BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP INC Form DEF 14A April 06, 2018 <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.) Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant) Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1)

Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

N/A

(3)

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

N/A

(4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

N/A

(5) Total fee paid:

N/A

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

N/A

(2)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

N/A

(3) Filing Party:

N/A

(4) Date Filed:

N/A

April 6, 2018 Dear Berkshire Hills Bancorp Shareholder: It is our pleasure to invite you to attend the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be held at: Berkshire Plaza Hotel One West Street Pittsfield, Massachusetts 01201 Thursday, May 17, 2018 10:00 a.m., local time Please see the Notice of Annual Meeting on the next page for more information about our admission procedures. We urge you to vote your proxy online, or by telephone, or by completing and returning a proxy card by mail as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. Your vote is important to us. Thank you for your attention to the enclosed materials, and for your continued support of our company.

Michael P. Daly, Chief Executive Officer

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders Notice of 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.

> When: Thursday, May 17, 2018 10 a.m. local time

Where: Berkshire Plaza Hotel One West Street Pittsfield, MA 01201

Record Date: March 22, 2018

We are holding this meeting for the following purposes:

1.

To elect as directors the nominees named in the Proxy Statement each to serve a one-year term or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;

2.

To amend Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the Company's authorized common stock from 50 million to 100 million shares;

3.

To amend Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the Company's authorized preferred stock from 1 million to 2 million shares;

4.

To approve the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan;

5.

To provide an advisory vote on executive compensation practices;

6.

To ratify the appointment of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018; and

7.

To transact any other Company business that may properly come before the meeting.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" each of the proposed director nominees and "FOR" the proposals to be presented at the annual meeting.

Shareholders of record at the close of business on March 22, 2018, are entitled to vote at the meeting, either in person or by proxy. There are several ways to vote. You can vote your shares online, by telephone, by regular mail or in person at the annual meeting. To access your proxy materials and vote online, please visit www.proxyvote.com and follow the instructions. The notice previously provided to you contains the necessary codes required to vote online. If you wish to vote by telephone, please call 1-800-690-6903 using a touch-tone phone and follow the prompted instructions. You may also vote by mail by requesting a paper proxy card using the instructions provided to you in the

notice. Finally, you may vote in person at the annual meeting, even if you have previously submitted a proxy. Whatever method you choose, please vote in advance of the meeting to ensure that your shares will be voted as you direct.

Boston, Massachusetts April 6, 2018 By order of the Board of Directors

Wm. Gordon Prescott, Corporate Secretary

Admission Procedures

The meeting is open to shareholders of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. Everyone attending the meeting should bring a photo ID. If your shares are registered in the name of a bank, broker, or other holder of record, please also bring documentation of your stock ownership as of March 22, 2018 (such as a brokerage statement).

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 17, 2018:

The Notice of Annual Meeting, 2018 Proxy Statement, and Annual Report to Shareholders for fiscal 2017 are each available at www.proxyvote.com.

Table of Contents	
Proxy Summary	<u>2</u>
Proposal 1 — Election of Directors	<u>9</u>
Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees	<u>10</u>
Corporate Governance	<u>19</u>
Director Compensation	<u>28</u>
Proposal 2 — Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the Company's Authorized	<u>30</u>
Common Stock from 50 Million to 100 Million Shares	<u>50</u>
<u>Proposal 3 — Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the Company's Authorized</u> <u>Preferred Stock from 1 Million to 2 Million Shares</u>	<u>32</u>
Proposal 4 — Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan	<u>34</u>
Proposal 5 — Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Executive Compensation	<u>43</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>44</u>
Compensation Committee Report	<u>73</u>
Executive Compensation	<u>74</u>
Summary Compensation and Other Tables	<u>74</u>
Proposal 6 — Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>83</u>
Audit Committee Report	<u>85</u>
Additional Information	<u>87</u>
Stock Ownership	<u>87</u>
Information About Voting	<u>89</u>
Other Information Relating to Directors and Executive Officers	<u>92</u>
Submission of Business Proposals and Shareholder Nominations	<u>94</u>
Shareholder Communications	<u>94</u>
Miscellaneous	<u>95</u>
Other Matters	<u>95</u>
Appendix A	<u>A-1</u>
Summary of and Reconciliation of Certain Non-GAAP Financial Measures	<u>A-1</u>
Appendix B	<u>B-1</u>
Form of Amendments to the Certificate of Incorporation if both Proposal 2 and 3 are Passed	<u>B-1</u>
Appendix C	<u>C-1</u>
Form of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation if Proposal 2, and Not Proposal 3, is Passed	<u>C-1</u>
Appendix D	<u>D-1</u>

Form of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation if Proposal 3, and Not Proposal 2, is Passed	<u>D-1</u>
<u>Appendix E</u>	<u>E-1</u>
Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan	<u>E-1</u>
1	
BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. 2018 Proxy Statement	

Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.

Proxy Statement Proxy Summary This summary gives you an overview of selected information in this year's proxy. We encourage you to read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting. We have also provided you with the 2017 Summary Annual Report and the 2017 Annual Report on SEC Form 10-K. Annual Meeting of Shareholders Time and Date: 10:00 a.m. local time, Thursday, May 17, 2018 Place: Berkshire Plaza Hotel, One West Street, Pittsfield, Massachusetts 01201 Record Date: Shareholders as of the close of business on March 22, 2018 are entitled to vote Proposals to be Voted on by Shareholders Page References Board's Voting Proposal Recommendation (for more information) FOR <u>1</u> — Election of Directors pp. <u>9-29</u> (all nominees) 2 — Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the FOR pp. <u>30-31</u> Company's Authorized Common Stock from 50 Million to 100 Million Shares <u>3</u> — Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the FOR pp. <u>32</u>-<u>33</u> Company's Authorized Preferred Stock from 1 Million to 2 Million Shares <u>4</u> — Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan FOR pp. <u>34-42</u> 5 — Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation **FOR** <u>pp. 43</u>

 6
 Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public

 Accounting Firm
 FOR

 We are providing this proxy statement to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the 2018 Annual

Meeting of Shareholders and to transact any other business that may properly come before the meeting. In this proxy statement, we also refer to Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. as "Berkshire" or the "Company". We also refer to its subsidiary, Berkshire Bank, as the "Bank". We are mailing a notice of the annual meeting to shareholders of record as of March 22, 2018, beginning on April 6, 2018.

2

Proxy Statement • Proxy Summary Summary of Proposals for 2018

1 — Election of Directors. This year, Berkshire has 9 (out of 13) directors up for election, each to serve a one-year term if elected. The Company's Board of Directors has nominated Messrs. Bossidy, Brunelle, Curley, Daly, Mahoney, Murphy, Ryan, Templeton and Ms. Massad for election in 2018.

2 — Amendment of Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the Company's Authorized Common Stock from 50 Million to 100 Million Shares. Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation authorizes 50 million shares of common stock, of which 45,360,369 shares are outstanding. An increase to 100 million shares of authorized common stock increases our flexibility to issue common stock in a variety of circumstances.

3 — Amendment of Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the Company's Authorized Preferred Stock from 1 Million to 2 Million Shares. Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation authorizes 1 million shares of preferred stock, of which 522,000 shares are outstanding. An increase to 2 million shares of authorized preferred stock increases our flexibility to issue such stock in a variety of circumstances.

4 — Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. There are a limited number of shares remaining under Berkshire's current equity plan. Approval of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan will give the Company the flexibility it needs to continue to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified officers, employees and directors by offering a competitive compensation program that is linked to the performance of our common stock.

5 — Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. This advisory vote is for the approval of the Company's Named Executive Officer compensation as set forth within this proxy statement. Berkshire strives to promote shareholder value and sound risk management by aligning executive pay and company performance.

6 — Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. This advisory vote ratifies the selection of Crowe Horwath LLP ("Crowe") as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018. Corporate Governance Highlights

Our commitment to good corporate governance is illustrated as follows:

Independent Oversight	Shareholder Orientation	Good Governance	
Majority independent directors (11 of 13); median tenure of 5 years	Rigorous board and committee self-assessments conducted annually	Diverse board membership (skills, tenure, age); annual director education	
Strong and engaged independent chairman of the board	Robust stock-ownership guidelines	Annual evaluation of CEO and senior management and review of succession plans	
All key committees are fully independent	Annual shareholder engagement program	Directors attended 99% of all Board and Committee meetings in 2017	
Regular executive sessions of independent directors	Majority voting, with director resignation policy for uncontested elections	Risk oversight by full board and committees	
Chair of Corporate Governance or Chairman can call special meeting of the Board at any time for any reason	No poison pill in place; annual election of all directors beginning in 2019	Formal ethics code, reporting hotline and ethics training to all employees	
3			
BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. 2018 Proxy Statement			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proxy Statement • Proxy Summary

Shareholder Engagement and Responsiveness

We have an active engagement program that focuses on gathering feedback from the governance teams of our largest institutional shareholders. Based on these ongoing discussions, in the last year we made several enhancements to our governance programs which include:

Declassified the Board of Directors

Added a relative total shareholder return ("TSR") measure to the long-term incentive plan

Increased disclosure around compensation policies, procedures and decisions

•

Increased disclosure relating to the Board of Directors' composition, recruiting and nominating practices

•

Introduced an anti-hedging policy and increased disclosure around our restrictive pledging policy

•

Eliminated geographical residency requirement for eligibility to serve on the Board

Since the implementation of "Say-on-Pay", we have received support above 90% for our Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. In 2016 we received 67% of votes in favor of the Company's executive compensation. In 2017, we improved to 75% of vote in favor, but we continue to strive to improve the shareholder approval. As a result, the Board of Directors has taken action over the past year to further engage shareholders to better understand their views and make enhancements to our compensation and governance practices. What We Did:

•

Proactively reached out to our largest institutional shareholders, representing 75% of our institutional ownership, to solicit their feedback.

•

Had extensive dialogue with a diverse group of our shareholders during the year and obtained additional feedback from advisors and other knowledgeable third parties.

•

•

Solicited feedback and answered questions about our executive compensation programs and Board governance practices.

What We Heard and How We Responded:

Shareholders were pleased with the changes we made in the last year, particularly the declassified board and increased disclosures.

17

We continued to enhance our proxy statement disclosure this year to include more detail on director qualifications, the board evaluation process, risk management, gender diversity and sustainability.

There was general support for the design of the compensation plans. The importance of aligning goals with shareholder returns was reinforced and some of the shareholders desired more disclosure around individual incentive awards.

The Compensation Committee changed the long-term incentive plan goals in 2017 to include a relative TSR measure, along with a cumulative core EPS goal. The Committee feels that this combination will drive executive performance that is both favorable to the shareholders and to the Company's long term strategic plans. We also continued to enhance the disclosures tied to the Compensation Committee's philosophy and process in determining goals and individual awards.

•

Some shareholders suggested adopting a proxy access provision, majority voting standards, and/or the right to call a special meeting in our bylaws.

•

The Board has had extensive conversations about each of these items and will continue to evaluate potential future action.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proxy Statement • Proxy SummaryOur Compensation PhilosophyWe seek to provide an executive compensation program that is consistent with promoting sound risk management and long-term value creation for our shareholders. The key principles that support our philosophy are:

Attract and retain highly talented executives committed to our success

Pay for performance

Align executive interests with those of our shareholders

Manage risk through oversight and compensation design features and practices

Key Elements of our Compensation Programs Compensation Mix Target Mix

Direct compensation is made up of base salary, short-term cash incentive ("STI") and long-term equity incentive ("LTI")

Target mix is 57% performance based for CEO and 47% performance-based for other NEOs

Long-Term/Equity Compensation LTI Award

Awards consist of 50% performance shares and 50% time-based shares

•

Performance shares are earned at the end of a 3-year period based on Company performance

Time-based shares are earned proportionally over a 3-year period

Corporate Performance Measures

Performance Measures

Performance measures and targets are designed to motivate and reward executives for achieving improved earnings and profitability over the long term, driving total shareholder returns and managing risk

Goal setting is aligned with annual and multi-year financial targets

*

•

For reconciliation of non-GAAP measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, please see Appendix A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proxy Statement • Proxy Summary Our Compensation Practices and Policies What We Do:

Pay for Performance: A significant portion of each Named Executive Officer's annual compensation target is variable and tied to company and individual performance results. The Company uses a mix of performance metrics and our short- and long-term plans provide a balanced timeframe for incentive opportunities.

Link Performance Measures with Strategic Objectives: Performance measures and individual goals for incentive compensation are linked to strategic, operating and financial goals designed to create long-term shareholder value.

Annual Say-on-Pay Vote: We conduct an annual Say-on-Pay advisory vote.

Independent Compensation Consultant: The Compensation Committee engages its own independent compensation consultant to review the Company's executive compensation program and practices.

Shareholder Engagement: As part of the Company's shareholder outreach program, members of the Compensation Committee and members of management welcome engagement with shareholders to better understand their perceptions and views on our executive compensation program.

Stock Ownership Guidelines: We have significant stock ownership guidelines requiring our executives and directors to hold substantial equity ownership.

Clawback Policy: The clawback policy allows the Board to recover incentive compensation paid to an executive if the financial results that the awards were based on are materially restated due to fraud, intentional misconduct or gross negligence.

Incentivize Sound Risk Management: Our compensation program includes features intended to discourage employees from taking unnecessary and excessive risks, including balanced performance metrics, emphasis on long-term shareholder value creation, and clawback provisions.

What We Don't Do:

Gross-ups for Excise Taxes: We do not provide change-in-control tax gross-ups to individuals hired after 2009 (only two legacy agreements are still in place).

Hedging and Pledging: All of our employees and directors are prohibited from engaging in hedging, monetization, derivative or similar transactions with company securities. We also have a policy that discourages pledging of company securities, with very limited exceptions.

Contracts: Our executives, with the exception of the CEO, are all employed "at will" and the relationship may be terminated by the Company or the employee at any time without any severance payments.

Dividends: We do not pay dividends on any restricted stock awards until vested.

6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proxy Statement • Proxy Summary 2017 Company Performance 2017 was a transformational year for Berkshire. Our major accomplishments included:

Growing revenue by more than 40%

Gaining a major position in Worcester, MA — an important regional market

•

Announcing the relocation of the Company's corporate headquarters to Boston and expanding our team within that market

Crossing the \$10 billion threshold for total assets

Completing our largest acquisition and successfully managing our largest stock offering to date

Our Board of Directors evaluates performance primarily on the basis of non-GAAP core and organic measures. The Company excludes net charges not viewed as related to ongoing operations, as shown in Appendix A. Many of these charges relate to the direct and indirect costs of acquisitions, which are central to Berkshire's strategy; these costs are viewed as part of the merger investment. In 2017, there was also a significant noncash charge to write-down the deferred tax asset following federal tax reform.

The Board tracks the Company's performance on GAAP measures but its overall direction to management is to achieve certain core and organic performance targets which the Company views as most critical to shareholder value. The Board does not view GAAP results in many cases as indicative of business performance and value creation. With few exceptions, the equity analysts who cover our Company agree with the Company's approach to evaluating its performance.

The Board sets budget goals each year which are generally targeted to result in improved shareholder value. The Board also participates with management in directing certain investments in infrastructure and risk management to support the long-term growth strategy which are not immediately accretive to earnings measures. The Board considers conditions and expectations in the banking industry and in the investment community in setting management priorities. The Compensation Committee establishes specific forms of short- and long-term incentive compensation to support the Board's performance objectives.

In 2017, GAAP earnings and profitability decreased due to merger charges and tax reform. Core results improved, even as the Company withstood a shifting regulatory landscape while also absorbing the increased regulatory costs of crossing \$10 billion in assets. In 2017 management performed strongly and in several areas exceeded stretch objectives. The Board strongly believes that performance and pay were well aligned in a year with transformational strategic accomplishments and exceptional management performance.

In 2017, the Company introduced three-year TSR as a major element of the incentive structure. As the chart below shows, in its first year Berkshire performed in the 68th percentile among the regional bank peers. The Company delivered results in 2017 after absorbing growth-related costs in the prior two years which held its stock return modestly below peers. The Board views the three-year total stock return of nearly 50% as a strong investment return.

(1)

TSR percentile is based on comparison with 2017 Long Term Incentive comparator index as defined in the CD&A of this proxy.

TABLE OF CONTENTSProxy Statement2017 Financial Highlights

Proposal 1:

Election of Directors for a One-Year Term

The Board of Directors has nominated and recommends Paul T. Bossidy, David M. Brunelle, Robert M. Curley, Michael P. Daly, Cornelius D. Mahoney, Pamela A. Massad, Richard J. Murphy, William J. Ryan and D. Jeffrey Templeton for election as directors, to serve until the 2019 Annual Meeting, or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

Background. The Company's Board of Directors currently consists of 13 members. Prior to the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders, the Board was divided into three classes, each with three-year staggered terms, with one-third of the directors elected each year. Effective as of last year, the Board members are elected on an annual basis as the term of each class expires. Since shareholders approved the declassification of the Board of Directors at the 2017 Annual Meeting and the 2016 class of directors were elected to a three-year term, the 2019 Annual Meeting will be the first that all board members stand for election on an annual basis. The nominees for election this year are Paul T. Bossidy, David M. Brunelle, Robert M. Curley, Michael P. Daly, Cornelius D. Mahoney, Pamela A. Massad, Richard J. Murphy, William J. Ryan and D. Jeffrey Templeton. All nine of the nominees currently serve on the Board. Shareholders will vote to elect the above-referenced nominees to hold office for a one-year term. If a nominee is unable to be a candidate when the election takes place, the shares represented by valid proxies will be voted in favor of the remaining nominees. The Board of Directors does not currently anticipate that any of the nominees will be unable to be a candidate for election.

Additional Information. Information regarding the director nominees is set forth below under the heading "— Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees."

The affirmative vote of a plurality of the Company's outstanding common stock present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting is required to elect the nominees for directors; provided, however, in the case of an uncontested election of directors, it is the Company's policy that if a director is elected by a plurality but not a majority of the votes cast for such director, such director must submit his or her resignation to the Board of Directors, which will be subject to review by the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will then make a recommendation to the Board of Directors as to whether to accept or reject the director's resignation. Unless otherwise instructed, the proxy holders will vote the proxies received by them "FOR" the election of the nominees as directors.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF ITS DIRECTOR NOMINEES.

9

BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees

Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees

Since our last annual meeting, the Board took actions to engage shareholders, advisors and other knowledgeable third-parties to discuss a number of important topics and better understand their views. We appreciate the honest feedback, open exchange of ideas and opportunity to learn from one another. As a result of those conversations and our own commitment to good governance, the Board made the following governance changes this year:

Committee rotation and appointment of new Chairs occurred throughout the year, which included new Audit and Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee Chairs.

•

The Board has implemented the shareholder-approved change to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to phase out the classified Board structure so that all directors would stand for election on an annual basis by 2019.

•

Disclosure concerning the composition of the Board has been enhanced, including more information on skill sets, background and our recruiting and nomination process.

•

The Board also maintains a strict anti-hedging policy and restricts the pledging of Company stock as laid out on page $\underline{72}$ of this proxy statement.

•

Eliminated geographical residency requirement for eligibility to serve on the Board.

2018 Nominees for Election to the Board of Directors

PAUL T. BOSSIDY, President and CEO of Patripabre Capital LLC

	Mr. Bossidy is President and Chief Executive Officer of Patripabre Capital LLC, in Ridgefield, Connecticut, and provides consulting services to companies in the financial services industry. Mr. Bossidy previously served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Clayton Holdings LLC from 2008 to 2014, when it was acquired by Radian Group, Inc. He also formerly served as Senior Operations Executive at Cerberus Capital Management and has held various executive appointments for General Electric Company, most recently as President and Chief Executive Officer of GE Capital Solutions Group, a diversified global commercial finance company. He is a certified public accountant. Mr. Bossidy has been designated by the Board of Directors as a financial expert under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.	
Independent Years of Service: 2 Age: 57 Board Committees:	Other Directorships: Former Directo Corporation (2012-2017); Former Cl (2012-2017) Qualifications, Skills and Experience	hair of Altisource Audit Committee
•	•	•
Audit (chair)	Public Company Board	Talent Management
•	•	•
Corporate Governance/ Nominating	Financial Institution Executive	Regulated Industry

Business Operations/Strategic Corporate Responsibility/ Community Planning Leader • Mortgage Industry Financial Expertise/Literacy • Risk Management Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election)

BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

10

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees

DAVID M. BRUNELLE, Co-Founder and Managing Director of North Pointe Wealth Management

Mr. Brunelle is Co-Founder and Managing Director of North Pointe Wealth
Management in Worcester, Massachusetts. He has over 20 years of experience in
financial services working with businesses, individuals, families and charitable
foundations. Mr. Brunelle is a former Director of Commerce Bancshares Corp. and
Commerce Bank & Trust Company and served on Commerce's audit and loan
committees. He has also served as trustee or corporator for numerous non-profit
entities in and around Worcester, including The Nativity School of Worcester, The
Worcester Regional Research Bureau, The Worcester Educational Development
Foundation, the UMass/Memorial Foundation, Becker College and the Greater
Worcester Community Foundation.

•

Qualifications, Skills and Experience:

Public Company Board

Independent Years of Service: < 1	•	Talent Management
Age: 47 Board Committees:	Business Operations/Strategic Planning	• Regulated Industry
• Audit	• Financial Expertise/Literacy	• Corporate Responsibility/ Community
• Compliance & Regulatory	• Risk Management	•
	•	Small Business Owner/Operator

Wealth Management/Insurance

Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election)

ROBERT M. CURLEY, Chairman of the New York Region of Berkshire Bank

	Mr. Curley is Chairman of the New York region of Berkshire Bank. He previously served as Chairman and President for Citizens Bank in New York from 2005 to 2009. Prior to joining Citizens, Mr. Curley served at Charter One Bank where he was President for New York and New England. During the period of 1976 to 1999, Mr. Curley was employed by KeyCorp, where he rose to the position of Vice Chairman of KeyBank N.A., and served as President and Chief Executive Officer of four subsidiary banks. Mr. Curley was hired by the Company and the Bank as Chairman of our New York region and appointed as a director of the Company and the Bank in December 2009.		
Non-Independent Years of	Qualifications, Skills and Experienc	e:	
Service: 7 Age: 70	• Financial Institution Executive	• Talent Management	
Board Committees:	•	•	
Risk Management & Capital		Regulated Industry	

Business Operations/Strategic Planning Compliance & Regulatory Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader Financial Expertise/Literacy **Risk Management** Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election) 11

BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

•

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees MICHAEL P. DALY, President and CEO of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.

Mr. Daly is President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank. Before these appointments in 2002, Mr. Daly served as Executive
Vice President and Senior Loan Officer of the Bank. Previously he served as Senior Vice
President of commercial banking, and also previously managed consumer lending and operations. He has been an employee of the Bank since 1986.

Qualifications, Skills and Experience

	•	
	Public Company CEO	•
	•	Risk Management
	Public Company Board	•
Non-Independent Years of Service: 15 Age: 56		Talent Management
	Financial Institution Executive	•
	•	Regulated Industry
	Business Operations/Strategic Planning	•
	•	Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader

Financial Expertise/Literacy

Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election)

•

CORNELIUS D. MAHONEY, Former Chairman, President and CEO of Woronoco Bancorp, Inc.

	Mr. Mahoney is the former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Woronoco Bancorp, Inc. and Woronoco Savings Bank before their merger with Berkshire in June 2005. He is a former Chairman of America's Community Banka and the Massachusetts Bankers Association and a former Director of the Federal H Loan Bank of Boston. He was a member of the Thrift Institution Advisory Counci the Federal Reserve Board of Governors and is a past Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Westfield State College.	
Independent	Qualifications, Skills and Experience:	
Years of Service: 12	•	•
Age: 72 Board Committees:	Public Company CEO	Risk Management
•	•	•
Compensation	Public Company Board	Talent Management

Compliance & Regulatory	• Financial Institution Executive	• Regulated Industry
	•	•
	Business Operations and Strategic Planning	Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader
	•	
	Financial Expertise/Literacy	
Current Term End: 2018 (r	nominated for re-election)	
12		

BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Proposal 1: Election of Directors	•	Information Regarding Directors and Director
Nominees		

PAMELA A. MASSAD, ESQ., Of Counsel with Fletcher Tilton PC

	Ms. Massad has been Of Counsel with Fletcher Tilton PC since April 2001. She h over 30 years of experience as a practicing attorney, concentrating her practice in areas of banking and finance, secured lending, corporate and real estate law, and member of the Worcester and Massachusetts Bar Associations. Ms. Massad is a former Director of Commerce Bancshares Corp. and Commerce Bank & Trust Company and served on Commerce's loan, compliance and compensation commi Ms. Massad currently serves as a director of the Hanover Theatre and as a trustee the Nativity School of Worcester. Additionally, Ms. Massad serves as a director f many well-known Massachusetts businesses including Diamond Chevrolet, Inc. a Diamond Auto Group, Pie Co. Realty, Inc. and Table Talk Pies, Inc.	
	Qualifications, Skills and Experience:	
	•	
	Public Company Board	•
Independent Years of Service: < 1 Age: 62 Board Committees: • Risk & Capital • Compliance & Regulatory	•	Talent Management
	Business Operations/Strategic	•
	Planning	Corporate Responsibility/ Community
	•	Leader
	Financial Expertise/Literacy	•
		Mortgage Industry
	Risk Management	
		• Real Estate/Leasing
	• Legal Expertise	

Legal Expertise

Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election)

RICHARD J. MURPHY, Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President of Tri-City ValleyCats

Mr. Murphy is Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President of the Tri-City ValleyCats minor league baseball team, a Class-A affiliate of the Houston Astros based in Troy, New York. He previously served as Chairman of the New York-Penn League Schedule Committee and is a current member of the Board of Directors for Minor League Baseball's Baseball Internet Rights Corporation.

Independent	Qualifications, Skills and Experience:	
Years of Service: 3	•	•
Age: 55 Board Committees:	Business Operations/Strategic Planning	Talent Management
•	-	•
Audit	•	Small Business Owner/Operator

•

Financial Expertise/Literacy

Compliance & Regulatory (Chair)

•

• Marketing/PR Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader

Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election) 13

BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS Proposal 1: Election of Directors • In Nominees WILLIAM J. RYAN, Chairman of the		
	Officer of Banknorth from 1985 th Chairman of the Board and Chief H 2005-2007. Other Directorships: Former direct	irman of the Board and Chief Executive
Independent Chairman Years of Service: 3 Age: 74 Board Committees: • Compensation • Corporate Governance/ Nominating (Chair)	Qualifications, Skills and Experien Public Company CEO Public Company Board Financial Institution Executive Business Operations/Strategic Planning Financial Expertise/Literacy	ce: Risk Management Talent Management Regulated Industry Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader

Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election)

•

D. JEFFREY TEMPLETON, Owner and President of The Mosher Company, Inc.

Mr. Templeton is the owner and President of The Mosher Company, Inc., located in Chicopee, Massachusetts, a manufacturer of buffing and polishing compounds, abrasive slurries and a distributor of related grinding, polishing and lapping machinery. Mr. Templeton is a former director of Woronoco Bancorp.

Independent	Qualifications, Skills and Experience:	
Years of Service: 12	•	•
Age: 76	Public Company Board	Talent Management
Board Committees:	1 5	C
•	•	•
Compensation	Business Operations/Strategic Planning	Small Business Owner/Operator

Corporate Governance/ Nominating

Financial Expertise/Literacy

Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader

Current Term End: 2018 (nominated for re-election) 14 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

٠

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees Directors with Terms Ending in 2019 JOHN B. DAVIES, Agent Emeritus with Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance

> Mr. Davies is a former Executive Vice President of Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance and is currently an Agent Emeritus with Massachusetts Mutual, providing high net worth counseling with a focus on tax efficiency and intergenerational transfers of wealth. Mr. Davies currently serves on the Westfield State University Foundation Board. Mr. Davies is a former director of Woronoco Bancorp.

Qualifications, Skills and Experience:

Independent Years of Service: 12	• Public Company Board	• Regulated Industry
Age: 68 Board Committees:	• Financial Institution Executive	• Wealth Management/Insurance
• Compensation (Chair)	•	•
• Corporate Governance/	Business Operations/Strategic Planning	Talent Management
Nominating	• Financial Expertise/Literacy	• Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader

Current Term End: 2019 Annual Meeting

.

J. WILLIAR DUNLAEVY, Former Chairman and CEO of Legacy Bancorp, Inc.

•

		· · · · · ·
	Mr. Dunlaevy is the former Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Legacy Bancorp, Inc. and Legacy Banks (collectively, "Legacy"). Mr. Dunlaevy served as the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Legacy from 1996 until their merger with Berkshire in 2011. A community leader, Mr. Dunlaevy currently serves as a director of the Berkshi Bank Foundation, and previously served as Chairman of the Berkshire Tacor Community Foundation. Mr. Dunlaevy has also been a director of the Depositors Insurance Fund, Massachusetts Bankers Association, and Savings Bank Life Insurance Company of Massachusetts ("SBLI"). Mr. Dunlaevy ha been designated by the Board of Directors as a financial expert under the rule of the Securities and Exchange Commission.	
Independent	Qualifications, Skills and Experience:	
Years of Service: 6	•	•
Age: 71 Board Committees:	Public Company CEO	Risk Management
•	•	•
Audit	Public Company Board	Talent Management

Risk Management & Capital (Chair)	Financial Institution Executive	Regulated Industry
	•	•
	Business Operations/Strategic Planning	Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader
	•	
	Financial Expertise/Literacy	
Current Term End: 2019 Annual	Meeting	
15		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Information Regarding Directors and Director

Nominees

LAURIE NORTON MOFFATT, Director & CEO of the Norman Rockwell Museum

Ms. Moffatt is the Director and Chief Executive Officer of the Norman Rockwell Museum, Stockbridge, Massachusetts. Since 1986, Ms. Moffatt has overseen the expansion of the museum's facilities and the creation of a scholars' research program. Her efforts resulted in the Museum receiving the National Humanities Medal, America's highest humanities honor. Ms. Moffatt is also an active community leader. She is a founder of 1Berkshire and Berkshire Creative Economy Council and serves as a trustee of Berkshire Health Systems and a director of Berkshire Health Systems, Inc. and Berkshire Medical Center, Inc.

Independent	Qualifications, Skills and Experience:			
Years of Service: 4 Age: 61 Board Committees:	• Business Operations/Strategic Planning	• Marketing/PR		
• Risk Management & Capital	• Financial Expertise/Literacy	• Small Business Owner/Operator		
• Compliance & Regulatory	• Talent Management	• Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader		

Current Term End: 2019 Annual Meeting

PATRICK J. SHEEHAN, Owner and Manager of Sheehan Health Group

Mr. Sheehan is owner and manager of multiple healthcare businesses in New England. Through his management company, Sheehan Health Group, he has operated multiple nursing homes, an independent and assisted living community, a home care agency and a rehabilitation company. A veteran of the healthcare industry, Mr. Sheehan has been successfully rehabilitating and managing healthcare properties since 1990.

Independent	Qualifications, Skills and Experience:			
Years of Service: 2 Age: 46 Board Committees:	• Business Operations/Strategic Planning	• Talent Management		
•		•		
Audit	•	Small Business Owner/Operator		
- Tour	Financial Expertise/Literacy			
•		•		
Risk Management & Capital	• Regulated Industry	Corporate Responsibility/ Community Leader		

Current Term End: 2019 Annual Meeting 16

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees

2018 COMMITTEE STRUCTURE

Nominee Name, Age & Primary Occupation	Director Since	Director Category	Audit	Comp	Corp Gov & Nom	Risk & Capital	Compliance & Reg
Paul T. Bossidy, Age 57 President and Chief Executive Officer of Patripabre Capital LLC	2015	Ι	С		*		
David M. Brunelle, Age 47 Co-Founder and Manging Director of North Pointe Wealth Management	2017	Ι	*				*
Robert M. Curley, Age 70 Chairman of the New York Region of Berkshire Bank	2010	М				*	*
Michael P. Daly, Age 56 President and CEO of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.	2002	М					
John B. Davies, Age 68 Agent Emeritus with Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance	2005	Ι		С	*		
J. Williar Dunlaevy, Age 71 Former Chairman and CEO of Legacy Bancorp, Inc.	2011	Ι	*			С	
Cornelius D. Mahoney, Age 72 Former Chairman, President and CEO of Woronoco Bancorp, Inc.	2005	Ι		*			*
Pamela A. Massad, Esq., Age 62 Of Counsel with Fletcher Tilton PC	2017	Ι				*	*
Laurie Norton Moffatt, Age 61 Director & CEO of the Norman Rockwell Museum	2013	Ι				*	*
Richard J. Murphy, Age 55 Chief Operating Officer and Executive Vice President of Tri-City ValleyCats	2014	Ι	*				С
William J. Ryan, Age 74 Chairman of the Board of Directors of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc	2014	I/C		*	C		
Patrick J. Sheehan, Age 46 Owner and manager of Sheehan Health Group	2015	Ι	*			*	
D. Jeffrey Templeton, Age 76 Owner and President of The Mosher Company, Inc	2005	Ι		*	*		
	endent Direc	ctor C =	Chair				

<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Information Regarding Directors and Director Nominees

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance

Corporate Governance

The Company is committed to strong corporate governance policies, practices and procedures designed to make the Board more effective in exercising its oversight role. The following sections provide an overview of our corporate governance structure, including independence and other criteria we use in selecting director nominees, our Board leadership structure, and the responsibilities of the Board and each of its Committees. Our Corporate Governance Policy, among other key governance materials, help guide our Board and management in the performance of their duties and are regularly reviewed by the Board.

Key Corporate Governance Documents

Please visit our investor relations website at ir.berkshirebank.com to view the following documents:

Corporate Governance Policy

Code of Business Conduct

•

Anonymous Reporting Line Policy

Board Committee Charters

Certificate of Incorporation

•

Company By-Laws

These documents are available free of charge on our website or by writing to Berkshire Hills Bancorp, c/o Wm. Gordon Prescott, Senior Vice President and Corporate Secretary, P.O. Box 1308, Pittsfield, MA 01202.

The Board and management regularly review best practices in corporate governance and are committed to a program that serves the long-term interests of our shareholders. We believe good governance strengthens accountability and promotes responsible corporate citizenship. Our current best practices are highlighted below:

Independent Oversight	Shareholder Orientation	Good Governance
Majority independent directors (11 of 13); median tenure of 5 years	Rigorous board and committee self-assessments conducted annually	Diverse board membership (skills, tenure, age); annual director education
Strong and engaged independent chairman of the board	Robust stock-ownership guidelines	Annual evaluation of CEO and senior management and review of succession plans
All key committees are fully independent	Annual shareholder engagement program	Directors attended 99% of all Board and Committee meetings in 2017
Regular executive sessions of independent directors	Majority voting, with director resignation policy for uncontested elections	Risk oversight by full board and committees
Chair of Corporate Governance or Chairman can call special meeting of	No poison pill in place; annual election of all directors	Formal ethics code, reporting hotline and ethics training to all

the Board at any time for any reason beginning in 2019 employees Board of Directors The primary functions of Berkshire's Board of Directors are: • To oversee management performance on behalf of shareholders; • To ensure that the interests of the shareholders are being served; • To monitor adherence to Berkshire's standards and policies; • To promote the exercise of responsible corporate citizenship; and

To perform the duties and responsibilities assigned to the Board by the laws of Delaware, Berkshire's state of incorporation.

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance

Board Meetings

During 2017, the Board of Directors held 8 meetings. The average attendance at meetings of the Board and Board Committees during 2017 was 99%. During this period, each of the current directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of board meetings and committee meetings held on which such directors served. In addition, the Board of Directors encourages each director to attend annual meetings of shareholders. Five out of eleven directors serving at that time attended the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board has reviewed the current Board leadership structure of the Company, which consists of a separate Independent Chairman of the Board and a Chief Executive Officer. The Independent Chairman performs all duties and has all powers which are commonly incident to the office of Chairman of the Board or which are delegated to him by the Board of Directors, including presiding at all meetings of the Board of Directors. The Chief Executive Officer has responsibility for the management and control of the business and affairs of the Company and has general supervision of all other officers, employees and agents of the Company. The Board believes that separating these roles enhances the independence of the Board and its effectiveness in discharging its responsibilities and that this procedure is currently the most appropriate Board leadership structure for the Company.

Director Independence

The Company's Board of Directors currently consists of 13 members, all of whom are independent under the listing requirements of The New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE"), except for Messrs. Daly and Curley, who are officers of the Company and the Bank. Additionally, all of the members of the Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance/Nominating Committees are independent in accordance with the listing standards of the NYSE, and, in the case of members of the Audit and Compensation Committees, applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). In determining the independence of its directors, the Board considered transactions, relationships and arrangements between the Company and its directors that are not required to be disclosed in this proxy statement under the heading "Transactions with Related Persons," including loans or lines of credit that the Bank has directly or indirectly made to Directors Daly, Mahoney, Massad, Moffatt, Murphy, Sheehan and Templeton.

Corporate Governance Policy

The Board of Directors has adopted a corporate governance policy to govern certain activities, including: the duties and responsibilities of directors; the composition, responsibilities and operation of the Board of Directors; the selection of a Chairman of the Board of Directors; the operation of board committees; succession planning; convening executive sessions of independent directors; the Board of Directors' interaction with management and third parties; and the evaluation of the performance of the Board of Directors and of the Chief Executive Officer. A copy of the corporate governance policy is available in the Governance Documents portion of the Investor Relations section of the Company's website (ir.berkshirebank.com).

Director Continuing Education

The Board of Directors conducts annual director education sessions, which include presentations by industry experts based on input from directors regarding topics of interest. This year's topics included a presentation by members of the leadership of the American Bankers Association concerning potential regulatory changes that may emerge following the 2016 presidential election, and an information security incident response overview presented by Berkshire Bank's internal information security officer. Directors also receive an annual update on trending compliance and regulatory matters and new developments from the Bank's outside compliance advisory firm. Our senior management meets with the Board at every regularly scheduled board meeting and annually to review the Company's strategic plan. 20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance

Board and Committee Self Evaluation

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee oversees the annual self-evaluation of the performance of the Board of directors and its committees, the results of which are discussed with the full Board and each individual committee, as appropriate. The purpose of the evaluations is to improve the performance of the overall Board and each specific committee. The evaluations include a review of any areas in which Board or committee members believes the Board and the committees can make a better contribution to the governance and oversight of the Company. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee also utilizes the results of the Board and committee evaluation process in assessing and determining the characteristics and critical skills required of prospective candidates for election to the Board and appointment to each committee. The evaluation survey forms include opened-ended questions in which directors are invited to share their written comments on a confidential basis. Committees of the Board of Directors

The Board has five standing committees: the Audit Committee; the Compensation Committee; the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee; the Risk Management and Capital Committee; and the Compliance/Regulatory Committee. The Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee are independent in accordance with the listing requirements of the NYSE. Each committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board of Directors that governs its composition, responsibilities and operation. Each committee reviews and reassesses the adequacy of its charter at least annually. The current charters of all five committees are available in the Governance Documents portion of the Investor Relations section of the Company's website (ir.berkshirebank.com).

2017 Committee Structure

Directors	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee	Risk Management & Capital Committee	Compliance & Regulatory Committee
Paul T. Bossidy	С				
David M. Brunelle*					
Robert M. Curley***					
Michael P. Daly					
John B. Davies		С			
J. Williar Dunlaevy**				С	
Cornelius D. Mahoney					
Pamela A. Massad*					
Laurie Norton Moffatt					
Richard J. Murphy***					С
William J. Ryan			С		
Patrick J. Sheehan					
D. Jeffrey Templeton					
Number of Meetings in 2017	19	7	7	7	6
C					
Denotes Committee Chairperso	n.				

Denotes Committee Chairperson.

Mr. Brunelle and Ms. Massad were appointed to the Board of Directors on December 8, 2017.

**

^{*}

Mr. Dunlaevy was appointed to succeed Mr. Curley as the Chairman of the Risk Management and Capital Committee on June 22, 2017.

Mr. Murphy was appointed to succeed Mr. Mahoney as the Chairman of the Compliance and Regulatory Committee on June 22, 2017.

TABLE OF CONTENTS Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance Board Committees and Responsibilities The primary functions of each of the board committees are described below. **BOARD COMMITTEES**

AUDIT COMMITTEE

All Five Members Independent Chair: Mr. Bossidy The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Bossidy and Dunlaevy qualify as Audit Committee financial experts under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

All Four Members Independent Chair: Mr. Davies for more information regarding the role of the Compensation Committee, management and compensation consultants in determining and/or recommending the amount or form of named executive compensation.

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the Company's accounting and reporting practices

Reviews the quality and integrity of the Company's financial reports

•

Ensures the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements related to accounting and financial reporting

•

Oversees the Company's internal audit function

Annually reviews and approves the internal and external audit plans

Engages with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm (Crowe) and monitors its performance, reporting and independence

Approves the compensation objectives for the Company See the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section and its subsidiaries and establishes the compensation for the Chief Executive Officer and other Named Executive Officers of the Company

Reviews the Company's incentive compensation and other equity plans and recommends changes to the plans as needed

.

Reviews all compensation components for the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other Named Executive Officers, including base salary, short-term incentive, long-term incentives/equity, benefits and other perquisites

Reviews competitive market factors and examines the total compensation mix, pay-for-performance relationship, and how all elements, in the aggregate, comprise the named executive officer's total compensation package

Administers CEO employment agreement, change in control agreements, and equity incentive plans

Identifies qualified individuals to serve as Board members

Considers and recommends nominees for director to stand for election at the Company's annual meeting of shareholders

Determines the composition of the Board of Directors and its committees

Annually reviews policy, procedures and criteria for identifying candidates for election or appointment to the Board of Directors

Monitors a process to assess Board effectiveness, including annual Board and committee self-evaluations

• Develops and implements the Company's corporate governance guidelines, including annual reviews of the Company's Corporate Governance Policy and Code of Business Conduct

Regularly receives reports from executive officers heading the Company's investor relations and compliance and regulatory programs and periodically receives reports from other committee chairpersons regarding the work being done by their committees

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE/ NOMINATING COMMITTEE

All Four Members Independent Chair: Mr. Ryan

49

TABLE OF CONTENTS Proposal 1: Election of Dire	ctors • Corporate Governance
BOARD COMMITTEES	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
	•
	Oversees management's program to limit or control the material business risk that confront the Company
	•
	Approves policies and procedures designed to lead to an understanding and to identify, control, monitor and measure the material business risk of the Company and its subsidiaries
	•
RISK MANAGEMENT &	Plans for future capital needs
CAPITAL	•
COMMITTEE Five Members Chair: Mr. Dunlaevy	Reviews material business risks including, but not limited to, credit risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk, regulatory risk, legal risk, operational risk, strategic risk, cyber-security risk and reputation risk
	•
	Monitors the Company's enterprise governance, risk management and compliance ("EGRC") program, including development and implementation of risk management processes in the area of vendor management, data loss prevention, business continuity, policy management and testing and assessment of operational controls
	•
	Ensures compliance with regulations pertaining to capital structure and levels
	•
	Oversees management's implementation of compliance programs, policies and procedures designed to identify and respond to the various compliance and regulatory risks of the Company and its subsidiaries
	•
	Monitors the preparations for regulatory examinations of the Company and the Bank
COMPLIANCE & REGULATORY COMMITTEE Six Members Chair: Mr. Murphy	• Oversees the Company's information security program and monitors associated risks
	• Monitors significant legal or regulatory compliance exposure and oversees responses to material reports or inquiries from government or regulatory agencies
	• Ensures that the Company, Berkshire Bank and their affiliates have in place sound compliance management systems ("CMS") as required by all applicable regulators and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB")

For information about the audit committee and the audit committee financial experts, please see table above and pages <u>84-86</u> in this proxy statement.

Identification and Evaluation of Director Candidates

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee is responsible for identifying and recommending to the Board of Directors candidates for Board membership. For purposes of identifying nominees, the Corporate

Governance/Nominating Committee relies on personal contacts of the committee members and other members of the Board of Directors, as well as its knowledge of members of the communities served by the Company and its

subsidiaries. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will also consider director candidates recommended by shareholders in accordance with the policy and procedures set forth below. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee has not previously used an independent search firm to identify nominees.

23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance

In evaluating potential nominees, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee determines whether the candidate is eligible and qualified for service on the Board of Directors by evaluating the candidate under certain criteria, which are described below under "Director Eligibility Requirements." If an individual fulfills these criteria, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will conduct a background check and interview the candidate to further assess the qualities of the prospective nominee and the contributions they would make to the Board. Criteria for Nomination to the Board of Directors

The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee has adopted a set of criteria that it considers when it selects individuals to be nominated for election to the Board of Directors. A candidate must meet the eligibility requirements set forth in the Company's bylaws, including a requirement that the candidate not have been subject to certain criminal or regulatory actions. A candidate also must meet any qualification requirements set forth in any Board or committee governing documents.

If the candidate is deemed eligible and qualified for election to the Board of Directors, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will then evaluate the following criteria in selecting nominees:

•

financial, regulatory and business experience;

•

familiarity with and participation in the local communities;

•

integrity, honesty and reputation in connection with upholding a position of trust with respect to customers;

٠

dedication to the Company and its shareholders; and

•

independence.

The Committee will consider a candidate's background, training, leadership ability and related skills across a broad spectrum of business, professional, entrepreneurial, educational and creative endeavors, as well as technical skills, experience and know-how in fields and professions outside the financial services industry (such as, by way of example, but without limitation, cyber-security, information technology and management, marketing, business and human capital development) that may assist the Company in strengthening, protecting or promoting its business. The Committee also will consider any other factors the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee deems relevant, including age, diversity, size of the Board of Directors and regulatory disclosure obligations. We do not maintain a specific diversity policy, but diversity is considered in our review of candidates. Diversity is considered in terms of how a candidate's background, experience, qualifications, attributes and skills may complement, supplement or duplicate those of the Board.

With respect to nominating an existing director for re-election to the Board of Directors, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will consider and review an existing director's Board and committee attendance and performance; length of Board service; the experience, skills and contributions that the existing director brings to the Board; and independence.

24

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance Director Eligibility Requirements:

No person shall be eligible for election or appointment to the Board of Directors: (i) if such person has, within the previous ten years, been the subject of supervisory action by a financial regulatory agency that resulted in a cease and desist order or an agreement or other written statement subject to public disclosure under 12 U.S.C. 1818(u), or any successor provision; (ii) if such person has been convicted of a crime involving dishonesty or breach of trust which is punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year under state or federal law; or (iii) if such person is currently charged in any information, indictment, or other complaint with the commission of or participation in such a crime.

•

No person shall be eligible for election or appointment to the Board of Directors if such person is the nominee or representative of a company, as that term is defined in Section 10 of the Home Owners' Loan Act or any successor provision, of which any director, partner, trustee or shareholder controlling more than 10% of any class of voting stock would not be eligible for election or appointment to the Board of Directors.

•

No person may serve on the Board of Directors and at the same time be a director of more than two other public companies, or their subsidiaries.

•

No person shall be eligible for election to the Board of Directors if such person is the nominee or representative of a person or group, or of a group acting in concert (as defined in 12 C.F.R Section 303.81(b)), that includes a person who is ineligible for election to the Board of Directors.

•

The Board of Directors shall have the power to construe and apply the provisions of the Company's bylaws and other governance documents, and to make all determinations necessary or desirable to implement such provisions, including but not limited to determinations as to whether a person is a nominee or representative of a person, a company or a group, whether a person or company is included in a group, and whether a person is the nominee or representative of a group acting in concert.

•

In 2017, the Board of Directors removed a previous requirement that Board members reside at the time of their appointment in a county where a branch of Berkshire Bank is located, or in a county adjacent to such a county. Although this prior restriction on eligibility allowed the Board to grant specific exceptions in the case of otherwise qualified and eligible Board nominees, the Board determined that eliminating this eligibility requirement altogether was important to the Board to allow for the broadest, most diverse pool of potential new directors as openings on the Board arise.

Consideration of Recommendations by Shareholders. It is the policy of the Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company to consider director candidates recommended by shareholders who appear to be qualified to serve on the Company's Board of Directors. The Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee may choose not to consider an unsolicited recommendation if no vacancy exists on the Board of Directors and the Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee does not perceive a need to increase the size of the Board of Directors. To avoid the unnecessary use of the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee's resources, the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee will consider only those director candidates recommended in accordance with the procedures set forth below. 25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance

Procedures to be Followed by Shareholders. To submit a recommendation of a director candidate to the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee, a shareholder must submit the following information in writing, addressed to the Chairman of the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee, care of the Corporate Secretary, at 24 North Street, P.O. Box 1308, Pittsfield, MA 01202-1308:

1.

The name of the person recommended as a director candidate;

2.

All information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;

3.

The written consent of the person being recommended as a director candidate to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected;

4.

As to the shareholder making the recommendation, the name and address of such shareholder as it appears on the Company's books; provided, however, that if the shareholder is not a registered holder of the Company's common stock, the shareholder should submit their name and address along with a current written statement from the record holder of the shares that reflects ownership of the Company's common stock; and

5.

A statement disclosing whether such shareholder is acting with or on behalf of any other person and, if applicable, the identity of such person.

In order for a director candidate to be considered for nomination at the Company's annual meeting of shareholders, the recommendation must be received by the Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee at least 120 calendar days before the date the Company's proxy statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting, advanced by one year. The Company has not received any recommendations from shareholders for director candidates to be considered for election at the Company's 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Board Risk Oversight

The Board oversees the Company's risk profile and management's processes for assessing and managing risk, both as a whole board and through its committees. At least annually, the Board reviews strategic risks and opportunities facing the company and certain of its businesses. Other important categories of risk are assigned to designated Board committees that report back to the full Board. In general, the committees oversee the following risks:

Accounting and Financial Reporting

Audit Committee

Compliance with Legal and Regulatory Requirements Related to Accounting and Financial Reporting

• Compensation Programs

Compensation Committee

Talent Acquisition, Retention and Development

	• Governance Policies and Procedures
Corporate Governance/ Nominating Committee	• Board Organization and Membership
	• Committee Membership and Periodic Rotation of Chairpersons
	• Credit Risk
	• Interest Rate Risk
Risk Management & Capital Committee	• Liquidity and Capital Risk
	• Operational and Strategic Risk
	• Cyber-security
	• Legal and Regulatory Compliance
Regulatory & Compliance Committee	• Information Security
26 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC.	2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Corporate Governance

Code of Business Conduct and Anonymous Reporting Line Policy

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct that is designed to promote the highest standards of ethical conduct by the Company's directors, executive officers and employees. The Code of Business Conduct, sets forth the ethical rules and standards by which all employees, officers and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries must conduct themselves, and addresses, among other things, conflicts of interest, the treatment of confidential information, general employee conduct and compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations. The Code of Business Conduct, which also strictly prohibits harassment of any kind in the workplace, is designed to deter wrongdoing and promote honest and ethical conduct, the avoidance of conflicts of interest, a zero tolerance culture and safe environment free from harassment of any kind, full and accurate disclosure and compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations.

Paired with the Code of Business Conduct, the Company has also adopted a related Anonymous Reporting Line (also known as the Whistleblower Reporting Line) Policy, under which the Audit Committee maintains and monitors an anonymous "whistleblower" reporting hotline service that all Berkshire personnel are encouraged to use for reporting actual or potential wrongdoing, apparent or suspected violations of the Code of Business Conduct, or other misconduct by any corporate actors. Both the Code of Business Conduct and the Anonymous Reporting Line Policy are reviewed and acknowledged annually by all of Berkshire's directors, officers and employees, and both are written and implemented to insure that no retaliation is permitted against any Company personnel who report an incident of harassment or any other misconduct in good faith. Copies of the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Anonymous Reporting Line (Whistleblower Reporting Line) Policy are available in the Governance Documents portion of the Investor Relations section of the Company's website (ir.berkshirebank.com). Anti-Hedging and Pledging Restriction Policy

The Company discourages the practices of hedging and/or pledging of Company common stock by officers and directors, and has policies relating to such practices. Pursuant to the Company's insider trading policy and stock ownership guidelines, officers and directors of the Company are prohibited from engaging in any hedging transactions (which include short sale transactions, purchases of Company common stock on margin, and buying or selling any puts, calls or other options that have the effect of reducing the economic exposure to the shares of common stock). In addition, officers and directors are discouraged from pledging company securities as collateral for margin purchases or a loan. However, exceptions to this pledging limitation may be granted, if good cause is shown.

In 2017, the Board of Directors removed a previous requirement in the Company's bylaws that Board members reside at the time of their appointment in a county where a branch of Berkshire Bank is located, or in a county adjacent to such a county. Although this prior restriction on eligibility to serve specifically allowed the Board to grant exceptions in the case of otherwise qualified and eligible Board nominees, the Board determined that eliminating this eligibility requirement altogether was important to allow the Board to reach and consider the broadest, most diverse pool of potential new directors as openings on the Board arise.

27

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Director Compensation

Director Compensation

The Company uses a combination of cash and restricted stock to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the Board. Restricted stock grants are intended to align directors' interests with those of the Company's shareholders. The Compensation and Corporate Governance/Nominating Committees review director compensation and benefits annually and make recommendations to the Board. The following table provides the compensation received by individuals who served as directors (except for Mr. Daly, whose compensation is reported in the Summary Compensation Table) of the Company during the 2017 fiscal year. The stock award amounts in 2017 were unchanged from the prior year. Mr. Daly does not receive separate compensation for his service on the Board.

	Fees				
Name	Earned or Paid in	Stock Awards	Option Awards	All Other Compensation	Total (\$)
	Cash (\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)(2)	(\$)
Paul T. Bossidy	66,000	35,000	—	814	101,814
David M. Brunelle*	—		—		—
Robert M. Curley	59,000	35,000	—	105,653(3)	199,653
John B. Davies	62,000	35,000	—	1,985	98,985
J. Williar Dunlaevy	59,000	35,000	—	2,099(4)	96,099
Cornelius D. Mahoney	59,000	35,000	—	1,985	95,985
Pamela A. Massad*			—	—	—
Laurie Norton Moffatt	56,000	35,000	—	1,985	92,985
Richard J. Murphy	59,000	35,000	—	1,985	95,985
William J. Ryan	82,000	35,000	—	1,814	118,814
Patrick J. Sheehan	56,000	35,000	—	168	91,168
D. Jeffrey Templeton	56,000	35,000	—	1,985	92,985
*					

Appointed to the Board of Directors on December 8, 2017.

(1)

Represents the grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards which has been computed in accordance with the stock based accounting rules under FASB ASC Topic 718. Amounts shown are the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awards, with the grant date fair value based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the applicable grant date. See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2017. Since January 30, 2004, no stock options have been granted to any directors. As of December 31, 2017, directors (except for Mr. Daly, whose compensation is reported in the Summary Compensation Table below) had the following number of unvested shares of restricted stock and stock options outstanding:

Name	Unvested Restricted Stock	Stock Options Outstanding
Paul T. Bossidy	2,228	_
David M. Brunelle		
Robert M. Curley	2,293	
John B. Davies	2,293	_

J. Williar Dunlaevy	2,293	
Cornelius D. Mahoney	2,293	_
Pamela A. Massad		—
Laurie Norton Moffatt	2,293	—
Richard L. Murphy	2,293	_
William J. Ryan	2,293	_
Patrick J. Sheehan	1,825	_
D. Jeffrey Templeton	2,293	_

(2)

Reflects dividends paid when restricted stock becomes vested.

(3)

The total amount included in "All Other Compensation" reflects Mr. Curley's salary in the amount of \$100,000 as Chairman of the New York region of Berkshire Bank and club dues of \$3,668.

(4)

Includes \$114 in imputed income on split dollar insurance recognized by Mr. Dunlaevy.

Proposal 1: Election of Directors • Director Compensation

Retainers for Non-Employee Directors. The following table sets forth the applicable retainers that will be paid to our non-employee directors for their service on our Board of Directors during 2018.

Annual Cash Retainer for Board Service	\$ 40,000
Annual Cash Retainer for Chairman of the Board of Directors	\$ 90,000
Annual Equity Retainer for Board Service	\$ 35,000
Annual Cash Retainer for Audit Committee Chair	\$ 10,000
Annual Cash Retainer for all other Committee Chairs	\$ 6,000
Annual Cash Retainer for Attendance at all Committee Meetings	\$ 8,000
29	
BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. 2018 PROXY STATEME	NT

Proposal 2:

Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the

Company's Authorized Common Stock from 50 Million to 100 Million Shares

The Board of Directors recommends approval of the proposal to increase the number of shares of authorized common stock from 50,000,000 to 100,000,000.

Background. The proposal to increase the number of shares of common stock that Berkshire is authorized to issue is intended to provide continued availability to the Company of authorized common shares that can be used for possible acquisitions, to support business growth, for employee benefit programs, and for general corporate purposes that the Board determines are in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders.

The last time that shareholders were asked to approve an increase in authorized common shares was in April 2011, when they approved an increase from 26 million to 50 million authorized shares. Since March 2011, outstanding shares have grown by 31 million to 45 million shares outstanding. This share growth has supported a 300% increase in total assets to \$11.6 billion from \$2.9 billion in March 2011. The Company has been judicious in its use of authorized shares and the previous increase has been instrumental in the growth that the Company has experienced. Berkshire's strategy includes growth by acquisition as a regional acquirer. The Company targets to issue common shares as the major element of merger consideration in order to best reflect the merger benefits of the combined franchises. Since March 2011, Berkshire has acquired seven banks, which contributed \$6.2 billion in new assets and resulted in the issuance of 24 million new common shares. Share issuances over this time have also been used to provide capital support for growth and in equity compensation plans, as well as consideration paid for the acquisition of non-bank companies and operations.

Additional Information. As of the record date, the Company has 45,360,369 shares of common stock outstanding. Based on the potential conversion of preferred stock as discussed in Proposal 3 and the reserved shares under the existing equity incentive plans and the proposed 2018 Equity Incentive Plan included as Proposal 4, the Company would have only approximately 2.15 million unreserved shares available for issuance under the current authorization, which is insufficient for the corporate purposes demonstrated by the Company in this discussion.

Current authorized shares of Common Stock	50,000,000
Shares outstanding as of March 22, 2018	45,360,369
Shares reserved for preferred stock conversion	1,044,000
Shares reserved for existing and proposed equity incentive plans	1,446,801*
Shares outstanding and reserved	45,851,170
Shares available for issuance (pre-increase of authorized)	2,148,830
Shares available for issuance (post-increase of authorized)	52,148,830
*	

Does not include immaterial, contingent stock obligations under commercial contracts entered into by the Bank.

An increase in the number of the Company's authorized common shares is important to avoid the risks that the Company might need to change its strategies, might be unable to respond to market opportunities, and might have less capacity as a source of strength for the Bank as set forth in federal regulation. The current share authorization is no longer sufficient for the Company's purposes. Without an increase, the Company will be unable to continue to acquire

banks with common stock consideration as it has in recent years. 30

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 2: Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the

Company's Authorized Common Stock from 50 Million to 100 Million Shares

The Board believes it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to have a sufficient number of additional shares of common stock available for issuance from time to time, as the occasion may arise, for future financing and acquisition transactions, to permit stock dividends or stock splits at some future date, to fund employee benefit plans and for other proper corporate purposes. As a bank holding company subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board, the availability of authorized shares also supports the Company's strength as a source of support to the Bank, which is an important factor in the Federal Reserve Board's assessment of the Company and support for its initiatives to grow and strengthen the organization. The Company presently has no specific plans to issue the shares of common stock that will become newly authorized upon amendment of the Certificate.

Proposal Logistics. The Board of Directors has adopted a resolution to amend Berkshires Certificate of Incorporation, which we sometimes refer to as the "Certificate," to increase the number of shares of common stock that the Company is authorized to issue from 50,000,000 to 100,000,000 shares. This amendment is for general corporate purposes. Under the Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws and Delaware law, approval of the amendment of Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation requires a vote "FOR" the amendment by a majority of the outstanding Common Stock entitled to vote thereof.

The Company's current Certificate of Incorporation is available on the Investor Relations tab of our website at ir.berkshirebank.com.

Rights. The additional shares of common stock to be authorized after the amendment to the Certificate would have rights identical to the currently outstanding shares, except for effects incidental to increasing the number of outstanding shares, such as the dilution of current shareholders' ownership and voting interests when shares are issued. Under our Certificate, our shareholders do not have preemptive rights with respect to our common stock. Thus, should our Board elect to issue additional shares of common stock, existing shareholders would not have a preferential right to purchase shares of common stock.

Possible Anti-Takeover Effects of the Amendment. This amendment is not being recommended in response to any specific effort of which our Board is aware to obtain control of the Company by means of a merger, tender offer, solicitation, or otherwise, and our Board does not intend or view the proposed increase in authorized common stock as an anti-takeover measure. However, the ability of our Board to approve the issuance of new common shares authorized by this amendment could have the effect of discouraging or preventing a hostile takeover.

Form of Amendment. In addition to this Proposal 2, we are also recommending Proposal 3 to increase the number of authorized preferred shares. If both Proposal 2 and Proposal 3 are approved, the form of the amendment that will be adopted is attached as Appendix B. The form of the amendment that will be adopted if only Proposal 2, and not Proposal 3, is approved by shareholders is attached as Appendix C to this Proxy Statement.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" PROPOSAL 2.

31

Proposal 3:

Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the Company's

Authorized Preferred Stock from 1 Million to 2 Million Shares

The Board of Directors recommends approval of the proposal to increase the number of shares of authorized preferred stock from 1,000,000 to 2,000,000.

Background. The number of shares of preferred stock that Berkshire is authorized to issue has remained at 1,000,000 shares since the Company was formed in 2000. In October 2017, 522,000 shares of Series B Non-Voting Preferred Stock were issued by the Company to be used for merger consideration for Berkshire's acquisition of Commerce Bancshares Corp. At the present time, the Company's outstanding preferred stock is only 3% of its total shareholders' equity. Due to the Company's size and growth, it is likely that we may encounter another acquisition situation, or other strategic business opportunities, for which the ability to issue preferred stock may be an important option for the Company to consider. In certain markets and circumstances, it may be in the interest of common shareholders for the Company to increase the percentage of its shareholders' equity that is in the form of preferred stock. In the previous issuances of preferred stock, timing was critical and timing may also be critical for future issuances.

Additional Information. As of the date of this proxy statement, the Company has outstanding 522,000 shares of its preferred stock designated as the Series B Non-Voting Preferred Stock, leaving 478,000 authorized unissued shares in reserve.

Current authorized shares of Preferred Stock(1)	1,000,000
Series B Non-Voting Preferred Shares outstanding as of March 22, 2018(2)	522,000
Shares available for issuance (pre-increase of authorized)	478,000
Shares available for issuance (post-increase of authorized)	1,478,000

(1)

In addition to the Series B preferred stock currently outstanding, the Company previously conducted one other private issuance of 40,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for general corporate purposes in 2008, which were subsequently redeemed and returned to the Company's original pool of 1,000,000 available authorized preferred shares.

(2)

Under limited circumstances, each share of outstanding Series B preferred stock is convertible into two shares of common stock.

An increase in the number of the Company's authorized preferred shares is important to avoid the risks that the Company might need to change its strategies, might be unable to respond to market opportunities, or might have less capacity as a source of strength for the Bank as set forth in federal regulation.

The Board believes it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to double the Company's number of authorized preferred shares to provide maximum flexibility as it structures future capital raising transactions, strategic asset acquisitions and/or business combinations. This authorization will allow the board to authorize share issuances to take advantage of market conditions and favorable opportunities involving the issuance of our preferred stock without the risk of delay and expense associated with the holding of a special shareholder meeting. As a bank holding company subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board, the availability of authorized shares supports the

Company's strength as a source of support to the Bank, which is an important factor in the Federal Reserve Board's assessment of the Company and support for its initiatives to grow and strengthen the organization. The Company presently has no specific plans to issue the shares of preferred stock that will become newly authorized upon amendment of the Certificate.

Proposal Logistics. The Board of Directors has adopted a resolution to amend Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of shares of Preferred Stock that the Company is authorized to issue from 1,000,000 to 2,000,000 shares. This amendment is for general corporate purposes. Under Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws and Delaware law, approval of the amendment of Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation requires a vote "FOR" the amendment by a majority of the outstanding Common Stock entitled to vote thereof.

The Company's current Certificate of Incorporation is available on the Investor Relations tab of our website at ir.berkshirebank.com.

32

Proposal 3: Amendment to Berkshire's Certificate of Incorporation to Increase the

Company's Authorized Preferred Stock from 1 Million to 2 Million Shares

Rights. The rights and preferences of any future series of preferred stock which the Board may designate are presently unknown, it is not possible to determine what effect the issuance of such future shares of preferred stock may have on existing shareholders. We may issue shares of capital stock to the extent such shares have been authorized under our Certificate, and to the extent allowed by law, regulation, and stock exchange rules. The Board may issue authorized shares of preferred stock with designations, rights and preferences as may be determined from time to time by our Board of Directors.

Possible Anti-Takeover Effects of the Amendment. Our Certificate of Incorporation, both presently and as proposed to be amended, authorizes the issuance of shares of "blank check" preferred stock with designations, rights and preferences as may be determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. Our Board is empowered, without shareholder approval, to issue a series of preferred stock with dividend, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights which could dilute the interest of, or impair the voting power of, our common shareholders. The issuance of a series of preferred stock could be used as a method of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change in control. For example, it would be possible for our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock with voting or other rights or preferences that could impede the success of any attempt to effect a change in control of our company. Therefore, approval of the proposed amendment, and the designation and issuance of future series of preferred stock, could assist the Company in delaying or preventing unsolicited takeovers and changes in control or changes in our management. However, the proposed amendment to our Certificate is not being recommended in response to any specific effort of which our Board is aware to obtain control of the Company by means of a merger, tender offer, solicitation, or otherwise, and our Board does not intend or view the proposed amendment as an anti-takeover measure.

Form of Amendment. If both Proposal 2 and Proposal 3 are approved, the form of the amendment that will be adopted is attached as Appendix B to this Proxy Statement. The form of amendment that will be adopted if only Proposal 3, and not Proposal 2, is approved by shareholders is attached as Appendix D to this Proxy Statement. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR"

PROPOSAL 3.

33

Proposal 4:

Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.

2018 Equity Incentive Plan

The Board of Directors recommends approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. Overview. The Board of Directors has adopted, subject to shareholder approval, the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") to provide additional incentives for our officers, employees and directors to promote our growth and performance and to further align their interests with those of our shareholders. By approving the Plan, shareholders will give the Company the flexibility it needs to continue to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified officers, employees and directors by offering a competitive compensation program that is linked to the performance of our common stock.

As of March 22, 2018, approximately 300,000 shares* of the Company's common stock remained eligible for issuance under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan. Given our growth and historical grant rates, the remaining shares are insufficient to cover out anticipated grants in future years. Upon shareholder approval of the Plan, no additional awards will be available under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan.

The Company is asking shareholders to authorize 1 million shares of the Company's common stock for award issuance, a level that the Company believes, based on current grant practices and plan design, will be sufficient to cover awards for up to five years following shareholder approval.

Why We Are Seeking Approval of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

•

We Believe Equity-Based Compensation is Important. Our long-term equity incentive awards are designed to align our officers, employees and directors with the long-term interests of the Company and our shareholders. The program also seeks to reward superior multi-year performance, encourage stock ownership, and enhance our ability to retain top performers.

•

We Have Limited Capacity to Make Awards under our Existing Equity Plans. The remaining shares available for grant under our current equity plan, the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan, are, at historical grant rates, insufficient to cover our anticipated grants to be made in future years. Accordingly, we have no meaningful way to provide tailored equity-based compensation grants to attract, retain and reward qualified personnel and management.

•

Our Competitors Offer Equity-Based Compensation. Most institutions with which we compete have the ability to attract and retain employees and management with equity-based compensation programs. Without the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, we will be at a significant disadvantage.

*

Includes April 1, 2018 performance grants made to eligible non-executive employees

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan Governance Highlights of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

•

Minimum Vesting Requirements	The Plan requires a one-year minimum vesting period for at least 95% of the awards granted there.
Double-Trigger Required for Vesting on Change in Control	• The Plan does not provide for vesting of time-based equity awards based solely on the occurrence of a change in control, without an accompanying involuntary termination of service (including a termination for good reason) or the failure of an acquirer to assume the awards.
	• Performance awards will vest, if at all, on a pro-rata basis on the portion of the plan year occurring and at the actual level of the performance measures that have been achieved; or that if the performance measurements are not reasonably determinable, the performance awards will vest pro-rata at target.
Limits on Grants to Directors	• The maximum number of shares of Company stock, in the aggregate, that may be subject to stock options, restricted stock awards or restricted stock units granted to any one individual non-employee director during any calendar year shall not have a value of greater than \$100,000 as of the grant date(s).
Limits on Grants to Employees	• An employee may not receive more than 150,000 stock options during any calendar year. The maximum number of restricted stock awards, restricted stock units or performance awards, in the aggregate, granted during any calendar year to an employee shall not have a value greater than \$2,000,000 as of the grant date(s).
Dividends on Unvested Awards Not Paid Until Vesting	• The Plan provides that dividends on unvested awards shall be paid to participants only after the underlying awards vest and not during the performance or service vesting period.
Awards Subject to Clawback	• Awards granted under the Plan are subject to clawback if the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance of the Company as a result of applicable financial misconduct. Awards may also be subject to clawback under any clawback policy adopted by the Company.
No Cash-Out or Repricing of Underwater Options	• Under no circumstances will any underwater stock options be bought back by the Company. In addition, neither the Compensation Committee nor the Board of Directors have the authority to reduce the exercise price of a previously granted stock option under the Plan.
Share Reserve	•

The maximum number of shares of stock, in the aggregate, that may be granted under the Plan as stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units is 1,000,000, plus the number of shares of stock which have been reserved but not issued under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan and any awards that are forfeited under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan after the effective date of the Plan.

The Plan provides that, if an award is forfeited or expires, the shares covered by theShare Countingaward will be available for future grant while shares withheld to cover taxes or to satisfy
the exercise price of stock options will not be available for future grant.

Key Considerations. We have designed the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan to meet our strategic and competitive needs, while conforming to responsible and commercially reasonable estimates for resulting plan metrics and impacts. The Compensation Committee was assisted by Meridian Compensation Partners LLC in the analysis of current trends, competitive practices, and investor attitudes relating to various aspects of the Plan, including number of shares authorized, dilution and burn rates, and usage of equity for long-term incentive awards.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

Burn Rate. Burn rate measures the speed at which companies use shares available for grant in their equity compensation plans, and is calculated by dividing the gross number of equity awards granted in a given year by the weighted average common shares outstanding. Our 3-year average burn rate is 1.92%, which is well within reasonable industry norms.

Overhang. Overhang measures the dilutive impact of equity programs and is calculated by dividing the number of equity awards outstanding plus the number of shares available to be granted by total shares of common stock outstanding at year end. The additional 1 million shares being requested in this proposal would bring our overhang to 5.3%, which we believe is well within industry norms.

Proposal Logistics. In order for the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan to take effect, this proposal requires a vote "FOR" the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan by a majority of the votes of shares of Common Stock cast. If the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan is approved by shareholders, no further grants will be made under the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2013 Equity Incentive Plan"); however, currently outstanding grants under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan will not be affected. A copy of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan is attached as Appendix E. Material Features of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

The following is a summary of the material features of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, which is qualified in its entirety by reference to the provisions of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, attached hereto as Appendix E. Shares Reserved; Overall Limits on Types of Grants; Share Counting Methodology

•

Subject to permitted adjustments for certain corporate transactions, the Plan authorizes the issuance or delivery to participants of up to 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock plus the number of shares of stock which have been reserved but not issued under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan, which is estimated to be approximately 300,000, and any awards that are forfeited under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan after the effective date of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan pursuant to grants of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, including incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options, any of which may vest based either on the passage of time or achievement of performance, or a combination of each.

•

Upon shareholder approval of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, no new grants shall be made under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan. Any shares of stock which have been reserved but not issued under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan and any forfeitures of outstanding awards under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan shall be added to the shares available to be issued under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan.

•

The 2018 Equity Incentive Plan does not use liberal share recycling with respect to determining the number of shares available for issuance thereunder. Accordingly, to the extent (i) a stock option is exercised by using an actual or constructive exchange of shares of stock to pay the exercise price, (ii) shares of stock are withheld to satisfy withholding taxes upon exercise or vesting of an award, or (iii) shares are withheld to satisfy the exercise price of stock options in a net settlement, the number of shares of stock available under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan shall be reduced by the gross number of stock options or stock awards exercised or vested rather than by the net number of shares of stock issued.

•

The rights and benefits with respect to an award will be subject to reduction, cancellation, forfeiture or recoupment upon termination of employment for cause.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan Limitations on Awards to Employees and Directors The 2018 Equity Incentive Plan includes the following limitations:

An employee may not receive more than 150,000 stock options during any calendar year;

•

The maximum number of restricted stock awards, restricted stock units or performance awards that may be granted during any calendar year to an employee shall be a number equal to the quotient of (i) \$2,000,000 divided by (ii) the fair market value of a share of Company stock on the date of grant;

•

The maximum number of shares of Company stock, in the aggregate, that may be subject to stock options, restricted stock awards or restricted stock units granted to any one individual Non-Employee Director during any calendar year shall be a number equal to the quotient of (i) \$100,000 divided by (ii) the fair market value (on the date of grant) of a share of Company stock for a grant of restricted stock awards or restricted stock units or, for stock options, the fair value (on the date of grant) as determined under applicable accounting standards;

•

To the extent any shares of stock covered by an award (including restricted stock awards and restricted stock units) under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan are not delivered to a participant or beneficiary because the award is forfeited or canceled or because a stock option is not exercised, then such shares shall not be deemed to have been delivered for purposes of determining the maximum number of shares of stock available for delivery under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan; and

•

In the event of a corporate transaction involving the stock of the Company, such as a stock dividend or a stock split, the share limitations and all outstanding awards will be adjusted proportionally and uniformly to reflect such event.

Eligibility

Officers, employees and directors of the Company or its subsidiaries are eligible to receive awards under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, except that only officers and employees may be granted incentive stock options. Types of Awards

The Compensation Committee may determine the type and terms and conditions of awards under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, which shall be set forth in an award agreement delivered to each participant. Each award shall be subject to conditions established by the Compensation Committee that are set forth in the recipient's award agreement, and shall be subject to vesting conditions and restrictions as determined by the Compensation Committee. Awards may be granted as incentive and non-qualified stock options, restricted stock awards or restricted stock units any of which may vest based either on the passage of time or achievement of performance, as follows: Restricted Stock. A restricted stock award is a grant of shares of our common stock to a participant for no consideration or such minimum consideration as may be required by applicable law.

•

Restricted stock awards may be granted only in whole shares of common stock.

•

Prior to vesting, recipients of a restricted stock award are entitled to vote the shares of restricted stock during the restricted period.

•

No dividends on unvested restricted stock awards, whether subject to a time-based vesting schedule or performance-based vesting conditions, will be paid to the participant that has been granted the restricted stock award unless and until the participant vests in the restricted stock award.

Stock Options. A stock option is the right to purchase shares of common stock at a specified price for a specified period of time.

•

In the event of a corporate transaction involving the stock of the Company, such as a stock dividend or a stock split, the share limitations and all outstanding awards will be adjusted proportionally and uniformly to reflect such event.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

The exercise price may not be less than the fair market value of a share of our common stock (which is defined as the closing sales price on the exchange on which the stock is traded) on the date the stock option is granted.

•

The Compensation Committee may not grant a stock option with a term that is longer than 10 years.

•

Stock options are either "incentive" stock options or "non-qualified" stock options. Incentive stock options have certain tax advantages that are not available to non-qualified stock options, and must comply with the requirements of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended (the "Code"). Only officers and employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options. Outside directors and service providers may only receive non-qualified stock options under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan.

•

Shares of common stock purchased upon the exercise of a stock option must be paid for at the time of exercise either (i) by tendering, either actually or constructively by attestation, shares of stock valued at fair market value as of the date of exercise; (ii) by irrevocably authorizing a third party, acceptable to the Compensation Committee, to sell shares of stock (or a sufficient portion of the shares) acquired upon exercise of the stock option and to remit to the Company a sufficient portion of the sale proceeds to pay the entire exercise price and any tax withholding resulting from such exercise; (iii) by a net settlement of the stock option, using a portion of the shares obtained on exercise in payment of the exercise price of the stock option (and if applicable, any required tax withholding); (iv) by personal, certified or cashier's check; (v) by other property deemed acceptable by the Compensation Committee; or (vi) by any combination thereof.

•

The Compensation Committee may automatically exercise in-the-money stock options that are exercisable but unexercised as of the day immediately before the 10th anniversary of the date of grant, using net settlement as the method of exercising such options.

•

Under no circumstances will the Company buy back underwater stock options granted under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan without shareholder approval.

•

The 2018 Equity Incentive Plan expressly prohibits repricing of stock options without shareholder approval.

Restricted Stock Units. Restricted stock units may be denominated in shares of common stock and are similar to restricted stock awards except that no shares of common stock are actually issued to the award recipient at the time of grant of a restricted stock unit.

•

Restricted stock units granted under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan may be settled in shares of our common stock, or in the sole discretion of the Compensation Committee determined at the time of final settlement in cash or a combination of cash and our common stock, subject to vesting conditions and other restrictions set forth in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan or the award agreement.

•

Participants have no voting rights with respect to any restricted stock units granted under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan.

In the sole discretion of the Compensation Committee, exercised at the time of grant, dividend equivalent rights may be paid on restricted stock units. Dividend equivalent rights shall be paid when the restricted stock unit, including restricted stock units subject to performance-based vesting conditions, vests or is settled, or at the same time as the shares subject to such restricted stock unit are distributed to the participant.

Performance Features

•

General. A federal income tax deduction for the Company will generally be unavailable for annual compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid to each of its chief executive officer and four other executive officers (including its chief financial officer) named in the Company's annual proxy statement. Compensation resulting from awards under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan will be counted toward the \$1.0 million limit.

Performance Awards. A performance award is an award, the vesting of which is subject to the achievement of one or more performance conditions specified by the Compensation Committee and set forth in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. A performance award may be denominated in shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units. 38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

Performance Measures. The performance measures that may be used for such awards may be based on any one or more of the following performance measures or such other measurements in the sole discretion of the Compensation Committee: basic earnings per share; basic cash earnings per share; diluted earnings per share; core earnings per share; diluted cash earnings per share; net income or core net income; cash earnings or dividend generation; net interest income; non-interest income; general and administrative expense to average assets ratio; cash general and administrative expense to average assets ratio; efficiency ratio; cash efficiency ratio; return on average assets or return on assets; return on tangible average assets or return on tangible assets; core return on average assets; cash return on average assets; return on average stockholders' equity (total, common or preferred); cash return on average stockholders' equity; core return on stockholders' equity; return on average tangible stockholders' equity; cash return on average tangible stockholders' equity; core earnings; operating income; operating efficiency; core operating efficiency ratio; net interest margin (which may be with purchased loan accretion and FTE adjustments); growth in assets, loans (including home equity lines of credit), or deposits; loan production volume; non-performing loans, non-accruing loans to total loans, non-accruing and delinquent loans to total loans, all loans to total loans; cash flow; capital preservation (core or risk-based); interest rate risk exposure-net portfolio value; interest rate risk-sensitivity; liquidity parameters, loans to deposits, excess borrowing capacity; strategic business objectives, consisting of one or more objectives based upon meeting specified cost targets, business/product expansion goals, and goals relating to acquisitions or divestitures, or goals relating to capital raising and capital management; stock price (including, but not limited to, growth measures and total shareholder return); operating expense as a percentage of average assets; core deposits as a percentage of total deposits; net charge-off percentage; average percentage past due; classified assets to total assets; compliance/audit exam findings; capital ratio, total capital to risk-weighted assets, common equity tier 1 to risk weighted assets, tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets, tier 1 capital to assets; management achievement of strategic plan goals; system knowledge and utilization of core applications; customer service survey; expense management; asset quality; book value per share; tangible book value per share; non-performing loans to loans; non-performing assets to assets; net-charge off to average loans; fee income to net interest and fee income; fee income to revenue; total revenue; yield to cost by product; yield to cost by asset/liability class; net interest spread; cost of funds; dividend payout ratio; or any combination of the foregoing.

Performance measures may be based on the performance of the Company as a whole or of any one or more subsidiaries or business units. Performance goals may be measured relative to a peer group, an index or a business plan and may be considered as absolute measures or changes in measures. In establishing the performance measures, the Compensation Committee may provide for the inclusion or exclusion of certain items. Vesting of Awards

The Compensation Committee shall specify the vesting schedule or conditions of each award.

•

At least 95% of all awards made under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan shall be subject to a vesting requirement of at least one year of service following the grant of the award.

•

Vesting of awards may be accelerated upon death, disability (is defined in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan) or Involuntary Termination of employment after a Change in Control (as defined in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan).

•

Vesting is not accelerated upon "retirement" (as defined in the Plan).

Change in Control

The 2018 Equity Incentive Plan uses a double trigger change in control feature, providing for an acceleration of vesting upon an involuntary termination of employment simultaneous with or following a change in control. If an acquiring corporation fails to assume awards granted under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan (other than

performance-based awards, addressed below), such awards will vest immediately upon the effective time of a change in control. 39

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

Unless otherwise stated in an award agreement, at the time of an involuntary termination following a change in control, all stock options then held by the participant shall become fully earned and exercisable (subject to the expiration provisions otherwise applicable to the stock option). All stock options may be exercised for a period of one year following the participant's involuntary termination, provided, however, that no stock option shall be eligible for treatment as an incentive stock option in the event such stock option is exercised more than three months following involuntary termination.

•

At the time of an involuntary termination following a change in control, all awards of restricted stock and restricted stock units shall become earned and fully vested immediately.

•

In the event of a change in control, performance awards will vest, if at all, pro-rata based on the portion of the plan year occurring and at the actual level of the performance measures that have been achieved; however, if the performance measurements are not reasonably determinable as of the date of a change in control, the performance awards will vest pro-rata at target.

Awards Subject to Clawback Policy

Awards granted under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan are subject to clawback if the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance of the Company, as a result of misconduct with any financial reporting requirement under the federal securities laws and the forfeiture provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 apply. Awards may also be subject to clawback under any other clawback policy adopted by the Company from time to time.

Plan Administration

The 2018 Equity Incentive Plan will be administered by the Compensation Committee, all of whom are "Disinterested Board Members," as defined therein. The Compensation Committee has power within the limitations set forth in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan to make all decisions and determinations regarding the selection of participants and the granting of awards; establishing the terms and conditions relating to each award; adopting rules, regulations and guidelines for carrying out the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan's purposes; and interpreting and otherwise construing the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. The Board of Directors (or those members of the Board of Directors who are "independent directors" under the corporate governance statutes or rules of any national securities exchange on which we list our securities) may, in its discretion, take any action and exercise any power, privilege or discretion conferred on the Compensation Committee under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan as if done or exercised by the Compensation Committee to designate a separate committee, composed of one or more senior executive officers of the Company, to make awards to employees who generally are not executive officers within the parameters specified by the Board of Directors or Committee.

Approval of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan by the shareholders authorizes the Compensation Committee to determine the number of shares to be granted to non-employee directors, executives and employees, subject to the individual limitations in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan as set forth therein and discussed above.

Amendment and Termination

The Board of Directors may, as permitted by law, at any time, amend or terminate the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan or any award granted thereunder. However, except as provided in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, no amendment or termination may adversely impair the rights of an outstanding award without the participant's (or affected beneficiary's) written consent. The Board of Directors may not amend the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan to allow repricing of a stock option, materially increase the aggregate number of securities that may be issued under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan (other than as provided therein), materially increase the benefits accruing to a participant, or materially modify the requirements for participation in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan, without approval of shareholders. Notwithstanding

the foregoing, the Board may, without shareholder approval, amend the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan at any time, retroactively or otherwise, to ensure that the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan complies with current or future law 40

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan and the Board of Directors may unilaterally amend the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan and any outstanding award, without participant consent, in order to conform to any changes in the law or any accounting pronouncement or interpretation thereof.

Duration of 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2018 Equity Incentive Plan will become effective upon approval by the shareholders at this meeting. The 2018 Equity Incentive Plan will remain in effect as long as any awards under it are outstanding; however, no awards may be granted under the Plan on or after the day immediately prior to the 10-year anniversary of the effective date of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. At any time, the Board of Directors may terminate the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. However, any termination of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan will not affect outstanding awards. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a summary of the federal income tax consequences that may arise in conjunction with participation in the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan.

Non-Qualified Stock Options. The grant of a non-qualified stock option will not result in taxable income to the participant. Except as described below, the participant will realize ordinary income at the time of exercise in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares acquired over the exercise price for those shares, and we will be entitled to a corresponding deduction for tax purposes. Gains or losses realized by the participant upon disposition of such shares will be treated as capital gains and losses, with the basis in such shares equal to the fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise.

Incentive Stock Options. The grant of an incentive stock option will not result in taxable income to the participant. The exercise of an incentive stock option will not result in taxable income to the participant provided the participant was, without a break in service, an employee of the Company or a subsidiary during the period beginning on the date of the grant of the option and ending on the date three months prior to the date of exercise (one year prior to the date of exercise if the participant is disabled, as that term is defined in the Code). We will not be entitled to a tax deduction upon the exercise of an incentive stock option.

The excess of the fair market value of the shares at the time of the exercise of an incentive stock option over the exercise price is an adjustment that is included in the calculation of the participant's alternative minimum taxable income for the tax year in which the incentive stock option is exercised. For purposes of determining the participant's alternative minimum tax liability for the year of disposition of the shares acquired pursuant to the incentive stock option exercise, the participant will have a basis in those shares equal to the fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise.

If the participant does not sell or otherwise dispose of the shares within two years from the date of the grant of the incentive stock option or within one year after the exercise of such stock option, then, upon disposition of such shares, any amount realized in excess of the exercise price will be taxed as a capital gain. A capital loss will be recognized to the extent that the amount realized is less than the exercise price. If the foregoing holding period requirements are not met, the participant will generally recognize ordinary income at the time of the disposition of the shares in an amount equal to the lesser of (i) the excess of the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise price, and we will be entitled to a corresponding deduction. If the amount realized exceeds the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise price, and we will be a capital gain. If the amount realized at the time of disposition is less than the exercise price, the participant will recognize no income, and a capital loss will be recognized equal to the excess of the exercise of the shares.

Restricted Stock. A participant who has been granted a restricted stock award will not realize taxable income at the time of grant, provided that the stock subject to the award is not delivered at the time of grant, or if the stock is delivered, it is subject to restrictions that constitute a "substantial risk of forfeiture" for federal income tax purposes. Upon the later of delivery or vesting of shares subject to an award, the

41

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 4: Approval of the Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan

holder will realize ordinary income in an amount equal to the then fair market value of those shares and we will be entitled to a corresponding deduction for tax purposes. Gains or losses realized by the participant upon disposition of such shares will be treated as capital gains and losses, with the basis in such shares equal to the fair market value of the shares at the time of delivery or vesting. Dividends paid to the holder will also be compensation income to the participant and we will be entitled to a corresponding deduction for tax purposes. A participant who makes an election under Section 83(b) of the Code will include the full fair market value of the restricted stock award (or portion of the award subject to such election) in taxable income in the year of grant at the grant date fair market value. The Compensation Committee has the right to prohibit participants from making Code Section 83(b) elections. Restricted Stock Units. A participant who has been granted a restricted stock unit will not realize taxable income at the time of grant and will not be entitled to make an election under Section 83(b) of the Code since no stock is actually transferred to the recipient on the date of grant. At the time a restricted stock unit vests, assuming the award is distributed at that time, the recipient will recognize ordinary income in an amount equal to the fair market value of the common stock or the amount of cash received. If the restricted stock unit is not distributed at the time it vests, no income will be recognized at that time and taxation will be deferred until the value of the restricted stock unit is distributed. At the time the recipient recognizes taxable income on a restricted stock unit, we will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction in the same amount recognized by the award recipient.

Withholding of Taxes. We may withhold amounts from participants to satisfy withholding tax requirements. Except as otherwise provided by the Compensation Committee, participants may have shares withheld from awards to satisfy tax withholding requirements up to an amount that will not trigger adverse accounting for the Company.

Change in Control. Any acceleration of the vesting or payment of awards under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan in the event of a change in control or termination of service following a change in control may cause part or all of the consideration involved to be treated as an "excess parachute payment" under Section 280G of the Code, which may subject the participant to a 20% excise tax and preclude deduction by the Company.

Deduction Limits. Section 162(m) of the Code generally limits our ability to deduct for tax purposes compensation in excess of \$1.0 million per year for each of our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer and three other executive officers named in the summary compensation table (each, a "covered employee") of our annual proxy statement. Compensation resulting from awards under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan will be counted toward the \$1.0 million limit.

Tax Advice. The preceding discussion is based on federal tax laws and regulations presently in effect, which are subject to change, and the discussion does not purport to be a complete description of the federal income tax aspects of the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. A participant may also be subject to state and local taxes in connection with the grant of awards under the 2018 Equity Incentive Plan.

The summary provides a summary and overview of selected information in the Berkshire Hill's Bancorp, Inc. 2018 Equity Incentive Plan. We encourage you to read the entire plan, which is attached as Appendix E before voting. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" PROPOSAL 4.

42

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Proposal 5:

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The Board of Directors recommends approval of its Named Executive Officer ("NEO") compensation as set forth herein.

Background. In accordance with Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, shareholders are being given the opportunity to vote on an advisory (non-binding) resolution at the annual meeting to approve our executive compensation as described below in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion of NEO compensation presented in this proxy statement. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives shareholders the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the Company's executive pay program. The purpose of our compensation policies and procedures is to attract and retain experienced, highly qualified executives critical to the Company's long-term success and enhancement of shareholder value. The Board of Directors believes the Company's compensation policies and procedures achieve this objective, and therefore recommend shareholders vote "FOR" the proposal.

"Resolved, that the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby approved."

Is the Shareholder Vote Binding on the Company? This is an advisory vote only, and neither the Company nor the Board of Directors will be bound to take action based upon the outcome. The Compensation Committee will consider the vote of the shareholders when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THIS PROPOSAL. 43

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation

Discussion and Analysis

In this section we explain our compensation philosophy, describe the material components of our executive compensation program, and review the 2017 compensation decisions for our Named Executive Officers ("NEOs") listed below. Their compensation is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table and other compensation tables contained in this Proxy Statement. The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") focuses on the key factors we believe shareholders should focus on in their evaluation of our "Say-on-Pay" proposal.

Named Executive Officers(1)

Michael P. Daly	Chief Executive Officer
James M. Moses	Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Richard M. Marotta	President
Sean A. Gray	Chief Operating Officer
George F. Bacigalupo	Senior Executive Vice President, Commercial Banking
(1)	

The principal positions listed above represent the titles of each of the Named Executive Officers at Berkshire Bank, the wholly owned subsidiary of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. The principal position of each of the Named Executive Officers at Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. is as follows: Mr. Daly is President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Moses is Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Marotta is Senior Executive Vice President, Mr. Gray is Senior Executive Vice President, and Mr. Bacigalupo is Executive Vice President.

Table of Contents	
Executive Summary	<u>45</u>
Compensation Philosophy and Objectives	<u>51</u>
Key Principles	<u>52</u>
Decision-Making Process	<u>54</u>
Benchmarking Analysis — Compensation Peer Group	<u>56</u>
2017 Compensation Program	<u>58</u>
Details on Program Elements and Related 2017 Decisions	<u>58</u>
Base Salary	<u>58</u>
Short-Term Incentive Awards	<u>58</u>
Long-Term Incentive Plan	<u>63</u>
Benefits and Perquisites	<u>67</u>
Additional Compensation Information	<u>68</u>
Compensation Best Practices	<u>68</u>
Risk Assessment and Related Considerations	<u>69</u>
Role of Compensation Committee, Management and Compensation Consultant	<u>69</u>

Other Compensation and Governance Policies and Practices	<u>71</u>
Stock Ownership and Holding Guidelines	<u>71</u>
<u>Clawback Policy</u>	<u>71</u>
Anti-Hedging and Pledging Restriction Policy	<u>72</u>
Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation	<u>72</u>
Compensation Committee Report	<u>73</u>
44	
BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. 2018 Proxy Statement	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary Business Overview

Over the last several years we have grown our company through disciplined expansion from \$5.5 billion to nearly \$12 billion in total assets. Our strategy is to provide big bank products and services with a local bank feel to best meet the needs of our communities. Our culture of excitement values passionate employees who seek to make a difference in the world around them, and we employees to bring that excitement to their customers every day. We are building our long term profitability through positive operating leverage driven by revenue growth and expense discipline. We are developing a valuable franchise that is attractive to our markets and the investment community. We have made key investments in people and infrastructure, including hiring seasoned lending teams across our market, expanding and upgrading our personnel and systems in compliance, risk and finance, and restructuring our executive team to include more diversity and better engagement and accountability. We moved our headquarters to Boston and added economies of scale by acquiring whole banks within, and adjacent to, our footprint that deepen and expand our market presence. We have refocused and improved the talent of our wealth management and insurance businesses to better serve our customers, and our strategic lines of business have been built out organically and through acquisition, including specialty lending, wholesale banking and residential mortgage banking. These initiatives have diversified our revenue streams, created efficiencies and improved profitability. They also provide a solid foundation for delivering stronger returns to our shareholders. We now serve more customers across our expanded footprint and are operating greater numbers of business lines in both regional and national markets. By bringing together a strong employee base, a dedicated strategy and operational efficiency, we can continue to offer our customers the products and services they need, our employees an inspirational workplace, our communities the support they seek and our shareholders the returns they deserve.

2017 Highlights

Berkshire had a transformative year in 2017.

Through organic growth and disciplined acquisition, we crossed the \$10 billion threshold for total assets and established ourselves as the largest regional bank with corporate headquarters in Boston.

We delivered significant growth, ongoing progress toward our financial goals and the development of new markets and teams.

Our Board of Directors tracks the Company's performance on GAAP measures, but generally evaluates performance on the basis of non-GAAP core and organic measures which the Company views as most critical to shareholder value. The Board does not view GAAP results in many cases as indicative of business performance and value creation, and excludes net charges not viewed as related to ongoing operations in its evaluation, as shown in Appendix A. Many of these charges relate to the direct and indirect costs of acquisitions, which are central to Berkshire's strategy; these costs are viewed as part of the merger investment. In 2017, there was also a noncash charge to write-down the deferred tax asset following federal tax reform.

In 2017, GAAP earnings and profitability decreased due to merger charges and tax reform. Core results improved, even as the Company withstood a shifting regulatory landscape while also absorbing the increased regulatory costs of crossing \$10 billion in assets.

In 2017 management performed strongly and in several areas exceeded stretch objectives. The Compensation Committee strongly believes that performance and pay were well aligned in a year with transformational strategic accomplishments and exceptional management performance.

45

<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> Compensation Discussion and Analysis 2017 Financial Highlights

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Summary Description of 2017 Compensation Results

The table below summarizes the Compensation Committee's 2017 compensation actions, which were consistent with our long-standing commitment of aligning pay with performance and the interests of our shareholders. For more details on the program design and decisions made in 2017, please see pages <u>58-68</u> in this CD&A. For reconciliation of non-GAAP measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, please see Appendix A. Base Salary

.

Our competitive guidelines target market median.

•

No salary adjustments were made for the CEO or the NEOs during the January 2017 annual review process.

Short-Term Incentive Program (STI)

For the 2017 plan, no changes were made to the performance measures (core earnings, core return on assets, expense management, and asset quality), but most goals were increased over the prior year.

•

Target payout percentages were increased for the CEO from 55% to 60% and from 40% to 45% for the Bank President to reflect market practice for our larger size. No other changes were made to other NEOs' target payout percentages in 2017.

•

Based on results, the pool funded at 146% of target. The Compensation Committee further approved a 15% modifier, citing multiple strategic accomplishments, as summarized in the Executive Summary at the beginning of the CD&A, adjusting the total funded pool to 168%.

•

Individual NEO awards were allocated by the Committee and ranged from 86% to 178% of target.

Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTI)

For the 2017 plan, the LTI measures were revised to include relative total shareholder return, along with cumulative core earnings per share over the three year period. This change reflects feedback the Committee received from shareholders about better alignment between executives and shareholders.

No changes were made to target payout percentages in 2017.

•

The Compensation Committee relied on benchmarking data and each individual executive's contribution toward corporate goals to determine 2017 grants. The awards varied from 69% to 130% of target for the NEOs, and are split 50% as performance shares and 50% as time-vested shares.

•

For performance awards granted in 2015, which were subject to a three year cliff vesting schedule (and which became vested in 2018), the performance shares earned equated to 109.11% of the target award established in

January 2015.

Total Compensation

•

We are a growth company and as such have a strong focus on creating long-term shareholder value. As a result of shareholder feedback, we made changes to awards to better meet shareholder expectations and acknowledge the importance of total shareholder return performance.

•

NEO total direct compensation was 10% higher than in 2016, in-line with stronger growth and performance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis NEO Pay-For-Performance Alignment

The Compensation Committee seeks to motivate and reward executives for achieving improved earnings and profitability over the long-term, driving total shareholder value and managing risk. The company's strategy includes disciplined growth through acquisitions and culture-driven differentiated products and services. Due to the acquisition strategy, the company's performance is best understood in terms of core measures and our executives are incented on core earnings and profitability metrics. Core measures exclude merger and acquisition charges, along with other non-recurring gains and losses as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The graph below demonstrates the alignment over the past five years of shareholder value creation and key operational metrics with CEO and other NEO total annual direct compensation, which consists of base salary and short-term and long-term incentive awards.

The Company has doubled in size over this period, and compensation reflects performance, as well as promotions and increases in responsibility due to growth. Total shareholder return has been positive in all years and outperformed the majority of peers in 2017.

(1)

Reflects the year-to-year performance indexed to a 2012 base year for total shareholder return ("TSR"), and a 2013 base year for other performance metrics, at 100. Prior year TSR is shown to correspond with the timing of annual compensation decisions.

(2)

Direct compensation totals are made up of base salary, Short Term Incentive ("STI") and Long Term Incentive ("LTI"). This measure excludes sign-on bonuses and special grants, which were supported by specific events.

(3)

TSR consists of stock price appreciation plus reinvested dividends; source: S&P Market Intelligence.

(4)

Core EPS, Core Net Income and Core ROA are Non-GAAP financial measures used by the compensation committee to make compensation decisions, a reconciliation of Non-GAAP financial measures is available in Appendix A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Shareholder Engagement and Responsiveness

We have an active engagement program that focuses on gathering feedback from the governance teams of our largest institutional shareholders. Based on these ongoing discussions, in the last year we made several enhancements to our governance programs including:

Declassified the Board

Added a relative TSR measure to the long-term incentive plan

Increased disclosure around compensation policies, procedures and decisions

•

Increased disclosure relating to the Board of Directors' composition, recruiting and nominating practices

Introduced an anti-hedging policy and increased disclosure around our restrictive pledging policy

•

Eliminated geographical residency requirement for eligibility to serve on the Board

Since the implementation of "Say-on-Pay", we have received support above 90% for our Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. In 2016 we received 67% of votes in favor of the Company's executive compensation. In 2017, we improved to 75% of vote in favor, but we continue to strive to improve the shareholder approval. As a result, the Board of Directors has taken action over the past year to further engage shareholders to better understand their views and make enhancements to our compensation and governance practices. What We Did

•

Proactively reached out to our largest institutional shareholders, representing 75% of our institutional ownership, to solicit their feedback.

•

Had extensive dialogue with a diverse group of our shareholders during the year and obtained additional feedback from advisors and other knowledgeable third parties.

•

Solicited feedback and answered questions about our executive compensation programs and Board governance practices.

What We Heard and How We Responded

Shareholders were pleased with the changes we made in the last year, particularly the declassified board and increased disclosures.

•

We continued to enhance our proxy statement disclosure this year to include more detail on director qualifications, the board evaluation process, risk management, gender diversity and sustainability.

There was general support for the design of the compensation plans. The importance of aligning goals with shareholder returns was reinforced and some of the shareholders desired more disclosure around individual incentive awards.

The Compensation Committee changed the long-term incentive plan goals in 2017 to include a relative TSR measure, along with a cumulative core EPS goal. The Committee feels that this combination will drive executive performance that is both favorable to the shareholders and to the Company's long term strategic plans. We also continued to enhance the disclosures tied to the Compensation Committee's philosophy and process in determining goals and individual awards.

•

Some shareholders suggested adopting a proxy access provision, majority voting standards, and/or the right to call a special meeting in our bylaws.

•

The Board has had extensive conversations about each of these items and will continue to evaluate potential future action.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Executive Compensation Key Principles Our philosophy is to provide an executive compensation program that is consistent with creating long-term value for our shareholders and promoting sound risk management. The key principles that support our philosophy are:

Attract and retain highly talented executives committed to our success

Pay for performance

Align executive interests with those of our shareholders

Manage risk through oversight and compensation design features and practices

Key Elements of our Compensation Programs Compensation Mix Target Mix

Direct compensation is made up of base salary, short-term cash incentive ("STI") and long-term equity incentive ("LTI")

Target mix is 57% performance based for CEO and 47% performance-based for other NEOs

Long-Term/Equity Compensation LTI Award

Awards consist of 50% performance shares and 50% time-based shares

Performance shares are earned at the end of a 3-year period based on Company performance

Time-based shares are earned proportionally over a 3-year period

Corporate Performance Measures

Performance Measures

Performance measures and targets are designed to motivate and reward executives for achieving improved earnings and profitability over the long term, driving total shareholder returns and managing risk

Goal setting is aligned with annual and multi-year financial targets

*

For reconciliation of non-GAAP measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures, please see Appendix A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Highlights of our Compensation and Governance Programs What We Do:

Pay for Performance: A significant portion of each Named Executive Officer's annual compensation target is variable and tied to company and individual performance results. The Company uses a mix of performance metrics and our short- and long-term plans provide a balanced timeframe for incentive opportunities.

Link Performance Measures with Strategic Objectives: Performance measures and individual goals for incentive compensation are linked to strategic, operating and financial goals designed to create long-term shareholder value.

Annual Say-on-Pay Vote: We conduct an annual Say-on-Pay advisory vote.

Independent Compensation Consultant: The Compensation Committee engages its own independent compensation consultant to review the Company's executive compensation program and practices.

Shareholder Engagement: As part of the Company's shareholder outreach program, members of the Compensation Committee and members of management welcome engagement with shareholders to better understand their perceptions and views on our executive compensation program.

Stock Ownership Guidelines: We have significant stock ownership guidelines requiring our executives and directors to hold substantial equity ownership.

Clawback Policy: The clawback policy allows the Board to recover incentive compensation paid to an executive if the financial results that the awards were based on are materially restated due to fraud, intentional misconduct or gross negligence.

Incentivize Sound Risk Management: Our compensation program includes features intended to discourage employees from taking unnecessary and excessive risks, including balanced performance metrics, emphasis on long-term shareholder value creation, and clawback provisions.

What We Don't Do:

Gross-ups for Excise Taxes: We don't provide change-in-control tax gross-ups to individuals hired after 2009 (only two legacy agreements are still in place).

Hedging and Pledging: All of our employees and directors are prohibited from engaging in hedging, monetization, derivative or similar transactions with company securities. We also have a policy that discourages pledging of company securities, with very limited exceptions.

Contracts: Our executives, with the exception of the CEO, are all employed "at will" and the relationship may be terminated by the Company or the employee at any time without any severance payments.

Dividends: We do not pay dividends on any restricted stock awards until vested.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The primary philosophy and objective of our compensation program is to align the interests of our executives with shareholders by rewarding performance against established corporate financial and strategic goals, solid executive leadership and strong individual executive performance. We strive to attract, motivate and retain a highly qualified and talented team of executives who will lead Berkshire to maximize long-term performance and earnings growth. The Compensation Committee regularly reviews executive compensation program elements to ensure they are consistent with safe and sound business practices, regulatory requirements, emerging industry best practices and shareholder interests.

51

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Key Principles That Support Our Philosophy

	•				
Attract and retain highly	Provide competitive total compensation that enables us to attract and retain highly talented executives with experience and leadership abilities to grow and sustain our business				
talented executives committed					
to our success	•				
	Target total compensation opportunities to reflect the median of market defined as banks similar in size, region and business model to Berkshire				
	•				
	We measure our success through a balanced portfolio of performance metrics that rewards corporate and individual success				
	A significant portion of total compensation is "at risk" and based on short and long-term performance				
	•				
Pay for performance alignment	Financial performance results fund our annual incentive plan and determine long-term equity vesting				
	Our long-term equity awards are granted based on a holistic assessment of Company and individual performance, then split 50/50. Half are tied to 3-year performance (EPS and relative TSR); the other half are time-vested over 3 years				
	•				
	Higher (i.e. above market) compensation results only if performance exceeds our goals; lower compensation (i.e. below market) will result if our performance falls below expectations				
Align executive interests with					
those of our shareholders	Our performance goals are directly aligned with our strategic and operating objectives which creates long-term shareholder value				
	•				
	We have rigorous stock ownership requirements to ensure our executives hold stock throughout their tenure as executives				
	•				
	A significant portion of executive compensation, including all of our long-term incentive, is in the form of stock				
	•				
	The Compensation Committee reviews our program and pay–for-performance relationships on a regular basis				

Manage risk through oversight and compensation design features and practices	 Our program incorporates a balanced approach that includes pay that is fixed and variable, short- and long-term, and in the form of both cash and equity We use multiple goals in our incentive plans to reinforce strategic, operational, risk and shareholder considerations We consider performance on a "holistic" basis, allowing for Committee discretion to adjust awards in consideration of risk management objectives and strategic success We balance short-term and long-term incentives, with 3 year payouts on the long-term plan, which considers our absolute and relative performance Our incentive plans cap maximum payments We have a clawback policy that allows for recoupment of compensation for financial restatement or misconduct
Compensation Drivers	 Incentive plans are designed to encourage achievement of our strategic business goals and reinforce our business values Pay levels are fair, competitive and internally equitable We pay for performance and the attainment of our vision, business strategy, operating imperatives and results We recognize contributions of the individual We are mindful of the market

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

2017 Target Pay Mix

Our compensation program places significant focus on performance-based pay that aligns CEO and NEO pay levels with the Company's performance. The program rewards our achievements on an annual basis and our ability to deliver long-term value to our shareholders. We have a balanced, risk-appropriate approach to total compensation that includes a mix of fixed/base pay and variable/performance–based pay, cash and equity, and short- and long-term compensation.

Berkshire's target pay mix is established by the Compensation Committee through an annual review of peer practices, pay for performance incentives, risk management objectives and shareholder alignment. For 2017, our compensation targets and pay mix were as follows and are designed to be in-line with market practice:

CEO

Other NEOs

(1)

Market averages provided by independent compensation consultant and determined by using pay practices at peers listed elsewhere in this proxy statement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Decision-Making Process

Our Compensation Committee, which is composed solely of independent directors, is responsible for establishing, implementing and continually monitoring all elements of compensation for the Company's CEO and NEOs. Setting Performance Goals

•

Each year, the Compensation Committee reviews our compensation program to determine competitiveness and effectiveness, and evaluate whether any changes should be made for the next fiscal year. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Compensation Committee determines the components of compensation for each NEO and sets the performance goals for each corporate performance measure.

•

Annually the Compensation Committee establishes CEO performance goals; the CEO sets individual performance goals for each of the other NEOs, subject to the review of the Compensation Committee. The individual goals are designed to drive our strategic corporate goals.

•

The Compensation Committee meets regularly throughout the year, both with management and in executive session, and reviews the Company's performance to date against the performance goals.

Determining Compensation

At the end of each fiscal year, the Compensation Committee conducts a review of each NEO and the Company's performance measured against established performance goals. As part of this review process, the CEO reviews with the Compensation Committee the performance of each NEO relative to the individual goals and presents his compensation recommendations based on his review. The Compensation Committee exercises discretion in modifying any compensation recommendations and then approves all compensation decisions for the NEOs.

•

The CEO's performance is reviewed by the Compensation Committee in conjunction with a self-assessment and discussion with the Compensation Committee and other independent directors. The CEO is not present when the Committee makes decisions on his compensation.

•

The Compensation Committee's objective is to ensure that total compensation paid to the NEOs is fair, reasonable and performance based, while aligning with shareholder interests. In addition, the Compensation Committee annually conducts an executive compensation review with the compensation consultant to ensure market competitiveness.

Contribution from the Independent Compensation Consultant

•

During 2017, the consultant provided a number of consultations and presentations to the Compensation Committee. These included a presentation on executive compensation trends and external developments, an annual competitive evaluation of NEO compensation, review of STI and LTI programs, draft review and comments on the CD&A, development of the peer group used for competitive analysis and attending committee meetings when requested by the Compensation Committee Chair.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Factors Considered in Compensation Decision Process The Compensation Committee considers many factors when making pay decisions throughout the year. In addition to the market data provided by the independent consultant, the Compensation Committee also considers various analyses, information and input including, but not limited to: Overall operational and financial performance Stock price performance and total shareholder return on an absolute and relative basis Executive's individual performance results relative to their individual financial and strategic goals Strategic plan progress and performance relative to annual budget Tally sheets Demonstration of behaviors that support our culture and brand Executive stock ownership levels Qualitative input from the Compensation Committee and other independent directors External influences, economic conditions and industry factors > Risk assessment considerations Internal equity Compensation trends and best practices 55 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 Proxy Statement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Benchmarking Analysis - Compensation Peer Group

The Compensation Committee considers the structure of compensation programs and pay levels at other publicly traded banks similar in size and region to Berkshire when evaluating our compensation program. Annually, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant conducts a comprehensive competitive market analysis using the peer group and other industry survey data, as well as compensation best practices. The peer group is developed by the independent compensation consultant, approved by the Compensation Committee and used as part of the perspective consideration by the Compensation Committee to analyze and set annual salaries and incentive target opportunities. The Compensation Committee annually reviews and updates the peer group, as necessary upon recommendation of the Compensation Consultant.

Peer Group Criteria: The peer group is based on objective parameters that reflect institutions of similar asset size (approximately $\frac{1}{2} - 2x$ Berkshire's assets) and located in the Northeast/Mid-Atlantic region plus Ohio. The following group shows the peer companies identified in 2016 and used for 2017 pay program considerations:

Peer	Ticker	State	Asset Size (\$B)(1)	Market Cap (\$B)(1)	Revenue (\$MM)(1)
Valley National Bancorp	VLY	NJ	24.0	3.0	772
Fulton Financial Corp.	FULT	PA	20.0	3.1	783
Sterling Bancorp	STL	NY	30.4	5.5	640
Customers Bancorp Inc.	CUBI	PA	9.8	0.8	346
Provident Financial Services	PFS	NJ	9.8	1.8	334
Northwest Bancshares Inc.	NWBI	PA	9.4	1.7	442
Community Bank System Inc.	CBU	NY	10.7	2.7	518
NBT Bancorp Inc.	NBTB	NY	9.1	1.6	405
First Financial Bancorp	FFBC	OH	8.9	1.6	360
Park National Corp.	PRK	OH	7.5	1.6	325
Independent Bank Corp.	INDB	MA	8.1	1.9	342
First Commonwealth Financial	FCF	PA	7.3	1.4	309
S&T Bancorp, Inc.	STBA	PA	7.1	1.4	281
United Financial Bancorp	UBNK	CT	7.1	0.9	218
Brookline Bancorp Inc.	BRKL	MA	6.8	1.2	255
Eagle Bancorp Inc.	EGBN	MD	7.5	2.0	313
Flushing Financial Corp.	FFIC	NY	6.3	0.8	183
Thompkins Financial Corporation	TMP	NY	6.6	1.2	271
WSFS Financial Corp.	WSFS	PA	7.0	1.5	346
Dime Community Bancshares, Inc.	DCOM	NY	6.4	0.8	174
Peer Group Median(2)			7.8	1.6	338
Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.	BHLB	MA	11.6	1.7	420
(1)					

(1)

Asset size and market cap as of 12/31/17; revenue FY2017; source: S&P Market Intelligence.

(2)

Peer group median asset size in 2016 (when the peer group was identified) was \$7.6B compared to Berkshire's assets of \$8.0B at the time.

The Compensation Consultant used several other sources of data to identify general compensation trends, as well as published industry surveys and a proprietary database of national banking compensation data. 56

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Crossing \$10 Billion in Assets

Due to the significant growth in assets over the last several years, the Compensation Committee, in conjunction with the Compensation Consultant, took a broader look at compensation peers during 2017. Berkshire has crossed \$10 billion in assets, operates major national lending operations and has been an active acquirer. In comparing business models, regulatory challenges, and profitability results of peer banks, the Committee decided to change the scope of compensation peers beginning in 2018.

The new peer group includes banks nationwide ranging from \$8 to \$20 billion in assets, with similar business models, revenues and regulatory hurdles to Berkshire, and excludes banks located on the West Coast and in Texas. The following group shows the peer companies identified in 2017 and used for 2018 pay program considerations:

Peer	Ticker	State	Asset Size (\$B)(1)	Market Cap (\$B)(1)	Revenue (\$MM)(1)
MB Financial Inc.	MBFI	IL	20.1	3.7	969
Fulton Financial Corp.	FULT	PA	20.0	3.1	783
United Bankshares Inc.	UBSI	WV	19.1	3.7	681
Chemical Financial Corp.	CHFC	MI	19.3	3.8	702
Old National Bancorp	ONB	IN	17.5	2.7	621
BancorpSouth Bank	BXS	MS	15.3	2.8	742
South State Corporation	SSB	SC	14.5	3.2	558
Simmons First National Corp.	SFNC	AR	15.1	2.6	494
Home BancShares Inc.	HOMB	AR	14.4	4.0	555
First Midwest Bancorp Inc.	FMBI	IL	14.1	2.5	635
Trustmark Corp.	TRMK	MS	13.8	2.2	592
First Financial Bancorp	FFBC	OH	8.9	1.6	360
Union Bankshares Corp.	UBSH	VA	9.3	1.6	352
United Community Banks Inc.	UCBI	GA	11.9	2.2	444
Great Western Bancorp	GWB	SD	11.7	2.4	452
Community Bank System Inc.	CBU	NY	10.7	2.7	518
Customers Bancorp Inc.	CUBI	PA	9.8	0.8	346
Renasant Corporation	RNST	MS	9.8	2.0	469
TowneBank	TOWN	VA	8.5	1.9	449
FCB Financial Holdings, Inc.	FCB	FL	10.7	2.3	331
WesBanco Inc.	WSBC	WV	9.8	1.8	379
Heartland Financial USA Inc.	HTLF	IA	9.8	1.6	432
NBT Bancorp Inc.	NBTB	NY	9.1	1.6	405
Independent Bank Corp.	INDB	MA	8.1	1.9	342
Peer Group Median			11.8	2.4	482
Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc.	BHLB	MA	11.6	1.7	420
(1)					

Asset size and market cap as of 12/31/17; revenue FY2017; source: S&P Market Intelligence.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

2017 Compensation Program & Decisions

The Company's compensation program consists of four main components: Base Salary, Annual Incentives, Long-Term Incentive/Equity, and Benefits and Perquisites. The following sections summarize the role of each component, how decisions are made and the resulting 2017 decision process as it relates to the NEOs. Base Salary

The Company's base salary program is designed to provide competitive base pay reflective of an executive's role, responsibilities, contributions, experience, leadership and performance. Salaries are generally targeted to be within the range of market median and are expected to provide sufficient base to discourage inappropriate risk taking by executives

When setting NEO's base salary, the Compensation Committee aims to provide competitive and fair base compensation that reflects roles and contributions. Each year our independent compensation consultant provides market guidelines based on its competitive assessment considering a composite of market data from the custom proxy peer group as well as other data sources of banking compensation.

2017 Decisions. No salary adjustments were made during the January 2017 annual review process.

	2017 Salary	Salary adjustment in 2017
Daly	\$ 700,000	0%
Moses	\$ 350,000	0%
Marotta	\$ 500,000	0%
Gray	\$ 425,000	0%
Bacigalupo	\$ 350,000	0%

Short-Term Incentive Awards (STI)

The Company's short-term incentive compensation program is designed to align executives' interests with the Company's strategic plan and critical annual performance goals by providing meaningful "pay-at-risk" that is earned each year based on performance results. It also seeks to motivate and reward achievement of specific Company, business unit and individual performance goals with competitive compensation when performance goals are achieved; above or below median pay when performance results are above or below goals.

At the start of each year, the Compensation Committee defines the corporate STI goals which serve as the basis for the granting of annual cash awards and approves the individual goals which will be used to determine the payout. Each year in January, prior year performance is assessed and the NEOs are then considered for short-term incentive awards based on achievement of those Company and individual goals.

Rewards under this plan represent compensation that must be re-earned each year based on Company and individual performance results.

•

The Compensation Committee approves the Chief Executive Officer's individual goals based on the strategic plan/budget.

•

The CEO prepares goals for NEOs that support our strategic plan and budget and reflect each NEO's role. The Compensation Committee can modify the goals at their discretion and approves the final goals.

•

NEO incentive award targets and pay-out ranges are reviewed and established annually by the Compensation Committee based on the Company's overall performance and the independent compensation consultant's benchmarking analysis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

_

Award targets are designed to be in-line with our goal to provide a meaningful, but risk balanced, portion of total compensation that is based on annual results.

For 2017, target annual incentive opportunities were increased from 55% to 60% of base salary for the CEO, from 40% to 45% for the President and remained 40% for other NEOs. As part of their annual review, the Committee determined that the target opportunities for the CEO and President were below the market range. The adjustment moved the target closer to market median. Actual payouts, however, vary each year based on a combination of Company and individual performance.

•

Maximum incentive pool funding is capped at 150% of target and the Compensation Committee has the option to modify the pool by up to an additional +/- 15%; maximum award for any participant (based on individual performance) is capped at 200% of target.

The incentive pool is funded based on Corporate Scorecard results consisting of financial goals and a strategic modifier. Once the pool funding is known, individual performance is assessed to determine and allocate the actual awards. The Chief Executive Officer provides the Compensation Committee with a summary of each NEO's performance and incentive recommendations based on individual performance results relative to specific goals set at the start of the year. The Compensation Committee conducts a similar review of the Chief Executive Officer, with input from the independent directors of the board. The Compensation Committee determines the Chief Executive Officer award and approves the NEO awards. The Compensation Committee retains the discretion to modify incentive payouts based on significant individual or Company performance shortfalls and/or regulatory and safety and soundness concerns and in consideration of risk management considerations.

Company Measures and Funding of the Incentive Pool

The Compensation Committee has established four performance goals that determine the pool that will be available for incentive awards. The Committee also established a minimum trigger or gate level of performance, which is defined at 75% of the targeted core earnings, which must be achieved in order for the plan to fund any awards. Once the minimum is achieved, the incentive pool funding is determined based on Company performance relative to the four performance goals, evenly weighted. These performance measures were unchanged from 2016:

Performance Measure	Definition
Core Earnings*	Core Net Income (a non-GAAP measure that excludes on an after-tax basis certain amounts which the Company has identified as unrelated to its normal operations; described as "adjusted net income" in Form 10-K)
Expense Management	Efficiency Ratio (a non-GAAP measure calculated as non-interest expense as a percentage of revenue; adjusted for designated items, intangibles, and tax credit adjustments)
Asset Quality	Criticized Asset Ratio (calculated as criticized assets as a percentage of the sum of Bank Tier 1 capital and the loan loss allowance; criticized assets are those assets rated Special Mention or worse in Berkshire Bank's risk rating system)
Core Return on Assets* *	Core Return on Assets (a non-GAAP measure calculated as core net income as a percentage of total average assets)

For a summary of certain non-GAAP performance measures, please see Appendix A.

Each goal has a defined range of acceptable performance; threshold funds the pool at 50%; target performance funds the pool at 100% and stretch performance funds at 150%. Funding is interpolated proportionally based on actual

performance within these ranges. These ranges are unchanged from the prior year and are viewed as within a normal range among Company peers. The Committee also considers the achievement of the Company's strategic plan/goals and can modify the pool +/- 15% based on its assessment of performance of these broader initiatives. The Committee will consider and discuss overall risk and can also adjust the pool downward to reflect any risk, regulatory or shareholder issues. The objective is to ensure our incentive plan is funded appropriately based on profits and strategic results.

59

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

2017 Goals and Results

The specific performance goals for each of threshold, target and stretch level achievement, as well as the actual level of performance achieved for fiscal year 2017, are displayed in the following table.

Performance Measure	Weighting	Threshold	Target	Stretch	Result	Funding
Core Earnings (MM)	25%	\$77.8	\$81.9	\$86.0	\$90.8	150%
Expense Management	25%	65%	63%	61%	60%	150%
Asset Quality	25%	27%	23%	19%	20%	134%
Core Return on Assets	25%	0.83%	0.87%	0.91%	0.93%	150%
Weighted Funding					146%	
Committee Approved Strategic Modifier 1						15%
Committee Approved Final Funding 10						168%

The considerations in setting the 2017 corporate targets and evaluating performance are shown below. Our financial results exceeded the stretch target on three of the four measures, and nearly achieved the stretch target on the fourth. These desirable results were achieved while the Company was strongly growing its revenues and integrating its new Mid-Atlantic operations and national lending businesses.

Specific considerations included:

•

The core earnings target was established with a target increase of 20% over the prior year, to provide incentive for management to achieve the earnings targets for the First Choice acquisition, and to seek other earnings growth from organic and/or acquisition activities. The 5% stretch warranted a 50% payout above target. Including the Commerce acquisition, actual core earnings exceeded target by 11% and represented a 33% increase over 2016 results.

•

The expense management target is the efficiency ratio, and was targeted at 63%, which was a slight increase from the 61% target in 2016 due to the acquisition of sizeable new mortgage banking operations, which operate with lower margins and therefore less efficiency on this measure. The actual results were more favorable than the 61% stretch target and warranted a 50% payout above target. Management estimated that the Company's efficiency excluding the mortgage operations was 56% in 2017, demonstrating strong improvement from prior levels.

•

The asset quality target measures the level of criticized assets in relation to capital. The Company achieved a ratio of 20% which was between the target of 23% and the stretch target of 19%. The Company views these levels as very strong asset quality and anticipated an increase based on integrating new operations. The actual results were nearly at the stretch level and warranted a payout 34% above target.

•

The core return on assets is a critical profitability measure that the Company is striving to improve. The Company achieved a result of 0.93% which exceeded the 0.91% stretch. It measured 7% above target and represented an 8% improvement over 2016 results, warranting a 50% payout above target.

The above results warranted a total weighted funding of 146% of target. In addition, the Committee considered strategic plan implementation and determined that a +15% strategic modifier was appropriate due to the transformational accomplishments in the year:

Crossing the \$10 billion asset threshold with an attractive market fill-in acquisition;

•

Integrating new Mid-Atlantic and national operations;

٠

Relocating the corporate headquarters to Boston;

•

Recruiting new Greater Boston regional market leadership; and

٠

Supporting growth with a well-executed stock offering.

Accordingly, the Committee approved final pool funding at 168% of target. In comparison, in 2016, while the weighted funding calculation was 126% of target, the Committee awarded 112% of target, using the strategic modifier to reduce the overall payout. The Committee chose in 2016 to maintain a focus on 60

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

realizing further goal achievement in 2017 related to recent initiatives, and the 2017 decisions recognize that management's performance strongly exceeded expectations and set the overall pool funding to recognize this performance.

Individual Performance.

The 2017 individual goals for each of our NEOs were set in January 2017. The Compensation Committee believes that our NEO's performance goals should support and help achieve the Company's strategic objectives, encourage the RIGHT (Respect, Integrity, Guts, Having Fun and Teamwork) behaviors and be tied to their areas of responsibility. Individual goals for the CEO were established by the Compensation Committee. Individual goals for the other executives were proposed by the CEO and reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee.

Once the funding of the incentive pool is approved by the Compensation Committee, awards are then allocated based on each participant's individual performance and contributions toward the Company's strategic goals. This design is intended to provide a balance of "team" through the overall plan funding, but allow actual allocation of the awards to reflect individual contributions toward the Company's success.

At the end of the fiscal year, the Compensation Committee reviewed each NEO's progress against his individual performance goals and contributions to overall company performance and strategic accomplishments. Based on this assessment, an NEO could receive an award from 0% to 200% of the targeted annual incentive. When making the award determinations, the Compensation Committee does not assign a specific weight to any individual goals, but instead reviews each NEO's progress in aggregate.

The 168% funding results could be adjusted up or down based on individual contribution, provided total awards do not exceed the incentive pool funding. Below is a summary of each NEO's individual awards and the individual performance contributions considered by the Committee in determining the awards:

Mr. Daly	Goal Results
	•
	Performed strongly against financial goals
	•
	Negotiated favorable terms for Commerce acquisition, the largest in the Company's history
2017 Approved	•
Award	Oversaw corporate headquarters relocation and Greater Boston expansion strategy
\$724,500	•
	Ongoing investor communications supported shareholder return and strong execution for the stock offering
	•
	Continued to attract top talent through focus on development and employee engagement
Mr. Moses	Goal Results
2017 Approved	•
Award \$241,500	Strategically positioned interest rate risk management to protect and enhance future earnings
φ=11,200	•
	Strengthened net interest margin, contributing to ROA and efficiency achievement
	•
	Strengthened balance sheet metrics and oversaw stock offering

Restructured, promoted, and recruited talent to strengthen financial division management

Deepened financial analysis and reporting to improve performance management companywide, together with enhanced pricing and capital analytics to support profitability and risk objectives

61 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 Proxy Statement

•

٠

Compensation Discu	
Mr. Marotta	Goal Results
	• Managed executive team to meet most corporate stretch targets
	• Led Commerce accelerated closing and achievement of regulatory approvals
2017 Approved Award \$400,000	• Strongly exceeded asset quality target, nearly achieving stretch goal
\$100,000	Participated with CEO in Boston relocation and oversaw successful specialty lending and regional/national expansion initiatives
	• Deepened compliance and risk management company-wide to support \$10 billion regulatory threshold
Mr. Gray	Goal Results
	• Oversaw corporate achievement of stretch goals for expense management and overall efficiency
2017 Approved Award \$300,000	• Deepened scorecard management and supported corporate culture and diversity companywide
	• Delivered revenue and earnings growth across business lines, exceeding stretch goal
	• Directed integration activities on plan and adjusted resources to achieve market objectives
Mr. Bacigalupo	Goal Results
	• With the growth of the company and recent acquisitions, Mr. Bacigalupo's role was restructured to focus on Eastern Massachusetts commercial market management
2017 Approved Award	• Achieved middle-market commercial growth and revenue targets
\$120,000	• Recruited new talent in high growth regions and in broadening commercial product offerings

Identified and pursued fee income strategies

The total short term incentive awards are shown below:

	2017 Salary	Target Percent	Target	Funding at 168%	Adjustment	Adjustment Percent	Payout
Daly	\$ 700,000	60%	\$ 420,000	\$ 704,760	\$ 19,740	3%	\$ 724,500
Moses	\$ 350,000	40%	\$ 140,000	\$ 234,920	\$ 6,580	3%	\$ 241,500
Marotta	\$ 500,000	45%	\$ 225,000	\$ 377,550	\$ 22,450	6%	\$ 400,000
Gray	\$ 425,000	40%	\$ 170,000	\$ 285,260	\$ 14,740	5%	\$ 300,000
Bacigalupo	\$ 350,000	40%	\$ 140,000	\$ 234,920	\$ (114,920)	(49)%	\$ 120,000

62 DEDKGUIDE III I

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Long-term Incentive Plan (LTI)

The Company's long-term incentive/equity compensation program is designed to align senior executives with long-term interests of the Company and shareholders through stock awards. The program also seeks to provide reward for superior multi-year performance, encourage stock ownership, and enhance our ability to retain our top performers.

Each year in January, the NEOs are considered for long-term incentive awards under the Company's Equity Incentive Plans. The Compensation Committee determines, at its discretion, the terms of the equity incentive plan, the timing of the awards, the recipients who may be granted awards, and the form and amount of awards. Long-term incentive awards are intended to:

•

Provide a meaningful portion of total compensation in stock-based awards

Align executives with our shareholders

•

Reward long-term performance and avoid excessive risk taking

•

Encourage retention of our key senior executives

•

Balance compensation rewards with risk through long-term vesting tied to performance

In determining the form and amount of equity awards to be granted to our NEOs in 2017, the Compensation Committee considered competitive market practices, including the market range for each position, Company performance and individual performance, expected future contributions by each individual, recommendations from the CEO (for NEOs other than himself) and each NEO's total compensation, as well as the financial and economic environment and total stock returns. The Compensation Committee also considered incentives provided by different award types, including increasing shareholder value, avoiding excessive risk taking and encouraging employee retention. As in recent years, the Compensation Committee decided that performance shares and time-based restricted stock grants with three year vesting schedules were the most appropriate form of equity compensation for the long-term incentive grant.

For 2017, the equity compensation targets were unchanged from 2016 and remained at 75% of base salary for the Chief Executive Officer and 50% of base salary for other NEOs, which are consistent with peer medians. The Compensation Committee has also set a range for individual grants which can vary up to 150% of target. The total award granted is split into two components: 50% performance shares and 50% time-based shares. The grant range and component shares are unchanged from the prior year as the Compensation Committee considered the program in-line with competitive and best practices.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The performance shares are tied to performance goals set at the beginning of the three-year performance period. As part of its annual review process, the Compensation Committee assessed the LTI performance measures to gauge appropriate incentives and shareholder alignment. The Committee determined that the Company and shareholders would be better served by the introduction of a relative total shareholder return measure to complement the core earnings per share goal. The relative TSR measure replaces the core return on equity goal used in previous years, tying executive pay more closely to shareholder returns. The Committee took into account shareholder feedback, the regulatory environment and the compensation consultant's recommendations when making this change. The actual shares earned at the end of the three-year period will range from 0% to 150% of the target depending on corporate performance, as measured by:

Performance Measure	Definition(1)	Weight
Core EPS(1)	Cumulative core earnings per share over the planning period aligned with internal budget goals. (Core EPS is a non-GAAP measure that excludes on an after-tax basis certain amounts from net income which the Company has identified as unrelated to its normal operations; described as "adjusted net income" in the Annual Report on Form 10-K)	50%
Relative TSR	Total shareholder return over the three year period as compared to a predefined industry index(2)	50%
(1)		

For a summary of certain non-GAAP performance measures, please see Appendix A.

(2)

The predefined industry index is made up of the banks defined below.

Specific targets set for the 2017 three-year plan were based on the Company's condition and strategic plans, including an assessment of economic and competitive conditions. The Compensation Committee anticipates steadily increasing core EPS in setting the cumulative three-year goal. For our relative TSR goal, we use an industry index to represent an objective and external comparator with predefined criteria (exchange-traded banks between \$5.5B and \$25B located in the US), as laid out below.

Long Term Incentive Plan

2017 — 2019 Performance Goals

	Threshold	Target	Stretch
Core EPS 50%	95% of EPS target	100% of EPS target	105% of EPS target
Relative TSR 50%	30th percentile	50th percentile	75th percentile
Payout	50%	100%	150%
64			
BERKSHIRE HILLS	BANCORP, INC. 2	2018 Proxy Statement	

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The component companies of the TSR industry index are defined at the beginning of the performance period to provide consistency for comparison purposes during the entire performance period. For the 2017-2019 performance period, the industry index includes the 74 banks shown below. In order to be counted in the final calculations, a peer company must remain an exchange traded bank at the end of the performance period (i.e. some banks may be acquired and will not be included in the final calculation).

Umpqua Holdings Corporation WesBanco, Inc. Hancock Holding Company Columbia Banking System, Inc. Valley National Bancorp Glacier Bancorp, Inc. Prosperity Bancshares, Inc. Customers Bancorp, Inc. PacWest Bancorp FCB Financial Holdings, Inc. F.N.B. Corporation First Interstate BancSystem, Inc. Texas Capital Bancshares, Inc. South State Corporation **IBERIABANK** Corporation NBT Bancorp Inc. **TCF** Financial Corporation **Renasant Corporation UMB** Financial Corporation Community Bank System, Inc. PrivateBancorp, Inc. First Financial Bancorp. First Hawaiian. Inc. Union Bankshares Corporation Simmons First National Corporation MB Financial, Inc. **Fulton Financial Corporation** LegacyTexas Financial Group, Inc. Bank of the Ozarks, Inc. Heartland Financial USA, Inc. Chemical Financial Corporation CVB Financial Corp. Western Alliance Bancorporation TowneBank Bank of Hawaii Corporation Boston Private Financial Holdings, Inc. Washington Federal, Inc. **Opus Bank** Old National Bancorp Independent Bank Corp. Park National Corporation BancorpSouth, Inc. Cathay General Bancorp **BNC** Bancorp United Bankshares, Inc. First Merchants Corporation Sterling Bancorp **BancFirst Corporation** Hope Bancorp, Inc. S&T Bancorp, Inc. **Trustmark Corporation** Ameris Bancorp Hilltop Holdings Inc. Eagle Bancorp, Inc. First BanCorp. First Financial Bankshares, Inc. International Bancshares Corporation First Commonwealth Financial Corporation Great Western Bancorp, Inc. OFG Bancorp First Midwest Bancorp, Inc. Brookline Bancorp, Inc. Pinnacle Financial Partners, Inc. ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. Banc of California, Inc. HomeStreet, Inc. United Community Banks, Inc. **Tompkins Financial Corporation** Capital Bank Financial Corp. Flushing Financial Corporation Home BancShares, Inc. Independent Bank Group, Inc. **Banner** Corporation Southside Bancshares, Inc.

The Compensation Committee balances performance goals with the Company's strategic growth plans as well as with opportunities to reinvest revenues into infrastructure improvement to sustain long-term growth. Capital management decisions also balance return on equity with capital maintenance to support safety and soundness, as well as to provide resources for growth. Within this framework, the Company's goal is to improve earnings and profitability over the long term, leading to improved performance relative to peers. This should translate into higher relative total shareholder return and equity returns exceeding our cost of capital. Once the Compensation Committee establishes targets, it sets

threshold and stretch goals within this same framework, while considering minimum acceptable performance and avoiding incentivizing excess risk taking for compensation above target.

For 2017, the Compensation Committee granted awards that were, on average, 5% above target, which was down from 23% in the prior year. The individual grants reflect the individual experience and responsibility for key strategic decisions and actions impacting franchise value. All NEOs were awarded 2017 grants 65

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

above target except for Mr. Moses (at target) and Mr. Bacigalupo (below target). This is the first year of participation for Mr. Moses and the award for Mr. Bacigalupo reflects the reorganization and change in responsibilities previously discussed. The grants above target for Messrs. Daly, Marotta and Gray reflect the competitive conditions and expected contributions from these positions in executing on the strategic plan, including the Greater Boston expansion. The grants awarded in January 2017 are summarized below and reported in our "Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table herein. The number of shares granted was determined by taking the total value and dividing by the January 30, 2017 closing stock price of \$35.55. The actual number of performance shares that vest will be determined at the end of the three-year period depending upon Company performance against the three-year goals.

2017 Long-Term Awards Granted

	Target % of Salary	Total Value	Time-Based Shares Value	Performance Shares Value	Grant % of Salary
Daly	75%	\$ 588,033	\$ 293,999	\$ 294,034	84%
Moses	50%	\$ 175,013	\$ 87,489	\$ 87,524	50%
Marotta	50%	\$ 325,034	\$ 162,499	\$ 162,535	65%
Gray	50%	\$ 250,023	\$ 124,994	\$ 125,029	59%
Bacigalupo	50%	\$ 120,017	\$ 60,008	\$ 60,008	59%

2015 to 2017 Long-Term Incentive Plan Award Payout

The 2017 year concludes the performance grant made under the 2015 Long Term Incentive Plan which was established in January 2015 and rewards performance results over the three year period, 2015 to 2017. The final number of shares earned pursuant to those awards are based on the Company's actual results for the three-year period, excluding the impact of the deferred tax asset writedown in December 2017, and approved by the Compensation Committee in March 2018. As shown below, based on the average core return on equity and cumulative core earnings per share results for the three-year period, the performance shares earned equated to 109.11% of the target award established in January 2015.

	Long Term Incentive Plan 2015 — 2017 Performance and Payout			
	Threshold	Target	Stretch	2015 — 2017 Result
Core EPS 50%(1)	\$5.67	\$6.30	\$6.93	\$6.58
Average Core ROE(1) 50%	7.24%	7.67%	8.31%	7.66%
Payout	50%	100%	150%	109.11%

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence and company data.

For a summary of certain non-GAAP performance measures, please see Appendix A.

The framework for establishing specific goals for the 2015 long-term incentive grant was similar to previous years. The goals for the long-term incentive granted in January 2015 were based on the Company's business situation and plan at the end of 2014. The goals motivated improved performance based on absolute and relative considerations.

The core EPS target anticipated core EPS growth averaging 7-8% per year from the 2014 base period.

•

The core ROE target anticipated that the comparative annual core ROE would improve at a double-digit rate by the final year compared to the 2014 base period, which was expected to improve the Company's relative profitability.

⁽¹⁾

During each of the three years during this period, management met or exceeded the aggregate annual performance targets, which contributed to overall three-year performance

66 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 Proxy Statement

•

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Actual performance modestly exceeded targeted performance on the Core EPS measure and was slightly below target on the Core ROE measure, and results for both measures were impacted by share issuances during the three year period. Each component was weighted at 109.11% and the eligible NEOs each earned 112% of their individual grants made in 2015.

The actual shares which were vested under the 2015 three-year performance incentive were as follows: 2015 Performance Shares Vesting

Participants	Grant Date	Share Grant(1)	Cliff Vesting — 3-year results
Daly	1/30/2015	13,655	14,899
Marotta	1/30/2015	6,025	6,573
Gray	1/30/2015	5,021	5,478
Bacigalupo	1/30/2015	4,519	4,930
(1)			

(1)

Fractional shares are rounded to next whole share.

Benefits and Perquisites

Our benefits program is designed to be competitive and cost-effective. All employees are provided core benefits including medical, retirement, life insurance, paid time off and leaves of absence. The Company provides select senior executives with perquisites and other benefits that the Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation philosophy. The Compensation Committee reviews the NEO's total benefits package on a regular basis to determine the competitiveness and appropriateness of providing executive benefits. Two of our NEOs participate in a supplemental retirement arrangement. These arrangements were put in place at the time the executives were appointed to their current positions and were seen as a key element of our executive total compensation program. We do not currently offer our other NEOs or any other executive a supplemental retirement arrangement or other non-qualified deferred compensation program. For additional information regarding the supplemental retirement arrangements, please see the sections headed "Executive Compensation — Pension Benefit" and "Executive Compensation — Non-qualified Deferred Compensation."

Named Executive Officers are also eligible for modest perquisites such as automobile allowance, financial planning and membership fees. The Company also maintains a long term care insurance plan to supplement the Company's disability and life insurance plans, and NEO participation in the plan is currently limited to three NEOs including Messrs. Daly, Gray and Marotta, and their spouses.

Potential Post Termination or Change in Control Benefits

An important consideration in our ability to attract and retain key personnel is our ability to minimize the impact on our management team of the possible disruption associated with our analysis of strategic opportunities. Accordingly, we believe that it is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to provide our NEOs with reasonable financial arrangements in the event of termination of employment following a change in control or involuntary termination of employment for reasons other than cause. The Company has entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Daly and change in control agreements with the other NEOs. The Company does not have any employment agreements with any other NEO or employee. These arrangements are designed to ensure that the NEOs devote their full energy and attention to the best long term interests of the shareholders.

67

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Beginning in 2009, the Company determined that it would no longer enter into an employment or change in control agreement that provides for a tax indemnification payment in the event that the payment under the agreement results in additional tax liability under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code (a "Tax Indemnification Payment"). Consequently, the change in control agreements entered into with Mr. Marotta (in 2016), Mr. Bacigalupo (in 2013), and Mr. Moses (2016) do not provide for a Tax Indemnification Payment. However, before the Company changed its policy with respect to Tax Indemnification Payments in 2009, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Daly and a change in control agreement with Mr. Gray, which provide for a potential Tax Indemnification Payment. For additional details, please see section titled "Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or a Change-In-Control" of this proxy statement.

Additional Compensation Information

Compensation Best Practices

Our compensation programs, practices and policies are designed to support our philosophy to reward NEOs commensurate with performance achieved and the value delivered to our shareholders. We also balance our programs to ensure sound risk management practices. Below is a summary of key features of our compensation and governance practices:

Program Features	Risk Mitigation	Pay for Performance
Balanced portfolio of performance measures with short and long-term perspectives that reinforce our business strategy	Compensation consultant is independent and does not provide any other services to the company	Approximately 57% of CEO's current target annual direct compensation and 47% of other NEOs' target annual direct compensation is variable based on performance, including stock price
Goal setting aligned with	Comprehensive risk mitigation in plan design and annual review of compensation plans, policies and	performance
annual and multi-year financial targets	practices	Annual incentives are not guaranteed
50% of equity/long-term incentives vest based on future performance	Significant stock ownership guidelines for directors and executives; compliance with guidelines reviewed annually	Compensation peer groups evaluated periodically to align with investor expectations and changes in market practice or our business model
Annual and long-term incentive plans have targets and caps for individual payouts	Executives and directors discouraged from pledging company securities	No payment of dividends on restricted stock until vested
Executive perquisites are nominal and reasonable	All employees and directors are prohibited from engaging in hedging, monetization, derivative and similar transactions with company securities	NEO compensation components are decided through goal-based cash incentive and equity-based compensation that align our executives' interests with shareholder's interests
Double trigger provision for payments in the event of a change in control	Clawback policy for recoupment of any performance-based incentive payouts for a restatement of earnings or for misconduct	

All CIC agreements post 2009 do not allow for tax gross ups

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Risk Assessment and Related Considerations

As in prior years, for 2017, a committee comprised of the President, Chief Risk Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Executive Vice President of Human Resources of Berkshire Bank performed an annual risk assessment of the Company's incentive compensation plans (the short-term and long-term incentive plans) for all employee levels within the Company. The objective of the review was to determine if the incentive compensation plans, at all employee levels, encouraged behaviors that exposed the Company to unacceptable levels of risk in relation to its business model and strategic plan. The review evaluated the balance of compensation elements between cash, performance shares, restricted stock grants, fixed versus variable compensation, and long-term versus short-term compensation. The review considered the level of potential cash incentive compensation as compared to base salary, the focus of individual and corporate goals, as well as the weighting and balance of goals, and internal controls in place to mitigate possible high risk taking. Based upon the risk assessment review, the Compensation Committee concluded that the incentive compensation plans do not motivate improper risk taking, and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

During 2017 the Compensation Committee continued to reinforce our risk-based approach to total compensation in various ways, such as incenting on overall profitability, retaining the risk-based performance measure Asset Quality in our annual incentive plan and providing a risk adjustment feature that allows the Compensation Committee to reduce incentive awards in light of any risk concerns. An annual review of compensation plans is conducted to support sound risk management practices. Additionally, management maintains a clawback policy, as approved by the Compensation Committee, under which the Company may recover payments of incentive compensation attributable to incorrectly reported earnings.

The Compensation Committee remains committed to continuing to review and improve compensation plans and ensure they represent sound risk management practices.

Role of the Compensation Committee, Management and Compensation Consultant

Role of the Compensation Committee. During 2017, the Compensation Committee consisted of four members of the Board, all of whom are independent. The Chairperson of the Compensation Committee regularly reports on material committee actions at Board meetings.

The Compensation Committee ensures that the total compensation paid to the senior executives is fair, reasonable and performance-based while aligning with shareholder interests. The Compensation Committee is responsible for establishing, implementing and continually monitoring all elements of compensation for the Company's CEO and NEOs. Elements of compensation are reviewed individually and in the aggregate, including base salary, annual cash incentives, long-term incentives/equity awards, total direct compensation, and benefits and perquisites. Additionally, the Compensation Committee annually reviews its charter, philosophy and executive compensation practices, as well as industry compensation trends and best practices.

The Compensation Committee has the sole authority and resources to obtain advice and assistance from internal or external legal, human resource, accounting, compensation or other advisors or consultants as it deems desirable or appropriate. The Compensation Committee has direct access to and meets periodically with the compensation consultant independently of management.

The Compensation Committee's major duties and responsibilities are as follows:

•

Review and benchmarking of overall compensation, benefit and perquisites

Review all compensation components for CEO and each NEO

•

Evaluate CEO and other NEOs' individual performance

•

Ensure executive overall pay is aligned with corporate performance results

Review, evaluate and modify as needed, executive compensation plans

•

•

Ensure executives are not encouraged or rewarded for taking excessive risk

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

٠

Approve annual cash incentive payments, annual equity grants, and vesting of performance shares for the CEO and other NEOs in accordance with the terms of the Executive Short-Term and Executive Long-Term Incentive Plans

•

Provide oversight to ensure compliance with all regulations related to executive compensation

Approve the annual Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Role of Management. Although the Compensation Committee makes independent determinations on all matters related to compensation of the NEOs, certain members of management may be requested to attend or provide input to the Compensation Committee. Input may be sought from the CEO, President, Senior Executive Vice President of Human Resources, Chief Financial Officer, or others to ensure the Committee has the information and perspective it needs to carry out its duties.

In particular, the Compensation Committee seeks input from the Chief Executive Officer on matters relating to strategic objectives, Company performance goals and annual business plan. In addition, the CEO provides the Compensation Committee summaries of senior executive officer performance and recommendations relating to their compensation. The CEO is not present when the Compensation Committee discusses his performance and compensation.

The Senior Executive Vice President of Human Resources assists the Compensation Committee on matters of design, administration and operation of the Company's compensation programs. The Senior Executive Vice President of Human Resources may be requested, on the Compensation Committee's behalf, to provide proposals or work with their independent compensation consultant to develop proposals for the Committee's consideration. The Senior Executive Vice President of Human Resources reports to the Compensation Committee directly on such matters. The Compensation Committee also receives updates from the Company's Chief Risk Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Investor Relations Officer throughout the year as appropriate.

Although the senior executives may provide insight, suggestions or recommendations regarding senior executive compensation, they are not present during the Compensation Committee's deliberations or vote. Only Compensation Committee members vote on decisions regarding NEO compensation. The Compensation Committee regularly meets in executive session without management present.

Role of the Compensation Consultant. The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain a compensation consultant to advise on executive compensation matters, as well as access to outside legal counsel and other experts as needed. For 2017, the Compensation Committee engaged Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC ("Meridian" or "the Consultant") to serve as independent advisor to the Compensation Committee. During 2017, Meridian presented an annual education session to the Compensation Committee, conducted executive and board benchmarking analyses, assisted with the development of the peer group and the proxy, and responded to other ad hoc requests of the Compensation Committee.

The Consultant reported directly to the Compensation Committee and carried out its responsibilities to the Compensation Committee in coordination with the Company's Human Resources Department, as requested by the Compensation Committee. The Committee Chair has regular contact with the Consultant outside of meetings as appropriate. The Compensation Committee has reviewed Meridian's services and determined that Meridian is independent with respect to SEC standards, as well as Company policy, and provides no other services to the company other than compensation consulting.

70

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Other Compensation and Governance Policies and Practices

Stock Ownership and Holding Guidelines. The Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to align the financial interests of Company's senior executives, executives and directors with those of shareholders. The Company maintains Stock Ownership Guidelines for its SEC-reporting senior executives and directors and all other executives, which requires the following minimum investment in Company common stock:

Directors	Four times (4x) the annual cash retainer
Chief Executive Officer	Four and a half times $(4.5x)$ the annual base salary
President and COO	Three and a half times (3.5) the annual base salary
Senior Executives	Two and a half times $(2.5x)$ the annual base salary
Executives	One and a half times $(1.5x)$ the annual base salary

Shares that satisfy the stock ownership guidelines include Company stock owned outright and restricted stock whether or not vested. Stock options are not included in calculating ownership until they are converted into actual shares owned.

Newly hired senior executives, executives, directors and current employees of the Company that first become a senior executive, executive, or director are expected to satisfy the stock ownership guidelines within five years, or such other term approved by the Committee, of the date such individual first becomes a senior executive, executive or director. In order to expedite this, a minimum of 50% of shares (net of taxes) will be required to be held upon each vesting until ownership guidelines are met.

Senior executives, executives and directors that maintain sufficient stock holdings, but due to an increase in base salary, annual cash retainer, selling Company stock to cover tax withholding or for a reason approved by the Compensation Committee, no longer meet the stock ownership guidelines, shall have 18 months to acquire additional Company stock and during this term such individuals will be deemed to satisfy the ownership guidelines.

Stock ownership for senior executives, executives and directors is reviewed annually as part of the annual senior executive performance evaluation process and as part of the Board review. Share holdings are evaluated based on the average stock price for the three-year period prior to the Board's review. These guidelines will allow for extenuating circumstances and discretion in the evaluation process and the Compensation Committee reserves the right to make exceptions as appropriate. The Compensation Committee shall be responsible for the periodic review of the policy. Any changes to the policy will require the approval of the Board of Directors.

The Compensation Committee monitors ownership annually. Our Chief Executive Officer, other NEOs and directors comply with the Company's stock ownership policy within the approved grace period to satisfy the stock ownership and holding guidelines, other than Director Moffatt, who is in the process of increasing her stock ownership to comply with the Company's stock ownership policy.

Clawback Policy. As a condition to receiving incentive compensation from the Company and Berkshire Bank, each executive officer has signed an agreement whereby the executive officer agrees to reimburse the Company or Berkshire Bank an amount up to the entire incentive award made to such executive officer on the basis of having met or exceeded specific targets for performance periods if (1) the Company or Berkshire Bank is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to the material noncompliance of the Company with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws as a result of Financial Misconduct (as determined by the members of the Board of Directors who are considered "independent" for purposes of the listing standards of the NYSE), or as may be required by applicable laws, regulations, NYSE listing standards or as further required under the Company's policies, as adopted from time to time, or (2) the Company's Board of Directors determines that the executive officer committed Personal Misconduct (as defined below). For purposes of this policy, (i) the term "incentive awards" means awards under the Company's long term and short term incentive compensation plans, the amount of which is determined in whole or in part upon specific performance targets relating to the financial results of the 71

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Company; (ii) the term executive officer means the CEO and executives who are eligible to receive incentive awards; and (iii) the term Personal Misconduct means fraud, commission of a felony, material violation of any written agreement with or policies of the Company or Berkshire Bank, or any other material breach of fiduciary duty injurious to the Company or Berkshire Bank.

Anti-Hedging and Pledging Restriction Policy. The Company discourages the practices of hedging and/or pledging of Company common stock by officers and directors, and has policies relating to such practices. Pursuant to the Company's insider trading policy and stock ownership guidelines, officers and directors of the Company are prohibited from engaging in any hedging transactions (which include short sale transactions, purchases of Company common stock on margin, and buying or selling any puts, calls or other options that have the effect of reducing the economic exposure to the shares of common stock). In addition, officers and directors are discouraged from pledging company securities as collateral for margin purchases or a loan. However, exceptions to this pledging limitation may be granted, if good cause is shown.

Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation. Under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, companies are subject to limits on the deductibility of executive compensation. Deductible compensation is limited to \$1.0 million per year for each executive officer listed in the summary compensation table, with the exception of the executive listed as a result of serving as the principal financial officer. Compensation that is "qualified performance-based compensation" under the Internal Revenue Code's definition is exempt from this limit.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "Act"), enacted on December 22, 2017, significantly modified Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Act eliminated the "qualified performance-based compensation" exception to the deductibility limitation under Section 162(m) for tax years commencing after December 31, 2017. The Act provides "grandfathered" treatment for qualified performance-based compensation in excess of \$1.0 million that meets the requirements of Section 162(m), is payable pursuant a written binding contract in effect as of November 2, 2017, and is not modified in any material respect. In addition, the Act expands the definition of "covered employee" to include the principal financial officer as well as any employee who has been designated a covered employee for any fiscal year beginning after December 31, 2016.

Our short-term cash incentive payments and restricted stock grants subject to performance vesting awarded during the year ended December 31, 2017 (and in prior years) are expected to continue to qualify as performance-based compensation that is exempt from the deductibility limitation under Section 162(m). A number of requirements must be met for particular compensation to qualify for tax deductibility, so there can be no assurance that the incentive compensation awarded will be fully deductible in all circumstances.

The Compensation Committee will consider the impact of the Act on the design of the Company's executive compensation programs going forward. However, in structuring compensation programs and making compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee considers a variety of factors, including the Company's tax position, the materiality of the payments and tax deductions involved, and the objectives of the executive compensation programs and our compensation philosophy. After considering these factors, the Compensation Committee may decide to authorize payments, all or part of which would be nondeductible for federal tax purposes.

72

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Committee Report The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis that is required by the rules established by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement. Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. John B. Davies, Chair Cornelius D. Mahoney William J. Ryan D. Jeffrey Templeton 73 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 Proxy Statement

Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Tables

The following table provides the total compensation earned by or paid to, for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, the Named Executive Officers.

						Change in Pension		
Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)	Incentive	Value and Nonqualified	All Other Compensation (\$)(4)	Tota on (\$)
2017	700,000	—	588,033	—	724,500	1,421,329	115,989	3,5
2016	700,000	—	650,024	—	460,000	622,627	76,119	2,5
2015	667,692		680,019	—	600,000	257,902	82,513	2,2
2017	350,000	_	175,013	_	241,500	_	22,059	78
2016	148,077	100,000		_	65,500	_	7,167	32
2017	500,000	—	325,034		400,000		206,370	1,4
	-	—	325,026		-		169,161	1,2
2015	442,308	—	300,020		300,000		72,678	1,1
	425,000	—	250,023		300,000		79,375	1,0
2016	425,000		250,020	—	225,000	—	67,305	96
2015	402,884	—	250,021		260,000	—	73,096	98
2017	350,000	—	120,017		120,000		43,755	63
2016	350,000	—	175,014		120,000		58,028	70
2015	337,500	—	725,038	_	200,000	_	44,874	1,3
	2017 2016 2015 2017 2016 2017 2016 2015 2017 2016 2015 2017 2016	Year (\$) 2017 700,000 2016 700,000 2015 667,692 2017 350,000 2016 148,077 2016 148,077 2017 500,000 2015 442,308 2017 425,000 2015 402,884 2017 350,000 2015 402,884 2017 350,000 2016 350,000	Year(\$)(\$) 2017 $700,000$ 2016 $700,000$ 2016 $700,000$ 2015 $667,692$ 2017 $350,000$ 2016 $148,077$ $100,000$ 2016 $500,000$ 2015 $442,308$ 2016 $500,000$ 2016 $425,000$ 2016 $402,884$ 2015 $402,884$ 2016 $350,000$ 2016 $350,000$	YearSalary (\$)Bonus (\$)Awards (\$)(2)2017700,000—588,033 650,0242016700,000—650,0242015667,692—680,019 175,0132017350,000—175,0132016148,077100,000—201560,000—325,034 300,0202015442,308—300,020 250,0232016425,000—250,023 250,0202015402,884—250,021 250,0202017350,000—120,017 20162017350,000—120,017 20,014	YearSalary (\$)Bonus (\$)Awards (\$)(2)Awards (\$)(2)2017700,000- $588,033$ -2016700,000- $650,024$ -2015 $667,692$ - $680,019$ -2017 $350,000$ - $175,013$ -2016 $148,077$ $100,000$ 2016 $500,000$ - $325,034$ -2016 $500,000$ - $325,026$ -2015 $442,308$ - $300,020$ -2016 $425,000$ - $250,023$ -2016 $425,000$ - $250,021$ -2015 $402,884$ - $250,021$ -2016 $350,000$ - $120,017$ -2016 $350,000$ - $175,014$ -	YearSalary (\$)Bonus (\$)Awards (\$)(2)Awards (\$)Awards (\$)Plan Compensation (\$)2017700,000588,033724,5002016700,000650,024460,0002015667,692680,019600,0002017350,000175,013241,5002016148,077100,00065,5002016500,000325,026275,0002015442,308300,020300,0002016425,000250,023300,0002016402,884250,021260,0002017350,000120,017120,0002016350,000175,014120,000	YearSalary (\$)Bonus (\$)Stock Awards (\$)(2)Option Awards (\$)Non-Equity 	Year Salary (\$) Bonus (\$) Stock Awards (\$)(2) Option Awards (\$) Non-Equity Plan Compensation (\$) Pension Value and Compensation (\$) All Other Compensation (\$) 2017 700,000 - 588,033 - 724,500 1,421,329 115,989 2016 700,000 - 650,024 - 460,000 622,627 76,119 2015 667,692 - 680,019 - 600,000 257,902 82,513 2017 350,000 - 175,013 - 241,500 - 20,6370 2016 148,077 100,000 - - 400,000 - 20,6370 2016 148,077 100,000 - - 400,000 - 20,6370 2016 148,077 100,000 - 325,026 275,000 - 72,678 2017 500,000 - 250,023 300,000 - 79,375 2016 425,000 - 250,021 - 260,000

President, Commercial Banking (1)

The principal positions listed above represent the titles of each of the Named Executive Officers at Berkshire Bank, the wholly owned subsidiary of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. The principal position of each of the Named Executive Officers at Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. is as follows: Mr. Daly is President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Moses is Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Gray is Senior Executive Vice President, Mr. Marotta is Senior Executive Vice President, and Mr. Bacigalupo is Executive Vice President.

(2)

Represents an award under the Company's performance-based, long-term incentive compensation program. In accordance with the stock based accounting rules under FASB ASC Topic 718, the reported amount represents the full grant date value of each award. Since all awards vest after the year in which they are granted, none of the Named Executive Officers recognized any income from the awards in the year they were made. Amounts shown are the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awards, with the grant date fair value based on the closing price of our common stock on the applicable grant date. For those restricted stock awards that are subject to performance conditions, the grant date fair values are based on the outcome of such conditions at target level. Total values for stock awards reported in this table may not match other tables due to rounding. See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2017. For each year shown in the above table, the amounts in the Stock Awards column are determined by multiplying the number of restricted stock awards granted on a particular date by the Company's stock price on the same grant date, and a breakdown for each individual is as follows:

Number of Restricted Stock Awards Granted

Grant Date	Stock Price	Michael P. Daly	James M. Moses	Richard M. Marotta	Sean A. Gray	George F. Bacigalupo
January 30, 2017	\$ 35.55	16,541	4,923	9,143	7,033	3,376
January 29, 2016	\$ 27.78	23,399		11,700	9,000	6,300
January 30, 2015	\$ 24.90	27,310		12,049	10,041	9,037
October 01, 2015	\$ 27.54					18,156
74						

Executive Compensation

(3)

Reflects the actuarial change in pension value from December 31 of the prior year to December 31 of the reported year under a supplemental executive retirement plan. The NEO has not received any compensation under the plan. The NEO will be generally entitled to the full benefit if he separates from service on or after attaining age 62. If he separates from service prior to age 62, he will generally receive a reduced benefit. See "Executive Compensation — Pension Benefits" for additional information concerning the plan.

(4)

Details of the amounts reported in the "All Other Compensation" column for 2017 are provided in the following table:

Name	401(k) Employer Contributio	Dividends on Restricted Stock	Automobi	le Financial Planning	Members Fees	Long Term Care Premiums shippnd Imputed Income on Life Insurance	Long-Term Disability*	I II ner~~	Total
M. Daly	10,800	38,174	3,386	15,000	1,680	14,104	12,170	20,675	115,989
J. Moses	—		12,000		7,305	—	2,754		22,059
R. Marotta	10,800	24,137	12,000	2,013	—	27,743	4,030	125,647	206,370
S. Gray	10,800	21,729	12,000	—	5,500	26,762	2,584		79,375
G. Bacigalupo	10,231	11,612	12,000	475	5,000	_	4,437	_	43,755

*

Mr. Daly's Long-Term Disability represents \$2,628 for long-term disability insurance, \$5,439 for supplemental disability insurance, and \$4,103 as a tax gross-up payment on these amounts.

**

The Company credited Mr. Marotta's Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement account balance with \$100,000 pursuant to the terms of the agreement, and this column also includes reimbursed relocation expenses associated with the Company's headquarters relocation to Boston, Massachusetts.

Executive Compensation

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table provides information concerning the award opportunities granted to the Company's NEOs in 2017, and the amounts, if any, that may be paid in future years.

		Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards:	Grant D Fair Val
Name Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	l Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	of Stock and Option Awards (\$)	
Michael P. Daly	1/30/2017	210,000	420,000	840,000	_		_	_	
2	1/30/2017				_		_	8,270	293,99
	1/30/2017	_	_	_	4,136	8,271	12,407		294,03
James M. Moses	1/30/2017	70,000	140,000	280,000	_		_	_	_
	1/30/2017	_		_	_		_	2,461	87,489
	1/30/2017	—	—	—	1,231	2,462	3,693		87,524
Richard M. Marotta	1/30/2017	112,500	225,000	450,000	—	—	—	—	—
	1/30/2017	—	—	—	—			4,571	162,49
	1/30/2017	—	—	—	2,286	4,572	6,858		162,53
Sean A. Gray	1/30/2017	85,000	170,000	340,000		—		_	
	1/30/2017	—		—	—		—	3,516	124,9
	1/30/2017	—		—	1,759	3,517	5,276		125,02
George F.	1/30/2017	70,000	140,000	280,000	_		—		
Bacigalupo	1/30/2017	—		—	—		—	1,688	60,00
	1/30/2017	—	—	—	844	1,688	2,532		60,00
(1)									

(1)

Amount represents awards granted for future payment to the Named Executive Officers under the Short-Term Incentive Plan (STI). Company performance below threshold and/or individual performance may result in no award payable to the Named Executive Officer. Please see the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Short Term Incentive Compensation" for a discussion of the STI.

(2)

Amount shown reflects the number of restricted stock awards, subject to performance-based vesting, that may be earned under the Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTI). Performance below threshold may result in no award payable to the Named Executive Officer. Please see the section titled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Long-term/Incentive Plan" for a discussion of the LTI.

(3)

Amount represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards subject to time-based vesting and granted for future payment to the Named Executive Officers under the LTI.

(4)

Amount represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards subject to performance-based vesting and grated under the LTI for future payment to the Named Executive Officer based on Company performance at the target level. The amount shown has been computed in accordance with the stock based accounting rules under FASB ASC Topic 718. The Company did not grant any stock options to NEOs.

Employment and Change in Control Agreements

The Company and the Bank entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Daly in 2008, with a term of three years. The three-year term extends daily unless the Board of Directors or Mr. Daly gives the other party written notice of non-renewal. The employment agreement provides for a base salary which is reviewed at least annually. Mr. Daly's base salary in 2017 was \$700,000. In addition to the base salary, the employment agreement provides for, among other things, participation in stock and employee benefit plans and fringe benefits applicable to executive personnel. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" for a discussion of the benefits and payments Mr. Daly may receive upon termination of his employment.

The Company and the Bank entered into change in control agreements with Messrs. Moses, Marotta, Gray and Bacigalupo. Each change in control agreement has a term of three years and is renewable annually for an additional year at the sole discretion of the Boards of Directors of the Company and the Bank. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" for a discussion of the benefits and payments under these agreements. 76

Executive Compensation

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2017

The following table provides information concerning unvested stock awards for each Named Executive Officer as of December 31, 2017. The NEOs do not hold any stock options.

	Option Award	S			Stock Awards	
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(6)
Michael P. Daly			—	—	54,246(1)	1,985,404
James M. Moses			_	_	4,923(2)	180,182
Richard M. Marotta			_	_	26,926(3)	985,492
Sean A. Gray			_		21,227(4)	776,908
George F. Bacigalupo			_		29,175(5)	1,067,805
(1)						

⁽¹⁾

This number represents restricted stock awards subject to time-based and performance-based vesting requirements. The shares of restricted stock subject to time-based vesting become vested at the annual rate of 33.3% of the total original grant amount, with 11,208 shares vesting on January 30, 2018, 6,656 shares vesting on January 30, 2019, and 2,756 shares vesting on January 30, 2020. The shares of performance-based restricted stock vest upon the achievement of performance goals, as measured over a three-year period, and the satisfaction of a three-year service requirement, with, assuming performance conditions are satisfied at the target level, 13,655 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019 and 8,271 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2020.

(2)

This number represents restricted stock awards subject to time-based and performance-based vesting requirements. The shares of restricted stock subject to time-based vesting become vested at the annual rate of 33.3% of the total original grant amount, with 820 shares vesting on January 30, 2018, 820 shares vesting on January 30, 2019, and 821 shares vesting on January 30, 2020. The shares of performance-based restricted stock vest upon the achievement of performance goals, as measured over a three-year period, and the satisfaction of a three-year service requirement, with 2,462 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2020, assuming performance conditions are satisfied at the target level

(3)

This number represents restricted stock awards subject to time-based and performance-based vesting requirements. The shares of restricted stock subject to time-based vesting become vested at the annual rate of 33.3% of the total original grant amount, with 5,482 shares vesting on January 30, 2018, 3,474 shares vesting on January 30, 2019, and 1,523 shares vesting on January 30, 2020. The shares of performance-based restricted stock vest upon the achievement of performance goals, as measured over a three-year period, and the satisfaction of a three-year service requirement, with 6,025 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019 and 4,572 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019 and 4,572 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019 and 4,572 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019 and 4,572 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2020, assuming performance conditions are satisfied at the target level.

(4)

This number represents restricted stock awards subject to time-based and performance-based vesting requirements. The shares of restricted stock subject to time-based vesting become vested at the annual rate of 33.3% of the total original grant amount, with 4,345 shares vesting on January 30, 2018, 2,672 shares vesting on January 30, 2019, and 1,172 shares vesting on January 30, 2020. The shares of performance-based restricted stock vest upon the achievement of performance goals, as measured over a three-year period, and the satisfaction of a three-year service requirement, with 5,021 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2018, 4,500 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019 and 3,517 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2020, assuming performance conditions are satisfied at the target level.

(5)

This number represents restricted stock awards subject to time-based and performance-based vesting requirements. The shares of stock granted on October 1, 2015 vest over a five-year period, with 1,816 shares to vest October 1, 2018, 1,815 shares vesting on October 1, 2019 and 10,893 shares vesting on October 1, 2020. The shares of stock granted on January 30, 2015, January 30, 2016 and January 30, 2017 vest evenly over a three-year period, with 3,119 shares to vest on January 30, 2018, 1,613 shares vesting on January 30, 2019, and 562 shares vesting on January 30, 2020. The shares of performance-based restricted stock vest upon the achievement of performance goals, as measured over a three-year period, and the satisfaction of a three-year service requirement, with 4,519 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019, and 1,688 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019, and 1,688 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2019, and 1,688 shares vesting on the date of the first Compensation Committee meeting following January 30, 2020, assuming performance conditions are satisfied at the target level.

(6)

Computed using the fair market value of the shares based on the Company's closing stock price of \$36.60 on December 29, 2017.

Executive Compensation

Option Exercises and Stock Vesting

The following table provides information concerning the vesting of restricted stock awards for each Named Executive Officer, on an aggregate basis, during 2017. The NEOs do not hold any stock options.

00 0			a 1 1	
	Option Awards		Stock Awa	irds
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
Michael P. Daly	—	—	20,656	733,415
James M. Moses	—	—		—
Richard M. Marotta	—	—	12,456	442,428
Sean A. Gray			11,004	390,809
George F. Bacigalupo		—	6,186	225,724
(1)				

(1)

Represents the aggregate value realized in 2017 upon the vesting of restricted stock awarded in prior years under the Company's long-term incentive plan and based on the value of the Company's stock on the applicable vesting dates for each award. The value realized by the NEO upon vesting is also the amount reported as 2017 taxable income.

Pension Benefits

The following table provides the present value of accumulated benefits payable to Mr. Daly and includes the number of years of service credited to him under the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.

		Number	Present Value
		of	of
Name	Plan Name	Years	Accumulated
		Credit	Benefit
		Service	(\$)
Michael P. Daly	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	32	4,787,471(1)

⁽¹⁾

The present value of accumulated benefit assumes (i) normal retirement (age 62), (ii) the election of a lump sum payment, (iii) a 2.85% discount rate, and (iv) the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Reserve Table for post-retirement mortality.

The Bank maintains a supplemental retirement arrangement with Mr. Daly which provides for an annual retirement benefit following separation from service (other than for cause) on or after attaining age 62. The normal retirement benefit equals 46.6% of Mr. Daly's average total salary and bonus paid during any three consecutive completed calendar years preceding termination of employment that produces the highest annual benefit. This amount may be paid annually, or, at Mr. Daly's election, the present value of the annual payments may be paid in a lump sum. If Mr. Daly separates from service on or after age 55 for reasons other than death, disability or following a change in control, he would receive an early retirement benefit based on the annual retirement benefit described above, reduced by 5% for each year by which his age at termination is less than age 62.

Non-qualified Deferred Compensation

The following table provides information for the nonqualified deferred compensation plan in which Richard M. Marotta participated in 2017.

Name	Plan Name	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(1)	Aggregate Earnings in 2017 (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$)(2)
Richard M. Marotta	Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement	\$ 100,000	_	\$ 200,000

(1)

Contributions included in the "Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year" column are included as compensation for the Named Executive Officer in the Summary Compensation Table.

(2)

Amounts included in the "Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End" have been reported as compensation for the Named Executive Officer in the Summary Compensation Table.

Executive Compensation

In 2016, Berkshire Bank entered into a supplemental executive retirement agreement with Mr. Marotta. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Marotta is entitled to the value of the vested account balance upon his termination of employment or death. Berkshire Bank credited the account balance with \$100,000 as of July, 1, 2016, and July 1, 2017, and Berkshire Bank will make an additional contribution of \$100,000 on each subsequent July 1st through July 1, 2025, provided that Mr. Marotta is employed with the Berkshire Bank on the date of such contribution. Berkshire Bank will make a final contribution as of July 1, 2025 for a total potential contribution of \$1.0 million. The account balance is subject to a four-year vesting schedule, with 25% of the account balance vesting on each July 1st, commencing on July 1, 2017, subject to full vesting in the event of death, disability or a termination of employment within two years following a change in control. Upon a termination of employment or death, the account balance will be paid in a lump sum payment to Mr. Marotta or his beneficiary, as applicable. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" for a discussion of the payments under this agreement.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control

The following tables show estimated payments that would be made to the NEOs upon specified events, assuming such events occurred on December 31, 2017, pursuant to each executive's employment or change in control agreement, equity awards, and other benefit plans or arrangements under the various circumstances presented. The amounts shown do not include the executive's vested account balance in the Bank's 401(k) Plan, non-qualified deferred compensation plans and the value of continued long-term care insurance, if applicable. The amounts shown relating to unvested restricted stock awards are based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock on December 31, 2017, which was \$36.60. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such executive's separation from service with the Company.

The following table provides the estimated amount of compensation payable to Mr. Daly for each of the termination events listed below.

	Termination For Cause (\$)(2)	Termination Without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)(3)	Payments Due Upon Change in Control With Termination of Employment (\$)(4)	Disability (\$)(5)	Death (\$)(6)
Cash severance		4,386,810	4,508,062		350,000
In-kind benefits		37,728	273,657	48,528	5,826
Restricted stock vesting		1,985,404	1,985,404	1,985,404	1,985,404
SERP			2,831,711	2,831,711	2,831,711
Tax indemnity(1)	—	—	3,056,546		—
(1)					

(1)

Beginning in 2009, the Company determined that it would no longer enter into employment or change in control agreements that provide for a gross-up for any taxes as a result of "excess parachute payments" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("Code"). As discussed in more detail in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis, Mr. Daly's employment agreement was entered into before the Company adopted this position.

(2)

If Mr. Daly is terminated for cause (as defined under his employment agreement), he will receive his base salary, through the date of termination and retain the rights to any vested benefits subject to the terms of the plan or agreement under which those benefits are provided.

(3)

If the Company or the Bank chooses to terminate Mr. Daly's employment for reasons other than for cause, or if he resigns from the Company or the Bank under specified circumstances that would constitute constructive termination, Mr. Daly (or, upon his death, his beneficiary) would be entitled to receive an amount equal to the remaining base salary and incentive compensation payments, including amounts related to stock-based compensation, due for the remaining term of the employment agreement and the contributions that would have been made on his behalf to any employee benefit plans of the Company and the Bank during the remaining term of the employment agreement. The Company and the Bank would also continue and/or pay for life, medical, health, dental and disability coverage for Mr. Daly and his covered dependents until the earliest of his death, employment with another employer or the end of the remaining term of Mr. Daly's employment agreement, with Mr. Daly responsible for the employee share of premiums. Upon termination of Mr. Daly's employment under these circumstances, Mr. Daly must adhere to a one-year non-competition, as well as a non-disclosure restriction.

Executive Compensation

Under Mr. Daly's supplemental retirement arrangement with the Bank, if Mr. Daly separates from service for good reason or if the Bank terminates Mr. Daly for a reason other than cause, he will receive his vested benefit at the time of separation from service in a lump sum. The agreement provides that benefit payments will commence not later than sixty days following the date of separation from service; provided, however, that if Mr. Daly is a "specified employee" (as defined in Section 409A of the Code), the benefit will not commence until six months after his separation from service. The above table does not include amounts that are vested as of December 31, 2017 since the present value of the vested benefit as of December 31, 2017 is set forth in the table presented above under "— Pension Benefits." (4)

Under Mr. Daly's employment agreement, if voluntary termination (upon circumstances discussed in the agreement) or involuntary termination follows a change in control of the Company or the Bank, Mr. Daly (or, upon his death, his beneficiary) would be entitled to a severance payment equal to the greater of: (1) the payments and benefits due for the remaining term of the agreement; or (2) three times the average of his annual compensation (as described in the agreement) for the five preceding taxable years. In addition, for a period of 36 months following a change in control, Mr. Daly (and his dependents (if any)) would be entitled to continued life, non-taxable medical and disability coverage substantially identical to the coverage received before the change in control. Mr. Daly's change in control benefits also include the use of any club membership or automobile or other perquisite that was in place at the time of the change in control through the remaining term of the agreement and will be entitled to purchase the perquisite at the end of the term. Mr. Daly's employment agreement also provides that upon his termination of employment following a change in control, Mr. Daly will be entitled to the employer contributions he would have received under the 401(k) plan had he continued his employment for the remaining term of his agreement. Mr. Daly would also be entitled to receive a tax indemnification payment from the Company if payments under the employment agreement trigger liability under Section 280G of the Code for the excise tax applicable to "excess parachute payments." Under applicable law, the excise tax is triggered by change in control-related payments that equal or exceed a "base" amount that is three times the executive's average taxable income over the five years preceding the change in control ("280G Limit"). The excise tax equals 20% of the amount of the payment in excess of the executive's base amount.

Under Mr. Daly's supplemental retirement arrangement with the Bank, if Mr. Daly separates from service following a change in control, he will receive the normal retirement benefit, regardless of his age at the time of separation from service. Upon termination in connection with a change in control, Mr. Daly will receive the payment in a lump sum. The agreement provides that benefit payments will commence not later than ten days following the change in control; provided, however, that if Mr. Daly is a "specified employee" (as defined in Section 409A of the Code), the benefit will not commence until six months after his separation from service. The above table does not include amounts that are vested as of December 31, 2017 since the present value of the vested benefit as of December 31, 2017 is set forth in the table presented above under "— Pension Benefits."

(5)

If Mr. Daly becomes disabled and begins to receive benefits under the long-term disability insurance policy maintained by the Bank, Mr. Daly will also receive continued medical and life insurance coverage for two years following his termination of employment. Commencing in 2008, Berkshire Bank assisted Mr. Daly in purchasing a supplemental disability policy owned by Mr. Daly. In the event of his disability, Mr. Daly will receive compensation under the long-term disability policy maintained by the Bank and the supplemental policy owned by Mr. Daly. Under his supplemental retirement arrangement with the Bank, if Mr. Daly separates from service due to disability, he will receive the normal retirement benefit, regardless of his age at the time of separation from service. Mr. Daly has elected to receive his normal or early retirement benefit in the form of an actuarially equivalent lump sum payment. The agreement provides that benefit payments will commence not later than 60 days following Mr. Daly's separation from service. The above table does not include amounts that are vested as of December 31, 2017 since the present value of the vested benefit as of December 31, 2017 is set forth in the table presented above under "— Pension Benefits."

Upon termination due to disability, outstanding stock options granted pursuant to our equity incentive plans automatically vest and remain exercisable until the earlier of one year from the date of termination due to disability or

the expiration date of the stock options. Restricted stock awards also vest in full upon termination due to disability. To supplement the Bank's disability programs, the Bank implemented a long-term care insurance plan ("LTC Plan") in 2015. Mr. Daly and his spouse participate in the LTC Plan. Mr. Daly and his spouse are fully vested in the LTC Plan. Once vested, an executive and his spouse are generally eligible for long-term care benefits during their lifetime, at no cost to the covered individual, and with the Bank paying the cost of such coverage. (6)

Under his employment agreement, in the event of Mr. Daly's death, his estate is entitled to receive his base salary for an additional six months. Additionally, his dependents' medical coverage will be paid for six months.

Under his supplemental retirement arrangement with the Bank, if Mr. Daly dies while employed by the Bank, his estate will receive the normal retirement benefit, regardless of his age at the time of death. Mr. Daly has elected to receive his normal or early retirement benefit in the form of an actuarially equivalent lump sum payment. The agreement provides that benefit payments will commence not later than 60 days following Mr. Daly's separation from service. The above table does not include amounts that are vested as of December 31, 2017 since the present value of the vested benefit as of December 31, 2017 is set forth in the table presented above under "— Pension Benefits." Upon termination due to death, outstanding stock options granted pursuant to our equity plans automatically vest and remain exercisable until the earlier of one year from the date of death or the expiration date of the stock options. The Named Executive Officers do not hold any stock options. Restricted stock awards also vest in full upon death. 80

BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 Proxy Statement

Executive Compensation

The following table provides the estimated amount of compensation payable to Messrs. Moses, Marotta, Gray and Bacigalupo upon their termination of employment in connection with a change in control.

	James M. Moses(1)	Richard M. Marotta(1)(7)	Sean A. Gray(2)(7)	George F. Bacigalupo(1)
Cash severance	\$ 1,470,000	\$ 2,362,500	\$ 2,466,318	\$ 1,530,000
In-kind benefits	\$ 46,944	\$ 46,944	\$ 64,368	\$ 47,988
Restricted stock vesting(3)	180,182	\$ 985,492	\$ 776,908	\$ 1,067,805
SERP(4)	_	\$ 1,000,000	_	_
Tax Indemnity(5)	_	_	\$ 1,199,815	_
280G Cut-Back(6)	\$ (123,154)	_	_	\$ (456,524)
(1)				

(1)

The change in control agreements entered into with Messrs. Moses, Marotta and Bacigalupo provide that upon an involuntary termination, other than for cause, or voluntary termination (upon the occurrence of circumstances specified in the agreements) in connection with or following a change in control of the Company or the Bank, the executive would be entitled to a cash severance payment equal to three times base salary and cash incentive, plus the annual cash incentive pro-rated through the date of termination, and life insurance and non-taxable medical and dental coverage substantially identical to the coverage maintained for the executive prior to termination of employment for 36 months following termination of employment, with the executive paying his or her share of the premiums. Severance payments will be reduced to avoid liability under Section 280G of the Code for the excise tax applicable to "excess parachute payments" only if such reduction will result in the executive receiving a greater total payment as measured on an after-tax basis.

(2)

Mr. Gray's change in control agreement provides that upon an involuntary termination, other than for cause, or voluntary termination (upon the occurrence of circumstances specified in the agreements) following a change in control of the Company or the Bank, the executive would be entitled to a cash severance payment equal to three times his average annual compensation for the five years preceding the change in control, and life insurance and non-taxable medical, dental and disability coverage substantially identical to the coverage maintained for the executive prior to his termination of employment for 36 months following his termination of employment. Mr. Gray would also be entitled to receive a tax indemnification payment if payments under the change in control agreement trigger liability under Section 280G of the Code for the excise tax applicable to "excess parachute payments."

(3)

In the event of a change in control of the Company or the Bank, outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards granted pursuant to our equity plans automatically vest.

(4)

In June 2016, Mr. Marotta and the Bank entered into a supplemental executive retirement plan agreement. The agreement provides that upon an involuntary termination, other than for cause, or voluntary termination (upon the occurrence of circumstances specified in the agreement) in connection with or following a change in control of the Company or the Bank, death or disability, the executive would become entitled to a payment in the amount of \$1 million. The executive's benefit is subject to a four year vesting schedule, with twenty-five percent (25%) of the account vesting each year, commencing on July 1, 2017. Consequently, the executive's account is twenty-five percent (25%) vested as of December 31, 2017.

(5)

Beginning in 2009, the Company determined that it would no longer enter into change in control agreements that provide for a gross-up for any taxes as a result of "excess parachute payments" under Section 280G of the Code. Mr. Gray's change in control agreement was entered into before the Company adopted this position.

(6)

Pursuant to the terms of Messrs. Moses, Marotta and Bacigalupo's change in control agreements, the executive's cash severance will be reduced to the limitation under Section 280G of the Code only if this will result in the executive receiving a greater total payment measured on an after-tax basis. For Mr. Marotta, the amount shown was not reduced to the limitation under Section 280G of the Code since Mr. Marotta would receive a greater total payment after paying a 20% tax penalty under Sections 280G and 4999 of the Code, in the estimated amount of \$598,577 and applicable federal and state income taxes as compared to the cash severance the executive would receive, as measured on an after-tax basis, if the amount was reduced to the limitation under Section 280G of the Code. Messrs. Moses and Bacigalupo's payments were reduced to the limitation under Section 280G of the Code since this resulted in a greater total payment as measured on an after-tax basis.

(7)

To supplement the Bank's disability programs, the Bank implemented an LTC Plan in 2015. Messrs. Gray and Marotta participate in the LTC Plan. Messrs. Gray and Marotta will become vested upon the earliest of (i) the executive attaining age 62 with ten years of service; (ii) the executive attaining age 55 with 20 years of service; (iii) a change in control; (iv) or disability. Once vested, an individual and his spouse are generally eligible for long-term care benefits during their lifetime, at no cost to the covered individual, and with the Bank paying the cost of such coverage. The above table does not reflect the value of such continued coverage.

81 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 Proxy Statement

Executive Compensation

CEO Pay Ratio

In accordance with the applicable provisions of Section 953(b) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K, we are providing the following information about the relationship of the median of the annual total compensation of all employees of the Company and the annual total compensation of our CEO.

For 2017, our median annual total compensation for all employees other than our CEO was \$54,599. The annual total compensation for our CEO for the same period was \$3,549,851. The ratio of our CEO's compensation to the median employee's compensation was 65 to 1.

We identified our median employee using our entire workforce as of December 31, 2017. We included all employees, whether employed on a full-time, part-time or seasonal basis. In October 2017, we completed our acquisition of Commerce Bank & Trust Company ("Commerce"), and we annualized the compensation of the former Commerce employees that became our employees. We included all employees, whether employed on a full-time, part-time or seasonal basis. No full-time equivalent adjustments were made for part-time employees.

We determined the annual total compensation for our median employee based on total cash compensation, which included base pay, commissions and bonuses. With regard to the annual total compensation of our CEO, we used the amount reported in the "Total" column of our 2017 Summary Compensation Table included in this Proxy Statement. SEC rules for identifying the median employee allow companies to apply various methodologies and various assumptions and, as a result, the pay ratio reported by the Company may not be comparable to the pay ratio reported by other companies.

82

BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 Proxy Statement

Proposal 6:

Ratification of the Appointment of the

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors recommends ratification of the Audit Committee's appointment of Crowe Horwath LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018.

Background. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm ("Accounting Firm") for the year ended December 31, 2017 was Crowe Horwath LLP ("Crowe"). The Audit Committee has appointed Crowe as the independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018, subject to ratification by the shareholders at the annual meeting. A representative of Crowe is expected to be present at the annual meeting to respond to appropriate questions from shareholders and will have the opportunity to make a statement should they desire to do so.

Shareholder ratification of the appointment of Crowe is not required by the Company's bylaws or otherwise. However, the Board of Directors is submitting the appointment of the Accounting Firm to the shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the ratification of the appointment of the firm is not approved by a majority of the votes cast by shareholders at the annual meeting, other independent registered public accounting firms may be considered by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF CROWE HORWATH LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE 2018 FISCAL YEAR. 83

Proposal 6: Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public

Accounting Firm • Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee is responsible for selecting and managing compensation of the accounting firm and overseeing its work. The Audit Committee approves, in advance, all audit and permissible non-audit services to be performed by the Accounting Firm. This process is intended to ensure that the accounting firm does not provide any non-audit services that are prohibited by law or regulation. Requests for services by the Accounting Firm must be specific as to the particular services to be provided for compliance with the auditor services policy. The request may be made with respect to either specific services or a type of service for predictable or recurring services. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, all services were approved, in advance, by the Audit Committee in compliance with these procedures.

In 2017, the Audit Committee, with management's assistance, conducted a competitive selection process to determine the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017. As a result of this process, on August 3rd, the Company dismissed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The dismissal of PwC was approved by the Audit Committee and became effective on August 9, 2017, immediately following the filing of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2017.

PwC's audit reports on the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the two fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and the subsequent interim period through August 9, 2017, there were (i) no disagreements between the Company and PwC on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedures, which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of PwC, would have caused PwC to make reference thereto in their reports on the consolidated financial statements for such years, and (ii) no "reportable events" as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K. On August 3, 2017, the Audit Committee selected Crowe as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, effective August 10, 2017. During the two fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and the subsequent interim period preceding the selection of Crowe, the Company did not consult with Crowe regarding: (1) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed; (2) the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's financial statements, and Crowe did not provide any written report or oral advice that Crowe concluded was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any such accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (3) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement with PwC on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure or the subject of a reportable event.

Audit Fees

The following table sets forth the fees billed to the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, by Crowe and PwC. Due to the change in the auditor engagement, the fees in 2017 include fees from both firms, as noted.

Fees	2017	2016
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 981,350	\$ 954,163
Audit-Related Fees(2)	\$ 374,900	\$ 688,174
Tax Fees(3)	\$ 368,925	\$ 196,974
All Other Fees		

(1)

Includes fees for audit of the financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, as well as quarterly reviews. In 2017, includes \$786,650 for Crowe and \$194,700 for PwC.

Proposal 6: Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public

Accounting Firm • Audit Committee Report

(2)

Fees in 2017 relate to purchase accounting related to Commerce Bancshares Corp., HUD and 401(k) plan audits, system conversion reviews, and review of the Forms S-4 and amended registration statements relating to the public common stock offering and the Commerce Bancshares Corp. acquisition. In 2017, fees include \$200,000 for Crowe and \$174,900 for PwC. Fees in 2016 relate to purchase accounting surrounding the First Choice Bank/First Choice Loan Services Inc., 44 Business Capital, LLC, and Ronald N. Lazzaro, P.C. acquisitions, HUD and 401(k) plan audits, system conversion reviews, and review of the Form S-4 and amended registration statements relating to the First Choice Bank acquisition.

(3)

Fees in 2017 and 2016 consist of tax return and estimated payment preparation services, as well as an analysis on changes in federal income tax rules and potential tax refunds. In 2017, fees include \$343,900 for Crowe and \$25,025 for PwC.

Audit Committee Report

The Company's management is responsible for the Company's internal controls and financial reporting process, and for preparing the Company's consolidated financial statements ("financial statements"). The Company's independent registered public accounting firm performs an independent audit of the financial statements and issues an opinion on the fair presentation of those financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The Accounting Firm also issues an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on criteria issued by the Committee on Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Audit Committee meets with the Accounting Firm, with and without management present, to discuss the results of its examination, its evaluation of the Company's internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting. The Audit Committee oversees the Company's internal controls and financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors.

In this context, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the financial statements with management. Management has represented that these statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and provided its Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, as well as the certifications of the CEO and CFO. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the financial statements with the Accounting Firm, and has discussed matters required to be discussed under the applicable standards of Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, including Auditing Standard No. 1301, Communications with Audit Committees. These matters include the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements. The Audit Committee also discussed with the Accounting Firm the overall scope and plans for its audit.

The Audit Committee has received and discussed the written disclosures and the letter from the Accounting Firm as required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the Accounting Firm's communications with the Committee concerning the Accounting Firm's independence. The Audit Committee considered, among other factors, the non-audit services provided by the Accounting Firm, in concluding that the firm is independent. In performing all of these functions, the Audit Committee acts only in an oversight capacity. It relies on the work and assurances of the Company's management, which has the primary responsibility for financial statements and reports, and of the Accounting Firm that, in its report, expresses an opinion on the fairness and conformity of the financial statements to generally accepted accounting principles. The Audit Committee's oversight does not provide it with an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or policies, or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The Audit Committee's processes do not assure that the Company's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards or that the Accounting Firm is "independent."

Proposal 6: Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm • Audit Committee Report In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Audit Committee also has approved, subject to shareholder ratification, the selection of Crowe Horwath LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Berkshire Hills Bancorp, Inc. Paul T. Bossidy, Chair David M. Brunelle J. Williar Dunlaevy Richard J. Murphy Patrick J. Sheehan 86 BERKSHIRE HILLS BANCORP, INC. | 2018 PROXY STATEMENT

Additional Information

Stock Ownership

Five-Percent Shareholders

The following table provides information as of March 22, 2018, with respect to persons known by the Company to be the beneficial owners of 5% or more of the Company's outstanding common stock. A person may be considered to own any shares of common stock over which they have, directly or indirectly, sole or shared voting or investing power. Percentages are based on 45,360,369 shares outstanding at March 22, 2018.

Name and Address	Number of Shares Owned	Percent of Common Stock Outstanding
David G. Massad, Sr. 14 Jefferson Road Westborough, Massachusetts 01581	4,357,344(1)	9.6%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP Palisades West Building One 6300 Bee Cave Road Austin, Texas 78746	3,393,345(2)	7.5%
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355	3,117,324(3)	6.9%
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52nd Street New York, New York 10055	2,697,100(4)	5.9%

(1)

Based on information contained in a Schedule 13D filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on October 23, 2017.

(2)

Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 9, 2018.

(3)

Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 12, 2018.

(4)

Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on January 29, 2018.

Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

The following table provides information about the shares of Company common stock that are owned by each director or nominee for director of the Company, by NEOs and the aggregate number of shares owned by all directors, nominees for director and Named Executive Officers as a group as of March 22, 2018. A person may be considered to own any shares of common stock over which they have, directly or indirectly, sole or shared voting or investment power. Unless otherwise indicated, each of the named individuals has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown and none of the shares has been pledged. The number of shares and options exercisable within 60 days owned by all directors, nominees for director and Named Executive Officers as a group totaled 1.6% of our outstanding common stock as of March 22, 2018. Each director, nominee for director, and Named Executive Officer owned less than 1.0% of our outstanding common stock as of that date. Percentages are based on 45,360,369 shares outstanding at March 22, 2018. The address of each individual is 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 01209.

Additional Information • Stock Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

Name	Number of Shares Owned (Excluding Options)(1)	Options Exercisable Within 60 Days	Total
Directors			
Paul T. Bossidy	10,886		10,886
David M. Brunelle	993		993
Robert M. Curley	17,597		17,597
Michael P. Daly			