Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. Form POS AM June 21, 2006

Registration No. 333-121351

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Post -Effective Amendment No. 1 to FORM SB-2

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

GOLDEN WEST BREWING COMPANY, INC.

 $(Name\ of\ small\ business\ issuer\ in\ its\ Charter)$

Delaware208225-1909409(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)(Primary Standard Industrial incorporation Code Number)(IRS Employer incorporation Number)

945 West 2nd Street
Chico, California 95928
(530) 894-7906 (tel) (707) 884-1229 (fax)

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of Registrant's principal executive offices)

(Address of Principal Place of Business or Intended Principal Place of Business)

John Power, President 945 West 2nd Street Chico, California 95928 (530) 894-7906 (tel) (707) 884-1229 (fax) (Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number of agent for service of process)

Copies to:
Clifford L. Neuman, Esq.
Clifford L. Neuman, P.C.
1507 Pine Street, Boulder, Colorado 80302
(303) 449-2100 (tel) (303) 449-1045 (fax)

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to public:

As soon as practicable after the effective date of the Registration Statement.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.
If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []
If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []
If delivery of the Prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. []

Calculation of Registration Fee

		Proposed	Proposed	
Title of Each Class	Amount to	<u>Maximum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>	
of Securities to be		<u>Offering</u>	<u>Aggregate</u>	Amount of
Registered	<u>be</u>	<u>Price</u>	Offering Price	Registration
	Registered	Per Share (1)	<u>(1)</u>	<u>Fee</u>
Common stock, \$.0001 par value:	1,000,000	\$.50	\$500,000	\$100.00
TOTAL:			\$500,000	\$100.00

(1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457 of Regulation C.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Golden West Brewing Company, Inc.

Cross-Reference Index

Item No. and Heading
In Form SB-2
Registration Statement

Location in Prospectus

Forepart of the Registration Statement Forepart of Registration Statement and Outside Front Cover Page of

Prospectus

and

Outside Front Cover Page of

Prospectus

Inside Front and Outside Back Cover 2. **Pages**

of Prospectus

Inside Front and Outside Back Cover

Pages of Prospectus

Summary and Risk Factors Prospectus Summary; Risk Factors

Use of Proceeds Use of Proceeds: Risk Factors 4.

Determination of Offering Price The Offering 5.

Dilution 6.

Selling Securityholders Selling Securityholders 7.

Plan of Distribution Plan of Distribution 8.

Legal Proceedings Legal Proceedings

10. Directors, Executive Officers,

Promoters

and Controlling Persons

Management

11. Security Ownership of Certain

Beneficial Owners and Management

Security Ownership of Management

and

Principal Stockholders

12. Description of Securities	Description of Securities
13. Interest of Named Experts and Counsel	Legal Matters; Experts
 Disclosure of SEC Position on Indemnification for Securities Act Liabilities 	Management - Indemnification and Limitation on Liability of Directors
15. Organization Within Last Five Years	The Company; Business Overview
16. Description of Business	Prospectus Summary; Risk Factors; Business
	ii

17.	Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations; Financial Statements; Business
18.	Description of Property	Business
19.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	Certain Transactions
20.	Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	Market for Common Stock
21.	Executive Compensation	Management - Executive Compensation
22.	Financial Statements	Financial Statements
23.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	*

^{*} Omitted from Prospectus because Item is inapplicable or answer is in the negative

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. The Prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Prospectus

GOLDEN WEST BREWING COMPANY, INC.

1,000,000 Shares of Common Stock

We are offering up to 1,000,000 shares of the common stock of Golden West Brewing Company, Inc.

The offering is being conducted on a 400,000-share minimum, 1,000,000-share maximum, best efforts basis at an offering price of \$.50 per share. Each investor must purchase a minimum of 1,000 shares, for a minimum investment of \$500. All proceeds from the sale of shares will be deposited into an escrow account with Corporate Stock Transfer, Inc., as escrow agent. If we are unable to sell at least 400,000 shares before the offering period ends, we will return all funds, without deduction or interest, to subscribers promptly after the end of the offering.

The offering began on February 14, 2006. To date, we have received subscriptions for a total of 20,000 shares and have deposited the subscription proceeds in the amount of \$10,000 into the escrow account with Corporate Stock Transfer.

The offering will remain open until all of the shares offered are sold or August 3, 2006, whichever occurs sooner. We may decide to cease selling efforts prior to such date if we determine that it is no longer beneficial to continue the offering.

We plan to offer the shares through our officers and directors. We do not plan to use underwriters or pay any commissions on any sales of shares in this offering.

To date, there has been no public market for any of our securities, and our securities are not listed on any stock exchange or traded on the over-the-counter market. The offering price has been determined by us arbitrarily.

No commissions will be paid on sales of shares in this offering.

	Price to Public	Proceeds to Company
Per Share	\$.50	\$.50
Minimum Offering	\$200,000	\$200,000
Maximum Offering	\$500,000	\$500,000

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should read the "Risk Factors" beginning on Page 7..

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this Prospectus is_______, 2006.

Prospectus Summary

About our Company

This summary highlights important information about our business and about the offering. Because it is a summary, it does not contain all the information you should consider before investing in our securities. Please read this entire prospectus.

We were formed to acquire substantially all of the business assets of Butte Creek Brewing Company, LLC, a California limited liability company. We completed the acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005. We currently are a holding company for our wholly-owned subsidiary Golden West Brewing Company, a California corporation, which was formed to complete the acquisition and since the acquisition has been operating as Butte Creek Brewing Company.

Butte Creek has been operating as a premier regional craft brewery in Chico, California since 1996. It specializes in brewing certified organic craft beers. Our flagship brews consist of Organic Ale, Organic Porter, Organic India Pale Ale, Organic Pilsner, Revolution X Imperial IPA, Mount Shasta Pale Ale, Mount Shasta Strong Ale, Creekside Wheat, and Gold Ale. In addition, we craft seasonal brews consisting of Winter Ale, Organic Spring Ale, and Christmas Cranberry Ale.

In addition to brewing our own brand of products, we have recently begun contract brewing for third parties.

We currently distribute our products in a total of 19 states, including our core market of California which is serviced through both direct sales and distributors. The majority of our distribution outside of Northern California occurs through a network of independent alcoholic beverage distributors who are licensed in their respective jurisdictions.

Butte Creek's principal offices and brewery are located at 945 West 2nd Street, Chico, California 95928. Its telephone number at that address is (530) 894-7906. In addition, our internet website is located at www.organicale.com.

Unless otherwise stated, all information, including share and per share information, contained in this prospectus reflects the completed acquisition of Butte Creek.

About The Offering

Securities offered:

Minimum400,000 shares of common stockMaximum1,000,000 shares of common stock

Price to the public: \$.50 per share

Total Offering:

 Minimum
 \$200,000

 Maximum
 \$500,000

Shares Outstanding After Offering:

 Minimum
 2,400,000

 Maximum
 3,000,000

Manner of sales: Solely through our officers and directors.

We do not plan to use the services of an

underwriter.

Commissions: No commissions will be paid on sales of

shares in this offering.

Term of offering: The offering began on February 14, 2006

and will end on August 3, 2006, unless all 1,000,000 shares of common stock are

sold sooner

Minimum investment: Each investor in this offering must purchase

a minimum of 1,000 shares, or \$500.

Escrow Arrangement:

This offering is being undertaken on a best efforts minimum of 400,000 shares and maximum of 1,000,000 shares. Within five days of our receipt of a subscription agreement accompanied by a check for the subscription amount, we will send by first class mail a written confirmation to notify the investor of the extent if any, to which such subscription has been accepted by us. The proceeds will be deposited into an escrow account with Corporate Stock Transfer, Inc., our transfer agent, as escrow agent. Once the offering is terminated, and provided at least the minimum offering of 400,000 shares have been sold, the proceeds of the offering will be released from escrow and delivered to the Company. If the offering is terminated without achieving the minimum sale of 400,000 shares, all subscriptions will be promptly returned to the investors, with interest and without deduction.

Subscription agreements:

Investors in the offering will be required to sign a subscription agreement at the time of their investment and deliver it together with payment for their shares, to Corporate Stock Transfer, Inc., as escrow agent. All subscription payments should be made payable to the order of "Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. Escrow Account." Assuming the sale of the minimum offering of 400,000 shares investors will receive their certificates within 30 days following the termination date of this offering.

Participation by affiliates:

Our affiliates may not purchase shares in the minimum offering to satisfy the minimum offering requirement. Affiliates may participate after the minimum offering has been completed; however, no affiliate has made any commitment to participate. We have not placed any limitation on the number of shares an affiliate may purchase in the offering.

Summary Financial Data

The following pro forma consolidated condensed statement of operations data presents information as if we had completed the acquisition of Butte Creek as of and at the beginning of each period shown. The balance sheet data presents our actual balance sheet at March 31, 2006 .. The following summary statement of operations data and balance sheet data are historical but are incomplete and should be read in conjunction with the complete financial statements of Golden West and Butte Creek contained elsewhere in this prospectus. Our pro forma historical operating information may not be indicative of our future operating results.

Pro Forma Statement of Operations Data:	Three Mont March	Fiscal Year Ended December 31,		
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2005</u>	
Total Revenues	\$ 194,900	\$ 164,293	\$ 865,878	
Cost of Sales	126,611	104,158	573,740	
Operating expenses	179,862	119,123	540,914	
Other expense	(12,832)	(5,899)	(43,615)	
Net loss	\$(134,425) \$	\$(338,878)		
		(74,401)		
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$(134,425)	\$ (74,401)	\$(338,878)	
Basic and diluted loss per share		\$ (.04)	\$ (.19)	
Shares used in computing				
basic and diluted loss per share		1,820,000	2,000,000	

Statement of Operations Data:	Three Months Ended March 31.		Fiscal Yea <u>December</u>		
	<u>2006</u> <u>20</u>	<u>05</u>		<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Total Revenues	\$ 194,900	\$	-	\$ 321,895	\$ -
Cost of Sales	126,611		-	205,565	-
Operating expenses	179,862		9,461	215,867	62,543
Other expense	(12,832)		-	(11,740)	-

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

Net loss	(134,425)	(9,461)	(127,785)	(62,543)
Net loss applicable to	(134,425)	(9,461)	(127,785)	(62,543)
common stockholders				
Basic and diluted loss per	(0.07)	(.01)	(0. 08)	(.07)
share				
Shares used in computing				
basic and diluted loss per	2,000,000	1,500,000	1, 691,666	865,513
share				

At March 31 . 2006

Balance Sheet Data:	<u>Adjusted</u>			
	<u>Unadjusted</u>	Minimum ⁽¹⁾	Maximum ⁽²⁾	
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (377,838) \$	(377,838)	\$ (77,838)	
Total assets	1,207,952	1,257,952	1,557,952	
Total Liabilities	1,083,152	1,083,152	1,083,152	
Stockholders' equity	\$ 124,800	\$ 174,800	\$ 474,800	

 $^{^{(1)}}$ Adjusted to reflect net proceeds of \$50,000 from our assumed sale in this offering of 400,000 shares at an offering price of \$.50 per share.

 $^{^{(2)}}$ Adjusted to reflect net proceeds of \$350,000 from our assumed sale in this offering of 1,000,000 shares at an offering price of \$.50 per share.

Risk Factors

An investment in our securities is speculative and involves a high degree of risk. Please carefully consider the following risk factors, as well as the possibility of the loss of your entire investment, before deciding to invest in our securities.

Risks Related to This Offering and Our Stock

The tangible book value of our common stock after the offering will be lower than the offering price, which will result in immediate and substantial dilution for investors.

Even if we sell all 1,000,000 shares that we are offering, investors purchasing shares of our common stock in this offering will incur immediate and substantial dilution of their investment of approximately \$. 46 per share, or 92 % of the offering price, based upon our adjusted net tangible book value as of March 31, 2006 .. If we sell fewer than 1,000,000 shares, the dilution will be even greater. To the extent that currently outstanding options to purchase our common stock are exercised, there will be further dilution to investors acquiring shares of common stock.

It is possible that we may have violated Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 when we effected the conversion of \$10,000 in advance payable into shares of common stock without registration under the Securities Act after this registration statement had been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. If we are found to have violated Section 5 of the Securities Act, we may be subject to civil penalties and other sanctions as well as the rescission rights of the party that converted his note receivable into shares of our common stock, amounting to \$10,000.

It is possible that it may be determined that we violated Section 5 of the Securities Act. Section 5 of the Securities Act prohibits the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell a security unless a registration statement is in effect as to such security. Section 5(c) of the Securities Act prohibits the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy a security unless a registration statement has been filed as to such security.

The transaction that may have caused such a violation of Section 5 is as follows: In December, 2004, we made the initial filing of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part. In June 2005, an unaffiliated third-party, Bob Vogt, loaned us the sum of \$10,000. The loan was unsecured and undocumented. It was our intention to repay the loan in a short period of time; however, we were unable to do so due to our lack of working capital. In December 2005, in an effort to improve our balance sheet, we offered Mr. Vogt an opportunity to convert

his \$10,000 loan into shares of our common stock. In December 2005, we effected the conversion of Mr. Vogt s loan into shares of our common stock in a transaction in which we relied upon an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act contained in Section 4(2), which exempts transactions not involving a public offering.

Under the principals of integration, two or more offerings of securities may be integrated and deemed to be one offering under certain circumstances. Factors considered in determining whether offers and sales of securities should be integrated are:

- § Whether the sales are part of a single plan of financing;
- § Whether the sales involve the issuance of the same class of securities;
- § Whether the sales have been made at or about the same time;
- § Whether the same type of consideration is being received; and,
- § Whether the sales were made for the same general purpose.

If it were to be determined that the conversion of Mr. Vogt s note payable into shares of common stock is integrated with the offering covered by the registration statement and this prospectus, then we could not rely upon the exemption contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act for the Vogt conversion, and as a result, it may be determined that the conversion of the Vogt loan into shares of common stock constituted a violation of Section 5 of the Securities Act. If this were to occur, we would become subject to remedial actions, which would include the payment of disgorgement, pre-judgment interest and civil or criminal penalties pursuant to Sections 12(a)(1), 8A and 24 of the Securities Act. We are not aware of any pending claims for sanctions against us based upon a Section 5 violation and we intend to vigorously defend any such claim should it arise. However, in our financial statements, we have classified the advance payable to Mr. Vogt as subject to rescission. A rescission offer would require that we file a registration statement covering the offer and, once the registration statement has been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission, redeeming the shares of common stock and repaying the loan to Mr. Vogt. In addition, we could face possible civil penalties in an undetermined amount. This could have a significant impact on our working capital and impair our ability to continue as a going concern.

Furthermore, any claim for rescission would make it difficult for us to raise additional debt or equity financing needed to run our business, and would not be viewed favorably by analysts or investors.

Future issuances of our common stock could dilute current shareholders and adversely affect the market if it develops.

We have the authority to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of common stock and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to issue options and warrants to purchase shares of our common stock, without shareholder approval. These future issuances could be at values substantially below the price paid for our common stock by investors in this offering, which would result in significant dilution to those investors. In addition, we could issue large blocks of our common stock to fend off unwanted tender offers or hostile takeovers without further shareholder approval, which would not only result in further dilution to investors in this offering but could also depress the market value of our common stock, if a public trading market develops.

We may issue preferred stock that would have rights that are preferential to the rights of the common stock that could discourage potentially beneficial transactions to our common stockholders.

An issuance of additional shares of preferred stock could result in a class of outstanding securities that would have preferences with respect to voting rights and dividends and in liquidation over the common stock and could, upon conversion or otherwise, have all of the rights of our common stock. Our Board of Directors' authority to issue preferred stock could discourage potential takeover attempts or could delay or prevent a change in control through merger, tender offer, proxy contest or

otherwise by making these attempts more difficult or costly to achieve. The issuance of preferred stock could impair the voting, dividend and liquidation rights of common stockholders without their approval.

There is currently no market for our common shares, and investors may be unable to sell their shares for an indefinite period of time.

There is presently no market for our common shares. There is no assurance that a liquid market for our common shares will ever develop in the United States or elsewhere, or that if such a market does develop that it will continue. Accordingly, an investment in common shares of our Company should only be considered by those investors who do not require liquidity and can afford to suffer a total loss of their investment. An investor should consult with professional advisers before making such an investment.

Over-the-counter stocks are subject to risks of high volatility and price fluctuation.

We have not applied to have our shares listed on any stock exchange or on the NASDAQ Stock Market, and we do not plan to do so in the foreseeable future. As a result, if a trading market does develop for our common stock, of which there is no assurance, it is likely that our shares will trade on the over-the-counter market. The OTC market for securities has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations during certain periods. These broad market fluctuations and other factors, such as new product developments and trends in our Company's industry and the investment markets generally, as well as economic conditions and quarterly variations in our results of operations, may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and make it more difficult for investors in this offering to sell their shares.

Trading in our securities will in all likelihood be conducted on an electronic bulletin board established for securities that do not meet NASDAQ listing requirements. As a result, investors will find it substantially more difficult to dispose of our securities. Investors may also find it difficult to obtain accurate information and quotations as to the price of, our common stock.

Our stock price may be volatile and as a result, investors could lose all or part of their investment. The value of an investment could decline due to the impact of any of the following factors upon the market price of our common stock:

- * failure to meet sales and marketing goals or operating budget
- * decline in demand for our common stock
- * operating results failing to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors in any quarter
- * downward revisions in securities analysts' estimates or changes in general market conditions
- * investor perception of our Company's industry or prospects

* general economic trends

In addition, stock markets have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations and the market prices of securities have been highly volatile. These fluctuations are often unrelated to operating performance and may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. As a result, investors may be unable to resell their shares at or above the offering price.

Fluctuations in our quarterly operating results due, in part, to the seasonal nature of our business, could adversely affect the market for our common stock.

Our Company's quarterly operating results are subject to fluctuations, and if we fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors in any quarter, our share price could decline significantly. Our business is highly seasonal, with greater sales in the second and third quarters. In addition to these seasonal fluctuations, factors that may cause our operating results to vary include many of the risk factors discussed elsewhere in this prospectus, and also include:

- * the nature of a significant proportion of our operating expenses, particularly personnel and facilities.
- * prices and suppliers of raw materials are not subject to long term agreements and could change without notice.
- * the effect of employee and contractor utilization rates and the time required to train and productively engage new employees
- * changes in our pricing policies or those of our competitors
- * relationships with key distributors are not protected by long term contracts.

These factors make past performance an unreliable predictor of future performance, and the resulting uncertainty could discourage investors from purchasing our shares in the public market.

Outstanding shares that are eligible for future sale could adversely impact a public trading market for our common stock, if a public trading market develops.

All of the 2,000,000 common stock currently outstanding were offered and sold by us in private transactions in reliance upon an exemption from registration under the Securities Act. Accordingly, all of such shares are "restricted securities" as defined by Rule 144 ("Rule 144") under the Securities Act and cannot be resold without registration except in reliance on Rule 144 or another applicable exemption from registration. In general, under Rule 144 a person (or persons whose shares are required to be aggregated), including any affiliate of ours, who beneficially owns restricted shares for a period of at least one year is entitled to sell within any three month period shares equal in number to the greater of (i) one percent of the then outstanding shares of common stock or (ii) the average weekly trading volume of the same class of shares during the four calendar weeks preceding the filing of the required notice of sale with the Commission. The seller must also comply with the notice and manner of sale requirements of Rule 144, and there must be current public information available about the Company. In addition, any person (or persons whose shares are required to be aggregated) who is not, at the time of sale, nor during the preceding three months, an affiliate of the Company, and who has beneficially owned restricted shares for at least two years, can sell such shares without regard to notice, manner of sale, public information or the volume limitations described above.

Approximately 1,500,000 shares of common stock are eligible for resale under Rule 144 provided other requirements of Rule 144 are met and assuming the proposed reductions in holding period are not adopted).

Upon completion of this offering, we intend to file a registration statement on Form S-8 under the Securities Act to register shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our Equity Incentive Plan. Persons who are not affiliates, and who receive shares that are registered under this registration

statement, will be able to resell those shares in the public market without restriction under the Securities Act. This registration statement will become effective immediately upon filing.

No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future sales of restricted shares of common stock, or the availability of such common stock for sale, will have on the market price of the common stock prevailing from time to time. Sales of substantial amounts of such common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales may occur, could adversely affect the then prevailing market price of the common stock.

Risks Related to Our Business

Due to our history of operating losses our auditors are uncertain that we will be able to continue as a going concern.

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. Due to our continuing operating losses and negative cash flows from our operations, the reports of our auditors issued in connection with our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 200 5 contained an explanatory paragraph indicating that the foregoing matters raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to continue as a going concern.

All of our assets have been pledged as collateral to secure the repayment of loans to third parties, three of whom are related parties. If we default in any of those loans, our assets would be subject to risk of forfeiture.

All of our assets have been pledged as security to third parties, including three related parties: Power Curve, Inc., a company controlled by John C. Power; John Power individually, and Lone Oak Vineyards, Inc., a company controlled by Brian Power, for the repayment of loans. If we are unable to pay any of those debts in a timely fashion or otherwise breach any of the terms of the loans or security agreements, our assets would be subject to foreclosure by the lender. Should foreclosure occur, it is likely that we would be forced to discontinue operations and our interest in the assets could be forfeited.

We do not yet have a history of earnings, profit or return on investment and there is no assurance that we will operate profitably or provide a return on investment in the future.

We have never been profitable, we expect to incur net losses for the foreseeable future and we may never be profitable. We incurred a consolidated net loss of \$(127,785) for the fiscal year ended December 31, 200 5 and a consolidated loss of \$(134,425) for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Our trademarks, including the name Mt. Shasta Ale, and other intellectual property rights do not provide us with protection against competition. In addition, we have agreed not to seek federal trademark registration for the name Mt. Shasta Ale, and as a result do not believe that we can successfully develop a strong trademark for that brand.

We do not claim intellectual property rights and do not believe that patents and copyrights can protect the recipes and formulas that we use in developing and manufacturing our craft beers. While we try to protect them as trade secrets through agreements with our employees, those agreements may not provide adequate protection against use by others.

We rely heavily on developing brand recognition for our products and claim common law trademark protection for all of our brands. We have applied for and been granted a federal registration for the trademark Golden West Brewing and a State of California registration for the trademark Revolution X. However, we cannot be assured that these registrations will successfully preclude others from using the mark.

By assignment, we had acquired rights to Intent to Use trademark application covering the trademark "Mount Shasta Ale." The original applicant under that ITU had been involved in litigation with a third party concerning claims of infringement with respect to the use of that mark. That litigation has been settled under an agreement that will permit us to continue to use the trademark "Mount Shasta Ale." However, in the interim, we have received notification from Shasta Beverage Company that if we pursue our trademark registration for "Mount Shasta Ale," they will oppose the application (but not our unregistered use of the mark). As a result, we have abandoned our ITU application for the mark; but will continue to use the mark under a claim of common law trademark protection. However, given the foregoing history, we do not believe that we will be able to develop a strong trademark using the name "Mount Shasta Ale" and there exists serious doubt if we could successfully defend the use of the mark.

We do not believe that intellectual property rights, including trademark and copyright laws form a basis for significant competitive advantage or protect us from intense competition.

We could become involved in costly and disruptive litigation related to our use of trademarks for our products, which could result in adverse judgments against us.

Subject to the uncertainties surrounding our use of the mark "Mount Shasta Ale" described above, we are not aware that any of our products or other intellectual property infringe upon the proprietary rights of third parties. However, there can be no assurance that third parties will not claim infringement by us with respect to current or future products. Furthermore, we may initiate claims or litigation against third parties for infringement of our proprietary rights, or for purposes of establishing the validity of our proprietary rights. Litigation, either as plaintiff or defendant, could cause us to incur substantial costs and divert management resources from productive tasks whether or not such litigation is resolved in our favor, which would impair our limited working capital and interfere with our ability to increase our marketing and sales. Parties making claims against us could seek to recover substantial damages, which we may not have the resources to pay as well as injunctive or other equitable relief, which could effectively block our ability to

Edgar Filing: Golden Wes	st Brewing Company	, Inc Form POS AM	
sell or license our products.			
	10		
	12		

We owe significant amounts to our creditors, including taxes to federal and state taxing authorities, a portion of which are delinquent. Taxing authorities could shut down our business and foreclose on our assets for non-payment of delinquent taxes. We will continue to need significant capital, without which our business may fail.

At March 31, 2006, we owed approximately \$ 138,463 in taxes to the IRS, TTB and State of California and local taxing authorities and in excess of \$651,832 in current liabilities to various persons, including, vendors, our officers and directors and other related parties. Of our outstanding taxes payable, approximately \$ 122,701 are considered delinquent. The taxing authorities to whom we owe unpaid delinquent assessments could pre-emptively shut down our operations for non-payment and foreclose on our assets to collect the taxes. Such an action would likely result in a total business failure. We have targeted the payment of these taxes as our highest priority for the use of offering proceeds, which may be insufficient to pay all of the delinquency. An additional portion of the proceeds of the offering will be used to pay in part some of our creditors and it is possible that additional proceeds of this offering will be needed to pay some of those creditors since we have no other assured source of funds. We are dependent on and intend to use virtually all of the net proceeds of this offering for debt repayment and for working capital. We estimate that we will need approximately \$250,000 to provide necessary working capital over the next 12 months. The proceeds received from this offering may not be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements. The proceeds from only the minimum offering would enable us to operate for only three months without additional funds. We do not have any commitments for any other funds outside this offering and there can be no assurance that additional funds will be available on acceptable terms, if at all. We do not have any agreements with our creditors, including our officers and directors, concerning payment of our liabilities and if we are unable to continue in business we would be required to pay those obligations before any payment could be made to any shareholder, including investors in this offering. Investors should be aware that there is a substantial risk that they could lose the full amount of their investment in our securities.

If we are unable to increase our production volume, we may not be able to achieve break-even or profitable operations.

Our current production rate is approximately 6,000 barrels per year. We estimate that we will need to increase production volume to at least 7,500 barrels per year in order to achieve break-even operating results. To increase production, we will need to purchase additional brewing equipment, which will require additional working capital. There can be no assurance that the proceeds of this offering will be sufficient to enable us to increase our production capacity to reach break-even or profitable operations.

If we are unable to expand our product distribution, we may not be able to achieve break-even operation results.

Our direct distributions have historically been concentrated in Northern California. Our direct sales in Northern California accounted for 30.8 % of 200 5 sales and 29.2 % of sales through March 31, 2006 .. We also have third-party distributor sales in Northern California, as well as in 18 other states; however, those sales are sporadic, unpredictable and difficult to manage. There is no assurance that consumers in new geographic markets will be

receptive to our products. We believe that Northern California is likely to continue to be the largest market for our brands, and that regional identification may assist our competitors in other regions. Penetration into other regional markets is an important element of our growth plan, and failure to accomplish this

objective will hinder the success of the expansion plan which is necessary to achieve break-even operations.

We rely heavily upon independent distributors to market our product. Those distributors also market other alcoholic beverages, including other craft beers that are competitive with ours. As a result, distributors over whom we exercise little control can significantly influence the degree to which retailers and consumers buy our products instead of products of competitive microbreweries.

We distribute our products through a network of independent import distributors for resale to retailers such as restaurants, taverns, and bars as well as to local distributors. Accordingly, we are dependent upon these distributors to sell our beer and to assist us in creating demand for, and promoting market acceptance of our products. We also depend upon them to provide adequate service to our retail customers. There can be no assurance that our distributors will devote the resources necessary to provide effective sales and promotional support to us.

Our most significant distributor, Mountain People's Warehouse, accounted for approximately 19.9 % of our shipments in the year ended December 31, 200 5 and 22.3 % for the three months ended March 31, 2006 .. A retailer, Ray's is the Place, was responsible for 5.7 % of sales in 200 5 and 1.8 % for the three months ended March 31, 2006 .. A disruption of our distributors or wholesalers' or the loss of a significant customer, or the termination by any major distributor could have a material adverse impact on our sales and results of operations.

The distributors that we rely upon also market competing imported and domestic craft beers. Although by law distributors are independent of any brewer, a distributor can be controlled if it relies on one or two large brewers who account for the majority of its sales. We have no formal long term written distribution agreements with our distributors; and most distribution arrangements can be terminated by the distributor on 30 days notice. A down-turn in the performance or loss of a single distributor can have a material adverse impact on sales and, as a result, on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Aging of inventories may result in material losses in the future.

We do not use preservatives in our products, and accordingly the packaged beer has a shelf life of approximately 120 days from the release date. Our policy is to sell product to distributors with sufficient remaining shelf life to ensure that the beer will be fresh when sold to the consumer. Product that remains unsold after 120 days is returned to us for destruction or other disposition. If and to the extent that near-term sales projections exceed actual performance and result in material excess packaged beer inventories, we may experience inventory write-downs, spoilage and associated losses.

Our compliance with governmental regulation of environmental matters could pose additional expenses on our business.

The manufacture and sale of alcoholic beverages is regulated by both federal and state authorities. We have obtained and currently maintain all required federal and state permits, licenses and bonds required to operate our brewery. In addition, our brewery is subject to regulation by the water pollution control divisions of the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the State of California. Although we believe that we are in full compliance with all applicable environmental

regulations, there can be no assurance that future changes in those regulations may require us to alter our method of operations or install fixtures and equipment with associated delays and increased costs.

Our waste products consist of water, spent grains, hops, glass and cardboard. Disposal of our waste, including sewer discharge from the brewery, is monitored by local governmental agencies. While we believe we currently comply with all governmental regulations, if we fail to comply with applicable standards for such disposal, fines could be levied and our business operations suspended until we achieve compliance.

Possible increases in excise taxes could adversely affect our business.

Alcoholic beverages are subject to substantial federal and state excise taxes. The federal rate of taxation increases from \$7.00 per bbl. to \$18.00 per bbl. for annual production in excess of 60,000 bbl. Our current production rate is 4,000 bbl per year. The State of California imposes an excise tax of \$6.20 per bbl. Alcoholic beverages have in recent years been targets of attempts to increase so-called "sin taxes." If excise taxes are increased, we could have to raise prices to maintain profit margins. Historically, price increases due to additional excise taxes have not reduced unit sales, but past experience does not necessarily indicate future effects, and the actual effect is likely to depend on the amount of the increase, general economic conditions, and other factors. The occurrence of significant tax increases could require us to increase our prices, which could result in a loss of sales, or erode our margins, which would make it more difficult to achieve profitability.

Operating hazards related to our business could result in liability risks in excess of our insurance.

Our operations are subject to certain hazards and liability risks faced by all brewers, such as bottle flaws or potential contamination of ingredients or products by bacteria or other external agents that may be accidentally or wrongfully introduced into products or packaging. Our products are not pasteurized, irradiated or chemically treated and require careful product rotation to prevent spoilage. However, neither spoiled beer nor the bacteria introduced in the brewing process is known to be harmful to human health. We run periodic diagnostic tests on all of our products to assure that they meet our quality control guidelines and comply with federal and state regulatory requirements. While we have not experienced a serious contamination problem in our products, the occurrence of such a problem could result in a costly product recall and serious damage to our reputation for product quality. Our operations are also subject to certain injury and liability risks normally associated with the operation and possible malfunction of brewing and packaging equipment. We currently maintain general liability insurance, which includes liquor liability coverage, currently limited to \$1,000,000 per occurrence and \$2,000,000 in the aggregate annually. While we believe these general liability insurance limits are adequate, there can be no assurance that future claims may not exceed those limits. Further, future increases in premiums could make it prohibitive for us to maintain adequate insurance. A large uninsured or underinsured damage award could force us to discontinue operations.

Shifting public attitudes toward alcohol consumption may impact revenues.

The alcoholic beverage industry has become the subject of considerable societal and political attention in recent years due to increasing public concern over alcohol-related social problems including drunk driving, underage drinking, and health consequences from the misuse of alcohol, including alcoholism. In addition, a number of anti-alcohol groups are advocating increased governmental action on a variety of fronts unfavorable to the beer industry, including the legislation of new labeling

or packaging requirements and restrictions on advertising and promotion that could adversely affect the sale of our products. If beer consumption in general were to come into disfavor among domestic consumers, or if the domestic beer industry were subjected to significant additional governmental regulations, our business could be materially adversely affected. In addition, there can be no assurance that the operations of our brewery will not become subject to increased taxation by federal or state agencies, which may materially and adversely affect our operations, revenues and potential profitability. Congress and many state legislatures are considering various proposals to impose additional excise taxes on the production and sale of alcoholic beverages, including beer. Some of the excise tax rates being considered are substantial. Restrictions on the sale and consumption of beer or increases in the retail cost of beer due to increased governmental regulations, taxes or otherwise, could require us to either increase prices, which would dampen sales, or erode our margins, which would make it more difficult to achieve profitability.

We have only one contract with a supplier of hops and grain. Supply shortages could adversely affect our business.

Shortages or increased costs of fuel, water, raw materials or power, or allocations by suppliers could restrict the operations of our brewery. We have only one contract for our hops and grains, so our supply channels could be disrupted without notice.

While we attempt to use organic hops wherever possible, many forms of hops are not available organically. In the United States, only one type of hops is organically grown. All other organically-grown hops must be purchased from foreign sources, and those quantities are limited. As a result, the limited supply of organic hops limits our possible production of 100% organic microbrews. This limited supply impairs our ability to exploit our competitive advantage over non-organic microbrewers. In addition, if we experience difficulty or inability to acquire the particular hops needed for a production run, as we experienced in the summer of 2005, we may be forced to curtail production and lose potential revenues. All of these factors increase the risk that we will not be able to achieve profitable operations.

Our directors, executive officers and key employees lack significant brewing experience or experience running brewing operations.

None of our executive officers or directors has any significant experience running brewing operations or other brewing experience. We rely on the services of our general manager and brewer, each of whom is an at will employee and may voluntarily terminate his employment at any time. Should we lose the services of either of these individuals, we may not be able to retain a qualified replacement in a timely manner, which could disrupt production and sales.

Determination Of Offering Price

The offering price of the shares being offered hereby was arbitrarily determined by us and is not necessarily related to our assets, book value or financial condition. In determining the offering price and the number of shares to be offered, we considered such factors as our financial condition, our net tangible book value, limited operating history and general condition of the securities market. Accordingly, the offering price of the shares may not indicate the actual value of our securities.

There currently exists no public trading market for our common stock, and we cannot assure you that such a market will develop in the future. In the absence of an active public trading market, an investor may not be able to liquidate his investment without considerable delay, if at all. If a market does develop, the price for our securities may be highly volatile and may bear no relationship to our actual financial condition or results of operation.

If our securities are not quoted on the OTC Electronic Bulletin Board, they may be quoted in the "pink sheets" maintained by the Pink Sheets, LLC, which reports quotations by brokers or dealers making a market in particular securities. We have no agreement with any other broker or dealer to act as a market maker for our securities and there is no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining any market makers. The lack of a market maker for our securities could adversely influence the market for and price of our securities, as well as your ability to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the price of, our securities.

Use of Proceeds

The net proceeds to us from the sale of the shares after deducting offering expenses, are expected to be approximately \$50,000 if the minimum number of 400,000 shares are sold at an offering price of \$.50 per share, \$200,000 if the median number of 700,000 shares or sold, or \$350,000 if the maximum number of 1,000,000 shares are sold.

These proceeds are intended to be utilized substantially in the dollar amounts and percentage of total proceeds set forth below.

Application of	Minimu	<u>m_%</u>	<u>Median</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Maximum</u>	<u>%</u>
proceeds						
Repayment of debt	\$	100%	\$150,000	75%	\$200,000	57%
	50,000)				
Working capital	\$	0%	\$ 50,000	25%	\$150,000	43%
	()				

"Repayment of Debt." Will consist of payments of delinquent accounts payable of Butte Creek assumed in the acquisition, including payroll and other taxes to the IRS (with interest accruing at 18%), California Employment Development Department Taxes (with interest accruing at 8%) and fees owed to the TTB and California Alcohol Beverage Control (with interest accruing at 6%) and the California Board of Equalization (with interest at 8%), California Department of Conservation (with interest at 8%), Franchise Tax Board and Butte County in the aggregate amount of approximately \$1.38,000 at March 31, 2006.. Repayment of these accrued taxes will be our highest priority. Other accounts payable will be paid on a most urgent basis, in all likelihood to vendors and suppliers, including hops, grain and glass suppliers, the determination of which will be made at the time of payment. If all accrued taxes have otherwise been paid out of operating revenues at the conclusion of the offering, and offering proceeds are not, in the judgment of management, needed to pay vendors and suppliers, we may also repay to two of our directors, Mr. J. Andrew Moorer and Mr. John Power \$25,000 in partial repayment of advances they have extended to the Company.

"Working capital" includes costs associated with the following expenditures necessary for our ongoing operations, including:

- Rent (10%)
- Utilities (10%)
- Employee salaries and benefits (30%)
- Marketing expansion, including engaging new distributors in new territories and marketing materials (25%)

- Inventory expansion (25%)

No amounts allocated to working capital will be paid to our officers or directors.

In the past, we have experienced a chronic shortage of working capital. We have and will continue to adopt a policy of paying the most critical operating expenses and accounts payable to avoid an interruption of operations.

Pending our use of the net proceeds of the offering, the funds will be invested temporarily in certificates of deposit, short-term government securities, or similar investments. Any income from these short-term investments will be used for working capital.

18

The net proceeds from this offering, together with internally generated funds and funds on hand at the time of the offering, based on historical experience, are expected to be adequate to fund our working capital needs for at least the next three months if only the minimum proceeds are received, or for the next nine months if the maximum proceeds are received. We may need to seek funds through loans or other financing arrangements in the future, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to make these arrangements in the future should the need arise.

Dividend Policy

We have not declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock in the preceding two fiscal years. We currently intend to retain all future earnings, if any, to fund the operation of our business, and, therefore, do not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future. Our Board of Directors will determine whether any cash dividends will be declared in the future.

Capitalization

The following table sets forth our capitalization as of March 31, 2006 .. This section should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and pro forma financial information and related notes contained elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Unadjusted	As of Marc	<u>h 31, 2006</u> diusted ⁽¹⁾
		<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
Stockholders' Equity:			
Common Stock, \$.0001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized; 2,00 0,000 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2006; 2, 40 0,000 shares			
issued and outstanding, as adjusted, assuming the minimum number of shares are sold; 3,00 0,000 shares issued and outstanding, as adjusted, assuming the maximum number of shares are sold ⁽¹⁾	200	240	300
Preferred Stock, \$.0001 par value,			
5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2006		-	-
Capital in excess of par value	449,800	499,760	799,500
Accumulated (deficit)	(190,775)	(190,775)	_ (190,775)
Stockholders equity	259,225	309,225	609,025

⁽¹⁾ Does not include up to 500,000 shares reserved for issuance pursuant to the Company's 2004 Equity Incentive Plan.

(2)	Assumes the sale of the minimum offering of 400,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$50,000.
(3)	Assumes the sale of the maximum offering of 1,000,000 shares of common stock for net proceeds of \$350,000.
	21

Dilution

At March 31, 2006, we had a historical net tangible book value deficit of \$(390,363) or \$(.20) per share, based upon 2,00 0,000 shares of common stock outstanding. Pro forma net tangible book value per share is determined by dividing the number of outstanding shares of common stock into our pro forma net tangible book value, meaning total assets less intangible assets less total liabilities, and then subtracting capitalized offering costs.

If we sell all 1,000,000 shares that we are offering, of which there is no assurance, the adjusted net tangible book value as of March 31, 2006, would have been \$1,09,637 or \$.0.4 per share of common stock, based upon 3,00 0,000 shares outstanding. This represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$.24 per share to current stockholders and an immediate decrease of \$.4.6 per share to you as an investor in our offering. To the extent fewer shares are sold in the offering, the dilution to investors will be greater.

If we sell the median of 700,000 shares, of which there is no assurance, the adjusted net tangible book value as of March 31, 2006, would have been \$ (40,363), or \$ (..02) per share of common stock, based upon 2, 70,000 shares outstanding. This represents and immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$.18 per share to current stockholders and an immediate decrease of \$.52 per share to you as an investor in our offering.

If we sell the minimum of 400,000 shares, of which there is no assurance, the adjusted net tangible book value deficit as of March 31, 2006, would have been \$(190,363) or \$(.08) per share of common stock, based upon 2, 40 0,000 shares outstanding. This represents an immediate increase in net tangible book value of \$.12 per share to current stockholders and an immediate decrease of \$.58 per share to you as an investor in our offering.

The following table illustrates the per share dilution, assuming (i) 400,000 shares are sold in our offering; (ii) 700,000 are sold and (iii) all 1,000,000 shares are sold:

	<u>Minimum</u>	Median	Maximum
Public offering price per share of common stock	\$.50	\$.50	\$.50
Pro forma net book value deficit per share of common stock before offering	(. 20)	(. 20)	(. 20)
Increase per share of common stock attributable to present	.12	.1 8	.24

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

stockholders

Decrease per share of common stock attributable to new investors	.5 8	.5 2	.4 6
Dilution per share as a percent	116 %	10 4 %	92 %

These numbers do not include up to 500,000 shares reserved for issuance pursuant to our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. There are currently no outstanding options or other rights to purchase shares of common stock under the Plan.

The following table sets forth, as of March 31, 2006 , the number of shares of common stock that have been purchased, or that may be purchased under outstanding options by affiliated shareholders only, assuming for this purpose that all such options have been exercised, the percentage of total consideration paid, and the average price per share paid by (i) our officers, directors, promoters, and affiliated persons (ii) all present shareholders; and (iii) investors purchasing shares in this offering.

Assuming 400,000 shares are sold:

					<u>Average</u>
	Shares Pur	chased	Total Cons	ideration	<u>Price</u>
	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Percent	Per Share
A f f i l i a t e d shareholders	868,000	36.2%	\$167,000	27.2%	\$0.19
All present shareholders	2,000,000	83.3%	\$410,000	67.2%	\$0.20
New investors	400,000	16.7%	\$200,000	32.8%	<u>\$0.50</u>
Total	2,400,000	100.0%	\$610,000	100.0%	\$0.25

Assuming 700,000 shares are sold:

					<u>Average</u>
	Shares Pur	chased	Total Cons	<u>ideration</u>	<u>Price</u>
	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>	Amount	<u>Percent</u>	Per Share
A f f i l i a t e d shareholders	868,000	32.1%	\$167,000	22.0%	\$0.19
All present shareholders	2,000,000	74.1%	\$410,000	54.0%	\$0.20
New investors	<u>700,000</u>	<u>25.9%</u>	<u>\$350,000</u>	46.0%	<u>\$0.50</u>
Total	2,700,000	100%	\$760,000	\$100%	\$0.28

Assuming 1,000,000 shares are sold:

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

					<u>Average</u>
	Shares Pur	chased	Total Cons	sideration	<u>Price</u>
	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Percent</u>	Per Share
A f f i l i a t e d shareholders	868,000	28.9%	\$167,000	18.4%	\$0.19
All present shareholders	2,000,000	66.7%	\$410,000	45.1%	\$0.20
New investors	<u>1,000,000</u>	33.3%	\$500,000	<u>54.8%</u>	<u>\$0.50</u>
Total	3,000,000	100.0%	\$910,000	100.0%	\$0.30

Information about the Market for Our Securities

There currently exists no public trading market for our securities. We do not intend to develop a public trading market until our offering has terminated. There can be no assurance that a public trading market will develop at that time or be sustained in the future. Without an active public trading market, you may not be able to liquidate your investment without considerable delay, if at all. If a market does develop, the price for our securities may be highly volatile and may bear no relationship to our actual financial condition or results of operations. Factors we discuss in this prospectus, including the many risks associated with an investment in us, may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock. Also, because of the relatively low price of our common stock, many brokerage firms may not effect transactions in the common stock.

In addition, it is likely that our common stock will be subject to rules adopted by the Commission regulating broker dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks." Those disclosure rules applicable to penny stocks require a broker dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the Commission. That disclosure document advises an investor that investment in penny stocks can be very risky and that the investor's salesperson or broker is not an impartial advisor but rather paid to sell the shares. The disclosure contains further warnings for the investor to exercise caution in connection with an investment in penny stocks, to independently investigate the security, as well as the salesperson with whom the investor is working and to understand the risky nature of an investment in this security. The broker dealer must also provide the customer with certain other information and must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. Further, the rules require that, following the proposed transaction, the broker provide the customer with monthly account statements containing market information about the prices of the securities.

Safe Harbor for Forward-looking Statements

In General

This prospectus contains statements that plan for or anticipate the future. In this prospectus, forward-looking statements are generally identified by the words "anticipate," "plan," "believe," "expect," "estimate," and the like. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding the following:

- * our product and marketing plans
- * consulting and strategic business relationships;
- * statements about our future business plans and strategies;
- * anticipated operating results and sources of future revenue;
- our organization's growth;
- * adequacy of our financial resources;
- * development of new products and markets;
- * competitive pressures;
- * changing economic conditions;
- * expectations regarding competition from other companies; and
- * our ability to manufacture and distribute our products.

Although we believe that any forward-looking statements we make in this prospectus are reasonable, because forward-looking statements involve future risks and uncertainties, there are factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied. For example, a few of the uncertainties that could affect the accuracy of forward-looking statements, besides the specific factors identified above in the Risk Factors section of this prospectus, include:

- * changes in general economic and business conditions affecting the craft/microbrew industries;
- * developments that make our beers less competitive;
- * changes in our business strategies;
- * the level of demand for our products; and

In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements made in this prospectus, particularly in view of our early stage of operations, the inclusion of this information

should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives and plans will be achieved.

The safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to forward looking statements contained in this prospectus are not available and do not apply to us.

26

Selected Financial Data

We have set forth below certain selected financial data. .. The information has been derived from the financial statements, financial information and notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Pro Forma Statement of Operations Data:	Three Months Ended March 31		Fiscal Year Ended December 31,
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	2005
Total Revenues	\$ 194,900	\$ 164,293	\$ 865,878
Cost of Sales	126,611	104,158	573,740
Operating expenses	179,862	119,123	540,914
Other expense	(12,832)	(5,899)	(43,615)
Net loss	\$(134,425) \$	§ <u>(74,401)</u>	\$ (338,879)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$(134,425)	\$ (74,401)	\$ (338,878)
Basic and diluted loss per share		\$ (.04)	\$ (.19)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per share		1,820,000	2,000,000

Statement of Operations	Three Months Ended		Fiscal Year	Ended
Data:	<u>March</u>	31,	<u>Decembe</u>	<u>r 31.</u>
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
			_	
Total Revenues	\$194,900	\$ -	\$ 321,895	\$ -
Cost of Sales	126,611	-	205,565	-
Operating expenses	179,862	9,461	215,867	62,543
Other expense	(12,832)	-	(11,740)	-
Net loss	(134,425)	(9,461)	(127,785)	(62,543)

Net loss applicable to	(134,425)	(9,461)	(127,785)	(62,543)
common				
stockholders				
Basic and diluted loss per	(0.07)	(.01)	(0.08)	(.07)
share				
Shares used in computing				
basic	2,000,000		1,691,666	
and diluted loss per		1,500,000		865,513
share				

At March 31, 2006

Balance Sheet Data:	<u>Adjusted</u>		
	<u>Unadjusted</u>	Minimum ⁽¹⁾	Maximum ⁽²⁾
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (377,838)	\$	\$ (77,838)
		(377,838)	
Total assets	1,207,952	1,257,952	1,557,952
Total Liabilities	1,083,152	1,083,152	1,083,152
Stockholders' equity	\$ 124,800	\$ 174,800	\$ 474,800

Edgar	Filina:	Golden	West	Brewing	Company,	Inc.	- Form	POS	AM
- 3				- 0],	_	_		

(1) Adjusted to reflect net proceeds of \$50,000 from our assumed sale in this offering of 400,000 shares at an offering price of \$.50 per share.
Adjusted to reflect net proceeds of \$350,000 from our assumed sale in this offering of 1,000,000 shares at an offering price of \$.50 per share.
28

Management's Discussion And Analysis Or Plan Of Operation

Plan of Operations

Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. (the "Company" or "Golden West") was formed in December 2003 to acquire substantially all of the business assets of Butte Creek Brewing Company, LLC ("Butte Creek" or "Butte"). We are currently a holding company for our wholly-owned subsidiary Golden West Brewing Company, a California corporation, which acquired the assets and certain liabilities of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005. Butte Creek has been operating as a craft brewery in Chico, California since 1996. It specializes in brewing certified organic craft beers. Upon completion of the proposed initial public offering, we hope to increase our production, increase sales and reduce our operating losses, although there is no assurance that we can achieve these goals. In 2005, we doubled our production capacity from 4,000 barrels per year to approximately 8,000 barrels per year with the acquisition of two 80 barrel fermentation tanks and one 80 barrel conditioning or "brite" tank. We still face operational challenges as our sales and production levels increase. The following are the key issues and challenges facing the Company:

- * Sales .. We believe that our minimum level of sales for our operating subsidiary, Butte Creek, to break-even is \$100,000 per month. Butte Creek has only achieved this level of sales during a month once in its history. It is critical for us to improve our sales so that we can achieve at least a break-even operating level. There is no assurance that we will be able to achieve this level of sales, or if we achieve it, that we will be able to maintain it. Our business plan is to (a) introduce new products (b) add new sales territories and (c) increase our penetration in existing territories.
- * Increase Gross Profit Margin .. In addition, our gross profit margin must be increased to at least 35% of sales. Our plan is to take advantage of our increased production capacity and increase our production which we believe will lower our average cost per barrel of beer produced. Also, we are trying to improve our product mix with higher margin products. Finally, we raised our prices in early 2006 and believe they will need to be raised again in January 2007. There is no assurance that we will be successful in implementing our plan to increase our gross profit margin.
- * Control Selling, General & Administrative Expenses .. In addition to raising sales, we must control our expenditures to achieve a break-even operating level. We have taken steps to reduce our monthly operating expenses by reducing our employee head-count.
- * Working Capital Shortage .. Our history of working capital deficiencies make it difficult to build finished inventory. We owe delinquent taxes to several Federal and State agencies. In addition, we have increased our production capacity and launched new products that will require increased levels of inventory.
- * Lack of Marketing Materials .. We have very limited marketing budgets and are not competitive with other breweries of our size in the amount and quality of marketing materials needed to support our

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM distribution network.

- * <u>Continued Operating Losses</u> .. Our history of operating losses makes it difficult to raise capital for our working capital needs.
- * Lack of Inventory Controls .. We need to improve our control and management of our finished inventory to reduce the amount of shrinkage we have experienced due, we believe, to unsupervised employees. We do not believe our lack of inventory control has materially impacted our business. We conduct physical inventories on a monthly basis and recently upgraded our accounting software to improve our inventory control. If these measures do not provide improved inventory controls, we would expect our margins to erode and our sales to decline.

Both Golden West and Butte Creek have sustained losses from operations. Golden West has a working capital deficit which raise substantial doubts about their ability to continue as a going concern. Our audited financial statements have received going concern qualifications from our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

The following discussion and analysis is as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 and should be read in conjunction with the Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. financial statements and Notes thereto. We were a development stage entity prior to our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.

Possible Section 5 Violation

It is possible that it may be determined that we violated Section 5 of the Securities Act. Section 5 of the Securities Act prohibits the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell a security unless a registration statement is in effect as to such security. Section 5(c) of the Securities Act prohibits the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy a security unless a registration statement has been filed as to such security.

The transaction that may have caused such a violation of Section 5 is as follows: In December, 2004, we made the initial filing of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part. In June 2005, an unaffiliated third-party, Bob Vogt, loaned us the sum of \$10,000. The loan was unsecured and undocumented. It was our intention to repay the loan in a short period of time; however, we were unable to do so due to our lack of working capital. In December 2005, in an effort to improve our balance sheet, we offered Mr. Vogt an opportunity to convert his \$10,000 loan into shares of our common stock. In December 2005, we effected the conversion of Mr. Vogt's loan into shares of our common stock in a transaction in which we relied upon an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act contained in Section 4(2), which exempts transactions not involving a public offering.

Under the principals of integration, two or more offerings of securities may be integrated and deemed to be one offering under certain circumstances. Factors considered in determining whether offers and sales of securities should be integrated are:

- * Whether the sales are part of a single plan of financing;
- * Whether the sales involve the issuance of the same class of securities;
- * Whether the sales have been made at or about the same time;

- * Whether the same type of consideration is being received; and,
- * Whether the sales were made for the same general purpose.

If it were to be determined that the conversion of Mr. Vogt's note payable into shares of common stock is integrated with the offering covered by the registration statement and this prospectus, then we could not rely upon the exemption contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act for the Vogt conversion, and as a result, it may be determined that the conversion of the Vogt loan into shares of common stock constituted a violation of Section 5 of the Securities Act. If this were to occur, we would become subject to remedial actions, which would include the payment of disgorgement, pre-judgment interest and civil or criminal penalties pursuant to Sections 12(a)(1), 8A and 24 of the Securities Act. We are not aware of any pending claims for sanctions against us based upon a Section 5 violation and we intend to vigorously defend any such claim should it arise. However, in our financial statements, we have classified the advance payable to Mr. Vogt as subject to rescission. A rescission offer would require that we file a registration statement covering the offer and, once the registration statement has been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission, redeeming the shares of common stock and repaying the loan to Mr. Vogt. In addition, we could face possible civil penalties in an undetermined amount. This could have a significant impact on our working capital and impair our ability to continue as a going concern.

Furthermore, any claim for rescission would make it difficult for us to raise additional debt or equity financing needed to run our business, and would not be viewed favorably by analysts or investors.

Critical Accounting Policies And Estimates

In the ordinary course of business, we have made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of results of operations and financial condition in the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that the following discussion addresses our most critical accounting policies, which are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results. We constantly re-evaluate these significant factors and make adjustments where facts and circumstances dictate.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. The actual results could differ from those estimates. Our financial statements are based upon a number of significant estimates, the allowance for doubtful accounts, obsolescence of inventories and the estimated useful lives selected for property and equipment. Due to the uncertainties inherent in the estimation process, it is at least reasonably possible that the estimates for these items could be further revised in the near term and such revisions could be material.

Overview - Factors Affecting Results of Operations

Sales in the craft beer industry generally reflect a degree of seasonality, with the first and fourth quarters historically being the slowest and the rest of the year typically demonstrating stronger sales. We have historically operated with little or no backlog and, therefore, our ability to predict sales for future periods is limited.

Our sales are affected by several factors, including consumer demand, price discounting and competitive considerations. We compete in the craft brewing market as well as in the much larger specialty beer market, which encompasses producers of import beers, major national brewers that produce fuller-flavored products, and large spirit companies and national brewers that produce flavored alcohol beverages. Beyond the beer market, craft brewers also face competition from producers of wines and spirits. The craft beer segment is highly competitive due to the proliferation of small craft brewers, including contract brewers, and the large number of products offered by such brewers. Imported products from foreign brewers have enjoyed resurgence in demand since the mid-1990s. Certain national domestic brewers have also sought to appeal to this growing demand for craft beers by producing their own fuller-flavored products. In 2001 and 2002, the specialty segment saw the introduction of flavored alcohol beverages, the consumers of which, industry sources generally believe, correlate closely with the consumers of the import and craft beer products. While sales of flavored alcohol beverages were initially very strong, these growth rates slowed in 2003 and 2004. The wine and spirits market has experienced a surge in the past several years, attributable to competitive pricing, increased merchandising, and increased consumer interest in spirits. Because the number of participants and number of different products offered in this segment have increased significantly in the past ten years, the competition for bottled product placements and especially for draft beer placements has intensified.

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

Operating Results

For the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2005:

SALES. Net sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 were \$184,880 compared to \$0.00 in the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was due to our acquisition of Butte Creek which occurred on August 31, 2005. Our sales by segment consisted of:

Case Beer Sales 87.9%

Draft Beer Sales 8.7%

Contract Brewing 3.4%

COST OF GOODS SOLD. Cost of goods sold for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was \$126,611 or 68.5% of net sales. There was no comparison to fiscal 2005 as we were still a development stage company in the corresponding period. Our cost of goods sold for each segment was:

Case Beer Sales 64.3%

Draft Beer Sales 44.5%

Contract Brewing 78.4%

In addition we had \$2,315 in shipping costs that were not allocated to any segment but was part of our cost of goods sold.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profit for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was \$58,269 or 31.5% of net sales. Our goal is to increase our gross profit to at least 35% of net sales. There was no comparison to fiscal 2005 as we were still a development stage company in the corresponding period.

OPERATING EXPENSES. Total operating expenses increased \$170,401 or 1800% to \$179,862 for of the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$9,461 in the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to our acquisition of Butte Creek in 2005. In addition, we had \$36,828 in legal and accounting costs that were expensed in the quarter related to our self-underwritten public offering that commenced on February 14, 2006.

Components of operating expenses were:

- * Depreciation & Amortization expense was \$7,824 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The increase was the result of tangible and intangible assets acquired from Butte Creek on August 31, 2005 and additional brewing equipment acquired in 2005. We did not own any assets in the first quarter of 2005 that were subject to depreciation or amortization.
- * Management compensation was \$19,807 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to zero in the corresponding quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was solely related to our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.
- * Rent expense was \$9,450 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to zero in the corresponding quarter in 2005. The increase was the rent paid for our Chico, California brewery during the period which we did not operate until our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.
- * <u>Selling expense</u> was \$23,726 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to zero in the prior fiscal year. The increase was due to our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.

*

Outside Sales Compensation was \$23,254 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to zero in the prior fiscal year. The increase was the result of purchase of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.

* Other General & Administrative Operating Expenses increased \$51,428 or 1,840% for quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$2,795 for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. The increase was a result of our acquisition of Butte Creek in 2005. This categories primary components are insurance, payroll and payroll related expenses.

OPERATING LOSS. The operating loss for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 increased \$112,132 or 1185% to \$121,593 from \$9,461 compared to the corresponding quarter ended March 31, 2005 as a result of our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005. In addition, we have incurred substantial legal and accounting expense in the quarter related to our pending public offering.

OTHER INCOME & EXPENSE. Total other expense was \$12,832 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to zero for the corresponding quarter ended March 31, 2005. The primary component was interest expense of \$13,201 related to debt that was incurred as part of the Butte Creek acquisition on August 31, 2005.

NET LOSS. Net loss increased \$124,964 or 1320% to \$134,425 for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 compared to \$9,461 for the corresponding period of 2005. The increase in our net loss was a result of the operating losses incurred by Butte Creek in the first quarter of 2006. We did not own Butte Creek in the first quarter of 2005. In addition, we incurred \$36,828 in legal and accounting costs during the quarter related to our pending self-underwritten public offering.

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

Operating Results

For the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2004:

SALES Net sales for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 were \$305,387 compared to \$0.00 in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was due to our acquisition of Butte Creek which occurred on August 31, 2005.

COST OF GOODS SOLD Cost of goods sold for fiscal 2005 was \$205,565 or 67% of net sales. There was no comparison to fiscal 2004 as we were still a development stage company in the corresponding period.

GROSS PROFIT Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$99,822 or 33% of net sales. There was no comparison to fiscal 2004 as we were still a development stage company in the corresponding period.

OPERATING EXPENSES Total operating expenses increased \$153,324 or 245% to \$215,867 for of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$62,543 in the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was primarily due to our acquisition of Butte Creek for four months of the period and lower legal fees compared to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. Components of operating

expenses were:

- * <u>Depreciation & Amortization expense</u> was \$10,464 for fiscal 2005. The increase was the result of tangible and intangible assets acquired from Butte Creek on August 31, 2005 and additional brewing equipment acquired in 2005. In Fiscal 2004, we did not own any assets that were subject to depreciation or amortization.
- * Management compensation was \$26,744 for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to zero in the corresponding period ended December 31, 2004. The increase was solely related to our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.
- * Rent expense was \$12,600 for the year ended December 31, 2005 for the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to zero in the prior fiscal year. The increase was the rent paid for our Chico, California facility subsequent to our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.
- * Selling expense was \$23,237 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 compared to zero in the prior fiscal year. The increase was due to our acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.
- * Outside Sales Compensation was \$28,909 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 compared to zero in the prior fiscal year. The increase was the result of purchase of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.
- * Other General & Administrative Operating Expenses increased \$51,370 to \$113,913 or 82% for year ended December 31, 2005 compared \$62,543 in the year ended December 31, 2004.

The components in this category are detailed below:

<u>2005</u> <u>2004</u>

Legal and Accounting \$38,979 \$47,025

The settlement of our trademark dispute related to Mount Shasta Ale reduced our legal and accounting expenses in 2005 as compared to 2004.

Research and \$ 722 \$ 8,126 **Organizational Expense**

Research and Organizational Expenses decreased \$7,404 from \$8,126 to \$722 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Other \$ 74,212 \$ 7,392

Other expenses increased \$66,820 from \$7,392 in fiscal 2004 to \$74,212 in the year ended December 31, 2005. Other includes payroll taxes, insurance and a portion of our payroll and utilities.

OPERATING LOSS. The operating loss for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 increased \$53,502 or 86% to \$116,045 from \$62,543 in the corresponding period of 2004 as a result of the increase in total operating expenses resulting from the Butte Creek acquisition.

OTHER INCOME & EXPENSE Total other expense was \$11,740 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$0.00 for the corresponding period of 2004. The increase was directly related to the acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005.

NET LOSS Net loss increased \$65,242 or 104% to \$127,785 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$62,543 for the corresponding period of 2004. The increase in our net loss was a result of the operating losses incurred by Butte Creek after our acquisition on August 31, 2005.

Pro Forma: For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006, Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005.

The following discussion gives retroactive effect to our acquisition of Butte Creek to the beginning of the periods shown.

SALES. Net sales for the first three months of 2006 increased \$30,102 or 19.45% from \$154,778 to \$184,880. The increase in net sales was the result of increased market penetration of our line of certified organic beers in bottles and contract brewing revenues. Case Beer sales for the period increased 21.6%. However, Draft Beer sales actually declined 26.2% during the period. The decrease in draft sales is attributed to increased competitive pressures in this sector of the industry. There are fewer opportunities for draft accounts and most of them are dominated by the national and regional brewing powerhouses. Contract brewing revenues were \$6,616 for the period compared to \$0.00 in the prior year period.

COST OF SALES. Cost of sales for the three months ended March 31, 2006 were \$126,611 or 68.5% of net sales as compared to \$104,158 or 67.3% of net sales for the first three months of 2005. The four biggest components in our cost of sales are (a) raw materials (b) packaging materials, (c) direct labor and (d) utilities. While raw material costs and packaging material costs decreased as a percentage of net sales by 0.5% and 1.7% respectively, direct labor costs

and utilities were up 1.6% and 1.7% respectively. The increases in direct labor and utilities were due to accounting procedural changes, whereby a larger portion of overhead expenses were applied to cost of sales. We expect continued pressure on our Cost of Sales as raw materials and packaging materials continue to increase in price. We have raised our prices to certain accounts in 2006 and should be able to raise prices enough to off-set our increases in costs.

GROSS PROFIT. As a result of the 19.5% increase net sales and 21.5% increase in cost of sales, gross profit for the first three months of 2006 increased only \$7,649 or 15.1% for the period to

\$58,269 or 31.5% of net sales from \$50,621 or 32.7% of net sales for the first three months of 2005.

OPERATING EXPENSES. Total operating expenses increased \$60,7 39 or 51.1 % to \$179 ,86 2 for the first three months of 2006 compared to \$119,123 for the same period in 2005. Our operating expenses include our corporate overhead at Golden West Brewing.

Pro Forma

		First Three Mo	First Three Months of		
		<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>		
*	Depreciation & Amortization	\$ 7,824	\$ 8,521		
*	Legal & Accounting	\$ 41,578	\$ 9,066		
*	Management Compensation	\$ 19,807	\$ 9,000		
*	Outside Sales Compensation	\$ 23,254	\$ 18,848		
*	Rent	\$ 9,450	\$ 7,950		
*	Selling Expense	\$ 23,726	\$ 54,444		
	A Decrease of \$30,718 or 56.4% to \$23,726	from \$54,444.			
*	Other General & Administrative	\$ 54,223	\$ 11,2 94		
	An increase of \$43,029 or 384% as payroll,				
	insurance and other operating costs				

Two **bolded** categories are both GWB & BUTTE. Others are just BUTTE.

OPERATING LOSS. The operating loss for the three month period ended March 31, 2006 increased \$53, 091 or 77.5 % to \$121,593 compared to an operating loss of \$68,502 for the three month period ended March 31, 2005. The increase in the operating loss is directly related to the significant increase in operating expenses at a faster rate than our sales.

OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSE. Total other expense for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$12,832 compared to total other expense of \$5,899 for the prior period, an increase of \$6,933 or 118 %. The increase was primarily a result of a increased interest expense as a result of our acquisition of Butte Creek.

NET LOSS. Net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$134,425 compared to a net loss of \$74,401 for the same period in 2005, an increase in net loss of \$6,0024, or 8,006%. The increase in net loss is a result of our decreasing gross

profit margin, increasing operating expenses at a faster rate than our sales.

Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2005 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2004

SALES Net sales for the fiscal year 2005 increased \$154,849 or 23.3% to \$819,391 compared to \$664,542 in net sales for the fiscal year 2004. The increase in net sales was a result of continued strength of our core line of organic ales and the launch of our latest organic ale, Butte Creek Certified Organic Pilsner in the 3rd quarter of 2005, and direct sales efforts in the region surrounding our brewery facility in Chico, California.

COST OF SALES Cost of sales for the fiscal year 2005 were \$573,740 or 70% of net sales as compared to \$441,171 or 66% of net sales in fiscal year 2004. Rising prices of ingredients and packaging materials contributed to the increase in cost of sales.

GROSS PROFIT As a result of the higher net sales, gross profit for fiscal year 2005 increased 9.9% to \$245,651 or 30% of net sales from \$223,371 or 34% of net sales in fiscal year 2004.

OPERATING EXPENSES Total operating expenses increased \$98,257 or 22% to \$540,914 in fiscal year 2005 compared to \$442,657 in fiscal year 2004. The overall increase was the result of increased operations in fiscal 2005, including payroll, insurance and marketing, as compared to fiscal 2004.

OPERATING LOSS The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$295,263, compared to an operating loss of \$219,286 for the year ended December 31, 2004, an increase in net loss of \$75,977, or 34.6%.

OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSE. Total other expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$43,615, compared to total other expense of \$29,167 for the prior year, an increase of \$14,448 or 49.5%. This change was due to a one-time fine of \$13,000 incurred in 2005.

NET LOSS Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$338,878 compared to a net loss of \$248,453 in 2004, an increase in net loss of \$90,425, or 36.4% higher. This unfavorable increase in net loss was the result of higher operating expenses in 2005 as the Company increased its operating costs while its gross profit as a percentage of sales declined.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have required capital principally for the purchase of Butte Creek and the funding of operating losses and working capital. To date, we have financed our capital requirements through the sale of equity and short and long-term borrowings. We expect to meet our future financing needs and working capital and capital expenditure requirements through cash on hand, borrowings and offerings of debt or equity securities, although there can be no assurance that our future financing efforts will be successful beyond this offering. The terms of future financings could be highly dilutive to investors in this offering.

The minimum net proceeds of our pending self-underwritten public offering should satisfy our working capital requirements for approximately three months; if the maximum offering is sold, the proceeds should be sufficient to satisfy our working capital needs for nine months. We have no

commitments, understandings or arrangements for any additional working capital. If this offering is not successful, or if we are unable to secure additional financing to cover our operating losses until break-even operations can be achieved, we may not be able to continue as a going concern.

We had no cash and cash equivalents and a negative working capital of \$377,838 at March 31, 2006. Our long-term debt was \$421,320 at March 31, 2006. We do not have sufficient cash on hand or available credit facilities to continue operations for more than 30 days and are dependent upon the completion of this offering to provide adequate working capital to continue operations. In the interim, we have raised capital through the sales of unregistered securities and advances and/or loans from its officers and directors to acquire Butte Creek, and fund its operations after its acquisition. There are no assurances that we will be able to secure additional capital to maintain the operations of Butte Creek until the proposed initial public offering is completed.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2006, the Company's capital expenditures totaled \$6,551.

Available Credit

The Company assumed a \$25,000 balance on a credit card issued by Wells Fargo Bank, with interest at the rate of 16.25%. The card is uncollateralized and guaranteed by Tom Atmore, Butte Creek's Managing Member and former general manager. The outstanding balance as of March 31, 2006 was \$24,238.

The Company assumed a \$15,400 line of credit on a Butte Creek credit card with MBNA with interest at the rate of 29.98%. The debt on the credit card is uncollateralized but guaranteed by Tom Atmore, Butte Creek's managing member and our former general manager. The outstanding balance as of March 31, 2006 was \$11,233.

Notes Payable

On November 1, 2004, J. Andrew Moorer, a Director of the Company, made an uncollateralized advance of \$8,750. This advance started to accrue interest at 8% on January 1, 2006. Between March and September 2005, the Company borrowed a total of \$125,000 from three lenders: \$50,000 in July 2005 from Power Curve, Inc. (a company controlled by John Power); \$50,000 in May 2005 from Lone Oak Vineyards, Inc. (a company controlled by Brian Power); and \$25,000 in March 2005 from Tiffany Grace, an unaffiliated party. The loans were used to payoff Butte Creek's loans to Tri County Economic Development Corporation, purchase additional equipment and provide working capital. The Tiffany Grace note, which was executed on September 9, 2005 accrues interest at the rate of 9% per annum, is payable in monthly payments of principal and interest based upon a five year amortization, and is due in full March 2008. As of December 31, 2005, the Tiffany Grace note had current maturities of \$4,336 and a long-term maturity of \$18,638. The Power Curve and Lone Oak notes were executed in September, 2005, accrue interest at the rate of 9% per annum, and are payable in full in 2008. The loans are collateralized by a security interest covering all of our tangible and intangible assets. As of March 31, 2006, the Power Curve and Lone Oak notes had accrued interest of \$2,059 and long-term maturities of \$100,000.

On December 30, 2005, John Power and Power Curve, Inc. converted \$215,000 and \$90,000, respectively, in outstanding advances into collateralized long-term debt. The notes bear interest at 9% and mature December 31, 2008 and are collateralized by a security interest covering all of our tangible and intangible assets but are junior to the security interest granted to Power Curve, Inc. (\$50,000), Lone Oak Vineyards, Inc. (\$50,000) and Tiffany Grace (\$25,000) in September 2005

described above. As of March 31, 2006, these notes had accrued interest of \$4,838 and \$2,025 respectively and long-term maturities of \$215,000 and \$90,000 respectively.

As part of our acquisition of Butte Creek, the Company assumed an \$8,136 note payable to Bruce Detweiler, a member of Butte Creek, and a \$10,098 note payable to Richard Atmore, Jr., a member of Butte Creek and the brother of Tom Atmore, a managing member of Butte Creek

The Company has pledged substantially all of our assets to secure some of the notes. Should the Company default in the payment of these secured notes, the collateral could be subject to forfeiture.

In the three months ended March 31.2006, John Power and Power Curve, Inc. have made advances to the Company of \$57,800 and \$52,150 respectively. The advances are uncollateralized and due on demand. Subsequent to March 31, 2006, John Power and Power Curve, Inc., have made advances to the Company of \$29,900 and \$37,360 respectively. The advances are unsecured and due on demand.

Delinquent Taxes & Rent

Delinquent Taxes & Rent

At March 31, 2006 the Company had outstanding payroll tax liabilities of \$50,029. Of these amounts \$41,009 are considered delinquent.

California Redemption Value (CRV) is a tax collected on all package sales to retailers, processed through the California Department of Conservation and refunded through the State's recycling program. The United States Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms ("BATF"), now the TTB, and various state agencies collect excise taxes often referred to as "alcohol taxes" with the amount based on the volume of beer sold. At March 31, 2006, the Company had alcohol related taxes payable to federal and state taxing authorities of \$67,904. Of these amounts, \$62,500 is considered delinquent. The detail of those taxes payable is as follows:

March 31, 2006			
Tax Agency	<u>Due</u>	<u>Delinquent</u>	
Internal Revenue Service	\$36,416	\$30,900	Payroll Taxes
CA Employment Development Department	\$13,613	\$10,109	Payroll Taxes
	\$39,954	\$35,324	Excise Tax

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

CA Board of Equalization	\$ 5,751	\$ 4,413	Excise Tax
CA Department of Conservation	\$24,559	\$23,785	CRV Tax
Butte County & CA Franchise	\$18,170	\$18,170	Property &
Tax Board			Franchise Taxes

Most of these delinquent payables have been assumed by the Company in connection with our acquisition of Butte Creek as the continuation of regulatory compliance is material to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Continued operations could be severely impaired should the TTB or any other governmental agency seek to collect any of the delinquent payables before we are able to pay them.

At March 31, 2006 the Company had outstanding rent obligations on our operating facility of \$17,950. We have entered into a verbal forbearance agreement with the landlord whereby the landlord

will not proceed with collection actions to enforce its rights under the lease as long as the Company pays current rent and 8% interest on the outstanding rent obligation timely.

Overview of Product Distribution

Our products are available for sale directly to consumers in draft and bottles at restaurants, bars and liquor stores, as well as in bottles at supermarkets, warehouse clubs and convenience stores. Like substantially all craft brewers, our products are delivered to these retail outlets through a network of local distributors whose principal business is the distribution of beer and, in some cases, other alcoholic beverages, and who traditionally have local distribution relationships with one or more national beer brand.

Sales in the craft beer industry generally reflect a degree of seasonality, with the first and fourth quarters historically being the slowest and the rest of the year typically demonstrating stronger sales. We have historically operated with little or no backlog and, therefore, our ability to predict sales for future periods is limited.

Certain Considerations: Issues and Uncertainties

We do not provide forecasts of future financial performance or sales volume, although this prospectus contains certain other types of forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Those risks and uncertainties are discussed more fully in the section of this prospectus titled "Risk Factors." While we are optimistic about our long-term prospects, the following issues and uncertainties, among others, should be considered in evaluating its business prospects and any forward-looking statements.

In light of uncertain contingencies relating to our acquisition of Butte Creek, we anticipate that a material impairment charge is reasonably likely to occur in the future, resulting in a material impact on our financial statements and results of operations. Since the acquisition has been consummated, we will be required to determine if a valuation allowance with respect to our investment in Butte Creek. Based upon the financial history of Butte Creek, it appears to us that a valuation allowance is reasonably likely.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

There were various accounting standards and interpretations issued during 2005, 2004 and 2003, none of which are expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, operations or cash flows.

42

Business

Background and Overview

Golden West Brewing Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation, was formed and organized on December 23, 2003, for the purpose of acquiring the business and assets of Butte Creek Brewing Company, LLC, a California limited liability company. We completed the acquisition of Butte Creek on August 31, 2005. To acquire Butte Creek, we formed and organized a company on November 19, 2003 under the name Golden West Brewing Company, a California corporation. That company is now our wholly-owned subsidiary doing business as Butte Creek Brewing Company.

Butte Creek Brewing Company, LLC was formed on October 4, 1995 and since that time has been operating a microbrewery in Chico, California. Butte Creek specializes in brewing certified organic craft beers, meaning that at least 95% of the final product has been derived from organic ingredients.

Effective October 8, 2004, we executed a definitive Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement to acquire Butte Creek. Under the terms of the Acquisition Agreement, on August 31, 2005, having obtained all necessary regulatory approvals, we completed the purchase of substantially all of the business assets of Butte Creek. In consideration of the Butte Creek assets, we paid:

- * the sum of \$350,000 in cash all of which has already been advanced;
- * an additional \$217,400 in advances through August 31, 2005 were capitalized as part of the purchase price;
- * the assumption of designated in trade and accounts payable in the approximate amount of \$366,000; and
- * 200,000 shares of our common stock. Those shares were issued pursuant to a Subscription Agreement executed by Butte Creek in which it makes representations to the effect that it acquired the shares for investment purposes and not with the view to subsequent resale or redistribution. The shares are restricted as to resale and issued in reliance upon the exemption from registration contained in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The shares may not be distributed to the members of Butte Creek unless pursuant to a registration statement filed under the Securities Act

or pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act, the existence of which must be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Company.

In anticipation that he would be participating as a founder and promoter of Golden West in connection with its acquisition of Butte Creek, prior to his formation and organization of Golden West, John C. Power caused to be filed an application with the United States Patent and Trademark Office and Application of Intent to Use for the trademark "Mount Shasta Ale" and purchased the

domain name www.ales.com. In addition, Mr. Power made advances to Butte Creek in the amount of \$59,500 through an entity controlled by him, Nova Redwood LLC. The domain name of www.ales.com was acquired by another company controlled by Mr. Power, Alta California Broadcasting, Inc., and the intent to use trademark application was submitted in the name of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Alta California, Four Rivers Broadcasting, Inc. In October 2004, those assets were then used by Alta California Broadcasting to redeem some of its outstanding equity interests from certain investors, on the condition that those investors assign those assets to Golden West in consideration of an equity investment in Golden West.

The U.S. Beer Industry

According to publications of the Association of Brewers (<u>Beertown</u>, December, 2005), in 2004 the total beer sales in the United States consisted of approximately 206 million barrels (each barrel consisting of 31 U.S. gallons). Of those total sales approximately 24 million barrels, or 11.6%, consisted of sales of imports in the United States. Of the remaining 180 million barrels, more than 85% of sales were dominated by the four largest brewing companies:

Anheuser Busch	51%
Coors	11%
Miller Brewing Company	19%
Pabst	4%

Craft brewers represented the remaining approximate 3.2% of total U.S. sales, or 6.59 million barrels of craft beer.

Beer Styles

While the beers from the major American brewers are brewed to high quality standards, they are relatively neutral in flavor. They are brewed with less hops and malt than traditional European or craft-brewed beers, creating a less bitter, lighter bodied flavor. In addition, these beers are usually brewed with a high percentage of rice, corn or corn syrup, which further dilutes the flavor and body of the beers. Traditional lager beers use 100% malted barley in the mash (with the exception of specialty wheat beers), which ensures a robust, full-bodied character. The major U.S. brewers have been successful in creating products that appeal to a wide consumer base and have spent heavily to advertise and promote their products. As a result, they have achieved a dominant position in the market for their mass-produced beers. The older regional brewers traditionally produced beers similar in style to the products of major breweries, but several have benefited from the recent boom in specialty, craft-brewed beers as both contract producers and marketers of their own products. Imported beers have long been viewed by the beer-drinking public as being more flavorful and "authentic" than the standard American beers. Although this has not always been the case, the high price and foreign origin of the imported beers created a niche category of "specialty" beers. In recent years, craft-brewed beers have further expanded the "specialty" beer market, and have increased in sales and visibility.

The vast majority of existing craft/microbrewed products in the U.S. are ales. According to a survey published in THE NEW BREWER published by the Brewers Association at www.beertown.org, the five most popular beer styles produced in brewpubs are all ales, and among the 130 responding craft/microbrewers, only the fourth most popular style (European Pilsner) is a

lager. The cost of building and operating a lager brewery is substantially greater than that for an ale brewery.

The following terms are helpful in understanding our business and industry:

<u>Craft Brewing</u>: Beers produced by microbreweries, regional specialty breweries, brewpubs and contract brewers. The common appeal of these beers is a more robust flavor than the standard domestic beers, and an image based on traditional, European beer styles.

<u>Microbrewery</u>: Originally used to refer to a small brewery producing less than 10,000 barrels a year, which packages and distributes its beers for sale off site. The cut-off volume has since been increased to 15,000 barrels a year. The new breweries that were founded in the late 1970s and early 1980s were the first to be called microbreweries.

Regional Specialty Brewery: A term used to describe those breweries which were founded as microbreweries, but have since outgrown the category, having a capacity to brew between 15,000 and 2,000,000 barrels per year. A new category was needed to distinguish these breweries from the older, established regional breweries. Examples of regional specialty breweries are: Sierra Nevada (Chico, California), Anchor Brewing (San Francisco, California), Rockies Brewing (Boulder, Colorado) and Abita Brewing (Abita Springs, Louisiana).

<u>Brewpub</u>: A brewery that sells its beers exclusively or primarily at its own bar or restaurant. Since the market is restricted to one outlet, brewpubs tend to be quite small (typically in the 500 to 2,000 barrel range). Examples of brewpubs are Zip City (New York, New York), Crescent City Brewhouse (New Orleans, Louisiana), Wynkoop (Denver, Colorado) and Commonwealth (Boston, Massachusetts).

<u>Contract Brewer</u>: A company that does not have its own brewery but rather markets beer produced "under contract" by an existing (usually regional) brewery. Examples of contract brewers are Boston Beer Company (Samuel Adams brand beers), Pete's Brewing Company and Neuweiler.

Hard Cider: A fermented apple cider with an alcohol content between 7 and 14 percent.

<u>Draft Cider</u>: A fermented apple cider with an alcohol content of less than 7 percent.

Development of Craft-Brewing Industry

Fritz Maytag bought and revived the failing Anchor Brewery in San Francisco in 1965 and is considered the grandfather of the microbrewing movement. However, it wasn't until the late 1970s and early 1980s that the first new microbreweries opened in the U.S., such as New Albion, Redhook, Yakima Brewing & Malting and Sierra Nevada on the West Coast and Newman Brewing Co. (Albany, New York) on the East Coast. By 1983, there were 11 operating microbreweries in the U.S., which were defined as breweries producing less than 10,000 barrels per year (although all were much smaller in 1983). At least one of these (Buffalo Bill's Brewery, Hayward, California) was a brewpub. In the early to mid 1980s, the first contract brewers appeared.

What all of the craft-brewed beers have in common is an appeal based on traditional, highly flavored European beer styles. They have benefited from their contrast with the products of the major brewers, which are much lighter in body and flavor. We believe they also were helped by an

increasing concern by consumers about how alcoholic beverages fit into a healthy, active, contemporary lifestyle. Like fine wines, we believe that consumers view craft-brewed beers as beverages of moderation.

Craft Beer Industry Segment

Craft beers are characterized by their full-flavor and are usually produced along traditional European brewing styles. The majority of craft beers are ales, although some are malt lagers. Wheat beers and fruit flavoured ales and lagers have enjoyed recent popularity among craft beer consumers.

The craft beer category consists of:

- * Contract brews any style brew produced by one brewer for sale under the label of someone else who does not have a brewery or whose brewery does not have sufficient capacity.
- * Regional craft brews "hand-crafted" brews, primarily ales, sold under the label of the brewery that produced it.
- * *Microbrews* "hand-crafted" brews, primarily ales, sold under the label of the brewery that produced it, if the capacity of the brewery does not exceed 15,000 bbl. per year.
- * Large brewer craft-style brews a brand brewed by a national brewer which may only imitate the style of a craft beer. These craft-style brews are often sold under the label of a brewery that does not exist or the label of a brewpub with no bottling capacity. The term "phantom brewery" is sometimes used to describe such brands.
- * Brewpub brews "hand-crafted" brews produced for sale and consumption at the brewery, which is normally connected with a restaurant/saloon. Brewpub brews are not normally sold for off-site consumption in significant quantities.

In 2004, U.S. craft beer industry annual retail sales reached 6.59 million barrels, having a total retail value of \$3.5 billion. That 2004 production volume was divided into the following categories:

Volume Percent

Regional specialty breweries	4.4 million barrels	66.8%
Contract breweries	865,000 barrels	13.1%
Microbreweries	733,000 barrels	11.1%
Brewpubs	635,000 barrels	9.6%

See Beertown, www.beertown.org

According to *Beertown*, a trade publication, as of December 2005, there were a total of 1,334 total craft breweries operating in the United States, consisting of:

57 Regional specialty breweries

350 Microbreweries

927 Brewpubs

21 Large breweries

Business Strategy

Our business objective is to become recognized as the premier organic craft brewer in the United States. It is our objective to produce the finest quality organic craft beers and to market them strategically in niche markets to capitalize on our dedication to the use of organic ingredients, which we consider to be our principal differentiator and competitive advantage.

Our business strategy includes the following key objectives:

- * Further develop our existing facility and position as a leading organic ale producer;
- * Develop new brands utilizing the existing facility;
- * Produce on a contract basis for other craft brewers, where capacity permits; and
- * Expand regional self-distribution and minimize reliance upon local wholesale distributors.
- * Expand our production capacity to at least 8,000 bbl per year.

Products

Butte Creek produces a variety of distinctive craft beers ranging in color from light to dark. Four of our beers are certified organic: Organic Ale, Organic Pilsner, Organic Porter and Organic India Pale Ale. In making these three products, we adhere strictly to the National Organic Program of the United States Department of Agriculture pursuant to which our beers are certified as organic by independent accredited certifiers. All of our beer is made from four traditional ingredients: water, hops, yeast and malted barley. Each beer exhibits unique properties of color, richness, bitterness and aroma, creating a special signature for each beer. In order to maintain full flavor, our beer is not pasteurized or homogenized. We never use adjuncts in substitute for all grain.

We currently produce the following principal brands, each with its own distinctive combination of flavor, color and clarity:

*	Organic Ale	An amber ale made with certified organic hops and barley, brewers yeast and water.
*	Organic Porter	A porter with a full bodied malty flavor balanced with a crisp hop bitterness.
*	Organic India Pale Ale	A full-flavored traditional India Pale Ale.
*	Organic Pilsner	A European-style pilsner that is brewed with German malt and Czech hops to make it a light bodied, clean, straw-colored beer with a refreshing crisp finish.
*	Mount Shasta Pale Ale	A full-flavored pale ale.
*	Mount Shasta Strong Ale	This full bodied Strong Ale boasts a sweet, malty flavour with a warming alcohol finish. 8.1% ABV. For sale in California only.
*	Creekside Wheat	A crisp refreshing version of a German hefeweizen, brewed with a little less wheat and a little more hops than the average wheat beer.
*	Winter Ale/Spring Ale (seasonal)	Our Winter Ale is a full-bodied, chestnut brown ale. Our Spring Ale is a crisp amber.
*	Christmas Cranberry Ale (seasonal)	A pleasant Christmas Ale with a hint of cranberry fruitiness. This ale is available in December and January.
*	Organic Spring Ale	A malty amber ale that is lighter in color.

* Revolution X Butte Creek s 10 Anniversary Ale. A certified organic Imperial IPA.

For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2005 and the three month period ended March 31, 2006, on a pro-forma basis, sales of Organic Ale, Porter, India Pale Ale and Pilsner represented 61.3%, 72.6% and 78.8% of our total sales, respectively, excluding contract brewed organic beer. During 2004, 78.8% of sales were cases, 17.5% were kegs and 4.3% contract brewed, compared to sales during 2005 being comprised of 81.5% cases, 12.1% kegs and 7.6% contract brewed. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, 87.9% of sales were cases, 8.7% were kegs and 3.4% were contract brewed.

In addition to our current craft brews, we are constantly developing new products in order to be responsive to changing customer tastes. We believe that our continued success will be affected by our ability to be innovative and attentive to consumer desires while maintaining consistently high product quality.

Brewing Operations

The Brewing Process

Beer is produced from four main ingredients: malt, hops, yeast and water. Malt, the main ingredient of beer, is produced when barley is moistened, allowed to germinate and then dried. The malted barley is then crushed and mixed with hot water and strained, producing a clear amber liquid called "wort". Wort is boiled in the brew kettle and hops are added which add bitterness and variety to the brew. The mixture is then strained and placed in a fermentation vessel where yeast is added and the beer is allowed to ferment. During fermentation, yeast metabolizes the sugars in the wort and produces alcohol and carbon dioxide.

Upon completion of fermentation, the beer is then transferred to aging tanks where the flavor is developed and matured. The brewing process, from the conversion of raw materials to the serving of beer, is typically completed in 14 to 28 days, depending on the type of beer being brewed. The production schedule for all of our ale products requires a fourteen (14) day cycle. Our lager products requires a twenty-eight (28) day cycle, from brewing through filtration and packaging. The production cycle includes the following steps:

* Day 1. *Mashing*. Weighed amounts of milled, malted barley, a cereal grain that provides the body and color to the beer, are mixed and steeped with hot water in a Mash Tun. This serves to extract fermentable and non-fermentable sugars, thus creating a mash. At the end of the mashing process, the sweet, fermentable liquid from

the mash, called wort, is run off through screened plates and then transferred into the brew kettle. While the wort is running off, the grain is sprayed with hot water again, a process called "sparing". (This is a process similar to making coffee.)

Once the wort run off is completed, the spent grains are given to local farmers for cattle feed or to local mushroom growers.

- * Day 1. *Brewing*. When the sweet liquid wort transfer is completed, we start the boil, then add fresh hops that provide bitterness and aroma, thus creating the balance and flavor of our beer.
- * Day 1. *Clarification*. After approximately an hour and one half of boiling, the wort and the spent hops are transferred into a whirlpool. A centrifugal force is created inside the vessel during whirlpooling. This force separates the malt proteins and the spent hops from the wort.
- * Day 1. *Cooling*. The wort is pumped from the whirlpool through a heat exchanger which rapidly cools the wort. The cool wort is transferred into a fermenter.
- * Day 1. *Inoculation*. Pure culture lager yeast or ale yeast is added to the wort in the fermenter and the tank is closed up.
- * Days 2-7. *Fermentation*. Within three to five days, the yeast has metabolized and utilized the sugars from the wort, creating alcohol and carbon dioxide. Our ales are made with a top fermenting ale strain that actually floats to the top of the fermenter. Our lagers are made with a bottom fermenting lager strain that settles during fermentation.

When the yeast completely settles it is collected from the tank and used in the next lager or ale brew cycle.

- * Days 5-27. *Cooling and Conditioning*. At the end of the fermentation cycle, our beer is cooled from its fermentation temperature (between 65-70-F for ales and 58-55-F for lagers) to 32- Fahrenheit. Beer flavors mature during this stage. Our beer is then stored for seven to fourteen days. Isinglass finings are added to aid in the clarification process.
- * Day 14. *Filtration for Ales*. While under pressure, the beer is transferred through cellulose sheets in a Filter Press in order to remove protein haze and yeast while stabilizing and clarifying the beer. The beer is transferred from the Filter Press into a Serving Tank which is counter pressured, for service directly to draft taps at each bar.
- * Day 28. *Filtration for Pilsners*. Our pilsner beer remains in the Cellar Tank for an additional fourteen days of fermentation. It is then processed in the same manner as our ale.

Our Brewing Facility

Our Chico, California brewery currently produces approximately 4,500 barrels of craft beer per year. After the recent purchase of two additional fermentation tanks, our current capacity is 8,000 barrels a year

We package our craft beers in bottles and kegs which are clearly marked with freshness dates. This is to ensure that our products are consumed at the height of their flavor. Bottled products utilize the latest technology in bottle crowns that prevent oxygen from causing deterioration of the beer's fresh taste. Our beer is naturally carbonated and pasteurized to ensure the customers enjoy the full fresh flavour. The shelf life of our bottled beer is 120 days and the shelf life of our keg beer is 90 days.

49

Ingredients and Raw Materials

In order to be certified as organic under the National Organic Program of the USDA, our craft beers must have no more than 5% non-organic ingredients in the finished product. We use only the finest, all natural and certified organic ingredients available to brew our products whenever possible.

There are many different varieties of hops which are used in the production of beers. The selection of particular varieties of hops influences the bitterness and aroma of the finished product. The selection of hops in any particular recipe contributes to the final signature of the microbrew.

Not all hops are available organically-grown. In fact, in the United States, only one type of hops is organically grown; and all other organically-grown hops must be purchased from international sources, primarily New Zealand.

For microbrews whose recipes call for hops that are not available organically, those products can still be manufactured and marketed as "organic," since hops comprise less than 5% of the finished product. Nevertheless, there is intense competition for organically-grown hops, and quantities are limited.

Our Organic Ale is the only product that is 100% organic, including organic hops. Our Organic India Pale Ale and Organic Porter use non-organic hops but are nevertheless marketed as organic microbrews. Our principal competitors in the organic microbrew market: Wolavers and Eel River Brewing Company, also use non-organic hops. Our principal hops suppliers are Hopunion, Fresh Hops and Certified Foods. We have recently secured an agreement to purchase fixed quantities of organic hops from New Zealand Hops Ltd. The agreements commit us to purchase and New Zealand Hops, Ltd. to sell to us defined quantities of organic hops during 2006 (726 pounds) and 2007 (3,135 pounds). We believe that this arrangement will satisfy all of our organic hops requirements for the next two years. However, we do not have any other contracts or agreements with any of our hops suppliers for ongoing or future deliveries.

We currently obtain our malted barley (grain) from two sources: Breiss supplies our organic barley and Great Western Malting our non-organic barley. We do not have any supply contracts with any of our vendors to meet our grain or hop requirements. As a result, any interruption in our supply of grain or hops could result in a curtailment of our production and loss sales.

We have multiple competitive sources for packing materials, such as bottles, labels, six-pack carriers, crowns and shipping cases, as well as kegs. However, California Glass Company of Oakland, CA is currently the only company offering reasonable bottle pricing for Butte Creek's current production level. Purchases of bottles, six-pack carriers

and case boxes from California Glass Company amount to over 40% of the total purchases from all unaffiliated vendors. We have no affiliated vendors.

Sales and Distribution

We market and sell our craft beers through a combination of company marketing personnel and wholesale distributors. We currently distribute our products in a total of 19 states including our core market of California which is serviced through both direct sales and distributors. We plan to expand distribution into additional states including New York and Texas. During 2004 and 2005 and the

three months ended March 31, 2006, our direct sales in Northern California accounted for 29.3 %, 30.8% and 29.2 % of total sales, respectively.

In each state where our beer is distributed, we must satisfy the state's regulatory requirements for beer sales. Those requirements generally consist of completing an application and paying a distribution fee. Some states also impose product quality standards which must be met as a condition to distribution. We have not experienced any difficulties in obtaining approvals to distribute in states where we have sought that approval.

Wholesale distributors sell our products to supermarkets, warehouse stores, liquor stores, taverns and bars, restaurants and convenience stores. Most of our brands are also available on draft' and these are delivered directly to retail outlets. Our independent distributors also distribute a variety of other alcoholic beverages, including other craft beers, import beers and national beer brands. We rely on our distributors not only to provide product sales and deliveries but also to maintain retail shelf space and to oversee timely rotation of inventory. Favorable consumer demand for microbrewed products and higher profit margins are the two primary factors that contribute to strong interest from distributors in handling our regional microbrewed products. Our success is dependent upon our ability to maintain and develop our third party distributor, bar and restaurant accounts.

We have written distribution agreements with all of our wholesale distributors; however, the agreements are all terminable upon 30 days written notice and provide no reliable assurance of future performance.

Sales are distributed widely over our customer base with only two large customers comprising a significant portion of sales. For the year s ending December 31, 2004 and 2005 and three months ended March 31, 2006, Mountain People's Warehouse (MPW) was responsible for 21.6%, 19.9% and 22.3% of Butte Creek's sales, respectively. For the same periods, Ray's is the Place, Inc. was responsible for 11%, 5.7% and 1.8% of sales, respectively.

Marketing

Our marketing efforts are focused on bars, restaurants, grocery stores and retailers of premium beer products in order to obtain shelf and tap space. This is accomplished by intensive one-on-one contact to familiarize our customers thoroughly with our products and our commitment to service. The microbrewers' market is not for the masses but rather it is focused on customers searching for a flavor that is superior and in some cases unique.

We have designed slogans, logos and trade names for use in print advertising. To create additional name recognition and customer identification, we sell T-shirts, sweatshirts and other merchandise featuring our name and logo. Distributors and package store locations are provided with point-of-purchase cards, banners, static stickers and shelf channels.

Sales of beer in general are seasonal in nature and are at their highest level in the second and third calendar quarters and at their lowest in the first and fourth calendar quarters. This seasonality has historically had a significant impact on our operations on a quarter to quarter basis.

Dependence on Major Customers

During 2004 and 2005 and for the first three months of $200\,6\,$, wholesale distributors were

51

responsible for 52.5%, 56.7% and 67.5 % of our sales, respectively. Three distributors (Mountain People s Warehouse, Morris Distributing and Bay Area Distributing) accounted for 28.6% of our sales in 2004, two distributors (Mountain People s Warehouse and Bay Area Distributing) accounted for 27.3 % of our sales for 2005 and two distributors (Mountain People s Warehouse and Bay Area Distributing) accounted for 29.7% of our sales for the three months ended March 31, 2006. We have no long-term commitments or agreements from any of our distributors or customers. Our distributors can terminate their agreements with us on 30 days notice. The loss of a major distributor or customer could severely impair our sales for a significant period of time.

Trademarks and Intellectual Property

We consider all of our beer recipes to be trade secrets which we protect by confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements.

We claim common law trademark protection to all of our trademarks, words and design. We have applied for and been granted a federal registration for the trademark. Golden West Brewing and a State of California registration for the trademark. Revolution X. We may seek additional federal and state registered trademarks in the future for existing or future products.

On September 29, 2002, Four Rivers Broadcasting, Inc. filed a trademark application with the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") for Mount Shasta Ale based on its intent to use the proposed mark. Four Rivers Broadcasting, Inc. is a subsidiary of Alta California Broadcasting, Inc., an affiliate of John C. Power, our Director. Alta California Broadcasting, Inc. also registered the domain name www.ales.com. In 2004, Four Rivers Broadcasting and Alta California Broadcasting assigned their interests in the trademark, and domain name, respectively, to an investor group in consideration of the redemption of an equity interest in Alta California Broadcasting. The investors in turn assigned those intellectual property rights to the Company in exchange for shares of Company common stock. Documents governing the assignments have been executed but the formal assignments have not been formally registered in the respective registration offices controlling these intellectual property rights.

Four Rivers Broadcasting was involved in trademark infringement litigation with a third party over the use of that trademark. In that litigation, both Four Rivers and the third party claimed a prior right to use the trademark and that the other is infringing on their intellectual property rights. That litigation has now been resolved under the terms of an agreement in which to the other party has agreed that we may use the trademark "Mount Shasta Ale." However, because we have been advised by Shasta Beverage Company that they would oppose the application if we pursued it (but not our unregistered use of the mark), we have abandoned our trademark application pending with the USPTO, and rather will rely upon common law trademark principles to protect our use of the mark.

In addition to the domain name www.ales.com, we have registered the domain name www.organicale.com and www.organicale.com and www.organicale.com and www.organicale.com and <a hre

Notwithstanding our efforts to develop and protect our intellectual property rights, trademark protection and the uncertainty surrounding the legal protections of domain names, may be

unenforceable or limited. As a result, we may not be able to maintain our current trademarks or domain name if they are subject to challenge. We believe that any successful challenge to our use of a trademark or our domain name could have a material adverse impact upon our business, financial condition and future operations.

Competition

As of December 1, 2005, there were a total of 1,334 craft breweries that included 927 brew pubs, 350 microbreweries, 13 regional breweries and 21 large breweries. For the first eleven months of 2005, 47 brew pubs and three microbreweries closed. But 31 brew pubs and 18 microbreweries opened.

We compete with other craft brewers on the basis of product quality and freshness, packaging design, distribution, marketing support and regional identification. The beer industry in general and the craft brewing segment in particular is highly competitive and we experience stiff competition and expect that competition to increase in the future. Our products compete with products from large and small domestic and foreign breweries and from and increasing number of regional specialty breweries, microbreweries, brew pubs and contract brewers. Many of these competing breweries, including some existing microbreweries, have significantly greater financial, production, distribution and marketing resources than ours.

In the organic microbrew market, our principal competitors are Wolavers and Eel River Brewing Company. As both are privately held, there is little information available concerning their relative financial strength and resources. Both Wolavers and Eel River produce a variety of organic beers, all of which also use non-organic hops, as previously discussed. However, we believe that Wolavers has broader distribution and greater market penetration than either we or Eel River enjoy.

In addition, we contract microbrew for Bison Brewing Company, of Berkeley, California and Santa Cruz Mountain Brewery, Santa Cruz, CA... All of the beers that we make for Bison and Santa Cruze are marketed as organic, although they too use non-organic hops. Bison Brewing has a California Department of Alcohol Beverage Control license at our facility as part of this contract brewing arrangement. Bison represented 4.3% of gross revenues in 2004, and approximately 7. 2 % of revenues in 2005 and 3.4% of sales in the three months of 2006. We cannot predict the extent to which Bison and/or Santa Cruz production will contribute to our overall revenues in the future.

Governmental Regulation

The Company's United States operations are subject to licensing by both state and federal governments, as well as to regulation by a variety of state and local governments and agencies. The Company is licensed to manufacture and sell beer by the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control in California. Our license issued by California does not permit us to engage in retail sales to consumers on the premises. A federal permit from the United States Bureau of

Alcohol, Tobacco Tax and Trade (TTB) allows the Company to manufacture fermented malt beverages. To keep these licenses and permits in force, the Company must pay annual fees and submit timely production reports and excise tax returns. Prompt notice of any changes in the operations, ownership, management or company structure must also be made to these regulatory agencies. BATF must also approve all product labels, which must include and alcohol use warning. These agencies require that individuals owning equity securities in the aggregate of 10% or more in the Company be investigated

as to their suitability. The Company's production operations must also comply with the Occupational Safety and Health Administrations' workplace safety and worker health regulations and comparable state laws. Management believes that the Company is presently in compliance with the aforementioned laws and regulations.

In the United States, taxation of alcohol has increased significantly in recent years. Currently, the federal tax rate is \$7.00 per bbl. for up to 60,000 bbl. per year and \$18.00 per bbl. For over 60,000 bbl. The California tax rate is \$6.20 per bbl. Federal and state excise taxes on alcoholic beverages are subject to change. It is possible that excise taxes will be increased in the future by both the federal government and State of California. In addition, increased excise taxes on alcoholic beverages have in the past been considered in connection with various governmental budget balancing or funding proposals. Any such increased in excise taxes, if enacted, could adversely affect our business. We believe that we currently have all licenses, permits and approvals necessary for our current operations. However, existing permits or licenses could be revoked if we were to fail to comply with the terms of such permits or licenses, and additional permits or licenses could in the future be required for our existing or expanded operations. If licenses, permits or approvals necessary for our brewery were unavailable or unduly delayed, or if any such permits or licenses were revoked, our ability to conduct our business could be substantially and adversely affected.

Various federal and state labor laws govern our relationship with our employees, including minimum wage requirements, overtime, working conditions and immigration requirements. Significant additional government-imposed increased in minimum wages, paid leaves of absence and mandated health benefits, or increased tax reporting and tax payment requirements for employees could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

On March 15, 2006, we were notified that the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control had filed an Accusation alleging that we had violated California regulations by participating in a beer tasting at the Mt. Shasta Board & Ski Park, not sponsored by a non-profit. As a result, we have accepted a disposition consisting of a ten day temporary suspension of our manufacturing license which will be immediately stayed, meaning, we do not expect any interruption in our business operations.

Research and Development

During the last two fiscal years, except for \$928 expended in the year ended December 31, 2004 for hops rhizomes, and since then we have not expended any working capital on product research and development.

Compliance with Environmental Laws

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws which regulate the use, storage, handling and disposal of various substances.

Our waste products consist of water, spent grains, hops, glass and cardboard. We have instituted a recycling program for our/ office paper, newspapers, magazines, glass and cardboard at minimal cost to us. We sell or give away our spent grain to local cattle ranchers. We have not purchased any special equipment and do not incur any identifiable fees in connection with our environmental compliance.

The Chico facility is subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws which regulate use, storage and disposal of various materials. The Company pays approximately \$190 per month towards sewer fees for liquid waste. The sewer discharge from the brewery is monitored and is within the standards set by the Butte County Sewer Department.

Various states in which the Company sells its products in the U.S., including California, have adopted certain restrictive packaging laws and regulations for beverages that require deposits on packages. The Company continues to do business in these states, and such laws have not had a significant effect on the Company's sales. The adoption of similar legislation by Congress or a substantial number of states or additional local jurisdictions might require the Company to incur significant capital expenditures to comply.

Employees

As of June 1, 2006, we had a total of twenty-eight employees, eight of whom were full time ... The full time employees include Larry Berlin, master brewer, Scott Burchell, sales manager, Jacqueline Winter, office manager, one sales persons and four brewing support. We have one part time sales person and nineteen part time employees involved in the packaging process.

Effective March 31, 2006, Tom Atmore, our general manager, resigned his position. He has agreed serve as a consultant until June 30, 2006.

In addition, we utilize the services of one independent contractor who performs accounting services and acts as company controller.

Given adequate capital, we would like to hire additional marketing and sales personnel.

Facilities

Our executive offices and main brewery are located at 945 West 2nd Street, Chico, California. The entire building consists of approximately 8,280 square feet, of which 1,000 square feet is used for executive offices, 4,600 square feet for our brewery and 3,000 square feet for bottling and shipping. The property is an industrial building which we lease from a former Butte Creek member with less than a one percent membership interest. The lease has a term of five years, expiring in 2010, and provides for monthly rental for the first year of \$3,312 per month. Beginning in July

2006, the rent will be \$3,726 plus thereafter an annual adjustment based upon the increase in the Consumer Price Index..We believe that our ability to occupy the present facility under the existing lease is secure and that the facilities are adequate for the foreseeable future.

Legal Proceedings

There are no material legal proceedings in which either we or any of our affiliates are involved which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or future operations.

Management

Directors, executive officers and key employees

Our executive officers, key employees and directors and their respective ages and positions are set forth below:

Name	Age	Position
John C. Power ⁽¹⁾	43	Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer and Director
Brian Power ⁽¹⁾	39	Director
J. Andrew Moorer	43	Secretary and Director

John C. Power, age 43, has been a director of Golden West since its inception in December 2003 and Chief Financial Officer since March 2005. He has served as President since December 2005. He was President (since September 1992) and Director (since September 1989) of Redwood MicroCap Fund, Inc., a registered closed-end investment company regulated under the Investment Company Act of 1940, until March 2005. In addition, until March 2005, he served as Vice President of TriPower Resources, Inc., an oil and gas exploration company, (since December 1993), President and Director of Alta California Broadcasting, Inc. which operates local market radio stations, (since May 1994), President and Director of Four Rivers Broadcasting, Inc., also a radio broadcaster, (since May 1997), and Managing Member of Nova Redwood, LLC, which held undeveloped real property which has now been sold, (since November 1999). He is Managing Member of Wyoming Resorts, LLC, which owns and operates an historic hotel in Thermopolis, Wyoming, (since June 1997), Managing Member of Montana Resorts, LLC, which is a holding company for Yellowstone Gateway Resorts, LLC, (from May 2002), Managing Member of Yellowstone Gateway Resorts, LLC, which owns and operates the Gallatin Gateway Inn, (from May 2002) and co-Managing Member of Napa Canyon, LLC, which owns undeveloped real estate in Napa, California, (since September 2001). On November 16, 2004, Yellowstone Gateway Resorts, LLC filed a voluntary petition in bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in response to an adverse arbitration award in favor of a former employee. He served as Director of Redwood Energy, Ltd. from 1994 to 2004, President and Director of Redwood Broadcasting, Inc. from December 1994 to June 1998, President and Director of Power Surge, Inc., which was involved in radio broadcasting from December 1996 to June 1998. He also serves as President of Power Curve, Inc., a private investment company, (since

⁽¹⁾ John C. Power and Brian Power are brothers.

1986), Managing Member of Sea Ranch Lodge and Village, LLC, which owns and operates the Sea Ranch Lodge in Sonoma County, California, (since December 1997), Managing Member of Best of Sea Ranch, LLC, which owns a 50% interest in Sea Ranch Escapes which is involved in home rentals at the Sea Ranch (since December 2004) and co-Managing Member of Napa Partners, LLC, which is a real estate holding company (since November 1999). He also served as Managing Member of Sea Ranch California, LLC from December 1997 to June 2004. Mr. Power attended Occidental College and University of California at Davis.

On June 1, 1998, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an Order instituting proceedings alleging, among other things, that John C. Power, one of our directors, violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10(b)(5) promulgated thereunder by participating in a manipulation through his personal account of the public trading market for the stock of Premier Concepts, Inc., from approximately June 1994 through December 1994. On November 15, 2005, the US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued an Opinion and Order dismissing the matter.

Brian Power, age 39, was CEO, President and Director of Golden West since its inception in December 2003. He resigned as President and CEO in December 2005. He has been President and Director from February 1997 to the present of Lone Oak Vineyards, Inc., a California real estate investment company. From October 1998 to present, he has been founder and managing member of Spirit of Adventure, LLC, formed to develop deep ocean exploration technologies and design and build high technology-based manned submersibles. From February 2002 to present, he has been founder and managing member of West Indies Investments, LLC, a company that sponsors tourist excursions in Providenciales, Turks and Caicos Islands, and the British West Indies. He has been Director of Snuba, Inc. from 1996 to present, a licensor of and manufacturer of patented dive apparatus. From September 1996 to April 2002, he was a Director of Combined Penny Stock Fund, Inc., a registered closed-end investment management company regulated under the Investment Company Act of 1940; and from May 2000 to December 2001, served as managing member of Binghampton Meadows, LLC, a single purpose real estate development entity located in Solano County, California. Mr. Power attended Solano Community College and the University of California at Davis.

J. Andrew Moorer, age 43, has been a Director of Golden West since December 2004. From 2003 to present he has been Chief Executive Officer, President and Director of Black Mountain Holdings, Inc, a holding company that owns an interest in a steel fabrication business. From 1998 to 2003, he was Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer and Director of Guardian Technologies International, Inc., a publicly-traded holding company. He was Chief Financial Officer of Redwood MicroCap Fund from 1994 until 1998. Mr. Moorer began his career as a Certified Public Accountant in the Audit and Emerging Business Services Group of the international accounting firm of PriceWaterhouseCoopers. Since leaving public accounting in 1987, Mr. Moorer has held various positions in finance with increasing levels of responsibility, including the position of Chief Financial Officer for several firms. Mr. Moorer received his formal education at Loyola College of Maryland.

During the last five years none of our directors or officers have:

- a. had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;
- b. been convicted in a criminal proceeding or subject to a pending criminal proceeding;

c. been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; or

57

d. been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action, the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated.

Our executive officers are elected annually at the annual meeting of our Board of Directors held after each annual meeting of shareholders. Our directors are elected annually at the annual meeting of our shareholders. Each director and executive officer will hold office until his successor is duly elected and qualified, until his resignation or until he shall be removed in the manner provided by our by-laws.

We currently do not have standing audit, compensation or nominating committees of the Board of Directors. We plan to form audit, compensation and nominating committees when it is necessary to do so to comply with federal securities laws or to meet listing requirements of a stock exchange or the Nasdaq Stock Market.

Except for the filial relationship between John C. Power and Brian Power, no other family relationship exists among our directors. There do not exist any arrangements or understandings between any director and any other person pursuant to which any director was elected as such.

2004 Equity Incentive Plan

On December 10, 2004, we adopted our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan for our officers, directors and other employees, plus outside consultants and advisors. Under the Equity Incentive Plan, our employees, outside consultants and advisors may receive awards of non-qualified options and incentive options, stock appreciation rights or shares of stock. As required by Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the aggregate fair market value of our common stock underlying incentive stock options granted to an employee exercisable for the first time in any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000. The foregoing limitation does not apply to non-qualified options. The exercise price of an incentive option may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares of our common stock on the date of grant. The same limitation does not apply to non-qualified options. An option is not transferable, except by will or the laws of descent and distribution. If the employment of an optionee terminates for any reason, (other than for cause, or by reason of death, disability or retirement), the optionee may exercise his options within a 90-day period following such termination to the extent he was entitled to exercise such options at the date of termination. A maximum of 500,000 shares of our common stock are subject to the Equity Incentive Plan. As of the date of this prospectus, no options, stock appreciation rights or bonus stock have been granted under the Equity Incentive Plan. The purpose of the Equity Incentive Plan is to provide employees, including our officers and employee directors, and non-employee consultants and advisors, with an increased incentive to make significant and extraordinary contributions to our long-term performance and growth, to join their interests with the interests of our shareholders, and to facilitate attracting and retaining employees of exceptional ability.

The Equity Incentive Plan may be administered by the Board or in the Board's sole discretion by the Compensation Committee of the Board or such other committee as may be specified by the Board to perform the functions and duties of the Committee under the Equity Incentive Plan. Subject to the provisions of the Equity Incentive Plan, the Committee and the Board shall determine, from those eligible to be participants in the Equity Incentive Plan, the persons to be granted stock options, stock

appreciation rights and restricted stock, the amount of stock or rights to be optioned or granted to each such person, and the terms and conditions of any stock option, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock.

Director Compensation

Under our Equity Incentive Plan, each of our directors and officers is eligible to receive options to purchase shares of our common stock. To date, no option grant has been made to any director. We plan to make annual grants to directors in the future, but the basis of such grants has not yet been established.

Executive Compensation

The following table and discussions summarize all plan and non-plan compensation earned by or paid to our chief executive officer for our last three completed fiscal years. No other executive officer received total annual salary and bonus of at least \$100,000 during those periods.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

					Long Term Compensation			<u>ition</u>
		Annual Co	ompensa	<u>ition</u>	Awa	<u>ırds</u>	<u>Pa</u>	ayouts
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Other Annual Compen- sation (\$)	Restricted Stock Award(s) (\$)	Options/ SARs(#)	LTIP Payouts (\$)	All Other Compensa- tion (\$)
John Power, CEO	2005	- 0 -	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Brian Power, CEO	2005	-()-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
	2004	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
	2003	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Thomas Atmore,	2005	\$43,500	\$800	-()-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Managing	2004	\$42,000	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Member,	2003	\$36,000	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

Butte Creek

No executive officer will receive perquisites and other personal benefits which, in the aggregate, exceed the lesser of either \$50,000 or 10% of the total of annual salary and bonus paid during the fiscal year.

The following table summarizes information related to grants of stock options (whether or not in tandem with SARs) and freestanding SARs made during the last completed fiscal year to each of the named executive officers specified below:

TABLE 2

OPTIONS/SAR GRANTS IN THE LAST FISCAL YEAR (INDIVIDUAL GRANTS)

	Number of	% of Total		
	Securities	Options/SARs		
	Underlying	Granted to	Exercise or	
<u>Name</u>	Options/SARs	Employees	Base	
	Granted	in Fiscal Year	Price	Expiration Date
			<u>(\$/Sh)</u>	
John Power	-0-	0%	N/A	N/A
Brian Power	-0-	0%	N/A	N/A
Thomas Atmore	-0-	0%	N/A	N/A

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the number and value of unexercised options held by each of the Named Executive Officers at December 31, 2005.

TABLE 3

AGGREGATED OPTION EXERCISES IN THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2005

AND OPTION VALUES

	Number o	of Securities	Value of Unexercised in the		
	Opti	Unexercised tons at er 31, 2005	Money Options at		
			December 31, 2005 ¹		
<u>Name</u>	Exercisable	<u>Unexercisable</u>	Exercisable	<u>Unexercisable</u>	
John Power	-0-	-0-	\$-0-	\$-0-	
Brian Power	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	
Thomas Atmore	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	

^{1.} Options are in the money if the market value of the shares covered thereby is greater than the option exercise price. Based on the estimated fair market

value of the common stock at December 31, 2005, of \$.25 per share, less the exercise price.

Employment and Consultation Agreements

We do not have any written employment agreements with any of our executive officers of key employees, nor do we have or maintain key man life insurance on any of our employees.

In addition to Tom Atmore, who serves as a consultant until June 30, 2006 since his resignation as general manager effective March 31, 2006.

Accounting services are performed by Ben Kirby in consideration of a monthly fee. This arrangement is terminable at will.

60

Limitation On Directors' Liability; Indemnification

Our certificate of incorporation limits the liability of a director for monetary damages for his conduct as a director, except for:

- * Any breach of the duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders,
- * Acts or omissions not in good faith or that involved intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law,
- * Dividends or other distributions of corporate assets from which the director derives an improper personal benefit.
- Liability under federal securities law

The effect of these provisions is to eliminate our right and the right of our stockholders (through stockholder's derivative suits on our behalf) to recover monetary damages against a director for breach of his fiduciary duty of care as a director, except for the acts described above. These provisions do not limit or eliminate our right or the right of a stockholder to seek non-monetary relief, such as an injunction or rescission, in the event of a breach of a director's duty of care.

Our certificate of incorporation also provides that we shall indemnify, to the full extent permitted by Delaware law, any of our directors, officers, employees or agents who are made, or threatened to be made, a party to a proceeding by reason of the fact that he or she is or was one of our directors, officers, employees or agents. The indemnification is against judgments, penalties, fines, settlements, and reasonable expenses incurred by the person in connection with the proceeding if certain standards are met. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to our directors, officers and controlling persons in accordance with these provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that, in the opinion of the SEC, indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Effective December 31, 2003, we issued 400,000 shares valued at \$60,000 to five investors in exchange for certain assets the investors had acquired from the Alta Group. Those investors consisted of Webquest, Inc., an entity controlled by Gina Garcia-Shaw, Donald E. Fruh, Hangar Development Group, LLC, an entity controlled by John Overturf, Jr., Triumph Capital, Inc., an entity controlled by Dorothy Calandrella and Rockies Fund, Inc., whose board of directors consists of Clifford C. Thygesen, Charles Powell and Stephen Calandrella. Dorothy Calandrella is the mother of Stephen Calandrella. The certificates evidencing the shares have not yet been issued.

The assets acquired had been sold by Alta California Broadcasting, Inc. and its affiliates Nova Redwood, LLC and Four Rivers Broadcasting, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as the "Alta group"). John C. Power, an officer, director and founder of the Company, is also an officer and director of all three entities that comprise the Alta Group.

Alta California Broadcasting, Inc. had acquired the domain name www.ales.com. On September 29, 2002, Four Rivers Broadcasting, Inc. filed a trademark application number 78169062 with the Unites States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") for Mount Shasta AleTM based on its intent to use the proposed mark. In 2003, Nova Redwood, LLC had advanced \$59,500 to Butte Creek Brewing Company, LLC as part of a planned acquisition. In October 2003, the Alta Group decided to not pursue the acquisition of Butte Creek and sold the domain name www.ales.com, all rights to the Federal Trademark application for Mount Shasta AleTM and the advances to Butte Creek for \$60,000 to a group of five investors who vended the assets into the Company as founding shareholders. These investors are not affiliates of the Company.

On December 1, 2003, John C. Power purchased a delivery vehicle (2003 Ford Van) for the purposes of assisting Butte Creek Brewing Company, LLC ("Butte Creek") maintain and expand its self-distribution capabilities. The vehicle is 100% utilized by Butte Creek as a delivery vehicle. The purchase price of the vehicle was \$22,920.70 and was financed for 60 months with Ford Credit at an annual percentage rate of 5.99%. The payments on the vehicle are paid by the Company and are recorded as advances to Butte Creek under the asset purchase agreement. The liability to Ford Credit is in the name of John C. Power and is therefore not recorded as a liability on these financial statements. There are no written agreements between the Company and Butte Creek memorializing this transaction.

In July 2004, John C. Power purchased a delivery vehicle (2004 Ford Van) for the purpose of assisting Butte Creek maintain and expand its self-distribution capabilities. The vehicle is 100% utilized by Butte Creek as a delivery vehicle. The purchase price was \$26,155.91 and was financed for 60 months with Ford Credit at an annual percentage rate of 0.90%. The payments on the vehicle are paid by the Company and are recorded as advances to Butte Creek under the Asset Purchase Agreement. The liability to Ford Credit is the name of the officer and director of the Company and is therefore not recorded as a liability on the Company's financial statements. There are no written agreements between the Company and Butte Creek memorializing this transaction.

In 2003, John C. Power guaranteed a \$25,000 line of credit for Butte Creek with one of its suppliers, California Glass Company. No compensation has been paid by either the Company or Butte Creek for the guarantee. Thomas Atmore has guaranteed the Butte Creek lines of credit with MBNA and Wells Fargo.

In 2004, we purchased certain hops rhizomes for research and development purposes. The rhizomes were planted on the property of Brian Power. The rhizomes were expensed as research and development expense in 2004. The value of the personal real property used by the directors to farm the hops was an insignificant portion of their property.

On September 28, 2002, Butte Creek, under the terms of its existing facility lease, exercised an option to purchase the brewery land and building for \$208,009, including expense of sale. Butte Creek then sold the building and additional improvements for \$400,000 to one of its members with less than a one percent (1%) membership interest. This resulted in a net capital gain of \$98,007. Butte Creek then entered into a lease agreement with that former member for an initial term of five years.

In December 2004, John Power purchased an additional 48,000 shares of common stock in consideration of \$12,000.

In January 2005, John Power, one of our directors and principal shareholders, converted \$22,500 in outstanding advances owed to him into 90,000 shares of common stock, and Clifford Neuman, legal counsel to the Company, converted \$7,500 in outstanding and unpaid fees for legal services into 30,000 shares of common stock. The shares were "restricted securities" under Rule 144 of the Securities Act.

At December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005, we had advances payable to J. Andrew Moorer, a director, of \$8,750 and \$8,750, respectively. In addition, at December 31, 2004 and September 30, 2005, we had advances payable to John Power, a director, and related entities of \$35,158 and \$181,394, respectively. At September 30, 2005, we also had additional advances payable of \$1,489 to Lone Oak Vineyards LLC, an affiliate of Brian Power, a director. At September 30, 2005, we had advances payable to Power Curve, Inc. in the amount of \$73,677. The advances payable to Messrs. Moorer, John Power and Lone Oak Vineyards are uncollateralized, are due on demand and do not bear interest.

Between March and September 2005, we borrowed a total of \$125,000 from three lenders: \$50,000 from Power Curve, Inc. (a company controlled by John Power); \$50,000 from Lone Oak Vineyards, Inc. (a company controlled by Brian Power); and \$25,000 from Tiffany Grace, an unaffiliated party. Approximately \$86,000 was used to payoff Butte Creek s loans to Tri County Economic Development Corporation and to purchase additional equipment. The remainder was used to provide working capital. The Tiffany Grace note, which was executed on September 9, 2005 accrues interest at the rate of 9% per annum, is payable in monthly payments of principal and interest based upon a five year amortization, and is due in full March 2008. The Power Curve and Lone Oak notes were executed in September, 2005, accrue interest at the rate of 9% per annum, and are payable in full in 2008 The loans are secured by a security interest covering all of our tangible and intangible assets. Both John Power and Brian Power were parties involved in this transaction.

On December 30, 2005, John Power and Power Curve, Inc. converted \$215,000 and \$90,000 in outstanding advances respectively into secured long-term debt. The notes bear interest at 9% and mature December 31, 2008 and are secured by a security interest covering all of our tangible and intangible assets which is junior to the security interest of Power Curve, Inc., Lone Oak Vineyards, Inc. and Tiffany Grace.

Effective December 30, 2005, John Power, our President and director, and Bob Vogt, an unaffiliated third party, each converted \$10,000 in accrued advances payable into 40,000 shares of common stock, at a conversion price of \$.25 per share. Effective December 30, 2005, our attorney Clifford Neuman converted \$25,000 in accrued fees payable into 100,000 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$.25 per share. The accrued fees were incurred in connection with this offering. Mr. Neuman immediately gifted the shares to his two children equally.

In the three months ended March 31, 2006, John Power and Power Curve, Inc. have made advances to the Company of \$57,800 and \$52,150 respectively. These advances are unsecured and due on demand. Subsequent to March 31, 2006, John Power and Power Curve, Inc., have made advances to the Company of \$29,900 and \$37,360 respectively. These advances are unsecured and due on demand.

Security Ownership of Management and Principal Stockholders

The following table sets forth information with respect to beneficial ownership of our common stock by:

- * each person who beneficially owns more than 5% of the common stock;
- * each of our executive officers named in the Management section;

200,000

* each of our Directors; and

EUR

* all executive officers and Directors as a group.

The table shows the number of shares owned as of June 1, 2006 and the percentage of outstanding common stock owned as of June 1, 2006. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown, except as noted.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner(1)	Nature of	Offering (3)	Perc After Offering (Minimum)(4)(5)	cent of	Class (2) After Offering (Maximum)(4)(6)		
Allan W. Williams 21071 43A Avenue Langley, British Columbia CANADA V3A 8K4	160,000	8.0%	6.7%				5.3%	
				ISP Chemco, Inc.				
			1,194,000)		Term Loan, 6.68%, Maturing June 4, 2014		1,114,151
						Kleopatra		
			225,000)		Term Loan, 7.04%, Maturing January 3, 2016		181,406

Term Loan, 7.12%, Maturing

January 3, 2016

226,778

		Kranton Polymers, LLC	
	652,671	Term Loan, 6.75%, Maturing May 12, 2013	606,168
		Lucite International Group Holdings	
	182,338	Term Loan, 5.50%, Maturing July 7, 2013	167,751
	64,561	Term Loan, 5.50%, Maturing July 7, 2013	59,396
		MacDermid, Inc.	
EUR	416,021	Term Loan, 7.02%, Maturing April 12, 2014	588,243
		Millenium Inorganic Chemicals	
	300,000	Term Loan, 10.48%, Maturing October 31, 2014	233,250
		Momentive Performance Material	
	346,500	Term Loan, 7.13%, Maturing December 4, 2013	319,337
		Nalco Co.	
	1,641,055	Term Loan, 5.87%, Maturing November 4, 2010	1,604,815
		Propex Fabrics, Inc.	
	234,257	Term Loan, 10.00%, Maturing July 31, 2012	169,251
		Rockwood Specialties Group	
EUR	1,455,000	Term Loan, 6.14%, Maturing July 30, 2012	2,041,174
		Solo Cup Co.	
	586,798	Term Loan, 8.41%, Maturing February 27, 2011	569,509
		Solutia, Inc.	
	472,569	DIP Loan, 6.49%, Maturing March 31, 2008	466,957
			\$ 13,202,627
Clothing/Textiles 0.2%			
		Hanesbrands, Inc.	
	366,094	Term Loan, 5.00%, Maturing September 5, 2013	\$ 354,024
	250,000	Term Loan, 6.99%, Maturing March 5, 2014	248,021
		St. John Knits International, Inc.	
	122,199	Term Loan, 7.84%, Maturing March 23, 2012	120,366
			\$ 722,411
		4	

Conglomerates 2.5%				
		Amsted Industries, Inc.		
	511,876	Term Loan, 6.38%, Maturing October 15, 2010	\$	495,880
		Doncasters (Dunde HoldCo 4 Ltd.)		
	124,984	Term Loan, 6.82%, Maturing July 13, 2015		118,969
	124,984	Term Loan, 7.32%, Maturing July 13, 2015		119,282
GBP	250,000	Term Loan, 10.20%, Maturing January 13, 2016 GenTek, Inc.		459,725
	640,258	Term Loan, 6.44%, Maturing February 25, 2011		623,451
	010,230	Goodman Global Holdings, Inc.		023,131
	109,023	Term Loan, 6.59%, Maturing December 23, 2011		108,546
	,	ISS Holdings A/S		200,210
EUR	122,807	Term Loan, 6.95%, Maturing December 31, 2013		167,009
EUR	877,193	Term Loan, 6.95%, Maturing December 31, 2013		1,192,922
		Jarden Corp.		
	363,126	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing January 24, 2012		341,086
	204,397	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing January 24, 2012		191,991
	500,000	Term Loan, 7.33%, Maturing January 24, 2012		484,166
		Johnson Diversey, Inc.		
	714,029	Term Loan, 6.88%, Maturing December 16, 2011		689,038
		Polymer Group, Inc.		
	1,285,134	Term Loan, 7.09%, Maturing November 22, 2012		1,259,431
		RBS Global, Inc.		
	988,770	Term Loan, 6.43%, Maturing July 19, 2013 RGIS Holdings, LLC		950,868
	852,857	Term Loan, 5.76%, Maturing April 30, 2014		746,250
	42,643	Term Loan, 5.77%, Maturing April 30, 2014		37,312
	.2,0 .0	US Investigations Services, Inc.		57,512
	523,684	Term Loan, 7.91%, Maturing February 21, 2015		487,681
	,	Vertrue, Inc.		,
	249,375	Term Loan, 7.83%, Maturing August 16, 2014		230,672
			\$	8,704,279
Containers and Glass Products	3.3%	n n d c		
	1.040.105	Berry Plastics Corp.	ф	002.450
	1,042,125	Term Loan, 7.16%, Maturing April 3, 2015	\$	903,458
	107.752	Bluegrass Container Co.		104.005
	107,753	Term Loan, 6.57%, Maturing June 30, 2013		104,905
	360,122 84,848	Term Loan, 6.68%, Maturing June 30, 2013 Term Loan, 8.40%, Maturing December 30, 2013		350,604 84,530
	265,152	Term Loan, 8.40%, Maturing December 30, 2013		,
	205,152	Consolidated Container Co.		264,157
	322,563	Term Loan, 5.50%, Maturing March 28, 2014		265,308
	322,303	Crown Americas, Inc.		203,300
EUR	980,000	Term Loan, 6.33%, Maturing November 15, 2012		1,407,458
Eck	700,000	Graham Packaging Holdings Co.		1,107,130
	1,191,000	Term Loan, 7.25%, Maturing October 7, 2011		1,107,464
	1,171,000	Graphic Packaging International, Inc.		1,107,101
	1,483,886	Term Loan, 6.03%, Maturing May 16, 2014		1,366,205
	,,	JSG Acquisitions		, 11, 12
EUR	1,250,000	Term Loan, 6.57%, Maturing December 31, 2014		1,703,269
EUR	1,250,000	Term Loan, 6.57%, Maturing December 31, 2014		1,711,366
	,	Pregis Corp.		
	977,500	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing October 12, 2011		923,737
		Smurfit-Stone Container Corp.		
	428,496	Term Loan, 6.60%, Maturing November 1, 2011		410,404
	725,370	Term Loan, 7.02%, Maturing November 1, 2011		694,542
	244,516	Term Loan, 7.06%, Maturing November 1, 2011	\$	234,192
				11,531,599

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

Cosmetics/Toiletries	0.5%			
			American Safety Razor Co.	
		467,626	Term Loan, 5.95%, Maturing July 31, 2013	\$ 453,597
		300,000	Term Loan, 11.69%, Maturing July 31, 2014	297,000
			Bausch & Lomb, Inc.	
		40,000	Term Loan, 6.51%, Maturing April 30, 2015 (4)	39,100
		160,000	Term Loan, 8.08%, Maturing April 30, 2015	156,400
			Prestige Brands, Inc.	
		609,619	Term Loan, 6.98%, Maturing April 7, 2011	592,473
				\$ 1,538,570
			5	

Drugs	0.3%			
		Pharmaceutical Holdings Corp.		= = =
	148,500	Term Loan, 6.53%, Maturing January 30, 2012	\$	144,788
		Stiefel Laboratories, Inc.		
	160,895	Term Loan, 6.69%, Maturing December 28, 2013		154,459
	210,355	Term Loan, 6.69%, Maturing December 28, 2013		201,941
		Warner Chilcott Corp.		
	185,147	Term Loan, 6.59%, Maturing January 18, 2012		176,815
	538,281	Term Loan, 6.77%, Maturing January 18, 2012		514,059
			\$	1,192,062
Ecologica	al Services and Equipment 0.9%			
		Big Dumpster Merger Sub, Inc.		
	97,528	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing February 5, 2013	\$	88,506
		Blue Waste B.V. (AVR Acquisition)		
EUR	500,000	Term Loan, 7.02%, Maturing April 1, 2015		710,688
		Kemble Water Structure Ltd.		
GBP	500,000	Term Loan, 10.05%, Maturing October 13, 2013		934,050
		Sensus Metering Systems, Inc.		
	381,544	Term Loan, 6.67%, Maturing December 17, 2010		364,374
	24,789	Term Loan, 6.88%, Maturing December 17, 2010		23,674
		Waste Services, Inc.		
	500,000	Term Loan, 7.40%, Maturing March 31, 2011		470,000
		Wastequip, Inc.		
	401,222	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing February 5, 2013		364,109
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$	2,955,401
Electroni	ics/Electrical 2.1%		·	, ,
		Aspect Software, Inc.		
	508,330	Term Loan, 7.94%, Maturing July 11, 2011	\$	487,997
	500,000	Term Loan, 11.50%, Maturing July 11, 2013		472,500
	,	Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	1,212,750	Term Loan, 6.38%, Maturing December 1, 2013		1,033,111
	5,252,100	Infor Enterprise Solutions Holdings		-,000,
	746,268	Term Loan, 8.58%, Maturing July 28, 2012		679,104
	389,357	Term Loan, 8.58%, Maturing July 28, 2012		354,315
	250,000	Term Loan, 10.33%, Maturing March 2, 2014		214,375
	91,667	Term Loan, 11.08%, Maturing March 2, 2014		78,604
	158,333	Term Loan, 11.08%, Maturing March 2, 2014		135,771
	100,000	Invensys International Holding		100,771
EUR	650,000	Term Loan, 6.71%, Maturing December 15, 2010		937,127
Lon	030,000	Network Solutions, LLC		737,127
	206,866	Term Loan, 6.99%, Maturing March 7, 2014		185,145
	200,000	Open Solutions, Inc.		105,115
	322,620	Term Loan, 5.85%, Maturing January 23, 2014		298,020
	322,020	Sensata Technologies Finance Co.		270,020
	992,443	Term Loan, 5.06%, Maturing April 27, 2013		894,617
	772,743	Spectrum Brands, Inc.		0,77,017
	16,486	Term Loan, 8.63%, Maturing March 30, 2013		15,340
	328,159	Term Loan, 8.65%, Maturing March 30, 2013		305,352
	320,139	SS&C Technologies, Inc.		303,332
	410,209	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing November 23, 2012		397,902
	410,209	_		391,902
	400 500	Vertafore, Inc.		465 420
	492,528	Term Loan, 7.52%, Maturing January 31, 2012		465,439
	275,000	Term Loan, 11.02%, Maturing January 31, 2013	¢	257,125
Eau!	nt Lossing 0.20		\$	7,211,844
Equipme	ent Leasing 0.3%	AWAC Conital Inc		
	(01,000	AWAS Capital, Inc.	Ф	5(2.014
	621,009	Term Loan, 10.94%, Maturing March 22, 2013 Maxim Crane Works, L.P.	\$	562,014

248,750 Term Loan, 6.60%, Maturing June 29, 2014	225,119
United Rentals, Inc.	,
77,260 Term Loan, 6.75%, Maturing February 14, 2011	74,170
183,195 Term Loan, 6.09%, Maturing February 14, 2011	175,867
\$	1,037,170
Farming/Agriculture 0.1%	
BF Bolthouse HoldCo, LLC	
375,000 Term Loan, 10.33%, Maturing December 16, 2013 \$	346,875
\$	346,875

Financial Intermediaries	0.6%			
		Citco III, Ltd.		
	250,000	Term Loan, 6.97%, Maturing June 30, 2014	\$	237,188
		Jupiter Asset Management Group		
GBP	220,143	Term Loan, 8.73%, Maturing June 30, 2015		397,982
		LPL Holdings, Inc.		
	994,987	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing December 18, 2014		939,019
		Nuveen Investments, Inc.		
	400,000	Term Loan, 7.29%, Maturing November 2, 2014		388,281
	107.000	Travelex America Holdings, Inc.		101.075
	125,000	Term Loan, 6.49%, Maturing October 31, 2013		121,875
	125,000	Term Loan, 6.98%, Maturing October 31, 2014	\$	122,500 2,206,845
Food Products 3.0%			Ψ	2,200,043
1 oou 1 routets 5.0 %		Acosta, Inc.		
	615,625	Term Loan, 5.53%, Maturing July 28, 2013	\$	575,609
	,	Advantage Sales & Marketing, Inc.	,	2,2,007
	997,248	Term Loan, 5.77%, Maturing March 29, 2013		927,440
		American Seafoods Group, LLC		
	739,900	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing September 30, 2011		715,391
		BL Marketing, Ltd.		
GBP	300,000	Term Loan, 8.81%, Maturing December 20, 2013		572,651
GBP	300,000	Term Loan, 9.31%, Maturing December 20, 2014		573,414
ELID	1 000 000	Black Lion Beverages III B.V.		1 2 4 2 6 4 5
EUR	1,000,000	Term Loan, 8.97%, Maturing January 24, 2016		1,343,645
ELID	247.502	Charden International B.V.		254 227
EUR EUR	247,502 247,502	Term Loan, 6.70%, Maturing March 14, 2014 Term Loan, 7.20%, Maturing March 14, 2015		354,237 357,061
LUK	247,302	Chiquita Brands, LLC		337,001
	796,407	Term Loan, 6.31%, Maturing June 28, 2012		778,090
	770,107	Dean Foods Co.		770,070
	967,688	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing April 2, 2014		904,898
	,	Michael Foods, Inc.		,
	197,824	Term Loan, 6.85%, Maturing November 21, 2010		194,177
		Nash-Finch Co.		
	339,143	Term Loan, 7.13%, Maturing November 12, 2010		323,881
		Pinnacle Foods Finance, LLC		
	1,069,625	Term Loan, 7.48%, Maturing April 2, 2014		968,011
	025.000	Reddy Ice Group, Inc.		002.255
	925,000	Term Loan, 6.13%, Maturing August 9, 2012		883,375
GBP	441,631	Ruby Acquisitions, Ltd. Term Loan, 9.30%, Maturing January 5, 2015		797,848
ODF	441,031	Term Loan, 9.50%, Waturing January 3, 2015	\$	10,269,728
Food Service 1.1%			Ψ	10,207,720
1000 501 1100 111 70		Aramark Corp.		
GBP	544,500	Term Loan, 8.17%, Maturing January 27, 2014	\$	990,456
		Buffets, Inc.		
	372,059	DIP Loan, Maturing January 22, 2009 (2)		364,618
	52,500	Term Loan, 7.83%, Maturing May 1, 2013		32,970
	393,017	Term Loan, 7.98%, Maturing November 1, 2013		246,815
		Denny s, Inc.		
	37,000	Term Loan, 6.63%, Maturing March 31, 2012		35,890
	152,523	Term Loan, 6.85%, Maturing March 31, 2012		147,947
	(15.05(JRD Holdings, Inc.		500 602
	615,856	Term Loan, 7.19%, Maturing June 26, 2014		589,683
	18,797	OSI Restaurant Partners, LLC Term Loan, 4.88%, Maturing May 9, 2013		15,903
	222,378	Term Loan, 7.13%, Maturing May 9, 2013		188,142
	222,370	101111 Douit, 7.1070, Muturing May 7, 2017		100,172

QCE Finance, LLC		
275,000 Term Loan, 10.58%, Maturing November 5,	2013	238,333
Selecta		
EUR 741,246 Term Loan, 7.14%, Maturing June 28, 2015		987,740
	\$	3,838,497

Food/Drug Retailers 1.49	%			
J		General Nutrition Centers, Inc.		
	797,750	Term Loan, 7.04%, Maturing September 16, 2013	\$	682,475
		Iceland Foods Group, Ltd.		
GBP	250,000	Term Loan, 8.71%, Maturing May 2, 2014		489,545
GBP	250,000	Term Loan, 9.21%, Maturing May 2, 2015		492,030
		Rite Aid Corp.		
	1,000,000	Term Loan, Maturing June 1, 2014 (2)		940,000
	1,000,000	Term Loan, 5.71%, Maturing June 1, 2014		910,000
	,,,,,,,,,	Roundy s Supermarkets, Inc.		,
	1,307,323	Term Loan, 7.91%, Maturing November 3, 2011		1,259,116
	1,007,020	Tom Boars, 115 176, Francisco Control Co. 2011	\$	4,773,166
Forest Products 1.0%			Ť	.,,
		Georgia-Pacific Corp.		
	2,707,405	Term Loan, 6.87%, Maturing December 20, 2012	\$	2,506,042
	2,707,103	INEOS Group	Ψ	2,500,012
	490,000	Term Loan, 7.36%, Maturing December 14, 2013		454,271
	470,000	Newpage Corp.		737,271
	375,000	Term Loan, 8.69%, Maturing December 5, 2014		365,208
	373,000	Xerium Technologies, Inc.		303,200
EUR	3,976	Term Loan, 7.52%, Maturing May 18, 2012		5,887
LUK	3,970	Term Loan, 7.32%, Waturing May 16, 2012	\$	3,331,408
Healthcare 5.7%			JP	3,331,400
Healthcare 5.7%		A coollant Inc		
	1.012.200	Accellent, Inc. Term Loan, 7.79%, Maturing November 22, 2012	¢	966 270
	1,013,299		\$	866,370
	470.000	Alliance Imaging, Inc.		444 150
	470,000	Term Loan, 6.26%, Maturing December 29, 2011		444,150
	420.076	American Medical Systems		200 450
	429,076	Term Loan, 7.54%, Maturing July 20, 2012		390,459
	220.220	AMR HoldCo, Inc.		200.021
	320,239	Term Loan, 7.13%, Maturing February 10, 2012		309,831
ELID	240.125	Biomet, Inc.		501 407
EUR	349,125	Term Loan, 7.78%, Maturing December 26, 2014		501,407
	773,063	Term Loan, 7.86%, Maturing December 26, 2014		752,067
		Cardinal Health 409, Inc.		
	422,875	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing April 10, 2014		377,416
	7 00.000	Carestream Health, Inc.		4.55.500
	500,000	Term Loan, Maturing April 30, 2013 (2)		457,500
	491,722	Term Loan, 5.76%, Maturing April 30, 2013		422,653
		Carl Zeiss Vision Holding GmbH		
	400,000	Term Loan, 7.64%, Maturing March 23, 2015		381,333
		Community Health Systems, Inc.		
	106,729	Term Loan, 0.00%, Maturing July 25, 2014 (4)		98,765
	2,122,119	Term Loan, 7.33%, Maturing July 25, 2014		1,963,777
		Dako EQT Project Delphi		
	250,000	Term Loan, 8.66%, Maturing December 12, 2016 (3)		217,450
		DaVita, Inc.		
	1,201,075	Term Loan, 5.57%, Maturing October 5, 2012		1,141,703
		DJO Finance, LLC		
	200,000	Term Loan, 7.83%, Maturing May 15, 2014		196,250
		HCA, Inc.		
	1,930,500	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing November 18, 2013		1,788,577
		Health Management Association, Inc.		
	1,878,798	Term Loan, 6.56%, Maturing February 28, 2014		1,657,804
		HealthSouth Corp.		
	500,000	Term Loan, 6.91%, Maturing March 10, 2013		468,750
		IM U.S. Holdings, LLC		·
	323,375	Term Loan, 6.84%, Maturing June 26, 2014		299,122
	•			•

	Invacare Corp.	
237,000	Term Loan, 5.50%, Maturing February 12, 2013	225,298
	inVentiv Health, Inc.	
14,286	Term Loan, 0.00%, Maturing July 6, 2014 (4)	13,554
234,536	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing July 6, 2014	223,102
	LifeCare Holdings, Inc.	
219,938	Term Loan, 9.10%, Maturing August 11, 2012	192,995

		MultiPlan Merger Corp.		
	496,338	Term Loan, Maturing April 12, 2013 (2)	\$	475,244
	170,550	National Mentor Holdings, Inc.	Ψ	173,211
	16,800	Term Loan, 5.32%, Maturing June 29, 2013		15,582
	278,952	Term Loan, 6.73%, Maturing June 29, 2013		258,728
	210,732	Nyco Holdings		230,720
EUR	312,500	Term Loan, 7.01%, Maturing December 29, 2014		376,319
EUR	312,500	Term Loan, 7.76%, Maturing December 29, 2015		378,632
LUK	312,300	P&F Capital S.A.R.L.		376,032
EUR	209,223	Term Loan, 7.34%, Maturing February 21, 2014		300,981
EUR	65,049	Term Loan, 7.34%, Maturing February 21, 2014		93,576
EUR	125,235	Term Loan, 7.34%, Maturing February 21, 2014		180,158
EUR	100,494	Term Loan, 7.34%, Maturing February 21, 2014		144,566
EUR	94,595	Term Loan, 7.84%, Maturing February 21, 2015		136,880
EUR	35,135	Term Loan, 7.84%, Maturing February 21, 2015		50,841
EUR	72,973	Term Loan, 7.84%, Maturing February 21, 2015		105,593
EUR	297,297	Term Loan, 7.84%, Maturing February 21, 2015		430,196
LUK	291,291	ReAble Therapeutics Finance, LLC		450,170
	1,450,883	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing November 16, 2013		1,381,966
	1,430,663	Select Medical Holding Corp.		1,361,900
	984,994	Term Loan, 6.99%, Maturing February 24, 2012		920,149
	904,994	Vanguard Health Holding Co., LLC		920,149
	729,617	Term Loan, 5.52%, Maturing September 23, 2011		677,632
	729,017	Viant Holdings, Inc.		077,032
	100 717	Term Loan, Maturing June 25, 2014 (2)		451,989
	498,747	Term Loan, Maturing June 25, 2014 (2)	\$	19,769,365
Home Furnishings 0.4	0/-		Ф	19,709,303
nome rui msimgs 0.4	170	Interline Brands, Inc.		
	278,370	Term Loan, 5.02%, Maturing June 23, 2013	\$	272,454
	192,391	Term Loan, 5.02%, Maturing June 23, 2013	φ	188,303
	192,391	Oreck Corp.		100,505
	448,341	Term Loan, 7.66%, Maturing February 2, 2012 (3)		260,038
	440,341	Simmons Co.		200,036
	806,601	Term Loan, 5.75%, Maturing December 19, 2011		737,032
	000,001	Term Loan, 5.75 %, Waturing December 15, 2011	\$	1,457,827
Industrial Equipment	1 40%		Ф	1,457,027
muusti iai Equipment	1.4 70	Alliance Laundry Holdings, LLC		
	254,395	Term Loan, 7.63%, Maturing January 27, 2012	\$	245,491
	234,373	Brand Energy & Infrastructure Service, Inc.	φ	243,491
	199,500	Term Loan, 7.91%, Maturing February 7, 2014		192,019
	199,500	CEVA Group PLC U.S.		192,019
	764,450			745 220
	267,079	Term Loan, 6.28%, Maturing January 4, 2014 Term Loan, 7.24%, Maturing January 4, 2014		745,339 260,402
	262,114	Term Loan, 7.83%, Maturing January 4, 2014		255,561
	202,114	EPD Holdings (Goodyear Engineering Products)		233,301
	610,969	• • • • •		552 027
		Term loan, 5.75%, Maturing July 13, 2014		552,927
	87,500 200,000	Term Loan, 5.78%, Maturing July 13, 2014		79,188
	200,000	Term Loan, 8.99%, Maturing July 13, 2015		178,083
	267 500	Generac Acquisition Corp. Term Loan, 7.20%, Maturing November 7, 2013		200.750
	367,500			309,750
	174 002	Gleason Corp.		162 744
	174,893	Term Loan, 6.56%, Maturing June 30, 2013		163,744
	20,089	Term Loan, 6.56%, Maturing June 30, 2013		18,808
	520 126	John Maneely Co.		462.070
	539,136	Term Loan, 7.77%, Maturing December 8, 2013		463,272
	0.45.750	Polypore, Inc.		707 110
	845,750	Term Loan, 5.52%, Maturing July 3, 2014		797,119
		Sequa Corp.		

477,917
213,300
4,952,920

Insurance 0.8%				
		CCC Information Services Group, Inc.		
56	8,938	Term Loan, 7.36%, Maturing February 10, 2013	\$	554,715
		Conseco, Inc.		
79	0,007	Term Loan, 5.27%, Maturing October 10, 2013		715,614
		Crawford & Company		
35	2,143	Term Loan, 7.58%, Maturing October 31, 2013		329,254
		Crump Group, Inc.		
26	6,023	Term Loan, 7.83%, Maturing August 4, 2014		262,033
		Hub International Holdings, Inc.		
	2,317	Term Loan, 6.07%, Maturing June 13, 2014 (4)		119,086
58	9,359	Term Loan, 7.33%, Maturing June 13, 2014		530,423
		U.S.I. Holdings Corp.		
22	3,875	Term Loan, 7.58%, Maturing May 4, 2014		207,644
	. = ~		\$	2,718,769
Leisure Goods/Activities/Movies 3	3.5%	A 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		
20	T 012	24 Hour Fitness Worldwide, Inc.	Φ.	262.100
39	7,913	Term Loan, 6.95%, Maturing June 8, 2012	\$	362,100
5.0	2.500	AMC Entertainment, Inc.		520.025
56	3,500	Term Loan, 5.04%, Maturing January 26, 2013		520,835
50	4.051	Bombardier Recreational Product		405.410
52	4,051	Term Loan, 6.43%, Maturing June 28, 2013		497,412
0.6	1.075	Cedar Fair, L.P.		000.205
86	1,875	Term Loan, 5.27%, Maturing August 30, 2012		808,385
1.02	2.021	Cinemark, Inc.		047.461
1,03	2,831	Term Loan, 6.51%, Maturing October 5, 2013		947,461
55	0.000	HEI Acquisition, LLC		£20,000
	0,000	Term Loan, 7.31%, Maturing April 13, 2014		539,000
2.12	7 112	Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Holdings, Inc.		1 000 964
2,12	7,113	Term Loan, 8.11%, Maturing April 8, 2012 National CineMedia, LLC		1,900,864
1 22	5,000	Term Loan, 6.87%, Maturing February 13, 2015		1,117,813
1,22	5,000	Red Football, Ltd.		1,117,013
GBP 50	0,000	Term Loan, 8.50%, Maturing August 16, 2014		953,826
	0,000	Term Loan, 8.75%, Maturing August 16, 2015		958,796
GBI 30	0,000	Revolution Studios Distribution Co., LLC		750,770
35	2,717	Term Loan, 7.03%, Maturing December 21, 2014		340,372
	5,000	Term Loan, 10.28%, Maturing June 21, 2015		219,375
	2,000	Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.		217,575
84	5,750	Term Loan, 7.25%, Maturing April 30, 2015		733,101
01	_,,	Universal City Development Partners, Ltd.		.55,101
92.	5,455	Term Loan, 6.45%, Maturing June 9, 2011		907,524
72	- ,	WMG Acquisition Corp.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
97	0,574	Term Loan, 6.73%, Maturing February 28, 2011		909,913
		Zuffa, LLC		
49	7,500	Term Loan, 6.94%, Maturing June 20, 2016		410,438
		΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄ ΄	\$	12,127,215
Lodging and Casinos 2.3%			·	, ,
-		Bally Technologies, Inc.		
92	7,423	Term Loan, 7.36%, Maturing September 5, 2009	\$	922,496
		Gala Electric Casinos, Ltd.		
GBP 83	2,843	Term Loan, 8.81%, Maturing December 12, 2013		1,512,197
	2,902	Term Loan, 9.29%, Maturing December 12, 2014		1,519,665
		Isle of Capri Casinos, Inc.		
17	2,059	Term Loan, 5.04%, Maturing November 30, 2013		151,412
57	0,661	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing November 30, 2013		502,182
22	8,265	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing November 30, 2013		200,873
		New World Gaming Partners, Ltd.		

291,667	Term Loan, 7.23%, Maturing June 30, 2014	258,854
58,333	Term Loan, 7.23%, Maturing June 30, 2014	51,771
	Penn National Gaming, Inc.	
1,383,162	Term Loan, 5.66%, Maturing October 3, 2012	1,354,116

		Venetian Casino Resort/Las Vegas Sands Inc.		
	230,000	Term Loan, 0.00%, Maturing May 14, 2014 (4)	\$	204,341
	915,400	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing May 23, 2014	Ψ	813,276
	713,100	VML US Finance, LLC		013,270
	133,333	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing May 25, 2012		124,620
	266,667	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing May 25, 2012		249,241
	200,007	Term Loan, 7.06%, Maturing May 23, 2013	¢	7,865,044
Nonferrous Metals/Minerals	0.7%		\$	7,005,044
Nomerrous Metals/Minerals	0.7%	Europey International Inc		
	167 105	Euramax International, Inc.	¢	124.005
	167,105	Term Loan, 12.65%, Maturing June 28, 2013	\$	124,995
	82,895	Term Loan, 12.65%, Maturing June 28, 2013		62,005
	720 275	Murray Energy Corp.		(0(552
	729,375	Term Loan, 7.91%, Maturing January 28, 2010		696,553
		Neo Material Technologies, Inc.		
	268,125	Term Loan, 8.31%, Maturing August 31, 2009		268,125
		Noranda Aluminum Acquisition		
	563,750	Term Loan, 6.91%, Maturing May 18, 2014		533,213
		Novelis, Inc.		
	178,789	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing June 28, 2014		164,709
	393,336	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing June 28, 2014		362,361
		Thompson Creek Metals Co.		
	225,674	Term Loan, 9.40%, Maturing October 26, 2012		223,418
	ŕ	, , ,	\$	2,435,379
Oil and Gas 1.4%				, ,
		Atlas Pipeline Partners L.P.		
	415,000	Term Loan, 6.03%, Maturing July 20, 2014	\$	408,256
	113,000	Concho Resources, Inc.	Ψ	100,230
	321,713	Term Loan, 9.23%, Maturing March 27, 2012		316,083
	321,713	Dresser, Inc.		310,003
	300,000	Term Loan, 11.13%, Maturing May 4, 2015		271,500
	300,000			271,300
	1 000 000	Dynegy Holdings, Inc.		022 500
	1,000,000	Term Loan, Maturing April 2, 2013 (2)		923,500
	200.000	Enterprise GP Holdings L.P.		207.275
	300,000	Term Loan, 6.75%, Maturing October 31, 2014		297,375
		Hercules Offshore, Inc.		
	199,000	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing July 6, 2013		191,065
		Kinder Morgan, Inc.		
	1,012,932	Term Loan, 4.78%, Maturing May 21, 2014		1,003,839
		Niska Gas Storage		
	69,127	Term Loan, 6.74%, Maturing May 13, 2011		65,325
	41,328	Term Loan, 6.81%, Maturing May 13, 2011		39,055
	61,010	Term Loan, 7.32%, Maturing May 13, 2011		57,655
	376,888	Term Loan, 7.32%, Maturing May 12, 2013		356,159
		Primary Natural Resources, Inc.		
	491,250	Term Loan, 6.00%, Maturing July 28, 2010 (3)		482,506
		Targa Resources, Inc.		
	87,903	Term Loan, 6.84%, Maturing October 31, 2012		84,431
	234,015	Term Loan, 6.90%, Maturing October 31, 2012		224,772
	- 7: -	,,	\$	4,721,521
Publishing 5.3%			4	.,,
		American Media Operations, Inc.		
	1,000,000	Term Loan, 8.25%, Maturing January 31, 2013	\$	940,000
	1,000,000	CanWest MediaWorks, Ltd.	φ	9 4 0,000
	222 875			216.020
	223,875	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing July 10, 2014		216,039
	175 000	GateHouse Media Operating, Inc.		140.000
	175,000	Term Loan, 6.50%, Maturing August 28, 2014		140,000
	375,000	Term Loan, 7.07%, Maturing August 28, 2014		300,000
		Idearc, Inc.		

	2,826,475	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing November 17, 2014	2,583,655
		Laureate Education, Inc.	
	59,434	Term Loan, 0.00%, Maturing August 17, 2014 (4)	55,571
	400,180	Term Loan, 8.73%, Maturing August 17, 2014	374,168
		MediaNews Group, Inc.	
	270,875	Term Loan, 7.08%, Maturing August 2, 2013	228,889
		Mediannuaire Holding	
EUR	250,000	Term Loan, 7.18%, Maturing October 10, 2014	335,171
EUR	250,000	Term Loan, 7.68%, Maturing October 10, 2015	337,762

	430,000	Term Loan, 7.12%, Waturing August 14, 2008	\$ \$	441,000 441,000
	450,000	Term Loan, 7.12%, Maturing August 14, 2008	\$	441,000
		RailAmerica, Inc.		
Rail Industries 0.1%			Ψ	0,100,110
	213,730	Tom Dom, 1.10%, maturing Hoveline 3, 2012	\$	6,485,110
	243,750	Term Loan, 7.16%, Maturing November 3, 2012		222,422
	491,250	Term Loan, 7.06%, Maturing November 3, 2012		448,266
	1,957,047	Young Broadcasting, Inc.		1,014,707
	1,957,047	Term Loan, 5.49%, Maturing September 29, 2014 (4)		1,614,767
	67,953	Term Loan, 0.00%, Maturing September 29, 2014 (4)		56,068
	200,000	Term Loan, 5.77%, Maturing March 29, 2009		189,375
EUR	250,000	Term Loan, 6.65%, Maturing January 19, 2016 Univision Communications, Inc.		326,573
EUR	250,000			324,891
ELID	250,000	Tyrol Acquisition 2 SAS Term Loan, 6.19%, Maturing January 19, 2015		224 901
	368,016	Term Loan, 7.58%, Maturing June 21, 2013		356,976
	260.016	SFX Entertainment		256.076
	850,000	Term Loan, 7.51%, Maturing January 15, 2012		799,000
	050.000	Paxson Communications Corp.		700.000
	691,250	Term Loan, 6.60%, Maturing January 3, 2014		605,337
	(01.250	PanAmSat Corp.		605.005
	364,716	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing October 1, 2012		331,891
	385,182	Term Loan, 6.58%, Maturing October 1, 2012		350,515
		Nexstar Broadcasting, Inc.		
	173,687	Term Loan, 7.11%, Maturing February 16, 2014		164,279
		NEP II, Inc.		
	486,844	Term Loan, 8.47%, Maturing May 5, 2013		445,462
		CMP KC, LLC		
	269,500	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing December 22, 2011	\$	249,288
		Block Communications, Inc.		
Radio and Television 1.9%			Ŧ	-,,-
	,	, , 8	\$	18,235,989
EUR	450,000	Term Loan, 7.77%, Maturing June 30, 2014		646,758
EUR	450,000	Term Loan, 7.27%, Maturing June 30, 2013		642,475
	1,000,000	YBR Acquisition BV		1,501,050
EUR	1,000,000	Term Loan, 7.00%, Maturing September 27, 2014		1,381,030
EUK	877,070	Xsys, Inc.		1,177,000
EUR	877,676	World Directories Acquisition Term Loan, 6.72%, Maturing May 31, 2014		1,177,660
	796,000	Term Loan, 7.91%, Maturing May 17, 2014		600,284
	490,000	Term Loan, 7.40%, Maturing May 17, 2009		458,916
	400.000	Tribune Co.		450.016
GBP	437,625	Term Loan, 7.68%, Maturing March 23, 2015		806,924
		Trader Media Corp.		
	723,188	Term Loan, 6.20%, Maturing July 5, 2014		652,878
		TL Acquisitions, Inc.		
	652,602	Term Loan, 7.02%, Maturing January 9, 2010		590,605
		SP Newsprint Co.		
EUR	1,574,725	Term Loan, 6.18%, Maturing May 25, 2012		2,238,276
	,	Seat Pagine Gialle SpA		, .
	918,063	Term Loan, 7.19%, Maturing March 2, 2014		805,217
	213,307	Reader s Digest Association		190,021
	213,507	Term Loan, 7.92%, Maturing June 29, 2013		190,021
	1,975,006	Term Loan, 6.96%, Maturing August 9, 2013 Philadelphia Newspapers, LLC		1,830,720
	1.075.006	Nielsen Finance, LLC		1 920 720
	723,779	Term Loan, 7.65%, Maturing March 4, 2011	\$	702,970
		Nebraska Book Co., Inc.		
		Nebusalas Deels Co. Inc.		

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

Retailers (Except Food and Drug)	1.1%		
		American Achievement Corp.	
	350,915	Term Loan, 6.70%, Maturing March 25, 2011	\$ 329,860
		Harbor Freight Tools USA, Inc.	
	474,699	Term Loan, 6.21%, Maturing July 15, 2010	433,163
		Josten s Corp.	
	375,045	Term Loan, 6.72%, Maturing October 4, 2011	368,170
		Mapco Express, Inc.	
	441,745	Term Loan, 6.01%, Maturing April 28, 2011	420,762
		Neiman Marcus Group, Inc.	
	205,696	Term Loan, 6.69%, Maturing April 5, 2013	189,583
		12.	

	214.212	Orbitz Worldwide, Inc.	Ф	200.061
	314,213	Term Loan, 7.05%, Maturing July 25, 2014	\$	289,861
	200,000	Oriental Trading Co., Inc.		252,000
	300,000	Term Loan, 9.28%, Maturing January 31, 2013		252,000
	456,290	Term Loan, 6.42%, Maturing July 31, 2013 Rent-A-Center, Inc.		406,098
	298,514	Term Loan, 6.72%, Maturing November 15, 2012		274,259
	290,314	Rover Acquisition Corp.		214,239
	420,750	Term Loan, 6.28%, Maturing October 26, 2013		391,999
	420,730	Savers, Inc.		371,777
	101,507	Term Loan, 7.58%, Maturing August 11, 2012		98,462
	110,481	Term Loan, 7.58%, Maturing August 11, 2012		107,167
	,	The Yankee Candle Company, Inc.		,
	184,654	Term Loan, 6.83%, Maturing February 6, 2014		167,343
	· ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$	3,728,727
Steel 0.3%				, ,
		Algoma Acquisition Corp.		
	708,611	Term Loan, 7.33%, Maturing June 20, 2013	\$	660,780
		Niagara Corp.		
	298,500	Term Loan, 8.27%, Maturing June 29, 2014		262,680
			\$	923,460
Surface Transport 0.	.5%			
		Oshkosh Truck Corp.		
	543,125	Term Loan, 6.90%, Maturing December 6, 2013	\$	504,489
		SIRVA Worldwide, Inc.		
	322,082	Term Loan, 11.82%, Maturing December 1, 2010		168,959
	1.501.160	Swift Transportation Co., Inc.		1.164.000
	1,501,163	Term Loan, 8.19%, Maturing May 10, 2014	ф	1,164,809
The last account of the same	2.50		\$	1,838,257
Telecommunications	2.7%	Alltell Communication		
	500,000	Term Loan, Maturing May 16, 2014(2)	\$	456,667
	500,000	Term Loan, Maturing May 16, 2014(2)	Ф	481,875
	273,063	Term Loan, 6.77%, Maturing May 16, 2015		224,188
	273,003	Asurion Corp.		224,100
	425,000	Term Loan, 7.88%, Maturing July 13, 2012		387,813
	250,000	Term Loan, 11.18%, Maturing January 13, 2013		227,031
	230,000	BCM Luxembourg, Ltd.		227,031
EUR	375,000	Term Loan, 6.63%, Maturing September 30, 2014		514,509
EUR	375,000	Term Loan, 6.88%, Maturing September 30, 2015		517,495
EUR	500,000	Term Loan, 9.00%, Maturing March 31, 2016		681,816
	·	Centennial Cellular Operating Co., LLC		·
	692,695	Term Loan, 6.85%, Maturing February 9, 2011		671,625
		CommScope, Inc.		
	300,000	Term Loan, 7.06%, Maturing November 19, 2014		294,000
		FairPoint Communications, Inc.		
	1,000,000	Term Loan, 6.63%, Maturing February 8, 2012		986,750
		Intelsat Bermuda, Ltd.		
	300,000	Term Loan, 7.13%, Maturing February 1, 2014		293,875
		Intelsat Subsidiary Holding Co.		
	296,250	Term Loan, 6.35%, Maturing July 3, 2013		278,179
an n		IPC Systems, Inc.		
GBP	298,500	Term Loan, 8.26%, Maturing May 31, 2014		494,020
CDD	227.000	Macquarie UK Broadcast Ventures, Ltd.		20171
GBP	225,000	Term Loan, 7.95%, Maturing December 26, 2014		394,742
	400.040	NTelos, Inc.		465.001
	480,248	Term Loan, 5.53%, Maturing August 24, 2011		465,991
		Stratos Global Corp.		

321,750	Term Loan, 7.59%, Maturing February 13, 2012	307,271
	Telesat Canada, Inc.	
13,779	Term Loan, 6.90%, Maturing October 22, 2014(4)	13,072
161,210	Term Loan, 6.92%, Maturing October 22, 2014	152,948
	Triton PCS, Inc.	
729,323	Term Loan, 6.53%, Maturing November 18, 2009	725,677
	Windstream Corp.	
873,219	Term Loan, 5.50%, Maturing July 17, 2013	849,479
		\$ 9,419,023

Utilities 1.4%			
	AE	I Finance Holding, LLC	
75,4	Rev	volving Loan, 7.83%, Maturing March 30, 2012	\$ 69,758
562,2	290 Ter	m Loan, 7.83%, Maturing March 30, 2014	520,118
	Ast	toria Generating Co.	
375,0	000 Ter	m Loan, 8.66%, Maturing August 23, 2013	358,359
	BR	SP, LLC	
513,8	R66 Ter	m Loan, 7.91%, Maturing July 13, 2009	503,589
	Cal	lpine Corp.	
248,1	.25 DIF	P Loan, 7.08%, Maturing March 30, 2009	222,072
	Co	vanta Energy Corp.	
164,9	748 Ter	m Loan, 6.10%, Maturing February 9, 2014	155,601
332,5	Ter	m Loan, 6.57%, Maturing February 9, 2014	313,695
	Ma	ch General, LLC	
18,7	750 Ter	m Loan, 6.83%, Maturing February 22, 2013	16,950
179,4		m Loan, 7.00%, Maturing February 22, 2014	162,212
		G Energy, Inc.	
556,4	132 Ter	m Loan, 6.48%, Maturing June 1, 2014	512,543
1,205,0	95 Ter	m Loan, 6.58%, Maturing June 1, 2014	1,110,043
	TX	U Texas Competitive Electric Holdings Co., LLC	
224,4	Ter	m Loan, 8.40%, Maturing October 10, 2014	206,967
723,1	.88 Ter	m Loan, 8.40%, Maturing October 10, 2014	669,277
			\$ 4,821,184
Total Senior Floating-Rate Inte	erests		
(identified cost \$249,908,0)51)		\$ 238,730,538

Mortgage Pass-Throughs 65.3%

Principal		
Amount (000 s omitted)	Security	Value
(ooo sommed)	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.:	, and
\$ 2,697	6.00%, with maturity at 2014 (5)	\$ 2,788,797
2,870	6.15%, with maturity at 2027 (5)	3,035,617
21,060	6.50%, with various maturities to 2030 (5)	22,399,243
19,074	7.00%, with various maturities to 2024 (5)	20,638,725
5,211	7.50%, with maturity at 2024 (5)	5,844,426
13,402	8.00%, with various maturities to 2031 (5)	15,094,796
7,657	8.50%, with various maturities to 2031	8,655,991
733	9.00%, with maturity at 2031	815,436
801	9.50%, with various maturities to 2022	918,409
1,858	11.50%, with maturity at 2019 (6)	2,123,279
		\$ 82,314,719
	Federal National Mortgage Association:	
\$ 3,212	5.50%, with maturity at 2029 (5)	\$ 3,302,521
4,119	6.334%, with maturity at 2032 (5) (7)	4,202,164
14,066	6.50%, with various maturities to 2028 (5)	14,800,897
9,691	7.00%, with various maturities to 2032 (5)	10,548,554
18,054	7.50%, with various maturities to 2031 (5)	20,075,779
5,617	8.00%, with various maturities to 2029 (5)	6,285,242
1,259	8.50%, with maturity at 2027	1,441,328
2,305	9.00%, with various maturities to 2029	2,702,545
7,703	9.50%, with various maturities to 2031 (5)	8,960,462
2,331	10.00%, with various maturities to 2031	2,727,928
		\$ 75,047,420

	Government National Mortgage Association:	
\$ 14,787	7.50%, with various maturities to 2025 (5)	\$ 16,554,915
8,111	8.00%, with various maturities to 2027 (5)	9,230,783
4,189	9.00%, with maturity at 2026	4,955,385
784	9.50%, with maturity at 2025	924,156
1,068	11.00%, with maturity at 2018	1,236,330
		\$ 32,901,569

		Collateralized Mortgage Obligations:	
\$	7,386	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., Series 2113, Class QG, 6.00%, 1/15/29 (5)	\$ 7,691,452
	3,420	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., Series 2137, Class Z, 6.00%, 3/15/29 (5)	3,541,560
	4,173	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., Series 2167, Class BZ, 7.00%, 6/15/29 (5)	4,421,510
	5,193	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., Series 2182, Class ZB, 8.00%, 9/15/29 (5)	5,655,433
	287	Federal National Mortgage Association, Series 1989-89, Class H, 9.00%, 11/25/19	318,573
	617	Federal National Mortgage Association, Series 1991-122, Class N, 7.50%, 9/25/21	660,103
	5,798	Federal National Mortgage Association, Series 1993-84, Class M, 7.50%, 6/25/23 (5)	6,304,829
	1,745	Federal National Mortgage Association, Series 1997-28, Class ZA, 7.50%, 4/20/27	1,883,877
	1,524	Federal National Mortgage Association, Series 1997-38, Class N, 8.00%, 5/20/27	1,661,871
	2,787	Federal National Mortgage Association., Series G-33, Class PT, 7.00%, 10/25/21 (5)	2,939,093
			\$ 35,078,301
0 (ge Pass-Throughs		
(identified o	cost \$216,877,262)		\$ 225,342,009

Asset Backed Securities 0.1%

Principal Amount (000 s omitt

Allio	uni			
(000 s o	omitted)	Security		Value
		Centurion CDO 9 Ltd., Series 2005-9A, C	Class Note	
\$	500	9.35%, 7/17/19	\$	404,124
Total Asset Back	xed Securities			
(identified cost	t \$500,000)		\$	404,124

Corporate Bonds & Notes 2.1%

Principal

	Security		Value
0.2%			
	Grohe Holding, Variable Rate		
500	7.451%, 1/15/14 (7)	\$	649,613
		\$	649,613
on 0.8%			
	Iesy Hessen & ISH NRW, Variable Rate		
2,000	7.258%, 4/15/13 (7)	\$	2,753,916
		\$	2,753,916
0.3%			
	ING Bank NV		
1,000	10.80%, 6/12/08	\$	993,174
	0.2% 500 on 0.8% 2,000	0.2% Grohe Holding, Variable Rate 500 7.451%, 1/15/14 (7) on 0.8% Iesy Hessen & ISH NRW, Variable Rate 2,000 7.258%, 4/15/13 (7) 0.3% ING Bank NV	0.2% Grohe Holding, Variable Rate 500 7.451%, 1/15/14 (7) \$ on 0.8% Iesy Hessen & ISH NRW, Variable Rate 2,000 7.258%, 4/15/13 (7) \$ \$ 0.3% ING Bank NV

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

			\$ 993,174
Index Linked Notes	0.8%		
		JP Morgan Chilean Inflation Linked Note	
\$	2,000	7.433%, 11/17/15 (8)	\$ 2,687,637
			\$ 2,687,637
Telecommunications	0.0%		
		Qwest Corp., Sr. Notes, Variable Rate	
\$	200	8.241%, 6/15/13 (7)	\$ 196,000
			\$ 196,000
Total Corporate Bon	ds & Notes		
(identified cost \$6	6,298,925)		\$ 7,280,340

Sovereign Issues 8.2%

Pr	in	cipal				
Amount						
000	_					

	Amount	9 . 4	¥7.1
	(000 s omitted)	Security	Value
EGP	25	Egyptian Treasury Bill 0.00%, 2/5/08	\$ 4,499
EGP	7,725	0.00%, 2/12/08	1,388,552
EGP	•	•	
EGP EGP	3,325	0.00%, 3/4/08	595,620
_	1,375	0.00%, 3/11/08	246,024
EGP	45,150	0.00%, 4/1/08	8,050,645
EGP	4,725	0.00%, 4/8/08	841,522
EGP	25	0.00%, 4/22/08	4,442
EGP	10,625	0.00%, 5/6/08	1,883,357
EGP	2,500	0.00%, 5/20/08	442,076
EGP	11,675	0.00%, 7/1/08	2,049,417
EGP	6,975	0.00%, 7/8/08	1,222,864
CITC	720	Ghanaian Treasury Bond	754.001
GHS	730	13.69%, 3/15/10 (3)	754,991
GHS	320	13.50%, 3/29/10 (3)	331,502
GHS	1,300	13.67%, 6/15/12 (3)	1,296,151
	24.274.000	Indonesia Government	2 ((2 000
IDR	31,371,000	11.00%, 12/15/12	3,662,890
		Kenyan Treasury Bond	
KES	4,050	9.50%, 3/23/09	56,243
		Nota Do Tesouro Nacional	
BRL	2,664	6.00%, 5/15/15 (11)	1,385,842
		Republic of Nigeria	
NGN	45,900	0.00%, 9/4/08 (3)	375,570
NGN	119,000	17.00%, 12/16/08	1,095,738
NGN	39,700	12.00%, 4/28/09	355,340
		Republic of Uganda	
UGX	384,700	0.00%, 10/23/08	203,902
		Republic of Uruguay	
UYU	41,774	5.00%, 9/14/18 (9)	2,153,177
Total Sove	reign Issues		
(identifie	d cost \$28,170,029)		\$ 28,400,364

Call Options Purchased 0.2%

	Principal		Strike	Expiration	
Security	Amoui	nt of Contracts	Price	Date	Value
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3270	1/8/09	\$ 49,633
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.2738	10/2/08	69,647
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.2950	10/10/08	61,586
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.2990	10/16/08	60,053
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3155	10/30/08	54,079
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3195	11/13/08	52,591
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3540	11/26/08	41,331
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3506	12/11/08	42,317
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3375	2/12/09	46,048
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3705	4/8/09	36,374
Euro Call Option	EUR	300,000	1.3745	5/13/09	35,388

South Korean Won Call Option	KRW	1,864,800,000	932.4000	3/3/09	24,112
South Korean Won Call Option	KRW	1,831,000,000	915.5000	6/2/09	17,742
Total Call Options Purchased					
(identified cost \$253,428)					\$ 590,901

Put Options Purchased 0.1%

a		rincipal	Strike	Expiration	
Security	Amoun	t of Contracts	Price	Date	Value
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3270	1/8/09	\$ 3,274
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.2738	10/2/08	1,155
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.2950	10/10/08	1,515
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.2990	10/16/08	1,635
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3155	10/30/08	2,141
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3195	11/13/08	2,403
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3540	11/26/08	3,731
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3506	12/11/08	3,811
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3375	2/12/09	4,077
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3705	4/8/09	6,587
Euro Put Option	EUR	300,000	1.3745	5/13/09	7,400
South Korean Won Put Option	KRW	1,864,800,000	932.4000	3/3/09	54,135
South Korean Won Put Option	KRW	1,831,000,000	915.5000	6/2/09	79,026
Total Put Options Purchased					
(identified cost, \$249,778)					\$ 170,890

Short-Term Investments 0.9%

	Interes	/Principal	
Description	(000	s omitted)	Value
Euro Time Deposit, 4.06%, 2/1/08	EUR	100 \$	148,060
Investment in Cash Management Portfolio, 4.32% (10)	\$	1,368	1,368,152
State Street Bank and Trust Time Deposit, 2.25%, 2/1/08	\$	1,550	1,550,000
Total Short-Term Investments			
(identified cost \$3,066,212)		\$	3,066,212
Gross Investments 146.1%			
(identified cost \$505,323,685)		\$	503,985,378
Less Unfunded Loan Commitments (0.2)%		\$	(675,167)
Net Investments 145.9%			
(identified cost \$504,648,518)		\$	503,310,211
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (45.9)%		\$	(158,383,900)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$	344,926,311

BRL	Brazilian Real
EGP	Egyptian Pound
EUR	Euro
GBP	British Pound Sterling
GHS	Ghanaian Cedi
IDR	Indonesian Rupiah
KES	Kenyan Shilling

KRW South Korean Won

NGN Nigerian Naira

UGX Ugandan Shilling

UYU Uruguayan Peso

(1)

* In U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Senior floating-rate interests often require prepayments from excess cash flows or permit the borrowers to repay at their election. The degree to which borrowers repay, whether as a contractual requirement or at their election, cannot be predicted with accuracy. As a result, the actual remaining maturity may be substantially less than the stated maturities shown. However, it is anticipated that the senior floating-rate interests will have an expected average life of approximately two to three years. The stated interest rate represents the weighted average interest rate of all contracts within the senior loan facility. Senior Loans typically have rates of interest which are redetermined either daily, monthly, quarterly or semi-annually by reference to a base lending rate, plus a premium. These base lending rates are primarily the London-Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), and secondarily the prime rate offered by one or more major United States banks (the Prime Rate) and the certificate of deposit (CD) rate or other base lending rates used by commercial lenders.

17

(2)	This Senior Loan will settle after January 31, 2008, at which time the interest rate will be determined.
(3)	Security valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees.
(4)	Unfunded or partially unfunded loan commitments. The Fund may enter into certain credit agreements whereby all or a portion of which may be unfunded. The Fund obligated to fund these commitments at the borrower s discretion.
(5)	All or a portion of this security was on loan at January 31, 2008.
(6)	Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
(7)	Adjustable rate securities. Rates shown are the rates at period end.
(8)	Security pays 3.8% coupon and accrues principle based on annual increases in the Chilean UF Rate, for an effective yield of 7.433%.
(9)	Bond pays a coupon of 5% on the face at the end of the payment period. Principal grows with the Uruguayan inflation rate. Original face of the bond is UYU $38,030,000$.
(10)	Affiliated investment company available to Eaton Vance portfolios and funds which invests in high quality, U.S. dollar denominated money market instruments. The rate shown is the annualized seven-day yield as of January 31, 2008. Net income allocated from the Investment in Cash Management Portfolio for the fiscal year to date ended January 31, 2008 was \$51,763.
(11)	Bond pays a 6% coupon on the face at the end of the payment period. Principal is adjusted based on the IPCA (Amplifed Consumer Price Index) as determined by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics. The original face is BRL 1,569,000 and the current face is BRL 2,663,680.

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at January 31, 2008 is as follows:

Forward Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts

Sales

Settlement Date(s)	Deliver	In Exchange For	App	nrealized reciation reciation)
2/29/08	British Pound Sterling 7,215,989	United States Dollar 14,334,561	` •	10,638
2/04/08	Canadian Dollar 4,020,000	United States Dollar 4,072,020		85,022
2/11/08	Canadian Dollar 2,957,000	United States Dollar 2,942,933		10,734
2/05/08	Egyptian Pound 297	United States Dollar 54		0
2/01/08	Euro 100,000	United States Dollar 148,600		540
2/29/08	Euro 31,433,448	United States Dollar 46,428,617		(80,855)
2/04/08	Icelandic Krona 135,311,700	Euro 1,397,126		(12,332)
2/05/08	New Zealand Dollar 5,038,110	United States Dollar 3,870,175		(76,102)
2/07/08	South African Rand 35,825,288	United States Dollar 4,965,046		190,693
			\$	128,338

Purchases

Settlement Date(s)	Acquire	In Exchange for	Ард	Unrealized preciation preciation)
2/25/08	Botswana Pula	United States Dollar		
	542,000	86,406	\$	(613)
4/30/08	Botswana Pula	United States Dollar		
	2,160,000	344,520		(7,298)
3/04/08	Brazilian Real	United States Dollar		
	8,650,243	4,833,356		49,316
2/14/08	Egyptian Pound	United States Dollar		
	26,261,325	4,788,455		(61,883)
2/04/08	Euro	United States Dollar		
	70,781	105,301		(503)
2/29/08	Euro	United States Dollar		
	100,000	148,494		(532)
2/04/08	Icelandic Krona	Euro		
	135,311,700	1,467,907		(92,466)

2/11/08	Icelandic Krona 164,572,000	Euro 1,784,617	(116,098)
2/19/08	Icelandic Krona	Euro	(110,098)
	135,311,700	1,406,289	(9,526)
2/25/08	Icelandic Krona	Euro	
	270,623,400	2,785,911	13,820
3/04/08	Icelandic Krona	Euro	
	135,311,700	1,386,618	11,910
2/14/08	Indian Rupee	United States Dollar	
	68,500,000	1,743,003	(4,519)
		19	

2/10/00	T I' D	H '- 10 - D H	
2/19/08	Indian Rupee 68,841,000	United States Dollar 1,745,904	\$ 632
2/25/08	Indian Rupee 137,250,000	United States Dollar 3,475,563	5,110
3/03/08	Indian Rupee 69,042,000	United States Dollar 1,751,446	(1,378)
2/11/08	Indonesian Rupiah 36,929,375,000	United States Dollar 3,891,809	98,890
2/19/08	Indonesian Rupiah 16,026,000,000	United States Dollar 1,683,934	46,310
2/26/08	Indonesian Rupiah 15,000,000,000	United States Dollar 1,611,171	7,015
10/14/08	Kazakh Tenge 251,700,000	United States Dollar 2,013,600	(22,551)
2/22/08	Kenyan Shilling 10,000,000	United States Dollar 144,959	(6,073)
3/05/08	Kenyan Shilling 19,865,000	United States Dollar 318,528	(42,636)
2/11/08	Malaysian Ringgit 13,500,000	United States Dollar 4,118,742	53,421
2/19/08	Malaysian Ringgit 17,300,000	United States Dollar 5,323,241	22,798
2/25/08	Malaysian Ringgit 5,880,000	United States Dollar 1,792,792	24,113
3/03/08	Malaysian Ringgit 17,250,000	United States Dollar 5,285,736	44,025
3/10/08	Malaysian Ringgit 12,000,000	United States Dollar 3,709,772	(2,449)
2/22/08	Mauritian Rupee 11,800,000	United States Dollar 411,293	1,785
2/22/08	Mexican Peso 19,200,000	United States Dollar 1,749,383	22,140
2/28/08	Mexican Peso 18,600,000	United States Dollar 1,701,427	13,738
2/04/08	New Turkish Lira 6,209,291	United States Dollar 5,270,598	13,750
2/28/08	New Turkish Lira 3,687,131	United States Dollar 3,083,848	28,940
2/08/08	Philippine Peso 129,250,000	United States Dollar 3,184,674	1,429
2/15/08	Philippine Peso 129,250,000	United States Dollar 3,155,286	29,197
2/22/08	Philippine Peso 129,250,000	United States Dollar 3,144,845	38,020
2/29/08	Philippine Peso 129,250,000	United States Dollar 3,178,487	2,761
2/07/08	Polish Zloty 11,806,250	Euro 3,269,510	5,346
2/14/08	Polish Zloty 13,061,250	Euro 3,626,815	(9,790)
2/21/08	Polish Zloty 13,061,250	Euro 3,622,490	(4,645)
2/29/08	Polish Zloty 11,806,250	5,022,490 Euro 3,260,629	14,910
2/19/08	Romanian Leu 4,370,000	Euro 1,179,328	(11,229)
4/04/08	4,370,000 Ugandan Shilling 1,124,090,089	United States Dollar 631,919	14,803
	1,127,090,009	0.51,515	14,003

2/07/08	Zambian Kwacha	United States Dollar	
	712,500,000	173,133	14,522
5/07/08	Zambian Kwacha	United States Dollar	
	712,500,000	181,529	3,171
			\$ 187 683

At January 31, 2008, closed forward foreign currency purchases and sales, excluded above, amounted to a receivable of \$208,902 and a payable of \$66,421.

Futures Contracts

Expiration			Aggregate		Net Unrealized
Date	Contracts	Position	Cost	Value	Depreciation
3/08	21 Japan 10 Year				
	Bond	Short	\$ (26,915,567)	\$ (27,252,104)	\$ (336,537)

Description of the underlying instruments to futures contracts: Japanese Government Bonds (JGB) having a maturity of 7 years or more but less than 11 years.

Credit Default Swaps

Counterparty	Reference Entity	Buy/ Sell	Notional Amount (000 s omitted)		Pay/Receive Annual Fixed Rate	Termination Date	A	et Unrealized Appreciation Depreciation)
Credit Suisse First	Turkey	ř		ĺ				• /
Boston, Inc.:	(Republic of)	Buy	\$	10,000	2.01%	3/20/10	\$	(182,512)
,	1 /	,		,				, , ,
	Philippines							
	(Republic of the)	Buy		5,000	2.15	9/20/11		(62,459)
	•	•		·				, , ,
	Italy	Buy		6,800	0.20	12/20/16		130,432
	·	•						
	Turkey							
	(Republic of)	Buy		880	2.11	1/20/13		(2,750)
JPMorgan Chase								
Bank:	Indonesia	Buy		10,000	2.09	9/20/11		(99,999)
	Philippines							
	(Republic of the)	Buy		5,000	2.17	9/20/11		(65,824)
	Turkey							
	(Republic of)	Buy		10,000	2.00	3/20/10		(180,449)
	Turkey							
	(Republic of)	Buy		3,740	2.12	1/20/13		(13,337)
Barclays Bank	Turkey							
PLC:	(Republic of)	Buy		900	2.13	1/20/13		(3,606)
	Turkey							
	(Republic of)	Buy		1,100	2.12	1/20/13		(3,922)
							\$	(484,426)

Interest Rate Swaps

Edgar Filing: Golden West Brewing Company, Inc. - Form POS AM

Notional Amount	Fund Pay/Receive Floating Rate	Floating Rate Index	Annual Fixed Rate	Termination Date		Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
12,000,000						
MYR	Pay	KLIBOR	3.85%	3/27/12	\$	5,975
BRL	Pay	Deposit Rate	12.73%	1/2/12		5,848
4,309,749		Brazilian Interbank				
BRL	Pay	Deposit Rate	10.35%	1/2/12		(235,602)
10,259,445		Brazilian Interbank				
BRL	Pay	Deposit Rate	11.34%	1/2/09		(47,780)
80,500,000						
INR	Receive	MIBOR	7.85%	3/30/12		(94,840)
					\$	(366,395)
	Amount 12,000,000 MYR 3,693,637 BRL 4,309,749 BRL 10,259,445 BRL 80,500,000	Notional Amount Pay/Receive Floating Rate 12,000,000 Pay MYR Pay 3,693,637 Pay BRL Pay 4,309,749 Pay BRL Pay 10,259,445 Pay 80,500,000 Pay	Notional AmountPay/Receive Floating RateFloating Rate Index12,000,000 MYRPayKLIBOR3,693,637 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate4,309,749 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate10,259,445 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate80,500,000	Notional AmountPay/Receive Floating RateFloating Rate IndexFixed Rate12,000,000 MYRPayKLIBOR3.85%3,693,637 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate12.73%4,309,749 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate10.35%10,259,445 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate11.34%80,500,000	Notional AmountPay/Receive Floating Rate Floating Rate IndexFixed RateTermination Date12,000,000 MYRPayKLIBOR3.85%3/27/123,693,637 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate12.73%1/2/124,309,749 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate10.35%1/2/1210,259,445 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate11.34%1/2/0980,500,000	Notional AmountPay/Receive Floating RateFloating Rate IndexFixed RateTermination Date12,000,000 MYRPayKLIBOR3.85%3/27/12\$3,693,637 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate12.73%1/2/124,309,749 BRLBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate10.35%1/2/1210,259,445 BRLPayBrazilian Interbank Deposit Rate11.34%1/2/0980,500,000 INRReceiveMIBOR7.85%3/30/12

At January 31, 2008, the Fund had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

BRL Brazilian Real

INR Indian Rupee

MYR Malaysian Ringgit

KLIBOR Kuala Lumpur Interbank Offered Rate

MIBOR Mumbai Interbank Offered Rate

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of the Fund at January 31, 2008, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$ 506,086,302
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 12,476,033
Gross unrealized depreciation	(15,252,124)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$ (2,776,091)

Item 2. Controls and Procedures

(a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this Form N-Q has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this Form N-Q has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter for which the report is being filed that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance Short Duration Diversified Income Fund

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield

Payson F. Swaffield

President

Date: March 11, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield

Payson F. Swaffield

President

Date: March 11, 2008

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell

Barbara E. Campbell

Treasurer

Date: March 11, 2008