NRG ENERGY, INC. Form 425 February 10, 2009

Filed by Exelon Corporation

Reg. No. 333-155278

Pursuant to Rule 425 under the

Securities Act of 1933, as amended

Subject Company: NRG Energy, Inc.

On February 10, 2009, Exelon began using the following slides in discussions with investors:

Exelon

+

NRG:

Committed,

Moving

Forward

Investor Meetings

February 2009

Important Information
This
presentation
relates
to
the
offer

(the Offer) by Exelon Corporation (Exelon) through its direct wholly-owned subsidiary, Exelon Xchange Corporation (Xchange), to exchange each issued and outstanding share of common stock (the NRG shares) of NRG Energy, Inc. (NRG) for 0.485 of a share of Exelon common stock. This presentation is for informational purposes only

and

does not constitute an offer to exchange, or a solicitation of an offer to exchange, NRG shares, nor is it a substitute for the Tender Offer Statement on Schedule TO or the Prospectus/Offer to Exchange included in the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Reg. No. 333-155278) (including

the Letter of

Transmittal

and

related

documents

and

as

amended

from

time

to

time,

the

Exchange

Offer

Documents)

previously

filed

by

Exelon

and

Xchange

with

the

Securities

and

Exchange

Commission

(the

SEC).

The

Offer

is

made

only

through

the

Exchange

Offer

Documents.

Investors

and

security

holders

are

urged

to

read

these

documents

and

other

relevant

materials

as

they

become

available,

because

they

will

contain

important

information.

Exelon

expects

to

file

a

proxy

statement

on

Schedule

14A

and

other

relevant

documents

with

the

SEC

in

connection

with

the

solicitation

of

proxies

(the

NRG

Meeting

Proxy

Statement)

for

the

2009

annual

meeting

of

NRG

stockholders (the NRG Meeting). Exelon will also file proxy statement on Schedule 14A and other relevant documents with the **SEC** in connection with its solicitation of proxies for a meeting of Exelon shareholders (the Exelon Meeting) to be called in order to approve the issuance

of shares of Exelon

common stock pursuant to the Offer (the Exelon Meeting Proxy Statement). Investors and security holders are urged to read the NRG Meeting Proxy Statement and the Exelon Meeting Proxy Statement and other relevant materials they become available, because they will contain important information. Investors and security holders

can obtain

copies of the materials described above (and all other related documents filed with the SEC) at no charge on the SEC s website: www.sec.gov. Copies can also be obtained at no charge by directing request for such materials Innisfree M&A Incorporated, 501 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor, New

York, New

York 10022, toll free at 1-877-750-9501. Investors and security holders may also read and copy any reports, statements and other information filed by Exelon, Xchange or NRG with the SEC, at the SEC public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at

1-800-SEC-

0330

or

visit

the

SEC s

website

for

further

information

on

its

public

reference

room.

Exelon,

Xchange

and

the

individuals

to

be

nominated

by

Exelon

for

election

to

NRG s

Board

of

Directors

will

be

participants

in

the

solicitation

of

proxies

from

NRG

stockholders

for

the

NRG

Meeting

or

any

adjournment

or

postponement thereof. Exelon and Xchange will be participants in the solicitation of proxies from Exelon shareholders for the Exelon Meeting or any adjournment postponement thereof. In addition, certain directors and executive officers of Exelon and Xchange may solicit proxies for the Exelon Meeting and the NRG Meeting. Information about

Exelon and Exelon s directors and executive officers is available in Exelon s proxy statement, dated March 20, 2008, filed with the **SEC** in connection with Exelon s 2008 annual meeting of shareholders. Information about Xchange and Xchange s directors and executive officers is available in Schedule II to the Prospectus/Offer Exchange.

Information

about

any

other

participants

will

be

included

in

the

NRG

Meeting

Proxy

Statement

or

the

Exelon

Meeting

Proxy

Statement,

as

applicable.

2

Forward-Looking Statements This presentation includes forward-looking statements. These

forward-looking statements include, for example, statements regarding benefits of the proposed merger, integration plans and expected synergies. There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements made herein. The factors that could cause actual results to differ

materially from

these forward-looking statements include Exelon s ability to achieve the synergies contemplated by the proposed transaction, Exelon s ability to promptly and effectively integrate the businesses of NRG and Exelon, and the timing to consummate the proposed transaction and obtain required regulatory approvals as well as those

discussed

in (1) Exelon s 2008

Annual

Report

on

Form

10-K

in

(a)

ITEM

1A.

Risk

Factors,

(b)

ITEM

7.

Management s

Discussion

and

Analysis

of

Financial

Condition

and

Results

of

Operations

and

(c)

ITEM

8.

Financial

Statements

and

Supplementary

Data:

Note

18;

(2)

Exelon s

preliminary

prospectus/offer

to

exchange

that

is

contained

in

the

Registration

Statement

on

Form S-4 (Reg. No. 333-155278) that Exelon has filed with the **SEC** in connection with the offer; and (3) other factors discussed in Exelon s filings with the SEC. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date

of this filing.

Exelon does not undertake any obligation publicly release any revision to its forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this filing, except as required by law. Statements made in connection with the exchange offer are not subject to the safe harbor protections provided to forward-looking

statements

under

the

Private

Securities

Litigation

Reform

Act

of

1995.

All

information

in

this

presentation

concerning

NRG,

including

its

business,

operations,

and

financial

results,

was

obtained

from

public

sources.

While

Exelon

has

no

knowledge

that

any

such

information

is

inaccurate

or

incomplete,

Exelon

has

not

had

the

opportunity

to

verify

any

of that information.

Agenda for Today s Discussion

Full and Fair Offer

Capturing the Value of Growth Opportunities

Committed To Execution

1. Full and Fair Offer

Full and Fair Offer

On

November

12

th

,

exchange offer for all of the outstanding shares of NRG common stock Filed Form S-4 with the SEC Fixed exchange ratio of 0.485 Exelon share for each NRG common share Represents a 37% premium to the October 17 th NRG closing price

6

Exelon launched

7
Value Created for NRG Shareholders
1.
IPP
index
includes
CPN,

DYN,

MIR

and

RRI

2.

Based

upon

a

~95%

correlation

between

NRG

and

the

IPP

Index

for

12

months

prior

to

October

17,

2008

3.

Calculated

by

multiplying

the

offer

exchange

ratio

(0.485)

times

EXC s

daily

closing

stock

price

4.

Henry

Hub

forward

gas

for

calendar

year

2011

Source:

FactSet.

```
Closing
prices
as
of
January
29,
2009
Assuming that NRG s
stock price maintained
its historic relationship
to movement in the IPP
index
(1)
, NRG stock
would have declined
~16% since October 17,
2008 in the absence of
the Exelon offer
(2)
; with
those assumptions,
NRG s implied stock
price would have been
~$16 at January 29,
2009, compared with its
actual closing price of
$23.55.
During the same period,
EXC s share price
increased by ~3.4% to
$56.38.
Since the announcement of EXC offer, NRG and EXC have outperformed the IPP
index
(1)
We believe NRG s stock price is being supported by EXC s offer and is not reflective
of
NRG s
true
stand
alone
value
we
believe
NRG s
 market
discovery
process
```

will
prove
difficult
given
current
commodity
and
credit
conditions

2. Capturing the Value of Growth Opportunities

```
Exelon Offers Lower Risk Growth Opportunities 9
I/B/E/S 09- 11 EBITDA
1
I/B/E/S 09- 11 EPS
1
Growth Drivers
```

Cost to Achieve Growth Nuclear uprates PA POLR roll-off PJM capacity markets Carbon upside Ordinary business operations expense STP nuclear expansion with sub-investment grade balance sheet Other low carbon capital expenditure programs Heavy capital expenditure investments Dependence on new build construction including new nuclear 5.5% 15.6% 3.2% 7.4% We believe Exelon s nearterm growth drivers are more predictable and have dramatically less capital at risk than NRG s 1. Based solely on I/B/E/S estimates for Exelon

and NRG as

of

1/27/09,

representing

annual

growth

rates.

Not

necessarily

representative

of

either

company s

internal

forecasts.

Provided

for

illustration

only.

Not

intended

as

earnings

guidance

or

as

a

forecast

of

expected

results.

10 Exelon is Better Positioned to Capture the Value of Growth Opportunities

Need to find equity partners before starting; decreases

flexibility

Sub-optimal power prices and hedges to secure financing

Covenant inflexibility

Cash sweeps to debt holders

Equity selldown before construction

Secure off-take agreements / hedges

Non-recourse, high yield financing

Largest market capitalization in the sector

allowing Exelon to keep proportionately more equity

Balance sheet flexibility to lock-in optimal off-take agreements / hedges when needed

Has option to raise corporate or project level debt depending on value to shareholders NRG s Development

Attributes

(1)

Implications

Exelon Solution

Lack of

Balance Sheet

Flexibility

Balance

Sheet

Strength

VS.

1.

Per NRG December 1, 2008 investor presentation.

NRG s development model requires external solutions that as a standalone company it cannot implement on its own; and

The potential cost to finance its development projects and the availability of capital. We believe the market will likely discount NRG s standalone growth prospects given: The combined company, given its stronger financial position, will be better positioned to realize the value of growth opportunities than NRG stand alone

3. Committed to Execution

12

Committed to Execution

12

Q4 2008

Q1 2009 Q2 2009 Q3 2009

Q4 2009

Receive Regulatory

Approvals

* Notice filing only

10/19:

Announce Offer

NRG and Exelon

Shareholder

Meetings

2/25: Exchange

Offer Expires

11/12:

Exchange Offer

Filed

Make Filings and Work to Secure Regulatory Approvals

(FERC, NRC, DOJ/FTC, PUCT, NYPSC, PAPUC, CPUC, ICC*)

Proxy Solicitation

Expected

Transaction

Close

13 Strong Initial Exchange Offer Results 13

As of January 6 th , 45.6% of NRG shares had

been tendered into the exchange offer

Many NRG shareholders have informed Exelon they want to see meaningful discussions sooner rather than later

NRG board and management continue to refuse to allow due diligence - designed to verify assumed values and identify additional value - that could lead to a negotiated transaction

Exchange offer extended until February 25 th

Seeking highest possible level of NRG shareholder support to facilitate a negotiated transaction between Exelon and NRG A strong tender result on February 25 th is the best way for NRG shareholders to facilitate a transaction

is the best way for NRG shareholders to facilitate a transaction We remain committed to and are moving forward with the transaction

Moving Forward with Proxy Solicitation

Pursuing, and soliciting proxies for, two shareholder actions at NRG annual meeting

Proposed an expansion of the NRG board from 12 to 19 directors

Nominated nine well-qualified, independent candidates who we believe will act in the best interests of NRG and the NRG shareholders

Encouraging NRG shareholders to support the proposed slate

Materials will be sent to NRG shareholders, including a proxy and instructions on how to vote for the slate of new directors

Vote will take place at the NRG annual shareholder meeting, likely to occur in May or June NRG shareholders deserve independent, well-qualified NRG directors to act in their best interest 14

Making Progress on Regulatory Approvals

Initial filings have been made with the following (1) .

FERC

(Docket #EC09-32-000)

Hart-Scott-Rodino (DOJ/FTC)

Request for additional information was issued by the DOJ on January 16

th

, extending HSR waiting period

NRC

State regulatory commissions, including

Texas

(Docket #36555)

New York

(Docket #08 E 1486)

Filings will also be made with the following:

Pennsylvania and California state regulatory commissions

Various state siting commissions

Notice filing in Illinois

1

As of February 6, 2009

Regulatory hurdles are manageable

15

Financing Is Not an Obstacle

Believe we can obtain committed financing for the entire ~\$8 billion of NRG debt, if needed, at the appropriate time

Decision to defer commitments allows us to take advantage of

improving credit markets

Exelon s relationships with many of NRG s banks should facilitate arrangements for new credit facilities when current conflicts are eliminated

Believe a negotiated combination can be structured in a way to reduce refinancing requirements to \$4B or less

We believe that the contemplated structure would not trigger the change of control provision for NRG s \$4.7B of Senior Notes, and would substantially improve credit metrics for those bondholders

We have asked for approval of the contemplated structure in our regulatory filings

16

Reflecting our confidence that we can obtain committed financing at the appropriate time, our offer is not subject to a financing condition

Full and generous price upfront premium of 37%

Tax-free opportunity to participate in the future growth of the largest and most diversified US power company, with a substantially improved credit profile

and access to liquidity

Requisite scope, scale and financial strength

Stronger credit metrics and investment grade balance sheet

Best-in-class nuclear and fossil operations

Low-cost generator, operating in the most attractive markets

Exelon 2020 principles will be adapted to the combined fleet

Potential for substantial synergies

Manageable regulatory hurdles to close Compelling Value for NRG Shareholders 17

Appendix 18

Without

Premium

0

1,000

3,000 2,000

With

Premium

Conservative

DCF Estimate

Replacement

Costs

NRG Stock Value

NRG Long-Term Value

975

1,350

2,050

3,000+

Price per Kilowatt Comparison for Texas Baseload Generation

Less than 45% of

replacement value

Even with premium, purchase

price is 66% of conservative

long-term DCF value

\$/kW values are for 5,325 MW of Texas baseload which includes Parish coal, Limestone and STP; values implied by NRG sto by subtracting value of other NRG assets from NRG enterprise value based on October 17th close.

Exelon Unlocks NRG Value

Price

(\$/kilowatt)

19

Combination Expected to Create Substantial Synergies Exelon Operations & Maintenance: \$4,289 1 NRG

Maintenance & Other Opex: \$950 General & Admin Expenses: \$309 Other COGS: \$454 Pro Forma Combined Non-fuel Expenses: \$6,002 **Estimated Annual Cost Savings:** \$180 -\$300 2 % of Combined Expenses: 3%-5% Costs to Achieve \$100 NPV of Estimated Synergies: \$1,500-\$3,000 20 (\$ in Millions) Transaction expected to create \$1.5 \$3 billion of value through synergies

with opportunity for more

Reflects no revenue or fuel cost synergies. Excludes transaction and other costs of \$654 million and excludes increased interest expense related to refinancing of NRG debt.

- 1. Company 10-K for 2007 and investor presentations.
- 2. Based on a preliminary analysis of publicly available information. Subject to due diligence investigation.

1

Market capitalization as of 10/17/2008 \$5.3 billion \$0.4 Value to NRG Shareholders

\$2.4 billion
\$5.1
\$2.0
Market cap as of
10/17/08
Premium to NRG
Value of estimated
synergies
Market cap as of
10/17/08
+ premium
+ synergies
Additional upside to
NRG shareholders
Market
capitalization as
of 10/17/2008
\$5.3 billion
(1)
(2)
\$7.7 billion
(3)
(4)
\$12.8 billion
NRG Shareholders Capture Value
Excludes transaction and other costs of \$654 million and excludes increased interest expense related to refinancing of NRG de
1.
Assumes 275M diluted shares outstanding.
2.
Assumes an offer price of \$26.43; 37% premium to 10/17/08 close price; 275M shares outstanding.
3.
Value of synergies to NRG shareholders based on proportionate ownership of combined entity. Synergies estimate based on n
of
\$1.5
billion
-
\$3.0
billion.
4.
Additional
upside
defined
as
the
value
that
is
created
if
both

companies stockssimultaneously reach their respective 52-week high prices (EXC: \$92.13, NRG: \$45.78). 21 Value Creation to NRG Shareholders (\$ billions) Creates compelling value for NRG shareholders today and allows them to share in growth of Exelon stock. Value to NRG shareholders 44% of market cap \$12.8 billion

= \$46.50 per NRG share > NRG s 52-week high

Percent Contribution of Free Cash Flow 22
1.
NRG s
12/1/2008
NRG s
Path

to Shareholder Value presentation, slide 4. **Implied** ownership based on a 0.485xexchange ratio 2. **PECO PPA** assumes market prices as of 11/30/2008. Assumes carbon at \$10-20 per tonne. Not necessarily representative of either company s internal forecast or indicative of results for any other year. NRG states they contribute 30% of the free cash flow while getting 17% ownership of the pro-forma company based on offer 1 NRG s position is only a single year (2008) calculation Ignores PECO PPA roll-off in 2011 and Exelon carbon uplift Factoring in these two omitted pieces for 2008, NRG s free cash flow contribution of the pro-forma

company would be 15-17% for 2008

Pro Forma
Exelon
23
Combined company expected to
have requisite scope, scale and
financial strength to succeed in an
increasingly volatile energy market

Pro Forma Quick Stats (\$s in millions) Combined assets \$68,900 LTM EBITDA \$9,400 Market cap (as of 1/27/2009) \$41,800 Enterprise value \$62,500 Generating capacity ~51,000 MWs Combination Will Result in Scope, Scale and Financial Strength 1. Reflects total assets (under GAAP) with no adjustments. Based upon 9/30/08 Form 10-Q. 2. Reflects Last Twelve Months **EBITDA** (Earnings before Interest, Income Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization) as of

9/30/08

with no adjustments. 3. Calculation of Enterprise Value Market Capitalization (as of 1/27/09) Total Debt (as of 9/30/08) Preferred Securities (as of 9/30/08) Minority Interest (as of 9/30/08) Cash & Cash Equivalents (as of 9/30/08). Debt, Preferred Securities, Minority Interest and Cash

& Cash

Equivalents

based

upon

9/30/08

Form

10-Q.

4.

Includes

owned

and

contracted

capacity

after

giving

effect

to

planned

divestitures

after

regulatory

approvals.

Enterprise

Value

Market Cap

\$0

\$30

\$50

\$60

\$40

\$20

\$70

\$10

Southern

Dominion

Duke

FPL

First

Energy

Entergy

0.0

2.0 4.0

6.0

8.0

10.0

12.0

14.0 **EXC** D **PEG PPL** EIX NRG **MIR** DYN RRI 10/17/2007 10/17/2008 24 Credit Ratings Are a Valuation Differentiator 1-year Forward EV/EBITDA Investment Grade Non-Investment Grade Source: Bloomberg, FactSet as of 10/17/2008 Investment grade credit ratings provide access to capital markets for growth capital and minimize collateral requirements which maximizes liquidity and contributes to superior valuations in difficult markets Multiples of non-investment grade peers have fallen approximately 40%, whereas multiples of EXC and its investment grade peers have fallen less than 15% 5.6 9.6 Non-Investment Grade 6.8 7.7 **Investment Grade** 2008 2007 Average Multiples (x)

6.0% 8.0% 8.80% 12.10%

Exelon Generation

NRG 4.0

6.0 8.0 10.0 12.0 10/18/2007 12/30/2007 3/12/2008 5/24/2008 8/5/2008 10/17/2008 NRG Exelon 25 Stable, Predictable Cash Flow Is Awarded Premium Valuation Exelon s strong, diversified cash flow streams have provided for a more stable valuation during periods of depressed commodity valuations and/or market turbulence. 4.6x7.5x 1. Yield to maturity of weighted average of Exelon Generation outstanding publicly traded debt 2. Yield maturity

of weighted average of NRG outstanding publicly traded debt Source: Per NRG December 1, 2008 investor presentations, Company filings, Bloomberg Average EV / LTM EBITDA for last: Current 1 month 6 months 1 year NRG 4.6 5.8 8.2 8.3 Exelon 7.5 7.8 9.7 9.8 1 2 BBB+ B+ 7/1/08 10/17/08 7/1/08 10/17/08 We believe the market will likely discount NRG s standalone growth prospects given the potential cost

to finance its development projects. Credit Rating

Exelon Debt (YTM) NRG Debt (YTM)

Enterprise Value / LTM EBITDA

Cost of Debt Source: FactSet as of 10/17/2008

6.7% 1/27/09

BBB

8.4% 1/27/09

BBB+

World Class Nuclear & Fossil Operations 26 NRG: High performing nuclear plant

Top quartile capacity factor: 94.9%

Large, well-maintained, relatively young units Fossil fleet

Half of >500 MW coal units are top quartile capacity factor

90% of coal fleet lower-cost PRB and lignite Combined Company:

Largest U.S. power company in terms of generating capacity: ~51,000 MW fleet (18,000 MW nuclear)

Best-in-class nuclear and fossil operations

Second lowest carbon emitting intensity in the industry

Geographic and fuel diversification with an improved dispatch profile Exelon:

Premier U.S. nuclear fleet

Best fleet capacity factor: ~ 94%

Lowest fleet production costs: ~ \$15 / MWh

Shortest fleet average refueling outage duration: 24 days

Strong reputation for performance and safety

100

Operator (# of Reactors)

Range

5-Year Average

Sources:

Platt s,

Nuclear

News,

Nuclear

Energy

Institute

and

Energy

Information

Administration

(Department

of

Energy).

Sustained production excellence

EXC: World-Class Nuclear Fleet Operator

Average Capacity Factor

Range of Fleet 2-Yr Avg Capacity Factor (2003-2007)

EXC 93.5%

80%

85%

90%

95%

100%

Exelon

Industry

27

```
1
st
Quartile
2
nd
Quartile
3
```

rd
Quartile
4
th
Quartile
2006-2007 Average Production Cost for
Major Nuclear Operators
(1)
Average
Among major nuclear plant fleet operators, Exelon is consistently
the lowest-cost producer of electricity in the nation
EXC: Lowest Cost Nuclear Fleet Operator
1.
Source: 2007 Electric Utility Cost Group (EUCG) survey. Includes

Source: 2007 Electric Utility Cost Group (EUCG) survey. Include Fuel Cost plus Direct O&M divided by net generation. 28

29

Operating in Most Attractive Markets

Geographically complementary generation asset base

Predominantly located in competitive markets

Strong presence in PJM (Mid-Atlantic and Midwest) and ERCOT 6,280 Contracted* 51,403 2,085 **CAL ISO** 13,027 **ERCOT** By RTO Combined¹ PJM 22,812 MISO 1,065 ISO NE 2,174 **NYISO** 3,960 **SERC** 2,405 **WECC** 45 Total 53,853 By Fuel Type Combined¹ Nuclear 18,144 Coal 8,986 Gas/Oil 18,801 Other 1,642 Contracted 6,280 *Contracted in various RTOs, mainly in PJM and **ERCOT** 1.

Excludes international assets. Before

any divestitures. Exelon NRG

```
<1%
<1%
6%
Coal
Exelon
~150,000 GWh
1
```

Pro Forma Exelon ~198,000 GWh Nuclear PRB & Lignite Coal Other Coal Gas/Oil Hydro/Other 2009 Historical Forward Coal Prices Combined Entity Will Continue to Benefit from Low Cost, Low Volatility Fuel Sources Powder River Basin and lignite coal supply (90% of NRG s coal) provides low-sulfur at a relatively stable price as compared to northern and central Appalachian coal mines. 0.00 1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 Powder River Basin Northern Appalachian Central Appalachian **Production Costs** 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 Nuclear Gas Coal Petroleum Combined fleet will continue to be predominantly low-cost fuel. 93%

Nuclear

1% 3% 75% Nuclear 6% Other Coal 1. Based on 2007 data, does not include ~38,000 GWh of Exelon Purchased Power. Q1 2007 Q2 2007 Q3 2007 Q4 2007 Q1 2008 Q2 2008 Q3 2008 cents/Kwh \$/mmbtu 30 15%

PRB & Lignite Coal

200 250 2006 Electricity Generated (GWh, in thousands) NRG TVA **AEP** Duke **FPL** Southern Exelon + NRG Entergy Exelon Dominion **Progress** FirstEnergy Bubble size represents carbon intensity, expressed in terms of metric tons of CO2 per MWh generated Source: EIA and EPA data as compiled by NRDC Exelon 2020 principles will be adapted to the combined fleet CO2 Emissions of Largest US Electricity Generators 2006 CO2 Emissions from Electricity Generation (in million metric tons) Largest Fleet, 2 nd Lowest Carbon Intensity Top Generators by CO2 Intensity 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 **AEP** NRG Southern Duke FirstEnergy

TVA

Progress

Dominion

FPL

Exelon + NRG

Entergy

Exelon

0.83

0.80

0.74

0.66

0.64

0.64

0.57

0.50

0.35

0.31

0.26

0.07

31

Exelon 2020 and NRG Offer more low carbon electricity in the marketplace Reduce emissions from coal/oil fired generation Help our customers and the communities we serve reduce their GHG emissions Reduce or offset our footprint by greening our operations Adapt Elements of Exelon 2020 to NRG

Expand internal energy efficiency, SF6, vehicle, and supply chain initiatives to NRG portfolio

Offset a portion of NRG s GHG emissions

Expand energy efficiency program offerings

Add capacity to existing nuclear units through uprates

Add new renewable generation

Add new gas-fired capacity

Continue to explore new nuclear

Address older/higher emitting coal and oil units

Invest in clean coal technology R&D 32
Taking the next step in Exelon s commitment to address climate change

Options to Evaluate: Expand the 2020 Plan

Clear Value under Multiple Scenarios Value Gas Prices New Build Costs Carbon Year/Price Recession \$0

\$6.50 \$1,300 Moderate 2014/\$22 \$7.30 \$1,100 Moderate 2020/\$22 \$7.10 \$1,100 Severe 2014/\$22 \$7.30 \$1,500 Moderate 2012/\$12 \$8.60 \$1,500 Moderate 33 We look at fundamental value creation under a wide range of future commodity price scenarios and our analysis suggests \$1-3 billion of value, possibly more. Gas price is long-term price in 2008 \$/MMBtu; coal price is long-term price in 2008 \$/ton for PRB8800 excluding transportation; new build

cost is

long-term combined

cycle

cost

in

PJM

in

2008

overnight

\$/kW;

carbon

year

is

year

in

which

national

cap

and

trade

starts;

carbon

price

is

in 2012

\$/tonne

assuming

7%

escalation;

moderate

recession

assumes

conditions

consistent

with

current

forward

prices;

and severe

.

recession

assumes

five

years

of

no load

growth.

Coal Prices

\$11.00

\$20.00

\$20.00 \$20.00 \$11.00

34
Exelon More Than Meets the Five Imperatives
Outlined by NRG on May 28, 2008

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

5.

NRG s Stated Imperatives

MUST

accumulate

generation

at

competitive

cost

This transaction accomplishes in one step what several transactions might have accomplished for NRG in these regards. Given the current difficulty in accessing capital markets, it is unclear whether NRG would have the ability to meet this objective without Exelon. Exelon provides NRG stakeholders with broad trading expertise and sound power marketing and risk management practices. Exelon s significant experience in markets with locational prices is particularly relevant since ERCOT is moving to a PJM-type structure. Exelon s breadth of operations and depth of service allows significant access to customers, retail providers, and other sales channels.

NRG stakeholders become part of the most diversified and competitive generation portfolio operating in 12 different states and 6 different regional transmission organizations.

Deal expected to provide NRG stakeholders with significant value and upside and a share of the largest unregulated generation fleet in the United States.

MUST

be

geographically

diversified

in

multiple

markets

MUST

develop

and

expand

our

route

to

market

through

contracting

with

retail

load

providers,

trading,

direct

sales,

etc

MUST

have sophisticated ability to trade, procure, hedge, and originate for electricity $\quad \text{and} \quad$ input fuels **MUST** develop depth and breadth in key markets, particularly across fuel types, transmission constraints and

merit order

Exelon Combination More than Meets These Imperatives