MOODYS CORP /DE/ Form 10-Q November 04, 2015 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark one)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 1-14037

Moody s Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of Incorporation)

13-3998945 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7 World Trade Center at

250 Greenwich Street, New York, N.Y. (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

10007 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code:

(212) 553-0300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months, or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Title of Each ClassCommon Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Shares Outstanding at September 30, 2015 197.7 million

MOODY S CORPORATION

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

		Page(s)
	Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	3-7
	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	8
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	
	and 2014	9-10
	Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	11
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	12
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	13-39
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	
	The Company	40
	<u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u>	40-43
	Reportable Segments	43-44
	Results of Operations	44-58
	<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	59-66
	2015 Outlook	67
	Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements	68
	<u>Contingencies</u>	68
	Regulation	69
_	Forward-Looking Statements	70
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	71
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	71-72
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	73
	Risk Factors	73
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	73
Item 5.	Other Information	73
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	74
SIGNAT	<u>URES</u>	75
	Filed Herewith	
31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
101.DEF	XBRL Definitions Linkbase Document	
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	
	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document	
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

The following terms, abbreviations and acronyms are used to identify frequently used terms in this report:

TERM DEFINITION

Adjusted Operating Income Operating income excluding depreciation and amortization

Adjusted Operating Margin Operating margin excluding depreciation and amortization

Amba Amba Investment Services; a provider of outsourced investment research and quantitative analytics for

global financial institutions; a majority owned subsidiary of the Company acquired 100% of Amba in

December 2013

Americas Represents countries within North and South America, excluding the U.S.

AOCI Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss); a separate component of shareholders equity

ASC The FASB Accounting Standards Codification; the sole source of authoritative GAAP as of July 1,

2009 except for rules and interpretive releases of the SEC, which are also sources of authoritative

GAAP for SEC registrants

Asia-Pacific Represents countries in Asia including but not limited to: Australia, China, India, Indonesia, Japan,

Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Sri Lanka and Thailand

ASU The FASB Accounting Standards Update to the ASC. It also provides background information for

accounting guidance and the bases for conclusions on the changes in the ASC. ASUs are not

considered authoritative until codified into the ASC

Board The board of directors of the Company

BPS Basis points

Canary Wharf Lease Operating lease agreement entered into on February 6, 2008 for office space in London, England,

occupied by the Company in the second half of 2009

CDO Collateralized debt obligation

CFG Corporate finance group; an LOB of MIS

CLO Collateralized loan obligation

CMBS Commercial mortgage-backed securities; part of the CREF asset class within SFG

Company Moody s Corporation and its subsidiaries; MCO; Moody s

Copal Copal Partners; an acquisition completed in November 2011; part of the MA segment; leading

provider of outsourced research and analytical services to institutional investors

Copal Amba Operating segment created in January 2014 that consists of all operations from Copal as well as the

operations of Amba. The Copal Amba operating segment provides outsourced research and analytical

services to the global financial and corporate sectors

Council of the European Union

CRAs Credit rating agencies

CRA3 Regulation (EU) No 462/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council, which updated the

regulatory regimes imposing additional procedural requirements on CRAs

CREF Commercial real estate finance which includes REITs, commercial real estate CDOs and

mortgage-backed securities; part of SFG

Table of Contents

4

TERM DEFINITION

CSI Global Education, Inc.; an acquisition completed in November 2010; part of the MA segment; a

provider of financial learning, credentials, and certification services primarily in Canada

D&A Depreciation and amortization

D&B Business Old D&B s Dun & Bradstreet operating company

DBPP Defined benefit pension plans
Debt/EBITDA Ratio of Total Debt to EBITDA

EBITDA Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization

EMEA Represents countries within Europe, the Middle East and Africa

EPS Earnings per share

Equilibrium A leading provider of credit rating and research services in Peru and Panama; acquired by Moody s in

May 2015

ERS The enterprise risk solutions LOB within MA, which offers risk management software products as

well as software implementation services and related risk management advisory engagements

ESMA European Securities and Markets Authority

ETR Effective tax rate
EU European Union

EUR Euros

European Ratings Platform Central credit ratings website administered by ESMA

Excess Tax Benefits The difference between the tax benefit realized at exercise of an option or delivery of a restricted share

and the tax benefit recorded at the time the option or restricted share is expensed under GAAP

Exchange Act The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board

FIG Financial institutions group; an LOB of MIS

Financial Reform Act Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

Free Cash Flow Net cash provided by operating activities less cash paid for capital additions

FSTC Financial Services Training and Certifications; a reporting unit within the MA segment that includes

on-line and classroom-based training services and CSI

FX Foreign exchange

GAAP U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

GBP British pounds

ICRA Limited; is a leading provider of credit ratings and research in India. The Company previously

held 28.51% equity ownership and in June 2014, increased that ownership stake to 50.06% through the

acquisition of additional shares

ICRA Acquisition The June 2014 purchase of an additional 21.55% ownership interest in ICRA resulting in majority

ownership and consolidation of ICRAs financial statements; ICRAs results are consolidated into Moody s financial statements on a three-month lag and accordingly the Company began including the results of operations for ICRA in its consolidated financial statements beginning in the fourth quarter

of 2014

ICRA Gain Gain relating to the step-acquisition of ICRA; U.S. GAAP requires the remeasurement to fair value of

the previously held non-controlling shares held upon obtaining a controlling interest in a

step-acquisition. This remeasurement of the Company s equity investment in ICRA to fair value resulted in a pre-tax gain of \$102.8 million (\$78.5 million after tax) in the second quarter of 2014.

4

TERM DEFINITION

IRS Internal Revenue Service
IT Information technology

KIS Korea Investors Service, Inc; a leading Korean rating agency and consolidated subsidiary of the

Company

KIS Pricing Korea Investors Service Pricing, Inc; a leading Korean provider of fixed income securities pricing and

consolidated subsidiary of the Company

Legacy Tax Matter(s) Exposures to certain potential tax liabilities assumed in connection with the 2000 Distribution

Lewtan Technologies; a leading provider of analytical tools and data for the global structured finance

market; part of the RD&A LOB within MA; an acquisition completed in October 2014

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LOB Line of business

MA Moody s Analytics a reportable segment of MCO formed in January 2008 which provides a wide

range of products and services that support financial analysis and risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets; consists of three LOBs RD&A, ERS and PS

M&A Mergers and acquisitions

Make Whole Amount The prepayment penalty amount relating to the Series 2007-1 Notes, 2010 Senior Notes, 2012 Senior

Notes, 2013 Senior Notes, 2014 Senior Notes (5-year), 2014 Senior Notes (30-year) and 2015 Senior Notes which is a premium based on the excess, if any, of the discounted value of the remaining

scheduled payments over the prepaid principal

MCO Moody s Corporation and its subsidiaries; the Company; Moody s

MD&A Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MIS Moody s Investors Service a reportable segment of MCO; consists of five LOBs SFG, CFG, FIG,

PPIF and MIS Other

MIS Other Consists of non-ratings revenue from ICRA, KIS Pricing and KIS Research. These businesses are

components of MIS; MIS Other is an LOB of MIS

Moody s Moody s Corporation and its subsidiaries; MCO; the Company

Net Income Net income attributable to Moody s Corporation, which excludes net income from consolidated

noncontrolling interests belonging to the minority interest holder

New D&B The New D&B Corporation which is comprised of the D&B Business

NM Percentage change is not meaningful

NRSRO Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization

OCI Other comprehensive income (loss); includes gains and losses on cash flow and net investment hedges,

certain gains and losses relating to pension and other retirement benefit obligations and foreign

currency translation adjustments

Old D&B The former Dun and Bradstreet Company which distributed New D&B shares on September 30, 2000,

and was renamed Moody s Corporation

PPIF Public, project and infrastructure finance; an LOB of MIS

Profit Participation Plan Defined contribution profit participation plan that covers substantially all U.S. employees of the

Company

PS Professional Services, an LOB within MA that provides outsourced research and analytical services as

well as financial training and certification programs

5

TERM DEFINITION

RD&A Research, Data and Analytics; an LOB within MA that produces, sells and distributes research, data

and related content. Includes products generated by MIS, such as analyses on major debt issuers, industry studies, and commentary on topical credit events, as well as economic research, data,

quantitative risk scores, and other analytical tools that are produced within MA

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest

Represents minority shareholders interest in entities that are controlled but not wholly-owned by

Moody s and for which Moody s obligation to redeem the minority shareholders interest is in the

control of the minority shareholders

Reform Act Credit Rating Agency Reform Act of 2006

REIT Real Estate Investment Trust

Relationship Revenue Represents MIS recurring monitoring of a rated debt obligation and/or entities that issue such

obligations, as well as revenue from programs such as commercial paper, medium-term notes and shelf registrations. For MIS Other represents subscription-based revenue. For MA, represents

subscription-based and maintenance revenue

Retirement Plans Moody s funded and unfunded pension plans, the healthcare plans and life insurance plans

SEC U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Securities Act Securities Act of 1933

Series 2005-1 Notes Principal amount of \$300 million, 4.98% senior unsecured notes; notes were paid in 2014

Series 2007-1 Notes Principal amount of \$300 million, 6.06% senior unsecured notes due in September 2017 pursuant to

the 2007 Agreement

SFG Structured finance group; an LOB of MIS
SG&A Selling, general and administrative expenses

Total Debt All indebtedness of the Company as reflected on the consolidated balance sheets

Transaction Revenue For MIS, represents the initial rating of a new debt issuance as well as other one-time fees. For MIS

Other, represents revenue from professional services and outsourcing engagements. For MA, represents software license fees and revenue from risk management advisory projects, training and

certification services, and outsourced research and analytical engagements

U.K. United Kingdom
U.S. United States

U.S. Shared National Credit Program
Interagency program designed to evaluate large and complex syndicated credits. The program is

administered by the three federal banking regulatory agencies which include the Federal Reserve System, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

(FDIC).

USD U.S. dollar

UTPs Unrecognized tax benefits
UTPs Uncertain tax positions

VSOE Vendor specific objective evidence; as defined in the ASC, evidence of selling price limited to either

of the following: the price charged for a deliverable when it is sold separately, or for a deliverable not

yet being sold separately, the price established by management having the relevant authority

WebEquity Solutions LLC; a leading provider of cloud-based loan origination solutions for financial

institutions; part of the ERS LOB within MA; an acquisition completed in July 2014

2000 Distribution The distribution by Old D&B to its shareholders of all the outstanding shares of New D&B common

stock on September 30, 2000

6

TERM	DEFINITION
2000 Distribution Agreement	Agreement governing certain ongoing relationships between the Company and New D&B after the 2000 Distribution including the sharing of any liabilities for the payment of taxes, penalties and interest resulting from unfavorable IRS rulings on certain tax matters and certain other potential tax liabilities
2007 Agreement	Note purchase agreement dated September 7, 2007, relating to the Series 2007-1 Notes
2010 Indenture	Supplemental indenture and related agreements dated August 19, 2010, relating to the 2010 Senior Notes
2010 Senior Notes	Principal amount of 500 million, 5.50% senior unsecured notes due in September 2020 pursuant to the 2010 Indenture
2012 Facility	Revolving credit facility of \$1 billion entered into on April 18, 2012; was replaced with the 2015 Facility
2012 Indenture	Supplemental indenture and related agreements dated August 18, 2012, relating to the 2012 Senior Notes
2012 Senior Notes	Principal amount of \$500 million, 4.50% senior unsecured notes due in September 2022 pursuant to the 2012 Indenture
2013 Indenture	Supplemental indenture and related agreements dated August 12, 2013, relating to the 2013 Senior Notes
2013 Senior Notes	Principal amount of the $$500$ million, 4.875% senior unsecured notes due in February 2024 pursuant to the 2013 Indenture
2014 Indenture	Supplemental indenture and related agreements dated July 16, 2014, relating to the 2014 Senior Notes
2014 Senior Notes (5-Year)	Principal amount of \$450 million, 2.75% senior unsecured notes due in July 2019
2014 Senior Notes (30-Year)	Principal amount of \$300 million, 5.25% senior unsecured notes due in July 2044
2015 Facility	Five-year unsecured revolving credit facility, with capacity to borrow up to \$1 billion; replaces the 2012 Facility
2015 Indenture	Supplemental indenture and related agreements dated March 9, 2015, relating to the 2015 Senior Notes
2015 Senior Notes	Principal amount 500 million, 1.75% senior unsecured notes issued March 9, 2015 and due in March 2027
7WTC	The Company s corporate headquarters located at 7 World Trade Center in New York, NY
7WTC Lease	Operating lease agreement entered into on October 20, 2006

7

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

MOODY S CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

(Amounts in millions, except per share data)

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2015 2014		ths Ended ber 30, 2014
Revenue	\$ 834.9	\$ 816.1	2015 \$ 2,618.6	\$ 2,456.8
Expenses				
Operating	236.1	236.7	724.4	674.8
Selling, general and administrative	220.8	206.5	669.1	619.0
Depreciation and amortization	28.3	23.2	84.8	68.6
Total expenses	485.2	466.4	1,478.3	1,362.4
Tour expenses	402.2	400.4	1,470.0	1,502.4
	240.5	240.5	1 1 40 2	1.004.4
Operating income	349.7	349.7	1,140.3	1,094.4
Non-operating (expense) income, net				
Interest income (expense), net	(25.8)	(37.7)	(87.0)	(87.5)
Other non-operating income (expense), net	19.7	16.4	14.0	15.5
ICRA Gain				102.8
Total non-operating (expense) income, net	(6.1)	(21.3)	(73.0)	30.8
	,	. ,		
Income before provisions for income taxes	343.6	328.4	1,067.3	1,125.2
Provision for income taxes	109.8	109.9	338.1	360.6
Trovision for meonic taxes	107.0	107.7	330.1	300.0
Net income	233.8	218.5	729.2	764.6
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	2.2	3.3	5.8	12.2
N. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1	ф 221 с	ф. 215.2	Φ 500.4	Φ 550.4
Net income attributable to Moody s	\$ 231.6	\$ 215.2	\$ 723.4	\$ 752.4
Earnings per share attributable to Moody s common shareholders				
Basic	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.02	\$ 3.60	\$ 3.55
Diluted	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.00	\$ 3.54	\$ 3.48
Weighted average number of shares outstanding				
Basic	199.4	210.4	201.1	212.1

Diluted	202.5	214.2	204.5	216.1
Dividends declared per share attributable to Moody s common shareholders	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.56

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MOODY S CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(Amounts in millions)

	Thr	ee Months	s End	ed Septe	mber 3	30, 2015	Thre	e Montl	ıs Enc	led Sept	ember	30, 2014
	_	re-tax nounts		Fax ounts		er-tax ounts		e-tax ounts		Гах ounts		ter-tax nounts
Net income					\$	233.8					\$	218.5
Other comprehensive income (loss):												
Foreign currency translation:												
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$	(43.2)	\$	1.5		(41.7)	\$ ((79.2)	\$	(8.2)		(87.4)
Available for sale securities:												
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities		0.7				0.7						
Reclassification of gains included in net income		(0.6)				(0.6)						
Pension and Other Retirement Benefits:												
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service costs												
included in net income		3.4		(1.3)		2.1		1.8		(0.7)		1.1
Total other comprehensive loss	\$	(39.7)	\$	0.2		(39.5)	\$ ((77.4)	\$	(8.9)		(86.3)
•												
Comprehensive income						194.3						132.2
Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling												
interests and redeemable noncontrolling interest						2.2						3.3
Comprehensive income attributable to Moody s					\$	192.1						\$128.9

	Nine Months	s Ended Septer	nber 30, 2015	Nine Months Ended September 30, 201			
	Pre-tax amounts	Tax amounts	After-tax amounts	Pre-tax amounts	Tax amounts	After-tax amounts	
Net income			\$ 729.2			\$ 764.6	
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Foreign currency translation:							
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ (94.3)	\$ (5.8)	(100.1)	\$ (76.2)	\$ (5.6)	(81.8)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments - reclassification of							
(gains) losses included in net income	(0.1)		(0.1)	4.4		4.4	
Available for sale securities:							
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities	2.8		2.8				
Reclassification of gains included in net income	(0.8)		(0.8)				
Pension and Other Retirement Benefits:							
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service costs							
included in net income	10.3	(3.9)	6.4	5.5	(3.0)	2.5	
Net actuarial gains (losses) and prior service costs	10.9	(4.2)	6.7	(6.9)	2.8	(4.1)	
Total other comprehensive loss	\$ (71.2)	\$ (13.9)	(85.1)	\$ (73.2)	\$ (5.8)	(79.0)	
Comprehensive income			644.1			685.6	
Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling							
interests and redeemable noncontrolling interest			5.8			12.2	
Comprehensive income attributable to Moody s			\$ 638.3			\$673.4	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

MOODY S CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

(Amounts in millions, except share and per share data)

Aggrega	Sep	otember 30, 2015	Dec	cember 31, 2014
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,471.1	\$	1,219.5
Short-term investments		470.2		458.1
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$27.1 in 2015 and \$29.4 in 2014		720.5		792.4
Deferred tax assets, net		36.6		43.9
Other current assets		163.7		172.5
Total current assets		2,862.1		2,686.4
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$501.4 in 2015 and \$451.5 in 2014		306.1		302.3
Goodwill		990.0		1,021.1
Intangible assets, net		308.8		345.5
Deferred tax assets, net		132.7		167.8
Other assets		173.2		145.9
Total assets	\$	4,772.9	\$	4,669.0
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS (DEFICIT) EQUITY Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	409.2	\$	557.6
Deferred tax liabilities, net		15.5		17.5
Deferred revenue		625.5		624.6
Total current liabilities		1,050.2		1,199.7
Non-current portion of deferred revenue		128.4		132.2
Long-term debt		3,124.5		2,547.3
Deferred tax liabilities, net		84.3		95.7
Unrecognized tax benefits		208.4		220.3
Other liabilities		417.3		430.9
Total liabilities		5,013.1		4,626.1
Contingencies (Note 14)				
Shareholders (deficit) equity:				
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and				
outstanding				
Series common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and				
outstanding				
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 342,902,272 shares issued at		2.4		2.4
September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.		3.4		3.4
Capital surplus		424.8		383.9
Retained earnings		6,631.7		6,044.3
Treasury stock, at cost; 145,161,779 and 138,539,128 shares of common stock at September 30, 2015		(7.000.5)		(6.204.2)
and December 31, 2014, respectively		(7,209.5)		(6,384.2)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(320.3)		(235.2)

Total shareholders (deficit) equity	(240.2)	42.9
Noncontrolling interests	229.7	230.7
Total Moody s shareholders deficit	(469.9)	(187.8)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

MOODY S CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(Amounts in millions)

	Nine mont Septeml 2015	
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 729.2	\$ 764.6
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	84.8	68.6
Stock-based compensation expense	66.5	59.9
Deferred income taxes	19.7	43.7
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	(44.5)	(54.5)
ICRA Gain		(102.8)
Legacy Tax Matters	(6.4)	(6.4)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	61.0	(11.9)
Other current assets	4.9	(63.3)
Other assets	(6.6)	(4.8)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(35.7)	(16.3)
Deferred revenue	10.6	25.8
Unrecognized tax benefits and other non-current tax liabilities	(9.9)	18.5
Other liabilities	19.9	(11.3)
Net cash provided by operating activities Cash flows from investing activities	893.5	709.8
Capital additions	(65.9)	(56.8)
Purchases of short-term investments	(480.4)	(68.0)
Sales and maturities of short-term investments	448.6	90.7
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(4.6)	(210.5)
Settlement of net investment hedges	20.8	
Net cash used in investing activities	(81.5)	(244.6)
Cash flows from financing activities		g := =
Issuance of notes	552.8	747.7
Repayments of notes		(300.0)
Proceeds from stock-based compensation plans	72.1	134.6
Repurchase of shares for payroll tax withholdings related to stock-based compensation	(59.3)	(51.3)
Cost of treasury shares repurchased	(905.6)	(780.2)
Excess tax benefits from settlement of stock-based compensation plans	44.5	54.5
Payment of dividends	(205.0)	(178.2)
Payment of dividends to noncontrolling interests	(4.6)	(9.7)
Contingent consideration paid	(1.5)	(4.3)
Debt issuance costs and related fees	(5.9)	(6.5)
Net cash used in financing activities	(512.5)	(393.4)

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(47.9)	(50.5)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	251.6	21.3
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	1,219.5	1,919.5
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$ 1,471.1	\$ 1,940.8

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

MOODY S CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

(tabular dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

NOTE 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Moody s is a provider of (i) credit ratings, (ii) credit, capital markets and economic research, data and analytical tools, (iii) software solutions and related risk management services, (iv) quantitative credit risk measures, financial services training and certification services and (v) outsourced research and analytical services. Moody s has two reportable segments: MIS and MA.

MIS, the credit rating agency, publishes credit ratings on a wide range of debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide. Revenue is primarily derived from the originators and issuers of such transactions who use MIS ratings in the distribution of their debt issues to investors. Additionally, MIS earns revenue from certain non-ratings-related operations, which consist primarily of the distribution of research and fixed income pricing services in the Asia-Pacific region and outsourced services. The revenue from these operations is included in the MIS Other LOB and is not material to the results of the MIS segment.

The MA segment develops a wide range of products and services that support financial analysis and risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. Within its Research, Data and Analytics business, MA distributes research and data developed by MIS as part of its ratings process, including in-depth research on major debt issuers, industry studies and commentary on topical credit-related events. The RD&A business also produces economic research as well as data and analytical tools such as quantitative credit risk scores. Within its Enterprise Risk Solutions business, MA provides software solutions as well as related risk management services. The Professional Services business provides outsourced research and analytical services along with financial training and certification programs.

These interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements and related notes in the Company s 2014 annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2015. The results of interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any subsequent period. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods presented have been included. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Certain reclassifications have been made prior to period amounts to conform to the current presentation.

NOTE 2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Presented below is a summary of the stock-based compensation cost and associated tax benefit included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations:

	Three Mont	ths Ended	Nine Mon	ths Ended	
	Septemb	er 30,	September 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Stock-based compensation cost	\$ 22.1	\$ 19.3	\$ 66.5	\$ 59.9	
Tax benefit	\$ 7.2	\$ 6.2	\$ 21.8	\$ 19.0	

During the first nine months of 2015, the Company granted 0.3 million employee stock options, which had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$36.08 per share based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Company also granted 0.9 million shares of restricted stock in the first nine months of 2015, which had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$98.06 per share and generally vest ratably over a four-year period. Additionally, the Company granted approximately 0.2 million shares of performance-based awards whereby the number of shares that ultimately vest are based on the achievement of certain non-market based performance metrics of the Company over a three-year period. The weighted average grant date fair value of these awards was \$94.08 per share.

13

The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining the fair value for options granted in 2015:

Expected dividend yield	1.39%
Expected stock volatility	39.4%
Risk-free interest rate	1.88%
Expected holding period	6.9 years
Grant date fair value	\$ 36.08

Unrecognized compensation expense at September 30, 2015 was \$9.8 million and \$113.5 million for stock options and unvested restricted stock, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.3 years and 1.7 years, respectively. Additionally, there was \$17.8 million of unrecognized compensation expense relating to the aforementioned non-market based performance-based awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.0 years.

The following tables summarize information relating to stock option exercises and restricted stock vesting:

	Nine Mon Septem	ms Bildea
Exercise of stock options:	2015	2014
Proceeds from stock option exercises	\$ 68.0	\$ 131.5
Aggregate intrinsic value	\$ 64.7	\$ 107.7
Tax benefit realized upon exercise	\$ 23.0	\$ 38.7
Number of shares exercised	1.3	2.8
	Nine Mon	ths Ended
	Septem	ber 30,
Vesting of restricted stock:	2015	2014
Fair value of shares vested	\$ 111.3	\$ 92.3
Tax benefit realized upon vesting	\$ 35.7	\$ 31.6
Number of shares vested	1.1	1.2
	Nine Mon Septem	ths Ended aber 30,
Vesting of performance-based restricted stock:	2015	2014
Fair value of shares vested	\$ 43.1	\$ 38.0
Tax benefit realized upon vesting	\$ 15.6	\$ 14.6
Number of shares vested	0.5	0.5

NOTE 3. INCOME TAXES

Moody s effective tax rate was 32.0% and 33.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively and 31.7% and 32.0% for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in the ETR compared to the third quarter of 2014 was primarily due to changes in New York State and New York City tax laws relating to income apportionment.

The Company classifies interest related to UTPs in interest expense, net in its consolidated statements of operations. Penalties, if incurred, would be recognized in other non-operating (expense) income, net. The Company had an increase in its UTPs of \$0.1 million during the third quarter of 2015 and an overall decrease in its UTPs during the first nine months of 2015 of \$11.9 million (\$7.6 million net of federal tax benefits).

14

Moody s Corporation and subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax in various state, local and foreign jurisdictions. The Company s U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2008 through 2012 are under examination and its returns for 2013 through 2014 remain open to examination. The Company s New York State tax returns for 2011 through 2013 are currently under examination and the Company s New York City tax return for 2013 is currently under examination. The Company s U.K. tax return for 2012 is currently under examination and its return for 2013 remains open to examination.

For ongoing audits, it is possible the balance of UTBs could decrease in the next twelve months as a result of the settlement of these audits, which might involve the payment of additional taxes, the adjustment of certain deferred taxes and/or the recognition of tax benefits. It is also possible that new issues might be raised by tax authorities that could necessitate increases to the balance of UTBs. As the Company is unable to predict the timing or outcome of these audits, it is therefore unable to estimate the amount of changes to the balance of UTBs at this time. However, the Company believes that it has adequately provided for its financial exposure relating to all open tax years by tax jurisdiction in accordance with the applicable provisions of Topic 740 of the ASC regarding UTBs.

	Nine Mor	nths E	nded	
	Septer	nber 3	0,	
	2015	2015 201		
Income taxes paid	\$ 299.9	\$	334.6	

NOTE 4. WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING

Below is a reconciliation of basic to diluted shares outstanding:

		Three Months Ended September 30,		ths Ended ber 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Basic	199.4	210.4	201.1	212.1
Dilutive effect of shares issuable under stock-based compensation plans	3.1	3.8	3.4	4.0
Diluted	202.5	214.2	204.5	216.1
Anti-dilutive options to purchase common shares and restricted stock as well as contingently issuable restricted stock which are excluded from the table above	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.7

The calculation of diluted EPS requires certain assumptions regarding the use of both cash proceeds and assumed proceeds that would be received upon the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock outstanding as of September 30, 2015 and 2014. These assumed proceeds include Excess Tax Benefits and any unrecognized compensation of the awards.

The decrease in the diluted shares outstanding primarily reflects treasury share repurchases under the Company s Board authorized share repurchase program.

NOTE 5. CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS

The table below provides additional information on the Company s cash equivalents and investments:

		As of September 30, 2015					
		Gross Balance sheet loo				ion	
		Unrealized	Fair	Cash and cash	Short-term	Other	
	Cost	Gains	Value	equivalents	investments	assets	
Money market mutual funds	\$ 137.9	\$	\$ 137.9	\$ 137.9	\$	\$	
Certificates of deposit and money market deposit accounts (1)	\$ 1,088.9	\$	\$ 1,088.9	\$ 596.0	\$ 470.2	\$ 22.7	
Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds (2)	\$ 33.4	\$ 2.8	\$ 36.2	\$	\$	\$ 36.2	

	As of December 31, 2014									
							Bala	nce s	heet locati	on
			Cash							
			Gı	ross			and			
			Unre	alized		Fair	cash	Sho	ort-term	Other
		Cost	Ga	ains	,	Value	equivalents	inve	estments	assets
Money market mutual funds	\$	149.7	\$		\$	149.7	\$ 149.7	\$		\$
Certificates of deposit and money market deposit accounts (1)	\$	842.5	\$		\$	842.5	\$ 380.1	\$	458.1	\$ 4.3
Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds (2)	\$	47.1	\$	0.9	\$	48.0	\$	\$		\$ 48.0

- (1) Consists of time deposits and money market deposit accounts. The remaining contractual maturities for the certificates of deposits classified as short-term investments were one month to twelve months and one month to ten months at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. Time deposits with a maturity of less than 90 days at time of purchase are classified as cash and cash equivalents.
- (2) Consists of investments in fixed maturity mutual funds and open-ended mutual funds. The remaining contractual maturities for the fixed maturity instruments range from 14 months to 34 months and two months to 23 months at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

The money market mutual funds as well as the fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds in the table above are deemed to be available for sale under ASC Topic 320 and the fair value of these instruments is determined using Level 1 inputs as defined in the ASC.

NOTE 6. ACQUISITIONS

The business combinations described below are accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting whereby assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recognized at fair value on the date of the transaction. Any excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed was recorded to goodwill. The Company has not presented proforma combined results because the impact on previously reported statements of operations would not have been material. Additionally, for all acquisitions described below, the near term impact to the Company s operations and cash flows is not material. These acquisitions are discussed below in more detail.

Equilibrium

On May 21, 2015, a subsidiary of the Company acquired 100% of Equilibrium, a provider of credit rating and research services in Peru and Panama. The aggregate purchase price was not material and the near term impact to the Company s operations and cash flows is not expected to be material. Equilibrium operates in the MIS reportable segment and goodwill related to this acquisition has been allocated to the MIS reporting unit.

Lewtan Technologies

On October 27, 2014, a subsidiary of the Company acquired 100% of Lewtan Technologies, a leading provider of analytical tools and data for the global structured finance market. The acquisition of Lewtan will bolster MA s Structured Analytics and Valuations (SAV) business within its RD&A LOB, which provides an extensive data and analytics library for securitized assets. The aggregate purchase price and the near term impact to the Company s operations and cash flows is not material. Lewtan operates in the RD&A LOB of MA and goodwill related to this acquisition was allocated to the RD&A reporting unit.

WebEquity Solutions, LLC

On July 17, 2014, a subsidiary of the Company acquired 100% of WebEquity Solutions, LLC, a leading provider of cloud-based loan origination solutions for financial institutions. The payment of \$130.5 million was funded with cash on hand. This acquisition will enhance MA s risk management product portfolio.

Shown below is the purchase price allocation, which summarizes the fair value of the assets and liabilities assumed, at the date of the acquisition:

Current assets	\$	3.0
Property and equipment, net		2.3
Intangible assets:		
Client relationships (18 year weighted average life) \$42.8		
Software (15 year weighted average life) 11.5		
Trade name (4 year weighted average life) 0.5		
Total intangible assets (17 year weighted average life)		54.8
Goodwill		77.6
Liabilities assumed		(7.2)
Net assets acquired	\$ 1	30.5

The acquired goodwill, which has been assigned to the MA segment, is tax deductible.

As of the date of the acquisition, WebEquity is part of the ERS reporting unit.

ICRA Limited

On June 26, 2014, a subsidiary of the Company acquired 2,154,722 additional shares of ICRA Limited, a publicly traded company in India. ICRA is a leading provider of credit ratings and research in India and will extend MIS s reach in the growing domestic debt market in India as well as other emerging markets in the region. The acquisition of the additional shares increased Moody s ownership stake in ICRA from 28.5% to 50.06%, resulting in a controlling interest in ICRA. Accordingly, the Company consolidated ICRA s financial statements. Moody s consolidates ICRA s financial statements on a three-month lag.

Prior to the acquisition of the additional shares, Moody s accounted for its investment in ICRA on an equity basis whereby the Company recorded its proportional share of the investment s net income or loss as part of other non-operating income (expense), net. The acquisition of the additional shares has resulted in the Company consolidating ICRA into its financial statements. As a result of this consolidation and in accordance with ASC 805, the carrying value of the Company s equity investment in ICRA was remeasured to fair value as of the acquisition

date resulting in a pre-tax gain of 102.8 million (78.5 million after-tax) in the second quarter of 2014. The fair value of the Company s equity investment was based on ICRA s quoted market price on the date of acquisition.

17

The table below details the total consideration relating to the ICRA step-acquisition:

Cash paid	\$ 86.0
Fair value of equity interest in ICRA prior to obtaining a controlling interest	124.9
Total consideration	\$ 210.9

Shown below is the purchase price allocation, which summarizes the fair value of the assets and liabilities assumed, at the date of acquisition:

Current assets	\$	25.4
Property and equipment, net		15.1
Intangible assets:		
Trade name (36 year weighted average life) \$46.8		
Client relationships (19 year weighted average life) 33.8		
Other (17 year weighted average life)* 18.3		
Total intangible assets (26 year weighted average life)		98.9
Goodwill		296.7
Other assets		56.3
Liabilities		(62.7)
Fair value of non-controlling interest assumed	(218.8)
Net assets acquired	\$	210.9

The fair value of the non-controlling interest was determined based on the quoted market price per share of ICRA on the date that the Company acquired the controlling stake.

ICRA operates as its own reporting unit for purposes of the Company s annual goodwill impairment assessment.

NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company is exposed to global market risks, including risks from changes in FX rates and changes in interest rates. Accordingly, the Company uses derivatives in certain instances to manage the aforementioned financial exposures that occur in the normal course of business. The Company does not hold or issue derivatives for speculative purposes.

^{*} Primarily consists of acquired technical know-how and ratings methodologies

Current assets in the table above include acquired cash of approximately \$5 million. Additionally, current assets include gross accounts receivable of approximately \$14 million, of which an immaterial amount is not expected to be collectible. Goodwill, which has been assigned to the MIS segment, is not deductible for tax.

Derivatives and non-derivative instruments designated as accounting hedges:

Interest Rate Swaps

In the third quarter of 2014, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$250 million to convert the fixed interest rate on the 2010 Senior Notes to a floating interest rate based on the 3-month LIBOR. In the third quarter of 2014, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$250 million to convert the fixed interest rate on the remaining balance of the 2010 Senior Notes to a floating interest rate based on the 3-month LIBOR. The purpose of these hedges is to mitigate the risk associated with changes in the fair value of the 2010 Senior Notes, thus the Company has designated these swaps as fair value hedges. The fair value of the swaps is adjusted quarterly with a corresponding adjustment to the carrying value of the 2010 Senior Notes. The changes in the fair value of the hedges and the underlying hedged item generally offset and the net cash settlements on the swaps are recorded each period within interest income (expense), net, in the Company s consolidated statement of operations.

In the third quarter of 2014, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$250 million to convert the fixed interest rate on a portion of the 2014 Senior Notes (5-year) to a floating interest rate based on the 3-month LIBOR. In the first quarter of 2015, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$200 million to convert the fixed interest rate on the remaining balance of the 2014 Senior Notes (5-year) to a floating interest rate based on the 3-month LIBOR. The purpose of these hedges is to mitigate the risk associated with changes in the fair value of the 2014 Senior Notes (5-year), thus the Company has designated these swaps as fair value hedges. The fair value of the swaps is adjusted quarterly with a corresponding adjustment to the carrying value of the 2014 Senior Notes (5-year). The changes in the fair value of the hedges and the underlying hedged item generally offset and the net cash settlements on the swaps are recorded each period within interest income (expense), net, in the Company s consolidated statement of operations.

The following table summarizes the impact to the statement of operations of the Company s interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges:

		Amount of i	incon	ne/(expense) rec	ognized in	the co	onsolid
				sta	teme	nts		
		of operations						
		Th	ree N	Months				
			Enc	ded]	Nine Mont	hs Er	ıded
		Se	ptem	ber 30,		Septeml	ber 30),
Derivatives designated as fair	Location on Statement of		_			_		
value accounting hedges	Operations	201:	5	2014		2015	2	014
Interest rate swaps	Interest income (expense), net	\$ 3	3.9	\$ 7.8	\$	11.5	\$	8.3

Net investment hedges

The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts that are designated as net investment hedges and additionally has designated 400 million of the 2015 Senior Notes as a net investment hedge. These hedges are intended to mitigate FX exposure related to non-U.S. dollar net investments in certain foreign subsidiaries against changes in foreign exchange rates. These net investment hedges are designated as accounting hedges under the applicable sections of Topic 815 of the ASC.

Hedge effectiveness is assessed based on the overall changes in the fair value of the hedge. For hedges that meet the effectiveness requirements, any change in the fair value and any realized gains and losses for the hedge are recorded in AOCI, in the foreign currency translation account. Any change in the fair value of these hedges that is the result of ineffectiveness is recognized immediately in other non-operating (expense) income in the Company s consolidated statement of operations.

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of the Company s outstanding net investment hedges:

	Sept		ember 31, 2014	
Notional amount of net investment hedges:				
Long-term debt designated as net investment hedge		400.0		
Contracts to sell euros for USD				50.0
Contracts to sell GBP for euros	£	20.4	£	
Contracts to sell Japanese yen for USD	¥	19,400	¥	19,400

The outstanding contracts to sell Japanese yen for USD expire in November 2015. The outstanding contracts to sell GBP for euros expire in December 2015. The hedge relating to the portion of the 2015 Senior Notes that was designated as a net investment hedge will end upon the repayment of the notes in 2027 unless terminated earlier at the discretion of the Company.

The following table provides information on the gains/(losses) on the Company s net investment hedges:

	Amount of									
	Gain/(Loss) Recognized									
	in AOCI o	in AOCI on Derivative								
Derivatives in Net Investment Hedging Relationships	(Effective Portion) Three Months Ended September 30,									
								2015	2	2014
							FX forwards	\$ (0.7)	\$	12.1
Long-term debt	(0.6)									
Total	\$ (1.3)	\$	12.1							

	Nine Mon Septen	nths End nber 30,	
	2015	2	014
FX forwards	\$ 12.7	\$	8.4
Long-term debt	(2.7)		
Total	\$ 10.0	\$	8.4

The cumulative amount of realized and unrecognized net investment hedge gains recorded in AOCI is as follows:

	Gains/(Loss	Gains/(Losses), net of tax			
	September 30, 2015		mber 31, 2014		
FX forwards	\$ 33.6	\$	20.9		
Long-term debt	(2.7)				
Total gains on net investment hedges	\$ 30.9	\$	20.9		

Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges:

Foreign exchange forwards

The Company also enters into foreign exchange forwards to mitigate the change in fair value on certain assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than a subsidiary s functional currency. These forward contracts are not designated as accounting hedges under the applicable sections of Topic 815 of the ASC. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized immediately in other non-operating (expense) income, net in the Company s consolidated statements of operations along with the FX gain or loss recognized on the assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than the subsidiary s functional currency. These contracts have expiration dates at various times through December 2015.

20

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of the Company s outstanding foreign exchange forwards:

	September 30, 2015		nber 31, 014
Notional amount of currency pair:			
Contracts to purchase USD with euros	\$		\$ 38.5
Contracts to sell USD for euros	\$	48.3	\$ 51.5
Contracts to purchase USD with GBP	\$		\$ 0.2
Contracts to purchase USD with other foreign currencies	\$		\$ 1.2
Contracts to purchase euros with other foreign currencies		36.0	34.0
Contracts to purchase euros with GBP			25.0
Contracts to sell euros for GBP		27.3	38.2

Cross-currency swaps

In conjunction with the issuance of the 2015 Senior Notes, the Company entered into a cross-currency swap to exchange 100 million for U.S. dollars on the date of the settlement of the notes. The purpose of this cross-currency swap is to mitigate FX risk on the remaining principal balance on the 2015 Senior Notes that was not designated as a net investment hedge as more fully discussed above. The Company has not designated these cross-currency swaps as accounting hedges. Accordingly, changes in fair value on these swaps is recognized immediately in other non-operating (expense), income, net in the Company s consolidated statements of operations along with the FX gain or loss recognized on the 100 million principal of the 2015 Senior Notes that was not designated as a net investment hedge. Under the terms of the swap, the Company will pay the counterparty interest on the \$110.5 million received at 3.945% per annum and the counterparty will pay the Company interest on the 100 million paid at 1.75% per annum. These interest payments will be settled in March of each year, beginning in 2016, until either the maturity of the cross-currency swap in 2027 or upon early termination at the discretion of the Company. The principal payments on this cross currency swap will be settled in 2027, concurrent with the repayment of the 2015 Senior Notes at maturity or upon early termination at the discretion of the Company.

The following table summarizes the impact to the consolidated statements of operations relating to the net gain (loss) on the Company s derivatives which are not designated as hedging instruments:

		Three Mon	ths Ended
		Septem	ber 30,
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges	Location on Statement of Operations	2015	2014
Cross-currency swap	Other non-operating income (expense), net	\$ (1.7)	\$
Foreign exchange forwards	Other non-operating income (expense), net	0.6	(4.8)
Total		\$ (1.1)	\$ (4.8)
		Nine M	(onths

		Enc	led
		Septem	ber 30,
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges	Location on Statement of Operations	2015	2014
Cross-currency swap	Other non-operating income (expense), net	\$ (7.0)	\$
Foreign exchange forwards	Other non-operating income (expense), net	(1.3)	(3.9)
Total		\$ (8.3)	\$ (3.9)

The table below shows the classification between assets and liabilities on the Company s consolidated balance sheets for the fair value of the derivative instrument as well as the carrying value of its nonderivative debt instruments designated and qualifying as net investment hedges:

21

	Derivative and Non-derivative Instruments				
	Balance Sheet Location	Sept	September 30, 2015		mber 31, 2014
Assets:					
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges:					
FX forwards on net investment in certain foreign					
subsidiaries	Other current assets	\$	17.7	\$	18.8
Interest rate swaps	Other assets		25.5		17.4
Total derivatives designated as accounting hedges			43.2		36.2
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges:					
FX forwards on certain assets and liabilities	Other current assets		1.7		5.6
Total assets		\$	44.9	\$	41.8
Liabilities:					
Non-derivative instrument designated as accounting hedge:					
Long-term debt designated as net investment hedge	Long-term debt	\$	446.5	\$	
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges:					
Cross-currency swap	Other non-current liabilities		5.6		
FX forwards on certain assets and liabilities	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		1.0		2.1
	naominos				
Total liabilities		\$	453.1	\$	2.1

22

NOTE 8. GOODWILL AND OTHER ACQUIRED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table summarizes the activity in goodwill for the periods indicated:

				Nine Mon	ths E	nded Sep	tember 30, 2	2015				
		MIS				MA			Con	solidated		
		Accumulated			Acc	umulated			Acc	umulated		
	Gross	impairment	Net	Gross	imp	pairment	Net	Gross	imp	pairment		Net
	goodwill	charge	goodwill	goodwill	C	harge	goodwill	goodwill	C	charge	gc	oodwill
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 298.7	\$	\$ 298.7	\$ 734.6	\$	(12.2)	\$ 722.4	\$ 1,033.3	\$	(12.2)	\$ 1	1,021.1
Additions/adjustments	4.9		4.9	3.3			3.3	8.2				8.2
Foreign currency translation												
adjustments	(10.7)		(10.7)	(28.6)			(28.6)	(39.3)				(39.3)
Ending balance	\$ 292.9	\$	\$ 292.9	\$ 709.3	\$	(12.2)	\$ 697.1	\$ 1,002.2	\$	(12.2)	\$	990.0

	Year ended December 31, 2014												
		MIS				MA				Con	solidated		
		Accumulated			Accı	umulated				Acc	umulated		
	Gross	impairment	Net	Gross	imp	airment	Net		Gross	imp	airment		Net
	goodwill	charge	goodwill	goodwill	С	harge	goodwill	ge	oodwill	c	harge	go	oodwill
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 11.4	\$	\$ 11.4	\$ 666.0	\$	(12.2)	\$ 653.8	\$	677.4	\$	(12.2)	\$	665.2
Additions/adjustments	296.7		296.7	101.1			101.1		397.8				397.8
Foreign currency translation													
adjustments	(9.4)		(9.4)	(32.5)			(32.5)		(41.9)				(41.9)
Ending balance	\$ 298.7	\$	\$ 298.7	\$ 734.6	\$	(12.2)	\$ 722.4	\$	1,033.3	\$	(12.2)	\$ 1	1,021.1

The 2015 additions/adjustments for the MIS segment in the table above relate to the acquisition of Equilibrium. The 2015 additions/adjustments for the MA segment reflect an adjustment to an indemnification asset recognized as part of the Copal acquisition and adjustments to deferred revenue balances and deferred tax assets recognized as part of the Lewtan acquisition.

The 2014 additions/adjustments for the MIS segment in the table above relate to the ICRA Acquisition in the second quarter of 2014 as further discussed in Note 6. The 2014 additions/adjustments for the MA segment in the table above relate to the acquisition of WebEquity in the third quarter of 2014 and Lewtan in the fourth quarter of 2014 as well as adjustments for Amba, which was acquired in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Acquired intangible assets and related amortization consisted of:

	ember 30, 2015	mber 31, 2014
Customer relationships	\$ 299.9	\$ 310.4
Accumulated amortization	(107.0)	(98.1)
Net customer relationships	192.9	212.3
Trade secrets	29.9	30.6
Accumulated amortization	(22.5)	(20.9)
Net trade secrets	7.4	9.7
Software	75.7	79.8
Accumulated amortization	(46.3)	(43.0)
Net software	29.4	36.8
Trade names	73.8	76.5
Accumulated amortization	(15.6)	(13.3)
Net trade names	58.2	63.2
Other	44.0	44.8
Accumulated amortization	(23.1)	(21.3)
Net other	20.9	23.5
Total acquired intangible assets, net	\$ 308.8	\$ 345.5

Other intangible assets primarily consist of databases, covenants not to compete, and acquired ratings methodologies and models.

Amortization expense relating to acquired intangible assets is as follows:

		Three Months Ended September 30,		ths Ended iber 30,
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Amortization expense	\$ 7.6	\$ 6.9	\$ 24.1	\$ 20.5

Estimated future amortization expense for acquired intangible assets subject to amortization is as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	
2015 (after September 30)	\$ 6.8
2016	29.2
2017	26.9

2018	21.1
2019	18.1
Thereafter	206.7
Total estimated future amortization	\$ 308.8

NOTE 9. FAIR VALUE

The ASC establishes a fair value hierarchy whereby the inputs contained in valuation techniques used to measure fair value are categorized into three broad levels as follows:

Level 1: quoted market prices in active markets that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the date of the fair value measurement;

<u>Level 2</u>: inputs other than quoted market prices described in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

<u>Level 3</u>: unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value measurement of the assets or liabilities.

The table below presents information about items that are carried at fair value at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

		Fair Value M	Fair Value Measurement as of September 30, Level L		
	Description	Balance	Level 1	2	3
Assets:					
	Derivatives (a)	\$ 44.9	\$	\$ 44.9	\$
	Money market mutual funds	137.9	137.9		
	Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds (b)	36.2	36.2		
	Total	\$ 219.0	\$ 174.1	\$ 44.9	\$
Liabilities:					
	Derivatives (a)	\$ 6.6	\$	\$ 6.6	\$
	Total	\$ 6.6	\$	\$ 6.6	\$
		Fair Value M	Aeasurement a	s of December Level	r 31, 2014 Level
	Description	Fair Value M Balance	Aeasurement a Level 1		,
Assets:			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:	Derivatives ^(a)	Balance \$ 41.8	Level 1	Level	Level
Assets:	Derivatives ^(a) Money market mutual funds	Balance	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:	Derivatives ^(a)	Balance \$ 41.8	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:	Derivatives ^(a) Money market mutual funds	Balance \$ 41.8 149.7	Level 1 \$ 149.7	Level 2	Level 3
Assets: Liabilities:	Derivatives ^(a) Money market mutual funds Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds ^(b) Total	\$ 41.8 149.7 48.0	Level 1 \$ 149.7 48.0	Level 2 \$ 41.8	Level 3
	Derivatives ^(a) Money market mutual funds Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds ^(b) Total Derivatives ^(a)	\$ 41.8 149.7 48.0	Level 1 \$ 149.7 48.0	Level 2 \$ 41.8	Level 3
	Derivatives ^(a) Money market mutual funds Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds ^(b) Total	\$ 41.8 149.7 48.0 \$ 239.5	\$ 149.7 48.0 \$ 197.7	Level 2 \$ 41.8 \$ 41.8	Level 3 \$
	Derivatives ^(a) Money market mutual funds Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds ^(b) Total Derivatives ^(a)	\$ 41.8 149.7 48.0 \$ 239.5	\$ 149.7 48.0 \$ 197.7	Level 2 \$ 41.8 \$ 41.8	Level 3 \$

- (a) Represents FX forwards on certain assets and liabilities and on net investments in certain foreign subsidiaries as well as interest rate swaps as more fully described in Note 7 to the financial statements.
- (b) Consists of investments in fixed maturity mutual funds and open-ended mutual funds. The remaining contractual maturities for the fixed maturity instruments range from 14 month to 34 months and two months to 23 months at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.
- (c) Represents contingent consideration liabilities pursuant to the agreements for the CSI acquisition.

25

The following table summarizes the changes in the fair value of the Company s Level 3 liabilities:

	Contingent Consideration Nine Months Ended September 30				
	2015	2014			
Balance as of January 1	\$ 2.1	\$ 17.5			
Contingent consideration payments	(1.9)	(4.3)			
Total losses (realized and unrealized):					
Included in earnings		0.3			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(0.2)	(0.2)			
Balance as of September 30	\$	\$ 13.3			

The following are descriptions of the methodologies utilized by the Company to estimate the fair value of its derivative contracts, fixed maturity plans and contingent consideration obligations:

Derivatives:

In determining the fair value of the derivative contracts, the Company utilizes industry standard valuation models. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using spot rates, forward points, currency volatilities, interest rates as well as the risk of non-performance of the Company and the counterparties with whom it has derivative contracts. The Company established strict counterparty credit guidelines and only enters into transactions with financial institutions that adhere to these guidelines. Accordingly, the risk of counterparty default is deemed to be minimal.

Fixed maturity and open ended mutual funds:

The fixed maturity mutual funds and open ended mutual funds primarily represent exchange traded funds in India and are classified as securities available-for-sale. Accordingly, any unrealized gains and losses are recognized through OCI until the instruments mature or are sold.

Money market mutual funds:

The money market mutual funds represent publicly traded funds with a stable \$1 net asset value.

Contingent consideration:

During the third quarter of 2015, the Company settled a contingent consideration obligation of 2.5 million Canadian dollars related to the acquisition of CSI that was based on certain non-financial metrics set forth in the acquisition agreement. Prior to the settlement of this obligation, the Company utilized a discounted cash flow methodology to value this obligation. At September 30, 2014, the Company also had contingent consideration obligations related to the acquisition of Copal. These obligations were measured using Level 3 inputs as defined in the ASC. The Company recorded the obligations for these contingent consideration arrangements on the date of each respective acquisition based on management s best estimates of the achievement of the metrics and the value of the obligations were adjusted quarterly.

For certain of the contingent consideration obligations relating to the acquisition of Copal, a portion of the contingent cash payments were based on revenue and EBITDA growth for certain of the Copal entities. This growth was calculated by comparing revenue and EBITDA in the year immediately prior to the exercise of the put/call option to acquire the remaining 33% ownership interest of Copal Partners Limited, to revenue and EBITDA in Copal s fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. Payments of \$12.2 million under this arrangement were made in the fourth quarter of 2014 pursuant to the Company exercising its call option to acquire the remaining shares of Copal Amba. The Company had utilized discounted cash flow methodologies to value these obligations prior to their settlement in 2014. The expected future cash flows for these obligations were discounted using a risk-free interest rate plus a credit spread based on the option adjusted spread of the Company s publicly traded debt as of the valuation date plus sovereign and size risk premiums. The most significant unobservable input involved in the measurement of these obligations was the projected future financial results of the applicable Copal Amba entities.

26

For the contingent consideration obligations relating to the acquisition of Amba, the payment was based on the acquired entity achieving a revenue target for its fiscal year ended March 31, 2014 which was met resulting in a \$4.3 million payment in the third quarter of 2014.

NOTE 10. OTHER BALANCE SHEET AND STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS INFORMATION

The following tables contain additional detail related to certain balance sheet captions:

	_	ember 30, 2015		ember 31, 2014
Other current assets:			_	
Prepaid taxes	\$	69.8	\$	65.4
Prepaid expenses		56.9		59.9
Other		37.0		47.2
Total other current assets	\$	163.7	\$	172.5
	Sor	otember	Do	cember
	Sej	30,	De	31,
		2015		2014
Other assets:				
Investments in joint ventures	\$	25.8	\$	21.6
Deposits for real-estate leases	·	12.5		11.3
Indemnification assets related to acquisitions		19.3		23.5
Fixed maturity, open ended mutual funds, and fixed deposits		58.9		48.0
Other		56.7		41.5
Total other assets	\$	173.2	\$	145.9
		otember 30,		31,
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities: Salaries and benefits		30, 2015		31, 2014
Salaries and benefits		30,		31, 2014 86.5
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation		30, 2015 69.3		31, 2014
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution		30, 2015 69.3		31, 2014 86.5 155.2
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings		30, 2015 69.3 102.8		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution		30, 2015 69.3 102.8		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings Self-insurance reserves for wholly-owned insurance subsidiary		30, 2015 69.3 102.8 19.0 23.8		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0 21.5
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings Self-insurance reserves for wholly-owned insurance subsidiary Dividends		30, 2015 69.3 102.8 19.0 23.8 6.7		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0 21.5 75.0
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings Self-insurance reserves for wholly-owned insurance subsidiary Dividends Professional service fees		30, 2015 69.3 102.8 19.0 23.8 6.7 53.7		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0 21.5 75.0 47.0
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings Self-insurance reserves for wholly-owned insurance subsidiary Dividends Professional service fees Interest accrued on debt		30, 2015 69.3 102.8 19.0 23.8 6.7 53.7 20.7		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0 21.5 75.0 47.0 45.0
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings Self-insurance reserves for wholly-owned insurance subsidiary Dividends Professional service fees Interest accrued on debt Accounts payable		30, 2015 69.3 102.8 19.0 23.8 6.7 53.7 20.7 17.6		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0 21.5 75.0 47.0 45.0 19.4
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings Self-insurance reserves for wholly-owned insurance subsidiary Dividends Professional service fees Interest accrued on debt Accounts payable Income taxes		30, 2015 69.3 102.8 19.0 23.8 6.7 53.7 20.7 17.6 12.0		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0 21.5 75.0 47.0 45.0 19.4 16.1
Salaries and benefits Incentive compensation Profit sharing contribution Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings Self-insurance reserves for wholly-owned insurance subsidiary Dividends Professional service fees Interest accrued on debt Accounts payable Income taxes Pension and other retirement employee benefits		30, 2015 69.3 102.8 19.0 23.8 6.7 53.7 20.7 17.6 12.0 5.1		31, 2014 86.5 155.2 9.3 17.0 21.5 75.0 47.0 45.0 19.4 16.1 5.1

Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Other liabilities:		
Pension and other retirement employee benefits	\$ 230.1	\$ 244.8
Deferred rent-non-current portion	99.5	104.2
Interest accrued on UTPs	29.0	20.8
Legacy and other tax matters	1.7	8.6
Other	57.0	52.5
Total other liabilities	\$ 417.3	\$ 430.9

Changes in the Company s self-insurance reserves for claims insured by the Company s wholly-owned insurance subsidiary, which primarily relate to legal defense costs for claims from prior years, are as follows:

	onths Ended aber 30, 2015	Year Ended December 31, 2014		
Balance January 1,	\$ 21.5	\$	27.6	
Accruals (reversals), net	13.8		5.8	
Payments	(11.5)		(11.9)	
•				
Balance	\$ 23.8	\$	21.5	

Noncontrolling Interests:

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company s noncontrolling interests:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	Year Ended			
	2015 Noncontro	Decemb Olling Intere	ember 31, 2014 terests		
Balance January 1,	\$ 230.7	\$	10.9		
Net earnings	5.8		7.9		
Dividends	(6.8)		(6.9)		
ICRA noncontrolling interest*			218.8		
Balance	\$ 229.7	\$	230.7		

^{*} Represents the fair value of the ICRA noncontrolling interest as of the day majority control was acquired. Other Non-Operating (Expense) Income:

The following table summarizes the components of other non-operating (expense) income:

		nths Ended nber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
FX gain/(loss)	\$ 9.7	7.6	(2.5)	1.5	
Legacy Tax benefit	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	
Joint venture income	3.5	2.6	8.8	7.9	
Other	0.1	(0.2)	1.3	(0.3)	
Total	\$ 19.7	16.4	14.0	15.5	

NOTE 11. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME AND ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table provides details about the reclassifications out of AOCI:

		Months mber 30,n2	Nine Months 015 September 30,	Affected line in the consolidated statement of 2015 operations
Gains on foreign currency translation adjustments				
Liquidation of foreign subsidiary	\$		\$ 0.1	Other non-operating income (expense), net
Total gains an famign augustasy translation adjustme	mta		0.1	
Total gains on foreign currency translation adjustme	ents		0.1	
Gains on available for sale securities:				
Gains on available for sale securities		0.6	0.8	Other income
Total gains on available for sale securities		0.6	0.8	
Pension and other retirement benefits				
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service costs				
included in net income		(2.1)	(6.4) Operating expense
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service costs				
included in net income		(1.3)	(3.9) SG&A expense
Total before income taxes		(3.4)	(10.3)
Income tax effect of item above		(011)	(200	Provision for
		1.3	3.9	
		2.0		
Total pension and other retirement benefits		(2.1)	(6.4)
Total losses included in Net Income attributable to				
reclassifications out of AOCI	\$	(1.5)	\$ (5.5)
	En Septen	Months ded iber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	Affected line in the consolidated statement of operations
Losses on foreign currency translation adjustments				
Loss on foreign currency translation adjustment pursuan ICRA step-acquisition	t to \$		\$ (4.4) ICRA gain
Total losses on foreign translation adjustments			(4.4)
· · ·			·	
Pension and other retirement benefits				
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service costs included in net income		(1.1)	(2.6	Omanating comme
		(1.1)	(3.6) Operating expense
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service costs included in net income		(0.7)	(1.9) SG&A expense
Total before income taxes		(1.8)	(5.5)
Income tax effect of item above		(2.0)	(5.5	Provision for
		0.7	3.0	

Total pension and other retirement benefits	(1.1)	(2.5)	
Total losses included in Net Income attributable to reclassifications out of AOCI	\$ (1.1) \$	(6.9)	

The following table shows changes in AOCI by component (net of tax):

	Three Months Ended						
	September 30, 2015 September						14
	Pension and Other Retirement	Foreign Currency Translation	Gains on Available for Sale			Foreign Currency t Translation	
	Benefits	Adjustments	Securities	Total	Benefits	Adjustments	Total
Balance June 30,	(94.4)	(189.2)	2.8	\$ (280.8)	(55.9)	8.6	(47.3)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) before reclassifications		(41.7)	0.7	(41.0)		(87.4)	(87.4)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	2.1		(0.6)	1.5	1.1		1.1
Other comprehensive		(4.5)	0.1	(20.5)		(07.4)	(0.6.2)
income/(loss)	2.1	(41.7)	0.1	(39.5)	1.1	(87.4)	(86.3)
Balance September 30,	\$ (92.3)	\$ (230.9)	\$ 2.9	\$ (320.3)	\$ (54.8)	\$ (78.8)	\$ (133.6)

	Nine Months Ended									
		S	eptember	30, 2	015		September 30, 2014			
	Pension and Other Retirement Benefits	Cu Tra	oreign irrency inslation ustments	Ava for	ains on ilable · Sale urities	Total	Pension and Other Retirement Benefits	Cu t Tra	oreign rrency nslation istments	Total
Balance December 31,	\$ (105.4)	\$	(130.7)	\$	0.9	\$ (235.2)	\$ (53.2)	\$	(1.4)	\$ (54.6)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) before reclassifications	6.7		(100.1)		2.8	(90.6)	(4.1)		(81.8)	(85.9)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	6.4		(0.1)		(0.8)	5.5	2.5		4.4	6.9
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	13.1		(100.2)		2.0	(85.1)	(1.6)		(77.4)	(79.0)
Balance September 30,	\$ (92.3)	\$	(230.9)	\$	2.9	\$ (320.3)	\$ (54.8)	\$	(78.8)	\$ (133.6)

NOTE 12. PENSION AND OTHER RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Moody s maintains funded and unfunded noncontributory Defined Benefit Pension Plans. The U.S. plans provide defined benefits using a cash balance formula based on years of service and career average salary for its employees or final average pay for selected executives. The Company also provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits for retired U.S. employees. The retirement healthcare plans are contributory; the life insurance plans are noncontributory. Moody s funded and unfunded U.S. pension plans, the U.S. retirement healthcare plans and the U.S. retirement life insurance plans are collectively referred to herein as the Retirement Plans . The U.S. retirement healthcare plans and the U.S. retirement plans are collectively referred to herein as the Other Retirement Plans .

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company no longer offers DBPPs to U.S. employees hired or rehired on or after January 1, 2008. New U.S. employees will instead receive a retirement contribution of similar benefit value under the Company s Profit Participation Plan. Current participants of the Company s DBPPs continue to accrue benefits based on existing plan formulas.

The components of net periodic benefit expense related to the Retirement Plans are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,					
	Pension	Plans	Other Retirement I			
	2015	2014	2015	2014		
Components of net periodic expense						
Service cost	\$ 5.5	\$ 4.6	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.5		
Interest cost	4.2	4.2	0.2	0.2		
Expected return on plan assets	(3.6)	(3.7)				
Amortization of net actuarial loss from earlier periods	3.1	1.7	0.1			
Amortization of net prior service costs from earlier periods	0.1	0.2				
·						
Net periodic expense	\$ 9.3	\$ 7.0	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.7		

	Nine Months Ended September 30,						
	Pension	Plans	Other Retirement Plans				
	2015	2014	2015	2014			
Components of net periodic expense							
Service cost	\$ 16.3	\$ 13.8	\$ 1.6	\$ 1.3			
Interest cost	12.7	12.4	0.7	0.7			
Expected return on plan assets	(10.8)	(10.7)					
Amortization of net actuarial loss from earlier periods	9.3	5.0	0.3				
Amortization of net prior service costs from earlier periods	0.5	0.5					
Net periodic expense	\$ 28.0	\$ 21.0	\$ 2.6	\$ 2.0			

The Company made a contribution of \$21.6 million to its funded pension plan as well as payments of \$1.6 million related to its unfunded U.S. DBPPs and \$0.8 million to its U.S. other retirement plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The Company anticipates making payments of \$2.5 million related to its unfunded U.S. DBPPs during the remainder of 2015.

31

NOTE 13. INDEBTEDNESS

The following table summarizes total indebtedness:

	Sep	tember 30, 2015	Dec	ember 31, 2014
Notes Payable:				
6.06% Series 2007-1 Notes due 2017	\$	300.0	\$	300.0
5.50% 2010 Senior Notes, due 2020, net of unamortized discount				
of \$1.7 million in 2015 and \$2.0 million in 2014; also includes a				
fair value adjustment on an interest rate hedge of \$17.1 million in				
2015 and \$5.8 million in 2014		515.4		503.8
4.50% 2012 Senior Notes, due 2022, net of unamortized discount				
of \$2.9 million in 2015 and \$3.1 million in 2014		497.1		496.9
4.875% 2013 Senior Notes, due 2024, net of unamortized discount				
of \$2.4 million in 2015 and 2.5 million in 2014		497.6		497.5
2.75% 2014 Senior Notes (5-Year), due 2019, net of unamortized				
discount of \$0.5 million in 2015 and \$0.7 million in 2014; also				
includes a fair value adjustment on an interest rate hedge of \$8.4				
million in 2015 and \$1.4 million in 2014		457.9		450.7
5.25% 2014 Senior Notes (30-Year), due 2044, net of unamortized				
discount of \$1.6 million in 2015 and 2014		298.4		298.4
1.75% 2015 Senior Notes, due 2027		558.1		
Total long-term debt	\$	3,124.5	\$	2,547.3
Total long-term debt	Φ	3,124.3	Φ	2,347.3

On May 11, 2015, the Company entered into a five-year senior, unsecured revolving credit facility with the capacity to borrow up to \$1 billion. This replaces the \$1 billion five-year 2012 Facility that was scheduled to expire in April 2017. Interest on borrowings under the facility is payable at rates that are based on the LIBOR plus a premium that can range from 79.5 basis points to 120 basis points per annum depending on the Company s ratio of total debt to EBITDA. The Company also pays quarterly facility fees, regardless of borrowing activity under the 2015 Facility. The quarterly fees for the 2015 Facility can range from 8 basis points of the facility amount to 17.5 basis points, depending on the Company s Debt/ EBITDA ratio. The 2015 Facility contains covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries, without the approval of lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates, sale and leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as set forth in the facility agreement. The 2015 Facility also contains a financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a Debt/EBITDA ratio of not more than 4 to 1 at the end of any fiscal quarter. Upon the occurrence of certain financial or economic events, significant corporate events or certain other events of default under the 2015 Facility, all loans outstanding under the 2015 Facility (including accrued interest and fees payable thereunder) may be declared immediately due and payable and all lending commitments under the 2015 Facility would automatically result in amounts outstanding becoming immediately due and payable and the termination of all lending commitments.

On March 9, 2015, the Company issued 500 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes in a public offering. The 2015 Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 1.75% and mature on March 9, 2027. Interest on the 2015 Senior Notes is due annually on March 9 of each year, commencing March 9, 2016. The Company may prepay the 2015 Senior Notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount being prepaid, plus accrued and unpaid interest and a Make-Whole Amount. Additionally, at the option of the holders of the notes, the Company may be required to purchase all or a portion of the notes upon occurrence of a Change of Control Triggering Event, as defined in the 2015 Indenture, at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase. The 2015 Indenture contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to, among other things, incur or create liens and enter into sale and leaseback transactions. In addition, the 2015 Indenture contains a covenant that limits the ability of the Company to consolidate or merge with another entity or to sell all or substantially all of its assets to another entity. The 2015 Indenture contains customary default provisions. In addition, an event of default will occur if the Company or certain of its subsidiaries fail to pay the principal of

any indebtedness (as defined in the 2015 Indenture) when due at maturity in an aggregate amount of \$50 million or more, or a default occurs that results in the acceleration of the maturity of the Company s or certain of its subsidiaries indebtedness in an aggregate amount of \$50 million or more. Upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an event of default under the 2015 Indenture, the 2015 Senior Notes may become immediately due and payable either automatically or by the vote of the holders of more than 25% of the aggregate principal amount of all of the notes then outstanding. The Company has designated 400 million of the 2015 Senior Notes as a net investment hedge as more fully discussed in Note 7.

The Company has entered into interest rate swaps on the 2010 Senior Notes and the 2014 Senior Notes (5-Year) which are more fully discussed in Note 7 above.

At September 30, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants contained within all of the debt agreements. The 2015 Facility, the 2015 Senior Notes, the 2014 Senior Notes (5-year), the 2014 Senior Notes (30-year), the Series 2007-1 Notes, the 2010 Senior Notes, the 2012 Senior Notes and the 2013 Senior Notes all contain cross default provisions. These provisions state that default under one of the aforementioned debt instruments could in turn permit lenders under other debt instruments to declare borrowings outstanding under those instruments to be immediately due and payable. As of September 30, 2015, there were no such cross defaults.

Interest expense, net

The following table summarizes the components of interest as presented in the consolidated statements of operations:

	Three Mor Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Income	\$ 2.8	\$ 1.8	\$ 7.0	\$ 5.1	
Expense on borrowings (1)	(29.8)	(38.8)	(88.8)	(90.5)	
UTPs and other tax related liabilities (2)	0.4	(1.7)	(6.3)	(3.2)	
Legacy Tax	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	
Capitalized	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.4	
Total	\$ (25.8)	\$ (37.7)	\$ (87.0)	\$ (87.5)	

⁽¹⁾ The three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 both include approximately \$11 million in net costs related to the prepayment of the Series 2005-1 Notes.

The following table shows the cash paid for interest:

	Nine Mon Septem	
	2015	2014
Interest paid	\$ 101.0	\$ 108.4

⁽²⁾ The three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 include approximately \$2 million in interest income on a tax refund. The nine months ended September 30, 2014 amount includes a \$2.0 million reversal of an interest accrual relating to the favorable resolution of an international tax matter.

The Company s long-term debt is recorded at its carrying amount, which represents the issuance amount plus or minus any issuance premium or discount, except for the 2010 Senior Notes and the 2014 Senior Notes (5-Year) which are recorded at the carrying amount adjusted for the fair value of an interest rate swap used to hedge the fair value of the note. The fair value and carrying value of the Company s long-term debt as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	Septem	2015	December 31, 2014				
	Carrying	Estimated Fair		Carrying	Esti	mated Fair	
	Amount		Value	Amount		Value	
Series 2007-1 Notes	\$ 300.0	\$	324.9	\$ 300.0	\$	334.6	
2010 Senior Notes	515.4		559.0	503.8		564.4	
2012 Senior Notes	497.1		530.2	496.9		537.1	
2013 Senior Notes	497.6		531.2	497.5		548.4	
2014 Senior Notes (5-Year)	457.9		456.7	450.7		454.3	
2014 Senior Notes (30-Year)	298.4		311.3	298.4		333.9	
2015 Senior Notes	558.1		531.3				
Total	\$ 3,124.5	\$	3,244.6	\$ 2,547.3	\$	2,772.7	

The fair value of the Company s long-term debt is estimated using discounted cash flows with inputs based on prevailing interest rates available to the Company for borrowings with similar maturities. Accordingly, the inputs used to estimate the fair value of the Company s long-term debt are classified as Level 2 inputs within the fair value hierarchy.

NOTE 14. CONTINGENCIES

Moody s is involved in legal and tax proceedings, governmental investigations and inquiries, claims and litigation that are incidental to the Company s business, including claims based on ratings assigned by MIS. Moody s is also subject to ongoing tax audits in the normal course of business. Management periodically assesses the Company s liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based upon the latest information available. Moody s discloses material pending legal proceedings pursuant to SEC rules and other pending matters as it may determine to be appropriate.

Following the global credit crisis of 2008, MIS and other credit rating agencies have been the subject of intense scrutiny, increased regulation, ongoing inquiry and governmental investigations, and civil litigation. Legislative, regulatory and enforcement entities around the world are considering additional legislation, regulation and enforcement actions, including with respect to MIS s compliance with regulatory standards. Moody s periodically receives and is continuing to address subpoenas and inquiries from various governmental authorities, including the U.S. Department of Justice and states attorneys general, and is responding to such investigations and inquiries.

In addition, the Company is facing litigation from market participants relating to the performance of MIS rated securities. Although Moody s in the normal course experiences such litigation, the volume and cost of defending such litigation has significantly increased following the events in the U.S. subprime residential mortgage sector and global credit markets more broadly over the last several years.

On August 25, 2008, Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank filed a purported class action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York asserting numerous common-law causes of action against two subsidiaries of the Company, another rating agency, and Morgan Stanley & Co. The action related to securities issued by a structured investment vehicle called Cheyne Finance (the Cheyne SIV) and sought, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. The central allegation against the rating agency defendants was that the credit ratings assigned to the securities issued by the Cheyne SIV were false and misleading. In early proceedings, the court dismissed all claims against the rating agency defendants except those for fraud and aiding and abetting fraud. In June 2010, the court denied plaintiff s motion for class certification, and additional plaintiffs were subsequently added to the complaint. In January 2012, the rating agency defendants moved for summary judgment with respect to the fraud and aiding and abetting fraud claims. Also in January 2012, in light of new New York state case law, the court permitted the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint that reasserted previously dismissed claims against all defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, negligence, negligent misrepresentation, and related aiding and abetting claims. In May 2012, the court, ruling on the rating agency defendants motion to dismiss, dismissed all of the reasserted claims except for the negligent misrepresentation claim, and on September 19, 2012,

34

after further proceedings, the court also dismissed the negligent misrepresentation claim. On August 17, 2012, the court ruled on the rating agencies motion for summary judgment on the plaintiffs remaining claims for fraud and aiding and abetting fraud. The court dismissed, in whole or in part, the fraud claims of four plaintiffs as against Moody s but allowed the fraud claims to proceed with respect to certain claims of one of those plaintiffs and the claims of the remaining 11 plaintiffs. The court also dismissed all claims against Moody s for aiding and abetting fraud. Three of the plaintiffs whose claims were dismissed filed motions for reconsideration, and on November 7, 2012, the court granted two of these motions, reinstating the claims of two plaintiffs that were previously dismissed. On February 1, 2013, the court dismissed the claims of one additional plaintiff on jurisdictional grounds. Trial on the remaining fraud claims against the rating agencies, and on claims against Morgan Stanley for aiding and abetting fraud and for negligent misrepresentation, was scheduled for May 2013. On April 24, 2013, pursuant to confidential settlement agreements, the 14 plaintiffs with claims that had been ordered to trial stipulated to the voluntary dismissal, with prejudice, of these claims as against all defendants, and the court so ordered that stipulation on April 26, 2013. The settlement did not cover certain claims of two plaintiffs, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Public School Employees Retirement System (PSERS) and Commerzbank AG (Commerzbank), that were previously dismissed by the Court. On May 23, 2013, these two plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the Second Circuit, seeking reversal of the dismissal of their claims and also seeking reversal of the trial court s denial of class certification. According to pleadings filed by plaintiffs in earlier proceedings, PSERS and Commerzbank AG seek, respectively, \$5.75 million and \$69.6 million in compensatory damages in connection with the two claims at issue on the appeal. In October 2014, the Second Circuit affirmed the denial of class certification and the dismissal of PSERS claim but reversed a ruling of the trial court that had excluded certain evidence relevant to Commerzbank s principal argument on appeal. The Second Circuit did not reverse the dismissal of Commerzbank s claim but instead certified a legal question concerning Commerzbank s argument to the New York Court of Appeals. The New York Court of Appeals subsequently agreed to hear the certified question, and on June 30, 2015, the Court of Appeals ruled in Moody s favor. The case has now been returned to the Second Circuit for final disposition of the appeal, and a decision is expected in the near future.

On July 9, 2009, the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) filed an action in the Superior Court of California in San Francisco (the Superior Court) asserting two common-law causes of action, negligent misrepresentation and negligent interference with prospective economic advantage. The complaint named as defendants the Company, MIS, The McGraw-Hill Companies, Fitch, Inc., and various subsidiaries of Fitch, Inc. (CalPERS subsequently released the Fitch entities from the case). The action relates to the plaintiff s purchase of securities issued by three structured investment vehicles (SIVs) known as Cheyne Finance, Sigma Finance, and Stanfield Victoria Funding. The plaintiff s complaint seeks unspecified compensatory damages arising from alleged losses in connection with investments that purportedly totaled approximately \$1.3 billion; in subsequent court filings, the plaintiff claimed to have suffered unrealized losses of approximately \$779 million. The central allegation against the defendants is that the credit ratings assigned to the securities issued by the SIVs were inaccurate and that the methodologies used by the rating agencies had no reasonable basis. In August 2009, the defendants removed the action to federal court, but the case was remanded to state court in November 2009 based on a finding that CalPERS is an arm of the State. In April 2010, in response to a motion by the defendants, the Superior Court dismissed the claim for negligent interference with prospective economic advantage but declined to dismiss the claim for negligent misrepresentation. In October 2010, the defendants filed a special motion to dismiss the remaining negligent misrepresentation claim under California s anti-SLAPP statute, which limits the maintenance of lawsuits based on speech on matters of public interest. In January 2012, the Superior Court denied the anti-SLAPP motion, ruling that, although the ratings qualify as protected speech activity under California law, the plaintiff had provided sufficient evidence in support of its claims to proceed. The defendants appealed this decision to the California Court of Appeal, which affirmed the Superior Court s rulings in May 2014, and in September 2014, the Supreme Court of California declined to review the Court of Appeal s decision. The action has been returned to the Superior Court, and discovery has begun. On March 11, 2015, pursuant to a settlement agreement in which S&P agreed to pay CalPERS \$125 million, S&P was dismissed from the action.

In November 2008, Pursuit Partners, LLC and Pursuit Investment Management, LLC filed an amended complaint adding the Company as a defendant to an existing action, which was then pending in the Superior Court of Connecticut in Stamford against UBS AG, UBS Securities LLC, and a UBS employee (collectively, UBS). The Company was served in January 2009, and in October 2011, the Company's rating agency subsidiary, MIS, was substituted for the Company as a defendant. The action arose out of UBS's sale of five collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) to the plaintiffs, who purchased them on the secondary market in 2007 on behalf of two investment funds under their management. With respect to UBS, the plaintiffs alleged, among other things, that UBS made material misrepresentations and omissions in pre-sale communications with the plaintiffs. With respect to MIS, the plaintiffs alleged, among other things, that, prior to the plaintiffs purchases, MIS provided UBS with non-public information about potential downgrades of the ratings of the CDOs while maintaining inappropriate investment-grade ratings on the CDOs. As to all defendants, plaintiffs sought compensatory damages for alleged investment losses of approximately \$44 million, as well as, among other things,

attorney s fees, costs, interest, and punitive damages. Plaintiffs initial complaint against the Company asserted claims for fraud, violation of the Connecticut Uniform Securities Act (CUSA), aiding and abetting fraud and civil theft by UBS, negligent/reckless conduct, unjust enrichment, and civil conspiracy. In March 2012, the court granted MIS s motion to strike the claim for unjust enrichment but denied MIS s motion to strike the other claims. In June 2012, after the close of discovery, MIS moved to dismiss all claims against it for lack of standing and also moved for summary judgment. In October 2012, the court granted the motion to dismiss, but in July 2014, the court granted the plaintiffs motion for reconsideration and reinstated the action. In October 2014, MIS filed a new motion to dismiss on jurisdictional grounds, which was denied on February 11, 2015. MIS s motion for summary judgment, originally filed in June 2012, was denied on June 17, 2015. Jury selection for trial began on August 18, 2015, in the Superior Court of Connecticut in Waterbury. On August 21, 2015, prior to the conclusion of jury selection, plaintiffs withdrew all claims against Moody s, with prejudice, pursuant to a confidential settlement agreement.

For claims, litigation and proceedings and governmental investigations and inquires not related to income taxes, where it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company records liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and periodically adjusts these as appropriate. When the reasonable estimate of the loss is within a range of amounts, the minimum amount of the range is accrued unless some higher amount within the range is a better estimate than another amount within the range. In other instances, because of uncertainties related to the probable outcome and/or the amount or range of loss, management does not record a liability but discloses the contingency if significant. As additional information becomes available, the Company adjusts its assessments and estimates of such matters accordingly. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of litigation, regulatory, governmental investigations and inquiries, enforcement and similar matters and contingencies, particularly where the claimants seek large or indeterminate damages or where the parties assert novel legal theories or the matters involve a large number of parties, the Company cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be or the timing of any resolution of such matters. The Company also cannot predict the impact (if any) that any such matters may have on how its business is conducted, on its competitive position or on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. As the process to resolve any pending matters progresses, management will continue to review the latest information available and assess its ability to predict the outcome of such matters and the effects, if any, on its operations and financial condition. However, in light of the large or indeterminate damages sought in some of them, the absence of similar court rulings on the theories of law asserted and uncertainties regarding apportionment of any potential dam

NOTE 15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company is organized into three operating segments: (i) MIS, (ii) MA and (iii) Copal Amba. The Copal Amba operating segment has been aggregated with the MA operating segment based on the fact that it has similar economic characteristics to MA. Accordingly, the Company reports in two reportable segments: MIS and MA.

In January 2014, the Company revised its operating segments to create the new Copal Amba operating segment. The new operating segment consists of all operations from Copal and the operations of Amba, which was acquired in December 2013. The Copal Amba operating segment provides offshore research and analytic services to the global financial and corporate sectors. The Company has determined that the Copal Amba and MA operating segments have similar economic characteristics as set forth in ASC 280. As such, Copal Amba has been combined with MA to form the MA reportable segment and Copal Amba is revenue is reported in the PS LOB.

In the fourth quarter of 2014, pursuant to the acquisition of ICRA, Moody s realigned certain components of its reportable segments to better align with the current management structure of the Company. The effect of this realignment was to combine non-ratings ICRA operations with certain immaterial research and fixed income pricing operations in the Asia-Pacific region that were previously reported in the RD&A LOB of MA. All of these operations are managed by MIS and their revenue is now reported in the new MIS Other LOB. All operating expenses from these operations are reported in the MIS reportable segment. The impact of this realignment did not have a significant impact on previously reported results for the reportable segments and all prior year comparative periods have been restated to reflect this realignment.

The MIS segment now consists of five LOBs. The corporate finance, structured finance, financial institutions and public, project and infrastructure finance LOBs generate revenue principally from fees for the assignment and ongoing monitoring of credit ratings on debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide. The MIS Other LOB primarily consists of the distribution of research and fixed income pricing services in the Asia-Pacific region as well as ICRA non-ratings revenue.

36

The MA segment develops a wide range of products and services that support the risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. The MA segment consists of three LOBs RD&A, ERS and PS.

In July 2014, a subsidiary of the Company acquired WebEquity, a leading provider of cloud-based loan origination solutions for financial institutions. WebEquity is part of the MA reportable segment and its revenue is included in the ERS LOB. In October 2014, the Company acquired Lewtan, a leading provider of analytical tools and data for the global structured finance market. Lewtan is part of the MA reportable segment and its revenue is included in the RD&A LOB.

Revenue for MIS and expenses for MA include an intersegment royalty charged to MA for the rights to use and distribute content, data and products developed by MIS. The royalty rate charged by MIS approximates the fair value of the aforementioned content, data and products and is generally based on comparable market transactions. Also, revenue for MA and expenses for MIS include an intersegment fee charged to MIS from MA for certain MA products and services utilized in MIS s ratings process. These fees charged by MA are generally equal to the costs incurred by MA to produce these products and services. Additionally, overhead costs and corporate expenses of the Company that exclusively benefit only one segment are fully charged to that segment. Overhead costs and corporate expenses of the Company that benefit both segments are allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. Overhead expenses include costs such as rent and occupancy, information technology and support staff such as finance, human resources and information technology. Eliminations in the table below represent intersegment revenue/expense. Moody s does not report the Company s assets by reportable segment, as this metric is not used by the chief operating decision maker to allocate resources to the segments. Consequently, it is not practical to show assets by reportable segment.

Financial Information by Segment

The table below shows revenue, Adjusted Operating Income and operating income by reportable segment. Adjusted Operating Income is a financial metric utilized by the Company schief operating decision maker to assess the profitability of each reportable segment.

						Thr	ee N	Months End	led	Septembe	r 30	,				
					2015							2	2014			
		MIS		MA	Elim	inations	Coı	nsolidated		MIS]	MA	Elim	inations	Cor	isolidated
Revenue	\$	571.6	\$:	290.1	\$	(26.8)	\$	834.9	\$	568.8	\$ 2	273.0	\$	(25.7)	\$	816.1
Operating, SG&A		268.1		215.6		(26.8)		456.9		263.4	2	205.5		(25.7)		443.2
Adjusted Operating Income		303.5		74.5				378.0		305.4		67.5				372.9
Less:																
Depreciation and amortization		16.9		11.4				28.3		11.6		11.6				23.2
Operating income	\$	286.6	\$	63.1	\$		\$	349.7	\$	293.8	\$	55.9	\$		\$	349.7
						Nin	e M	Ionths End	ed S	September	30.					
					2015					F	,		2014			
		MIS		MA		inations	Coı	nsolidated		MIS]	MA		inations	Cor	solidated
Revenue	\$ 1	1,859.1	\$	838.7	\$	(79.2)	\$	2,618.6	\$	1,766.3	\$ '	766.2	\$	(75.7)	\$	2,456.8
Operating, SG&A		836.4		636.3		(79.2)		1,393.5		783.7		585.8		(75.7)		1,293.8
Adjusted Operating Income	1	1,022.7		202.4				1,225.1		982.6		180.4				1,163.0
Less:																
Depreciation and amortization		48.7		36.1				84.8		34.4		34.2				68.6
Operating income	\$	974.0	\$	166.3	\$		\$	1,140.3	\$	948.2	\$	146.2	\$		\$	1,094.4

MIS and MA Revenue by Line of Business

The table below presents revenue by LOB within each reportable segment:

	Months End 2015	_	tember 30, 2014	Nine	Months End 2015	ded Sep	tember 30, 2014
MIS:							
Corporate finance (CFG)	\$ 248.3	\$	260.7	\$	866.6	\$	846.0
Structured finance (SFG)	112.5		102.1		335.0		308.0
Financial institutions (FIG)	89.5		91.8		273.7		269.4
Public, project and infrastructure finance (PPIF)	90.6		88.5		291.2		267.2
Total ratings revenue	540.9		543.1		1,766.5		1,690.6
MIS Other	7.2		3.5		23.1		10.1
Total external revenue	548.1		546.6		1,789.6		1,700.7
Intersegment royalty	23.5		22.2		69.5		65.6
Total MA:	571.6		568.8		1,859.1		1,766.3
Research, data and analytics (RD&A)	157.9		143.3		465.0		422.3
Enterprise risk solutions (ERS)	92.2		81.1		252.5		208.1
Professional services (PS)	36.7		45.1		111.5		125.7
Total external revenue	286.8		269.5		829.0		756.1
Intersegment revenue	3.3		3.5		9.7		10.1
Total	290.1		273.0		838.7		766.2
Eliminations	(26.8)		(25.7)		(79.2)		(75.7)
Total MCO	\$ 834.9	\$	816.1	\$	2,618.6	\$	2,456.8

Consolidated Revenue Information by Geographic Area:

	Months En 2015	•	tember 30, 2014	Nine	Months En 2015	ded Sep	otember 30, 2014
United States	\$ 482.1	\$	449.1	\$	1,527.8	\$	1,335.8
International:							
EMEA	215.4		231.4		660.4		715.3
Asia-Pacific	85.5		79.3		270.8		237.8
Americas	51.9		56.3		159.6		167.9
Total International	352.8		367.0		1,090.8		1,121.0
Total	\$ 834.9	\$	816.1	\$	2,618.6	\$	2,456.8

NOTE 16. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers . This ASU outlines a comprehensive new revenue recognition model that requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The Company is currently evaluating its adoption options and the impact that adoption of this update will have on its consolidated financial statements. Currently, the Company believes this ASU will have an impact on: i) the capitalization of certain contract implementation costs for its ERS business; ii) the accounting for certain license and maintenance revenue in MA; iii) the accounting for certain ERS revenue arrangements where VSOE is not available and iv) the accounting for contract acquisition costs. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), Deferral of the Effective Date which defers the effective date of the ASU for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted up to the original effective date of December 15, 2016.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-05 Customer s Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement . This ASU provides guidance on accounting for fees paid by a customer in a cloud computing arrangement. In accordance with the ASU a cloud computing arrangement that contains a software license should be accounted for consistently with the acquisition of other software licenses. If no software license is present in the contract, the entity should account for the

38

Table of Contents

arrangement as a service contract. The Company can elect to apply this ASU either retrospectively or prospectively effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that adoption of this update will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs . This ASU simplifies the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements and requires a company to present such costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization costs will continue to be reported as interest expense. The ASU is effective retrospectively for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this ASU will impact the presentation of debt issuance costs in the Company s consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, in August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-15 Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements . This ASU codifies that given the lack of authoritative guidance in ASU 2015-03 regarding line-of-credit arrangements, the SEC staff would not object to a Company deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequently amortizing the deferred debt costs ratably over the term of the arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement.

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-07 Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent) . This ASU removes the requirement to include investments in the fair value hierarchy for which fair value is measured using the net asset value per share as a practical expedient. ASU No. 2015-07 is effective retrospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this ASU will only impact the presentation of the Company s pension assets invested in common/collective trust funds in the fair value hierarchy disclosures.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16 Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments . This ASU requires that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. The amendments in this ASU require that the acquirer record, in the same period s financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. ASU No. 2015-16 is applied prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not believe the adoption of this ASU will have a material impact on its financial statements.

NOTE 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On October 20, 2015, the Board approved the declaration of a quarterly dividend of \$0.34 per share of Moody s common stock, payable on December 10, 2015 to shareholders of record at the close of business on November 20, 2015.

39

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Moody s Corporation condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains Forward-Looking Statements. See Forward-Looking Statements commencing on page 70 for a discussion of uncertainties, risks and other factors associated with these statements.

The Company

Moody s is a provider of (i) credit ratings, (ii) credit and economic related research, data and analytical tools, (iii) software solutions and related risk management services, (iv) quantitative credit risk measures, financial services training and certification services and (v) outsourced research and analytical services. Moody s has two reportable segments: MIS and MA.

MIS, the credit rating agency, publishes credit ratings on a wide range of debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide. Revenue is primarily derived from the originators and issuers of such transactions who use MIS ratings in the distribution of their debt issues to investors. Additionally, MIS earns revenue from certain non-ratings-related operations, which consist primarily of the distribution of research and fixed income pricing services in the Asia-Pacific region, and from ICRA non-ratings services. The revenue from these operations is included in the MIS Other LOB and is not material to the results of the MIS segment.

The MA segment develops a wide range of products and services that primarily support financial analysis and risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. Within its RD&A business, MA distributes research and data developed by MIS as part of its ratings process, including in-depth research on major debt issuers, industry studies and commentary on topical credit-related events. The RD&A business also produces economic research as well as data and analytical tools such as quantitative credit risk scores. Within its ERS business, MA provides software solutions as well as related risk management services. The PS business provides outsourced research and analytical services and financial training and certification programs.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Moody s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based on the Company s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires Moody s to make estimates and judgments that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. These estimates are based on historical experience and on other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. On an ongoing basis, Moody s evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, accounts receivable allowances, contingencies, restructuring, goodwill and acquired intangible assets, pension and other retirement benefits, stock-based compensation, and income taxes. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Item 7, MD&A, in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, includes descriptions of some of the judgments that Moody s makes in applying its accounting estimates in these areas. Since the date of the annual report on Form 10-K, there have been no material changes to the Company s critical accounting estimates, except for updates to estimates utilized in the Company s annual goodwill impairment assessment, which is performed as of July 31 of each year.

Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets

On July 31 of each year, Moody s evaluates its goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level, defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment.

40

The Company has six primary reporting units: two within the Company s ratings business (one for the ICRA business and one that encompasses all of Moody s other ratings operations) and four reporting units within MA: RD&A, ERS, FSTC and Copal Amba. The RD&A reporting unit encompasses the distribution of investor-oriented research and data developed by MIS as part of its ratings process, in-depth research on major debt issuers, industry studies, economic research and commentary on topical events and credit analytic tools. The ERS reporting unit provides products and services that support the credit risk management and regulatory compliance activities of financial institutions, primarily delivered via software that is licensed or sold on a subscription basis. The FSTC reporting unit consists of the portion of the MA business that offers both credit training as well as other professional development training and implementation services. The Copal Amba reporting unit provides outsourced research and analytical services.

The Company evaluates the recoverability of goodwill using a three-step impairment test approach at the reporting unit level. In the first step, the Company assesses various qualitative factors to determine whether the fair value of a reporting unit may be less than its carrying amount. If a determination is made based on the qualitative factors that an impairment does not exist, the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the aforementioned qualitative assessment results in the Company concluding that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit may be less than its carrying amount, the fair value of the reporting unit will be determined and compared to its carrying value including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired and the Company is not required to perform further testing. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value, the Company must perform a third step of the impairment test to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit and the net fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. If the implied fair value of the goodwill is less than its carrying value, the difference is recognized as an impairment charge. For the reporting units where the Company is consistently able to conclude that no impairment exists using only a qualitative approach, the Company s accounting policy is to perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test on all reporting units, which resulted in no impairment of goodwill.

At July 31, 2015 the Company performed quantitative assessments of the RD&A, ERS, FSTC, Copal Amba and ICRA reporting units and a qualitative assessment for the remaining reporting unit. The quantitative assessments did not result in the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeding its fair value. The qualitative analyses resulted in the Company determining that it was not more likely than not that the fair value of this reporting unit was less than its carrying amount. The most significant factors in the qualitative assessment were an assessment of actual to projected results and a comparison of projected results in the prior quantitative analysis compared to the reporting unit s current year projection. Additionally, the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) is assessed as well as the impact of various macroeconomic conditions and other factors that could impact future cash flows.

The Company quantitatively tested the RD&A, ERS, FSTC, Copal Amba and ICRA reporting units as of July 31, 2015 due to the factors outlined below:

 ${\bf RD\&A}$ this reporting unit was quantitatively tested to set a new baseline valuation that includes the results of Lewtan, which was acquired in the fourth quarter of 2014.

ERS this reporting unit was quantitatively tested to set a new baseline valuation to include the results of WebEquity, which was acquired in July 2014 and to reflect significant investments made in the business.

FSTC this reporting unit was quantitatively tested due to the small amount of excess of fair value of the reporting unit over its net assets in prior years.

<u>Copal Amba</u> this reporting unit was quantitatively tested due to sales growth trending lower than projected at July 31, 2014 and new management in place since January 2015.

ICRA this reporting unit was tested quantitatively due to it having a readily available fair value based on its stock price.

41

Determining the fair value of a reporting unit or an indefinite-lived acquired intangible asset involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions include revenue growth rates and operating margins used to calculate projected future cash flows, risk-adjusted discount rates, future economic and market conditions, and appropriate comparable market metrics. However, as these estimates and assumptions are unpredictable and inherently uncertain, actual future results may differ from these estimates. In addition, the Company also makes certain judgments and assumptions in allocating shared assets and liabilities to determine the carrying values for each of its reporting units.

Other assets and liabilities, including applicable corporate assets, are allocated to the extent they are related to the operation of respective reporting units.

Sensitivity Analyses and Key Assumptions for Deriving the Fair Value of a Reporting Unit

The following table identifies the amount of goodwill allocated to each reporting unit as of September 30, 2015 as well as the amount by which the net assets of each reporting unit would exceed the fair value under Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test as prescribed in ASC Topic 350, assuming hypothetical reductions in their fair values as of the date of the last quantitative goodwill impairment assessment for all reporting units. For the RD&A, ERS, FSTC, Copal Amba and ICRA reporting units, the fair value was calculated as of July 31, 2015. For the MIS reporting unit, the fair value was calculated as of July 31, 2013, as there have been no qualitative factors that have resulted in the Company deeming it necessary to perform a quantitative assessment subsequent to this date.

		Deficit	Sensitivity Analysis Deficit Caused by a Hypothetical Reduction to F Value					
	Goodwill	10%	20%	30%	40%			
MIS	\$ 48.5	\$	\$	\$	\$			
RD&A	178.3							
ERS	275.9	1						
FSTC	83.6)			(17.4)			
Copal Amba	159.2				(9.1)			
ICRA	244.5							
Totals	\$ 990.0	\$	\$	\$	\$ (26.5)			

Methodologies and significant estimates utilized in determining of the fair value of reporting units:

The following is a discussion regarding the Company s methodology for determining the fair value of its reporting units, excluding ICRA, as of the date of each reporting unit s last quantitative test (July 31, 2015 for RD&A, ERS, FSTC and Copal Amba; July 31, 2013 for the MIS reporting unit. As ICRA is a publicly traded company in India, the Company was able to observe its fair value based on its market capitalization.

The fair value of each reporting unit, excluding ICRA, was estimated using a discounted cash flow methodology and comparable public company and precedent transaction multiples. The DCF analysis requires significant estimates, including projections of future operating results and cash flows of each reporting unit that is based on internal budgets and strategic plans, expected long-term growth rates, terminal values, weighted average cost of capital and the effects of external factors and market conditions. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the estimated fair value of each reporting unit which could result in an impairment charge to reduce the carrying value of goodwill, which could be material to the Company s financial position and results of operations. Moody s allocates newly acquired goodwill to reporting units based on the reporting unit expected to benefit from the acquisition. The Company evaluates its reporting units on an annual basis, or more frequently if there are changes in the reporting structure of the Company due to acquisitions or realignments.

The sensitivity analyses on the future cash flows and WACC assumptions described below are as of the date of last quantitative assessment for each reporting unit. The following discusses the key assumptions utilized in the discounted cash flow valuation methodology that requires significant management judgment:

Future cash flow assumptions The projections for future cash flows utilized in the models are derived from historical experience and assumptions regarding future growth and profitability of each reporting unit. These projections are consistent with the Company s operating and strategic plan. Cash flows for the five years subsequent to the date of the quantitative goodwill impairment analysis were utilized in the determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. The growth rates assumed a gradual increase in revenue from financial service customers based on a continued improvement in the global economy and capital markets, new customer acquisition and new products. Beyond five years a terminal value was determined using a perpetuity growth rate based on inflation and real GDP growth rates. A sensitivity analysis of the growth rates was performed on all reporting units. For all reporting units, a 10% decrease in the growth rates used would not have resulted in the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeding its respective estimated fair value.

WACC is the rate used to discount each reporting unit s estimated future cash flows. The WACC is calculated based on the proportionate weighting of the cost of debt and equity. The cost of equity is based on a risk-free interest rate, an equity risk factor which is derived from public companies similar to the reporting unit and which captures the perceived risks and uncertainties associated with the reporting unit s cash flows. The cost of debt component is calculated as the weighted average cost associated with all of the Company s outstanding borrowings as of the date of the impairment test and was immaterial to the computation of the WACC. The cost of debt and equity is weighted based on the debt to market capitalization ratio of publicly traded companies with similarities to the reporting unit being tested. The WACC for all reporting units ranged from 9% to 12% as of the date of the last quantitative assessment for each reporting unit. Differences in the WACC used between reporting units is primarily due to distinct risks and uncertainties regarding the cash flows of the different reporting units. A sensitivity analysis of the WACC was performed on all reporting units as of the date of the last quantitative goodwill assessment for each reporting unit. For all reporting units, an increase in the WACC of one percentage point would not result in the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeding its fair value.

Amortizable intangible assets are reviewed for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. There were no such events or changes during the first nine months of 2015 that would indicate that the carrying amount of amortizable intangible assets in any of the Company s reporting units may not be recoverable. This determination was made based on continued growth, consistent with operating and strategic plans for the reporting unit where the intangible asset resides. Additionally, there were no events or circumstances during the first nine months of 2015 that would indicate the need for an adjustment of the remaining useful lives of these amortizable intangible assets.

Reportable Segments

The Company is organized into two reportable segments at September 30, 2015: MIS and MA.

The MIS segment is comprised primarily of all of the Company s ratings operations. The MIS segment consists of five LOBs CFG, SFG, FIG, PPIF and MIS Other. The ratings LOBs generate revenue principally from fees for the assignment and ongoing monitoring of credit ratings on debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide. The MIS Other LOB consists of certain non-ratings operations managed by MIS which consists of non-rating revenue from ICRA as well as certain research and fixed income pricing service operations in the Asia-Pacific region.

The MA segment develops a wide range of products and services that support financial analysis and risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. The MA segment consists of three lines of business RD&A, ERS and PS.

In June 2014, a subsidiary of the Company acquired a controlling stake in ICRA, a leading provider of credit ratings and research in India. ICRA is part of the MIS reportable segment and its ratings revenue is included in the respective ratings LOBs of MIS while its non-ratings revenue is included in the MIS Other LOB. ICRAs results are reported on a three-month lag. In July 2014, a subsidiary of the Company acquired WebEquity, a leading provider of cloud-based loan origination solutions for financial institutions. WebEquity is part of the MA reporting segment and its revenue is included in the ERS LOB. In October 2014, the Company acquired Lewtan, a leading provider of analytical tools and data for the global structured finance market. Lewtan is part of the MA reportable segment and its revenue is included in the RD&A LOB.

43

Pursuant to the ICRA acquisition, the Company realigned certain components of its reportable segments in the fourth quarter of 2014. This realignment resulted in the creation of the MIS Other LOB, which now consists of non-ratings revenue from ICRA as well as certain research and fixed income pricing revenue in the Asia-Pacific region which was previously reported in the RD&A LOB of MA. These businesses are all managed by MIS and the expenses from these operations will be included in the MIS reportable segment. All prior period results for both MIS and MA have been restated to reflect this realignment and the impact of the realignment was not significant to MIS s or MA s previously reported results.

The following is a discussion of the results of operations of the Company and its reportable segments. Total MIS revenue and total MA expenses include the intersegment royalty revenue for MIS and expense charged to MA for the rights to use and distribute content, data and products developed by MIS. The royalty rate charged by MIS approximates the fair value of the aforementioned content, data and products developed by MIS. Total MA revenue and total MIS expenses include intersegment fees charged to MIS from MA for the use of certain MA products and services in MIS s ratings process. These fees charged by MA are generally equal to the costs incurred by MA to provide these products and services. Overhead charges and corporate expenses that exclusively benefit one segment are fully charged to that segment. Additionally, overhead costs and corporate expenses of the Company that benefit both segments are generally allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. Overhead expenses include costs such as rent and occupancy, information technology and support staff such as finance, human resources and information technology.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three months ended September 30, 2015 compared with three months ended September 30, 2014

Executive Summary

Moody s revenue in the third quarter of 2015 totaled \$834.9 million, an increase of \$18.8 million, or 2%, compared to 2014. Excluding the unfavorable impact of changes in FX translation rates, primarily due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar to the euro and British pound, revenue increased 7% over the prior year reflecting growth in both reportable segments.

External MIS revenue was flat compared to the prior year. Excluding the impact of unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, MIS revenue grew 5% and reflected growth in rated issuance volumes for U.S. investment-grade corporate debt coupled with benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases. Additionally, the increase reflected higher SFG revenue, most notably in U.S. CREF, EMEA RMBS and structured credit in the U.S. and EMEA. Additionally, revenue from the ICRA Acquisition contributed to the growth over 2014. These increases were partially offset by declines in high-yield corporate debt and bank loans in the U.S. and EMEA. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$25 million unfavorable impact on MIS revenue compared to the prior year.

External MA revenue was 6% higher than the prior year. Excluding the impact of unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, MA revenue grew 11% reflecting increases in ERS and RD&A. The revenue growth in ERS was primarily due to increases across most product offerings with particular strength in the regulatory solutions and loan origination verticals. In RD&A, revenue growth was primarily driven by credit research and licensing of ratings data. The 2014 acquisition of Lewtan also contributed to the growth in RD&A. These results were partially offset by an approximate \$12 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX translation rates and declines in professional services revenue.

Total expenses increased \$18.8 million, or 4%, compared to the third quarter of 2014. The growth in operating expenses primarily reflected:

higher compensation costs of \$3.6 million associated with headcount growth and annual compensation increases being partially offset by lower incentive compensation;

higher non-compensation expenses of \$10.1 million primarily reflecting an increase in professional service costs for various IT and other operational enhancement projects as well as higher variable costs associated with business growth;

higher D&A of \$5.1 million reflecting amortization of intangible assets from acquisitions as well as higher depreciation reflecting an increase in capital expenditures to support IT infrastructure and business growth.

The increase in both compensation and non-compensation expense reflects operating costs from the ICRA Acquisition as well as the acquisition of Lewtan.

Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$20 million favorable impact on total expenses compared to the prior year. Excluding the favorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates, total expenses increased 8% compared to the prior year.

44

Operating income of \$349.7 million in the third quarter of 2015 was flat compared to 2014 and resulted in an operating margin of 41.9%, compared to 42.9% in the prior year. Adjusted Operating Income of \$378.0 million in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$5.1 million compared to 2014, resulting in an Adjusted Operating Margin of 45.3% compared to 45.7% in the prior year period. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates negatively impacted both operating income and adjusted operating income by approximately \$17 million. The decrease in margins is primarily due to continued investment in the Company s operations as well as the acquisition of companies that operate at margins below Moody s historical margins.

The ETR in the third quarter of 2015 was 150 BPS lower than the prior year primarily due to lower state income taxes.

The decrease in non-operating income (expense) compared to the prior year is primarily due to approximately \$11 million in net costs (net of a gain on the termination of an interest rate swap) related to the early repayment of the Series 2005-1 Notes in the third quarter of 2014. This decrease was partially offset by interest on the 2015 Senior Notes that were issued in March 2015. Both periods included a \$6.4 million Legacy Tax benefit.

Diluted EPS of \$1.14 in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$0.14 over 2014. Excluding a \$0.03 benefit relating to Legacy Tax Matters in both 2015 and 2014, Non-GAAP Diluted EPS in the third quarter of 2015 was \$0.14 higher than 2014 Non-GAAP Diluted EPS of \$0.97 primarily due to lower non-operating expenses, a lower ETR and fewer diluted shares outstanding resulting from the Company s share repurchase program.

Moody s Corporation

	Three months endo	ed September 30, 2014	% Change Favorable (Unfavorable)
Revenue:			
United States	\$ 482.1	\$ 449.1	7%
International:			
EMEA	215.4	231.4	(7%)
Asia-Pacific	85.5	79.3	8%
Americas	51.9	56.3	(8%)
Total International	352.8	367.0	(4%)
Total	834.9	816.1	2%
1000	00 115	010.1	270
Expenses:			
Operating	236.1	236.7	
SG&A	220.8	206.5	(7%)
Depreciation and amortization	28.3	23.2	(22%)
Depreciation and amortization	20.3	23.2	(22%)
m . 1	40.5.0	166.1	(401)
Total	485.2	466.4	(4%)
Operating income	\$ 349.7	\$ 349.7	
Adjusted Operating Income (1)	\$ 378.0	\$ 372.9	1%
	Ψ 2.00	Ψ 0.1 2 .0	170
Interest income (expense), net	\$ (25.8)	\$ (37.7)	32%
` * //	\$ (25.8) \$ 19.7	\$ (37.7)	20%
Other non-operating income (expense), net			
Net income attributable to Moody s	\$ 231.6	\$ 215.2	8%

Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Diluted EPS attributable to Moody s common shareholders	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.00	14%
Non-GAAP EPS attributable to Moody s common			
shareholders	\$ 1.11	\$ 0.97	14%
Operating margin	41.9%	42.9%	
Adjusted Operating Margin ⁽¹⁾	45.3%	45.7%	

Adjusted Operating Income, Adjusted Operating Margin and Non-GAAP EPS attributable to Moody s common shareholders are non-GAAP financial measures. Refer to the section entitled Non-GAAP Financial Measures of this Management Discussion and Analysis for further information regarding these measures.

The table below shows Moody s global staffing by geographic area:

	Septen	September 30,		
	2015	2014		
United States	3,302	3,033	9%	
International	6,946	6,704	4%	
Total	10,248	9,737	5%	

Global revenue of \$834.9 million in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$18.8 million, or 2%, compared to 2014. Excluding the unfavorable impact of changes in FX translation rates, global revenue grew 7% over the prior year and reflected growth in both reportable segments.

The increase in MIS revenue on a constant currency basis reflects growth in U.S. investment-grade rated issuance volumes and higher infrastructure finance revenue in EMEA and Asia-Pacific. Also contributing to the growth in MIS were increases in CREF revenue in the U.S. and growth across most SFG asset classes in EMEA. Additionally, revenue from the ICRA Acquisition and benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases contributed to the growth over 2014. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans in the U.S. and EMEA as well as an approximate \$25 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX translation rates.

The growth in MA reflects increases in ERS and RD&A. Revenue growth in ERS was primarily due to increases across most product offerings with particular strength in the regulatory solutions and loan origination verticals. In RD&A, revenue growth was driven primarily by credit research and licensing of ratings data as well as the acquisition of Lewtan, which was acquired in the fourth quarter of 2014. These results were partially offset by the impact of unfavorable changes in FX translation rates of approximately \$12 million and declines in professional services revenue.

Transaction revenue accounted for 46% of global MCO revenue in the third quarter of 2015 compared to 49% in the prior year.

U.S. revenue of \$482.1 million in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$33.0 million over the prior year, reflecting growth across all LOBs in both reportable segments.

Non-U.S. revenue of \$352.8 million decreased \$14.2 million compared to the third quarter of 2014. This decrease is due to declines in MIS, which reflects approximately \$25 million relating to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, being partially offset by growth in MA.

Operating expenses were \$236.1 million in the third quarter of 2015, or flat compared to 2014 and included an increase in compensation costs reflecting higher expenses resulting from the impact of annual compensation increases and higher headcount due to business growth and from the ICRA and Lewtan acquisitions. These increases were offset by a decrease in incentive compensation reflecting lower projected achievement against full-year projected results compared to the prior year. The changes above include a favorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates compared to the prior year.

SG&A expenses of \$220.8 million in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$14.3 million from the prior year period reflecting higher compensation and non-compensation expenses of approximately \$4 million and \$10 million, respectively. The growth in compensation costs was primarily due to higher expenses resulting from annual compensation increases, headcount growth in MIS and MA as well as in overhead support areas coupled with higher headcount from acquisitions. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in incentive compensation reflecting lower projected achievement against full-year projected results compared to the prior year. The increase in non-compensation expenses reflects higher costs to support various IT and operational enhancement projects as

Table of Contents

well as higher rent and occupancy costs reflecting various real estate expansion projects worldwide. Additionally, the increase reflects expenses from the ICRA and Lewtan acquisitions. The increases above include a favorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates compared to the prior year.

D&A increased \$5.1 million reflecting amortization of intangible assets from acquisitions as well as higher depreciation reflecting an increase in capital expenditures to support IT infrastructure and business growth.

Operating income of \$349.7 million was flat compared to the third quarter of 2014. Adjusted Operating Income was \$378.0 million in the third quarter of 2015 and increased \$5.1 million compared to 2014. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates negatively impacted both operating income and Adjusted Operating Income by approximately \$17 million. Operating margin of 41.9% decreased 100 BPS compared to the third quarter of 2014. Adjusted Operating Margin in the third quarter of 2015 of 45.3% decreased 40 BPS compared to the prior year. The decrease in margins is primarily due to the Company s continued investment in operations as well as the acquisition of companies that operate at margins below Moody s historical margins.

Interest income (expense), net in the third quarter of 2015 was (\$25.8) million, an \$11.9 million decrease in expense compared to 2014. The decrease primarily reflects approximately \$11 million in net costs (net of a gain on the termination of an interest rate swap) related to the early repayment of the Series 2005-1 Notes in the third quarter of 2014 partially offset by interest on the 2015 Senior Notes which were issued in March 2015.

Other non-operating income (expense), net was \$19.7 million in the third quarter of 2015, a \$3.3 million increase in income compared to 2014. This increase in income reflected an approximate \$2 million increase in FX gains compared to the prior year. The FX gains in the third quarter of 2015 were primarily due to the strengthening of the euro to the British pound over the previous three months. Both periods had a \$6.4 million benefit from the resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter.

The Company s ETR was 32.0% in the third quarter of 2015, compared with 33.5% in 2014 with the decrease primarily due to lower state income tax.

Net Income in the third quarter of 2015 was \$231.6 million, or \$1.14 per diluted share. This is an increase of \$16.4 million, or \$0.14 per diluted share, compared to 2014. Excluding benefits from Legacy Tax Matters in both 2015 and 2014, Non-GAAP Diluted EPS of \$1.11 in the third quarter of 2015 was \$0.14 higher than Non-GAAP Diluted EPS of \$0.97 in the same period of the prior year primarily due to lower non-operating expenses, a lower ETR and fewer diluted shares outstanding resulting from the Company s share repurchase program.

47

Segment Results

Moody s Investors Service

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

	Three months ended September 30,		% Change Favorable
D	2015	2014	(Unfavorable)
Revenue:	A 40.2	A 260 T	(56)
Corporate finance (CFG)	\$ 248.3	\$ 260.7	(5%)
Structured finance (SFG)	112.5	102.1	10%
Financial institutions (FIG)	89.5	91.8	(3%)
Public, project and infrastructure finance (PPIF)	90.6	88.5	2%
Total ratings revenue	540.9	543.1	
MIS Other	7.2	3.5	106%
Total external revenue	548.1	546.6	
Intersegment royalty	23.5	22.2	6%
Total	571.6	568.8	
Expenses:			
Operating and SG&A (external)	264.8	259.9	(2%)
Operating and SG&A (intersegment)	3.3	3.5	6%
Adjusted Operating Income	303.5	305.4	(1%)
Depreciation and amortization	16.9	11.6	(46%)
Operating income	\$ 286.6	\$ 293.8	(2%)
Adjusted Operating Margin	53.1%	53.7%	
Operating margin	50.1%	51.7%	

The following is a discussion of external MIS revenue and operating expenses:

Global MIS revenue of \$548.1 million in the third quarter of 2015 was flat compared to 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, MIS revenue grew 5% over the prior year. The growth reflects benefits from the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, primarily in the U.S. as well as higher rated issuance volumes for U.S. investment-grade corporate debt. Additionally, the growth reflects higher SFG revenue in the U.S. and EMEA and revenue from the ICRA acquisition. These increases were partially offset by approximately \$25 million relating to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates coupled with declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans in the U.S. and EMEA. Transaction revenue for MIS was 58% in the third quarter of 2015 60% in the third quarter of 2014.

In the U.S., revenue was \$352.5 million in the third quarter of 2015, an increase of \$23.4 million compared to 2014 and reflected changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases coupled with growth in rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate debt. Additionally, the increase reflects higher CMBS and banking-related revenue. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans.

Non-U.S. revenue was \$195.6 million in the third quarter of 2015, a decrease of \$21.9 million compared to 2014 with the decline reflecting approximately \$24 million relating to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates. Also, the decrease reflects lower rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans in EMEA compared to a strong prior year comparative period coupled with current macroeconomic and geopolitical uncertainties in the region. Partially offsetting these declines were revenue from ICRA, benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases as well as growth across most SFG asset classes in EMEA.

Global CFG revenue of \$248.3 million in the third quarter of 2015 was down 5% compared to 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, revenue was flat compared to the prior year reflecting robust U.S. investment-grade rated issuance volumes in the third quarter of 2015 due to elevated M&A activity in the sector as well as benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases. Additionally, revenue from the ICRA Acquisition is included in MIS revenue in the third quarter of 2015. These increases were offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans in the U.S. and EMEA reflecting macroeconomic uncertainties in the EMEA region coupled with financial market volatility, weaker commodity prices and an increase in credit spreads for high-yield instruments. Transaction revenue represented 65% and 69% of total CFG revenue in the third quarter of 2015 and 2014, respectively. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$9 million unfavorable impact on revenue compared to the prior year. In the U.S., revenue was \$172.9 million, or \$9.0 million higher than the prior year. Internationally, revenue of \$75.4 million decreased \$21.4 million compared to the prior year.

Global SFG revenue of \$112.5 million in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$10.4 million, or 10%, compared to 2014. Excluding the impact from unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, SFG revenue grew 15% over 2014. In the U.S., revenue of \$78.4 million increased \$8.4 million compared to the third quarter of 2014 reflecting an increase in the number of CMBS deals rated as well as larger deals rated for this asset class. The increase also reflects growth in structured credit monitoring revenue and benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases. Non-U.S. revenue in the third quarter of 2015 of \$34.1 million increased \$2.0 million reflecting growth across most asset classes in EMEA, most notably in the RMBS asset class. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$5 million unfavorable impact on revenue compared to the prior year. Transaction revenue was 62% of total SFG revenue in the third quarter of 2015 compared to 59% in the prior year.

Global FIG revenue of \$89.5 million in the third quarter of 2015 was \$2.3 million lower compared to 2014. Excluding the unfavorable impact of changes in FX translation rates, FIG revenue grew 4% compared to the prior year. In the U.S., revenue was \$40.7 million, or 8% higher than the prior year, primarily reflecting the favorable impact of changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases coupled with revenue from the ICRA Acquisition. Internationally, revenue was \$48.8 million in the third quarter of 2015, or \$5.3 million lower compared to 2014. This decrease reflected the aforementioned unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates and lower rated issuance volumes in the insurance sector in EMEA. These decreases were partially offset by revenue from the ICRA Acquisition. Transaction revenue was 35% of total FIG revenue in the third quarter of 2015 compared to 38% in the same period in 2014.

Global PPIF revenue was \$90.6 million in the third quarter of 2015 and increased \$2.1 million, or 2%, compared to 2014. Excluding the unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates, PPIF revenue grew 7% over the prior year. In the U.S., revenue in the third quarter of 2015 was \$58.4 million, an increase of \$0.9 million reflecting higher U.S. public finance refunding volumes due to current low benchmark interest rates. Additionally, the growth reflects benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and pricing increases. These increases were partially offset by decreases in project finance revenue. Outside the U.S., PPIF revenue increased \$1.2 million compared to 2014, primarily due to higher monitoring fees within infrastructure finance as well as benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and pricing increases. These increases were partially offset by an approximate \$4 million unfavorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates. Transaction revenue was 56% and 58% of total PPIF revenue in third quarter of 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Operating and SG&A expenses in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$4.9 million compared to 2014 primarily reflecting higher non-compensation costs of approximately \$6 million due to higher costs to support the Company s IT systems and operational enhancements as well as higher variable costs to support business growth. Additionally, there were higher rent and occupancy costs for various global real estate expansion projects coupled with expenses from the ICRA Acquisition. Compensation expenses were down slightly and reflected a decline in incentive compensation costs reflecting lower projected achievement against full-year targeted results compared to the prior year. These decreases were partially offset by annual compensation increases, headcount growth in the ratings LOBs and from the ICRA Acquisition as well as in support areas such as IT, finance and human resources for which the costs are allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$12 million favorable impact on MIS operating expenses in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the prior year.

Adjusted Operating Income and operating income in the third quarter of 2015, which includes intersegment royalty revenue and intersegment expenses, was \$303.5 million and \$286.6 million, respectively, and were down 1% and 2%, respectively, compared to 2014. Adjusted Operating Margin and operating margin were 53.1% and 50.1%, respectively, or 60 BPS and 160 BPS lower compared to the third quarter of 2014.

Moody s Analytics

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

Three months ended September 30,		% Change Favorable
2015	2014	(Unfavorable)
\$ 1570	1/12/2	10%
		14%
		(19%)
30.7	75.1	(1970)
286.8	269.5	6%
3.3	3.5	(6%)
290.1	273.0	6%
192.1	183.3	(5%)
23.5	22.2	(6%)
74.5	67.5	10%
11.4	11.6	2%
\$ 63.1	\$ 55.9	13%
25.7%	24.7%	
21.8%	20.5%	
	2015 \$ 157.9 92.2 36.7 286.8 3.3 290.1 192.1 23.5 74.5 11.4 \$ 63.1 25.7%	2015 2014 \$ 157.9 143.3 92.2 81.1 36.7 45.1 286.8 269.5 3.3 3.5 290.1 273.0 192.1 183.3 23.5 22.2 74.5 67.5 11.4 11.6 \$ 63.1 \$ 55.9 25.7% 24.7%

The following is a discussion of external MA revenue and operating expenses:

Global MA revenue increased \$17.3 million compared to the third quarter of 2014 reflecting growth in ERS as well as RD&A, which included revenue from the acquisition of Lewtan. These increases were partially offset by declines in PS. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, MA revenue grew 11% compared to the prior year. Recurring revenue comprised 75% and 72% of total MA revenue in the third quarter of 2015 and 2014, respectively.

In the U.S., revenue of \$129.6 million in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$9.6 million, and reflected growth in RD&A, which included revenue from the acquisition of Lewtan as well as growth in ERS. These increases were partially offset by declines in PS.

Non-U.S. revenue of \$157.2 million in the third quarter of 2015 was \$7.7 million higher than in 2014 reflecting growth in RD&A and ERS, partially offset by declines in PS and an approximate \$12 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX rates.

Global RD&A revenue of \$157.9 million, which comprised 55% and 53% of total external MA revenue in the third quarter of 2015 and 2014, respectively, increased \$14.6 million, or 10%, over the prior year period. Excluding an approximate \$7 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX translation rates, RD&A revenue grew 15% compared to the prior year. The growth in revenue on a constant currency basis, which was most notable in the U.S. and EMEA, reflected strong sales of credit research and licensing of ratings data as well as revenue from the acquisition of Lewtan in the fourth quarter of 2014. In the U.S., revenue of \$87.7 million increased 16% over the prior year. Non-U.S. revenue of \$70.2 million increased 3% and included the unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates.

Global ERS revenue in the third quarter of 2015 of \$92.2 million increased \$11.1 million, or 14%, over 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, ERS revenue grew 18%. Revenue growth was primarily due to increases across most product offerings with particular strength in the regulatory solutions and loan origination verticals. These increases were partially offset by an approximate \$4 million unfavorable

impact related to changes in FX translation rates. Revenue in ERS is subject to

50

quarterly volatility resulting from the variable nature of project timing and the concentration of software implementation and license revenue in a relatively small number of engagements. In the U.S., revenue of \$29.6 million increased 7% compared to the prior year. Non-U.S. revenue of \$62.6 million increased 17% and included the aforementioned unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates.

Global PS revenue of \$36.7 million in the third quarter of 2015 decreased \$8.4 million, or 19%, compared to the third quarter of 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, revenue was 14% lower than the prior year primarily reflecting the Company s exiting of certain Copal Amba product lines late in 2014 as well as declines in the core outsourced research and analytical services business where attrition outpaced sales growth. In the U.S., revenue of \$12.3 million decreased 28%. Non-U.S. revenue of \$24.4 million decreased 13% compared to the prior year and included an approximate \$2 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX translation rates.

Operating and SG&A expenses in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$8.8 million compared to 2014. The expense growth reflects an approximate \$5 million increase in compensation costs primarily due to higher headcount to support business growth as well as higher headcount in support areas, for which the costs are allocated to each segment based on a revenue- split methodology. Headcount from the acquisition of Lewtan as well as annual merit increases also contributed to the compensation expense growth. Additionally, the expense growth reflects an approximate \$4 million increase in non-compensation expenses primarily due to higher costs relating to various IT and operational enhancement projects. Furthermore, the expense growth reflects costs from the acquisition of Lewtan in the fourth quarter of 2014. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$8 million favorable impact on MA operating expenses in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the prior year.

Adjusted Operating Income was \$74.5 million in the third quarter of 2015 and increased \$7.0 million compared to the same period in 2014. Operating income of \$63.1 million in the third quarter of 2015 increased \$7.2 million compared to the same period in 2014. Adjusted Operating Margin for the third quarter of 2015 was 25.7%, compared to 24.7% in 2014. Operating margin was 21.8% compared to 20.5% in the prior year. Adjusted operating income and operating income both include intersegment revenue and expense.

Results of Operations

Nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared with nine months ended September 30, 2014

Executive Summary

Moody s revenue in the first nine months of 2015 totaled \$2,618.6 million, an increase of \$161.8 million, or 7%, compared to 2014. Excluding an approximate \$120 million impact for unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, primarily due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar to the euro and British pound, revenue grew 11% over the prior year and reflected double-digit growth in both MIS and MA.

External MIS revenue was 5% higher compared to the prior year. Excluding the impact from unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, external MIS revenue grew 10% over the prior year. This growth primarily reflects increases in rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate debt as well as increases in U.S. PFG refunding volumes and U.S. banking-related issuance. The growth also reflects increases across most SFG asset classes in the U.S. and EMEA, benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases as well as revenue from the ICRA Acquisition. These increases were partially offset by declines in bank loan revenue in the U.S. and EMEA, lower speculative-grade corporate debt revenue in EMEA and an approximate \$83 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX rates.

External MA revenue grew 10% compared to the prior year reflecting growth in ERS and RD&A partially offset by declines in PS. Excluding the impact from unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, MA revenue grew 15%. Revenue growth in ERS was primarily due to increases across most product offerings with particular strength in the regulatory solutions and loan origination verticals. Additionally, the acquisition of WebEquity in the third quarter of 2014 also contributed to ERS growth. In RD&A, revenue growth was primarily driven by credit research and licensing of ratings data as well as revenue from the acquisition of Lewtan. These results were partially offset by an approximate \$37 million unfavorable impact due to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates.

51

Table of Contents

Total expenses increased \$115.9 million, or 9%, compared to the prior year and primarily reflected:

higher compensation costs of approximately \$58 million associated with headcount growth, including those from acquired companies, and annual compensation increases;

higher non-compensation expenses of approximately \$41 million reflecting an increase in professional service costs for various IT and other operational enhancement projects. Additionally, the increase reflected higher variable costs associated with business growth and operating costs from the ICRA, Lewtan and WebEquity acquisitions.

Higher D&A of \$16.2 million reflecting amortization of intangible assets from acquisitions as well as higher depreciation reflecting an increase in capital expenditures to support IT infrastructure and business growth.

Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$60 million favorable impact on total expenses compared to prior year. Excluding the favorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates, total expenses increased 13%.

Operating income of \$1,140.3 million increased \$45.9 million compared to 2014 and resulted in an operating margin of 43.5%, compared to 44.5% in the prior year. Adjusted Operating Income of \$1,225.1 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$62.1 million compared to 2014, resulting in an Adjusted Operating Margin of 46.8% compared to 47.3% in the prior year period. The decrease in margin is due to continued investment in the Company s operations as well as the acquisition of companies that operate at a margin below Moody s historical margin and FX translation. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates negatively impacted both operating income and Adjusted Operating Income by approximately \$60 million.

The ETR was flat compared to 2014. The 2015 ETR includes benefits resulting from a favorable state tax ruling and a change in local tax law relating to income apportionment. The 2014 ETR included a benefit related to the reversal of UTPs resulting from the favorable resolution of certain international tax matters.

Diluted EPS of \$3.54 in the first nine months of 2015, which included a \$0.03 benefit relating to a Legacy Tax Matter, increased \$0.06 over 2014, which included \$0.36 related to the ICRA Gain and a \$0.03 benefit relating to a Legacy Tax Matter. Excluding the benefits from Legacy Tax Matters in both years and the ICRA Gain in the prior year, Non-GAAP Diluted EPS increased \$0.42 primarily due to the aforementioned growth in operating income coupled with a 5% decline in the diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding reflecting the Company s share repurchase program.

52

Moody s Corporation

	Nine months end	ed September 30,	% Change Favorable		
D.	2015	2014	(Unfavorable)		
Revenue: United States	\$ 1,527.8	\$ 1,335.8	14%		
International:					
EMEA	660.4	715.3	(8%)		
Asia-Pacific	270.8	237.8	14%		
Americas	159.6	167.9	(5%)		
Total International	1,090.8	1,121.0	(3%)		
Total	2,618.6	2,456.8	7%		
Expenses:					
Operating	724.4	674.8	(7%)		
SG&A	669.1	619.0	(8%)		
Depreciation and amortization	84.8	68.6	(24%)		
Total	1,478.3	1,362.4	(9%)		
Operating income	\$ 1,140.3	\$ 1,094.4	4%		
Adjusted Operating Income (1)	\$ 1,225.1	\$ 1,163.0	5%		
Interest income (expense), net	\$ (87.0)	\$ (87.5)	1%		
Other non-operating income (expense), net	\$ 14.0	\$ 15.5	(10%)		
ICRA Gain	\$	\$ 102.8	(100%)		
Net income attributable to Moody s	\$ 723.4	\$ 752.4	(4%)		
Diluted EPS attributable to Moody s common shareholders	\$ 3.54	\$ 3.48	2%		
Non-GAAP EPS attributable to Moody s common					
shareholders	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.09	14%		
Operating margin	43.5%	44.5%			
Adjusted Operating Margin ⁽¹⁾	46.8%	47.3%			

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted Operating Income, Adjusted Operating Margin and Non-GAAP EPS attributable to Moody s common shareholders are non-GAAP financial measures. Refer to the section entitled Non-GAAP Financial Measures of this Management Discussion and Analysis for further information regarding these measures.

Global revenue of \$2,618.6 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$161.8 million, or 7%, compared to 2014. Excluding the unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates, global revenue grew 11% compared to 2014 and reflected double-digit growth in both MIS and MA.

The growth in MIS revenue primarily reflects increases in rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate debt as well as increases in U.S. PFG refunding volumes and U.S. banking-related issuance. The growth also reflects increases across most SFG asset classes in the U.S. and EMEA, benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases and revenue from the ICRA Acquisition. These increases were partially offset by declines in bank loan revenue in the U.S. and EMEA, lower speculative-grade corporate debt revenue in EMEA and an approximate \$83 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX rates.

The growth in MA revenue reflects increases in all product offerings in ERS, with particular strength in the regulatory solutions and loan origination verticals. In RD&A, revenue growth was primarily driven by credit research and licensing of ratings data. The 2014 acquisitions of Lewtan and WebEquity also contributed to growth in RD&A and ERS, respectively. These results were partially offset by an approximate \$37 million unfavorable impact due to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates.

Table of Contents

Transaction revenue accounted for 50% of global MCO revenue in both the first nine months of 2015 and 2014.

U.S. revenue of \$1,527.8 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$192.0 million over the prior year reflecting growth across all LOBs in both reportable segments excluding PS within MA.

Non-U.S. revenue of \$1,090.8 million decreased \$30.2 million compared to the first nine months of 2014 reflecting declines in ratings revenue in EMEA due to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates coupled with declines in high-yield corporate debt and bank loan issuance resulting from current macroeconomic and geopolitical uncertainties in the region. These declines were partially offset by higher ratings revenue in Asia-Pacific, primarily due to the ICRA Acquisition, as well as growth across all regions in ERS and RD&A within MA. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$116 million unfavorable impact on non-U.S. revenue compared to the prior year.

Operating expenses were \$724.4 million in the first nine months of 2015 and increased \$49.6 million from 2014 reflecting increases in compensation and non-compensation costs of approximately \$33 million and \$17 million, respectively. The increase in compensation costs reflected higher expenses resulting from the impact of annual compensation increases as well as higher headcount due to business growth and from acquisitions. Additionally, higher pension costs contributed to the compensation expense growth reflecting a lower discount rate used to value the obligations and the use of a new mortality table. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in incentive compensation reflecting lower projected achievement against full-year targeted results compared to the prior year. The increase in non-compensation expenses reflected higher costs to support various IT and other operational enhancement projects, higher variable costs to support business growth and expenses from acquired companies. The increases above include a favorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates compared to the prior year.

SG&A expenses of \$669.1 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$50.1 million compared to the prior year period reflecting higher compensation and non-compensation expenses of approximately \$25 million each. The growth in compensation costs was primarily due to higher expenses resulting from annual compensation increases, headcount growth in MIS and MA as well as in overhead support areas coupled with higher headcount from acquisitions. Additionally, higher pension costs contributed to the compensation expense growth reflecting a lower discount rate used to value the obligations and the use of a new mortality table. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in incentive compensation reflecting lower projected achievement against full-year targeted results compared to the prior year. The increase in non-compensation expenses reflects higher costs to support various IT and other operational enhancement projects as well as higher rent and occupancy costs reflecting various real estate expansion projects worldwide. Additionally, the increase reflects non-compensation expenses from the ICRA, WebEquity and Lewtan acquisitions in the second half of 2014. The increases above include a favorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates compared to the prior year.

D&A increased \$16.2 million reflecting amortization of intangible assets from acquisitions as well as higher depreciation reflecting an increase in capital expenditures to support IT infrastructure and business growth.

Operating income of \$1,140.3 million increased \$45.9 million from the first nine months of 2014. Adjusted Operating Income was \$1,225.1 million in the first nine months of 2015 and increased \$62.1 million compared to 2014. Operating margin decreased 100 BPS compared to the first nine months of 2014. Adjusted Operating Margin in the first nine months of 2015 of 46.8% decreased 50 BPS compared to the prior year. The decrease in the margins is due to continued investment in the Company s operations as well as the acquisition of companies that operate at a margin below Moody s historical margin and FX translation. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates negatively impacted both operating income and Adjusted Operating Income by approximately \$60 million.

Interest income (expense), net in the first nine months of 2015 was (\$87.0) million, or flat compared to 2014 reflecting higher interest on borrowings due to the issuance of the 2014 Senior Notes (5-Year) and 2014 Senior Notes (30-Year) in July 2014 as well as the issuance of the 2015 Senior Notes. These increases were offset by \$11 million in net costs (net of a gain on the termination of an interest rate swap) related to the early repayment of the Series 2005-1 Notes in the third quarter of 2014.

Other non-operating income (expense), net was \$14.0 million in the first nine months of 2015, a \$1.5 million decrease in income compared to 2014 primarily due to FX losses of approximately \$3 million in 2015 compared to FX gains of approximately \$2 million in 2014. The FX losses in 2015 primarily reflect the decline of the euro to the British pound in the first nine months of 2015 coupled with losses on the Company s cross-currency swap more fully discussed in Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Both periods include a \$6.4 million benefit from the resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter.

The Company s ETR was 31.7% in the first nine months of 2015, or flat compared to 2014. The 2015 ETR includes benefits from a state tax ruling and a change in local tax law relating to income apportionment. The 2014 ETR includes a benefit related to the reversal of UTPs resulting from the favorable resolution of certain international tax matters.

Net Income in the first nine months of 2015 was \$723.4 million, or \$3.54 per diluted share. Diluted EPS was \$0.06 higher compared to 2014 which included 0.36 related to the ICRA Gain. Excluding a \$0.03 benefit from Legacy Tax Matters in both years and the \$0.36 impact of the ICRA Gain in the prior year, Non-GAAP Diluted EPS increased \$0.42 primarily due to the aforementioned growth in operating income coupled with a 5% decline in the diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding reflecting the Company s share repurchase program.

Segment Results

Moody s Investors Service

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

	Nine months ender	Nine months ended September 30,				
	2015	2014	(Unfavorable)			
Revenue:						
Corporate finance (CFG)	\$ 866.6	\$ 846.0	2%			
Structured finance (SFG)	335.0	308.0	9%			
Financial institutions (FIG)	273.7	269.4	2%			
Public, project and infrastructure finance (PPIF)	291.2	267.2	9%			
Total ratings revenue	1,766.5	1,690.6	4%			
MIS Other	23.1	10.1	129%			
Total external revenue	1,789.6	1,700.7	5%			
Intersegment royalty	69.5	65.6	6%			
Total	1,859.1	1,766.3	5%			
Expenses:						
Operating and SG&A (external)	826.7	773.6	(7%)			
Operating and SG&A (intersegment)	9.7	10.1	4%			
Adjusted Operating Income	1,022.7	982.6	4%			
Depreciation and amortization	48.7	34.4	(42%)			
Operating income	\$ 974.0	\$ 948.2	3%			
Adjusted Operating Margin	55.0%	55.6%				
Operating margin	52.4%	53.7%				

The following is a discussion of external MIS revenue and operating expenses:

Global MIS revenue of \$1,789.6 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$88.9 million, or 5%, compared to 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, MIS revenue grew 10% over the prior year and reflected growth across all LOBs. Transaction revenue for MIS was 62% in the first nine months of 2015 compared to 61% in the prior year.

In the U.S., revenue of \$1,136.0 million increased \$138.7 million compared to 2014 primarily reflecting higher rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate debt as well as higher public finance refunding volumes. The increase also reflects growth across most asset classes in SFG coupled with higher banking revenue. Additionally, changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases contributed to the growth compared to the prior year. These increases were partially offset by lower bank loan rated issuance volumes compared to the prior year.

Non-U.S. revenue was \$653.6 million in the first nine months of 2015, a decrease of \$49.8 million compared to 2014 reflecting an approximate \$79 million unfavorable impact relating to changes in FX translation rates compared to the prior year. The decline also reflects lower revenue from rating bank loans and high-yield corporate debt as well as lower indicative ratings revenue in the EMEA region. These decreases were partially offset by the favorable impact of changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, higher investment-grade revenue in EMEA and Asia-Pacific, growth across most SFG asset classes in EMEA and revenue from the ICRA Acquisition.

Global CFG revenue of \$866.6 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$20.6 million, or 2%, from 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, revenue grew 6% over the prior year primarily due to benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, primarily in the U.S. as well as higher rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate debt in the U.S. The growth in rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate debt reflects an increase M&A activity and continued favorable market conditions. Additionally, revenue from the ICRA Acquisition and higher monitoring fees reflecting growth in the number of outstanding rated issuances contributed to the increase over the prior year. These increases were partially offset by a decline in bank loan revenue in the U.S. as issuers utilized alternative methods to fund liquidity needs and banks reduced supply to adhere more closely to the U.S. Shared National Credit Program regarding highly leveraged transactions. The increases were also partially offset by declines in high-yield corporate debt and bank loan issuance in EMEA which began in the in the second quarter of 2015 and reflects current macroeconomic and geopolitical uncertainties in the region. Additionally, there was an approximate \$34 million unfavorable impact due to changes in FX translation rates. Transaction revenue represented 70% of total CFG revenue in the first nine months of 2015, compared to 72% in the prior year period. In the U.S., revenue in the first nine months of 2015 decreased \$43.0 million compared to the prior year and included the aforementioned unfavorable impact due to changes in FX translation rates.

Global SFG revenue of \$335.0 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$27.0 million, or 9% compared to 2014. Excluding the impact of unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, SFG revenue grew 14%. This growth is primarily due to higher CMBS, structured credit and RMBS revenue in the U.S. The growth in CMBS issuance was primarily due to higher refinancing activity reflecting a large volume of maturing instruments in 2015 coupled with larger sized deals being rated. The growth in structured credit revenue was primarily due to higher monitoring fees resulting from growth in the number of monitored instruments as well as improved market coverage compared to the prior year. The growth in U.S. RMBS revenue reflects an increase in deals rated and improved market coverage over the prior year. Additionally, growth across most asset classes in EMEA and Asia as well as the favorable impact of changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases contributed to the increase over 2014. Partially offsetting these increases was an approximate \$17 million unfavorable impact from changes in FX rates compared to the prior year. Transaction revenue was 63% of total SFG revenue in the first nine months of 2015 compared to 60% in the prior year. In the U.S., revenue of \$236.3 million increased \$30.8 million compared to the prior year and included the aforementioned unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates.

Global FIG revenue of \$273.7 million in the first nine months of 2015 grew \$4.3 million, or 2%, compared to 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, FIG revenue grew 9% due to the favorable impact of changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases. Additionally, the growth reflects higher rated issuance volumes in the U.S. banking sector reflecting issuers continuing to take advantage of favorable market conditions as well as revenue from the ICRA Acquisition. These increases were partially offset by an approximate \$19 million unfavorable impact from changes in FX rates. Transaction revenue was 37% of total FIG revenue in the first nine months of 2015 compared to 36% in the same period in 2014. In the U.S., revenue was \$120.1 million, or 12% higher compared to the prior year. Non-U.S. revenue was \$153.6 million in the first nine months of 2015, or \$8.6 million lower compared to 2014 and included the aforementioned unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates.

Global PPIF revenue of \$291.2 million increased \$24.0 million, or 9%, compared to 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, PPIF revenue grew 14% compared to the prior year. The growth reflects higher U.S. public finance refunding volumes due to continued low benchmark interest rates as well as the favorable impact of changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases. These increases were partially offset by lower U.S. infrastructure finance revenue compared

56

to a strong prior year comparative period as well as an approximate \$12 million unfavorable impact from changes in FX rates. Transaction revenue was 61% of total PPIF revenue for the first nine months of 2015 compared to 58% in the prior year. In the U.S., revenue in the first nine months of 2015 was \$191.4 million and increased \$24.6 million compared to 2014. Non-U.S. PPIF revenue of \$99.8 million decreased \$0.6 million compared to 2014 and included the aforementioned unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates.

Operating and SG&A expenses in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$53.1 million compared to 2014 reflecting both higher compensation and non-compensation costs of approximately \$29 million and \$24 million, respectively. The increase in compensation costs reflects annual compensation increases, headcount growth in the ratings LOBs and from the ICRA Acquisition as well as in support areas such as IT, finance and human resources for which the costs are allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. These increases were partially offset by decreases in incentive compensation costs reflecting lower projected achievement against full-year targeted results compared to the prior year. The increase in non-compensation expenses reflects higher costs to support various IT and operational enhancement projects and higher variable costs correlated with business growth. Also, there were higher rent and occupancy costs for various global real estate expansion projects as well as ICRA expenses. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$35 million favorable impact on MIS operating expenses in the first nine months of 2015 compared to the prior year.

Adjusted Operating Income and operating income in the first nine months of 2015, which includes intersegment royalty revenue and intersegment expenses, were \$1,022.7 million and \$974.0 million, respectively, and increased \$40.1 million and \$25.8 million, respectively, compared to 2014. Adjusted Operating Margin and operating margin were 55.0% and 52.4%, respectively, compared to 55.6% and 53.7% in the prior year, respectively.

Moody s Analytics

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

		Nine months ended September 30,				
Revenue:	2015	2014	(Unfavorable)			
	\$ 465.0	\$ 422.3	10%			
Research, data and analytics (RD&A)						
Enterprise risk solutions (ERS)	252.5	208.1	21%			
Professional services (PS)	111.5	125.7	(11%)			
Total external revenue	829.0	756.1	10%			
Intersegment revenue	9.7	10.1	(4%)			
Total MA Revenue	838.7	766.2	9%			
Expenses:						
Operating and SG&A (external)	566.8	520.2	(9%)			
Operating and SG&A (intersegment)	69.5	65.6	(6%)			
Adjusted Operating Income	202.4	180.4	12%			
Depreciation and amortization	36.1	34.2	(6%)			
Operating income	\$ 166.3	\$ 146.2	14%			
Adjusted Operating Margin Operating margin	24.1% 19.8%	23.5% 19.1%				
Operating margin	17.0 /0	17.170				

The following is a discussion of external MA revenue and operating expenses:

Global MA revenue of \$829.0 million increased \$72.9 million, or 10% compared to the first nine months of 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, MA revenue grew 15% reflecting growth in RD&A and ERS, which included revenue from the acquisition of Lewtan and WebEquity, respectively. Recurring revenue comprised 76% and 75% in the first nine months of 2015 and 2014, respectively.

57

Table of Contents

In the U.S., revenue of \$391.8 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$53.3 million, and reflected growth in RD&A and ERS, which included revenue from Lewtan and WebEquity, respectively. These increases were partially offset by declines in PS.

Non-U.S. revenue of \$437.2 million in the first nine months of 2015 was \$19.6 million higher than in 2014 reflecting growth in all regions within RD&A and ERS partially offset by an approximate \$37 million unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates and declines in PS revenue.

Global RD&A revenue of \$465.0 million, which comprised 56% of total external MA revenue in both the first nine months of 2015 and 2014, increased \$42.7 million, or 10% over the prior year period. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, RD&A revenue grew 15% over the prior year. The growth over the prior year was most notable in the U.S. and EMEA, primarily due to strong sales of credit research, licensing of ratings data, and economic analysis and data. The acquisition of Lewtan in late 2014 also contributed to growth over the prior year. In the U.S., revenue of \$261.7 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$36.7 million compared to 2014. Internationally, revenue of \$203.3 million increased \$6.0 million, or 3%, compared to the first nine months of 2014, and included an approximate \$21 million unfavorable impact related to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates.

Global ERS revenue in the first nine months of 2015 of \$252.5 million increased \$44.4 million, or 21% over 2014. Excluding unfavorable changes in FX translation rates, ERS revenue grew 27% over the prior year primarily due to increases across all product offerings with particular strength in the regulatory solutions and loan origination verticals. Additionally, the acquisition of WebEquity contributed to the revenue growth. Revenue in ERS is subject to quarterly volatility resulting from the variable nature of project timing and the concentration of software implementation services and license revenue in a relatively small number of engagements. In the U.S., revenue of \$91.7 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$20.6 million compared to 2014. Non-U.S. revenue of \$160.8 million increased \$23.8 million, or 17%, compared to the first nine months of 2014 and included an approximate \$12 million unfavorable impact relating to unfavorable changes in FX translation rates

Revenue from PS of \$111.5 million decreased \$14.2 million, or 11%, compared to the first nine months of 2014. Excluding the unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates, PS revenue declined 8% compared to the prior year primarily due to the Company s exiting certain Copal Amba product lines late in 2014 as well as attrition outpacing sales growth at Copal Amba as global banks adjusted their business activities. In the U.S., revenue of \$38.4 million in the first nine months of 2015 decreased \$4.0 million compared to 2014. Non-U.S. revenue of \$73.1 million decreased \$10.2 million compared to the first nine months of 2014 and included approximately \$5 million in unfavorable impacts related to changes in FX translation rates.

Operating and SG&A expenses of \$566.8 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$46.6 million compared to 2014. The expense growth reflects an approximate \$30 million increase in compensation costs primarily due to higher headcount to support business growth as well as higher headcount in support areas, for which the costs are allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. Headcount from the acquisitions of WebEquity and Lewtan as well as annual merit increases also contributed to the compensation expense growth. Additionally, there was an approximate \$17 million increase in non-compensation expenses primarily due to costs related to ERS product development and project delivery as well as the inclusion of Lewtan and WebEquity expenses. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$23 million favorable impact on MA operating expenses in the first nine months of 2015 compared to the prior year.

Adjusted Operating Income was \$202.4 million in the first nine months of 2015 and increased \$22.0 million compared to the same period in 2014. Operating income of \$166.3 million in the first nine months of 2015 increased \$20.1 million compared to the same period in 2014. Adjusted Operating Margin for the first nine months of 2015 was 24.1%, compared to 23.5% in 2014. Operating margin was 19.8% compared to 19.1% in the prior year. Adjusted operating income and operating income both include intersegment revenue and expense.

58

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

The Company is currently financing its operations, capital expenditures and share repurchases from cash flow from operating and financing activities. The following is a summary of the changes in the Company s cash flows followed by a brief discussion of these changes:

	Nine Mont Septem		Fa	Change vorable avorable)
	2015	2014		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 893.5	\$ 709.8	\$	183.7
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (81.5)	\$ (244.6)	\$	163.1
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (512.5)	\$ (393.4)	\$	(119.1)
Free Cash Flow*	\$ 827.6	\$ 653.0	\$	174.6

^{*} Free Cash Flow is a non-GAAP financial measure. Refer to the section Non-GAAP Financial Measures of this MD&A for further information on this financial measure.

Net cash provided by operating activities

Net cash flows from operating activities increased \$183.7 million compared to the prior year. The following non-cash items partially offset by a decrease in net income of \$35.4 million (prior year net income included the non-cash net-of-tax ICRA Gain) impacted cash provided by operating activities in the first nine months of 2015 compared to the same period in 2014:

A \$24.0 million decrease in deferred tax expense reflecting an increase in deferred tax liabilities in 2014 relating to the ICRA Gain. The decrease also reflects a reversal of deferred tax assets in 2014 relating to a tax payment to settle a local tax matter;

a \$16.2 million increase in depreciation and amortization primarily reflecting intangible assets acquired in Company s 2014 acquisitions as well as an increase in the Company s capital expenditures to support investment in IT infrastructure and operations;

a \$102.8 million increase reflecting the non-cash ICRA Gain in the prior year.

In addition to the items discussed above, the following working capital changes resulted in higher net cash flows provided by operating activities:

an approximate \$81 million increase relating to the timing of tax payments;

a \$72.9 million increase from changes in accounts receivable primarily reflecting overall business growth; partially offset by:

an approximate \$28 million decrease primarily relating to higher incentive compensation payouts in 2015 compared to 2014 which reflected greater achievement against full-year targeted results in 2014 compared to achievement in 2013 as well as higher headcount.

Additionally, the Company made approximately \$22 million and \$21 million in contributions to its funded U.S. pension plan in 2015 and 2014, respectively.

59

Net cash used in investing activities

The \$163.1 million decrease in cash used in investing activities is primarily due to:

cash paid, net of cash acquired, of \$80.5 million to acquire additional equity shares of ICRA Limited. The acquisition of these shares resulted in the Company obtaining a controlling interest in ICRA. The 2014 amount also includes \$130.0 million in net cash paid for the acquisition of WebEquity;

cash received of \$20.8 million in the first quarter of 2015 related to settlements of certain of the Company s net investment hedges; partially offset by:

higher net purchases of investments of \$54.5 million reflecting the Company s investment of excess non-U.S. cash balances. **Net cash used in financing activities**

The \$119.1 million increase in cash used in financing activities was attributed to:

treasury shares repurchased of \$905.6 million in the first nine months of 2015 compared to \$780.2 million repurchased in the prior year period;

a decrease in proceeds received from the exercise of employee stock options of \$62.5 million reflecting a lower amount of employee stock options exercised in the first nine months of 2015 compared to 2014;

higher dividends paid to MCO shareholders of \$26.8 million reflecting \$1.02 per share paid in the first nine months of 2015 compared to \$0.84 per share paid in the same period of the prior year partially offset by lower shares outstanding; partially offset by:

higher net proceeds from the issuance of notes payable of \$105.1 million.

Cash and short-term investments held in non-U.S. jurisdictions

The Company s aggregate cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$1.9 billion at September 30, 2015 consisted of approximately \$1.5 billion located outside of the U.S. Approximately 40% of the Company s aggregate cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments is denominated in euros and British pounds. Approximately 95% of the cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments in the Company s non-U.S. operations are held by entities whose undistributed earnings are indefinitely reinvested in the Company s foreign operations. Accordingly, the Company has not provided deferred income taxes on these indefinitely reinvested earnings. A future distribution or change in assertion regarding reinvestment by the foreign subsidiaries relating to these earnings could result in additional tax liability to the Company. It is not practicable to determine the amount of the potential additional tax liability due to complexities in the tax laws and in the hypothetical calculations that would have to be made. The Company manages both its U.S. and international cash flow to maintain sufficient liquidity in all regions to effectively meet its operating needs.

Indebtedness

At September 30, 2015, Moody s had \$3.1 billion of outstanding debt and \$1.0 billion of additional capacity available under the 2015 Facility. At September 30, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all covenants contained within all of the debt agreements. The 2015 Facility, the 2007 Agreement, the 2010 Indenture, the 2012 Indenture, the 2013 Indenture, the 2014 Indenture and the 2015 Indenture contain cross default provisions. These provisions state that default under one of the aforementioned debt instruments could in turn permit lenders under other debt instruments to declare borrowings outstanding under those instruments to be immediately due and payable. As of September 30, 2015, there were no such cross defaults.

60

On March 9, 2015, the Company issued 500 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes in a public offering. The 2015 Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 1.75% and mature on March 9, 2027. The terms of the 2015 Senior Notes are more fully described in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Pursuant to the issuance of the 2015 Notes, the Company has designated 400 million of the principal as a net investment hedge against foreign currency exposure relating to its net investment in its subsidiaries whose functional currency is the euro. The Company also entered into a 100 million cross currency swap (swapping euro for U.S. dollars) to hedge FX risk on the remaining principal balance denominated in euros that was not designated as a net investment hedge. These hedging instruments are more fully described in Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

On May 11, 2015, the Company entered into a five-year unsecured revolving credit facility with the capacity to borrow up to \$1 billion. The 2015 Facility replaces the \$1 billion 2012 Facility that was scheduled to expire in April 2017 and is more fully described in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. At September 30, 2015, there were no borrowings outstanding under this facility.

The repayment schedule for the Company s borrowings is as follows:

Year Ended

	Seri	es 2007-1	2010 Senior	r 2012 Senior	2013 Senior	r 2014 Senior	2014 Senior	2015 Senior	
December 31,	1	Notes	Notes	Notes	Notes	Notes (5-Year	Notes (30-Yea	r) Notes (1)	Total
2015 (after September 30,)	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
2016									
2017		300.0							300.0
2018									
2019						450.0			450.0
Thereafter			500.0	500.0	500.0		300.0	558.1	2,358.1
Total	\$	300.0	\$ 500.0	\$ 500.0	\$ 500.0	\$ 450.0	\$ 300.0	\$ 558.1	\$ 3,108.1

(1) Based on end of quarter FX rates

Management may consider pursuing additional long-term financing when it is appropriate in light of cash requirements for operations, share repurchases and other strategic opportunities, which would result in higher financing costs.

Other Material Future Cash Requirements

The Company believes that it has the financial resources needed to meet its cash requirements and expects to have positive operating cash flow for the next twelve months. Cash requirements for periods beyond the next twelve months will depend, among other things, on the Company s profitability and its ability to manage working capital requirements. The Company may also borrow from various sources.

The Company remains committed to using its strong cash flow to create value for shareholders by investing in growing areas of the business, reinvesting in ratings quality initiatives, making selective acquisitions, repurchasing stock and paying a dividend, all in manner consistent with maintaining sufficient liquidity after giving effect to any additional indebtedness that may be incurred. In October 2015, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.34 per share of Moody s common stock, payable on December 10, 2015 to shareholders of record at the close of business on November 20, 2015. The continued payment of dividends at this rate, or at all, is subject to the discretion of the Board. In December 2014, the Board authorized an additional \$1.0 billion of share repurchase authority that has a remaining repurchase authority of approximately \$658 million at September 30, 2015. Full-year 2015 total share repurchases are expected to be approximately \$1 billion, subject to available cash, market conditions and other ongoing capital allocation decisions.

Table of Contents 90

61

On February 6, 2008, the Company entered into an operating lease agreement to occupy six floors of an office tower located in the Canary Wharf district of London, England. The Canary Wharf Lease has an initial term of 17.5-years with a total of 15 years of renewal options. The total base rent of the Canary Wharf Lease over its initial 17.5-year term is approximately £134 million, and the Company began making base rent payments in 2011. In addition to the base rent payments the Company will be obligated to pay certain customary amounts for its share of operating expenses and tax obligations. The total remaining lease payments as of September 30, 2015 are approximately £96 million, of which approximately £8 million will be paid in the next twelve months. Payments under this lease agreement are included in the contractual obligations table below.

On October 20, 2006, the Company entered into an operating lease agreement with 7 World Trade Center, LLC for 589,945 square-feet of an office building located at 7WTC at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York, which is serving as Moody's headquarters. The 7WTC Lease has an initial term of 21 years with a total of 20 years of renewal options. The total base rent of 7WTC Lease over its initial 21-year term is approximately \$536 million including rent credits from the World Trade Center Rent Reduction Program promulgated by the Empire State Development Corporation. On March 28, 2007, the 7WTC lease agreement was amended for the Company to lease an additional 78,568 square-feet at 7WTC. The additional base rent is approximately \$106 million over a 20-year term. The total remaining lease payments as of September 30, 2015, including the aforementioned rent credits, are approximately \$424 million, of which approximately \$31 million will be paid during the next twelve months. Payments under this lease agreement are included in the contractual obligations table below.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At September 30, 2015, Moody s did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as special purpose or variable interest entities where Moody s is the primary beneficiary, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As such, Moody s is not exposed to any financing, liquidity market or credit risk that could arise if it had engaged in such relationships.

Contractual Obligations

The following table presents payments due under the Company s contractual obligations as of September 30, 2015:

		Payments Due by Period						
(in millions)	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	Ove	er 5 Years		
Indebtedness ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,230.0	\$ 117.9	\$ 517.5	\$ 1,140.6	\$	2,454.0		
Operating lease obligations	845.7	86.6	173.9	144.0		441.2		
Purchase obligations	89.8	54.0	34.9	0.9				
Pension obligations ⁽²⁾	152.6	28.4	15.8	43.2		65.2		
Total ⁽³⁾	\$ 5,318.1	\$ 286.9	\$ 742.1	\$ 1,328.7	\$	2,960.4		

- (1) Reflects principal payments, related interest and applicable fees due on the Series 2007-1 Notes, the 2010 Senior Notes, the 2012 Senior Notes, the 2013 Senior Notes, the 2014 Senior Notes (5-year), the 2014 Senior Notes (30-year), the 2015 Senior Notes and the 2015 Facility as described in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Reflects projected benefit contributions to the Company s funded U.S. DBPP and payments relating to the Company s U.S. unfunded DBPPs and Retirement and Other Plans described in Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements
- (3) The table above does not include the Company s net long-term tax liabilities of \$210.1 million relating to UTP and Legacy Tax Matters, since the expected cash outflow of such amounts by period cannot be reasonably estimated.

62

Dividends

On October 20, 2015, the Board approved the declaration of a quarterly dividend of \$0.34 per share of Moody s common stock, payable on December 10, 2015 to shareholders of record at the close of business on November 20, 2015.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures:

In addition to its reported results, Moody s has included in this MD&A certain adjusted results that the SEC defines as non-GAAP financial measures. Management believes that such non-GAAP financial measures, when read in conjunction with the Company s reported results, can provide useful supplemental information for investors analyzing period to period comparisons of the Company s performance, facilitate comparisons to competitors operating results and can provide greater transparency to investors of supplemental information used by management in its financial and operational decision-making. These non-GAAP measures, as defined by the Company, are not necessarily comparable to similarly defined measures of other companies. Furthermore, these non-GAAP measures should not be viewed in isolation or used as a substitute for other GAAP measures in assessing the operating performance or cash flows of the Company. Below are brief descriptions of the Company s non-GAAP financial measures accompanied by a reconciliation of the non-GAAP measure to its most directly comparable GAAP measure:

63

Constant Currency Measures:

The Company presents revenue and operating expense growth on a constant currency basis because management deems this metric to be a useful measure of assessing the operations of the Company in times of foreign exchange rate volatility. Constant currency measures exclude the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on operating results. The Company calculates the dollar impact of foreign exchange as the difference between the translation of its current period non-USD functional currency results using prior comparative period weighted average foreign exchange translation rates and current year as reported results. Growth rates on a constant currency basis are determined based on the difference between current period revenue and operating expense translated using prior period comparative weighted average exchange rates and prior period as reported results divided by prior as reported results. Below is a reconciliation of the Company s as reported revenue and operating expense changes to the changes on a constant currency basis:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015											
	CFG		SFG	}	FIG	ł	PPI	F	MIS (Other	Total M	IIS
	Revenu \$ %	ie	Reven		Reven		Rever		Reve		Reven \$ %	
Reported change	(12.4)	(5%)	10.4	10%	(2.3)	(3%)	2.1	2%	3.7	106%	1.5	
FX impact	9.0	4%	5.4	5%	6.0	7%	3.8	5%	0.3	8%	24.5	5%
Constant currency change	(3.4)	(1%)	15.8	15%	3.7	4%	5.9	7%	4.0	114%	26.0	5%

	RD&A Revenue \$ %		ERS Reven \$ %	ue	PS Revenue \$ %		Total MA Revenue \$ %	
Reported change	14.6	10%	11.1	14%	(8.4)	(19%)	17.3	6%
FX impact	6.5	5%	3.9	4%	1.9	5%	12.3	5%
Constant currency change	21.1	15%	15.0	18%	(6.5)	(14%)	29.6	11%

	MCO	MCO
	Revenue	Expense
	\$ %	\$ %
Reported change	18.8 2%	18.8 4%
FX impact	36.8 5%	20.3 4%
Constant currency change	55.6 7%	39.1 8%

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015										
	CFG		SFG		FIG		PPI		MIS C		Total M	
	Revenu \$ %	ıe	Reven		Reven \$ %		Reven		Reve \$ 9		Reven	
Reported change	20.6	2%	27.0	9%	4.3	2%	24.0	9%	13.0	129%	88.9	5%
FX impact	33.7	4%	17.3	5%	19.2	7%	12.1	5%	0.6	6%	82.9	5%
Constant currency change	54.3	6%	44.3	14%	23.5	9%	36.1	14%	13.6	135%	171.8	10%

Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

	RD&A Revenu \$ %		ERS Rever \$ %	iue	PS Revenue \$ %		Total M Reven \$ %	ue
Reported change	42.7	10%	44.4	21%	(14.2)	(11%)	72.9	10%
FX impact	20.5	5%	12.3	6%	4.5	3%	37.3	5%
Constant currency change	63.2	15%	56.7	27%	(9.7)	(8%)	110.2	15%

	MCO Revenue \$ %	MCO Expense \$ %
Reported change	161.8 7%	115.9 9%
FX impact	120.2 4%	59.8 4%
Constant currency change	282.0 11%	175.7 13%

Adjusted Operating Income and Adjusted Operating Margin:

The Company presents adjusted operating income because management deems this metric to be a useful measure of assessing the operating performance of Moody s, measuring the Company s ability to service debt, fund capital expenditures, and expand its business. Adjusted operating income excludes depreciation and amortization because companies utilize productive assets of different ages and use different methods of both acquiring and depreciating productive assets. Management believes that the exclusion of this item, detailed in the reconciliation below, allows for a more meaningful comparison of the Company s results from period to period and across companies. The Company defines adjusted operating margin as adjusted operating income divided by revenue:

	Septemb	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 2014	
Operating income	\$ 349.7	\$ 349.7	\$ 1,140.3	\$ 1,094.4	
Adjustments:	ΨΟΨΟΙΙ	Ψ 5 17.7	ψ 1,140.5	Ψ 1,0 / 1.1	
Depreciation and amortization	28.3	23.2	84.8	68.6	
Adjusted Operating Income	\$ 378.0	\$ 372.9	\$ 1,225.1	\$ 1,163.0	
Operating Margin	41.9%	42.9%	43.5%	44.5%	
Adjusted Operating Margin	45.3%	45.7%	46.8%	47.3%	
			ear Ended er 31, 2015		
Operating margin guidance		Approximately 43%			
Depreciation and amortization		Approx	imately 3%		
Adjusted Operating Margin guidance		Approxi	mately 46%		

Non-GAAP Diluted EPS

The Company presents this non-GAAP measure to exclude the ICRA Gain in the second quarter of 2014 and Legacy Tax benefits in both the third quarter of 2015 and 2014 to allow for a more meaningful comparison of Moody s diluted earnings per share from period to period. Below is a reconciliation of these measures to their most directly comparable U.S. GAAP amount:

	Three M	Months			
	Enc	Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	Septem				
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Diluted EPS - GAAP	\$ 1.14	\$ 1.00	\$ 3.54	\$ 3.48	
ICRA Gain				(0.36)	
Legacy Tax benefit	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	
Diluted EPS - Non-GAAP	\$ 1.11	\$ 0.97	\$ 3.51	\$ 3.09	

Free Cash Flow:

The Company defines free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities minus payments for capital additions. Management believes that free cash flow is a useful metric in assessing the Company s cash flows to service debt, pay dividends and to fund acquisitions and share repurchases. Management deems capital expenditures essential to the Company s product and service innovations and maintenance of Moody s operational capabilities. Accordingly, capital expenditures are deemed to be a recurring use of Moody s cash flow. Below is a reconciliation of the Company s net cash flows from operating activities to free cash flow:

	- 1	Nine months ended September 30,		
	2015	2014		
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 893.5	\$ 709.8		
Capital additions	(65.9)	(56.8)		
Free cash flow	\$ 827.6	\$ 653.0		
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (81.5)	\$ (244.6)		
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (512.5)	\$ (393.4)		
	Full year December			
Net cash flows provided by operating activities guidance	Approximate	ly \$1.1 billion		
Capital additions guidance	Approximate	ly \$90 million		
Free cash flow guidance	Approximate	ly \$1.0 billion		

2015 Outlook

Moody s outlook for 2015 is based on assumptions about many macroeconomic and capital market factors, including interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, corporate profitability and business investment spending, merger and acquisition activity, consumer borrowing and securitization, and the amount of debt issued. These assumptions are subject to some degree of uncertainty, and results for the year could differ materially from our current outlook. Moody s guidance, which is presented in the table below, assumes foreign currency translation at end-of-quarter exchange rates.

Full-year 2015 Moody s Corporation guidance Current guidance as of the filing of this Form			
MOODY S CORPORATION	10-Q	Last publicly disclosed guidance on September 30, 2015	
Revenue	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range	NC	
Operating Expenses	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range	NC	
Depreciation & amortization	Approximately \$120 million	NC	
Operating Margin	Approximately 43%	NC	
Adjusted Operating Margin	Approximately 46%	NC	
Effective tax rate	Approximately 31% - 32%	NC	
GAAP EPS	\$4.55 to \$4.65	NC	
Capital expenditures	Approximately \$90 million	Approximately \$100 - \$110 million	
Free cash flow	Approximately \$1 billion	NC	
Share repurchases	Approximately \$1 billion (subject to available cash, market conditions and other ongoing capital allocation decisions) Full-year 2015 revenue guidance	NC	
MIC	Current guidance as of the filing of this Form	T. A. I.P.I. P. I. a. I. P. I. a. G. A. a. I. a. 20 2017	
MIS global	10-Q	Last publicly disclosed guidance on September 30, 2015	
MIS global	10-Q growth in the mid-single-digit percent range	NC	
MIS global MIS U.S.	10-Q growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range	NC NC	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S.	10-Q growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG FIG	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-single-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC NC	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG FIG PPIF	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG FIG PPIF MA	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the high-single-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC NC growth in the low-double-digit percent range	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG FIG PPIF MA MA global	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the high-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC NC growth in the low-double-digit percent range NC	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG FIG PPIF MA MA global MA U.S.	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the high-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC NC growth in the low-double-digit percent range NC NC NC NC NC	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG FIG PPIF MA MA global	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the high-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range approximately flat	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC NC growth in the low-double-digit percent range NC	
MIS global MIS U.S. MIS Non-U.S. CFG SFG FIG PPIF MA MA global MA U.S. MA Non-U.S.	growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range decline in the mid-single-digit percent range approximately flat growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the high-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range growth in the low-double-digit percent range	NC NC decline in the low-single-digit percent range growth in the mid-single-digit percent range NC NC growth in the low-double-digit percent range NC NC growth in the low-double-digit percent range	

67

NC- There is no difference between the Company s current guidance and the last publicly disclosed guidance for this item.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers . This ASU outlines a comprehensive new revenue recognition model that requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The Company is currently evaluating its adoption options and the impact that adoption of this update will have on its consolidated financial statements. Currently, the Company believes this ASU will have an impact on: i) the capitalization of certain contract implementation costs for its ERS business; ii) the accounting for certain license and maintenance revenue in MA; iii) the accounting for certain ERS revenue arrangements where VSOE is not available and iv) the accounting for contract acquisition costs. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), Deferral of the Effective Date which defers the effective date of the ASU for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted up to the original effective date of December 15, 2016.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-05 Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement. This ASU provides guidance on accounting for fees paid by a customer in a cloud computing arrangement. In accordance with the ASU a cloud computing arrangement that contains a software license should be accounted for consistently with the acquisition of other software licenses. If no software license is present in the contract, the entity should account for the arrangement as a service contract. The Company can elect to apply this ASU either retrospectively or prospectively effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that adoption of this update will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs . This ASU simplifies the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements and requires a company to present such costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization costs will continue to be reported as interest expense. The ASU is effective retrospectively for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this ASU will impact the presentation of debt issuance costs in the Company s consolidated balance sheets. Additionally, in August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-15 Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements . This ASU codifies that given the lack of authoritative guidance in ASU 2015-03 regarding line-of-credit arrangements, the SEC staff would not object to a Company deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequently amortizing the deferred debt costs ratably over the term of the arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement.

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-07 Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent) . This ASU removes the requirement to include investments in the fair value hierarchy for which fair value is measured using the net asset value per share as a practical expedient. ASU No. 2015-07 is effective retrospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this ASU will only impact the presentation of the Company s pension assets invested in common/collective trust funds in the fair value hierarchy disclosures.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16 Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments . This ASU requires that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. The amendments in this ASU require that the acquirer record, in the same period s financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. ASU No. 2015-16 is applied prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not believe the adoption of this ASU will have a material impact on its financial statements.

Contingencies

Legal proceedings in which the company is involved also may impact Moody s liquidity or operating results. No assurance can be provided as to the outcome of such proceedings. In addition, litigation inherently involves significant costs. For information regarding legal proceedings, see Item 1 Financial Statements, Note 14 Contingencies.

68

Regulation

MIS and many of the securities that it rates are subject to extensive regulation in both the U.S. and in other countries (including by state and local authorities). Thus, existing and proposed laws and regulations can impact the Company s operations and the markets for securities that it rates. Additional laws and regulations have been adopted but not yet implemented or have been proposed or are being considered. Each of the existing, adopted, proposed and potential laws and regulations can increase the costs and legal risk associated with the issuance of credit ratings and may negatively impact Moody s operations or profitability, the Company s ability to compete, or result in changes in the demand for credit ratings, in the manner in which ratings are utilized and in the manner in which Moody s operates.

The regulatory landscape has changed rapidly in recent years, and continues to evolve. In the EU, the CRA industry is registered and supervised through a pan-European regulatory framework. The European Securities and Markets Authority has direct supervisory responsibility for the registered CRA industry throughout the EU. MIS is a registered entity and is therefore subject to formal regulation and periodic inspection. Applicable rules include procedural requirements with respect to ratings of sovereign issuers, liability for intentional or grossly negligent failure to abide by applicable regulations, mandatory rotation requirements of CRAs hired by issuers of securities for ratings of resecuritizations, restrictions on CRAs or their shareholders if certain ownership thresholds are crossed, and additional procedural and substantive requirements on the pricing of services.

On January 6, 2015, two additional rules (generally referred to as Regulatory Technical Standards or RTSs) of direct relevance to the CRA industry were published in the Official Journal of the European Union: (i) CRAs reporting requirements to ESMA on their fees; and (ii) the types of information that CRAs are to provide about certain ratings (those that were paid for by issuers) for publication on a central website administered by ESMA (the European Ratings Platform). The RTSs were the final pieces of the rulemaking requirements that CRA3 imposed on ESMA and the EU s legislative tripartite (the European Commission, the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union). Separately, CRA3 also requires that ESMA and / or the European Commission produce several reports on the industry s structure and the use of ratings. In October 2015, ESMA published its reports, wherein it acknowledged the impact of regulation on the industry, and stated that it will continue to monitor the industry structure over the next three to five years. The European Commission is expected to publish its reports in early 2016.

In the U.S., CRAs are subject to extensive regulation primarily pursuant to the Reform Act and the Financial Reform Act. The SEC is required by these legislative acts to publish two annual reports to Congress on NRSROs. The Financial Reform Act requires the SEC to examine each NRSRO once a year and issue an annual report summarizing the examination findings, among other requirements. The annual report required by the Reform Act details the SEC s views on the state of competition, transparency and conflicts of interests among NRSROs, among other requirements. The SEC voted in August 2014 to adopt its final rules for NRSROs as required by the Financial Reform Act. The final rules differ from earlier proposals by including additional measures regarding: (i) sales and marketing activities; and (ii) the design and enforcement of internal controls for the rating process. The Company has made and continues to make substantial IT and other investments, and has implemented the relevant compliance obligations.

In light of the regulations that have gone into effect in both the EU and the U.S. (as well as many other countries), from time to time and as a matter of course pursuant to their enabling legislation these regulatory authorities have and will continue to publish reports that describe their oversight activities over the industry. In addition, other legislation and regulation relating to credit rating and research services is being considered by local, national and multinational bodies and this type of activity is likely to continue in the future. Finally, in certain countries, governments may provide financial or other support to locally-based rating agencies. For example, governments may from time to time establish official rating agencies or credit ratings criteria or procedures for evaluating local issuers. If enacted, any such legislation and regulation could change the competitive landscape in which MIS operates. The legal status of rating agencies has been addressed by courts in various decisions and is likely to be considered and addressed in legal proceedings from time to time in the future. Management of the Company cannot predict whether these or any other proposals will be enacted, the outcome of any pending or possible future legal proceedings, or regulatory or legislative actions, or the ultimate impact of any such matters on the competitive position, financial position or results of operations of Moody s.

69

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements and are based on future expectations, plans and prospects for the Company s business and operations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Such statements involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. Those statements appear at various places throughout this annual report on Form 10-Q, including in the sections entitled 2015 Outlook and Contingencies under Item 2. MD&A, commencing on page 40 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, under Legal Proceedings in Part II, Item 1, of this Form 10-Q, and elsewhere in the context of statements containing the words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, will, predict, potential, continue, strateg forecast, project, estimate, should, could, may and similar expressions or words and variations thereof relating to the Company s views of events, trends and contingencies. Stockholders and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements and other information are made as of the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, and the Company undertakes no obligation (nor does it intend) to publicly supplement, update or revise such statements on a going-forward basis, whether as a result of subsequent developments, changed expectations or otherwise. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company is identifying examples of factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ, perhaps materially, from those indicated by these forward-looking statements.

Those factors, risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the current world-wide credit market disruptions and economic slowdown, which is affecting and could continue to affect the volume of debt and other securities issued in domestic and/or global capital markets; other matters that could affect the volume of debt and other securities issued in domestic and/or global capital markets, including credit quality concerns, changes in interest rates and other volatility in the financial markets; the level of merger and acquisition activity in the U.S. and abroad; the uncertain effectiveness and possible collateral consequences of U.S. and foreign government initiatives to respond to the current world-wide credit market disruptions and economic slowdown; concerns in the marketplace affecting our credibility or otherwise affecting market perceptions of the integrity or utility of independent credit agency ratings; the introduction of competing products or technologies by other companies; pricing pressure from competitors and/or customers; the level of success of new product development and global expansion; the impact of regulation as an NRSRO, the potential for new U.S., state and local legislation and regulations, including provisions in the Financial Reform Act and regulations resulting from that Act; the potential for increased competition and regulation in the EU and other foreign jurisdictions; exposure to litigation related to our rating opinions, as well as any other litigation, government and regulatory proceedings, investigations and inquires to which the Company may be subject from time to time; provisions in the Financial Reform Act legislation modifying the pleading standards, and EU regulations modifying the liability standards, applicable to credit rating agencies in a manner adverse to credit rating agencies; provisions of EU regulations imposing additional procedural and substantive requirements on the pricing of services; the possible loss of key employees; failures or malfunctions of our operations and infrastructure; any vulnerabilities to cyber threats or other cybersecurity concerns; the outcome of any review by controlling tax authorities of the Company s global tax planning initiatives; the outcome of those Legacy Tax Matters and legal contingencies that relate to the Company, its predecessors and their affiliated companies for which Moody s has assumed portions of the financial responsibility; exposure to potential criminal sanctions or civil remedies if the Company fails to comply with foreign and U.S. laws and regulations that are applicable in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates, including sanctions laws, anti-corruption laws, and local laws prohibiting corrupt payments to government officials; the impact of mergers, acquisitions or other business combinations and the ability of the Company to successfully integrate acquired businesses; currency and foreign exchange volatility; the level of future cash flows; the levels of capital investments; and a decline in the demand for credit risk management tools by financial institutions. These factors, risks and uncertainties as well as other risks and uncertainties that could cause Moody's actual results to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements are described in greater detail under Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, and in other filings made by the Company from time to time with the SEC or in materials incorporated herein or therein. Stockholders and investors are cautioned that the occurrence of any of these factors, risks and uncertainties may cause the Company s actual results to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements, which could have a material and adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition. New factors may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict new factors, nor can the Company assess the potential effect of any new factors on it.

70

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Moody s aggregate cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$1.9 billion at September 30, 2015 consisted of \$1.5 billion located outside of the U.S. Approximately 53% of the Company s aggregate cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments at September 30, 2015 were held in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. As such, a decrease in the value of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar, particularly the euro and British pound, could reduce the reported amount of cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments.

The following discussion outlines material changes in the Moody s derivative instrument portfolio subsequent to the filing of the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014:

Long-term debt designated as a net investment hedge:

During the third quarter of 2015, the Company designated 400 million of the 2015 Senior Notes as a net investment hedge to mitigate FX exposure relating to euro denominated net investments in subsidiaries. If the euro were to strengthen 10% relative to the U.S. dollar, there would be an approximate \$40 million unfavorable adjustment to OCI. This adjustment would be offset by favorable translation adjustments on the Company s euro net investment in subsidiaries.

Net investment hedge

During the third quarter of 2015, the Company entered into a net investment hedge to mitigate FX exposure relating to certain assets and liabilities denominated in GBP held by a euro functional currency subsidiary. If the euro were to weaken 10% relative to the GBP, there would be an approximate \$3 million unfavorable impact to the fair value of the forward contracts. The change in fair value of the foreign exchange forward contracts would be offset by FX translation gains or losses recorded in AOCI.

Cross-currency swap not designated as an accounting hedge:

The Company entered into a cross-currency swap (exchanging 100 million for U.S. dollar) to mitigate FX exposure on the remaining principal balance of the 2015 Senior Notes not designated as a net investment hedge. If the euro were to weaken 10% relative to the U.S. dollar, there would be an approximate \$14 million unfavorable impact to the fair value of the cross-currency swap which would be partially offset by favorable revaluation gains on 100 million of the 2015 Senior Notes.

Interest rate swaps designated as a fair value hedge:

Furthermore, in the first quarter of 2015, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$200 million to convert the fixed rate of interest on the remaining portion of the 2014 Senior Notes (5-year) to a floating interest rate based on the 3-month LIBOR. A hypothetical change of 100 BPS in the LIBOR-based swap rate would result in an approximate \$45 million change to the fair value of the Company s total interest rate swap portfolio.

Refer to Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q and Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk , contained in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 for further discussion on the Company s derivative financial instruments.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: The Company carried out an evaluation, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the communication to the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

71

In addition, the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has determined that there were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by the report.

72

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding legal proceedings, see Item 1 Financial Statements Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited), Note 14 Contingencies in this Form 10-Q.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes since December 31, 2014 to the significant risk factors and uncertainties known to the Company that, if they were to occur, could materially adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition, operating results and/or cash flow. For a discussion of the Company s risk factors, refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors, contained in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds MOODY S PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average P Paid pe Share	r Announced	Approx Shar	cimate Dollar Value of es That May Yet be rchased Under the Program (2)
July 1 - 31	691,017	\$ 110.	15 691,017	\$	886.9 million
August 1 - 31	763,780	\$ 107.	31 762,753	\$	805.0 million
September 1 - 30	1,448,988	\$ 101.	38 1,448,649	\$	658.1 million
Total	2,903,785	\$ 105.	03 2,902,419		

⁽¹⁾ Includes surrender to the Company of 1,027 and 339 shares of common stock in August and September to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees.

During the third quarter of 2015, Moody s issued 0.3 million shares under employee stock-based compensation plans.

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable

As of the last day of each of the months. On December 16, 2014, the Board authorized a \$1 billion share repurchase program under which the Company is currently repurchasing shares. There is no established expiration date for the remaining authorization.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit

No.	Description
3	ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BY-LAWS
.1	Amended and Restated By-laws of Moody s Corporation, effective April 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed April 22, 2013).
.2	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Moody s Corporation, dated April 17, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed April 22, 2013).
31	CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
.1*	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
.2*	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
.1*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Company has furnished this certification and does not intend for it to be considered filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or incorporated by reference into future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
.2*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Company has furnished this certification and does not intend for it to be considered filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or incorporated by reference into future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
101.DEF*	XBRL Definitions Linkbase Document
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

^{*} Filed herewith

74

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MOODY S CORPORATION

By: /s/ Linda S. Huber
Linda S. Huber

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer)

Date: November 3, 2015

By: /s/ Joseph McCabe

Joseph McCabe

Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller

(principal accounting officer)

75