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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS <br> JUNE 30, 2010 AND DECEMBER 31, 2009 <br> (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

## (unaudited)



| June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | $(1,186)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net unrealized appreciation on derivative instruments, net of tax of |  |  |
| $\$ 75$ and $\$ 209$ at June 30,2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 146 |  |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | 3,426 |  |
| Less: cost of 748,823 and 752,431 shares of treasury stock at June 30, 2010 | $(13,569)$ | 406 |
| and December 31, 2009, respectively | 103,222 | $(13,665)$ |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | $\$ 1,084,547$ | 113,514 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | $\$ 1,072,381$ |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

## BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

## (unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest and dividend income: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 8,805 | \$ 8,772 | \$17,377 | \$17,499 |
| Interest on securities | 3,800 | 5,293 | 8,182 | 9,930 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 12,605 | 14,065 | 25,559 | 27,429 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 2,473 | 2,636 | 4,951 | 5,436 |
| Short-term borrowings | 66 | 154 | 137 | 415 |
| Long-term debt | 2,271 | 2,432 | 4,618 | 4,795 |
| Total interest expense | 4,810 | 5,222 | 9,706 | 10,646 |
| Net interest income | 7,795 | 8,843 | 15,853 | 16,783 |
| Provision for loan losses | 550 | 835 | 1,050 | 1,500 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 7,245 | 8,008 | 14,803 | 15,283 |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Trust and other financial services | 696 | 588 | 1,336 | 1,160 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 372 | 360 | 686 | 669 |
| Mortgage banking activities | 44 | 70 | 69 | 73 |
| Credit and debit card service charges and fees | 274 | 252 | 526 | 428 |
| Net securities gains | 505 | 414 | 1,357 | 826 |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses | (242) | $(1,561)$ | (593) | $(1,561)$ |
| Non-credit portion of OTTI losses (before taxes) (1) | --- | 1,107 | 53 | 1,107 |
| Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings | (242) | (454) | (540) | (454) |
| Other operating income | 146 | 139 | 271 | 271 |
| Total non-interest income | 1,795 | 1,369 | 3,705 | 2,973 |
| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,919 | 2,681 | 5,862 | 5,437 |
| Occupancy expense | 337 | 330 | 709 | 728 |
| Furniture and equipment expense | 419 | 337 | 771 | 690 |
| Credit and debit card expenses | 70 | 73 | 147 | 174 |
| FDIC insurance assessments | 266 | 588 | 530 | 681 |
| Other operating expense | 1,381 | 1,543 | 2,578 | 3,006 |
| Total non-interest expense | 5,392 | 5,552 | 10,597 | 10,716 |
| Income before income taxes | 3,648 | 3,825 | 7,911 | 7,540 |
| Income taxes | 936 | 1,069 | 2,148 | 2,159 |
| Net income | 2,712 | 2,756 | 5,763 | 5,381 |
| Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount | --- | 268 | 653 | 490 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,712 | \$ 2,488 | \$ 5,110 | \$ 4,891 |
| Per Common Share Data: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.87 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.70 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.85 | \$ 1.34 | \$ 1.68 |

(1) Included in other comprehensive loss, net of tax

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

## BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

## (unaudited)

|  |  |  |  |  | Accumulated Other |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Capital Preferred |  |  | Retained Comprehensive Treasury Shareholders' |  |  |  |
|  | Stock | Stock | Surplus | Earnings | income (loss) | Stock | Equity |
| Balance December 31, 2008 | \$7,287 | \$ | \$4,903 | \$67,908 | \$ (524) | \$(14,129) | \$ 65,445 |
| Net income | --- | --- | --- | 5,381 | --- | --- | 5,381 |
| Cumulative effect adjustment for the adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 | --- | --- | --- | 937 | (937) | --- | --- |
| Total other comprehensive loss | --- | --- | --- | --- | $(2,189)$ | --- | $(2,189)$ |
| Dividend declared: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock (\$0.52 per share) | --- | --- | --- | $(1,493)$ | --- | --- | $(1,493)$ |
| Preferred stock | --- | --- | --- | (312) | --- | --- | (312) |
| Issuance of preferred stock ( 18,751 shares) | --- | 18,114 | (232) | --- | --- | --- | 17,882 |
| Issuance of stock warrants | --- | --- | 638 | --- | --- | --- | 638 |
| Purchase of treasury stock $(5,571$ shares) | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | (144) | (144) |
| Stock options exercised (7,834 shares), including related tax effects | --- | --- | 12 | (72) | --- | 202 | 142 |
| Recognition of stock option expense | --- | --- | 63 | --- | --- | --- | 63 |
| Cumulative dividends on preferred stock | --- | 120 | --- | (120) | --- | --- | --- |
| Accretion of discount | --- | 58 | --- | (58) | --- | --- | --- |
| Balance June 30, 2009 | \$7,287 | \$18,292 | \$ 5,384 | \$72,171 | \$ $(3,650)$ | \$(14,071) | \$ 85,413 |
| Balance December 31, 2009 | \$8,887 | \$18,358 | \$24,360 | \$75,001 | \$ 573 | \$(13,665) | \$113,514 |
| Net income | --- | --- | --- | 5,763 | --- | --- | 5,763 |
| Total other comprehensive income | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2,853 | --- | 2,853 |
| Dividend declared: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock (\$0.52 per share) | --- | --- | --- | $(1,963)$ | --- | --- | $(1,963)$ |
| Preferred stock | --- | --- | --- | (138) | --- | --- | (138) |
| Issuance of common stock (82,021 shares) | 164 | --- | 1,777 | --- | --- | --- | 1,941 |
| Purchase of preferred stock (18,751 shares) | --- | $(18,873)$ | --- | --- | --- | --- | $(18,873)$ |
| Stock options exercised (3,608 shares), including related tax effects | --- | --- | 4 | (35) | --- | 96 | 65 |
| Recognition of stock option expense | --- | --- | 60 | --- | --- | --- | 60 |
| Accretion of discount | --- | 515 | --- | (515) | --- | --- | --- |
| Balance June 30, 2010 | \$9,051 | \$ --- | \$26,201 | \$78,113 | \$ 3,426 | \$(13,569) | \$103,222 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009
(Dollars in thousands)
(unaudited)

|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 5,763 | \$ 5,381 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment | 479 | 450 |
| Amortization of core deposit intangible | --- | 33 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,050 | 1,500 |
| Net securities gains | $(1,357)$ | (826) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | 540 | 454 |
| Net amortization (accretion) of bond premiums and discounts | 477 | (658) |
| Recognition of stock option expense | 60 | 63 |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgages held for sale | 846 | 10,870 |
| Origination of mortgage loans held for sale | (829) | $(10,920)$ |
| Net (gain) loss on sale of mortgage loans held for sale | (17) | 25 |
| Net change in other assets | $(1,619)$ | $(3,001)$ |
| Net change in other liabilities | (375) | 1,716 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 5,018 | 5,087 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | $(78,829)$ | $(146,703)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal paydowns of mortgage-backed securities | 64,278 | 33,938 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 23,216 | 47,784 |
| Net increase in Federal Home Loan Bank stock | --- | $(1,272)$ |
| Net loans made to customers | $(15,728)$ | $(32,571)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 854 | --- |
| Capital expenditures | $(1,731)$ | (736) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(7,940)$ | $(99,560)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |
| Net increase in deposits | 27,112 | 56,766 |
| Net decrease in securities sold under repurchase agreements and fed funds purchased | $(3,516)$ | $(9,451)$ |
| (Paydown of) proceeds from Federal Reserve borrowings | $(20,000)$ | 30,000 |
| Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 31,750 | 26,490 |
| Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances | $(12,509)$ | $(27,215)$ |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 1,941 | -- |
| Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and stock warrants | --- | 18,520 |
| Purchase preferred stock | $(18,873)$ | --- |
| Purchases of treasury stock | --- | (144) |
| Proceeds from stock option exercises, including excess tax benefits | 65 | 142 |
| Payments of dividends | $(2,101)$ | $(1,805)$ |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 3,869 | 93,303 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 947 | $(1,170)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 9,832 | 9,042 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 10,779 | \$ 7,872 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |
| Interest | \$ 4,920 | \$ 10,426 |
| Income taxes | 2,690 | 2,780 |
| Schedule of noncash investing activities: |  |  |
| Transfers from loans to other real estate owned | \$ --- | \$ 605 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

> BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009
(Dollars in thousands)

| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on securities available for sale, net of tax of $\$ 1,076$ and $(\$ 1,123)$, respectively | 2,088 | $(2,180)$ |
| Less reclassification adjustment for net gains related to securities available for sale included in net income, net of tax of $\$ 172$ and $\$ 141$ respectively | (334) | (273) |
| Add other-than-temporary impairment adjustment, net of tax of \$83 and \$154, respectively | 159 | 300 |
| Add non-credit portion of other-than-temporary losses, net of tax of \$--- and \$376, respectively | --- | (731) |
| Net unrealized depreciation and other amounts for interest rate derivatives, net of tax of $\$ 65$ and $\$ 58$, respectively | (127) | (112) |
| Amortization of actuarial gain for supplemental executive retirement plan, net of related tax of $\$ 1$ and $\$ 1$, respectively | 1 | 1 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,787 | $(2,995)$ |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$4,499 | \$ (239) |


|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 5,763 | \$ 5,381 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on securities available for sale, net of tax of \$1,898 and (\$512), respectively | 3,685 | (955) |
| Less reclassification adjustment for net gains related to securities available for sale included in net income, net of tax of $\$ 461$ and $\$ 281$, respectively | (896) | (545) |
| Add other-than-temporary impairment adjustment, net of tax of \$184 and \$154, respectively | 356 | 300 |
| Add non-credit portion of other-than-temporary losses, net of tax of \$18 and \$376, respectively | (35) | (731) |
| Net unrealized depreciation and other amounts for interest rate derivatives, net of tax of $\$ 134$ and $\$ 135$, respectively | (260) | (261) |
| Amortization of actuarial gain for supplemental executive retirement plan, net of related tax of $\$ 1$ and $\$ 1$, respectively | 3 | 3 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 2,853 | $(2,189)$ |
| Total comprehensive income | \$8,616 | \$ 3,192 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated interim financial statements

> BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
> JUNE 30,2010
> (Dollars in thousands, except share data)

## (unaudited)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

The accompanying consolidated interim financial statements are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All inter-company transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Amounts in the prior period financial statements are reclassified whenever necessary to conform to current period presentation. The net income reported for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, is not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010, or any other interim periods.

The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009, has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements at that date. The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States ("U.S.") generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X (17 CFR Part 210). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and notes thereto.

## Note 2: Management s Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, other-than-temporary impairments on securities, income tax estimates, and the valuation of intangible assets.

## Allowance for Loan Losses:

The allowance for loan losses (the "allowance") is a significant accounting estimate used in the preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements. The allowance is available to absorb losses on loans and is maintained at a level that, in management s judgment, is appropriate for the amount of risk inherent in the loan portfolio, given past and present conditions. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to operating expense and by recoveries on loans previously charged-off, and is decreased by loans charged-off as uncollectible.

Arriving at an appropriate level of allowance involves a high degree of judgment. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance and provisioning for estimated losses is evaluated regularly based on review of loans, with particular emphasis on non-performing and other loans that management believes warrant special consideration. The ongoing evaluation process includes a formal analysis, which considers among other factors: the character and size of the loan portfolio, business and economic conditions, real estate market conditions, collateral values, changes in product offerings or loan terms, changes in underwriting and/or collection policies, loan growth, previous charge-off experience, delinquency trends, non-performing loan trends, the performance of individual loans in relation to contract terms, and estimated fair values of collateral.

The allowance consists of allowances established for specific loans including impaired loans; allowances for pools of loans based on historical charge-offs by loan types; and supplemental allowances that adjust historical loss experience to reflect current economic conditions, industry specific risks, and other observable data.

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changing economic conditions and the economic prospects of the borrowers may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance, which also may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance, based on information available to them at the
time of their examination.
Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Investment Securities
: One of the significant estimates relating to securities is the evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment. If a decline in the fair value of a security is judged to be other-than-temporary, and management does not intend to sell the security and believes it is more-likely-than-not the Company will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery of cost or amortized cost, the portion of the total impairment attributable to the credit loss is recognized in earnings, and the remaining difference between the security $s$ amortized cost basis and its fair value is included in other comprehensive income.

For impaired available for sale debt securities that management intends to sell, or where management believes it is more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell, an other-than-temporary impairment charge is recognized in earnings equal to the difference between fair value and cost or amortized cost basis of the security. The fair value of the other-than-temporarily impaired security becomes its new cost basis.

The evaluation of securities for impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to risks and uncertainties and is intended to determine whether declines in the fair value of securities should be recognized in current period earnings. The risks and uncertainties include changes in general economic conditions, the issuer s financial condition and/or future prospects, the effects of changes in interest rates or credit spreads and the expected recovery period of unrealized losses. The Company has a security monitoring process that identifies securities that, due to certain characteristics, as described below, are subjected to an enhanced analysis on a quarterly basis.

Securities that are in an unrealized loss position, are reviewed at least quarterly to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment is present based on certain quantitative and qualitative factors and measures. The primary factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value of securities is other-than-temporary include: (a) the cause of the impairment; (b) the financial condition, credit rating and future prospects of the issuer; (c) whether the debtor is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments; (d) the volatility of the securities fair value; (e) performance indicators of the underlying assets in the security including default rates, delinquency rates, percentage of non-performing assets, loan to collateral value ratios, third party guarantees, current levels of subordination, vintage, and geographic concentration and; (f) any other information and observable data considered relevant in determining whether other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, including the expectation of the receipt of all principal and interest due.

For securitized financial assets with contractual cash flows, such as private label mortgage-backed securities, the Company periodically updates its best estimate of cash flows over the life of the security. The Company s best estimate of cash flows is based upon assumptions consistent with the current economic recession, similar to those the Company believes market participants would use. If the fair value of a securitized financial asset is less than its cost or amortized cost and there has been an adverse change in timing or amount of anticipated future cash flows since the last revised estimate to the extent that the Company does not expect to receive the entire amount of future contractual principal and interest, an other-than-temporary impairment charge is recognized in earnings representing the estimated credit loss if management does not intend to sell the security and believes it is more-likely-than-not the Company will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery of cost or amortized cost. Estimating future cash flows is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third party sources along with certain assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the underlying collateral. In addition, projections of expected future cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the underlying collateral.

Income Taxes:

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. If current available information indicates that it is more-likely-than-not that deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance is established. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Significant management judgment is required in determining income tax expense and deferred tax assets and liabilities. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there was no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. Deferred tax assets are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets:
In connection with acquisitions, the Company generally records as assets on its consolidated financial statements both goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, such as core deposit intangibles.

The Company evaluates whether the carrying value of its goodwill has become impaired, in which case the value is reduced through a charge to its earnings. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment at least annually, or upon a triggering event using certain fair value techniques. Goodwill impairment testing is performed at the segment (or "reporting unit") level. Goodwill is assigned to reporting units at the date the goodwill is initially recorded. Once goodwill has been assigned to the reporting units, it no longer retains its association with a particular acquisition, and all of the activities within a reporting unit, whether acquired or organically grown, are available to support the value of the goodwill.

The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first step used to identify potential impairment, involves comparing each unit $s$ fair value to its carrying value including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, applicable goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the carrying value exceeds fair value, there is an indication of impairment and the second step is to measure the amount of impairment. At June 30, 2010, there was no indication of impairment.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company did not have any identifiable intangible assets on its consolidated balance sheet.

Any changes in the estimates used by the Company to determine the carrying value of its goodwill, or which otherwise adversely affect their value or estimated lives, would adversely affect the Company s consolidated results of operations.

## Note 3: Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company, such as the Company s dilutive stock options.

The following is a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30 , 2010 and 2009:

Three Months Ended
June 30,
Six Months Ended
June 30,

|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 2,712 | \$ | 2,756 | \$ | 5,763 | \$ | 5,381 |
| Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount |  | --- |  | 268 |  | 653 |  | 490 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ | 2,712 | \$ | 2,488 | \$ | 5,110 | \$ | 4,891 |
| Computation of Earnings Per Share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average number of capital stock shares outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 776,213 |  | ,872,559 |  | 766,244 |  | 2,871,251 |
| Effect of dilutive employee stock options |  | 51,699 |  | 52,824 |  | 52,705 |  | 47,837 |
| Effect of dilutive warrants |  | 4,685 |  | --- |  | 4,490 |  | --- |
| Diluted |  | ,832,597 |  | ,925,383 |  | 823,439 |  | 2,919,088 |
| Anti-dilutive options excluded form earnings per share calculation |  | 135,471 |  | 156,070 |  | 147,825 |  | 167,159 |
| Anti-dilutive warrants excluded form earnings per share calculation |  | --- |  | 105,965 |  | --- |  | 103,031 |
| Per Common Share Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.72 | \$ | 0.87 | \$ | 1.36 | \$ | 1.70 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.71 | \$ | 0.85 | \$ | 1.34 | \$ | 1.68 |

## Note 4: Securities Available For Sale

The following tables summarize the securities available for sale portfolio as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| Available for Sale: | Cost | Gains | Losses | Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 2,095 | 37 | 9 | 2,123 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises | 218,339 | 9,722 | 468 | 227,593 |
| U.S. Government agencies | 27,937 | 1,156 | 4 | 29,089 |
| Private label | 27,705 | 71 | 3,466 | 24,310 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions thereof | 62,206 | 1,358 | 3,266 | 60,298 |
| Total | \$338,282 | \$12,344 | \$7,213 | \$343,413 |
|  | December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Gross | Gross |  |
|  | Amortized | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized <br> Losses | Estimated <br> Fair Value |
| Available for Sale: |  |  |  | Fair Value |
| Obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises Mortgage-backed securities: | \$ 2,770 | \$ 13 | \$ 227 | \$ 2,556 |


| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises | 226,740 | 7,613 | 3 | 234,350 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| U.S. Government agencies | 21,522 | 606 | 21 | 22,107 |
| $\quad$ Private label | 31,754 | 27 | 5,428 | 26,353 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions thereof | 63,821 | 1,674 | 3,835 | 61,660 |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 346,607$ | $\$ 9,933$ | $\$ 9,514$ | $\$ 347,026$ |

Securities Maturity Distribution:
The following table summarizes the maturity distribution of the amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available for sale as of June 30, 2010. Actual maturities may differ from the final maturities noted below because borrowers or issuers may have the right to prepay or call obligations with or without prepayment or call penalties. Mortgage-backed securities are allocated among the maturity groupings based on their final maturity dates.

|  | June 30, 2010 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amortized | Estimated |
| Available for Sale: | Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | 160 | $\$$ |
| Due after one year through five years | 1,447 | 1,503 |
| Dur after five years through ten years | 16,159 | 16,485 |
| Due after ten years | 320,516 | 325,264 |
| Total | $\$ 338,282$ | $\$ 343,413$ |

Securities Impairment:
As a part of the Company s ongoing security monitoring process, the Company identifies securities in an unrealized loss position that could potentially be other-than-temporarily impaired ("OTTI").

Effective April 1, 2009, the Company adopted FSP FAS 115-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-than Temporary Impairments, now included in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as part of FASB ASC 320-10-65, Investments Debt and Equity Securities. This new accounting standard amended the OTTI guidance included in GAAP for debt securities, which among other things clarified the interaction of the factors that should be considered when determining whether a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired and changed the presentation and calculation of OTTI on debt securities in the financial statements. Additionally, when adopting this accounting standard, an entity is required to record a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the beginning of the period of adoption to reclassify the non-credit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) if the entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not likely that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost. Upon the adoption of this accounting standard the Company recognized the effect of applying it as a change in accounting principle. The Company recognized a $\$ 937$ cumulative effect of initially applying this standard as an adjustment to retained earnings as of April 1, 2009, with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Prior to the adoption of the new accounting standard, in the first quarter of 2009 the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses of $\$ 1,007$ related to five available for sale, non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities because the Company could no longer conclude it was probable that it would recover all of the principal and interest on these securities. This charge represented the total amount of unrealized losses on these securities at March 31, 2009 and was recorded within net securities gains in the Company s consolidated statement of income.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized total OTTI losses of $\$ 242$ in the statement of income (before taxes) related to four, available for sale, private label mortgage-backed securities, three of which the Company had previously determined that these securities were other-than-temporarily impaired. In all cases the OTTI losses represented management $s$ best estimate of credit losses or additional credit losses on the collateral underlying these securities.
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For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized total OTTI losses of $\$ 540$ in the statement of income (before taxes) related to nine, available for sale, private label mortgage-backed securities, all but one of which the Company had previously determined were other-than-temporarily impaired. In all cases the OTTI losses represented management $s$ best estimate of credit losses or additional credit losses on the collateral underlying these securities.

The $\$ 540$ of year-to-date OTTI losses recognized in earnings represented management s best estimate of credit losses inherent in the securities based on discounted, bond-specific future cash flow projections using assumptions about cash flows associated with the pools of loans underlying each security. In estimating those cash flows the Company considered loan level credit characteristics, current delinquency and non-performing loan rates, current levels of subordination and credit support, recent default rates and future constant default rate estimates, loan to collateral value ratios, recent collateral loss severities and future collateral loss severity estimates, recent prepayment rates and future prepayment rate assumptions, and other estimates of future collateral performance.

Despite some rising levels of delinquencies, defaults and losses in the underlying residential mortgage loan collateral, given credit enhancements resulting from the structures of the individual securities, the Company currently expects that it will recover the amortized cost basis of its private label mortgage-backed securities. Nevertheless, given recent market conditions, it is possible that adverse changes in repayment performance and fair value could occur in future periods that could impact the Company s current best estimates and expectations.

The following table displays the beginning balance of OTTI related to credit losses on debt securities held by the Company at the beginning of the current reporting period for which the other than credit related portion of the OTTI was included in accumulated other comprehensive income (net of tax), as well as changes in credit losses recognized in pre-tax earnings for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.


As of June 30, 2010, the total OTTI losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income amounted to $\$ 1,186$ net of tax, compared with $\$ 1,808$ at December 31, 2009. These OTTI losses related to thirteen private label mortgage-backed securities, with a total unamortized cost of \$7,352 at June 30, 2010.

As of June 30, 2010, based on a review of each of the remaining securities in the securities portfolio, the Company concluded that it expects to recover its amortized cost basis for such securities. This conclusion was based on the issuers continued satisfaction of the securities obligations in accordance with their contractual terms and the expectation that they will continue to do so through the maturity of the security, the expectation that the Company will receive the entire amount of future contractual cash flows, as well as the evaluation of the fundamentals of the issuers financial condition and other objective evidence. Accordingly, the Company concluded that the declines in the values of those securities were temporary and that any additional other-than-temporary impairment charges were not appropriate at June 30, 2010. As of that date, the Company did not intend to sell nor anticipated that it would more likely than not be required to sell any of its impaired securities, that is, where fair value is less than the cost basis of the security.

The following table summarizes the fair value of securities with continuous unrealized losses for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. All securities referenced are debt securities. At June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, the Company did not hold any common stock or other equity securities in its securities portfolio.

June 30, 2010
Less than 12 months
12 months or longer
Total

|  | Estimated |  |  | Estimated |  |  | Estimated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Number of Investments | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Number of Investments | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Number of Investments | Unrealized Losses |
| Description of Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government-sponsored enterprises | \$ -- | --- | \$ | \$ 1,086 | 1 | \$ 9 | \$ 1,086 | 1 | \$ |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government-sponsored |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government agencies | 177 | 2 | --- | 337 | 9 | 4 | 514 | 11 | 4 |
| Private label | 42 | 1 | 1 | 17,623 | 46 | 3,465 | 17,665 | 47 | 3,466 |
| Obligations of states and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| political |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| subdivisions thereof | 11,764 | 29 | 558 | 12,387 | 53 | 2,708 | 24,151 | 82 | 3,266 |
| Total | \$26,773 | 35 | \$1,027 | \$31,454 | 110 | \$6,186 | \$58,227 | 145 | \$7,213 |

December 31,

| 2009 | Less than 12 months |  |  | 12 Months or longer |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair <br> Value | Number of Investments | Unrealized Fair Losses Value | Number of Investments | Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value | Number of Investments | Unrealized Losses |
| Description of Securities: <br> Obligations of U.S. | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government-sponsored <br> Enterprises <br> Mortgage-backed <br> securities: <br> U.S. | 1,543 | 2 | \$ 227 --- | --- | \$ --- | \$ 1,543 | 2 | \$ 227 |
| Government-sponsored Enterprises | 954 | 1 | 221 | 1 | 1 | 975 | 2 | 3 |
| U.S. Government agencies | 2,855 | 2 | 14843 | 14 | 7 | 3,698 | 16 | 21 |
|  | 3,346 | 11 | 1,852 18,489 | 45 | 3,576 | 21,835 | 56 | 5,428 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions thereof | 15,287 | 36 | 744 10,943 | 50 | 3,091 | 26,230 | 86 | 3,835 |
| Total | \$23,985 | 52 | \$2,839 \$30,296 | 110 | \$6,675 | \$54,281 | 162 | \$9,514 |

For securities with unrealized losses, the following information was considered in determining that the impairments were not other-than-temporary:

- Debt obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises:

As of June 30, 2010, the total unrealized losses on these securities amounted to $\$ 9$, compared with $\$ 227$ at December 31, 2009. All of these securities were credit rated "AAA" by the major credit rating agencies. Management s analysis indicated that these securities have minimal credit risk, as these enterprises play a vital role in the nation s financial markets. Company management believes that the unrealized losses at June 30, 2010 were attributed to changes in current market yields and pricing spreads for similar securities since the
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date the underlying securities were purchased, and does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2010.

- Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises
: As of June 30, 2010, the total unrealized losses on these securities amounted to $\$ 468$, compared with $\$ 3$ at December 31, 2009. All of these securities were credit rated "AAA" by the major credit rating agencies. Company management believes these securities have minimal credit risk, as these Government-sponsored enterprises play a vital role in the nation $s$ financial markets. Management $s$ analysis indicates that the unrealized losses at June 30, 2010 were attributed to changes in current market yields and pricing spreads for similar securities since the date the underlying securities were purchased, and does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2010.
- Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government agencies:

As of June 30, 2010, the total unrealized losses on these securities amounted to $\$ 4$, compared with $\$ 21$ at December 31, 2009. All of these securities were credit rated "AAA" by the major credit rating agencies. Management s analysis indicates that these securities bear no credit risk because they are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. The Company attributes the unrealized losses at June 30, 2010 to changes in current market yields and pricing spreads for similar securities since the date the underlying securities were purchased, and does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2010.

- Private label mortgage-backed securities
: As of June 30, 2010, the total unrealized losses on the Bank s private label mortgage-backed securities amounted to $\$ 3,466$, compared with $\$ 5,428$ at December 31, 2009. The Company attributes the unrealized losses at June 30, 2010 to the current market environment for non-agency mortgage-backed securities, a seriously declining housing market, significantly elevated levels of home foreclosures, risk related market pricing discounts for non-agency mortgage-backed securities and credit rating downgrades on certain private label mortgage-backed securities owned by the Company. Based upon the foregoing considerations and the expectation that the Company will receive all of the future contractual cash flows on these securities, the Company does not consider there to be any additional other-than-temporary impairment with respect to these securities at June 30, 2010.
- Obligations of states of the U.S. and political subdivisions thereof
: As of June 30, 2010, the total unrealized losses on the Bank s municipal securities amounted to $\$ 3,266$, compared with $\$ 3,835$ at December 31, 2009. The Bank s municipal securities are supported by the general taxing authority of the municipality and in the cases of school districts, are supported by state aid. At June 30, 2010, all municipal bond issuers were current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments. At June 30, 2010, the Bank s municipal bond portfolio did not contain any below investment grade securities as reported by major credit rating agencies.

The Company attributes the unrealized losses at June 30, 2010, to changes in prevailing market yields and pricing spreads since the date the underlying securities were purchased, driven in part by current market concerns about the prolonged economic recession and the impact it might have on the future financial stability of municipalities throughout the country. Accordingly, the Company does not consider these municipal securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2010.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had no intent to sell nor believed it is more likely than not that it would be required to sell any of its impaired securities as identified and discussed immediately above, and therefore did not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of that date.

Securities Gains and Losses:

The following table summarizes realized gains and losses and other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities available for sale for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

|  | Proceeds <br> from Sale of <br> Securities <br> Available | Gains | Losses | Other <br> Than <br> Temporary <br> Impairment <br> Losses | Net |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Three months ended June |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30:$ | $\$ 11,695$ | $\$ 505$ | $\$--$ | $\$ 242$ | $\$ 263$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 10,666$ | $\$ 414$ | $\$--$ | $\$ 454$ | $\$(40)$ |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Six months ended June 30: | $\$ 23,216$ | $\$ 1,357$ | $\$---$ | $\$ 540$ | $\$ 817$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 47,784$ | $\$ 1,833$ | $\$--$ | $\$ 1,461$ | $\$ 372$ |

## Note 5: Retirement Benefit Plans

The Company has non-qualified supplemental executive retirement agreements with certain retired officers. The agreements provide supplemental retirement benefits payable in installments over a period of years upon retirement or death. The Company recognized the net present value of payments associated with the agreements over the service periods of the participating officers. Interest costs continue to be recognized on the benefit obligations.

The Company also has supplemental executive retirement agreements with certain current executive officers. These agreements provide a stream of future payments in accordance with individually defined vesting schedules upon retirement, termination, or upon a change of control.

The following table summarizes the net periodic benefit costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

|  | Supplemental Executive |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retirement Plans |  |
| Three Months Ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | $\$ 47$ | $\$ 57$ |
| Interest cost | 48 | 44 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 2 | 1 |
| $\quad$ Net periodic benefit cost | $\$ 97$ | $\$ 102$ |
|  | Supplemental Executive |  |
|  | Retirement Plans |  |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | $\$ 93$ | $\$ 111$ |
| Interest cost | 95 | 89 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 4 | 4 |
| $\quad$ Net periodic benefit cost | $\$ 192$ | $\$ 204$ |

The Company is expected to recognize $\$ 378$ of expense for the foregoing plans for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company is expected to contribute $\$ 242$ to the foregoing plans in 2010. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had contributed
$\$ 99$.

Note 6: Commitments and Contingent Liabilities
The Company s wholly owned subsidiary, Bar Harbor Bank \& Trust (the "Bank"), is a party to financial instruments in the normal course of business to meet financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, unused lines of credit, and standby letters of credit.

Commitments to originate loans, including unused lines of credit, are agreements to lend to a customer provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank uses the same credit policy to make such commitments as it uses for on-balance-sheet items, such as loans. The Bank evaluates each customer s creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management $s$ credit evaluation of the borrower.

The Bank guarantees the obligations or performance of customers by issuing standby letters of credit to third parties. These standby letters of credit are primarily issued in support of third party debt or obligations. The risk involved in issuing standby letters of credit is essentially the same as the credit risk involved in extending loan facilities to customers, and they are subject to the same credit origination, portfolio maintenance and management procedures in effect to monitor other credit and off-balance sheet instruments. Exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counter-party to the financial instrument for standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Typically, these standby letters of credit have terms of five years or less and expire unused; therefore, the total amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

The following table summarizes the contractual amounts of commitments and contingent liabilities as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Commitments to originate loans | $\$ 26,441$ | $\$ 42,694$ |
| Unused lines of credit | $\$ 78,011$ | $\$ 78,607$ |
| Un-advanced portions of construction loans | $\$ 12,663$ | $\$ 12,565$ |
| Standby letters of credit | $\$ 370$ | $\$ 372$ |

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of the standby letters of credit was not significant to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

## Note 7: Financial Derivative Instruments

As part of its overall asset and liability management strategy, the Bank periodically uses derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by interest rate volatility. The Bank s interest rate risk management strategy involves modifying the re-pricing characteristics of certain assets and liabilities so that changes in interest rates do not have a significant effect on net income.

The Company recognizes all of its derivative instruments on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. On the date the derivative instrument is entered into, the Bank designates whether the derivative is part of a hedging relationship (i.e., cash flow or fair value hedge). The Bank formally documents relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking hedge transactions. The Bank also assesses, both at the hedge s inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting the changes in cash flows or fair values of hedged items.

Changes in fair value of derivative instruments that are highly effective and qualify as a cash flow hedge are recorded in other comprehensive income or loss. Any ineffective portion is recorded in earnings. For fair value hedges that are
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highly effective, the gain or loss on the hedge and the loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are both recognized in earnings, with the differences (if any) representing hedge ineffectiveness. The Bank discontinues hedge accounting when it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes of the hedged risk on the hedged item, or management determines that the designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank had two outstanding, off-balance sheet, derivative instruments. These derivative instruments were interest rate floor agreements, with notional principal amounts totaling $\$ 30,000$. The details are summarized as follows:

Interest Rate Floor Agreements
Notional

Amount \begin{tabular}{c}
Termination <br>
Date

 

Prime <br>
Strike <br>
Rate

$\quad$

Premium <br>
Paid

$\quad$

Unamortized <br>
Premium at <br>
$6 / 30 / 10$

 

Fair Value <br>
$6 / 30 / 10$

 

Cumulative <br>
Cash Flows <br>
Received
\end{tabular}

During 2005, interest rate floor agreements were purchased to limit the Bank s exposure to falling interest rates on two pools of loans indexed to the Prime interest rate. Under the terms of the agreements, the Bank paid premiums of $\$ 186$ and $\$ 69$ for the right to receive cash flow payments if the Prime interest rate falls below the floors of $6.00 \%$ and $6.50 \%$, thus effectively ensuring interest income on the pools of prime-based loans at minimum rates of $6.00 \%$ and $6.50 \%$ for the duration of the agreements. The interest rate floor agreements were designated as cash flow hedges.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, total cash flows received from counterparties amounted to $\$ 219$ and $\$ 429$, compared with $\$ 220$ and $\$ 434$ for the same periods in 2009. The cash flows received from counterparties were recorded in interest income.

At June 30, 2010, the total fair value of the interest rate floor agreements was $\$ 236$ compared with $\$ 671$ at December 31, 2009. The fair values of the interest rate floor agreements are included in other assets on the Company s consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the fair value, representing unrealized gains or losses, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The premiums paid on the interest rate floor agreements are being recognized as reductions of interest income over the duration of the agreements using the floorlet method. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, \$21 and $\$ 41$ of the premium was recognized as a reduction of interest income, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the remaining unamortized premiums totaled $\$ 15$, compared with $\$ 56$ at December 31, 2009. During the next twelve months, the entire amount of the premiums will be recognized as reductions of interest income, decreasing the interest income related to the hedged pool of Prime-based loans.

A summary of the hedging related balances follows:

|  | June 30, 2010 |  | December 31, 2009 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross | Net of Tax | Gross | Net of Tax |
| Unrealized gain on interest rate floors | $\$ 236$ | $\$ 156$ | $\$ 671$ | $\$ 443$ |
| Unamortized premium on interest rate floors | $(15)$ | $(10)$ | $(56)$ | $(37)$ |
| $\quad$ Total | $\$ 221$ | $\$ 146$ | $\$ 615$ | $\$ 406$ |

Note 8: Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are (i) independent, (ii) knowledgeable, (iii) able to transact, and (iv) willing to transact.

The Company s fair value measurements employ valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets and liabilities. The income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts, such as cash flows or earnings, to a single present amount on a discounted basis. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement cost). Valuation techniques should be consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques refer to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Inputs may be observable, meaning those that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from independent sources, or unobservable, meaning those that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The Company uses a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets (Level 1 measurements) for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- Level 1

Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

- Level 2

Valuation is based on quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and model-based techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

- Level 3

Valuation is principally generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

The level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The most significant instruments that the Company values are securities, all of which fall into Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. The securities in the available for sale portfolio are priced by independent providers. In obtaining such valuation information from third parties, the Company has evaluated their valuation methodologies used to develop the fair values in order to determine whether valuations are appropriately placed within the fair value hierarchy and whether the valuations are representative of an exit price in the Company s principal markets. The Company s principal markets for its securities portfolios are the secondary institutional markets, with an exit price that is predominantly reflective of bid level pricing in those markets. Additionally, the Company periodically tests the reasonableness of the prices provided by these third parties by obtaining fair values from other independent providers and by obtaining desk
bids from a variety of institutional brokers.
A description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

- Securities Available for Sale:

All securities and major categories of securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from independent pricing providers. The fair value measurements used by the pricing providers consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market maker quotes and live trading systems. If quoted prices are not readily available, fair values are determined using matrix pricing models, or other model-based valuation techniques requiring observable inputs other than quoted prices such as market pricing spreads, credit information, callable features, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, default rates, and the securities terms and conditions, among other things.

- Derivative Instruments:

Derivative instruments are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. The Company obtains independent dealer market price estimates to value its Prime interest rate floors. Derivative instruments are priced by independent providers using observable market data and assumptions with adjustments based on widely accepted valuation techniques. A discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves, implied volatilities, transaction size, custom tailored features, counterparty credit quality, and the estimated current replacement cost of the derivative instrument.

The foregoing valuation methodologies may produce fair value calculations that may not be fully indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While Company management believes these valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

The following tables summarize financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

| $\quad$ June 30, 2010 | Level 1 <br> Inputs | Level 2 <br> Inputs | Level 3 <br> Inputs | Total Fair <br> Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available for sale: <br> Obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises | $\$---$ | $\$ 2,123$ | $\$---$ | $\$ 2,123$ |

Mortgage-backed securities:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises | $\$--$ | $\$ 234,350$ | $\$---$ | $\$ 234,350$ |
| U.S. Government agencies | $\$--$ | $\$ 22,107$ | $\$--$ | $\$ 22,107$ |
| Private label | $\$--$ | $\$ 26,353$ | $\$--$ | $\$ 26,353$ |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions thereof | $\$--$ | $\$ 61,660$ | $\$--$ | $\$ 61,660$ |
| Derivative assets | $\$--$ | $\$ 1671$ | $\$--$ | $\$$ |

Note 9: Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company discloses fair value information about financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate fair value. Fair value estimates are made as of a specific point in time based on the characteristics of the financial instruments and relevant market information. Where available, quoted market prices are used. In other cases, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. These techniques involve uncertainties and are significantly affected by the assumptions used and judgments made regarding risk characteristics of various financial instruments, discount rates, estimates of future cash flows, future expected loss experience and other factors. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates. Derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in certain cases, could not be realized in an immediate sale of the instrument.

Fair value estimates are based on existing financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not purport to represent the underlying market value of the Company.

The following describes the methods and significant assumptions used by the Company in estimating the fair values of significant financial instruments:

Cash and Cash Equivalents:
For cash and cash equivalents, including cash and due from banks and other short-term investments with maturities of 90 days or less, the carrying amounts reported on the consolidated balance sheet approximate fair values.

## Loans:

For variable rate loans that re-price frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair value of other loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

## Deposits

: The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity is equal to the carrying amount. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows, applying interest rates currently being offered on wholesale funding products of similar maturities. The fair value estimates for deposits do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities compared to the cost of alternative forms of funding ("deposit base intangibles").

Borrowings:

For borrowings that mature or re-price in 90 days or less, carrying value approximates fair value. The fair value of the Company s remaining borrowings is estimated by using discounted cash flows based on current rates available for similar types of borrowing arrangements taking into account any optionality.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable:
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The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable and payable approximate their fair values.
Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments
: The Company s off-balance sheet instruments consist of loan commitments and standby letters of credit. Fair values for standby letters of credit and loan commitments were insignificant.

A summary of the carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company s significant financial instruments at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, follows:

|  | June 30, 2010 |  | December 31, 2009 <br> Carrying |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Fair | Value | Value |

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS

## OF OPERATIONS

Management s discussion and analysis, which follows, focuses on the factors affecting the Company s consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and financial condition at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, and where appropriate, factors that may affect future financial performance. The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, and selected financial and statistical information appearing elsewhere in this report on Form 10-Q.

Amounts in the prior period financial statements are reclassified whenever necessary to conform to current period presentation.

Unless otherwise noted, all dollars are expressed in thousands except share data.
Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures:
Certain information discussed below is presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Specifically, included in second quarter 2010 and 2009 interest income was $\$ 877$ and $\$ 828$, respectively, of tax-exempt interest income from certain investment securities and loans. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the amount of tax-exempt income included in interest income was $\$ 1,755$ and $\$ 1,461$, respectively.

An amount equal to the tax benefit derived from this tax exempt income has been added back to the interest income totals discussed in certain sections of this Management s Discussion and Analysis, representing tax equivalent adjustments of $\$ 416$ and $\$ 393$ in the second quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $\$ 832$ and $\$ 689$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which increased net interest income accordingly. The analysis of net interest income tables included in this report on Form 10-Q provide a reconciliation of tax equivalent financial information to the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S.
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generally accepted accounting principles.
Management believes the disclosure of tax equivalent net interest income information improves the clarity of financial analysis, and is particularly useful to investors in understanding and evaluating the changes and trends in the Company's results of operations. Other financial institutions commonly present net interest income on a tax equivalent basis. This adjustment is considered helpful in the comparison of one financial institution's net interest income to that of another institution, as each will have a different proportion of tax-exempt interest from their earning asset portfolios. Moreover, net interest income is a component of a second financial measure commonly used by financial institutions, net interest margin, which is the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets. For purposes of this measure as well, other financial institutions generally use tax equivalent net interest income to provide a better basis of comparison from institution to institution. The Company follows these practices.

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS DISCLAIMER

Certain statements, as well as certain other discussions contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, or incorporated herein by reference, contain statements which may be considered to be forward-looking within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of words like "strategy," "expects," "plans," "believes," "will," "estimates," "intends," "projects," "goals," "targets," and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

Investors are cautioned that forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those made in connection with estimates with respect to the future results of operation, financial condition, and the business of the Company which are subject to change based on the impact of various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected or suggested due to certain risks and uncertainties. Those factors include but are not limited to:
(i) The Company's success is dependent to a significant extent upon general economic conditions in Maine, and Maine's ability to attract new business, as well as factors that affect tourism, a major source of economic activity in the Company s immediate market areas;
(ii) The Company's earnings depend to a great extent on the level of net interest income (the difference between interest income earned on loans and investments and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowings) generated by the Company s wholly-owned banking subsidiary, Bar Harbor Bank \& Trust (the "Bank"), and thus the Company s results of operations may be adversely affected by increases or decreases in interest rates;
(iii) The banking business is highly competitive and the profitability of the Company depends on the Bank's ability to attract loans and deposits in Maine, where the Bank competes with a variety of traditional banking and non-traditional institutions, such as credit unions and finance companies;
(iv) A significant portion of the Bank's loan portfolio is comprised of commercial loans and loans secured by real estate, exposing the Company to the risks inherent in financings based upon analysis of credit risk, the value of underlying collateral, and other intangible factors which are considered in making commercial loans and, accordingly, the Company's profitability may be negatively impacted by judgment errors in risk analysis, by loan defaults, and the ability of certain borrowers to repay such loans during a downturn in general economic conditions;
(v) A significant delay in, or inability to execute strategic initiatives designed to increase revenues and or control expenses;
(vi) The potential need to adapt to changes in information technology systems, on which the Company is highly dependent, could present operational issues or require significant capital spending;
(vii) Significant changes in the Company s internal controls, or internal control failures;
(viii) Acts or threats of terrorism and actions taken by the United States or other governments as a result of such threats, including military action, could further adversely affect business and economic conditions in the United States generally and in the Company s markets, which could have an adverse effect on the Company s financial performance and that of borrowers and on the financial markets and the price of the Company s common stock;
(ix) Significant changes in the extensive laws, regulations, and policies governing bank holding companies and their subsidiaries could alter the Company's business environment or affect its operations;
(x) Changes in general, national, international, regional or local economic conditions and credit markets which are less favorable than those anticipated by Company management that could impact the Company's securities portfolio, quality of credits, or the overall demand for the Company's products or services; and
(xi) The Company s success in managing the risks involved in all of the foregoing matters.

You should carefully review all of these factors as well as the risk factors set forth in Item 1A. Risk Factors contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. There may be other risk factors that could cause differences from those anticipated by management.

The forward-looking statements contained herein represent the Company's judgment as of the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and the Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on such statements. The Company disclaims any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement contained in the succeeding discussion, or elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, except to the extent required by federal securities laws.

## APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company s significant accounting policies are more fully enumerated in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of its December 31, 2009, report on Form 10-K. The reader of the financial statements should review these policies to gain a greater understanding of how the Company s financial performance is reported.

Management s discussion and analysis of the Company s financial condition and results of operations are based on the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of such financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management evaluates its estimates on an ongoing basis. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis in making judgments about the carrying values of assets that are not readily apparent from other
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sources. Actual results could differ from the amount derived from management sestimates and assumptions under different assumptions or conditions. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, other than temporary impairments on securities, income tax estimates, and the evaluation of intangible assets. The use of these estimates is more fully described in Part I, Item 1, Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

## EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Summary Results of Operations
The Company reported consolidated net income available to common shareholders of $\$ 2,712$ for the quarter ended June 30,2010 , compared with $\$ 2,488$ for the second quarter of 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 224$, or $9.0 \%$. The Company s diluted earnings per share amounted to $\$ 0.71$ for the second quarter of 2010, compared with $\$ 0.85$ for the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 0.14$, or $16.5 \%$.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and the Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") announced they would buy back an approximate $\$ 200$ billion backlog of seriously delinquent mortgages contained in many residential mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") previously sold to investors, including the Bank. These cumulative repurchases were completed during the second quarter. As a result of these repurchases, prepayments on the Bank s MBS portfolio and the related bond premium amortization were accelerated, reducing second quarter net interest income by approximately $\$ 350$ and reducing net income available to common shareholders and diluted earnings per share by approximately $\$ 227$ and $\$ 0.06$, respectively. Because of the absence of published data, it is not possible to precisely determine how many seriously delinquent loans were contained in any given securitized mortgage pool.

The Company s annualized return on average shareholders equity ("ROE") amounted to $10.76 \%$ for the quarter, compared with $12.47 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009. The decline in second quarter ROE compared with the second quarter of 2009 was principally attributed to a $\$ 12,441$ or $14.0 \%$ increase in average shareholders equity, which amounted to $\$ 101,099$ in the second quarter. The Company s annualized return on average assets ("ROA") amounted to $1.01 \%$ for the quarter, compared with $1.03 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 .

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company s net income available to common shareholders amounted to $\$ 5,110$, compared with $\$ 4,891$ for the same period in 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 219$, or $4.5 \%$. Diluted earnings per share amounted to $\$ 1.34$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2010, compared with $\$ 1.68$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 0.34$, or $20.2 \%$.

As previously reported, during the first quarter of 2010 the Company redeemed all 18,751 shares of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the "Preferred Stock"), sold to the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the "Treasury") in the first quarter of 2009 as part of the Capital Purchase Program established by the Treasury under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. The Preferred Stock repurchased by the Company had a current carrying value of $\$ 18,255$, net of $\$ 496$ unaccreted discount. As a result of the repurchase, the Company accelerated the accretion of the discount and recorded a total reduction in shareholders equity of $\$ 18,751$, reducing first quarter net income available to common shareholders and diluted earnings per common share by $\$ 496$ and $\$ 0.13$, respectively.

The declines in second quarter and year-to-date 2010 diluted earnings per share largely reflect the Company s previously reported issuance of 882,021 shares of its common stock, the proceeds from which were primarily used to repurchase all of the shares of Preferred Stock held by the Treasury.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company s ROE amounted to $11.01 \%$, compared with $12.71 \%$ for the same period in 2009. The Company s ROA amounted to $1.09 \%$, compared with $1.04 \%$ for the six months ended June

30, 2009.

- Net Interest Income:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis amounted to $\$ 8,211$, representing a decline of $\$ 1,025$ or $11.1 \%$ compared with the second quarter of 2009 . The decline in net interest income was principally attributed to a 38 basis point decline in the Bank stax-equivalent net interest margin, combined with a $\$ 7,136$ decline in average earning assets compared with the second quarter of 2009.

The Bank s net interest margin amounted to $3.17 \%$ for the second quarter 2010, representing a decline of 37 basis points compared with the second quarter of 2009. The decline in the net interest margin from the second quarter of 2009 was largely attributed to earning asset yields, which declined 38 basis points more than the cost of interest bearing liabilities, in part reflecting a moderate shift from short-term funding to higher cost long-term funding on the Bank s balance sheet. Considering the current near-zero percent short-term funding rates and the shape of the U.S. Treasury yield curve, the Bank s interest rate risk management strategy has been focused on protecting net interest income over a long-term horizon, particularly in a rising interest rate environment. While this strategy pressures earnings in the near term, Company management believes the long term-risks associated with funding the balance sheet short far outweigh the short-term rewards. Factors contributing to the decline in earning asset yields included the ongoing re-pricing of certain loans and the replacement of high-yielding securities cash flows during a period of historically low market yields.

The Bank s second quarter net interest income and net interest margin were also negatively impacted by the accelerated MBS security premium amortization related to the aforementioned cumulative repurchases of seriously delinquent mortgage loans by FNMA and FHLMC, which reduced net interest income by approximately $\$ 350$ and the net interest margin by approximately 14 basis points.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis amounted to $\$ 16,685$, representing a decline of $\$ 787$, or $4.5 \%$, compared with the same period in 2009 . The decline in net interest income was principally attributed to the Bank s tax-equivalent net interest margin, which amounted to $3.26 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010, representing a decline of 23 basis points compared with the same period in 2009. The decline in the net interest margin was largely attributed to earning asset yields, which declined 23 basis points more than the cost of interest bearing liabilities, in part reflecting a historically low interest rate environment and a moderate shift from short-term funding to long term funding.

The Bank s year-to-date net interest income and net interest margin were also negatively impacted by the accelerated MBS security premium amortization related to aforementioned FNMA and FHLMC repurchases, which reduced net interest income by approximately $\$ 420$ and the net interest margin by approximately 8 basis points.

- Non-interest Income:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total non-interest income amounted to $\$ 1,795$, representing an increase of $\$ 426$ or $31.1 \%$, compared with the second quarter of 2009.

Total securities gains, net of other-than-temporary impairment losses, amounted to $\$ 263$ in the second quarter, compared with a net loss of $\$ 40$ in the second quarter of 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 303$. The $\$ 263$ in net securities gains were comprised of realized gains on the sale of securities amounting to $\$ 505$, largely offset by other-than-temporary impairment losses of $\$ 242$ on certain available for sale, non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities.
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Trust and other financial services fees amounted to $\$ 696$ in the second quarter, representing an increase of $\$ 108$ or $18.4 \%$ compared with the second quarter of 2009. Assets under management at June 30, 2010, stood at $\$ 267,980$, representing an increase of $\$ 26,117$ or $10.8 \%$ compared with June 30, 2009.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total non-interest income amounted to $\$ 3,705$, representing an increase of $\$ 732$ or $24.6 \%$ compared with the same period in 2009.

Total securities gains, net of other-than-temporary impairment losses, amounted to $\$ 817$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with $\$ 372$ for the same period in 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 445$. The $\$ 817$ in net securities gains were comprised of realized gains on the sale of securities amounting to $\$ 1,357$, partially offset by other-than-temporary impairment losses of $\$ 540$ on certain available for sale, non-agency residential mortgage backed securities.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, credit and debit card service charges and fees amounted to $\$ 526$, representing an increase of $\$ 98$ or $22.9 \%$ compared with the same period in 2009. This increase was principally attributed to continued growth of the Bank s demand deposits and NOW accounts, higher levels of merchant credit card processing volumes, and continued success with a program that offers rewards for certain debit card transactions.

Trust and other financial services fees amounted to $\$ 1,336$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010, representing an increase of $\$ 176$, or $15.2 \%$, compared with the same period in 2009.

- Non-interest Expense:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total non-interest expense amounted to $\$ 5,392$, representing a decline of $\$ 160$, or $2.9 \%$, compared with the second quarter of 2009 .

FDIC deposit insurance assessments amounted to $\$ 266$ for the quarter, representing a decline of $\$ 322$ or $54.8 \%$ compared with the second quarter of 2009 . This decline was principally attributed to a $\$ 495$ emergency special FDIC assessment recorded in the second quarter of 2009. The special assessment was levied on all FDIC insured financial institutions.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total non-interest expense amounted to $\$ 10,597$, representing a decline of $\$ 119$, or $1.1 \%$, compared with the same period in 2009.

FDIC deposit insurance assessments amounted to $\$ 530$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010, representing a decline of $\$ 151$ or $22.2 \%$ compared with the same period in 2009. This decline was principally attributed to the aforementioned special FDIC assessment recorded in the second quarter of 2009. In addition, deposit insurance premiums for all FDIC insured banks have increased as a result of the FDIC s plan to reestablish the Deposit Insurance Fund to levels required by the Federal Deposit Reform Act of 2005.

The decline in non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was also attributed to a $\$ 181$ write-down of certain non-marketable venture capital equity investment funds considered other-than-temporarily impaired recorded during the first half of 2009. These investment funds, which generally qualify for Community Reinvestment Act credit, represent socially responsible venture capital investments in small businesses throughout Maine and New England. These write-downs principally reflected the impact current economic conditions have had on these funds.
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For the six months ended June 30, 2010, salaries and employee benefits expense amounted to $\$ 5,862$, representing an increase of $\$ 425$, or $7.8 \%$, compared with the same period in 2009. The increase in salaries and employee benefits was principally attributed to increases in employee health insurance premiums, normal increases in base salaries, as well as changes in staffing levels and mix. The foregoing increases were partially offset by $\$ 135$ of employee health insurance credits attained in the first quarter and an additional $\$ 135$ in the second quarter of 2010, based on favorable claims experience.

- Efficiency Ratio:

The Company s efficiency ratio, or non-interest operating expenses divided by the sum of tax-equivalent net interest income and non-interest income other than net securities gains and other-than-temporary impairments, measures the relationship of operating expenses to revenues. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 , the Company s efficiency ratios amounted to $55.3 \%$ and $54.0 \%$, compared with $52.0 \%$ and $53.2 \%$ for the same periods in 2009, respectively.

- Income Taxes:

For the three and six months ended June 30,2010 , total income taxes amounted to $\$ 936$ and $\$ 2,148$, representing declines of $\$ 133$ and $\$ 11$, or $12.4 \%$ and $0.5 \%$ compared with the same periods in 2009, respectively. The Company s effective tax rates amounted to $25.7 \%$ and $27.2 \%$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with $27.9 \%$ and $28.6 \%$ for the same periods in 2009 , respectively. The fluctuation in the Company s effective tax rates was generally attributed to changes in the level of non-taxable income in relation to taxable income.

## Summary Financial Condition

At June 30, 2010 the Company s total assets stood at $\$ 1,084,547$, representing an increase of $\$ 12,166$, or $1.1 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009.

## - Loans:

Total loans ended the second quarter at $\$ 684,826$, representing an increase of $\$ 15,334$, or $2.3 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. Loan growth was led by commercial loans, which were up $\$ 12,929$, or $3.5 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009.

Consumer loans, which principally consist of residential real estate mortgage loans and home equity loans, increased $\$ 1,428$ or $0.5 \%$ compared with December 31, 2009. This increase was principally attributed to home equity loans, which were up $\$ 2,709$ or $4.9 \%$ compared with December 31, 2009.

Residential mortgage loan activity slowed during the first half of 2010, largely reflecting current economic conditions and uncertainties with respect to further real estate market declines in the communities served by the Bank, and to a lesser extent the expiration of the first time home buyers tax credit. The Bank s residential real estate mortgage portfolio posted a decline of $\$ 1,217$, or $0.5 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. Loans originated and closed during the six months ended June 30, 2010, were more than offset by cash flows and principal pay-downs from the residential mortgage loan portfolio.

- Credit Quality
: The Bank s non-performing loans declined $\$ 1,270$ or $12.9 \%$ during the second quarter. At June 30, 2010, total non-performing loans amounted to $\$ 8,539$, representing a decline of $\$ 637$ or $6.9 \%$, compared with

December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010, total non-performing loans represented $1.25 \%$ of total loans, representing a decline of twelve basis points compared with December 31, 2009.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Bank enjoyed a relatively low level of loan loss experience. Total net loan charge-offs amounted to $\$ 394$ thousand, or annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding of $0.12 \%$, compared with $\$ 224$ thousand, or annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding of $0.07 \%$, during the first half of 2009 .

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Bank recorded provisions for loan losses of $\$ 550$ thousand and $\$ 1.1$ million, representing declines of $\$ 285$ thousand and $\$ 450$ thousand, compared with the same periods in 2009, respectively. The provisions recorded during the first six months of 2010 were higher than historical norms, largely reflecting a continuance in the overall level of credit deterioration, but aided by relatively low levels of net loan charge-offs and relatively flat loan portfolio growth during the first half of 2010.

The Bank maintains an allowance for loan losses (the "allowance") which is available to absorb probable losses on loans. The allowance is maintained at a level that, in management s judgment, is appropriate for the amount of risk inherent in the current loan portfolio and adequate to provide for estimated probable losses. At June 30, 2010, the allowance stood at $\$ 8,470$, representing an increase of $\$ 656$ or $8.4 \%$ compared with December 31, 2009. The allowance expressed as a percentage of total loans stood at $1.24 \%$ at quarter end, up from $1.17 \%$ at December 31, 2009. The increase in the allowance was principally attribeted to continued credit detrioration in the Bank's loan portfolio, including an increase in potential problem loans. Company management believes this is reflective of depressed economic conditions, including elevated unemployment levels and declining real estate values in the markets served by the Bank.

Refer below to Item 2 of this Part I, Financial Condition, Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, in this report on Form 10-Q for further discussion and alaysis regarding non-performing loans, potential problem loans and the allowance.

- Securities:

Total securities ended the second quarter at $\$ 343,413$, representing a decline of $\$ 3,613$, or $1.0 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. The decline in the securities portfolio was principally attributed to pay-downs on MBS, the cash flows from which were not fully reinvested, largely due to prevailing market yields and interest rate risk considerations. Securities purchased during the first six months of 2010 principally consisted of MBS issued by U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, total average securities amounted to $\$ 339,521$, representing a decline of $\$ 29,763$ or $8.1 \%$, compared with the second quarter of 2009 . Since the second quarter of 2009, the Bank de-leveraged a portion of the securities portfolio in consideration of historically low market yields and the corresponding interest rate risk should interest rates begin to rise. While this action inhibited the growth of the Bank s net interest income in the near term, Company management believed the long-term risks outweighed the short-term rewards.

## - Deposits:

Historically, the banking business in the Bank s market area has been seasonal, with lower deposits in the winter and spring and higher deposits in summer and autumn. The timing and extent of seasonal swings have varied from year to year, particularly with respect to demand deposits and NOW accounts.
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Total deposits ended the second quarter at $\$ 668,285$, representing an increase of $\$ 27,112$, or $4.2 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. Total retail deposits ended the second quarter at $\$ 565,227$, up $\$ 16,044$ or $2.9 \%$ compared with December 31, 2009. Retail deposit growth was principally attributed to time deposits and savings and money market accounts, with demand deposits and NOW accounts posting a combined seasonal decline of $\$ 5,076$ or $3.8 \%$

Brokered deposits obtained from the national market ended the second quarter at $\$ 103,058$, representing an increase of $\$ 11,068$, or $12.0 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. Brokered deposits are generally utilized to help support the Bank s earning asset growth, while maintaining its strong, on-balance sheet liquidity position via secured borrowing lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank. During the first half of 2010, the Bank shifted a portion of its short-term collateralized borrowings to long-term brokered deposits in favor of historically low rates of interest and a stronger on-balance sheet liquidity position.

- Borrowings:

Total borrowings ended the second quarter at $\$ 307,354$, representing a decline of $\$ 4,275$, or $1.4 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. The decline in borrowings was principally attributed to the decline in the securities portfolio, combined with the aforementioned funding shift to brokered deposits at historically low interest rates.

- Capital:

As previously reported, in the first quarter of 2010 the Company redeemed all 18,751 shares of its Preferred Stock sold to the Treasury, consisting of $\$ 18,751$ in principal. Following the redemption of the Preferred Stock, the Company and the Bank continued to exceed regulatory requirements for "well-capitalized" institutions. Under the capital adequacy guidelines administered by the Bank s principal regulators, "well-capitalized" institutions are those with Tier I leverage, Tier I Risk-based, and Total Risk-based ratios of at least $5 \%, 6 \%$ and $10 \%$, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the Company s Tier I Leverage, Tier I Risk-based, and Total Risk-based capital ratios were $9.01 \%, 13.33 \%$ and $15.19 \%$.

At June 30, 2010, the Company s tangible common equity ratio stood at $9.25 \%$, up from $8.60 \%$ at December 31, 2009.

- Shareholder Dividends:

The Company paid regular cash dividends of $\$ 0.26$ per share of common stock in the second quarter of 2010, unchanged compared with the same quarter in 2009. The Company s Board of Directors recently declared a third quarter 2010 regular cash dividend of $\$ 0.26$ per share of common stock.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Interest Income
Net interest income is the principal component of the Company's income stream and represents the difference or spread between interest generated from earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Net interest income is entirely generated by the Bank. Fluctuations in market interest rates as well as volume and mix changes in earning assets and interest bearing liabilities can materially impact net interest income.

Total Net Interest Income:
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For the three months ended June 30, 2010, net interest income on a tax equivalent basis amounted to $\$ 8,211$, compared with $\$ 9,236$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 1,025$, or $11.1 \%$. As more fully discussed below, the decline in second quarter 2010 tax-equivalent net interest income compared with the second quarter of 2009 was principally attributed to a 37 basis point decline in the Bank s net interest margin and, to a lesser extent, a $\$ 7,136$ or $0.7 \%$ decline in average earning assets.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis amounted to $\$ 16,685$, compared with $\$ 17,472$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 787$, or $4.5 \%$. As more fully discussed below, the decline tax-equivalent net interest income was principally attributed to a 23 basis point decline in the Bank s net interest margin, partially offset by a $\$ 22,206$ or $2.2 \%$ increase in average earning assets compared with the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Factors contributing to the changes in net interest income and the net interest margin are more fully enumerated in the following discussion and analysis.

Net Interest Income Analysis:
The following tables summarize the Company s average balance sheets and components of net interest income, including a reconciliation of tax equivalent adjustments, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  | Weighted |
|  | Average |  | Weighted <br> Average | Average |  | Average |  |
| Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | $\$ 1,075,535$ |  |  | $\$ 1,075,374$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Net interest income and net interest margin (3) | 8,211 | $3.17 \%$ | 9,236 | $3.54 \%$ |
| Less: Tax Equivalent adjustment | $(416)$ |  | $(393)$ |  |
| $\quad$ Net Interest Income | $\$ 7,795$ | $3.01 \%$ | $\$ 8,843$ | $3.39 \%$ |

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.
(2) For purposes of these computations, unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities are recorded in other assets.
(3) For purposes of these computations, net interest income and net interest margin are reported on a tax equivalent basis.

## AVERAGE BALANCE SHEET AND ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

|  | 2010 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Balance | Interest | Weighted <br> Average Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Weighted <br> Average <br> Rate |
| Interest Earning Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (1,3) | \$ | 675,889 | \$17,464 | 5.21\% | \$ 649,013 | \$17,562 | 5.46\% |
| Taxable securities (2) |  | 278,985 | 6,623 | 4.79\% | 293,303 | 8,605 | 5.92\% |
| Non-taxable securities (2,3) |  | 61,756 | 2,304 | 7.52\% | 52,275 | 1,949 | 7.52\% |
| Total securities |  | 340,741 | 8,927 | 5.28\% | 345,578 | 10,554 | 6.16\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  | 16,068 | --- | 0.00\% | 15,492 | --- | 0.00\% |
| Fed funds sold, money market funds, and time deposits with other banks | Fed funds sold, money market funds, and time | 213 | --- | 0.00\% | 622 | 2 | 0.65\% |
| Total Earning Assets |  | 1,032,911 | 26,391 | 5.15\% | 1,010,705 | 28,118 | 5.61\% |
| Non-Interest Earning Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks |  | 7,766 |  |  | 8,059 |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(8,268)$ |  |  | $(5,919)$ |  |  |
| Other assets (2) |  | 37,664 |  |  | 27,302 |  |  |
| Total Assets |  | 1,070,073 |  |  | \$1,040,147 |  |  |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 620,746 | \$ 4,951 | 1.61\% | \$ 549,056 | \$ 5,436 | 2.00\% |
| Borrowings |  | 288,161 | 4,755 | 3.33\% | 352,408 | 5,210 | 2.98\% |
| Total Interest Bearing Liabilities |  | 908,907 | 9,706 | 2.15\% | 901,464 | 10,646 | 2.38\% |
| Rate Spread |  |  |  | 3.00\% |  |  | 3.23\% |
| Non-Interest Bearing Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand and other non-interest bearing deposits |  | 50,379 |  |  | 48,260 |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 5,200 |  |  | 5,061 |  |  |
| Total Liabilities |  | 964,486 |  |  | 954,785 |  |  |
| Shareholders' equity |  | 105,587 |  |  | 85,362 |  |  |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity |  | 1,070,073 |  |  | \$1,040,147 |  |  |
| Net interest income and net interest margin (3) |  |  | 16,685 | 3.26\% |  | 17,472 | 3.49\% |
| Less: Tax Equivalent adjustment |  |  | (832) |  |  | (689) |  |
| Net Interest Income |  |  | \$15,853 | 3.10\% |  | \$16,783 | 3.35\% |

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.
(2) For purposes of these computations, unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities are recorded in other assets.
(3) For purposes of these computations, net interest income and net interest margin are reported on a tax equivalent basis.

## Net Interest Margin:

The net interest margin, expressed on a tax equivalent basis, represents the difference between interest and dividends earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid to depositors and other creditors, expressed as a percentage of average earning assets.

The net interest margin is determined by dividing tax equivalent net interest income by average interest-earning assets. The interest rate spread represents the difference between the average tax equivalent yield earned on interest earning-assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities. The net interest margin is generally higher than the interest rate spread due to the additional income earned on those assets funded by non-interest bearing liabilities, primarily demand deposits and shareholders equity.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the tax equivalent net interest margin amounted to $3.17 \%$, compared with $3.54 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of 37 basis points. The decline in the net interest margin from the second quarter of 2009 was largely attributed earning asset yields, which declined 38 basis points more than the cost of interest bearing liabilities, in part reflecting the historically low interest rate environment and a moderate shift from short-term funding to higher cost, long-term funding on the Bank sbalance sheet. Considering the current near zero percent short-term funding rates and the shape of the U.S. Treasury yield curve, the Bank s interest rate risk management strategy has been focused on protecting net interest income over a long-term horizon, particularly in a rising interest rate environment. While this strategy pressures earnings in the near term, Company management believes the long term-risks associated with funding the balance sheet short outweigh the short-term rewards.

During the first quarter of 2010 the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC" or "Freddie Mac") and the Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA" or "Fannie Mae") announced they would buy back an approximate $\$ 200$ billion backlog of seriously delinquent mortgages contained in certain residential MBS previously sold to investors, including the Company. These cumulative repurchases were completed during the three months ended June 30, 2010. The Bank s second quarter net interest margin and net interest income were negatively impacted by the accelerated MBS premium amortization related to the aforementioned repurchases by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which reduced the net interest margin by approximately 14 basis points and net interest income by approximately $\$ 350$. Because of the absence of published data, it is not possible to precisely determine how many seriously delinquent loans were contained in any given securitized mortgage pool.

During the default wave of 2007-present, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac had not been routinely buying back mortgage loans out of securitized pools when they became seriously delinquent. Instead, these government-sponsored enterprises continued to advance principal and interest on the loans, buying them back at the earlier of the date when (1) a loan is modified, (2) a loan proceeds through foreclosure, (3) the loan reaches the maximum delinquency allowed under the pool prospectus (typically 24 months), or (4) they arbitrarily decide to buy it back sometime after passing 120 days delinquent. Given the size of the backlog of seriously delinquent loans, it became apparent that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac had not been electing to repurchase most seriously delinquent loans out timely since 2007. In effect, these government sponsored enterprises incurred a cost of funds equal to the mortgage pool coupons, but may have managed to defer some loss recognition. Going forward, while Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have avoided setting specific guidelines for future purchases, given the funding now available from the U.S. Treasury and new accounting requirements to bring their off-balance sheet mortgage guarantees on the balance sheet, it appears likely that both will be quicker to repurchase loans when they become 120 days delinquent. As a result, repurchases of delinquent loans will likely be steadier, preventing the kind of backlog that had accumulated and the highly abnormal bond premium amortization experienced by investors during the six months ended June 30, 2010, including the Company.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the tax equivalent net interest margin amounted to $3.26 \%$, compared with $3.49 \%$ for the same period in 2009, representing a decline of 23 basis points. The decline in the net interest margin from the first half of 2009 was largely attributed to earning asset yields, which declined 23 basis points more than the cost of interest bearing liabilities, in part reflecting the historically low interest rate environment and the previously
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discussed moderate shift from short-term funding to higher cost, long-term funding on the Bank s balance sheet. The Bank s year-to-date net interest margin and net interest income were also negatively impacted by the previously discussed accelerated MBS premium amortization related to the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac repurchases, which during the six months ended June 30,2010 reduced the net interest margin by approximately 8 basis points and net interest income by approximately $\$ 420$.

The following table summarizes the net interest margin components, on a quarterly basis, over the past two years. Factors contributing to the changes in the net interest margin are enumerated in the following discussion and analysis.

## NET INTEREST MARGIN ANALYSIS FOR QUARTER ENDED

## WEIGHTED AVERAGE RATES

## Interest Earning Assets:

Loans (1,3)
Taxable securities (2)
Non-taxable securities $(2,3)$
Total securities
Federal Home Loan Bank stock
Fed Funds sold, money market funds, and time
deposits with other banks
Total Earning Assets
Interest Bearing Liabilities:
Demand and other non-interest bearing deposits
Borrowings
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities
Rate Spread
Net Interest Margin (3)
Net Interest Margin without
Tax Equivalent Adjustments

| Quarter: | 2010 | 2009 |  |  |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 5.20\% 5.22\% | 5.16\% | 5.27\% | 5.35\% | 5.56\% | 5.93\% | 6.09\% |
|  | 4.35\% 5.23\% | 5.31\% | 5.64\% | 5.86\% | 5.98\% | 5.81\% | 5.89\% |
|  | 7.57\% 7.48\% | 7.53\% | 7.13\% | 7.61\% | 7.39\% | 7.02\% | 6.88\% |
|  | 4.93\% 5.64\% | 5.70\% | 5.90\% | 6.14\% | 6.18\% | 5.97\% | 6.02\% |
|  | 0.00\% 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 2.46 | 3.00\% |
| time | 0.00\% 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 1.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.93\% | 2.16\% | 3.13\% |
|  | 5.03\% 5.28\% | 5.26\% | 5.40\% | 5.55\% | 5.68\% | 5.88\% | 6.02\% |
| eposits | 1.57\% 1.64\% | 1.73\% | 1.79\% | 1.84\% | 2.17\% | 2.62 | 2.72\% |
|  | 3.24\% 3.42\% | 3.34\% | 3.19\% | 2.90\% | 3.07\% | 3.67\% | 3.92\% |
|  | 2.10\% 2.21\% | 2.27\% | 2.29\% | 2.24\% | 2.53\% | 3.00\% | 3.14\% |
|  | 2.93\% 3.07\% | 2.99\% | 3.11\% | 3.31\% | 3.15\% | 2.88\% | 2.88\% |
|  | 3.17\% 3.34\% | 3.27\% | 3.38\% | 3.54\% | 3.42\% | 3.21\% | 3.22\% |
|  | 3.01\% 3.18\% | 3.10\% | 3.23\% | 3.39\% | 3.30\% | 3.10\% | 3.12\% |

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.
(2) For purposes of these computations, unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities are recorded in other assets.
(3) For purposes of these computations, net interest income and net interest margin are reported on a tax equivalent basis.

The weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities amounted to $2.10 \%$ in the second quarter of 2010, compared with $2.24 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of 14 basis points. However, the weighted average yield on average earning assets amounted to $5.03 \%$ in the second quarter of 2010 , compared with $5.55 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of 52 basis points. In short, since the second quarter of 2009 the decline in the Bank s weighted average yield on its earning asset portfolios exceeded the decline in the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities by 38 basis points.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities amounted to $2.15 \%$, compared with $2.38 \%$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of 23 basis points. However, the weighted average yield on average earning assets amounted to $5.15 \%$ compared with $5.61 \%$ during the six months ended June 30,2009 , representing a decline of 46 basis points. Comparing the first six months of 2010 with the same period in 2009, the decline in the Bank s weighted average yield on its earning asset portfolios exceeded the decline in the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities by 23 basis points.
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Should interest rates continue at current levels, Company management anticipates the net interest margin will remain relatively stable over the next twelve months as assets and liabilities are expected to re-price or be replaced proportionally into the current low interest rate environment.

The Bank s interest rate sensitivity position is more fully described below in Part I, Item 3 of this report on Form 10-Q, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Interest and Dividend Income:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total interest and dividend income on a tax-equivalent basis amounted to $\$ 13,021$, compared with $\$ 14,458$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 1,437$, or $9.9 \%$. The decline in interest and dividend income was principally attributed to a 52 basis point decline in the weighted average earning asset yield and, to a lesser extent, a $\$ 7,136$ or $0.7 \%$ decline in average earning assets. Factors contributing to the decline in the weighted average earning asset yield included the aforementioned impact of the cumulative buyback of loans contained in certain MBS amounting to approximately $\$ 350$, and the ongoing re-pricing of certain loans and replacement of high yielding securities cash flows during a period of historically low market yields.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, interest income from the loan portfolio amounted to $\$ 8,850$, representing a slight increase of $\$ 44$, compared with the second quarter of 2009 . While the weighted average yield on the loan portfolio declined 15 basis points to $5.20 \%$, the impact of this decline was essentially offset by average loan portfolio growth of $\$ 22,462$, or $3.4 \%$.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, interest income from the Bank s securities portfolio amounted to $\$ 4,171$, representing a decline of $\$ 1,481$, or $26.2 \%$, compared with the second quarter of 2009 . The decline in securities interest income was principally attributed to a $\$ 29,763$ or $8.1 \%$ decline in average securities, compared with the second quarter of 2009. Since the second quarter of 2009, the Bank de-leveraged a portion of the securities portfolio in consideration of historically low market yields and the corresponding interest rate risk should market yields and interest rates begin to rise. The decline in interest income from securities was also attributed to a 121 basis point decline in the weighted average portfolio yield to $4.93 \%$, principally reflecting a 60 basis point negative impact associated with the previously discussed cumulative buyback of loans contained in certain MBS. The decline securities portfolio yield since the second quarter of 2009 also reflects the replacement of high yielding securities cash flows from the portfolio during a period of historically low market yields.

As depicted on the rate/volume analysis table below, comparing the three months ended June 30, 2010 with the same quarter in 2009, the decreased volume of total average earning assets on the balance sheet contributed $\$ 126$ to the decline in total tax-equivalent interest income, while the impact of the lower weighted average earning asset yield contributed $\$ 1,311$ to the decline in total tax-equivalent interest income.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total interest and dividend income on a tax-equivalent basis amounted to $\$ 26,391$, compared with $\$ 28,118$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 1,727$, or $6.1 \%$. The decline in interest and dividend income was principally attributed to a 46 basis point decline in the weighted average earning asset yield, partially offset by average earning asset growth of $\$ 22,206$, compared with the first six months of 2009. Factors contributing to the decline in the weighted average earning asset yield included the aforementioned impact of the cumulative buyback of loans contained in certain MBS, and the ongoing re-pricing of certain loans and replacement of securities cash flows during a period of historically low market yields.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, interest income from the loan portfolio amounted to $\$ 17,464$, representing a slight increase of $\$ 98$, compared with the same period in 2009. While the weighted average yield on the loan portfolio declined 25 basis points to $5.21 \%$, the impact of this decline was essentially offset by average loan portfolio growth of $\$ 26,876$, or $4.1 \%$.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, interest income from the Bank s securities portfolio amounted to $\$ 8,927$, representing a decline of $\$ 1,627$, or $15.4 \%$, compared with the same period in 2009 . The decline in interest income from securities was principally attributed to an 88 basis point decline in the weighted average portfolio yield to $5.28 \%$, principally reflecting a 25 basis point negative impact associated with the previously discussed cumulative buyback of loans contained in certain MBS, combined with the replacement of high yielding securities cash flows from the portfolio during a period of historically low market yields. To a lesser extent, the decline in interest income from securities also reflects a $\$ 4,837$ or $1.4 \%$ decline in average securities compared with the first six months of 2009.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Bank did not record any Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") stock dividends, unchanged compared with the first quarter of 2009. In the first quarter of 2009, the FHLB of Boston advised its members that it is focusing on preserving capital in response to other-than-temporary impairment losses it had sustained, declining capital ratios and ongoing market volatility. Accordingly, dividend payments were suspended for all of 2009, and it is unlikely that dividends will be paid in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the average balance of FHLB stock on the Bank s balance sheet amounted to $\$ 16,068$.

As depicted on the rate/volume analysis table, comparing the six months ended June 30, 2010, with the same period in 2009 , to the impact of the lower weighted average earning asset yield contributed $\$ 2,390$ to the decline in total tax-equivalent interest income, offset in part by a positive $\$ 663$ attributed to the increased volume of total average earning assets on the Bank s balance sheet.

## Interest Expense:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total interest expense amounted to $\$ 4,810$, compared with $\$ 5,222$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 412$, or $7.9 \%$. The decline in interest expense was attributed to a 14 basis point decline in the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities, combined with a $\$ 13,525$ decline in total average interest bearing liabilities. The decline in the average cost of interest bearing funds was principally attributed to prevailing, historically low short-term and long-term market interest rates since the first quarter of 2009, with maturing time deposits being added or replaced at a lower cost and other interest bearing deposits re-pricing into the lower interest rate environment.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the total weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities amounted to $2.10 \%$, compared with $2.24 \%$ for the same quarter in 2009 , representing a decline of 14 basis points. The weighted average cost of interest bearing deposits declined 27 basis points to $1.57 \%$, compared with the first quarter of 2009 . The weighted average cost of borrowed funds increased 34 basis points to $3.24 \%$, principally reflecting the addition of long-term borrowings to the Bank s balance sheet, which meaningfully improved the Bank s interest rate risk profile in a rising rate environment.

As depicted on the rate/volume analysis table, comparing the three months ended June 30, 2010, with the same quarter in 2009, the impact of the lower weighted average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities contributed $\$ 169$ to the decline in interest expense, while the impact of the decreased volume of average interest bearing liabilities contributed \$243.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total interest expense amounted to $\$ 9,706$, compared with $\$ 10,646$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 940$, or $8.8 \%$. The decline in interest expense was attributed to a 23 basis point decline in the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities, partially offset by a $\$ 7,443$ or $0.8 \%$ increase in total average interest bearing liabilities.

The decline in the average cost of interest bearing liabilities was principally attributed to prevailing, historically low short-term and long-term market interest rates since the first half of 2009. The total weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities amounted to $2.15 \%$, compared with $2.38 \%$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of 23 basis points. The weighted average cost of interest bearing deposits declined 39 basis points to $1.61 \%$, while the

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

weighted average cost of borrowed funds increased 35 basis points to $3.33 \%$, principally reflecting the addition of long-term borrowings to the Bank s balance sheet.

As depicted on the rate/volume analysis table below, comparing the six months ended June 30, 2010, with the same period in 2009, the impact of the lower weighted average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities contributed $\$ 700$ to the decline in interest expense, while the impact of the volume of average interest bearing liabilities contributed $\$ 240$.

## Rate/Volume Analysis:

The following table sets forth a summary analysis of the relative impact on net interest income of changes in the average volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, and changes in average rates on such assets and liabilities. The income from tax-exempt assets has been adjusted to a fully tax equivalent basis, thereby allowing uniform comparisons to be made. Because of the numerous simultaneous volume and rate changes during the periods analyzed, it is not possible to precisely allocate changes to volume or rate. For presentation purposes, changes which are not solely due to volume changes or rate changes have been allocated to these categories in proportion to the relationships of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

## ANALYSIS OF VOLUME AND RATE CHANGES ON NET INTEREST INCOME THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 VERSUS JUNE 30, 2009 INCREASES (DECREASES) DUE TO:

|  | Average <br> Volume | Average <br> Rate | Total <br> Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans (1,3) | $\$ 299$ | $\$(255)$ | $\$ 4$ |
| Taxable securities (2) | $(464)$ | $(1,048)$ | $(1,512)$ |
| Non-taxable securities (2,3) | 39 | $(8)$ | 31 |
| TOTAL EARNING ASSETS | $\$(126)$ | $\$(1,311)$ | $\$(1,437)$ |
| Interest bearing deposits | 252 | $(415)$ | $(163)$ |
| Borrowings | $(495)$ | 246 | $(249)$ |
| TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES | $\$(243)$ | $\$(169)$ | $\$(412)$ |
| NET CHANGE IN NET INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.
(2) For purposes of these computations, unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities are recorded in other assets.
(3) For purposes of these computations, net interest income and net interest margin are reported on a tax equivalent basis.

|  | Average | Average | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Rate | Change |
| Loans $(1,3)$ | $\$ 731$ | $\$(829)$ | $\$(98)$ |
| Taxable securities (2) | $(420)$ | $(1,562)$ | $(1,982)$ |


| Non-taxable securities $(2,3)$ <br> Fed funds sold, money market funds, and time <br> $\quad$ deposits with other banks | 353 | 2 | 355 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| TOTAL EARNING ASSETS |  | $(1)$ | $(1)$ |
| Interest bearing deposits | $\$ 663$ | $\$(2,390)$ | $\$(1,727)$ |
| Borrowings | 713 | $(1,198)$ | $(485)$ |
| TOTAL INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES | $(953)$ | 498 | $(455)$ |
| NET CHANGE IN NET INTEREST INCOME | $\$(240)$ | $\$(700)$ | $\$(940)$ |
| N | $\$ 903$ | $\$(1,690)$ | $\$(787)$ |

(1) For purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loans.
(2) For purposes of these computations, unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities are recorded in other assets.
(3) For purposes of these computations, net interest income and net interest margin are reported on a tax equivalent basis.

## Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses reflects the amount necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses (the "allowance") at a level that, in management $s$ judgment, is appropriate for the amount of inherent risk of probable loss in the Bank $s$ current loan portfolio.

The Bank s non-performing loans declined $\$ 1,270$ or $12.9 \%$ during the second quarter. At June 30 , 2010, total non-performing loans amounted to $\$ 8,539$, or $1.25 \%$ of total loans, compared with $\$ 9,176$, or $1.37 \%$ at December 31, 2009. The Bank s allowance for loan losses expressed as a percentage of non-performing loans stood at $99.2 \%$ at June 30, 2010, compared with $85.2 \%$ at December 31, 2009.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total net loan charge-offs amounted to $\$ 394$, representing an increase of $\$ 170$ compared with the same period in 2009 . For the six months ended June 30, 2010, annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding amounted to $0.12 \%$, compared with $0.07 \%$ for the same period in 2009 .

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the provision for loan losses (the "provision") amounted to $\$ 550$ and $\$ 1,050$, compared with $\$ 835$ and $\$ 1,500$ for the same periods in 2009 , representing declines of $\$ 285$ and $\$ 450$, or $34.1 \%$ and $30.0 \%$, respectively. Despite the year-over-year declines in the provision, the amounts recorded during the first six months of 2010 were higher than historical norms, largely reflecting a continuance in the overall level of credit deterioration, but aided by relatively low levels of net loan charge-offs and relatively flat loan portfolio growth during the first half of 2010.

Refer below to Item 2 of this Part I, Financial Condition, Loans, Allowance for Loan Losses, in this report on Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$ for further discussion and analysis regarding the allowance.

Non-interest Income

In addition to net interest income, non-interest income is a significant source of revenue for the Company and an important factor in its results of operations.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total non-interest income amounted to $\$ 1,795$, compared with $\$ 1,369$ for the same quarter in 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 426$ or $31.1 \%$.

Factors contributing to the changes in non-interest income are enumerated in the following discussion and analysis:

Trust and Other Financial Services:
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Income from trust and other financial services is principally derived from fee income based on a percentage of the market value of client assets under management and held in custody and, to a lesser extent, revenue from brokerage services conducted through Bar Harbor Financial Services, an independent third-party broker.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, income from trust and other financial services amounted to $\$ 696$, compared with $\$ 588$ for the same quarter in 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 108$, or $18.4 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 income from trust and other financial services amounted to $\$ 1,336$, compared with $\$ 1,160$ for the same period in 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 176$, or $15.2 \%$

Reflecting some recovery in the equity markets and additional new business, assets under management ended the second quarter at $\$ 267,980$, compared with $\$ 241,863$ at June 30 , 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 26,117$, or $10.8 \%$.

Service Charges on Deposits:
This income is principally derived from deposit account overdraft fees, monthly deposit account maintenance and activity fees, and a variety of other deposit account related fees.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, income from service charges on deposit accounts amounted to $\$ 372$, compared with $\$ 360$ for the same quarter in 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 12$ or $3.3 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, income from service charges on deposits amounted to $\$ 686$, compared with $\$ 669$ for the same period in 2009, representing an increase of $2.5 \%$.

The increase in service charges on deposit accounts was principally attributed to an increase in deposit account overdraft fees, despite the fact that the Bank has not increased its deposit account fee amounts charged to customers since early 2007.

On November 12, 2009, the Federal Reserve issued amendments to Regulation E implementing certain provisions of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act. The new rules, which became effective on July 1, 2010, restrict the ability of a bank to offer overdraft protection to deposit customers without their consent and to derive fees from overdraft programs. Bank management believes these amendments will not have a material adverse impact on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

Mortgage Banking Activities:
This income is principally derived from gains on sales of residential mortgage loans into the secondary market and ongoing retained mortgage loan servicing fees.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, income from mortgage banking activities amounted to $\$ 44$ and $\$ 69$, representing declines of $\$ 26$ and $\$ 4$, or $37.1 \%$ and $5.5 \%$ compared with the same periods in 2009 , respectively. During the first half of 2010, substantially all residential mortgage loan originations were held in the Bank s loan portfolio, whereas during the same period in 2009 certain residential Mortgage loan originations were sold into the secondary market with customer servicing retained.

Credit and Debit Card Service Charges and Fees:
This income is principally derived from the Bank s Visa debit card product, merchant credit card processing fees and fees associated with Visa credit cards.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, credit and debit card service charges and fees amounted to $\$ 274$, compared with $\$ 252$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 22$, or $8.7 \%$. For the six months ended June 30 , 2010, credit and debit card service charges and fees amounted to $\$ 526$, compared with $\$ 428$ for the same period in

2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 98$, or $22.9 \%$.
The increases in credit and debit card service charges and fees were principally attributed to continued growth of the Bank s demand deposits and NOW accounts, higher levels of merchant credit card processing volumes, and continued success with a program that offers rewards for certain debit card transactions.

## Net Securities Gains:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total net securities gains amounted to $\$ 505$, compared with $\$ 414$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 91$, or $22.0 \%$. The total securities gains recorded in the second quarter of 2010 and 2009 were comprised entirely of realized gains on the sale of securities.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total securities gains amounted to $\$ 1,357$ compared with $\$ 826$ for the same period in 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 531$, or $64.3 \%$. The total net securities gains recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were comprised entirely of realized gains on the sale of securities. The total net securities gains recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2009, were comprised of realized gains on the sale of securities amounting to $\$ 1,833$, largely offset by $\$ 1,007$ in other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses.

In the first quarter of 2009 the Company recorded $\$ 1,007$ of OTTI losses as a component of net securities gains (losses). The Company concluded that unrealized losses on certain available for sale, private label mortgage-backed securities were other-than-temporarily impaired, because the Company could no longer conclude it was probable it would recover all of the principal and interest on these securities. Because these securities were being carried at fair value, estimated losses on these securities, net of tax, were previously recorded in unrealized losses on securities available for sale within accumulated other comprehensive loss, a component of total shareholders equity on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. This OTTI was recorded prior to the Company s adoption of a new accounting standard, which became effective April 1, 2009.

For further information about securities gains and losses and other-than-temporary impairment losses recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, refer to Notes 2 and 4 of the consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report on Form 10-Q.

Net Other-than-temporary Impairment Losses Recognized in Earnings:
For the three months ended June 30, 2010, net OTTI losses recognized in earnings amounted to $\$ 242$, compared with $\$ 454$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 212$, or $46.7 \%$. The OTTI losses recorded in the second quarter of 2010 related to four, available for sale, private label mortgage-backed securities, three of which the Company had previously determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. In all cases the OTTI losses represented management $s$ best estimate of credit losses or additional credit losses on the collateral underlying these securities. The $\$ 242$ in estimated credit losses, net of taxes, were previously recorded in unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale within accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, a component of total shareholders equity on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net OTTI losses recognized in earnings amounted to $\$ 540$, compared with $\$ 454$ thousand during the same period in 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 86$, or $18.9 \%$. As discussed immediately above, in the first quarter of 2009 OTTI losses amounting to $\$ 1,007$ were recorded as a component of net securities gains.

The OTTI losses recognized in earnings during the first half of 2010 related to nine, available for sale, private label mortgage-backed securities, eight of which the Company had previously determined that these securities were other-than-temporarily impaired. In all cases the OTTI losses represented management s best estimate of credit losses or additional credit losses on the collateral underlying these securities. The $\$ 540$ in estimated credit losses, net of
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taxes, were previously recorded in unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale within accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, a component of total shareholders equity on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

For further information about other-than-temporary securities impairment losses recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, refer to Notes 2 and 4 of the consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form $10-\mathrm{Q}$.

## Non-interest Expense

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total non-interest expense amounted to $\$ 5,392$, compared with $\$ 5,552$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 160$, or $2.9 \%$. For the six months ended June 30 , 2010, total non-interest expense amounted to $\$ 10,597$, compared with $\$ 10,716$ for the same period in 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 119$, or $1.1 \%$.

Factors contributing to the changes in non-interest expense are enumerated in the following discussion and analysis.

## Salaries and Employee Benefit Expenses:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, salaries and employee benefits expense amounted to $\$ 2,919$, compared with $\$ 2,681$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 238$, or $8.9 \%$. For the six months ended June 30,2010 , salaries and employee benefits expense amounted to $\$ 5,862$, compared with $\$ 5,437$ for the same period in 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 425$, or $7.8 \%$.

The increases in salaries and employee benefits expense was principally attributed to increases in employee health insurance premiums, normal increases in base salaries, as well as changes in staffing levels and mix. The foregoing increases were partially offset by $\$ 135$ of employee health insurance expense credits attained in the first quarter of 2010 and an additional $\$ 135$ in the second quarter of 2010, based on favorable claims experience.

Occupancy Expenses:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, total occupancy expenses amounted to $\$ 337$ and $\$ 709$, compared with $\$ 330$ and $\$ 728$ for the same periods in 2009 , representing declines of $\$ 7$ and $\$ 19$, or $2.1 \%$ and $2.6 \%$, respectively. The declines in occupancy expense were principally attributed to lower utilities and grounds keeping costs.

Furniture and Equipment Expenses:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, furniture and equipment expenses amounted to $\$ 419$ and $\$ 771$, compared with $\$ 337$ and $\$ 690$ during the same period is 2009 , representing increases of $\$ 82$ and $\$ 81$, or $24.3 \%$ and $11.7 \%$, respectively. The increase in furniture and equipment expenses was principally attributed to depreciation expense, maintenance contract expenses, and miscellaneous equipment purchases and repairs.

FDIC Insurance Assessments:

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, FDIC insurance assessments amounted to $\$ 266$, compared with $\$ 588$ for the same quarter in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 322$, or $54.8 \%$. This decline was principally attributed to a $\$ 495$ emergency special assessment recorded in the second quarter of 2009. The special assessment was levied on all financial institutions

During 2009, the FDIC s Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") posted record losses, causing the reserve ratio to fall well below $1.15 \%$. A reserve ratio below $1.15 \%$ triggers the need for a DIF restoration plan in accordance with the FDI

## Edgar Filing: BAR HARBOR BANKSHARES - Form 10-Q

Reform Act of 2005 and conforming amendments. Pursuant to the Act, the FDIC must bring the reserve ratio back to $1.15 \%$ within five years. In addition to the 2009 special emergency assessment, deposit insurance premiums for all FDIC insured banks have increased as a result of the FDIC s plan to reestablish the Deposit Insurance Fund to acceptable levels.

For the six months ended June 30 , 2010, total FDIC assessments amounted to $\$ 530$, compared with $\$ 681$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 151$, or $22.2 \%$. This decline was attributed to the 2009 special emergency assessment discussed immediately above.

Other Operating Expense:
For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total other operating expense amounted to $\$ 1,381$, compared with $\$ 1,543$ in the second quarter in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 162$, or $10.5 \%$. The decline in other operating expense was attributed to declines in a variety of expense categories including loan collection expenses, audit and regulatory examination fees, marketing costs, statement rendering costs, professional services fees, and miscellaneous losses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total other operating expenses amounted to $\$ 2,578$, compared with $\$ 3,006$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 428$, or $14.2 \%$. This decline was principally attributed to a $\$ 181$ write-down of certain non-marketable venture capital equity investment funds considered other-than-temporarily impaired of which $\$ 168$ was recorded in the first quarter of 2009 and $\$ 13$ in the second quarter of 2009. These investment funds, which generally qualify for Community Reinvestment Act credit, represent socially responsible venture capital investments in small businesses throughout Maine and New England. These write-downs principally reflected the impact current economic conditions have had on these funds.

The decline in other operating expenses compared with the first six months of 2009 was also attributed to declines in loan collection expenses, charitable contributions, statement rendering expenses, audit and regulatory examination fees, professional services fees and miscellaneous losses.

## Income Taxes

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, total income taxes amounted to $\$ 936$, compared with $\$ 1,069$ in the second quarter of 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 133$, or $12.4 \%$. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total income taxes amounted to $\$ 2,148$, compared with $\$ 2,159$ for the same period in 2009 , representing a decline of $\$ 11$, or $0.5 \%$.

The Company's effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 amounted to $25.7 \%$ and $27.2 \%$, compared with $27.9 \%$ and $28.6 \%$ for the same periods in 2009 . The income tax provisions for these periods were less than the expense that would result from applying the federal statutory rate of $35 \%$ to income before income taxes, principally because of the impact of tax exempt interest income on certain investment securities, loans and bank owned life insurance.

Fluctuations in the Company s effective tax rate are generally attributed to increases in the level of non-taxable income in relation to taxable income.

## FINANCIAL CONDITION

## Total Assets

The Company s assets principally consist of loans and securities, which at June 30, 2010 represented $63.1 \%$ and $31.7 \%$ of total assets, compared with $62.4 \%$ and $32.4 \%$ at December 31, 2009, respectively.
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At June 30, 2010, the Company s total assets amounted to $\$ 1,084,547$, compared with $\$ 1,072,381$ at December 31 , 2009 , representing an increase of $\$ 12,166$, or $1.1 \%$.

## Securities

The securities portfolio is primarily comprised of MBS issued by U.S. government agencies, U.S. government sponsored enterprises, and other non-agency, private label issuers. The portfolio also includes tax-exempt obligations of state and political subdivisions, and debt obligations of other U.S. government sponsored enterprises. At June 30, 2010, the securities portfolio did not contain any pools of subprime MBS, collateralized debt obligations or commercial MBS. Additionally, the Bank did not own any equity securities or have any corporate debt exposure in its securities portfolio, nor did it own any perpetual preferred stock in FHLMC or FNMA, or any interests in pooled trust preferred securities or auction rate securities.

Bank management considers securities as a relatively attractive means to effectively leverage the Bank strong capital position, as securities are typically assigned a significantly lower risk weighting for the purpose of calculating the Bank s and the Company s risk-based capital ratios. The overall objectives of the Bank s strategy for the securities portfolio include maintaining appropriate liquidity reserves, diversifying earning assets, managing interest rate risk, leveraging the Bank s strong capital position, and generating acceptable levels of net interest income.

The securities portfolio represented $33.0 \%$ of the Company s average earning assets during the six months ended June 30,2010 and generated $33.8 \%$ of total tax equivalent interest and dividend income, compared with $34.2 \%$ and $37.5 \%$ for the same period in 2009 , respectively.

Securities available for sale represented $100 \%$ of total securities at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009. Securities available for sale are reported at their fair value with unrealized gains or losses, net of taxes, excluded from earnings but shown separately as a component of shareholders equity. At June 30, 2010, total net unrealized securities gains amounted to $\$ 5,131$, compared with $\$ 419$ at December 31,2009 , principally reflecting declining market yields during the first six months of 2010.

## Total Securities:

At June 30, 2010, total securities amounted to $\$ 343,413$, compared with $\$ 347,026$ at December 31, 2009, representing a decline of $\$ 3,613$ or $1.0 \%$. The decline in the securities portfolio was principally attributed to pay-downs on MBS, the cash flows from which were not fully reinvested, largely due to prevailing market conditions and interest rate risk considerations.

The following tables summarize the securities available for sale portfolio as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

June 30, 2010

|  |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | Estimated <br> Fair |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Value |  |  |  |  |

Available for Sale:
Obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises
Mortgage-backed securities:
U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises
U.S. Government agencies

Private label
Obligations of states and political subdivisions thereof

Total
Impaired Securities:

December 31, 2009

| Available for Sale: | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 2,770 | \$ 13 | \$ 227 | \$ 2,556 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises | 226,740 | 7,613 | 3 | 234,350 |
| U.S. Government agencies | 21,522 | 606 | 21 | 22,107 |
| Private label | 31,754 | 27 | 5,428 | 26,353 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions thereof | 63,821 | 1,674 | 3,835 | 61,660 |
| Total | \$346,607 | \$9,933 | \$9,514 | \$347,026 |
| Impaired Securities: |  |  |  |  |

The securities portfolio contains certain securities where amortized cost exceeds fair value, which at June 30, 2010, amounted to an excess of $\$ 7,213$, or $2.1 \%$ of the amortized cost of the total securities portfolio. At December 31, 2009 this amount represented an excess of $\$ 9,514$, or $2.7 \%$ of the total securities portfolio. As of June 30, 2010, unrealized losses on securities in a continuous unrealized loss position more than twelve-months amounted to $\$ 6,186$, compared with $\$ 6,675$ at December 31, 2009.

As a part of the Company s ongoing security monitoring process, the Company identifies securities in an unrealized loss position that could potentially be other-than-temporarily impaired. If a decline in the fair value of an available for sale security is judged to be other-than-temporary, a charge is recorded in pre-tax earnings equal to the estimated credit losses inherent in the security.

Further information regarding impaired securities, other-than-temporarily impaired securities and evaluation of securities for impairment is incorporated by reference to above Notes 2 and 4 of the interim consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report on Form 10-Q.

## Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Boston. The FHLB of Boston is a cooperatively owned wholesale bank for housing and finance in the six New England states. Its mission is to support the residential mortgage and community-development lending activities of its members, which include over 450 financial institutions across New England. As a requirement of membership in the FHLB of Boston, the Bank must own a minimum required amount of FHLB stock, calculated periodically based primarily on its level of borrowings from the FHLB. The Bank uses the FHLB of Boston for most of its wholesale funding needs.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank s investment in FHLB stock totaled \$16,068, unchanged compared with December 31, 2009.

FHLB stock is a non-marketable equity security and therefore is reported at cost, which equals par value. Shares held in excess of the minimum required amount are generally redeemable at par value. However, in the first quarter of 2009 the FHLB announced a moratorium on such redemptions in order to preserve its capital in response to current market conditions and declining retained earnings. The minimum required shares are redeemable, subject to certain limitations, five years following termination of FHLB membership. The Bank has no intention of terminating its FHLB membership.
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In the first quarter of 2009 , the FHLB of Boston advised its members that it was focusing on preserving capital in response to other-than-temporary impairment losses it had sustained, declining capital ratios and ongoing market volatility. Accordingly, dividend payments for all of 2009 were suspended and that continued to be the case during the six months ended June 30, 2010. The Company believes it is more likely than not that the suspension of FHLB stock dividends will continue throughout 2010.

The Company periodically evaluates its investment in FHLB stock for impairment based on, among other things, the capital adequacy of the FHLB of Boston and its overall financial condition. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the FHLB reported a loss of $\$ 186.8$ million, following a net loss of $\$ 115.8$ million in 2008 . These net losses were entirely attributed to OTTI losses in the FHLB s securities portfolio. The FHLB also reported that it remained in compliance with all regulatory capital ratios as of December 31, 2009, and, in the most recent information available, was classified "adequately capitalized" by its regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Agency.

The FHLB has the capacity to issue additional debt if necessary to raise cash. If needed, the FHLB also has the ability to secure funding available to government-sponsored enterprises through the U.S. Treasury. Based on the capital adequacy and the liquidity position of the FHLB , management believes there is no impairment related to the carrying amount of the Bank s FHLB stock as of June 30, 2010. Further deterioration of the FHLB s capital levels may require the Bank to deem its restricted investment in FHLB stock to be other-than-temporarily impaired. If evidence of impairment exists in future periods, the FHLB stock would reflect fair value using observable or unobservable inputs. The Bank will continue to monitor its investment in FHLB of Boston stock.

Loans

The loan portfolio is primarily secured by real estate in the counties of Hancock, Washington and Knox, Maine.

The following table summarizes the components of the Bank's loan portfolio as of the dates indicated.

## LOAN PORTFOLIO SUMMARY

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Commercial real estate mortgages | $\$ 273,575$ | $\$ 269,776$ |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 86,624 | 77,284 |
| Agricultural and other loans to farmers | 21,982 | 22,192 |
| $\quad$ Total commercial loans | 382,181 | 369,252 |
| Residential real estate mortgages | 224,533 | 225,750 |
| Home equity loans | 57,598 | 54,889 |
| Consumer loans | 4,601 | 4,665 |
| $\quad$ Total consumer loans | 286,732 | 285,304 |
| Tax exempt loans | 15,094 | 14,138 |
| Deferred origination costs, net | 819 | 798 |
| Total loans | 684,826 | 669,492 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(8,470)$ | $(7,814)$ |
| Total loans net of allowance for loan losses | $\$ 676,356$ | $\$ 661,678$ |

## Total Loans:

At June 30, 2010, total loans stood at $\$ 684,826$, compared with $\$ 669,492$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 15,334$, or $2.3 \%$.

Commercial Loans:
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At June 30, 2010, total commercial loans amounted to $\$ 382,181$, compared with $\$ 369,252$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 12,929$, or $3.5 \%$. Commercial loan growth has been challenged by a weakened economy, declining loan demand, and unrelenting competition for quality loans.

At June 30, 2010, commercial loans represented $55.8 \%$ of the Bank s total loan portfolio, compared with $55.2 \%$ at December 31, 2009.

## Consumer Loans:

At June 30, 2010, total consumer loans, which principally consisted of residential real estate mortgage loans, amounted to $\$ 286,732$, compared with $\$ 285,304$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 1,428$ or $0.5 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank s residential mortgage loan portfolio totaled $\$ 224,533$, representing a decline of $\$ 1,217$, or $0.5 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. Residential mortgage loan origination activity slowed during the first half of 2010, largely reflecting current economic conditions and uncertainties with respect to further real estate declines in the communities served by the Bank and, to a lesser extent the expiration of the first time home buyers credit. Borrower refinancing activity also slowed during the first half of 2010, in part reflecting declining appraised property values in the markets served by the Bank. During the first half of 2010, loans originated and closed by the Bank were more than offset by cash flows and principal pay-downs from the existing residential real estate loan portfolio.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank s home equity loan portfolio totaled $\$ 57,598$, representing an increase of $\$ 2,709$, or $4.9 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009.

## Tax Exempt Loans:

At June 30, 2010, tax exempt loans, amounted to $\$ 15,094$, compared with $\$ 14,138$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 956$, or $6.8 \%$.

Tax-exempt loans principally include loans to local government municipalities and, to a lesser extent, not-for-profit organizations. Government municipality loans typically have short maturities (e.g., tax anticipation notes). Government municipality loans are normally originated through a bid process among local financial institutions and are typically priced aggressively, thus generating relatively narrow net interest margins.

## Subprime Mortgage Lending:

Subprime mortgage lending, which has been the riskiest sector of the residential housing market, is not a market that bank management has ever actively pursued. In general, the industry does not apply a uniform definition of what actually constitutes "subprime" lending. In referencing subprime lending activities, bank management relies upon several sources, including Maine s predatory lending law enacted January 1, 2008, and the "statement of subprime mortgage lending" issued by the federal bank regulatory agencies (the "agencies") on June 29, 2007, which further references the expanded guidance for subprime lending programs (the "expanded guidance"), issued by the agencies by press release dated January 31, 2001.

In the Expanded Guidance, the Agencies indicated that subprime lending does not refer to individual subprime loans originated and managed, in the ordinary course of business, as exceptions to prime risk selection standards. The Agencies recognize that many Prime loan portfolios will contain such accounts. The Agencies also excluded Prime loans that develop credit problems after origination and community development loans from the subprime arena. According to the Expanded Guidance, subprime loans are other loans to borrowers that display one or more characteristics of reduced payment capacity. Five specific criteria, which are not intended to be exhaustive and are not meant to define specific parameters for all subprime borrowers and may not match all markets or institutions specific
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subprime definitions, are set forth, including having a FICO (credit) score of 660 or lower. Based on the definitions and exclusions described above, Bank management considers the Bank as a Prime lender. Within the Bank s residential mortgage loan portfolio there are loans that, at the time of origination, had FICO scores of 660 or below. However, as a portfolio lender, the Bank reviews all credit underwriting data including all data included in borrower credit reports and does not base its underwriting decisions solely on FICO scores. Bank management believes the aforementioned loans, when made, were amply collateralized and documented, and otherwise conformed to the Bank s prime lending standards.

## Credit Risk:

Credit risk is managed through loan officer authorities, loan policies, and oversight from the Bank s Senior Credit Officer, the Bank's Senior Loan Officers Committee, the Director's Loan Committee, and the Bank's Board of Directors. Management follows a policy of continually identifying, analyzing and grading credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio. An ongoing independent review, subsequent to management's review, of individual credits is performed by an independent loan review consulting firm, which reports to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

As a result of management s ongoing review of the loan portfolio, loans are placed on non-accrual status, either due to the delinquent status of principal and/or interest, or a judgment by management that, although payments of principal and or interest are current, such action is prudent because collection in full of all outstanding principal and interest is in doubt. Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when principal and or interest is 90 days overdue, or sooner if judged appropriate by management. Consumer loans are generally charged-off when principal and/or interest payments are 120 days overdue, or sooner if judged appropriate by management.

Non-performing Loans:
Non-performing loans include loans on non-accrual status, loans that have been treated as troubled debt restructurings and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest. At June 30, 2010, total non-performing loans included one troubled debt restructuring (a residential real estate mortgage) with an outstanding principal balance of $\$ 301$ unchanged compared with December 31, 2009. The following table sets forth the details of non-performing loans as of the dates indicated:

## TOTAL NON-PERFORMING LOANS

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Commercial real estate mortgages | $\$ 3,485$ | $\$ 3,096$ |
| Commercial construction and development | 225 | 392 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 298 | 237 |
| Agricultural and other loans to farmers | 1,708 | 1,848 |
| $\quad$ Total commercial loans | 5,716 | 5,573 |
| Residential real estate mortgages | 2,625 | 2,498 |
| Home equity loans | 92 | 304 |
| Residential construction and development | -- | 24 |
| Consumer loans | 2 | 5 |
| $\quad$ Total consumer loans | 2,719 | 2,831 |
| $\quad$ Total non-accrual loans | 8,435 | 8,404 |
| Accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more | 104 | 772 |
| $\quad$ Total non-performing loans | $\$ 8,539$ | $\$ 9,176$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | $99 \%$ |  |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | $1.25 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Allowance to total loans | $1.24 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ |

The Bank s non-performing loans declined $\$ 1,270$ or $12.9 \%$ during the second quarter. At June 30, 2010, total non-performing loans amounted to $\$ 8,539$, compared with $\$ 9,176$ at December 31, 2009, representing a decline of $\$ 637$, or $6.9 \%$. At June 30, 2010, total non-performing loans amounted to $1.25 \%$ of total loans, compared with $1.37 \%$ at December 31, 2009, representing a decline of twelve basis points. One agricultural loan accounted for $\$ 1,500$ or $17.6 \%$ of total non-performing loans at June 30, 2010.

Non-performing commercial real estate mortgages amounted to $\$ 3,485$ at June 30, 2010, representing an increase of $\$ 389$ compared with December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010, non-performing commercial real estate loans were represented by thirteen business relationships, with outstanding balances ranging from $\$ 25$ to $\$ 415$.

Non-performing residential real estate mortgages totaled $\$ 2,625$ at June 30, 2010, representing an increase of $\$ 127$ compared with December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010, non-performing residential real estate loans were represented by twenty-nine, conventional, 1-4 family mortgage loans, with outstanding balances ranging from $\$ 4$ to $\$ 457$.

At June 30, 2010, accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more totaled $\$ 104$, representing a decline of $\$ 668$ compared with December 31, 2009.

While the Bank s non-performing loans to total loans ratio continued to reflect favorably on the overall quality of the loan portfolio at June 30, 2010, Bank management is cognizant of the continued softening of the real estate market, elevated unemployment rates and depressed economic conditions overall. Bank management recognizes that the current credit cycle has yet to reach a definitive turning point and it may be a while before the overall level of credit quality in the Bank s loan portfolio shows meaningful and lasting improvement. Future levels of non-performing loans may be influenced by economic conditions, including the impact of those conditions on the Bank s customers, including debt service levels, declining collateral values, tourism activity, consumer confidence and other factors existing at the time. Management believes the economic activity and conditions in the local real estate markets will continue to be significant determinants of the quality of the loan portfolio in future periods and, thus, the Company s results of operations and financial condition.

Delinquencies and Potential Problem Loans:
In addition to the non-performing loans discussed above, the Bank also has loans that are 30 to 89 days delinquent. These loans amounted to $\$ 2,972$ and $\$ 4,255$ at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, or $0.43 \%$ and $0.64 \%$ of total loans, respectively, net of any loans classified as non-performing that are within these delinquency categories. These loans and delinquency trends in general are considered in the evaluation of the allowance for loan losses and the related determination of the provision for loan losses.

On at least a quarterly basis, the Bank applies an internal credit quality rating system to commercial loans that are either past due or fully performing, but exhibit certain characteristics that could reflect a potential weakness. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when the likely amount of future principal and interest payments are expected to be less than the contractual amounts, even if such loans are not 90 days past due.

Periodically, the Bank reviews the commercial loan portfolio for evidence of potential problem loans. Potential problem loans are loans that are currently performing in accordance with contractual terms, but where known information about possible credit problems of the borrower causes doubt about the ability of the borrower to comply with the loan payment terms and may result in disclosure of such loans as non-performing at some time in the future. Through the Bank s on-going credit monitoring, it considers loans which, in its internal classification system, are classified as substandard but continue to accrue interest to be potential problem loans.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank identified 25 commercial relationships totaling $\$ 12,089$ as potential problem loans, or $1.77 \%$ of total loans. At December 31, 2009, the Bank identified 16 commercial relationships totaling $\$ 2,938$ as potential problem loans, or $0.39 \%$ of total loans. One commercial real estate development loan to a local, non-profit
housing authority in support of an affordable housing project accounted for $\$ 5,169$, or $56.5 \%$, of the increase in potential problem loans since year-end 2009. Factors such as payment history, value of supporting collateral, and personal or government guarantees led the Bank to conclude that the current risk exposure on potential problem loans did not warrant accounting for the loans as non-performing.

Allowance for Loan Losses
: At June 30, 2010, the allowance stood at $\$ 8,470$, compared with $\$ 7,814$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 656$, or $8.4 \%$. At June 30,2010 , the allowance expressed as a percentage of total loans stood at $1.24 \%$, up from $1.17 \%$ at December 31, 2009. The increase in the allowance was principally attributed to continued deterioration in the overall credit quality of the Bank s loan portfolio including the increase in potential problem loans. Company management believes this is reflective of depressed economic conditions, including elevated unemployment levels and declining real estate values in the markets served by the Bank.

The allowance is available to absorb probable losses on loans. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance and provisioning for estimated losses is evaluated quarterly based on review of loans, with particular emphasis on non-performing and other loans that management believes warrant special consideration.

The allowance is maintained at a level that, in management s judgment, is appropriate for the amount of risk inherent in the current loan portfolio, and adequate to provide for estimated, probable losses. Allowances are established for specific impaired loans, a pool of reserves based on historical net loan charge-offs by loan types, and supplemental reserves that adjust historical net loss experience to reflect current economic conditions, industry specific risks, and other qualitative and environmental considerations impacting the inherent risk of loss in the current loan portfolio.

Specific allowances for impaired loans are determined based upon a discounted cash flows analysis, or as expedient, a collateral shortfall analysis. The amount of loans considered to be impaired totaled $\$ 3,259$ as of June 30, 2010, compared with $\$ 4,416$ as of December 31, 2009. The related allowances for loan losses on these impaired loans amounted to $\$ 673$ as of June 30, 2010, compared with $\$ 513$ as of December 31, 2009.

Management recognizes that early and accurate recognition of risk is the best means to reduce credit losses. The Bank employs a comprehensive risk management structure to identify and manage the risk of loss. For consumer loans, the Bank identifies loan delinquency beginning at 10-day delinquency and provides appropriate follow-up by written correspondence or personal contact. Non-residential mortgage consumer loan losses are recognized no later than the point at which a loan is 120 days past due. Residential mortgage losses are recognized during the foreclosure process, or sooner, when that loss is quantifiable and reasonably assured. For commercial loans, the Bank applies a risk grading system, which stratifies the portfolio and allows management to focus appropriate efforts on the highest risk components of the portfolio. The risk grades include ratings that correlates substantially with regulatory definitions of "Pass," "Other Assets Especially Mentioned," "Substandard," "Doubtful," and "Loss."

While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changing economic conditions and the economic prospects of the borrowers may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank s allowance, which also may necessitate future additions or reductions to the allowance, based on information available to them at the time of their examination.

The following table details changes in the allowance and summarizes loan loss experience by loan type for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

## ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES <br> SIX MONTHS ENDED <br> JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009
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|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance at beginning of period | $\$ 7,814$ | $\$$ |
| Charge offs: |  | 5,446 |
| Commercial construction and development | 167 | --- |
| Commercial real estate mortgages | 182 | 52 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 54 | 160 |
| Residential real estate mortgages | 106 | 19 |
| Consumer loans | 70 | 34 |
| $\quad$ Total charge-offs | 579 | 265 |
| Recoveries: |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 1 | 13 |
| Agricultural and other loans to farmers | 146 | --- |
| Residential real estate mortgages | 35 | 23 |
| Consumer loans | 185 | 5 |
| $\quad$ Total recoveries | 394 | 41 |
| Net charge-offs | 1,050 | 1,500 |
| Provision charged to operations | 8,470 | $\$ 0,722$ |
| Balance at end of period | $\$ 675,889$ | $\$ 649,013$ |
| Average loans outstanding during period |  |  |
|  | $0.12 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |

The Bank s loan loss experience continued at relatively low levels during six months ended June 30, 2010, with net loan charge-offs amounting to $\$ 394$, or annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding of $0.12 \%$, compared with $\$ 224$, or annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding of $0.07 \%$, during the first half of 2009 .

There were no material changes in loan concentrations during the six months ended June 30, 2010.
Based upon the process employed and giving recognition to all attendant factors associated with the loan portfolio, Company management believes the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2010, is appropriate for the amount of risk inherent in the current loan portfolio and adequate to provide for estimated probable losses.

Other Real Estate Owned:
Real estate acquired in satisfaction of a loan is reported in other assets. Properties acquired by foreclosure or deed in lieu of foreclosure are transferred to other real estate owned ("OREO") and recorded at the lower of cost or fair market value less estimated costs to sell based on appraised value at the date actually or constructively received. Loan losses arising from the acquisition of such property are charged against the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent reductions in fair value below the carrying value are charged to other operating expenses.

At June 30, 2010, the Bank had no OREO compared with $\$ 854$ at December 31, 2009.

## Deposits

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, the most significant funding source for the Bank s earning assets continued to be retail deposits, gathered through its network of twelve banking offices throughout downeast and midcoast Maine.

Historically, the banking business in the Bank s market area has been seasonal, with lower deposits in the winter and spring and higher deposits in summer and autumn. These seasonal swings have been fairly predictable and have not had a materially adverse impact on the Bank. Seasonal swings in deposits have been typically absorbed by the Bank s strong liquidity position, including borrowing capacity from the FHLB of Boston, and cash flows from the securities portfolio.
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At June 30, 2010, total deposits stood at $\$ 668,285$, compared with $\$ 641,173$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase $\$ 27,112$, or $4.2 \%$.

At June 30, 2010, total retail deposits stood at $\$ 565,227$, compared with $\$ 549,183$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 16,044$, or $2.9 \%$. Reflecting the seasonality of the Bank s deposit base, demand deposits and NOW accounts posted a combined decline of $\$ 5,076$, or $3.8 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. This decline was more than offset by a $\$ 14,481$ or $5.9 \%$ increase in retail time deposits and a $\$ 6,639$ or $3.9 \%$ increase in savings and money market accounts, compared with December 31, 2009.

At June 30, 2010, brokered time deposits obtained from the national market stood at $\$ 103,058$, compared with $\$ 91,990$ at December 31, 2009, representing an increase of $\$ 11,068$, or $12.0 \%$. Brokered deposits are generally utilized to help support the Bank s earning asset growth, while maintaining its strong, on-balance sheet liquidity position via secured borrowing lines of credit with the FHLB of Boston and the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston. During the first half of 2010, the Bank shifted a portion of its collateralized short-term borrowings to longer-term brokered certificates of deposit in favor of historically low rates of interest and a stronger on-balance sheet liquidity position.

Bank management believes it has exercised restraint with respect to overly aggressive deposit pricing strategies, and has sought to achieve an appropriate balance between retail deposit growth and wholesale funding levels, while considering the associated impacts on the Bank $s$ net interest margin and liquidity position. In offering retail time deposits, the Bank generally prices these deposits on a relationship basis. At June 30, 2010, the weighted average cost of retail time deposits was $1.89 \%$ compared with $2.05 \%$ at December 31, 2009. Given the current, historically low interest rate environment and continuing time deposit maturities, Company management anticipates that the weighted average cost of time deposits will continue to show moderate declines for the balance of 2010.

## Borrowed Funds

Borrowed funds principally consist of advances from the FHLB of Boston and, to a lesser extent, borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. Advances from the FHLB of Boston are secured by stock in the FHLB of Boston, investment securities, and blanket liens on qualifying mortgage loans and home equity loans. Borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston are principally secured by securities and liens on certain commercial loans.

The Bank utilizes borrowed funds in leveraging its strong capital position and supporting its earning asset portfolios. Borrowed funds are principally utilized to support the Bank sinvestment securities portfolio and, to a lesser extent, fund loan growth. Borrowed funds also provide a means to help manage balance sheet interest rate risk, given the Bank s ability to select desired amounts, terms and maturities on a daily basis.

At June 30, 2010, total borrowings amounted to $\$ 307,354$, compared with $\$ 311,629$ at December 31, 2009, representing a decline of $\$ 4,275$, or $1.4 \%$, compared with December 31, 2009. The decline in total borrowings was principally attributed to the decline in the Bank s securities portfolio, combined with the aforementioned funding shift to long term brokered certificates of deposit at attractive interest rates.

Consistent with the Bank sasset and liability management strategy, the Bank has been adding long term borrowings to the balance sheet to protect net interest income in a rising rate environment. At June 30, 2010, long term borrowings with maturities of one year or greater represented $68.2 \%$ of total borrowings.

At June 30, 2010, total borrowings expressed as a percent of total assets amounted to $28.3 \%$, compared with $29.1 \%$ December 31, 2009.

Capital Resources
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Consistent with its long-term goal of operating a sound and profitable organization, during the first three months of 2010 the Company maintained its strong capital position and continued to be a "well-capitalized" financial institution according to applicable regulatory standards. Management believes this to be vital in promoting depositor and investor confidence and providing a solid foundation for future growth.

## Capital Ratios:

The Company and the Bank are subject to the risk based capital guidelines administered by the Company s and the Bank's principal regulators. The risk based capital guidelines are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies, to account for off-balance sheet exposure and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Under these guidelines, assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of risk weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. The guidelines require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of total risk based capital to risk weighted assets of $8 \%$, including a minimum ratio of Tier I capital to total risk weighted assets of $4 \%$ and a Tier I capital to average assets of $4 \%$ ("Leverage Ratio"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company and the Bank were considered "well-capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Under the capital adequacy guidelines, a "well-capitalized" institution must maintain a minimum total risk based capital to total risk weighted assets ratio of at least $10 \%$, a minimum Tier I capital to total risk weighted assets ratio of at least $6 \%$, and a minimum Tier I leverage ratio of at least 5\%. At June 30, 2010, the Company s Tier I Leverage, Tier I Risk-based, and Total Risk-based capital ratios were $9.01 \%, 13.33 \%$ and $15.19 \%$, respectively.

The following tables set forth the Company's and the Bank s regulatory capital at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, under the rules applicable at that date.


|  |  |  |  | To be well <br> Capitalized under |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consolidated <br> Actual | For Capital <br> Adequacy Purposes <br> Required | Action provisions <br> Aequired |  |
| Amount |  |  |  |  | Ratio | Amount |
| :---: |$\quad$ Ratio | Amount |
| :---: | Ratio

Series A Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock and Warrant:
As previously reported, on February 24, 2010 the Company redeemed all 18,751 shares of its Preferred Stock sold to Treasury in connection with the Treasury s Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"). The Company paid $\$ 18,774$ to the Treasury to redeem the Preferred Stock, consisting of $\$ 18,751$ of principal and $\$ 23$ of accrued and unpaid dividends. The Company s redemption of the Preferred Stock is not subject to additional conditions or stipulations from the Treasury.

The preferred stock that the Company repurchased for $\$ 18,751$ had a current carrying value of $\$ 18,255$ (net of $\$ 496$ unaccreted discount) on the Company s consolidated balance sheet. As a result of the repurchase, in the first quarter of 2010 the Company accelerated the accretion of the $\$ 496$ discount and recorded a total reduction in shareholders equity of $\$ 18,751$, reducing first quarter net income available to common shareholders and diluted earnings per common shareholders by $\$ 496$ and $\$ 0.13$, respectively.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the warrant (the "Warrant") received by the Treasury to purchase up to 104,910 shares of the Company s common stock was reduced by one half to 52,455 shares as a result of the Company s successful completion of a common stock offering in December 2009.

As previously announced, on July 28, 2010 the Company repurchased in its entirety the Warrant previously issued to the Treasury on January 16, 2009, in connection with the Company s participation in the CPP. The Company repurchased the Warrant for $\$ 250,000$. The Warrant was previously exercisable for 52,455 shares of the Company s common stock at an exercise price of $\$ 26.81$ per share. The repurchase of the Warrant is not expected to have any effect on the Company s earnings or earnings per share for the third quarter of 2010. As a result of the Warrant repurchase, the Company has repurchased all securities issued to Treasury under the CPP.

Common Stock Offering: In December 2009 the Company completed its previously announced offering of 800,000 shares of common stock to the public at $\$ 27.50$ per share. The net proceeds from this offering, after deducting underwriting discounts and estimated expenses amounted to $\$ 20,412$. As previously reported, in January 2010 the Company completed the closing of the underwriter s exercise of its over-allotment option to purchase an additional 82,021 shares of the Company s common stock at a purchase price to the public of $\$ 27.50$ per share. The Company
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received total net proceeds from the offering, including the exercise of the over allotment option, after deducting underwriting discounts and expenses, amounting to $\$ 22,442$. All of the net proceeds from this offering are treated as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. In February 2010, the Company used $\$ 18,751$ of the net proceeds from this offering to repurchase all of its Series A Preferred Shares sold to the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

Trends, Events or Uncertainties:
There are no known trends, events or uncertainties, nor any recommendations by any regulatory authority, that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on the Company s capital resources, liquidity, or financial condition.

Cash Dividends:
The Company's principal source of funds to pay cash dividends and support its commitments is derived from Bank operations.

The Company paid regular cash dividends of $\$ 0.26$ per share of common stock in the second quarter of 2010, unchanged compared with the dividend paid for the same quarter in 2009. The Company s Board of Directors recently declared a third quarter 2010 regular cash dividend of $\$ 0.26$ per share of common stock. The dividend will be paid September 15, 2010 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on August 17, 2010.

Stock Repurchase Plan:
In August 2008, the Company s Board of Directors approved a program to repurchase up to 300,000 shares of the Company s common stock, or approximately $10.2 \%$ of the shares currently outstanding. The new stock repurchase program became effective as of August 21, 2008 and is authorized to continue for a period of up to twenty-four consecutive months. Depending on market conditions and other factors, these purchases may be commenced or suspended at any time, or from time to time, without prior notice and may be made in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. The Company has repurchased 66,782 shares of stock under this plan, at a total cost of $\$ 1,833$ and an average price of $\$ 27.44$ per share, though there were no shares repurchased under the plan during the quarter ending June 30, 2010. The Company recorded the repurchased shares as treasury stock.

Contractual Obligations
The Company is a party to certain contractual obligations under which it is obligated to make future payments. These principally include borrowings from the FHLB of Boston, consisting of short and long-term fixed rate borrowings, and collateralized by all stock in the FHLB of Boston, a blanket lien on qualified collateral consisting primarily of loans with first and second mortgages secured by one-to-four family properties, and certain pledged investment securities. The Company has an obligation to repay all borrowings from the FHLB of Boston.

The Company is also obligated to make payments on operating leases for its Bank branch office in Somesville, Maine and its office in Bangor, Maine.

The following table summarizes the Company s contractual obligations at June 30, 2010. Borrowings are stated at their contractual maturity due dates and do not reflect call features, or principal amortization features, on certain borrowings.
(Dollars in thousands)

Payments Due By Period

| Description | Total <br> Amount of Obligations | < 1 Year | $\begin{aligned} & >1-3 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >3-5 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $>5$ Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Borrowings from Federal Home Loan Bank | \$285,227 | \$80,500 | \$94,237 | \$93,490 | \$17,000 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 17,127 | 17,127 | --- | --- | --- |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 5,000 | --- | --- | --- | 5,000 |
| Operating Leases | 162 | 108 | 54 | --- | --- |
| Total | \$307,516 | \$97,735 |  |  |  |

