

NextWave Wireless Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
November 10, 2010

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended October 2, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 000-51958

NEXTWAVE WIRELESS INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-5361360  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

12264 El Camino Real, Suite 305, San Diego,  
California

(Address of principal executive offices)

92130

(Zip Code)

(858) 731-5300

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: NextWave Wireless Inc. - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13, or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes  No

As of November 4, 2010, there were 23,493,580 shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding.

---

---

1

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. Financial Information		
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3.	Reserved	
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	39
PART II. Other Information		39
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	39
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	40
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	46
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	47
Item 4.	Removed and Reserved	47
Item 5.	Other Information	47
Item 6.	Exhibits	47
Signatures		48
Index to Exhibits		49

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Financial Statements

NEXTWAVE WIRELESS INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in thousands, except par value data)  
(unaudited)

	October 2, 2010	January 2, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,653	\$ 15,056
Restricted cash and marketable securities	5,504	24,088
Wireless spectrum licenses held for sale	6,535	62,868
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,055	2,546
Current assets of discontinued operations	86,134	23,678
Total current assets	121,881	128,236
Wireless spectrum licenses, net – continuing operations	442,469	409,156
Property and equipment, net	2,638	213
Other assets, including assets measured at fair value of \$0 and \$1,227 at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively	4,172	6,959
Other noncurrent assets of discontinued operations	—	58,226
Total assets	\$ 571,160	\$ 602,790
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,077	\$ 1,229
Accrued expenses	8,394	8,196
Current portion of long-term obligations	218,748	86,154
Other current liabilities	77	10,283
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	44,084	30,371
Total current liabilities	272,380	136,233
Deferred income tax liabilities	89,246	88,958
Long-term obligations, net of current portion	628,413	641,950
Other liabilities	5,263	9,577
Long-term liabilities and deferred credits of discontinued operations	—	1,729
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' deficit:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 25,000 shares authorized; 355 shares designated as Series A Senior Convertible Preferred Stock; no other shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$0.007 par value; 57,143 shares authorized; 23,494 and 22,434 shares issued and outstanding at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively	164	157
Additional paid-in-capital	887,453	884,321
Accumulated other comprehensive income	20,050	14,437
Accumulated deficit	(1,344,762)	(1,190,520)
Stockholders' deficit attributed to NextWave	(437,095)	(291,605)
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	12,953	15,948
Total stockholders' deficit	(424,142)	(275,657)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 571,160	\$ 602,790

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEXTWAVE WIRELESS INC.  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(in thousands, except per share data)  
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
General and administrative	\$ 9,266	\$ 7,458	\$23,125	\$28,117
Sales and marketing	—	—	—	207
Asset impairment charges	98	(1)	98	9,561
Restructuring charges (credits)	(247)	1,683	(232)	3,721
Total operating expenses	9,117	9,140	22,991	41,606
Gain (loss) on sales of wireless spectrum licenses	(1)	1,597	11	2,268
Loss from operations	(9,118)	(7,543)	(22,980)	(39,338)
<b>Other income (expense):</b>				
Interest income	43	51	557	355
Interest expense	(56,779)	(44,664)	(155,042)	(120,527)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	—	—	37,988	—
Other income (expense), net	407	(6,161)	9,788	(7,510)
Total other income (expense), net	(56,329)	(50,774)	(106,709)	(127,682)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(65,447)	(58,317)	(129,689)	(167,020)
Income tax benefit	—	1,123	—	1,093
Net loss from continuing operations	(65,447)	(57,194)	(129,689)	(165,927)
Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes, net of gains (losses) on divestiture of discontinued operations of \$(1,219), \$3,108, \$(5,835) and \$3,159, respectively	(20,978)	(44,520)	(32,398)	(73,221)
Income tax benefit (provision)	4,158	45	4,051	(187)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(16,820)	(44,475)	(28,347)	(73,408)
Net loss attributed to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	2,557	1,029	3,794	1,029
Net loss from discontinued operations attributed to NextWave	(14,263)	(43,446)	(24,553)	(72,379)
Net loss attributed to NextWave	\$(79,710)	\$(100,640)	\$(154,242)	\$(238,306)
<b>Amounts attributed to NextWave common shares:</b>				
Loss from continuing operations, net of tax	\$(65,447)	\$(57,194)	\$(129,689)	\$(165,927)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(14,263)	(43,446)	(24,553)	(72,379)
Net loss attributed to NextWave common shares	\$(79,710)	\$(100,640)	\$(154,242)	\$(238,306)
<b>Net loss per share attributed to NextWave common shares – basic and diluted</b>				
Continuing operations	\$ (2.69)	\$ (2.46)	\$ (5.35)	\$ (7.51)
Discontinued operations	(0.59)	(1.87)	(1.01)	(3.28)
Net loss	\$ (3.28)	\$ (4.33)	\$ (6.36)	\$ (10.79)
Weighted average shares used in per share calculation	24,279	23,230	24,264	22,079

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEXTWAVE WIRELESS INC.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (in thousands)  
 (unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net loss	\$(158,036)	\$(239,335)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(28,347)	(73,408)
Loss from continuing operations	(129,689)	(165,927)
Adjustments to reconcile loss from continuing operations to net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations:		
Amortization of intangible assets	5,756	5,757
Depreciation	316	134
Non-cash share-based compensation	855	1,435
Non-cash interest expense	154,751	111,443
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(37,988)	—
Gain on sales of wireless spectrum licenses	(11)	(2,268)
Asset impairment charges	98	9,562
Other non-cash adjustments	928	1,944
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	91	1,555
Other assets	(195)	196
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(4,903)	(10,525)
Other current liabilities	(8,622)	2,729
Net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations	(18,613)	(43,965)
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Proceeds from the sale of ARS securities	24,023	—
Payments received on notes receivable from PacketVideo subsidiary	7,100	—
Investment in PacketVideo subsidiary	(2,000)	—
Proceeds from the sale of wireless spectrum licenses	3,431	26,718
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,856)	—
Proceeds from the sale of PacketVideo stock to related party	—	45,500
Other, net	1,356	280
Net cash provided by investing activities of continuing operations	31,054	72,498
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Proceeds from long-term obligations	25,000	13,496
Payments on long-term obligations	(25,442)	(62,320)
Proceeds from the sale of common shares	141	409
Payment to restricted spectrum sales cash account used to redeem long-term obligations	(696)	—
Net cash used in financing activities of continuing operations	(997)	(48,415)
Cash provided (used) by discontinued operations:		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities of discontinued operations	18,170	(19,022)



Edgar Filing: NextWave Wireless Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of discontinued operations	(2,055)	1,183
Net cash used in financing activities of discontinued operations	(5,100)	(45)
Net cash provided (used) by discontinued operations	11,015	(17,884)
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash	(685)	(751)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	21,774	(38,517)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	20,512	61,517
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	42,286	23,000
Less cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations, end of period	(19,633)	(6,253)
Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations, end of period	\$ 22,653	\$ 16,747

**NONCASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES**

Senior, Second and Third Lien Notes issued to noteholders in exchange for debt modification fees	\$ 21,249	\$ —
Fair value of warrants issued in connection with Second Lien Notes	\$ —	\$ 5,179

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEXTWAVE WIRELESS INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Financial Statement Preparation

The condensed consolidated financial statements of NextWave Wireless Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, “NextWave”, “we”, “our” or “us”) are unaudited. We have prepared the condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and therefore, certain information and disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. In the opinion of management, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the periods presented reflect all adjustments necessary to fairly state our financial position, results of operations and cash flows, including adjustments related to asset impairment write-offs and restructuring-related charges. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements for the year ended January 2, 2010, from which the balance sheet data was derived, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on April 2, 2010.

Basis of Presentation and Liquidity

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. This basis of accounting contemplates the recovery of our assets and the satisfaction of our liabilities in the normal course of business. We generated net losses attributable to NextWave of \$154.2 million and \$238.3 million for the nine months ended October 2, 2010 and September 26, 2009, respectively, and have an accumulated deficit of \$1.3 billion at October 2, 2010. Our net loss from continuing operations of \$129.7 million for the nine months ended October 2, 2010 includes a \$38.0 million noncash gain on extinguishment of debt resulting from the debt modification of our Third Lien Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes due 2011 (the “Third Lien Notes”) in March 2010, as described below, which was treated as an extinguishment of debt for accounting purposes. Without this gain, we would have reported a loss from continuing operations of \$167.7 million for the nine months ended October 2, 2010. We used cash from operating activities of our continuing operations of \$18.6 million and \$44.0 million during the nine months ended October 2, 2010 and September 26, 2009, respectively. Our total unrestricted cash and cash equivalents held by continuing operations at October 2, 2010 totaled \$22.7 million. We had a net working capital deficit of \$150.5 million at October 2, 2010.

We have funded our operations, business combinations, strategic investments and wireless spectrum license acquisitions primarily with the \$550.0 million in cash received in our initial capitalization in April 2005, the net proceeds of \$295.0 million from our issuance of our Senior Secured Notes (the “Senior Notes”) in 2006 and 2010, the net proceeds of \$351.1 million from our issuance of Series A Senior Convertible Preferred Stock (the “Series A Preferred Stock”) in March 2007, which, in October 2008, we exchanged for Third Lien Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$478.3 million, and the net proceeds of \$101.0 million from our issuance of Senior Subordinated Secured Second Lien Notes (the “Second Lien Notes”) in October 2008 and July 2009. We did not receive any proceeds from the issuance of the Third Lien Notes.

In an effort to reduce our future working capital requirements and in order to comply with the terms of our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes, in the second half of 2008, our Board of Directors approved the implementation of a global restructuring initiative, pursuant to which we have divested, either through sale, dissolution or closure, our network infrastructure businesses and our semiconductor business. The actions completed as a result of our global restructuring initiative are described in more detail below under the heading “Discontinued

Operations”.

Effective as of March 16, 2010, we entered into an Amendment and Limited Waiver (the “Amendment and Waiver”) to the agreements governing our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes. Pursuant to the Amendment and Waiver, the maturity date of our Senior Notes was extended from July 17, 2010 to July 17, 2011, with an additional extension to October 17, 2011 if certain conditions are met, including the pendency of asset sales that would yield net proceeds sufficient to repay all then-outstanding Senior Notes. In addition, the maturity date of our Second Lien Notes was extended from

6

---

December 31, 2010 to November 30, 2011. As a result of the Amendment and Waiver, the interest payable on our Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 15% per annum and the interest payable on our Third Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 12% per annum initially, increasing 1% per annum on each of December 31, 2010, March 30, 2011, June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011 to a maximum of 16%. As a result of the Amendment and Waiver, all Notes will receive only payment-in-kind interest for the full term of such Notes, unless we elect to pay cash interest, and the redemption premium on the Notes was eliminated. The Amendment and Waiver reduced the requirement to maintain a minimum cash balance from \$5.0 million to \$1.0 million and, after payment in full on October 12, 2010 of certain designated Senior Notes with an aggregate principal and accrued interest amount of \$58.6 million at October 2, 2010 and the Senior Incremental Notes (as defined below) with an aggregate principal and accrued amount of \$26.4 million at October 2, 2010, permitted us to retain up to \$12.5 million for general working capital purposes and permitted investments (see Note 12). As consideration for the Amendment and Waiver, we paid an amendment fee to each Holder through the issuance of additional Notes under the applicable Note Agreements in an amount equal to 2.5% of the outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interest on such Holder's existing Notes.

As permitted by the Amendment and Waiver, in order to fund our working capital needs and permitted investments pending completion of asset sales, we issued \$20.0 million and \$5.0 million in additional Senior Notes (the "Senior Incremental Notes") to Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., acting on behalf of its managed investment funds signatory thereto ("Avenue"), and Solus Core Opportunities Master Fund Ltd and its affiliates and co-investors ("Solus"), respectively. On October 12, 2010, subsequent to the end of our third quarter and pursuant to the Amendment and Waiver, the Senior Incremental Notes were paid in full with the proceeds from our sale of PacketVideo (see Note 12). As with the other Senior Notes, amounts outstanding under the Senior Incremental Notes earned interest at a rate of 15% per annum, payable in-kind, and were secured by a first lien on the same assets securing our Senior Notes, on a pari passu basis. No commitment fee or structuring fee was payable in connection with the issuance of the Senior Incremental Notes.

The Amendment and Waiver to our Third Lien Notes, which increased the interest rate payable on our Third Lien Notes, was determined to have been accomplished with debt instruments that are substantially different, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, resulting in an effective extinguishment of the existing Third Lien Notes and a new issue of Third Lien Notes as of the amendment date for accounting purposes. The new issue of Third Lien Notes was recorded at fair value using a discount rate of 40%, and that amount was used to determine the net debt extinguishment gain of \$38.0 million recognized during the nine months ended October 2, 2010, in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The net gain of \$38.0 million was determined as the difference between the remaining unamortized discount under the extinguished Third Lien Notes of \$123.1 million and the new discount of \$164.8 million, plus \$9.6 million of embedded derivative liabilities that were eliminated at the date of the extinguishment, partially offset by \$13.3 million in fee notes issued to the Third Lien noteholders. The new discount of \$164.8 million is amortized using the effective interest rate method over the remaining term of the Third Lien Notes due December 2011 which will significantly increase our interest expense for financial reporting purposes.

On October 8, 2010, subsequent to the end of our third quarter, we completed the sale of our PacketVideo Corporation subsidiary ("PacketVideo") and used the net proceeds of such sale to pay the designated Senior Notes and the Senior Incremental Notes referred to above, and retained \$12.5 million for general working capital purposes and permitted investments as permitted by the Amendment and Waiver (see Note 12).

In 2010, we have capital expenditure needs associated with certain build-out or substantial service requirements which apply to our licensed wireless spectrum, which generally must be satisfied as a condition of license renewal. The substantial service build-out deadline for our domestic Wireless Communication Services ("WCS") spectrum was July 21, 2010 under the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC") rules in existence at that time. However, the FCC

adopted new rules on May 20, 2010, that became effective September 1, 2010 replacing the July 21, 2010 substantial service requirements with new requirements that must be met 42 and 72 months after the date that new WCS technical and service rules become effective. We filed substantial service showings with the FCC on July 20, 2010 for

7

---

all of our WCS licenses under the rules then in effect. While we believe we have made the capital expenditures required to complete the applicable WCS build-out requirements, we may be required to make additional capital expenditures to comply with the new rules if the FCC does not accept our substantial service showings under the rules in effect on July 20, 2010. The substantial service deadline for Educational Broadband Service (“EBS”) and Broadband Radio Service (“BRS”) spectrum is May 1, 2011; however, most of our EBS leases require us to complete build out activities in 2010, in advance of the FCC’s substantial service deadline, and we are presently executing these build out activities. Failure to meet our service requirements could result in forfeiture of the applicable licenses or lease terminations.

We believe that the completion of our asset divestiture and cost reduction actions, our current cash and cash equivalents and our ability to pay payment-in-kind interest in lieu of cash interest to the holders of our secured notes will allow us to meet our estimated operational cash requirements through September 2011, excluding the repayment of our Senior Notes having an estimated aggregate principal amount of \$138.8 million at July 2011. Should we incur significant unanticipated expenditures in excess of proceeds available to us through asset sales, we will seek to identify additional capital resources including the use of our remaining \$10.0 million of incremental Second Lien Notes debt basket, and will implement certain additional actions to reduce our working capital requirements.

Our current cash reserves will not be sufficient to meet the payment obligations on our Senior Notes at maturity in July 2011. We must consummate sales of our wireless spectrum assets yielding proceeds that are sufficient to retire our Senior Notes indebtedness or extend the maturity of our Senior Notes. If we are unable to pay our Senior Notes at maturity or if we are unable to extend maturity beyond July 2011, the holders of our Senior Notes could proceed against the assets pledged to secure these obligations, which would impair our ability to continue as a going concern. Insufficient capital to repay our debt at maturity would significantly restrict our ability to operate and could cause us to seek relief through a filing in the United States Bankruptcy Court. Any maturity extension of our Senior Notes may be costly to obtain, and could involve the issuance of equity securities that could cause significant dilution to our existing stockholders.

#### Reverse Stock Split

Effective June 21, 2010 we amended our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to effect a 1-for-7 reverse stock split. The primary purpose of the reverse stock split was to raise the per share trading price of our common stock to seek to maintain the listing of our common stock on The NASDAQ Stock Market. At the effective time of the reverse stock split, every seven shares of our pre-split common stock, with a par value \$0.001 per share, were automatically converted into one share of post-split common stock, with a par value \$0.007 share. The number of authorized shares of our common stock was reduced accordingly by a ratio of 1-for-7 from 400 million to 57.1 million shares. Outstanding stock incentive awards and shares available for future grants were also adjusted to give effect to the reverse split. In settlement of fractional shares resulting from the reverse split, we made a cash payment based on the average closing sales price of our common stock for the ten trading days immediately preceding the effective time.

#### Delisting of Our Common Stock

On October 7, 2008, we received a Staff Deficiency Letter from NASDAQ notifying us that we were not in compliance with NASDAQ’s Marketplace Rule 5450(a)(1) (the “Rule”) because the closing bid price for our common stock had, for the preceding 30 consecutive business days, closed below the minimum \$1.00 per share requirement for continued listing. In accordance with NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 5810(c)(3)(A), we were provided a period of 180 calendar days to regain compliance. On October 16, 2008, NASDAQ announced that they had suspended the enforcement of the Rule until January 19, 2009, and as a result, the period during which we had to regain compliance

was extended to July 10, 2009. On July 15, 2009, NASDAQ announced that they had determined to continue the temporary suspension of the Rule until July 31, 2009, and as a result, the period during which we had to regain compliance was extended to January 21, 2010. On January 22, 2010, we received a Staff Determination letter from the Listing Qualifications Department of NASDAQ indicating that our common stock would be subject to delisting from The NASDAQ Global Market because of non-compliance with the Rule, unless we requested a hearing before a NASDAQ Listing Qualifications Panel (the "Panel") by the close of business

on January 29, 2010. We requested a hearing on the matter and such hearing occurred on February 25, 2010. On March 26, 2010, the Panel granted our request for continued listing, subject to the conditions that on or before May 1, 2010, we must inform the Panel that we have filed a proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders including a vote on a reverse stock split in a ratio sufficient to meet the \$1.00 per share requirement for continued listing and on or before July 21, 2010, we must have evidenced a closing bid price of \$1.00 or more for a minimum of ten prior consecutive trading days. On July 21, 2010, the Panel notified us that our stock had not met this requirement and that our common stock would be delisted from the NASDAQ effective July 23, 2010. Pink OTC Markets, Inc. informed us that our stock was eligible to begin trading immediately on the OTCQB and on July 23, 2010 our stock began trading on that market under the symbol WAVE.

#### Discontinued Operations and Segment Reporting

On July 30, 2010, we signed a definitive agreement for the sale of our remaining 65% stock ownership in our PacketVideo subsidiary to NTT DOCOMO, Inc. ("DOCOMO"), a customer of PacketVideo. The sale subsequently closed on October 8, 2010 (see Note 12). In July 2009, DOCOMO acquired a 35% ownership interest in PacketVideo.

We continue to pursue wireless spectrum license sales and we are also actively pursuing the sale or wind-down of various remaining portions of our spectrum operations in South America and Europe, the net proceeds of which will be used to reduce our outstanding indebtedness.

We have classified the businesses comprising our Multimedia and Semiconductor segments as well as our WiMAX Telecom, Inquam and South American businesses, which were previously reported in our Strategic Initiatives segment, as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Our continuing operations are comprised of our strategic initiatives segment, which manages our portfolio of licensed wireless spectrum assets. Since we now operate in only one business, we no longer provide segment reporting.

The carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities of our discontinued operations are as follows:

(in thousands)	October 2, 2010	January 2, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,633	\$ 5,456
Restricted cash	456	804
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$6 and \$205	2,099	5,563
Deferred contract costs	3,214	1,632
Property and equipment held for sale	3,500	5,000
Inventory, prepaid expenses and other assets	3,652	5,223
Property and equipment, net	3,731	—
Goodwill	38,353	—
Other intangible assets, net	11,496	—
Current assets of discontinued operations	86,134	23,678
Property and equipment, net	—	3,516
Goodwill	—	38,899
Other intangible assets, net	—	14,604
Other assets	—	1,207
Other noncurrent assets of discontinued operations	—	58,226
Wireless spectrum licenses included in wireless spectrum licenses held for sale	—	14,934
Total assets of discontinued operations	\$ 86,134	\$ 96,838
Accounts payable	\$ 1,656	\$ 2,630



Edgar Filing: NextWave Wireless Inc. - Form 10-Q

Accrued expenses	7,471	5,999
Deferred revenue	7,784	5,186
Deferred revenue – related party	25,461	6,797
Long-term obligations and other current liabilities	1,012	4,205
Deferred income tax liabilities	700	4,529
Other liabilities	—	1,025
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	44,084	30,371
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	743
Other liabilities	—	986
Noncurrent liabilities of discontinued operations	—	1,729
Total liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 44,084	\$ 32,100

The results of operations of our discontinued operations are as follows:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009
Revenues	\$ 7,806	\$ 9,386	\$28,330	\$41,468
Revenues – related party	2,062	3,842	11,399	3,842
Total revenues	9,868	13,228	39,729	45,310
Operating expenses:				
Cost of revenues	5,053	5,955	17,025	20,924
Cost of revenues – related party	199	111	729	111
Engineering, research and development	4,653	4,748	14,204	19,297
Sales and marketing	1,928	2,037	6,787	7,731
General and administrative	5,147	4,504	11,911	13,558
Asset impairment charges	12,116	42,833	14,129	54,202
Restructuring charges	144	168	1,102	5,109
Total operating expenses	29,240	60,356	65,887	120,932
Net gains (losses) on business divestitures	(1,219)	3,108	(5,835)	3,159
Loss from operations	(20,591)	(44,020)	(31,993)	(72,463)
Other income (expense), net	(387)	(500)	(405)	(758)
Loss before income taxes	(20,978)	(44,520)	(32,398)	(73,221)
Income tax benefit (provision)	4,158	45	4,051	(187)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(16,820)	(44,475)	(28,347)	(73,408)
Net loss attributed to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	2,557	1,029	3,794	1,029
Net loss from discontinued operations attributed to NextWave	\$(14,263)	\$(43,446)	\$(24,553)	\$(72,379)

### Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities and operating results of our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries as of October 2, 2010 and September 26, 2009, and for the three and nine months then ended, respectively. Noncontrolling interest represents the noncontrolling shareholder's proportionate share of the net equity in our consolidated subsidiary, PacketVideo. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### Fiscal Year End

We operate on a 52-53 week fiscal year ending on the Saturday nearest to December 31 of the current calendar year or the following calendar year. Normally, each fiscal year consists of 52 weeks, but every five or six years the fiscal year consists of 53 weeks. Fiscal year 2010 is a 52-week year ending on January 1, 2011 and fiscal year 2009 is a 53-week year ending on January 2, 2010. The three and nine month periods ending on October 2, 2010 and September 26, 2009 include 13 and 39 weeks, respectively.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, income taxes and the valuation of marketable securities, share-based awards, goodwill, wireless spectrum licenses, intangible assets and other long-lived assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Spectrum Support and Maintenance Costs

We incur costs to support and maintain our wireless spectrum licenses. These costs are expensed as incurred.

## Revenues, Cost of Revenues and Deferred Contract Costs

Our discontinued operations have derived revenues from the following sources:

- contracts to provide multimedia software products for mobile and home electronic devices and related royalties through our PacketVideo subsidiary; and
- customer subscriptions for our WiMAX Telecom subsidiary which was sold in June 2010.

For software arrangements, or in cases where the software is considered more than incidental and is essential to the functionality of the hardware or the infrastructure products, revenue is recognized pursuant to software revenue recognition and construction-type and production-type contracts accounting guidance.

For post launch hosting arrangements, revenue is recognized on a pro rata basis based on the term of the contract.

Our revenue arrangements can include multiple deliverables, software or technology license, non-recurring engineering services and post-contract customer support. For these arrangements, we consider the revenue recognition - multiple-element arrangements accounting guidance. Accordingly, we evaluate each deliverable in the arrangement to determine whether it represents a separate unit of accounting. If objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists (“vendor specific objective evidence”) for all units of accounting in the arrangement, revenue is allocated to each unit of accounting or element based on those relative fair values. If vendor specific objective evidence of fair value exists for all undelivered elements, but not for delivered elements, the residual method would be used to allocate the arrangement consideration. If elements cannot be treated as separate units of accounting because vendor specific objective evidence of the undelivered elements does not exist, they are combined into a single unit of accounting and the associated revenue is deferred until all combined elements have been delivered or until there is only one remaining element to be delivered. To date, we have not been able to establish vendor specific objective evidence for any of the elements included in our revenue arrangements, as the software and hardware products or services have not yet been sold separately, nor has a standard price list been established. As a result, once the software or technology is delivered and the only undelivered element is services, the entire non-contingent contract value is recognized ratably over the remaining service period. Costs directly attributable to providing these services are also deferred and amortized over the remaining service period of the respective revenues.

Services sold separately are generally billed on a time and materials basis at agreed-upon billing rates, and revenue is recognized as the services are performed.

We earn royalty revenues on licensed embedded multimedia products sold by our licensees. Generally, royalties are paid by licensees on a contingent, per unit, or fixed fee usage basis. The licensees generally report and pay the royalty in the quarter subsequent to the period of delivery or usage. We recognize royalty revenues based on royalties reported by licensees. When royalty arrangements also provide for ongoing post-contract customer support that does not meet the criteria to be recognized upon delivery of the software, the royalty is recognized ratably from the date the royalty report is received through the stated remaining term of the post-contract customer support. In limited situations, we have determined that post-contract customer support revenue can be recognized upon delivery of the software because the obligation to provide post-contract customer support is for one year or less, the estimated cost of providing the post-contract customer support during the arrangement is insignificant and unspecified upgrades or enhancements offered for the particular post-contract customer support arrangement historically have been and are expected to continue to be minimal and infrequently provided. In these instances, we have accrued all the estimated costs of providing the services upfront, which to date have been insignificant.

If we receive non-refundable advanced payments from licensees that are allocable to future contracts periods or could be creditable against other obligations of the licensee to us, the recognition of the related revenue is deferred until such future periods or until such creditable obligations lapse.

In instances where we have noted extended payment terms, revenue is recognized in the period the payment becomes due. If an arrangement includes specified upgrade rights, revenue is deferred until the specified upgrade has been delivered.

We do not generally allow for product returns and we have no history of significant product returns. Accordingly, no allowance for returns has been provided.

The timing and amount of revenue recognition depends upon a variety of factors, including the specific terms of each arrangement and the nature of our deliverables and obligations. Determination of the appropriate amount of revenue recognized involves judgments and estimates that our management believes are reasonable.

#### Income Taxes

We recognize income tax benefits (expense) based on estimates of our consolidated taxable income (loss) taking into account the various legal entities through which, and jurisdictions in which, we operate. As such, income tax benefits (expense) may vary from the customary relationship between income tax benefit (expense) and income (loss) before taxes.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) for revenue recognition related to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This ASU provides amendments to the existing criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. The amendments establish a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable, eliminate the residual method of allocation of arrangement consideration to all deliverables and require the use of the relative selling price method in allocation of arrangement consideration to all deliverables, require the determination of the best estimate of a selling price in a consistent manner, and significantly expand the disclosures related to the multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The amendments are effective for our fiscal year 2011 with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting these amendments on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued an ASU for software revenue recognition. This standard removes tangible products from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance and also provides guidance on determining whether software deliverables in an arrangement that includes a tangible product, such as embedded software, are within the scope of the software revenue guidance. This amendment is effective for our fiscal year 2011 with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this amendment on our consolidated financial statements.

## 2. Related Party Transactions

#### Debt-Related Transactions

As permitted by the March 16, 2010 Amendment and Waiver, during the second quarter of 2010, we received cash of \$20.0 million and \$5.0 million and issued Senior Incremental Notes in the same amount to Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., acting on behalf of its managed investment funds signatory thereto, and Solus Core Opportunities Master Fund Ltd and its affiliates and co-investors, respectively. Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., is an affiliate of Avenue Capital. Robert Symington, a portfolio manager with Avenue Capital, is a member of our Board of Directors. As of October 2, 2010, Avenue Capital and its affiliates owned shares of our issued and outstanding common stock, such that Avenue Capital would be considered a related party, \$121.1 million, or 56% of

the aggregate principal amount of our Senior Notes, \$125.2 million, or 78% of the aggregate principal amount of our Second Lien Notes and \$163.9 million, or 28% of the aggregate principal amount of our Third Lien Notes. As of October 2, 2010, Solus owned shares of our issued and outstanding common stock, such that Solus would be considered a related party, \$38.4 million, or 18% of the aggregate principal amount of our Senior Lien Notes, \$35.6 million, or 22% of the aggregate principal amount of our Second Lien Notes and \$67.2 million, or 12% of the aggregate principal amount of our Third Lien Notes. As with the other Senior Notes, amounts outstanding under the Senior Incremental Notes bear interest at a rate of 15% per annum, payable in-kind unless we elect to pay cash, and will be secured by a first lien on the same assets securing

our Senior Notes, on a pari passu basis. No commitment fee or structuring fee was payable in connection with the issuance of the Senior Incremental Notes.

As consideration for the Amendment and Waiver, we paid an amendment fee to each of Avenue, Solus, Douglas F. Manchester, a member of our Board of Directors and Navation, Inc. (“Navation”), an entity owned by Allen Salmasi, our Chairman, through the issuance of additional Notes under the applicable Note Agreements in an amount equal to 2.5% of the outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interest on such holder’s existing Notes as of March 16, 2010. The Fee Notes were paid on March 16, 2010 by the issuance of Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes to Avenue Capital, Solus, Mr. Manchester and Navation, and will accrue interest and become payable in accordance with the terms of the respective Note Agreements. Avenue Capital received \$2.3 million in Senior Notes, \$2.8 million in Second Lien Notes and \$3.7 million in Third Lien Notes. Solus received \$0.7 million in Senior Notes, \$0.8 million in Second Lien Notes and \$1.5 million in Third Lien Notes. Mr. Manchester and Navation each received \$1.9 million in Third Lien Notes. The transactions contemplated by the Amendment and Waiver, including the issuance of the Senior Incremental Notes, were approved and recommended to our Board of Directors by an independent committee consisting of members of the Board of Directors who did not have any direct or indirect economic interest in the Notes.

#### Business Divestiture and Revenue Transactions

On July 30, 2010, we signed a definitive agreement for the sale of our remaining 65% stock ownership in our PacketVideo subsidiary to DOCOMO, a customer of PacketVideo, for \$111.6 million. The sale subsequently closed on October 8, 2010 (see Note 12). In July 2009, DOCOMO acquired a 35% ownership interest in PacketVideo.

In connection with the signing of the Stock Purchase Agreement, Dr. James C. Brailean resigned from his position as the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and President of NextWave and as a member of NextWave’s Board of Directors. Dr. Brailean continues to serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the Board of Directors of PacketVideo.

PacketVideo sells and licenses versions of its multimedia player to DOCOMO for installation into DOCOMO handset models. PacketVideo recognized \$2.1 million and \$11.4 million in related party revenues and \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million in cost of revenues, during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, respectively, and \$3.8 million in related party revenues and \$0.1 million in related party cost of revenues during the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009, from DOCOMO in the consolidated statements of operations for our discontinued operations.

In June 2010, we sold the capital stock of our WiMAX Telecom Slovakia s.r.o (“WT SRO”) subsidiary to flyhigh Partners s. r. o. (“flyhigh”), a private limited liability company of which the controlling shareholder is the former managing director and statutory representative of WT SRO, for \$0.1 million and recognized an \$8.8 million net loss from business divestitures. Upon closing of the sale, we have no remaining obligations to provide financing to support the ongoing operations of WT SRO. Also, in connection with the sale, we entered into an additional consideration agreement with flyhigh that provides for payment to us upon the occurrence of specified trigger events, which include (a) sale, lease or contribution or other transfer of all or part of the assets or capital stock of WT SRO to a third party, including the sale of any spectrum license, other than the sale or lease of a spectrum license(s) covering less than 10% of the MHz-pops of WT SRO in the aggregate, or (b) sale or other transfer of WT SRO share capital to any third party or (c) sale or other transfer of share capital above 34% in flyhigh to any third party.

In June 2010, we sold the capital stock of our two Chilean subsidiaries, Southam Chile SA and Sociedad Televisora CBC Ltd, to VTR GlobalCom S.A. and VTR Ingenieria S.A., the holders of our notes payable secured by the Chilean spectrum, for net proceeds of \$0.7 million, after deducting direct and incremental costs of \$0.5 million, and the



assumption of the notes payable aggregating \$4.3 million. We recognized a net gain on business divestitures of \$4.2 million.

### 3. Wireless Spectrum Licenses

We continue to market for sale our wireless spectrum holdings and in November 2009, we retained Moelis and Company to explore the sale of our wireless holdings in United States and Canada. Any sale or transfer of the ownership of our wireless spectrum holdings is generally subject to regulatory

approval. We are required to use the net proceeds from the sale of our wireless spectrum licenses to redeem our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes.

During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, we recognized net gains on sales of our spectrum licenses of \$11,000, after deducting incremental costs of \$0.8 million. The net gains recognized during the nine months ended October 2, 2010, include lease payments received by us, pending completion of the sale of certain of our owned WCS spectrum licenses in the United States to a third party, of \$0.3 million and the forfeiture of a spectrum license sales deposit received by us of \$0.3 million.

During the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009, we completed sales of certain of our owned Advanced Wireless Services (“AWS”) spectrum licenses in the United States to third parties for net proceeds, after deducting direct and incremental selling costs, of \$21.2 million and \$26.7 million, and recognized net gains on the sales of \$1.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The net proceeds from the sales received after July 15, 2009 were used to redeem a portion of the Senior Notes at a redemption price of 102% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest and net proceeds received prior to July 16, 2009 were used to redeem a portion of the Senior Notes at a redemption price of 105% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest.

We anticipate that certain of our remaining wireless spectrum licenses will be sold within the next twelve months. Accordingly, at October 2, 2010, we classified wireless spectrum holdings with a carrying value of \$6.5 million as assets held for sale, and, in accordance with accounting guidance for assets while held for sale, we are no longer amortizing these assets. As of October 2, 2010, the aggregate net carrying value of our remaining wireless spectrum license assets that are not considered held for sale was \$442.5 million, which includes \$90.7 million of asset value allocated as a result of related deferred tax liabilities determined in accordance with accounting guidance for acquired temporary differences in certain purchase transactions that are not accounted for as business combinations.

Through our continued efforts to sell our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Argentina during 2010, we determined that the carrying value of certain of these spectrum licenses exceeded their fair value based primarily on bids received and negotiations with third parties regarding the sale of these licenses, which led to our decision not to pursue build out obligations in Europe and Argentina during this time period. Accordingly, during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, we wrote-down the carrying value of our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Argentina to their estimated fair value, net of selling costs, and recognized asset impairment charges of \$12.1 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, all of which is reported in discontinued operations. Upon the sale and deconsolidation during the three months ended October 2, 2010, of our Slovakia based subsidiary, WT SRO, we reclassified \$1.2 million of the asset impairment charge on our wireless spectrum licenses in Slovakia that was recognized during the first quarter of 2010 against the net losses on business divestitures. The impairment of our wireless spectrum license in Argentina resulted in an income tax benefit of \$4.5 million during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 from the effect of the reduction of deferred tax liabilities associated with the impaired wireless spectrum license.

During the nine months ended September 26, 2009, we determined that the carrying value of our remaining domestic AWS spectrum licenses and our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe exceeded their fair value based primarily on bids received and negotiations with third parties regarding the sale of these licenses, which led to our decision not to pursue build out obligations in Europe during this time period. Accordingly, during the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009, we wrote-down the carrying value of our domestic AWS spectrum licenses and our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe to their estimated fair value and recognized asset impairment charges of \$36.0 million and \$52.2 million, respectively, of which \$0 and \$9.3 million is reported in continuing operations and \$36.0 million and \$42.9 million is reported in discontinued operations, respectively.

4. Asset Impairment Charges

Long-Lived Assets

In connection with our ongoing discussions to sell our Nevada office building, we determined that indicators of impairment were present, and, accordingly, based on the accounting guidance for the

14

---

impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, we performed an assessment to determine if the carrying value of our building was recoverable through estimated undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition. During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, we recognized additional asset impairment charges of \$1.5 million, all of which is reported as asset impairment charges in discontinued operations.

In connection with our global restructuring initiative, we reviewed our long-lived assets for impairment and, during the nine months ended September 26, 2009, determined that indicators of impairment were present for certain long-lived assets. Accordingly, we performed an assessment to determine if the carrying value of these long-lived assets was recoverable through estimated undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition. As a result of this assessment, during the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009, we recognized additional asset impairment charges of \$5.2 million and \$10.0 million, of which \$5.2 million and \$9.8 million is reported as asset impairment charges in discontinued operations and \$0 and \$0.2 million is reported as asset impairment charges in continuing operations, respectively.

#### Other

During the three months ended September 26, 2009 we wrote-off the remaining net book value of the purchased customer base intangible asset of WiMax Telecom since we determined that indicators of impairment existed, and, as a result of this write-off, we recognized a non-cash charge of \$1.6 million during the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009, which is reported as an asset impairment charge in discontinued operations.

There are inherent estimates and assumptions underlying the projected cash flows utilized in the recoverability assessment and management's judgment is required in the application of this information to the determination of the recovery value of the assets. No assurance can be given that the underlying estimates and assumptions will materialize as anticipated.

### 5. Restructuring Charges

The following summarizes the restructuring activity for the nine months ended October 2, 2010 and September 26, 2009 and the related restructuring liabilities:

(in thousands)	Balance at Beginning of Year	Charges to Expense	Cash Payments	Reversal of Deferred Charges	Balance at End of Period
<b>For the Nine Months Ended October 2, 2010</b>					
Lease abandonment and facility closure costs	\$ 1,750	\$ 354	\$ —	\$ (360)	\$ 1,744
Other related costs, including contract termination costs, selling costs and legal fees	349	849	(962)	—	236
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,099</b>	<b>\$ 1,203</b>	<b>\$ (962)</b>	<b>\$ (360)</b>	<b>\$ 1,980</b>
Continuing operations(1)	\$ 1,833	\$ 101			\$ 1,770
Discontinued operations	266	1,102			210
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 2,099</b>	<b>\$ 1,203</b>			<b>\$ 1,980</b>
<b>For the Nine Months Ended September 26, 2009</b>					
Employee termination costs	\$ 237	\$ 4,913	\$(5,150)	\$ —	\$ —

Edgar Filing: NextWave Wireless Inc. - Form 10-Q

Lease abandonment and facility closure costs	1,616	783	(1,960)	(89)	350
Other related costs, including contract termination costs, selling costs and legal fees	2,668	3,134	(4,764)	—	1,038
Total	\$ 4,521	\$ 8,830	\$(11,874)	\$ (89)	\$ 1,388
Continuing operations(2)	\$ 3,492	\$ 3,721			\$ 1,111
Discontinued operations	1,029	5,109			277
Total	\$ 4,521	\$ 8,830			\$ 1,388

- (1) Included in restructuring charges of continuing operations for the nine months ended October 2, 2010 is \$0.3 million of interest accretion expense on long-term obligations resulting from the renegotiation of one of our abandoned lease liabilities, which is reported in interest expense of continuing operations in the consolidated statement of operations.
- (2) Included in the restructuring charges of continuing operations for the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009 are net charges of \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, for lease abandonment and facility closure costs. Also included in the restructuring charges of continuing operations for the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009 are costs related to the divestiture and closure of discontinued businesses totaling \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

## 6. Long-Term Obligations

Long-term obligations held by continuing operations consist of the following:

(dollars in thousands)	October 2, 2010	January 2, 2010
15% Senior Secured Notes due July 2011, net of unamortized discounts of \$5,281 and \$6,177 at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively, and stated interest rates of 15% and 14% for payment-in-kind interest at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively, and 9% for cash interest at January 2, 2010	\$ 212,837	\$ 162,076
15% Senior-Subordinated Secured Second Lien Notes due November 2011, net of unamortized discounts of \$10,017 and \$13,182 at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively, and stated interest rates of 15% and 14% at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively	150,848	127,573
12% Third Lien Subordinated Secured Convertible Notes due December 2011, net of unamortized discounts of \$123,670 and \$134,230 at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively and stated interest rates of 12% and 7.5% at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively	458,230	389,869
Wireless spectrum leases, net of unamortized discounts of \$14,787 and \$16,556 at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively; expiring from 2011 through 2036 with one to five renewal options ranging from 10 to 15 years each	23,503	25,768
Collateralized non-recourse bank loan with interest at 30-day LIBOR plus 0.25%; principal and interest due upon sale of auction rate securities; secured by auction rate securities	—	21,406
Other	1,743	1,412
Long-term obligations	847,161	728,104
Less current portion	(218,748)	(86,154)
Long-term portion	\$ 628,413	\$ 641,950

Effective as of March 16, 2010, we entered into the Amendment and Waiver (Note 1) to the agreements governing our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes. Pursuant to the Amendment and Waiver, the maturity date of our Senior Notes was extended from July 17, 2010 to July 17, 2011, with an additional extension to October 17, 2011 if certain conditions are met, including the pendency of asset sales that would yield net proceeds sufficient to repay all then-outstanding Senior Notes. In addition, the maturity date of our Second Lien Notes was extended from December 31, 2010 to November 30, 2011. As a result of the Amendment and Waiver, the interest payable on our Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 15% per annum beginning March 16, 2010 and the interest payable on our Third Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 12% per annum beginning March 16, 2010, increasing 1% per annum on each of December 31, 2010, March 30, 2011, June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011 to a maximum of

16%. As a result of the Amendment and Waiver, all Notes will receive only payment-in-kind interest for the full term of such Notes, unless we elect to pay cash interest, and the redemption premium on the Notes was eliminated. The Amendment and Waiver reduced the requirement to maintain a minimum cash balance from \$5.0 million to \$1.0 million and, after payment in full on October 12, 2010 of certain designated Senior Notes (the "Priority Notes") with an aggregate principal and accrued interest amount of \$58.6 million at October 2, 2010 and the Senior Incremental Notes (as defined below) with an aggregate principal and accrued interest amount of \$26.4 million at October 2, 2010, permitted us to retain \$12.5 million of asset sale proceeds for general working capital purposes and permitted investments. The Amendment and Waiver also eliminated the redemption premium on all Notes. As consideration for the Amendment and Waiver, we paid an amendment fee to each Holder through the

issuance of additional Notes (the “Fee Notes”) under the applicable Note Agreements in an amount equal to 2.5% of the outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interest on such Holder’s existing Notes as of March 16, 2010. The Fee Notes were paid on March 16, 2010 through the issuance of \$4.3 million in Senior Notes, \$3.6 million in Second Lien Notes and \$13.3 million in Third Lien Notes.

As permitted by the Amendment and Waiver, we issued \$20.0 million and \$5.0 million in additional Senior Notes (the “Senior Incremental Notes”) during the third quarter of 2010 to Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., acting on behalf of its managed investment funds signatory thereto, and Solus Core Opportunities Master Fund Ltd and its affiliates and co-investors, respectively. On October 12, 2010, subsequent to the end of our third quarter and pursuant to the Amendment and Waiver, the Senior Incremental Notes were paid in full with the proceeds from our sale of PacketVideo stock. As with the other Senior Notes, amounts outstanding under the Senior Incremental Notes earned interest at a rate of 15% per annum, payable in-kind, and were secured by a first lien on the same assets securing our Senior Notes, on a pari passu basis. No commitment fee or structuring fee was payable in connection with the issuance of the Senior Incremental Notes.

We determined that the Senior Note and Second Lien Note debt instruments prior to and after the March 16, 2010 Amendment and Waiver are not substantially different and, therefore, do not receive debt extinguishment accounting treatment in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Under modification accounting, new effective interest rates are determined as of the modification date based on the carrying amount of the original debt instrument and the revised cash flows. The Fee Notes and the fair value of any new embedded derivatives are considered to be associated with the modified debt instruments and, along with existing unamortized discounts, are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining term of the modified debt instruments using the effective interest method.

The automatic extension of the maturity date of our Senior Notes from July 17, 2011 to October 17, 2011 if certain conditions are met, including the pendency of asset sales that would yield net proceeds sufficient to repay all then-outstanding Senior Notes, constitutes an embedded derivative. Accordingly, we have bifurcated the estimated fair value of the embedded derivative from the carrying value of the Senior Notes upon modification and recognized subsequent changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative against income. We measured the estimated fair value of the Senior Notes embedded derivative using a probability-weighted discounted cash flow model, which includes management assumptions of the probability of occurrence of certain conditions, including the pendency of asset sales that would yield net proceeds sufficient to repay all then-outstanding Senior Notes. The initial estimated fair value of the Senior Notes embedded derivative at March 16, 2010 of \$0.2 million was recorded as a decrease in the carrying value of the Senior Notes and the estimated fair value of the embedded derivative of \$38,000 at October 2, 2010 is reported in other current liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded derivative of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, were recognized as credits to other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

The requirements to redeem the Second Lien Notes upon an asset sale and a change in control constitute embedded derivatives. Accordingly, we have bifurcated the estimated fair value of each embedded derivative from the carrying value of the Second Lien Notes and recognized subsequent changes in the fair value of the embedded derivatives against income. We measured the estimated fair value of the Second Lien Notes embedded derivatives using a probability-weighted discounted cash flow model, which includes management assumptions of the probability of occurrence of a redemption of the Second Lien Notes upon an asset sale and a change in control. The estimated fair value of the Second Lien Notes embedded derivatives of \$0.1 million and \$9.9 million at October 2, 2010 and January 2, 2010, respectively, are reported in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded derivatives of \$13,000 and \$9.6 million during the three and nine



months ended October 2, 2010, respectively, were recognized as credits to other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The reduction in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities and the \$9.6 million credit to other income (expense) during the nine months ended October 2, 2010 resulted primarily from the Amendment and Waiver which eliminated the redemption premiums required upon an asset sale or change in control.

The Amendment and Waiver to our Third Lien Notes, which increased the interest rate payable on our Third Lien Notes, was determined to have been accomplished with debt instruments that are

substantially different, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, resulting in an effective extinguishment of the existing Third Lien Notes and a new issue of Third Lien Notes as of the modification date for accounting purposes. The new issue of Third Lien Notes was recorded at its estimated fair value using a discount rate of 40%, which represents the estimated incremental borrowing rate of our Third Lien Notes that was determined by management, with the assistance of a third party valuation group, and that amount was used to determine a net debt extinguishment gain of \$38.0 million that was recognized during the nine months ended October 2, 2010 in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The net gain of \$38.0 million was determined as the difference between the remaining unamortized discount under the extinguished Third Lien Notes of \$123.1 million and the new discount of \$164.8 million, plus \$9.6 million of embedded derivative liabilities that were eliminated at the date of the extinguishment, partially offset by \$13.3 million in fee notes issued to the Third Lien noteholders. The new discount of \$164.8 million is amortized using the effective interest rate method over the remaining term of the Third Lien Notes due December 2011 which will significantly increase our interest expense for financial reporting purposes.

The requirements to redeem the Third Lien Notes upon an asset sale and a change in control constitute embedded derivatives. Accordingly, we have bifurcated the estimated fair value of each embedded derivative from the fair value of the Third Lien Notes upon the effective reissuance of the Third Lien Notes at March 16, 2010, and recognized subsequent changes in the fair value of the embedded derivatives against income. We measured the estimated fair value of the Third Lien Notes embedded derivatives using a probability-weighted discounted cash flow model, which includes management assumptions of the probability of occurrence of a redemption of the Third Lien Notes upon an asset sale and a change in control. The initial estimated fair value of the Third Lien Notes embedded derivatives of \$3.7 million was recorded as a reduction in the carrying value of the Third Lien Notes and the estimated fair values of the embedded derivatives of \$5.1 million at October 2, 2010, are reported in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the estimated fair value of the embedded derivatives of \$0.3 million and \$(1.5) million during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, respectively, were recognized as credits (charges) to other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

During the three months ended October 2, 2010, we exercised our auction rate securities rights and sold our auction rate securities to UBS for \$24.0 million and paid in full our collateralized non-recourse bank loan that was secured by our auction rate securities.

## 7. Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss and comprehensive loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest in our PacketVideo subsidiary and NextWave are as follows:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009
Net loss	\$(82,267)	\$(101,669)	\$(158,036)	\$(239,335)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	2,852	3,778	5,273	4,657
Total comprehensive loss	(79,415)	(97,891)	(152,763)	(234,678)
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	1,932	632	4,134	632

Comprehensive loss attributable to NextWave	\$(77,483)	\$(97,259)	\$(148,629)	\$(234,046)
---	------------	------------	-------------	-------------

#### 8. Net Loss Per Common Share Information

Basic and diluted net loss per common share for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010 and September 26, 2009 is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the respective periods, without consideration of common stock equivalents. Our weighted average number of common shares outstanding includes the weighted average number of 1.8 million for warrants exercisable for shares of our common stock that were outstanding during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, and 8.2 million and 7.1 million during the three and nine months

ended September 26, 2009, respectively, as they are issuable for an exercise price of \$0.07 each. At October 2, 2010, 1.8 million of these warrants remained outstanding.

The following securities that could potentially dilute earnings per share in the future are not included in the determination of diluted loss per share as they are antidilutive. The share amounts are determined using a weighted average of the common stock equivalents outstanding during the respective periods.

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009
Third Lien Notes	7,311	6,523	7,063	6,404
Outstanding stock options	2,775	2,744	2,856	2,384
Unvested restricted stock	681	—	227	—

#### 9. Stockholders' Deficit

Changes in shares of common stock, stockholders' deficit attributable to NextWave, the noncontrolling interest in subsidiary and total stockholders' deficit for the nine months ended October 2, 2010 are as follows:

(in thousands)	Shares of Common Stock	Stockholders' Deficit Attributable to NextWave	Noncontrolling Interest in Subsidiary	Total Stockholders' Deficit
Balance at January 2, 2010	22,434	\$(291,605)	\$ 15,948	\$(275,657)
Shares issued under stock incentive plans	1,060	141	—	141
Share-based compensation expense	—	2,998	1,139	4,137
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	5,613	(340)	5,273
Net loss	—	(154,242)	(3,794)	(158,036)
Balance at October 2, 2010	23,494	\$(437,095)	\$ 12,953	\$(424,142)

On August 2, 2010, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors approved long-term incentives for Frank Cassou, the Chief Legal Counsel of the Company, and Francis Harding, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company. Each of the executives received a restricted stock award granted under our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2005 Plan"). Mr. Cassou received an award of 750,000 restricted shares, and Mr. Harding received an award of 250,000 restricted shares. Each of the restricted stock awards will expire after a term of 10 years and will vest in full upon the repayment or retirement in full, whether through redemptions, repayment at maturity date or conversion, of our Third Lien Notes. If the Third Lien Notes are not repaid or retired in full prior to the expiration of the restricted stock awards, the awards will be forfeited.

#### 10. Fair Value Measurements

##### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table summarizes our assets and liabilities that require fair value measurements on a recurring basis and their respective input levels based on the fair value hierarchy contained in fair value measurements and disclosures

accounting guidance:

(in thousands)	Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at End of Period		
		Quoted Market Prices for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Using: Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
At October 2, 2010				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 42,286	\$ 42,286	\$ —	\$ —
Embedded derivatives(1)	5,300	—	—	5,300
At January 2, 2010				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,512	\$ 20,512	\$ —	\$ —
Auction rate securities(2)	24,023	—	—	24,023
Auction rate securities rights(3)	1,227	—	—	1,227
Embedded derivatives(1)	19,504	—	—	19,504

- 
- (1) Included in other current and other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.
  - (2) Included in restricted cash and marketable securities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.
  - (3) Included in other noncurrent assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

**Embedded Derivatives.** The automatic extension of the maturity date of our Senior Notes from July 17, 2011 to October 17, 2011 if certain conditions are met, including the pendency of asset sales that would yield net proceeds sufficient to repay all then-outstanding Senior Notes, and our obligation to redeem the Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes upon an asset sale and a change in control constitute embedded derivatives under derivatives and hedging accounting guidance. Accordingly, we have bifurcated the estimated fair value of each embedded derivative from the carrying values of the Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes and recognized subsequent changes in the fair value of the embedded derivatives in the income statement. We measured the estimated fair value of the Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes embedded derivatives using probability-weighted discounted cash flow models (Level 3 inputs). The discounted cash flow models utilize management assumptions of the probability of occurrence of an additional extension of the maturity date of our Senior Notes and a redemption of the Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes upon an asset sale and a change in control.

**Auction Rate Securities.** At January 2, 2010, we estimated the fair value of our auction rate securities, which were classified as trading securities under debt and equity securities accounting guidance, using a discounted cash flow model (Level 3 inputs), which measures fair value based on the present value of projected cash flows over a specific period. The values are then discounted to reflect the degree of risk inherent in the security and achieving the projected cash flows. The discounted cash flow model used to determine the fair value of the auction rate securities at January 2, 2010 utilized a discount rates of 2.5% which represent estimated market rates of return, and estimated periods until sale and/or successful auction of the security of one year. The determination of the fair value of our auction rate securities also considered, among other things, the collateralization underlying the individual securities and the creditworthiness of the counterparty. During the three months ended October 2, 2010, we exercised our auction rate securities rights and sold our auction rate securities to UBS for \$24.0 million and paid in full our collateralized non-recourse bank loan that was secured by our auction rate securities.

**Auction Rate Securities Rights.** Our auction rate securities rights allowed us to sell our auction rate securities at par value to UBS at any time during the period of June 30, 2010 through July 2, 2012, and during the three months ended July 2, 2010, we exercised these rights and sold our auction rate securities to UBS. We elected to measure the fair value of the auction rate securities rights under financial instruments accounting guidance, which we believe will mitigated volatility in our reported earnings due to the inverse relationship between the fair value of the auction rate securities rights and the underlying auction rate securities. At January 2, 2010, we estimated the fair value of our auction rate securities rights using a discounted cash flow model, similar to the auction rate securities (Level 3 inputs). The discounted cash flow model at January 2, 2010 utilized a discount rate of 1.0% and an estimated period until recovery of less than one year.

The following table summarizes the activity in assets (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 Inputs – see chart below):

(in thousands)	Auction Rate Securities	Auction Rate Securities Rights	Embedded Derivatives			Total
			Senior Notes	Second Lien Notes	Third Lien Notes	

Edgar Filing: NextWave Wireless Inc. - Form 10-Q

Balance at January 2, 2010	\$24,023	\$ 1,227	\$ —	\$(9,928)	\$(9,576)	\$ 5,746
Purchases, issuances, sales, exchanges, settlements and debt modifications	(24,023)	(1,227)	(182)	(41)	5,929	(19,544)
Realized gains included in other income (expense), net	—	—	—	9,554	—	9,554
Unrealized gains (losses) included in other income (expense), net	—	—	145	301	(1,502)	(1,056)
Balance at October 2, 2010	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (37)	\$ (114)	\$(5,149)	\$(5,300)

## Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The following table summarizes our assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the period and their respective input levels based on the fair value hierarchy contained in fair value measurements and disclosures accounting guidance:

(in thousands)	Net Carrying Value at End of Period	Fair Value Measurements Using:			Fair Value Measurements Recorded During the:	
		Quoted Market Prices for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
October 2, 2010						
Wireless spectrum licenses held for sale(1)	\$ 6,535	\$ —	\$ 6,535	\$ —	\$12,116	\$12,655
Property and equipment, net(2)	9,869	—	—	9,869	—	1,500
September 26, 2009						
Wireless spectrum licenses held for sale	\$60,609	\$ —	\$60,609	\$ —	\$36,034	\$52,205
Property and equipment, net(3)	13,699	—	—	13,699	5,231	9,488

- (1) Upon the sale and deconsolidation during the three months ended October 2, 2010, of our Slovakia based subsidiary, WT SRO, we reclassified \$1.2 million of the asset impairment charge on our wireless spectrum licenses in Slovakia that was recognized during the first quarter of 2010 against the net losses on business divestitures in discontinued operations.
- (2) Includes property and equipment, net, of continuing operations of \$2.6 million, property and equipment, net, of discontinued operations of \$3.8 million and property and equipment held for sale by discontinued operations of \$3.5 million
- (3) Includes property and equipment of continuing operations of \$0.7 million, property and equipment of discontinued operations of \$8.0 million and property and equipment held for sale by discontinued operations of \$5.0 million.

Wireless Spectrum Licenses. Through our continued efforts to sell our remaining domestic AWS spectrum licenses and our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Argentina, we determined that the carrying value of these spectrum licenses exceeded their fair value based primarily on bids received and negotiations with third parties regarding the sale of these licenses. We estimated the fair value of these wireless spectrum licenses based on advanced negotiations and submitted bids from third parties for the purchase of the licenses (Level 2 Inputs). Accordingly, during the three and nine months ended October 2, 2010, we wrote-down the carrying value of our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Argentina to their estimated fair value and recognized asset impairment charges of \$12.1 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, all of which is reported in discontinued operations. During the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009, we wrote-down the carrying value of our domestic AWS spectrum licenses and our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Argentina to their estimated fair value and recognized asset impairment



charges of \$36.0 million and \$52.2 million, of which \$0 and \$9.3 million is reported in continuing operations and \$36.0 million and \$42.9 million is reported in discontinued operations, respectively.

Property and Equipment, Net. In connection with our ongoing discussions to sell our Nevada office building, we determined that indicators of impairment were present, and, accordingly, based on the accounting guidance for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, we performed an assessment to determine if the carrying value of our building was recoverable through estimated undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition. During the nine months ended October 2, 2010, we recognized additional asset impairment charges of \$1.5 million, all of which is reported as asset impairment charges in discontinued operations. In connection with our global

restructuring initiative, we continued to review our long-lived assets for impairment and, during the nine months ended September 26, 2009, determined that indicators of impairment were present for the long-lived assets in our semiconductor segment as well as certain other long-lived assets. Accordingly, we performed an assessment to determine if the carrying value of these long-lived assets was recoverable through estimated undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition (Level 3 inputs). Based on the impairment assessment performed, we determined that the carrying value of our property and equipment exceeded its estimated fair value and, accordingly, we recognized asset impairment charges of \$5.2 million and \$10.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 26, 2009, of which \$0 and \$0.2 million is reported as asset impairment charges in continuing operations and \$5.2 million and \$9.8 million is reported as asset impairment charges in discontinued operations, respectively.

#### Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of certain of our financial instruments of continuing operations, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and note payable to bank, approximate fair value due to their short-term nature. The carrying amounts and fair values of our long-term obligations of continuing operations are as follows:

(in thousands)	October 2, 2010		January 2, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Senior Notes	\$212,837	\$212,964	\$162,076	\$156,438
Second Lien Notes	150,848	149,774	127,573	122,070
Third Lien Notes	458,230	458,230	389,869	347,189
Wireless spectrum leases	23,503	10,285	25,768	13,345

At October 2, 2010, we determined the fair value of our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and leased wireless spectrum licenses using discounted cash flow models with discount rates of 15%, 23% and 40%, respectively, which represents our respective estimated incremental borrowing rates as of that date for that type of instrument. At October 2, 2010, our Third Lien Notes were measured using their fair value upon reissuance for accounting purposes in March 2010. At January 2, 2010, we determined the fair value of our Notes and wireless spectrum licenses using a discounted cash flow model with a discount rate of 32.5%, which represents our estimated incremental borrowing rate as of that date.

## 11. Commitments and Contingencies

### Legal Proceedings

On September 16, 2008, a putative class action lawsuit, captioned “Sandra Lifschitz, On Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, v. NextWave Wireless Inc. et al., Defendants,” was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California against us and certain of our officers. The suit alleges that the defendants made false and misleading statements and/or omissions in violation of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The suit seeks unspecified damages, interest, costs, attorneys’ fees, and injunctive, equitable or other relief on behalf of a purported class of purchasers of our common stock during the period from March 30, 2007 to August 7, 2008. A second putative class action lawsuit captioned “Benjamin et al. v. NextWave Wireless Inc. et al.” was filed on October 21, 2008 alleging the same claims on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during an extended class period, from November 27, 2006 through August 7, 2008. On February 24, 2009, the Court issued an Order consolidating the two cases and appointing a lead plaintiff pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. On May 15, 2009, the lead plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint, and on June 29,

2009, we filed a Motion to Dismiss that Amended Complaint. On March 5, 2010, the Court granted our Motion to Dismiss without prejudice, permitting the lead plaintiff to file an Amended Complaint. On March 26, 2010, the lead plaintiff filed a Second Amended Consolidated Complaint. On April 30, 2010, NextWave filed a Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint and the Motion now has been fully briefed and is under submission to the court. At this time, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of this litigation.

We are also currently involved in other legal proceedings in the ordinary course of our business operations. We estimate the range of liability related to pending litigation where the amount and range of loss can be estimated. We record our best estimate of a loss when the loss is considered probable. Where a

liability is probable and there is a range of estimated loss with no best estimate in the range, we record the minimum estimated liability related to the claim. As additional information becomes available, we assess the potential liability related to our pending litigation and revise our estimates. As of October 2, 2010, other than the matters described above, we have not recorded any significant accruals for contingent liabilities associated with our legal proceedings based on our belief that a liability, while possible, is not probable. Further, any possible range of loss cannot be estimated at this time. Revisions to our estimate of the potential liability could materially impact future results of operations

#### Indemnifications

We provide indemnifications of varying scope and size to certain customers against claims of intellectual property infringement made by third parties arising from the use of our products. Although the maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnifications is unlimited, to date we have not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification provisions. Additionally, we have insurance policies that, in most cases, would limit our exposure and enable us to recover a portion of any amounts paid. Therefore, we believe the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal and likelihood of incurring an obligation is remote. Accordingly, we have not accrued any liabilities in connection with these indemnification obligations as of October 2, 2010.

#### 12. Subsequent Event

On October 8, 2010, we sold our remaining 65% ownership interest in our PacketVideo subsidiary to DOCOMO, a customer of PacketVideo, for \$111.6 million. Of the net sales proceeds of \$106.5 million, after deducting estimated direct and incremental costs of \$5.1 million, we retained \$12.5 million for working capital and permitted investments and redeemed \$94.7 million in principal and accrued interest on our Senior Notes on October 12, 2010.

Upon completion of the sale, PacketVideo became a wholly owned subsidiary of DOCOMO.

## ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

In addition to historical information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ substantially from those referred to herein due to a number of factors, including but not limited to risks described in the section entitled Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. Additionally, the following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the year ended January 2, 2010 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2010.

We operate on a 52-53 week fiscal year ending on the Saturday nearest to December 31 of the current calendar year or the following calendar year. Normally, each fiscal year consists of 52 weeks, but every five or six years the fiscal year consists of 53 weeks. Fiscal year 2010 is a 52-week year ending on January 1, 2011 and fiscal year 2009 was a 53-week year ending January 2, 2010. The three and nine month periods ending on October 2, 2010 and September 26, 2009 include 13 and 39 weeks, respectively.

### OVERVIEW

#### Third Quarter Highlights

- Our net loss from continuing operations during the third quarter of 2010 was \$65.4 million compared to \$57.2 million for the third quarter of 2009.
- Our net loss from continuing operations during the first nine months of 2010 was \$129.7 million, and prior to the gain on extinguishment of debt of \$38.0 million, was \$167.7 million, compared to \$165.9 million for the first nine months of 2009.
- On July 30, 2010, we signed a definitive agreement for the sale of our remaining 65% stock ownership in our PacketVideo subsidiary to NTT DOCOMO, Inc. ("DOCOMO"), a customer of PacketVideo, for \$111.6 million. The sale subsequently closed on October 8, 2010. Of the net sales proceeds of \$106.5 million, after deducting estimated direct and incremental costs of \$5.1 million, we retained \$12.5 million for working capital and permitted investments and redeemed \$94.7 million in principal and accrued interest on our Senior Notes on October 12, 2010.

#### Our Business

NextWave Wireless Inc. is a holding company for a significant wireless spectrum portfolio. As a result of our global restructuring initiative initiated in 2008, our continuing operations are focused on the management of our wireless spectrum interests. Our total domestic spectrum holdings consist of approximately 3.9 billion MHz POPs (The term "MHz-POPs" is defined as the product derived from multiplying the number of megahertz associated with a license by the population of the license's service area), covering approximately 215.9 million total POPs, with 106.9 million POPs covered by 20 MHz or more of spectrum, and an additional 90.6 million POPs covered by at least 10 MHz of spectrum. In addition, a number of markets, including much of the New York City metropolitan region, are covered by 30 MHz or more of spectrum. Our domestic spectrum resides in the 2.3 GHz Wireless Communication Services ("WCS"), 2.5 GHz Broadband Radio Service ("BRS")/Educational Broadband Service ("EBS"), and 1.7/2.1 GHz Advanced Wireless Service ("AWS") bands and offers propagation and other characteristics suitable to support high-capacity, mobile broadband services.

Our international spectrum held for continuing operations include 2.3 GHz licenses in Canada, covering 15 million POPs.

We continue to pursue the sale of our wireless spectrum holdings and in November 2009, we retained Moelis and Company to explore the sale of our wireless holdings in United States and Canada. Any sale or transfer of the ownership of our wireless spectrum holdings is subject to regulatory approval.

We expect that we will be required to successfully monetize most of our wireless spectrum assets in order to retire our debt.

To date, we have realized a positive return on the sale of the majority of our domestic AWS spectrum licenses. However, there can be no assurance that we will realize a similar return upon the sale of our remaining wireless spectrum holdings. The sale price of our wireless spectrum assets will be impacted by, among other things:

- lthe Federal Communication Commission's ("FCC") new technical rules relating to the operation of satellite digital audio radio services and services using our WCS spectrum licenses;
- lthe timing and associated costs of build out or substantial service requirements attached to our spectrum licenses, where a failure to comply with these requirements could result in license forfeiture;
- ltiming of closure of potential sales, in particular if it is necessary to accelerate the planned sale of certain of our spectrum licenses in order to meet debt payment obligations;
- lworldwide economic conditions which we believe have adversely affected manufacturers of telecommunications equipment and technology and led to a delay in global network deployments;
- lavailability of wireless spectrum in the United States in particular, which could be affected by potential government auctions of spectrum not previously available in the market; and
- lavailability of capital for prospective spectrum buyers, which has been negatively impacted by the downturn in the credit and financial markets.

As we have previously disclosed, our efforts to sell our wireless spectrum holdings on favorable terms has been delayed by current market conditions, as well as regulatory and other market activities involving potential buyers. We are continuing to have discussions with numerous parties who have expressed interest in our various spectrum assets. However, we believe that adverse economic conditions continue to affect potential purchasers of our wireless spectrum, and there can be no assurance as to the timing of further spectrum sales or the sales prices that will be attained.

#### Discontinued Operations

The results of operations of our PacketVideo and Cygnus subsidiaries, and our Global Services and NextWave Network Product Support strategic business units, our Semiconductor segment and our WiMAX Telecom, Inquam and South American businesses, have been reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements for all periods presented, prior to sale or dissolution of the respective business.

Our discontinued international spectrum holdings include nationwide 3.5 GHz licenses in Switzerland; a nationwide 2.0 GHz license in Norway; and 2.5 GHz licenses in Argentina, collectively covering 28 million POPs.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The results of operations of our PacketVideo and Cygnus subsidiaries, and our Global Services and NextWave Network Product Support strategic business units, our Semiconductor segment and our WiMAX Telecom, Inquam and South American businesses, have been reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements for all periods presented.

Comparison of Our Third Quarter of 2010 to Our Third Quarter of 2009 – Continuing Operations

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses from continuing operations during the third quarter of 2010 were \$9.3 million compared to \$7.5 million for the third quarter of 2009. The \$1.8 million increase is attributable primarily to \$3.9 million in capital and maintenance expenditures associated with certain build-out or substantial service requirements of our licensed wireless spectrum, which generally must be satisfied



as a condition of license renewal. This increase in expense was partially offset by the cost reductions resulting from the global restructuring initiative we implemented in the second half of 2008, which included reductions in workforce and certain overhead and discretionary costs, and the closure of certain facilities. The costs incurred in connection with our global restructuring initiative, including compensation related costs incurred related to terminated employees, costs incurred related to vacated leased facilities and other restructuring related costs, are included in restructuring charges.

Included in general and administrative expenses during each of the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$1.9 million of amortization of finite-lived wireless spectrum. Also included in general and administrative expenses during the each of the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.2 million of share-based compensation expense.

#### Asset Impairment Charges

During the third quarter of 2010, we recognized an asset impairment charge of \$0.1 million related to certain prepaid assets utilized by our corporate administration functions that no longer had value.

#### Restructuring Charges (Credits)

During the third quarter of 2010, we recognized a benefit of \$0.2 million resulting from actual costs to divest and close our discontinued businesses that were lower than originally estimated.

In connection with the implementation of our global restructuring initiative, during the third quarter of 2009, our corporate support function incurred \$1.2 million of costs related to the divestiture and closure of discontinued businesses and \$0.5 million in lease abandonment costs.

#### Gain (loss) on Sales of Wireless Spectrum Licenses

During the third quarter of 2009, we completed sales of certain of our owned AWS spectrum licenses in the United States to third parties for net proceeds, after deducting direct and incremental selling costs, of \$21.2 million and recognized a net gain on the sales of \$1.6 million.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense from continuing operations during the third quarter of 2010 was \$56.8 million, as compared to \$44.7 million during the third quarter of 2009, an increase of \$12.1 million. The increase is primarily attributable to higher principal and paid-in-kind interest and the March 2010 Amendment and Waiver, which increased interest rates on our Notes. Interest expense and interest accretion of the debt discount and issuance costs related to our Third Lien Notes accounted for \$15.8 million of the increase. Higher principal and paid-in-kind interest on our Senior and Second Lien Notes accounted for additional increases of \$2.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. These increases were partially offset by \$3.4 million and \$1.6 million in lower interest accretion of the debt discount and issuance costs on our Senior and Second Lien Notes, respectively, and \$2.7 million in redemption premiums paid on our Senior Notes during the third quarter of 2009.

Interest expense from continuing operations is expected to increase over the next twelve months due to increased interest rates on our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes and the higher discount on our Third Lien Notes resulting from the debt extinguishment. Interest expense will also be affected by the timing and amount of redemptions of our Senior Notes using the proceeds from asset sales and other financial activities. In addition, the accounting treatment of the maturity extension of our Third Lien Notes has resulted in a discount of \$164.8 million at

March 16, 2010, which will be amortized using the effective interest rate method over the remaining term of the Third Lien Notes due December 2011, which will significantly increase our recorded interest expense for financial reporting purposes.

Other Income and Expense, Net

Other income, net, from continuing operations during the third quarter of 2010 was \$0.4 million, compared to other expense, net, of \$6.2 million during the third quarter of 2009, an increase of \$6.6 million. The increase in other expense, net, reflects primarily changes in the estimated fair values of our embedded derivatives on our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes.

## Income Tax Benefit

During the third quarters of 2010 and 2009, substantially all of our U.S. and foreign subsidiaries in our continuing operations had net losses for tax purposes with full valuation allowances and, therefore, no material income tax provision or benefit was recognized for these subsidiaries.

Our effective income tax rate from continuing operations during the third quarter of 2009 was 1.9%, resulting in a \$1.1 million income tax benefit of on our pre-tax loss of \$58.3 million. The income tax benefit consists of a \$1.1 million benefit from the effect of the change in the effective income tax rate on the deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite life intangible assets.

## Comparison of Our First Nine Months of 2010 to Our First Nine Months of 2009 – Continuing Operations

### General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses from continuing operations during the first nine months of 2010 were \$23.1 million compared to \$28.1 million for the same period in 2009. The \$5.0 million decrease in general and administrative expenses from continuing operations during the first nine months of 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, is attributable primarily to the cost reductions resulting from the global restructuring initiative we implemented in the second half of 2008, which included reductions in workforce and certain overhead and discretionary costs, and the closure of certain facilities. The costs incurred in connection with our global restructuring initiative, including compensation related costs incurred related to terminated employees, costs incurred related to vacated leased facilities and other restructuring related costs, are included in restructuring charges. This decrease in expense was partially offset by \$3.9 million in capital and maintenance expenditures associated with certain build-out or substantial service requirements of our licensed wireless spectrum, which generally must be satisfied as a condition of license renewal.

Included in general and administrative expenses during the each of the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$5.8 million of amortization of finite-lived wireless spectrum licenses. Also included in general and administrative expenses during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.9 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, of share-based compensation expense.

### Asset Impairment Charges

During the first nine months of 2010, we recognized an asset impairment charge of \$0.1 million related to certain prepaid assets utilized by our corporate administration functions that no longer had value.

Through our continued efforts to sell our remaining domestic AWS spectrum licenses, we determined that the carrying value of these spectrum licenses exceeded their fair value based primarily on bids received and negotiations with third parties regarding the sale of these licenses which occurred in April 2009. Accordingly, during the first nine months of 2009, we wrote-down the carrying value of our domestic AWS spectrum licenses to their estimated fair value and recognized an asset impairment charge related to continuing operations of \$9.4 million.

Additionally, during the first nine months of 2009, we recognized an asset impairment charge of \$0.2 million related to certain long-lived and prepaid assets utilized by our corporate administration functions.

### Restructuring Charges (Credits)

During the first nine months of 2010, we recognized a benefit of \$0.2 million resulting from actual costs to divest and close our discontinued businesses that were lower than originally estimated.

In connection with the implementation of our global restructuring initiative, during the first nine months of 2009, our corporate support function incurred \$0.2 million in employee termination costs, \$1.0 million in lease abandonment and related facility closure costs and \$2.5 million of costs related to the divestiture and closure of discontinued businesses.

#### Gain on Sales of Wireless Spectrum Licenses

During the first nine months of 2010, we recognized net losses on sales of our wireless spectrum licenses of \$11,000, after deducting incremental costs of \$0.8 million. These net losses were partially reduced by lease payments received by us, pending completion of the sale of certain of our owned WCS

spectrum licenses in the United States to a third party, of \$0.3 million and the forfeiture of a spectrum license sales deposit received by us of \$0.3 million.

During the first nine months of 2009, we completed sales of certain of our owned AWS spectrum licenses in the United States to third parties for net proceeds, after deducting direct and incremental selling costs, of \$26.7 million, and recognized a net gain on the sales of \$2.3 million.

#### Interest Expense

Interest expense from continuing operations during the first nine months of 2010 was \$155.0 million, as compared to \$120.5 million during the first nine months of 2009, an increase of \$34.5 million. The increase is primarily attributable to higher principal and paid-in-kind interest and the March 2010 Amendment and Waiver, which increased interest rates on our Notes. Interest expense and interest accretion of the debt discount and issuance costs related to our Third Lien Notes accounted for \$35.8 million of the increase. Higher principal and paid-in-kind interest on our Senior and Second Lien Notes accounted for additional increases of \$4.8 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. These increases were partially offset by \$6.2 million and \$1.3 million in lower interest accretion of the debt discount and issuance costs on our Senior and Second Lien Notes, respectively, and \$2.9 million in redemption premiums paid on our Senior Notes during the first nine months of 2009.

#### Gain on Extinguishment of Debt

The Amendment and Waiver modification to our Third Lien Notes, which increased the interest rate payable on our Third Lien Notes, was determined to have been accomplished with debt instruments that are substantially different, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, resulting in an effective extinguishment of the existing Third Lien Notes and a new issue of Third Lien Notes as of the modification date for accounting purposes. The new issue of Third Lien Notes was recorded at its estimated fair value using a discount rate of 40%, and that amount was used to determine net debt extinguishment gain of \$38.0 million. The net gain was determined as the difference between the remaining unamortized discount under the extinguished Third Lien Notes of \$123.1 million and the new discount of \$164.8 million, plus \$9.6 million of embedded derivative liabilities that were eliminated at the date of the extinguishment, partially offset by \$13.3 million in fee notes issued to the Third Lien noteholders. The new discount of \$164.8 million is amortized using the effective interest rate method over the remaining term of the Third Lien Notes due December 2011 which will significantly increase our interest expense for financial reporting purposes.

#### Other Income and Expense, Net

Other income, net, from continuing operations during the first nine months of 2010 was \$9.8 million, compared to other expense, net of \$7.5 million during the first nine months of 2009, an increase of \$17.3 million. The increase in other income, net, reflects primarily changes in the estimated fair values of our embedded derivatives on our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes aggregating \$15.3 million and cash of \$1.0 million released from escrow related to our reorganization in 2005. Of the \$15.3 million change in the estimated fair values of our embedded derivative liabilities, \$9.6 million of the credit to other income (expense) resulted primarily from the Amendment and Waiver which eliminated the Second Lien Note redemption premiums required upon an asset sale or change in control.

#### Income Tax Benefit

During the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 substantially all of our U.S. and foreign subsidiaries in our continuing operations had net losses for tax purposes and, therefore, no material income tax provision or benefit was recognized

for these subsidiaries.

Our effective income tax rate during the first nine months of 2009 was 0.7% resulting in a \$1.1 million income tax benefit, on our pre-tax loss of \$167.0 million. The net income tax benefit consists of a \$1.1 million benefit from the effect of the change in the effective income tax rate on the deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite life intangible assets.

Comparison of Our Third Quarter and First Nine Months of 2010 to Our Third Quarter and First Nine Months of 2009 – Discontinued Operations

The results of operations of our discontinued Multimedia and Semiconductor segments and our WiMAX Telecom, Inquam and South American businesses, which were previously in our Strategic Initiatives segment, are as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009	Increase (Decrease)	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009	Increase (Decrease)
Revenues	\$ 7.8	\$ 9.4	\$ (1.6)	\$ 28.3	\$ 41.5	\$ (13.2)
Revenues – related party	2.1	3.8	(1.7)	11.4	3.8	7.6
Total revenues	9.9	13.2	(3.3)	39.7	45.3	(5.6)
Operating expenses:						
Cost of revenues	5.1	6.0	(0.9)	17.0	20.9	(3.9)
Cost of revenues – related party	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.6
Engineering, research and development	4.7	4.7	—	14.2	19.3	(5.1)
Sales and marketing	1.9	2.0	(0.1)	6.8	7.7	(0.9)
General and administrative	5.2	4.5	0.7	12.0	13.6	(1.6)
Asset impairment charges	12.1	42.8	(30.7)	14.1	54.2	(40.1)
Restructuring charges	0.1	0.2	(0.1)	1.1	5.1	(4.0)
Total operating expenses	29.3	60.3	(31.0)	65.9	120.9	(55.0)
Net gains (losses) on business divestitures	(1.2)	3.1	(4.3)	(5.8)	3.2	(9.0)
Loss from operations	(20.6)	(44.0)	23.4	(32.0)	(72.4)	40.4
Other expense, net	(0.4)	(0.5)	0.1	(0.4)	(0.8)	0.4
Loss before income taxes	(21.0)	(44.5)	23.5	(32.4)	(73.2)	40.8
Income tax benefit (provision)	4.2	0.1	4.1	4.1	(0.2)	4.3
Net loss from discontinued operations	(16.8)	(44.4)	27.6	(28.3)	(73.4)	45.1
Net loss attributed to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	2.5	1.0	1.5	3.8	1.0	2.8
Net loss from discontinued operations attributed to NextWave	\$ (14.3)	\$ (43.4)	\$ 29.1	\$ (24.5)	\$ (72.4)	\$ 47.9

#### Revenues

Of the \$3.3 million and \$5.6 million decrease in revenues from discontinued operations during the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same periods in 2009, respectively, \$2.0 million and \$2.5 million was attributable to lower overall royalty and support revenues recognized by our PacketVideo subsidiary and \$1.3 million and \$3.1 million was attributable to our bankruptcy liquidation proceedings during the fourth quarter of 2009 for WiMAX Telecom GmbH, the holding company for our discontinued WiMAX Telecom businesses in Austria and Croatia.

Related party revenues represent sales and royalties related to versions of PacketVideo's multimedia player sold and licensed to DOCOMO for installation into DOCOMO handset models. In July 2009, DOCOMO became a related party when its subsidiary purchased a 35% noncontrolling interest in our PacketVideo subsidiary.

#### Cost of Revenues

Of the \$0.8 million and \$3.3 million decrease in cost of revenues from discontinued operations during the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same periods in 2009, respectively, \$1.5 million and \$3.4 million were attributable to our divestitures of our discontinued WiMAX Telecom businesses in Austria, Croatia, and Latin America. These decreases were partially offset by \$0.7 million and \$0.1 million in higher cost of goods sold recognized by our PacketVideo subsidiary attributable to higher service revenues, which have higher associated cost of revenues.

Included in total cost of revenues from discontinued operations during each of the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.7 million of amortization of purchased intangible assets. Also included in total cost of



revenues during each of the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.3 million of share-based compensation expense.

Included in total cost of revenues from discontinued operations during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$2.0 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, of amortization of purchased intangible assets. Also included in total cost of revenues during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, of share-based compensation expense.

#### Engineering, Research and Development

The \$5.1 million decrease in engineering, research and development expenses from discontinued operations during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same periods in 2009, respectively, is primarily attributable to a \$2.1 million decrease in third party contract expenses and other operating expenses of our PacketVideo subsidiary and a \$3.5 million decrease attributable to the shutdown of the operations of our semiconductor business in the first quarter of 2009. These decreases were partially offset by \$0.5 million in expense credits recognized by our Networks segment that were recognized during the first nine months of 2009.

The compensation related costs incurred in relation to the employees terminated in connection with the shutdown of our semiconductor business are included in restructuring charges.

Included in engineering, research and development expenses during the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and during the each of the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.9 million of share-based compensation expense.

#### Sales and Marketing

The \$0.1 million and \$0.9 million decrease in sales and marketing expenses from discontinued operations during the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same periods in 2009 is primarily attributable to the shutdown of the operations of our semiconductor business in the first quarter of 2009 and the insolvency and wind-down of WiMAX Telecom GmbH, the holding company for our discontinued WiMAX Telecom businesses in Austria and Croatia, during the fourth quarter of 2009. The compensation related costs incurred in relation to the employees terminated in connection with the shutdown of our semiconductor business are included in restructuring charges.

Included in sales and marketing expenses from discontinued operations during each of the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.3 million and during each of the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.8 million of amortization of purchased intangible assets. Also included in sales and marketing expenses during each of the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.1 million and during and first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of share-based compensation expense, respectively.

#### General and Administrative

The \$0.7 million increase in general and administrative expenses from discontinued operations during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the same periods in 2009 is primarily attributable to \$2.0 million in cash retention bonuses for certain officers and employees of PacketVideo in connection with the sale of PacketVideo stock, partially offset by lower operating expenses at our WiMAX Telecom subsidiary resulting from cost reduction actions implemented in the first quarter of 2009 and lower amortization expense resulting from our classification of our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe as assets held for sale, which, in accordance with accounting guidance for assets while held for sale, we are no longer amortizing.

The \$1.6 million decrease in general and administrative expenses from discontinued operations during the first nine months of 2010 when compared to the same periods in 2009 is primarily attributable to \$4.2 million in lower operating expenses at our WiMAX Telecom subsidiary resulting from cost reduction actions implemented in the first quarter of 2009, lower amortization expense resulting from our classification of our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe as assets held for sale, and the sale of the majority of our operations of our Inquam Broadband GmbH subsidiary during the fourth quarter of 2009. These reductions were partially offset by \$2.0 million in cash retention bonuses for certain officers and employees of PacketVideo in connection with the pending sale of PacketVideo stock and \$0.6 million in higher share-based compensation recognized by our PacketVideo subsidiary.

Included in general and administrative expenses during the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0 and \$0.4 million, respectively, of amortization of purchased intangible assets. Also included in general and administrative expenses during the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of share-based compensation expense.

Included in general and administrative expenses during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$0.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, of amortization of purchased intangible assets. Also included in general and administrative expenses during the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 is \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, of share-based compensation expense.

#### Asset Impairment Charges

In connection with our ongoing discussions to sell our Nevada office building, we determined that indicators of impairment were present, and, accordingly, based on the accounting guidance for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, we performed an assessment to determine if the carrying value of our building was recoverable through estimated undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the assets and their eventual disposition. During the first nine months of 2010, we recognized additional asset impairment charges of \$1.5 million, all of which is reported as asset impairment charges in discontinued operations.

Through our continued efforts to sell our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Argentina during 2010, we determined that the carrying values of certain of these spectrum licenses exceeded their fair values and, accordingly, during the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, we wrote-down the carrying values of these licenses to their estimated fair values and recognized asset impairment charges of \$12.1 million and \$12.7 million, respectively. Upon the sale and deconsolidation during the third quarter of 2010, of our Slovakia based subsidiary, WiMAX Telecom SRO, we reclassified \$1.2 million of the asset impairment charge on our wireless spectrum licenses in Slovakia that was recognized during the first quarter of 2010 against the net losses on business divestitures.

Through our continued efforts to sell our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Chile, during the third quarter of 2009, we determined that the carrying value of these spectrum licenses exceeded their fair value based primarily on bids received and negotiations with third parties regarding the sale of these licenses, which led to our decision not to pursue build out obligations in Europe during this time period. Accordingly, during the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, we wrote-down the carrying value of our wireless spectrum licenses in Europe and Chile to their estimated fair value and recognized asset impairment charges of \$36.0 million and \$42.8 million, respectively.

In connection with the implementation of our global restructuring initiative, we continue to review our long-lived assets for impairment and, during the first nine months of 2009, determined that indicators of impairment were present for the long-lived assets in our discontinued WiMAX Telecom and semiconductor businesses. We performed an impairment assessment of these assets and concluded that their carrying value exceeded their fair value. Accordingly, during the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, we recognized asset impairment charges of \$5.2 million and \$9.8 million, respectively.

During the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 we wrote-off the remaining net book value of the purchased customer base intangible asset of WiMAX Telecom as indicators of impairment existed, and, as a result of this write-off, we recognized a non-cash asset impairment charge of \$1.6 million during the third quarter and first nine months of 2009.

#### Restructuring Charges

During the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, we incurred \$0.1 million and \$1.1 million of expense resulting primarily from changes in our estimated contract settlement costs related to our discontinued Semiconductor operations.

In connection with the implementation of our global restructuring initiative, during the third quarter and first nine months of 2009, we incurred \$0.1 million and \$4.6 million of employee termination costs, and \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million in contract termination costs, respectively, related to our discontinued operations. The employee termination costs incurred in the first nine months of 2009 primarily resulted from the termination of 230 employees upon the shutdown of our semiconductor business.

### Net Gains on Business Divestitures

The net loss on business divestitures during the third quarter of 2010 of \$1.2 million primarily relates to selling costs incurred for our sale of PacketVideo.

The net loss on business divestitures during the first nine months of 2010 of \$5.8 million primarily relates to an \$8.8 million loss on our sale of WiMAX Telecom SRO in Slovakia and \$1.2 million in selling costs incurred for our sale of PacketVideo. This loss was partially offset by a \$4.2 million gain on the assumption of debt by the buyers of our two Chilean wireless spectrum businesses.

The net gain on business divestitures during the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 primarily relates to a \$2.5 million gain on our sale of certain of our owned Semiconductor assets for a cash payment of \$2.5 million, and a \$0.6 million gain recognized related our call option grant to IPW Holdings to purchase our remaining noncontrolling interest in IPWireless Inc. and IPW Holdings subsequent exercise of that option during 2009. The net gain on business divestitures during the first nine months of 2009 also includes \$0.1 million in cash received from the sale of assets during the first quarter of 2009.

### Other Expense, Net

Other expense, net, during the first nine months of 2010 decreased \$0.4 million and was primarily attributable to \$0.6 million in higher net foreign currency exchange rate gains, partially offset by \$0.2 million in higher interest expense.

### Income Tax Benefit (Provision)

The effective income tax rate for discontinued operations during the third quarter of 2010 was 19.8% resulting in a \$4.2 million income tax benefit on pre-tax losses from discontinued operations of \$21.0 million, which primarily relates to \$4.3 million from the effect of the reduction in deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite-lived intangible assets, partially offset by \$0.1 million in foreign withholding taxes on royalty payments received from our PacketVideo customers.

The effective income tax rate for discontinued operations during the first nine months of 2010 was 12.5%, resulting in a \$4.1 million income tax benefit on pre-tax losses from discontinued operations of \$32.4 million, which primarily relates to \$4.5 million from the effect of the reduction of deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite-lived intangible assets, partially offset by \$0.3 million in foreign withholding taxes on royalty payments received from our PacketVideo customers and \$0.1 million of income taxes related to our controlled foreign corporations.

During the first nine months of 2009, substantially all of our U.S. subsidiaries in discontinued operations had net losses for tax purposes with full valuation allowances and, therefore, no material income tax provision or benefit was recognized for these subsidiaries. Certain of our controlled foreign corporations had net income for tax purposes based on cost sharing and transfer pricing arrangements with our United States subsidiaries in relation to research and development expenses incurred.

The effective income tax rate for discontinued operations during the third quarter of 2009 was 0.1% resulting in a \$45,000 income tax benefit on a pre-tax loss from discontinued operations of \$44.5 million, which primarily relates to \$0.2 million in an income tax benefit of certain controlled foreign corporations, partially offset by \$0.1 million in foreign withholding taxes on royalty payments received from our PacketVideo customers.

The effective income tax rate for discontinued operations during the first nine months of 2009 was (0.3)%, resulting in a \$0.2 million income tax provision on a pre-tax loss from discontinued operations of \$73.2 million, which primarily relates to \$0.3 million in foreign withholding taxes on royalty payments received from our PacketVideo customers, partially offset by \$0.1 million in an income tax benefit of certain controlled foreign corporations.

#### Noncontrolling Interest

On July 2, 2009, we sold a 35% noncontrolling interest in our PacketVideo subsidiary to DOCOMO, a customer of PacketVideo. During the third quarter and first nine months of 2010, the net income from discontinued operations attributed to the noncontrolling interest in our subsidiary totaled \$2.5 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and represents DOCOMO's share of PacketVideo's net loss during

those periods. Noncontrolling interest during the third quarter and first nine months of 2009 which totaled \$1.0 million represents DOCOMO's share of PacketVideo's net loss during those periods.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We have funded our operations, business combinations, strategic investments and wireless spectrum license acquisitions primarily with the \$550.0 million in cash received in our initial capitalization in April 2005, the net proceeds of \$295.0 million from the issuance of the Senior Notes in 2006 and 2010, the net proceeds of \$351.1 million from our issuance of Series A Preferred Stock in March 2007 and the net proceeds of \$101.0 million from our issuance of the Second Lien Notes in October 2008 and July 2009. Our total unrestricted cash and cash equivalents held by continuing operations totaled \$22.7 million at October 2, 2010. We had a net working capital deficit of \$150.5 million at October 2, 2010.

In an effort to reduce our future working capital requirements and in order to comply with the terms of our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes, in the second half of 2008, our Board of Directors approved the implementation of a global restructuring initiative, pursuant to which we have divested, either through sale, dissolution or closure, our network infrastructure businesses and our semiconductor business. We have also taken other cost reduction actions. The actions completed as a result of our global restructuring initiative are described in more detail in Note 1 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report under the heading "Discontinued Operations."

Effective as of March 16, 2010, we entered into an Amendment and Limited Waiver (the "Amendment and Waiver") to the agreements governing our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes. Pursuant to the Amendment and Waiver, the maturity date of our Senior Notes was extended from July 17, 2010 to July 17, 2011, with an additional extension to October 17, 2011 if certain conditions are met, including the pendency of asset sales that would yield net proceeds sufficient to repay all then-outstanding Senior Notes. In addition, the maturity date of our Second Lien Notes was extended from December 31, 2010 to November 30, 2011. As a result of the Amendment and Waiver, the interest payable on our Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 15% per annum and the interest payable on our Third Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 12% per annum initially, increasing 1% per annum on each of December 31, 2010, March 30, 2011, June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011 to a maximum of 16%. As a result of the Amendment and Waiver, all Notes will receive only payment-in-kind interest for the full term of such Notes, unless we elect to pay cash interest, and the redemption premium on the Notes was eliminated. The Amendment and Waiver reduced the requirement to maintain a minimum cash balance from \$5 million to \$1 million and, after payment in full on October 12, 2010, of certain designated Senior Notes (the "Priority Notes") with an aggregate principal and accrued interest amount of \$58.6 million at October 2, 2010 and the Senior Incremental Notes (as defined below) with an aggregate principal and accrued interest amount of \$26.4 million at October 2, 2010, permitted us to retain \$12.5 million of asset sale proceeds for general working capital purposes and permitted investments. As consideration for the Amendment and Waiver, we paid an amendment fee to each Holder through the issuance of additional Notes under the applicable Note Agreements in an amount equal to 2.5% of the outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interest on such Holder's existing Notes (the "Fee Notes").

As permitted by the Amendment and Waiver, we issued \$20.0 million and \$5.0 million in additional Senior Notes (the "Senior Incremental Notes") during the second quarter of 2010 to Avenue Capital Management II, L.P., acting on behalf of its managed investment funds signatory thereto, and Solus Core Opportunities Master Fund Ltd and its affiliates and co-investors, respectively. As with the other Senior Notes, amounts outstanding under the Senior Incremental Notes bear interest at a rate of 15% per annum, payable in-kind unless we elect to pay cash, and are secured by a first lien on the same assets securing our Senior Notes, on a pari passu basis. No commitment fee or structuring fee was payable in connection with the issuance of the Senior Incremental Notes.

On October 8, 2010, subsequent to the end of our third quarter, we completed the sale of our PacketVideo Corporation subsidiary (“PacketVideo”) and used the net proceeds of such sale to redeem \$94.7 million of the designated Senior Notes and the Senior Incremental Notes referred to above, and retained \$12.5 million for general working capital purposes and permitted investments as permitted by the Amendment and Waiver.



In 2010, we have capital expenditure needs associated with certain build-out or substantial service requirements which apply to our licensed wireless spectrum, which generally must be satisfied as a condition of license renewal. The substantial service build-out deadline for our domestic Wireless Communication Services (“WCS”) spectrum was July 21, 2010 under the Federal Communication Commission (“FCC”) rules in existence at that time. However, the FCC adopted new rules on May 20, 2010, that became effective September 1, 2010 replacing the July 21, 2010 substantial service requirements with new requirements that must be met 42 and 72 months after the date that new WCS technical and service rules become effective. We filed substantial service showings with the FCC on July 20, 2010 for all of our WCS licenses under the rules then in effect. While we believe we have made the capital expenditures required to complete the applicable WCS build-out requirements, we may be required to make additional capital expenditures to comply with the new rules if the FCC does not accept our substantial service showings under the rules in effect on July 20, 2010. The substantial service deadline for Educational Broadband Service (“EBS”) and Broadband Radio Service (“BRS”) spectrum is May 1, 2011; however, most of our EBS leases require us to complete build out activities in 2010, in advance of the FCC’s substantial service deadline and we are presently executing these build out activities. Failure to meet our service requirements could result in forfeiture of the applicable licenses.

We believe that the completion of our asset divestiture and cost reduction actions, our current cash and cash equivalents and our ability to pay payment-in-kind interest in lieu of cash interest to the holders of our secured notes will allow us to meet our estimated operational cash requirements through September 2011, excluding the repayment of our Senior Notes having an estimated aggregate principal amount of \$138.8 million at July 2011. Should we incur significant unanticipated expenditures in excess of proceeds available to us through asset sales, we will seek to identify additional capital resources including the use of our remaining \$10.0 million of incremental Second Lien Notes debt basket, and will implement certain additional actions to reduce our working capital requirements.

Our Senior Notes, having an aggregate principal amount of \$124.3 million at October 12, 2010, will mature in July 2011, and our Second Lien Notes, having an aggregate principal amount of \$160.9 million at October 2, 2010, will mature in November 2011. In addition, our Third Lien Notes, having an aggregate principal amount of \$581.9 million at October 2, 2010, will mature in December 2011. The increase in payment in-kind interest rates on the Notes effective March 16, 2010 will increase the principal amount of this debt upon retirement. Our current cash reserves and cash generated from operations will not be sufficient to meet these payment obligations. We must consummate sales of our wireless spectrum assets yielding proceeds that are sufficient to retire our Senior Notes indebtedness or extend the maturity of our Senior Notes. If we are unable to pay our Senior Notes at maturity or if we are unable to extend maturity beyond July 2011, the holders of our Senior Notes could proceed against the assets pledged to secure these obligations, which would impair our ability to continue as a going concern. Insufficient capital to repay our debt at maturity would significantly restrict our ability to operate and could cause us to seek relief through a filing in the United States Bankruptcy Court. Any maturity extension of our Senior Notes may be costly to obtain, and could involve the issuance of equity securities that could cause significant dilution to our existing stockholders.

The following table presents our working capital (deficit), and our cash and cash equivalents balances:

			Increase (Decrease) for the Three Months Ended		Increase (Decrease) for the Nine Months Ended
(in millions)	October 2, 2010	July 3, 2010	October 2, 2010	January 2, 2010	October 2, 2010
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (150.5)	\$ 63.5	\$ (214.0)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (142.5)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22.7	\$ 31.6	\$ (8.9)	\$ 15.1	\$ 7.6

Cash and cash equivalents – discontinued operations	19.6	2.2	17.4	5.4	14.2
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 42.3	\$ 33.8	\$ 8.5	\$ 20.5	\$ 21.8

The change from working capital of \$63.5 million at July 3, 2010, to a working capital deficit \$150.5 million at October 2, 2010, was primarily attributable to the reclassification of our Senior Notes

from noncurrent to current liabilities and the reclassification of certain wireless spectrum licenses held for sale to noncurrent assets.

The increase in our working capital deficit from \$8.0 million at January 2, 2010, to \$150.5 million at October 2, 2010, was primarily attributable to the reclassification of our Senior Notes from noncurrent to current liabilities and lower wireless spectrum licenses held for sale partially offset by reclassification of the noncurrent assets and liabilities of our PacketVideo subsidiary to current assets and liabilities pending the sale of PacketVideo on October 8, 2010.

#### Uses of Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities

The following table presents our utilization of cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009	October 2, 2010	September 26, 2009
Beginning cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 33.8	\$ 17.8	\$ 20.5	\$ 61.5
Net operating cash used by continuing operations	(8.2)	(14.5)	(18.6)	(44.0)
Proceeds from the sale of wireless spectrum licenses	2.7	21.2	3.4	26.7
Proceeds from the sale of PacketVideo stock	—	45.5	—	45.5
Proceeds from the sale of ARS securities	—	—	24.0	—
Proceeds from long-term obligations	—	13.5	25.0	13.5
Payments received on notes receivable	—	—	7.1	—
Payments on long-term obligations, excluding wireless spectrum lease obligations	—	(55.4)	(21.4)	(61.4)
Cash paid for wireless spectrum license lease obligations	(0.2)	(0.2)	(4.0)	(0.9)
Purchase of property and equipment	—	—	(2.9)	—
Other, net	(1.0)	(0.5)	(1.8)	—
Net operating, investing and financing cash provided (used) by discontinued operations	15.2	(4.4)	11.0	(17.9)
Ending cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	42.3	23.0	42.3	23.0
Less: ending cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities-discontinued operations	(19.6)	(6.3)	(19.6)	(6.3)
Ending cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities-continuing operations	\$ 22.7	\$ 16.7	\$ 22.7	\$ 16.7

#### Significant Investing Activities Subsequent to the First Nine Months of 2010

On October 8, 2010, we sold our remaining 65% ownership interest in our PacketVideo subsidiary to DOCOMO, a customer of PacketVideo, for \$111.6 million. Of the net sales proceeds of \$106.5 million, after deducting estimated direct and incremental costs of \$5.1 million, we retained \$12.5 million for working capital and permitted investments and redeemed \$94.7 million in principal and accrued interest on our Senior Notes on October 12, 2010.

#### Significant Financing Activities During and Subsequent to the First Nine Months of 2010

Effective as of March 16, 2010, we entered into the Amendment and Waiver to the agreements governing our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes extending the maturity dates of our Senior and Second Lien Notes from July 17, 2010 to July 17, 2011 and from December 31, 2010 to November 30, 2011, respectively. The interest payable on our Senior and Second Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 15% per annum and the interest payable on our Third Lien Notes was increased to a rate of 12% per annum initially, increasing 1% per annum on each of December 31, 2010, March 30, 2011, June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011 to a maximum of 16%. After giving effect to the Amendment and Waiver, all Notes will receive only payment-in-kind interest for the full term of such Notes, unless we elect to pay cash interest, and the redemption premium on the Notes was eliminated. The Amendment and Waiver reduced the requirement to maintain a minimum cash balance from \$5.0 million to \$1.0 million and, after payment in full on October 12, 2010 of \$94.7 million in designated Senior Notes (the "Priority Notes") with an aggregate principal and accrued interest amount of \$56.8 million and the Senior Incremental Notes with an aggregate principal and accrued interest amount of \$26.4 million, permitted us to retain \$12.5 million of

asset sale proceeds for general working capital purposes and permitted investments. As consideration for the Amendment and Waiver, we paid an amendment fee to each Holder through the issuance of additional Notes under the applicable Note Agreements in an amount equal to 2.5% of the outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interest on such Holder's existing Notes as of March 16, 2010. The Fee Notes were paid on March 16, 2010 through the issuance of \$4.3 million in Senior Notes, \$3.6 million in Second Lien Notes and \$13.3 million in Third Lien Notes and will accrue interest and become payable in accordance with the terms of the respective Note Agreements.

In connection with the Amendment and Waiver, we issued \$20.0 million and \$5.0 million in Senior Incremental Notes to Avenue and Solus, respectively. On October 12, 2010, subsequent to the end of our third quarter and pursuant to the Amendment and Waiver, the Senior Incremental Notes were paid in full with the proceeds from our sale of PacketVideo stock. As with the other Senior Notes, amounts outstanding under the Senior Incremental Notes earned interest at a rate of 15% per annum, payable in-kind, and were secured by a first lien on the same assets securing our Senior Notes, on a pari passu basis. No commitment fee or structuring fee was payable in connection with the issuance of the Senior Incremental Notes.

The Amendment and Waiver to our Third Lien Notes, which increased the interest rate payable on our Third Lien Notes, was determined to have been accomplished with debt instruments that are substantially different, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, resulting in an effective extinguishment of the existing Third Lien Notes and a new issue of Third Lien Notes as of the modification date for accounting purposes. The new issue of Third Lien Notes was recorded at its estimated fair value using a discount rate of 40%, and that amount was used to determine the net debt extinguishment gain of \$38.0 million recognized during the first nine months of 2010, in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The net gain of \$38.0 million was determined as the difference between the remaining unamortized discount under the extinguished Third Lien Notes of \$123.1 million and the new discount of \$164.8 million, plus \$9.6 million of embedded derivative liabilities that were eliminated at the date of the extinguishment, partially offset by \$13.3 million in fee notes issued to the Third Lien noteholders. The new discount of \$164.8 million is amortized using the effective interest rate method over the remaining term of the Third Lien Notes due December 2011 which will significantly increase our interest expense for financial reporting purposes.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our discussion and analysis of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources are based on our consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, valuation of intangible assets and investments, and litigation. We base our estimates on historical and anticipated results and trends and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, including assumptions as to future events. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. By their nature, estimates are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. Actual results that differ from our estimates could have a significant adverse effect on our operating results and financial position. Our accounting policies are described in more detail in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended January 2, 2010, contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2010.

### Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructurings

Upon our debt modification in March 2010, we first reviewed the modification to determine if it constituted a troubled debt restructuring. A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring if the creditor, for economic or

legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. A creditor is deemed to have granted a concession if the debtor's effective borrowing rate on the restructured debt, after giving effect to all the terms of the restructured debt, including any new or revised sweeteners such as the Fee Notes and Senior

Incremental Notes, is less than the effective borrowing rate of the old debt immediately before the restructuring.

To determine if the noteholders granted us a concession as a result of the Amendment and Waiver, we determined a weighted average effective interest rate of the old aggregate debt immediately before the restructuring by using the respective stated interest rates in effect prior to the Amendment and Waiver plus the respective effective interest rates used for amortization of discounts and issue costs. We then determined the respective total cash flows under the new terms of each note and solved for the discount rate that equated these cash flows to the aggregate carrying value of the old debt at March 16, 2010. We also considered the current fair value of the \$25.0 million in Senior Incremental Notes which was made possible by the Amendment and Waiver. We determined that the weighted average effective rate on the new restructured debt was not less than that of the old debt, and, therefore, concluded that a concession was not considered to have been granted to us and that troubled debt accounting provisions do not apply.

#### Accounting for Debt Modifications and Extinguishments

There are two approaches to accounting for debt modifications. If the modification is deemed to have been accomplished with debt instruments that are substantially different then the modification is accounted for as a debt extinguishment, whereby the new debt instrument is initially recorded at fair value, and that amount is used to determine the debt extinguishment gain or loss to be recognized and the effective rate of the new instrument. If the present value of the cash flows under the terms of the new debt instrument is at least ten percent different from the present value of the remaining cash flows under the terms of the original instrument, the modification is deemed to have been accomplished with debt instruments that are substantially different. Any fees paid by the debtor to the creditor are associated with the extinguishment of the old debt instrument and are included in determining the debt extinguishment gain or loss to be recognized. Costs incurred with third parties directly related to the exchange or modification are associated with the new debt instrument and amortized over the term of the new debt instrument using the interest method in a manner similar to debt issue costs.

If it is determined that the present values of the original and new debt instruments are not substantially different, then a new effective interest rate is determined based on the carrying amount of the original debt instrument and the revised cash flows. Any fees paid by the debtor to the creditor are associated with the replacement or modified debt instrument and, along with any existing unamortized premium or discount, amortized as an adjustment of interest expense over the remaining term of the replacement or modified debt instrument using the interest method. Costs incurred with third parties directly related to the exchange or modification are expensed as incurred.

We determined that present values of the original and new Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes debt instruments were not substantially different and, therefore, concluded that these modifications do not receive debt extinguishment accounting treatment. We calculated new respective effective interest rates as of the modification date of March 16, 2010 based on the carrying amount of the original debt instruments and the revised cash flows. The Fee Notes paid by us to the Senior and Second Lien noteholders of \$4.3 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, along with changes in the related embedded derivatives and the existing unamortized discounts, are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining term of the respective modified debt instruments using the interest method.

We determined that the modification of our Third Lien Notes was accomplished with debt instruments that were substantially different and, therefore, concluded that debt extinguishment accounting treatment should be applied. The new issue of Third Lien Notes was recorded at its estimated fair value using a discount rate of 40%, which represents the estimated incremental borrowing rate of our Third Lien Notes that was determined by a third party valuation group, and that amount was used to determine a net debt extinguishment gain of \$38.0 million that was recognized during the first nine months of 2010 in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The net gain of \$38.0 million was determined as the difference between the remaining unamortized discount under the

extinguished Third Lien Notes of \$123.1 million and the new discount of \$164.8 million, plus \$9.6 million of embedded derivative liabilities that were eliminated at the date of the extinguishment, partially offset by \$13.3 million in Fee Notes issued to the Third Lien noteholders. The new discount of \$164.8 million is amortized using the effective interest rate method over the remaining term of the Third Lien Notes due December 2011 which will significantly increase our interest expense. The estimated fair value and related gain on



extinguishment is sensitive to fluctuations in our incremental borrowing rate. For instance, a 5% decrease in the estimated incremental borrowing rate of our Third Lien Notes would have reduced the gain on extinguishment of debt and reduced our future interest expense by \$25.8 million.

Other than the discussion above, there have been no significant changes in our critical accounting policies and estimates from January 2, 2010.

### Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our cash contractual obligations for continuing and discontinued operations at October 2, 2010, and the effect that such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods.

(in thousands)	Total	Payments Due by Fiscal Year Period			Years 2015 and Thereafter
		Remainder of 2010	Years 2011-2012	Years 2013-2014	
<b>Continuing Operations:</b>					
Long-term obligations(1)(2)	\$1,000,915	\$94,855(3)	\$876,114	\$ 8,420	\$21,526
Operating leases	186	23	163	—	—
	1,001,101	94,878	876,277	8,420	21,526
<b>Discontinued Operations:</b>					
Services and other purchase agreements	8,153	—	—	—	8,153
Operating leases	149	13	64	64	8
	8,302	13	64	64	8,161
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,009,403</b>	<b>\$94,891</b>	<b>\$876,341</b>	<b>\$ 8,484</b>	<b>\$29,687</b>

(1) Amounts presented do not include the future issuance of additional Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes in payment of interest. Except for the Priority Notes, we have assumed that the remaining principal balance of the Senior Notes as well as the Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes will not be repaid until their respective maturity dates.

(2) The March 16, 2010 Amendment and Waiver of our Senior Note, Second Lien Note and Third Lien Note agreements provided for an extension of the maturity date of our Senior Notes from July 17, 2011 to October 17, 2011 if certain conditions are met and provided that all Notes will receive only payment-in-kind interest for the full term of such Notes, unless we elect to pay cash interest.

(3) On October 8, 2010, we sold our remaining 65% ownership interest in our PacketVideo subsidiary to DOCOMO, a customer of PacketVideo, for \$111.6 million. Of the net sales proceeds of \$106.5 million, after deducting estimated direct and incremental costs of \$5.1 million, we retained \$12.5 million for working capital and permitted investments and redeemed \$94.7 million in principal and accrued interest on our Senior Notes on October 12, 2010.



#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required financial disclosures. Because of inherent limitations, our disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of such disclosure controls and procedures are met.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report.

##### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended October 2, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

On September 16, 2008, a putative class action lawsuit, captioned "Sandra Lifschitz, On Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, v. NextWave Wireless Inc. et al., Defendants," was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California against us and certain of our officers. The suit alleges that the defendants made false and misleading statements and/or omissions in violation of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The suit seeks unspecified damages, interest, costs, attorneys' fees, and injunctive, equitable or other relief on behalf of a purported class of purchasers of our common stock during the period from March 30, 2007 to August 7, 2008. A second putative class action lawsuit captioned "Benjamin et al. v. NextWave Wireless Inc. et al." was filed on October 21, 2008 alleging the same claims on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during an extended class period, from November 27, 2006 through August 7, 2008. On February 24, 2009, the Court issued an Order consolidating the two cases and appointing a lead plaintiff pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. On May 15, 2009, the lead plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint, and on June 29, 2009, we filed a Motion to Dismiss that Amended Complaint. On March 5, 2010, the Court granted our Motion to Dismiss without prejudice, permitting the lead plaintiff to file an Amended Complaint. On March 26, 2010, the lead plaintiff filed a Second Amended Consolidated Complaint. On April 30, 2010, NextWave filed a Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint and the Motion now has been fully briefed and is under submission to the court. At this time, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of this litigation.

We are also currently involved in other legal proceedings in the ordinary course of our business operations. We estimate the range of liability related to pending litigation where the amount and range of loss can be estimated. We record our best estimate of a loss when the loss is considered probable. Where a liability is probable and there is a range of estimated loss with no best estimate in the range, we record the minimum estimated liability related to the claim. As additional information becomes available, we assess the potential liability related to our pending litigation and revise our estimates. As of October 2, 2010, other

than the matters described above, we have not recorded any significant accruals for contingent liabilities associated with our legal proceedings based on our belief that a liability, while possible, is not probable. Further, any possible range of loss cannot be estimated at this time. Revisions to our estimate of the potential liability could materially impact future results of operations.

#### ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Our business involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following risks together with all of the other information contained in this Quarterly Report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2010, before making a future investment decision with respect to our securities. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected, and the value of our securities could decline.

##### Risks Relating to Our Business

We have substantial debt maturities in 2011 and our cash reserves and cash generated from operations will not be sufficient to meet these payment obligations. There can be no assurance that a maturity extension, asset sales or any additional financing will be achievable on acceptable terms. Any maturity extension may involve significant cost, including the potential issuance of equity securities that could substantially dilute our existing stockholders. Any failure to pay our debt at maturity will impair our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our Senior Notes, having an aggregate principal amount of \$124.3 million at October 12, 2010, will mature in July 2011 and our Second Lien Notes, having an aggregate principal amount of \$160.9 million at October 2, 2010, will mature in November 2011. In addition, our Third Lien Notes, having an aggregate principal amount of \$581.9 million at October 2, 2010, will mature in December 2011. At October 2, 2010, the aggregate remaining outstanding principal balances of our Senior, Second and Third Lien Notes bear payment-in-kind interest at rates of 15.0%, 15.0% and 12.0%, respectively, which will increase the principal amount of this debt upon retirement. Our current cash reserves and cash generated from operations will not be sufficient to meet these payment obligations at maturity. We must consummate sales of our wireless spectrum assets yielding proceeds that are sufficient to retire this indebtedness. If we are unable to pay our debt at maturity, or obtain a maturity extension, the holders of our notes could proceed against the assets pledged to secure these obligations, which include our spectrum assets and the capital stock of our material subsidiaries, which would impair our ability to continue as a going concern. Any maturity extension of our Senior Notes may be costly to obtain, and could involve the issuance of equity securities that could cause significant dilution to our existing stockholders. Insufficient capital to repay our debt at maturity would significantly restrict our ability to operate and could cause us to seek relief through a filing in the United States Bankruptcy Court. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recovery of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Our capital structure requires that we successfully monetize a substantial portion of our wireless spectrum assets for net proceeds substantially in excess of our cost basis in order to retire our debt. The value of our equity securities is dependent on our ability to successfully retire our debt.

We are required to use the net proceeds of asset sales to retire our debt and expect that we will be required to successfully monetize a substantial portion of our wireless spectrum assets for net proceeds substantially in excess of our cost basis in order to retire our debt. There is no guarantee that we will be able to find third parties interested in purchasing our wireless spectrum assets at prices sufficient to retire this debt prior to maturity. We may seek a maturity extension with respect to all or a portion of our debt but there can be no assurance that any such maturity

extension will be available. The sale price of our wireless spectrum assets will be impacted by, among other things:

the FCC's new technical rules relating to the operation of satellite digital audio radio services and services using our WCS spectrum licenses;

the timing and allocated costs of build-out or substantial service requirements attached to our domestic and international spectrum licenses, where a failure to comply with these requirements could result in license forfeiture;

Timing of closure of potential sales, particularly if it is necessary to accelerate the planned sale of certain of our spectrum licenses in order to meet debt payment obligations if we are not able to obtain a maturity extension;

Worldwide economic conditions which we believe have adversely affected manufacturers of telecommunications equipment and technology and led to a delay in global network deployments;

Availability of wireless spectrum in the United States in particular, which could be affected by potential government auctions of spectrum not previously available in the market; and

Availability of capital for prospective spectrum bidders which has been negatively impacted by the downturn in the credit and financial markets.

If we are unable to consummate sales of our wireless spectrum assets that are sufficient to retire our indebtedness, the holders of our notes could proceed against the assets pledged to secure these obligations, which include our spectrum assets and the capital stock of our material subsidiaries, which would impair our ability to continue as a going concern and the value of our equity securities would be impaired or eliminated.

We are highly leveraged and our operating flexibility will be significantly reduced by our debt covenants.

As of October 2, 2010, the aggregate principal amount of our secured indebtedness was \$960.9 million. This amount includes our Senior Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$218.1 million (prior to the redemption of \$94.7 million on October 12, 2010), our Second Lien Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$160.9 million and our Third Lien Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$581.9 million. Covenants in the purchase agreements for our Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes impose operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions prohibit or limit our ability, and the ability of our subsidiaries, to, among other things:

Pay dividends to our stockholders;

Incur, or cause to incur, additional indebtedness or incur liens;

Sell assets for consideration other than cash or for net proceeds less than our cost basis;

Consolidate or merge with or into other companies;

Issue shares of our common stock or securities of our subsidiaries;

Make capital expenditures or other strategic investments in our business not contemplated by our operating budget; or

Acquire assets or make investments.

We anticipate that our overall level of indebtedness and covenant restrictions will:

Limit our ability to pursue business opportunities;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the markets in which we compete;

place us at a competitive disadvantage relative to our competitors with less indebtedness;

render us more vulnerable to general adverse economic, regulatory and industry conditions; and

require us to dedicate proceeds from asset sales to service our debt.

A breach of any covenants contained in the note purchase agreements governing our secured notes could result in a default under our indebtedness. If we are unable to repay or refinance those amounts, the holders of our notes could proceed against the assets pledged to secure these obligations, which include our spectrum assets and substantially all of our other assets.

The terms of our Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes require us to certify our compliance with a restrictive operating budget and to maintain a minimum cash balance. A failure to comply with these terms may result in an event of default which could result in the acceleration of maturity of our indebtedness and impair our ability to continue as a going concern.



The terms of our Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes require us to deliver a six-month operating budget to the noteholders on a quarterly basis, which budget is reasonably acceptable to Avenue AIV US, L.P., an affiliate of Avenue Capital Management II, L.P. (“Avenue Capital”). Avenue Capital holds 78% of the aggregate principal amount of our Second Lien Notes and 56% of the aggregate principal amount of our Senior Notes. We must deliver monthly certifications relating to our cash balances to the holders of our Senior Notes and Second Lien Notes. If we are unable to certify that our cash balances have not deviated in a negative manner by more than 10% from budgeted balances, default interest will accrue and, if such condition persists for three monthly reporting periods an event of default would occur under our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes, and, if the maturity of the foregoing indebtedness were to be accelerated, an event of default would occur under our Third Lien Notes. In addition, we must certify that we have maintained a minimum cash balance of \$1.0 million, and any failure to maintain such minimum cash balance will result in an immediate event of default under our Senior Notes, Second lien Notes, and, if the maturity of the foregoing indebtedness were to be accelerated, our Third Lien Notes. Upon an acceleration of our debt following an event of default, the holders of our notes could proceed against the assets pledged to secure these obligations, which include our spectrum assets and the capital stock of our material subsidiaries, which would impair our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our restructuring and cost reduction activities expose us to contingent liabilities, accounting charges, and other risks.

We have realized significant operating losses during each reporting period since our inception in 2005, with the exception of the first quarter of 2010 due to a gain resulting from the accounting treatment of the maturity extension of our Third Lien Notes. We expect to realize further operating losses in the future. In an effort to reduce our working capital requirements, in the third quarter of 2008, we commenced the implementation of a global restructuring initiative, pursuant to which we have divested, either through sale, dissolution or closure, our operating business segments. We have also taken other cost reduction actions. During the first nine months of 2010, we incurred aggregate restructuring costs of \$0.9 million, the majority of which are lease abandonment and facility closure costs and other related costs, including contract termination costs, selling costs and legal fees.

Our restructuring activities and cost reduction efforts are subject to risks including the effect of accounting charges which may be incurred, expenses of employee severance or contract terminations or defaults, or legal claims by employees or creditors. In addition, we may face difficulty in retaining critical employees, consultants, vendors or suppliers who may believe that a continued relationship with us is of greater risk due to our restructuring activities. If we cannot successfully complete our restructuring efforts, our expenses will continue to exceed our revenue and available funding resources and we will not be able to continue as a going concern and could potentially be forced to seek relief through a filing under the United States Bankruptcy Code.

We have become and may continue to be the target of securities class action suits and derivative suits which could result in substantial costs and divert management attention and resources.

Securities class action suits and derivative suits are often brought against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. Defending against these suits can result in substantial costs to us and divert the attention of our management.

On September 16, 2008, a putative class action lawsuit, captioned “Sandra Lifschitz, On Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, v. NextWave Wireless Inc. et al., Defendants,” was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California against us and certain of our officers. The suit alleges that the defendants made false and misleading statements and/or omissions in violation of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The suit seeks unspecified damages, interest, costs, attorneys’ fees, and injunctive, equitable or other relief on behalf of a purported class of purchasers of our common stock during

the period from March 30, 2007 to August 7, 2008. A second putative class action lawsuit captioned “Benjamin et al. v. NextWave Wireless Inc. et al.” was filed on October 21, 2008 alleging the same claims on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during an extended class period, from November 27, 2006 through August 7, 2008. On February 24, 2009, the Court issued an Order consolidating the two cases and appointing a lead plaintiff pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. On May 15, 2009, the lead plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint, and on June 29, 2009, we filed a Motion to Dismiss that Amended Complaint. On March 5, 2010, the Court granted our Motion to Dismiss without prejudice, permitting the lead plaintiff to file an Amended Complaint. On March 26, 2010, the lead plaintiff filed a Second Amended Consolidated Complaint. On

April 30, 2010, NextWave filed a Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint and the Motion now has been fully briefed and is under submission to the court. At this time, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of this litigation.

Effective as of July 23, 2010, our common stock is no longer traded on The Nasdaq Global Market (“Nasdaq”) and investors no longer have the benefit of certain exemptions from state securities laws governing resales, liquidity benefits and governance protections afforded by a Nasdaq listing.

As a result of our delisting from Nasdaq, we are not currently subject to its corporate governance requirements and you may not have the same protections as are afforded to stockholders of companies listed on the Nasdaq. For example, we are no longer required to maintain a majority of independent directors on our Board of Directors. Delisting from the Nasdaq may also result in increased obligations under state securities laws and decreased coverage by security analysts.

Markets operated by the Pink OTC Markets are generally regarded as less efficient and liquid than Nasdaq. The ability to trade our common stock on the OTCQB depends on the presence and investment decisions of willing buyers and sellers. Accordingly, if an active and liquid trading market price for our common stock does not develop or, if developed, does not continue, the market price of our common stock will be adversely affected. In addition, because we are no longer listed on Nasdaq, sales of our common stock by brokers in certain states may be limited or prohibited pending completion of registration filings required under state securities laws.

Trading in our common stock may be subject to the requirements of certain rules promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which require additional disclosure by broker-dealers in connections with any trade involving a stock defined as a “penny stock”. A “penny stock” is any equity security that has a market price per share of less than \$5.00, subject to certain exceptions, such as any securities listed on a national securities exchange. Additional disclosure burdens relating to penny stocks imposed upon broker-dealers by the SEC requirements could discourage broker-dealers from facilitating trades in our common stock, which could limit the market liquidity of the stock and the ability of investors to trade our common stock.

Special Risk Considerations Relating to our Sale of our Remaining Interest in PacketVideo Corporation to NTT DOCOMO, Inc.

The stock purchase agreement may expose us to contingent liabilities.

Under the stock purchase agreement, we agreed to indemnify DOCOMO, jointly and severally with NextWave Broadband, for losses arising out of any inaccuracy or breach of any representation or warranty of the Company, NextWave Broadband or PacketVideo or any breach of any covenant or agreement by the Company, NextWave Broadband or PacketVideo, subject to certain limitations. Significant indemnification claims by DOCOMO could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. In the event that claims for indemnification for such losses exceed the \$0.2 million threshold, we may be obligated to indemnify DOCOMO for up to \$8.0 million of such losses, unless the claim for indemnification is based upon, arising out of or relating to any matter constituting fraud, in which case there is no limit.

We no longer have any significant operating revenues.

Given our previous divestiture and/or discontinuation of operations of our network infrastructure subsidiaries, all of our significant operating revenues during the first nine months of 2010 were generated by PacketVideo. After the sale of the PacketVideo shares to DOCOMO, we no longer have any significant operating revenues and, if we are not able

to successfully sell our wireless spectrum assets to generate cash flow, we may not be able to comply with our debt covenants and may not be able to continue as going concern.

After the sale we are a very small public company without any significant operating revenues.

The Company remains a publicly traded company and continues to be subject to SEC rules and regulations, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. While all public companies face the costs and burdens associated with being publicly traded, given the small size of our company and the lack of significant operating revenues, these costs and burdens will be particularly significant to us.

## Risks Relating to Government Regulation

If we do not comply with build-out requirements relating to our domestic spectrum licenses, such licenses could be subject to forfeiture.

Certain “build-out” or “substantial service” requirements apply to our licensed wireless spectrum, which generally must be satisfied as a condition of license renewal. In particular, the renewal deadline and the substantial service build-out deadline for our domestic BRS and EBS spectrum is May 1, 2011; for our domestic WCS spectrum the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) adopted new rules, purporting to supersede the July 21, 2010 build-out deadline, establishing two substantial service deadlines of 42 months and 72 months after September 1, 2010, which was the effective date of the new rules; and for our domestic AWS spectrum, the substantial service build-out deadline is December 18, 2021. Failure to make the substantial service demonstration domestically, without seeking and obtaining an extension from the FCC, would result in license forfeiture.

The substantial service deadline for our domestic WCS spectrum was July 21, 2010 under the FCC rules effective at that time. However, the FCC adopted new rules on May 20, 2010, that, when they became effective on September 1, 2010, purported to replace the July 21, 2010 substantial service requirement with new requirements that must be met 42 and 72 months after the date that new WCS technical and service rules became effective. We filed substantial service showings with the FCC on July 20, 2010 for all of our WCS licenses under the rules then in effect. The FCC has granted all pending WCS license renewal applications, including those that we filed in April of 2007, conditioned on the outcome of an ongoing FCC rulemaking proceeding regarding wireless license renewal procedures. While we believe we have made the capital expenditures required to complete the applicable WCS build-out requirements, we may be required to make additional capital expenditures to comply with the new rules if the FCC does not accept our substantial service showings under the rules in effect on July 20, 2010. There can be no assurance of how the FCC will ultimately treat the WCS license renewal applications.

The substantial service deadline for Educational Broadband Service and Broadband Radio Service (“EBS/BRS”) spectrum is May 1, 2011; however, most of our EBS leases require us to complete build out activities in 2010, in advance of the FCC’s substantial service deadline. At this time we do not plan to construct or to partner with a third party to construct a commercial EBS system using the spectrum to meet the FCC substantial service requirement. Instead, we have arranged with our EBS licensees to either (a) have the EBS licensee continue to use the spectrum to provide educational services in the cases where the EBS licensee is currently providing such service or (b) provide educational services on a network that will be installed by us, at our cost, either of which option is intended to deliver educational services over the spectrum in compliance with the FCC’s educational safe harbor to meet the substantial service showing by the deadline. Our reliance on the EBS licensees to provide the educational service may subject us to risk of non-renewal in the event the EBS licensee fails to provide the service. In addition, if we are unable to complete the construction of the system so that the EBS licensee can provide the service by the substantial service deadline, the affected license(s) would be subject to non-renewal for failure to make the substantial service showing to the FCC by the deadline. With respect to our domestic BRS spectrum, we plan to construct a commercial system using the spectrum to meet the FCC substantial service requirement. If we are unable to complete the construction of the system so that we can provide the service by the substantial service deadline, the affected license(s) would be subject to non-renewal for failure to make the substantial service showing to the FCC by the deadline.

The FCC’s rules for meeting the substantial service requirements are written generally so as to enable flexibility in providing service. However, because the rules are subject to interpretation, the FCC has discretion in determining if the substantial service showing is adequate to meet the rules and there is a risk that the FCC may not approve the substantial service showing and any of our licenses that did not meet the substantial service requirement would then

be subject to non-renewal.

If we do not comply with build-out requirements relating to our international spectrum licenses, such licenses could be subject to forfeiture.

We operate or hold spectrum licenses through various subsidiaries and joint ventures in Argentina, Canada, Norway and Switzerland. We have certain build-out requirements internationally, and failure to make those service demonstrations could result in license forfeiture. In Argentina we are in ongoing discussions with the local regulator about the sufficiency of our build out. If we cannot obtain approval from the local regulator of our build out, we could be subject to license revocation proceedings. In Canada, our 2.3 GHz licenses are subject to mid-term in-use demonstration requirements in November of 2012 and in April of 2013. In addition, in Switzerland our 3.5 GHz licenses are subject to service

requirements in September 2010. We requested an extension from the Swiss regulator of twenty-four months to meet the service requirements, however it is not certain whether the regulator will grant our extension request or will revoke the licenses for failure to meet the September 2010 requirements. We do not have specific build out obligations in Norway.

Owning and operating wireless spectrum licenses in overseas jurisdictions may be subject to a changing regulatory environment.

Approval of the transfer of wireless broadband spectrum to us in Argentina remains subject to obtaining governmental approval. Changes in foreign regulatory guidelines for the issuance or use of wireless licenses, foreign ownership of spectrum licenses, the adoption of wireless standards or the enforcement and licensing of intellectual property rights may adversely impact either our ability to sell or the sale price we are able to obtain for our international spectrum in Europe, Argentina and Canada.

Our use of EBS spectrum is subject to privately negotiated lease agreements. Changes in FCC Rules governing such lease agreements, contractual disputes with EBS licensees, or failures by EBS licensees to comply with FCC Rules could impact our use of the spectrum.

With few exceptions, commercial enterprises are restricted from holding licenses for EBS spectrum. Eligibility for EBS spectrum is limited to accredited educational institutions, governmental organizations engaged in the formal education of enrolled students (e.g., school districts), and nonprofit organizations whose purposes are educational. Access to EBS spectrum can only be gained by commercial enterprises through privately-negotiated EBS lease agreements. FCC regulation of EBS leases, private interpretation of EBS lease terms, private contractual disputes, and failure of an EBS licensee to comply with FCC regulations all could impact our use of EBS spectrum and the value of our leased EBS spectrum. The FCC Rules permit EBS licensees to enter into lease agreements with a maximum term of 30 years; lease agreements with terms longer than 15 years must contain a right of review” by the EBS licensee every five years beginning in year 15. The right of review must afford the EBS licensee with an opportunity to review its educational use requirements in light of changes in educational needs, technology, and other relevant factors and to obtain access to such additional services, capacity, support, and/or equipment as the parties shall agree upon in the spectrum leasing arrangement to advance the EBS licensee’s educational mission. A spectrum leasing arrangement may include any mutually agreeable terms designed to accommodate changes in the EBS licensee’s educational use requirements and the commercial lessee’s wireless broadband operations. In addition, the terms of EBS lease agreements are subject to contract interpretation and disputes could arise with EBS licensees. There can be no assurance that EBS leases will continue for the full lease term, or be extended beyond the current term, or be renewed or extended on terms that are satisfactory to us. Similarly, since we are not eligible to hold EBS licenses, we must rely on EBS licensees with whom we contract to comply with FCC Rules. The failure of an EBS licensee from whom we lease spectrum to comply with the terms of their FCC authorization or FCC Rules could result in termination, forfeiture or non-renewal of their authorization, which would negatively impact the amount of spectrum available for our use.

We have no guarantee that the licenses we hold or lease will be renewed.

The FCC generally grants wireless licenses for terms of ten or 15 years, which are subject to renewal and revocation. FCC Rules require all wireless licensees to comply with applicable FCC Rules and policies and the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the “Communications Act”), in order to retain their licenses. For example, licensees must meet certain construction requirements, including making substantial service demonstrations, in order to retain and renew FCC licenses. Failure to comply with FCC requirements with respect to any license could result in revocation or non-renewal of a license. In general, most wireless licensees who meet their construction and/or substantial service

requirements are afforded renewal expectancy; however, all FCC license renewals can be challenged in various ways, regardless of whether such challenges have any legal merit. Under FCC Rules, licenses continue in effect during the pendency of timely filed renewal applications. Challenges to license renewals, while uncommon, may impact the timing of renewal grants and may impose legal costs. Accordingly, there is no guarantee that licenses we hold or lease will remain in full force and effect or be renewed.

We hold 30 licenses issued by the FCC for WCS spectrum. Renewal applications for all 2.3 GHz WCS licenses, including those issued to us, were due to be filed with the FCC on July 21, 2007. We filed our WCS renewal applications on April 23, 2007. Under FCC Rules, licenses continue in effect during the pendency of timely file renewal applications. We are aware of three parties that made filings purporting to



be “competing applications” in response to the renewal applications we, AT&T, and others filed. The basis on which the third-party filings were made was the alleged failure of WCS licensees to deploy service on WCS spectrum and satisfy substantial service requirements by July 21, 2007. However, on December 1, 2006, the FCC issued a waiver order extending the substantial service deadline for WCS licensees to July 21, 2010. The FCC’s rules contain no procedures for processing “competing applications” filed for WCS spectrum and the FCC has not accepted them for filing. The FCC granted all pending WCS license renewal applications, including those that we filed in April of 2007. However, the grant of these applications was conditioned on the outcome of an ongoing FCC rulemaking proceeding regarding wireless license renewal procedures in which the FCC has proposed to dismiss all pending “competing applications”. There can be no assurance of how the FCC will ultimately treat the “competing applications” or the WCS license renewal applications.

Interference could negatively impact our use of wireless spectrum we hold, lease or use.

Under applicable FCC and equivalent international rules, users of wireless spectrum must comply with technical rules that are intended to eliminate or diminish harmful radiofrequency interference between wireless users. Licensed spectrum is generally entitled to interference protection, subject to technical rules applicable to the radio service, while unlicensed spectrum has no interference protection rights and must accept interference caused by other users.

Wireless devices utilizing WCS, BRS and EBS spectrum may be susceptible to interference from Satellite Digital Audio Radio Services (“SDARS”).

Since 1997, the FCC has considered a proposal to permanently authorize terrestrial repeaters for SDARS operations adjacent to the C and D blocks of the WCS band. The FCC has permitted a large number of these SDARS terrestrial repeaters to operate on a special temporary authorization since 2001. Permanently authorizing SDARS repeaters adjacent to the WCS band could cause interference to WCS, BRS and EBS receivers. The extent of the interference from SDARS repeaters is unclear and will depend on the effectiveness of the new rules adopted by the FCC on May 20, 2010 (as further described below) and the operation of SDARS equipment under the new rules. Because WCS C and D block licenses are adjacent to the SDARS spectrum, the potential for interference to this spectrum is of greatest concern. There is a lesser magnitude concern regarding interference from SDARS to WCS A and B block licenses, and BRS and EBS licenses. The FCC adopted new technical rules on May 20, 2010 to govern WCS and SDARS operations. These rules became effective on September 1, 2010. Operation of both WCS and SDARS equipment under the new rules could result in interference to our WCS, BRS or EBS spectrum, which could impair our ability to realize value from this spectrum.

Increasing regulation of the tower industry may make it difficult to deploy new towers and antenna facilities which could adversely affect the value of certain of our wireless spectrum assets.

The FCC, together with the Federal Aviation Administration (“FAA”), regulates tower marking and lighting. In addition, tower construction and deployment of antenna facilities is impacted by federal, state and local statutes addressing zoning, environmental protection and historic preservation.

The FCC adopted significant changes to its rules governing historic preservation review of new tower projects, which makes it more difficult and expensive to deploy towers and antenna facilities. The FCC also is considering changes to its rules regarding when routine environmental evaluations will be required to determine compliance of antenna facilities with its radiofrequency radiation exposure limits. If adopted, these regulations could make it more difficult to deploy facilities. In addition, the FAA has proposed modifications to its rules that would impose certain notification requirements upon entities seeking to (i) construct or modify any tower or transmitting structure located within certain proximity parameters of any airport or heliport, and/or (ii) construct or modify transmission facilities using the

2500-2700 MHz radiofrequency band, which encompasses virtually all of the BRS/EBS frequency band. If adopted, these requirements could impose new administrative burdens upon use of BRS/EBS spectrum.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On August 2, 2010, we issued restricted stock awards to our Executive Vice President-Chief Legal Counsel and Executive Vice President-Chief Financial Officer, as previously disclosed in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 4, 2010. Mr. Cassou received an award of 750,000 restricted shares and Mr. Harding received an award of 250,000 restricted shares. Such awards were issued

in a transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof.

ITEM 3. Default Upon Senior Securities

None.

ITEM 4. Removed and reserved

ITEM 5. Other Information

ITEM 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
-------------	-------------

31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Frank Cassou.
------	---

31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Francis J. Harding.
------	---

32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Frank Cassou.
------	--

32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Francis J. Harding.
------	--

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NEXTWAVE WIRELESS INC.  
(Registrant)

November 10, 2010  
(Date)

By: /s/ Francis J. Harding  
Francis J. Harding  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

Index to Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Frank Cassou.
31.2	Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Francis J. Harding.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Frank Cassou.
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Francis J. Harding.

