percent. The following table presents the percentage changes in second quarter 2012 worldwide net sales by segment compared with net sales in the prior-year quarter, including the effect volume, price, currency and acquisitions had on these percentage changes:

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

Second Quarter 2012 Percentage Change in Net Sales vs. Second Quarter 2011 Impact of

	Volume	Price	Currency	Subtotal	Acquisitions(1)	Net Change
Seeds and Genomics Segment	7 %	10 %	(2)%	15 %		15 %
Agricultural Productivity Segment	7 %	11 %	(2)%	16 %		16 %
Total Monsanto Company	7 %	10 %	(2)%	15 %		15 %

(1) See Note 3 Business Combinations and Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources in MD&A for details of our acquisitions in fiscal years 2012 and 2011. Acquisitions are segregated in this presentation for one year from the acquisition date.
For a more detailed discussion of the factors affecting the net sales comparison, see the Seeds and Genomics Segment and the Agricultural Productivity Segment sections.

Gross profit increased 17 percent in the three-month comparison. Gross profit as a percent of net sales (gross profit percentage) for the total company increased one percentage point to 57 percent in second quarter 2012 primarily driven by the shift to higher margin corn seed and traits products primarily in the United States and Latin America. Gross profit percentage in the Seeds and Genomics Segment increased one percentage point to 63 percent in second quarter 2012. See the Seeds and Genomics Segment section of MD&A for further details. Gross profit percentage for the Agricultural Productivity segment increased one percentage point to 26 percent in second quarter 2012. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the average net selling prices of ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides related to a shift in sales to higher priced branded products. See the Agricultural Productivity Segment section of the MD&A for further details regarding the Agricultural Productivity gross profit.

Operating expenses increased nine percent, or \$73 million, in second quarter 2012 from the prior-year comparable quarter. In the three-month comparison, selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses increased eight percent primarily because of higher incentive compensation and stock-based compensation expense. R&D expenses increased 10 percent related to the increase in our expanded product pipeline. As a percent of net sales, SG&A decreased one percentage point to 11 percent, and R&D expenses decreased one percentage point to seven percent.

Interest expense increased \$8 million in the quarter-over-quarter comparison primarily due to increased customer financing activities in second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to second quarter of fiscal year 2011.

Other expense net was \$37 million in second quarter 2012, compared with \$11 million in the prior-year quarter. The change occurred primarily due to a legal settlement for claims related to a previously owned chemistry plant located in Nitro, West Virginia, and was partially offset by a decrease in foreign currency losses in the current period compared to the prior-year period. The prior-year period currency losses primarily related to the Venezuela currency devaluation.

Income tax provision was \$540 million in second quarter 2012, an increase of \$111 million over the prior-year quarter primarily as a result of the increase in pretax income from continuing operations. The effective tax rate increased to 31 percent in second quarter 2012 from 29 percent in the prior-year quarter. Second quarter 2012 included several discrete tax adjustments resulting in a tax benefit of \$2 million. The majority of this benefit resulted from the expiration of statutes and the favorable resolution of tax matters in various jurisdictions offset by deferred tax adjustments and tax reserves established in multiple jurisdictions. Second quarter 2011 included several discrete tax adjustments resulting in a tax benefit of \$18 million. The majority of this benefit resulted from the enactment of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 which led to the retroactive extension of the research and development credit (R&D credit) and the expiration of statutes in several ex-U.S. jurisdictions. Without the discrete items, our effective tax rate for second quarter 2012 would have been comparable to the 2011 rate.

First Half of Fiscal Year 2012

The following explanations discuss the significant components of our results of operations that affected the six-month comparison of our first half of fiscal years 2012 and 2011 income from continuing operations:

Net sales increased 20 percent in first half 2012 from the same period a year ago. Our Seeds and Genomics segment net sales increased 19 percent, and our Agricultural Productivity segment net sales increased 25 percent, as well. The following table

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

presents the percentage changes in first half 2012 worldwide net sales by segment compared with net sales in the prior-year first half, including the effect volume, price, currency and acquisitions had on these percentage changes:

First Half 2012 Percentage Change in Net Sales vs. First Half 2011 Impact of

	Volume	Price	Currency	Subtotal	Acquisitions ⁽¹⁾	Net Change
Seeds and Genomics Segment	10 %	10 %	(1)%	19 %		19 %
Agricultural Productivity Segment	23 %	3 %	(1)%	25 %		25 %
Total Monsanto Company	13 %	8 %	(1)%	20 %		20 %

(1) See Note 3 Business Combinations and Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources in MD&A for details of our acquisitions in fiscal years 2012 and 2011. Acquisitions are segregated in this presentation for one year from the acquisition date.
For a more detailed discussion of the factors affecting the net sales comparison, see the Seeds and Genomics Segment and the Agricultural Productivity Segment sections.

Gross profit increased 21 percent in the six-month comparison. Gross profit percentage for the total company remained flat at 53 percent in first half 2012. Gross profit percentage in the Seeds and Genomics segment increased one percentage point to 62 percent in first half 2012, primarily driven by the shift to higher margin corn seed and traits products especially in the United States and Latin America. See the Seeds and Genomics Segment section of MD&A for further details. Gross profit percentage for the Agricultural Productivity segment remained flat at 23 percent in the first six months of 2012. See the Agricultural Productivity Segment section of the MD&A for the further information regarding the Agricultural Productivity gross profit.

Operating expenses increased 10 percent, or \$164 million, in first half 2012 from the prior-year comparable half. In the six-month comparison, SG&A expenses increased 10 percent primarily because of higher spending for marketing and administrative functions as well as higher incentive compensation and stock-based compensation expense. R&D expenses increased 13 percent due to increased investment in our product pipeline. As a percent of net sales, SG&A expenses decreased one percentage point to 15 percent, and R&D expenses remained flat at 10 percent in the six-month comparison.

Interest expense increased 22 percent, or \$18 million, in the six-month comparison primarily due to increased customer financing activities in the first half of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first half of fiscal year 2011, as well as interest expense related to our April 2011 bond issuance.

Interest income increased \$4 million in the six-month comparison because of higher average cash balances primarily in Europe and India.

Other expense net was \$43 million in the first half of 2012, compared with \$23 million in the prior-year first half. The change occurred primarily due to a legal settlement for claims related to a previously owned chemistry plant located in Nitro, West Virginia, and was partially offset by a decrease in foreign currency losses in the current period compared to the prior-year period.

Income tax provision was \$610 million in first half 2012, an increase of \$172 million from the prior-year comparable period primarily as a result of the increase in pretax income from continuing operations. The effective tax rate increased to 31 percent from 30 percent in the prior period. First half 2012 included several discrete tax adjustments resulting in a tax charge of \$4 million. The majority of this cost resulted from deferred tax adjustments and tax reserves established in multiple jurisdictions offset by the expiration of statutes and the favorable resolution of tax matters in various jurisdictions. First half 2011 included several discrete tax adjustments resulting in a tax benefit of \$18 million. The majority of this benefit resulted from the retroactive extension of the R&D credit discussed above and the expiration of statutes in several jurisdictions. Without the discrete items, our effective tax rate for first half 2012 would have been comparable to the 2011 rate.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

SEEDS AND GENOMICS SEGMENT

	Th	Ionths Ended		Six Months Ended					
(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb	. 28, 2011	Change	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb	. 28, 2011	Change	
Net Sales									
Corn seed and traits	\$ 2,816	\$	2,397	17 %	\$ 3,711	\$	3,011	23 %	
Soybean seed and traits	689		615	12 %	931		841	11 %	
Cotton seed and traits	66		67	(1)%	260		179	45 %	
Vegetable seeds	215		229	(6)%	372		412	(10)%	
All other crops seeds and traits	138		113	22 %	184		141	30 %	
·									
Total Net Sales	\$ 3,924	\$	3,421	15 %	\$ 5,458	\$	4,584	19 %	
10th 1th bales	Ψ 5,5 = 1	Ψ	3,121	13 70	Ψ ε, ιεσ	Ψ	1,501	17 /0	
Gross Profit									
	6.1.045	Ф	1.506	22.07	ф 2 25 0	Φ	1.045	20.07	
Corn seed and traits	\$ 1,847	\$	1,506	23 %	\$ 2,378	\$	1,845	29 %	
Soybean seed and traits	443		414	7 %	616		567	9 %	
Cotton seed and traits	47		42	12 %	182		116	57 %	
Vegetable seeds	85		125	(32)%	166		238	(30)%	
All other crops seeds and traits	69		44	57 %	65		44	48 %	
Total Gross Profit	\$ 2,491	\$	2,131	17 %	\$ 3,407	\$	2,810	21 %	
					·				
EBIT ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,699	\$	1,403	21 %	\$ 1,846	\$	1,383	33 %	

Seeds and Genomics Financial Performance Second Quarter Fiscal Year 2012

Net sales of corn seed and traits increased 17 percent, or \$419 million, in the three-month comparison, primarily because of higher average selling prices driven by a demand shift to higher margin corn seed products, as well as increased volume due to stronger customer demand in the United States. Net sales of corn seed and traits also improved due to higher volumes from increased planting area, as well as increased trait penetration and a shift to higher margin trait products in Brazil. Further, net sales of corn seed and traits improved because of growth in corn seed sales volume in Europe related to stronger customer demand.

Soybean seed and traits net sales increased 12 percent, or \$74 million, in the three-month comparison. This sales increase was primarily due to increased penetration of second-generation soybean trait products in the United States.

The net sales increases discussed throughout this section resulted in \$360 million higher gross profit in second quarter 2012. Gross profit as a percent of sales for this segment increased one percentage point in the quarter-over-quarter comparison to 63 percent. This increase was primarily due to a demand shift to higher margin corn seed products in the United States, as well as a shift to higher margin corn trait products especially in Latin America. These positive factors were partially offset by increased obsolescence expense for vegetable seeds and higher

⁽¹⁾ EBIT is defined as earnings (loss) before interest and taxes. Interest and taxes are recorded on a total company basis. We do not record these items at the segment level. See Note 22 Segment Information and the Overview Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A for further details.

manufacturing costs for soybean seed and traits products in the United States.

EBIT for the Seeds and Genomics segment increased \$296 million to \$1,699 million in second quarter 2012.

Seeds and Genomics Financial Performance First Half of Fiscal Year 2012

Net sales of corn seed and traits increased 23 percent, or \$700 million, in the six-month comparison, primarily because of higher sales in Latin America as a result of increased planted area and a shift to higher margin corn trait products. U.S. corn seed and traits net sales increased because of a demand shift to higher margin corn seed products as well as increased volume due to stronger customer demand. Further, net sales of corn seed and traits improved because of growth in corn seed sales volume in the Europe-Africa region related to stronger customer demand. Net sales of corn seed and traits also improved because of increased trait penetration in Brazil.

Soybean seed and traits net sales increased 11 percent, or \$90 million, in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 when compared to the prior year first six months primarily because of increased sales in Brazil as the final royalties came through from the point-of-delivery system, as well as increased penetration of second-generation soybean trait products in the United States.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

Cotton seed and traits net sales increased 45 percent, or \$81 million, in the six-month comparison, primarily because of increased planted area and a shift in grower pricing elections in Australia. In Australia, cotton growers have the option of purchasing technology at the time of the seed purchase or at the time of harvest ginning with pricing based on yield. More growers have elected the upfront purchase for technology in fiscal year 2012 than in the prior year.

Vegetable net sales decreased 10 percent, or \$40 million, in the six-month comparison. This sales decrease was primarily driven by decreased demand as a result of market weakness in Europe.

All other crops seeds and traits net sales increased 30 percent, or \$43 million, in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 when compared to the prior year first six months because of improved sales of canola seed and traits due to a shift in timing of customer purchases.

Gross profit increased \$597 million in the first half of 2012. Gross profit as a percent of sales for this segment increased one percentage point to 62 percent in the period-over-period comparison. This increase was primarily due to a demand shift to higher margin corn trait products especially in Latin America, as well as higher margin corn seed products in the United States. These positive factors were partially offset by increased obsolescence expense for vegetable seeds and higher manufacturing costs for soybean seed and traits products in the United States.

EBIT for the Seeds and Genomics segment increased \$463 million to \$1,846 million in the first half of 2012.

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTIVITY SEGMENT

(Dollars in millions)	T Feb. 29, 2012	 Ionths Ende 28, 2011	d Change	S Feb. 29, 2012	 nths Ended 28, 2011	Change
Net Sales						
Agricultural productivity	\$ 824	\$ 710	16 %	\$ 1,729	\$ 1,383	25 %
Total Net Sales	\$ 824	\$ 710	16 %	\$ 1,729	\$ 1,383	25 %
Gross Profit						
Agricultural productivity	\$ 214	\$ 179	20 %	\$ 394	\$ 324	22 %
Total Gross Profit	\$ 214	\$ 179	20 %	\$ 394	\$ 324	22 %
EBIT ⁽¹⁾	\$ 82	\$ 62	32 %	\$ 164	\$ 127	29 %

⁽¹⁾ EBIT is defined as earnings (loss) before interest and taxes. Interest and taxes are recorded on a total company basis. We do not record these items at the segment level. See Note 22 Segment Information and the Overview Non-GAAP Financial Measures section of MD&A for further details.

Agricultural Productivity Financial Performance Second Quarter Fiscal Year 2012

Net sales for Agricultural Productivity increased 16 percent, or \$114 million, in the three-month comparison primarily due to increased sales for ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides. This improvement was primarily because of an increase in the average net selling price of

ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides related to a shift in sales to higher priced branded products.

The net sales increases discussed throughout this section resulted in \$35 million higher gross profit in second quarter 2012. Gross profit as a percent of sales for the Agricultural Productivity segment increased one percentage point to 26 percent in second quarter 2012 primarily because of an increase in the average net selling prices of ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides. EBIT for the Agricultural Productivity segment increased \$20 million to \$82 million in second quarter 2012.

Agricultural Productivity Financial Performance First Half of Fiscal Year 2012

Net sales for Agricultural Productivity increased 25 percent, or \$346 million, in the six-month comparison, primarily due to increased sales for ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides. ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides volume increased 17 percent in the period-over-period comparison due to timing of distributor purchases in the United States, as well as increased demand primarily in the United States, Latin America and Canada. In addition, the average net selling

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

price for ROUNDUP and other glyphosate-based herbicides increased as sales shifted to higher priced branded products in the first half of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first half of fiscal year 2011.

The net sales increases resulted in \$70 million higher gross profit in the first half of 2012. Gross profit as a percent of sales for the Agricultural Productivity segment remained flat in the period-over-period comparison at 23 percent. EBIT for the Agricultural Productivity segment increased \$37 million to \$164 million in the first half of 2012.

RESTRUCTURING

Restructuring charges were recorded in the Statements of Consolidated Operations as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Three M Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 28	Six Mo Feb. 29, 2012	onths End Feb. 2	led 8, 2011	
Cost of Goods Sold ⁽¹⁾	\$	\$	(2)	\$	\$	(2)
Restructuring Charges, Net(1)(2)			(1)			(8)
Loss from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes			(3)			(10)
Income Tax Benefit			1			4
Net Loss	\$	\$	(2)	\$	\$	(6)

- (1) For the three months and the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the \$2 million of restructuring charges recorded in cost of goods sold related to the Seeds and Genomics segment. For the three months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the \$1 million of restructuring charges recorded in restructuring charges, net related to the Seeds and Genomics segment. For the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the \$8 million of restructuring charges, net were split by segment as follows: \$ (4) million in Agricultural Productivity and \$12 million in Seeds and Genomics.
- (2) The restructuring charges for the three months and the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, include reversals of \$25 million related to the 2009 Restructuring Plan. The reversals are primarily related to severance. Although positions originally included in the plan were eliminated, individuals found new roles within the company due to attrition. There were no reversals during the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012.

On June 23, 2009, our Board of Directors approved a restructuring plan (2009 Restructuring Plan) to take future actions to reduce costs in light of the changing market supply environment for glyphosate. These actions are designed to enable us to stabilize the Agricultural Productivity business and allow it to deliver optimal gross profit and a sustainable level of operating cash in the coming years, while better aligning spending and working capital needs. We also announced that we will take steps to better align the resources of our global seeds and traits business. These actions include certain product and brand rationalization within our seed businesses. On Sept. 9, 2009, we committed to take additional actions related to the previously announced restructuring plan. Furthermore, while implementing the plan, we identified additional opportunities to better align our resources, and on Aug. 26, 2010, committed to take additional actions. The plan was substantially completed in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, and the remaining payments are expected to be made by the end of fiscal year 2012.

The total restructuring costs are \$733 million. The charges are comprised of \$338 million in severance and related benefits, \$156 million of costs related to facility closures and exit costs and \$239 million of asset impairments. Payments related to the 2009 Restructuring Plan are generated from cash from operations.

There were no charges incurred for the three and six months ended Feb. 29, 2012. The following table displays the pretax charges by segment under the 2009 Restructuring Plan of \$3 million incurred for the three months ended Feb. 28, 2011, the pretax charges of \$10 million incurred for the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, as well as the cumulative pretax charges of \$733 million.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

	Three Months Ended Feb. 29, 2012 Seeds			Six Months Ended Feb. 29, 2012 Seeds		
	and	Agricultural		and	Agricultu	ıral
(Dollars in millions)	Genomics	Productivity	Total	Genomics	Producti	vity Total
Work Force Reductions	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Facility Closures / Exit Costs						
Asset Impairments Property, plant and equipment						
Inventory						
Inventory						
Total Restructuring Charges, Net	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Three Months Ended Feb. 28, 2011 Seeds and Agricultural			Six Months Ended Feb. 28, 2011 Seeds and Agricultural		
(Dollars in millions)	Genomics	Productivity	Total	Genomics	Productiv	vity Total
Work Force Reductions	\$	\$	\$	\$ (11)	\$	(7) \$ (18)
Facility Closures / Exit Costs	1		1	23		3 26
Asset Impairments						
Property, plant and equipment Inventory	2		2	2		2
inventor y	2		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		2
Total Restructuring Charges, Net	\$ 3	\$	\$ 3	\$ 14	\$	(4) \$ 10
		Cumulative Amount through Feb. 29, 2012 Seeds and Agricultural				
(Dollars in millions)				Genomics	Productiv	rity Total
Work Force Reductions				\$ 239	\$	99 \$ 338
Facility Closures / Exit Costs				75		81 156
Asset Impairments						- 10
Property, plant and equipment				43 119		5 48 13 132
Inventory Other intangible assets				59		13 132 59
Other mangione assets				33		39
Total Restructuring Charges, Net				\$ 535	\$ 1	98 \$ 733

Our written human resource policies are indicative of an ongoing benefit arrangement with respect to severance packages. Benefits paid pursuant to an ongoing benefit arrangement are specifically excluded from the Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations topic of the ASC, therefore severance charges incurred in connection with the 2009 Restructuring Plan are accounted for when probable and estimable as required under the Compensation Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits topic of the ASC. In addition, when the decision to commit to a restructuring plan requires an asset impairment review, Monsanto evaluates such impairment issues under the Property, Plant and Equipment topic of the ASC.

In the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, there were no charges recorded related to restructuring. In the six months ended Feb. 28, 2011, pretax restructuring charges of \$10 million were recorded. The facility closures/exit costs of \$26 million relate primarily to the finalization of the termination of a corn toller contract in the United States. In workforce reductions, approximately \$7 million of additional charges were offset by \$25 million of reversals. Although positions originally included in the plan were eliminated, individuals found new roles within the company

due to attrition. In asset impairments, inventory impairments of \$2 million recorded in cost of goods sold related to discontinued corn and sorghum seed products in the United States.

The actions related to the overall restructuring plan were expected to produce annual cost savings of \$300 million to \$340 million, primarily in cost of goods sold and SG&A. Approximately one-fourth of these savings were recognized in fiscal year 2010, with the full benefit realized in 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY, AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Working Capital and Financial Condition

(Dollars in millions, except current ratio)	As of Feb. 29, 2012 Feb. 28, 2011			As of Aug. 31, 2011	
(Donars in infinious, except current ratio)	reb. 29, 2012	100. 20, 20	11	2011	
Cash and Cash Equivalents (variable interest entities - 2012: \$12 and 2011: \$96)	\$ 3,123	\$ 1,8	37 \$	2,572	
Trade Receivables, Net (variable interest entities - 2012: \$176 and 2011: \$51)	2,341	2,3	77	2,117	
Inventory, Net	2,862	2,9	30	2,591	
Other Current Assets ⁽¹⁾	1,698	1,3	95	1,529	
Total Current Assets	\$ 10,024	\$ 8,5	39 \$	8,809	
Short-Term Debt	\$ 610	\$ 4)9 \$	678	
Accounts Payable	681	6	34	839	
Accrued Liabilities ⁽²⁾	3,902	3,4	58	3,212	
Total Current Liabilities	\$ 5,193	\$ 4,5	01 \$	4,729	
	. , , , ,	,-		, ,	
Working Capital ⁽³⁾	\$ 4,831	\$ 4.0	38 \$	4,080	
Current Ratio ⁽³⁾	1.93:1	1.91		1.86:1	

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$551 million between the respective periods. See the Cash Flow section in this section of MD&A and the Cash Flow section of Monsanto s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011, for further details of this increase.

Trade receivables increased \$224 million due to normal ongoing sales activity because of the seasonality of our business.

⁽¹⁾ Includes short-term investments, miscellaneous receivables, deferred tax assets and other current assets.

⁽²⁾ Includes income taxes payable, accrued compensation and benefits, accrued marketing programs, deferred revenues, grower production accruals, dividends payable, customer payable, restructuring reserves and miscellaneous short-term accruals.

⁽³⁾ Working capital is total current assets less total current liabilities; current ratio represents total current assets divided by total current liabilities

Feb. 29, 2012, compared with Aug. 31, 2011: Working capital increased \$751 million between Aug. 31, 2011, and Feb. 29, 2012, because of the following factors:

Inventory increased \$271 million between the respective periods primarily because of the seasonality of our U.S. corn and soybean seed business in which the fall harvest of seed products occurs in the first half of the fiscal year resulting in a higher inventory balance as of Feb. 29, 2012.

Accounts payable decreased \$158 million primarily due to timing of payments at Aug. 31, 2011, compared to Feb. 29, 2012. These increases to working capital between Aug. 31, 2011, and Feb. 29, 2012, were offset by the following factor:

Accrued liabilities increased \$690 million. Deferred revenue increased \$474 million as a result of increased customer participation in the U.S. prepayment programs. Income taxes payable increased \$461 million primarily due to the seasonality of the U.S. results coupled with the timing of U.S. income tax payments. In addition, grower accruals increased \$142 million due to higher commodity prices in fiscal year 2012, as well as an increase in seed volumes produced this period over the comparative period in fiscal year 2011. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$121 million for accrued compensation and benefits due to the payment of annual employee incentive awards during first quarter of fiscal year 2012. In addition, accrued marketing programs decreased \$102 million because of the timing of payments in the United States.

Feb. 29, 2012, compared with Feb. 28, 2011: Working capital increased \$743 million between Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011. The following factor increased working capital as of Feb. 29, 2012, compared with Feb. 28, 2011:

Cash and cash equivalents increased \$1,286 million between the respective periods. See the Cash Flow section in this section of MD&A for further details of this increase.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

This working capital increase was partially offset by the following factors:

Accrued liabilities increased \$444 million. Income taxes payable increased \$303 million primarily as a result of the increase in pretax income and deferred tax activity. In addition, accrued marketing programs increased \$85 million due to the increase in sales.

Short-term debt increased \$201 million primarily due to long-term debt maturing in the next 12 months which was moved to short term, partially offset by payments on debt related to the acquisition of the Chesterfield Village Research Center.

Customer Financing Programs: We participate in a revolving financing program in Brazil that allows us to transfer up to 1 billion Brazilian reais (approximately \$585 million) for select customers in Brazil to a special purpose entity (SPE), formerly a qualified special purpose entity (QSPE). Third parties, primarily investment funds, hold an 88 percent senior interest in the entity, and we hold the remaining 12 percent interest. Under the arrangement, a recourse provision requires us to cover the first 12 percent of credit losses within the program. We have evaluated our relationship with the entity under updated guidance within the Consolidation topic of the ASC and, as a result, the entity has been consolidated on a prospective basis effective Sept. 1, 2010. For further information on this topic, see Note 5 Variable Interest Entities.

We have an agreement with a SPE in Argentina to transfer customer receivables and to service such accounts. We evaluated our relationship with this entity under the updated guidance within the Consolidation topic of the ASC and, as a result, the entity has been consolidated on a prospective basis effective Sept. 1, 2010. For further information on this topic, see Note 5 Variable Interest Entities.

We have an agreement in the United States to sell customer receivables up to a maximum of \$500 million and to service such accounts. These receivables qualify for sales treatment under the Transfers and Servicing topic of the ASC and, accordingly, the proceeds are included in net cash provided by operating activities in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. The gross amount of receivables sold totaled \$216 million and \$3 million for the first six months of fiscal years 2012 and 2011, respectively. The agreement includes recourse provisions and thus a liability was established at the time of sale that approximates fair value based upon our historical collection experience with such receivables and a current assessment of credit exposure. The recourse liability recorded by us was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012. There was no recourse liability recorded by us as of Aug. 31, 2011. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the recourse provisions of the agreement was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012. The outstanding balance of the receivables sold was \$4 million and \$3 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. There were delinquent accounts of \$4 million and \$3 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively.

We sell accounts receivable in the United States and European regions, both with and without recourse. These sales qualify for sales treatment under the Transfers and Servicing topic of the ASC and, accordingly, the proceeds are included in net cash provided by operating activities in the Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. The gross amounts of accounts receivable sold totaled \$3 million and \$4 million for the first six months of fiscal years 2012 and 2011, respectively. The liability for the guarantees for sales with recourse is recorded at an amount that approximates fair value, based on our historical collection experience for the customers associated with the sales of the accounts receivable and a current assessment of credit exposure. There was no liability balance as of Feb. 29, 2012, or Aug. 31, 2011. There was no maximum potential amount of future payments under the recourse provisions of the agreements as of Feb. 29, 2012. There was no outstanding balance of the receivables sold as of Feb. 29, 2012. The outstanding balance of receivables sold was \$55 million as of Aug. 31, 2011. There were no delinquent loans as of Feb. 29, 2012, or Aug. 31, 2011.

We also have agreements with lenders to establish programs to provide financing of up to 550 million Brazilian reais (approximately \$320 million) for selected customers in Brazil. The account balance outstanding for these programs was \$71 million and \$49 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. In this program, we provide a guarantee of the accounts in the event of customer default. There was no maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantee as of Feb. 29, 2012. The liability for the guarantee is recorded at an amount that approximates fair value, primarily based on our historical collection experience with customers that participate in the program and a current assessment of credit exposure. Our guarantee liability was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011. If performance is required under the guarantee, we may retain amounts that are subsequently collected from customers. There were no delinquent loans as of Feb. 29, 2012. There were delinquent loans of \$1 million as of Aug. 31, 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

We also have similar agreements with banks that provide financing to our customers in the United States, Europe and Latin America where we provide a guarantee of the accounts in the event of customer default. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantees was \$1 million as of Feb. 29, 2012. Our guarantee liability was \$1 million and \$2 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. The account balance outstanding for these programs was \$50 million and \$33 million as of Feb. 29, 2012, and Aug. 31, 2011, respectively. There were no delinquent loans as of Feb. 29, 2012, or Aug. 31, 2011.

Cash Flow

	Six Months Ended		
(Dollars in millions)	Feb. 29, 2012	Feb. 29, 2012 Feb. 28, 2011	
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 1,672	\$	1,441
Net Cash Required by Investing Activities	(402)		(524)
Free Cash Flow ⁽¹⁾	1,270		917
Net Cash Required by Financing Activities	(672)		(668)
Cash Assumed from Initial Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities			77
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(47)		26
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	551		352
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	2,572		1,485
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 3,123	\$	1,837

(1) Free cash flow represents the total of net cash provided by operating activities and required by investing activities (see the Non-GAAP Financial Measures section in MD&A for a further discussion).

Cash provided by operating activities was \$1,672 million in first half 2012 compared with \$1,441 million in first half 2011. The increase of \$231 million was primarily due to the change in cash required by trade receivables of \$347 million because of increased customer financing activities in the six-month comparison which was partially offset by increased sales especially in Latin America. Further, the increase in cash provided by operating activities was also driven by higher net income of \$301 million in the respective periods from \$1,045 million to \$1,346 million. These increases were offset by a change in cash provided by accounts payable and other accrued liabilities of \$330 million primarily due to an increase in marketing program payments and higher employee incentive payouts in the first half of fiscal year 2012. In addition, cash provided by deferred revenues decreased \$211 million because of lower customer prepayment balances at Feb. 29, 2012, which occurred primarily because shipments have occurred earlier in fiscal year 2012 utilizing the deferred revenue balances.

Cash required by investing activities was \$402 million in first half 2012 compared to \$524 million in first half 2011. The timing of our purchases and maturities of short-term investments resulted in a use of cash of \$180 million in the first half of fiscal year 2011.

The amount of cash required by financing activities was \$672 million in the first six months of 2012 compared with \$668 million in the first six months of 2011. Long-term debt reductions required \$142 million of cash during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 primarily due to repayments on the note related to the acquisition of the Chesterfield Village research facility. Proceeds from noncontrolling interests provided \$101 million of cash in the first half of 2012 compared to \$8 million in the first half of 2011 primarily due to the contribution of capital from interest holders to a consolidated financing program variable interest entity which services our customer receivables. Further, treasury stock purchases decreased \$82 million as we decreased our repurchase of shares during the first six months of 2012 compared to the comparable prior-year period.

Capital Resources and Liquidity

As of As of Aug. 31, **Feb. 29, 2012** Feb. 28, 2011 2011

Short-Term Debt	\$ 610	\$ 409	\$ 678
Long-Term Debt	1,538	1,729	1,543
Total Monsanto Company Shareowners Equity	11,994	10,975	11,545
Debt-to-Capital Ratio	15%	16%	16%

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

A major source of our liquidity is operating cash flows, which can be derived from net income. This cash-generating capability provides us with the financial flexibility we need to meet operating, investing and financing needs. To the extent that cash provided by operating activities is not sufficient to fund our cash needs, which generally occurs during the first and third quarters of the fiscal year because of the seasonal nature of our business, short-term commercial paper borrowings are used to finance these requirements. We anticipate the possibility of accessing the commercial paper markets in 2012 for short periods of time to finance working capital needs and do not believe our options will be limited. We had no commercial paper borrowings outstanding at Feb. 29, 2012.

We held cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$2.9 billion at Aug. 31, 2011, of which \$1.4 billion was held by foreign entities. Our intent is to permanently reinvest earnings of our foreign operations and our current operating plans do not demonstrate a need to repatriate foreign earnings to fund our U.S. operations. However, if these funds were needed for our operations in the United States, we would be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. At the end of the second quarter of fiscal year 2012, we held cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$3.4 billion, of which \$1.4 billion was held by foreign entities.

Total debt outstanding increased \$10 million between Feb. 28, 2011, and Feb. 29, 2012, primarily due to our issuance of \$300 million of 2.75% Senior Notes in April 2011, which are due on April 15, 2016. In addition, we had short-term debt borrowings outstanding to support ex-U.S. operations. Partially offsetting this increase, we made payments of \$188 million in fiscal year 2011 and \$136 million in first quarter fiscal year 2012 related to the purchase of the Chesterfield Village Research Center that occurred in April 2010 for \$435 million.

We plan to issue new fixed-rate debt on or before Aug. 15, 2012, to repay \$485 million of 7 3/8% Senior Notes that are due on Aug. 15, 2012. In March 2009, we entered into forward starting interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$250 million. In August 2010, we entered into forward starting interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$225 million. Our purpose was to hedge the variability of the forecasted interest payments on this expected debt issuance that may result from changes in the benchmark interest rate until the debt is issued.

Dividend: In January 2012, we declared a quarterly dividend of 30 cents payable on April 27, 2012, to shareowners of record as of April 5, 2012.

Capital Expenditures: We expect fiscal year 2012 capital expenditures to be in the range of \$600 million to \$700 million compared with \$540 million in fiscal year 2011. The primary driver of this increase is higher overall spending on projects related to our Agricultural Productivity segment.

Divestiture: In October 2008, we sold the Dairy business after receiving approval from the appropriate regulatory agencies. During the six months ended Feb. 29, 2012, and Feb. 28, 2011, income from operations of discontinued businesses included a pre-tax gain related to the sale of \$11 million and \$4 million, respectively.

2012 Acquisitions: In September 2011, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Beeologics, a technology start-up business based in Israel, which researches and develops biological tools to provide targeted control of pests and diseases. The acquisition of the company will allow Monsanto to further explore the use of biologicals broadly in agriculture to provide farmers with innovative approaches to the challenges they face. Monsanto intends to use the base technology from Beeologics as a part of our continuing discovery and development pipeline. The total cash paid and the fair value of the acquisition was \$113 million (net of cash acquired), and it was primarily allocated to goodwill and intangibles.

2011 Acquisitions: In February 2011, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Divergence, Inc., a biotechnology research and development company located in St. Louis, Missouri. The total cash paid and the fair value of the acquisition were \$71 million, and the purchase price was primarily allocated to intangibles and goodwill.

In December 2010, we acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Pannon Seeds, a seed processing plant located in Hungary, from IKR Production Development and Commercial Corporation. The acquisition of this plant, which qualifies as a business under the Business Combinations topic of the ASC, allows Monsanto to reduce third party seed production in Hungary. The total fair value of the acquisition was \$32 million, and the purchase price was primarily allocated to fixed assets and goodwill. This fair value includes \$28 million of cash paid (net of cash acquired) and \$4 million related to assumed liabilities.

2012 Contractual Obligations: There have been no significant changes to the contractual obligations table as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Aug. 31, 2011.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangement

Under our Separation Agreement with Pharmacia, we are required to indemnify Pharmacia for certain matters, such as environmental remediation obligations and litigation. To the extent we are currently managing any such matters, we evaluate them in the course of managing our own potential liabilities and establish reserves as appropriate. However, additional matters may arise in the future, and we may manage, settle or pay judgments or damages with respect to those matters in order to mitigate contingent liability and protect Pharmacia and Monsanto. See Note 21 Commitments and Contingencies and Part II Item 1 Legal Proceedings for further information.

We have entered into various customer financing programs which are accounted for in accordance with the Transfers and Servicing topic of the ASC. See Note 4 Customer Financing Programs for further information.

OUTLOOK

We believe we have achieved an industry-leading position in the areas in which we compete in both of our business segments. However, the outlook for each part of our business is quite different. In the Seeds and Genomics segment, our seeds and traits business is expected to expand via our investment in new products. In the Agricultural Productivity segment, we expect to deliver competitive products in a more steady-state business.

We believe that our company is positioned to deliver value-added products to growers enabling us to grow our gross profit in the future. We expect to see strong cash flow in the future, and we remain committed to returning value to shareowners through vehicles such as investments that expand the business, dividends and share repurchases. We will remain focused on cost and cash management for each segment, both to support the progress we have made in managing our investment in working capital and to realize the full earnings potential of our businesses. We plan to continue to seek additional external financing opportunities for our customers as a way to manage receivables for each of our segments.

Outside of the United States, our businesses will continue to face additional challenges related to the risks inherent in operating in emerging markets. We expect to continue to monitor these developments and the challenges and issues they place on our business. We believe we have taken appropriate measures to manage our credit exposure, which has the potential to affect sales negatively in the near term. In addition, volatility in foreign currency exchange rates may negatively affect our profitability, the book value of our assets outside the United States and our shareowners equity.

Seeds and Genomics

Our capabilities in plant breeding and biotechnology research are generating a rich and balanced product pipeline that we expect will drive long-term growth. We plan to continue to invest in the areas of seeds, genomics and biotechnology and to invest in technology arrangements that have the potential to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of our R&D efforts. We believe that our seeds and traits businesses will have significant near-term growth opportunities through a combination of improved breeding and continued growth of stacked and second- and third-generation biotech traits.

We expect advanced breeding techniques combined with improved production practices and capital investments will continue to contribute to improved germplasm quality and yields for our seed offerings, leading to increased global demand for both our branded germplasm and our licensed germplasm. We plan to improve and grow our vegetable seeds business, which has a portfolio focused on 23 crops. We continue to apply our molecular breeding and marker capabilities to our vegetable seeds germplasm, which we expect will lead to business growth. The business integration into a global platform, along with a number of process improvements, has improved our ability to develop and deliver new, innovative products to our broad customer base. The acquisition of Aly Participacoes Ltda. in fiscal year 2009 is expected to enable us to combine our areas of breeding expertise in an effort to enhance yields in sugarcane, a crop that we feel is vital to addressing growing global food and fuel demands. We also plan to continue making strategic acquisitions in our seed businesses to grow our branded seed market share, expand our germplasm library and strengthen our global breeding programs. We expect to see continued competition in seeds and genomics. We believe we will have a competitive advantage because of our global breeding capabilities and our multiple-channel sales approach in the United States for corn and soybean seeds.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

Commercialization of second- and third-generation traits and the stacking of multiple traits in corn and cotton are expected to increase penetration in approved markets, particularly as we continue to price our traits in line with the value growers have experienced. In 2011, we saw higher-value, stacked-trait products representing a larger share of our total U.S. corn seed sales than they did in 2010. We experienced an increase in competition in biotechnology as more competitors launched traits in the United States and internationally. Acquisitions may also present mid-to-longer term opportunities to increase penetration of our traits. We believe our competitive position continues to enable us to deliver second- and third-generation traits when our competitors are delivering their first-generation traits.

Full regulatory approval was received for a 5 percent refuge-in-a-bag (RIB) seed blend from the U.S. EPA and CFIA for GENUITY SMARTSTAX RIB COMPLETE corn providing a single bag solution enabling farmers in the Corn Belt to plant corn without a separate refuge. GENUITY SMARTSTAX RIB COMPLETE corn was launched in 2011. U.S. EPA and CFIA have granted registration for GENUITY VT DOUBLE PRO RIB COMPLETE corn. This approval adds another single-bag product to the GENUITY reduced-refuge family of products. GENUITY VT DOUBLE PRO RIB COMPLETE corn is broadly available to farmers in 2012.

Notwithstanding continuing and varied legal challenges by private and governmental parties in Brazil, we expect to continue to operate our dual-track business model of certified seeds and our point-of-delivery payment system (ROUNDUP READY soybeans, and in the future INTACTA ROUNDUP READY 2 PRO soybeans) and our indemnification collection system (BOLLGARD cotton) to ensure that we capture value on all of our ROUNDUP READY soybeans and BOLLGARD cotton crops grown there. Income is expected to grow in Brazil as farmers choose to plant more of these approved traits. Although Brazilian law clearly states that the pipeline patents protecting these products have the duration of the corresponding U.S. patent (2014 for ROUNDUP READY soybeans), the duration of these pipeline patents is currently under judicial review in Brazil. The agricultural economy in Brazil could be impacted by global commodity prices, particularly for corn and soybeans. We continue to maintain our strict credit policy, expand our grain-based collection system, and focus on cash collection and sales, as part of a continuous effort to manage our risk in Brazil against such volatility.

During 2007, we announced a long-term joint R&D and commercialization collaboration in plant biotechnology with BASF that will focus on high-yielding crops and crops that are tolerant to adverse conditions such as drought. We have completed all North American and key import country regulatory submissions for the first biotech drought-tolerant corn product. Necessary approvals have been obtained for on-farm testing plots that will be planted in 2012 to obtain on-farm data useful for the expected full-scale U.S. launch in 2013. Remaining regulatory import approvals needed for full-scale launch are pending but expected by the 2013 planting season. Over the life of the collaboration, we and BASF will dedicate a joint budget of potentially \$2.5 billion to fund a dedicated pipeline of yield and stress tolerance traits for corn, soybeans, cotton, canola and wheat.

Our international traits businesses, in particular, will probably continue to face unpredictable regulatory environments that may be highly politicized. We operate in volatile, and often difficult, economic and political environments. Although we see growth potential in our India cotton business with the ongoing conversion to higher planting rates with hybrids and BOLLGARD II cotton, this business is currently operating under existing and the potential for new state governmental pricing directives that we believe limit near-term earnings potential in India.

Efforts to secure an orderly system in Argentina to support the introduction of new technology products are underway. We do not plan to collect on first generation ROUNDUP READY soybeans. We are preparing for a potential launch of INTACTA ROUNDUP READY 2 PRO soybeans provided we can achieve more certainty that we will be compensated for providing the technology. To achieve this, we are pursuing grower and grain handler agreements.

Following the decision of the French government to suspend the planting of YIELDGARD Corn Borer in February 2008, French farmers, French grower associations and various companies, including Monsanto, filed a claim to the Supreme Administrative court (Conseil d Etat) to overturn the French government suspension of planting of YIELDGARD Corn Borer. As a result of the ban, the sales or planting of MON810 products in France were suspended. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) issued an opinion that the French suspension is not supported on a scientific basis. The case was referred to the European Court of Justice (ECJ) and on Sept. 8, 2011, the ECJ ruled that the French ban was illegal and that a ban can be invoked only in circumstances that are likely to constitute a clear and serious risk to human health, animal health or the environment. On Nov. 28, 2011, the Conseil d Etat ordered the French government to cancel the ban imposed on genetically-modified corn crops in 2008. The court ruled that the agriculture ministry had not established high risk to the environment or health and thus lacked scientific basis for the ban. On March 16, 2012, the French Government announced a

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

new temporary suspension of the cultivation of MON810 corn in France. On April 17, 2009, Germany undertook a procedural action under European law and banned the planting of YIELDGARD Corn Borer. We sought interim relief to overturn the ban which the German administrative courts denied. As a result, the sales or planting of MON810 products in Germany were suspended. The court proceedings are postponed pending the outcome of administrative proceedings. Other European Union Member States (e.g., Austria, Luxembourg and Greece) have also invoked procedural measures but we have focused our legal challenges to those countries with significant corn plantings.

On Sept. 4, 2007, we received a civil investigative demand from the Iowa Attorney General seeking information regarding the production and marketing of glyphosate and the development, production, marketing, or licensing of soybean, corn, or cotton germplasm containing transgenic traits. Iowa coordinated this inquiry with several other states. We have fully cooperated with this investigation and complied with all requests. We believe we have meritorious legal positions and will continue to represent our interests vigorously in this matter.

On Jan. 12, 2010, the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) issued a civil investigative demand to Monsanto requesting information on our soybean traits business. Among other things, the DOJ has requested information regarding our plans for and licensing of soybean seed containing ROUNDUP READY or ROUNDUP READY 2 YIELD traits. We are cooperating with this request. We believe we have meritorious legal positions and will continue to represent our interests vigorously in this matter.

Agricultural Productivity

The structural changes that have occurred in the global glyphosate market, including overcapacity at the manufacturing level, have created a significant compression in the manufacturer s margin. We believe this structural change is permanent and will therefore have a long term impact on the level of profits and cash generated by this business. While we expect the business to continue to be cash positive, we have oriented the focus of Monsanto s crop protection business to strategically support Monsanto s ROUNDUP READY crops through our weed management platform that delivers weed control offerings for farmers. In addition, we expect our lawn-and-garden business will continue to be a solid contributor to our Agricultural Productivity segment.

The staff of the SEC is conducting an investigation of financial reporting associated with our customer incentive programs for glyphosate products for the fiscal years 2009 and 2010, and we have received a subpoena in connection therewith. We are cooperating with the investigation.

Other Information

As discussed in Note 21 Commitments and Contingencies and Part II Item 1 Legal Proceedings, Monsanto is involved in a number of lawsuits and claims relating to a variety of issues. Many of these lawsuits relate to intellectual property disputes. We expect that such disputes will continue to occur as the agricultural biotechnology industry evolves. Third parties, including non-governmental organizations, have challenged the validity or enforceability of patents issued to the company regarding our biotechnology products. For additional information related to the outlook for Monsanto, see Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of this Report on Form 10-Q, Part II Item 1A Risk Factors below and Part I Item 1A of our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

In preparing our financial statements, we must select and apply various accounting policies. Our most significant policies are described in Part II

Item 8 Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies to the consolidated financial statements contained in our Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011. In order to apply our accounting policies, we often need to make estimates based on judgments about future events. In making such estimates, we rely on historical experience, market and other conditions, and on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable.

However, by its nature the estimation process is uncertain, given that estimates depend on events over which we may not have control. If market and other conditions change from those that we anticipate, our financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity may be affected materially. In addition, if our assumptions change, we may need to revise our estimates or take other corrective actions, either of which may have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

The estimates that have a higher degree of inherent uncertainty and require our most significant judgments are outlined in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Report on Form 10-K/A for fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011. Had we used estimates different from any of those contained in such Report on Form 10-K/A, our financial condition, profitability, or liquidity for the current period could have been materially different from those presented in this Form 10-Q.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In December 2011, the FASB issued a new accounting standard update which requires entities to disclose both gross and net information about both financial instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting agreement. The objective of the disclosure is to facilitate comparison between those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and those entities that prepare their financial statements on the basis of International Financial Reporting Standards. This standard is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after Jan. 1, 2013. Retrospective presentation for all comparative periods presented is required. Accordingly, Monsanto will adopt this amendment in the first quarter of fiscal year 2014. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an amendment to the Comprehensive Income topic of the ASC. This amendment eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareowners equity. The amendment is effective for fiscal years beginning after Dec. 15, 2011, and interim periods within that year. Accordingly, we will adopt this amendment in first quarter fiscal year 2013. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued a new accounting standard update, which amends the fair value measurement guidance and includes some enhanced disclosure requirements. The most significant change in disclosures is an expansion of the information required for Level 3 measurements based on unobservable inputs. The amendment is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after Dec. 15, 2011. Accordingly, we will adopt this amendment in third quarter of fiscal year 2012. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There are no material changes related to market risk from the disclosures in Monsanto s Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of Feb. 29, 2012. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based upon the evaluation, management has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of Feb. 29, 2012, due to the fact that there was a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as discussed in more detail in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for fiscal year 2011 under Part II, Item 9A.

Remediation Plan

Management has been actively engaged in developing and implementing remediation plans to address the control deficiencies. The progress on our remediation efforts is as follows:

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

The training program for sales and finance personnel on revenue recognition and customer incentive programs is developed and training sessions have commenced;

Our internal policies related to customer incentive programs have been refined and issued. These policies provide additional clarity on definitions, rules and exceptions to policies;

We have established a more comprehensive review and approval procedure for prepayments to customers to ensure that appropriate accounting treatment is applied when obligations are fulfilled and payments are earned;

We have identified and started implementation of additional procedures to improve the capture, review, approval, and recording of all incentive arrangements in the appropriate accounting period; and

We are continuing to simplify customer programs.

Management has developed a detailed plan and timetable for the implementation and verification of the foregoing remediation efforts and will monitor the implementation. In addition, under the direction of the Audit and Finance Committee, management will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of the company s internal control environment, as well as to policies and procedures to improve the overall effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Management believes the foregoing efforts when completed will effectively remediate the material weakness. As the company continues to evaluate and work to improve its internal control over financial reporting, management may determine to take additional measures to address control deficiencies or determine to modify the remediation plan described above.

If not remediated, these control deficiencies could result in further material misstatements to the company s financial statements.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business, as well as proceedings that we have considered to be material under SEC regulations. These include proceedings to which we are party in our own name and proceedings to which our former parent Pharmacia Corporation or its former subsidiary Solutia Inc. is a party but that we manage and for which we are responsible. Information regarding certain material proceedings and the possible effects on our business of proceedings we are defending is disclosed in Note 21 under the subheading Environmental and Litigation Liabilities Litigation and is incorporated by reference herein. The following discussion provides new and updated information regarding certain other proceedings for which we are responsible. Other information with respect to legal proceedings appears in our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011.

Securities and Derivative Proceedings

As described in our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011, and our Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended Nov. 30, 2011, on July 29, 2010, a purported class action suit, styled Rochester Laborers Pension Fund v. Monsanto Co., et al., was filed against us and three of our past and present executive officers in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. The suit alleged that defendants violated the federal securities laws by making false or misleading statements between Jan. 7, 2009, and May 27, 2010, regarding our earnings guidance for fiscal 2009 and 2010 and the anticipated future performance of our ROUNDUP business. On Nov. 1, 2010, the Court appointed the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System as lead plaintiff in the action. On Jan. 31, 2011, lead plaintiff filed an amended complaint against us and four of our past and present executive officers in the same action. The amended complaint alleged that defendants violated the federal securities laws by making false and misleading statements during the same time period, regarding our earnings guidance for fiscal 2009 and 2010 as well as the anticipated future performance of our ROUNDUP business and our Seeds and Genomics business. Lead plaintiff claimed that these statements artificially inflated the price of our stock and that purchasers of our stock during the relevant period were damaged when the stock price later declined. Lead plaintiff sought the award of unspecified amount of damages on behalf of the alleged class, counsel fees and costs. On April 1, 2011, defendants moved to dismiss the amended complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. On June 14, 2011, lead plaintiff filed its opposition to the motion, and defendants reply thereto was filed on Aug. 12, 2011. On Dec. 12, 2011, lead plaintiff moved to supplement the record on the motion to dismiss with facts concerning the SEC investigation of our financial reporting associated with customer incentive programs for glyphosate products and our restatement of our financial results for fiscal years 2009 and 2010 and certain quarters of fiscal year 2011, On Jan. 5, 2012, the Court denied lead plaintiff s motion to supplement the record. On Jan. 20. 2012, lead plaintiff sought leave to amend its complaint, which the Court granted on Jan. 31, 2012. The second amended complaint repeats the allegations and claims in the amended complaint regarding our earnings guidance for fiscal 2009 and 2010 and our statements relating to the anticipated future performance of our ROUNDUP business and Seeds and Genomics business. The second amended complaint adds allegations and claims related to the November 2011 restatement of our financial results for fiscal 2009 and 2010 and our purported failure to disclose the adoption of customer incentive programs to drive ROUNDUP sales in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009. We believe we have meritorious legal positions and will continue to represent our interests vigorously in this matter. On Feb. 29, 2012, defendants moved to dismiss the second amended complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Lead plaintiff s opposition to the motion is due by April 6, 2012, defendants reply is due by April 27, 2012.

As described in our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011, and Monsanto s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended Nov. 30, 2011, on Aug. 4 and 5, 2010, two purported derivative suits styled Espinoza v. Grant, et al. and Clark v. Grant, et al., were filed on our behalf against our directors and three of our past and present executive officers in the Circuit Court of St. Louis County, Missouri. Asserting claims for breach of fiduciary duty, corporate waste and unjust enrichment, plaintiffs allege that our directors themselves made or allowed Monsanto to make the same allegedly false and misleading statements pertaining to the anticipated future performance of our ROUNDUP business that are at issue in the purported class action. Plaintiffs also assert a claim arising out of the acceleration of certain stock options held by one of our former executive officers upon his retirement, as well as a claim based on one director s sale of Monsanto stock while allegedly in possession of material, non-public information relating to our earnings guidance. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief and the award of unspecified amounts of damages and restitution for Monsanto, counsel fees and costs. Plaintiffs moved for an order consolidating the Espinoza and Clark actions and appointing lead and liaison counsel. On March 11, 2011, the Court approved the parties stipulation with respect to this

motion and consolidated the two actions. Defendants moved for a stay of

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

these actions in favor of the proposed federal securities class action (described above) and the federal derivative action (described below). On March 11, 2011, the Court approved the parties stipulation with respect to this motion and stayed the consolidated actions pending resolution of motions to dismiss expected to be filed in the federal actions, subject to specified exceptions.

As described in our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011, and Monsanto s Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended Nov. 30, 2011, another purported derivative action styled Kurland v. AtLee, et al., was filed on our behalf against our directors in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Asserting claims for breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, corporate waste, unjust enrichment and insider selling and misappropriation under Delaware law, the complaint contains allegations similar to the two state court derivative actions described above relating to the same allegedly false and misleading statements and a director s sale of shares, and adds allegations relating to a senior executive s sale of Monsanto stock while allegedly in possession of material, non-public information. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and the award of unspecified amounts of compensatory and exemplary damages, counsel fees and costs. On Sept. 3, 2010, defendants in the securities class action described above moved for consolidation and coordination of that action with the Kurland derivative action. On Sept. 28, 2010, the Court denied this motion, but stated that pretrial coordination of the federal actions should occur. On Oct. 11, 2010, a second purported derivative action styled Stone v. Bachmann, et al., was filed in the same federal district court on our behalf against certain of our directors. The allegations made and relief sought in the action are substantially similar to the allegations made and relief sought in the Kurland action. On Oct. 13, 2010, a third purported derivative action, styled Fagin v. AtLee, et al., was filed on our behalf against our directors in the same federal district court. The allegations made and relief sought in the Fagin action are substantially similar to the allegations made and relief sought in both the Kurland and Stone actions. The parties in these three derivative actions stipulated to their consolidation for all purposes and to the filing of a consolidated complaint, and the Court approved their stipulation on Nov. 30, 2010. The parties thereafter filed an agreed motion for a stay of the consolidated derivative action until thirty days after (a) the Court in the proposed securities class action enters an order dismissing lead plaintiff s amended complaint in that action without leave to amend or (b) defendants in the proposed securities class action answer lead plaintiff s amended complaint. On Feb. 28, 2011, the Court granted the agreed motion for a stay.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Please see Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, at the beginning of this Report on Form 10-Q and Part I Item 1A of our Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended Aug. 31, 2011, for information regarding risk factors. There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table is a summary of any purchases of equity securities during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 by Monsanto and any affiliated purchasers, pursuant to SEC rules.

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

				(d) A	pproximate Dollar
				Valu	ue of Shares that
			(c) Total Number of Shares		May
		(b) Average	Purchased as Part of	Ye	t Be Purchased
	(a) Total Number of	Price Paid	Publicly Announced		Under
	Shares	per	Plans		the Plans or
Period	Purchased	Share ⁽¹⁾	or Programs	Programs	
December 2011:					
Dec. 1, 2011, through Dec. 31, 2011	90,900	\$ 70.17	90,900	\$	464,193,823
January 2012:					
Jan. 1, 2012, through Jan. 31, 2012	3,210,000	\$ 80.02	3,210,000	\$	207,333,822
February 2012:					
Feb. 1, 2012, through Feb. 29, 2012	113,800	\$ 79.30	113,800	\$	198,309,474
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Total	3,414,700	\$ 79.73	3,414,700	\$	198,309,474

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits: The list of exhibits in the Exhibit Index to this Report is incorporated herein by reference.

⁽¹⁾ The average price paid per share is calculated on a trade date basis and excludes commission.

In June 2010, the board of directors authorized a repurchase program of up to \$1 billion of the company s common stock over a three-year period beginning July 1, 2010. This repurchase program commenced Aug. 24, 2010. There were no other publicly announced plans outstanding as of Feb. 29, 2012.

M	\mathbf{C}	N	2.1	ΔN	ITO	CC	M	\mathbf{P}^{A}	N	Y

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MONSANTO COMPANY

(Registrant)

By: /s/ NICOLE M. RINGENBERG Nicole M. Ringenberg

Vice President and Controller

(On behalf of the Registrant and as

Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: April 5, 2012

SECOND QUARTER 2012 FORM 10-Q

EXHIBIT INDEX

These Exhibits are numbered in accordance with the Exhibit Table of Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Exhibit

No.	Description
2	Omitted
3	Omitted
4	Omitted
10	Monsanto Company 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (as Amended and Restated as of January 24, 2012) (incorporated by reference to Appendix D to the Monsanto Company Proxy Statement, filed Dec. 9, 2011, File No. 1-16167).
11	Omitted see Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Earnings Per Share.
12	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
15	Omitted
18	Omitted
19	Omitted
22	Omitted
23	Omitted
24	Omitted
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, executed by the Chief Executive Officer).
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, executed by the Chief Financial Officer).
32	Rule 13a-14(b) Certifications (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, executed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE Represents	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.