CLAYTON WILLIAMS ENERGY INC /DE Form SC 13G/A August 10, 2006

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13G

(RULE 13D-102)

INFORMATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 13D-1 UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (AMENDMENT NO. 1)

Clayton Williams Energy, Inc.						
(Name of Issuer)						
Common Stock						
(Title of Class of Securities)						

969490101

(CUSIP Number)
July 31, 2006

(Date of Event Which Requires Filing of this Statement)

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this Schedule is filed:

x Rule 13d-1(b)

" Rule 13d-1(c)

" Rule 13d-1(d)

CUSIP No. 969490101	Page 2 of 11 Pag
Names of Reporting Persons IRS Identification No. Of Above Persons	
IKS Identification No. Of Above Persons	
The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. 25-1435979 2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)	
a) "	
b) " 3) SEC USE ONLY	
4) Citizenship or Place of Organization	
Pennsylvania 5) Sole Voting Power	
Number of 1,239,218 Shares 6) Shared Voting Power Beneficially	
Owned By -0- Each 7) Sole Dispositive Power	
Reporting Person 1,239,118 8) Shared Dispositive Power With	
-0- 9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person	
1,239,218 10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares See Instructions	

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)

11.42

12) Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

HC

CUSIP No. 969490101	Page 3 of 11 Pages
1) Names of Reporting Persons	
IRS Identification No. Of Above Persons	
 J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc. 61-0734935 2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) a) " 	
b) " 3) SEC USE ONLY	
4) Citizenship or Place of Organization	
Kentucky 5) Sole Voting Power	
Number of 1,340 Shares 6) Shared Voting Power Beneficially	
Owned By -0- Each 7) Sole Dispositive Power	
Person 1,340 8) Shared Dispositive Power With	
-0- 9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person	
 1,340 10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares See Instructions 	

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)

0.01

12) Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

ΙA

CUSIP No. 969490101	Page 4 of 11 Pages
1) Names of Reporting Persons	
IRS Identification No. Of Above Persons	
PNC Bancorp, Inc. 51-0326854 2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) a) " b) " 3) SEC USE ONLY	
4) Citizenship or Place of Organization	
Delaware 5) Sole Voting Power	
Number of 1,237,878 Shares 6) Shared Voting Power Beneficially	
Owned By -0- Each 7) Sole Dispositive Power	
Reporting Person 1,237,778 8) Shared Dispositive Power With	
-0- 9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person	
1,237,878 10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Pays (0) Evaludes Cortain Shares See Instructions	

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)

11.41

12) Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

HC

CUSIP No. 969490101	Page 5 of 11 Pages
Names of Reporting Persons IRS Identification No. Of Above Persons	
PNC Bank, National Association 22-1146430 2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)	
a) " b) " 3) SEC USE ONLY	
4) Citizenship or Place of Organization	
United States 5) Sole Voting Power	
Number of 100 Shares 6) Shared Voting Power Beneficially	
Owned By -0- Each 7) Sole Dispositive Power	
Person -0- 8) Shared Dispositive Power With	
-0- 9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person	
 100 10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares See Instructions 	

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)

Less than 0.01

12) Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

BK

CUSIP No. 969490101	Page 6 of 11 Page
1) Names of Reporting Persons	
IRS Identification No. Of Above Persons	
BlackRock Advisors, Inc. 23-2784752 2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) a) "	
b) " 3) SEC USE ONLY	
4) Citizenship or Place of Organization	
Delaware 5) Sole Voting Power	
Number of 1,237,778 Shares 6) Shared Voting Power Beneficially	
Owned By -0- Each 7) Sole Dispositive Power	
Person 1,237,778 8) Shared Dispositive Power With	
-0- 9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person	
1,237,778 10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares See Instructions	

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)

11.41

12) Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

IA

CUSIP No. 969490101	Page 7 of 11 Page
Names of Reporting Persons IRS Identification No. Of Above Persons	
State Street Research & Management Company 13-3142135 2) Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) a) " b) " 3) SEC USE ONLY	
4) Citizenship or Place of Organization	
Delaware 5) Sole Voting Power	
Number of 949,934 Shares 6) Shared Voting Power Beneficially	
Owned By -0- Each 7) Sole Dispositive Power	
Reporting Person 949,934 8) Shared Dispositive Power With	
-0- 9) Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person	
949,934 10) Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (9) Excludes Certain Shares See Instructions	

11) Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (9)

8.76

12) Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

ΙA

Page 8 of 11 Pages

ITEM 1(a) - NAME OF ISSUER:

Clayton Williams Energy, Inc.

ITEM 1(b) - ADDRESS OF ISSUER S PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES:

Three Radnor Corporate Center, Suite 300

100 Matsonford Road

Radnor, Pennsylvania 19087

ITEM 2(a) - NAME OF PERSON FILING:

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.; J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc.; PNC Bancorp, Inc.; PNC

Bank, National Association; BlackRock Advisors, Inc.; and State Street Research & Management Company

ITEM 2(b) - ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL BUSINESS OFFICE:

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. - One PNC Plaza, 249 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2707

J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc. 501 South 4 Avenue, Louisville, KY 40202-2517

PNC Bancorp, Inc. - 300 Delaware Avenue, Suite 304, Wilmington, DE 19801

PNC Bank, National Association - One PNC Plaza, 249 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2707

BlackRock Advisors, Inc. - 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

State Street Research & Management Company One Financial Center, Boston, MA 02111

ITEM 2(c) - CITIZENSHIP:

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. Pennsylvania

J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc. - Kentucky

PNC Bancorp, Inc. - Delaware

PNC Bank, National Association - United States

BlackRock Advisors, Inc. Delaware

State Street Research & Management Company - Delaware

ITEM 2(d) - TITLE OF CLASS OF SECURITIES:

Common

ITEM 2(e) - CUSIP NUMBER:

969490101

ITEM 3 - IF THIS STATEMENT IS FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 13d-1(b), OR 13d-2(b), CHECK WHETHER THE PERSON FILING IS A:

- (a) "Broker or dealer registered under Section 15 of the Exchange Act;
- (b) x Bank as defined in Section 3(a)(6) of the Exchange Act;
- (c) "Insurance Company as defined in Section 3(a)(19) of the Exchange Act;
- (d) "Investment Company registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act;
- (e) x An Investment Adviser in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E);
- (f) "An Employee Benefit Plan or Endowment Fund in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(F);
- (g) x A Parent Holding Company or Control Person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G);
- (h) " A Savings Association as defined in Section 3(b) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act;
- (i) A Church Plan that is excluded from the definition of an Investment Company under Section 3(c)(14) of the Investment Company Act;
- (j) "Group, in accordance with Rule 13d(b)(1)(ii)(J).

If this statement is filed pursuant to Rule 13d-1(c), check this box. "

Page 9 of 11 Pages

TTEM 4 - OWNERSHIP:	ITEM 4 -	OWNERSHIP:
---------------------	----------	------------

		formati			

- (a) Amount Beneficially Owned: 1,239,218 shares*
- (b) Percent of Class: 11.42
- (c) Number of shares to which such person has:
 - (i) sole power to vote or to direct the vote

1,239,218

(ii) shared power to vote or to direct the vote

-0-

(iii) sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of

1,239,118

(iv) shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition of

-0-

ITEM 5 - OWNERSHIP OF FIVE PERCENT OR LESS OF A CLASS:

Not Applicable.

ITEM 6 - OWNERSHIP OF MORE THAN FIVE PERCENT ON BEHALF OF ANOTHER PERSON:

Not Applicable.

ITEM 7 - IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION OF THE SUBSIDIARY WHICH ACQUIRED THE SECURITY BEING REPORTED ON BY THE PARENT HOLDING COMPANY:

Included are the following subsidiaries of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. - HC:

^{*} Of the total shares reported herein, 100 shares are held in accounts at PNC Bank, National Association and 1,340 shares are held in accounts at J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc., all in a fiduciary capacity.

	J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc. IA (indirect subsidiary of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.)
	PNC Bancorp, Inc HC (wholly owned subsidiary of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.)
	PNC Bank, National Association - BK (wholly owned subsidiary of PNC Bancorp, Inc.)
	BlackRock Advisors, Inc IA (indirect subsidiary of PNC Bancorp, Inc.)
ITEM 8 -	State Street Research & Management Company IA (indirect subsidiary of BlackRock Advisors, Inc.) IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION OF MEMBERS OF THE GROUP:
ITEM 9 -	Not Applicable. NOTICE OF DISSOLUTION OF GROUP:
	Not Applicable.

Page 10 of 11 Pages

ITEM 10 - CERTIFICATION:

By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the securities referred to above were acquired and held in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect.

SIGNATURE

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

August 10, 2006 August 10, 2006

Date Date

By: /s/ Joan L. Gulley By: /s/ Maria C. Schaffer

Signature - The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. Signature - PNC Bancorp, Inc.

Joan L. Gulley, Vice President Maria C. Schaffer, Executive Vice President

Name & Title Name & Title

August 10, 2006 August 10, 2006 Date

Date

By: /s/ Joan L. Gulley By: /s/ Robert S. Kapito

Signature - PNC Bank, National Association Signature - BlackRock Advisors, Inc. Robert S. Kapito, Vice Chairman Joan L. Gulley, Executive Vice President

Name & Title Name & Title

August 10, 2006 August 10, 2006

Date Date

By: /s/ Robert S. Kapito By: /s/ James R. Allen

Signature J.J.B. Hilliard, W.L. Lyons, Inc. Signature State Street Research & Management Company Robert S. Kapito, Vice Chairman James R. Allen, Chairman & CEO

Name & Title Name & Title AN AGREEMENT TO FILE A JOINT STATEMENT

WAS PREVIOUSLY FILED AS EXHIBIT A TO SCHEDULE 13G

BY THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC., PNC BANCORP, INC.,

PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, BLACKROCK ADVISORS, INC.

AND STATE STREET RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT COMPANY

Page 11 of 11 Pages <u>EXHIBIT A</u>

AGREEMENT

August 10, 2006

The undersigned hereby agrees to file a joint statement on Schedule 13G under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Act) in connection with its beneficial ownership of common stock issued by Clayton Williams Energy, Inc.

The undersigned states that it is entitled to individually use Schedule 13G pursuant to Rule 13d-1(c) of the Act.

The undersigned is responsible for the timely filing of the statement and any amendments thereto, and for the completeness and accuracy of the information concerning itself contained therein but is not responsible for the completeness or accuracy of the information concerning the other joint filers.

This Agreement applies to any amendments to Schedule 13G.

J.J.B. HILLIARD, W.L. LYONS, INC.

BY: /s/ James R. Allen James R. Allen, Chairman & CEO

p colspan="2" align="right" style="border-top: 1px solid #000000"> Total

65.836 2.163 26.266 20.491 4.656 9.117 3.143

Insurance

The company believes that its existing insurance coverage is adequate to protect it from the risks associated with the ongoing operation of its business. This coverage includes commercial property, liability and auto, workers compensation, inland marine and excess liability.

Facilities and Employees

The company s corporate headquarters are located at 1801 Broadway, Suite 900, Denver, Colorado, in approximately 4,000 square feet occupied under a lease. The company believes

14

that this space is adequate for its current needs. The company s current lease expires in April 2011.

As of October 31, 2006, the company had 12 employees. None of the company s employees is subject to a collective bargaining agreement, and the company considers relations with its employees to be good.

Company Website

Information related to the following items, among other information, can be found on the company s website at www.credopetroleum.com: (a) company filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, (b) company press releases, (c) officers, directors and ten percent shareholders filings on Forms 3, 4 and 5, and (d) the company s Code of Ethics and Audit Committee Charter. The company s website is not a part of, or incorporated by reference in, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the company may be involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of the company s operations in the normal course of business. As of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the company is not a party to any material pending legal proceedings. No such proceedings have been threatened and none are contemplated by the company.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The company s common stock is traded on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation System under the symbol CRED . Market quotations shown below were reported by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. and represent prices between dealers excluding retail mark-up or commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	20	2005		
Quarter Ended	High	Low	High	Low
January 31	\$ 30.46	\$ 17.16	\$ 9.93	\$ 8.21
April 30	\$ 29.97	\$ 20.46	\$ 11.29	\$ 9.00
July 31	\$ 25.40	\$ 16.85	\$ 11.99	\$ 9.15
October 31	\$ 22.02	\$ 12.86	\$ 18.80	\$ 11.87

At January 8, 2007, the company had 2,620 shareholders of record. The company has never paid a cash dividend and does not expect to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Earnings are reinvested in business activities.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

The company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth certain financial information with respect to the company and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the historical financial statements and notes thereto of the company included in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. The statement of operations and balance sheet data included in this table for each of the five years in the period ended October 31, 2006 were derived from the audited financial statements and the accompanying notes to those financial statements.

	Years Ended October 31,										
	2	2006	2005 2004			2004	2003			2002	
Audited Financial											
Information											
Statement of Operations											
Data:											
Oil and gas sales	\$15,	837,000	\$	13,143,000	\$ 9	,367,000	\$	7,494,000	\$	4,698,000	
Investment and other											
income	(654,000		146,000		343,000		461,000		172,000	
Oil and gas production											
expense	3,4	407,000		2,759,000	2	,075,000		1,608,000		1,291,000	
Depreciation, depletion and											
amortization	,	642,000		2,402,000		,747,000		1,333,000		1,202,000	
General and administrative	1,2	291,000		1,117,000	1	,171,000		1,315,000		713,000	
Interest expense		42,000		37,000		39,000		46,000		49,000	
Income before income											
taxes and cumulative effect											
of change in accounting											
principle	,	109,000		6,974,000		,678,000		3,653,000		1,615,000	
Net income	5,8	880,000		5,022,000	3	,368,000		2,702,000		1,179,000	
Net income per share ⁽¹⁾ :											
Basic	\$	0.64	\$	0.55	\$	0.37	\$	0.30	\$	0.13	
Diluted	\$	0.62	\$	0.54	\$	0.36	\$	0.30	\$	0.13	
Weighted-average shares outstanding ⁽¹⁾ :											
Basic	9.3	207,000		9,080,000	9	,036,000		8,869,000		8,761,000	
Diluted	,	482,000		9,367,000		,282,000		9,042,000		8,952,000	
V	-,	,000		- ,2 0 . ,0 0 0		,_ J _ ,		- , - ,		=,> = ,000	
Balance Sheet Data:											
Working capital	10,0	073,000		7,697,000	5	,611,000		6,577,000		6,630,000	
Total assets	47,	759,000		37,844,000	30	,976,000	2	3,572,000	1	18,811,000	
Long-term obligations:		,									
Deferred income taxes-net	8,0	039,000		5,978,000	4	,605,000		3,192,000		2,276,000	
Asset retirement obligation	9	954,000		929,000		748,000		238,000			
J		•									
Exclusive license											
agreement obligation	-	163,000		233,000		297,000		355,000		408,000	
Stockholders equity	34,	767,000		26,947,000	20	,920,000	1	7,635,000	1	14,307,000	

Unaudited Operating

Data

Production Volumes:

Edgar Filing: CLAYTON WILLIAMS ENERGY INC /DE - Form SC 13G/A

Gas (Mcf) Oil (Bbls) Mcfe Average sales price before hedging:		,176,000 41,000 ,422,000		1,830,000 37,000 2,050,000		,710,000 41,000 ,960,000		,449,000 35,000 ,660,000		,298,000 37,000 ,520,000
Per Mcf	\$	6.23	\$	6.55	\$	5.02	\$	4.57	\$	2.61
Per Bbls	\$	61.14	\$	50.90	\$	36.57	\$	27.68	\$	22.01
Average sales price after hedging:										
Per Mcf	\$	6.11	\$	6.16	\$	4.60	\$	4.50	\$	3.00
Per Bbls	\$	61.14	\$	50.90	\$	36.57	\$	27.68	\$	22.01
Reserves:										
Gas (Mcf)	as (Mcf) 16,005,000		15,516,000		15,273,000		13,786,000		9,415,000	
Oil (Bbls)	(Bbls) 422,000		386,000		407,000		385,000		337,000	
Mcfe	18	,537,000	17	7,835,000	17.	,717,000	16	,097,000	11.	,435,000
Estimated future net revenues Estimated future net revenues discounted at 10%		,861,000		5,878,000 1,209,000		,612,000 ,551,000		,165,000		,774,000
(1) The effect of the three for two stock splits in 2005 and 2004, and 20% stock dividend in 2003, are reflected in all historical share and per share										

16

data.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At October 31, 2006, working capital was \$10,073,000, compared to \$7,697,000 at October 31, 2005. For the year ended October 31, 2006, net cash provided by operating activities increased 47% to \$12,973,000 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$8,821,000 for the same period in 2005. This increase is primarily the result of increases in net income and other non-cash items (DD&A, deferred income taxes, compensation expense related to stock option grants, and other) of \$2,746,000; a net increase of \$129,000 in short term investments in 2006 versus a net decrease in short term investments of \$876,000 in 2005 which resulted in a decrease of \$1,005,000 between the two periods; a net increase in cash as a result of changes in accrued oil and gas sales, trade receivables and other current assets of \$1,683,000; and a net increase in cash as a result of changes in accounts payable and income taxes payable of \$728,000. For the year ended October 31, 2006 and 2005, net cash used in investing activities was \$11,096,000 and \$7,667,000, respectively. Investing activities primarily included oil and gas exploration and development expenditures, including Calliope, totaling \$11,746,000 and \$6,938,000, respectively. Financing activities primarily included proceeds from exercise of stock options of \$835,000 and \$335,000 in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The average return on the company s investments for the year ended October 31, 2006 and 2005 was 8.4% and 2.8%, respectively. At October 31, 2006, approximately 40% of the investments were directly invested in mutual funds and were managed by professional money managers. Remaining investments are in managed partnerships that use various strategies to minimize their correlation to stock market movements. Most of the investments are highly liquid and the company believes they represent a responsible approach to cash management. In the company s opinion, the greatest investment risk is the potential for negative market impact from unexpected, major adverse news. Existing working capital and anticipated cash flow are expected to be sufficient to fund operations and capital requirements for at least the next 12 months. At October 31, 2006, the company had no lines of credit or other bank financing arrangements except for the hedging line of credit discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Because earnings are anticipated to be reinvested in operations, cash dividends are not expected to be paid. The company has no defined benefit plans and no obligations for post retirement employee benefits. As of October 31, 2006, the company had the following known contractual obligations:

	Payments Due by Period					
Exclusive license obligation	Total \$ 281,000	Less Than 1 Year \$ 94,000	1-3 Years \$ 187,000	3-5 Years \$	More Than 5 Years \$	
Operating lease obligations	142,000	32,000	63,000	47,000		
Total	\$ 423,000	\$ 126,000	\$ 250,000	\$47,000	\$	

The company s earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization, (EBITDA) increased 25% to \$11,793,000 for the year ended October 31, 2006 from \$9,413,000 for the prior year. EBITDA is not a GAAP measure of operating performance. The company uses this non-GAAP performance measure primarily to compare its performance with other companies in the industry that make a similar disclosure. The company believes that this performance measure may also be useful to investors for the same purpose. Investors should not consider this measure in isolation or as a substitute for operating income, or any other measure for determining the company s operating performance that is calculated in accordance with GAAP.

In addition, because EBITDA is not a GAAP measure, it may not necessarily be comparable to similarly titled measures employed by other companies. A reconciliation between EBITDA and net income is provided in the table below:

	For The Year Ended October 31,				
	2006 2005		2004		
RECONCILIATION OF EBITDA:					
Net Income	\$ 5,880,000	\$5,022,000	\$3,368,000		
Add Back:					
Interest Expense	42,000	37,000	39,000		
Income Tax Expense	2,229,000	1,952,000	1,310,000		
Depreciation, Depletion and Amortization Expense	3,642,000	2,402,000	1,747,000		
EBITDA	\$11,793,000	\$ 9,413,000	\$ 6,464,000		

Off-Balance Sheet Financing

The company has no off-balance sheet financing arrangements at October 31, 2006.

Product Prices and Production

Refer to Item 1., Markets and Customers, for discussion of oil and gas prices and marketing.

Although product prices are key to the company s ability to operate profitably and to budget capital expenditures, they are beyond the company s control and are difficult to predict. Since 1991, the company has periodically hedged the price of a portion of its estimated natural gas production when the potential for significant downward price movement is anticipated. Hedging transactions typically take the form of forward short positions and collars on the NYMEX futures market, and are closed by purchasing offsetting positions. Such hedges, which are accounted for as cash flow hedges, do not exceed estimated production volumes, are expected to have reasonable correlation between price movements in the futures market and the cash markets where the company s production is located, and are authorized by the company s Board of Directors. Hedges are expected to be closed as related production occurs but may be closed earlier if the anticipated downward price movement occurs or if the company believes that the potential for such movement has abated.

The company recognizes all derivatives (consisting solely of cash flow hedges) on its balance sheet at fair value at the end of each period. Changes in the fair value of a cash flow hedge are recorded in Stockholders Equity as Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income(Loss) on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and then are reclassified into the Consolidated Statement of Operations as the underlying hedged item affects earnings. Amounts reclassified into earnings related to natural gas hedges are included in oil and gas sales.

Hedging gains and losses are recognized as adjustments to gas sales as the hedged product is produced. The company had after tax hedging losses of \$191,000 in fiscal 2006, \$518,000 in fiscal 2005 and \$516,000 in 2004. Any hedge ineffectiveness, which was not material for the three years ended October 31, 2006, is immediately recognized in gas sales.

Hedges include contracts indexed to the NYMEX and to Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company for Texas, Oklahoma mainline. For comparative purposes, hedges indexed to Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company are expressed on a NYMEX basis. For hedges indexed to Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company, the individual month price (basis) differentials between the NYMEX and Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company range from minus \$1.45 in the winter months to minus \$0.90 in the spring months.

Realized (November 2006) and unrealized (December 2006 through July 2007) gains and losses on hedge contracts at October 31, 2006 totaled \$897,000 and were included in Other Comprehensive Income . These contracts covered 950 MMBtus at NYMEX basis prices ranging from \$6.25 to \$9.98.

As of December 31, 2006, hedges covering the months of November 2006 through January of 2007 had been closed at expiration resulting in a gain of \$438,000. Such hedges covered 480 MMBtus at NYMEX basis prices ranging from \$6.25 to \$11.44. Open hedge positions as of December 31, 2006, are set forth below.

		Average Price	Period
Commodity	Volume	NYMEX Basis	Covered
Natural Gas Short	150 MMbtu	9.35	February 2007
Natural Gas Short	140 MMbtu	9.30	March 2007
Natural Gas Short	140 MMbtu	8.17	April 2007
Natural Gas Short	130 MMbtu	7.75	May 2007
Natural Gas Short	130 MMbtu	7.78	June 2007
Natural Gas Short	120 MMbtu	7.81	July 2007

The company has a hedging line of credit with its bank which is available, at the discretion of the company, to meet margin calls. To date, the company has not used this facility and maintains it only as a precaution related to possible margin calls. The maximum credit line is \$4,500,000 with interest calculated at the prime rate. The facility is unsecured and has covenants that require the company to maintain \$3,000,000 in cash or short term investments, none of which are required to be maintained at the company s bank, and prohibits unfunded debt in excess of \$500,000. It expires on October 31, 2007.

Oil and natural gas sales volume and price realization comparisons for the indicated years ended October 31 are set forth below. Price realizations include hedging gains and losses.

	2006	5	2005	5	2004	
Product	Volume	Price	Volume	Price	Volume	Price
Gas (Mcf)	2,176,000	\$ 6.11 (1)	1,830,000	\$ 6.16(2)	1,710,000	\$4.600(3)
% change	+19%	-1%	+7%	+34%	+18%	+2%
Oil (bbls)	41,000	\$61.14	37,000	\$50.90	41,000	\$36.57
% change	+11%	+20%	-10%	+39%	+18%	+32%

- (1) Includes \$0.12 Mcf hedging loss.
- (2) Includes \$0.39 Mcf hedging loss.
- (3) Includes \$0.42 Mcf hedging loss.

Most oil and condensate volumes are associated with natural gas production and, therefore, vary from well to well depending on the volume and richness of the natural gas produced. Significant Properties (see definition on page 11) contributed 41% of 2006 production on a gas-equivalent basis. Increases in natural gas volumes resulted primarily from successful drilling in Oklahoma.

Oil and Gas Activities

Capital Spending. Capital spending in 2006 totaled \$11,076,000.

Operations

During fiscal 2006, the company s operations were focused on its two core projects natural gas drilling and application of its patented Calliope Gas Recovery System.

As discussed below, the company has expanded into South Texas through an exploration program using 3-D seismic to define the Vicksburg, Frio, Queen City and Wilcox prospects in Hidalgo and Jim Hogg counties. The company has also expanded into north-central Kansas through an exploration program using 3-D seismic to define Lansing-Kansas City oil prospects along the Central Kansas Uplift.

19

Also as discussed below, the company has expanded its Calliope operations into Texas and Louisiana. The company believes these are fertile areas for Calliope and will continue to expand as opportunities allow. During 2007, the company plans to commence drilling operations on a new project to drill wells into existing reservoirs for the specific purpose of using Calliope to recover stranded gas.

The company believes that, in combination, its drilling and Calliope projects provide an excellent (and possibly unique) balance for achieving its goal of adding long-lived natural gas reserves and production at reasonable costs and risks. However, it should be expected that successful results will occur unevenly for both the drilling and Calliope projects. Drilling results are dependent on both the timing of drilling and on the drilling success rate. Calliope results are primarily dependent on the timing, volume and quality of Calliope installations available to the company. The company will continue to actively pursue adding reserves through its two core projects in fiscal 2007, and expects these activities to be a reliable source of reserve additions. However, the timing and extent of such activities can be dependent on many factors which are beyond the company s control, including but not limited to, the availability of oil field services such as drilling rigs, production equipment and related services, and access to wells for application of the company s patented gas recovery system on low pressure gas wells. The prevailing price of oil and natural gas has a significant effect on demand and, thus, the related cost of such services and wells.

The company is currently experiencing delays in securing drilling rigs and delivery of production equipment, primarily compressors and coil tubing. These delays are extending the time it takes the company to conduct its field operations. As a result, the company could be at risk for price increases related to these types of services and equipment.

Drilling Activities.

Northern Anadarko Basin The company drills primarily on its significant inventory of acreage (approximately 68,000 gross acres) located along the northern portion of the Anadarko Basin where it has drilled approximately 75 wells. The wells target the Morrow, Oswego and Chester formations between 7,000 and 11,000 feet. The company expects to drill a substantial number of additional wells on this acreage.

Subsequent to fiscal year-end, the company participated in a 2,500 gross acre prospect located in the Texas Panhandle. An 11,200-foot well was drilled in December that encountered over-pressured Upper Morrow sands. Production casing has been set, and the well is awaiting completion for pipeline sales. The well is classified as a tight hole, meaning that information is not being released for proprietary business reasons. The company owns a 25% working interest.

For the year ended October 31, 2006, the company drilled 16 wells on its Northern Anadarko Basin acreage of which seven were completed at producers. However, drilling is not restricted to the Northern Anadarko Basin. The company is generating prospects elsewhere in the Oklahoma Panhandle, north-central Oklahoma, north-central Kansas, and South Texas and East Texas.

During fiscal 2006, five (5) wells were drilled on the company s 5,760 gross acre Glacier Prospect located in Harper and Woodward Counties, Oklahoma. Two of the wells are producers, two are dry holes, and one well is currently being tested and appears to be a marginal producer. The most important of these wells are the Garnet State and Scarlet State. Both wells encountered excellent Morrow sands at about 7,500 feet, and are producing at high rates for the area. The two wells initially produced at a combined rate of almost 10.0 MMcfe (million cubic of gas equivalent) per day. Previously, the company drilled two other high rate wells on the Glacier prospect, both of which had limited reservoir extent but proved the presence of high quality sands on the prospect. The company owns a 57% working interest in the Garnet State and a 55% interest in the Scarlet State, and is the operator of both wells and the prospect.

Drilling is also continuing on the company s 2,560 gross acre Buffalo Creek Prospect. During 2006 the company completed the 6,900-foot Lauer #1-21 well as the third oil well on the prospect at initial rates over 100 BO (barrels of oil) per day. A 3-D seismic program is currently being conducted to identify additional drilling locations. The company owns a 31% working interest and is the operator.

A second well was drilled on the company s 1,280 gross acre Saddle Prospect and was completed in the Morrow formation producing about 800 Mcf per day. Additional wells are scheduled for the prospect. The company owns a 49% working interest and is the operator.

<u>Drilling Program Expansion and Diversification</u> Last year, the company significantly expanded both the volume and breadth of its exploration program with new projects in South Texas and north-central Kansas. It is the company s intention to diversify its exploration geographically, scientifically, and in terms of capital, risk and reserve potential. Compared to drilling in Oklahoma, the South Texas project involves significantly higher costs and greater risks but significantly higher per well reserve potential. The north central Kansas project is geared to oil exploration and has excellent potential to add significant reserves at moderate costs and risks. Both projects are in areas where 3-D seismic is a proven exploration tool and where continuing refinements are providing excellent exploration success. Equally as important, both exploration teams specialize in their respective geographic areas and have been highly successful finding new reserves using 3-D seismic.

<u>South Texas</u> Last year, the company commenced a new exploration project in South Texas. The project is 3-D seismic driven and focuses on the Vicksburg, Frio, Queen City and Wilcox sands in Hidalgo and Jim Hogg Counties ranging in depth from 7,500 to 17,000 feet. Both the cost and the potential of this project far exceed anything the company had experienced before.

In return for a 75% interest before investment payout (calculated on a prospect by prospect basis) and 37.5% interest after investment payout, the company initially committed \$1,500,000 for prospect generation and leasing costs. The commitment has been fully funded and all future project funding is at the company s discretion. The company has the option to participate in drilling each prospect for all, or a portion, of its interest. If the company does not participate for its full interest, the remaining portion will be sold to industry participants on a promoted basis.

The exploration team has generated a significant number of high quality 3-D seismic drilling prospects, and will generate more prospects in the future. Leasing is complete on six prospects, one of which has been drilled. Fully leased prospects include the 800 gross acre Esparza Prospect which targets Marks sands at approximately 12,500 feet, the 2,300 gross acre Sam Houston Prospect which targets Frio sands at approximately 10,500 feet, the 1,200 gross acre West Mestena Prospect which targets Queen City sands at approximately 10,500 feet, the 1,120 gross acre Millennium Prospect which targets Wilcox sands at approximately 15,000, and the 600 gross acre Vela Prospect which targets Frio sands at approximately 7,500 feet.

The company participated for its full 37.5% interest in the first project well which was drilled on the 1,700 gross acre Robertson Prospect in Hidalgo County. Production casing has been set on the 10,500-foot well, and Upper Frio sands have been tested at rates of approximately 1.0 MMcfe per day. However, pressure data indicates that the reservoir may be limited in size. An additional up-hole sand appears on logs to be productive and may be evaluated before a final commercial production decision is made. The 8/8ths cost of the well is expected to range between \$3,500,000 and \$4,000,000.

In response to drilling costs which have almost doubled since the project began, the company recently elected to reduce its exposure to drilling participation in the next four prospects by selling all, or a significant portion, of its 37.5% interest to industry drilling participants. The company expects to recover its investment in each prospect and retain a promoted interest in exploratory wells with the option to participate in development drilling. Because the project has significant potential to increase production and reserves, the company has reserved the option to participate for its full 37.5% interest in all other

prospects. This strategy will reduce the company s South Texas exploration risk and improve its staying power. North-Central Kansas During 2005 and 2006, the company diversified its exploration by acquiring interests in three different drilling projects encompassing about 30,000 gross acres located on the Central Kansas Uplift. The acreage is located in a prolific producing area of the Central Kansas Uplift where 3-D seismic has recently proven to be an effective exploration tool. The project provides diversification to the company s drilling program, both geographically and scientifically, through the use of 3-D seismic. It also exclusively targets oil reserves which will help bring better product balance to the company s reserve base.

The company owns interests in the projects ranging from 12.5% to 100%. Drilling targets the Lansing-Kansas City formation at 4,000 feet. Completed costs for individual wells are averaging approximately \$300,000.

The largest of the three drilling projects is approximately 21,000 gross acres located in Graham and Sheridan Counties, Kansas. The company owns a 30% interest and committed to shoot seismic and participate in drilling five test wells. The commitment has been fully funded and all future project funding is at the company s discretion. Approximately 28 square miles of 3-D seismic have been shot and evaluated, and six exploratory wells have been drilled, of which one well is an excellent producer and five wells are dry holes. The new producer is making 115 BO per day after two months of production. It is located on a prospect containing approximately 1,000 gross acres. Additional development drilling is scheduled for the prospect.

The project is in an early stage and the learning curve is steep. Seismic data is currently being reprocessed and re-evaluated to incorporate data obtained from drilling the initial wells. The company believes drilling results will improve as it gains additional experience in the area. Drilling is expected on approximately 30 prospects.

<u>Calliope Drilling Project</u> See discussion under Calliope Gas Recovery Technology below.

All of the company s oil and natural gas properties are located on-shore in the continental United States. The company s future drilling activities may not be successful, and its overall drilling success rate may change. Unsuccessful drilling activities could have a material adverse effect on the company s results of operations and financial condition. Also, the company may not be able to obtain the right to drill in areas where it believes there is significant potential for the company.

Calliope Gas Recovery Technology.

The company owns the exclusive right to a patented technology known as the Calliope Gas Recovery System. There are currently three U.S. patents and one Canadian patent related to the technology. Two additional patents that mirror the U.S. patents have been applied for in Canada.

Calliope can achieve substantially lower flowing bottom-hole pressure than conventional production methods because it does not rely on reservoir pressure to lift liquids. In many reservoirs, lower bottom-hole pressure can translate into recovery of substantial additional natural gas reserves.

Calliope has proven to be reliable and flexible over a wide range of applications on wells the company owns and operates. It has also proven to be consistently successful. Accordingly, the company is implementing strategies designed to expand the population of wells on which it can install Calliope.

Realizing Calliope s value continues to be one of the company s top priorities. The company is focused on three fronts to increase the number of Calliope installations: expanding the geographic region for purchasing Calliope candidate wells from third parties, joint ventures

with larger companies, and drilling wells into low-pressure gas reservoirs for the purpose of using Calliope to recover stranded natural gas reserves.

Calliope Drilling Project During 2006, the company entered into a 50/50 joint venture with Redman Energy Holdings II, L.P. to drill wells for the purpose of using its patented Calliope Gas Recovery System to recover stranded gas reserves. Redman Energy Holdings is an affiliate of Redman Energy Corporation, a privately-held, Houston-based exploration and production company. Redman is affiliated with Natural Gas Partners, a highly respected industry funding source, and brings a wealth of knowledge and a solid operating foundation in the project area. Drilling will concentrate on previously mature, prolific fields containing significant stranded gas.

In its initial phases, the joint venture plans to invest up to \$35,000,000 to acquire leases, drill new wells, and install Calliope principally in South and East Texas. Drilling will target large gas fields that were abandoned when natural gas prices were considerably lower than today, and when technologies to remove fluids from wellbores were much less effective than Calliope. The company presently expects to fund its 50% share of the joint venture from existing cash and future cash flow.

Access to fields and drilling locations are generally available through leasing or acquiring interests in old fields. The company believes this project is a target-rich opportunity for the company to take control of expanding its Calliope operations. Wells are expected to range in depth from 8,000 to 13,000 feet. Reserves are projected to range from 1.0 to 3.0 Bcfe (billion cubic feet of gas equivalent) per well, with beginning production rates ranging from 500 to 1,500 Mcf per day. Average drilling economics are expected to include payouts of approximately two years.

Several prospects are currently owned by Redman and several are in various stages of leasing. In addition, Redman has a committed rig that will be available for the project. Drilling is expected to commence during the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

Several of the old fields currently owned by Redman contain very significant stranded gas reserves due to their large reservoir volume and high remaining pressure. The company believes that Calliope will recover billions of cubic feet of gas from these fields by pulling-down reservoir pressure to previously unachievable levels.

This drilling project will be the company s first opportunity to use Calliope to recover stranded reserves from an entire field. The company believes that drilling new wells for Calliope will provide a repeatable opportunity to lease large areas for systematic re-development. In addition, the company intends to install optimum casing and tubular sizes to substantially improve reserves and production compared to installing Calliope on existing wells where undersized tubulars often impede Calliope s performance.

Although there are always risks associated with drilling, the company considers this to be low risk, development type drilling because it involves areas known to be productive. The company believes that drilling wells into under-pressured reservoirs without damaging the reservoir with drilling fluids is key to the success of the project. If that can be done successfully, the company believes that Calliope can be used to recover stranded gas reserves that can estimated with a high degree of confidence.

Purchasing Calliope Candidate Wells Calliope systems are currently installed on 18 wells owned and operated by the company. The wells are located in Oklahoma, Texas and Louisiana, and range in depth from 6,500 to 18,400 feet. They represent the most rigorous applications for Calliope because the wells were either totally dead or uneconomic at the time Calliope was installed. In addition, prior to the time Calliope was installed, many of the reservoirs were damaged by the parting shots of previous operators. Initial Calliope production rates range up to 650 Mcfd (thousand cubic feet of gas per day) and average per well Calliope reserves for non-prototype wells are estimated to be 1.10 Bcf. One of the company s early Calliope installations, the J.C. Carroll well, has now produced almost a billion cubic feet of gas using Calliope.

Calliope operations have recently been expanded into Texas and Louisiana with two installations in southwest Texas and one in Louisiana. The company considers Texas and Louisiana to be very fertile areas for Calliope and has retained personnel and opened a Houston office to focus exclusively on Calliope.

In general, higher gas prices have made it increasingly difficult for the company to purchase wells for its Calliope system. In addition, higher gas prices have provided the incentive for other companies to perform high risk procedures (parting shots) in an attempt to revive wells prior to abandoning or selling the wells. These parting shots often result in severe reservoir damage that renders wells unsuitable for Calliope.

In central Louisiana, the company recently installed Calliope on a 13,800-foot well. Calliope immediately restored the well to economic production making about 350 Mcfe per day. In mid-2006, a Calliope system was installed on the 18,000-foot Wallace well located in Beckham County, Oklahoma. The well was dead after having a severe casing leak that dumped an indeterminable amount of corrosive water on the productive formation. Due to the well s high reserve potential, Calliope is being used to remove the water in an attempt to restore production. To date, only minor amounts of gas are being produced, indicating that the casing leak may have damaged the reservoir beyond repair.

A Calliope system was also recently installed on the 12,500-foot Laubhan Friesen well located in Blaine County, Oklahoma. The well was dead due to apparent reservoir damage from the operations of the previous owner. The objective is to attempt to use Calliope to remove an emulsion from the wellbore in order to restore production.

Joint Ventures With Third Parties In an effort to increase the number of Calliope installations, the company is seeking joint ventures with larger companies. Presentations have been made to a select group of companies, including majors and large independents. All of the companies have expressed a keen interest in Calliope, and joint venture discussions are continuing with a number of the companies, including evaluation of candidate wells.

The joint venture negotiation process has taken longer than expected because there are many decision points within large companies that cause delays. Nevertheless, the company continues to dedicate resources and make efforts as it believes that the company will eventually be successful in the joint venture area.

Operations Summary.

During the past two years, the company has significantly expanded and diversified its operations with the objective of sustaining its production and reserve growth rate. The company believes that, over time, each of its four drilling projects will add significant production and reserves at a reasonable cost and risk. In particular, the company believes that the Calliope drilling project presents excellent potential for adding significant production and reserves, and that the project will allow the company to better control the monetization of its Calliope Gas Recovery technology.

Reserves. Refer to Item 2, Properties, Significant Properties, Estimated Proved Oil and Gas Reserves and Future Net Revenues, for information regarding oil and gas reserves.

Results of Operations

In 2006 total revenues increased 24% to \$16,491,000 compared to \$13,289,000 last year. As the oil and gas price/volume table on page 20 shows, total gas price realizations, which reflect hedging transactions, fell 1% to \$6.11 per Mcf and oil price realizations increased 20% to \$61.14 per barrel. The net effect of these price changes was to increase oil and gas sales by \$300,000. Hedging losses were \$266,000 in 2006 compared to \$719,000 in 2005. During the same period, the company s gas equivalent production increased 18% resulting in an increase to oil and gas sales of \$2,394,000. Investment and other income increased primarily due to improved performance from the company s investments.

In 2006, total costs and expenses rose 33% to \$8,382,000 compared to \$6,315,000 for last year. Oil and gas production expenses increased 23% due primarily to increased production taxes on higher revenues and new wells added during the year. Depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) increased 52% due to increased production volumes and an increase in costs being amortized. General and administrative expenses increased 16% primarily due to increases in professional fees related to compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley regulations and accelerated filing requirements for SEC financial reports. Interest expense relates to the exclusive license agreement note payment. The effective tax rate was 27.5% and 28.0% for the 2006 and 2005 periods, respectively.

In 2005, total revenues rose 37% to \$13,289,000 compared to \$9,710,000 in 2004. As the oil and gas price/volume table on page 20 shows, total gas price realizations, which reflect hedging transactions, rose 34% to \$6.16 per Mcf and oil price realizations rose 39% to \$50.90 per barrel. The net effect of these price changes was to increase oil and gas sales by \$3,253,000. Hedging losses were \$719,000 in 2005 compared to \$717,000 in 2004. Gas equivalent production rose 5%. The net effect of these volume changes was to increase oil and gas sales by \$523,000. Investment and other income fell 57% due primarily to decrease in investment income.

In 2005, total costs and expenses rose 25% to \$6,315,000 compared to \$5,032,000 in 2004. Oil and gas production expenses rose 33% due primarily to increased production taxes on higher revenues and new wells added during the year. DD&A increased 37% due to increased production volumes and an increase in costs being amortized. General and administrative expenses decreased 5% primarily due to a decrease in stock based compensation costs and an increase in reimbursed overhead. Interest expense relates to the exclusive license agreement note payment. The effective tax rate was 28% in 2005 and 2004.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Although actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions, the company believes that its estimates are reasonable and that actual results will not vary significantly from the estimated amounts. The company believes the following accounting policies and estimates are critical in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements: the carrying value of its oil and natural gas properties, the accounting for oil and natural gas reserves, and the estimate of its asset retirement obligations.

Oil and Gas Properties. The company uses the full cost method of accounting for costs related to its oil and natural gas properties. Capitalized costs included in the full cost pool are depleted on an aggregate basis using the units-of-production method. Depreciation, depletion and amortization is a significant component of oil and natural gas properties. A change in proved reserves without a corresponding change in capitalized costs will cause the depletion rate to increase or decrease.

Both the volume of proved reserves and any estimated future expenditures used for the depletion calculation are based on estimates such as those described under Oil and Gas Reserves below.

The capitalized costs in the full cost pool are subject to a quarterly ceiling test that limits such pooled costs to the aggregate of the present value of future net revenues attributable to proved oil and natural gas reserves discounted at 10 percent plus the lower of cost or market value of unproved properties less any associated tax effects. If such capitalized costs exceed the ceiling, the company will record a write-down to the extent of such excess as a non-cash charge to earnings. Any such write-down will reduce earnings in the period of occurrence and result in lower depreciation and depletion in future periods. A write-down may not be reversed in future periods, even though higher oil and natural gas prices may subsequently increase the ceiling.

The company has made only one ceiling write-down in its 28-year history. That write-down was made in 1986 after oil prices fell 51% and natural gas prices fell 45% between fiscal year-end 1985 and 1986.

Changes in oil and natural gas prices have historically had the most significant impact on the company s ceiling test. In general, the ceiling is lower when prices are lower. Even though oil and natural gas prices can be highly volatile over weeks and even days, the ceiling calculation dictates that prices in effect as of the last day of the test period be used and held constant. The resulting valuation is a snapshot as of that day and, thus, is generally not indicative of a true fair value that would be placed on the company s reserves by the company or by an independent third party. Therefore, the future net revenues associated with the estimated proved reserves are not based on the company s assessment of future prices or costs, but rather are based on prices and costs in effect as of the end the test period.

Oil and Gas Reserves. The determination of depreciation and depletion expense as well as ceiling test write-downs related to the recorded value of the company s oil and natural gas properties are highly dependent on the estimates of the proved oil and natural gas reserves. Oil and natural gas reserves include proved reserves that represent estimated quantities of crude oil and natural gas which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating oil and natural gas reserves and their values, including many factors beyond the company s control. Accordingly, reserve estimates are often different from the quantities of oil and natural gas ultimately recovered and the corresponding lifting costs associated with the recovery of these reserves.

The company s reserves, and reserve values, are concentrated in 53 properties (Significant Properties). Some of the Significant Properties are individual wells and others are multi-well properties. At October 31, 2006, the Significant Properties represent 24% of the company s total properties but a disproportionate 76% of the discounted value (at 10%) of the company s reserves. Individual wells on which the company s patented liquid lift system is installed

comprise 23% of the Significant Properties and represent 28% of the discounted reserve value of such properties. New wells comprise 9% of the Significant Properties and represent 20% of the discounted value of such properties. Estimates of reserve quantities and values for certain Significant Properties must be viewed as being subject to significant change as more data about the properties becomes available. Such properties include wells with limited production histories and properties with proved undeveloped or proved non-producing reserves. In addition, the company s patented liquid lift system is generally installed on mature wells. As such, they contain older down-hole equipment that is more subject to failure than new equipment. The failure of such equipment, particularly casing, can result in complete loss of a well. Historically, performance of the company s wells has not caused significant revisions in its proved reserves.

Price changes will affect the economic lives of oil and gas properties and, therefore, price changes may cause reserve revisions. Price changes have not caused significant proved

reserve revisions by the company except in 1986 when a 51% decline in oil prices and a 45% decline in natural gas prices resulted in an 8.7% reduction in estimated proved reserves. Based upon this historical experience, the company does not believe its reserve estimates are particularly sensitive to prices changes within historical ranges.

One measure of the life of the company s proved reserves can be calculated by dividing proved reserves at fiscal year end 2006 by production for fiscal year 2006. This measure yields an average reserve life of 8 years. Since this measure is an average, by definition, some of the company s properties will have a life shorter than the average and some will have a life longer than the average. The expected economic lives of the company s properties may vary widely depending on, among other things, the size and quality, natural gas and oil prices, possible curtailments in consumption by purchasers, and changes in governmental regulations or taxation. As a result, the company s actual

future net cash flows from proved reserves could be materially different from its estimates.

Asset Retirement Obligations. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations requires that the company estimate the future cost of asset retirement obligations, discount that cost to its present value, and record a corresponding asset and liability in its Consolidated Balance Sheets. The values ultimately derived are based on many significant estimates, including future abandonment costs, inflation, market risk premiums, useful life, and cost of capital. The nature of these estimates requires the company to make judgments based on historical experience and future expectations. Revisions to the estimates may be required based on such things as changes to cost estimates or the timing of future cash outlays. Any such changes that result in upward or downward revisions in the estimated obligation will result in an adjustment to the related capitalized asset and corresponding liability on a prospective basis.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment , that addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions in which a company receives employee services in exchange for (a) equity instruments of the company or (b) liabilities that are based on the fair value of the company s equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. SFAS No. 123R addresses all forms of share-based payment awards, including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees , that was provided in Statement 123 as originally issued. Under SFAS No. 123R companies are required to record compensation expense for all share based payment award transactions measured at fair value. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The company implemented SFAS 123R in the first quarter of the company s fiscal year beginning November 1, 2005, using the modified retrospective-transition method. Under this transition method, the company restated the results of all prior periods back to the beginning of fiscal 1997 (the fiscal year of inception for this stock-based compensation plan) in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments (SFAS 155), which amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. SFAS 155 simplifies the accounting for certain derivatives embedded in other financial instruments by allowing them to be accounted for as a whole if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. The statement also clarifies and amends certain other provisions of SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140. SFAS 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued, or subject to a re-measurement event occurring in fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 155 to have an impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment to FASB Statement No. 140* (SFAS 156). SFAS 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing rights be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. In

addition, this statement permits an entity to choose between two measurement methods (amortization method or fair value measurement method) for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities. This new accounting standard is effective January 1, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 156 to have an impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the EITF on EITF Issue No. 05-01, *Accounting for the Conversion of an Instrument That Becomes Convertible Upon the Issuer s Exercise of a Call Option* (EITF 05-01). The EITF consensus applies to the issuance of equity securities to settle a debt instrument that was not otherwise currently convertible but became convertible upon the issuer s exercise of call option when the issuance of equity securities is pursuant to the instrument s original conversion terms. The adoption of EITF 05-01 is not expected to have an impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48)*. This interpretation clarifies the application of SFAS 109 by defining a criterion than an individual tax position must meet for any part of the benefit of that position to be recognized in an enterprise s financial statements and also provides guidance on measurement, de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. FIN 48 is effective for our fiscal year commencing November 1, 2007. The company is currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on its consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The company manages exposure to commodity price fluctuations by periodically hedging a portion of estimated natural gas production through the use of derivatives, typically collars and forward short positions in the NYMEX futures market. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Product Prices and Production for more information on the company s hedging activities.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets, October 31, 2006 and 2005	Page 29
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Years Ended October 31, 2006	30
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Three Years Ended October 31, 2006	31
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Years Ended October 31, 2006	32
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	33
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 28	47

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

October 31, 2006 and 2005

CREDO PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

	2006	2005
ASSETS		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,577,000	\$ 1,935,000
Short-term investments	5,624,000	5,495,000
Receivables:	, ,	
Trade	777,000	1,003,000
Accrued oil and gas sales	1,963,000	2,776,000
Derivative assets Other current assets	897,000 71,000	245,000
Other current assets	71,000	243,000
Total current assets	13,909,000	11,454,000
Long-term assets:		
Oil and gas properties, at cost, using full cost method:	= 0.40,000	2 452 000
Unevaluated oil and gas properties Evaluated oil and gas properties	7,060,000	3,452,000 36,121,000
Less: accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization of oil and gas	43,588,000	30,121,000
properties	(18,556,000)	(15,022,000)
Net oil and gas properties	32,092,000	24,551,000
Exclusive license agreement, net of accumulated amortization of \$431,000 in		
2006 and \$361,000 in 2005	268,000	338,000
Compressor and tubular inventory to be used in development Other, net	1,293,000 197,000	1,288,000 213,000
Other, net	197,000	213,000
Total assets	\$ 47,759,000	\$ 37,844,000
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,581,000	\$ 896,000
Revenue distribution payable	1,273,000	1,461,000
Other accrued liabilities	808,000	1,069,000
Income taxes payable	174,000	331,000
Total current liabilities	3,836,000	3,757,000
Long-term liabilities:		
Deferred income taxes, net	8,039,000	5,978,000
Exclusive license obligation, less current obligations of \$70,000 in 2006 and	162 000	222 000
\$64,000 in 2005	163,000	233,000

Asset retirement obligation	954,000	929,000
Total liabilities	12,992,000	10,897,000
Commitments:		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued		
Common stock, \$.10 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 9,510,000	051 000	051 000
shares issued and outstanding in 2006 and 2005	951,000	951,000
Capital in excess of par value	14,794,000	13,935,000
Treasury stock, at cost, 249,000 shares in 2006, and 393,000 shares in 2005	650.000	(125,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	650,000	(306,000)
Retained earnings	18,372,000	12,492,000
Total stockholders equity	34,767,000	26,947,000
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 47,759,000	\$ 37,844,000
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial sta	tements.	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Three Years Ended October 31, 2006

CREDO PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

		2006		2005		2004		
Revenues: Oil and gas sales Investment and other income		837,000 654,000	-	43,000 46,000		367,000 343,000		
	16,4	491,000	13,2	89,000	9,	710,000		
Costs and expenses:					_			
Oil and gas production		407,000		59,000		075,000		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization General and administrative		642,000 291,000		.02,000 17,000		747,000 171,000		
Interest	1,4	42,000		37,000	1,	39,000		
	8,3	382,000	6,3	15,000	5,	032,000		
Income before income taxes Income taxes	8,109,000 (2,229,000)		6,974,000 (1,952,000)			678,000 310,000)		
Net income	\$ 5,8	880,000	\$ 5,0	22,000	\$ 3,	368,000		
Basic income per share	\$.64	\$.55	\$.37		
Diluted income per share	\$.62	\$.54	\$.36		
Weighted average number of shares of common stock and dilutive securities:								
Basic	9,2	207,000	9,0	080,000	9,	036,000		
Diluted	9,4	482,000	9,3	67,000	9,	282,000		
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements. 30								
30								

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

For the Three Years Ended October 31, 2006

CREDO PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

	Commo Shares	n Stock Amount	Capital In Excess Of Par Value	-	Accumulated Other Comprehensti Income(Loss	amprehensive	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders Equity
Balances, October 31, 2003 Comprehensive	9,510,000	\$ 951,000	\$ 13,106,000	\$ (704,000)) \$ 180,000	S	\$ 4,102,000	\$ 17,635,000
income: Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: Change in fair value of derivatives					(617,000)	\$ 3,368,000	3,368,000	3,368,000 (617,000)
Comprehensive income						\$ 2,751,000		
Purchase of treasury stock Exercise of				(39,000))			(39,000)
stock options Compensation expense related				291,000)			291,000
to employee stock options			282,000					282,000
Balances, October 31, 2004 Comprehensive income:	9,510,000	951,000	13,388,000	(452,000	0) (437,000)		7,470,000	20,920,000
Net income Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: Change in fair value of						\$ 5,022,000	5,022,000	5,022,000
derivates					131,000	131,000		131,000

Comprehensive income						\$5,153,000		
Purchase of treasury stock Exercise of				(8,000)				(8,000)
common stock options Tax benefit from the exercise of				335,000				335,000
common stock options Compensation expense related			340,000					340,000
to employee stock options			207,000					207,000
Balances,								
October 31, 2005 Comprehensive	9,510,000	951,000	13,935,000	(125,000)	(306,000)		12,492,000	26,947,000
income: Net income Other comprehensive income (loss),						\$ 5,880,000	5,880,000	5,880,000
net of tax: Change in fair								
value of derivatives					956,000	956,000		956,000
Comprehensive income						\$6,836,000		
Exercise of common stock options Compensation expense related			710,000	125,000				835,000
to employee stock options			149,000					149,000
Balances, October 31, 2006	9.510 000	\$ 951 000	\$ 14,794,000		\$ 650,000		\$ 18 372 000	\$ 34,767,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Three Years Ended October 31, 2006

CREDO PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

	2006	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:	.		
Net income	\$ 5,880,000	\$ 5,022,000	\$ 3,368,000
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by			
operating activities:	2 < 42 000	2 402 000	1 7 47 000
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	3,642,000	2,402,000	1,747,000
Deferred income taxes	2,061,000	1,373,000	1,496,000
Compensation expense related to stock options granted	149,000	207,000	282,000
Other	18,000		34,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	FF1 000	2.500.000	0.4.4.000
Proceeds from short-term investments	551,000	2,500,000	944,000
Purchase of short-term investments	(680,000)	(1,624,000)	(2,537,000)
Trade receivables	226,000	16,000	(609,000)
Accrued oil and gas sales	813,000	(725,000)	(795,000)
Other current assets	234,000	299,000	95,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	236,000	(968,000)	791,000
Income taxes payable	(157,000)	319,000	(198,000
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,973,000	8,821,000	4,618,000
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions to oil and gas properties	(11,746,000)	(6,938,000)	(5,671,000)
Proceeds from sale of oil and gas properties	670,000	180,000	317,000
Changes in other long-term assets	(20,000)	(909,000)	(825,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,096,000)	(7,667,000)	(6,179,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	835,000	335,000	291,000
Purchase of treasury stock	322,000	(8,000)	(39,000)
Principal payment on exclusive license obligation	(70,000)	(64,000)	(58,000)
	-	262.000	104.000
Net cash provided by financing activities	765,000	263,000	194,000
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,642,000	1,417,000	(1,367,000)
Cash and cash equivalents:	1.025.000	£10,000	1.005.000
Beginning of period	1,935,000	518,000	1,885,000

End of period	\$ 4,577,000	\$ 1,935,000	\$ 518,000
Supplemental Cash Flow Information: Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$ 620,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 194,000
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 30,000	\$ 36,000	\$ 41,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

October 31, 2006

CREDO PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CREDO Petroleum Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries (the company). The company engages in oil and gas acquisition, exploration, development and production activities in the United States. Certain operations are conducted through limited partnerships and limited liability companies which, as general partner or member company, the company manages and controls. The company s interests in these entities are combined on the proportionate share basis in accordance with accepted industry practice. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated. All references to years in these Notes refer to the company s fiscal October 31 year. The company effected a three-for two stock split in each of fiscal 2005 and 2004. All share and per share amounts discussed and disclosed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K reflect the effect of these stock splits.

Certain financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform the presentation used for the 2006 period. Effective with 2006, the company has reclassified reimbursed overhead from operating revenue to general and administrative expense. For the years ended October 31, 2005 and 2004 the reclassified amounts were \$668,000 and \$604,000 respectively.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Short-Term Investments

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. At October 31, 2006, approximately 60% of short-term investments are mutual funds. Other short-term investments consist primarily of professionally managed limited partnerships which provide readily determinable market values and short-term liquidity. The partnerships are invested primarily in financial instruments. Unrealized gains on limited partnerships are not significant. Short-term investments are classified as trading and are stated at fair value with realized and unrealized gains and losses immediately recognized.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Substantially all of the company s receivables are within the oil and natural gas industry, primarily from purchasers of oil and gas and from joint interest owners. These receivables are due from many companies with collectability being dependent upon the financial wherewithal of each individual company as well as the general economic conditions of the industry. The receivables are not collateralized. To date the company has had minimal bad debts.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The company s financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable are carried at cost, which approximates fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Revenue Recognition

The company derives its revenue primarily from the sale of produced natural gas and crude oil. The company reports revenue gross for the amounts received before taking into account production taxes and transportation costs which are reported as separate expenses. Revenue is recorded in the month production is delivered to the purchaser at which time title changes hands. Payment is generally received between 30 and 90 days after the date of production. The company makes estimates of the amount of production delivered to purchasers and the prices it will receive. The company uses its knowledge of its properties; their historical performance; the anticipated effect of weather conditions during the month of production; NYMEX and local spot market prices; and other factors as the basis for these estimates.

Variances between estimates and the actual amounts received are recorded when payment is received. A majority of the company s sales are made under contractual arrangements with terms that are considered to be usual and customary in the oil and gas industry. The contracts are for periods of up to five years with prices determined based upon a percentage of a pre-determined and published monthly index price. The terms of these contracts have not had an effect on how the company recognizes its revenue.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates with regard to these financial statements include the estimate of proved oil and natural gas reserve quantities and the related present value of estimated future net cash flows therefrom.

Oil and Gas Properties

The company uses the full cost method of accounting for costs related to its oil and natural gas properties. Capitalized costs included in the full cost pool are depleted on an aggregate basis using the units-of-production method. A change in proved reserves without a corresponding change in capitalized costs will cause the depletion rate to increase or decrease.

Both the volume of proved reserves and any estimated future expenditures used for the depletion calculation are based on estimates such as those described under Oil and Gas Reserves below.

The capitalized costs in the full cost pool are subject to a quarterly ceiling test that limits such pooled costs to the aggregate of the present value of future net revenues attributable to proved oil and natural gas reserves discounted at 10 percent plus the lower of cost or market value of unproved properties less any associated tax effects. If such capitalized costs exceed the ceiling, the company will record a write-down to the extent of such excess as a non-cash charge to earnings. Any such write-down will reduce earnings in the period of occurrence and result in lower depreciation and depletion in future periods. A write-down may not be reversed in future periods, even though higher oil and natural gas prices may subsequently increase the ceiling.

The company has made only one ceiling write-down in its 28-year history. That write down was made in 1986 after oil prices fell 51% and natural gas prices fell 45% between fiscal year end 1985 and 1986.

Changes in oil and natural gas prices have historically had the most significant impact on the company s ceiling test. In general, the ceiling is lower when prices are lower. Even though oil and natural gas prices can be highly volatile over weeks and even days, the ceiling calculation dictates that prices in effect as of the last day of the test period be used and held constant. The resulting valuation is a snapshot as of that day and, thus, is generally not indicative of a true fair value that would be placed on the company s reserves by the company or by an independent third party. Therefore, the future net revenues associated with the estimated proved reserves are not based on the company s assessment of future prices or costs, but rather are based on prices and costs in effect as of the end the test period.

Oil and Gas Reserves

The determination of depreciation and depletion expense as well as ceiling test write-downs related to the recorded value of the company s oil and natural gas properties are highly dependent on the estimates of the proved oil and natural gas reserves. Oil and natural gas reserves include proved reserves that represent estimated quantities of crude oil and natural gas which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating oil and natural gas reserves and their values, including many factors beyond the company s control. Accordingly, reserve estimates are often different from the quantities of oil and natural gas ultimately recovered and the corresponding lifting costs associated with the recovery of these reserves. The company s reserves, and reserve values, are concentrated in 53 properties (Significant Properties). Some of the Significant Properties are individual wells and others are multi-well properties. At October 31, 2006, the Significant Properties represent 24% of the company s total properties but a disproportionate 76% of the discounted value (at 10%) of the company s reserves. Individual wells on which the company s patented liquid lift system is installed comprise 23% of the Significant Properties and represent 28% of the discounted reserve value of such properties. New wells comprise 9% of the Significant Properties and represent 20% of the discounted value of such properties. Estimates of reserve quantities and values for certain Significant Properties must be viewed as being subject to significant change as more data about the properties becomes available. Such properties include wells with limited production histories and properties with proved undeveloped or proved non-producing reserves. In addition, the company s patented liquid lift system is generally installed on mature wells. As such, they contain older down-hole equipment that is more subject to failure than new equipment. The failure of such equipment, particularly casing, can result in complete loss of a well. Historically, performance of the company s wells has not caused significant revisions in its proved reserves.

Price changes will affect the economic lives of oil and gas properties and, therefore, price changes may cause reserve revisions. Price changes have not caused significant proved reserve revisions by the company except in 1986 when a 51% decline in oil prices and a 45% decline in natural gas prices resulted in an 8.7% reduction in estimated proved reserves. Based upon this historical experience, the company does not believe its reserve estimates are particularly sensitive to prices changes within historical ranges.

One measure of the life of the company s proved reserves can be calculated by dividing proved reserves at fiscal year end 2006 by production for fiscal year 2006. This measure yields an average reserve life of eight years. Since this measure is an average, by definition, some of the company s properties will have a life shorter than the average and some will have a life longer than the average. The expected economic lives of the company s properties may vary widely depending on, among other things, the size and quality, natural gas and oil prices, possible curtailments in consumption by purchasers, and changes in governmental regulations or taxation. As a result, the company s actual future net cash flows from proved reserves could be materially different from its estimates.

Asset Retirement Obligations

The company estimates the future cost of asset retirement obligations, discounts that cost to its present value, and records a corresponding asset and liability in its Consolidated Balance Sheets. The values ultimately derived are based on many significant estimates, including future abandonment costs, inflation, market risk premiums, useful life, and cost of capital. The nature of these estimates requires the company to make judgments based on historical experience and future expectations. Revisions to the estimates may be required based on such things as changes to cost estimates or the timing of future cash outlays. Any such changes that result in upward or downward revisions in the estimated obligation will result in an adjustment to the related capitalized asset and corresponding liability on a prospective basis. A reconciliation of the company s asset retirement obligation liability is as follows:

	Octob	er 31,
	2006	2005
Beginning asset retirement obligation	\$ 929,000	\$ 748,000
Accretion expense	40,000	43,000
Obligations incurred	58,000	44,000
Obligations settled	(58,000)	(56,000)
Change in estimate	(15,000)	150,000
Ending asset retirement obligation	\$ 954,000	\$ 929,000

Environmental Matters

Environmental costs are expensed or capitalized depending on their future economic benefit. Costs that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations with no future economic benefit are expensed. Liabilities for future expenditures of a non-capital nature are recorded when future environmental expenditures and/or remediation is deemed probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value.

Long-Lived Assets

The company applies SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets , to long-lived assets not included in oil and gas properties. Under SFAS No. 144, all long-lived assets are tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying value of a long-lived asset is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value.

Income Taxes

The company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes , which requires the use of the asset and liability method of computing deferred income taxes. The objective of the asset and liability method is to establish deferred tax assets and liabilities for the temporary differences between the book basis and the tax basis of the company sassets and liabilities at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such amounts are realized or settled.

Natural Gas Price Hedging

The company periodically hedges the price of a portion of its estimated natural gas production when the potential for significant downward price movement is anticipated. Hedging transactions typically take the form of forward short positions and collars on the NYMEX futures market, and are closed by purchasing offsetting positions. Such hedges, which are accounted for as cash flow hedges, do not exceed estimated production volumes, are expected to have reasonable correlation between price movements in the futures market and the cash markets where the company s production is located, and are authorized by the company s Board of Directors. Hedges are expected to be closed as related production occurs but may be closed earlier if the anticipated downward price movement occurs or if the company believes that the potential for such movement has abated.

The company recognizes all derivatives (consisting solely of cash flow hedges) on the balance sheet at fair value at the end of each period. Changes in the fair value of a cash flow hedge are recorded in Stockholders Equity as
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income(Loss) on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and then are reclassified into
the Consolidated Statement of Operations as the underlying hedged item affects earnings. Amounts reclassified into
earnings related to natural gas hedges are included in oil and gas sales.

Hedging gains and losses are recognized as adjustments to gas sales as the hedged product is produced. The company had after tax hedging losses of \$191,000 in fiscal 2006, \$518,000 in

fiscal 2005, and \$516,000 in fiscal 2004. Any hedge ineffectiveness, which was not material for the three years ended October 31, 2006, is immediately recognized in gas sales.

Hedges include contracts indexed to the NYMEX and to Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company for Texas, Oklahoma mainline. For comparative purposes, hedges indexed to Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company are expressed on a NYMEX basis. For hedges indexed to Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company, the individual month price (basis) differentials between the NYMEX and Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company range from minus \$1.45 in the winter months to minus \$0.90 in the spring months.

Realized (November 2006) and unrealized (December 2006 through July 2007) gains and losses on hedge contracts at October 31, 2006 totaled \$897,000 and were included in Other Comprehensive Income . These contracts covered 950 MMBtus at NYMEX basis prices ranging from \$6.25 to \$9.98.

The company has a hedging line of credit with its bank which is available, at the discretion of the company, to meet margin calls. To date, the company has not used this facility and maintains it only as a precaution related to possible margin calls. The maximum credit line is \$4,500,000 with interest calculated at the prime rate. The facility is unsecured and has covenants that require the company to maintain \$3,000,000 in cash or short term investments, none of which are required to be maintained at the company s bank, and prohibits unfunded debt in excess of \$500,000. It expires on October 31, 2007.

Stock-Based Compensation

The company s 1997 Stock Option Plan (the Plan), as amended and restated effective October 25, 2001, authorizes the granting of incentive and nonqualified options to purchase shares of the company s common stock. The Plan is administered by the Board of Directors which determines the terms pursuant to which any option is granted. The Plan provides that upon a change in control of the company, options then outstanding will immediately vest and the company will take such actions as are necessary to make all shares subject to options immediately salable and transferable. Plan activity is set forth below and has been adjusted for the 3-for-2 stock splits in fiscal 2005 and 2004 and the 20% stock dividend in 2003.

Prior to November 1, 2005, the company accounted for this plan under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations, as permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. No stock-based employee compensation expense was recognized in the company s Consolidated Statement of Operations prior to November 1, 2005, as all options granted under the company s stock-based compensation plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Effective November 1, 2005, the company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (R), Share Based Payment, using the modified-retrospective-transition method. Under this transition method, the company restated the results of all prior periods back to the beginning of fiscal 1997 (the fiscal year of inception for this stock-based compensation plan) in accordance with the original

provisions of SFAS No. 123. The cumulative effect of this restatement was an increase of \$1,447,000 to capital in excess of par value and a corresponding decrease to retained earnings.

The fair value of the 33,750 options granted during the year ended October 31, 2005 was estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: volatility, 48%; expected option term, 5 years; risk-free interest rate, 4%; and, expected dividend yield, 0%. The company did not make any option grants during fiscal 2006 or 2004. If option grants are made in the future, compensation expense for all such share-based payments granted, based upon the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) will be included in compensation expense.

Compensation expense related to stock options included in General and Administrative Expense for the years ended October 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 is \$209,000, \$288,000 and \$392,000 respectively.

Plan activity for the years ended October 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 is set forth below and has been adjusted for the 3-for-2 stock splits in fiscal 2005 and 2004.

				Years Ended	Octo	ber 31,			
	200)6		200)5		200		
		We	ighted		We	eighted		We	eighted
		Av	erage		Av	erage/		Av	verage
	Number			Number			Number		
	of	Ex	ercise	of	Ex	ercise	of	Ex	ercise
	Options	P	Price	Options	I	Price	Options	I	Price
Outstanding at beginning	•			1			1		
of year	485,064	\$	5.78	565,875	\$	7.11	726,705	\$	4.74
Granted	,	•		33,750		8.93	,		
Exercised	(143,813)		5.81	(61,686)		5.43	(160,830)		1.88
Cancelled or forfeited	(26,249)		8.82	(52,875)		6.01	, , ,		
Outstanding at end of year	315,002	\$	5.52	485,064	\$	5.78	565,875	\$	7.11
Exercisable at end of year	266,939	\$	5.53	348,114	\$	5.64	267,048	\$	5.55
Weighted average contractual life at end of year			6.4			7.7			7.8

The following Table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at October 31, 2006:

		Outstanding Weighted		Exercisable			
	Number	Average	Weighted	Number Exercisable			
Range of	Outstanding at	Remaining	Average	at	Weighted		
	October			October			
Exercise	31,	Contractual	Exercise	31,	Average Exercise		
Prices	2006	Life in Year	Price	2006	Price		
\$ 3.09-\$ 3.72	54,750	5.69	\$ 3.56	44,625	\$ 3.53		

\$ 5.93	260,252	6.54	\$ 5.93	222,314	\$ 5.93
\$ 3.09-\$ 5.93	315,002	6.39	\$ 5.52	266,939	\$ 5.53

Per Share Amounts

Basic income per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Diluted income per share reflects the potential dilution that would occur if stock options

were exercised using the average market price for the company s stock for the period. Total potential dilutive shares based on options outstanding at October 31, 2006 were 315,002.

The company s calculation of earnings per share of common stock is as follows:

	Year Ended October 31,								
		2006			2005			2004	
			Net			Net			Net
			Income			Income			Income
	Net		Per	Net		Per	Net		Per
	Income	Shares	Share	Income	Shares	Share	Income	Shares	Share
Basic earnings per share	\$ 5,880,000	9,207,000	\$.64	\$ 5,022,000	9,080,000	\$.55	\$ 3,368,000	9,036,000	\$.37
Effect of dilutive shares of common stock from stock options		275,000	(.02)		287,000	(.01)		246,000	(.01)
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 5,880,000	9,482,000	\$.62	\$5,022,000	9,367,000	\$.54	\$3,368,000	9,282,000	\$.36

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, that addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions in which a company receives employee services in exchange for (a) equity instruments of the company or (b) liabilities that are based on the fair value of the company s equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. SFAS No. 123R addresses all forms of share-based payment awards, including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, that was provided in Statement 123 as originally issued. Under SFAS No. 123R companies are required to record compensation expense for all share based payment award transactions measured at fair value. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The company implemented SFAS 123R in the first quarter of the company s fiscal year beginning November 1, 2005, using the modified retrospective-transition method. Under this transition method, the company restated the results of all prior periods back to the beginning of fiscal 1997 (the fiscal year of inception for this stock-based compensation plan) in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments (SFAS 155), which amends SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. SFAS 155 simplifies the accounting for certain derivatives embedded in other financial instruments by allowing them to be accounted for as a whole if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. The statement also clarifies and amends certain other provisions of SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140. SFAS 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued, or subject to a re-measurement event occurring in fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 155 to have an impact on our results of operations or

financial condition.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment to FASB Statement No. 140* (SFAS 156). SFAS 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing rights be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. In addition, this statement permits an entity to choose between two measurement methods (amortization method or fair value measurement method) for each class of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities. This new accounting standard is effective January 1, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 156 to have an impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the EITF on EITF Issue No. 05-01, *Accounting for the Conversion of an Instrument That Becomes Convertible Upon the Issuer s Exercise of a Call Option* (EITF 05-01). The EITF consensus applies to the issuance of equity securities to settle a debt instrument that was not otherwise currently convertible but became convertible upon the issuer s exercise of call option when the issuance of equity securities is pursuant to the instrument s original conversion terms. The adoption of EITF 05-01 is not expected to have an impact on our results of operations or financial condition.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48)*. This interpretation clarifies the application of SFAS 109 by defining a criterion than an individual tax position must meet for any part of the benefit of that position to be recognized in an enterprise s financial statements and also provides guidance on measurement, de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. FIN 48 is effective for our fiscal year commencing November 1, 2007. The company is currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on its consolidated financial statements.

(2) COMMON STOCK AND PREFERRED STOCK

The company has authorized 20,000,000 shares of \$0.10 par value common stock and as of October 31, 2006, 9,510,000 have been issued. In addition, the company has authorized 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock which may be issued in series and with preferences as determined by the company s Board of Directors. Approximately 100,000 shares of the company s authorized but unissued preferred stock have been reserved for issuance pursuant to the provisions of the company s Shareholders Rights Plan.

On September 13, 2005, the company declared a 3-for-2 stock split to shareholders of record on September 26, 2005. Accordingly, 3,170,000 additional shares were issued on October 11, 2005. Common stock has been increased by the par value of the shares issued with a corresponding decrease in capital in excess of par value for all periods presented. On March 24, 2004, the company declared a 3-for-2 stock split to shareholders of record on April 5, 2004. Accordingly, 2,006,000 additional shares were issued on April 20, 2004. Common stock has been increased by the par value of the shares issued with a corresponding decrease in capital in excess of par value.

(3) COMMITMENTS

The company leases office facilities under an operating lease agreement entered into May 1, 2006 which expires April 30, 2011. The lease agreement requires payments of \$16,000 in 2006, \$32,000 in each successive year through 2010, and \$16,000 in 2011. Total rental expense was \$80,000 in 2006, \$79,000 in 2005, and \$77,000 in 2004. The company has no capital leases and no other operating lease commitments.

Total costs incurred for the South Texas project were \$1,836,000 and \$793,000 in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Total costs incurred for the north central Kansas project were \$763,000 and \$502,000 for 2006 and 2005, respectively. Such costs include overhead, lease bonuses, land services and 3-D seismic. On October 31, 2006, the company had no remaining capital commitments related to the South Texas and north central Kansas projects.

(4) BENEFIT PLANS

Profit Sharing 401(k) Plan

The company has established a 401(k) plan for the benefit of its employees. Eligible employees may make voluntary contributions not exceeding statutory limitations to the plan. These contributions may be matched by the company, at its discretion. Historically, the company has made matching contributions ranging from 40% to 50% of the employees annual contributions. Matching contributions recorded in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$37,000, \$39,000, and \$35,000, respectively.

Other Company Benefits

The company provides a health and welfare benefit plan to all regular full-time employees. The plan includes health insurance.

(5) COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. The components of comprehensive income for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 are as follows:

	October 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Net income	\$ 5,880,000	\$5,022,000	\$3,368,000
Other comprehensive income(loss):			
Change in fair value of derivatives	1,203,000	182,000	(857,000)
Income tax (expense) benefits	(247,000)	(51,000)	240,000
Total comprehensive income	\$ 6,836,000	\$5,153,000	\$ 2,751,000

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the company s accumulated gain(loss) on derivatives for the fiscal years ended October 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003.

	October 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Accumulated gain (loss) on derivatives:			
Balance beginning of period	\$ (306,000)	\$ (437,000)	\$ 180,000
Realization of hedging gain (losses)	189,000	10,000	(176,000)
Net unrealized gain (losses) on price hedge contracts	767,000	121,000	(441,000)
Balance end of period	\$ 650,000	\$ (306,000)	\$ (437,000)

(6) INCOME TAXES

The deferred income tax liability is extremely complicated for any energy company to estimate due in part to the long-lived nature of depleting oil and gas reserves and variables such as product prices. Accordingly, the liability is subject to continual recalculation, revision of the numerous estimates required, and may change significantly in the event of such things as major acquisitions, divestitures, product price changes, changes in reserve estimates, changes in reserve lives, and changes in tax rates or tax laws.

At October 31, 2006 the company had \$1,088,000 of statutory depletion carry forward for tax return purposes.

The income tax expense recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations consists of the following:

	Years Ended October 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Current	\$ 473,000	\$ 715,000	\$ 114,000	
Deferred	1,756,000	1,318,000	1,306,000	
Total income tax expense	\$ 2,229,000	\$ 2,033,000	\$ 1,420,000	

The effective income tax rate differs from the U.S. Federal statutory income tax rate due to the following:

	Years Ended October 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Federal taxes at statutory rate	2,838,000	2,542,000	1,775,000	
Graduated rates	(62,000)	(72,000)	(51,000)	
State income taxes and other	228,000	143,000	105,000	
Percentage depletion	(775,000)	(580,000)	(409,000)	
	2,229,000	2,033,000	1,420,000	

The principal sources of temporary differences resulting in deferred tax assets and tax liabilities at October 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

	October 31, 2006		2005	
Deferred tax assets: Gain on property sales	\$	789,000	\$	564,000
Total deferred tax assets		789,000		564,000
Deferred tax liabilities: Intangible drilling, leasehold and other exploration costs capitalized for financial reporting purposes but deducted for tax purposes State taxes and other		(7,661,000) (1,167,000)	(5,760,000) (782,000)
Total deferred tax liabilities	((8,828,000)	(6,542,000)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ ((8,039,000)	\$(5,978,000)

(7) EXCLUSIVE LICENSE AGREEMENT OBLIGATION

On September 1, 2000, the company acquired an unrestricted, exclusive license for patented technology. The initial license term was 10 years and includes an option for the company to extend the term to the remaining life of the

patents. The licensor will receive a net 8.3% carried interest in any installation of the technology. The license purchase price was \$1,115,000, of which \$882,000 has been paid. The balance, which is due in three remaining annual increments of \$93,750, is recorded at 10% present value. The related assets are being amortized over 10 years on a straight-line basis. If the option to extend the license after the initial 10-year term is exercised, the cost will be \$93,750 per year to the expiration of the last patent.

	, E		cumulated
Amortized intangible assets:			
Exclusive license agreement	\$699,000	\$	431,000
Aggregate amortization expense: For the year ended October 31, 2006		\$	70,000
Estimated future amortization expense:			
For the year ended October 31, 2007			70,000
For the year ended October 31, 2008			70,000
For the year ended October 31, 2009			70,000
For the year ended October 31, 2010			58,000
Total		\$	268,000

This amortizable intangible asset is an exclusive license agreement related solely to the company s patented liquid lift system for low pressure gas wells.

The company reviews the value of its intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets , which requires that it evaluate these assets for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of these assets are no longer appropriate.

At October 31, 2006, this amortizable intangible asset had a net book value of \$268,000. The value of this asset is believed to be realizable based on the company s estimation of future cash flows from application of the company s patented liquid lift system. The company s impairment test compares the estimated undiscounted future net cash flows related to this asset with the related net capitalized costs of the asset at the end of each period. If the net capitalized cost exceeds the undiscounted future net cash flows, the cost of the asset is written down to estimated fair value. As of October 31, 2006, the company has not recorded an impairment write-down for this asset. The estimated undiscounted value of future net cash flows is derived from estimates of proved reserve values.

(8) COMPRESSOR AND TUBULAR INVENTORY

Compressor and tubular inventory are finished goods, recorded at cost, which are expected to be used in the future development of certain of the company s oil and gas properties. The company has classified this amount as a long-term asset because the compressors and tubulars are not held for re-sale and the cost, net of amounts billed to joint interest owners in the normal course of business, will eventually be included in evaluated properties.

(9) SUPPLEMENTARY OIL AND GAS INFORMATION

Capitalized Costs

	October 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Unevaluated properties not being amortized	\$ 7,060,000	\$ 3,452,000	\$ 2,174,000	
Properties being amortized	43,588,000	36,121,000	30,072,000	
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(18,556,000)	(15,022,000)	(12,737,000)	

Total capitalized costs \$ **32,092,000** \$ 24,551,000 \$ 19,509,000

Unevaluated Oil and Gas Properties

Costs directly associated with the acquisition and evaluation of unproved properties are excluded from the amortization computation until they are evaluated. The following table shows, by category of cost and date incurred, the unevaluated oil and gas property costs (net of transfers to the full cost pool and sales proceeds) excluded from the amortization computation as of October 31, 2006:

				Total
Net Costs Incurred	Exploration	Development	Acquisition	Unevaluated
During Periods Ended:	Costs	Costs	Costs	Properties
October 31, 2006	\$ 2,096,000	\$ 118,000	\$ 2,684,000	\$ 4,898,000
October 31, 2005	110,000	133,000	1,715,000	1,958,000
October 31, 2004			204,000	204,000
	\$ 2,206,000	\$ 251,000	\$ 4,603,000	\$ 7,060,000

Prospect leasing and acquisition normally requires one to two years and the subsequent evaluation normally requires an additional one to two years.

Acquisition, Exploration and Development Costs Incurred

	Years Ended October 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Property acquisition costs net of divestiture proceeds:				
Proved	\$ 102,000	\$ 81,000	\$ 526,000	
Unproved	1,815,000	2,092,000	346,000	
Exploration costs	6,388,000	834,000	1,791,000	
Development costs	2,786,000	4,170,000	3,926,000	
Total before asset retirement obligation	\$ 11,091,000	\$7,177,000	\$ 6,589,000	
Total including asset retirement obligation	\$ 11,076,000	\$7,327,000	\$7,089,000	

Major Customers and Operating Region

The company operates exclusively within the United States. Except for cash investments, all of the company s assets are employed in, and all its revenues are derived from, the oil and gas industry. The company had sales in excess of 10% of total revenues to oil and gas purchasers as follows: Duke Energy 39% in 2006, 40% in 2005 and 40% in 2004; Enogex, Inc. 8% in 2006, 9% in 2005 and 10% in 2004.

Oil and Gas Reserve Data (Unaudited)

Independent petroleum engineers estimated proved reserves for the company s properties which represented approximately 63% in 2006, 63% in 2005 and 61% in 2004 of total estimated future net revenues. The remaining reserves were estimated by the company. Reserve definitions and pricing requirements prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission were used. The determination of oil and gas reserve quantities involves numerous estimates which are highly complex and interpretive. The estimates are subject to continuing re-evaluation and reserve quantities may change as additional information becomes available. Estimated values of proved reserves were computed by applying prices in effect at October 31 of the indicated year. The average price used was \$53.69, \$55.59 and \$50.43 per barrel for oil and \$6.32, \$10.26 and \$5.84 per Mcf for gas in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Estimated future costs were calculated assuming continuation of costs and economic conditions at the reporting date.

Total estimated proved reserves and the changes therein are set forth below for the indicated year.

	2006		2005		2004	
	Gas(Mcf)	Oil(bbls)	Gas(Mcf)	Oil(bbls)	Gas(Mcf)	Oil(bbls)
Proved reserves: Balance, November 1 Revisions of previous	15,516,000	386,000	15,273,000	407,000	13,786,000	385,000
estimates Extensions and	(637,000)	24,000	(889,000)	(6,000)	68,000	39,000
discoveries Purchases of reserves	3,302,000	53,000	2,962,000	22,000	2,999,000	23,000
in place Sales of reserves in place					130,000	1,000
Production	(2,176,000)	(41,000)	(1,830,000)	(37,000)	(1,710,000)	(41,000)
Balance, October 31	16,005,000	422,000	15,516,000	386,000	15,273,000	407,000
Proved developed reserves:						
Beginning of period	13,603,000	381,000	13,993,000	374,000	13,786,000	385,000
End of period	13,683,000	397,000	13,603,000	381,000	13,993,000	374,000

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows from reserves is set forth below as of October 31 of the indicated year.

Future cash inflows Future production and development costs Future income tax expense	2006	2005	2004
	\$ 123,889,000	\$ 180,726,000	\$ 109,703,000
	(39,028,000)	(43,848,000)	(32,091,000)
	(20,747,000)	(36,054,000)	(19,965,000)
Future net cash flows 10% discount factor	64,114,000	100,824,000	57,647,000
	(24,363,000)	(41,337,000)	(24,788,000)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$ 39,751,000	\$ 59,487,000	\$ 32,859,000

The principal sources of change in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows from reserves are set forth below for the indicated year.

	2006	2005	2004
Balance, November 1	\$ 59,487,000	\$ 32,859,000	\$21,141,000
Sales of oil and gas produced, net of production costs	(12,430,000)	(10,384,000)	(7,292,000)
Net changes in prices and production costs	(33,058,000)	29,821,000	14,919,000
	12,998,000	15,804,000	8,617,000

Edgar Filing: CLAYTON WILLIAMS ENERGY INC /DE - Form SC 13G/A

Extensions and discoveries, net of future development and			
production costs			
Changes in future development costs	(536,000)	(1,692,000)	(224,000)
Previously estimated development costs incurred during the			
period	1,299,000	2,248,000	304,000
Revisions of previous quantity estimates, timing, and other	(3,396,000)	(2,962,000)	(2,129,000)
Purchases of reserves in place			465,000
Sales of reserves in place			
Accretion of discount	5,949,000	3,286,000	2,114,000
Net change in income taxes	9,438,000	(9,493,000)	(5,056,000)
Balance, October 31	\$ 39,751,000	\$ 59,487,000	\$ 32,859,000
,	. , - , ,	. ,,	. , ,

(10) QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following is a tabulation of the company s unaudited quarterly operating results for fiscal 2004, 2005 and 2006:

		Income				D	iluted
		Before			Basic Net		Net
	Total	Income	Net		come		come
	Revenue	Taxes	Income		Per Share		Per hare
Fiscal 2004:	Revenue	Tunes	meome		riare		ilaic
First Quarter	\$ 2,713,000	\$1,519,000	\$ 1,094,000	\$	0.12	\$	0.12
Second Quarter	2,118,000	995,000	716,000		0.08	·	0.08
Third Quarter	2,287,000	1,021,000	735,000		0.08		0.08
Fourth Quarter	2,592,000	1,143,000	823,000		0.09		0.08
	\$ 9,710,000	\$4,678,000	\$3,368,000	\$	0.37	\$	0.36
Fiscal 2005:							
First Quarter	\$ 2,447,000	\$1,189,000	\$ 856,000	\$	0.10	\$	0.09
Second Quarter	3,038,000	1,565,000	1,127,000		0.12		0.12
Third Quarter	3,501,000	1,892,000	1,362,000		0.15		0.15
Fourth Quarter	4,303,000	2,328,000	1,677,000		0.18		0.18
	\$ 13,289,000	\$ 6,974,000	\$5,022,000	\$	0.55	\$	0.54
Fiscal 2006:							
First Quarter	\$ 4,365,000	\$ 2,354,000	\$ 1,695,000	\$	0.19	\$	0.18
Second Quarter	3,921,000	1,963,000	1,392,000		0.15		0.15
Third Quarter	3,969,000	1,799,000	1,286,000		0.14		0.14
Fourth Quarter	4,236,000	1,993,000	1,507,000		0.16		0.15
	\$ 16,491,000	\$8,109,000	\$5,880,000	\$	0.64	\$	0.62
46							

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM CREDO PETROLEUM CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

CREDO Petroleum Corporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of CREDO Petroleum Corporation and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders—equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CREDO Petroleum Corporation and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated January 23, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ HEIN & ASSOCIATES LLP

HEIN & ASSOCIATIONS LLP

Denver, Colorado January 23, 2007

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Statement of Management s Responsibility

CREDO s management has always assumed ultimate responsibility for compliance with the company s established financial accounting policies and for reporting the company s results with objectivity and a high degree of integrity. It is critical for investors and other users of the Consolidated Financial Statements to have confidence that the company s financial information is timely, complete, relevant and accurate. Management is responsible for the fair presentation of CREDO Petroleum Corporation s Consolidated Financial Statements, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and is ultimately responsible for their integrity and accuracy.

Management, with oversight by CREDO s Board of Directors, has established and maintains a strong ethical climate so that the company s affairs are conducted to high standards. Management is also ultimately responsible for an effective system of internal control over financial reporting. CREDO s policies and practices reflect corporate governance initiatives that are compliant with the listing requirements of NASDAQ and the corporate governance requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Management is committed to enhancing shareholder value and fully understands and embraces its fiduciary oversight responsibilities. Management is dedicated to ensuring that high standards of financial accounting and reporting as well as the underlying system of internal controls are maintained. This culture demands integrity and management has the highest confidence in the company s process, its internal controls, and its people, who are objective in their responsibilities and who operate under the highest level of ethical standards.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is ultimately responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for CREDO. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records which in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the company s assets; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made in accordance with established company policies and procedures; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management (with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer) conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting based on the framework set forth in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of October 31, 2006. Management s assessment of the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006 has been audited by Hein & Associates, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors Credo Petroleum Corporation and Subsidiaries

Denver, Colorado

We have audited management s assessment, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting that Credo Petroleum Corporation and Subsidiaries (Credo) maintained effective internal

control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Credo s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2)provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that Credo maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by COSO. Also in our opinion, Credo maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of Credo Petroleum Corporation and subsidiaries and our report dated January 23, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Hein & Associates LLP Denver, Colorado January 23, 2007

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS, RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Pursuant to instruction G (3) to Form 10-K, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 are omitted because the company will file a definitive proxy statement (the Proxy Statement) pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year. The information required by such items will be included in the Proxy Statement to be so filed for the company s annual meeting of shareholders to be held on or about March 23, 2007 and is hereby incorporated by reference.

PART IV ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- (a)(1) Financial Statements:
 - Consolidated Balance Sheets October 31, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Operations Three Years ended October 31, 2006

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity Three Years ended October 31, 2006

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three Years ended October 31, 2006

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedules are omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required or because the information is included in the financial statements or notes to the financial statements.

- (b) Exhibits. The following exhibits are filed with or incorporated by reference into this report on Form 10-K.
- 3(a)(i) Articles of Incorporation of CREDO Petroleum Corporation (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K
- & 4(a) dated October 31, 1982).
- 3(a)(ii) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, dated March 9, 1982 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1982).
- 3(a)(iii) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, dated October 28, 1982 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1982).
- 3(a)(iv) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated April 18, 1984 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1984).
- 3(a)(v) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated April 18, 1984 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1984).
- 3(a)(vi) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated April 2, 1985 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1985).
- 3(a)(vii) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated March 25, 1986 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1986).
- 3(a)(viii) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated March 24, 1988 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1989).
- 3(a)(ix) Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation dated May 11, 1990.
- 3(b)(i) By-Laws of CREDO Petroleum Corporation, as amended October 30, 1986 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1986).
- 3(b)(ii) Amendment to Article X of CREDO Petroleum Corporation s By-Laws dated March 24, 1988 (incorporated by reference to the company s definitive proxy dated February 5, 1988).

4(i) Shareholders Rights Plan, dated April 11, 1989. 4(ii) Amendment to Shareholders Rights Plan, dated February 24, 1999 (incorporated into Part II of the company s Form 10-QSB dated January 31, 1999). 10(a) CREDO Petroleum Corporation Non-qualified Stock Option Plan, dated January 13, 1981 (incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 dated February 2, 1981). 10(b)CREDO Petroleum Corporation Incentive Stock Option Plan, dated October 2, 1981 (incorporated by reference to the company s definitive proxy statement, dated January 22, 1982). 10(c)Model of Director and Officer Indemnification Agreement provided for by Article X of CREDO Petroleum Corporation s By-Laws (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1987). 10(d)CPC Exclusive License Agreement, dated September 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB dated October 31, 2000).

CREDO Petroleum Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated effective October 25,

50

2001 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB dated October 31, 2001).

10(e)

- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB dated October 31, 2004).
- 21 CREDO Petroleum Corporation (a Colorado corporation) and its subsidiaries SECO Energy Corporation (a Nevada corporation) and United Oil Corporation (an Oklahoma corporation) are located at 1801 Broadway, Suite 900, Denver, CO 80202-3837.
- 23.1 * Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm dated January 6, 2006 (filed herewith).
- 31.1 * Certification by Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 * Certification by Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 * Certification by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (18 U.S.C. Section 1350) (Filed herewith)
- * Filed with this Form 10-K.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized in the City of Denver, State of Colorado on January 23, 2007.

CREDO PETROLEUM CORPORATION (Registrant)

By: /s/ James T. Huffman

James T. Huffman,

Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date	Signature	Title
January 23, 2007	/s/ James T. Huffman	Chairman of the Board
	James T. Huffman	of Directors, President, Treasurer and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
January 23, 2007	/s/ David E. Dennis	Chief Financial Officer
	David E. Dennis	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
January 23, 2007	/s/ Clarence H. Brown	Director
	Clarence H. Brown	
January 23, 2007	/s/ Oakley Hall	Director
	Oakley Hall	
January 23, 2007	/s/ William F. Skewes	Director
	William F. Skewes	
January 23, 2007	/s/ Richard B. Stevens	Director
	Richard B. Stevens 52	

Exhibit Index

3(a)(i)Articles of Incorporation of CREDO Petroleum Corporation (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K & 4(a) dated October 31, 1982). 3(a)(ii) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, dated March 9, 1982 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1982). 3(a)(iii) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, dated October 28, 1982 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1982). 3(a)(iv) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated April 18, 1984 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1984). Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated April 18, 1984 (incorporated by reference to 3(a)(v)Form 10-K dated October 31, 1984). Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated April 2, 1985 (incorporated by reference to 3(a)(vi) Form 10-K dated October 31, 1985). Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated March 25, 1986 (incorporated by reference to 3(a)(vii) Form 10-K dated October 31, 1986). 3(a)(viii) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated March 24, 1988 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1989). 3(a)(ix)Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation dated May 11, 1990. 3(b)(i)By-Laws of CREDO Petroleum Corporation, as amended October 30, 1986 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1986). Amendment to Article X of CREDO Petroleum Corporation s By-Laws dated March 24, 1988 3(b)(ii) (incorporated by reference to the company s definitive proxy dated February 5, 1988). 4(i) Shareholders Rights Plan, dated April 11, 1989. 4(ii) Amendment to Shareholders Rights Plan, dated February 24, 1999 (incorporated into Part II of the company s Form 10-QSB dated January 31, 1999). CREDO Petroleum Corporation Non-qualified Stock Option Plan, dated January 13, 1981 (incorporated 10(a)by reference to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 dated February 2, 1981). 10(b)CREDO Petroleum Corporation Incentive Stock Option Plan, dated October 2, 1981 (incorporated by reference to the company s definitive proxy statement, dated January 22, 1982). Model of Director and Officer Indemnification Agreement provided for by Article X of CREDO

Petroleum Corporation s By-Laws (incorporated by reference to Form 10-K dated October 31, 1987).

10(c)

- 10(d) CPC Exclusive License Agreement, dated September 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB dated October 31, 2000).
- 10(e) CREDO Petroleum Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated effective October 25, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB dated October 31, 2001).

- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Form 10-KSB dated October 31, 2004).
- 21 CREDO Petroleum Corporation (a Colorado corporation) and its subsidiaries SECO Energy Corporation (a Nevada corporation) and United Oil Corporation (an Oklahoma corporation) are located at 1801 Broadway, Suite 900, Denver, CO 80202-3837.
- 23.1 * Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm dated January 6, 2006 (filed herewith).
- 31.1 * Certification by Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 * Certification by Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 * Certification by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (18 U.S.C. Section 1350) (Filed herewith)
- * Filed with this Form 10-K.