

AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP
Form 10-K
March 16, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR- 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

Commission File Number 1-898

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION

Pennsylvania

(State of Incorporation)

25-1117717

600 Grant Street, Suite 4600
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
(Address of principal executive offices)

I.R.S. Employer ID No.

(412) 456-4400
(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common stock, \$1 par value

Name of each exchange on which registered

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

Large Accelerated Filer___ Accelerated Filerii Non-accelerated Filer___ Smaller reporting company___

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes___ Noii

The aggregate market value of the voting stock of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2010 (based upon the closing price of the Registrant's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) on that date) was approximately \$177 million.

As of March 14, 2011, 10,305,156 common shares were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Part III of this report incorporates by reference certain information from the Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF BUSINESS

Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation (the Corporation) was incorporated in Pennsylvania in 1929. The Corporation, individually or together with its consolidated subsidiaries, is also referred to herein as the Registrant.

The Corporation classifies its businesses in two segments: Forged and Cast Rolls and Air and Liquid Processing.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT SEGMENTS

The sales and operating profit of the Corporation's two segments and the identifiable assets attributable to both segments for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are set forth in Note 19 (Business Segments) on page 51 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Forged and Cast Rolls Segment

Union Electric Steel Corporation produces forged hardened steel rolls used in cold rolling by producers of steel, aluminum and other metals throughout the world. It is headquartered in Carnegie, Pennsylvania with three manufacturing facilities in Pennsylvania and one in Indiana. Union Electric Steel Corporation is one of the largest producers of forged hardened steel rolls in the world. In addition to several domestic competitors, several major European, South American and Asian manufacturers also compete in both the domestic and foreign markets. In 2007, a subsidiary company became a 49% partner in a joint venture in China which will manufacture large forged backup rolls and is expected to be fully operational in 2011 with manufacturing capacity utilization increasing through 2012.

Union Electric Steel UK Limited (formerly known as The Davy Roll Company Limited) produces cast rolls for hot and cold strip mills, medium/heavy section mills and plate mills in a variety of iron and steel qualities. It is located in Gateshead, England and is a major supplier of cast rolls to the metalworking industry worldwide. It primarily competes with European, Asian and North and South American companies in both the domestic and foreign markets. Union Electric Steel UK also has an investment in a Chinese producer of cast rolls.

Air and Liquid Processing Segment

Aerofin Division of Air & Liquid Systems Corporation produces finned tube and plate finned heat exchange coils for the commercial and industrial construction, process and utility industries and is located in Lynchburg, Virginia.

Buffalo Air Handling Division of Air & Liquid Systems Corporation produces large custom air handling systems used in commercial, institutional and industrial buildings and is located in Amherst, Virginia.

Buffalo Pumps Division of Air & Liquid Systems Corporation manufactures a line of centrifugal pumps for the refrigeration, power generation and marine defense industries and is located in North Tonawanda, New York.

All three of the divisions in this segment are principally represented by a common independent sales organization and have several major competitors.

In both segments, the products are dependent on engineering, principally custom designed, and are sold to sophisticated commercial and industrial users located throughout the world.

The Forged and Cast Rolls segment has one international customer which constituted approximately 12% of its sales in 2010. The loss of this customer would not be expected to have a significant adverse financial impact on the segment.

For additional information on the products produced and financial information about each segment, see page 4 and Note 19 (Business Segments) on page 51 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Raw Materials

Raw materials used in both segments are generally available from many sources and the Corporation is not dependent upon any single supplier for any raw material. Substantial volumes of raw materials used by the Corporation are subject to significant variations in price. The Corporation generally does not purchase or commit for the purchase of a major portion of raw materials significantly in advance of the time it requires such materials but does make substantial forward commitments for the supply of natural gas.

Patents

While the Corporation holds some patents, trademarks and licenses, in the opinion of management they are not material to either segment of the Corporation's business, other than in protecting the goodwill associated with the names under which products are sold.

Backlog

The backlog of orders at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$397 million compared to a backlog of \$501 million at year-end 2009. In addition, certain companies in the Forged and Cast Rolls group have long-term supply agreements under which certain customers are committed to purchasing approximately \$50 million (through 2014) of product for which specific orders have not yet been received. To better match the changing production levels of their customers, backlog remains subject to rescheduling including, in some situations, bringing forward orders previously deferred. Accordingly, it is difficult to predict accurately the proportion of backlog to ship in 2011 and thereafter; however, based on current estimates, approximately \$137 million is expected to be released after 2011.

Competition

The Corporation faces considerable competition from a large number of companies in both segments. The Corporation believes, however, that it is a significant factor in each of the niche markets which it serves. Competition in both segments is based on quality, service, price and delivery. For additional information, see Narrative Description of Business on page 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Research and Development

As part of an overall strategy to develop new markets and maintain leadership in each of the industry niches served, the Corporation's businesses in both segments incur expenditures for research and development. The activities that are undertaken are designed to develop new products, improve existing products and processes, enhance product quality, adapt products to meet customer specifications and reduce manufacturing costs. In the aggregate, these expenditures approximated \$1.71 million in 2010, \$1.35 million in 2009 and \$1.35 million in 2008.

Environmental Protection Compliance Costs

Expenditures for environmental control matters were not material to either segment in 2010 and such expenditures are not expected to be material in 2011.

Employees

On December 31, 2010, the Corporation had 1,264 active employees.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS

The Forged and Cast Rolls segment has a manufacturing operation in England and a small European sales and engineering support group in Belgium. For financial information relating to foreign and domestic operations see Note 19 (Business Segments) on page 51 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Corporation files annual, quarterly and current reports, amendments to those reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may access and read the Corporation's filings without charge through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. You may also read and copy any document the Corporation files at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F. Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the Public Reference Room.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The Corporation's Internet address is www.ampcopittsburgh.com. The Corporation makes available, free of charge on its Internet website, access to these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The name, age, position with the Corporation⁽¹⁾ and business experience for the past five years of the Executive Officers of the Corporation are as follows:

Robert A. Paul (age 73). Mr. Paul was elected Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation in 2004. Prior to that, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation for more than five years. He has been a Director since 1970 and his current term expires in 2012. He is also President and a director of The Louis Berkman Investment Company. Mr. Paul has been a shareholder, officer and director of the Corporation for more than 40 years.

Rose Hoover (age 55). Ms. Hoover has been employed by the Corporation for more than thirty years. She has served as Senior Vice President and Secretary of the Corporation since April 2009 and prior to that served as Vice President Administration and Secretary of the Corporation since December 2006. Prior to December 2006, she was Vice President and Secretary of the Corporation.

Marliss D. Johnson (age 46). Ms. Johnson has been Vice President, Controller and Treasurer of the Corporation for eleven years. Ms. Johnson is a Certified Public Accountant with fourteen years of experience with a major accounting firm prior to joining the Corporation.

Robert F. Schultz (age 63). Mr. Schultz has been with the Corporation for thirty years, for twenty-one of which he has served as Vice President Industrial Relations and Senior Counsel. Prior to joining the Corporation, Mr. Schultz practiced law in a private practice law firm.

(1) Officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors and none of the listed individuals serves as a director of a public company, except that Mr. Paul is a director of the Corporation.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

From time to time, important factors may cause actual results to differ materially from any future expected results based on performance expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements made by us, including known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are not possible to predict or control. Several of these factors are described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, but the factors described in filings are not the only risks that are faced.

Roll Demand

An unprecedented increase in steel production prior to 2010, particularly in China and certain other developing countries, had created a severe shortage of rolling mill roll production capacity throughout the world. This shortage resulted in our Forged and Cast Rolls segment receiving orders and contracts for the supply of rolls for several years into the future. Cancellation of such orders and contracts or delays in acceptance of delivery of rolls by customers may result in potential adverse impact on financial results and be the subject of contract renegotiation or even litigation.

Cyclical Demand for Products/Economic Downturns

A significant portion of our sales consists of rolling mill rolls to customers in the global steel industry which can be periodically impacted by economic or cyclical downturns. Such downturns, the timing and length of which are difficult to predict, may reduce the demand for and sales of our forged and cast steel rolls both in the United States and the rest of the world. Lower demand for rolls may also adversely impact profitability as other roll producers, which compete with us, lower selling prices in the market place in order to fill their manufacturing capacity. Cancellation of orders or deferral of delivery of rolls may occur and produce an adverse impact on financial results.

Steel Industry Consolidation

Globally, the steel industry has undergone structural change by way of consolidation and mergers. In certain markets, the resultant reduction in the number of steel plants and the increased buying power of the enlarged steel producing companies may put pressure on the selling prices and profit margins of rolls.

Export Sales

Exports are a significant proportion of our sales. Historically, changes in foreign exchange rates, particularly in respect of the U.S. dollar and the Euro, have impacted the export of our products and may do so again in the future. Other factors which may adversely impact export sales and operating results include political and economic instability, export controls, changes in tax laws and tariffs and new indigenous producers in overseas markets. A reduction in the level of export sales may have an adverse impact on our financial results. In addition, exchange rate changes may allow foreign roll suppliers to compete in our home markets.

Capital Spending

Each of our businesses is susceptible to the general level of economic activity, particularly as it impacts industrial and construction capital spending. A downturn in capital spending in the United States and elsewhere may reduce demand for and sales of our air handling, power generation and refrigeration equipment, and rolling mill rolls. Lower demand may also reduce profit margins due to our competitors and us striving to maximize manufacturing capacity by lowering prices.

Prices and Availability of Commodities

We use certain commodities in the manufacture of our products. These include steel scrap, ferroalloys and energy. Any sudden price increase may cause a reduction in profit margins or losses where fixed-priced contracts have been accepted or increases cannot be obtained in future selling prices. In addition, there may be curtailment in electricity or gas supply which would adversely impact production. Shortage of critical materials while driving up costs may be of such severity as to disrupt production, all of which may impact sales and profitability.

Labor Agreements

We have several key operations which are subject to multi-year collective bargaining agreements with our hourly work force. While we believe we have excellent relations with our unions, there is the risk of industrial action at the expiration of an agreement if contract negotiations break down, which may disrupt manufacturing and impact results of operations.

Dependence on Certain Equipment

Our principal business relies on certain unique equipment such as electric arc furnaces and forge presses. If any such unique equipment is out of operation for an extended period, it may result in a significant reduction in our sales and earnings. Loss of certain subcontractors may have a similar impact.

Asbestos Litigation

Our subsidiaries, and in some cases, we, are defendants in numerous claims alleging personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components historically used in certain products of our subsidiaries. Through year-end 2010, our insurance has covered a substantial majority of our settlement and defense costs. We believe that the estimated costs net of anticipated insurance recoveries of our pending and future asbestos legal proceedings for the next ten years will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or liquidity. However, there can be no assurance that our subsidiaries or we will not be subject to significant additional claims in the future or that our subsidiaries ultimate liability with respect to asbestos claims will not present significantly greater and longer lasting financial exposure than provided for in our consolidated financial statements. Similarly, although the Corporation believes that the assumptions employed in valuing its insurance coverage were reasonable, there are other assumptions that could have been employed that would have resulted in materially lower insurance recovery projections. The ultimate net liability with respect to such pending and any unasserted claims is subject to various uncertainties, including the following:

the number of claims that are brought in the future;

the costs of defending and settling these claims;

insolvencies among our insurance carriers and the risk of future insolvencies;

the possibility that adverse jury verdicts could require damage payments in amounts greater than the amounts for which we have historically settled claims;

possible changes in the litigation environment or federal and state law governing the compensation of asbestos claimants;

the risk that the bankruptcies of other asbestos defendants may increase our costs; and

the risk that our insurance will not cover as much of our asbestos liabilities as anticipated.

Because of the uncertainties related to such claims, it is possible that the ultimate liability could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or liquidity in the future.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to various domestic and international environmental laws and regulations that govern the discharge of pollutants and disposal of wastes and which may require that we investigate and remediate the effects of the release or disposal of materials at sites associated with past and present operations. We could incur substantial cleanup costs, fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third party property damage or personal injury claims as a result of violations or liabilities under these laws or non-compliance with environmental permits required at our facilities.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

The Corporation has no unresolved staff comments.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The location and general character of the principal locations in each segment, all of which are owned unless otherwise noted, are as follows:

| Company and Location | Principal Use | Approximate Square Footage | Type of Construction |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| FORGED AND CAST ROLLS SEGMENT | | | |
| <i>Union Electric Steel Corporation</i> | | | |
| Route 18 Burgettstown, PA 15021 | Manufacturing facilities | 296,800 on 55 acres | Metal and steel |
| 726 Bell Avenue Carnegie, PA 15106 | Manufacturing facilities and offices | 165,900 on 8.7 acres | Metal and steel |
| U.S. Highway 30 Valparaiso, IN 46383 | Manufacturing facilities | 88,000 on 20 acres | Metal and steel |
| 1712 Greengarden Road Erie, PA 16501 | Manufacturing facilities | 40,000* | Metal and steel |
| Bosstraat 54 3560 Lummen Belgium | Sales and engineering | 4,500* | Cement block |
| <i>Union Electric Steel UK Limited (formerly The Davy Roll Company Limited)</i> | | | |
| Coulthards Lane Gateshead, England | Manufacturing facilities and offices | 274,000 on 10 acres | Steel framed, metal and brick |
| AIR AND LIQUID PROCESSING SEGMENT | | | |
| <i>Air & Liquid Systems Corporation</i> | | | |
| <i>Aerofin Division</i> 4621 Murray Place Lynchburg, VA 24506 | Manufacturing facilities and offices | 146,000 on 15.3 acres | Brick, concrete and steel |
| <i>Buffalo Air Handling Division</i> | | | |
| Zane Snead Drive Amherst, VA 24531 | Manufacturing facilities and offices | 89,000 on 19.5 acres | Metal and steel |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

Buffalo Pump Division

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| 874 Oliver Street | Manufacturing facilities and offices | 94,000 on 9 acres | Metal, brick and cement block |
| N. Tonawanda, NY 14120 | | | |

** Facility is leased.*

The Corporate office space is leased, as are several small sales offices. All of the owned facilities are adequate and suitable for their respective purposes.

The Forged and Cast Rolls segment's facilities were operated within 80% to 90% of their normal capacity during 2010. The facilities of the Air and Liquid Processing segment were operated within 60% to 70% of their normal capacity. Normal capacity is defined as capacity under approximately normal conditions with allowances made for unavoidable interruptions, such as lost time for repairs, maintenance, breakdowns, set-up, failure, supply delays, labor shortages and absences, Sundays, holidays, vacation, inventory taking, etc. The number of work shifts is also taken into consideration.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

LITIGATION

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to their businesses. In addition, it is also subject to asbestos litigation as described below.

Asbestos Litigation

Claims have been asserted alleging personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components historically used in some products of predecessors of the Corporation's Air & Liquid Systems Corporation subsidiary (Asbestos Liability) and of an inactive subsidiary in dissolution and another former division of the Corporation. Those subsidiaries, and in some cases the Corporation, are defendants (among a number of defendants, typically over 50) in cases filed in various state and federal courts.

Asbestos Claims

The following table reflects approximate information about the claims for Asbestos Liability against the subsidiaries and the Corporation, along with certain asbestos claims asserted against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution and the former division, for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Open claims at end of period | 8,081 ⁽¹⁾ | 8,168 ⁽¹⁾ | 9,354 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Gross settlement and defense costs (in 000's) | \$ 18,085 | \$ 28,744 | \$ 19,102 |
| Claims resolved | 1,377 | 3,336 | 1,015 |

(1) Included as open claims are approximately 1,791 claims in 2010, 1,938 claims in 2009 and 3,243 claims in 2008 classified in various jurisdictions as inactive or transferred to a state or federal judicial panel on multi-district litigation, commonly referred to as the MDL.

A substantial majority of the settlement and defense costs reflected in the above table were reported and paid by insurers. Because claims are often filed and can be settled or dismissed in large groups, the amount and timing of settlements, as well as the number of open claims, can fluctuate significantly from period to period. In 2006, for the first time, a claim for Asbestos Liability against one of the Corporation's subsidiaries was tried to a jury. The trial resulted in a defense verdict. Plaintiffs appealed that verdict and in 2008 the California Court of Appeals reversed the jury verdict and remanded the case back to the trial court.

Asbestos Insurance

Certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries and the Corporation have an arrangement (the Coverage Arrangement) with insurers responsible for historical primary and some first-layer excess insurance coverage for Asbestos Liability (the Paying Insurers). Under the Coverage Arrangement, the Paying Insurers accept financial responsibility, subject to the limits of the policies and based on fixed defense percentages and specified indemnity allocation formulas, for pending and future claims for Asbestos Liability. The claims against the Corporation's inactive subsidiary that is in dissolution proceedings, numbering approximately 400 as of December 31, 2010, are not included within the Coverage Arrangement. The one claim filed against the former division also is not included within the Coverage Arrangement. The Corporation believes that the claims against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution and the former division are immaterial.

The Coverage Arrangement includes an acknowledgement that Howden North America, Inc. (Howden) is entitled to coverage under policies covering Asbestos Liability for claims arising out of the historical products manufactured or distributed by Buffalo Forge, a former subsidiary of the Corporation (the Products). The Coverage Arrangement does not provide for any prioritization on access to the applicable policies or monetary cap other than the limits of the policies, and, accordingly, Howden may access the policies at any time for any covered claim arising out of a Product. In general, access by Howden to the policies covering the Products will erode the coverage under the policies available to the Corporation and the relevant subsidiaries for Asbestos Liability alleged to arise out of not only the Products but also other historical products of the Corporation and its subsidiaries covered by the applicable policies.

On August 4, 2009, Howden filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. In the lawsuit Howden raised claims against certain insurance companies that allegedly issued policies to Howden that do not cover the Corporation or its subsidiaries, and also raised claims against the Corporation and two other insurance companies that issued excess insurance policies covering certain subsidiaries of the Corporation (the Excess Policies), but that were not part of the Coverage Arrangement. In the lawsuit, Howden seeks, as respects the Corporation, a declaratory judgment from the court as to the respective rights and obligations of Howden, the Corporation and the insurance carriers under the Excess Policies. One of the excess carriers and the Corporation filed cross-claims against each other seeking declarations regarding their respective rights and obligations under Excess Policies issued by that carrier. The Corporation's cross-claim also sought damages for the carrier's failure to pay certain defense and indemnity costs. The Corporation and that carrier concluded a settlement generally consistent with the Coverage Arrangement, and all claims between that carrier and the Corporation were dismissed with prejudice on December 8, 2010. The litigation remains pending with respect to the other carrier that issued one of the Excess Policies.

On February 24, 2011, the Corporation and its Air & Liquid Systems Corporation subsidiary filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania against thirteen domestic insurance companies, certain underwriters at Lloyd's, London and certain London market insurance companies, and Howden. The lawsuit seeks a declaratory judgment regarding the respective rights and obligations of the parties under excess insurance policies not included within the Coverage Arrangement that were issued to the Corporation from 1981 through 1984 as respects claims against the Corporation and its subsidiary for Asbestos Liability and as respects asbestos bodily-injury claims against Howden arising from the Products.

Asbestos Valuations

In 2006, the Corporation retained Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Associates, Inc. (HR&A), a nationally recognized expert in the valuation of asbestos liabilities, to assist the Corporation in estimating the potential liability for pending and unasserted future claims for Asbestos Liability. HR&A was not requested to estimate asbestos claims against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution or the former division, which the Corporation believes are immaterial. Based on this analysis, the Corporation recorded a reserve for Asbestos Liability claims pending or projected to be asserted through 2013 as at December 31, 2006. HR&A's analysis was updated in 2008, and additional reserves were established by the Corporation as at December 31, 2008 for Asbestos Liability claims pending or projected to be asserted through 2018. HR&A's analysis was most recently updated in 2010, and additional reserves were established by the Corporation as at December 31, 2010 for Asbestos Liability claims pending or projected to be asserted through 2020. The methodology used by HR&A in its projection in 2010 of the operating subsidiaries liability for pending and unasserted potential future claims for Asbestos Liability, which is substantially the same as the methodology employed by HR&A in the 2006 and 2008 estimates, relied upon and included the following factors:

HR&A's interpretation of a widely accepted forecast of the population likely to have been exposed to asbestos;

epidemiological studies estimating the number of people likely to develop asbestos-related diseases;

HR&A's analysis of the number of people likely to file an asbestos-related injury claim against the subsidiaries and the Corporation based on such epidemiological data and relevant claims history from January 1, 2008 to August 30, 2010;

an analysis of pending cases, by type of injury claimed and jurisdiction where the claim is filed;

an analysis of claims resolution history from January 1, 2008 to August 30, 2010 to determine the average settlement value of claims, by type of injury claimed and jurisdiction of filing; and

an adjustment for inflation in the future average settlement value of claims, at an annual inflation rate based on the Congressional Budget Office's ten year forecast of inflation.

Using this information, HR&A estimated in 2010 the number of future claims for Asbestos Liability that would be filed through the year 2020, as well as the settlement or indemnity costs that would be incurred to resolve both pending and future unasserted claims through 2020. This methodology has been accepted by numerous courts.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

In conjunction with developing the aggregate liability estimate referenced above, the Corporation also developed an estimate of probable insurance recoveries for its Asbestos Liabilities. In developing the estimate, the Corporation considered HR&A's projection for settlement or indemnity costs for Asbestos Liability and management's projection of associated defense costs (based on the current defense to indemnity cost ratio), as well as a number of additional factors. These additional factors included the Coverage Arrangement, self-insured retentions, policy exclusions, policy limits, policy provisions regarding coverage for defense costs, attachment points, prior impairment of policies and gaps in the coverage, policy exhaustions, insolvencies among certain of the insurance carriers, the nature of the underlying claims for Asbestos Liability asserted against the subsidiaries and the Corporation as reflected in the Corporation's asbestos claims database, as well as estimated erosion of insurance limits on account of claims against Howden arising out of the Products. In addition to consulting with the Corporation's outside legal counsel on these insurance matters, the Corporation retained in 2010 a nationally-recognized

insurance consulting firm to assist the Corporation with certain policy allocation matters that also are among the several factors considered by the Corporation when analyzing potential recoveries from relevant historical insurance for Asbestos Liabilities. Based upon all of the factors considered by the Corporation, and taking into account the Corporation's analysis of publicly available information regarding the credit-worthiness of various insurers, the Corporation estimated the probable insurance recoveries for Asbestos Liability and defense costs through 2020. Although the Corporation believes that the assumptions employed in the insurance valuation were reasonable and previously consulted with its outside legal counsel and insurance consultant regarding those assumptions, there are other assumptions that could have been employed that would have resulted in materially lower insurance recovery projections.

Based on the analyses described above, the Corporation's reserve at December 31, 2010 for the total costs, including defense costs, for Asbestos Liability claims pending or projected to be asserted through 2020 was \$218 million, of which approximately 85% was attributable to settlement costs for unasserted claims projected to be filed through 2020 and future defense costs. While it is reasonably possible that the Corporation will incur additional charges for Asbestos Liability and defense costs in excess of the amounts currently reserved, the Corporation believes that there is too much uncertainty to provide for reasonable estimation of the number of future claims, the nature of such claims and the cost to resolve them beyond 2020. Accordingly, no reserve has been recorded for any costs that may be incurred after 2020.

The Corporation's receivable at December 31, 2010 for insurance recoveries attributable to the claims for which the Corporation's Asbestos Liability reserve has been established, including the portion of incurred defense costs covered by the Coverage Arrangement, and the probable payments and reimbursements relating to the estimated indemnity and defense costs for pending and unasserted future Asbestos Liability claims, was \$142 million (\$115 million as of December 31, 2009). The insurance receivable recorded by the Corporation does not assume any recovery from insolvent carriers, and substantially all of the insurance recoveries deemed probable were from insurance companies rated A- (excellent) or better by A.M. Best Corporation. There can be no assurance, however, that there will not be further insolvencies among the relevant insurance carriers, or that the assumed percentage recoveries for certain carriers will prove correct. The \$76 million difference between insurance recoveries and projected costs at December 31, 2010 is not due to exhaustion of all insurance coverage for Asbestos Liability. The Corporation and the subsidiaries have substantial additional insurance coverage which the Corporation expects to be available for Asbestos Liability claims and defense costs the subsidiaries and it may incur after 2020. However, this insurance coverage also can be expected to have gaps creating significant shortfalls of insurance recoveries as against claims expense, which could be material in future years.

The amounts recorded by the Corporation for Asbestos Liabilities and insurance receivables rely on assumptions that are based on currently known facts and strategy. The Corporation's actual expenses or insurance recoveries could be significantly higher or lower than those recorded if assumptions used in the Corporation's or HR&A's calculations vary significantly from actual results. Key variables in these assumptions are identified above and include the number and type of new claims to be filed each year, the average cost of disposing of each such new claim, average annual defense costs, the resolution of coverage issues with insurance carriers, and the solvency risk with respect to the relevant insurance carriers. Other factors that may affect the Corporation's Asbestos Liability and ability to recover under its insurance policies include uncertainties surrounding the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from case to case, reforms that may be made by state and federal courts, and the passage of state or federal tort reform legislation.

The Corporation intends to evaluate its estimated Asbestos Liability and related insurance receivables as well as the underlying assumptions on a regular basis to determine whether any adjustments to the estimates are required. Due to the uncertainties surrounding asbestos litigation and insurance, these regular reviews may result in the Corporation incurring future charges; however, the Corporation is currently unable to estimate such future charges. Adjustments, if any, to the Corporation's estimate of its recorded Asbestos Liability and/or insurance receivables could be material to operating results for the periods in which the adjustments to the liability or receivable are recorded, and to the Corporation's liquidity and consolidated financial position.

ENVIRONMENTAL

With respect to environmental matters, the Corporation is currently performing certain remedial actions in connection with the sale of real estate previously owned and has been named a Potentially Responsible Party at two third-party landfill sites (a third location was settled on a de minimis basis in 2009). In addition, as a result of the sale of a segment, the Corporation retained the liability to remediate certain environmental contamination and has agreed to indemnify the buyer against third-party claims arising from the discharge of certain contamination. Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons including lack of reliable data, the multiplicity of possible solutions, the years of remedial and monitoring activity required, and identification of new sites. However, in the opinion of management, the potential liability for all environmental proceedings based on information known to date has been adequately reserved.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER

MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The shares of common stock of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation are traded on the New York Stock Exchange (symbol AP). Cash dividends have been paid on common shares in every year since 1965.

| Quarter | 2010 Per Share | | | 2009 Per Share | | |
|---------|--------------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------|
| | Common Stock Price | | Dividends | Common Stock Price | | Dividends |
| | High | Low | Declared | High | Low | Declared |
| First | \$ 32.72 | \$ 22.01 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 24.96 | \$ 7.64 | \$ 0.18 |
| Second | 27.10 | 20.45 | 0.18 | 29.50 | 12.69 | 0.18 |
| Third | 25.50 | 19.89 | 0.18 | 29.90 | 20.07 | 0.18 |
| Fourth | 29.80 | 23.61 | 0.18 | 33.27 | 26.48 | 0.18 |
| Year | 32.72 | 19.89 | 0.72 | 33.27 | 7.64 | 0.72 |

The number of shareholders at December 31, 2010 and 2009 equaled 507 and 545, respectively.

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

Comparison of Five-Year Cumulative Total Return

Standard & Poors 500, NYSE Composite and Morningstar's Steel Industry

(Performance results through December 31, 2010)

Assumes \$100 invested at the close of trading on the last trading day preceding January 1, 2006 in Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation common stock, Standard & Poors 500 Index, NYSE Composite Index and Morningstar's Steel Industry group.

**Cumulative total return assumes reinvestment of dividends.*

In prior years, the Corporation used the Standard & Poors 500 Index as a broad equity market index for purposes of its stock performance graphs. Beginning this year, the Corporation instead intends to use the NYSE Composite Index because the Corporation believes it is broader in scope and more representative of the market as a whole than the Standard & Poors 500 Index.

In the above graph, the Corporation has used Morningstar's Steel Industry group for its peer comparison. The diversity of products produced by subsidiaries of the Corporation made it difficult to match to any one product-based peer group. Although not totally comparable, the Steel Industry group was chosen because the largest percentage of the Corporation's sales is to the global steel industry.

Historical stock price performance shown on the above graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <i>(dollars, except per share amounts, and shares</i> | | | | | |
| <i>outstanding in thousands)</i> | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net sales | \$ 326,886 | \$ 299,177 | \$ 394,513 | \$ 346,834 | \$ 301,780 |
| Net income ⁽¹⁾ | 15,456 | 27,677 | 12,575 | 39,231 | 16,635 |
| Total assets ⁽²⁾ | 526,963 | 471,825 | 488,981 | 404,392 | 381,211 |
| Shareholders' equity | 196,777 | 179,202 | 144,987 | 187,730 | 140,204 |
| Net income per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic ⁽¹⁾ | 1.51 | 2.71 | 1.24 | 3.90 | 1.69 |
| Diluted | 1.50 | 2.71 | 1.24 | 3.88 | 1.67 |
| Per common share: | | | | | |
| Cash dividends declared | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.40 |
| Shareholders' equity | 19.10 | 17.49 | 14.25 | 18.45 | 14.25 |
| Market price at year end | 28.05 | 31.53 | 21.70 | 38.13 | 33.48 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 10,254 | 10,200 | 10,177 | 10,046 | 9,828 |
| Number of shareholders | 507 | 545 | 566 | 593 | 629 |
| Number of employees | 1,264 | 1,231 | 1,306 | 1,323 | 1,324 |

(1) Net income includes:

2010 An after-tax charge of \$12,931 or \$1.26 per common share for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation through 2020 net of estimated insurance recoveries (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

2009 An after-tax charge of \$2,831 or \$0.28 per common share associated with the write-off of goodwill deemed to be impaired at one of the divisions of the Air and Liquid Processing segment and a reduction in the effective state income tax rate for which certain net deferred income tax assets will be realized.

2008 An after-tax charge of \$31,006 for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation through 2018 net of estimated insurance recoveries (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements) offset by the release of \$411 of tax-related valuation allowances associated with capital loss carryforwards for a net decrease to net income of \$30,595 or \$3.01 per common share.

2007 A tax benefit of \$714 or \$0.07 per common share for the release of tax-related valuation allowances associated with capital loss carryforwards.

2006 An after-tax charge of \$15,888 for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation through 2013 net of estimated insurance recoveries (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements) offset by the release of \$6,500 of tax-related valuation allowances primarily associated with the U.K. operation for a net decrease to net income of \$9,388 or \$0.96 per common share.

(2) Total assets include asbestos-related insurance receivables of \$142,089 for 2010, \$115,430 for 2009, \$136,176 for 2008, \$94,548 for 2007 and \$114,548 for 2006 (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation operates in two business segments – the Forged and Cast Rolls segment and the Air and Liquid Processing segment. The Forged and Cast Rolls segment consists of Union Electric Steel Corporation (Union Electric Steel or UES) and Union Electric Steel UK Limited, formerly known as The Davy Roll Company Limited (UES-UK). Union Electric Steel is one of the world's largest manufacturers of forged-hardened steel rolls with principal operations in Pennsylvania and Indiana whereas UES-UK produces cast iron and steel rolls in England. Rolls are supplied to manufacturers of steel and aluminum throughout the world. The Air and Liquid Processing segment includes Aerofin, Buffalo Air Handling and Buffalo Pumps, all divisions of Air & Liquid Systems Corporation. Aerofin produces highly-engineered heat-exchange coils for a variety of users including electric utility, HVAC, power generation, industrial process and other manufacturing industries. Buffalo Air Handling makes custom-designed air handling systems for commercial, institutional and industrial building markets. Buffalo Pumps manufactures centrifugal pumps for the defense, refrigeration and power-generation industries. The segment has operations in Virginia and New York with headquarters in Pennsylvania. The segment distributes a significant portion of its products through a common independent group of sales offices located throughout the U.S. and Canada.

In 2010, the Forged and Cast Rolls group achieved strong earnings in a recovering global metals industry. Aided by a robust government stimulus in the western world and the continued need for steel products by developing countries, particularly China and India, demand for roll products was significantly better than in 2009. The group also benefited from long-term supply agreements in place with its major steel and aluminum producers who, in turn, placed a higher-than-normal percentage of business with the Forged and Cast Rolls group to meet its contractual requirements. All of these events resulted in improved operating levels compared to 2009. There are signs that excessive inventories of rolling mill rolls throughout the world are being reduced as production begins to return to pre-2009 levels. Expectations for 2011 are for a modest increase in roll consumption and, for us, a gradual improvement in production levels. Additionally, we expect to continue to benefit from a weak U.S. dollar and British pound; however, pricing pressures from our customers will likely remain.

In 2010, results of the Air and Liquid Processing segment were impacted by a pre-tax charge of \$19,980 for the increase in estimated settlement and defense costs of pending and future asbestos claims, net of estimated insurance recoveries. The claims result from alleged personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components historically used in some products manufactured decades ago by certain former subsidiary companies (now operated as divisions) within the Air and Liquid Processing group. With the help of experts in asbestos liability valuation and insurance recovery modeling, we determined that litigation costs net of insurance recoveries could be reasonably estimated through 2020 causing the additional charge (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements). Notwithstanding the asbestos charge of \$19,980, the Air and Liquid Processing segment had disappointing operating results when compared to 2009. The backlog at the beginning of 2010 was well below the prior year which forced the segment to rely on quick-turn business which in many cases was at lower margins. The power generation and new construction spending by the institutional markets has yet to exhibit any significant signs of a recovery. The focus for these companies is to continue to search for new product lines and to strengthen their sales distribution networks.

Operating results for the Corporation for 2011 are expected to be comparable to those of 2010, excluding the additional asbestos charge. Additionally, we are financially liquid with over \$70,000 in cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2010.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OVERVIEW**The Corporation**

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|--|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|
| Net Sales: | | | | | | |
| Forged and Cast Rolls | \$ 240,345 | 74% | \$ 191,316 | 64% | \$ 282,934 | 72% |
| Air and Liquid Processing | 86,541 | 26% | 107,861 | 36% | 111,579 | 28% |
| Consolidated | \$ 326,886 | 100% | \$ 299,177 | 100% | \$ 394,513 | 100% |
| Income (Loss) from Operations: | | | | | | |
| Forged and Cast Rolls | \$ 48,674 | | \$ 45,282 | | \$ 63,754 | |
| Air and Liquid Processing ⁽¹⁾ | (12,605) | | 11,389 | | (41,020) | |
| Corporate costs | (11,342) | | (9,940) | | (9,126) | |
| Consolidated | \$ 24,727 | | \$ 46,731 | | \$ 13,608 | |
| Backlog: | | | | | | |
| Forged and Cast Rolls | \$ 350,978 | 88% | \$ 468,500 | 93% | \$ 635,884 | 92% |
| Air and Liquid Processing | 46,052 | 12% | 32,811 | 7% | 54,843 | 8% |
| Consolidated | \$ 397,030 | 100% | \$ 501,311 | 100% | \$ 690,727 | 100% |

(1) Income (loss) from operations for the Air and Liquid Processing segment includes a provision for asbestos-related costs of \$19,980 and \$51,018 for 2010 and 2008, respectively, (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

After being adversely impacted by the global economic downturn beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, consolidated net sales began to rebound during the current year due to improved demand for forged and cast rolls. Notwithstanding the additional asbestos charge of \$19,980 and \$51,018 for 2010 and 2008, respectively, consolidated income from operations for 2010 decreased when compared to the earlier years principally due to higher costs for raw materials. A more detailed synopsis by segment is included below. The increase in corporate costs over the previous years is primarily attributable to stock-based compensation and higher pension-related costs and professional fees.

Gross margin, excluding depreciation, as a percentage of net sales was 29.8%, 32.2% and 29.0% for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The improvement in 2009 is primarily attributable to lower raw material costs and reduced labor charges related to decreases in employment and temporary layoffs. Raw material costs increased in the current year and, for the Forged and Cast Rolls group, manning levels began to return to more historical levels.

Selling and administrative expenses totaled \$44,168 (13.5% of net sales), \$39,722 (13.3% of net sales) and \$42,867 (10.9% of net sales) for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase in 2010 from 2009 is primarily attributable to escalating pension-related expenses, stock-based compensation associated with a 2010 grant of stock options, and higher commissions and freight costs attributable to higher sales. While pension-related expenses and stock-based compensation costs for 2009 increased over 2008 amounts, the effect was more than offset by lower commissions and freight costs.

Depreciation expense increased in 2010 as a result of the completion of a significant portion of our capital investment program for the Forged and Cast Rolls group including the installation of a forged press and manipulator at one of its domestic operations.

The goodwill impairment charge in 2009 represents the write-off of goodwill associated with one of the divisions of the Air and Liquid Processing segment. We do not have any other material intangible assets.

The charge for asbestos litigation in 2010 represents an extension of the estimated costs of pending and future asbestos claims, net of additional insurance recoveries, to the end of 2020. The charge for asbestos litigation in 2008 represented an extension of the estimated costs of pending and future asbestos claims, net of additional insurance recoveries, from 2013 through the end of 2018. The claims result from alleged personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components historically used in some products manufactured decades ago by certain of our former subsidiary companies (now operated as divisions) within the Air and Liquid Processing group (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Investment-related income increased in 2010 when compared to 2009 due to higher dividends from our Chinese cast-roll joint venture company which approximated \$1,084, \$812 and \$800 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Investment-related income decreased in 2009 against 2008 due to lower average interest rates during the year.

Interest expense for 2010 and 2009 decreased from 2008 as a result of lower interest rates on our variable-rate Industrial Revenue Bonds.

Other (expense) income fluctuated primarily as a result of foreign exchange gains in 2010 versus foreign exchange losses in 2009 and 2008. Additionally, provisions were made in 2010 and 2009 of \$871 and \$475, respectively, for environmental costs estimated to be incurred relating to the remediation of real estate previously owned whereas 2008 benefited from a \$960 reduction in an accrual for environmental remediation for unrelated locations which were previously sold.

Our statutory income tax rate equals 35% which compares to an effective income tax rate of 35.3%, 38.0% and 15.1% for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For 2010, although the effective income tax rate was favorably impacted by beneficial permanent differences for our domestic operations and reversal of a valuation allowance previously provided against deferred income tax assets associated with foreign tax credit carryforwards, tax consequences related to certain foreign-sourced income and changes in state income tax rates offset the expected improvement. For 2009, the income tax provision includes an additional charge to recognize a reduction in the effective state income tax rate for which certain net deferred income tax assets will be realized. For 2008, beneficial permanent differences for our domestic operations favorably impacted the effective income tax rate. In addition, for 2008, the effective income tax rate was reduced by the reversal of a valuation allowance previously provided against deferred income tax assets associated with capital loss carryforwards.

Equity losses in Chinese joint venture represent Union Electric Steel's share (49%) of the losses of UES-MG (see Note 2 to Consolidated Financial Statements). Since production by the joint venture is in its early stages, operating results have been insignificant.

As a result of the above, we earned \$15,456 or \$1.51 per common share for 2010, \$27,677 or \$2.71 per common share for 2009 and \$12,575 or \$1.24 per common share for 2008. Net income for 2010 includes an after-tax charge of \$12,931 or \$1.26 per common share for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation through 2020. Net income for 2009 includes an after-tax charge of \$2,831 or \$0.28 per common share for the write-off of goodwill associated with one of the divisions of the Air and Liquid Processing segment and adjustment of net deferred income tax assets to their realizable amount. Net income for 2008 includes an after-tax charge of \$30,595 or \$3.01 per common share for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation through 2018 offset by the release of tax-related valuation allowances associated with capital loss carryforwards.

Forged and Cast Rolls

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ 240,345 | \$ 191,316 | \$ 282,934 |
| Operating income | \$ 48,674 | \$ 45,282 | \$ 63,754 |
| Backlog | \$ 350,978 | \$ 468,500 | \$ 635,884 |

Net sales for the current year improved from the prior year due to an increase in the volume of shipments, particularly to our international customers. The expected contribution to operating income from the additional sales was offset by lower revenues from the variable-index surcharge program and higher costs for direct and indirect materials and fuels. Additionally, as sales and production returned to more normal levels during 2010, commissions and employee-related costs increased and, with the completion of a significant portion of its capital investment program, depreciation expense was higher. Pension-related expenses also continued to increase.

Net sales for 2009 declined when compared to 2008 primarily due to the weak economy and worldwide recession resulting in a lower consumption of rolling mill rolls and the deferral of orders by customers, particularly for the cast roll business in England. By comparison, the global steel and aluminum industries were operating at or near capacity in 2008. Additionally, in 2009, revenues from the variable-index surcharge program were less than that in 2008. While reduced shipment levels negatively impacted operating income, the effect was minimized from lower raw material costs, lower employee-related costs resulting from temporary layoffs and reductions in manning, and a decrease in commission expense and freight costs. Deterioration in the weighted-average exchange rates used to translate sales and operating income of UES-UK from the British pound to the U.S. dollar also reduced sales and operating income for 2009 when compared to 2008 by \$8,402 and \$551, respectively.

With respect to the variable-index surcharge, a majority of customer orders include a provision allowing the selling price to be increased or decreased, as applicable, for corresponding changes in the published index cost of certain raw materials (e.g., steel scrap and ferroalloys) utilized in the manufacturing process. While this variable-index surcharge program helps to protect us and our customers against unpredictable changes in the cost of commodities used in the manufacturing process against the base cost of commodities used to establish selling prices at the time of order placement, there is a lag in timing of approximately six months between the recognition of these changes in our costs of products sold and in our revenues.

The ongoing decline in backlog from the previous periods is a result of shipments outpacing new orders. Historically, the norm for the level of backlog was 6 to 12 months. However, the surge in global steel production coupled with the then existing shortage of supply caused customers to place orders for rolling mill rolls out several years. The resultant high level of backlog at the end 2008 has been declining and becoming more in line with our historical pattern. As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$136,000 of the backlog is expected to be released after 2011. In addition, we have commitments of roughly \$50,000 from customers under long-term supply arrangements which will be included in backlog upon receipt of specific purchase orders closer to the requirement dates for delivery.

Air and Liquid Processing

Income (loss) from operations for 2010 and 2008 includes a charge for asbestos litigation of \$19,980 and \$51,018, respectively, relating to claims resulting from alleged personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing equipment manufactured decades ago (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements). In addition, uninsured legal and case management and valuation costs associated with asbestos litigation approximated \$173, \$845 and \$671 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In 2009, due to uncertainties in the industry, including when business activity would return to historical levels, and excess capacity in the market place, we determined that the goodwill associated with one of the divisions of this segment was impaired and recorded a pre-tax charge of \$2,694.

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$ 86,541 | \$ 107,861 | \$ 111,579 |
| Operating (loss) income | \$ (12,605) | \$ 11,389 | \$ (41,020) |
| Backlog | \$ 46,052 | \$ 32,811 | \$ 54,843 |

Notwithstanding the additional charge for asbestos litigation in 2010 and 2008, sales and operating income for the segment for the current year decreased when compared to the two prior years. The lack of new-construction spending has adversely impacted all three divisions. With respect to Buffalo Pumps, sales and operating income for 2010 were less than 2009 due to a reduction in the volume of business activity particularly from power generation customers and U.S. Navy shipbuilders. The expected impact to operating income was minimized as a result of improved margins. While sales for 2010 were less than sales for 2008, operating income was comparable due to better margins and lower raw material costs. Regarding AeroFin, sales and operating income for the current year were less than each of the prior years attributable to a reduced volume of shipments, particularly to customers in the utility industry. Additionally, operating income for 2009 benefited from a shift in product mix with higher portion of shipments to electric utility customers offset by a reduction in lower-margin sales to original equipment manufacturers. For Buffalo Air Handling, sales and operating results for 2010 were less than that for 2009 and 2008 due to lower business activity. Construction projects for pharmaceutical companies and universities continue to be adversely impacted by the weak economy and lack of funding.

The improvement in backlog at December 31, 2010 against 2009 is principally attributable to Buffalo Air Handling (due to receipt of one large order for a customer in the medical industry) and Buffalo Pumps (due to additional orders for the U.S. Navy). When compared to December 31, 2008, backlog for Buffalo Pumps and AeroFin has been affected by conservative spending from its customers due to the weak economy and in anticipation of future energy policies by the U.S. Government. The majority of the year-end backlog is currently scheduled to ship in 2011.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash flows provided by operating activities for 2010 equaled \$42,951 compared to \$39,671 and \$46,496 for 2009 and 2008, respectively. While the charges for asbestos litigation recorded in 2010 and 2008 reduced earnings, they did not impact cash flows for those years by those amounts. Instead, the asbestos liability, net of insurance recoveries, will be paid over a number of years and will be subject to tax benefits. Net asbestos-related payments equaled \$5,129, \$9,175 and \$5,354 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and are expected to approximate \$7,000 in 2011. Also, the goodwill impairment charge recorded in 2009 does not impact net cash flows provided by operating activities. Contributions to our pension and other postretirement plans approximated \$7,000 in 2010 (of which \$5,000 were voluntary contributions), \$12,000 in 2009 (of which \$10,000 were voluntary contributions) and \$10,000 in 2008 (of which \$8,000 were voluntary contributions). While required minimum contributions to our pension and other postretirement plans are expected to approximate \$4,349 in 2011, further voluntarily contributions may be made. Additionally, the estimated minimum contribution to the U.S. pension plan in 2011 may have been higher if voluntary contributions would not have been made to the plan.

Accounts receivable increased as of December 31, 2010 when compared to 2009 as a result of stronger fourth quarter sales. By comparison, accounts receivable decreased at the end of 2009 against 2008 due to weaker fourth quarter to fourth quarter sales. The increase in inventories at December 31, 2010 and 2009 against December 31, 2008 is principally associated with higher levels of raw materials (particularly steel scrap, ferroalloys and other commodities) associated with improved business

activity. The increase in accounts payable at year end 2010 against year end 2009 is attributable to timing of payments and outstanding amounts relating to purchases of equipment.

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$33,163, \$50,200 and \$24,886 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, the majority of which represents expenditures relating to the capital investment program for the Forged and Cast Rolls group. In 2010, UES-UK was awarded a governmental grant of up to \$1,325 (£850) toward the purchase and installation of certain machinery and equipment of which \$226 (£145) was received during the year. As of December 31, 2010, anticipated future capital expenditures are expected to approximate \$12,423, the majority of which will be spent in 2011. During 2009, Union Electric Steel made its final contribution to the Chinese joint venture. Additionally, in 2009, monies were deposited in escrow and are being held as collateral for the outstanding foreign currency sales contracts of UES-UK. A portion of these monies were returned in 2010 and 2009 in connection with diminishing exposure and no further deposits have been required to date.

Net cash outflows from financing activities represent primarily the payment of dividends of \$0.72 per common share during each of the years. During 2010 and 2009, stock options were exercised resulting in proceeds from the issuance of common stock and excess tax benefits. No options were exercised during 2008.

The effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents is primarily attributable to the fluctuation of the British pound against the U.S. dollar.

As a result of the above, cash and cash equivalents increased by \$3,580 in 2010 and ended the year at \$70,021 in comparison to \$66,441 and \$81,607 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Funds on hand and funds generated from future operations are expected to be sufficient to finance the operational and capital expenditure requirements. We also maintain short-term lines of credit in excess of the cash needs of our businesses. The total available at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$9,200 (including £3,000 in the U.K. and 400 in Belgium).

We had the following contractual obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2010:

| | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | | Other |
|---|------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| | | <1 year | 1 3 years | 3 5 years | >5 years | |
| Industrial Revenue Bond Debt ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 13,311 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 13,311 | \$ |
| Operating Lease Obligations | 3,134 | 793 | 1,399 | 887 | 55 | |
| Capital Expenditures | 12,423 | 10,301 | 2,122 | | | |
| Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations ⁽²⁾ | 60,308 | 4,349 | 21,643 | 20,114 | 14,202 | |
| Purchase Obligations ⁽³⁾ | 22,352 | 10,086 | 7,562 | 4,704 | | |
| Unrecognized Tax Benefits ⁽⁴⁾ | 786 | 33 | | | | 753 |
| Total | \$ 112,314 | \$ 25,562 | \$ 32,726 | \$ 25,705 | \$ 27,568 | \$ 753 |

(1) Amount represents principal only. Interest is not included since it is variable; interest rates averaged less than 1% in the current year. The Industrial Revenue Bonds begin to mature in 2020; however, if the bonds are unable to be remarketed they will be refinanced under a separate facility. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Represents estimated contributions to our pension and other postretirement plans. Actual required contributions are contingent on a number of variables including future investment performance of the plans' assets and may differ from these estimates. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Contributions to the U.S. defined benefit plan are based on the projected funded status of the plan including anticipated normal costs, amortization of unfunded liabilities and an 8% expected return on plan assets. With respect to the U.K. defined benefit plan, the Trustees and UES-UK have agreed to a recovery plan that estimates the amount of employer contributions, based on U.K. regulations, necessary to eliminate the funding deficit of the plan over an agreed period.

(3) Represents primarily commitments by one of our Forged and Cast Rolls subsidiaries for the purchase of natural gas through 2015 covering approximately 56% of anticipated needs to meet orders in backlog. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(4) Represents uncertain tax positions. Amount included as 'Other' represents portion for which the period of cash settlement cannot be reasonably estimated. See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

With respect to environmental matters, we are currently performing certain remedial actions in connection with the sale of real estate previously owned and have been named a Potentially Responsible Party at two third-party landfill sites. In addition, as a result of a sale of a segment, we retained the liability to remediate certain environmental contamination and have agreed to indemnify the buyer against third-party claims arising from the discharge of certain contamination, the cost for which was accrued at the time of sale. Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons including lack of reliable data, the multiplicity of possible solutions, the years of remedial and monitoring activity required and the identification of new sites. However, we believe the potential liability for all environmental proceedings of approximately \$1,433 accrued at December 31, 2010 is considered adequate based on information known to date (see Note 18 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

The nature and scope of our business brings us into regular contact with a variety of persons, businesses and government agencies in the ordinary course of business. Consequently, we, our subsidiaries and our divisions from time to time are named in various legal actions. Generally, we do not anticipate that our financial condition or liquidity will be materially affected by the costs of known, pending or threatened litigation. However, we, our subsidiaries and our divisions are involved in multiple claims for alleged personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components used in certain products and there can be no assurance that future claims will not present significantly greater and longer lasting financial exposure than presently contemplated (see Note 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

EFFECTS OF INFLATION

While inflationary and market pressures on costs are likely to be experienced in 2011, it is anticipated that price adjustments, ongoing improvements in manufacturing efficiencies and cost savings efforts will mitigate the effects of inflation on 2011 operating results. Product pricing for the Forged and Cast Rolls segment is reflective of current costs with a majority of orders subject to a variable-index surcharge program which helps to protect the segment and its customers against the volatility in the cost of certain raw materials. Additionally, long-term labor agreements exist at each of the key locations and commitments have been executed for natural gas usage to cover a significant portion of orders in the backlog.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We have identified critical accounting policies that are important to the presentation of our financial condition, changes in financial condition and results of operations and involve the most complex or subjective assessments. Critical accounting policies relate to accounting for pension and other postretirement benefits, assessing recoverability of long-lived assets, litigation, environmental matters, income taxes and stock-based compensation.

Accounting for pension and other postretirement benefits involves estimating the cost of benefits to be provided well into the future and attributing that cost over the time period each employee works. To accomplish this, input from our actuary is evaluated and extensive use is made of assumptions about inflation, long-term rate of return on plan assets, mortality, rates of increases in compensation, employee turnover and discount rates.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is an estimate of average rates of earnings expected to be earned on funds invested or to be invested to provide for the benefits included in the projected benefit obligation. Since these benefits will be paid over many years, the expected long-term rate of return is reflective of current investment returns and investment returns over a longer period. Also, consideration is given to target and actual asset allocations, inflation and real risk-free return. We believe the expected long-term rate of return of 8% for our domestic plan and 6% for our foreign plan to be reasonable. Actual returns on plan assets for 2010 and 2009, respectively, approximated 15.93% and 23.79% for our domestic plan and 10.27% and 17.44% for our foreign plan.

The discount rates used in determining future pension obligations and other postretirement benefits for each of our plans are based on rates of return on high-quality fixed-income investments currently available and expected to be available during the period to maturity of the pension and other postretirement benefits. High-quality fixed-income investments are defined as those investments which have received one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized rating agency with maturities of 10+ years. We believe the assumed discount rates of 5.75% and 5.40% as of December 31, 2010 for our domestic and U.K. plans, respectively, to be reasonable.

We believe that the amounts recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements related to pension and other postretirement benefits are based on appropriate assumptions although actual outcomes could differ. A percentage point decrease in the expected long-term rate of return would increase annual pension expense by approximately \$1,500. A 1/4 percentage point decrease in the discount rate would increase projected and accumulated benefit obligations by approximately \$6,500. Conversely, an increase in the expected long-term rate of return would decrease annual pension expense and an increase in the discount rate would decrease projected and accumulated benefit obligations (see Note 7 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Property, plant and equipment are reviewed for recoverability whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the long-lived assets may not be recoverable. If the undiscounted cash flows generated from the use and eventual disposition of the assets are less than their carrying value, then the asset value may not be fully recoverable potentially resulting in a write-down of the asset value. Estimates of future cash flows are based on expected market conditions over the remaining useful life of the primary asset(s). Accordingly, assumptions are made about pricing, volume and asset-resale values. Actual results may differ from these assumptions. We believe the amounts recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for property, plant and equipment are recoverable and are not impaired as of December 31, 2010.

Litigation and loss contingency accruals are made when it is determined that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. Specifically, we and certain of our subsidiaries and divisions are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to their businesses. In addition, claims have been asserted alleging Asbestos Liability and alleging personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components historically used in some products of an inactive subsidiary in dissolution and a former division of the Corporation. Other than an accrual for certain deductible features of relevant insurance policies, prior to 2006, we had not accrued for settlement or defense costs for pending Asbestos Liability claims or Asbestos Liability claims that may be asserted in the future since we did not have sufficient information to make a reasonable estimate. To assist us in determining whether an estimate could be made of the potential liability for pending and unasserted future claims for Asbestos Liability along with applicable insurance coverage, and the amounts of any estimates, we hired nationally-recognized asbestos-liability experts and insurance consultants. The experts were not requested to estimate asbestos claims against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution or the former division, which we believe are immaterial. Based on their analyses, in 2006 and 2008, we established reserves for the probable and reasonably estimable costs of Asbestos Liabilities, including defense costs, through 2013 and 2018, respectively, and also established receivables for the insurance recoveries that were deemed probable. These amounts relied on assumptions which were based on currently known facts and strategy.

In 2010, we undertook another review of our Asbestos Liability claims, defense costs and the likelihood for insurance recoveries and determined that litigation costs net of insurance recoveries could be reasonably estimated through December 2020 causing an additional provision of \$19,980. Actual expenses or insurance recoveries could be significantly higher or lower than those recorded if assumptions used in the calculations vary significantly from actual results. Key variables in these assumptions are summarized in Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and include the number and type of new claims to be filed each year, the average cost of disposing of each new claim, average annual defense costs, the resolution of coverage issues with insurance carriers, and the solvency risk with respect to the relevant insurance carriers. Other factors that may affect our Asbestos Liability and ability to recover under our insurance policies include uncertainties surrounding the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from case to case, reforms that may be made by state and federal courts, and the passage of state or federal tort reform legislation.

We intend to evaluate our estimated Asbestos Liability and related insurance receivables as well as the underlying assumptions on a regular basis to determine whether any adjustments to the estimates are required. Due to the uncertainties surrounding asbestos litigation and insurance, these regular reviews may result in the incurrence of future charges; however, we are currently unable to estimate such future charges. Adjustments, if any, to our estimate of our recorded Asbestos Liability and/or insurance receivables could be material to the operating results for the periods in which the adjustments to the liability or receivable are recorded, and to our liquidity and consolidated financial position.

Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons including lack of reliable data, the multiplicity of possible solutions, the years of remedial and monitoring activity required and the identification of new sites. We believe the potential liability for all environmental proceedings based on information known to date has been adequately reserved (see Note 18 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Accounting for income taxes includes management's evaluation of the underlying accounts, permanent and temporary differences, our tax filing positions and interpretations of existing tax law. A valuation allowance is recorded against deferred income tax assets to reduce them to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. In doing so, assumptions are made about the future profitability of our operations and the nature of that profitability. Actual results may differ from these assumptions. If we determined we would not be able to realize all or part of the deferred income tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the valuation allowance would be established resulting in a charge to net income. Likewise, if we determined we would be able to realize deferred income tax assets in excess of the net amount recorded, we would release a portion of the existing valuation allowance resulting in an increase in net income. As of December 31, 2010, we have deferred income tax assets approximating \$57,026 and a valuation allowance of \$1,853.

We do not recognize a tax benefit in the financial statements related to a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return unless it is more likely than not that the tax authorities will sustain the tax position solely on the basis of the position's technical merits. Consideration is given primarily to legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, rulings and case law as well as their applicability to the facts and circumstances of the tax position when assessing the sustainability of the tax position. In the event a tax position no longer meets the more likely than not criteria, we would reverse the tax benefit by recognizing a liability and recording a charge to earnings. Conversely, if we subsequently determined that a tax position meets the more likely than not criteria, we would recognize the tax benefit by reducing the liability and recording a credit to earnings. As of December 31, 2010, based on information known to date, we believe the amount of unrecognized tax benefits of \$786 for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return which may be challenged by the tax authorities is adequate.

See Note 13 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Accounting for stock-based compensation is based on the fair value of the stock options on the date of grant. The fair value is affected by our stock price and various assumptions including assumptions about the expected term of the options, volatility, dividends and the risk-free interest rate. If the fair value of granted stock options was re-determined, on a date other than the date of grant, the resulting fair value would differ. Accordingly, the fair value of stock options granted to date is not indicative of the fair value of stock options to be granted in the future (see Note 9 to Consolidated Financial Statements).

RECENTLY IMPLEMENTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new guidance replacing the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation with a more qualitative approach for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable-interest entity. The new guidance also adds an additional reconsideration event for determining whether an entity is a variable-interest entity and ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary. The new guidance became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not affect our operating results, financial position or liquidity.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, which addresses the accounting and revenue recognition of sales contracts with multiple products and/or services when such products and/or services are provided to the customer at different points in time or over different time periods. ASU 2009-13 requires the sales consideration to be allocated, at the inception of the arrangement, to each deliverable and/or service using the relative selling price method. ASU 2009-13 will be effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified on or after January 1, 2011 and is not expected to have a significant impact on our operating results, financial position or liquidity.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of us. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of the Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contain forward-looking statements that reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance.

Forward-looking statements are identified by the use of the words *believes, expects, anticipates, estimates, projects, forecasts* and other expressions that indicate future events and trends. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made, are not guarantees of future performance or expectations, and involve risks and uncertainties. For us, these risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described under Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, there may be events in the future that we are not able to predict accurately or control which may cause actual results to differ materially from expectations expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, events or otherwise.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We view our primary market risk exposures to relate to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. To manage certain foreign currency exchange exposures, our policy is to hedge a portion of our foreign currency denominated sales and receivables, primarily U.S. sales denominated in Euros and U.K. sales denominated in U.S. dollars and Euros. Although strengthening of the U.S. dollar could result in a lower volume of exports from the U.S. and at reduced margins, it is expected that some exports of our foreign operation may increase and gross margins might improve. Additionally, strengthening of the British pound could result in a lower volume of exports from the U.K. and at reduced margins; however, it is expected that exports for our domestic operations may increase and gross margins might improve. Accordingly, a 10% strengthening of either of the entities' functional currency (the U.S. dollar and the British pound) is not expected to have a significant effect on our consolidated financial statements.

To reduce the effect of price changes for certain of our raw materials and energy, we enter into contracts for particular commodities (copper and aluminum) and purchase a portion of our energy usage in advance. Based on estimated annual purchases, a 10% fluctuation in commodity prices (including electricity, natural gas not purchased in advance, steel scrap and ferroalloys) would have an impact of approximately \$8,500 and \$7,000 in 2010 and 2009, respectively, with the increase between the two years partially being attributable to higher raw material costs in 2010. There is no guarantee that fluctuations in commodity prices will be limited to 10%. The ability to pass on increases in the price of commodities to the customer is contingent upon current market conditions with us potentially having to absorb some portion of such increase. However, a sales price surcharge mechanism is in place with a majority of the customers of the Forged and Cast Rolls segment which helps to protect us against such commodity price increases.

See also Note 11 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | December 31, | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| <i>(in thousands, except par value)</i> | 2010 | 2009 |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 70,021 | \$ 66,441 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$176 in 2010 and \$428 in 2009 | 46,734 | 39,621 |
| Inventories | 68,822 | 69,975 |
| Insurance receivable asbestos | 18,000 | 20,000 |
| Other current assets | 13,656 | 13,790 |
| Total current assets | 217,233 | 209,827 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 145,591 | 119,940 |
| Insurance receivable asbestos | 124,089 | 95,430 |
| Deferred income tax assets | 20,148 | 25,953 |
| Investments in joint ventures | 14,160 | 14,867 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 5,742 | 5,808 |
| | \$ 526,963 | \$ 471,825 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 20,137 | \$ 15,799 |
| Accrued payrolls and employee benefits | 11,690 | 10,497 |
| Industrial Revenue Bond debt | 13,311 | 13,311 |
| Asbestos liability current portion | 25,000 | 30,000 |
| Other current liabilities | 19,582 | 19,898 |
| Total current liabilities | 89,720 | 89,505 |
| Employee benefit obligations | 44,114 | 52,373 |
| Asbestos liability | 193,603 | 147,093 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 2,749 | 3,652 |
| Total liabilities | 330,186 | 292,623 |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 8) | | |
| Shareholders Equity: | | |
| Common stock par value \$1; authorized 20,000 shares; issued and outstanding 10,305 shares in 2010 and 10,246 shares in 2009 | 10,305 | 10,246 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 121,074 | 116,396 |
| Retained earnings | 124,872 | 116,804 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (59,474) | (64,244) |
| Total shareholders equity | 196,777 | 179,202 |
| | \$ 526,963 | \$ 471,825 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| <i>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</i> | For The Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net sales | \$ 326,886 | \$ 299,177 | \$ 394,513 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | |
| Costs of products sold (excluding depreciation) | 229,528 | 202,769 | 280,091 |
| Selling and administrative | 44,168 | 39,722 | 42,867 |
| Depreciation | 8,565 | 7,150 | 6,988 |
| Goodwill impairment charge | | 2,694 | |
| Charge for asbestos litigation | 19,980 | | 51,018 |
| (Gain) loss on disposition of assets | (82) | 111 | (59) |
| | 302,159 | 252,446 | 380,905 |
| Income from operations | 24,727 | 46,731 | 13,608 |
| Other (expense) income: | | | |
| Investment-related income | 1,183 | 1,039 | 2,263 |
| Interest expense | (324) | (312) | (511) |
| Other net | (964) | (2,569) | (545) |
| | (105) | (1,842) | 1,207 |
| Income before income taxes and equity losses in Chinese joint venture | 24,622 | 44,889 | 14,815 |
| Income tax provision | (8,687) | (17,050) | (2,240) |
| Equity losses in Chinese joint venture | (479) | (162) | |
| Net income | \$ 15,456 | \$ 27,677 | \$ 12,575 |
| Net income per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.51 | \$ 2.71 | \$ 1.24 |
| Diluted | 1.50 | 2.71 | 1.24 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 10,254 | 10,200 | 10,177 |
| Diluted | 10,291 | 10,204 | 10,180 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

| | Common Stock | | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss ^(a) | Total |
|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------|
| | Stated Capital | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | | |
| <i>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</i> | | | | | |
| Balance January 1, 2008 | \$ 10,177 | \$ 111,897 | \$ 91,233 | \$ (25,577) | \$ 187,730 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 1,574 | | | 1,574 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 12,575 | | 12,575 |
| Other comprehensive loss ^(a) | | | | (49,565) | (49,565) |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | (36,990) |
| Cash dividends (\$0.72 per share) | | | (7,327) | | (7,327) |
| Balance December 31, 2008 | 10,177 | 113,471 | 96,481 | (75,142) | 144,987 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 1,806 | | | 1,806 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 27,677 | | 27,677 |
| Other comprehensive income ^(a) | | | | 10,898 | 10,898 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | 38,575 |
| Issuance of common stock including excess tax benefits of \$276 | 69 | 1,119 | | | 1,188 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.72 per share) | | | (7,354) | | (7,354) |
| Balance December 31, 2009 | 10,246 | 116,396 | 116,804 | (64,244) | 179,202 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 3,267 | | | 3,267 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 15,456 | | 15,456 |
| Other comprehensive income ^(a) | | | | 4,770 | 4,770 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | 20,226 |
| Issuance of common stock including excess tax benefits of \$681 | 59 | 1,411 | | | 1,470 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.72 per share) | | | (7,388) | | (7,388) |
| Balance December 31, 2010 | \$ 10,305 | \$ 121,074 | \$ 124,872 | \$ (59,474) | \$ 196,777 |

(a) The following table summarizes the components of other comprehensive income (loss) and accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income tax where appropriate:

| | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments | Unrecognized Components of | | Unrealized | Accumulated |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Employee Benefit Plans | Derivatives | Holding Gains | Other |
| | | | | (Losses) on Securities | Comprehensive Loss |
| Balance at January 1, 2008 | \$ 8,607 | \$ (31,786) | \$ (2,591) | \$ 193 | \$ (25,577) |
| Reclassification adjustments | | 562 | 1,189 | 198 | 1,949 |
| Changes | (19,092) | (33,047) | 1,209 | (584) | (51,514) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | (10,485) | (64,271) | (193) | (193) | (75,142) |
| Reclassification adjustments | | 2,056 | 351 | 73 | 2,480 |
| Changes | 6,716 | 758 | 537 | 407 | 8,418 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | (3,769) | (61,457) | 695 | 287 | (64,244) |
| Reclassification adjustments | | 3,085 | (840) | 23 | 2,268 |
| Changes | (1,620) | 2,511 | 1,344 | 267 | 2,502 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ (5,389) | \$ (55,861) | \$ 1,199 | \$ 577 | \$ (59,474) |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | For The Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 15,456 | \$ 27,677 | \$ 12,575 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 8,565 | 7,150 | 6,988 |
| Charge for asbestos litigation | 19,980 | | 51,018 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,345 | 7,176 | (14,067) |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits expense in excess of contributions (contributions in excess of expense) | 1,563 | (5,889) | (8,536) |
| Stock-based compensation | 3,267 | 1,806 | 1,574 |
| Change in provisions for bad debts and inventories | 186 | 551 | (231) |
| Provision for environmental liabilities | 871 | 475 | (960) |
| Provision for warranties net of settlements | 260 | (41) | (596) |
| Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options | (681) | (276) | |
| Goodwill impairment charge | | 2,694 | |
| Equity losses in Chinese joint venture | 479 | 162 | |
| Loss (gain) on sale of marketable securities | 35 | 112 | (471) |
| Other net | 649 | 1,722 | (1,304) |
| Changes in assets/liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | (7,476) | 15,273 | 2,316 |
| Inventories | 385 | (5,344) | (205) |
| Other assets, including insurance receivable - asbestos | 11,213 | 1,619 | 9,114 |
| Accounts payable | 4,204 | (1,001) | (941) |
| Accrued payrolls and employee benefits | 909 | (4,716) | (2,906) |
| Other liabilities, including asbestos liability | (19,259) | (9,479) | (6,872) |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities | 42,951 | 39,671 | 46,496 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (35,001) | (39,245) | (22,636) |
| Investment in Chinese joint venture | | (8,820) | (2,940) |
| Collateral for outstanding foreign currency exchange contracts (Note 11) | | (4,326) | |
| Return of collateral for outstanding foreign currency exchange contracts (Note 11) | 1,543 | 2,163 | |
| Purchases of long-term marketable securities | (497) | (910) | (1,173) |
| Proceeds from the sale of long-term marketable securities | 454 | 819 | 1,030 |
| Proceeds from U.K. governmental grant | 226 | | |
| Purchases of short-term marketable securities | | | (68,206) |
| Proceeds from the sale of short-term marketable securities | | | 68,834 |
| Other | 112 | 119 | 205 |
| Net cash flows used in investing activities | (33,163) | (50,200) | (24,886) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Dividends paid | (7,378) | (7,341) | (7,022) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of common stock | 790 | 912 | |
| Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options | 681 | 276 | |
| Net cash flows used in financing activities | (5,907) | (6,153) | (7,022) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (301) | 1,516 | (4,608) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 3,580 | (15,166) | 9,980 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 66,441 | 81,607 | 71,627 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 70,021 | \$ 66,441 | \$ 81,607 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Income tax payments | \$ 8,362 | \$ 11,433 | \$ 13,649 |
| Interest payments | 324 | 326 | 534 |
| Non-cash investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment in accounts payable | \$ 2,201 | \$ 1,145 | \$ 1,525 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Description of Business

Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation (the Corporation) operates in two business segments. The *Forged and Cast Rolls* segment consists of Union Electric Steel Corporation (Union Electric Steel or UES) and Union Electric Steel UK Limited, formerly known as The Davy Roll Company Limited (UES-UK). Union Electric Steel is one of the world's largest manufacturers of forged-hardened steel rolls with principal operations in Pennsylvania and Indiana whereas UES-UK produces cast iron and steel rolls in England. Rolls are supplied to manufacturers of steel and aluminum throughout the world. The *Air and Liquid Processing* segment includes Aero-fin, Buffalo Air Handling and Buffalo Pumps, all divisions of Air & Liquid Systems Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Corporation. Aero-fin produces highly-engineered heat-exchange coils for a variety of users including electric utility, HVAC, power generation, industrial process and other manufacturing industries. Buffalo Air Handling makes custom-designed air handling systems for commercial, institutional and industrial building markets. Buffalo Pumps manufactures centrifugal pumps for the defense, refrigeration and power-generation industries. The segment has operations in Virginia and New York with headquarters in Pennsylvania. The segment distributes a significant portion of its products through a common independent group of sales offices located throughout the U.S. and Canada.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

The Corporation's accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant items subject to estimates and assumptions include valuing the assets and obligations related to employee benefit plans, assessing the carrying value of long-lived assets, accounting for loss contingencies associated with claims and lawsuits, estimating environmental liabilities, accounting for income taxes and estimating the fair value of stock options granted. Actual results could differ from those estimates. A summary of the significant accounting policies followed by the Corporation is presented below.

Consolidation

All subsidiaries are wholly owned and are included in the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation. Intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated. Investments in joint ventures whereby the Corporation owns 20% to 50% of the voting stock and has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the joint venture are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Investments in joint ventures whereby the Corporation does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the joint venture are accounted for using the cost method of accounting.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Securities with purchased original maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents. The Corporation maintains cash and cash equivalents at various financial institutions which may exceed federally insured amounts.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost includes the cost of raw materials, direct labor and overhead for those items manufactured but not yet sold or for which title has not yet transferred. Fixed production overhead is allocated to inventories based on normal capacity of the production facilities. Costs for abnormal amounts of spoilage, handling costs and freight costs are charged to expense when incurred. Cost of domestic raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods inventories is primarily determined by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. Cost of domestic supplies and foreign inventories is determined primarily by the first-in, first-out method.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost with depreciation computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives: land improvements 15 to 20 years, buildings 25 to 50 years and machinery and equipment 3 to 25 years. Expenditures that extend economic useful lives are capitalized. Routine maintenance is charged to expense. Gains or losses are recognized on retirements or disposals. Property, plant and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. If the undiscounted cash flows generated from the use and eventual disposition of the assets are less than their carrying value, then the asset value may not be fully recoverable potentially resulting in a write-down of the asset value. Estimates of future cash flows are

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

based on expected market conditions over the remaining useful life of the primary asset(s). Proceeds from governmental grants are recorded as a reduction in the purchase price of the underlying assets and amortized against depreciation over the lives of the related assets.

Product Warranty

Provisions for product warranties are recognized at the time the underlying sale is recorded. The provision is based on historical experience as a percentage of sales adjusted for potential claims when a liability is probable and for known claims.

Employee Benefit Plans

Funded Status

If the fair value of the plan assets exceeds the projected benefit obligation, the over-funded projected benefit obligation is recognized as an asset (prepaid pensions) on the consolidated balance sheet. Conversely, if the projected benefit obligation exceeds the fair value of plan assets, the under-funded projected benefit obligation is recognized as a liability (employee benefit obligations) on the consolidated balance sheet. Gains and losses arising from the difference between actuarial assumptions and actual experience and unamortized prior service costs are recorded within accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and presented net of income tax.

Net Periodic Pension and Other Postretirement Costs

Net periodic pension and other postretirement costs includes service cost, interest cost, expected rate of return on the market-related value of plan assets, amortization of prior service costs and recognized actuarial gains or losses. When actuarial gains or losses exceed 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligations or the market-related value of plan assets, they are amortized to net periodic pension and other postretirement costs over the average remaining service period of employees expected to receive benefits under the plans. When the gains or losses are less than 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligations or the market-related value of plan assets, they are included in net periodic pension and other postretirement costs indirectly as a result of lower/higher interest costs arising from a decrease/increase in the projected benefit obligation. The market-related value of plan assets is determined using a five-year moving average which recognizes 20% of unrealized capital gains and losses.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss) includes changes in assets and liabilities from non-owner sources including foreign currency translation adjustments, changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and effective as cash flow hedges, unrealized holding gains and losses on securities designated as available for sale, and unamortized prior service costs and unrecognized actuarial gains and losses associated with employee benefit plans. Certain components of other comprehensive income (loss) are presented net of income tax. Foreign currency translation adjustments exclude the effect of income taxes since earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries are deemed to be reinvested for an indefinite period of time.

Reclassification adjustments are amounts which are realized during the year and, accordingly, are deducted from other comprehensive income (loss) in the period in which they are included in net income or when a transaction no longer qualifies as a cash flow hedge. Foreign currency translation adjustments are included in net income upon sale or upon complete or substantially complete liquidation of an investment in a foreign entity. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are included in net income when the projected sale occurs or, if a foreign currency purchase contract, over the estimated useful life of the underlying asset. Unrealized holding gains and losses on securities are included in net income when the underlying security is sold. Unamortized prior service costs and unrecognized actuarial gains and losses associated with employee benefit plans are included in net income either indirectly as a result of lower/higher interest costs arising from a decrease/increase in the projected benefit obligation or over the average remaining service period of employees expected to receive benefits under the plans.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from sales is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Persuasive evidence of an arrangement identifies the final understanding between the parties as to the specific nature and terms of the agreed-upon transaction that creates enforceable obligations. It can be in the form of an executed purchase order from the customer, sales agreement issued by the Corporation or a similar arrangement deemed to be normal and customary business practice for that particular customer or class of customer (collectively, a sales agreement).

Delivery and performance is considered to have occurred when the customer has taken title and assumed the risks and rewards of ownership of the product. Typically this occurs when the product is shipped to the customer (i.e., FOB shipping point), delivered to the customer (i.e., FOB destination), or, for foreign sales, in accordance with trading guidelines known as Incoterms. Incoterms are standard trade definitions used in international contracts and are developed, maintained and promoted by the ICC Commission on Commercial Law and Practice.

The sales price required to be paid by the customer is fixed or determinable from the sales agreement. It is not subject to refund or adjustment except for a variable-index surcharge provision which increases or decreases, as applicable, the selling price of a rolling mill roll for corresponding changes in the published index cost of certain raw materials. The variable-index surcharge is recognized as revenue when the corresponding revenue for the inventory is recognized. Likelihood of collectability is assessed prior to acceptance of an order. There are no customer-acceptance provisions other than customer inspection and testing prior to shipment. Post-shipment obligations are insignificant.

Amounts billed to the customer for shipping and handling are recorded within net sales and the related costs are recorded within costs of products sold (excluding depreciation). Amounts billed for taxes assessed by various governmental authorities (e.g. sales tax, value-added tax, etc.) are excluded from the determination of net income and instead are recorded as a liability until remitted to the government authority.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities of the Corporation's foreign operations are translated at year-end exchange rates and the statements of operations are translated at the average exchange rates for the year. Gains or losses resulting from translating foreign currency financial statements are accumulated as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the entity is sold or substantially liquidated.

Financial Instruments

Derivative instruments which include forward exchange (for foreign currency sales and purchases) and futures contracts are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet as either an asset or a liability measured at their fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on the use of the derivative. To the extent that a derivative is designated and effective as a cash flow hedge of an exposure to future changes in value, the change in fair value of the derivative is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any portion considered to be ineffective, including that arising from the unlikelihood of an anticipated transaction to occur, is reported as a component of earnings (other income/expense) immediately.

Upon occurrence of the anticipated sale, the foreign currency sales contract designated and effective as a cash flow hedge is de-designated as a fair value hedge and the change in fair value previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified to earnings (net sales) with subsequent changes in fair value recorded as a component of earnings (other income/expense). Upon occurrence of the anticipated purchase, the foreign currency purchase contract is settled and the change in fair value deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified to earnings (depreciation expense) over the life of the underlying assets. Upon settlement of a futures contract, the change in fair value deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified to earnings (costs of products sold, excluding depreciation) when the corresponding inventory is sold and revenue is recognized. To the extent that a derivative is designated and effective as a hedge of an exposure to changes in fair value, the change in the derivative's fair value will be offset in the statement of operations by the change in the fair value of the item being hedged and is recorded as a component of earnings (other income/expense). Cash flows associated with the derivative instruments are recorded as a component of operating activities on the consolidated statement of cash flows.

The Corporation does not enter into derivative transactions for speculative purposes and, therefore, holds no derivative instruments for trading purposes.

Fair Value

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. A hierarchy of inputs is used to determine fair value measurements with three levels. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and are considered the most reliable evidence of fair value. Level 2 inputs are observable prices that are not quoted on active exchanges. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs used for measuring the fair value of assets or liabilities.

Stock-Based Compensation

Compensation expense is recognized for stock-based compensation awards over the requisite service period based on the estimated fair value of the award as of the date of grant calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Fair value is affected by the Corporation's stock price and various assumptions including assumptions about the expected term of the options, volatility, dividends and the risk-free interest rate. The expected life of the options is estimated by considering historical exercise experience of the employee group and the vesting period of the awards. The expected volatility is based on the historical prices of the Corporation's stock and dividend amounts over the expected life of the stock options. The expected dividend yield is based on a dividend amount giving consideration to the Corporation's past pattern and future expectations of dividend increases over the expected life of the options. The risk-free interest rate is equal to the yield

available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues at the date of grant with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the options.

Legal Costs

Legal costs expected to be incurred in connection with loss contingencies are accrued when such costs are probable and estimable.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recognized during the year in which transactions enter into the determination of financial statement income. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences of temporary differences between the book carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities including net operating loss carryforwards. A valuation allowance is provided against a deferred income tax asset when it is more likely than not the asset will not be realized. Similarly, if a determination is made that it is more likely than not the deferred income tax asset will be realized, the related valuation allowance would be reduced and a benefit to earnings would be recorded. Penalties and interest are recognized as a component of the income tax provision.

Tax benefits are recognized in the financial statements for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return when it is more likely than not that the tax authorities will sustain the tax position solely on the basis of the position's technical merits. Consideration is given primarily to legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, rulings and case law as well as their applicability to the facts and circumstances of the tax position when assessing the sustainability of the tax position. In the event a tax position no longer meets the more likely than not criteria, the tax benefit is reversed by recognizing a liability and recording a charge to earnings. Conversely, if a tax position subsequently meets the more likely than not criteria, a tax benefit would be recognized by reducing the liability and recording a credit to earnings.

Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. The computation of diluted earnings per common share is similar to basic earnings per common share except that the denominator is increased to include the dilutive effect of the net additional common shares that would have been outstanding assuming exercise of outstanding stock options, calculated using the treasury stock method. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding assuming exercise of the stock options was 10,290,824 for 2010, 10,204,292 for 2009 and 10,179,644 for 2008.

Recently Implemented Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new guidance replacing the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation with a more qualitative approach for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable-interest entity. The new guidance also adds an additional reconsideration event for determining whether an entity is a variable-interest entity and ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary. The new guidance became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2010 and did not have an effect on its operating results, financial position or liquidity.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, *Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, which addresses the accounting and revenue recognition of sales contracts with multiple products and/or services when such products and/or services are provided to the customer at different points in time or over different time periods. ASU 2009-13 requires the sales consideration to be allocated, at the inception of the arrangement, to each deliverable and/or service using the relative selling price method. ASU 2009-13 will become effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified on or after January 1, 2011 and is not expected to have a significant impact on the operating results, financial position or liquidity of the Corporation.

NOTE 2 INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES:

In 2007, a subsidiary of UES entered into an agreement with Maanshan Iron & Steel Company Limited (Maanshan) to form a joint venture company in China. UES owns 49% of the joint venture company and Maanshan owns 51%. Both companies contributed cash for its respective interests. The joint venture company principally manufactures and sells forged backup rolling-mill rolls of a size and weight currently not able to be produced by UES. Limited production began in 2010. UES has exclusive marketing and sales rights. UES has not guaranteed any of the obligations of the joint venture; accordingly, its maximum exposure of loss is limited to its investment. Since UES is the minority shareholder and allocation of earnings and voting rights are proportional to ownership interests, UES is not considered the primary beneficiary and, accordingly, accounts for its 49% interest in the joint venture under the equity method of accounting.

During 2010 and 2009, the Corporation recognized \$(479) and \$(162), respectively, equal to its share of losses from the joint venture through September 30 of the respective years. The following table summarizes the assets, liabilities, shareholders' equity, and results of operations of the joint venture which have been derived from its financial statements as of and for the periods ended September 30.

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Assets: | | |
| Current assets | \$ 11,987 | \$ 12,138 |
| Noncurrent assets | 26,651 | 18,241 |
| Total assets | \$ 38,638 | \$ 30,379 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity: | | |
| Current liabilities | \$ 934 | \$ 75 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | 7,808 | |
| Shareholders' Equity | 29,896 | 30,304 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 38,638 | \$ 30,379 |
| Net loss | \$ (979) | \$ (331) |

The Corporation also has a 25% investment in a Chinese cast-roll joint venture company which is recorded at cost, or \$1,340. The Corporation does not participate in the management or daily operation of the joint venture company, has not guaranteed any of its obligations and has no ongoing responsibilities to it. Dividends may be declared by the Board of Directors of the joint venture company after allocation of after-tax profits to various funds equal to the minimum amount required under Chinese law. Dividends declared and received approximated \$1,084 in 2010, \$812 in 2009 and \$800 in 2008.

NOTE 3 INVENTORIES:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Raw materials | \$ 17,900 | \$ 18,274 |
| Work-in-progress | 32,169 | 33,178 |
| Finished goods | 7,619 | 8,075 |
| Supplies | 11,134 | 10,448 |
| | \$ 68,822 | \$ 69,975 |

At December 31, 2010 and 2009 approximately 60% and 65%, respectively, of the inventories was valued using the LIFO method. The LIFO reserve approximated \$(22,031) and \$(15,330) at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. During each of the years, inventory quantities decreased resulting in a liquidation of LIFO layers which were at lower costs. The effect of the liquidations was to decrease costs of products sold (excluding depreciation) by approximately \$645, \$274 and \$646 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which increased net income by approximately \$420 or \$0.04 per common share for 2010, \$178 or \$0.02 per common share for 2009 and \$420 or \$0.04 per common share for 2008.

NOTE 4 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 4,910 | \$ 4,766 |
| Buildings | 41,341 | 31,387 |
| Machinery and equipment | 211,439 | 155,528 |
| Construction-in-process | 11,938 | 45,188 |
| Other | 7,782 | 7,417 |
| | 277,410 | 244,286 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (131,819) | (124,346) |
| | \$ 145,591 | \$ 119,940 |

Land and buildings of UES-UK (equal to approximately \$1,300 at December 31, 2010) are held as collateral by the trustees of the UES-UK contributory defined benefit pension plan (see Note 7).

NOTE 5 OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Customer-related liabilities | \$ 9,903 | \$ 10,111 |
| Forward currency exchange contracts | | 1,171 |
| Accrued sales commissions | 2,266 | 1,852 |
| Other | 7,413 | 6,764 |
| | \$ 19,582 | \$ 19,898 |

Customer-related liabilities include liabilities for product warranty claims and deposits received on future orders. The following summarizes changes in the liability for product warranty claims for each of the years ended December 31.

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Balance at the beginning of the year | \$ 4,929 | \$ 4,724 | \$ 6,156 |
| Satisfaction of warranty claims | (1,727) | (1,861) | (3,633) |
| Provision for warranty claims | 1,987 | 1,820 | 3,037 |
| Other, primarily impact from changes in foreign currency exchange rates | (76) | 246 | (836) |
| Balance at the end of the year | \$ 5,113 | \$ 4,929 | \$ 4,724 |

NOTE 6 BORROWING ARRANGEMENTS:

The Corporation maintains short-term lines of credit of approximately \$9,200 (including £3,000 in the U.K. and 400 in Belgium). No amounts were outstanding under these lines of credit as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

As of December 31, 2010, the Corporation had the following Industrial Revenue Bonds (IRBs) outstanding: (1) \$4,120 tax-exempt IRB maturing in 2020, interest at a floating rate which averaged 0.32% during the current year; (2) \$7,116 taxable IRB maturing in 2027, interest at a floating rate which averaged 0.30% during the current year and (3) \$2,075 tax-exempt IRB maturing in 2029, interest at a floating rate which averaged 0.34% during the current year. The IRBs are remarketed periodically at which time interest rates are reset. The IRBs are secured by letters of credit of equivalent amounts. The letter of credit agreements require, among other things, maintenance of a minimum net worth and prohibit a leverage ratio in excess of a stipulated amount. The Corporation was in compliance with the applicable bank covenants as of December 31, 2010.

Despite principal not beginning to become due until 2020, the IRBs can be put back to the Corporation on short notice if, although considered remote by the Corporation and its bankers, the bonds cannot be remarketed. At that time, the bondholders can seek reimbursement from the letters of credit. As provided by a separate agreement with its bank, funding of the letters of credit, if so drawn against, would be satisfied with equal and immediate borrowings under a separate IRB Standby Letter of Credit facility (the Facility). The Facility expires on August 1, 2012 and is expected to be renewed annually to provide for a continual term of greater than one year. However, the Facility includes language regarding material adverse change to the Corporation's business which could result in it being called or cancelled at the bank's discretion; accordingly, the IRBs remain classified as a current liability. The availability under the Facility is \$13,566, equal to the letters of credit, and as of December 31, 2010 no amounts were outstanding.

NOTE 7 PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS:**Pension Plans**

The Corporation has a qualified defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all of its U.S. employees. Generally, benefits are based on years of service multiplied by either a fixed amount or a percentage of compensation. For its U.S. pension plan covered by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), the Corporation's policy is to fund at least the minimum actuarially computed annual contribution required under ERISA. Although no minimum contributions were required, voluntary contributions of \$5,000 and \$10,000 were made in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Minimum contributions for the 2011 Plan year are expected to approximate \$1,500 but may differ from the actual amount required as a result of plan's asset performance. Additionally, such amount may have been higher if the voluntary contributions to the plan would not have been made. Estimated benefit payments for subsequent years are \$8,780 for 2011, \$9,007 for 2012, \$9,103 for 2013, \$9,351 for 2014, \$9,530 for 2015 and \$54,017 for 2016 - 2020. The fair value of the plan's assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 approximated \$135,730 and \$119,466, respectively, in comparison to accumulated benefit obligations of \$142,591 and \$136,689 for the same periods.

Employees of UES-UK participate in a contributory defined benefit pension plan that was curtailed effective December 31, 2004 and replaced with a defined contribution pension plan. The UES-UK plans are non-U.S. plans and therefore are not covered by ERISA. Instead, the Trustees and UES-UK have agreed to a recovery plan that estimates the amount of employer contributions, based on U.K. regulations, necessary to eliminate the funding deficit of the plan over an agreed period with such estimates subject to change based on the future investment performance of the plan's assets. Employer contributions to the contributory defined benefit pension plan approximated \$1,420, \$1,431 and \$1,400 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and are expected to approximate \$1,659 in 2011. The fair value of the plan's assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 approximated \$34,836 (£22,332) and \$32,427 (£20,062), respectively, in comparison to accumulated benefit obligations of \$47,009 (£30,136) and \$45,027 (£27,858) for the same periods. Estimated benefit payments for subsequent years are \$860 for 2011, \$1,313 for 2012, \$965 for 2013, \$1,233 for 2014, \$1,492 for 2015 and \$10,577 for 2016–2020. Contributions to the defined contribution pension plan approximated \$337, \$275 and \$466 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and are expected to approximate \$450 in 2011.

The Corporation also maintains a nonqualified defined benefit pension plan to provide supplemental retirement benefits for selected executives in addition to benefits provided under the Corporate-sponsored pension plans. The assets are held in a grantor tax trust known as a Rabbi trust; accordingly, the assets are subject to claims of the Corporation's creditors, but otherwise must be used only for purposes of providing benefits under the plan. No contributions were made to the trust in 2008–2010 and none are expected in 2011. The fair market value of the trust at December 31, 2010 and 2009, which is included in other noncurrent assets, was \$3,097 and \$2,606, respectively. Changes in the fair market value of the trust are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). The plan is treated as a non-funded pension plan for financial reporting purposes. Accumulated benefit obligations approximated \$1,785 and \$1,007 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Estimated benefit payments for subsequent years are approximately \$165 for 2011, \$161 for 2012, \$178 for 2013, \$174 for 2014, \$170 for 2015, and \$845 for 2016–2020, assuming normal retirement of the participants.

Employees at one location participate in a multi-employer plan in lieu of the defined benefit pension programs. The Corporation contributed approximately \$232, \$187 and \$214 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, to this plan.

Other Postretirement Benefits

The Corporation provides postretirement health care benefits principally to the bargaining groups of one subsidiary (the Plan). The Plan covers participants and their spouses and/or dependents who retire under the existing pension plan on other than a deferred vested basis and at the time of retirement have also rendered 15 or more years of continuous service irrespective of age. Other health care benefits are provided to retirees under plans no longer being offered by the Corporation. Retiree life insurance is provided to substantially all retirees. Postretirement benefits with respect to health care are subject to certain Medicare offsets. The Corporation also provides health care and life insurance benefits to former employees of certain discontinued operations. This obligation had been estimated and provided for at the time of disposal. The Corporation's postretirement health care and life insurance plans are not funded or subject to any minimum regulatory funding requirements. Estimated benefit payments for subsequent years, which would represent Corporate contributions, are approximately \$1,026 for 2011, \$1,039 for 2012, \$1,037 for 2013, \$1,027 for 2014, \$1,015 for 2015 and \$5,184 for 2016–2020.

Reconciliations

The following provides a reconciliation of projected benefit obligations, plan assets, the funded status of the plans and the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets for the Corporation's defined benefit plans calculated using a measurement date as of the end of the respective years.

| | U.S. Pension | | Foreign Pension | | Other Postretirement | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Benefits ^(a) | | Benefits | | Benefits | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Change in projected benefit obligations: | | | | | | |
| Projected benefit obligations at January 1 | \$ 147,190 | \$ 135,916 | \$ 45,027 | \$ 35,395 | \$ 14,469 | \$ 13,868 |
| Service cost | 2,868 | 2,799 | | | 482 | 423 |
| Interest cost | 8,525 | 8,403 | 2,482 | 2,323 | 924 | 812 |
| Foreign currency exchange rate changes | | | (1,545) | 4,571 | | |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 1,894 | 6,585 | 2,157 | 3,872 | 1,808 | (27) |
| Participant contributions | | | | | 474 | 468 |
| Benefits paid from plan assets | (6,837) | (6,471) | (1,112) | (1,134) | | |
| Benefits paid by the Corporation | (45) | (42) | | | (1,099) | (1,075) |
| Projected benefit obligations at December 31 | \$ 153,595 | \$ 147,190 | \$ 47,009 | \$ 45,027 | \$ 17,058 | \$ 14,469 |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1 | \$ 119,466 | \$ 93,694 | \$ 32,427 | \$ 24,311 | \$ | \$ |
| Actual return on plan assets | 18,101 | 22,243 | 3,206 | 4,627 | | |
| Foreign currency exchange rate changes | | | (1,105) | 3,192 | | |
| Corporate contributions | 5,045 | 10,042 | 1,420 | 1,431 | 625 | 607 |
| Participant contributions | | | | | 474 | 468 |
| Gross benefits paid | (6,882) | (6,513) | (1,112) | (1,134) | (1,099) | (1,075) |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | \$ 135,730 | \$ 119,466 | \$ 34,836 | \$ 32,427 | \$ | \$ |
| Funded status of the plans: | | | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets | \$ 135,730 | \$ 119,466 | \$ 34,836 | \$ 32,427 | \$ | \$ |
| Less benefit obligations | 153,595 | 147,190 | 47,009 | 45,027 | 17,058 | 14,469 |
| Funded status at December 31 | \$ (17,865) | \$ (27,724) | \$ (12,173) | \$ (12,600) | \$ (17,058) | \$ (14,469) |

(a) Includes the non-qualified defined benefit pension plan.

| | U.S. Pension | | Foreign Pension | | Other Postretirement | |
|--|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Benefits | | Benefits | | Benefits | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Amounts recognized in the balance sheets: | | | | | | |
| Employee benefit obligations: | | | | | | |
| Accrued payrolls and employee benefits (current) | \$ (160) | \$ (96) | \$ (1,953) | \$ (1,619) | \$ (984) | \$ (880) |
| Employee benefit obligations (noncurrent) | (17,705) | (27,628) | (10,220) | (10,981) | (16,074) | (13,589) |
| | \$ (17,865) | \$ (27,724) | \$ (12,173) | \$ (12,600) | \$ (17,058) | \$ (14,469) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss (pre-tax): | | | | | | |
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 54,428 | \$ 64,547 | \$ 20,903 | \$ 21,271 | \$ 3,085 | \$ 1,418 |
| Prior service cost | 3,328 | 3,984 | | | 389 | 475 |
| Total (pre-tax) | \$ 57,756 | \$ 68,531 | \$ 20,903 | \$ 21,271 | \$ 3,474 | \$ 1,893 |

Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2010 expected to be recognized in net periodic pension and other postretirement costs in 2011 include:

U.S. Pension Foreign Pension Other Postretirement

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

| | Benefits | Benefits | Benefits |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Net actuarial loss | \$ 3,833 | \$ 482 | \$ 113 |
| Prior service cost | 656 | | 86 |
| | \$ 4,489 | \$ 482 | \$ 199 |

Investment Policies and Strategies

The investment policies and strategies are determined and monitored by the Investment Committee of the Board of Directors for the U.S. pension plan and by the Trustees (as appointed by UES-UK and the employees of UES-UK) for the foreign pension plan, each of whom employ their own investment managers to manage the plan's assets in accordance with the policy guidelines. Pension assets are invested with the objective of maximizing long-term returns while minimizing material losses to meet future benefit obligations as they become due. Investments in equity securities are primarily in common stocks

of publicly-traded U.S. and international companies across a broad spectrum of industry sectors. Investments in fixed-income securities are principally A-rated or better bonds with maturities of less than ten years, preferred stocks and convertible bonds. The Corporation believes there are no significant concentrations of risk associated with the Plans' assets.

Attempts to minimize risk include allowing temporary changes to the allocation mix in response to market conditions, diversifying investments among asset categories (e.g., equity securities, fixed-income securities, hedge and absolute return funds, cash and cash equivalents) and within these asset categories (e.g., economic sector, industry, geographic distribution, size) and consulting with independent financial and legal counsels to assure that the investments and their expected returns and risks are consistent with the goals of the Investment Committee or Trustees.

With respect to the U.S. pension plan, the following investments are prohibited unless otherwise approved by the Investment Committee: stock of the Corporation, venture capital, private placements, future and options except for hedging purposes, unregistered or restricted stock, warrants, margin trading, limited partnerships, short-selling, real estate excluding public or real estate partnerships and commodities including art, jewelry and gold. The foreign plan invests in specific funds. Any investments other than those specifically identified would be considered prohibited.

The following summarizes target asset allocations and major asset categories.

| | U.S. Pension Benefits | | | Foreign Pension Benefits | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| | Target Allocation | Percentage of Plan Assets | | Target Allocation | Percentage of Plan Assets | |
| | Dec. 31, 2010 | 2010 | 2009 | Dec. 31, 2010 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Equity Securities | 65-75% | 74% | 65% | 50% | 53% | 57% |
| Fixed-Income Securities | 15-30% | 19% | 19% | 40% | 38% | 43% |
| Hedge and Absolute Return Funds | 5-15% | 5% | 4% | 10% | 9% | |
| Other (primarily cash and cash equivalents) | 0-5% | 2% | 12% | | | |

Fair Value Measurement of Plan Assets

Equity securities and mutual funds are actively traded on exchanges and price quotes for these investments are readily available. Similarly, corporate debt and preferred securities consist of fixed income securities of U.S. and U.K. corporations and price quotes for these investments are readily available. Common collective trust and commingled funds are not traded publicly, but the underlying assets (such as stocks and bonds) held in these funds are traded on active markets and the prices for the underlying assets are readily observable.

Investment Strategies

The significant investment strategies of the various funds are summarized below.

| Fund | Investment Strategy | Primary Investment Objective |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Temporary Investment Funds | Invests primarily in a diversified portfolio of investment grade money market instruments. | Achieve a high level of current income while maintaining stability of principal and liquidity. |
| Various Equity Funds | Each fund maintains a diversified holding in common stock of applicable companies (e.g. common stock of small capitalization companies if a small-cap fund, common stock of medium capitalization companies if a mid-cap fund, common stock of foreign corporations if an international fund, etc.). | Outperform the fund's related index. |
| Pooled Funds | Invests primarily in common stocks and other equity securities of issuers organized or conducting business in countries other than the United States. | Exceed the return of the corresponding Morgan Stanley Index. |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Various Growth and Value Funds | Invests primarily in common stocks and other equity securities generally traded on a major United States exchange or via the NASDAQ Stock Market. | Exceed the return of the Russell 2500 Growth Index or Value Index, as applicable, over a market cycle. |
| Return Fund | Invests at least 65% of its assets in a diversified portfolio of fixed income securities of varying maturities. | Outperform the Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Index. |
| Hedge and Absolute Return Funds | Invests in a diversified portfolio of alternative investment styles and strategies. | Generate long-term capital appreciation while maintaining a low correlation with the traditional global financial markets. |

Categories of Plan Assets

Asset categories based on the nature and risks of the Plans' assets as of December 31, 2010 are summarized below.

| | U.S. Pension Benefits | | | Total |
|---|--|---|---------------------|------------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Inputs (Level 1) | Significant | | |
| | | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Unobservable | |
| | | | Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Equity Securities: | | | | |
| U.S. | | | | |
| Bank and financial services | \$ 1,975 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,975 |
| Basic industries | 1,746 | | | 1,746 |
| Chemicals | 1,689 | | | 1,689 |
| Commercial property | 2,043 | | | 2,043 |
| Common collective trust funds | | 22,854 | | 22,854 |
| Consumer non-durables | 2,939 | | | 2,939 |
| Consumer services | 1,515 | | | 1,515 |
| Limited partnerships | 5,464 | | | 5,464 |
| Mutual funds | 34,041 | | | 34,041 |
| Oil and gas | 2,073 | | | 2,073 |
| Technology | 2,719 | | | 2,719 |
| Other (represents 17 business sectors) | 12,619 | | | 12,619 |
| International | | | | |
| Energy | 1,653 | | | 1,653 |
| Other (represents 5 business sectors) | 2,604 | | | 2,604 |
| Commingled funds | | 4,836 | | 4,836 |
| Total Equity Securities | 73,080 | 27,690 | | 100,770 |
| Fixed-Income Securities: | | | | |
| Preferred (represents 4 business sectors) | 7,580 | | | 7,580 |
| Mutual funds | 3,231 | | | 3,231 |
| Corporate debt (represents 2 business sectors) | 1,183 | | | 1,183 |
| Commingled funds | | 14,160 | | 14,160 |
| Total Fixed-Income Securities | 11,994 | 14,160 | | 26,154 |
| Hedge and Absolute Return Funds | | | 5,951 | 5,951 |
| Other (primarily cash and cash equivalents): | | | | |
| Mutual funds | 1,918 | | | 1,918 |
| Commingled funds | | 777 | | 777 |
| Other ^(a) | 160 | | | 160 |
| Total Other | 2,078 | 777 | | 2,855 |
| | \$ 87,152 | \$ 42,627 | \$ 5,951 | \$ 135,730 |

(a) Includes accrued receivables and pending broker settlements.

| | Foreign Pension Benefits | | | Total |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Inputs (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant | |
| | | | Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| | | Equity Securities: | | |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|----|----------|------------------|
| Commingled Funds (U.K.) | \$ 5,225 | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,225 |
| Commingled Funds (International) | 13,242 | | | 13,242 |
| Total Equity Securities | 18,467 | | | 18,467 |
| Fixed Income Securities: | | | | |
| Commingled Funds (U.K.) | 13,133 | | | 13,133 |
| Hedge and Absolute Return Funds | | | 3,211 | 3,211 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 25 | | | 25 |
| | \$ 31,625 | \$ | \$ 3,211 | \$ 34,836 |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Level 3 plan assets for U.S. and foreign pension plans for the year ended December 31, 2010.

| | Hedge and Absolute Return Funds | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | U.S. Pension Benefits | Foreign Pension Benefits |
| Fair value as of January 1, 2010 | \$ 5,365 | \$ |
| Acquisitions | | 3,155 |
| Change in unrealized gain | 586 | 56 |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 5,951 | \$ 3,211 |

Asset categories based on the nature and risks of the Plans assets as of December 31, 2009 are summarized below.

| | U.S. Pension Benefits Significant | | | Total |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| | Quoted Prices in | Significant Other | Unobservable | |
| | Active Markets for | Significant Other | Unobservable | |
| | Identical Inputs | Observable Inputs | Inputs | |
| | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | |
| Equity Securities: | | | | |
| U.S. | | | | |
| Capital goods | \$ 2,004 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,004 |
| Common collective trust funds | | 11,870 | | 11,870 |
| Consumer non-durables | 2,987 | | | 2,987 |
| Consumer services | 2,449 | | | 2,449 |
| Energy | 3,333 | | | 3,333 |
| Mutual funds | 29,036 | | | 29,036 |
| Technology | 2,000 | | | 2,000 |
| Other (represents 12 business sectors) | 12,268 | | | 12,268 |
| International | | | | |
| Other (represents 7 business sectors) | 2,793 | | | 2,793 |
| Commingled funds | | 8,904 | | 8,904 |
| Total Equity Securities | 56,870 | 20,774 | | 77,644 |
| Fixed-Income Securities: | | | | |
| Preferred (represents 4 business sectors) | 7,383 | | | 7,383 |
| Mutual funds | 6,604 | | | 6,604 |
| Corporate debt (represents 2 business sectors) | 1,782 | | | 1,782 |
| Commingled funds | | 6,765 | | 6,765 |
| Total Fixed-Income Securities | 15,769 | 6,765 | | 22,534 |
| Hedge and Absolute Return Funds | | | | |
| Other (primarily cash and cash equivalents): | | | | |
| Mutual funds | 6,605 | | | 6,605 |
| Commingled funds | | 7,666 | | 7,666 |
| Other ^(a) | (348) | | | (348) |
| Total Other | 6,257 | 7,666 | | 13,923 |
| | \$ 78,896 | \$ 35,205 | \$ 5,365 | \$ 119,466 |

(a) Includes accrued receivables and pending broker settlements.

| | Foreign Pension Benefits Significant | | Total |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| | Quoted Prices in | Significant Other | |
| | Active Markets for | Unobservable | |
| | Identical Inputs | Inputs | |
| | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

| | Identical Inputs (Level 1) | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----|----|-----------|
| Equity Securities: | | | | |
| Commingled Funds (U.K.) | \$ 6,688 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,688 |
| Commingled Funds (International) | 11,818 | | | 11,818 |
| Total Equity Securities | 18,506 | | | 18,506 |
| Fixed Income Securities: | | | | |
| Commingled Funds (U.K.) | 13,846 | | | 13,846 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 75 | | | 75 |
| | \$ 32,427 | \$ | \$ | \$ 32,427 |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Level 3 plan assets for the U.S. pension plan for the year ended December 31, 2009.

| | Hedge and Absolute Return Funds |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Fair value as of January 1, 2009 | \$ 4,438 |
| Acquisitions | 2,000 |
| Dispositions | (2,000) |
| Change in unrealized gain | 927 |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2009 | \$ 5,365 |

Net Periodic Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Costs

The actual return on the fair value of plan assets is included in determining the funded status of the plans. In determining net periodic pension costs, the expected long-term rate of return on the market-related value of plan assets is used. Differences between the actual return on plan assets and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets are classified as part of unrecognized actuarial gains or losses which are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on the consolidated balance sheet. When these gains or losses exceed 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligations or the market-related value of plan assets, they are amortized to net periodic pension and other postretirement costs over the average remaining service period of employees expected to receive benefits under the plans. When the gains or losses are less than 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligations or the market-related value of plan assets, they are included in net periodic pension and other postretirement costs indirectly as a result of lower/higher interest costs arising from a decrease/increase in the projected benefit obligation.

Net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs include the following components for the year ended

December 31:

| | U.S. Pension | | | Foreign Pension | | | Other Postretirement | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| | Benefits | | | Benefits | | | Benefits | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Service cost | \$ 2,868 | \$ 2,799 | \$ 2,681 | \$ 2,482 | \$ 2,323 | \$ 2,464 | \$ 482 | \$ 423 | \$ 405 |
| Interest cost | 8,525 | 8,403 | 7,953 | 2,482 | 2,323 | 2,464 | 924 | 812 | 785 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (9,572) | (10,262) | (11,198) | (1,885) | (1,480) | (2,612) | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 656 | 656 | 633 | | | | 86 | 86 | 69 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain) | 3,484 | 1,958 | (110) | 464 | 463 | 292 | 140 | 10 | 56 |
| Net cost (income) | \$ 5,961 | \$ 3,554 | \$ (41) | \$ 1,061 | \$ 1,306 | \$ 144 | \$ 1,632 | \$ 1,331 | \$ 1,315 |

Assumptions

Assumptions are reviewed on an annual basis. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is an estimate of average rates of earnings expected to be earned on funds invested or to be invested to provide for the benefits included in the projected benefit obligation. Since these benefits will be paid over many years, the expected long-term rate of return is reflective of current investment returns and investment returns over a longer period. Consideration is given also to target and actual asset allocations, inflation and real risk-free return. The discount rates used in determining future pension obligations and other postretirement benefits for each of the plans are based on rates of return on high-quality fixed-income investments currently available and expected to be available during the period to maturity of the pension and other postretirement benefits. High-quality fixed-income investments are defined as those investments which have received one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized rating agency with maturities of 10+ years.

The following assumptions were used to determine the benefit obligations as of December 31:

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

| | U.S. Pension Benefits | | Foreign Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Benefits | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Discount rate | 5.75% | 6.00% | 5.40% | 5.80% | 5.75% | 6.00% |
| Rate of increases in compensation | 4.00% | 4.00% | | | | |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The following assumptions were used to determine net periodic pension and other postretirement benefit costs for the year ended December 31:

| | U.S. Pension Benefits | | | Foreign Pension Benefits | | | Other Postretirement Benefits | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Discount rate | 6.00% | 6.25% | 6.25% | 5.80% | 6.10% | 5.65% | 6.00% | 6.25% | 6.25% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 8.00% | 8.00% | 8.00% | 6.00% | 5.53% | 6.91% | | | |
| Rate of increases in compensation | 4.00% | 4.00% | 4.00% | | | | | | |

In addition, the assumed health care cost trend rate at December 31, 2010 for other postretirement benefits is 8% for 2011 gradually decreasing to 4.75% in 2015. In selecting rates for current and long-term health care assumptions, the Corporation considers known health care cost increases, the design of the benefit programs, the demographics of its active and retiree populations and expectations of inflation rates in the future. A one percentage point increase or decrease in the assumed health care cost trend rate would change the postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2010 and the annual benefit expense for 2010 by approximately \$1,900 and \$200, respectively.

NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES:

Outstanding standby and commercial letters of credit as of December 31, 2010 approximated \$19,898, the majority of which serve as collateral for the IRBs.

In 2010, UES-UK was awarded a governmental grant of up to \$1,325 (£850) toward the purchase and installation of certain machinery and equipment of which \$226 (£145) was received during the year. Under the agreement, the grant is repayable if certain conditions are not met including achieving and maintaining a targeted level of employment through 2017. UES-UK's level of employment currently exceeds and is expected to continue to exceed the targeted level of employment; accordingly, no liability has been recorded.

Approximately 52% of the Corporation's employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. There are six bargaining agreements which have expiration dates ranging from August 2011 to May 2014.

See Note 17 regarding litigation and Note 18 for environmental matters.

NOTE 9 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

In April 2008, the shareholders of the Corporation approved the adoption of the 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Incentive Plan). Awards under the Incentive Plan may include incentive non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares and restricted stock units, performance awards, other stock-based awards, short-term cash incentive awards or any other award made under the Incentive Plan. The Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors who has the authority to determine, within the limits of the express provisions of the Incentive Plan, the individuals to whom the awards will be granted; the nature, amount and terms of such awards; and the objectives and conditions for earning such awards.

The Compensation Committee granted non-qualified stock options in each of the years as outlined below. The options have a ten-year life with one-third vesting at the date of grant, one-third vesting on the first anniversary date of the date of grant and one-third vesting on the second anniversary date of the date of grant. The exercise prices are equal to the closing prices of the Corporation's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the dates of grant. The fair values of the options as of the dates of grant were calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on the assumptions outlined below.

| | Grant Date | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Options granted | 325,000 | 322,500 | 322,500 |
| Exercise price | \$ 25.77 | \$ 13.37 | \$ 37.89 |
| Assumptions: | | | |
| Expected life in years | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.98% | 2.72% | 3.33% |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Expected annual dividend yield | 3.00% | 6.33% | 2.51% |
| Expected volatility | 55.12% | 43.81% | 33.71% |
| Grant date fair value | \$ 10.77 | \$ 3.17 | \$ 10.98 |
| Resulting stock-based compensation expense | \$ 3,500 | \$ 1,023 | \$ 3,542 |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The resulting stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period and approximated \$3,267, \$1,806 and \$1,574 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The related income tax benefit recognized in the statements of operations was \$1,143, \$632 and \$551 for the respective years. Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense equaled \$1,418 and \$1,185 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Stock option activity during 2008 - 2010 was as follows:

| | Shares Under Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2008 | 5,000 | | \$ 12.24 |
| Granted | 322,500 | \$ 37.89 | |
| Exercised | | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 327,500 | | \$ 37.50 |
| Granted | 322,500 | \$ 13.37 | |
| Exercised | (68,430) | \$ 13.32 | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 581,570 | | \$ 26.96 |
| Granted | 325,000 | \$ 25.77 | |
| Exercised | (59,229) | \$ 13.33 | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 847,341 | | \$ 27.46 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2010 | 523,174 | | \$ 31.05 |

Stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| | Weighted Average Shares Under Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share | Remaining Contractual Life in Years |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 2008 Grant | 322,500 | \$ 37.89 | 7.7 |
| 2009 Grant | 199,841 | 13.37 | 8.2 |
| 2010 Grant | 325,000 | 25.77 | 9.2 |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 847,341 | \$ 27.46 | 8.4 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2010 | 523,174 | \$ 31.05 | 8.1 |

Status and activity of nonvested stock options during 2008 - 2010 is summarized below.

| | 2008 Grant | 2009 Grant | 2010 Grant | Total |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Nonvested at January 1, 2008 | | | | |
| Granted | 322,500 | | | 322,500 |
| Vested | (107,500) | | | (107,500) |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2008 | 215,000 | | | 215,000 |
| Granted | | 322,500 | | 322,500 |
| Vested | (107,500) | (107,500) | | (215,000) |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2009 | 107,500 | 215,000 | | 322,500 |
| Granted | | | 325,000 | 325,000 |
| Vested | (107,500) | (107,500) | (108,333) | (323,333) |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2010 | | 107,500 | 216,667 | 324,167 |

NOTE 10 OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS:

Foreign currency translation adjustments exclude the effect of income taxes since earnings of non-U.S. subsidiaries are deemed to be reinvested for an indefinite period of time. The tax (expense) benefit associated with changes in the unrecognized components of employee benefit plans was approximately \$(2,219), \$(1,601) and \$20,610 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and approximately \$(1,745), \$(1,155) and \$(312) for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, for the reclassification adjustments. The tax (expense) benefit associated with changes in the fair value of derivatives was approximately \$(801), \$(318) and \$(737) for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and approximately \$503, \$(213) and \$(734) for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, for the reclassification adjustments. The tax (expense) benefit associated with changes in the unrealized holding gains and losses on securities was \$(144), \$(219) and \$314 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$(12), \$(39) and \$(107) for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, for the reclassification adjustments.

NOTE 11 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:**Forward Foreign Exchange and Futures Contracts**

Certain of the Corporation's operations are subject to risk from exchange rate fluctuations in connection with sales in foreign currencies. To minimize this risk, foreign currency sales contracts are entered into which are designated as cash flow or fair value hedges. As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$31,164 of anticipated foreign-denominated sales has been hedged of which \$4,316 is covered by cash flow contracts settling at various dates through June 2012. The remaining \$26,848 of anticipated foreign-denominated sales is covered by fair value contracts settling at various dates through September 2013. As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of foreign currency sales contracts designated as cash flow hedges expecting to settle within the next 12 months approximated \$547 and is recorded as other current assets. The fair value of the remaining cash flow contracts equaled \$65 and is recorded as other noncurrent assets. The change in the fair value of the contracts is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and approximated \$281, net of income taxes, as of December 31, 2010. During 2010, approximately \$951, net of income taxes, was recognized as comprehensive income (loss). The change in the fair value will be reclassified to earnings when the projected sales occur with approximately \$381 expected to be released to pre-tax earnings in 2011. Approximately \$999, \$(559) and \$(2,619) was released to pre-tax earnings in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of foreign currency sales contracts designated as fair value hedges expecting to settle within the next 12 months approximated \$4 and is recorded as other current assets. (The fair value of the related hedged item, recorded as other current assets, approximated \$53.) The fair value of the remaining fair value hedges equaled \$285 and is recorded as other noncurrent assets. (The fair value of the related hedged item, recorded as other noncurrent liabilities, approximated \$266.) The fair value of assets held as collateral as of December 31, 2010 approximated \$780.

No portion of the existing cash flow or fair value hedges is considered to be ineffective, including any ineffectiveness arising from the unlikelihood of an anticipated transaction to occur. Additionally, no amounts have been excluded from assessing the effectiveness of the hedge.

Gains (losses) on foreign exchange transactions approximated \$655, \$(1,960) and \$(1,228) for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and are included in other income (expense).

In May 2009, the Corporation entered into foreign currency purchase contracts to manage the volatility associated with Euro-denominated progress payments to be made for certain machinery and equipment. As of December 31, 2010, all contracts had been settled. The change in the fair value of the contracts is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and approximated \$329, net of income taxes, as of December 31, 2010. During 2010, approximately \$(245), net of income taxes, was recognized as comprehensive income (loss). During 2010, the underlying fixed assets were placed in service and approximately \$16 was released to pre-tax earnings (as an offset to depreciation expense). Approximately, \$25 is expected to be released to pre-tax earnings in 2011.

At December 31, 2010, the Corporation has purchase commitments covering approximately 56% of the anticipated natural gas usage at one of its Forged and Cast Rolls subsidiaries. The commitments qualify as normal purchases and, accordingly, are not reflected on the consolidated balance sheet. Payments for subsequent years are \$5,380 for 2011, \$4,130 for 2012, \$2,637 for 2013, \$2,139 for 2014 and \$2,005 for 2015.

Additionally, certain of the Corporation's divisions are subject to risk from increases in the price of commodities (copper and aluminum) used in the production of inventory. To minimize this risk, futures contracts are entered into which are designated as cash flow hedges. At December 31, 2010, approximately 54% or \$3,572 of anticipated copper purchases over the next ten months and 63% or \$844 of anticipated aluminum purchases over the next six months are hedged. The fair value of these contracts approximated \$721 and the fair value of settled contracts approximated \$224 as of December 31, 2010. The change in the fair value of the contracts designated as cash flow hedges is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and approximated \$589, net of income taxes, as of December 31, 2010. During 2010, approximately \$639, net of income taxes, was recognized as comprehensive income (loss). The change in the fair value will be reclassified to earnings when the projected sales occur with approximately \$945 expected to be released to pre-tax earnings over the next 12 months. Approximately \$328, \$(76) and \$(337) was released to pre-tax earnings in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The fair value of assets held as collateral as of December 31, 2010 approximated \$455.

The Corporation does not enter into derivative transactions for speculative purposes and, therefore, holds no derivative instruments for trading purposes.

NOTE 12 FAIR VALUE:

The Corporation's financial assets and liabilities that are reported at fair value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets were as follows:

| | 2010 | Quoted Prices | Significant | Total |
|--|------|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | in Active | Other | |
| | | Markets for | Observable | Unobservable |
| | | Identical Inputs | Inputs | Inputs |
| | | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
| Investments | | | | |
| Other noncurrent assets | | \$ 3,097 | \$ | \$ 3,097 |
| Foreign currency exchange sale contracts | | | | |
| Other current assets | | | 604 | 604 |
| Other noncurrent assets | | | 350 | 350 |
| Other current liabilities | | | | |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | | 266 | 266 |

2009

| | | | | |
|---|--|----------|-------|----------|
| Investments | | | | |
| Other noncurrent assets | | \$ 2,606 | \$ | \$ 2,606 |
| Foreign currency exchange (sale and purchase) contracts | | | | |
| Other current assets | | | 1,345 | 1,345 |
| Other noncurrent assets | | | 962 | 962 |
| Other current liabilities | | | 1,171 | 1,171 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | | | 973 | 973 |

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The investments held as other noncurrent assets represent assets held in the Rabbi trust for the purpose of providing benefits under the non-qualified defined benefit pension plan. The fair value of the investments is based on quoted prices of the investments in active markets. The fair value of foreign currency exchange (sale and purchase) contracts is determined based on the fair value of similar contracts with similar terms and remaining maturities. The fair value of futures contracts is based on market quotations. The fair value of the variable-rate IRB debt approximates its carrying value. Additionally, the fair value of trade receivables and trade payables approximates their carrying value.

NOTE 13 INCOME TAXES:

At December 31, 2010, the Corporation has state net operating loss carryforwards of \$32,428 which begin to expire in 2018 through 2030 and capital loss carryforwards of \$636 which begin to expire in 2014. The Corporation is subject to taxation in the U.S., various states and foreign jurisdictions, and remains subject to examination by tax authorities for tax years 2007-2010.

Income before income taxes and equity losses in Chinese joint venture was comprised of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Domestic | \$ 17,664 | \$ 42,435 | \$ (531) |
| Foreign | 6,958 | 2,454 | 15,346 |
| | \$ 24,622 | \$ 44,889 | \$ 14,815 |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The provision (benefit) for taxes on income consisted of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 4,983 | \$ 8,794 | \$ 12,322 |
| State | 995 | 1,097 | 1,316 |
| Foreign | 364 | (17) | 2,669 |
| | 6,342 | 9,874 | 16,307 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | 2,210 | 5,556 | (13,227) |
| State | (494) | 1,138 | (1,792) |
| Foreign | 1,284 | 482 | 1,363 |
| Reversal of valuation allowance | (655) | | (411) |
| | 2,345 | 7,176 | (14,067) |
| | \$ 8,687 | \$ 17,050 | \$ 2,240 |

The provision (benefit) for taxes on income was affected by the reversal of valuation allowances previously provided against deferred income tax assets associated with foreign tax credits and capital loss carryforwards for 2010 and 2008, respectively.

The difference between statutory U.S. federal income tax and the Corporation's effective income tax was as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Computed at statutory rate | \$ 8,618 | \$ 15,711 | \$ 5,185 |
| Tax differential on non-U.S. earnings | (355) | (91) | (958) |
| State income taxes | 902 | 1,851 | (730) |
| Reversal of valuation allowance | (655) | | (411) |
| Subpart F income inclusion | 615 | | |
| Additional manufacturers deduction (I.R.C. Section 199) | (449) | (553) | (752) |
| Meals and entertainment | 191 | 207 | 212 |
| Tax credits | (165) | (10) | (93) |
| Dividend received deduction | (20) | (2) | (50) |
| Other net | 5 | (63) | (163) |
| | \$ 8,687 | \$ 17,050 | \$ 2,240 |

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities were comprised of the following:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Employment related liabilities | \$ 9,387 | \$ 6,996 |
| Pension liability foreign | 5,166 | 5,551 |
| Pension liability domestic | 5,063 | 10,143 |
| Liabilities related to discontinued operations | 1,388 | 1,150 |
| Capital loss carryforwards | 401 | 391 |
| Asbestos-related liability | 28,823 | 23,205 |
| Net operating loss state | 1,871 | 1,866 |
| Other | 4,927 | 1,625 |
| Gross deferred income tax assets | 57,026 | 50,927 |
| Valuation allowance | (1,853) | (2,465) |
| | 55,173 | 48,462 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation | (26,044) | (15,125) |
| Mark-to-market adjustment derivatives | (714) | (416) |
| Other | (2,052) | (391) |
| Gross deferred income tax liabilities | (28,810) | (15,932) |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

Net deferred income tax assets

\$ **26,363** \$ 32,530

The current portion of net deferred income tax assets is included in other current assets.

The following summarizes changes in unrecognized tax benefits:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Balance at the beginning of the year | \$ 804 | \$ 655 | \$ 944 |
| Gross increases for tax positions taken in the current year | 55 | 149 | 124 |
| Gross decreases in tax positions due to lapse in statute of limitations | (29) | | (230) |
| Gross decreases for tax positions taken in prior years | (44) | | (183) |
| Balance at the end of the year | \$ 786 | \$ 804 | \$ 655 |

If the unrecognized tax benefits were recognized, \$707 would reduce the Corporation's effective tax rate. The amount of penalties and interest recognized in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and in the consolidated statements of operations for 2010, 2009 and 2008 is insignificant. Unrecognized tax benefits of \$33 are expected to be settled within the next 12 months and \$41 of unrecognized tax benefits is expected to expire due to the lapse in the statute of limitations.

NOTE 14 OPERATING LEASES:

The Corporation leases certain factory and office space and certain office equipment. Operating lease expense was \$815 in 2010, \$919 in 2009 and \$853 in 2008. Operating lease payments for subsequent years are \$793 for 2011, \$729 for 2012, \$670 for 2013, \$556 for 2014, \$331 for 2015 and \$55 thereafter.

NOTE 15 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COSTS:

Expenditures relating to the development of new products, identification of products or process alternatives and modifications and improvements to existing products and processes are expensed as incurred. These expenses approximated \$1,711 for 2010 and \$1,350 for both 2009 and 2008.

NOTE 16 RELATED PARTIES:

In the ordinary course of business, the Corporation purchases industrial supplies from a subsidiary of The Louis Berkman Investment Company (LB Co) and the Chinese cast-roll joint venture company and may purchase miscellaneous items for the Chinese forged-roll joint venture company. Certain directors of the Corporation are either officers, directors and/or shareholders of LB Co; UES-UK has a 25% interest in the cast-roll joint venture company; and UES has a 49% interest in the Chinese forged-roll joint venture company.

Purchases from LB Co approximated \$1,752 in 2010, \$1,443 in 2009 and \$1,722 in 2008. In addition, LB Co paid the Corporation approximately \$239 in 2010, \$232 in 2009 and \$225 in 2008 for certain administrative services. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the net amount payable to LB Co approximated \$36 and \$9, respectively. Purchases of industrial supplies from the Chinese cast-roll joint venture company approximated \$449 in 2010, \$624 in 2009 and \$785 in 2008. At December 31, 2010, \$78 was payable to the Chinese cast-roll joint venture company and no amounts were due at December 31, 2009. Purchases for the Chinese forged-roll joint venture company approximated \$22 in 2010 and no amounts were outstanding at December 31, 2010. No purchases were made prior to 2010.

NOTE 17 LITIGATION: (claims not in thousands)

LITIGATION

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to their businesses. In addition, it is also subject to asbestos litigation as described below.

Asbestos Litigation

Claims have been asserted alleging personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components historically used in some products of predecessors of the Corporation's Air & Liquid Systems Corporation subsidiary (Asbestos Liability) and of an inactive subsidiary in dissolution and another former division of the Corporation. Those subsidiaries, and in some cases the Corporation, are defendants (among a number of defendants, typically over 50) in cases filed in various state and federal courts.

Asbestos Claims

The following table reflects approximate information about the claims for Asbestos Liability against the subsidiaries and the Corporation, along with certain asbestos claims asserted against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution and the former division, for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Open claims at end of period | 8,081 ⁽¹⁾ | 8,168 ⁽¹⁾ | 9,354 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Gross settlement and defense costs (in 000 \$) | \$ 18,085 | \$ 28,744 | \$ 19,102 |
| Claims resolved | 1,377 | 3,336 | 1,015 |

(1) Included as open claims are approximately 1,791 claims in 2010, 1,938 claims in 2009 and 3,243 claims in 2008 classified in various jurisdictions as inactive or transferred to a state or federal judicial panel on multi-district litigation, commonly referred to as the MDL.

A substantial majority of the settlement and defense costs reflected in the above table were reported and paid by insurers. Because claims are often filed and can be settled or dismissed in large groups, the amount and timing of settlements, as well as the number of open claims, can fluctuate significantly from period to period. In 2006, for the first time, a claim for Asbestos Liability against one of the Corporation's subsidiaries was tried to a jury. The trial resulted in a defense verdict. Plaintiffs appealed that verdict and in 2008 the California Court of Appeals reversed the jury verdict and remanded the case back to the trial court.

Asbestos Insurance

Certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries and the Corporation have an arrangement (the Coverage Arrangement) with insurers responsible for historical primary and some first-layer excess insurance coverage for Asbestos Liability (the Paying Insurers). Under the Coverage Arrangement, the Paying Insurers accept financial responsibility, subject to the limits of the policies and based on fixed defense percentages and specified indemnity allocation formulas, for pending and future claims for Asbestos Liability. The claims against the Corporation's inactive subsidiary that is in dissolution proceedings, numbering approximately 400 as of December 31, 2010, are not included within the Coverage Arrangement. The one claim filed against the former division also is not included within the Coverage Arrangement. The Corporation believes that the claims against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution and the former division are immaterial.

The Coverage Arrangement includes an acknowledgement that Howden North America, Inc. (Howden) is entitled to coverage under policies covering Asbestos Liability for claims arising out of the historical products manufactured or distributed by Buffalo Forge, a former subsidiary of the Corporation (the Products). The Coverage Arrangement does not provide for any prioritization on access to the applicable policies or monetary cap other than the limits of the policies, and, accordingly, Howden may access the policies at any time for any covered claim arising out of a Product. In general, access by Howden to the policies covering the Products will erode the coverage under the policies available to the Corporation and the relevant subsidiaries for Asbestos Liability alleged to arise out of not only the Products but also other historical products of the Corporation and its subsidiaries covered by the applicable policies.

On August 4, 2009, Howden filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. In the lawsuit, Howden raised claims against certain insurance companies that allegedly issued policies to Howden that do not cover the Corporation or its subsidiaries, and also raised claims against the Corporation and two other insurance companies that issued excess insurance policies covering certain subsidiaries of the Corporation (the Excess Policies), but that were not part of the Coverage Arrangement. In the lawsuit, Howden seeks, as respects the Corporation, a declaratory judgment from the court as to the respective rights and obligations of Howden, the Corporation and the insurance carriers under the Excess Policies. One of the excess carriers and the Corporation filed cross-claims against each other seeking declarations regarding their respective rights and obligations under Excess Policies issued by that carrier. The Corporation's cross-claim also sought damages for the carrier's failure to pay certain defense and indemnity costs. The Corporation and that carrier concluded a settlement generally consistent with the Coverage Arrangement, and all claims between that carrier and the Corporation were dismissed with prejudice on December 8, 2010. The litigation remains pending with respect to the other carrier that issued one of the Excess Policies.

On February 24, 2011, the Corporation and its Air & Liquid Systems Corporation subsidiary filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania against thirteen domestic insurance companies, certain underwriters at Lloyd's, London and certain London market insurance companies, and Howden. The lawsuit seeks a declaratory judgment regarding the respective rights and obligations of the parties under excess insurance policies not included within the Coverage Arrangement that were issued to the Corporation from 1981 through 1984 as respects claims

The Corporation's receivable at December 31, 2010 for insurance recoveries attributable to the claims for which the Corporation's Asbestos Liability reserve has been established, including the portion of incurred defense costs covered by the Coverage Arrangement, and the probable payments and reimbursements relating to the estimated indemnity and defense costs for pending and unasserted future Asbestos Liability claims, was \$141,839 (\$115,430 as of December 31, 2009). The insurance receivable recorded by the Corporation does not assume any recovery from insolvent carriers, and substantially all of the insurance recoveries deemed probable were from insurance companies rated A (excellent) or better by A.M. Best Corporation. There can be no assurance, however, that there will not be further insolvencies among the relevant insurance carriers, or that the assumed percentage recoveries for certain carriers will prove correct. The \$76,464 million difference between insurance recoveries and projected costs at December 31, 2010 is not due to exhaustion of all insurance coverage for Asbestos Liability. The Corporation and the subsidiaries have substantial additional insurance coverage which the Corporation expects to be available for Asbestos Liability claims and defense costs the subsidiaries and it may incur after 2020. However, this insurance coverage also can be expected to have gaps creating significant shortfalls of insurance recoveries as against claims expense, which could be material in future years.

The amounts recorded by the Corporation for Asbestos Liabilities and insurance receivables rely on assumptions that are based on currently known facts and strategy. The Corporation's actual expenses or insurance recoveries could be significantly higher or lower than those recorded if assumptions used in the Corporation's or HR&A's calculations vary significantly from actual results. Key variables in these assumptions are identified above and include the number and type of new claims to be filed each year, the average cost of disposing of each such new claim, average annual defense costs, the resolution of coverage issues with insurance carriers, and the solvency risk with respect to the relevant insurance carriers. Other factors that may affect the Corporation's Asbestos Liability and ability to recover under its insurance policies include uncertainties surrounding the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from case to case, reforms that may be made by state and federal courts, and the passage of state or federal tort reform legislation.

The Corporation intends to evaluate its estimated Asbestos Liability and related insurance receivables as well as the underlying assumptions on a regular basis to determine whether any adjustments to the estimates are required. Due to the uncertainties surrounding asbestos litigation and insurance, these regular reviews may result in the Corporation incurring future charges; however, the Corporation is currently unable to estimate such future charges. Adjustments, if any, to the Corporation's estimate of its recorded Asbestos Liability and/or insurance receivables could be material to operating results for the periods in which the adjustments to the liability or receivable are recorded, and to the Corporation's liquidity and consolidated financial position.

NOTE 18 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS:

The Corporation is currently performing certain remedial actions in connection with the sale of real estate previously owned and has been named a Potentially Responsible Party at two third-party landfill sites (a third location was settled on a de minimis basis in 2009). In addition, as a result of a sale of a segment, the Corporation retained the liability to remediate certain environmental contamination and agreed to indemnify the buyer against third-party claims arising from the discharge of certain contamination, the costs for which were accrued at the time of sale. Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons including lack of reliable data, the multiplicity of possible solutions, the years of remedial and monitoring activity required, and identification of new sites. In the opinion of management and in consideration of advice from the Corporation's consultants, the potential liability for all environmental proceedings of approximately \$1,433 at December 31, 2010 is considered adequate based on information known to date.

NOTE 19 BUSINESS SEGMENTS:

The Corporation organizes its business into two operating segments - Forged and Cast Rolls and Air and Liquid Processing. Summarized financial information concerning the Corporation's reportable segments is shown in the following tables. Corporate assets included under Identifiable Assets represent primarily cash and cash equivalents and other items not allocated to reportable segments. Long-lived assets exclude deferred income tax assets. Corporate costs are comprised of operating costs of the corporate office and other costs not allocated to the segments. The increase in corporate costs, including other income (expense), in 2009 against 2008 is due primarily to lower investment-related income, higher foreign exchange losses and changes in environmental provisions which included a net increase to the environmental provisions during 2009 whereas 2008 benefited from a net decrease to environmental accruals.

Prior to December 31, 2009, the assets of the Air and Liquid Processing segment included goodwill of \$2,694. In connection with the Corporation's strategic planning process in 2009, the Corporation determined as a result of the change in business conditions, including excess capacity in the market place, and uncertainty as to when the industry will return to more normal levels that goodwill was impaired and recorded a pre-tax charge of \$2,694.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The accounting policies are the same as those described in Note 1.

| | Net Sales | | | Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Forged and Cast Rolls | \$ 240,345 | \$ 191,316 | \$ 282,934 | \$ 48,674 | \$ 45,282 | \$ 63,754 |
| Air and Liquid Processing ⁽¹⁾ | 86,541 | 107,861 | 111,579 | (12,605) | 11,389 | (41,020) |
| Total Reportable Segments | 326,886 | 299,177 | 394,513 | 36,069 | 56,671 | 22,734 |
| Corporate costs, including other income (expense) | | | | (11,447) | (11,782) | (7,919) |
| | \$ 326,886 | \$ 299,177 | \$ 394,513 | \$ 24,622 | \$ 44,889 | \$ 14,815 |

| | Capital Expenditures | | | Depreciation Expense | | | Identifiable Assets ⁽²⁾ | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|----------|----------|------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Forged and Cast Rolls | \$ 34,214 | \$ 38,661 | \$ 21,258 | \$ 6,942 | \$ 5,467 | \$ 5,368 | \$ 251,343 | \$ 232,586 | \$ 200,072 |
| Air and Liquid Processing | 701 | 562 | 1,366 | 1,553 | 1,619 | 1,558 | 210,111 | 169,676 | 213,466 |
| Corporate | 86 | 22 | 12 | 70 | 64 | 62 | 65,509 | 69,563 | 75,443 |
| | \$ 35,001 | \$ 39,245 | \$ 22,636 | \$ 8,565 | \$ 7,150 | \$ 6,988 | \$ 526,963 | \$ 471,825 | \$ 488,981 |

| Geographic Areas: | Net Sales ⁽³⁾ | | | Long-Lived Assets ⁽⁴⁾ | | | Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| United States ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 129,094 | \$ 129,057 | \$ 145,016 | \$ 251,967 | \$ 203,726 | \$ 205,834 | \$ 17,664 | \$ 42,435 | \$ (531) |
| Foreign | 197,792 | 170,120 | 249,497 | 37,615 | 32,319 | 19,101 | 6,958 | 2,454 | 15,346 |
| | \$ 326,886 | \$ 299,177 | \$ 394,513 | \$ 289,582 | \$ 236,045 | \$ 224,935 | \$ 24,622 | \$ 44,889 | \$ 14,815 |

| | Net Sales by Product Line | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Forged and Cast Rolls ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ 240,345 | \$ 191,316 | \$ 282,934 |
| Heat Exchange Coils | 36,812 | 43,514 | 41,244 |
| Centrifugal Pumps | 25,467 | 36,663 | 32,598 |
| Air Handling Systems | 24,262 | 27,684 | 37,737 |
| Total Net Sales | \$ 326,886 | \$ 299,177 | \$ 394,513 |

(1) Income (loss) before income taxes for 2010 includes a pre-tax charge of \$19,980 for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation, net of estimated insurance recoveries as described in Note 17, for 2009 includes a pre-tax charge of \$2,694 for the write-off of goodwill deemed to be impaired, and for 2008 includes a pre-tax charge of \$51,018 for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation net of estimated insurance recoveries as described in Note 17.

(2) The increase in the identifiable assets of the Forged and Cast Rolls segment over the years is attributable primarily to capital expenditures. Identifiable assets for the Forged and Cast Rolls segment include investments in joint ventures of \$14,160, \$14,867 and \$6,537, respectively. The change in the identifiable assets of the Air and Liquid Processing segment relates primarily to the movement in asbestos-related insurance receivables, the balances of which equaled \$142,089, \$115,430 and \$136,176 at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 17.

(3) Net sales are attributed to countries based on location of the customer. China represented approximately 10% of consolidated net sales for 2010, 11% in 2009 and was less than 10% of net sales for 2008.

(4) Foreign long-lived assets represent primarily investments in joint ventures of \$14,160, \$14,867 and \$6,537, respectively, and assets of the U.K. operations. Long-lived assets of the U.S. include noncurrent asbestos-related insurance receivables of \$124,089, \$95,430 and \$122,176 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

(5) *One customer of the Forged and Cast Rolls segment constituted 12% of consolidated net sales for 2010, 11% of consolidated net sales for 2009 and 12% of consolidated net sales for 2008. In addition, another customer of the Forged and Cast Rolls segment constituted 13% of consolidated net sales in 2008.*

QUARTERLY INFORMATION UNAUDITED

| <i>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</i> | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 2010 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 82,326 | \$ 82,858 | \$ 79,537 | \$ 82,165 |
| Gross profit ^(a) | 25,485 | 27,460 | 23,035 | 21,378 |
| Net income (loss) ^(b) | 8,211 | 9,374 | 7,524 | (9,653) |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Basic ^(b) | 0.80 | 0.91 | 0.73 | (0.94) |
| Diluted ^(b) | 0.80 | 0.91 | 0.73 | (0.94) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | 6,565 | 9,451 | 10,615 | (6,405) |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 85,755 | \$ 74,979 | \$ 71,961 | \$ 66,482 |
| Gross profit ^(a) | 25,979 | 24,761 | 24,457 | 21,211 |
| Net income (loss) ^(c) | 7,319 | 7,793 | 8,715 | 3,850 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Basic ^(c) | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 0.38 |
| Diluted ^(c) | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 0.38 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | 9,110 | 13,196 | 7,880 | 8,389 |

(a) Gross profit excludes depreciation.

(b) Fourth quarter 2010 includes an after-tax charge of \$12,931 or \$1.26 per common share for estimated costs of asbestos-related litigation, net of insurance recoveries, through 2020.

(c) Fourth quarter 2009 includes an after-tax charge of \$2,831 or \$0.28 per common share for the write-off of goodwill deemed to be impaired at one of the divisions of the Air and Liquid Processing segment and a reduction in the effective state tax rate for which certain net deferred income tax assets will be realized.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and subsidiaries (the Corporation) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 16, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
March 16, 2011

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

The Corporation did not experience any changes in, or disagreements with its accountants on, accounting and financial disclosure during the period covered.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report was carried out under the supervision, and with the participation, of management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer. Disclosure controls and procedures are defined under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules as controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within required time periods. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation, the Corporation's management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. The Corporation's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Effective internal control over financial reporting can only provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control process are met. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. Further, the design of internal control over financial reporting includes the consideration of the benefits of each control relative to the cost of the control.

Management assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on those criteria and management's assessment, management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, concluded that the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

The Corporation's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has issued an attestation report on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There were no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and subsidiaries (the Corporation) as of December 31, 2010, based on *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 of the Corporation and our report dated March 16, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
March 16, 2011

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

No information was required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2010 which was not reported.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

IDENTIFICATION OF DIRECTORS

Name, Age, Tenure as a Director, Position with the Corporation⁽¹⁾, Principal Occupation, Business Experience Past Five Years, Other Directorships in Public Companies and the qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that the individual should serve as a Director of the Corporation.

Robert J. Appel (age 79, Director since 2004; current term expires in 2012). Mr. Appel has been President of Appel Associates since 2003 and before that was a partner of Neuberger Berman (an investment advisory firm) for twenty years. He also served as a director of Neuberger Berman during the past five years. The Board concluded that Mr. Appel should serve as a director because of his many years of financial and investment experience, including his background as a certified public accountant.

Leonard M. Carroll (age 68, Director since 1996; current term expires in 2013). Mr. Carroll has been Managing Director of Seneca Capital Management, Inc., a private investment company, for the past fifteen years. The Board concluded that Mr. Carroll should serve as a director because of his broad financial background and investment knowledge. He is a retired Certified Public Accountant and has held various positions in the banking industry including President, Director and Chairman for over 37 years.

Paul A. Gould (age 65, Director since 2002; current term expires in 2012). Mr. Gould has been with Allen & Co., Inc., an investment banking company, for more than thirty-five years and has been managing director of that company for more than five years. During the last five years he has served as a director of Discovery Communications, Discovery Holding Company, UnitedGlobalCom, Inc., Liberty Global, Inc., and DirecTV. He resigned from the Boards of Liberty Media Corporation and Discovery Holding Company in 2009. Mr. Gould's long term financial and investment background led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director.

William K. Lieberman (age 63, Director since 2004; current term expires in 2011). Mr. Lieberman has been President of The Lieberman Companies for more than five years. In addition to more than forty years of management experience in the insurance, benefit and risk management areas, Mr. Lieberman has served as a director or trustee of many organizations including charitable, hospital and universities. These qualifications led the Board to conclude the he should serve as a director.^(N)

Laurence E. Paul (age 46, Director since 1998; current term expires in 2013). Mr. Paul has been a managing principal of Laurel Crown Partners, a private investment company, for more than five years and prior to that was an investment banker for ten years. He is also a director of Valeant Corporation and was a director of Morton's Restaurant Group, Inc. Mr. Paul's experience as a senior investment banker and private equity investor for almost twenty years led the Board to conclude that he possessed skills in financial management and risk assessment that would be beneficial to the Corporation.

Robert A. Paul (age 73, Director since 1970; current term expires in 2012). Mr. Paul was elected Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation in 2004. Prior to that, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation for more than five years. He is also President and a director of The Louis Berkman Investment Company. As a shareholder, officer and director of the Corporation for more than 40 years, the Board believes he possesses the experience and knowledge to serve as a Director. In addition, the Board considered his many years of service to the community serving as Trustee and Chairman of the Investment Committees of several major hospitals and universities, as well as his current position as a director of the Pittsburgh Branch of the Cleveland Federal Reserve Bank.

Stephen E. Paul (age 43, Director since 2002; current term expires in 2011). Mr. Paul has been a managing principal of Laurel Crown Partners, a private investment company, for more than five years. He is also a director of Morton's Restaurant Group, Inc. Mr. Paul's background in investment banking and private equity investment led the board to conclude that he should serve as a director.^(N)

Carl H. Pforzheimer, III (age 74, Director since 1982; current term expires in 2011). Mr. Pforzheimer has been Managing Partner or Manager of Carl H. Pforzheimer & Co. LLC or its predecessors or related entities for more than forty-five years. In addition to the attendant investment advisory analytical skills gained from such a long term position, his role as chairman of the Risk Management Committee of U. S. Trust Co. for several years led the Board to conclude Mr. Pforzheimer should serve as a director.^(N)

Ernest G. Siddons (age 77, Director since 1981; current term expires in 2013). Mr. Siddons was President and Chief Operating Officer for more than five years prior to his retirement as President in April 2009. With more than thirty years of experience with the Corporation in operations and financial management, the Board concluded that Mr. Siddons should serve as a director. Positions held earlier with the Corporation, including those of Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and President of Union Electric Steel, were also considered.

(N) Nominee for election at the May 5, 2011 Annual Shareholders Meeting.

(1) Officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

IDENTIFICATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The identification of the Executive Officers of the Corporation can be found in Part 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10K.

FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS

Robert A. Paul is the father of Laurence E. Paul and Stephen E. Paul. There are no other family relationships among the Directors and Executive Officers.

COMMITTEES

The various committees of the Board of Directors are currently comprised as follows:

Audit Committee: Carl H. Pforzheimer, III (Chairman), Robert J. Appel, Leonard M. Carroll, Paul A. Gould and William K. Lieberman;

Compensation Committee: Robert J. Appel (Chairman), Paul A. Gould, William K. Lieberman and Carl H. Pforzheimer, III;

Executive Committee: Robert A. Paul (Chairman), Leonard M. Carroll, William K. Lieberman, Carl H. Pforzheimer, III and Ernest G. Siddons;

Investment Committee: Robert A. Paul (Chairman), Robert J. Appel, Leonard M. Carroll, Paul A. Gould, Ernest G. Siddons, Laurence E. Paul and Stephen E. Paul;

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee: Paul A. Gould (Chairman), William K. Lieberman and Carl H. Pforzheimer, III.

The Nominating and Governance Committee Charter, the Compensation Committee Charter, the Audit Committee Charter and the Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on the Corporation's website at www.ampcopittsburgh.com. The Corporation will provide a copy of these documents to any shareholder who makes a request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation, 600 Grant Street, Suite 4600, Pittsburgh, PA 15219.

AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Board of Directors has determined that Carl H. Pforzheimer, III, Chairman of the Audit Committee, is a financial expert and independent as defined under applicable SEC rules.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Corporation's directors, executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of the Corporation's common stock, to file reports of holdings and transactions in the Corporation's common stock with the SEC and to furnish the Corporation with copies of all Section 16(a) reports that they file. Based on those records and other information furnished, during 2010, executive officers, directors and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of the Corporation's common stock complied with all filing requirements.

CODE OF ETHICS

The Corporation has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all of its officers, directors and employees, as well as an additional Code of Ethics that applies to the Corporation's chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer and controller. Copies of both Codes are available on the Corporation's website at www.ampcopittsburgh.com. In addition, the Corporation will provide without charge, upon request of any shareholder, a copy of the Codes as requested by written request to the Corporate Secretary, Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation, 600 Grant Street, Suite 4600, Pittsburgh, PA 15219. The Corporation will make any required disclosures regarding amendments to, or waivers of, provisions of its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and its separate Code of Ethics for its chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer and controller by posting such information on its website or by filing a Form 8-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required for this item is hereby incorporated by reference to the Corporation's Proxy Statement dated March 22, 2011.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND
MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDERS MATTERS**

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table summarizes information, as of the December 31, 2010, with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities of the Corporation are authorized for issuance:

| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
| Plan Category | | | |
| Equity compensation plans | | | |
| approved by security holders | 847,341 | \$27.46 | 30,000 |
| Equity compensation plans not | | | |
| approved by security holders | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total | 847,341 | \$27.46 | 30,000 |

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth information, to the extent known by the Corporation, concerning individuals (other than directors or officers of the Corporation) or entities holding more than five percent of the outstanding shares of the Corporation's Common Stock. The percent of class in the table below is calculated based upon 10,305,156 shares outstanding as of March 14, 2011.

| Name of beneficial owner | Amount and nature of beneficial ownership | Percent of class |
|---|--|------------------|
| Gabelli Funds, Inc. (and affiliates) | 1,803,218 ⁽¹⁾ | 17.50 |
| Corporate Center Rye, NY 10580 The Louis Berkman Investment Company | | |
| P.O. Box 576 | 1,438,641 ⁽²⁾ | 13.96 |
| Steubenville, OH 43952 Royce & Associates, LLC | 1,143,120 ⁽³⁾ | 11.09 |
| 745 Fifth Avenue | | |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------|
| New York, NY 10151 | | |
| Keeley Asset Management Corp. | | |
| 401 South LaSalle Street | 949,700 ⁽⁴⁾ | 9.22 |
| Chicago, IL 60605 | | |
| LSV Asset Management | | |
| 155 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 4600 | 512,995 ⁽⁵⁾ | 4.98 |
| Chicago, IL 60606 | | |

- (1) *Reported in an amendment to Schedule 13D filed with the SEC in February 2011.*
- (2) *Louis Berkman, Director Emeritus, is an officer and director of The Louis Berkman Investment Company and owns directly .61% of its common stock. Robert A. Paul, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, is an officer and director of The Louis Berkman Investment Company, and disclaims beneficial ownership of the 99.39% of its common stock owned by his wife.*
- (3) *Reported as of December 31, 2010 on an amended Schedule 13G filed with the SEC in which it discloses it had sole voting and dispositive power over these shares.*
- (4) *Reported as of December 31, 2010 on an amended Schedule 13G filed with the SEC in which it discloses it had sole voting and dispositive power over these shares.*
- (5) *Reported as of December 31, 2010 on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC in which it discloses it had sole voting and dispositive power over these shares.*

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth, as of March 14, 2011, information concerning the beneficial ownership of the Corporation's Common Stock by the Directors and Named Executive Officers and all Directors and Executive Officers of the Corporation as a group:

| Name of beneficial owner | Amount and nature of beneficial ownership | Percent of class |
|--|--|------------------|
| Robert A. Paul | 1,589,896 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 15.29 |
| Robert F. Schultz | 53,533 ⁽³⁾ | * |
| Ernest G. Siddons | 53,501 ⁽⁴⁾ | * |
| Rose Hoover | 46,667 ⁽⁵⁾ | * |
| Marliss D. Johnson | 40,000 ⁽⁵⁾ | * |
| Carl H. Pforzheimer, III | 2,733 ⁽⁶⁾ | * |
| Leonard M. Carroll | 1,500 ⁽⁷⁾ | * |
| Robert J. Appel | 1,000 ⁽⁷⁾ | * |
| Paul A. Gould | 1,000 ⁽⁷⁾ | * |
| Laurence E. Paul | 1,000 ⁽⁷⁾ | * |
| Stephen E. Paul | 1,000 ⁽⁷⁾ | * |
| William K. Lieberman | 1,000 ⁽⁸⁾ | * |
| Directors and Executive Officers as a group (12 persons) | 1,792,830 ⁽⁹⁾ | 17.06 |

* Less than .1%

(1) Includes 42,889 shares owned directly, 93,333 shares he has the right to acquire within sixty days pursuant to stock options and the following shares in which he disclaims beneficial ownership: 1,438,641 shares owned by The Louis Berkman Investment Company, 13,767 shares owned by his wife and 1,266 shares held by The Louis and Sandra Berkman Foundation, of which he is a trustee.

(2) The Louis Berkman Investment Company owns beneficially and of record 1,438,641 shares of the Corporation's Common Stock. Robert A. Paul, an officer and director of The Louis Berkman Investment Company, disclaims beneficial ownership of the 99.39% of its common stock owned by his wife.

(3) Includes 200 shares held jointly with his wife and 33,332 shares he has the right to acquire within sixty days pursuant to stock options.

(4) Includes 6,833 shares held jointly with his wife and 35,000 shares he has the right to acquire within sixty days pursuant to stock options.

(5) Represents shares that she has the right to acquire within sixty days pursuant to stock options.

(6) Includes 1,000 shares owned directly, 800 shares held by a trust of which he is a trustee and principal beneficiary, and the following shares in which he disclaims beneficial ownership: 133 shares held by his daughter and 800 shares held by a trust of which he is a trustee.

(7) Represents shares owned directly.

(8) Represents shares held jointly with his wife.

(9) Excludes double counting of shares deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one director.

Unless otherwise indicated the individuals named have sole investment and voting power.

CHANGES IN CONTROL

The Corporation knows of no arrangements that may at a subsequent date result in a change in control of the Corporation.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The Corporation's policies and procedures for reviewing, approving and ratifying transactions with related persons are set forth in the Corporation's Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on the Corporation's website at www.ampcopittsburgh.com. Under these policies and procedures, the Corporation's management is responsible for determining whether a particular transaction should be referred to the Nominating and Governance Committee for consideration. The Nominating and Governance Committee then determines whether to approve, ratify, revise the terms of or reject the transaction or to refer the transaction to the full Board or another appropriate committee of the Board for approval or ratification. The policy and procedures apply to transactions involving an amount in excess of \$120,000 in which a related person has a direct or indirect material interest. The policy and procedures generally do not apply to employment matters (except employment of an executive officer who is an immediate family member of another executive officer), director compensation, commercial transactions in the ordinary course of business under ordinary business terms, charitable contributions, transactions such as payment of dividends where all shareholders receive the same proportional benefits and transactions involving competitive bids.

In 2010, the Corporation bought industrial supplies from a subsidiary of The Louis Berkman Investment Company in transactions in the ordinary course of business amounting to approximately \$1,752,026. Additionally, The Louis Berkman Investment Company paid the Corporation \$238,704 for certain administrative services. Robert A. Paul was an officer and director, of that company. These transactions and services were at prices generally available from outside sources. Transactions between the parties will also take place in 2011.

The purchase of industrial supplies from a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Louis Berkman Investment Company follows a competitive bid process which includes several non-related vendors after which annual contract awards are made to the lowest bidder by the purchasing executive at each of the Corporation's subsidiary companies. The administration services are provided under an agreement to provide such services for fees which are subject to annual review including an increase to cover inflation in the costs of the Corporation.

Following his retirement as President in April 2009, the Corporation entered into a Consulting Agreement with Ernest G. Siddons for a term of three years from the date of his retirement. The agreement primarily provides for compensation of \$225,000 per year, the same medical and life insurance benefits provided to him prior to his retirement and reimbursement of certain expenses, including one club membership.

The Board of Directors has adopted categorical standards to assist it in evaluating the independence of its Directors. The standards are attached to the Corporate Governance Guidelines which are available on the Corporation's website at www.ampcopittsburgh.com. After performing this evaluation in accordance with those guidelines, the Board has determined that Robert J. Appel, Leonard M. Carroll, Paul A. Gould, William K. Lieberman and Carl H. Pforzheimer, III do not have material relationships with the Corporation (other than as members of the Board of Directors) and are independent within the meaning of the Corporation's independence standards and those of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE).

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The following table summarizes the aggregate fees to the Corporation by Deloitte & Touche LLP:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Audit fees ^(a) | \$ 680,910 | \$ 697,329 |
| Audit-related fees ^(b) | 26,449 | 22,388 |
| Tax fees ^(c) | 15,000 | |
| All other fees | | |
| Total^(d) | \$ 722,359 | \$ 719,717 |

(a) Fees for audit services related primarily to the audit of the Corporation's annual consolidated financial statements and its internal control over financial reporting.

(b) Fees for audit-related services related primarily to the audits of the Corporation's employee benefit plans.

(c) Fees for tax services provided in connection with tax planning and advice.

(d) The Audit Committee approved all fees in the years reported.

In considering the nature of the services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Audit Committee determined that such services are compatible with the provision of independent audit services. The Audit Committee discussed these services with Deloitte & Touche LLP and the Corporation's management to determine that they are permitted under the rules and regulations concerning auditor independence promulgated by the SEC to implement the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. All services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP and reflected in the table above were approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with the policy described below.

The Audit Committee has adopted a Policy for Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services (the Policy) provided by the Corporation's independent auditor. According to the Policy, the Corporation's independent auditor may not provide the following prohibited services to the Corporation:

- maintain or prepare the Corporation's accounting records or prepare the Corporation's financial statements that are either filed with the SEC or form the basis of financial statements filed with the SEC;
- provide appraisal or valuation services when it is reasonably likely that the results of any valuation or appraisal would be material to the Corporation's financial statements, or where the independent auditor would audit the results;
- provide certain management or human resource functions;
- serve as a broker-dealer, promoter or underwriter of the Corporation's securities;
- provide any service in which the person providing the service must be admitted to practice before the courts of a U.S. jurisdiction;
- provide any internal audit services relating to accounting controls, financial systems, or financial statements; or
- design or implement a hardware or software system that aggregates source data underlying the financial statements or generates information that is significant to the Corporation's financial statements, taken as a whole.

In addition, in connection with its adoption of the Policy, the Audit Committee pre-approved certain audit-related and other non-prohibited services. Any services not prohibited or pre-approved by the Policy must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with the Policy. The Policy will be reviewed and approved annually by the Board of Directors.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT:

1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

2. Financial Statement Schedules

The following additional financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Schedules not included with this additional financial data have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

| | Schedule Number | Page Number |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| Index to Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation Financial Data | | 67 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | 68 |
| Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | II | 69 |

3. Exhibits*Exhibit No.***(3) Articles of Incorporation and By-laws**

a. Articles of Incorporation

Incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1983; the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1984; the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1985; the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1987; and the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998.

b. By-laws

Incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K dated December 21, 2010.

(10) Material Contracts

a.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

1988 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated December 17, 2008 Incorporated by reference to the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- b. Severance Agreements between Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and certain officers and employees of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation as amended and restated December 31, 2008 Incorporated by reference to the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- c. Amendment to Severance Agreement between Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and Robert A. Paul dated February 16, 2011
- d. 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan Incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement dated March 6, 2008.

(21) Significant Subsidiaries

(23.1) Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP

(23.2) Consent of Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Associates, Inc.

(31.1) Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(31.2) Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(32.1) Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(32.2) Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

March 16, 2011

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION

By: /s/ Robert A. Paul
 Name: **Robert A. Paul**
 Title: Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in their capacities and on the dates indicated.

| SIGNATURE | TITLE | DATE |
|--------------------------|---|----------------|
| /s/ Robert A. Paul | Director, Chairman and Chief | March 16, 2011 |
| Robert A. Paul | Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | |
| /s/ Marliss D. Johnson | Vice President, Controller and | March 16, 2011 |
| Marliss D. Johnson | Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | |
| /s/ Robert J. Appel | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| Robert J. Appel | | |
| /s/ Leonard M. Carroll | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| Leonard M. Carroll | | |
| /s/ Paul A. Gould | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| Paul A. Gould | | |
| /s/ William K. Lieberman | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| William K. Lieberman | | |
| /s/ Laurence E. Paul | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| Laurence E. Paul | | |
| /s/ Stephen E. Paul | Director | March 16, 2011 |
| Stephen E. Paul | | |

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-K

/s/ Carl H. Pforzheimer, III

Director

March 16, 2011

Carl H. Pforzheimer, III

/s/ Ernest G. Siddons

Director

March 16, 2011

Ernest G. Siddons

INDEX TO AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION FINANCIAL DATA

| | Schedule Number | Page Number |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|
| Index to Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation Financial Data | | 67 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | 68 |
| Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | II | 69 |

67

ampco pittsburgh | 2010 annual report

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation:

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and subsidiaries (the Corporation) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, and the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, and have issued our reports thereon dated March 16, 2011; such reports are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedule of the Corporation listed in Item 15. The consolidated financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
March 16, 2011

SCHEDULE II**Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation****Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

(in thousands)

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | Additions | | Deductions | Other ⁽⁴⁾ | Balance at End of Period |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Charged to Costs and Expenses | Charged to Other Accounts ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 428 | \$ 61 | \$ | \$ (313) | \$ | \$ 176 |
| Valuation allowance against gross deferred income tax assets | \$ 2,465 | \$ | \$ 54 | \$ (655) ⁽³⁾ | \$ (11) | \$ 1,853 |
| Year ended December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 211 | \$ 211 | \$ | \$ 6 ⁽²⁾ | \$ | \$ 428 |
| Valuation allowance against gross deferred income tax assets | \$ 2,619 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (154) | \$ 2,465 |
| Year ended December 31, 2008 | | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 285 | \$ 99 | \$ | \$ (173) ⁽²⁾ | \$ | \$ 211 |
| Valuation allowance against gross deferred income tax assets | \$ 4,376 | \$ | \$ 615 | \$ (501) ⁽³⁾ | \$ (1,871) | \$ 2,619 |

(1) Represents valuation allowances established for deferred income tax assets since it is more likely than not that the assets will not be realized.

(2) Represents current year write-off of accounts receivable balances net of recovery of accounts receivable balances previously written-off.

(3) Reduction in valuation allowances reflects primarily changes in the amount of deferred income tax assets expected to be realized, resulting in credit to the income tax provision (benefit) in the statements of operations.

(4) Represents impact from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and expiration of deferred tax assets associated with foreign tax credits in 2009 and capital loss carryforwards in 2008.