

ENCISION INC
Form 424B3
October 27, 2003

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

FILED PURSUANT TO RULE 424(B)3
REGISTRATION NO. 333-109159

Encision, Inc.

333,334 Shares of Common Stock

This prospectus relates to the offer and sale of up to 333,334 shares of our common stock by the selling shareholders identified in this prospectus. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of these shares by the selling shareholders. Our common stock is currently traded in the over-the-counter market on the Nasdaq Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol "ECSN." The last sale price of our common stock on October 23, 2003 was \$4.20 per share.

These are speculative securities. Investing in the securities involves certain risks. See "Risk Factors" beginning on page 2.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Prospectus dated October 24, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Prospectus Summary	1
Risk Factors	2
Use of Proceeds	6
Selling Shareholders	6
Description of Securities	7
Limitation of Liability and Indemnification	8
Plan of Distribution	9
Legal Matters	11
Experts	11
 <i>ANNEX A</i>	
Company Overview	A-1
Properties	A-11
Legal Proceedings	A-11
Market for Registrant's Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters	A-12
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations	A-13
Principal Shareholders	A-20
Certain Transactions	A-21
Management	A-22
Executive Compensation	A-24
 Financial Statements	 F-1
Forward-Looking Statements	

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Statements contained in this prospectus include forward looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, which involve substantial risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by the forward looking statements. All forward looking statements in this prospectus, including statements about our strategies, expectations about new and existing products, market demand, acceptance of new and existing products, technologies and opportunities, market size and growth, and return on investments in products and market, are based on information available to us on the date of this document. Readers of this prospectus are strongly encouraged to review the section entitled "*Risk Factors*."

i

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

The following summary should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the more detailed information and financial statements and the notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this prospectus.

Company Overview

We are a medical device company based in Boulder, Colorado, and have developed and launched innovative technology that is emerging as a standard of care in minimally invasive surgery. We believe that our patented AEM® Surgical Instruments are changing the marketplace for electro-surgical devices and laparoscopic instruments by providing a solution to a well-documented patient safety risk in laparoscopic surgery.

We were founded to address market opportunities created by the increase in minimally invasive surgery ("MIS") and surgeons' preference for using electro-surgery devices in these procedures. The product opportunity was created by surgeons' continued widespread demand for using monopolar electro-surgery instruments which, when used in laparoscopic surgery, are susceptible to causing inadvertent collateral tissue damage outside the surgeon's field of view. The risk of unintended electro-surgical burn injury to the patient in laparoscopic surgery has been well documented. This risk poses a significant threat to patient safety and creates liability exposure for surgeons and hospitals that do not adequately address the issue.

Our patented AEM technology provides surgeons with the desired tissue effects, while preventing stray electro-surgical energy that can cause unintended and unseen tissue injury. AEM Laparoscopic Instruments are equivalent to conventional instruments in size, shape, ergonomics and functionality, but they incorporate "active electrode monitoring" technology to dynamically and continuously monitor the flow of electro-surgical current, thereby helping to prevent patient injury. With our "shielded and monitored" instruments, surgeons are able to perform electro-surgical procedures more safely and efficaciously than is possible using conventional instruments. In addition, the AEM instruments are cost competitive with conventional "non-shielded, non-monitored" instruments. The result is advanced patient safety at comparable cost and with no change in surgical technique.

AEM technology has been recommended and endorsed by sources from all groups involved in minimally invasive surgery. Surgeons, nurses, biomedical engineers, the medicolegal community, malpractice insurance carriers and electro-surgical device manufacturers advocate the use of AEM technology. The breadth of endorsements continues to expand with the recognition of active electrode monitoring technology as an *AORN Recommended Practice* by the Association of periOperative Registered Nurses and with insurance and medicolegal endorsements.

Our offices are located at 4828 Sterling Drive, Boulder, Colorado 80301. Our telephone number is (303) 444-2600. We maintain a site on the World Wide Web at www.encision.com. We do not intend that our website be a part of this prospectus.

For a complete description of our business, products, market, and company properties, employees, management and executive compensation, as well as our financial statements, please refer to Annex A at the end of this prospectus.

The Offering

The selling shareholders identified in this prospectus are selling up to 333,334 shares of our common stock, which the selling shareholders acquired from us in a private placement on July 30, 2003. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the shares by the selling shareholders. See "*Selling Shareholders*" on page 6.

1

Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risk factors described below before purchasing our common stock. If any of the following risk factors actually occur, our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could fall resulting in the loss of all or part of your investment. You should look at all these risk factors in total. Some risk factors may stand on their own. Some risk factors may affect (or be affected by) other risk factors. You should not assume that we will always update these and future risk factors in a timely manner. We are not undertaking any obligation to update these risk factors to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this prospectus or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.

Factors that could cause future results and financial condition to be materially different from expectations are:

1. *Our products may not be accepted by the market.* The success of our products and our financial condition depend on the acceptance of AEM products by the medical community in commercially viable quantities during fiscal year 2004 and beyond. We cannot predict how quickly or how broadly AEM products will be accepted by the medical community. We need to continually educate the marketplace about the potential hazards involved in the use of conventional electrosurgical products during minimally invasive surgical procedures and the expected benefits associated with the use of AEM products. If we are unsuccessful in educating the marketplace about our technology and the hazards of conventional instruments, we will not create sufficient demand by hospitals and surgeons for AEM products, and our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected.
2. *We need to continually develop and train our network of independent sales representatives and expand our distribution efforts in order to be successful.* Our attempts to develop and train a network of independent sales representatives in the U.S. and to expand our international distribution efforts may take longer than expected and may result in considerable amounts of retraining effort as the independent sales organizations change their product lines and personnel. We may not be able to obtain full coverage of the U.S. by independent sales representatives as quickly as anticipated. The independent sales representative network has inherent flaws and inefficiencies, which can include conflicts of interest and competing products. Optimizing the quality of the network and the performance of independent sales representatives in the U.S. is an ongoing challenge. We may also encounter difficulties in developing our international presence due to regulatory issues and our ability to successfully develop international distribution options. Our inability to expand our network of independent sales representatives and optimize their performance could adversely affect our financial results.
3. *We may need additional funding to support our operations.* We were formed in 1991 and have incurred losses of over \$15 million since that date. We have primarily financed research, development, and operational activities with sales of our common stock. At June 30, 2003, we had \$472,888 in cash available to fund future operations. We believe that we can maintain profitable operations in FY 2004 but there is no guarantee of our ability to do so. We may also find ourselves at a competitive disadvantage due to our constrained liquidity.
4. *We may not be able to compete successfully against current manufacturers of conventional ("unshielded, unmonitored") electrosurgical instruments or against competitors who manufacture products that are based on surgical technologies that are alternatives to monopolar electrosurgery.* The electrosurgical products market is intensely competitive. We expect that manufacturers of "unshielded, unmonitored" electrosurgical instruments will resist any loss of market share that might result from the presence of our "shielded and monitored" instruments in the marketplace. We also believe that manufacturers of products that are based upon surgical technologies that are

alternatives to monopolar electrosurgery are our competitors. These technologies include bipolar electrosurgery, the harmonic scalpel and lasers. The alternative technologies may gain market share and new competitive technologies may be developed and introduced. Most of our competitors and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, technical, product development, marketing and other resources. Most of our competitors also currently have substantial installed customer bases in the medical products market and have significantly greater market recognition. As a result of these factors, our competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products. It is possible that new competitors or new alliances among competitors may emerge and rapidly acquire significant

market share. The competitive pressures we face may materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows, and this may hinder our ability to respond to competitive threats.

5. *If we do not continually enhance our products and keep pace with rapid technological changes, we may not be able to attract and retain customers.* Our future success and financial performance will depend in part on our ability to meet the increasingly sophisticated needs of customers through the timely development and successful introduction of product upgrades, enhancements and new products. These upgrades, enhancements and new products are subject to significant technical risks. The medical device market is subject to rapid technological change, resulting in frequent new product introductions and enhancements of existing products, as well as the risk of product obsolescence. While we are currently developing new products and enhancing our existing product lines, we may not be successful in completing the development of the new products or enhancements. In addition, we must respond effectively to technological changes by continuing to enhance our existing products to incorporate emerging or evolving standards. We may not be successful in developing and marketing product enhancements or new products that respond to technological changes or evolving industry standards. We may experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction and marketing of those products, and our new products and product enhancements may not adequately meet the requirements of the marketplace and achieve commercially viable levels of market acceptance. If any potential new products, upgrades, or enhancements are delayed, or if any potential new products, upgrades, or enhancements experience quality problems or do not achieve such market acceptance, or if new products make our existing products obsolete, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows would be materially adversely affected.

6. *If government regulations change or if we fail to comply with existing and/or new regulations, we might miss market opportunities and experience increased costs and limited growth.* The research, manufacturing, marketing and distribution of our products in the United States and other countries is subject to extensive regulation by numerous governmental authorities including, but not limited to, the Food and Drug Administration. Under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, medical devices must receive clearance from the Food and Drug Administration through the Section 510(k) pre-market notification process or through the more lengthy pre-market approval process before they can be sold in the United States. The process of obtaining required regulatory approvals is lengthy and has required the expenditure of substantial resources. There can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to obtain the necessary approvals. As part of our strategy, we also intend to pursue commercialization of our products in international markets. Our products are subject to regulations that vary from country to country. The process of obtaining foreign regulatory approvals in certain countries can be lengthy and require the expenditure of substantial resources. We may not be able to obtain necessary regulatory approvals or clearances on a timely basis or at all, and delays in receipt of or failure to receive such approvals or clearances, or failure to comply with existing or future regulatory requirements would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

7. *If we fail to comply with the extensive regulatory requirements governing the manufacturing of our products, we could be subject to fines, suspensions or withdrawals of regulatory approvals, product recalls, suspension of manufacturing, operating restrictions and/or criminal prosecution.* The manufacturing of our products is subject to extensive regulatory requirements administered by the Food and Drug Administration and other regulatory bodies. Inspection of our manufacturing facilities and processes can be conducted at any time, without prior notice, by the agencies. In addition, future changes in regulations or interpretations made by the Food and Drug Administration or other regulatory bodies, with possible retroactive effect, could adversely affect us. Changes in existing regulations or adoption of new regulations or policies could prevent us from obtaining, or affect the timing of, future regulatory approvals or clearances. We may not be able to obtain necessary regulatory approvals or clearances on a timely basis in the future, or at all. Delays in receipt of or failure to receive such approvals or clearances and/or failure to comply with existing or future regulatory requirements, would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

8. *One of our directors controls an aggregate of approximately 33% of our common stock, and our management owns a substantial percentage of our common stock.* As of September 30, 2003, Vern D. Kornelsen, who is one of our directors, and an entity controlled by Mr. Kornelsen own an aggregate of 1,888,443 shares of our common stock. As a result, Mr. Kornelsen may be able to exert substantial influence over matters requiring action by our shareholders. Our executive officers and directors as a group beneficially own 3,092,484 shares of our common stock and as a group may be able to substantially influence the election of our Board of Directors. Such voting concentration could exert substantial influence over other matters requiring action by our shareholders.

9. *Our current patents, trade secrets and know-how may not provide a competitive advantage, the pending applications may not result in patents being issued, and our competitors may design around any patents issued to us.* Our success will continue to depend

in part on our ability to maintain patent protection for our products and processes, to preserve our trade secrets and to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. We have four issued U.S. patents on several technologies embodied in our AEM Monitoring System, AEM Instruments and related accessories and we have applied for additional U.S. patents. In addition, we have four issued foreign patents. The validity and breadth of claims coverage in medical technology patents involve complex legal and factual questions and may be highly uncertain. Also, patents may not protect our proprietary information and know-how or provide adequate remedies for us in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information, and others may be able to develop, independently, such information. There has been substantial litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the medical device industry. Litigation may be necessary to enforce patents issued to us, to protect trade secrets or know-how owned by us, to defend us against claimed infringement of the rights of others or to determine the ownership, scope or validity of our proprietary rights or those of others. Any such claims may require us to incur substantial litigation expenses and to divert substantial time and effort of management personnel and could substantially decrease the amount of capital available for our operations. An adverse determination in litigation involving the proprietary rights of others could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, could require us to seek licenses from third parties, and could prevent us from manufacturing, selling or using our products. The occurrence of any such actual or threatened litigation or the effect on our business of such litigation may materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Additionally, our assessment that a patent is no longer of value could result in a significant charge against our earnings.

10. *We depend on single source suppliers for certain of the key components and sub-contractors to provide much of the material used in the manufacturing of our products. The loss of a supplier or*

4

limitation in supply from existing suppliers could have a material adverse effect on our ability to manufacture our products until a new source of supply is located. Although we believe that there are alternative suppliers, any interruption in the supply of key components could have a material adverse effect on us. A sudden increase in customer demand may create a backorder situation as lead times for some of our critical materials are in excess of 12 weeks. We rely on subcontractors to provide products, either in the form of finished goods or sub-assemblies that we then assemble and test. While these sub-contractors reduce our total cost of manufacturing, they may not be as responsive to increased demand as we would be if we had our manufacturing capacity entirely in-house, which may limit our growth strategy and revenues.

11. *The potential fluctuation in future quarterly results may cause our stock price to fluctuate.* We expect that our operating results could fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter in the future and will depend upon a number of factors, many of which are outside our control. These factors include the extent to which our AEM system and related accessories gain market acceptance; our investments in marketing, sales, research and development and administrative personnel necessary to support our anticipated growth; our ability to expand our market share; actions of competitors and general economic conditions. The market value of our stock has dramatically fluctuated in the past and is likely to fluctuate in the future. Any deviation in operating results could have an immediate and significant negative impact on the market price of our stock.

12. *Our common stock is thinly traded, the prices at which it trades are volatile and the buying or selling actions of a few shareholders may adversely affect our stock price.* We have a public float of 2,457,358 shares or 43% of the outstanding common stock. The average number of shares traded in any given day over the past year has been relatively small compared to the public float. Thus, the actions of a few shareholders either buying or selling shares of our common stock may have a significant effect on the price of the shares, and the price of our common stock could fall rapidly. Historically, the over-the-counter markets for securities such as our common stock have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that do not necessarily relate to operating performance.

13. *Our insurance coverage for product liability claims is up to \$5,000,000.* We face an inherent business risk of exposure to product liability claims in the event that the use of our products is alleged to have resulted in adverse effects to a patient. We maintain a general liability insurance policy up to the amount of \$5,000,000 that includes coverage for product liability claims. Liability claims may be excluded from the policy, may exceed the coverage limits of the policy, or the insurance may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Consequently, a product liability claim or other claim with respect to uninsured liabilities or in excess of insured liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

14. *We depend on revenue from some major distributors.* We utilize a small number of stocking distributors, which sell AEM products to multiple hospital customers. In FY 2003, we generated revenue of \$721,687 (11%) and \$701,689 (10%) from two of these distributors. If these distributors, or major distributors we enlist in the future, terminate their relationships with us or we otherwise lose their business, we may lose ongoing revenue from one or more hospital customers, which could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and cash flows.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

15. *We depend on certain key personnel.* We are highly dependent on a limited number of key management personnel, particularly our President & Chief Executive Officer, James A. Bowman. Our loss of key personnel to death, disability or termination, or our inability to hire and retain qualified personnel, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

5

USE OF PROCEEDS

This offering relates to sales of our common stock by the selling shareholders listed herein. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of our common stock by the selling shareholders.

SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the selling shareholders.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned Prior to Offering		Shares Being Offered in Offering	Percentage Owned After Offering(1)
	Number	Percent		
Wasatch Micro Cap Fund	430,150	7.5%	200,000	4.0%
Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund Wasatch Advisors, Inc. 150 Social Hall Avenue, 4 th Fl. Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	133,334	2.3%	133,334	*

*

Indicates less than 1%.

(1)

Assumes the sale by the selling shareholders of all shares included in this prospectus. We have no control over when, if ever, the selling shareholders will sell any such shares.

On July 30, 2003 we issued a total of 333,334 shares of our common stock to the Wasatch Micro Cap Fund and the Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund, for gross proceeds of \$1,000,002. Funds managed by Wasatch Advisors, Inc. held shares of our common stock, constituting less than 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock, prior to that transaction.

6

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

The following summary description of the securities is not complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to our articles of incorporation, as amended, and our bylaws.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Our authorized capital stock consists of 110,000,000 shares of capital stock without par value, of which 100,000,000 shares are Common Stock and 10,000,000 shares are Preferred Stock.

Common Stock

As of October 15, 2003, there were 5,776,126 shares of Common Stock issued and outstanding. The holders of the Common Stock (i) have equal ratable rights to dividends from funds legally available therefor, when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors of the Company; (ii) are entitled to share ratably in all Encision assets available for distribution to holders of the Common Stock upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our affairs; (iii) do not have preemptive, subscription or conversion rights and there are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable thereto; and (iv) are entitled to one vote per share on all matters which shareholders may vote on at all meetings of shareholders. All shares of the Common Stock now outstanding are fully paid and nonassessable.

The holders of Common Stock do not have cumulative voting rights, which means that the holders of more than 50 percent of such outstanding shares voting for the election of directors can elect all of our directors to be elected; if they so choose. In such event, the holders of the remaining shares will not be able to elect any of our directors.

Preferred Stock

Under governing Colorado law and our Articles of Incorporation, no action by our shareholders is necessary, and only action of the Board of Directors is required, to authorize the issuance of any of the Preferred Stock. The Board of Directors is empowered to establish and to designate the name of each class or series of the shares and to set the terms of such shares (including terms with respect to redemption, sinking fund, dividend, liquidation, preemptive, conversion and voting rights and preferences). Accordingly, the Board of Directors, without shareholder approval, may issue preferred stock with terms (including terms with respect to redemption, sinking fund, dividend, liquidation, preemptive, conversion and voting rights and preferences) that could adversely affect the voting power and other rights of holders of the Common Stock.

The existence of Preferred Stock may have the effect of discouraging an attempt, through acquisition of a substantial number of shares of Common Stock, to acquire control of us with a view to affecting a merger, sale or exchange of assets or a similar transaction. The anti-takeover effects of the Preferred Stock may deny shareholders the receipt of a premium on their Common Stock and may also have a depressive effect on the market price of the Common Stock.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The Transfer Agent and Registrar with respect to our Common Stock is Computershare Trust Company, 350 Indiana Street, Suite 800, Golden, Colorado 80401.

7

LIMITATION OF LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION

Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws provide that we shall indemnify to the fullest extent permitted by Colorado law any person who was or is a party, or is threatened to be made a party, to any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, by reason of the fact that he or she is or was a director or officer of Encision or is or was serving at the request of Encision in any capacity and in any other corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise. The Colorado Business Corporation Act (the "Colorado Act") permits us to indemnify an officer or director who was or is a party, or is threatened to be made a party, to any proceeding because of his or her position, if the officer or director acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in our best interests or, if such officer or director was not acting in an official capacity for us, he or she reasonably believed the conduct was not opposed to our best interests. Indemnification is mandatory if the officer or director was wholly successful, on the merits or otherwise, in defending such proceeding. Such indemnification (other than as ordered by a court) shall be made by the us only upon a determination that indemnification is proper in the circumstances because the individual met the applicable standard of conduct. Advances of such indemnification may be made pending such determination. Such determination shall be made by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of disinterested directors or of a committee of at least two disinterested directors, or by independent legal counsel or by the shareholders.

In addition, our Articles of Incorporation provide for the elimination, to the extent permitted by Colorado law, of personal liability of directors to us and our shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as directors. The Colorado Act permits the elimination of personal liability of directors for damages occasioned by breach of fiduciary duty, except for liability based on the director's duty of loyalty to us, liability for acts or omissions not made in good faith, liability for acts or omissions involving intentional misconduct, liability based on payments of improper dividends, liability based on violations of state securities laws, and liability for acts occurring prior to the date such

provision was added.

In the Securities Purchase Agreement pursuant to which the selling shareholders purchased the shares offered by this prospectus, the selling shareholders agreed to indemnify our officers, directors, and control persons against claims or losses resulting from any untrue statement or alleged untrue statement of a material fact or any omission or alleged omission of a material fact required to be stated herein or in the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, or in any amendments thereto, to the extent such statements or omissions are made in reliance upon written information furnished to us by the selling shareholders. This indemnity will not apply to the extent that the claims or losses are caused by a violation by us of the Securities Purchase Agreement. We agreed in the Securities Purchase Agreement to indemnify the selling shareholders against claims or losses resulting from (i) any untrue statement or alleged untrue statement of a material fact contained in, or information incorporated by reference into, this registration statement or prospectus (or any amendment or supplement hereto) or any preliminary prospectus prepared in connection with the registration contemplated by the Securities Purchase Agreement, (ii) any omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading, (iii) any failure by us to fulfill and perform any agreement, covenant or undertaking pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, or (iv) any failure or breach of our representations and warranties as set forth in the Securities Purchase Agreement, other than statements or omissions that are made in reliance upon written information furnished to us by the selling shareholders, or to extent the selling shareholders failed to deliver a prospectus with or prior to the written confirmation of the sale of the shares, and such claim or loss would have been corrected by such prospectus.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

8

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

We have registered the 333,334 shares of our common stock offered in this prospectus on behalf of the selling shareholders. We will pay all expenses of this registration, other than fees and expenses, if any, of counsel or other advisors to the selling shareholders, and we will not receive any proceeds from the sale of the selling shareholders' shares. The selling shareholders are responsible for paying any commissions, discounts, or other brokerage fees incurred in connection with their sale of any of the shares.

The shares of common stock may be sold in one or more transactions at fixed prices, at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, at prices related to the prevailing market prices, at varying prices determined at the time of sale, or at negotiated prices. These sales may be effected at various times in one or more of the following transactions, or in other kinds of transactions:

in the over-the-counter market;

in private transactions and transactions otherwise than in the over-the-counter market;

in connection with short sales of the shares;

by pledge to secure debt and other obligations;

through the writing of options, whether the options are listed on an options exchange or otherwise;

in connection with the writing of non-traded and exchange-traded call options, in hedge transactions and in settlement of other transactions in standardized or over-the-counter options; or

through a combination of any of the above transactions.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

The selling shareholders and their successors, including transferees, pledgees or donees or their successors, may sell the common stock directly to purchasers or through underwriters, broker-dealers or agents, who may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling shareholder or the purchasers. These discounts, concessions or commissions as to any particular underwriter, broker-dealer or agent may be in excess of those customary in the types of transactions involved.

Under the terms of the private placement, we have agreed to indemnify the selling shareholders, and each director, officer or controlling person of each selling shareholder within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 against all losses, claims, damages, liabilities and expenses, (or action in respect thereof) including any of the foregoing incurred in settlement of any litigation, commenced or threatened, arising out of or based on (i) any untrue statement or alleged untrue statement of a material fact contained in, or information incorporated by reference into, any registration statement or prospectus (or any amendment or supplement thereto) or any preliminary prospectus prepared in connection with the registration contemplated by the Securities Purchase Agreement, (ii) any omission or alleged omission to state therein a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading, (iii) any failure by us to fulfill and perform any agreement, covenant or undertaking pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, or (iv) any failure or breach of our representations and warranties as set forth in the Securities Purchase Agreement.

The selling shareholders also may resell all or a portion of the shares in open market transactions in reliance on Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, if they meet the criteria and conform to the requirements of that rule.

9

The selling shareholders and any broker-dealers or agents that participate with the selling shareholders in the sale of shares may be "underwriters" within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933. Any commissions received by broker-dealers or agents on the sales and any profit on the resale of shares purchased by broker-dealers or agents may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act of 1933.

In order to comply with the securities laws of certain states, if applicable, the selling shareholders may only sell their shares in such jurisdictions through registered or licensed broker-dealers. In addition, in certain states the selling shareholders may not sell their shares unless they have been registered or qualified for sale or an exemption from registration or qualification requirements is available and is complied with.

Under the rules of the SEC, any person engaged in the distribution of our common stock may not simultaneously buy, bid for or attempt to induce any other person to buy or bid for our common stock in the open market for a period of two business days prior to the beginning of the distribution. The rules and regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 may also limit the timing of purchases and sales of shares of our common stock by the selling shareholders. We have notified the selling shareholders they should not begin any distribution of common stock unless they have stopped purchasing and bidding for common stock in the open market as provided in applicable securities regulations, including Regulation M promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

We have informed the selling shareholders that the anti-manipulation provisions of Regulation M may apply to the sales of their shares. We have advised the selling shareholders that they will be subject to the prospectus delivery requirements under the Securities Act.

10

LEGAL MATTERS

Faegre & Benson LLP, Boulder, Colorado will pass upon the validity of the common stock offered in this prospectus.

EXPERTS

The financial statements of Encision, Inc. as of and for the year ended March 31, 2003 included in this prospectus have been included herein in reliance on the report of KPMG LLP, independent accountants, included in this prospectus, and upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

On August 6, 2002, we dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP ("Andersen") as our independent accountant and appointed KPMG LLP ("KPMG LLP") as our new independent accountant, replacing Andersen. The decision to dismiss Andersen and retain KPMG LLP was approved by our Board of Directors upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee. Andersen's report on our financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2002 was dated May 3, 2002, in conjunction with the preparation of our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") on June 12, 2002.

In June, 2003, our Board of Directors directed the Audit Committee of the Board to select a new independent auditing firm. On July 15, 2003, we engaged the firm selected by the Audit Committee, Spicer, Jeffries & Co. ("Spicer Jeffries") as independent auditors for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2004, to replace KPMG LLP, who were dismissed as our accountants following the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003.

The audit reports of Andersen on our financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002 and 2001, did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor were they qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. The audit report of KPMG LLP on the financial statements of Encision Inc. as of and for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003 did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion, nor was it qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. During the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002 and March 31, 2003, and the subsequent interim period through the date of this prospectus, there were no disagreements between us and Andersen or KPMG LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Andersen or KPMG LLP, respectively, would have caused Andersen or KPMG LLP to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with their respective reports.

None of the reportable events described under Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-B occurred within our two most recent fiscal years through the date of this prospectus, and we have not consulted with Spicer Jeffries, and did not consult with KPMG LLP, regarding any of the matters or events set forth in Item 304(a)(2)(i) and (ii) of Regulation S-B.

Encision provided KPMG LLP with a copy of the foregoing disclosures concurrently with its filing of a current report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 16, 2003, and KPMG LLP confirmed in a letter dated July 29, 2003 and addressed to the Commission, that it agreed with our discussion in that Form 8-K regarding the relationship between us and KPMG LLP, which has been summarized above, except that KPMG LLP was not in a position to agree or disagree with our statement that the change was recommended by the audit committee of the board of directors, nor was KPMG LLP in a position to agree or disagree with our statement that Spicer, Jeffries & Co. was not engaged regarding the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our financial statements. Our Form 8-K filed on July 16, 2003

11

did not discuss the relationship between us and Andersen, our former auditor. Accordingly, KPMG LLP's letter did not comment on the relationship between us and Andersen.

There have been no disagreements between the Company and its independent accountants on any matter of accounting principles or practices or financial statement disclosure since the Company's inception.

12

ANNEX A

COMPANY OVERVIEW

Encision Inc. ("Encision" or "the Company"), a medical device company based in Boulder, Colorado, has developed and launched innovative technology that is emerging as a standard of care in minimally invasive surgery. The Company believes its patented AEM® Surgical Instruments are changing the marketplace for electrosurgical devices and laparoscopic instruments by providing a solution to a well-documented patient safety risk in laparoscopic surgery.

Encision was founded to address market opportunities created by the increase in minimally invasive surgery ("MIS") and the surgeons' preference for using electrosurgery devices in these procedures. The product opportunity was created by surgeons' continued widespread demand

for using monopolar electro-surgery instruments which, when used in laparoscopic surgery, are susceptible to causing inadvertent collateral tissue damage outside the surgeon's field of view. The risk of unintended electro-surgical burn injury to the patient in laparoscopic surgery has been well documented. This risk poses a significant threat to patient safety and creates liability exposure for surgeons and hospitals that do not adequately address the issue.

Encision's patented AEM technology provides surgeons with the desired tissue effects, while preventing stray electro-surgical energy that can cause unintended and unseen tissue injury. AEM Laparoscopic Instruments are equivalent to conventional instruments in size, shape, ergonomics and functionality but they incorporate "active electrode monitoring" technology to dynamically and continuously monitor the flow of electro-surgical current, thereby helping to prevent patient injury. With Encision's "shielded and monitored" instruments, surgeons are able to perform electro-surgical procedures more safely and efficaciously than is possible using conventional instruments. In addition, the AEM instruments are cost competitive with conventional "non-shielded, non-monitored" instruments. The result is advanced patient safety at comparable cost and with no change in surgeon technique.

AEM technology has been recommended and endorsed by sources from all groups involved in minimally invasive surgery. Surgeons, nurses, biomedical engineers, the medicolegal community, malpractice insurance carriers and electro-surgical device manufacturers advocate the use of AEM technology. The breadth of endorsements continues to expand with the recognition of active electrode monitoring technology as an *AORN Recommended Practice* by the Association of periOperative Registered Nurses and with insurance and medicolegal endorsements.

Business Highlights

Proprietary, Patented Technology

Encision has developed and launched patented AEM Surgical Instruments that enhance patient safety and patient outcome in laparoscopic surgical procedures. The Company has been issued four patents relating to AEM technology from the United States Patent Office, each encompassing multiple claims, and which have between eight and twelve years remaining. The Company also has patents issued in Europe, Japan, Canada and Australia.

Technology Solves a Well-Documented Risk in Minimally Invasive Surgery

Minimally invasive surgery offers significant benefits for patients by reducing trauma, hospital stays, recovery times and medical costs. However, these benefits have not been achieved without the emergence of new risks. The risk of unintended tissue damage from stray electro-surgical energy has been well documented. Such injuries can be especially troubling given the fact that they can go unrecognized and can lead to a cascade of adverse events, including death. Encision's patented AEM

A-1

technology helps to eliminate the risk of stray electro-surgical burns in MIS while providing surgeons with the tissue effects they desire.

Product Line has been Developed and Launched

The Company's AEM Laparoscopic Instruments have been engineered to provide a seamless transition for surgeons switching from conventional laparoscopic instruments. AEM technology has been integrated into instruments that have the same look, feel and functionality of conventional instruments which surgeons have been using for years. The AEM product line encompasses the full range of instrument sizes, types and styles favored by surgeons. Thus, hospitals can make a complete and smooth conversion to Encision's product line, thereby advancing patient safety in MIS.

Technology has Received Broad Endorsements

The Company's AEM technology has received independent endorsements from sources in all groups involved in minimally invasive surgery, including surgeons, nurses, biomedical engineers, medicolegal professionals, insurance companies and electro-surgery device manufacturers. The Association of periOperative Registered Nurses has recognized active electrode monitoring technology as an *AORN Recommended Practice*.

Emerging as a Standard of Care

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

AEM technology is following a similar path as previous technical revolutions in surgery. Throughout the history of electrosurgery, companies that have developed significant technological breakthroughs in patient safety have seen their technologies become widely used. As with "Isolated" electrosurgical generators in the 1970s and with "REM" technology in the 1980s, AEM technology is receiving the broad endorsements that drove these previous new technologies to becoming a standard of care. The Company's proprietary AEM technology enhances patient safety in MIS and clinicians are now widely advocating its use. The expansion of a fully integrated AEM product line, combined with broad independent endorsements, has created momentum for the Company in the marketplace.

Developing Distribution Network is Advancing Utilization of AEM Technology

The Company's AEM technology, in the hands of a sales network with broad access to the surgery marketplace, will help to increase utilization and market share. Historically, the Company's sales and marketing efforts have been hindered by its small size and limited distribution channels. While these limitations continue, an improving sales network has increased the number of new hospital conversions to AEM technology. Supplier agreements with Novation and Premier, the two largest Group Purchasing Organizations (GPOs) for hospitals in the U.S., are beginning to expose more hospitals to the benefits of AEM technology.

Sole Possession of Key Technology Provides Marketing Leverage

Management believes that sole possession of patented AEM technology provides the Company with marketing leverage toward gaining an increased share of the large market for surgical instruments in minimally invasive surgery.

Market Overview

In the 1990s, surgeons began widespread use of minimally invasive surgical techniques. The benefits of MIS are substantial and include reduced trauma for the patient, reduced hospital stay, shorter recovery time and lower medical costs. With improvements in the micro-camera and in the variety of available instruments, laparoscopic surgery became very popular among general and gynecologic surgeons. Laparoscopy now accounts for a large percentage of all surgical procedures

A-2

performed in the United States. Approximately 50% of all abdominal surgeries in the U.S. are now performed via a laparoscopic approach. There are over 2.5 million laparoscopic procedures performed annually in the U.S., and this number is increasing by 2% annually (Note: market estimates in this section are referenced from Frost & Sullivan and Medical Data International).

The annual worldwide market for laparoscopic instrumentation is estimated to be over \$1 billion for general and gynecologic surgery. A component of that market includes laparoscopic hand instruments: scissors, graspers, dissectors, forceps, suction/irrigation devices, clip appliers and other surgical instruments of various designs that provide a variety of tissue effects. Among the laparoscopic hand instruments, approximately \$400 million annually are instruments designed for "monopolar" electrosurgical utility. This market for laparoscopic monopolar electrosurgical instruments is the market the Company is targeting with its innovative AEM Laparoscopic Instruments. The Company's proprietary AEM product line supplants the conventional "non-shielded, non-monitored" electrosurgical instruments commonly used in laparoscopic surgery.

When a hospital converts to AEM technology it provides recurring revenue from ongoing sales of replacement instruments. In FY 2003, the Company retained over 95% of customers who had converted to AEM technology in FY 2002. Management believes this indicates strong customer satisfaction and is further supported by the fact that there is no directly competing technology to supplant AEM products once the hospital has converted. Revenue from replacement reusable and disposable AEM products in converted hospitals represents over 65% of the Company's revenue and this revenue stream is expected to grow as the base of newly converted hospitals continues to grow. AEM Instruments are competitively priced to conventional laparoscopic instruments.

The Company aims to further develop the market by continuing to educate healthcare professionals about the benefits of AEM technology to advance patient safety. The Company continues to improve its sales network to reach the decision makers who purchase laparoscopic instruments and electrosurgical devices. Encision is also pursuing relationships with GPOs to assist in promoting the benefits of AEM technology. GPOs have significant influence on the market for surgical instruments. The launch of supplier agreements with Novation and Premier is beginning to help expose AEM technology to new hospitals. Together, Novation and Premier represent over 3,000 hospitals and approximately 50% of all surgery in the United States.

The Technology

The Problem: Stray Electrosurgical Burn Injury to the Patient

Electrosurgical technology is a valuable and popular resource for the surgeon. Since its introduction in the 1930s it has continually evolved and is estimated to be used by over 75% of all general surgeons.

The primary form of electrosurgery, monopolar electrosurgery, is a standard tool for general surgeons throughout the world. In monopolar electrosurgery, the surgeon uses an instrument (typically scissors, spatula blades or grasper/dissectors) to deliver electrical current to patient tissue. This "active electrode" provides the surgeon with the ability to cut, coagulate or ablate tissue as needed during the surgery. With the advent of MIS procedures, surgeons have maintained their preference for using monopolar electrosurgery as their primary tool for hemostatic incision, excision and ablation. Unfortunately, conventional laparoscopic electrosurgical instruments from competing manufacturers are susceptible to emitting stray electrical currents during the procedure. This risk is exacerbated by the fact that the micro-camera system used in laparoscopy limits the surgical field-of-view. Ninety percent of the instrument may be outside the surgeon's field-of-view at any given time during the surgery.

Since stray electrical energy can occur at any point along the shaft of the instrument, the potential for burns occurring to tissue outside the surgeon's field-of-view is of great concern. Such burns to

A-3

non-targeted tissue are dangerous as they are likely to go unrecognized and may lead to complications, such as perforation and infection in adjacent tissues or organs, and this can cause a cascade of adverse events. In many cases, the surgeon cannot detect stray electrosurgical burns at the time of the procedure. The resulting complication usually presents itself days later in the form of a severe infection, which often results in a return to the hospital and a difficult course of recovery for the patient. Reports indicate that this situation has even resulted in fatalities.

Stray electrosurgical burn injury can result from two causes insulation failure and capacitive coupling. Instrument insulation failure can be a common occurrence with laparoscopic instruments. Conventional active electrodes for laparoscopic surgery are designed with the same basic construction a single conductive element and an outer insulation coating. Unfortunately, this insulation can fail during the natural course of normal use during surgery. It is also possible for instrument insulation to become flawed during the cleaning and sterilization process. This common insulation failure can allow electrical currents to "leak" from the instrument to unintended and unseen tissue with potentially serious ramifications for the patient. Capacitive coupling is another way stray electrosurgical energy can cause unintended burns during laparoscopy. Capacitive coupling is an electrical phenomenon that occurs when current is induced from the instrument to nearby tissue despite intact insulation. This potential for capacitive coupling is present in all laparoscopic surgeries that utilize monopolar electrosurgery devices and is likely to occur outside the surgeon's field-of-view.

Insulation failure and capacitive coupling are the primary causes of stray electrosurgical burns in laparoscopy and are the two events over which the surgical team has traditionally had little, if any, control.

The Solution: Encision's AEM Laparoscopic Instruments

Active electrode monitoring technology can eliminate the risk of stray electrical energy caused by insulation failure and capacitive coupling and thus helps to prevent unintended internal burn injury to the patient.

AEM Laparoscopic Instruments are an innovative solution to stray electrosurgical burns in laparoscopic surgery and are designed with the same look, feel and functionality as conventional instruments. They direct electrosurgical energy where the surgeon desires, while continuously monitoring the current flow to prevent stray electrosurgical energy from insulation failure or capacitive coupling.

Whereas conventional instruments are simply a conductive element with a layer of insulation coating, AEM Laparoscopic Instruments have a patented, multi-layered design with a built-in "shield", much like the third-wire ground in standard electrical cords. The shield in these instruments is referenced back to a monitor at the electrosurgical generator. In the event of a harmful level of stray electrical energy, the monitor shuts down the power at the source, ensuring patient safety. For instance, if instrument insulation failure should occur, the AEM system, while continually monitoring the instrument, immediately shuts down the electrosurgical generator, turning off the electrical current and alerting the surgical staff. The AEM system protects against capacitive coupling by providing a neutral return path for "capacitively coupled" electrical current. Capacitively coupled energy is continually drained away from the instrument and away from the patient through the protective shield built into all AEM instruments.

The AEM system consists of shielded 5mm AEM instruments and an AEM monitor. The AEM instruments are designed to function identically to the conventional 5mm instruments that the surgeon is familiar with, but with the added benefit of enhanced patient safety. The

Company's entire line of laparoscopic instruments has the integrated AEM design and includes the full range of instruments that are common in laparoscopic surgery today. The AEM monitor is compatible with most electrosurgical generators. Thus, conversion to AEM Laparoscopic Instruments requires no change in surgical

A-4

technique or operating room staff protocols. AEM Laparoscopic Instruments provide enhanced patient safety, require no change in surgeon technique and are cost competitive.

Technology Precedents

The Company believes that gaining broad independent endorsements in the surgical community is a demonstrated and successful process for new surgical technology to advance in the marketplace. From a concern or problem in surgery, the medical device industry develops a technological solution, and this solution evolves to garner credibility and endorsements. Once this occurs, the technology is then widely employed by hospitals to benefit patients, surgeons and the operating room staff. Management believes that AEM technology is following the same path as previous revolutions in electrosurgery. As with other safety advances ("Isolated" electrosurgical generators in the 1970s and "REM" technology in the 1980s) AEM technology has received the breadth of independent endorsements that drove previous new technology to broad market acceptance. ("REM" is a registered trademark of TYCO Healthcare. "AEM" is a registered trademark of Encision Inc.)

Time Period	Problem	Solution	Results
Prior to 1970	All electrosurgical units had a "grounded" design		
	Alternate paths for the current were possible, causing patient burns	"Isolated" Electrosurgery	Patient safety is improved. New standard of care
Prior to 1980	All electrosurgical patient return electrodes were "not monitored"		
	Patient burns at return electrode site were possible	REM Return Electrode Monitoring	Patient safety is improved. New standard of care
1990s & 2000s	Introduction of Minimally Invasive Surgery		
	Stray electrosurgical energy causes unintended, unseen tissue burns	AEM Laparoscopic Instruments Active electrode monitoring system	Patient safety is improved. Emerging standard of care

Historical Perspective

The Company was organized in 1991 and spent several years developing the AEM monitoring system and protective sheaths to adapt to conventional electrosurgical instruments. During this period, the Company conducted product trials and applied for patents with the United States Patent Office and with the International patent agencies. Patents were issued in 1994, 1997, 1998 and 2003.

As the Company evolved, it was clear to the Company that its "active electrode monitoring" technology needed to be integrated into the standard laparoscopic instrument design. As the development program proceeded, it also became apparent that the merging of electrical and mechanical engineering skills in the instrument development process for the Company's patented, integrated electrosurgical instruments was a complex and difficult task. As a result, instruments with

integrated AEM technology were not completed for several years. Prior to offering a full range of laparoscopic electrosurgical instrumentation, it was difficult for hospitals to commit to the AEM solution, as the Company did not have adequate comparable surgical instrument options to match what the surgeon demanded. As of fiscal 2001, a sufficiently broad product line was available to provide hospital operating rooms with AEM Instruments in most of the designs common for laparoscopic surgery.

The launch of an expanded line of AEM Laparoscopic Instruments was accomplished over the past two years. With the broad array of AEM instruments now available, the surgeon has a wide choice of instrument options and does not have to change surgical technique. Since conversion to AEM technology is transparent to the surgeon, hospitals can now universally convert to AEM technology, thus providing all of their laparoscopic surgery patients a higher level of safety. This coincides with the continued expansion of independent endorsements for AEM technology. Recommendations from the malpractice insurance and medicolegal communities complement the broad clinical endorsements AEM technology has garnered over the past few years.

Products

Encision produces and markets a full line of AEM Surgical Instruments, which are "shielded and monitored" to prevent stray electrosurgical burns from insulation failure and capacitive coupling. The Company's product line includes a broad range of articulating instruments (scissors, graspers and dissectors), fixed-tip electrodes and suction-irrigation electrodes. These AEM Instruments are available in a wide array of reusable and disposable options. In addition, the Company markets the AEM Monitor product line that is used in conjunction with the AEM Instruments.

Sales and Marketing Overview

It is the Company's belief that AEM technology will become the standard of care in laparoscopic surgery worldwide. The Company's marketing efforts are focused toward capitalizing on substantial independent endorsements for the AEM technology. These third-party endorsements advocate utilizing active electrode monitoring for advancing patient safety in laparoscopic surgery. Substantial visibility has been achieved as a result of the technology's recognition as an *AORN Recommended Practice*.

To cost-effectively expand market coverage, the Company focuses on optimizing its distribution network comprised of independent sales representatives who are managed and directed by the Company's regional sales managers. Together, this network provides market presence throughout the United States. In some instances customers have recognized the patient safety risks inherent in monopolar electrosurgery and readily accepted AEM technology as the way to eliminate those risks. In other instances, the Company has found selling the concept behind AEM technology more difficult. This is due to several factors, including the necessity to make surgeons, nurses and hospital risk managers aware of the potential for unintended electrosurgical burns (which exists when conventional instruments are used during laparoscopic monopolar electrosurgery) and the increased medicolegal liability exposure that results. Additionally, the Company has to contend with the overall lack of single purchasing points in the industry (surgeons and hospital staff have to be in substantial agreement as to the benefits of new technology), and the consequent need to make multiple sales calls on those personnel with the authority to commit to hospital expenditures. Other challenges include the fact that many hospitals have exclusive contractual agreements with manufacturers of competing surgical instruments.

The above issues have been lessened in light of independent recommendations in support of AEM technology, most notably the fact that active electrode monitoring has been recognized as an *AORN Recommended Practice* for Endoscopic MIS by the Association of periOperative Registered Nurses. The Company's marketing efforts are focused toward capitalizing on the substantial independent

endorsements which advocate utilizing AEM technology for advancing patient safety in laparoscopic surgery. In addition, there is increasing public interest in the reduction of medical errors and the advancement of patient safety. This interest and focus is reflected in the JCAHO Standards (Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations) enacted in July 2001 which specify that hospitals must show proactive initiatives for advancing patient safety in order to renew the hospital's accreditation. Some recent hospital conversions to AEM technology have been motivated in part by these JCAHO patient safety standards. Management believes the credibility and importance of the Company's technology is complemented by this expanding public interest in advancing patient safety.

To cost-effectively expand market coverage, the Company is developing a network of independent distributors and sales reps across the U.S. This network has experience selling into the hospital operating room environment and management believes this network offers the

Company the best opportunity to cost effectively broaden acceptance of its product line and generate increased and recurring revenues. Additionally, the Company is pursuing supplier agreements with the major Group Purchasing Organizations. GPOs have significant influence on the market for surgical devices and instruments. The Company launched its first GPO agreements in 2002 by contracting with Novation and Premier, which together represent over 3,000 hospitals in the United States. While these agreements do not involve purchase commitments, these relationships expand the market visibility of AEM technology and smooth the procurement and conversion process for new hospital customers. In fiscal 2003, approximately half of the new hospital conversions to AEM technology were members of Novation and Premier.

In addition to the efforts to broaden market acceptance in the United States, the Company has contracted with independent distributors in Canada, Australia and elsewhere to market the Company's products internationally. The Company achieved CE marking in August 2000 to allow selling into the European marketplace. The CE marking, an abbreviation of the phrase "Conformite Europeene," indicates that a manufacturer has conformed to all of the obligations imposed by European health, safety and environmental legislation. While CE certification opens up incremental markets in Europe, the Company is seeking adequate distribution options in the European marketplace and revenue contribution from International markets is negligible.

The Company believes that its sales strategy, along with the expanding independent endorsements for AEM technology and the recent introduction of new AEM products, will provide the basis for increased revenues and continuing profitable operations. However, these measures, or any others that the Company may adopt, may not result in increased revenues or profitable operations.

Research & Development

The Company employs full-time engineers and uses independent contractors from time to time in its research and product development efforts. This group continuously explores ways to broaden and enhance the product line. The Company is continually expanding the AEM instrument product line to satisfy the evolving needs of surgeons. For AEM technology to fully become a standard of care, the Company must satisfy the surgeons' preferred instrument shapes, sizes, styles and functionality with integrated AEM instruments. This commitment includes expanding the styles of electrosurgical instruments available for MIS applications so that the conversion to AEM technology is transparent to the surgeon and would not require significant change in their current surgical techniques. Current research and development efforts are focused primarily on line-extension projects to further expand the AEM Laparoscopic Instrument product offering and thereby increase the surgeons' choices and options in laparoscopic surgery. The Company's research and development expenses were \$502,939 in fiscal year 2003, \$445,843 in fiscal year 2002 and \$458,091 in fiscal year 2001. The Company expenses research and development costs for products and processes as incurred. Costs that are included in research and development expenses include salaries, contractor fees, materials, facility costs and administrative expenses.

A-7

Manufacturing, Regulatory Affairs and Quality Assurance

The Company engages in various manufacturing and assembly activities at its leased facility in Boulder, Colorado. These operations include manufacturing and assembly of the AEM Laparoscopic Instrument system as well as fabrication, assembly and test operations for instruments and accessories. The Company also has relationships with a number of outside suppliers which provide primary sub-assemblies in addition to various electronic and sheet metal components, as well as machined and molded parts used in the Company's products.

The Company believes that the use of both internal and external manufacturing capabilities allows for increased flexibility in meeting its customer delivery requirements, and significantly reduces the need for investment in specialized capital equipment. The Company has developed multiple sources of supply where possible. The relationship between the Company and its suppliers is generally limited to individual purchase order agreements supplemented, as appropriate, by contractual relationships to help ensure the availability and low cost of certain products. All components, materials and subassemblies used in the Company's products, whether produced in-house or obtained from others, are inspected to ensure compliance with Company specifications. Company personnel subject all finished products to quality assurance and performance testing procedures. As discussed in the section on Government Regulation, the Company is subject to the rules and regulations of the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA").

The Company's leased facility of 11,455 square feet contains approximately 6,500 square feet of manufacturing, regulatory affairs and quality assurance space. The facility is designed to comply with the Quality System Regulation ("QSR") as specified in published FDA regulations. As noted below (Government Regulation), in the latest inspection by the FDA (November 1998), the Company's facility has been found to be "...in substantial compliance with the Quality System Regulation." The Company achieved CE marking in August 2000, which required prior certification of the Company's quality system and product documentation. Maintenance of the CE marking status requires annual audits of the quality system and technical documentation by the Company's European Notified Body, UL International (UK) Ltd. The most recent audit was successfully completed in May 2003.

Patents, Patent Applications and Proprietary Rights

Encision has invested heavily in an effort to protect its valuable technology and, as a result of this effort, the Company has been issued eight relevant patents that together form a significant intellectual property position. The Company was issued a United States patent having 42 claims on May 17, 1994. This patent relates to the basic shielding and monitoring technologies that the Company incorporates in its AEM products. Three additional United States Patents were issued to the Company in 1997, 1998 and 2003, relating to specific implementations of shielding and monitoring in instruments. Foreign patents relating to the core AEM shielding and monitoring technologies have been issued in Europe, Japan, Canada and Australia. There are between eight and twelve years remaining on the Company's AEM patents.

The Company's technical progress depends to a significant degree on its ability to maintain patent protection for products and processes, to preserve its trade secrets and to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. The Company's policy is to attempt to protect its technology by, among other things, filing patent applications for technology that it considers important to the development of its business. The validity and breadth of claims covered in medical technology patents involve complex legal and factual questions and, therefore, may be highly uncertain. Even with the patents held by the Company, others might copy the Company's technology or otherwise be able to incorporate the technology in their products.

The Company requires its employees to execute non-disclosure agreements upon commencement of employment. These agreements generally provide that all confidential information developed or

A-8

made known to the individual by the Company during the course of the individual's employment is the Company's property and is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties.

Competition

The electrosurgical device market is intensely competitive and tends to be dominated by a relatively small group of large and well-financed companies. The Company competes directly for customers with those companies that currently make conventional electrosurgical instruments. Larger competitors include U.S. Surgical Corporation (a division of TYCO International) and Ethicon Endo-Surgery (a division of Johnson & Johnson). While the Company knows of no competitor (including those referenced above) that can provide a continuous solution to stray electrosurgical burns, the manufacturers of conventional (non-monitored, non-shielded) instruments will resist any loss of market share resulting from the presence of the Company's products in the marketplace.

The Company also believes that manufacturers of products based upon alternative technology to monopolar electrosurgery are competitors of the Company. These alternative technologies include bipolar electrosurgery, laser surgery and the harmonic scalpel. Leading manufacturers include Gyrus (bipolar electrosurgery), Lumenis (laser surgery) and Ethicon Endo-Surgery (harmonic scalpel). The Company believes that monopolar electrosurgery offers substantial competitive and functional advantages over these alternative "energy" technologies and will remain the primary tool for the surgeon, as it has been for decades. However, the risk exists that these alternative technologies may gain greater market share and new competitive techniques may be developed and introduced.

As mentioned in the Sales and Marketing discussion, the competitive issues involved in selling the Company's AEM product line do not primarily revolve around a comparison of cost or features, but rather involve generating an awareness of the inherent hazards of electrosurgery and the potential for injury to the patient. This involves selling concepts, rather than just a product, which results in a longer sales cycle and generally higher sales costs. Recent endorsements of active electrode monitoring technology have greatly enhanced the credibility of AEM Laparoscopic Instruments. However, the Company's efforts to increase market awareness of this technology may not be successful and the Company's competitors may develop alternative strategies and/or products to counter the Company's marketing efforts.

Many of the Company's competitors and potential competitors have widely used products and significantly greater financial, technical, product development, marketing and other resources. The Company utilizes a network of independent distributor representatives. In some cases the Company's options for independent distribution have conflicting and competing product interests which compromise the Company's ability to make market advances in certain areas. The Company may not be able to compete successfully against current and future competitors and competitive pressures faced by the Company may have a material adverse impact on its business, operating results and financial condition.

Government Regulation

Government regulation in the United States and other countries is a significant factor in the development and marketing of the Company's products and in the Company's ongoing manufacturing, research and development activities. The FDA regulates the Company and its products

under a number of statutes, including the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act (the "FDC Act"). Under the FDC Act, medical devices are classified as Class I, II or III on the basis of the controls deemed necessary to reasonably ensure their safety and effectiveness. Class I devices are subject to the least extensive controls, as their safety and effectiveness can be reasonably assured through general controls (e.g., labeling, pre-market notification and adherence to QSR). For Class II devices, safety and effectiveness can be assured through the use of special controls (e.g., performance standards, post-market

A-9

surveillance, patient registries and FDA guidelines). Class III devices (i.e., life-sustaining or life-supporting implantable devices, or new devices which have been found not to be substantially equivalent to legally marketed devices) require the highest level of control, generally requiring pre-market approval by the FDA to ensure their safety and effectiveness.

If a manufacturer or distributor of medical devices can establish that a proposed device is "substantially equivalent" to a legally marketed Class I or Class II medical device or to a Class III medical device for which the FDA has not required a Pre-Market Approval application, the manufacturer or distributor may seek FDA marketing clearance for the device by filing a 510(k) pre-market notification. Following submission of the 510(k) notification, the manufacturer or distributor may not place the device into commercial distribution in the United States until an order has been issued by the FDA. The FDA's target for issuing such orders is within 90 days of submission, but the process can take significantly longer. The order may declare the FDA's determination that the device is "substantially equivalent" to another legally marketed device and allow the proposed device to be marketed in the United States. The FDA may, however, determine that the proposed device is not substantially equivalent or may require further information, such as additional test data, before making a determination regarding substantial equivalence. Any adverse determination or request for additional information could delay market introduction and have a material adverse effect on the Company's continued operations. The Company has received 510(k) notification for its AEM monitors and the AEM laparoscopic instruments, all of which are designated as Class II medical devices.

Labeling and promotional activities are subject to scrutiny by the FDA and, in certain instances, by the Federal Trade Commission. The FDA also imposes post-marketing controls on the Company and its products, and registration, listing, medical device reporting, post-market surveillance, device tracking and other requirements on medical devices. Failure to meet these pervasive FDA requirements or adverse FDA determinations regarding the Company's clinical and preclinical trials could subject the Company and/or its employees to injunction, prosecution, civil fines, seizure or recall of products, prohibition of sales or suspension or withdrawal of any previously granted approvals, which could lead to a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

The FDA regulates the Company's quality control and manufacturing procedures by requiring the Company and its contract manufacturers to demonstrate compliance with the QSR as specified in published FDA regulations. The FDA requires manufacturers to register with the FDA, which subjects them to periodic FDA inspections of manufacturing facilities. If violations of applicable regulations are noted during FDA inspections of the Company's manufacturing facilities or the facilities of its contract manufacturers, the continued marketing of the Company's products may be adversely affected. Such regulations are subject to change and depend heavily on administrative interpretations. In November 1998, the FDA conducted a QSR Inspection of the Company's facilities, with no regulatory follow-up indicated. The Company believes it has the internal resources and processes in place to be reasonably assured that it is in compliance with all applicable United States regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of medical devices. However, if the Company were found not to be in compliance with the QSR, such findings could result in a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Sales of medical devices outside of the United States are subject to United States export requirements and foreign regulatory requirements. Legal restrictions on the sale of imported medical devices vary from country to country. The time required to obtain approval by a foreign country may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval and the requirements may differ. The Company has obtained a Certificate of Export from the United States Department of Health and Human Services that states that the Company has been found to be "...in substantial compliance with Current Good Manufacturing Practices..." based on the most recent inspection. However a specific foreign country in which the Company wishes to sell its products may not accept or continue to accept the Export Certificate. Entry into the European Economic Area market also requires prior certification

A-10

of the Company's quality system and product documentation. The Company achieved CE marking in August 2000 to allow a launch into the European marketplace. Maintenance of the CE marking status requires annual audits of the quality system and technical documentation by the Company's European Notified Body, UL International (UK) Ltd. The most recent audit was successfully completed in May 2003.

Environmental Laws and Regulations

From time to time the Company receives materials returned from customers, sales representatives and other sources which are potentially biologically hazardous. These materials are segregated and handled in accordance with specific procedures that minimize the potential exposure for employees. Such materials are disposed of in accordance with specific procedures. The costs of compliance with these procedures are not significant. The Company's operations, in general, do not involve the use of environmentally sensitive materials.

Insurance

The Company is covered under comprehensive general liability insurance policies, which have per occurrence and aggregate limits of \$1 million and \$2 million, respectively, and a \$5 million umbrella policy. The Company maintains customary property and casualty, workers' compensation, employer liability and other commercial insurance policies.

Employees

As of March 31, 2003, the Company employed 28 full-time individuals, 9 of whom are engaged directly in research, development and regulatory activities, 6 in manufacturing/operations, 9 in marketing and sales and 4 in administrative positions. None of the Company's employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and the Company considers its relations with its employees to be good.

Properties

The Company leases 11,455 square feet of office and manufacturing space at 4828 Sterling Drive, Boulder, Colorado 80301. The lease expires on October 31, 2004.

Legal Proceedings

The Company may become involved in litigation in the future in the normal course of business.

The Company has notified Surgical Principals, Inc., one of its stocking distributors, that it is in breach of its Distributor Agreement with the Company in several respects, and has removed a portion of Surgical Principals' territory from the Agreement. The Company gave Surgical Principals a Notice to Cure the remaining breaches of the Agreement and Surgical Principals failed to cure, asserting that it believes that the Company's interpretations of the Agreement are incorrect. Pursuant to the Agreement, the dispute has been submitted to binding arbitration in Boulder, Colorado. No hearing date has been scheduled. If the Company prevails in the arbitration, the Company would be entitled to terminate the entire Agreement, and if Surgical Principals prevails, it would be entitled to damages for the Company's removal of a portion of its distribution territory. If the dispute is not resolved in a timely manner or is resolved in a manner adverse to the Company, it will likely affect sales activity in the distributor's territory. While the Company believes the existing on-going revenues from the installed base of customers will not be affected, potential sales to new hospitals in Surgical Principals' territory could be hindered. Surgical Principals' purchases represented approximately 10% of the Company's revenue in FY2003 and 14% of the Company's revenue in FY 2002.

A-11

MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The Company's Common Stock is quoted on the Nasdaq Over The Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol **ECSN**. The quotations below reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the high and low closing sale prices for the Common Stock:

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
Fiscal Year ended March 31, 2002		
First Quarter through June 30, 2001	\$ 2.05	\$ 0.72
Second Quarter through September 30, 2001	3.25	2.00
Third Quarter through December 31, 2001	2.50	1.65

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
Fourth Quarter through March 31, 2002	5.00	2.15
Fiscal Year ended March 31, 2003		
First Quarter through June 30, 2002	4.51	2.50
Second Quarter through September 30, 2002	3.40	2.15
Third Quarter through December 31, 2002	3.10	2.30
Fourth Quarter through March 31, 2003	3.23	2.51
Fiscal Year ending March 31, 2004		
First Quarter through June 30, 2003	3.35	1.88
Second Quarter (through October 23, 2003)	4.25	3.15

As of October 13, 2003, there were approximately 127 holders of record of the Common Stock. This number does not reflect stockholders who beneficially own Common Stock held in nominee or street name, which as of May 31, 2003, approximated 742 stockholders.

Dividend Policy

The Company has not paid cash dividends in the past and does not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company presently intends to retain any cash generated from operations in the future for use in its business.

Equity Compensation Plan Information as of March 31, 2003

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	846,156	\$ 1.53	102,525
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	846,156	\$ 1.53	102,525

A-12

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General

Encision Inc. has developed and launched innovative technology that is emerging as a standard of care in minimally invasive surgery. The Company believes its patented AEM® Surgical Instruments are changing the marketplace for electrosurgical devices and laparoscopic instruments by providing a solution to a well-documented patient safety risk in laparoscopic surgery.

Encision was founded to address market opportunities created by the increase in minimally invasive surgery ("MIS") and the surgeons' preference for using electrosurgery devices in these procedures. The product opportunity was created by surgeons' continued widespread demand for using monopolar electrosurgery instruments which, when used in laparoscopic surgery, are susceptible to causing inadvertent collateral tissue damage outside the surgeon's field of view. The risk of unintended electrosurgical burn injury to the patient in laparoscopic surgery has been well documented. This risk poses a significant threat to patient safety and creates liability exposure for surgeons and hospitals that do not adequately address the issue.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Encision's patented AEM technology provides surgeons with the desired tissue effects, while preventing stray electrosurgical energy that can cause unintended and unseen tissue injury. AEM Laparoscopic Instruments are equivalent to conventional instruments in size, shape, ergonomics and functionality but they incorporate "active electrode monitoring" technology to dynamically and continuously monitor the flow of electrosurgical current, thereby helping to prevent patient injury. With Encision's "shielded and monitored" instruments, surgeons are able to perform electrosurgical procedures more safely and efficaciously than is possible using conventional instruments. In addition, the AEM instruments are cost competitive with conventional "non-shielded, non-monitored" instruments. The result is advanced patient safety at comparable cost and with no change in surgeon technique.

AEM technology has been recommended and endorsed by sources from all groups involved in minimally invasive surgery. Surgeons, nurses, biomedical engineers, the medicolegal community, malpractice insurance carriers and electrosurgical device manufacturers advocate the use of AEM technology. The breadth of endorsements continues to expand with the recognition of active electrode monitoring technology as an *AORN Recommended Practice* by the Association of periOperative Registered Nurses and with insurance and medicolegal endorsements.

The Company has focused its marketing strategies on expanding the market awareness of the AEM technology and its broad independent endorsements, and has continued efforts to expand the AEM product line. With the broad array of AEM instruments now available from the Company, the surgeon has a wide choice of instrument options and does not have to change surgical technique. This coincides with the continued expansion of independent endorsements for AEM technology. New recommendations from the malpractice insurance and medicolegal communities complement the broad clinical endorsements AEM technology has garnered over the past few years.

Adding further credibility to the benefits of Encision's AEM technology is the Company's recent supplier agreements with Novation and Premier, two of the largest Group Purchasing Organizations (GPO) in the United States. Together, Novation and Premier represent over 3,000 hospitals and over 50% of all surgery in the U.S. Management believes that the launch of these GPO supplier agreements gives further indication that AEM technology is gaining broader acceptance in the market. Management believes that having the nation's leading medical purchasing groups recognize the value of the Company's technology reflects the potential impact that AEM instruments products can have in the market and in advancing patient safety in surgery nationwide. These agreements do not involve

A-13

purchase commitments, but the Company expects these relationships to expand the market visibility of AEM technology and smooth the procurement and conversion process for new hospital customers.

When a hospital converts to AEM technology it provides recurring revenue to the Company from sales of replacement instruments. There is a strong retention rate of customers who have converted to AEM technology. Management believes this indicates strong customer satisfaction and is further supported by the fact that there is no directly competing technology to supplant AEM products once the hospital has converted. The replacement market of reusable and disposable AEM products in converted hospitals represents over 65% of Encision's revenue over the past twelve months and this revenue stream is expected to grow as the base of newly converted hospitals continues to grow.

Until fiscal 2003, the Company has incurred annual losses since its inception and has an accumulated deficit of \$15,194,596 at September 30, 2003. Operations have been financed primarily through issuance of equity. The Company's liquidity has stabilized after a history of operating losses. On July 30, 2003 the Company issued a total of 333,334 shares of its common stock to the Wasatch Micro Cap Fund and the Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund, for gross proceeds of \$1,000,002. Funds managed by Wasatch Advisors, Inc. held shares of the company's common stock, constituting less than 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of its common stock, prior to that transaction.

During the six months ended September 30, 2003, the Company used \$3,554 in cash from operations, and used \$189,712 for investments in patents and equipment (primarily capital equipment owned by the Company at customer locations). As of September 30, 2003, the Company had \$1,378,653 in cash and cash equivalents available to fund future operations, an increase of \$793,101 from March 31, 2003. The Company's working capital is \$2,570,296 at September 30, 2003.

Outlook

Installed Base of AEM Monitoring Equipment: The Company believes that the installed base of AEM monitors has the potential for increasing as the inherent risks associated with monopolar laparoscopic electrosurgery become more widely acknowledged and as the network of independent sales representatives becomes more adept at selling the AEM products to our customers. The Company expects that the replacement sales of electrosurgical instruments and accessories will increase as additional hospitals are converted to AEM technology. The Company believes that the measures taken to improve the quality of sales representatives carrying the AEM product line, along with increased marketing efforts and the introduction of new products, may provide the basis for increased revenue and continuing profitable operations. However these measures, or any others that the Company may adopt, may not result in either increased revenue or continuing profitable operations.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Possibility of Continued Operating Losses: Until fiscal 2003, the Company had incurred losses from operations since inception and has an accumulated deficit of \$15,194,596 as of September 30, 2003. The Company has made significant strides toward improving its operating results and \$442,315 of cash was provided by the Company's operating activities in fiscal 2003. However, due to the ongoing need to develop, optimize and train the sales distribution network and the need to increase sustained revenues to a level adequate to cover fixed and variable operating costs, the Company may operate at a net loss from time to time. On July 30, 2003 the Company issued a total of 333,334 shares of its common stock to the Wasatch Micro Cap Fund and the Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund, for gross proceeds of \$1,000,002. Funds managed by Wasatch Advisors, Inc. held shares of the Company's common stock, constituting less than 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of its common stock, prior to that transaction.

Revenue Growth: The Company expects to generate increased revenue in the U.S. from sales to new hospital customers as the network of independent sales representatives becomes more proficient and expands the number of hospital conversions to AEM Laparoscopic Instruments. The Company believes that the visibility and credibility of the independent clinical endorsements for the AEM

A-14

technology will contribute to new hospital conversions and increased revenues in fiscal 2004. The Company also expects that supplier agreements with Novation and Premier, which together represent over 3,000 U.S. hospitals, will expose more hospitals to the benefits of AEM technology and may stimulate new hospital conversions and increased revenues. The Company also expects to accelerate market share gains through promotional programs of placing Company-owned AEM monitors at no charge into hospitals that commit to standardize on AEM instruments.

Gross Profit and Gross Margins: Gross profit and gross margin can be expected to fluctuate from quarter to quarter, as a result of product sales mix and sales volume. Gross margins on products manufactured or assembled by the Company are expected to improve at higher levels of production and sales.

Sales and Marketing Expenses: We continue our efforts to expand domestic and international distribution capability and we believe that sales and marketing expenses will decrease as a percentage of net revenue with increasing sales volume.

Research and Development Expenses: Research and development expenses are expected to increase modestly to support development of additions to our AEM product line, further expanding the instrument options for the surgeon. New additions to the AEM product line are planned for introduction in fiscal year 2004.

Results of Operations

For the three months ended September 30, 2003 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2002.

Net revenue. Revenue for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, was \$1,880,019, compared to \$1,753,873 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002, an increase of 7%. The increase is attributable to our increasing visibility in the market which has resulted in the conversion of new hospitals utilizing AEM technology. The increasing number of hospitals using AEM technology is also attributed to improving sales and marketing efforts, the GPO supplier agreements with Novation and Premier, as well as our strategic plan to accelerate market share gains through promotional programs of placing Company-owned AEM Monitors at no-charge into hospitals that commit to standardize on AEM instruments. The Company converted fifteen new hospitals to AEM technology in the three months ended September 30, 2003. The pipeline of new hospital prospects remains strong, however, progress through the sales continuum has slowed and we have seen a lower rate of new hospital conversions during the past six months. We believe this is due to a combination of factors, including general economic conditions. However, we experienced a consistent level of replacement business from the installed base of users. When a hospital converts to AEM technology the Company earns revenue from replacement instrument purchases, which only the Company can provide.

Gross Profit. The gross profit for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 of \$1,107,308 increased by 4% from the quarter ended September 30, 2002 gross profit of \$1,068,077. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue (gross margin) decreased from 61% for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 to 59% in the quarter ended September 30, 2003. The decrease in gross margin was primarily the result of increased depreciation expense for no-charge AEM monitors placed in customer facilities, added headcount in operations department, a shift in revenue mix (increased sales for a lower margin product family) and unfavorable foreign exchange rates compared with one year ago. For the three months ended September 30, 2003, the Company provided \$38,976 in AEM monitors to customers at no-charge to newly converted hospitals as part of a sales incentive program.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses of \$648,793 for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 increased by 4% compared to \$622,075 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002. The increase was a result of increased sales commissions on higher revenue.

The sales commission programs are based on revenue goals which include incentives for the sales team to grow revenue via

A-15

new hospital conversions to the Company's AEM products. Sales expenses are under budget, though slightly increased versus one year ago. We have taken recent actions intended to lead to improved results commensurate with the special potential of our AEM technology. These actions include adding personnel to our sales management team to more effectively manage the network of independent sales representatives and expand the effective coverage range. A larger sales management team is focused on training, directing, motivating and managing the independent rep network to expand our effective coverage range and increase the rate of new account conversions.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses of \$231,327 for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 decreased by 4% compared to \$241,290 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002. The decrease is the result of reduced investor relations costs and legal fees.

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses of \$191,851 for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 increased by 67% compared to \$115,089 for the quarter ended September 30, 2002. The increase is a result of added headcount and expenses associated with initiatives to address design, manufacturing and cost improvements with three product lines.

For the six months ended September 30, 2003 compared to the six months ended September 30, 2002.

Net revenue. Revenue for the six months ended September 30, 2003, were \$3,581,959, compared to \$3,096,265 for the six months ended September 30, 2002, an increase of 16%. The increase is attributable to the Company's successful conversion of new hospitals utilizing AEM technology while maintaining strong ongoing business and customer retention from hospitals that had previously converted to Encision's technology. The Company has converted twenty five new hospitals to AEM technology during the six months ended September 30, 2003. The pipeline of new hospital prospects remains strong, however, progress through the sales continuum has slowed and we have seen a lower rate of new hospital conversions during the past six months. We believe this is due to a combination of factors, including general economic conditions. However, we experienced a consistent level of replacement business from the installed base of users. When a hospital converts to AEM technology the Company earns revenue from replacement instrument purchases, which only the Company can provide.

Gross profit. The gross profit for the six months ended September 30, 2003 of \$2,077,241 increased by 9% from the six months ended September 30, 2002 gross profit of \$1,897,409. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue (gross margin) decreased from 61% for the six months ended September 30, 2002 to 58% in the six months ended September 30, 2003. The decrease in gross margin was primarily the result of increased depreciation expense for no-charge AEM monitors placed in customer facilities, added headcount in operations department, a shift in revenue mix (increased sales for a lower margin product family) and unfavorable foreign exchange rates compared with one year ago. For the three months ended September 30, 2003, the Company provided \$89,187 in AEM monitors to customers at no-charge to newly converted hospitals as part of a sales incentive program.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses of \$1,167,197 for the six months ended September 30, 2003 decreased by 1% compared to \$1,183,115 for the six months ended September 30, 2002. The sales commission programs are based on revenue goals which include incentives for the sales team to grow revenue via new hospital conversions to the Company's AEM products. Sales expenses are under budget, though slightly increased versus one year ago. Marketing expenses are decreased from one year ago due to the timing impact of various marketing programs and initiatives.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses of \$457,621 for the six months ended September 30, 2003 decreased by 3% compared to \$473,590 for the six months ended September 30, 2002. The decrease is the result of reduced investor relations costs and legal fees.

A-16

Research and development expenses. Research and development expenses of \$380,424 for the six months ended September 30, 2003 increased by 59% compared to \$239,868 for the six months ended September 30, 2002. The increase is a result of added headcount and expenses associated with initiatives to address design, manufacturing and cost improvements with three product lines.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

To date, operating funds have been provided primarily by sales of common stock and warrants to purchase the Company's common stock, which totaled \$18,259,051 through September 30, 2003, and, to a lesser degree, funds provided by sales of the Company's products. On July 30, 2003 the Company issued a total of 333,334 shares of its common stock to the Wasatch Micro Cap Fund and the Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund, for gross proceeds of \$1,000,002. Funds managed by Wasatch Advisors, Inc. held shares of the Company's common stock, constituting less than 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of its common stock, prior to that transaction.

The Company's operations used \$3,554 of cash in the six months ended September 30, 2003 on sales of \$3,581,959 and generated \$280,552 of cash in the six months ended September 30, 2002 on sales of \$3,096,265. Prior to fiscal 2003 the use of cash in our operations resulted primarily from the funding of the Company's annual net losses. These amounts of cash generated from and used in operations are not indicative of the expected cash to be generated from or used in operations in FY 04. As of September 30, 2003, the Company had \$1,378,653 in cash and cash equivalents available to fund future operations. Working capital was \$2,570,296 at September 30, 2003 compared to \$1,596,831 at March 31, 2003. Current liabilities were \$838,445 at September 30, 2003, compared to \$926,781 at March 31, 2003.

Capital expenditures in the six months ended September 30, 2003 (\$189,712) result primarily from the capitalization of AEM monitors placed in hospitals under various promotional programs. Placing Company-owned AEM monitors into hospitals at no charge to facilitate their use of AEM instruments is an initiative to accelerate new hospital conversions to AEM instruments. Under these promotional programs the Company maintains ownership of the AEM monitor and the cost is capitalized and depreciated as cost of sales over the projected five year life of the asset.

The Company's fiscal year 2004 ("FY 04") operating plan is focused on growing revenue, increasing gross profits and conserving cash. The Company can not predict with certainty the expected revenue, gross profit, net income or loss and usage of cash and cash equivalents for FY 04. However, management believes that its cash resources will be sufficient to fund its operations for at least the next twelve months under its current operating plan. If management is unable to manage the Company's business operations in line with budget expectations, it could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business viability, financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Further, if the Company is not successful in sustaining profitability and remaining at least cash flow break-even, additional capital may be required to maintain ongoing operations.

The Company believes the unique performance of the AEM technology and its breadth of independent endorsements provides an opportunity for continued market share growth. The Company believes that the market awareness of the AEM technology and its endorsements is continually improving and that this will benefit the sales efforts in FY 04. The Company believes that the Company entered FY 04 having achieved improvements in the clinical credibility of its technology. The Company's objective in FY 04 is to maintain expense controls while optimizing sales execution in the field, expand market awareness of the AEM technology and maximize the number of additional hospital conversions to AEM instruments.

A-17

Income Taxes

As of March 31, 2003, net operating loss carryforwards totaling approximately \$15,300,000 are available to reduce taxable income in the future. The net operating loss carryforwards expire, if not previously utilized, at various dates beginning in the year 2011. The Company has not paid income taxes since its inception. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 and other income tax regulations contain provisions which may limit the net operating loss carryforwards available to be used in any given year, if certain events occur, including changes in ownership interests. The Company has established a valuation allowance for the entire amount of its deferred tax asset since inception due to its history of losses. During FY 03 the Company utilized net operating loss carryforwards to entirely offset its tax liability. As a result, no tax provision is reflected in the accompanying statements of operations. Should the Company achieve sufficient, sustained income in the future, the Company may conclude that some or all of the valuation allowance should be reversed.

Contractual Obligations

At September 30, 2003, the Company's commitments under these obligations were as follows:

	Operating Leases
Year ended March 31,	
2004	\$ 55,786
2005	66,955

Operating Leases
<hr/>
<hr/>
\$ 122,741
<hr/>

Aside from the operating lease commitments, the Company does not have any material contractual commitments requiring settlement in the future.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to bad debts, inventories, sales returns, warranty, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required, which would increase our expenses during the periods in which any such allowances were made. The amount recorded as a provision for bad debt in each period is based upon our assessment of the likelihood that we will be paid on our outstanding receivables, based on customer-specific as well as general considerations. To the extent that our estimates prove to be too high, and we ultimately collect a receivable previously determined to be impaired, we may record a reversal of the provision in the period of such determination.

A-18

We provide for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. While we engage in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers, we have experienced some costs related to warranty. The warranty accrual is based upon historical experience and is adjusted based on current experience. Should actual warranty experience differ from our estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

We reduce inventory for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Any write-downs of inventory would reduce our reported net income during the period in which such write-downs were applied. To the extent that our estimates prove to be too high, and we ultimately utilize or sell inventory previously determined to be impaired, we may record a reversal of the provision in the period of such determination.

We recognize deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the expected future income tax consequences, based on enacted tax laws, of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are then reduced, if deemed necessary, by a valuation allowance for the amount of any tax benefits which, more likely than not based on current circumstances, are not expected to be realized. Should we achieve sufficient, sustained income in the future, we may conclude that all or some of the valuation allowance should be reversed.

We depreciate our property and equipment primarily on a double-declining basis over the estimated useful life of the asset, generally three to five years. The Company-owned, consignment AEM Monitors are depreciated on a double-declining basis for a period of 5 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred and major additions, replacements and improvements are capitalized.

We amortize our patent costs over their estimated useful lives, which is typically the remaining statutory life. From time to time, we may be required to adjust these lives based on advances in technology, competitor actions, and the like. We review the recorded amounts of patents at each period end to determine if their carrying amount is still recoverable based on our expectations regarding sales of related products. Such an

assessment, in the future, may result in a conclusion that the assets are impaired, with a corresponding charge against earnings.

A-19

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock as of the date of this prospectus by:

each of our named executive officers and directors;

all executive officers and directors as a group; and

each person we know to own beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, including the selling shareholders in this offering.

Shares of common stock that are not outstanding, but that are deemed beneficially owned by virtue of the right of an individual to acquire the shares of common stock within 60 days under stock option plans or warrants, are treated as outstanding only when determining the amount and percentage of common stock owned by such individual. Except as noted below the table, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock shown. Unless otherwise shown, the address of each person is 4828 Sterling Drive, Boulder, Colorado 80301.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned Prior to Offering		Shares Being Offered in Offering	Percentage Owned After Offering(7)
	Number	Percent		
<i>Executive Officers and Directors</i>				
James A. Bowman(1)	663,143	10.8%		10.8%
Vern D. Kornelson(2)	1,888,443	32.7%		32.7%
Robert Fries(3)	5,000	*		*
David W. Newton(4)	291,942	5.0%		5.0%
Roger C. Ode11(5)	65,766	1.1%		1.1%
All executive officers and directors as a group (9 persons)(6)	3,092,484	49.3%		49.3%
<i>Selling Shareholders</i>				
Wasatch Micro Cap Fund	430,150	7.5%	200,000	4.0%
Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund Wasatch Advisors, Inc. 150 Social Hall Avenue, 4 th Fl. Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	133,334	2.3%	133,334	*
<i>Other 5% Beneficial Owners</i>				
CMED Partners LLLP 4605 Denice Drive Englewood, Colorado 80111	1,830,222	31.8%		31.8%
Timothy J. Wynne c/o Surgical Principals, Inc. 2105 Meridian Street East Edgewood, Washington 98371	380,700	6.6%		6.6%

*

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Indicates less than 1%.

- (1) Includes 352,143 shares issuable pursuant to currently exercisable options.
- (2) Includes 58,221 shares owned directly, and 1,830,222 shares owned by CMED Partners LLLP, of which Mr. Kornelsen is the General Partner.
- (3) Includes 5,000 shares issuable pursuant to currently exercisable options.

A-20

- (4) Includes 15,993 shares issuable pursuant to currently exercisable options.
- (5) Includes 2,200 shares issuable pursuant to currently exercisable options.
- (6) Includes 493,516 shares issuable pursuant to currently exercisable options.
- (7) Assumes the sale by the selling shareholders of all shares included in this prospectus. We have no control over when, if ever, the selling shareholders will sell any such shares.

Certain Transactions

On July 30, 2003 we issued a total of 333,334 shares of our common stock to the Wasatch Micro Cap Fund and the Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund, for gross proceeds of \$1,000,002. Funds managed by Wasatch Advisors, Inc. held shares of our common stock, constituting less than 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock, prior to this transaction.

We sell our products in certain geographic regions through Surgical Principals, Inc., a stocking distributor that is principally owned and controlled by Timothy Wynne, who owns 7% of our issued and outstanding common stock. In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003, business from this distributor accounted for approximately 10% of our revenue.

A-21

MANAGEMENT

Directors

The following table sets forth the members of our Board of Directors, their ages as of March 31, 2003, and positions and offices they hold with us in addition to being directors:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Vern D. Kornelsen(1,2)	70	Director
Robert H. Fries(1)	54	Director & Contract CFO
James A. Bowman	46	Director

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Name	Age	Position
		President & CEO
David W. Newton	56	Director Co-Founder, VP Technology
Roger C. Odell	52	Director Co-Founder, Business Development

- (1) Member of the Audit Committee
- (2) Member of the Compensation Committee

All directors hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Our executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the discretion of, the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee. There is no family relationship between any director and any of our other directors or officers.

Vern D. Kornelsen, one of our co-founders, served on our Board of Directors and as the Chief Financial Officer from 1991 through February of 1997. He was re-elected to the Board in April 1998. Mr. Kornelsen is the General Partner of CMED Partners LLLP, one of our major shareholders. Mr. Kornelsen is a retired CPA, having practiced as a certified public accountant in the state of Colorado for many years. For the past 5 years, he has been active in managing 2 investment partnerships, of which he is the general partner, as well as serving as an officer and director of several private companies of which he is the controlling stockholder. Mr. Kornelsen holds a bachelor's degree in business from the University of Kansas.

Robert H. Fries was appointed to our Board of Directors in June 2003. He is founder and President of FinanceVision Services, Inc. and has served as a finance executive with a broad range of large and small companies. Since March 2000, he has provided us with financial services as our contract Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Fries' credentials include a Masters in Business Administration from St. John's University, New York, a CPA and a Juris Doctorate degree.

James A. Bowman has been our President & Chief Executive Officer since February 2000 and a Director since August 2000. Mr. Bowman has previously held various director and management positions within the medical & surgical device industry, including C. R. BARD, Ohmeda, Surgical Laser Technologies and Hamilton Company. Mr. Bowman also founded MedPlanet Inc. Mr. Bowman holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Biomedical Engineering from Marquette University.

David W. Newton, one of our co-founders, has been Vice President and a Director since our inception in 1991. From 1989 until 1991, Mr. Newton was President of Newton Associates, Inc., a contract engineering firm. From 1985 to 1989, Mr. Newton was President of Tienet, Inc., a developer of integrated computer systems. Mr. Newton has an additional 14 years of experience as an electrical engineer designing electrosurgical generators and related accessories. Mr. Newton holds nine patents in

A-22

the field of medical electronic equipment and holds a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from the University of Colorado.

Roger C. Odell, one of our co-founders, has been a Director since our inception. From 1976 until 1991, Mr. Odell was employed at Valleylab in a variety of increasingly responsible engineering capacities, primarily involving electrosurgical products. Mr. Odell holds an associate of applied science degree in electrical engineering from Alfred State University.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003, there were twelve meetings of the Board of Directors. Except for Mr. Fries, all directors attended all of the meetings of the Board and committees of the Board on which they were members during fiscal year 2003. There was one meeting of the Audit Committee and one meeting of the Compensation Committee, both attended by all Directors who were members of the committees at the time of the meetings.

Compensation of Directors

Outside Directors are reimbursed \$1,000 for each Board meeting attended and for their out-of-pocket expenses for attending Board meetings.

Executive Officers

The following table sets forth our executive officers, their ages as of March 31, 2003, and their positions:

Name	Age	Position
James A. Bowman	46	President & CEO
David W. Newton	56	VP Technology
Diane Keyser	37	VP Engineering
Judith King	53	VP Regulatory Affairs & Quality Assurance
Marcia McHaffie	57	Controller, Corporate Secretary
Richard Smoot	43	VP Operations

Diane Keyser has been our VP of Engineering since April 2003 and a Senior Engineer since November 2001. Ms. Keyser was employed at Origin Medsystems, Eli Lilly and Guidant in various engineering and engineering management positions since 1989, designing and developing minimally invasive surgical instruments. Ms. Keyser holds a Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering from MIT and a Master's of Science in Engineering from Stanford and holds three patents concerning medical devices.

Judith King, VP RA/QA, joined Encision to be responsible for Regulatory Affairs and Quality Assurance activities in October 1999. She is a certified Regulatory Affairs Professional and Biomedical Quality Auditor. She came to the company with 11 years of experience in regulatory affairs and engineering lab management for medical device companies, and has a Bachelor of Arts from Michigan State University.

Marcia McHaffie has been our Controller since 1993 and Corporate Secretary since 2000. Ms. McHaffie was employed with Beacon Laboratories and Collins Machine & Mfg. Co. as controller and accounting manager for 7 years prior to joining Encision. Ms. McHaffie has over 25 years of accounting experience.

Richard Smoot, Jr. is VP Operations and has been with Encision since 1995. Mr. Smoot was employed with Beacon Laboratories and Valleylab for 12 years prior to joining Encision, primarily responsible for Operations, Purchasing and Quality Departments. He has over 20 years experience in the electrosurgical industry.

A-23

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**Summary Compensation**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding compensation earned or awarded to our President and Chief Executive Officer (the "Named Executive Officer") during our last three fiscal years ended March 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003. No other executive officer received total salary and bonus compensation in excess of \$100,000 for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003.

Summary Compensation Table

Annual Compensation	Long Term Compensation
	Awards

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Other Annual Compensation (\$)	Long Term Compensation
					Securities Underlying Options/# of Shares
James A. Bowman,	2003	125,000	0	0	0
Director, President & CEO	2002	95,000	0	0	0
	2001	85,000	17,500	0	300,000

Stock Options

On February 14, 1991, the Board of Directors and our shareholders adopted a stock option plan (the "1991 Plan") providing for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights and/or supplemental bonuses to employees and directors who are also employees. The 1991 Plan permitted the granting of incentive stock options meeting the requirements of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and also nonqualified stock options, which do not meet the requirements of Section 422. As of March 31, 2003, options to purchase an aggregate of 275,762 shares of our common stock (net of options canceled) had been granted pursuant to the 1991 Plan and 180,562 options had been exercised. The 1991 Plan expired on February 14, 2002 and no further stock options could be granted after that date.

On August 15, 1997, our shareholders approved the adoption of the 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Plan") providing for grants of stock options and/or supplemental bonuses to our employees and directors. The Plan permits the granting of incentive stock options meeting the requirements of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and also nonqualified stock options which do not meet the requirements of Section 422. As approved by the shareholders, we had reserved 800,000 shares of our common stock for issuance upon exercise of options granted under the 1997 Plan.

On July 24, 2002, our shareholders approved an amendment by the Board of Directors to increase the number of common shares reserved for issuance under the 1997 Plan by 100,000 shares, to a total of 900,000 from 800,000 shares of common stock subject to adjustment for dividend, stock split or other relevant changes in our capitalization. As of March 31, 2003, options to purchase an aggregate of 797,475 shares of common stock (net of options canceled) had been granted pursuant to the 1997 Plan and 46,519 options had been exercised.

As of March 31, 2003, the market value of all shares of common stock subject to outstanding options was \$2,242,313 (based upon the closing price as reported on the Nasdaq Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board on such date). The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the Stock Option Plan.

A-24

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the number and value of exercisable and unexercisable options to purchase shares of Common Stock held as of the end of our 2003 fiscal year by the Named Executive Officer:

**AGGREGATED 2003
FISCAL YEAR END OPTION VALUES**

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized (\$)	Number of Shares Underlying Unexercised Options at March 31, 2003		Value of Unexercised in-the-Money Options at March 31, 2003	
			Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
James A. Bowman	N/A	N/A	345,333	14,667	521,807	14,667

A-25

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The following audited financial statements are included in this prospectus:

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	F-2
Report of Independent Public Accountants	F-3
Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2003 and 2002	F-4
Statements of Operations for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-5
Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-6
Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	F-7
Notes to Financial Statements	F-8

The following unaudited Condensed Interim Financial Statements are included following the audited financial statements and accompanying notes:

	Page
Condensed Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2003 and March 31, 2003	F-19
Condensed Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002	F-20
Condensed Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002	F-21
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002	F-22
Notes to Condensed Interim Financial Statements	F-23

F-1

Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Encision Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Encision Inc. (a Colorado corporation) as of March 31, 2003, and the related statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The financial statements of Encision Inc. as of March 31, 2002, and for each of the two years in the period then ended were audited by other auditors who have ceased operations. Those auditors expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements in their report dated May 3, 2002.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the fiscal 2003 financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Encision Inc. as of March 31, 2003, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Boulder, Colorado
May 2, 2003

F-2

Report of Independent Public Accountants

To Encision Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of ENCISION INC. (a Colorado corporation) as of March 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended March 31, 2002. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Encision Inc. as of March 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended March 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Denver, Colorado,
May 3, 2002.

The report of Arthur Andersen LLP (Andersen) is a copy of a report previously issued by Andersen on May 3, 2002. We have not been able to obtain a re-issued report from Andersen, as Andersen has ceased operations. As a result, Andersen has not consented to the inclusion of its report in this Registration Statement on Form SB-2. The report of Andersen refers to a balance sheet as of March 31, 2001 and statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended March 31, 2000 not included herein. Because Andersen has not consented to the inclusion of its report in this Registration Statement on Form SB-2, it may be more difficult for you to seek remedies against Andersen and your ability to seek relief against Andersen may be impaired.

F-3

ENCISION INC.
BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31,	
	2003	2002
<i>ASSETS</i>		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 585,552	\$ 500,988
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$25,000 (2003) and \$16,000 (2002)	959,808	824,459
Inventories, net of reserve for obsolescence of \$68,000 (2003) and \$60,000 (2002)	931,323	856,784
Prepaid expenses	46,929	62,535
	2,523,612	2,244,766
EQUIPMENT, at cost:		
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	818,392	780,278
Customer-site equipment	306,381	
Less accumulated depreciation	(858,144)	(755,636)
	266,629	24,642
PATENTS, net of accumulated amortization of \$55,871 (2003) and \$34,147 (2002)		
	129,916	131,065
OTHER ASSETS		
	12,972	12,972
	2,933,129	2,413,445

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 416,848	\$ 273,933
Accrued compensation	150,607	143,586
Other accrued liabilities	359,326	223,239
	926,781	640,758

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

Preferred stock, no par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	March 31,	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Common stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 5,430,026 (2003) and 5,414,532 (2002) shares issued and outstanding	17,267,684	17,248,365
Accumulated deficit	(15,261,336)	(15,475,678)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total shareholders' equity	2,006,348	1,772,687
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,933,129	\$ 2,413,445
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of these balance sheets.

F-4

ENCISION INC.
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For The Fiscal Years Ended March 31,		
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
REVENUE, NET	\$ 6,812,339	\$ 4,863,908	\$ 3,017,384
COST OF SALES	2,771,969	2,105,842	1,384,628
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Gross profit	4,040,370	2,758,066	1,632,756
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Sales and marketing	2,488,120	1,752,238	1,492,860
General and administrative	841,453	790,607	764,029
Research and development	502,939	445,843	458,091
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total operating expenses	3,832,512	2,988,688	2,714,980
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	207,858	(230,622)	(1,082,224)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):			
Interest income	4,059	18,939	45,525
Other income (expense)	2,425	(3,894)	46,247
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 214,342	\$ (215,577)	\$ (990,452)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE			
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.18)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.18)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income	5,424,038	5,405,856	5,389,174

For The Fiscal Years Ended March 31,

(loss) per common share

Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income
(loss) per common share

	2003	2002	2001
(loss) per common share			
Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income	5,888,205	5,405,856	5,389,174

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

F-5

ENCISION INC.

STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003, 2002 AND 2001

	Common Stock		Warrants For Common Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	Shares	Amount					
BALANCES, March 31, 2000	5,383,507	\$ 16,941,317	\$ 290,400	\$ (48,642)	(14,269,649)		\$ 2,913,426
Exercise of stock options	13,000	4,225					4,225
Short-term investment valuation				48,642		\$ 48,642	48,642
Net loss					(990,452)	(990,452)	(990,452)
						\$ (941,810)	
BALANCES, March 31, 2001	5,396,507	16,945,542	290,400		(15,260,101)		1,975,841
Exercise of stock options	18,025	12,423					12,423
Expiration of warrants		290,400	(290,400)				
Net loss					(215,577)	(215,577)	(215,577)
						\$ (215,577)	
BALANCES, March 31, 2002	5,414,532	17,248,365			(15,475,678)		1,772,687
Stock-based compensation		12,000					12,000
Exercise of stock options	15,494	7,319					7,319
Net income					214,342	214,342	214,342

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	Common Stock		Warrants For Common Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	
					\$ 214,342
BALANCES, March 31, 2003	5,430,026	\$ 17,267,684	\$	\$ (15,261,336)	\$ 2,006,348

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

F-6

ENCISION INC.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For The Fiscal Years Ended March 31,

	2003	2002	2001
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 214,342	\$ (215,577)	\$ (990,452)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization	124,232	71,128	130,034
Amortization of discount, net			(9,226)
Inventory reserves	8,000	5,000	(90,000)
Provision for (recovery of) bad debts	12,000	(5,000)	14,000
Stock-based compensation	12,000		
Gain on sale of short-term investments			(46,916)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities			
Accounts receivable	(147,349)	(109,862)	(437,570)
Inventories	(82,539)	(94,792)	(82,478)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	15,606	(21)	(39,834)
Accounts payable	142,915	46,527	47,439
Accrued compensation and other accrued liabilities	143,108	26,243	52,664
Other liabilities			(10,100)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	442,315	(276,354)	(1,462,439)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Sale of short-term investments			1,832,264
Capital expenditures	(344,495)	(7,349)	(27,402)
Patent costs	(20,575)	(19,147)	(1,706)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(365,070)	(26,496)	1,803,156
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	7,319	12,423	4,225
Net cash provided by financing activities	7,319	12,423	4,225

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

For The Fiscal Years Ended March 31,

NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	84,564	(290,427)	344,942
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	500,988	791,415	446,473
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	\$ 585,552	\$ 500,988	\$ 791,415

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

F-7

ENCISION INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) **ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS**

Encision Inc. (the "Company") is a medical device company that designs, develops, manufactures and markets patented surgical instruments that provide greater safety to patients undergoing minimally invasive surgery. The Company believes its patented AEM® surgical instrument technology is changing the marketplace for electrosurgical devices and instruments by providing a solution to a well-documented risk in laparoscopic surgery. The Company's sales to date have been made principally in the United States.

The Company achieved profitable operations in fiscal 2003 but prior to that had incurred losses since its inception and has an accumulated deficit of \$15,261,336 at March 31, 2003. Operations have been financed primarily through issuance of common stock. The Company's liquidity has substantially diminished because of such continuing operating losses and the Company may be required to seek additional capital in the future.

During fiscal year 2003, the Company achieved annual net income and positive cash flow for the first time in its history. The Company's strategic marketing and sales plan is designed to expand the use of the Company's products in surgically active hospitals in the United States. Management expects these efforts to result in continued revenue increases for fiscal 2004 which, combined with planned controlled operating expenses and consistent gross profit margins, are expected to maintain profitable operations and conserve the Company's cash resources.

The Company believes that its cash and working capital resources will be sufficient to fund its operations through March 31, 2004. If the Company is not successful during fiscal 2004 in sustaining profitability and positive cash flow, additional capital resources may be required.

(2) **SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions. Such estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as well as disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Company considers all cash and highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents and short-term trade receivables and payables. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents and short-term receivables and payables approximate their fair value due to their short maturities.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company has no significant off-balance sheet concentrations of credit risk such as foreign exchange contracts, options contracts or other foreign hedging arrangements. The Company maintains

F-8

the majority of its cash balances with two financial institutions in the form of demand deposits and money market funds.

Accounts receivables are typically unsecured and are derived from transactions with and from entities in the healthcare industry primarily located in the United States. Accordingly, the Company may be exposed to credit risk generally associated with the healthcare industry. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments.

A summary of the Company's bad debt activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003 is as follows:

Balance, as of March 31, 2002	\$	16,000
Expense		12,000
Write-offs		(3,000)
		<hr/>
Balance, as of March 31, 2003	\$	25,000
		<hr/>

The net accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2003 of \$959,808 included \$227,178, or approximately 24%, from one distributor. The net accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2002 of \$824,459 included \$196,123, or approximately 24%, from one distributor.

Warranty Accrual

The Company provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. While the Company engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers, the Company's warranty obligation is based upon historical experience and is also affected by product failure rates and material usage incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates or material usage costs differ from the Company's estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required. A summary of the Company's warranty claims activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003 is as follows:

Balance, as of March 31, 2002	\$	81,000
Expense		146,101
Claims		(74,601)
		<hr/>
Balance, as of March 31, 2003	\$	152,500
		<hr/>

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out basis) or market. The Company reduces inventory for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management,

F-9

additional inventory write-downs may be required. At March 31, 2003 and 2002, inventory consisted of the following:

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	2003	2002
Raw materials	\$ 627,243	\$ 633,358
Finished goods	372,080	283,426
	999,323	916,784
Less Reserve for obsolescence	(68,000)	(60,000)
	\$ 931,323	\$ 856,784

A summary of the Company's inventory reserve for obsolescence activity for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003 is as follows:

Balance, as of March 31, 2002	\$ 60,000
Expense	8,000
Write-offs	0
Balance, as of March 31, 2003	\$ 68,000

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, with depreciation computed primarily on a double-declining basis over the estimated useful life of the asset, generally three to five years. Company-owned AEM Monitors at customer sites are depreciated on a double-declining basis for a period of 5 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred and major additions, replacements and improvements are capitalized.

Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. A long-lived asset is considered impaired when estimated future cash flows related to the asset, undiscounted and without interest, are insufficient to recover the carrying amount of the asset. If deemed impaired, the long-lived asset is reduced to its estimated fair value. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of their carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell.

Patents

The costs of applying for patents are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the patent's economic or legal life (17 years in the United States). Capitalized costs are expensed if patents are not granted. The Company reviews the carrying value of its patents periodically to determine whether the patents have continuing value and such reviews could result in the conclusion that the recorded amounts have been impaired.

Accrued Liabilities

The Company has accrued \$152,500 related to warranty claims and \$96,377 related to sales commissions and has included these amounts in accrued liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets as of March 31, 2003. At March 31, 2002, the Company accrued \$81,000 related to warranty claims and \$51,724 related to sales commissions and included these amounts in accrued liabilities in the balance sheets as of March 31, 2002.

F-10

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS No. 109"). SFAS No. 109 requires recognition of deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the expected future income tax consequences, based on enacted tax laws, of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 109 also requires recognition of deferred tax assets for the expected future tax effects of all deductible temporary

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

differences, loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are then reduced, if deemed necessary, by a valuation allowance for the amount of any tax benefits which, more likely than not based on current circumstances, are not expected to be realized. During FY 03 the Company utilized net operating loss carryforwards to entirely offset its tax liability. As a result, no tax provision is reflected in the accompanying statements of operations. Should the Company achieve sufficient, sustained income in the future, the Company may conclude that some or all of the valuation allowance should be reversed (Note 5).

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from product sales is recorded when the Company ships the product and title has passed to the customer, provided that the Company has evidence of a customer arrangement and can conclude that collection is probable. The Company's shipping policy is FOB Shipping Point. The Company recognizes revenue from sales to stocking distributors when there is no right of return, other than for normal warranty claims. The Company has no ongoing obligations related to product sales, except for normal warranty.

Research and Development Expenses

The Company expenses research and development costs for products and processes as incurred.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"), and applies Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB No. 25"), and related interpretations in accounting for stock options granted to employees. If the Company had accounted for its stock-based compensation plans in accordance with SFAS 123, the Company's net income or loss and pro forma net income or loss per basic and diluted common share would have been reported as follows:

	Fiscal Year Ended March 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Net Income (Loss)			
As Reported	\$ 214,342	\$ (215,577)	\$ (990,452)
Stock-based compensation based upon estimated fair values	(133,420)	(130,920)	(106,712)
Pro forma	\$ 80,922	\$ (346,497)	\$ (1,097,164)
Pro Forma Net Income (Loss) Per Basic and Diluted Common Share			
As Reported	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.18)
Pro Forma	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.20)

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income" ("SFAS No. 130"). SFAS No. 130 establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive

F-11

income or loss and its components in a full set of general-purpose financial statements. Other comprehensive income is reported in the Statements of Shareholders' Equity and consists of adjustments to the fair value of short-term investments.

Segment Reporting

The Company has concluded that it has one operating segment.

Basic and Diluted Income and Loss per Common Share

Net income or loss per share is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" ("SFAS No. 128"). Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, basic net income or loss per common share is computed by dividing net income or loss for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income or loss per common share is computed by dividing the net income or

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

loss for the period by the weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding during the period if the effect of the potential common shares is dilutive. As a result of the Company's net losses in fiscal years 2002 and 2001, all potentially dilutive securities in the loss years would be anti-dilutive and were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share, and there are no differences between basic and diluted per share amounts for all prior years presented. Options to purchase 64,158, 859,150 and 826,200 shares of common stock were excluded from dilutive stock options calculations for fiscal years 2003, 2002 and 2001 respectively, as their inclusion would be antidilutive. In addition, during fiscal years 2002 and 2001, the Company had warrants to purchase 120,000 shares of the Company's common stock outstanding, which were excluded from the dilutive stock options calculations for those respective years as their inclusion would be antidilutive. These warrants expired in fiscal year 2002.

The following is a table that reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2003		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Net income			
Basic EPS			
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 214,342	5,424,038	\$ 0.04
Effect of Dilutive Securities Stock Options		464,167	
Diluted EPS			
Income available to common stockholders + dilutive securities	\$ 214,342	5,888,205	\$ 0.04

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 144). SFAS No. 144 establishes a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and requires that those long-lived assets be measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, whether reported in continuing operations or in discontinued operations. SFAS No. 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company adopted SFAS No. 144 on April 1, 2002, and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

F-12

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No. 148"), which (i) amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation (ii) amends the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation and (iii) amends APB Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting," to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. Items (ii) and (iii) of the new requirements in SFAS No. 148 are effective for financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. We have included the requirements of item (ii) in Note 2 in the Notes to Financial Statements and will include the requirements of item (iii) beginning in our first quarter of 2004.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" ("FIN 45"), which requires that, for guarantees within the scope of FIN 45 issued or amended after December 31, 2002, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee be recognized. FIN 45 does not apply to certain guarantee contracts, such as for a lessee's residual value guarantee embedded in a capital lease. FIN 45 also requires additional disclosures in financial statements for periods ending after December 15, 2002, which we have adopted. However, as of March 31, 2003, we believe that, other than product warranty, we have no material items subject to the new disclosure requirements.

(3) SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Option Plan

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

The Company has adopted Stock Option Plans (the "Plans," as summarized below) to promote the interests of the Company and its shareholders by helping the Company to attract, retain and motivate key employees and associates of the Company. Under the terms of the Plans, the Board of Directors may grant either "nonqualified" or "incentive" stock options, as defined by the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations. The purchase price of a nonqualified option may be less than the then fair market value of the stock. The purchase price of the shares subject to an incentive stock option will be the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date the option is granted. Generally, vesting of stock options occurs such that 20% becomes exercisable one year after the date of grant and 20% becomes exercisable each year thereafter. However, certain options vest after a specified period of time, and may be accelerated based on achieving specified events. Generally, all stock options must be exercised within ten years from the date granted.

On February 14, 1991, the Board of Directors and the shareholders of the Company adopted a stock option plan (the "1991 Plan") providing for grants of stock options, stock appreciation rights and/or supplemental bonuses to employees and directors of the Company who are also employees. The 1991 Plan permitted the granting of incentive and non-qualified stock options. As of March 31, 2003, options to purchase an aggregate of 275,762 shares of common stock (net of options canceled) had been granted pursuant to the 1991 Plan and 180,562 options had been exercised, leaving 95,200 still subject to exercise. The 1991 Plan expired on February 14, 2001 and no further stock options could be granted after that date.

On August 15, 1997, the shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Plan") providing for grants of stock options and/or supplemental bonuses to employees and directors of the Company. The Plan permits the granting of incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options.

On July 24, 2002, the shareholders of the Company approved an amendment by the Board of Directors of the Company to increase the number of common shares reserved for issuance under the

F-13

1997 Plan by 100,000 shares, to a total of 900,000 shares of common stock subject to adjustment for dividend, stock split or other relevant changes in the Company's capitalization. As of March 31, 2003, options to purchase an aggregate of 797,475 shares of Common Stock (net of options canceled) had been granted pursuant to the 1997 Plan and 46,519 options had been exercised.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123

SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," defines a fair value based method of accounting for employee stock options or similar equity instruments. However, SFAS No. 123 allows the continued measurement of compensation cost for such plans using the intrinsic value method prescribed by APB No. 25, provided that pro forma disclosures are presented of net income or loss and net income or loss per common share, assuming the fair value based method of SFAS No. 123 had been applied. The Company has elected to account for its stock-based compensation plans for employees under APB No. 25; accordingly, for purposes of the pro forma disclosures presented below, the Company has computed the fair values of all options granted during fiscal years 2003 and 2002, using the Black-Scholes option valuation model and the following weighted average assumptions:

	2003	2002	2001
Risk-free interest rate	2.82%	4.49%	6.43%
Expected lives	5.0 years	5.0 years	5.0 years
Expected volatility	195%	192%	178%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

To estimate expected lives of options for this valuation, it was assumed options would be exercised upon becoming fully vested. All options are initially assumed to vest. Cumulative compensation cost recognized in pro forma net income or loss with respect to options that are forfeited prior to vesting is adjusted as a reduction of pro forma compensation expense in the period of forfeiture. The volatility of the stock is based on the historical volatility for the period that approximates the expected lives of the options being valued. Fair value computations are highly sensitive to the volatility factor; the greater the volatility, the higher the computed fair value of options granted.

The total fair value of options granted was computed to be approximately \$100,157, \$219,923 and \$579,283 for the years ended March 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. These amounts are amortized ratably over the vesting periods of the options. Pro forma stock-based compensation, net of the effect of forfeitures, was \$133,420, \$130,920 and \$106,712 for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Weighted average shares used to calculate pro forma net income or loss per share were determined as described in Note 2, except in applying the treasury stock method to outstanding options, net proceeds assumed received upon exercise were increased by the amount of

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

compensation cost attributable to future service periods and not yet recognized as pro forma expense.

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different than those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single

F-14

measure of the fair value of its employee stock options. A summary of the Company's stock option activity, and related information for each of the three fiscal years ended March 31, 2003 is as follows:

	<u>Outstanding</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Weighted Average Fair Value</u>
BALANCE, as of March 31, 2000	497,200	\$ 1.14	
EXERCISABLE, as of March 31, 2000	102,584	\$ 3.95	
Granted	510,000	\$ 1.22	\$ 1.14
Exercised	(13,000)	\$ 0.33	
Forfeited	(168,000)	\$ 0.40	
BALANCE, as of March 31, 2001	826,200	\$ 1.35	
EXERCISABLE, as of March 31, 2001	173,571	\$ 2.54	
Granted	110,000	\$ 2.07	\$ 2.01
Exercised	(18,025)	\$ 0.69	
Forfeited	(59,025)	\$ 0.95	
BALANCE, as of March 31, 2002	859,150	\$ 1.47	
EXERCISABLE, as of March 31, 2002	581,067	\$ 1.57	
Granted	37,500	\$ 2.75	\$ 2.67
Exercised	(15,494)	\$ 0.47	
Forfeited	(35,000)	\$ 2.54	
BALANCE, as of March 31, 2003	846,156	\$ 1.52	
EXERCISABLE, as of March 31, 2003	640,567	\$ 1.54	

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Fair Value
-------------	--	--------------------------------------

The following table summarizes information about employee stock options outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2003:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Options Outstanding At March 31, 2003	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable At March 31, 2003	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.31 \$0.63	191,632	1.6	\$ 0.42	130,139	\$ 0.41
\$0.85 \$1.44	466,824	2.2	\$ 1.26	397,898	\$ 1.26
\$2.00 \$2.85	144,500	2.8	\$ 2.47	69,330	\$ 2.43
\$6.00 \$6.60	43,200	2.7	\$ 6.03	43,200	\$ 6.03
	846,156	2.2	\$ 1.52	640,567	\$ 1.54

Of the 846,156 options for the Company's common stock at March 31, 2003, 60,000 represent nonqualified stock options and 786,156 represent incentive stock options. The exercise price of all options granted through March 31, 2003, has been equal to or greater than the fair market value, as determined by the Company's Board of Directors or based upon publicly quoted market values of the

F-15

Company's common stock on the date of the grant. At March 31, 2003, options for 102,525 shares of the Company's common stock are available for grant under the plans.

Outstanding Warrants

In conjunction with the Company's Initial Public Offering (IPO) in June 1996, the Company agreed to sell to John G. Kinnard and Company, Incorporated as the representative of the several Underwriters, for a nominal purchase price, a five-year warrant to purchase up to 120,000 shares of the Common Stock of the Company, exercisable at 120% of the IPO price of \$10.50 per share. As of March 31, 2002, none of the warrants were exercised and the warrants have expired. During fiscal year 2003, the Company granted options to non-employees to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock. The options had an estimated fair value, determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, of \$12,000, which was recorded as general and administrative expense.

(4) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company currently leases its facilities under noncancelable lease agreements through October 31, 2004. The following is a schedule of minimum future lease payments by fiscal years as of March 31, 2003:

Year ended March 31,	Operating Leases
2004	111,572
2005	66,955
	\$ 178,527

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Rent expense for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 was \$106,274, \$105,312 and \$98,402, respectively.

The Company is subject to regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"). The FDA provides regulations governing the manufacture and sale of the Company's products and regularly inspects the Company and other manufacturers to determine their compliance with these regulations. Failure to comply with FDA regulations could have a material adverse impact on the Company's operations and financial position. The Company was last inspected in November 1998, and has not, at May 2, 2003, been notified of any deficiencies from that inspection. FDA inspections are conducted approximately every two years after approval is obtained or on a more frequent basis, at the discretion of the FDA. The Company was granted a Certificate to Foreign Government in October 11, 2000 that states in part that, based on the last periodic inspection, the Company was in substantial compliance with current good manufacturing processes and, thereby, allowing the Company to ship products to foreign countries.

The Company's obligation with respect to employee severance benefits is minimized by the "at will" nature of the employee relationships. The Company's total obligation with respect to contingent severance benefit obligations is less than \$100,000.

(5) INCOME TAXES

As of March 31, 2003, the Company had approximately \$12.3 million of net operating loss carryovers for tax purposes. Additionally, the Company has certain research and development tax credits available to offset future federal and state income taxes. The net operating loss and credit carryovers begin to expire in 2007. The Internal Revenue Code contains provisions, which may limit the net operating loss carryforwards available to be used in any given year if certain events occur, including

F-16

significant changes in ownership interests. The components of the net deferred income tax asset were as follows:

	March 31,	
	2003	2002
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss and credit carryovers	\$ 4,687,000	\$ 5,867,000
Other	112,000	122,000
	4,799,000	5,989,000
Valuation allowance	(4,799,000)	(5,989,000)
	\$	\$
Net tax provision or (benefit)	\$	\$

Management believes that based on all available evidence, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be fully realized. Accordingly, a valuation allowance has been recorded against the deferred tax asset. During Fiscal 2003, it was determined that net operating losses of approximately \$3 million may no longer be available, and the deferred tax asset and the valuation allowance were adjusted by \$1,119,000. The provision for income taxes includes the following:

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Current:			
Federal	\$	\$	\$
State			
Total Current			
Deferred:			

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Federal	64,000	(68,000)	(335,000)
State	7,000	(7,000)	(35,000)
Total deferred provision (benefit)	71,000	(75,000)	(370,000)
Decrease (increase) in valuation allowance	71,000	75,000	370,000
Total provision (benefit)	\$	\$	\$

The difference between the statutory federal income tax rate and the Company's effective income tax rate is summarized as follows:

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Federal income tax rate	34.0%	(34.0)%	(34.0)%
Increase (decrease) results from			
State income taxes net of federal benefits	3.5%	(3.5)%	(3.5)%
Other	2.9%	2.2%	0.6%
Valuation allowance	(40.4)%	35.3%	36.9%
Effective income tax rate	%	%	%

(6) LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is not currently engaged in any legal proceedings. The Company may become involved in litigation in the future in the normal course of business.

F-17

The Company has notified one of its distributors that it is in breach of its Distributor Agreement with the Company in several respects, and that if the distributor does not cure the breaches the Agreement may be terminated. The distributor has informed the Company that it believes the Company's interpretations of the Agreement are incorrect. If the parties are not able to resolve the dispute or negotiate a settlement to the dispute, the Distributor Agreement provides for binding arbitration in Boulder, Colorado. If the dispute is not resolved in a timely manner or is resolved in a manner adverse to the Company, it will likely affect sales activity in the distributor's territory. While the Company believes the existing on-going revenues from the installed base of customers will not be affected, potential new sales to new hospitals in that distributor's territory could be hindered. The distributor's purchases represented approximately 10% of the Company's revenue in FY2003 and 14% of the Company's revenue in FY 2002. The business entity is principally owned by an individual who is a shareholder of the Company.

(7) MAJOR CUSTOMERS

The Company depends on revenue that is generated from the hospitals' ongoing usage of the AEM surgical instruments. In fiscal 2003, the Company generated revenue from over 300 hospitals that have converted to AEM products, but no hospital customer contributed more than 3% to the total revenues. The Company utilizes a small number of stocking distributors, which sell AEM products to multiple hospital customers. Two distributors generated revenue in excess of 10% for fiscal 2003; \$721,687 (11%) and \$701,689 (10%) respectively. For fiscal 2002, two stocking distributors generated revenue of \$670,072 (14%) and \$564,225 (12%), respectively. For fiscal 2001, no stocking distributor or hospital customer contributed over 10% to total net revenue. Approximately 50% of the new hospital conversions in fiscal 2003 were from hospitals affiliated with group purchasing organizations, Novation and Premier, with whom the Company signed supplier agreements in 2002.

(8) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

The Company sells product to a stocking distributor principally owned by an individual who is also a significant shareholder of Encision. The Company generated revenue of \$701,689 (10%) from this distributor, which sold AEM products to multiple hospital customers in its authorized region. The principal owner of this distributor holds 380,700 shares (7%) of the Company's common stock as of March 31, 2003.

(9) DEFINED CONTRIBUTION EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

The Company has adopted a 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan which covers all full-time employees who have completed three months of full-time continuous service and are age eighteen or older. Participants may defer up to 20% of their gross pay up to a maximum limit determined by law. Participants are immediately vested in their contributions. The Company may make discretionary contributions based on corporate financial results for the fiscal year. To date, the Company has not made contributions to the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan. Vesting in a contribution account (the Company's contribution) is based on years of service, with a participant fully vested after five years of credited service.

F-18

**ENCISION INC.
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Unaudited)

	<u>September 30, 2003</u>	<u>March 31, 2003</u>
<i>ASSETS</i>		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,378,653	\$ 585,552
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$19,000 and \$25,000, respectively	837,951	959,808
Inventory, net of reserve for obsolescence of \$75,000 and \$68,000, respectively	1,069,877	931,323
Prepaid expenses	122,260	46,929
	<u>3,408,741</u>	<u>2,523,612</u>
EQUIPMENT, at cost:		
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	918,917	818,392
Customer-site equipment	395,568	306,381
Less accumulated depreciation	(938,601)	(858,144)
	<u>375,884</u>	<u>266,629</u>
Equipment, net		
	<u>375,884</u>	<u>266,629</u>
PATENTS, net of accumulated amortization of \$61,949 and \$55,871, respectively	123,838	129,916
OTHER ASSETS	12,972	12,972
	<u>12,972</u>	<u>12,972</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 3,921,435</u>	<u>\$ 2,933,129</u>

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	September 30, 2003	March 31, 2003
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 401,444	\$ 416,848
Accrued compensation	97,658	150,607
Other accrued liabilities	339,343	359,326
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total current liabilities	838,445	926,781
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:		
Lease payable	23,535	
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Preferred stock, no par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding		
Common stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 5,776,126 shares outstanding	18,254,051	17,267,684
Accumulated deficit	(15,194,596)	(15,261,336)
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total shareholders' equity	3,059,455	2,006,348
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 3,921,435	\$ 2,933,129
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

F-19

ENCISION INC.
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
REVENUE, NET	\$ 1,880,019	\$ 1,753,873
COST OF SALES	772,711	685,796
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Gross profit	1,107,308	1,068,077
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Sales and marketing	648,793	622,075
General and administrative	231,327	241,290
Research and development	191,851	115,089
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total operating expenses	1,071,971	978,454
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	<u>35,337</u>	<u>89,623</u>
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):		
Interest income	824	1,157
Other (expense) income, net	(4,416)	4,490
NET INCOME	<u>\$ 31,745</u>	<u>\$ 95,270</u>
NET INCOME PER SHARE:		
Basic and diluted net income per common share	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>
Diluted net income per common share	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>
Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income per common share	<u>5,662,522</u>	<u>5,422,532</u>
Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income per common share	<u>6,174,862</u>	<u>5,871,989</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

F-20

ENCISION INC.
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended September 30,	
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
REVENUE, NET	<u>\$ 3,581,959</u>	<u>\$ 3,096,265</u>
COST OF SALES	<u>1,504,718</u>	<u>1,198,856</u>
Gross profit	<u>2,077,241</u>	<u>1,897,409</u>
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Sales and marketing	1,167,197	1,183,115
General and administrative	457,621	473,590

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	For the Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
Research and development	380,424	239,868
Total operating expenses	2,005,242	1,896,573
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	71,999	836
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):		
Interest income	1,301	2,213
Other (expense) income, net	(6,560)	1,570
NET INCOME	\$ 66,740	\$ 4,619
NET INCOME PER SHARE:		
Basic and diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00
Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income per common share	5,546,909	5,421,527
Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income per common share	6,026,857	5,910,638

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

F-21

ENCISION INC.

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 66,740	\$ 4,619
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	86,535	43,685
Provision for bad debts	(6,000)	12,000
Inventory reserves	7,000	8,000

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

	For the Six Months Ended September 30,	
Non-cash, stock-based, compensation charge		12,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities-		
Accounts receivable	127,857	(30,002)
Inventory	(145,554)	50,966
Other assets	(75,331)	(22,846)
Accounts payable	(15,404)	195,569
Accrued compensation and other accrued liabilities	(49,397)	6,561
	<u>(3,554)</u>	<u>280,552</u>
Net cash provided by (used) in operating activities		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Investment in equipment	(189,712)	(176,789)
Patent costs		(10,976)
	<u>(189,712)</u>	<u>(187,765)</u>
Net cash used in investing activities		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	23,151	3,950
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	1,000,002	
Cost of the issuance of common stock	(36,786)	
	<u>986,367</u>	<u>3,950</u>
Net cash provided by financing activities		
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	793,101	96,737
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	585,552	500,988
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	<u>\$ 1,378,653</u>	<u>\$ 597,725</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-22

ENCISION INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

(Unaudited)

(1) ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

Encision Inc. (the "Company") is a medical device company that designs, develops, manufactures and markets patented surgical instruments that provide greater safety to patients undergoing minimally-invasive surgery. The Company believes its patented AEM® surgical instrument technology is changing the marketplace for electrosurgical devices and instruments by providing a solution to a well-documented risk in laparoscopic surgery. The Company's sales to date have been made principally in the United States.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

The Company achieved profitable operations in fiscal 2003 but prior to that had incurred losses since its inception and has an accumulated deficit of \$15,194,596 at March 31, 2003. Operations have been financed primarily through issuance of common stock. The Company's liquidity has substantially diminished because of such continuing operating losses and the Company may be required to seek additional capital in the future.

During fiscal year 2003, the Company achieved annual net income and positive cash flow for the first time in its history. The Company's strategic marketing and sales plan is designed to expand the use of the Company's products in surgically active hospitals in the United States. Management expects these efforts to result in continued revenue increases for fiscal 2004 which, combined with planned controlled operating expenses and consistent gross profit margins, are expected to maintain profitable operations and conserve the Company's cash resources.

On July 30, 2003 the Company issued a total of 333,334 shares of its common stock to the Wasatch Micro Cap Fund and the Wasatch Micro Cap Value Fund, for gross proceeds of \$1,000,002. Funds managed by Wasatch Advisors, Inc. held shares of the Company's common stock, constituting less than 5% of the issued and outstanding shares of its common stock, prior to that transaction.

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions. Such estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as well as disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Company considers all cash and highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents and short-term trade receivables and payables. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents and short-term receivables and payables approximate their fair value due to their short maturities.

F-23

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company has no significant off-balance sheet concentrations of credit risk such as foreign exchange contracts, options contracts or other foreign hedging arrangements. The Company maintains the majority of its cash balances with two financial institutions in the form of demand deposits and money market funds.

Accounts receivables are typically unsecured and are derived from transactions with and from entities in the healthcare industry primarily located in the United States. Accordingly, the Company may be exposed to credit risk generally associated with the healthcare industry. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments.

A summary of the Company's bad debt activity for the three months ended September 30, 2003 is as follows:

Balance, as of June 30, 2003	\$ 19,000
Expense	
Write-offs	
Balance, as of September 30, 2003	\$ 19,000

The net accounts receivable balance at September 30, 2003 of \$837,951 included \$31,428, or approximately 4%, from one distributor. The net accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2003 of \$959,808 included \$227,178, or approximately 24%, from one distributor.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Warranty Accrual

The Company provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. While the Company engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers, the Company's warranty obligation is based upon historical experience and is also affected by product failure rates and material usage incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates or material usage costs differ from the Company's estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required. A summary of the Company's warranty claims activity for the three months ended 30, 2003 is as follows:

Balance, as of June 30, 2003	\$	125,000
Expense		209
Claims		(5,209)

Balance, as of September 30, 2003	\$	120,000

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out basis) or market. The Company reduces inventory for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and

F-24

market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Inventory consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2003	March 31, 2003
Raw materials	\$ 823,918	\$ 627,243
Finished goods	320,959	372,080
	1,144,877	999,323
Less Reserve for obsolescence	(75,000)	(68,000)
	\$ 1,069,877	\$ 931,323

A summary of the Company's inventory reserve for obsolescence activity for the three months ended September 30, 2003 is as follows:

Balance, as of June 30, 2003	\$	68,000
Expense		7,000
Write-offs		0

Balance, as of September 30, 2003	\$	75,000

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, with depreciation computed primarily on a double-declining basis over the estimated useful life of the asset, generally three to five years. Company-owned AEM Monitors at customer sites are depreciated on a double-declining basis for a period of 5 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred and major additions, replacements and improvements are capitalized.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. A long-lived asset is considered impaired when estimated future cash flows related to the asset, undiscounted and without interest, are insufficient to recover the carrying amount of the asset. If deemed impaired, the long-lived asset is reduced to its estimated fair value. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of their carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell.

Patents

The costs of applying for patents are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the patent's economic or legal life (17 years in the United States). Capitalized costs are expensed if patents are not granted. The Company reviews the carrying value of its patents periodically to determine whether the patents have continuing value and such reviews could result in the conclusion that the recorded amounts have been impaired.

Accrued Liabilities

The Company has accrued \$120,000 related to warranty claims and \$103,326 related to sales commissions and has included these amounts in accrued liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets as of September 30, 2003.

F-25

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS No. 109"). SFAS No. 109 requires recognition of deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the expected future income tax consequences, based on enacted tax laws, of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 109 also requires recognition of deferred tax assets for the expected future tax effects of all deductible temporary differences, loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are then reduced, if deemed necessary, by a valuation allowance for the amount of any tax benefits which, more likely than not based on current circumstances, are not expected to be realized. For the six months ended September 30, 2003, the Company utilized net operating loss carryforwards to entirely offset its tax liability. As a result, no tax provision is reflected in the accompanying statements of operations. Should the Company achieve sufficient, sustained income in the future, the Company may conclude that some or all of the valuation allowance should be reversed.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from product sales is recorded when the Company ships the product and title has passed to the customer, provided that the Company has evidence of a customer arrangement and can conclude that collection is probable. The Company's shipping policy is FOB Shipping Point. The Company recognizes revenue from sales to stocking distributors when there is no right of return, other than for normal warranty claims. The Company has no ongoing obligations related to product sales, except for normal warranty.

Research and Development Expenses

The Company expenses research and development costs for products and processes as incurred.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"), and applies Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB No. 25"), and related interpretations in accounting for stock options granted to employees. If the Company had accounted for its stock-based compensation plans in accordance with SFAS 123, the Company's net income or loss and pro forma net income or loss per basic and diluted common share for the three and six months ended September 30, 2003 would have been reported as follows:

Three months ended September 30, 2003

Net Income (Loss)	
As Reported	\$ 31,745
Stock-based compensation based upon estimated fair values	(41,106)

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

Three months ended September 30, 2003

Pro forma	\$ (9,361)
Pro Forma Net Income (Loss) Per Basic and Diluted Common Share	
As Reported	\$ 0.01
Pro Forma	\$ 0.00

F-26

Six months ended September 30, 2003

Net Income (Loss)	
As Reported	\$ 66,740
Stock-based compensation based upon estimated fair values	(80,464)
Pro forma	\$ (13,724)
Pro Forma Net Income (Loss) Per Basic and Diluted Common Share	
As Reported	\$ 0.01
Pro Forma	\$ 0.00

Segment Reporting

The Company has concluded that it has one operating segment.

Basic and Diluted Income and Loss per Common Share

Net income or loss per share is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share" ("SFAS No. 128"). Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, basic net income or loss per common share is computed by dividing net income or loss for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income or loss per common share is computed by dividing the net income or loss for the period by the weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding during the period if the effect of the potential common shares is dilutive. As a result of the Company's net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2002, all potentially dilutive securities in the loss years would be anti-dilutive and were excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share, and there are no differences between basic and diluted per share amounts for all prior years presented.

The following is a table that reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2003		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Net income			
Basic EPS			
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 31,745	5,662,522	\$ 0.01
Effect of Dilutive Securities Stock Options		512,340	
Diluted EPS			
Income available to common stockholders + dilutive securities	\$ 31,745	6,174,862	\$ 0.01

F-27

**For the Six Months
Ended September 30, 2003**

	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Net income			
Basic EPS			
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 66,740	5,546,909	\$ 0.01
Effect of Dilutive Securities Stock Options		479,948	
Diluted EPS			
Income available to common stockholders + dilutive securities	\$ 66,740	6,026,857	\$ 0.01

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 144). SFAS No. 144 establishes a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and requires that those long-lived assets be measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, whether reported in continuing operations or in discontinued operations. SFAS No. 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company adopted SFAS No. 144 on April 1, 2002, and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No. 148"), which (i) amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for an entity that voluntarily changes to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation (ii) amends the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123 to require prominent disclosure about the effects on reported net income of an entity's accounting policy decisions with respect to stock-based employee compensation and (iii) amends APB Opinion No. 28, "Interim Financial Reporting," to require disclosure about those effects in interim financial information. Items (ii) and (iii) of the new requirements in SFAS No. 148 are effective for financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. We have included the requirements of item (ii) in Note 2 in the Notes to Financial Statements and will include the requirements of item (iii) beginning in our first quarter of 2004.

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" ("FIN 45"), which requires that, for guarantees within the scope of FIN 45 issued or amended after December 31, 2002, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee be recognized. FIN 45 does not apply to certain guarantee contracts, such as for a lessee's residual value guarantee embedded in a capital lease. FIN 45 also requires additional disclosures in financial statements for periods ending after December 15, 2002, which we have adopted. However, as of September 30, 2003, we believe that, other than product warranty, we have no material items subject to the new disclosure requirements.

(3) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company currently leases its facilities under noncancelable lease agreements through October 31, 2004. The minimum future lease payments are \$55,786 for fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 and \$66,955 for fiscal year ended March 31, 2005.

The Company is subject to regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"). The FDA provides regulations governing the manufacture and sale of the Company's products and regularly inspects the Company and other manufacturers to determine their compliance with these regulations. As of September 30, 2003 the Company believes it was in substantial compliance with all known regulations. The Company was last inspected in November 1998 and has not, at September 30, 2003, been notified of any deficiencies from that inspection. FDA inspections are conducted approximately every two years or on a more frequent basis at the discretion of the FDA.

Edgar Filing: ENCISION INC - Form 424B3

The results of operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 should not be taken as an indication of the results of operations for all or any part of the balance of the year.

The accounts receivable balance at September 30, 2003 of \$837,951 included \$38,514 (5%) from international customers.

(4) **MANAGEMENT'S REPRESENTATIONS**

The condensed interim financial statements included herein have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The condensed interim financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the notes thereto, included in the Company's Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003, filed on Form 10-KSB on June 12, 2003.

The accompanying condensed interim financial statements have been prepared, in all material respects, in conformity with the standards of accounting measurements set forth in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28 and reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to summarize fairly the financial position and results of operations for such periods in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations for the most recent interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

F-29

We have not authorized any dealer, salesperson or other person to give any information or represent anything not contained in this prospectus. You must not rely on any unauthorized information. This prospectus does not offer to sell or buy any units in any jurisdiction where it is unlawful. The information in this prospectus is current only as of its date.

Encision, Inc.

333,334 Shares of Common Stock

PROSPECTUS

October 24, 2003

QuickLinks

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[PROSPECTUS SUMMARY](#)

[Risk Factors](#)

[USE OF PROCEEDS](#)

[SELLING SHAREHOLDERS](#)

[DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES](#)

LIMITATION OF LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

LEGAL MATTERS

EXPERTS

COMPANY OVERVIEW

MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

MANAGEMENT

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Independent Auditors' Report

Report of Independent Public Accountants

ENCISION INC. BALANCE SHEETS

ENCISION INC. STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

ENCISION INC. STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003, 2002 AND 2001

ENCISION INC. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

ENCISION INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ENCISION INC. CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

ENCISION INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

ENCISION INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

ENCISION INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

ENCISION INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2003 (Unaudited)