

United Community Bancorp  
Form 10-K  
September 28, 2015

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**(Mark One)**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015**

**or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission file number: 0-51800**

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP**

**(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)**

<b><u>Indiana</u></b>	<b><u>80-0694246</u></b>
<b>(State or Other Jurisdiction of</b>	<b>(I.R.S. Employer</b>
<b>Incorporation or Organization)</b>	<b>Identification No.)</b>

<b><u>92 Walnut Street, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025</u></b>	<b><u>47025</u></b>
<b>(Address of Principal Executive Offices)</b>	<b>(Zip Code)</b>

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (812) 537-4822**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<u>Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share</u>	<u>The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC</u>
<b>Title of Class</b>	<b>Name of each exchange on which registered</b>

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of, "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company," in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated Filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated Filer	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Smaller  
Reporting  
Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
YES  NO

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates as of December 31, 2014 was \$48.7 million. The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of September 28, 2015 was 4,533,382.

**INDEX**

	Page
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	2
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	20
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	27
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	28
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	28
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	29
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchasers of Equity Securities</u>	29
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	30
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	32
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	74
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	74
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	121
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	121
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	121
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
<u>Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance</u>	121
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	122
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management Related Stockholder Matters</u>	122
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	122
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	123
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	123
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	

***Note on Forward-Looking Statements***

*This report, like many written and oral communications presented by United Community Bancorp and our authorized officers, may contain certain forward-looking statements regarding our prospective performance and strategies within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of said safe harbor provisions.*

*Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies, and expectations of the Company, are generally identified by use of the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “project,” “seek,” “strive,” “try,” or future or conditional verbs such as “will,” “would,” “should,” “could,” “may,” or similar expressions. Our ability to predict results or the actual effects of our plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Accordingly, actual results may differ materially from anticipated results.*

*There are a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, that could cause actual conditions, events, or results to differ significantly from those described in our forward-looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to:*

*• general economic conditions, either nationally or in some or all of the areas in which we and our customers conduct our respective businesses;*

- conditions in the securities markets and real estate markets or the banking industry;*

*• changes in interest rates, which may affect our net income, prepayment penalty income, and other future cash flows, or the market value of our assets, including our investment securities;*

- changes in deposit flows and wholesale borrowing facilities;*

*• changes in the demand for deposit, loan, and investment products and other financial services in the markets we serve;*

- changes in our credit ratings or in our ability to access the capital markets;*

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

- *changes in our customer base or in the financial or operating performance of our customers' businesses;*
- *changes in real estate values, which could impact the quality of the assets securing the loans in our portfolio;*
  - *changes in the quality or composition of our loan or securities portfolios;*
- *changes in competitive pressures among financial institutions or from non-financial institutions;*

*the ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel of any banks we may acquire, into our operations, and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames;*

- *our ability to retain key members of management;*

*our timely development of new lines of business and competitive products or services in a changing environment, and the acceptance of such products or services by our customers;*

*any interruption or breach of security resulting in failures or disruptions in customer account management, general ledger, deposit, loan, or other systems;*

- *any interruption in customer service due to circumstances beyond our control;*
- *potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of companies we have acquired or target for acquisition;*

*the outcome of pending or threatened litigation, or of other matters before regulatory agencies, whether currently existing or commencing in the future;*

*environmental conditions that exist or may exist on properties owned by, leased by, or mortgaged to the Company;*

*operational issues stemming from, and/or capital spending necessitated by, the potential need to adapt to industry changes in information technology systems, on which we are highly dependent;*

*changes in our estimates of future reserves based upon the periodic review thereof under relevant regulatory and accounting requirements;*

*changes in our capital management policies, including those regarding business combinations, dividends, and share repurchases, among others;*

*changes in legislation, regulation, policies, or administrative practices, whether by judicial, governmental, or legislative action, including, but not limited to, the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and other changes pertaining to banking, securities, taxation, rent regulation and housing, environmental protection, and insurance; and the ability to comply with such changes in a timely manner;*

- *additional FDIC special assessments or required assessment prepayments;*
- *changes in accounting principles, policies, practices or guidelines;*
- *the ability to keep pace with, and implement on a timely basis, technological changes;*

*changes in the monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Department of the Treasury and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System;*

- *war or terrorist activities; and*

*other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and geopolitical factors affecting our operations, pricing, and services.*

*Additional factors that may affect our results are discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under “Item 1A. Risk Factors.” The Company wishes to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. The Company wishes to advise readers that the factors listed above could affect the Company’s financial performance and could cause the Company’s actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements.*

*The Company does not undertake the responsibility, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions, which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.*

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

**United Community Bancorp.** United Community Bancorp, Inc. is an Indiana corporation (“United Community Bancorp” or the “Company”) that was incorporated in March 2011 to be the successor corporation to old United Community Bancorp (“Old United Community Bancorp”), the former stock holding company for United Community Bank (the “Bank”), upon completion of the mutual-to-stock conversion of United Community MHC, the former mutual holding company for United Community Bancorp. The mutual-to-stock conversion was completed on January 9, 2013. As part of the conversion, all outstanding shares of Old United Community Bancorp common stock (other than those owned by United Community MHC) were converted into the right to receive 0.6573 of a share of United Community Bancorp common stock resulting in 2,089,939 shares issued in the exchange without giving effect to cash distributed for fractional shares. In addition, a total of 3,060,058 shares of common stock were sold in the subscription and community offerings at the price of \$8.00 per share, including 194,007 shares of common stock purchased by the United Community Bancorp Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the “ESOP”). As of June 30, 2015, United Community Bancorp had 4,610,039 shares outstanding. As a savings and loan holding company, United Community Bancorp is subject to the regulation of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve Board”).

United Community Bancorp's business activities consist of the ownership of the Bank's capital stock and the management of the offering proceeds it retained. It does not own or lease any property. Instead, it uses the premises, equipment and other property of United Community Bank. Accordingly, the information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the consolidated financial statements and related financial data, relates primarily to the Bank.

Financial information presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is derived in part from the consolidated financial statements of United Community Bancorp and subsidiaries on and after January 9, 2013 and from the consolidated financial statements of Old United Community Bancorp and subsidiaries prior to January 9, 2013.

**United Community Bank.** United Community Bank is a federally chartered savings bank and was created on April 12, 1999 through the merger of Perpetual Federal Savings and Loan Association and Progressive Federal Savings Bank, both located in Lawrenceburg, Indiana. On June 4, 2010, United Community Bank acquired three branches from Integra Bank National Association all of which are located in Ripley County, Indiana. At June 30, 2015, we had approximately \$521.2 million in assets and \$432.5 million in deposits. We operate as a community-oriented financial institution offering a wide menu of banking services and products to consumers and businesses in our market areas. Recent years have seen the expansion of services we offer from a traditional savings and loan product mix to those of a full-service financial institution servicing the needs of consumer and commercial customers. United Community Bank attracts deposits from the general public and local municipalities and uses those funds to originate one- to four-family real estate, multi-family real estate and nonresidential real estate, construction, commercial and consumer loans. Generally, fixed-rate one- to four-family residential conforming loans with terms of more than ten years that we originate are sold in the secondary market with the servicing retained. Such sales generate mortgage banking income. The remainder of our loan portfolio is originated for investment. United Community Bank also maintains an investment portfolio. United Community Bank is regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") and its deposits are insured up to applicable legal limits by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, referred to as the FDIC. United Community Bank is also a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis.

**UCB Real Estate Management Holdings, LLC.** UCB Real Estate Management Holdings, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of United Community Bank. The entity was formed for the purpose of holding real estate assets that are acquired by the Bank through, or in lieu of, foreclosure. Real estate assets held totaled \$286,000 as of June 30, 2015.

**UCB Financial Services, Inc.** UCB Financial Services, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Bank, was formed for the purpose of collecting commissions on investments referred from Lincoln Financial Group.

## Market Areas

We are headquartered in Lawrenceburg, Indiana, which is in the eastern part of Dearborn County, Indiana, along the Ohio River. We currently have five branches located in Dearborn County and three branches located in adjacent Ripley County. Dearborn and Ripley Counties represent our primary deposit markets. The primary sources of loan originations are existing customers, walk-in traffic, advertising and referrals from customers. We advertise on television and radio and in newspapers that are widely circulated in Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. Accordingly, as our loan rates are competitive, we attract loans from throughout these counties. The economy of the region in which our current offices are located has historically been a mixture of light industrial enterprises and services. Since the mid-1990s, the economy in Lawrenceburg has been strengthened by the riverboat casino in Lawrenceburg whose presence has supported the development of retail centers and job growth as well as an increase in housing development. Located 20 miles from Cincinnati, Ohio, Dearborn and Ripley Counties have also benefited from the growth in and around Cincinnati and northern Kentucky, as many residents commute to these areas for employment.

Dearborn and Ripley Counties' road system includes eight state highways and three U.S. highways. The counties have two rail lines and port facilities due to the proximity of the Ohio River.

## **Competition**

We face significant competition for the attraction of deposits and origination of loans. Our most direct competition for deposits has historically come from the several financial institutions operating in our market areas and, to a lesser extent, from other financial service companies such as brokerage firms, credit unions and insurance companies. We also face competition for investors' funds from money market funds, mutual funds and other corporate and government securities. At June 30, 2014, which is the most recent date for which data is available from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), we held approximately 39.5% of the deposits held by FDIC-insured institutions in Dearborn County, which was the largest market share out of the nine financial institutions with offices in Dearborn County, and 10.6% of the deposits in Ripley County, which was the fifth largest market share out of the ten financial institutions with offices in Ripley County. In addition, banks owned by large out-of-state bank holding companies such as Fifth Third Bancorp, PNC Bank and U.S. Bancorp also operate in our market areas. These institutions are significantly larger than us and, therefore, have significantly greater resources.

Our competition for loans comes primarily from financial institutions in our market areas, and, to a lesser extent, from other financial service providers such as mortgage companies and mortgage brokers. Competition for loans also comes from non-depository financial service companies which have entered the mortgage market such as insurance companies, securities companies and specialty finance companies.

We expect competition to increase in the future as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and the continuing trend of consolidation in the financial services industry. Technological advances, for example, have lowered the barriers to market entry, allowed banks and other lenders to expand their geographic reach by providing services over the Internet and made it possible for non-depository institutions to offer products and services that traditionally have been provided by banks. Competition for deposits and the origination of loans could limit our future growth. Nevertheless, while in recent years we have steadily decreased our reliance on municipal deposits, which decreased \$11.0 million from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2015, we continue to replace municipal deposits with core retail deposits, which increased \$14.5 million during the same period.

## **Lending Activities**

*General.* We originate loans primarily for investment purposes. Historically, our primary lending activity has been the origination of one- to four-family mortgage loans secured by homes in our local market area, particularly in Dearborn,

Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. A significant portion of this historical lending activity has been the origination for retention in our portfolio of adjustable-rate mortgage (“ARM”) loans collateralized by one- to four-family residential real estate located within our primary market area. The low interest rate environment that has persisted over the last few years has required that we augment adjustable rate originations with 10-year fixed rate loan originations. In order to further complement our traditional emphasis of one- to four-family residential real estate lending, significant segments of our loan portfolio consist of nonresidential real estate and land loans, multi-family real estate loans and consumer loans. Between 2006 and 2010, we increased and diversified our lending efforts in the metropolitan Cincinnati market area and, to a lesser extent, in northern Kentucky and the Indiana counties outside of our local market area, particularly with respect to nonresidential and multi-family real estate lending. In June 2010, we implemented a strategy to deemphasize the origination of nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loans, particularly outside of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. The strategy was implemented to address the fact that multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, particularly those originated outside of the Bank’s traditional southeastern Indiana market area, experienced the most financial difficulty during the recent economic downturn, in turn causing the Bank to incur losses and devote an inordinate amount of management oversight to these relationships. Consequently, between June 2010 and the quarter ended December 31, 2013, our multifamily and nonresidential real estate lending origination activity outside, and to a lesser extent inside, of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties in Indiana had been limited to the renewal, refinancing and restructuring of these types of loans. During the quarter ended December 31, 2013 we reviewed the economic environment in our lending markets and implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase commercial real estate lending, including in the Cincinnati and northern Kentucky markets. We have hired experienced commercial lenders and credit staff to enhance our capacity to implement this strategy of multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans.

For additional information regarding our strategy to deemphasize the origination of multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, see “*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Our Operating Strategy – Improving our asset quality,*” “*– Implementing a controlled growth strategy to originate multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to improve interest income*” and “*– Risk Management – Analysis of Nonperforming and Classified Assets.*”

***One- to Four-Family Residential Real Estate Loans.*** We offer mortgage loans to enable borrowers to purchase or refinance existing homes, most of which serve as the primary residence of the owner. We offer fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loans with terms up to 30 years. Borrower demand for adjustable-rate loans versus fixed-rate loans is a function of the level of interest rates, the expectations of changes in the level of interest rates, and the difference between the interest rates and loan fees offered for fixed-rate mortgage loans and the initial period interest rates and loan fees for adjustable-rate loans. The relative amount of fixed-rate mortgage loans and adjustable-rate mortgage loans that can be originated at any time is largely determined by the demand for each in a competitive environment. The loan fees, interest rates and other provisions of mortgage loans are determined by us on the basis of our own pricing criteria and competitive market conditions. Most of our loan originations result from relationships with existing or past customers, members of our local community and referrals from realtors, attorneys and builders.

While one- to four-family residential real estate loans are normally originated with up to 30-year terms, such loans typically remain outstanding for substantially shorter periods because borrowers often prepay their loans in full upon sale of the property pledged as security or upon refinancing the original loan. Therefore, average loan maturity is a function of, among other factors, the level of purchase and sale activity in the real estate market, prevailing interest rates and the interest rates payable on outstanding loans. As a result of the continued low interest rate environment during the past several years, a greater percentage of our one- to four-family loan originations consisted of fixed-rate one- to four-family mortgage loans. Our practice in recent years has generally been to (i) sell in the secondary market newly originated conforming fixed-rate 15-, 20- and 30-year one- to four-family residential real estate loans on a servicing retained basis, without recourse to United Community Bank, and (ii) to hold in our portfolio fixed-rate loans with 10-year terms or less and adjustable-rate loans. While during a nine month period in the year ending June 30, 2015 we were holding 15-year fixed rate loans; currently, we have no intention of changing our prior practice of selling our fixed-rate loan originations, although we may determine to change this practice in the future. Historically in higher interest rate environments consumer preference for adjustable rate mortgages has enabled us to originate such loans for our portfolio. Therefore, in a rising interest rate environment, we expect that a greater percentage of our loan originations will consist of adjustable-rate loans, which we generally retain in our portfolio. In the past, we have occasionally purchased loans and purchased participation interests in loans originated by other institutions to supplement our origination efforts. At June 30, 2015, loans serviced by United Community Bank for others totaled \$64.9 million, resulting in \$165,000 in servicing fee income during the year ended June 30, 2015. At June 30, 2014, loans serviced by United Community Bank for others totaled \$68.0 million, resulting in \$168,000 in servicing fee income during the year ended June 30, 2014. During the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, we sold \$6.3 million and \$10.5 million, respectively, of fixed-rate one- to four-family loans. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, we had \$160,000 and \$138,000, respectively, of mortgage loans held for sale recorded at the lower of cost or fair value.

Interest rates and payments on our adjustable-rate mortgage loans generally adjust annually after an initial fixed period that ranges from one to seven years. Interest rates and payments on these adjustable-rate loans generally are based on the one-year constant maturity U.S. Treasury index (three-year constant maturity U.S. Treasury index in the case of three-year adjustable-rate loans) as published by the Federal Reserve Board in Statistical Release H.15. The maximum amount by which the interest rate may be increased is generally two percentage points per adjustment period and the lifetime interest rate cap ranges from five to six percentage points over the initial interest rate of the loan. Our adjustable-rate one- to four-family mortgage loans generally do not provide for a decrease in the rate paid below the initial contract rate. The inability of our residential real estate loans to adjust downward below the initial contract rate can contribute to increased income in periods of declining interest rates, and also assists us in our efforts to limit the risks to earnings and equity value resulting from changes in interest rates, subject to the risk that borrowers may refinance these loans during periods of declining interest rates.

ARM loans decrease the risk associated with changes in market interest rates by periodically repricing, but involve other risks. As interest rates increase, the required periodic payments by the borrower increase, thus increasing the potential for default by the borrower. At the same time, the marketability of the underlying collateral may be adversely affected by higher interest rates. Upward adjustment of the contractual interest rate is also limited by the maximum periodic and lifetime interest rate adjustment permitted by the terms of the ARM loans, and therefore, is potentially limited in effectiveness during periods of rapidly rising interest rates. Decreasing interest rates could result in a downward adjustment of the contractual interest rates, subject to interest rate floor, resulting in lower interest income. At June 30, 2015, 35.5% of our loan portfolio consisted of one- to four-family residential loans with adjustable interest rates.

We generally do not make conventional loans with loan-to-value ratios exceeding 95% at the time the loan is originated. Private mortgage insurance is generally required for all fixed-rate loans with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80%, and all adjustable-rate loans with loan-to-value ratios exceeding 85%. We require all properties securing mortgage loans to be appraised by a board-approved independent appraiser. We generally require title insurance on all first mortgage loans. Borrowers must obtain hazard insurance, and flood insurance for loans on properties located in a flood zone, before closing the loan.

We do not offer, and have not previously offered, subprime, Alt-A, low-doc, no-doc loans or loans with negative amortization and generally do not offer interest-only loans.

At June 30, 2015, we had \$141.1 million in One-to four-family real estate loans outstanding, or 54.3% of total loans.

***Multi-Family Real Estate Loans.*** We offer adjustable-rate mortgage loans secured by multi-family real estate. Our multi-family real estate loans are generally secured by apartment buildings within and outside our primary market area. At June 30, 2015, approximately 57.9% of our multi-family real estate loans were secured by properties located outside of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana, 100% of which were in the Cincinnati and northern Kentucky markets. In June, 2010, we implemented a strategy to deemphasize the origination of nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loans, particularly outside of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. The strategy was implemented to address the fact that multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, particularly those originated outside of the Bank's traditional southeastern Indiana market area, experienced significant financial difficulties during the recent economic downturn, which resulted in the Bank incurring losses and being required to devote a significant amount of management's time and energy to overseeing these relationships. Consequently, between June 2010 and the quarter ended December 31, 2013, our multi-family and nonresidential real estate lending origination activity outside of, and to a lesser extent within, Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties in Indiana was limited to the renewal, refinancing and restructuring of these types of loans. As part of the strategy, we amended our loan policy to reduce our concentration limits for multi-family real estate loans to 75% of the sum of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses. During the quarter ended December 31, 2013 we reviewed the economic environment in our lending markets and implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase multi-family and nonresidential real estate

lending, including in the Cincinnati and Northern Kentucky markets. At June 30, 2015, no nonperforming assets were multi-family residential real estate loans. For additional information regarding our troubled debt restructurings, controlled growth strategy and our multi-family residential lending, see “*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Our Operating Strategy – Improving our asset quality,*” “*–Implementing a controlled growth strategy to originate multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to improve interest income*” and “*– Risk Management – Analysis of Nonperforming Assets.*”

These loans are typically repaid or the term is extended before maturity, in which case a new rate is negotiated to meet market conditions and an extension of the loan is executed for a new term with a new amortization schedule. Our portfolio primarily includes adjustable-rate multi-family real estate loans with terms up to 30 years. Interest rates and payments on most of these loans typically adjust annually after an initial fixed term of one to seven years, with the adjustable-rate generally being based on the prime interest rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread. The maximum amount by which the interest rate may be increased is generally two percentage points per adjustment period and the lifetime interest rate cap is six percentage points over the initial interest rate of the loan. Our adjustable-rate multi-family loans generally do not provide for a decrease in the rate paid below the initial contract rate. Loans are secured by first mortgages that generally do not exceed 80% of the lesser of the property’s appraised value or the purchase price, the maximum amount of which is limited by our in-house loans to one borrower limit which currently is \$6.5 million. When the borrower is a corporation, partnership or other entity, we generally require that significant equity holders serve as co-borrowers on the loan, or, to a lesser extent, serve as a personal guarantor of the loan. Environmental reports are generally required for all multi-family loans.

Loans secured by multi-family real estate generally have larger balances and involve a greater degree of risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. A primary concern in multi-family real estate lending is the borrower's creditworthiness and the feasibility and cash flow potential of the project. Payments on loans secured by income properties often depend on successful operation and management of the properties. As a result, repayment of such loans may be subject to a greater extent than one- to four-family residential real estate loans to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. To monitor cash flows on income properties, we may require borrowers and co-borrowers of loan relationships totaling \$1.0 million or more, in the aggregate, to provide annual financial statements and/or tax returns. In reaching a decision on whether to make a multi-family real estate loan, we consider the net operating income of the property, the borrower's character and expertise, credit history and profitability and the value of the underlying property. We have generally required that the properties securing these real estate loans have debt service coverage ratios (the ratio of earnings before debt service to debt service) of at least 1.20x.

At June 30, 2015, we had \$19.3 million in multi-family real estate loans outstanding, or 7.4% of total loans. The largest outstanding multi-family real estate loan at such date had an outstanding balance of \$6.2 million and is secured by multiple apartment buildings. This loan was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms at June 30, 2015.

***Nonresidential Real Estate and Land Loans.*** We offer adjustable-rate mortgage loans secured by nonresidential real estate. Our nonresidential real estate loans are generally secured by commercial buildings. These loans are typically repaid or the term is extended before maturity, in which case a new rate is negotiated to meet market conditions and an extension of the loan is executed for a new term with a new amortization schedule. We originate adjustable-rate nonresidential real estate loans with terms up to 30 years. Interest rates and payments on most of these loans typically adjust annually after an initial fixed term of one to seven years, with the adjustable-rate generally being based on the prime interest rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread. The maximum amount by which the interest rate may be increased is generally two percentage points per adjustment period and the lifetime interest rate cap is six percentage points over the initial interest rate of the loan. Loans are secured by first mortgages that generally do not exceed 80% of the property's appraised value or the purchase price (75% for improved land only loans and 65% for unimproved land only loans), the maximum amount of which is limited by our in-house loans to one borrower limit which currently is \$6.8 million. When the borrower is a corporation, partnership or other entity, we may require that significant equity holders serve as co-borrowers or as personal guarantors of the loan. As of June 30, 2015, approximately \$3.2 million, or 47.4%, of our nonperforming assets were nonresidential real estate loans. In June, 2010, we implemented a strategy to control the growth of our nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios, particularly outside of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. The strategy was implemented to address the fact that multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, particularly those originated outside of the Bank's traditional southeastern Indiana market area, experienced the most financial difficulty during the recent economic downturn, in turn causing the Bank to incur losses and devote an inordinate amount of management oversight to these relationships. Consequently, between June 2010 and the quarter ended December 31, 2013, our multi-family and nonresidential real estate lending origination activity outside of, and to a lesser extent within, Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties in Indiana was limited to the renewal, refinancing and restructuring of these types of loans. As part of the strategy, we amended our loan policy to reduce our concentration limits for nonresidential real estate loans to 100% of the sum of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses. During the quarter ended December 31, 2013 we reviewed the economic environment in our lending markets and implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase multi-family and

nonresidential real estate lending, including in the Cincinnati and Northern Kentucky markets. For additional information regarding our troubled debt restructurings, controlled growth strategy and our nonresidential real estate and land loans, see “*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Our Operating Strategy – Improving our asset quality,*” “*– Implementing a controlled growth strategy to originate multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to improve interest income*” and “*– Risk Management – Analysis of Nonperforming and Classified Assets.*”

Loans secured by nonresidential real estate generally have larger balances and involve a greater degree of risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Our primary concern in nonresidential real estate lending is the borrower's creditworthiness and the feasibility and cash flow potential of the project. Payments on loans secured by income properties often depend on successful operation and management of the properties. As a result, repayment of such loans may be subject to a greater extent than one- to four-family residential real estate loans to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. To monitor cash flows on income properties, we require borrowers and loan guarantors of loan relationships totaling \$1.0 million or more, in the aggregate, to provide annual financial statements and/or tax returns. In reaching a decision on whether to make a nonresidential real estate loan, we consider the net operating income of the property, the borrower's expertise and character, credit history and profitability and the value of the underlying property. In addition, with respect to rental properties, we will also consider the term of the leases and the credit quality of the tenants. We may require that the properties securing these real estate loans have debt service coverage ratios (the ratio of earnings before debt service to debt service) of at least 1.20x. Environmental reports are generally required for loans over \$500,000.

We also originate loans secured by unimproved property, including lots for single-family homes and for mobile homes, raw land, commercial property and agricultural property. The rates of our land loans are typically higher than our nonresidential and multi-family real estate loans. Loans secured by undeveloped land or improved lots generally involve greater risks than one- to four-family residential mortgage lending because land loans are more difficult to evaluate. If the estimate of value proves to be inaccurate, in the event of default and foreclosure, we may be confronted with a property the value of which is insufficient to assure full repayment. Loan amounts generally do not exceed 75% and 65% of the lesser of the appraised value or the purchase price for improved and unimproved land loans, respectively.

At June 30, 2015, we had \$47.9 million in nonresidential real estate loans outstanding, or 18.5% of total loans, and \$3.0 million in land loans outstanding, or 1.2% of total loans. At June 30, 2015, the largest outstanding nonresidential real estate loan had an outstanding balance of \$2.7 million and was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms at that date. At June 30, 2015, our largest land loan, which was performing in accordance with its original terms at that date, had an outstanding balance of \$890,000 and was secured by a commercial land development.

**Construction Loans.** We originate adjustable-rate loans to individuals and, to a lesser extent, builders to finance the construction of residential dwellings. We also make construction loans for commercial development projects, including apartment buildings nonresidential properties (owner occupied and non-owner occupied) used for businesses. Our construction loans generally provide for the payment of interest only during the construction phase, which is usually nine months for residential properties and 12 months for commercial properties. At the end of the construction phase, the loan generally converts to a permanent mortgage loan. Loans generally can be made with a maximum loan to value ratio of 95% on residential construction and 80% on commercial construction at the time the loan is originated. Before making a commitment to fund a construction loan, we require an appraisal of the property by an independent licensed appraiser. We also will require an inspection of the property before disbursement of funds during the term of the construction loan.

At June 30, 2015, we had \$4.1 million of construction loans, or 1.6% of total loans.

At June 30, 2015, our largest residential construction loan was for \$424,000, of which the entire balance was outstanding. At June 30, 2015, there were two outstanding commercial construction loans totaling \$1.4 million.

**Commercial Loans.** We occasionally make commercial business loans to professionals, sole proprietorships and small businesses primarily in our market area. We extend commercial business loans on an unsecured basis and secured basis, the maximum amount of which is limited by our in-house loans to one borrower limit.

We originate secured and unsecured commercial lines of credit to finance the working capital needs of businesses to be repaid by seasonal cash flows. Commercial lines of credit secured by nonresidential real estate are adjustable-rate loans whose rates are based on the prime interest rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread, and adjust monthly. Commercial lines of credit secured by nonresidential real estate have a maximum term of five years and a maximum loan-to-value ratio of 80% of the pledged collateral. We also originate commercial lines of credit secured by marketable securities and unsecured lines of credit. These lines of credit, as well as certain commercial lines of credit secured by nonresidential real estate, require that only interest be paid on a monthly or quarterly basis and have a maximum term of five years.

We also originate secured and unsecured commercial loans. Secured commercial loans are generally collateralized by business assets, including accounts receivable, inventory, industrial/commercial machinery, equipment and furniture and fixtures, and also marketable securities. We originate both fixed-rate and adjustable-rate commercial loans with terms up to seven years for secured loans and up to three years for unsecured loans. Adjustable-rate loans are based on the prime interest rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread, and adjust either monthly or annually. Where the borrower is a corporation, partnership or other entity, we generally require significant equity holders to be co-borrowers, and in cases where they are not co-borrowers, we generally require personal guarantees from significant equity holders.

When making commercial business loans, we consider the financial statements and/or tax returns of the borrower, the borrower's payment history of both corporate and personal debt, the debt service capabilities of the borrower, the projected cash flows of the business, the viability of the industry in which the customer operates and the value of the collateral.

At June 30, 2015, we had \$4.0 million of commercial loans outstanding, or 1.6% of total loans.

At June 30, 2015, our largest commercial loan was a \$512,000 loan which was secured primarily by customer utility accounts receivable. This loan was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms at June 30, 2015.

**Consumer Loans.** We offer a variety of consumer loans, primarily home equity loans and lines of credit, and, to a much lesser extent, loans secured by savings accounts or certificates of deposit (share loans), new farm and garden equipment, new and used automobiles, recreational vehicle loans and secured and unsecured personal loans.

The procedures for underwriting consumer loans include an assessment of the applicant's payment history on other debts and ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. Although the applicant's creditworthiness is a primary consideration, the underwriting process also includes a comparison of the value of the collateral, if any, to the proposed loan amount.

We generally offer home equity loans and lines of credit with a maximum combined loan to value ratio of 90%. Our lowest interest rates are generally offered to customers with a maximum combined loan to value ratio of 80% or less. Home equity lines of credit have adjustable-rates of interest that are based on the prime interest rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread. Home equity lines of credit generally require that only interest be paid on a monthly basis and have terms of up to 20 years. Interest rates on these loans typically adjust monthly. We offer fixed-rate and adjustable-rate home equity loans. Home equity loans with fixed-rates have terms that range from one to 15 years. Home equity loans with adjustable-rates have terms that range from one to 30 years. Interest rates on

these loans are based on the prime interest rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal*, plus a spread. We hold a first mortgage position on most of the homes that secure our home equity loans and home equity lines of credit.

We offer loans secured by new and used vehicles. These loans have fixed interest rates and generally have terms up to five years.

We offer loans secured by new and used boats, motor homes, campers and motorcycles. We offer fixed and adjustable-rate loans for new motor homes and boats with terms up to 10 years for adjustable-rate loans and up to 10 years for fixed-rate loans. We offer fixed-rate loans for all other new and used recreational vehicles with terms up to 10 years for campers and five years for motorcycles.

We offer secured consumer loans with fixed interest rates and terms up to 10 years and secured lines of credit with adjustable-rates based on the prime interest rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal* with terms up to five years. We also offer fixed-rate unsecured consumer loans and lines of credit with terms up to five years. For more information on our loan commitments, see “*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations–Risk Management–Liquidity Management.*” At June 30, 2015, we had \$34.9 million of consumer loans outstanding, or 13.4% of total loans.

***Agricultural Loans.*** Originally, our agricultural loans were acquired in connection with our acquisition of the Ripley County branch offices in 2010. We continue to grow the agricultural portfolio utilizing a loan officer who specializes in agricultural lending. Our agricultural loans generally consist of short and medium-term loans and lines of credit that are primarily used for crops, livestock, equipment and general operations. Agricultural loans are ordinarily secured by assets such as livestock, crops or equipment and are repaid from the operations of the farm. Agricultural loans generally have maturities of five years or less. At June 30, 2015, we had \$5.2 million of agricultural loans outstanding, or 2.0% of total loans. At June 30, 2015, our largest outstanding agricultural loan balance was \$574,000, and is secured by farm equipment and crops. This loan was performing in accordance with its original contractual terms at June 30, 2015.

## **Loan Underwriting Risks**

***Adjustable-Rate Loans.*** While we anticipate that adjustable-rate loans will better offset the adverse effects of an increase in interest rates as compared to fixed-rate mortgages, the increased mortgage payments required of adjustable-rate loan borrowers in a rising interest rate environment could cause an increase in delinquencies and defaults. The marketability of the underlying property also may be adversely affected in a high interest rate environment. In addition, although adjustable-rate mortgage loans help make our loan portfolio more responsive to changes in interest rates, the extent of this interest sensitivity is limited by the annual and lifetime interest rate adjustment limits.

***Multi-Family and Nonresidential Real Estate and Land Loans.*** Loans secured by multi-family and nonresidential real estate generally have larger balances and involve a greater degree of risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Of primary concern in multi-family and nonresidential real estate lending is the borrower's creditworthiness and the feasibility and cash flow potential of the project. Payments on loans secured by income properties often depend on successful operation and management of the properties. As a result, repayment of such loans may be subject to a greater extent than residential real estate loans to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. To monitor cash flows on income properties, we require borrowers, co-borrowers and loan guarantors of loan relationships totaling \$1.0 million or more, in the aggregate, to provide annual financial statements and/or tax returns. In reaching a decision on whether to make a multi-family and nonresidential real estate loan, we consider the net operating income of the property, the borrower's expertise, credit history and profitability and the value of the underlying property. We have generally required that the properties securing these real estate loans have debt service coverage ratios (the ratio of earnings before debt service to debt service) of at least 1.20x. Environmental reports are generally required for loans over \$500,000.

We underwrite all loan participations to our own underwriting standards and will not participate in a loan unless each participant has at least a 10% interest in the loan. In addition, we also consider the financial strength and reputation of the lead lender. To monitor cash flows on loan participations, we require the lead lender to provide us with annual financial statements from the borrower. Generally, we also conduct an annual internal loan review for loan participations.

**Construction Loans.** Construction financing is generally considered to involve a higher degree of risk of loss than long-term financing on improved, occupied real estate. Risk of loss on a construction loan depends largely upon the accuracy of the initial estimate of the property's value at completion of construction and the estimated cost (including interest) of construction. During the construction phase, a number of factors could result in delays and cost overruns. If the estimate of construction costs proves to be inaccurate, we may be required to advance funds beyond the amount originally committed to permit completion of the building. If the estimate of value proves to be inaccurate, we may be confronted, at or before the maturity of the loan, with a building having a value which is insufficient to assure full repayment. If we are forced to foreclose on a building before or at completion due to a default, there can be no assurance that we will be able to recover all of the unpaid balance of, and accrued interest on, the loan as well as related foreclosure and holding costs.

**Commercial Loans.** Unlike one- to four-family mortgage loans, which generally are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from his or her employment or other income, and which are secured by real property the value of which tends to be more easily ascertainable, commercial loans are of higher risk and typically are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial loans may depend substantially on the success of the business itself. Further, any collateral securing such loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value.

**Consumer Loans.** Consumer loans may entail greater risk than do one- to four-family mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans that are unsecured or secured by assets that depreciate rapidly. In such cases, repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment for the outstanding loan and the remaining deficiency often does not warrant further substantial collection efforts against the borrower. In addition, consumer loan collections depend on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and therefore are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount that can be recovered on such loans.

**Agricultural Loans.** Payments on agricultural loans are typically dependent on the profitable operation or management of the related farm property. The success of the farm may be affected by many factors outside the control of the borrower, including adverse weather conditions that prevent the planting of a crop or limit crop yields, declines in market prices for agricultural products and the impact of government regulations. In addition, many farms are dependent on a limited number of key individuals whose injury or death may significantly affect the successful operation of the farm. If the cash flow from a farming operation is diminished, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. For loan relationships greater than \$250,000, crop insurance is required at a minimum of 70% of the loan amount when the crops are the Bank's primary collateral.

**Loan Originations, Purchases and Sales.** Loan originations come from a number of sources. The primary sources of loan originations are existing customers, walk-in traffic, advertising and referrals from customers. We advertise on television and on radio and in newspapers that are widely circulated in Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. Accordingly, when our rates are competitive, we attract loans from throughout Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. We occasionally purchase loans and participation interests in loans to supplement our origination efforts.

We generally originate loans for our portfolio, but our current practice is to sell to the secondary market almost all newly originated conforming fixed-rate, 15-, 20-, 25- and 30-year one- to four-family mortgage loans and to hold in our portfolio fixed-rate loans with 10-year terms or less and adjustable-rate loans. Our decision to sell loans is based on prevailing market interest rate conditions and interest rate risk management considerations. Loans are sold to Freddie Mac with servicing retained.

**Loan Approval Procedures and Authority.** Our lending activities follow written, non-discriminatory underwriting standards and loan origination procedures established by our Board of Directors and management. The Board has granted the Management Mortgage Loan Committee (comprised of the President, Executive Vice President and the Senior Vice President, Lending) with loan approval authority for mortgage loans up to \$200,000 and to the Board Loan Committee, consisting of the President, the Executive Vice President and three to four other members of the Board, up to \$1.0 million.

The Board has granted authority to approve consumer loans to certain employees up to prescribed limits, depending on the officer's experience and tenure. The Board also granted loan approval authority to the Management Loan Committee, consisting of the President and the Executive Vice President, the Senior Vice President of Lending and the Chief Credit Officer. The Board Loan Committee may approve consumer loans secured by either real estate or non-real estate assets up to \$3.0 million, unsecured consumer loans up to \$1.5 million, commercial loans secured by either real estate or non-real estate assets up to \$3.0 million and unsecured commercial loans up to \$1.5 million. The Management Loan Committee may approve consumer loans secured by either real estate or non-real estate assets up to \$1.5 million, unsecured consumer loans up to \$500,000, commercial loans secured by either real estate or non-real estate assets up to \$1.5 million and unsecured commercial loans up to \$500,000.

All loans in excess of these limits must be approved by the full Board of Directors.

***Loans to One Borrower.*** The maximum amount that we may lend to one borrower and the borrower's related entities generally is limited, by regulation, to 15% of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses. At June 30, 2015, our general regulatory limit on loans to one borrower was \$9.8 million. On June 30, 2015, our largest lending relationship was a \$7.7 million multi-family real estate loan relationship. The loans that comprise this relationship were performing according to their restructured terms at June 30, 2015. In 2014, to reduce the risk of loss to any one borrower, the Board established a loans to one borrower limit of 10% of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses. At June 30, 2015, this limit was \$6.5 million. Any relationship in excess of 10% at the time of implementation of our in-house limit was grandfathered.

***Loan Commitments.*** We issue commitments for fixed- and adjustable-rate mortgage, consumer, and commercial loans conditioned upon the occurrence of certain events. Commitments to originate mortgage, consumer, and commercial loans are legally binding agreements to lend to our customers. Generally, our loan commitments expire after 30 days.

## **Investment Activities**

We have legal authority to invest in various types of liquid assets, including U.S. Treasury obligations, securities of various federal agencies, state and municipal governments, deposits at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis and other financial institutions and certificates of deposit of federally insured institutions. We also are required to maintain an investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis stock. While we have the authority under applicable law to invest in derivative securities, our investment policy does not permit such investments. We had no investments in derivative securities at June 30, 2015.

At June 30, 2015, our investment portfolio totaled \$210.7 million and consisted primarily of municipal bonds and mortgage-backed securities issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae.

At June 30, 2015, \$58.2 million of our investment portfolio consisted of callable securities. These securities were included in municipal bonds. These securities contain either a one-time call option or may be called any time after the first call date. We face reinvestment risk with callable securities, particularly during periods of falling market interest rates when issuers of callable securities tend to call or redeem their securities. Reinvestment risk is the risk that we may have to reinvest the proceeds from called securities at lower rates of return than the rates paid on the called securities.

Our investment objectives are to provide and maintain liquidity, to establish an acceptable level of interest rate and credit risk, to provide an alternate source of income when demand for loans is weak and to generate a favorable return. The Investment Committee is responsible for the implementation of the investment policy. The Management Investment Committee, consisting of the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Senior VP of Lending, and the Chief Risk Officer is responsible for monitoring our investment performance. Portfolio composition and performance are reviewed by our board of directors quarterly.

## **Deposit Activities and Other Sources of Funds**

**General.** Deposits, borrowings and loan repayments are the major sources of our funds for lending and other investment purposes. Loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and outflows and loan prepayments are significantly influenced by general interest rates and market conditions.

**Deposit Accounts.** Substantially all of our depositors are residents of the State of Indiana. We attract deposits in our market area through advertising and through our website. We offer a broad selection of deposit instruments, including noninterest-bearing demand accounts (such as checking accounts), interest-bearing accounts (such as interest-bearing checking and money market accounts), regular savings accounts and certificates of deposit. Municipal deposits comprise a substantial portion of our total deposits. At June 30, 2015, \$103.2 million, or 23.9% of our total deposits, were municipal deposits compared to 47.9% of total deposits at June 30, 2006. While we expect municipal deposits to continue to remain an important source of funding, we expect to continue to improve our funding mix by marketing lower cost core retail deposits, with the goal to reduce the portion of our deposit portfolio comprised of municipal deposits. Municipal deposits decreased \$11.0 million from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2015. During that same period core deposits increased \$14.5 million. At June 30, 2015, we did not utilize brokered deposits. Deposit account terms vary according to the minimum balance required, the time periods the funds must remain on deposit and the interest rate, among other factors. In determining the terms of our deposit accounts, we consider the rates offered by our competition, our liquidity needs, profitability to us, matching deposit and loan products and customer preferences and concerns. We generally review our deposit mix and pricing as needed. Our current strategy is to offer competitive rates and to be in the middle of our market for rates on all types of deposit products.

***Borrowings.*** We may utilize advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis to supplement our supply of investable funds. The Federal Home Loan Bank functions as a central reserve bank providing credit for its member financial institutions. As a member, we are required to own capital stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis and are authorized to apply for advances on the security of such stock and certain of our whole first mortgage loans and other assets (principally securities which are obligations of, or guaranteed by, the United States), provided certain standards related to creditworthiness have been met. Advances are made under several different programs, each having its own interest rate and range of maturities. Depending on the program, limitations on the amount of advances are based either on a fixed percentage of an institution's net worth or on the Federal Home Loan Bank's assessment of the institution's creditworthiness. At June 30, 2015, \$13.0 million was advanced from the Federal Home Loan Bank at an average interest rate of 1.8%, and we had the ability to draw up to an additional \$60 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank.

## **Personnel**

As of June 30, 2015, we had 99 full-time employees and 21 part-time employees, none of which are represented by a collective bargaining unit. We believe our relationship with our employees is good.

## **Subsidiaries**

United Community Bank has two subsidiaries: United Community Bank Financial Services, Inc. and UCB Real Estate Management Holdings, LLC. United Community Bank Financial Services, Inc. receives commissions from the sale of non-deposit investment and insurance products. UCB Real Estate Management Holdings, LLC owns and operates real estate that has been acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure.

## **Regulation and Supervision**

### **General**

United Community Bancorp, as a savings and loan holding company, is subject to reporting to and regulation by the Federal Reserve Board. United Community Bank is subject to extensive regulation, examination and supervision by the OCC, as its primary federal regulator, and the FDIC, as the deposit insurer. United Community Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System and, with respect to deposit insurance, of the Deposit Insurance Fund managed by the FDIC. United Community Bank must file reports with the OCC and the FDIC concerning its activities

and financial condition in addition to obtaining regulatory approvals prior to entering into certain transactions such as mergers with, or acquisitions of, other savings institutions. The OCC and/or the FDIC conduct periodic examinations to test United Community Bank's safety and soundness and compliance with various regulatory requirements.

This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which an institution can engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the insurance fund and depositors. The regulatory structure also gives the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate allowance for loan losses for regulatory purposes. Any change in such regulatory requirements and policies, whether by the Federal Reserve Board, the OCC, the FDIC or Congress, could have a material adverse impact on United Community Bancorp and United Community Bank and their operations.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act") made extensive changes in the regulation of federal savings banks such as United Community Bank and their holding companies. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the Office of Thrift Supervision was eliminated and responsibility for the supervision and regulation of federal savings banks was transferred on July 21, 2011 to the OCC, the agency that is also primarily responsible for the regulation and supervision of national banks. Additionally on that date, responsibility for the regulation and supervision of savings and loan holding companies was transferred to the Federal Reserve Board, which also supervises bank holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act also created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau as an independent bureau of the Federal Reserve System. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau assumed responsibility for the implementation of the federal financial consumer protection and fair lending laws and regulations and has authority to impose new requirements. However, institutions of less than \$10 billion in assets, such as United Community Bank, will continue to be examined for compliance with consumer protection and fair lending laws and regulations by, and be subject to the enforcement authority of, their primary regulators.

United Community Bank completed its conversion from the mutual holding company form of organization to the stock holding company structure in January 2013. Applicable regulations provide, among other things, that for a period of three years following the date of the completion of the conversion, no person, acting singly or together with associates in a group of persons acting in concert, may directly or indirectly offer to acquire or acquire the beneficial ownership of more than 10% of a class of United Community Bancorp's equity securities without the prior written approval of the appropriate federal banking agency. Further, as part of the approval of the conversion, the OCC required United Community Bank to maintain a charter that subjects United Community Bank to the OCC's jurisdiction for three years following the completion of the conversion.

Certain regulatory requirements currently applicable to United Community Bancorp and United Community Bank are referred to below or elsewhere herein. The description of statutory provisions and regulations applicable to savings institutions and their holding companies set forth below and elsewhere in this document does not purport to be a complete description of such statutes and regulations and their effects on United Community Bancorp and United Community Bank and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the actual statutes and regulations.

### **Holding Company Regulation**

**General.** As a savings and loan holding company, United Community Bancorp is subject to Federal Reserve Board regulations, examinations, supervision, reporting requirements and regulations concerning its activities. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has enforcement authority over United Community Bancorp and its non-savings institution subsidiaries. Among other things, this authority permits the Federal Reserve Board to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to be a serious risk to United Community Bank.

Pursuant to federal law and regulations and policy, a savings and loan holding company, such as United Community Bancorp, may engage in activities permitted for financial holding companies under Section 4(k) of the Bank Holding Company Act and certain other activities that have been authorized for savings and loan holding companies by regulation.

Federal law prohibits a savings and loan holding company from, directly or indirectly or through one or more subsidiaries, acquiring more than 5% of the voting stock of another savings association or savings and loan holding company, without prior written approval of the Federal Reserve Board or from acquiring or retaining, with certain exceptions, more than 5% of a non-subsidiary holding company or savings association. A savings and loan holding company is also prohibited from acquiring more than 5% of a company engaged in activities other than those authorized by federal law or acquiring or retaining control of a depository institution that is not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. In evaluating applications by holding companies to acquire savings associations, the Federal Reserve Board must consider, among other things, factors such as the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company and institution involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the deposit

insurance fund, the convenience and needs of the community and competitive factors.

The Federal Reserve Board is prohibited from approving any acquisition that would result in a multiple savings and loan holding company controlling savings associations in more than one state, except: (1) the approval of interstate supervisory acquisitions by savings and loan holding companies; and (2) the acquisition of a savings association in another state if the laws of the state of the target savings association specifically permit such acquisitions. The states vary in the extent to which they permit interstate savings and loan holding company acquisitions.

**Capital Requirements.** Savings and loan holding companies historically have not been subject to consolidated regulatory capital requirements. However, in July 2013, the Federal Reserve Board approved a new rule that implements the “Basel III” regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule established consolidated capital requirements for many savings and loan holding companies, including United Community Bancorp. See “— *Federal Savings Institution Regulation—Capital Requirements.*”

**Source of Strength.** The Dodd-Frank Act also extends the “source of strength” doctrine to savings and loan holding companies. The regulatory agencies must issue regulations implementing the "source of strength" policy that holding companies act as a source of strength to their subsidiary depository institutions by providing capital, liquidity and other support in times of financial stress.

**Acquisition of Control.** Under the Federal Change in Bank Control Act, a notice must be submitted to the Federal Reserve Board if any person (including a company), or group acting in concert, seeks to acquire direct or indirect "control" of a savings and loan holding company or savings association. Under certain circumstances, a change in control may occur, and prior notice is required, upon the acquisition of 10% or more of the outstanding voting stock of the company or institution, unless the Federal Reserve Board has found the acquisition will not result in a change in control. Under the Change in Control Act, the Federal Reserve Board has 60 days from the filing of a complete notice to act, taking into consideration certain factors, including the financial and managerial resources of the acquirer and the anti-trust effects of the acquisition. Any company that so acquires control would then be subject to regulation as a savings and loan holding company.

**Dividends.** The Federal Reserve Board has the power to prohibit dividends by savings and loan holding companies if their actions constitute unsafe or unsound practices. The Federal Reserve Board has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, which also applies to savings and loan holding companies and which expresses the Federal Reserve Board’s view that a holding company should pay cash dividends only to the extent that the company’s net income for the past year is sufficient to cover both the cash dividends and a rate of earnings retention that is consistent with the company’s capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. The Federal Reserve Board also indicated that it would be inappropriate for a holding company experiencing serious financial problems to borrow funds to pay dividends. Under the prompt corrective action regulations, the Federal Reserve Board may prohibit a bank holding company from paying any dividends if the holding company’s bank subsidiary is classified as “undercapitalized.”

## **Federal Savings Institution Regulation**

**Business Activities.** The activities of federal savings banks, such as United Community Bank, are governed by federal law and regulations. These laws and regulations delineate the nature and extent of the business activities in which federal savings banks may engage. In particular, certain lending authority for federal savings institutions, *e.g.*, commercial, nonresidential real property loans and consumer loans, is limited to a specified percentage of the institution’s capital or assets.

**Capital Requirements.** On July 9, 2013, the federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule that revised their risk-based capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (“Basel III”) and certain provisions of

the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule applies to all depository institutions and top-tier bank holding companies and top-tier savings and loan holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$1 billion or more.

The rules include new risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which became effective January 1, 2015, and revise the definition of what constitutes “capital” for purposes of calculating those ratios. The new minimum capital level requirements applicable to the Company and the Bank are: (1) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (2) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (3) a total capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (4) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4% for all institutions. In addition, the rules assign a higher risk weight (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The rules also eliminate the inclusion of certain instruments, such as trust preferred securities, from Tier 1 capital. However, instruments issued prior to May 19, 2010 will be grandfathered for companies with consolidated assets of \$15 billion or less. In addition, Tier 2 capital is no longer limited to the amount of Tier 1 capital included in total capital. Mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets and investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries over designated percentages of common stock will be required to be deducted from capital, subject to a two-year transition period. Finally Tier 1 capital will include accumulated other comprehensive income (which includes all unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities), subject to a two-year transition period.

Finally, the rule limits capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a “capital conservation buffer” consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016, at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets, increasing each year until fully implemented at 2.5% on January 1, 2019. The OCC also has authority to establish individual minimum capital requirements in appropriate cases upon a determination that an institution’s capital level is or may become inadequate in light of the particular circumstances. At June 30, 2015, United Community Bank met each of its capital requirements.

**Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action.** Prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to accept broker deposits. The OCC is required to take certain supervisory actions against undercapitalized institutions, the severity of which depends upon the institution’s degree of undercapitalization. In addition, numerous mandatory supervisory actions become immediately applicable to an undercapitalized institution, including, but not limited to, increased monitoring by regulators and restrictions on growth, capital distributions and expansion. The OCC could also take any one of a number of discretionary supervisory actions, including the issuance of a capital directive and the replacement of senior executive officers and directors. Significantly and undercapitalized institutions are subject to additional mandatory and discretionary measures.

**Insurance of Deposit Accounts.** United Community Bank’s deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC. Deposit insurance per account owner is currently \$250,000. Under the FDIC’s risk-based assessment system, insured institutions are assigned a risk category based on supervisory evaluations, regulatory capital levels and certain other factors. An institution’s assessment rate depends upon the category to which it is assigned, and certain adjustments specified by FDIC regulations. Assessment rates range from 2.5 to 45 basis points of total assets less tangible equity.

The FDIC has authority to increase insurance assessments. A significant increase in insurance premiums would likely have an adverse effect on the operating expenses and results of operations of United Community Bank cannot predict what insurance assessment rates will be in the future.

**Loans to One Borrower.** Federal law provides that savings institutions are generally subject to the limits on loans to one borrower applicable to national banks. Generally, subject to certain exceptions, a savings institution may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses. An additional amount may be lent, equal to 10% of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses, if secured by specified readily-marketable collateral.

**QTL Test.** Federal law requires savings institutions to meet a qualified thrift lender test. Under the test, a savings association is required to either qualify as a “domestic building and loan association” under the Internal Revenue Code or maintain at least 65% of its “portfolio assets” (total assets less: (i) specified liquid assets up to 20% of total assets; (ii) intangibles, including goodwill; and (iii) the value of property used to conduct business) in certain “qualified thrift investments” (primarily residential mortgages and related investments, including certain mortgage-backed securities but also including education loans, credit card loans and small business loans) in at least 9 months out of each 12-month period.

A savings institution that fails the qualified thrift lender test is subject to certain operating restrictions. The Dodd-Frank Act also specifies that failing the qualified thrift lender test is a violation of law that could result in possible enforcement action for violation of law and imposes dividend limitations.

As of June 30, 2015, United Community Bank met the qualified thrift lender test.

**Limitation on Capital Distributions.** Federal Reserve Board and OCC regulations impose limitations upon all capital distributions by a savings institution, including cash dividends, payments to repurchase its shares and payments to shareholders of another institution in a cash-out merger. Under the regulations, a notice must be filed with the Federal Reserve Board 30 days prior to declaring a dividend, with a notice to the OCC. The Federal Reserve Board may disapprove a dividend notice if the proposed dividend raises safety and soundness concerns, the institution would be undercapitalized following the distribution or the distribution would otherwise be contrary to a statute, regulation or agreement with the OCC. In the event United Community Bank’s capital fell below its regulatory requirements or the OCC notified it that it was in need of increased supervision, United Community Bank’s ability to make capital distributions could be restricted. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board could prohibit a proposed capital distribution by any institution, which would otherwise be permitted by the regulation, if the Federal Reserve Board determines that such distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. Federal law further provides that no insured depository institution may pay a dividend that causes it to fall below any applicable regulatory capital requirement or if it is in default of its FDIC deposit insurance assessment.

**Transactions with Related Parties.** United Community Bank's authority to engage in transactions with "affiliates" (e.g., any entity that controls or is under common control with an institution, including United Community Bancorp and any non-savings institution subsidiaries) is limited by federal law. The aggregate amount of covered transactions with any individual affiliate is limited to 10% of the capital and surplus of the savings institution. The aggregate amount of covered transactions with all affiliates is limited to 20% of the savings institution's capital and surplus. Certain transactions with affiliates are required to be secured by collateral in an amount and of a type specified by federal law. The purchase of low quality assets from affiliates is generally prohibited. The transactions with affiliates must be on terms and under circumstances that are at least as favorable to the institution as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-affiliated companies. In addition, savings institutions are prohibited from lending to any affiliate that is engaged in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and no savings institution may purchase the securities of any affiliate other than a subsidiary.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 generally prohibits loans by a company to its executive officers and directors. However, the law contains a specific exception for loans by United Community Bank to its executive officers and directors in compliance with federal banking laws. Under such laws, United Community Bank's authority to extend credit to executive officers, directors and 10% shareholders ("insiders"), as well as entities such persons control, is limited. The law limits both the individual and aggregate amount of loans United Community Bank may make to insiders based, in part, on United Community Bank's capital position and requires certain board approval procedures to be followed. Such loans are required to be made on terms substantially the same as those offered to unaffiliated individuals and not involve more than the normal risk of repayment. There is an exception for loans made pursuant to a benefit or compensation program that is widely available to all employees of the institution and does not give preference to insiders over other employees. Loans to executive officers are subject to additional limitations based on the type of loan involved.

**Enforcement.** The OCC has primary enforcement responsibility over savings institutions and has the authority to bring actions against the institution and all institution-affiliated parties, including stockholders, and any attorneys, appraisers and accountants who knowingly or recklessly participate in wrongful actions likely to have an adverse effect on an insured institution. Formal enforcement action may range from the issuance of a capital directive or cease and desist order to removal of officers and/or directors to institution of receivership, conservatorship or termination of deposit insurance. Civil penalties cover a wide range of violations and can amount to \$25,000 per day, or even \$1 million per day in especially egregious cases. The FDIC has the authority to recommend to the Director of the OCC that enforcement action be taken with respect to a particular savings institution. If action is not taken by the Director, the FDIC has authority to take such action under certain circumstances. Federal law also establishes criminal penalties for certain violations.

### **Federal Home Loan Bank System**

United Community Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, which consists of 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks. The Federal Home Loan Bank provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions.

United Community Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank, is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in that Federal Home Loan Bank. United Community Bank was in compliance with this requirement with an investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock at June 30, 2015 of \$3.5 million.

The Federal Home Loan Banks are required to provide funds for the resolution of insolvent thrifts and to contribute funds for affordable housing programs. These requirements, and general adverse operating results, could reduce the amount of dividends that the Federal Home Loan Banks pay to their members also result in the Federal Home Loan Banks imposing a higher rate of interest on advances to their members. If dividends were reduced, or interest on future Federal Home Loan Bank advances increased, United Community Bank's net interest income would likely also be reduced.

## **Federal Reserve System**

The Federal Reserve Board regulations require savings institutions to maintain non-interest earning reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily Negotiable Order of Withdrawal (NOW) and regular checking accounts). The regulations generally provide that reserves be maintained against aggregate transaction accounts as follows: a 3% reserve ratio is assessed on net transaction accounts up to and including \$103.6 million; a 10% reserve ratio is applied above \$103.6 million. The first \$14.5 million of otherwise reservable balances (subject to adjustment by the Federal Reserve Board) are exempted from the reserve requirements. The amounts are adjusted annually. United Community Bank complies with the foregoing requirements.

## **Other Regulations**

United Community Bank's operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the:

- Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;

Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, requiring that borrowers for mortgage loans for one- to four-family residential real estate receive various disclosures, including good faith estimates of settlement costs, lender servicing and escrow account practices, and prohibiting certain practices that increase the cost of settlement services;

Truth in Savings Act; requiring certain disclosures to inform consumers about fees, annual percentage yield, interest rate, and other terms for deposit accounts. The regulation also includes requirements on the payment of interest, the methods of calculating the balance on which interest is paid, the calculation of the annual-percentage yield, and advertising.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;

- Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1978, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;

## Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies;  
and

Rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

The operations of United Community Bank also are subject to the:

Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records;

Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E promulgated thereunder, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services;

Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act (also known as "Check 21"), which gives "substitute checks," such as digital check images and copies made from that image, the same legal standing as the original paper check;

The USA PATRIOT Act, which requires banks and savings institutions to, among other things, establish broadened anti-money laundering compliance programs and due diligence policies and controls to ensure the detection and reporting of money laundering. Such required compliance programs are intended to supplement pre-existing compliance requirements that apply to financial institutions under the Bank Secrecy Act and the Office of Foreign Assets Control regulations; and

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which places limitations on the sharing of consumer financial information by financial institutions with unaffiliated third parties and requires all financial institutions offering products or services to retail customers to provide such customers with the financial institution's privacy policy and allow such customers the opportunity to "opt out" of the sharing of certain personal financial information with unaffiliated third parties.

## **Federal and State Taxation**

### **Federal Income Taxation**

**General.** United Community Bank reports its income on a fiscal year basis using the accrual method of accounting. The federal income tax laws apply to United Community Bank in the same manner as to other corporations with some exceptions, including the reserve for bad debts discussed below. The following discussion of tax matters is intended only as a summary and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to United Community Bank. United Community Bank's federal income tax returns have been either audited or closed under the statute of limitations through June 30, 2011. For its tax year ended June 30, 2015, United Community Bank's maximum federal income tax rate was 34%.

**Bad Debt Reserves.** For fiscal years beginning before June 30, 1996, thrift institutions that qualified under certain definitional tests and other conditions of the Internal Revenue Code were permitted to use certain favorable provisions to calculate their deductions from taxable income for annual additions to their bad debt reserve. A reserve could be established for bad debts on qualifying real property loans, generally secured by interests in real property improved or to be improved, under the percentage of taxable income method or the experience method. The reserve for nonqualifying loans was computed using the experience method. Federal legislation enacted in 1996 repealed the reserve method of accounting for bad debts and the percentage of taxable income method for tax years beginning after 1995 and require savings institutions to recapture or take into income certain portions of their accumulated bad debt reserves. Approximately \$748,000 of United Community Bank's accumulated bad debt reserves would not be recaptured into taxable income unless United Community Bank makes a "non-dividend distribution" to United Community Bancorp as described below.

**Distributions.** If United Community Bank makes "non-dividend distributions" to United Community Bancorp, the distributions will be considered to have been made from United Community Bank's unrecaptured tax bad debt reserves, including the balance of its reserves as of December 31, 1987, to the extent of the "non-dividend distributions," and then from United Community Bank's supplemental reserve for losses on loans, to the extent of those reserves, and an amount based on the amount distributed, but not more than the amount of those reserves, will be included in United Community Bank's taxable income. Non-dividend distributions include distributions in excess of United Community Bank's current and accumulated earnings and profits, as calculated for federal income tax purposes, distributions in redemption of stock and distributions in partial or complete liquidation. Dividends paid out of United Community Bank's current or accumulated earnings and profits will not be so included in United Community Bank's taxable

income.

The amount of additional taxable income triggered by a non-dividend is an amount that, when reduced by the tax attributable to the income, is equal to the amount of the distribution. Therefore, if United Community Bank makes a non-dividend distribution to United Community Bancorp, approximately one and one-half times the amount of the distribution not in excess of the amount of the reserves would be includable in income for federal income tax purposes, assuming a 34% federal corporate income tax rate. United Community Bank does not intend to pay dividends that would result in a recapture of any portion of its bad debt reserves.

### **State Taxation**

**Indiana Taxation.** Prior to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 for the Company, Indiana imposed an 8.5% franchise tax based on a financial institution's adjusted gross income as defined by statute. Starting in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, this tax rate was reduced 0.5% per year until reaching 6.5% in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2018. In computing adjusted gross income, deductions for municipal interest, U.S. Government interest, the bad debt deduction computed using the reserve method and pre-1990 net operating losses are disallowed. United Community Bank's state franchise tax returns have not been audited for the past five tax years.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

*An investment in shares of our common stock involves various risks. Before deciding to invest in our common stock, you should carefully consider the risks described below in conjunction with the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the items included as exhibits. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed by any of the following risks or by other risks that have not been identified or that we may believe are immaterial or unlikely. The value or market price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks. The risks discussed below also include forward-looking statements, and our actual results may differ substantially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements.*

### **Our nonperforming assets expose us to increased risk of loss.**

Our nonperforming assets remained above historical levels primarily as a result of the continued effect of the recent economic recession. At June 30, 2015, we had total nonperforming loans of \$6.5 million, or 1.25% of total assets, a \$3.4 million decrease from \$9.9 million at June 30, 2014. The decrease in nonperforming loans in fiscal 2015 was primarily the result of \$4.6 million in reductions due to loan payoffs, foreclosures, payments and movements of such loans to accruing status, partially offset by the addition of \$1.2 million in new nonperforming loans in the current year. Troubled debt restructurings are considered to be impaired loans. The elevated level of troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2015 and 2014 is related to continued weakness in the local economy. Our troubled debt restructurings decreased from \$10.0 million at June 30, 2014 to \$8.0 million at June 30, 2015, \$3.4 million of which were on nonaccrual status and included in nonperforming loans. At June 30, 2014, \$4.4 million of troubled debt restructurings were on nonaccrual status and included in nonperforming loans.

Our nonperforming assets adversely affect our net income in various ways. We do not accrue interest income on non-accrual loans and no interest income is recognized until the loan is performing and the value of the underlying collateral supports recording interest income on a cash basis. We must reserve for probable losses, which are established through a current period charge to income in the provision for loan losses, and from time to time, write down the value of properties in our other real estate owned portfolio to reflect changing market values. Additionally, there are legal fees associated with the resolution of problem assets as well as carrying costs such as taxes, insurance and maintenance related to our other real estate owned. Further, the resolution of nonperforming assets requires the active involvement of management, which can distract us from the overall supervision of operations and other income-producing activities of United Community Bancorp. Finally, if our estimate of the allowance for loan losses is inaccurate, we will have to increase the allowance accordingly. At June 30, 2015, our allowance for loan losses was \$5.1 million, or 2.0% of total loans and 79% of nonperforming loans, compared to \$5.5 million, or 2.18% of total loans and 54.9% of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2014.

### **Our multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans expose us to increased credit risks.**

At June 30, 2015, our nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loans totaled \$47.9 million and \$19.3 million, respectively, or 18.5% and 7.4%, respectively, of our total loans outstanding. Nonresidential and multi-family real estate loans represented 47.4% and 0%, respectively, of our total nonperforming assets of \$6.8 million at June 30, 2015. Our current strategy is to control the growth of multi-family residential and nonresidential real estate loans, particularly those involving properties outside of our local market area. These types of loans generally expose a lender to greater risk of non-payment and loss than one- to four-family mortgage loans because repayment of the loans often depends on the successful operation of the property and the income stream of the borrowers. Such loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to one- to four-family mortgage loans. Also, some of our multi-family and nonresidential real estate and land borrowers have more than one loan outstanding with us. Consequently, an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to a one- to four-family mortgage loan. During the year ended June 30, 2015, we experienced no charge-offs of multi-family real estate loans. During the year ended June 30, 2015, we experienced charge offs of \$466,000 on nonresidential real estate loans, offset by \$433,000 of recoveries resulting in net charge-offs of \$33,000.

**In the quarter ended December 31, 2013, we made the decision to terminate our previous strategy to deemphasize the origination of multi-family and nonresidential loans which caused us to invest in lower interest earning assets which decreased earnings. We cannot predict whether our current lending strategy will enable us to successfully grow these portfolios, increase our weighted average yield on interest-earning assets, or increase interest income in a manner that is sufficient to offset the increased credit risk of these loans.**

Prior to the quarter ended December 31, 2013, we deemphasized the origination of nonresidential and multi-family real estate loans as a strategic focus, particularly outside of Dearborn and Ripley Counties in Indiana. From June 30, 2006 through June 30, 2010, we experienced asset growth in excess of 38% in large part due to a determination to increase the size of our nonresidential and multi-family real estate portfolios and expand our lending efforts to southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky. While these lending areas are geographically proximate to the southeastern Indiana marketplace, the southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky real estate markets were more negatively impacted by the economic downturn. As a result, our loan relationships in these markets experienced disproportionate loan losses and required an extraordinary investment of managerial time to monitor in order to mitigate losses on these credits. In response, management elected to deemphasize multi-family and nonresidential lending in all markets until the economies in each of these markets materially improved and the level of our nonperforming assets in these segments of our loan portfolio materially declined. This strategy caused our one- to four-family residential mortgage loan portfolio and our investment securities portfolio to increase as a percentage of our interest-earning assets. At June 30, 2015, our nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios totaled \$67.2 million, or 12.9% of total assets, compared to \$72.4 million, or 13.7% of total assets at June 30, 2014, and \$124.3 million, or 25.3% of total assets, at June 30, 2010. Because one- to four-family mortgage loans and investment securities generally yield less than nonresidential and multi-family real estate loans, our weighted average yield on interest earning assets has declined, and we are more reliant on our non-interest income in order to generate net income.

We reviewed the economic environment in our lending markets, including those in southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky, and the level of our nonperforming assets, and beginning in December 2013, we implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios to generate more interest income. We cannot predict whether this lending strategy will enable us to successfully grow these portfolios in a manner that is sufficient to offset the increased credit risk of these loans.

**A significant amount of our troubled debt restructurings are subject to balloon payments due in the next three years.**

At June 30, 2015, troubled debt restructurings totaling \$6.1 million were subject to balloon payments that must be repaid within the next three years. If the financial position of the borrowers of these loans is not sufficient to enable the borrowers to satisfy their balloon payments, we may have to further restructure the loans or foreclose on the loans and liquidate the collateral, which could result in an increase in non-accrual loans and/or additional provisions for loan losses.

**A return of recessionary conditions could further increase our level of nonperforming loans and/or reduce demand for our products and services, which would lead to lower revenue, higher loan losses and lower earnings.**

Our business activities and earnings are affected by general business conditions in the United States and in our local market area. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, unemployment levels, monetary supply, consumer confidence and spending, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, and the strength of the economy in the United States generally and in our market area in particular. Following a national home price peak in mid-2006, falling home prices and sharply reduced sales volumes, along with the collapse of the United States' subprime mortgage industry in early 2007, significantly contributed to a recession that officially lasted until June 2009, although the effects continued thereafter. Dramatic declines in real estate values and high levels of foreclosures resulted in significant asset write-downs by financial institutions, which have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with other institutions and, in some cases, to fail. Concerns over the United States' credit rating (which was downgraded by Standard & Poor's), and the European sovereign debt crisis among other economic indicators, have contributed to increased volatility in the capital markets and diminished expectations for the economy.

According to the U.S. Department of Labor, at June 30, 2015, the unemployment rate for Dearborn County and Ripley County was 4.7% and 5.1%, respectively, compared to the national unemployment rate of 5.5%. Further declines in the values of real estate or other events that affect household and/or corporate incomes could impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans in accordance with their terms. A significant portion of our nonresidential and commercial loans are secured by real estate or made to businesses in Dearborn and Ripley Counties, Indiana. As a result of this concentration, a return to recessionary conditions or negative developments in the local economy could result in significant increases in nonperforming loans, which would negatively impact our interest income and result in higher provisions for loan losses, which would hurt our earnings. The economic decline could also result in reduced demand for credit or fee-based products and services, which would negatively impact our revenues.

**Higher loan losses could require us to increase our allowance for loan losses through a charge to earnings.**

When we loan money we incur the risk that our borrowers will not repay their loans. We reserve for loan losses by establishing an allowance through a charge to earnings. The amount of this allowance is based on our assessment of loan losses inherent in our loan portfolio. The process for determining the amount of the allowance is critical to our financial results and condition. It requires subjective and complex judgments about the future, including forecasts of economic or market conditions that might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. We might underestimate the loan losses inherent in our loan portfolio and have loan losses in excess of the amount reserved. We might increase the allowance because of changing economic conditions. For example, in a rising interest rate environment, borrowers with adjustable-rate loans could see their payments increase. There may be a significant increase in the number of borrowers who are unable or unwilling to repay their loans, resulting in our charging off more loans and increasing our allowance. In addition, when real estate values decline, the potential severity of loss on a real estate-secured loan can increase significantly, especially in the case of loans with high combined loan-to-value ratios. The decline in the national economy and the local economies of the areas in which our loans are concentrated could result in an increase in loan delinquencies, foreclosures or repossessions, resulting in increased charge-off amounts and the need for additional loan loss provisions in future periods. In addition, our determination as to the amount of our allowance for loan losses is subject to review by our primary regulator, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency referred to as the OCC, as part of its examination process, which may result in the establishment of an additional allowance based upon the judgment of the OCC after a review of the information available at the time of its examination. Our allowance for loan losses amounted to 2.0% of total loans and 79.0% of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2015. Our allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2015 may not be sufficient to cover future loan losses. A large loss could deplete the allowance and require an increased provision to replenish the allowance, which would negatively affect earnings.

**Our emphasis on one- to four-family mortgage loans exposes us to credit risks.**

At June 30, 2015, \$141.0 million, or 54.3%, of our loan portfolio consisted of one- to four-family mortgage loans, and \$30.6 million, or 11.8%, of our loan portfolio consisted of home equity loans and second mortgage loans. Recent economic conditions have resulted in a stabilization in real estate values in our market areas. If real estate values in

our market area decline, real estate values could cause some of our mortgage and home equity loans to be inadequately collateralized, which would expose us to a greater risk of loss if we seek to recover on defaulted loans by selling the real estate collateral.

**Our primary market area depends substantially on the gaming industry and a decline in that industry could hurt our business and our prospects.**

Our business is concentrated in the Lawrenceburg, Indiana area. Since the mid-1990s, the economy in Lawrenceburg has been strengthened by the riverboat casinos in Lawrenceburg and nearby Rising Sun whose presence has supported the development of retail centers and job growth as well as an increase in housing development. Any event that negatively and materially impacts the gaming and tourism industry will adversely impact the Lawrenceburg economy.

Gaming revenue is vulnerable to fluctuations in the national economy. There has been a prolonged decline in the national economy; however, its impact on Lawrenceburg and its gaming industry has not been as significant as in other parts of the country. Tax revenue from the gaming industry has decreased in recent years.

A continued deterioration in economic conditions generally, and a slowdown in gaming and tourism activities in particular, could result in the following consequences, any of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and expose us to a greater risk of loss:

- Loan delinquencies may increase;
- Problem assets and foreclosures may increase;
- Demand for our products and services may decline; and

Collateral for loans made by us may decline in value, reducing the amount of money that our customers may borrow against the collateral, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our loans.

**The expansion of permissible gaming activities in other states, particularly in Ohio and/or Kentucky, has led to a decline, and may lead to further declines in gaming revenue in Lawrenceburg, Indiana, which could hurt our business and our prospects.**

Lawrenceburg, Indiana competes with other areas of the country for gaming revenue. The expansion of gaming operations in other states, as a result of changes in laws or otherwise, has reduced gaming revenue in the Lawrenceburg area. In 2009, a vote in the State of Ohio approved casino gaming in several cities in the state, including one in downtown Cincinnati, Ohio which opened in March 2013. Casino gaming in Cincinnati and other areas has adversely affected, and could have a substantial adverse effect on, gaming revenue in Lawrenceburg, which would adversely affect the Lawrenceburg economy and could adversely affect our business.

**Changes in interest rates could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.**

Our primary source of income is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income generated by our interest-earning assets (consisting primarily of loans and, to a lesser extent, securities) and the interest expense generated by our interest-bearing liabilities (consisting primarily of deposits and, to a lesser extent, wholesale borrowings).

The level of net interest income is a function of the average balance of our interest-earning assets, the average balance of our interest-bearing liabilities, and the spread between the yield on such assets and the cost of such liabilities. These

factors are influenced by both the pricing and mix of our interest-earning assets and our interest-bearing liabilities which, in turn, are affected by such external factors as the local economy, competition for loans and deposits, the monetary policy of the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve Board referred to as the FOMC and market interest rates.

The cost of our deposits and short-term wholesale borrowings is largely based on short-term interest rates, the level of which is driven by the FOMC. However, the yields on our loans and securities are typically based on intermediate-term or long-term interest rates, which are set by the market and generally, vary daily. The level of net interest income is therefore influenced by movements in such interest rates and the pace at which such movements occur. If the interest rates on our interest-bearing liabilities increase at a faster pace than the interest rates on our interest-earning assets, the result could be a reduction in net interest income and with it, a reduction in our earnings. Our net interest income and earnings would be similarly impacted were the interest rates on our interest-earning assets to decline more quickly than the interest rates on our interest-bearing liabilities.

In addition, such changes in interest rates could affect our ability to originate loans and attract and retain deposits, the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities, and the average life of our loan and securities portfolios.

Changes in interest rates could also have an effect on the slope of the yield curve. A flat to inverted yield curve could cause our net interest income and net interest margin to contract, which could have a material adverse effect on our net income and cash flows and the value of our assets.

Changes in interest rates particularly affect the value of our securities portfolio. Generally, the value of fixed-rate securities fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale are reported as a separate component of equity, net of tax. Decreases in the fair value of securities available for sale resulting from increases in interest rates could have an adverse effect on stockholders' equity. In addition, we invest in callable securities that expose us to reinvestment risk, particularly during periods of falling market interest rates when issuers of callable securities tend to call or redeem their securities. Reinvestment risk is the risk that we may have to reinvest the proceeds from called securities at lower rates of return than the rates earned on the called securities.

A majority of our real estate loans held for investment are adjustable-rate loans. Any rise in market interest rates may result in increased payments for borrowers who have adjustable-rate mortgage loans, increasing the possibility of default. In addition, although adjustable-rate mortgage loans help make our loan portfolio more responsive to changes in interest rates, the extent of this interest sensitivity is limited by the annual and lifetime interest rate adjustment limits. At June 30, 2015, approximately 35.5% of our one-to-four family real estate loans had adjustable rates of interest.

**Municipal deposits are an important source of funds for us and a reduced level of those deposits may hurt our profits. Securities we pledge as collateral for our municipal deposits may be subject to risk of loss.**

Historically, municipal deposits, consisting primarily of tax revenues from the local river boat casino operations, have been a significant source of funds for our lending and investment activities. At June 30, 2015, \$103.2 million, or 23.9% of our total deposits, consisted of municipal deposits. If our municipal deposits decrease to a level where we would need to resort to other sources of funds to support our lending and investment activities, such as borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis, the interest expense associated with these other funding sources may be higher than the rates we pay on the municipal deposits, which would adversely affect our income. Since October 2011, we may be required to pledge collateral to the Indiana Board of Depositories up to 100% of the municipal deposits maintained at United Community Bank. The percentage that we are required to pledge as collateral will periodically vary based on a number of financial factors. This collateral is used to insure the municipal deposits of all institutions who receive deposits from Indiana municipalities, and, therefore, is subject to risk of loss if other such institutions fail and there are insufficient Federal Deposit Insurance funds available to cover the liabilities of such institutions. At June 30, 2015 no pledge was required. On June 20, 2014, the Bank was notified that a 50% pledge would be required during the September 2014 quarter, and at June 30, 2014, we had pledged \$97.3 million in securities as collateral for our municipal deposits.

**We are dependent upon the services of key executives.**

We rely heavily on our President and Chief Executive Officer, Elmer G. McLaughlin, and on our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, W. Michael McLaughlin. The loss of either could have a material adverse impact on our operations because, as a small company, we have fewer management-level personnel that have the experience and expertise to readily replace these individuals. Changes in key personnel and their responsibilities may be disruptive to our business and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. We have employment agreements with Messrs. Elmer G. and W. Michael McLaughlin.

**Strong competition within our market areas could hurt our profits and slow growth.**

We face intense competition both in making loans and attracting deposits. This competition has made it more difficult for us to make new loans and at times has forced us to offer higher deposit rates. Price competition for loans and deposits might result in us earning less on our loans and paying more on our deposits, which would reduce net interest income. Competition also makes it more difficult to grow loans and deposits. As of June 30, 2014, the most recent date for which information is available, we held 39.5% of the deposits in Dearborn County and 10.6% of the deposits in Ripley County. Competition also makes it more difficult to hire and retain experienced employees. Some of the institutions with which we compete have substantially greater resources and lending limits than we have and may offer services that we do not provide. We expect competition to increase in the future as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and the continuing trend of consolidation in the financial services industry. Our profitability depends upon our continued ability to compete successfully in our market areas.

**Our asset valuations may include methodologies, estimations and assumptions that are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to asset valuations that may materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.**

We must use estimates, assumptions, and judgments when financial assets and liabilities are measured and reported at fair value. Assets and liabilities carried at fair value inherently result in a higher degree of financial statement volatility. Fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based on quoted market prices and/or other observable inputs provided by independent third-party sources, when available. When such third-party information is not available, we estimate fair value primarily by using cash flows and other financial modeling techniques utilizing assumptions such as credit quality, liquidity, interest rates and other relevant inputs. Changes in underlying factors, assumptions, or estimates in any of these areas could materially impact our future financial condition and results of operations.

During periods of market disruption, including periods of significantly rising or high interest rates, rapidly widening credit spreads or illiquidity, it may be difficult to value certain of our assets if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be certain asset classes that were in active markets with significant observable data that become illiquid due to the then current financial environment. In such cases, certain asset valuations may require more subjectivity and management judgment. As such, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation. Further, rapidly changing and unprecedented credit and equity market conditions could materially impact the valuation of assets as reported within our consolidated financial statements, and the period-to-period changes in value could vary significantly. Decreases in value may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

**New capital rules generally require insured depository institutions and their holding companies to hold more capital.**

In July 2013, the Federal Reserve and the OCC adopted a final rule for the Basel III capital framework. These rules substantially amend the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to us. The rules phase in over time beginning in 2015 and will become fully effective in 2019. The rules currently apply to the Bank. Beginning in 2015, our minimum capital requirements will be (i) a common Tier 1 equity ratio of 4.5%, (ii) a Tier 1 capital (common Tier 1 capital plus Additional Tier 1 capital) of 6% (up from 4%) and (iii) a total capital ratio of 8% (the current requirement). Our leverage ratio requirement will remain at the 4% level now required. Beginning in 2016, a capital conservation buffer will phase in over three years, ultimately resulting in a requirement of 2.5% on top of the common Tier 1, Tier 1 and total capital requirements, resulting in a required common Tier 1 equity ratio of 7%, a Tier 1 ratio of 8.5%, and a total capital ratio of 10.5%. Failure to satisfy any of these three capital requirements will result in limits on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases and paying discretionary bonuses. These limitations will establish a maximum percentage of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions.

**Regulation of the financial services industry is undergoing major changes, and future legislation could increase our cost of doing business or harm our competitive position.**

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) enacted in 2010 has created a significant shift in the way financial institutions operate. The Dodd-Frank Act restructured the regulation of depository institutions by merging the Office of Thrift Supervision, which previously regulated the Bank, into the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and assigning the regulation of savings and loan holding companies, including the Company, to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

The Dodd-Frank Act also created the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau which has broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions including the authority to prohibit “unfair, deceptive or abusive” acts and practices. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10.0 billion in assets. Banks and savings institutions with \$10.0 billion or less in assets continue to be examined by their applicable bank regulators.

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the federal banking regulators have adopted new consolidated capital requirements that will limit our ability to borrow at the holding company level and invest the proceeds from such borrowings as capital in the Bank that could be leveraged to support additional growth. The Dodd-Frank Act contains various other provisions designed to enhance the regulation of depository institutions and prevent the recurrence of a financial crisis such as occurred in 2008-2009. The full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our business and operations will not be known for years until regulations implementing the statute are written and adopted. The Dodd-Frank Act may have a material impact on our operations, particularly through increased regulatory burden and compliance costs.

Any future legislative changes could have a material impact on our profitability, the value of assets held for investment or collateral for loans. Future legislative changes could require changes to business practices or force us to discontinue businesses and potentially expose us to additional costs, liabilities, enforcement action and reputational risk.

**We are dependent on our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers; systems failures, interruptions or breaches of security could have a material adverse effect on us.**

Our business is dependent on the successful and uninterrupted functioning of our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers. The failure of these systems, or the termination of a third-party software license or service agreement on which any of these systems is based, could interrupt our operations. Because our information technology and telecommunications systems interface with and depend on third-party systems, we could experience service denials if demand for such services exceeds capacity or such third-party systems fail or experience interruptions. If significant, sustained or repeated, a system failure or service denial could compromise our ability to operate effectively, damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, and/or subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our third-party service providers may be vulnerable to unauthorized access, computer viruses, phishing schemes and other security breaches. We may be required to expend significant additional resources to protect against the threat of such security breaches and computer viruses, or to alleviate problems caused by such security breaches or viruses. To the extent that the activities of our third-party service providers or the activities of our customers involve the storage and transmission of confidential information, security breaches and viruses could expose us to claims, regulatory scrutiny, litigation and other possible liabilities.

**Security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to liability, which would cause our business and reputation to suffer.**

In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including our proprietary business information and that of our customers, suppliers and business partners; and personally identifiable information of our customers and employees. The secure processing, maintenance and transmission of this information is critical to our operations and business strategy. We, our customers, and other financial institutions with which we interact, are subject to ongoing, continuous attempts to penetrate key systems by individual hackers, organized criminals, and in some cases, state-sponsored organizations. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such unauthorized access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, and regulatory penalties; disrupt our operations and the services we provide to customers; damage our reputation; and cause a loss of confidence in our products and services, all of which could adversely affect our business, revenues and competitive position. We may be required to spend significant capital and other resources to protect against the threat of security breaches and computer viruses, or to alleviate problems caused by security breaches or viruses.

**We may have fewer resources than many of our competitors to invest in technological improvements.**

The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. Our future success will depend, in part, upon our ability to address the needs of our customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands for convenience, as well as to create additional efficiencies in our operations. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. We may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers.

**We face a risk of noncompliance and enforcement action with the Bank Secrecy Act and other anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.**

The federal Bank Secrecy Act, the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (the “PATRIOT Act”) and other laws and regulations require financial institutions, among other duties, to institute and maintain effective anti-money laundering programs and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. The federal Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, established by the U.S. Treasury Department to administer the Bank Secrecy Act, is authorized to impose significant civil money penalties for violations of those requirements and has recently engaged in coordinated enforcement efforts with the individual federal banking regulators, as well as the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration and Internal Revenue Service. Federal and state bank regulators also have begun to focus on compliance with Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering regulations. If our policies, procedures and systems are deemed deficient or the policies, procedures and systems of the financial institutions that we may acquire in the future are deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions such as restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the necessity to obtain regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan, including our acquisition plans, which would negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations. Failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us.

**We are subject to a variety of operational, environmental, legal and compliance risks, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.**

We are exposed to many types of operational risks, including reputational risk, legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, and unauthorized transactions by employees or operational errors, including clerical or record-keeping errors or those resulting from faulty or disabled computer or telecommunications systems. Negative public opinion can result from our actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending

practices, corporate governance and acquisitions and from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative public opinion can adversely affect our ability to attract and keep customers and can expose us to litigation and regulatory action. Actual or alleged conduct by the Bank can also result in negative public opinion about our business.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

**Item 2. Properties**

The following table sets forth the location of the Company's office facilities at June 30, 2015, and certain other information relating to these properties at that date.

<b>Location</b>	<b>Year Opened</b>	<b>Owned/ Leased</b>	<b>Date of Lease Expiration</b>	<b>Net Book Value as of June 30, 2015</b>
Full-Service Branch and Main Office: 92 Walnut Street Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025	2004	Owned	—	\$ 1,187
Full-Service Branches: 215 W. Eads Parkway Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025	1914	Owned	—	460
19710 Stateline Road Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025	2000	Owned	—	704
500 Green Blvd Aurora, Indiana 47001	2006	Owned	—	1,193
7600 Frey Road St. Leon, Indiana 47012	2007	Owned	—	1,136
106 Mill Street Milan, Indiana 47031	1990 <sup>(1)</sup>	Owned	—	397
510 South Buckeye Osgood, Indiana 47037	1977 <sup>(1)</sup>	Owned	—	812
111 East U.S. 50 Versailles, Indiana 47042	1983 <sup>(1)</sup>	Owned	—	361
Other Properties: Corner of State Route 350 & State Route 101 Milan, Indiana 47031	Lot	Owned <sup>(2)</sup>	—	77
Corner of 4 <sup>th</sup> and Main Street Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025	Lot	Owned <sup>(2)</sup>	—	135

- (1) Acquired from Integra Bank National Association on June 4, 2010. “Year Opened” for these branches reflects the date the branch was originally opened (prior to being acquired by United Community Bank).
- (2) Land only.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Periodically, there have been various claims and lawsuits against us, such as claims to enforce liens and contracts, condemnation proceedings on properties in which we hold security interests, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans and other issues incident to our business. We are not party to any pending legal proceedings that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company’s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol “UCBA.” On June 30, 2015, there were 676 holders of record of the Company’s common stock. The Company began paying quarterly dividends during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006. The Company’s ability to pay dividends is dependent on dividends received from the Bank. See “*Business—Regulation and Supervision—Limitation on Capital Distributions*” for a discussion of the restrictions on the payment of cash dividends by the Company.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the common stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Market and the cash dividends declared on the common stock.

Fiscal Year 2015:	High	Low	Dividends Declared
Fourth Quarter	\$14.25	\$12.56	\$ 0.06
Third Quarter	12.85	11.55	0.06
Second Quarter	12.45	11.27	0.06
First Quarter	12.58	11.43	0.06

Fiscal Year 2014:	High	Low	Dividends Declared
Fourth Quarter	\$12.00	\$10.45	\$ 0.06
Third Quarter	11.61	10.40	0.06
Second Quarter	11.71	9.99	0.06
First Quarter	10.50	9.80	0.06

**Purchases of Equity Securities**

Repurchases of the Company's common stock were as follows:

Fiscal Year 2015	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
Fourth Quarter	20,600	13.845	20 600	210 971
Third Quarter	-	-	-	-
Second Quarter	67,611	11.870	67 611	-
First Quarter	257,623	11.820	257 623	67 611
Total	345,834	11.951	345,834	

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Fiscal Year 2014	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
Fourth Quarter	80,122	11.617	80,122	325,234
Third Quarter	109,600	11.061	109,600	405,356
Second Quarter	-	-	-	-
First Quarter	-	-	-	-
Total	189,722	11.296	189,722	

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

	At June 30,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands)				
<b>Financial Condition Data:</b>					
Total assets	\$521,185	\$530,465	\$512,631	\$495,903	\$472,531
Cash and cash equivalents	18,522	24,970	16,787	29,079	31,159
Securities held-to-maturity	40,653	337	417	493	564
Securities available-for-sale	60,873	39,965	32,013	21,275	49,230
Mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale	109,138	179,017	170,117	124,621	74,119
Loans receivable, net	253,828	244,384	254,578	283,154	285,877
Deposits	432,537	439,636	421,243	426,967	413,091
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	13,000	15,000	15,000	10,833	1,833
Stockholders' equity	71,437	72,930	73,543	54,988	54,146

	For the Years Ended June 30,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)				
<b>Operating Data:</b>					
Interest income	\$15,232	\$14,958	\$15,887	\$18,186	\$19,846
Interest expense	2,375	2,656	3,351	4,288	5,587
Net interest income	12,857	12,302	12,536	13,898	14,259
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(348 )	(132 )	(66 )	3,662	4,140
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	13,205	12,434	12,602	10,236	10,119
Other income	3,396	3,697	4,489	4,977	4,038
Other expense	13,640	13,192	13,595	12,436	12,486
Income before income taxes	2,961	2,939	3,496	2,777	1,671
Provision for income taxes	425	659	929	788	501
Net income	\$2,536	\$2,280	\$2,567	\$1,989	\$1,170

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Per Share Data:

Earnings per share, basic and diluted <sup>(1)</sup>	\$0.57	\$0.47	\$0.52	\$0.40	\$0.23
--	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

<sup>(1)</sup> *Earnings per share amounts for periods prior to January 9, 2013 have been restated retroactively to reflect the second step conversion at a conversion rate of 0.6573 to 1.*

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

At or for the Years Ended June 30,

2015      2014      2013      2012      2011

Performance Ratios:

Return on average assets	0.49	%	0.43	%	0.50	%	0.41	%	0.24	%
Return on average equity	3.54		3.09		4.04		3.62		2.17	
Interest rate spread (1)	2.65		2.50		2.58		3.05		3.04	
Net interest margin (2)	2.68		2.55		2.64		3.10		3.11	
Noninterest expense to average assets	2.62		2.53		2.66		2.57		2.56	
Efficiency ratio (3)	83.92		82.46		79.85		65.89		68.24	
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	107.71		108.42		107.23		105.27		106.31	
Average equity to average assets	13.75		14.16		12.41		11.35		11.04	
Dividend payout ratio (4)	44.83		48.86		91.78		68.58		115.98	

United Community Bank Capital Ratios:

Tangible capital	11.47		11.88		12.07		9.24		9.80	
Core capital	11.47		11.88		12.07		9.24		9.80	
Total risk-based capital	23.80		26.89		26.72		19.05		17.47	

Asset Quality Ratios:

Nonperforming loans as a percent of total loans	2.50		3.97		4.87		5.60		7.08	
Nonperforming loans as a percent of total assets	1.25		1.88		2.48		3.26		4.36	
Nonperforming assets as a percent of total assets	1.30		1.99		2.60		3.30		4.39	
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans	1.98		2.18		2.09		1.95		1.83	
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of nonperforming loans	78.95		54.88		42.83		34.79		25.90	
Net charge-offs (recoveries) to average outstanding loans during the period	(0.01 )		(0.06 )		0.04		1.19		2.30	

Other Data:

Number of:

Real estate loans outstanding	2,727		2,466		2,491		1,806		1,787	
Deposit accounts	33,886		33,090		32,526		33,248		32,544	
Full-service Offices	8		8		8		8		9	

(1) Represents the difference between the weighted average yield on average interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of average interest-bearing liabilities.

(2) Represents net interest income as a percent of average interest-earning assets.

(3) Represents other expense divided by the sum of net interest income and other income.

(4) Represents dividends declared (excluding waived dividends) divided by net income. A summary of the dividends declared and waived (and thus not paid) dividends is set forth below:

For the Year Ended June 30,

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(In thousands)				
Dividends:					
Paid to minority stockholders	\$1,080	\$1,114	\$1,844	\$1,364	\$1,357
Waived by United Community MHC	-	-	-	2,048	1,955
Paid to United Community MHC	-	-	512	-	-
Total dividend	\$1,080	\$1,114	\$2,356	\$3,412	\$3,312

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

**Overview**

**Income.** Our primary source of pre-tax income is net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income, which is the income that we earn on our loans and securities, and interest expense, which is the interest that we pay on our deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis ("FHLB") borrowings. Other significant sources of pre-tax income are service charges on deposit accounts and other loan fees. We also recognize income or losses from the sale of loans and investments in years that we have such sales.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses, which is charged to income. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and value of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations, and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors.

**Expenses.** The noninterest expenses we incur in operating our business consist of salaries and employee benefits expenses, occupancy and equipment expenses, advertising and public relations expenses, regulatory fees and deposit insurance premiums and various other miscellaneous expenses.

Salaries and employee benefits consist primarily of salaries and wages paid to our employees, payroll taxes and expenses for health insurance and other employee benefits, and stock-based compensation.

Occupancy and equipment expenses, which are the fixed and variable costs of buildings and equipment, consist primarily of depreciation charges, furniture and equipment expenses, maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance and costs of utilities. Depreciation of premises and equipment is computed using the straight-line method based on the useful lives of the related assets, which range from three to 40 years.

Advertising and public relations expenses include expenses for print, radio and television advertisements, promotions, third-party marketing services and premium items.

Regulatory fees and deposit insurance premiums include fees paid to the OCC and payments we make to the FDIC for insurance of our deposit accounts.

Other expenses include expenses for supplies, telephone and postage, data processing, expenses related to other real estate owned by the Bank, director and committee fees, professional fees, insurance and surety bond premiums and other fees and expenses.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

We consider accounting policies involving significant judgments and assumptions by management that have, or could have, a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets or on income to be critical accounting policies. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies: allowance for loan losses, deferred income taxes, mortgage servicing rights, and fair value measurements.

***Allowance for Loan Losses.*** The allowance for loan losses is the amount estimated by management as necessary to cover probable credit losses in the loan portfolio at the statement of financial condition date. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses, which is charged to income. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses necessarily involves a high degree of judgment. Among the material estimates required to establish the allowance are: loss exposure at default; the amount and timing of future cash flows on affected loans; and the value of collateral. Inherent loss factors based upon environmental and other economic factors are then applied to the remaining loan portfolio. All of these estimates are susceptible to significant change. Management reviews the level of the allowance at least quarterly and establishes the provision for loan losses based upon an evaluation of the portfolio, past loss experience, current economic conditions and other factors related to the collectability of the loan portfolio. Although we believe that we use the best information available to establish the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the evaluation. In addition, the OCC, as an integral part of its examination process, periodically reviews our allowance for loan losses. Such agency may require us to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on its judgments about information available to it at the time of its examination. A large loss could deplete the allowance and require increased provisions to replenish the allowance, which would negatively affect earnings. For additional discussion, see notes 1 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Deferred Income Taxes.** We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes as prescribed in Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 740-10-50. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. If current available information raises doubt as to the realization of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance is established. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We exercise significant judgment in evaluating the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting tax liabilities and assets. These judgments require us to make projections of future taxable income. The judgments and estimates we make in determining our deferred tax assets, which are inherently subjective, are reviewed on a continual basis as regulatory and business factors change. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to record a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance would result in additional income tax expense in the period, which would negatively affect earnings. United Community Bancorp referred to as the Company, accounts for income taxes under the provisions of ASC 275-10-50-8 to account for uncertainty in income taxes. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2015 and 2014. The Company recognized no interest and penalties on the underpayment of income taxes during fiscal years June 30, 2015 and 2014, and had no accrued interest and penalties on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2015 and 2014. The Company has no tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase with the next fiscal year. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years ending on or before June 30, 2011.

**Fair Value Measurements.** ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, whether or not recognized in the consolidated balance sheet for which it is practicable to estimate the value. For financial instruments where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using present value or other valuation methods.

The following methods and assumptions are used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments:

**Cash and Cash Equivalents.** The carrying values presented in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position approximate fair value.

**Investments and Mortgage-Backed Securities.** For investment securities (debt instruments) and mortgage-backed securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices, where available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

**Loans receivable.** The fair value of the loan portfolio is estimated by evaluating homogeneous categories of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by types, such as residential mortgage, nonresidential real

estate, and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable-rate interest, terms, and by performing and non-performing categories. The fair value of performing loans, except residential mortgage loans, is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows using estimated market discount rates which reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. For performing residential mortgage loans, fair value is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows adjusted for prepayment estimates using discount rates based on secondary market sources. The fair value for significant non-performing loans is based on recent internal or external appraisals. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flow, and discount rates are judgmentally determined by using available market information.

***Federal Home Loan Bank Stock.*** The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system and is required to maintain an investment based upon a pre-determined formula. The carrying values presented in the consolidated statements of financial condition approximate fair value.

**Deposits.** The fair values of passbook accounts, interest bearing checking accounts, and money market savings and demand deposits approximate their carrying values. The fair values of fixed maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently offered for deposits of similar maturities.

**Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank.** The fair value is calculated using rates available to the Company on advances with similar terms and remaining maturities.

**Off-Balance Sheet Items.** Carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value. These instruments are generally variable rate or short-term in nature, with minimal fees charged.

## **Operating Strategy**

Our mission is to operate a profitable, independent community-oriented financial institution serving retail customers and small businesses in our market areas. We are focused on prudently increasing profitability and enhancing stockholder value. The following are key elements of our current business strategy:

### ***Improving our asset quality***

We recognize that high asset quality is a key to long-term financial success. We have sought to grow and diversify our loan portfolio, while maintaining a high level of asset quality and moderate credit risk, using underwriting standards that we believe are prudent. We also believe that we have implemented diligent monitoring and collection efforts. Historically we have not had significant losses in our lending operations. Beginning in the year ended June 30, 2008, we began to experience the adverse effects of the national recession and declining real estate values, negatively impacting both the ability of some of our borrowers to repay their loans and the value of the collateral securing those loans. The impact was particularly pronounced in our multi-family and nonresidential real estate loan portfolios, as multi-family and commercial properties suffered increases in vacancies and slowdowns in revenues, resulting in reduced cash flows as well as decreases in the market values of the underlying properties.

Our initial approach to resolving nonperforming loans focused on foreclosure and liquidations. This manner of troubled asset resolution proved lengthy and costly as a result of legal and other operating costs, as well as the depressed values of the collateral securing the loan. As a result, beginning in the latter part of the year ended June 30, 2009, management initiated a restructuring process with respect to certain nonperforming loans that provided for

either restructuring the loan to the borrower in recognition of the lower available cash flows from the collateral properties or identification of stronger borrowers to purchase the property and refinance the loan. In evaluating whether to restructure a loan, we consider the borrower's payment status and history, the borrower's ability to pay upon a rate reset on an adjustable-rate mortgage as supported by a current cash flow analysis, size of payment increase upon a rate reset, period of time remaining before the rate reset, and other relevant factors in determining whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. Through these troubled debt restructurings, management believes they have provided the necessary valuation allowances or charge-offs to reflect the loans' carrying amounts at fair value.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, management undertook a "split note" strategy for certain nonperforming loans, restructuring them into a Note A/B format. While no amount of the original indebtedness of the borrower is forgiven when the loans are restructured in the Note A/B format, the full amount of Note B is charged-off at the time the loan is restructured. Note A is treated as any other troubled debt restructuring, and generally may return to accrual status after performing in accordance with the restructured terms for at least six consecutive months. The intended benefit of this strategy is that the restructuring and subsequent charge-off reduces the carrying value of the loan to an "as is" fair value, which enables the Company to liquidate delinquent loan balances without recording significant additional losses if the restructured loans experience further delinquency. Management believes that the loans that needed to be restructured in this manner represented a distinct identifiable pool of loans.

As a result of these efforts, total nonperforming loans have declined from \$20.7 million at June 30, 2011 and \$9.9 million at June 20, 2014 to \$6.5 million at June 30, 2015. Troubled debt restructurings on nonaccrual status decreased from \$4.4 million at June 30, 2014 to \$3.4 million at June 30, 2015. The decrease in nonperforming restructured loans was the result of certain restructured loans being returned to performing status after performing in accordance with their restructured terms for more than six consecutive months. Total accruing restructured loans decreased from \$5.6 million at June 30, 2014 to \$4.6 million at June 30, 2015. At June 30, 2015, there were no nonresidential and multi-family loans 60-89 days delinquent compared to \$75,000 at June 30, 2014.

In 2010 and 2011, we also implemented more stringent underwriting standards for our lending programs and enhanced our document requirements and document review process. Residential real estate mortgage applicants are required to have a higher credit score than previously required. We have reduced the maximum loan-to-value ratio for real estate secured consumer loans from 100% to 90%. Commercial and nonresidential real estate loan customers are required to provide us with rent rolls and financial statements for evaluation on a more frequent basis, and members of our loan department are in more frequent contact with these customers. In addition, our credit analyst continues to perform an annual review of all commercial loans having an outstanding balance of at least \$1.0 million and every such loan is also reviewed annually by an independent third party loan reviewer. As discussed below, we have implemented a strategy to control the growth of our nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios. For additional information on this strategy, see “—*Implementing a controlled growth strategy to originate multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to improve interest income.*”

***Improving our funding mix by attracting lower cost core retail deposits***

Core deposits include all deposit account types except certificates of deposit and municipal deposits. Core deposits are our least costly source of funds, which improves our interest rate spread, and represents our best opportunity to develop customer relationships that enable us to cross sell our full complement of products and services. Core deposits also contribute noninterest income from account-related fees and services and are generally less sensitive to withdrawal when interest rates fluctuate. At June 30, 2015, core deposits represented 45.9% of our total deposits compared to 41.8% at June 30, 2014, and 42.2% at June 30, 2013. Municipal deposits represent tax and other revenues from the local gaming industry. We have steadily reduced our reliance on municipal deposits as a percentage of total deposits. At June 30, 2015, municipal deposits represented 23.9% of total deposits, compared to 47.9% of total deposits at June 30, 2006. While municipal deposits decreased \$11.0 million from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2015, we continue to replace municipal deposits with core retail deposits, which increased \$14.5 million during the same period. While we expect municipal deposits to continue to remain an important source of funding, we expect to continue our efforts to improve our funding mix by marketing lower cost core retail deposits.

We aggressively market core deposits through concentrated advertising and public relations. In recent years, we have significantly expanded and improved the products and services we offer our retail and business deposit customers who maintain core deposit accounts and have improved our infrastructure for critical electronic banking services, including online banking, bill pay, eStatements, merchant capture, and business online cash management tools that include ACH origination, direct deposit, payroll, federal tax payment, wire transfer capabilities. The deposit infrastructure we have established can accommodate significant increases in retail and business deposit accounts without additional capital expenditure.

***Implementing a controlled growth strategy to originate multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to improve interest income***

Our primary lending activity is the origination of one- to four-family mortgage loans secured by homes in our local market area of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. Between 2006 and 2010, we expanded and diversified our lending activities by originating multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans secured by properties in the metropolitan Cincinnati market area and, to a lesser extent, in northern Kentucky and the Indiana counties outside of our local market area. From June 30, 2006 until June 30, 2010, our multi-family real estate loans grew from \$20.3 million, or 8.2% of the total loan portfolio, to \$46.8 million, or 14.8% of our total loans outstanding. During the same period, our nonresidential real estate loans grew from \$65.6 million, or 26.5% of total loans outstanding, to \$77.6 million, or 24.6% of total loans outstanding. In the Cincinnati and northern Kentucky markets, our multi-family loans grew from \$15.5 million to \$32.8 million and our nonresidential real estate loans increased from \$21.7 million to \$35.8 million.

As a result of the credit quality issues arising in our multi-family and nonresidential real estate loan portfolios as discussed under “*Improving Our Asset Quality*” above, in June 2010, we implemented a strategy to de-emphasize the origination of our nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loans, particularly outside of five-county local market area. As part of this strategy, beginning in June 2010, we restricted the origination of new multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to our local market area, and limited our multi-family and nonresidential real estate lending origination activity outside of our local market area to the renewal, refinancing and restructuring of existing loans. We also amended our loan policy to reduce our concentration limits for nonresidential real estate, multi-family real estate, construction and land loans, which limits were further reduced in August 2011. At June 30, 2015, we met each of these concentration limits.

Due to our prior strategy to deemphasize the origination of multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, our multi-family and nonresidential loan portfolios declined from \$46.3 million and \$65.2 million at June 30, 2011, to \$32.3 million and \$51.9 million at June 30, 2013, respectively. We have reviewed the economic environment in our lending markets, including those in southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky, and the level of our nonperforming assets, and beginning in December 2013, we have implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios to generate more interest income. We have hired experienced lenders and staff to support this strategy. At June 30, 2015, our multi-family loans totaled \$19.3 million, or 7.4% of our total loans outstanding and our nonresidential real estate loans totaled \$47.9 million, or 18.5% of our total loans outstanding. At June 30, 2014, our multi-family loans were \$23.6 million, or 9.4% of our total loans outstanding and our nonresidential real estate loans totaled \$48.8 million, or 19.5% of our total loans outstanding.

We believe our existing infrastructure will enable us to replace existing loans as they are repaid and prudently grow our loan portfolio in accordance with this strategy and as economic conditions permit.

#### ***Continuing to increase noninterest income***

Our earnings rely heavily on the spread between the interest earned on loans and securities and interest paid on deposits and other borrowings. Because of our prior strategy to de-emphasize the origination of nonresidential real estate and multi-family loan portfolios, we expect that our weighted average yield on interest-earning assets may decrease in future periods because one- to four-family mortgage loans and investment securities generally yield less than nonresidential and multi-family real estate loans. As discussed above in “—*Implementing a controlled growth strategy to originate multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to improve interest income*”, we have determined to implement a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase nonresidential real estate and multi-family loans to generate more interest income. Additionally, in order to decrease our reliance on interest rate spread income, we have pursued initiatives to increase noninterest income. Our primary recurring source of non-interest income has been service charges on deposit products and other services. We have also implemented, and realize fee income from, an overdraft protection program and from customer use of debit cards. We also have a significant secondary mortgage operation, including loan servicing, and we continue to invest in personnel and systems in order to increase our ability to sell one- to four-family mortgages in the secondary market to increase fee income and reduce interest rate risk through the sale of conforming fixed-rate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. To date, all loans have been sold without recourse but with servicing retained. The volume of loans sold totaled \$6.3 million and \$10.5 million for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, we recognized gains of \$176,000 and \$166,000, respectively, on the sale of loans. We intend to continue to originate loans for sale in the secondary market to grow our servicing portfolio and generate additional noninterest income. We continue to review programs to further enhance our service fee structure within the new regulatory environment.

#### ***Expanding our geographic footprint***

We consider our primary deposit and lending market area to be Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. Since 2005, we have grown our community banking franchise organically through the addition of de novo branches in St. Leon and Aurora, Indiana, and through the strategic acquisition of three branch offices in Ripley County, Indiana. As a result, we have increased our branch network from four to eight offices. We plan to continue to seek opportunities to grow our business through a combination of *de novo* branching and complementary acquisitions in our existing market and contiguous markets. We will consider acquisition opportunities that expand our geographic reach in banking, insurance or other complementary financial service businesses, although we do not currently have any agreements or understandings regarding any specific acquisition.

*Continuing our community-oriented focus*

As a community-oriented financial institution, we emphasize providing exceptional customer service as a means to attract and retain customers. We deliver personalized service and respond with flexibility to customer needs. Our ability to succeed in our communities is enhanced by the stability of our senior management, who have an average tenure with the Bank of over 33 years. We believe that our community orientation is attractive to our customers and distinguishes us from the large banks that operate in our market area. At June 30, 2014, which is the most recent date for which data is available from the FDIC, we held 39.5% of the total deposits held by FDIC-insured institutions in Dearborn County, which was the largest market share out of the nine financial institutions with offices in Dearborn County, and 10.6% of the deposits in Ripley County, which was the fifth largest market share out of the ten financial institutions with offices in Ripley County.

**Balance Sheet Analysis**

Total assets were \$521.2 million at June 30, 2015, compared to \$530.5 million at June 30, 2014. An \$8.7 million decrease in investment securities and a \$6.5 million decrease in cash and cash equivalents were partially offset by a \$9.4 million increase in loans. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents and investment securities was primarily due to the use of cash and the proceeds generated from the sale of investment securities to fund the increase in loans.

Total liabilities decreased \$7.7 million from \$457.5 million at June 30, 2014 to \$449.8 million at June 30, 2015 due to a decrease of \$7.1 million in deposits during the current year, primarily as a result of a decrease in municipal deposits.

Total stockholders' equity was \$71.4 million at June 30, 2015, compared to \$72.9 million at June 30, 2014. Net income of \$2.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2015 was partially offset by amortization of ESOP shares totaling \$395,000, amortization of EIP shares totaling \$186,000, stock repurchases totaling \$4.2 million, dividends paid of \$1.1 million and a decrease in unrealized loss on securities available for sale of \$556,000. At June 30, 2015, the Bank was considered "well-capitalized" under applicable regulatory requirements.

**Loans.** Our primary lending activity is the origination of loans secured by real estate. We originate one- to four-family residential loans, multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans and construction loans. To a lesser extent, we originate commercial and consumer loans. From time to time, as part of our loss mitigation process, loans may be renegotiated in a troubled debt restructuring when we determine that greater economic value will ultimately be recovered under the new terms than through foreclosure, liquidation, or bankruptcy. In determining whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, we may consider the borrower's payment status and history, the borrower's ability to pay upon a rate reset on an adjustable-rate mortgage, the size of the payment increase upon a rate

reset, the period of time remaining prior to the rate reset, and other relevant factors. We do not offer, and have not previously offered, subprime, Alt-A, low-doc, no-doc loans or loans with negative amortization and generally do not offer interest-only loans.

The largest segment of our loan portfolio is one- to four-family residential loans. At June 30, 2015, these loans totaled \$141.1 million, or 54.3% of total gross loans, compared to \$129.5 million, or 51.6% of total gross loans, at June 30, 2014.

Multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans totaled \$67.2 million and represented 25.9% of total loans at June 30, 2015, compared to \$72.4 million, or 28.9% of total loans, at June 30, 2014. While repayments and charge-offs have recently reduced these portfolios, they remain a substantial segment of our loan portfolio. However, as further discussed in “*Operating Strategy – Implementing a controlled growth strategy to originate multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to improve interest income,*” we have reviewed the economic environment in our lending markets, including those in southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky, and the level of our nonperforming assets, and beginning in December 2013, we implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios.

Construction loans totaled \$4.1 million, or 1.6% of total loans, at June 30, 2015, compared to \$2.9 million, or 1.1% of total loans, at June 30, 2014.

Commercial business loans totaled \$4.0 million, or 1.6% of total loans, at June 30, 2015, compared to \$4.5 million, or 1.8% of total loans, at June 30, 2014.

Consumer loans totaled \$34.9 million, or 13.4% of total loans, at June 30, 2015, compared to \$34.7 million, or 13.8% of total loans, at June 30, 2014.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Agricultural loans totaled \$5.2 million, or 2.0% of total loans, at June 30, 2015, compared to \$3.5 million or 1.4% of total loans, at June 30, 2014.

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At June 30, 2015		2014		2013		2012		2011	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in thousands)									
Residential real estate:										
One- to four-family	\$ 141,052	54.3 %	\$ 129,484	51.6 %	\$ 128,059	49.1 %	\$ 139,522	48.4 %	\$ 131,153	45.1 %
Multi-family	19,296	7.4	23,645	9.4	32,306	12.4	42,325	14.7	46,296	15.9
Construction	4,078	1.6	2,880	1.1	2,200	0.8	1,189	0.4	1,084	0.4
Nonresidential real estate	47,929	18.5	48,769	19.5	51,902	19.9	59,123	20.5	65,156	22.4
Land	2,985	1.2	3,391	1.4	3,435	1.3	3,441	1.2	3,985	1.4
Commercial business	4,038	1.6	4,514	1.8	3,556	1.4	3,854	1.3	4,860	1.7
Agricultural	5,161	2.0	3,456	1.4	3,559	1.4	3,150	1.1	1,661	0.5
Consumer:										
Home equity	30,600	11.8	30,804	12.3	31,411	12.0	31,242	10.9	32,048	11.0
Auto	2,008	0.8	1,516	0.6	1,468	0.6	1,820	0.6	2,275	0.8
Share loans	893	0.3	1,088	0.4	1,625	0.6	1,200	0.4	1,354	0.5
Other	1,379	0.5	1,261	0.5	1,195	0.5	1,333	0.5	962	0.3
Total consumer loans	34,880	13.4	34,669	13.8	35,699	13.7	35,595	12.4	36,639	12.6
Total loans	259,419	100.0%	250,808	100.0%	260,716	100.0%	288,199	100.0%	290,834	100.0%
Less (plus):										
Deferred loan costs, net	(1,186 )		(1,118 )		(1,025 )		(924 )		(711 )	
Undisbursed portion of loans in process	1,653		2,083		1,720		355		333	
Allowance for loan losses	5,124		5,459		5,443		5,614		5,335	
Loans, net	\$253,828		\$244,384		\$254,578		\$283,154		\$285,877	

**Loan Maturity**

The following table sets forth certain information at June 30, 2015 regarding the dollar amount of loan principal repayments becoming due during the periods indicated. The table does not include any estimate of prepayments, which significantly shorten the average life of all loans and may cause our actual repayment experience to differ from the contractual requirements shown below. Demand loans having no stated schedule of repayments and no stated maturity are reported as due in one year or less.

	Less Than One Year (In thousands)	More Than One Year to Five Years	More Than Five Years	Total Loans
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$8,699	\$ 33,004	\$ 99,349	\$ 141,052
Multi-family real estate	1,655	3,128	14,513	19,296
Construction	404	-	3,674	4,078
Nonresidential real estate	9,940	12,651	25,338	47,929
Land	594	1,786	605	2,985
Commercial	855	2,257	926	4,038
Agricultural	667	3,698	796	5,161
Consumer	1,783	3,555	29,542	34,880
Total	\$24,597	\$ 60,079	\$ 174,743	\$ 259,419

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of all loans at June 30, 2015 due after June 30, 2016 that have either fixed interest rates or adjustable interest rates. The amounts shown below exclude unearned interest on consumer loans and deferred loan fees.

	Fixed Rates (In thousands)	Floating or Adjustable Rates	Total
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$43,643	\$ 88,710	\$ 132,353
Multi-family real estate	8,840	8,801	17,641
Construction	1,236	2,438	3,674
Nonresidential real estate	2,631	35,358	37,989
Land	1,556	835	2,391
Commercial	1,919	1,264	3,183
Agricultural	1,906	2,588	4,494

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Consumer	2,325	30,772	33,097
Total	\$64,056	\$ 170,766	\$234,822

**Loans Originated**

The following table shows loan origination, participation, purchase and sale activity during the periods indicated.

	Year Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Total loans at beginning of period	\$250,808	\$260,716
Loans originated <sup>(1)</sup> :		
One- to four-family residential real estate	35,553	34,235
Multi-family residential real estate	2,098	1,240
Construction	3,555	2,880
Nonresidential real estate	10,151	4,951
Land	649	946
Commercial business	3,399	2,057
Consumer	3,274	3,111
Total loans originated	58,679	49,420
Deduct:		
Loan principal repayments	43,763	48,812
Loans disbursed for sale	6,305	10,516
Net loan activity	8,611	(9,908 )
Total loans at end of period	\$259,419	\$250,808

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes loan renewals, loan refinancings and restructured loans.

During the years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, as a consequence of the prior strategy to deemphasize the origination of multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, our multi-family and nonresidential real estate lending origination activity outside, and to a lesser extent inside, of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties in Indiana has been limited to the renewal, refinancing and restructuring of these types of loans. After review of the economic environment in our lending markets additional staff was added to enhance the commercial lending function and the bank has implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate portfolios to generate more interest income.

**Securities.** Our securities portfolio consists primarily of U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities and municipal bonds. As of June 30, 2015, our investment securities totaled \$210.7 million, a decrease of \$8.6 million

from \$219.3 million at June 30, 2014. The decrease in investment securities was a result of proceeds generated from the sale of investment securities being used to fund the increase in loans.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and fair values of our securities portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At June 30, 2015		2014	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
(In thousands)				
Securities available-for-sale:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$109,793	\$109,138	\$180,563	\$179,017
Municipal Bonds	37,631	37,619	38,000	37,815
U.S. Government Agency Bonds	2,000	2,015	2,000	1,992
Small Business Admin	8,224	8,213	-	-
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	13,032	12,842	-	-
Other Equity Securities	210	184	210	158
Total	\$170,890	\$170,011	\$220,773	\$218,982
Securities held-to-maturity:				
Municipal bonds	\$40,653	\$40,045	\$337	\$351

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, we had no investments in a single company or entity (other than U.S. Government-sponsored agency securities) that had an aggregate book value in excess of 10% of our stockholders' equity.

The following table sets forth the stated maturities and weighted average yields of investment securities at June 30, 2015. Weighted average yields on tax-exempt securities are not presented on a tax equivalent basis as the difference would be immaterial. Certain mortgage-backed securities have adjustable interest rates and will reprice annually within the various maturity ranges. These repricing schedules are not reflected in the table below. Our callable securities consist of U.S. government agency bonds and municipal bonds which contain either a one-time call option or may be callable any time after the first call date.

	One Year or Less		More than One Year to Five Years		More than Five Years to Ten Years		More than Ten Years		Total	
	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield
(Dollars in thousands)										
Securities available-for-sale:										
Mortgage-backed securities	\$-	-	\$92,066	1.65 %	\$17,072	2.35 %	\$-	%	\$109,138	1.76 %

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Municipal Bonds	175	5.96 %	6,533	2.37 %	21,824	3.52 %	9,087	4.04 %	37,619	3.45 %
U.S. Govt Agency Bonds	-	-%	2,015	1.25 %	-	-%	-	-%	2,015	1.25 %
Small Business Admin	-	-%	-	-%	8,213	2.50 %	-	-%	8,213	2.50 %
Collateralized Mtg Oblig	-	-%	11,658	1.78 %	-	-%	1,184	-%	12,842	1.84 %
U.S. Govt Agency Bonds				%		%				%
Total	\$175		\$112,272	%	\$47,109	%	\$10,271	%	\$169,827	%
Securities held-to-maturity:										
Municipal bonds	\$57	5.45 %	\$194	6.22 %	\$5,068	2.98 %	\$35,334	4.27 %	\$40,653	4.12 %

Mortgage-backed securities represent a participation interest in a pool of one- to four-family or multi-family real estate mortgages. The mortgage originators use intermediaries (generally U.S. Government agencies and government-sponsored enterprises) to pool and repackage the participation interests in the form of securities, with investors receiving the principal and interest payments on the mortgages. Such U.S. Government agencies and government-sponsored enterprises guarantee the payment of principal and interest to investors.

Mortgage-backed securities are typically issued with stated principal amounts, and the securities are backed by pools of mortgages that have loans with interest rates that are within a range and have varying maturities. The underlying pools of mortgages, *i.e.*, fixed-rate or adjustable-rate, as well as prepayment risk, are passed on to the certificate holder. The life of a mortgage-backed pass-through security approximates the life of the underlying mortgages.

Our mortgage-backed securities consist of Ginnie Mae securities, Freddie Mac securities and Fannie Mae securities. Ginnie Mae is a government agency within the Department of Housing and Urban Development which is intended to help finance government-assisted housing programs. Ginnie Mae securities are backed by loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration, or guaranteed by the Department of Veterans Affairs. The timely payment of principal and interest on Ginnie Mae securities is guaranteed by Ginnie Mae and backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. Freddie Mac is a private corporation chartered by the U.S. Government. Freddie Mac issues participation certificates backed principally by conventional mortgage loans. Freddie Mac guarantees the timely payment of interest and the ultimate return of principal on participation certificates. Fannie Mae is a private corporation chartered by the U.S. Congress with a mandate to establish a secondary market for mortgage loans. Fannie Mae guarantees the timely payment of principal and interest on Fannie Mae securities. Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae securities are not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. In September 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency was appointed as conservator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The U.S. Department of the Treasury agreed to provide capital as needed to ensure that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac continue to provide liquidity to the housing and mortgage markets. Neither United Community Bancorp nor United Community Bank has invested in subprime mortgage-backed securities.

Mortgage-backed securities generally yield less than the loans which underlie such securities because of their payment guarantees or credit enhancements which offer nominal credit risk. In addition, mortgage-backed securities are more liquid than individual mortgage loans and may be used to collateralize our borrowings or other obligations. Mortgage-backed securities generally increase the quality of our assets by virtue of the insurance or guarantees that back them, are more liquid than individual mortgage loans and may be used to collateralize borrowings or other obligations of ours. At June 30, 2015, approximately \$97.3 million of our mortgage-backed and investment securities were pledged to secure various obligations of United Community Bank.

The actual maturity of a mortgage-backed security is typically less than its stated maturity due to prepayments of the underlying mortgages. Prepayments that are faster than anticipated may shorten the life of the security and increase or decrease its yield to maturity if the security was purchased at a discount or premium, respectively. The yield is based upon the interest income and the amortization of any premium or discount related to the mortgage-backed security. In

accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, premiums and discounts are amortized over the estimated lives of the loans, which decrease and increase interest income, respectively. The prepayment assumptions used to determine the amortization period for premiums and discounts can significantly affect the yield of the mortgage-backed security and these assumptions are reviewed periodically to reflect actual prepayments. Although prepayments of underlying mortgages depend on many factors, including the type of mortgages, the coupon rate, the age of mortgages, the location of the underlying real estate collateralizing the mortgages and general levels of market interest rates, the difference between the interest rates on the underlying mortgages and the prevailing mortgage interest rates generally is the most significant determinant of the rate of prepayments. During periods of falling mortgage interest rates, if the coupon rate of the underlying mortgages exceeds the prevailing market interest rates offered for mortgage loans, refinancing generally increases and accelerates the prepayment of the underlying mortgages and the related security. Under such circumstances, United Community Bank may be subject to reinvestment risk because to the extent that the mortgage-backed securities amortize or prepay faster than anticipated, United Community Bank may not be able to reinvest the proceeds of such repayments and prepayments at a comparable yield. During periods of rising interest rates, prepayment rates of the underlying mortgages generally slow down when the coupon rate of such mortgages is less than the prevailing market rate.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. The evaluation is based upon factors such as the creditworthiness of the issuers/guarantors, the underlying collateral, if applicable, and the continuing performance of the securities. Management also evaluates other facts and circumstances that may be indicative of an other-than-temporary impairment condition. This includes, but is not limited to, an evaluation of the type of security, length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost and near-term prospects of the issuers.

Marketable equity securities are evaluated for other-than-temporary impairments based on the severity and duration of the impairment and, if deemed to be other-than-temporary, the declines in fair value are reflected in earnings as realized losses. For debt securities, other-than-temporary impairment is required to be recognized (1) if we intend to sell the security; (2) if it is “more likely than not” that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis.

**Deposits.** Our primary source of funds is our deposit accounts, which are comprised of noninterest-bearing accounts, interest-bearing checking accounts, money market accounts, passbook accounts and certificates of deposit. These deposits are provided primarily by individuals within our market areas. During the year ended June 30, 2015, our deposits decreased \$7.1 million primarily due to a decrease in municipal deposits resulting from normal business fluctuations in those deposits. During the year ended June 30, 2014, our deposits increased \$18.4 million primarily due to a \$24.1 million increase in municipal deposits partially offset by a \$5.7 million decrease in retail customer deposits.

The following table sets forth the balances of our deposit products at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Noninterest-bearing checking accounts	\$30,928	\$27,023
Interest-bearing checking accounts	113,824	108,321
Passbook accounts	113,368	98,927
Money market deposit accounts	20,648	31,102
Certificates of deposit	153,769	174,263
Total	\$432,537 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$439,636 <sup>(2)</sup>

(1) Includes \$103.2 million in municipal deposits at June 30, 2015.

(2) Includes \$114.3 million in municipal deposits at June 30, 2014.

The following table indicates the amount of jumbo certificates of deposit by time remaining until maturity as of June 30, 2015. Jumbo certificates of deposit require minimum deposits of \$100,000. We did not have any brokered deposits as of June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Maturity Period	Certificates of Deposit (In thousands)
Three months or less	\$ 18,812
Over three through six months	11,702
Over six through twelve months	13,966
Over twelve months	32,016
Total	\$ 76,496

The following table sets forth time deposits classified by rate at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
0.00 - 1.00%	\$104,747	\$114,323
1.01 - 2.00	34,988	34,259
2.01 - 3.00	12,379	19,759
3.01 - 4.00	1,606	5,875
4.01 - 5.00	49	47
Total	\$153,769	\$174,263

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the amount and maturities of time deposits classified by rates at June 30, 2015.

Amount Due						Total	Percent of Total Certificate of Deposit Accounts	
	Less Than One Year	More Than One Year to Two Years	More Than Two Years to Three Years	More Than Three Years to Four Years	More Than Four Years			
(Dollars in thousands)								
0.00 – 1.00%	\$77,091	\$ 22,234	\$ 5,422	\$ -	\$ -	\$104,747	68.1	%
1.01 – 2.00	8,265	5,260	8,438	6,825	6,200	34,988	22.8	
2.01 – 3.00	2,190	7,381	686	569	1,553	12,379	8.1	
3.01 – 4.00	1,169	275	-	1	161	1,606	1.0	
4.01 – 5.00	40	-	9	-	-	49	-	
Total	\$88,755	\$ 35,150	\$ 14,555	\$ 7,395	\$ 7,914	\$153,769	100.0	%

The following table sets forth deposit activity for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
(In thousands)		
Beginning balance	\$439,636	\$421,243
Increase (decrease) before interest credited	(9,229 )	15,961
Interest credited	2,130	2,432
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	(7,099 )	18,393
Ending balance	\$432,537	\$439,636

**Borrowings.** We utilize borrowings from the FHLB to supplement our supply of funds for loans and investments. Borrowings were \$13.0 million and \$15.0 million at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Repayments of \$4.0 million were offset by new borrowings of \$2.0 million during the year ended June 30, 2015.

Year Ended June 30,	2014
2015	
(Dollars in thousands)	

Maximum amount of advances outstanding at any month end during the period:				
FHLB advances	\$	15,000	\$	15,000
Average advances outstanding during the period:				
FHLB advances	\$	14,077	\$	13,846
Weighted average interest rate during the period:				
FHLB advances		1.74	%	1.62
Balance outstanding at end of period:				
FHLB advances	\$	13,000	\$	15,000
Weighted average interest rate at end of period:				
FHLB advances		1.80	%	1.64

**Results of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014****Overview.**

	2015	2014	% Change 2015/2014	
Net income	\$2,536	\$2,280	11.2	%
Return on average assets	0.49 %	0.43 %	14.0	
Return on average equity	3.54 %	3.09 %	14.6	
Average equity to average assets	13.75 %	14.16 %	(2.9	)

Net income for the year ended June 30, 2015 was \$2.5 million, compared to net income of \$2.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2014. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$555,000 increase in net interest income and a \$234,000 decrease in the income tax provision.

**Net Interest Income.**

Net interest income increased \$555,000, or 4.5%, to \$12.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2015 as compared to \$12.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2014. The increase in net interest income was due to an increase of \$274,000 in interest income and a \$281,000 decrease in net interest expense. The increase in interest income was primarily the result of an increase in the average rate earned on investments from 1.53% for the year ended June 30, 2014 to 1.92% for the year ended June 30, 2015, partially offset by a \$7.1 million decrease in the average balance of investments. The decrease in interest expense was primarily the result of a decrease in the average interest rate paid on deposits from 0.56% for the year ended June 30, 2014 to 0.49% for the year ended June 30, 2015. Net interest margin increased from 2.55% for the year ended June 30, 2014 to 2.68% for the year ended June 30, 2015. The decrease in the balance of investments was primarily due to using cash flows from investments to fund loan production.

**Average Balances and Yields.** The following table presents information regarding average balances of assets and liabilities, the total dollar amounts of interest income and dividends from average interest-earning assets, the total dollar amounts of interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, and the resulting annualized average yields and costs. The yields and costs for the periods indicated are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balances of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented. For purposes of this table, average balances have been calculated using month-end balances, and nonaccrual loans are included in average balances only. Management does not believe that the use of month-end balances instead of daily average balances has caused any material differences in the information presented. Loan fees are included in interest income on loans and are

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

insignificant. Yields are not presented on a tax-equivalent basis. Any adjustments necessary to present yields on a tax-equivalent basis are insignificant.

	Year Ended June 30, 2015			2014		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Yield/ Cost
Assets:						
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans	\$249,851	\$ 11,338	4.54 %	\$247,970	\$ 11,740	4.73 %
Investment securities	201,824	3,877	1.92	208,918	3,197	1.53
Other interest-earning assets	28,018	17	0.06	25,693	21	0.08
Total interest-earning assets	479,693	15,232	3.18	482,581	14,958	3.10
Noninterest-earning assets	40,564			39,425		
Total assets	\$520,257			\$522,006		

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

	Year Ended June 30, 2015			2014		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Yield/ Cost
<b>Liabilities and equity:</b>						
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>						
NOW and money market deposit accounts	\$ 168,146	259	0.15	\$ 162,751	308	0.19
Passbook accounts	100,671	236	0.23	95,202	208	0.22
Certificates of deposit	162,447	1,635	1.01	173,288	1,916	1.11
Total interest-bearing deposits	431,264	2,130	0.49	431,241	2,432	0.56
FHLB advances	14,077	245	1.74	13,846	224	1.62
Total interest-bearing liabilities	445,341	2,375	0.53	445,087	2,656	0.60
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	3,378			3,020		
Total liabilities	448,719			448,107		
Total stockholders' equity	71,538			\$73,899		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$520,257			\$522,006		
Net interest income		\$ 12,857			\$ 12,302	
Interest rate spread			2.65 %			2.50 %
Net interest margin			2.68 %			2.55 %
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities			107.71 %			108.42 %

**Rate/Volume Analysis.** The following table sets forth the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). The net column represents the sum of the prior columns. For purposes of this table, changes attributable to changes in both rate and volume that cannot be segregated have been allocated proportionally based on the changes due to rate and the changes due to volume.

	Year Ended June 30, 2015 Compared to 2014		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to		
	Volume	Rate	Net
	(In thousands)		
<b>Interest and dividend income:</b>			
Loans	\$ 73	\$ (475 )	\$ (402 )
Investment securities	(107 )	787	680
Other interest-earning assets	2	(6 )	(4 )

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Total interest-earning assets	(32 )	306	274
Interest expense:			
Deposits	-	(302 )	(302 )
FHLB advances	4	17	21
Total interest-bearing liabilities	4	(285 )	(281 )
Net change in net interest income	\$(36 )	\$591	\$555

47

***Provision for Loan Losses.***

The net recovery of loan losses was \$348,000 for the year ended June 30, 2015 compared to a net recovery of loan losses of \$132,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014. The recovery of loan losses during the year ended June 30, 2015 was due to the recovery of \$423,000 on two non-residential properties offset by \$75,000 in loan and deposit provisions. The recovery of loan losses during the year ended June 30, 2014 was due to a \$379,000 multifamily loan recovery and a \$124,000 recovery from two one- to four-family loans. Reflective of continued improvement in our asset quality, nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans decreased from 3.97% at June 30, 2014 to 2.50% at June 30, 2015, and nonperforming loans as a percentage of total assets decreased from 1.88% at June 30, 2014 to 1.25% at June 30, 2015.

All of the troubled debt restructurings in fiscal 2015 and 2014 represented loan relationships with long-time borrowers of the Company. In measuring impairment, management considered the results of independent property appraisals, together with estimated selling expenses, and/or detailed cash flow analyses. A detailed discussion of our most significant nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 is set forth in the section below entitled “—*Analysis of Nonperforming and Classified Assets.*”

***Other Income.*** The following table shows the components of other income for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

	2015	2014	% Change 2015/2014	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Service charges	\$ 2,747	\$ 2,556	7.5	%
Gain on sale of loans	176	166	6.0	
Loss on sale of investments	(432 )	-	100.0	
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	169	4	4,125.0	
Gain (loss) on sale of fixed assets	(6 )	56	(110.7 )	
Income from bank-owned life insurance	529	495	6.9	
Other	213	420	(49.3 )	
Total	\$ 3,396	\$ 3,697	(8.1 )	

Other income decreased \$301,000, or 8.1%, to \$3.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2015 from \$3.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2014. The decrease in other income is primarily due to a \$432,000 increase in loss on the sale of investments, a \$207,000 decrease in other income, including a \$253,000 decline in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, partially offset by a \$191,000 increase in service charges and a \$165,000 increase in gain on the sale

of other real estate owned. The increase in loss on the sale of investments is due to the sale of lower yielding mortgage-backed securities in the year ended June 30, 2015 with no such sales in the prior year. The increase in service charges is primarily due to an increase in volume. The increase in gain on the sale of other real estate owned is related to the sale of two 1-to 4-family and one multi-family property.

**Other Expense.** The following table shows the components of noninterest expense for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

	2015	2014	% Change 2015/2014	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Compensation and employee benefits	\$ 7,957	\$ 7,197	10.6	%
Premises and occupancy expense	1,187	1,258	(5.6)	)
Deposit insurance premium	364	369	(1.4)	)
Advertising expense	364	348	4.6	
Data processing expense	1,359	1,444	(5.9)	)
Provision for loss on sale of other real estate owned	22	9	144.4	
Intangible amortization	118	143	(17.5)	)
Professional fees	774	834	(7.2)	)
Other operating expenses	1,495	1,590	(6.0)	)
Total	\$ 13,640	\$ 13,192	3.4	

Noninterest expense increased \$448,000, or 3.4%, from \$13.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 to \$13.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2015. An increase of \$721,000 in compensation expense was partially offset by decreases of \$95,000 in other operating expenses, \$85,000 in data processing expenses and a decrease of \$71,000 in premises and occupancy expense. The increase in compensation expense was primarily the result of stock-based compensation expense of \$262,000 in the year ended June 30, 2015 related to the vesting of stock options and restricted share awards issued in April 2014, compared to a \$44,000 corresponding expense in the prior year period. Additionally, routine annual compensation increases and employees hired in the fiscal year to enhance the business development efforts in the commercial loan department resulted in an increase of \$400,000 for the year ended June 30, 2015.

### ***Income Taxes.***

Income tax expense decreased by \$234,000 to \$425,000 for the year ended June 30, 2015, compared to \$659,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014. The effective tax rates for 2015 and 2014 were beneficially affected by tax exempt municipal bond income and income on bank-owned life insurance.

### **Risk Management**

*Overview.* Managing risk is an essential part of successfully managing a financial institution. Our most prominent risk exposures are credit risk, interest rate risk and market risk. Credit risk is the risk of not collecting the interest and/or the principal balance of a loan or investment when it is due. Interest rate risk is the potential reduction of net interest income as a result of changes in interest rates. Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates that may result in changes in the values of financial instruments, such as available-for-sale securities, that are accounted for on a mark-to-market basis. Other risks that we face are operational risks, liquidity risks and reputation risk. Operational risks include risks related to fraud, regulatory compliance, processing errors, technology and disaster recovery. Liquidity risk is the possible inability to fund obligations to depositors, lenders or borrowers. Reputation risk is the risk that negative publicity or press, whether true or not, could cause a decline in our customer base or revenue.

***Credit Risk Management.*** Our strategy for credit risk management focuses on having well-defined credit policies and uniform underwriting criteria and providing prompt attention to potential problem loans. In June 2010, we implemented a strategy to deemphasize the origination of multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, restricting the new origination of nonresidential and multi-family residential loans to the southeastern Indiana Counties of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland. The intent of this strategy was to control the growth of our nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios, particularly with respect to loans located outside of Dearborn, Ripley, Franklin, Ohio and Switzerland Counties, Indiana. This strategy also emphasized the origination of one- to four-family mortgage loans, which typically have lower default rates than other types of loans and are secured by collateral that had generally tended to appreciate in value. In March 2014, we amended our loan policies to

reduce our concentration limits for multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans to 75% and 100%, respectively. There was no change to construction and land loan limits which remained at 10%, of the sum of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses. The limits were reduced because United Community Bank identified multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, especially those located outside our normal southeastern Indiana market area, as the loan types that had experienced the most financial difficulties, which resulted in United Community Bank incurring losses and management being required to devote an extraordinary amount of time to overseeing these relationships. As of June 30, 2015, these loans represented 29.6%, 73.5%, 6.2% and 4.6%, respectively, of the sum of tier 1 risk-based capital plus our allowance for loan losses. We have reviewed the economic environment in our lending markets, including those in southwestern Ohio and northern Kentucky, and the level of our nonperforming assets, and beginning in December 2013, we have implemented a controlled growth strategy to prudently increase nonresidential real estate and multi-family real estate loan portfolios to generate more interest income.

When a borrower fails to make a required loan payment, we take a number of steps to attempt to have the borrower cure the delinquency and restore the loan to current status. When the loan becomes 15 days past due, a late charge notice is generated and sent to the borrower. If payment is not then received by the 30th day of delinquency, a further notification is sent to the borrower and personal communication, normally via telephone, is initiated. If no successful workout can be achieved, after a loan becomes 120 days delinquent, we may commence foreclosure or other legal proceedings. If a foreclosure action is instituted and the loan is not brought current, paid in full, or refinanced before the foreclosure sale, the real property securing the loan generally is sold at foreclosure. We may consider loan workout arrangements with certain borrowers under certain circumstances in the form of a short sale or troubled debt restructuring.

Management reports to the Board of Directors monthly regarding the amount of loans delinquent more than 30 days and all foreclosed and repossessed property that we own.

***Analysis of Nonperforming and Classified Assets.*** We consider foreclosed real estate, repossessed assets, nonaccrual loans, and troubled debt restructurings that are delinquent or have not been performing in accordance with their restructured terms for a reasonable amount of time to be nonperforming assets. Loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when the collection of principal or interest is in doubt, or at the latest, when a loan becomes 90 days delinquent. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, the accrual of interest ceases and an allowance for any uncollectible accrued interest is established and charged against operations. All commercial loans that are placed on nonaccrual status are evaluated for impairment at the time the loans are placed on nonaccrual status and quarterly thereafter. Payments received on a nonaccrual loan are applied to the outstanding principal and interest on a cash basis only when United Community Bank has determined that all principal and interest will be collected. If there is doubt about future collection, United Community Bank records the entire payment against principal pursuant to the OCC regulations.

Real estate that we acquire as a result of foreclosure or by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure is classified as a nonperforming asset until it is sold. When property is acquired, it is initially recorded at the lower of its cost or market, less estimate selling expenses. Holding costs and declines in fair value after acquisition of the property result in charges against income.

Prior to the recession, we had not incurred significant losses in our lending operations. Beginning in the year ended June 30, 2008, we began to experience the adverse effects of a significant national decline in real estate values. The consequences of this decline were generally evident in all portfolio types, but were more pronounced in multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, particularly in markets outside of Dearborn and Ripley Counties. Our approach to resolving nonperforming loans focused on foreclosure and liquidations in the year ended June 30, 2008 and the greater part of the year ended June 30, 2009. This manner of troubled asset resolution proved lengthy and costly as a result of legal and other operating costs, as well as the depressed values of the collateral securing the loan.

As a result, beginning in the latter part of the year ended June 30, 2009, management initiated a restructuring process with respect to certain nonperforming loans that provided for either restructuring the loan to the borrower in recognition of the lower available cash flows from the collateral properties or identification of stronger borrowers to purchase the property and refinance the loan. In evaluating whether to restructure a loan, we consider the borrower's payment status and history, the borrower's ability to pay upon a rate reset on an adjustable-rate mortgage as supported by a current cash flow analysis, size of the payment increase upon a rate reset, period of time remaining before the rate reset, and other relevant factors in determining whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. Through these troubled debt restructurings, management believes they have provided the necessary valuation allowances or charge-offs to reflect the loans' carrying amounts at fair value.

Loan workouts and modifications are handled by the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, and the Senior Vice President, Lending, and are subject to approval by the Board of Directors. Management ascertains the value of the underlying collateral, depending on whether the loan is “collateral dependent” or “cash-flow” dependent. If a loan is determined to be “collateral dependent,” the value of the underlying collateral is determined through an independent appraisal. If the loan is determined to be “cash-flow dependent,” the value of the underlying collateral is determined through an in-house cash flow analysis of the property with the cash flows discounted at the loan’s original effective interest rate. Once the value of collateral is established, management will either establish a specific allocation to reduce the loan’s carrying value to its fair value measured using the present value of cash flows or a charge-off for collateral dependent loans in an amount equal to the shortfall between the collateral value and the outstanding principal loan balance. Management will then develop and pursue a workout plan. Once a workout plan is established and implemented, management will, at a minimum, monitor the monthly performance of the loan until it is removed from nonaccrual status. On an annual basis, management will conduct property inspections and review financial information of the borrowers and any guarantors. In situations where a collateral shortfall (i.e. the value of the underlying collateral of the loan is less than the outstanding principal balance of the loan) is discovered, typically through an updated independent appraisal or collateral inspection, management will seek to obtain additional collateral and/or a personal guaranty at that time. If no additional collateral is available, management will work with the borrower on a suitable workout arrangement that may include a troubled debt restructuring, or management may determine to foreclose on the property. To determine the best outcome for United Community Bank, management reviews the financial condition of the borrower, the cash flow of the property and the value of the loan’s collateral.

If United Community Bank obtains a guaranty, the strength and value of the guaranty is measured by the Bank at the time the loan is closed and is re-evaluated at least annually. The strength of each guaranty is determined by evaluating the guarantor's net worth, liquid net worth, debt-to-income ratio and credit score. In certain circumstances, the Bank may deem it appropriate not to enforce a guaranty, such as when we determine enforcing a guaranty could be detrimental to the overall banking relationship.

After the restructuring is completed, if the borrower continues to experience payment difficulties, or if there is an additional decline in the collateral value identified in the annual property inspection or updated appraisal, management may impair the loan further, restructure the loan again, or foreclose on the collateral property. At this point, management considers all of the same factors it did when the initial restructuring occurred, and attempts to resolve the situation so as to achieve the best outcome for the Bank.

Troubled debt restructurings are considered to be impaired and are initially treated as nonperforming. Troubled debt restructurings that are originally restructured at a market rate of interest and have a history of performance (generally a minimum of 12 consecutive months of performance at a market rate of interest) may be excluded from being reported as TDRs in periods subsequent to meeting this requirement. At June 30, 2015, 32 loans were considered to be troubled debt restructurings (with an aggregate balance of \$8.0 million) of which 19 loans (with an aggregate balance of \$3.4 million) were included in nonperforming assets. At June 30, 2014, 39 loans were considered to be troubled debt restructurings (with an aggregate balance of \$10.0 million) of which 26 loans (with an aggregate balance of \$4.4 million) were included in nonperforming assets.

The following table provides information with respect to our nonperforming assets at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Nonaccrual loans:					
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$1,721	\$1,788	\$1,876	\$2,412	\$1,652
Multi-family real estate	-	—	1,861	2,034	1,742
Nonresidential real estate and land	926	3,136	918	1,106	566
Commercial	-	—	—	240	240
Consumer	458	633	535	508	240
Total nonaccrual loans	3,105	5,557	5,190	6,300	4,440
Nonaccrual restructured loans:					
One- to four-family residential real estate	948	1,552	2,554	2,601	1,653
Multi-family real estate	-	1,200	2,263	4,251	10,358
Nonresidential real estate and land	2,437	1,639	2,701	2,987	4,146
Total nonaccrual restructured loans	3,385	4,391	7,518	9,839	16,157
Total nonperforming loans	6,490	9,948	12,708	16,139	20,597

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Real estate owned	286	598	618	197	139
Total nonperforming assets	\$6,776	\$10,546	\$13,326	\$16,336	\$20,736
Accruing restructured loans	4,589	5,618	11,543	13,211	8,768
Accruing restructured loans and nonperforming assets	\$11,365	\$16,164	\$24,869	\$29,547	\$29,504
Total nonperforming loans to total loans	2.50	% 3.97	% 4.87	% 5.60	% 7.08
Total nonperforming loans to total assets	1.25	1.88	2.48	3.26	4.36
Total nonperforming assets to total assets	1.30	1.99	2.60	3.30	4.39

Interest income that would have been recorded for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 had nonaccruing loans been current according to their original terms was \$479,000 and \$474,000, respectively. Interest recognized on the cash basis with regard to nonaccrual restructured loans was \$24,000 and \$261,000 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

At June 30, 2015, the percentage of nonperforming loans to total assets decreased to 1.25% from 1.88% at June 30, 2014, a decrease of .63%, or 33.54%. In addition, at June 30, 2015, the percentage of nonperforming assets to total assets decreased to 1.30% from 1.99% at June 30, 2014, a decrease of .69%, or 34.44%. A discussion of United Community Bank's largest outstanding loans that were reported as nonperforming loans or TDRs at June 30, 2015 are described below in the narratives regarding the "Loan Relationships." As reflected below, some of the Loan Relationships include loans that were restructured using the "Note A/B split note strategy" for which the amount of the Note B loan has been charged-off, with the borrower remaining responsible for that charged-off amount.

For purposes of this discussion, the loans are identified by a Loan number within each Loan Relationship, such as "Loan A-1," "Loan A-2" and "Loan M-1 and M-2."

At June 30, 2015, the five largest commercial real estate nonaccrual loans are Loans B-1, J-1, M-1, M-2, and N-1. At that time, the five largest charge-offs are related to loans in Loan Relationships B, F, H, K and M. Management monitors the performance of all of these loans and reviews all options available to keep the loans current, including further restructuring of the loans. If restructuring efforts ultimately are not successful, management will initiate foreclosure proceedings.

Loan Relationship B. At June 30, 2015, this Loan Relationship consisted of two loans (one Note A loan, Loan B-1, and one Note B loan) having an aggregate carrying value of \$754,000. At June 30, 2014, this Loan Relationship consisted of four loans (two Note A loans, Loan B-1 and Loan B-2, and two Note B loans) having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.3 million. At June 30, 2015, Loan B-1, which was restructured previously using the Note A/B split note strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$754,000, and is secured by a first mortgage on two separate retail strip shopping centers. At June 30, 2014, Loan B-1 had an aggregate carrying value of \$1.2 million and was secured by the same collateral. At June 30, 2015, Loan B-2, which was restructured previously using the Note A/B split note strategy, had no aggregate carrying value as its \$169,000 principal balance was paid off in the September 2014 quarter, with the Bank experiencing no additional principal balance loss. Loan B-1 is included in the above table as "Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate" at June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2015, Loan B-2 was not included in the above "Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate" table. At June 30, 2014, Loan B-2 was included in the above "Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate" table. In the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 65, Loan B-1 is classified as "Nonresidential real estate, Substandard" at June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2015, Loan B-2 was not classified as "Nonresidential real estate, Substandard" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 65. At June 30, 2014, Loan B-2 was classified as "Nonresidential real estate, Substandard" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 65. Loan B-1 was performing in accordance with its restructured terms at

June 30, 2015. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship B follows.

The loans comprising Loan Relationship B were originally restructured in June 2010, with an aggregate carrying value of \$4.1 million. At the time of the original restructuring, the property value was based primarily on the collateral's cash flow, including required personal cash infusions from the co-borrowers. Management believed that the lower debt service would improve the borrowers' cash flow, and in turn, the performance of the loans. One of the borrowers is a corporate entity. The principals of the corporate borrower are co-borrowers on the loans. The Bank analyzed the personal net worth, liquid net worth, debt to income ratios and credit scores of the co-borrowers. While the co-borrowers were not expected to cover a total loss on the loans, management believed the co-borrowers would mitigate the amount of potential future losses. The restructured loans were considered impaired at June 30, 2010, with an allowance for loan loss of \$600,000 to reflect the reduction in carrying value resulting from the exclusion of the required personal cash infusions from the co-borrowers from the calculation of the carrying value. In March 2011, the loans were again experiencing cash flow problems due to decreases in rental revenue from the properties. Due to financial difficulties experienced by the co-borrowers, including the cash flow problems of the subject properties and a decrease in other outside sources of income, the co-borrowers were unable to mitigate the losses on the loans. Therefore, in March 2011, the two loans secured by the two separate retail strip shopping centers were combined and refinanced into two loans, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-1, a Note A loan) had a balance of \$2.4 million and was classified as substandard, reported as a TDR, and placed on nonaccrual. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$1.3 million and was charged-off (inclusive of the \$600,000 specific allowance recorded for this Loan Relationship in the quarter ended June 30, 2010).

In March 2011, Loan B-2 was refinanced into two loans, using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-2, a Note A loan) was for \$238,000 and was classified as substandard, reported as a TDR, and placed on nonaccrual. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$169,000 and was charged-off. The restructured loans had interest rates 275 basis points lower than their 2010 restructured rates for a period of two years, and 500 basis points below their original rates.

In May 2012, one of the two retail strip shopping centers that secured Loan B-1 experienced the loss of a major tenant. The resultant decrease in cash flow caused the Bank to have the two retail strip shopping centers securing the loan appraised in June 2012. The appraisal reflected that the value of properties had declined to \$1.45 million from the February 2011 appraised value of \$2.95 million. Management determined that this loan would ultimately be settled through the sale of the property. A charge-off of \$956,000 was established in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 based on the then most recent appraisal which indicated a known loss, together with an additional impairment of \$189,000 based on the Bank's experience in settling foreclosed property. The carrying value of this loan was classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR, and placed on nonaccrual. The Bank also appraised the single purpose commercial use property in June 2012. The value of this property declined to \$225,000 from \$325,000 in February 2011 due to decreased cash flow from the then current tenant. Management determined that this loan would also be settled from the sale of the property. A charge-off in the amount of \$22,000 was established based on the then most recent appraisal indicating a known loss, together with an additional impairment of \$29,000 based on the Bank's experience in settling foreclosed property. The carrying value of this loan was classified as substandard, reported as a TDR, and placed on nonaccrual. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the balloon payment for the two loans secured by the two separate retail strip shopping centers became due. An independent appraisal was performed in March 2013 on the properties reflecting that the appraised value of the properties had increased to \$1.8 million. The loan was restructured during the March 2013 quarter using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-1, a Note A loan) was refinanced for \$1.3 million, with a market interest rate of 5.50% based on a 30 year loan term, and a three year balloon payment. As stated above, the carrying value of this loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$2.3 million was charged-off. This charged-off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan balance in March 2011 (\$1.3 million) plus that portion Note A loan balance in March 2011 that was charged-off during the period ended June 30, 2012 (\$1.0 million).

The balloon payment for Loan B-2 also came due during the quarter ended March 31, 2013. The Note A loan and the Note B loan secured by the single purpose commercial use property were modified again using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan B-2, a Note A loan) was modified to a balance of \$185,000, with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 30-year term, and a three year balloon payment. As stated above, the carrying value of this loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) was modified at its then current balance of \$191,000 and charged-off. This charged-off amount equaled the balance of the Note B loan balance in March 2011 (\$169,000) plus the balance of the Note A loan in March 2011 that was charged-off during the period ended June 30, 2012 (\$22,000). As noted above, the balance of Loan B-2 was paid off in the September 2014 quarter. In addition, in the December 31, 2014 quarter, the co-borrowers of Loan B-1 paid \$335,000 toward the loan's principal balance.

Loan Relationship E. At June 30, 2015, this Loan Relationship had no carrying value, as the borrower paid off the \$276,000 balance of the Note A loan during the quarter ended March 31, 2015. Additionally, the borrower paid \$300,000 towards the Note B loan, resulting in a recovery of \$300,000. As further described below, the Note B loan originally had a balance of \$508,000 which was charged-off in March 2011. The Bank realized no further loss on the Loan Relationship and the balance of the Note B loan has been released. At June 30, 2014, Loan Relationship E had an aggregate carrying value of \$276,000. The loans had been secured by nonresidential warehouse properties. There were no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on these loans. As described below, these loans were previously restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy. Because it was paid off during the March 2015 quarter, the Note A loan is not included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans,” classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65 at June 30, 2015, but is included in the “Accruing restructured loans” table, and classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard,” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65, at June 30, 2014. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship E follows.

Loan Relationship E was originally comprised of one loan. The loan was restructured in April 2010. At June 30, 2010, the charge-off to the general allowance for loan losses, based upon a then current independent appraisal, was \$308,000. The restructured loan had payments deferred for one year, while accruing interest at a market rate. This loan was scheduled to undergo an interest rate and payment reset in February 2011. There were no personal guarantees or co-borrowers on this loan. At the time of the loan adjustment period, it became apparent that the borrower would have difficulty making the required monthly payments beginning in February 2011. As a result, management completed a detailed analysis of this loan and determined to again restructure the loan utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy in March 2011. The terms of the Note A loan were calculated using the borrower’s then current financial information to yield a payment having a debt service coverage ratio of approximately 1.5x, which was more stringent than the Bank’s normal underwriting standards. A restructuring fee of \$9,000 was charged and included in the Note B loan at March 31, 2011. The Note A loan had a balance of \$569,000, put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard and was reported as a TDR. The Note B loan had a balance of \$508,000, which was charged-off in the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This charged-off amount was inclusive of the previous specific reserve of \$308,000 recorded during the period ended June 30, 2010. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the balloon payments for these loans became due. At that time, the Bank had been reviewing the cash flow of the property on a monthly basis and verified that the cash flows had not changed. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the “as is” value of the property. The Bank obtained the appraisal in February 2013, and the appraised value of the property had decreased to \$910,000 from \$997,000 in February 2011. The loans were refinanced into two loans, again using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) had a balance of \$519,000 with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 30- year term and a three year balloon payment. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$508,000 and was charged-off. This charged-off amount equaled the amount of the Note B loan originated in March 2011. In the quarter ended December 31, 2013, the borrower sold one of the four nonresidential properties securing the Note A loan and the Note B loan. The Bank received the net proceeds of \$227,000 from this sale and applied these net proceeds to the balance of the Note A loan. As of September 30, 2014, Note A was no longer reported as a TDR loan because the loan was current and there were more than 12 consecutive monthly payments made on time. Appraisals received at that time indicated that the loan to value complied with the Bank’s current underwriting standards. Cash flows of the properties securing the loan also indicated that the debt service coverage ratio complied with the Bank’s current underwriting standards. Loan Relationship E will not be included in the loan narratives going forward.

Loan Relationship F. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan Relationship F was comprised of two loans, a Note A loan and a Note B loan, having an aggregate carrying value of \$424,000 and \$435,000, respectively. These loans are secured by a multi-family residential real estate property and a single-family real estate property. The borrower is a corporate entity, with three principals, each of whom is a co-borrower of the loan. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the Note A loan is not included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans.” In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65, the Note A loan is classified as “Multi-family real estate, Watch” at June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014. As of June 30, 2014, Note A was no longer reported as a TDR loan because the loan was current and there were more than 12 consecutive monthly payments made on time. Additionally, recent appraisals obtained for the properties securing the loans indicated that the loan to value ratio of the loans complied with the Bank’s underwriting standards, and the cash flow analysis performed on the loans from updated financial information indicated that the debt service coverage ratio complied with the Bank’s underwriting standards. The Note A loan in Loan Relationship F was performing in accordance with its terms at June 30, 2015. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship F follows.

The original loan was initially restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy in June 2010 based on an 80% loan-to-value ratio derived from an April 2010 independent appraisal. The first loan (Note A loan) had a balance of \$631,000 with a market interest rate of 5.50%, for a 25-year term, based on a 3/1 ARM. This loan was put on nonaccrual and classified as substandard. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$216,800 and there was a specific reserve established for the entire amount of the loan. The borrower was a corporate entity, with two principals, each of whom individually was a co-borrower of the loans. At December 31, 2010, the first loan was 160 days delinquent. The delinquency was a result of personal problems between the borrowers affecting their ability to manage the multi-family residential real estate and the single-family real estate. The personal problems between the borrowers also resulted in the borrowers' inability to make the required personal cash infusions. In the latter part of 2010 and into early 2011, one of the borrowers effectively took control of the multi-family residential real estate and the single-family real estate, and brought the business current with respect to property taxes, deposit refunds to former tenants, and made required monthly loan payments in January and February 2011. Other than the January and February 2011 loan payments, the borrowers were unable to make payments to bring the loan current. Based upon those developments, management completed a detailed analysis of the total lending relationship with the borrowers. As a result of this analysis, these loans were again restructured, using the Note A/B split note strategy in March 2011. The terms of the first loan (a Note A loan) were calculated using the borrowers' then current financial information to yield a payment having a debt service coverage ratio of approximately 1.5x, which was more stringent than the Bank's normal underwriting standards. A restructuring fee of \$7,000 was charged and included in the second loan (a Note B loan) at March 31, 2011. After the restructuring in March 2011, the Note A loan had a balance of \$475,000, was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard and reported as a TDR.

The Note B loan had a balance of \$405,000. The full amount of the Note B loan was charged-off in the quarter ended March 31, 2011, inclusive of the previous specific reserve of \$216,800 from December 31, 2010. A two-year balloon payment was due in March 31, 2013 on the loans unless the borrower refinanced the loans to a market rate loan at that time. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, as a result of the continued personal problems of the co-borrowers, the two loans were modified with one of the borrowers who had taken control of the two properties in early 2011. The other borrower relinquished all of its interest in the two properties. However, in addition to the one borrower retained on the loan, two other borrowers were added to the loans to provide managerial strength to the relationship and increase the property's income potential. The Bank had been reviewing the cash flow of the property on a monthly basis and determined that the cash flows had improved due to the borrowers' enhanced managerial ability. An independent appraisal was ordered to provide the "as is" value of the properties. The Bank obtained the appraisal in December 2012, and the appraised value of the properties had decreased to \$730,000 from \$774,000 in February 2011. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012, the two loans were modified, again using the Note A/B split note strategy, with both loans having three year balloon payments. The Note A loan was modified to a market interest rate of 5.50%, with no increase in the principal balance (\$453,000). The term of the loan was also reduced to 324 months from the remaining term of 339 months. Even with the higher market interest rate and the shorter term of the loan, the debt service coverage ratio is above 1.20x, which complied with the Bank's current loan underwriting standards. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. There was no increase in the principal balance (\$405,000) of the Note B loan from that loan's prior restructuring in March 2011, and therefore, the charge-off amount (\$405,000) remained the same as in March 2011. However, the interest rate was reduced to 0%, as the loan had been charged-off.

Loan Relationship H. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan Relationship H was comprised of three loans having an aggregate carrying value of 941,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the loans comprising Loan H-1, which were previously restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy, had an aggregate carrying value of \$710,000 and \$723,000, respectively. Loan H-1 is secured by a first lien on an 18-unit apartment complex, a single-family dwelling, a 6.3 acre tract of land, and a second lien on a single-family owner occupied dwelling on 11.36 acres. The borrower is a limited liability corporation and the two co-borrowers are the principals of the limited liability corporation. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan H-1 is included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans” and classified as “Multi-family residential real estate, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. As of June 30, 2014, Note A was no longer reported as a TDR loan because the loan was current and there were more than twelve consecutive market rate monthly payments made on time. Also, recent appraisals indicated that the loan to value was adequate and the cash flows from updated financial information of the properties securing the loan indicated that the debt service coverage ratio was adequate.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Bank refinanced the principal residence of the co-borrowers (the single-family owner occupied dwelling on 11.36 acres mentioned above). This loan, Loan H-2, had an original balance of \$280,000 at a market rate of interest for a ten year term. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the balance of Loan H-2 was \$232,000 and \$257,000, respectively. Loan H-2 is not included in the above table as “Accruing restructured loans” at June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan H-2 was classified as “One- to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015, Loan H-1 was performing in accordance with its terms and Loan H-2 was performing in accordance with its original terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship H-1 follows.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2008, Loan Relationship H was comprised of one loan with a carrying value of \$1.3 million and classified as special mention. In the quarter ended June 30, 2009, the co-borrowers approached the Bank and advised that the only co-borrower who was employed had experienced a substantial salary reduction. The borrowers requested an interest rate reduction to 3% and interest only payments for three years. Independent appraisals were ordered and received and reflected that the properties on which the Bank had a first and second lien position had an aggregate value of \$1.5 million. The loan was classified as substandard, placed on nonaccrual, and reported as a TDR. Due to the reduced interest rate, a specific valuation of \$123,000 was established for the loan through a charge-off to the general allowance. Under the loan’s modified terms, the interest rate was to reset to 5.75% on June 1, 2012. In June 2012, the borrowers approached the Bank and advised it that the properties’ cash flow could not service the increase in interest rate. Independent appraisals were ordered and received in June 2012 and reflected that the properties on which the Bank had a first lien position had a value of \$978,000. As a result, the Bank recorded a charge-off of \$481,000, inclusive of the \$123,000 specific allocation previously established, to reflect the carrying value of the loan at \$744,000. The one loan performed in accordance with its restructured terms until the September 30, 2012 quarter, when the borrowers again approached the Bank and advised it that the properties’ cash flow could not service the loan. Therefore, the one loan was restructured using the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (a Note A loan) was for \$748,000, with a market rate of interest of 5.00%, for a 30-year term and a three year balloon payment. The carrying value of this loan was placed on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) was for \$515,000 (inclusive of the \$481,000 that was charged-off in the June 30, 2012 quarter) and was charged-off. The interest rate was reduced to 0% as the loan had been charged-off. As noted above, as of June 30, 2015, Loan H-1 has been performing in accordance with its restructured terms since September 30, 2012.



Loan Relationship J. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, this relationship was comprised of two loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.6million and \$1.6million, respectively. Loan J-1 is secured by a first mortgage on a nonresidential real estate property located on 2.17 acres of land and an additional 1.753 acre tract of land that is contiguous to the nonresidential real estate and is zoned for commercial development. Loan J-2 is secured by a first mortgage on six one-to four-family non owner-occupied residential properties and an 80 acre tract of land. Two of the Loan J-1 borrowers are corporate entities, each of whose principals individually signed as co-borrowers. One of the Loan J-2 borrowers is a corporate entity whose principal individually signed as a co-borrower. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan J-1 is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual, Nonresidential Real Estate”, and was classified as “Nonresidential Real Estate, Substandard” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan J-2 is not included in the Nonaccrual table and was classified as “One-to Four-Family Non Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, the Bank entered into discussions with the co-borrowers about increasing the payments paid each month on loan J-1 and the fact that this property had not been sold after several promising leads. Subsequent to the quarter ended March 31, 2015, the Bank entered into a forbearance agreement with the borrower and co-borrowers. Basically, the terms of this agreement are that increasing principal and interest payments will be made over 12 months, beginning with the June 2015 payment. Also, all escrow payments will continue to be made. Also, the Maturity date for this loan is now June 30, 2016. If the loan is paid off before the new maturity date, there will be a discounted payoff, but the Bank would experience no additional loss to the loan’s carrying value by any such discounted payoff. At June 30, 2015, Loan J-1 was performing in accordance with its restructured terms, and J-2 was performing in accordance with its original terms. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship J follows.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the co-borrowers of Loan J-1 approached the Bank and advised it that the entity buying the nonresidential real estate portion of this property on land contract was vacating the premises. The contract buyers also stated they were unable to make the contract loan payments. The co-borrowers had been using the payments from this land contract to make loan payments to the Bank. The Bank ordered an independent appraisal of the nonresidential real estate and the contiguous 1.753 acre tract of land. The appraised value, received in June 2013, totaled \$1.1 million, \$720,000 for the nonresidential real estate property, and \$390,000 for the 1.753 acre tract of land. This was a decrease from the April 2007 aggregate appraised value of \$1.6 million. At that time, it was determined that the co-borrowers were able to pay \$1,550 per month for the monthly real estate taxes and \$3,450 per month on Loan J-1. Therefore, in the June 30, 2013 quarter, Loan J-1, with a carrying value of \$869,000, net of the charge off amount of \$161,000, was put on nonaccrual and classified as substandard and was reported as a TDR. The carrying value and the charge off amount were determined by an impairment analysis using 80% of the appraised value of the nonresidential real estate plus 75% of the appraised value of the 1.753 acre tract of land. Subsequent to June 30, 2013, the borrowers signed a purchase agreement with an unrelated third party for the nonresidential real estate property at a sales price that would enable any unpaid principal balance to be fully collateralized by the remaining collateral. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the purchase agreement expired and the potential purchaser determined not to purchase the property. At the time of this filing, the borrowers are pursuing other possible sale or refinance opportunities for this property.

Loan Relationship K. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, this Loan Relationship was comprised of seven loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan K-1 had an aggregate carrying value of \$724,000 and \$735,000, respectively, and is secured by 11 one-to four-family non-owner occupied properties and one multi-family property. As

further described below, Loan K-1 was previously restructured using the Note A/B strategy. Loan K-2 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-3 is a home equity line of credit secured by a second mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. Loan K-5 is secured by a first mortgage on the principal residence of the two individual co-borrowers. Loan K-6 is secured by a UCC-1 filing and a second mortgage on the principal residence of the two individual co-borrowers. Loan K-7 is secured by a first mortgage on a nonresidential property and a third mortgage on the principal residence of the two individual co-borrowers. One of the Loan K-1 co-borrowers is a corporate entity, each of whose principals, together with their respective spouses, is a co-borrower. Two of the Loan K-2 and K-3 co-borrowers are individual co-signors. Loan K-5 co-borrowers are individually signed. One of the Loan K-6 and K-7 co-borrowers is a corporate entity whose principal, together with their spouse, is a co-borrower.

At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Note A of Loan K-1 is included in the above table in “Accruing Restructured Loans.” At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loans K-2, K-3, K-5, K-6, and K-7, are not included in the above nonaccrual table because these loans were performing in accordance with their original terms. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the Note A loan of Loan K-1 was classified as “Multi-Family, Substandard” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan K-2 was classified as “One-to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Watch” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan K-3 was classified as “Consumer, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan K-5 was classified as “One-to Four-Family Owner-Occupied Mortgage, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan K-6 was classified as “Commercial and Agricultural, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan K-7 was classified as “Nonresidential Real Estate, Pass” in the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65. At June 30, 2015, the Note A loan of Loan K-1 was performing in accordance with its restructured terms, and Loans K-2, K-3, K-5, K-6, and K-7, were performing in accordance with their original terms. A more detailed history of Loan K-1 follows.

In November 2011, a charge-off in the amount of \$406,000 was established for Loan K-1 because of cash flow issues of the rental properties securing this loan. At that time independent appraisals were ordered. The new appraisals, received in December 2011, reflected that the values of the properties had decreased to \$1.3 million from \$2.0 million as of May 2007. The Bank determined to restructure the loan utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy. The first loan (Loan K-1, a Note A loan) was for \$1.1 million with the market rate of interest of 5.50% and a two year balloon payment. This loan was put on nonaccrual, classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$415,000 and was charged-off and the interest rate reduced to 0%. This charge-off amount was \$9,000 more than the charge-off amount established in November 2011. In July 2012, the borrowers sold four of the rental properties and the net proceeds of \$301,000 were applied to Loan K-1, reducing the principal to \$823,000 from \$1.1 million. A fifth rental property was released because of the condition of the property.

Loan K-1 was restructured again utilizing the Note A/B split note strategy during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 due to the balloon payment described above. The first loan (a Note A loan) was for \$809,000 with the market rate of interest of 5.50% and a three year balloon payment. This loan was put on accrual (because of its sufficient payment history), classified as substandard, and reported as a TDR. The second loan (a Note B loan) had a balance of \$415,000 and was charged-off and the interest rate reduced to 0%. In March 2014, the borrowers sold one of the rental properties and the net proceeds of \$65,000 were applied to Loan K-1, reducing the loan’s principal balance to \$739,000. Also, in the December 31, 2013 quarter, Loan K-4 was paid in full. Therefore, at June 30, 2015, there were a total of 12 rental properties remaining as collateral for this loan.

· Loan Relationship L. At June 30, 2015, this Loan Relationship had no carrying value, as the borrower paid off the \$277,000 balance during the June 30, 2015 quarter. Additionally, the Bank recovered \$122,000 of the \$154,000 previously charged-off on this Loan Relationship. The Bank realized no further loss on this Loan Relationship and the balance of the charge-off has been released. At June 30, 2014, this Loan Relationship had a carrying value of \$304,000. This loan was secured by a first mortgage on two one-to four-family non-owner occupied properties and

two nonresidential properties. The borrowers were a husband and wife who jointly owned these properties. Each of the borrowers was also a co-borrower on the loan. The loan is not included in the above table in “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate,” is not classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard, ” and not reported as a TDR at June 30, 2015. At June 30, 2014, the loan was included in the above table in “Nonaccrual restructured loans, Nonresidential real estate,” was classified as “Nonresidential real estate, Substandard” table on page 65 and reported as a TDR. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship L follows.

This Loan Relationship was originally comprised of two loans originated in the first quarter of 2008 and had an aggregate net carrying value of \$743,000 at March 31, 2008. In early 2011, the borrowers began to experience cash flow problems because a major tenant in one of the nonresidential properties was making sporadic rental payments. At June 30, 2011, the two loans were not 30 days delinquent; nevertheless, the Bank ordered independent appraisals on the properties securing the loan due to the underlying cash flow problems. The appraisals were received in June 2011 and reflected a total value of \$676,000 compared to the original November 2007 appraised value of \$1.2 million. At September 30, 2011, one of the loans was 30 days delinquent at which time management determined to establish an impairment of \$93,000. Based on the then most recent appraisal indicating a known loss and the borrowers' cash flow problems, in the quarter ending December 31, 2011, management determined to refinance the two loans into one loan at a below market interest rate. A charge-off of \$124,000, inclusive of the impairment established in the September 30, 2011 quarter, was also recorded. As part of the Bank's ongoing monitoring and impairment analysis, the Bank obtained new appraisals on all five properties relating to this loan relationship in the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The total value of these new appraisals was \$680,000, reflecting an increase of \$4,000 from the appraisals completed in June 2011. In the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the borrowers received an offer from a qualified buyer to purchase one of the nonresidential properties for \$182,000. This property had appraised for \$185,000 in June 2013. Based on the anticipated net proceeds from the sale to be applied to the loan's principal balance, the Bank increased the charge off amount on this loan to \$154,000 as of December 31, 2013. In January 2014, the property was sold and net proceeds of \$65,000 were applied to the principal balance of the loan. Loan Relationship L will not be included in the loan narratives going forward.

Loan Relationship M. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan Relationship M was comprised of two loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$1.7 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The loans are secured by a first mortgage on two golf courses, including a club house on each, in the greater Cincinnati area, an approximately 25 acre tract of land, and a second mortgage on the principal residence of two of the individual co-borrowers. The borrower of Loans M-1 and M-2 is a corporate entity, each of whose principals, a husband and wife, has individually signed as a co-borrower, as have the father and stepmother of one of the co-borrowers. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loans M-1 and M-2 are included in the above table in "Nonaccrual, Nonresidential Real Estate" and classified as "Nonresidential Real Estate, Substandard" in the "Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade" table on page 65. During the June 30, 2015 quarter, the Bank entered into a forbearance agreement with the borrower and co-borrowers, pursuant to which full principal, interest and escrow payments will be made for the months of May through October of each year, beginning in 2015. The maturity date for these loans is now October 1, 2018. If any of these loans is paid off before the new maturity date, there will be a discounted payoff, but the Bank would experience no additional loss to the loan's carrying value by any such discounted payoff. Additionally, as of the date of this filing, the borrower has paid and brought current all delinquent real estate taxes. Loans M-1 and M-2 were performing in accordance with their restructured terms at June 30, 2015. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship M follows.

Loan M-1 originated in December 2007 and Loan M-2 originated in July 2009, each with a 20 year term. Under each loan's terms, payments are due from April through December of each year; no payments are required in January, February and March of each year. Due to reduced cash flows resulting from inclement weather, in December 2013, the co-borrowers advised the Bank that they would pay the amounts due for November and December 2013 in February and March 2014, respectively. Due to the continuation of the severe winter weather and resultant reduced cash flows, borrowers were unable to make the payment due in February 2014 and were unable to make the real estate tax payment due during the period ended March 31, 2014. As a result of the failure to make the November payment,

the decrease in cash flow and the borrowers' failure to pay real estate taxes, the Bank had both properties appraised. The appraisals were received in March 2014 and reflected an aggregate decrease in value of approximately \$500,000 as compared to their March 2009 appraised value. Based on the new appraised value, there was no known loss to the Bank. The Bank also performed an impairment analysis on each loan in March 2014 resulting in an aggregate impairment of \$41,000. In March 2014, the Bank and the co-borrowers agreed to a revised repayment plan to bring all payments, and real estate taxes due, but not paid during the period ended March 31, 2014, current by July 31, 2014. At June 30, 2014, an impairment analysis was performed. The impairment analysis showed that no further impairment was needed on either Loan M-1 or Loan M-2.

At September 30, 2014, the borrowers had successfully complied with the revised payment plan agreement from March 31, 2014 and both loans were current. Additionally, the real estate taxes due during the March 31, 2014 quarter were paid. However, at September 30, 2014, the real estate taxes that were due in July 2014 were not paid. Also, subsequent to the quarter ending September 30, 2014, due to cash flow issues caused by inclement weather during the month of October 2014, the payments due for October 2014 were not made. During the December 31, 2014 quarter, the real estate taxes that were due in July 2014 were still not paid, and the loan payments due for October, November, and December 2014 were not paid. The Bank met with the husband and wife co-borrowers during the December 31, 2014 quarter. The co-borrowers advised the Bank that they would not be able to make the past due payments and the past due real estate taxes because of the inclement weather during the quarter until the golf season opened in spring 2015. Because of these developments, the Bank performed another impairment analysis of these two loans. While the appraisals of the properties showed no need for an impairment, the Bank further analyzed the cash flow of the golf courses. After this analysis, the Bank determined that an impairment of \$466,000 was needed and a charge-off of \$466,000 was established for this loan relationship. During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, the Bank entered into discussions with the co-borrowers about another payment plan. As stated above, during the June 30, 2015 quarter, the Bank entered into a forbearance agreement with the borrower and co-borrowers. An impairment analysis was performed for the quarter ended June 30, 2015. The impairment analysis showed that no further impairment was needed on either Loan M-1 or M-2.

Loan Relationship N. At June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, Loan Relationship N was comprised of four loans having an aggregate carrying value of \$680,000 and \$714,000, respectively. Loan N-1 is secured by a single family, non-owner occupied property located on 13 acres, and by another single family, non-owner occupied property on a .52 acre lot. The carrying value of loan N-1 is \$252,000. Loan N-2 is secured by land, on which there is a 16 lot residential development. The carrying value of Loan N-2 is \$135,000. Loan N-3 and Loan N-4 are secured by a single family non-owner occupied property, located on 51 acres, with Loan N-3 being the first mortgage on this property and Loan N-4 being a home equity line of credit secured by a second mortgage on this property. The carrying value of loan N-3 and N-4 are \$222,000 and \$72,000, respectively. The borrower of Loan N-1 is a corporate entity, each of whose principals, along with their spouses, have individually signed as a co-borrower. The borrower of Loan N-2 is a corporate entity, with one of the principals individually signed as a co-borrower, together with his wife and parents. The borrowers of Loans N-3 and N-4 are a husband and wife who are also co-borrowers on Loans N-1 and N-2. Loans N-1 and N-3 are included in the above table in “Nonaccrual, one- to-four Family, Non-owner Occupied” Loans as of June 30, 2015. Loan N-2 is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual, Land loans” as of June 30, 2015. Loan N-4 is included in the above table in “Nonaccrual, Consumer loans” as of June 30, 2015. Loans N-1, N-2, N-3, and N-4 were not included in any nonaccrual table as of June 30, 2014. In the “Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade” table on page 65, Loans N-1 and N-3 are classified as “One-to-Four Family, Non-owner Occupied, Substandard” at June 30, 2015, and as “One-to Four-Family, Non-owner Occupied, Special Mention” at June 30, 2014. Loan N-2 is classified as “Land, Substandard” at June 30, 2015 and “Land, Special Mention” at June 30, 2014. Loan N-4 is classified as “Consumer, Substandard” at June 30, 2015 and “Consumer, Special Mention” at June 30, 2014. These loans were not performing in accordance with their original terms at June 30, 2015. A more detailed history of Loan Relationship N follows.

Loan N-1 originated in March, 2009 to purchase a 13 acre tract of land on which there was a single family residence. This loan was secured by this property and an additional single family residence on a one acre lot. The house and one acre on which the house was located was to be sold, with the remaining 12 acres utilized for residential development. The original appraised value of the house and 13 acres was \$283,000. The single family residence on the one acre tract

was destroyed by fire in December 2013. Before its destruction, the appraised value of that collateral was \$105,000. A separate single-family residence on a .52 acre tract of land was substituted as collateral for the destroyed property. This replacement property was owned by one of the Loan N-1 principal borrowers and his father. The value of this replacement property was \$135,000 based on an appraisal dated February 2014. Because the loan became 90 days delinquent, the Bank reappraised all of the properties in December 2014. The appraised value of all properties totaled \$352,500, compared to the original appraised aggregate value of \$418,000. The loan had a market rate of interest with monthly interest only payments and an original term of one year. The loan was renewed for an additional five years in 2010, with a maturity date of March 2015. Because of market conditions, the borrower was unable to sell the single family residence on one acre and was unable to develop the additional 12 acres for residential development. In the December 2014 quarter, the borrower was not able to make the monthly payments due to difficulties with other business ventures of the co-borrowers with which the Bank is not involved, and the loan became more than 90 days delinquent. The Bank is in regular contact with the borrower and co-borrowers of Loan N-1, and the borrower continues to try to sell the properties. If the borrower is not able to sell the properties within a reasonable time as determined by the Bank, the Bank may take legal action.

Loan N-2 originated in November 2012 to refinance two existing loans the Bank made that were secured by a 19 lot residential development. Proceeds from the sale of the lots were to be used to repay the loan. This property appraised for \$483,000 in 2012. During the previous two years, the borrower was able to sell two of the 19 lots. Because the loan went 90 days delinquent, the Bank obtained an updated appraisal of the remaining 17 lots in December 2014. The updated appraised value was \$300,000. Also, in the June 30, 2015 quarter, the borrower sold another lot and the Bank applied the net proceeds to the loan balance, as reflected in the first paragraph of this Loan Relationship narrative. Also, an updated appraisal on the remaining 16 lots was received in July 2015. The updated appraised value was \$274,000. This loan had a market rate of interest with monthly interest only payments. The original term of this loan was three years, with a maturity date of December 2015. Because of market conditions, the borrower was not able to sell the lots in a timely manner. In the December 2014 quarter, the borrower was not able to make the monthly payments due to other business ventures of the co-borrowers with which the Bank is not involved, and the loan became more than 90 days delinquent. The Bank is in regular contact with the borrower and co-borrowers of Loan N-2 and the borrower continues to try to sell the properties. If the borrower is not able to sell the properties within a reasonable period of time as determined by the Bank, the Bank may take legal action.

Loan N-3 and Loan N-4 were originated in April 2007 and June 2008, respectively. The purpose of Loan N-3 was to refinance and purchase an additional 33 acres of adjoining property. Loan N-4, an equity line of credit, was used to buy a single family rental property. Loans N-3 and N-4 are secured by the same property, a single family residence and 50.57 acres of land. This property appraised for \$405,000 in March 2007 and \$406,000 in February 2008. Because the loan went 90 days delinquent, the Bank updated the appraisal on this property in December 2014. The updated appraised value was \$378,000. In the December 2014 quarter, the borrowers were not able to make the monthly payments, mainly because of other business ventures of the borrowers with which the Bank is not involved, and the loan became more than 90 days delinquent. The Bank is in regular contact with the borrowers and the borrowers continue to try to sell the property. If the borrowers are not able to sell the property within a reasonable time as determined by the Bank, legal action may be taken. An impairment analysis for the June 30, 2015 quarter showed that no impairment was needed on Loans N-1, N-2, N-3, or N-4.

The following table summarizes all Note A/B format loans at June 30, 2015 and 2014:

At June 30, 2015	Loan Balances			Number of Loans	
	Note A	Note B	Total	Note A	Note B
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Nonresidential real estate	\$1,851	\$2,778	\$4,629	3	3
Multi-family residential real estate	1,858	1,335	3,193	3	3
One- to four-family residential real estate	93	21	114	1	1
Total (1)	\$3,802	\$4,134	\$7,936	7	7

At June 30, 2014	Loan Balances			Number of Loans	
	Note A	Note B	Total	Note A	Note B
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Nonresidential real estate	\$2,723	\$3,476	\$6,199	5	5
Multi-family residential real estate	1,892	1,335	3,227	3	3
One- to four-family residential real estate	199	62	261	1	1
Total	\$4,814	\$4,873	\$9,687	9	9

(1) Included in this total are an aggregate of \$2.6 million comprised of Note As and \$3.6 million comprised of Note Bs that are included in the discussion of Loan Relationships B, F, H and K.

Primarily based on an assessment of our loans receivable greater than 30 days past due and accruing in the multi-family residential real estate and nonresidential real estate portfolios of \$0 at June 30, 2015, management does not believe there are any other large concentrations of credit risk that are not performing under the original terms or modified terms, as applicable.

The following tables provide information with respect to all of our loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings. Troubled debt restructurings are considered to be impaired, except for those that have established a sufficient performance history under the terms for the restructured loan. For additional information regarding troubled debt restructurings on nonaccrual status, see the table of nonperforming assets above.

At June 30, 2015			
Loan Status	Total Unpaid Principal	Related	Recorded

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

	Accrual	Nonaccrual	Balance	Allowance	Investment
	(Dollars in thousands)				
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$1,148	\$ 948	\$ 2,096	\$ -	\$ 2,096
Multi-family residential real estate	724	-	724	-	724
Nonresidential real estate	2,717	2,437	5,154	120	5,034
Total	\$4,589	\$ 3,385	\$ 7,974	\$ 120	\$ 7,854
Number of loans	13	19			

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

At June 30, 2014					
Loan Status	Total Unpaid Principal		Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	
	Accrual	Nonaccrual			
(Dollars in thousands)					
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$947	\$ 1,552	\$ 2,499	\$ -	\$ 2,499
Multi-family residential real estate	1,663	1,200	2,863	-	2,863
Nonresidential real estate	3,008	1,639	4,647	120	4,527
Total	\$5,618	\$ 4,391	\$ 10,009	\$ 120	\$ 9,889
Number of loans	13	26			
At June 30, 2013					
Loan Status	Total Unpaid Principal		Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	
	Accrual	Nonaccrual			
(Dollars in thousands)					
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$2,061	\$ 2,554	\$ 4,615	\$ 7	\$ 4,608
Multi-family residential real estate	5,827	2,263	8,090	20	8,070
Nonresidential real estate	3,656	2,701	6,357	120	6,237
Total	\$11,544	\$ 7,518	\$ 19,062	\$ 147	\$ 18,915
Number of loans	21	31			
At June 30, 2012					
Loan Status	Total Unpaid Principal		Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	
	Accrual	Nonaccrual			
(Dollars in thousands)					
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$2,374	\$ 2,601	\$ 4,975	\$ 26	\$ 4,949
Multi-family residential real estate	7,715	4,251	11,966	165	11,801
Nonresidential real estate	3,122	2,987	6,109	465	5,644
Total	\$13,211	\$ 9,839	\$ 23,050	\$ 656	\$ 22,394
Number of loans	14	34			
At June 30, 2011					
Loan Status	Total Unpaid Principal		Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	
	Accrual	Nonaccrual			
(Dollars in thousands)					
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$4,128	\$ 1,653	\$ 5,781	\$ —	\$ 5,781
Multi-family residential real estate	2,041	10,358	12,399	1,173	11,226
Nonresidential real estate	2,599	4,146	6,745	—	6,745
Total	\$8,768	\$ 16,157	\$ 24,925	\$ 1,173	\$ 23,752

Number of loans	28	24
-----------------	----	----

64

Loans that were included in troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2015 and 2014 were generally given concessions of interest rate reductions of between 25 and 300 basis points, and/or structured as interest only payment loans for periods of one to three years. Many of these loans also have balloon payments due at the end of their lowered rate period, requiring the borrower to refinance at market rates at that time. At June 30, 2015, there were 23 loans that required payments of principal and interest, and 2 loans that required interest payments only. At June 30, 2014, there were 27 loans that required payments of principal and interest, and three loans that required interest payments only. The economic trends during the year ended June 30, 2015 were generally stable in our primary market area, Dearborn and Ripley Counties in Indiana.

Federal regulations require us to review and classify our assets on a regular basis. In addition, the OCC has the authority to identify problem assets and, if appropriate, require them to be classified. There are three classifications for problem assets: substandard, doubtful and loss. "Substandard assets" must have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. "Doubtful assets" have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values questionable, and there is a high possibility of loss. An asset classified "loss" is considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuance as an asset of the institution is not warranted. The regulations also provide for a "special mention" category, described as assets which do not currently expose us to a sufficient degree of risk to warrant classification but do possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving our close attention. When we classify an asset as special mention, we account for those classifications when establishing a general allowance for loan losses. If we classify an asset as substandard, doubtful or loss, we establish a specific allocation for the asset at that time.

The following table shows the aggregate amounts of our classified assets at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Special mention assets	\$4,086	\$5,010
Substandard assets	11,588	15,769
Total classified assets	\$15,674	\$20,779

At June 30, 2015: **Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade**

One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Non- Owner-	Multi- Family	Nonresidential Real estate	Construction Land	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
--	----------	--	------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------------	-------

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

	Mortgage		Occupied Mortgage						
	(In thousands)								
Grade:									
Pass	\$118,671	\$33,016	\$7,352	\$16,167	\$33,913	\$3,060	\$1,867	\$7,442	\$221,488
Watch	4,371	1,219	5,479	2,405	5,931	1,018	77	1,757	22,257
Special mention	805	187	142	-	2,062	-	890	-	4,086
Substandard	3,237	458	995	724	6,023	-	151	-	11,588
Total	\$127,084	\$34,880	\$13,968	\$19,296	\$47,929	\$4,078	\$2,985	\$9,199	\$259,419

At June 30, 2014: **Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade**

	One- to Four- Family Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Non- Owner- Occupied Mortgage	Multi- Family	Nonresidential Real estate	Construction	Land	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
	(In thousands)								
Grade:									
Pass	\$104,266	\$32,898	\$9,210	\$16,573	\$29,539	\$2,880	\$1,591	\$5,951	\$202,908
Watch	6,067	913	4,531	3,867	9,001	-	723	2,019	27,121
Special mention	370	120	753	342	2,368	-	1,057	-	5,010
Substandard	3,783	738	504	2,863	7,861	-	20	-	15,769
Total	\$114,486	\$34,669	\$14,998	\$23,645	\$48,769	\$2,880	\$3,391	\$7,970	\$250,808

**Delinquencies.** The following table provides information about delinquencies in our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At June 30, 2015		2014		2013	
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due
	(In thousands)					
Residential real estate:						
One- to four-family	\$828	\$560	\$1,894	\$974	\$1,802	\$1,094
Multi-family	-	-	342		110	
Nonresidential real estate and land	-	-	161	243	286	18
Consumer and other loans	241	187	187	119	209	68
Total	\$1,069	\$747	\$2,584	\$1,336	\$2,407	\$1,180

**Analysis and Determination of the Allowance for Loan Losses.** The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable credit losses in the loan portfolio. We evaluate the need to establish allowances against losses on loans no less than quarterly. When additional allowances are necessary, a provision for loan losses is charged to earnings. The changes for increases or decreases to the allowance are presented by management to the Board of Directors. Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses consists of: (1) a specific allocation on identified impaired loans; and (2) a general valuation allowance on the remainder of the loan

portfolio.

***Allowance Required for Identified Impaired Loans.*** We establish a specific allocation of the general allowance or a charge off on certain identified impaired loans based on such factors as: (1) the strength of the property's or the business' cash flows; (2) the availability of other sources of repayment; (3) the amount due or past due; (4) the type and value of collateral; (5) the strength of our collateral position; (6) the estimated cost to sell the collateral; and (7) the borrower's effort to cure the delinquency.

***General Valuation Allowance on the Remainder of the Loan Portfolio.*** We establish a general allowance for homogenous loans and loans that are not 90 days delinquent to recognize the inherent losses associated with lending activities. This general valuation allowance is determined by segregating the loans by loan category and assigning historical loss percentages to each category. The percentages are adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. These significant factors may include changes in existing general economic and business conditions affecting our primary lending areas and the national economy, staff lending experience, recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio, specific reserve and classified asset trends, delinquency trends and risk rating trends. These loss factors are subject to ongoing evaluation to ensure their relevance in the current economic environment.

As a result of our systematic analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, the loss factors we presently use to determine the reserve level are based on various risk factors such as trends in underperforming loans, trends and concentrations in loans and loan volume, economic trends in our market area, particularly the impact of the gaming and tourism industry on the economy of our market area, the effect of which has become significant in recent periods.

We also identify loans that may need to be charged-off as a loss by reviewing all delinquent loans, classified loans and other loans that management may have concerns about collectability. On a quarterly basis, management reviews all substandard commercial loans and all loans that are more than 90 days delinquent. On a quarterly basis, management reviews all classified assets and on an annual basis, management reviews all major lending relationships (relationships greater than \$1.0 million). The review of major lending relationships includes completing an updated property inspection, and obtaining current tax returns and financial information about the borrower and the property. When collateral dependent impaired loans are identified, management will reduce the loan to fair value and charge-off the difference between the outstanding balance and fair value to the allowance for loan losses. Impaired loans measuring fair value under the present value of cash flows are allocated a portion of the general allowance using a charge off to reduce the loans' carrying value to the present value of estimated cash flows. No less than quarterly, management will review the allowance for loan losses based upon the criteria discussed above and make adjustments to the allowance accordingly.

Any commercial loan that is included in delinquent loans, classified loans, or other loans about which management may have concerns is individually reviewed for impairment on a quarterly basis. For individually reviewed loans, the borrowers' inability to make payments under the terms of these loans, or the existence of a shortfall in the collateral value relating to these loans, could result in our allocating a portion of the allowance to the loans that were impaired.

At June 30, 2015, our allowance for loan losses represented 2.0% of total loans and 79.0% of nonperforming loans and amounted to \$5.1 million. At June 30, 2014, our allowance for loan losses represented 2.18% of total loans and 54.88% of nonperforming loans and amounted to \$5.5 million. At June 30, 2013, our allowance for loan losses represented 2.09% of total loans and 42.83% of nonperforming loans and amounted to \$5.4 million.

From June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2015, the loan portfolio experienced decreases of \$3.5 million in nonperforming loans, \$3.8 million in nonperforming assets, and no new troubled debt restructurings. Classified assets decreased \$4.5 million. A review of these factors, combined with a recovery of two non-residential loans totaling \$423,000 in the current year, resulted in the net recovery of loan losses of \$348,000 for the year ended June 30, 2015. The fiscal 2015 decreases in nonperforming loans, nonperforming assets, and troubled debt restructurings were the result of troubled debt restructuring loans making payments in accordance with their restructured terms for sufficient periods of time to allow the loans to be placed on accruing status. For more information on the Note A/B split note strategy and the related charge-offs, see "*Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Operating Strategy – Improving our asset quality.*" When a loan first becomes a troubled debt restructuring, it is included in nonaccrual loans until a history of at least six consecutive monthly payments can be established. Troubled debt restructurings are also classified as substandard assets as long as the loan is considered a troubled debt

restructuring. Because nonaccrual loans are included in nonperforming loans and nonperforming assets and are also considered classified assets, the payments, payoffs, and eligibility for removal from troubled debt restructuring classification in accordance with restructured terms of the troubled debt restructurings by certain of the restructured loans permit them to be reported as a troubled debt restructure was the primary reason for the decrease in nonperforming loans and nonperforming assets.

The recovery of loan losses was \$348,000 for the year ended June 30, 2015, compared to a recovery of loan losses of \$132,000 for the prior year. The recovery of loan losses during the year ended June 30, 2015 was due to the recovery of two non-residential loans totaling \$423,000. The recovery of loan losses during the year ended June 30, 2014 was due to a \$379,000 multifamily loan recovery and a \$124,000 recovery from two one- to four-family loans.

The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans (coverage ratio) increased from 54.9% to 79.0% from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2015. This increase was a result of restructured loans performing in accordance with their restructured terms, payoffs and loans eligible to be removed from troubled debt restructure classification. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, troubled debt restructurings were 52.2% and 44.1% of nonperforming loans, respectively. Troubled debt restructurings have generally been charged off or written down to their fair value at the time of the restructuring. The fair value at the time of restructuring is determined by a recent independent appraisal or cash flow analysis of the underlying collateral. As a result of the recent fair value determinations of a majority of the loans that are included in nonperforming loans, the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans increased from June 30, 2014 to June 30, 2015.

The following table illustrates the changes to the allowance for loan losses for the year ended June 30, 2015:

	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Owner- Occupied (In thousands)	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Non-Owner- Occupied	Multi- Family	Non- Residential Real Estate	Construction	and	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses:									
Beginning Balance:	\$ 1,196	\$ 564	\$ 201	\$ 929	\$ 2,508	\$ 5	\$ 19	\$ 37	\$ 5,459
Charge offs	(47 )	(153 )	(3 )	-	(466 )	-	-	(9 )	(678 )
Recoveries	79	113	62	-	434	-	-	4	692
Other adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provision (credit)	120	(7 )	(130 )	(455 )	110	(1 )	(3 )	17	(349 )
Ending Balance:	\$ 1,348	\$ 517	\$ 130	\$ 474	\$ 2,586	\$ 4	\$ 16	\$ 49	\$ 5,124
Balance, Individually Evaluated	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 120	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 120
Balance, Collectively Evaluated	\$ 1,348	\$ 517	\$ 130	\$ 474	\$ 2,466	\$ 4	\$ 16	\$ 49	\$ 5,004
Financing receivables:									
Ending Balance	\$ 127,084	\$ 34,880	\$ 13,968	\$ 19,296	\$ 47,929	\$ 4,078	\$ 2,985	\$ 9,199	\$ 259,419
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,159	\$ 458	\$ 659	\$ 724	\$ 5,928	\$-	\$ 151	\$-	\$ 11,079
	\$ 117,736	\$ 31,511	\$ 12,995	\$ 18,572	\$ 41,851	\$ 4,078	\$ 2,810	\$ 8,863	\$ 238,416

Ending Balance:  
collectively  
evaluated for  
impairment

Ending Balance:

loans acquired at	\$6,189	\$2,911	\$ 314	\$-	\$ 150	\$ -	\$24	\$ 336	\$9,924
fair value									

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the breakdown of the allowance for loan losses by loan category at the dates indicated.

	At June 30, 2015			2014			2013		
	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category to Total Loans	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category to Total Loans	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category to Total Loans
(Dollars in thousands)									
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$1,478	28.8 %	54.3 %	\$1,397	25.6 %	51.6 %	\$1,157	21.3 %	49.1 %
Multi-family real estate	474	9.2	7.4	929	17.0	9.4	1,286	23.6	12.4
Nonresidential real estate	2,586	50.5	18.5	2,508	46.0	19.5	2,386	43.8	19.9
Land	16	0.3	1.2	19	0.3	1.4	17	0.3	1.3
Agricultural	-	-	2.0	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.4
Commercial	49	1.0	1.6	37	0.7	1.8	34	0.6	1.4
Consumer	517	10.1	13.4	564	10.3	13.8	553	10.2	13.7
Construction	4	0.1	1.6	5	0.1	1.1	10	0.2	0.8
Total allowance for loan losses	\$5,124	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$5,459	100.0 %	100.0 %	\$5,443	100.0 %	100.0 %
Total loans	\$259,419			\$250,808			\$260,716		

	At June 30, 2012			2011		
	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category to Total Loans	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category to Total Loans
(Dollars in Thousands)						
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$902	16.1 %	48.4 %	\$912	17.1 %	45.1 %
Multi-family real estate	1,915	34.1	14.7	2,610	48.9	15.9
Nonresidential real estate and land	2,282	40.6	20.5	1,462	27.4	22.4
Land	11	0.2	1.2	12	0.2	1.4

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Agricultural	-	-	1.1	6	0.1	0.5
Commercial	24	0.4	1.3	20	0.4	1.7
Consumer	477	8.5	12.4	310	5.8	12.6
Construction	3	0.1	0.4	3	0.1	0.4
Total allowance for loan losses	\$5,614	100.0	% 100.0	% \$5,335	100.0	% 100.0
Total loans	\$288,199			\$290,834		

Although we believe that we use the best information available to establish the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary and our results of operations could be adversely affected if circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the determinations. Furthermore, while we believe we have established our allowance for loan losses in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, there can be no assurance that the OCC, in reviewing our loan portfolio, will not request us to increase our allowance for loan losses. The OCC may require us to increase our allowance for loan losses based on judgments different from ours. In addition, because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that increases will not be necessary should the quality of any loans deteriorate as a result of the factors discussed above. Any material increase in the allowance for loan losses may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

**Analysis of Loan Loss Experience.** The following table sets forth an analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended June 30,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Allowance at beginning of period	\$5,459	\$5,443	\$5,614	\$5,335	\$8,019
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(349 )	(132 )	(66 )	3,662	4,140
Charge-offs:					
One- to four-family residential real estate	50	606	322	529	803
Land	-	15	-	8	-
Nonresidential real estate	466	30	457	1,804	3,065
Multi-family real estate	-	430	-	1,233	2,008
Consumer and other loans	162	163	165	325	997
Total charge-offs	678	1,244	944	3,899	6,873
Recoveries:					
One- to four-family residential real estate	141	439	97	135	26
Nonresidential real estate and land	434	53	4	4	7
Multi-family real estate	-	644	660	256	-
Consumer and other loans	117	136	78	121	16
Total recoveries	692	1,272	839	516	49
Net recoveries (charge-offs)	14	28	(105 )	(3,383)	(6,824)
Loss on restructuring of loans and other adjustments	-	120	-	-	-
Allowance at end of period	\$5,124	\$5,459	\$5,443	\$5,614	\$5,335
Allowance to nonperforming loans	78.96 %	54.88 %	42.83 %	34.79 %	25.90 %
Allowance to total loans outstanding at the end of the period	1.98 %	2.18 %	2.09 %	1.95 %	1.83 %
Net (recoveries) charge-offs to average loans outstanding during the period	(0.01 )%	(0.06 )%	0.04 %	1.19 %	2.30 %

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

The net recoveries in the year ended June 30, 2015 were primarily the result of the recovery of two non-residential loans totaling \$422,000.

The net recoveries in the year ended June 30, 2014 was due to a \$379,000 multifamily loan recovery and a \$124,000 recovery from two one- to four-family loans and is also reflective of overall improvement in asset quality.

The charge-offs in the year ended June 30, 2013 reflect overall improvement in asset quality and were also reduced as a result of a \$651,000 recovery of a multi-family loan which had previously been charged off and which was paid off during the year ended June 30, 2013.

The charge-offs in the year ended June 30, 2012 were primarily the result of reductions in the appraised values of collateral related to nonperforming loans.

The charge-offs in the year ended June 30, 2011 were primarily the result of the restructurings of 13 loans having an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$18.0 million.

**Interest Rate Risk Management.** We manage the interest rate sensitivity of our interest-bearing liabilities and interest-earning assets in an effort to minimize the adverse effects of changes in the interest rate environment. Deposit accounts typically react more quickly to changes in market interest rates than loans because of the shorter maturities of deposits. As a result, sharp increases in interest rates may adversely affect our earnings while decreases in interest rates may beneficially affect our earnings. To reduce the potential volatility of our earnings, we have sought to improve the match between asset and liability maturities and rates, while maintaining an acceptable interest rate spread. Our strategy for managing interest rate risk emphasizes: adjusting the maturities of borrowings; adjusting the investment portfolio mix and duration; and generally selling in the secondary market newly originated conforming fixed-rate 15-, 20- and 30-year one- to four-family residential real estate loans and available-for-sale securities. We currently do not participate in hedging programs, interest rate swaps or other activities involving the use of derivative financial instruments.

We have an Asset/Liability Committee, which includes members of management and Board members, to communicate, coordinate and control all aspects involving asset/liability management. The committee establishes and monitors the volume, maturities, pricing and mix of assets and funding sources with the objective of managing assets and funding sources to provide results that are consistent with liquidity, growth, risk limits and profitability goals.

**Economic Value of Equity Analysis.** We use an economic value of equity analysis prepared by a consulting firm to review our level of interest rate risk. This analysis measures interest rate risk by computing changes in net economic value of our cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. Economic value of equity represents the market value of portfolio equity and is equal to the market value of assets minus the market value of liabilities, with adjustments made for off-balance sheet items. These analyses assess the risk of loss in market risk-sensitive instruments in the event of a sudden and sustained 100 to 400 basis point increase or a 100 basis point decrease in market interest rates with no effect given to any steps that we might take to counter the effect of that interest rate movement. Because of the low level of market interest rates, these analyses are not performed for decreases of more than 100 basis points.

The following table presents the change in our net economic value of equity at June 30, 2015 that would occur in the event of an immediate change in interest rates, with no effect given to any steps that we might take to counteract that change.

Basis Point (“bp”)	Economic Value of Equity (Dollars in Thousands)				Economic Value of Total Assets	
	Amount	Change	% Change		Economic Value Ratio	
<b>Change in Rates</b>						
400	\$70,427	\$(10,325)	(12.79 )%		15.05	%
300	\$77,621	\$(3,131 )	(3.88 )%		16.11	%
200	80,029	(723 )	(0.90 )		16.15	
100	81,414	662	0.82		15.96	
0	80,752	-	-		-	
(100)	80,218	(534 )	(0.66 )		14.84	

The model uses various assumptions in assessing interest rate risk. These assumptions relate to interest rates, loan prepayment rates, deposit decay rates and the market values of certain assets under differing interest rate scenarios, among others. As with any method of measuring interest rate risk, certain shortcomings are inherent in the methods of analyses presented in the foregoing tables. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable-rate mortgage loans, have features that restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, expected rates of prepayments on loans and early withdrawals from certificates could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. Prepayment rates can have a significant impact on interest income. Because of the large percentage of loans and mortgage-backed securities we hold, rising or falling interest rates have a significant impact on the prepayment speeds of our earning assets that in turn affect the rate sensitivity position. When interest rates rise, prepayments tend to slow. When interest rates fall, prepayments tend to rise. Our asset sensitivity would be reduced if prepayments slow and vice versa. While we believe these assumptions to be reasonable, there can be no assurance that assumed prepayment rates will approximate actual future mortgage-backed security and loan repayment activity.

***Liquidity Management.*** Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations of a short-term nature. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, maturities and sales of securities and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of: (1) expected loan demands; (2) expected deposit flows, in particular municipal deposit flows; (3) yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities; and (4) the objectives of our asset/liability management policy.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents. The levels of these assets depend on our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$18.5 million at June 30, 2015. Securities classified as available-for-sale whose market value exceeds our cost, which provide additional sources of liquidity, totaled \$54.6 million at June 30, 2015. Total securities classified as available-for-sale were \$170.0 million at June 30, 2015.

In addition, we had the ability to borrow a total of approximately \$60.4 million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis at June 30, 2015.

At June 30, 2015, United Community Bank's total commitment to extend credit at variable rates was \$33.2 million. The amount of fixed-rate commitments was approximately \$2.64 million at June 30, 2015. The fixed-rate loan commitments at June 30, 2015 have interest rates ranging from 2.95% to 21.0%. The Bank had no letters of credit outstanding at June 30, 2015. Certificates of deposit due within one year of June 30, 2015 totaled \$88.8 million. This represented 57.7% of certificates of deposit at June 30, 2015. We believe the large percentage of certificates of deposit that mature within one year reflects customers' hesitancy to invest their funds for long periods in the current low interest rate environment. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funds, including other certificates of deposit and borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before June 30, 2016. We believe, however, based on past experience that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will remain with us. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

The following table presents certain of our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2015.

Contractual Obligations	Total	Payments Due By Period			
		Less than One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More Than Five Years
(Dollars in Thousands)					
Long-term debt obligations	\$13,000	\$1,000	\$ 5,167	\$ 1,666	\$ 5,167
Operating lease obligations	98	27	54	17	-
Total	\$13,098	\$1,027	\$ 5,221	\$ 1,683	\$ 5,167

Our primary investing activities are the origination and purchase of loans and the purchase of securities. Our primary financing activities consist of activity in deposit accounts and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors and other factors. We generally manage the pricing of our deposits to be competitive and to increase core deposit relationships. Occasionally, we offer promotional rates on certain deposit products to attract deposits.

The following table presents our primary investing and financing activities during the periods indicated.

	Year Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
(Dollars in thousands)		
Investing activities:		
Loans disbursed or closed	\$ (58,679 )	\$ (49,420 )
Loan principal repayments	43,763	48,812
Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments of securities	29,516	38,816
Proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale	49,872	90
Purchases of securities	(72,736 )	(57,702 )
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	-	425
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	702	338
Purchase of bank owned life insurance policies	-	(3,205 )
Capital expenditures	(326 )	(1,223 )
Financing activities:		
(Decrease) increase in deposits	(7,099 )	18,393
Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank	2,000	5,000
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(4,000 )	(5,000 )
Dividends paid to stockholders	(1,080 )	(1,114 )

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Repurchases of common stock	(4,186 )	(2,151 )
Purchase of common shares for stock plan	-	(1,128 )

**Capital Management.** United Community Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the OCC, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At June 30, 2015, we exceeded all of our regulatory capital requirements. We are considered “well capitalized” under regulatory guidelines. See “*Regulation and Supervision—Federal Institution Regulation—Capital Requirements*,” and Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.** In the normal course of operations, we engage in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments, letters of credit and lines of credit. For information about our loan commitments and unused lines of credit, see Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We currently have no plans to engage in hedging activities in the future.

For the year ended June 30, 2015, we engaged in no off-balance sheet transactions reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

### **Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices**

The financial statements and related financial data presented in this report have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the change in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The primary impact of inflation on our operations is reflected in increased operating costs. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than do general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the prices of goods and services.

### **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the section captioned "*Risk Management*" in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### **Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data**

### **Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The internal control process has been designed under our supervision to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015, utilizing the framework established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued in 2013 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). Based on this assessment, management determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2015 was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

September 28, 2015

**Report of Independent Registered**

**Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors of

United Community Bancorp:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of United Community Bancorp as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended June 30, 2015. United Community Bancorp's management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of United Community Bancorp as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended June 30, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.

Cincinnati, Ohio

September 28, 2015

75

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

(In thousands, except share amounts)	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2014
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$2,137	\$5,265
Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions	16,385	19,705
Cash and cash equivalents	18,522	24,970
Investment securities:		
Securities available for sale - at estimated market value	60,873	39,965
Securities held to maturity - at amortized cost	40,653	337
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale - at estimated market value	109,138	179,017
Investment securities	210,664	219,319
Loans receivable, net	253,828	244,384
Loans available for sale	160	138
Property and equipment, net	7,016	7,115
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	3,527	6,588
Accrued interest receivable:		
Loans	828	806
Investments and mortgage-backed securities	994	828
Other real estate owned, net	286	598
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	17,456	16,927
Deferred income taxes	3,268	3,510
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,685	2,213
Goodwill	2,522	2,522
Intangible asset	429	547
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>521,185</b>	<b>\$530,465</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Deposits	\$432,537	\$439,636
Advances from FHLB	13,000	15,000
Accrued interest on deposits	10	14
Accrued interest on FHLB advance	10	11
Advances from borrowers for payment of insurance and taxes	386	228
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,805	2,646
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>449,748</b>	<b>457,535</b>

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Commitments and contingencies	-	-
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized, 5,149,564 shares issued at June 30, 2015 and 2014; 4,610,839 and 4,959,842 shares outstanding at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively	51	51
Additional paid-in capital	51,145	51,044
Retained earnings	30,037	28,581
Less shares purchased for stock plans	(2,923 )	(3,504 )
Treasury Stock, at cost - 538,725 and 189,722 shares at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively	(6,337 )	(2,151 )
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale, net of income taxes	(536 )	(1,091 )
Total stockholders' equity	71,437	72,930
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$521,185	\$530,465

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

### Consolidated Statements of Income

(In thousands, except share amounts)

(In thousands, except per share data)	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Year Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Interest income:				
Loans	\$ 2,843	\$ 2,824	\$ 11,338	\$ 11,740
Investments and mortgage-backed securities	1,039	855	3,894	3,218
Total interest income	3,882	3,679	15,232	14,958
Interest expense:				
Deposits	499	586	2,130	2,432
Borrowed funds	59	62	245	224
Total interest expense	558	648	2,375	2,656
Net interest income	3,324	3,031	12,857	12,302
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(104 )	160	(348 )	(132 )
Net interest income after provision for (recovery of) loan losses	3,428	2,871	13,205	12,434
Other income:				
Service charges	723	667	2,747	2,556
Gain on sale of loans	75	25	176	166
Loss on sale of investments	(122 )	-	(432 )	-
Gain (loss) on sale of other real estate owned	22	(2 )	169	4
Gain (loss) on sale of fixed assets	(6 )	(80 )	(6 )	56
Income from bank owned life insurance	129	133	529	495
Other	35	4	213	420
Total other income	856	747	3,396	3,697
Other expense:				
Compensation and employee benefits	2,185	1,773	7,957	7,197
Premises and occupancy expense	265	339	1,187	1,258
Deposit insurance premium	87	100	364	369
Advertising expense	75	109	364	348
Data processing expense	310	375	1,359	1,444
Provision for loss on real estate owned	22	8	22	9
Intangible amortization	28	33	118	143

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Professional fees	165	167	774	834
Other operating expenses	330	340	1,495	1,590
Total other expense	3,467	3,244	13,640	13,192
Income before income taxes	817	374	2,961	2,939
Income tax provision	122	21	425	659
Net income	\$ 695	\$ 353	\$ 2,536	\$ 2,280
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.47

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

**UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

*(In thousands)*

	For the Year Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
Net income	\$ 2,536	\$ 2,280
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale	289	1,022
Reclassification adjustment for losses on securities available for sale included in income, net of tax	266	-
Comprehensive income	\$ 3,091	\$ 3,302
Accumulated comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (536 )	\$ (1,091 )

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY

## Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

(In thousands, except per share data)	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Shares Purchased for Stock plans	Treasury Stock	Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Securities Available for Sale	Total
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 51	\$ 51,882	\$ 27,371	\$ (3,648 )	\$ -	\$ (2,113 )	\$ 73,543
Net income	-	-	2,280	-	-	-	2,280
Cash dividends of \$0.24 per share	-	-	(1,114 )	-	-	-	(1,114 )
Adjustment from transfer of mortgage servicing rights from amortized to fair value method, net of tax of \$23	-	-	44	-	-	-	44
Stock-based compensation expense	-	44	-	-	-	-	44
Purchase of shares for stock plans	-	-	-	(1,128 )	-	-	(1,128 )
Reclassification of shares held for stock plans	-	(848 )	-	848	-	-	-
Amortization of ESOP shares	-	(34 )	-	424	-	-	390
Shares repurchased	-	-	-	-	(2,151 )	-	(2,151 )
Unrealized loss on investments: Net change during the period, net of deferred taxes of \$641	-	-	-	-	-	1,022	1,022
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 51	\$ 51,044	\$ 28,581	\$ (3,504 )	\$ (2,151 )	\$ (1,091 )	\$ 72,930
Net income	-	-	2,536	-	-	-	2,536
Cash dividends of \$0.06 per share	-	-	(1,080 )	-	-	-	(1,080 )

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Shares repurchased	-	-	-	-	(4,186)	-	(4,186)	
Amortization of ESOP shares	-	18	-	395	-	-	413	
Restricted stock award	-	(8	)	-	186	-	-	178
Stock option expense	-	84	-	-	-	-	84	
Restricted stock windfall APIC adjustment	-	7	-	-	-	-	7	
Unrealized loss on investments: Net change during the period, net of deferred taxes of \$355	-	-	-	-	-	555	555	
Balance at June 30, 2015	\$ 51	\$ 51,145	\$ 30,037	\$ (2,923	) \$ (6,337)	\$ (536	) \$ 71,437	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

### Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)	For the Year Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$2,536	\$2,280
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	419	413
Recovery of loan losses	(348 )	(132 )
Deferred loan origination costs	(68 )	(88 )
Amortization of premium on investments	2,573	3,684
Proceeds from sale of loans	6,458	10,961
Loans disbursed for sale in the secondary market	(6,304 )	(10,516)
Gain on sale of loans	(176 )	(166 )
Amortization of intangible asset	118	143
Amortization of acquisition-related loan yield adjustment	(215 )	(70 )
Amortization of acquisition-related credit risk adjustment	-	(257 )
Loss on sale of investment securities	432	-
Loss (gain) on sale of fixed assets	6	(56 )
Provision for loss on real estate owned	22	9
Gain on sale of other real estate owned	(169 )	(4 )
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(529 )	(495 )
Stock-based compensation	201	44
ESOP shares committed to be released	481	390
Deferred income taxes	(113 )	330
Effects of change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accrued interest receivable	(188 )	2
Prepaid expenses and other assets	528	(304 )
Accrued interest	(5 )	(4 )
Accrued expenses and other	1,157	57
Net cash provided by operating activities	6,816	6,221
Investing activities:		
Proceeds from maturity of available for sale investment securities	90	-
Proceeds from sale of available for sale investment securities	1,065	90
Proceeds from maturity of held to maturity securities	86	80
Proceeds from repayment of mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale	29,340	38,736

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Proceeds from sale of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	48,717	-
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	-	425
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	702	338
Purchases of available for sale investment securities	(9,155 )	(7,326 )
Purchases of held to maturity investment securities	(40,482)	-
Purchases of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	(23,099)	(50,376)
Proceeds from sale of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,061	-
Net (increase) decrease in loans	(9,056 )	10,418
Purchase of bank owned life insurance	-	(3,205 )
Capital expenditures	(326 )	(1,223 )
 Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	 943	 (12,043)
 Financing activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	(7,099 )	18,393
Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank	2,000	5,000
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(4,000 )	(5,000 )
Dividends paid to stockholders	(1,080 )	(1,114 )
Repurchases of common stock	(4,186 )	(2,151 )
Purchase of common shares for stock plans	-	(1,128 )
Net increase in advances from borrowers for payment of insurance and taxes	158	5
 Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	 (14,207)	 14,005
 Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	 (6,448 )	 8,183
 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	 24,970	 16,787
 Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	 \$18,522	 \$24,970

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

United Community Bancorp, a federal corporation (“old United Community Bancorp”) completed its conversion from the mutual holding company form of organization to the stock holding company form on January 9, 2013. As a result of the conversion, United Community Bancorp, an Indiana corporation (“United Community Bancorp” or “Company”), became the holding company for United Community Bank (“Bank”), and United Community MHC and old United Community Bancorp, ceased to exist. As part of the conversion, all outstanding shares of old United Community Bancorp common stock (other than those owned by United Community MHC) were converted into the right to receive 0.6573 of a share of United Community Bancorp common stock resulting in 2,089,939 shares issued in the exchange without giving effect to cash distributed for fractional shares. In addition, a total of 3,060,058 shares of common stock were sold in the subscription and community offerings at the price of \$8.00 per share, including 194,007 shares of common stock purchased by the ESOP. The completion of new United Community Bancorp’s public offering raised \$24.4 million in gross proceeds, which after payment of \$2.8 million in offering expenses, resulted in net proceeds of \$21.6 million.

The information in this report as of or for periods prior to the conversion date of January 9, 2013 refers to old United Community Bancorp, except share and per share information which have been restated to give retroactive recognition to the conversion ratio of 0.6573.

The Company, through the Bank, operates in a single business segment providing traditional banking services through its office and branches in Southeastern Indiana. UCB Real Estate Management Holdings, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, was formed for the purpose of holding and operating real estate assets that are acquired by the Bank through, or in lieu of, foreclosure. UCB Financial Services, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, was formed for the purpose of collecting commissions on investments referred to Lincoln Financial Group.

The Company evaluates events and transactions occurring subsequent to the date of the financial statements for matters requiring recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

**PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION** – The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the Bank. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

**USE OF ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** - The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). In preparing consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The most significant estimates and assumptions in the Company’s financial statements are recorded in the allowances for loan and other real estate losses and deferred income taxes. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

**CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS** – For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions with original maturities of less than ninety days.

**INVESTMENT SECURITIES** – Investment and mortgage-backed securities are classified upon acquisition into one of three categories: held to maturity, trading, and available for sale, in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 320, *Investments*. Debt securities that the Bank has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity securities and reported at amortized cost. Debt and equity securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling in the near-term are classified as trading securities and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. The Bank had no trading securities at June 30, 2015 or 2014. Debt and equity securities not classified as either held to maturity securities or trading securities are classified as available for sale securities and reported at fair value, with unrealized gains or losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of stockholders’ equity, net of deferred taxes.

Securities are recorded net of applicable premium or discount with the premium or discount being amortized on the interest method over the estimated average life of the investment.

The Bank designates its investment in the U. S. League Intermediate-Term Portfolio, certain municipal bonds, and mortgage-backed securities as available for sale.

Gains and losses realized on the sale of investment securities are accounted for on the trade date using the specific identification method.

**LOANS RECEIVABLE** - Loans receivable that management has the intent and ability to hold until maturity or payoff are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances reduced by any charge-offs or specific valuation allocations and net of any deferred fees or costs on originated loans, or unamortized premiums or discounts on purchased loans. Interest on loans is calculated by using the simple interest method on daily balances of the principal amount outstanding. Loans held for sale are recorded at lower of cost or market, determined in the aggregate. Loans are designated for sale as a part of the Bank's asset/liability management strategy. Market value is determined based on expected volatility in interest rates and the anticipated holding period before the loan is sold. Due to the holding period being short term, the market value and cost of the loan are approximately the same. The Bank had \$160,000 and \$138,000 in loans held for sale at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Bank defers all loan origination fees, net of certain direct loan origination costs, and amortizes them over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment of yield in accordance with ASC 310-20, *Receivables – Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs*.

The Bank retains the servicing on loans sold and agrees to remit to the investor loan principal and interest at agreed-upon rates. These rates can differ from the loan's contractual interest rate resulting in a "yield differential." In addition to previously deferred loan origination fees and cash gains, gains on the sale of loans can represent the present value of the future yield differential less normal servicing fees, capitalized over the estimated life of the loans sold. Normal servicing fees are determined by reference to the stipulated minimum servicing fee set forth by the government agencies to which the loans are sold. Such servicing fees are amortized to operations over the life of the loans using the interest method. If prepayments are higher than expected, an immediate charge to operations is made. If prepayments are lower than original estimates, then the related adjustments are made prospectively.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Company changed its accounting method for mortgage servicing rights from the amortization method to the fair value method, as permitted in accordance with FASB ASC 860-50, "Servicing Assets and Liabilities." The mortgage servicing right asset is measured at fair value at each reporting date

with changes in the fair value of the servicing asset recorded in earnings in the period in which the changes occur. For purposes of measuring fair value, loans with similar characteristics are pooled together and evaluated on a discounted earnings basis to determine the present value of future earnings that a purchaser could expect to realize. Earnings are projected from a variety of sources including loan servicing fees, interest earned on float, net interest earned on escrows and costs to service the loans. The present value of future earnings is the estimated market value for the pool based upon assumptions that a third party purchaser would utilize in evaluating the potential acquisition of the servicing rights.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is increased by charges to income and decreased by charge-offs (net of recoveries). Management's evaluation, which occurs no less than quarterly, of the adequacy of the allowance is based on the Bank's past loan loss experience, known and inherent losses such as amount of loan, type of loan, concentrations, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, present value of expected future cash flows to support the loan, and current economic conditions. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Bank to recognize additions to the allowance based on judgments different from those of management.

Although Management uses the best information available to make these estimates, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary due to economic, operating, regulatory and other conditions that may be beyond the Bank's control.

The Bank's internal asset review committee reviews each loan with three or more delinquent payments, and each loan ninety days or more past due as to principal or interest, and decides whether the circumstances involved give reason to place the loan on nonaccrual status. The Board of Directors reviews this information as determined by the internal asset review committee each month. While a loan is classified as nonaccrual, cash receipts are applied in accordance with its contractual terms unless full payment of principal is not expected, in which case cash receipts, whether designated as principal or interest, are applied as a reduction in the carrying value of the loan. A nonaccrual loan is generally returned to accrual status when payments are current, full collectability of principal and interest is reasonably assured and a consistent record of performance has been demonstrated. Interest income is generally recognized on a cash basis.

A loan is defined as impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Bank considers its investment in one- to four-family residential loans and consumer installment loans to be homogeneous and therefore excluded from separate identification for evaluation of impairment. With respect to the Bank's investment in multi-family and nonresidential loans, such loans are determined to be cash flow dependent or collateral dependent. Collateral dependent loans, as a practical expedient, are carried at the lower of cost or fair value based upon the most recent real estate appraisals. Cash flow dependent loans are carried at lower of cost or fair value based on the present value of expected future cash flows. Loans which are more than ninety days delinquent and are considered to constitute more than a minimum delay in repayment are evaluated for impairment at that time. It is the Bank's policy to charge off unsecured credits that are one hundred and twenty days or more delinquent.

From time to time, as part of our loss mitigation strategy, loans may be renegotiated in a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") when we determine that greater economic value will ultimately be recovered under the new terms than through foreclosure, liquidation, or bankruptcy. We may consider the borrower's payment status and history, the borrower's ability to pay upon a rate reset on an adjustable rate mortgage, size of the payment increase upon a rate reset, period of time remaining prior to the rate reset, and other relevant factors in determining whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. TDRs are accounted for as set forth in ASC 310, *Receivables*. A TDR may be on nonaccrual or it may accrue interest. A TDR is typically on nonaccrual until the borrower successfully performs under the new terms for six consecutive months. However, a TDR may be placed on accrual immediately following the restructuring in those instances where a borrower's payments are current prior to the modification and management determines that principal under the new terms are fully collectible.

Existing performing loan customers who request a loan modification ("non-TDR") and who meet the Bank's underwriting standards may, usually for a fee, modify their original loan terms to terms currently offered. The modified terms of these loans are similar to the terms offered to new customers. The fee assessed for modifying the loan is deferred and amortized over the life of the modified loan using the level-yield method and is reflected as an adjustment to interest income. Each modification is examined on a loan-by-loan basis and if the modification of terms represents more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs associated with the mortgage loan are recognized in interest income at the time of the modification. If the modification of terms does not represent more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs continue to be deferred.

**CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK** - The Bank has residential and commercial loans to customers in local counties in Southeastern Indiana, Northern Kentucky, and Southwestern Ohio. Although the Bank has a diversified loan portfolio, the ability of a substantial portion of its debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the local economy. Management maintains deposit accounts with financial institutions in excess of federal deposit insurance limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED - Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are initially recorded at lower of cost or market, with fair value based on the value of the underlying collateral at the date of foreclosure, and are transferred to the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiary, UCB Real Estate Management Holdings, LLC. Holding costs, including losses from operations, are expensed when incurred. Valuations are periodically performed, and an allowance for losses is established by a charge to operations if the carrying value of a property exceeds its estimated net realizable value.

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT - Property and equipment is carried at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Land improvements	7 - 15 years
Buildings	15 - 39 years
Furniture and equipment	3 - 10 years

Significant renewals and betterments are charged to the property and equipment account. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations in the period incurred.

INCOME TAXES – The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740-10-50. Pursuant to the provisions of ASC 740-10-50, a deferred tax liability or deferred tax asset is computed by applying the current statutory tax rates to net taxable or deductible differences between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its reported amount in the consolidated financial statements that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future periods. Deferred tax assets are recorded only to the extent that the amount of net deductible or taxable temporary differences or carry forward attributes may be utilized against current period earnings, carried back against prior years' earnings, offset against taxable temporary differences reversing in future periods, or utilized to the extent of management's estimate of future taxable income. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets to the extent that the value of net deductible temporary differences and carry forward attributes exceeds management's estimates of taxes payable on future taxable income. Deferred tax liabilities are provided on the total amount of net temporary differences taxable in the future. The Company applies a more likely than not recognition threshold for all tax uncertainties.

The Company's principal temporary differences between pretax financial income and taxable income result primarily from timing differences for certain components of compensation and post-retirement expense, book and tax bad debt deductions, depreciation and amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets.

The determination of current and deferred income taxes is an accounting estimate which is based on the analyses of many factors including interpretation of federal and state income tax laws, the evaluation of uncertain tax positions, differences between the tax and financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities (temporary differences), estimates of amounts due or owed such as the timing of reversal of temporary differences and current financial accounting standards. Actual results could differ from the estimates and tax law interpretations used in determining the current and deferred income tax liabilities.

EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN - The Company accounts for the United Community Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") in accordance with ASC 718-40, *Compensation – Stock Compensation – Employee Stock Ownership Plans*. ESOP shares pledged as collateral are reported as unearned ESOP shares in stockholders' equity. As

shares are committed to be released from collateral, the Bank will record compensation expense equal to the current market price of the shares. To the extent that the fair value of the ESOP shares differs from the cost of such shares, the difference is recorded to stockholders' equity as an adjustment to capital. Additionally, the shares become outstanding for basic net income per share computations.

**STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION** - The Company applies the provisions of ASC 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation*, which requires the Company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments and to recognize this cost in the financial statements over the period during which the employee is required to provide such services. The Company has elected to recognize compensation cost associated with its outstanding stock-based compensation awards with graded vesting on a straight-line basis pursuant to ASC 718. The expense is calculated for stock options at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The expense associated with restricted stock awards is calculated based upon the value of the common stock on the date of grant.

**EARNINGS PER SHARE** – Non-vested shares with non-forfeitable dividend rights are considered participating securities and, thus, subject to the two-class method pursuant to ASC 260, *Earnings per Share*, when computing basic and diluted earnings per share. The Company's restricted share awards contain non-forfeitable dividend rights but do not contractually obligate the holders to share in the losses of the Company. Accordingly, during periods of net income, unvested restricted shares are included in the determination of both basic and diluted EPS. During periods of net loss, these shares are excluded from both basic and diluted EPS.

Basic earnings per share (“EPS”) is based on the weighted average number of common shares and unvested restricted shares outstanding, adjusted for ESOP shares not yet committed to be released. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock, such as outstanding stock options, were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock. Diluted EPS is calculated by adjusting the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding to include the effects of contracts or securities exercisable or which could be converted into common stock, if dilutive, using the treasury stock method.

For each of the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, outstanding options to purchase 569,135 were excluded from the computations of diluted earnings per share as their effect would have been anti-dilutive. The following is a reconciliation of the basic and diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding:

	June 30,	
	2015	2014
Basic weighted average outstanding shares	4,463,912	4,844,537
Effect of dilutive stock options	12,272	—
Diluted weighted average outstanding shares	4,476,184	4,844,537

**COMPREHENSIVE INCOME** – The Company presents in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss) those amounts from transactions and other events which currently are excluded from the consolidated statement of income and are recorded directly to stockholders’ equity.

**GOODWILL** – In June 2010, the Company acquired three branches from Integra Bank National Association (“Integra”), which was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Under the purchase method, the Company is required to allocate the cost of an acquired company to the assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The excess cost over the value of net assets acquired represents goodwill, which is not subject to amortization.

Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements in the business acquired. Goodwill recorded by the Company in connection with its acquisition relates to the inherent value in the business acquired and this value is dependent upon the Company’s ability to provide quality, cost-effective services in a competitive market place. As such, goodwill value is supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or the inability to deliver cost-effective services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill that could adversely impact earnings in future periods.

Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment when indicators of impairment exist, or at least annually, to determine the reasonableness of the recorded amount. During the year ended June 30, 2013, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 2011-08, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*, which provides the option to first qualitatively assess whether current events or changes in circumstances lead to a determination that it is more likely than not (defined as a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Absent such determination, the Company does not need to apply the traditional two-step goodwill impairment test. If the Company does need to proceed to the two-step goodwill impairment test, an impairment loss is recognized in earnings only when the carrying amount of goodwill is less than its implied fair value.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS – ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, whether or not recognized in the consolidated balance sheet, for which it is practicable to estimate the value. For financial instruments where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using present value or other valuation methods.

The following methods and assumptions are used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying values presented in the consolidated statements of position approximate fair value.

Investments and mortgage-backed securities

For investment securities (debt instruments) and mortgage-backed securities, fair values are based on quoted market prices, where available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices of comparable instruments.

Loans receivable

The fair value of the loan portfolio is estimated by evaluating homogeneous categories of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by types, such as residential mortgage, commercial real estate, and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable-rate interest, terms, and by performing and non-performing categories. The fair value of performing loans, except residential mortgage loans, is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows using estimated market discount rates which reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. For performing residential mortgage loans, fair value is estimated by discounting contractual cash flows adjusted for prepayment estimates using discount rates based on secondary market sources. The fair value for significant non-performing loans is based on recent internal or external appraisals. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flow, and discount rates are judgmentally determined by using available market information.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system and is required to maintain an investment based upon a pre-determined formula. The carrying values presented in the consolidated statements of position approximate fair value.

Deposits

The fair values of passbook accounts, interest-bearing checking accounts, noninterest-bearing accounts, and money market savings and demand deposits approximate their carrying values. The fair values of fixed maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently offered for deposits of similar maturities.

Advance from Federal Home Loan Bank

The fair value is calculated using rates available to the Company on advances with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Off-balance sheet items

Carrying value is a reasonable estimate of fair value. These instruments are generally variable rate or short-term in nature, with minimal fees charged.

ADVERTISING - The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising costs consist primarily of television, radio, newspaper and billboard advertising.

#### EFFECT OF RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In August 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date. The amendments in this update defer the effective date of Update 2014-09 for all entities by one year. Public companies should apply the guidance in Update 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period.

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. The amendments in this update affect reporting entities that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. All legal entities are subject to reevaluation under the revised consolidation model. For public companies, this ASU is effective for fiscal years, interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-01, *Income Statement – Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20): Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items*. This ASU simplifies the income statement presentation requirements by eliminating the concept of extraordinary items. This ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-14, *Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans Upon Foreclosure – a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. This ASU reduces diversity in practice with regards to the classification of foreclosed mortgage loans that are fully or partially guaranteed under government programs. For public companies, this ASU is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014 with earlier adoption permitted for companies which have already adopted ASU 2014-04. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, *Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide that a Performance Target Could be Achieved After the Requisite Service Period – a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force*. This ASU requires that a performance target that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. This ASU is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 with earlier adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-11, *Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures*, which modifies the accounting for and disclosures related to such transactions. For public companies, the accounting changes in the ASU are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. Early application is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Topic 606*. This ASU affects companies that enter into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enter into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets, unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. For public companies, this ASU is effective for annual reporting periods, including interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04, *Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40)*, which clarifies when an in substance repossession or foreclosure has occurred and the creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. A creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan either when legal title to the residential real estate property is obtained upon completion of a foreclosure or when the borrower has conveyed all interest in the residential real property to the creditor to satisfy the loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or similar arrangement. The ASU also require disclosure of both the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure. The guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. We do not expect the adoption of these provisions to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## NOTE 2 – INVESTMENT AND MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

Investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2015 consist of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
(In thousands)				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 109,793	\$ 170	\$ 825	\$ 109,138
Municipal Bonds	37,631	438	450	37,619
U.S. Government Agency Bonds	2,000	15	—	2,015
Small Business Admin	8,224	18	29	8,213
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	13,032	9	199	12,842
Other equity securities	210	—	26	184
Total	\$ 170,890	\$ 650	\$ 1,529	\$ 170,011

Investment securities held to maturity at June 30, 2015 consist of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
(In thousands)				
Municipal bonds	\$ 40,653	\$ 52	\$ 660	\$ 40,045

Investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2014 consist of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
(In thousands)				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 180,563	\$ 501	\$ 2,047	\$ 179,017
Municipal bonds	38,000	479	664	37,815
U.S. Government Agency Bonds	2,000	—	8	1,992
Other equity securities	210	—	52	158
Total	\$ 220,773	\$ 980	\$ 2,771	\$ 218,982

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Investment securities held to maturity at June 30, 2014 consist of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
	(In thousands)			
Municipal bonds	\$ 337	\$ 14	\$	— \$ 351

Gross proceeds on the sale of investment and mortgage-backed securities were \$49,872,000 and \$90,000 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Gross realized gains for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$396,000 and \$-0-, respectively. Gross realized losses for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 were \$828,000 and \$-0-, respectively.

The amount of investment securities pledged as security for advances from the FHLB totaled \$97.3 million and \$138.3 million as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. There were no pledged securities for municipal deposits as of June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014. The Bank was notified on June 20, 2015 that it would not be required to pledge securities for municipal deposits during the September 2015 quarter.

The mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds and U.S. government agency bonds available for sale have the following maturities at June 30, 2015:

	Amortized cost	Estimated market value
	(In thousands)	
Due or callable in one year or less	\$ 175	\$ 175
Due or callable in 1 - 5 years	112,980	112,272
Due or callable in 5 - 10 years	47,194	47,109
Due or callable in greater than 10 years	10,331	10,271
Total debt securities	\$170,680	\$ 169,827

All other securities available for sale at June 30, 2015 are saleable within one year. The Company held \$40,653,000 and \$337,000 in investment securities that are being held to maturity at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The investment securities held to maturity have annual returns of principal and will be fully matured between 2016 and 2036.

The expected returns of principal of investments held to maturity are as follows as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

2016	56
2017	60
2018	64
2019	69
2020	—
Thereafter	40,403
	\$40,653

The table below indicates the length of time individual investment securities and mortgage-backed securities have been in a continuous loss position at June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
(Dollars in thousands)						
June 30, 2015						
Municipal Bonds	\$44,626	\$ 1,000	\$ 2,910	\$ 110	\$47,536	\$ 1,110
Mortgage-backed securities	38,317	194	40,120	631	78,437	825
U.S. Government Agency Bonds	—	—	—	—	—	—
Small Business Admin	4,959	29			4,959	29
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	11,658	199			11,658	199
Other equity securities	—	—	184	26	184	26
	\$99,560	\$ 1,422	\$ 43,214	\$ 767	\$ 142,774	\$ 2,189
Number of investments	121		21		142	
June 30, 2014						
Municipal bonds	\$4,844	\$ 58	\$ 17,065	\$ 606	\$21,909	\$ 664
Mortgage-backed securities	22,709	98	98,364	1,949	121,073	2,047
U.S. Government agency bonds	1,992	8	—	—	1,992	8
Other equity securities	—	—	158	52	158	52
	\$29,545	\$ 164	\$ 115,587	\$ 2,607	\$ 145,132	\$ 2,771
Number of investments	18		70		88	

Securities available for sale are reviewed for possible other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. During this review, management considers the severity and duration of the unrealized losses as well as its intent and ability to hold the securities until recovery, taking into account balance sheet management strategies and its market view and outlook. Management also assesses the nature of the unrealized losses, taking into consideration factors such as changes in risk-free interest rates, general credit spread widening, market supply and demand, creditworthiness of the issuer or any credit enhancement providers, and the quality of the underlying collateral. Management does not intend to sell these securities in the foreseeable future, and does not believe that it is more likely than not that the Bank will be required to sell a security in an unrealized loss position prior to a recovery in its value. The decline in market value is due to changes in market interest rates. The fair values are expected to recover as the securities approach maturity dates.

The detail of interest and dividends on investment securities is as follows:

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

For the year ended  
June 30,  
2015      2014  
(In thousands)

Taxable interest income	\$ 2,226	\$ 2,130
Nontaxable interest income	1,414	808
Dividends	254	280
Total	\$ 3,894	\$ 3,218

Mortgage-backed securities available for sale at June 30, 2015 consist of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
(In thousands)				
FNMA	\$ 87,934	\$ 125	\$ 473	\$ 87,586
FHLMC	11,855	45	76	11,824
GNMA	10,004	—	276	9,728
	\$ 109,793	\$ 170	\$ 825	\$ 109,138

Mortgage-backed securities available for sale at June 30, 2014 consist of the following:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Market Value
(In thousands)				
FNMA	\$ 102,026	\$ 256	\$ 551	\$ 101,731
FHLMC	22,176	200	159	22,217
GNMA	56,361	45	1,337	55,069
	\$ 180,563	\$ 501	\$ 2,047	\$ 179,017

**NOTE 3 – FINANCING RECEIVABLES**

Financing receivables consist of the following:

	At June 30,	
	2015	2014
(In thousands)		
Residential real estate		
One- to four-family	\$ 141,052	\$ 129,484
Multi-family	19,296	23,645
Construction	4,078	2,880
Nonresidential real estate – commercial and office buildings	47,929	48,769
Agricultural	5,161	3,456
Land	2,985	3,391
Commercial	4,038	4,514
Consumer	34,880	34,669

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

259,419 250,808

Less:

Allowance for losses	5,124	5,459
Undisbursed portion of loans in process	1,653	2,083
Deferred loan costs, net	(1,186 )	(1,118 )
	\$253,828	\$244,384

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company was servicing loans for the benefit of others in the amount of \$64,851,000 and \$68,030,000, respectively. The Company recognized \$176,000 and \$166,000 of pre-tax gains on sale of loans during the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The carrying value of mortgage servicing rights approximated \$517,000 and \$722,000 as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. No impairment has been identified on the mortgage servicing assets and correspondingly, no valuation allowance has been recognized as of June 30, 2015 and 2014. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Company changed its accounting method for mortgage servicing rights from the amortization method to the fair value measurement method, as permitted in accordance with FASB ASC 860-50, "Servicing Assets and Liabilities." In accordance with ASC 860-50, the Company recorded an adjustment at the beginning of the year to retained earnings to adjust to the value of such servicing rights at that date. The total reduction in fair value recognized in the consolidated statements of income was approximately \$253,000 and \$6,000 for the years ending June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Company sells loans in the secondary market. Proceeds from the sales of mortgage loans totaled \$6,458,000 and \$10,961,000 during the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company had \$160,000 and \$138,000 in one- to four-family fixed rate loans designated as held for sale at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. It is generally management's intention to hold all other loans originated to maturity or earlier repayment. The following table provides information with respect to nonaccrual loans.

	At June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Nonaccrual loans:		
One- to four-family – owner occupied	\$1,238	\$1,672
One- to four-family – non-owner occupied	483	116
Multi-family residential real estate	—	—
Nonresidential real estate – commercial and office buildings		
Land	775	3,116
Consumer	151	20
Commercial	458	633
Restructured nonaccrual loans:	—	—
One- to four-family – owner occupied	\$948	\$1,364
One- to four-family – non-owner occupied	—	188
Multi-family residential real estate	—	1,200
Nonresidential real estate – commercial and office buildings	2,437	1,639
Total nonperforming loans	\$6,490	\$9,948
Number of nonaccrual loans	56	76

From time to time, as part of the loss mitigation process, loans may be renegotiated in a TDR when we determine that greater economic value will ultimately be recovered under the new terms than through foreclosure, liquidation, or bankruptcy. Management may consider the borrower's payment status and history, the borrower's ability to pay upon a rate reset on an adjustable-rate mortgage, size of the payment increase upon a rate reset, period of time remaining prior to the rate reset, and other relevant factors in determining whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. TDRs are considered to be nonperforming until they have been performing under the new terms for at least six consecutive months. TDRs are accounted for as set forth in ASC 310 Receivables ("ASC 310"). A TDR may be on nonaccrual or it may accrue interest. A TDR is typically on nonaccrual until the borrower successfully performs under the new terms for six consecutive months.

Existing performing loan customers who request a loan modification (non-TDR) and who meet the Bank's underwriting standards may, usually for a fee, modify their original loan terms to terms currently offered. The modified terms of these loans are similar to the terms offered to new customers. The fee assessed for modifying the loan is deferred and amortized over the life of the modified loan using the level-yield method and is reflected as an adjustment to interest income. Each modification is examined on a loan-by-loan basis and if the modification of terms

represents more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs associated with the mortgage loan are recognized in interest income at the time of the modification. If the modification of terms does not represent more than a minor change to the loan, then the unamortized balance of the pre-modification deferred fees or costs continue to be deferred.

During the third quarter of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, Management began restructuring loans into a Note A/Note B format. Upon performing a global analysis of the relationship with the borrower, the terms of Note A were calculated using current financial information to determine the amount of payment at which the borrower would have had a debt service coverage ratio of 1.5x or better. That payment was calculated based upon a 20 to 30 year amortization period, and then was fixed for two years, with the loan maturing at the end of the two years. The amount for Note B is the difference of Note A and the original amount to be refinanced, plus reasonable closing costs. It was given the same interest rate and balloon term as Note A, but no principal or interest payments are due until maturity. Beginning in fiscal year ending June 30, 2013, management began structuring the A/B loans as 3-year balloon notes with amortization periods up to 30 years. The A not typically carries a market interest rate while the B not carries a 0% interest rate. While no amount of the original indebtedness of the borrower is forgiven through this process, the full amount of Note B is charged-off. Note A is treated as any other TDR and, generally, may return to accrual status after a history of performance in accordance with the restructured terms of at least six consecutive months is established. The following tables summarize TDRs by loan type and accrual status.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

At June 30, 2015

(In thousands)	Loan Status		Total unpaid principal	Related	Recorded	Number	Average
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	balance	allowance	investment	of loans	Recorded investment
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$1,148	\$ 948	\$ 2,096	\$ —	\$ 2,096	20	\$ 2,160
Multi-family residential real estate	724	—	724	—	724	4	1,188
Nonresidential real estate	2,717	2,437	5,154	120	5,034	8	4,329
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,589</b>	<b>\$ 3,385</b>	<b>\$ 7,974</b>	<b>\$ 120</b>	<b>\$ 7,854</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>\$ 7,677</b>

At June 30, 2014

(In thousands)	Loan Status		Total unpaid principal	Related	Recorded	Number	Average
	Accrual	Nonaccrual	balance	allowance	investment	of loans	Recorded investment
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$947	\$ 1,552	\$ 2,499	\$ -	\$ 2,499	21	\$ 3,382
Multi-family residential real estate	1,663	1,200	2,863	-	2,863	7	5,607
Nonresidential real estate	3,008	1,639	4,647	120	4,527	11	5,404
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,618</b>	<b>\$ 4,391</b>	<b>\$ 10,009</b>	<b>\$ 120</b>	<b>\$ 9,889</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>\$ 14,393</b>

Interest income recognized on TDRs is as follows:

	For the year ended June 30, 2015	For the year ended June 30, 2014
One- to four-family residential real estate	\$ 27	\$ 55
Multi-family residential real estate	72	257
Nonresidential real estate	122	132
Construction	—	—
Commercial	—	—
Consumer	—	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 221</b>	<b>\$ 444</b>

At June 30, 2015, the Bank had 32 loans totaling \$8.0 million that qualified as TDRs, and has established an allowance for losses on these loans of \$120,000. With respect to the \$8.0 million in TDRs, the Bank charged off \$4.1

million with respect to these loans at the time of the restructuring into the Note A/B format. At June 30, 2014, the Bank had 39 loans totaling \$10.0 million that qualified as TDRs, and has established an allowance for losses on these loans of \$120,000. With respect to the \$10.0 million in TDRs, the Bank charged off \$4.9 million with respect to these loans at the time of the restructuring into the Note A/B format. At June 30, 2015, the Bank had no other commitments to lend on its TDRs. Management continues to monitor the performance of loans classified as TDRs on a monthly basis.

The following table is a rollforward of activity in our TDRs for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	2015		2014	
	Recorded Investment	Number of Loans	Recorded Investment	Number of Loans
Beginning balance	\$9,889	30	\$18,915	42
Additions to TDRs	1,690	3	20	—
Removals	(3,031)	(8)	(7,434)	(12)
Charge offs	(8)	—	(438)	—
Payments	(686)	—	(1,174)	—
	\$7,854	25	\$9,889	30

The Company considers TDRs that become 90 days or more past due under the modified terms as subsequently defaulted. During the year ended June 30, 2015 there were no TDR loans that subsequently defaulted after modification. During the year ended June 30, 2014 the Company had one multi-family loan for \$1.6 million and three residential real estate loans for an aggregate \$312,000 subsequently default after modification. The recorded investment in the loans at the time of default was approximately \$1.2 million for the multi-family loan and \$187,000 for the three residential real estate loans. Two of these loans became current by June 30, 2014. The Company does not anticipate any further loss on these loans and the default of these loans had no material impact on the allowance for loan losses for the year.

Loans that were included in TDRs at June 30, 2015 and 2014 were generally given concessions of interest rate reductions of between 25 and 300 basis points, and/or structured as interest only payment loans for periods of one to three years. Many of these loans also have balloon payments due at the end of their lowered rate period, requiring the borrower to refinance at market rates at that time. At June 30, 2015, there were 23 loans with required principal and interest payments and 2 loans with required interest only payments. At June 30, 2014, there were 27 loans with required principal and interest payments and 3 loans with required interest only payments.

No loans over ninety days past due accrued interest for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. Interest income that would have been recorded for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 had nonaccruing loans been current according to their original terms was \$479,000 and \$474,000, respectively. Interest related to nonaccrual loans included in interest income totaled \$24,000 and \$261,000 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-11B(c), (g) and (h).

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans Receivable  
For the year ended June 30,  
2015

	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Owner Occupied (In thousands)	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Nonowner- Occupied	Multi- Family Mortgage	Non- Residential Real Estate	Construction	and	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses: Beginning Balance:	\$ 1,196	\$ 564	\$ 201	\$ 929	\$ 2,508	\$ 5	\$ 19	\$ 37	\$ 5,459
Charge offs	(47 )	(153 )	(3 )	—	(466 )	—	—	(9 )	\$(678 )
Recoveries	79	113	62	—	434	—	—	4	\$692
Provision (credit)	120	(7 )	(130 )	(455 )	110	(1 )	(3 )	17	\$(349 )
Ending Balance:	\$ 1,348	\$ 517	\$ 130	\$ 474	\$ 2,586	\$ 4	\$ 16	\$ 49	\$ 5,124
Balance, Individually Evaluated	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ 120	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ 120
Balance, Collectively Evaluated	\$ 1,348	\$ 517	\$ 130	\$ 474	\$ 2,466	\$ 4	\$ 16	\$ 49	\$ 5,004
Financing receivables: Ending Balance	\$ 127,084	\$ 34,880	\$ 13,968	\$ 19,296	\$ 47,929	\$ 4,078	\$ 2,985	\$ 9,199	\$ 259,419
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,159	\$ 458	\$ 659	\$ 724	\$ 5,928	\$ -	\$ 151	\$ -	\$ 11,079
Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 117,736	\$ 31,511	\$ 12,995	\$ 18,572	\$ 41,851	\$ 4,078	\$ 2,810	\$ 8,863	\$ 238,416
	\$ 6,189	\$ 2,911	\$ 314	\$—	\$ 150	\$—	\$ 24	\$ 336	\$ 9,924

Ending Balance:  
loans acquired at  
fair value

95

Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Loans Receivable  
For the year ended June 30,  
2014

	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Owner Occupied (In thousands)	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Nonowner- Occupied	Multi- Family Mortgage	Non- Residential Real Estate	Construction	and	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses:									
Beginning Balance:	\$942	\$553	\$215	\$1,286	\$2,386	\$10	\$17	\$34	\$5,443
Charge offs	(554 )	(159 )	(52 )	(430 )	(30 )	—	(15 )	(4 )	\$(1,244 )
Recoveries	436	133	3	644	29	—	24	3	\$1,272
Other adjustment	8	4	—	—	108	—	—	—	\$120
Provision (credit)	364	33	35	(571 )	15	(5 )	(7 )	4	\$(132 )
Ending Balance:	\$1,196	\$564	\$201	\$929	\$2,508	\$5	\$19	\$37	\$5,459
Balance, Individually Evaluated	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$120	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$120
Balance, Collectively Evaluated	\$1,196	\$564	\$201	\$929	\$2,388	\$5	\$19	\$37	\$5,339
Financing receivables:									
Ending Balance	\$114,486	\$34,669	\$14,998	\$23,645	\$48,769	\$2,880	\$3,391	\$7,970	\$250,808
Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$3,425	\$544	\$503	\$2,863	\$7,763	\$—	\$20	\$—	\$15,118
Ending Balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$103,417	\$30,358	\$13,932	\$20,782	\$40,747	\$2,880	\$3,346	\$7,453	\$222,915
Ending Balance: loans acquired at fair value	\$7,644	\$3,767	\$563	\$—	\$259	\$—	\$25	\$517	\$12,775

Federal regulations require us to review and classify our assets on a regular basis. In addition, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”) has the authority to identify problem assets and, if appropriate, require them to be classified. There are three classifications for problem assets: substandard, doubtful and loss. “Substandard assets” must have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. “Doubtful assets” have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values questionable, and there is a high possibility of loss. An asset classified “loss” is considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuance as an asset of the institution is not warranted. The regulations also provide for a “special mention” category, described as assets which do not currently expose us to a sufficient degree of risk to warrant classification but do possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving our close attention. When we classify an asset as special mention, we account for those classifications when establishing a general allowance for loan losses. If we classify an asset as substandard, doubtful or loss, we evaluate the need to establish a specific allocation for the asset at that time or charge off a portion of the loan if there is a known loss.

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-29(b) at June 30, 2015 and 2014.

At June 30, 2015:

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

Grade:	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Owner Occupied (In thousands)	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Nonowner- Occupied	Multi- Family Mortgage	Non- Residential Real Estate	Construction	Land	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
Pass	\$118,671	\$33,016	\$7,352	\$16,167	\$33,913	\$3,060	\$1,867	\$7,442	\$221,488
Watch	4,371	1,219	5,479	2,405	5,931	1,018	77	1,757	22,257
Special mention	805	187	142	—	2,062	—	890	—	4,086
Substandard	3,237	458	995	724	6,023	—	151	—	11,588
Total:	\$127,084	\$34,880	\$13,968	\$19,296	\$47,929	\$4,078	\$2,985	\$9,199	\$259,419

At June 30, 2014:

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

Grade:	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Owner Occupied (In thousands)	Consumer	One- to Four- Family Mortgage Nonowner- Occupied	Multi- Family Mortgage	Non- Residential Real Estate	Construction	Land	Commercial and Agricultural	Total
Pass	\$104,266	\$32,898	\$9,210	\$16,573	\$29,539	\$2,880	\$1,591	\$5,951	\$202,908
Watch	6,067	913	4,531	3,867	9,001	—	723	2,019	27,121

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Special mention	370	120	753	342	2,368	—	1,057	—	5,010
Substandard	3,783	738	504	2,863	7,861	—	20	—	15,769
Total:	\$114,486	\$34,669	\$14,998	\$23,645	\$48,769	\$2,880	\$3,391	\$7,970	\$250,808

97

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-7A for gross loans.

At June 30, 2015:

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans Receivable

	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	Greater than 90 days	Total past due	Total current	Total loans receivable
	(In thousands)					
One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$ 640	\$ 523	\$ 230	\$ 1,393	\$ 125,691	\$ 127,084
Consumer	238	187	72	497	34,383	34,880
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner- occupied	188	37	483	708	13,260	13,968
Multi-family mortgage	—	—	—	—	19,296	19,296
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	—	—	—	—	47,929	47,929
Construction	—	—	—	—	4,078	4,078
Land	—	—	135	135	2,850	2,985
Commercial and agricultural	3	—	—	3	9,196	9,199
Total	\$ 1,069	\$ 747	\$ 920	\$ 2,736	\$ 256,683	\$ 259,419

At June 30, 2014:

Age Analysis of Past Due Loans Receivable

	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	Greater than 90 days	Total past due	Total current	Total loans receivable
	(In thousands)					
One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$ 1,590	\$ 165	\$ 440	\$ 2,195	\$ 112,291	\$ 114,486
Consumer	175	119	7	301	34,368	34,669
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner- occupied	304	809	60	1,173	13,825	14,998
Multi-family mortgage	342	—	1,200	1,542	22,103	23,645
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	161	75	829	1,065	47,704	48,769
Construction	—	—	—	—	2,880	2,880
Land	—	168	—	168	3,223	3,391
Commercial and agricultural	12	—	—	12	7,958	7,970
Total	\$ 2,584	\$ 1,336	\$ 2,536	\$ 6,456	\$ 244,352	\$ 250,808

The following table illustrates certain disclosures required by ASC 310-10-50-15.

	Impaired Loans For the year ended June 30, 2015				
	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
	(In thousands)				
With an allowance recorded:					
One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner-occupied	—	—	—	—	—
Multi-family mortgage	—	—	—	—	—
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	1,863	1,983	(120 )	69	1,864
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Land	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial and agricultural	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$1,863	\$1,983	\$ (120 )	\$ 69	\$ 1,864

	Impaired Loans For the year ended June 30, 2015				
	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
	(In thousands)				
Without an allowance recorded:					
One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$3,158	\$3,640	\$—	\$ 43	\$ 3,489
Consumer	458	982	—	7	506
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner-occupied	659	659	—	—	546
Multi-family mortgage	724	2,059	—	72	1,188
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	3,946	7,351	—	53	4,684
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Land	151	159	—	—	135
Commercial and agricultural	—	11	—	—	—
Total	\$9,096	\$14,861	\$—	\$ 175	\$ 10,548



Impaired Loans  
For the year ended June 30, 2015

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
--	---------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------

(In thousands)

Total:					
One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$3,158	\$3,640	\$ —	\$ 43	\$ 3,489
Consumer	458	982	—	7	506
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner-occupied	659	659	—	—	546
Multi-family mortgage	724	2,059	—	72	1,188
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	5,809	9,334	(120 )	122	6,548
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Land	151	159	—	—	135
Commercial and agricultural	—	11	—	—	—
Total	\$10,959	\$16,844	\$ (120 )	\$ 244	\$ 12,412

Impaired Loans  
For the year ended June 30, 2014

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
--	---------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------

(In thousands)

With an allowance recorded:					
One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner-occupied	—	—	—	5	164
Multi-family mortgage	—	—	—	56	2,535
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	1,867	1,987	(120 )	52	2,115
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Land	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial and agricultural	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$1,867	\$1,987	\$ (120 )	\$ 113	\$ 4,814

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Impaired Loans

For the year ended June 30, 2014

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
--	---------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------

(In thousands)

Without an allowance recorded:

One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$3,783	\$4,380	\$ —	\$ 65	\$ 4,244
Consumer	634	1,163	—	25	591
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner-occupied	504	617	—	25	874
Multi-family mortgage	2,863	4,602	—	202	4,365
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	5,775	9,566	—	81	5,084
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Land	19	28	—	—	24
Commercial and agricultural	—	8	—	—	1
Total	\$13,578	\$20,364	\$ —	\$ 398	\$ 15,183

Impaired Loans

For the year ended June 30, 2014

	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Specific allowance	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment
--	---------------------	--------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------

(In thousands)

Total:

One- to four-family mortgage – owner occupied	\$3,783	\$4,380	\$ —	\$ 65	\$ 4,244
Consumer	634	1,163	—	25	591
One- to four-family mortgage - nonowner-occupied	504	617	—	30	1,038
Multi-family mortgage	2,863	4,602	—	258	6,900
Nonresidential real estate mortgage – commercial and office buildings	7,642	11,553	(120 )	133	7,199
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Land	19	28	—	—	24
Commercial and agricultural	—	8	—	—	1
Total	\$15,445	\$22,351	\$ (120 )	\$ 511	\$ 19,997

Impaired loans at June 30, 2015 include TDRs with a principal balance of \$8.0 million and a recorded investment of \$7.9 million. Impaired loans at June 30, 2014 include TDRs with a principal balance of \$10.0 million and a recorded investment of \$9.9 million. The Bank did not have any investments in subprime loans at June 30, 2015 or 2014.

ASC 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*, requires acquired loans to be recorded at fair value and prohibits carrying over valuation allowances when initially accounting for acquired impaired loans. Loans carried at fair value, mortgage loans held for sale, and loans to borrowers in good standing under revolving credit agreements are excluded from the scope of this pronouncement. It limits the yield that may be accreted to the excess of the undiscounted expected cash flows over the investor's initial investment in the loan. The excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows may not be recognized as an adjustment of yield. Subsequent increases in cash flows expected to be collected are recognized prospectively through an adjustment of the loan's yield over its remaining life. Decreases in expected cash flows are recognized as impairments.

The Company acquired loans pursuant to the acquisition of the Integra branches in June 2010. The Company reviewed the loan portfolio at acquisition to determine whether there was evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and if it was probable that it will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the loan's contractual terms. When both conditions existed, the Company accounted for each loan individually, considered expected prepayments, and estimated the amount and timing of discounted expected principal, interest, and other cash flows (expected at acquisition) for each loan. The Company determined the excess of the loan's scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition as an amount that should not be accreted into interest income (nonaccretable difference). The remaining amount, representing the excess of the loan's cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid, is accreted into interest income over the remaining life of the loan (accretable yield).

Over the life of the loan, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected. The Company evaluates at the balance sheet date whether the present value of its loans determined using the effective interest rates has decreased, and if so, the Company establishes a valuation allowance for the loan. Valuation allowances for acquired loans reflect only those losses incurred after acquisition; that is, the present value of cash flows expected at acquisition that are not expected to be collected. Valuation allowances are established only subsequent to our acquisition of the loans. For loans that are not accounted for as debt securities, the present value of any subsequent increase in the loan's or pool's actual cash flows or cash flows expected to be collected is used first to reverse any existing valuation allowance for that loan. For any remaining increases in cash flows expected to be collected, the Company adjusts the amount of accretable yield recognized on a prospective basis over the loan's remaining life.

The following table depicts the accretable yield (in thousands) at the beginning and end of the period.

Balance, June 30, 2013	\$869
Accretion	70
Other adjustment	(8 )
Balance, June 30, 2014	\$807
Accretion	216
Balance, June 30, 2015	\$591

#### NOTE 4 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Other real estate owned consists of the following at:

June 30,  
2015 2014  
(In  
thousands)

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

One- to four-family residential	\$ 147	\$ 47
Multi-family	-	400
Land	139	151
	\$ 286	\$ 598

NOTE 5 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment is summarized as follows:

	June 30,	
	(In thousands)	
	2015	2014
Land and land improvements	\$ 2,520	\$ 2,392
Building and building improvements	6,089	5,978
Furniture and equipment	3,703	3,759
	12,312	12,129
Less: accumulated depreciation	5,296	5,014
	\$ 7,016	\$ 7,115

## NOTE 6 – DEPOSITS

Deposits at June 30, 2015 and 2014 consist of the following:

	June 30, 2015		June 30, 2014	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
	Weighted		Weighted	
	Average Balance		Average Balance	
	Rate		Rate	
Demand deposit accounts	0.07%	\$ 144,752	0.08%	\$ 135,344
Savings	0.27%	113,368	0.23%	98,927
Money market deposit accounts	0.14%	20,648	0.12%	31,102
Total demand and passbook deposits		278,768		265,373
Certificates of deposit:				
Less than 12 months	0.61%	72,640	0.81%	90,082
12 months to 24 months	1.18%	26,175	0.81%	26,807
24 months to 36 months	1.42%	6,851	2.07%	9,644
More than 36 months	1.63%	10,495	1.57%	7,640
Individual retirement accounts	1.23%	37,608	1.40%	40,090
Total certificates of deposit		153,769		174,263
Total deposit accounts		\$432,537		\$439,636

Interest expense on deposits is as follows:

	For the years ended June 30	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
NOW and money market accounts	\$ 260	\$ 308
Savings	236	208
Certificates of deposit	1,634	1,916
	\$ 2,130	\$ 2,432

The aggregate amount of time deposits with a minimum denomination of \$250,000 was approximately \$35,080,000 and \$41,479,000 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Individual deposits with denominations of more than \$250,000 are not federally insured.

Total non-interest bearing deposits were \$91,243,000 and \$86,126,000 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Municipal deposits totaled \$103,222,000 and \$114,270,000 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Maturities of certificate accounts are as follows:

	June 30,	June 30,
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
One year or less	\$88,753	\$106,584
1 – 2 years	35,151	36,365
2 – 3 years	14,554	19,675
3 – 4 years	7,396	8,119
4 – 5 years	7,718	3,226
Over 5 years	197	294
Totals	\$153,769	\$174,263

NOTE 7 – GOODWILL AND ACQUISITION INTANGIBLES

On June 4, 2010 the Company completed the purchase of three banking offices of Integra Bank Corporation's wholly-owned bank subsidiary, Integra Bank N.A., located in Milan, Versailles, and Osgood, Indiana and a portfolio of selected loans originated by other offices of Integra Bank. This acquisition was consistent with the Bank's strategy to strengthen and expand its Southeast Indiana market share. This transaction added \$53.0 million in deposits and \$45.9 million in loans. The deposits were purchased at a premium of 4.50%. As a result of the acquisition, the Company recorded a core deposit intangible asset of \$1,400,000 and goodwill of \$2,522,000.

#### Goodwill

As permitted by current accounting rules, the Company completed its qualitative assessment to determine whether current events or changes in circumstances lead to a determination that it is more likely than not, as defined, that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Based upon the Company's assessment, there was no such determination that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Accordingly, the Company did not apply the traditional two-step goodwill impairment test.

Intangible Assets

The Integra acquisition included a core deposit intangible asset of \$1,400,000. Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$118,000 and \$143,000, respectively. Amortization of the core deposit intangible for future years is as follows (in thousands):

2016	117
2017	117
2018	117
2019	78
	\$429

## NOTE 8 – FAIR VALUES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

	June 30, 2015		2014	
	Carrying Amounts	Fair Value	Carrying Amounts	Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
Financial assets:				
Cash and interest-bearing deposits	\$18,522	\$18,522	\$24,970	\$24,970
Investment securities available for sale	60,873	60,873	39,965	39,965
Investment securities held to maturity	40,653	40,045	337	351
Mortgage-backed securities	109,138	109,138	179,017	179,017
Loans receivable and loans held for sale	253,988	254,944	244,522	245,150
Accrued interest receivable	1,822	1,822	1,634	1,634
Investment in FHLB stock	3,527	3,527	6,588	6,588
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	\$432,537	\$433,479	\$439,636	\$440,849
Accrued interest payable	20	20	25	25
FHLB advances	13,000	13,114	15,000	15,041
Off-balance sheet items	—	—	—	—

As discussed in Note 1, *Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Pronouncements*, ASC 820-10-50-2 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted Level 2 prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Fair value methods and assumptions are set forth below for each type of financial instrument. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 2 securities include U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Government agency bonds, municipal

securities, and other real estate owned. If quoted market prices are not available, the Bank utilizes a third party vendor to calculate the fair value of its available for sale securities. The third party vendor uses quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics when available. If such quotes are not available, the third party vendor uses pricing models or discounted cash flow models with observable inputs to determine the fair value of these securities. For other real estate owned, the Bank utilizes appraisals obtained from independent third parties to determine fair value.

Fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities recognized in the accompanying statements of financial condition and measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Total	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3)
June 30, 2015:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 109,138	\$ —	\$ 109,138	\$ —
Municipal bonds	37,619	—	37,619	—
U.S. Government agency bonds	2,015	—	2,015	—
Small Business Admin	8,213	—	8,213	—
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	12,842	—	12,842	—
Other equity securities	184	184	—	—
Mortgage servicing rights <sup>(1)</sup>	517	—	517	—
June 30, 2014:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 179,017	\$ —	\$ 179,017	\$ —
Municipal bonds	37,815	—	37,815	—
U.S. Government agency bonds	1,992	—	1,992	—
Other equity securities	158	158	—	—
Mortgage servicing rights <sup>(1)</sup>	722	—	722	—

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the Company changed its accounting method for mortgage servicing rights from the amortization method to the fair value measurement method, as permitted in accordance with FASB<sup>(1)</sup> ASC 860-50, "Servicing Assets and Liabilities". In accordance with ASC 860-50, the Company recorded an adjustment to retained earnings for the value of such servicing rights.

Fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities recognized in the accompanying statements of financial condition and measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

	Total	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3)
(In thousands)				
June 30, 2015:				
Other real estate owned	\$ 286	\$ —	\$ 286	\$ —
Loans available for sale	160	—	160	—
Impaired loans	10,959	—	10,959	—

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

June 30, 2014:

Other real estate owned	\$ 598	\$	—	\$ 598	\$	—
Loans available for sale	138		—	138		—
Impaired loans	15,446		—	15,446		—

The adjustments to other real estate owned and impaired loans are based primarily on current appraisals of the real estate cash flow analysis or other observable market prices.

The following table presents fair value measurements for the Company's financial instruments which are not recognized at fair value in the accompanying statements of financial position on a recurring or nonrecurring basis.

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

	Total	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3)
June 30, 2015:				
Financial assets:				
Cash and interest bearing deposits	\$18,522	\$ 18,522	\$ —	\$ —
Investment securities held to maturity	40,045	—	40,045	—
Loans receivable and loans held for sale	254,944	—	254,944	—
Accrued interest receivable	1,822	—	1,822	—
Investment in FHLB stock	3,527	—	3,527	—
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	433,479	—	433,479	—
Accrued interest payable	20	—	20	—
FHLB advances	13,114	—	13,114	—
June 30, 2014:				
Financial assets:				
Cash and interest bearing deposits	\$24,970	\$ 24,970	\$ —	\$ —
Investment securities held to maturity	351	—	351	—
Loans receivable and loans held for sale	245,150	—	245,150	—
Accrued interest receivable	1,634	—	1,634	—
Investment in FHLB stock	6,588	—	6,588	—
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	440,849	—	440,849	—
Accrued interest payable	25	—	25	—
FHLB advances	15,041	—	15,041	—

NOTE 9 – BORROWED FUNDS

Pursuant to collateral agreements with the FHLB, advances are secured by all stock in the FHLB held by the Bank and a blanket pledge agreement for qualifying first mortgage loans. The Bank had \$13,000,000 in outstanding FHLB advances at June 30, 2015 and \$15,000,000 in outstanding FHLB advances at June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2015, the Bank had eight outstanding advances from the FHLB totaling \$13,000,000 at interest rates ranging from 0.74% to 2.63%. At June 30, 2015 there were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit agreement with FHLB. At June 30, 2014, the Bank had nine outstanding advances from the FHLB totaling \$15,000,000 at interest rates ranging from 0.63% to 2.63%. The outstanding FHLB advances require monthly interest payments and mature at dates ranging from January 2016 through January 2022. The weighted average interest rate on outstanding FHLB advances as of June 30, 2015 is 1.80%. Maturities of FHLB advances and outstanding borrowings under the line of credit agreement are as follows (in thousands) for the year ended June 30:

2016	\$1,000
2017	3,167
2018	2,000
2019	1,667
Thereafter	5,166
Total	\$13,000

NOTE 10 – EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

401(k) Profit Sharing Plan

The Bank has a standard 401(k) profit sharing plan. Eligible participants must be at least 18 years of age and have one year of service. The Bank makes matching contributions based on each employee's deferral contribution. Total expense under the plan for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$154,000 and \$138,000, respectively.

ESOP

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the ESOP owned 205,748 and 237,893 shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock, which were held in a suspense account until released for allocation to the participants. Additionally, as of June 30, 2015, the Company had committed to release from suspense 15,804 shares. The Company recognized compensation expense of \$413,000 and \$390,000 during the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which equals the fair value of the ESOP shares during the periods in which they became committed to be released. The fair value of the unearned ESOP shares approximated \$2,841,000 at June 30, 2015.

Contributions to the ESOP and shares released from the suspense account will be allocated to each eligible participant based on the ratio of each such participant's compensation, as defined in the ESOP, to the total compensation of all eligible plan participants. Participants become 100% vested in their accounts upon three years of service. Participants with less than three years of service are 0% vested in their accounts.

The original term loan, which bears interest at 7.75%, is payable in fifteen annual installments of \$370,000 through December 31, 2020. An additional term loan resulting from the second step conversion bears interest at 3.25% and is payable in twenty annual installments of \$107,000 through December 31, 2032. Shares purchased with the loan proceeds are initially pledged as collateral for the term loan and are held in a suspense account for future allocation to the ESOP participants. Each plan year, in addition to any discretionary contributions, the Company shall contribute cash to the ESOP to enable the ESOP to make its principal and interest payments under the term loan. Company contributions may be increased by any investment earnings attributable to such contributions and any cash dividends paid with respect to Company stock held by the ESOP.

Deferred Compensation

In March 2002, the Bank adopted a supplemental retirement income program with selected officers and board members. To fund this plan, the Bank purchased single-premium life insurance policies on each officer and director, at a cumulative total cost of \$5,100,000. During the year ended June 30, 2011, an additional insurance policy on a new director was purchased at a cost of \$500,000. During the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, policies were increased to offset and recover existing benefit expenses. The cash surrender value of these policies was \$17,456,000 and \$16,927,000 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The directors' liability is accrued based on life expectancies, return on investment and a discount rate. For the officers, an annual contribution based on actuarial assumptions is made to a secular trust with the employee as the beneficiary. Deferred compensation payments are funded by available assets in the secular trust. No further funding is required by the Bank, with the exception that upon a change in control of the Bank, the plan provides for full supplemental benefits which would have occurred at age 65.

Future expected contributions for the funding of officers' deferred compensation are as follows:

2016	\$ 196,000
------	------------

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

2017	120,000
2018	120,000
2019	120,000
2020 and thereafter	278,000
	\$834,000

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Bank had accrued directors' supplemental retirement expense of \$1,123,000 and \$1,174,000, respectively. Officers and directors supplemental retirement expense totaled \$299,000 and \$303,000 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

A Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) was established to provide participating executives (as determined by the Company's Board of Directors) with benefits that cannot be provided under the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan or ESOP as a result of limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code. The SERP will also provide benefits to eligible employees if they retire or are terminated following a change in control before the complete allocation of shares under the ESOP. Effect on income for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was minimal.

## NOTE 11 – STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In November 2006, the Company adopted the United Community Bancorp 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (2006 Equity Incentive Plan) for the issuance of restricted stock, incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options to employees, officers and directors of the Company. The aggregate number of shares of common stock reserved and available for issuance pursuant to awards granted under the Equity Incentive Plan was 381,648, of which 272,606 were available to be issued in connection with the exercise of stock options and 109,042 were available to be issued as restricted stock. In December 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized the funding of a trust that purchased 109,042 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock to be used to fund restricted stock awards granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan.

In February 2014, the Company adopted the United Community Bancorp 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (2014 Equity Incentive Plan) for the issuance of restricted stock, incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options to employees, officers and directors of the Company. The aggregate number of shares of common stock reserved and available for issuance pursuant to awards granted under the Equity Incentive Plan is 372,102, of which 275,099 are available to be issued in connection with the exercise of stock options and 97,003 are available to be issued in the form of restricted stock and performance shares. In 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized the funding of a trust that purchased 97,003 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock to be used to fund restricted stock awards granted under the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.

In April 2014, the Company granted awards as follows:

Award Type	Number issued under the		Total Awarded
	2006 Incentive Stock Plan	2014 Incentive Stock Plan	
Restricted share awards	13,310	65,260	78,570
Incentive stock options	36,802	128,258	165,060
Non-statutory stock options	-	57,771	57,771
	50,112	251,289	301,401

These awards vest at 20% annually from April 2015 through April 2019. As of June 30, 2015, 80% of all awards granted during the year ended June 30, 2015 remained outstanding and unvested. Total recognized compensation expense was \$262,000 and \$44,000 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The unvested expense as of June 30, 2015 that will be recorded as expense in future periods is \$1,003,000. The time over which this expense will be recorded will be recorded is 46 months. This expense has been calculated for stock options using the following assumptions: expected volatility of 14.22%, risk-free interest rate of 2.70%, expected term of ten years and expected

dividend yield of 2.12%.

Of awards granted in December 2006, 126,523 incentive stock options and 101,101 non-statutory stock options are fully vested and remain outstanding as of June 30, 2015. There was no compensation expense recognized for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, nor is there any remaining unvested expense as of June 30, 2015 that will be recorded as expense in future periods.

Information related to stock options for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term
Outstanding at June 30, 2013	227,626	17.54	
Granted	222,831	11.33	
Forfeited	—	—	
Exercised	—	—	
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	450,457	14.47	
Granted	—	—	
Forfeited	—	—	
Exercised	—	—	
Outstanding at June 30, 2015	450,457	14.47	5.1 years
Exercisable at June 30, 2015	272,192	16.52	2.7 years
Fair value of options		\$ 2.75	

A summary of the status of unvested stock options for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at June 30, 2013	—	—
Granted	222,831	1.88
Vested	—	—
Forfeited	—	—
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	222,831	1.88
Granted	—	—
Vested	(44,566 )	1.88
Forfeited	—	—
Outstanding at June 30, 2015	178,265	1.88

Information related to restricted stock grants for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

Shares	Weighted Average
--------	---------------------

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

		Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at June 30, 2013	—	—
Granted	78,570	11.33
Vested	—	—
Forfeited	—	—
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	78,570	11.33
Granted	—	—
Vested	(15,714)	11.33
Forfeited	—	—
Outstanding at June 30, 2015	62,856	11.33

## NOTE 12 – SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

	Years Ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information is as follows:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Income taxes, net	\$ 320	\$ 670
Interest	\$2,380	\$2,660
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities is as follows:		
Unrealized gains on securities designated as available for sale, net of tax	\$557	\$1,022
Transfers of loans to other real estate owned	\$243	\$323
Beginning of period adjustment from transfer of mortgage servicing rights from amortized cost method to fair value method, net of tax	\$—	\$44

## NOTE 13 – COMMITMENTS

Leases

The Bank is party to various operating leases for property and equipment. Lease expense for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$27,000 and \$17,000, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments under these lease agreements are as follows for the fiscal years ended:

2016	\$27,000
2017	27,000
2018	27,000
2019	17,000
	\$98,000

The Bank entered into lease agreements with various tenants who lease space from the Bank in certain locations where the Bank has a branch office. Revenue from these leases for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$23,000 and \$33,000, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments under these lease agreements are as follows for the fiscal years ended:

2015	\$10,000
2016	10,000
2017	1,000
	\$21,000

Loans

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank has various outstanding commitments to extend credit that are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. These commitments involve elements of credit risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the balance sheet.

The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments for loans as it does for loans that have been disbursed and recorded in the consolidated balance sheet. The Bank generally requires collateral when it makes loan commitments, which generally consists of the right to receive first mortgages on improved or unimproved real estate when performance under the contract occurs.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since some portions of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Certain of these commitments are for fixed-rate loans, and, therefore, their values are subject to market risk as well as credit risk. Generally, these commitments do not extend beyond 90 days.

At June 30, 2015 the Bank's total commitment to extend credit at variable rates was \$33,247,000. The amount of fixed-rate commitments was approximately \$2,636,000 at June 30, 2015. The fixed-rate loan commitments at June 30, 2015 have interest rates ranging from 2.95% to 21.0%. The Bank had no letters of credit outstanding at June 30, 2015.

At June 30, 2014 the Bank's total commitment to extend credit at variable rates was \$30,700,000. The amount of fixed-rate commitments was approximately \$711,000 at June 30, 2014. The fixed-rate loan commitments at June 30, 2014 have interest rates ranging from 3.25% to 21.0%. The Bank had no letters of credit outstanding at June 30, 2014

#### NOTE 14 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Loans to executive officers, directors and their affiliated companies, totaled \$1,789,000 and \$3,104,000 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. All loans were current at June 30, 2015 and 2014.

The activity in loans to executive officers, directors and their affiliated companies are as follows:

	For the year ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 3,104	\$ 3,397
New loans	309	160
Owner Status Change	(137 )	—
Payments on loans	(1,487 )	(453 )
Ending balance	\$ 1,789	\$ 3,104

Deposits from officers and directors and affiliates totaled \$1,529,000 and \$1,472,000 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

NOTE 15 – REGULATORY CAPITAL

The Bank is subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulation involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators. Failure to meet capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to accept broker deposits. If undercapitalized, capital distributions are limited, as is asset growth and expansion, and capital restoration plans are required. In March 2015, the most recent regulatory notifications categorized the Bank as well capitalized. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the institution's category. Management believes that, under current regulatory capital regulations, the Bank will continue to meet its minimum capital requirements in the foreseeable future.

On June 7, 2012, the Federal Reserve Board issued a final rule substantially amending the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to the Company and the Bank. The FDIC and the OCC subsequently approved a similar final rule on June 13, 2012. The final rules set forth certain changes for the calculation of risk-weighted assets, which we would be required to utilize beginning January 1, 2013. The standardized approach proposed rule utilizes an increased number of credit risk exposure categories and risk weights, and also addresses: (i) a proposed alternative standard of creditworthiness consistent with Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act; (ii) revisions to recognition of credit risk mitigation; (iii) rules for risk weighting of equity exposures and past due loans; (iv) revised capital treatment for derivatives and repo-style transactions; and (v) disclosure requirements for top-tier banking organizations with \$50 billion or more in total assets that are not subject to the “advance approach rules” that apply to banks with greater than \$250 billion in consolidated assets.

On August 30, 2012, the federal banking agencies issued proposed rules that would implement the “Basel III” regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act. “Basel III” refers to two consultative documents released by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in December 2009, the rules text released in December 2010, and loss absorbency rules issued in January 2011, which include significant changes to bank capital, leverage and liquidity requirements. The proposed rules are subject to a comment period running through October 22, 2012.

In early July 2013, the Federal Reserve Board approved revisions to their capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action rules that implement the revised standards of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly called Basel III, and address relevant provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act.

The rules include new risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which are effective January 1, 2015, and revise the definition of what constitutes “capital” for purposes of calculating those ratios. The new minimum capital level requirements applicable to the Company and the Bank will be: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (iii) a total capital ratio of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%. The rules also establish a “capital conservation buffer” of 2.5% above the new regulatory minimum capital requirements, which must consist entirely of common equity Tier 1 capital and resulting in the following minimum ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (ii) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5%, and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and will increase by that amount each year until fully implemented in January 2019. An institution would be subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below the buffer amount. These limitations establish a maximum percentage of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions.

The following tables summarize the Bank’s capital amounts and the ratios required:

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

	Actual		For capital adequacy purposes		To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(Dollars in thousands)						
June 30, 2015						
Common equity tier 1 risk-based capital	\$60,088	22.53%	\$ 11,999	4.50 %	\$17,332	6.50 %
Tier 1 risk based capital	60,088	22.53%	15,999	6.00 %	21,331	8.00 %
Total risk-based capital	63,448	23.80%	21,331	8.00 %	26,664	10.00 %
Tier 1 leverage	60,088	11.47%	20,963	4.00 %	26,204	5.00 %
June 30, 2014						
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	\$62,516	25.63%	\$ 9,757	4.00 %	\$14,636	6.00 %
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	65,595	26.89%	19,515	8.00 %	24,394	10.00 %
Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets	62,516	11.88%	21,049	4.00 %	26,311	5.00 %

Dividends from the Bank are one of the major sources of funds for the Company. These funds aid the parent company in payment of dividends to shareholders, expenses, and other obligations. Payment of dividends to the parent company is subject to various legal and regulatory limitations. Regulatory approval is required prior to the declaration of any dividends in excess of available retained earnings. The amount of dividends that may be declared without regulatory approval is further limited to the sum of net income for the current year and retained net income for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus or common stock. As of June 30, 2015, the Bank has received proper regulatory approval for any dividends paid to the Company in excess of regulatory limits..

Reconciliation of GAAP equity to regulatory capital is as follows for the Bank:

	June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
GAAP equity	\$62,526	\$65,368
Intangible assets, net	(2,366 )	(3,069 )
Unrealized (gain) loss on securities available for sale	520	1,060
Disallowed servicing rights (10%)	-	(72 )
Disallowed deferred tax assets	(592 )	(771 )
Tier 1 capital	60,088	62,516
General allowance for loan losses	3,360	3,079
Risk-based capital	\$63,448	\$65,595

## NOTE 16 – INCOME TAXES

The components of the provision for income taxes are summarized as follows:

	For the year ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Current tax expense:		
Federal	\$ 397	\$ 256
State	142	74
	539	330
Deferred tax expense (benefit):		
Federal	(129 )	295
State	15	34
	(114 )	329
	\$ 425	\$ 659

The tax effect of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Deferred tax assets arising from:		
Loan loss allowance	\$1,969	\$2,098
Reserve for loss on real estate owned	77	77
Vacation and bonus accrual	226	181
Supplemental retirement	415	379
Stock-based compensation	161	153
Acquisition-related expenses	122	135
State depreciation differences	53	59
Yield adjustment for purchased loans	227	310
Nonaccrual interest	94	72
AMT credit carryforward	592	391
Unrealized loss in market value of investments	343	698

Edgar Filing: United Community Bancorp - Form 10-K

Post-retirement health care benefits	50	49
Total deferred tax assets	4,329	4,602
Deferred tax liabilities arising from:		
Mortgage servicing rights	(199 )	(277 )
Depreciation	(277 )	(311 )
Deferred loan fees	(456 )	(430 )
Amortization of intangible assets	(129 )	(74 )
Total deferred tax liabilities	(1,061)	(1,092)
Net deferred tax asset	\$3,268	\$3,510

The rate reconciliation is as follows:

	For the year ended June 30,	
	2015	2014
	(In thousands)	
Federal income taxes at statutory rate	\$ 1,007	\$ 999
State taxes, net of federal benefit	102	83
Increase (decrease) in taxes resulting primarily from:		
Non-taxable income on bank-owned life insurance	(180 )	(174 )
Tax exempt income	(463 )	(263 )
Other	(48 )	14
	\$ 418	\$ 659
Effective tax rate	14.36 %	22.43 %

Retained earnings at June 30, 2015 and 2014, include approximately \$749,000 related to the pre-1987 allowance for loan losses for which no deferred federal income tax liability has been recognized. These amounts represent an allocation of income to bad debt deductions for tax purposes only. If the Bank no longer qualifies as a bank, or in the event of a liquidation of the Bank, income would be created for tax purposes only, which would be subject to the then-current corporate income tax rate. The unrecorded deferred income tax liability on the above amount for financial statement purposes was approximately \$255,000.

The Company accounts for uncertainty in tax positions under ASC 275-10-50-8. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2015 and 2014. The Company recognized no interest and penalties on the underpayment of income taxes during fiscal years June 30, 2015 and 2014, and had no accrued interest and penalties on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2015 and 2014. The Company has no tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase with the next twelve months. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for tax years ending on or before June 30, 2011.

#### NOTE 17 – STOCK REPURCHASE PLAN

On February 3, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to 514,956 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock, which is approximately 10% of the Company's outstanding shares as of February 3, 2014. Purchases were conducted solely through and based upon the parameters of a Rule 10b5-1

repurchase plan. As of June 30, 2015, all shares have been repurchased at a total cost of \$6,011,000.

Additionally, On May 18, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to 231,571 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock, which is approximately 5% of the Company's outstanding shares as of May 18, 2015. Purchases will be conducted solely through and based upon the parameters of a Rule 10b5-1 repurchase plan. As of June 30, 2015, 20,600 shares have been repurchased at a total cost of \$286,000.

## NOTE 18 – COMPREHENSIVE INCOME RECLASSIFICATION ADJUSTMENT

The following information discloses the reclassification adjustments for each component of accumulated other comprehensive income, including the income statement line items that are affected as of June 30, 2015:

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Reclassification Amount	Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statements of Income
Unrealized losses on securities available for sale	\$ 432	Loss on sale of investments
	(166)	) Tax expense
Total reclassifications for the period	\$ 266	Reclassification adjustment, net of tax

## NOTE 19 – PARENT ONLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following condensed financial statements summarize the financial position of the Company (parent company only) as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (all amounts in thousands):

## UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP

## STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$5,748	\$5,174
Securities available for sale – at estimated market value	184	158
Accrued interest receivable	53	67
Deferred income taxes	602	411
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2,372	2,820
Investment in United Community Bank	62,526	65,368
	\$71,485	\$73,998
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Other liabilities	48	1,068

Stockholders' equity	71,437	72,930
	\$71,485	\$73,998

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015	2014
Interest income:		
ESOP loan	\$ 120	\$ 147
Securities	21	8
Other income:		
Equity in earnings of United Community Bank	2,689	2,480
Net revenue	2,830	2,635
Operating expenses:		
Other operating expenses	394	451
Income before income taxes	2,436	2,184
Income tax benefit	(100 )	(96 )
Net income	\$2,536	\$2,280

## UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP

## STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

June 30, 2015 and 2014

	2015		2014
Operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 2,536		\$ 2,280
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Equity in earnings of United Community Bank	(2,689 )		(2,480 )
Shares committed to be released	481		390
Stock-based compensation	201		44
Deferred income taxes	(201 )		17
Effects of change in assets and liabilities	(558 )		1,066
Tax adjustment on restricted stock	(7 )		-
Dividends received from United Community Bank	6,077		1,080
	5,840		2,397
Financing activities:			
Repurchases of common stock	(4,186 )		(2,151 )
Purchase of common shares for stock plans	-		(1,128 )
Dividends paid to stockholders	(1,080 )		(1,114 )
	(5,266 )		(4,393 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	574		(1,996 )
	5,174		7,170

Cash and cash  
equivalents at  
beginning of year

Cash and cash

equivalents at end of  
year

\$ 5,748

\$ 5,174

NOTE 20 – QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following tables present quarterly financial information for the Company for 2015 and 2014:

	For the year ended June 30, 2015 (In thousands)			
	Fourth quarter	Third quarter	Second quarter	First quarter
Interest income	\$3,882	\$3,782	\$3,807	\$3,761
Interest expense	558	557	583	677
Net interest income	3,324	3,225	3,224	3,084
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses	(104 )	(289 )	36	9
Net interest income after provision for (recovery of) loan losses	3,428	3,514	3,188	3,075
Other income	856	683	973	884
Other expense	3,467	3,355	3,412	3,406
Income before income taxes	817	842	749	553
Provision for income taxes	122	148	81	74
Net income	\$695	\$694	\$668	\$479

	For the year ended June 30, 2014 (In thousands)			
	Fourth quarter	Third quarter	Second quarter	First quarter
Interest income	\$3,679	\$3,752	\$3,768	\$3,759
Interest expense	648	622	638	748
Net interest income	3,031	3,130	3,130	3,011
Provision for loan losses	160	75	75	(442 )
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	2,871	3,055	3,055	3,453
Other income	747	887	1,011	1,052
Other expense	3,244	3,206	3,294	3,448
Income before income taxes	374	736	772	1,057
Provision for income taxes	21	153	190	295
Net income	\$353	\$583	\$582	\$762



Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures," as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act"). Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose of ensuring that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"): (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting is incorporated herein by reference to Item 8 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only Management's report in this report.

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2015 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The information called for by this Item 10 of Part III of Form 10-K is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in our definitive proxy statement relating to our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Proxy Statement") to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act within 120 days from the 2015 fiscal year end.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

The information contained under the section captioned "*Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance*" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

**Code of Ethics**

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to the Company's officers, directors and employees. For information concerning the Company's code of ethics, the information contained under the section captioned "*Code of Ethics and Business Conduct*" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference. A copy of the code of ethics is available, without charge, upon written request to c/o Corporate Secretary, 92 Walnut Street, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025.

## Corporate Governance

For information regarding the audit committee and its composition and the audit committee financial expert, the section captioned “*Corporate Governance and Board Matters – Audit Committee*” in the Proxy Statement are incorporated herein by reference.

### Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the sections titled “*Executive Compensation*” and “*Directors’ Compensation*” in the Proxy Statement.

### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the section captioned “*Stock Ownership*” in the Proxy Statement Equity Compensation Plans.

## Equity Compensation Plans

The Company has adopted the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan and 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, pursuant to which equity may be awarded to participants. The plans were approved by stockholders. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the Company’s equity compensation plans as of June 30, 2015.

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options,</b>	<b>(b) Weighted- average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plan</b>
----------------------	--	---	--

	<b>warrants and rights</b>		(excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plan approved by security holders	529,027	\$ 14.00	128,773
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	529,027	\$ 14.00	128,773

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

**Certain Relationships and Related Transactions**

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the sections titled “*Policies and Procedures for Approval of Related Persons Transactions*,” and, “*Transactions with Related Persons*” in the Proxy Statement.

**Director Independence**

The information related to director independence required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the section titled “*Corporate Governance and Board Matters – Director Independence*” in the Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the sections captioned “*Proposal 2 – Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accountants,*” and, “*Audit Related Matters,*” in the Proxy Statement.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

**List of Documents Filed as Part of This Report**

(1) *Financial Statements.* The following consolidated financial statements are filed under Item 8 hereof:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) *Financial Statement Schedules.* All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations are either not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

(3) *Exhibits.* The following is a list of exhibits as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is also the Exhibit Index. **[NOTE: FILE AGREEMENTS AS INDICATED BELOW]**

**No. Description**

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of United Community Bancorp (1)
- 3.2 Bylaws of United Community Bancorp (1)
- 4.1 Specimen Stock Certificate of United Community Bancorp (1)
- 10.1 Amended and Restated United Community Bank Employee Severance Compensation Plan\* (1)
- 10.2 Amended and Restated United Community Bank Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan\* (1)
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between United Community Bancorp and Elmer G. McLaughlin\*
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between United Community Bank and Elmer G. McLaughlin\*
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between United Community Bancorp and W. Michael McLaughlin\*
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between United Community Bank and W. Michael McLaughlin\*
- 10.7 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between United Community Bancorp and Vicki A. March\*
- 10.8 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between United Community Bank and Vicki A. March\*
- 10.9 Employment Agreement between United Community Bank and James W. Kittle\*
- 10.10 United Community Bank Directors Retirement Plan\*(1)

123

**No. Description**

- 10.11 First Amendment to the United Community Bank Directors' Retirement Plan\*(1)
- 10.12 Executive Supplemental Retirement Income Agreements between United Community Bank and William F. Ritzmann, Elmer G. McLaughlin and James W. Kittle and Grantor Trust Agreements thereto\* (1)
- 10.13 First Amendment to the United Community Bank Executive Supplemental Retirement Income Agreement\* (1)
- 10.14 Rabbi Trust related to Directors Retirement Plan and Executive Supplemental Retirement Income Agreements\* (1)
- 10.15 United Community Bancorp 2006 Equity Incentive Plan\* (1)
- 10.16 United Community Bancorp 2014 Equity Incentive Plan\* (2)
- 21 Subsidiaries
- 23 Consent of Clark, Schaefer, Hackett & Co.
- 31.1 Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
- 32 Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

101 The following materials from United Community Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2015 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language ("XBRL"): (i) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity; (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (vi) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

\* Management contract or compensation plan or arrangement.

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, as initially filed with the SEC on March 15, 2011 (File No. 333-172827).

(2) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy materials for the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders filed with the SEC on January 10, 2014 (File No. 000-54876).

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED COMMUNITY BANCORP

Date: September 28, 2015 By: /s/ Elmer G. McLaughlin  
Elmer G. McLaughlin  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
  
(Duly Authorized Representative)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Elmer G. McLaughlin Elmer G. McLaughlin President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	September 28, 2015
/s/ Vicki A. March Vicki A. March Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	September 28, 2015
/s/ William F. Ritzmann William F. Ritzmann Chairman of the Board	September 28, 2015
/s/ Robert J. Ewbank Robert J. Ewbank Director	September 28, 2015
/s/ Jerry W. Hacker Jerry W. Hacker Director	September 28, 2015
/s/ James D. Humphrey James D. Humphrey	September 28, 2015

Director

/s/ Julie A. Mattlin  
Julie A. Mattlin  
Director

September 28, 2015

/s/ George M. Seitz  
George M. Seitz  
Director

September 28, 2015

/s/ Ralph B. Sprecher  
Ralph B. Sprecher  
Director

September 28,  
2015

/s/ Richard C. Strzynski  
Richard C. Strzynski  
Director

September  
28, 2015

/s/ John L. Sutton, Jr.  
John L. Sutton, Jr.  
Director

September  
28, 2015