

Greco Samuel A
Form 4
May 03, 2013

FORM 4

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2005
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
Greco Samuel A

(Last) (First) (Middle)
4404 DADE DRIVE
(Street)
FLOWER MOUND, TX 75028
(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
TherapeuticsMD, Inc. [TXMD]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction
(Month/Day/Year)
05/01/2013

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

Director 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) Other (specify below)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4)
				(A) or (D)	Code V Amount (D) Price		

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Security (Instr. 3 and 4)
--	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------	---	--	---

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Derivative Security			or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)		Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares
			Code	V (A) (D)				
Non-qualified Stock Option	\$ 2.64	05/01/2013	A	50,000	12/31/2013	05/01/2023	Common Stock	50,000

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
Greco Samuel A 4404 DADE DRIVE FLOWER MOUND, TX 75028			X	

Signatures

/s/ Samuel A. Greco 05/03/2013

Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).

** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure.

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. s New Roman" SIZE="2"> 32

Gain on sale of investment securities

(504) (956)

Change in Operating Assets and Liabilities, net

(301) (1,822)

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

12,451 9,315

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Activity in securities:

Maturities, prepayments and calls

28,621 75,705

Sales

30,376 55,700

Purchases

(79,935) (173,887)

Proceeds from sale of assets

10 2

Additions to premises and equipment

(746) (3,383)

Loan originations and principal collections, net

18,269 19,050

Net Cash (Used) in Investing Activities

(3,405) (26,813)

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Net increase (decrease) in deposits

(4,928) 36,875

Net change in short-term debt

1,966 5,299

Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt

9,000

Repayments of long-term debt

(8,164) (8,200)

Purchase of Treasury stock

(220) (388)

Cash dividends paid on common stock

(2,675) (2,554)

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

(14,021) 40,032

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Net Increase (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents

(4,975) 22,534

Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of year

43,379 33,648

Cash and cash equivalents - End of period

\$38,404 \$56,182

RECONCILIATION OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS:

Cash and cash due from banks

\$13,987 \$14,754

Interest bearing deposits with banks

16,668 30,503

Federal funds sold

7,749 10,925

Explanation of Responses:

\$38,404 \$56,182

Supplemental Information

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest

\$6,460 \$8,675

Income Taxes

\$1,220 \$1,245

Explanation of Responses:

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X; accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that are expected for the year ended December 31, 2011. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

NOTE 2 FAIR VALUE OF INSTRUMENTS

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair values of financial instruments are management's estimate of the values at which the instruments could be exchanged in a transaction between willing parties. These estimates are subjective and may vary significantly from amounts that would be realized in actual transactions. In addition, other significant assets are not considered financial assets including deferred tax assets, premises, equipment and intangibles. Further, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on the fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the elements.

The following assumptions and methods were used in estimating the fair value for financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, cash equivalents and federal funds sold approximate their fair values. Also included in this line item are the carrying amounts of interest-bearing deposits maturing within ninety days which approximate their fair values. Fair values of other interest-bearing deposits are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on current rates for similar types of deposits.

Securities and Other Securities

Fair values for securities, excluding Federal Home Loan Bank stock, are based on quoted market price, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. The carrying value of Federal Home Loan Bank stock approximates fair value based on the redemption provisions of the Federal Home Loan Bank.

Loans

Most commercial, agricultural and real estate mortgage loans are made on a variable rate basis. For those variable rate loans that re-price frequently, and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair values of the fixed rate and all other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis. This is accomplished by using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers with similar credit quality.

Deposits

The fair values disclosed for deposits with no defined maturities are equal to their carrying amounts, which represent the amount payable on demand. The carrying amounts for variable-rate, fixed term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair value at the reporting date. Fair value for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

Borrowings

Short-term borrowings are carried at cost that approximates fair value. Other long-term debt was generally valued using a discounted cash flow analysis with a discounted rate based on current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of arrangements, or if not available, based on an approach similar to that used for loans and deposits.

Table of ContentsITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate their fair values.

Dividends Payable

The carrying amounts of dividends payable approximate their fair values and are generally paid within forty days of declaration.

Off Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counter parties' credit standing.

The estimated fair values, and related carrying or notional amounts, for balance sheet financial instruments as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are reflected below.

	(In Thousands)			
	September 2011		December 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Financial Assets:				
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 38,404	\$ 38,404	\$ 43,379	\$ 43,379
Securities - available for sale	316,372	316,372	287,317	287,317
Other Securities	4,365	4,365	4,406	4,406
Loans, net	500,326	500,763	521,883	520,766
Accrued interest receivable	4,250	4,250	4,036	4,036
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$ 719,585	\$ 722,830	\$ 724,513	\$ 725,270
Short-term debt				
Repurchase agreement sold	53,207	53,207	51,241	51,241
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	21,710	22,675	29,874	30,764
Accrued interest payable	332	332	471	471
Dividends payable	891	891	894	894

Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2011, and the valuation techniques used by the Company to determine those fair values.

In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access.

Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs use other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly. These Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and other inputs such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs, including inputs that are available in situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the related asset or liability.

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

In instances where inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels in the above fair value hierarchy, fair value measurements in their entirety are categorized based on the lowest level input that is significant to the valuation. The Company's assessment of the significance of particular inputs to these fair value measurements requires judgment and considers factors specific to each asset or liability.

Table of ContentsITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Disclosures concerning assets and liabilities measured at fair value are as follows:

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis (In Thousands)

September 30, 2011	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets-Securities Available for Sale			
U.S. Treasury	\$ 26,829		
U.S. Government agency	182,287		
Mortgage-backed securities	39,195		
State and local governments		\$ 54,299	\$ 13,762
Total Securities Available for Sale	\$ 248,311	\$ 54,299	\$ 13,762
Liabilities	\$	\$	\$
December 31, 2010	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets-Securities Available for Sale			
U.S. Treasury	\$ 32,279		
U.S. Government agency	165,703		
Mortgage-backed securities	24,531		
State and local governments		\$ 53,502	\$ 11,302
Total Securities Available for Sale	\$ 222,513	\$ 53,502	\$ 11,302
Liabilities	\$	\$	\$

The Company did have assets measured at fair value that were categorized as Level 3 during the period. The Company's available for sale securities includes bonds issued by local municipalities. Those municipal bonds that did not have CUSIP or credit rating numbers were treated as Level 3. Those bonds, including municipalities, that did have CUSIP numbers or have similar characteristics of those in like markets, were considered comparable and marketable and reported as Level 2.

The Company also has assets that, under certain conditions, are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. At September 30, 2011, such assets consist primarily of impaired loans and other real estate. The Company has established the fair values of these assets using Level 3 inputs, each individually described below.

Impaired loans categorized as Level 3 assets consist of non-homogeneous loans that are considered impaired. The Company estimates the fair value of the loans based on the present value of expected future cash flows using management's best estimate of key assumptions. These assumptions include future payment ability, timing of payment streams, and estimated realizable values of available collateral (typically based on outside appraisals.)

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Other real estate is reported at either the fair value of the real estate minus the estimated costs to sell the asset or the cost of the asset. The determination of fair value of the real estate relies primarily on appraisals from third parties. If the fair value of the real estate, minus the estimated costs to sell the asset, is less than the asset's cost, the deficiency is recognized as a valuation allowance against the asset through a charge to expense.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis at September 30, 2011 (In Thousands) Quoted Prices in

		Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	Balance at September 30, 2011			
Impaired loans	\$ 7,082	\$	\$	\$ 7,082
Other real estate owned - residential mortgages	\$ 1,069	\$	\$	\$ 1,069
Other real estate owned - commercial	\$ 2,786	\$	\$	\$ 2,786

Table of ContentsITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis
at December 31, 2010 (In Thousands)

Quoted Prices in Active

	Balance at December 31, 2010	Markets for		
		Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Impaired loans	\$ 4,369	\$	\$	\$ 4,369
Other real estate owned - residential mortgages	\$ 2,110	\$	\$	\$ 2,110
Other real estate owned commercial	\$ 2,328	\$	\$	\$ 2,328

NOTE 3 ASSET PURCHASE

On July 9, 2010, the Bank completed its purchase of a branch office in Hicksville, Ohio from First Place Bank. Deposits of close to \$28 million and loans of \$14 million were included in the purchase. The new office is located within the Bank's current market area, shortening the distance between offices in the Ohio and Indiana market area. The following table summarizes the estimated values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed:

	(In Thousands)
Cash	\$ 114
Loans, Net of Discount	13,792
Accrued Interest on Loans	64
Premises and Equipment	1,803
Core Deposit Intangible	1,087
Other Assets	11
Total Assets Acquired	\$ 16,871
Deposits	\$ 27,749
Accrued Interest on Deposits	13
Other Liabilities	10
Total Liabilities Assumed	27,772
Net Liabilities Assumed	\$ 10,901

In connection with the purchase, the Bank recognized an addition to core deposit intangible by \$1.09 million, which is being amortized on a straight line basis over the estimated remaining economic life of the deposits of 7 years.

On December 31, 2007 the Bank acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Knisely Bank. \$1.1 million was recognized as a core deposit intangible, which is being amortized on a straight line basis over the estimated remaining economic life of the deposits of 7 years.

The estimated amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$235, \$157 and \$157 thousand respectively. Amortization of these core deposit intangibles is scheduled to be as follows:

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

	(In Thousands)		
	Knisley	Hicksville	Total
2011	\$ 157	\$ 155	\$ 312
2012	157	155	312
2013	157	155	312
2014	157	155	312
2015		155	155
Thereafter	0	235	235
Total Core Deposit Intangible	\$ 628	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,638

Table of ContentsITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
LOANS

NOTE 4 LOANS

Loan balances as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	(In Thousands)	
Loans:	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commercial real estate	\$ 201,167	\$ 194,268
Agricultural real estate	31,806	33,650
Consumer real estate	80,607	86,036
Commercial and industrial	112,542	117,344
Agricultural	54,134	65,400
Consumer	23,996	29,008
Industrial Development Bonds	1,347	1,965
	\$ 505,599	\$ 527,671
Less: Net deferred loan fees and costs	(135)	(82)
	505,464	527,589
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(5,138)	(5,706)
Loans - Net	\$ 500,326	\$ 521,883

The following is a maturity schedule by major category of loans as of September 30, 2011:

	(In Thousands)		
	Within One Year	After One Year Within Five Years	After Five Years
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 25,389	\$ 121,288	\$ 54,490
Agricultural Real Estate	2,743	11,981	17,082
Consumer Real Estate	6,687	14,300	59,620
Commercial/Industrial	72,897	36,246	3,399
Agricultural	36,692	14,905	2,537
Consumer	5,453	16,643	1,765
Industrial Development Bonds	25	359	963

The distribution of fixed rate loans and variable rate loans by major loan category is as follows as of September 30, 2011. Variable rate loans whose current rates are equal to their floor or ceiling are classified as fixed in this table.

	(In Thousands)	
	Fixed Rate	Variable Rate
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 92,268	\$ 108,899
Agricultural Real Estate	19,018	12,788
Consumer Real Estate	69,221	11,386

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Commercial/Industrial	81,823	30,719
Agricultural	49,468	4,666
Consumer	19,556	4,305
Industrial Development Bonds	1,347	

As of September 30, 2011 and 2010 one to four family residential mortgage loans amounting to \$72.0 million and \$76.5 million, respectively, have been pledged as security for loans the Bank has received from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

The percentage of delinquent loans has trended downward since the beginning of 2010 from a high of 2.85% of total loans in January to a low of .76% as of the end of March 2011. At the end of the third quarter 2011, the percentage increased to 1.73% due mainly to the addition of one large agricultural related credit during the second quarter. A credit for which the Bank is fully collateralized and should be collected yet in 2011. Consumer delinquency continued to decrease and remained low. These percentages do not include nonaccrual loans which are not past due. This level of delinquency is due in part to an adherence to sound underwriting practices over the course of time, an improvement in the financial status of companies to which the Bank extends credit, continued financial stability in the agricultural loan portfolio, and the writing down of uncollectable credits in a timely manner.

Industrial Development Bonds are included in the commercial and industrial category for the remainder of the tables in this Note 4.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

The following table represents the contractual aging of the recorded investment in past due loans by class or loans as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Financing Receivables	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
September 30, 2011							
Consumer real estate	\$ 823	\$ 21	\$ 477	\$ 1,321	\$ 79,286	\$ 80,607	\$
Agricultural real estate			223	223	31,583	31,806	
Agricultural			4,577	4,577	49,557	54,134	
Commercial Real Estate	212	46	1,085	1,343	199,824	201,167	
Commercial and Industrial	761	420	100	1,281	112,608	113,889	
Consumer	10	2		12	23,849	23,861	12
Total	\$ 1,806	\$ 489	\$ 6,462	\$ 8,757	\$ 496,707	\$ 505,464	\$ 12

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Financing Receivables	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
December 31, 2010							
Consumer real estate	\$ 610	\$ 29	\$ 169	\$ 808	\$ 85,228	\$ 86,036	\$
Agricultural real estate					33,650	33,650	
Agricultural			1,474	1,474	63,926	65,400	
Commercial Real Estate	548		445	993	193,275	194,268	
Commercial and Industrial	957	52	831	1,840	117,469	119,309	15
Consumer	147	6	33	186	28,740	28,926	33
Total	\$ 2,262	\$ 87	\$ 2,952	\$ 5,301	\$ 522,288	\$ 527,589	\$ 48

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans by class or loans as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	(In Thousands)	
	September 30 2011	December 31 2010
Consumer real estate	\$ 696	\$ 587
Agricultural real estate	223	531
Agricultural	4,577	1,474
Commercial Real Estate	1,085	1,705
Commercial and Industrial	100	1,543
Consumer	3	4
Total	\$ 6,684	\$ 5,844

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

The Bank uses a nine tier risk rating system to grade its loans. The grade of a loan may change during the life of the loan. The risk ratings are described as follows.

1. Zero (0) Unclassified. Any loan which has not been assigned a classification.
2. One (1) Excellent. Credit to premier customers having the highest credit rating based on an extremely strong financial condition, which compares favorably with industry standards (upper quartile of RMA ratios). Financial statements indicate a sound earnings and financial ratio trend for several years with satisfactory profit margins and excellent liquidity exhibited. Prime credits may also be borrowers with loans fully secured by highly liquid collateral such as traded stocks, bonds, certificates of deposit, savings account, etc. No credit or collateral exceptions exist and the loan adheres to the Bank's loan policy in every respect. Financing alternatives would be readily available and would qualify for unsecured credit. This grade is summarized by high liquidity, minimum risk, strong ratios, and low handling costs.
3. Two (2) Good. Desirable loans of somewhat less stature than Grade 1, but with strong financial statements. Loan supported by financial statements containing strong balance sheets, generally with a leverage position less than 1.50, and a history of profitability. Probability of serious financial deterioration is unlikely. Possessing a sound repayment source (and a secondary source), which would allow repayment in a reasonable period of time. Individual loans backed by liquid personal assets, established history and unquestionable character.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

4. Three (3) Satisfactory. Satisfactory loans of average or slightly above average risk having some deficiency or vulnerability to changing economic conditions, but still fully collectible. Projects should normally demonstrate acceptable debt service coverage. Generally, customers should have a leverage position less than 2.00. May be some weakness but with offsetting features of other support readily available. Loans that are meeting the terms of repayment.

Loans may be graded 3 when there is no recent information on which to base a current risk evaluation and the following conditions apply:

At inception, the loan was properly underwritten and did not possess an unwarranted level of credit risk:

- a. At inception, the loan was secured with collateral possessing a loan value adequate to protect the Bank from loss;
 - b. The loan exhibited two or more years of satisfactory repayment with a reasonable reduction of the principal balance;
 - c. During the period that the loan has been outstanding, there has been no evidence of any credit weakness considered satisfactory but which is of average credit risk due to financial weakness or uncertainty. The loans warrant a higher than average level of monitoring to ensure that weaknesses do not advance. The level of risk in Satisfactory/Monitored classification is considered acceptable and within normal underwriting guidelines, so long as the loan is given management supervision.
5. Four (4) Satisfactory / Monitored. A 4 (Satisfactory/Monitored) risk grade may be established for a loan considered satisfactory but which is of average credit risk due to financial weakness or uncertainty. The loans warrant a higher than average level of monitoring to ensure that weaknesses do not advance. The level of risk in Satisfactory/Monitored classification is considered acceptable and within normal underwriting guidelines, so long as the loan is given management supervision.
6. Five (5) Special Mention. Loans that possess some credit deficiency or potential weakness which deserves close attention, but which do not yet warrant substandard classification. Such loans pose unwarranted financial risk that, if not corrected, could weaken the loan and increase risk in the future. The key distinctions of a 5 (Special Mention) classification are that (1) it is indicative of an unwarranted level of risk, and (2) weaknesses are considered potential, versus defined, impairments to the primary source of loan repayment and collateral.
7. Six (6) Substandard. One or more of the following characteristics may be exhibited in loans classified substandard:
- a. Loans, which possess a defined credit weakness and the likelihood that a loan will be paid from the primary source, are uncertain. Financial deterioration is underway and very close attention is warranted to ensure that the loan is collected without loss.
 - b. Loans are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower.
 - c. The primary source of repayment is weakened, and the Bank is forced to rely on a secondary source of repayment such as collateral liquidation or guarantees.

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

- d. Loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if deficiencies are not corrected.
 - e. Unusual courses of action are needed to maintain a high probability of repayment.
 - f. The borrower is not generating enough cash flow to repay loan principal; however, continues to make interest payments.
 - g. The lender is forced into a subordinate position or unsecured collateral position due to flaws in documentation.
 - h. Loans have been restructured so that payment schedules, terms and collateral represent concessions to the borrower when compared to the normal loan terms.
 - i. The lender is seriously contemplating foreclosure or legal action due to the apparent deterioration in the loan.
 - j. There is significant deterioration in the market conditions and the borrower is highly vulnerable to these conditions.
8. Seven (7) Doubtful. One or more of the following characteristics may be exhibited in loans classified Doubtful:
- a. Loans have all of the weaknesses of those classified as Substandard. Additionally, however, these weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full based on existing conditions improbable.
 - b. The primary source of repayment is gone, and there is considerable doubt as to the quality of the secondary source of repayment.
 - c. The possibility of loss is high, but, because of certain important pending factors which may strengthen the loan, loss classification is deferred until its exact status is known. A Doubtful classification is established deferring the realization of the loss.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

9. Eight (8) Loss. Loans are considered uncollectable and of such little value that continuing to carry them as assets on the institution's financial statements is not feasible. Loans will be classified Loss when it is neither practical nor desirable to defer writing off or reserving all or a portion of a basically worthless asset, even though partial recovery may be possible at some time in the future. The following table represents the risk category of loans by class based on the most recent analysis performed as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Agriculture Real Estate	Agriculture	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Industrial Development Bonds
September 30, 2011					
1-2	\$ 998	\$ 1,156	\$ 720	\$ 612	\$ 188
3	12,329	23,024	26,447	22,746	727
4	17,167	24,894	161,807	78,828	432
5	182	331	6,079	4,894	
6	1,130	4,721	6,114	5,462	
7		8			
8					
Total	\$ 31,806	\$ 54,134	\$ 201,167	\$ 112,542	\$ 1,347

	Agriculture Real Estate	Agriculture	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Industrial Development Bonds
December 31, 2010					
1-2	\$ 484	\$ 109	\$	\$ 341	\$ 275
3	12,216	27,964	26,333	14,026	733
4	19,624	35,655	153,948	92,066	957
5	208	173	6,765	3,388	
6	1,097	1,474	6,771	6,688	
7	21	25	451	835	
8					
Total	\$ 33,650	\$ 65,400	\$ 194,268	\$ 117,344	\$ 1,965

For consumer residential real estate, and other, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously stated, and by payment activity. The following tables present the recorded investment in those classes based on payment activity and assigned grading as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Grade	(In Thousands)	
	Consumer Real Estate September 30 2011	Consumer Real Estate December 31 2010
Pass	\$ 80,128	\$ 84,723

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Special Mention (5)	0	387
Substandard (6)	131	639
Doubtful (7)	348	287
 Total	 \$ 80,607	 \$ 86,036

	(In Thousands)			
	Consumer Credit September 30 2011	Consumer Credit December 31 2010	Consumer Other September 30 2011	Consumer Other December 31 2010
Performing	\$ 3,351	\$ 3,553	\$ 20,460	\$ 25,323
Nonperforming	0	6	50	44
Total	\$ 3,351	\$ 3,559	\$ 20,510	\$ 25,367

Table of ContentsITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

The Bank did classify approximately \$3.5 million of its impaired loans as troubled debt restructured (TDR) during 2010 and this balance decreased to \$3.2 million as of September 30, 2011 for those TDRs still current and accruing. The following table indicates the number of contracts and their corresponding balances which the Bank has classified as TDR.

September 30, 2011	Number of Contracts	Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Troubled Debt Restructurings			
Commercial Real Estate	5	\$ 3,940	\$ 3,255
Ag Real Estate	2	\$ 154	\$ 152
Commercial and Industrial	1	\$ 148	\$ 26
Troubled Debt Restructurings			
That Subsequently Defaulted		Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
Commercial Real Estate		1	\$ 207
Ag Real Estate			\$
Commercial and Industrial			\$

For the majority of the Bank's impaired loans, the Bank will apply the observable market price methodology. However, the Bank may also utilize a measurement incorporating the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate of interest. To determine observable market price, collateral asset values securing an impaired loan are periodically evaluated. Maximum time for re-evaluation is every 12 months for chattels and titled vehicles and every two years for real estate. In this process, third party evaluations are obtained and heavily relied upon. Until such time that updated appraisals are received, the

Bank may discount the collateral value used.

The Bank uses the following guidelines as stated in policy to determine when to realize a charge-off, whether a partial or full loan balance. A charge-off in whole or in part is realized when unsecured consumer loans, credit card credits and overdraft lines of credit reach 90 days delinquency. At 120 days delinquent, secured consumer loans are charged down to the value of the collateral, if repossession of the collateral is assured and/or in the process of repossession. Consumer mortgage loan deficiencies are charged down upon the sale of the collateral or sooner upon the recognition of collateral deficiency. Commercial and agricultural credits are charged down at 120 days delinquency, unless an established and approved work-out plan is in place or litigation of the credit will likely result in recovery of the loan balance. Upon notification of bankruptcy, unsecured debt is charged off. Additional charge-off may be realized as further unsecured positions are recognized.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

September 30, 2011	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					
Consumer real estate	\$	\$	\$	\$ 133	\$ 12
Agriculture real estate				223	
Agriculture	53,036	5,036		4,768	3
Commercial real estate	1,567	1,744		1,667	20
Commercial and industrial				1,840	1

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Consumer					
With a specific allowance recorded:					
Consumer real estate	479	492	101	382	2
Agriculture real estate					
Agriculture					
Commercial real estate				154	
Commercial and industrial					
Consumer					
Totals:					
Consumer real estate	\$ 479	\$ 492	\$ 101	\$ 515	\$ 14
Agriculture real estate	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 223	\$ 0
Agriculture	\$ 53,036	\$ 5,036	\$ 0	\$ 4,768	\$ 3
Commercial real estate	\$ 1,567	\$ 1,744	\$ 0	\$ 1,821	\$ 20
Commercial and industrial	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,840	\$ 1
Consumer	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

December 31, 2010	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:					
Consumer real estate	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Agriculture real estate	219	219		119	31
Agriculture	1,397	1,397		2,786	
Commercial real estate	849	1,699		2,209	26
Commercial and industrial				2,221	2
Consumer					
With a specific allowance recorded:					
Consumer real estate	671	701	66	1,375	
Agriculture real estate					
Agriculture				5	1
Commercial real estate	476	476	73	296	1
Commercial and industrial	757	757	493	1,125	
Consumer					
Totals:					
Consumer real estate	\$ 671	\$ 701	\$ 66	\$ 1,375	\$
Agriculture real estate	\$ 219	\$ 219	\$ 0	\$ 119	\$ 31
Agriculture	\$ 1,397	\$ 1,397	\$ 0	\$ 2,791	\$ 1
Commercial real estate	\$ 1,325	\$ 2,175	\$ 73	\$ 2,505	\$ 27
Commercial and industrial	\$ 757	\$ 757	\$ 493	\$ 3,346	\$ 2
Consumer	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

The ALLL has a direct impact on the provision expense. An increase in the ALLL is funded through recoveries and provision expense. The following tables summarize the activities in the allowance for credit losses.

	(In Thousands)	
	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Allowance for Loan Losses		
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 5,706	\$ 6,008
Provision for loan loss	1,522	5,325
Loans charged off	(2,378)	(6,422)
Recoveries	288	795
Allowance for Loan & Leases Losses	\$ 5,138	\$ 5,706
Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments & Letters of Credit	\$ 155	\$ 153
Total Allowance for Credit Losses	\$ 5,293	\$ 5,859

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

The Company segregates its Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) into two reserves: The ALLL and the Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments and Letters of Credit (AULC). When combined, these reserves constitute the total Allowance for Credit Losses (ACL).

The AULC is reported within other liabilities on the balance sheet while the ALLL is netted within the loans, net asset line. The ACL presented above represents the full amount of reserves available to absorb possible credit losses. The next table breaks down the activity within ALLL for each loan portfolio segment and shows the contribution provided by both the recoveries and the provision along with the reduction of the allowance caused by charge-offs.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 4 LOANS (Continued)

Additional analysis related to the allowance for credit losses as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

	Consumer Real Estate	Agriculture Real Estate	Agriculture	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial	Consumer (incl. Credit Cards)	Unfunded Loan Commitment & Letters of Credit	Unallocated	Total
September 30, 2011									
ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES:									
Beginning balance	\$ 258	\$ 122	\$ 327	\$ 1,868	\$ 2,354	\$ 380	\$ 153	\$ 397	\$ 5,859
Charge Offs	(380)		(25)	(210)	(1,496)	(267)			(\$ 2,378)
Recoveries	48		65	30	11	134			\$ 288
Provision	403	31	(116)	338	1,036	68		(238)	\$ 1,522
Other Non-interest expense related to unfunded	\$						3		\$ 3
Ending Balance	\$ 329	\$ 153	\$ 251	\$ 2,026	\$ 1,905	\$ 315	\$ 156	\$ 159	\$ 5,294
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 101				\$				\$ 101
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 228	\$ 153	\$ 251	\$ 2,026	\$ 1,905	\$ 315	\$ 156	\$ 159	\$ 5,193
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	2								\$ 2
FINANCING RECEIVABLES:									
Ending balance	\$ 80,607	\$ 31,806	\$ 54,134	\$ 201,167	\$ 113,889	\$ 23,861			\$ 505,464
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 479	\$	\$ 5,036	\$ 1,567					\$ 7,082
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 80,128	\$ 31,806	\$ 49,098	\$ 199,600	\$ 113,889	\$ 23,861			\$ 498,382
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 986	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			\$ 986
December 31, 2010									

ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT**LOSSES:**

Beginning balance	\$ 439	\$ 120	\$ 647	\$ 1,810	\$ 2,494	\$ 497	\$ 227	\$ 1	\$ 6,235
Charge Offs	(507)		(136)	(1,147)	(4,188)	(444)			(6,422)
Recoveries	55		17	52	515	156			795
Provision	271	2	(201)	1,153	3,533	171		396	5,325
Other Non-interest expense related to unfunded							(74)		(74)

Ending Balance	\$ 258	\$ 122	\$ 327	\$ 1,868	\$ 2,354	\$ 380	\$ 153	\$ 397	\$ 5,859
----------------	--------	--------	--------	----------	----------	--------	--------	--------	----------

Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 66			\$ 73	\$ 493				\$ 632
---	-------	--	--	-------	--------	--	--	--	--------

Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 190	\$ 122	\$ 327	\$ 1,795	\$ 1,861	\$ 380	\$ 153	\$ 397	\$ 5,226
---	--------	--------	--------	----------	----------	--------	--------	--------	----------

Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 2					\$ 2			\$ 4
---	------	--	--	--	--	------	--	--	------

FINANCING RECEIVABLES:

Ending balance	\$ 75,785	\$ 34,446	\$ 65,400	\$ 204,327	\$ 119,262	\$ 28,451			\$ 527,671
----------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------	-----------	--	--	------------

Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 671	\$ 219	\$ 1,397	\$ 1,325	\$ 757				\$ 4,369
---	--------	--------	----------	----------	--------	--	--	--	----------

Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 75,114	\$ 34,227	\$ 64,003	\$ 203,002	\$ 118,505	\$ 28,451			\$ 523,302
---	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------	-----------	--	--	------------

Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 987					\$ 156			\$ 1,143
---	--------	--	--	--	--	--------	--	--	----------

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
INTRODUCTION

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated on February 25, 1985, under the laws of the State of Ohio. Farmers & Merchants Bancorp, Inc., and its subsidiary The Farmers & Merchants State Bank (the Bank) are engaged in commercial banking. The executive offices of the Company are located at 307 North Defiance Street, Archbold 43502.

The Farmers & Merchants State Bank engages in general commercial banking and savings business. Their activities include commercial, agricultural and residential mortgage, consumer and credit card lending activities. Because the Bank's offices are located in Northwest Ohio and Northeast Indiana, a substantial amount of the loan portfolio is comprised of loans made to customers in the farming industry for such things as farm land, farm equipment, livestock and general operation loans for seed, fertilizer, and feed. Other types of lending activities include loans for home improvements, and loans for such items as autos, trucks, recreational vehicles, motorcycles, etc.

The Bank's underwriting policies exercised through established procedures facilitates operating in a safe and sound manner in accordance with supervisory and regulatory guidance. Within this sphere of safety and soundness, the Bank's practice has been not to promote innovative, unproven credit products which will not be in the best interest of the Bank or its customers. The Bank does offer a hybrid mortgage loan. Hybrid loans are loans that start out as a fixed rate mortgage but after a set number of years they automatically adjust to an adjustable rate mortgage. The Bank offers a three year fixed rate mortgage after which the interest rate will adjust annually. The majority of the Bank's adjustable rate mortgages are of this type. In order to offer longer term fixed rate mortgages, the Bank does participate in the Freddie Mac, Farmer Mac and Small Business Lending programs. The Bank does also retain the servicing on these partially or 100% sold loans. In order for the customer to participate in these programs they must meet the requirements established by these agencies.

The Bank does not fund sub-prime loans. Sub-prime loans are characterized as a lending program or strategy that target borrowers who pose a significantly higher risk of default than traditional retail banking customers.

Following are the characteristics and underwriting criteria for each major type of loan the Bank offers:

Commercial Real Estate Construction, purchase, and refinance of business purpose real estate. Risks include loan amount in relation to construction delays and overruns, vacancies, collateral value subject to market value fluctuations, interest rate, market demands, borrower's ability to repay in orderly fashion, and others. The Bank does employ stress testing on higher balance loans to mitigate risk by ensuring the customer's ability to repay in a changing rate environment before granting loan approval.

Agricultural Real Estate Purchase of farm real estate or for permanent improvements to the farm real estate. Cash flow from the farm operation is the repayment source and is therefore subject to the financial success of the farm operation.

Consumer Real Estate Purchase, refinance, or equity financing of one to four family owner occupied dwelling. Success in repayment is subject to borrower's income, debt level, character in fulfilling payment obligations, employment, and others.

Commercial/Industrial Loans to proprietorships, partnerships, or corporations to provide temporary working capital and seasonal loans as well as long term loans for capital asset acquisition. Risks include adequacy of cash flow, reasonableness of profit projections, financial leverage, economic trends, management ability, and others. The Bank does employ stress testing on higher balance loans to mitigate risk by ensuring the customer's ability to repay in a changing rate environment before granting loan approval.

Agricultural Loans for the production and housing of crops, fruits, vegetables, and livestock or to fund the purchase or re-finance of capital assets such as machinery and equipment, and livestock. The production of crops and livestock is especially vulnerable to commodity prices and weather. The vulnerability to commodity prices is offset by the farmers ability to hedge their position by using the future contracts. The risk related to weather is often mitigated by requiring federal crop insurance.

Consumer Funding for individual and family purposes. Success in repayment is subject to borrower's income, debt level, character in fulfilling payment obligations, employment, and others.

Industrial Development Bonds Funds for public improvements in the Bank's service area. Repayment ability is based on the continuance of the taxation revenue as the source of repayment.

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

All loan requests are reviewed as to credit worthiness and are subject to the Bank's underwriting guidelines as to secured versus unsecured credit. Secured loans are in turn subject to loan to value (LTV) requirements based on collateral types as set forth in the Bank's Loan Policy. In addition, credit scores of principal borrowers are reviewed and an approved exception from an additional officer is required should a credit score not meet the Bank's Loan Policy guidelines.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)
INTRODUCTION (Continued)

Consumer Loans:

Maximum loan to value (LTV) for cars, trucks and light trucks vary from 90% to 110% depending on whether direct or indirect.

Loans above 100% are generally due to additional charges for extended warranties and/or insurance coverage periods of lost wages or death.

Boats, campers, motorcycles, RV's and Motor Coaches range from 80%-90% based on age of vehicle.

1st or 2nd mortgages on 1-4 family homes range from 75%-90% with in-house first real estate mortgages requiring private mortgage insurance on those exceeding 80% LTV.

Raw land LTV maximum ranges from 65%-75% depending on whether or not the property has been improved.

Commercial/Agriculture:

Real Estate:

Maximum LTVs range from 70%-80% depending on type.

Accounts Receivable:

Up to 80% LTV

Inventory:

Agriculture:

Livestock and grain up to 80% LTV, crops (insured) up to 75% and Warehouse Receipts up to 87%

Commercial:

Maximum LTV of 50% on raw and finished goods

Used vehicles, new recreational vehicles and manufactured homes not to exceed (NTE) 80% LTV

Equipment:

New not to exceed 80% of invoice, used NTE 50% of listed book or 75% of appraised value

Restaurant equipment up to 35% of market value

Heavy trucks, titled trailers, NTE 75% LTV and aircraft up to 75% of appraised value

Risks are mitigated through an adherence to Loan Policy with exceptions being recorded and approved by Senior Management or committees comprised of Senior Management. Loan Policy defines parameters to essential underwriting guidelines such as loan-to-value ratio, cash flow and debt-to-income ratio, loan requirements and covenants, financial information tracking, collection practice and others. Limitation to any one borrower is defined by the Bank's legal lending limits and is stated in policy. On a broader basis, the Bank restricts total aggregate funding in comparison to Bank capital to any one business and agricultural sector by an approved sector percentage to capital limitation.

The Bank also provides checking account services, as well as savings and time deposit services such as certificates of deposits. In addition ATM's (automated teller machines) are provided at most branch locations along with other independent locations such as major employers and hospitals in the market area. The Bank has custodial services for IRA's (Individual Retirement Accounts) and HSA's (Health Savings Accounts).

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

F&M Investment Services, the brokerage department of the Bank, opened for business in April, 1999. Securities are offered through Raymond James Financial Services Inc.

The Bank's primary market includes communities located in the Ohio counties of Defiance, Fulton, Henry, Williams and Wood and in the Indiana counties of DeKalb and Steuben. The commercial banking business in this market are highly competitive with approximately 17 other depository institutions doing business in the Bank's primary market. The Bank competes directly with other commercial banks, credit unions and farm credit services and savings and loan institutions in each of their operating localities. In a number of locations, the Bank competes against institutions which are much larger.

The Company's common stock is not listed on any exchange or the NASDAQ Stock Market. The stock is currently quoted in over-the-counter markets.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

2011 IN REVIEW

The impact of new legislation, such as Health Care and Dodd-Frank Financial Reform (collectively, "Financial Reform legislation"), weighs heavily on the minds of bankers along with their customers during its implementation. Legislation has impacted the collection of fees as related to discretionary overdraft protection during the first half of 2011. A carve out of limited regulation for banks under \$10 billion in assets as it relates to debit interchange fees may help to maintain the debit card program through the remainder of 2011. However, the primary concerns at this point are the impact on future revenues and expenses and how quickly it will be felt should the carve out provide only short term relief.

Short-term rates remain low and are expected to remain low throughout 2011. This has enabled the Company to continue to sell investment securities and recognize a gain without compromising the yield while modestly increasing the duration of the investment portfolio. As of September 30, 2010, the favorable gain produced from the sale of securities was \$956 thousand. Most of the securities sold were agencies maturing in a shorter time period than the securities that were purchased to replace them. As of September 30, 2011, the favorable gain was at \$504 thousand and the securities sold were out of state municipals and agencies. The Bank was able to continue to capitalize on the steepness of the yield curve throughout 2011.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Bank received a payoff on a large nonaccrual loan. The collection of which included over \$600 thousand of interest and a reimbursement of over \$300 thousand in legal fees. The collection process took almost three years to complete. This boost to income is evident throughout from net interest margin, improved asset quality to lower non-interest expense for the quarter. It also offsets tightening margins due to soft loan demand and high liquidity caused from higher deposit growth. As was expected, the second and third quarter numbers, as they relate to interest earnings, were lower in yield than first quarter without an additional large influx of nonaccrual interest collection. However, the Bank was able to realize improvement in a lower loan provision requirement. In comparing to a year ago, provision expense was \$3.35 million lower for nine months and \$1.1 million lower in comparing third quarter 2011 performance to third quarter 2010. This contributed to ROA and ROE remaining higher than a year ago. Unfortunately, another large agricultural credit was placed in collection and on non-accrual during the second quarter. While the Bank expects to collect all principal, fees and interest owed on this credit, this has again impacted the short term performance. Unlike the previous mentioned credit collection, the collection of this credit should occur within the current year and not extend over a three year process.

A large amount of write-downs and losses on the sale of other real estate owned (ORE) hampered 2011 as compared to the same time period 2010. The balance at \$3.9 million is almost \$1.3 million higher as of September 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010. While September 30, 2010 recognized \$31.7 thousand in losses from sales of ORE, as of September 30, 2011, the Bank has recognized \$1.0 million in a compilation of write-downs and losses on ORE. This impact is evident in the lower non-interest income for both the third quarter and year-to-date financials of 2011.

The Bank's local service area has experienced a very slight improvement in employment rates from mid year 2010 through current 2011. The improvement is not considered significant at this time as unemployment remains close to, if not in, double digits in most of the Company's market areas. The majority of the Bank's commercial borrowers have experienced slight improvement, although a few still lag. As the economic recovery remains fragile and consumer confidence remains at lower levels, consumer sensitive industries and the retail sector may continue to experience pressures as well.

On July 9, 2010, the Bank completed its purchase of a branch office in Hicksville, Ohio from First Place Bank, a savings association with its main office in Warren, OH. Deposits of close to \$28 million and loans of \$14 million were included in the purchase. The new office is located within the Bank's current market area, shortening the distance between offices in the Ohio and Indiana market area. The transaction has been accretive to earnings during its first year of operation.

The Company remains strong, stable and well capitalized and has the capacity to continue to cover the increased costs of doing business in a tough economy and is seeking good loans to improve profitability.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICY AND ESTIMATES

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and the Company follows general practices within the industries in which it operates. At times the application of these principles requires Management to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. These

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

assumptions, estimates and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements. As this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different assumptions, estimates and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on assumptions, estimates and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Examples of critical assumptions, estimates and judgments are when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not required to be recorded at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability must be recorded contingent upon a future event.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)
CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICY AND ESTIMATES (Continued)

Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to assumptions, estimates, and judgments underlying those amounts, management has identified the determination of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) and the valuation of its Mortgage Servicing Rights and Other Real Estate Owned (OREO) as the accounting areas that requires the most subjective or complex judgments, and as such have the highest possibility of being subject to revision as new information becomes available.

The ALLL represents management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the Bank's loan portfolio at the report date. The estimate is a composite of a variety of factors including past experience, collateral value and the general economy. ALLL includes a specific portion, a formula driven portion, and a general nonspecific portion.

The Bank's ALLL methodology captures trends in leading, current, and lagging indicators which will have a direct affect on the Bank's allocation amount. Trends in such leading indicators as delinquency, unemployment, changes in the Bank's service area, experience and ability of staff, regulatory trends, and credit concentrations are referenced. A current indicator such as the total Watch List loan amount to Capital, and a lagging indicator such as the charge off amount are referenced as well. A matrix is formed by loan type from these indicators that is responsive in making ALLL adjustments.

Servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when rights are acquired through purchase or through sale of financial assets. Capitalized servicing rights are reported in other assets and are amortized into noninterest expense in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future servicing income of the underlying financial assets. Servicing assets are evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights as compared to the amortized cost. Impairment is determined using prices for similar assets with similar characteristics, when available, or based upon discounted cash flows using market based assumptions. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance for an individual stratum, to the extent that fair value is less than the capitalized amount for the stratum. Fees received for servicing loans owned by investors are based on a percentage of the outstanding monthly principal balance of such loans and are included in operating income as loan payments are received. Costs of servicing loans are charged to expense as incurred. The Bank utilizes a third party vendor to estimate the fair value of their mortgage servicing rights which utilizes national prepayment speeds in its calculations.

MATERIAL CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

In comparing the balance sheet of September 30, 2011 to that of December 31, 2010, the liquidity of the Bank has increased by approximately \$24 million and remains strong with additional funds being moved from short-term Bank deposits and Federal Funds sold to a higher yielding security portfolio. The Bank has taken advantage of the Federal Reserve paying interest on its checking account and also placed funds in term deposits at a correspondent bank. The Bank also expects to receive additional payoffs from a few larger loans that along with security sales may be used to fund new loan growth.

Overall, cash and cash equivalents decreased almost \$5 million and securities increased \$29 million over yearend 2010. The Company's increased liquidity came from a decrease of almost \$21.5 million in the Bank's loan portfolio due to regular payments, paydowns on line usage, payoffs and charge offs. An additional correspondent bank relationship was formed giving the Bank access to another \$18 million of unsecured borrowing capacity bringing the total access to \$45 million of unsecured borrowings through correspondent banks and over \$116.6 million of unpledged securities which may be sold or used as collateral. During the third quarter, \$41 thousand of Federal Home Loan Bank stock was redeemed. This stock was acquired in the Knisely acquisition and was already scheduled for redemption. The strength of the security portfolio is shown in the tables to follow. With the exception of stock, all of the Bank's security portfolio is categorized as available for sale and as such is recorded at market value. The charts which follow do not include stock.

Investment securities will at times depreciate to an unrealized loss position. The Bank utilizes the following criteria to assess whether or not an impaired security is other than temporary. No one item by itself will necessarily signal that a security should be recognized as other than temporary impairment.

1. The fair value of the security has significantly declined from book value.

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

2. A down grade has occurred that lowers the credit rating to below investment grade (below Baa3 by Moody and BBB- by Standard and Poors).
3. Dividends have been reduced or eliminated or scheduled interest payments have not been made.
4. The underwater security has longer than 10 years to maturity and the loss position had existed for more than 3 years.
5. Management does not possess both the intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

If the impairment is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of the individual security shall be written down to fair value, thereby establishing a new cost basis. The amount of the write down shall be included in earnings as a realized loss. The new cost basis shall not be changed for subsequent recoveries in fair value. The recovery in fair value shall be recognized in earnings when the security is sold. The first table is presented by category of security and length of time in a

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Continued)
 MATERIAL CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (Continued)

continuous loss position. Municipalities may be more likely to be in a loss position greater than 12 months due to their length to maturity and are not indicative of an issue with safety and soundness of the municipality. The Bank currently does not hold any securities with other than temporary impairment.

September 30, 2011	(In Thousands)			
	Less Than Twelve Months		Twelve Months & Over	
	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury	\$	\$	\$	\$
U.S. Government agency	(4)	2,020		
Mortgage-backed securities				
State and local governments				

The following chart shows the breakdown of the unrealized gain or loss associated within each category of the investment portfolio as of September 30, 2011.

September 30, 2011	(In Thousands)			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
Available-for-Sale:				
U.S. Treasury	\$ 26,126	\$ 703	\$	\$ 26,829
U.S. Government agency	178,481	3,810	(4)	\$ 182,287
Mortgage-backed securities	37,640	1,555		\$ 39,195
State and local governments	63,601	4,460		\$ 68,061
	\$ 305,848	\$ 10,528	\$ (4)	\$ 316,372

The following table shows the maturity schedule of the security portfolio with the largest portion due within less than 5 years. Management feels confident that loan growth can easily be funded from an orderly runoff of the investment portfolio.

	(In Thousands)	
	September 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	\$ 11,124	\$ 11,216
After one year through five years	203,063	208,192
After five years through ten years	38,489	40,311
After ten years	15,532	17,458
Subtotal	\$ 268,208	\$ 277,177
Mortgage Backed Securities	37,640	39,195
Total	\$ 305,848	\$ 316,372

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

As previously stated, net loans show a decrease of \$21.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. \$2.4 million was charged-off during the nine month period indicating the decrease was not all due to having been charged-off. The balance of the decrease in loans was due to the payoff or refinancing of troubled loans. The loan watch list increased slightly, which included \$5 million in new loans added to the list and a reduction of existing watch list loans of nearly the same amount. The \$5 million added to the watch list was represented by mainly one relationship of which full payment is expected to be received in fourth quarter 2011.

The chart below shows the breakdown of the loan portfolio by category less deferred loan fees and costs as of September 30 for the last three years.

	(In Thousands)		
	September-11 Amount	September-10 Amount	September-09 Amount
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 201,167	\$ 215,142	\$ 214,849
Agricultural Real Estate	31,806	35,820	41,045
Consumer Real Estate	80,607	88,721	98,599
Commercial and Industrial	112,542	117,625	120,543
Agricultural	54,134	58,057	59,813
Consumer, Overdrafts and other loans	23,861	29,267	32,581
Industrial Development Bonds	1,347	2,182	2,552
 Total Loans	 \$ 505,464	 \$ 546,814	 \$ 569,982

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Continued)
 MATERIAL CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (Continued)

All categories of loans experienced a decrease in balances over the time periods shown.

Overall, total assets of the Company increased \$1.4 million from December 31, 2010 to September 30, 2011.

Deposits decreased \$4.9 million in total from December 31, 2010. The mix of the portfolio continued to transition to a higher level of core deposits as a result primarily of the Bank's offering of a high interest bearing transaction account along with an increase in health savings accounts. The success of this product is also the reason for the continued movement of deposits out of Certificates of Deposit to interest bearing transaction accounts. In 2010, the Bank strengthened its line of deposit products by adding additional products which added additional options to its already highly successful Reward Checking, which was renamed KASASA Cash. The additional options include KASASA Saver, KASASA Giver and KASASA iTunes. KASASA Saver, whose product utilizes a higher yielding rate than the Bank's regular saving account, is the reason behind the retention of dollars in savings. These continue to be the deposit of choice and attract not only new money from existing customers but new customers to the Bank.

The Certificate of Deposit (COD) portfolio has decreased \$21.3 million during the first nine months of 2011 which is helping to decrease the cost of funds, as demonstrated below in the section of this MD&A captioned MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATION - Interest Expense .

The Bank paid off \$8.2 million in FHLB advances which had matured during 2011 and securities sold under agreement to repurchase increased almost \$2.0 million during the first nine months of 2011 as compared to yearend.

Capital increased approximately \$9.3 million from year-end during the nine months of 2011. Positive earnings and a large increase in accumulated other comprehensive income are the factors behind the increase. Comprehensive income increased \$6.4 million even with the shift of \$504 thousand from unrealized gain to realized gain with the sale of securities. Dividends paid increased 4.7% during the period as compared to first nine months of 2010.

The Company continues to be well-capitalized in accordance with Federal regulatory capital requirements as the capital ratios below show:

Primary Ratio	10.67%
Tier I Leverage Ratio	10.15%
Risk Based Capital Tier I	15.07%
Total Risk Based Capital	15.94%
Stockholders' Equity/Total Assets	11.43%

MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Interest Income

Annualized interest income and yield on earning assets is down in 2011 as compared to September 30, 2010. While total assets were only slightly higher than yearend, the decrease in interest income resulted primarily from the transition of the Company's earning assets from high yield to lower yield assets. As the table that follows confirms, the shift of funds within the interest earning portfolios of loans to investments caused a lower yield thereby causing lower interest income. The increased volume in the security portfolio, however, did not offset the loss in interest income due to rate changes. Nor was the spread between cash and investments as large as the spread between loans and investments. The portfolio was also impacted by calls due to the low rate environment.

The Bank worked to minimize the impact of the low rate environment on its loan portfolio with the use of floors on renewed and new loans. 2011 loan yield was positively impacted by the collection of nonaccrual interest and adjustments to the Farmer Mac portfolio, which is a loan participation program that generated additional interest income in first quarter 2010. To protect the yield, the Bank is working to add spread to the margin on the variable rate loans so that when prime does adjust up, the Bank's rate also adjusts up over the floor. Overall, interest income

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

from loans was down \$2.6 million in comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to same period for 2010 and due to the decrease in balances and lower interest rates.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Continued)
 MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Interest income and yield on the securities portfolio were down as agency notes continued to be called due to the low interest rate environment. Period ending balances are deceiving as compared to the interest earnings due to the shift of holdings from the sales, calls and maturity and the replacement securities. The average balances in the table are more useful to see the impact of those activities.

Total interest income was down almost \$2.9 million, of which \$2.6 million was from loans, in comparing the first nine months of 2011 to the first nine months of 2010. Loans were the largest asset that decreased in volume which emphasizes the importance of growing loans as it is the highest yielding earning asset. The overall asset yield also decreased 62 basis points between the two periods which was consistent with last quarter's comparison.

	Average Balance	(In Thousands) September 30, 2011 Interest/Dividends	Yield/Rate	September 30, 2010 Yield/Rate
Interest Earning Assets:				
Loans	\$ 506,045	\$ 22,253	5.90%	5.99%
Taxable Investment Securities	254,488	3,573	1.87%	2.94%
Tax-exempt Investment Securities	60,836	1,480	4.91%	5.40%
Fed Funds Sold & Interest Bearing Deposits	31,050	48	0.21%	0.24%
Total Interest Earning Assets	\$ 852,419	\$ 27,354	4.42%	5.04%

Change in September 30, 2011 Interest Income Compared to September 30, 2010 (In Thousands)

	Change	Due to Volume	Due to Rate
Interest Earning Assets:			
Loans	\$ (2,625)	\$ (1,491)	\$ (1,134)
Taxable Investment Securities	(135)	808	(943)
Tax-exempt Investment Securities	(78)	62	(140)
Fed Funds Sold & Interest Bearing Deposits	(26)	(10)	(16)
Total Interest Earning Assets	\$ (2,864)	\$ (631)	\$ (2,233)

The yields on tax-exempt securities and the portion of tax-exempt IDB loans included in loans have been tax adjusted based on a 34% tax rate in the charts above.

Interest Expense

Interest expense continued to be lower than the comparable nine months from 2010. Interest expense related to deposits was down \$1.7 million while the average interest-bearing deposit balance increased by \$32.8 million in comparing the balances of each first nine months.

Approximately \$28 million of the growth came through the purchase of the Hicksville office. Time deposits continue to reprice down and the Bank continues to try and lengthen the duration of the portfolio with specials offered in terms longer than 12 months. However, depositors continue to place more funds in shorter term deposits or move elsewhere. KASASA Cash and Saver helped to increase the savings deposit balances as seen in the increase due to volume.

Interest on borrowed funds was \$432 thousand lower for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Additional borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank in the amount of \$9 million were taken in the first quarter of 2010, and \$13 million of higher priced advances

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

matured the remaining quarters of 2010. In third quarter 2011, \$5 million of borrowings were paid off. Thus the largest decrease in cost of funds for other borrowed money was due to the decreased volume which also impacted the rate of the remaining borrowings portfolio. Fed Funds Purchased and Securities Sold under Agreement to Repurchase was higher in 2011 than 2010 mainly through an increase in balances.

The decrease in interest expense did not outpace the decrease in interest income as it did last year and remains a focus for improvement in 2011. Asset yield decreased 62 basis points while cost of funds decreased 44 basis points. The main focus is to increase asset yield by using excess cash and investments to fund loan growth.

	Average Balance	(In Thousands)		September 30, 2010 Yield/Rate
		September 30, 2011 Interest/Dividends	Yield/Rate	
Interest Bearing Liabilities:				
Savings Deposits	\$ 348,647	\$ 1,684	0.64%	0.72%
Other Time Deposits	304,084	3,656	1.60%	2.25%
Other Borrowed Money	28,356	753	3.54%	3.95%
Fed Funds Purchased & Securities Sold under Agreement to Repurch.	50,385	227	0.60%	0.60%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	\$ 731,472	\$ 6,320	1.15%	1.59%

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Continued)
 MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Interest Expense (Continued)

Change in September 30, 2011 Interest Expense Compared to September 30, 2010 (In Thousands)

	Change	Due to Volume	Due to Rate
Interest Bearing Liabilities:			
Savings Deposits	\$ 67	\$ 159	\$ (92)
Other Time Deposits	(1,743)	(132)	(1,611)
Other Borrowed Money	(432)	(206)	(226)
Fed Funds Purchased & Securities Sold under Agreement to Repurch.	23	16	7
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	\$ (2,085)	\$ (163)	\$ (1,922)

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is lower in three and nine month comparisons, reversing the positive position of the first quarter's comparison. This comes as no surprise as the first quarter 2011 was boosted by the collection of \$600 thousand of nonaccrual interest income and the second quarter 2011 had an increase in the nonaccrual loan balances of almost \$5 million without collection of a significant amount of interest. (Accrued interest is reversed when a loan is placed into nonaccrual status.)

Net interest income, in comparison to 2010, should increase by yearend as the Bank continues to work to increase interest income by reducing the amount of nonaccrual loans, fully expecting to collect on the additional \$5 million that was placed into nonaccrual during the second quarter and attempting to add spread on renewing loans. Interest expense on time deposits should also continue to show a decrease until depositors begin to transition back into longer-term deposits. If and when rates begin to rise, the challenge will be to delay the pricing up of deposits.

Provision Expense

Provision for loan loss was almost \$3.4 million lower for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same 2010 period. The decrease in the average balance in nonaccrual loans, along with improving asset quality and low loan growth warranted the lower provision to the loan loss reserve. The balance in nonaccrual loans decreased \$5.3 million as of September 30, 2011 as compared to the balances as of September 30, 2010. The overall loan portfolio was also \$41.4 million lower as of September 2011 compared to September 30, 2010. The Bank continues to focus on the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios for both asset quality and growth. As charts below will show for 2011, a large portion of the current provision was also to replace the reserve balance depleted from net charge-offs during the period. The longer the economy struggles, the more likely additional credits may encounter cash flow problems and the Bank remains diligent in providing funds to offset future losses.

The Bank uses the following guidelines as stated in policy to determine when to realize a charge-off, whether a partial or full loan balance. A charge down in whole or in part is realized when unsecured consumer loans, credit card credits and overdraft lines of credit reach 90 days delinquency. At 120 days delinquent, secured consumer loans are charged down to the value of the collateral, if repossession of the collateral is assured and/or in the process of repossession. Consumer mortgage loan deficiencies are charged down upon the sale of the collateral or sooner upon the recognition of collateral deficiency. Commercial and agricultural credits are charged down at 120 days delinquency, unless an established and approved work-out plan is in place or litigation of the credit will likely result in recovery of the loan balance. Upon notification of bankruptcy, unsecured debt is charged off. Additional charge-off may be realized as further unsecured positions are recognized.

Looking at the balance in impaired loans, it shows the Bank has recognized an increase in the overall balance of impaired loans when looking at December 2010 compared to September 2011. However, two positive factors can also be seen: A decrease in the current average balance during 2011 and a decrease in the impaired loans with a valuation allowance balance. These are due mainly to the collection of principal from

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

the sale of collateral from one borrower and the remainder from charge-off activity within this classification of loans.

An increase in the impaired loans without a valuation allowance relates to one relationship of approximately \$5 million which the Bank expects to be fully collectible based on collateral valuation.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Continued)

MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Provision Expense (Continued)

The following table tracks the change in impaired loans and their valuation allowance along with nonaccrual balances as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 upon which the previous comments were made.

	(In Thousands)	
	Nine months September 30, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010
Impaired loans without a valuation allowance	\$ 6,734	\$ 2,849
Impaired loans with a valuation allowance	348	1,520
Total impaired loans	\$ 7,082	\$ 4,369
Valuation allowance related to impaired loans	\$ 101	\$ 632
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 6,684	\$ 5,844
Total loans past-due ninety days or more and still accruing	\$ 12	\$ 48
Average investment in impaired loans	\$ 7,441	\$ 10,136

The Bank did classify almost \$3.2 million of its current and accruing loans as troubled debt restructured during the third quarter of 2011, down from \$3.5 million at December 31, 2010.

In determining the allocation for impaired loans the Bank applies the observable market price of the collateral securing the asset, reduced by applying a discount for estimated costs of collateral liquidation. In some instances where the discounted market value is less than the loan amount, a specific impairment allocation is assigned, which may be reduced or eliminated by the write down of the credit's active principal outstanding balance.

For the majority of the Bank's impaired loans, the Bank will apply the observable market price methodology. However, the Bank may also utilize a measurement incorporating the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate of interest. To determine observable market price, collateral asset values securing an impaired loan are periodically evaluated. Maximum time of re-evaluation is every 12 months for chattels and titled vehicles and every two years for real estate. In this process, third party evaluations are obtained and heavily relied upon. Until such time that updated appraisals are received, the Bank may discount the collateral value used.

The ALLL has a direct impact on the provision expense. The increase in the ALLL is funded through recoveries and provision expense. The following tables both deal with the allowance for credit losses. The first table breaks down the activity within ALLL for each loan portfolio segment and shows the contribution provided by both the recoveries and the provision along with the reduction of the allowance caused by charge-offs. The second table discloses how much of ALLL is attributed to each segment of the loan portfolio, as well as the percent that each particular segment of the loan portfolio represents to the entire loan portfolio in the aggregate. As was mentioned in previous discussion, the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios are having a major impact on the ALLL and the provision expense.

Table of ContentsITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)

MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Provision Expense (Continued)

	September-11	(In Thousands) September-10	September-09
Loans	\$ 505,464	\$ 546,814	\$ 560,823
Daily average of outstanding loans	\$ 506,045	\$ 557,001	\$ 558,951
Allowance for Loan Losses - Jan 1	\$ 5,706	\$ 6,008	\$ 5,497
Loans Charged off:			
Commercial Real Estate	210	205	
Ag Real Estate			
Consumer Real Estate	380	423	284
Commercial and Industrial	1,496	3,413	620
Agricultural	24	100	198
Consumer & other loans	268	320	262
	2,378	4,461	1,364
Loan Recoveries			
Commercial Real Estate	30	51	
Ag Real Estate			
Consumer Real Estate	48	54	6
Commercial and Industrial	11	363	17
Agricultural	65	6	2
Consumer & other loans	134	109	119
	288	583	144
Net Charge Offs	2,090	3,878	1,220
Provision for loan loss	1,522	4,875	3,170
Acquisition provision for loan loss			
Allowance for Loan & Lease Losses - September 30	\$ 5,138	\$ 7,005	\$ 7,447
Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments & Letters of Credit September 30	156	189	286
Total Allowance for Credit Losses - September 30	\$ 5,294	\$ 7,194	\$ 7,733
Ratio of net charge-offs to average Loans outstanding	0.41%	0.71%	0.22%
Ratio of Allowance for Loan Loss to Nonperforming Loans	76.87%	58.46%	42.59%

* Nonperforming loans are defined as all loans on nonaccrual, plus any loans past due 90 days not on nonaccrual.

September-2011

September-2010

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

	Amount (000 s)	%	Amount (000 s)	%
	of Portfolio		of Portfolio	
Balance at End of Period Applicable To:				
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 2,026	39.80	\$ 2,953	38.54
Ag Real Estate	153	6.29	140	6.42
Consumer Real Estate	329	15.95	298	17.91
Commercial and Industrial	1,905	22.27	2,908	21.07
Agricultural	251	10.71	288	10.34
Consumer, Overdrafts and other loans	315	4.72	395	5.33
Unallocated	159	0.27	23	0.39
Allowance for Loan & Lease Losses	\$ 5,138		\$ 7,005	
Off Balance Sheet Commitments	\$ 156		\$ 189	
Total Allowance for Credit Losses	\$ 5,294		\$ 7,194	

The percentage of delinquent loans has trended downward since the beginning of 2010 from a high of 2.85% of total loans in January to 1.73% as of the end of September 2011. These percentages do not include nonaccrual loans which are not past due. This level of delinquency is due in part to an adherence to sound underwriting practices over the course of time, an improvement in the financial status of companies to which the Bank extends credit, continued financial stability in the agricultural loan portfolio, and the writing down of uncollectable credits in a timely manner.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Continued)
 MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Non-interest Income

Non-interest income was lower for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to same period in September 30, 2010. 2011 has been hurt from the loss on other assets owned of \$1.0 million. This has come from not only sales but from write-downs to the Bank's ORE as new appraisals have been obtained. While both years show this line item in a loss position, 2011 is higher by \$968 thousand. The total \$1.0 million in the line item is made up of loss on sale of ORE and loss on sale or disposal of fixed assets. Somewhat offsetting this loss is the gain on sale of securities, \$504 thousand so far in 2011 and \$956 thousand for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010.

Improvement in non-interest income was reached in other service charges and fees. The increase in the checking and savings portfolios in terms of number of accounts in 2011 as compared to 2010 has been the main factor behind the additional collection of fees. With implementation of Regulation E on 8/15/2010, the increase in the number of accounts was not enough to offset the regulation changes and year-to-date overdraft fee income is \$48 thousand lower than during the same period last year. Increases came from debit card usage which is currently under regulatory scrutiny and has been impacted by a heavier volume of fraudulent activity on these cards in the second and third quarter of 2011. This revenue stream is very important to the Bank and its ability to offer free checking accounts to our customers. Overall, non-interest income decreased and ended \$1.5 million lower for the first nine months of operations in 2011 as compared to 2010.

The impact of mortgage servicing rights, both to income and expense, is shown in the following table which reconciles the value of mortgage servicing rights which is reported as an other asset on the balance sheet. The capitalization runs through non-interest income while the amortization thereof is included in non-interest expense. A slight impairment in the valuation of the seven and ten year segment occurred in third quarter 2011.

	(In Thousands)	
	2011	2010
Beginning Balance, January 1	\$ 2,178	\$ 2,177
Capitalized Additions	254	420
Amortizations	(306)	(410)
Valuation Allowance	(8)	0
Ending Balance, September 30	\$ 2,118	\$ 2,187

Of concern for the remainder of the year is the impact of recently amended Federal Reserve Regulation E on overdraft revenue and the cost of compliance. Regulation E continues to be modified and costs are being incurred that reduce revenue. At this point in time, the Bank is also concerned with changes to interchange fees and the potential loss of revenue.

As long as the opportunity exists for gains to be recognized from the sale of securities without impacting yield and extending the maturity duration too long, the Bank will continue to take advantage of it. This provides an opportunity for the Bank to offset the loss of noninterest income. The gain booked in 2010 was based on security sales of \$28.3 million while 2011's gain was based on security sales of \$30.4 million. There were not any securities sold at a loss in either period.

The movement of income from comprehensive income to realized gain on sale of securities is disclosed in the table to follow. Since the Bank classifies its entire investment portfolio, with the exception of stock, as available for sale, the majority of any gain/loss on the sale is a direct shift of funds from unrealized gain to realized gain. Since the purchase of additional or replacement securities occurs at the same time, those new securities immediately impact the other comprehensive income. Also impacting the comprehensive income is the movement of the market rates in general and its impact on the overall portfolio.

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

	(In Thousands)	
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010
Net Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	\$ 10,213	\$ 2,930
Reclassification adjustment for gain on sale of available-for-sale securities	(504)	(956)
Net unrealized gains	9,709	1,974
Tax Effect	(3,301)	671
Other comprehensive income	\$ 6,408	\$ 1,303

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
(Continued)
MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 was only \$25 thousand higher than for the same period of 2010. Salaries and wages were higher by \$193 thousand during the quarter which was expected with the addition of the Hicksville office and the improved performance of the Company as a whole over 2010 and the likelihood of paying an incentive. Base pay was lower in 2011 than 2010.

Other general and administrative was lower during the quarter bringing the year-to-date comparison also lower. Driving this line item was a reduction in loan collection expenses from legal fees to past due real estate fees as properties were moved and/or held in ORE. With respect to FDIC assessments, the expense is still large in 2011, though changes to the assessment calculation decreased starting with second quarter 2011 making the second quarter lower by \$150 thousand and third quarter lower by \$95 thousand. FDIC expense was approximately \$179 thousand lower year-to-date than 2010. Continuing on the positive side, a smaller decrease of \$36 thousand in comparing September 30, 2011 to September 30, 2010 was derived from a change in service bureaus for the Bank's core operating system in first quarter 2010. The improvement continued even with the addition of the new branch office in July 2010 and an increasing customer base.

Occupancy expense was higher by \$412 thousand in the nine months comparison of 2011 to 2010. The increase is partly attributed to the increase in the number of offices. Overall, non-interest expense was \$25 thousand higher in the third quarter comparison but \$45 thousand lower in the year-to-date comparison of 2011 to 2010. First quarter 2011 did include a reimbursement of \$300 thousand in loan collection fees.

Net Income

Overall, net income was up \$833 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same period of 2010. The improvement in asset quality that has occurred over the last half of 2010 and the first nine months of 2011 along with lower loan balances enabled the Company to have \$3.4 million less in provision expense in 2011 as compared to 2010. This coupled with the collection of nonaccrual interest income and reimbursement of collection costs offset the increased cost of ORE write-downs and losses. The gain on sale of investments obviously plays a role in the improvement and the Company is fortunate that the opportunity existed to capture income that has been used to offset the provision expense of the last two years. The decrease in net interest income for the quarter is proof of the importance of the effect of balance sheet mix as the decreased loan balances impacts overall asset yield. The movement of the one large credit to nonaccrual has impacted the second and third quarter but should be fully collected during the fourth.

The Company remains positioned for continued improvement in the net interest margin while rates remain low, if loan demand would increase. It will be a challenge to maintain the margin once short term rates begin to rise. However, the Bank remains focused on improving the asset yield through improved asset quality and added spread to prime on variable loans. As an industry, the Company is also limited from achieving higher profitability by the cost of increased regulatory requirements such as Regulation E, Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and any other additional regulations that may be enacted during 2011 and their corresponding cost of compliance. The Company will continue to seek to enhance existing products and services to increase revenue, improve efficiency and increase customer satisfaction.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements contained in this portion of the Company's report may be forward-looking statements, as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as intend, believe, expect, anticipate, should, planned, estimated, and potential. Such forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, but may differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors, which include, but are not limited to, factors discussed in documents filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission from time to time. Other factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the company and its subsidiaries which include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, general economic conditions, legislative and regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the quality and composition of the loan or investment portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in the Bank's market area, changes in relevant accounting principles and guidelines and other factors over which management has no control. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this report, and the Company assumes no obligation to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons why actual results differ from those projected in the forward-looking statements.

ITEM 3 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates and equity prices. The primary market risk to which the Company is subject to is interest rate risk. The majority of the Company's interest rate risk arises from the instruments, positions and transactions entered into for purposes, other than trading, such as lending, investing and securing sources of funds. Interest rate risk occurs when interest bearing assets and liabilities reprice at different times as market interest rates change. For example, if fixed rate assets are funded with variable rate debt, the spread between asset and liability rates will decline or turn negative if rates increase.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3 QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK (Continued)

Interest rate risk is managed within an overall asset/liability framework for the Company. The principal objectives of asset/liability management are to manage sensitivity of net interest spreads and net income to potential changes in interest rates. Funding positions are kept within predetermined limits designed to ensure that risk-taking is not excessive and that liquidity is properly managed.

The Company employs a sensitivity analysis in the form of a net interest rate shock as shown in the following table.

Interest Rate Shock on Net Interest Margin			Interest Rate Shock on Net Interest Income		
Net Interest	% Change				% Change
Margin (Ratio)	to	Rate	Rate	Cumulative	to
	Flat Rate	Direction	Changes by	Total (\$000)	Flat Rate
2.86%	-6.78%	Rising	3.00%	24,879	-6.40%
2.92%	-4.54%	Rising	2.00%	25,428	-4.33%
2.99%	-2.47%	Rising	1.00%	25,943	-2.39%
3.06%	0.00%	Flat	0.00%	26,579	0.00%
3.02%	-1.50%	Falling	-1.00%	26,250	-1.24%
2.89%	-5.71%	Falling	-2.00%	25,251	-5.00%
2.71%	-11.43%	Falling	-3.00%	23,843	-10.29%

The net interest margin represents the forecasted twelve month margin. It also shows what effect rate changes will have on both the margin and the net interest income. The goal of the Company is to lengthen some of the liabilities or sources of funds to decrease the exposure to a rising rate environment. The Bank has offered higher rates on certificates of deposits for longer periods during 2010 and so far in 2011. Of course, customer desires also drive the ability to capture longer term deposits. Currently, the customer looks for terms twelve months and under while the Bank would prefer 24 months and longer. It is often a meeting in the middle that satisfies both.

The Bank continues to remain focused on gaining more relationships per customer as a way to help control the cost of funds also. In the flat and rising rate environment scenario, the model cannot take into account the addition of floors and increased spread on the loan accounts. These are added as the note is renewed and cannot be captured until then. To the extent the Bank is successful in this endeavor, the flat and rising rate scenario will be less costly than forecasted here.

Overall, what the chart shows is that the Company cannot remain stagnant in its choices. Changes in portfolio and/or balance sheet composition are needed for the margin to improve regardless of any rate shock.

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of September 30, 2011, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management including the CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2011. There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

PART II

ITEM 1 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors disclosed by Registrant in its Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION (Continued)

ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Programs	(d) Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be purchased under the Plans or Programs
7/1/2011 to 7/31/2011				188,221
8/1/2011 to 8/31/2011				188,221
9/1/2011 to 9/30/2011				188,221
Total				188,221

- (1) The Company purchased shares in the market pursuant to a stock repurchase program publicly announced on January 21, 2011. On that date, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of 200,000 common shares between January 21, 2011 and December 31, 2011.

ITEM 3 DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

ITEM 4 REMOVED AND RESERVED

ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS

- 3.1 Amended Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on August 1, 2006)
- 3.2 Code of Regulations of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Commission on May 10, 2004)
- 31.1 Rule 13-a-14(a) Certification -CEO
- 31.2 Rule 13-a-14(a) Certification -CFO
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification - CEO
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification - CFO

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Edgar Filing: Greco Samuel A - Form 4

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp, Inc.,

Date: October 27, 2011

By: /s/ Paul S. Siebenmorgen
Paul S. Siebenmorgen

President and CEO

Date: October 27, 2011

By: /s/ Barbara J. Britenriker
Barbara J. Britenriker

Exec. Vice-President and CFO