

OSI SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-K
August 28, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-23125

OSI SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

California
(State or Other Jurisdiction)

of Incorporation or Organization)

12525 Chadron Avenue, Hawthorne, California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (310) 978-0516

33-0238801
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

90250
(Zip Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, no par value

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

(Title of Class)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes: No:

The aggregate market value of the registrant's voting and non-voting Common Stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the Common Stock was last sold on December 31, 2008, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$185,022,676.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock as of August 26, 2009 was 17,483,345.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (to be filed subsequently) are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Item	Description	Page
<u>PART I</u>		
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	1
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	18
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	28
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	28
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
Item 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	30
<u>PART II</u>		
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	31
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	34
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	50
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	51
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	51
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	51
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	54
<u>PART III</u>		
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	54
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	54
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	54
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	54
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	54
<u>PART IV</u>		
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	55
	<u>Signatures</u>	II-1

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as project, believe, anticipate, plan, expect, intend, may, would, and similar words and expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. We believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, but those expectations may not prove to be correct. Important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those expectations are disclosed in this report, including, without limitation, those described in Part I, Item 1, Business, Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors and Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as well as elsewhere in this report and other documents previously filed or hereafter filed by us from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such factors, of course, do not include all factors that might affect our business and financial condition. Although we believe that the assumptions upon which our forward-looking statements are based are reasonable, such assumptions could prove to be inaccurate and actual results could differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements contained in this report are qualified in their entirety by this statement. We undertake no obligation other than as may be required under securities laws to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

OSI Systems, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, is a vertically integrated designer and manufacturer of specialized electronic systems and components for critical applications. We sell our products in diversified markets, including homeland security, healthcare, defense and aerospace. Our company was incorporated in 1987 in California. Our principal office is located at 12525 Chadron Avenue, Hawthorne, California 90250.

We have three operating divisions: (a) Security, providing security and inspection systems; (b) Healthcare, providing patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems; and (c) Optoelectronics and Manufacturing, providing specialized electronic components for the Security and Healthcare divisions, as well as for applications in the defense and aerospace markets, among others.

Through our Security division, we design, manufacture and market security and inspection systems worldwide to end users under the Rapiscan Systems trade name. Rapiscan Systems products are used to inspect baggage, cargo, vehicles and other objects for weapons, explosives, drugs and other contraband, and to screen people. These products are also used for the safe, accurate and efficient verification of cargo manifests for the purpose of assessing duties and monitoring the export and import of controlled materials. Rapiscan Systems products fall into four categories: baggage and parcel inspection; cargo and vehicle inspection; hold (checked) baggage screening; and people screening.

Through our Healthcare division, we design, manufacture and market patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia delivery and ventilation systems worldwide to end users under the Spacelabs trade name. These products are used by care providers in critical care, emergency and perioperative areas within hospitals as well as physicians offices, medical clinics and ambulatory surgery centers.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Through our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division, we design, manufacture and market optoelectronic devices and provide electronics manufacturing services worldwide for use in a broad range of applications, including aerospace and defense electronics, security and inspection systems, medical imaging and

Table of Contents

diagnostics, computed tomography (CT), telecommunications, office automation, computer peripherals and industrial automation. We sell our optoelectronic devices under the OSI Optoelectronics trade name and perform our electronics manufacturing services under the OSI Electronics trade name. We provide our optoelectronic devices and electronics manufacturing services to original equipment manufacturers, as well as to our own Security and Healthcare divisions. Our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division also designs toll and traffic management systems under the OSI LaserScan trade name and systems for measuring bone density under the Osteometer trade name.

In fiscal 2009, revenues from the Security division amounted to \$240.9 million, or approximately 41% of our revenues; revenues from the Healthcare division amounted to \$214.3 million, or approximately 36% of our revenues; and third-party revenues from the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division amounted to \$135.2 million, or approximately 23% of revenues. Additional information concerning reporting segments is available in Note 15 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Industry Overview

We sell our security and inspection systems and patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems primarily to end-users, while we design and manufacture our optoelectronic devices and value-added subsystems primarily for original equipment manufacturers.

Security. A variety of technologies are currently used worldwide in security and inspection applications, including computed tomography, transmission and backscatter x-ray, metal detection, trace detection, x-ray, gamma-ray, passive millimeter wave, and neutron analysis. We believe that the market for security and inspection products will continue to be affected by the threat of terrorist incidents and by new government mandates and appropriations for security and inspection products in the United States and internationally.

The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon using hijacked airliners led to nationwide shifts in transportation and facilities security policies. Shortly following these attacks, Congress passed the Aviation and Transportation Security Act and integrated many U.S. security-related agencies, including the Federal Aviation Administration, into the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. Under its directive from Congress, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security has since undertaken numerous initiatives to prevent terrorists from entering the country, hijacking airliners, and obtaining and trafficking in weapons of mass destruction and their components, to secure sensitive U.S. technologies and to identify and screen high-risk cargo before it is loaded onto airlines and ships, among others. These initiatives, known, for example, as the Strategic Border Initiative, the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Container Security Initiative, have resulted in an increased demand for security and inspection products.

Recently, as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Congress increased funding for a variety of U.S. Department of Homeland Security programs, including its Non-Intrusive Inspection (NII) Systems Program, which supports the deployment of security inspection systems used in the detection and prevention of contraband items such as weapons of mass effect, radioactive materials, narcotics, and currency, from entering or circulating within the United States.

Certain of the government sponsored initiatives in the United States, such as the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Container Security Initiative and the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism, have also stimulated security programs in other areas of the world because the U.S. initiatives call on other nations to bolster their port security strategies, including acquiring or improving their security and inspection equipment. The international market for non-intrusive inspection equipment, therefore, continues to expand as countries that ship goods directly to the United States participate in such programs and as they choose to procure equipment in order to secure their own borders, transportation networks, facilities and other venues.

Table of Contents

Congress also recently passed legislation that mandates the inspection of international maritime cargo destined for the United States, domestic civil aviation cargo, and for radiological and nuclear threats in cargo entering the United States. Certain of our cargo and vehicle inspection systems are already being used internationally and by the U.S. government to comply with these mandates. In addition, following recommendations outlined in the 9/11 Commission Report, issued by the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks Upon the United States, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security will require the screening of all cargo carried on passenger airlines by August 2010. Certain of our hold (checked) baggage screening systems are already being used in this capacity.

Furthermore, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Science and Technology Directorate has recently supported the development of new security inspection technologies and products. Our Security division participates in a number of such research and development efforts, including projects to develop new technologies for radiation and nuclear materials detection, aviation screening and suicide bomber detection. The Science and Technology Directorate has also initiated programs for the development of technologies capable of protecting highways, railways and waterways from terrorist attack.

In addition, the U.S. Department of Defense has begun to invest more heavily in technologies and services that screen would-be attackers before they are able to harm U.S. and allied forces.

Similar initiatives by international organizations such as the European Union have resulted in a growing worldwide demand for airline, cargo, port and border inspection technologies. For example, the European Union has issued uniform performance standards for systems that screen baggage and people at aviation checkpoints and air cargo, as well as new directives related specifically to maritime security, among others. Each of the performance standards is subject to ongoing revision as technological improvements occur in the marketplace. We anticipate that the promulgation of these new standards will continue to establish performance baselines against which our Security division will be able to direct certain of its research and development spending and market its products to customers located in the European Union.

As a result of these and other changes, sales of our security and inspection products have grown as compared to pre-September 11, 2001 levels. Major projects recently installed or currently underway include system installations at airports, ports and border crossings, government and military facilities and other locations in the United States and throughout the world. These projects contain various inspection product offerings. We anticipate that there may be growing demand from governments and commercial enterprises for increasingly sophisticated screening solutions in the future.

Healthcare. Healthcare has been, and we believe will continue to be, a growing sector throughout much of the world. Many developing countries in Asia and Latin America are expected to continue to build Healthcare infrastructure to serve expanding middle class populations. In developed countries, including the United States and Europe, an aging population is expected to fuel growth for many years.

Many factors such as a nursing shortage in the United States and Europe, stricter government requirements affecting staffing and accountability as well as shrinking reimbursements from health insurance organizations are forcing healthcare providers to do more with less. Our Healthcare division designs, manufactures and markets products that respond to these economic forces by helping hospitals reduce costs while maintaining or improving the quality of care their physicians and nurses are able to deliver.

We are a global manufacturer and distributor of patient monitoring, cardiac monitoring and clinical networking solutions for use primarily in hospitals. We design, manufacture and market patient monitoring solutions for critical, emergency and perioperative care areas of the hospital, wired and wireless networks, and ambulatory blood pressure monitors, all aimed at providing caregivers with timely patient information. Our cardiac monitoring systems include Holter recorders, ECG, stress systems and related software and services. By making critical patient

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

information more readily accessible both inside and outside the hospital, delays in decision-making can be reduced, length of stay can be shortened and treatment errors can be minimized.

Table of Contents

We are also a global manufacturer and distributor of anesthesia delivery systems, ventilators and vaporizers. We sell these products primarily to hospitals for use in operating rooms and anesthesia induction areas as well as in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) facilities. As pharmaceutical companies develop new anesthesia agents for the worldwide market, or as generic alternatives to patented anesthesia formulas become available, we work closely with them to support their new product introductions. As a result, we also sell systems and components, such as anesthesia vaporizers and ventilators, directly to pharmaceutical companies and other manufacturers of anesthesia delivery systems.

In October 2005, Spacelabs Healthcare, Inc., a subsidiary comprising the business operations of our Healthcare division, completed an initial public offering of approximately 20% of its total issued and outstanding common stock. The Spacelabs Healthcare shares traded under the ticker symbol SLAB on the AIM (formerly known as the Alternative Investment Market), a stock market administered by the London Stock Exchange. In the second quarter of fiscal 2007, we began repurchasing publicly-traded shares of Spacelabs Healthcare, increasing our ownership to 84% as of June 30, 2007. By December 31, 2007, we increased our ownership in Spacelabs Healthcare to 100% by repurchasing all remaining shares of Spacelabs Healthcare. Effective January 24, 2008, we cancelled Spacelabs Healthcare's AIM listing.

Optoelectronics and Manufacturing. Our optoelectronic devices are used in a wide variety of applications such as satellites, laser guidance systems, range finders, computer peripherals and other applications that require the conversion of optical signals into electronic signals. Optoelectronic devices and value-added subsystems are used in a wide variety of measurement control, monitoring and industrial applications and are key components in telecommunications technologies. Historically, we have offered electronics manufacturing services to purchasers of our optoelectronic devices, including to our Security and Healthcare divisions. More recently, however, we have expanded such services by providing complete turn-key and box-build manufacturing services, in which we design, acquire materials, produce, test and supply electronic systems and components to purchasers of optoelectronic devices and to others.

We believe that recent advances in technology and reductions in the cost of key components of optoelectronic systems, including computer processing power and memory, have broadened the market by enabling the use of optoelectronic devices in a greater number of applications. In addition, we see a trend among original equipment manufacturers to increasingly outsource the design and manufacture of optoelectronic devices as well as value-added subsystems to fully-integrated, independent manufacturers, like us, who may have greater specialization, broader expertise and the flexibility to respond in shorter time periods than most original equipment manufacturers can accomplish in-house. We believe that our level of vertical integration, substantial engineering resources, expertise in the use and application of optoelectronic technology and low-cost international manufacturing operations enable us to compete effectively in the market for optoelectronic devices and for electronics manufacturing services.

We have also penetrated several related markets that depend on our optoelectronic technologies and electronics manufacturing capabilities. For example, we sell a series of high-speed photodetectors for use in fiber optic systems such as Gigabit Ethernet, Fiber Channel and other telecommunication and data communication applications. Through system engineering and product development, we also develop, manufacture and sell laser-based remote sensing devices that are used to detect and classify vehicles in toll and traffic management systems and dual energy absorptiometry peripheral bone densitometers that are used to measure bone density in individuals that may be at risk for developing osteoporosis.

Growth Strategy

We believe that one of our primary competitive strengths is our expertise in the cost-effective design and manufacture of specialized electronic systems and components for critical applications. As a result, we have leveraged, and intend to continue to leverage, such expertise and capacity to gain price, performance and agility advantages over our competitors in the security, healthcare and optoelectronics fields, and to translate such

Table of Contents

advantages into profitable growth in those fields. At the same time, we continually seek to identify new markets in which our core expertise and capacity will provide us with competitive advantages. Key elements of this strategy include:

Capitalizing on Global Reach. We operate from locations in North America, Asia and Europe. We view our international operations as providing an important strategic advantage over competitors. First, international manufacturing facilities allow us to take advantage of competitive labor rates and favorable tax regulations in order to be a low cost producer. Second, our international offices strengthen our sales and marketing efforts and our ability to service and repair our systems by providing direct access to growing markets and to our existing international customer base. Third, multiple manufacturing locations allow us to reduce delivery times to our global customer base. In the future, we intend to develop new sources of manufacturing and sales capabilities to maintain and enhance the benefits of our international presence.

Capitalizing on Vertical Integration. Our vertical integration provides several advantages in each of our divisions. These advantages include reduced manufacturing and delivery times, lower costs due to our access to competitive international labor markets, direct sourcing of raw materials and quality control. We also believe that we offer significant added value to our customers by providing a full range of vertically-integrated services including component design and customization, subsystem concept design and application engineering, product prototyping and development, efficient pre-production and short-run and high volume manufacturing. We believe that our vertical integration differentiates us from many of our competitors and provides value to our customers who can rely on us to be an integrated supplier. We intend to continue to leverage our vertically integrated services to create greater value for our customers in the design and manufacture of our products.

Capitalizing on the Growing Market for Security and Inspection Systems. Heightened attentiveness to terrorist and other security threats may continue to drive growth in the market for security and inspection systems, not only in transportation security, but also at ports and border crossings, government installations, military facilities and public event venues. The trend toward increased international transportation of goods may result in growth in the market for cargo inspection systems that are capable of screening shipping containers for contraband and assisting customs officials in the verification of shipping manifests. Package and cargo screening by freight forwarders also represents a potential growing sector, as new regulations in the U.S. and Europe require such screening in certain circumstances. In addition, the U.S. Congress recently concluded, as evidence by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, that public sector investments in security and inspection systems can help to stimulate growth in the economy. We intend to continue to expand our sales and marketing efforts both domestically and internationally, and to capitalize on opportunities to replace, service and upgrade existing security installations. We also intend to continue to develop new security and inspection technologies, such as our real time tomography products, and to enhance our current product offerings through internal research and development and selective acquisitions in order to better address new applications and security industry demands.

Improving and Complementing Existing Medical Technologies. We develop and market patient monitoring systems, diagnostic cardiology products, anesthesia delivery systems, ventilators and vaporizers that utilize patient monitoring technologies. We are able to market and sell many of our product offerings through shared sales channels and distribution networks. Our efforts to develop new products and improve our existing medical technologies are focused on making decision-critical patient information available to care providers at the bedside, throughout a hospital, or even away from the hospital, thereby reducing time demands on physicians and nurses, enabling more rapid treatment decisions and improving patient care. Our efforts to improve existing diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia delivery technologies will also continue to concentrate on the development of devices that make it possible for institutions from large hospitals to small clinics and physicians' offices to obtain accurate, precise, reliable and cost-effective results.

Selectively Entering New Markets. We intend to continue to selectively enter new markets that complement our existing capabilities in the design, development and manufacture of specialized electronic

Table of Contents

systems and components for critical applications such as security and inspection and patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems. We believe that by manufacturing end products that rely on our existing technological capabilities, we will leverage our integrated design and manufacturing infrastructure to capture greater margins and to build a larger presence in new end markets that present attractive competitive dynamics. We intend to achieve this strategy through internal growth and through selective acquisitions.

Acquiring New Technologies and Companies. Our success depends in part on our ability to continually enhance and broaden our product offerings in response to changing technologies, customer demands and competitive pressures. We have developed expertise in our various lines of business and other areas through internal research and development efforts as well as through selective acquisitions. As a vertically integrated designer and manufacturer of specialized electronic systems and components for critical applications, we have, since our inception as a company, looked for acquisition opportunities to broaden our technological expertise and capabilities, lower our manufacturing costs or facilitate our entry into new markets.

Products and Technology

We design, develop, manufacture and sell products ranging from security and inspection systems to patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems to discrete optoelectronic devices and value-added subsystems.

Security and Inspection Systems. We design, manufacture and market security and inspection systems worldwide to end users under the Rapiscan Systems trade name. Rapiscan Systems products are used to inspect baggage, cargo, people, vehicles and other objects for weapons, explosives, drugs and other contraband. These systems are also used for the safe, accurate and efficient verification of cargo manifests for the purpose of assessing duties and monitoring the export and import of controlled materials. Rapiscan Systems products fall into four categories: baggage and parcel inspection, cargo and vehicle inspection, hold (checked) baggage screening and people screening.

As a result of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, and subsequent attacks in other locations worldwide, security and inspection products have increasingly been used at a wide range of facilities other than airports, such as border crossings, railway stations, seaports, cruise line terminals, freight forwarding operations, government and military installations and nuclear facilities. As a result of the additional markets, we have successfully diversified our sales channels for security and inspection products.

Many of our security and inspection systems include dual- or multi-energy x-ray technology with computer software enhanced imaging technology to facilitate the detection of materials such as explosives, weapons, narcotics, currency or other contraband. While all x-ray systems produce a two-dimensional image of the contents of the inspected object, the dual-energy x-ray systems also measure the x-ray absorption of the inspected object's contents at two x-ray energies to determine the atomic number, mass and other characteristics of the object's contents. The various organic and inorganic substances in the inspected object appear to operators of the inspection systems in various colors and this visual information can be used to identify and differentiate the inspected materials. Our baggage and parcel inspection, cargo and vehicle inspection and hold baggage screening inspection systems range in size from compact tabletop systems to large systems comprising entire buildings in which trucks, shipping containers or pallets are inspected. Many of our inspection systems are also designed to be upgradeable to respond to new customer requirements as they emerge or change.

Our cargo and vehicle inspection applications, in which cars, trucks, shipping containers, pallets and other large objects can be inspected, are designed in various configurations, including fixed-site, gantry, relocatable, portal and mobile systems. These products are primarily used to verify the contents of cars, trucks or cargo containers and to detect the presence of contraband, including narcotics, weapons, explosives, and other smuggled items. They offer significant improvements over past methods of cargo screening, such as manual searches, as our cargo systems

are faster, more thorough and do not subject the cargo to pilferage. They also offer

Table of Contents

significant advantages over systems that utilize certain other currently-used technologies, such as backscatter x-ray, because our systems are often capable of penetrating denser materials and of producing a superior image. Entire shipping containers or trucks containing densely packed goods can be screened rapidly.

Many of our cargo and vehicle inspection systems utilize ionizing radiation, such as high-energy x-ray or gamma-ray beams, in conjunction with digital imaging equipment, to non-intrusively inspect objects and present images to an inspector, showing shapes, sizes, locations and relative densities of the contents. Many of these systems, such as the Rapiscan Eagle line of products, which was designed and developed under contract with U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the U.S. Department of Defense, have been built to meet specific customer inspection requirements.

Other cargo and vehicle inspection products automatically and non-intrusively detect chemical signatures indicating the presence of explosives and other contraband through the use of pulsed fast neutron and thermal neutron technologies, as opposed to ionizing radiation. Pulsed fast neutron and thermal neutron technologies permit the operator to inspect cargo, vehicles and containers based on the distinctive chemical composition of explosives, drugs or other contraband.

Our Security division is the only competitor in the market offering x-ray, gamma-ray and neutron-based material specific technologies. As a result, we believe that we offer the broadest technology platform in the cargo and vehicle inspection systems industry. This broad platform also permits us to offer customers hybrid solutions utilizing two or more of the technologies together, thereby optimizing flexibility, performance and cost to meet the customer's unique application requirements. Cargo and vehicle inspection systems recently installed or currently underway include system installations in the United States, Europe, Western Asia, North Africa and the Middle East, among others.

Our Security division also offers hold (checked) baggage screening systems that are utilized by airports, freight forwarders, and other parties responsible for screening baggage and cargo before it is placed in the cargo hold of airplanes. Our currently available systems utilize multiple, dual-energy x-ray beams to provide high-quality images to the operators of the systems and to enable detection algorithms that assist operators in the detection of explosives. These systems are designed to meet the high-speed screening and analysis demands of our customers. They can be operated in stand-alone mode, where a single operator views the images produced by a single system, or can be networked, allowing operators stationed at a remote computer terminal to monitor multiple systems.

Our Security division also offers people screening products such as a line of Metor brand walk-through metal detection products for use at security checkpoints at airports, amusement parks, banks, courthouses, government buildings, sports arenas and other venues, the WaveScan 200, which uses passive millimeter wave technology to screen for items concealed under clothing carried by individuals standing or walking at a distance, and the Rapiscan Secure 1000 personnel screener, which uses extremely low dose backscatter x-ray imaging to detect contraband and weapons concealed underneath clothing and hair. The Rapiscan Secure 1000 provides enhanced screening compared to metal detectors as it displays anomalies caused by very small amounts of metal as well as non-metallic items. As a result, the Rapiscan Secure 1000 can simultaneously locate and detect conventional metal weapons, as well as ceramic knives, explosives, illicit drugs, precious metals, cameras, recording devices and other contraband or security threats.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth certain information related to the standard security and inspection products that we currently offer. We do, however, also customize our standard products to suit specific applications and customer requirements.

PRODUCT LINE	PRODUCT NAME / PRODUCT FAMILY	TECHNOLOGY	MARKET SEGMENT
Baggage and Parcel Inspection	Rapiscan 500/600 series	Single and dual-energy x-ray	Checkpoint inspection at airports, prisons, border crossings, government buildings, postal facilities for mail screening
	x-ray systems		
Cargo and Vehicle Inspection	Rapiscan Eagle	High energy x-ray	Cargo and vehicle inspection at airports, border crossings and sea ports
	Rapiscan VEDS	Thermal neutron analysis	
Hold Baggage Screening	Rapiscan GaRDS	Gamma ray	Baggage inspection at airports and freight forwarding facilities
	Rapiscan MVXR 5000	Multi-view, dual energy x-ray	
People Screening	Metor series metal detectors	Electromagnetic induction	Checkpoint inspection at airports, border crossings, stadiums, prisons and government facilities
	Rapiscan Secure 1000	Backscatter x-ray	
	WaveScan 200	Passive millimeter wave	

Patient Monitoring, Diagnostic Cardiology and Anesthesia Systems. Our Healthcare businesses design, manufacture and market their products worldwide to end users primarily under the Spacelabs trade name.

Spacelabs products include Ultraview SL patient monitors, which are used primarily in perioperative, critical care and emergency care environments. We also offer patient monitors for several other applications in the hospital, including neonatal, pediatric and adult critical and emergency care. Our patient monitoring systems comprise monitors and central nursing stations connected via hardwired or wireless networks, as well as stand-alone monitors where the patient data can be transported physically from one monitor to another as the patient is moved. This ensures that hospital staff can access patient data where and when it is required. In addition, these products are designed with an open architecture to interact with hospital information systems. WinDNA, based on Citrix thin client technology, is a feature of many of these products which allows clinicians to view and control Microsoft Windows applications on the patient monitor's display, eliminating the need for separate terminals in the patient's room. Attending nurses can thereby check laboratory results and other reports, enter orders, review protocols and do charting right at the patient's bedside. Inputs can be made using a mouse, keyboard and touchscreen.

For electrocardiograph monitoring or multiparameter monitoring of ambulatory patients, we offer a digital telemetry system. The system operates in government-protected bands (608 and 614 MHz and 1.4GHz), not used for private land mobile radio, business radio services or broadcast analog and digital television. The Spacelabs Ultraview Digital Telemetry solution comprises a lightweight and compact transmitter that enables monitoring of heart rate, ST segment, arrhythmia and continuous SpO2 (pulse oximetry) monitoring. The multiparameter transmitter also integrates with the Spacelabs Ultralite ambulatory blood pressure monitor for the transmission of non-invasive blood pressure values to a

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

central station or a multi-disclosure and information system.

In March 2008, we launched a neonatal monitoring suite, a portfolio of neonatal monitors with specialized designs and colors for the unique needs of the neonatal environment. In May 2008, we introduced the Intesys Clinical Suite G2, an integrated application suite that makes patient data from any networked monitor accessible to any networked computer. It creates a consolidated patient record featuring a series of integrated and synchronized views that permit the clinician to select and focus upon precisely the information needed to

Table of Contents

understand a critical event. This past year, we also launched the Varitrend 4, which includes significant enhancements, to our former oxycardiorespirogram display that supports automatic trending and documentation of critical physiological events, such as apnea and bradycardia.

In fiscal 2009, we introduced the élance Vital Signs Monitoring product line, an ultra-slim, ultra-lightweight wide-screen monitor. It offers electrocardiograph, respiration, SpO2 (pulse oximetry), non-invasive and invasive blood pressure and temperature monitoring, and end-tidal CO2 (carbon dioxide) monitoring along with an easy-to-use touchscreen interface. The élance is primarily marketed for use in low- to mid-acuity care environments where simplicity and portability are important.

In December 2008, we entered into a strategic alliance with Uscom, an Australian company, to market the USCOM, a non-invasive monitor of cardiac output and other hemodynamic parameters. Previously, valuable information about the circulation of blood in the body was only available through invasive means. However, the USCOM utilizes non-invasive ultrasonics and signal processing to measure cardiac blood flow and is therefore safe to use in infants, children and adults.

We are also a world leading supplier of ambulatory blood pressure monitors, which are routinely used in many European countries and are increasingly being used in the United States. Many physicians are using ambulatory blood pressure monitoring to detect white coat hypertension, a condition in which people experience elevated blood pressure in the doctor's office, but not in their daily lives. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring is also used to adjust drug therapies for hypertensive patients. It is estimated that as many as 20% of the patients that are diagnosed with hypertension based on blood pressure measurements taken in their physicians' offices are not actually hypertensive. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring helps improve diagnostic accuracy and minimize the associated costs of treatment.

Our Healthcare division develops cardiac monitoring systems, including Holter systems and recorders. Our Pathfinder and Impresario lines of Holter analyzers offer users interactive control with advanced diagnostic parameters. Our Lifecard and Aria recorders are worn by patients for up to seven days in order to capture heart arrhythmias that may occur in a patient only a few times per week. Patients that may be experiencing even less frequent heart arrhythmias wear our CardioCall product, which stays with the patient over several weeks and transmits its findings over the phone to a receiving station in the hospital. In addition to these products, we also offer other diagnostic cardiology products such as the CD12 electrocardiogram series and CH2000 stress test systems.

In May 2009, we launched our Sentinel product cardiology information management system in the U.K. The Sentinel integrates data from Spacelabs-branded products into a central database that can be accessed by care providers and medical facility administrators. The Sentinel therefore provides enhanced workflow and efficiencies by centralizing recordings and reports into an enterprise wide system. We presently intend to make the Sentinel available outside of the U.K. in the near future.

Our anesthesia delivery and ventilation group designs and manufactures anesthesia delivery systems, anesthesia vaporizers and ventilators. Our BleaseFocus, BleaseGenius and recently-launched BleaseSirius anesthesia delivery systems provide flexible anesthesia solutions for most operating room environments, anesthesia induction areas, day surgery units, magnetic resonance imaging facilities and other areas where the administration of anesthesia is required. Our BleaseDatum anesthesia vaporizers and Blease 700/900 anesthesia ventilators are also designed to be compatible with the anesthesia delivery systems of several other manufacturers.

Recently, we added several new ventilators to our existing product line, each of which enables clinicians to enhance control over the delivery of ventilation and more finely tune their requirements to a surgical procedure and the individual characteristics of a patient. We enhanced the product line with software updates that are intended to provide clinicians with greater ease of use as well as improvements and refinement of

existing features and released an enhanced breathing system for the BleaseFocus and BleaseSerious product lines that

Table of Contents

allow clinicians to use lower gas flows, which is positive for the patient and the environment. Finally, we opened a new research and development center in the U.S. this past year that will focus exclusively on projects related to anesthesia delivery systems.

The following table sets forth a description of the more significant healthcare products that we currently offer:

PRODUCT LINE	PRODUCT NAME / PRODUCT FAMILY	MARKET SEGMENT
Patient Monitoring and Connectivity	Ultraview / Ultraview SL	All hospital care areas; outpatient surgery centers; and physician offices
	Intesys Clinical Suite G2	
	élance	
	MOM (Maternal Obstetrical Monitors)	
Diagnostic Cardiology	USCOM Ambulatory blood pressure monitors	All hospital cardiology care areas and physician offices
	Impresario	
	Pathfinder	
	CardioCall	
	Lifecard	
	Stress Testing Systems	
	Sentinel ECG Data Management	
Anesthesia Delivery and Ventilation	Blease 700 and 900 series ventilators	Ambulatory surgery centers and operating rooms
	BleaseSirius	
	BleaseDatum Vaporizer	
	BleaseFocus	
	BleaseGenius	

Optoelectronic Devices and Manufacturing Services. Optoelectronic devices generally consist of both active and passive components. Active components sense light of varying wavelengths and convert the light detected into electronic signals, whereas passive components amplify, separate or reflect light. The active components we manufacture consist of silicon, gallium arsenide and indium gallium arsenide photodetectors. Passive components include lenses, prisms, filters, mirrors and other precision optical products that are used by us in the manufacture of our optoelectronic products or are sold to others for use in telescopes, laser printers, copiers, microscopes and other detection and vision equipment.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

The devices we manufacture are both standard products and products customized for specific applications and are offered either as components or as subsystems.

We have developed two-dimensional back-illuminated detector technology for security, healthcare and industrial computed tomography (CT) applications. This technology overcomes the limitations of conventional detectors by providing finer detector pitch density. This is used in high-resolution multi-slice CT scanners and other applications requiring improved image resolution.

In addition to the manufacture of standard and original equipment manufacturer products, we also specialize in designing and manufacturing customized value-added subsystems for use in a wide range of products and equipment. An optoelectronic subsystem typically consists of one or more optoelectronic devices that are combined with other electronic components and packaging for use in an end product. The composition of a subsystem can range from a simple assembly of various optoelectronic devices that are incorporated into other subsystems (for example, a printed circuit board containing our optoelectronic devices) to complete end-products (for example, pulse oximetry equipment). Furthermore, we have expanded our electronics design and manufacturing capabilities both in the United States and in Asia with enhanced, RoHS-compliant, box-build

Table of Contents

manufacturing services and PC board assembly capabilities utilizing state-of-the-art automated surface mount technology lines. As a result, we now offer electronics manufacturing services for data and signal processing, amplifier and processor boards for medical equipment, musical tuning and studio hardware, motor controls, power supplies, and several other industrial applications that do not utilize optoelectronic devices.

Markets, Customers and Applications

Security and Inspection Products. Most security and inspection products were developed in response to civilian airline hijackings. Consequently, a significant portion of our security and inspection products have been and continue to be sold for use at airports. Recently, however, our security and inspection products have been used for security purposes at locations in addition to airports, such as courthouses, office buildings, mailrooms, schools, freight forwarding facilities, prisons, high-profile locations such as Buckingham Palace, the Kremlin and the Vatican and for high-profile events such as the Olympic Games. Furthermore, as terrorist attacks continue to occur, such as the March 2004 bombings of passenger trains at Atocha railway station in Madrid, the July 2005 bombings of the London underground and commuter bus systems and the November 2008 assaults on the Taj Mahal Palace & Hotel and other locations in Mumbai, overall transportation and travel industry demands have increased, resulting in heightened attention for our security and inspection products. In addition, our security and inspection products are increasingly being used for non-security purposes, such as for cargo inspection to detect narcotics and contraband and to verify manifests, prevention of pilferage at semiconductor manufacturing facilities, quality assurance and the detection of gold and currency.

Our customers include, among many others, the U.S. Transportation Security Administration, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, U.S. Department of Defense and Federal Bureau of Prisons, in the United States, as well as Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs and Manchester Airport Group in the United Kingdom, Chek Lap Kok Airport in Hong Kong, Ben Gurion International Airport in Israel and the Malaysian Airport Board in Malaysia.

Patient Monitoring, Diagnostic Cardiology and Anesthesia Systems. Our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems are manufactured and distributed globally for use in critical care, emergency and perioperative areas within hospitals as well as physicians offices, medical clinics and ambulatory surgery centers. We also provide wired and wireless networks and clinical information access solutions, ambulatory blood pressure monitors and medical data services.

We have sold these products to organizations such as Eisenhower Medical Center in Rancho Mirage, California, Cape Fear Valley Health Systems in Fayetteville, North Carolina, Spartanburg Regional Medical Center in Spartanburg, South Carolina, LSU Medical Center in Shreveport, Louisiana, Schüchtermannklinik in Germany, LKW Villach in Austria and Universitätsspital Zürich in Switzerland, among many other organizations, including Premier, Inc., a hospital and healthcare system alliance with approximately 1,500 affiliated hospitals and other healthcare sites.

Optoelectronic Devices and Electronics Manufacturing Services. Our optoelectronic devices and the electronics we manufacture are used in a broad range of products by a variety of customers. For example, they are utilized by customers in the following market segments: aerospace and avionics; analytical and medical imaging; telecommunications; homeland security; healthcare; military defense; office automation; and toll and traffic management. Major customers in these segments include SCM, Honeywell, Flir Systems, Raytheon, JDS Uniphase, ITT Corp., Gilardoni, Smiths Medical, Somanetics, Lockheed Martin, United Technologies and Northrop Grumman, among others.

Marketing, Sales and Service

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

We market and sell our security and inspection products worldwide through a direct sales and marketing staff of approximately 84 employees located in North America, Europe, Asia and Australia, in addition to an expansive global network of independent distributors. This sales staff is supported by a service organization

Table of Contents

located primarily in North America, Europe and Asia, as well as a global network of independent distributors. We also support these sales and customer relations efforts by providing operator training, computerized training and testing equipment, in-country service support, software upgrades and service training for customer technicians.

We market and sell our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems worldwide through a direct sales and marketing staff of approximately 242 sales personnel and 216 service personnel located in North America, Europe and Asia, in addition to a global network of independent distributors. We also support these sales and customer service efforts by providing operator in-service training, software updates and upgrades and service training for customer biomedical staff and distributors.

We market and sell our optoelectronic devices and value-added manufacturing services, through both a direct sales and marketing staff of approximately 32 employees located in North America, Europe and Asia, and indirectly through a global network of independent sales representatives and distributors. Our sales staff is supported by an applications engineering group whose members are available to provide technical support, which includes designing applications, providing custom tooling and process integration and developing products that meet customer defined specifications.

We consider our maintenance service operations to be an important element of our business. After the expiration of our standard product warranty periods, we are sometimes engaged by our customers to provide maintenance services for our security and inspection products through annual maintenance contracts. We provide a variety of service and support options for our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems customers, ranging from complete on-site repair and maintenance service and telephone support to parts exchange programs for customers with the internal expertise to perform a portion of their own service needs. We believe that our international maintenance service capabilities allow us to be competitive in selling our security and inspection systems as well as our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems. Furthermore, we believe that as the installed base of both our security and inspection systems and patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems increases, revenues generated from such annual maintenance service contracts and from the sale of replacement parts will increase.

Research and Development

Our security and inspection systems are primarily designed at our facilities in the United States and internationally in Finland, Malaysia, India and the United Kingdom. These products include mechanical, electrical, analog electronic, digital electronic and software subsystems, which are all designed by us. In addition to product design, we provide system integration services to integrate our products into turnkey systems at the customer site. We support cooperative research projects with government agencies and, on occasion, provide contract research for our customers and government agencies.

Our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems are primarily designed at our facilities in the United States and internationally in China, India and the United Kingdom. Such systems include mechanical, electrical, digital electronic and software subsystems, all of which are designed by us. We are also currently involved, both in the United States and internationally, in several research projects aimed at improving our medical systems and at expanding our current product line.

We design and manufacture optoelectronic devices and we provide electronics manufacturing services primarily in our facilities in the United States and internationally in India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Norway and Singapore. We engineer and manufacture subsystems to solve the specific application needs of our original equipment manufacturer customers. In addition, we offer entire subsystem design and manufacturing solutions. We consider our engineering personnel to be an important extension of our core sales and marketing efforts.

In addition to close collaboration with our customers in the design and development of our current products, we maintain an active program for the development and introduction of new products, enhancements and

Table of Contents

improvements to our existing products, including the implementation of new applications of our technology. We seek to further enhance our research and development program and consider such program to be an important element of our business and operations. As of June 30, 2009, we engaged approximately 348 full-time engineers, technicians and support staff. Our research and development expenses were \$44.4 million in fiscal 2007, \$45.3 million in fiscal 2008 and \$36.9 million in fiscal 2009. We intend to continue to invest in our research and development efforts in the future.

Manufacturing and Materials

We currently manufacture our security and inspection systems domestically in California, Mississippi and North Carolina, and internationally in Malaysia and the United Kingdom. We currently manufacture our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems domestically in Washington, and internationally in China. We currently manufacture our optoelectronic devices and provide electronics manufacturing services domestically in California, Massachusetts and Mississippi, and internationally in India, Indonesia, Malaysia, and Singapore. Most of our high volume, labor intensive manufacturing and assembly activities are performed at our facilities in India, Indonesia and Malaysia. Since most of our customers are located in the United States, Europe and Asia, our ability to manufacture products in these markets and provide follow-on service from offices located in these regions is an important component of our global strategy.

Our global manufacturing organization has expertise in optoelectronic, microelectronic and integrated electronics for commercial, medical, aerospace and defense industry applications. Our manufacturing includes silicon wafer processing and fabrication, optoelectronic device assembly and screening, thin and thick film microelectronic hybrid assemblies, surface mounted and thru-hole printed circuit board electronic assemblies and electronics services, including complete turn-key and box-build manufacturing. We outsource certain manufacturing operations, including certain sheet metal fabrication and plastic components. The manufacturing process for components and subsystems consists of manual tasks performed by skilled technicians as well as automated tasks.

The principal raw materials and subcomponents used in producing our security and inspection systems consist primarily of x-ray generators, linear accelerators, radioactive isotopes, neutron generators, detectors, data acquisition and computer systems, and conveyance systems. A large portion of the optoelectronic devices, subsystems and circuit card assemblies used in our inspection and detection systems are manufactured in-house. The metal enclosures used in our baggage and parcel inspection systems are also manufactured in-house, while the x-ray generators, linear accelerators, radioactive isotopes, neutron generators and conveyance systems used in our cargo and vehicle inspection systems are purchased from unaffiliated third party providers.

The principal raw materials and subcomponents used in producing our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems consist of printed circuit boards, housings, mechanical assemblies, pneumatic devices, cables, filters and packaging materials. We purchase certain devices, including computers, peripheral accessories and remote displays from unaffiliated third party providers.

The principal raw materials and subcomponents used in producing our optoelectronic devices and electronic subsystems consist of silicon wafers, electronic components, light emitting diodes, scintillation crystals, passive optical components, printed circuit boards, and packaging materials. The silicon-based optoelectronic devices manufactured by us are critical components in most of our products and subsystems. We purchase silicon wafers and other electronic components from unaffiliated third party providers.

For cost, quality control and efficiency reasons, at times we purchase raw materials and subcomponents only from single vendors with whom we have ongoing relationships. We do, however, qualify second sources for most of our raw materials and critical components, or have identified alternate sources of supply. We purchase the materials pursuant to purchase orders placed from time to time in the ordinary course of business.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Although to date none of our divisions has experienced any significant shortages or material delays in obtaining any of its raw materials or subcomponents, it is possible that they may face such shortages or delays in one or more materials in the future.

Table of Contents

Patents, Trademarks, Tradenames and Licenses

Trademarks and Tradenames. We have used, registered and applied to register certain trademarks and service marks to distinguish our products, technologies and services from those of our competitors in the United States and in foreign countries. We enforce our trademark, service mark and trade name rights in the United States and abroad.

Patents. We hold a number of U.S. and foreign patents relating to various aspects of our security and inspection products, patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems and optoelectronic devices and subsystems. Our current patents will expire at various times between 2009 and 2029. However, it remains possible that pending patent applications or other applications that may be filed may not result in issued patents. In addition, issued patents may not survive challenges to their validity. Although we believe that our patents have value, our patents, or any additional patents that may be issued in the future, may not be able to provide meaningful protection from competition.

Licenses. Our Security, Healthcare and Optoelectronics and Manufacturing divisions have each entered into a variety of license arrangements under which they are permitted to manufacture, market, and/or sell a limited number of the products that we offer and/or to service various types of software, data, equipment, components and enhancements to our own proprietary technology.

We believe that our trademarks and tradenames, patents and licenses are important to our business. The loss of some of our trademarks, patents or licenses might have a negative impact on our financial results and operations. However, we operate in a competitive environment with a known customer base and rely mainly on providing our customers with quality products and services to ensure continuing business. Thus, with the exception of the loss of either the Spacelabs® or Rapiscan® trademarks, the impact of the loss of any single trademark, patent or license would not likely have a material adverse effect on our business. We consider the Spacelabs® trademark an important asset and have registered it in approximately forty countries. In addition, following the re-branding of our Security division under the Rapiscan Systems name, we have instituted a similar registration program for the Rapiscan® trademark.

Regulation of Medical Products

The patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems we manufacture and market are subject to regulation by numerous government agencies, principally the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and by certain state and foreign authorities. They are also subject to various U.S. and foreign electrical safety standards.

The FDA has broad regulatory powers with respect to pre-clinical and clinical testing of new medical products and the designing, manufacturing, marketing and advertising of medical products. It requires that all medical devices introduced into the market be preceded either by a pre-market notification clearance order under section 510(k) of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or an approved pre-market approval application. A 510(k) pre-market notification clearance order indicates that the FDA agrees with an applicant's determination that the product for which clearance has been sought is substantially equivalent to another legally marketed medical device. The clearance of a pre-market approval application, on the other hand, indicates that the FDA has determined that the device has been proven, through the submission of clinical trial data and manufacturing quality assurance information, to be safe and effective for its labeled indications. The process of obtaining 510(k) clearance typically takes between three and six months, but can take substantially longer. The pre-market approval application review process, on the other hand, can last more than a year. To date, all of the patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems we manufacture and sell in the United States have required only 510(k) pre-market notification clearance.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Such regulatory approvals, when granted, may entail limitations on the indicated uses for which a product may be marketed, and such product approvals, once granted, may be withdrawn if problems occur after initial marketing. Manufacturers of FDA-regulated products are subject to pervasive and continuing governmental

Table of Contents

regulation, including extensive recordkeeping requirements and reporting of adverse experiences associated with product manufacture and use. Compliance with these requirements is costly, and failure to comply can result in, among other things, fines, total or partial suspension of production, product recalls, failure of the FDA to review pending marketing clearances or approval applications, withdrawal of marketing clearances or approvals or even criminal prosecution.

We are also subject to regulation in the foreign countries in which we manufacture and market our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems. For example, the commercialization of medical devices in the European Union is regulated under a system that presently requires all medical devices sold in the European Union to bear the CE mark – an international symbol of adherence to quality assurance standards. Our manufacturing facilities in Hawthorne, California; Issaquah, Washington; and Suzhou in China are all certified to the International Organization for Standardization's ISO 13485 standard for medical device quality management systems. The Hawthorne, California and Issaquah, Washington facilities are also certified to the requirements of Annex II, section 3 of the Directive 93/42 IEEC on Medical Devices, which allows them to self-certify that newly manufactured products can bear the CE mark.

We believe we are in compliance with all applicable federal, state and foreign regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia delivery systems except to an extent that would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Such regulations and their enforcement do, however, constantly change, and we cannot predict what effect, if any, such changes may have on our businesses in the future.

Environmental Regulations

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations relating to the use, storage, handling and disposal of certain hazardous substances and wastes used or generated in the manufacturing and assembly of our products. Under such laws, we may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances that have been released on or in our facilities or that have been disposed of off-site as waste. Such laws may impose liability without regard to whether we knew of, or caused, the release of such hazardous substances. We have conducted Phase I environmental site assessments for each of our properties in the United States at which we manufacture products. The purpose of each such report is to identify, as of the date of such report, potential areas of environmental concern related to past and present activities or from nearby operations. In certain cases, we have conducted further environmental assessments consisting of soil and groundwater testing and other investigations deemed appropriate by independent environmental consultants. We believe that, except to an extent that would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, we are currently in compliance with all environmental regulations in connection with our manufacturing operations, and that we have obtained all environmental permits necessary to conduct our business. The amount of hazardous substances and wastes produced and generated by us may increase in the future depending on changes in our operations. Any failure by us to comply with present or future regulations could subject us to the imposition of substantial fines, suspension of production, alteration of manufacturing process or cessation of operations, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

During one investigation, we discovered soil and groundwater contamination at our Hawthorne, California facility. We filed the requisite reports concerning this problem with the appropriate environmental authorities in fiscal 2001. We have not yet received any response to such reports, and no agency action or litigation is presently pending or threatened. We also have notified the prior owners of the facility and the present owners and tenants of adjacent properties concerning the problem and have requested from such parties agreements to toll of the statute of limitations with respect to actions against such parties with respect to the contamination in order that we may focus our attention on resolution of the contamination problem. Our site was previously used by other companies for semiconductor manufacturing similar to that presently conducted on the site by us, and it is not presently known who is responsible for the contamination or, if required, the remediation. The groundwater contamination is a known regional problem, not limited to our premises or our immediate surroundings.

Table of Contents

We have also been informed of soil and groundwater evaluation efforts at a facility that our Ferson Technologies subsidiary previously leased in Ocean Springs, Mississippi. Ferson Technologies occupied the facility between 1993 and 2003. We believe that the owner and previous occupants of the facility have primary responsibility for any remediation that may be required and have an agreement with the facility's owner under which the owner is responsible for remediation of pre-existing conditions. However, as site evaluation efforts are still in progress, and may be for some time, we are unable at this time to ascertain whether Ferson Technologies bears any exposure for remediation costs under applicable environmental regulations.

Competition

The markets in which we operate are highly competitive and characterized by evolving customer needs and rapid technological change. We compete with a number of other manufacturers, some of which have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we have. In addition, these competitors may have the ability to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, adapt more quickly to changes in customer requirements, have stronger customer relationships, have greater name recognition and may devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products than we do. As a result, we may not be able to compete successfully against designers and manufacturers of specialized electronic systems and components, broadly speaking, or more specifically within the markets for security and inspection systems, patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems, or optoelectronic devices. Future competitive pressures may materially and adversely affect our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

In the security and inspection market, competition is based primarily on such factors as product performance, functionality and quality, the overall cost effectiveness of the system, prior customer relationships, technological capabilities of the products, price, local market presence and breadth of sales and service organization. We believe that our principal competitors in the market for security and inspection products are Smiths Detection; L-3 Communications Security and Detection Systems; American Science and Engineering; GE Security; SAIC; CEIA; Garrett Electronics and Nuctech. Competition could result in price reductions, reduced margins and loss of market share. In the airline and airport security and inspection market, particularly in the upgrade and replacement market, we also compete for potential customers based on existing relationships between our competitors and the customers. Certain of our competitors have established strong relationships with airlines, airports and other transportation security authorities. Although we also have established relationships with a number of airport and airline customers, we may not be able to compete successfully in the future with existing competitors or new entrants. In the cargo and vehicle inspection systems market, we compete for potential customers based on price, performance and the ability to design both standard and customized products. Several of our competitors have operated in this area for longer than we have. However, due to our recent successes in designing and delivering high-energy x-ray and gamma-ray systems, we believe that we have demonstrated an ability to compete effectively. Additionally, although our competitors in the cargo and vehicle inspection market each offer products in competition with one or more of our products, our ability to supply high-energy x-ray and gamma-ray systems means that we offer among the widest array of solutions available from a single supplier. This variety of technologies also permits us to offer unique hybrid systems to our customers that utilize two or more of these technologies, thereby optimizing flexibility, performance and cost to meet the customer's unique application requirements.

In the patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems delivery market, competition is also based on a variety of factors including product performance, functionality, value and breadth of sales and service organization. We believe that our principal competitors in the market for patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems are Philips Medical; GE Healthcare; Mindray Medical, Cardiac Science; Mortara Instrument; Dräger Medical; Nihon Kohden; Penlon and Marquet. Competition could result in price reductions, reduced margins and loss of our market share. We believe that our patient monitoring products are easier to use than the products of many of our competitors because we offer a consistent user interface throughout many of our product lines. Finally, while some of our competitors are also beginning to introduce portal technology, which allows remote access to data from the bedside monitor, central station or other point of

Table of Contents

care, we believe that our competing technologies are superior in bringing instant access to labs, radiology and charting at the point of care. Although we have established relationships with a number of large hospitals, we may not be able to successfully compete in the future with existing competitors or with new entrants.

In the markets in which we compete to provide optoelectronic devices and electronics manufacturing services, competition is based primarily on such factors as expertise in the design and development of optoelectronic devices, product quality, timeliness of delivery, price, customer technical support and on the ability to provide fully integrated services from application development and design through production. We believe that our major competitors in the optoelectronic device market are PerkinElmer and Hamamatsu. Because we specialize in custom subsystems requiring a high degree of engineering expertise, we believe that we generally do not compete to any significant degree with any other large United States, European or Asian manufacturers of standard optoelectronic components. Competition in the extensive electronic manufacturing services market ranges from multinational corporations with sales in excess of several billions of dollars, to large regional competitors and to small local assembly companies. In our experience, the original equipment manufacturers to whom we provide such services prefer to engage companies that offer both local and lower-cost off-shore facilities. As a result, our primary domestic competition for these services is located in Southern California and in New England, where our U.S. facilities are also located. Such competition includes CTS; Stellar Microelectronics; Senior Systems Technology; Celestica and Benchmark Electronics, among others. In addition, our high-volume, low-cost contract manufacturing locations in Southeast Asia compete with other manufacturers in the same region.

Backlog

We measure our backlog as orders for which purchase orders or contracts have been signed, but which have not yet been shipped and for which revenues have not yet been recognized.

We ship most of our baggage and parcel inspection, hold (checked) baggage screening, people screening, patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems and optoelectronic devices and value-added subsystems within one to several months after receiving an order. However, such shipments may be delayed for a variety of reasons, including any special design or requirements of the customer. In addition, large orders of security and inspection products (more than ten machines) typically require greater lead-times.

Certain of our cargo and vehicle inspection and hold (checked) baggage screening systems may require several months to several years lead-time. We have experienced some significant shipping delays associated with our cargo and vehicle inspection systems. Such delays can occur for many reasons, including: (i) additional time necessary to conduct inspections at the factory before shipment; (ii) a customer's need to engage in time-consuming special site preparation to accommodate the system, over which we have no control or responsibility; (iii) additional fine tuning of such systems once they are installed; (iv) design or specification changes by the customer; and (v) delays originating from other contractors on the project.

As of June 30, 2009, our consolidated backlog totaled approximately \$203 million, compared to approximately \$212 million as of June 30, 2008 and approximately \$209 million at June 30, 2007. Sales orders underlying our backlog are firm orders. However, from time to time, we may agree to permit the cancellation of an order. Variations in the size of orders, product mix, or delivery requirements, among other factors, may result in substantial fluctuations in backlog from period to period. Backlog as of any particular date should not be relied upon as indicative of our revenues for any future period and cannot be considered a meaningful indicator of our performance on an annual or quarterly basis.

Employees

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

As of June 30, 2009, we employed approximately 3,151 people, of whom 1,651 were employed in manufacturing, 348 were employed in engineering or research and development, 407 were employed in administration, 358 were employed in sales and marketing and 387 were employed in service capacities. Of the

Table of Contents

total employees, approximately 1,366 were employed in North America and South America, 1,376 were employed in Asia and 409 were employed in Europe. Many of our employees in Europe have statutory collective bargaining rights. We have never experienced a work stoppage or strike, and management believes that its relations with employees are good.

Available Information

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Therefore, we file periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such reports, proxy statements and other information may be obtained by visiting the Public Reference Room of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 or by calling the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the Securities and Exchange Commission maintains an Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy statements and other information that issuers are required to file electronically.

Our Internet address is: <http://www.osi-systems.com>. We make available, free-of-charge through our Internet website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and reports filed pursuant to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We do so as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing such material with, or furnishing it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Fluctuations in our operating results may cause our stock price to decline.

Given the nature of the markets in which we participate, it is difficult to reliably predict future revenues and profitability. Changes in competitive, market and economic conditions may cause us to adjust our operations. A high proportion of our costs are fixed, due in part to our significant sales, research and development and manufacturing costs. Thus, small declines in revenue could disproportionately affect our operating results. Factors that may affect our operating results and the market price of our Common Stock include:

demand for and market acceptance of our products;

competitive pressures resulting in lower selling prices;

adverse changes in the level of economic activity in regions in which we do business;

low or fluctuating levels of political stability in regions in which we do business;

adverse changes in industries, such as semiconductors and electronics, on which we are particularly dependent;

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

changes in the portions of our revenue represented by various products and customers;

delays or problems in the introduction of new products;

the announcement or introduction of new products, services or technological innovations by our competitors;

variations in our product mix;

the timing and amount of our expenditures in anticipation of future sales;

the availability of equity and credit markets to provide our customers with funding to make equipment purchases;

exchange rate fluctuations;

Table of Contents

increased costs of raw materials or supplies;

changes in the volume or timing of product orders;

timing of completion of acceptance testing of some of our products;

changes in regulatory requirements;

natural disasters; and

changes in general economic factors.

Unfavorable currency exchange rate fluctuations could adversely affect our profitability.

Our international sales and our operations in foreign countries expose us to risks associated with fluctuating currency values and exchange rates. Gains and losses on the conversion of accounts receivable, accounts payable and other monetary assets and liabilities to U.S. dollars may contribute to fluctuations in our results of operations. In addition, increases or decreases in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

We face aggressive competition in many areas of business. If we do not compete effectively, our business will be harmed.

We encounter aggressive competition from numerous competitors in many areas of our business. In the security and inspection and patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems markets, competition is based primarily on such factors as product performance, functionality and quality, cost, prior customer relationships, technological capabilities of the product, price, certification by government authorities, local market presence and breadth of sales and service organization. In the optoelectronic devices and electronics manufacturing markets competition is based primarily on factors such as expertise in the design and development of optoelectronic devices, product quality, timeliness of delivery, price, customer technical support and on the ability to provide fully-integrated services from application development and design through volume subsystem production. We may not be able to compete effectively with all of our competitors. To remain competitive, we must develop new products and enhance our existing products and services in a timely manner. We anticipate that we may have to adjust prices of many of our products to stay competitive. In addition, new competitors may emerge, and entire product lines or service offerings may be threatened by new technologies or market trends that reduce the value of these product lines or service offerings.

The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks and the creation of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security have increased financial expectations that may not materialize.

The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks and the subsequent creation of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security have created increased interest in our security and inspection systems. However, we are not certain whether the level of demand will continue to be as high as it is now. We do not know what solutions will continue to be adopted by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, the U.S. Department of Defense, and similar agencies in other countries and whether our products will be a part of those solutions. Additionally, should our products be considered as

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

a part of the future security solutions, it is unclear what the level may be and how quickly funding to purchase our products may be made available. These factors may adversely impact us and create unpredictability in revenues and operating results.

If operators of our security and inspection systems fail to detect weapons, explosives or other devices that are used to commit a terrorist act, we could be exposed to product liability and related claims for which we may not have adequate insurance coverage.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks that are inherent in the development, manufacturing, sale and service of security inspection systems as well as in the provision of training to our

Table of Contents

customers in the use and operation of such systems. Our customers use our security and inspection systems to help them detect items that could be used in performing terrorist acts or other crimes. Some of our security and inspection systems require that an operator interpret an image of suspicious items within a bag, parcel, container or other vessel. Others signal to the operator that further investigation is required. In either case, the training, reliability and competence of the customer's operator are crucial to the detection of suspicious items.

Security inspection systems that signal to the operator that further investigation is required are sometimes referred to in the security industry as automatic detection systems. Such systems utilize software algorithms (often designed to meet government requirements) to interpret data produced by the system and to signal to the operator when a dangerous object may be present. Such algorithms are probabilistic in nature and are also subject to significant technical limitations. Nevertheless, if such a system were to fail to signal to an operator when an explosive or other contraband was in fact present, resulting in significant damage, we could become the subject of significant product liability claims.

Furthermore, security inspection by technological means is always circumstance and application-specific. In addition, our security and inspection systems are not designed to work under all circumstances. We test the reliability of our security and inspection systems during both their development and manufacturing phases. We also perform such tests if we are requested to perform installation, warranty or post-warranty servicing. However, our security inspection systems are advanced mechanical and electronic devices and therefore can malfunction. In addition, there are also many other factors beyond our control that could lead to liability claims should an act of terrorism occur. The September 11, 2001 and 1993 World Trade Center bombing attacks, and the potential for future attacks, have caused commercial insurance for such threats to become extremely difficult to obtain. It is very likely that, should we be found liable following a major act of terrorism, the insurance we currently have in place would not fully cover the claims for damages.

Our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems could give rise to product liability claims that could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The development, manufacturing and sale of medical devices expose us to significant risk of product liability claims and, sometimes, product failure claims. We face an inherent business risk of financial exposure to product liability claims if the use of our medical devices results in personal injury or death. Substantial product liability litigation currently exists within the medical device industry. Some of our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems businesses are, from time to time, subject to product liability claims and/or product recalls. Future product liability claims may exceed the limits of our insurance coverages or such insurance may not continue to be available to us on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Consequently, a product liability claim or other claim with respect to uninsured liabilities, or in excess of insured liabilities, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

If we are unable to sustain high quality processes for the manufacture and delivery of goods and services, our reputation could be harmed, our competitive advantage could erode and we could incur significant costs.

Quality is extremely important to us and our customers due in part to the serious consequences of product failure. Our quality certifications are critical both to the marketing success of our goods and services and to the satisfaction of both regulatory and contractual requirements under which we sell many of our products. If we fail to meet these standards or other standards required in our industries, we could lose customers and market share, our revenue could decline and we could face significant costs and other liabilities.

Our revenues are dependent on orders of security and inspection systems and patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems, which may have lengthy and unpredictable sales cycles.

Sales of security and inspection systems often depend upon the decision of governmental agencies to upgrade or expand existing airports, border crossing inspection sites, seaport inspection sites and other security

Table of Contents

installations. Sales outside of the United States of our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems depend in significant part on the decision of governmental agencies to build new medical facilities or to expand or update existing medical facilities. Accordingly, a significant portion of our sales of security and inspection systems and our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems is often subject to delays associated with the lengthy approval processes that typically accompany such capital expenditures. During these approval periods, we expend significant financial and management resources in anticipation of future orders that may not occur. If we fail to receive an order after expending such resources, such failure could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Current economic conditions, including the current recession in the United States and the worldwide economic slowdown, as well as further disruptions in the financial markets could result in substantial declines in our revenues, earnings, cash flows and financial condition.

The worldwide economic slowdown could adversely affect our businesses and our profitability. If economic growth continues to slow, many customers may delay purchases or reduce purchase quantities. This could result in reductions in sales of our products, slower adoption of both new technologies and upgrades to existing technologies and could also result in increased price competition. Continued market disruptions and broader economic downturns also increase our exposure to losses from bad debts. Among other affects we have seen during the slowdown, some of our customers, such as hospitals and healthcare systems in the United States, who rely on the credit or equity markets for access to capital, have and may continue to delay purchases of our products and services until the credit or equity markets recover. If economic or other factors cause financial institutions to fail, we could lose current or potential customers. During this period of uncertainty, we anticipate lower sales of patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems products than we have historically experienced, resulting in a negative impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, strategies and prospects. We cannot predict when the world's financial markets will recover and therefore when this period of delayed and diminished purchasing will end. A prolonged delay could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if the current turmoil in the financial markets continues, the variable interest rates payable under our credit facilities could be adversely affected or it could be more difficult to obtain or renew such facilities in the future. Any or all of these factors, as well as other consequences of the current economic conditions which cannot currently be anticipated, could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, earnings and cash flows and otherwise adversely affect our financial condition.

If we do not introduce new products in a timely manner, our products could become obsolete and our operating results would suffer.

We sell many of our products in industries characterized by rapid technological changes, frequent new product and service introductions and evolving industry standards and customer needs. Without the timely introduction of new products and enhancements, our products could become technologically obsolete over time, in which case our revenue and operating results would suffer. The success of our new product offerings will depend upon several factors, including our ability to:

accurately anticipate customer needs;

innovate and develop new technologies and applications;

successfully commercialize new technologies in a timely manner;

price our products competitively and manufacture and deliver our products in sufficient volumes and on time; and

differentiate our offerings from our competitors offerings.

Some of our products are used by our customers to develop, test and manufacture their products. We therefore must anticipate industry trends and develop products in advance of the commercialization of our

Table of Contents

customers' products. In developing any new product, we may be required to make a substantial investment before we can determine the commercial viability of the new product. If we fail to accurately foresee our customers' needs and future activities, we may invest heavily in research and development of products that do not lead to significant revenues.

Interruptions in our ability to purchase raw materials and components may adversely affect our profitability.

We purchase certain raw materials and subcomponents from third parties pursuant to purchase orders placed from time to time. Standard purchase order terms are as long as one year at fixed costs, but we do not have guaranteed long-term supply arrangements with our suppliers. Any material interruption in our ability to purchase necessary raw materials or subcomponents could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to successfully implement our acquisitions strategy, integrate acquired businesses into our existing business or make acquired businesses profitable.

One of our strategies is to supplement our internal growth by acquiring businesses and technologies that complement or augment our existing product lines. This growth has placed, and may continue to place, significant demands on our management, working capital and financial resources. We may be unable to identify or complete promising acquisitions for many reasons, including:

competition among buyers;

the need for regulatory approvals, including antitrust approvals; and

the high valuations of businesses.

Some of the businesses we may seek to acquire may be marginally profitable or unprofitable. For these acquired businesses to achieve acceptable levels of profitability, we must improve their management, operations, products and market penetration. We may not be successful in this regard and may encounter other difficulties in integrating acquired businesses into our existing operations.

To finance our acquisitions, we may have to raise additional funds, through either public or private financings. We may be unable to obtain such funds or may be able to do so only on unfavorable terms.

Our acquisition and alliance activities could disrupt our ongoing business.

We intend to continue to make investments in companies, products and technologies, either through acquisitions, investments or alliances. Acquisition and alliance activities often involve risks, including:

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

difficulty in assimilating the acquired operations and employees and realizing synergies expected to result from the acquisition;

difficulty in managing product co-development activities with our alliance partners;

difficulty in retaining the key employees of the acquired operation;

disruption of our ongoing business;

inability to successfully integrate the acquired technologies and operations into our businesses and maintain uniform standards, controls, policies and procedures; and

lacking the experience necessary to enter into new product or technology markets successfully.

Integrating acquired businesses has been and will continue to be complex, time consuming and expensive, and can negatively impact the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The use of debt to fund

Table of Contents

acquisitions or for other related purposes increases our interest expense and leverage. If we issue equity securities as consideration in an acquisition, current shareholders' percentage ownership and earnings per share may be diluted. As a result of these and other risks, we cannot be certain that our previous or future acquisitions will be successful and will not materially adversely affect the conduct, operating results or financial condition of our business.

Acquisition and alliance activities by our competitors could disrupt our ongoing business.

From time to time, our competitors acquire or enter into exclusive arrangements with companies with whom we do business or may do business in the future. Reductions in the number of partners with whom we may do business in a particular context may reduce our ability to enter into critical alliances on attractive terms or at all, and the termination of an existing alliance by a business partner may disrupt our operations.

Our ability to successfully adapt to ongoing organizational changes could impact our business results.

We have executed a number of significant business and organizational changes to rationalize our overall cost structure. These changes have included and may continue to include the implementation of cost-cutting measures and the consolidation of facilities. We expect these types of changes may continue from time to time in the future as we uncover additional opportunities to streamline our operations. Successfully managing these changes is critical to our productivity improvement and business success. If we are unable to successfully manage these changes, while continuing to invest in business growth, our financial results could be adversely impacted.

Economic, political and other risks associated with international sales and operations could adversely affect our sales.

In fiscal 2007, revenues from shipments made outside of the United States accounted for approximately 47% of our revenues, 47% in fiscal 2008 and 44% in fiscal 2009. Of the revenues generated during fiscal 2009 from shipments made to customers outside of the United States, 25% represented sales made by subsidiaries based in the United States to foreign customers, and the balance represented sales generated by foreign subsidiaries. Since we sell certain of our products worldwide, our businesses are subject to risks associated with doing business internationally. We anticipate that revenues from international operations will continue to represent a substantial portion of our total revenue. In addition, many of our manufacturing facilities, and therefore employees, suppliers, real property, capital equipment, cash and other assets are located outside the United States. Accordingly, our future results could be harmed by a variety of factors, including:

changes in foreign currency exchange rates;

changes in a country's or region's political or economic conditions, particularly in developing or emerging markets;

longer payment cycles of foreign customers and difficulty of collecting receivables in foreign jurisdictions;

trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;

differing legal and court systems;

differing tax laws and changes in those laws;

difficulty in staffing and managing widespread operations;

difficulty in managing distributors and sales agents and their compliance with applicable laws;

differing labor laws and changes in those laws;

differing protection of intellectual property and changes in that protection; and

differing regulatory requirements and changes in those requirements.

Table of Contents

Our competitors may seek to challenge the intellectual property rights on which our products are based.

As we introduce any new and potentially promising product, companies possessing competing technologies may be motivated to assert infringement claims in order to delay or diminish potential sales and challenge our right to market such product. In addition, we may find it necessary to initiate litigation in order to protect our patent or other intellectual property rights.

Under either circumstance, we may incur significant expenses. In addition, claims of third parties against us could result in awards of substantial damages or court orders that could effectively prevent us from making, using or selling our products in the United States or abroad.

Our ongoing success is dependent upon the continued availability of certain key employees.

We are dependent in our operations on the continued availability of the services of our employees, many of whom are individually key to our current and future success, and the availability of new employees to implement our growth plans. In particular, we are dependent upon the services of Deepak Chopra, our Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer. The market for skilled employees is highly competitive, especially for employees in technical fields. While our compensation programs are intended to attract and retain the employees required for it to be successful, ultimately, we may not be able to retain the services of all of our key employees or a sufficient number to execute on our plans. In addition, we may not be able to continue to attract new employees as required.

Substantial government regulation in the United States and abroad may restrict our ability to sell our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems.

The FDA and comparable regulatory authorities in foreign countries extensively and rigorously regulate our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems, including related development activities and manufacturing processes. In the United States, the FDA regulates the introduction of medical devices as well as the manufacturing, labeling and record-keeping procedures for such products. We are required to:

obtain clearance before we can market and sell medical devices;

satisfy content requirements applicable to our labeling, sales and promotional materials;

comply with manufacturing and reporting requirements; and

undergo rigorous inspections.

Our future products may not obtain FDA clearance on a timely basis, or at all. Our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems must also comply with the laws and regulations of foreign countries in which we develop, manufacture and market such products. In general, the extent and complexity of medical device regulation is increasing worldwide. This trend is likely to continue and the cost and time

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

required to obtain marketing clearance in any given country may increase as a result. Our products may not obtain any necessary foreign clearances on a timely basis, or at all.

Once any of our patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems is cleared for sale, regulatory authorities may still limit the use of such product, prevent its sale or manufacture or require a recall or withdrawal of such product from the marketplace. Following initial clearance from regulatory authorities, we continue to be subject to extensive regulatory requirements. Government authorities can withdraw marketing clearance due to our failure to comply with regulatory standards or due to the occurrence of unforeseen problems following initial clearance. Ongoing regulatory requirements are wide-ranging and govern, among other things:

annual inspections to retain a CE mark for sale of products in the European Union;

product manufacturing;

Table of Contents

supplier substitution;

product changes;

process modifications;

medical device reporting; and

product sales and distribution.

Broad-based legislative proposals to address the affordability and availability medical services in the United States may reduce the amount of funding available to hospitals for purchases of patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems.

President Obama and the U.S. Congress are considering legislative proposals that would address the affordability and availability of health insurance for Americans. The proposals vary, but it is possible that any final legislation would significantly impact the ways in which doctors, hospitals, healthcare systems and health insurance companies are compensated for the services they provide. It is not clear at this time whether and to what extent these changes may impact the ability of hospitals and hospital networks to purchase the patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems that we sell or if it will alter market-based incentives that hospitals and hospital networks currently face to continually improve, upgrade and expand their use of such equipment. While the outcome of any final legislation could adversely affect us, at this time we cannot predict the extent of any impact on our business or results of operations.

We are subject to various environmental regulations which may impose liability on us whether or not we knew of or caused the release of hazardous substances on or in our facilities.

We are subject to various foreign and U.S. federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations relating to the use, storage, handling and disposal of certain hazardous substances and wastes used or generated in the manufacturing and assembly of our products. Under such laws, we may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances or wastes that have been or are being disposed of offsite as wastes or that have been or are being released on or in our facilities. Such laws may impose liability without regard to whether we knew of, or caused, the release of such hazardous substances or wastes. Any failure by us to comply with present or future regulations could subject us to the imposition of substantial fines, suspension of production, alteration of manufacturing processes, or cessation of operations, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be exposed to potential risks relating to our internal controls over financial reporting and our ability to have our independent registered public accounting firm attest to these controls.

As directed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted rules requiring public companies to include in their annual reports an assessment of the effectiveness of the company's internal controls over financial reporting. In addition, the independent registered public accounting firm auditing a public company's financial statements must attest to and report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of the company's internal controls over financial reporting, as well as the operating effectiveness of the company's internal controls over financial reporting. We evaluate our internal controls over financial reporting in order to allow our management to report on, and our

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, our internal controls.

We expect to continue to expend significant resources in complying with the documentation and testing procedures required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. However, there will remain an ongoing risk that we will not comply with all of its requirements.

Table of Contents

If our independent registered public accounting firm differs from us in its interpretation of the requirements imposed on us by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or if it is not satisfied with our internal controls over financial reporting or with the level at which such controls are documented, operated or reviewed, we may be delayed in filing reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission, our independent registered public accounting firm may decline to attest to our management's assessment or it may issue a qualified report. In addition, if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to rely on our internal controls over financial reporting in connection with its audit of our financial statements and if it is unable to devise alternative procedures in order to satisfy itself as to the material accuracy of our financial statements and related disclosures, it is possible that we could receive a qualified or adverse audit opinion in connection with those financial statements.

Accordingly, we may not receive a favorable report from our independent registered public accounting firm regarding our internal controls over financial reporting and the operating effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. If we identify material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting that we cannot remediate in a timely manner or if we receive an adverse report from our independent registered public accounting firm with respect to our internal controls over financial reporting, investors and others may lose confidence in the reliability of our financial statements and the market for our Common Stock could be adversely affected.

A failure of a key information technology system, process or site could have a material adverse impact on our ability to conduct business.

We rely extensively on information technology systems to interact with our employees and our customers. These interactions include, but are not limited to, ordering and managing materials from suppliers, converting materials to finished products, shipping product to customers, processing transactions, summarizing and reporting results of operations, complying with regulatory, legal or tax requirements, and other processes necessary to manage our business. If our systems are damaged or cease to function properly due to any number of causes, ranging from the failures of third-party services provider, to catastrophic events to power outages to security breaches, and our business continuity plans do not effectively compensate on a timely basis, we may suffer interruptions in our ability to manage operations which may adversely impact our results of operations and/or financial condition.

We receive significant amounts of research and development funding for our security and inspection systems from government grants and contracts, but we may not continue to receive comparable levels of funding in the future.

The U.S. government currently plays an important role in funding the development of certain of our security and inspection systems and sponsoring their deployment at airports, ports, military installations and border crossings. However, in the future, additional research and development funds from the government may not be available to us. If the government fails to continue to sponsor our technologies we may have to expend more resources on product development or cease development of certain technologies, which could adversely affect our business. In addition, any future grants to our competitors may improve their ability to develop and market competing products and cause our customers to delay purchase decisions, which could harm our ability to market our products.

Our credit facility contains provisions that could restrict our ability to finance our future operations or engage in other business activities that may be in our interest.

Our credit facility contains a number of significant covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to:

dispose of assets;

incur certain additional indebtedness;

Table of Contents

repay certain indebtedness;

create liens on assets;

pay dividends on our Common Stock;

make certain investments, loans and advances;

repurchase or redeem capital stock;

make certain capital expenditures;

engage in acquisitions, mergers or consolidations; and

engage in certain transactions with subsidiaries and affiliates.

These covenants could limit our ability to plan for or react to market conditions, finance our operations, engage in strategic acquisitions or disposals or meet our capital needs or could otherwise restrict our activities or business plans. Our ability to comply with these covenants may be affected by events beyond our control. In addition, our credit facility also requires us to maintain compliance with certain financial ratios. Our inability to comply with the required financial ratios or covenants could result in an event of default under our credit facility. A default, if not cured or waived, may permit acceleration of our indebtedness. In addition, our lenders could terminate their commitments to make further extensions of credit under our credit facility. If our indebtedness is accelerated, we cannot be certain that we will have sufficient funds to pay the accelerated indebtedness or that we will have the ability to refinance accelerated indebtedness on terms favorable to us or at all.

Unanticipated changes in our tax rates could affect our future financial results.

Our future effective tax rates could be favorably or unfavorably affected by unanticipated changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, or by changes in tax laws or their interpretation. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from these continuous examinations will not have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Our Articles of Incorporation and other agreements contain provisions that could discourage a takeover.

Our Articles of Incorporation authorize our Board of Directors to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock in one or more series, to fix the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions granted to or imposed upon any wholly unissued shares of Preferred Stock, to fix the number of shares constituting any such series and to fix the designation of any such series, without further vote or action by shareholders. The terms of any series of Preferred Stock, which may include priority claims to assets and dividends and special voting rights, could adversely affect the

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

rights of the holders of our Common Stock and thereby reduce the value of our Common Stock. We have no present plans to issue shares of Preferred Stock. The issuance of Preferred Stock, coupled with the concentration of ownership in the directors and executive officers, could discourage certain types of transactions involving an actual or potential change in control of our company, including transactions in which the holders of Common Stock might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current prices, otherwise dilute the rights of holders of Common Stock and may limit the ability of such shareholders to cause or approve transactions which they may deem to be in their best interests, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our Common Stock. We have in place a stockholder rights plan, adopted in 2000, under which our shareholders are entitled to purchase shares of Preferred Stock under certain circumstances. The stockholder rights plan may have the effect of impeding or preventing certain types of transactions involving a change in control of our company that could be beneficial to the shareholders.

Table of Contents

Our Articles of Incorporation limit the liability of our directors, which may limit the remedies we or our shareholders have available.

Our Articles of Incorporation provide that, pursuant to the California Corporations Code, the liability of our directors for monetary damages shall be eliminated to the fullest extent permissible under California law. This is intended to eliminate the personal liability of a director for monetary damages in an action brought by us, or in our right for breach of a director's duties to us or our shareholders and may limit the remedies available to us or our shareholders. This provision does not eliminate the director's fiduciary duty and does not apply to liabilities for: (i) acts or omissions that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing and culpable violation of law; (ii) acts or omissions that a director believes to be contrary to the best interests of our company or our shareholders or that involve the absence of good faith on the part of the director; (iii) any transaction from which a director derived an improper personal benefit; (iv) acts or omissions that show a reckless disregard for the director's duty to our company or our shareholders in circumstances in which the director was aware, or should have been aware, in the ordinary course of performing a director's duties, of a risk of serious injury to our company or our shareholders; (v) acts or omissions that constitute an unexcused pattern of inattention that amounts to an abdication of the director's duty to our company or our shareholders; (vi) certain transactions or the approval of transactions in which a director has a material financial interest; and (vii) approval of certain improper distributions to shareholders or certain loans or guarantees.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

As of June 30, 2009, we owned four facilities. The following table lists these facilities:

Location	Description of Facility	Approximate Square Footage
Hawthorne, California	Corporate headquarters and administrative, manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division	88,000
Surrey, England	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Security and Healthcare divisions	59,000
Batam, Indonesia	Manufacturing for our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division	59,000
Ocean Springs, Mississippi	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Security and Optoelectronics and Manufacturing divisions	19,000

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, we leased all of our other facilities. The following table lists our principal (*i.e.*, facilities greater than 50,000 square feet) physical properties that we lease:

Location	Description of Facility	Approximate Square Footage	Expiration
Camarillo, California	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division	60,000	2010
Sunnyvale, California	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Security division	62,500	2012
Torrance, California	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Security division	91,900	2012
Apex, North Carolina	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Security division	122,400	2012
Issaquah, Washington (1)	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Healthcare division	202,600	2014
Suzhou, China	Manufacturing, engineering, sales and marketing and service for our Healthcare division	53,000	2012
Hyderabad, India (2)	Manufacturing and engineering for our Security, Healthcare and Optoelectronics and Manufacturing divisions	71,600	2013
Johor Bahru, Malaysia	Manufacturing, engineering sales and service for our Security division	87,100	2012
Johor Bahru, Malaysia (3)	Manufacturing, engineering sales and service for our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division	76,000	2009

- (1) The lease of the 202,600 square foot facility in Issaquah, Washington is composed of two leases in the same facility. One lease covers a 107,000 square foot area within the facility and the other covers a 95,600 square foot area within the facility. Both leases expire in December 2014.
- (2) The lease of the 71,600 square foot facility in Hyderabad, India is composed of seven leases, ranging in size between 1,100 square feet and 19,800 square feet. Each of these leases expires in 2013.
- (3) Upon expiration of lease of the 76,000 facility in Johor Bahru, Malaysia we expect to renew the lease on the same or similar terms or, in the alternative, to move into another nearby facility.

We believe that our facilities are in good condition and are adequate to support our operations for the foreseeable future. We currently anticipate that we will be able to renew the leases that are scheduled to expire in the next few years on terms that are substantially the same as or better than those currently in effect. However, even if we were not able to renew one or more of the leases, we believe that suitable substitute space is available to relocate any of the facilities. Accordingly, we do not believe that our failure to renew any of the leases that are scheduled to expire in the next few years will have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In November 2002, L-3 Communications Corporation brought suit against us in the District Court for the Southern District of New York seeking a declaratory judgment that L-3 Communications Corporation had not breached its obligations to us concerning the acquisition of PerkinElmer's Security Detection Systems Business. We asserted counterclaims against L-3 Communications Corporation for, among other things, fraud and breach of fiduciary duty. In May 2006, the jury in the case returned a verdict in our favor and awarded us \$125 million in damages. The jury found that L-3 Communications Corporation had breached its fiduciary duty to us and had committed fraud. The jury awarded us \$33 million in compensatory damages and \$92 million in punitive damages. In addition, the jury also found that we had breached a confidentiality agreement and awarded L-3 Communications Corporation nominal damages of one dollar. On June 27, 2008, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit issued a summary order reversing in part, and vacating in part, the judgment of the district court, and remanding the case to the district court for further proceedings. The Second Circuit held that L-3 did not owe us a fiduciary duty as a matter of law and reversed the judgment of the district court on our claims for breach of fiduciary duty and constructive fraud. The Second Circuit vacated the judgment of the district court on our claim for actual fraud, and remanded that claim to the district court for further proceedings.

We are also involved in various other claims and legal proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of business which have not been previously disclosed in our quarterly and annual reports. In our opinion after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of such proceedings will not likely have a material adverse effect on our financial position, future results of operations, or cash flows. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, we have not accrued for loss contingencies relating to such matters because we believe that, although unfavorable outcomes in the proceedings may be possible, they are not considered by management to be probable or reasonably estimable. If one or more of these matters are resolved in a manner adverse to us, the impact on our results of operations, financial position and/or liquidity could be material.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Stock Market and Other Information**

Our Common Stock is traded on The NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol OSIS.

The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices of a share of our Common Stock as reported by The NASDAQ Global Market on a quarterly basis for fiscal 2008 and 2009. The prices shown reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail markup, markdown or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

2008:	High	Low
Quarter ended September 30, 2007	\$ 28.57	\$ 19.64
Quarter ended December 31, 2007	\$ 27.47	\$ 22.38
Quarter ended March 31, 2008	\$ 26.51	\$ 20.46
Quarter ended June 30, 2008	\$ 26.16	\$ 20.81
2009:	High	Low
Quarter ended September 30, 2008	\$ 25.56	\$ 19.95
Quarter ended December 31, 2008	\$ 23.57	\$ 10.15
Quarter ended March 31, 2009	\$ 18.38	\$ 11.01
Quarter ended June 30, 2009	\$ 21.13	\$ 14.93

As of August 24, 2009, there were approximately 79 holders of record of our Common Stock. This number does not include beneficial owners holding shares through nominees or in street name.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends since the consummation of our initial public offering in 1997 and anticipate that we will retain any available funds for use in the operation of our business. We do not currently intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our Board of Directors will determine the payment of future cash dividends, if any. Certain of our current bank credit facilities restrict the payment of cash dividends and future borrowings may contain similar restrictions.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

In March 1999, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to 2 million shares of our Common Stock. In September 2004, we increased the number of shares available for repurchase under the stock repurchase program by 1 million shares. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we repurchased no shares under this program. During the 12 months ended June 30, 2009, we repurchased 619,768 shares under this program. As a result, as of June 30, 2009, 711,205 shares were available for additional repurchase under the program. Upon repurchase, the shares are restored to the status of authorized but unissued and we record them as a reduction in the number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plans**

The following table provides information concerning our equity compensation plans as of June 30, 2009.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders (1)(2)	2,175,863	17.69	797,539
Equity participation plans not approved by security holders		N/A	
Total	2,175,863	17.69	797,539

(1) Includes shares of our Common Stock issuable upon exercise of options under our 2006 Equity Participation Plan.

(2) Of the 797,539 securities remaining available for future issuance under our 2006 Equity Participation Plan, only 581,632 shares are available to be issued as restricted stock.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return for the period beginning on the market close on the last trading day before the beginning our fifth preceding fiscal year through and including the end of our last completed fiscal year, with (a) The NASDAQ Global Market Index and (b) a peer group of publicly-traded issuers with which we have generally competed.

The peer group includes the following companies: American Science & Engineering (AMEX Symbol: ASE) and Analogic Corporation (NASDAQ Symbol: ALOG).

The graph assumes that \$100.00 was invested on June 30, 2004 in (a) our Common Stock, (b) The NASDAQ Global Market Index and (c) the companies comprising the peer group described above (weighted according to each respective issuer's stock market capitalization at the beginning of each period for which a return is indicated). The graph assumes that all dividends were reinvested. Historical stock price performance is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

Comparison of 5 Year Cumulative Total Return*

Assumes Initial Investment of \$100

June 2004 through June 2009

Among OSI Systems, Inc.,

The NASDAQ Composite Index And A Peer Group

* \$100 invested on June 30, 2004 in stock & index-including reinvestment of dividends.

The following table provides the same information in tabular form as of June 30:

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
OSI Systems, Inc.	100.00	79.23	89.16	137.23	107.48	104.62
The NASDAQ Composite Index	100.00	101.09	109.49	132.47	117.33	92.91
Peer Group	100.00	139.31	148.17	196.04	172.09	147.83

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data as of and for each of the five fiscal years ended June 30, 2009, and is derived from our Consolidated Financial Statements. The Consolidated Financial Statements as of June 30, 2008 and 2009, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2009, are included elsewhere in this report. The following data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

	2005	2006	Year Ended June 30,		
			2007	2008	2009
	(in thousands, except earnings per share data)				
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data (1):					
Revenues	\$ 385,041	\$ 452,686	\$ 532,284	\$ 623,088	\$ 590,361
Cost of goods sold	243,415	276,025	354,067	404,049	388,910
Gross profit	141,626	176,661	178,217	219,039	201,451
Operating expenses:					
Selling, general and administrative	118,069	139,051	149,859	150,050	137,939
Research and development	30,537	35,839	44,446	45,361	36,862
Impairment, restructuring and other charges		800	26,071	4,688	7,123
Total operating expenses	148,606	175,690	220,376	200,099	181,924
Income (loss) from operations	(6,980)	971	(42,159)	18,940	19,527
Other income (expense):					
Other	(182)	824	15,766		
Interest expense, net	(611)	(1,291)	(4,069)	(4,469)	(2,936)
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(7,773)	504	(30,462)	14,471	16,591
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(5,309)	1,090	(12,876)	579	5,393
Minority interest of net earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	(69)	1,772	1,172	32	46
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,395)	\$ (2,358)	\$ (18,758)	\$ 13,860	\$ 11,152
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders - diluted	\$ (2,502)	\$ (2,738)	\$ (18,815)	\$ 13,860	\$ 11,152
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (1.11)	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.64
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (1.12)	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.63
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	16,223	16,517	16,844	17,735	17,596
	2005	2006	Year Ended June 30,		
			2007	2008	2009
	(in thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data (1):					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,623	\$ 13,799	\$ 15,980	\$ 18,232	\$ 25,172
Working capital	130,375	162,156	158,741	194,958	187,608
Total assets	347,120	403,498	451,483	507,641	474,828
Long-term debt	4,852	5,483	25,709	49,091	39,803
Total debt	21,103	17,591	48,228	74,341	52,360
Total shareholders' equity	223,627	248,947	247,212	278,021	276,000

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

- (1) Results of operations for fiscal years 2005 through 2009, and our financial position as of June 30, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 incorporate the effect of several acquisitions, including that of Spacelabs Medical (as of March of 2004) and Del Mar Reynolds (as of July of 2006).

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

We are a vertically integrated designer and manufacturer of specialized electronic systems and components for critical applications. We sell our products in diversified markets, including homeland security, healthcare, defense and aerospace. We have three operating divisions: (a) Security, providing security and inspection systems; (b) Healthcare, providing patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems; and (c) Optoelectronics and Manufacturing, providing specialized electronic components for affiliated end-products divisions, as well as for applications in the defense and aerospace markets, among others.

Security Division. Through our Security division, we design, manufacture and market security and inspection systems worldwide for sale primarily to U.S. and foreign government agencies. These products are used to inspect baggage, cargo, vehicles and other objects for weapons, explosives, drugs and other contraband as well as to screen people. Revenues from our Security division accounted for 41% of our total consolidated revenues for fiscal 2009.

Following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, U.S. Government spending for the development and acquisition of security and inspection systems increased in response to the attacks and has continued at high levels during its global war on terrorism. This spending has had a favorable impact on our business. However, future levels of such spending could decrease as a result of changing budgetary priorities or could shift to products that we do not provide. Additionally, competition for contracts has become more intense in recent years as new competitors and technologies have entered this market.

Healthcare Division. Through our Healthcare division, we design, manufacture and market patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems worldwide for sale primarily to hospitals and medical centers. Our products monitor patients in critical, emergency and perioperative care areas of the hospital and provide such information, through wired and wireless networks, to physicians and nurses who may be at the patient's bedside, in another area of the hospital or even outside the hospital. Revenues from our Healthcare division accounted for 36% of our total consolidated revenues for fiscal 2009.

The healthcare markets in which we operate are highly competitive. We believe that our customers choose among competing patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia products on the basis of product performance, functionality, value and service. We also believe that price has become an important factor in hospital purchasing decisions because of pressures they are facing to cut costs.

In October 2005, Spacelabs Healthcare, Inc., a subsidiary comprising the business operations of our Healthcare division, completed an initial public offering of approximately 20% of its total issued and outstanding common stock. The Spacelabs Healthcare shares traded under the ticker symbol SLAB on the AIM (formerly known as the Alternative Investment Market), a stock market administered by the London Stock Exchange. In the second quarter of fiscal 2007, we began repurchasing publicly-traded shares of Spacelabs Healthcare, increasing our ownership to 84% as of June 30, 2007. By December 31, 2007, we increased our ownership in Spacelabs Healthcare to 100% by repurchasing all remaining shares of Spacelabs Healthcare. Effective January 24, 2008, we cancelled Spacelabs Healthcare's AIM listing.

Optoelectronics and Manufacturing Division. Through our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division, we design, manufacture and market optoelectronic devices and provide electronics manufacturing services worldwide for use in a broad range of applications, including aerospace and defense electronics, security and inspection systems, medical imaging and diagnostics, computed tomography (CT), telecommunications,

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

office automation, computer peripherals and industrial automation. We also provide our optoelectronic devices and value-added manufacturing services to our own Security and Healthcare divisions. Revenues from our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division accounted for approximately 23% of our total consolidated revenues for fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents**Consolidated Results.**

Fiscal 2009 Compared with Fiscal 2008. We reported consolidated operating profit of \$19.5 million for fiscal 2009, a 3% improvement from the \$18.9 million operating profit reported for fiscal 2008. We realized this \$0.6 million increase in operating profits despite a 5% decrease in total revenue in fiscal 2009 to \$590.4 million from \$623.1 million in fiscal 2008. In addition, we incurred \$7.1 million of non-recurring restructuring and other charges in fiscal 2009, an increase of \$2.4 million from the \$4.7 million incurred in fiscal 2008. This improved profitability was driven primarily by a \$20.6 million reduction in SG&A and R&D expenses following restructuring and cost-cutting initiatives we have undertaken during the past two fiscal years. This reduction in operating expenses more than offset a \$17.5 million reduction in gross profit, which resulted from lower revenues, primarily in our Healthcare division, which saw revenues decrease by 17%.

Fiscal 2008 Compared with Fiscal 2007. We reported consolidated operating profit of \$18.9 million for fiscal 2008, a significant improvement from the \$42.2 million operating loss reported for fiscal 2007. This \$61.1 million improvement was primarily due to: (i) a \$90.8 million or 17% growth in revenue; (ii) cost-cutting and facility consolidation initiatives that we began in the second half of fiscal 2007, which have resulted in more efficient manufacturing activities and reduced selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of sales; and (iii) a reduction of impairment and restructuring charges from \$36.4 million in fiscal 2007 to \$4.7 million in fiscal 2008. The impairment and restructuring charges in fiscal 2007 consisted of (i) a \$21.5 million charge associated with the impairment of certain intangible and fixed assets; (ii) \$10.3 million of inventory charges following a review of our product portfolio; and (iii) \$4.6 million of restructuring charges primarily related to the consolidation of several manufacturing processes and facilities among all of our segments. The restructuring charges in fiscal 2008 primarily resulted from additional and continuing consolidation activities of several manufacturing processes and facilities.

As noted above, during fiscal 2007, we undertook a review of our global operations as part of our on-going efforts to integrate business operations and rationalize our overall cost structure. The review resulted in the implementation of cost-cutting measures resulting in a reduction of approximately 8% of our global workforce and the consolidation of multiple facilities. We realized a beneficial impact from these activities in the form of cost savings in fiscal 2008 and in fiscal 2009. In addition, during fiscal 2008, as well as in fiscal 2009, we implemented additional cost savings programs which significantly further reduced our operating expenses.

Acquisitions. Historically, an active acquisition program has been an important element of our corporate strategy. However, in recent years, we slowed our acquisition activity to conduct a global review of our core businesses and technologies and to fully integrate the acquisitions we made during the past several years. This global review and integration resulted in significant restructuring and impairment charges in fiscal 2007 through 2009. See Note 7 to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion. Looking forward, we continue to believe that an active acquisition program supports our long-term strategic direction. We look to acquisitions to strengthen our competitive position, expand our customer base and augment our considerable research and development programs. Through such efforts we aim to accelerate innovation, improve earnings and increase overall stockholder value.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our preparation of these Consolidated Financial Statements requires us to make judgments and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. As a result, actual results may differ from such estimates. Our senior management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of

Table of Contents

our Board of Directors. The following summarizes our critical accounting policies and significant estimates used in preparing our Consolidated Financial Statements:

Revenue Recognition. We recognize revenue upon shipment of products when title and risk of loss passes, and when terms are fixed and collection is probable. In accordance with the terms of Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition and Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Emerging Issues Task Force 00-21 Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables, where installation services, if provided, are essential to the functionality of the equipment, we defer the portion of revenue for the sale attributable to installation until we have completed the installation. When terms of sale include subjective customer acceptance criteria, we defer revenue until the acceptance criteria are met. Concurrent with the shipment of the product, we accrue estimated product return reserves and warranty expenses. Critical judgments made by management related to revenue recognition include the determination of whether or not customer acceptance criteria are perfunctory or inconsequential. The determination of whether or not the customer acceptance terms are perfunctory or inconsequential impacts the amount and timing of the revenue that we recognize. Critical judgments also include estimates of warranty reserves, which are established based on historical experience and knowledge of the product.

We recognize revenues from separate service maintenance contracts ratably over the term of the agreements. For other services, we recognize service revenues as we perform the services. Deferred revenue for services arises from advance payments received from customers for services not yet performed. We record billed shipping and handling fees as revenue and the associated costs as cost of goods sold.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts involves estimates based on management's judgment, review of individual receivables and analysis of historical bad debts. We monitor collections and payments from our customers and we maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We also assess current economic trends that might impact the level of credit losses in the future. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances could be required.

Inventory. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined on the first-in, first-out method. We write down inventory for slow-moving and obsolete inventory based on assessments of future demands, market conditions and customers who may be experiencing financial difficulties. If these factors were to become less favorable than those projected, additional inventory write-downs could be required.

Income Taxes. Our annual tax rate is based on our income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to us in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. Tax laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and respective governmental taxing authorities. Significant judgment is required in determining our tax expense and in evaluating our tax positions including evaluating uncertainties under FASB Interpretation 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). We review our tax positions quarterly and adjust the balances as new information becomes available.

Deferred income tax assets represent amounts available to reduce income taxes payable on taxable income in future years. Such assets arise because of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, as well as from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We evaluate the recoverability of these future tax deductions by assessing the adequacy of future expected taxable income from all sources, including reversal of taxable temporary differences, forecasted operating earnings and available tax planning strategies. These sources of income inherently rely on estimates. To provide insight, we use our historical experience and our short and long-range business forecasts. We believe it is more likely than not that a portion of the deferred income tax assets may expire unused and have established a valuation allowance against them. Although realization is not assured for the remaining deferred income tax assets, we believe it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be fully recoverable within the applicable statutory expiration

Table of Contents

periods. However, deferred tax assets could be reduced in the near term if our estimates of taxable income are significantly reduced or available tax planning strategies are no longer viable.

Business Combinations. Under the purchase method of accounting, we allocate the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. We record the excess of purchase price over the aggregate fair values as goodwill. We engage third-party appraisal firms to assist us in determining the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. These valuations require us to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets. Critical estimates in valuing purchased technology, customer lists and other identifiable intangible assets include future cash flows that we expect to generate from the acquired assets. If the subsequent actual results and updated projections of the underlying business activity change compared with the assumptions and projections used to develop these values, we could experience impairment charges. In addition, we have estimated the economic lives of certain acquired assets and these lives are used to calculate depreciation and amortization expense. If our estimates of the economic lives change, depreciation or amortization expenses could be accelerated or slowed.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. We test goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually and more frequently upon the occurrence of certain events. For purposes of testing for goodwill impairment, we have determined that we have three reporting units for goodwill impairment review purposes, consisting of our Security division, our Healthcare division and our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. We test goodwill for impairment annually during the second fiscal quarter using a two-step process. First, we determine if the carrying amount of any of the reporting units exceeds its fair value. We use a discounted cash flows method to make this determination for each of our reporting units. If this method indicates a potential impairment of goodwill associated with the respective reporting unit, we then compare the implied fair value of the goodwill associated with the respective reporting unit to its carrying amount to determine if there is an impairment loss. We performed this annual impairment test for goodwill during the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and concluded that there was no impairment of goodwill.

We evaluate long-lived assets, including intangible assets other than goodwill, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment is considered to exist if the total estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis are less than the carrying amount of the assets. If an impairment does exist, we measure the impairment loss and record it based on discounted estimated future cash flows. In estimating future cash flows, we group assets at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of cash flows from other asset groups. Our estimate of future cash flows is based upon, among other things, certain assumptions about expected future operating performance, growth rates and other factors.

Although we believe the assumptions and estimates we have made in the past have been reasonable and appropriate, different assumptions and estimates could materially impact our reported financial results. More conservative estimates of the anticipated future benefits from these businesses could result in impairment charges, which would decrease net income and result in lower asset values on our balance sheet.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense. We account for share based compensation using the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). Pursuant to the provisions of SFAS 123(R), we record stock-based compensation as a charge to earnings net of the estimated impact of forfeited awards. As such, we recognize stock-based compensation cost only for those stock-based awards that are estimated to ultimately vest over their requisite service period, based on the vesting provisions of the individual grants.

The process of estimating the fair value of stock-based compensation awards and recognizing stock-based compensation cost over their requisite service period involves significant assumptions and judgments. We estimate the fair value of stock option awards on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-valuation

Table of Contents

model which requires that we make certain assumptions regarding: (i) the expected volatility in the market price of our Common Stock; (ii) dividend yield; (iii) risk-free interest rates; and (iv) the period of time employees are expected to hold the award prior to exercise (referred to as the expected holding period). In addition, SFAS 123(R) requires us to estimate the expected impact of forfeited awards and recognize stock-based compensation cost only for those awards expected to vest. If actual forfeiture rates differ materially from our estimates, stock-based compensation expense could differ significantly from the amounts we have recorded in the current period. We periodically review actual forfeiture experience and revise our estimates, as necessary. We recognize the cumulative effect on current and prior periods of a change in the estimated forfeiture rate as compensation cost in earnings in the period of the revision. As a result, if we revise our assumptions and estimates, our stock-based compensation expense could change materially in the future. See Note 9 (Stock-based Compensation) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a further discussion of stock-based compensation.

Legal and Other Contingencies. We are subject to various claims and legal proceedings. Each fiscal quarter, we review the status of each significant legal dispute to which we are a party and assess our potential financial exposure, if any. If the potential financial exposure from any claim or legal proceeding is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated, we record a liability and an expense for the estimated loss. Significant judgment is required in both the determination of probability and the determination as to whether an exposure is reasonably estimable. Because of uncertainties related to these matters, accruals are based only on the best information available at the time. As additional information becomes available, we reassess the potential liability related to our pending claims and litigation and revise our estimates accordingly. Such revisions in the estimates of the potential liabilities could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position.

Net Revenues

The table below and the discussion that follows are based upon the way we analyze our business. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about business segments.

	2007	% of Net Sales	2008	% of Net Sales	2009	% of Net Sales	2007-2008 % Change	2008-2009 % Change
	(Dollars in millions)							
Security	\$ 186.6	35%	\$ 225.8	36%	240.9	41%	21%	7%
Healthcare	233.2	44%	256.7	41%	214.3	36%	10%	(17)%
Optoelectronics / Manufacturing	150.5	28%	187.7	30%	181.1	31%	25%	(4)%
Intercompany Revenue	(38.0)	(7)%	(47.1)	(7)%	(45.9)	(8)%	24%	(3)%
Total Sales	\$ 532.3		\$ 623.1		\$ 590.4		17%	(5)%

Fiscal 2009 Compared with Fiscal 2008. Net revenues for fiscal 2009 decreased \$32.7 million, or 5%, to \$590.4 million from \$623.1 million for fiscal 2008. Excluding the adverse impact of foreign exchange, the decrease in revenues from fiscal 2008 to 2009 would have been approximately 3%.

Revenues for the Security division for fiscal 2009 increased \$15.1 million, or 7%, to \$240.9 million, from \$225.8 million for fiscal 2008. The increase was attributable to a \$5.4 million, or 5%, increase in sales of baggage and parcel inspection, people screening and hold baggage screening equipment; a \$2.1 million, or 3%, increase in sales of cargo and vehicle inspection systems equipment; and a \$7.6 million, or 20% increase in service revenue. The Security division achieved this growth despite the impact of the worldwide economic slowdown. We believe that such increases in revenues reflect continuing worldwide acceptance of our Security division's products.

Table of Contents

Revenues for the Healthcare division for fiscal 2009 decreased \$42.4 million, or 17%, to \$214.3 million, from \$256.7 million for fiscal 2008. The decrease was primarily attributable to: (i) a \$28.8 million, or 16%, decrease in patient monitoring revenues, primarily in North America; (ii) a reduction in diagnostic cardiology equipment sales of \$6.0 million, or 21%; and (iii) lower clinical trials services sales of \$4.5 million, or 55%. Such decreases were mainly a result of the severe downturn in world financial markets that occurred during fiscal 2009 and the inability of some of our customers, who rely on the credit or equity markets for access to capital, to fund purchases of our products and services.

Revenues for the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division for fiscal 2009 decreased \$6.6 million, or 4%, to \$181.1 million, from \$187.7 million for fiscal 2008. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower weapons simulation sales of \$4.6 million as well as lower commercial optoelectronics sales of \$7.7 million, partially offset by an increase in contract manufacturing sales of \$5.9 million, including product shipments under a significant defense-industry related contract that is expected to continue into fiscal 2010. In addition, for fiscal 2009, the division recorded intercompany sales of \$45.9 million, compared to \$47.1 million in fiscal 2008. This decrease resulted from lower sales by our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division to our Healthcare division, partially offset by higher sales to our Security division. These intercompany fluctuations are directionally consistent with the underlying businesses of our Security and Healthcare divisions. Intercompany sales by our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division to our Security and Healthcare divisions are eliminated in consolidation.

Fiscal 2008 Compared with Fiscal 2007. Net revenues for fiscal 2008 increased \$90.8 million, or 17%, to \$623.1 million from \$532.3 million for fiscal 2007.

Revenues for the Security division for fiscal 2008 increased \$39.2 million, or 21%, to \$225.8 million, from \$186.6 million for fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$15.9 million, or 12%, increase in sales of baggage and parcel inspection and people screening systems and a \$23.4 million, or 45%, increase in sales of cargo and vehicle inspection systems.

Revenues for the Healthcare division for fiscal 2008 increased \$23.5 million, or 10%, to \$256.7 million, from \$233.2 million for fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily attributable to: (i) a \$16.2 million, or 13%, increase in sales of patient monitoring equipment, mainly in North America; (ii) higher Healthcare service, supplies and accessories sales of \$6.5 million, or 15%; and (iii) an increase in sales of diagnostic cardiology equipment of \$3.7 million, or 62%. These increases were partially offset by lower clinical trials services revenue, which decreased by \$2.2 million.

Revenues for the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division for fiscal 2008 increased \$37.2 million, or 25%, to \$187.7 million, from \$150.5 million for fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in contract manufacturing sales of \$44.2 million, or 72%, including product shipments under a significant defense-industry related contract that is expected to continue into fiscal 2009, and was partially offset by decreases in commercial optoelectronics sales of \$3.9 million, or (5)%, and weapons simulation sales of \$3.3 million, or (41)%. In addition, for fiscal 2008, the division recorded intercompany sales of \$47.1 million, compared to \$38.0 million in fiscal 2007. This increase resulted from sales by our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division to our Security and Healthcare divisions required to support higher sales by such divisions. Intercompany sales by our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division to our Security and Healthcare divisions are eliminated in consolidation.

Gross Profit

2007	% of Net Sales	2008	% of Net Sales	2009	% of Net Sales
(Dollars in millions)					

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Gross profit	\$ 178.2	33.5%	\$ 219.0	35.1%	\$ 201.5	34.1%
--------------	----------	-------	----------	-------	----------	-------

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2009 Compared with Fiscal 2008. Gross profit decreased \$17.5 million, or 8%, to \$201.5 million for fiscal 2009, from \$219.0 million for fiscal 2008. The gross margin decreased to 34.1% in fiscal 2009 from 35.1% in fiscal 2008. The decrease in gross profit is primarily a result of changes in the mix of product sold, most notably the 17% decrease in revenues in our Healthcare division (products sold by our Healthcare division generally carry higher gross margins than products sold by our other divisions) and an increase in contract manufacturing sales by our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division, which sales generally carry lower gross margins than most of our other product offerings. The negative impact on our gross margins by this product mix was partially offset by manufacturing efficiencies gained through facility consolidations and cost-cutting activities undertaken during the past two fiscal years.

Fiscal 2008 Compared with Fiscal 2007. Gross profit increased \$40.8 million, or 23%, to \$219.0 million for fiscal 2008, from \$178.2 million for fiscal 2007. The gross margin increased to 35.1% in fiscal 2008 from 33.5% in fiscal 2007. The increase in gross profit was the result of both a 17% increase in total revenue in fiscal 2008 compared to fiscal 2007, which resulted in more efficient manufacturing processes through better leveraging of fixed manufacturing costs for several of our products, and the recording in fiscal 2007 of a \$10.3 million inventory impairment charge that reduced gross profit in the second quarter of fiscal 2007. During fiscal 2007, inventory impairment charges had the effect of reducing the gross margin by 1.9%. Excluding the impact of the aforementioned charge, our gross margin in fiscal 2008 decreased by 0.3% versus fiscal 2007. Although we experienced gross margin improvement in our Healthcare division, the change in the product mix in both the Security and Optoelectronics and Manufacturing divisions offset the impact of this increase. The factors that generally increased gross margins included: (i) growth in the revenues of our Healthcare division, primarily in patient monitoring systems, which generally carry higher gross margins than many of our other products; (ii) cost savings in our Healthcare division from restructuring activities initiated in fiscal 2007 and reduced manufacturing costs in our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division passed along to the Healthcare division through intercompany sales; and (iii) gross margin improvements in cargo and vehicle inspection products in our Security division associated with manufacturing efficiencies. Factors that offset such increases in our consolidated gross margins included increased contract manufacturing revenues in our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division and increased sales of cargo and vehicle inspection systems in our Security division, both of which generally carry a lower gross margin than our other products and services.

Operating Expenses

	2007	% of Net Sales	2008	% of Net Sales	2009	% of Net Sales	2007-2008 % Change	2008-2009 % Change
	(Dollars in millions)							
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 149.9	28.1%	\$ 150.1	24.1%	\$ 137.9	23.4%	0%	(8)%
Research and development	44.4	8.4%	45.3	7.3%	36.9	6.2%	2%	(19)%
Impairment, restructuring and other charges	26.1	4.9%	4.7	0.7%	7.1	1.2%	(82)%	53%
Total operating expenses	\$ 220.4	41.4%	\$ 200.1	32.1%	\$ 181.9	30.8%	(9)%	(9)%

Selling, General and Administrative

Fiscal 2009 Compared with Fiscal 2008. Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses consisted primarily of compensation paid to sales, marketing and administrative personnel, professional service fees and marketing expenses. For fiscal 2009, SG&A expenses decreased by \$12.2 million, or 8%, to \$137.9 million, from \$150.1 million for fiscal 2008. This reduction in spending was a direct result of our ongoing cost containment initiatives and restructuring activities throughout the Company, which were heavily focused in our Healthcare division. Due to our ongoing cost containment and restructuring activities, our SG&A as a percentage of sales decreased to 23.4% in fiscal 2009 as compared to 24.1% in fiscal 2008, despite the 5% sales decrease.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2008 Compared with Fiscal 2007. For fiscal 2008, despite revenue growth of 17%, SG&A expenses were virtually flat as compared to fiscal 2007. We accomplished this by successfully leveraging our SG&A infrastructure while focusing on cost containment initiatives. Such efficiencies led to a 4% decrease in SG&A as a percentage of sales from 28.1% in fiscal 2007 to 24.1% in fiscal 2008.

Research and Development

Our Security and Healthcare divisions have historically invested substantial amounts in research and development. We intend to continue this trend in future years, although specific programs may or may not continue to be funded and funding levels may fluctuate.

Fiscal 2009 Compared with Fiscal 2008. Research and development expenses included research related to new product development and product enhancement expenditures. For fiscal 2009, such expenses decreased by \$8.4 million, or 19%, to \$36.9 million, from \$45.3 million for fiscal 2008. As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses were 6.2% in fiscal 2009, compared to 7.3% in fiscal 2008. The decrease in research and development expenses was primarily attributable to cost reduction efforts in our Healthcare division and increased levels of government R&D funding to our Security division.

Fiscal 2008 Compared with Fiscal 2007. For fiscal 2008, research and development expenses increased by \$0.9 million, or 2%, to \$45.3 million, from \$44.4 million for fiscal 2007. As a percentage of revenues, research and development expenses were 7.3% in fiscal 2008, compared to 8.4% in fiscal 2007. The increase in research and development expenses was primarily attributable to increased spending in support of next generation products in our Healthcare division.

Impairment, Restructuring, and Other Charges

Beginning in fiscal 2007 and continuing through fiscal 2009, we initiated a series of restructuring activities that were intended to realign our global capacity and infrastructure with demand by our customers and fully integrate acquisitions made in the last several years, thereby improving our operational efficiency. These activities included reducing excess workforce and capacity, consolidating and relocating certain manufacturing facilities and reviewing the value of certain technologies and product lines. The overall objectives of the restructuring activities were to lower costs and better utilize our overall existing manufacturing capacity. To date, these efforts have helped enhance our ability to improve operating margins, retain and expand existing relationships with customers and attract new business. We may utilize similar measures in the future to realign our operations to further increase our operating efficiencies, which may materially affect our future operating results.

Fiscal 2009 Compared with Fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2009, we incurred \$7.1 million of restructuring and other charges. Of this amount, \$2 million related to a non-recurring litigation charge. The remaining \$5.1 million was primarily related to headcount reductions and facility closures. Of this \$5.1 million of restructuring costs, \$3.3 million was recorded within our Healthcare division, \$1.3 million within our Security division, \$0.2 million within our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division and \$0.3 million in our Corporate segment. The total restructuring and other charges of \$7.1 million compared to restructuring and charges of \$4.7 million in fiscal 2008, primarily related to headcount reductions and costs associated with the closure of certain facilities.

Fiscal 2008 Compared with Fiscal 2007. During fiscal 2008, we incurred \$4.7 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to headcount reductions and facility closures. Of the \$4.7 million of restructuring costs, \$2.3 million was recorded within our Security division, \$2.0 million within our Healthcare division and \$0.4 million within our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. This compared to combined impairment

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

and restructuring charges of \$36.4 million in fiscal 2007, of which \$26.1 million was reported in operating expenses and \$10.3 million was reported in cost of goods sold.

Table of Contents

During fiscal 2007, as part of a global review of our operations, we assessed the value of certain technologies and product lines. As a result of this assessment, we recorded charges of \$31.8 million. This amount consists of (i) \$21.5 million of asset impairment of certain identifiable intangible and fixed assets and (ii) \$10.3 of inventory charges, primarily related to finished goods inventory. Of the \$21.5 million of impairment charges related to intangible and fixed assets, \$21.3 million was recorded within our Security division and \$0.2 million was recorded within our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. Of the \$10.3 million of impairment charges related to inventory, \$9.9 million was recorded within our Security division and \$0.4 million was recorded within our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. We have reflected such inventory charges in cost of goods sold in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Additionally, we incurred \$4.6 million of restructuring charges related to headcount reductions, office closures, and similar termination issues. Of the \$4.6 million of restructuring costs, \$1.8 million was recorded within our Healthcare division, \$2.0 million within our Security division, \$0.6 million within our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division and \$0.2 million within the Corporate segment. In fiscal 2006, restructuring charges totaled \$0.8 million and were associated with the consolidation of certain facilities.

Other Income and Expenses

	2007	% of Net Sales	2008 (Dollars in millions)	% of Net Sales	2009	% of Net Sales
Other income	\$ 15.8	3.0%	\$	%	\$	%
Interest expense, net	(4.1)	(0.8)%	(4.4)	(0.7)%	(2.9)	(0.5)%
Total non-operating income (expense)	\$ 11.7	2.2%	\$ (4.4)	(0.7)%	\$ (2.9)	(0.5)%

Other Income

In fiscal 2007, we received \$15.0 million in settlement of a dispute associated with our acquisition in fiscal 2004 of Spacelabs Medical, and \$0.8 million in settlement of a dispute with a competitor of our Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division.

Interest Expense, net

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008. In fiscal 2009, we incurred net interest expense of \$2.9 million, compared to \$4.4 million in fiscal 2008. The decrease in interest expense was attributable to a lower cost of borrowing, as a result of lower market-driven interest rates, and by lower average levels of debt. We incurred lower levels of debt due to \$44.5 million of cash generated by operations in fiscal 2009.

Fiscal 2008 Compared to Fiscal 2007. In fiscal 2008, we incurred net interest expense of \$4.4 million, compared to \$4.1 million in fiscal 2007. The increase was primarily attributable to additional debt incurred to finance investments in inventory and the repurchase of Spacelabs Healthcare stock in fiscal 2008. The impact of this increased borrowing was partially offset by more favorable cost of borrowing associated with a new credit facility that we entered into in July 2007 and lower, market driven interest rates.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for a particular period varies depending on a number of factors including (i) the mix of income earned in various tax jurisdictions, each of which applies a unique range of income tax rates and income tax credits, (ii) changes in previously established valuation allowances for deferred tax assets (changes are based upon our current analysis of the likelihood that these deferred tax assets will be realized), (iii) the level of non-deductible expenses, and (iv) tax holidays granted to certain of our international subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008. In fiscal 2009, our income tax expense was \$5.4 million, compared to an income tax expense of \$0.6 million for fiscal 2008. The effective income tax rate for fiscal 2009 was 32.5%, compared to 4.0% for fiscal 2008. Included within the fiscal 2008 tax expense was a net tax benefit of \$3.9 million as a result of discrete items impacting the tax provision, the largest of which was a \$4.3 million tax benefit associated with the repurchase of the minority interest of Spacelabs Healthcare. However, excluding the impact of the discrete tax benefits noted above, the effective tax rate for fiscal 2008 was 31.3%. Our provision for income taxes is dependent on the mix of income from U.S. and foreign locations due to tax rate differences among such countries as well the impact of permanent taxable differences.

Fiscal 2008 Compared to Fiscal 2007. In fiscal 2008, our income tax expense was \$0.6 million, compared to a benefit of \$12.9 million for fiscal 2007. Included within the fiscal 2008 tax expense was a net tax benefit of \$3.9 million, resulting from various nonrecurring items impacting the tax provision, including a \$4.3 million tax benefit due to a change in our investment holding strategy for Spacelabs, as discussed in Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and \$0.4 million expense related to FIN 48. The effective income tax rate for fiscal 2008 was 4.0%. However, excluding the impact of these nonrecurring items, the effective tax rate for fiscal 2008 was 31.3%, compared to 42.3% for fiscal 2007. Our provision for income taxes is dependent on the mix of income from U.S. and foreign locations due to tax rate differences among such countries as well the impact of permanent taxable differences. Due to higher levels of profitability in fiscal 2008, the impact of permanent differences between book and tax accounting has a smaller impact on the effective tax rate in fiscal 2008 than in fiscal 2007, thus, shifting our effective tax rate closer to the statutory rates in the tax jurisdictions in which we operate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed our business primarily from operations and from proceeds from equity issuances and by utilizing our credit facilities, when necessary. In fiscal 2009, our levels of debt decreased as a result of strong cash flow. The changes in our working capital and cash and cash equivalent balances are described below.

	2007	2008	2009	2007-2008 % Change	2008-2009 % Change
	(Dollars in millions)				
Working capital	\$ 158.7	\$ 195.0	\$ 187.6	23%	(4)%
Cash and cash equivalents	16.0	18.2	25.2	14%	38%

Working Capital

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008. Working capital decreased by \$7.4 million, or 4% during fiscal 2009. The most significant decrease in working capital was accounts receivable, which decreased by \$46.3 million, or 30%, to \$110.5 million in fiscal 2009 from \$156.9 million in fiscal 2008. This decrease was a result of an effective ongoing focus on collections as well a 5% reduction in revenue in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008. The most significant increases to working capital were (i) \$11.1 million of net earnings, (ii) a \$20.3 million decrease in account payable and (iii) net repayments of bank lines of credit of \$14.6 million during fiscal 2009. Such repayments were a result of the positive cash flow generated by our improved earnings and improved management of working capital.

Fiscal 2008 Compared to Fiscal 2007. The \$36.3 million increase in our working capital during fiscal 2008 was primarily a result of two factors: (i) \$13.9 million of net earnings and (ii) a \$23.0 million infusion of working capital as a result of entering into a new credit facility. (See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.)

Table of Contents

	2007	2008	2009	2007-2008 % Change	2008-2009 % Change
	(Dollars in millions)				
Cash provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$ (2.3)	\$ (0.7)	\$ 44.5	(70)%	NM
Investing activities	(30.2)	(29.8)	(12.4)	(1)%	(58)%
Financing activities	35.8	33.0	(24.8)	(8)%	(175)%

Cash Used in Operating Activities.

Cash flows from operating activities can fluctuate significantly from period to period as net income (loss), tax timing differences, and other items can significantly impact cash flows. Our largest source of operating cash flows is cash collections from our customers following the sale of our products and services. Our primary uses of cash for operating activities are for purchasing inventory in support of the products that we sell, personnel related expenditures, facilities costs and payments for general operating matters.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008. Cash generated by operating activities in fiscal 2009 was \$44.5 million, an increase of \$45.2 million from fiscal 2008, when our operating activities used \$0.7 million of cash. This improvement was partially due to a \$3.3 million increase in our net income in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008, after giving consideration to non-cash operating items, including depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation, deferred taxes and provisions for losses on accounts receivable, among others for both periods. This improvement was also due to increased emphasis on better working capital management during fiscal 2009, resulting in: (i) a \$45.9 million reduction in the change in accounts receivables, due primarily to an ongoing focus on collections; (ii) a \$19.9 million increase in change from advances from customers, primarily reflecting significant large sales contracts entered into by our Security division during fiscal 2009; (iii) an \$8.0 million decrease in the change in inventory; and (iv) an increase in the change in deferred revenue of \$4.0 million. These cash generating improvements were partially offset by: (i) a decrease in the change in accounts payable of \$29.1 million; (ii) an increase in the change in other receivable of \$4.7 million; and (iii) decrease in the change in accrued warranty of \$4.7 million.

Fiscal 2008 Compared to Fiscal 2007. Cash used in operating activities was \$0.7 million, a decrease of \$1.6 million from fiscal 2007 when our cash used in operating activities was \$2.3 million. This improvement is the result of a \$32.7 million increase in net income in fiscal 2008. This was offset by significant investments made, primarily in inventory in our Security division to support business growth and an increase in accounts receivable resulting from very strong sales in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 of \$171.2 million as compared to \$152.8 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.

Cash Used in Investing Activities

The changes in cash flows from investing activities primarily relate to acquisitions as well as capital expenditures and other assets to support our growth plans.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008. Net cash used in investing activities was \$12.4 million in fiscal 2009, a decrease of \$17.4 million in cash used as compared to the \$29.8 million used in investing activities in fiscal 2008. In fiscal 2009, the primary investing activity involved \$10.9 million of capital expenditures as compared to \$12.1 million during the comparable prior year period. Also, in fiscal 2008, we used \$15.7 million of cash to repurchase shares of Spacelabs Healthcare stock with no comparable investing activity in fiscal 2009. Partially offsetting this reduced investing use of cash in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008 was the acquisition of intangible and other assets of \$3.5 million in fiscal 2009, as compared to \$2.5 million in fiscal 2008.

Fiscal 2008 Compared to Fiscal 2007. Net cash used in investing activities was \$29.8 million in fiscal 2008, a decrease of \$0.4 million as compared to cash used in investing activities of \$30.2 million. During fiscal 2008, we used \$12.1 million in cash for capital expenditures, compared to \$15.3 million for capital expenditures

Table of Contents

in fiscal 2007. During fiscal 2008, we used \$15.7 million to repurchase Spacelabs Healthcare stock, compared to \$4.5 million that we used for the same purpose in fiscal 2007. In fiscal 2007, we used approximately \$22.8 million of cash to acquire Del Mar Reynolds, net of certain adjustments. The usage of cash during fiscal 2007 was partially offset by a \$15.0 million payment that we received from General Electric Corporation in settlement of a dispute regarding an adjustment of the purchase price associated with our acquisition of Spacelabs Medical in March 2004.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities

The changes in cash flows from financing activities primarily relate to: (i) borrowings and payments under debt obligations; (ii) the issuance of and/or repurchase of common stock and (iii) the exercise activity in our equity participation and employee stock purchase plans.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008. Net cash used in financing activities was \$24.8 million in fiscal 2009, a \$57.8 million decrease as compared to net cash provided by financing activity of \$33.0 million in fiscal 2008. During fiscal 2009, we used \$7.4 million in cash to repurchase 619,768 shares of our Common Stock. We also paid down our ongoing scheduled debt and capital leases by \$6.6 million and our revolving lines of credit by \$14.4 million. In fiscal 2008, we received net proceeds of \$23.8 million when we entered into a new credit agreement while simultaneously paying down the preceding credit facility, less the ongoing repayment of our new credit agreement as well as all other scheduled debt and capital lease payments. Also in fiscal 2008, we received net proceeds of \$1.9 million from our revolving lines of credit.

Fiscal 2008 Compared to Fiscal 2007. Cash provided by financing activities was \$33.0 million in fiscal 2008, a \$2.8 million decrease compared to \$35.8 million in fiscal 2007. In fiscal 2008, the source of such funds was a new credit facility that we entered into in July 2007. (See further discussion of the new credit facility under *Borrowings*, below.) The proceeds of this debt were used primarily to repurchase Spacelabs Healthcare stock and to fund an investment in inventory in our Security division. In fiscal 2007, the cash provided by financing activities primarily consisted of proceeds of \$25.4 million from a term loan that we entered into to fund the acquisition of Del Mar Reynolds and \$5.9 million drawn from our revolving lines of credit to fund operations.

Borrowings

We maintain a credit agreement with certain lenders allowing for initial borrowings of up to \$124.5 million. The credit agreement consists of a \$74.5 million, five-year, revolving credit facility (including a \$45 million sub-limit for letters-of-credit) and a \$50 million five-year term loan. Borrowings under the agreement bear interest at either (i) the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus between 2.00% and 2.50% or (ii) the bank's prime rate plus between 1.00% and 1.50%. The rates are determined based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. As of June 30, 2009, the weighted-average interest rate under the credit agreement was 3.11%. Our borrowings under the credit agreement are guaranteed by our domestic subsidiaries and are secured by substantially all of our subsidiary guarantors' assets. The agreement contains various representations, warranties, affirmative, negative and financial covenants, and conditions of default customary for financing agreements of this type. As of June 30, 2009, \$42.8 million was outstanding under the term loan, \$4.0 million was outstanding under the revolving credit facility, and \$20.5 million was outstanding under the letter-of-credit facility.

Several of our foreign subsidiaries maintain bank lines-of-credit, denominated in local currencies, to meet short-term working capital requirements and for the issuance of letters-of-credit. As of June 30, 2009, \$16.7 million was outstanding under these letter-of-credit facilities, while no debt was outstanding. As of June 30, 2009, the total amount available under these credit facilities was \$21.7 million, with a total cash borrowing sub-limit of \$7.3 million.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

In fiscal 2005, we entered into a bank loan of \$5.3 million to fund the acquisition of land and buildings in the U.K. The loan is payable over a 20-year period. The loan bears interest at British pound-based LIBOR plus

Table of Contents

1.2%, payable on a quarterly basis. As of June 30, 2009, \$3.5 million remained outstanding under this loan at an interest rate of 2.2% per annum.

The following is a summary of our contractual obligations and commitments at June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	2-3 years	4-5 years	After 5 years
Total debt (excluding capital lease obligations) (1)	\$ 51,005	\$ 11,933	\$ 18,094	\$ 18,315	\$ 2,663
Capital lease obligations	\$ 1,355	\$ 644	\$ 711	\$	\$
Operating leases	\$ 41,439	\$ 10,635	\$ 16,766	\$ 11,325	\$ 2,713
Purchase obligations	\$ 41,714	\$ 39,500	\$ 2,212	\$ 2	\$
Defined benefit plan obligation	\$ 7,797	\$ 303	\$ 782	\$ 412	\$ 6,300
Total contractual obligations	\$ 143,310	\$ 63,015	\$ 38,565	\$ 30,054	\$ 11,676
Other Commercial Commitments letters of credit	\$ 37,221	\$ 17,866	\$ 18,950	\$	\$ 405

- (1) We have presented the outstanding balance of \$4.0 million on bank lines of credit at June 30, 2009, as due within less than one year in order to conform to the classification in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, our total debt obligations exclude interest costs due to their variable nature.

We anticipate that cash generated from our operations, in addition to existing cash borrowing arrangements and future access to capital markets should be sufficient to meet our cash requirements for the foreseeable future. However, our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including future business acquisitions, litigation, stock repurchases and levels of research and development spending, among other factors and the adequacy of available funds will depend on many factors, including the success of our businesses in generating cash, continued compliance with financial covenants contained in our credit facility, and the capital markets in general, among other factors.

Stock Repurchase Program

Our Board of Directors has authorized a stock repurchase program under which we may repurchase up to 3,000,000 shares of our Common Stock. During fiscal 2009, we repurchased 619,768 shares under this program. As of June 30, 2009, 711,205 shares were available for additional repurchase under the program. Upon repurchase, the shares are restored to the status of authorized but unissued shares and we record them as a reduction in the number of shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2009, we had no off balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K, other than those previously disclosed.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For information with respect to new accounting pronouncements and the impact of these pronouncements on our Consolidated Financial Statements, see Note 1 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Related-Party Transactions

In 1994, we, together with an unrelated company, formed ECIL-Rapiscan Security Products Limited, a joint venture organized under the laws of India. We own a 36% interest in the joint venture, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer owns a 10.5% interest, and our Executive Vice President and the President of our Security division owns a 4.5% ownership interest. Our initial investment was \$0.1 million. For the years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009 our equity earnings in the joint venture amounted to \$0.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. We, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and our Executive Vice President and the President of our Security division collectively control less than 50% of the board of directors voting power in the joint venture. As a result, we account for the investment under the equity method of accounting. The joint venture was formed for the purpose of the manufacture, assembly, service and testing of security and inspection systems and other products. Some of our subsidiaries are suppliers to the joint venture, which in turn manufactures and sells the resulting products. Sales to the joint venture for fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009 were approximately \$0.5 million, \$1.6 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. Receivables from the joint venture were \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

We have contracted with entities owned by members of our Board of Directors and/or their family members to provide messenger services, auto rental and printing services. Included in cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses for the fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009, are approximately \$50,000, \$40,000 and \$54,000, respectively, for messenger service and auto rental; and \$50,000, \$42,000 and \$45,000, respectively, for printing services.

Table of Contents**UNAUDITED QUARTERLY RESULTS**

The following tables present unaudited quarterly financial information for the four quarters ended June 30, 2008 and 2009 (in thousands, except per share data):

	September 30, 2007	Quarter Ended		June 30, 2008
		December 31, 2007	March 31, 2008	
(Unaudited)				
Revenues	\$ 131,013	\$ 164,194	\$ 156,708	\$ 171,173
Costs of goods sold	86,903	105,193	100,322	111,631
Gross profit	44,110	59,001	56,386	59,542
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative expenses	36,211	39,105	37,629	37,105
Research and development	9,729	11,725	12,055	11,852
Impairment, restructuring and other charges	85	2,114	1,156	1,333
Total operating expenses	46,025	52,944	50,840	50,290
Income (loss) from operations	(1,915)	6,057	5,546	9,252
Interest expense net	(1,089)	(1,168)	(1,162)	(1,050)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes and minority interest	(3,004)	4,889	4,384	8,202
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(1,055)	1,721	(2,643)	2,556
Minority interest of net earnings (losses) of consolidated subsidiaries	118	(312)	118	108
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,067)	\$ 3,480	\$ 6,909	\$ 5,538
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.31
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.31

	September 30, 2008	Quarter Ended		June 30, 2009
		December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009	
(Unaudited)				
Revenues	\$ 148,161	\$ 159,042	\$ 144,095	\$ 139,063
Costs of goods sold	98,526	104,623	94,264	91,497
Gross profit	49,635	54,419	49,831	47,566
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative expenses	37,571	35,693	34,384	30,291
Research and development	10,213	8,669	8,572	9,408
Impairment, restructuring and other charges	801	2,798	2,401	1,123
Total operating expenses	48,585	47,160	45,357	40,822

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Income from operations	1,050	7,259	4,474	6,744
Interest expense net	(895)	(863)	(583)	(595)
Income before provision for income taxes and minority interest	155	6,396	3,891	6,149
Provision for income taxes	53	2,200	1,296	1,844
Minority interest of net earnings (losses) of consolidated subsidiaries	(30)	34	22	20
Net income	\$ 132	\$ 4,162	\$ 2,573	\$ 4,285
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.25
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.24

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks, which are inherent in our financial instruments and arise from transactions entered into in the normal course of business. We may enter into derivative financial instrument transactions in order to manage or reduce market risk in connection with specific foreign-currency-denominated transactions. We do not enter into derivative financial instrument transactions for speculative purposes.

We are subject to interest rate risk on our short-term borrowings under our bank lines of credit. Borrowings under these lines of credit do not give rise to significant interest rate risk because these borrowings have short maturities and are borrowed at variable interest rates. Historically, we have not experienced material gains or losses due to interest rate changes.

Foreign Currency

We maintain the accounts of our operations in each of the following countries in the following currencies: Finland, France, Germany, Italy and Greece (Euros), Singapore (Singapore dollars and U.S. dollars), Malaysia (Malaysian ringgits), United Kingdom (U.K. pounds), Norway (Norwegian kroners), India (Indian rupees), Indonesia (Indonesian rupiah), Hong Kong (Hong Kong dollars), China (Chinese renminbi), Canada (Canadian dollars), Australia (Australian dollars) and Cyprus (Cypriot pounds). Foreign currency financial statements are translated into U.S. dollars at fiscal year-end rates, with the exception of revenues, costs and expenses, which are translated at average rates during the reporting period. We include gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions in income, while we exclude those resulting from translation of financial statements from income and include them as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). Transaction gains and losses, which were included in our consolidated statement of operations, amounted to a gain of approximately \$0.4 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Furthermore, a 10% appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the local currency exchange rates would have resulted in a net increase in our operating income of approximately \$6.0 million in fiscal 2009. Conversely, a 10% depreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the local currency exchange rates would have resulted in a net decrease in our operating income of approximately \$6.0 million in fiscal 2009.

Use of Derivatives

Our use of derivatives consists primarily of foreign exchange contracts and interest rate swap agreements. As discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financials Statements, as of June 30, 2009, we had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts and an interest rate swap agreement, which were considered effective cash flow hedges in their entirety. As a result, the net losses on such derivative contracts have been reported as a component of other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Financials Statements and will be reclassified into net earnings when the hedged transactions settle.

Importance of International Markets

International markets provide us with significant growth opportunities. However, the following events, among others, could adversely affect our financial results in subsequent periods: periodic economic downturns in different regions of the world, changes in trade policies or tariffs, civil

or military conflict and other political instability. We continue to perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and, if deemed necessary, we require advance payments for sales. We monitor economic and currency conditions around the world to evaluate whether there may be any significant effect on our international sales in the future. Due to our overseas investments and the necessity of dealing with local currencies in our foreign business transactions, we are at risk with respect to foreign currency fluctuations.

Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our results of operations.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Risk**

We utilize short-term and long-term financing and may use interest rate hedges to manage the effect of interest rate changes on our existing debt. As of June 30, 2009, we had an interest rate swap agreement outstanding as discussed above under Use of Derivatives.

The principal maturity and estimated value of our long-term debt exposure as of June 30, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

	Maturity						Total	Fair Value
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014 and thereafter		
Secured long term loans and capital lease obligations	\$ 6,593	\$ 8,605	\$ 11,034	\$ 7,825	\$ 18,069	\$ 3,558	\$ 55,684	\$ 55,684
Average interest rate	6.5%	6.2%	6.2%	6.2%	6.2%	7.1%	6.5%	

The principal maturity and estimated value of our long-term debt exposure as of June 30, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

	Maturity						Total	Fair Value
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 and thereafter		
Secured long term loans and capital lease obligations	\$ 8,577	\$ 11,007	\$ 7,798	\$ 18,042	\$ 273	\$ 2,663	\$ 48,360	\$ 48,360
Average interest rate	3.2%	3.5%	4.3%	3.9%	4.0%	4.0%	3.2%	

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

We make reference here to the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements that appears on page F-1 of this report. The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm from Moss Adams LLP, the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements, which appear beginning on page F-2 of this report, are incorporated by reference into this Item 8.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of June 30, 2009, the end of the period covered by this report, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Such disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that material information we must disclose in this report is recorded, processed, summarized and filed or submitted on a timely basis. Based upon that evaluation our management, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2009.

Moss Adams LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this report, has issued its attestation report, which appears below, on our management's assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during fiscal 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of OSI Systems, Inc.:

Hawthorne, California

We have audited OSI Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries, (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009, based on criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, OSI Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009, based on criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control Integrated Framework.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule of OSI Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, and our report dated August 27, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule.

MOSS ADAMS LLP

Los Angeles, California

August 27, 2009

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information called for by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which Proxy Statement is anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of June 30, 2009.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information called for by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which Proxy Statement is anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of June 30, 2009.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information called for by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which Proxy Statement is anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of June 30, 2009.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information called for by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which Proxy Statement is anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of June 30, 2009.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information called for by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from our definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which Proxy Statement is anticipated to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. *Financial Statements.* Please see the accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements, which appears on page F-1 of the report. The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements listed in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements, which appear beginning on page F-2 of this report, are incorporated by reference into Item 8 above.

2. *Financial Statement Schedules.*

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

No other financial statement schedules are presented as the required information is either not applicable or included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

(b) *Exhibits.* The exhibits listed on the accompanying Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page are filed as part of, or are incorporated by reference into, this report.

(c) *Financial Statement Schedules.* Reference is made to Item 15(a)(2) above.

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Moss Adams LLP</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	F-36

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of OSI Systems, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of OSI Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the three years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15 in Schedule II. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of OSI Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of June 30, 2008 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and cash flows for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 158 Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans—an Amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R), which changed the Company's method of accounting for pension and postretirement benefits as of June 30, 2007. As discussed in Notes 1 and 10 to the consolidated financial statements, effective July 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an Interpretation of FASB No. 109.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of OSI Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated August 27, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

MOSS ADAMS LLP

Los Angeles, California

August 27, 2009

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share data)

	June 30,	
	2008	2009
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 18,232	\$ 25,172
Accounts receivable	156,781	110,453
Other receivables	3,258	2,950
Inventories	144,807	150,763
Deferred income taxes	19,313	20,128
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	14,064	13,777
Total current assets	356,455	323,243
Property and equipment, net	47,191	42,232
Goodwill	60,408	60,195
Intangible assets, net	34,495	32,451
Other assets	9,092	16,707
Total assets	\$ 507,641	\$ 474,828
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Bank lines of credit	\$ 18,657	\$ 4,000
Current portion of long-term debt	6,593	8,557
Accounts payable	75,320	54,980
Accrued payroll and related expenses	20,896	22,416
Advances from customers	6,746	12,863
Accrued warranties	11,597	10,106
Deferred revenue	7,414	8,880
Other accrued expenses and current liabilities	14,274	13,833
Total current liabilities	161,497	135,635
Long-term debt	49,091	39,803
Other long-term liabilities	17,804	22,310
Total liabilities	228,392	197,748
Minority interest	1,228	1,080
Commitment and contingencies (Note 11)		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value authorized, 10,000,000 shares; no shares issued or outstanding		
Common stock, no par value authorized, 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 17,740,057 and 17,411,569 shares at June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively	224,581	225,297
Retained earnings	41,972	53,124
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	11,468	(2,421)
Total shareholders' equity	278,021	276,000

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 507,641	\$ 474,828
--	------------	------------

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except per share data)**

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2007	2008	2009
Revenues	\$ 532,284	\$ 623,088	\$ 590,361
Cost of goods sold	354,067	404,049	388,910
Gross profit	178,217	219,039	201,451
Operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	149,859	150,050	137,939
Research and development	44,446	45,361	36,862
Impairment, restructuring, and other charges	26,071	4,688	7,123
Total operating expenses	220,376	200,099	181,924
Income (loss) from operations	(42,159)	18,940	19,527
Other income (expense):			
Other income	15,766		
Interest expense, net	(4,069)	(4,469)	(2,936)
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interest	(30,462)	14,471	16,591
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(12,876)	579	5,393
Minority interest of earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	1,172	32	46
Net income (loss)	\$ (18,758)	\$ 13,860	\$ 11,152
Earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$ (1.11)	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.64
Diluted	\$ (1.12)	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.63
Shares used in per share calculation:			
Basic	16,844	17,428	17,518
Diluted	16,844	17,735	17,596

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009****(in thousands, except share data)**

	Common		Accumulated Other Comprehensive		Total
	Number of Shares	Amount	Retained Earnings	(loss) Income	(loss) Income
Balance June 30, 2006	16,598,361	\$ 193,698	\$ 50,208	\$ 5,041	\$ 248,947
Exercise of stock options	411,157	6,277			6,277
Tax benefit of stock options exercised		844			844
Shares purchased under employee stock purchase program	77,471	1,173			1,173
Stock compensation expense		5,268			5,268
Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net loss			(18,758)		(18,758)
Other comprehensive loss translation adjustment				4,405	4,405
Impact from implementation of SFAS 158 and minimum pension liability adjustment net of tax				(944)	(944)
Comprehensive loss					\$ (15,297)
Balance June 30, 2007	17,086,989	\$ 207,260	\$ 31,450	\$ 8,502	\$ 247,212
Exercise of stock options	340,642	5,807			5,807
Vesting of restricted shares	6,671				
Net tax expense of stock options exercised/cancelled		(411)			(411)
Shares purchased under employee stock purchase program	65,908	1,296			1,296
Stock compensation expense		4,777			4,777
Shares issued for the purchase of Spacelabs Healthcare shares	239,847	5,898			5,898
Dividend distribution to Minority Interest Shareholders		(46)			(46)
FIN 48 tax adjustment			(3,338)		(3,338)
Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net income			13,860		13,860
Other comprehensive loss translation adjustment				3,276	3,276
Minimum pension liability adjustment net of tax				(310)	(310)
Comprehensive income					\$ 16,826
Balance June 30, 2008	17,740,057	\$ 224,581	\$ 41,972	\$ 11,468	\$ 278,021
Exercise of stock options	163,680	2,495			2,495
Vesting of restricted shares	52,006				
Net tax expense of stock options exercised/cancelled		(555)			(555)
Shares purchased under employee stock purchase program	75,594	1,109			1,109
Stock compensation expense		5,055			5,055
Treasury shares	(619,768)	(7,388)			(7,388)
Comprehensive income (loss):					
Net income			11,152		11,152
Other comprehensive loss translation adjustment				(13,644)	(13,644)
Minimum pension liability adjustment net of tax				(529)	(529)
Net unrealized loss from SFAS133 derivative contracts				(286)	(286)
Reclassification of net gain from SFAS 133 derivative instruments				(55)	(55)
Unrealized gain on investments available for sale				625	625

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Comprehensive loss						\$ (2,737)
Balance June 30, 2009	17,411,569	\$ 225,297	\$ 53,124	\$	(2,421)	\$ 276,000

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-5

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2007	2008	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income (loss)	\$ (18,758)	\$ 13,860	\$ 11,152
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	17,828	19,342	17,805
Settlement of Spacelabs Healthcare purchase price dispute	(15,000)		
Stock based compensation expense	5,268	4,777	5,055
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	1,862	335	5,377
Minority interest in net income of subsidiary	825	32	46
Equity in (earnings) losses of unconsolidated affiliates	11	(403)	(689)
Tax effect of exercise (cancellation) of stock options	844	(411)	(555)
Deferred income taxes	(22,682)	(4,887)	(2,259)
Non-cash impairment and restructuring charges	22,163		
Other	327	124	154
Changes in operating assets and liabilities net of business acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable	(15,602)	(15,127)	30,821
Other receivables	42	2,009	(2,725)
Inventories	6,250	(25,557)	(17,607)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,948	(3,439)	(1,660)
Accounts payable	1,749	13,905	(15,226)
Accrued payroll and related expenses	776	3,539	667
Advances from customers	11,454	(10,052)	9,806
Accrued warranties	(779)	4,055	(615)
Deferred revenue	(2,968)	(20)	3,996
Other accrued expenses and current liabilities	(2,835)	(2,754)	957
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(2,277)	(672)	44,500
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Acquisition of property and equipment	(15,257)	(12,117)	(10,852)
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	147	488	2,300
Acquisition of businesses net of cash acquired	(23,107)		
Purchase of investments and marketable securities			(407)
Buyback of subsidiary stock	(4,450)	(15,674)	
Settlement of Spacelabs Healthcare purchase price dispute	15,000		
Acquisition of intangible and other assets	(3,030)	(2,538)	(3,467)
Other	491		
Net cash used in investing activities	(30,206)	(29,841)	(12,426)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Net proceeds from (repayments of) bank lines of credit	5,889	1,905	(14,411)
Proceeds from long-term debt	25,413	50,127	
Payments on long-term debt	(4,501)	(25,140)	(5,692)
Net proceeds from (payments of) capital lease obligations	1,302	(1,138)	(899)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options, warrants and employee stock purchase plan	7,657	7,216	3,605
Repurchase of common shares			(7,388)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	35,760	32,970	(24,785)

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(1,096)	(205)	(349)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	2,181	2,252	6,940
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of year	13,799	15,980	18,232
Cash and cash equivalents end of year	\$ 15,980	\$ 18,232	\$ 25,172
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest	3,991	4,411	3,001
Income taxes	3,443	3,229	6,801
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing activities:			
Equipment purchased under capital lease obligations	2,493	350	
Buyback of subsidiary stock with Common Stock		5,898	

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business OSI Systems, Inc., together with its subsidiaries (the Company), is a vertically integrated designer and manufacturer of specialized electronic systems and components for critical applications. The Company sells its products in diversified markets, including homeland security, healthcare, defense and aerospace.

The Company has three operating divisions: (i) Security, providing security inspection systems; (ii) Healthcare, providing patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems; and (iii) Optoelectronics and Manufacturing, providing specialized electronic components for the Security and Healthcare divisions as well as for applications in the defense and aerospace markets, among others.

Through its Security division, the Company designs, manufactures and markets security and inspection systems worldwide primarily under the Rapiscan Systems trade name. Rapiscan Systems products are used to inspect baggage, cargo, vehicles and other objects for weapons, explosives, drugs and other contraband and to screen people. These products are also used for the safe, accurate and efficient verification of cargo manifests for the purpose of assessing duties and monitoring the export and import of controlled materials. Rapiscan Systems products fall into four categories: baggage and parcel inspection, cargo and vehicle inspection, hold (checked) baggage screening and people screening.

Through its Healthcare division, the Company designs, manufactures and markets patient monitoring, diagnostic cardiology and anesthesia systems worldwide primarily under the Spacelabs trade name. These products are used by care providers in critical care, emergency and perioperative areas within hospitals as well as physicians offices, medical clinics and ambulatory surgery centers.

Through its Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division, the Company designs, manufactures and markets optoelectronic devices and provides electronics manufacturing services worldwide for use in a broad range of applications, including aerospace and defense electronics, security and inspection systems, medical imaging and diagnostics, computed tomography (CT), telecommunications, office automation, computer peripherals and industrial automation. The Company sells optoelectronic devices primarily under the OSI Optoelectronics trade name and performs electronics manufacturing services primarily under the OSI Electronics trade name. This division provides products and services to original equipment manufacturers as well as to the Company's own Security and Healthcare divisions. The Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division also designs toll and traffic management systems under the OSI LaserScan trade name and systems for measuring bone density under the Osteometer trade name.

Consolidation The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of OSI Systems, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and also include the accounts and operating results of Spacelabs Healthcare, OSI Electronics Pte Ltd and Opto Sensors Hong Kong Limited, less that portion of income or loss allocated to minority interest. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Spacelabs Healthcare Public Offering and Repurchase In October 2005, Spacelabs Healthcare, Inc., a subsidiary composed of the business operations of the Company's Healthcare division, completed an initial public offering of approximately 20% of its total issued and outstanding common stock. The Spacelabs Healthcare shares traded under the ticker symbol SLAB on the AIM (formerly known as the Alternative Investment Market), a stock market administered by the London Stock Exchange. During fiscal years 2007 and 2008, the Company repurchased all of the publicly-traded shares of Spacelabs Healthcare, completing this repurchase in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. As a result, the Company owns 100% of the stock of Spacelabs Healthcare.

F-7

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash Equivalents The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with maturities of three months or less as of the acquisition date, to be cash equivalents.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The allowance for doubtful accounts involves estimates based on management's judgment, review of individual receivables and analysis of historical bad debts. The Company adjusts customer credit limits based upon each customer's credit worthiness. The Company monitors collections and payments from its customers and maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

Inventories Inventories are generally stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market. The Company writes down inventory for slow-moving and obsolete inventory based on assessments of future demands, market conditions and customers who may be experiencing financial difficulties. If these factors are less favorable than those projected, additional inventory write-downs may be required. As part of a global review of its operations, the Company assessed the value of certain technologies and product lines. As a result of this assessment, the Company impaired inventory by \$10.3 million in fiscal 2007. See Note 7 for additional information about this write-down.

Property and Equipment Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Amortization of leasehold improvements is calculated on the straight-line basis over the shorter of the useful life of the asset or the lease term. Leased capital assets are included in property and equipment. Amortization of property and equipment under capital leases is included with depreciation expense.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets and Valuation of Long-Lived Assets Goodwill represents the excess purchase price of net tangible and intangible assets acquired in business combinations over their estimated fair value. Goodwill is allocated to the Company's segments based on the nature of the product line of the acquired entity. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS 141) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142), goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis and earlier if there is an indicator of impairment. Furthermore, SFAS 142 requires purchased intangible assets other than goodwill to be amortized over their useful lives unless these lives are determined to be indefinite. For purposes of SFAS 142, the Company has determined that it has three reporting units, consisting of the Security division, the Healthcare division and the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually in its second fiscal quarter using a two-step process. First, the Company determines if the carrying amount of any of the reporting units within each of its divisions exceeds its fair value. It uses a discounted cash flows method to make this determination. If this method indicates a potential impairment of goodwill associated with any reporting unit, the Company then compares the implied fair value of the goodwill associated with the respective reporting unit to its

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

carrying amount to determine if there is an impairment loss. There was no goodwill impairment for fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009.

F-8

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

In accordance with SFAS No. 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, (SFAS 144) the Company evaluates long-lived assets, including intangible assets other than goodwill, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. An impairment is considered to exist if the total estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis are less than the carrying amount of the assets. If impairment does exist, the Company measures the impairment loss and records it based on the discounted estimate of future cash flows. In estimating future cash flows, the Company groups assets at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of cash flows from other asset groups. The Company's estimate of future cash flows is based upon, among other things, certain assumptions about expected future operating performance, growth rates and other factors.

During fiscal 2007, the Company recognized non-cash impairment charges totaling \$21.5 million relating to software development costs, core technology, developed technology, customer relationships/backlog and fixed assets. Of the \$21.5 million impairment charge, the Company recognized \$21.3 million within the Security division and \$0.2 million within the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. See Note 7 for additional information about these impairment charges. There were no such impairments in fiscal 2008 or 2009.

Income Taxes Deferred income taxes are provided for temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities, based on enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. On July 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a two-step process for the financial statement measurement and recognition of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The first step involves the determination of whether it is more likely than not (greater than 50 percent likelihood) that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, based on the technical merits of the position. The second step requires that any tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold be measured and recognized in the financial statements at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN 48 also provides guidance on the accounting for related interest and penalties, financial statement classification and disclosure. The cumulative effect of applying FIN 48 is to be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. See Note 10 for additional information regarding the impact of FIN 48.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash, marketable securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable and debt instruments. The carrying values of financial instruments, other than debt instruments, are representative of their fair values due to their short-term maturities. The carrying values of the Company's long-term debt instruments are considered to approximate their fair values because the interest rates of these instruments are variable or comparable to current rates offered to the Company.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Pursuant to SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), the Company has determined that all of its marketable securities fall into the Level 1 category, which values assets at the quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; while the Company's derivative instruments fall into the Level 2 category, which values assets and liabilities from observable inputs other than quoted market prices. As of July 1, 2008, the fair value of such assets was \$0.2 million, while at June 30, 2009, the fair value was \$2.9 million. There were no assets or liabilities where Level 3 valuation techniques were used and there were no assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity The Company's use of derivatives consists primarily of foreign exchange contracts and interest rate swap agreements. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts totaling \$7.0 million to sell Taiwanese dollars in anticipation of the settlement in fiscal 2010 of sales denominated in Taiwanese dollars. In addition, to reduce the unpredictability of cash flows from interest payments related to variable, LIBOR-based debt, the Company had outstanding a three year interest rate swap agreement, whereby the Company essentially incurs interest expense based upon a fixed 1.69% rate index for a portion of its term loan. The interest rate swap matures in March 2012. Pursuant to SFAS No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (as amended) (SFAS 133), each of these derivative contracts, as well other derivative contracts settled during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, are considered effective cash flow hedges in their entirety. As a result, the net gains or losses on such derivative contracts have been reported as a component of other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Financial Statements and are reclassified into net earnings when the hedged transactions settle.

Revenue Recognition The Company recognizes revenue upon shipment of products when title and risk of loss passes, and when terms are fixed and collection is probable. In accordance with the terms of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition, and Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables, where installation services, if provided, are essential to the functionality of the equipment, the portion of revenue for the sale attributable to installation is deferred and recognized when the installation service is provided. In an instance where terms of sale include subjective customer acceptance criteria, revenue is deferred until the acceptance criteria are met. Concurrent with the shipment of the product, the Company accrues estimated product return reserves and warranty expenses. Critical judgments made by management related to revenue recognition include the determination of whether or not customer acceptance criteria are perfunctory or inconsequential. The determination of whether or not the customer acceptance terms are perfunctory or inconsequential impacts the amount and timing of revenue recognized. Critical judgments also include estimates of warranty reserves, which are established based on historical experience and knowledge of the product.

Revenues from separate service maintenance contracts are recognized ratably over the term of the agreements. For other services, service revenues are recognized as the services are performed. Deferred revenue for services arises from advance payments received from customers for services not yet performed.

Freight The Company records shipping and handling fees it charges to its customers as revenue and related costs as cost of goods sold.

Research and Development Costs Research and development costs are those costs related to the development of a new product, process or service, or significant improvement to an existing product, process or service. Such costs are charged to operations as incurred. Grants for research and development are recorded as a reimbursement of costs as such grants are received.

Stock-Based Compensation The Company accounts for stock-based compensation pursuant to the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R) using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under this method, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the estimated fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the employee's requisite service period for all stock-based awards granted, modified or cancelled. See Employee Stock Plans at

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Restructuring Charges The Company periodically consolidates processes and facilities of its subsidiaries. The Company records the associated charges as restructuring charges and calculates them in the Consolidated

F-10

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

Financial Statements in accordance with SFAS 144 and SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Exit or Disposal Activities. In fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009, the Company consolidated manufacturing processes and facilities of certain businesses resulting in pre-tax restructuring charges of \$4.5 million, \$4.7 million and \$7.1 million, respectively. See Note 7 for additional information about these restructuring charges.

Concentrations of Credit Risk Financial instruments that are potentially subject to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and accounts receivable. The Company restricts investments in cash equivalents to financial institutions with high credit standing. Credit risk on accounts receivable is minimized as a result of the large and diverse nature of the Company's worldwide customer base. No individual customer accounted for more than 10% of accounts receivable as of June 30, 2008 or 2009 or revenues for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 or 2009. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and maintains allowances for potential credit losses. For cost, control and efficiency reasons, the Company at times purchases raw materials and subcomponents from a single vendor though it generally qualifies second sources for most of its raw materials and critical components or has identified alternative sources of supply.

Foreign Currency Translation The Company transacts business in various foreign currencies. In general, the functional currency of a foreign operation is the local country's currency. Consequently, revenues and expenses of operations outside the United States are translated into United States dollars using average exchange rates while assets and liabilities of operations outside the United States are translated into United States dollars using year-end exchange rates. The effects of foreign currency translation adjustments are included in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Transaction gains of approximately \$0.4 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million, were included in the consolidated statement of operations for fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Earnings per Share Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the sum of the weighted average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding. Potential common shares consist of the shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options or warrants under the treasury stock method.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the fiscal years ended June 30 (in thousands, except earnings per share data):

	2007	2008	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ (18,758)	\$ 13,860	\$ 11,152
Effect of dilutive interest in subsidiary stock	(57)		
Income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ (18,815)	\$ 13,860	\$ 11,152

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Weighted average shares outstanding basic	16,844	17,428	17,518
Dilutive effect of stock options and warrants		307	78
Weighted average of shares outstanding diluted	16,844	17,735	17,596
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (1.11)	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.64
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (1.12)	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.63

F-11

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

As of June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, approximately 2.6 million, 0.4 million and 1.9 million, respectively, of potentially dilutive shares associated with stock options and stock warrants, collectively, were not included in diluted earnings per common share calculations because to do so would have been antidilutive.

Provision for Warranties The Company offers its customers warranties on most products sold to them. These warranties typically provide for repairs and maintenance for a specified time period. Concurrent with the sale of products, a provision for estimated warranty expenses is recorded with a corresponding increase in cost of goods sold. This provision is adjusted periodically based on historical and anticipated experience. Actual expenses of repairs under warranty, including parts and labor, are charged to this provision when incurred.

	Provision for Warranties (in thousands)
Balance on June 30, 2006	\$ 7,224
Additions	3,798
Increase as a result of acquisitions	439
Reductions for warranty repair costs	(4,018)
Balance on June 30, 2007	7,443
Additions	7,709
Reductions for warranty repair costs	(3,555)
Balance on June 30, 2008	11,597
Additions	4,472
Reductions for warranty repair costs	(5,963)
Balance on June 30, 2009	\$ 10,106

Subsequent Events In fiscal 2009, the Company adopted SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events (SFAS 165), which clarifies accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date, but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Pursuant to SFAS 165, the Company evaluated events and transactions occurring after the balance sheet date through August 27, 2009, which is the date that the financial statements are issued, and noted one event, as discussed below, that is subject to disclosure.

On July 28, 2009, the Company completed the acquisition of certain assets and the assumption of certain liabilities of RAD Electronics, Inc. The acquired operations design and manufacturer cable assemblies and printed circuit boards for original equipment manufacturers in the commercial electronics industry. The Company acquired accounts receivable, inventory, and fixed assets, as well as all of the patents, intellectual property and intangible assets used in the acquired operations, in exchange for (i) a cash payment due at the closing of the transaction of \$3.2 million and (ii) additional consideration that may become due during the next four years depending on the performance of the acquired operations.

New Accounting Pronouncements In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, which clarifies the definition of fair value whenever another standard requires or permits assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. Specifically, the standard clarifies that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, and establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. SFAS 157 does not expand the use of fair value to any new circumstances, and must be applied on a prospective basis except in certain cases. The standard also requires expanded financial statement disclosures about fair value measurements, including disclosure of the methods used and the effect on earnings.

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS No. 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP 157-2). FSP 157-2 defers the effective date of SFAS 157 to fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Examples of items within the scope of FSP 157-2 are nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities initially measured at fair value in a business combination (but not measured at fair value in subsequent periods), and long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment and intangible assets measured at fair value for an impairment assessment under SFAS 144.

The partial adoption of SFAS 157 on July 1, 2008, with respect to financial assets and financial liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company is in the process of analyzing the potential impact of SFAS 157 relating to its July 1, 2009 adoption of the remainder of the standard.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160). The new standard changes the accounting and reporting of noncontrolling interests, which have historically been referred to as minority interests. SFAS 160 requires that noncontrolling interests be presented in the consolidated balance sheets within shareholders' equity, but separate from the parent's equity, and that the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented in the consolidated statements of income. Any losses in excess of the noncontrolling interest's equity interest will continue to be allocated to the noncontrolling interest. Purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change of control will be accounted for as equity transactions. Upon a loss of control, the interest sold, as well as any interest retained, will be measured at fair value, with any gain or loss recognized in earnings. In partial acquisitions, when control is obtained, the acquiring company will recognize at fair value, 100% of the assets and liabilities, including goodwill, as if the entire target company had been acquired. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with early adoption prohibited. The new standard will be applied prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which will be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. The Company has not yet determined the impact, if any, that this statement will have on its consolidated financial statements and will adopt the standard at the beginning of fiscal 2010.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS 141(R)). The new standard changes the accounting for business combinations in a number of significant respects. The key changes include the expansion of transactions that will qualify as business combinations, the capitalization of in-process research and development (IPR&D) as an indefinite-lived asset, the recognition of certain acquired contingent assets and liabilities at fair value, the expensing of acquisition costs, the expensing of costs associated with restructuring the acquired company, the recognition of contingent consideration at fair value on the acquisition date, and the recognition of post-acquisition date changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties as income tax expense or benefit. SFAS 141(R) is effective for business combinations that close in years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with early adoption prohibited. The Company has not yet determined the impact, if any, that this statement will have on its Consolidated Financial Statements and will adopt the standard at the beginning of fiscal 2010.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168 The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162. This Statement identifies the sources of accounting principles and the

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. As this Statement does not change accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, there should not be an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements upon the effective date of this Statement.

2. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

Del Mar Reynolds Acquisition In July 2006, the Company's Healthcare division completed the acquisition of the Del Mar Reynolds cardiology division of Ferraris Group PLC. This acquisition expanded the portfolio of products that the Company's Healthcare division offers to the hospital market with the addition of cardiac monitoring systems.

Pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement, the Company's Healthcare division made an initial cash payment of \$25.9 million, subject to a working capital adjustment and to an adjustment of plus or minus \$1.9 million based upon revenue and earnings results for Del Mar Reynolds for the 13-month period ending September 30, 2006. In September 2006, Ferraris Group PLC paid back \$1.7 million in connection with a purchase price adjustment related to working capital and in November 2006, it paid an additional \$1.9 million as a result of the failure of Del Mar Reynolds to meet certain revenue and earnings results for the 13-month period ending September 30, 2006.

The results of operations for Del Mar Reynolds have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements as of the date of acquisition. The total cost of the acquisition was as follows (in thousands):

Cash paid for Common Stock, net of cash acquired	\$ 24,911
Less refund pursuant to working capital adjustment	(1,694)
Less receivable pursuant to 13-month revenue and earnings adjustment	(1,872)
Direct costs	794
Total purchase price	\$ 22,139

The final purchase price allocation was as follows (in thousands):

In-process research and development costs acquired	\$ 561
Identifiable intangible assets acquired	7,567

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Goodwill	17,208
Net liabilities acquired	(3,197)
	\$ 22,139

A history of operating margins and profitability, a strong scientific employee base and operations in an attractive market niche were among the factors that contributed to a purchase price resulting in the recognition of goodwill. In-process research and development costs acquired were expensed during fiscal 2007, and are included in research and development costs. Projects that qualify as in-process research and development represent those that have not yet reached technological feasibility and which have no alternative future use.

F-14

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

Spacelabs Acquisition In March 2004, the Company completed the acquisition from Instrumentarium Corporation, a subsidiary of General Electric Company, of certain capital stock and assets constituting substantially all of the business operations of Spacelabs Medical. The acquisition price was approximately \$47.9 million in cash (net of cash acquired), including acquisition costs. In March 2007, the Company settled a dispute regarding the purchase and received \$15 million. The receipt of this amount was recorded as Other Income in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for fiscal 2007.

During each of fiscal 2007 and 2009, the Company completed an acquisition that was not material to the overall Consolidated Financial Statements and the results of the operations have been included in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements from the date of the acquisition.

3. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2008	2009
Trade receivables	\$ 158,326	\$ 116,140
Receivables related to long term contracts unbilled costs and accrued profit on progress completed	758	1,209
Total	\$ 159,084	\$ 117,349
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(2,303)	(6,896)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 156,781	\$ 110,453

The unbilled costs and accrued profit at June 30, 2009 are expected to be entirely billed and collected during fiscal 2010.

4. INVENTORIES

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Net inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2008	2009
Raw materials	\$ 70,339	\$ 77,488
Work-in-process	35,326	24,648
Finished goods	39,142	48,627
 Total	 \$ 144,807	 \$ 150,763

F-15

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009****5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Estimated Useful Lives	June 30, 2008	2009
Land	N/A	\$ 6,246	\$ 5,426
Buildings	5-20 years	8,233	8,927
Leasehold improvements	1-20 years	10,068	12,628
Equipment and tooling	3-10 years	51,280	48,659
Furniture and fixtures	3-13 years	5,243	4,802
Computer equipment	3-5 years	15,856	16,773
Computer software	3-10 years	11,500	11,032
Total		108,426	108,247
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		(61,235)	(66,015)
Property and equipment, net		\$ 47,191	\$ 42,232

During fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009, depreciation expense was approximately \$13.6 million, \$15.6 million and \$15.9 million, respectively. Included in property and equipment are approximately \$2.5 million and \$1.6 million of assets under capital leases as of June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively, net of accumulated depreciation.

6. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for fiscal 2008 and 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

	Security Group	Healthcare Group	Optoelectronics and Manufacturing Group	Consolidated
Balance as of June 30, 2007	\$ 16,985	\$ 26,443	\$ 6,858	\$ 50,286
Goodwill acquired during the period	49	9,155	292	9,496

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Foreign currency translation adjustment	658	(29)	(3)	626
Balance as of June 30, 2008	\$ 17,692	\$ 35,569	\$ 7,147	\$ 60,408
Goodwill adjusted during the period		929	226	1,155
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(580)	(762)	(26)	(1,368)
Balance as of June 30, 2009	\$ 17,112	\$ 35,736	\$ 7,347	\$ 60,195

Goodwill acquired during fiscal 2008 primarily resulted from the repurchase of all outstanding shares of Spacelabs Healthcare stock previously owned by minority shareholders (see Note 1), whereby a preliminary allocation of the purchase price in excess of the book value of the minority interest was recorded. During fiscal 2009, the Company completed its evaluation, resulting in the following purchase price allocation (in thousands):

Goodwill	\$ 10,084
Developed technology	2,574
Customer relationships	1,453
Trademarks	2,297
Deferred taxes	(2,277)
Total excess purchase price	\$ 14,131

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

Intangible assets subject to amortization consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Weighted Average Lives	Gross Carrying Value	June 30, 2008		June 30, 2009		Intangibles Net
			Accumulated Amortization	Intangibles Net	Gross Carrying Value	Accumulated Amortization	
Amortizable assets:							
Software development costs	5 years	\$ 6,265	\$ 2,634	\$ 3,631	\$ 9,754	\$ 3,198	\$ 6,556
Patents	9 years	451	298	153	921	334	587
Core technology	10 years	2,684	911	1,773	2,224	977	1,247
Developed technology	13 years	17,276	5,430	11,846	17,360	7,169	10,191
Customer relationships/ backlog	7 years	9,582	3,697	5,885	9,456	4,876	4,580
Total amortizable assets		36,258	12,970	23,288	39,715	16,554	23,161
Non-amortizable assets:							
Trademarks		11,207		11,207	9,290		9,290
Total intangible assets		\$ 47,465	\$ 12,970	\$ 34,495	\$ 49,005	\$ 16,554	\$ 32,451

Amortization expense for the fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009 was \$4.2 million, \$3.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. Future acquisitions could cause these amounts to increase. At June 30, 2009, estimated future amortization expense was as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$ 3,825
2011	3,799
2012	3,798
2013	3,483
2014	2,319
2015 and thereafter	5,937
Total	\$ 23,161

Software development costs for software products to be licensed to others, incurred before establishing technological feasibility, are charged to operations. Software development costs incurred after establishing technological feasibility and purchased software costs are capitalized on a product-by-product basis until the product is available for general release to customers at which time amortization begins. Annual amortization, charged to cost of sales, is the greater of: (i) the amount computed using the ratio that current gross revenues for a product bear to the total current and anticipated future gross revenues for that product or (ii) the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic life of the product. During fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009, the Company capitalized software development costs in the amount of \$0.4 million, \$1.9 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009****7. IMPAIRMENT, RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES**

During fiscal 2007, the Company conducted a global review of its operations. This review included assessments of the Company's product lines and their economic viability, resulting in management's decision to discontinue several products. As a result, identifiable intangible and fixed assets related to these products were tested for impairment and were deemed to be permanently impaired, whereby the Company recorded impairment charges of \$21.5 million. Further, it was determined that the abandonment of certain product lines required that \$10.3 million of inventory charges be recorded to reduce inventory levels to the lower of cost or market. Of the \$21.5 million of impairment charges, \$21.3 million was recorded within the Company's Security division and \$0.2 million was recorded within the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. Of the \$10.3 million of inventory charges, \$9.9 million was recorded within the Company's Security division and \$0.4 million was recorded within the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division. Such inventory charges are reflected in cost of goods sold in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Asset impairments were calculated in accordance with SFAS 144 as discussed in Note 1.

The following table summarizes the impairment, restructuring and other charges (in thousands):

	Year Ended June 30,		
	2007	2008	2009
Impairment of intangible assets:			
Software development costs	\$ 169	\$	\$
Core technology	5,874		
Developed technology	14,462		
Customer relationships/backlog	280		
Impairment of fixed assets	757		
Restructuring and other charges	4,529	4,688	7,123
Total impairment, restructuring and other charges	26,071	4,688	7,123
Inventory charges	10,301		
Total charges	\$ 36,372	\$ 4,688	\$ 7,123

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

In fiscal 2007, the Company initiated a series of restructuring activities which were intended to realign the Company's global capacity and infrastructure with demand by its customers and thereby improve operational efficiencies. These activities included reducing excess workforce and capacity and consolidating and relocating certain manufacturing facilities. These activities resulted in restructuring charges of \$4.5 million in 2007, \$4.7 million in 2008 and \$7.1 million in 2009. The following table analyzes the key components of these restructuring and other charges throughout fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009:

	Security Division	Healthcare Division	Optoelectronics and Manufacturing Division	Corporate	Consolidated
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Accrued balance as of June 30, 2006					
Expensed during the year					
Facility closure	1,837	82	193		2,112
Unamortized loan costs		410		212	622
Employee termination costs	121	1,304	370		1,795
Total expensed during year	1,958	1,796	563	212	4,529
Paid during the year	1,304	1,595	392	212	3,503
Accrued balance as of June 30, 2007	\$ 654	\$ 201	\$ 171	\$	\$ 1,026
Expensed during the year					
Facility closure	890	1,145	78		2,113
Employee termination costs	1,413	813	349		2,575
Total expensed during year	2,303	1,958	427		4,688
Paid during the year	2,633	1,340	577		4,550
Accrued balance as of June 30, 2008	\$ 324	\$ 819	\$ 21	\$	\$ 1,164
Expensed during the year					
Facility closure	577	1,502	166		2,245
Employee termination costs	673	1,829	76	300	2,878
Litigation				2,000	2,000
Total expensed during year	1,250	3,331	242	2,300	7,123
Paid during the year	876	4,072	64	140	5,152
Accrued balance as of June 30, 2009	\$ 698	\$ 78	\$ 199	\$ 2,160	\$ 3,135

8. LINE-OF-CREDIT BORROWINGS AND DEBT

The Company maintains a credit agreement with certain lenders allowing for initial borrowings of up to \$124.5 million. The credit agreement consists of a \$74.5 million, five-year, revolving credit facility (including a \$45 million sub-limit for letters-of-credit) and a \$50 million five-year term loan. Borrowings under the agreement bear interest at either (i) the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus between 2.00% and 2.50% or (ii) the bank's prime rate plus between 1.00% and 1.50%. The rates are determined based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. As of June 30, 2009, the weighted-average interest rate under the credit agreement was 3.11%. The Company's borrowings under the credit agreement are guaranteed by the Company's domestic subsidiaries and are secured by substantially all of the Company's and its subsidiary guarantors' assets. The agreement contains various representations, warranties, affirmative, negative and financial covenants, and conditions of default customary for financing agreements of this type, including restrictions on the Company's ability to pay cash dividends. As of June 30, 2009, \$42.8 million was outstanding under the term loan, \$4.0 million was outstanding under the revolving credit facility, and \$20.5 million was outstanding under the letter-of-credit facility.

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

Several of the Company's foreign subsidiaries maintain bank lines-of-credit, denominated in local currencies, to meet short-term working capital requirements and for the issuance of letters-of-credit. As of June 30, 2009, \$16.7 million was outstanding under these letter-of-credit facilities, while no debt was outstanding. As of June 30, 2009, the total amount available under these credit facilities was \$21.7 million, with a total cash borrowing sub-limit of \$7.3 million.

In fiscal 2005, the Company entered into a bank loan of \$5.3 million to fund the acquisition of land and buildings in the U.K. The loan is payable over a 20-year period. The loan bears interest at British pound-based LIBOR plus 1.2%, payable on a quarterly basis. As of June 30, 2009, \$3.5 million remained outstanding under this loan at an interest rate of 2.2% per annum.

Long-term debt consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

	2008	2009
Five-year term loan due in 2013	\$ 47,763	\$ 42,763
Twenty-year term loan due in 2024	4,539	3,533
Capital leases	2,193	1,354
Other	1,189	710
	55,684	48,360
Less current portion of long-term debt	6,593	8,557
Long-term portion of debt	\$ 49,091	\$ 39,803

Fiscal year principal payments of long-term debt as of June 30, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

2010	\$ 8,577
2011	11,007
2012	7,798
2013	18,042
2014	273
2015 and thereafter	2,663
Total	\$ 48,360

9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

As of June 30, 2009, the Company maintained one significant stock-based compensation plan – the 2006 Equity Participation Plan of OSI Systems (OSI Plan). The OSI Plan allows for the issuance of restricted stock and the granting of stock options. As of June 30, 2007, the Company had maintained three significant plans: (a) the OSI Plan, (b) the 2005 Equity Participation Plan of Spacelabs Healthcare (Spacelabs Plan) and (c) the 2006 Equity Participation Plan of Rapiscan Systems Holdings, Inc. (Rapiscan Plan). However, during fiscal 2008, the Company converted all of the options outstanding under the Spacelabs Plan and Rapiscan Plan into options under the OSI Plan. The methodology used for such conversions provided equivalent fair values under the OSI Plan. Therefore, no additional compensation expense was incurred by the Company as a result of these conversions.

F-20

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

The Company recorded stock-based-compensation expense in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R) for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009 in the consolidated statement of operations as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2008	2009
Cost of goods sold	\$ 306	\$ 215	\$ 291
Selling, general and administrative	4,639	4,331	4,527
Research and development	323	231	237
Stock based compensation expense before taxes	5,268	4,777	5,055
Related income tax benefit	1,389	1,504	1,819
Stock based compensation expense, net of estimated taxes	\$ 3,879	\$ 3,273	\$ 3,236

As of June 30, 2009, total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements granted amounted to \$2.2 million for stock options and \$4.3 million for restricted stock under the OSI Plan. The Company expects to recognize these costs over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years with respect to the options and 2.8 years for grants of restricted stock.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan The Company has an employee stock purchase plan under which eligible employees may purchase a limited number of shares of Common Stock at a discount of up to 15% of the market value of such stock at pre-determined, plan-defined dates. During the three years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, employees purchased 77,471, 65,908 and 75,594 shares, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, there were 1,432,433 shares of the Company's Common Stock available for issuance under the plan.

OSI Plan

Stock Options Under the OSI Plan, the Company is authorized to grant up to 5,350,000 shares of Common Stock in the form of incentive options, nonqualified options or restricted stock. Under the plan, the exercise price of nonqualified options may not be less than 85% of the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant. The exercise price of incentive stock options may not be less than the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock at the date of grant. The exercise price of incentive stock options granted to individuals who own more than 10% of the Company's voting stock may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock on the date of grant.

Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110 (SAB 110) provides the views of the Securities and Exchange Commission regarding valuation of stock-based payments pursuant to SFAS 123(R). With respect to volatility, SAB 110 clarifies that no single method of estimating volatility is

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

proper under all circumstances and that to the extent a company can derive implied volatility based on the trading of its financial instruments on a public market, it may be appropriate to use both implied and historical volatility in its assumptions. The Company has certain financial instruments that are publicly traded from which the Company can derive the implied volatility. Therefore, the Company used implied and historical volatility for valuing its stock options. The Company believes that implied and historical volatility is a better indicator of expected volatility because it is generally reflective of both historical volatility and expectations of how future volatility will differ from historical volatility.

F-21

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

The Company determined the fair value of options issued during fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009 as of the date of the grant, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2007	2008	2009
Expected dividend	0%	0%	0%
Risk-free interest rate	4.9%	4.1%	1.8%
Expected volatility	42.3%	40.5%	41.5%
Expected life (in years)	4.0	4.1	4.3

The following summarizes stock option activity for fiscal years 2007, 2008 and 2009:

	Number of Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)
Outstanding at June 30, 2006	1,778,678	17.93		
Granted	149,500	18.28		
Exercised	(411,157)	15.26		
Expired or cancelled	(184,892)	19.08		
Outstanding at June 30, 2007	1,332,129	18.63		
Granted	279,000	20.95		
Converted from Spacelabs Plan	456,226	19.69		
Converted from Rapiscan Plan	622,309	17.06		
Exercised	(340,642)	16.62		
Expired or cancelled	(58,026)	21.20		
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	2,290,996	18.93		
Granted	332,500	14.32		
Exercised	(163,680)	15.21		
Expired or cancelled	(283,953)	19.51		
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	2,175,863	17.69	3.6 years	\$ 7,452
Exercisable at June 30, 2009	1,492,767	\$ 18.17	2.1 years	\$ 4,351

The per-share weighted-average grant-date fair value of stock options granted under the OSI Plan was \$7.13, \$7.74 and \$5.16 for fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during fiscal 2009 was \$0.6 million.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

In fiscal 2008, the Company converted 5,900,385 options under the Spacelabs Plan into 456,226 options under the OSI Plan and converted 7,221,000 options under the Rapiscan Plan into 622,309 options under the OSI Plan. In both of these cases, no additional compensation expense was required to be recorded.

Restricted Stock Awards Under the OSI Plan, the Company granted 202,700 and 227,626 restricted shares during fiscal 2008 and 2009, respectively. There were no restricted shares issued prior to fiscal 2008.

F-22

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

A summary of restricted stock award activity for the periods indicated was as follows:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Fair Value
Nonvested at June 30, 2007		\$
Granted	202,700	23.01
Vested	(14,982)	22.59
Forfeited	(250)	23.18
Nonvested at June 30, 2008	187,468	\$ 23.04
Granted	227,626	13.56
Vested	(43,695)	23.35
Forfeited	(11,708)	20.97
Nonvested at June 30, 2009	359,691	\$ 17.07

The per-share weighted average grant-date fair value of restricted stock granted under the OSI Plan was \$23.01 and \$13.56 for fiscal 2008 and 2009, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during fiscal 2008 and 2009 was \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2009, there were 797,539 shares available for grant under the OSI Plan. Under the terms of the OSI Plan, no more than 581,632 of these shares may be granted in the form of restricted stock.

10. INCOME TAXES

The following is a geographical breakdown of income (loss) before the provision (benefit) for income taxes (in thousands):

	2007	2008	2009
Pre-tax income (loss):			
United States	\$ (40,781)	\$ 665	\$ (4,751)
Foreign	10,319	13,806	21,342

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Total	\$ (30,462)	\$ 14,471	\$ 16,591
-------	-------------	-----------	-----------

F-23

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

The Company's provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

	2007	2008	2009
Current:			
Federal	\$ (4,920)	\$ 2,284	\$ 3,922
State	(641)	(817)	493
Foreign	5,882	2,190	3,556
Total current provision	321	3,657	7,971
Tax effect of stock option benefits	844	171	(555)
Change in valuation allowance	(172)	(1,878)	(1,929)
Deferred	(13,869)	(1,371)	(94)
Total provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$ (12,876)	\$ 579	\$ 5,393

On July 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation (FIN) 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. The cumulative effect of applying FIN 48 to the Company was recorded as a decrease of \$3.3 million to retained earnings, an increase of \$2.4 million to deferred tax asset and an increase of \$6.2 million to deferred tax liability.

As of July 1, 2007, June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2009, the total amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was \$6.2 million, \$6.5 million and \$8.4 million, respectively. Of the \$8.4 million, \$6.2 million represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company had an increase of \$1.1 million relating to new positions. The Company recognizes potential interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. During 2007, the Company recognized interest and penalties of approximately \$1.4 million. As of June 30, 2009, the Company has \$2.2 million accrued for the payment of interest and penalties.

A summary of activity of unrecognized tax benefits for fiscal 2008 and 2009 was as follows (in thousands):

Balance as July 1, 2007	\$ 6,152
Change in tax positions of current year	363
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$ 6,515
Change in tax positions of current year	1,903
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 8,418

. The Company does not provide for U.S. income taxes on the undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries as it is the Company's intention to utilize those earnings in the foreign operations for an indefinite period of time. At June 30, 2009, undistributed earnings of the foreign subsidiaries amounted to approximately \$70.4 million. It is not practical to determine the amount of income or withholding tax that would be payable upon the remittance of these earnings.

Included within the tax benefit for fiscal 2008 is a net tax benefit of \$4.0 million as a result of discrete items impacting the tax provision, the largest of which was a \$4.3 million tax benefit associated with the repurchase of the minority interest of Spacelabs Healthcare (see Note 1), which the Company completed during the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

Deferred income tax assets (liabilities) consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2008	2009
Deferred income tax assets:		
State income tax credit carryforwards	\$ 1,432	\$ 1,629
Net operating loss carryforwards	5,583	3,915
Revitalization zone deductions	834	879
Allowance for doubtful accounts	991	1,585
Inventory reserve	7,172	7,736
Inventory capitalization	4,348	3,615
Accrued liabilities	4,391	4,856
FIN 48 liability	2,435	2,435
Other assets	12,254	14,245
Total deferred income tax assets	39,440	40,895
Valuation allowance	(4,294)	(6,223)
Net deferred income tax assets	35,146	34,672
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Depreciation	(143)	(378)
State income taxes	(1,738)	(1,497)
Amortization of intangible assets	(10,391)	(8,695)
Other liabilities	(316)	(155)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(12,588)	(10,725)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 22,558	\$ 23,947

As of June 30, 2009, the Company had federal net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$4.5 million and state net operating loss carry forwards of \$0.8 million. The Company's federal net operating losses will begin to expire in the tax year ending June 30, 2018, and are subject to limitations on their utilization. In addition, the Company had state tax credit carry forwards, including research and development and revitalization zone credits, of approximately \$2.5 million. The Company's state tax credit carry forwards will begin to expire in the tax year ending June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2009, the Company has federal tax credit carry forward of approximately \$2.7 million which includes foreign tax and research and development tax credits.

The Company has established a valuation allowance in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109, Accounting For Income Taxes. The valuation allowance relates to the net operating loss of foreign subsidiaries, net operating loss subject to Separate Return Limitation Year rules, an unrealized capital loss related to a write-down of an equity investment and revitalization zone credits. During the year ended June 30, 2009,

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

the Company recorded a \$1.9 million increase to its valuation allowance, which primarily consists of \$1.2 million increase related to federal and state tax credits, and \$0.7 million increase related to foreign NOL. The Company reviews the adequacy of valuation allowances and releases the allowances when it is determined that it is more likely than not that the benefits will be realized.

F-25

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

The consolidated effective income tax rate differs from the federal statutory income tax rate due primarily to the following:

	2007	June 30, 2008	2009
Provision (benefit) for income taxes at federal statutory rate	(35.0)%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes and credits net of federal benefit	(3.5)	2.2	1.2
Research and development tax credits	(1.7)	(2.0)	(2.8)
Foreign tax credits		(1.0)	(3.4)
Subpart F income	1.0	5.8	4.1
SFAS 123(R) stock options adjustment	(0.6)	1.4	0.8
Foreign income subject to tax at other than federal statutory rate	(7.8)	(25.8)	(18.2)
Nondeductible expenses	1.1	2.7	1.1
Other	2.2	0.7	(0.1)
Change in valuation allowance	2.0	12.3	2.6
Reversal of Spacelabs deferred tax liability		(29.6)	
FIN 48 reserve		2.3	12.2
Effective income tax rate	(42.3)%	4.0%	32.5%

The provision for income taxes consists of provisions for federal, state, and foreign income taxes. The Company operates in an international environment with significant operations in various locations outside the U.S. Accordingly, the consolidated income tax rate is a composite rate reflecting the earnings in the various locations and the applicable rates.

11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The following is a summary of commitments as of June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			After 5 years
		Less than 1 year	2-3 years	4-5 years	
Total debt (excluding capital lease obligations)	51,005	11,933	18,094	18,315	2,663
Capital lease obligations	1,355	644	711		
Operating leases	41,439	10,635	16,766	11,325	2,713
Defined benefit plan obligation	7,797	303	782	412	6,300

Operating Leases The Company leases facilities and certain equipment under various operating lease agreements. Certain leases provide for periodic rent increases and may contain escalation clauses and renewal options. Rent expense totaled \$11.6 million, \$10.0 million and \$9.6 million for fiscal years 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Commitments Under the terms and conditions of the purchase agreements associated with the following acquisitions, the Company may be obligated to make additional payments.

In fiscal 2003, the Company purchased a minority equity interest in CXR Limited. In June 2004, the Company increased its equity interest to approximately 75% and in December 2004, the Company acquired the

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

remaining 25%. As compensation to the selling shareholders for this remaining interest, the Company agreed to make certain royalty payments during the 18 years following the acquisition of this remaining interest. Royalty payments are based on the license of, or sales of products containing, technology owned by CXR Limited. As of June 30, 2009, no royalty payments have been earned.

In fiscal 2004, the Company acquired Advanced Research & Applications Corp. During the seven years following the acquisition, contingent consideration is payable based on its net revenues, provided certain requirements are met. The contingent consideration is capped at \$30.0 million. As of June 30, 2009, no contingent consideration has been earned.

In fiscal 2006, the Company acquired certain assets of InnerStep, B.S.E., Inc. During the seven years following the acquisition, contingent consideration is payable based on its profits before interest and taxes, provided certain requirements are met. The contingent consideration is capped at \$6.0 million. As of June 30, 2009, no contingent consideration has been earned.

In fiscal 2009, the Company acquired a company that offers services in connection with security inspection products. Contingent consideration is payable based on net receipts generated from new business during the three years following the acquisition, provided certain requirements are met. The contingent consideration is capped at \$10.0 million. As of June 30, 2009, no contingent consideration has been earned.

Environmental Contingencies The Company is subject to various environmental laws. The Company's practice is to ensure that Phase I environmental site assessments are conducted for each of its properties in the United States at which the Company manufactures products in order to identify, as of the date of such report, potential areas of environmental concern related to past and present activities or from nearby operations. In certain cases, the Company has conducted further environmental assessments consisting of soil and groundwater testing and other investigations deemed appropriate by independent environmental consultants.

During one investigation, the Company discovered soil and groundwater contamination at its Hawthorne, California facility. The Company filed the requisite reports concerning this problem with the appropriate environmental authorities in fiscal 2001. The Company has not yet received any response to such reports, and no agency action or litigation is presently pending or threatened. The Company's site was previously used by other companies for semiconductor manufacturing similar to that presently conducted on the site by us, and it is not presently known who is responsible for the contamination or, if required, the remediation. The groundwater contamination is a known regional problem, not limited to the Company's premises or its immediate surroundings.

The Company has also been informed of soil and groundwater evaluation efforts at a facility that its Ferson Technologies subsidiary previously leased in Ocean Springs, Mississippi. Ferson Technologies occupied the facility until October 2003. The Company believes that the owner and previous occupants of the facility have primary responsibility for any remediation that may be required and have an agreement with the facility's owner under which the owner is responsible for remediation of pre-existing conditions. However, as site evaluation efforts are still in progress, and may be for some time, the Company is unable at this time to ascertain whether Ferson Technologies bears any exposure for remediation

costs under applicable environmental regulations.

The Company has not accrued for loss contingencies relating to the above environmental matters because it believes that, although unfavorable outcomes may be possible, they are not considered by the Company's management to be probable and reasonably estimable.

If one or more of these matters are resolved in a manner adverse to the Company, the impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position and/or liquidity could be material.

F-27

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

Legal Proceedings In November 2002, L-3 Communications Corporation (L-3) brought suit against the Company seeking a declaratory judgment that L-3 had not breached its obligations to us concerning the acquisition of PerkinElmer's Security Detection Systems Business. The Company asserted counterclaims for, among other things, fraud and breach of fiduciary duty. In December 2006, judgment was entered in the Company's favor. However, on appeal the judgment was reversed in part and vacated in part. The Court of Appeals has remanded the case to the trial court, where it is currently pending for retrial. In conjunction with this vacated judgment, L-3 asserted that it is entitled to reimbursement by the Company of certain costs related to the original judgment. On April 27, 2009, L-3's assertion was upheld by the court requiring the Company to reimburse L-3 for such costs of approximately \$2 million. As such, a provision for this amount has been made in the Consolidated Financial Statements as impairment, restructuring and other charges.

The Company is also involved in various other claims and legal proceedings arising out of the ordinary course of business. In the Company's opinion after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of such proceedings is not likely to have a material adverse effect on its financial position, future results of operations, or cash flows. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, the Company has not accrued for loss contingencies relating to such matters because the Company believes that, although unfavorable outcomes in the proceedings may be possible, they are not considered by management to be probable or reasonably estimable. If one or more of these matters are resolved in a manner adverse to the Company, the impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position and/or liquidity could be material.

12. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Repurchase Program

The Company's Board of Directors has authorized a Common Stock repurchase program. During fiscal 2009, the Company repurchased 619,768 shares under this program. No shares were repurchased under this program in fiscal 2007 and 2008. At June 30, 2009, 711,205 shares were available for repurchase under the stock repurchase program. There is no timeframe to complete the repurchase program. Upon repurchase, shares are restored to the status of authorized but unissued shares in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

Warrants

In October 2002, the Company issued and sold an aggregate of 1,250,000 shares of Common Stock in a private placement to institutional investors and received net proceeds of \$20.5 million. As part of the transaction, the Company issued warrants to purchase 281,250 additional shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$21.22 per share exercisable at any time, in full or part, expiring on October 21, 2009.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

In June 2004, the Company issued and sold an aggregate of 1,500,000 shares of Common Stock in a private placement to institutional investors and received net proceeds of \$31 million. As part of the transaction, the Company issued warrants to purchase 337,500 additional shares of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$27.73 per share exercisable at any time, in full or part, expiring on June 1, 2011.

The following summarizes the warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2009:

Exercise Prices	Warrants Outstanding	Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
\$21.22	281,250	0.31
\$27.73	337,500	1.92

F-28

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

13. Related-Party Transactions

In 1994, the Company, together with an unrelated company, formed ECIL-Rapiscan Security Products Limited, a joint venture organized under the laws of India. The Company owns a 36% interest in the joint venture, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer owns a 10.5% interest, and the Company's Executive Vice President and President of the Company's Security division owns a 4.5% ownership interest. The Company's initial investment was approximately \$0.1 million. For the years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, the Company's equity earnings in the joint venture were approximately to \$0.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The Company, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Executive Vice President and President of the Company's Security division collectively control less than 50% of the board of directors voting power in the joint venture. As a result, the Company accounts for the investment under the equity method of accounting. The joint venture was formed for the purpose of the manufacture, assembly, service and testing of security and inspection systems and other products. Some of the Company's subsidiaries are suppliers to the joint venture partner, which in turn manufactures and sells the resulting products. Sales to the joint venture partner for fiscal 2007, 2008, and 2009 were approximately \$0.5 million, \$1.6 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. Receivables from the joint venture were \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

The Company has contracted with entities owned by members of its Board of Directors and/or their families to provide messenger service, auto rental and printing services. Such expenses for 2007, 2008 and 2009 were approximately \$50,000, \$54,000 and \$54,000 for messenger services and auto rental and \$50,000, \$42,000 and \$45,000 for printing services, respectively.

14. Employee Benefit Plans

Employee Retirement Savings Plans

The Company has various qualified employee retirement savings plans. Participants can contribute certain amounts to the plans and the Company matches a certain portion of employee contributions. The Company contributed approximately \$1.6 million, \$2.3 million and \$2.8 million to the plans for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Deferred Compensation Plan

In May 2008, the Company adopted a deferred compensation plan, which met the requirements for deferred compensation under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The plan provides that selected employees are eligible to defer up to 80% of their salaries and up to 100% of their bonuses. The Company may also make employer contributions to participant accounts in certain circumstances. The benefits under this plan are

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

unsecured. Participants are generally eligible to receive payment of their vested benefit at the end of their elected deferral period or after termination of their employment for any reason or at a later date to comply with the restrictions of Section 409A. Discretionary company contributions and the related earnings are subject to a vesting schedule dependent upon years of service to the Company and, also, vest completely upon the participant's disability, death or a change of control. The Company made no employer contributions to the plan during fiscal year 2008 and made contributions of \$0.6 million during fiscal year 2009, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, the Company held assets of \$1.6 million and liabilities of \$1.7 million. Assets related to this plan are included in Other Assets and liabilities related to this plan are included in Other Long Term Liabilities on the balance sheets. The plan liabilities include accrued employer contributions not yet funded to the plan.

F-29

Table of Contents

OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

Employee Pension Plans

The Company sponsors a number of qualified and nonqualified pension plans for its employees. The Company adopted SFAS No. 158 Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans (SFAS 158) on June 30, 2007. SFAS 158 requires companies to fully recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of each of its defined benefit plan as an asset or liability in the consolidated balance sheet. The asset or liability equals the difference between the fair value of the plan's assets and its benefit obligation. SFAS 158 has had no impact on the amount of expense recognized in the consolidated statement of income.

SFAS 158 was required to be adopted on a prospective basis. The adoption of SFAS 158 was recorded as an adjustment to assets and liabilities to reflect the plan's funded status (rather than a prepaid asset or accrued liability), with a corresponding decrease in AOCI, which is a component of shareholders' equity. The net-of-tax decrease in AOCI at June 30, 2007, relating to the adoption of SFAS 158, was \$1.6 million. The impact of adoption of SFAS 158 on individual line items in the Company's consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2007 (including related deferred tax balances) was a decrease in the short-term deferred income tax asset of \$0.2 million and an increase in other long-term liabilities of \$1.8 million. The net total after-tax decrease in AOCI in fiscal 2007 relating to defined benefit pension plans was \$0.9 million.

Each year, unrecognized amounts included in AOCI are reclassified from AOCI to retained earnings as the amounts are recognized in the consolidated income statement pursuant to SFAS No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions, SFAS No. 88, Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, and SFAS No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions.

As required by SFAS 158, the liabilities associated with underfunded plans are classified as noncurrent, except to the extent the fair value of the plan's assets is less than the plan's estimated benefit payments over the next 12 months. In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 158 on June 30, 2007, the Company made the required current and noncurrent reclassifications in its consolidated balance sheet. The Company uses a June 30 measurement date for its pension plans.

During fiscal 2009, the Company recognized curtailment gains of \$0.3 million due to headcount reductions in foreign locations related to participants in defined benefit plans.

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

The following provides a reconciliation of the changes in the plans' benefit obligations and fair value of assets for fiscal years 2008 and 2009, and a statement of the funded status as of June 30, 2008 and 2009 (in thousands):

	2008	2009
Change in Benefit Obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 8,489	\$ 8,977
True-up of benefit obligations of foreign subsidiaries	806	
Translation adjustment	669	(1,939)
Service costs	263	2,093
Interest costs	495	537
Curtailment		(333)
Plan participants' contributions		(88)
Actuarial (loss) gain	(1,588)	(1,198)
Actuarial loss from settlement	(19)	
Benefits paid	(138)	(52)
Benefit obligation at end of year	8,977	7,997
Change in Plan Assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	5,350	5,829
Translation adjustment	341	(1,108)
Actual return on plan assets	(273)	(814)
Company contributions	545	528
Plan participants' contributions	(12)	
Benefits paid	(122)	(119)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	5,829	4,316
Funded status	(3,148)	(3,681)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	1,124	
Net amount recognized	\$ (2,024)	\$ (3,681)
Amount recognized in balance sheets consist of:		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ (1,733)	\$ 2,728
Accrued pension liability	829	953
Net amount recognized	\$ (904)	\$ 3,681

The following table provides the net periodic benefit costs for each of the fiscal years ended June 30, (in thousands):

	2007	2008	2009
Net Periodic Benefit Costs			
Service costs	\$ 350	\$ 259	\$ 297
Interest costs	425	486	537
Expected return on plan assets	(325)	(220)	(300)
Amortization of prior service costs	91		148
Recognized actuarial loss/(gain)	90	90	(135)
Curtailement			(333)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 631	\$ 615	\$ 214

F-31

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009****Plan Assumptions**

	2008	2009
Weighted average assumptions at year-end:		
Discount rate	5.9%	6.0%
Expected return on plan assets	6.3%	6.6%
Rate of compensation increase	2.9%	0.5%

The long term return on assets has been derived from the weighted average of assumed returns on each of the major asset categories. The weighted average is based on the actual proportion of each major asset class held, rather than a benchmark portfolio of assets. The expected returns for each major asset class have been derived from a combination of both historical market returns and current market data as well as the views of a range of investment managers.

Plan Assets and Investment Policy

	Fiscal year ended June 30, 2008		Fiscal year ended June 30, 2009	
	Proportion of Fair Value	Expected Rate of Return	Proportion of Fair Value	Expected Rate of Return
Equity securities	43%	7%	71%	7%
Debt securities	38%	6%	26%	6%
Other	19%	5%	3%	5%
Combined	100%	6.3%	100%	6.6%

The defined benefit plans' assets are invested in a range of pooled investment funds that provide access to a diverse range of asset classes. The investment objective is to maximize the investment return over the long term without exposing the fund to an unnecessary level of risk. Within this objective, it is recognized that benefits will be secured by the purchase of annuities at the time of employee retirement.

The benchmark is to hold assets broadly in the proportion of 50% equity securities and 50% debt securities. This proportion is allowed to fluctuate with market movements and is not formally rebalanced. The equity holdings are maintained in balanced funds under the control of investment managers.

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Day-to-day equities selection decisions are delegated to investment managers, although these are monitored against performance and risk targets. Due to the nature of the pooled funds, there are no significant holdings in any single company (greater than 5% of the total assets). The investment strategy is reviewed on a regular basis, based on the results of the liability studies.

F-32

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009****Projected Benefit Payments**

The following table reflects estimated benefits payments, based upon the same assumptions used to measure the benefit obligation and net pension cost, as of June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	Pension Benefits
July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010	303
July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011	520
July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012	261
July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013	138
July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014	274
July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2019	2,558

Company Contribution

Currently, the Company's weighted average contribution rate is 3% of pensionable salaries. If the Company contributions continue at the current rate, the estimated total Company contributions for fiscal 2010 will be approximately \$0.5 million.

15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

In accordance with SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, the Company has determined that it operates in three identifiable industry segments, (a) security and inspection systems (Security division), (b) medical monitoring and anesthesia systems (Healthcare division), and (c) optoelectronic devices and manufacturing (Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division). The Company also has a corporate segment (Corporate) that includes executive compensation and certain other general and administrative expenses, expenses related to stock issuances and legal, audit and other professional service fees not allocated to product segments. Both the Security and Healthcare divisions comprise primarily end-product businesses whereas the businesses of the Optoelectronics and Manufacturing division primarily supply components and subsystems to original equipment manufacturers, including to the Security and Healthcare divisions. Sales between divisions are at transfer prices that approximate market values. All other accounting policies of the segments are the same as described in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

The following tables present the operations and identifiable assets by industry segment (in thousands):

	2007					
	Security Division	Healthcare Division	Optoelectronics and Manufacturing Division	Corporate	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues:						
External customer revenue	\$ 186,575	\$ 233,178	\$ 112,531	\$	\$	\$ 532,284
Revenue between product segments			37,976		(37,976)	
Total revenues	\$ 186,575	\$ 233,178	\$ 150,507	\$	\$ (37,976)	\$ 532,284
Income (loss) from operations	\$ (29,400)	\$ (4,712)	\$ 9,612	\$ (16,987)	\$ (672)	\$ (42,159)
Segment assets	\$ 170,881	\$ 172,340	\$ 87,483	\$ 23,738	\$ (2,959)	\$ 451,483
Capital expenditures	\$ 3,486	\$ 5,839	\$ 4,470	\$ 1,462	\$	\$ 15,257
Depreciation	\$ 4,323	\$ 4,936	\$ 3,973	\$ 392	\$	\$ 13,624
	2008					
	Security Division	Healthcare Division	Optoelectronics and Manufacturing Division	Corporate	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues:						
External customer revenue	\$ 225,836	\$ 256,695	\$ 140,557	\$	\$	\$ 623,088
Revenue between product segments			47,067		(47,067)	
Total revenues	\$ 225,836	\$ 256,695	\$ 187,624	\$	\$ (47,067)	\$ 623,088
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 5,365	\$ 12,918	\$ 13,114	\$ (12,258)	\$ (199)	\$ 18,940
Segments assets	\$ 199,884	\$ 172,038	\$ 95,615	\$ 43,313	\$ (3,209)	\$ 507,641
Capital expenditures	\$ 3,939	\$ 5,080	\$ 2,149	\$ 949	\$	\$ 12,117
Depreciation	\$ 5,626	\$ 6,196	\$ 3,277	\$ 527	\$	\$ 15,626

2009

Edgar Filing: OSI SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

	Security Division	Healthcare Division	Optoelectronics and Manufacturing Division	Corporate	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues:						
External customer revenue	\$ 240,919	\$ 214,260	\$ 135,182	\$	\$	\$ 590,361
Revenue between product segments			45,941		(45,941)	
Total revenues	\$ 240,919	\$ 214,260	\$ 181,123	\$	(45,941)	\$ 590,361
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 14,324	\$ 5,106	\$ 14,501	\$ (13,844)	\$ (560)	\$ 19,527
Segments assets	\$ 191,164	\$ 155,366	\$ 84,434	\$ 47,633	\$ (3,769)	\$ 474,828
Capital expenditures	\$ 2,322	\$ 2,890	\$ 4,293	\$ 1,347	\$	\$ 10,852
Depreciation	\$ 5,004	\$ 5,418	\$ 2,991	\$ 515	\$	\$ 13,928

F-34

Table of Contents**OSI SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE THREE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

The following tables present the revenues and identifiable assets by geographical area (in thousands):

	2007				Total
	North America	Europe	Asia	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues:					
External customer revenue	\$ 333,902	\$ 152,565	\$ 45,817	\$	\$ 532,284
Revenue between product segments	20,814		17,162	(37,976)	
Total revenue	\$ 354,716	\$ 152,565	\$ 62,979	\$ (37,976)	\$ 532,284
Long-lived assets	\$ 94,160	\$ 29,958	\$ 6,797		\$ 130,915

	2008				Total
	North America	Europe	Asia	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues:					
External customer revenue	\$ 387,655	\$ 178,281	\$ 57,152	\$	\$ 623,088
Revenue between product segments	27,251		19,816	(47,067)	
Total revenue	\$ 414,906	\$ 178,281	\$ 76,968	\$ (47,067)	\$ 623,088
Long-lived assets	\$ 109,478	\$ 29,675	\$ 8,788		\$ 147,941

	2009				Total
	North America	Europe	Asia	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues:					
External customer revenue	\$ 397,371	\$ 135,173	\$ 57,817	\$	\$ 590,361
Revenue between product segments	27,530		18,411	(45,941)	
Total revenue	\$ 424,901	\$ 135,173	\$ 76,228	\$ (45,941)	\$ 590,361
Long-lived assets	\$ 108,801	\$ 27,520	\$ 11,442		\$ 147,763

* * * * *

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

(In thousands)

Description	Balance at Beginning of period	Additions		Deductions- Write-offs	Balance at end of period
		Charged to costs and expenses	Charged in other accounts		
Balance for doubtful accounts:					
Year ended June 30, 2007	\$ 2,996	\$ 1,861	\$	\$ 2,855	\$ 2,002
Year ended June 30, 2008	\$ 2,002	\$ 1,364	\$	\$ 1,063	\$ 2,303
Year ended June 30, 2009	\$ 2,303	\$ 5,740	\$	\$ 1,147	\$ 6,896
Balance for warranty reserve:					
Year ended June 30, 2007	\$ 7,224	\$ 3,798	\$ 439	\$ 4,018	\$ 7,443
Year ended June 30, 2008	\$ 7,443	\$ 7,709	\$	\$ 3,555	\$ 11,597
Year ended June 30, 2009	\$ 11,597	\$ 4,472	\$	\$ 5,963	\$ 10,106

F-36

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

OSI SYSTEMS, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 27, 2009

By: */s/ ALAN EDRICK*
Alan Edrick, Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons, on behalf of the registrant, and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<i>/s/ DEEPAK CHOPRA</i>	Chairman of the Board,	August 27, 2009
Deepak Chopra	President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	
<i>/s/ ALAN EDRICK</i>	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	August 27, 2009
Alan Edrick		
<i>/s/ AJAY MEHRA</i>	Executive Vice President, President of Rapiscan Systems and Director	August 27, 2009
Ajay Mehra		
<i>/s/ LESLIE E. BIDER</i>	Director	August 27, 2009
Leslie E. Bider		
<i>/s/ STEVEN C. GOOD</i>	Director	August 27, 2009
Steven C. Good		
<i>/s/ MEYER LUSKIN</i>	Director	August 27, 2009
Meyer Luskin		
<i>/s/ CHAND R. VISWANATHAN</i>	Director	August 27, 2009
Chand R. Viswanathan		

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

No.	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of OSI Systems, Inc. (1)
3.2	Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (2)
3.3	Amended and Restated Bylaws of OSI Systems, Inc. (1)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (3)
4.2	Rights Agreement dated July 31, 2000, between U.S. Stock Transfer Corporation and OSI Systems, Inc. (4)
4.3	Amendment No. 1, dated December 21, 2004, to Rights Agreement dated as of July 31, 2000, between U.S. Stock Transfer Corporation and OSI Systems, Inc. (5)
4.4	Amendment No. 2, dated January 30, 2009, between OSI Systems, Inc. and StockTrans, Inc., to Rights Agreement dated as of July 31, 2000, between U.S. Stock Transfer Corporation and OSI Systems, Inc. (6)
10.1	Employment Agreement dated September 1, 2000, between Ajay Mehra and OSI Systems, Inc. (7)
10.2	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated July 18, 2005, between Deepak Chopra and OSI Systems, Inc. (8)
10.3	Lease (A) dated June 24, 2002, between S/I Sammamish I, LLC and Spacelabs Medical, Inc. (9)
10.4	Lease (B) dated June 24, 2002, between S/I Sammamish I, LLC and Spacelabs Medical, Inc. (9)
10.5	First Amendment to Lease (A) dated October 12, 2004, between S/I Sammamish I, LLC and OSI Systems, Inc. (10)
10.6	First Amendment to Lease (B) dated October 12, 2004, between S/I Sammamish I, LLC and OSI Systems, Inc. (10)
10.7	Credit Agreement dated July 27, 2007, between Wachovia Bank, N.A. and OSI Systems, Inc. (11)
10.8	Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Participation Plan of OSI Systems, Inc. (12)
10.9	Deferred Compensation Plan of OSI Systems, Inc. (13)
10.10	First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated June 17, 2008, between Wachovia Bank, N.A. and OSI Systems, Inc. (14)
10.11	Employment Agreement dated September 22, 2008 between Alan Edrick and OSI Systems, Inc. (15)
10.12	Employment Agreement dated September 22, 2008 between Victor Sze and OSI Systems, Inc. (15)
10.13	OSI Systems, Inc. Nonqualified Defined Benefit Plan (16)
10.14	Form of Indemnification Agreement for Directors and Executive Officers of OSI Systems, Inc. (17)
10.15	OSI Systems, Inc. 2008 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (18)
10.16	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement dated November 13, 2008, between Wachovia Bank, N.A. and OSI Systems, Inc. (19)
14.1	Code of Ethics and Conduct (10)
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302

Table of Contents

No.	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION
31.2*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302
32.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 906
32.2*	Certification Pursuant to Section 906

* Filed herewith

- (1) Previously filed with our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed June 13, 1997.
- (2) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 12, 2004.
- (3) Previously filed with Amendment No. 2 to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed August 15, 1997.
- (4) Previously filed with our Form 8-A on August 1, 2000.
- (5) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 23, 2004.
- (6) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 4, 2009.
- (7) Previously filed with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000.
- (8) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 20, 2005.
- (9) Previously filed with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.
- (10) Previously filed with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.
- (11) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2007.
- (12) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 7, 2007.
- (13) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 16, 2008.
- (14) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 18, 2008.
- (15) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 23, 2008.
- (16) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 10, 2008.
- (17) Previously filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 23, 2008.
- (18) Previously filed with our Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed October 14, 2008.
- (19) Previously filed with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed January 29, 2009.