

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP  
Form 10-Q  
July 29, 2010  
UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010.

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.  
Commission file number 0-4604

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

31-0746871  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

6200 S. Gilmore Road, Fairfield, Ohio  
(Address of principal executive offices)

45014-5141  
(Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (513) 870-2000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

☒ Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act):

☐ Yes ☒ No

As of July 26, 2010, there were 162,674,119 shares of common stock outstanding.

---

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION  
FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                                               |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Part I – Financial Information                                                                | 3  |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)                                                      | 3  |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets                                                         | 3  |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Operations                                               | 4  |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Shareholders' Equity                                     | 5  |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Cash Flows                                               | 6  |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)                              | 7  |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 19 |
| Safe Harbor Statement                                                                         | 19 |
| Introduction                                                                                  | 21 |
| Results of Operations                                                                         | 28 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources                                                               | 41 |
| Other Matters                                                                                 | 44 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk                            | 45 |
| Fixed-Maturity Investments                                                                    | 45 |
| Short-Term Investments                                                                        | 47 |
| Equity Investments                                                                            | 47 |
| Unrealized Investment Gains and Losses                                                        | 48 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures                                                               | 51 |
| Part II – Other Information                                                                   | 51 |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings                                                                     | 51 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors                                                                         | 51 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds                           | 51 |
| Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities                                                       | 52 |
| Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)                                                                | 52 |
| Item 5. Other Information                                                                     | 52 |
| Item 6. Exhibits                                                                              | 52 |

## Part I – Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)

## Cincinnati Financial Corporation And Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (In millions except per share data)                                                                                                             | June 30,<br>2010 | December 31,<br>2009 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>                                                                                                                                   |                  |                      |
| Investments                                                                                                                                     |                  |                      |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010—\$7,789; 2009—\$7,514)                                                                    | \$ 8,339         | \$ 7,855             |
| Equity securities, at fair value (cost: 2010—\$2,116; 2009—\$2,016)                                                                             | 2,611            | 2,701                |
| Short-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost; 2010—\$0; 2009—\$6)                                                                      | -                | 6                    |
| Other invested assets                                                                                                                           | 82               | 81                   |
| Total investments                                                                                                                               | 11,032           | 10,643               |
| Cash and cash equivalents                                                                                                                       | 325              | 557                  |
| Investment income receivable                                                                                                                    | 120              | 118                  |
| Finance receivable                                                                                                                              | 72               | 75                   |
| Premiums receivable                                                                                                                             | 1,055            | 995                  |
| Reinsurance receivable                                                                                                                          | 543              | 675                  |
| Prepaid reinsurance premiums                                                                                                                    | 16               | 15                   |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs                                                                                                               | 485              | 481                  |
| Land, building and equipment, net, for company use (accumulated depreciation: 2010—\$351; 2009—\$335)                                           | 243              | 251                  |
| Other assets                                                                                                                                    | 90               | 45                   |
| Separate accounts                                                                                                                               | 626              | 585                  |
| Total assets                                                                                                                                    | \$ 14,607        | \$ 14,440            |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>                                                                                                                              |                  |                      |
| Insurance reserves                                                                                                                              |                  |                      |
| Loss and loss expense reserves                                                                                                                  | \$ 4,184         | \$ 4,142             |
| Life policy reserves                                                                                                                            | 1,926            | 1,783                |
| Unearned premiums                                                                                                                               | 1,572            | 1,509                |
| Other liabilities                                                                                                                               | 578              | 670                  |
| Deferred income tax                                                                                                                             | 145              | 152                  |
| Note payable                                                                                                                                    | 49               | 49                   |
| Long-term debt                                                                                                                                  | 790              | 790                  |
| Separate accounts                                                                                                                               | 626              | 585                  |
| Total liabilities                                                                                                                               | 9,870            | 9,680                |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 10)                                                                                                | —                | —                    |
| <b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>                                                                                                                     |                  |                      |
| Common stock, par value—\$2 per share; (authorized: 2010 and 2009—500 million shares; issued: 2010—197 million shares, 2009—196 million shares) | 393              | 393                  |

Edgar Filing: CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                                          |           |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Paid-in capital                                          | 1,084     | 1,081     |
| Retained earnings                                        | 3,828     | 3,862     |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income                   | 636       | 624       |
| Treasury stock at cost (2010 and 2009—34 million shares) | (1,204)   | (1,200)   |
| Total shareholders' equity                               | 4,737     | 4,760     |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity               | \$ 14,607 | \$ 14,440 |

Accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Cincinnati Financial Corporation And Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Operations

| (In millions except per share data)                                                                     | Three months ended June 30, |                | Six months ended June 30, |              |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|                                                                                                         | 2010                        | 2009           | 2010                      | 2009         |
| <b>REVENUES</b>                                                                                         |                             |                |                           |              |
| Earned premiums                                                                                         | \$ 768                      | \$ 770         | \$ 1,515                  | \$ 1,535     |
| Investment income, net of expenses                                                                      | 130                         | 119            | 260                       | 243          |
| Other income                                                                                            | 3                           | 3              | 5                         | 6            |
| Realized investment gains (losses), net                                                                 |                             |                |                           |              |
| Other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities                                           | (1)                         | (3)            | (2)                       | (43)         |
| Other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities transferred to Other Comprehensive Income | -                           | -              | -                         | -            |
| Other realized investment gains (losses), net                                                           | (22)                        | (15)           | (13)                      | 23           |
| Total realized investment gains (losses), net                                                           | (23)                        | (18)           | (15)                      | (20)         |
| Total revenues                                                                                          | 878                         | 874            | 1,765                     | 1,764        |
| <b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>                                                                            |                             |                |                           |              |
| Insurance losses and policyholder benefits                                                              | 595                         | 658            | 1,111                     | 1,239        |
| Underwriting, acquisition and insurance expenses                                                        | 246                         | 248            | 514                       | 503          |
| Other operating expenses                                                                                | 3                           | 4              | 7                         | 10           |
| Interest expense                                                                                        | 13                          | 14             | 27                        | 28           |
| Total benefits and expenses                                                                             | 857                         | 924            | 1,659                     | 1,780        |
| <b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>                                                                | <b>21</b>                   | <b>(50)</b>    | <b>106</b>                | <b>(16)</b>  |
| <b>PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME TAXES</b>                                                             |                             |                |                           |              |
| Current                                                                                                 | 10                          | (49)           | 25                        | (52)         |
| Deferred                                                                                                | (16)                        | 18             | (14)                      | 19           |
| Total provision (benefit) for income taxes                                                              | (6)                         | (31)           | 11                        | (33)         |
| <b>NET INCOME (LOSS)</b>                                                                                | <b>\$ 27</b>                | <b>\$ (19)</b> | <b>\$ 95</b>              | <b>\$ 17</b> |
| <b>PER COMMON SHARE</b>                                                                                 |                             |                |                           |              |
| Net income (loss)—basic                                                                                 | \$ 0.17                     | \$ (0.12)      | \$ 0.59                   | \$ 0.10      |
| Net income (loss)—diluted                                                                               | 0.17                        | (0.12)         | 0.58                      | 0.10         |

Accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Cincinnati Financial Corporation And Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Shareholders' Equity

| (In millions)                                                                                                  | Common Stock          |        | Paid-In<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive |            | Treasury<br>Stock | Total<br>Share-<br>holders'<br>Equity |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                | Outstanding<br>Shares | Amount |                    |                      | Income                                |            |                   |                                       |
| Balance December 31, 2008                                                                                      | 162                   | \$ 393 | \$ 1,069           | \$ 3,579             | \$ 347                                | \$ (1,206) | \$ 4,182          |                                       |
| Net income                                                                                                     | -                     | -      | -                  | 17                   | -                                     | -          | 17                |                                       |
| Other comprehensive income, net                                                                                | -                     | -      | -                  | -                    | 63                                    | -          | 63                |                                       |
| Total comprehensive income                                                                                     |                       |        |                    |                      |                                       |            | 80                |                                       |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting for other-than-temporary impairments as of April 1, 2009, net of tax | -                     | -      | -                  | 106                  | (106)                                 | -          | -                 |                                       |
| Dividends declared                                                                                             | -                     | -      | -                  | (127)                | -                                     | -          | (127)             |                                       |
| Stock-based compensation                                                                                       | -                     | -      | 5                  | -                    | -                                     | -          | 5                 |                                       |
| Other                                                                                                          | -                     | -      | 1                  | -                    | -                                     | 3          | 4                 |                                       |
| Balance June 30, 2009                                                                                          | 162                   | \$ 393 | \$ 1,075           | \$ 3,575             | \$ 304                                | \$ (1,203) | \$ 4,144          |                                       |
| Balance December 31, 2009                                                                                      | 162                   | \$ 393 | \$ 1,081           | \$ 3,862             | \$ 624                                | \$ (1,200) | \$ 4,760          |                                       |
| Net income                                                                                                     | -                     | -      | -                  | 95                   | -                                     | -          | 95                |                                       |
| Other comprehensive income, net                                                                                | -                     | -      | -                  | -                    | 12                                    | -          | 12                |                                       |
| Total comprehensive income                                                                                     |                       |        |                    |                      |                                       |            | 107               |                                       |
| Dividends declared                                                                                             | -                     | -      | -                  | (129)                | -                                     | -          | (129)             |                                       |
| Stock options exercised                                                                                        | 1                     | -      | (2)                | -                    | -                                     | 3          | 1                 |                                       |
| Stock-based compensation                                                                                       | -                     | -      | 6                  | -                    | -                                     | -          | 6                 |                                       |
| Purchases                                                                                                      | -                     | -      | -                  | -                    | -                                     | (10)       | (10)              |                                       |
| Other                                                                                                          | -                     | -      | (1)                | -                    | -                                     | 3          | 2                 |                                       |
| Balance June 30, 2010                                                                                          | 163                   | \$ 393 | \$ 1,084           | \$ 3,828             | \$ 636                                | \$ (1,204) | \$ 4,737          |                                       |

Accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Cincinnati Financial Corporation And Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements Of Cash Flows

| (In millions)                                                                     | Six months ended June 30, |         |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                                                                                   | 2010                      | 2009    |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>                                       |                           |         |
| Net income                                                                        | \$ 95                     | \$ 17   |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                           |         |
| Depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items                               | 17                        | 14      |
| Realized losses on investments                                                    | 15                        | 20      |
| Stock-based compensation                                                          | 6                         | 5       |
| Interest credited to contract holders                                             | 22                        | 20      |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense                                             | (14)                      | 19      |
| Changes in:                                                                       |                           |         |
| Investment income receivable                                                      | (2)                       | (13)    |
| Premiums and reinsurance receivable                                               | 72                        | 13      |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs                                                 | (18)                      | (8)     |
| Other assets                                                                      | (4)                       | (3)     |
| Loss and loss expense reserves                                                    | 42                        | 147     |
| Life policy reserves                                                              | 58                        | 50      |
| Unearned premiums                                                                 | 63                        | 21      |
| Other liabilities                                                                 | (12)                      | (9)     |
| Current income tax receivable/payable                                             | (87)                      | (136)   |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                                         | 253                       | 157     |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>                                       |                           |         |
| Sale of fixed maturities                                                          | 99                        | 84      |
| Call or maturity of fixed maturities                                              | 340                       | 495     |
| Sale of equity securities                                                         | 60                        | 655     |
| Collection of finance receivables                                                 | 15                        | 14      |
| Purchase of fixed maturities                                                      | (756)                     | (1,548) |
| Purchase of equity securities                                                     | (158)                     | (517)   |
| Change in short-term investments, net                                             | 6                         | 72      |
| Investment in buildings and equipment, net                                        | (11)                      | (20)    |
| Investment in finance receivables                                                 | (12)                      | (17)    |
| Change in other invested assets, net                                              | 2                         | (3)     |
| Net cash used in investing activities                                             | (415)                     | (785)   |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>                                       |                           |         |
| Payment of cash dividends to shareholders                                         | (126)                     | (124)   |
| Purchase of treasury shares                                                       | (10)                      | -       |
| Contract holder funds deposited                                                   | 103                       | 35      |
| Contract holder funds withdrawn                                                   | (34)                      | (34)    |
| Excess tax benefits on share-based compensation                                   | 2                         | -       |
| Other                                                                             | (5)                       | (4)     |
| Net cash used in financing activities                                             | (70)                      | (127)   |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents                                         | (232)                     | (755)   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year                                    | 557                       | 1,009   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period                                        | \$ 325                    | \$ 254  |

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:

|                                                                 |    |     |    |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----|----|----|
| Interest paid (net of capitalized interest: 2010—\$0; 2009—\$0) | \$ | 27  | \$ | 28 |
| Income taxes paid                                               |    | 112 |    | 84 |
| Non-cash activities:                                            |    |     |    |    |
| Conversion of securities                                        | \$ | 1   | \$ | 6  |
| Equipment acquired under capital lease obligations              |    | -   |    | 9  |

Accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

NOTE 1 — Accounting Policies

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Cincinnati Financial Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, each of which are wholly owned, and are presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Our actual results could differ from those estimates. The December 31, 2009, condensed consolidated balance sheet amounts are derived from the audited financial statements but do not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

We have changed our presentation of earned premiums in our condensed consolidated statements of operations, effective the first quarter of 2010. We have summarized property casualty and life earned premiums to a single caption, "Earned premiums." See Note 7, Reinsurance, Page 15, for further detail on property casualty and life earned premiums. We have changed our presentation of long-term debt in our condensed consolidated balance sheet, effective the second quarter of 2010. We have summarized the long-term debt to a single caption, "Long-term debt." See Note 3, Fair Value Measurements, Page 10, for further detail on interest rates, year of issue and maturity of our long-term debt.

Our June 30, 2010, condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited. Certain financial information that is included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP is not required for interim reporting and has been condensed or omitted. We believe that we have made all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, that are necessary for fair presentation. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements included in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations for interim periods do not necessarily indicate results to be expected for the full year.

With the adoption of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 320, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, in the second quarter of 2009, we recognized a cumulative effect adjustment of \$106 million, net of tax, to reclassify the non-credit component of previously recognized impairments by increasing retained earnings and reducing accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). ASC 320 does not allow retrospective application of the new other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) model. Our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010, are not measured on the same basis as prior period amounts and, accordingly, these amounts are not comparable.

Adopted Accounting Updates

ASU 2010-08, Technical Corrections to Various Topics

In February 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-08, Technical Corrections to Various Topics. ASU 2010-08 does not change any of the fundamentals of U.S. GAAP, but it does explain certain clarifications made to the guidance on embedded derivatives and hedging. We have adopted ASU 2010-08, and was effective for the first reporting period after issuance and for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2009. It did not have a material impact on our company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

ASU 2010-09, Subsequent Events

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-09, Subsequent Events. ASU 2010-09 removes the requirement for Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filers to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. We have adopted ASU 2010-09, and was effective for the first reporting period after issuance. It did not have a material impact on our company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

#### Pending Accounting Updates

##### ASU 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. ASU 2010-06 applies to all entities that are required to make disclosures about recurring or nonrecurring fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 requires separate disclosures of the activity in the Level 3 category related to any purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis. The effective date of the disclosures regarding Level 3 category purchases, sales, issuances and settlements is for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The portion of ASU 2010-06 that we have not yet adopted will not have a material impact on our company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

## ASU 2010-15, How Investments Held through Separate Accounts Affect an Insurer's Consolidation Analysis of Those Investments

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-15, How Investments Held through Separate Accounts affect an Insurer's Consolidation Analysis of Those Investments. ASU 2010-15 applies to all insurance entities that have separate accounts that meet the definition and requirements set in the Accounting Standards Codification Manual.

ASU 2010-15 clarifies that an insurance entity should not consider any separate account interests held for the benefit of contract holders in an investment to be the insurer's interests. The insurance entity should not combine those interests with its general account interest in the same investment when assessing the investment for consolidation. The insurance entity may combine those interests when the separate account interests are held for the benefit of a related-party policyholder as defined in the Variable Interest Subsections of Consolidation topic in the Codification Manual.

The effective date of the amendments in this update is for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The amendments in this update do not modify the disclosures currently required by GAAP, and are not expected to have a material impact on our company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

## ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. ASU 2010-20 will improve transparency in financial reporting for companies that hold financing receivables, which include loans, lease receivables and other long-term receivables. The additional disclosures required by ASU 2010-20 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The ASU has not yet been adopted and is not expected to have a material impact on our company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

## NOTE 2 – Investments

Fixed maturities (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks), equity securities (common and non-redeemable preferred stocks) and short-term investments have been classified as available for sale and are stated at fair values at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009. Realized gains and losses on investments are recognized in net income on a specific identification basis.

The change in unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, described in the following table, is included in other comprehensive income and shareholders' equity.

| (In millions)                                                       | Three months ended June 30, |        | Six months ended June 30, |        |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
|                                                                     | 2010                        | 2009   | 2010                      | 2009   |
| Change in unrealized investment gains and losses and other summary: |                             |        |                           |        |
| Fixed maturities                                                    | \$ 123                      | \$ 226 | \$ 209                    | \$ 380 |
| Equity securities                                                   | (254)                       | 225    | (190)                     | (286)  |
| Adjustment to deferred acquisition costs and life policy reserves   | (4)                         | (6)    | (7)                       | (10)   |
| Pension obligations                                                 | -                           | -      | 1                         | 1      |
| Other                                                               | 3                           | 24     | 5                         | 12     |
| Income taxes on above                                               | 46                          | (128)  | (6)                       | (34)   |

|       |    |      |    |     |    |    |    |    |
|-------|----|------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|
| Total | \$ | (86) | \$ | 341 | \$ | 12 | \$ | 63 |
|-------|----|------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|

8

---

The following table analyzes cost or amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value for our investments, along with the amount of cumulative non-credit OTTI losses transferred to AOCI in accordance with ASC 320-10-65, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, for securities that also had a credit impairment:

| (In millions)<br>At June 30,                      | Cost or<br>amortized<br>cost | Gross unrealized<br>gains | Gross unrealized<br>losses | Fair<br>value | OTTI in<br>AOCI |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 2010                                              |                              |                           |                            |               |                 |
| Fixed maturities:                                 |                              |                           |                            |               |                 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | \$ 3,018                     | \$ 156                    | \$ 1                       | \$ 3,173      | \$ -            |
| Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached     | 70                           | -                         | -                          | 70            | -               |
| United States government                          | 4                            | 1                         | -                          | 5             | -               |
| Government-sponsored enterprises                  | 346                          | 1                         | -                          | 347           | -               |
| Foreign government                                | 3                            | -                         | -                          | 3             | -               |
| Corporate bonds                                   | 4,348                        | 405                       | 12                         | 4,741         | -               |
| Total                                             | \$ 7,789                     | \$ 563                    | \$ 13                      | \$ 8,339      | \$ -            |
| Equity securities                                 | \$ 2,116                     | \$ 587                    | \$ 92                      | \$ 2,611      | NA              |

At December 31,  
2009

|                                                   |          |        |       |          |      |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|-------|----------|------|
| Fixed maturities:                                 |          |        |       |          |      |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | \$ 3,007 | \$ 128 | \$ 6  | \$ 3,129 | \$ - |
| Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached     | 91       | -      | -     | 91       | -    |
| United States government                          | 4        | -      | -     | 4        | -    |
| Government-sponsored enterprises                  | 354      | -      | 7     | 347      | -    |
| Foreign government                                | 3        | -      | -     | 3        | -    |
| Short-term investments                            | 6        | -      | -     | 6        | -    |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations               | 37       | -      | 6     | 31       | -    |
| Corporate bonds                                   | 4,018    | 268    | 36    | 4,250    | -    |
| Total                                             | \$ 7,520 | \$ 396 | \$ 55 | \$ 7,861 | \$ - |
| Equity securities                                 | \$ 2,016 | \$ 714 | \$ 29 | \$ 2,701 | NA   |

The unrealized investment gains at June 30, 2010, were largely due to a net gain position in our fixed income portfolio of \$550 million and a net gain position in our common stock portfolio of \$477 million. The two primary contributors to the net gain position were Procter & Gamble Company (NYSE:PG) and Exxon Mobil Corporation (NYSE:XOM) common stock, which had a combined net gain position of \$203 million. At June 30, 2010, we had \$70 million fair value of hybrid securities included in fixed maturities that follow ASC 815-15-25, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments. The hybrid securities are carried at fair value, and the changes in fair value are included in realized investment gains and losses.

The table below provides fair values and unrealized losses by investment category and by the duration of the securities' continuous unrealized loss position:

| (In millions)<br>At June 30, | Less than 12 months<br>Fair<br>value | Unrealized<br>losses | 12 months or more<br>Fair<br>value | Unrealized<br>losses | Total<br>Fair<br>value | Unrealized<br>losses |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 2010                         |                                      |                      |                                    |                      |                        |                      |
| Fixed maturities:            |                                      |                      |                                    |                      |                        |                      |

|                                                   |    |     |    |    |    |     |    |    |    |       |    |     |
|---------------------------------------------------|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|-------|----|-----|
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | \$ | 30  | \$ | -  | \$ | 25  | \$ | 1  | \$ | 55    | \$ | 1   |
| Corporate bonds                                   |    | 130 |    | 3  |    | 156 |    | 9  |    | 286   |    | 12  |
| Total                                             |    | 160 |    | 3  |    | 181 |    | 10 |    | 341   |    | 13  |
| Equity securities                                 |    | 730 |    | 83 |    | 64  |    | 9  |    | 794   |    | 92  |
| Total                                             | \$ | 890 | \$ | 86 | \$ | 245 | \$ | 19 | \$ | 1,135 | \$ | 105 |

At December 31,

2009

Fixed maturities:

|                                                   |    |       |    |    |    |     |    |    |    |       |    |    |
|---------------------------------------------------|----|-------|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|-------|----|----|
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | \$ | 196   | \$ | 4  | \$ | 29  | \$ | 2  | \$ | 225   | \$ | 6  |
| Government-sponsored enterprises                  |    | 347   |    | 7  |    | -   |    | -  |    | 347   |    | 7  |
| Short-term investments                            |    | 1     |    | -  |    | -   |    | -  |    | 1     |    | -  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations               |    | -     |    | -  |    | 27  |    | 6  |    | 27    |    | 6  |
| Corporate bonds                                   |    | 397   |    | 19 |    | 309 |    | 17 |    | 706   |    | 36 |
| Total                                             |    | 941   |    | 30 |    | 365 |    | 25 |    | 1,306 |    | 55 |
| Equity securities                                 |    | 65    |    | 3  |    | 415 |    | 26 |    | 480   |    | 29 |
| Total                                             | \$ | 1,006 | \$ | 33 | \$ | 780 | \$ | 51 | \$ | 1,786 | \$ | 84 |

## Other-than-temporary Impairment Charges

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, there were no credit losses on fixed-maturity securities for which a portion of OTTI has been recognized in other comprehensive income. The following table provides the amount of OTTI charges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010:

| (In millions)     | Three months ended June 30, |       | Six months ended June 30, |        |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------|
|                   | 2010                        | 2009  | 2010                      | 2009   |
| Fixed maturities  | \$ 1                        | \$ 3  | \$ 2                      | \$ 43  |
| Equity securities | 33                          | 49    | 33                        | 59     |
| Total             | \$ 34                       | \$ 52 | \$ 35                     | \$ 102 |

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we impaired six fixed-maturity securities for a total of \$1 million and six equity securities for a total of \$33 million. At June 30, 2010, 59 fixed-maturity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$10 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more, but none were trading below 70 percent of book value. Five equity securities with a total unrealized loss of \$9 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more, but none were trading below 70 percent of book value.

At December 31, 2009, 121 fixed-maturity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$25 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, eight fixed maturity investments were trading below 70 percent of book value with a total unrealized loss of \$2 million. Ten equity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$26 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2009. Of that total, no equity investments were trading below 70 percent of book value.

## NOTE 3 –Fair Value Measurements

## Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with fair value measurements and disclosures, we categorized our financial instruments, based on the priority of the observable and market-based data for valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices with readily available independent data in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable market inputs (Level 3). When various inputs for measurement fall within different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the lowest observable input that has a significant impact on fair value measurement is used. Our valuation techniques have not changed from those used at December 31, 2009, and ultimately management determines fair value.

Financial instruments are categorized based upon the following characteristics or inputs to the valuation techniques:

- Level 1 – Financial assets and liabilities for which inputs are observable and are obtained from reliable quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. This is the most reliable fair value measurement and includes, for example, active exchange-traded equity securities.
- Level 2 – Financial assets and liabilities for which values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which values are based on similar assets and liabilities that are actively traded. This also includes pricing models for which the inputs are corroborated by market data.
- Level 3 – Financial assets and liabilities for which values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Level 3 inputs include the

following:

- o Quotes from brokers or other external sources that are not considered binding;
- o Quotes from brokers or other external sources where it cannot be determined that market participants would in fact transact for the asset or liability at the quoted price; or
- o Quotes from brokers or other external sources where the inputs are not deemed observable.

We conduct a thorough review of fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Reclassification of certain financial instruments may occur when input observability changes. As noted below in the Level 3 disclosure table, reclassifications are reported as transfers in or out of the Level 3 category as of the beginning of the quarter in which the reclassification occurred.

The following tables illustrate the fair value hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009. We do not have any material liabilities carried at fair value. There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2.

#### Fair Value Disclosures for Assets

| (In millions)                                     | Asset fair value measurements at June 30, 2010 using:                   |                                                     |                                                    |                  |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
|                                                   | Quoted prices in<br>active markets for<br>identical assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant other<br>observable inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>unobservable<br>inputs<br>(Level 3) | Total            |
| <b>Fixed maturities, available for sale:</b>      |                                                                         |                                                     |                                                    |                  |
| Corporate securities                              | \$ -                                                                    | \$ 4,788                                            | \$ 23                                              | \$ 4,811         |
| Foreign government                                | -                                                                       | 3                                                   | -                                                  | 3                |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies        | 5                                                                       | 347                                                 | -                                                  | 352              |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | -                                                                       | 3,169                                               | 4                                                  | 3,173            |
| Subtotal                                          | 5                                                                       | 8,307                                               | 27                                                 | 8,339            |
| Common equities, available for sale               | 2,379                                                                   | 138                                                 | -                                                  | 2,517            |
| Preferred equities, available for sale            | -                                                                       | 89                                                  | 5                                                  | 94               |
| Taxable fixed maturities separate accounts        | -                                                                       | 598                                                 | -                                                  | 598              |
| Top Hat Savings Plan                              | 7                                                                       | -                                                   | -                                                  | 7                |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>\$ 2,391</b>                                                         | <b>\$ 9,132</b>                                     | <b>\$ 32</b>                                       | <b>\$ 11,555</b> |

| (In millions)                                     | Asset fair value measurements at December 31, 2009 using:               |                                                     |                                                    |                  |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
|                                                   | Quoted prices in<br>active markets for<br>identical assets<br>(Level 1) | Significant other<br>observable inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>unobservable<br>inputs<br>(Level 3) | Total            |
| <b>Fixed maturities, available for sale:</b>      |                                                                         |                                                     |                                                    |                  |
| Corporate securities                              | \$ -                                                                    | \$ 4,314                                            | \$ 27                                              | \$ 4,341         |
| Foreign government                                | -                                                                       | 3                                                   | -                                                  | 3                |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies        | 4                                                                       | 347                                                 | -                                                  | 351              |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations               | -                                                                       | 31                                                  | -                                                  | 31               |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | -                                                                       | 3,125                                               | 4                                                  | 3,129            |
| Taxable fixed maturities separate accounts        | -                                                                       | 555                                                 | -                                                  | 555              |
| Subtotal                                          | 4                                                                       | 8,375                                               | 31                                                 | 8,410            |
| Common equities, available for sale               | 2,474                                                                   | 134                                                 | -                                                  | 2,608            |
| Preferred equities, available for sale            | -                                                                       | 88                                                  | 5                                                  | 93               |
| Short-term investments                            | -                                                                       | 6                                                   | -                                                  | 6                |
| Top Hat Savings Plan                              | 7                                                                       | -                                                   | -                                                  | 7                |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>\$ 2,485</b>                                                         | <b>\$ 8,603</b>                                     | <b>\$ 36</b>                                       | <b>\$ 11,124</b> |

Each financial instrument that was deemed to have significant unobservable inputs when determining valuation is identified in the tables below by security type with a summary of changes in fair value for periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. As of June 30, 2010, total Level 3 assets continue to be less than 1 percent of financial assets measured at fair value. At June 30, 2010, total fair value of assets priced with broker quotes and other non-observable market inputs for the fair value measurements and disclosures was \$32 million.



The following table provides the change in Level 3 assets for the three months ended June 30, 2010. One Level 3 corporate fixed-maturity security matured and made up the majority of the \$3 million sales and settlements. As a result of the change in use of observable inputs for the three months ended June 30, 2010, one corporate fixed-maturity security totaling \$2 million was transferred from Level 3 to Level 2.

| (In millions)                                   | Asset fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |                                            |                                                                    |                 |                    |  | Total |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|-------|
|                                                 | Corporate fixed maturities                                                    | Taxable fixed maturities-separate accounts | States, municipalities and political subdivisions fixed maturities | Common equities | Preferred equities |  |       |
| Beginning balance, March 31, 2010               | \$ 28                                                                         | \$ -                                       | \$ 4                                                               | \$ -            | \$ 6               |  | \$ 38 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):    |                                                                               |                                            |                                                                    |                 |                    |  |       |
| Included in earnings (or changes in net assets) | -                                                                             | -                                          | -                                                                  | -               | -                  |  | -     |
| Included in other comprehensive income          | -                                                                             | -                                          | -                                                                  | -               | (1)                |  | (1)   |
| Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements    | (3)                                                                           | -                                          | -                                                                  | -               | -                  |  | (3)   |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3              | (2)                                                                           | -                                          | -                                                                  | -               | -                  |  | (2)   |
| Ending balance, June 30, 2010                   | \$ 23                                                                         | \$ -                                       | \$ 4                                                               | \$ -            | \$ 5               |  | \$ 32 |

| (In millions)                                   | Asset fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |                                            |                             |                 |                    |  | Total  |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|--------|
|                                                 | Taxable fixed maturities                                                      | Taxable fixed maturities-separate accounts | Tax-exempt fixed maturities | Common equities | Preferred equities |  |        |
| Beginning balance, March 31, 2009               | \$ 38                                                                         | \$ -                                       | \$ 5                        | \$ 64           | \$ 6               |  | \$ 113 |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):    |                                                                               |                                            |                             |                 |                    |  |        |
| Included in earnings (or changes in net assets) | -                                                                             | -                                          | -                           | -               | -                  |  | -      |
| Included in other comprehensive income          | -                                                                             | -                                          | -                           | -               | 2                  |  | 2      |
| Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements    | -                                                                             | -                                          | -                           | -               | -                  |  | -      |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3              | (18)                                                                          | -                                          | -                           | -               | -                  |  | (18)   |
| Ending balance, June 30, 2009                   | \$ 20                                                                         | \$ -                                       | \$ 5                        | \$ 64           | \$ 8               |  | \$ 97  |

The following table provides the change in Level 3 assets for the six months ended June 30, 2010. One Level 3 corporate fixed-maturity security was purchased for \$5 million and one corporate fixed-maturity security matured for approximately \$3 million, resulting in a \$2 million increase to purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements. As a result of the change in use of observable or unobservable inputs throughout the six months ended June 30, 2010, Level 3 corporate fixed-maturity securities decreased \$6 million as two securities totaling \$9 million transferred from Level 3 to Level 2 and one security totaling \$3 million transferred from Level 2 to Level 3.

| (In millions) | Asset fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |                           |                        |                 |                    |  | Total |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|-------|
|               | Corporate fixed                                                               | Taxable fixed maturities- | States, municipalities | Common equities | Preferred equities |  |       |

|                                                 | maturities | separate accounts and political subdivisions | fixed maturities |      |      |       |  |  |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------|------|------|-------|--|--|
| Beginning balance, December 31, 2009            | \$ 27      | \$ -                                         | \$ 4             | \$ - | \$ 5 | \$ 36 |  |  |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):    |            |                                              |                  |      |      |       |  |  |
| Included in earnings (or changes in net assets) | -          | -                                            | -                | -    | -    | -     |  |  |
| Included in other comprehensive income          | -          | -                                            | -                | -    | -    | -     |  |  |
| Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements    | 2          | -                                            | -                | -    | -    | 2     |  |  |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3              | (6)        | -                                            | -                | -    | -    | (6)   |  |  |
| Ending balance, June 30, 2010                   | \$ 23      | \$ -                                         | \$ 4             | \$ - | \$ 5 | \$ 32 |  |  |

|                                                 | Asset fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |                                            |                             |                 |                    |        |  |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------|--|
| (In millions)                                   | Taxable fixed maturities                                                      | Taxable fixed maturities-separate accounts | Tax-exempt fixed maturities | Common equities | Preferred equities | Total  |  |
| Beginning balance, December 31, 2008            | \$ 50                                                                         | \$ 6                                       | \$ 5                        | \$ 64           | \$ 22              | \$ 147 |  |
| Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):    |                                                                               |                                            |                             |                 |                    |        |  |
| Included in earnings (or changes in net assets) | -                                                                             | -                                          | -                           | -               | (3)                | (3)    |  |
| Included in other comprehensive income          | (1)                                                                           | -                                          | -                           | -               | 4                  | 3      |  |
| Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements    | -                                                                             | -                                          | -                           | -               | -                  | -      |  |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3              | (29)                                                                          | (6)                                        | -                           | -               | (15)               | (50)   |  |
| Ending balance, June 30, 2009                   | \$ 20                                                                         | \$ -                                       | \$ 5                        | \$ 64           | \$ 8               | \$ 97  |  |

## Fair Value Disclosure for Senior Debt and Life Insurance Assets and Liabilities

The disclosures below are not affected by the fair value hierarchy but are presented to provide timely information about the effects of current market conditions on financial instruments that are not reported at fair value in our financial statements.

This table summarizes the book value and principal amounts of our long-term debt:

| (In millions) |               |                             | Book value       |                      | Principal amount |                      |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|               |               |                             | June 30,<br>2010 | December 31,<br>2009 | June 30,<br>2010 | December 31,<br>2009 |
| Interest rate | Year of issue |                             |                  |                      |                  |                      |
| 6.900%        | 1998          | Senior debentures, due 2028 | \$ 28            | \$ 28                | \$ 28            | \$ 28                |
| 6.920%        | 2005          | Senior debentures, due 2028 | 391              | 391                  | 391              | 391                  |
| 6.125%        | 2004          | Senior notes, due 2034      | 371              | 371                  | 374              | 374                  |
|               |               | Total                       | \$ 790           | \$ 790               | \$ 793           | \$ 793               |

The fair value of our senior debt approximated \$807 million at June 30, 2010, compared with \$740 million at year-end 2009. Fair value was determined under the fair value measurements and disclosures accounting rules based on market pricing of these or similar debt instruments that are actively trading. Fair value can vary with macro-economic concerns. Regardless of the fluctuations in fair value, the outstanding principal amount of our long-term debt is \$793 million. None of the long-term debt is encumbered by rating triggers. Also, we have a note payable with outstanding principal amount of \$49 million, which approximates fair value.

The fair value of life policy loans outstanding principal and interest approximated \$45 million, compared with book value of \$39 million reported in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2010.

Life reserves and liabilities for deferred annuities and other investment contracts were \$852 million and \$736 million at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively. Fair value for these deferred annuities and investment contracts was \$813 million and \$737 million at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, respectively. Fair values of liabilities associated with certain investment contracts are calculated based upon internally developed models because active, observable markets do not exist for those items. To determine the fair value, we make the following significant assumptions: (1) the discount rates used to calculate the present value of expected payments are the risk-free spot rates plus an A3 rated bond spread for financial issuers at June 30, 2010, to account for non-performance risk; (2) the rate of interest credited to policyholders is the portfolio net earned interest rate less a spread for expenses and profit; and (3) additional lapses occur when the credited interest rate is exceeded by an assumed competitor credited rate, which is a function of the risk-free rate of the economic scenario being modeled.

## Note 4 – Deferred Acquisition Costs

The expenses associated with issuing insurance policies – primarily commissions, premium taxes and underwriting costs – are deferred and amortized over the terms of the policies. We update our acquisition cost assumptions periodically to reflect actual experience, and we evaluate our deferred acquisition costs for recoverability. The table below shows the deferred policy acquisition costs and asset reconciliation, including the amortized deferred policy acquisition costs.

| (In millions) | Three months ended June 30, |      | Six months ended June 30, |      |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
|               | 2010                        | 2009 | 2010                      | 2009 |

|                                                                    |    |       |    |       |    |       |    |       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Deferred policy acquisition costs asset at beginning of the period | \$ | 485   | \$ | 510   | \$ | 481   | \$ | 509   |
| Capitalized deferred policy acquisition costs                      |    | 171   |    | 157   |    | 342   |    | 323   |
| Amortized deferred policy acquisition costs                        |    | (164) |    | (157) |    | (325) |    | (315) |
| Amortized shadow deferred policy acquisition costs                 |    | (7)   |    | (10)  |    | (13)  |    | (17)  |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs asset at end of the period       | \$ | 485   | \$ | 500   | \$ | 485   | \$ | 500   |

There were no premium deficiencies recorded in the reported condensed consolidated statements of operations, as the sum of the anticipated loss and loss adjustment expenses, policyholder dividends, maintenance expenses and underwriting expenses did not exceed the related unearned premiums and anticipated investment income.

## NOTE 5 – Property Casualty Loss And Loss Expenses

This table summarizes activity for our consolidated property casualty loss and loss expense reserves:

| (In millions)                                             | Three months ended June 30, |          | Six months ended June 30, |          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
|                                                           | 2010                        | 2009     | 2010                      | 2009     |
| Gross loss and loss expense reserves, beginning of period | \$ 4,065                    | \$ 4,046 | \$ 4,096                  | \$ 4,040 |
| Less reinsurance receivable                               | 343                         | 483      | 435                       | 542      |
| Net loss and loss expense reserves, beginning of period   | 3,722                       | 3,563    | 3,661                     | 3,498    |
| Net incurred loss and loss expenses related to:           |                             |          |                           |          |
| Current accident year                                     | 625                         | 648      | 1,139                     | 1,183    |
| Prior accident years                                      | (73)                        | (29)     | (113)                     | (22)     |
| Total incurred                                            | 552                         | 619      | 1,026                     | 1,161    |
| Net paid loss and loss expenses related to:               |                             |          |                           |          |
| Current accident year                                     | 221                         | 245      | 333                       | 386      |
| Prior accident years                                      | 233                         | 251      | 534                       | 587      |
| Total paid                                                | 454                         | 496      | 867                       | 973      |
| Net loss and loss expense reserves, end of period         | 3,820                       | 3,686    | 3,820                     | 3,686    |
| Plus reinsurance receivable                               | 311                         | 501      | 311                       | 501      |
| Gross loss and loss expense reserves, end of period       | \$ 4,131                    | \$ 4,187 | \$ 4,131                  | \$ 4,187 |

We use actuarial methods, models and judgment to estimate, as of a financial statement date, the property casualty loss and loss expense reserves required to pay for and settle all outstanding insured claims, including incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims, as of that date. The actuarial estimate is subject to review and adjustment by an inter-departmental committee that includes actuarial management and is familiar with relevant company and industry business, claims and underwriting trends, as well as general economic and legal trends, that could affect future loss and loss expense payments.

Because of changes in estimates of insured events in prior years, we decreased the provision for prior accident years' loss and loss expenses by \$73 million and \$29 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and \$113 million and \$22 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. A primary cause of the decrease in property and casualty loss and loss expenses was a reduction in exposures used in the reserving models for prior years, especially for the workers' compensation and umbrella lines of business. The reserve for loss and loss expenses in the condensed consolidated balance sheets also includes \$53 million at June 30, 2010, and \$46 million at June 30, 2009, for certain life and health loss and loss expense reserves.

## Note 6 – Life Policy Reserves

We establish the reserves for traditional life insurance policies based on expected expenses, mortality, morbidity, withdrawal rates and investment yields, including a provision for uncertainty. Once these assumptions are established, they generally are maintained throughout the lives of the contracts. We use both our own experience and industry experience, adjusted for historical trends, in arriving at our assumptions for expected mortality, morbidity and withdrawal rates as well as for expected expenses. We base our assumptions for expected investment income on our own experience adjusted for current economic conditions.

We establish reserves for the company's universal life, deferred annuity and investment contracts equal to the cumulative account balances, which include premium deposits plus credited interest less charges and withdrawals. Some of our universal life policies contain no-lapse guarantee provisions. For these policies, we establish a reserve in

addition to the account balance, based on expected no-lapse guarantee benefits and expected policy assessments.

| (In millions)             | June 30,<br>2010 | December 31,<br>2009 |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Ordinary/traditional life | \$ 607           | \$ 579               |
| Universal life            | 450              | 450                  |
| Deferred annuities        | 651              | 539                  |
| Investment contracts      | 201              | 197                  |
| Other                     | 17               | 18                   |
| Total                     | \$ 1,926         | \$ 1,783             |

## Note 7 – Reinsurance

Our condensed consolidated statements of operations include earned consolidated property casualty insurance premiums on assumed and ceded business:

| (In millions)           | Three months ended June 30, |        | Six months ended June 30, |          |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|----------|
|                         | 2010                        | 2009   | 2010                      | 2009     |
| Direct earned premiums  | \$ 769                      | \$ 773 | \$ 1,513                  | \$ 1,544 |
| Assumed earned premiums | 2                           | 3      | 5                         | 6        |
| Ceded earned premiums   | (43)                        | (43)   | (82)                      | (85)     |
| Net earned premiums     | \$ 728                      | \$ 733 | \$ 1,436                  | \$ 1,465 |

Our condensed consolidated statements of operations include incurred consolidated property casualty insurance loss and loss expenses on assumed and ceded business:

| (In millions)                           | Three months ended June 30, |        | Six months ended June 30, |          |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|----------|
|                                         | 2010                        | 2009   | 2010                      | 2009     |
| Direct incurred loss and loss expenses  | \$ 528                      | \$ 660 | \$ 977                    | \$ 1,185 |
| Assumed incurred loss and loss expenses | 3                           | 2      | 5                         | 6        |
| Ceded incurred loss and loss expenses   | 21                          | (43)   | 44                        | (30)     |
| Net incurred loss and loss expenses     | \$ 552                      | \$ 619 | \$ 1,026                  | \$ 1,161 |

Because of a \$33 million reduction in ceded reserves, total ceded incurred loss and loss expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010, increased net incurred loss and loss expenses by \$21 million. This reserve reduction occurred in our USAIG pool, as discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Risk Factors, Page 27. Direct reserves were correspondingly reduced by \$33 million, so there was no effect on net incurred loss and loss adjustment expenses. A reduction of \$7 million in ceded IBNR reserves in the first quarter 2010 is included in the \$44 million ceded incurred loss and loss expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Our condensed consolidated statements of operations include earned life insurance premiums on ceded business:

| (In millions)          | Three months ended June 30, |       | Six months ended June 30, |       |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
|                        | 2010                        | 2009  | 2010                      | 2009  |
| Direct earned premiums | \$ 54                       | \$ 49 | \$ 104                    | \$ 94 |
| Ceded earned premiums  | (14)                        | (12)  | (25)                      | (24)  |
| Net earned premiums    | \$ 40                       | \$ 37 | \$ 79                     | \$ 70 |

Our condensed consolidated statements of operations include life insurance contract holders' benefits incurred on ceded business:

| (In millions)                              | Three months ended June 30, |       | Six months ended June 30, |        |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------|
|                                            | 2010                        | 2009  | 2010                      | 2009   |
| Direct contract holders' benefits incurred | \$ 57                       | \$ 49 | \$ 114                    | \$ 100 |
| Ceded contract holders' benefits incurred  | (14)                        | (10)  | (29)                      | (22)   |
| Net incurred loss and loss expenses        | \$ 43                       | \$ 39 | \$ 85                     | \$ 78  |

## Note 8 – Employee Retirement Benefits

The following summarizes the components of net periodic costs for our qualified and supplemental pension plans:

| (In millions)                                         | Three months ended June 30, |      | Six months ended June 30, |      |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
|                                                       | 2010                        | 2009 | 2010                      | 2009 |
| Service cost                                          | \$ 3                        | \$ 3 | \$ 5                      | \$ 5 |
| Interest cost                                         | 4                           | 3    | 7                         | 6    |
| Expected return on plan assets                        | (4)                         | (3)  | (7)                       | (6)  |
| Amortization of actuarial loss and prior service cost | 0                           | 0    | 1                         | 1    |
| Net periodic benefit cost                             | \$ 3                        | \$ 3 | \$ 6                      | \$ 6 |

See our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 13, Employee Retirement Benefits, Page 109 for information on our retirement benefits. We made matching contributions of \$2 million and \$3 million to our 401(k) savings plan during the second quarter of 2010 and 2009 and contributions of \$4 million and \$5 million for the first half of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We made no contribution to the pension plan during the first six months of 2010. We anticipate contributing \$25 million in the third quarter of 2010 to our qualified pension plan as indicated in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## NOTE 9 – Stock-Based Associate Compensation Plans

We currently have four equity compensation plans that permit us to grant various types of equity awards. We currently grant incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, service-based restricted stock units and performance-based restricted stock units under our shareholder-approved plans. We also have a Holiday Stock Plan that permits annual awards of one share of common stock to each full-time associate for each year of service up to a maximum of 10 shares. One of our equity compensation plans permits us to grant stock to our outside directors as a component of their annual compensation. For additional information about our equity compensation plans, see our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 17, Stock-Based Associate Compensation Plans, Page 113.

A total of 17 million shares are authorized to be granted under the shareholder-approved plans. At June 30, 2010, six million shares were available for future issuance under the plans.

Our pretax and after-tax stock-based compensation costs are summarized below:

| (In millions)                           | Three months ended June 30, |      | Six months ended June 30, |      |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
|                                         | 2010                        | 2009 | 2010                      | 2009 |
| Stock-based compensation cost           | \$ 3                        | \$ 3 | \$ 6                      | \$ 5 |
| Income tax benefit                      | 1                           | 1    | 2                         | 1    |
| Stock-based compensation cost after tax | \$ 2                        | \$ 2 | \$ 4                      | \$ 4 |

## Stock-Based Awards

During the first six months of 2010, we granted 31,310 shares of common stock to our directors for 2009 board service fees. Stock-based awards were granted to associates during the first quarter of 2010 and are summarized in the tables below. No stock-based awards were granted to associates during the second quarter of 2010.

As of June 30, 2010, \$17 million of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

Here is a summary of option information:

| (Shares in thousands)          | Shares | Weighted-average exercise price |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | 9,875  | \$ 36.67                        |
| Granted                        | 902    | 26.60                           |
| Exercised                      | (5)    | 26.71                           |
| Forfeited                      | (866)  | 27.60                           |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2010   | 9,906  | 36.55                           |

Here is a summary of restricted stock unit information:

| (Shares in thousands)        | Weighted-average grant-Performance-based |                 | Weighted-average grant- |                 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
|                              | Service-based nonvested shares           | date fair value | nonvested shares        | date fair value |
| Nonvested at January 1, 2010 | 597                                      | \$ 31.60        | 121                     | \$ 29.75        |
| Granted                      | 290                                      | 22.27           | 52                      | 22.41           |

Edgar Filing: CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

|                            |       |       |      |       |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Exercised                  | (154) | 40.65 | 0    | 0.00  |
| Forfeited                  | (4)   | 26.39 | 0    | 0.00  |
| Cancelled                  | 0     | 0.00  | (24) | 40.74 |
| Nonvested at June 30, 2010 | 729   | 26.00 | 149  | 25.38 |

#### NOTE 10 – Commitments And Contingent Liabilities

In the ordinary course of conducting business, the company and its subsidiaries are named as defendants in various legal proceedings. Most of these proceedings are claims litigation involving the company's insurance subsidiaries in which the company is either defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds who are litigating first-party coverage claims. The company accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. We believe that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, is immaterial to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The company and its subsidiaries also are occasionally involved in other legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, putative class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, improper reimbursement of medical providers paid under workers' compensation insurance policies, erroneous coding of municipal tax locations and excessive premium charges for uninsured motorist coverage. The company's insurance subsidiaries also are occasionally parties to individual actions in which extra-contractual damages, punitive damages or penalties are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims.

On a quarterly basis, we review the outstanding lawsuits seeking such recourse. Under current accounting guidance, we establish accruals for lawsuits when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and we can reasonably estimate its potential exposure. The company accounts for such probable and estimable lawsuits, if any, through the establishment of legal expense reserves. Based on our quarterly review, we believe that our accruals for probable and estimable lawsuits are reasonable and that the amounts accrued do not have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. However, if any one or more of these cases results in a judgment against us or settlement for an amount that is significantly greater than the amount accrued, the resulting liability could have a material effect on the company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows.

#### NOTE 11 – Income Taxes

As of December 31, 2009, we had no liability for unrecognized tax benefits. Details about our liability for unrecognized tax benefits are found in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 11, Income Taxes, Pages 108 and 109.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, there was no material change in our liability for unrecognized tax benefits. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, there have been no changes to any assumptions regarding our liability for unrecognized tax benefits that may be settled with the Internal Revenue Service in the next 12 months related to tax years 2007 and 2008.

#### Note 12 – Segment Information

We operate primarily in two industries, property casualty insurance and life insurance. We regularly review four different reporting segments to make decisions about allocating resources and assessing performance:

- Commercial lines property casualty insurance
- Personal lines property casualty insurance
- Life insurance

• Investments

We report as Other the non-investment operations of the parent company and its non-insurer subsidiaries, CFC Investment Company and CSU Producer Resources Inc. We also report as Other the results of The Cincinnati Specialty Underwriters Insurance Company, as well as other income of our standard market property casualty insurance subsidiary. Also included in 2009 results for this segment are the operations of a former subsidiary, CinFin Capital Management. See our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 18, Segment Information, Page 115 for a description of revenue, income or loss before income taxes and identifiable assets for each of the four segments.

Segment information is summarized in the following table:

| (In millions)                             | Three months ended June 30, |         | Six months ended June 30, |          |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------|
|                                           | 2010                        | 2009    | 2010                      | 2009     |
| <b>Revenues:</b>                          |                             |         |                           |          |
| Commercial lines insurance                |                             |         |                           |          |
| Commercial casualty                       | \$ 172                      | \$ 180  | \$ 336                    | \$ 366   |
| Commercial property                       | 121                         | 120     | 242                       | 241      |
| Commercial auto                           | 96                          | 98      | 191                       | 197      |
| Workers' compensation                     | 79                          | 88      | 153                       | 171      |
| Specialty packages                        | 37                          | 37      | 74                        | 72       |
| Surety and executive risk                 | 25                          | 25      | 49                        | 50       |
| Machinery and equipment                   | 8                           | 8       | 16                        | 15       |
| Total commercial lines insurance          | 538                         | 556     | 1,061                     | 1,112    |
| Personal lines insurance                  |                             |         |                           |          |
| Personal auto                             | 83                          | 80      | 164                       | 159      |
| Homeowner                                 | 72                          | 70      | 142                       | 140      |
| Other personal lines                      | 24                          | 22      | 47                        | 44       |
| Total personal lines insurance            | 179                         | 172     | 353                       | 343      |
| Life insurance                            |                             |         |                           |          |
| Investment operations                     | 41                          | 37      | 80                        | 70       |
| Other                                     | 107                         | 101     | 245                       | 223      |
| Total                                     | \$ 878                      | \$ 874  | \$ 1,765                  | \$ 1,764 |
| <b>Income (loss) before income taxes:</b> |                             |         |                           |          |
| Insurance underwriting results:           |                             |         |                           |          |
| Commercial lines insurance                | \$ (9)                      | \$ (61) | \$ (20)                   | \$ (73)  |
| Personal lines insurance                  | (41)                        | (57)    | (46)                      | (92)     |
| Life insurance                            | 2                           | 2       | 2                         | 1        |
| Investment operations                     | 87                          | 84      | 206                       | 190      |
| Other                                     | (18)                        | (18)    | (36)                      | (42)     |
| Total                                     | \$ 21                       | \$ (50) | \$ 106                    | \$ (16)  |

Identifiable assets:

|                             | June 30,<br>2010 | December<br>31,<br>2009 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Property casualty insurance | \$ 2,026         | \$ 2,202                |
| Life insurance              | 1,111            | 1,176                   |
| Investment operations       | 11,070           | 10,684                  |
| Other                       | 400              | 378                     |
| Total                       | \$ 14,607        | \$ 14,440               |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated results of operations and financial position of Cincinnati Financial Corporation (CFC). It should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Unless otherwise noted, the industry data is prepared by A.M. Best Co., a leading insurance industry statistical, analytical and financial strength rating organization. Information from A.M. Best is presented on a statutory basis. When we provide our results on a comparable statutory basis, we label it as such; all other company data is presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

We present per share data on a diluted basis unless otherwise noted, adjusting those amounts for all stock splits and dividends. Dollar amounts are rounded to millions; calculations of percent changes are based on dollar amounts rounded to the nearest million. Certain percentage changes are identified as not meaningful (nm).

Safe Harbor Statement

This is our "Safe Harbor" statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Our business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements in this report. Some of those risks and uncertainties are discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1A, Risk Factors, Page 23. Although we often review or update our forward-looking statements when events warrant, we caution our readers that we undertake no obligation to do so.

Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to:

- Unusually high levels of catastrophe losses due to risk concentrations, changes in weather patterns, environmental events, terrorism incidents or other causes
- Increased frequency and/or severity of claims
- Inadequate estimates or assumptions used for critical accounting estimates
- Recession or other economic conditions resulting in lower demand for insurance products or increased payment delinquencies
- Delays in adoption and implementation of underwriting and pricing methods that could increase our pricing accuracy, underwriting profit and competitiveness
- Inability to defer policy acquisition costs for any business segment if pricing and loss trends would lead management to conclude that segment could not achieve sustainable profitability
- Declines in overall stock market values negatively affecting the company's equity portfolio and book value
- Events, such as the credit crisis, followed by prolonged periods of economic instability or recession, that lead to:
  - o Significant or prolonged decline in the value of a particular security or group of securities and impairment of the asset(s)
  - o Significant decline in investment income due to reduced or eliminated dividend payouts from a particular security or group of securities

- o Significant rise in losses from surety and director and officer policies written for financial institutions
- Prolonged low interest rate environment or other factors that limit the company's ability to generate growth in investment income or interest rate fluctuations that result in declining values of fixed-maturity investments, including declines in accounts in which we hold bank-owned life insurance contract assets
- Increased competition that could result in a significant reduction in the company's premium volume
- Changing consumer insurance-buying habits and consolidation of independent insurance agencies that could alter our competitive advantages
- Inability to obtain adequate reinsurance on acceptable terms, amount of reinsurance purchased, financial strength of reinsurers and the potential for non-payment or delay in payment by reinsurers
- Events or conditions that could weaken or harm the company's relationships with its independent agencies and hamper opportunities to add new agencies, resulting in limitations on the company's opportunities for growth, such as:
  - o Downgrades of the company's financial strength ratings
  - o Concerns that doing business with the company is too difficult
  - o Perceptions that the company's level of service, particularly claims service, is no longer a distinguishing characteristic in the marketplace

- o Delays or inadequacies in the development, implementation, performance and benefits of technology projects and enhancements
- Actions of insurance departments, state attorneys general or other regulatory agencies, including a change to a federal system of regulation from a state-based system, that:
  - o Restrict our ability to exit or reduce writings of unprofitable coverages or lines of business
  - o Place the insurance industry under greater regulatory scrutiny or result in new statutes, rules and regulations
    - o Increase our expenses
  - o Add assessments for guaranty funds, other insurance related assessments or mandatory reinsurance arrangements; or that impair our ability to recover such assessments through future surcharges or other rate changes
    - o Limit our ability to set fair, adequate and reasonable rates
    - o Place us at a disadvantage in the marketplace
  - o Restrict our ability to execute our business model, including the way we compensate agents
- Adverse outcomes from litigation or administrative proceedings
- Events or actions, including unauthorized intentional circumvention of controls, that reduce the company's future ability to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Unforeseen departure of certain executive officers or other key employees due to retirement, health or other causes that could interrupt progress toward important strategic goals or diminish the effectiveness of certain longstanding relationships with insurance agents and others
- Events, such as an epidemic, natural catastrophe or terrorism, that could hamper our ability to assemble our workforce at our headquarters location
- Difficulties with technology or data security breaches could negatively affect our ability to conduct business and our relationships with agents, policyholders and others

Further, the company's insurance businesses are subject to the effects of changing social, economic and regulatory environments. Public and regulatory initiatives have included efforts to adversely influence and restrict premium rates, restrict the ability to cancel policies, impose underwriting standards and expand overall regulation. The company also is subject to public and regulatory initiatives that can affect the market value for its common stock, such as measures affecting corporate financial reporting and governance. The ultimate changes and eventual effects, if any, of these initiatives are uncertain.

## Introduction

## Corporate Financial Highlights

## Income Statement and Per Share Data

| (Dollars in millions except share data)      | Three months ended June 30, |             |          | Six months ended June 30, |             |          |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------|-------------|----------|
|                                              | 2010                        | 2009        | Change % | 2010                      | 2009        | Change % |
| <b>Income statement data</b>                 |                             |             |          |                           |             |          |
| Earned premiums                              | \$ 768                      | \$ 770      | 0        | \$ 1,515                  | \$ 1,535    | (1)      |
| Investment income, net of expenses           | 130                         | 119         | 9        | 260                       | 243         | 7        |
| Realized investment gains and losses, pretax | (23)                        | (18)        | (28)     | (15)                      | (20)        | 25       |
| Total revenues                               | 878                         | 874         | 0        | 1,765                     | 1,764       | 0        |
| Net income (loss)                            | 27                          | (19)        | nm       | 95                        | 17          | 459      |
| <b>Per share data (diluted)</b>              |                             |             |          |                           |             |          |
| Net income (loss)                            | 0.17                        | (0.12)      | nm       | 0.58                      | 0.10        | 480      |
| Cash dividends declared                      | 0.395                       | 0.39        | 1        | 0.79                      | 0.78        | 1        |
| Weighted average shares outstanding          | 163,284,013                 | 162,556,327 | 0        | 163,293,335               | 162,738,081 | 0        |

Revenues were slightly higher for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to higher investment income. Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010, were essentially flat compared with the same period of 2009 as higher investment-related revenues offset lower earned premiums. Revenue trends and investment revenues are discussed further in the respective sections of Results of Operations, Page 28.

Realized investment gains and losses are recognized on the sales of investments or as otherwise required by GAAP. We have substantial discretion in the timing of investment sales, and that timing generally is independent of the insurance underwriting process. GAAP also requires us to recognize in income the gains or losses from certain changes in fair values of securities even though we continue to hold the securities.

Net income increased for the second quarter of 2010 compared with the net loss for the 2009 second-quarter, primarily due to improved property casualty underwriting results. After-tax investment income increased \$6 million and was partially offset by higher realized investment losses. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, net income increased compared with the same period of 2009, also primarily due to improved property casualty underwriting results. After-tax investment income increased \$9 million and realized investment losses decreased. Property casualty underwriting performance and investment results are discussed below in Results of Operations, beginning on Page 28. As discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Factors Influencing Our Future Performance, Page 35, there are several reasons that our performance during 2010 may be below our long-term targets. In that annual report, as part of Results of Operations, we also discussed the year 2010 outlook for each reporting segment.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, we repurchased 0.4 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$10 million, with an average price paid per share of \$26.49.

The board of directors is committed to rewarding shareholders directly through cash dividends and through share repurchase authorizations. Through 2009, the company had increased the indicated annual cash dividend rate for 49 consecutive years, a record we believe was matched by only 10 other publicly traded companies. Cash dividends declared during the first six months of 2010 increased approximately 1 percent compared with the same period of 2009. Our board regularly evaluates relevant factors in dividend-related decisions, and the increase declared in August

2009 reflected confidence in our strong capital, liquidity and financial flexibility, as well as progress through our initiatives to improve earnings performance.

## Balance Sheet Data and Performance Measures

| (Dollars in millions except share data) | At June 30,<br>2010                 | At December 31,<br>2009           |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Balance sheet data</b>               |                                     |                                   |
| Invested assets                         | \$ 11,032                           | \$ 10,643                         |
| Total assets                            | 14,607                              | 14,440                            |
| Short-term debt                         | 49                                  | 49                                |
| Long-term debt                          | 790                                 | 790                               |
| Shareholders' equity                    | 4,737                               | 4,760                             |
| Book value per share                    | 29.13                               | 29.25                             |
| Debt-to-capital ratio                   | 15.0%                               | 15.0%                             |
|                                         | Three months ended June 30,<br>2010 | Six months ended June 30,<br>2009 |
| <b>Performance measure</b>              |                                     |                                   |
| Value creation ratio                    | (1.1) %                             | 8.4%                              |
|                                         |                                     | 2.3%                              |
|                                         |                                     | 2.0%                              |

Invested assets increased 4 percent and total assets increased 1 percent compared with year-end 2009, largely due to purchases of additional securities and net cash provided by operating activities, while shareholders' equity and book value per share decreased less than 1 percent. Our debt-to-capital ratio (capital is the sum of debt plus shareholders' equity) did not change compared with the December 31, 2009, level. The value creation ratio, defined in the following section, also increased for the first six months of 2010 compared with 2009, reflecting higher net income. The \$0.12 decrease in book value per share during the first six months of 2010 subtracted 0.4 percentage points from the value creation ratio while dividends declared during the first half of 2010 at \$0.79 per share contributed 2.7 points.

## Progress Toward Long-Term Value Creation

Through The Cincinnati Insurance Company, Cincinnati Financial Corporation is one of the 25 largest property casualty insurers in the nation, based on 2009 written premium volume for approximately 2,000 U.S. stock and mutual insurer groups. We market our insurance products through a select group of independent insurance agencies in 37 states as discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Our Business and Our Strategy, Page 1.

We maintain a long-term perspective that guides us in addressing immediate challenges or opportunities while focusing on the major decisions that best position our company for success through all market cycles. We believe that this forward-looking view has consistently benefited our policyholders, agents, shareholders and associates.

To measure our long-term progress, we have defined a value creation metric that we believe captures the contribution of our insurance operations, the success of our investment strategy and the importance we place on paying cash dividends to shareholders. We refer to this measure as our value creation ratio, or VCR, and it is made up of two primary components: (1) our rate of growth in book value per share plus (2) the ratio of dividends declared per share to beginning book value per share. For the period 2010 through 2014, an annual value creation ratio averaging 12 percent to 15 percent is our primary performance target. Management believes this non-GAAP measure is a useful supplement to GAAP information. With heightened economic and market uncertainty since 2008, we believe the long-term nature of this ratio is an appropriate way to measure our long-term progress in creating shareholder value.

When looking at our longer-term objectives, we see three performance drivers:

- Premium growth — We believe over any five-year period our agency relationships and initiatives can lead to a property casualty written premium growth rate that exceeds the industry average. The compound annual growth rate of our net written premiums was negative 0.6 percent over the five-year period 2005 through 2009, compared with negative 1.0 percent estimated growth rate for the property casualty insurance industry.

For the first six months of 2010, our property casualty net written premiums increased less than 1 percent overall while our largest segment, commercial lines, decreased approximately 3 percent. A.M. Best forecasts a decline in net written premiums of approximately 2 percent for the U.S. property casualty industry for the year 2010, with the industry's commercial lines segment declining nearly 6 percent. A.M. Best also expects a sluggish economic recovery and forecasts that premium rates will be flat to slightly down throughout 2010. Given the ongoing weak pricing in the insurance marketplace, we continue to exercise discipline for risk selection and pricing. Our careful underwriting approach and continued weakness in the broader economy somewhat offset progress on growth initiatives discussed below in Highlights of Our Strategies and Supporting Initiatives, Page 23.

The effects of targeted growth initiatives from recent years continue to mature over time, as measured by growth in property casualty net written premiums. In the first six months of 2010, targeted growth highlights included \$16 million of standard commercial lines business from three new states – Texas, Colorado and Wyoming – where we began operating in 2008 or 2009, and \$10 million from all states in total for our excess and surplus lines operation, which also began in 2008.

- **Combined ratio** — We believe our underwriting philosophy and initiatives can generate a GAAP combined ratio over any five-year period that is consistently below 100 percent. Our GAAP combined ratio averaged 95.6 percent over the five-year period 2005 through 2009. It was below 100 percent in each year during the period except 2008 and 2009, which averaged 102.5 percent including average catastrophe losses that were 2.5 percentage points higher than the average for the 10-year period prior to 2008. Our statutory combined ratio averaged 95.4 percent over the five-year period 2005 through 2009 compared with an estimated 98.8 percent for the property casualty industry.

For the first six months of 2010, our GAAP combined ratio was 105.2 percent and our statutory combined ratio was 104.3 percent, both including 8.8 percentage points of current accident year catastrophe losses and partially offset by 7.8 percentage points of favorable loss reserve development on prior accident years. A.M. Best forecasts the industry's full-year 2010 statutory combined ratio at 101.7 percent, including 4.0 percentage points of catastrophe losses and a favorable impact of 2.3 percentage points from prior accident year reserve releases. For the commercial lines industry segment, A.M. Best forecasts a full-year 2010 statutory combined ratio at 103.7 percent, including 2.7 percentage points of catastrophe losses and a favorable impact of 2.1 percentage points from prior accident year reserve releases.

- **Investment contribution** — We believe our investment philosophy and initiatives can drive investment income growth and lead to a total return on our equity investment portfolio over a five-year period that exceeds the five-year return of the Standard & Poor's 500 Index (S&P 500 Index). The compound annual return for our equity portfolio over the five-year period 2005 through 2009 was negative 5.8 percent compared with positive 0.4 percent for the Index. Our equity portfolio underperformed the market for the five-year period primarily because of the 2008 decline in the market value of our previously large holdings in the financial services sector.

Investment income, on a before-tax basis, grew at a compound annual rate of 0.3 percent over the five-year period 2005 through 2009. It grew in each year except 2008 and 2009, when we experienced a dramatic reduction in dividend payouts by financial services companies held in our equity portfolio, a risk we addressed aggressively during 2008, completing that effort in early 2009.

For the first six months of 2010, pretax investment income was \$260 million, up 7 percent from \$243 million for the same period in 2009. The increase reflected higher interest income that offset lower dividends. The current investment portfolio mix provides a balance of income stability and growth with capital appreciation potential.

#### Highlights of Our Strategies and Supporting Initiatives

Management has worked to identify the strategies that can lead to long-term success, with concurrence by the board of directors. Our strategies are intended to position us to compete successfully in the markets we have targeted while appropriately managing risk. We believe successful implementation of the initiatives that support these strategies will help us better serve our agent customers, reduce volatility in our financial results and weather difficult economic, market or industry pricing cycles:

- **Manage capital effectively** – Continued focus on capital-related initiatives is intended to manage our capital and provide financial flexibility so that we can successfully grow our insurance business while also building capital for the long-term benefit of shareholders. A strong capital position provides the capacity to support premium growth and

provides the liquidity to pay claims while sustaining our investment in the people and infrastructure needed to implement our other strategic initiatives.

- **Improve insurance profitability** – Implementation of profit-focused initiatives is intended to improve pricing capabilities for our property casualty business and improve our overall efficiency. Improved pricing helps us manage profit margins and greater efficiency helps control costs, together improving overall profitability. These initiatives also seek to help the agencies that represent us to grow profitably by supporting their effectiveness and efficiency in serving clients and managing expenses.
- **Drive premium growth** – Implementation of premium growth-oriented initiatives is intended to expand our geographic footprint and diversify our premium sources to obtain profitable growth without significant additional infrastructure expense. Diversified growth also may reduce earnings volatility related to regional differences for risks of weather-related catastrophes or potential negative changes in economic, judicial or regulatory environments.

We discuss initiatives supporting each of these three strategies below, along with metrics we use to assess our progress.

## Manage Capital Effectively

Our primary capital management initiatives are:

- Maintain a diversified investment portfolio by reviewing and applying diversification parameters and tolerances – We discuss our portfolio strategies in greater depth in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Investment Segment, Page 18.
  - o High-quality fixed-maturity portfolio that exceeds total insurance reserves – At June 30, 2010, the average rating of the \$8.339 billion fixed maturity portfolio was A2/A. The risk of potential decline of capital due to lower bond values during periods of increasing interest rates is managed in part through a generally laddered maturity schedule for this portfolio, as approximately 28 percent of our bonds mature during 2010 through 2014. The portfolio fair value exceeded total insurance reserve liability by approximately 36 percent. In addition, we have assets in the form of receivables from reinsurers, most with A.M. Best insurer financial strength ratings of A or better. These assets directly relate to insurance reserves, offsetting nearly 9 percent of that liability.
  - o Diversified equity portfolio that has no concentrated positions in single stocks or industries – At June 30, 2010, no single security accounted for more than 6 percent of our portfolio of publicly traded common stocks, and no single sector accounted for more than 17 percent. Because of the strength of our fixed-maturity portfolio, we have the opportunity to invest for potential capital appreciation by purchasing equity securities. We seek to achieve a total return on the equity portfolio over any five-year period that exceeds that of the Standard & Poor's 500 Index while taking similar or less risk.
  - o Parent company liquidity that increases our flexibility through all periods to maintain our cash dividend and to continue to invest in and expand our insurance operations – At June 30, 2010, we held \$1.054 billion of our cash and invested assets at the parent company level, of which \$649 million, or 61.6 percent, was invested in common stocks, and \$95 million, or 9.0 percent, was cash or cash equivalents.
- Develop a comprehensive, enterprise-level catastrophe management program – Weather-related catastrophe losses for our property casualty business can significantly affect capital and cause earnings volatility. We continue to work on a comprehensive program with key objectives that include identifying overall tolerances for catastrophe risk as well as regional guidelines that work with our underwriting and reinsurance efforts. An important element of this initiative is maintaining reinsurance coverage from highly rated reinsurers to mitigate underwriting risk and to support our ability to hold investments until maturity. See our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, 2010 Reinsurance Programs, Page 79, for additional details on our reinsurance.
- Minimize reliance on debt as a source of capital, maintaining the ratio of debt-to-total capital below 20 percent – At June 30, 2010, this ratio at 15.0 percent was well below the target limit as capital remained strong while debt levels were essentially unchanged from year-end 2009. Our long-term debt consists of three non-convertible, non-callable debentures, two due in 2028 and one in 2034.
- Identify tolerances for other operational risks and calibrate management decisions accordingly – Among the areas of focus in early 2010 were implications of health care reform legislation and related income tax effects. Because our employee benefit plans do not include subsidies related to retiree prescription drug coverage, we have no corresponding tax effect due to the legislation. We also continued work on managing exposure to operational risks related to our company's disaster recovery and business continuity. Our enterprise risk management efforts also include evaluating emerging risks such as potential changes in regulation at both the state and federal levels and the potential effects of increased inflation on assets and liabilities.

We measure the overall success of our strategy to effectively manage capital primarily by growing investment income and by achieving a total return on our equity investment portfolio that exceeds the return of the S&P 500 Index over any five-year period. We also monitor other measures. One of the most significant is our ratio of property casualty net written premiums to statutory surplus, which was 0.8-to-1 for the 12 months ended June 30, 2010, unchanged from 0.8-to-1 at year-end 2009. This ratio is a common measure of operating leverage used in the property casualty industry, with lower ratios indicating more capacity for a company's premium growth. A.M. Best estimated the industry ratio was 0.8-to-1 at year-end 2009.

Another means of verifying our capital management strategy is our financial strength ratings. Our parent company's senior debt is rated by four independent ratings firms. In addition, these firms award insurer financial strength ratings to our property casualty and life companies based on their quantitative and qualitative analyses. These ratings primarily assess an insurer's ability to meet financial obligations to policyholders and do not necessarily address all of the matters that may be important to investors. Ratings may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agency, and each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

As of July 27, 2010, our credit and financial strength ratings were:

#### Insurer Financial Strength Ratings

| Rating Agency                      | Parent Company     | Standard Market Property |           |             | Life Insurance |            |             | Excess and Surplus |            |             | Date of Most Recent Affirmation or Action |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                                    | Senior Debt Rating | Casualty                 | Insurance | Subsidiary  | Subsidiary     | Subsidiary | Subsidiary  | Insurance          | Subsidiary | Subsidiary  |                                           |
|                                    |                    |                          |           | Rating Tier |                |            | Rating Tier |                    |            | Rating Tier |                                           |
| A. M. Best Co.                     | a                  | A+                       | Superior  | 2 of 16     | A              | Excellent  | 3 of 16     | A                  | Excellent  | 3 of 16     | Stable outlook (2/18/10)                  |
| Fitch Ratings                      | BBB+               | A+                       | Strong    | 5 of 21     | A+             | Strong     | 5 of 21     | -                  | -          | -           | Stable outlook (8/6/09)                   |
| Moody's Investors Service          | A3                 | A1                       | Good      | 5 of 21     | -              | -          | -           | -                  | -          | -           | Stable outlook (9/25/08)                  |
| Standard & Poor's Ratings Services | BBB                | A                        | Strong    | 6 of 21     | A              | Strong     | 6 of 21     | -                  | -          | -           | Stable outlook (07/19/10)                 |

- All of our insurance subsidiaries continue to be highly rated.

On July 19, 2010, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered the insurer financial strength ratings to A (Strong) from A+ (Strong) on our standard market property casualty companies and our life insurance subsidiary, raising its outlook to stable. Standard & Poor's also lowered its counterparty credit rating on Cincinnati Financial Corporation to BBB from BBB+. S&P said its actions reflected the recent decline in our earnings and deterioration of underwriting performance from historical levels. Standard & Poor's noted our very strong capitalization and strong competitive position, supported by a very loyal and productive agency force and low-cost infrastructure. S&P also cited our improved enterprise risk management, including a more conservative and risk-averse investment portfolio, which supports capital stabilization.

On February 18, 2010, A.M. Best affirmed our ratings that it had assigned in December 2008, continuing its stable outlook. A.M. Best cited our superior risk-adjusted capitalization, strong five-year average operating performance, historically redundant reserves and successful distribution within our targeted regional markets. A.M. Best noted that common stock leverage was approximately 50 percent of statutory surplus at year-end 2009, a concern offset by our conservative underwriting and reserving philosophies, with loss reserves more than fully covered by a highly rated, diversified bond portfolio.

No other ratings agency actions have occurred in 2010. Our debt ratings are discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Additional Sources of Liquidity, Page 69.

#### Improve Insurance Profitability

The main initiatives to improve our insurance profitability include:

- Improve underwriting expertise – While most of our lines of business have maintained underwriting profitability, we continue to work on improving our capabilities in risk selection and pricing. For the lines of business that are underperforming or that involve larger or more complex risks, we take a comprehensive approach – with collaborative expertise among a team of associates from underwriting, claims, loss control, marketing, actuarial services and premium audit – focusing efforts toward restoring those lines' underwriting profitability. Progress during 2010 and future plans for key initiatives are summarized below.

- o Improve pricing capabilities in each line of business – We began using predictive modeling tools that align individual insurance policy pricing to risk attributes prior to 2010 for our homeowner and workers' compensation lines of business and expect to improve loss ratios over time. Audit processes are used to monitor compliance and to further develop risk selection and pricing capabilities. We are developing predictive models to use as a pricing tool for all major lines of commercial insurance and for our personal auto line of business, with both commercial auto and personal auto targeted for initial use in late 2010. Other initiatives in progress include preparing regulatory filings for multiple price tiers supporting predictive modeling and closer monitoring with measurements for commercial lines discretionary rate credits applied based on risk quality. In addition, we are preparing to file rate changes that will increase rates for most of our personal lines business, with implementation expected to begin during the fourth quarter of 2010.
- o Improving our business data, supporting accurate underwriting, pricing and decisions – Over the next several years, we will deploy a full data management program, including a data warehouse for our property casualty and life insurance operations that will provide enhanced granularity of pricing data. This is a phased, long-term project that is currently in progress. In the interim, new data mining and reporting tools are being implemented for use with existing databases.
- Improve expense management to make the best use of our resources – We continue to invest in technology and workflow improvements to help improve efficiency and grow our business, as insurance market conditions improve, without proportional increases in expenses. Efficiency gains currently being realized allowed us recently to reallocate associates, focusing resources on more strategic activities and

initiatives. During the first six months of 2010, our overall associate count decreased approximately 2 percent from the year-end 2009 level, largely in data entry functions related to initial benefits from our investment in new or enhanced policy administration systems.

- Develop and deploy technology – Technology continues to be key for improving efficiencies and streamlining processes for our agencies, allowing us to win an increasing share of their most profitable business. Our technology initiatives seek to make it easier for agents to do business with us while enhancing our tradition of local decision making by our agents together with our field representatives who live and work in their communities. Ongoing technology development contributes to improved profitability by enhancing internal efficiency and organization of business data used for underwriting and pricing. Progress during 2010 and future plans for major technology initiatives are highlighted below.

- oCommercial lines policy administration system – In the fourth quarter of 2009, we deployed a new system called e-CLAS® CPP for commercial package and auto coverages to all of our appointed agencies in 11 states. During the first seven months of 2010, the system was deployed in 10 additional states. In total those first 21 states produce approximately 75 percent of our commercial premium volume. We plan to deploy the system to as many as nine additional states during the remainder of 2010. The new system includes real-time quoting and policy issuance, direct bill capabilities with several payment plans, and interface capabilities to transfer selected policy data from agency management systems. The response from agency users has been very positive, and we believe the new system will further improve our position among the go-to carriers for our agencies, having a positive impact on growth of profitable commercial lines business over the long term.

- oPersonal lines policy administration system – In early 2010, we deployed a new version of this system, called Diamond 5.x, to all agencies that produce our personal lines business. In addition to handling additional data that supports enhanced pricing sophistication, this Web-based system supports agency efficiency through pre-filling of selected policy data and easy-to-use screens. We continue to focus on making it easier for our agents to do business with us, which we believe will significantly benefit our objective of writing their highest quality accounts with superior profit potential. During the first six months of 2010, agents continued to generate solid growth for our personal lines segment as new business written premiums increased nearly 25 percent.

We measure the overall success of our strategy to improve insurance profitability primarily through our GAAP combined ratio, which we believe can be consistently below 100 percent over any five-year period.

In addition, we expect these initiatives to contribute to our rank as the No. 1 or No. 2 insurance company based on premium volume in agencies that have represented us for at least five years. In 2009, we earned that rank in approximately 75 percent of these agencies, based on 2009 premiums. We are working to increase the percentage of agencies where our premium share ranks us as No. 1 or No. 2.

#### Drive Premium Growth

Key initiatives to drive premium growth include:

- New agency appointments – In 2010, we are targeting 65 appointments of independent agencies writing an aggregate \$1 billion in property casualty premiums annually with all insurance companies they represent. During the first six months of 2010, we appointed 38 new agencies that write an aggregate of nearly \$600 million in property casualty premiums annually with various companies for an average of approximately \$16 million per agency. The smallest of the new agencies writes less than \$1 million for all represented companies and the largest writes nearly \$140 million. In recent years approximately 23 larger agencies that each write over \$50 million for all represented companies have

been appointed to represent The Cincinnati Insurance Companies. As of June 30, 2010, a total of 1,201 agency relationships market our standard market insurance products from 1,487 reporting locations.

We seek to build close, long-term relationships with each agency we appoint and carefully evaluate the marketing reach of each new appointment to ensure the territory can support both current and new agencies. Our 114 field marketing territories are staffed by marketing representatives averaging 19 years of industry experience and nine years as a Cincinnati Insurance field marketing representative. They each lead a team of field associates, working together with headquarters support associates to form our agent-centered business model that provides local expertise, helps us better understand the accounts we underwrite and creates another market advantage for our agents.

Expansion into new states provides opportunities to replicate and leverage our highly successful agent-centered business model through the appointment of additional agencies. At June 30, 2010, our agents were actively marketing Cincinnati Insurance policies in 37 states, and we continue to study the regulatory and competitive environment in other states. We are targeting entry into two new states, Connecticut and Oregon, in the second half of 2010.

- Earn a larger share of business with currently appointed agents – We continue to execute on growth initiatives begun in prior years, with a focus on the key components of agent satisfaction. Important initiatives are summarized below.
  - o New products and services – Deploying enhancements that address agents’ needs, in early 2010 we launched a Target Markets department intended to focus on new commercial product development and support, including identification of promising classes of business. A team of associates with a focus and subject matter expertise in specific industry segments is dedicated full time to this department and engaged in research supporting the target markets initiative. During the second quarter of 2010, we released our first target market product: Educational Institutions Program. This initiative is expected to enable our agents to capture a greater share of the business in their communities and to place that business with Cincinnati Insurance. We also continue to add field associates where we can enhance service to our agents to increase their market advantages and support new business growth. Areas of targeted additions include loss control field representatives, personal lines field marketing representatives, and field associates specializing in surety bonds or premium auditing.
  - o New states – Reaching our desired market share within an independent agency requires several years as relationships mature. We generally are able to earn a 10 percent share of an agency’s business within 10 years of its appointment. We also help our agents grow their business by attracting more clients in their communities through our unique style of service. In New Mexico and eastern Washington, states entered in 2007, we appointed 13 agencies through 2009, earning an almost 5 percent share of their total agency annual premium volume as of the end of 2009. In Texas, entered in late 2008, net written premiums for the first six months of 2010 rose to \$15 million compared with \$3 million for the same period of 2009.
  - o Excess and surplus lines insurance - Better serving our agents by entering this market in 2008, we offer a variety of coverages in 36 of the 37 states where agents market our standard market coverages. Our agents write about \$2.5 billion annually of excess and surplus lines business with other carriers, and we plan to earn a profitable share by bringing Cincinnati-style service to agents and policyholders. While we carefully manage policy terms and conditions and limit our exposure of any single risk to \$1 million through reinsurance, our excess and surplus lines business continues to grow at a healthy pace. During the second quarter of 2010, new products were introduced for errors and omissions coverage targeting manufacturing and staffing businesses. During the first six months of 2010, net written premiums were \$27 million compared with \$17 million for the same period of 2009, an increase of 57 percent.
  - o Personal lines – Refining pricing and improving ease of use for our agents, we are benefitting from continued premium growth. Enhancement of our tiered rating during 2009 helped to further improve our rate and credit structures to attract and retain business for our agents’ more quality-conscious clientele, with pricing that targets long-term underwriting profitability. During the first half of 2010, net written premiums increased 7 percent while new business premiums increased 26 percent. In seven states where we began writing personal lines business or significantly expanded our product offerings and automation capabilities in 2008 or 2009, net written premiums nearly doubled to \$18 million.

Opportunities for future growth were enhanced as we continued to appoint agents that formerly marketed only our commercial lines products. During the first half of 2010, 27 more of those agencies were activated to offer our personal lines products, with 78 percent of our agents now offering our personal lines products in the 29 states where we market them.

We measure the overall success of this strategy to drive premium growth primarily through changes in net written premiums, which we believe can grow faster than the industry average over any five-year period. For the first six months of 2010, our property casualty net written premiums increased slightly, at less than 1 percent, compared with a full-year 2010 estimated 2 percent decline for the industry.

Despite near-term challenges in insurance and financial markets that are reflected in year-to-date 2010 financial performance, we have made significant progress on our initiatives and remain confident that our strategy will deliver long-term value for shareholders.

## Results of Operations

The consolidated results of operations reflect the operating results of each of our four segments along with the parent company and other activities reported as "Other." The four segments are:

- Commercial lines property casualty insurance
- Personal lines property casualty insurance
- Life insurance
- Investments

We report as Other the non-investment operations of the parent company and its non-insurer subsidiaries, CFC Investment Company and CSU Producer Resources Inc. We also report as Other the results of The Cincinnati Specialty Underwriters Insurance Company, as well as other income of our standard market property casualty insurance subsidiary. See Item 1, Note 12, Segment Information, Page 17, for discussion of the calculations of segment data. Results of operations for each of the four segments are discussed below.

## Consolidated Property Casualty Insurance Results of Operations

Consolidated property casualty insurance results include premiums and expenses for our standard market insurance (commercial lines and personal lines segments) as well as our surplus lines operations.

| (Dollars in millions)                           | Three months ended June 30, |          |          | Six months ended June 30, |          |          |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                                 | 2010                        | 2009     | Change % | 2010                      | 2009     | Change % |
| Earned premiums                                 | \$ 728                      | \$ 733   | (1)      | \$ 1,436                  | \$ 1,465 | (2)      |
| Loss and loss expenses from:                    |                             |          |          |                           |          |          |
| Current accident year before catastrophe losses | 522                         | 529      | (1)      | 1,014                     | 1,010    | 0        |
| Current accident year catastrophe losses        | 104                         | 120      | (13)     | 126                       | 175      | (28)     |
| Prior accident years before catastrophe losses  | (68)                        | (27)     | (152)    | (100)                     | (18)     | (456)    |
| Prior accident year catastrophe losses          | (5)                         | (2)      | (150)    | (12)                      | (4)      | (200)    |
| Total loss and loss expenses                    | 553                         | 620      | (11)     | 1,028                     | 1,163    | (12)     |
| Underwriting expenses                           | 230                         | 235      | (2)      | 482                       | 479      | 1        |
| Underwriting loss                               | \$ (55)                     | \$ (122) | 55       | \$ (74)                   | \$ (177) | 58       |

|                                                 | Pt. Change |       |       | Pt. Change |       |       |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
| Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:         |            |       |       |            |       |       |
| Current accident year before catastrophe losses | 71.7%      | 72.1% | (0.4) | 70.6%      | 69.0% | 1.6   |
|                                                 | 14.3       | 16.3  | (2.0) | 8.8        | 11.9  | (3.1) |

|                                                                              |        |        |       |        |        |       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|
| Current accident year catastrophe losses                                     |        |        |       |        |        |       |
| Prior accident years before catastrophe losses                               | (9.3)  | (3.7)  | (5.6) | (7.0)  | (1.2)  | (5.8) |
| Prior accident year catastrophe losses                                       | (0.7)  | (0.2)  | (0.5) | (0.8)  | (0.3)  | (0.5) |
| Total loss and loss expenses                                                 | 76.0   | 84.5   | (8.5) | 71.6   | 79.4   | (7.8) |
| Underwriting expenses                                                        | 31.6   | 32.1   | (0.5) | 33.6   | 32.7   | 0.9   |
| Combined ratio                                                               | 107.6% | 116.6% | (9.0) | 105.2% | 112.1% | (6.9) |
| Combined ratio:                                                              | 107.6% | 116.6% | (9.0) | 105.2% | 112.1% | (6.9) |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development     | 4.3    | 12.4   | (8.1) | 1.0    | 10.4   | (9.4) |
| Combined ratio before catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development | 103.3% | 104.2% | (0.9) | 104.2% | 101.7% | 2.5   |

Our consolidated property casualty insurance operations generated an underwriting loss of \$55 million and \$74 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with an underwriting loss of \$122 million and \$177 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The main drivers of improvement included lower prior accident year losses for our commercial lines workers' compensation business and lower catastrophe losses for most of our property lines of business as discussed below.

We measure and analyze property casualty underwriting results primarily by the combined ratio and its component ratios. The combined ratio is the percentage of incurred losses plus all expenses per each premium dollar — the lower the ratio, the better the performance. An underwriting profit results when the combined ratio is below 100 percent. A combined ratio above 100 percent indicates that an insurance company's losses and expenses exceeded premiums.

The combined ratio can be affected significantly by catastrophe losses and other large losses as discussed in detail below. The combined ratio can also be affected by updated estimates of loss and loss expense reserves established for claims that occurred in prior periods, referred to as prior accident years. Development on prior accident year reserves, including reserves for catastrophe losses, improved the combined ratio by 7.8 percentage points in the first half of 2010 compared with 1.5 percentage points in the same period of 2009. The higher amount of favorable development for the first six months of 2010 compared with 2009 was driven by a reversal of last year's development trend for the workers' compensation line of business as discussed in Commercial Lines Results of Operations on Page 30.

The underwriting expense ratio decreased for the second quarter and increased for the first six months of 2010 compared with the same periods of 2009. The second quarter decrease was largely due to lower policyholder dividends. The six-month increase was primarily due to first-quarter 2010 provisions for matters involving prior years and related to Note 10, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities, Page 17.

| (Dollars in millions)                | Three months ended June 30, |        |          | Six months ended June 30, |          |          |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                      | 2010                        | 2009   | Change % | 2010                      | 2009     | Change % |
| Agency renewal written premiums      | \$ 685                      | \$ 666 | 3        | \$ 1,367                  | \$ 1,361 | 0        |
| Agency new business written premiums | 106                         | 107    | (1)      | 198                       | 204      | (3)      |
| Other written premiums               | (42)                        | (50)   | 16       | (60)                      | (64)     | 6        |
| Net written premiums                 | 749                         | 723    | 4        | 1,505                     | 1,501    | 0        |
| Unearned premium change              | (21)                        | 10     | nm       | (69)                      | (36)     | (92)     |
| Earned premiums                      | \$ 728                      | \$ 733 | (1)      | \$ 1,436                  | \$ 1,465 | (2)      |

The trends in net written premiums and earned premiums summarized in the table above reflect a continuation of strong competition in our markets plus economic recession impacts on insured exposures, partially offset by the effects of the premium growth strategies we discussed in Highlights of Our Strategies and Supporting Initiatives, Page 23. The main drivers of trends for 2010 are discussed by segment on Pages 30 and 34.

Consolidated property casualty agency new business written for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, decreased \$1 million and \$6 million compared with the same periods of 2009. New business premiums grew for our personal lines segment and for our excess and surplus lines operation while declining for our commercial lines segment. We continued to experience new business growth related to initiatives for geographic or product line expansion into new and underserved areas. Agents appointed during 2009 or 2010 produced an increase in standard lines new business of \$17 million for the first six months of 2010 compared with 2009. As we appoint new agencies who choose to move accounts to us, we report these accounts as new business. While this business was new to us, in many cases it was not new to the agent. We believe these seasoned accounts tend to be priced more accurately than business that is less familiar to our agent due to it being recently obtained from a competing agent.

Catastrophe losses contributed 13.6 and 8.0 percentage points to the combined ratio in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with 16.1 and 11.6 percentage points in the same periods of 2009.

The following table shows catastrophe losses incurred, net of reinsurance, as well as the effect of loss development on prior period catastrophe events. We individually list catastrophe events for which our incurred losses reach or exceed \$5 million.

| (In millions, net of reinsurance) |                            |                | Three months ended June 30, |                |        | Six months ended June 30, |                |       |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------------|----------------|-------|
| Dates                             | Cause of loss              | Region         | Commercial lines            | Personal lines | Total  | Commercial lines          | Personal lines | Total |
| 2010                              |                            |                |                             |                |        |                           |                |       |
| Jan. 7-12                         | Freezing, wind             | South, Midwest | \$ (1)                      | \$ -           | \$ (1) | \$ 3                      | \$ 2           | \$ 5  |
| Feb. 9-11                         | Ice, snow, wind            | East, Midwest  | (1)                         | -              | (1)    | 5                         | 2              | 7     |
| Apr. 4-6                          | Flood, hail, tornado, wind | South, Midwest | 5                           | 6              | 11     | 5                         | 6              | 11    |
|                                   |                            | South          | 28                          | 6              | 34     | 28                        | 6              | 34    |

## Edgar Filing: CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                            |                            |                |       |       |       |       |       |        |  |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| Apr. 30 - May 3                            | Flood, hail, tornado, wind |                |       |       |       |       |       |        |  |
| May 7-8                                    | Hail, tornado, wind        | East, Midwest  | 2     | 10    | 12    | 2     | 10    | 12     |  |
| May 12-16                                  | Flood, hail, tornado, wind | South, Midwest | 3     | 2     | 5     | 3     | 2     | 5      |  |
| Jun. 4-6                                   | Flood, hail, tornado, wind | Midwest        | 3     | 3     | 6     | 3     | 3     | 6      |  |
| Jun. 17-20                                 | Flood, hail, tornado, wind | Midwest, West  | 5     | 4     | 9     | 5     | 4     | 9      |  |
| Jun. 21-24                                 | Flood, hail, tornado, wind | Midwest        | 4     | 5     | 9     | 4     | 5     | 9      |  |
| Jun. 25-28                                 | Flood, hail, tornado, wind | Midwest        | 1     | 4     | 5     | 1     | 4     | 5      |  |
| All other 2010 catastrophes                |                            |                | 11    | 4     | 15    | 17    | 6     | 23     |  |
| Development on 2009 and prior catastrophes |                            |                | (4)   | (1)   | (5)   | (10)  | (2)   | (12)   |  |
| Calendar year incurred total               |                            |                | \$ 56 | \$ 43 | \$ 99 | \$ 66 | \$ 48 | \$ 114 |  |

## 2009

|                                            |                            |                      |        |       |        |       |        |        |  |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--|
| Jan. 26-28                                 | Flood, freezing, ice, snow | South, Midwest       | \$ (1) | \$ -  | \$ (1) | \$ 5  | \$ 15  | \$ 20  |  |
| Feb. 10-13                                 | Flood, hail, wind          | South, Midwest, East | 4      | 5     | 9      | 15    | 23     | 38     |  |
| Feb. 18-19                                 | Wind, hail                 | South                | 1      | 3     | 4      | 1     | 8      | 9      |  |
| Apr. 9-11                                  | Flood, hail, wind          | South, Midwest       | 13     | 15    | 28     | 13    | 15     | 28     |  |
| May 7-9                                    | Flood, hail, wind          | South, Midwest       | 12     | 17    | 29     | 12    | 17     | 29     |  |
| Jun. 2-6                                   | Flood, hail, wind          | South, Midwest       | 6      | 4     | 10     | 6     | 4      | 10     |  |
| Jun. 10-18                                 | Flood, hail, wind          | South, Midwest       | 21     | 9     | 30     | 21    | 9      | 30     |  |
| All other 2009 catastrophes                |                            |                      | 5      | 6     | 11     | 5     | 6      | 11     |  |
| Development on 2008 and prior catastrophes |                            |                      | (4)    | 2     | (2)    | (7)   | 3      | (4)    |  |
| Calendar year incurred total               |                            |                      | \$ 57  | \$ 61 | \$ 118 | \$ 71 | \$ 100 | \$ 171 |  |

## Commercial Lines Insurance Results of Operations

| (Dollars in millions)                           | Three months ended June 30, |         |          | Six months ended June 30, |          |          |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                                 | 2010                        | 2009    | Change % | 2010                      | 2009     | Change % |
| Earned premiums                                 | \$ 538                      | \$ 556  | (3)      | \$ 1,061                  | \$ 1,112 | (5)      |
| Loss and loss expenses from:                    |                             |         |          |                           |          |          |
| Current accident year before catastrophe losses | 385                         | 403     | (4)      | 757                       | 766      | (1)      |
| Current accident year catastrophe losses        | 60                          | 61      | (2)      | 76                        | 78       | (3)      |
| Prior accident years before catastrophe losses  | (63)                        | (18)    | (250)    | (92)                      | (7)      | nm       |
| Prior accident year catastrophe losses          | (4)                         | (4)     | 0        | (10)                      | (7)      | (43)     |
| Total loss and loss expenses                    | 378                         | 442     | (14)     | 731                       | 830      | (12)     |
| Underwriting expenses                           | 169                         | 175     | (3)      | 350                       | 355      | (1)      |
| Underwriting loss                               | \$ (9)                      | \$ (61) | 85       | \$ (20)                   | \$ (73)  | 73       |

|                                                                              | Pt.<br>Change |        |       | Pt.<br>Change |        |       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|
| Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:                                      |               |        |       |               |        |       |
| Current accident year before catastrophe losses                              | 71.7%         | 72.5%  | (0.8) | 71.4%         | 68.8%  | 2.6   |
| Current accident year catastrophe losses                                     | 11.2          | 10.9   | 0.3   | 7.2           | 7.0    | 0.2   |
| Prior accident years before catastrophe losses                               | (11.7)        | (3.2)  | (8.5) | (8.7)         | (0.6)  | (8.1) |
| Prior accident year catastrophe losses                                       | (0.8)         | (0.7)  | (0.1) | (1.0)         | (0.6)  | (0.4) |
| Total loss and loss expenses                                                 | 70.4          | 79.5   | (9.1) | 68.9          | 74.6   | (5.7) |
| Underwriting expenses                                                        | 31.3          | 31.4   | (0.1) | 33.0          | 32.0   | 1.0   |
| Combined ratio                                                               | 101.7%        | 110.9% | (9.2) | 101.9%        | 106.6% | (4.7) |
| Combined ratio:                                                              | 101.7%        | 110.9% | (9.2) | 101.9%        | 106.6% | (4.7) |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development     | (1.3)         | 7.0    | (8.3) | (2.5)         | 5.8    | (8.3) |
| Combined ratio before catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development | 103.0%        | 103.9% | (0.9) | 104.4%        | 100.8% | 3.6   |

## Overview

Performance highlights for the commercial lines segment include:

-

Premiums – Commercial lines earned premiums and net written premiums declined during the first half of 2010 due to lower insured exposure levels from the weak economy, slightly lower pricing and continued strong competition that caused us to decline opportunities to write new or renewal business we considered underpriced. For the second quarter of 2010, net written premiums increased, driven by growth in our commercial property and commercial auto lines of business as shown in the Commercial Lines of Business Analysis below. The premiums table below analyzes the components of earned premiums.

Both new business and renewal premium volume reflected a weak economy in many geographic regions, resulting in lower levels of insured exposures. Economic impacts were relatively greater on our contractor-related business, which primarily affects certain lines of business, as discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Commercial Lines Insurance Results of Operations, Page 49. These lower exposures are reflected by the more significant decrease in written premiums during the first six months of 2010 for our commercial casualty and workers' compensation business relative to most other commercial lines of business as shown in the Commercial Lines of Business Analysis below. Premiums for these two lines include the result of policy audits that adjust initial premium amounts based on differences between estimated and actual sales or payroll related to a specific policy. Audits caused \$2 million of the \$18 million commercial lines earned premium decline in the second quarter and \$16 million of the \$51 million decline in the first six months of 2010.

Lower pricing contributed to the decrease in renewal written premiums for the first six months of 2010. We work with our agents to retain accounts with manageable risk characteristics that support the lower average prices prevailing in the marketplace. Our agents, assisted by our field associates who handle underwriting, claims, loss control or premium audit responsibilities, provide us with insight on local market conditions. We use such insights in making decisions intended to adequately price business to achieve target profit margins. We measure average changes in commercial lines renewal pricing as the rate of change in renewal premium for the new policy period compared with the premium for the expiring policy period, assuming no change in the level of insured exposures or policy coverage between those periods for respective policies. Our commercial lines policies averaged an estimated price decline of approximately 1 percent during the first half of 2010, improving modestly from a low-single-digit average decline for the second half of 2009. More significant declines sometimes occur, particularly for larger accounts.

New business written premiums for commercial lines also decreased during the first six months of 2010, an indication of strong competition and our intention to avoid writing business we considered underpriced. Our three newest states for our commercial lines operation – Texas, Colorado and Wyoming – generated an increase in new business of \$11 million for the first half of 2010 compared with the same period of 2009, while other states in total decreased by \$27 million or 18 percent. The trend of writing fewer new business policies with annual premiums of \$100,000 or more continued, declining over 30 percent for the first six months of 2010 compared with the same period of 2009, reflecting significant price competition for larger accounts.

#### Commercial Lines Insurance Premiums

| (Dollars in millions)                | Three months ended June 30, |        |          | Six months ended June 30, |          |          |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|----------|----------|
|                                      | 2010                        | 2009   | Change % | 2010                      | 2009     | Change % |
| Agency renewal written premiums      | \$ 492                      | \$ 488 | 1        | \$ 1,025                  | \$ 1,045 | (2)      |
| Agency new business written premiums | 73                          | 79     | (8)      | 139                       | 155      | (10)     |
| Other written premiums               | (33)                        | (43)   | 23       | (44)                      | (51)     | 14       |
| Net written premiums                 | 532                         | 524    | 2        | 1,120                     | 1,149    | (3)      |
| Unearned premium change              | 6                           | 32     | (81)     | (59)                      | (37)     | (59)     |
| Earned premiums                      | \$ 538                      | \$ 556 | (3)      | \$ 1,061                  | \$ 1,112 | (5)      |

- Combined ratio – The commercial lines combined ratio for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, improved compared with the same periods of 2009, primarily due to more favorable reserve development on prior accident years. Catastrophe losses accounted for 10.4 percentage points and 6.2 percentage points of the combined ratio for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with an annual average of 2.5 percentage points for the years 2007 through 2009. The relatively high catastrophe loss ratio for the second quarter and first half of 2010 was the primary reason for the underwriting loss for both periods.

The ratio for current accident year loss and loss expenses before catastrophe losses of 71.4 percent for the first six months of 2010 improved slightly compared with the 72.5 percent accident year 2009 ratio measured as of December 31, 2009. New losses greater than \$4 million, shown in the table below, had a first-half 2010 ratio impact of 1.6 percentage points compared with 2.4 percentage points for full-year 2009, accounting for most of the ratio improvement.

The net effect of reserve development on prior accident years during the second quarter and first six months of 2010 was favorable for commercial lines overall at \$67 million and \$102 million compared with favorable development of \$22 million and \$14 million for the same periods in 2009. The workers' compensation portion of commercial lines' overall favorable development was \$11 million and \$19 million compared with unfavorable development of \$29 million and \$49 million, for the respective periods. For the first six months of 2010, most of the favorable reserve development on prior accident years occurred in the commercial casualty line of business for accident years 2008 and 2009. The favorable reserve development recognized for commercial casualty is due mainly to further moderations in paid loss cost inflation. Reserve estimates are inherently uncertain as described in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Property Casualty Insurance Loss and Loss Expense Reserves, Page 38.

Our loss and loss expense ratio for workers' compensation remained high at 90.6 percent for the first six months of 2010, contributing to the underwriting loss. As discussed on Page 25, predictive modeling for workers' compensation is expected to improve pricing accuracy, therefore improving profitability and the related ratios over time. In addition to using the predictive model in underwriting new business and renewal accounts, we are making greater use of workers' compensation underwriting specialists who have extensive experience in underwriting workers' compensation

exposures. Other actions taken to improve workers' compensation results include assigning additional staff to specialize in workers' compensation claims handling, increasing the use of loss control risk evaluation services and promoting the timely reporting of claims. Direct reporting of workers' compensation claims, implemented in early 2010, provides detailed information for prompt assignment of claims handling expertise appropriate for each case. As a result, we have seen significantly more claims reported on the same day an injury occurs. More specialized claims handling and earlier reporting should enable our claims representatives to manage and contain the costs of claims that have already occurred more effectively, as well as future claims. Loss control services are intended to help prevent worker-related accidents or lessen the severity of injuries when accidents occur.

The underwriting expense ratio for the second quarter was approximately flat while it increased by 1 percentage point for the first six months of 2010 compared with the same periods of 2009.

The six-month increase was primarily due to lower earned premiums. Other factors contributing to the change in the commercial lines combined ratio were lower pricing, lower audit premiums and normal loss cost inflation.

Underwriting results and related measures for the combined ratio are summarized in the first table of Commercial Lines Insurance Results of Operations. The tables and discussion below provide additional details for the primary drivers of underwriting results.

#### Commercial Lines Insurance Losses by Size

| (Dollars in millions)                     | Three months ended June 30, |        |          | Six months ended June 30, |        |          |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
|                                           | 2010                        | 2009   | Change % | 2010                      | 2009   | Change % |
| New losses greater than \$4,000,000       | \$ 11                       | \$ 21  | (48)     | \$ 17                     | \$ 30  | (43)     |
| New losses \$1,000,000-\$4,000,000        | 22                          | 36     | (39)     | 54                        | 62     | (13)     |
| New losses \$250,000-\$1,000,000          | 40                          | 38     | 5        | 80                        | 86     | (7)      |
| Case reserve development above \$250,000  | 29                          | 63     | (54)     | 61                        | 114    | (46)     |
| Total large losses incurred               | 102                         | 158    | (35)     | 212                       | 292    | (27)     |
| Other losses excluding catastrophe losses | 159                         | 151    | 5        | 321                       | 324    | (1)      |
| Catastrophe losses                        | 57                          | 57     | 0        | 66                        | 71     | (7)      |
| Total losses incurred                     | \$ 318                      | \$ 366 | (13)     | \$ 599                    | \$ 687 | (13)     |

|                                           | Pt.<br>Change |       |       | Pt.<br>Change |       |       |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|
| Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:   |               |       |       |               |       |       |
| New losses greater than \$4,000,000       | 2.0%          | 3.7%  | (1.7) | 1.6%          | 2.7%  | (1.1) |
| New losses \$1,000,000-\$4,000,000        | 4.1           | 6.5   | (2.4) | 5.1           | 5.6   | (0.5) |
| New losses \$250,000-\$1,000,000          | 7.4           | 7.0   | 0.4   | 7.5           | 7.7   | (0.2) |
| Case reserve development above \$250,000  | 5.4           | 11.4  | (6.0) | 5.8           | 10.2  | (4.4) |
| Total large loss ratio                    | 18.9          | 28.6  | (9.7) | 20.0          | 26.2  | (6.2) |
| Other losses excluding catastrophe losses | 29.6          | 27.1  | 2.5   | 30.2          | 29.2  | 1.0   |
| Catastrophe losses                        | 10.5          | 10.3  | 0.2   | 6.2           | 6.4   | (0.2) |
| Total loss ratio                          | 59.0%         | 66.0% | (7.0) | 56.4%         | 61.8% | (5.4) |

We continue to monitor new losses and case reserve increases greater than \$250,000 for trends in factors such as initial reserve levels, loss cost inflation and settlement expenses. Our analysis continues to indicate no unexpected concentration of these large losses and case reserve increases by risk category, geographic region, policy inception, agency or field marketing territory. In the second quarter of 2010, the ratio for these losses and case reserve increases

improved 9.7 percentage points compared with last year's second quarter, primarily due to a lower number of claims and incurred losses for general liability and professional liability coverages. A decline in large losses for those coverages also accounted for most of the lower large losses result for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with the same period a year ago. We believe results for the three-month and six-month periods largely reflected normal fluctuations in loss patterns and normal variability in the large case reserves for claims above \$250,000.

## Commercial Lines of Business Analysis

Approximately 95 percent of our commercial lines premiums relate to accounts with coverages from more than one of our business lines. As a result, we believe that the commercial lines segment is best measured and evaluated on a segment basis. However, we provide line of business data to summarize premium and loss trends separately for each line.

| (Dollars in millions)                              | Three months ended June 30, |        |          | Six months ended June 30, |        |          |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
|                                                    | 2010                        | 2009   | Change % | 2010                      | 2009   | Change % |
| <b>Commercial casualty:</b>                        |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ 168                      | \$ 171 | (2)      | \$ 359                    | \$ 379 | (5)      |
| Earned premiums                                    | 172                         | 180    | (4)      | 336                       | 366    | (8)      |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    | 82                          | 98     | (16)     | 178                       | 201    | (11)     |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        | 48.3%                       | 54.2%  |          | 53.2%                     | 54.7%  |          |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               | 0.0                         | 0.0    |          | 0.0                       | 0.0    |          |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development | (25.3)                      | (21.6) |          | (19.2)                    | (15.5) |          |
| <b>Commercial property:</b>                        |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ 124                      | \$ 113 | 10       | \$ 253                    | \$ 245 | 3        |
| Earned premiums                                    | 121                         | 120    | 1        | 242                       | 241    | 0        |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    | 109                         | 106    | 3        | 195                       | 189    | 3        |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        | 90.1%                       | 88.3%  |          | 80.5%                     | 78.6%  |          |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               | 36.7                        | 23.5   |          | 22.5                      | 15.4   |          |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development | (5.5)                       | (3.1)  |          | (3.7)                     | 0.9    |          |
| <b>Commercial auto:</b>                            |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ 99                       | \$ 94  | 5        | \$ 202                    | \$ 204 | (1)      |
| Earned premiums                                    | 96                          | 98     | (2)      | 191                       | 197    | (3)      |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    | 70                          | 61     | 15       | 128                       | 120    | 7        |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        | 72.9%                       | 62.5%  |          | 67.0%                     | 61.1%  |          |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               | 4.2                         | 3.3    |          | 1.6                       | 1.6    |          |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development | (1.0)                       | (5.6)  |          | (4.0)                     | (1.9)  |          |
| <b>Workers' compensation:</b>                      |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ 72                       | \$ 79  | (9)      | \$ 167                    | \$ 183 | (9)      |
| Earned premiums                                    | 79                          | 88     | (10)     | 153                       | 171    | (11)     |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    | 72                          | 115    | (37)     | 139                       | 212    | (34)     |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        | 89.9%                       | 130.2% |          | 90.6%                     | 124.0% |          |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               | 0.0                         | 0.0    |          | 0.0                       | 0.0    |          |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development | (13.3)                      | 33.2   |          | (12.6)                    | 28.7   |          |

|                                                    |    |        |    |        |      |                 |
|----------------------------------------------------|----|--------|----|--------|------|-----------------|
| <b>Specialty packages:</b>                         |    |        |    |        |      |                 |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ | 36     | \$ | 35     | 3    | \$ 75 \$ 73 3   |
| Earned premiums                                    |    | 37     |    | 37     | 0    | 74 72 3         |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    |    | 32     |    | 42     | (24) | 65 76 (14)      |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        |    | 85.6%  |    | 114.3% |      | 87.3% 105.4%    |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               |    | 20.2   |    | 68.8   |      | 10.8 41.9       |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development |    | (3.5)  |    | (6.4)  |      | 3.2 (0.5)       |
| <b>Surety and executive risk:</b>                  |    |        |    |        |      |                 |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ | 24     | \$ | 25     | (4)  | \$ 47 \$ 50 (6) |
| Earned premiums                                    |    | 25     |    | 25     | 0    | 49 50 (2)       |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    |    | 8      |    | 17     | (53) | 21 24 (13)      |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        |    | 36.2%  |    | 67.0%  |      | 43.6% 48.8%     |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               |    | 0.0    |    | 0.0    |      | 0.0 0.0         |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development |    | (17.7) |    | (3.8)  |      | (6.9) (10.5)    |
| <b>Machinery and equipment:</b>                    |    |        |    |        |      |                 |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ | 9      | \$ | 7      | 29   | \$ 17 \$ 15 13  |
| Earned premiums                                    |    | 8      |    | 8      | 0    | 16 15 7         |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    |    | 5      |    | 3      | 67   | 5 8 (38)        |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        |    | 51.9%  |    | 39.7%  |      | 29.3% 49.3%     |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               |    | 1.8    |    | 1.2    |      | 0.4 2.8         |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development |    | 1.9    |    | (0.1)  |      | (7.5) 8.5       |

As discussed above, the loss and loss expense ratio component of the combined ratio is an important measure of underwriting profit and performance. Catastrophe losses are volatile and can distort short-term profitability trends, particularly for certain lines of business. Development of loss and loss expense reserves on prior accident years can also distort trends in measures of profitability for recently written business. To illustrate these effects, we separate their impact on the ratios shown in the table above. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the commercial line of business with the most significant profitability challenge is workers' compensation. As discussed above, our actions to improve pricing and reduce loss costs for workers' compensation are expected to benefit future profitability trends.

## Personal Lines Insurance Results of Operations

| (Dollars in millions)                           | Three months ended June 30, |         |          | Six months ended June 30, |         |          |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
|                                                 | 2010                        | 2009    | Change % | 2010                      | 2009    | Change % |
| Earned premiums                                 | \$ 179                      | \$ 172  | 4        | \$ 353                    | \$ 343  | 3        |
| Loss and loss expenses from:                    |                             |         |          |                           |         |          |
| Current accident year before catastrophe losses | 125                         | 121     | 3        | 236                       | 237     | 0        |
| Current accident year catastrophe losses        | 44                          | 59      | (25)     | 50                        | 97      | (48)     |
| Prior accident years before catastrophe losses  | (5)                         | (9)     | 44       | (9)                       | (12)    | 25       |
| Prior accident year catastrophe losses          | (1)                         | 2       | nm       | (2)                       | 3       | nm       |
| Total loss and loss expenses                    | 163                         | 173     | (6)      | 275                       | 325     | (15)     |
| Underwriting expenses                           | 57                          | 56      | 2        | 124                       | 110     | 13       |
| Underwriting loss                               | \$ (41)                     | \$ (57) | 28       | \$ (46)                   | \$ (92) | 50       |

|                                                                              | Pt.<br>Change |        |       | Pt.<br>Change |        |        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|---------------|--------|--------|
| Ratios as a percent of earned premiums:                                      |               |        |       |               |        |        |
| Current accident year before catastrophe losses                              | 70.3%         | 70.9%  | (0.6) | 67.0%         | 69.0%  | (2.0)  |
| Current accident year catastrophe losses                                     | 24.5          | 34.3   | (9.8) | 14.1          | 28.1   | (14.0) |
| Prior accident years before catastrophe losses                               | (3.0)         | (5.4)  | 2.4   | (2.7)         | (3.4)  | 0.7    |
| Prior accident year catastrophe losses                                       | (0.7)         | 1.1    | (1.8) | (0.5)         | 0.9    | (1.4)  |
| Total loss and loss expenses                                                 | 91.1          | 100.9  | (9.8) | 77.9          | 94.6   | (16.7) |
| Underwriting expenses                                                        | 32.3          | 32.3   | 0.0   | 35.2          | 32.3   | 2.9    |
| Combined ratio                                                               | 123.4%        | 133.2% | (9.8) | 113.1%        | 126.9% | (13.8) |
| Combined ratio:                                                              | 123.4%        | 133.2% | (9.8) | 113.1%        | 126.9% | (13.8) |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development     | 20.8          | 30.0   | (9.2) | 10.9          | 25.6   | (14.7) |
| Combined ratio before catastrophe losses and prior years reserve development | 102.6%        | 103.2% | (0.6) | 102.2%        | 101.3% | 0.9    |

## Overview

Performance highlights for the personal lines segment include:

-

Premiums – Personal lines earned premiums and net written premiums increased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, due to higher renewal and new business premiums that reflected improved pricing.

Agency renewal written premiums increased 6 percent and 5 percent in the second quarter and first six months of 2010 because of rate increases, strong policy retention rates and premium growth initiatives. Pricing changes during 2009 included an expansion of pricing points and pricing sophistication, incorporating insurance scores and credits for policies on above-average quality risks. Various rate changes were implemented beginning in October 2009, including increases for the homeowner line of business averaging approximately 6 percent, with some individual policy rate increases in the double-digit range. Similar rate changes, with a slightly higher average rate increase, are expected to be implemented beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010 for states representing the majority of our personal lines business.

We continue to earn a larger share of business in newer states for our personal lines operation where we already had a well-established position for commercial lines. In seven states where we began writing personal lines business or significantly expanded our product offerings and automation capabilities in 2008 or 2009, personal lines net written premiums accounted for an increase in net written premiums of \$8 million for the first half of 2010 over the same period of 2009, while other states in total increased by \$17 million or 5 percent.

Personal lines new business written premiums continued a strong growth trend, increasing at rates of 26 percent and 24 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. We continue to believe we are successful in attracting more of our agents' preferred business as the average quality of our book of business has improved according to mix of business by insurance score. Significant new business growth occurred in states where we have operated for decades as well as seven states where we significantly expanded our personal lines product offerings and automation capabilities beginning in 2008. Some of what we report as new business came from accounts that were not new to our agents. We believe these seasoned accounts tend to be priced more accurately than business that is less familiar to our agents.

We continue to implement strategies discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Our Business and Our Strategy, Page 9, to enhance our response to marketplace changes and help achieve our long-term objectives for personal lines growth and profitability. These strategies include expansion during recent years into four western states with historical industry catastrophe loss ratios that are significantly better than our historical ratios for states where we operated prior to that expansion.

## Personal Lines Insurance Premiums

| (Dollars in millions)                | Three months ended June 30, |        |          | Six months ended June 30, |        |          |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
|                                      | 2010                        | 2009   | Change % | 2010                      | 2009   | Change % |
| Agency renewal written premiums      | \$ 187                      | \$ 176 | 6        | \$ 330                    | \$ 313 | 5        |
| Agency new business written premiums | 24                          | 19     | 26       | 42                        | 34     | 24       |
| Other written premiums               | (7)                         | (5)    | (40)     | (13)                      | (13)   | 0        |
| Net written premiums                 | 204                         | 190    | 7        | 359                       | 334    | 7        |
| Unearned premium change              | (25)                        | (18)   | (39)     | (6)                       | 9      | nm       |
| Earned premiums                      | \$ 179                      | \$ 172 | 4        | \$ 353                    | \$ 343 | 3        |

- Combined ratio – The personal lines combined ratio for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, improved 9.8 and 13.8 percentage points compared with the same periods of 2009, primarily due to lower weather-related catastrophe losses. The 67.0 percent ratio for current accident year loss and loss expenses before catastrophe losses for the first six months of 2010 improved 3.9 percentage points compared with the 70.9 percent accident year 2009 ratio measured as of December 31, 2009. Pricing changes and lower large losses were the primary drivers of the improvement. New losses greater than \$250,000, shown in the table below, had a first-half 2010 ratio impact of 8.6 percentage points compared with 10.1 percentage points for full-year 2009, accounting for 1.5 percentage points of the improvement.

In addition to the rate increases discussed above, we continue to refine our pricing to better match premiums to the risk of loss on individual policies. We also continue to increase pricing sophistication that considers insurance scores and other attributes of risk relating to the insured exposure. The results of improved pricing per risk and the broad-based rate increases are expected to improve the combined ratio over the next several quarters. In addition, greater geographic diversification is expected over time to reduce the volatility of homeowner loss ratios attributable to weather-related catastrophe losses.

Personal lines reserve development for prior accident years during the second quarter and first six months of 2010 trended favorably, similar to trends for the same periods of 2009. Most of the favorable reserve development for prior accident years recognized during 2010 occurred in the other personal line of business, mainly due to umbrella liability coverages, which continued to benefit from a moderation in paid loss cost inflation. Reserve estimates are inherently uncertain as described in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Property Casualty Insurance Loss and Loss Expense Obligations and Reserves, Page 71.

The underwriting expense ratio for the second quarter was in line with the same period a year ago while the ratio for the first six months of 2010 increased, both compared with the same periods of 2009. The six-month increase was primarily due to first-quarter 2010 provisions for matters involving prior years and related to Note 10, Commitments and Contingent Liabilities, Page 17.

## Personal Lines Insurance Losses by Size

| (Dollars in millions)               | Three months ended June 30, |      |          | Six months ended June 30, |      |          |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---------------------------|------|----------|
|                                     | 2010                        | 2009 | Change % | 2010                      | 2009 | Change % |
| New losses greater than \$4,000,000 | \$ 0                        | \$ 0 | nm       | \$ 0                      | \$ 0 | nm       |
| New losses \$1,000,000-\$4,000,000  | 7                           | 3    | 133      | 10                        | 5    | 100      |

|                             |        |        |      |        |        |      |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|
| New losses                  |        |        |      |        |        |      |
| \$250,000-\$1,000,000       | 10     | 8      | 25   | 20     | 22     | (9)  |
| Case reserve development    |        |        |      |        |        |      |
| above \$250,000             | 1      | 7      | (86) | 4      | 12     | (67) |
| Total large losses incurred | 18     | 18     | 0    | 34     | 39     | (13) |
| Other losses excluding      |        |        |      |        |        |      |
| catastrophe losses          | 85     | 80     | 6    | 161    | 154    | 5    |
| Catastrophe losses          | 43     | 57     | (25) | 48     | 96     | (50) |
| Total losses incurred       | \$ 146 | \$ 155 | (6)  | \$ 243 | \$ 289 | (16) |

Pt.  
Change

Pt.  
Change

|                                         |       |       |       |       |       |        |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Ratios as a percent of earned premiums: |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| New losses greater than                 |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| \$4,000,000                             | 0.0%  | 0.0%  | 0.0   | 0.0%  | 0.0%  | 0.0    |
| New losses                              |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| \$1,000,000-\$4,000,000                 | 4.4   | 1.9   | 2.5   | 3.0   | 1.4   | 1.6    |
| New losses                              |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| \$250,000-\$1,000,000                   | 5.6   | 4.8   | 0.8   | 5.6   | 6.7   | (1.1)  |
| Case reserve development                |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| above \$250,000                         | 0.6   | 3.8   | (3.2) | 1.2   | 3.4   | (2.2)  |
| Total large losses incurred             | 10.6  | 10.5  | 0.1   | 9.8   | 11.5  | (1.7)  |
| Other losses excluding                  |       |       |       |       |       |        |
| catastrophe losses                      | 48.0  | 46.4  | 1.6   | 45.7  | 44.8  | 0.9    |
| Catastrophe losses                      | 23.8  | 33.2  | (9.4) | 13.5  | 27.9  | (14.4) |
| Total loss ratio                        | 82.4% | 90.1% | (7.7) | 69.0% | 84.2% | (15.2) |

We continue to monitor new losses and case reserve increases greater than \$250,000 for trends in factors such as initial reserve levels, loss cost inflation and settlement expenses. Our analysis continues to indicate no unexpected concentration of these large losses and case reserve increases by risk category, geographic region, policy inception, agency or field marketing territory. In the second quarter of 2010, the ratio for these losses and case reserve increases was essentially flat compared with last year's second quarter, while the six-month result was 1.8 percentage points lower than the same period a year ago, primarily due to a lower number of claims and incurred losses for the personal auto line of business. We believe results for the three-month period largely reflected normal fluctuations in loss patterns and normal variability in large case reserves for claims above \$250,000.

#### Personal Lines of Business Analysis

We prefer to write personal lines coverages on an account basis that includes both auto and homeowner coverages as well as coverages from the other personal business line. As a result, we believe that the personal lines segment is best measured and evaluated on a segment basis. However, we provide the line of business data to summarize premium and loss trends separately for each line.

| (Dollars in millions)                              | Three months ended June 30, |        |          | Six months ended June 30, |        |          |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
|                                                    | 2010                        | 2009   | Change % | 2010                      | 2009   | Change % |
| <b>Personal auto:</b>                              |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ 97                       | \$ 89  | 9        | \$ 170                    | \$ 157 | 8        |
| Earned premiums                                    | 83                          | 80     | 4        | 164                       | 159    | 3        |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    | 61                          | 60     | 2        | 108                       | 111    | (3)      |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        | 73.6%                       | 75.7%  |          | 66.0%                     | 69.7%  |          |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               | 4.0                         | 3.1    |          | 2.0                       | 1.7    |          |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development | (1.4)                       | (2.1)  |          | (3.0)                     | 0.6    |          |
| <b>Homeowner:</b>                                  |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ 81                       | \$ 76  | 7        | \$ 141                    | \$ 132 | 7        |
| Earned premiums                                    | 72                          | 70     | 3        | 142                       | 140    | 1        |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    | 89                          | 103    | (14)     | 142                       | 196    | (28)     |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        | 123.8%                      | 147.8% |          | 100.2%                    | 140.3% |          |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               | 52.8                        | 77.6   |          | 30.1                      | 64.5   |          |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development | (0.6)                       | 4.6    |          | 0.5                       | 5.6    |          |
| <b>Other personal:</b>                             |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Written premiums                                   | \$ 26                       | \$ 25  | 4        | \$ 48                     | \$ 45  | 7        |
| Earned premiums                                    | 24                          | 22     | 9        | 47                        | 44     | 7        |
| Loss and loss expenses incurred                    | 13                          | 10     | 30       | 25                        | 18     | 39       |
| Loss and loss expense ratio                        | 53.0%                       | 42.6%  |          | 52.3%                     | 40.2%  |          |
| Contribution from catastrophe losses               | 5.3                         | 18.7   |          | 4.1                       | 14.8   |          |
| Contribution from prior period reserve development | (22.0)                      | (40.4) |          | (14.9)                    | (39.3) |          |

As discussed above, the loss and loss expense ratio component of the combined ratio is an important measure of underwriting profit and performance. Catastrophe losses are volatile and can distort short-term profitability trends, particularly for certain lines of business. Development of loss and loss expense reserves on prior accident years can also distort trends in measures of profitability for recently written business. To illustrate these effects, we separate their impact on the ratios shown in the table above. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the personal line of business with the most significant profitability challenge was homeowner. As discussed above, we continue actions to improve pricing per risk and overall rates, which are expected to improve future profitability trends. In addition we anticipate that the long-term future average for the catastrophe loss ratio would improve due to gradual geographic diversification into states less prone to catastrophe losses.

## Life Insurance Results of Operations

## Life Insurance Results

| (In millions)                                    | Three months ended June 30, |       |          | Six months ended June 30, |       |          |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|-------|----------|
|                                                  | 2010                        | 2009  | Change % | 2010                      | 2009  | Change % |
| Earned premiums                                  | \$ 40                       | \$ 37 | 8        | \$ 79                     | \$ 70 | 13       |
| Separate account investment management fees      | 1                           | -     | nm       | 1                         | -     | nm       |
| Total revenues                                   | 41                          | 37    | 11       | 80                        | 70    | 14       |
| Contract holders' benefits incurred              | 43                          | 39    | 10       | 85                        | 78    | 9        |
| Investment interest credited to contract holders | (20)                        | (17)  | (18)     | (39)                      | (33)  | (18)     |
| Operating expenses incurred                      | 16                          | 13    | 23       | 32                        | 24    | 33       |
| Total benefits and expenses                      | 39                          | 35    | 11       | 78                        | 69    | 13       |
| Life insurance segment profit (loss)             | \$ 2                        | \$ 2  | 0        | \$ 2                      | \$ 1  | 100      |

## Overview

Performance highlights for the life insurance segment include:

- Revenues – Revenues were higher for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, driven by an earned premium increase largely due to growth from term life insurance products and universal life insurance products.

Gross in-force life insurance policy face amounts increased to \$72.180 billion at June 30, 2010, from \$69.815 billion at year-end 2009.

Fixed annuity deposits received for three and six months ended June 30, 2010, were \$52 million and \$116 million compared with \$30 million and \$43 million for the same periods of 2009. Fixed annuity deposits have a minimal impact to earned premiums because deposits received are initially recorded as a liability with a portion representing profit subsequently earned over time. We do not write variable or equity indexed annuities.

## Life Insurance Premiums

| (Dollars in millions)                                         | Three months ended June 30, |       |          | Six months ended June 30, |       |          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|-------|----------|
|                                                               | 2010                        | 2009  | Change % | 2010                      | 2009  | Change % |
| Term life insurance                                           | \$ 24                       | \$ 23 | 4        | \$ 47                     | \$ 41 | 15       |
| Universal life insurance                                      | 10                          | 7     | 43       | 19                        | 15    | 27       |
| Other life insurance, annuity, and disability income products | 6                           | 7     | (14)     | 13                        | 14    | (7)      |
| Net earned premiums                                           | \$ 40                       | \$ 37 | 8        | \$ 79                     | \$ 70 | 13       |

- Profitability – Our life insurance segment typically reports a small profit or loss on a GAAP basis because most of its investment income is included in our investment segment results. We include only investment income credited to contract holders (interest assumed in life insurance policy reserve calculations) in our life insurance segment results. Profit of \$2 million for our life insurance segment in the first six months of 2010 compared favorably with a \$1 million profit for the first six months of 2009 when the segment experienced less favorable mortality experience.

Although we exclude most of our life insurance company investment income from our life insurance segment results, we recognize that assets under management, capital appreciation and investment income are integral to evaluation of the success of the life insurance segment because of the long duration of life products. On a basis that includes investment income and realized gains or losses from life insurance related invested assets, the life insurance company reported a net profit of \$10 million and \$18 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with a net profit of \$6 million and a net loss of \$3 million for the same periods of 2009. The life insurance company portfolio had after-tax realized investment gains of less than \$1 million and after-tax realized investment losses of \$1 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with after-tax realized investment losses of \$5 million and \$23 million for the same periods of 2009.

Life segment expenses consist principally of contract holders (policyholders) benefits incurred related to traditional life and interest-sensitive products and operating expenses incurred, net of deferred acquisition costs. Total benefits rose in the first six months of 2010 due to increased levels of policy reserves associated with growth in earned life insurance premiums. Net death claims remained within our range of pricing expectations. Operating expenses increased principally because of the level of commission expense associated with new term life insurance and fixed annuity policies, partially offset by deferred acquisition costs related to these products.

## Investments Results of Operations

## Overview

The investment segment contributes investment income and realized gains and losses to results of operations. Investments traditionally are our primary source of pretax and after-tax profits.

## Investment Income

Pretax investment income increased 9 percent and 7 percent for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily due to higher interest income somewhat offset by a slight decline in dividend income, reflecting an increased allocation to fixed-maturity securities over the past year. In our 2009 Form 10-K, Item 1, Investments Segment, Page 18 and Item 7, Investments Outlook, Page 67, we discussed our portfolio strategies. We discuss risks related to our investment income and our fixed-maturity and equity investment portfolios in Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, Page 45.

We continue to position our portfolio with consideration to both the challenges presented by the current low interest rate environment and the risks presented by potential future inflation. As bonds in our generally ladder portfolio mature over the near term, we will be challenged to replace their current yield and continue our trend of improving investment income. While our bond portfolio more than covers our insurance reserve liabilities, we believe our diversified common stock portfolio of mainly blue chip, dividend-paying companies represents one of our best investment opportunities for the long term.

## Investment Results

| (In millions)                                                | Three months ended June 30, |        |          | Six months ended June 30, |        |          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
|                                                              | 2010                        | 2009   | Change % | 2010                      | 2009   | Change % |
| Total investment income, net of expenses, pre-tax            | \$ 130                      | \$ 119 | 9        | \$ 260                    | \$ 243 | 7        |
| Investment interest credited to contract holders             | (20)                        | (17)   | (18)     | (39)                      | (33)   | (18)     |
| Realized investment gains and losses summary:                |                             |        |          |                           |        |          |
| Realized investment gains and losses, net                    | 16                          | 23     | (30)     | 19                        | 75     | (75)     |
| Change in fair value of securities with embedded derivatives | (5)                         | 11     | nm       | 1                         | 7      | (86)     |
| Other-than-temporary impairment charges                      | (34)                        | (52)   | 35       | (35)                      | (102)  | 66       |
| Total realized investment gains and losses, net              | (23)                        | (18)   | (28)     | (15)                      | (20)   | 25       |
| Investment operations income                                 | \$ 87                       | \$ 84  | 4        | \$ 206                    | \$ 190 | 8        |

| (In millions)      | Three months ended June 30, |       |          | Six months ended June 30, |        |          |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------|----------|
|                    | 2010                        | 2009  | Change % | 2010                      | 2009   | Change % |
| Investment income: |                             |       |          |                           |        |          |
| Interest           | \$ 107                      | \$ 96 | 11       | \$ 214                    | \$ 192 | 11       |
| Dividends          | 24                          | 24    | 0        | 48                        | 50     | (4)      |

Edgar Filing: CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

|                                                     |           |          |      |           |          |      |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|------|-----------|----------|------|
| Other                                               | 1         | 1        | 0    | 2         | 5        | (60) |
| Investment expenses                                 | (2)       | (2)      | 0    | (4)       | (4)      | 0    |
| Total investment income, net of expenses, pre-tax   | 130       | 119      | 9    | 260       | 243      | 7    |
| Income taxes                                        | (32)      | (28)     | (14) | (64)      | (56)     | (14) |
| Total investment income, net of expenses, after-tax | \$ 98     | \$ 91    | 8    | \$ 196    | \$ 187   | 5    |
| Effective tax rate                                  | 24.5%     | 23.2%    |      | 24.5%     | 23.2%    |      |
| Average invested assets                             | \$ 11,381 | \$ 9,677 |      | \$ 11,279 | \$ 9,931 |      |
| Average yield pre-tax                               | 4.6%      | 4.9%     |      | 4.6%      | 4.9%     |      |
| Average yield after-tax                             | 3.4%      | 3.8%     |      | 3.5%      | 3.8%     |      |

### Net Realized Gains and Losses

We reported a net realized investment loss of \$23 million and \$15 million in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, as other-than-temporary impairment charges offset net gains from investment sales and bond calls. A \$6 million first-quarter increase in the fair value of securities with embedded options was mostly reversed in the second quarter due to adverse equity market activity, accounting for part of the net realized investment loss. We reported net realized investment losses of \$18 million and \$20 million in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, as net gains from investment sales and bond calls were offset by other-than-temporary impairment charges and the change in fair value of securities with embedded derivatives.

Investment gains or losses are recognized upon the sales of investments or as otherwise required under GAAP. The timing of realized gains or losses from sales can have a material effect on results in any quarter. However, such gains or losses usually have little, if any, effect on total shareholders' equity because most equity and fixed-maturity investments are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gain or loss included as a component of

other comprehensive income. Accounting requirements for other-than-temporary impairment charges for the fixed-maturity portfolio are disclosed in Item 1, Note 2, Investments on Page 8.

The total net realized investment losses for the first six months of 2010 include:

- \$23 million in gains from the sale of various common stock holdings.
- \$2 million in net losses from fixed-maturity sales and calls.
- \$1 million in gains from changes in fair value of securities with embedded derivatives.
- \$35 million in other-than-temporary impairment charges to write down holdings of equities and fixed maturities.

The \$2 million in net losses included a \$1 million gain in short-term investments due to the final receipt from the Reserve Primary Fund that exceeded the impaired basis. The net losses also included \$12 million in losses due to sales of all of the remaining holdings of collateralized mortgage obligations, which occurred during the first quarter of 2010.

We believe that if the improving liquidity in the markets were to reverse, or the economic recovery were to significantly stall, we could experience declines in portfolio values and possible additional other-than-temporary-impairment charges. Of the 2,586 securities in the portfolio, none were trading below 70 percent of book value at June 30, 2010. Our asset impairment committee regularly monitors the portfolio.

The table below provides additional detail for other-than-temporary impairment charges.

| (In millions)                   | Three months ended June 30, |              | Six months ended June 30, |               |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|
|                                 | 2010                        | 2009         | 2010                      | 2009          |
| <b>Fixed maturities</b>         |                             |              |                           |               |
| Financial                       | \$ -                        | \$ 2         | \$ -                      | \$ 21         |
| Services cyclical               | -                           | -            | -                         | 11            |
| Real estate                     | 1                           | -            | 1                         | 7             |
| Consumer cyclical               | -                           | 1            | -                         | 2             |
| Other                           | -                           | -            | 1                         | 2             |
| <b>Total fixed maturities</b>   | <b>1</b>                    | <b>3</b>     | <b>2</b>                  | <b>43</b>     |
| <b>Common equities</b>          |                             |              |                           |               |
| Health                          | 21                          | 6            | 21                        | 6             |
| Industrial                      | -                           | 26           | -                         | 26            |
| Consumer discretionary          | -                           | 10           | -                         | 10            |
| Material                        | -                           | 7            | -                         | 7             |
| Information technology          | 12                          | -            | 12                        | -             |
| <b>Total common equities</b>    | <b>33</b>                   | <b>49</b>    | <b>33</b>                 | <b>49</b>     |
| <b>Preferred equities</b>       |                             |              |                           |               |
| Financial                       | -                           | -            | -                         | 10            |
| <b>Total preferred equities</b> | <b>-</b>                    | <b>-</b>     | <b>-</b>                  | <b>10</b>     |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>\$ 34</b>                | <b>\$ 52</b> | <b>\$ 35</b>              | <b>\$ 102</b> |



## Other

We report as Other the non-investment operations of the parent company and its non-insurer subsidiaries, CFC Investment Company and CSU Producers Resources Inc. We also report as Other the results of The Cincinnati Specialty Underwriters Insurance Company, as well as other income of our standard market property casualty insurance subsidiary.

Losses before income taxes for Other were largely driven by interest expense from debt of the parent company. Loss and loss expenses and underwriting expenses for Other are from our excess and surplus lines operation and, for the first six months of 2010, were partially offset by excess and surplus lines earned premiums.

| (In millions)                         | Three months ended June 30, |         |          | Six months ended June 30, |         |          |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------|---------|----------|
|                                       | 2010                        | 2009    | Change % | 2010                      | 2009    | Change % |
| Interest and fees on loans and leases | \$ 1                        | \$ 2    | (50)     | \$ 3                      | \$ 4    | (25)     |
| Earned premiums                       | 11                          | 5       | 120      | 22                        | 10      | 120      |
| Other revenues                        | 1                           | 1       | 0        | 1                         | 2       | (50)     |
| Total revenues                        | 13                          | 8       | 63       | 26                        | 16      | 63       |
| Interest expense                      | 14                          | 14      | 0        | 27                        | 28      | (4)      |
| Losses and loss expenses              | 12                          | 4       | 200      | 22                        | 9       | 144      |
| Underwriting expenses                 | 4                           | 5       | (20)     | 8                         | 13      | (38)     |
| Operating expenses                    | 1                           | 3       | (67)     | 5                         | 8       | (38)     |
| Total expenses                        | 31                          | 26      | 19       | 62                        | 58      | 7        |
| Pre-tax loss                          | \$ (18)                     | \$ (18) | 0        | \$ (36)                   | \$ (42) | 14       |

## Taxes

We had \$6 million of income tax benefit and \$11 million of income tax expense in the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with \$31 million and \$33 million of income tax benefit for the same periods of 2009. The effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, was negative 25.7 percent and positive 10.5 percent compared with positive 62.7 percent and positive 201.2 percent for the same periods last year.

The change in our effective tax rate was primarily due to changes in pretax income from underwriting results, changes in investment income and the amount of realized investment gains and losses. Modest changes to tax-exempt interest and the dividend received deduction in the current year compared with the prior year also contributed to the change.

Historically, we have pursued a strategy of investing some portion of cash flow in tax-advantaged fixed-maturity and equity securities to minimize our overall tax liability and maximize after-tax earnings. See Tax-Exempt Fixed Maturities, Page 46 for further discussion on municipal bond purchases in our fixed-maturity investment portfolio. For our insurance subsidiaries, approximately 85 percent of income from tax-advantaged fixed-maturity investments is exempt from federal tax. Our non-insurance companies own no tax-advantaged fixed-maturity investments. For our insurance subsidiaries, the dividend received deduction, after the dividend proration of the 1986 Tax Reform Act, exempts approximately 60 percent of dividends from qualified equities from federal tax. For our non-insurance subsidiaries, the dividend received deduction exempts 70 percent of dividends from qualified equities. Details about our effective tax rate are found in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 11, Income Taxes, Page 108.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2010, shareholders' equity was \$4.737 billion compared with \$4.760 billion at December 31, 2009. Total debt was \$839 million at June 30, 2010 and at December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$325 million compared with \$557 million at December 31, 2009.

### Sources of Liquidity

#### Subsidiary Dividends

Our lead insurance subsidiary declared dividends of \$110 million to the parent company during the first six months of 2010 compared with none for the first six months of 2009. For the full-year 2009, subsidiary dividends declared totaled \$50 million. State of Ohio regulatory requirements restrict the dividends our insurance subsidiary can pay. During 2010, total dividends that our insurance subsidiary could pay to our parent company without regulatory approval are approximately \$365 million.

### Investing Activities

Investment income is a source of liquidity for both the parent company and its insurance subsidiary. We continue to focus on portfolio strategies to balance near-term income generation and long-term book value growth.

Parent company obligations can be funded with income on investments held at the parent company level or through realized gains on that portfolio, although we prefer to follow an investment philosophy seeking to compound cash flows over the long term. These sources of capital can help minimize subsidiary dividends to the parent company, protecting insurance subsidiary capital.

See our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 1, Investment Segment, Page 18, for a discussion of our historic investment strategy, portfolio allocation and quality.

### Insurance Underwriting

Our property casualty and life insurance underwriting operations provide liquidity because we generally receive premiums before paying losses under the policies purchased with those premiums. After satisfying our cash requirements, we use excess cash flows for investment, increasing future investment income.

Historically, cash receipts from property casualty and life insurance premiums, along with investment income, have been more than sufficient to pay claims, operating expenses and dividends to the parent company. While first-year life insurance expenses normally exceed first-year premiums, subsequent premiums are used to generate investment income until the time the policy benefits are paid.

The table below shows a summary of cash flow for property casualty insurance (direct method):

| (Dollars in millions)                            | Three months ended June 30, |        | Six months ended June 30, |          |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|----------|
|                                                  | 2010                        | 2009   | 2010                      | 2009     |
| Premiums collected                               | \$ 727                      | \$ 734 | \$ 1,445                  | \$ 1,484 |
| Loss and loss expenses paid                      | (453)                       | (496)  | (867)                     | (975)    |
| Commissions and other underwriting expenses paid | (221)                       | (220)  | (511)                     | (515)    |
| Insurance subsidiary cash flow from underwriting | 53                          | 18     | 67                        | (6)      |
| Investment income received                       | 86                          | 71     | 175                       | 151      |

|                               |    |     |    |    |    |     |    |     |
|-------------------------------|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|
| Insurance operating cash flow | \$ | 139 | \$ | 89 | \$ | 242 | \$ | 145 |
|-------------------------------|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|

Collected premiums for property casualty insurance are down \$39 million for the first six months of 2010, but the decline was offset by a \$108 million decrease in loss and loss expenses paid, primarily due to lower catastrophe paid losses.

Our life insurance subsidiary underwriting cash flow was \$78 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, down \$11 million from underwriting cash flow reported in the first six months of 2009.

We discuss our future obligations for claims payments and for underwriting expenses in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Contractual Obligations, Page 71, and Other Commitments, also on Page 71.

## Capital Resources

At June 30, 2010, our total debt-to-capital ratio remained stable at 15.0 percent, with \$790 million in long-term debt and \$49 million in borrowings on our revolving short-term lines of credit. Based on our present capital requirements, we do not anticipate a material increase in debt levels during 2010. As a result, we expect changes in our debt-to-capital ratio to continue to be largely a function of the contribution of unrealized investment gains or losses to shareholders' equity.

We provide details of our three long-term notes in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 8, Senior Debt, Page 106. None of the notes are encumbered by rating triggers. Our debt ratings are described in Progress Toward Long-Term Value Creation, Page 22.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not use any special-purpose financing vehicles or have any undisclosed off-balance sheet arrangements (as that term is defined in applicable SEC rules) that are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on the company's financial condition, results of operation, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources. Similarly, the company holds no fair-value contracts for which a lack of marketplace quotations would necessitate the use of fair-value techniques.

## Uses of Liquidity

Our parent company and insurance subsidiary have contractual obligations and other commitments. In addition, one of our primary uses of cash is to enhance shareholder return.

## Contractual Obligations

In our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Contractual Obligations, Page 71, we estimated our future contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009. There have been no material changes to our estimates of future contractual obligations.

## Other Commitments

In addition to our contractual obligations, we have other property casualty operational commitments.

- **Commissions** – Commissions paid were \$313 million in the first six months of 2010. Commission payments generally track with written premiums.
- **Other underwriting expenses** – Many of our underwriting expenses are not contractual obligations, but reflect the ongoing expenses of our business. Non-commission underwriting expenses paid were \$198 million in the first six months of 2010.
- **In addition to contractual obligations for hardware and software**, we anticipate capitalizing approximately \$10 million in spending for key technology initiatives in 2010. Capitalized development costs related to key technology initiatives were \$6 million in the first six months of 2010. These activities are conducted at our discretion, and we have no material contractual obligations for activities planned as part of these projects.

We anticipate contributing \$25 million in the third quarter of 2010 to our qualified pension plan as indicated in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Investing Activities

After fulfilling operating requirements, we invest cash flows from underwriting, investment and other corporate activities in fixed-maturity and equity securities on an ongoing basis to help achieve our portfolio objectives. See Progress Toward Long-Term Value Creation, Page 22, for a discussion of current refinements to our investment strategies that reflect our risk management activities. We discuss certain portfolio attributes in Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, Page 45.

## Uses of Capital

Uses of cash to enhance shareholder return include dividends to shareholders. In February and May 2010, the board of directors declared a regular quarterly cash dividend of 39.5 cents per share for an indicated annual rate of \$1.58 per share. During the first six months of 2010, \$126 million was used for cash dividends to shareholders. During the first six months of 2010, we used \$10 million to repurchase 0.4 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$26.49. The repurchase was intended to offset the issuance of shares through equity compensation plans, primarily due to vesting of service-based restricted stock units of equity awards granted in the past. The details of 2010 repurchase activity and repurchase authorizations are described in Part II, Item 2, Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds, Page 51.

## Property Casualty Insurance Reserves

For the business lines in the commercial and personal lines insurance segments, the following tables show the breakout of gross reserves among case, IBNR and loss expense reserves, net of salvage and subrogation reserves. Reserving practices are discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Property Casualty Insurance Loss and Loss Expense Reserves, Page 38.

The rise in total gross reserves was due to higher reserves for commercial property, specialty package and homeowner lines of business because of catastrophe losses. This rise was partially offset by lower reserves for other line of business, primarily commercial casualty and surety and executive risk.

## Commercial Lines Insurance Segment Gross Reserves

| (In millions)             | Case<br>reserves | Loss reserves<br>IBNR<br>reserves | Loss<br>expense<br>reserves | Total<br>gross<br>reserves | Percent<br>of total |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| At June 30, 2010          |                  |                                   |                             |                            |                     |
| Commercial casualty       | \$ 1,018         | \$ 290                            | \$ 522                      | \$ 1,830                   | 49.1%               |
| Commercial property       | 123              | 21                                | 33                          | 177                        | 4.8                 |
| Commercial auto           | 271              | 49                                | 65                          | 385                        | 10.4                |
| Workers' compensation     | 455              | 463                               | 144                         | 1,062                      | 28.5                |
| Specialty packages        | 83               | 4                                 | 11                          | 98                         | 2.6                 |
| Surety and executive risk | 108              | 0                                 | 54                          | 162                        | 4.4                 |
| Machinery and equipment   | 3                | 3                                 | 1                           | 7                          | 0.2                 |
| Total                     | \$ 2,061         | \$ 830                            | \$ 830                      | \$ 3,721                   | 100.0%              |
| At December 31, 2009      |                  |                                   |                             |                            |                     |
| Commercial casualty       | \$ 1,044         | \$ 309                            | \$ 540                      | \$ 1,893                   | 50.8%               |
| Commercial property       | 84               | 15                                | 31                          | 130                        | 3.5                 |
| Commercial auto           | 266              | 47                                | 65                          | 378                        | 10.1                |
| Workers' compensation     | 452              | 458                               | 143                         | 1,053                      | 28.3                |
| Specialty packages        | 68               | 5                                 | 10                          | 83                         | 2.2                 |
| Surety and executive risk | 128              | (2)                               | 55                          | 181                        | 4.9                 |
| Machinery and equipment   | 2                | 3                                 | 1                           | 6                          | 0.2                 |
| Total                     | \$ 2,044         | \$ 835                            | \$ 845                      | \$ 3,724                   | 100.0%              |

## Personal Lines Insurance Segment Gross Reserves

| (In millions)        | Case<br>reserves | Loss reserves<br>IBNR<br>reserves | Loss<br>expense<br>reserves | Total<br>gross<br>reserves | Percent<br>of total |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| At June 30, 2010     |                  |                                   |                             |                            |                     |
| Personal auto        | \$ 125           | \$ (2)                            | \$ 28                       | \$ 151                     | 41.2%               |
| Homeowner            | 74               | 32                                | 18                          | 124                        | 33.5                |
| Other personal       | 41               | 43                                | 9                           | 93                         | 25.3                |
| Total                | \$ 240           | \$ 73                             | \$ 55                       | \$ 368                     | 100.0%              |
| At December 31, 2009 |                  |                                   |                             |                            |                     |
| Personal auto        | \$ 130           | \$ (4)                            | \$ 28                       | \$ 154                     | 44.2%               |
| Homeowner            | 56               | 26                                | 17                          | 99                         | 28.4                |
| Other personal       | 45               | 42                                | 9                           | 96                         | 27.4                |

|       |    |     |    |    |    |    |    |     |        |
|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|--------|
| Total | \$ | 231 | \$ | 64 | \$ | 54 | \$ | 349 | 100.0% |
|-------|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|--------|

#### Life Insurance Reserves

Gross life policy reserves were \$1.926 billion at June 30, 2010, compared with \$1.783 billion at year-end 2009, reflecting continued growth in fixed annuities and life insurance policies in force. We discuss our life insurance reserving practices in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Life Insurance Policy Reserves, Page 42.

## Other Matters

### Significant Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 1, Summary Of Significant Accounting Policies, Page 94, and updated in Note 1, Accounting Policies, beginning on Page 7.

In conjunction with those discussions, in the Management's Discussion and Analysis in the 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, management reviewed the estimates and assumptions used to develop reported amounts related to the most significant policies. Management discussed the development and selection of those accounting estimates with the audit committee of the board of directors.

### Fair Value Measurements

#### Valuation of Financial Instruments

Valuation of financial instruments, primarily securities held in our investment portfolio, is a critical component of our interim financial statement preparation. Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, ASC 820-10, defines fair value as the exit price or the amount that would be 1) received to sell an asset or 2) paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between marketplace participants at the measurement date. When determining an exit price, we must, whenever possible, rely upon observable market data.

The fair value measurement and disclosure exit price notion requires our valuation also to consider what a marketplace participant would pay to buy an asset or receive to assume a liability. Therefore, while we can consider pricing data from outside services, we ultimately determine whether the data or inputs used by these outside services are observable or unobservable.

In accordance with ASC 820-10, we have categorized our financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure the financial instruments fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial assets and liabilities recorded on the condensed consolidated balance sheets are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as described in Item 1, Note 3, Fair Value Measurements, Page 10.

#### Level 1 and Level 2 Valuation Techniques

Over 99 percent of the \$10.950 billion of securities in our investment portfolio measured at fair value are classified as Level 1 or Level 2. Financial assets that fall within Level 1 and Level 2 are priced according to observable data from identical or similar securities that have traded in the marketplace. Also within Level 2 are securities that are valued by outside services or brokers where we have evaluated the pricing methodology and determined that the inputs are observable.

#### Level 3 Valuation Techniques

Financial assets that fall within the Level 3 hierarchy are valued based upon unobservable market inputs, normally because they are not actively traded on a public market. Level 3 corporate fixed-maturity securities include certain private placements, small issues, general corporate bonds and medium-term notes. Level 3 state, municipal and

political subdivisions fixed-maturity securities include various thinly traded municipal bonds. Level 3 preferred equities include private and thinly traded preferred securities.

Pricing for each Level 3 security is based upon inputs that are market driven, including third-party reviews provided to the issuer or broker quotes. However, we placed in the Level 3 hierarchy those securities for which we were unable to obtain the pricing methodology or we could not consider the price provided as binding. Pricing for securities classified as Level 3 could not be corroborated by similar securities priced using observable inputs.

Management ultimately determined the pricing for each Level 3 security that we considered to be the best exit price valuation. As of June 30, 2010, total Level 3 assets were less than 1 percent of our investment portfolio measured at fair value. Broker quotes are obtained for thinly traded securities that subsequently fall within the Level 3 hierarchy. We have generally obtained two non-binding quotes from brokers and, after evaluating, our investment professionals typically selected the more conservative price for fair value.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our greatest exposure to market risk is through our investment portfolio. Market risk is the potential for a decrease in securities value resulting from broad yet uncontrollable forces such as: inflation, economic growth or recession, interest rates, world political conditions or other widespread unpredictable events. It is comprised of many individual risks that, when combined, create a macroeconomic impact.

Our view of potential risks and our sensitivity to such risks is discussed in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7a, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, Page 82.

The fair value of our investment portfolio was \$10.950 billion at June 30, 2010, compared with \$10.562 billion at year-end 2009.

| (In millions)               | At June 30, 2010 |         |            |         | At December 31, 2009 |         |            |         |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---------|------------|---------|----------------------|---------|------------|---------|
|                             | Book value       | % of BV | Fair value | % of FV | Book value           | % of BV | Fair value | % of FV |
| Taxable fixed maturities    | \$ 4,961         | 50.1%   | \$ 5,364   | 49.0%   | \$ 4,644             | 48.6%   | \$ 4,863   | 46.0%   |
| Tax-exempt fixed maturities | 2,828            | 28.5    | 2,975      | 27.2    | 2,870                | 30.1    | 2,992      | 28.3    |
| Common equities             | 2,041            | 20.6    | 2,518      | 23.0    | 1,941                | 20.4    | 2,608      | 24.7    |
| Preferred equities          | 75               | 0.8     | 93         | 0.8     | 75                   | 0.8     | 93         | 0.9     |
| Short-term investments      | -                | 0.0     | -          | 0.0     | 6                    | 0.1     | 6          | 0.1     |
| Total                       | \$ 9,905         | 100.0%  | \$ 10,950  | 100.0%  | \$ 9,536             | 100.0%  | \$ 10,562  | 100.0%  |

Our consolidated investment portfolio contains \$32 million of assets for which values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require management judgment (Level 3 assets). We generally obtain at least two outside valuations for these assets and generally use the more conservative calculation. These investments include private placements, small issues and various thinly traded securities.

As of June 30, 2010, total Level 3 assets were less than 1 percent of investment portfolio assets measured at fair value. See Item 1, Note 3, Fair Value Measurements, Page 10, for additional discussion of our valuation techniques.

In addition to our investment portfolio, the total investments amount reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets includes Other invested assets. Other invested assets included \$39 million of life policy loans and liens, \$26 million of venture capital fund investments and \$17 million of other assets as of June 30, 2010.

## Fixed-Maturity Investments

By maintaining a well-diversified fixed-maturity portfolio, we attempt to reduce overall risk. We invest new money in the bond market on a continuous basis, targeting what we believe to be optimal risk-adjusted after-tax yields. Risk, in this context, includes interest rate, call, reinvestment rate, credit and liquidity risk. We do not make a concerted effort to alter duration on a portfolio basis in response to anticipated movements in interest rates. By continuously investing in the bond market, we build a broad, diversified portfolio that we believe mitigates the impact of adverse economic factors.

In the first six months of 2010, both the corporate bond market and, to a lesser degree, the municipal bond market, had positive price returns. In the first six months of 2010 both the corporate bond market and, to a lesser degree, the municipal bond market, have positive price returns, leading to a small increase in fair value of our bond portfolio. As

Edgar Filing: CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

of June 30, 2010, our bond portfolio was at 107.1 percent of its book value, compared with 104.5 percent at December 31, 2009.

Credit ratings as of June 30, 2010, compared with December 31, 2009, for the fixed-maturity and short-term portfolios were:

| (In millions)                                                     | At June 30, 2010 |                  | At December 31, 2009 |                  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
|                                                                   | Fair value       | Percent to total | Fair value           | Percent to total |
| <b>Moody's Ratings and Standard &amp; Poor's Ratings combined</b> |                  |                  |                      |                  |
| Aaa, Aa, A, AAA, AA, A                                            | \$ 5,328         | 63.9%            | \$ 4,967             | 63.2%            |
| Baa, BBB                                                          | 2,481            | 29.8             | 2,302                | 29.3             |
| Ba, BB                                                            | 248              | 3.0              | 279                  | 3.5              |
| B, B                                                              | 47               | 0.6              | 44                   | 0.6              |
| Caa, CCC                                                          | 19               | 0.2              | 29                   | 0.4              |
| Ca, CC                                                            | -                | 0.0              | 3                    | 0.0              |
| Non-rated                                                         | 216              | 2.5              | 237                  | 3.0              |
| <b>Total</b>                                                      | <b>\$ 8,339</b>  | <b>100.0%</b>    | <b>\$ 7,861</b>      | <b>100.0%</b>    |

Attributes of the fixed-maturity portfolio include:

|                                      | At June 30,<br>2010 | At December 31,<br>2009 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Weighted average yield-to-book value | 5.7%                | 5.9%                    |
| Weighted average maturity            | 7.1 yrs             | 7.5 yrs                 |
| Effective duration                   | 5.0 yrs             | 5.3 yrs                 |

We discuss maturities of our fixed-maturity portfolio in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 8, Note 2, Investments, Page 100.

#### Taxable Fixed Maturities

At June 30, 2010, our \$5.364 billion taxable fixed-maturity portfolio (at fair value) included:

- \$347 million in U.S. agency paper that is rated Aaa/AAA by Moody's and Standard & Poor's, respectively.
- \$4.472 billion in investment-grade corporate bonds that have a Moody's rating at or above Baa3 or a Standard & Poor's rating at or above BBB-.
- \$276 million in high-yield corporate bonds that have a Moody's rating below Baa3 and a Standard & Poor's rating below BBB-.
- \$197 million in taxable municipal bonds that have an average rating of Aa3/AA by Moody's and Standard & Poor's, respectively.
- \$72 million in convertible bonds and redeemable preferred stocks.

Our strategy typically is to buy and hold fixed-maturity investments to maturity, but we monitor credit profiles and fair value movements when determining holding periods for individual securities.

The largest non-financial sectors in our investment-grade corporate bond portfolio, based on fair value at June 30, 2010, are energy and utilities, representing 11.1 percent and 10.4 percent, respectively, compared with 11.9 percent and 10.4 percent at year-end 2009. The financial-related sectors of banks, brokerage, finance and investment and insurance companies represented 26.6 percent of fair value of our investment-grade corporate bond portfolio at June 30, 2010, compared with 25.3 percent at year-end 2009. We believe our weighting in financial-related sectors is below the average for the corporate bond market as a whole.

#### Tax-Exempt Fixed Maturities

At June 30, 2010, we had \$2.975 billion of tax-exempt fixed-maturity securities with an average rating of Aa3/AA by Moody's and Standard & Poor's, respectively. We traditionally have purchased municipal bonds focusing on general obligation and essential services issues, such as water, waste disposal and others. While no single municipal issuer accounted for more than 0.7 percent of the tax-exempt municipal bond portfolio at June 30, 2010, there are higher concentrations within individual states. Holdings in our two most concentrated states, Texas and Indiana, together accounted for 31.2 percent of the municipal bond portfolio at June 30, 2010, compared with 31.9 percent at year-end 2009.

#### Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

Because of our strong surplus, long-term investment horizon and ability to hold most fixed-maturity investments until maturity, we believe the company is adequately positioned if interest rates were to rise. Although the fair values of our existing holdings may suffer, a higher rate environment would provide the opportunity to invest cash flow in higher yielding securities, while reducing the likelihood of untimely redemptions of currently callable securities. While higher interest rates would be expected to continue to increase the number of fixed-maturity holdings trading below 100 percent of book value, we believe lower fixed-maturity security values due solely to interest rate changes would not signal a decline in credit quality. We continue to explore ways to reduce exposure to risks related to a rise in interest rates.

Our dynamic financial planning model uses analytical tools to assess market risks. As part of this model, the effective duration of the fixed-maturity portfolio is continually monitored by our investment department to evaluate the theoretical impact of interest rate movements.

The table below summarizes the effect of hypothetical changes in interest rates on the fixed-maturity portfolio:

| (In millions)        | Interest Rate Shift in Basis Points (bps) |          |          |          |          |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                      | -200 bps                                  | -100 bps | 0 bps    | 100 bps  | 200 bps  |
| At June 30, 2010     | \$ 9,204                                  | \$ 8,765 | \$ 8,339 | \$ 7,918 | \$ 7,518 |
| At December 31, 2009 | \$ 8,705                                  | \$ 8,279 | \$ 7,855 | \$ 7,428 | \$ 7,024 |

The effective duration of the fixed-maturity portfolio as of June 30, 2010, was 5.0 years, compared with 5.3 years at year-end 2009. A 100 basis point movement in interest rates would result in an approximately 5.0 percent change in the fair value of the fixed-maturity portfolio. Generally speaking, the higher a bond is rated, the more directly correlated movements in its fair value are to changes in the general level of interest rates, exclusive of call features. The fair values of average- to lower-rated corporate bonds are additionally influenced by the expansion or contraction of credit spreads.

In our dynamic financial planning model, the selected interest rate change of 100 to 200 basis points represents our view of a shift in rates that is quite possible over a one-year period. The rates modeled should not be considered a prediction of future events as interest rates may be much more volatile in the future. The analysis is not intended to provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in rates on our results or financial condition, nor does it take into account any actions that we might take to reduce exposure to such risks.

### Short-Term Investments

At June 30, 2010, we had no short-term investments compared with \$6 million at year-end 2009.

Our short-term investments consisted primarily of commercial paper, demand notes or bonds purchased within one year of maturity.

### Equity Investments

Our common stock investments generally are securities of companies with strong indications of paying and growing their dividends. Other criteria we evaluate include increasing sales and earnings, proven management and a favorable outlook. We believe our equity investment style is an appropriate long-term strategy. While our long-term financial position would be affected by prolonged changes in the market valuation of our investments, we believe our strong surplus position and cash flow provide a cushion against short-term fluctuations in valuation. Continued payment of cash dividends by the issuers of the common equities we hold can provide a floor to their valuation. A \$100 million unrealized change in the value of the common stocks owned at period end would cause a change of \$65 million, or approximately 40 cents per share, in our shareholders' equity.

At June 30, 2010, two holdings had a fair value equal to or greater than 5 percent of our publicly-traded common stock portfolio, similar to year-end 2009. Procter & Gamble is our largest single common stock investment, comprising 5.9 percent of the publicly traded common stock portfolio and 1.3 percent of the investment portfolio. The second common stock with a fair value greater than 5 percent of our publicly-traded common stock portfolio is PepsiCo Inc. (NYSE:PEP), comprising 5.1 percent of the publicly traded common stock portfolio and 1.1 percent of the investment portfolio.

### Common Stock Portfolio Industry Sector Distribution

|                        | Percent of Publicly Traded Common Stock Portfolio<br>At June 30, 2010 |                                | At December 31, 2009    |                                |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                        | Cincinnati<br>Financial                                               | S&P 500 Industry<br>Weightings | Cincinnati<br>Financial | S&P 500 Industry<br>Weightings |
| Sector:                |                                                                       |                                |                         |                                |
| Consumer staples       | 16.9%                                                                 | 11.5%                          | 15.5%                   | 11.4%                          |
| Healthcare             | 15.6                                                                  | 12.1                           | 18.0                    | 12.6                           |
| Financial              | 11.8                                                                  | 16.3                           | 10.2                    | 14.4                           |
| Information technology | 11.3                                                                  | 18.7                           | 11.0                    | 19.8                           |

Edgar Filing: CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

|                        |        |        |        |        |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Energy                 | 11.2   | 10.7   | 11.0   | 11.5   |
| Industrials            | 10.0   | 10.4   | 9.2    | 10.2   |
| Consumer discretionary | 8.8    | 10.1   | 9.6    | 9.6    |
| Utilities              | 6.0    | 3.8    | 6.7    | 3.7    |
| Materials              | 5.1    | 3.4    | 5.1    | 3.6    |
| Telecomm services      | 3.3    | 3.0    | 3.7    | 3.2    |
| Total                  | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

## Unrealized Investment Gains and Losses

At June 30, 2010, unrealized investment gains before taxes for the consolidated investment portfolio totaled \$1.150 billion and unrealized investment losses amounted to \$105 million.

### Unrealized Investment Gains

The unrealized investment gains at June 30, 2010, largely were due to a net gain position in our fixed income portfolio of \$550 million and a net gain position in our common stock portfolio of \$477 million. The two primary contributors to the net gain position were Procter & Gamble and ExxonMobil common stocks, which had a combined net gain position of \$203 million.

### Unrealized Investment Losses

We expect the number of securities trading below book value to fluctuate as interest rates rise or fall and credit spreads expand or contract due to prevailing economic conditions. Further, book values for some securities are revised through impairment charges recognized in prior periods.

During the second quarter of 2010, a total of 11 securities were written down. Other-than-temporarily impairments resulted in pretax, non-cash charges of \$34 million and \$35 million for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010. During the same periods of 2009, we impaired securities resulting in \$52 million and \$102 million other-than-temporary impairment charges.

At June 30, 2010, 149 of the 2,586 securities we owned were trading below book value compared with 355 of the 2,505 securities we owned at year-end 2009. The 149 holdings trading below book value at June 30, 2010, represented 10.4 percent of fair value of our investment portfolio and \$105 million in unrealized losses.

- 131 of these holdings were trading between 90 percent and 100 percent of book value. The value of these securities fluctuates primarily because of changes in interest rates. 20 of these are equity securities that may be subject to other-than-temporary impairment should they not recover by the recovery dates we determined. The remaining 111 securities primarily consists of fixed-maturity securities whose current valuation is largely the result of interest rate factors. The fair value of these 131 securities was \$621 million at June 30, 2010, and they accounted for \$21 million in unrealized losses.
- 18 of these holdings were trading between 70 percent and 90 percent of book value at June 30, 2010. Eleven of these securities are equity securities that may be subject to other-than-temporary impairment should they not recover by the recovery date we determined. The remaining seven are fixed-maturity securities that we believe will continue to pay interest and ultimately principal upon maturity. The fair value of these 18 securities was \$514 million, and they accounted for \$84 million in unrealized losses.
- None of these holdings were trading below 70 percent of book value at June 30, 2010.

The table below reviews fair values and unrealized losses by investment category and by the overall duration of the securities' continuous unrealized loss position.

| (In millions)                                     | Less than 12 months |                   | 12 months or more |                   | Total      |                   |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|                                                   | Fair value          | Unrealized losses | Fair value        | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |
| At June 30, 2010                                  |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| Fixed maturities:                                 |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | \$ 30               | \$ -              | \$ 25             | \$ 1              | \$ 55      | \$ 1              |
| Corporate bonds                                   | 130                 | 3                 | 156               | 9                 | 286        | 12                |
| Total                                             | 160                 | 3                 | 181               | 10                | 341        | 13                |
| Equity securities                                 | 730                 | 83                | 64                | 9                 | 794        | 92                |
| Total                                             | \$ 890              | \$ 86             | \$ 245            | \$ 19             | \$ 1,135   | \$ 105            |

At December 31, 2009

|                                                   |          |       |        |       |          |       |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|
| Fixed maturities:                                 |          |       |        |       |          |       |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | \$ 196   | \$ 4  | \$ 29  | \$ 2  | \$ 225   | \$ 6  |
| Government-sponsored enterprises                  | 347      | 7     | -      | -     | 347      | 7     |
| Short-term investments                            | 1        | -     | -      | -     | 1        | -     |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations               | -        | -     | 27     | 6     | 27       | 6     |
| Corporate bonds                                   | 397      | 19    | 309    | 17    | 706      | 36    |
| Total                                             | 941      | 30    | 365    | 25    | 1,306    | 55    |
| Equity securities                                 | 65       | 3     | 415    | 26    | 480      | 29    |
| Total                                             | \$ 1,006 | \$ 33 | \$ 780 | \$ 51 | \$ 1,786 | \$ 84 |

At June 30, 2010, 59 fixed-maturity securities with a total unrealized loss of \$10 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, no fixed-maturity securities were trading under 70 percent of book value; six fixed-maturity securities with a fair value of \$34 million were trading from 70 percent to less than 90 percent of book value and accounted for \$4 million in unrealized losses; and 53 fixed-maturity securities with a fair value of \$147 million were trading from 90 percent to less than 100 percent of book value and accounted for \$6 million in unrealized losses.

At June 30, 2010, five equity securities with a total unrealized loss of \$9 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, none were trading under 70 percent of book value; two equity securities with a fair value of \$41 million were trading from 70 percent to less than 90 percent of book value and accounted for \$7 million in unrealized losses; and three equity securities with a fair value of \$23 million were trading from 90 percent to less than 100 percent of book value and accounted for \$2 million in unrealized losses.

As of June 30, 2010, applying our invested asset impairment policy, we determined that the \$19 million in unrealized losses described above were not other-than-temporarily impaired.

During 2009, we impaired 50 securities. At December 31, 2009, 121 fixed-maturity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$25 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Of that total, eight fixed-maturity investments were trading below 70 percent of book value with a total unrealized loss of \$2 million. Ten

equity investments with a total unrealized loss of \$26 million had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2009. Of that total, no equity investments were trading below 70 percent of book value.

The following table summarizes the investment portfolio by severity of decline:

| (In millions)                                     | Number<br>of issues | Book<br>value | Fair<br>value | Gross<br>unrealized<br>gain/loss | Gross<br>investment<br>income |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>At June 30, 2010</b>                           |                     |               |               |                                  |                               |
| <b>Taxable fixed maturities:</b>                  |                     |               |               |                                  |                               |
| Fair value below 70% of book value                | -                   | \$ -          | \$ -          | \$ -                             | \$ -                          |
| Fair value at 70% to less than 100% of book value | 91                  | 308           | 296           | (12)                             | 9                             |
| Fair value at 100% and above book value           | 1,111               | 4,653         | 5,068         | 415                              | 139                           |
| Securities sold in current year                   | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | 5                             |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>1,202</b>        | <b>4,961</b>  | <b>5,364</b>  | <b>403</b>                       | <b>153</b>                    |
| <b>Tax-exempt fixed maturities:</b>               |                     |               |               |                                  |                               |
| Fair value below 70% of book value                | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| Fair value at 70% to less than 100% of book value | 27                  | 46            | 45            | (1)                              | 1                             |
| Fair value at 100% and above book value           | 1,261               | 2,782         | 2,930         | 148                              | 60                            |
| Securities sold in current year                   | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | 1                             |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>1,288</b>        | <b>2,828</b>  | <b>2,975</b>  | <b>147</b>                       | <b>62</b>                     |
| <b>Common equities:</b>                           |                     |               |               |                                  |                               |
| Fair value below 70% of book value                | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| Fair value at 70% to less than 100% of book value | 27                  | 857           | 768           | (89)                             | 18                            |
| Fair value at 100% and above book value           | 45                  | 1,184         | 1,750         | 566                              | 26                            |
| Securities sold in current year                   | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>72</b>           | <b>2,041</b>  | <b>2,518</b>  | <b>477</b>                       | <b>44</b>                     |
| <b>Preferred equities:</b>                        |                     |               |               |                                  |                               |
| Fair value below 70% of book value                | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| Fair value at 70% to less than 100% of book value | 4                   | 29            | 26            | (3)                              | 1                             |
| Fair value at 100% and above book value           | 20                  | 46            | 67            | 21                               | 2                             |
| Securities sold in current year                   | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>24</b>           | <b>75</b>     | <b>93</b>     | <b>18</b>                        | <b>3</b>                      |
| <b>Short-term investments:</b>                    |                     |               |               |                                  |                               |
| Fair value below 70% of book value                | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| Fair value at 70% to less than 100% of book value | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| Fair value at 100% and above book value           | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| Securities sold in current year                   | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>-</b>            | <b>-</b>      | <b>-</b>      | <b>-</b>                         | <b>-</b>                      |
| <b>Portfolio summary:</b>                         |                     |               |               |                                  |                               |
| Fair value below 70% of book value                | -                   | -             | -             | -                                | -                             |
|                                                   | <b>149</b>          | <b>1,240</b>  | <b>1,135</b>  | <b>(105)</b>                     | <b>29</b>                     |

|                                                   |       |          |           |          |        |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|----------|--------|
| Fair value at 70% to less than 100% of book value |       |          |           |          |        |
| Fair value at 100% and above book value           | 2,437 | 8,665    | 9,815     | 1,150    | 227    |
| Securities sold in current year                   | -     | -        | -         | -        | 6      |
| Total                                             | 2,586 | \$ 9,905 | \$ 10,950 | \$ 1,045 | \$ 262 |

At December 31, 2009

Portfolio summary:

|                                                   |       |    |       |    |        |    |       |    |     |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------|----|-------|----|--------|----|-------|----|-----|
| Fair value below 70% of book value                | 9     | \$ | 8     | \$ | 5      | \$ | (3)   | \$ | 1   |
| Fair value at 70% to less than 100% of book value | 346   |    | 1,862 |    | 1,781  |    | (81)  |    | 79  |
| Fair value at 100% and above book value           | 2,150 |    | 7,666 |    | 8,776  |    | 1,110 |    | 391 |
| Securities sold in current year                   | -     |    | -     |    | -      |    | -     |    | 31  |
| Total                                             | 2,505 | \$ | 9,536 | \$ | 10,562 | \$ | 1,026 | \$ | 502 |

See our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Critical Accounting Estimates, Asset Impairment, Page 42.

Item 4.

Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures – The company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)).

Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. The company's management, with the participation of the company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, the company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the design and operation of the company's disclosure controls and procedures provided reasonable assurance that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure:

- that information required to be disclosed in the company's reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and
- that such information is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting – During the three months ended June 30, 2010, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II – Other Information

Item 1.

Legal Proceedings

Neither the company nor any of our subsidiaries is involved in any litigation believed to be material other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to the nature of its business.

Item 1A.

Risk Factors

Our risk factors have not changed materially since they were described in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 26, 2010.

Item 2.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

We did not sell any of our shares that were not registered under the Securities Act during the first six months of 2010. The board of directors has authorized share repurchases since 1996. We discuss the board authorization in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Item 7, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Parent Company Liquidity, Page 68. The board gives management discretion to purchase shares at reasonable prices in light of circumstances at the time of purchase, subject to SEC regulations. In the first six months of 2010, we repurchased a total of 377,748 shares.

| Period | Total number<br>of shares<br>purchased | Average<br>price paid<br>per share | Total number of sharesMaximum number of<br>purchased as part of shares that may yet be<br>publicly announced purchased under the<br>plans or programs plans or programs |  |
|--------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|        |                                        |                                    |                                                                                                                                                                         |  |

|                  |         |         |         |           |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| April 1-30, 2010 | 0       | \$ 0.00 | 0       | 9,044,097 |
| May 1-31, 2010   | 332,748 | 26.49   | 332,748 | 8,711,349 |
| June 1-30, 2010  | 45,000  | 26.49   | 45,000  | 8,666,349 |
| Totals           | 377,748 | 26.49   | 377,748 |           |

On October 24, 2007, the board of directors expanded the existing repurchase authorization to approximately 13 million shares. The prior repurchase program for 10 million shares was announced in 2005, replacing a program that had been in effect since 1999. No repurchase program has expired during the period covered by the above table. Neither the 2005 nor 1999 program had an expiration date, but no further repurchases will occur under the 1999 program.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

We have not defaulted on any interest or principal payment, and no arrearage in the payment of dividends has occurred.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

In our most recent Shareholder Meeting on May 1, 2010, the shareholders approved an amendment to our Code of Regulations (Regulations) that, among other things, adopted new procedures pursuant to which shareholders may recommend candidates for election to our board of directors.

The approved amendment adopted a new Section 7 of Article I of the Regulations that permits shareholders to nominate candidates for election to the board of directors by (i) delivering timely notice of such nomination to the secretary of the corporation, (ii) providing specific information about the nominating shareholder, (iii) providing specific information about the proposed nominee, and (iv) updating the aforementioned information as necessary. In order for notice to be timely, it must be provided not less than 60 days nor more than 100 days prior to the first anniversary of the previous year's annual meeting. If, however, the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after the first anniversary of the previous year's annual meeting, shareholders would instead be required to deliver such notice not earlier than the 100th day prior to the annual meeting and not later than the day that is the later of the 60th day prior to the annual meeting or the 10th day following the day on which we first publicly disclose the date of the annual meeting.

The foregoing description is a summary of the adopted procedures and is not complete. The summary is qualified by reference to the actual text of the newly adopted Section to Article I of our Regulations, which is attached to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as Exhibit 3.2.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.1A        | Amended Articles of Incorporation of Cincinnati Financial Corporation (incorporated by reference to the company's 1999 Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 23, 2000) (File No. 000-04604)                             |
| 3.1B        | Amendment to Article Fourth of Amended Articles of Incorporation of Cincinnati Financial Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) filed with the company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 15, 2005) |
| 3.1C        | Amendment to Article Sixth of Amended Articles of Incorporation of Cincinnati Financial Corporation                                                                                                                      |
| 3.2         | Regulations of Cincinnati Financial Corporation, as amended through May 1, 2010                                                                                                                                          |
| 11          | Statement re: Computation of per share earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2010, contained in Exhibit 11 of this report, Page 54                                                                                  |
| 31A         | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 – Chief Executive Officer                                                                                                                        |
| 31B         | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 – Chief Financial Officer                                                                                                                        |

32 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002

52

---

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CINCINNATI FINANCIAL CORPORATION

Date: July 28, 2010

/S/ Eric N. Mathews

Eric N. Mathews, CPCU, AIAF

Vice President, Assistant Secretary and Assistant  
Treasurer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

53

---