KROGER CO Form 10-K March 29, 2011

(Mark One)

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended January 29, 2011.

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-303

# THE KROGER CO.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	31-0345740 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
1014 Vine Street, Cincinnati, OH (Address of Principal Executive Offices)	<b>45202</b> (Zip Code)
Registrant s telephone number	r, including area code (513) 762-4000
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:	
Title of each class Common Stock \$1 par value	Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange
Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:	
N	NONE
(Title	e of class)
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issu	her, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No o
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports p	oursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x
	required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act at registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to
	cally and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data alation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or bost such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§299.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by

reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock of The Kroger Co. held by non-affiliates as of August 14, 2010: \$14.0 billion. There were 620,635,423 shares of Common Stock (\$1 par value) outstanding as of March 25, 2011.

# **Documents Incorporated by Reference:**

Portions of proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act on or before May 31, 2011, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

### PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

The Kroger Co. was founded in 1883 and incorporated in 1902. As of January 29, 2011, the Company was one of the largest retailers in the United States based on annual sales. The Company also manufactures and processes some of the food for sale in its supermarkets. The Company s principal executive offices are located at 1014 Vine Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202, and its telephone number is (513) 762-4000. The Company maintains a web site (www.kroger.com) that includes additional information about the Company. The Company makes available through its web site, free of charge, its annual reports on Form 10-K, its quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, its current reports on Form 8-K and its interactive data files, including amendments. These forms are available as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company has filed them with, or furnished them electronically to, the SEC.

The Company s revenues are earned and cash is generated as consumer products are sold to customers in its stores. The Company earns income predominantly by selling products at price levels that produce revenues in excess of its costs to make these products available to its customers. Such costs include procurement and distribution costs, facility occupancy and operational costs, and overhead expenses. The Company s fiscal year ends on the Saturday closest to January 31.

### **EMPLOYEES**

As of January 29, 2011, the Company employed approximately 338,000 full and part-time employees. A majority of the Company s employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements negotiated with local unions affiliated with one of several different international unions. There are approximately 300 such agreements, usually with terms of three to five years.

During 2011, the Company has major labor contracts to be negotiated covering store employees in southern California, Memphis and West Virginia. The Company will also negotiate agreements with the Teamsters who represent some of our associates in distribution and manufacturing operations in the Midwest. Negotiations in 2011 will be challenging as the Company strives for competitive cost structures in each market while meeting our associates needs for good wages and affordable health care and maintain our ability to make expected increased contributions to our pension plans.

### **STORES**

As of January 29, 2011, the Company operated, either directly or through its subsidiaries, 2,460 supermarkets and multi-department stores, 1,014 of which had fuel centers. Approximately 44% of these supermarkets were operated in Company-owned facilities, including some Company-owned buildings on leased land. The Company s current strategy emphasizes self-development and ownership of store real estate. The Company s stores operate under several banners that have strong local ties and brand recognition. Supermarkets are generally operated under one of the following formats: combination food and drug stores (combo stores); multi-department stores; marketplace stores; or price impact warehouses.

The combo stores are the primary food store format. They typically draw customers from a 2 2½ mile radius. The Company believes this format is successful because the stores are large enough to offer the specialty departments that customers desire for one-stop shopping, including natural food and organic sections, pharmacies, general merchandise, pet centers and high-quality perishables such as fresh seafood and organic produce.

Multi-department stores are significantly larger in size than combo stores. In addition to the departments offered at a typical combo store, multi-department stores sell a wide selection of general merchandise items such as apparel, home fashion and furnishings, electronics, automotive products, toys and fine jewelry.

Marketplace stores are smaller in size than multi-department stores. They offer full-service grocery and pharmacy departments as well as an expanded general merchandise area that includes outdoor living products, electronics, home goods and toys.

Price impact warehouse stores offer a no-frills, low cost warehouse format and feature everyday low prices plus promotions for a wide selection of grocery and health and beauty care items. Quality meat, dairy, baked goods and fresh produce items provide a competitive advantage. The average size of a price impact warehouse store is similar to that of a combo store.

Many of the stores mentioned above, with exception of the price impact warehouse stores, include fuel centers.

In addition to the supermarkets, as of January 29, 2011, the Company operated through subsidiaries 784 convenience stores and 361 fine jewelry stores. All of our fine jewelry stores located in malls are operated in leased locations. In addition, 87 convenience stores were operated through franchise agreements. Approximately 52% of the convenience stores operated by subsidiaries were operated in Company-owned facilities. The convenience stores offer a limited assortment of staple food items and general merchandise and, in most cases, sell gasoline.

### **SEGMENTS**

The Company operates retail food and drug stores, multi-department stores, jewelry stores, and convenience stores throughout the United States. The Company s retail operations, which represent substantially all of the Company s consolidated sales, earnings and total assets, are its only reportable segment. All of the Company s operations are domestic. Revenues, profit and losses, and total assets are shown in the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 8 below.

### MERCHANDISING AND MANUFACTURING

Corporate brand products play an important role in the Company s merchandising strategy. Our supermarkets, on average, stock approximately 11,000 private label items. The Company s corporate brand products are produced and sold in three tiers. Private Selection is the premium quality brand designed to be a unique item in a category or to meet or beat the gourmet or upscale brands. The banner brand (Kroger, Ralphs, King Soopers, etc.), which represents the majority of the Company s private label items, is designed to satisfy customers with quality products. Before Kroger will carry a banner brand product we must be satisfied that the product quality meets our customers expectations in taste and efficacy, and we guarantee it. Kroger Value is the value brand, designed to deliver good quality at a very affordable price.

Approximately 40% of the corporate brand units sold are produced in the Company s manufacturing plants; the remaining corporate brand items are produced to the Company s strict specifications by outside manufacturers. The Company performs a make or buy analysis on corporate brand products and decisions are based upon a comparison of market-based transfer prices versus open market purchases. As of January 29, 2011, the Company operated 40 manufacturing plants. These plants consisted of 18 dairies, 10 deli or bakery plants, five grocery product plants, three beverage plants, two meat plants and two cheese plants.

### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The disclosure regarding executive officers is set forth in Item 10 of Part III of this Form 10-K under the heading Executive Officers of the Company, and is incorporated herein by reference.

### COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT

For the disclosure related to the Company s competitive environment, see Item 1A under the heading Competitive Environment .

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

There are risks and uncertainties that can affect our business. The significant risk factors are discussed below. Please also see the Outlook section in Item 7 of this Form 10-K for forward-looking statements and factors that could cause us not to realize our goals or meet our expectations.

### COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT

The operating environment for the food retailing industry continues to be characterized by intense price competition, aggressive supercenter expansion, increasing fragmentation of retail formats, entry of non-traditional competitors and market consolidation. We have developed a strategic plan that we believe is a balanced approach that will enable Kroger to meet the wide-ranging needs and expectations of our customers in this challenging economic environment. However, the nature and extent to which our competitors implement various pricing and promotional activities in response to increasing competition, including our execution of our strategic plan, and our response to these competitive actions, can adversely affect our profitability. Our profitability and growth have been and could continue to be adversely affected by changes in the overall economic environment that affect consumer spending, including discretionary spending.

### FOOD SAFETY

Customers count on Kroger to provide them with wholesome food products. Concerns regarding the safety of food products could cause shoppers to avoid purchasing certain products from us, or to seek alternative sources of supply for all of their food needs, even if the basis for the concern is outside our control. Any lost confidence on the part of our customers would be difficult and costly to reestablish. Any issue regarding the safety of food items sold by Kroger, regardless of the cause, could have a substantial and adverse effect on our operations.

### LABOR RELATIONS

A majority of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements with unions, and our relationship with those unions, including a prolonged work stoppage affecting a substantial number of locations, could have a material adverse effect on our results.

We are a party to approximately 300 collective bargaining agreements. We have various labor agreements that will be negotiated in 2011, covering store employees in southern California, Memphis and West Virginia. The Company will also negotiate agreements with the Teamsters who represent some of our associates in distribution and manufacturing operations in the Midwest. Upon the expiration of our collective bargaining agreements, work stoppages by the affected workers could occur if we are unable to negotiate new contracts with labor unions. A prolonged work stoppage affecting a substantial number of locations could have a material adverse effect on our results. Further, if we are unable to control health care, pension and wage costs, or have sufficient operational flexibility under our collective bargaining agreements, we may experience increased operating costs and an adverse effect on future results of operations.

### STRATEGY EXECUTION

Our strategy focuses on improving our customers—shopping experiences through improved service, product selection and price. Successful execution of this strategy requires a balance between sales growth and earnings growth. Maintaining this strategy requires the ability to develop and execute plans to generate cost savings and productivity improvements that can be invested in the merchandising and pricing initiatives necessary to support our customer-focused programs, as well as recognizing and implementing organizational changes as required. If we are unable to execute our plans, or if our plans fail to meet our customers—expectations, our sales and earnings growth could be adversely affected.

### DATA AND TECHNOLOGY

Our business is increasingly dependent on information technology systems that are complex and vital to continuing operations. If we were to experience difficulties maintaining existing systems or implementing new systems, we could incur significant losses due to disruptions in our operations.

4

Through our sales and marketing activities, we collect and store some personal information that our customers provide to us. We also gather and retain information about our associates in the normal course of business. Under certain circumstances, we may share information with vendors that assist us in conducting our business, as required by law, or with the permission of the individual. Although we have implemented procedures to protect that information, we cannot be certain that all of our systems are entirely free from vulnerability to attack. Computer hackers may attempt to penetrate our or our vendors network security and, if successful, misappropriate confidential customer or business information. In addition, a Kroger associate, contractor, or other third party with whom we do business may attempt to circumvent our security measures in order to obtain information or inadvertently cause a breach involving the information. Loss of customer or business information could disrupt our operations, damage our reputation, and expose us to claims from customers, financial institutions, regulatory authorities, payment card associations, associates, and other persons, any of which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, compliance with tougher privacy and information security laws and standards may result in significant expense due to increased investment in technology and the development of new operational processes.

### **INDEBTEDNESS**

As of year-end 2010, Kroger s outstanding indebtedness, including capital leases and financing obligations, totaled approximately \$7.9 billion. This indebtedness could reduce our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, acquisitions or other purposes and could make us more vulnerable to economic downturns and competitive pressures. If debt markets do not permit us to refinance certain maturing debt, we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness. Changes in our credit ratings, or in the interest rate environment, could have an adverse effect on our financing costs and structure.

### **LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

From time to time, we are a party to legal proceedings, including matters involving personnel and employment issues, personal injury, antitrust claims and other proceedings. Others purport to be brought as class actions on behalf of similarly situated parties. Some of these proceedings could result in a substantial loss to Kroger. We estimate our exposure to these legal proceedings and establish accruals for the estimated liabilities, where it is reasonably possible to estimate and where an adverse outcome is probable. Assessing and predicting the outcome of these matters involves substantial uncertainties. Adverse outcomes in these legal proceedings, or changes in our evaluations or predictions about the proceedings, could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. Please also refer to the Legal Proceedings section in Item 3 below.

### MULTI-EMPLOYER PENSION OBLIGATIONS

As discussed in more detail below in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Critical Accounting Policies-*Post-Retirement Benefit Plans*, Kroger contributes to several multi-employer pension plans based on obligations arising under collective bargaining agreements with unions representing employees covered by those agreements. The funding status of most of those pension funds has deteriorated since year-end 2007, and it is probable that the Company s contributions to those funds will have meaningful increases over the next few years. A significant increase to those funding requirements could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. Despite the fact that the pension obligations of these funds are not the liability or responsibility of the Company, there is a risk that the agencies that rate Kroger s outstanding debt instruments could view the underfunded nature of these plans unfavorably when determining their ratings on our debt securities. Any downgrading of Kroger s debt ratings likely would affect Kroger s cost of borrowing and access to capital.

### **INSURANCE**

We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance to provide for potential liability for workers compensation, automobile and general liability, property, director and officers liability, and employee health care benefits. Any actuarial projection of losses is subject to a high degree of variability. Changes in legal claims, trends and interpretations, variability in inflation rates, changes in the nature and method of claims settlement, benefit level changes due to changes in applicable laws, insolvency of insurance carriers, and changes in discount rates could all affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

5

### CURRENT ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

The global economy and financial markets have experienced volatility due to uncertainties related to energy prices, availability of credit, difficulties in the banking and financial services sectors, the decline in the housing market, diminished market liquidity, falling consumer confidence and rising unemployment rates. As a result, consumers have been more cautious. Consumers have reduced spending and have switched to less expensive mixes of products. They also have been patronizing discounters and dollar stores for grocery items to a greater extent, all of which has affected and could continue to affect our sales growth and earnings. Unrest in the oil-producing countries has resulted in increased fuel prices that could have an effect on consumer spending and on our costs of producing and procuring products that we sell. Our ability to pass higher prices along to consumers due to inflation or other reasons could have an effect on consumer spending. We are unable to predict how the global economy and financial markets will perform. If the global economy and financial markets do not perform as we expect, it could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operation, or cash flows.

### WEATHER AND NATURAL DISASTERS

A large number of our stores and distribution facilities are geographically located in areas that are susceptible to hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, and earthquakes. Weather conditions and natural disasters could disrupt our operations at one or more of our facilities; could interrupt the delivery of products to our stores; could substantially increase the cost of products, including supplies and materials; and could substantially increase the cost of energy needed to operate our facilities or deliver products to our facilities. Although our geographic diversity minimizes the risk that a natural disaster will impair the operation of the Company as a whole, adverse weather and natural disasters could materially affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

### **GOVERNMENT REGULATION**

Our stores are subject to various laws, regulations, and administrative practices that affect our business. We must comply with numerous provisions regulating, among other things, health and sanitation standards, food labeling and safety, equal employment opportunity, minimum wages, and licensing for the sale of food, drugs, and alcoholic beverages. We cannot predict future laws, regulations, interpretations, administrative orders, or applications, or the effect they will have on our operations. They could, however, significantly increase the cost of doing business. They also could require the reformulation of some of the products that we sell (or manufacture for sale to third parties) to meet new standards. We also could be required to recall or discontinue the sale of products that cannot be reformulated. These changes could result in additional record keeping, expanded documentation of the properties of certain products, expanded or different labeling, or scientific substantiation. Any or all of these requirements could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

TTEM 1B.	UNKESOLVED STAFF	COMMENTS.

\_\_\_\_

None.

### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

As of January 29, 2011, the Company operated more than 3,500 owned or leased supermarkets, convenience stores, fine jewelry stores, distribution warehouses and food processing facilities through divisions, subsidiaries or affiliates. These facilities are located throughout the United States. While the Company s current strategy emphasizes ownership of store real estate, a majority of the properties used to conduct the Company s business are leased.

The Company generally owns store equipment, fixtures and leasehold improvements, as well as processing and manufacturing equipment. The total cost of the Company s owned assets and capitalized leases, at January 29, 2011, was \$26.7 billion while the accumulated depreciation was \$12.6 billion.

Leased premises generally have base terms ranging from ten-to-twenty years with renewal options for additional periods. Some options provide the right to purchase the property after conclusion of the lease term. Store rentals are normally payable monthly at a stated amount or at a guaranteed minimum amount plus a percentage of sales over a stated dollar volume. Rentals for the distribution, manufacturing and miscellaneous facilities generally are payable monthly at stated amounts. For additional information on lease obligations, see Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

On October 6, 2006, the Company petitioned the Tax Court (*Ralphs Grocery Company and Subsidiaries, formerly known as Ralphs Supermarkets, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Docket No. 20364-06*) for a redetermination of deficiencies asserted by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. The dispute at issue involves a 1992 transaction in which Ralphs Holding Company acquired the stock of Ralphs Grocery Company and made an election under Section 338(h)(10) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner determined that the acquisition of the stock was not a purchase as defined by Section 338(h)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and that the acquisition therefore does not qualify for a Section 338(h)(10) election. On January 27, 2011, the Tax Court issued its opinion upholding the Company s position that the acquisition of the stock qualified as a purchase, granting the Company s motion for partial summary judgment and denying the Tax Commissioner s motion. We anticipate that all remaining issues in the matter will be resolved and the Tax Court will enter its decision. The parties will then have 90 days to file an appeal. As of January 29, 2011, an adverse decision would have required a cash payment of up to approximately \$516 million, including interest. Any accounting implications of an adverse decision in this case would be charged through the statement of operations.

On February 2, 2004, the Attorney General for the State of California filed an action in Los Angeles federal court (*California, ex rel Lockyer v. Safeway, Inc. dba Vons, a Safeway Company; Albertson s, Inc. and Ralphs Grocery Company, a division of The Kroger Co.*, United States District Court Central District of California, Case No. CV04-0687) alleging that the Mutual Strike Assistance Agreement (the Agreement) between the Company, Albertson s, Inc. and Safeway Inc. (collectively, the Retailers), which was designed to prevent the union from placing disproportionate pressure on one or more of the Retailers by picketing such Retailer(s) but not the other Retailer(s) during the labor dispute in southern California, violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The lawsuit seeks declarative and injunctive relief. On May 28, 2008, pursuant to a stipulation between the parties, the court entered a final judgment in favor of the defendants. The Attorney General appealed a trial court ruling to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the defendants appealed a separate ruling. On August 17, 2010, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals held that the Agreement violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act, and it remanded the matter to the District Court for entry of a judgment in favor of the plaintiff and for any further proceedings consistent with its opinion. On February 11, 2011, the Court determined to re-hear the appeal *en banc*. Based on the information presently available to the Company, management does not expect that the ultimate resolution of this action will have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, including suits charging violations of certain antitrust, wage and hour, or civil rights laws, as well as product liability cases, are pending against the Company. Some of these suits purport or have been determined to be class actions and/or seek substantial damages. Any damages that may be awarded in antitrust cases will be automatically trebled. Although it is not possible at this time to evaluate the merits of all of these claims and lawsuits, nor their likelihood of success, the Company is of the belief that any resulting liability will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company continually evaluates its exposure to loss contingencies arising from pending or threatened litigation and believes it has made provisions where it is reasonably possible to estimate and where an adverse outcome is probable. Nonetheless, assessing and predicting the outcomes of these matters involve substantial uncertainties. It remains possible that despite management s current belief, material differences in actual outcomes or changes in management s evaluation or predictions could arise that could have a material adverse impact on the Company s financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

### ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED).

### PART II

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

(a)

### COMMON STOCK PRICE RANGE

	20:	10			2009		
Quarter	High		Low	,	High		Low
1st	\$ 23.76	\$	20.95	\$	23.01	\$	19.39
2nd	\$ 22.50	\$	19.08	\$	23.63	\$	20.51
3rd	\$ 23.47	\$	19.67	\$	24.80	\$	20.13
4th	\$ 24.14	\$	20.53	\$	24.12	\$	19.45

Main trading market: New York Stock Exchange (Symbol KR)

Number of shareholders of record at year-end 2010: 38,350

Number of shareholders of record at March 25, 2011: 38,047

During 2009, the Company paid three quarterly dividends of \$0.09 and one quarterly dividend of \$0.095. During 2010, the Company paid three quarterly dividends of \$0.095 and one quarterly dividend of \$0.105. On March 1, 2011, the Company paid a quarterly dividend of \$0.105 per share. On March 10, 2011, the Company announced that its Board of Directors has declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.105 per share, payable on June 1, 2011, to shareholders of record at the close of business on May 16, 2011.

### PERFORMANCE GRAPH

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the five-year cumulative total shareholder return on Kroger s common stock, based on the market price of the common stock and assuming reinvestment of dividends, with the cumulative total return of companies in the Standard & Poor s 500 Stock Index and a peer group composed of food and drug companies.

Historically, our peer group has consisted of the major food store companies. In recent years there have been significant changes in the industry, including consolidation and increased competition from supercenters, drug chains, and discount stores. As a result, several years ago we changed our peer group to include companies operating supermarkets, supercenters and warehouse clubs in the United States as well as the major drug chains with which Kroger competes. In 2008, we changed our peer group (the Peer Group ) once again to add Tesco plc, as it has become a competitor in the U.S. market.

8

	Base			INDEXED RETURNS					
	Period			Years Ending					
Company Name/Index	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
The Kroger Co.	100	140.47	142.70	125.15	121.21	122.56			
S&P 500 Index	100	114.99	112.92	68.47	91.16	111.38			
Peer Group	100	113 32	116.76	94.82	117 48	127 53			

Kroger s fiscal year ends on the Saturday closest to January 31.

<sup>\*</sup> Total assumes \$100 invested on January 28, 2006, in The Kroger Co., S&P 500 Index, and the Peer Group, with reinvestment of dividends.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Peer Group consists of Costco Wholesale Corp., CVS Corp, Delhaize Group SA (ADR), Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Company, Inc., Koninklijke Ahold NV (ADR), Safeway, Inc., Supervalu Inc., Target Corp., Tesco plc, Wal-Mart Stores Inc., Walgreen Co., Whole Foods Market Inc. and Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc. Albertson s, Inc. was a member of the peer group in prior years but was substantially acquired by Supervalu in July 2006, and therefore no longer is included. Marsh Supermarkets was a member of the peer group in prior years but was acquired by Marsh Supermarkets Holding Corp. in September 2006, and therefore no longer is included. Winn-Dixie emerged from bankruptcy in 2006 as a new issue and returns for the stock of both the old and new issue were calculated then weighted to determine the 2006 return.

_					
Data sunt	olied	by Stai	ndard a	& Poc	or s.

The foregoing Performance Graph will not be deemed incorporated by reference into any other filing, absent an express reference thereto.

9

(c)

### ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

			<b>Total Number of</b>	Maximum Dollar
			Shares	Value of Shares
			Purchased as	that May Yet Be
			Part of Publicly	Purchased Under
	<b>Total Number</b>	Average	Announced	the Plans or
	of Shares	Price Paid	Plans or	Programs (3)
Period (1)	Purchased	Per Share	Programs (2)	(in millions)
First period - four weeks				
November 7, 2010 to December 4, 2010	918,653	\$ 22.84	918,653	\$ 335
Second period - four weeks				
December 5, 2010 to January 1, 2011	6,022,424	\$ 21.16	6,022,424	\$ 211
Third period four weeks				
January 2, 2011 to January 29, 2011	4,851,359	\$ 21.69	4,851,359	\$ 107
Total	11,792,436	\$ 21.51	11,792,436	\$ 107

<sup>(1)</sup> The reported periods conform to the Company s fiscal calendar composed of thirteen 28-day periods. The fourth quarter of 2010 contained three 28-day periods.

### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

	nuary 29, 2011 52 weeks)	January 30, 2010 (52 weeks)* (In millio	J:	l Years Ended anuary 31, 2009 52 weeks)* cept per share a	(	February 2, 2008 (52 weeks)*	ebruary 3, 2007 53 weeks)
Sales	\$ 82,189	\$ 76,733	\$	76,148	\$	70,336	\$ 66,166
Net earnings including noncontrolling							
interests	1,133	57		1,250		1,224	1,126
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger							
Co.	1,116	70		1,249		1,209	1,115
	1.74	0.11		1.89		1.73	1.54

<sup>(2)</sup> Shares were repurchased under (i) a \$500 million stock repurchase program, authorized by the Board of Directors on June 24, 2010, and (ii) a program announced on December 6, 1999, to repurchase common stock to reduce dilution resulting from our employee stock option and long-term incentive plans, which program is limited to proceeds received from exercises of stock options and the tax benefits associated therewith. The programs have no expiration date but may be terminated by the Board of Directors at any time. Total shares purchased include shares that were surrendered to the Company by participants under the Company s long-term incentive plans to pay for taxes on restricted stock awards.

<sup>(3)</sup> Amounts shown in this column reflect amounts remaining under the \$500 million stock repurchase program referenced in clause (i) of Note 2 above. Amounts to be invested under the program utilizing option exercise proceeds are dependent upon option exercise activity. On March 3, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized an additional \$1 billion stock repurchase program.

Edgar Filing: KROGER CO - Form 10-K

Net earnings attributable to The Kroger

Co. per diluted common share Total assets 23,505 23,126 23,290 22,372 21,210 Long-term liabilities, including obligations under capital leases and financing obligations 10,137 10,473 10,311 8,696 8,711 Total Shareowners equity The Kroger 5,296 4,852 4,962 4,923 Co. 5,225 Cash dividends per common share 0.39 0.365 0.345 0.29 0.195

<sup>\*</sup>Certain prior year amounts have been revised or reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. For further information, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

### **OUR BUSINESS**

The Kroger Co. was founded in 1883 and incorporated in 1902. It is one of the nation s largest retailers, as measured by revenue, operating 2,460 supermarket and multi-department stores under two dozen banners including Kroger, City Market, Dillons, Jay C, Food 4 Less, Fred Meyer, Fry s, King Soopers, QFC, Ralphs and Smith s. Of these stores, 1,014 have fuel centers. We also operate 784 convenience stores and 361 fine jewelry stores.

Kroger operates 40 manufacturing plants, primarily bakeries and dairies, which supply approximately 40% of the corporate brand units sold in our retail outlets.

Our revenues are earned and cash is generated as consumer products are sold to customers in our stores. We earn income predominately by selling products at price levels that produce revenues in excess of the costs we incur to make these products available to our customers. Such costs include procurement and distribution costs, facility occupancy and operational costs, and overhead expenses. Our operations are reported as a single reportable segment: the retail sale of merchandise to individual customers.

### **OUR 2010 PERFORMANCE**

Due to our consistent approach to managing our business and following our Customer 1st strategy, which continues to connect with a broad range of shoppers, we were able to report solid results in 2010. Our results reflect the balance we seek to achieve across our business including positive identical sales growth, increased tonnage and loyal household count, good cost control, as well as growth in earnings and earnings per diluted share. Our 2010 earnings were \$1.74 per diluted share or \$1.76 per diluted share, excluding the non-cash goodwill impairment charge totaling \$18 million, pre-tax (\$12 million, after-tax), related to a small number of stores. Our identical supermarket sales increased by 2.8%, excluding fuel. We have achieved 29 consecutive quarters of positive identical sales growth, excluding fuel. As we continue to outpace many of our competitors on identical sales growth, we continue to gain market share. We focus on identical supermarket sales growth, excluding fuel, because our business model emphasizes this primary component and those sales generate earnings and free cash flow that reward our shareholders.

Market share is an important part of our long-term strategy as it best reflects how our products and services resonate with customers. Market share growth allows us to spread the fixed costs in our business over a wider revenue base. Our fundamental operating philosophy is to maintain and increase market share by offering customers good prices and superior products and service. Based on Nielsen Homescan Data, our estimated market share increased in total by approximately 80 basis points in 2010 across the 19 marketing areas outlined by the Nielsen report. This information also indicates that our market share increased in 13 of the marketing areas, declined slightly in four, and remained unchanged in two. Our overall market share grew by approximately 75 basis points in 2010 in 17 of the 19 marketing areas where Wal-Mart supercenters are a primary competitor. Nielsen Homescan Data is generated by customers who self-report their grocery purchases to Nielsen, regardless of retail channel or grocery outlet. This data illustrates that not only are we growing bigger among traditional grocers, we are also gaining ground in the larger retail market for grocery and consumable items, which includes many non-traditional competitors. These market share results are consistent with our long-term strategy.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion summarizes our operating results for 2010 compared to 2009 and for 2009 compared to 2008. Comparability is affected by income and expense items that fluctuated significantly between and among the periods.

Net Earnings

Net earnings totaled \$1.1 billion in 2010, \$70 million in 2009 and \$1.2 billion in 2008. The net earnings for 2010 include a non-cash goodwill impairment charge totaling \$12 million, after-tax, related to a small number of stores. The net earnings for 2009 include non-cash asset impairment charges totaling \$1.05 billion, after-tax, related to a division in southern California (the non-cash impairment charges). The 2009 impairment primarily resulted from the write-off of the Ralphs division goodwill balance. Excluding these impairments charges for 2010 and 2009, adjusted net earnings for both 2010 and 2009 would have been \$1.1 billion. Adjusted net earnings for 2010 improved due to lower interest expense, favorable resolutions for certain tax issues and higher retail fuel margins, partially offset by decreased non-fuel operating profit and a LIFO charge of \$57 million pre-tax, compared to a LIFO charge of \$49 million pre-tax in 2009. The decrease in adjusted net earnings for 2009, compared to 2008 net earnings, resulted from lower retail fuel margins and decreased operating profit, partially offset by a LIFO charge of \$49 million pre-tax, compared to a LIFO charge of \$196 million pre-tax in 2008. 2008 net earnings also included after-tax costs of \$16 million from disruption and damage caused by Hurricane Ike.

Management believes adjusted net earnings (and adjusted net earnings per diluted share) are useful metrics to investors and analysts because impairment charges are non-recurring, non-cash charges that are not directly related to our day-to-day business.

2010 net earnings per diluted share totaled \$1.74, and adjusted net earnings per diluted share in 2010 totaled \$1.76, which excludes the \$0.02 per diluted share for the non-cash goodwill impairment charge. Net earnings per diluted share was \$0.11 in 2009, and adjusted net earnings per diluted share in 2009 was \$1.71, which excludes the \$1.60 per diluted share for the non-cash asset impairment charges. Net earnings per diluted share totaled \$1.89 in 2008, and adjusted net earnings per diluted share in 2008 was \$1.91, which excludes the \$0.02 per diluted share for costs for damage and disruption caused by Hurricane Ike. Adjusted net earnings per diluted share in 2010 increased due to increased retail fuel margins and the repurchase of Kroger stock, partially offset by reduced non-fuel net earnings. The decline in adjusted net earnings per diluted share in 2009 resulted from lower retail fuel margins and decreased operating profit, partially offset by lower LIFO charges and after-tax costs of \$16 million from disruption and damage caused by Hurricane Ike in 2008.

Sales

### **Total Sales**

(in millions)

		Percentage		Percentage		
	2010	Increase	2009	Increase	2008	
Total supermarket sales without fuel	\$ 67,882	3.4% \$	65,649	2.9% \$	63,795	

Total supermarket fuel sales	9,111	36.6%	6,671	(10.6)%	7,464
Total supermarket sales Other sales(1)	\$ 76,993 5,196	6.5% \$ 17.7%	72,320 4,413	1.5% \$ (9.7)%	71,259 4,889
Total sales	\$ 82.189	7.1% \$	76,733	0.8% \$	76,148

<sup>(1)</sup> Other sales primarily relate to sales at convenience stores, including fuel; jewelry stores; manufacturing plants to outside customers; variable interest entities; and an in-store health clinic.

The increase in total sales for 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily the result of our identical supermarket sales increase, excluding fuel, of 2.8% and an increase in supermarket fuel sales of 36.6%. Total supermarket fuel sales increased over the same period due to a 16.8% increase in average retail fuel prices and a 17.2% increase in fuel gallons sold. The increase in the average supermarket retail fuel price was caused by an increase in the product cost of fuel. The increase in total supermarket sales without fuel for 2010 over 2009 was primarily the result of increases in identical supermarket sales, excluding fuel, of 2.8% as well as an increase in supermarket square footage of 0.5%. Identical supermarket sales, excluding fuel, increased primarily due to inflation, increased transaction count and an increase in the average sale per shopping trip.

The slight increase in total sales for 2009 compared to 2008 was attributable to our 2.1% increase in identical sales offset by our year-over-year decline in supermarket fuel sales of approximately 10.6%. Total supermarket fuel sales declined over the same period due to a decline in retail fuel prices of approximately 23.6%, offset partially by a 17.2% increase in gallons sold. Retail fuel prices decreased by approximately 23.6% year-over-year in 2009 compared to 2008 due to deflation in the product cost of fuel. The increase in total supermarket sales without fuel for 2009 over 2008 was primarily the result of increases in identical supermarket sales of 2.1% as well as an increase in supermarket square footage of 0.8%. Identical supermarket sales, excluding fuel, increased due to increased transaction count offset partially by a lower average sale per shopping trip.

We define a supermarket as identical when it has been in operation without expansion or relocation for five full quarters. Fuel center discounts received at our fuel centers and earned based on in-store purchases are included in all of the supermarket identical sales results calculations illustrated below. Differences between total supermarket sales and identical supermarket sales primarily relate to changes in supermarket square footage. Identical supermarket sales include all sales at identical Fred Meyer multi-department stores. We calculate annualized identical supermarket sales by adding together four quarters of identical supermarket sales. Our identical supermarket sales results are summarized in the table below, based on the 52-week period of 2010, compared to the 52-week period of the previous year. The identical store count in the table below represents the total number of identical supermarkets as of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010.

### **Identical Supermarket Sales**

(dollars in millions)

	2010	2009
Including supermarket fuel centers	\$ 74,144 \$	70,154
Excluding supermarket fuel centers	\$ 65,427 \$	63,637
Including supermarket fuel centers	5.7%	0.6%
Excluding supermarket fuel centers	2.8%	2.1%
Identical 4th Quarter store count	2,342	2,325

We define a supermarket as comparable when it has been in operation for five full quarters, including expansions and relocations. As is the case for identical supermarket sales, fuel center discounts received at our fuel centers and earned based on in-store purchases are included in all of the supermarket comparable sales results calculations illustrated below. Comparable supermarket sales include all sales at comparable Fred Meyer multi-department stores. We calculate annualized comparable supermarket sales by adding together four quarters of comparable sales. Our annualized comparable supermarket sales results are summarized in the table below, based on the 52-week period of 2010, compared to the same 52-week period of the previous year. The comparable store count in the table below represents the total number of comparable supermarkets as of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010.

### **Comparable Supermarket Sales**

(dollars in millions)

	2010	2009
Including supermarket fuel centers	\$ 76,113 \$	71,816
Excluding supermarket fuel centers	\$ 67,156 \$	65,167
Including supermarket fuel centers	6.0%	0.9%
Excluding supermarket fuel centers	3.1%	2.5%
Comparable 4th Quarter store count	2,408	2,386

FIFO Gross Margin

We calculate First-In, First-Out (FIFO) Gross Margin as sales minus merchandise costs, including advertising, warehousing and transportation, but excluding the Last-In, First-Out (LIFO) charge. Merchandise costs exclude depreciation and rent expense. FIFO gross margin is an important measure used by management to evaluate merchandising and operational effectiveness.

Our FIFO gross margin rates, as a percentage of sales, were 22.29% in 2010, 23.23% in 2009 and 23.38% in 2008. Our retail fuel sales reduce our FIFO gross margin rate due to the very low FIFO gross margin on retail fuel sales as compared to non-fuel sales. Excluding the effect of retail fuel operations, our FIFO gross margin rates decreased 33 basis points in 2010 and 58 basis points in 2009. FIFO gross margin in 2010, compared to 2009, decreased primarily from continued investments in lower prices for our customers and higher transportation expenses, as a percentage of sales. In addition, FIFO gross margin in 2009, compared to 2008, decreased due to heightened competitive activity and deflation, partially offset by improvements in shrink, advertising, and warehousing and transportation expenses, as a percentage of sales.

13

LIFO Charge

The LIFO charge was \$57 million in 2010, \$49 million in 2009 and \$196 million in 2008. A slight increase in annualized product cost inflation caused the increase in the LIFO charge in 2010, compared to 2009. In 2010, our LIFO charge primarily resulted from annualized product cost inflation related to meat, pharmacy, and Company manufactured products, partially offset by deflation in grocery products. The LIFO charge in 2009, compared to 2008, decreased primarily due to a decrease in annualized product cost inflation for those categories of inventory on the LIFO method of valuation for 2009 compared to 2008. The last three quarters of 2009 experienced product cost deflation, excluding fuel. In 2009, our LIFO charge primarily resulted from annualized product cost inflation related to tobacco and pharmacy products.

Operating, General and Administrative Expenses

Operating, general and administrative ( OG&A ) expenses consist primarily of employee-related costs such as wages, health care benefit and retirement plan costs, utilities and credit card fees. Rent expense, depreciation and amortization expense, and interest expense are not included in OG&A.

OG&A expenses, as a percentage of sales, were 16.80% in 2010, 17.46% in 2009 and 17.14% in 2008. The growth in our retail fuel sales reduces our OG&A rate due to the very low OG&A rate on retail fuel sales as compared to non-fuel sales. OG&A expenses, as a percentage of sales excluding fuel, decreased 14 basis points in 2010, compared to 2009. The 2010 decrease, compared to 2009, resulted primarily from increased supermarket identical sales growth, strong cost controls at the store level and reduced utility costs. These improvements were partially offset by increases in pension and health care expenses and credit card fees. OG&A expenses, as a percentage of sales excluding fuel, decreased 3 basis points in 2009, compared to 2008. The 2009 decrease, compared to 2008, resulted primarily from increased supermarket identical sales growth, a reduction in bag expense, lower incentive compensation, and reduced utility costs. These improvements were partially offset by increases in credit card fees, health care costs, and wages.

Rent Expense

Rent expense was \$651 million in 2010, as compared to \$648 million in 2009 and \$659 million in 2008. Rent expense, as a percentage of sales, was 0.79% in 2010, as compared to 0.84% in 2009 and 0.87% in 2008. The continual decrease in rent expense, as a percentage of sales, reflects our continued emphasis on owning rather than leasing, whenever possible, and the benefit of increased supermarket sales.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$1.6 billion in 2010, \$1.5 billion in 2009 and \$1.4 billion in 2008. The increase in depreciation expense from 2010, compared to 2009, was the result of additional depreciation on capital expenditures, including prior acquisitions and the prior purchase of leased facilities, totaling \$1.9 billion in 2010. The increase in depreciation expense from 2009, compared to 2008, was the result of additional depreciation on capital expenditures, including prior acquisitions and the prior purchase of leased facilities, totaling \$2.4 billion in 2009. Depreciation and amortization expense, as a percentage of sales, was 1.95% in 2010, 1.99% in 2009 and 1.89% in 2008. The decrease in depreciation and amortization expense in 2010, compared to 2009, as a percentage of sales, is primarily the result of increasing sales. The increase in our depreciation and amortization expense in 2009, compared to 2008, as a percentage of sales, is primarily due to

increased depreciation expense, and a slower growth rate in sales due to heightened competitive activity, deflation and year-over-year decline in retail fuel prices.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense totaled \$448 million in 2010, \$502 million in 2009 and \$485 million in 2008. The decrease in interest expense in 2010, compared to 2009, resulted primarily from a lower weighted average interest rate, an average lower debt balance for the year and an increase in our benefit from interest rate swaps. The increase in interest expense in 2009, compared to 2008, resulted primarily from a higher weighted average interest rate and a reduction in interest income, offset partially by our benefit from interest rate swaps.

Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 34.7% in 2010, 90.4% in 2009 and 36.5% in 2008. The 2010 effective tax rate differed from the federal statutory rate primarily as a result of the favorable resolution of certain tax issues, partially offset by the effect of state income taxes. The 2009 effective income tax rate differed from the federal statutory rate primarily because the goodwill impairment charge incurred in that year was mostly non-deductible for tax purposes. Excluding the non-cash impairment charges, our effective rate in 2009 would have been 35.8%. In addition, the effective tax rate for 2009 differed from the expected federal statutory rate due to the resolution of certain tax issues and the effect of state income taxes. The 2008 effective tax rate differed from the federal statutory rate primarily due to the effect of state income taxes.

### COMMON STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM

We maintain stock repurchase programs that comply with Securities Exchange Act Rule 10b5-1 and allow for the orderly repurchase of our common stock, from time to time. We made open market purchases of Kroger stock totaling \$505 million in 2010, \$156 million in 2009 and \$448 million in 2008 under these repurchase programs. In addition to these repurchase programs, in December 1999 we began a program to repurchase common stock to reduce dilution resulting from our employee stock option plans. This program is solely funded by proceeds from stock option exercises, and the tax benefit from these exercises. We repurchased approximately \$40 million in 2010, \$62 million in 2009 and \$189 million in 2008 of Kroger stock under the stock option program.

On March 3, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized an additional \$1 billion stock repurchase program.

### **CAPITAL EXPENDITURES**

Capital expenditures, including changes in construction-in-progress payables and excluding acquisitions and the purchase of leased facilities, totaled \$1.9 billion in 2010 compared to \$2.2 billion in 2009 and \$2.1 billion in 2008. The decrease in capital expenditures in 2010 was due to Kroger reducing the capital expenditures in our original plan in order to provide the cash flow necessary to execute our financial strategy. The increase in capital spending in 2009 compared to 2008 was the result of increasing our focus on merchandising and productivity projects. Capital expenditures for the purchase of leased facilities totaled \$38 million in 2010 compared to \$164 million for 2009 and \$27 million for 2008. The decrease in capital expenditures for the purchase of leased facilities compared to 2009 was due to Kroger purchasing several more previously leased retail stores and one large distribution center in 2009 compared to 2010. The table below shows our supermarket storing activity and our total food store square footage:

### **Supermarket Storing Activity**

	2010	2009	2008
Beginning of year	2,469	2,481	2,486
Opened	14	14	21
Opened (relocation)	6	9	14
Acquired	4	1	6
Acquired (relocation)		1	3

Closed (operational)	(27)	(27)	(32)
Closed (relocation)	(6)	(10)	(17)
End of year	2,460	2,469	2,481
Total food store square footage (in millions)	149	148	147

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

We have chosen accounting policies that we believe are appropriate to report accurately and fairly our operating results and financial position, and we apply those accounting policies in a consistent manner. Our significant accounting policies are summarized in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and other factors we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

We believe that the following accounting policies are the most critical in the preparation of our financial statements because they involve the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Self-Insurance Costs

We primarily are self-insured for costs related to workers compensation and general liability claims. The liabilities represent our best estimate, using generally accepted actuarial reserving methods, of the ultimate obligations for reported claims plus those incurred but not reported for all claims incurred through January 29, 2011. We establish case reserves for reported claims using case-basis evaluation of the underlying claim data and we update as information becomes known.

For both workers compensation and general liability claims, we have purchased stop-loss coverage to limit our exposure to any significant exposure on a per claim basis. We are insured for covered costs in excess of these per claim limits. We account for the liabilities for workers compensation claims on a present value basis utilizing a risk-adjusted discount rate. A 25 basis point decrease in our discount rate would increase our liability by approximately \$5 million. General liability claims are not discounted.

We are also similarly self-insured for property-related losses. We have purchased stop-loss coverage to limit our exposure to losses in excess of \$25 million on a per claim basis, except in the case of an earthquake, for which stop-loss coverage is in excess of \$50 million per claim, up to \$200 million per claim in California and \$300 million outside of California.

The assumptions underlying the ultimate costs of existing claim losses are subject to a high degree of unpredictability, which can affect the liability recorded for such claims. For example, variability in inflation rates of health care costs inherent in these claims can affect the amounts realized. Similarly, changes in legal trends and interpretations, as well as a change in the nature and method of how claims are settled can affect ultimate costs. Our estimates of liabilities incurred do not anticipate significant changes in historical trends for these variables, and any changes could have a considerable effect on future claim costs and currently recorded liabilities.

Impairments of Long-Lived Assets

We monitor the carrying value of long-lived assets for potential impairment each quarter based on whether certain trigger events have occurred. These events include current period losses combined with a history of losses or a projection of continuing losses or a significant decrease in the market value of an asset. When a trigger event occurs, we perform an impairment calculation, comparing projected undiscounted cash flows, utilizing current cash flow information and expected growth rates related to specific stores, to the carrying value for those stores. If we identify impairment for long-lived assets to be held and used, we compare the assets—current carrying value to the assets—fair value. Fair value is determined based on market values or discounted future cash flows. We record impairment when the carrying value exceeds fair market value. With respect to owned property and equipment held for disposal, we adjust the value of the property and equipment to reflect recoverable values based on our previous efforts to dispose of similar assets and current economic conditions. We recognize impairment for the excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair market value, reduced by estimated direct costs of disposal. We recorded asset impairments in the normal course of business totaling \$25 million in 2010, \$48 million in 2009 and \$26 million in 2008. Included in the 2009 amount are asset impairments recorded totaling \$24 million for a southern California reporting unit. We record costs to reduce the carrying value of long-lived assets in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as Operating, general and administrative—expense.

The factors that most significantly affect the impairment calculation are our estimates of future cash flows. Our cash flow projections look several years into the future and include assumptions on variables such as inflation, the economy and market competition. Application of alternative assumptions and definitions, such as reviewing long-lived assets for impairment at a different level, could produce significantly different results.

Goodwill

Our goodwill totaled \$1.1 billion as of January 29, 2011. We review goodwill for impairment in the fourth quarter of each year, and also upon the occurrence of triggering events. We perform reviews of each of our operating divisions and variable interest entities (collectively, our reporting units) with goodwill balances. Fair value is determined using a multiple of earnings, or discounted projected future cash flows, and we compare fair value to the carrying value of a reporting unit for purposes of identifying potential impairment. We base projected future cash flows on management s knowledge of the current operating environment and expectations for the future. If we identify potential for impairment, we measure the fair value of a reporting unit against the fair value of its underlying assets and liabilities, excluding goodwill, to estimate an implied fair value of the division s goodwill. We recognize goodwill impairment for any excess of the carrying value of the division s goodwill over the implied fair value.

16

The annual evaluation of goodwill performed during the fourth quarter of 2010 resulted in an impairment charge of \$18 million. Based on the results of our step one analysis in the fourth quarter of 2010, a supermarket reporting unit with a small number of stores indicated potential impairment. Due to estimated future expected cash flows being lower than in the past, our estimated fair value of the reporting unit decreased. We concluded that the carrying value of goodwill for this reporting unit exceeded its implied fair value due to the decreased fair value of the reporting unit, resulting in a pre-tax impairment charge of \$18 million (\$12 million after-tax). In 2009, we disclosed that a 10% reduction in fair value of this supermarket reporting unit would indicate a potential for impairment. Subsequent to the impairment, no goodwill remains at this reporting unit.

In the third quarter of 2009, our operating performance suffered due to deflation and intense competition. During the third quarter of 2009, based on revised forecasts for 2009 and the initial results of our annual budget process of the supermarket reporting units, management believed that there were circumstances evident to warrant impairment testing of these reporting units. In the third quarter of 2009, we did not test the variable interest entities with recorded goodwill for impairment as no triggering event occurred. Based on the results of our step one analysis in the third quarter of 2009, the Ralphs reporting unit in Southern California was the only reporting unit for which there was a potential impairment. In 2009, the operating performance of the Ralphs reporting unit was significantly affected by the economic conditions at the time and responses to competitive actions in Southern California. As a result of this decline in current and future expected cash flows, along with comparable fair value information, management concluded that the carrying value of goodwill for the Ralphs reporting unit exceeded its implied fair value, resulting in a pre-tax impairment charge of \$1,113 (\$1,036 after-tax). Subsequent to the impairment, no goodwill remains at the Ralphs reporting unit. Management used an equal weighting of discounted cash flows and a sales-weighted EBITDA multiple to estimate fair value. The discounted cash flows assume long-term sales growth rates comparable to historical performance and a discount rate of 11%. In addition, the EBITDA multiples observed in the marketplace declined since those used in the January 31, 2009 assessment.

Based on current and future expected cash flows, the Company believes additional goodwill impairments are not reasonably possible. A 10% reduction in fair value of the Company s reporting units would not indicate a potential for impairment of the Company s remaining goodwill balance, except for one non-supermarket reporting unit with recorded goodwill of \$77. The fair value of this reporting unit was estimated using discounted cash flows. The discounted cash flows assume long-term sales growth rates comparable to historical performances and a discount rate of 12.5%.

For additional information relating to our results of the goodwill impairment reviews performed during 2010, 2009 and 2008 see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The impairment review requires the extensive use of management judgment and financial estimates. Application of alternative estimates and assumptions, such as reviewing goodwill for impairment at a different level, could produce significantly different results. The cash flow projections embedded in our goodwill impairment reviews can be affected by several factors such as inflation, business valuations in the market, the economy and market competition.

Store Closing Costs

We provide for closed store liabilities on the basis of the present value of the estimated remaining noncancellable lease payments after the closing date, net of estimated subtenant income. We estimate the net lease liabilities using a discount rate to calculate the present value of the remaining net rent payments on closed stores. We usually pay closed store lease liabilities over the lease terms associated with the closed stores, which generally have remaining terms ranging from one to 20 years. Adjustments to closed store liabilities primarily relate to changes in subtenant income and actual exit costs differing from original estimates. We make adjustments for changes in estimates in the period in which the change becomes known. We review store closing liabilities quarterly to ensure that any accrued amount that is not a sufficient estimate of future costs, or that no longer is needed for its originally intended purpose, is adjusted to earnings in the proper period.

We estimate subtenant income, future cash flows and asset recovery values based on our experience and knowledge of the market in which the closed store is located, our previous efforts to dispose of similar assets and current economic conditions. The ultimate cost of the disposition of the leases and the related assets is affected by current real estate markets, inflation rates and general economic conditions.

We reduce owned stores held for disposal to their estimated net realizable value. We account for costs to reduce the carrying values of property, equipment and leasehold improvements in accordance with our policy on impairment of long-lived assets. We classify inventory write-downs in connection with store closings, if any, in Merchandise costs. We expense costs to transfer inventory and equipment from closed stores as they are incurred.

Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

(a) Company-sponsored defined benefit Pension Plans

We account for our defined benefit pension plans using the recognition and disclosure provisions of GAAP, which require the recognition of the funded status of retirement plans on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. We record, as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI), actuarial gains or losses, prior service costs or credits and transition obligations that have not yet been recognized.

The determination of our obligation and expense for Company-sponsored pension plans and other post-retirement benefits is dependent upon our selection of assumptions used by actuaries in calculating those amounts. Those assumptions are described in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and include, among others, the discount rate, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, average life expectancy and the rate of increases in compensation and health care costs. Actual results that differ from our assumptions are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect our recognized expense and recorded obligation in future periods. While we believe that our assumptions are appropriate, significant differences in our actual experience or significant changes in our assumptions, including the discount rate used and the expected return on plan assets, may materially affect our pension and other post-retirement obligations and our future expense. Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements discusses the effect of a 1% change in the assumed health care cost trend rate on other post-retirement benefit costs and the related liability.

The objective of our discount rate assumptions was intended to reflect the rates at which the pension benefits could be effectively settled. In making this determination, we take into account the timing and amount of benefits that would be available under the plans. Our methodology for selecting the discount rates as of year-end 2010 was to match the plan s cash flows to that of a yield curve that provides the equivalent yields on zero-coupon corporate bonds for each maturity. Benefit cash flows due in a particular year can theoretically be settled by investing them in the zero-coupon bond that matures in the same year. The discount rates are the single rates that produce the same present value of cash flows. The selection of the 5.60% and 5.40% discount rates as of year-end 2010 for pension and other benefits, respectively, represent the equivalent single rates constructed under a broad-market AA yield curve. We utilized a discount rate of 6.00% and 5.80% for year-end 2009 for pension and other benefits, respectively. A 100 basis point increase in the discount rate would decrease the projected pension benefit obligation as of January 29, 2011, by approximately \$342 million.

To determine the expected rate of return on pension plan assets, we consider current and forecasted plan asset allocations as well as historical and forecasted rates of return on various asset categories. For 2010 and 2009, we assumed a pension plan investment return rate of 8.5%. Our pension plan is average rate of return was 6.6% for the 10 calendar years ended December 31, 2010, net of all investment management fees and expenses. The value of all investments in our Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans during the calendar year ending December 31, 2010, net of investment management fees and expenses, increased 15.0%, primarily due to the strength of the market in 2010. For the past 20 years, our average annual rate of return has been 10.7%. The average annual return for the S&P 500 over the same period of time has been 9.9%. Based on the above information and forward looking assumptions for investments made in a manner consistent with our target allocations, we believe an 8.5% rate of return assumption is reasonable. See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the asset allocations of pension plan assets.

Sensitivity to changes in the major assumptions used in the calculation of Kroger s pension plan liabilities for the qualified plans is illustrated below (in millions).

		Projected Benefit			
	Percentage	Percentage Obligation		Expense	
	Point Change	Decrease/(Incre	ase)	Decrease/(Increase)	
Discount Rate	+/- 1.0 %	\$	\$42/(414) \$	28/(\$33)	
Expected Return on Assets	+/- 1.0 %		\$	23/(\$23)	

We contributed \$141 million in 2010, \$265 million in 2009 and \$20 million in 2008 to our Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans. We do not expect to make a cash contribution to our Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans in 2011. Contributions may be made if required under the Pension Protection Act to avoid any benefit restrictions. We expect any contributions made during 2011 will decrease our required contributions in future years. Among other things, investment performance of plan assets, the interest rates required to be used to calculate the pension obligations, and future changes in legislation, will determine the amounts of any contributions.

We contributed and expensed \$119 million in 2010, \$115 million in 2009 and \$92 million in 2008 to employee 401(k) retirement savings accounts. The 401(k) retirement savings account plans provide to eligible employees both matching contributions and automatic contributions from the Company based on participant contributions, plan compensation, and length of service.

#### (b) Multi-Employer Plans

We also contribute to various multi-employer pension plans based on obligations arising from most of our collective bargaining agreements. These plans provide retirement benefits to participants based on their service to contributing employers. The benefits are paid from assets held in trust for that purpose. Trustees are appointed in equal number by employers and unions. The trustees typically are responsible for determining the level of benefits to be provided to participants as well as for such matters as the investment of the assets and the administration of the plans.

We recognize expense in connection with these plans as contributions are funded, in accordance with GAAP. We made contributions to these plans, and recognized expense, of \$262 million in 2010, \$233 million in 2009 and \$219 million in 2008.

Based on the most recent information available to us, we believe that the present value of actuarially accrued liabilities in most or all of these multi-employer plans substantially exceeds the value of the assets held in trust to pay benefits. We have attempted to estimate the amount by which these liabilities exceed the assets, (i.e., the amount of underfunding), as of December 31, 2010. Because Kroger is only one of a number of employers contributing to these plans, we also have attempted to estimate the ratio of Kroger's contributions to the total of all contributions to these plans in a year as a way of assessing Kroger's share of the underfunding. Nonetheless, the underfunding is not a direct obligation or liability of Kroger or of any employer. As of December 31, 2010, we estimate that Kroger's share of the underfunding of multi-employer plans to which Kroger contributes was \$2.5 billion, pre-tax, or \$1.6 billion, after-tax. This represents a decrease in the estimated amount of underfunding of approximately \$125 million, pre-tax, or \$75 million, after-tax, as of December 31, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009. The decrease in the amount of underfunding is attributable to the strength of the market during the last year and benefit reductions. Our estimate is based on the most current information available to us including actuarial evaluations and other data (that include the estimates of others), and such information may be outdated or otherwise unreliable.

We have made and disclosed this estimate not because this underfunding is a direct liability of Kroger. Rather, we believe the underfunding is likely to have important consequences. In 2010, our contributions to these plans increased approximately 12% over the prior year and have grown at a compound annual rate of approximately 7% since 2005. In 2011, we expect to contribute approximately \$300 million to our multi-employer pension plans, subject to collective bargaining and capital market conditions. Based on current market conditions, we expect meaningful increases in funding and in expense as a result of increases in multi-employer pension plan contributions over the next few years. Finally, underfunding means that, in the event we were to exit certain markets or otherwise cease making contributions to these funds, we could trigger a substantial withdrawal liability. Any adjustment for withdrawal liability will be recorded when it is probable that a liability exists and can be reasonably estimated, in accordance with GAAP.

The amount of underfunding described above is an estimate and could change based on contract negotiations, returns on the assets held in the multi-employer plans and benefit payments. The amount could decline, and Kroger s future expense would be favorably affected, if the values of the assets held in the trust significantly increase or if further changes occur through collective bargaining, trustee action or favorable legislation. On the other hand, Kroger s share of the underfunding could increase and Kroger s future expense could be adversely affected if the asset values decline, if employers currently contributing to these funds cease participation or if changes occur through collective bargaining, trustee action or adverse legislation.

Deferred Rent

We recognize rent holidays, including the time period during which we have access to the property for construction of buildings or improvements, as well as construction allowances and escalating rent provisions on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The deferred amount is included in Other Current Liabilities and Other Long-Term Liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Uncertain Tax Positions

We review the tax positions taken or expected to be taken on tax returns to determine whether and to what extent a benefit can be recognized in our consolidated financial statements. Refer to Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the amount of unrecognized tax benefits and other related disclosures related to uncertain tax positions.

19

Various taxing authorities periodically audit our income tax returns. These audits include questions regarding our tax filing positions, including the timing and amount of deductions and the allocation of income to various tax jurisdictions. In evaluating the exposures connected with these various tax filing positions, including state and local taxes, we record allowances for probable exposures. A number of years may elapse before a particular matter, for which an allowance has been established, is audited and fully resolved. As of January 29, 2011, the most recent examination concluded by the Internal Revenue Service covered the years 2005 through 2007.

The assessment of our tax position relies on the judgment of management to estimate the exposures associated with our various filing positions.

Share-Based Compensation Expense

We account for stock options under the fair value recognition provisions of GAAP. Under this method, we recognize compensation expense for all share-based payments granted. We recognize share-based compensation expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, over the requisite service period of the award. In addition, we record expense for restricted stock awards in an amount equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date of the award, over the period the award restrictions lapse.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (principally on a LIFO basis) or market. In total, approximately 97% of inventories were valued using the LIFO method in both 2010 and 2009. Cost for the balance of the inventories was determined using the FIFO method. Replacement cost was higher than the carrying amount by \$827 million at January 29, 2011, and by \$770 million at January 30, 2010. We follow the Link-Chain, Dollar-Value LIFO method for purposes of calculating our LIFO charge or credit.

We follow the item-cost method of accounting to determine inventory cost before the LIFO adjustment for substantially all store inventories at our supermarket divisions. This method involves counting each item in inventory, assigning costs to each of these items based on the actual purchase costs (net of vendor allowances and cash discounts) of each item and recording the cost of items sold. The item-cost method of accounting allows for more accurate reporting of periodic inventory balances and enables management to more precisely manage inventory and purchasing levels when compared to the methodology followed under the retail method of accounting.

We evaluate inventory shortages throughout the year based on actual physical counts in our facilities. We record allowances for inventory shortages based on the results of recent physical counts to provide for estimated shortages from the last physical count to the financial statement date.

Vendor Allowances

We recognize all vendor allowances as a reduction in merchandise costs when the related product is sold. In most cases, vendor allowances are applied to the related product cost by item, and therefore reduce the carrying value of inventory by item. When it is not practicable to allocate vendor allowances to the product by item, we recognize vendor allowances as a reduction in merchandise costs based on inventory turns and as

the product is sold. We recognized approximately \$6.4 billion in 2010, \$5.7 billion in 2009 and \$5.2 billion in 2008 of vendor allowances as a reduction in merchandise costs. All years presented now include amounts for certain vendor-funded electronic promotions. We recognized approximately 95% of all vendor allowances in the item cost with the remainder being based on inventory turns.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOU
-----------------------------

Cash Flow Information

### Net cash provided by operating activities

We generated \$3.4 billion of cash from operations in 2010 compared to \$2.9 billion in 2009 and 2008. The cash provided by operating activities came from net earnings including noncontrolling interests adjusted primarily for non-cash expenses of depreciation and amortization, the goodwill impairment charge, and changes in working capital. Changes in working capital provided cash from operating activities of \$698 million in 2010, compared to \$(83) million in 2009 and \$(421) million in 2008. The change in working capital for 2010, compared to 2009, was primarily due to increases in trade accounts payable and accrued expenses and a decrease in prepaid expenses. In addition, the increase in net cash provided by operating activities in 2010, compared to 2009, was partially offset by a decrease in other long-term liabilities. Prepaid expenses decreased in 2010, compared to 2009 and 2008, due to Kroger not prefunding \$300 million of employee benefits in 2010. The increase in the change in working capital for 2009, compared to 2008, was primarily due to a decrease in the net income taxes receivable and payable along with a slight increase in inventory in 2009, compared to a larger increase in inventory in 2008. These amounts are also net of cash contributions to our Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans totaling \$141 million in 2010, \$265 million in 2009 and \$20 million in 2008.

The amount of cash paid for income taxes increased in 2010, compared to 2009, due to reversals of temporary differences in 2010 and overpayments being applied to 2009 income taxes. The amount decreased in 2009, compared to 2008, due to applying our fiscal 2008 overpayment of income taxes to 2009 income taxes.

#### Net cash used by investing activities

Cash used by investing activities was \$2.0 billion in 2010, compared to \$2.3 billion in 2009 and \$2.2 billion in 2008. The amount of cash used by investing activities decreased in 2010, compared to 2009, due primarily to decreased payments on capital expenditures. The amount of cash used by investing activities increased in 2009, compared to 2008, due primarily to higher capital spending, partially offset by decreased payments for acquisitions. Capital expenditures, including changes in construction-in-progress payables and excluding acquisitions, were \$1.9 billion in 2010, \$2.3 billion in 2009 and \$2.2 billion in 2008. Refer to the Capital Expenditures section for an overview of our supermarket storing activity during the last three years.

### Net cash used by financing activities

Financing activities used \$1.0 billion of cash in 2010 compared to \$434 million in 2009 and \$769 million in 2008. The increase in the amount of cash used for financing activities in 2010, compared to 2009, was primarily related to the increased payments on long-term debt and treasury stock repurchases, decreased proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt, and an investment in the remaining interest of a variable interest entity, partially offset by decreased payments on the credit facility. The decrease in the amount of cash used for financing activities in 2009, compared to 2008, was primarily related to the decrease in the amount of treasury stock purchased and payments on long-term debt and our credit facility, offset by decreased proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt and capital stock. We repurchased \$545 million of Kroger stock

in 2010 compared to \$218 million in 2009 and \$637 million in 2008. We paid dividends totaling \$250 million in 2010, \$238 million in 2009 and \$227 million in 2008.

Debt Management

Total debt, including both the current and long-term portions of capital leases and lease-financing obligations, decreased \$164 million to \$7.9 billion as of year-end 2010, compared to year-end 2009. The decrease in 2010, compared to 2009, resulted from the payment at maturity of our \$500 million of senior notes bearing an interest rate of 8.05%, offset by the issuance of \$300 million of senior notes bearing an interest rate of 5.40%. Total debt decreased \$7 million to \$8.1 billion as of year-end 2009, compared to year-end 2008. The decrease in 2009, compared to 2008, resulted from the payment at maturity of our \$350 million of senior notes bearing an interest rate of 7.25%, decreased outstanding commercial paper and payments on our money market lines, offset by the issuance of \$500 million of senior notes bearing an interest rate of 3.90%.

Our total debt balances were also affected by our prefunding of employee benefit costs and by the mark-to-market adjustments necessary to record fair value interest rate hedges on our fixed rate debt. We had prefunded employee benefit costs of \$300 million in each of the two years ended 2009 and 2008. The mark-to-market adjustments increased the carrying value of our debt by \$57 million in both 2010 and 2009.

Liquidity Needs

We estimate our liquidity needs over the next twelve month period to be approximately \$2.0 billion, which includes anticipated requirements for working capital, capital expenditures, interest payments, and scheduled principal payments of debt, offset by cash and temporary cash investments on hand at the end of fiscal year 2010. Based on current operating trends, we believe that cash flows from operating activities and other sources of liquidity, including borrowings under our commercial paper program and bank credit facility, will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs for the next twelve months and for the foreseeable future beyond the next twelve months. In addition to the sources of liquidity noted above, we also expect to be able to fund future scheduled principal payments of long-term debt from our cash flows from operating activities and, if necessary, by issuing additional debt. We believe we have adequate coverage of our debt covenants to continue to maintain our current debt ratings and to respond effectively to competitive conditions.

Factors Affecting Liquidity

We can currently borrow on a daily basis approximately \$1 billion under our commercial paper (CP) program. At January 29, 2011, we did not have any CP borrowings outstanding. CP borrowings are backed by our credit facility, and reduce the amount we can borrow under the credit facility. If our short-term credit ratings fall, the ability to borrow under our current CP program could be adversely affected for a period of time and increase our interest cost on daily borrowings under our CP program. This could require us to borrow additional funds under the credit facility, under which we believe we have sufficient capacity. However, in the event of a ratings decline, we do not anticipate that our borrowing capacity under our CP program would be any lower than \$500 million on a daily basis. Although our ability to borrow under the credit facility is not affected by our credit rating, the interest cost on borrowings under the credit facility could be affected by an increase in our credit default swap spread or an increase in our Leverage Ratio.

Our credit facility also requires the maintenance of a Leverage Ratio and a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (our financial covenants). A failure to maintain our financial covenants would impair our ability to borrow under the credit facility. These financial covenants and ratios are described below:

- Our Leverage Ratio (the ratio of Net Debt to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the credit facility) was 1.95 to 1 as of January 29, 2011. If this ratio exceeded 3.50 to 1, we would be in default of our credit facility and our ability to borrow under the facility would be impaired. In addition, our Applicable Margin on borrowings is determined by our Leverage Ratio.
- Our Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (the ratio of Consolidated EBITDA plus Consolidated Rental Expense to Consolidated Cash
  Interest Expense plus Consolidated Rental Expense, as defined in the credit facility) was 4.03 to 1 as of January 29, 2011. If this
  ratio fell below 1.70 to 1, we would be in default of our credit facility and our ability to borrow under the facility would be
  impaired.

Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in our credit facility, includes an adjustment for unusual gains and losses including our non-cash asset impairment charges related to goodwill in 2010 and 2009. Our credit agreement is more fully described in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. We were in compliance with our financial covenants at year-end 2010.

The tables below illustrate our significant contractual obligations and other commercial commitments, based on year of maturity or settlement, as of January 29, 2011 (in millions of dollars):

2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Th	nereafter	Total
549	\$ 905	\$ 1,520	\$ 30	8 \$	516 \$	3,636 \$	7,434
408	377	330	2	<sup>7</sup> 4	259	2,385	4,033
60	50	47	4	13	38	186	424
741	698	651	59	7	529	2,498	5,714
12	6	2					20
14	14	14		4	14	158	228
181	119	78	:	51	27	58	514
163							163
552	85	37		8	16	23	731
2,680	\$ 2,254	\$ 2,679	\$ 1,30	)5 \$ 1	,399 \$	8,944 \$	19,261
\$ 252	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	252
348							348
7							7
607	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	607
	5 549 408 60 741 12 14 181 163 552 6 2,680 6 252 348 7	5 549 \$ 905 408 377 60 50 741 698 12 6 14 14 181 119 163 552 85 6 2,680 \$ 2,254 6 252 \$ 348 7	5       549       \$ 905       \$ 1,520         408       377       330         60       50       47         741       698       651         12       6       2         14       14       14         181       119       78         163       552       85       37         6       2,680       \$ 2,254       \$ 2,679         6       252       \$ 348       7	5       549       \$ 905       \$ 1,520       \$ 30         408       377       330       27         60       50       47       4         741       698       651       59         12       6       2         14       14       14       14         181       119       78       5         163       552       85       37       1         6       2,680       \$ 2,254       \$ 2,679       \$ 1,30         6       252       \$       \$         348       7	5       549 \$ 905 \$ 1,520 \$ 308 \$         408 377 330 274         60 50 47 43         741 698 651 597         12 6 2         14 14 14 14         181 119 78 51         163 552 85 37 18         6 2,680 \$ 2,254 \$ 2,679 \$ 1,305 \$ 1	5       549 \$ 905 \$ 1,520 \$ 308 \$ 516 \$ 408 377 330 274 259 60 50 47 43 38 741 698 651 597 529 12 6 2 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 181 119 78 51 27 163 552 85 37 18 16         6       2         163 552 85 37 18 16         6       2,680 \$ 2,254 \$ 2,679 \$ 1,305 \$ 1,399 \$ 348 7	5       549 \$ 905 \$ 1,520 \$ 308 \$ 516 \$ 3,636 \$ 408 377 330 274 259 2,385         60       50       47 43 38 186         741       698 651 597 529 2,498         12       6       2         14       14 14 14 14 14 158         181       119 78 51 27 58         163 552 85 37 18 16 23         5       2,680 \$ 2,254 \$ 2,679 \$ 1,305 \$ 1,399 \$ 8,944 \$

<sup>(1)</sup> The contractual obligations table excludes funding of pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, which totaled approximately \$165 million in 2010. This table also excludes contributions under various multi-employer pension plans, which totaled \$262 million in 2010.

Our construction commitments include funds owed to third parties for projects currently under construction. These amounts are reflected in other current liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Our purchase obligations include commitments to be utilized in the normal course of business, such as several contracts to purchase raw materials utilized in our manufacturing plants and several contracts to purchase energy to be used in our stores and manufacturing facilities. Our obligations also include management fees for facilities operated by third parties. Any upfront vendor allowances or incentives associated with outstanding purchase commitments are recorded as either current or long-term liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As of January 29, 2011, we maintained a \$2 billion, unsecured revolving credit facility that, unless extended, terminates on May 15, 2014. Outstanding borrowings under the credit agreement and commercial paper borrowings, and some outstanding letters of credit, reduce funds available under the credit agreement. In addition to the credit agreement, we maintained three uncommitted money market lines totaling \$100 million in the aggregate. The money market lines allow us to borrow from banks at mutually agreed upon rates, usually at rates below the rates offered under the credit agreement. As of January 29, 2011, we had no borrowings under our credit agreement, money market lines or outstanding commercial paper. The outstanding letters of credit that reduce funds available under our credit agreement totaled \$134 million as

<sup>(2)</sup> The liability related to unrecognized tax benefits has been excluded from the contractual obligations table because a reasonable estimate of the timing of future tax settlements cannot be determined.

<sup>(3)</sup> We did not have any borrowings under our credit facility as of January 29, 2011.

<sup>(4)</sup> Amounts include contractual interest payments using the interest rate as of January 29, 2011, and stated fixed and swapped interest rates, if applicable, for all other debt instruments.

<sup>(5)</sup> The amounts included in the contractual obligations table for self-insurance liability have been stated on a present value basis.

of January 29, 2011.

In addition to the available credit mentioned above, as of January 29, 2011, we had authorized for issuance \$2 billion of securities under a shelf registration statement filed with the SEC and effective on December 15, 2010.

23

We also maintain surety bonds related primarily to our self-insured workers compensation claims. These bonds are required by most states in which we are self-insured for workers—compensation and are placed with third-party insurance providers to insure payment of our obligations in the event we are unable to meet our claim payment obligations up to our self-insured retention levels. These bonds do not represent liabilities of Kroger, as we already have reserves on our books for the claims costs. Market changes may make the surety bonds more costly and, in some instances, availability of these bonds may become more limited, which could affect our costs of, or access to, such bonds. Although we do not believe increased costs or decreased availability would significantly affect our ability to access these surety bonds, if this does become an issue, we would issue letters of credit, in states where allowed, against our credit facility to meet the state bonding requirements. This could increase our cost and decrease the funds available under our credit facility.

We have guaranteed half of the indebtedness of two real estate entities in which we have a 50% ownership interest. Our share of the responsibility for this indebtedness, should the entities be unable to meet their obligations, totals approximately \$7 million. Based on the covenants underlying this indebtedness as of January 29, 2011, we believe that it is unlikely that we will be responsible for repayment of these obligations.

We also are contingently liable for leases that have been assigned to various third parties in connection with facility closings and dispositions. We could be required to satisfy obligations under the leases if any of the assignees are unable to fulfill their lease obligations. Due to the wide distribution of our assignments among third parties, and various other remedies available to us, we believe the likelihood that we will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote. We have agreed to indemnify certain third-party logistics operators for certain expenses, including pension trust fund contribution obligations and withdrawal liabilities.

In addition to the above, we enter into various indemnification agreements and take on indemnification obligations in the ordinary course of business. Such arrangements include indemnities against third party claims arising out of agreements to provide services to Kroger; indemnities related to the sale of our securities; indemnities of directors, officers and employees in connection with the performance of their work; and indemnities of individuals serving as fiduciaries on benefit plans. While Kroger s aggregate indemnification obligation could result in a material liability, we are not aware of any current matter that could result in a material liability.

#### RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In January 2010, the FASB amended its standards related to fair value measurements and disclosures, which were effective for interim and annual fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for disclosures about certain Level 3 activity that will become effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The new standards require us to disclose transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers as well as activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. The new standards also require a more detailed level of disaggregation of the assets and liabilities being measured as well as increased disclosures regarding inputs and valuation techniques of the fair value measurements. We adopted the amended standards effective January 31, 2010, except for disclosures about certain Level 3 activity, which will be effective starting January 30, 2011. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for our fair value measurements and disclosures.

In June 2009, the FASB amended its existing standards related to the consolidation of VIEs, which was effective for interim and annual fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The new standards require an entity to analyze whether its variable interests give it a controlling financial interest of a VIE and outlines what defines a primary beneficiary. The new standards amend GAAP by: (a) changing certain rules for determining whether an entity is a VIE; (b) replacing the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary with a more qualitative approach; and (c) requiring entities to continuously analyze whether they are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, among other amendments. The new standards also require enhanced disclosures regarding an entity s involvement in a VIE. We adopted the amended standards effective January 31, 2010. The adoption of these new standards did not have a material effect on our Consolidated Financial

Statements.

Effective February 1, 2009, we adopted the new standards that clarify that share-based payment awards that entitle their holders to receive nonforfeitable dividends before vesting should be considered participating securities and included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of its adoption.

#### OUTLOOK

This discussion and analysis contains certain forward-looking statements about Kroger's future performance. These statements are based on management's assumptions and beliefs in light of the information currently available. Such statements relate to, among other things: projected changes in net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co.; identical supermarket sales growth; expected product cost; expected pension plan contributions; our ability to generate operating cash flow; projected capital expenditures; square footage growth; opportunities to reduce costs; cash flow requirements; and our operating plan for the future; and are indicated by words such as comfortable, committed, will, expect, goal, should, intend, target, believe, anticipate, plan, and similar words or phrases. These forward-looking statements are subject to uncertaintic other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially.

Statements elsewhere in this report and below regarding our expectations, projections, beliefs, intentions or strategies are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. While we believe that the statements are accurate, uncertainties about the general economy, our labor relations, our ability to execute our plans on a timely basis and other uncertainties described below could cause actual results to differ materially.

- We expect net earnings per diluted share in the range of \$1.80-\$1.92 for 2011. We expect the second and third quarter earnings per diluted share growth rate to be below the annual growth rate due to tax benefits recognized in 2010. The growth in the second quarter is expected to be the lowest of the year.
- We expect identical supermarket sales growth, excluding fuel sales, of 3.0%-4.0% in 2011.
- For 2011, we will continue to focus on improving sales growth, in accordance with our Customer 1st strategy, by making investments in gross margin and customer shopping experiences. We expect to finance these investments primarily with operating cost reductions. We expect non-fuel operating margins for 2011 to be comparable to 2010, excluding the non-cash goodwill impairment charge.
- For 2011, we expect fuel margins, which can be highly volatile, to be approximately \$0.115 per gallon, and we expect continued strong growth in fuel gallons sold.
- For 2011, we expect our annualized LIFO charge to be approximately \$50 million to \$75 million. This forecast is based on estimated cost changes for products in our inventory.
- For 2011, we expect interest expense to be approximately \$460 million.
- We plan to use cash flow primarily for capital investments, to maintain our current debt coverage ratios, to pay cash dividends, and to repurchase stock. As market conditions change, we re-evaluate these uses of cash flow.

• We expect to obtain sales growth from new square footage, as well as from increased productivity from existing locations.
• Capital expenditures reflect our strategy of growth through expansion, as well as focusing on productivity increases from our existing store base through remodels. In addition, we will continue our emphasis on self-development and ownership of real estate, logistics and technology improvements. The continued capital spending in technology is focused on improving store operations, logistics, manufacturing procurement, category management, merchandising and buying practices, and should reduce merchandising costs. We intend to continue using cash flow from operations to finance capital expenditure requirements. We expect capital investments for 2011 to be in the range of \$1.7-\$1.9 billion, excluding acquisitions and purchases of leased facilities. We expect total food store square footage to grow approximately 1.0%-1.5% before acquisitions and operational closings.
• Based on current operating trends, we believe that cash flow from operations and other sources of liquidity, including borrowings under our commercial paper program and bank credit facility, will be adequate to meet anticipated requirements for working capital, capital expenditures, interest payments and scheduled principal payments for the foreseeable future. We also believe we have adequate coverage of our debt covenants to continue to respond effectively to competitive conditions.
• We believe we have adequate sources of cash, if needed, under our credit facility and other borrowing sources.
25

• We expect that our OG&A results will be affected by increased costs, such as higher employee benefit costs and credit card fees, offset by improved productivity from process changes and leverage gained through sales increases.
• We expect that our effective tax rate for 2011 will be approximately 36.0%, excluding the resolution of any tax issues.
• We expect rent expense, as a percentage of total sales and excluding closed-store activity, will decrease due to the emphasis our current strategy places on ownership of real estate.
• We believe that in 2011 there will be opportunities to reduce our operating costs in such areas as administration, productivity improvements, shrink, warehousing and transportation. We intend to invest most of these savings in our core business to drive profitable sales growth and offer improved value and shopping experiences for our customers.
• We do not expect to make a cash contribution to the Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans during 2011. If a contribution is made to the Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans, we expect any elective contributions made during 2011 will decrease our required contributions in future years. Among other things, investment performance of plan assets, the interest rates required to be used to calculate the pension obligations, and future changes in legislation, will determine the amounts of any additional contributions. We expect 2011 expense for Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans to be approximately \$80 million. In addition, we expect 401(k) Retirement Savings Account Plan cash contributions and expense from automatic and matching contributions to participants to increase slightly in 2011, compared to 2010.
• We expect to contribute approximately \$300 million to multi-employer pension plans in 2011, subject to collective bargaining. In addition, we expect meaningful increases in expense as a result of increases in multi-employer pension plan contributions over the next few years.
• We do not anticipate additional goodwill impairments in 2011.
• We have various labor agreements that will be negotiated in 2011, covering store employees in southern California, Memphi and West Virginia. We will also negotiate agreements with the Teamsters who represent some of our associates in distribution and manufacturing operation in the Midwest. Upon the expiration of our collective bargaining agreements, work stoppages by the affected workers could occur if we are unable to negotiate new contracts with labor unions. A prolonged work stoppage affecting a substantial number of locations could have a material adverse effect on our results. In all of these contracts, rising health care and pension costs will continue to be an important issue in negotiations.
• We expect our business model to produce annual earnings per diluted share growth on average of 6.0% to 8.0% over each

rolling three to five year time period. Including our dividend, our business model is expected to generate total shareholder return on average of

8.0% to 10.0% over each rolling three to five year time period.

Various uncertainties and other factors could cause us to fail to achieve our goals. These include:

• The extent to which our sources of liquidity are sufficient to meet our requirements may be affected by the state of the financial markets and the effect that such condition has on our ability to issue commercial paper at acceptable rates. Our ability to borrow under our committed lines of credit, including our bank credit facilities, could be impaired if one or more of our lenders under those lines is unwilling or unable to honor its contractual obligation to lend to us.
Changes in market conditions could affect our cash flow.
26

- Our ability to achieve sales and earnings goals may be affected by: labor disputes; industry consolidation; pricing and promotional activities of existing and new competitors, including non-traditional competitors, and the aggressiveness of that competition; our response to these actions; the state of the economy, including interest rates, the inflationary and deflationary trends in certain commodities, and the unemployment rate; the effect that increased fuel costs have on consumer spending; changes in government-funded benefit programs; manufacturing commodity costs; diesel fuel costs related to our logistics operations; trends in consumer spending; the extent to which our customers exercise caution in their purchasing in response to economic conditions; the inconsistent pace of the economic recovery; changes in inflation or deflation in product and operating costs; stock repurchases; and the success of our future growth plans. Our ability to achieve sales and earnings goals may also be affected by our ability to manage the factors identified above.
- The extent to which the adjustments we are making to our strategy create value for our shareholders will depend primarily on the reaction of our customers and our competitors to these adjustments, as well as operating conditions, including inflation or deflation, increased competitive activity, and cautious spending behavior of our customers.
- Our product cost inflation could vary from our estimate due to general economic conditions, weather, availability of raw materials and ingredients in the products that we sell and their packaging, and other factors beyond our control.
- Our ability to use free cash flow to continue to maintain our debt coverage and to reward our shareholders could be affected by
  unanticipated increases in net total debt, our inability to generate free cash flow at the levels anticipated, and our failure to
  generate expected earnings.
- The timing of our recognition of LIFO expense will be affected primarily by changes in product costs during the year.
- If actual results differ significantly from anticipated future results for certain reporting units including variable interest entities, an impairment loss for any excess of the carrying value of the reporting units goodwill over the implied fair value would have to be recognized.
- In addition to the factors identified above, our identical store sales growth could be affected by increases in Kroger private label sales, the effect of our sister stores (new stores opened in close proximity to an existing store) and reductions in retail pricing.
- Our operating margins, without fuel, could decline more than expected if we are unable to pass on any cost increases, fail to
  deliver the cost savings contemplated or if changes in the cost of our inventory and the timing of those changes differ from our
  expectations.
- We could fail to realize our expected operating margin per gallon of fuel and fuel gallons sold based upon changes in the price of fuel, a change in our operating costs, or if a pattern of rapid changes in fuel costs occurs.
- We have estimated our exposure to the claims and litigation arising in the normal course of business, as well as to the material litigation facing Kroger, and believe we have made provisions where it is reasonably possible to estimate and where an adverse outcome is probable. Unexpected outcomes in these matters, however, could result in an adverse effect on our earnings.
- Consolidation in the food industry is likely to continue and the effects on our business, either favorable or unfavorable, cannot be
  foreseen.
- Rent expense, which includes subtenant rental income, could be adversely affected by the state of the economy, increased store closure activity and future consolidation.
- Depreciation expense, which includes the amortization of assets recorded under capital leases, is computed principally using the
  straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of individual assets, or the remaining terms of leases. Use of the straight-line
  method of depreciation creates a risk that future asset write-offs or potential impairment charges related to store closings would be
  larger than if an accelerated method of depreciation were followed.
- Our effective tax rate may differ from the expected rate due to changes in laws, the status of pending items with various taxing authorities, and the deductibility of certain expenses.

- The actual amount of automatic and matching cash contributions to our 401(k) Retirement Savings Account Plan will depend on the number of participants, savings rate, plan compensation, and length of service of participants.
- Our contributions and recorded expense related to multi-employer pension funds could increase more than anticipated. Should
  asset values in these funds deteriorate, if employers withdraw from these funds without providing for their share of the liability, or
  should our estimates prove to be understated, our contributions could increase more rapidly than we have anticipated.
- If volatility in the financial markets continues or worsens, our contributions to Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans
  could increase more than anticipated in future years.
- Changes in laws or regulations, including changes in accounting standards, taxation requirements and environmental laws may
  have a material effect on our financial statements.
- Changes in the general business and economic conditions in our operating regions may affect the shopping habits of our customers, which could affect sales and earnings.
- Changes in our product mix may negatively affect certain financial indicators. For example, we continue to add supermarket fuel
  centers to our store base. Since gasoline generates low profit margins, we expect to see our FIFO gross profit margins decline as
  gasoline sales increase. Although this negatively affects our FIFO gross margin, gasoline sales provide a positive effect on OG&A
  expense as a percentage of sales.
- Our capital expenditures, expected square footage growth, and number of store projects completed over the next fiscal year could
  differ from our estimate if we are unsuccessful in acquiring suitable sites for new stores, if development costs vary from those
  budgeted, if our logistics and technology or store projects are not completed on budget or within the time frame projected, or if
  economic conditions fail to improve, or worsen.
- Interest expense could be adversely affected by the interest rate environment, changes in our credit ratings, fluctuations in the amount of outstanding debt, decisions to incur prepayment penalties on the early redemption of debt and any factor that adversely affects our operations and results in an increase in debt.
- Impairment losses, including goodwill, could be affected by changes in our assumptions of future cash flows, market values or business valuations in the market. Our cash flow projections include several years of projected cash flows which would be affected by changes in the economic environment, real estate market values, competitive activity, inflation and customer behavior.
- Our estimated expense and obligation for Kroger-sponsored pension plans and other post-retirement benefits could be affected by
  changes in the assumptions used in calculating those amounts. These assumptions include, among others, the discount rate, the
  expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, average life expectancy and the rate of increases in compensation and health care
  costs.
- Adverse weather conditions could increase the cost our suppliers charge for their products, or may decrease customer demand for certain products. Increases in demand for certain commodities could also increase the cost our suppliers charge for their products. Additionally, increases in the cost of inputs, such as utility costs or raw material costs, could negatively affect financial ratios and earnings.
- Although we presently operate only in the United States, civil unrest in foreign countries in which our suppliers do business may
  affect the prices we are charged for imported goods. If we are unable to pass on these increases to our customers, our FIFO gross
  margin and net earnings would suffer.
- Earnings and sales also may be affected by adverse weather conditions, particularly to the extent that hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, earthquakes, and other conditions disrupt our operations or those of our suppliers; create shortages in the availability or increases in the cost of products that we sell in our stores or materials and ingredients we use in our manufacturing facilities; or raise the cost of supplying energy to our various operations.

Other factors and assumptions not identified above could also cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking information. Accordingly, actual events and results may vary significantly from those included in, contemplated or implied by forward-looking statements made by us or our representatives.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Financial Risk Management

We use derivative financial instruments primarily to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates and, to a lesser extent, adverse fluctuations in commodity prices and other market risks. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. As a matter of policy, all of our derivative positions are intended to reduce risk by hedging an underlying economic exposure. Because of the high correlation between the hedging instrument and the underlying exposure, fluctuations in the value of the instruments generally are offset by reciprocal changes in the value of the underlying exposure. The interest rate derivatives we use are straightforward instruments with liquid markets.

We manage our exposure to interest rates and changes in the fair value of our debt instruments primarily through the strategic use of variable and fixed rate debt, and interest rate swaps. Our current program relative to interest rate protection contemplates hedging the exposure to changes in the fair value of fixed-rate debt attributable to changes in interest rates. To do this, we use the following guidelines: (i) use average daily outstanding borrowings to determine annual debt amounts subject to interest rate exposure, (ii) limit the average annual amount of debt subject to interest rate reset and the amount of floating rate debt to a combined total of \$2.5 billion or less, (iii) include no leveraged products, and (iv) hedge without regard to profit motive or sensitivity to current mark-to-market status.

As of January 29, 2011, we maintained 18 interest rate swap agreements, with notional amounts totaling \$1.6 billion, to manage our exposure to changes in the fair value of our fixed rate debt resulting from interest rate movements by effectively converting a portion of our debt from fixed to variable rates. These agreements mature at varying times between April 2012 and April 2013, and coincide with our scheduled debt maturities. The differential between fixed and variable rates to be paid or received is accrued as interest rates change in accordance with the agreements as an adjustment to interest expense. These interest rate swap agreements are being accounted for as fair value hedges. As of January 29, 2011, other long-term assets totaling \$45 million were recorded to reflect the fair value of these agreements, primarily offset by increases in the fair value of the underlying debt. We have unamortized proceeds from twelve interest rate swaps once classified as fair value hedges totaling approximately \$16 million. The unamortized proceeds are recorded as adjustments to the carrying values of the underlying debt and are being amortized over the remaining term of the debt.

In addition to the interest rate swaps noted above, in 2005 we entered into three forward-starting interest rate swap agreements with a notional amount totaling \$750 million. A forward-starting interest rate swap is an agreement that effectively hedges future benchmark interest rates on debt for an established period of time. We entered into the forward-starting interest rate swaps in order to lock into fixed interest rates on forecasted issuances of debt in 2007 and 2008. In 2007, we terminated two of these forward-starting interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$500 million. In 2008, we terminated the remaining forward interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$250 million. As of January 29, 2011, the unamortized payments and proceeds of \$8 million (\$5 million net of tax) on these terminated forward-starting interest rate swaps have been recorded net of tax in other comprehensive income and will be amortized to earnings as the payments of interest to which the hedge relates are made.

Annually, we review with the Financial Policy Committee of our Board of Directors compliance with the guidelines described above. The guidelines may change as our business needs dictate.

The tables below provide information about our interest rate derivatives and underlying debt portfolio as of January 29, 2011. The amounts shown for each year represent the contractual maturities of long-term debt, excluding capital leases, and the average outstanding notional amounts of interest rate derivatives as of January 29, 2011. Interest rates reflect the weighted average rate for the outstanding instruments. The variable component of each interest rate derivative and the variable rate debt is based on U.S. dollar LIBOR using the forward yield curve as of January 29, 2011. The Fair-Value column includes the fair-value of our debt instruments and interest rate derivatives as of January 29, 2011. Refer to Notes 5, 6 and 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **Expected Year of Maturity**

										Fair
	2011	2012	2013	2014		2015	T	hereafter	Total	Value
				(In mi	llior	ıs)				
Debt										
Fixed rate	\$ (491)	\$ (850)	\$ (1,509)	\$ (308)	\$	(507)	\$	(3,528)	\$ (7,193)	\$ (7,950)
Average interest										
rate	6.34%	6.30%	6.29%	6.36%		6.56%		6.87%		
Variable rate	\$ (58)	\$ (55)	\$ (11)	\$	\$	(9)	\$	(108)	\$ (241)	\$ (241)
Average interest										
rate	2.46%	1.23%	2.11%	2.16%		3.57%		4.04%		

	2011	Average 2012	No	tional Amour 2013	Outstanding 2014 (In million	2015 us)	Thereafter	J	anuary 29, 2011 Total	anuary 29, 2011 Fair Value
Interest Rate Derivatives										
Fixed to variable	\$ 1,625	\$ 1,067	\$	78	\$ \$		\$	\$	1,625	\$ 45
Average pay rate Average receive	3.83%	3.67%		3.98%						
rate	5.87%	5.51%		5.00%						

### Commodity Price Protection

We enter into purchase commitments for various resources, including raw materials utilized in our manufacturing facilities and energy to be used in our stores, warehouses, manufacturing facilities and administrative offices. We enter into commitments expecting to take delivery of and to utilize those resources in the conduct of normal business. Those commitments for which we expect to utilize or take delivery in a reasonable amount of time in the normal course of business qualify as normal purchases.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareowners and Board of Directors of

The Kroger Co.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows and changes in shareowners equity present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Kroger Co. and its subsidiaries at January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 29, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 29, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control -Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Cincinnati, Ohio

# THE KROGER CO.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except par values)		January 29, 2011		January 30, 2010
ASSETS				
Current assets	4	0.4.7	Φ.	
Cash and temporary cash investments	\$	825	\$	424
Deposits in-transit		666		654
Receivables		845		909
FIFO inventory		5,793		5,705
LIFO reserve		(827)		(770)
Prefunded employee benefits				300
Prepaid and other current assets		319		261
Total current assets		7,621		7,483
Property, plant and equipment, net		14,147		13,929
Goodwill		1,140		1,158
Other assets		597		556
Total Assets	\$	23,505	\$	23,126
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities				
Current portion of long-term debt including obligations under capital leases and				
financing obligations	\$	588	\$	579
Trade accounts payable		4,227		3,890
Accrued salaries and wages		888		786
Deferred income taxes		220		354
Other current liabilities		2,147		2,118
Total current liabilities		8,070		7,727
Long-term debt including obligations under capital leases and financing obligations				
Face-value of long-term debt including obligations under capital leases and financing				
obligations		7,247		7,420
Adjustment related to fair-value of interest rate hedges		57		57
Long-term debt including obligations under capital leases and financing obligations		7,304		7,477
Deferred income taxes		750		568
Pension and postretirement benefit obligations		946		1,082
Other long-term liabilities		1,137		1,346
Total Liabilities		18,207		18,200
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 11)				
SHAREOWNERS EQUITY				
Preferred stock, \$100 par per share, 5 shares authorized and unissued		_		_
Common stock, \$1 par per share, 1,000 shares authorized; 959 shares issued in 2010 and 958 shares issued in 2009		959		958
Additional paid-in capital		3,394		3,361
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(550)		(593)
Accumulated earnings		8,225		7,364
Common stock in treasury, at cost, 339 shares in 2010 and 316 shares in 2009		(6,732)		(6,238)
Common stock in treasury, at cost, 337 shares in 2010 and 310 shares in 2007		(0,132)		(0,236)

Edgar Filing: KROGER CO - Form 10-K

Total Shareowners Equity - The Kroger Co.	5,2	96	4,852
Noncontrolling interests		2	74
Total Equity	5,2	98	4,926
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 23,5	)5 \$	23,126

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# THE KROGER CO.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Years Ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009

	2010	2009	2008
(In millions, except per share amounts)	(52 weeks)	(52 weeks)	(52 weeks)
Sales	\$ 82,189	\$ 76,733	\$ 76,148
Merchandise costs, including advertising, warehousing, and	ĺ	,	
transportation, excluding items shown separately below	63,927	58,958	58,544
Operating, general and administrative	13,811	13,398	13,050
Rent	651	648	659
Depreciation and amortization	1,600	1,525	1,443
Goodwill impairment charge	18	1,113	_
Operating Profit	2,182	1,091	2,452
Interest expense	448	502	485
Earnings before income tax expense	1,734	589	1,967
Income tax expense	601	532	717
Net earnings including noncontrolling interests	1,133	57	1,250
Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	17	(13)	1
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co.	\$ 1,116	\$ 70	\$ 1,249
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per basic common share	\$ 1.75	\$ 0.11	\$ 1.91
Average number of common shares used in basic calculation	635	647	652
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per diluted common share	\$ 1.74	\$ 0.11	\$ 1.89
Average number of common shares used in diluted calculation	638	650	658
Dividends declared per common share	\$ .40	\$ .37	\$ .36

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# THE KROGER CO.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Years Ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009

		2010		
(In millions)		(52 weeks)	2009 (52 weeks)	2008 (52 weeks)
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		(52 weeks)	(52 weeks)	(52 weeks)
Net earnings including noncontrolling interests	\$	1,133	\$ 57	\$ 1,250
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating	Ψ	1,133	ψ <i>51</i>	1,230
activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		1,600	1,525	1,443
Goodwill impairment charge		18	1,113	1,773
Asset impairment charge		25	48	26
LIFO charge		57	49	196
Stock-based employee compensation		79	83	91
Expense for Company-sponsored pension plans		65	31	44
Deferred income taxes		37	222	341
Other		8	53	(63)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities net of effects from				
acquisitions of businesses:				
Store deposits in-transit		(12)	(23)	45
Inventories		(88)	(45)	(193)
Receivables		(11)	(21)	(28)
Prepaid expenses		290	(51)	47
Trade accounts payable		315	54	(53)
Accrued expenses		71	(46)	(33)
Income taxes receivable and payable		133	49	(206)
Contribution to Company-sponsored pension plans		(141)	(265)	(20)
Other		(213)	89	9
Net cash provided by operating activities		3,366	2,922	2,896
Net cash provided by operating activities		3,300	2,922	2,890
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:				
Payments for capital expenditures		(1,919)	(2,297)	(2,149)
Proceeds from sale of assets		55	20	59
Payments for acquisitions		(7)	(36)	(80)
Other		(90)	(14)	(9)
Net cash used by investing activities		(1,961)	(2,327)	(2,179)
rect cash used by investing activities		(1,501)	(2,321)	(2,17)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:				
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		381	511	1,377
Payments on long-term debt		(553)	(432)	(1,048)
Payments on credit facility		_	(129)	(441)
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock		29	51	172
Treasury stock purchases		(545)	(218)	(637)
Dividends paid		(250)	(238)	(227)
Increase in book overdrafts		22	14	2
Investment in the remaining interest of a variable interest entity		(86)	_	
Other		(2)	7	33

Edgar Filing: KROGER CO - Form 10-K

Net cash used by financing activities	(1,004)	(434)	(769)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and temporary cash investments	401	161	(52)
Cash from Consolidated Variable Interest Entity			73
Cash and temporary cash investments:			
Beginning of year	424	263	242
End of year	\$ 825	\$ 424	\$ 263
Reconciliation of capital expenditures:			
Payments for capital expenditures	\$ (1,919)	\$ (2,297)	\$ (2,149)
Changes in construction-in-progress payables	22	(18)	(4)
Total capital expenditures	\$ <b>(1,897</b> )	\$ (2,315)	\$ (2,153)
Disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$ 486	\$ 542	\$ 485
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	\$ 664	\$ 130	\$ 641

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# THE KROGER CO.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREOWNERS EQUITY

Years Ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009

	Commoi	nStoc		Addition Paid-		Treasur	y Sto	ock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Accumul	atedN	lonco	ontrolling	
(In millions, except per share amounts)	Shares	An	nount	Capi	tal	Shares	A	mount	Gain (Loss)	Earnin	ıgs	In	terest	Total
Balances at February 2, 2008	947	\$	947	\$ 3,	031	284	\$	(5,422	) \$ (122)	\$ 6,	528	\$	7 5	4,969
Issuance of common stock:														
Stock options exercised	8		8		162			3						173
Restricted stock issued					(46)	(1)		30						(16)
Treasury stock activity:														
Treasury stock purchases, at cost						16		(448)	)					(448)
Stock options exchanged						7		(189)	)					(189)
Tax benefits from exercise of stock														
options					15									15
Share-based employee compensation					91									91
Other comprehensive loss net of income tax of \$(224)									(373)					(373)
Purchase of non-wholly owned entity													101	101
Other					13			(13	)		(2)		(14)	(16)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.36 per common share)										(	237)			(237)
Net earnings including noncontrolling										(	231)			(231)
interests										1,	249		1	1,250
Balances at January 31, 2009	955	\$	955	\$ 3.	266	306	\$	(6,039	) \$ (495)	\$ 7.	538	\$	95 5	5,320
Issuance of common stock:								(0,000	, + (122)	· · · · ·		_		,
Stock options exercised	3		3		54			(6	)					51
Restricted stock issued					(59)	(1)		42						(17)
Treasury stock activity:					(0)	(-)								()
Treasury stock purchases, at cost						8		(156	)					(156)
Stock options exchanged						3		(62	,					(62)
Tax detriments from exercise of stock								(02	,					(02)
options					(2)									(2)
Share-based employee compensation					83									83
Other comprehensive loss net of income														
tax of \$(58)									(98)					(98)
Other					19			(17			(3)		(8)	(9)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.37 per					-/			(17	,		(5)		(0)	(>)
common share)										(	241)			(241)
Net earnings (loss) including											.=/			(= )
noncontrolling interests											70		(13)	57
Balances at January 30, 2010	958	\$	958	¢ 3	361	316	\$	(6,238	) \$ (593)	\$ 7	364	¢	74.9	\$ 4,926
Issuance of common stock:	736	Ψ	730	Ψ 5,	501	310	Ψ	(0,230	) \$ (373)	Ψ /,	,504	Ψ	7-	7,720
Stock options exercised	1		1		9	(1)		19						29
Restricted stock issued					(54)	(1)		37						(17)
Treasury stock activity:					(34)	(1)		31						(17)
Treasury stock purchases, at cost						24		(505	)					(505)
Stock options exchanged						1		(40)						(40)
Investment in the remaining interest of a								(40	)					(40)
variable interest entity net of income tax														
of \$(14)					(8)								(67)	(75)
Share-based employee compensation					79								(07)	79
Shale based employee compensation					,,				43					43

Other comprehensive gain net of income										
tax of \$26										
Other			7			(5)			(22)	(20)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.40 per										
common share)								(255)		(255)
Net earnings including noncontrolling										
interests								1,116	17	1,133
Balances at January 29, 2011	959	\$ 959 \$	3,394	3	339	\$ (6,732) \$	(550)\$	8,225 \$	2 \$	5,298

# Comprehensive income:

	2010	20	009	2008
Net earnings including noncontrolling interests	\$ 1,133	\$	57 \$	1,250
Unrealized gain on hedging activities, net of income tax of \$2 in 2008				3
Unrealized gain on available for sale securities, net of income tax of \$4				
in 2010	5			
Amortization of unrealized gains and losses on hedging activities, net				
of income tax of \$1 in 2010 and \$1 in 2009	2		2	1
Change in pension and other postretirement defined benefit plans, net				
of income tax of \$21 in 2010, \$(59) in 2009 and \$(227) in 2008	36		(100)	(377)
Comprehensive income (loss)	1,176		(41)	877
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	17		(13)	1
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to The Kroger Co.	\$ 1,159	\$	(28) \$	876

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

All dollar amounts are in millions except share and per share amounts.  Certain prior-year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.
1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES
The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies followed in preparing these financial statements.
Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation
The Kroger Co. (the Company ) was founded in 1883 and incorporated in 1902. As of January 29, 2011, the Company was one of the largest retailers in the United States based on annual sales. The Company also manufactures and processes food for sale by its supermarkets. The accompanying financial statements include the consolidated accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned subsidiaries and the Variable Interest Entities (VIE) in which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.
The Company reflects certain promotional allowances in its LIFO charge. During the first quarter 2010 LIFO analysis, the Company revised the LIFO reserve to reflect certain prior year promotional allowances in prior year LIFO indices. By not including these promotional allowances in all LIFO indices, the Company overstated its LIFO reserve for years 2007 and prior. The Company believes this correction is not material to any individual year or any quarterly period within the years presented. As a result, the Company has increased beginning accumulated earnings and reduced its LIFO reserve in the Consolidated Financial Statements by \$33 (\$20 after-tax).
Fiscal Year
The Company s fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest January 31. The last three fiscal years consist of the 52-week periods ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009.
Pervasiveness of Estimates
The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) requires management to make

estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities. Disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of consolidated revenues and expenses during the reporting period also is

required. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and temporary cash investments

Cash and temporary cash investments represent store cash and Euros held to settle Euro-denominated contracts. The Company valued its carrying amount of Euros at the spot rates as of January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010, and January 31, 2009.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (principally on a last-in, first-out LIFO basis) or market. In total, approximately 97% of inventories for 2010 and 2009 were valued using the LIFO method. Cost for the balance of the inventories, including substantially all fuel inventories, was determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Replacement cost was higher than the carrying amount by \$827 at January 29, 2011 and \$770 at January 30, 2010. The Company follows the Link-Chain, Dollar-Value LIFO method for purposes of calculating its LIFO charge or credit.

The item-cost method of accounting to determine inventory cost before the LIFO adjustment is followed for substantially all store inventories at the Company's supermarket divisions. This method involves counting each item in inventory, assigning costs to each of these items based on the actual purchase costs (net of vendor allowances and cash discounts) of each item and recording the cost of items sold. The item-cost method of accounting allows for more accurate reporting of periodic inventory balances and enables management to more precisely manage inventory when compared to the retail method of accounting.

The Company evaluates inventory shortages throughout the year based on actual physical counts in its facilities. Allowances for inventory shortages are recorded based on the results of these counts to provide for estimated shortages as of the financial statement date.
Property, Plant and Equipment
Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation expense, which includes the amortization of assets recorded under capital leases, is computed principally using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of individual assets. Buildings and land improvements are depreciated based on lives varying from 10 to 40 years. All new purchases of store equipment are assigned lives varying from three to nine years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term to which they relate, which varies from four to 25 years, or the useful life of the asset. Manufacturing plant and distribution center equipment is depreciated over lives varying from three to 15 years. Information technology assets are generally depreciated over five years. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$1,600 in 2010, \$1,525 in 2009 and \$1,443 in 2008.
Interest costs on significant projects constructed for the Company s own use are capitalized as part of the costs of the newly constructed facilities. Upon retirement or disposal of assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the balance sheet and any gain or loss is reflected in net earnings.
Deferred Rent
The Company recognizes rent holidays, including the time period during which the Company has access to the property for construction of buildings or improvements and escalating rent provisions on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The deferred amount is included in Other Current Liabilities and Other Long-Term Liabilities on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets.
Goodwill
The Company reviews goodwill for impairment during the fourth quarter of each year, and also upon the occurrence of trigger events. The reviews are performed at the operating division level. Generally, fair value is determined using a multiple of earnings, or discounted projected future cash flows, and is compared to the carrying value of a division for purposes of identifying potential impairment. Projected future cash flows are based on management s knowledge of the current operating environment and expectations for the future. If potential for impairment is identified, the fair value of a division is measured against the fair value of its underlying assets and liabilities, excluding goodwill, to estimate an implied fair value of the division s goodwill. Goodwill impairment is recognized for any excess of the carrying value of the division s goodwill over the implied fair value. Results of the goodwill impairment reviews performed during 2010, 2009 and 2008 are summarized in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company monitors the carrying value of long-lived assets for potential impairment each quarter based on whether certain trigger events have occurred. These events include current period losses combined with a history of losses or a projection of continuing losses or a significant decrease in the market value of an asset. When a trigger event occurs, an impairment calculation is performed, comparing projected undiscounted future cash flows, utilizing current cash flow information and expected growth rates related to specific stores, to the carrying value for those stores. If the Company identifies impairment for long-lived assets to be held and used, the Company compares the assets current carrying value to the assets fair value. Fair value is based on current market values or discounted future cash flows. The Company records impairment when the carrying value exceeds fair market value. With respect to owned property and equipment held for sale, the value of the property and equipment is adjusted to reflect recoverable values based on previous efforts to dispose of similar assets and current economic conditions. Impairment is recognized for the excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair market value, reduced by estimated direct costs of disposal. The Company recorded asset impairments in the normal course of business totaling \$25, \$48 and \$26 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Included in the 2009 amount are asset impairments recorded totaling \$24 for a southern California reporting unit. Costs to reduce the carrying value of long-lived assets for each of the years presented have been included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as Operating, general and administrative expense.

Store Closing Costs

The Company provides for closed store liabilities relating to the present value of the estimated remaining noncancellable lease payments after the closing date, net of estimated subtenant income. The Company estimates the net lease liabilities using a discount rate to calculate the present value of the remaining net rent payments on closed stores. The closed store lease liabilities usually are paid over the lease terms associated with the closed stores, which generally have remaining terms ranging from one to 20 years. Adjustments to closed store liabilities primarily relate to changes in subtenant income and actual exit costs differing from original estimates. Adjustments are made for changes in estimates in the period in which the change becomes known. Store closing liabilities are reviewed quarterly to ensure that any accrued amount that is not a sufficient estimate of future costs, or that no longer is needed for its originally intended purpose, is adjusted to income in the proper period.

Owned stores held for disposal are reduced to their estimated net realizable value. Costs to reduce the carrying values of property, equipment and leasehold improvements are accounted for in accordance with the Company s policy on impairment of long-lived assets. Inventory write-downs, if any, in connection with store closings, are classified in Merchandise costs. Costs to transfer inventory and equipment from closed stores are expensed as incurred.

The following table summarizes accrual activity for future lease obligations of stores that were closed in the normal course of business:

	Future Lea Obligation	
Balance at January 31, 2009	\$	65
Additions		4
Payments		(11)
Balance at January 30, 2010		58
Additions		8
Payments		(12)
Other		(2)
Balance at January 29, 2011	\$	52

Interest Rate Risk Management

The Company uses derivative instruments primarily to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates. The Company s current program relative to interest rate protection and the methods by which the Company accounts for its derivative instruments are described in Note 6.

Commodity Price Protection

The Company enters into purchase commitments for various resources, including raw materials utilized in its manufacturing facilities and energy to be used in its stores, manufacturing facilities and administrative offices. The Company enters into commitments expecting to take delivery of and to utilize those resources in the conduct of the normal course of business. The Company s current program relative to commodity

price protection and the methods by which the Company accounts for its purchase commitments are described in Note 6.

Benefit Plans

The Company recognizes the funded status of its retirement plans on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Actuarial gains or losses, prior service costs or credits and transition obligations that have not yet been recognized are required to be recorded as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ( AOCI ). All plans are measured as of the Company s fiscal year end.

The determination of the obligation and expense for Company-sponsored pension plans and other post-retirement benefits is dependent on the selection of assumptions used by actuaries and the Company in calculating those amounts. Those assumptions are described in Note 13 and include, among others, the discount rate, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the rates of increase in compensation and health care costs. Actual results that differ from the assumptions are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect the recognized expense and recorded obligation in future periods. While the Company believes that the assumptions are appropriate, significant differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions may materially affect the pension and other post-retirement obligations and future expense.

The Company also participates in various multi-employer plans for substantially all union employees. Pension expense for these plans is recognized as contributions are funded.

The Company administers and makes contributions to the employee 401(k) retirement savings accounts. Contributions to the employee 401(k) retirement savings accounts are expensed when contributed. Refer to Note 13 for additional information regarding the Company s benefit plans.

Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock options under fair value recognition provisions. Under this method, the Company recognizes compensation expense for all share-based payments granted. The Company recognizes share-based compensation expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, over the requisite service period of the award. In addition, the Company records expense for restricted stock awards in an amount equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date of the award, over the period the awards lapse.

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect the tax consequences of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting basis. Refer to Note 4 for the types of differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred income tax assets and liabilities. Deferred income taxes are classified as a net current or noncurrent asset or liability based on the classification of the related asset or liability for financial reporting purposes. A deferred tax asset or liability that is not related to an asset or liability for financial reporting is classified according to the expected reversal date.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company reviews the tax positions taken or expected to be taken on tax returns to determine whether and to what extent a benefit can be recognized in its consolidated financial statements. Refer to Note 4 for the amount of unrecognized tax benefits and other related disclosures related to uncertain tax positions.

Various taxing authorities periodically audit the Company s income tax returns. These audits include questions regarding the Company s tax filing positions, including the timing and amount of deductions and the allocation of income to various tax jurisdictions. In evaluating the exposures connected with these various tax filing positions, including state and local taxes, the Company records allowances for probable exposures. A number of years may elapse before a particular matter, for which an allowance has been established, is audited and fully resolved. As of January 29, 2011, the most recent examination concluded by the Internal Revenue Service covered the years 2005 through 2007.

The assessment of the Company s tax position relies on the judgment of management to estimate the exposures associated with the Company s various filing positions.

Self-Insurance Costs

The Company is primarily self-insured for costs related to workers compensation and general liability claims. Liabilities are actuarially determined and are recognized based on claims filed and an estimate of claims incurred but not reported. The liabilities for workers compensation claims are accounted for on a present value basis. The Company has purchased stop-loss coverage to limit its exposure to any significant exposure on a per claim basis. The Company is insured for covered costs in excess of these per claim limits.

40

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company s self-insurance liability through January 29, 2011.

	2010	0	2009	2008
Beginning balance	\$	485 \$	468 \$	470
Expense		210	202	189
Claim payments		(181)	(185)	(191)
Ending balance		514	485	468
Less current portion		(181)	(182)	(192)
Long-term portion	\$	333 \$	303 \$	276

The current portion of the self-insured liability is included in Other current liabilities , and the long-term portion is included in Other long-term liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company is also similarly self-insured for property-related losses. The Company has purchased stop-loss coverage to limit its exposure to losses in excess of \$25 on a per claim basis, except in the case of an earthquake, for which stop-loss coverage is in excess of \$50 per claim, up to \$200 per claim in California and \$300 outside of California.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenues from the sale of products are recognized at the point of sale. Discounts provided to customers by the Company at the time of sale, including those provided in connection with loyalty cards, are recognized as a reduction in sales as the products are sold. Discounts provided by vendors, usually in the form of paper coupons, are not recognized as a reduction in sales provided the coupons are redeemable at any retailer that accepts coupons. The Company records a receivable from the vendor for the difference in sales price and cash received. Pharmacy sales are recorded when provided to the customer. Sales taxes are recorded as other accrued liabilities and not as a component of sales. The Company does not recognize a sale when it sells its own gift cards and gift certificates. Rather, it records a deferred liability equal to the amount received. A sale is then recognized when the gift card or gift certificate is redeemed to purchase the Company s products. Gift card and certificate breakage is recognized when redemption is deemed remote. The amount of breakage has not been material for the 2010, 2009 and 2008 years.

#### Merchandise Costs

The Merchandise costs line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations includes product costs, net of discounts and allowances; advertising costs (see separate discussion below); inbound freight charges; warehousing costs, including receiving and inspection costs; transportation costs; and manufacturing production and operational costs. Warehousing, transportation and manufacturing management salaries are also included in the Merchandise costs line item; however, purchasing management salaries and administration costs are included in the Operating, general, and administrative line item along with most of the Company's other managerial and administrative costs. Rent expense and depreciation expense are shown separately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Warehousing and transportation costs include distribution center direct wages, repairs and maintenance, utilities, inbound freight and, where applicable, third party warehouse management fees, as well as transportation direct wages and repairs and maintenance. These costs are recognized in the periods the related expenses are incurred.

The Company believes the classification of costs included in merchandise costs could vary widely throughout the industry. The Company s approach is to include in the Merchandise costs line item the direct, net costs of acquiring products and making them available to customers in its stores. The Company believes this approach most accurately presents the actual costs of products sold.

The Company recognizes all vendor allowances as a reduction in merchandise costs when the related product is sold. When possible, vendor allowances are applied to the related product cost by item and, therefore, reduce the carrying value of inventory by item. When the items are sold, the vendor allowance is recognized. When it is not possible, due to systems constraints, to allocate vendor allowances to the product by item, vendor allowances are recognized as a reduction in merchandise costs based on inventory turns and, therefore, recognized as the product is sold.

Ad	vo	rtisin	o C	nets

The Company s advertising costs are recognized in the periods the related expenses are incurred and are included in the Merchandise costs line item of the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company s pre-tax advertising costs totaled \$533 in 2010, \$529 in 2009 and \$532 in 2008. The Company does not record vendor allowances for co-operative advertising as a reduction of advertising expense.

Deposits In-Transit

Deposits in-transit generally represent funds deposited to the Company s bank accounts at the end of the year related to sales, a majority of which were paid for with credit cards and checks, to which the Company does not have immediate access but settle within a few days of the sales transaction.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be temporary cash investments. Book overdrafts, which are included in accounts payable, represent disbursements that are funded as the item is presented for payment. Book overdrafts totaled \$699, \$677 and \$663 as of January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010, and January 31, 2009, respectively, and are reflected as a financing activity in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Segments

The Company operates retail food and drug stores, multi-department stores, jewelry stores, and convenience stores throughout the United States. The Company s retail operations, which represent substantially all of the Company s consolidated sales, are its only reportable segment. All of the Company s operations are domestic.

### 2. GOODWILL

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company s net goodwill balance through January 29, 2011.

	201	10	2009
Balance beginning of the year			
Goodwill	\$	3,672 \$	3,672
Accumulated impairment losses		(2,514)	(1,401)
		1,158	2,271

Edgar Filing: KROGER CO - Form 10-K

Activity during the year		
Goodwill impairment charge	(18)	(1,113)
Balance end of year		
Goodwill	3,672	3,672
Accumulated impairment losses	(2,532)	(2,514)
	\$ 1,140 \$	1,158

Testing for impairment must be performed annually, or on an interim basis upon the occurrence of a triggering event or a change in circumstances that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. The annual evaluation of goodwill performed during the fourth quarter of 2009 and 2008 did not result in impairment.

The annual evaluation of goodwill performed during the fourth quarter of 2010 resulted in an impairment charge of \$18. Based on the results of the Company s step one analysis in the fourth quarter of 2010, a supermarket reporting unit with a small number of stores indicated potential impairment. Due to estimated future expected cash flows being lower than in the past, the estimated fair value of the reporting unit decreased. Management concluded that the carrying value of goodwill for this reporting unit exceeded its implied fair value due to the decreased fair value of the reporting unit, resulting in a pre-tax impairment charge of \$18 (\$12 after-tax). In 2009, the Company disclosed that a 10% reduction in fair value of this supermarket reporting unit would indicate a potential for impairment. Subsequent to the impairment, no goodwill remains at this reporting unit.

In the third quarter of 2009, the Company s operating performance suffered due to deflation and intense competition. During the third quarter of 2009, based on revised forecasts for 2009 and the initial results of the Company s 2010 annual budget process of the supermarket reporting units, management believed that there were circumstances evident to warrant impairment testing of these reporting units. In the third quarter of 2009, the Company did not test the variable interest entities with recorded goodwill for impairment as no triggering event occurred.

Based on the results of the Company s step one analysis in the third quarter of 2009, the Ralphs reporting unit in Southern California was the only reporting unit for which there was a potential impairment. In 2009, the operating performance of the Ralphs reporting unit was significantly affected by the economic conditions at the time and responses to competitive actions in Southern California. As a result of this decline in current and future expected cash flows, along with comparable fair value information, management concluded that the carrying value of goodwill for the Ralphs reporting unit exceeded its implied fair value, resulting in a pre-tax impairment charge of \$1,113 (\$1,036 after-tax). Subsequent to the impairment, no goodwill remains at the Ralphs reporting unit.

Based on current and future expected cash flows, the Company believes additional goodwill impairments are not reasonably possible. A 10% reduction in fair value of the Company s reporting units would not indicate a potential for impairment of the Company s remaining goodwill balance, except for one non-supermarket reporting unit with recorded goodwill of \$77. The fair value of this reporting unit was estimated using discounted cash flows. The discounted cash flows assume long-term sales growth rates comparable to historical performances and a discount rate of 12.5%.

#### 3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property, plant and equipment, net consists of:

	201	0	2009
Land	\$	2,168 \$	2,058
Buildings and land improvements		7,417	6,999
Equipment		9,806	9,553
Leasehold improvements		5,852	5,483
Construction-in-progress		904	1,010
Leased property under capital leases and financing obligations		569	570
Total property, plant and equipment		26,716	25,673
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(12,569)	(11,744)
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$	14,147 \$	13,929

Accumulated depreciation for leased property under capital leases was \$317 at January 29, 2011, and \$299 at January 30, 2010.

Approximately \$247 and \$382, original cost, of Property, Plant and Equipment collateralized certain mortgages at January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010, respectively.

## 4. TAXES BASED ON INCOME

The provision for taxes based on income consists of:

	2010	2009	2008
Federal			
Current	\$ 697 \$	193 \$	304
Deferred	(136)	275	331
	561	468	635
State and local			
Current	95	41	46
Deferred	(55)	23	36
	40	64	82
Total	\$ 601 \$	532 \$	717

A reconciliation of the statutory federal rate and the effective rate follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	1.5%	7.1%	2.7%
Credits	(1.3)%	(3.4)%	(1.0)%
Favorable resolution of issues	(.8)%	(2.5)%	
Goodwill impairment		53.9%	
Other changes, net	0.3%	0.3%	(0.2)%
	34.7%	90.4%	36.5%

The tax effects of significant temporary differences that comprise tax balances were as follows:

	2010		2009
Current deferred tax assets:			
Net operating loss and credit carryforwards	\$	2 \$	2
Compensation related costs		165	58
Total current deferred tax assets		167	60
Current deferred tax liabilities:			
Insurance related costs		(113)	(119)
Inventory related costs		(229)	(241)
Other		(45)	(54)
Total current deferred tax liabilities		(387)	(414)
Current deferred taxes	\$	(220) \$	(354)
Long-term deferred tax assets:			
Compensation related costs	\$	474 \$	487
Lease accounting		97	100
Closed store reserves		61	69
Insurance related costs		75	85
Net operating loss and credit carryforwards		47	38
Other		11	3
Long-term deferred tax assets, net		765	782
Long-term deferred tax liabilities:			
Depreciation		(1,515)	(1,337)
Other			(13)
Total long-term deferred tax liabilities		(1,515)	(1,350)
Long-term deferred taxes	\$	<b>(750)</b> \$	(568)

At January 29, 2011, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes of \$607 that expire from 2012 through 2030. The utilization of certain of the Company s net operating loss carryforwards may be limited in a given year.

At January 29, 2011, the Company had State credits of \$24, some of which expire from 2011 through 2027. The utilization of certain of the Company s credits may be limited in a given year.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits, including positions impacting only the timing of tax benefits, is as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Beginning balance	\$ <b>586</b> \$	492 \$	469
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	38	111	53
Reductions based on tax positions related to the current year	(237)	(4)	(6)

Additions for tax positions of prior years	13	33	4
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(51)	(16)	(11)
Settlements	(16)	(30)	(17)
Ending balance	\$ 333 \$	586 \$	492

The Company does not anticipate that changes in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits over the next twelve months will have a significant impact on its results of operations or financial position.

As of January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009, the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate was \$116, \$132 and \$116 respectively.

To the extent interest and penalties would be assessed by taxing authorities on any underpayment of income tax, such amounts have been accrued and classified as a component of income tax expense. During the years ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009, the Company recognized approximately \$(2), \$4 and \$6 respectively, in interest and penalties (recoveries). The Company had accrued approximately \$101 and \$108 for the payment of interest and penalties as of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010, respectively.

The IRS concluded a field examination of the Company s 2005 2007 U.S. tax returns during the second quarter of 2010 and is currently auditing years 2008 2009. The audit is not expected to be completed in the next twelve months. Additionally, the Company has a case in the U.S. Tax Court. A favorable ruling on the Company s motion for partial summary judgment was issued on January 27, 2011. A final decision in the case, and the filing of any appeals, should occur within the next 12 months. Refer to Note 11 for additional information regarding this U.S. Tax Court case. In connection with this case, the Company has extended the statute of limitations on our tax years after 1991 and those years remain open to examination. States have a limited time frame to review and adjust federal audit changes reported. Assessments made and refunds allowed are generally limited to the federal audit changes reported.

#### 5. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Long-term debt consists of:

	2010		2009	
3.90% to 8.05% Senior notes and debentures due through 2040		7,106		7,308
5.00% to 9.88% Mortgages due in varying amounts through 2034		73		105
Other		255		163
Total debt		7,434		7,576
Less current portion		(549)		(549)
Total long-term debt	\$	6,885	\$	7,027

With the proceeds received from the Company s 2009 issuance of \$500 of senior notes bearing an interest rate of 3.90% due in 2015, the Company repaid \$500 of senior notes bearing an interest rate of 8.05% that matured in 2010.

In 2010, the Company issued \$300 of senior notes bearing an interest rate of 5.40% due in 2040.

On November 8, 2010, the Company entered into a new \$2,000 unsecured revolving credit facility (the New Credit Agreement), with a termination date of May 15, 2014, unless extended as permitted under the New Credit Agreement. This credit facility replaced the Company s \$2,500 credit facility that would otherwise have terminated on November 15, 2011. Borrowings under the New Credit Agreement bear interest at the Company s option, at either (i) LIBOR plus a market rate spread, subject to a floor and cap based on the Company s Leverage Ratio or (ii) the base rate, defined as the highest of (a) the Bank of America prime rate, (b) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.5%, and (c) one-month LIBOR

plus 1.0%, subject to a floor and cap based on the Company s Leverage Ratio, minus 1.0% but not less than 0.0%. The Company will also pay a Commitment Fee based on the Leverage Ratio and Letter of Credit fees equal to a market rate spread for LIBOR loans. The New Credit Agreement contains covenants, which, among other things, require the maintenance of a Leverage Ratio of not greater than 3.50:1.00 and a Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio of not less than 1.70:1.00. The Company may repay the New Credit Agreement in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty. The New Credit Agreement is not guaranteed by the Company s subsidiaries. As a result, the Company terminated the subsidiary guarantees of its outstanding public debt in accordance with the provisions of the indentures for that debt.

In addition to the credit agreement, the Company maintained three uncommitted money market lines totaling \$100 in the aggregate. The money market lines allow the Company to borrow from banks at mutually agreed upon rates, usually at rates below the rates offered under the credit agreement. As of January 29, 2011, the Company had no borrowings under its credit agreement, money market lines or outstanding commercial paper.

As of January 29, 2011, the Company had outstanding letters of credit in the amount of \$305, of which \$134 reduce funds available under the Company s credit agreement. The letters of credit are maintained primarily to support performance, payment, deposit or surety obligations of the Company.

Most of the Company s outstanding public debt is subject to early redemption at varying times and premiums, at the option of the Company. In addition, subject to certain conditions, some of the Company s publicly issued debt will be subject to redemption, in whole or in part, at the option of the holder upon the occurrence of a redemption event, upon not less than five days notice prior to the date of redemption, at a redemption price equal to the default amount, plus a specified premium. Redemption Event is defined in the indentures as the occurrence of (i) any person or group, together with any affiliate thereof, beneficially owning 50% or more of the voting power of the Company, (ii) any one person or group, or affiliate thereof, succeeding in having a majority of its nominees elected to the Company s Board of Directors, in each case, without the consent of a majority of the continuing directors of the Company or (iii) both a change of control and a below investment grade rating.

The aggregate annual maturities and scheduled payments of long-term debt, as of year-end 2010, and for the years subsequent to 2010 are:

2011	\$ 549
2012	905
2013	1,520
2014	1,520 308
2015	516
Thereafter	3,636
Total debt	\$ 7,434

#### 6. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

GAAP defines derivatives, requires that derivatives be carried at fair value on the balance sheet, and provides for hedge accounting when certain conditions are met. The Company s derivative financial instruments are recognized on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, to the extent the hedges are highly effective, are recorded in other comprehensive income, net of tax effects. Ineffective portions of cash flow hedges, if any, are recognized in current period earnings. Other comprehensive income or loss is reclassified into current period earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings. Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges, along with corresponding changes in the fair values of the hedged assets or liabilities, are recorded in current period earnings. Ineffective portions of fair value hedges, if any, are recognized in current period earnings.

The Company assesses, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether derivatives used as hedging instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in the fair value or cash flow of the hedged items. If it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or ceases to be highly effective, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively.

Interest Rate Risk Management

The Company is exposed to market risk from fluctuations in interest rates. The Company manages its exposure to interest rate fluctuations through the use of interest rate swaps (fair value hedges) and forward-starting interest rate swaps (cash flow hedges). The Company s current program relative to interest rate protection contemplates hedging the exposure to changes in the fair value of fixed-rate debt attributable to changes in interest rates. To do this, the Company uses the following guidelines: (i) use average daily outstanding borrowings to determine annual debt amounts subject to interest rate exposure, (ii) limit the average annual amount subject to interest rate reset and the amount of floating rate debt to a combined total of \$2,500 or less, (iii) include no leverage products, and (iv) hedge without regard to profit motive or sensitivity to current mark-to-market status.

Annually, the Company reviews with the Financial Policy Committee of the Board of Directors compliance with these guidelines. These guidelines may change as the Company s needs dictate.

#### Fair Value Interest Rate Swaps

The table below summarizes the outstanding interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges as of January 29, 2011, and January 30, 2010.

		201	.0		200	9		
		Pay	Pay		Pay	Pay		
	Fl	oating	Fixed	F	loating	Fixed		
Notional amount	\$	1,625	\$	\$	1,625	\$		
Number of contracts		18			18			
Duration in years		1.74			2.74			
Average variable rate		3.83%			3.80%			
Average fixed rate		5.87%			5.87%			
Maturity	Bety	ween April 201	2 and April 2013	Between April 2012 and April 2013				

The gain or loss on these derivative instruments as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged items attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current income as 
Interest expense. These gains and losses for 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	Year-To-Date										
		January	29, 2011		<b>January 30, 2010</b>						
	Gain/()	Loss) on	Gair	ı/(Loss) on	Gain/(	(Loss) on	Gain/(Loss) on				
Income Statement Classification	Sw	aps	Borrowings		Swaps		Bo	orrowings			
Interest Expense	\$	45	\$	(41)	\$	26	\$	(28)			

The following table summarizes the location and fair value of derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets:

				Asset Derivatives	
		Fair V	Value		
	January 2	29,		January 30,	
<b>Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedging Instruments</b>	2011			2010	<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>
Interest Rate Hedges	\$	45	\$	26	Other Assets

In 2008, the Company terminated nine fair value interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$900. Three of these terminated interest rate swaps were purchased and became ineffective fair value hedges in 2008. The proceeds received at termination were credited to interest expense in the amount of \$15.

As of January 29, 2011, the Company has unamortized proceeds from twelve interest rate swaps once classified as fair value hedges totaling approximately \$16. The unamortized proceeds are recorded as adjustments to the carrying values of the underlying debt and are being amortized over the remaining term of the debt. As of January 29, 2011, the Company expects to reclassify an unrealized gain of \$10 from this adjustment to the carrying values of the underlying debt to earnings over the next twelve months.

### Cash Flow Forward-Starting Interest Rate Swaps

As of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010, the Company did not maintain any forward-starting interest rate swap derivatives.

The Company has unamortized net payments from three forward-starting interest rate swaps once classified as cash flow hedges totaling approximately \$8 (\$5 net of tax). The unamortized proceeds and payments from these terminated forward-starting interest rate swaps have been recorded net of tax in other comprehensive income and will be amortized to earnings as the payments of interest to which the hedges relate are made. As of January 29, 2011, the Company expects to reclassify an unrealized net loss of \$3 from AOCI to earnings over the next twelve months.

The following table summarizes the effect of the Company s derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for 2010 and 2009:

	Am	ount of G	ain/(							
	A	OCI on 1	Location of Gain/(Loss)							
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging		(Effective	Port	tion)		Income (Effective Portion)				Reclassified into Income
Relationships	20	10		2009		20	)10		2009	(Effective Portion)
Forward-Starting Interest Rate Swaps, net of tax	\$	(5)	\$	(	7)	\$	(2)	\$	(2)	Interest expense

Commodity Price Protection

The Company enters into purchase commitments for various resources, including raw materials utilized in its manufacturing facilities and energy to be used in its stores, warehouses, manufacturing facilities and administrative offices. The Company enters into commitments expecting to take delivery of and to utilize those resources in the conduct of normal business. Those commitments for which the Company expects to utilize or take delivery in a reasonable amount of time in the normal course of business qualify as normal purchases and normal sales.

#### 7. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued new standards defining fair value, establishing a market-based framework for measuring fair value and expanding disclosures about fair value measurements. The new standards did not expand or require any new fair value measurements. The standards are effective for financial assets and financial liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. In February 2008, the FASB issued new standards deferring the effective date for most non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company adopted the new standards issued in September 2006 for financial assets and financial liabilities effective February 3, 2008 and adopted the remaining provisions of the new standards for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on February 1, 2009.

GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy defined in the standards are as follows:

- Level 1 Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable;

Level 3 Unobservable pricing inputs in which little or no market activity exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

For items carried at (or adjusted to) fair value in the consolidated financial statements, the following tables summarize the fair value of these instruments at January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010:

## January 29, 2011 Fair Value Measurements Using

	Ā	noted Prices in ctive Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Observa	ant Other ble Inputs vel 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total	
Available-for-Sale Securities	\$	10	\$		\$	17	\$	27
Long-Lived Assets						12		12
Interest Rate Hedges				45				45
Total	\$	10	\$	45	\$	29	\$	84
			49					

#### January 30, 2010 Fair Value Measurements Using

	Ā	uoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Observa	ant Other ble Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs			
		(Level 1)	(Le	vel 2)	(Level 3)		Total	
Available-for-Sale Securities	\$	10	\$		\$	8	\$	18
Long-Lived Assets						44		44
Interest Rate Hedges				26				26
Total	\$	10	\$	26	\$	52	\$	88

The Company values interest rate hedges using observable forward yield curves. These forward yield curves are classified as Level 2 inputs.

Fair value measurements of non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities are primarily used in the impairment analysis of goodwill, other intangible assets, and long-lived assets, and in the valuation of store lease exit costs. The Company reviews goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment annually, during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, and as circumstances indicate the possibility of impairment. See Note 2 for further discussion related to the Company s carrying value of goodwill and its goodwill impairment charge in 2009. Long-lived assets and store lease exit costs were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using Level 3 inputs as defined in the fair value hierarchy. See Note 1 for further discussion of the Company s policies and recorded amounts for impairments of long-lived assets and valuation of store lease exit costs. In 2010, long-lived assets with a carrying amount of \$37 were written down to their fair value of \$12, resulting in an impairment charge of \$25. In 2009, long-lived assets with a carrying amount of \$92 were written down to their fair value of \$44, resulting in an impairment charge of \$48.

In 2010, the Company recorded unrealized gains on its level 3 Available-for-Sale Securities in the amount of \$9.

#### Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

Current and Long-term Debt

The fair value of the Company s long-term debt, including current maturities, was estimated based on the quoted market price for the same or similar issues adjusted for illiquidity based on available market evidence. If quoted market prices were not available, the fair value was based upon the net present value of the future cash flow using the forward interest rate yield curve in effect at respective year-ends. At January 29, 2011, the fair value of total debt was \$8,191 compared to a carrying value of \$7,434. At January 30, 2010, the fair value of total debt was \$8,283 compared to a carrying value of \$7,576.

Cash and Temporary Cash Investments, Store Deposits In-Transit, Receivables, Prepaid and Other Current Assets, Accounts Payable, Accrued Salaries and Wages and Other Current Liabilities

The carrying amounts of these items approximated fair value.	

Long-term Investments

The fair values of these investments were estimated based on quoted market prices for those or similar investments, or estimated cash flows, if appropriate. At January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010, the carrying and fair value of long-term investments for which fair value is determinable were \$69 and \$60, respectively.

#### 8. LEASES AND LEASE-FINANCED TRANSACTIONS

While the Company s current strategy emphasizes ownership of store real estate, the Company operates primarily in leased facilities. Lease terms generally range from 10 to 20 years with options to renew for varying terms. Terms of certain leases include escalation clauses, percentage rent based on sales or payment of executory costs such as property taxes, utilities or insurance and maintenance. Rent expense for leases with escalation clauses or other lease concessions are accounted for on a straight-line basis beginning with the earlier of the lease commencement date or the date the Company takes possession. Portions of certain properties are subleased to others for periods generally ranging from one to 20 years.

Rent expense (under operating leases) consists of:

	2010	2009	2008
Minimum rentals	\$ <b>749</b> \$	748 \$	762
Contingent payments	11	11	12
Tenant income	(109)	(111)	(115)
Total rent expense	\$ 651 \$	648 \$	659

Minimum annual rentals and payments under capital leases and lease-financed transactions for the five years subsequent to 2010 and in the aggregate are:

	G . 4.1	0	Lease- Financed
	Capital	Operating	
	Leases	Leases	Transactions
2011	\$ 60	\$ 741	\$ 5
2012	50	698	5
2013	47	651	6
2014	43	597	6
2015	38	529	7
Thereafter	186	2,498	108
	424	\$ 5,714	\$ 137
Less estimated executory costs included in capital			
leases			
Net minimum lease payments under capital leases	424		
Less amount representing interest	(160)		
Present value of net minimum lease payments under			
capital leases	\$ 264		
*			

Total future minimum rentals under noncancellable subleases at January 29, 2011, were \$276.

#### 9. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per basic common share equals net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. less income allocated to participating securities divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per diluted common share equals net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. less income allocated to participating securities divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, after giving effect to dilutive stock options. The following table provides a reconciliation of net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. and shares used in calculating net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per basic common share to those used in calculating net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per diluted common share:

		For the year ended January 29, 2011						r the year end anuary 30, 201		For the year ended January 31, 2009					
	Ea	rnings	Shares		Per	•			Ear	rnings	Shares		Per		
	(N	umer-	(Denomi-	S	hare	(Numer- (Denomi- Sl		hare	(Numer-		(Denomi-	5	Share		
(in millions, except per share amounts)	a	ntor)	nator)	An	nount	a	tor)	nator)	An	nount	a	tor)	nator)	A	mount
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per basic common share	\$	1,109	635	\$	1.75	\$	69	647	\$	0.11	\$	1,242	652	\$	1.91
Dilutive effect of stock options			3					3					6		
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per diluted common share	\$	1,109	638	\$	1.74	\$	69	650	\$	0.11	\$	1,242	658	\$	1.89

The Company had undistributed and distributed earnings to participating securities totaling \$7, \$1 and \$7 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

For the years ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009, there were options outstanding for approximately 21.2 million, 20.2 million and 11.8 million shares of common stock, respectively, that were excluded from the computation of net earnings attributable to The Kroger Co. per diluted common share. These shares were excluded because their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect on EPS.

The share amounts above for 2008 differ from those previously reported due to adopting the new standards that clarify that share-based payment awards that entitle their holders to receive nonforfeitable dividends before vesting should be considered participating securities and included in the calculation of basic EPS. The Company adopted the new standards effective February 1, 2009.

#### 10. STOCK OPTION PLANS

The Company grants options for common stock ( stock options ) to employees, as well as to its non-employee directors, under various plans at an option price equal to the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant. The Company accounts for stock options under the fair value recognition provisions. Under this method, the Company recognizes compensation expense for all share-based payments granted. The Company recognizes share-based compensation expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, over the requisite service period of the award. Equity awards may be made at one of four meetings of its Board of Directors occurring shortly after the Company s release of quarterly earnings. The 2010 primary grant was made in conjunction with the June meeting of the Company s Board of Directors.

Stock options typically expire 10 years from the date of grant. Stock options vest between one and five years from the date of grant, or for certain stock options, the earlier of the Company s stock reaching certain pre-determined and appreciated market prices or nine years and six months from the date of grant. At January 29, 2011, approximately eight million shares of common stock were available for future option grants under these plans.

In addition to the stock options described above, the Company awards restricted stock to employees under various plans. The restrictions on these awards generally lapse between one and five years from the date of the awards. The Company records expense for restricted stock awards in an amount equal to the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date of the award, over the period the awards lapse. As of January 29, 2011, approximately four million shares of common stock were available for future restricted stock awards under the 2005 and 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plans (the Plans). The Company has the ability to convert shares available for stock options under the Plans to shares available for restricted stock awards. Four shares available for common stock option awards can be converted into one share available for restricted stock awards.

All awards become immediately exercisable upon certain changes of control of the Company.

Stock Options

Changes in options outstanding under the stock option plans are summarized below:

	Shares	Weighted-
	subject	average
	to option	exercise
	(in millions)	price
Outstanding, year-end 2007	44.8 \$	20.94
Granted	3.5 \$	28.49
Exercised	(8.3) \$	21.04
Canceled or Expired	(0.3) \$	23.08
Outstanding, year-end 2008	39.7 \$	21.58
Granted	3.6 \$	22.25
Exercised	(3.4) \$	16.57
Canceled or Expired	(5.2) \$	27.12
Outstanding, year-end 2009	34.7 \$	21.30
Granted	3.7 \$	20.23
Exercised	(2.0) \$	16.31
Canceled or Expired	(0.5) \$	22.12
Outstanding, year-end 2010	35.9 \$	21.45

A summary of options outstanding and exercisable at January 29, 2011 follows:

		Weighted-				
		average	Weighted-			
	Number	remaining	average	Options	We	eighted-average
Range of Exercise Prices	outstanding (in millions)	contractual life (in years)	exercise price	exercisable (in millions)	(	exercise price
\$13.78 - \$16.50	7.3	3.15	\$ 15.72	7.3	\$	15.72
\$16.51 - \$20.15	6.6	4.10	\$ 18.37	6.2	\$	18.27
\$20.16 - \$22.97	7.2	8.75	\$ 21.23		\$	22.18
\$22.98 - \$24.54	8.0	0.86	\$ 23.66	7.2	\$	23.74
\$24.55 - \$28.62	6.8	6.73	\$ 28.29	4.7	\$	28.25
\$13.78 - \$28.62	35.9	4.61	\$ 21.45	27.0	\$	21.01

The weighted-average remaining contractual life for options exercisable at January 29, 2011, was approximately 3.6 years. The intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at January 29, 2011 was \$64 and \$60, respectively.

#### Restricted stock

	Restricted shares outstanding	Weighted-average grant-date
	(in millions)	fair value
Outstanding, year-end 2007	3.4	\$ 25.89
Granted	2.5	\$ 28.42
Lapsed	(1.7)	\$ 26.48
Canceled or Expired	(0.1)	\$ 25.70
Outstanding, year-end 2008	4.1	\$ 27.22
Granted	2.6	\$ 22.22
Lapsed	(2.2)	\$ 27.33
Canceled or Expired	(0.1)	\$ 25.33
Outstanding, year-end 2009	4.4	\$ 24.25
Granted	2.4	\$ 20.25
Lapsed	(2.3)	\$ 23.62
Canceled or Expired	(0.1)	\$ 23.13
Outstanding, year-end 2010	4.4	\$ 22.39

The weighted-average fair value of stock options granted during 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$5.12, \$6.29 and \$8.65, respectively. The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, based on the assumptions shown in the table below. The Black-Scholes model utilizes extensive judgment and financial estimates, including the term employees are expected to retain their stock options before exercising them, the volatility of the Company s stock price over that expected term, the dividend yield over the term and the number of awards expected to be forfeited before they vest. Using alternative assumptions in the calculation of fair value would produce fair values for stock option grants that could be different than those used to record stock-based compensation expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The decrease in the fair value of the stock options granted during 2010 and 2009, compared to 2008, resulted primarily from a decrease in the Company s stock price.

The following table reflects the weighted-average assumptions used for grants awarded to option holders:

	2010	2009	2008
Weighted average expected volatility	26.87%	28.06%	27.89%
Weighted average risk-free interest rate	2.57%	3.17%	3.63%
Expected dividend yield	2.00%	1.80%	1.50%
Expected term (based on historical results)	6.9 years	6.8 years	6.8 years

The weighted-average risk-free interest rate was based on the yield of a treasury note as of the grant date, continuously compounded, which matures at a date that approximates the expected term of options. The dividend yield was based on our history and expectation of dividend payouts. Expected volatility was determined based upon historical stock volatilities; however, implied volatility was also considered. Expected term was determined based upon a combination of historical exercise and cancellation experience as well as estimates of expected future exercise and cancellation experience.

Total stock compensation recognized in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$79, \$83 and \$91, respectively. Stock option compensation recognized in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$25, \$29 and \$35, respectively. Restricted shares compensation recognized in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$54, \$54 and \$56 respectively.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$4, \$6 and \$18 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The total amount of cash received in 2010 by the Company from the exercise of options granted under share-based payment arrangements was \$29. As of January 29, 2011, there was \$99 of total unrecognized compensation expense remaining related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company s equity award plans. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately two years. The total fair value of options that vested was \$37, \$39 and \$53 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Shares issued as a result of stock option exercises may be newly issued shares or reissued treasury shares. Proceeds received from the exercise of options, and the related tax benefit, may be utilized to repurchase shares of the Company s stock under a stock repurchase program adopted by the Company s Board of Directors. During 2010, the Company repurchased approximately two million shares of stock in such a manner.

### 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company continuously evaluates contingencies based upon the best available evidence.

The Company believes that allowances for loss have been provided to the extent necessary and that its assessment of contingencies is reasonable. To the extent that resolution of contingencies results in amounts that vary from the Company s estimates, future earnings will be charged or credited.

The principal contingencies are described below:

Insurance The Company's workers compensation risks are self-insured in most states. In addition, other workers compensation risks and certain levels of insured general liability risks are based on retrospective premium plans, deductible plans, and self-insured retention plans. The liability for workers compensation risks is accounted for on a present value basis. Actual claim settlements and expenses incident thereto may differ from the provisions for loss. Property risks have been underwritten by a subsidiary and are all reinsured with unrelated insurance companies. Operating divisions and subsidiaries have paid premiums, and the insurance subsidiary has provided loss allowances, based upon actuarially determined estimates.

Litigation On October 6, 2006, the Company petitioned the Tax Court (Ralphs Grocery Company and Subsidiaries, formerly known as Ralphs Supermarkets, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Docket No. 20364-06) for a redetermination of deficiencies asserted by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue. The dispute at issue involves a 1992 transaction in which Ralphs Holding Company acquired the stock of Ralphs Grocery Company and made an election under Section 338(h)(10) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Commissioner determined that the acquisition of the stock was not a purchase as defined by Section 338(h)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and that the acquisition therefore does not qualify for a Section 338(h)(10) election. On January 27, 2011, the Tax Court issued its opinion upholding the Company s position that the acquisition of the stock qualified as a purchase, granting the Company s motion for partial summary judgment and denying the Tax Commissioner s motion. The Company anticipates that all remaining issues in the matter will be resolved and the Tax Court will enter its decision. The parties will then have 90 days to file an appeal. As of January 29, 2011, an adverse decision would have required a cash payment of up to approximately \$516, including interest. Any accounting implications of an adverse decision in this case would be charged through the statement of operations.

On February 2, 2004, the Attorney General for the State of California filed an action in Los Angeles federal court (*California, ex rel Lockyer v. Safeway, Inc. dba Vons, a Safeway Company; Albertson s, Inc. and Ralphs Grocery Company, a division of The Kroger Co.*, United States District Court Central District of California, Case No. CV04-0687) alleging that the Mutual Strike Assistance Agreement (the Agreement) between the Company, Albertson s, Inc. and Safeway Inc. (collectively, the Retailers), which was designed to prevent the union from placing disproportionate pressure on one or more of the Retailers by picketing such Retailer(s) but not the other Retailer(s) during the labor dispute in southern California, violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The lawsuit seeks declarative and injunctive relief. On May 28, 2008, pursuant to a stipulation between the parties, the court entered a final judgment in favor of the defendants. The Attorney General appealed a trial court ruling to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and the defendants appealed a separate ruling. On August 17, 2010, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals

held that the Agreement violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act, and it remanded the matter to the District Court for entry of a judgment in favor of the plaintiff and for any further proceedings consistent with its opinion. On February 11, 2011, the Court determined to re-hear the appeal *en banc*. Based on the information presently available to the Company, management does not expect that the ultimate resolution of this action will have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, including suits charging violations of certain antitrust, wage and hour, or civil rights laws, are pending against the Company. Some of these suits purport or have been determined to be class actions and/or seek substantial damages. Any damages that may be awarded in antitrust cases will be automatically trebled. Although it is not possible at this time to evaluate the merits of all of these claims and lawsuits, nor their likelihood of success, the Company is of the belief that any resulting liability will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company continually evaluates its exposure to loss contingencies arising from pending or threatened litigation and believes it has made provisions where it is reasonably possible to estimate and where an adverse outcome is probable. Nonetheless, assessing and predicting the outcomes of these matters involve substantial uncertainties. It remains possible that despite management s current belief, material differences in actual outcomes or changes in management s evaluation or predictions could arise that could have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Assignments The Company is contingently liable for leases that have been assigned to various third parties in connection with facility closings and dispositions. The Company could be required to satisfy the obligations under the leases if any of the assignees is unable to fulfill its lease obligations. Due to the wide distribution of the Company s assignments among third parties, and various other remedies available, the Company believes the likelihood that it will be required to assume a material amount of these obligations is remote.

#### 12. STOCK

Preferred Stock

The Company has authorized five million shares of voting cumulative preferred stock; two million were available for issuance at January 29, 2011. The stock has a par value of \$100 per share and is issuable in series.

Common Stock

The Company has authorized one billion shares of common stock, \$1 par value per share. On May 20, 1999, the shareholders authorized an amendment to the Amended Articles of Incorporation to increase the authorized shares of common stock from one billion to two billion when the Board of Directors determines it to be in the best interest of the Company.

Common Stock Repurchase Program

The Company maintains stock repurchase programs that comply with Securities Exchange Act Rule 10b5-1 to allow for the orderly repurchase of The Kroger Co. stock, from time to time. The Company made open market purchases totaling \$505, \$156 and \$448 under these repurchase programs in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition to these repurchase programs, in December 1999, the Company began a program to repurchase common stock to reduce dilution resulting from its employee stock option plans. This program is solely funded by proceeds from stock option exercises, and the related tax benefit. The Company repurchased approximately \$40, \$62 and \$189 under the stock option program during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## 13. BENEFIT PLANS

#### Company-Sponsored Plans

The Company administers non-contributory defined benefit retirement plans for substantially all non-union employees and some union-represented employees as determined by the terms and conditions of collective bargaining agreements. These include several qualified pension plans (the Qualified Plans) and a non-qualified plan (the Non-Qualified Plan). The Non-Qualified Plan pays benefits to any employee that earns in excess of the maximum allowed for the Qualified Plans by Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company only funds obligations under the Qualified Plans. Funding for the pension plans is based on a review of the specific requirements and on evaluation of the assets and liabilities of each plan.

In addition to providing pension benefits, the Company provides certain health care benefits for retired employees. The majority of the Company s employees may become eligible for these benefits if they reach normal retirement age while employed by the Company. Funding of retiree health care benefits occurs as claims or premiums are paid.

The Company recognizes the funded status of its retirement plans on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Actuarial gains or losses, prior service costs or credits and transition obligations that have not yet been recognized are required to be recorded as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ( AOCI ). All plans are measured as of the Company s fiscal year end.

Amounts recognized in AOCI as of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010 consist of the following (pre-tax):

	Pension	Bene	fits	Other B	enefi	ts	Total					
	2010		2009	2010		2009	2010		2009			
Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)	\$ 942	\$	1,011	\$ (55)	\$	(62) \$	887	\$	949			
Unrecognized prior service cost												
(credit)	4		4	<b>(17)</b>		(22)	(13)		(18)			
Unrecognized transition obligation	1		1				1		1			
Total	\$ 947	\$	1,016	\$ (72)	\$	(84) \$	875	\$	932			

Amounts in AOCI expected to be recognized as components of net periodic pension or postretirement benefit costs in 2011 and 2010 were as follows (pre-tax):

		Pension Benefits			Other B	Benefits		Total						
	2	010		2009	2010	2	2009 20	10	2	2009				
Net actuarial loss (gain)	\$	66	\$	52 \$	(2)	\$	(2) \$	64	\$	50				
Prior service cost (credit)		1		1	(5)		(5)	<b>(4</b> )		(4)				
Total	\$	67	\$	53 \$	(7)	\$	(7) \$	60	\$	46				

Other changes recognized in other comprehensive income in 2010, 2009, and 2008 were as follows (pre-tax):

	2010	Pensi	ion Benefit 2009	s	2008		2010	(	 er Benefit 2009	s	2008	2010	Fotal 2009	2008
Incurred net actuarial loss														
(gain)	\$ (18)	\$	142	\$	660	\$		4	\$ 21	\$	(54) \$	(14)	\$ 163	\$ 606
Incurred prior service cost					3									3
Amortization of prior														
service credit (cost)	(1)		(2)		(2	)		5	7		7	4	5	5
Amortization of net														
actuarial gain (loss)	<b>(50)</b>		(14)		(19	)		3	5		3	<b>(47</b> )	(9)	(16)
Total recognized in other														
comprehensive income	(69)		126		642		1	2	33		(44)	<b>(57)</b>	159	598
-														
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive														
income	\$ <b>(4</b> )	\$	157	\$	687	\$	3	3	\$ 49	\$	(26) \$	29	\$ 206	\$ 661

Information with respect to change in benefit obligation, change in plan assets, the funded status of the plans recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, net amounts recognized at end of fiscal years, weighted average assumptions and components of net periodic benefit cost follow:

				Pension 1	Benef	its						
		Qualified Plans				Non-Qual	ified l	Plan	Other Benefits			
		2010		2009		2010		2009	2010		2009	
Change in benefit obligation:												
Benefit obligation at												
beginning of fiscal year	\$	2,706	\$	2,266	\$	187	\$	160 \$	312	\$	278	
Service cost		40		35		2		2	12		10	
Interest cost		158		158		12		11	17		18	
Plan participants contributions									10		8	
Actuarial (gain) loss		137		354				23	5		21	
Benefits paid		(120)		(109)		(8)		(9)	(26)		(23)	
Other		2		2		(1)						
Benefit obligation at end of												
fiscal year	\$	2,923	\$	2,706	\$	192	\$	187 \$	330	\$	312	
Change in plan assets:												
Fair value of plan assets at												
beginning of fiscal year	\$	2,096	\$	1,513	\$		\$	\$		\$		
Actual return on plan assets		353		425								
Employer contributions		141		265		8		9	16		15	
Plan participants contributions									10		8	
Benefits paid		(120)		(109)		(8)		(9)	(26)		(23)	
Other		2		2								
Fair value of plan assets at end												
of fiscal year	\$	2,472	\$	2,096	\$		\$	\$		\$		
Funded status at end of fiscal		ŕ										
year	\$	(451)	\$	(610)	\$	(192)	\$	(187) \$	(330)	\$	(312)	
Net liability recognized at end												
of fiscal year	\$	(451)	\$	(610)	\$	(192)	\$	(187) \$	(330)	\$	(312)	
. J	•	()		()	•	()		( - · / T	()		()	

Other current liabilities as of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010 both include \$27 of net liability recognized for the above benefit plans.

As of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010, pension plan assets included no shares of The Kroger Co. common stock.

	Pe	ension Benefits			Other Benefits	
Weighted average assumptions	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Discount rate Benefit obligation	5.60%	6.00%	7.00%	5.40%	5.80%	7.00%
Discount rate Net periodic						
benefit cost	6.00%	7.00%	6.50%	5.80%	7.00%	6.50%
Expected return on plan assets	8.50%	8.50%	8.50%			
Rate of compensation increase						
Net periodic benefit cost	2.92%	2.92%	2.99%			
Rate of compensation increase						
Benefit Obligation	2.88%	2.92%	2.92%			

The Company s discount rate assumptions were intended to reflect the rates at which the pension benefits could be effectively settled. They take into account the timing and amount of benefits that would be available under the plans. The Company s methodology for selecting the discount rates as of year-end 2010 was to match the plan s cash flows to that of a yield curve that provides the equivalent yields on zero-coupon corporate bonds for each maturity. Benefit cash flows due in a particular year can theoretically be settled by investing them in the zero-coupon bond that matures in the same year. The discount rates are the single rates that produce the same present value of cash flows. The selection of the 5.60% and 5.40% discount rates as of year-end 2010 for pension and other benefits, respectively, represents the equivalent single rates constructed under a broad-market AA yield curve constructed by an outside consultant. The Company utilized a discount rate of 6.00% and 5.80% for year-end 2009 for pension and other benefits, respectively. A 100 basis point increase in the discount rate would decrease the projected pension benefit obligation as of January 29, 2011, by approximately \$342.

To determine the expected rate of return on pension plan assets, the Company considers current and anticipated plan asset allocations as well as historical and forecasted rates of return on various asset categories. For 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company assumed a pension plan investment return rate of 8.5%. The Company pension plan s average rate of return was 6.6% for the 10 calendar years ended December 31, 2010, net of all investment management fees and expenses. The value of all investments in its Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans during the calendar year ending December 31, 2010, net of investment management fees and expenses, increased 15.0%, primarily due to the strength of the market in 2010. For the past 20 years, the Company average annual rate of return has been 10.7%, and the average annual rate of return for the S&P 500 has been 9.9%. Based on the above information and forward looking assumptions for investments made in a manner consistent with the Company s target allocations, the Company believes an 8.5% rate of return assumption is reasonable.

The Company calculates its expected return on plan assets by using the market related value of plan assets. The market related value of plan assets is determined by adjusting the actual fair value of plan assets for unrecognized gains or losses on plan assets. Unrecognized gains or losses represent the difference between actual and expected returns on plan investments for each plan year. Unrecognized gains or losses on plan assets are recognized evenly over a five year period. Using a different method to calculate the market related value of plan assets would provide a different expected return on plan assets.

The fair value of plan assets increased in 2010 compared to 2009 due to the strength of the global financial markets in 2010. This increase caused the Company s underfunded status to decrease at January 29, 2011.

The Company uses the RP-2000 projected 2015 mortality table in calculating the pension obligation.

					Pension 1	Ben	efits							
	2	2010	•	ified Plans 2009	2008		No 2010	n-Q	ualified Plan 2009	2008	2010	Oth	er Benefits 2009	2008
Components of net periodic benefit cost:														
Service cost	\$	40	\$	35	\$ 39	\$	2	\$	2	\$ 2 \$	12	\$	10	\$ 10
Interest cost		158		158	151		12		11	10	17		18	18
Expected return on plan														
assets		(196)		(191)	(178)									
Amortization of:														
Prior service cost							(1)		2	2	(5)		(7)	(7)
Actuarial (gain) loss		44		8	11		6		6	8	(3)		(5)	(3)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	46	\$	10	\$ 23	\$	19	\$	21	\$ 22 \$	21	\$	16	\$ 18

The following table provides the projected benefit obligation ( PBO ), accumulated benefit obligation ( ABO ) and the fair value of plan assets for all Company-sponsored pension plans.

	Qualifie	d Plans		Non-Qualified Plan					
	2010		2009	2010		2009			
PBO at end of fiscal year	\$ 2,923	\$	2,706	\$ 192	\$		187		
ABO at end of fiscal year	\$ 2,743	\$	2,506	\$ 187	\$		172		
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ 2,472	\$	2,096	\$	\$				

The following table provides information about the Company's estimated future benefit payments.

Edgar Filing: KROGER CO - Form 10-K

	Pensio	n	Other
	Benefi	its	Benefits
2011	\$	132 \$	18
2012	\$	142 \$	18
2013	\$	154 \$	20
2014	\$	164 \$	21
2015	\$	175 \$	23
2016 2020	\$	1,054 \$	144

The following table provides information about the target and actual pension plan asset allocations.

	Target allocations 2010	Actual allocation 2010	ns 2009
Pension plan asset allocation			
Global equity securities	22.1%	23.1%	24.2%
Emerging market equity securities	9.4	10.5	8.7
Investment grade debt securities	12.2	9.9	12.9
High yield debt securities	13.6	13.4	14.3
Private equity	6.3	6.1	6.3
Hedge funds	23.1	23.5	21.7
Real estate	2.4	2.5	2.3
Other	10.9	11.0	9.6
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Investment objectives, policies and strategies are set by the Pension Investment Committee (the Committee ) appointed by the CEO. The primary objectives include holding and investing the assets and distributing benefits to participants and beneficiaries of the pension plans. Investment objectives have been established based on a comprehensive review of the capital markets and each underlying plan s current and projected financial requirements. The time horizon of the investment objectives is long-term in nature and plan assets are managed on a going-concern basis.

Investment objectives and guidelines specifically applicable to each manager of assets are established and reviewed annually. Derivative instruments may be used for specified purposes, including rebalancing exposures to certain asset classes. Any use of derivative instruments for a purpose or in a manner not specifically authorized is prohibited, unless approved in advance by the Committee.

The current target allocations shown represent 2010 targets that were established in 2009 and revised slightly in 2010. The Company will rebalance by liquidating assets whose allocation materially exceeds target, if possible, and investing in assets whose allocation is below target. If markets are illiquid, the Company may not be able to rebalance to target quickly. To maintain actual asset allocations consistent with target allocations, assets are reallocated or rebalanced periodically. In addition, cash flow from employer contributions and participant benefit payments can be used to fund underweight asset classes and divest overweight asset classes, as appropriate. The Company expects that cash flow will be sufficient to meet most rebalancing needs. The Company does not expect to make a cash contribution to its Company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans during 2011. Contributions may be made if required under the Pension Protection Act to avoid any benefit restrictions. The Company expects any contributions made during 2011 will reduce its minimum required contributions in future years.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. The Company used a 7.50% initial health care cost trend rate and a 4.50% ultimate health care cost trend rate to determine its expense. A one-percentage-point change in the assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	1	% Point	1% Point	
	]	ncrease	Decrease	
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$	4	\$	(3)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	\$	37	\$	(33)

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the Plan s assets at fair value as of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010:

### Assets at Fair Value as of January 29, 2011

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs	
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1	\$	\$	\$ 1
Corporate Stocks	324			324
Corporate Bonds		74		74
U.S. Government Securities		66		66
Mutual Funds/Collective Trusts	161	530		691
Partnerships/Joint Ventures		370		370
Hedge Funds			580	580
Private Equity			150	150
Real Estate			62	62
Other		154		154
Total	\$ 486	\$ 1,194	\$ 792	\$ 2,472

### Assets at Fair Value as of January 30, 2010

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs		
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total	_
Cash and cash equivalents \$	6	\$	\$	\$	6
Corporate Stocks	372				372
Corporate Bonds		53			53
U.S. Government Securities		68			68
Mutual Funds/Collective Trusts	130	559			689
Partnerships/Joint Ventures		201			201
Hedge Funds			455		455
Private Equity			128		128
Real Estate			49		49
Other		75			75
Total \$	508	\$ 956	\$ 632	\$	2,096

For measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during 2010 and 2009, a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances is as follows:

	Hedge Funds	Private Equity	Real Estate
Beginning balance, February 1, 2009	\$ 347	\$ 140 \$	49
Contributions into Fund	24	12	17
Realized gains (losses)			(4)
Unrealized gains (losses)	84	(11)	(12)
Distributions		(7)	(1)
Other		(6)	
Ending balance, January 30, 2010	455	128	49
Contributions into Fund	80	20	12
Realized gains (losses)		7	1
Unrealized gains (losses)	45	18	4
Distributions		(20)	(4)
Other		(3)	
Ending balance, January 31, 2011	\$ 580	\$ 150 \$	62

See Note 7 for a discussion of the levels of the fair value hierarchy. The assets fair value measurement level above is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following is a description of the valuation methods used for the plan s assets measured at fair value in the above two tables:

- Cash: The carrying value approximates fair value.
- Corporate Stocks: The fair values of these securities are based on observable market quotations for identical assets and are valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the individual securities are traded.
- Corporate Bonds: The fair values of these securities are primarily based on observable market quotations for similar bonds, valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the individual securities are traded. When such quoted prices are not available, the bonds are valued using a discounted cash flows approach using current yields on similar instruments of issuers with similar credit ratings, including adjustments for certain risks that may not be observable, such as credit and liquidity risks.
- U.S. Government Securities: Certain U.S. Government securities are valued at the closing price reported in the active market in which the security is traded. Other U.S. government securities are valued based on yields currently available on comparable securities of issuers with similar credit ratings. When quoted prices are not available for similar securities, the security is valued under a discounted cash flows approach that maximizes observable inputs, such as current yields of similar instruments, but includes adjustments for certain risks that may not be observable, such as credit and liquidity risks.

- Mutual Funds/Collective Trusts: The collective trust funds are public investment vehicles valued using a Net Asset Value (NAV) provided by the manager of each fund. The NAV is based on the underlying net assets owned by the fund, divided by the number of shares outstanding. The NAV s unit price is quoted on a private market that is not active. However, the NAV is based on the fair value of the underlying securities within the fund, which are traded on an active market, and valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which those individual securities are traded.
- Partnerships/Joint Ventures: These funds consist primarily of U.S. government securities, Corporate Bonds, corporate stocks, and derivatives, which are valued in a manner consistent with these types of investments, noted above.

- Hedge Funds: Hedge funds are private investment vehicles valued using a Net Asset Value (NAV) provided by the manager of each fund. The NAV is based on the underlying net assets owned by the fund, divided by the number of shares outstanding. The NAV s unit price is quoted on a private market that is not active. The NAV is based on the fair value of the underlying securities within the funds, which are typically traded on an active market, and valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which those individual securities are traded. For investments not traded on an active market, or for which a quoted price is not publicly available, a variety of unobservable valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flow, market multiple and cost valuation approaches, are employed by the fund manager to value investments. Fair values of all investments are adjusted annually, if necessary, based on audits of the Hedge Fund financial statements; such adjustments are reflected in the fair value of the plan s assets.
- Private Equity: Private Equity investments are valued based on the fair value of the underlying securities within the fund, which include investments both traded on an active market and not traded on an active market. For those investments that are traded on an active market, the values are based on the closing price reported on the active market on which those individual securities are traded. For investments not traded on an active market, or for which a quoted price is not publicly available, a variety of unobservable valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flow, market multiple and cost valuation approaches, are employed by the fund manager to value investments. Fair values of all investments are adjusted annually, if necessary, based on audits of the private equity fund financial statements; such adjustments are reflected in the fair value of the plan s assets.
- Real Estate: Real estate investments include investments in real estate funds managed by a fund manager. These investments are valued using a variety of unobservable valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flow, market multiple and cost valuation approaches.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Plan believes its valuations methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement.

The Company contributed and expensed \$119, \$115 and \$92 to employee 401(k) retirement savings accounts in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The 401(k) retirement savings account plan provides to eligible employees both matching contributions and automatic contributions from the Company based on participant contributions, plan compensation, and length of service.

The Company also administers other defined contribution plans for eligible employees. The cost of these plans was \$7, \$8 and \$8 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Multi-Employer Plans

The Company also contributes to various multi-employer pension plans based on obligations arising from most of its collective bargaining agreements. These plans provide retirement benefits to participants based on their service to contributing employers. The benefits are paid from assets held in trust for that purpose. Trustees are appointed in equal number by employers and unions. The trustees typically are responsible for determining the level of benefits to be provided to participants as well as for such matters as the investment of the assets and the administration of the plans.

The Company recognizes expense in connection with these plans as contributions are funded. The Company made contributions to these funds, and recognized expense, of \$262 in 2010, \$233 in 2009, and \$219 in 2008.

Based on the most recent information available to it, the Company believes that the present value of actuarial accrued liabilities in most or all of these multi-employer plans substantially exceeds the value of the assets held in trust to pay benefits. Moreover, if the Company were to exit certain markets or otherwise cease making contributions to these funds, the Company could trigger a substantial withdrawal liability. Any adjustment for withdrawal liability will be recorded when it is probable that a liability exists and can be reasonably estimated.

#### 14. RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In January 2010, the FASB amended its standards related to fair value measurements and disclosures, which were effective for interim and annual fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for disclosures about certain Level 3 activity that will become effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The new standards require the Company to disclose transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers as well as activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. The new standards also require a more detailed level of disaggregation of the assets and liabilities being measured as well as increased disclosures regarding inputs and valuation techniques of the fair value measurements. The Company adopted the amended standards effective January 31, 2010, except for disclosures about certain Level 3 activity, which will be effective starting January 30, 2011. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the Company s fair value measurements and disclosures.

In June 2009, the FASB amended its existing standards related to the consolidation of VIEs, which was effective for interim and annual fiscal periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The new standards require an entity to analyze whether its variable interests give it a controlling financial interest of a VIE and outlines what defines a primary beneficiary. The new standards amend GAAP by: (a) changing certain rules for determining whether an entity is a VIE; (b) replacing the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary with a more qualitative approach; and (c) requiring entities to continuously analyze whether they are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, among other amendments. The new standards also require enhanced disclosures regarding an entity s involvement in a VIE. The Company adopted the amended standards effective January 31, 2010. The adoption of these new standards did not have a material effect on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

Effective February 1, 2009, the Company adopted the new standards that clarify that share-based payment awards that entitle their holders to receive nonforfeitable dividends before vesting should be considered participating securities and included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of its adoption.

#### 15. INVESTMENT IN VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITY

In February 2010, the Company purchased the remaining interest of The Little Clinic LLC for \$86. Since The Little Clinic LLC was consolidated as a VIE prior to the February 2010 purchase, the Company recorded the additional investment as an equity transaction. Accordingly, no gain or loss was recorded on the additional investment. As of the purchase date, the Company continued to consolidate The Little Clinic LLC as a wholly-owned subsidiary.

## 16. QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED)

The two tables that follow reflect the unaudited results of operations for 2010 and 2009.

	Quarter									
		First		Second		Third		Fourth		Total Year
2010	(	(16 Weeks)		(12 Weeks)		(12 Weeks)		(12 Weeks)		(52 Weeks)
Sales	\$	24,779	\$	18,788	\$	18,694	\$	19,928	\$	82,189
Merchandise costs, including advertising, warehousing, and transportation,										
excluding items shown separately below		19,191		14,577		14,575		15,584		63,927
Operating, general, and administrative		4,187		3,200		3,191		3,233		13,811
Rent		200		149		154		148		651
Depreciation and amortization		478		368		368		386		1,600
Goodwill impairment charge		_		_				18		18
Operating profit		723		494		406		559		2,182
Interest expense		132		102		103		111		448
Earnings before income tax expense		591		392		303		448		1,734
Income tax expense		216		124		96		165		601
Net earnings including noncontrolling										
interests		375		268		207		283		1,133
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling										
interests		1		6		5		5		17
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger										
Co.	\$	374	\$	262	\$	202	\$	278	\$	1,116
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger										
Co. per basic common share	\$	0.58	\$	0.41	\$	0.32	\$	0.44	\$	1.75
•										
Average number of shares used in basic										
calculation		641		637		633		627		635
Net earnings attributable to The Kroger										
Co. per diluted common share	\$	0.58	\$	0.41	\$	0.32	\$	0.44	\$	1.74
1									·	
Average number of shares used in diluted										
calculation		645		640		636		631		638
Dividends declared per common share	\$	.095	\$	.095	\$	.105	\$	.105	\$	.40

Annual amounts may not sum due to rounding.

	Quarter									
		First		Second		Third		Fourth	7	Total Year
2009	(	16 Weeks)		(12 Weeks)		(12 Weeks)		(12 Weeks)	(	52 Weeks)
Sales	\$	22,789	\$	17,728	\$	17,662	\$	18,554	\$	76,733
Merchandise costs, including advertising, warehousing, and transportation,										
excluding items shown separately below		17,266		13,646		13,662		14,384		58,958
Operating, general, and administrative		4,026		3,085		3,137		3,150		13,398
Rent		200		150		152		146		648
Depreciation and amortization		453		348		356		368		1,525
Goodwill impairment charge		_		_		1,113		_		1,113
Operating profit (loss)		844		499		(758)		506		1,091
Interest expense		163		115		105		119		502
Earnings (loss) before income tax expense		681		384		(863)		387		589
Income tax expense		250		133		13		136		532
meome ax expense		230		133		13		150		332
Net earnings (loss) including										
noncontrolling interests		431		251		(876)		251		57
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling						` ′				
interests		(4)		(4)		(1)		(4)		(13)
		, ,		, ,		, í		· ´		, í
Net earnings (loss) attributable to The										
Kroger Co.	\$	435	\$	255	\$	(875)	\$	255	\$	70
Net earnings (loss) attributable to The										
Kroger Co. per basic common share	\$	0.67	\$	0.39	\$	(1.35)	\$	0.39	\$	0.11
Average number of shares used in basic										
calculation		648		648		646		644		647
Net earnings (loss) attributable to The										
Kroger Co. per diluted common share	\$	0.66	\$	0.39	\$	(1.35)	\$	0.39	\$	0.11
Kroger Co. per diffued common share	Ψ	0.00	Ψ	0.37	Ψ	(1.55)	Ψ	0.57	Ψ	0.11
Average number of shares used in diluted										
calculation		651		651		646		648		650
Dividends declared per common share	\$	.09	\$	.09	\$	.095	\$	.095	\$	.37

Annual amounts may not sum due to rounding.

#### 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On March 10, 2011, the Board of Directors adopted the 2011 Long-Term Incentive and Cash Bonus Plan (the Plan ), subject to shareholder approval. The Plan will be submitted to shareholders for approval at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on June 23, 2011. The Plan permits the Company to grant various forms of equity-based awards, including nonqualified stock options and restricted stock, to employees and to its non-employee directors. The total number of shares of common stock that can be issued under the Plan is 20 million, with a sublimit of 8 million shares that can be issued as restricted stock and incentive shares. The Company has the ability to convert shares otherwise available under the Plan to shares available for restricted stock and incentive shares. For every share in excess of 8 million that is issued as restricted stock or incentive shares, the total number of shares that can be issued under the Plan will be reduced by four. The Plan also provides for the issuance of annual and long-term cash bonuses.

ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.
None.	
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.
the Chief Exe	29, 2011, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, together with a disclosure review committee appointed by cutive Officer, evaluated Kroger s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, Kroger s Chief Executive Officer ancial Officer concluded that Kroger s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of January 29, 2011.
CHANGES I	N INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
	change in Kroger s internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended January 29, 2011, that has materially reasonably likely to materially affect, Kroger s internal control over financial reporting.
MANAGEM	ENT S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
Company. We the effectiven <i>Framework</i> , i	nent of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the fith the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, our management conducted an evaluation of ess of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in <i>Internal Control Integrated</i> ssued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, our management I that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of January 29, 2011.
	ness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of January 29, 2011, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCooper pendent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which can be found in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION.
None.	
	67

#### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The information required by this Item not otherwise set forth below is set forth under the headings Election of Directors and Information Concerning the Board of Directors in the definitive proxy statement to be filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

#### SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Based solely on its review of the copies of all Section 16(a) forms received by the Company, and any written representations from certain persons that no Forms 5 were required by those persons, the Company believes that during 2010 all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and 10% beneficial owners were timely satisfied, with the following exceptions. In December 2010, Reuben Anderson, Robert Beyer, Susan Kropf, John LaMacchia, David Lewis, Jorge Montoya, Clyde Moore, Susan Phillips, Steven Rogel, James Runde, Ronald Sargent, and Bobby Shackouls were all one day late in the filing of Forms 4 to report two equity awards received in connection with a long-term incentive plan due to the Company s inadvertent delay in furnishing details of the awards to the third party administrator. Also, in November 2010, Mr. Lewis filed a delinquent Form 4 to report dividend reinvestments occurring in his private brokerage account during 2008 and 2009 that inadvertently were not reported on prior Forms 5.

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

The following is a list of the names and ages of the executive officers and the positions held by each such person or those chosen to become executive officers as of March 29, 2011. Except as otherwise noted, each person has held office for at least five years. Each officer will hold office at the discretion of the Board for the ensuing year until removed or replaced.

Name	Age	Recent Employment History
Kathleen S. Barclay	55	Ms. Barclay was elected Senior Vice President, Human Resources on December 10, 2009. Prior to her election, she headed Global Human Resources for General Motors Corporation for more than ten years.
Jeffrey D. Burt	48	Mr. Burt was elected Group Vice President of Perishables Merchandising and Procurement on December 9, 2010. Prior to his election, Mr. Burt was appointed as Vice President of Deli/Bakery Merchandising and Procurement in 2004. Prior to that, he held leadership roles at the Company s QFC division from 2000 to 2004. From 1989 to 2000, Mr. Burt held significant management positions with the Company in Cincinnati, Indianapolis, and Seattle, including positions as store manager and produce merchandiser.
Geoffrey J. Covert	59	Mr. Covert was promoted to Senior Vice President of Retail Operations effective January 1, 2011. Prior to that, he was President of the Company s Cincinnati division from December 2004 to December 2010. Prior to that, Mr. Covert served as Senior Vice President from April 1999 to December 2004, and as Group Vice President and President of Kroger Manufacturing from April 1998 to April 1999. He joined the Company in March 1996 as Vice President, Grocery Products Group. Prior to joining the Company, he worked for 23 years in a number of management positions with

		Procter & Gamble.
David B. Dillon	59	Mr. Dillon was elected Chairman of the Board on June 24, 2004 and Chief Executive Officer effective June 26, 2003. Prior to this, he was elected President and Chief Operating Officer effective January 26, 2000. Upon the merger with Fred Meyer, Inc., he was named President of the combined Company. Prior thereto, Mr. Dillon was elected President and Chief Operating Officer of Kroger effective June 18, 1995. Prior to this he was elected Executive Vice President on September 13, 1990, Chairman of the Board of Dillon Companies, Inc. on September 8, 1992, and President of Dillon
		Companies, Inc. on April 22, 1986.

Kevin M. Dougherty	58	Mr. Dougherty was elected Group Vice President, Logistics effective May 6, 2004. Mr. Dougherty joined the Company as Vice President, Supply Chain Operations in 2001. Before joining the Company, he maintained an independent consulting practice focusing on logistics and operational performance.
Paul W. Heldman	59	Mr. Heldman was elected Executive Vice President effective May 5, 2006, Senior Vice President effective October 5, 1997, Secretary on May 21, 1992, and Vice President and General Counsel effective June 18, 1989. Prior to his election, he held various positions in the Company s Law Department. Mr. Heldman joined the Company in 1982.
Scott M. Henderson	55	Mr. Henderson was elected Vice President effective June 26, 2003 and Treasurer effective January 6, 2002. Mr. Henderson joined the Company in 1981 as Manager of Financial Reporting. He held a variety of management positions and was promoted to Vice President of Planning in February 2000.
Christopher T. Hjelm	49	Mr. Hjelm joined the Company on August 28, 2005 as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer. From February 2005 to July 2005, he was Chief Information Officer of Travel Distribution Services for Cendant Corporation. From July 2003 to November 2004 Mr. Hjelm served as Chief Technology Officer for Orbitz LLC, which was acquired by Cendant Corporation in November 2004. Mr. Hjelm served as Senior Vice President for Technology at eBay Inc. from March 2002 to June 2003, and served as Executive Vice President for Broadband Network Services for At Home Company from June 2001 to February 2002. From January 2000 to June 2001, Mr. Hjelm served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of ZOHO Corporation. Prior to that, he held various key roles for 14 years with Federal Express Corporation, including that of Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer.
Calvin J. Kaufman	48	Mr. Kaufman was elected Group Vice President and President of Kroger Manufacturing on May 8, 2008. Prior to his election, Mr. Kaufman was appointed as Senior Director of Logistics in 2006. He joined the Fred Meyer logistics group in 1994 and was appointed Group Vice President of Logistics in 2002 and Vice President of Distribution Engineering in 1999. Before joining the Company, he worked for United Parcel Service first as operations manager and then as engineering department manager.
Lynn Marmer	58	Ms. Marmer was elected Group Vice President, Corporate Affairs effective January 19, 1998. Prior to her election, Ms. Marmer was an attorney in the Company s Law Department. Ms. Marmer joined the Company in 1997. Before joining the Company she was a partner in the law firm of Dinsmore & Shohl.
W. Rodney McMullen	50	Mr. McMullen was elected President and Chief Operating Officer effective August 1, 2009. Prior to that he was elected Vice Chairman effective June 26, 2003, Executive Vice President, Strategy, Planning and Finance effective January 26, 2000, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective May 20, 1999, Senior Vice President effective October 5, 1997, and Group Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective June 18, 1995. Before that he was appointed Vice President, Control and Financial Services on March 4, 1993, and Vice President, Planning and Capital Management effective December 31, 1989. Mr. McMullen joined the Company in 1978 as a part-time stock clerk.

M. Marnette Perry	59	Ms. Perry was elected Senior Vice President effective July 20, 2003. Prior to that she was elected Group Vice President of Perishables Merchandising and Procurement on March 3, 2003. Prior to this she held a variety of significant positions with the Company, including President of the Company s Michigan Marketing Area, and President of the Company s Columbus Marketing Area. She joined the Company in 1972.
J. Michael Schlotman	53	Mr. Schlotman was elected Senior Vice President effective June 26, 2003, and Group Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective January 26, 2000. Prior to that he was elected Vice President and Corporate Controller in 1995, and served in various positions in corporate accounting since joining the Company in 1985.
M. Elizabeth Van Oflen	53	Ms. Van Oflen was elected Vice President and Controller on April 11, 2003. Prior to her election, she held various positions in the Company s Finance and Tax Departments. Ms. Van Oflen joined the Company in 1982.
R. Pete Williams	56	Mr. Williams was elected Senior Vice President on August 19, 2007. Prior to his election, Mr. Williams held a variety of key management positions with the Company, including President of the Company s Mid-Atlantic Marketing Area, Vice President of Operations, Vice President of Merchandising, and Director of Labor Relations. He joined the Company in 1977.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this Item is set forth in the sections entitled Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Compensation Committee Report, Executive Compensation, and Compensation Policies as they Relate to Risk Management in the definitive proxy statement to be filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

# ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The following table provides information regarding shares outstanding and available for issuance under the Company s existing equity compensation plans.

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

Plan Category	(a)  Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1)	(b)  Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	36,239,494	\$ 21.45	12,241,518
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		\$	
Total	36,239,494	\$ 21.45	12,241,518

<sup>(1)</sup> The total number of securities reported includes the maximum number of common shares, 355,525, that may be issued under performance units granted under one or more long-term incentive plans. The nature of the awards is more particularly described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis-Equity section of the definitive proxy statement to be filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Form10-K. The weighted-average exercise price in column (b) does not take these performance unit awards into account. Based on historical data, the Company s best estimate of the number of securities that will be issued under the performance unit agreements is approximately 96,000.

The remainder of the information required by this Item is set forth in the Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock table in the definitive proxy statement to be filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

This information required by this Item is set forth in the sections entitled Related Person Transactions and Information Concerning the Board of Directors-Independence in the definitive proxy statement to be filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required by this Item is set forth in the section entitled Selection of Auditors Disclosure of Auditor Fees in the definitive proxy statement to be filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K.

#### **PART IV**

#### ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

### (a)1. Financial Statements:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of January 29, 2011 and January 30, 2010

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended January 29, 2011, January 30, 2010 and January 31, 2009

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareowners Equity

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### (a)2. Financial Statement Schedules:

There are no Financial Statement Schedules included with this filing for the reason that they are not applicable or are not required or the information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

#### (a)3.(b) Exhibits

- 3.1 Amended Articles of Incorporation are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 22, 2010, filed with the SEC on June 28, 2010.
- 3.2 The Company s regulations are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 26, 2007, filed with the SEC on July 3, 2007.
- 4.1 Instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company and its subsidiaries are not filed as Exhibits because the amount of debt under each instrument is less than 10% of the consolidated assets of the Company. The Company undertakes to file these instruments with the Commission upon request.
- 10.1\* Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Appendix J to Exhibit 99.1 of Fred Meyer, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 9, 1997, SEC File No. 1-13339.
- 10.2\* The Kroger Co. Deferred Compensation Plan for Independent Directors. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.
- 10.3\* The Kroger Co. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.
- 10.4\* The Kroger Co. 401(k) Retirement Savings Account Restoration Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.
- 10.5\* Dillon Companies, Inc. Excess Benefit Pension Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.
- 10.6\* The Kroger Co. Supplemental Retirement Plans for Certain Retirement Benefit Plan Participants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.
- 10.7\* The Kroger Co. 2006 Long-Term Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2010.
- 10.8\* The Kroger Co. 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company s Form S-8 filed with the SEC on June 23, 2005.
- 10.9\* Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement under Long-Term Incentive Plans. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.

10.10\* Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Agreement under Long-Term Incentive Plans. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 24, 2008.

10.11	Credit Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 8, 2010.
10.12	4(2) Commercial Paper Dealer Agreement between The Kroger Co., as Issuer and Banc of America Securities, LLC, as Dealer dated as of December 3, 2003, as amended on July 23, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.
10.13	4(2) Commercial Paper Dealer Agreement between The Kroger Co., as Issuer and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Dealer dated as of December 3, 2003, as amended on June 9, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.
10.14*	Disclosure of compensation of non-employee directors. Incorporated by reference to Item 2.02 of the Company s Form 8-K dated December 10, 2004.
10.15*	The Kroger Co. Employee Protection Plan dated December 13, 2007. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2008.
10.16*	The Kroger Co. 2008 Long-Term Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2008.
10.17*	The Kroger Co. 2008 Long-Term Incentive and Cash Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company s Form S-8 filed with the SEC on June 26, 2008.
10.18*	Letter Agreement dated June 24, 2009 between the Company and Don W. McGeorge. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 15, 2009.
10.19*	Form of Performance Unit Agreement under Long-Term Incentive Plans. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 14, 2010.
10.20*	The Kroger Co. 2010 Long-Term Bonus Plan (filed herewith).
12.1	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24.1	Powers of Attorney.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE KROGER CO.

Dated: March 29, 2011 By \*/s/ David B. Dillon

David B. Dillon Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities indicated on the 29th of March 2011.

\*/s/ Reuben V. Anderson Director

Reuben V. Anderson

\*/s/ Robert D. Beyer Director

Robert D. Beyer

\*/s/ David B. Dillon Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director

David B. Dillon

\*/s/ Susan J. Kropf Director

Susan J. Kropf

\*/s/ John T. LaMacchia Director

John T. LaMacchia

Director

David B. Lewis

\*/s/ W. Rodney McMullen President, Chief Operating Officer and Director

W. Rodney McMullen

\*/s/ Jorge P. Montoya Director

Jorge P. Montoya

\*/s/ Clyde R. Moore Director

Clyde R. Moore

\*/s/ Susan M. Phillips Director

Susan M. Phillips

\*/s/ Steven R. Rogel Director

Steven R. Rogel

\*/s/ James A. Runde Director

James A. Runde

\*/s/ Ronald L. Sargent Ronald L. Sargent

Director

\*/s/ J. Michael Schlotman J. Michael Schlotman Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)

\*/s/ Bobby S. Shackouls Bobby S. Shackouls

\*/s/ M. Elizabeth Van Oflen M. Elizabeth Van Oflen

By: \*/s/ Bruce M. Gack Bruce M. Gack Attorney-in-fact Director

Vice President & Controller (principal accounting officer)

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit No.	
3.1	Amended Articles of Incorporation are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 22, 2010, filed with the SEC on June 28, 2010.
3.2	The Company s regulations are hereby incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 26, 2007, filed with the SEC on July 3, 2007.
4.1	Instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company and its subsidiaries are not filed as Exhibits because the amount of debt under each instrument is less than 10% of the consolidated assets of the Company undertakes to file these instruments with the Commission upon request.
10.1*	Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Appendix J to Exhibit 99.1 of Fred Meyer, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 9, 1997, SEC File No. 1-13339.
10.2*	The Kroger Co. Deferred Compensation Plan for Independent Directors. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.
10.3*	The Kroger Co. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.
10.4*	The Kroger Co. 401(k) Retirement Savings Account Restoration Plan. Incorporated by reference of Exhibit 10.4 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.
10.5*	Dillon Companies, Inc. Excess Benefit Pension Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.
10.6*	The Kroger Co. Supplemental Retirement Plans for Certain Retirement Benefit Plan Participants. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.
10.7*	The Kroger Co. 2006 Long Term Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2010.
10.8*	The Kroger Co. 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company s Form S-8 filed with the SEC on June 23, 2005.
10.9*	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement under Long-Term Incentive Plans. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 3, 2007.
10.10*	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Grant Agreement under Long-Term Incentive Plans. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 24, 2008.
10.11	Credit Agreement dated as of November 8, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 8, 2010.
10.12	4(2) Commercial Paper Dealer Agreement between The Kroger Co., as Issuer and Banc of America Securities, LLC, as Dealer dated as of December 3, 2003, as amended on July 23, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.
10.13	4(2) Commercial Paper Dealer Agreement between The Kroger Co., as Issuer and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Dealer dated as of December 3, 2003, as amended on June 9, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 29, 2005.

10.14\*

Disclosure of compensation of non-employee directors. Incorporated by reference to Item 2.02 of the Company  $\,$  s Form  $\,$ 8-K dated December  $10,\,2004.$ 

10.15*	The Kroger Co. Employee Protection Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2008.
10.16*	The Kroger Co. 2008 Long-Term Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2008.
10.17*	The Kroger Co. 2008 Long-Term Incentive and Cash Bonus Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company s Form S-8 filed with the SEC on June 26, 2008.
10.18*	Letter Agreement dated June 24, 2009 between the Company and Don W. McGeorge. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 15, 2009.
10.19*	Form of Performance Unit Agreement under Long-Term Incentive Plans. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 14, 2010.
10.20*	The Kroger Co. 2010 Long-Term Bonus Plan (filed herewith).
12.1	Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
24.1	Powers of Attorney.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

<sup>\*</sup> Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.