3M CO Form 10-Q July 31, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

Commission file number: 1-3285

3M COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

41-0417775 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota (Address of principal executive offices)

55144 (Zip Code)

(651) 733-1110

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

2

Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share Outstanding at June 30, 2014 647,969,147 shares

This document (excluding exhibits) contains 79 pages.

The table of contents is set forth on page 2.

The exhibit index begins on page 76.

Accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

3M COMPANY

Form 10-Q for the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>	FINANCIAL INFORMATION		BEGINNING PAGE
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	Financial Statements		
	Index to Financial Statements:		
	Consolidated Statement of Income		3
	Consolidated Statement of Comprehen	usive Income	4
	Consolidated Balance Sheet		5
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows		6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Stater		
	<u>Note 1.</u>	Significant Accounting Policies	7
	Note 2.	Acquisitions and Divestitures	9
	Note 3.	Goodwill and Intangible Assets	11
	Note 4.	Supplemental Equity and Comprehensive Income Information	13
	<u>Note 5.</u>	Income Taxes	18
	Note 6.	Marketable Securities	19
	Note 7.	Long-Term Debt and Short-Term Borrowings	21
	Note 8.	Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans	22
	<u>Note 9.</u>	Derivatives	24
	<u>Note 10.</u>	Fair Value Measurements	33
	<u>Note 11.</u>	Commitments and Contingencies	37
	<u>Note 12.</u>	Stock-Based Compensation	45
	Note 13.	Business Segments	49
	Report of Independent Registered Pub	lic Accounting Firm	51
ITEM 2.	Management s Discussion and Analy	sis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	
<u>1111112.</u>	Index to Management s Discussion		
	Overview		52
	Results of Operations		55
	Performance by Business Segment		59
	Financial Condition and Liquidity		65
	Cautionary Note Concerning Factors 7	That May Affect Future Results	71
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosur		71
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures		72
<u>PART II</u>	OTHER INFORMATION		
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings		73
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>		73
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securitie	s and Use of Proceeds	75
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	Defaults Upon Senior Securities		75
<u>11 EWI 5.</u>	Defaults Opon Senior Securities		15

<u>ITEM 4.</u>	Mine Safety Disclosures	75
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	Other Information	75
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	Exhibits	76

3M COMPANY

FORM 10-Q

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2014

PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements.

3M Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Income

(Unaudited)

(Millions, except per share amounts)	Three months ended June 30, 2014 2013					Six mont June 2014	ed 2013	
Net sales	\$	8,134	\$	7,752	\$	15,965	\$	15,386
Operating expenses		,		,		,		,
Cost of sales		4,184		4,013		8,215		7,982
Selling, general and administrative expenses		1,646		1,610		3,278		3,199
Research, development and related expenses		448		427		900		857
Total operating expenses		6,278		6,050		12,393		12,038
Operating income		1,856		1,702		3,572		3,348
Interest expense and income								
Interest expense		45		41		82		80
Interest income		(9)		(10)		(18)		(20)
Total interest expense net		36		31		64		60
Income before income taxes		1,820		1.671		3,508		3,288
Provision for income taxes		537		458		1,000		5,288 928
	¢		¢		¢	,	¢	
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$	1,283	\$	1,213	Þ	2,508	\$	2,360
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling								
interest		16		16		34		34
interest		10		10		54		51
Net income attributable to 3M	\$	1,267	\$	1,197	\$	2,474	\$	2,326
		, i				,		
Weighted average 3M common shares outstanding								
basic		652.0		688.2		656.7		689.6
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common								
shareholders basic	\$	1.94	\$	1.74	\$	3.77	\$	3.37
Weighted average 3M common shares outstanding								
diluted		664.6		699.1		669.6		700.6

Earnings per share attributable to 3M common				
shareholders diluted	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.71 \$	3.70	\$ 3.32
Cash dividends paid per 3M common share	\$ 0.855	\$ 0.635 \$	1.71	\$ 1.27

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,				Six montl June	ed	
(Millions)	2014		2013		2014		2013
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$ 1,283	\$	1,213	\$	2,508	\$	2,360
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:							
Cumulative translation adjustment	127		(279)		147		(677)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans adjustment	60		91		121		176
Debt and equity securities, unrealized gain (loss)	1		(4)		2		(4)
Cash flow hedging instruments, unrealized gain (loss)	(9)		8		(7)		32
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	179		(184)		263		(473)
Comprehensive income (loss) including noncontrolling							
interest	1,462		1,029		2,771		1,887
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling							
interest	(20)		3		(50)		23
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to 3M	\$ 1,442	\$	1,032	\$	2,721	\$	1,910

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheet

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share amount)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,125 \$	2,581
Marketable securities current	884	756
Accounts receivable net	4,759	4,253
Inventories		
Finished goods	1,898	1,790
Work in process	1,220	1,139
Raw materials and supplies	975	935
Total inventories	4,093	3,864
Other current assets	1,332	1,279
Total current assets	13,193	12,733
Marketable securities non-current	1,204	1,453
Investments	113	122
Property, plant and equipment	23,447	23,068
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(14,762)	(14,416)
Property, plant and equipment net	8,685	8,652
Goodwill	7,422	7,345
Intangible assets net	1,603	1,688
Prepaid pension benefits	672	577
Other assets	1,078	980
Total assets	\$ 33,970 \$	33,550
Liabilities		
Current liabilities		
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$ 1,650 \$	1,683
Accounts payable	1,844	1,799
Accrued payroll	656	708
Accrued income taxes	478	417
Other current liabilities	2,412	2,891
Total current liabilities	7,040	7,498
Long-term debt	5,323	4,326
Pension and postretirement benefits	1,829	1,794
Other liabilities	1,932	1,984
Total liabilities	\$ 16,124 \$	15,602
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Equity		
3M Company shareholders equity:		
Common stock par value, \$.01 par value, 944,033,056 shares issued	\$ 9 \$	9
Additional paid-in capital	4,641	4,375
Retained earnings	33,836	32,416

(17,466)

Treasury stock, at cost: 296,063,909 shares at June 30, 2014; 280,736,817 shares at December 31, 2013		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,666)	(3,913)
Total 3M Company shareholders equity	17,354	17,502
Noncontrolling interest	492	446
Total equity	\$ 17,846 \$	17,948
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 33,970 \$	33,550

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

			nths ended ne 30,	
(Millions)		2014		2013
Cash Flows from Operating Activities				
Net income including noncontrolling interest	\$	2,508	\$	2,360
Adjustments to reconcile net income including noncontrolling interest to net cash provided				
by operating activities				
Depreciation and amortization		708		671
Company pension and postretirement contributions		(77)		(171)
Company pension and postretirement expense		196		275
Stock-based compensation expense		174		150
Deferred income taxes		(36)		37
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation		(97)		(51)
Changes in assets and liabilities				
Accounts receivable		(484)		(628)
Inventories		(242)		(167)
Accounts payable		57		199
Accrued income taxes (current and long-term)		16		166
Product and other insurance receivables and claims		13		19
Other net		(4)		(187)
Net cash provided by operating activities		2,732		2,673
		,		
Cash Flows from Investing Activities				
Purchases of property, plant and equipment (PP&E)		(634)		(718)
Proceeds from sale of PP&E and other assets		38		18
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(94)		
Purchases of marketable securities and investments		(849)		(3,000)
Proceeds from maturities and sale of marketable securities and investments		982		2,948
Proceeds from sale of businesses				8
Other investing		(22)		4
Net cash used in investing activities		(579)		(740)
		()		()
Cash Flows from Financing Activities				
Change in short-term debt net		62		(12)
Repayment of debt (maturities greater than 90 days)		(119)		(12)
Proceeds from debt (maturities greater than 90 days)		1,078		11
Purchases of treasury stock		(3,134)		(1,995)
Proceeds from issuance of treasury stock pursuant to stock option and benefit plans		585		1,103
Dividends paid to shareholders		(1,122)		(876)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation		97		51
Other net		(31)		3
Net cash used in financing activities		(2,584)		(1,727)
		(_,=01)		(1,727)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(25)		(147)
		(10)		(11)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(456)		59
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		2,581		2,883
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	2,125	\$	2,942
······································	-	_,	7	_,>

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of this statement.

3M Company and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. These adjustments consist of normal, recurring items. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The interim consolidated financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by the requirements for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

As described in 3M s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014 (which updated 3M s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K) and 3M s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014, effective in the first quarter of 2014, the Company transferred a product line between divisions within different business segments and made other changes within business segments in its continuing effort to improve the alignment of its businesses around markets and customers (refer to Note 13 herein). Segment information presented herein reflects the impact of these changes for all periods presented. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements and notes included in its Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014.

Also, effective in the second quarter of 2014, within the Electronics and Energy business segment, 3M combined three existing divisions into two new divisions. A large portion of both the Electronics Markets Materials Division and the Electronic Solutions Division were combined to form the Electronics Materials Solutions Division, which focuses on semiconductor and electronics materials and assembly solutions. The Optical Systems Division, the remaining portion of the Electronic Solutions Division and a portion of the Electronics Markets Materials Division were combined to form the Display Materials and Systems Division, which focuses on delivering light, color and user interface solutions.

Foreign Currency Translation

Local currencies generally are considered the functional currencies outside the United States. Assets and liabilities for operations in local-currency environments are translated at month-end exchange rates of the period reported. Income and expense items are translated at month-end exchange rates of each applicable month. Cumulative translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders equity.

Although local currencies are typically considered as the functional currencies outside the United States, under Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 830, *Foreign Currency Matters*, the reporting currency of a foreign entity s parent is assumed to be that entity s functional currency when the economic environment of a foreign entity is highly inflationary generally when its cumulative inflation is approximately 100 percent or more for the three years that precede the beginning of a reporting period. 3M has a subsidiary in Venezuela with operating income representing less than 1.0 percent of 3M s consolidated operating income for 2013. 3M has determined that the cumulative inflation rate of Venezuela has exceeded, and continues to exceed, 100 percent since November 2009. Accordingly, since January 1, 2010, the financial statements of the Venezuelan subsidiary have been remeasured as if its functional currency were that of its parent.

The Venezuelan government sets official rates of exchange and conditions precedent to purchase foreign currency at these rates with local currency. Such rates and conditions are subject to change. For the periods presented through January 2013, this rate was set under the Transaction System for Foreign Currency Denominated Securities (SITME). In February 2013, the Venezuelan government announced a devaluation of its currency and the elimination of the SITME market. As a result, the official exchange rate controlled by the Commission for the Administration of Foreign Exchange (CADIVI) changed to a rate less favorable than the previous SITME rate.

In January 2014, the Venezuelan government announced that a new agency, the National Center for Foreign Commerce (CENCOEX), had assumed the previous role of CADIVI with respect to the continuation of the existing official exchange rate; significantly expanded the use of a second foreign exchange mechanism called the Complementary System for Foreign Currency Acquirement (or SICAD1); and issued exchange regulations indicating the SICAD1 rate of exchange would be used for payments related to international investments. The SICAD1 exchange mechanism, a complementary currency auction system, had previously been created for purchases of foreign currency by only certain eligible importers and tourists. The government had begun publishing the SICAD1 rate resulting from currency auctions in December 2013.

Table of Contents

In late March 2014, the Venezuelan government launched a third foreign exchange mechanism, SICAD2, which relies on U.S. dollar cash and U.S. dollar denominated bonds offered by the Venezuelan Central Bank, PDVSA (the Venezuelan national oil and gas company) and certain private companies. SICAD2 was announced as being available to all industry sectors and that its use would not be restricted as to purpose.

Since January 1, 2010, as discussed above, the financial statements of 3M s Venezuelan subsidiary have been remeasured as if its functional currency were that of its parent. For the periods presented, this remeasurement utilized the SITME rate through January 2013, the official CADIVI/CENCOEX rate beginning in February 2013, the SICAD1 rate beginning in March 2014, and the SICAD2 rate beginning in June 2014. 3M s use of SICAD1 and subsequently SICAD2 was based upon evaluation of a number of factors including, but not limited to, the exchange rate the Company s Venezuelan subsidiary may legally use to convert currency, settle transactions or pay dividends; the probability of accessing and obtaining currency by use of a particular rate or mechanism; and the Company s intent and ability to use a particular exchange mechanism. Other factors notwithstanding, the elimination of the SITME rate and use of the CADIVI/CENCOEX exchange rate beginning in February 2013, use of the SICAD1 rate beginning in March 2014, and use of the SICAD2 rate beginning in June 2014 did not have a material impact on 3M s consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

The Company continues to monitor circumstances relative to its Venezuelan subsidiary. Changes in applicable exchange rates or exchange mechanisms may continue in the future. These changes could impact the rate of exchange applicable to remeasure the Company s net monetary assets (liabilities) denominated in Venezuelan Bolivars (VEF). As of June 30, 2014, the Company had a balance of net monetary liabilities denominated in VEF of less than 115 million VEF and the SICAD1 and SICAD2 exchange rates were approximately 10 VEF and 50 VEF per U.S. dollar, respectively. Had 3M utilized the SICAD1 rate rather than the SICAD2 rate of exchange for remeasurement of such items as of June 30, 2014, the differential would not have had a material impact on 3M s consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Earnings Per Share

The difference in the weighted average 3M shares outstanding for calculating basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders is a result of the dilution associated with the Company s stock-based compensation plans. Certain options outstanding under these stock-based compensation plans were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share attributable to 3M common shareholders because they would not have had a dilutive effect (3.1 million average options for the three months ended June 30, 2014; 2.7 million average options for the six months ended June 30, 2014; 3.7 million average options for the three months ended June 30, 2013; and 3.9 million average options for the six months ended June 30, 2013). The computations for basic and diluted earnings per share follow:

Earnings Per Share Computations

	Three months ended June 30,				Six mont June	ed	
(Amounts in millions, except per share amounts)		2014		2013	2014		2013
Numerator:							
Net income attributable to 3M	\$	1,267	\$	1,197	\$ 2,474	\$	2,326
Denominator:							
Denominator for weighted average 3M common shares							
outstanding basic		652.0		688.2	656.7		689.6

Dilution associated with the Company s stock-based compensation plans	d	12.6	10.9	12.9	11.0
Denominator for weighted average 3M common sha	res				
outstanding diluted		664.6	699.1	669.6	700.6
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common					
shareholders basic	\$	1.94	\$ 1.74	\$ 3.77 \$	3.37
Earnings per share attributable to 3M common					
shareholders diluted	\$	1.91	\$ 1.71	\$ 3.70 \$	3.32
		8			

New Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-05, *Parent s Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity*. This standard provides additional guidance with respect to the reclassification into income of the cumulative translation adjustment (CTA) recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income associated with a foreign entity of a parent company. The ASU differentiates between transactions occurring *within* a foreign entity and transactions/events affecting an *investment in* a foreign entity. For transactions *within* a foreign entity, the full CTA associated with the foreign entity would be reclassified into income only when the sale of a subsidiary or group of net assets *within* the foreign entity represents the substantially complete liquidation of that foreign entity. For transactions/events affecting an *investment in* a foreign entity (for example, control or ownership of shares in a foreign entity), the full CTA associated with the foreign entity would be reclassified into income only if the parent no longer has a controlling interest in that foreign entity as a result of the transaction/event. In addition, acquisitions of a foreign entity completed in stages will trigger release of the CTA associated with an equity method investment in that entity at the point a controlling interest in the foreign entity is obtained. For 3M, this ASU was effective prospectively beginning January 1, 2014. This ASU had no immediate impact on 3M s consolidated results of operations and financial condition as the Company had no event/transaction as described above.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, *Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity*, which changes the criteria for determining which disposals can be presented as discontinued operations and modifies related disclosure requirements. This standard will have the impact of reducing the frequency of disposals reported as discontinued operations, by requiring such a disposal to represent a strategic shift that has or will have a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results. However, existing provisions that prohibit an entity from reporting a discontinued operation if it has certain continuing cash flows or involvement with the component after disposal are eliminated by this standard. The ASU also expands the disclosures for discontinued operations and requires new disclosures related to individually significant disposals that do not qualify as discontinued operations. For 3M, this ASU is effective prospectively beginning January 1, 2015. Early adoption is, however, permitted. This ASU would impact 3M s consolidated results of operations and financial condition only in the instance of a disposal as described above.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which provides a single comprehensive model to be used in the accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The standard s stated core principle is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve this core principle the ASU includes provisions within a five step model that includes identifying the contract with a customer, identifying the performance obligations in the contract, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to the performance obligations, and recognizing revenue when (or as) an entity satisfies a performance obligation. The standard also specifies the accounting for some costs to obtain or fulfill a contract with a customer and requires expanded disclosures about revenue recognition. The standard provides for either full retrospective adoption or a modified retrospective adoption by which it is applied only to the most current period presented. For 3M, this ASU is effective January 1, 2017. The Company is currently assessing this ASU is impact on 3M is consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

NOTE 2. Acquisitions and Divestitures

3M makes acquisitions of certain businesses from time to time that the Company feels align with its strategic intent with respect to, among other factors, growth markets and adjacent product lines or technologies. Goodwill resulting from business combinations is largely attributable to the existing workforce of the acquired businesses and synergies expected to arise after 3M s acquisition of these businesses. In addition to business combinations, 3M periodically acquires certain tangible and/or intangible assets and purchases interests in certain enterprises that do not

otherwise qualify for accounting as business combinations. These transactions are largely reflected as additional asset purchase and investment activity.

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, the purchase price paid for business combinations (net of cash acquired) was \$94 million, which related to 3M s acquisition of Treo Solutions LLC (discussed below).

In April 2014, 3M (Health Care Business) purchased all of the outstanding equity interests of Treo Solutions LLC, headquartered in Troy, New York. Treo Solutions LLC is a provider of data analytics and business intelligence to

⁹

healthcare payers and providers. The allocation of purchase price related to this acquisition is considered preliminary, largely with respect to intangible assets, and tax-related assets and liabilities.

Purchased identifiable finite-lived intangible assets related to the acquisition which closed in the first six months ended of 2014 totaled \$34 million and will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted-average life of six years (lives ranging from three to 10 years). Acquired in-process research and development and identifiable intangible assets for which significant assumed renewals or extensions of underlying arrangements impacted the determination of their useful lives were not material. Pro forma information related to acquisitions was not included because the impact on the Company s consolidated results of operations was not considered to be material.

Refer to Note 2 in 3M s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014 (which updated 3M s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K) for information on 3M s 2011 through 2013 acquisitions and divestitures.

NOTE 3. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Purchased goodwill related to the acquisition which closed during the first six months of 2014 totaled \$65 million, none of which is deductible for tax purposes. The amounts in the Translation and other column in the following table primarily relate to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The goodwill balances by business segment as of December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014, are as follows:

Goodwill

(Millions)	December 3 Balan	/	Acquisition activity		Translation and other		J	lune 30, 2014 Balance
Industrial	\$	2,166	\$	\$		10	\$	2,176
Safety and Graphics		1,740				(2)		1,738
Electronics and Energy		1,612				1		1,613
Health Care		1,596	6	5		1		1,662
Consumer		231				2		233
Total Company	\$	7,345	\$ 6	5\$		12	\$	7,422

Accounting standards require that goodwill be tested for impairment annually and between annual tests in certain circumstances such as a change in reporting units or the testing of recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit. At 3M, reporting units generally correspond to a division.

As discussed in Note 13, effective in the first quarter of 2014, the Company transferred a product line between divisions within different business segments and in both the first and second quarters of 2014 made other changes within business segments in its continuing effort to improve the alignment of its businesses around markets and customers. For any product moves that resulted in reporting unit changes, the Company applied the relative fair value method to determine the impact on goodwill of the associated reporting units. During the first and second quarters of 2014, the Company completed its assessment of any potential goodwill impairment for reporting units impacted by this new structure and determined that no impairment existed.

Acquired Intangible Assets

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, gross intangible assets (excluding goodwill) acquired through business combinations increased the gross carrying amount, with this impact partially offset by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of acquired finite-lived intangible assets, in addition to the balance of non-amortizable intangible assets, as of June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, follow:

(Millions)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
(minons)	2017	2013
Customer related intangible assets	\$ 1,409	\$ 1,411

Patents	601	602
Other technology-based intangible assets	422	406
Definite-lived tradenames	410	411
Other amortizable intangible assets	225	217
Total gross carrying amount	\$ 3,067 \$	3,047
Accumulated amortization customer related	(563)	(514)
Accumulated amortization patents	(474)	(458)
Accumulated amortization other technology-based	(203)	(179)
Accumulated amortization definite-lived tradenames	(187)	(178)
Accumulated amortization other	(166)	(159)
Total accumulated amortization	\$ (1,593) \$	(1,488)
Total finite-lived intangible assets net	\$ 1,474 \$	1,559
Non-amortizable intangible assets (primarily tradenames)	129	129
Total intangible assets net	\$ 1,603 \$	1,688

Amortization expense for acquired intangible assets for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 follows:

	Three mor June	nths end e 30,	led			Six mont Jun	ths ende e 30,	d	
(Millions)	2014	,	2013	2014		,	2013		
Amortization expense	\$ 57	\$		60	\$	114	\$		120

The table below shows expected amortization expense for acquired amortizable intangible assets recorded as of June 30, 2014:

	Ren	nainder						A 64
(Millions)	2	of 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	After 2019
Amortization expense	\$	109	\$ 206	\$ 193	\$ 177	\$ 160	\$ 148	\$ 481

The expected amortization expense is an estimate. Actual amounts of amortization expense may differ from estimated amounts due to additional intangible asset acquisitions, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, impairment of intangible assets, accelerated amortization of intangible assets and other events. 3M expenses the costs incurred to renew or extend the term of intangible assets.

NOTE 4. Supplemental Equity and Comprehensive Income Information

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity

Three months ended June 30, 2014

	3M Company Shareholders											
(Millions)		Total		Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital		Retained Earnings]	freasury Stock	С	ccumulated Other omprehen- ive Income (Loss)	contr	on- olling erest
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$	17,924	\$	4,554	\$	33,312	\$	(16,577)	\$	(3,841)	\$	476
Net income		1,283				1,267						16
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of												
tax:												
Cumulative translation adjustment		127								123		4
Defined benefit pension and post-retirement												
plans adjustment		60								60		
Debt and equity securities - unrealized gain (loss)		1								1		
Cash flow hedging instruments - unrealized												
gain (loss)		(9)								(9)		
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net												
of tax		179										
Dividends declared		(556)				(556)						
Purchase of subsidiary shares		(5)		(1)								(4)
Stock-based compensation, net of												
tax impacts		97		97								
Reacquired stock		(1,382)						(1,382)				
Issuances pursuant to stock option and												
benefit plans		306				(187)		493				
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$	17,846	\$	4,650	\$	33,836	\$	(17,466)	\$	(3,666)	\$	492

Six months ended June 30, 2014

					3M Compan	y Sha	reholders				
		S	Common Stock and Additional Paid-in	Co	cumulated Other omprehen- ve Income	Non- controlling Interest					
(Millions)	Total		Capital	ŀ	larnings		Stock		(Loss)	Int	erest
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 17,948	\$	4,384	\$	32,416	\$	(15,385)	\$	(3,913)	\$	446
Net income	2,508				2,474						34
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:											
Cumulative translation adjustment	147								131		16

Defined benefit pension and post-retirement						
plans adjustment	121				121	
Debt and equity securities - unrealized gain						
(loss)	2				2	
Cash flow hedging instruments - unrealized						
gain (loss)	(7)				(7)	
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net						
of tax	263					
Dividends declared	(555)		(555)			
Purchase of subsidiary shares	(5)	(1)				(4)
Stock-based compensation, net of						
tax impacts	267	267				
Reacquired stock	(3,155)			(3,155)		
Issuances pursuant to stock option and						
benefit plans	575		(499)	1,074		
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 17,846	\$ 4,650	\$ 33,836	\$ (17,466)	\$ (3,666) \$	492

Three months ended June 30, 2013

	3M Company Shareholders											
(Millions)		Total		Common Stock and Additional Paid-in Capital	-	Retained Earnings	ï	freasury Stock	С	ccumulated Other omprehen- ive Income (Loss)	cont	on- rolling erest
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$	18,528	\$	-	\$	31,073	\$	(12,178)	\$	(5,001)	\$	446
Net income		1,213				1,197						16
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of												
tax:												
Cumulative translation adjustment		(279)								(260)		(19)
Defined benefit pension and post-retirement												
plans adjustment		91								91		
Debt and equity securities - unrealized gain												
(loss)		(4)								(4)		
Cash flow hedging instruments - unrealized												
gain (loss)		8								8		
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net												
of tax		(184)										
Dividends declared		(436)				(436)						
Stock-based compensation, net of												
tax impacts		64		64								
Reacquired stock		(1,232)						(1,232)				
Issuances pursuant to stock option and												
benefit plans		366				(118)		484				
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$	18,319	\$	4,252	\$	31,716	\$	(12,926)	\$	(5,166)	\$	443

Six months ended June 30, 2013

				3M Compan	y Sha	reholders				
(Millions)	Total	St Ad F	ommon ock and ditional Paid-in Capital	etained arnings	,	Freasury Stock	Con	umulated Other mprehen- e Income (Loss)	Non- controlli Interes	0
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 18,040	\$	4,053	\$ 30,679	\$	(12,407)	\$	(4,750)	\$ 4	465
Net income	2,360			2,326						34
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of										
tax:										
Cumulative translation adjustment	(677)							(620)		(57)
Defined benefit pension and post-retirement plans adjustment	176							176		
Debt and equity securities - unrealized gain (loss)	(4)							(4)		
Cash flow hedging instruments - unrealized gain (loss)	32							32		
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(473)									

Dividends declared	(876)		(876)			
Sale of subsidiary shares	8	7				1
Stock-based compensation, net of						
tax impacts	192	192				
Reacquired stock	(2,039)			(2,039)		
Issuances pursuant to stock option and						
benefit plans	1,107		(413)	1,520		
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 18,319	\$ 4,252	\$ 31,716	\$ (12,926)	\$ (5,166) \$	443

3M has historically declared and paid dividends in the same quarter. In December 2013, 3M s Board of Directors declared a first-quarter 2014 dividend of \$0.855 per share (paid in March 2014). This reduced 3M s stockholders equity and increased other current liabilities as of December 31, 2013 by \$567 million. This resulted in total year 2013 declared dividends of \$3.395 per share, with \$2.54 per share paid in 2013 and the additional \$0.855 per share paid in March 2014.

Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to 3M by Component

Three months ended June 30, 2014

(Millions)	Defined Benefit Pension and Cumulative Postretirement Translation Plans Adjustment Adjustment				Debt and Equity Securities, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss) (1) \$ (6)			Total Accumulated Other Comprehen- sive Income (Loss)
Balance at March 31, 2014, net of tax	\$ (180)	\$	(3,654)	\$	(1)	\$	(6)	\$	(3,841)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before									
tax:									
Amounts before reclassifications	128				1		(21)		108
Amounts reclassified out			92				5		97
Total other comprehensive income (loss),									
before tax	128		92		1		(16)		205
Tax effect	(5)		(32)				7		(30)
Total other comprehensive income (loss),									
net of tax	123		60		1		(9)		175
Balance at June 30, 2014, net of tax	\$ (57)	\$	(3,594)	\$		\$	(15)	\$	(3,666)

Six months ended June 30, 2014

(Millions)	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans Adjustment			Debt and Equity Securities, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehen- sive Income (Loss)		
Balance at December 31, 2013, net of tax	\$ (188)	\$	(3,715)	\$	(2)	\$ (8)	\$	(3,913)	
Other comprehensive income (loss), before									
tax:									
Amounts before reclassifications	134				3	(12)		125	
Amounts reclassified out			183			(1)		182	
Total other comprehensive income (loss),									
before tax	134		183		3	(13)		307	
Tax effect	(3)		(62)		(1)	6		(60)	
Total other comprehensive income (loss),									
net of tax	131		121		2	(7)		247	
Balance at June 30, 2014, net of tax	\$ (57)	\$	(3,594)	\$		\$ (15)	\$	(3,666)	

Three months ended June 30, 2013

(Millions)	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	Debt and Equity Securities, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehen- sive Income (Loss)
Balance at March 31, 2013, net of tax	\$ (130)	\$ (4,870)	\$ (2)	\$ 1	\$ (5,001)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before					
tax:					
Amounts before reclassifications	(260)		(6)	(79)	(345)
Amounts reclassified out		144		91	235
Total other comprehensive income (loss),					
before tax	(260)	144	(6)	12	(110)
Tax effect		(53)	2	(4)	(55)
Total other comprehensive income (loss),					
net of tax	(260)	91	(4)	8	(165)
Balance at June 30, 2013, net of tax	\$ (390)	\$ (4,779)	\$ (6)	\$ 9	\$ (5,166)

Six months ended June 30, 2013

(Millions)	Cumulative Translation Adjustment	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	Debt and Equity Securities, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Cash Flow Hedging Instruments, Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Total Accumulated Other Comprehen- sive Income (Loss)
Balance at December 31, 2012, net of tax	\$ 230	\$ (4,955)	\$ (2)	\$ (23)	\$ (4,750)
Other comprehensive income (loss), before					
tax:					
Amounts before reclassifications	(584)		(6)	(114)	(704)
Amounts reclassified out		287		164	451
Total other comprehensive income (loss),					
before tax	(584)	287	(6)	50	(253)
Tax effect	(36)	(111)	2	(18)	(163)
Total other comprehensive income (loss),					
net of tax	(620)	176	(4)	32	(416)
Balance at June 30, 2013, net of tax	\$ (390)	\$ (4,779)	\$ (6)	\$ 9	\$ (5,166)

Income taxes are not provided for foreign translation relating to permanent investments in international subsidiaries, but tax effects within cumulative translation does include impacts from items such as net investment hedge transactions. Reclassification adjustments are made to avoid double counting in comprehensive income items that are also recorded as part of net income.

The previously reported before-tax amounts of other comprehensive income before reclassifications and amounts reclassified out of other comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 relative to foreign currency forward contracts in the table above and below were impacted by the immaterial revisions discussed in Note 9.

Reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Attributable to 3M

(Millions)		Ac		Amount Recla ated Other Co			ome	
Details about Accumulated Other	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Three months ended June 30, Six months ended June 30,							
Comprehensive Income Components	2	014		2013		2014		2013 Location on Income Statement
Gains (losses) associated with, defined								
benefit pension and postretirement plans								
amortization								
Transition asset	\$		\$		\$		\$	1 See Note 8
Prior service benefit		15		20		30		40 See Note 8
Net actuarial loss		(107)		(164)		(213)		(328) See Note 8
Total before tax		(92)		(144)		(183)		(287)
Tax effect		32		53		62		111 Provision for income taxes
Net of tax	\$	(60)	\$	(91)	\$	(121)	\$	(176)
				, í				
Debt and equity security gains (losses)								
								Selling, general and
Sales or impairments of securities	\$		\$		\$		\$	administrative expenses
Total before tax								1
Tax effect								Provision for income taxes
Net of tax	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Cash flow hedging instruments gains								
(losses)								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	\$	(6)	\$	(3)	\$	(2)	\$	(9) Cost of sales
Foreign currency forward contracts		(-)		(89)				(155) Interest expense
Commodity price swap contracts		1		1		3		Cost of sales
Total before tax		(5)		(91)		1		(164)
Tax effect		2		32		-		59 Provision for income taxes
Net of tax	\$	(3)	\$	(59)	\$	1	\$	(105)
Total reclassifications for the period, net	*		4		Ŧ	-	4	()
of tax	\$	(63)	\$	(150)	\$	(120)	\$	(281)
01 шл	Ψ	(00)	Ψ	(150)	Ψ	(140)	Ψ	(201)

Purchase and Sale of Subsidiary Shares

In April 2014, 3M purchased the remaining noncontrolling interest in a consolidated 3M subsidiary for an immaterial amount, which was classified as other financing activity in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

In March 2013, 3M sold shares in 3M India Limited, a subsidiary of the Company, in return for \$8 million. The noncontrolling interest shares of this subsidiary trade on a public exchange in India. This sale of shares complied with an amendment to Indian securities regulations that required 3M India Limited, as a listed company, to achieve a minimum public shareholding of at least 25 percent. As a result of this transaction, 3M s ownership in 3M India Limited was reduced from 76 percent to 75 percent. The \$8 million received in the first quarter of 2013 was classified as other financing activity in the consolidated statement of cash flows. Because the Company retained its controlling interest, the sales resulted in an increase in 3M Company shareholder s equity of \$7 million and an increase in noncontrolling interest of \$1 million.

In July 2014, 3M announced that it will acquire (via Sumitomo 3M Limited) Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd. s 25 percent interest in 3M s consolidated Sumitomo 3M Limited subsidiary for 90 billion Japanese Yen (approximately \$885 million at announcement date exchange rates). Upon completion of the transaction, 3M will own 100 percent of Sumitomo 3M Limited. The transaction is expected to close on September 1, 2014 and will be reflected as a financing activity in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

NOTE 5. Income Taxes

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various states and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2005.

The IRS completed its field examination of the Company s U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2005 through 2007 in the fourth quarter of 2009. The Company protested certain IRS positions within these tax years and entered into the administrative appeals process with the IRS during the first quarter of 2010. During the first quarter of 2010, the IRS completed its field examination of the Company s U.S. federal income tax return for the 2008 year. The Company protested certain IRS positions for 2008 and entered into the administrative appeals process with the IRS during the second quarter of 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, the IRS completed its field examination of the Company s U.S. federal income tax return for the 2009 year. The Company protested certain IRS positions for 2009 and entered into the administrative appeals process with the IRS during the second quarter of 2011. During the first quarter of 2012, the IRS completed its field examination of the Company s U.S. federal income tax return for the 2010 year. The Company protested certain IRS positions for 2010 and entered into the administrative appeals process with the IRS during the second quarter of 2012. In December 2012, the Company received a statutory notice of deficiency for the 2006 year. The Company filed a petition in Tax Court in the first quarter of 2013 relating to the 2006 tax year. During the first quarter of 2014, the IRS completed its field examination of the Company protested certain IRS positions for 2011 and 2012 years. The Company protested certain IRS positions for 2010 and entered into the administrative appeals process with the IRS during the second quarter of 2012. In December 2013, the Company received a statutory notice of deficiency for the 2006 year. The Company filed a petition in Tax Court in the first quarter of 2013 relating to the 2006 tax year. During the first quarter of 2014, the IRS completed its field examination of the Company s U.S. federal income tax return for the 2011 and 2012 years. The Compan

Currently, the Company is under examination by the IRS for its U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2013 and 2014. It is anticipated that the IRS will complete its examination of the Company for 2013 by the end of the first quarter of 2015 and for 2014 by the end of the first quarter of 2016. As of June 30, 2014, the IRS has not proposed any significant adjustments to the Company s tax positions for which the Company is not adequately reserved.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company paid the agreed upon assessments for the 2005 tax year. During the second quarter of 2010, the Company paid the agreed upon assessments for the 2008 tax year. During the second quarter of 2011, the Company received a refund from the IRS for the 2004 tax year. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company paid the agreed upon assessments for the 2010 tax year. During the first quarter of 2012, the Company paid the agreed upon assessments for the 2010 tax year. During the first quarter of 2014, the Company received refunds from the IRS for the 2005, 2007, 2008, and 2009 tax years. In addition, during the first quarter of 2014, the Company paid the agreed upon assessments for the 2011 and 2012 tax years. Payments or refunds relating to other proposed assessments arising from the 2005 through 2014 examinations may not be made until a final agreement is reached between the Company and the IRS on such assessments or upon a final resolution resulting from the administrative appeals process or judicial action. In addition to the U.S. federal examination, there is also limited audit activity in several U.S. state and foreign jurisdictions.

3M anticipates changes to the Company s uncertain tax positions due to the closing of various audit years mentioned above. Currently, the Company is not able to reasonably estimate the amount by which the liability for unrecognized tax benefits will increase or decrease during the next 12 months as a result of the ongoing income tax authority examinations. The total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, are \$202 million and \$262 million.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expense. The Company recognized in the consolidated statement of income on a gross basis approximately \$2 million of expense and \$1 million of expense for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively, and approximately \$13 million in benefit and \$5 million of expense for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, accrued interest and penalties in the consolidated balance sheet on a gross basis were \$45 million and \$62 million, respectively. Included in these interest and penalty amounts are interest and

penalties related to tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authority to an earlier period.

The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2014 was 29.5 percent, compared to 27.4 percent in the second quarter of 2013, an increase of 2.1 percentage points. Factors that increased the Company s effective tax rate on a combined basis by 2.1 percentage points year-on-year included the 2013 restoration of tax basis on certain assets for which depreciation was previously limited, international taxes as a result of changes to the geographic mix of income before taxes, lapse of

0
×
0

the U.S. research and development credit as of January 1, 2014, adjustments to the Company s income tax reserves, and other items.

The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2014 was 28.5 percent, compared to 28.2 percent in the first six months of 2013, an increase of 0.3 percentage points. Factors which increased the Company s effective tax rate by 1.1 percentage points for the first six months of 2014 when compared to the same period for 2013 included the lapse of the U.S. research and development credit as of January 1, 2014, and international taxes as a result of changes to the geographic mix of income before taxes. This increase was partially offset by a 0.8 percentage point decrease as the result of adjustments to the Company s reserves and the restoration of tax basis on certain assets for which depreciation was previously limited.

The provision for income taxes is determined using the asset and liability approach. Under this approach, deferred income taxes represent the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets when uncertainty regarding their realizability exists. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had valuation allowances of \$28 million and \$23 million on its deferred tax assets, respectively.

NOTE 6. Marketable Securities

The Company invests in agency securities, corporate securities, asset-backed securities, treasury securities and other securities. The following is a summary of amounts recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet for marketable securities (current and non-current).

(Millions)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
U.S. government agency securities	\$ 78	\$ 103
Foreign government agency securities	70	30
Corporate debt securities	182	143
Commercial paper		60
Certificates of deposit/time deposits	38	20
U.S. municipal securities		2
Asset-backed securities:		
Automobile loan related	297	287
Credit card related	137	52
Equipment lease related	63	30
Other	19	29
Asset-backed securities total	516	398
Current marketable securities	\$ 884	\$ 756
U.S. government agency securities	\$ 70	\$ 131
Foreign government agency securities	50	95
Corporate debt securities	614	638
Certificates of deposit/time deposits	10	20
U.S. treasury securities	49	49
Auction rate securities	12	11
Asset-backed securities:		

Automobile loan related	24)	298
Credit card related	8	5	128
Equipment lease related	2	5	37
Other	4)	46
Asset-backed securities total	39)	509
Non-current marketable securities	\$ 1,20	\$	1,453
Total marketable securities	\$ 2,08	3 \$	2,209

Classification of marketable securities as current or non-current is dependent upon management s intended holding period, the security s maturity date and liquidity considerations based on market conditions. If management intends to

Table of Contents

hold the securities for longer than one year as of the balance sheet date, they are classified as non-current. At June 30, 2014, gross unrealized losses totaled approximately \$1 million (pre-tax), while gross unrealized gains totaled approximately \$1 million (pre-tax). At December 31, 2013, gross unrealized losses totaled approximately \$5 million (pre-tax), while gross unrealized gains totaled approximately \$1 million (pre-tax). Refer to Note 4 for a table that provides the net realized gains (losses) related to sales or impairments of debt and equity securities, which includes marketable securities. The gross amounts of the realized gains or losses were not material. Cost of securities sold use the first in, first out (FIFO) method. Since these marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities, changes in fair value will flow through other comprehensive income, with amounts reclassified out of other comprehensive income into earnings upon sale or other-than-temporary impairment.

3M reviews impairments associated with its marketable securities in accordance with the measurement guidance provided by ASC 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities, when determining the classification of the impairment as temporary or other-than-temporary . A temporary impairment charge results in an unrealized loss being recorded in the other comprehensive income component of shareholders equity. Such an unrealized loss does not reduce net income attributable to 3M for the applicable accounting period because the loss is not viewed as other-than-temporary. The factors evaluated to differentiate between temporary and other-than-temporary include the projected future cash flows, credit ratings actions, and assessment of the credit quality of the underlying collateral, as well as other factors.

The balances at June 30, 2014 for marketable securities by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations without prepayment penalties.

(Millions)	June	June 30, 2014	
Due in one year or less	\$	329	
Due after one year through five years		1,737	
Due after five years through ten years		22	
Due after ten years			
Total marketable securities	\$	2,088	

1 otal marketable securities

3M has a diversified marketable securities portfolio with a fair market value of \$2.088 billion as of June 30, 2014. Within this portfolio, current and long-term asset-backed securities (estimated fair value of \$915 million) primarily include interests in automobile loans, credit cards and equipment leases. 3M s investment policy allows investments in asset-backed securities with minimum credit ratings of Aa2 by Moody s Investors Service or AA by Standard & Poor s or Fitch Ratings or DBRS. Asset-backed securities must be rated by at least two of the aforementioned rating agencies, one of which must be Moody s Investors Service or Standard & Poor s. At June 30, 2014, all asset-backed security investments were in compliance with this policy. Approximately 96.5 percent of all asset-backed security investments were rated AAA or A-1+ by Standard & Poor s and/or Aaa or P-1 by Moody s Investors Service.

3M s marketable securities portfolio includes auction rate securities that represent interests in investment grade credit default swaps; however, currently these holdings comprise less than one percent of this portfolio. The estimated fair value of auction rate securities was \$12 million at June 30, 2014 and \$11 million at December 31, 2013. Gross unrealized losses within accumulated other comprehensive income related to auction rate securities totaled \$1 million (pre-tax) at June 30, 2014 and \$2 million (pre-tax) at December 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2014, auction rate securities associated with these balances have been in a loss position for more than 12 months. Since the second half of 2007, these auction rate securities failed to auction due to sell orders exceeding buy orders. Liquidity for these auction-rate securities is typically provided by an auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, usually every 7, 28, 35, or 90 days. The funds associated with failed auctions will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs or a buyer is found outside of the auction process. Refer to Note 10 for a table that reconciles the beginning and ending balances of auction rate securities.

NOTE 7. Long-Term Debt and Short-Term Borrowings

The Company has a well-known seasoned issuer shelf registration statement, effective May 16, 2014, which registers an indeterminate amount of debt or equity securities for future sales. This replaced 3M s previous shelf registration dated August 5, 2011. In June 2014, in connection with the May 16, 2014 shelf registration, 3M re-commenced its medium-term notes program (Series F) under which 3M may issue, from time to time, up to \$9 billion aggregate principal amount of notes. Included in this \$9 billion are \$2.25 billion of notes previously issued in 2011 and 2012 as part of Series F. In June 2014, 3M issued \$625 million aggregate principal amount of five-year fixed rate medium-term notes due 2019 with a coupon rate of 1.625%. Upon debt issuance, the Company entered into an interest rate swap to convert \$600 million of thirty-year fixed rate medium-term notes due 2014 with a coupon rate of 3.875%. Both June 2014 debt issuances were from the medium-term notes program (Series F). In addition, subsequent to quarter-end, in July 2014, 3M repaid 1.025 billion Euros of maturing Eurobond notes funded primarily through the issuances of commercial paper.

NOTE 8. Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans

Net periodic benefit cost is recorded in cost of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses, and research, development and related expenses. Components of net periodic benefit cost and other supplemental information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 follow:

Benefit Plan Information

				ualified and Pension	Non-	fits		- ,	Postreti		nt
	•	United	States			Intern	ationa		Bene	efits	
(Millions)	20	014		2013		2014		2013	2014		2013
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)											
Service cost	\$	60	\$	64	\$	35	\$	36	\$ 17	\$	20
Interest cost		169		149		65		61	24		22
Expected return on plan assets		(261)		(261)		(80)		(75)	(23)		(23)
Amortization of transition (asset)											
obligation											
Amortization of prior service cost (benefit)		1		1		(4)		(5)	(12)		(16)
Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss		61		100		31		40	15		24
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	\$	30	\$	53	\$	47	\$	57	\$ 21	\$	27
Settlements, curtailments, special											
termination benefits and other											
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit) after											
settlements, curtailments, special											
	\$	30	\$	53	\$	47	\$	57	\$ 21	\$	27

		Q	ualified and l		Six months er qualified	nded J	une 30,			
			Pension 1	Bene	fits			Postreti	reme	at
	United	State	s		Intern	ationa	վ	Ben	efits	
(Millions)	 2014		2013		2014		2013	2014		2013
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)										
Service cost	\$ 120	\$	128	\$	71	\$	72	\$ 33	\$	40
Interest cost	338		299		129		122	48		44
Expected return on plan assets	(522)		(522)		(159)		(150)	(45)		(45)
Amortization of transition (asset)										
obligation							(1)			
Amortization of prior service cost										
(benefit)	2		2		(8)		(9)	(24)		(33)
Amortization of net actuarial (gain) loss	122		200		62		80	29		48
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit)	\$ 60	\$	107	\$	95	\$	114	\$ 41	\$	54
Settlements, curtailments, special										
termination benefits and other										
Net periodic benefit cost (benefit) after										
settlements, curtailments, special										
termination benefits and other	\$ 60	\$	107	\$	95	\$	114	\$ 41	\$	54

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, contributions totaling \$74 million were made to the Company s U.S. and international pension plans and \$3 million to its postretirement plans. For total year 2014, the Company expects to contribute approximately \$100 million to \$200 million of cash to its global pension and postretirement plans. The Company does not have a required minimum cash pension contribution obligation for its U.S. plans in 2014. Therefore, the amount of future discretionary pension contributions could vary significantly depending on the U.S. plans funded status and the anticipated tax deductibility of the contributions. Future contributions will also depend on market conditions, interest rates and other factors. 3M s annual measurement date for pension and postretirement assets and liabilities is December 31 each year, which is also the date used for the related annual measurement assumptions.

3M was informed during the first quarter of 2009 that the general partners of WG Trading Company, in which 3M s benefit plans hold limited partnership interests, are the subject of a criminal investigation as well as civil proceedings by the SEC and CFTC (Commodity Futures Trading Commission). In March 2011, over the objections of 3M and six other limited partners of WG Trading Company, the district court judge ruled in favor of the court appointed receiver s proposed distribution plan (and in April 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed the district court s ruling). The benefit plan trustee holdings of WG Trading Company interests were adjusted to reflect the decreased estimated fair market value, inclusive of estimated insurance proceeds, as of the annual measurement dates. The Company has insurance that it believes, based on what is currently known, will result in the recovery of a portion of the

decrease in original asset value. As of the 2013 measurement date these holdings represented less than one percent of 3M s fair value of total plan assets. 3M currently believes that the resolution of these events will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

In addition, the Company also sponsors employee savings plans under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, as discussed in Note 10 in 3M s Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014 (which updated 3M s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

NOTE 9. Derivatives

The Company uses interest rate swaps, currency swaps, commodity price swaps, and forward and option contracts to manage risks generally associated with foreign exchange rate, interest rate and commodity price fluctuations. The information that follows explains the various types of derivatives and financial instruments used by 3M, how and why 3M uses such instruments, how such instruments are accounted for, and how such instruments impact 3M s financial position and performance.

Additional information with respect to the impacts on other comprehensive income of nonderivative hedging and derivative instruments is included in Note 4. Additional information with respect to the fair value of derivative instruments is included in Note 10. References to information regarding derivatives and/or hedging instruments associated with the Company s long-term debt are also made in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in 3M s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014 (which updated 3M s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Types of Derivatives/Hedging Instruments and Inclusion in Income/Other Comprehensive Income

Cash Flow Hedges:

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

Cash Flow Hedging - Foreign Currency Forward and Option Contracts: The Company enters into foreign exchange forward and option contracts to hedge against the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash flows denominated in foreign currencies and certain intercompany financing transactions. These transactions are designated as cash flow hedges. The settlement or extension of these derivatives will result in reclassifications (from accumulated other comprehensive income) to earnings in the period during which the hedged transactions affect earnings. Generally, 3M dedesignates these cash flow hedge relationships in advance of the occurrence of the forecasted transaction. The portion of gains or losses on the derivative instrument previously accumulated in other comprehensive income for dedesignated hedges remains in accumulated other comprehensive income and are included in the Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments section below. Hedge ineffectiveness and the amount excluded from effectiveness testing recognized in income on cash flow hedges were not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. Beginning in the second quarter of 2014 3M began extending the maximum length of time over which it hedges its exposure to the variability in future cash flows of the forecasted transactions from a previous term of 12 months to a longer term of 24 months. At June 30, 2014, the majority of the Company s open foreign exchange forward and option contracts designated as cash flow hedges at June 30, 2014 was approximately \$1.9 billion.

Cash Flow Hedging - Commodity Price Management: The Company manages commodity price risks through negotiated supply contracts, price protection agreements and forward physical contracts. The Company uses commodity price swaps relative to natural gas as cash flow hedges of

forecasted transactions to manage price volatility. The related mark-to-market gain or loss on qualifying hedges is included in other comprehensive income to the extent effective, and reclassified into cost of sales in the period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Generally, the length of time over which 3M hedges its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for its forecasted natural gas transactions is 12 months. No significant commodity cash flow hedges were discontinued and hedge ineffectiveness was not material for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. The dollar equivalent gross notional amount of the Company s natural gas commodity price swaps designated as cash flow hedges at June 30, 2014 was \$22 million.

Cash Flow Hedging Forecasted Debt Issuance: In August 2011, in anticipation of the September 2011 issuance of \$1 billion in five-year fixed rate notes, 3M executed a pre-issuance cash flow hedge on a notional amount of \$400 million by entering into a forward-starting five-year floating-to-fixed interest rate swap. Upon debt issuance in September 2011, 3M terminated the floating-to-fixed interest rate swap. The termination of the swap resulted in a \$7 million pre-tax loss (\$4 million after-tax) that is amortized over the five-year life of the note and, when material, is included in the tables below as part of the loss recognized in income on the effective portion of derivatives as a result of reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had a balance of \$15 million associated with the after-tax net unrealized loss associated with cash flow hedging instruments recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. This net loss

Table of Contents

includes a \$2 million balance (loss) related to a floating-to-fixed interest rate swap (discussed in the preceding paragraph), which is being amortized over the five-year life of the note. 3M expects to reclassify a majority of the remaining balance to earnings over the next 12 months (with the impact offset by cash flows from underlying hedged items).

The location in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are provided in the following table. Reclassifications of amounts from accumulated other comprehensive income into income include accumulated gains (losses) on dedesignated hedges at the time earnings are impacted by the forecasted transaction.

The Company revised amounts previously presented in the tables below for the pretax gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income on effective portion of derivative (Gain Recognized in OCI) and the pretax gain (loss) recognized in income on effective portion of derivative as a result of reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income (Gain Reclassified into Income) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 relative to foreign currency forward contracts. These immaterial corrections decreased both the previously presented amounts of the Gain Recognized in OCI and the Gain Reclassified into Income in the disclosure tables below by \$37 million and \$2 million in for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The revisions had no impact on the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Three months ended June 30, 2014

(Millions)	Pretax Gain (Lo Recognized in Ot Comprehensiv Income on Effec Portion of Deriva	ther e tive	Pretax Gain (L Income on Ef Derivative Reclassif Accumu Comprehe	fective l as a Re ication f lated O	Portion of esult of from ther	Ineffective I (Loss) on I Amount E Effective Recogniz	Derivati xcludeo ness Te	ive and d from esting
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount		Location		Amount	Location	cu in in	Amount
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	\$	(20)	Cost of sales	\$	(6)	Cost of sales	\$	
Commodity price swap contracts		(1)	Cost of sales		1	Cost of sales		
Total	\$	(21)		\$	(5)		\$	

Six months ended June 30, 2014

(Millions)	Pretax Gain (Lo Recognized in Ot Comprehensiv Income on Effec Portion of Deriva	ther e tive	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Effective Portion of Derivative as a Result of Reclassification from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income			Ineffective Portion of Gain (Loss) on Derivative and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing Recognized in Income		
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount	uive	Location	Amou		Location	Amount	
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	\$	(13)	Cost of sales	\$	(2)	Cost of sales	\$	
Commodity price swap contracts		1	Cost of sales		3	Cost of sales		
Total	\$	(12)		\$	1		\$	

Three months ended June 30, 2013

(Millions)	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Effective Portion of Derivative	Income on Effe Derivative a Reclassific Accumula	ss) Recognized in ctive Portion of s a Result of ation from ted Other sive Income	Ineffective Port (Loss) on Deri Amount Exclu Effectiveness Recognized in	vative and ided from 5 Testing
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount	Location	Amount	Location	Amount
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	\$ 10	Cost of sales	\$ (3)) Cost of sales	\$
Foreign currency forward contracts	(86	Interest) expense	(89)	Interest expense	
Commodity price swap contracts	(3) Cost of sales	1	Cost of sales	
Total	\$ (79)	\$ (91))	\$

Six months ended June 30, 2013

(Millions)	Pretax Gain (Lo Recognized in Ot Comprehensiv Income on Effect Portion of Deriva	oss) Ir ther 'e tive	etax Gain (Loss) Re ncome on Effective Derivative as a Ro Reclassification Accumulated O Comprehensive I	Portion of esult of from other	Ineffective Po (Loss) on De Amount Exc Effectivence Recognized	rivative and cluded from ess Testing
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount	L	ocation	Amount	Location	Amount
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	\$	41 Cost	of sales \$	(9)	Cost of sales	\$
Foreign currency forward contracts		Inter (154) expe		(155)	Interest expense	
Commodity price swap contracts		(1) Cost		(155)	Cost of sales	
Total	\$	(1) Cost (114)	\$	(164)		\$

Fair Value Hedges:

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivatives as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings.

Fair Value Hedging - Interest Rate Swaps: The Company manages interest expense using a mix of fixed and floating rate debt. To help manage borrowing costs, the Company may enter into interest rate swaps. Under these arrangements, the Company agrees to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed and floating interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount. The mark-to-market of these fair value hedges is recorded as gains or losses in interest expense and is offset by the gain or loss of the underlying debt instrument, which also is recorded in interest expense. These fair value hedges are highly effective and, thus, there is no impact on earnings due to hedge ineffectiveness. The dollar equivalent (based on inception date foreign currency exchange rates) gross notional amount of the Company s interest rate swaps at June 30, 2014 was \$1.3 billion.

At June 30, 2014, the Company had interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges of underlying fixed rate obligations. In July 2007, in connection with the issuance of a seven-year Eurobond for an amount of 750 million Euros, the Company completed a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap on a notional amount of 400 million Euros as a fair value hedge of a portion of the fixed interest rate Eurobond obligation. In August 2010, the Company terminated 150 million Euros of the notional amount of this swap. As a result, a gain of 18 million Euros, recorded as part of the balance of the underlying debt, is amortized as an offset to interest expense over this debt s remaining life. Prior to termination of the applicable portion of the interest rate swap, the mark-to-market of the hedge instrument was recorded as gains or losses in interest expense and was offset by the gain or loss on carrying value of the underlying debt instrument. Consequently, the subsequent amortization of the 18 million Euros recorded as part of the underlying debt balance is not part of the gain on hedged items recognized in income in the tables below.

Table of Contents

In November 2013, 3M issued an eight-year 1.875% fixed rate Eurobond for a face amount of 600 million Euros. Upon debt issuance, 3M completed a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap on a notional amount of 300 million Euros as a fair value hedge of a portion of the fixed interest rate Eurobond obligation.

In June 2014, 3M issued a five-year 1.625% fixed rate medium-term note for a face amount of \$625 million. Upon debt issuance, 3M completed a fixed-to-floating interest rate swap on a notional amount of \$600 million as a fair value hedge of a portion of the fixed interest rate medium-term note obligation.

The location in the consolidated statements of income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges and similar information relative to the hedged items are as follows:

Three months ended June 30, 2014

(Millions)	· · · · ·) on Derivative ed in Income	Gain (Loss) Recogniz		
Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location	Amount	Location	Amoun	t
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest expense	\$	6 Interest expense	\$	(6)
Total		\$	6	\$	(6)

Six months ended June 30, 2014

(Millions)	Gain (Loss Recogniz	Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item Recognized in Income				
Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location	Amount		Location		Amount
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest expense	\$	13	Interest expense	\$	(13)
Total		\$	13		\$	(13)

Three months ended June 30, 2013

(Millions)) on Derivative ed in Income	Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item Recognized in Income			
Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location	Amount	Location	Amoun	t	
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest expense	\$	(3) Interest expense	\$	3	
Total		\$	(3)	\$	3	

Six months ended June 30, 2013

(Millions)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) on Derivative ed in Income	· · · ·	Gain (Loss) on Hedged Item Recognized in Income			
Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships	Location	Amount	Location	Amo	ount		
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest expense	\$	(8) Interest expense	\$	8		
Total		\$	(8)	\$	8		

Net Investment Hedges:

As circumstances warrant, the Company uses cross currency swaps, forwards and foreign currency denominated debt to hedge portions of the Company s net investments in foreign operations. For hedges that meet the effectiveness requirements, the net gains or losses attributable to changes in spot exchange rates are recorded in cumulative translation within other comprehensive income. The remainder of the change in value of such instruments is recorded in earnings. Recognition in earnings of amounts previously recorded in cumulative translation is limited to circumstances such as complete or substantially complete liquidation of the net investment in the hedged foreign operation.

In addition to the derivative instruments used as hedging instruments in net investment hedges, 3M also uses foreign currency denominated debt as nonderivative hedging instruments in certain net investment hedges. In July and December 2007, the Company issued seven-year fixed rate Eurobond securities for amounts of 750 million Euros and 275 million Euros, respectively. 3M designated each of these Eurobond issuances as hedging instruments of the Company s net investment in its European subsidiaries. In the second quarter of 2014, the Company dedesignated 716 million Euros of the seven-year Eurobond securities due in July 2014 from the net investment hedge relationship. Accordingly, changes in carrying value of this portion of the foreign currency denominated debt due to exchange rate changes will be recorded in earnings going forward through their July 2014 maturity.

In November 2013, the Company issued eight-year fixed rate Eurobond securities for 600 million Euros. 3M designated each of these Eurobond issuances as hedging instruments of the Company s net investment in its European subsidiaries.

In anticipation of the November 2013 Eurobond issuance, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts with notional amounts totaling 594 million Euros. These forward contracts were designated as hedging instruments of the Company s net investment in its European subsidiaries. These contracts matured in November 2013.

Table of Contents

In the second quarter of 2014, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts with notional amounts totaling 450 million Euros. These forward contracts were designated as hedging instruments of the Company s net investment in its European subsidiaries. These contracts mature in September 2014.

The location in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative and nonderivative instruments designated as net investment hedges are as follows. There were no reclassifications of the effective portion of net investment hedges out of accumulated other comprehensive income into income for the periods presented in the table below.

Three months ended June 30, 2014

Derivative and Nonderivative Instruments in Net Investment Hedging Relationships	Pretax Gain (Recognized as Cu Translation with Comprehensive on Effective Pon Instrumer	imulative in Other Income rtion of			
(Millions)	Amount		Location	Amount	
Foreign currency denominated debt	\$	(18)	N/A	\$	
Foreign currency forward contracts		1	N/A		
Total	\$	(17)		\$	

Six months ended June 30, 2014

Derivative and Nonderivative Instruments in Net Investment Hedging Relationships	Pretax Gai Recognized as Translation w Comprehensi on Effective Instrur	Cumulative ithin Other ive Income Portion of	Ineffective Portion of Gain (Loss) on Instrument and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing Recognized in Income		
(Millions)	Amou	int Loca	ation Amoun	t	
Foreign currency denominated debt	\$	(27) N/A	\$		
Foreign currency forward contracts		1 N/A			
Total	\$	(26)	\$		

Three months ended June 30, 2013

	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized as Cumulative		Portion of Gain
	Translation within Other	(Loss) on I	nstrument and
	Comprehensive Income	Amount H	Excluded from
Derivative and Nonderivative Instruments in Net Investment Hedging	on Effective Portion of	Effective	eness Testing
Relationships	Instrument	Recogniz	ed in Income
(Millions)	Amount	Location	Amount
Foreign currency denominated debt	\$ (24)	N/A	\$

	Edgar Filing: 3M CO - Form 10-Q							
Total	\$	(24)	\$					
Six months ended June 30, 2013								

Derivative and Nonderivative Instruments in Net Investment Hedging	Pretax Gain (Loss Recognized as Cumul Translation within O Comprehensive Inco on Effective Portion	ative ther ome	(Loss) o Amoun Effect	ve Portion of Gain n Instrument and it Excluded from iveness Testing
Relationships (Millions)	Instrument Amount		Recog Location	nized in Income Amount
Foreign currency denominated debt	\$	17	N/A	\$
Total	\$	17	1.011	\$

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments include dedesignated foreign currency forward and option contracts that formerly were designated in cash flow hedging relationships (as referenced in the preceding Cash Flow Hedges section). In addition, 3M enters into foreign currency forward contracts and commodity price swaps to offset, in part, the impacts of certain intercompany activities (primarily associated with intercompany licensing arrangements) and

Table of Contents

fluctuations in costs associated with the use of certain precious metals, respectively. These derivative instruments are not designated in hedging relationships; therefore, fair value gains and losses on these contracts are recorded in earnings. The dollar equivalent gross notional amount of these forward, option and swap contracts not designated as hedging instruments totaled \$8.1 billion as of June 30, 2014. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

The Company revised amounts previously presented in the tables below for the gain (loss) on derivative recognized in income (Gain Recognized in Income) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 relative to foreign currency forward contracts. These immaterial corrections decreased the previously presented amounts of the Gain Recognized in Income in the disclosure tables below by \$21 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. The revisions had no impact on the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition

The location in the consolidated statements of income and amounts of gains and losses related to derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments are as follows:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Gain (Loss) on E	s ended June 30, 2014 Derivative Recognized Income		Six months ended June 30, 2014 Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in Income		
(Millions)	Location	Amount	Location	Am	ount	
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	Cost of sales	\$	(2) Cost of sales	\$	(3)	
Foreign currency forward contracts	Interest expense		35 Interest expense		68	
Total	•	\$	33	\$	65	

	Three months Gain (Loss) on I	-	/	Six months ended June 30, 2013 Gain (Loss) on Derivative Recognized in		
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		Income			Income	
(Millions)	Location		Amount	Location		Amount
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	Cost of sales	\$	21	Cost of sales	\$	31
Foreign currency forward contracts	Interest expense		(27)	Interest expense		(6)
Commodity price swap contracts	Cost of sales		(1)	Cost of sales		(1)
Total		\$	(7)		\$	24

Location and Fair Value Amount of Derivative Instruments

The following tables summarize the fair value of 3M s derivative instruments, excluding nonderivative instruments used as hedging instruments, and their location in the consolidated balance sheet. Additional information with respect to the fair value of derivative instruments is included in Note 10.

June 30, 2014

(Millions)		Assets			Liabilities	
Fair Value of Derivative Instruments	Location		Amount	Location		Amount
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments						
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	Other current assets	\$	12	Other current liabilities	\$	23
Commodity price swap contracts	Other current assets		1	Other current liabilities		1
Interest rate swap contracts	Other assets		17	Other liabilities		2
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$	30		\$	26
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments						
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	Other current assets	\$	40	Other current liabilities	\$	31
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$	40		\$	31
Total derivative instruments		\$	70		\$	57

December 31, 2013

(Millions)	Assets					
Fair Value of Derivative Instruments	Location		Amount	Location		Amount
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments						
Earsign auronau forward/antion contracts	Other current			Other current		
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	assets	\$	24	liabilities	\$	35
Commodity price system contracts	Other current			Other current		
Commodity price swap contracts	assets		1	liabilities		
Interest rate swap contracts	Other assets		8	Other liabilities		7
Total derivatives designated as hedging						
instruments		\$	33		\$	42
Derivatives not designated as hedging						
instruments						
	Other current			Other current		
Foreign currency forward/option contracts	assets	\$	51	liabilities	\$	68
		\$	51		\$	68



Credit Risk and Offsetting of Assets and Liabilities of Derivative Instruments

The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties in interest rate swaps, currency swaps, commodity price swaps, and forward and option contracts. However, the Company s risk is limited to the fair value of the instruments. The Company actively monitors its exposure to credit risk through the use of credit approvals and credit limits, and by selecting major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties. 3M enters into master netting arrangements with counterparties when possible to mitigate credit risk in derivative transactions. A master netting arrangement may allow each counterparty to net settle amounts owed between a 3M entity and the counterparty as a result of multiple, separate derivative transactions. As of June 30, 2014, 3M has International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) agreements with 12 applicable banks and financial institutions which contain netting provisions. In addition to a master agreement with 3M supported by a primary counterparty s parent guarantee, 3M also has associated credit support agreements in place with 11 of its primary derivative counterparties which, among other things, provide the circumstances under which either party is required to post eligible collateral (when the market value of transactions covered by these agreements exceeds specified thresholds or if a counterparty s credit rating has been downgraded to a predetermined rating). The Company does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties.

3M has elected to present the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities within the Company s consolidated balance sheet on a gross basis even when derivative transactions are subject to master netting arrangements and may otherwise qualify for net presentation. However, the following tables provide information as if the Company had elected to offset the asset and liability balances of derivative instruments, netted in accordance with various criteria in the event of default or

Table of Contents

termination as stipulated by the terms of netting arrangements with each of the counterparties. For each counterparty, if netted, the Company would offset the asset and liability balances of all derivatives at the end of the reporting period based on the 3M entity that is a party to the transactions. Derivatives not subject to master netting agreements are not eligible for net presentation. As of the applicable dates presented below, no cash collateral had been received or pledged related to these derivative instruments.

Offsetting of Financial Assets/Liabilities under Master Netting Agreements with Derivative Counterparties

June 30, 2014

(Millions)	Deriv Pres Co	s Amount of vative Assets ented in the nsolidated ance Sheet	Gro Elig 1	Gross Amounts not solidated Balance She to Master Netting oss Amount of ible Offsetting Recognized ative Liabilities	eet that are Subject	 Amount of ative Assets
Derivatives subject to master netting						
agreements	\$	69	\$	33	\$	\$ 36
Derivatives not subject to master netting						
agreements		1				1
Total	\$	70				\$ 37

June 30, 2014

(Millions)	Derivative Present Conso	mount of e Liabilities ed in the blidated ce Sheet	Gro Elig	Gross Amounts not asolidated Balance She to Master Netting A oss Amount of gible Offsetting Recognized rivative Assets	et that are Subject	Net Amou Derivative Li	
Derivatives subject to master netting agreements	\$	57	\$	33	\$	\$	24
Derivatives not subject to master netting agreements							
Total	\$	57				\$	24

December 31, 2013

(Mil	lion	c)
(IVIII	non	SJ

Gross Amount of Derivative Assets Presented in the

Gross Amounts not Offset in the **Consolidated Balance Sheet that are Subject** to Master Netting Agreements **Gross Amount of Cash Collateral** Net Amount of **Eligible Offsetting** Received

Derivative Assets

	Consolidated Balance Sheet			ognized re Liabilities		
Derivatives subject to master netting						
agreements	\$:	83	\$	51	\$ \$	32
Derivatives not subject to master netting						
agreements		1				1
Total	\$:	84			\$	33
			31			

December 31, 2013

(Millions)	Deriva Pres Co	s Amount of tive Liabilities ented in the nsolidated ance Sheet	Gı Eli	Gross Amounts not nsolidated Balance Sh to Master Netting ross Amount of gible Offsetting Recognized erivative Assets	eet that are Subject		mount of e Liabilities
Derivatives subject to master netting agreements	\$	110	\$	51	\$	\$	59
Derivatives not subject to master netting agreements	Ψ	110	Ψ	51	Ψ	Ψ	57
Total	\$	110				\$	59

Currency Effects

3M estimates that year-on-year currency effects, including hedging impacts, decreased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$25 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and decreased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$54 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014. This estimate includes the effect of translating profits from local currencies into U.S. dollars and the impact of currency fluctuations on the transfer of goods between 3M operations in the United States and abroad. This estimate also includes year-on-year currency effects from transaction gains and losses, including derivative instruments designed to reduce foreign currency exchange rate risks and the negative impact of converting Venezuelan bolivars into Euros and U.S. dollars, which 3M estimates decreased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$25 million for three months ended June 30, 2014 and decreased net income attributable to 3M by approximately \$25 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

NOTE 10. Fair Value Measurements

3M follows ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, with respect to assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and nonrecurring basis. Under the standard, fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The standard also establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company s assumptions about the factors market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability developed based or liabilities. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs (other than quoted prices) that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:

For 3M, assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis primarily relate to available-for-sale marketable securities, available-for-sale investments (included as part of investments in the Consolidated Balance Sheet) and certain derivative instruments. Derivatives include cash flow hedges, interest rate swaps and most net investment hedges. The information in the following paragraphs and tables primarily addresses matters relative to these financial assets and liabilities. Separately, there were no material fair value measurements with respect to nonfinancial assets or liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the Company s financial statements on a recurring basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

3M uses various valuation techniques, which are primarily based upon the market and income approaches, with respect to financial assets and liabilities. Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for the respective financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

Available-for-sale marketable securities except auction rate securities:

Marketable securities, except auction rate securities, are valued utilizing multiple sources. A weighted average price is used for these securities. Market prices are obtained for these securities from a variety of industry standard data providers, security master files from large financial institutions, and other third-party sources. These multiple prices are used as inputs into a distribution-curve-based algorithm to determine the daily fair value to be used. 3M classifies U.S. treasury securities as level 1, while all other marketable securities (excluding auction rate securities) are classified as level 2. Marketable securities are discussed further in Note 6.

Available-for-sale marketable securities auction rate securities only:

As discussed in Note 6, auction rate securities held by 3M failed to auction since the second half of 2007. As a result, investments in auction rate securities are valued utilizing third-party indicative bid levels in markets that are not active and broker-dealer valuation models that utilize inputs such as current/forward interest rates, current market conditions and credit default swap spreads. 3M classifies these securities as level 3.

Available-for-sale investments:

Investments include equity securities that are traded in an active market. Closing stock prices are readily available from active markets and are used as being representative of fair value. 3M classifies these securities as level 1.

Derivative instruments:

The Company s derivative assets and liabilities within the scope of ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, are required to be recorded at fair value. The Company s derivatives that are recorded at fair value include foreign currency forward and option contracts, commodity price swaps, interest rate swaps, and net investment hedges where the hedging instrument is recorded at fair value. Net investment hedges that use foreign currency denominated debt to hedge 3M s net investment are not impacted by the fair value measurement standard under ASC 820, as the debt used as the hedging instrument is

Table of Contents

marked to a value with respect to changes in spot foreign currency exchange rates and not with respect to other factors that may impact fair value.

3M has determined that foreign currency forwards and commodity price swaps will be considered level 1 measurements as these are traded in active markets which have identical asset or liabilities, while currency swaps, foreign currency options, interest rate swaps and cross-currency swaps will be considered level 2. For level 2 derivatives, 3M uses inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset. These inputs include foreign currency exchange rates, volatilities, and interest rates. The level 2 derivative positions are primarily valued using standard calculations/models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters. Industry standard data providers are 3M s primary source for forward and spot rate information for both interest rates and currency rates, with resulting valuations periodically validated through third-party or counterparty quotes and a net present value stream of cash flows model.

The following tables provide information by level for assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

(Millions) Description	-	Fair Value at une 30, 2014	Level 1		Using Inpu	e Measurements its Considered as Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:	J	une 30, 2014	Level 1		ſ	Level 2	Level 5	
Available-for-sale:								
Marketable securities:								
U.S. government agency securities	\$	148	\$		\$	148	\$	
Foreign government agency securities		120				120		
Corporate debt securities		796				796		
Certificates of deposit/time deposits		48				48		
Asset-backed securities:								
Automobile loan related		537				537		
Credit card related		222				222		
Equipment lease related		88				88		
Other		68				68		
U.S. treasury securities		49		49				
Auction rate securities		12						12
Investments		1		1				
Derivative instruments assets:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts		52		52				
Commodity price swap contracts		1		1				
Interest rate swap contracts		17				17		
Liabilities:								
Derivative instruments liabilities:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts		54		54				
Commodity price swap contracts		1		1				
Interest rate swap contracts		2				2		

(Millions) Description	Fair Value at December 31, 2013			Level 1	Fair V Using	;	Level 3	
Assets:								
Available-for-sale:								
Marketable securities:								
U.S. government agency securities	\$	234	\$		\$	234	\$	
Foreign government agency securities		125				125		
Corporate debt securities		781				781		
Certificates of deposit/time deposits		40				40		
Commercial paper		60				60		
Asset-backed securities:								
Automobile loan related		585				585		
Credit card related		180				180		
Equipment lease related		67				67		
Other		75				75		
U.S. treasury securities		49			49			
U.S. municipal securities		2				2		
Auction rate securities		11						1
Investments		2			2			
Derivative instruments assets:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts		75			75			
Commodity price swap contracts		1			1			
Interest rate swap contracts		8				8		
— —								
Liabilities:								
Derivative instruments liabilities:								
Foreign currency forward/option contracts		103			103			
Interest rate swap contracts		7				7		

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of items measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the table above that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Three months ended June 30,					Six months ended June 30,					
	2014			2013		2014			2013	
\$		12	\$	-	\$	1	11	\$		7
				3			1			3
		12		10)		12			10
	\$	2014	June 2014 \$ 12	June 30, 2014 \$ 12 \$	June 30, 2014 2013 \$ 12 \$ 7	June 30, 2014 2013 \$ 12 \$ 7 \$ 3	June 30, 2014 2013 2014 \$ 12 \$ 7 \$ 3	June 30, Jun 2014 2013 2014 \$ 12 \$ 7 \$ 11 3 1	June 30, 2014 2013 2014 \$ 12 \$ 7 \$ 11 \$ 3 1	June 30, 2014 2013 2014 2013 \$ 12 \$ 7 \$ 11 \$ 3 1

In addition, the plan assets of 3M s pension and postretirement benefit plans are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually). Refer to Note 10 in 3M s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014 (which updated 3M s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K).

Assets and Liabilities that are Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis:

Disclosures are required for certain assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, but are recognized and disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in periods subsequent to initial recognition. For 3M, such measurements of fair value relate primarily to long-lived asset impairments. There were no material long-lived asset impairments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments:

The Company s financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable, certain investments, accounts payable, borrowings, and derivative contracts. The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt (except the Eurobond securities totaling 1.025 billion Euros, which were moved from long-term debt to current portion of long-term debt in July 2013 and are shown separately in the table below) approximated carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. Available-for-sale marketable securities and investments, in addition to certain derivative instruments, are recorded at fair values as indicated in the preceding disclosures. For its long-term debt the Company utilized third-party quotes to estimate fair values (classified as level 2). Information with respect to the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of these financial instruments follow:

	June 30, 2014					December	31, 201	3
		Carrying		Fair		Carrying		Fair
(Millions)		Value		Value		Value		Value
Eurobond securities due July 2014	\$	1,396	\$	1,398	\$	1,424	\$	1,447
Long-term debt, excluding current portion		5,323		5,575		4,326		4,463

The fair values reflected above consider the terms of the related debt absent the impacts of derivative/hedging activity. The carrying amount of long-term debt referenced above is impacted by certain fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps that are designated as fair value hedges and by the designation of fixed rate Eurobond securities issued by the Company as hedging instruments of the Company s net investment in its European subsidiaries. Many of 3M s fixed-rate bonds were trading at a premium at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 due to the low interest rates and tightening of 3M s credit spreads.

NOTE 11. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings:

The Company and some of its subsidiaries are involved in numerous claims and lawsuits, principally in the United States, and regulatory proceedings worldwide. These include various products liability (involving products that the Company now or formerly manufactured and sold), intellectual property, and commercial claims and lawsuits, including those brought under the antitrust laws, and environmental proceedings. Unless otherwise stated, the Company is vigorously defending all such litigation. Additional information about the Company's process for disclosure and recording of liabilities and insurance receivables related to legal proceedings can be found in Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as updated by the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014.

The following table shows the major categories of significant legal matters respirator mask/asbestos litigation (including Aearo described below), environmental remediation and other environmental liabilities for which the Company has been able to estimate its probable liability and for which the Company has recorded accruals and the related insurance receivables:

Liability and Receivable Balances (Millions)	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Respirator mask/asbestos liabilities	\$ 153	\$ 152
Respirator mask/asbestos insurance receivables	47	58
Environmental remediation liabilities	\$ 26	\$ 27
Environmental remediation insurance receivables	11	11
Other environmental liabilities	\$ 45	\$ 48
Other environmental insurance receivables	15	15

The following sections first describe the significant legal proceedings in which the Company is involved, and then describe the liabilities and associated insurance receivables the Company has accrued relating to its significant legal proceedings.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation

As of June 30, 2014, the Company is a named defendant, with multiple co-defendants, in numerous lawsuits in various courts that purport to represent approximately 2,225 individual claimants, compared to approximately 2,200 individual claimants with actions pending at December 31, 2013.

The vast majority of the lawsuits and claims resolved by and currently pending against the Company allege use of some of the Company s mask and respirator products and seek damages from the Company and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica, coal mine dust or other occupational dusts found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace. A minority of the lawsuits and claims resolved by and currently pending against the Company generally allege personal injury from occupational exposure to asbestos from products previously manufactured by the Company, which are often unspecified, as well as products manufactured by other defendants, or occasionally at Company premises.

The Company s current volume of new and pending matters is substantially lower than its historical experience over a decade ago. The Company expects that filing of claims by unimpaired claimants in the future will continue to be at much lower levels than in the past. Accordingly, the number of claims alleging more serious injuries, including mesothelioma and other malignancies, will represent a greater percentage of total claims than in the past. The Company has prevailed in all nine cases taken to trial, including seven of the eight cases tried to verdict (such trials occurred in 1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2004, and 2007), and an appellate reversal in 2005 of the 2001 jury verdict adverse to the Company. The ninth case, tried in 2009, was dismissed by the Court at the close of plaintiff s evidence, based on the Court s legal finding that the plaintiff had not presented sufficient evidence to support a jury verdict.

The Company has demonstrated in these past trial proceedings that its respiratory protection products are effective as claimed when used in the intended manner and in the intended circumstances. Consequently the Company believes that claimants are unable to establish that their medical conditions, even if significant, are attributable to the Company s respiratory protection products. Nonetheless the Company s litigation experience indicates that claims of persons with

Table of Contents

malignant conditions are costlier to resolve than the claims of unimpaired persons, and it therefore believes the average cost of resolving pending and future claims on a per-claim basis will continue to be higher than it experienced in prior periods when the vast majority of claims were asserted by the unimpaired.

As previously reported, the State of West Virginia, through its Attorney General, filed a complaint in 2003 against the Company and two other manufacturers of respiratory protection products in the Circuit Court of Lincoln County, West Virginia and amended its complaint in 2005. The amended complaint seeks substantial, but unspecified, compensatory damages primarily for reimbursement of the costs allegedly incurred by the State for worker s compensation and healthcare benefits provided to all workers with occupational pneumoconiosis and unspecified punitive damages. While the case has been inactive since the fourth quarter of 2007, the court held a case management conference in March 2011. In November 2013, the State filed a motion to bifurcate the lawsuit into separate liability and damages proceedings. A hearing on that motion has not yet been scheduled. No liability has been recorded for this matter because the Company believes that liability is not probable and estimable at this time. In addition, the Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of loss given the lack of any meaningful discovery responses by the State of West Virginia, the otherwise minimal activity in this case and the fact that the complaint asserts claims against two other manufacturers where a defendant s share of liability may turn on the law of joint and several liability and by the amount of fault, if any, a jury might allocate to each defendant if the case is ultimately tried.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Liabilities and Insurance Receivables: The Company estimates its respirator mask/asbestos liabilities, including the cost to resolve the claims and defense costs, by examining: (i) the Company s experience in resolving claims, (ii) apparent trends, (iii) the apparent quality of claims (*e.g.*, whether the claim has been asserted on behalf of asymptomatic claimants), (iv) changes in the nature and mix of claims (*e.g.*, the proportion of claims asserting usage of the Company s mask or respirator products and alleging exposure to each of asbestos, silica, coal or other occupational dusts, and claims pleading use of asbestos-containing products allegedly manufactured by the Company), (v) the number of current claims and a projection of the number of future asbestos and other claims that may be filed against the Company, (vi) the cost to resolve recently settled claims, and (vii) an estimate of the cost to resolve and defend against current and future claims.

Developments may occur that could affect the Company s estimate of its liabilities. These developments include, but are not limited to, significant changes in (i) the number of future claims, (ii) the average cost of resolving claims, (iii) the legal costs of defending these claims and in maintaining trial readiness, (iv) changes in the mix and nature of claims received, (v) trial and appellate outcomes, (vi) changes in the law and procedure applicable to these claims, and (vii) the financial viability of other co-defendants and insurers.

As a result of the Company s on-going review of its accruals and the greater cost of resolving claims of persons who claim more serious injuries, including mesothelioma and other malignancies, the Company increased its accruals in the first six months of 2014 for respirator mask/asbestos liabilities by \$21 million, \$14 million of which occurred in the second quarter of 2014. In the first six months of 2014, the Company made payments for fees and settlements of \$19 million related to the respirator mask/asbestos litigation, \$9 million of which occurred in the second quarter of 2014. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had accruals for respirator mask/asbestos liabilities of \$129 million (excluding Aearo accruals). The Company cannot estimate the amount or range of amounts by which the liability may exceed the accrual the Company has established because of the (i) inherent difficulty in projecting the number of claims that have not yet been asserted, (ii) the complaints nearly always assert claims against multiple defendants where the damages alleged are typically not attributed to individual defendants so that a defendant s share of liability may turn on the law of joint and several liability, which can vary by state, (iii) the multiple factors described above that the Company considers in estimating its liabilities, and (iv) the several possible developments described above that may occur that could affect the Company s estimate of liabilities.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company s receivable for insurance recoveries related to the respirator mask/asbestos litigation was \$47 million. The Company estimates insurance receivables based on an analysis of its policies, including their exclusions, pertinent case law interpreting comparable policies, its experience with similar claims, and assessment of the nature of each claim and remaining coverage, and records an

amount it has concluded is likely to be recovered. Various factors could affect the timing and amount of recovery of this receivable, including (i) delays in or avoidance of payment by insurers; (ii) the extent to which insurers may become insolvent in the future, and (iii) the outcome of negotiations with insurers and legal proceedings with respect to respirator mask/asbestos liability insurance coverage.

As previously reported, on January 5, 2007 the Company was served with a declaratory judgment action filed on behalf of two of its insurers (Continental Casualty and Continental Insurance Co. both part of the Continental Casualty Group) disclaiming coverage for respirator mask/asbestos claims. The action, in the District Court in Ramsey County, Minnesota, sought declaratory judgment regarding coverage provided by the policies and the allocation of covered costs among the policies issued by the various insurers. The action named, in addition to the Company, over 60 of the Company s insurers. The plaintiffs, Continental Casualty and Continental Insurance Co., as well as a significant number of the insurer defendants named in the amended complaint were dismissed because of settlements they had reached with the Company regarding the

matters at issue in the lawsuit. In July 2013, the Company reached agreements in principle with the remaining insurers in the lawsuit. All of the settlement agreements have now been executed. In June 2014, the Court issued an order dismissing the case. During the first six months of 2014, the Company received payments of \$11 million from settlements with insurers, \$6 million of which occurred in the second quarter of 2014.

The Company has unresolved coverage with claims-made carriers for respirator mask claims. The Company is also seeking coverage under the policies of certain insolvent insurers. Once those claims for coverage are resolved, the Company will have collected substantially all of its remaining insurance coverage for respirator mask/asbestos claims.

Respirator Mask/Asbestos Litigation Aearo Technologies

On April 1, 2008, a subsidiary of the Company purchased the stock of Aearo Holding Corp., the parent of Aearo Technologies (Aearo). Aearo manufactured and sold various products, including personal protection equipment, such as eye, ear, head, face, fall and certain respiratory protection products.

As of June 30, 2014, Aearo and/or other companies that previously owned and operated Aearo s respirator business (American Optical Corporation, Warner-Lambert LLC, AO Corp. and Cabot Corporation (Cabot)) are named defendants, with multiple co-defendants, including the Company, in numerous lawsuits in various courts in which plaintiffs allege use of mask and respirator products and seek damages from Aearo and other defendants for alleged personal injury from workplace exposures to asbestos, silica-related, or other occupational dusts found in products manufactured by other defendants or generally in the workplace.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company, through its Aearo subsidiary, has recorded \$24 million as the best estimate of the probable liabilities for product liabilities and defense costs related to current and future Aearo-related asbestos and silica-related claims. Responsibility for legal costs, as well as for settlements and judgments, is currently shared in an informal arrangement among Aearo, Cabot, American Optical Corporation and a subsidiary of Warner Lambert and their insurers (the Payor Group). Liability is allocated among the parties based on the number of years each company sold respiratory products under the AO Safety brand and/or owned the AO Safety Division of American Optical Corporation and the alleged years of exposure of the individual plaintiff. Aearo s share of the contingent liability is further limited by an agreement entered into between Aearo and Cabot on July 11, 1995. This agreement provides that, so long as Aearo pays to Cabot a quarterly fee of \$100,000, Cabot will retain responsibility and liability for, and indemnify Aearo against, any product liability claims involving exposure to asbestos, silica, or silica products for respirators sold prior to July 11, 1995. Because of the difficulty in determining how long a particular respirator remains in the stream of commerce after being sold, Aearo and Cabot have applied the agreement to claims arising out of the alleged use of respirators involving exposure to asbestos, silica or silica products prior to January 1, 1997. With these arrangements in place, Aearo s potential liability is limited to exposures alleged to have arisen from the use of respirators involving exposure to asbestos, silica, or silica products on or after January 1, 1997. To date, Aearo has elected to pay the quarterly fee. Aearo could potentially be exposed to additional claims for some part of the pre-July 11, 1995 period covered by its agreement with Cabot if Aearo elects to discontinue its participation in this arrangement, or if Cabot is no longer able to meet its obl

In March 2012, Cabot CSC Corporation and Cabot Corporation filed a lawsuit against Aearo in the Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts seeking declaratory relief as to the scope of Cabot s indemnity obligations under the July 11, 1995 agreement, including whether Cabot has retained liability for coal workers pneumoconiosis claims, and seeking damages for breach of contract. In June 2014, the court granted Aearo s motion for summary judgment on all claims. Cabot has filed a motion for reconsideration, and Aearo has filed a motion for clarification of the court s order granting Aearo summary judgment.

Developments may occur that could affect the estimate of Aearo s liabilities. These developments include, but are not limited to: (i) significant changes in the number of future claims, (ii) significant changes in the average cost of resolving claims, (iii) significant changes in the legal costs of defending these claims, (iv) significant changes in the mix and nature of claims received, (v) trial and appellate outcomes, (vi) significant changes in the law and procedure applicable to these claims, (vii) significant changes in the liability allocation among the co-defendants, (viii) the financial viability of members of the Payor Group including exhaustion of available coverage limits, and/or (ix) a determination that the interpretation of the contractual obligations on which Aearo has estimated its share of liability is inaccurate. The Company cannot determine the impact of these potential developments on its current estimate of Aearo s share of liability for these existing and future claims. If any of the developments described above were to occur, the actual amount of these liabilities for existing and future claims could be significantly larger than the amount accrued.

Because of the inherent difficulty in projecting the number of claims that have not yet been asserted, the complexity of allocating responsibility for future claims among the Payor Group, and the several possible developments that may occur that could affect the estimate of Aearos liabilities, the Company cannot estimate the amount or range of amounts by which Aearos liability may exceed the accrual the Company has established.

Environmental Matters and Litigation

The Company s operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations including those pertaining to air emissions, wastewater discharges, toxic substances, and the handling and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes enforceable by national, state, and local authorities around the world, and private parties in the United States and abroad. These laws and regulations provide, under certain circumstances, a basis for the remediation of contamination, for restoration of or compensation for damages to natural resources, and for personal injury and property damage claims. The Company has incurred, and will continue to incur, costs and capital expenditures in complying with these laws and regulations, defending personal injury and property damage claims, and modifying its business operations in light of its environmental responsibilities. In its effort to satisfy its environmental responsibilities and comply with environmental laws and regulations, the Company has established, and periodically updates, policies relating to environmental standards of performance for its operations worldwide.

Under certain environmental laws, including the United States Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 and similar state laws, the Company may be jointly and severally liable, typically with other companies, for the costs of remediation of environmental contamination at current or former facilities and at off-site locations. The Company has identified numerous locations, most of which are in the United States, at which it may have some liability. Please refer to the section entitled *Environmental Liabilities and Insurance Receivables* that follows for information on the amount of the accrual.

Environmental Matters

As previously reported, the Company has been voluntarily cooperating with ongoing reviews by local, state, federal (primarily the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)), and international agencies of possible environmental and health effects of various perfluorinated compounds (PFCs), including perfluoroctanyl compounds such as perfluoroctanoate (PFOA) and perfluoroctane sulfonate (PFOS). As a result of its phase-out decision in May 2000, the Company no longer manufactures perfluoroctanyl compounds. The company ceased manufacturing and using the vast majority of these compounds within approximately two years of the phase-out announcement, and ceased all manufacturing and the last significant use of this chemistry by 2008. Through its ongoing life cycle management and its raw material composition identification processes associated with the Company's policies covering the use of all persistent and bio-accumulative materials, the Company has on occasion identified the presence of precursor chemicals in materials purchased from suppliers that may ultimately degrade to PFOA, PFOS, or similar compounds. Upon such identification, the Company works to find alternatives for such chemicals.

Regulatory activities concerning PFOA and/or PFOS continue in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, and before certain international bodies. These activities include gathering of exposure and use information, risk assessment, and consideration of regulatory approaches. As the database of studies of both chemicals has expanded, the EPA has developed draft human health effects documents summarizing the available data from these studies. In February 2014, the EPA initiated external peer review of its draft human health effects documents for PFOA and PFOS. Following peer review, the EPA stated it will revise its health effects documents and use them to establish lifetime health advisories for PFOS and PFOA in drinking water. Lifetime health advisories, while not enforceable, serve as guidance and are benchmarks for determining if concentrations of chemicals in tap water from public utilities are safe for public consumption. Once finalized, the EPA stated that the lifetime health advisories for PFOA and 0.2 micrograms per liter for PFOS. In an effort to collect exposure information under the Safe Drinking Water Act, the EPA published on May 2, 2012 a list of unregulated substances, including six PFCs, required to be monitored during the period 2013-2015 by public water system suppliers to determine the extent of their occurrence.

The Company is continuing to make progress in its work, under the supervision of state regulators, to address its historic disposal of PFC-containing waste associated with manufacturing operations at the Decatur, Alabama, Cottage Grove, Minnesota, and Cordova, Illinois plants.

As previously reported, the Company entered into a voluntary remedial action agreement with the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) to address the presence of PFCs in the soil at the Company s manufacturing facility in Decatur, Alabama. Pursuant to a permit issued by ADEM, for approximately twenty years, the Company incorporated its wastewater treatment plant sludge containing PFCs in fields at its Decatur facility. After a review of the available options to address the presence of PFCs in the soil, ADEM agreed that the preferred remediation option is to use a multilayer cap over the former sludge incorporation areas on the manufacturing site with subsequent groundwater migration controls and treatment. Implementation of that option will continue throughout the balance of 2014 and is expected to be completed in 2017.

The Company continues to work with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) pursuant to the terms of the previously disclosed May 2007 Settlement Agreement and Consent Order to address the presence of certain PFCs in the

soil and groundwater at former disposal sites in Washington County, Minnesota (Oakdale and Woodbury) and at the Company s manufacturing facility at Cottage Grove, Minnesota. Under this agreement, the Company s principal obligations include (i) evaluating releases of certain PFCs from these sites and proposing response actions; (ii) providing treatment or alternative drinking water upon identifying any level exceeding a Health Based Value (HBV) or Health Risk Limit (HRL) (i.e., the amount of a chemical in drinking water determined by the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) to be safe for human consumption over a lifetime) for certain PFCs for which a HBV and/or HRL exists as a result of contamination from these sites; (iii) remediating identified sources of other PFCs at these sites that are not controlled by actions to remediate PFOA and PFOS; and (iv) sharing information with the MPCA about certain perfluorinated compounds. During 2008, the MPCA issued formal decisions adopting remedial options for the former disposal sites in Washington County, Minnesota (Oakdale and Woodbury). In August 2009, the MPCA issued a formal decision adopting remedial options for the Company s Cottage Grove manufacturing facility. During the spring and summer of 2010, 3M began implementing the agreed upon remedial options at the Cottage Grove and Woodbury sites. 3M commenced the remedial option at the Oakdale site in late 2010. At each location the remedial options were recommended by the Company and approved by the MPCA. Remediation work has been completed at the Oakdale and Woodbury sites, and they are in an operational maintenance mode. Remediation will continue at the Cottage Grove site during 2014.

In February 2014, the Company submitted its most recent environmental assessment report to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency summarizing the levels of PFCs in the soil, groundwater and surface water at or near its manufacturing facility in Cordova, Illinois. The Company will continue to monitor PFCs at the site and is engaged in discussions with the Illinois EPA concerning next steps for the site. In June 2014, the Illinois EPA conditionally approved a request by the Company to establish a groundwater management zone at the site, which includes ongoing pumping of impacted site groundwater, groundwater monitoring and routine reporting of results.

The Company cannot predict what additional regulatory actions arising from the foregoing proceedings and activities, if any, may be taken regarding such compounds or the consequences of any such actions.

Environmental Litigation

As previously reported, a former employee filed a purported class action lawsuit in 2002 in the Circuit Court of Morgan County, Alabama, seeking unstated damages and alleging that the plaintiffs suffered fear, increased risk, subclinical injuries, and property damage from exposure to certain perfluorochemicals at or near the Company s Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility. The Circuit Court in 2005 granted the Company s motion to dismiss the named plaintiff s personal injury-related claims on the basis that such claims are barred by the exclusivity provisions of the state s Workers Compensation Act. The plaintiffs counsel filed an amended complaint in November 2006, limiting the case to property damage claims on behalf of a purported class of residents and property owners in the vicinity of the Decatur plant. In May 2013, the Court stayed the case for an unknown period due to the filing of a bankruptcy petition by a co-defendant.

Also, in 2005, the judge in a second purported class action lawsuit (filed by three residents of Morgan County, Alabama, seeking unstated compensatory and punitive damages involving alleged damage to their property from emissions of certain perfluorochemical compounds from the Company s Decatur, Alabama, manufacturing facility that formerly manufactured those compounds) granted the Company s motion to abate the case, effectively putting the case on hold pending the resolution of class certification issues in the first action described above, filed in the same court in 2002. Despite the stay, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint seeking damages for alleged personal injuries and property damage on behalf of the named plaintiffs and the members of a purported class. No further action in the case is expected unless and until the stay is lifted.

In February 2009, a resident of Franklin County, Alabama, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Franklin County seeking compensatory damages and injunctive relief based on the application by the Decatur utility s wastewater treatment plant of wastewater treatment sludge to farmland and grasslands in the state that allegedly contain PFOA, PFOS and other perfluorochemicals. The named defendants in the case include 3M, its subsidiary Dyneon LLC, Daikin America, Inc., Synagro-WWT, Inc., Synagro South, LLC, and Biological Processors of America. The named plaintiff seeks to represent a class of all persons within the State of Alabama who have had PFOA, PFOS, and other perfluorochemicals released or deposited on their property. In March 2010, the Alabama Supreme Court ordered the case transferred from Franklin County to Morgan County. In May 2010, consistent with its handling of the other matters, the Morgan County Circuit Court abated this case, putting it on hold pending the resolution of the class certification issues in the first case filed there.

In December 2010, the State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General Lori Swanson, acting in its capacity as trustee of the natural resources of the State of Minnesota, filed a lawsuit in Hennepin County District Court against 3M to recover damages (including unspecified assessment costs and reasonable attorney s fees) for alleged injury to, destruction of, and loss of use of certain of the State s natural resources under the Minnesota Environmental Response and Liability Act (MERLA) and the Minnesota Water Pollution Control Act (MWPCA), as well as statutory nuisance and common law claims of trespass, nuisance, and negligence with respect to the presence of PFCs in the groundwater, surface water, fish or

Table of Contents

other aquatic life, and sediments (the NRD Lawsuit). The State also seeks declarations under MERLA that 3M is responsible for all damages the State may suffer in the future for injuries to natural resources from releases of PFCs into the environment, and under MWPCA that 3M is responsible for compensation for future loss or destruction of fish, aquatic life, and other damages.

In November 2011, the Metropolitan Council filed a motion to intervene and a complaint in the NRD Lawsuit seeking compensatory damages and other legal, declaratory and equitable relief, including reasonable attorneys fees, for costs and fees that the Metropolitan Council alleges it will be required to assess at some time in the future if the MPCA imposes restrictions on Metropolitan Council s PFOS discharges to the Mississippi River, including the installation and maintenance of a water treatment system. The Metropolitan Council s intervention motion was based on several theories, including common law negligence, and statutory claims under MERLA for response costs, and under the Minnesota Environmental Rights Act (MERA) for declaratory and equitable relief against 3M for PFOS and other PFC pollution of the waters and sediments of the Mississippi River. 3M did not object to the motion to intervene. In January 2012, 3M answered the Metropolitan Council s complaint and filed a counterclaim alleging that the Metropolitan Council discharges PFCs to the Mississippi River and discharges PFC-containing sludge and biosolids from one or more of its wastewater treatment plants onto agricultural lands and local area landfills. Accordingly, 3M requested that if the Court finds that the State is entitled to any of the damages the State seeks, 3M seeks contribution and apportionment from the Metropolitan Council, including attorneys fees, under MERLA, and contribution from and liability for the Metropolitan Council s proportional share of damages awarded to the State under the MWPCA, as well as under statutory nuisance and common law theories of trespass, nuisance, and negligence. 3M also seeks declaratory relief under MERA.

In April 2012, 3M filed a motion to disqualify the State of Minnesota s counsel, Covington & Burling, LLP (Covington). In October 2012, the court granted 3M s motion to disqualify Covington as counsel to the State and the State and Covington appealed the court s disqualification to the Minnesota Court of Appeals. In July 2013, the Minnesota Court of Appeals affirmed the district court s disqualification order. In October 2013, the Minnesota Supreme Court granted both the State s and Covington s petition for review of the decision of the Minnesota Court of Appeals. In April 2014, the Minnesota Supreme Court affirmed in part, reversed in part, and remanded the case to the district court for further proceedings. In a separate but related action, the Company filed suit against Covington for breach of its fiduciary duties to the Company and for breach of contract arising out of Covington s representation of the State of Minnesota in the NRD Lawsuit.

The State of New Jersey filed suit in 2005 against Occidental Chemical Corporation, Tierra Solutions Inc., Maxus Energy Corporation and five other companies seeking cleanup and removal costs and other damages associated with the presence of dioxin and other hazardous substances in the sediment of a 17-mile stretch of the Passaic River in New Jersey. In June 2009, the Company, along with more than 250 other companies, was served with a third-party complaint by Tierra Solutions Inc. and Maxus Energy Corporation seeking contribution towards the cost and damages asserted or incurred for investigation and remediation of discharges to the Passaic River. The third-party complaint seeks to spread those costs among the third-party defendants, including 3M. Allegations asserted against 3M relate to its use of two commercial drum conditioning facilities in New Jersey. In March 2013, 3M and other third party defendants entered into a settlement agreement with the state of New Jersey for an amount that is not material to 3M. In December 2013, the Court approved the settlement and entered the Consent Judgment. The settlement resolves claims or potential claims by the State of New Jersey regarding discharges or alleged discharges into the Passaic River by the settling parties, and precludes certain cost recovery actions by the third-party plaintiffs. The settlement with the State of New Jersey does not include release from potential federal claims yet to be asserted. Total costs for the remedy currently proposed by EPA could easily exceed \$1 billion. While the Company does not yet have a basis for estimating its potential exposure in the yet to be asserted EPA claim, the Company currently believes its allocable share of the possible loss, if any, is likely to be a fraction of one percent of the total costs because of the Company s limited potential involvement at this site.

For environmental litigation matters described in this section for which a liability, if any, has been recorded, the Company believes the amount recorded, as well as the possible loss or range of loss in excess of the established accrual is not material to the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition. For those matters for which a liability has not been recorded, the Company believes such liability is not probable and estimable and the Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of loss at this time, with the exception of the Passaic River litigation, where the Company s potential exposure, if any, is likely to be a fraction of one percent of the total costs.

Environmental Liabilities and Insurance Receivables

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$26 million for estimated environmental remediation costs based upon an evaluation of currently available facts with respect to each individual site and also recorded related insurance receivables of \$11 million. The Company records liabilities for remediation costs on an undiscounted basis when they are probable and reasonably estimable, generally no later than the completion of feasibility studies or the Company s commitment to a plan of action. Liabilities for estimated costs of environmental remediation, depending on the site, are based primarily upon internal or third-party environmental studies, and estimates as to the number, participation level and financial

Table of Contents

viability of any other potentially responsible parties, the extent of the contamination and the nature of required remedial actions. The Company adjusts recorded liabilities as further information develops or circumstances change. The Company expects that it will pay the amounts recorded over the periods of remediation for the applicable sites, currently ranging up to 20 years.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$45 million for other environmental liabilities based upon an evaluation of currently available facts to implement the Settlement Agreement and Consent Order with the MPCA, the remedial action agreement with ADEM, and to address trace amounts of perfluorinated compounds in drinking water sources in the City of Oakdale, Minnesota, as well as presence in the soil and groundwater at the Company s manufacturing facilities in Decatur, Alabama, and Cottage Grove, Minnesota, and at two former disposal sites in Washington County, Minnesota (Oakdale and Woodbury). The Company expects that most of the spending will occur over the next four years. As of June 30, 2014, the Company s receivable for insurance recoveries related to other environmental liabilities was \$15 million.

It is difficult to estimate the cost of environmental compliance and remediation given the uncertainties regarding the interpretation and enforcement of applicable environmental laws and regulations, the extent of environmental contamination and the existence of alternative cleanup methods. Developments may occur that could affect the Company s current assessment, including, but not limited to: (i) changes in the information available regarding the environmental impact of the Company s operations and products; (ii) changes in environmental regulations, changes in permissible levels of specific compounds in drinking water sources, or changes in enforcement theories and policies, including efforts to recover natural resource damages; (iii) new and evolving analytical and remediation techniques; (iv) success in allocating liability to other potentially responsible parties; and (v) the financial viability of other potentially responsible parties and third-party indemnitors. For sites included in both environmental remediation and maintenance of the remedy, including required post-remediation monitoring, the Company believes the exposure to loss in excess of the amount accrued would not be material to the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition. However, for locations at which remediation activity is largely ongoing, the Company s consolidated established accruals for the reasons described above.

Other Matters

Commercial Litigation

In October 2012, four plaintiffs filed purported class actions against Ceradyne, Inc., its directors, 3M, and Cyborg Acquisition Corporation (a direct wholly owned subsidiary of 3M) in connection with 3M s proposed acquisition of Ceradyne. Two suits were filed in California Superior Court for Orange County, and two were filed in the Delaware Chancery Court. The suits alleged that the defendants breached and/or aided and abetted the breach of their fiduciary duties to Ceradyne by seeking to sell Ceradyne through an allegedly unfair process and for an unfair price and on unfair terms, and/or by allegedly failing to make adequate disclosures to Ceradyne stockholders regarding the acquisition of Ceradyne. 3M completed its acquisition of Ceradyne in November 2012. In November 2012, the parties reached a settlement with the California plaintiffs for an amount that is not material to the Company, while the Delaware plaintiffs dismissed their complaints without prejudice. The settlement will bind all former Ceradyne shareholders and has received preliminary approval from the California court. A final approval hearing was held in July 2013, and the California Court denied approval of the settlement. The plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to amend their complaint, which motion was denied by the California court. The plaintiffs then filed a motion for leave to amend their complaint, which motion was denied by the California court. The plaintiffs then filed a motion for leave to amend their complaint, which motion was denied without prejudice in January 2014. By stipulation in February 2014, plaintiffs agreed to voluntarily dismiss claims against 3M and Cyborg Acquisition Corporation without prejudice. In March 2014, the Court entered its Order dismissing 3M and Cyborg Acquisition from the action without prejudice.

3M sued TransWeb Corporation in Minnesota in 2010 for infringement of several 3M patents covering fluorination and hydrocharging of filter media used in 3M s respirators and furnace filters. TransWeb does not make finished goods, but sells filter media to competitors of 3M s respirator and furnace filter businesses. TransWeb filed a declaratory judgment action in and successfully moved the litigation to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, seeking a declaration of invalidity and non-infringement of 3M s patents, and further alleging that 3M waited too long to enforce its rights. TransWeb also alleged 3M obtained the patents through inequitable conduct and that 3M s attempt to enforce the patents constituted a violation of the antitrust laws. In November 2012, a jury returned a verdict in favor of TransWeb on all but one count, including findings that 3M s patents were invalid and not infringed, and that 3M had committed inequitable conduct in obtaining the patents, and that the patents were therefore unenforceable. Since the vast majority of TransWeb s claim for treble antitrust damages were in the form of its attorneys fees and expenses in connection with the defense of the patent case, the parties agreed that the measure of damages would not go to the jury, but rather would be submitted to a special master after the trial. The special master s recommendations were forwarded to the court in September 2013. On April 21, 2014, the court issued an order denying 3M s motions to set

Table of Contents

aside the jury s verdict. In addition, the court found two 3M patents unenforceable due to inequitable conduct. The court accepted the Special Master s recommendation as to the amount of attorneys fees to be awarded as damages, and entered judgment against 3M in the amount of \$26 million. In July 2014, 3M filed a notice of appeal of the judgment to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

For commercial litigation matters described in this section for which a liability, if any, has been recorded, the Company believes the amount recorded, as well as the possible loss or range of loss in excess of the established accrual is not material to the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition. For those matters for which a liability has not been recorded, the Company believes that such liability is not probable and estimable and the Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of loss at this time, with the exception of the TransWeb matter, where the Company s range of potential exposure, if any, could be approximately \$26 million.

Product Liability Litigation

Électricité de France (EDF) filed a lawsuit against 3M France in the French courts in 2006 claiming commercial loss and property damage after experiencing electrical network failures which EDF claims were caused by allegedly defective 3M transition splices. The French Court of Appeals at Versailles affirmed the commercial trial court s decision that the transition splices conformed to contract specifications which were thoroughly analyzed and tested by EDF before purchase and installation. The Court of Appeals, however, ordered a court-appointed expert to study the problem and issue a technical opinion on the cause of the network failures. The court-appointed expert submitted his report to the commercial court in May 2014. The expert found potential defects in 3M s product and found that EDF incurred damages in excess of 100 million Euros. The expert s opinion is not dispositive of liability or damages and is subject to numerous factual and legal challenges that will be raised with the court. The commercial court may take from six months to one year to render its decision.

One customer obtained an order in the French courts against 3M Purification SAS (a French subsidiary) in October 2011 appointing an expert to determine the amount of commercial loss and property damage allegedly caused by allegedly defective 3M filters used in the customer s manufacturing process. An Austrian subsidiary of this same customer also filed a claim against 3M Austria GmbH (an Austrian subsidiary) and 3M Purification SAS in the Austrian courts in September 2012 seeking damages for the same issue. Another customer filed a lawsuit against 3M Deutschland GmbH (a German subsidiary) in the German courts in March 2012 seeking commercial loss and property damage allegedly caused by the same 3M filters used in that customer s manufacturing process. The Company has resolved on an amicable basis claims of two other customers arising out of the same issue.

For product liability litigation matters described in this section for which a liability has been recorded, the Company believes the amount recorded is not material to the Company s consolidated results of operations or financial condition. In addition, the Company is not able to estimate a possible loss or range of loss in excess of the established accruals at this time.

NOTE 12. Stock-Based Compensation

The 3M 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as discussed in 3M s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014 (which updated 3M s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K), provides for the issuance or delivery of up to 100 million shares of 3M common stock pursuant to awards granted under the plan. Awards under this plan may be issued in the form of Incentive Stock Options, Nonqualified Stock Options, Progressive Stock Options, Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock Units, Restricted Stock, Other Stock Awards, and Performance Units and Performance Shares. The remaining total shares available for grant under the 2008 Long Term Incentive Plan Program are 28,813,481 as of June 30, 2014.

The Company s annual stock option and restricted stock unit grant is made in February to provide a strong and immediate link between the performance of individuals during the preceding year and the size of their annual stock compensation grants. The grant to eligible employees uses the closing stock price on the grant date. Accounting rules require recognition of expense under a non-substantive vesting period approach, requiring compensation expense recognition when an employee is eligible to retire. Employees are considered eligible to retire at age 55 and after having completed five years of service. This retiree-eligible population represents 33 percent of the 2014 annual grant stock-based compensation award expense dollars; therefore, higher stock-based compensation expense is recognized in the first quarter.

In addition to the annual grants, the Company makes other minor grants of stock options, restricted stock units and other stock-based grants. The Company issues cash settled Restricted Stock Units and Stock Appreciation Rights in certain countries. These grants do not result in the issuance of Common Stock and are considered immaterial by the Company.

Amounts recognized in the financial statements with respect to stock-based compensation programs, which include stock options, restricted stock units, restricted stock, performance shares and the General Employees Stock Purchase Plan (GESPP), are provided in the following table. Capitalized stock-based compensation amounts were not material for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

	Three mon June	 led	Six months ended June 30,				
(Millions)	2014	2013	2014		2013		
Cost of sales	\$ 11	\$ 6	\$ 33	\$	18		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	35	36	112		113		
Research, development and related expenses	6	5	29		19		
Stock-based compensation expenses	\$ 52	\$ 47	\$ 174	\$	150		
Income tax benefits	\$ (13)	\$ (13)	\$ (53)	\$	(45)		
Stock-based compensation expenses, net of tax	\$ 39	\$ 34	\$ 121	\$	105		

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Stock Option Program

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (months)	I	Aggregate ntrinsic Value (millions)
Under option					
January 1	43,938,778	\$ 83.84			
Granted:					
Annual	5,736,183	126.77			
Exercised	(6,229,925)	83.02			
Canceled	(154,922)	103.29			
June 30	43,290,114	\$ 89.58	68	\$	2,323
Options exercisable					
June 30	31,486,006	\$ 81.41	53	\$	1,947

Stock options vest over a period from one year to three years with the expiration date at 10 years from date of grant. As of June 30, 2014, there was \$89 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested stock option based awards. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 25 months. The total intrinsic values of stock options exercised were \$340 million and \$338 million during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Cash received from options exercised was \$517 million and \$1.039 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company s actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the exercise of employee stock options were \$125 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

For the primary 2014 annual stock option grant, the weighted average fair value at the date of grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and the assumptions that follow.

Stock Option Assumptions	Annual 2014
Exercise price	\$ 126.72
Risk-free interest rate	1.9%
Dividend yield	2.6%
Expected volatility	20.8%
Expected life (months)	75
Black-Scholes fair value	\$ 19.63

Expected volatility is a statistical measure of the amount by which a stock price is expected to fluctuate during a period. For the 2014 annual grant date, the Company estimated the expected volatility based upon the average of the most recent one year volatility, the median of the term of the expected life rolling volatility, the median of the most recent term of the expected life volatility of 3M stock, and the implied volatility on the grant date. The expected term assumption is based on the weighted average of historical grants.

The following table summarizes restricted stock and restricted stock unit activity during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Restricted Stock Units and Restricted Stock

Restricted Stock Units and Restricted Stock Nonvested balance	Number of Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
As of January 1	3,105,361 \$	92.31
Granted:	-,,	
Annual	798,615	126.79
Other	15,647	141.58
Vested	(1,066,382)	90.63
Forfeited	(33,543)	100.63
As of June 30	2,819,698 \$	102.89

As of June 30, 2014, there was \$110 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to non-vested restricted stock units and restricted stock. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 25 months. The total fair value of restricted stock units and restricted stock that vested during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$140 million and \$106 million, respectively. The Company s actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the vesting of restricted stock units and restricted stock was \$53 million and \$40 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Restricted stock units granted under the 3M 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan generally vest three years following the grant date assuming continued employment. Dividend equivalents equal to the dividends payable on the same number of shares of 3M common stock accrue on these restricted stock units during the vesting period, although no dividend equivalents are paid on any of these restricted stock units that are forfeited prior to the vesting date. Dividends are paid out in cash at the vest date on restricted stock units, except for performance shares which do not earn dividends. Since the rights to dividends are forfeitable, there is no impact on basic earnings per share calculations. Weighted average restricted stock unit shares outstanding are included in the computation of diluted earnings per share.

Performance Shares

Instead of restricted stock units, the Company makes annual grants of performance shares to members of its executive management. The performance criteria for these performance shares (Organic Sales Growth, Return on Invested Capital and sales from new products) were selected because the Company believes that they are important drivers of long-term shareholder value. The number of shares of 3M common stock that could actually be delivered at the end of the three-year performance period may be anywhere from 0% to 200% of each performance share granted, depending on the performance of the Company during such performance period. Non-substantive vesting requires that expense for the performance shares be recognized over one or three years depending on when each individual became a 3M executive. The first performance shares, which were granted in 2008, were distributed in 2011. Performance shares do not accrue dividends during the performance period. Therefore, the grant date fair value is determined by reducing the closing stock price on the date of grant by the net present value of dividends during the performance period.

The following table summarizes performance share activity during the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Performance Shares Undistributed balance	Number of Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
As of January 1	895,635 \$	88.12
Granted	285,149	123.88
Distributed	(277,357)	84.74
Performance change	59,443	114.15
Forfeited	(6,501)	102.45
As of June 30	956,369 \$	101.28

As of June 30, 2014, there was \$35 million of compensation expense that has yet to be recognized related to performance shares. This expense is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average earnings period of 10 months. During the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the total fair value of performance shares that were distributed were \$35 million and \$52 million, respectively. The Company s actual tax benefits realized for the tax deductions related to the distribution of performance shares for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 were \$11 million and \$16 million, respectively.

NOTE 13. Business Segments

3M s businesses are organized, managed and internally grouped into segments based on differences in markets, products, technologies and services. 3M manages its operations in five operating business segments: Industrial; Safety and Graphics; Electronics and Energy; Health Care; and Consumer. 3M s five business segments bring together common or related 3M technologies, enhancing the development of innovative products and services and providing for efficient sharing of business resources. These segments have worldwide responsibility for virtually all 3M product lines. 3M is not dependent on any single product/service or market. Transactions among reportable segments are recorded at cost. 3M is an integrated enterprise characterized by substantial intersegment cooperation, cost allocations and inventory transfers. Therefore, management does not represent that these segments, if operated independently, would report the operating income information shown. The difference between operating income and pre-tax income relates to interest income and interest expense, which are not allocated to business segments.

Effective in the first quarter of 2014, 3M transferred a product line between divisions within different business segments and made other changes within business segments in its continuing effort to improve the alignment of its businesses around markets and customers.

The product move between business segments was as follows:

• The movement of the Fire Protection product line from the Building and Commercial Services Division (Safety and Graphics business segment) to the Industrial Adhesives and Tapes Division (Industrial business segment). This product move resulted in an increase in net sales for total year 2013 of \$73 million in the Industrial business segment offset by a corresponding decrease in the Safety and Graphics business segment.

In addition, other changes within business segments were as follows:

• The combination of certain existing divisions/departments into new divisions. Within the Electronics and Energy business segment, the new divisions include the Electrical Markets Division (which includes the former Infrastructure Protection Division), and the Electronic Solutions Division (which includes the former 3M Touch Systems, Inc.). Within the Safety and Graphics business segment, the new Commercial Solutions Division was created from the combination of the former Architectural Markets Department, the former Building and Commercial Services Division and the former Commercial Graphics Division. None of these combinations crossed business segments.

• The renaming of the former Aerospace and Aircraft Maintenance Division within the Industrial business segment to the Aerospace and Commercial Transportation Division.

The movement of certain product lines between various divisions within the same business segment.

Effective in the second quarter of 2014, within the Electronics and Energy business segment, 3M combined three existing divisions into two new divisions. A large portion of both the Electronics Markets Materials Division and the Electronic Solutions Division were combined to form the Electronics Materials Solutions Division, which focuses on semiconductor and electronics materials and assembly solutions. The Optical Systems Division, the remaining portion of the Electronic Solutions Division and a portion of the Electronics Markets Materials Division were combined to form the Display Materials and Systems Division, which focuses on delivering light, color and user interface solutions.

The financial information presented herein reflects the impact of the preceding product move between business segments for all periods presented.

Business Segment Information

	Three mon June		ed	Six mon Jun	hs ende e 30,	d
(Millions)	2014	,	2013	2014	,	2013
Net Sales						
Industrial	\$ 2,815	\$	2,683 \$	5,591	\$	5,376
Safety and Graphics	1,494		1,434	2,917		2,833
Electronics and Energy	1,422		1,340	2,733		2,617
Health Care	1,416		1,336	2,790		2,647
Consumer	1,139		1,098	2,218		2,179
Corporate and Unallocated	(1)		1	2		3
Elimination of Dual Credit	(151)		(140)	(286)		(269)
Total Company	\$ 8,134	\$	7,752 \$	15,965	\$	15,386
Operating Income						
Industrial	\$ 617	\$	603 \$	1,235	\$	1,182
Safety and Graphics	353		328	671		660
Electronics and Energy	293		237	520		433
Health Care	434		417	861		821
Consumer	241		235	469		472
Corporate and Unallocated	(49)		(87)	(121)		(161)
Elimination of Dual Credit	(33)		(31)	(63)		(59)
Total Company	\$ 1,856	\$	1,702 \$	3,572	\$	3,348

Corporate and unallocated operating income includes a variety of miscellaneous items, such as corporate investment gains and losses, certain derivative gains and losses, certain insurance-related gains and losses, certain litigation and environmental expenses, corporate restructuring charges and certain under- or over-absorbed costs (e.g. pension, stock-based compensation) that the Company may choose not to allocate directly to its business segments. Because this category includes a variety of miscellaneous items, it is subject to fluctuation on a quarterly and annual basis.

3M business segment reporting measures include dual credit to business segments for certain U.S. sales and related operating income. Management evaluates each of its five operating business segments based on net sales and operating income performance, including dual credit U.S. reporting to further incentivize U.S. sales growth. As a result, 3M provides additional (dual) credit to those business segments selling products in the U.S. to an external customer when that segment is not the primary seller of the product. For example, certain respirators are primarily sold by the Personal Safety Division within the Safety and Graphics business segment; however, the Industrial business segment also sells this product to certain customers in its U.S. markets. In this example, the non-primary selling segment (Industrial) would also receive credit for the associated net sales it initiated and the related approximate operating income. The assigned operating income related to dual credit activity may differ from operating income that would result from actual costs associated with such sales. The offset to the dual credit business segment reporting is reflected as a reconciling item entitled Elimination of Dual Credit, such that sales and operating income for the U.S. in total are unchanged.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM*

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of 3M Company:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of 3M Company and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the consolidated statement of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 13, 2014, except with respect to our opinion on the consolidated financial statements insofar as it relates to the segment realignments discussed in Notes 3 and 15 as to which the date is May 15, 2014, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information as of December 31, 2013, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Minneapolis, Minnesota

July 31, 2014

^{*} Pursuant to Rule 436(c) of the Securities Act of 1933 (Act) this should not be considered a report within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act and the independent registered public accounting firm liability under Section 11 does not extend to it.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is designed to provide a reader of 3M s financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of management. 3M s MD&A is presented in the following sections:

- Overview
- Results of Operations
- Performance by Business Segment
- Financial Condition and Liquidity
- Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results

OVERVIEW

3M is a diversified global manufacturer, technology innovator and marketer of a wide variety of products and services. 3M manages its operations in five operating business segments: Industrial; Safety and Graphics; Electronics and Energy; Health Care; and Consumer. From a geographic perspective, any references to EMEA refer to Europe, Middle East and Africa on a combined basis.

As described in 3M s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014 (which updated 3M s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K) and 3M s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014, effective in the first quarter of 2014, 3M transferred a product line between divisions within different business segments and made other changes within business segments in its continuing effort to improve the alignment of its businesses around markets and customers. Segment information presented herein reflects the impact of these changes for all periods presented. This quarterly report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated statements and notes included in its Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 15, 2014.

In addition, effective in the second quarter of 2014, within the Electronics and Energy business segment, 3M combined three existing divisions into two new divisions. A large portion of both the Electronics Markets Materials Division and the Electronic Solutions Division were combined to form the Electronics Materials Solutions Division, which focuses on semiconductor and electronics materials and assembly solutions. The Optical Systems Division, the remaining portion of the Electronic Solutions Division and a portion of the Electronics Materials Division were combined to form the Display Materials and Systems Division, which focuses on delivering light, color and user interface solutions.

Net income attributable to 3M was \$1.267 billion, or \$1.91 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$1.197 billion, or \$1.71 per diluted share, in the second quarter of 2013. Second-quarter 2014 sales increased 4.9 percent to \$8.1 billion. 3M achieved organic local-currency sales growth (which includes organic volume impacts plus selling price impacts) in all five of its business segments. Organic

local-currency sales increased 6.4 percent in Electronics and Energy, 5.1 percent in Health Care, 4.7 percent in both the Industrial business segment and Safety and Graphics, and 4.2 percent in Consumer. For the Company in total, organic local-currency sales grew 4.8 percent, with higher organic volumes contributing 3.5 percent and selling price increases contributing 1.3 percent. Acquisitions added 0.1 percent to sales, which related to the April 2014 acquisition of Treo Solutions LLC (Health Care business segment). Foreign currency translation had no impact on worldwide sales. Foreign currency translation benefited EMEA sales by 3.7 percent, with this benefit completely offset in other geographic areas as foreign currency translation reduced Latin America/Canada sales by 5.8 percent and Asia Pacific sales by 0.7 percent.

On a geographic basis, second-quarter 2014 organic local-currency sales growth was positive across all geographic areas. Asia Pacific local-currency sales growth of 6.6 percent was broad-based, with all five business segments growing, led by Electronics and Energy, and Consumer. Based on sales, Electronics and Energy is the largest business segment in Asia Pacific, with results significantly impacted by electronics-related divisions (Display Materials and Systems Division, and the Electronics Materials Solutions Division). Organic local-currency sales growth was 7 percent in Japan, or 2 percent without electronics-related businesses. China/Hong Kong organic local-currency sales growth was 6 percent, or 10 percent without electronics-related businesses, which was an improvement versus the first quarter s underlying growth rate. Refer to the Electronic and Energy business segment section for additional discussion of electronics-related businesses.

In EMEA, organic local-currency sales increased 4.8 percent. Organic local-currency sales growth was led by Middle East/Africa and Central/East Europe. Organic local-currency sales growth in West Europe was 3.5 percent. Sales growth in EMEA was led by Safety and Graphics, Electronics and Energy, and Industrial.

In the United States, organic local-currency sales growth was 4.5 percent, up from first quarter year-on-year sales growth of 2.6 percent, led by Health Care, and Safety and Graphics.

In Latin America/Canada, organic local-currency sales grew 2.7 percent, led by Electronics and Energy, and Health Care. Latin America/Canada organic sales growth was led by Mexico, and Brazil was down slightly. Sales and operating income were down substantially in Venezuela during the second quarter of 2014.

Operating income increased 9.1 percent in the second quarter and operating margins were 22.8 percent, a margin increase of 0.8 percentage points year-on-year. These results benefited from the combination of selling price increases and raw material cost decreases, lower pension and postretirement benefit costs, plus leverage from organic volume growth. These benefits were partially offset by the impact of strategic investments and foreign exchange impacts. Refer to the section entitled Results of Operations for further discussion.

The income tax rate was 29.5 percent in the second quarter, up 2.1 percentage points versus last year s second quarter. This higher rate decreased earnings per diluted share by approximately 5 cents. Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding in the second quarter of 2014 declined 4.9 percent year-on-year to 664.6 million, which increased earnings per diluted share by approximately 9 cents. Foreign exchange impacts decreased earnings per diluted share by approximately 4 cents.

In the first six months of 2014, net income attributable to 3M was \$2.474 billion, or \$3.70 per diluted share, compared to \$2.326 billion, or \$3.32 per diluted share, in the first six months of 2013. First-half 2014 sales increased 3.8 percent to \$16.0 billion. 3M achieved organic local-currency sales growth (which includes organic volume impacts plus selling price impacts) in all five of its business segments. Organic local-currency sales increased 5.7 percent in Health Care, 5.3 percent in Electronics and Energy, 4.8 percent in Industrial, 4.7 percent in Safety and Graphics, and 3.4 percent in Consumer. For the Company in total, organic local-currency sales grew 4.8 percent, with higher organic volumes contributing 3.6 percent and selling price increases contributing 1.2 percent. Foreign currency translation reduced sales by 1.0 percent year-on-year, with Latin America/Canada sales reduced by 8.5 percent and Asia Pacific sales reduced by 2.2 percent, while EMEA sales benefited by 2.8 percent.

The following table contains sales and operating income results by business segment for the three months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. In addition to the discussion below, refer to the section entitled Performance by Business Segment later in MD&A for a more detailed discussion of the sales and income results of the Company and its respective business segments (including Corporate and Unallocated). Refer to Note 13 for additional information on business segments, including Elimination of Dual Credit.

	Three months ended June 30,											
		2014				20	13		% change			
		Net		Operating		Net		Operating	Net	Operating		
(Dollars in millions)		Sales		Income		Sales		Income	Sales	Income		
Business Segments												
Industrial	\$	2,815	\$	617	\$	2,683	\$	603	4.9%	2.4%		
Safety and Graphics		1,494		353		1,434		328	4.1	7.4		
Electronics and Energy		1,422		293		1,340		237	6.2	23.4		
Health Care		1,416		434		1,336		417	5.9	4.1		
Consumer		1,139		241		1,098		235	3.7	2.3		
Corporate and Unallocated		(1)		(49)		1		(87)				
Elimination of Dual Credit		(151)		(33)		(140)		(31)				

Total Company	\$ 8,134	\$ 1,856	\$ 7,752	\$ 1,702	4.9%	9.1%

Sales in the second quarter of 2014 increased 4.9 percent, led by Electronics and Energy at 6.2 percent, Health Care at 5.9 percent, Industrial at 4.9 percent, Safety and Graphics at 4.1 percent, and Consumer at 3.7 percent. Total company organic local-currency sales increased 4.8 percent, acquisitions increased sales by 0.1 percent, and foreign currency translation had no impact on worldwide sales. All five of 3M s business segments achieved operating income margins in excess of 20 percent. Worldwide operating income margins for the second quarter of 2014 were 22.8 percent, compared to 22.0 percent for the second quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

3M generated \$2.732 billion of operating cash flows in the first six months of 2014, an increase of \$59 million when compared to the first six months of 2013. Refer to the section entitled Financial Condition and Liquidity later in MD&A for a discussion of items impacting cash flows.

In February 2014, 3M s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$12 billion of 3M s outstanding common stock, which replaced the Company s February 2013 repurchase program. This new program has no pre-established end date. In the first six months of 2014, the Company purchased \$3.134 billion of stock, of which a portion was under the previous authorization, compared to \$1.995 billion of stock purchases in the first six months of 2013. As of June 30, 2014, approximately \$9.2 billion remained available under the February 2014 authorization. The Company expects to purchase \$4.5 billion to \$5.0 billion of stock in 2014. In December 2013, 3M s Board of Directors declared a first-quarter 2014 dividend of \$0.855 per share, an increase of 35 percent. This marked the 56th consecutive year of dividend increases for 3M.

3M s debt to total capital ratio (total capital defined as debt plus equity) was 28 percent at June 30, 2014 and 25 percent at December 31, 2013. 3M has an AA- credit rating with a stable outlook from Standard & Poor s and an Aa2 credit rating with a stable outlook from Moody s Investors Service. The Company generates significant ongoing cash flow and has proven access to capital markets funding throughout business cycles.

3M expects to contribute approximately \$100 million to \$200 million of cash to its global pension and postretirement plans in 2014. The Company does not have a required minimum cash pension contribution obligation for its U.S. plans in 2014. 3M expects defined benefit pension and postretirement expense in 2014 to decrease by approximately \$160 million pre-tax when compared to 2013. The change in both defined benefit and defined contribution plan expenses would increase earnings in 2014 by approximately 15 cents per diluted share when compared to 2013. Refer to Note 8 (Pension and Postretirement Benefit Plans) for additional information concerning 3M s pension and post-retirement plans. In addition, 3M currently expects that its effective tax rate for 2014 will be approximately 28.0 to 29.0 percent, compared to 28.1 percent for 2013. The 2014 estimate assumes that the U.S. research and development credit will be reinstated for 2014.

As discussed in Note 4, in July 2014, 3M announced that it will acquire (via Sumitomo 3M Limited) Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd. s 25 percent interest in 3M s consolidated Sumitomo 3M Limited subsidiary for 90 billion Japanese Yen (approximately \$885 million at announcement date exchange rates). This will add approximately \$0.08 per diluted share to earnings during the first 12 months following closing, with closing expected on September 1, 2014. As a result of this transaction, 3M expects that its balance sheet amounts for noncontrolling interest equity and 3M Company shareholders equity will be reduced by approximately \$460 million and \$425 million, respectively, based on June 30, 2014 balances, with an aggregate offsetting reduction to cash held by foreign subsidiaries.

Forward-looking statements in Part I, Item 2 may involve risks and uncertainties that could cause results to differ materially from those projected (refer to the section entitled Cautionary Note Concerning Factors That May Affect Future Results in Part I, Item 2 and the risk factors provided in Part II, Item 1A for discussion of these risks and uncertainties).

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Percent change information compares the second quarter of 2014 with the same period last year, unless otherwise indicated.

Net Sales:

	United	Asia	ree months ende Europe, Middle East	d Jı	Latin America/	Other	
	States	Pacific	& Africa		Canada	allocated	Worldwide
Net sales (millions)	\$ 2,936	\$ 2,371	\$ 1,929	\$	901	\$ (3)	\$ 8,134
% of worldwide sales	36.1%	29.1%	23.7%		11.1%		100.0%
Components of net sales change:							
Volume organic	3.6%	6.4%	3.6%		(2.2)%		3.5%
Price	0.9	0.2	1.2		4.9		1.3
Organic local-currency sales	4.5	6.6	4.8		2.7		4.8
Acquisitions	0.2						0.1
Divestitures	(0.1)						
Translation		(0.7)	3.7		(5.8)		
Total sales change	4.6%	5.9%	8.5%		(3.1)%		4.9%

Sales in the second quarter of 2014 increased 4.9 percent when compared to the second quarter of 2013. Organic local-currency sales grew 4.8 percent, with increases of 6.6 percent in Asia Pacific, 4.8 percent in Europe, Middle East and Africa, 4.5 percent in the United States, and 2.7 percent in Latin America/Canada. Organic local-currency sales growth was 7 percent across all developing markets, and 4 percent in developed markets. Currency impacts had no impact on second quarter 2014 worldwide sales growth, with a benefit in EMEA offset by impacts in Latin America/Canada and Asia Pacific.

Worldwide selling prices rose 1.3 percent in the second quarter of 2014. Selling prices continue to be supported by technology innovation, which is a key fundamental strength of the Company, helping to drive unique customer solutions and an increasing flow of new products. 3M also began raising selling prices in mid-2013 to help offset currency weakness in select developing countries. This will result in 3M s price performance moderating in the second half of 2014, beginning in the third quarter.

	United States	Asia Pacific	ix months ended Europe, Middle East & Africa	Jun	e 30, 2014 Latin America/ Canada	Ur	Other nallocated	,	Worldwide
Net sales (millions)	\$ 5,707	\$ 4,732	\$ 3,791	\$	1,741	\$	(6)	\$	15,965
% of worldwide sales	35.7%	29.6%	23.8%		10.9%				100.0%
Components of net sales									
change:									
Volume organic	2.8%	6.4%	3.2%		(1.0)%				3.6%

Price	0.7	0.4	1.0	5.6	1.2
Organic local-currency sales	3.5	6.8	4.2	4.6	4.8
Acquisitions	0.1				
Divestitures	(0.1)				
Translation		(2.2)	2.8	(8.5)	(1.0)
Total sales change	3.5%	4.6%	7.0%	(3.9)%	3.8%

Sales in the first six months of 2014 increased 3.8 percent when compared to the first six months of 2013. Organic local-currency sales grew 4.8 percent, with increases of 6.8 percent in Asia Pacific, 4.6 percent in Latin America/Canada, 4.2 percent in Europe, Middle East and Africa, and 3.5 percent in the United States. Organic local-currency sales growth was 6 percent across all developing markets, and 4 percent in developed markets. Currency impacts reduced first six months 2014 worldwide sales growth by 1.0 percent.

Worldwide selling prices rose 1.2 percent in the first six months of 2014, as 3M continues to experience positive selling price changes across most of its businesses. As discussed in second-quarter results above, 3M also began raising selling prices in mid-2013 to help offset currency weakness in select developing countries.

Operating Expenses:

	Th	ree months ended	Six months ended			
		June 30,			June 30,	
(Percent of net sales)	2014	2013	Change	2014	2013	Change
Cost of sales	51.5%	51.7%	(0.2)%	51.5%	51.8	