

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC

Form 10-Q

May 07, 2009

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-34179

Chordiant Software, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

93-1051328  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

20400 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 400  
Cupertino, CA 95014  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(408) 517-6100  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year if changed since last report)

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  
: Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>   | Accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>    |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of April 30, 2009, there were 30,177,822 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2009  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                               | Page<br>No. |
|-------------------------------|-------------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION |             |
| Item 1.                       | 3           |
|                               | 3           |
|                               | 4           |
|                               | 5           |
|                               | 6           |
| Item 2.                       | 30          |
| Item 3.                       | 49          |
| Item 4.                       | 50          |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION    |             |
| Item 1.                       | 51          |
| Item 1A.                      | 51          |
| Item 4.                       | 64          |
| Item 6.                       | 65          |
|                               | 65          |

Table of Contents

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

|   | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                   |                          |
| Current assets:   |                   |                          |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ 53,318         | \$ 55,516                |
| Accounts receivable, net  | 9,514             | 24,873                   |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets   | 4,639             | 8,168                    |
| Total current assets  | 67,471            | 88,557                   |
| Property and equipment, net   | 2,427             | 3,165                    |
| Goodwill  | 22,608            | 22,608                   |
| Intangible assets, net  | 909               | 1,514                    |
| Deferred tax assets—non-current   | 3,922             | 6,849                    |
| Other assets  | 2,664             | 2,007                    |
| Total assets  | \$ 100,001        | \$ 124,700               |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>   |                   |                          |
| Current liabilities:  |                   |                          |
| Accounts payable  | \$ 4,455          | \$ 7,711                 |
| Accrued expenses  | 6,574             | 9,456                    |
| Deferred revenue  | 26,907            | 33,503                   |
| Total current liabilities   | 37,936            | 50,670                   |
| Deferred revenue—long-term  | 9,460             | 12,831                   |
| Other liabilities—non-current   | 1,020             | 818                      |
| Restructuring costs, net of current portion   | 326               | 529                      |
| Total liabilities   | 48,742            | 64,848                   |
| Commitments and contingencies (Notes 8, 9 and 10)   |                   |                          |
| Stockholders' equity:   |                   |                          |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 51,000 shares authorized (500 shares designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock); none issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008 | —                 | —                        |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 300,000 shares authorized; 30,177 and 30,076 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively  | 30                | 30                       |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 283,833           | 281,910                  |
| Accumulated deficit   | (232,054)         | (225,850)                |

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

|  |            |            |
|--|------------|------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income     | (550)      | 3,762      |
| Total stockholders' equity                 | 51,259     | 59,852     |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 100,001 | \$ 124,700 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(In thousands, except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

|   | Three Months Ended March 31, |            | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |
|---|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2009                         | 2008       | 2009                       | 2008      |
| Revenues:   |                              |            |                            |           |
| License   | \$ 4,287                     | \$ 4,807   | \$ 12,228                  | \$ 13,614 |
| Service, including related party items aggregating nil and \$52 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and nil and \$116 for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. | 13,716                       | 19,909     | 29,151                     | 40,236    |
| Total revenues  | 18,003                       | 24,716     | 41,379                     | 53,850    |
| Cost of revenues:   |                              |            |                            |           |
| License   | 103                          | 283        | 200                        | 617       |
| Service   | 5,797                        | 8,532      | 12,483                     | 17,010    |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | 303                          | 303        | 606                        | 606       |
| Total cost of revenues  | 6,203                        | 9,118      | 13,289                     | 18,233    |
| Gross profit  | 11,800                       | 15,598     | 28,090                     | 35,617    |
| Operating expenses:   |                              |            |                            |           |
| Sales and marketing   | 6,362                        | 7,400      | 14,142                     | 16,303    |
| Research and development  | 4,843                        | 6,381      | 10,102                     | 13,106    |
| General and administrative  | 3,064                        | 4,019      | 7,465                      | 9,022     |
| Restructuring expense   | —                            | —          | 784                        | —         |
| Total operating expenses  | 14,269                       | 17,800     | 32,493                     | 38,431    |
| Loss from operations  | (2,469)                      | (2,202)    | (4,403)                    | (2,814)   |
| Interest income, net  | 137                          | 613        | 429                        | 1,448     |
| Other income (expense), net   | (103)                        | 350        | 582                        | 485       |
| Loss before income taxes  | (2,435)                      | (1,239)    | (3,392)                    | (881)     |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes   | 1,101                        | (80)       | 2,812                      | 73        |
| Net loss  | \$ (3,536)                   | \$ (1,159) | \$ (6,204)                 | \$ (954)  |
| Net loss per share:   |                              |            |                            |           |
| Basic   | \$ (0.12)                    | \$ (0.04)  | \$ (0.21)                  | \$ (0.03) |
| Diluted   | \$ (0.12)                    | \$ (0.04)  | \$ (0.21)                  | \$ (0.03) |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net loss per share:   |                              |            |                            |           |
| Basic   | 30,059                       | 33,066     | 30,033                     | 33,181    |
| Diluted   | 30,059                       | 33,066     | 30,033                     | 33,181    |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

|  | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|
|  | 2009                       | 2008      |
| Cash flows from operating activities:  |                            |           |
| Net loss   | \$ (6,204)                 | \$ (954)  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities: |                            |           |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 868                        | 864       |
| Amortization of intangibles and capitalized software                                       | 742                        | 1,140     |
| Non-cash stock-based compensation expense  | 1,906                      | 2,157     |
| Provision for doubtful accounts and sales returns  | 167                        | 175       |
| Realized gain on sale of marketable securities   | —                          | (8)       |
| Accretion of discounts on marketable securities  | —                          | (51)      |
| Non-cash provision for income taxes  | 1,968                      | —         |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:   |                            |           |
| Accounts receivable  | 13,390                     | 1,856     |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets  | 4,045                      | (2,047)   |
| Other assets   | (448)                      | 702       |
| Accounts payable   | (2,901)                    | 1,067     |
| Accrued expenses, other liabilities- non-current and restructuring                         | (2,241)                    | (4,076)   |
| Deferred revenue   | (5,216)                    | (13,430)  |
| Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities                                       | 6,076                      | (12,605)  |
| Cash flows from investing activities:  |                            |           |
| Purchases of property and equipment  | (277)                      | (950)     |
| Capitalized product development costs  | (38)                       | (111)     |
| Proceeds from release of (increase in) restricted cash                                     | 1                          | (3)       |
| Purchases of marketable securities and short-term investments                              | —                          | (5,099)   |
| Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities and short-term investments               | —                          | 16,566    |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities                                       | (314)                      | 10,403    |
| Cash flows from financing activities:  |                            |           |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options  | 16                         | 614       |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation  | —                          | 17        |
| Repurchase of common stock   | —                          | (8,086)   |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities                                       | 16                         | (7,455)   |
| Effect of exchange rate changes  | (7,976)                    | 626       |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents  | (2,198)                    | (9,031)   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period   | 55,516                     | 77,987    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period   | \$ 53,318                  | \$ 68,956 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1—THE COMPANY

Chordiant Software, Inc. or the Company, or Chordiant is an enterprise software vendor that offers software solutions for global business-to-consumer companies that seek to improve the quality of their customer interactions and to reduce costs through increased employee productivity and process efficiencies. The Company concentrates on serving global customers in insurance, healthcare, telecommunications, financial services, retail and other consumer direct industries.

NOTE 2—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP, in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The September 30, 2008 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP in the United States. However, the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2008, or 2008 Form 10-K, filed with the SEC.

All adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, which in the opinion of management, are necessary to state fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented have been made. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full fiscal year or for any future period.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates

The preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP in the United States requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods.

On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates the estimates, including those related to the allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of stock-based compensation, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, valuation of deferred tax assets, restructuring expenses, contingencies, Vendor Specific Objective Evidence, or VSOE, of fair value in multiple element arrangements and the estimates associated with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting



for certain of our revenue contracts. The Company bases these estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

#### Revenue recognition

The Company derives revenue from licensing software and related services, which include assistance in implementation, customization and integration, post-contract customer support, or PCS, training and consulting. All revenue amounts are presented net of sales taxes in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The amount and timing of revenue is difficult to predict and any shortfall in revenue or delay in recognizing revenue could cause

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

operating results to vary significantly from period to period and could result in operating losses. The accounting rules related to revenue recognition are complex and are affected by the interpretation of the rules and an understanding of industry practices, both of which are subject to change. Consequently, the revenue recognition accounting rules require management to make significant estimates based on judgment.

Software license revenue is recognized in accordance with the AICPA's Statement of Position No. 97-2 "Software Revenue Recognition," as amended by Statement of Position No. 98-9 "Software Revenue Recognition with Respect to Certain Arrangements" or collectively SOP 97-2.

For arrangements with multiple elements, the Company recognizes revenue for services and PCS based upon the fair value VSOE of the respective elements. The fair value VSOE of the services element is based upon the standard hourly rates charged for the services when such services are sold separately. The fair value VSOE for annual PCS is generally established with the contractual future renewal rates included in the contracts, when the renewal rate is substantive and consistent with the fees when support services are sold separately. When contracts contain multiple elements and fair value VSOE exists for all undelivered elements, the Company accounts for the delivered elements, principally the license portion, based upon the "residual method" as prescribed by SOP 97-2. In multiple element transactions where VSOE is not established for an undelivered element, revenue is recognized upon the establishment of VSOE for that element or when the element is delivered.

At the time a transaction is entered into, the Company assesses whether any services included within the arrangement relate to significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products. For contracts for products that do not involve significant implementation or customization essential to the product functionality, the Company recognizes license revenue when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is probable and delivery has occurred as prescribed by SOP 97-2. For contracts that involve significant implementation or customization services essential to the functionality of our products, the license and professional consulting services revenue is recognized using either the percentage-of-completion method or the completed contract method as prescribed by Statement of Position No. 81-1, "Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Product-Type Contracts", or SOP 81-1.

The percentage-of-completion method is applied when the Company has the ability to make reasonably dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion. The progress toward completion is measured based on the "go-live" date. The "go-live" date is defined as the date the essential product functionality has been delivered or the application enters into a production environment or the point at which no significant additional Chordiant supplied professional service resources are required. Estimates are subject to revisions as the contract progresses to completion and these changes are accounted for as changes in accounting estimates when the information becomes known. Information impacting estimates obtained after the balance sheet date but before the issuance of the financial statements is used to update the estimates. Provisions for estimated contract losses, if any, are recognized in the period in which the loss becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. When additional licenses are sold related to the original licensing agreement, revenue is recognized upon delivery if the project has reached the go-live date, or if the project has not reached the go-live date, revenue is recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. Revenue from these arrangements is classified as license and service revenue based upon the estimated fair value of each element using the residual method.

The completed contract method is applied when the Company is unable to obtain reasonably dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion. Under the completed contract method, all revenue and related costs of revenue

are deferred and recognized upon completion.

For product co-development arrangements relating to software products in development prior to the consummation of the individual arrangements, where the Company retains the intellectual property being developed, and intends to sell the resulting products to other customers, license revenue is deferred until the delivery of the final product, provided all other requirements of SOP 97-2 are met. Expenses associated with these co-development arrangements are accounted for under SFAS No. 86, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed" and are normally expensed as incurred as they are considered to be research and development costs that do not qualify for capitalization or deferral.

7

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software and rights to unspecified future products or maintenance, is recognized ratably over the term of the subscription period. Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software, but exclude rights to unspecified future products and maintenance, is recognized upon delivery of the software if all conditions of recognizing revenue have been met including that the related agreement is non-cancelable, non-refundable and provided on an unsupported basis.

For transactions involving extended payment terms, the Company deems these fees not to be fixed or determinable for revenue recognition purposes and revenue is deferred until the fees become due and payable.

For arrangements with multiple elements accounted for under SOP 97-2 where the Company determines it can account for the elements separately and the fees are not fixed or determinable due to extended payment terms, revenue is recognized in the following manner. If the undelivered element is PCS, or other services, an amount equal to the estimated value of the services to be rendered prior to the next payment becoming due is allocated to the undelivered services. The residual of the payment is allocated to the delivered elements of the arrangement.

For arrangements with multiple elements accounted for under SOP 81-1 where the Company determines it can account for the elements separately and the fees are not fixed or determinable due to extended payment terms, revenue is recognized in the following manner. Amounts are first allocated to the undelivered elements included in the arrangement, as payments become due or are received, the residual is allocated to the delivered elements.

Revenue for PCS is recognized ratably over the support period which ranges from one to five years.

Training and consulting services revenue is recognized as such services are performed on an hourly or daily basis for time and material contracts. For consulting services arrangements with a fixed fee, revenue is recognized on a percentage-of-completion basis.

For all sales, either a signed license agreement or a binding purchase order with an underlying master license agreement is used as evidence of an arrangement. Sales through third party systems integrators are evidenced by a master agreement governing the relationship together with binding purchase orders or order forms on a transaction-by-transaction basis. Revenues from reseller arrangements are recognized on the "sell-through" method, when the reseller reports to the Company the sale of software products to end-users. The Company's agreements with customers and resellers do not contain product return rights.

Collectibility is assessed based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. Collateral is generally not requested from customers. If it is determined that the collection of a fee is not probable, the revenue is recognized at the time the collection becomes probable, which is generally upon the receipt of cash.

Restricted cash

At March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, interest bearing certificates of deposit were classified as restricted cash. These restricted cash balances serve as collateral for letters of credit securing certain lease obligations. These restricted cash balances are classified in Other Assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note 4 for restricted cash balances at each balance sheet date.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and accounts receivable. To date, the Company has invested excess funds in money market accounts, commercial paper, corporate bonds, and certificates-of-deposit. The Company has cash and cash equivalents on deposit at various large banks and institutions domestically and internationally. As of March 31, 2009, the Company held no marketable securities.

8

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

The Company's accounts receivable are derived from sales to customers located in North America, Europe, and elsewhere in the world. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition and, generally, requires no collateral from customers. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts when deemed necessary. The Company estimates its allowance for doubtful accounts by analyzing accounts receivable for specific risk accounts as well as providing for a general allowance amount based on historical bad debt and billing dispute percentages. The estimate considers historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness and current economic trends. Based upon current economic conditions, the Company reviewed accounts receivable and has recorded allowances as deemed necessary.

Some of our current or prospective customers have recently been facing financial difficulties. Customers that have accounted for significant revenues in the past may not generate revenues in any future period, causing any failure to obtain new significant customers or additional orders from existing customers to materially affect our operating results. The following table summarizes the revenues from customers that accounted for 10% or more of total revenues:

|  | Three Months Ended March |             | Six Months Ended March 31, |      |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------|
|  | 2009                     | 31,<br>2008 | 2009                       | 2008 |
| Citicorp Credit Services, Inc.                           | 11%                      | 25%         | 12%                        | 23%  |
| Vodafone Group Services Limited and affiliated companies | 12%                      | *           | 19%                        | *    |
| Wellpoint, Inc.  | *                        | 11%         | *                          | 11%  |
| International Business Machines ("IBM")                  | *                        | *           | *                          | 10%  |

\* Represents less than 10% of total revenues.

As previously announced, the Company agreed to license certain of its software to IBM's customers.

At March 31, 2009, Türkiye İş Bankası, A.S., Vodafone Group Services Limited and affiliated companies, and Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. accounted for 20%, 16% and 11%, of our accounts receivable, respectively. At September 30, 2008, Citicorp Credit Services, Inc., Vodafone Group Services Limited, and IBM accounted for approximately 19%, 18%, and 13% of our accounts receivable, respectively.

#### Research and Development

Software development costs are expensed as incurred until technological feasibility of the underlying software product is achieved. After technological feasibility is established, software development costs are capitalized until general availability of the product. Capitalized costs are then amortized at the greater of a straight line basis over the estimated product life, or the ratio of current revenue to total projected product revenue.

During fiscal year 2008 and the six months ended March 31, 2009, technological feasibility to port existing products to new platforms was established through the completion of detailed program designs. Costs aggregating \$0.5 million associated with these products have been capitalized and included in Other Assets as of March 31, 2009. As the porting of these products are completed, the capitalized costs are being amortized using the straight-line method over

the estimated economic lives of the products which is 36 months. For the three and six months ended March 31, 2009, amortization expense, included in cost of revenue for licenses related to these products was less than \$0.1 and \$0.1 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2009, the unamortized expense was approximately \$0.4 million.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, technological feasibility to port an existing product to a new platform was established through the completion of a detailed program design. Costs aggregating \$0.5 million associated with this product were capitalized and included in Other Assets as of September 30, 2007. This product was completed and became available for general release in July 2007, accordingly, the capitalized costs are being amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic life of the product which is 36 months. For the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, amortization expense, included in cost of revenue for license related to this product was less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, for both periods. As of March 31, 2009, the unamortized expense was \$0.2 million.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

## Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using an asset and liability approach, which requires the recognition of taxes payable or refundable for the current period and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. The measurement of current and deferred tax liabilities and assets is based on provisions of the enacted tax law; the effects of future changes in tax laws or rates are not anticipated. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

Effective October 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Interpretation, No. 48 “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109” or FIN 48. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement guidance for the financial statement reporting of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a company’s income tax return. The application of FIN 48 is explained in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Net Income (Loss) Per Share

The Company computes net income (loss) per share in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard, No. 128, “Earnings per Share”, or SFAS 128. Under the provisions of SFAS 128, basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and potentially dilutive shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive shares, which consist of incremental shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, unvested restricted stock awards or RSAs (using the treasury stock method), and unvested restricted stock units or RSUs (using the treasury stock method), are included in the calculation of diluted net income per share, in periods in which net income is reported, to the extent such shares are dilutive. In accordance with SFAS 123(R), unvested performance based RSUs are not included in the computation of earnings per share as they are considered contingently issuable shares. The calculation of diluted net loss per share excludes potential common shares as their effect is anti-dilutive for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except for per share data):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |            | Six Months Ended March 31, |          |
|--|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|----------|
|  | 2009                         | 2008       | 2009                       | 2008     |
| Net loss available to common stockholders  | \$ (3,536)                   | \$ (1,159) | \$ (6,204)                 | \$ (954) |
| Denominator:                               |                              |            |                            |          |
| Weighted average common stock outstanding  | 30,059                       | 33,137     | 30,033                     | 33,252   |
| Common stock subject to repurchase         | —                            | (71)       | —                          | (71)     |
| Denominator for basic calculation          | 30,059                       | 33,066     | 30,033                     | 33,181   |
| Effect of dilutive potential common shares | —(*)                         | —(*)       | —(*)                       | —(*)     |



Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

| Effect of dilutive RSAs and RSUs    | —(*)      | —(*)      | —(*)      | —(*)      |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Denominator for diluted calculation | 30,059    | 33,066    | 30,033    | 33,181    |
| Net loss per share – basic          | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.03) |
| Net loss per share – diluted        | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.03) |

(\*) – Potential common shares are excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as their effect is anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth the potential total common shares that are excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as their effect is anti-dilutive as of the date indicated (in thousands)

|                        | March 31,<br>2009 | March 31,<br>2008 |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Employee stock options | 3,995             | 4,015             |
| RSAs                   | 90                | 71                |
| RSUs                   | 538               | —                 |
|                        | 4,623             | 4,086             |

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2008, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 08-6, “Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations” or EITF 08-6. EITF 08-6 clarifies the accounting for certain transactions and impairment considerations involving equity method investments. EITF 08-6 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, with early adoption prohibited. The Company does not currently have any investments that are accounted for under the equity method and therefore EITF 08-6 will not have a significant impact on the determination of our financial results.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 08-7, “Accounting for Defensive Intangible Assets” or EITF 08-7. EITF 08-7 clarifies the accounting for certain separately identifiable intangible assets which an acquirer does not intend to actively use but intends to hold to prevent its competitors from obtaining access to them. EITF 08-7 requires an acquirer in a business combination to account for a defensive intangible asset as a separate unit of accounting which should be amortized to expense over the period the asset diminishes in value. EITF 08-7 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, with early adoption prohibited. The Company has evaluated the new EITF and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

In October, 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-3, “Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active” or FSP 157-3. FSP 157-3 clarifies the application of FAS 157 and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. FSP 157-3 is effective upon issuance, including prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. Revisions resulting from a change in the valuation technique or its application should be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate following the guidance in FAS Statement No. 154, “Accounting Changes and Error Corrections” or FAS 154. However, the disclosure provisions in FAS 154 for a change in accounting estimate are not required for revisions resulting from a change in valuation technique or its application. The Company has evaluated the new FSP and has determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our financial results.

## NOTE 3—FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 157 effective October 1, 2008. Under this standard, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The Company has investments that are valued in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 157. SFAS 157 establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

11

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (UNAUDITED)

Level 1 – Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2 – Valuations based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 – Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

The following table represents information about the Company's investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands).

|  | Total     | Fair value of investments as of March 31, 2009                 |   |   |
|--|-----------|--|---|---|
|  |           | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Money Market Funds included in Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ 42,071 | \$ 42,071  | \$ —  | \$ —                                      |

## NOTE 4—BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS

Accounts receivable, net

Accounts receivable, net, consists of the following (in thousands):

|                                       | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Accounts receivable, net:             |                   |                          |
| Accounts receivable                   | \$ 10,253         | \$ 25,502                |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | (739)             | (629)                    |
|                                       | \$ 9,514          | \$ 24,873                |

Prepaid expenses and other current assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

|  | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

Prepaid expenses and other current assets:

|   |          |          |
|---|----------|----------|
| Prepaid commissions and royalties         | \$ 913   | \$ 2,171 |
| Deferred tax assets                       | 1,949    | 3,102    |
| Other prepaid expenses and current assets | 1,777    | 2,895    |
|   | \$ 4,639 | \$ 8,168 |

Property and equipment, net

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following (in thousands):

12

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

|  | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Property and equipment, net:   |                   |                          |
| Computer hardware (useful lives of 3 years)                          | \$ 4,452          | \$ 4,744                 |
| Purchased internal-use software (useful lives of 3 years)            | 3,345             | 3,323                    |
| Furniture and equipment (useful lives of 3 to 7 years)               | 718               | 749                      |
| Leasehold improvements (shorter of 7 years or the term of the lease) | 2,667             | 2,811                    |
|  | 11,182            | 11,627                   |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization                            | (8,755)           | (8,462)                  |
|  | \$ 2,427          | \$ 3,165                 |

## Intangible assets, net

Intangible assets, net, consist of the following (in thousands):

|                               | March 31, 2009              |                             |                           | September 30, 2008          |                             |                           |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                               | Gross<br>Carrying<br>Amount | Accumulated<br>Amortization | Net<br>Carrying<br>Amount | Gross<br>Carrying<br>Amount | Accumulated<br>Amortization | Net<br>Carrying<br>Amount |
| Intangible assets:            |                             |                             |                           |                             |                             |                           |
| Developed technologies        | \$ 6,904                    | \$ (6,213)                  | \$ 691                    | \$ 6,904                    | \$ (5,765)                  | \$ 1,139                  |
| Customer list and trade-names | 2,731                       | (2,513)                     | 218                       | 2,731                       | (2,356)                     | 375                       |
|                               | \$ 9,635                    | \$ (8,726)                  | \$ 909                    | \$ 9,635                    | \$ (8,121)                  | \$ 1,514                  |

All of the Company's acquired intangible assets are subject to amortization and are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is computed on a straight line basis over the estimated useful lives which are as follows: Developed technologies—one and one half to five years; trade-names—three to five years; customer list—three to five years. Aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets totaled \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million for each of the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company expects amortization expense on acquired intangible assets to be \$0.6 million for the remainder of fiscal year 2009 and \$0.3 million for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010.

## Other assets

Other assets consist of the following (in thousands):

|               | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Other assets: |                   |                          |

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

|                              |    |       |    |       |
|------------------------------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Long-term restricted cash    | \$ | 81    | \$ | 89    |
| Long-term account receivable |    | 930   |    | —     |
| Other assets                 |    | 1,653 |    | 1,918 |
|                              | \$ | 2,664 | \$ | 2,007 |

The long-term account receivable balance represents a receivable from a single customer related to a multiple year maintenance renewal that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. This amount represents a payment which is due in the quarter ending March 31, 2011. All revenue associated with this receivable has been deferred and will be recognized as revenue over the term of the services performed. As of March 31, 2009, an allowance has not been provided for this receivable based on the Company's assessment of the underlying customer's credit worthiness.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

## Accrued expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

|  | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Accrued expenses:  |                   |                          |
| Accrued payroll, payroll taxes and related expenses      | \$ 3,603          | \$ 5,088                 |
| Accrued restructuring expenses, current portion (Note 5) | 432               | 538                      |
| Accrued third party consulting fees                      | 861               | 1,264                    |
| Accrued income, sales and other taxes                    | 486               | 1,678                    |
| Other accrued liabilities                                | 1,192             | 888                      |
|  | \$ 6,574          | \$ 9,456                 |

## Deferred revenue

Deferred revenue consists of the following (in thousands):

|                            | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Deferred revenue:          |                   |                          |
| License                    | \$ 6,041          | \$ 12,465                |
| Support and maintenance    | 29,397            | 32,908                   |
| Other                      | 929               | 961                      |
|                            | 36,367            | 46,334                   |
| Less: current portion      | (26,907)          | (33,503)                 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | \$ 9,460          | \$ 12,831                |

## NOTE 5—RESTRUCTURING

## Restructuring Costs

Through March 31, 2009, the Company approved certain restructuring plans to, among other things, reduce its workforce, terminate contracts and consolidate facilities. Restructuring and asset impairment expenses have been recorded to align the Company's cost structure with changing market conditions and to create a more efficient organization. The Company's restructuring expenses have been comprised primarily of: (i) severance and termination benefit costs related to the reduction of our workforce; (ii) lease termination costs and costs associated with permanently vacating certain facilities, and (iii) contract termination costs. The Company accounted for each of these costs in accordance with SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities" or SFAS 146 or previous guidance under Emerging Issues Task Force 94-3 "Liabilities Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)", or EITF 94-3.



Retroactive application of SFAS 146 to periods prior to January 1, 2003, was prohibited; accordingly, the accrual relating to facilities vacated prior to the effective date of SFAS 146 continues to be accounted for in accordance with the guidance of EITF 94-3. Accruals for facilities that were restructured prior to 2003 do not reflect any adjustments relating to the estimated net present value of cash flows associated with the facilities.

For each of the periods presented herein, restructuring expenses consist solely of:

• **Severance and Termination Benefits**—These costs represent severance and payroll taxes related to restructuring plans.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

**Excess Facilities Costs**—These costs represent future minimum lease payments related to excess and abandoned office space under leases, and the disposal of property and equipment including facility leasehold improvements, net of estimated sublease income.

- **Termination Costs**—These costs represent contract termination costs related to the restructuring plan.

As of March 31, 2009, the total restructuring accrual consisted of the following (in thousands):

|                   | Current | Non-Current | Total  |
|-------------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| Excess facilities | \$ 432  | \$ 326      | \$ 758 |
| Total             | \$ 432  | \$ 326      | \$ 758 |

As of March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of the restructuring reserve is included in the Accrued Expenses line item on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The allocation between current portion and long-term portion is based on the current lease agreements.

As of March 31, 2009, all severance and termination benefits and contract termination costs have been paid.

The Company expects to pay the excess facilities amounts related to the restructured or vacated leased office space as follows (in thousands):

| Fiscal Year Ended September 30, | Total Net<br>Future<br>Minimum<br>Lease<br>Payments |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 2009 (six months remaining)     | \$ 83   |
| 2010                            | 556   |
| 2011                            | 119   |
| Total                           | \$ 758  |

Included in the net future minimum lease payments schedule above is an offset of \$0.5 million of contractually committed sublease rental income.

#### Fiscal Year 2009 Restructuring

In October 2008, the Company initiated a restructuring plan, the 2009 Restructuring, intended to align its resources and cost structure with expected future revenues. The 2009 Restructuring plan includes reductions in headcount and third party consultants across all functional areas in both North America and Europe. The 2009 Restructuring plan includes a reduction of approximately 13% of the Company's permanent workforce. A significant portion of the positions eliminated were in North America.

As a result of the cost-cutting measures, the Company recorded a pre-tax cash restructuring charge in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, of approximately \$0.9 million, including \$ 0.8 million for severance costs and \$0.1 million for

other contract termination costs. As of March 31, 2009, all payments have been made.

|                                      | Severance<br>and<br>Benefits | Contract<br>Termination<br>Costs | Total  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Provision                            | \$ 758                       | \$ 130                           | \$ 888 |
| Cash paid                            | (758)                        | (130)                            | (888)  |
| Reserve balance as of March 31, 2009 | \$ —                         | \$ —                             | \$ —   |

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

## Fiscal Year 2005 Restructuring

In May 2005, the Company appointed a task force to improve profitability and control expenses. The goal of the task force was to create a better alignment of functions within the Company, to make full utilization of the Company's India development center, to develop a closer relationship between the Company's field operations and customers, to review the sales and implementation models, as well adjust the organization model to flatten management levels, to review the Company's product lines, and to enhance the Company's business model for profitability and operating leverage. This work resulted in an approximate 10% reduction in the Company's workforce, or 2005 Restructuring, and in July 2005 affected employees were notified. As part of the 2005 Restructuring, the Company incurred a one-time restructuring charge of \$1.1 million in the fourth quarter ended September 30, 2005 for severance and termination benefits.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company incurred an additional charge of less than \$0.1 million for additional severance expense for an employee located in France. During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the Company reversed the charge as the Company was not required to pay the severance expense to the employee.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the 2005 Restructuring (in thousands):

|  | Severance<br>and<br>Termination<br>Benefits |
|--|---|
| Reserve balance as of September 30, 2008 | \$ 123                                      |
| Provision adjustment                     | (104)                                       |
| Non-cash                                 | (19)  |
| Cash paid                                | —   |
| Reserve balance as of March 31, 2009     | \$ —  |

## Prior Restructurings

During fiscal year 2002, based upon the Company's continued evaluation of economic conditions in the information technology industry and our expectations regarding revenue levels, the Company restructured several areas so as to reduce expenses and improve revenue per employee, or 2002 Restructuring. As part of the 2002 Restructuring, the Company recorded a total workforce reduction expense relating to severance and termination benefits of approximately \$2.0 million and \$3.8 million for years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. In addition to these costs, the Company accrued lease costs related to excess facilities of \$0.2 million and \$2.8 million during the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively, pertaining to the consolidation of excess facilities relating to lease terminations and non-cancelable lease costs. This expense was recorded net of estimated sublease income based on the then current comparable rates for leases in the respective markets.

During the year ended September 30, 2007, the Company entered into a new sublease for the last remaining facility lease associated with the 2002 Restructuring. As a result of this sublease, rental income was lower than previously estimated as part of the restructure facility reserve, and the Company recorded an additional \$0.4 million of restructuring expense during the year ended September 30, 2007. The sublease term is through the entire remaining term of the Company's lease obligation for the facility.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the 2002 Restructuring (in thousands):

|  | Excess<br>Facilities |
|--|----------------------|
| Reserve balance as of September 30, 2008 | \$ 943               |
| Non-cash                                 | —                    |
| Cash paid                                | (185)                |
| Reserve balance as of March 31, 2009     | \$ 758               |

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

## NOTE 6—COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The components of comprehensive loss are as follows (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |            | Six Months Ended March 31, |          |
|--|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|----------|
|  | 2009                         | 2008       | 2009                       | 2008     |
| Net loss                                       | \$ (3,536)                   | \$ (1,159) | \$ (6,204)                 | \$ (954) |
| Other comprehensive loss:                      |                              |            |                            |          |
| Foreign currency translation gain (loss)       | (818)                        | 685        | (4,312)                    | 713      |
| Net change in unrealized loss from investments | —                            | (9)        | —                          | (4)      |
| Comprehensive loss                             | \$ (4,354)                   | \$ (483)   | \$ (10,516)                | \$ (245) |

## NOTE 7—RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Charles E. Hoffman, a director of the Company, is the former President and Chief Executive Officer of Covad Communications Group, Inc. (“Covad”), a customer of ours. Revenue from Covad was zero and less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively and zero and \$0.1 million for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

David A. Weymouth is a former director of the Company. Through June 2005, Mr. Weymouth was the Corporate Responsibility Director of Barclay’s Group, a customer of ours. Mr. Weymouth terminated his relationship with Barclay’s Group and became an associate with Deloitte & Touche LLP, a prior provider of tax services to the Company. Mr. Weymouth resigned as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company in February 2008.

In February 2008, Dan Gaudreau became a director of the Company. Mr. Gaudreau is the Chief Financial Officer of Actuate Corporation, a provider of licensed technology to the Company. The Company paid royalties to Actuate Corporation of less than \$0.1 million and zero for the three and six months ended March 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

## NOTE 8—BORROWINGS

## Revolving Line of Credit

The Company’s revolving line of credit with Comerica Bank expires on June 7, 2010. The terms of the agreement include a \$5.0 million line of credit, available on a non-formula basis, and requires the Company to (i) maintain at least a \$5.0 million cash balance in Comerica Bank accounts, (ii) maintain a minimum quick ratio of 2 to 1, (iii) maintain a liquidity ratio of at least 1 to 1 at all times, and (iv) subordinate any debt issuances subsequent to the effective date of the agreement, and certain other covenants. All assets of the Company have been pledged as collateral on the credit facility.

The revolving line of credit contains a provision for a sub-limit of up to \$5.0 million for issuances of standby commercial letters of credit. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had utilized \$0.4 million of the standby commercial letters of credit limit which serves as collateral for computer equipment leases for Ness (see Note 9) of approximately \$0.2 million and collateral for a leased facility of approximately \$0.2 million. The revolving line of credit also contains a provision for a sub-limit of up to \$3.0 million for issuances of foreign exchange forward contracts. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had not entered into any foreign exchange forward contracts. Pursuant to the March 2006 amended agreement, the Company is required to secure the standby commercial letters of credit and foreign exchange forward contracts through June 7, 2010. If these have not been secured to Comerica Bank's satisfaction, the Company's cash and cash equivalent balances held by Comerica Bank automatically secure such obligations to the extent of the then continuing or outstanding and undrawn letters of credit or foreign exchange contracts.

Borrowings under the revolving line of credit bear interest at the lending bank's prime rate. Except for the standby commercial letters of credit, as of March 31, 2009, there were no outstanding balances on the revolving line of credit.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (UNAUDITED)

## NOTE 9—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Lease Commitments

The Company leases its facilities and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating leases that expire on various dates through 2013. Rent expense is recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term.

Future minimum lease payments as of March 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

|                                 | Operating<br>Leases | Operating<br>Sublease<br>Income | Net<br>Operating<br>Leases |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Fiscal year ended September 30: |                     |                                 |                            |
| 2009 (remaining six months)     | \$ 1,704            | \$ (121)                        | \$ 1,583                   |
| 2010                            | 3,438               | (293)                           | 3,145                      |
| 2011                            | 2,889               | (86)                            | 2,803                      |
| 2012                            | 2,176               | —                               | 2,176                      |
| 2013                            | 2,019               | —                               | 2,019                      |
| Thereafter                      | 351                 | —                               | 351                        |
| Total minimum payments          | \$ 12,577           | \$ (500)                        | \$ 12,077                  |

Operating lease payments in the table above include approximately \$1.3 million for our Boston, Massachusetts facility operating lease commitment that is included in Restructuring Expense. As of March 31, 2009, the Company has \$0.5 million in sublease income contractually committed for future periods relating to this facility. See Note 5 for further discussions.

The office lease for our Cupertino headquarters was scheduled to expire on December 31, 2008. In July 2008, the Company renewed the lease for a five year period with an option to renew for an additional five years. The table above includes our lease commitment for this facility.

## Asset Retirement Obligations

As required by SFAS No.143 “Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations”, or SFAS 143, and Interpretation No. 47, “Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143”, or FIN 47, the Company recorded an Asset Retirement Obligation (ARO) of approximately \$0.3 million and a corresponding increase in leasehold improvements in the fiscal year 2007. SFAS 143 and FIN 47 requires the recognition of a liability for the fair value of a legally required conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred, if the liability’s fair value can be reasonably estimated. The fair value of the liability is added to the carrying amount of the associated asset and this additional carrying amount is amortized over the life of the asset.

The Company’s asset retirement obligations are associated with commitments to return property subject to operating leases to original condition upon lease termination. As of March 31, 2009, the Company estimated that gross expected cash flows of approximately \$0.3 million will be required to fulfill these obligations.



Asset retirement obligation payments as of March 31, 2009 are included in Other liabilities - non-current and are estimated as follows (in thousands):

18

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

|                                 | Estimated<br>Payments |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Fiscal year ended September 30: |                       |
| 2009 (remaining six months)     | \$ —                  |
| 2010                            | —                     |
| 2011                            | 133                   |
| 2012                            | 156                   |
| Total                           | \$ 289                |

## Other Obligations

The Company entered into an agreement with Ness Technologies Inc., Ness USA, Inc. (formerly Ness Global Services, Inc.) and Ness Technologies India, Ltd. (collectively, “Ness”), effective December 15, 2003, pursuant to which Ness provides the Company’s customers with technical product support through a worldwide help desk facility, a sustaining engineering function that serves as the interface between technical product support and internal engineering organization, product testing services and product development services (collectively, the “Services”). The agreement had an initial term of three years and has been extended annually. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company pays for services rendered on a monthly fee basis, including the requirement to reimburse Ness for approved out-of-pocket expenses. The agreement may be terminated for convenience by the Company, subject to the payment of a termination fee. From 2004 to 2008, the Company further expanded its agreement with Ness whereby Ness is providing certain additional technical and consulting services. In January 2009, the Company extended its agreement with Ness through December 31, 2011 to provide technical and consulting services, however if the Company terminates the agreement for convenience prior to December 31, 2009, it may be required to pay a termination fee no greater than \$0.5 million. In addition to service agreements, the Company has also guaranteed certain equipment lease obligations of Ness (see Note 8). Ness may procure equipment to be used in performance of the Services, either through leasing arrangements or direct cash purchases, for which the Company is obligated under the agreement to reimburse them. In connection with the procurement of equipment, Ness has entered into a 36 month equipment lease agreement with IBM India and, in connection with the lease agreement the Company has an outstanding standby letter of credit in the amount of \$0.2 million in guarantee of Ness’ financial commitments under the lease. Over the term of the lease, the Company’s obligation to reimburse Ness is approximately equal to the amount of the guarantee.

## Indemnification

As permitted under Delaware law, the Company enters into indemnification agreements pursuant to which the Company is obligated to indemnify certain of its officers, directors or employees for certain events or occurrences while the officer, director or employee is, or was, serving at the Company’s request in such capacity. The Company’s Bylaws similarly provide for indemnification of its officers, directors and employees under certain circumstances to the maximum extent permitted under Delaware law. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements and arrangements is unlimited; however, the Company has a Director and Officer insurance policy that limits the Company’s exposure and may enable the Company to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of insurance policy coverage, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements and arrangements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements or arrangements as of March 31, 2009.

The Company enters into standard agreements with indemnification provisions in its ordinary course of business. Pursuant to these agreements, the Company agrees to indemnify, defend, hold harmless, and to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, generally the Company's business partners or customers, in connection with any patent, copyright or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to the Company's products. The term of these agreements is generally perpetual after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these agreements is unlimited. The Company has not incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these agreements. The Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of March 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

The Company enters into arrangements with our business partners whereby the business partners agree to provide services as subcontractors for the Company's implementations. The Company may, at its discretion and in the ordinary course of business, subcontract the performance of any of these services. Accordingly, the Company enters into standard agreements with its customers, whereby the Company indemnifies them for other acts, such as personal property damage, by its subcontractors. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these agreements is unlimited; however, the Company has general and umbrella insurance policies that may enable the Company to recover a portion of any amounts paid. The Company has not incurred significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of March 31, 2009.

When, as part of an acquisition, the Company acquires all of the stock or all of the assets and liabilities of a company, the Company may assume the liability for certain events or occurrences that took place prior to the date of acquisition. The maximum potential amount of future payments, if any, the Company could be required to make for such obligations is undeterminable at this time. Accordingly, the Company has no amounts recorded for these contingent liabilities as of March 31, 2009.

The Company warrants that its software products will perform in all material respects in accordance with standard published specifications and documentation in effect at the time of delivery of the licensed products to the customer for a specified period of time. Additionally, the Company warrants that maintenance and consulting services will be performed consistent with generally accepted industry standards. If necessary, the Company would provide for the estimated cost of product and service warranties based on specific warranty claims and claim history, however, the Company has not incurred significant expense under product or services warranties to date. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value on these warranties is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has no amounts recorded for these contingent liabilities as of March 31, 2009.

NOTE 10—LITIGATION

IPO Laddering

Beginning in July 2001, the Company, and certain of its officers and directors ("Individuals"), were named as defendants in a series of class action stockholder complaints filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "court"), now consolidated under the caption "In re Chordiant Software, Inc. Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, Case No. 01-CV-6222". In the amended complaint, filed in April 2002, the plaintiffs allege that the Company, the Individuals, and the underwriters of the Company's initial public offering ("IPO"), violated Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") based on allegations that the Company's registration statement and prospectus failed to disclose material facts regarding the compensation to be received by, and the stock allocation practices of, the Company's IPO underwriters. The complaint also contains claims against the Individuals for control person liability under Securities Act Section 15 and Exchange Act Section 20. The plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary damages and other relief. Similar complaints were filed in the same court against hundreds of other public companies ("Issuers") that conducted IPO's of their common stock in the late 1990's or in the year 2000 (collectively, the "IPO Lawsuits").

In August 2001, all of the IPO Lawsuits were consolidated for pretrial purposes before United States Judge Shira Scheindlin. In July 2002, the Company joined in a global motion to dismiss the IPO Lawsuits filed by all of the Issuers, among others. In October 2002, the court entered an order dismissing the Individuals from the IPO Lawsuits without prejudice, pursuant to an agreement tolling the statute of limitations with respect to the Individuals. In February 2003, the court issued a decision denying the motion to dismiss against the Company and many of the other Issuers.

In June 2003, Issuers and plaintiffs reached a tentative settlement agreement that would, among other things, result in the dismissal with prejudice of all claims against the Issuers and Individuals in the IPO Lawsuits, and the assignment to plaintiffs of certain potential claims that the Issuers may have against the underwriters. The tentative settlement also provides that in the event that plaintiffs ultimately recover less than a guaranteed sum of \$1 billion from the IPO underwriters, plaintiffs would be entitled to payment by each participating Issuer's insurer of a pro rata share of any shortfall in the

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

plaintiffs' guaranteed recovery. In September 2003, in connection with the possible settlement, those Individuals who had entered tolling agreements with plaintiffs (described above) agreed to extend those agreements so that they would not expire prior to any settlement being finalized. In June 2004, the Company and almost all of the other Issuers entered into a formal settlement agreement with the plaintiffs. On February 15, 2005, the court issued a decision certifying a class action for settlement purposes, and granting preliminary approval of the settlement subject to modification of certain bar orders contemplated by the settlement. On August 31, 2005, the court reaffirmed class certification and preliminary approval of the modified settlement in a comprehensive Order, and directed that Notice of the settlement be published and mailed to class members beginning November 15, 2005. On February 24, 2006, the court dismissed litigation filed against certain underwriters in connection with the claims to be assigned to the plaintiffs under the settlement. On April 24, 2006, the court held a Final Fairness Hearing to determine whether to grant final approval of the settlement. On December 5, 2006, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the court's earlier decision certifying as class actions the six IPO Lawsuits designated as "focus cases." Thereafter, the court ordered a stay of all proceedings in all of the IPO Lawsuits pending the outcome of plaintiffs' petition to the Second Circuit for rehearing en banc. On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit denied plaintiffs' rehearing petition, but clarified that the plaintiffs may seek to certify a more limited class in the Court. Accordingly, the settlement will not be finally approved. Plaintiffs filed amended complaints with the court in six "focus cases" on or about August 14, 2007. The Company is not a focus case. In September 2007, the Company's named officers and directors again extended the tolling agreement with plaintiffs. On or about September 27, 2007, plaintiffs moved to certify the classes alleged in the focus cases and to appoint class representatives and class counsel in those cases. The focus case Issuers filed motions to dismiss the claims against them on or about November 9, 2007 and an opposition to plaintiffs' motion for class certification on December 21, 2007. On March 16, 2008, the court denied the motions to dismiss in the focus cases. On October 2, 2008, the plaintiffs withdrew their class certification motion. On February 25, 2009, liaison counsel for plaintiffs informed the court that a settlement of the IPO Lawsuits had been agreed to in principle, subject to formal approval by the parties and preliminary and final approval by the court. On April 2, 2009, the parties submitted a tentative settlement agreement to the court and moved for preliminary approval thereof. If approved, the settlement would result in the dismissal of all claims against the Company and the Individuals with prejudice, and the Company's pro rata share of the settlement fund will be fully funded by insurance. This action may divert the efforts and attention of our management and, if determined adversely to us, could have a material impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

Yue vs. Chordiant Software, Inc.

On January 2, 2008, the Company and certain of our officers and one other employee were named in a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by Dongxiao Yue under the caption "Dongxiao Yue v. Chordiant Software, Inc. et al. Case No. CV 08-0019 (N.D. Cal.)". The complaint alleged that the Company's Marketing Director software product infringed copyrights in certain software referred to as the "PowerRPC software", copyrights that had been owned by Netbula LLC ("Netbula") and assigned to Mr. Yue, the sole employee and owner of Netbula. The alleged infringement included (a) distributing more copies of the PowerRPC software than had originally been authorized in a run time license Netbula granted to Chordiant Software, International, (b) infringement of a software developer kit ("SDK") by making copies of the SDK in excess of those that had been licensed by Netbula, (c) making unauthorized derivative works of the SDK, (d) unauthorized distribution of PowerRPC for products operating on the Windows Vista platform, and (e) unauthorized distribution of PowerRPC for server based products. The plaintiff sought monetary damages, disgorgement of profits, and injunctive relief according to proof. On February 5, 2008, the Company and its officers and employee filed a motion to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted, and as to lack of personal jurisdiction as to one employee. On July 23, 2008, the

court issued an order that (1) denied plaintiff's motion to disqualify counsel; (2) granted one employee's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, with prejudice, and (3) granted the Company's motion to dismiss, ruling that Netbula is the real party in interest and must appear through counsel. The court ruled that Netbula could file an amended complaint within 45 days and join Mr. Yue as an individual plaintiff at that time.

On September 9, 2008, plaintiffs Dongxiao Yue and Netbula filed a First Amended Complaint asserting four causes of action relating to the Company's alleged unauthorized use and distribution of plaintiffs' PowerRPC software: claims for copyright infringement, unfair competition, and "accession and confusion of property" against the Company, and a claim for vicarious copyright infringement against the Company's Chief Executive Officer and its former Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary (the "individual defendants").

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

On September 20, 2008, the parties filed a stipulation allowing plaintiffs to file a Second Amended Complaint asserting the two causes of action for copyright infringement and vicarious copyright infringement, but not including the unfair competition and accession and confusion claims. The Second Amended Complaint seeks monetary damages, disgorgement of profits, and injunctive relief according to proof. On November 10, 2008, the Company answered the complaint and asserted various affirmative defenses, including that the plaintiffs' claims are barred by the existence of an express or implied license from the plaintiffs. The court has allowed discovery to proceed on this license-based defense and set April 9, 2009 for a hearing. On March 2, 2009, the Company filed a motion for summary judgment based on this defense. On April 2, 2009, the court issued an order notifying the parties that it would take the motion under submission without oral argument.

On November 10, 2008, the individual defendants filed a motion to dismiss on grounds that the plaintiffs failed to state a claim as to them. On March 20, 2009, the court granted the motion to dismiss with leave for plaintiffs to amend their complaint. Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Complaint on April 6, 2009, and the Company and individual defendants answered the complaint on April 23, 2009 and asserted various affirmative defenses.

This action may divert the efforts and attention of our management and, if determined adversely to us, could have a material impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

The Company, from time to time, is also subject to various other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The ultimate disposition of these various other claims and legal actions is not expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties.

NOTE 11—INCOME TAXES

Effective October 1, 2007, the Company adopted FIN No. 48 "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109". FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement guidance for the financial statement reporting of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a company's income tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance related to recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition matters related to uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 utilizes a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with SFAS 109. Step one, recognition, requires a company to determine if the weight of available evidence indicates that a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon audit, including the resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. Step two, measurement, is based on the largest amount of benefit which is more likely than not to be realized on ultimate settlement. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48, if any, is recorded as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings as of the adoption date.

The net income tax assets recognized under FIN 48 did not materially differ from the net assets recognized before adoption, and, therefore, the Company did not record an adjustment to retained earnings related to the adoption of FIN 48. At the adoption date of October 1, 2007, the Company had \$0.8 million of unrecognized tax benefits related to tax positions taken in prior periods, \$0.2 million of which would affect the Company's effective tax rate if recognized. From October 1, 2008 through March 31, 2009, unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$0.3 million due to additional accrued interest and penalties and uncertain tax return positions filed during the period. As of March 31, 2009, we had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.3 million.



The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the Provision for Income Taxes. The Company had less than \$0.1 million accrued for interest and penalties as of March 31, 2009.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and foreign jurisdictions. With few exceptions, all U.S. federal, state and United Kingdom tax years between 1995 and 2008 remain open to examination due to net operating loss carryforwards and credit carryforwards. Tax years 2003 and later remain open to examination in Canada and years 2004 and later remain open to examination in Germany.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

Tax audits of the 2005 tax year are currently in process in the Netherlands. The Company does not expect resolution of these audits to have a material impact on our financial statements and the Company does not expect a significant increase or decrease in unrecognized tax benefits over the next 12 months.

At March 31, 2009, the Company has \$71.7 million in gross deferred tax assets (DTAs) attributable principally to net operating losses (NOLs) and to a lesser extent temporary differences relating to deferred revenue. Historically, the Company maintained a 100% valuation allowance on DTAs because it previously was unable to conclude that it is more-likely-than-not as of March 31, 2009 that it will realize the tax benefits of these DTAs. Based on recent operating results and the reorganization of the Company's intellectual property into the U.S., current projections of disaggregated future taxable income has enabled the Company to conclude that it is more-likely-than-not as of March 31, 2009 that it will have future taxable income sufficient to realize \$5.9 million of tax benefits from its deferred tax assets, which consist of that portion of net deferred tax assets attributable to NOLs residing in the United Kingdom. The Company released (eliminated) the valuation allowance on its DTAs related to the United Kingdom, of which \$9.5 million was recognized in the period ended September 30, 2008 as an offsetting reduction to goodwill (representing pre-acquisition NOLs). Beginning October 1, 2008 and through future periods, the Company expects to incur tax expense related to the United Kingdom which will result in an increase in overall expense; however, to the extent that such tax expense is offset by the utilization of NOLs, the recognition of this additional tax expense will be a non-cash item.

At March 31, 2009, the Company's provision for income taxes was \$2.8 million for the six months period then ended. Of this total, \$2.0 million was related to a non-cash deferred tax expense for the recognition of taxable income in the United Kingdom. The Company also had unrecoverable withholding taxes related to sales transactions that occurred in Turkey, Poland, Spain and India. The remainder of the Company's provision is attributable to taxes on earnings from the Company's foreign subsidiaries.

The remaining balance of gross deferred tax assets was generated in the U.S. With respect to U.S. generated deferred tax assets, the Company recorded a full valuation allowance as the future realization of the tax benefit is not considered by management to be more likely than not. The Company's estimate of future taxable income considers available positive and negative evidence regarding current and future operations, including projections of income in various states and foreign jurisdictions. The Company believes the estimate of future taxable income is reasonable; however, it is inherently uncertain, and if future operations generate taxable income greater than projected, further adjustments to reduce the valuation allowance are possible. Conversely, if the Company realizes unforeseen material losses in the future, or the ability to generate future taxable income necessary to realize a portion of the net deferred tax asset is materially reduced, additions to the valuation allowance could be recorded. At March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, the balance of deferred tax valuation allowance was approximately \$65.9 million.

Under the Tax Reform Act of 1986, the amounts of, and the benefit from, net operating losses that can be carried forward may be impaired or limited in certain circumstances. Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), as amended, a cumulative stock ownership change of more than 50% over a three-year period can cause such limitations. The Company has analyzed its historical ownership changes and removed any net operating loss carryforwards that will expire unutilized from its deferred tax balances as a result of an IRC 382 limitation.

On September 30, 2008, approximately \$35.5 million of additional net operating loss and capital allowance carryforwards were generated in the United Kingdom, none of which will expire. The Company had net operating loss carryforwards for federal and state income tax purposes of approximately \$139.1 million and \$26.4 million,

respectively. The Company generated pre-acquisition net operating losses related to Prime Response. Of the total \$33.4 million of pre-acquisition net operating losses generated, approximately \$19.6 million of pre-acquisition net operating losses expired unutilized as a result of an IRC Section 382 study. Upon being realized, the remaining \$13.8 million of pre-acquisition net operating loss carryforwards will reduce goodwill and intangibles recorded at the date of acquisition before reducing the tax provision. Approximately \$4.1 million of additional net operating loss carryforwards are related to stock option deductions which, if utilized, will be accounted for as an addition to equity rather than as a reduction of the provision for income taxes. These carryforwards are available to offset future federal and state taxable income and expire in fiscal years 2011 through 2028 and 2009 through 2028, respectively. On September 30, 2008, there were approximately \$1.4 million of federal research and development credits that expire in 2025 through 2028. In addition, there are alternative minimum tax credits of approximately \$0.1 million that do not expire. On September 30, 2008, there were also state credits of approximately \$3.7 million of which \$3.6 million do not expire.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

On September 23, 2008, the state of California enacted tax legislation on the utilization of net operating losses and credit limitations. Beginning in fiscal year 2009, any California net operating losses that the Company generates will have a 20 year carryforward period and effective for fiscal year 2012, will have a two year carryback period. In addition, for fiscal year 2009 through fiscal year 2010, the Company will be unable to utilize California net operating losses as they are being temporarily disallowed as a result of this legislation. This may give rise to tax expense for any such taxable income rising out of the disallowable 2 year period. Any disallowed California net operating losses that cannot be utilized during the disallowed period will be extended by two years. For fiscal year 2012, the carryback amount cannot exceed 50% of the net operating loss, for fiscal year 2013, the carryback cannot exceed 75% of the net operating loss, and for fiscal year 2014, the carryback cannot exceed 100% of the net operating loss.

Beginning in fiscal year 2009, California business tax credits will be limited to 50% of the Company's tax liability. The carryover period for disallowed credit will be extended by the number of tax years that the credit was disallowed.

NOTE 12—EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

2005 Equity Incentive Plan

As of March 31, 2009, there were approximately 2.0 million shares available for future grant and approximately 4.0 million options that were outstanding under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2005 Plan. In the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the Board amended the 2005 Plan to incorporate the following changes:

1. amended the 2005 Plan to increase the number of shares reserved for future issuance by 0.7 million shares. This amendment was approved by the stockholders at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders' held on January 28, 2009.
2. granted 0.5 million RSUs, equal to an equivalent number of shares of Common Stock, to executive officers and management team members. Vesting of the shares are time based with one third of the RSU's vesting each year after the date of grant for a period of three years. In the event of certain changes in control of the Company, any unvested shares would automatically vest.

In October 2007, the Company granted 0.2 million performance-based RSUs to selected executives of the Company pursuant to the 2005 Plan. The performance-based RSUs cliff vest at the end of a two year requisite service period, constituting the Company's fiscal years 2008 and 2009, upon achievement of specified performance criteria established by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. The award agreements for RSUs generally provide that vesting will be accelerated in certain events related to changes in control of the Company. Total compensation cost for these awards is based on the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. The portion of the total compensation cost related to the performance-based awards is subject to adjustment each quarter based on management's assessment of the likelihood of achieving the two year performance criteria. As of March 31, 2009, management believes achieving the two year performance criteria is unlikely.

In the quarter ended March 31, 2009, the Company granted 67,500 RSUs, equal to an equivalent number of shares of Common Stock, to management team members. Vesting of the shares are time based with one third of the RSU's vesting each year after the date of grant for a period of three years.

2000 Nonstatutory Equity Incentive Plan

As of March 31, 2009, there were approximately 0.3 million options outstanding under the 2000 Nonstatutory Equity Incentive Plan.

#### 1999 Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan

As of March 31, 2009, there were approximately 0.1 million shares of common stock are available for future grant and 0.2 million options that are outstanding under the 1999 Non-Employee Directors' Option Plan or Directors' Plan. On November 19, 2008, the Board amended the Directors' Plan, which amendment did not require stockholder approval, such that the maximum number of shares of restricted stock that a Board member may receive in connection with the annual grant

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

of restricted stock under the Directors' Plan be limited to 15,000 shares. The Company granted Board members restricted stock awards on January 28, 2009.

#### Shareholder Rights Plan

On July 7, 2008, the Board of Directors adopted the Shareholder Rights Plan. See Note 12 to the 2008 Form 10-K filed with the SEC for more detailed information. In conjunction with the Shareholder Rights Plan, the Company proposed a non-binding resolution in the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to approve the Shareholder Rights Plan. On January 28, 2009, at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders did not approve the non-binding resolution approving the Shareholder Rights Plan. On April 29, 2009, the Board considered the stockholders action at the 2009 Annual Meeting and elected not to redeem the rights issued under the Shareholder Rights Plan.

#### Stock Option Activity

The following table summarizes stock option activity under our stock option plans (in thousands, except per share data):

|   | Shares | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Outstanding<br>Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Life<br>(Years) | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value<br>Closing<br>Price at<br>3/31/2009<br>of \$3.03 |
|---|--------|--|---|--|
| Balance at September 30, 2008                 | 3,662  | \$ 8.19                                  |   |  |
| Granted                                       | 893    | 2.85                                     |   |  |
| Options exercised                             | (11)   | 1.56                                     |   |  |
| Options cancelled/forfeited                   | (549)  | 8.62                                     |   |  |
| Balance at March 31, 2009                     | 3,995  | \$ 6.96                                  | 6.48  | \$ 559   |
| Vested and expected to vest at March 31, 2009 | 3,491  | \$ 6.94                                  | 6.31  | \$ 490   |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2009                 | 2,232  | \$ 7.51                                  | 5.91  | \$ 161   |

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2009 (in thousands, except exercise prices and contractual life data):

| Range of<br>Exercise Prices | Number<br>Outstanding | Options Outstanding  |  |  | Options Exercisable   |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|
|                             |                       | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Contractual<br>Life<br>(Years) | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value<br>Closing<br>Price at<br>3/31/2009<br>of \$3.03 | Number<br>Exercisable | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value<br>Closing<br>Price at<br>3/31/2009<br>of \$3.03 |

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

|                |       |      |    |       |    |     |       |    |       |    |     |
|----------------|-------|------|----|-------|----|-----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|
| \$0.35 – 2.32  | 714   | 4.44 | \$ | 2.30  | \$ | 524 | 159   | \$ | 2.22  | \$ | 128 |
| 2.50 – 4.75    | 501   | 6.64 |    | 4.03  |    | 35  | 307   |    | 3.76  |    | 33  |
| 4.90 – 7.50    | 544   | 5.67 |    | 6.35  |    | —   | 406   |    | 6.51  |    | —   |
| 7.53 – 8.15    | 524   | 6.58 |    | 7.89  |    | —   | 408   |    | 7.90  |    | —   |
| 8.25 – 8.28    | 602   | 7.62 |    | 8.25  |    | —   | 352   |    | 8.25  |    | —   |
| 8.35 – 9.25    | 687   | 8.01 |    | 9.13  |    | —   | 299   |    | 9.06  |    | —   |
| 9.26 – 45.00   | 423   | 6.54 |    | 12.55 |    | —   | 301   |    | 12.54 |    | —   |
| \$0.35 – 45.00 | 3,995 | 6.48 | \$ | 6.96  | \$ | 559 | 2,232 | \$ | 7.51  | \$ | 161 |

25

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$3.03 as of March 31, 2009, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 was less than \$0.1 million for both periods and less than \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million for the three and six months ended March 31, 2008, respectively. As of March 31, 2009, total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock options was \$5.0 million, which is expected to be recognized as expense over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.1 years. As of March 31, 2008, total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock options was \$6.3 million, which was expected to be recognized as expense over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.8 years.

#### Stock Award Activity

Our non-vested stock awards are comprised of restricted stock and restricted stock units. The following table summarizes the stock awards activity (in thousands, except per share data):

| Non-vested Stock Awards                  | RSAs | RSUs | Total of<br>Shares<br>Number<br>Underlying<br>Awards | Weighted<br>Average<br>Grant<br>Date Fair<br>Value |
|--|------|------|--|--|
| Non-vested balance at September 30, 2008 | 71   | 0    | 71   | 8.44   |
| Awarded                                  | 90   | 588  | 678  | 2.42   |
| Vested/Released                          | (71) | —    | (71)   | (8.44)   |
| Forfeited                                | —    | (50) | (50)   | 2.32   |
| Non-vested balance at March 31, 2009     | 90   | 538  | 628  | 2.43   |

On January 28, 2009, the Company's Board members (excluding the CEO) were granted 90,000 RSAs for their annual service award under the Directors' Plan. The aggregate intrinsic value of unvested RSAs based upon the Company's closing price of \$3.03 as of March 31, 2009 was \$0.3 million. The fair value of shares vested pursuant to RSAs was \$0.6 million. As of March 31, 2009, total unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested RSAs was \$0.2 million which is expected to be recognized as expense over a weighted average period of approximately 0.8 year.

Restricted stock units granted by the Company are equal to an equivalent number of shares of the Company's Common Stock. Vesting of the shares are time based with one third of the RSU's vesting each year after the date of grant for a period of three years. The aggregate intrinsic value of RSUs based upon the Company's closing price of \$3.03 as of March 31, 2009 was \$1.6 million. As of March 31, 2009, total unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested RSUs was \$1.2 million which is expected to be recognized as expense over a weighted average period of approximately 2.7 years. There were no vested RSUs during the period.

In October 2007, the Company granted 0.2 million performance-based RSUs to selected executives of the Company pursuant to the 2005 Plan. These RSUs are excluded from the table above. Based upon management's assessment of the likelihood of achieving the two year performance criteria, the Company has estimated that zero out of a maximum of 0.2 million of unvested RSUs with an average fair value of \$13.99 per unit will be awarded at the end of the measurement period. During the six months ended March 31, 2009, zero stock compensation expense related to the



performance-based RSUs has been recognized. During the six months ended March 31, 2008, \$0.2 million of stock compensation expense was recorded but was subsequently reversed as the likelihood of achieving the two year performance criteria was unlikely. If the maximum target of RSUs outstanding were assumed to be earned, total unrecognized compensation costs would be approximately \$2.3 million which would be expected to be recognized as expense over a weighted average period of approximately 6 months.

The Company settles stock option exercises, RSAs and RSUs with newly issued common shares.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

## Valuation and Expense Information under SFAS 123(R)

On October 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to the Company's employees and directors including stock options, RSAs, and RSUs based on estimated fair values. The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to stock options, RSAs, and RSUs for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which was allocated as follows (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |        | Six Months Ended March 31, |          |
|--|------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|----------|
|  | 2009                         | 2008   | 2009                       | 2008     |
| Stock-based compensation expense:      |                              |        |                            |          |
| Cost of revenues, service              | \$ 145                       | \$ 109 | \$ 279                     | \$ 262   |
| Sales and marketing                    | 218                          | 230    | 474                        | 471      |
| Research and development               | 119                          | 144    | 228                        | 343      |
| General and administrative             | 459                          | 498    | 925                        | 1,081    |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$ 941                       | \$ 981 | \$ 1,906                   | \$ 2,157 |

The weighted-average estimated fair value of stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$1.39 and \$2.96 per share, respectively, and for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$1.18 and \$4.30, respectively, using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

|                          | Three Months Ended March 31, |      | Six Months Ended March 31, |      |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|------|----------------------------|------|
|                          | 2009                         | 2008 | 2009                       | 2008 |
| Expected lives in years  | 4.1                          | 3.7  | 2.8                        | 3.5  |
| Risk free interest rates | 1.8%                         | 2.3% | 1.6%                       | 3.3% |
| Volatility               | 64%                          | 61%  | 62%                        | 60%  |
| Dividend yield           | 0%                           | 0%   | 0%                         | 0%   |

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the weighted-average assumptions for volatility, expected term, and risk free interest rate. With the adoption of SFAS 123(R) on October 1, 2005, the Company used the trinomial lattice valuation technique to determine the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model. The trinomial lattice valuation technique was used to provide a better estimate of fair values and meet the fair value objectives of SFAS 123(R). The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury rates in effect during the corresponding period of grant. The expected volatility rate is based on the historical volatility of our stock price.

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Our estimated forfeiture rate for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was based on our historical forfeiture experience.

Accuracy of Fair Value Estimates

The Company uses third-party analyses to assist in developing the assumptions based on a trinomial lattice valuation technique used in the Black-Scholes model. The Company is responsible for determining the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of share-based payment awards.

27

---

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

Our determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by the Company's stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the Company's expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. Because the Company's employee and director stock options have certain characteristics that are significantly different from traded options, and because changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimated value, in management's opinion, the existing valuation models may not provide an accurate measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options, RSAs, and RSUs. Although the fair value of stock options, RSAs, and RSUs is determined in accordance with SFAS 123(R) and Staff Accounting Bulletin 107, Share-based Payment using an option-pricing model, that value may not be indicative of the fair value observed in a willing buyer/willing seller market transaction.

## NOTE 13—SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our chief operating decision maker reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by desegregated information about revenues by geographic regions for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. Accordingly, the Company has concluded that the Company has one reportable segment.

The following table summarizes license revenue by product emphasis (in thousands):

|                               | Three Months Ended March 31, |          | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|
|                               | 2009                         | 2008     | 2009                       | 2008      |
| License Revenue:              |                              |          |                            |           |
| Enterprise solutions          | \$ 2,442                     | \$ 3,870 | \$ 3,986                   | \$ 10,084 |
| Marketing solutions           | 739                          | 579      | 3,120                      | 1,293     |
| Decision management solutions | 1,106                        | 358      | 5,122                      | 2,237     |
| Total                         | \$ 4,287                     | \$ 4,807 | \$ 12,228                  | \$ 13,614 |

The following table summarizes service revenue consisting of consulting implementation and integration, consulting customization, training, PCS, and certain reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses by product emphasis (in thousands):

|                               | Three Months Ended March 31, |           | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|
|                               | 2009                         | 2008      | 2009                       | 2008      |
| Service Revenue:              |                              |           |                            |           |
| Enterprise solutions          | \$ 8,108                     | \$ 13,439 | \$ 17,770                  | \$ 28,649 |
| Marketing solutions           | 2,210                        | 3,070     | 5,161                      | 6,188     |
| Decision management solutions | 3,398                        | 3,400     | 6,220                      | 5,399     |
| Total                         | \$ 13,716                    | \$ 19,909 | \$ 29,151                  | \$ 40,236 |

Foreign revenues are based on the country in which the customer order is generated. The following is a summary of total revenues by geographic area (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |      | Six Months Ended March 31, |      |
|--|------------------------------|------|----------------------------|------|
|  | 2009                         | 2008 | 2009                       | 2008 |

Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

|               |           |           |           |           |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| North America | \$ 7,968  | \$ 14,671 | \$ 16,050 | \$ 30,262 |
| Europe        | 10,035    | 10,045    | 25,329    | 23,588    |
| Total         | \$ 18,003 | \$ 24,716 | \$ 41,379 | \$ 53,850 |

Included in foreign revenue results for Europe is revenue from the United Kingdom of \$4.6 million and \$10.7 million for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and \$6.0 and \$12.1 million for the three and six months ended March 31, 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

Net property and equipment information is based on the physical location of the assets. The following is a summary of net property and equipment by geographic area (in thousands):

|               | March 31,<br>2009 | September<br>30,<br>2008 |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| North America | \$ 1,806          | \$ 2,250                 |
| Europe        | 621               | 915                      |
| Total         | \$ 2,427          | \$ 3,165                 |

## NOTE 14—STOCK REPURCHASE

On February 28, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to \$25 million of the Company's common stock over a one year period, or 2008 Repurchase Plan, which started on March 4, 2008. In conjunction with the 2008 Repurchase Plan, the Company entered into a written trading plan with a broker under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, to effect the repurchases. On April 30, 2008, the Company terminated the 2008 Repurchase Plan after repurchasing a total of 3.4 million shares of common stock for \$18.6 million at an average price of \$5.55 per share. Repurchased shares were immediately retired and resumed the status of authorized but unissued shares.

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes included in this report and the 2008 Audited Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2008 filed with the SEC. Operating results are not necessarily indicative of results that may occur in future periods.

The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements. These statements are based on our current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about our business and our industry, and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our or our industry's results, levels of activity, performance or achievement to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied in or contemplated by the forward-looking statements. Words such as "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "will," "may," "should," "estimate," "predict," "guidance," "potential," "continue" or such terms or other similar expressions, identify forward-looking statements. Our actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including but not limited to, those discussed under the subheading "Risk Factors" and those discussed elsewhere in this report, in our other SEC filings and under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2008 Form 10-K. Chordiant undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events after the date of this report.

#### Overview

We generate substantially all of our revenues from insurance, healthcare, telecommunications, financial services and retail markets. Our customers typically fund purchases of our software and services out of their lines of business and information technology budgets. As a result, our revenues are heavily influenced by our customers' long-term business outlook and willingness to invest in new enterprise information systems and business applications.

Our business, like other businesses, faces the potential effects of the global economic recession. Unprecedented market conditions include illiquid credit markets, volatile equity markets, dramatic fluctuations in foreign currency rates and economic recession, all of which have adversely impacted our business.

Our operations and performance depend on our customers having adequate resources to purchase our products and services. The unprecedented turmoil in the credit markets and the global economic downturn generally will adversely impact our customers and potential customers. These economic conditions have continued to deteriorate despite government intervention globally, and may remain volatile and uncertain for the foreseeable future. Customers may alter their purchasing activities in response to a lack of credit, economic uncertainty and concern about the stability of markets in general, and these customers may reduce, delay or terminate purchases of our products and services or other sales activities that affect purchases of our products and services. If we are unable to adequately respond to changes in demand resulting from deteriorating economic conditions, our financial condition and operating results may be materially and adversely affected.

Several of our current and prior customers have recently merged with others, been forced to raise significant levels of new capital, or received funds and/or equity infusions from regulators or governmental entities. This list of companies is extensive and includes Wachovia Corporation, AIG, Halifax Bank of Scotland, Royal Bank of Scotland, Barclays, and Lloyds. The impact of these mergers and changes in ownership on Chordiant's near term business is uncertain. Customers who have recently reorganized, merged or face new regulations may delay or terminate their software purchasing decisions, and as an acquired or merged entity may lose the ability to make such purchasing decisions, resulting in declines in our bookings, revenues and cash flows. Alternatively, merged customers may expand the use of our software across the larger entity resulting in opportunities for us to sell additional software and services.

Total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009 decreased \$5.4 million or 23% from the three months ended December 31, 2008. License revenue decreased \$3.7 million as we completed fewer license transactions at lower average prices. Service revenue decreased \$1.7 million from the three months ended December 31, 2008. The decrease in service revenue was primarily composed of decreases of \$1.0 million in consulting revenue, \$0.5 million in support and maintenance revenue, \$0.1 million in training revenue, and \$0.1 million in expense reimbursement revenue.

#### Software Industry Consolidation and Possible Increased Competition

The enterprise software industry continues to undergo consolidation in sectors of the software industry in which we operate. In 2007 and 2008 IBM acquired ILOG, Cognos, DataMirror and Watchfire Corporation; Oracle completed its



## Table of Contents

acquisitions of Hyperion, Moniforce and BEA Systems; Sun Microsystems acquired MySQL and SAP acquired BusinessObjects, YASU Technologies and Pilot Software. While we do not believe that ILOG, Cognos, DataMirror, Watchfire Corporation, Hyperion, Moniforce, BEA Systems, MySQL, BusinessObjects, YASU Technologies, or Pilot have been significant competitors of Chordiant in the past, the acquisition of these companies by IBM, Oracle, Sun Microsystems and SAP may indicate that we may face increased competition from larger and more established entities in the future. In addition, Oracle recently announced their intent to acquire Sun Microsystems.

## Financial Trends

**Backlog.** As of March 31, 2009 and 2008, we had approximately \$44.6 million and \$93.5 million in backlog, respectively, which we define as contractual commitments made by our customers through purchase orders or contracts. Backlog is comprised of:

- software license orders for which the delivered products have not been accepted by customers or have not otherwise met all of the required criteria for revenue recognition. This component includes billed amounts classified as deferred revenue;
- contractual commitments received from customers through purchase orders or contracts that have yet to be delivered;
- deferred revenue from customer support contracts; and
- consulting service orders representing the unbilled remaining balances of consulting contracts not yet completed or delivered, plus deferred consulting revenue where we have not otherwise met all of the required criteria for revenue recognition. Consulting service orders that have expired are excluded from backlog.

The \$48.9 million decline in total backlog over the past twelve month period is due to declines of approximately \$28.0 million, \$10.8 million and \$10.1 million in the areas of software licenses, customer support contracts and professional services consulting contracts, respectively. Backlog has declined sequentially over each of the past five fiscal quarters. The declines in backlog are primarily due to revenue on previously signed transactions being recognized at a faster pace than new transactions are being consummated. Each category of backlog has also been unfavorably impacted by recent foreign exchange rate changes, as significant portions of the underlying balances are denominated in Euros or Pounds Sterling.

The decline in backlog and the associated deferred revenue balances will adversely affect revenues in future periods and our ability to forecast future revenues will be diminished. Because our backlog has declined, the financial results of future periods will be more dependent upon the signing of new transactions. Accordingly, the level of future revenues will be less predictable. If average quarterly aggregate bookings remain at the \$15.7 million levels achieved during the past twelve months, future losses would be incurred unless operating expenses are reduced.

With respect to the decline in the backlog of professional services consulting contracts, as some customers recently delayed or canceled projects, statements of work for professional services either expired unutilized or were canceled. For the six months ended March 31, 2009 these items aggregated \$4.6 million and were removed from backlog at the date of the expiration or cancellation. While additional significant cancellations are not contemplated, such events could cause further declines.

Backlog at March 31, 2009 includes approximately \$6.6 million of licenses and support balances relating to a large telecommunications customer commitment, the majority of which is expected to be recognized as revenue in the quarter ending June 30, 2009. Accordingly, the balance of backlog may continue to decline in the near term if bookings are not sufficient to offset the amounts expected to be recognized as revenue.

Backlog is not necessarily indicative of revenues to be recognized in a specified future period. There are many factors that would impact Chordiant's conversion of backlog as recognizable revenue, such as Chordiant's progress in completing projects for its customers, Chordiant's customers' meeting anticipated schedules for customer-dependent deliverables and customers increasing the scope or duration of a contract causing license revenue to be deferred for a longer period of time.

A significant portion of our revenues have been derived from large customer transactions. For some of these transactions, the associated professional services provided to the customer can span over a period greater than one year. If the services delivery period is over a prolonged period of time, it will cause the associated backlog of services to be recognized as revenue over a similar period of time.

Table of Contents

Chordiant provides no assurances that any portion of its backlog will be recognized as revenue during any fiscal year or at all, or that its backlog will be recognized as revenues in any given period. In addition, it is possible that customers from whom we expect to derive revenue from backlog will default and, as a result, we may not be able to recognize expected revenue from backlog.

Implementation by Third Parties. Over time as our products mature and system integrators become more familiar with our products, our involvement with implementations has diminished on some projects. If this trend continues, certain agreements with customers may transition from a contract accounting model (SOP81-1) to a more traditional revenue model whereby revenues are recorded upon delivery (SOP 97-2).

Service Revenue. Service revenue as a percentage of total revenues were 76% and 81% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 70% and 75% for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. While the composition of revenue will continue to fluctuate on a quarterly basis, we expect that service revenue will represent between 55% and 70% of our total revenues in the future.

Revenues from International Customers versus North America Revenues. For all periods presented, revenues were principally derived from customer accounts in North America and Europe. For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, international revenues were \$10.0 million for both periods, or approximately 56% and 41% of our total revenues, respectively. For the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, international revenues were \$25.3 million and \$23.6 million, or approximately 61% and 44% of our total revenues, respectively. We believe that international revenue will represent a larger portion of our total revenues as we expand into emerging markets.

For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, North America revenues were \$8.0 million and \$14.7 million, or approximately 44% and 59% of our total revenues, respectively. For the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, North America revenues were \$16.0 million and \$30.3 million, or approximately 39% and 56% of total revenues, respectively. The decrease for the three and six months was primarily due to fewer number of transactions with transactions of smaller magnitude. We believe North America revenues will continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenues in the foreseeable future.

Gross Margins. Management focuses on license and service gross margins in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance. Gross margins on license revenues were 98% and 94% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 98% and 95% for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. The increase in margin for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 is primarily a function of the fixed periodic amortization costs associated with capitalized software. We fully amortized the costs in fiscal year 2008. We expect license gross margin on current products to range from 96% to 98% in the foreseeable future. The margin will fluctuate with the mix of products sold. Historically, the enterprise solution products have higher associated third party royalty expense than the marketing solution products and decision management products.

Gross margins on service revenue were 58% and 57% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively and 57% and 58% for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We expect that gross margins on service revenue to range between 50% and 60% in the foreseeable future.

Reductions in Workforce. In October 2008, we initiated a restructuring plan, the 2009 Restructuring, intended to align its resources and cost structure with expected future revenues. The 2009 Restructuring plan includes reductions in headcount and third party consultants across all functional areas in both North America and Europe. The 2009 Restructuring plan includes a reduction of approximately 13% of our permanent workforce. A significant portion of the positions eliminated were in North America.

As a result of the cost-cutting measures, we recorded a pre-tax cash restructuring charge in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, of approximately \$0.9 million, including \$ 0.8 million for severance costs and \$0.1 million for other contract termination costs. As of March 31, 2009, all payments have been made.

On May 1, 2008, we implemented a reduction of approximately 10% of our workforce. We reduced our headcount across all functions of the organization. We reallocated resources in support of growth opportunities in emerging markets as well as adding headcount in revenue generating areas such as sales and alliances. We incurred approximately \$0.5 million in expenses in the third quarter of fiscal year 2008 in connection with this reduction of force. As these costs did not meet the criteria of SFAS 146 to qualify as restructuring expenses, the expenses were charged as operating expenses to the respective functional areas.

## Table of Contents

In July 2005, we undertook an approximate 10% reduction in our workforce. In connection with this action, we incurred a one-time cash expense of approximately \$1.1 million in the fourth quarter ended September 30, 2005 for severance benefits. During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, we incurred an additional charge of less than \$0.1 million for additional severance expense for an employee located in France. During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, we reversed the charge as we were not required to pay the severance expense to the employee.

During fiscal year 2002, we restructured several areas of the Company to reduce expenses and improve revenues. As part of this restructuring, we closed an office facility in Boston, Massachusetts and recorded an expense associated with the long-term lease which expires in January 2011. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, we executed a sublease with a sub-lessee for the remaining term of our lease at a rate lower than that which was forecasted when the original restructuring expense was recorded in 2002. This change in estimate resulted in a \$0.4 million restructuring expense for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. If the sub-lessee of the facility were to default on their payments to the Company, further adjustments to restructuring expense would be required.

**Income Taxes.** During the quarter ending March 31, 2009, we recognized \$2.0 million of non-cash deferred tax expense related to taxable income in the United Kingdom. It is expected that we will recognize a total of approximately \$3.7 million of non-cash deferred tax expense during fiscal year 2009. We expect the deferred tax expense to be reduced in future years.

**Past Results may not be Indicative of Future Performance.** We believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results should not be relied upon as indicative of future performance. Our prospects must be considered given the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in new and rapidly evolving businesses. There can be no assurance we will be successful in addressing these risks and difficulties. Moreover, we may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future.

### Critical Accounting Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate the estimates, including those related to our allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of stock-based compensation, valuation of goodwill and intangible assets, valuation of deferred tax assets, restructuring expenses, contingencies, vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, of fair value in multiple element arrangements and the estimates associated with the percentage-of-completion method of accounting for certain of our revenue contracts. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the recognition of revenue and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting judgments and estimates are used in the preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:

• **Revenue recognition, including estimating the total estimated time required to complete sales arrangements involving significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products;**

Estimating valuation allowances and accrued liabilities, specifically the allowance for doubtful accounts, and assessment of the probability of the outcome of our current litigation;

- Stock-based compensation expense;
- Accounting for income taxes;
- Valuation of long-lived and intangible assets and goodwill;
- Restructuring expenses; and

Table of Contents

- Determining functional currencies for the purposes of consolidating our international operations.

Revenue Recognition. We derive revenues from licenses of our software and related services, which include assistance in implementation, customization and integration, post-contract customer support, training and consulting. The amount and timing of our revenue is difficult to predict and any shortfall in revenue or delay in recognizing revenue could cause our operating results to vary significantly from quarter to quarter and could result in operating losses. The accounting rules related to revenue recognition are complex and are affected by interpretation of the rules and an understanding of industry practices, both of which are subject to change. Consequently, the revenue recognition accounting rules require management to make significant estimates based on judgment.

Software license revenue is recognized in accordance with the AICPA's Statement of Position No. 97-2 "Software Revenue Recognition," as amended by Statement of Position No. 98-9 "Software Revenue Recognition with Respect to Certain Arrangements", or collectively SOP 97-2.

For arrangements with multiple elements, we recognize revenue for services and post-contract customer support based upon the fair value VSOE of the respective elements. The fair value VSOE of the services element is based upon the standard hourly rates we charge for the services when such services are sold separately. The fair value VSOE for annual post-contract customer support is generally established with the contractual future renewal rates included in the contracts, when the renewal rate is substantive and consistent with the fees when support services are sold separately. When contracts contain multiple elements and fair value VSOE exists for all undelivered elements, we account for the delivered elements, principally the license portion, based upon the "residual method" as prescribed by SOP 97-2. In multiple element transactions where VSOE is not established for an undelivered element, we recognize revenue upon the establishment of VSOE for that element or when the element is delivered.

At the time we enter into a transaction, we assess whether any services included within the arrangement related to significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products. For contracts for products that do not involve significant implementation or customization essential to the product functionality, we recognize license revenues when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, collection of the fee is probable and delivery has occurred as prescribed by SOP 97-2. For contracts that involve significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products, we recognize the license and professional consulting services revenue using either the percentage-of-completion method or the completed contract method as prescribed by Statement of Position No. 81-1, "Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Product-Type Contracts", or SOP 81-1.

The percentage-of-completion method is applied when we have the ability to make reasonably dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion. The progress toward completion is measured based on the "go-live" date. We define the "go-live" date as the date the essential product functionality has been delivered or the application enters into a production environment or the point at which no significant additional Chordiant supplied professional service resources are required. Estimates are subject to revisions as the contract progresses to completion. We account for the changes as changes in accounting estimates when the information becomes known. Information impacting estimates obtained after the balance sheet date but before the issuance of the financial statements is used to update the estimates. Provisions for estimated contract losses, if any, are recognized in the period in which the loss becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. When we sell additional licenses related to the original licensing agreement, revenue is recognized upon delivery if the project has reached the go-live date, or if the project has not reached the go-live date, revenue is recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. We classify revenues from these arrangements as license and service revenue based upon the estimated fair value of each element using the residual method.

The completed contract method is applied when we are unable to obtain reasonably dependable estimates of the total effort required for completion. Under the completed contract method, all revenue and related costs of revenue are deferred and recognized upon completion.

For product co-development arrangements relating to software products in development prior to the consummation of the individual arrangements where we retain the intellectual property being developed and intend to sell the resulting products to other customers, license revenue is deferred until the delivery of the final product, provided all other requirements of SOP 97-2 are met. Expenses associated with these co-development arrangements are accounted for under SFAS 86 and are normally expensed as incurred as they are considered to be research and development costs that do not qualify for capitalization or deferral.



Table of Contents

Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software and rights to unspecified future products or maintenance, is recognized ratably over the term of the subscription period. Revenue from subscription or term license agreements, which include software, but exclude rights to unspecified future products and maintenance, is recognized upon delivery of the software if all conditions of recognizing revenue have been met including that the related agreement is non-cancelable, non-refundable and provided on an unsupported basis.

For transactions involving extended payment terms, we deem these fees not to be fixed or determinable for revenue recognition purposes and revenue is deferred until the fees become payable and due.

For arrangements with multiple elements accounted for under SOP 97-2 where we determine we can account for the elements separately and the fees are not fixed or determinable due to extended payment terms, revenue is recognized in the following manner. If the undelivered element is PCS, or other services, an amount equal to the estimated value of the services to be rendered prior to the next payment becoming due is allocated to the undelivered services. The residual of the payment is allocated to the delivered elements of the arrangement.

For arrangements with multiple elements accounted for under SOP 81-1 where we determine we can account for the elements separately and the fees are not fixed or determinable due to extended payment terms, revenue is recognized in the following manner. Amounts are first allocated to the undelivered elements included in the arrangement, as payments become due or are received, the residual is allocated to the delivered elements.

We recognize revenue for post-contract customer support ratably over the support period which ranges from one to five years.

Our training and consulting services revenues are recognized as such services are performed on an hourly or daily basis for time and material contracts. For consulting services arrangements with a fixed fee, we recognize revenue on a percentage-of-completion method.

For all sales we use either a signed license agreement or a binding purchase order where we have a master license agreement as evidence of an arrangement. Sales through our third party systems integrators are evidenced by a master agreement governing the relationship together with binding purchase orders or order forms on a transaction-by-transaction basis. Revenues from reseller arrangements are recognized on the "sell-through" method, when the reseller reports to us the sale of our software products to end-users. Our agreements with customers and resellers do not contain product return rights.

We assess collectibility based on a number of factors, including past transaction history with the customer and the credit-worthiness of the customer. We generally do not request collateral from our customers. If we determine that the collection of a fee is not probable, we recognize revenue at the time collection becomes probable, which is generally upon the receipt of cash.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We must make estimates of the uncollectability of our accounts receivables. We specifically analyze accounts receivable and analyze historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Generally, we require no collateral from our customers. Our gross accounts receivable balance was \$11.2 million (including long-term accounts receivable of \$0.9 million) with an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.7 million as of March 31, 2009. Our gross accounts receivable balance was \$26.2 million (including long-term accounts receivable of \$0.1 million) with an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.2 million as of March 31, 2008. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances would be required. Based upon current economic conditions, the Company has reviewed

accounts receivable and has recorded allowances as deemed necessary

Stock-based Compensation Expense. Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R) on October 1, 2005, we began estimating the value of employee stock awards on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the value of each employee stock award was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model for the purpose of the pro forma financial disclosure in accordance with SFAS 123. The determination of fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to the expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors.

Table of Contents

With the adoption of SFAS 123(R) on October 1, 2005, we used the trinomial lattice valuation technique to determine the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model. The trinomial lattice valuation technique was used to provide better estimates of fair values and meet the fair value objectives of SFAS 123(R). The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock.

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience.

If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the application of SFAS 123(R) in future periods, the compensation expense that we record under SFAS 123(R) may differ significantly from what we have recorded in the current period. The estimated value of a stock option is most sensitive to the volatility assumption. Based on the March 31, 2009 variables, it is estimated that a change of 10% in either the volatility, expected life or interest rate assumption would result in a corresponding 8%, 4% or less than 1% change, respectively, in the estimated value of the option being valued using the Black-Scholes model.

Accounting for Income Taxes. As part of the process of preparing our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. We must then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, we must include an expense within the tax provision in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

At March 31, 2009, we have \$71.7 million in gross deferred tax assets (DTAs) attributable principally to our net operating losses (NOLs) and to a lesser extent temporary differences relating to deferred revenue. Historically, we maintained a 100% valuation allowance on our DTAs because we have previously been unable to conclude that it is more-likely-than-not that we will realize the tax benefits of these DTAs. Based on recent operating results and the reorganization of our intellectual property into the U.S., our current projections of disaggregated future taxable income have enabled us to conclude that it is more-likely-than-not that as of March 31, 2009 we will have future taxable income sufficient to realize \$5.9 million of tax benefits from our deferred tax assets, which consist of that portion of our net deferred tax assets attributable to our NOLs residing in the United Kingdom. On September 30, 2008, we released (eliminated) the valuation allowance on our DTAs relating to the United Kingdom, of which \$9.5 million was recognized as an offsetting reduction to goodwill (representing pre-acquisition NOLs). Beginning on October 1, 2008 through future periods, we expect to incur tax expense related to the United Kingdom which will result in an increase in overall expense; however, to the extent that such tax expense is offset by the utilization of NOLs, the recognition of this additional tax expense will be a non-cash item.

The remaining balance of gross deferred tax assets was generated in the U.S. With respect to our U.S. generated deferred tax assets, we have recorded a full valuation allowance as the future realization of the tax benefit is not considered by management to be more likely than not. Our estimate of future taxable income considers available positive and negative evidence regarding our current and future operations, including projections of income in various states and foreign jurisdictions. We believe our estimate of future taxable income is reasonable; however, it is inherently uncertain, and if our future operations generate taxable income greater than projected, further adjustments

to reduce the valuation allowance are possible. Conversely, if we realize unforeseen material losses in the future, or our ability to generate future taxable income necessary to realize a portion of the net deferred tax asset is materially reduced, additions to the valuation allowance could be recorded. At March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, the balance of the deferred tax valuation allowance was approximately \$65.9 million.

Effective October 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Interpretation, No. 48 “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes — an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109” or FIN 48. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement guidance for the financial statement reporting of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a company’s income tax return. The application of FIN 48 is explained in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Valuation of Long-lived and Intangible Assets and Goodwill. We assess the impairment of identifiable intangibles and long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Furthermore, we assess the impairment of goodwill annually. Factors we consider important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

- Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;
- Significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;
  - Significant negative industry or economic trends;
  - Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period;
  - Market capitalization declines relative to net book value; and

• A current expectation that, more likely than not, a long-lived asset will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of its previously estimated useful life.

When one or more of the above indicators of impairment occurs we estimate the value of long-lived assets and intangible assets to determine whether there is impairment. We measure any impairment based on the projected discounted cash flow method, which requires us to make several estimates including the estimated cash flows associated with the asset, the period over which these cash flows will be generated and a discount rate commensurate with the risk inherent in our current business model. These estimates are subjective and if we made different estimates, it could materially impact the estimated fair value of these assets and the conclusions we reached regarding impairment. Recently, due to the decline of our stock price, our market capitalization, and the general economic climate we have assessed our long-lived assets and intangible assets and determined that impairment was not necessary. At March 31, 2009, the market capitalization of the Company exceeded the book value of the Company. In the event that the market capitalization of the Company declines further, goodwill impairment charges might be necessary in future periods.

We are required to perform an impairment review of our goodwill balance on at least an annual basis. This impairment review involves a two-step process as follows:

Step 1—We compare the fair value of our reporting units to the carrying value, including goodwill, of each of those units. For each reporting unit where the carrying value, including goodwill, exceeds the unit's fair value, we proceed on to Step 2. If a unit's fair value exceeds the carrying value, no further work is performed and no impairment charge is necessary.

Step 2—We perform an allocation of the fair value of the reporting unit to our identifiable tangible and non-goodwill intangible assets and liabilities. This derives an implied fair value for the reporting unit's goodwill. We then compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of its goodwill, an impairment charge would be recognized for the excess.

We determined that we have one reporting unit. We completed a goodwill impairment review for the period ended September 30, 2008 and performed Step 1 of the goodwill impairment analysis required by SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," and concluded that goodwill was not impaired as of September 30, 2008 using the methodology described above. Accordingly, Step 2 was not performed. We will continue to test for impairment on an

annual basis and on an interim basis if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of our reporting units below their carrying amount.

**Restructuring Expenses.** In the past several years, we have implemented cost-reduction plans as part of our continued effort to streamline our operations to reduce ongoing operating expenses. These plans resulted in restructuring expenses related to, among others, the consolidation of excess facilities. These charges relate to facilities and portions of facilities we no longer utilize and either seek to terminate early or sublease. Cost to terminate contracts represents contract termination costs related to the restructuring plan. Lease termination costs and brokerage fees for the abandoned facilities were estimated for the remaining lease obligations and were offset by estimated sublease income. Estimates related to sublease costs and income are based on assumptions regarding the period required to locate and contract with suitable sub-lessees and sublease

## Table of Contents

rates which can be achieved using market trend information analyses provided by a commercial real estate brokerage retained by us. Each reporting period we review these estimates and to the extent that these assumptions change due to new agreements with landlords, new subleases with tenants, potential defaults on existing subleases, or changes in the market, the ultimate restructuring expenses for these abandoned facilities could vary by material amounts. See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement for detailed information regarding restructuring expense.

**Determining Functional Currencies for the Purpose of Consolidation.** We have several foreign subsidiaries that together account for a significant portion of our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities.

In preparing our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to translate the financial statements of the foreign subsidiaries from the currency in which they keep their accounting records, generally the local currency, into United States dollars. This process results in exchange gains and losses which, under the relevant accounting guidance are either included within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations or as a separate part of our net equity under the caption "Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." Under the relevant accounting guidance, the treatment of these translation gains or losses is dependent upon our management's determination of the functional currency of each subsidiary. The functional currency is determined based on management's judgment and involves consideration of all relevant economic facts and circumstances affecting the subsidiary. Generally, the currency in which the subsidiary conducts a majority of its transactions, including billings, financing, payroll and other expenditures would be considered the functional currency but any dependency upon the parent and the nature of the subsidiary's operations must also be considered.

If any subsidiary's functional currency were deemed to be the local currency, then any gain or loss associated with the translation of that subsidiary's financial statements would be included in cumulative translation adjustments. However, if the functional currency were deemed to be the United States dollar then any gain or loss associated with the translation of these financial statements would be included within our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. If we dispose of any of our subsidiaries, any cumulative translation gains or losses would be recognized in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. If we determine that there has been a change in the functional currency of a subsidiary to the United States dollar, any translation gains or losses arising after the date of change would be included within our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Based on our assessment of the factors discussed above, we consider the relevant subsidiary's local currency to be the functional currency for each of our international subsidiaries. Accordingly, foreign currency translation gains and losses are included as part of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income within our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for all periods presented.

The magnitude of these gains or losses is dependent upon movements in the exchange rates of the foreign currencies in which we transact business against the United States dollar. These currencies include the United Kingdom Pound Sterling, the Euro and the Canadian Dollar. Any future translation gains or losses could be significantly larger or smaller than those reported in previous periods. At March 31, 2009, approximately \$36.2 million of our cash and cash equivalents were held by our subsidiaries outside of the United States.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements under section "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" for detailed information regarding status of new accounting standards that are not yet effective for us.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, in dollars and as a percentage of total revenues, unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations data for the periods indicated. This information has been derived from the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report (in thousands, except percentages):

|   | Three Months Ended March 31, |       |            |      | Six Months Ended March 31, |       |           |      |
|---|------------------------------|-------|------------|------|----------------------------|-------|-----------|------|
|   | 2009                         |       | 2008       |      | 2009                       |       | 2008      |      |
| Statements of Operations                  |                              |       |            |      |                            |       |           |      |
| Data:                                     |                              |       |            |      |                            |       |           |      |
| Revenues:                                 |                              |       |            |      |                            |       |           |      |
| License                                   | \$ 4,287                     | 24%   | \$ 4,807   | 19%  | \$ 12,228                  | 30%   | \$ 13,614 | 25%  |
| Service                                   | 13,716                       | 76    | 19,909     | 81   | 29,151                     | 70    | 40,236    | 75   |
| Total revenues                            | 18,003                       | 100   | 24,716     | 100  | 41,379                     | 100   | 53,850    | 100  |
| Cost of revenues:                         |                              |       |            |      |                            |       |           |      |
| License                                   | 103                          | 1     | 283        | 1    | 200                        | 1     | 617       | 1    |
| Service                                   | 5,797                        | 32    | 8,532      | 35   | 12,483                     | 30    | 17,010    | 32   |
| Amortization of intangible assets         | 303                          | 2     | 303        | 1    | 606                        | 1     | 606       | 1    |
| Total cost of revenues                    | 6,203                        | 35    | 9,118      | 37   | 13,289                     | 32    | 18,233    | 34   |
| Gross profit                              | 11,800                       | 65    | 15,598     | 63   | 28,090                     | 68    | 35,617    | 66   |
| Operating expenses:                       |                              |       |            |      |                            |       |           |      |
| Sales and marketing                       | 6,362                        | 35    | 7,400      | 30   | 14,142                     | 34    | 16,303    | 30   |
| Research and development                  | 4,843                        | 27    | 6,381      | 26   | 10,102                     | 24    | 13,106    | 24   |
| General and administrative                | 3,064                        | 17    | 4,019      | 16   | 7,465                      | 18    | 9,022     | 17   |
| Restructuring expense                     | —                            | —     | —          | —    | 784                        | 2     | —         | —    |
| Total operating expenses                  | 14,269                       | 79    | 17,800     | 72   | 32,493                     | 78    | 38,431    | 71   |
| Loss from operations                      | (2,469)                      | (14)  | (2,202)    | (9)  | (4,403)                    | (10)  | (2,814)   | (5)  |
| Interest income, net                      | 137                          | 1     | 613        | 3    | 429                        | 1     | 1,448     | 2    |
| Other income (expense), net               | (103)                        | (1)   | 350        | 1    | 582                        | 1     | 485       | 1    |
| Loss before income taxes                  | (2,435)                      | (14)  | (1,239)    | (5)  | (3,392)                    | (8)   | (881)     | (2)  |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 1,101                        | 6     | (80)       | —    | 2,812                      | 7     | 73        | —    |
| Net loss                                  | \$ (3,536)                   | (20)% | \$ (1,159) | (5)% | \$ (6,204)                 | (15)% | \$ (954)  | (2)% |

## Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (Unaudited)

## Revenues

Total revenues decreased \$6.7 million, or 27%, to \$18.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to \$24.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This change was primarily from decreases of \$0.5 million or 11% in license revenue and \$6.2 million or 31% in service revenue. Total revenues decreased \$12.5 million, or 23%, to \$41.4 million for the six months ended March 31, 2009 compared to \$53.9 million for the six months ended March 31, 2008. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$1.4 million or 10% of license revenue and \$11.1 million or 28% in service revenue.

The following summarizes the components of our total revenues:



## License Revenue

The increase or decrease of license revenue occurring within the three different product emphases is dependent on the timing of when a sales transaction is completed and whether a license transaction was sold with essential consulting services. License revenue sold with essential consulting services is recognized under percentage-of-completion method of accounting.

Table of Contents

The timing and amount of revenue for those transactions being recognized under the percentage-of-completion is influenced by progress of work performed relative to the project length of customer contracts and the dollar value of such contracts. These orders typically involve consulting services that are essential to functionality of the respective licenses. The following table sets forth our license revenue by product emphasis for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                               | Three Months Ended March 31, |          |            |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |            |       |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
|                               | 2009                         | 2008     | Change     | %     | 2009                       | 2008      | Change     | %     |
| License Revenue:              |                              |          |            |       |                            |           |            |       |
| Enterprise solutions          | \$ 2,442                     | \$ 3,870 | \$ (1,428) | (37)% | \$ 3,986                   | \$ 10,084 | \$ (6,098) | (60)% |
| Marketing solutions           | 739                          | 579      | 160        | 28    | 3,120                      | 1,293     | 1,827      | 141   |
| Decision management solutions | 1,106                        | 358      | 748        | 209   | 5,122                      | 2,237     | 2,885      | 129   |
| Total license revenue         | \$ 4,287                     | \$ 4,807 | \$ (520)   | (11)% | \$ 12,228                  | \$ 13,614 | \$ (1,386) | (10)% |

Total license revenue decreased by \$0.5 million or 11% and \$1.4 million or 10% from the three and six months ended March 31, 2009, respectively, as compared to the same comparable periods in the prior year. This change in license revenue is the result of fewer sales transactions and transactions of smaller magnitude being executed in the comparative periods, primarily due to the current economic climate. Additionally, customer demand for our Decision management solutions has increased while demand for our Enterprise solutions has decreased. License revenue as a percentage of total revenues was 24% and 19% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively and 30% and 25% for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## Service Revenue

Service revenue is primarily composed of consulting implementation and integration, consulting customization, training, PCS, and certain reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses. The increase or decrease of service revenue within the three different product emphases is primarily due to the timing of when license transactions are completed, whether or not the license was sold with essential consulting services, the sophistication of the customer's application, and the expertise of the customer's internal development team. For non-essential service transactions, service revenue will lag in timing compared to the period of when the license revenue is recognized. The following table sets forth our service revenue by product emphasis for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                               | Three Months Ended March 31, |           |            |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |             |       |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
|                               | 2009                         | 2008      | Change     | %     | 2009                       | 2008      | Change      | %     |
| Service Revenue:              |                              |           |            |       |                            |           |             |       |
| Enterprise solutions          | \$ 8,108                     | \$ 13,439 | \$ (5,331) | (40)% | \$ 17,770                  | \$ 28,649 | \$ (10,879) | (38)% |
| Marketing solutions           | 2,210                        | 3,070     | (860)      | (28)  | 5,161                      | 6,188     | (1,027)     | (17)  |
| Decision management solutions | 3,398                        | 3,400     | (2)        | —     | 6,220                      | 5,399     | 821         | 15    |
| Total service revenue         | \$ 13,716                    | \$ 19,909 | \$ (6,193) | (31)% | \$ 29,151                  | \$ 40,236 | \$ (11,085) | (28)% |

Total service revenue decreased \$6.2 million or 31% from the three months ended March 31, 2008 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009. This change was due primarily to a decrease of \$3.3 million in consulting revenue, \$1.8 million in support and maintenance revenue, \$0.6 million in training revenue and \$0.5 million in reimbursement of out-of-pocket expense revenue. Service revenue as a percentage of total revenues was 76% and 81% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Total service revenue decreased \$11.1 million or 28% from the six months ended March 31, 2008 as compared to the six months ended March 31, 2009. This change was attributable primarily to a decrease of \$5.7 million in consulting revenue, \$3.8 million in support and maintenance revenue, \$0.8 million in training revenue and \$0.8 million in reimbursement of out-of-pocket expense revenue. Service revenue as a percentage of total revenues was 70% and 75% for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

The decreases in consulting revenue for the three and six month periods are directly related to the decreases in license revenues for comparable periods since the majority of our customers use some form of our consulting services in connection with their projects.

See the Financial Trend section for further analysis of revenue.

## Cost of Revenue

## License

Cost of license revenue includes third-party software royalties and amortization of capitalized software development costs. Royalty expenses can vary depending upon the mix of products sold within the period. In addition, not all license products have associated royalty expense. Capitalized software development costs pertain to a banking product that was completed and available for general release in August 2005 and third party costs associated with the porting of existing products to new platforms. The following table sets forth our cost of license revenues for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                             | Three Months Ended March 31, |        |          |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |        |          |       |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|
|                             | 2009                         | 2008   | Change   | %     | 2009                       | 2008   | Change   | %     |
| Cost of license revenue     | \$ 103                       | \$ 283 | \$ (180) | (64)% | \$ 200                     | \$ 617 | \$ (417) | (68)% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 1%                           | 1%     |          |       | 1%                         | 1%     |          |       |

The cost of license revenue decreased by \$0.2 million or 64% and \$0.4 million or 68% from the three and six months ended March 31, 2008 to the three and six months ended March 31, 2009, respectively. This change is primarily attributable to amortization of third party technology which became fully amortized in fiscal year 2008. In addition, we reduced royalty expense associated with third party technology included in our products.

## Service

Cost of service revenue consists primarily of personnel costs, third-party consulting costs, facility and travel costs incurred to provide consulting implementation and integration, consulting customization, training, and PCS support services. The following table sets forth our cost of service revenue for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                             | Three Months Ended March 31, |          |            |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |            |       |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
|                             | 2009                         | 2008     | Change     | %     | 2009                       | 2008      | Change     | %     |
| Cost of service revenue     | \$ 5,797                     | \$ 8,532 | \$ (2,735) | (32)% | \$ 12,483                  | \$ 17,010 | \$ (4,527) | (27)% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 32%                          | 35%      |            |       | 30%                        | 32%       |            |       |

Cost of service revenue decreased by \$2.7 million or 32% from the three months ended March 31, 2008 to the three months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily attributable to decreases of \$0.5 million in employee related costs, \$1.5 million in consultant costs, \$0.2 million in facilities cost, and \$0.5 million in travel costs. The 32% decrease in service costs is consistent with the decrease of 31% in service revenue. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily attributable to a 7% reduction in average headcount. See Note 5- Restructuring to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement for more details regarding reduction in headcount.

Cost of service revenue decreased by \$4.5 million or 27% from the six months ended March 31, 2008 to the six months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily attributable to decreases of \$1.1 million in employee related costs, \$0.1 in recruiting, \$2.1 million in consultant costs, \$0.3 million in facilities cost, and \$1.0 million in travel costs, offset by increase of \$0.1 in miscellaneous costs. The 27% decrease in service costs is consistent with the decrease of 28% in service revenue. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily from a 5% reduction in average headcount. See Note 5- Restructuring to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statement for more details regarding reduction in headcount. The decrease in travel expense is primarily attributable to a decrease in travel by our employees.

Table of Contents

## Gross Margin

See the Financial Trend section for our analysis of gross margins.

## Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization of intangible assets cost consists primarily of the amortization of amounts paid for developed technologies, customer lists, and tradenames resulting from business acquisitions. The following table sets forth our costs associated with amortization of intangible assets for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                                   | Three Months Ended March 31, |        |        |    | Six Months Ended March 31, |        |        |    |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--------|----|----------------------------|--------|--------|----|
|                                   | 2009                         | 2008   | Change | %  | 2009                       | 2008   | Change | %  |
| Amortization of intangible assets | \$ 303                       | \$ 303 | \$ —   | —% | \$ 606                     | \$ 606 | \$ —   | —% |
| Percentage of total revenue       | 2%                           | 1%     |        |    | 1%                         | 1%     |        |    |

We expect amortization expense for intangible assets to be \$0.3 million for each of the two remaining quarters in fiscal year 2009 and \$0.3 million for the first quarter of fiscal year 2010.

## Operating Expenses

## Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expense is composed primarily of costs associated with selling, promoting and advertising our products, product demonstrations and customer sales calls. These costs consist primarily of employee compensation and benefits, commissions and bonuses, benefits, facilities, travel expenses and promotional and advertising expenses. The following table sets forth our sales and marketing expenses for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                             | Three Months Ended March 31, |          |            |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |            |       |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
|                             | 2009                         | 2008     | Change     | %     | 2009                       | 2008      | Change     | %     |
| Sales and marketing expense | \$ 6,362                     | \$ 7,400 | \$ (1,038) | (14)% | \$ 14,142                  | \$ 16,303 | \$ (2,161) | (13)% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 35%                          | 30%      |            |       | 34%                        | 30%       |            |       |

Sales and marketing expense decreased by \$1.0 million or 14% from the three months ended March 31, 2008 to the three months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily due to decreases of \$0.9 million in employee costs, \$0.2 million in facilities cost, and \$0.2 million in travel costs, offset by increase of \$0.1 million in consultant costs and \$0.2 million in lead generation activities. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily from a 6% reduction in average headcount.

Sales and marketing expense decreased by \$2.2 million or 13% from the six months ended March 31, 2008 to the six months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily due to decreases of \$1.6 million in employee costs, \$0.2 million in recruiting costs, \$0.4 million in sales events, \$0.3 million in facilities costs, and \$0.2 million in travel costs, offset by increases of \$0.5 million in consultant costs. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily from a 4%

reduction in average headcount.

#### Research and Development

Research and development expense is composed primarily of costs associated with the development of new products, enhancements of existing products and quality assurance activities. These costs consist primarily of employee compensation, benefits, facilities, the cost of software and development tools, equipment and consulting costs, including costs for offshore consultants. The following table sets forth our research and development expenses for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

42

---

Table of Contents

|                                  | Three Months Ended March 31, |          |            |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |           |            |       |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
|                                  | 2009                         | 2008     | Change     | %     | 2009                       | 2008      | Change     | %     |
| Research and development expense | \$ 4,843                     | \$ 6,381 | \$ (1,538) | (24)% | \$ 10,102                  | \$ 13,106 | \$ (3,004) | (23)% |
| Percentage of total revenue      | 27%                          | 26%      |            |       | 24%                        | 24%       |            |       |

Research and development expense decreased by \$1.5 million or 24% from the three months ended March 31, 2008 to the three months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily due to decreases of \$0.7 million in employee salary and benefit costs, \$0.1 million in recruiting costs, \$0.5 million in consultant costs, and \$0.2 million in facilities costs. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily from a 27% reduction in average headcount.

Research and development expense decreased by \$3.0 million or 23% from the six months ended March 31, 2008 to the six months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily due to decreases of \$1.6 million in employee salary and benefit costs, \$0.1 million in recruiting costs, \$0.8 million in consultant costs, \$0.3 million in facilities costs, and \$0.2 million in travel expenses. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily from a 26% reduction in average headcount.

## General and Administrative

General and administrative expense is composed primarily of costs associated with our executive and administrative personnel (e.g. the office of the CEO, legal, human resources and finance personnel). These costs consist primarily of employee compensation, bonuses, stock compensation expense, benefits, facilities, consulting, legal and audit costs, including costs for Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) compliance. The following table sets forth our general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                                    | Three Months Ended March 31, |          |          |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |          |            |       |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------|
|                                    | 2009                         | 2008     | Change   | %     | 2009                       | 2008     | Change     | %     |
| General and administrative expense | \$ 3,064                     | \$ 4,019 | \$ (955) | (24)% | \$ 7,465                   | \$ 9,022 | \$ (1,557) | (17)% |
| Percentage of total revenue        | 17%                          | 16%      |          |       | 18%                        | 17%      |            |       |

General and administrative expense decreased by \$1.0 million or 24% from the three months ended March 31, 2008 to the three months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily due to decreases of \$0.6 million in employee related costs, \$0.1 million in travel costs, \$0.3 million in profession services and \$0.1 million in bad debt expense, offset by \$0.1 million in facilities costs. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily from a 29% reduction in average headcount.

General and administrative expense decreased by \$1.6 million or 17% from the six months ended March 31, 2008 to the six months ended March 31, 2009. This change is primarily due to decreases of \$1.2 million in employee related costs, \$0.1 million in recruiting costs, \$0.1 million in consulting costs, \$0.2 million in travel costs, and \$0.3 million in professional services, offset by increase of \$0.3 million in facilities cost. The decrease in employee related costs is primarily attributable to a 26% reduction in average headcount. The decrease in professional services is primarily due to a decrease in the use of legal services associated with the Derivative lawsuit which was recently settled.



## Restructuring Expense

In October 2008, the Company initiated a restructuring plan, the 2009 Restructuring, intended to align its resources and cost structure with expected future revenues. The 2009 Restructuring plan includes reductions in headcount and third party consultants across all functional areas in both North America and Europe. The 2009 Restructuring plan includes a reduction of approximately 13% of the Company's permanent workforce. A significant portion of the positions eliminated were in North America.

As a result of the cost-cutting measures, the Company recorded a pre-tax cash restructuring charge in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, of approximately \$0.9 million, including \$ 0.8 million for severance costs and \$0.1 million for other contract termination costs. As of March 31, 2009, all payments have been made.

Table of Contents

In May 2005, the Company appointed a task force to improve profitability and control expenses. The goal of the task force was to create a better alignment of functions within the Company, to make full utilization of the Company's India development center, to develop a closer relationship between the Company's field operations and customers, to review the sales and implementation models, as well adjust as the organization model to flatten management levels, to review the Company's product line, and to enhance the Company's business model for profitability and operating leverage. This work resulted in an approximate 10% reduction in the Company's workforce, or 2005 Restructuring, and in July 2005 affected employees were notified. As part of the 2005 Restructuring, the Company incurred a one-time restructuring charge of \$1.1 million in the fourth quarter ended September 30, 2005 for severance and termination benefits.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company incurred an additional charge of less than \$0.1 million for additional severance expense for an employee located in France. During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the Company reversed the charge as the Company was not required to pay the severance expense to the employee.

## Stock-Based Compensation (Included in Individual Operating Expense and Cost of Revenue Categories)

The following table sets forth our stock-based compensation expense and functional breakdown for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |        | Six Months Ended March 31, |          |
|--|------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|----------|
|  | 2009                         | 2008   | 2009                       | 2008     |
| Cost of revenues – service             | \$ 145                       | \$ 109 | \$ 279                     | \$ 262   |
| Operating expenses:                    |                              |        |                            |          |
| Sales and marketing                    | 218                          | 230    | 474                        | 471      |
| Research and development               | 119                          | 144    | 228                        | 343      |
| General and administrative             | 459                          | 498    | 925                        | 1,081    |
| Total operating expenses               | 796                          | 872    | 1,627                      | 1,895    |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$ 941                       | \$ 981 | \$ 1,906                   | \$ 2,157 |

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, the aggregate stock-based compensation cost included in cost of revenues and in operating expenses was \$0.9 million that is primarily related to \$0.7 million associated with employee stock options, \$0.1 million associated with restricted stock awards and \$0.1 million for restricted stock units. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, the aggregate stock-based compensation cost included in cost of revenues and in operating expenses was \$1.0 million that is primarily related to \$1.0 million associated with employee stock options, \$0.1 million associated with restricted stock awards and a decrease of \$0.1 million for restricted stock units.

For the six months ended March 31, 2009, the aggregate stock-based compensation cost included in cost of revenues and in operating expenses was \$1.9 million that is primarily related to \$1.5 million associated with employee stock options, \$0.2 million associated with restricted stock awards and \$0.2 million for restricted stock units. For the six months ended March 31, 2008, the aggregate stock-based compensation cost included in cost of revenues and in operating expenses was \$2.2 million that is primarily related to \$1.9 million associated with employee stock options, less than \$0.1 million associated with restricted stock awards and \$0.2 million for restricted stock units.

## Interest Income (Expense), Net

Interest income (expense), net, consists primarily of interest income generated from our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities offset by interest expense incurred in connection with capital equipment leases and imputed under SFAS 146 restructuring accruals. The following table sets forth our interest income (expense), net for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

44

---

Table of Contents

|                             | Three Months Ended March 31, |        |          |       | Six Months Ended March 31, |          |            |       |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------|
|                             | 2009                         | 2008   | Change   | %     | 2009                       | 2008     | Change     | %     |
| Interest income, net        | \$ 137                       | \$ 613 | \$ (476) | (78)% | \$ 429                     | \$ 1,448 | \$ (1,019) | (70)% |
| Percentage of total revenue | 1%                           | 3%     |          |       | 1%                         | 2%       |            |       |

Interest income, net decreased by 78% and 70% from the three and six months ended March 31, 2008 to the three and six months ended March 31, 2009, respectively. This change is primarily due to higher cash balances and marketable securities in the same period of the prior year. In addition, we earned less interest income due to lower interest rates.

## Other Income, Net

These gains and losses are primarily associated with foreign currency transaction gains or losses and the re-measurement of our short-term intercompany balances between the U.S. and our foreign currency denominated subsidiaries. The following table sets forth our other income, net for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|                             | Three Months Ended March 31, |        |          |        | Six Months Ended March 31, |        |        |     |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|----------|--------|----------------------------|--------|--------|-----|
|                             | 2009                         | 2008   | Change   | %      | 2009                       | 2008   | Change | %   |
| Other income, net           | \$ (103)                     | \$ 350 | \$ (453) | (129)% | \$ 582                     | \$ 485 | \$ 97  | 20% |
| Percentage of total revenue | (1)%                         | 1%     |          |        | 1%                         | 1%     |        |     |

Other income decreased by 129% from the three ended March 31, 2008 to the three ended March 31, 2009. Other income increased by 20% from the six months ended March 31, 2008 to the six months ended March 31, 2009. The increase or decrease is primarily due to changes in foreign exchange rates associated with the Pound Sterling and Euro.

## Provision for (Benefit from) Income Taxes

These provisions for (benefits from) are primarily attributable to taxes on earnings from our foreign subsidiaries, certain foreign withholding taxes, and the alternate minimum tax for federal taxes. The following table sets forth our provision for (benefit from) income taxes for the three and six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

|   | Three Months Ended March 31, |         |          |        | Six Months Ended March 31, |       |          |        |
|---|------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|----------------------------|-------|----------|--------|
|   | 2009                         | 2008    | Change   | %      | 2009                       | 2008  | Change   | %      |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | \$ 1,101                     | \$ (80) | \$ 1,181 | 1,476% | \$ 2,812                   | \$ 73 | \$ 2,739 | 3,752% |
| Percentage of total revenue               | 6%                           | —%      |          |        | 7%                         | —%    |          |        |

Our provision for income taxes was \$2.8 million and \$0.1 million for the six months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The \$2.7 million increase in income taxes is primarily due to an increase in taxable income of our UK entities which led to a non-cash tax expense of approximately \$2.0 million and an increase of \$0.5 million in unrecoverable withholding tax payments related to sales transactions that occurred in Turkey, Poland, Spain and India compared to the six months ended March 31, 2008. The remainder of our provision for income taxes is primarily

attributable to taxes on earnings from our foreign subsidiaries.

At March 31, 2009, we have \$71.7 million in gross deferred tax assets (DTAs) attributable principally to our net operating losses (NOLs) and to a lesser extent temporary differences relating to deferred revenue. Historically, we maintained a 100% valuation allowance on our DTAs because we have previously been unable to conclude that it is more-likely-than-not that we will realize the tax benefits of these DTAs. Based on recent operating results and the reorganization of our intellectual property into the U.S., our current projections of disaggregated future taxable income have enabled us to conclude that it is more-likely-than-not that as of March 31, 2009 we will have future taxable income sufficient to realize \$5.9 million of tax benefits from our deferred tax assets, which consist of that portion of our net deferred tax assets attributable to our NOLs residing in the United Kingdom. On September 30, 2008, we released (eliminated) the valuation allowance on our

## Table of Contents

DTAs relating to the United Kingdom, of which \$9.5 million was recognized as an offsetting reduction to goodwill (representing pre-acquisition NOLs). Beginning on October 1, 2008 through future periods, we expect to incur tax expense related to the United Kingdom which will result in an increase in overall expense; however, to the extent that such tax expense is offset by the utilization of NOLs, the recognition of this additional tax expense will be a non-cash item.

The remaining balance of gross deferred tax assets was generated in the U.S. With respect to our U.S. generated deferred tax assets, we have recorded a full valuation allowance as the future realization of the tax benefit is not considered by management to be more likely than not. Our estimate of future taxable income considers available positive and negative evidence regarding our current and future operations, including projections of income in various states and foreign jurisdictions. We believe our estimate of future taxable income is reasonable; however, it is inherently uncertain, and if our future operations generate taxable income greater than projected, further adjustments to reduce the valuation allowance are possible. Conversely, if we realize unforeseen material losses in the future, or our ability to generate future taxable income necessary to realize a portion of the net deferred tax asset is materially reduced, additions to the valuation allowance could be recorded. At March 31, 2009 and September 30, 2008, the balance of the deferred tax valuation allowance was approximately \$65.9 million.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Prior to fiscal year 2007, we had not been profitable and we periodically generated cash through the issuance of our common stock. In fiscal year 2008, we repurchased and retired \$18.6 million of our common stock. For six months ended March 31, 2009, we generated cash from operating and financing activities but used cash for investing activities. It is anticipated that that our current cash balances are adequate to fund operations for the next twelve months, however in the event we are not profitable, we would anticipate a decrease in cash and cash equivalents in the near term. Our third fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2009 will benefit from the last payment due on a contractual commitment with a large telecommunications company. Cash payments relating to this agreement are expected to be \$5.2 million.

## Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities was \$6.1 million during the six months ended March 31, 2009, which consisted primarily of our net loss of \$6.2 million adjusted for non-cash items (primarily depreciation and amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation expense, non-cash provision for income taxes, provision for doubtful accounts and other non-cash charges) aggregating approximately \$5.7 million and the net cash inflow effect from changes in assets and liabilities of approximately \$6.6 million. This net cash inflow was primarily related to the collection of \$13.3 million in accounts receivable and an increase of prepaid and other current assets of \$4.0 million offset by decreases in deferred revenue of \$5.2 million, accrued expenses of \$2.2 million, accounts payable of \$2.9 million, and other assets of \$0.4 million.

Cash used for operating activities was \$12.6 million during the six months ended March 31, 2008, which consisted primarily of our net loss of \$1.0 million adjusted for non-cash items (primarily depreciation and amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation expense, provision for doubtful accounts and other non-cash charges) aggregating approximately \$4.3 million and the net cash outflow effect from changes in assets and liabilities of approximately \$15.9 million. This net cash outflow was primarily related to decreases in deferred revenue of \$13.4 million, accrued expenses of \$4.1 million and prepaid expenses of \$2.0 million offset by increases in accounts receivable of \$1.9 million, other assets of \$0.7 million, and accounts payable of \$1.0 million.

## Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities was \$0.3 million during the six months ended March 31, 2009. This cash used was primarily from the purchase of \$0.3 million of property and equipment and the capitalization of less than \$0.1 million of software development costs associated with the porting of existing products to a new platform.

Cash provided by investing activities was \$10.4 million during the six months ended March 31, 2008. This cash provided was primarily from \$11.5 million of net proceeds from marketable securities offset by the use of cash for the purchase of \$1.0 million of property and equipment and the capitalization of \$0.1 million of software development costs associated with the porting of existing products to a new platform.

## Table of Contents

### Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities was less than \$0.1 million during the six months ended March 31, 2009. This cash was provided from the payment of the exercise prices of stock option exercises by employees.

Cash used by financing activities was \$7.5 million during the six months ended March 31, 2008. This use of cash was primarily related to the repurchase of \$8.1 million of common stock under our stock repurchase program offset by proceeds from stock option exercises of \$0.6 million.

### Revolving Line of Credit

See Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for detailed information regarding our revolving line of credit.

### Contractual Obligations

#### Ness

We entered into an agreement with Ness Technologies Inc., Ness USA, Inc. (formerly Ness Global Services, Inc.) and Ness Technologies India, Ltd. (collectively, "Ness"), effective December 15, 2003, pursuant to which Ness provides our customers with technical product support through a worldwide help desk facility, a sustaining engineering function that serves as the interface between technical product support and internal engineering organization, product testing services and product development services (collectively, the "Services"). The agreement had an initial term of three years and has been extended annually. Under the terms of the agreement, we pay for services rendered on a monthly fee basis, including the requirement to reimburse Ness for approved out-of-pocket expenses. The agreement may be terminated for convenience by us, subject to the payment of a termination fee. From 2004 to 2008, we further expanded the agreement with Ness whereby Ness is providing certain additional technical and consulting services. In January 2009, we extended our agreement with Ness through December 31, 2011 to provide technical and consulting services, however if we terminate the agreement for convenience prior to December 31, 2009, we may be required to pay a termination fee no greater than \$0.5 million. In addition to service agreements, we also guaranteed certain equipment lease obligations of Ness (see Note 8). Ness may procure equipment to be used in performance of the Services, either through leasing arrangements or direct cash purchases, for which we are obligated under the agreement to reimburse them. In connection with the procurement of equipment, Ness has entered into a 36 month equipment lease agreement with IBM India and, in connection with the lease agreement we have an outstanding standby letter of credit in the amount of \$0.2 million in guarantee of Ness' financial commitments under the lease. Over the term of the lease, our obligation to reimburse Ness is approximately equal to the amount of the guarantee.

#### Leases

Operating lease obligations in the table below include approximately \$1.3 million for our Boston, Massachusetts facility operating lease commitment that is included in Restructuring Expense. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had \$0.5 million in sublease income contractually committed for future periods relating to this facility. See Notes 5 and 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

The office lease for our Cupertino headquarters was scheduled to expire on December 31, 2008. In July 2008, the Company renewed the lease for a five year period with an option to renew for an additional five years. The table below includes this lease commitment.



We have asset retirement obligations, associated with commitments to return property subject to operating leases to original condition upon lease termination. As of March 31, 2009, we estimate that approximately \$0.3 million will be required to fulfill these obligations.

We have no material commitments for capital expenditures and do not anticipate capital expenditures to exceed historic levels.

The following table presents certain payments due under contractual obligations as of March 31, 2009 based on fiscal years (in thousands):

47

---

Table of Contents

|                              | Total     | Payments Due By Period |                     |                     |            |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|
|                              |           | Due in<br>2009         | Due in<br>2010-2011 | Due in<br>2012-2013 | Thereafter |
| Operating lease obligations  | \$ 12,577 | \$ 1,704               | \$ 6,327            | \$ 4,195            | \$ 351     |
| Asset retirement obligations | 289       | —                      | 133                 | 156                 | —          |
| Total                        | \$ 12,866 | \$ 1,704               | \$ 6,460            | \$ 4,351            | \$ 351     |

Effective October 1, 2007, the Company adopted FIN No. 48 and reclassified \$0.2 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits to Other liabilities—non-current in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had \$1.3 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits. As of March 31, 2009, the Company cannot make a reasonably reliable estimate of the period in which these liabilities may be settled with the respective tax authorities. See Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

We believe that the effects of our strategic actions implemented to improve revenue as well as to control costs will be adequate to minimize the level of cash used by operations, which, when added to existing cash balances, will be sufficient to meet our working capital and operating resource expenditure requirements for the near term. If the global economy weakens further, additional declines in cash balances could occur.

We anticipate that operating expenses will continue to be a material use of our cash resources. We may continue to utilize cash resources to fund acquisitions or investments in other businesses, technologies or product lines. In the long-term, we may require additional funds to support our working capital and operating expense requirements or for other purposes, and may seek to raise these additional funds through public or private debt or equity financings. There can be no assurance that this additional financing will be available, or if available, will be on reasonable terms. Failure to generate sufficient revenues or to control spending could adversely affect our ability to achieve our business objectives.

#### Indemnification

See Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for detailed information regarding our indemnifications.

#### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes and foreign currency fluctuations.

**Interest Rate Risk.** Our exposure to market rate risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to money market accounts, and short-term certificates of deposit. We currently invest our excess cash in money market accounts and certificates-of-deposit with maturities of less than three months. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates. Due in part to these factors, our future investment income may fall short of expectations due to changes in interest rates or we may suffer losses in principal if forced to sell our fixed rate securities which have declined in market value due to changes in interest rates. As of March 31, 2009, the Company held no fixed rate securities.

To provide a meaningful assessment of the interest rate risk associated with the Company's total restricted cash of less than \$0.1 million as of September 30, 2008, we performed a sensitivity analysis to determine the hypothetical impact of a decrease in interest rate of 100 basis points. Assuming consistent investment levels as of September 30, 2008 and an average interest rate of 2%, a decrease in 100 basis points would decrease the fair value of restricted cash by less than \$0.1 million. At March 31, 2009, the Company did not hold any investments that the Company deemed to have a material interest rate risk.

**Foreign Currency Risk.** International revenues accounted for approximately 56% and 61% of total revenues for three and six months ended March 31, 2009. International revenues accounted for approximately 48% of total revenues for the year ended September 30, 2008. The Company's international operations increased our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. Revenues and related expense generated from our international subsidiaries are generally denominated in the functional currencies of the local countries. Primary currencies include the United Kingdom Pound Sterling, the Euro and the Canadian Dollar. The Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations is translated into United States Dollars at the average exchange rates in each applicable period. To the extent the United States Dollar strengthens against foreign currencies, the translation of these foreign currencies denominated transactions results in reduced revenues, operating expense, and net income for our international operations. Similarly, our revenues, operating expenses, and net income will increase for our international operations, if the United States Dollar weakens against foreign currencies. We do not hedge our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. We performed a sensitivity analysis as of March 31, 2009 to determine the hypothetical impact of a decrease in average foreign exchange rates of 10% against the US dollar. Such a decline would decrease revenue by approximately \$2.0 million and increase loss from operations by \$0.6 million.

We are also exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations as we convert the financial statements of our foreign subsidiaries and our investments in equity interests into United States dollars in consolidation. If there is a change in foreign currency exchange rates, the conversion of the foreign subsidiaries' financial statements into United States dollars will lead to a translation gain or loss which is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income which is a component of Stockholders' Equity. In addition, we have certain assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the relevant entity's functional currency. Changes in the functional currency value of these assets and liabilities create fluctuations that will lead to a transaction gain or loss. For the six months ended March 31, 2009 and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, we recorded net foreign currency transaction gains of \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as required by Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed with the SEC.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part 1, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q for a description of our legal proceedings.

Item 1A.

RISK FACTORS

The Company has marked with an asterisk (\*) those risk factors that reflect substantive changes from the risk factors included in the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

\*We may experience a shortfall in bookings, revenue, earnings, cash flow or otherwise fail to meet public market expectations, which could materially and adversely affect our business and the market price of our common stock.

Our revenues and operating results may fluctuate significantly because of a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control. Some of these factors may include:

• Additional deterioration and changes in domestic and foreign markets and economies, including those impacted by the turmoil in the financial services, mortgage and credit markets;

- Size and timing of individual license transactions;
- Delay, deferral or termination of customer implementations of our products;
  - Lengthening of our sales cycle;
- Efficiently utilizing our global services organization, direct sales force and indirect distribution channels;
  - Timing of new product introductions and product enhancements;
  - Appropriate mix of products licensed and services sold;
    - Levels of international transactions;
  - Activities of and acquisitions by competitors;
    - Product and price competition;
  - Our ability to develop and market new products; and
    - Our ability to control our costs.

One or more of the foregoing factors may cause our operating expenses to be disproportionately high during any given period or may cause our bookings, revenues and operating results to fluctuate significantly. Based upon the preceding factors, we may experience a shortfall in bookings, revenues and earnings or otherwise fail to meet public market

expectations, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and the market price of our common stock.

\*Our known backlog of business may not result in revenue and backlog has declined for several consecutive quarters.

We define backlog as contractual commitments by our customers through purchase orders or contracts. Backlog is comprised of software license orders which have not been accepted by customers or have not otherwise met all of the required criteria for revenue recognition, deferred revenue from customer support contracts, and deferred consulting and

Table of Contents

education orders for services not yet completed or delivered. Backlog has declined significantly over the past several quarters due to lower than expected bookings. Backlog is not necessarily indicative of revenues to be recognized in a specified future period. There are many factors that would impact the Company's filling of backlog, such as the Company's progress in completing projects for its customers and Chordiant's customers' meeting anticipated schedules for customer-dependent deliverables. The Company provides no assurances that any portion of its backlog will be filled during any fiscal year or at all, or that its backlog will be recognized as revenues in any given period or at all. In addition, it is possible that customers from whom we expect to derive revenue from backlog will default, and as a result we may not be able to recognize expected revenue from backlog. The risk that customers will reduce the scope of, delay or terminate projects, thus delaying or eliminating our ability to recognize backlog as revenue, is exacerbated in the current economic environment. During the six months ended March 31, 2009, statements of work for professional services aggregating approximately \$4.6 million were reversed from our backlog balances as the underlying projects were canceled or the statement of work contractually expired unutilized.

\*Recent worldwide market turmoil may adversely affect our customers which directly impacts our business and results of operations.

The Company's operations and performance depend on our customers having adequate resources to purchase our products and services. The unprecedented turmoil in the global markets and the global economic downturn generally continues to adversely impact our customers and potential customers. These market and economic conditions have continued to deteriorate despite government intervention globally, and may remain volatile and uncertain for the foreseeable future. Customers have altered and may continue to alter their purchasing and payment activities in response to deterioration in their businesses, lack of credit, economic uncertainty and concern about the stability of markets in general, and these customers may reduce, delay or terminate purchases of, and payment for, our products and services. Recently, a number of our current and prospective customers have merged with others, been forced to raise significant amounts of capital, or received loans or equity investments from the government, which actions may result in less demand for our products and services. If we are unable to adequately respond to changes in demand resulting from deteriorating market and economic conditions, our financial condition and operating results may be materially and adversely affected.

\*In periods of worsening economic conditions, our exposure to credit risk and payment delinquencies on our accounts receivable significantly increases.

A substantial majority of our outstanding accounts receivables are not covered by collateral. In addition, our standard terms and conditions permit payment within a specified number of days following the receipt of our product. While we have procedures to monitor and limit exposure to credit risk on our receivables, there can be no assurance such procedures will effectively limit our credit risk and avoid losses. As economic conditions deteriorate, certain of our customers have faced and may face liquidity concerns and have delayed and may delay or may be unable to satisfy their payment obligations, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

Our cash and cash equivalents could be adversely affected if the financial institutions in which we hold our cash and cash equivalents fail.

Our cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase. We maintain the cash and cash equivalents with reputable major financial institutions. Deposits with these banks exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance limits or similar limits in foreign jurisdictions. While we monitor daily the cash balances in the operating accounts and adjust the balances as appropriate, these balances could be impacted if one or more of the financial institutions with which we deposit fails or is subject to other adverse conditions in the financial or credit markets. To date we have experienced no loss or lack

of access to our invested cash or cash equivalents; however, we can provide no assurance that access to our invested cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial and credit markets.

\*To date, our sales have been concentrated in the insurance, healthcare, telecommunications and financial services markets, and if we are unable to continue sales in these markets or successfully penetrate new markets, our revenues may decline.

Sales of our products and services in several large markets—insurance, healthcare, telecommunications and financial services, accounted for approximately 86% and 94% of our total revenues for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008,



Table of Contents

respectively. We expect that revenues from these markets will continue to account for a substantial portion of our total revenues for the foreseeable future. However, we are seeking to expand in other markets. If we are unable to successfully increase penetration of our existing markets or achieve sales in additional markets, or if the overall economic conditions in our target markets further deteriorates, our revenues may decline. Some of our current and prospective customers, especially those in the financial services and insurance industries, have faced and may continue to face severe financial difficulties given their exposure to deteriorating financial and credit markets, as well as the mortgage and homebuilder sectors of the economy. This may cause our current and prospective customers to reduce, delay or terminate their spending on technology, which in turn would have an adverse impact on our sales and revenues.

\*Because a small number of customers account for a substantial portion of our revenues, the loss of a significant customer could cause a substantial decline in our revenues.

We derive a significant portion of our license and service revenue from a limited number of customers. The loss of a major customer could cause a decrease in revenues and net income. For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, Vodafone Group Services Limited and affiliated companies and Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. accounted for 12% and 11%, respectively, of our total revenue. For the quarter ended March 31, 2008, Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. and Wellpoint Inc. accounted for 25% and 11%, respectively, of our total revenue. While our customer concentration has fluctuated, we expect that a limited number of customers will continue to account for a substantial portion of our revenues in any given period. As a result, if we lose a major customer, or if a contract is delayed or cancelled or we do not contract with new major customers, our revenues and net income would be adversely affected. In addition, customers that have accounted for significant revenues in the past may not generate revenues in any future period, which may materially affect our operating results. For example, a large telecommunication customer with purchase commitments through the quarter ended June 30, 2009 may not purchase additional products or services with us. The deteriorating economic environment has resulted in failures of financial institutions and significant consolidation within the financial services industry from which we derive a significant portion of our customers and revenues. Accordingly, the risk that we could lose a significant customer is exacerbated in the current economic environment.

Historically, some of our products and services have assisted companies in attracting and retaining customers. To the extent financial institutions and other large companies shrink the size of their customer base, the demand for these products may be reduced.

Some of our customers have used our products to aggressively expand the size of their customer base. Our marketing, decisioning and enterprise solutions have been used to varying degrees on projects intended to manage leads, personalize marketing campaigns and deliver highly effective sales messages. Due to the current economic climate, many large financial institutions have been forced to deleverage, sell parts of their businesses, or otherwise reduce the size of their organizations. In these situations it is possible that the demand for our products has been, and may continue to be, reduced, resulting in lower revenues in the future.

Over the near term we plan to increase the focus of our sales staff towards Decisioning Management products and reduce the focus on Enterprise Foundation products to reflect market conditions. There can be no assurance that this change in focus will be successful.

Sales of Enterprise Foundation solutions generally have a much higher cost to a customer than Decisioning Management solutions. The magnitude of the professional services required to implement Enterprise Foundation projects is also much higher and often can take long periods of time to complete. Decisioning products are generally faster to implement and can produce a positive return on investment in a shorter period of time. Due to the current economic climate, our customers may focus on those projects that are smaller and faster to complete. Accordingly, our sales force plans to increase their focus on selling these types of solutions. This change in focus may not be successful

and, as a result, revenues may not meet our expectations.

\*Fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could negatively affect our operating results and cash flows.

A significant portion of our sales and operating expenses result from transactions outside of the U.S., often denominated in foreign currencies. These currencies include the United Kingdom Pound Sterling, the Euro and the Canadian Dollar. Our international sales comprised 56 % of our total sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Our international

53

---

Table of Contents

sales comprised 41% of our total sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. Our future operating results, as well as our cash and deferred revenue balances, will continue to be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates, especially if international sales increase as a percentage of our total sales, and we may be negatively impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency rates in the future. For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we had a foreign currency transaction gain of \$0.6 million. See Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, for further discussions.

\*Given that our stock price is near its historical low, we may be subject to takeover overtures that will divert the attention of our management and Board, and require us to incur expenses for outside advisors.

Given that our stock price is near its historical low, we may be subject to takeover overtures. Evaluating and addressing these overtures would require the time and attention of our management and Board, divert them from their focus on our business, and require us to incur additional expenses on outside legal, financial and other advisors, all of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

\*If current economic and market conditions worsen, we may be forced to make additional reductions to our workforce.

In July 2005, October 2006, May 2008 and October 2008, we reduced our workforce by approximately 10% - 15% in each instance. If current economic and market conditions worsen, we may be forced to further reduce our workforce, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

\*Low gross margin in services revenues could adversely impact our overall gross margin and income.

Our services revenues have had lower gross margins than our license revenues. Service revenue comprised 76% and 81% of our total revenues for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Gross margin on service revenue was 58% and 57% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. License revenues comprised 24% and 19% of our total revenues for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Gross margins on license revenues were 98% and 94% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As a result, an increase in the percentage of total revenues represented by services revenues, or an unexpected decrease in license revenues, could have a detrimental impact on our overall gross margins. To increase services revenues, we may expand our services organization, requiring us to successfully recruit and train a sufficient number of qualified services personnel, enter into new implementation projects and obtain renewals of current maintenance contracts by our customers. This expansion could further reduce gross margins in our services revenues. In addition, given the current economic environment, customers and potential customers have sought and may seek discounts on our services, or services at no charge, which has and would further reduce our services gross margins and materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

\*Our revenues decreased in the quarter ended March 31, 2009 as compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2008. In addition, our revenues decreased in fiscal year 2008 as compared to fiscal year 2007, and until the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, we were not profitable, which may raise vendor viability concerns about us and thereby make it more difficult to consummate license transactions with new and existing customers.

Our revenues decreased materially in the quarter ended March 31, 2009 as compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2008 and in fiscal year 2008 as compared to fiscal year 2007. In addition, while we were profitable for the years ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2008, we were not profitable for the years prior to September 30, 2007. As of March 31, 2009, we had an accumulated deficit of \$232.1 million. We may incur losses in the future and cannot be certain that we can generate sufficient revenues to continue to achieve profitability. Continued losses or decreased revenues may make many customers reluctant to enter into new large value license transactions without some assurance that we will operate profitably. If we fail to enter into new large value license transactions due to vendor

profitability and/or viability concerns, our revenues will decline, which could further adversely affect our operating results. This concern over vendor viability is exacerbated in the current economic environment.

Anti-takeover provisions could make it more difficult for a third-party to acquire us.

We have adopted a stockholder rights plan and initially declared a dividend distribution of one right for each outstanding share of common stock to stockholders of record as of July 21, 2008. Each right entitles the holder to purchase one one-hundredth of a share of our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock for \$20. Under certain circumstances, if a

Table of Contents

person or group acquires 20 percent or more of our outstanding common stock, holders of the rights (other than the person or group triggering their exercise) will be able to purchase, in exchange for the \$20 exercise price, shares of our common stock or of any company into which we are merged, having a value of \$40. The rights expire on July 21, 2011, unless extended by our Board of Directors. Because the rights may substantially dilute the stock ownership of a person or group attempting to acquire us without the approval of our Board of Directors, our rights plan could make it more difficult for a third-party to acquire us (or a significant percentage of our outstanding capital stock) without first negotiating with our Board of Directors regarding that acquisition.

In addition, our Board of Directors has the authority to issue up to 51 million shares of Preferred Stock (of which 500,000 shares have been designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock) and to fix the designations and the powers, preferences and rights, and the qualifications, limitations and restrictions thereof. The rights of the holders of our common stock may be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any Preferred Stock that may be issued in the future. The issuance of Preferred Stock may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change of control of Chordiant without further action by the stockholders and may adversely affect the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock.

Further, certain provisions of our charter documents, including limiting the ability of stockholders to raise matters at a meeting of stockholders without giving advance notice, may have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control or management of Chordiant, which could have an adverse effect on the market price of our stock. In addition, our charter documents do not permit cumulative voting, which may make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our Board of Directors. Similarly, we have a classified Board of Directors whereby approximately one-third of our Board members are elected annually to serve for three-year terms, which may also make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our Board of Directors. Further, we are subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which will prohibit us from engaging in a “business combination” with an “interested stockholder” for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, even if such combination is favored by a majority of stockholders, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. The application of Section 203 also could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or management.

Our primary products have a long sales and implementation cycle, which makes it difficult to predict our quarterly results and may cause our operating results to vary significantly.

The period between initial contact with a prospective customer and the implementation of our products is unpredictable and often lengthy, typically ranging from three to eighteen months. Thus, revenue and cash receipts could vary significantly from quarter to quarter. Any delays in the implementation of our products could cause reductions in our revenues. The licensing of our products is often an enterprise-wide decision that generally requires us to provide a significant level of education to prospective customers about the use and benefits of our products. The implementation of our products involves a significant commitment of technical and financial resources that may be provided by us, by the customer or by third-party systems integrators. If we underestimate the resources required to meet the expectations we have set with a customer when we set prices, then we may experience a net loss on that customer engagement. If this happens with a large customer engagement, then this could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. Customers generally consider a wide range of issues before committing to purchase our products, including product benefits, ability to operate with existing and future computer systems, vendor financial stability and longevity, ability to accommodate increased transaction volume and product reliability. Certain of our customers have become more cautious regarding their technology purchases given the current economic conditions and specifically the issues that continue to impact the financial and credit markets. The result is that our sales cycles have lengthened in some instances, requiring more time to finalize transactions. In particular, in each of the past several quarters transactions that we expected to close before the end of the quarter were delayed or suspended.

\*Competition in our markets is intense and could reduce our sales and prevent us from achieving profitability.

Increased competition in our markets could result in price reductions for our products and services, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any one of which could reduce our future revenues. The market for our products is intensely competitive, evolving and subject to rapid technological change. Historically, our primary competition has been from internal development, custom systems integration projects and application software competitors, each of whom we expect will continue to be a significant source of competition. In particular, we compete with:

Internal information technology departments: in-house information technology departments of potential customers have developed or may develop systems that provide some or all of the functionality of our products.

Table of Contents

Custom systems integration projects: we compete with large systems integrators who may develop custom solutions for specific companies which may reduce the likelihood that they would purchase our products and services.

Application software vendors: we compete with providers of stand-alone point solutions for web-based customer relationship management as well as traditional client/server-based, call-center service customer and sales-force automation solution providers, many of whom offer broad suites of application and other software.

The enterprise software industry continues to undergo consolidation in sectors of the software industry in which we operate. For example, in 2007 and 2008, IBM acquired ILOG, Cognos, DataMirror and Watchfire Corporation; Oracle acquired Hyperion, Moniforce and BEA Systems; Sun Microsystems acquired MySQL; and SAP acquired BusinessObjects, YASU Technologies and Pilot Software. While we do not believe that ILOG, Cognos, DataMirror, Watchfire Corporation, Hyperion, Moniforce, BEA Systems, MySQL, BusinessObjects, YASU Technologies, or Pilot Software have been significant competitors of Chordiant in the past, the acquisition of these companies by IBM, Oracle, Sun Microsystems and SAP may indicate that we will face increased competition from larger and more established entities in the future. In addition, Oracle recently announced their intent to acquire Sun Microsystems.

Many of our competitors have greater resources, broader customer relationships and broader product and service offerings than we do. In addition, many of these competitors have extensive knowledge of our industry. Current and potential competitors have established, or may further establish, cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties to offer a single solution and to increase the ability of their products to address customer needs.

Our operating results and cash flows fluctuate significantly and delays in delivery or implementation of our products or changes in the payment terms with customers may cause unanticipated declines in revenues or cash flow, which could disappoint investors and result in a decline in our stock price.

A portion of our quarterly revenues depend primarily upon product implementation by our customers. We have historically recognized a significant portion of our license and services revenue through the percentage-of-completion accounting method, using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion of implementation of our products, and we expect this practice to continue. The percentage-of-completion accounting method requires ongoing estimates of progress of complicated and frequently changing technology projects. Documenting the measure of progress towards completion of implementation is subject to potential errors and changes in estimates. As a result, even minor errors or minor changes in estimates may lead to significant changes in accounting results which may be revised in later quarters due to subsequent information and events. Thus, delays or changes in customer business goals or direction when implementing our software may adversely impact our quarterly revenue. Additionally, we may increasingly enter into term, subscription or transaction-based licensing transactions that would cause us to recognize license revenue for such transactions over a longer period of time than we have historically experienced for our perpetual licenses. In addition, a significant portion of new customer orders have been booked in the third month of each calendar quarter, with many of these bookings occurring in the last two weeks of the third month. We expect this trend to continue, and therefore any failure or delay in bookings would decrease our quarterly revenue and cash flows. The terms and conditions of individual license agreements with customers vary from transaction to transaction. Historically, the Company has been able to obtain prepayments for product in some cases, but more recently we have entered into large transactions with payments from customers due over one or more years. Other transactions link payment to the delivery or acceptance of products. If we are unable to negotiate prepayments of fees our cash flows and financial ratios with respect to accounts receivable would be adversely impacted. If our revenues, operating margins or cash flows are below the expectations of the investment community, our stock price is likely to decline.

\*If we are not able to successfully manage our partner operations in India, our operations and financial results may be adversely affected.

In 2003, we entered into an agreement with Ness Technologies Inc., Ness Global Services, Inc. and Ness Technologies India, Ltd. (collectively, "Ness"), an independent contracting company with global technical resources and an operations center in Bangalore, India and operations in other locations. The agreement provides for Ness, at our direction, to attract, train, assimilate and retain sufficient highly qualified personnel to perform staffing for consulting projects, technical support, product testing and certain sustaining engineering functions. As of March 31, 2009, we use the services of approximately 129 consultants through Ness. In addition, as a result of the reductions in our workforce that took place in July 2005, October 2006, May 2008 and October 2008, by approximately 10% - 15% in each instance, we continue to have a significant



Table of Contents

dependence on Ness. This agreement is an important component of our strategy to address the business needs of our customers and manage our expenses. The success of this operation will depend on our ability and Ness's ability to attract, train, assimilate and retain highly qualified personnel in the required periods. A disruption or termination of our relationship with Ness could adversely affect our operations. Failure to effectively manage the organization and operations will harm our business and financial results.

\*If we become subject to intellectual property infringement claims, including copyright or patent infringement claims, these claims could be costly and time-consuming to defend, divert management's attention, cause product delays and have an adverse effect on our revenues and net income.

We expect that software product developers and providers of software in markets similar to our target markets will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in our industry grows and the functionality of products overlap. Additionally, we are seeing copyright infringement claims being asserted by certain third party software developers. Any claims, with or without merit, could be costly and time-consuming to defend, divert our management's attention or cause product delays. If any of our products were found to infringe a third party's proprietary rights, we could be required to pay damages and/or enter into royalty or licensing agreements to be able to sell our products, if at all. Royalty and licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all.

We are also the subject of a suit by a person and related entity claiming that certain of our products infringe their copyrights. Such litigation is costly. If any of our products were found to infringe such copyrights, we could be required to pay damages. If we were required to settle such claim, it could be costly. A patent or copyright infringement claim could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

\*If we fail to adequately address the difficulties of managing our international operations, our revenues and operating expenses will be adversely affected.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009, international revenues were \$10.0 million or approximately 56% of our total revenues. For the quarter ended March 31, 2008, international revenues were \$10.0 million or approximately 41% of our total revenues. International revenues will continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenues in future periods. We have faced, and will continue to face, difficulties in managing international operations, which include:

- Difficulties in hiring qualified local personnel;
- Seasonal fluctuations in customer orders;
- Longer accounts receivable collection cycles;
- Expenses associated with licensing products and servicing customers in foreign markets;
- Economic downturns and political uncertainty in international economies;

Income tax withholding issues in countries in which we do not have a physical presence, resulting in non-recoverable tax payments;

- Complex transfer pricing arrangements between legal entities;

Doing business and licensing our software to customers in countries with weaker intellectual property protection laws and enforcement capabilities;

Difficulties in commencing new operations in countries where the Company has not previously conducted business, including those associated with tax laws, employment laws, government regulation, product warranty laws and adopting to local customs and culture; and

Any of these factors could have a significant impact on our ability to license products and provide services on a competitive and timely basis and could adversely affect our operating expenses and net income. Additionally we closed our only French office in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. The absence of a business office in France may harm our ability to attract and retain customers in that country.

Table of Contents

Because competition for qualified personnel is intense, we may not be able to retain or recruit personnel, which could impact the development and sales of our products.

If we are unable to hire or retain qualified personnel, or if newly hired personnel fail to develop the necessary skills or fail to reach expected levels of productivity, our ability to develop and market our products will be weakened. Our success depends largely on the continued contributions of our key management, finance, engineering, sales, marketing and professional services personnel. In particular, in prior years we have had significant turnover of our executives as well as in our sales, marketing and finance organizations, and many key positions are held by people who have less than two years of experience in their roles with the Company. If these people are not well suited to their new roles, then this could result in the Company having problems in executing its strategy or in reporting its financial results. Because of the dependency on a small number of large deals, we are uniquely dependent upon the talents and relationships of a few executives and have no guarantee of their retention. Changes in key sales management could affect our ability to maintain existing customer relationships or to close pending transactions. In addition, in July 2005, October 2006, May 2008 and October 2008 we reduced the size of our workforce by approximately 10% - 15% in each instance, which may have a negative effect on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. Further, particularly in the current economic environment, employees or potential employees may choose to work for larger, more stable companies.

The application of percentage-of-completion and completed contract accounting to our business is complex and may result in delays in the reporting of our financial results and revenue not being recognized as we expect.

Although we attempt to use standardized license agreements designed to meet current revenue recognition criteria under generally accepted accounting principles, we must often negotiate and revise terms and conditions of these standardized agreements, particularly in multi-product transactions. At the time of entering into a transaction, we assess whether any services included within the arrangement require us to perform significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products. For contracts involving significant implementation or customization essential to the functionality of our products, we recognize the license and professional consulting services revenues using the percentage-of-completion accounting method using labor hours incurred as the measure of progress towards completion. The application of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting is complex and involves judgments and estimates, which may change significantly based on customer requirements. This complexity combined with changing customer requirements could result in delays in the proper determination of our percentage-of-completion estimates and revenue not being recognized as we expect.

In the past we have also entered into co-development projects with our customers to jointly develop new applications, often over the course of a year or longer. In such cases we may only be able to recognize revenue upon delivery of the new application. The accounting treatment for these co-development projects could result in delays in the recognition of revenue. The failure to successfully complete these projects to the satisfaction of the customer could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Geopolitical concerns could make the closing of license transactions with new and existing customers difficult.

Our revenues may further decrease in fiscal year 2009 or beyond if we are unable to enter into new large value license transactions with new and existing customers. The current state of the global financial markets and the global economic decline generally have left many customers reluctant to enter into new large value license transactions without some assurance that the economy both in the customer's home country and globally will stabilize. Geopolitical instability will continue to make closing large license transactions difficult. In addition, we cannot predict what effect the U.S. military presence overseas or potential or actual political or military conflict have had or are continuing to have on our existing and prospective customers' decision-making process with respect to licensing or implementing

enterprise-level products such as ours. Our ability to enter into new large value license transactions also directly affects our ability to create additional consulting services and maintenance revenues, on which we also depend.

The company's common stock price has historically been and may continue to be volatile, which could result in substantial losses for stockholders.

The market price of shares of the Company's common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, highly volatile and may be significantly affected by factors such as the following:

- Actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

Table of Contents

- Changes in economic and political conditions in the United States and abroad;
- Terrorist attacks, war or the threat of terrorist attacks or war;
- The announcement of mergers or acquisitions by the Company or its competitors;
- Financial difficulties or poor operating results announced by significant customers;
  - Developments in ongoing or threatened litigation;
  - Announcements of technological innovations;
- Failure to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act;
- New products or new contracts announced by the Company or its competitors;
- Developments with respect to intellectual property laws;
- Price and volume fluctuations in the stock market;
- Changes in corporate purchasing of software by companies in the industry verticals supported by the Company;
  - Adoption of new accounting standards affecting the software industry; and
  - Changes in financial estimates by securities analysts.

In addition, following periods of volatility in the market price of a particular company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against such companies. If the Company is involved in such litigation, it could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources and could materially harm the Company's business, operating results and financial condition.

If we fail to maintain and expand our relationships with systems integrators and other business partners, our ability to develop, market, sell and support our products may be adversely affected.

Our development, marketing and distribution strategies rely on our ability to form and maintain long-term strategic relationships with systems integrators, in particular, existing business alliance partners IBM, Ness, Electronic Data Systems, Tata Consultancy Services and HCL Technologies. These business relationships often consist of joint marketing programs, technology partnerships and resale and distribution arrangements. Although most aspects of these relationships are contractual in nature, many important aspects of these relationships depend on the continued cooperation between the parties. Divergence in strategy, change in focus, competitive product offerings or potential contract defaults may interfere with our ability to develop, market, sell or support our products, which in turn could harm our business. If any of IBM, Ness, Electronic Data Systems, Tata Consultancy Services or HCL Technologies were to terminate their agreements with us or our relationship were to deteriorate, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In many cases, these parties have extensive relationships with our existing and potential customers and influence the decisions of these customers. A number of our competitors have stronger relationships with IBM, Ness, Electronic Data Systems, Tata Consultancy Services and HCL Technologies and, as a result, these systems integrators may be more likely to recommend competitors' products and services. In 2007 and 2008, IBM acquired ILOG, Cognos, DataMirror and Watchfire Corporation. While we do

not believe that ILOG, Cognos, DataMirror or Watchfire Corporation had been a direct competitor of Chordiant in the past, IBM's acquisition of these companies may indicate that IBM will become a competitor of ours in the future. While the Company currently has good relationship with IBM, this relationship and the Company's strategic relationship agreement with IBM may be harmed if the Company increasingly finds itself competing with IBM. Our relationships with systems integrators and their willingness to recommend our products to their customers could be harmed if the Company were to be subject to a takeover attempt from a competitor of such systems integrators.

Table of Contents

If systems integrators fail to properly implement our software, our business, reputation and financial results may be harmed.

We are increasingly relying on systems integrators to implement our products, and this trend may continue. As a result, we have less quality control over the implementation of our software with respect to these transactions and are more reliant on the ability of our systems integrators to correctly implement our software. If these systems integrators fail to properly implement our software, our business, reputation and financial results may be harmed.

If we do not maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting, investors could lose confidence in our financial reporting and customers may delay purchasing decisions, which would harm our business and the market price of our common stock.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports, our business could be harmed. We are a complex company with complex accounting issues and thus subject to related risks of errors in financial reporting which may cause problems in corporate governance, the costs of which may outweigh the costs of the underlying errors themselves. For example, the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, with the assistance of outside legal counsel, conducted a review of our stock option practices covering the time from the Company's initial public offering in 2000 through September 2006. The Audit Committee reached a conclusion that incorrect measurement dates were used for financial accounting purposes for stock option grants in certain prior periods. As a result, the Company recorded an additional non-cash stock-based compensation expense, and related tax effects, related to stock option grants and concluded that a material weakness surrounding the control activities relating to the stock option grants existed at September 30, 2006. To correct these accounting errors, we restated the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended June 30, 2006. As a result of this need to restate financial statements, management and the Audit Committee determined that material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting existed as of September 30, 2006. These material weaknesses were remediated during fiscal year 2007 and management concluded internal controls over financial reporting were effective for the reporting period.

If we are not successful in maintaining effective internal controls over financial reporting, customers may delay purchasing decisions or we may lose customers, create investor uncertainty, face litigation and the market price of our common stock may decline. For more information, please refer to the discussion under the heading "Item 9A. Controls and Procedures" in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

If our products do not operate effectively in a company-wide environment, we may lose sales and suffer decreased revenues.

If existing customers have difficulty deploying our products or choose not to fully deploy our products, it could damage our reputation and reduce revenues. Our success requires that our products be highly scalable and able to accommodate substantial increases in the number of users. Our products are expected to be deployed on a variety of computer software and hardware platforms and to be used in connection with a number of third-party software applications by personnel who may not have previously used application software systems or our products. These deployments present very significant technical challenges, which are difficult or impossible to predict. If these deployments do not succeed, we may lose future sales opportunities and suffer decreased revenues. If we underestimate the resources required to meet the expectations we have set with a customer when we set prices, then we may experience a net loss on that customer engagement. If this happens with a large customer engagement then this could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Defects in our products could diminish demand for our products and result in decreased revenues, decreased market acceptance and injury to our reputation.

Errors may be found from time-to-time in our existing, new, acquired or enhanced products. Any significant software errors in our products may result in decreased revenues, decreased sales, and injury to our reputation and/or increased warranty and repair costs. Although we conduct extensive product testing during product development, we have in the past discovered and may in the future discover software errors in our products as well as in third-party products, and as a result have experienced and may in the future experience delays in the shipment of our new products.



Table of Contents

We may not have the workforce necessary to support our platform of products if demand for our products substantially increased, and, if we need to rebuild our workforce in the future, we may not be able to recruit personnel in a timely manner, which could negatively impact the development, sales and support of our products.

In July 2005, October 2006, May 2008 and October 2008 we reduced the size of our workforce by approximately 10% - 15% in each instance. In the event that demand for our products increases, we may need to rebuild our workforce or increase outsourced functions to companies based in foreign jurisdictions and we may be unable to hire, train or retain qualified personnel in a timely manner, which may weaken our ability to market our products in a timely manner, negatively impacting our operations. Our success depends largely on ensuring that we have adequate personnel to support our suite of products as well as the continued contributions of our key management, finance, engineering, sales, marketing and professional services personnel.

\*If we fail to introduce new versions and releases of functional and scalable products in a timely manner, customers may license competing products and our revenues may decline.

If we are unable to ship or implement enhancements to our products when planned, or fail to achieve timely market acceptance of these enhancements, we may suffer lost sales and could fail to achieve anticipated revenues. If our competitors release new products that are superior to our products in performance or price, or if we fail to enhance our products or introduce new products, features and functionality in a timely manner, demand for our products may decline. We have in the past experienced delays in the planned release dates of new products or new versions of our software products and upgrades. New versions of our products may not be released on schedule or may contain defects when released.

\*We depend on technology licensed to us by third parties, and the loss or inability to maintain these licenses could prevent or delay sales of our products.

We license from several software providers technologies that are incorporated into our products. We anticipate that we will continue to license technology from third parties in the future. This software may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. While currently we are not materially dependent on any single third party for such licenses, the loss of the technology licenses could result in delays in the license of our products until equivalent technology is developed or identified, licensed and integrated into our products. Given the current economic environment, the risk that one or more of our suppliers or vendors may go out of business or be unable to meet their contractual obligations to us is exacerbated. Even if substitute technologies are available, there can be no guarantee that we will be able to license these technologies on commercially reasonable terms, if at all, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Defects in third party products associated with our products could impair our products' functionality and injure our reputation.

The effective implementation of our products depends upon the successful operation of third-party products in conjunction with our products. Any undetected defects in these third-party products could prevent the implementation or impair the functionality of our products, delay new product introductions or injure our reputation. In the past, while our business has not been materially harmed, product releases have been delayed as a result of errors in third-party software and we have incurred significant expenses fixing and investigating the cause of these errors.

\*Our customers and systems integration partners may have the ability to alter our source code and resulting inappropriate alterations could adversely affect the performance of our products, cause injury to our reputation and increase operating expenses.

Customers and systems integration partners may have access to the computer source code for certain elements of our products and may alter the source code. Alteration of our source code may lead to implementation, operation, technical support and upgrade problems for our customers. This could adversely affect the market acceptance of our products and our reputation, and any necessary investigative work and repairs could cause us to incur significant expenses and delays in implementation, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

\*If our products do not keep up with advancing technological requirements or operate with the hardware and software platforms used by our customers, our customers may license competing products and our revenues will decline.

Table of Contents

If our products fail to satisfy advancing technological requirements of our customers and potential customers, the market acceptance of these products could be reduced. We currently serve a customer base with a wide variety of constantly changing hardware, software applications and networking platforms. Customer acceptance of our products depends on many factors, including our ability to integrate our products with multiple platforms and existing or legacy systems, and our ability to anticipate and support new standards, especially Internet and enterprise Java standards. If our products do not keep up with advancing technological requirements or operate with the hardware and software platforms used by our customers, our customers may license competing products and our revenues will decline.

A failure in our attempt to deploy our software through a Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) model could cause injury to our reputation and impair our ability to develop, market and sell our products under a SaaS model.

In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, we entered into a license with a third party that will allow that third party to develop and host in their data centers applications based on our software, to provide services to their customers, most of whom are in markets that we do not currently penetrate. As we have no previous experience in deploying our software in a SaaS model, a failure of this effort could have a detrimental effect to our ability to attract other third parties to use our software in their SaaS businesses.

Our failure to successfully integrate with future acquired or merged companies and technologies could prevent us from operating efficiently.

Our business strategy includes pursuing opportunities to grow our business, both through internal growth and through merger, acquisition and technology and other asset transactions. To implement this strategy, we may be involved in merger and acquisition activity and additional technology and asset purchase transactions. Merger and acquisition transactions are motivated by many factors, including, among others, our desire to grow our business, acquire skilled personnel, obtain new technologies and expand and enhance our product offerings or markets. Mergers and acquisitions of high-technology companies are inherently risky, and the Company cannot be certain that any acquisition will be successful and will not materially harm the Company's business, operating results or financial condition. Generally, acquisitions involve numerous risks, including: (i) the benefits of the acquisition (such as cost savings and synergies) not materializing as planned or not materializing within the time periods or to the extent anticipated; (ii) the Company's ability to manage acquired entities' people and processes, particularly those that are headquartered in separate geographical locations from the Company's headquarters; (iii) the possibility that the Company will pay more than the value it derives from the acquisition; (iv) difficulties in integration of the operations, technologies, content and products of the acquired companies; (v) the assumption of certain known and unknown liabilities of the acquired companies; (vi) difficulties in retaining key relationships with customers, partners and suppliers of the acquired company; (vi) the risk of diverting management's attention from normal daily operations of the business; (vii) the Company's ability to issue new releases of the acquired company's products on existing or other platforms; (viii) negative impact to the Company's financial condition and results of operations and the potential write down of impaired goodwill and intangible assets resulting from combining the acquired company's financial condition and results of operations with our financial statements; (ix) risks of entering markets in which the Company has no or limited direct prior experience; and (x) the potential loss of key employees of the acquired company. Realization of any of these risks in connection with any technology transaction or asset purchase we have entered into, or may enter into, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Changes in our revenue recognition model could result in short-term declines in revenue.

Historically, we have recognized revenue for a high percentage of our license transactions on the percentage-of-completion method of accounting or upon the delivery of product. If we were to enter into new types of transactions accounted for on a subscription or term basis, revenues might be recognized over a longer period of time.

The impact of this change might make revenue recognition more predictable over the long term, but it might also result in a short-term reduction of revenue as the new transactions took effect.

We may encounter unexpected delays in maintaining the requisite internal controls over financial reporting and we expect to incur additional expenses and diversion of management's time as a result of performing future system and process evaluation, testing and remediation required to comply with future management assessment and auditor attestation requirements.

Management must report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm must attest to, our internal control

Table of Contents

over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of SOX, within the time frame required by Section 404. We may encounter unexpected delays in satisfying those requirements. Accordingly, we cannot be certain about the timely completion of our evaluation, testing and remediation actions or the impact that these activities will have on our operations. We also expect to incur additional expenses and diversion of management's time as a result of performing ongoing system and process evaluations and the testing and remediation required to comply with management's assessment and auditor attestation requirements. If we are not able to timely comply with the requirements set forth in Section 404 in future periods, we might be subject to sanctions or investigation by the regulatory authorities. Any such action could adversely affect our business or financial results.

Table of Contents

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On January 28, 2009, we held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders in Cupertino, California. Of the 30,081,690 shares outstanding and entitled to vote as of the record date of December 1, 2008, 25,245,795 shares were present or represented by proxy at the meeting. At the meeting, stockholders were asked to vote with respect to (i) the election of our two nominees to serve as directors to hold office until the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, (ii) the ratification of the selection by the Company's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent auditors for our fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, (iii) the approval of the Company's 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended ("the 2005 Plan") to increase the aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2005 Plan by 650,000 shares, and (iv) the approval of a non-binding resolution to approve the Shareholder Rights Plan that was previously adopted by the Company's Board of Directors on July 7, 2008.

Our two nominees were elected as directors, each to hold office until the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, or until such time as their respective successors are elected and qualified, by the vote set forth below:

| Nominee                       | Votes For  | Withheld  | Broker Non-Votes |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|
| William J. Raduchel,<br>Ph.D. | 19,363,335 | 5,882,460 | 0                |
| Allen A.A. Swann              | 21,092,053 | 4,153,742 | 0                |

In addition to the directors elected above, Charles E. Hoffman, David R. Springett, Dan A. Gaudreau, Richard G. Stevens, and Steven R. Springsteel continued to serve as directors after the annual meeting.

The selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent auditors for our fiscal year ending September 30, 2009 was ratified by the vote set forth below:

| Votes For  | Votes Against | Abstentions | Broker Non-Votes |
|------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| 24,599,300 | 604,053       | 42,442      | 0                |

The proposal to approve the 2005 Plan to increase the aggregate number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the 2005 Plan by 650,000 shares was approved by the vote set forth below:

| Votes For  | Votes Against | Abstentions | Broker Non-Votes |
|------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| 13,833,798 | 4,348,526     | 39,649      | 7,023,822        |

The non-binding resolution to approve the Shareholder Rights Plan that was previously adopted by the Company's Board of Directors on July 7, 2008 was not approved by the vote set forth below.

| Votes For | Votes Against | Abstentions | Broker Non-Votes |
|-----------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| 3,899,417 | 14,240,824    | 81,732      | 7,023,822        |

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying index to exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference (as stated therein) as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Chordiant Software, Inc.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CHORDIANT SOFTWARE, INC

By:                    /s/ PETER S. NORMAN  
                              Peter S. Norman  
                              Chief Financial Officer and  
                              Principal Accounting Officer

Dated: May 7, 2009

Table of Contents

## exhibit index

| Exhibit Number | Description of Document   | Incorporated by Reference        |            | Filed Herewith |
|----------------|---|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|
|                |   | Form                             | Date Filed |                |
| 3.1            | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Chordiant Software, Inc.   | Form 10-K                        | 11/20/2008 |                |
| 3.2            | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Chordiant Software, Inc.   | Form 8-K                         | 6/3/2008   |                |
| 3.3            | Certificate of Designation of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock.  | Form 8-K                         | 7/11/2008  |                |
| 4.1            | Specimen Common Stock Certificate.  | Form S-1/A<br>(No.<br>333-92187) | 2/7/2000   |                |
| 4.2            | Rights Agreement by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC dated as of July 10, 2008.  | Form 8-K                         | 7/11/2008  |                |
| 4.3            | Form of Rights Certificate.   | Form 8-K                         | 7/11/2008  |                |
| 10.6*          | Chordiant Software, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended.  | Schedule 14-A                    | 12/17/2008 |                |
| 10.69*         | Form of Chordiant Software, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Grant Notice and Chordiant Software, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement. |                                  |            | X              |
| 10.78*+        | Form of Chordiant Software, Inc. Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Incentive Bonus Plan.   |                                  |            | X              |
| 10.79*+        | Chordiant Software, Inc. Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Incentive Bonus Plan for Steven R. Springsteel  |                                  |            | X              |
| 10.80*+        | Chordiant Software, Inc. Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Incentive Bonus Plan for Peter S. Norman  |                                  |            | X              |
| 10.81*+        | Chordiant Software, Inc. Fiscal Year 2009 Executive Incentive Bonus Plan for Charles A. Altomare  |                                  |            | X              |
| 10.82*+        |   |                                  |            | X              |



Edgar Filing: CHORDIANT SOFTWARE INC - Form 10-Q

|         |   |           |           |   |
|---------|---|-----------|-----------|---|
|         | Chordiant Software, Inc. 2009 Vice President<br>Worldwide Sales Incentive Bonus Plan                    |           |           |   |
| 10.83*+ | Chordiant Software, Inc. 2009 Vice President<br>Worldwide Professional Services Incentive Bonus<br>Plan |           |           | X |
| 10.84*+ | Chordiant Software, Inc. 2009 General Counsel<br>Incentive Bonus Plan                                   |           |           | X |
| 10.85*  | Amended and Restated 1999 Non-Employee<br>Directors' Stock Option Plan.                                 | Form 10-Q | 1/29/2009 |   |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit<br>Number | Description of Document   | Incorporated by Reference |               | Filed<br>Herewith |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
|                   |   | Form                      | Date<br>Filed |                   |
| 10.86†            | Addendum C dated January 14, 2009 to the Master Services Agreement by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and Ness USA, Inc. dated December 15, 2003, as amended.  | Form 10-Q                 | 1/29/2009     |                   |
| 10.87*            | Form of Chordiant Software, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice and Chordiant Software, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement.   | Form 10-Q                 | 1/29/2009     |                   |
| 10.88*            | Form of Chordiant Software, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan Restricted Stock Award Grant Notice for Non-U.S. Directors and Chordiant Software, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Non-U.S. Directors. | Form 10-Q                 | 1/29/2009     |                   |
| 10.89*            | Form of Indemnity Agreement by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and its directors and officers.   | Form 10-Q                 | 1/29/2009     |                   |
| 10.90*            | Offer Letter for Marchai Bruchey dated March 2, 2009.   |                           |               | X                 |
| 10.91*            | Change of Control Agreement by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and Marchai Bruchey dated April 8, 2009.  |                           |               | X                 |
| 10.92*            | Separation Agreement by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and David C. Cunningham dated February 13, 2009.   |                           |               | X                 |
| 10.93             | Addendum D dated March 20, 2009 to the Master Services Agreement by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and Ness USA, Inc. dated December 15, 2003, as amended.  |                           |               | X                 |
| 10.94             | Amendment Number Three dated December 21, 2007 to the Master Agreement for Subcontracted Services dated June 14, 2006 by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and International   |                           |               | X                 |

Business Machines Corporation.

|         |   |   |
|---------|---|---|
| 10.95 † | Amendment No. 1 dated June 4, 2007 to the Master Professional Services Agreement dated June 6, 2006 by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. (USA).   | X |
| 10.96 † | Letter Agreement dated November 11, 2008 by and between Chordiant Software, Inc. and Citicorp Credit Services, Inc. (USA).  | X |
| 10.97*  | Form of Chordiant Software, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Stock Restricted Stock Unit Grant Notice for Non-U.S. Employees and Chordiant Software, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for Non-U.S. Employees. | X |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit<br>Number | Description of Document   | Incorporated by Reference |               | Filed<br>Herewith |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
|                   |   | Form                      | Date<br>Filed |                   |
| 21.1              | Chordiant Software, Inc. Subsidiaries.  |                           |               | X                 |
| 31.1              | Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a).   |                           |               | X                 |
| 31.2              | Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a).   |                           |               | X                 |
| 32.1#             | Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350). |                           |               | X                 |

\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

€ Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to certain portions of this exhibit. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the SEC as required by Rule 406 of Regulation C.

#The certification attached as Exhibit 32.1 is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not incorporated by reference into any filing of Chordiant Software, Inc., whether made before or after the date of this Form 10-Q irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

+The Company has attached as Exhibits 10.78-10.84 copies of its 2009 Executive Bonus Plans that it originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission under cover of the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q filed on January 30, 2009 and disclosed by the Company on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2008. The Company had filed with the SEC a request for confidentiality of certain information contained in those Bonus Plans. The Company has received comments from the SEC staff on its confidentiality request. As a result, the Company has included some previously redacted information in those filed Bonus Plans which has now been added either because of subsequent public disclosure by the Company or such information consists of headings contained in tables which are included as part of the Bonus Plans.