UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP Form 10-Q May 08, 2007

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 1 for the transition period from to	3 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
	 e Number: 000-25597
	dings Corporation
(Exact Name of Registra	ant as Specified in Its Charter)
OREGON	93-1261319
(State or Other Jurisdiction	(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
of Incorporation or Organization)	
	bia Street, Suite 1200
	Oregon 97258
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Executive Offices)(Zip Code)
	727-4100
	Number, Including Area Code)
•	led all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of r such shorter period that the registrant was required to file
such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requ	
	Yes o No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large a	accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	erated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):
	elerated filer o Non-accelerated filer
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell c	company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes b No
Indicate the number of shares outstanding for each of the	e issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practical
date:	
Common stock, no par value: 57,868,	888 shares outstanding as of April 30, 2007

#### UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION FORM 10-Q Table of Contents

PART I.FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	34
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	35
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	36
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	36
Item 1A. Risk Factors	36
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	36
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	36
Item 4. Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	36
Item 5. Other Information	36
Item 6. Exhibits	37
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	38
EXHIBIT INDEX  EXHIBIT 3.2  EXHIBIT 31.1  EXHIBIT 31.2  EXHIBIT 31.3  EXHIBIT 32  2	39

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)**

## UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except shares)

	March 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks Temporary investments	\$ 140,986 87,877	\$ 169,769 165,879
Total cash and cash equivalents Investment securities	228,863	335,648
Trading	3,010	4,204
Available for sale, at fair value	786,301	715,187
Held to maturity, at amortized cost	8,698	8,762
Loans held for sale	16,515	16,053
Loans and leases	5,392,137	5,361,862
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(60,263)	(60,090)
Net loans and leases	5,331,874	5,301,772
Restricted equity securities	15,510	15,255
Premises and equipment, net	100,189	101,830
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	677,854	679,493
Mortgage servicing rights, net	9,524	9,952
Other assets	159,700	156,080
Total assets	\$7,338,038	\$ 7,344,236
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Deposits		
Noninterest bearing	\$ 1,180,536	\$ 1,222,107
Interest bearing	4,650,369	4,618,187
Total deposits	5,830,905	5,840,294
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	48,434	47,985
Term debt	7,461	9,513
Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value	100,076	
Junior subordinated debentures, at amortized cost	105,480	203,688
Other liabilities	77,323	86,545
Total liabilities	6,169,679	6,188,025

#### **COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 5)**

#### SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Preferred stock, no par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding Common stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; issued and

outstanding: 58,223,810 in 2007 and 58,080,171 in 2006

Retained earnings

Accumulated other comprehensive loss

933,064

930,867

242,870

234,783

(7,575)

(9,439)

Total shareholders equity 1,168,359 1,156,211

Total liabilities and shareholders equity \$7,338,038 \$ 7,344,236

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

3

### UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2007	2006
INTEREST INCOME		
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 103,981	\$ 73,120
Interest and dividends on investment securities	<b></b> 10	c = 4.4
Taxable	7,519	6,711
Exempt from federal income tax	1,228	744
Dividends	65	44
Interest on temporary investments	894	127
Total interest income	113,687	80,746
INTEREST EXPENSE		
Interest on deposits	41,031	21,038
Interest on securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased	403	2,389
Interest on term debt	80	28
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	3,534	3,012
Total interest expense	45,048	26,467
Net interest income	68,639	54,279
PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES	83	21
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	68,556	54,258
NON-INTEREST INCOME		
Service charges on deposit accounts	7,052	5,484
Brokerage commissions and fees	2,417	2,368
Mortgage banking revenue, net	1,799	1,844
Net gain on sale of investment securities	5	
Other income	2,363	2,506
Total non-interest income	13,636	12,202
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE		
Salaries and employee benefits	28,269	21,801
Net occupancy and equipment	8,826	7,168
Communications	1,803	1,465
Marketing	847	1,325
Services	4,604	3,403
Supplies	780	629
Intangible amortization	1,143	547
Merger related expenses	554	251

Other expenses	3,186	2,391
Total non-interest expense	50,012	38,980
Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes	32,180 11,518	27,480 10,053
Net income	\$ 20,662	\$ 17,427
Basic earnings per share Diluted earnings per share See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements 4	\$ 0.36 \$ 0.35	\$ 0.39 \$ 0.39

### UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except shares)

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Retained Earnings	cumulated Other prehensive Loss		Total
BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2006 Net income Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: Unrealized gains on securities	44,556,269	\$ 564,579	\$ 183,591 84,447	\$ (9,909) 470	\$	738,261 84,447
arising during the year				470		470
Comprehensive income					\$	84,917
Stock-based compensation Stock repurchased and retired Issuances of common stock under	(6,142)	1,932 (179)				1,932 (179)
stock plans and related tax benefit	784,715	10,814				10,814
Stock issued in connection with acquisitions Cash dividends (\$0.60 per share)	12,745,329	353,721	(33,255)			353,721 (33,255)
Balance at December 31, 2006	58,080,171	\$ 930,867	\$ 234,783	\$ (9,439)	\$ 1	,156,211
BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2007 Adoption of fair value option junior subordinated debentures Net income Other comprehensive loss, net of	58,080,171	\$ 930,867	\$ 234,783 (2,064) 20,662	\$ (9,439)	\$ 1	(2,064) 20,662
tax: Unrealized gains on securities arising during the year				1,864		1,864
Comprehensive income					\$	22,526
Stock-based compensation Stock repurchased and retired Issuances of common stock under	(2,154)	567 (61)				567 (61)
stock plans and related tax benefit Cash dividends (\$0.18 per share)	145,793	1,691	(10,511)			1,691 (10,511)

Balance at March 31, 2007 58,223,810 \$933,064 \$242,870 \$ (7,575) \$1,168,359

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

5

#### **Table of Contents**

#### UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
Net income	<b>2007</b> \$ 20,662	<b>2006</b> \$ 17,427
Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period on investment securities available for sale	3,107	(3,603)
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income, (net of tax expense of \$2,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007)	(3)	
Income tax (expense) benefit related to unrealized gains/losses on investment securities, available for sale	(1,240)	1,286
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available for sale	1,864	(2,317)
Comprehensive income	\$ 22,526	\$ 15,110
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements  6		

### UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2007	2006
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 20,662	\$ 17,427
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities of		
continuing operations:		
Restricted equity securities stock dividends	(55)	(44)
Amortization of investment premiums, net	319	293
Gain on sale of investment securities available-for-sale	(5)	
Provision for loan and lease losses	83	21
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	2,507	3,248
Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights	566	
Change in fair value of trust preferred securities	(356)	
Stock-based compensation	567	470
Net decrease in trading account assets	1,194	229
Origination of loans held for sale	(71,375)	(61,655)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	70,963	58,869
Increase in mortgage servicing rights	(138)	(667)
Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options	(222)	(751)
Net increase in other assets	(5,598)	(2,684)
Net (decrease) increase in other liabilities	(6,389)	1,086
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,723	15,842
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(88,623)	
Sales and maturities of investment securities available-for-sale	20,109	19,614
Maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity	57	1,045
Redemption of restricted equity securities		43
Net loan and lease originations	(35,208)	(182,141)
Proceeds from sales of loans	6,393	8,639
Proceeds from disposals of furniture and equipment	8	28
Purchases of premises and equipment	(2,558)	(2,588)
Sales of real estate owned	( , ,	1,054
Net cash used by investing activities	(99,822)	(154,306)
CACH ELOWS EDOM EINANGING ACTIVITIES.		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	(0.200)	(56.610)
Net decrease in deposit liabilities	(9,208)	(56,610)
Net increase in Federal funds purchased	4.40	152,500
Net increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	449	6,625

Dividends paid on common stock	(10,476)	(5,352)
Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options	222	751
Proceeds from stock options exercised	1,427	1,339
Retirement of common stock	(61)	
Repayment of term debt	(2,039)	(73)
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(19,686)	99,180
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(106,785)	(39,284)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	335,648	161,754
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 228,863	\$ 122,470
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 46,024	\$ 26,241
Income taxes	\$ 14,825	\$ 4,100
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements		
7		

#### **Table of Contents**

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### **Note 1** Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and financial reporting policies of Umpqua Holdings Corporation (referred to in this report as we, our or the Company) conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Umpqua Bank (Bank), and Strand, Atkinson, Williams & York, Inc. (Strand). All material inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated financial statements have not been audited. A more detailed description of our accounting policies is included in the 2006 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K. There have been no significant changes to these policies, except due to adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, and FASB Interpretation No. (FIN) 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, (FIN 48) during the quarter. The changes to accounting policies under these standards are described in detail in Notes 3, 4, 7 and 10. These interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes contained in the 2006 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

In management s opinion, all accounting adjustments necessary to accurately reflect the financial position and results of operations on the accompanying financial statements have been made. These adjustments include normal and recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair and accurate presentation. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any other interim period. Certain reclassifications of prior year amounts have been made to conform with current classifications.

#### **Note 2 Business Combinations**

On June 2, 2006, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Western Sierra Bancorp (Western Sierra) of Cameron Park, California, and its principal operating subsidiaries, Western Sierra Bank, Central California Bank, Lake Community Bank and Auburn Community Bank, in an acquisition accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The results of Western Sierra's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since that date. This acquisition added Western Sierra's complete network of 31 Northern California branches, including locations in the Sacramento, Auburn, Lakeport and Sonora areas, to our network of 96 California, Oregon and Washington locations. This merger was consistent with the Company's community banking expansion strategy and provides further opportunity to enter growth markets in Northern California.

The aggregate purchase price was \$353.7 million and included 12.7 million common shares valued at \$343.0 million, and 723,000 stock options valued at \$10.7 million. Western Sierra shareholders received 1.61 shares of the Company s common stock for each share of Western Sierra common stock (exchange ratio of 1.61:1). The value of the common shares issued was determined as \$26.91 per share based on the average closing market price of the Company s common stock for the two trading days before and after the last trading day before public announcement of the merger. Outstanding Western Sierra stock options were converted (using the exchange ratio of 1.61:1) at a weighted average fair value of \$14.80 per option.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

8

#### **Table of Contents**

(In thousands)

	June 2, 2006
Assets Acquired:	
Cash and equivalents	\$ 36,978
Investment securities	76,229
Loans, net	1,009,860
Premises and equipment, net	10,109
Core deposit intangible asset	27,624
Goodwill	247,799
Other assets	83,519
Total assets acquired	\$ 1,492,118
Liabilities Assumed:	
Deposits	\$ 1,016,053
Term debt	59,030
Junior subordinated debentures	38,746
Other liabilities	
Other habilities	24,540
Total liabilities assumed	1,138,369
	1,123,23
Net Assets Acquired	\$ 353,749

Additional adjustments to the purchase price allocation may be required, specifically related to other assets, taxes and compensation adjustments. At March 31, 2007, goodwill recorded in connection with the Western Sierra acquisition was \$246.6 million. The \$1.2 million decrease from June 2, 2006 is related primarily to the tax benefit of fully vested acquired options of \$1.5 million, partially offset by asset write-offs and the recognition of unrecorded liabilities. The core deposit intangible asset represents the value ascribed to the long-term deposit relationships acquired. This intangible asset is being amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average estimated useful life of ten years. The core deposit intangible asset is not estimated to have a significant residual value. Goodwill represents the excess of the total purchase price paid for Western Sierra over the fair values of the assets acquired, net of the fair values of liabilities assumed. Goodwill has been assigned to the Community Banking segment. Goodwill is not amortized, but is evaluated for possible impairment at least annually and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. No impairment losses were recognized in connection with core deposit intangible or goodwill assets during the period from acquisition to March 31, 2007.

The following table presents unaudited pro forma results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as if the acquisition of Western Sierra had occurred on January 1, 2006. Any cost savings realized as a result of the Western Sierra merger are not reflected in the pro forma consolidated condensed statements of income. The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the results that would have been obtained had the acquisitions actually occurred on January 1, 2006:

9

#### Pro Forma Financial information Unaudited

(in thousands, except per share data)

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2006</b>			
		Western	Pro Forma	Pro Forma
	Umpqua	Sierra	Adjustments	Combined
Net interest income	\$54,279	\$15,444	\$1,937(a)	\$71,660
Provision for loan and lease losses	21			21
Non-interest income	12,202	3,151		15,353
Non-interest expense	38,980	11,216	(186)(b)	50,010
Income before income taxes	27,480	7,379	2,123	36,982
Provision for income taxes	10,053	2,923	849(c)	13,825
Net income	\$17,427	\$ 4,456	\$1,274	\$23,157
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.39			\$ 0.40
Diluted	\$ 0.39			\$ 0.40
Average shares outstanding:				
Basic	44,658	7,808	4,763(d)	57,229
Diluted	45,029	8,022	4,893(d)	57,944

- (a) Consists of net accretion of fair value adjustments related to the Western Sierra acquisition.
- (b) Consists of merger related expenses of \$251,000 at Umpqua and \$667,000 at Western Sierra, partially offset by core deposit intangible amortization of \$732,000.
- (c) Income tax effect of pro forma

adjustments at 40%.

# (d) Additional shares issued at an exchange ratio of 1.61:1.

The following table summarizes activity in the Company s accrued restructuring charges related to the Western Sierra acquisition which are recorded in other liabilities:

Thusa Marsha

#### **Accrued Restructuring Charges**

(in thousands)

	Er	Months ided 31, 2007
Beginning balance	\$	4,369
Additions:		
Severance, retention and other compensation		141
Utilization:		
Cash payments		(1,113)
Ending Balance	\$	3,397

No additional merger-related expenses are expected in connection with the Western Sierra or any other previous acquisition.

#### **Note 3** Mortgage Servicing Rights

SFAS No. 156, issued in March 2006, requires all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value. In addition, entities are permitted to choose to either subsequently measure servicing rights at fair value and report changes in fair value in earnings, or amortize servicing rights in proportion to and over the estimated net servicing income or loss and assess the rights for impairment. Beginning with the fiscal year in which an entity adopts SFAS No. 156, it may elect to subsequently measure a class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value. The effect of remeasuring an existing class of servicing assets and liabilities at fair value is to be reported as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. For the Company, this standard became effective on January 1, 2007.

The Company determines its classes of servicing assets based on the asset type being serviced along with the methods used to manage the risk inherent in the servicing assets, which includes the market inputs used to value the servicing assets. The Company elected to measure its residential mortgage servicing assets at fair value subsequent to adoption. As the retrospective application of SFAS No. 156 is not permitted, there was no change to prior period financial statements. Since there was no difference between the carrying amount and fair value of the MSR on the date of adoption, there was also no cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings.

10

#### **Table of Contents**

Upon the change from the lower of cost or fair value accounting method to fair value accounting under SFAS No. 156, the calculation of amortization and the assessment of impairment were discontinued and the MSR valuation allowance was written off against the recorded value of the MSR. Those measurements have been replaced by fair value adjustments that encompass market-driven valuation changes and the runoff in value that occurs from the passage of time, which are each separately reported. Under the fair value method, the MSR, net, is carried in the balance sheet at fair value and the changes in fair value are reported in earnings under the caption mortgage banking revenue in the period in which the change occurs. Changes in the balance of the MSR were as follows:

#### **Mortgage Servicing Rights**

(in thousands)

	Three months ended			
	March 31,			
		2007		2006
Balance, beginning of period <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	9,952	\$	10,890
Additions for new mortgage servicing rights capitalized		138		667
Changes in fair value:				
Due to changes in model inputs or assumptions <sup>(2)</sup>		(10)		
Other <sup>(3)</sup>		(556)		
Amortization of servicing rights				(321)
Impairment charge				(33)
Balance, end of period	\$	9,524	\$	11,203
Balance of loans serviced for others	\$9	925,541	\$ 1	,014,680
MSR as a percentage of serviced loans		1.03%		1.10%

- (1) Represents fair value as of December 31, 2006. Represents amortized cost as of December 31, 2005, which approximated fair value.
- (2) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates.
- (3) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.

The amount of contractually specified servicing fees, late fees and ancillary fees earned were \$625,000, \$8,000 and \$5,000, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and are recorded in mortgage banking revenue on the consolidated statements of income.

Retained mortgage servicing rights are measured at fair values as of the date of sale. We use quoted market prices when available. Subsequent fair value measurements are determined using a discounted cash flow model. In order to determine the fair value of the MSR, the present value of expected future cash flows are estimated. Assumptions used include market discount rates, anticipated prepayment speeds, delinquency and foreclosure rates, and ancillary fee income. This model is periodically validated by an independent external model validation group. The model assumptions and the MSR fair value estimates are also compared to observable trades of similar portfolios as well as to MSR broker valuations and industry surveys. Key assumptions used in measuring the fair value of MSR as of March 31, 2007 were as follows:

Constant prepayment rate 13.90%
Discount rate 8.80%
Weighted average life (years) 5.49

The expected life of the loan can vary from management s estimates due to prepayments by borrowers, especially when rates fall. Prepayments in excess of management s estimates would negatively impact the recorded value of the mortgage servicing rights. The value of the mortgage servicing rights is also dependent upon the discount rate used in the model, which we base on current market rates. A significant increase in the discount rate would reduce the value of mortgage servicing rights.

#### **Note 4** Junior Subordinated Debentures

As of March 31, 2007, the Company had 14 wholly-owned trusts ( Trusts ) that were formed to issue trust preferred securities and related common securities of the Trusts and are not consolidated. Five Trusts, representing aggregate total obligations of approximately \$58.9 million (fair value of approximately \$68.6 million as of the merger date), were assumed in connection with the Humboldt merger. Four Trusts, representing aggregate total obligations of approximately \$37.1 million (fair value of approximately \$38.7 million as of the merger date), were assumed in connection with the Western Sierra merger. Following is information about the Trusts as of March 31, 2007:

Table of Contents 18

11

#### **Junior Subordinated Debentures**

(in thousands)

		Issued	Carrying		Effective		
	Issue					•	Redemption
Trust Name	Date	Amount	Value (1)	<b>Rate</b> (2)	<b>Rate</b> (3)	Date	Date
Umpqua Holdings	September					September	September
Statutory Trust I	2002	\$ 25,774	\$ 26,030	Floating (4)	6.92%	2032	2007
Umpqua Statutory	October					October	October
Trust II	2002	20,619	21,117	Floating (5)	6.94%	2032	2007
Umpqua Statutory	October					November	November
Trust III	2002	30,928	31,651	Floating (6)	6.94%	2032	2007
Umpqua Statutory	December					January	January
Trust IV	2003	10,310	10,708	Floating (7)	6.94%	2034	2009
Umpqua Statutory	December					March	March
Trust V	2003	10,310	10,570	Floating (7)	6.93%	2034	2009
	March					March	March
HB Capital Trust I	2000	5,310	6,594	10.875%	7.91%	2030	2010
Humboldt Bancorp	February					February	February
Statutory Trust I	2001	5,155	6,081	10.200%	8.01%	2031	2011
Humboldt Bancorp	December					December	December
Statutory Trust II	2001	10,310	11,633	Floating (8)	7.47%	2031	2006
Humboldt Bancorp	September					September	September
Staututory Trust III	2003	27,836	31,476	6.75%(9)	5.02%	2033	2008
	November					November	November
CIB Capital Trust	2002	10,310	11,427	Floating (6)	7.56%	2032	2007
Western Sierra	July			Floating		July	
Statutory Trust I	2001	6,186	6,421	(10)	6.79%	2031	July 2006
Western Sierra	December					December	December
Statutory Trust II	2001	10,310	10,700	Floating (8)	6.80%	2031	2006
Western Sierra	September			Floating		September	September
Statutory Trust III	2003	10,310	10,574	(11)	6.81%	2033	2008
Western Sierra	September			Floating		September	September
Statutory Trust IV	2003	10,310	10,574	(11)	6.81%	2033	2008
	Total	\$193,978	\$205,556				

(1) Includes purchase accounting adjustments, net of accumulated amortization, for junior subordinated debentures assumed in connection with

the Humboldt and Western Sierra mergers as well as fair value adjustment pursuant to the adoption of SFAS No. 159 related to Umpqua statutory trusts.

- (2) Contractual interest rate of junior subordinated debentures.
- (3) Effective interest rate as of March 2007, including impact of purchase accounting amortization.
- (4) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.50%, adjusted quarterly.
- (5) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.35%, adjusted quarterly.
- (6) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.45%, adjusted quarterly.
- (7) Rate based on LIBOR plus 2.85%, adjusted quarterly.
- (8) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.60%, adjusted

quarterly.

- (9) Rate fixed for 5 years from issuance, then adjusted quarterly thereafter based on LIBOR plus 2.95%.
- (10) Rate based on LIBOR plus 3.58%, adjusted quarterly.
- (11) Rate based on LIBOR plus 2.90%, adjusted quarterly.

The \$205.6 million of junior subordinated debentures issued to the Trusts as of March 31, 2007 (\$203.7 million as of December 31, 2006) are reflected as junior subordinated debentures in the consolidated balance sheets. The common stock issued by the Trusts is recorded in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, and totaled \$5.8 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

All of the debentures issued to the Trusts, less the common stock of the Trusts, qualified as Tier 1 capital as of March 31, 2007, under guidance issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board). Effective April 11, 2005, the Federal Reserve Board adopted a rule that permits the inclusion of trust preferred securities in Tier 1 capital, but with stricter quantitative limits. Under the Federal Reserve Board rule, after a five-year transition period ending March 31, 2009, the aggregate amount of trust preferred securities and certain other restricted core capital elements is limited to 25% of Tier 1 capital elements, net of goodwill. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit could be included in Tier 2 capital, subject to restrictions. The Company includes all currently issued trust preferred securities in Tier 1 capital. There can be no assurance that the Federal Reserve Board will not further limit the amount of trust preferred securities permitted to be included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital purposes.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted SFAS No. 159 and SFAS No. 157. See Note 10 for additional information on SFAS No. 157. SFAS No. 159 allows companies to measure at fair value most financial assets and liabilities that are currently required to be measured in a different manner, such as at amortized cost. Following the initial fair value measurement date, ongoing unrealized gains and losses on items for which fair value reporting has been elected are reported in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. Under SFAS No. 159, fair value reporting may be elected on an instrument-by-instrument basis, and thus companies may record identical financial assets and liabilities at fair value or by another measurement basis permitted under generally accepted accounting principals (GAAP).

Umpqua selected the fair value measurement option for certain pre-existing junior subordinated debentures of \$97.9 million (the Umpqua Statutory Trusts). The remaining junior subordinated debentures were acquired through business combinations and were measured at fair value at the time of acquisition. Accounting for the selected junior subordinated debentures at fair value enables us to more closely align our financial performance with the economic value of those liabilities. Additionally, we believe our adoption of the standard will have a positive impact on our ability to manage the market and interest rate risks associated with the junior subordinated

#### **Table of Contents**

debentures, and potentially benefit net interest income, net income and earnings per common share during the remainder of 2007, as well as future periods. The junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value and amortized cost have been presented as separate line items on the balance sheet. We use a discounted cash flow model to determine the fair value of the junior subordinated debentures using market discount rate assumptions. Retained earnings as of January 1, 2007 were reduced by \$2.1 million, net of tax, as a result of the fair value election, as shown below: (in thousands)

	_	salance Sheet		et Gain/ (Loss)		lance heet
	-	rior to		upon		fter
	A	doption	A	doption	Add	option
Other assets (1)	\$	1,934	\$	(1,934)	\$	
Junior subordinated debentures		97,941		(2,491)		100,432
Other liabilities (2)		984		984		,
Pretax cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value option				(3,441)		
Increase in deferred tax asset				1,377		
Cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value option						
(charged to retained earnings)			\$	(2,064)		

- (1) Consists of issuance costs related to junior subordinated debentures for which fair value option was elected.
- (2) Consists of accrued interest related to junior subordinated debentures for which fair value option was elected.

The gains and losses described in the table above will not be recognized in earnings based upon application of SFAS No. 159. Regulatory capital will be reduced by the adjustment to retained earnings. However, the Company s capital significantly exceeds the capital levels required to be classified as well-capitalized, and the reduction in retained earnings resulting from the adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have minimal effect on the Company s current regulatory capital ratios.

As a result of the fair value measurement election for the above financial instruments, we recorded gains of \$329,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 resulting from the change in fair value of the junior subordinated debentures recorded at fair value from the election date of January 1, 2007 to March 31, 2007. These gains were

recorded as an offset to interest expense on junior subordinated debentures, which is recorded on an accrual basis. The junior subordinated debentures recorded at fair value of \$100.1 million had contractual unpaid principal amounts of \$97.9 million outstanding as of March 31, 2007.

#### Note 5 Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments The Company leases 110 sites under non-cancelable operating leases. The leases contain various provisions for increases in rental rates, based either on changes in the published Consumer Price Index or a predetermined escalation schedule. Substantially all of the leases provide the Company with the option to extend the lease term one or more times upon expiration.

Rent expense for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$2.8 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. Rent expense was offset by rent income of \$143,000 and \$55,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk The Company s financial statements do not reflect various commitments and contingent liabilities that arise in the normal course of the Bank s business and involve elements of credit, liquidity and interest rate risk. The following table presents a summary of the Bank s commitments and contingent liabilities as of March 31, 2007: (in thousands)

Ac of Monah 21

	AS OI March	
		2007
Commitments to extend credit	\$	1,427,583
Commitments to extend overdrafts	\$	170,183
Commitments to originate loans held-for-sale	\$	40,980
Forward sales commitments	\$	18,000
Standby letters of credit	\$	44,673

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet credit risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve elements of credit and interest-rate risk similar to the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Bank s involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

13

#### **Table of Contents**

The Bank s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees written, is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. While most standby letters of credit are not utilized, a significant portion of such utilization is on an immediate payment basis. The Bank evaluates each customer s creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral varies but may include cash, accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

The Bank enters into forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities to broker/dealers at specific prices and dates in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale and its residential mortgage loan commitments. Credit risk associated with forward contracts is limited to the replacement cost of those forward contracts in a gain position. There were no counterparty default losses on forward contracts in the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006. Market risk with respect to forward contracts arises principally from changes in the value of contractual positions due to changes in interest rates. The Bank limits its exposure to market risk by monitoring differences between commitments to customers and forward contracts with broker/dealers. In the event the Company has forward delivery contract commitments in excess of available mortgage loans, the Company completes the transaction by either paying or receiving a fee to or from the broker/dealer equal to the increase or decrease in the market value of the forward contract. At March 31, 2007, the Bank had commitments to originate mortgage loans held for sale totaling \$41.0 million with a net fair value liability of approximately \$24,000. As of that date, it also had forward sales commitments of \$18.0 million with a net fair value liability of \$19,000. The Bank recorded a loss of \$10,000 and a gain of \$27,000 related to its commitments to originate mortgage loans and related forward sales commitments in the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. The Bank has not been required to perform on any financial guarantees and did not incur any losses in connection with standby letters of credit during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006. At March 31, 2007, approximately \$22.9 million of standby letters of credit expire within one year, and \$21.8 million expire thereafter. Upon issuance, the Company recognizes a liability equivalent to the amount of fees received from the customer for these standby letter of credit commitments. Fees are recognized ratably over the term of the standby letter of credit. The fair value of guarantees associated with standby letters of credit was \$318,000 as of March 31, 2007.

At March 31, 2007, the reserve for unfunded commitments, which is included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet, was approximately \$1.2 million. The adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments is reviewed on a quarterly basis, based upon changes in the amounts of commitments, loss experience, and economic conditions. Mortgage loans sold to investors may be sold with servicing rights retained, with only the standard legal representations and warranties regarding recourse to the Bank. Management believes that any liabilities that may result from such recourse provisions are not significant.

Legal Proceedings In the ordinary course of business, various claims and lawsuits are brought by and against the Company, the Bank and Strand. In the opinion of management, there is no pending or threatened proceeding in which an adverse decision could result in a material adverse change in the Company s consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Concentrations of Credit Risk The Company grants real estate mortgage, real estate construction, commercial, agricultural and installment loans and leases to customers throughout Oregon, Washington and California. In management s judgment, a concentration exists in real estate-related loans, which represented approximately 81% of the Company s loan and lease portfolio at March 31, 2007, and December 31, 2006, respectively. Commercial real estate concentrations are managed to assure wide geographic and business diversity. Although management believes such concentrations have no more than the normal risk of collectibility, a substantial decline in the economy in general, or a decline in real estate values in the Company s primary market areas in particular, could have an adverse impact on the repayment of these loans. Personal and business income represent the primary source of repayment for a majority of these loans.

The Bank recognizes the credit risks inherent in dealing with other depository institutions. Accordingly, to prevent excessive exposure

14

#### **Table of Contents**

to any single correspondent, the Bank has established general standards for selecting correspondent banks as well as internal limits for allowable exposure to any single correspondent. In addition, the Bank has an investment policy that sets forth limitations that apply to all investments with respect to credit rating and concentrations per issuer.

#### **Note 6 Stock-Based Compensation**

The compensation cost related to stock options, including costs related to unvested options assumed in connection with acquisitions, (included in salaries and employee benefits) was \$337,000 and \$367,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement related to stock options was \$135,000 and \$146,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using assumptions noted in the following table:

	Three months ended March		
	31,		
	2007	2006	
Dividend yield	3.29%	2.68%	
Expected life (years)	6.2	6.4	
Expected volatility	34%	35%	
Risk-free rate	4.46%	4.30%	
Weighted average grant date fair value of options granted	\$ 7.49	\$ 9.18	

The following table summarizes information about stock option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2007: (In thousands, except per share data)

Three months anded March 21 2007

	Options	Weighted-Avg Exercise	Weighted-Avg Remaining Contractual	Aggregate Intrinsic	
	Outstanding	Price	Term (Years)	Value	
Balance, beginning of period	1,807	\$ 14.78			
Granted	50	\$ 26.12			
Exercised	(129)	\$ 11.04			
Forfeited/expired	(1)	\$ 14.25			
Balance, end of period	1,727	\$ 15.39	5.52	\$ 19,698	
Options exercisable at end of period	1,264	\$ 12.75	4.74	\$ 17,727	

The total intrinsic value (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeded the exercise price on the date of exercise) of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$2.3 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2007, there was \$2.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years.

The compensation cost related to restricted stock that has been charged against income (included in salaries and employee benefits) was \$230,000 and \$103,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement related to restricted stock was \$92,000 and \$41,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The following table summarizes information about

non-vested restricted shares as of March 31, 2007 and changes for the three months ended March 31, 2007:

15

#### **Table of Contents**

(In thousands, except per share data)

		Three months ended March 31, 2007			
	Restricted Shares	Average Grant Date Fair			
	Outstanding	Value			
Balance, beginning of period	122	\$ 26.36			
Granted	72	\$ 28.09			
Vested	(16)	\$ 28.03			
Forfeited/expired	(6)	\$ 26.73			
Balance, end of period	172	\$ 26.91			

The total fair value of shares vested during the three months ended March 31, 2007 was \$469,000. No shares vested in the three months ended March 31, 2006. As of March 31, 2007, there was \$3.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.9 years.

For the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company received income tax benefits of \$1.0 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, related to the exercise of non-qualified employee stock options, disqualifying dispositions in the exercise of incentive stock options and the vesting of restricted shares. In the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, the cash flows from excess tax benefits (tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized) classified as financing cash flows were \$222,000 and \$751,000, respectively. The remaining cash flows from tax benefits were recognized as operating cash flows.

#### **Note 7** Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, as well as the Oregon and California state jurisdictions. The company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state and local tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2003. The Internal Revenue Service concluded an examination of the Company s U.S. income tax returns for 2003 and 2004 in the second quarter of 2006. The results of the examination had no significant impact on the financial statements.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, (FIN 48) on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized no material adjustment in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits. Accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits is recognized in tax expense.

#### **Note 8** Per Share Information

Table of Contents

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed in a similar manner, except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive common shares were issued using the treasury stock method. For all periods presented, stock options and unvested restricted shares are the only potentially dilutive instruments issued by the Company. The following is a computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

28

#### **Earnings Per Share**

(in thousands, except per share data)

		nths ended ch 31,
	2007	2006
Basic earnings per share:		
Weighted average shares outstanding	58,176	44,658
Net income	\$ 20,662	\$ 17,427
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.39
Diluted earnings per share:		
Weighted average shares outstanding	58,176	44,658
Net effect of the assumed exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted shares,		
based on the treasury stock method	654	371
Total weighted average shares and common stock equivalents outstanding	58,830	45,029
Net income	\$ 20,662	\$ 17,427
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.39
Note 0 Cognost Information		

#### **Note 9** Segment Information

The Company operates three primary segments: Community Banking, Mortgage Banking and Retail Brokerage. The Community Banking segment s principal business focus is the offering of loan and deposit products to its business and retail customers in its primary market areas. As of March 31, 2007, the Community Banking segment operated 134 stores located principally throughout Oregon, Northern California and Washington.

The Mortgage Banking segment, which operates as a division of the Bank, originates, sells and services residential mortgage loans.

The Retail Brokerage segment consists of the operations of Strand, which offers a full range of retail brokerage services and products to its clients who consist primarily of individual investors. The Company accounts for intercompany fees and services between Strand and the Bank at an estimated fair value according to regulatory requirements for services provided. Intercompany items relate primarily to management services and interest on intercompany borrowings.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company s reportable segments and the reconciliation to the consolidated financial results is shown in the following tables:

#### **Segment Information**

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2007				
	Community Banking	Retail Brokerage	Mortgage Banking	Consolidated	
Interest income	\$109,469	\$ 15	\$4,203	113,687	
Interest expense	42,129		2,919	45,048	
Net interest income	67,340	15	1,284	68,639	
Provision for loan and lease losses	83			83	
Non-interest income	9,207	2,540	1,889	13,636	
Non-interest expense	44,621	2,455	2,382	49,458	
Merger-related expense	554			554	

Income before income taxes Provision for income taxes	31,289 11,166	100 36	791 316	32,180 11,518
Net income	\$ 20,123	\$ 64	\$ 475	\$ 20,662
	17			

Three Months Ended March 31, 2006

#### **Table of Contents**

(in thousands)

	1	in ee Months E	nucu Mai Cii 31,	2000
	Community	Retail	Mortgage	
	Banking	Brokerage	Banking	Consolidated
Interest income	\$79,270	\$ 22	\$1,454	\$80,746
Interest expense	25,396		1,071	26,467
Net interest income	53,874	22	383	54,279
Provision for loan and lease losses	21			21
Non-interest income	7,950	2,477	1,775	12,202
Non-interest expense	34,170	2,576	1,983	38,729
Merger-related expense	251			251
Income before income taxes	27,382	(77)	175	27,480
Provision for income taxes	9,981		72	10,053
Net income	\$17,401	\$ (77)	\$ 103	\$17,427
(in thousands)				
		March 3	1, 2007	
	Community	Retail	Mortgage	
	Banking	Brokerage	Banking	Consolidated
Total assets	\$7,097,191	\$7,460	\$233,387	\$7,338,038
Total loans	\$5,185,882	\$	\$206,255	\$5,392,137
Total deposits	\$5,822,086	\$	\$ 8,819	\$5,830,905
(in thousands)				
		December	31, 2006	
	Community	Retail	Mortgage	
	Banking	Brokerage	Banking	Consolidated
Total assets	\$7,087,227	\$7,656	\$249,353	\$7,344,236
Total loans	\$5,139,818	\$	\$222,044	\$5,361,862

#### Note 10 Fair Value Measurement

Total deposits

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 157, there was no cumulative effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings and no impact on the financial statements in the first quarter of 2007. The following table presents information about the Company s assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2007, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates

\$5,834,835

\$

\$ 5,459

\$5,840,294

and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and includes situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

18

(in thousands)

### Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2007, Using

		Quoted Prices in Active	Other	Significant
		Markets for Identical	Observable	Unobservable
	Fair Value March 31,	Assets	Inputs	Inputs
Description	2007	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Trading securities	\$ 3,010	\$ 3,010		
Available-for-sale securities	786,301	786,301		
Mortgage Servicing Rights	9,524		9,524	
Total assets measured at fair value	\$798,835	\$789,311	\$ 9,524	\$
Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value	\$100,076		\$100,076	
Total liabilities measured at fair value	\$100,076	\$	\$100,076	\$

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Securities - Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices.

*Mortgage Servicing Rights* The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated using a discounted cash flow model.

*Junior Subordinated Debentures* The fair value of junior subordinated debentures is estimated using a discounted cash flow model.

#### Note 11 Subsequent Events

The Company completed the acquisition of North Bay Bancorp and its principal operating subsidiary, The Vintage Bank, along with its Solano Bank division on April 26, 2007. North Bay Bancorp shareholders received 1.228 shares of the Company s common stock for each share of North Bay Bancorp common stock, giving the acquisition a total value of approximately \$142.8 million.

Upon completion of the acquisition, all the Vintage Bank and Solano Bank branches operate under the Umpqua Bank name. The acquisition has added North Bay Bancorp s network of 10 Northern California branches, including locations in the Napa area and in the communities of St. Helena, American Canyon, Vacaville, Benicia, Vallejo and Fairfield, to our network of 134 Northern California, Oregon and Washington locations and has resulted in a combined institution with assets of approximately \$8.1 billion.

19

#### **Table of Contents**

### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward-Looking Statements

This Report contains certain forward-looking statements, which are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may include statements that expressly or implicitly predict future results, performance or events. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. In addition, the words anticipates, expects, believes, estimates and intends and words or phrases of similar meaning identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of Umpqua. Risks and uncertainties include those set forth in filings with the SEC and the following:

The ability to attract new deposits and loans

Competitive market pricing factors

Deterioration in economic conditions that could result in increased loan and lease losses

Market interest rate volatility

Changes in legal or regulatory requirements

The ability to recruit and retain certain key management and staff

Risks associated with merger integration

There are many factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements. We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements. Readers should consider any forward-looking statements in light of this explanation, and we caution readers about relying on forward-looking statements.

#### General

Umpqua Holdings Corporation (referred to in this report as we, our, Umpqua, and the Company), an Oregon corporation, is a financial holding company with two principal operating subsidiaries, Umpqua Bank (the Bank) and Strand, Atkinson, Williams and York, Inc. (Strand).

Our headquarters is located in Portland, Oregon, and we engage primarily in the business of commercial and retail banking and the delivery of retail brokerage services. The Bank provides a wide range of banking, mortgage banking and other financial services to corporate, institutional and individual customers. Along with our subsidiaries, we are subject to the regulations of state and federal agencies and undergo periodic examinations by these regulatory agencies.

We are considered one of the most innovative community banks in the United States, combining a retail product delivery approach with an emphasis on quality-assured personal service. The Bank has evolved from a traditional community bank into a community-oriented financial services retailer by implementing a variety of retail marketing strategies to increase revenue and differentiate ourselves from our competition.

Strand is a registered broker-dealer and investment advisor with offices in Portland, Eugene, and Medford, Oregon, and in 11 Umpqua Bank stores. Strand offers a full range of investment products and services including: stocks, fixed income securities (municipal, corporate, and government bonds, CDs, and money market instruments), mutual funds, annuities, options, retirement planning, money management services, life insurance, disability insurance and medical supplement policies.

#### **Executive Overview**

Highlights for the first quarter of 2007 were as follows:

In January, we announced the signing of a definitive agreement to acquire North Bay Bancorp (North Bay) and its principal operating subsidiary, the Vintage Bank, along with its Solano Bank division. The acquisition was completed on April 26, 2007, and resulted in a combined institution with assets of approximately \$8.1 billion.

Credit quality continued to be strong. With net recoveries of \$90,000 during the quarter and strong credit quality, there was no substantial provision for credit losses in the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Total gross loans and leases were \$5.4 billion as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, an increase of \$30.3 million or 1%. The pace of loan growth slowed from prior periods primarily due to pay-downs of construction loans in California as projects closed, and an overall downturn in the Northern California market.

Total deposits were \$5.8 billion as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, a decrease of \$9.4 million. This is consistent with seasonal declines and the competitive deposit environment.

20

#### **Table of Contents**

Total consolidated assets were \$7.3 billion as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

Net interest margin decreased to 4.49% for the three months ended March 31, 2007, compared to 4.69% for the same period a year ago. The decrease in net interest margin resulted from increases in short-term market interest rates and the competitive climate, with the cost of deposits increasing more than the yield on interest-earning assets.

Net income per diluted share was \$0.35 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, as compared to \$0.39 per diluted share earned in the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Cash dividends declared in the first quarter of 2007 were \$0.18 per share which was comparable to the fourth quarter of 2006 but an increase of 50% from the \$0.12 declared in the first quarter of 2006.

#### **Summary of Critical Accounting Policies**

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2006 included in the Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 1, 2007. Not all of these critical accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. Management believes that the following policies would be considered critical under the SEC s definition.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The Bank performs regular credit reviews of the loan and lease portfolio to determine the credit quality of the portfolio and the adherence to underwriting standards. When loans and leases are originated, they are assigned a risk rating from 1 to 10 that is assessed periodically during the term of the loan through the credit review process. The 10 risk rating categories are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank has a management Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) Committee, which is responsible for, among other things, regular review of the ALLL methodology, including loss factors, and ensuring that it is designed and applied in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL Committee reviews loans that have been placed on non-accrual status and approves placing loans on impaired status. The ALLL Committee also approves removing loans that are no longer impaired from impairment and non-accrual status. The Bank s Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology on a quarterly basis.

Each risk rating is assessed an inherent credit loss factor that determines the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses provided for that group of loans with similar risk rating. Credit loss factors may vary by region based on management s belief that there may ultimately be different credit loss rates experienced in each region. Regular credit reviews of the portfolio also identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designated loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine that we will probably not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment reserve as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses. The combination of the risk rating-based allowance component and the impairment reserve allowance component lead to an allocated allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank also maintains an unallocated allowance amount to provide for other credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the credit loss factors. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

The reserve for unfunded commitments ( RUC ) is established to absorb inherent losses associated with our commitment to lend funds, such as with a letter or line of credit. The adequacy of the ALLL and RUC are monitored on a regular basis and are based on management s evaluation of numerous factors. These factors include the quality of

the current loan portfolio; the trend in the loan portfolio s risk ratings; current economic conditions; loan concentrations; loan growth rates; past-due and non-performing trends; evaluation of specific loss estimates for all significant problem loans; historical charge-off and recovery experience; and other pertinent information. Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of March 31, 2007. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review. Approximately 81% of our loan portfolio

21

## **Table of Contents**

is secured by real estate, and a significant decline in real estate market values may require an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

Retained mortgage servicing rights are measured by allocating the carrying value of the loans between the assets sold and the interest retained, based on their relative fair values at the date of the sale. Subsequent fair value measurements are determined using a discounted cash flow model. The expected life of the loan can vary from management s estimates due to prepayments by borrowers, especially when interest rates fall. Prepayments in excess of management s estimates would negatively impact the recorded value of the mortgage servicing rights. The value of the mortgage servicing rights is also dependent upon the discount rate used in the model. Management reviews this rate on an ongoing basis based on current market rates. A significant increase in the discount rate would reduce the value of mortgage servicing rights.

Upon adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities (SFAS No. 156) on January 1, 2007, the Company has elected to measure its residential mortgage servicing assets at fair value. Upon the change from the lower of cost or fair value accounting method to fair value accounting under SFAS No. 156, the calculation of amortization and the assessment of impairment were discontinued. Additional information is included in Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Valuation of Goodwill and Intangible Assets

At March 31, 2007, we had \$677.9 million in goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of business combinations. Goodwill and other intangibles with indefinite lives are not amortized but instead are periodically tested for impairment. Management performs an impairment analysis for the intangible assets with indefinite lives on a quarterly basis and determined that there was no impairment as of March 31, 2007. The valuation is based on discounted cash flows or observable market prices on a segment basis. A 10% or 20% decrease in market price is not expected to result in an impairment. If impairment was deemed to exist, a write down of the asset would occur with a charge to earnings.

# Stock-based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, *Share Based Payment*, a revision to the previously issued guidance on accounting for stock options and other forms of equity-based compensation. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees requisite service period (generally the vesting period). The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Management assumptions utilized at the time of grant impact the fair value of the option calculated under the Black-Scholes methodology, and ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the life of the option. Additional information is included in Note 6 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Fair Value

Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, which among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about financial instruments carried at fair value. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observability utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair value. See Note 10 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements* for additional information about the level of pricing transparency associated with financial instruments carried at fair value.

The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial instruments with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of pricing observability and a lesser degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments rarely traded or not quoted will generally have little or no pricing observability and a higher degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Pricing observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established and the characteristics specific to the transaction.

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

## **OVERVIEW**

For the three months ended March 31, 2007, net income was \$20.7 million, or \$0.35 per diluted share, as compared to \$17.4 million, or \$0.39 per diluted share for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The improvement in net income for the three months ended

22

#### **Table of Contents**

March 31, 2007 is principally attributable to improved net interest income, partially offset by increased operating expenses. We completed the acquisition of Western Sierra Bancorp on June 2, 2006 and the results of the acquired operations are only included in our financial results starting on June 3, 2006.

We incur significant expenses related to the completion and integration of mergers. Accordingly, we believe that our operating results are best measured on a comparative basis excluding the impact of merger-related expenses, net of tax. We define *operating income* as income before merger related expenses, net of tax, and we calculate *operating income per diluted share* by dividing operating income by the same diluted share total used in determining diluted earnings per share over the prior year (see Note 8 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*). Operating income and operating income per diluted share are considered non-GAAP financial measures. Although we believe the presentation of non-GAAP financial measures provides a better indication of our operating performance, readers of this report are urged to review the GAAP results as presented in the *Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

The following table presents a reconciliation of operating income and operating income per share to net income and net income per share for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

# Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Income

(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2007	2006
Net income	\$ 20,662	\$ 17,427
Merger-related expenses, net of tax	332	151
Operating income	\$ 20,994	\$ 17,578
Per diluted share:		
Net income	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.39
Merger-related expenses, net of tax	0.01	
Operating income	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.39

The following table presents the returns on average assets, average shareholders—equity and average tangible shareholders—equity for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006. For each of the periods presented, the table includes the calculated ratios based on reported net income and operating income as shown in the Table above. Our return on average shareholders—equity is negatively impacted as the result of capital required to support goodwill. To the extent this performance metric is used to compare our performance with other financial institutions that do not have merger-related intangible assets, we believe it beneficial to also consider the return on average tangible shareholders—equity. The return on average tangible shareholders—equity is calculated by dividing net income by average shareholders—equity less average intangible assets. The return on average tangible shareholders—equity is considered a non-GAAP financial measure and should be viewed in conjunction with the return on average shareholders—equity.

23

Returns on Average Assets, Shareholders Equity and Tangible Shareholders Equity (dollars in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,		
	2007	2006	
Returns on average assets:			
Net income	1.15%	1.31%	
Operating income	1.17%	1.32%	
Returns on average shareholders equity:			
Net income	7.22%	9.50%	
Operating income	7.33%	9.58%	
Returns on average tangible shareholders equity:			
Net income	17.36%	21.04%	
Operating income	17.64%	21.22%	
Calculation of average tangible shareholders equity:			
Average shareholders equity	\$ 1,161,185	\$ 744,190	
Less: average intangible assets	(678,577)	(408,212)	
Average tangible shareholders equity	\$ 482,608	\$ 335,978	

#### **NET INTEREST INCOME**

Net interest income is the largest source of our operating income. Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was \$68.6 million, an increase of \$14.4 million, or 26% over the same period in 2006. This increase over the same period in 2006 is attributable to growth in outstanding average interest-earning assets, primarily loans and leases, partially offset by both growth in interest-bearing liabilities, primarily money-market and time deposits, and a decrease in net interest margin. The Western Sierra merger, which was completed on June 2, 2006, contributed to the increase in interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The fair value of interest-earning assets acquired on that date totaled \$1.1 billion, and interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$1.1 billion.

The net interest margin (net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets) on a fully tax-equivalent basis was 4.49% for the three months ended March 31, 2007, a decrease of 20 basis points as compared to the same period in 2006. This decrease is primarily due to increases in short-term market rates which led to an increase in deposit and borrowing costs. The increased yield on interest-earning assets of 46 basis points in the three months ended March 31, 2007 was more than offset by the increase in our interest expense to earning assets which increased by 66 basis points in the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Our net interest income is affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as changes in the yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on deposits and borrowed funds. The following table presents condensed average balance sheet information, together with interest income and yields on average interest-earning assets, and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

24

# Average Rates and Balances

(dollars in thousands)

		Three months ended March 31, 2007			e months ende arch 31, 2006	ed
		Interest Income	Average		Interest Income	Average
	Average	or	Yields or	Average	or	Yields or
	Balance	Expense	Rates	Balance	Expense	Rates
INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS:						
Loans and leases (1)	\$5,398,962	\$ 103,981	7.81%	\$4,025,130	\$ 73,120	7.37%
Taxable securities	658,694	7,584	4.61%	608,211	6,755	4.44%
Non-taxable securities (2)	118,808	1,749	5.89%	76,525	1,070	5.59%
Temporary investments (3)	68,706	894	5.28%	12,038	127	4.28%
Total interest earning assets Allowance for credit losses	6,245,170 (60,180)	114,208	7.42%	4,721,904 (43,842)	81,072	6.96%
Other assets	1,071,798			733,357		
Total assets	\$7,256,788			\$ 5,411,419		
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: Interest-bearing checking						
and savings accounts	\$ 2,849,762	\$ 20,783	2.96%	\$2,108,789	\$ 10,829	2.08%
Time deposits Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	1,745,615	20,248	4.70%	1,134,994	10,209	3.65%
and federal funds purchased	54,489	403	3.00%	233,860	2,389	4.14%
Term debt	8,639	80	3.76%	3,138	28	3.62%
Junior subordinated	0,027	00	3.7070	2,120	20	2.0270
debentures	206,039	3,534	6.96%	165,703	3,012	7.37%
Total interest-bearing liabilities Non-interest-bearing	4,864,544	45,048	3.76%	3,646,484	26,467	2.94%
deposits	1,158,203			968,506		
Other liabilities	72,856			52,239		
Total liabilities	6,095,603			4,667,229		
Shareholders equity	1,161,185			744,190		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$7,256,788			\$ 5,411,419		

3.66%

# NET INTEREST INCOME (2)

\$ 69,160

\$ 54,605

AVERAGE YIELD ON

EARNING ASSETS (1), (2)

**NET INTEREST SPREAD** 

7.42% 6.96%

INTEREST EXPENSE TO EARNING ASSETS

**SSETS** 2.93%

2.27%

4.02%

NET INTEREST INCOME TO EARNING ASSETS OR NET INTEREST MARGIN (1),

4.49% 4.69%

- (1) Non-accrual loans and mortgage loans held for sale are included in the average balance.
- (2) Tax-exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate. The amount of such adjustment was an addition to recorded income of approximately \$521,000 and \$326,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- (3) Temporary investments include federal funds sold and interest-bearing

deposits at other banks.

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in net interest income due to changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates (rate) for the three months ended March 31, 2007 as compared to the same period in 2006. Changes in interest income and expense, which are not attributable specifically to either volume or rate, are allocated proportionately between both variances.

25

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH

#### **Table of Contents**

## Rate/Volume Analysis

(in thousands)

**2007 COMPARED TO 2006** INCREASE (DECREASE) IN **INTEREST** INCOME AND EXPENSE DUE TO **CHANGES IN VOLUME** RATE **TOTAL INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS:** \$ 4.627 \$ 30,861 Loans and leases \$ 26,234 Taxable securities 575 254 829 679 Non-taxable securities (1) 619 60 37 Temporary investments 730 767 Total (1) 28,158 4,978 33,136 **INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES:** 9,954 Interest-bearing checking and savings accounts 4,533 5,421 3,512 Time deposits 6,527 10,039 Repurchase agreements and federal funds (1.461)(525)(1,986)Term debt 51 1 52 Junior subordinated debentures 700 (178)522 Total 18,581 10,350 8,231 \$ 17,808 Net increase in net interest income (1) \$ (3,253) \$ 14,555

(1) Tax exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate.

#### PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$83,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, compared \$21,000 for the same period in 2006. The provision related entirely to customer overdraft activity. No additional provision was required as asset quality trends remained strong and net recoveries of \$90,000 were recorded in the quarter. As a percentage of average outstanding loans and leases, the provision for loan and lease losses recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was 0.01%.

The provision for loan and lease losses is based on management s evaluation of inherent risks in the loan portfolio and a corresponding analysis of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Additional discussion on loan quality and the allowance for loan and lease losses is provided under the heading *Asset Quality and Non-Performing Assets* below.

## **NON-INTEREST INCOME**

Non-interest income in the three months ended March 31, 2007 was \$13.6 million, an increase of \$1.4 million, or 12%, as compared to the same period in 2006. The following table presents the key components of non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

26

#### Non-Interest Income

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,			
	2007	2006	Change Amount	Change Percent
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$ 7,052	\$ 5,484	\$ 1,568	29%
Brokerage commissions and fees	2,417	2,368	49	2%
Mortgage banking revenue, net	1,799	1,844	(45)	-2%
Net (loss) gain on sale of investment securities	5		5	NM
Other income	2,363	2,506	(143)	-6%
Total	\$ 13,636	\$ 12,202	\$ 1,434	12%

#### NM Not meaningful

The increase in deposit service charges in the three months ended March 31, 2007 over the same period in 2006 is principally attributable to increased volume of deposit accounts as a result of the Western Sierra acquisition. Brokerage commission and fees were relatively unchanged as compared to the first quarter of 2006. The mortgage banking revenue decline related primarily to reduced fair value of mortgage servicing rights, partially offset by increased production revenue. Excluding the effect of a \$300,000 legal settlement in the first quarter of 2006, other income increased 7%, primarily due to increased earnings on Bank Owned Life Insurance.

#### NON-INTEREST EXPENSE

Non-interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was \$50.0 million, an increase of \$11.0 million or 28% compared to the three months ended March 31, 2006. The following table presents the key elements of non-interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

#### Non-Interest Expense

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,			
			Change	Change
	2007	2006	Amount	Percent
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 28,269	\$21,801	\$ 6,468	30%
Net occupancy and equipment	8,826	7,168	1,658	23%
Communications	1,803	1,465	338	23%
Marketing	847	1,325	(478)	-36%
Services	4,604	3,403	1,201	35%
Supplies	780	629	151	24%
Intangible amortization	1,143	547	596	NM
Merger-related expenses	554	251	303	NM
Other	3,186	2,391	795	33%
Total	\$ 50,012	\$ 38,980	\$ 11,032	28%

NM Not meaningful

Salaries and employee benefits have increased due to increased incentives, benefit costs, additional staff at new stores, and primarily the addition of approximately 250 associates in June 2006 due to the Western Sierra acquisition. Net occupancy and equipment increased reflecting 31 new banking locations as a result of the Western Sierra acquisition in June 2006 and the addition of 7 de novo branches in 2006. The increase in services expense was primarily due to increased escrow accounting fees and higher consulting fees. We also incur significant expenses in connection with the completion and integration of bank acquisitions that are not capitalizable. Classification of expenses as merger-related is done in accordance with the provisions of a Board-approved policy.

#### **INCOME TAXES**

Our consolidated effective tax rate as a percentage of pre-tax income for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was 35.8% compared to 36.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The effective tax rates were below the federal statutory rate of 35% and the apportioned state rate of 5% (net of the federal tax benefit) principally because of non-taxable income arising from bank-owned life

27

insurance, income on tax-exempt investment securities, tax credits arising from low income housing investments, Business Energy tax credits and exemptions related to loans and hiring in designated enterprise zones.

# **FINANCIAL CONDITION**

#### **INVESTMENT SECURITIES**

Trading securities consist of securities held in inventory by Strand for sale to its clients and securities invested in Trust for former employees of acquired institutions as required by agreements. Trading securities decreased from \$4.2 million at December 31, 2006 to \$3.0 million at March 31, 2007 due to decrease in Strand s inventory of investment securities.

Investment securities available for sale were \$786.3 million as of March 31, 2007, as compared to \$715.2 million at December 31, 2006. This increase is principally attributable to purchases of \$88.6 million of investment securities available for sale, partially offset by maturities of \$20.1 million of investment securities available for sale. Investment securities held to maturity were \$8.7 million as of March 31, 2007, comparable to \$8.8 million at December 31, 2006.

The following table presents the available for sale and held to maturity investment securities portfolio by major type as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

# **Investment Securities Composition**

(in thousands)

	Investr	nent Securiti	es Available for Sa	le
	March 31,	2007	<b>December 31, 200</b>	
	Fair Value	<b>%</b>	Fair Value	<b>%</b>
U.S. Treasury and agencies	\$192,198	24%	\$193,134	27%
Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized				
mortgage obligations	420,005	54%	362,882	51%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	125,216	16%	110,219	15%
Other debt securities	970	0%	973	0%
Investments in mutual funds and other equity				
securities	47,912	6%	47,979	7%
Total	\$786,301	100%	\$715,187	100%

	<b>Investment Securities Held to Maturity</b>			
	March 31	, 2007	<b>December 31, 2006</b>	
	Amortized Amortized		Amortized	
	Cost	%	Cost	%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized	\$7,994	92%	\$8,015	92%
mortgage obligations	329	4%	372	4%
Other investment securities	375	4%	375	4%
Total	\$8,698	100%	\$8,762	100%
	28			

#### LOANS AND LEASES

Total loans and leases outstanding at March 31, 2007 were \$5.4 billion, an increase of \$30.3 million as compared to year-end 2006. The following table presents the concentration distribution of our loan portfolio by major type at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

#### Loan Concentrations

(in thousands)

	March 3	March 31, 2007		31, 2006	
Type of Loan	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage	
Construction and development	\$1,132,450	21.0%	\$1,189,090	22.2%	
Farmland	80,326	1.5%	77,283	1.4%	
Home equity credit lines	148,346	2.8%	152,962	2.9%	
Single family first lien mortgage	180,078	3.3%	178,159	3.3%	
Single family second lien mortgage	29,356	0.5%	30,554	0.6%	
Multifamily	157,756	2.9%	162,040	3.0%	
Commercial real estate	2,654,610	49.2%	2,572,186	48.0%	
Total real estate secured	4,382,922	81.2%	4,362,274	81.4%	
Commercial and industrial	889,085	16.5%	874,264	16.3%	
Agricultural production	44,569	0.8%	50,653	0.9%	
Consumer	41,064	0.8%	42,417	0.8%	
Leases	24,293	0.5%	22,870	0.4%	
Other	20,799	0.4%	20,845	0.4%	
Deferred loan fees, net	(10,595)	-0.2%	(11,461)	-0.2%	
Total loans	\$5,392,137	100.0%	\$5,361,862	100.0%	

The declines in construction and development loans primarily related to line of credit pay-downs as projects completed, and an overall downturn in the Northern California housing market. Commercial real estate and commercial and industrial loan increases more than offset the decline resulting in overall growth during the quarter.

## ASSET QUALITY AND NON-PERFORMING ASSETS

Non-performing loans, which include non-accrual loans and accruing loans past due over 90 days, totaled \$13.3 million, or 0.25% of total loans, at March 31, 2007, as compared to \$9.1 million, or 0.17% of total loans, at December 31, 2006. Non-performing assets, which include non-performing loans and foreclosed real estate ( other real estate owned ), totaled \$13.3 million, or 0.18% of total assets as of March 31, 2007, compared with \$9.1 million, or 0.12% of total assets as of December 31, 2006.

Loans are classified as non-accrual when collection of principal or interest is doubtful generally if they are past due as to maturity or payment of principal or interest by 90 days or more unless such loans are well-secured and in the process of collection. Additionally, all loans that are impaired in accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for the Impairment of a Loan*, are considered for non-accrual status. These loans will typically remain on non-accrual status until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospects for future payments in accordance with the loan agreement appear relatively certain. Foreclosed properties held as other real estate owned are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or market value of the property less expected selling costs. There was no other real estate owned at March 31, 2007.

The following table summarizes our non-performing assets as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

29

#### **Table of Contents**

# Non-Performing Assets

(dollars in thousands)

	M	arch 31,	De	ecember 31,
Loans on nonaccrual status Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing	\$	<b>2007</b> 11,826 1,470	\$	<b>2006</b> 8,629 429
Total nonperforming loans Other real estate owned		13,296		9,058
Total nonperforming assets	\$	13,296	\$	9,058
Allowance for loan losses Reserve for unfunded commitments	\$	60,263 1,231	\$	60,090 1,313
Allowance for credit losses	\$	61,494	\$	61,403
Asset quality ratios:				
Non-performing assets to total assets		0.18%		0.12%
Non-performing loans to total loans		0.25%		0.17%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans		1.12%		1.12%
Allowance for credit losses to total loans		1.14%		1.15%
Allowance for credit losses to total non-performing loans		463%		678%

At March 31, 2007, approximately \$2.3 million of loans were classified as restructured as compared to \$8.0 million at December 31, 2006. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, and generally provide for a temporary modification of loan repayment terms. Substantially all of the restructured loans as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were classified as impaired. None of the restructured loans were classified as non-accrual loans as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

We have not identified any other potential problem loans that were not classified as non-performing but for which known information about the borrowers financial condition caused management to have concern about the ability of the borrower to comply with the repayment terms of their loans. A decline in the economic conditions in our general market areas or other factors could adversely impact individual borrowers or the loan portfolio in general. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that loans will not become 90 days or more past due, become impaired or placed on non-accrual status, restructured or transferred to other real estate owned in the future.

#### ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES AND RESERVE FOR UNFUNDED COMMITMENTS

The allowance for loan and lease losses ( ALLL ) totaled \$60.3 million at March 31, 2007, an increase from the \$60.1 million at December 31, 2006. The following table shows the activity in the ALLL for the three months ending March 31, 2007 and 2006:

#### Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

(in thousands)

Three months ended				
March 31,				
2007	2006			
\$60,090	\$ 43,885			

Balance, beginning of period

Provision for loan and lease losses	83	21
Loans charged-off	(713)	(613)
Charge-off recoveries	803	1,253
Net recoveries	90	640
Total allowance for loan and lease losses	60,263	44,546
Reserve for unfunded commitments	1,231	1,642
Allowance for credit losses	\$61,494	\$46,188
As a percentage of average loans and leases (annualized):		
Net recoveries	0.01%	0.06%
Provision for loan and lease losses	0.01%	0.00%
30		

#### **Table of Contents**

With net recoveries during the quarters and strong credit quality, there was no substantial provision for credit losses in the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

The following table presents a summary of activity in the reserve for unfunded commitments ( RUC ):

Summary of Reserve for Unfunded Commitments Activity

(in thousands)

	Three mon Marc	
	2007	2006
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 1,313	\$ 1,601
Net (decrease) increase charged to other expenses	(82)	41
Balance, end of period	\$ 1,231	\$ 1,642

We believe that the ALLL and RUC at March 31, 2007 are sufficient to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio and credit commitments outstanding as of that date, respectively, based on the best information available. This assessment, based in part on historical levels of net charge-offs, loan growth, and a detailed review of the quality of the loan portfolio, involves uncertainty and judgment; therefore, the adequacy of the ALLL and RUC cannot be determined with precision and may be subject to change in future periods. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review.

#### MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

The following table presents the key elements of our mortgage servicing rights asset as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

Summary of Mortgage Servicing Rights

(in thousands)

	Three months ended March 31,			
		2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2006
Balance, beginning of period <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	9,952	\$	10,890
Additions for new mortgage servicing rights capitalized		138		667
Changes in fair value:				
Due to changes in model inputs or assumptions <sup>(2)</sup>		(10)		
Other <sup>(3)</sup>		(556)		
Amortization of servicing rights				(321)
Impairment charge				(33)
Balance, end of period	\$	9,524	\$	11,203
Balance of loans serviced for others	\$ 925,541 \$ 1,014,680		,014,680	
MSR as a percentage of serviced loans		1.03%		1.10%

(1) Represents fair value as of December 31, 2006. Represents amortized cost as of December 31, 2005.

which approximated fair value.

- (2) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates.
- (3) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.

As of March 31, 2007, we serviced residential mortgage loans for others with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$925.5 million for which servicing assets have been recorded. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No.156 on January 1, 2007, the servicing asset recorded at the time of sale was amortized over the term of, and in proportion to, net servicing revenues. Subsequent to adoption, the mortgage servicing rights are adjusted to fair value quarterly with the change recorded in mortgage banking revenue.

#### GOODWILL AND CORE DEPOSIT INTANGIBLE ASSETS

At March 31, 2007, we had goodwill and core deposit intangibles of \$645.4 million and \$32.4 million, respectively, as compared to \$645.9 million and \$33.6 million, respectively, at year-end 2006. The goodwill recorded in connection with acquisitions represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. A portion of the purchase price is allocated to the

31

#### **Table of Contents**

value of core deposits, which generally include all deposits except certificates of deposit. We amortize core deposit intangible assets on an accelerated or straight-line basis over as estimated ten-year life.

Substantially all of the goodwill is associated with our community banking operations. We evaluate goodwill for possible impairment on a quarterly basis and there were no impairments recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.

#### **DEPOSITS**

Total deposits were \$5.8 billion at March 31, 2007, a decrease of \$9.4 million as compared to year-end 2006. Information on average deposit balances and average rates paid is included under the *Net Interest Income* section of this report.

The following table presents the deposit balances by major category as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006: *Deposits* 

(in thousands)

	March (	<b>December 31, 2006</b>		
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage
Non-interest bearing	\$ 1,180,536	20%	\$1,222,107	21%
Interest bearing demand	712,895	12%	725,127	12%
Savings and money market	2,191,764	38%	2,133,497	37%
Time, \$100,000 or greater	913,490	16%	898,617	15%
Time, less than \$100,000	832,220	14%	860,946	15%
Total	\$ 5,830,905	100%	\$ 5,840,294	100%

Deposits increased by \$2.5 million in the Oregon/Washington region due to solid growth, offset by a decision to exit higher cost relationships of approximately \$50 million during the quarter. Deposits in California decreased by \$11.9 million representing seasonal declines as well as commercial customers using excess cash to pay down loan balances.

#### **BORROWINGS**

At March 31, 2007, the Bank had outstanding \$48.4 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase. The Bank had outstanding term debt of \$7.5 million at March 31, 2007. Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (FHLB) amounted to \$6.7 million of the total and are secured by investment securities and residential mortgage loans. The FHLB advances outstanding at March 31, 2007 had fixed interest rates ranging from 4.09% to 7.44%. Approximately \$2.5 million, or 37%, of the FHLB advances mature prior to December 31, 2007 and another \$3.0 million, or 45%, mature prior to December 31, 2008. Management expects continued use of FHLB advances as a source of short and long-term funding.

#### JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

We had junior subordinated debentures with carrying values of \$205.6 million and \$203.7 million, respectively, at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. Umpqua early adopted SFAS No. 159 and selected the fair value measurement option for certain junior subordinated debentures not acquired through acquisitions with an issued amount of \$97.9 million.

At March 31, 2007, approximately \$155.7 million, or 80% of the total issued amount, had interest rates that are adjustable on a quarterly basis based on a spread over LIBOR. Increases in short-term market interest rates during 2006 have resulted in increased interest expense for junior subordinated debentures. Although any additional increases in short-term market interest rates will increase the interest expense for junior subordinated debentures, we believe that other attributes of our balance sheet will serve to mitigate the impact to net interest income on a consolidated basis.

All of the debentures issued to the Trusts, less the common stock of the Trusts, qualified as Tier 1 capital as of March 31, 2007, under guidance issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Additional information regarding the terms of the junior subordinated debentures, including maturity/redemption dates, interest

rates and the adoption of SFAS No. 159, is included in Note 4 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

## LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOW

The principal objective of our liquidity management program is to maintain the Bank s ability to meet the day-to-day cash flow requirements of our customers who either wish to withdraw funds or to draw upon credit facilities to meet their cash needs.

We monitor the sources and uses of funds on a daily basis to maintain an acceptable liquidity position. In addition to liquidity from

32

## **Table of Contents**

core deposits and the repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities, the Bank can utilize established uncommitted federal funds lines of credit, sell securities under agreements to repurchase, borrow on a secured basis from the FHLB or issue brokered certificates of deposit.

There was no outstanding balance of federal funds purchased at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006. The Bank had available lines of credit with the FHLB totaling \$1.5 billion at March 31, 2007. The Bank had uncommitted federal funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$290.0 million at March 31, 2007. Availability of lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan and continued borrower eligibility. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements restrict the consecutive day usage. The Company is a separate entity from the Bank and must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of the Company s revenues are obtained from dividends declared and paid by the Bank. In the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Bank paid the Company \$10.0 million in dividends. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Company. We believe that such restrictions will not have an adverse impact on the ability of the Company to fund its quarterly cash dividend distributions to shareholders and meet its ongoing cash obligations, which consist principally of debt service on the \$194.0 million (issued amount) of outstanding junior subordinated debentures. As of March 31, 2007, the Company did not have any borrowing arrangements of its own.

As disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows*, net cash provided by operating activities was \$12.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2007. The principal source of cash provided by operating activities was net income. Net cash of \$99.8 million used in investing activities consisted principally of \$28.8 million of net loan growth and purchases of investment securities available for sale of \$88.6 million, partially offset by maturities of investment securities available for sale of \$20.1 million. The \$19.7 million of cash used by financing activities primarily consisted of \$10.5 million in dividend payments, and \$9.2 million of net deposit decreases. Although we expect the Bank s and the Company s liquidity positions to remain satisfactory during 2007, increases in market interest rates have resulted in increased competition for bank deposits. It is possible that our deposit growth for 2007 may not be maintained at previous levels due to increased pricing pressure or, in order to generate deposit growth, our pricing may need to be adjusted in a manner that results in increased interest expense on deposits.

## **OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

Information regarding Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements is included in Note 5 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

#### CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Information regarding Concentrations of Credit Risk is included in Note 5 of the *Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*.

#### **CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Shareholders equity at March 31, 2007 was \$1.2 billion, an increase of \$12.1 million, or 1%, from December 31, 2006. The increase in shareholders equity during the three months ended March 31, 2007 was principally due to the retention of \$10.2 million, or approximately 49%, of net income for the three month period.

The following table shows Umpqua Holdings consolidated and Umpqua Bank capital adequacy ratios, as calculated under regulatory guidelines, compared to the regulatory minimum capital ratio and the regulatory minimum capital ratio needed to qualify as a well-capitalized institution at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

33

(dollars in thousands)

	A -4-	1	For Capital Adequacy purposes		To be Well Capitalized		
	Actu Amount	iai Ratio	Adequacy   Amount	purposes Ratio	Capita Amount	nzea Ratio	
As of March 31, 2007: Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated	\$745,377	<b>Kauo</b> 11.79%	\$505,769	8.00%	\$632,211	10.00%	
Umpqua Bank	\$730,917	11.79%	\$504,516	8.00%	\$630,645	10.00%	
Tier I Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)							
Consolidated	\$683,883	10.82%	\$252,822	4.00%	\$379,233	6.00%	
Umpqua Bank	\$669,423	10.61%	\$252,374	4.00%	\$378,562	6.00%	
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) Consolidated Umpqua Bank	\$683,883 \$669,423	10.38% 10.18%	\$263,539 \$263,035	4.00% 4.00%	\$329,423 \$328,793	5.00% 5.00%	
As of December 31, 2006: Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)							
Consolidated	\$733,239	11.63%	\$504,378	8.00%	\$630,472	10.00%	
Umpqua Bank	\$715,593	11.37%	\$503,496	8.00%	\$629,369	10.00%	
Tier I Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)							
Consolidated	\$671,836	10.66%	\$252,096	4.00%	\$378,144	6.00%	
Umpqua Bank	\$654,190	10.39%	\$251,854	4.00%	\$377,781	6.00%	
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets)	¢771 927	10.200	¢261 415	4.000	¢224.740	<b>5</b> 000	
Consolidated	\$671,836	10.28%	\$261,415	4.00%	\$326,769	5.00%	
Umpqua Bank The following table prese	\$654,190	10.02%	\$261,154	4.00%	\$326,442 ads declared per s	5.00% hare divided	

The following table presents cash dividends declared and dividend payout ratios (dividends declared per share divided by basic earnings per share) for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006:

# Cash Dividends and Payout Ratios

	Three mo	Three months ended		
	Marc	ch 31,		
	2007	2006		
Dividend declared per share	\$0.18	\$0.12		

Dividend payout ratio 50% 31%

On April 19, 2007, the Company announced an expansion of the Board of Directors approved common stock repurchase plan, increasing the repurchase limit to 6.0 million shares and extending the plan s expiration date from June 30, 2007 to June 30, 2009. As of March 31, 2007, a total of 2.1 million shares remained available for repurchase under the prior authorization, while under the current authorization 5.6 million shares are available for repurchase. Although no shares were repurchased in open market transactions during the first quarter of 2007, we expect to repurchase additional shares in the future. The timing and amount of such repurchases will depend upon the market price for our common stock, securities laws restricting repurchases, asset growth, earnings and our capital plan. In addition, our stock option plans provide that option holders may pay for the exercise price and tax withholdings in part or whole by tendering previously held shares.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our assessment of market risk as of March 31, 2007 indicates there are no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures from those in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

34

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to us that is required to be included in our periodic SEC filings. The disclosure controls and procedures were last evaluated by management as of March 31, 2007.

There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that are likely to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting subsequent to the date of the evaluation.

35

#### Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Because of the nature of our business, we are involved in legal proceedings in the regular course of business. At this time, we do not believe that there is pending litigation the unfavorable outcome of which would result in a material adverse change to our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors as of March 31, 2007 from those presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (a) Not Applicable
- (b) Not Applicable
- (c) The following table provides information about repurchases of common stock by the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2007:

	Total number of Shares		verage Price	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Maximum Number of Remaining Shares that May be Purchased at Period End under
	Purchased	Pa	aid per		
Period	(1)	Share		<b>(2)</b>	the Plan
1/1/07 - 1/31/07	490	\$	27.51		2,057,792
2/1/07 - 2/28/07	1,664	\$	28.78		2,057,792
3/1/07 - 3/31/07					2,057,792
Total for quarter	2,154	\$	28.49		

- (1) Shares repurchased by the Company during the quarter consist of cancellation of restricted stock to pay withholding taxes. No shares were repurchased during the three months ended March 31, 2007 pursuant to the Company s publicly announced corporate stock repurchase plan described in (2) below. No shares were tendered in connection with option exercises during the three months ended March 31, 2007.
- (2) The repurchase plan, which was approved by the Board and announced in August 2003, originally authorized the repurchase of up to 1.0 million shares. The authorization was amended to increase the repurchase limit initially to 1.5 million shares and subsequently to 2.5 million shares. On April 19, 2007, the Company announced an expansion of the repurchase plan by increasing the repurchase limit to 6.0 million shares and extending the plan s expiration date to June 30, 2009.

# **Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

Not Applicable.

## Item 4. Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

- (a) Not Applicable.
- (b) Not Applicable.
- (c) Not Applicable.
- (d) Not Applicable.

#### **Item 5. Other Information**

(a) Not Applicable.

36

# **Table of Contents**

(b) Not Applicable.

# Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits filed as part of this Report and exhibits incorporated herein by reference to other documents are listed in the Exhibit Index to this Report, which follows the signature page.

37

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION (Registrant)

Dated May 8, 2007 /s/ Raymond P. Davis

Raymond P. Davis President and

Chief Executive Officer

Dated May 8, 2007

/s/ Daniel A. Sullivan

Daniel A. Sullivan

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated May 8, 2007

/s/ Ronald L. Farnsworth

Ronald L. Farnsworth

Senior Vice President/Finance and Principal Accounting Officer

38

## **Table of Contents**

# **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhib 2.1	(a) Agreement and Plan of Reorganization dated January 17, 2007 by and among Umpqua Holdings Corporation, Umpqua Bank, North Bay Bancorp and The Vintage Bank and related Plan of Merger
3.1	(b)Restated Articles of Incorporation
3.2	Bylaws, as amended
4.0	(c)Specimen Stock Certificate
10.1	(d) Second Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan effective January 1, 2007 between the Company and Raymond P. Davis
10.2	(e) Deferred Restricted Stock Grant Agreement effective March 5, 2007 between the Company and Raymond P. Davis
10.3	(f) 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, effective March 5, 2007
10.4	(g) 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan effective March 5, 2007
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.3	Certification of Principal Accounting Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuan to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
r E F	ncorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed Fanuary 18, 2007
	ncorporated by reference to

(c) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-77259)

Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q filed August 7, 2006

filed April 28, 1999

- (d) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed April 20, 2007
- (e) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed April 20, 2007
- (f) Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Form DEF 14A filed March 14, 2007
- (g) Incorporated by reference to Appendix B to Form DEF 14A filed March 14, 2007

39