

SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC
Form 10-Q
November 08, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-50070

SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-4181699

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

20 Custom House Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of principal executive offices including zip code)

(617) 951-0600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 5, 2012, there were 15,320,409 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share outstanding.

Table of Contents

SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1.	Financial Statements
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u>
	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>
	<u>for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>
	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>
	<u>for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>
	5
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity</u>
	<u>for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>
	6
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>
	<u>for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>
	7
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>
	8
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
	<u>Executive Summary and Overview</u>
	20
	<u>Results of Operations—Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u>
	23
	<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>
	27
	<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>
	29
	<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>
	36
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>
	36
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>
	37
PART II.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>
	37
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>
	37
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>
	37
Item 3.	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>
	38
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>
	38
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>
	38
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>
	38
SIGNATURE	39
EXHIBIT INDEX	40

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Assets		
Investments:		
Securities available for sale:		
Fixed maturities, at fair value (amortized cost: \$1,100,759 and \$1,032,660)	\$ 1,171,464	\$ 1,086,813
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: \$21,077 and \$20,431)	22,833	21,080
Total investments	1,194,297	1,107,893
Cash and cash equivalents	33,697	37,890
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	180,333	154,143
Receivable for securities sold	866	
Accrued investment income	10,092	10,169
Taxes recoverable	3,551	8,406
Receivable from reinsurers related to paid loss and loss adjustment expenses	8,762	3,526
Receivable from reinsurers related to unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses	52,115	51,774
Ceded unearned premiums	15,012	14,022
Deferred policy acquisition costs	63,905	56,716
Equity and deposits in pools	16,567	14,507
Other assets	14,242	13,448
Total assets	\$ 1,593,439	\$ 1,472,494
Liabilities		
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	\$ 413,641	\$ 403,872
Unearned premium reserves	371,437	329,562
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	48,720	52,032
Payable for securities purchased	18,198	
Payable to reinsurers	14,877	5,338
Deferred income taxes	9,745	3,014
Other liabilities	20,412	22,363
Total liabilities	897,030	816,181
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Shareholders equity		
Common stock: \$0.01 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 17,047,104 and 16,915,432 shares issued		
	170	169
Additional paid-in capital	161,726	157,167
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of taxes	47,099	35,621
Retained earnings	542,983	518,925
Treasury stock, at cost: 1,728,645 shares	(55,569)	(55,569)
Total shareholders equity	696,409	656,313
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1,593,439	\$ 1,472,494

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net earned premiums	\$ 162,493	\$ 151,254	\$ 477,099	\$ 444,620
Net investment income	10,142	10,081	30,551	29,716
Net realized gains on investments	153	1,844	1,226	2,702
Finance and other service income	4,743	4,856	13,769	13,731
Total revenue	177,531	168,035	522,645	490,769
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	106,445	111,545	307,184	347,359
Underwriting, operating and related expenses	50,054	45,453	146,602	132,153
Interest expense	22	23	66	66
Total expenses	156,521	157,021	453,852	479,578
Income before income taxes	21,010	11,014	68,793	11,191
Income tax expense	6,676	2,204	20,294	2,249
Net income	\$ 14,334	\$ 8,810	\$ 48,499	\$ 8,942
Earnings per weighted average common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.58	\$ 3.17	\$ 0.59
Diluted	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.58	\$ 3.17	\$ 0.59
Cash dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.50
Number of shares used in computing earnings per share:				
Basic	15,312,178	15,187,430	15,277,560	15,157,762
Diluted	15,319,885	15,195,819	15,284,400	15,169,738

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 14,334	\$ 8,810	\$ 48,499	\$ 8,942
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Unrealized holding gains during the period, net of tax expense of \$4,532, \$4,200, \$6,610, and \$6,801	8,417	7,799	12,275	12,631
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax expense of (\$53), (\$646), (\$429), and (\$946)	(100)	(1,198)	(797)	(1,756)
Unrealized gains on securities available for sale	8,317	6,601	11,478	10,875
Comprehensive income	\$ 22,651	\$ 15,411	\$ 59,977	\$ 19,817

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands)**

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Taxes	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Total Shareholders Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 168	\$ 151,317	\$ 21,972	\$ 535,545	\$ (55,526)	\$ 653,476
Net income, January 1 to September 30, 2011				8,942		8,942
Other comprehensive income, net of deferred federal income taxes			10,875			10,875
Unearned compensation on restricted stock, net of deferred federal income taxes	1	3,797				3,798
Exercise of options, net of federal income taxes		965				965
Dividends paid				(22,729)		(22,729)
Acquisition of treasury stock					(43)	(43)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 169	\$ 156,079	\$ 32,847	\$ 521,758	\$ (55,569)	\$ 655,284

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Taxes	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock	Total Shareholders Equity
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 169	\$ 157,167	\$ 35,621	\$ 518,925	\$ (55,569)	\$ 656,313
Net income, January 1 to September 30, 2012				48,499		48,499
Other comprehensive income, net of deferred federal income taxes			11,478			11,478
Unearned compensation on restricted stock, net of deferred federal income taxes	1	3,575				3,576
Exercise of options, net of federal income taxes		984				984
Dividends paid				(24,441)		(24,441)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 170	\$ 161,726	\$ 47,099	\$ 542,983	\$ (55,569)	\$ 696,409

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 48,499	\$ 8,942
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization, net	10,691	9,196
Provision for deferred income taxes	550	168
Net realized gains on investments	(1,226)	(2,702)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(26,190)	(18,477)
Accrued investment income	77	851
Receivable from reinsurers	(5,577)	(3,285)
Ceded unearned premiums	(990)	(173)
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(7,189)	(6,683)
Other assets	1,835	1,746
Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves	9,769	(6,054)
Unearned premium reserves	41,875	39,112
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(3,312)	(15,267)
Payable to reinsurers	9,539	5,393
Other liabilities	(1,951)	7,704
Net cash provided by operating activities	76,400	20,471
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Fixed maturities purchased	(234,335)	(260,405)
Equity securities purchased	(8,343)	(12,512)
Proceeds from sales and paydowns of fixed maturities	132,576	200,728
Proceeds from maturities, redemptions, and calls of fixed maturities	48,014	74,162
Proceed from sales of equity securities	7,939	6,235
Fixed assets purchased	(2,987)	(2,828)
Net cash (used for) provided by investing activities	(57,136)	5,380
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from stock options exercised	1,028	900
Excess tax expense from stock options exercised	(44)	(4)
Dividends paid to shareholders	(24,441)	(22,729)
Acquisition of treasury stock		(43)
Net cash used for financing activities	(23,457)	(21,876)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(4,193)	3,975
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	37,890	40,291
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 33,697	\$ 44,266

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)

1. Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

The consolidated financial statements include Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company). The subsidiaries consist of Safety Insurance Company, Safety Indemnity Insurance Company, Safety Property and Casualty Insurance Company, Whiteshirts Asset Management Corporation (WAMC), and Whiteshirts Management Corporation, which is WAMC s holding company. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The financial information as of September 30, 2012 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is unaudited; however, in the opinion of the Company, the information includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the periods. These unaudited consolidated financial statements may not be indicative of financial results for the full year and should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 13, 2012.

The Company is a leading provider of property and casualty insurance focused primarily on the Massachusetts market. The Company s principal product line is automobile insurance. The Company operates through its insurance company subsidiaries, Safety Insurance Company, Safety Indemnity Insurance Company, and Safety Property and Casualty Insurance Company (together referred to as the Insurance Subsidiaries).

The Insurance Subsidiaries began writing private passenger automobile and homeowners insurance in New Hampshire during 2008, personal umbrella insurance in New Hampshire during 2009, and commercial automobile in New Hampshire insurance during 2011.

2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-26 (Topic 944), *Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts*, which updated guidance to address diversity in practice for the accounting of costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. This guidance modifies the definition of acquisition costs to

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

specify that a cost be directly related to the successful acquisition of a new or renewal insurance contract in order to be deferred. The guidance also specifies that advertising costs only should be included as deferred acquisition costs if the direct-response advertising accounting criteria are met. The new guidance was effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and was to be applied prospectively, with retrospective application permitted. The adoption of the guidance had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04 (Topic 820), *Fair Value Measurements, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)*, which clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and amends certain fair value measurement principles, requirements and disclosures. To improve consistency in global application across jurisdictions, changes in wording were made to ensure that U.S. GAAP and IFRS fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are described in the same way. The guidance was to be applied prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the guidance had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05 (Topic 220), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, which amends the presentation of comprehensive income and its components. Under the new guidance, an entity has the option to present comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. Both options require an entity to present reclassification adjustments for items reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of comprehensive income are presented. The guidance was effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and was to be applied retrospectively. The impact of adoption is related to presentation only and had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)**

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12 (Topic 220), *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU No. 2011-05*, which indefinitely defers certain provisions of ASU 2011-05 (Topic 220), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, that revised the manner in which entities present comprehensive income in financial statements. One of ASU 2011-05 provisions would require entities to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the statement in which net income is presented and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented. Accordingly, this requirement is indefinitely deferred and will be further deliberated by the FASB at a future date. The amendment was effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years that begin after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the guidance had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

3. Earnings per Weighted Average Common Share

Basic earnings per weighted average common share (EPS) is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of basic common shares outstanding during the period including unvested restricted shares which are considered participating securities. Diluted earnings per share amounts are based on the weighted average number of common shares including unvested restricted shares and the net effect of potentially dilutive common shares outstanding.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net income available to common shareholders for basic and diluted earnings per share	\$ 14,334	\$ 8,810	\$ 48,499	\$ 8,942
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding used to calculate basic earnings per share	15,312,178	15,187,430	15,277,560	15,157,762
Common equivalent shares - stock options	7,707	8,389	6,840	11,976
Weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding used to calculate diluted earnings per share	15,319,885	15,195,819	15,284,400	15,169,738
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.58	\$ 3.17	\$ 0.59
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.58	\$ 3.17	\$ 0.59

Diluted EPS excludes stock options with exercise prices and exercise tax benefits greater than the average market price of the Company's common stock during the period because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. There were no anti-dilutive stock options for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 71,800 anti-dilutive stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. There were 82,800 anti-dilutive stock options for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and no anti-dilutive stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

4. Stock-Based Compensation

Management Omnibus Incentive Plan

Long-term incentive compensation is provided under the Company's 2002 Management Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan) which provides for a variety of stock-based compensation awards, including nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock (RS) awards.

The maximum number of shares of common stock with respect to which awards may be granted is 2,500,000. Shares of stock covered by an award under the Incentive Plan that are forfeited will again be available for issuance in connection with future grants of awards under the plan. At September 30, 2012, there were 618,237 shares available for future grant. The Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee intend to issue more awards under the Incentive Plan in the future.

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)****Accounting and Reporting for Stock-Based Awards**

ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation* requires the Company to measure and recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments. The Company adopted ASC 718 effective January 1, 2006. Under the provisions of ASC 718, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity grant).

As permitted by ASC 718, the Company elected the modified prospective transition method. Under the modified prospective transition method, (i) compensation expense for share-based awards granted prior to January 1, 2006 is recognized over the remaining service period using the compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes under ASC 718 as adjusted to incorporate forfeiture assumptions under ASC 718, and (ii) compensation expense for all share-based awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 is based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718.

Stock Options

The fair value of stock options used to compute net income and EPS for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is the estimated fair value at grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Expected dividend yield	NA	NA	NA	1.68%
Expected volatility	NA	NA	NA	0.36
Risk-free interest rate	NA	NA	NA	4.76%
Expected holding period (in years)	NA	NA	NA	6.5

Expected dividend yield is the Company's dividend yield on the measurement date and is based on the assumption that the current yield will continue in the future. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company's common stock as well as the volatility of a peer group of property and casualty insurers measured for a period equal to the expected holding period of the option. The risk-free interest rate is based upon the yield on the measurement date of a zero-coupon U.S. Treasury bond with a maturity period equal to the expected holding period of the option. The expected holding period is based upon the simplified method provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, *Share-Based Payment*, which utilizes the mid-points between the vesting dates and the expiration date of the option award to calculate the overall expected term. There were no stock options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Incentive Plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

	Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at beginning of year	125,700	\$ 37.63		
Exercised	(30,750)	\$ 33.42		
Forfeitures	(300)	\$ 42.85		
Outstanding at end of period	94,650	\$ 38.98	3.1 years	\$ 653
Exercisable at end of period	94,650	\$ 38.98	3.1 years	\$ 653

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, which is the difference between the fair value based upon the Company's closing stock price on September 30, 2012 and the exercise price which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date. The range of exercise prices on stock options outstanding under the Incentive Plan was \$13.30 to \$42.85 at September 30, 2012 and 2011. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$383 and \$122, respectively.

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)**

As of March 31, 2011, all compensation expense related to non-vested option awards had been recognized. Cash received from options exercised was \$1,028 and \$900 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock awarded in the form of unvested shares is recorded at the market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date and amortized as expense over the vesting period of each grant. Restricted stock awards generally vest over a three-year period and unrestrict 30% on the first and second anniversaries of the grant date and 40% on the third anniversary of the grant date, except for non-executive employees restricted stock awards which vest ratably over a five-year service period and independent directors' stock awards which vest immediately. Independent directors' stock awards cannot be sold, assigned, pledged, or otherwise transferred, encumbered or disposed of until the recipient is no longer a member of the Board of Directors.

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity under the Incentive Plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

	Shares Under Restriction	Weighted Average Fair Value
Outstanding at beginning of year	282,117	\$ 39.24
Granted	102,756	\$ 41.79
Vested and unrestricted	(117,395)	\$ 36.49
Forfeited	(1,834)	\$ 37.36
Outstanding at end of period	265,644	\$ 41.45

As of September 30, 2012, there was \$7,401 of unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock awards that is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years. The total fair value of the shares that were vested and unrestricted during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$4,283 and \$3,953, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded compensation expense related to restricted stock of \$2,179 and \$2,166, net of income tax benefits of \$1,173 and \$1,166, respectively.

5. Investments

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The gross unrealized gains and losses on investments in fixed maturity securities, including redeemable preferred stocks that have characteristics of fixed maturities, and equity securities, including interests in mutual funds, were as follows for the periods indicated.

	As of September 30, 2012				
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Non-OTTI Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Losses (3) OTTI Unrealized Losses (4)	Estimated Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 40,522	\$ 284	\$	\$	\$ 40,806
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	455,928	37,823	(4)		493,747
Residential mortgage-backed securities (1)	204,214	13,705	(136)		217,783
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	38,499	1,261	(7)		39,753
Other asset-backed securities	21,804	909	(1)		22,712
Corporate and other securities	339,792	17,117	(246)		356,663
Subtotal, fixed maturity securities	1,100,759	71,099	(394)		1,171,464
Equity securities (2)	21,077	1,806	(50)		22,833
Totals	\$ 1,121,836	\$ 72,905	\$ (444)	\$	\$ 1,194,297

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)**

	As of December 31, 2011				
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses (3)		Estimated Fair Value
			Non-OTTI Unrealized Losses	OTTI Unrealized Losses (4)	
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 7,525	\$ 366	\$	\$	\$ 7,891
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	443,338	25,630	(150)		468,818
Residential mortgage-backed securities (1)	277,885	17,147	(106)		294,926
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	51,986	1,439	(278)		53,147
Other asset-backed securities	12,848	932			13,780
Corporate and other securities	239,078	10,128	(955)		248,251
Subtotal, fixed maturity securities	1,032,660	55,642	(1,489)		1,086,813
Equity securities (2)	20,431	1,111	(462)		21,080
Totals	\$ 1,053,091	\$ 56,753	\$ (1,951)	\$	\$ 1,107,893

(1) Residential mortgage-backed securities consists primarily of obligations of U.S. Government agencies including collateralized mortgage obligations issued, guaranteed and/or insured by the following issuers: Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB).

(2) Equity securities include interests in mutual funds held to fund the Company's executive deferred compensation plan.

(3) Our investment portfolio included 68 and 55 securities in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(4) Amounts in this column represent other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

The amortized cost and the estimated fair value of fixed maturity securities, by maturity, are shown below for the period indicated. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	As of September 30, 2012	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 66,914	\$ 67,275
Due after one year through five years	241,960	255,816
Due after five years through ten years	264,917	282,618
Due after ten years	262,451	285,507
Asset-backed securities	264,517	280,248

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Totals \$ **1,100,759** \$ **1,171,464**

The gross realized gains and losses on sales of investments were as follows for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Gross realized gains				
Fixed maturity securities	\$ 374	\$ 1,712	\$ 1,432	\$ 3,289
Equity securities		132	266	234
Gross realized losses				
Fixed maturity securities	(221)		(447)	(821)
Equity securities			(25)	
Net realized gains on investments	\$ 153	\$ 1,844	\$ 1,226	\$ 2,702

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)**

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into transactions involving various types of financial instruments, including investments in fixed maturities and equity securities. Investment transactions have credit exposure to the extent that a counter party may default on an obligation to the Company. Credit risk is a consequence of carrying, trading and investing in securities. To manage credit risk, the Company focuses on higher quality fixed income securities, reviews the credit strength of all companies in which it invests, limits its exposure in any one investment and monitors the portfolio quality, taking into account credit ratings assigned by recognized statistical rating organizations.

The following tables as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 present the gross unrealized losses included in the Company's investment portfolio and the fair value of those securities aggregated by investment category. The tables also present the length of time that they have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

	Less than 12 Months		As of September 30, 2012 12 Months or More		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 1,039	\$ 4	\$	\$	\$ 1,039	\$ 4
Residential mortgage-backed securities	14,433	131	54	5	14,487	136
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,955	7			1,955	7
Other asset-backed securities	7,612	1			7,612	1
Corporate and other securities	19,015	246			19,015	246
Subtotal, fixed maturity securities	44,054	389	54	5	44,108	394
Equity securities	1,089	44	544	6	1,633	50
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 45,143	\$ 433	\$ 598	\$ 11	\$ 45,741	\$ 444

	Less than 12 Months		As of December 31, 2011 12 Months or More		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 8,804	\$ 135	\$ 4,590	\$ 15	\$ 13,394	\$ 150
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,333	99	79	7	4,412	106
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	4,563	278			4,563	278
Corporate and other securities	22,745	943	1,986	12	24,731	955
Subtotal, fixed maturity securities	40,445	1,455	6,655	34	47,100	1,489
Equity securities	7,185	462			7,185	462

Total temporarily impaired securities	\$	47,630	\$	1,917	\$	6,655	\$	34	\$	54,285	\$	1,951
---------------------------------------	----	--------	----	-------	----	-------	----	----	----	--------	----	-------

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

ASC 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities* requires entities to separate an OTTI of a debt security into two components when there are credit related losses associated with the impaired debt security for which the Company asserts that it does not have the intent to sell the security, and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. Under ASC 320, the amount of the OTTI related to a credit loss is recognized in earnings, and the amount of the OTTI related to other factors is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). In instances where no credit loss exists but it is more likely than not that the Company will have to sell the debt security prior to the anticipated recovery, the decline in market value below amortized cost is recognized as an OTTI in earnings. In periods after the recognition of an OTTI on debt securities, the Company accounts for such securities as if they had been purchased on the measurement date of the OTTI at an amortized cost basis equal to the previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings. For debt securities for which OTTI was recognized in earnings, the difference between the new amortized cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected will be accreted or amortized into net investment income.

The Company holds no subprime mortgage debt securities. All of the Company's holdings in mortgage-backed securities are either U.S. Government or Agency guaranteed or are rated investment grade by either Moody's or Standard & Poor's.

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)**

The unrealized losses in the Company's fixed income and equity portfolio as of September 30, 2012 were reviewed for potential other-than-temporary asset impairments. The Company held no securities at September 30, 2012 with a material (20% or greater) unrealized loss for four or more consecutive quarters. Specific qualitative analysis was also performed for any additional securities appearing on the Company's Watch List, if any. Qualitative analysis considered such factors as the financial condition and the near term prospects of the issuer, whether the debtor is current on its contractually obligated interest and principal payments, changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency and the historical volatility of the fair value of the security.

The qualitative analysis performed by the Company concluded that the unrealized losses recorded on the investment portfolio at September 30, 2012 resulted from fluctuations in market interest rates and other temporary market conditions as opposed to fundamental changes in the credit quality of the issuers of such securities. Therefore, decreases in fair values of the Company's securities are viewed as being temporary.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there was no significant deterioration in the credit quality of any of the Company's holdings and no OTTI charges were recorded related to the Company's portfolio of investment securities. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income related to securities which were considered by the Company to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Based upon the qualitative analysis performed, the Company's decision to hold these securities, the Company's current level of liquidity and its positive operating cash flows, management believes it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell any of its securities before the anticipated recovery in the fair value to its amortized cost basis.

Net Investment Income

The components of net investment income were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest on fixed maturity securities	\$ 10,570	\$ 10,290	\$ 31,571	\$ 30,440
Dividends on equity securities	187	136	327	221
Interest on other assets	20	7	49	43
Interest on cash and cash equivalents		3	14	26
Total investment income	10,777	10,436	31,961	30,730
Investment expenses	635	355	1,410	1,014
Net investment income	\$ 10,142	\$ 10,081	\$ 30,551	\$ 29,716

Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure* provides a revised definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands financial statement disclosure requirements for fair value information. Under ASC 820, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants (an exit price). ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between inputs based on market data from independent sources (observable inputs) and a reporting entity's internal assumptions based upon the best information available when external market data is limited or unavailable (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy in ASC 820 prioritizes fair value measurements into three levels based on the nature of the inputs as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;

Level 2 Valuations based on observable inputs that do not meet the criteria for Level 1, including quoted prices in inactive markets and quoted prices in active markets for similar, but not identical instruments; and

Level 3 Valuations based on unobservable inputs.

The Company is responsible for the determination of the value of the investments carried at fair value and the supporting methodologies and assumptions. Fair values for the Company's fixed maturity securities are based on prices provided by its custodian bank and its investment manager. Both the Company's custodian bank and investment manager use a variety of independent,

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)

nationally recognized pricing services to determine market valuations. The Company has processes designed to ensure that the values received from third-party pricing service are accurately recorded, that the data inputs and valuation techniques utilized are appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value. If the pricing service cannot provide fair value determinations, the Company obtains non-binding price quotes from broker-dealers. A minimum of two quoted prices is obtained for the majority of the Company's available-for-sale fixed maturity securities in its investment portfolio. The Company's custodian bank is its primary provider of quoted prices from third-party pricing services and broker-dealers. To provide reasonable assurance of the validity of each price or quote, a secondary third-party pricing service or broker-dealer quote is obtained from the Company's investment managers. An examination of the pricing data is then performed for each security. If the variance between the primary and secondary price quotes for a security is within an accepted tolerance level, the quoted price obtained from the Company's custodian bank is used in the financial statements for the security. If the variance between the primary and secondary price quotes exceeds an accepted tolerance level, the Company obtains a quote from an alternative source, if possible, and documents and resolves any differences between the pricing sources. In addition, the Company may request that its investment managers and its traders provide input as to which vendor is providing prices that its traders believe are reflective of fair value for the security. Following this process, the Company may decide to value the security in its financial statements using the secondary or alternative source if it believes that pricing is more reflective of the security's value than the primary pricing provided by its custodian bank. The Company analyzes market valuations received to verify reasonableness, to understand the key assumptions used and their sources, and to determine an appropriate ASC 820 fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs. Based on this evaluation and investment class analysis, each price is classified into Level 1, 2 or 3.

Fair values of instruments are based on (i) quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1), (ii) quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets (Level 2) or (iii) valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs are unobservable in the marketplace (Level 3).

The Company's Level 1 securities consist of equity securities whose values are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. The Company's Level 2 securities are comprised of available-for-sale fixed maturity securities whose fair value was determined using observable market inputs and a real estate investment trust equity investment whose fair value was determined using the trust's net asset value obtained from its audited financial statements. Fair values for securities for which quoted market prices were unavailable were estimated based upon reference to observable inputs such as benchmark interest rates, market comparables, and other relevant inputs. Investments valued using these inputs include U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government agencies, obligations of international government agencies, obligations of states and political subdivisions, corporate securities, commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities, and other asset-backed securities. Inputs into the fair value application that are utilized by asset class include but are not limited to:

- *States and political subdivisions:* overall credit quality, including assessments of market sectors and the level and variability of sources of payment such as general obligation, revenue or lease; credit support such as insurance, state or local economic and political base, prefunded and escrowed to maturity covenants.

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

- *Corporate fixed maturities:* overall credit quality, the establishment of a risk adjusted credit spread over the applicable risk-free yield curve for discounted cash flow valuations; assessments of the level of industry economic sensitivity, company financial policies, indenture restrictive covenants, and/or security and collateral.
- *Residential mortgage-backed securities, U.S. agency pass-throughs, collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), non U.S. agency CMOs:* estimates of prepayment speeds based upon historical prepayment rate trends, underlying collateral interest rates, original weighted average maturity, vintage year, borrower credit quality characteristics, interest rate and yield curve forecasts, U.S. government support programs, tax policies, and delinquency/default trends.
- *Commercial mortgage-backed securities:* overall credit quality, including assessments of the level and variability of credit support and collateral type such as office, retail, or lodging, predictability of cash flows for the deal structure, prevailing economic market conditions.
- *Other asset-backed securities:* overall credit quality, estimates of prepayment speeds based upon historical trends and characteristics of underlying loans, including assessments of the level and variability of collateral, revenue generating agreements, area licenses agreements, product sourcing agreements and equipment and property leases.
- *Real estate investment trust (REIT):* net asset value per share derived from member ownership in capital venture to which a proportionate share of independently appraised net assets is attributed.

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)**

All unadjusted estimates of fair value for our fixed maturities priced by the pricing services as described above are included in the amounts disclosed in Level 2.

In order to ensure the fair value determination is representative of an exit price (consistent with ASC 820), the Company's procedures for validating quotes or prices obtained from third parties include, but are not limited to, obtaining a minimum of two price quotes for each fixed maturity security if possible, as discussed above, the periodic testing of sales activity to determine if there are any significant differences between the market price used to value the security as of the balance sheet date and the sales price of the security for sales that occurred around the balance sheet date, and the periodic review of reports provided by its investment manager regarding those securities with ratings changes and securities placed on its Watch List. In addition, valuation techniques utilized by pricing services and prices obtained from external sources are reviewed by the Company's external investment manager, whose investment professionals are familiar with the securities being priced and the markets in which they trade, to ensure the fair value determination is representative of an exit price (consistent with ASC 820).

The Company's entire available-for-sale portfolio was priced based upon quoted market prices or other observable inputs as of September 30, 2012. There were no significant changes to the valuation process during the nine months ending September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, no quotes or prices obtained were adjusted by management. All broker quotes obtained were non-binding.

The following tables summarize the Company's total fair value measurements for available-for-sale investments for the periods indicated.

	As of September 30, 2012			
	Total	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 40,806	\$	\$ 40,806	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	493,747		493,747	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	217,783		217,783	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	39,753		39,753	
Other asset-backed securities	22,712		22,712	
Corporate and other securities	356,663		356,663	
Equity securities	22,833	17,565	5,268	
Total investment securities	\$ 1,194,297	\$ 17,565	\$ 1,176,732	\$

	As of December 31, 2011			
	Total	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 7,891	\$	\$ 7,891	\$
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	468,818		468,818	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	294,926		294,926	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	53,147		53,147	

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Other asset-backed securities	13,780			13,780
Corporate and other securities	248,251			248,251
Equity securities	21,080	15,954		5,126
Total investment securities	\$ 1,107,893	\$ 15,954	\$ 1,091,939	\$

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Transfers in and out of Level 3 are attributable to changes in the ability to observe significant inputs in determining fair value exit pricing. The Company held no Level 3 securities at September 30, 2012 and 2011 and no transfers were made in or out of Level 3 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents**Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)****6. Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves**

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE), as shown in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the periods indicated.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Reserves for losses and LAE at beginning of year	\$ 403,872	\$ 404,391
Less receivable from reinsurers related to unpaid losses and LAE	(51,774)	(53,147)
Net reserves for losses and LAE at beginning of year	352,098	351,244
Incurred losses and LAE, related to:		
Current year	319,056	372,481
Prior years	(11,872)	(25,122)
Total incurred losses and LAE	307,184	347,359
Paid losses and LAE related to:		
Current year	185,121	237,742
Prior years	112,635	115,103
Total paid losses and LAE	297,756	352,845
Net reserves for losses and LAE at end of period	361,526	345,758
Plus receivable from reinsurers related to unpaid losses and LAE	52,115	52,579
Reserves for losses and LAE at end of period	\$ 413,641	\$ 398,337

At the end of each period, the reserves were re-estimated for all prior accident years. The Company's prior year reserves decreased by \$11,872 and \$25,122 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and resulted from re-estimations of prior years ultimate loss and LAE liabilities. The decrease in prior years reserves during the 2012 period is primarily composed of a reduction in the Company's retained automobile reserves, partially offset by an increase in the accident year 2011 reserves of homeowners and all other business lines. The decrease in prior year reserves during the 2011 period is primarily composed of reductions in the Company's retained automobile and homeowners reserves.

The Company's private passenger automobile line of business prior year reserves decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 primarily due to improved retained private passenger results. The improved retained private passenger results were primarily due to fewer incurred but not yet reported claims than previously estimated and better than previously estimated severity on the Company's established bodily injury and property damage case reserves.

Due to the nature of the risks that the Company underwrites and has historically underwritten, management does not believe that it has an exposure to asbestos or environmental pollution liabilities.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

Various claims, generally incidental to the conduct of normal business, are pending or alleged against the Company from time to time. In the opinion of management, based in part on the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate resolution of such claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. However, if estimates of the ultimate resolutions of those proceedings are revised, liabilities related to those proceedings could be adjusted in the near term.

Massachusetts law requires that insurers licensed to do business in Massachusetts participate in the Massachusetts Insurers Insolvency Fund (Insolvency Fund). Members of the Insolvency Fund are assessed a proportionate share of the obligations and expenses of the Insolvency Fund in connection with an insolvent insurer. It is anticipated that there will be additional assessments from time to time relating to various insolvencies. Although the timing and amounts of any future assessments are not known, based upon existing knowledge, management's opinion is that such future assessments are not expected to have a material effect upon the financial position of the Company.

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)

8. Debt

The Company has a Revolving Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with RBS Citizens, NA ("RBS Citizens"). The Credit Agreement provides a \$30,000 revolving credit facility with an accordion feature allowing for future expansion of the committed amount up to \$50,000. Loans under the credit facility bear interest at the Company's option at either (i) the LIBOR rate plus 1.25% per annum or (ii) the higher of RBS Citizens prime rate or 0.5% above the federal funds rate plus 1.25% per annum. Interest only is payable prior to maturity. The Credit Agreement has a maturity date of August 14, 2013.

The Company's obligations under the credit facility are secured by pledges of its assets and the capital stock of its operating subsidiaries. The credit facility is guaranteed by the Company's non-insurance company subsidiaries. The credit facility contains covenants including requirements to maintain minimum risk-based capital ratios and statutory surplus of Safety Insurance Company as well as limitations or restrictions on indebtedness, liens, and other matters. Among other covenants, the credit facility restricts the Company's payment of dividends (i) if a default under the credit facility is continuing or would result therefrom or (ii) in an amount in excess of 50% of the Company's prior year's net income, as determined in accordance with GAAP. Although the Company paid dividends to shareholders during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was in excess of 50% of its prior year net income, prior consent to pay the excess amount was obtained from RBS Citizens. As of September 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all other covenants. In addition, the credit facility includes customary events of default, including a cross-default provision permitting the lenders to accelerate the facility if the Company (i) defaults in any payment obligation under debt having a principal amount in excess of \$10,000 or (ii) fails to perform any other covenant permitting acceleration of all such debt.

The Company had no amounts outstanding on its credit facility at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The credit facility commitment fee included in interest expense was computed at a rate of 0.25% per annum on the \$30,000 commitment at September 30, 2012 and 2011.

9. Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 has been computed using estimated effective tax rates. These rates are revised, if necessary, at the end of each successive interim period to reflect the current estimates of the annual effective tax rates.

The Company believes that the positions taken on its income tax returns for open tax years will be sustained upon examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"). Therefore, the Company has not recorded a liability under ASC 740, *Income Taxes*.

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, there were no material changes to the amount of the Company's unrecognized tax benefits or to any assumptions regarding the amount of its ASC 740 liability.

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company was no longer subject to examination of its U.S. federal tax returns for years prior to 2009. The Company is not currently under examination by the IRS.

10. Share Repurchase Program

On August 3, 2007, the Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program of up to \$30,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. On March 19, 2009, the Board of Directors increased this existing share repurchase program by authorizing repurchase of up to \$60,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. On August 4, 2010, the Board of Directors again increased the existing share repurchase program by authorizing repurchase of up to \$90,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. Under the program, the Company may repurchase shares of its common stock for cash in public or private transactions, in the open market or otherwise. The timing of such repurchases and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, market conditions and applicable regulatory and corporate requirements. The program does not require the Company to repurchase any specific number of shares and it may be modified, suspended or terminated at any time without prior notice.

No share purchases were made by the Company under the program during the nine months ending September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 31, 2011, the Company purchased 1,190 shares of its common shares on the open market

Table of Contents

Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(Dollars in thousands except per share and share data)

under the program at a cost of \$43. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had purchased 1,728,645 shares on the open market at a cost of \$55,569.

11. Related Party Transactions

Mr. A. Richard Caputo, Jr., a member of the Company's Board of Directors and the Chairman of its Investment Committee, is a principal of The Jordan Company, LP (Jordan). In February 2012, the Company participated as a lender in a loan made by syndicates of lenders to a portfolio company in which funds managed by Jordan are controlling or a significant investor. The loan, made to Vantage Specialties, Inc., currently bears interest at a rate of 7.0% per annum and matures on February 10, 2018. The loan amortizes in equal quarterly installments of 0.25% of the principal amount per quarter. The Company's participation in the loan was \$2,500. The Company made the loan on the same terms as the other lenders participating in the syndicate. The loan was subject to the approval of the Company's full Investment Committee.

12. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events for recognition or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements filed on Form 10-Q with the SEC and no events have occurred that require recognition or disclosure.

Table of Contents

Item 2.

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which appear elsewhere in this document. In this discussion, all dollar amounts are presented in thousands, except share and per share data.

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements. We intend statements which are not historical in nature to be, and are hereby identified as forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In addition, the Company's senior management may make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, the media and others. This safe harbor requires that we specify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of us. We cannot promise that our expectations in such forward-looking statements will turn out to be correct. Our actual results could be materially different from and worse than our expectations. See Forward-Looking Statements below for specific important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements.

Executive Summary and Overview

In this discussion, Safety refers to Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and our Company, we, us and our refer to Safety Insurance Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries consist of Safety Insurance Company (Safety Insurance), Safety Indemnity Insurance Company (Safety Indemnity), Safety Property and Casualty Insurance Company (Safety P&C), Whiteshirts Asset Management Corporation (WAMC), and Whiteshirts Management Corporation, which is WAMC's holding company.

We are a leading provider of property and casualty insurance focused primarily on the Massachusetts market. Our principal product line is automobile insurance. In addition to private passenger automobile insurance (which represented 67.2% of our direct written premiums in 2011) and commercial automobile insurance (10.5% of 2011 direct written premiums), we offer a portfolio of other insurance products, including homeowners (18.1% of 2011 direct written premiums) and dwelling fire, umbrella and business owner policies (totaling 4.2% of 2011 direct written premiums). Operating exclusively in Massachusetts and New Hampshire through our insurance company subsidiaries, Safety Insurance, Safety Indemnity, and Safety P&C (together referred to as the Insurance Subsidiaries), we have established strong relationships with independent insurance agents, who numbered 852 in 987 locations throughout Massachusetts and New Hampshire during 2011. We have used these relationships and our extensive knowledge of the Massachusetts market to become the third largest private passenger automobile and the third largest commercial automobile insurance carrier in Massachusetts, capturing an approximate 11.2% and 12.4% share, respectively, of the Massachusetts private passenger and commercial automobile markets in 2012 according to the Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers (CAR) Cession Volume Analysis Report of October 24, 2012, based on automobile exposures. These statistics total, for each vehicle insured, the number of months during the year insurance for that vehicle is in effect, to arrive at an aggregate number of car-months for each insurer; this aggregate number divided by 12 equals the insurer's number of car-years, a measure we refer to in this report as automobile exposures.

The Insurance Subsidiaries began writing private passenger automobile and homeowners insurance in New Hampshire during 2008, personal umbrella insurance in New Hampshire during 2009, and commercial automobile insurance in New Hampshire during 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, we wrote \$6,456 and \$4,084, respectively, in direct written premiums in New Hampshire.

Recent Trends and Events

- For the quarter ended September 30, 2012, loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred decreased by \$5,100, or 4.6%, to \$106,445 from \$111,545 for the comparable 2011 period. The decrease was primarily due to pre-tax catastrophic weather event losses incurred of \$2,355 during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$12,375 during the comparable 2011 period.
- We filed and were approved for a Massachusetts homeowners rate increase of 6.9% and a Massachusetts personal umbrella rate increase of 2.1%, both of which were effective September 15, 2012.
- We filed and were approved for a New Hampshire automobile rate increase of 6.1%, which was effective July 15, 2012 and a

Table of Contents

New Hampshire homeowners rate increase of 5.1% which was effective September 15, 2012.

- Our catastrophic weather event losses will be impacted by Hurricane Sandy which caused widespread damage in the Northeastern United States on October 29 and 30, 2012. Our exposure to property and casualty losses is limited to the two states in which we write business, Massachusetts and New Hampshire. We are currently assessing the impact of Hurricane Sandy on our business but do not believe at this time that it will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position.

Massachusetts Automobile Insurance Market

We have been subject to extensive regulation in the private passenger automobile insurance industry in Massachusetts, which represented 67.2% of our direct written premiums in 2011. Private passenger automobile insurance has been heavily regulated in Massachusetts. In many respects, the private passenger automobile insurance market in Massachusetts prior to 2008 was unique, in comparison to other states. This was due to a number of factors, including unusual regulatory conditions, the market dominance of domestic companies, the relative absence of large national companies, and the heavy reliance on independent insurance agents as the market's principal distribution channel. Perhaps most significantly, prior to 2008, the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance (the Commissioner) fixed and established the premium rates and the rating plan to be used by all insurance companies doing business in the private passenger automobile insurance market and the Massachusetts private passenger automobile insurance residual market mechanism featured a reinsurance program run by CAR in which companies were assigned producers.

In 2008, the Commissioner issued a series of decisions to introduce what she termed managed competition to Massachusetts automobile insurance premium rates and in doing so replaced the fixed and established regime with a prior approval rate review process, governed by regulations that set certain terms and conditions that insurers must comply with in establishing their rates. The Commissioner also replaced the former reinsurance program with an assigned risk plan.

These decisions removed many of the factors that had historically distinguished the Massachusetts private passenger automobile insurance market from the market in other states. However, certain of the historically unique factors have not been eliminated, including compulsory insurance, affinity group marketing, and the prominence of independent agents.

CAR runs a reinsurance pool for commercial automobile policies and, beginning January 1, 2006, CAR implemented a Limited Servicing Carrier Program (LSC) for ceded commercial automobile policies. CAR approved Safety Insurance and five other servicing carriers through a Request for Proposal to process ceded commercial automobile business, which was spread equitably among the six servicing carriers. In 2010, CAR reduced the number of servicing carriers to four, and CAR approved Safety Insurance and three other servicing carriers effective July 1, 2011 to continue the program. Subject to the Commissioner's review, CAR sets the premium rates for commercial automobile policies reinsured through CAR and this reinsurance pool can generate an underwriting result that is a profit or deficit based upon CAR's rate level. This underwriting result is allocated among every Massachusetts commercial automobile insurance company, including us, based on a company's commercial automobile voluntary market share.

CAR also runs a reinsurance pool for Taxi, Limousine and Car Service risks (the Taxi/Limo Program). On April 25, 2007, Safety Insurance submitted through a Request for Proposal a bid to process a portion of the Taxi/Limo Program. CAR approved Safety Insurance as one of the

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

two servicing carriers for this program beginning January 1, 2008, and CAR again approved Safety Insurance beginning January 1, 2011 as one of the two servicing carriers.

During 2011, we increased our rates approximately 3.7%, and on March 1, 2012, we began using 17 rating tiers which resulted in a rate increase of 0.7%. Our rates include a 13.0% commission rate for agents. Our direct automobile written premiums increased by 5.5% in 2011 with increased exposures and average written premium per exposure in our private passenger and commercial automobile lines of business.

Insurance Ratios

The property and casualty insurance industry uses the combined ratio as a measure of underwriting profitability. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio (losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred as a percent of net earned premiums) plus the expense ratio (underwriting and other expenses as a percent of net earned premiums, calculated on a GAAP basis). The combined ratio reflects only underwriting results and does not include income from investments or finance and other service income. Underwriting profitability is subject to significant fluctuations due to competition, catastrophic events, weather, economic and social conditions, and other factors.

Table of Contents

Our GAAP insurance ratios are outlined in the following table.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
GAAP ratios:				
Loss ratio	65.5%	73.7%	64.4%	78.1%
Expense ratio	30.8	30.1	30.7	29.7
Combined ratio	96.3%	103.8%	95.1%	107.8%

Stock-Based Compensation

Long-term incentive compensation is provided under the our 2002 Management Omnibus Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan) which provides for a variety of stock-based compensation awards, including nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock (RS) awards.

The maximum number of shares of common stock with respect to which awards may be granted is 2,500,000. Shares of stock covered by an award under the Incentive Plan that are forfeited will again be available for issuance in connection with future grants of awards under the plan. At September 30, 2012, there were 618,237 shares available for future grant. The Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee intend to issue more awards under the Incentive Plan in the future.

A summary of stock based awards granted under the Incentive Plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is as follows:

Type of Equity Awarded	Effective Date	Number of Awards Granted	Fair Value per Share (1)	Vesting Terms
RS	March 8, 2012	77,844	\$ 41.75	3 years, 30%-30%-40%
RS	March 8, 2012	4,000	\$ 41.75	No vesting period (2)
RS	March 21, 2012	20,912	\$ 41.96	5 years, 20% annually

(1) The fair value per share of the restricted stock grant is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the grant date.

(2) The shares cannot be sold, assigned, pledged, or otherwise transferred, encumbered or disposed of until the recipient is no longer a member of the Board of Directors.

Reinsurance

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

We reinsure with other insurance companies a portion of our potential liability under the policies we have underwritten, thereby protecting us against an unexpectedly large loss or a catastrophic occurrence that could produce large losses, primarily in our homeowners line of business. We use various software products to measure our exposure to catastrophe losses and the probable maximum loss to us for catastrophe losses such as hurricanes. The models include estimates for our share of the catastrophe losses generated in the residual market for property insurance by the Massachusetts Property Insurance Underwriting Association (FAIR Plan). The reinsurance market has seen from the various software modelers, increases in the estimate of damage from hurricanes in the southern and northeast portions of the United States due to revised estimations of increased hurricane activity and increases in the estimation of demand surge in the periods following a significant event. We continue to manage and model our exposure and adjust our reinsurance programs as a result of the changes to the models. As of January 1, 2012, we have purchased four layers of excess catastrophe reinsurance providing \$485,000 of coverage for property losses in excess of \$50,000 up to a maximum of \$535,000. Our reinsurers' co-participation is 50.0% of \$30,000 for the 1st layer, 80.0% of \$90,000 for the 2nd layer, 80.0% of \$200,000 for the 3rd layer, and 80.0% of \$165,000 for the 4th layer. As a result of the changes to the models and our revised reinsurance program, our catastrophe reinsurance in 2012 protects us in the event of a 140-year storm (that is, a storm of a severity expected to occur once in a 140-year period). Swiss Re, our primary reinsurer, maintains an A.M. Best rating of A (Excellent). Most of our other reinsurers have an A.M. Best rating of A (Excellent) however in no case is a reinsurer rated below A- (Excellent). Our losses from the individual catastrophe events of 2012 and 2011 were less than our reinsurance retention.

We are a participant in CAR, a state-established body that runs the residual market reinsurance programs for commercial automobile insurance in Massachusetts under which premiums, expenses, losses and loss adjustment expenses on ceded business are

Table of Contents

shared by all insurers writing automobile insurance in Massachusetts. We also participate in the FAIR Plan in which premiums, expenses, losses and loss adjustment expenses on homeowners business that cannot be placed in the voluntary market are shared by all insurers writing homeowners insurance in Massachusetts. The FAIR Plan has grown dramatically over the past few years as insurance carriers have reduced their exposure to coastal property. The FAIR Plan's exposure to catastrophe losses increased and as a result, the FAIR Plan decided to buy reinsurance to reduce their exposure to catastrophe losses. On July 1, 2011, the FAIR Plan purchased \$1,000,000 of catastrophe reinsurance for property losses in excess of \$200,000. At September 30, 2012, we had no material amounts recoverable from any reinsurer, excluding \$43,481 recoverable from CAR.

On March 10, 2005, our Board of Directors adopted a resolution that prohibits Safety from purchasing finite reinsurance (reinsurance that transfers only a finite or limited amount of risk to the reinsurer) without approval by the Board. To date, the Company has never purchased a finite reinsurance contract.

Effects of Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a material effect on our consolidated results of operations, except insofar as inflation may affect interest rates.

Results of Operations**Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011**

The following table shows certain of our selected financial results.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Direct written premiums	\$ 179,007	\$ 167,168	\$ 541,090	\$ 504,382
Net written premiums	\$ 171,692	\$ 158,215	\$ 517,983	\$ 483,559
Net earned premiums	\$ 162,493	\$ 151,254	\$ 477,099	\$ 444,620
Net investment income	10,142	10,081	30,551	29,716
Net realized gains on investments	153	1,844	1,226	2,702
Finance and other service income	4,743	4,856	13,769	13,731
Total revenue	177,531	168,035	522,645	490,769
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	106,445	111,545	307,184	347,359
Underwriting, operating and related expenses	50,054	45,453	146,602	132,153
Interest expenses	22	23	66	66
Total expenses	156,521	157,021	453,852	479,578
Income before income taxes	21,010	11,014	68,793	11,191
Income tax expense	6,676	2,204	20,294	2,249
Net income	\$ 14,334	\$ 8,810	\$ 48,499	\$ 8,942

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Earnings per weighted average common share:							
Basic	\$	0.94	\$	0.58	\$	3.17	\$ 0.59
Diluted	\$	0.94	\$	0.58	\$	3.17	\$ 0.59
Cash dividends paid per common share	\$	0.60	\$	0.50	\$	1.60	\$ 1.50

Direct Written Premiums. Direct written premiums for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$11,839, or 7.1%, to \$179,007 from \$167,168 for the comparable 2011 period. Direct written premiums for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$36,708, or 7.3%, to \$541,090 from \$504,382 for the comparable 2011 period. The 2012 increases occurred primarily in our personal automobile and homeowners business lines, which experienced increases of 5.0% and 3.8%, respectively, in average written premium per exposure. Written exposures remained consistent in our personal automobile line and increased by 8.0% in our homeowners line. The increase in homeowners exposures is primarily the result of our pricing strategy of offering account discounts to policyholders who insure both an automobile and home with us.

Net Written Premiums. Net written premiums for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$13,477, or 8.5%, to \$171,692 from \$158,215 for the comparable 2011 period. Net written premiums for the nine months ended September 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

increased by \$34,424, or 7.1%, to \$517,983 from \$483,559 for the comparable 2011 period. The 2012 increase was primarily due to the factors that increased direct personal automobile and homeowners written premiums.

Net Earned Premiums. Net earned premiums for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$11,239, or 7.4%, to \$162,493 from \$151,254 for the comparable 2011 period. Net earned premiums for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$32,479, or 7.3% to \$477,099 from \$444,620 for the comparable 2011 period. The 2012 increase was primarily due to the factors that increased direct personal automobile and homeowners written premiums.

The effect of reinsurance on net written and net earned premiums is presented in the following table.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Written Premiums				
Direct	\$ 179,007	\$ 167,168	\$ 541,090	\$ 504,382
Assumed	4,505	3,115	13,234	11,812
Ceded	(11,820)	(12,068)	(36,341)	(32,635)
Net written premiums	\$ 171,692	\$ 158,215	\$ 517,983	\$ 483,559
Earned Premiums				
Direct	\$ 170,616	\$ 158,631	\$ 499,890	\$ 465,583
Assumed	4,139	3,609	12,560	11,499
Ceded	(12,262)	(10,986)	(35,351)	(32,462)
Net earned premiums	\$ 162,493	\$ 151,254	\$ 477,099	\$ 444,620

Net Investment Income. Net investment income for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$61 or 0.6%, to \$10,142 from \$10,081 for the comparable 2011 period. Net investment income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$835, or 2.8%, to \$30,551 from \$29,716 for the comparable 2011 period. Net effective annualized yield on the investment portfolio was 3.6% for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, down from 3.7% for the comparable 2011 period. Net effective annualized yield on the investment portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 3.7%, the same as the comparable 2011 period. Our duration was 3.6 years at September 30, 2012 compared to 3.7 years at December 31, 2011.

Net Realized Gains on Investments. Net realized gains on investments were \$153 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$1,844 for the comparable 2011 period. Net realized gains on investments were \$1,226 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$2,702 for the comparable 2011 period.

The gross unrealized gains and losses on investments in fixed maturity securities, including redeemable preferred stock with the characteristics of fixed maturities, and equity securities, including interests in mutual funds, were as follows:

Cost or	Gross	As of September 30, 2012		Estimated
		Non-OTTI	OTTI	
		Gross Unrealized Losses (3)		

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Unrealized Losses (4)	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 40,522	\$ 284	\$	\$	\$ 40,806
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	455,928	37,823	(4)		493,747
Residential mortgage-backed securities (1)	204,214	13,705	(136)		217,783
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	38,499	1,261	(7)		39,753
Other asset-backed securities	21,804	909	(1)		22,712
Corporate and other securities	339,792	17,117	(246)		356,663
Subtotal, fixed maturity securities	1,100,759	71,099	(394)		1,171,464
Equity securities (2)	21,077	1,806	(50)		22,833
Totals	\$ 1,121,836	\$ 72,905	\$ (444)	\$	\$ 1,194,297

(1) Residential mortgage-backed securities consists primarily of obligations of U.S. Government agencies including collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities guaranteed and/or insured by the following issuers: Government National Mortgage

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Association (GNMA), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB).

- (2) Equity securities includes interests in mutual funds held to fund the Company's executive deferred compensation plan.
- (3) Our investment portfolio included 68 securities in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2012.
- (4) Amounts in this column represent other-than-temporary impairments recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

The composition of our fixed income security portfolio by Moody's rating was as follows:

	As of September 30, 2012	
	Estimated Fair Value	Percent
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. Government agencies	\$ 263,206	22.5%
Aaa/Aa	542,133	46.3
A	156,387	13.3
Baa	75,277	6.4
Ba	47,500	4.1
B	56,099	4.8
Caa	4,748	0.4
Not rated	26,114	2.2
Total	\$ 1,171,464	100.0%

Ratings are generally assigned upon the issuance of the securities and are subject to revision on the basis of ongoing evaluations. Ratings in the table are as of the date indicated.

As of September 30, 2012, our portfolio of fixed maturity investments was comprised principally of investment grade corporate fixed maturity securities, U.S. government and agency securities, and asset-backed securities. The portion of our non-investment grade portfolio of fixed maturity investments is primarily comprised of variable rate secured and senior bank loans and high yield bonds. We have no exposure to European sovereign debt.

The following table illustrates the gross unrealized losses included in our investment portfolio and the fair value of those securities, aggregated by investment category. The table also illustrates the length of time that they have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2012.

	Less than 12 Months		As of September 30, 2012 12 Months or More		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 1,039	\$ 4	\$ 54	\$ 5	\$ 1,039	\$ 4
	14,433	131	54	5	14,487	136

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Residential mortgage-backed securities

Commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,955	7			1,955	7
Other asset-backed securities	7,612	1			7,612	1
Corporate and other securities	19,015	246			19,015	246
Subtotal, fixed maturity securities	44,054	389	54	5	44,108	394
Equity securities	1,089	44	544	6	1,633	50
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 45,143	\$ 433	\$ 598	\$ 11	\$ 45,741	\$ 444

As of September 30, 2012, we held insured investment securities of approximately \$116,612, which represented approximately 9.8% of our total investments. Approximately \$36,512 of these securities are pre-refunded, meaning that funds have been set aside in escrow to satisfy the future interest and principal obligations of the bond.

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table shows our insured investment securities that are backed by financial guarantors including pre-refunded securities as of September 30, 2012. We do not have any direct investment holdings in a financial guarantee insurance company.

Financial Guarantor	As of September 30, 2012		
	Total	Pre-refunded Securities	Exposure Net of Pre-refunded Securities
Municipal bonds			
Ambac Assurance Corporation	\$ 18,623	\$ 9,285	\$ 9,338
Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	281	281	
Assured Guaranty Municipal Corporation	43,874	19,376	24,498
National Public Finance Guaranty Corporation	49,784	7,570	42,214
Total municipal bonds	112,562	36,512	76,050
Other asset-backed securities			
Ambac Assurance Corporation	4,050		4,050
Total other asset-backed securities	4,050		4,050
Total	\$ 116,612	\$ 36,512	\$ 80,100

The Moody's rating of the Company's insured investments held at September 30, 2012 are essentially the same with or without the investment guarantees.

We reviewed the unrealized losses in our fixed income and equity portfolio as of September 30, 2012 for potential other-than-temporary asset impairments. We held no debt securities at September 30, 2012 with a material (20% or greater) unrealized loss for four or more consecutive quarters. Specific qualitative analysis was performed for securities appearing on our Watch List, if any. Qualitative analysis considered such factors as the financial condition and the near term prospects of the issuer, whether the debtor is current on its contractually obligated interest and principal payments, changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency and the historical volatility of the fair value of the security.

Of the \$444 gross unrealized losses as of September 30, 2012, \$4 relates to obligations of states and political subdivisions. The remaining \$440 of gross unrealized losses relates primarily to holdings of investment grade asset-backed, corporate, other fixed maturity and equity securities.

The unrealized losses recorded on the investment portfolio at September 30, 2012 resulted from fluctuations in market interest rates and other temporary market conditions as opposed to fundamental changes in the credit quality of the issuers of such securities. Given our current level of liquidity, the fact that we do not intend to sell these securities, and that it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities prior to recovery of the cost basis of these securities, these decreases in values are viewed as being temporary.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there was no significant deterioration in the credit quality of any of our holdings and no other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges were recorded related to our portfolio of investment securities.

For information regarding fair value measurements of our investment portfolio, refer to Item 1-Financial Statements, Note 5, Investments, of this Form 10-Q.

Finance and Other Service Income. Finance and other service income includes revenues from premium installment charges, which we recognize when earned, and other miscellaneous income and fees. Finance and other service income decreased by \$113, or 2.3%, to \$4,743 for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 from \$4,856 for the comparable 2011 period. Finance and other service income decreased by \$38, or 0.3% to \$13,769 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$13,731 for the comparable 2011 period.

Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses. Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased by \$5,100, or 4.6%, to \$106,445 from \$111,545 for the comparable 2011 period. Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased by \$40,175, or 11.6%, to \$307,184 from \$347,359 for the comparable 2011 period. Our GAAP loss ratio for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased to 65.5% from 73.7% for the comparable 2011 period. Our GAAP loss ratio for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased to 64.4% from 78.1% for the

Table of Contents

comparable 2011 period. The decrease was primarily due to pre-tax catastrophic weather event losses incurred of \$2,355 during the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$12,375 and \$53,043, respectively, during the comparable 2011 periods. Our GAAP loss ratio excluding loss adjustment expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 decreased to 56.4% from 64.8% for the comparable 2011 period. Our GAAP loss ratio excluding loss adjustment expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased to 55.2% from 68.8% for the comparable 2011 period. Total prior year favorable development included in the pre-tax results for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$4,263 and \$11,872, respectively, compared to prior years favorable development of \$6,275 and \$25,122 respectively, for the comparable 2011 periods.

Underwriting, Operating and Related Expenses. Underwriting, operating and related expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$4,601, or 10.1%, to \$50,054 from \$45,453 for the comparable 2011 period. Underwriting, operating and related expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased by \$14,449, or 10.9%, to \$146,602 from \$132,153 for the comparable 2011 period. The 2012 increase is primarily due to an increase in commissions to agents. Our GAAP expense ratios for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 increased to 30.8% from 30.1% for the comparable 2011 period. Our GAAP expense ratios for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased to 30.7% from 29.7% for the comparable 2011 period.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was \$22, compared to \$23 for the comparable 2011 period. Interest expense for both the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$66. The credit facility commitment fee included in interest expense for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$19 and \$56, respectively.

Income Tax Expense. Our effective tax rate was 31.8% and 20.0% for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our effective tax rate was 29.5% and 20.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These effective rates were lower than the statutory rate of 35.0% primarily due to adjustments for tax-exempt investment income.

Net Income. Net income for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was \$14,334 compared to \$8,810 for the comparable 2011 period. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$48,499 compared to \$8,942 for the comparable 2011 period. The increases in net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 from the comparable 2011 periods were primarily attributable to the decrease in catastrophic weather events during 2012, as discussed above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As a holding company, Safety's assets consist primarily of the stock of our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Our principal source of funds to meet our obligations and pay dividends to shareholders, therefore, is dividends and other permitted payments from our subsidiaries, principally Safety Insurance. Safety is the borrower under our credit facility.

Safety Insurance's sources of funds primarily include premiums received, investment income, and proceeds from sales and redemptions of investments. Safety Insurance's principal uses of cash are the payment of claims, operating expenses and taxes, the purchase of investments, and the payment of dividends to Safety.

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$76,400 and \$20,471 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our operations typically generate positive cash flows from operations as most premiums are received in advance of the time when claim and benefit payments are required. These positive operating cash flows are expected to continue to meet our liquidity requirements.

Net cash used for investing activities was \$57,136 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to cash provided from investing activities of \$5,380 during the comparable 2011 period. Cash used for investing activities during the 2012 period was primarily the result purchases of fixed maturity securities exceeding proceeds from sales, paydowns, redemptions, calls and maturities of fixed maturity securities while cash provided during the 2011 period was primarily the result of proceeds from sales, paydowns, redemptions, calls and maturities of fixed maturity securities exceeding purchases of fixed maturity securities.

Net cash used for financing activities was \$23,457 and \$21,876 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Net cash used for financing activities is primarily comprised of dividend payments to shareholders.

The Insurance Subsidiaries maintain a high degree of liquidity within their respective investment portfolios in fixed maturity and short-term investments. In recent years, global financial markets experienced unprecedented and challenging conditions, including a tightening in the availability of credit, the failure of several large financial institutions and concerns about the creditworthiness of the sovereign debt of several European and other countries. We believe that recent and ongoing government actions, including The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and other U.S. and global government programs and the quality of the assets we hold will allow us to realize these securities anticipated long-

Table of Contents

term economic value. Furthermore, as of September 30, 2012, we had the intent and ability to retain such investments for the period of time anticipated to allow for this expected recovery in fair value. We do not anticipate the need to sell these securities to meet the Insurance Subsidiaries cash requirements. We expect the Insurance Subsidiaries to generate sufficient operating cash to meet all short-term and long-term cash requirements. However, there can be no assurance that unforeseen business needs or other items will not occur causing us to have to sell securities before their values fully recover; thereby causing us to recognize additional impairment charges in that time period.

Credit Facility

For information regarding our Credit Facility, please refer to Item 1- Financial Statements, Note 8, Debt, of this Form 10-Q.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding Recent Accounting Pronouncements, please refer to Item 1- Financial Statements, Note 2, Recent Accounting Pronouncements, of this Form 10-Q.

Regulatory Matters

Our insurance company's subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory restrictions that limit the maximum amount of dividends available to be paid to their parent without prior approval of the Commissioner. The Massachusetts statute limits the dividends an insurer may pay in any twelve-month period, without the prior permission of the Commissioner, to the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer's surplus as of the preceding December 31 or (ii) the insurer's net income for the twelve-month period ending the preceding December 31, in each case determined in accordance with statutory accounting practices. Our insurance company subsidiaries may not declare an extraordinary dividend (defined as any dividend or distribution that, together with other distributions made within the preceding twelve months, exceeds the limits established by Massachusetts statute) until thirty days after the Commissioner has received notice of the intended dividend and has not objected. As historically administered by the Commissioner, this provision requires the Commissioner's prior approval of an extraordinary dividend. Under Massachusetts law, an insurer may pay cash dividends only from its unassigned funds, also known as earned surplus, and the insurer's remaining surplus must be both reasonable in relation to its outstanding liabilities and adequate to its financial needs. At year-end December 31, 2011, the statutory surplus of Safety Insurance was \$570,492, and its net income for 2011 was \$8,958. As a result, a maximum of \$57,049 is available in 2012 for such dividends without prior approval of the Commissioner. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, Safety Insurance recorded dividends to Safety of \$20,843.

The maximum dividend permitted by law is not indicative of an insurer's actual ability to pay dividends, which may be constrained by business and regulatory considerations, such as the impact of dividends on surplus, which could affect an insurer's ratings or competitive position, the amount of premiums that can be written and the ability to pay future dividends.

Since the initial public offering of its common stock in November 2002, the Company has paid regular quarterly dividends to shareholders of its common stock. Quarterly dividends paid during 2012 were as follows:

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Dividend per Common Share	Total Dividends Paid
February 15, 2012	March 1, 2012	March 15, 2012	\$ 0.50	\$ 7,598
May 3, 2012	June 1, 2012	June 15, 2012	\$ 0.50	\$ 7,652
August 1, 2012	September 4, 2012	September 14, 2012	\$ 0.60	\$ 9,191

On November 2, 2012, our Board approved and declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.60 per share which will be paid on December 14, 2012 to shareholders of record on December 3, 2012. We plan to continue to declare and pay quarterly cash dividends in 2012, depending on our financial position and the regularity of our cash flows.

On August 3, 2007, our Board approved a share repurchase program of up to \$30,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. On March 19, 2009, our Board increased this existing share repurchase program by authorizing repurchase of up to \$60,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. On August 4, 2010, our Board again increased the existing share repurchase program by authorizing repurchase of up to \$90,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. Under the program, we may repurchase shares of our common stock for cash in public or private transactions, in the open market or otherwise. The timing of such repurchases and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, market conditions and applicable regulatory and corporate requirements. The program does not require us to repurchase any specific number of shares and may be modified, suspended or terminated at any time without prior notice. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had purchased 1,728,645 shares on the open market at a cost of \$55,569.

Table of Contents

Management believes that the current level of cash flow from operations provides us with sufficient liquidity to meet our operating needs over the next 12 months. We expect to be able to continue to meet our operating needs after the next 12 months from internally generated funds. Since our ability to meet our obligations in the long term (beyond such twelve-month period) is dependent upon such factors as market changes, insurance regulatory changes and economic conditions, no assurance can be given that the available net cash flow will be sufficient to meet our operating needs. We expect that we would need to borrow or issue capital stock if we needed additional funds, for example, to pay for an acquisition or a significant expansion of our operations. There can be no assurance that sufficient funds for any of the foregoing purposes would be available to us at such time.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no material obligations under a guarantee contract meeting the characteristics identified in ASC 460, *Guarantees*. We have no material retained or contingent interests in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity. We have no material obligations, including contingent obligations, under contracts that would be accounted for as derivative instruments. We have no obligations, including contingent obligations, arising out of a variable interest in an unconsolidated entity held by, and material to, us, where such entity provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit risk support to, or engages in leasing, hedging or research and development services with us. We have no direct investments in real estate and no holdings of mortgages secured by commercial real estate. Accordingly, we have no material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

Significant periods of time can elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss, the reporting to us of that loss and our final payment of that loss. To recognize liabilities for unpaid losses, we establish reserves as balance sheet liabilities. Our reserves represent estimates of amounts needed to pay reported and unreported losses and the expenses of investigating and paying those losses, or loss adjustment expenses. Every quarter, we review our previously established reserves and adjust them, if necessary.

When a claim is reported, claims personnel establish a case reserve for the estimated amount of the ultimate payment. The amount of the reserve is primarily based upon an evaluation of the type of claim involved, the circumstances surrounding each claim and the policy provisions relating to the loss. The estimate reflects the informed judgment of such personnel based on general insurance reserving practices and on the experience and knowledge of the claims person. During the loss adjustment period, these estimates are revised as deemed necessary by our claims department based on subsequent developments and periodic reviews of the cases. When a claim is closed with or without a payment, the difference between the case reserve and the settlement amount creates a reserve deficiency if the payment exceeds the case reserve or a reserve redundancy if the payment is less than the case reserve.

In accordance with industry practice, we also maintain reserves for estimated losses incurred but not yet reported (IBNR). IBNR reserves are determined in accordance with commonly accepted actuarial reserving techniques on the basis of our historical information and experience. We review and make adjustments to incurred but not yet reported reserves quarterly. In addition, IBNR reserves can also be expressed as the total loss reserves required less the case reserves on reported claims.

When reviewing reserves, we analyze historical data and estimate the impact of various loss development factors, such as our historical loss experience and that of the industry, trends in claims frequency and severity, our mix of business, our claims processing procedures, legislative enactments, judicial decisions, legal developments in imposition of damages, and changes and trends in general economic conditions, including the effects of inflation. A change in any of these factors from the assumption implicit in our estimate can cause our actual loss experience to be better or worse than our reserves, and the difference can be material. There is no precise method, however, for evaluating the impact of any specific factor on the adequacy of reserves, because the eventual development of reserves is affected by many factors.

Management determines our loss and LAE reserves estimate based upon the analysis of our actuaries. A reasonable estimate is derived by selecting a point estimate within a range of indications as calculated by our actuaries using generally accepted actuarial techniques. The key assumption in most actuarial analysis is that past patterns of frequency and severity will repeat in the future, unless a significant change in the factors described above takes place. Our key factors and resulting assumptions are the ultimate frequency and severity of claims, based upon the most recent ten years of claims reported to the Company, and the data CAR reports to us to calculate our share of the residual market, as of the date of the applicable balance sheet. For each accident year and each coverage within a line of business our actuaries calculate the ultimate losses incurred. Our total reserves are the difference between the ultimate losses incurred and the cumulative loss and loss adjustment payments made to date. Our IBNR reserves are calculated as

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

the difference between our total reserves and the outstanding case reserves at the end of the accounting period. To determine ultimate losses, our actuaries calculate a range of indications and select a point estimation using such actuarial techniques as:

- *Paid Loss Indications:* This method projects ultimate loss estimates based upon extrapolations of historic paid loss trends. This method tends to be used on short tail lines such as automobile physical damage.
- *Incurred Loss Indications:* This method projects ultimate loss estimates based upon extrapolations of historic incurred loss trends. This method tends to be used on long tail lines of business such as automobile liability and homeowner's liability.
- *Bornhuetter-Ferguson Indications:* This method projects ultimate loss estimates based upon extrapolations of an expected amount of IBNR, which is added to current incurred losses or paid losses. This method tends to be used on small, immature, or volatile lines of business, such as our BOP and umbrella lines of business.
- *Bodily Injury Code Indications:* This method projects ultimate loss estimates for our private passenger and commercial automobile bodily injury coverage based upon extrapolations of the historic number of accidents and the historic number of bodily injury claims per accident. Projected ultimate bodily injury claims are then segregated into expected claims by type of injury (e.g. soft tissue injury vs. hard tissue injury) based on past experience. An ultimate severity, or average paid loss amounts, is estimated based upon extrapolating historic trends. Projected ultimate loss estimates using this method are the aggregate of estimated losses by injury type.

Such techniques assume that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting our ultimate losses, total reserves, and resulting IBNR reserves. It is possible that the final outcome may fall above or below these amounts as a result of a number of factors, including immature data, sparse data, or significant growth in a line of business. Using these methodologies our actuaries established a range of reasonably possible estimations for net reserves of approximately \$335,728 to \$366,859 as of September 30, 2012. In general, the low and high values of the ranges represent reasonable minimum and maximum values of the indications based on the techniques described above. Our selected point estimate of net loss and LAE reserves based upon the analysis of our actuaries was \$361,525 as of September 30, 2012.

The following table presents the point estimation of the recorded reserves and the range of estimations by line of business for net loss and LAE reserves as of September 30, 2012.

Line of Business	Low	Recorded	High
Private passenger automobile	\$ 214,843	\$ 227,832	\$ 229,436
Commercial automobile	42,353	47,041	47,872
Homeowners	46,787	53,037	54,677
All other	31,745	33,615	34,874
Total	\$ 335,728	\$ 361,525	\$ 366,859

The following table presents our total net reserves and the corresponding case reserves and IBNR reserves for each line of business as of September 30, 2012.

Line of Business	Case	IBNR	Total
------------------	------	------	-------

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Private passenger automobile	\$	230,955	\$	(6,090)	\$	224,865
CAR assumed private passenger auto		1,719		1,248		2,967
Commercial automobile		33,087		3,080		36,167
CAR assumed commercial automobile		6,716		4,158		10,874
Homeowners		35,776		11,193		46,969
FAIR Plan assumed homeowners		2,661		3,407		6,068
All other		19,906		13,709		33,615
Total net reserves for losses and LAE	\$	330,820	\$	30,705	\$	361,525

Our IBNR reserves consist of our estimate of the total loss reserves required less our case reserves. The IBNR reserves for CAR assumed commercial automobile business are 38.2% of our total reserves for CAR assumed commercial automobile business as of September 30, 2012 due to the reporting delays in the information we receive from CAR, as described further in the section on *Residual Market Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves*. Our IBNR reserves for FAIR Plan assumed homeowners are 56.1% of

Table of Contents

our total reserves for FAIR Plan assumed homeowners at September 30, 2012 due to similar reporting delays in the information we receive from FAIR Plan.

The following table presents information by line of business for our total net reserves and the corresponding retained (i.e. direct less ceded) reserves and assumed reserves as of September 30, 2012.

Line of Business	Retained	Assumed	Net
Private passenger automobile	\$ 224,865		
CAR assumed private passenger automobile		\$ 2,967	
Net private passenger automobile			\$ 227,832
Commercial automobile	36,167		
CAR assumed commercial automobile		10,874	
Net commercial automobile			47,041
Homeowners	46,969		
FAIR Plan assumed homeowners		6,068	
Net homeowners			53,037
All other	33,615		33,615
Total net reserves for losses and LAE	\$ 341,616	\$ 19,909	\$ 361,525

Residual Market Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Reserves

We are a participant in CAR, the FAIR Plan and other various residual markets and assume a portion of losses and LAE on business ceded by the industry participants to the residual markets. We estimate reserves for assumed losses and LAE that have not yet been reported to us by the residual markets. Our estimations are based upon the same factors we use for our own reserves, plus additional factors due to the nature of and the information we receive. The portion of reserves based upon CAR estimates for private passenger automobile line of business has declined substantially over time as a result of the institution of the an assigned risk plan in Massachusetts and phase-out of the private passenger automobile CAR reinsurance pool on April 1, 2009.

Residual market deficits, consists of premium ceded to the various residual markets less losses and LAE, and is allocated among insurance companies based on a various formulas (the Participation Ratio) that takes into consideration a company s voluntary market share.

Because of the lag in the various residual market estimations, and in order to try to validate to the extent possible the information provided, we must try to estimate the effects of the actions of our competitors in order to establish our Participation Ratio.

Although we rely to a significant extent in setting our reserves on the information the various residual markets provide, we are cautious in our use of that information, both because of the delays in receiving data from the various residual markets. As a result, we are cautious in recording residual market reserves for the calendar years for which we have to estimate our Participation Ratio and these reserves are subject to significant judgments and estimates.

Sensitivity Analysis

Establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process. There can be no certainty that currently established reserves based on our key assumptions regarding frequency and severity in our lines of business, or our assumptions regarding our share of the CAR loss will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. To the extent that reserves are inadequate and are strengthened, the amount of such increase is treated as a charge to earnings in the period that the deficiency is recognized. To the extent that reserves are redundant and are released, the amount of the release is a credit to earnings in the period the redundancy is recognized. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a 1 percentage-point change in the loss and LAE ratio would result in a change in reserves of \$4,771. Each 1 percentage-point change in the loss and loss expense ratio would have had a \$3,101 on net income, or \$0.20 per diluted share.

Our assumptions consider that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, are an appropriate basis for establishing our reserves. Our individual key assumptions could each have a reasonable possible range of plus or minus 5 percentage-points for each estimation, although there is no guarantee that our assumptions will not have more than a 5 percentage point variation. The following sensitivity tables present information for each of our primary lines of business on the effect each 1 percentage-point change in each of our key assumptions on unpaid frequency and severity could have on our retained (i.e.,

Table of Contents

direct minus ceded) loss and LAE reserves and net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. In evaluating the information in the table, it should be noted that a 1 percentage-point change in a single assumption would change estimated reserves by 1 percentage-point. A 1 percentage-point change in both our key assumptions would change estimated reserves within a range of plus or minus 2 percentage-points.

	-1 Percent Change in Frequency	No Change in Frequency	+1 Percent Change in Frequency
Private passenger automobile retained loss and LAE reserves			
-1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated decrease in reserves	\$ (4,497)	\$ (2,249)	\$
Estimated increase in net income	2,923	1,462	
No Change in Severity			
Estimated (decrease) increase in reserves	(2,249)		2,249
Estimated increase (decrease) in net income	1,462		(1,462)
+1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated increase in reserves		2,249	4,497
Estimated decrease in net income		(1,462)	(2,923)
Commercial automobile retained loss and LAE reserves			
-1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated decrease in reserves	(723)	(362)	
Estimated increase in net income	470	235	
No Change in Severity			
Estimated (decrease) increase in reserves	(362)		362
Estimated increase (decrease) in net income	235		(235)
+1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated increase in reserves		362	723
Estimated decrease in net income		(235)	(470)
Homeowners retained loss and LAE reserves			
-1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated decrease in reserves	(939)	(470)	
Estimated increase in net income	610	306	
No Change in Severity			
Estimated (decrease) increase in reserves	(470)		470
Estimated increase (decrease) in net income	306		(306)
+1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated increase in reserves		470	939
Estimated decrease in net income		(306)	(610)
All other retained loss and LAE reserves			
-1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated decrease in reserves	(672)	(336)	
Estimated increase in net income	437	218	
No Change in Severity			
Estimated (decrease) increase in reserves	(336)		336
Estimated increase (decrease) in net income	218		(218)
+1 Percent Change in Severity			
Estimated increase in reserves		336	672
Estimated decrease in net income		(218)	(437)

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Our estimated share of CAR loss and LAE reserves is based on assumptions about our Participation Ratio, the size of CAR, and the resulting deficit (similar assumptions apply with respect to the FAIR Plan). Our assumptions consider that past experience,

Table of Contents

adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, is an appropriate basis for establishing our CAR reserves. Each of our assumptions could have a reasonably possible range of plus or minus 5 percentage-points for each estimation.

The following sensitivity table presents information of the effect each 1 percentage-point change in our assumptions on our share of reserves for CAR and other residual markets could have on our assumed loss and LAE reserves and net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. In evaluating the information in the table, it should be noted that a 1 percentage-point change in our assumptions would change estimated reserves by 1 percentage-point.

	-1 Percent Change in Estimation	+1 Percent Change in Estimation
CAR assumed private passenger automobile		
Estimated (decrease) increase in reserves	\$ (30)	\$ 30
Estimated increase (decrease) in net income	20	(20)
CAR assumed commercial automobile		
Estimated (decrease) increase in reserves	(109)	109
Estimated increase (decrease) in net income	71	(71)
FAIR Plan assumed homeowners		
Estimated (decrease) increase in reserves	(61)	61
Estimated increase (decrease) in net income	40	(40)

Reserve Development Summary

The changes we have recorded in our reserves in the past illustrate the uncertainty of estimating reserves. Our prior year reserves decreased by \$11,872, and \$25,122 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table presents a comparison of prior year development of our net reserves for losses and LAE for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Each accident year represents all claims for an annual accounting period in which loss events occurred, regardless of when the losses are actually reported, booked or paid. Our financial statements reflect the aggregate results of the current and all prior accident years.

Accident Year	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
2002 & prior	\$ (187)	\$ (21)
2003	(323)	(138)
2004	(638)	(447)
2005	(1,567)	(815)
2006	(1,174)	(2,900)
2007	(1,143)	(3,789)
2008	(2,374)	(4,128)
2009	(2,991)	(7,506)
2010	(4,806)	(4,062)
2011	3,331	(1,316)

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

All prior years	\$	(11,872)	\$	(25,122)
-----------------	----	----------	----	----------

The decreases in prior years reserves during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 resulted from re-estimations of prior year ultimate loss and LAE liabilities. The 2012 decrease is primarily composed of reductions of \$11,293 in our retained private passenger automobile reserves. The 2011 decrease is primarily composed of reductions of \$19,335 in our retained automobile reserves and \$2,765 in our retained homeowners reserves.

Edgar Filing: SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents information by line of business for prior year development of our net reserves for losses and LAE for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Accident Year	Private Passenger Automobile	Commercial Automobile	Homeowners	All Other	Total
2002 & prior	\$ (169)	\$ (17)	\$ (1)	\$	\$ (187)
2003	(307)	(13)	(3)		(323)
2004	(606)	(30)	(2)		(638)
2005	(1,118)	(182)	(263)	(4)	(1,567)
2006	(1,052)	(117)	2	(7)	(1,174)
2007	(1,016)	(117)	(2)	(8)	(1,143)
2008	(2,046)	(52)	(263)	(13)	(2,374)
2009	(2,128)	(210)	(557)	(96)	(2,991)
2010	(2,544)	(559)	(1,622)	(81)	(4,806)
2011	(897)	(4)	2,998	1,234	3,331
All prior years	\$ (11,883)	\$ (1,301)	\$ 287	\$ 1,025	\$ (11,872)

To further clarify the effects of changes in our reserve estimates for CAR and other residual markets, the next two tables break out the information in the table above by source of the business (i.e., non-residual market vs. residual market).

The following table presents information by line of business for prior year development of retained reserves for losses and LAE for the nine months ended September 30, 2012; that is, all our reserves except for business ceded or assumed from CAR and other residual markets.

Accident Year	Retained Private Passenger Automobile	Retained Commercial Automobile	Retained Homeowners	Retained All Other	Total
2002 & prior	\$ (169)	\$ (17)	\$ (1)	\$	\$ (187)
2003	(277)	(5)	(3)		(285)
2004	(578)	(1)	(1)		(580)
2005	(1,091)	(123)	(244)	(4)	(1,462)
2006	(941)	(39)	(1)	(7)	(988)
2007	(880)	(55)	(8)	(8)	(951)
2008	(1,907)	(144)	(238)	(13)	(2,302)
2009	(2,028)	(272)	(510)	(96)	(2,906)
2010	(2,525)	(461)	(1,604)	(81)	(4,671)
2011	(897)	(196)	2,891	1,234	3,032
All prior years	\$ (11,293)	\$ (1,313)	\$ 281	\$ 1,025	\$ (11,300)

Table of Contents

The following table presents information by line of business for prior year development of reserves assumed from residual markets for losses and LAE for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Accident Year	CAR Assumed Private Passenger Automobile	CAR Assumed Commercial Automobile	FAIR Plan Homeowners	Total
2002 & prior	\$	\$	\$	\$
2003	(30)	(8)		(38)
2004	(28)	(29)	(1)	(58)
2005	(27)	(59)	(19)	(105)
2006	(111)	(78)	3	(186)
2007	(136)	(62)	6	(192)
2008	(139)	92	(25)	(72)
2009	(100)	62	(47)	(85)
2010	(19)	(98)	(18)	(135)
2011		192	107	299
All prior years	\$ (590)	\$ 12	\$ 6	\$ (572)

Our private passenger automobile line of business prior year reserves decreased by \$11,883 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to improved retained private passenger results of \$10,269 for the accident years 2005 through 2011. The improved retained private passenger results were primarily due to fewer IBNR claims than previously estimated and better than previously estimated severity on our established bodily injury and property damage case reserves.

Our commercial automobile line of business prior year reserves decreased by \$1,301 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due primarily to fewer IBNR claims than previously estimated.

Our retained homeowners line of business prior year reserves increased by \$281 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Our FAIR Plan homeowners reserve increased by \$6 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

In estimating all our loss reserves, including CAR, we follow the guidance prescribed by Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 944, *Financial Services-Insurance*.

For further information, see Results of Operations: *Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses*.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments.

We use a systematic methodology to evaluate declines in fair values below cost or amortized cost of our investments. This methodology ensures that we evaluate available evidence concerning any declines in a disciplined manner.

In our determination of whether a decline in fair value below amortized cost is an OTTI, we consider and evaluate several factors and circumstances including the issuer's overall financial condition, the issuer's credit and financial strength ratings, a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates, a prolonged period (typically six months or longer) in which the fair value of an issuer's securities remains below our amortized cost, and any other factors that may raise doubt about the issuer's ability to continue as a going concern.

ASC 320, *Investments - Debt and Equity Securities* requires entities to separate an OTTI of a debt security into two components when there are credit related losses associated with the impaired debt security for which the Company asserts that it does not have the intent to sell the security, and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis. Under ASC 320, the amount of the OTTI related to a credit loss is recognized in earnings, and the amount of the OTTI related to other factors is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). In instances where no credit loss exists but it is more likely than not that the Company will have to sell the debt security prior to the anticipated recovery, the decline in market value below amortized cost is recognized as an OTTI in earnings. In periods after the recognition of an OTTI on debt securities, the Company accounts for such securities as if they had been purchased on the measurement date of the OTTI at an amortized cost basis equal to the previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings. For debt securities for which OTTI was recognized in earnings, the difference between the new amortized cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected will be accreted or amortized into net investment income.

Table of Contents

For further information, see Results of Operations: *Net Realized Gains on Investments*.

Forward-Looking Statements

Forward-looking statements might include one or more of the following, among others:

- Projections of revenues, income, earnings per share, capital expenditures, dividends, capital structure or other financial items;
- Descriptions of plans or objectives of management for future operations, products or services;
- Forecasts of future economic performance, liquidity, need for funding and income;
- Descriptions of assumptions underlying or relating to any of the foregoing; and
- Future performance of credit markets.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words such as believe, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, estimate, aim, projects, or words of similar meaning and expressions that indicate future events and trends, or future or conditional verbs such as will, would, should, could, or may. All statements that address expectations or projections about the future, including statements about the Company's strategy for growth, product development, market position, expenditures and financial results, are forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. There are a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, that could cause actual future conditions, events, results or trends to differ significantly and/or materially from historical results or those projected in the forward-looking statements. These factors include but are not limited to the competitive nature of our industry and the possible adverse effects of such competition. Although a number of national insurers that are much larger than we are do not currently compete in a material way in the Massachusetts private passenger automobile market, if one or more of these companies decided to aggressively enter the market it could have a material adverse effect on us. Other significant factors include conditions for business operations and restrictive regulations in Massachusetts, the possibility of losses due to claims resulting from severe weather, the possibility that the Commissioner may approve future Rule changes that change the operation of the residual market, the possibility that existing insurance-related laws and regulations will become further restrictive in the future, our possible need for and availability of additional financing, and our dependence on strategic relationships, among others, and other risks and factors identified from time to time in our reports filed with the SEC. Refer to Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Some other factors, such as market, operational, liquidity, interest rate, equity and other risks, are described elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Factors relating to the regulation and supervision of our Company are also described or incorporated in this report. There are other factors besides those described or incorporated in this report that could cause actual conditions, events or results to differ from those in the forward-looking statements.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. We do not undertake any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date the forward-looking statements are made.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Information about Market Risk (Dollars in thousands)

Market Risk. Market risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in market rates and prices. We have exposure to market risk through our investment activities and our financing activities. Our primary market risk exposure is to changes in interest rates. We use both fixed and variable rate debt as sources of financing. We have not entered, and do not plan to enter, into any derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk. Interest rate risk is the risk that we will incur economic losses due to adverse changes in interest rates. Our exposure to interest rate changes primarily results from our significant holdings of fixed rate investments and from our financing activities. Our fixed maturity investments include U.S. and foreign government bonds, securities issued by government agencies, obligations of state and local governments and governmental authorities, corporate bonds and asset-backed securities, most of which are exposed to changes in prevailing interest rates.

We manage our exposure to risks associated with interest rate fluctuations through active review of our investment portfolio by our management and Board and consultation with third-party financial advisors. As a general matter, we do not attempt to match the durations of our assets with the durations of our liabilities, and the majority of our liabilities are short tail. Our goal is to

Table of Contents

maximize the total after-tax return on all of our investments. An important strategy that we employ to achieve this goal is to try to hold enough in cash and short-term investments in order to avoid liquidating longer-term investments to pay claims.

Based upon the results of interest rate sensitivity analysis, the following table shows the interest rate risk of our investments in fixed maturities, measured in terms of fair value (which is equal to the carrying value for all our fixed maturity securities).

As of September 30, 2012	-100 Basis Point Change	No Change	+100 Basis Point Change
Estimated fair value	\$ 1,208,806	\$ 1,171,464	\$ 1,130,298
Estimated increase (decrease) in fair value	\$ 37,342	\$	\$ (41,166)

With respect to floating rate debt, we are exposed to the effects of changes in prevailing interest rates. At September 30, 2012, we had no debt outstanding under our credit facility. Assuming the full utilization of our current available credit facility, a 2.0% increase in the prevailing interest rate on our variable rate debt would result in interest expense increasing approximately \$600 for 2012, assuming that all of such debt is outstanding for the entire year.

In addition, in the current market environment, our investments can also contain liquidity risks.

Equity Risk. Equity risk is the risk that we will incur economic losses due to adverse changes in equity prices. Our exposure to changes in equity prices results from our holdings of common stock and mutual funds held to fund the executive deferred compensation plan. We continuously evaluate market conditions and we expect in the future to purchase additional equity securities. We principally manage equity price risk through industry and issuer diversification and asset allocation techniques.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures**Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures [as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)] as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are adequate and effective and ensure that all information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and that information required to be disclosed in such reports is accumulated and communicated to management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings - Please see Item 1 Financial Statements - Note 7, Commitments and Contingencies.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no subsequent material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (Dollars in thousands)

On August 3, 2007, the Board approved a share repurchase program of up to \$30,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. On March 19, 2009, the Board increased this existing share repurchase program by authorizing repurchase of up to \$60,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. On August 4, 2010, our Board again increased the existing share repurchase program by authorizing repurchase of up to \$90,000 of the Company's outstanding common shares. Under the program, we may repurchase shares of our common stock for cash in public or private transactions, in the open market or otherwise. The timing of such

Table of Contents

repurchases and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, market conditions and applicable regulatory and corporate requirements. The program does not require us to repurchase any specific number of shares and may be modified, suspended or terminated at any time without prior notice.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities - None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures - None.

Item 5. Other Information - None.

Item 6. Exhibits - The exhibits are contained herein as listed in the Exhibit Index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP, INC. (Registrant)

Date: November 8, 2012

By: */s/ WILLIAM J. BEGLEY, JR.*
William J. Begley, Jr.
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

Table of Contents

SAFETY INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
11.0	Statement re: Computation of Per Share Earnings(1)
31.1	CEO Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002(2)
31.2	CFO Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002(2)
32.1	CEO Certification Pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002(2)
32.2	CFO Certification Pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002(2)
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document(2)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema(2)
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase(2)
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase(2)
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase(2)
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase(2)

(1) Not included herein as the information is included as part of this Form 10-Q, Item 1 - Financial Statements, Note 3, Earnings per Weighted Average Common Share.

(2) Included herein.