COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS INC

Form 10-Q August 12, 2009 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

	_
(Mark	(Ama)
UVIATK	(me)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

Commission File Number: 001-31588

# COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MINNESOTA 41-0957999

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (Federal Employer Identification No.)

10900 Red Circle Drive, Minnetonka, MN 55343
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(952) 996-1674

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES o NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. YES o NO x

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer o Non-Accelerated Filer o Smaller Reporting Company x

#### APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class On Which Registered Outstanding at August 1, 2009

Common Stock, par value
\$.05 per share

Name of Exchange
On Which Registered

NASDAQ

8,343,262

# Edgar Filing: COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# **INDEX**

	Page No.
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	24
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	24
Part II. Other Information	25
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	
CERTIFICATIONS 2	

# COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	June 30 2009	Ι	December 31 2008	
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,004,972	\$	29,951,561	
Investments	9,601,766			
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$295,000 and \$219,000,				
respectively	16,940,582		17,243,788	
Inventories	27,837,274		29,398,443	
Other current assets	520,549		861,039	
Deferred income taxes	3,844,034		3,364,297	
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	78,749,177		80,819,128	
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, net	13,563,488		12,014,541	
OTHER ASSETS:				
Investments	4,994,074			
Goodwill	4,560,217		4,560,217	
Deferred income taxes	678,009		643,667	
Prepaid pensions	340,308		566,137	
Other assets	128,552		134,101	
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	10,701,160		5,904,122	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 103,013,825	\$	98,737,791	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 360,440	\$	348,373	
Accounts payable	6,062,218	\$	4,126,756	
Accrued compensation and benefits	3,752,511		2,985,233	
Other accrued liabilities	1,637,634		1,624,971	
Income taxes payable	733,955		11,430	
Dividends payable	1,168,154		994,169	
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	13,714,912		10,090,932	
LONG TERM LIABILITIES:				
Long-term compensation plans	708,782		1,410,559	
Income taxes payable	643,855		733,683	
Long term debt - mortgage payable	2,591,186		2,774,474	
TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	3,943,823		4,918,716	
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES				
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share; 3,000,000 shares authorized; none issued				
Common stock, par value \$.05 per share; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 8,343,262 and 8,282,348				
shares issued and outstanding, respectively	417,163		414,117	
Additional paid-in capital	33,533,263		33,019,154	
Retained earnings	51,290,625		50,503,410	
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	114,039		(208,538)	
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	85,355,090		83,728,143	

\$ 103,013,825 \$ 98,737,791

# COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME (Unaudited)

		Three Months 2009	Ende	d June 30 2008		Six Months E 2009	nded	June 30 2008
Sales from operations	\$	28,584,399	\$	31,291,042	\$	55,349,357	\$	61,612,277
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of sales		17,934,303		20,029,342		34,919,816		38,900,023
Selling, general and administrative expenses		7,882,388		8,553,281		15,885,197		16,584,438
Impairment and other charges related to JDL						, ,		, ,
(Notes 4 and 11)				(221,213)				2,999,441
Total costs and expenses		25,816,691		28,361,410		50,805,013		58,483,902
Operating income		2,767,708		2,929,632		4,544,344		3,128,375
•								
Other income and (expenses):		200 245		120 504		400 793		210.260
Investment and other income Gain on sale of assets		280,245 24,041		130,504 80,987		490,782 32,672		319,260 86,204
Interest and other expense		(57,703)		(51,638)		(116,795)		(87,762)
Other income, net		246,583		159,853		406,659		317,702
Other micome, net		240,363		139,833		400,039		317,702
Income before income taxes		3,014,291		3,089,485		4,951,003		3,446,077
Income tax expense		1,265,910		829,000		1,979,690		999,000
Net income		1,748,381		2,260,485		2,971,313		2,447,077
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Additional minimum pension liability adjustments		(240,238)		(44,367)		(228,278)		43
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities		66,775		(44,507)		66,775		73
Foreign currency translation adjustment		557,799		33,822		484,080		(209,153)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		384,336		(10,545)		322,577		(209,110)
Comprehensive net income (loss)	\$	2,132,717	\$	2,249,940	\$	3,293,890	\$	2,237,967
•				· ·		, ,		
Basic net income per share:	\$	.21	\$	.26	\$	.36	\$	.28
Diluted net income per share:	\$	.21	\$	.26	\$	.36	\$	.28
A D : 61 O ( ) 1'		0.242.262		0.600.620		0.220.002		0.502.051
Average Basic Shares Outstanding		8,343,262		8,609,628		8,330,093		8,593,851
Average Dilutive Shares Outstanding	¢	8,350,628	ø	8,652,053	ø	8,335,162	¢.	8,635,834
Dividends per share	\$	.12	\$	.12	\$	.24	\$	.24
The accompanying notes are an int	egrai	part of the con	sonda	aleu financial st	atem	ients.		

# COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)

	Common	Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital		Retained	Cumulative Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)			
	Shares	Amount			Earnings				Total
BALANCE AT DECEMBER									
31, 2008	8,282,349	\$ 414,117	\$ 33,019,154	\$	50,503,410	\$	(208,538)	\$	83,728,143
Net income					2,971,313			\$	2,971,313
Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock									
Purchase Plan	6,683	334	49,187					\$	49,521
Issuance of common stock to Employee Stock Ownership									
Plan	55,100	2,755	427,025					\$	429,780
Issuance of common stock under Employee Stock Option									
Plan	2,000	100	17,200					\$	17,300
Tax benefit from	ĺ		,						,
non-qualified employee stock									
options			650					\$	650
Share based compensation			31,571					\$	31,571
Purchase of common stock	(2,869)	(143)	(11,524)		(14,458)			\$	(26,125)
Shareholder dividends					(2,169,640)			\$	(2,169,640)
Other comprehensive income							322,577	\$	322,577
BALANCE AT JUNE 30,									
2009	8,343,263	417,163	33,533,263		51,290,625		114,039		85,355,090

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

		Six Months E 2009	nded	June 30 2008
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$	2,971,313	\$	2,447,077
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		814,454		1,107,339
Share based compensation		31,571		41,824
Deferred income taxes		(514,079)		(1,024,482)
Impairment and other charges related to JDL (Notes 4 and 11)		0		2,999,441
(Gain) loss on sale of assets		(32,672)		(86,204)
Excess tax benefit from stock based payments		(650)		(34,216)
Changes in assets and liabilities:				
Trade receivables		416,903		(4,346,423)
Inventories		1,736,690		933,428
Prepaid income taxes		0		689,624
Other current assets		355,195		(946,866)
Accounts payable		1,865,747		546,307
Accrued compensation and benefits		495,280		(1,105,164)
Other accrued expenses		(5,919)		794,308
Income taxes payable		633,348		395,836
Net cash provided by operating activities		8,767,181		2,411,829
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Capital expenditures		(2,318,124)		(2,408,985)
Purchases of investments		(21,758,065)		
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets		41,536		127,906
Proceeds from the sale of investments		7,229,000		
Net cash used in investing activities		(16,805,653)		(2,281,079)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Cash dividends paid		(1,995,656)		(2,062,999)
Mortgage principal payments		(171,221)		(156,820)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		66,821		306,559
Excess tax benefit from stock based payments		650		34,216
Purchase of common stock		(26,125)		(103,932)
Net cash used in financing activities		(2,125,531)		(1,982,976)
EFFECT OF FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH		(217,414)		(11,623)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(9,946,589)		(1,863,849)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	_	29,951,561		29,427,879
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$	20,004,972	\$	27,564,030
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		1000	_	
Income taxes paid	\$	1,860,422	\$	449,260
Interest paid		115,889		89,079
Dividends declared not paid		1,168,154		1,034,632
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial	ıl state	ments.		

6

#### **Table of Contents**

# COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Description of business

Communications Systems, Inc. (herein collectively called CSI, our or the Company) is a Minnesota corporation organized in 1969 which operates directly and through its subsidiaries located in the United States, Costa Rica, the United Kingdom and China. CSI is principally engaged through its Suttle and Austin Taylor business units in the manufacture and sale of modular connecting and wiring devices for voice and data communications, digital subscriber line filters, and structured wiring systems and through its Transition Networks business unit in the manufacture of media and rate conversion products for telecommunications networks. CSI also provides through its JDL Technologies business unit IT solutions including network design, computer infrastructure installations, IT service management, change management, network security and network operations services.

#### Financial statement presentation

The condensed consolidated balance sheets and condensed consolidated statement of changes in stockholders equity as of June 30, 2009 and 2008 and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 have been prepared by Company management. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments except where noted) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows at June 30, 2009 and 2008 and for the periods then ended have been made.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in condensed consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted. We recommend these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s December 31, 2008 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the periods ended June 30 are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the entire year.

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The estimates and assumptions used in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are based upon management s evaluation of the relevant facts and circumstances as of the time of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Except to the extent updated or described below, the significant accounting policies set forth in Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, appropriately represent, in all material respects, the current status of accounting policies, and are incorporated herein by reference.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Cash equivalents and investments

For purposes of the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had \$20.0 million in cash and cash equivalents. Of this amount, \$6.6 million was invested in short-term money market funds that are not considered to be bank deposits and are not insured or guaranteed by the federal deposit insurance company (FDIC) or other government agency. These money market funds seek to preserve the value of the investment at \$1.00 per share; however, it is possible to lose money investing in these funds. The remainder is operating cash and certificates of deposit which are fully insured through the FDIC.

The Company had \$14.6 million in investments which consist of certificates of deposit that are traded on the open market and are classified as available-for-sale at June 30, 2009. Of the \$14.6 million in investments, \$9.6 million mature in 12 months or less and are classified as current assets. Available-for-sale investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses net of tax excluded from operations and reported as a separate component of stockholders equity (See Comprehensive income below).

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company s manufacturing operations (Suttle, Transition Networks and Austin Taylor) recognize revenue when the earnings process is complete, evidenced by persuasive evidence of an agreement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue is recognized for domestic and international sales at the shipping point or delivery to customers, based on the related shipping terms. Risk of loss transfers at the point of shipment or delivery to customers. Sales are made directly to customers and through distributors. Payment terms for distributors are consistent with the terms of the Company s direct customers. The Company records a provision for sale returns, sales incentives and warranty costs at the time of the sale based on historical experience and current trends.

JDL Technologies generally records revenue on hardware, software and related equipment sales and installation contracts when the revenue recognition criteria are met and products are installed and accepted by customer.

JDL records revenue on service contracts on a straight-line basis over the contract period, unless evidence suggests the revenue is earned in a different pattern. Each contract is individually reviewed to determine when the earnings process is complete. Contracts in effect through June 30, 2008 with the United States Virgin Islands Department of Education (VIDE) required funding under the federal government s E-RATE program and approval by the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administration Company (USAC) before payment was made. Our policy is not to recognize E-RATE revenue on our VIDE contracts until approval is received from the SLD.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Comprehensive income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, are as follows:

	June 30 2009	De	ecember 31 2008
Foreign currency translation	\$ (368,294)	\$	(852,374)
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments	66,775		
Minimum pension liability	415,558		643,836
	\$ 114 039	\$	(208 538)

#### NOTE 2 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Common shares are reserved in connection with the Company s 1992 Stock Plan under which 2,500,000 shares of common stock may be issued pursuant to stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or deferred stock granted to officers and key employees. Exercise prices of stock options under the Stock Plan cannot be less than fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. Rules and conditions governing awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock are determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, subject to certain limitations incorporated into the Stock Plan. At June 30, 2009, 1,074,239 shares remained available to be issued under the Stock Plan. All currently outstanding awards under the Stock Plan are vested. The options expire five years from date of grant.

Shares of common stock are also reserved for issuance in connection with a nonqualified stock option plan under which up to 200,000 shares may be issued to nonemployee directors (the Director Plan ). The Director Plan provides for the automatic grant of nonqualified options for 3,000 shares of common stock annually to each nonemployee director concurrent with the annual stockholders meeting. Exercise price is the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant. Options granted under the Director Plan vest when issued and expire 10 years from date of grant. At June 30, 2009, 31,000 shares are available to be issued under the Director Plan.

The Company also has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) for which 500,000 common shares have been reserved. Employees are able to acquire shares under the ESPP Plan at 95% of the price at the end of the current semi-annual plan term, which is June 30, 2009. The ESPP Plan is non-compensatory under current rules and does not give rise to compensation cost under SFAS No. 123(R).

Stock compensation expense recognized for the six month period ended June 30, 2009 was \$32,000 before income taxes and \$20,000 after income taxes. Stock compensation expense recognized for the six month period ended June 30, 2008 was \$42,000 before income taxes and \$27,000 after income taxes. Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options included in financing cash flows for the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, were \$1,000 and \$34,000, respectively.

The following table summarizes the stock option transactions for the three months ended June 30, 2009. All outstanding stock options are currently exercisable.

#### **Table of Contents**

		Options	eighted average exercise price per share	Weighted average remaining contractual term
Outstanding	December 31, 2008	351,350	\$ 9.99	2.78 years
Issued		18,000	\$ 9.73	
Exercised		(2,000)	\$ 8.65	
Canceled		(124,450)	\$ 9.51	
Outstanding	June 30, 2009	242,900	\$ 10.23	4.19 years

18,000 director stock options were granted during the six month period ended June 30, 2009. The aggregate intrinsic value of all options (the amount by which the market price of the stock on the last day of the period exceeded the market price of the stock on the date of grant) outstanding at June 30, 2009 was \$155,000. The intrinsic value of all options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$2,000. Net cash proceeds from the exercise of all stock options were \$0 and \$239,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### **NOTE 3 - INVENTORIES**

Inventories summarized below are priced at the lower of first-in, first-out cost or market:

	June 30 2009	Γ	December 31 2008
Finished goods	\$ 17,365,433	\$	17,924,907
Raw and processed materials	10,471,841		11,473,536
Total	\$ 27,837,274	\$	29,398,443

#### NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill is required to be evaluated for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests upon the occurrence of certain events or circumstances, according to SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The standard requires a two-step process be performed to analyze whether or not goodwill has been impaired. Step one is to test for potential impairment, and requires that the fair value of the reporting unit be compared to its book value including goodwill. If the fair value is higher than the book value, no impairment is recognized. If the fair value is lower than the book value, a second step must be performed. The second step is to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any, and requires that a hypothetical purchase price allocation be done to determine the implied fair value of goodwill. This fair value is then compared to the carrying value of goodwill. If the implied fair value is lower than the carrying value, an impairment adjustment must be recorded.

On January 17, 2008, VIDE, at that time a major customer of the JDL Technologies segment under successive one year contracts since 1998, notified the company that JDL was not selected as a vendor to provide services to VIDE for the 2008-2009 school year. The loss of the VIDE contract for 2008 - 2009 represented an event that required goodwill to be tested for impairment in accordance with SFAS 142. The Company completed the SFAS No. 142 evaluation at March 31, 2008 and recorded a goodwill impairment for the JDL Technologies segment of \$704,000.

#### **Table of Contents**

No events or circumstances occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that required an impairment test or adjustment to the financial statements.

#### NOTE 5 WARRANTY

We provide reserves for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time revenue is recognized. We estimate the costs of our warranty obligations based on our warranty policy or applicable contractual warranty, historical experience of known product failure rates, and use of materials and service delivery costs incurred in correcting product failures. Management reviews the estimated warranty liability on a quarterly basis to determine its adequacy. The actual warranty expense could differ from the estimates made by the company based on product performance.

The following table presents the changes in the Company s warranty liability for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the majority of which relates to a five-year obligation to provide for potential future liabilities for network equipment sales.

	2009	2008
Beginning Balance	\$ 593,000	\$ 518,000
Actual warranty costs paid	(184,000)	(159,000)
Amounts charged to expense	336,000	260,000
Ending balance	\$ 745,000	\$ 619,000

#### NOTE 6 CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is subject to legal actions and claims and incurs costs to defend against such actions and claims. At June 30, 2009, no legal actions or claims were outstanding or pending that would materially affect the Company s financial position or results of operations.

#### NOTE 7 INCOME TAXES

In the preparation of the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements, management calculates income taxes based upon the estimated effective rate applicable to operating results for the full fiscal year. This includes estimating the current tax liability as well as assessing differences resulting from different treatment of items for tax and book accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are recorded on the balance sheet. These assets and liabilities are analyzed regularly and management assesses the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income.

At June 30, 2009 there was \$522,000 of net uncertain tax benefit positions that would reduce the effective income tax rate if recognized. The Company records interest and penalties related to income taxes as income tax expense in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. The tax years 2005-2007 remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and the years 2004-2007 remain open to examination by various state tax departments. The tax years from 2006-2008 remain open in Costa Rica.

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company s effective income tax rate was 40% for the first six months of 2009. The effective tax rate differs from the federal tax rate of 35% due to state income taxes, foreign losses not currently deductible for U.S. income tax purposes, and provisions for interest charges on uncertain tax positions. The foreign operating losses may ultimately be deductible in the countries which they occurred, however the Company has not recorded a deferred tax asset for these losses due to uncertainty regarding eventual realization of the benefit. The effect of the foreign operations is an overall rate increase of approximately 4.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. There were no additional uncertain tax positions identified in the second quarter of 2009. The Company s effective income tax rate was approximately 29% for the six months ended June 30, 2008, and differed from the federal tax rate due to provisions for interest charges, state income taxes and settlement of uncertain tax positions.

#### NOTE 8 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company classifies its businesses into four segments: Suttle, which manufactures U.S. standard modular connecting and wiring devices for voice and data communications and structured cabling products; Transition Networks, which designs and markets data transmission, computer network and media conversion products and print servers; JDL Technologies, (JDL), which provides IT services; and Austin Taylor which manufactures British standard telephone equipment and equipment enclosures for the U.K and international markets. Non-allocated corporate general and administrative expenses are categorized as Other in the Company s segment reporting. Management has chosen to organize the enterprise and disclose reportable segments based on products and services. There are no material intersegment revenues.

Information concerning the Company s continuing operations in the various segments for the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

# Table of Contents

# SEGMENT INFORMATION - SIX MONTHS

	Suttle	Transition Networks	JDL Technologies	Austin Taylor	Other	Total
Six months ended June 30, 2009:						
Sales	\$ 22,610,556	\$ 25,807,976	\$ 5,383,301	\$ 1,547,524	\$	\$ 55,349,357
Cost of sales	17,568,938	12,389,167	3,503,354	1,458,357		\$ 34,919,816
Gross profit	5,041,618	13,418,809	1,879,947	89,167		20,429,541
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3,137,280	9.794.984	660,565	589.474	1,702,894	\$ 15.885,197
Operating income (loss)	\$ 1,904,338	\$ 3,623,825	\$ 1,219,382	\$ (500,307)	\$ (1,702,894)	\$ 4,544,344
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 312,877	\$ 294,861	\$ 81,777	\$ 31,406	\$ 93,533	\$ 814,454
Capital expenditures	\$ 2,007,992	\$ 160,058	\$ 8,542	\$ 83,351	\$ 58,181	\$ 2,318,124
Assets	\$ 23,913,846	\$ 26,390,154	\$ 5,218,364	\$ 4,987,839	\$ 42,503,622	\$ 103,013,825
	Suttle	Transition Networks	JDL Technologies	Austin Taylor	Other	Total
Six months ended June 30, 2008:			G	·		
Sales	\$ 22,601,241	\$ 30,324,633	\$ 5,574,530	\$ 3,111,873	\$	\$ 61,612,277
Cost of sales	17,018,734	15,921,759	3,392,070	2,567,460		\$ 38,900,023
Gross profit	5,582,507	14,402,874	2,182,460	544,413		22,712,254
Selling, general and administrative						
expenses	3,491,766	10,100,759	484,686	549,218	1,958,009	\$ 16,584,438
Impairment and other charges related to JDL			2,999,441			\$ 2,999,441
Operating income (loss)	\$ 2,090,741	\$ 4,302,115	\$ (1,301,667)	\$ (4,805)	\$ (1,958,009)	\$ 3,128,375
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 366,149	\$ 285,073	\$ 286,200	\$ 44,477	\$ 125,440	\$ 1,107,339
Capital expenditures	\$ 438,574	\$ 339,968	\$	\$ 11,156	\$ 1,619,287	\$ 2,408,985
Assets	\$ 24,345,248	\$ 25,930,045 13	\$ 6,385,685	\$ 5,468,501	\$ 39,514,303	\$ 101,643,782

# Table of Contents

### SEGMENT INFORMATION - THREE MONTHS

		C44] -	Transition		JDL Technologies		Austin			Other		Total
Three months ended June 30, 2009:		Suttle		Networks	1	ecnnologies		Taylor		Otner		1 otai
•	Φ.	10.500.550	Φ.	12 (71 102	Φ.	2 202 222	Φ.	050.401	Φ.		Φ.	20.504.200
Sales	\$	10,760,552	\$	13,671,193	\$	3,302,233	\$	850,421	\$		\$	28,584,399
Cost of sales		8,499,083		6,564,334		2,065,941		804,945			\$	17,934,303
Gross profit		2,261,469		7,106,859		1,236,292		45,476				10,650,096
Selling, general and administrative												
expenses		1,571,038		4,871,653		340,013		308,538		791,146	\$	7,882,388
Operating income (loss)	\$	690,431	\$	2,235,206	\$	896,279	\$	(263,062)	\$	(791,146)	\$	2,767,708
Depreciation and amortization	\$	162,713	\$	147,436	\$	40,293	\$	16,433	\$	2,129	\$	369,004
Capital expenditures	\$	1,768,895	\$	84,756	\$	8,542	\$	43,052	\$	41,936	\$	1,947,181
Assets	\$	23,913,846	\$	26,390,154	\$	5,218,364	\$	4,987,839	\$	42,503,622	\$	103,013,825

	Suttle	Transition Networks	Т	JDL echnologies	Austin Taylor	Other	Total
Three months ended June 30, 2008:							
Sales	\$ 10,153,658	\$ 17,276,055	\$	2,414,995	\$ 1,446,334	\$	\$ 31,291,042
Cost of sales	8,058,266	9,077,894		1,667,929	1,225,253		\$ 20,029,342
Gross profit	2,095,392	8,198,161		747,066	221,081		11,261,700
Selling, general and administrative							
expenses	1,818,586	5,316,733		255,982	266,596	895,384	\$ 8,553,281
Impairment and other charges related to							
JDL				(221,313)			\$ (221,313)
Operating income (loss)	\$ 276,806	\$ 2,881,428	\$	712,397	\$ (45,515)	\$ (895,384)	\$ 2,929,732
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 186,069	\$ 166,683	\$	49,800	\$ 23,101	\$ 66,462	\$ 492,115
Capital expenditures	\$ 104,445	\$ 237,468	\$		\$ 5,855	\$ 823,871	\$ 1,171,639
Assets NOTE 9 PENSIONS	\$ 24,345,248	\$ 25,930,045	\$	6,385,685	\$ 5,468,501	\$ 39,514,303	\$ 101,643,782

The Company s U.K. based subsidiary Austin Taylor maintains defined benefit pension plans that cover approximately 10 active employees. The Company does not provide any other post-retirement benefits to its employees. Components of net periodic benefit cost of the pension plans were:

		Six months Ended June 30			
		2009		2008	
Service cost		\$ 19,000		26,000	
Interest cost		139,000		157,000	
Expected return on plan assets		(135,000)		(156,000)	
Amortization of unrecognized (gain)/loss					
		\$ 23,000	\$	27,000	
	14				

#### **Table of Contents**

#### NOTE 10 NET INCOME PER SHARE

Basic net income per common share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year. Diluted net income per common share takes into effect the dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding. The Company s only potential common shares outstanding are stock options, which resulted in a dilutive effect of 7,366 shares and 5,069 shares for the respective three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009. The dilutive effect of stock options for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 was 42,426 shares and 41,983 shares, respectively. The Company calculates the dilutive effect of outstanding options using the treasury stock method. The number of shares not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options exercise price was greater than the average market price of common stock during the period was 170,900 and 116,900 at June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

#### NOTE 11 ASSET IMPAIRMENT

We are required to test for asset impairment relating to property and equipment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset might not be recoverable. We apply SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, in order to determine whether or not an asset is impaired. This standard requires an impairment analysis when indicators of impairment are present. If such indicators are present, the standard requires that if the sum of the future expected cash flows from a company s asset, undiscounted and without interest charges, is less than the carrying value, an asset impairment must be recognized in the financial statements. The amount of the impairment is the difference between the fair value of the asset and the carrying value of the asset. On January 17, 2008 the Company was notified by VIDE, a customer of JDL Technologies under successive one year contracts since 1998, that the Company was not selected as VIDE s vendor for the next contract year, July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009. The decision of VIDE to select another vendor for the next contract year represented an event that required the related asset group to be tested for impairment. The Company completed this evaluation in the first quarter of fiscal 2008 and identified an impairment of the network infrastructure supporting services provided to VIDE to the extent of its total net book value of \$2,295,000.

#### NOTE 12 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Effective Jan. 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for recurring fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 provides a single definition of fair value and requires enhanced disclosures about assets and liabilities measured at fair value. SFAS No. 157 establishes a hierarchal framework for disclosing the observability of the inputs utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. The three levels defined by the SFAS No. 157 hierarchy and examples of each level are as follows:

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. The Company s financial instruments categorized as Level 1 relate to cash equivalents.

Level 2 Observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar instruments and quoted prices in markets that are not active, and inputs that are directly observable or can be corroborated by observable market data. The types of assets and liabilities included in Level 2 are typically either comparable to actively traded securities or contracts, such as treasury securities with pricing interpolated from recent trades of similar securities, or priced with models using highly observable inputs, such as commodity options priced using observable forward prices and volatilities.

#### **Table of Contents**

Level 3 Significant inputs to pricing have little or no observability as of the reporting date. The types of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 are those with inputs requiring significant management judgment or estimation, such as the complex and subjective models and forecasts used to determine the fair value of financial instruments.

The Company s assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009 include cash equivalents and investments of \$24,978,000 classified as level one within the SFAS No. 157 hierarchy. The Company does not have any assets or liabilities classified as level two or three within the SFAS No. 157 hierarchy.

#### NOTE 13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of this filing. We do not believe there are any material subsequent events which would require further disclosure.

# Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### Forward looking statements

In this report and, from time to time, in reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in press releases, and in other communications to shareholders or the investing public, the Company may make forward looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 concerning possible or anticipated future financial performance, business activities, plans, pending claims, investigations or litigation which are typically preceded by the words believes, expects, anticipates, intends or similar expressions. For such forward-looking statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in federal securities laws. Shareholders and the investing public should understand that such forward looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties which could cause actual performance, activities, anticipated results, outcomes or plans to differ significantly from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to: lower sales to major telephone companies and other major customers; the introduction of competitive products and technologies; our ability to successfully reduce operating expenses at certain business units; the general health of the telecom sector, successful integration and profitability of acquisitions; delays in new product introductions; higher than expected expense related to new sales and marketing initiatives; unfavorable resolution of claims and litigation, availability of adequate supplies of raw materials and components; fuel prices; government funding of education technology spending; and other factors discussed from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including risk factors presented under Item 1A of the Company's most recently filed report on Form 10-K.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

Consolidated sales decreased in 2009 to \$55,349,000 compared to \$61,612,000 in 2008. Consolidated operating income in 2009 increased to \$4,544,000 compared to \$3,128,000 in the first six months of 2008. 2008 first half operating income was impaired by charges totaling \$2,999,000 related to JDL Technologies not being selected as a vendor to provide services to VIDE for the period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009.

#### **Table of Contents**

Net income in 2009 increased to \$2,971,000 compared to \$2,447,000 in the first six months of 2008.

#### Suttle

Suttle sales remained stable in the first six months of 2009 at \$22,611,000 compared to \$22,601,000 in the same period of 2008. Sales by customer groups in the first six months of 2009 and 2008 were:

	Suttle Sales by	Custo	mer Group
	2009		2008
Major telephone companies	\$ 12,997,000	\$	10,318,000
Distributors/OEMs	6,531,000		8,319,000
International	1,009,000		1,258,000
Other	2,074,000		2,706,000
	\$ 22,611,000	\$	22,601,000

Suttle s sales by product groups in first six months of 2009 and 2008 were:

	Suttle Sales by Product Group			
	2009		2008	
Modular connecting products	\$ 8,503,000	\$	10,130,000	
DSL products	6,716,000		4,523,000	
Structured cabling products	7,079,000		7,497,000	
Other products	313,000		451,000	
	\$ 22,611,000	\$	22,601,000	

Sales to the major telephone companies increased 26% in 2009 due to increased sales of DSL products. Sales to these customers accounted for 57% of Suttle s sales in the first six months of 2009 compared to 46% of sales in the same period in 2008. Sales to distributors, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and electrical contractors decreased 21% in 2009 primarily due to the contraction of the housing and building sectors of the economy. This customer segment accounted for 29% and 37% of sales in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. International sales decreased 20% and accounted for 4% of Suttle s first six months 2009 sales. Suttle s products do not have a large international market due to different product specifications in non-US markets. Sales to other customers decreased 23% to \$2,074,000.

Modular connecting product sales have decreased 16% while structured cabling products have declined by 6%, due to a slowing of the home building business and accelerated decline in the voice market, whereas DSL products have increased 48% as a result of a new contract with a major telephone company.

Suttle s gross margin decreased 10% in the first six months of 2009 to \$5,042,000 compared to \$5,582,000 in the same period of 2008. Gross margin percentage decreased to 22% in 2009 from 25% in 2008 due to product mix changes. Suttle realizes its highest selling margins on modular connecting products. DSL products are the least profitable. Suttle also earns better margins on sales to distributor and OEM customers where pricing is usually based on Company list prices than from major telephone customers where pricing is usually based on negotiated contracts. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$355,000 or 10% in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, but remained consistent as a percentage of sales. Suttle s operating income was \$1,904,000 in the first six months of 2009 compared to operating income of \$2,091,000 in 2008.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Transition Networks**

Transition Networks sales decreased 15% to \$25,808,000 in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$30,325,000 in 2008.

First six months sales by region are presented in the following table:

	Transition Networks Sales by Region			
	2009		2008	
North America	\$ 21,194,000	\$	20,548,000	
Europe, Middle East, Africa	2,069,000		4,753,000	
Rest of world	2,545,000		5,024,000	
	\$ 25,808,000	\$	30,325,000	

Sales in North America increased \$646,000 or 3% due to projects with the US Federal Government. Overall sales have either remained flat or declined in most markets in the US, but declines have not been as significant as in international markets. International sales decreased \$5,163,000, or 53% primarily due to the impact of the global economic crisis and currency fluctuations. Customers have either delayed or canceled projects, but the Company is continuing to invest in the region because long term projects are promising.

The following table summarizes Transition Networks 2009 and 2008 first six months sales by its major product groups:

	Transition Networks Sales by Product Group			
	2009	-	2008	
Media converters	\$ 19,422,000	\$	23,186,000	
Ethernet switches	1,460,000		2,928,000	
Ethernet adapters	3,548,000		2,572,000	
Other products	1,378,000		1,639,000	
	\$ 25,808,000	\$	30,325,000	

Gross margin on the first six months of Transition Networks sales decreased to \$13,419,000 in 2009 from \$14,403,000 in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was 52% in 2009, compared to 47% in the 2008 period, due to decreased manufacturing costs related to an inventory cost reduction plan started in 2008 and change in product mix. The increase in sales of Ethernet adapters and decrease in media converters is one of the contributors to the increased margins. Furthermore, the decline in sales of media converters is attributed to the slowing economy around the world. The increase in sales of Ethernet adapters is due to ongoing projects in North America. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased 3% to \$9,795,000 in 2009 compared to \$10,101,000 in 2008 due to open positions that have not been filled due to the uncertainty of the economy and lower revenues offset by increases in research and development costs related to the creation of new prototypes. Operating income decreased to \$3,624,000 in 2009 compared to \$4,302,000 in 2008.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### JDL Technologies, Inc.

JDL Technologies, Inc. reported 2009 first six months sales of \$5,383,000 compared to \$5,575,000 in 2008.

JDL s revenues by customer group were as follows:

	JDL Revenue by Customer Group			
	2009		2008	
Broward County FL schools	\$ 5,301,000	\$	2,573,000	
VIDE			2,921,000	
All other	82,000		81,000	
	\$ 5,383,000	\$	5,575,000	

Revenues earned in Broward County FL increased \$2,728,000 or 106% in 2009. The increase was the result of network refresh work originally scheduled for 2008 that was performed in the first quarter of 2009 due to customer budget limitations in the fourth quarter of 2008. In addition, projects scheduled to run through September of 2009 were accelerated into the first half by customer request. Overall, in 2009 the Company booked installation business above 2008 levels. The decrease in VIDE revenue in 2009 was due to losing VIDE as a customer for the 2008-2009 school year.

JDL gross margin was \$1,880,000 in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$2,182,000 in the same period in 2008. Gross margin in 2008 was significantly impacted by the timing of the recognition of revenues from JDL s VIDE contracts as expenses related to the revenue recognized in the first quarter of 2008 were booked in 2007. More specifically, costs of \$1.4 million were recorded in 2007, when the services were provided, while the \$1.3 million revenue received for such services was recognized in the first six months of 2008 when the E-Rate funding was approved. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased in 2009 to \$661,000 compared to \$485,000 in 2008 due to an increase in compensation expenses. JDL reported operating income of \$1,219,000 in the first six months of 2009 compared to an operating loss of \$1,302,000 in the same period of 2008.

### **Austin Taylor**

Austin Taylor s revenues decreased to \$1,548,000 for the first six months of 2009, compared to \$3,112,000 in 2008. This decrease is primarily due to the general market and economy slowdown, specifically with overseas customers. Gross margin decreased 84% to \$89,000 in 2009 from \$544,000 in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was 6% in 2009 compared to 17% in 2008. This decrease was due to greatly increased material costs compounded by an unfavorable currency exchange rate during the first six months of 2009. Additionally, Austin Taylor was burdened with the impact of product quality issues from two of its major vendors. Austin Taylor reported an operating loss in 2009 of \$500,000 compared to \$5,000 in 2008.

#### Other

Net investment income increased 28% to \$407,000 in 2009 as compared to \$318,000 in 2008. Income before income taxes increased to \$4,951,000 in 2009 compared to \$3,446,000 in 2008. The Company s effective income tax rate was 40% in 2009 compared to 29% in 2008. This effective rate was higher than the standard rate of 35% due to state income taxes, foreign losses not deductible for U.S. income tax purposes, and provisions for interest charges as explained in Note 7 above.

#### **Table of Contents**

### Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2008

Consolidated sales decreased 9% in 2009 to \$28,584,000 compared to \$31,291,000 in 2008. Consolidated operating income in 2009 decreased to \$2,768,000 compared to \$2,930,000 in the second quarter of 2008.

Net income in 2009 decreased to \$1,748,000 compared to \$2,261,000 in the second quarter of 2008.

#### Suttle

Suttle sales increased 6% in the second quarter of 2009 to \$10,761,000 compared to \$10,154,000 in the same period of 2008 due to stronger DSL product sales. Sales by customer groups in the second quarter of 2009 and 2008 were:

	Suttle Sales by Customer Group			
	2009		2008	
Major telephone companies	\$ 6,041,000	\$	4,301,000	
Distributors/OEM	3,314,000		4,238,000	
International	380,000		552,000	
Other	1,026,000		1,063,000	
	\$ 10,761,000	\$	10,154,000	

Suttle s sales by product groups in second quarter of 2009 and 2008 were:

	Suttle Sales by Product Group			
	2009		2008	
Modular connecting products	\$ 3,946,000	\$	4,153,000	
DSL products	3,083,000		2,185,000	
Structured cabling products	3,588,000		3,727,000	
Other products	144,000		89,000	
	\$ 10,761,000	\$	10,154,000	

Sales to the major telephone companies increased 40% in 2009 due to increased sales of DSL products. Sales to these customers accounted for 56% of Suttle s sales in the 2009 second quarter compared to 42% of sales in the same period of 2008. Sales to distributors, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and electrical contractors decreased 22% in 2009 primarily due to the contraction of the housing and building sectors of the economy. This customer segment accounted for 31% and 42% of sales in the second quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively. International sales decreased 31% and accounted for 4% of Suttle s second quarter 2009 sales. Suttle s products do not have a large international market due to different product specifications in non-US markets. Sales to other customers decreased 3% to \$1,026,000.

#### Table of Contents

Modular connecting products sales have decreased 5% due to a slowing of the home building business and accelerated decline in the voice market, whereas DSL products have increased 41% as a result of a new contract with a major telephone company.

Suttle s gross margin increased 8% in the second quarter of 2009 to \$2,261,000 compared to \$2,095,000 in the same period of 2008. Gross margin percentage remained stable at 21% in both 2009 and 2008. Suttle realizes its highest selling margins on modular connecting products. DSL products are the least profitable. Suttle also earns better margins on sales to distributor and OEM customers where pricing is usually based on Company list prices than from major telephone customers where pricing is usually based on negotiated contracts. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$248,000 or 14% in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. Suttle s operating income was \$690,000 in the second quarter of 2009 compared to operating income of \$277,000 in 2008.

#### **Transition Networks**

Transition Networks sales decreased 21% to \$13,671,000 in the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$17,276,000 in 2008.

Second quarter sales by region are presented in the following table:

	Tr	ansition Networ	ks Sa	les by Region
		2009		2008
North America	\$	11,407,000	\$	10,914,000
Europe, Middle East, Africa		879,000		3,476,000
Rest of world		1,385,000		2,886,000
	\$	13 671 000	\$	17 276 000

Sales in North America increased \$493,000 or 5% due to projects with the US Federal Government. International sales decreased \$4,098,000, or 64% due to decreased sales from one large customer because the customer elected to delay sales to subsequent quarters. Additionally, business is lower in Japan, greater Asia and South America due to downturns in these economies.

The following table summarizes Transition Networks 2009 and 2008 second quarter sales by its major product groups:

	Tra	Transition Networks Sales by Product Group		
		2009	-	2008
Media converters	\$	9,806,000	\$	11,899,000
Ethernet switches		913,000		2,326,000
Ethernet adapters		2,231,000		2,019,000
Other products		721,000		1,032,000
	\$	13,671,000	\$	17,276,000

Gross margin on second quarter Transition Networks sales decreased to \$7,107,000 in 2009 from \$8,198,000 in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was 52% in 2009, compared to 47% in the 2008 period, due to decreased manufacturing costs related to the inventory cost reduction plan started in 2008 and change in product mix. Furthermore, the decline in sales of media converters and Ethernet switches is attributed to the slowing economy around the world. The increase in sales of Ethernet adapters is due to ongoing projects in North America. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased 8% to \$4,872,000 in 2009 compared to \$5,317,000 in 2008 due to open positions that have not been filled due to the uncertainty of the economy and lower revenues. Operating income decreased to \$2,235,000 in 2009 compared to \$2,881,000 in 2008.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### JDL Technologies, Inc.

JDL Technologies, Inc. reported 2009 second quarter sales of \$3,302,000 compared to \$2,415,000 in 2008.

JDL s revenues by customer group were as follows:

	JDL Revenue by	JDL Revenue by Customer Group			
	2009		2008		
Broward County FL schools	\$ 3,289,000	\$	1,581,000		
VIDE			834,000		
All other	13,000				
	\$ 3,302,000	\$	2 415 000		

Revenues earned in Broward County FL increased \$1,708,000 or 108% in 2009. The increase was the result of projects scheduled to run through September of 2009 that were accelerated into the first half by customer request. The decrease in VIDE revenue in 2009 was due to losing VIDE as a customer for the 2008-2009 school year.

JDL gross margin was \$1,236,000 in the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$747,000 in the same period in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was 37% in 2009, compared to 31% in the 2008 period due to purchasing discounts the Company was able to take advantage of during the quarter. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased in 2009 to \$340,000 compared to \$256,000 in 2008 which has remained consistent as a percentage of sales at 10%. JDL reported operating income of \$896,000 in the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$712,000 in the same period of 2008.

#### **Austin Taylor**

Austin Taylor s revenues decreased to \$850,000 for the second quarter of 2009, compared to \$1,446,000 in 2008. This decrease is primarily due to the general market and economy slowdown. Gross margin decreased 80% to \$45,000 in 2009 from \$221,000 in 2008. Gross margin as a percentage of sales was 5% in 2009 compared to 15% in 2008. This decrease was due to greatly increased material costs compounded by an unfavorable currency exchange rate during the second quarter of 2009. Additionally, Austin Taylor was burdened with the impact of product quality issues from two of its major vendors. Austin Taylor reported an operating loss in 2009 of \$263,000 compared to \$46,000 in 2008.

#### Other

Net investment income increased 54% to \$247,000 in 2009 as compared to \$160,000 in 2008. Income before income taxes decreased 2% to \$3,014,000 in 2009 compared to \$3,090,000 in 2008. The Company's effective income tax rate was 42% in 2009 compared to 27% in 2008. This effective rate was higher than our expected tax rate of 36.5% in 2009 due primarily to uncertainties regarding our ability to realize the benefits of foreign losses not deductible for U.S. income tax purposes. The 2008 rate reflects settlement of a Puerto Rico tollgate tax dispute in May 2008, which resulted in an income tax benefit that decreased the effective tax rate to 27%.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2009, the Company had approximately \$34,601,000 of cash, cash equivalents and investments compared to \$29,952,000 of cash, cash equivalents and investments at December 31, 2008. The Company had current assets of approximately \$78,749,000 and current liabilities of \$13,715,000 at June 30, 2009 compared to current assets of \$80,819,000 and current liabilities of \$10,091,000 at December 31, 2008.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$8,767,000 in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$2,412,000 provided in the same period in 2008. Significant working capital changes from December 31, 2008 to June 30, 2009 included decreased inventory of \$1,737,000 due to sale of increased inventory purchases at the end of 2008 and an increase in accounts payable of \$1,866,000 related to the increased inventory purchases at JDL.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$16,806,000 in the first six months in 2009 compared to cash used of \$2,281,000 in the same period in 2008, due to the purchase of certificates of deposit with maturities of greater than 90 days, offset by the sale of such investments. Additionally, capital expenditures during the first half of 2009 were comparable to the prior 2008 period. In 2008, there were additional purchases equipping the Company s new building in Minnetonka, Minnesota. In 2009, the Company spent approximately \$1,644,000 on new machinery at the Suttle facility.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$2,126,000 and \$1,983,000 in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. Cash dividends paid in the first six months of 2009 were \$1,996,000 (\$.24 per common share) compared to \$2,063,000 (\$.24 per common share) in the same period in 2008. Proceeds from common stock issuances, principally exercises of employee stock options, totaled approximately \$67,000 in the first six months of 2009 and \$307,000 in the same period in 2008. The Company s Board of Directors has authorized the purchase and retirement, from time to time, of shares of the Company s stock on the open market, or in private transactions consistent with overall market and financial conditions. In the first six months of 2009, the Company purchased and retired 2,869 of its common shares at a cost of \$26,000. At June 30, 2009, 484,194 additional shares could be repurchased under outstanding Board authorizations. The Company has a \$10,000,000 line of credit from U.S. Bank. Interest on borrowings on the credit line is at the LIBOR rate plus 1.5% (2.1% at June 30, 2009). There were no borrowings on the line of credit during the first three months of 2009 or 2008. The credit agreement expires September 30, 2009 and is secured by assets of the Company. As part of the acquisition of the new Minnetonka headquarters building in July 2007, the Company assumed an outstanding mortgage of \$4,380,000. The mortgage is payable in monthly installments and carries an interest rate of 6.83%. The mortgage matures on March 1, 2016. Mortgage payments on principal totaled \$86,000 during the second quarter of 2009. The outstanding balance on the mortgage was \$2,952,000 at June 30, 2009.

In the opinion of management, based on the Company s current financial and operating position and projected future expenditures, sufficient funds are available to meet the Company s anticipated operating and capital expenditure needs.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies, including the assumptions and judgments underlying them, are discussed in our 2008 Form 10-K in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies included in our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. There were no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

The Company s accounting policies have been consistently applied in all material respects and disclose such matters as allowance for doubtful accounts, sales returns, inventory valuation, warranty expense, income taxes, revenue recognition, asset and goodwill impairment recognition and foreign currency translation. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the result of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Results may differ from these estimates due to actual outcomes being different from those on which we based our assumptions. Management on an ongoing basis reviews these estimates and judgments.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

We do not believe there are any recently issued accounting standards that have not yet been adopted that will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

The Company has no freestanding or embedded derivatives. The Company s policy is to not use freestanding derivatives and to not enter into contracts with terms that cannot be designated as normal purchases or sales.

The vast majority of our transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars; as such, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates have historically not been material to the Company. At June 30, 2009 our bank line of credit carried a variable interest rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate (Libor) plus 1.5%. The Company s investments are either money market type of investments that earn interest at prevailing market rates or certificates of deposits insured through the federal deposit insurance company and as such do not have material risk exposure.

Based on the Company s operations, in the opinion of management, no material future losses or exposure exist relative to market risk.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

#### **Table of Contents**

Operating Effectiveness of Accounting and Control Procedures. We concluded that, in the aggregate, no material weakness existed as of June 30, 2009 related to documentation and review of significant accounting judgments and estimates, balance sheet account reconciliations, financial closing processes and financial reporting processes at period ends.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The following changes to our internal controls over financial reporting were substantially completed during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 and have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting:

We have developed detailed methodologies for all items requiring management s estimate and judgment and these methodologies formally document management s thought processes used to determine the amounts in estimates and such analyses are shared with the audit committee;

We have developed formal processes to document completion and review and approval of balance sheet account reconciliations; We have implemented processes to provide for supporting documentation and evidence of independent review and approval of journal entries, processes to require execution of sub-certifications of appropriate officers, processes to ensure that monthly close checklists are implemented and followed, processes to ensure formal review and approval of final subsidiary trial balances to reconcile agreement to consolidating schedule and processes to ensure review of posted journal entries;

We have developed templates and checklists for disclosure items and preparation of periodic reports.

During the period covered by this Report there was no additional change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Not Applicable

# Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the risk factors disclosed elsewhere in this report or in the Company s 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the following risk factor should be considered when reviewing other information set forth in this report and previously filed reports.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all possible error or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected. These inherent limitations, include, the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of persons, by collusion of two or more persons, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Risk of goodwill impairment.

A sustained decline in the Company s stock price below book value may result in goodwill impairments that could adversely affect the Company s results of operations and financial position.

#### Items 2 3. Not Applicable

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders

The Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of the Registrant was held on May 21, 2009 at the Company s offices in Minnetonka, Minnesota. The total number of shares outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting was 8,345,481 of which 7,682,216 were present either in person or by proxy. Shareholders reelected board members Jeffrey K. Berg and Roger H.D. Lacey to three-year terms expiring at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The vote for these board members was as follows:

	In Favor	Abstaining
Jeffrey K. Berg	7,432,958	209,177
Roger H.D.		
Lacey	7,402,171	240,287

Board members continuing in office are Edwin C. Freeman, Luella Gross Goldberg, Gerald D. Pint, Curtis A. Sampson and Randall D. Sampson (whose terms expire at Annual Shareholder Meetings in 2010 and 2011.

In addition, at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, shareholders approved an amendment of the Company s 1990 Employee Stock Purchase Plan to increase by 100,000 shares the number of shares authorized for issuance under such plan to a total of 500,000 shares.

#### Item 5. Not Applicable

## Item 6 Exhibits.

The following exhibits are included herein:

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Exchange Act).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Exchange Act).
- 32. Certifications pursuant Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. §1350).

# Table of Contents

# **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereto duly authorized.

27

Communications Systems, Inc.

Ву /s/ Jeffrey K. Berg Jeffrey K. Berg

> /s/ David T. McGraw David T. McGraw Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 12, 2009

Date: August 12, 2009